Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.cb

2002-07 - 2002-08

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2002-07-01 through 2002-08-31

Source text: rec.radio.cb.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 6,274

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 3

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. P15 - Wow,Cool: HIFERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 00:05:00 UTC
From: aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5c35b3ab.0206301604.368efeec@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129030

Here's something I didn't know a thing about. HF Part 15 Stations operating in the 13.553 - 13.567 mHz region!

http://home.att.net/~weatheradio/part15.htm

-A

(HIFER) = Acronym for "High Frequency Experimental Radio", basically the same thing as a LOWFER or MEDFER but at HF (see LF and MF Keys for more detailed explanations). "New" term coined by the LOWFER/MEDFER community to accommodate this "newly discovered" and rapidly-burgeoning field of experimentation.

No Suffix = As with the other listings these denote stations operating primarily or solely as broadcasters rather than as HIFERs, or those which include a significant broadcast-type program content in their operations.

HF Part 15 stations occupy a narrow slice of the 22 meter shortwave band in accordance with the provisions of 15.225, which specifies a field strength limit of 10,000 uV (10 mV) per meter at 30 meters from the antenna. In practice this works out to 1.8 mW transmitter output power into a 1/2 wave dipole, or 3 mW into an isotropic (0 dBi) radiator. While this power level may sound minuscule remember that by comparison, only a fraction (< 1%) of the power a 100 mW Part 15.219 AM transmitter feeds to its antenna is actually radiated by even the most 'efficient' 3 meter antenna; in fact, the amount radiated is roughly comparable what is permitted in this HF band, yet some impressive DX as well as local coverage has been achieved at MF. On this HF band, unlike Part 15 mediumwave, there is no limitation on the size or type of antenna, feedline or ground nor on power output of the transmitter, except with respect to whichever combination of antenna and power chosen is required to reach the legal limit of field strength without exceeding it (and recalling that any gain effect even if incidental, such as might result from proximity to a reflecting suface or object, would cause an increase in field strength in the direction of maximum reflection which must be taken into account). In any case while the power output allowed here is not tremendous, it is indeed enough to "work the world" based on Amateur Radio observations on similar frequencies and power levels, via the excellent ionospheric skip characteristics of the band. Local range depends of course on the receiver used, its antenna, the prevailing noise and interference levels, etc. but should be at least comparable to and probably significantly better than that of a mediumwave Part 15 station - unlike MF, at HF especially this high in frequency, there is essentially no significant groundwave component and thus a major

source of path losses is removed - local propagation is via direct wave - and also the baseline atmospheric noise level is far lower here than at mediumwave.

The other main requirement under this Rule subpart is a frequency stability of +/- 0.01% under supply voltage variations of 85 to 115% of normal at 20 C (68 F) and under temperature variations of from -20 to + 50 C (+4 to +122 F). This might tend to scare off some potential builders but it is actually only 100 ppm, which is easily achievable with any reasonably decent oscillator and power supply circuit design and good quality crystals which are readily available from a supplier such as JAN or Bomar. Another possible design example: a CB crystal for 27.120 MHz and a simple 74HCTxxx series frequency divider would give 13.56 MHz and whatever the stability of the crystal originally was, it would be doubled (drift halved) using this scheme. It is also probable that this rule really applies to commercial manufacturers seeking Type Acceptance and for the homebrewer the FCC would tolerate a lesser degree of accuracy.

Canadian RSS-210 rules, Section 6.2.2 (e) permit operation in the same band but at slightly higher field strength, 15.5 mV/m at 30 m. Their frequency stability requirements are similar. Canada also has another license-free HF band not available in the USA; 6,765 - 6,795 kHz. Field strength and frequency limits are the same as those for 13,553 -13,567 kHz.

For AM broadcasting use, assuming a standard 5 kHz max. audio frequency (10 kHz occupied bandwidth), there really is only one frequency which can be used in such a narrow band: 13,560 kHz (this leaves a 2 kHz guard band on top and likewise, on the bottom of the band as well). It is recommended, in the interest of allowing as many as possible to use the band, that those considering broadcasting here either (a) reach an equitable time-sharing or scheduling agreement with other stations to avoid mutual interference, if using AM, or (b) operate SSB (Single Sideband), which is used by many full-power HF broadcasters around the world and occupies much less bandwidth than AM does. Inasmuch as most shortwave receivers can "hear" SSB (have a BFO), this should not be a problem. Using less audio bandwidth on AM, when possible (for instance, 3.5 or 4 kHz instead of 5) can help reduce interference potential as well. Narrowband FM can also be used but unfortunately, many shortwave receivers likely to be owned by the public cannot "hear" this mode. NBFM might be better than AM though, due to less noise, for wireless studio-to-transmitter link (STL) use of this frequency range in feeding other Part 15 units on AM (MF) or FM bands (an idea originated by the author).

To the author's knowledge, no commercial manufacturer currently sells Certified transmitters for this band; or not, at least, for hobbyists/broadcasters - so it's"homebrew heaven" for those so inclined. Here is a page with some schematics to get the experimenter started. One company, MaxNet, does offer lease of Certified NBFM

transmitters for this range, at a HIGH cost, for STL/TTL use as part of a complete system of Part 15 FM's to be used along highways as sort of a commercial "TIS" setup.

Contrary to what some folks have been (erroneously) told by FCC personnel not intimately familiar with Part 15 Rules, this band is not strictly for ISM (industrial, scientific, and medical) use only; the author, in consulting with contacts in the FCC who write these Rules has learned that broadcasting here is indeed permissible (there are no written provisions to the contrary). However, United Parcel Service is planning to use this spectrum for specific applications and being a Part 15 operation as well, sharing the band and acceptance of occasional interference will necessarily be the order of the day (let's hope UPS sees it that way!) Also, those electronic anti-theft tags (RFID) found on clothes, CDs and just about everything else in stores today operate here too; you may want to avoid transmitting on this band too close to your local Mall!

The lack of experimental broadcast stations operating here is truly amazing, considering the relatively generous field strength allowance and great (world-wide!) propagation in this frequency range. This Part 15 band is available in the USA and Canada for use by people like us: hobbyists, low-power radio and broadcast enthusiasts, and experimenters; not many people in other countries are so lucky, and in the face of commercial pressures there increasingly is less and less spectrum available anywhere to the average RF experimenter - why not make good use of the band?

2. Chukkeesukkee tries weaseling out of it...HARDEEHARRHARRHARRR!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 00:10:05 UTC
From: ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8e19f630.0206301610.274bb2d1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129031

Only your fellow criminals see it your way chukkee...thats why you
garbage got yanked on QRZ.com.

You totally denied EVER selling illegal equipment. Then you got popped
via the archives. LIAR LIAR CHUKKEESUKKEE LIAR!!!

And anyway, the business wasn't worth the trouble because you are too
stupid to run a business.

I suppose you are gonna deny you live with your mommy, too. You are a
hopeless failure, Chukkeesukkee...hopeless. And you know it in your
fat, ugly socially mutated heart.

k8cpa@arrl.net (chuck) wrote in message
news:<3d1f48ac.34962355@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>...
> On Sun, 30 Jun 2002 17:34:57 GMT, "brian" <none@no.com> wrote:
>
> >Glad to see you had a good laugh, but maybe check the date next time, this
> >is from 3 1/2 years ago, and old chuck went out of biz about 2 or 3 years
> >ago.
> >
> >
> >
>
> I'm glad someone finally sees this besides me.
>
> The artist formerly known as chuck@chucks2way.com
>
> It simply wasn't worth the trouble.
>
> 73

3. Re: Ghostrider Break

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 00:13:42 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020630201342.01956.00002310@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 129032

you had it going on also ...hope to see ya real soon.... peace 413

4. Chukkeesukke tries weaseling out of his chumpy lies! HAWHAWHAW!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 00:19:43 UTC
From: ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8e19f630.0206301619.4fbb6ac1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129033

Hey Chukee...
You liar, you said on QRZ that you NEVER sold illegal stuff. WHEN does not matter. You were proven a liar again. Just like your CCW permit and just like denying you threatened N8's family, just like when you said you work for Roush racing. just like when you said you were in the latin Counts, just like when you said you were buddies with the iron coffins. Liar, liar, Chukkeesukkee liar.

An sissy mentally disturbed weenie of the 1st degree. Everyone here knows it.

You were a failure in business because you are a fat disgusting mentally disturbed failure in life.

I suppose next you will deny that you live with your mommy, too.

LIAR LIAR CHUKKEESUKKEE LIAR!!!!

k8cpa@arrl.net (chukkeesukkee) whined like a little girl in message news:<3d1f48ac.34962355@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>...
> On Sun, 30 Jun 2002 17:34:57 GMT, "brian" <none@no.com> wrote:
>
> >Glad to see you had a good laugh, but maybe check the date next time, this
> >is from 3 1/2 years ago, and old chuck went out of biz about 2 or 3 years
> >ago.
> >
> >
> >
>
> I'm glad someone finally sees this besides me.
>
> The fat, disgusting, lying sack of doggy turds formerly known as chuck@chucks2way.com
>
> It simply wasn't worth the trouble.
>
> 73

5. Re: Chukkee telling big lies on QRZ! HAWHAWHAW!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 00:25:02 UTC
From: ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8e19f630.0206301625.1d535aaf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129034

Hey Chukee...
You liar, you said on QRZ that you NEVER sold illegal stuff. WHEN does not matter. You were proven a liar again. Just like your CCW permit and just like denying you threatened N8's family, just like when you said you work for Roush racing. just like when you said you were in the latin Counts, just like when you said you were buddies with the iron coffins. Liar, liar, Chukkeesukkee liar.

An sissy mentally disturbed weenie of the 1st degree. Everyone here knows it.

You were a failure in business because you are a fat disgusting mentally disturbed failure in life.

I suppose next you will deny that you live with your mommy, too.

LIAR LIAR CHUKKEESUKKEE LIAR!!!!

k8cpa@arrl.net (chukkeesukkee) whined like a little girl in message news:<3d1f48ac.34962355@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>...
> On Sun, 30 Jun 2002 17:34:57 GMT, "brian" <none@no.com> wrote:
>
> >Glad to see you had a good laugh, but maybe check the date next time, this
> >is from 3 1/2 years ago, and old chuck went out of biz about 2 or 3 years
> >ago.
> >
> >
> >
>
> I'm glad someone finally sees this besides me.
>
> The artist formerly known as chuck@chucks2way.com
>
> It simply wasn't worth the trouble.
>
> 73

6. Re: Chukkee telling big lies on QRZ! HAWHAWHAW!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 00:30:13 UTC
From: "Dr. StrangeLove" <doc@housecall.com>
Message-ID: <poNT8.542481$Oa1.35120460@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129035

"brian" <none@no.com> wrote in message
news:wWMT8.106388$X9.3922586@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
> My apologies, you guys did catch chuck in a lie, and and it only took 3+
> years to figure it out. Well done.

Brian, no one mistakes you for brains, Chuck recently posted that he never
sold a amp,
it took 3 years to catch him in a lie because thats finally when he lied, 3
years later.
Can you understand this.

7. Re: P15 - Wow,Cool: HIFERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 00:31:55 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020630203155.27082.00001240@mb-fl.news.cs.com>
Source record: 129037

Me neither, Aaron. Absolutely GREAT post. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

>Here's something I didn't know a thing about. HF Part 15 Stations
>operating in the 13.553 - 13.567 mHz region!
>
>http://home.att.net/~weatheradio/part15.htm
>
>-A
>
>(HIFER) = Acronym for "High Frequency Experimental Radio", basically
>the same thing as a LOWFER or MEDFER but at HF (see LF and MF Keys for
>more detailed explanations). "New" term coined by the LOWFER/MEDFER
>community to accommodate this "newly discovered" and
>rapidly-burgeoning field of experimentation.
>
>No Suffix = As with the other listings these denote stations operating
>primarily or solely as broadcasters rather than as HIFERs, or those
>which include a significant broadcast-type program content in their
>operations.
>
>HF Part 15 stations occupy a narrow slice of the 22 meter shortwave
>band in accordance with the provisions of 15.225, which specifies a
>field strength limit of 10,000 uV (10 mV) per meter at 30 meters from
>the antenna. In practice this works out to 1.8 mW transmitter output
>power into a 1/2 wave dipole, or 3 mW into an isotropic (0 dBi)
>radiator. While this power level may sound minuscule remember that by
>comparison, only a fraction (< 1%) of the power a 100 mW Part 15.219
>AM transmitter feeds to its antenna is actually radiated by even the
>most 'efficient' 3 meter antenna; in fact, the amount radiated is
>roughly comparable what is permitted in this HF band, yet some
>impressive DX as well as local coverage has been achieved at MF. On
>this HF band, unlike Part 15 mediumwave, there is no limitation on the
>size or type of antenna, feedline or ground nor on power output of the
>transmitter, except with respect to whichever combination of antenna
>and power chosen is required to reach the legal limit of field
>strength without exceeding it (and recalling that any gain effect even
>if incidental, such as might result from proximity to a reflecting
>suface or object, would cause an increase in field strength in the
>direction of maximum reflection which must be taken into account). In
>any case while the power output allowed here is not tremendous, it is
>indeed enough to "work the world" based on Amateur Radio observations
>on similar frequencies and power levels, via the excellent ionospheric

>skip characteristics of the band. Local range depends of course on the
>receiver used, its antenna, the prevailing noise and interference
>levels, etc. but should be at least comparable to and probably
>significantly better than that of a mediumwave Part 15 station -
>unlike MF, at HF especially this high in frequency, there is
>essentially no significant groundwave component and thus a major
>source of path losses is removed - local propagation is via direct
>wave - and also the baseline atmospheric noise level is far lower here
>than at mediumwave.
>
>The other main requirement under this Rule subpart is a frequency
>stability of +/- 0.01% under supply voltage variations of 85 to 115%
>of normal at 20 C (68 F) and under temperature variations of from -20
>to + 50 C (+4 to +122 F). This might tend to scare off some potential
>builders but it is actually only 100 ppm, which is easily achievable
>with any reasonably decent oscillator and power supply circuit design
>and good quality crystals which are readily available from a supplier
>such as JAN or Bomar. Another possible design example: a CB crystal
>for 27.120 MHz and a simple 74HCTxxx series frequency divider would
>give 13.56 MHz and whatever the stability of the crystal originally
>was, it would be doubled (drift halved) using this scheme. It is also
>probable that this rule really applies to commercial manufacturers
>seeking Type Acceptance and for the homebrewer the FCC would tolerate
>a lesser degree of accuracy.
>
>Canadian RSS-210 rules, Section 6.2.2 (e) permit operation in the same
>band but at slightly higher field strength, 15.5 mV/m at 30 m. Their
>frequency stability requirements are similar. Canada also has another
>license-free HF band not available in the USA; 6,765 - 6,795 kHz.
>Field strength and frequency limits are the same as those for 13,553
>-13,567 kHz.
>
>For AM broadcasting use, assuming a standard 5 kHz max. audio
>frequency (10 kHz occupied bandwidth), there really is only one
>frequency which can be used in such a narrow band: 13,560 kHz (this
>leaves a 2 kHz guard band on top and likewise, on the bottom of the
>band as well). It is recommended, in the interest of allowing as many
>as possible to use the band, that those considering broadcasting here
>either (a) reach an equitable time-sharing or scheduling agreement
>with other stations to avoid mutual interference, if using AM, or (b)
>operate SSB (Single Sideband), which is used by many full-power HF
>broadcasters around the world and occupies much less bandwidth than AM
>does. Inasmuch as most shortwave receivers can "hear" SSB (have a
>BFO), this should not be a problem. Using less audio bandwidth on AM,
>when possible (for instance, 3.5 or 4 kHz instead of 5) can help
>reduce interference potential as well. Narrowband FM can also be used
>but unfortunately, many shortwave receivers likely to be owned by the
>public cannot "hear" this mode. NBFM might be better than AM though,
>due to less noise, for wireless studio-to-transmitter link (STL) use
>of this frequency range in feeding other Part 15 units on AM (MF) or

>FM bands (an idea originated by the author).
>
>To the author's knowledge, no commercial manufacturer currently sells
>Certified transmitters for this band; or not, at least, for
>hobbyists/broadcasters - so it's"homebrew heaven" for those so
>inclined. Here is a page with some schematics to get the experimenter
>started. One company, MaxNet, does offer lease of Certified NBFM
>transmitters for this range, at a HIGH cost, for STL/TTL use as part
>of a complete system of Part 15 FM's to be used along highways as sort
>of a commercial "TIS" setup.
>
>Contrary to what some folks have been (erroneously) told by FCC
>personnel not intimately familiar with Part 15 Rules, this band is not
>strictly for ISM (industrial, scientific, and medical) use only; the
>author, in consulting with contacts in the FCC who write these Rules
>has learned that broadcasting here is indeed permissible (there are no
>written provisions to the contrary). However, United Parcel Service is
>planning to use this spectrum for specific applications and being a
>Part 15 operation as well, sharing the band and acceptance of
>occasional interference will necessarily be the order of the day
>(let's hope UPS sees it that way!) Also, those electronic anti-theft
>tags (RFID) found on clothes, CDs and just about everything else in
>stores today operate here too; you may want to avoid transmitting on
>this band too close to your local Mall!
>
>The lack of experimental broadcast stations operating here is truly
>amazing, considering the relatively generous field strength allowance
>and great (world-wide!) propagation in this frequency range. This Part
>15 band is available in the USA and Canada for use by people like us:
>hobbyists, low-power radio and broadcast enthusiasts, and
>experimenters; not many people in other countries are so lucky, and in
>the face of commercial pressures there increasingly is less and less
>spectrum available anywhere to the average RF experimenter - why not
>make good use of the band?

8. Re: Part 15 operators:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 00:31:59 UTC
From: "Dr. StrangeLove" <doc@housecall.com>
Message-ID: <3qNT8.486233$%y.33659147@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129036

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:2SMT8.17870$p85.520079@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > What's the difference between using "P15" or OT, FS, FA, FT, or even
> > "Re:"? Quit whining, Scott.
> >
>
> It's not a commonly used abbreviation. Duh!

If enough people use it then it will become commonly used. Duh

9. CHUKKEESUKKEE WHINING BECAUSE HE GOT CAUGHT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 00:33:02 UTC
From: ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8e19f630.0206301633.3665ada0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129038

Hey Chukkeesukkee...why did your posts get pulled from QRZ.com?

WHY CHUKKEESUKKEE?

10. Re: 1stopelectronics.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 00:33:46 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uhv8vjm77ec903@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129039

Just a question of spite.... Did you take offence to my posting of your IP address and news posting host a few days back? I see you have changed your tune a little and are now using the Chickens method of posting... Going through Google... Bach! bach!

PH

"AntiKeyclowns Rule the NG" <ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:8e19f630.0206300616.7aabaac1@posting.google.com...
> Ratted out to the FCC, as ALL illegal stuff is now ratted out!
>
> usamp125@aol.com (USAMP125) wrote in message news:<20020629232710.20856.00001014@mb-da.aol.com>...
> > your onestop for all your cb needs
> >
> > visit
> >
> > http://www.1stopelectronics.com/

11. Re: Part 15 operators:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 00:38:22 UTC
From: "Dr. StrangeLove" <doc@housecall.com>
Message-ID: <2wNT8.473997$Gs.33664106@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129040

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D1F8CF1.DAEE2F38@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > What's the difference between using "P15" or OT, FS, FA, FT, or even
> > "Re:"? Quit whining, Scott.
>
> You posted the 6 lines above. You "suggested" putting "P15" on posts.

Scott are you that dumb or you just playin? his suggestion was for post
regarding part 15
If you don't mention something related to part 15 then you don't have to add
anything.
How many threads are related to part 15 to start a new group?

> Sounded like a whine to me. I suggested a new group just for part 15.

I suggested you quit your crying.

> That's more constructive then forcing people to put "P15" in their subject
line.

How is he forcing anyone to do anything he made a suggestion, to help
identify part15 discussions
same as you would if you listed an item for sale and used FS, or listed and
auction as FA, or listed Off topic as OT.

12. Re: BASH FEST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 00:44:45 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uhv9k6dj3vjkf4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129041

"Big AC Maul" <bigacmaul@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020630133239.08550.00002285@mb-cu.aol.com...
> This group is nothing but a bunch of sorry ass pussies. I have been
reading
> for awhile here and there are some who post some interesting stuff, but
how can
> you all put up with this voobner guy?

It's easy when you don't see what's posted... Filter away my fine fellow...

PH

13. Re: New Galaxy radios on the way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 01:13:41 UTC
From: CitySlicker <nospam@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <91OT8.110$931.19875@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129044

I didn't here anyone offering to Import or sell anything. What does the Communications Act have to say about discussion of such equipment in an open forum? Can't stop free speech yet!

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message news:20020630002052.22890.00001006@mb-cj.aol.com...
> >Importation and marketing of these units >is illegal pursuant to Section
> 302(b) of the >Communications Act and Section 2.803 >of the rules. Willful
> violations of the Rules >and the Act may subject the violator to a
>monetary
> forfeiture of not more than >$11,000 for each
> >violation or each day of a continuing >violation.
> >-A
> Shut the fuck up, Dork!
>
>
>
> "If it aint broke, don't fix it"

14. Re: rec.radio.cb?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 01:18:35 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uhvbjlffd8g1c0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
news:3d1ee71b.784109@news.cet.com...
> In <uhstolta7chbe4@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
> <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Dr. Detroit" <dr@housecall.com> wrote in message
> >news:XHrT8.453052$Gs.32767742@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >>
> >> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> >> news:uhrv0k2mk58p6b@corp.supernews.com...
> >> > Barely... It's been taken over by wanna-be hams, however... It's a
> >grand
> >> > Flame-Fest. Not much good for information exchange any more. If you
> >even
> >> > breath wrong, some lame ass wanna-be is reporting you to your ISP and
> >> every
> >> > agency under the sun... Enter at your own risk!
> >> >
> >> > PH
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > "David M Schertzer" <dschertzer@hfx.eastlink.ca> wrote in message
> >> > news:1qmrhus56s95j7u7bhp6lqglnri4ne0fad@4ax.com...
> >> > > Does this still exisit?
> >>
> >> Yes, It still is around. Full of Illegal Op's discussing the latest way
to
> >> break the law.
> >>
> >
> >Cute... Who authorized you to cross-post your reply?
> >
> >Your statement MAY be true, but you can't deny the fact that group is
> >useless for any form of discussion due solely to the fact that it has
been
> >over-run by a bunch of ignorant wanna-be's.
>
> Yeah. There's quite a few wannabe technicians and engineers, but until
> they pay the dues and do the homework, they are just going to remain
> wannabes.
>
> > You can't exchange information

>
> That "information" is usually used to subvert federal laws. Most of
> this "information" is a half-assed attempt to re-engineer a piece of
> RF equipment, and the source of the same usually has only a
> rudimentary knowledge of how a radio works.
>
> >when nobody even wants to participate in the group because of having to
sift
> >through hundreds of useless posts that have nothing to do with CB radio.
>
> Yes indeed, there are too many posts regarding amps and bootleggers.
>
> >The group is full of nothing but non-stop arguments brought on by all
these
> >wanna-be's. Are you one of them? So far your post makes you sound like
> >one... Just out to start a fight...
>
> Gee, it looks like you are looking for one yourself. If you don't have
> a killfile, and you can't practice self-restraint, maybe you should
> check the yellow pages under "psychotherapists".
>

My statement has no indications what so ever of looking to start a fight. I inquired as to what exactly his status was, and made the comment that, through his writing style, the particular post I was reply to made him sound like one of these types of people. I can see that you clearly sound like one as well. It's too bad that somehow you managed to get through my filer on this group, which incidentally, I make great use of. Thus, your comments about filters, to the contrary, are null...

PH

15. Re: CB Mailing list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 01:22:13 UTC
From: aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5c35b3ab.0206301722.10e63e3f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129043

"Dr. Detroit" <dr@housecall.com> wrote in message
news:<5rFT8.467301$Gs.33241757@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:5c35b3ab.0206300116.1b44408b@posting.google.com...
> > k8cpa@arrl.net (chuck) wrote in message
> news:<3d1d4ed0.3588021@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>...
> > > Nice Try Boobner..
> > >
> > > Someone's yanking your chain. Why don't you start a mailing list for
> > > card-carrying members of the Latin Counts?
> > >
> > > -A
> >
> > Hey essay, don't you be talking bout us latino Counts we give you the hand
> > sig Arriba La Raza

Don't forget his other gang "affiliations" too...

On Wed, 20 Jan 1999 23:18:22 GMT, chuck@chucks2way.com wrote:
>wait'll you tangle with me, ASSHOLE, I'm a card carrying
>MEMBER of the Latin COUNTS, I'm friends with the CASH FLOW
>POSSE, and I also have friends in the IRON COFFINS

-A

16. Re: 21 mhz on a 2950????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 01:32:27 UTC
From: Frank Todd <k3eko@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <LiOT8.203661$R61.72541@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 129045

Agreed!

73

Frank K3EKO

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D1F620A.4F3D700F@mailcity.net...
>
>
> LilyV7 wrote:
> >
> > somebody sent me a mod for the 2950 that will supposedly put the rig
into the
> > 21mhz mode. :) any body tried this? does it work? does it work well?
> > "just wonderin......" gunslinger
>
> Just what the ham community needs, cbers getting on the 15 meter
> band illegally. You have already done enough to screw up the 10
> meter ham band, now I guess you want to bring the sewer to 15
> meters as well.

17. Re: P15 Re: Part 15 operators:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 01:41:31 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uhvcpo4kedh91c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129046

Not entirely true. One may obtain nearly 100 watts EIRP with tradeoffs
between output power and antenna gain in certain bands. Check out the
following site for more information:
http://www.qsl.net/kb9mwr/projects/wireless/part15.html

Interesting reading, huh?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5c35b3ab.0206301546.68aeded7@posting.google.com...
> sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote in message
news:<3d1eedb2.2470910@news.cet.com>...
> > There are a lot of people out there that are interested in operating
> > under Part 15 of the FCC regs. This includes the lowfer band (160 -
> > 190 kHz), as well as the AM broadcast band with a power of 100mW, and
> > other parts of the HF spectrum with varying amounts of ERP. So just as
> > a suggestion, put "P15" at the beginning of any post with a topic
> > regarding this service. That's what I will be doing from now on.
>
> Here's a math-intensive site.
>
> http://www.microindustrie.com/fcc/mpart15a.htm

18. Re: 21 mhz on a 2950????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 01:55:33 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <pEOT8.62245$LC3.4748187@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129097

"LilyV7" <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020630170022.16818.00002260@mb-fr.aol.com...
> somebody sent me a mod for the 2950 that will supposedly put the rig
into the
> 21mhz mode. :) any body tried this? does it work? does it work well?
> "just wonderin......" gunslinger

If it doesn't work any better on 21 than it does on 28 we have nothing to
worry about. Man, that receiver is a pile of crap.

19. Re: A challenge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 02:04:49 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <5NOT8.498$yx.46989469@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129098

"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
news:3d1f89ba.2307838@news.cet.com...
> In <exET8.332$LV.35440762@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
> <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
> >news:3d1eec48.2109229@news.cet.com...
> >> ... to any of these wannabe techs to construct a working superhet CB
> >> receiver of their own design. Photos and verbose documentation is
> >> required, including an operational description of the circuits in the
> >> design. And if Tim wants to try and meet this challenge, someone
> >> please give him some help -- he's gonna need a lot!
> >>
> >> But guess what? This challenge will never be met, except with a lot of
> >> whining, moaning and gnashing of teeth. I only posted this to see how
> >> many excuses they will provide NOT to accept the challenge!
> >>
> >> Let the excuses begin!
> >>
> >
> > Would you follow your own rules?
>
> Absolutely! In fact, I'll even do it with tubes! Are you game?
>

I think you will, depending on the contestants.
Have fun.....

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

20. Re: P15 - Wow,Cool: HIFERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 02:09:15 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uhvedj6ib6oj4a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129099

Aaron, read the following section of your post again, please:

"Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5c35b3ab.0206301604.368efeec@posting.google.com...
> Here's something I didn't know a thing about. HF Part 15 Stations
> operating in the 13.553 - 13.567 mHz region!
>
> http://home.att.net/~weatheradio/part15.htm
>
> -A
>

>This might tend to scare off some potential
> builders but it is actually only 100 ppm, which is easily achievable
> with any reasonably decent oscillator and power supply circuit design
> and good quality crystals which are readily available from a supplier
> such as JAN or Bomar. Another possible design example: a CB crystal

**** DANGER, DANGER WILL ROBINSON ****

> for 27.120 MHz and a simple 74HCTxxx series frequency divider would
> give 13.56 MHz and whatever the stability of the crystal originally
> was, it would be doubled (drift halved) using this scheme.

**** END DANGER, WILL ROBINSON ****

uhhhh ... the stability of the crystal would be doubled? Run that one by me again. Which, in parts per million, is the greater error - 1,000 Hz at 10 MHz or 100 Hz at 1 MHz? True, the error, in Hz, would be less at the lower frequency, but the requirement of 100 ppm would also imply that the error, in Hz, would also have to be HALF the maximum error at the higher frequency. Simple enough, but you yourself know the dangers in believing everything you see posted on the internet. There are folks that believe 100 Hz at 1 MHz is 10 times more accurate in PPM that 1000 Hz at 10 MHz. Oh well. Now, if that crystal would just swing!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

21. Re: Part 15 operators:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 02:25:18 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <i4PT8.19246$q53.469527@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129100

```
"Dr. StrangeLove" <doc@housecall.com> wrote in message
news:3qNT8.486233$%y.33659147@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:2SMT8.17870$p85.520079@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > >
> > > What's the difference between using "P15" or OT, FS, FA, FT, or even
> > > "Re:"? Quit whining, Scott.
> > >
> >
> > It's not a commonly used abbreviation. Duh!
>
>
> If enough people use it then it will become commonly used. Duh
>
>

Right. And I'm sure that the .000000026% of the population that speak
English and also read this group will make that happen.
```

22. Re: A challenge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 03:12:04 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uhvi39ko6j4926@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129101

Are you certain tubes would be simpler? You can buy a dds on a chip - come to think of it, you can buy whole sections of radios on a chip. I'm not convinced that tubes would be 'easier' at all.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:mUMT8.17892$p85.520619@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
> news:3d1f89ba.2307838@news.cet.com...
> > In <exET8.332$LV.35440762@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
> > <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
> > >news:3d1eec48.2109229@news.cet.com...
> > >> ... to any of these wannabe techs to construct a working superhet CB
> > >> receiver of their own design. Photos and verbose documentation is
> > >> required, including an operational description of the circuits in the
> > >> design. And if Tim wants to try and meet this challenge, someone
> > >> please give him some help -- he's gonna need a lot!
> > >>
> > >> But guess what? This challenge will never be met, except with a lot
of
> > >> whining, moaning and gnashing of teeth. I only posted this to see how
> > >> many excuses they will provide NOT to accept the challenge!
> > >>
> > >> Let the excuses begin!
> > >>
> > >
> > > Would you follow your own rules?
> >
> > Absolutely! In fact, I'll even do it with tubes! Are you game?
> >
>
> Oh gee, he's gonna do with tubes. My God, how much simpler CAN you get?
>
>

23. HEY BIG AC MAUL, YOU QUEEN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 03:25:08 UTC
From: ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8e19f630.0206301925.652a8f2c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129102

HARDEHARRHARRHARR, LIFE IS GOOD WHEN YOU AINT A CRIMINAL SISSYBOY!

bigasscrackmaulslittleboys@aol.com (Big AssCrack Maulslittleboys)
cried like a girl in message
news:<20020630133239.08550.00002285@mb-cu.aol.com>...
> This group is nothing but a bunch of sorry ass pussies. NO, YOU ARE WRONG THATS YER MAMA
I have been reading LIAR YOU CANT READ!
> for awhile here and there are some who post some interesting stuff,AHH YES YOU LIKE THE
GAY SEX STUFF! but how can
> you all put up with this voobner guy? YOU CANT DO SHIT ABOUT HIM!And what's up with the
keyclown stuff? YOU ARE A KEYCLOWN!!!!I
> have never seen so much shit talking from behind a computer screen.EXCEPT WHEN YOU ARE
POSTING THAT IS And with
> all this talk about illegal this and illegal that.....We're still here!!NOT FOR LONG PINK
NIGHTIE BOY! That
> cracks me up. NO, LOOKIN AT YER MAMA CRACKS YOU UP,, sissy boy!

24. Re: A 300A Palamar base amp FA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 03:27:18 UTC
From: ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8e19f630.0206301927.286f8724@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129103

Your mama skipped me to the front, ozark.....the other 47 dudes hadda
wait a little while longer.

ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) whined like a sissy in message
news:<20020630002800.22890.00001007@mb-cj.aol.com>...
, back of the line for you!
>
>
>
>

25. gayscottiekins...look here sissy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 03:29:47 UTC
From: ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8e19f630.0206301929.79a1af57@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129104

Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:<3D1F8CF1.DAEE2F38@yaSPAMhoo.com>...

> Sounded like a whine to me. YER MAMA WHINED WHEN I PUT THE WOOD TO HER
I suggested a new group just for part 15. PART 15 IS THE 15 INCH PART
I GAVE YER MAMA

That's more constructive then forcing peoples mamas to put "P15" in
their coochies....

26. Re: New Galaxy radios on the way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 03:30:58 UTC
From: ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8e19f630.0206301930.4c4e5de5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129105

Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> cried like the little
pussy he is in message news:<3D1EE9B1.AEB77AD3@yaSPAMhoo.com>...
> > forfeiture of not more than >$11,000 for each
> > >violation or each day of a continuing >violation.
> > >-A
> > Shut the f*ck up, Dork!
>
> Yeah! What he said.

No, what I said. I say you are an ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWN!

27. Re: 21 mhz on a 2950????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 03:50:08 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <QjQT8.62435$LC3.4760968@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129106

"LilyV7" <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020630170022.16818.00002260@mb-fr.aol.com...
> somebody sent me a mod for the 2950 that will supposedly put the rig
into the
> 21mhz mode. :) any body tried this? does it work? does it work well?
> "just wonderin......" gunslinger

You deserve a better answer than I gave you. Without having the schematic in front of me or the mod you have, I would venture the following:

1) Fiddling with PLLs is so common, it would be no surprise that someone figured out how to do this one. So one part of the rig is now tuned to 21. If that is all there is to it, the mod won't work worth squat, because:

2) on the transmit side there almost has to be a tuned (sort of) circuit somewhere coming out of the mixer to suppress the LO and i.f. sideband signals from coming out and this would take re-tuning to get up some drive not to mention suppress crud. There may be similar problems downstream. The finals may be broadbanded but not everything can be. This is a really big excursion to ask a tuned circuit to make. And, of course, harmonic traps, etc are now along for the ride.

3) on the receive side, and this rig's receiver is a laugh to begin with, there has to be some front-end selectivity to keep the input to the mixer somewhere on freq. Usually these are bandpass filters which while fairly wide ain't that wide. So to do it right would take some work. Leaving it stock would add to this receiver's well-known problems the sensitivity of a brick.

All told, without some rebuilding the effect of the mod would seem to make the freq display read 21.305 and precious little else.

And this is a problem even within a more restricted range. The Cobras that seem to wander thru the spectrum at will have similar diseases and are basically laughable as communications devices although they are not bad by CB standards in their design range. Things just sorta poop out. By the time this or any other rig got to 21 it would really be pooped out.

Like a lot of technicianing, the three rules apply:

1. Know when to quit.
2. Know when never to begin
3. Do not try to outengineer the builder armed with two fanzines and a parts catalog.

Dick N&#65533;BK

28. Re: The Legend Lives On?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 03:59:55 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uhvkt5o7rp5m17@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129107

Dick, you are right. As you mentioned, the Chief-to-Indian ration has gone bezerk. We had a stuporvisor we called Ken Clueless (unfortunately, my boss at the time). He handed the people the wrong rate card (someone else's rate card). One of the office denizens who also worked for Mr. Clueless, was very hard to find. It took them a YEAR AND A HALF to discover that he showed up for work at 7:30 AM and would be gone by 8:30 or 9:00 AM. Yep, for a year and a half he was paid for 40 hours but only worked 5 to 8 hours a week! The whole thing is unbelievable. I wrote some software for some machines and waited for the approval. We were running it, but the documentation never came. Finally, documentation was released, but it was not correct! (yep, one guy does the work, someone else writes the documentation). I asked for clarification; i.e. do they want me to change the program to conform to the documentation or what? You guessed it; now they want me to do the documentation (which should have been the case in the first place). Sigh. Yep, in the 70s, you paid off Social Insecurity by July. Now .... maybe you do, maybe you don't. Usually, you don't. As for the guy who was paid for not working for a year and a half, they fired him. Had I been in management, I would have kept him another 24 hours so I could fire his boss first. That boss, although removed from supervising back 3 years ago was making in the mid 80s then - and has received raises since! Nice little country club they've got going. You're right, however, about us not knowing the grief our parents knew ... Unfortunately, I agree with your apprisal as to where we are headed. I'd give it another 15 years or so and we may well be another has - been country. Hope I'm wrong.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:2_sT8.60085$LC3.4615435@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
(portions snipped for brevity)
> And I note your Chief-to-Indian ratio has gone to pot just like everyone
> else's. One of the great facts of existence is that American organization
> is based on two older orgs, the Roman Army and the Catholic Church so
> expect the worst and you will be amazed only occasionally. Adult behavior
> is neither expected nor rewarded.
>
>> Without going too deeply into it, from the viewpoint of 58 years I expect
> to make more money after I go on SS than I ever netted working because I
> lived thru an exceptional period in America and acted accordingly. You
> younger people are going to also experience an exceptional period that
> unfortunately is not going to be pretty. The pols and Republicans in
> particular have pissed away the chance to fix and change it and they not
> only blew it they made it worse. But next to my dad's bunch, neither of

> us knows what trouble is. I hope I am wrong but I won't bet on it.
>
> Dick N&#65533;BK

29. Re: 21 mhz on a 2950????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 04:38:27 UTC
From: Technomage <technomage-hawke@cox.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.06.30.21.38.29.474.29896@cox.net>
Source record: 129108

there very well might be, but I can tell you, from a design standpoint,
the radio will nto work there very well at all (roughly about 5% of what
it would where it was designed to operate. too many L-C circuits would
have to be changed and too many other circuits modified to make it
practical (economically or otherwise).

technomage

In article <20020630170022.16818.00002260@mb-fr.aol.com>, "LilyV7"
<lilyv7@aol.com> wrote:

> somebody sent me a mod for the 2950 that will supposedly put the rig
> into the 21mhz mode. :) any body tried this? does it work? does it work
> well? "just wonderin......" gunslinger

--
I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or numbered!
My life is my own - No. 6

30. Re: A 300A Palamar base amp FA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 04:45:52 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020701004552.17565.00001497@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 129109

>From: ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com
>Your mama skipped me to the front, >ozark.....the other 47 dudes hadda
>wait a little while longer.
My mother passed away 4 years ago, Asshole!

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

31. Re: A 300A Palamar base amp FA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 04:50:51 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020701005051.17565.00001500@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 129110

>From: "Frank Todd" k3eko@netscape.net
>Wait, I forgot, you are probably too dumb >to navigate around the FCC website!
Or maybe I just don't care?!
Don't get too high and mighty FrankyBoy.
I passed the same exam in 1975 only I didn't pull the 13 WPM.....
... --- .-- .... .- -
I know you can figure that out!

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

32. Re: HEY BIG AC MAUL, YOU QUEEN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 04:54:19 UTC
From: bigacmaul@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701005419.01122.00001310@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 129111

Gee. I'm sorry Mr. Did I hit a soft spot. You really should seek some help. You are so full of aggression. Maybe you just need to relax over a nice home-cooked meal. Some say that accupuncture can resolve these issues.
I also notice that you tend to capitolize words that don't need to be. Is this because you are yelling or do you suffer from Terrets Syndrome. If in fact it is Terrets, there is medication that may help calm that down a tad.
Also, you seem to have changed all of my wording from its original state. This really serves you in no way because the people here will read what I wrote first and they will know that it was your own filthy, twisted, medical needing mind that came up with it all.
Well you have a good day, and if I can help out at all I will certainly try.

33. Re: 21 mhz on a 2950????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 04:59:47 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D1FC42D.8F66F58F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 129112

Sparky wrote:
>
>
> Not like it wasn't predicted. But get one thing straight: Those are
> illegal operators, freeloaders, bootleggers and pirates, but they are
> NOT CBers!

Yep, they are cbers who operate illegally, freeload, bootleg and
pirate radio on bands they have no license for.

34. 350-Z's anyone???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 05:38:15 UTC
From: thetubeman3500z@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d1fe9c5.1175725@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 129113

greetings.....

anyone interested in tubes?

6LQ6's - NOS of Eimac - $50.00 ea.

Matched pairs available...

Please e-mail if interested...

Other tubes available...

Serious inquires only.

High End Hi-fi audio tubes available.

35. Re: 21 mhz on a 2950????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 05:57:08 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <afoqvk$7ee$1@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129114

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:

>> Not like it wasn't predicted. But get one thing straight: Those are
>> illegal operators, freeloaders, bootleggers and pirates, but they are
>> NOT CBers!

> Yep, they are cbers who operate illegally, freeload, bootleg and
> pirate radio on bands they have no license for.

Yep - just like the 5 Hams that got notices from the FCC for operating illegally in the CB band. The difference is that ALL Hams should know better - many CBers have never read the regulations.

And there are many more Hams that create as much havoc on the CB band as they can.

There are legal and illegal operators in both groups.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

36. Re: P15 - Wow,Cool: HIFERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 05:57:36 UTC
From: aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5c35b3ab.0206302157.71073dcc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129115

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:<uhvedj6ib6oj4a@corp.supernews.com>...
> Aaron, read the following section of your post again, please:
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:5c35b3ab.0206301604.368efeec@posting.google.com...
> > Here's something I didn't know a thing about. HF Part 15 Stations
> > operating in the 13.553 - 13.567 mHz region!
> >
> > http://home.att.net/~weatheradio/part15.htm
> >
> > -A
> >
>
> >This might tend to scare off some potential
> > builders but it is actually only 100 ppm, which is easily achievable
> > with any reasonably decent oscillator and power supply circuit design
> > and good quality crystals which are readily available from a supplier
> > such as JAN or Bomar. Another possible design example: a CB crystal
>
> **** DANGER, DANGER WILL ROBINSON ****
>
> > for 27.120 MHz and a simple 74HCTxxx series frequency divider would
> > give 13.56 MHz and whatever the stability of the crystal originally
> > was, it would be doubled (drift halved) using this scheme.
>
> **** END DANGER, WILL ROBINSON ****
>
> uhhhh ... the stability of the crystal would be doubled? Run that one by me
> again. Which, in parts per million, is the greater error - 1,000 Hz at 10
> MHz or 100 Hz at 1 MHz? True, the error, in Hz, would be less at the lower
> frequency, but the requirement of 100 ppm would also imply that the error,
> in Hz, would also have to be HALF the maximum error at the higher frequency.
> Simple enough, but you yourself know the dangers in believing everything you
> see posted on the internet. There are folks that believe 100 Hz at 1 MHz is
> 10 times more accurate in PPM that 1000 Hz at 10 MHz. Oh well. Now, if
> that crystal would just swing!
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim

Since I didn't write the material you might want to take up your
concerns with the authors of the page. However we are glad to have
you around to ensure the technical accuracy of material posted in the

NG. So what about the HIFER concept itself? Ever heard of it before? Could 2 way comms over some distance be viable with such a setup? Know of any existing circuits suitable for application? How about sharing the band segment with UPS? Lots of other material to chew on within that page.

-A

37. Re: P15 Re: Part 15 operators:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 05:59:59 UTC
From: aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5c35b3ab.0206302159.612ce813@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129116

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:<uhvcpo4kedh91c@corp.supernews.com>...
> Not entirely true. One may obtain nearly 100 watts EIRP with tradeoffs
> between output power and antenna gain in certain bands. Check out the
> following site for more information:
> http://www.qsl.net/kb9mwr/projects/wireless/part15.html
>
> Interesting reading, huh?
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:5c35b3ab.0206301546.68aeded7@posting.google.com...
> > sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote in message
> news:<3d1eedb2.2470910@news.cet.com>...
> > > There are a lot of people out there that are interested in operating
> > > under Part 15 of the FCC regs. This includes the lowfer band (160 -
> > > 190 kHz), as well as the AM broadcast band with a power of 100mW, and
> > > other parts of the HF spectrum with varying amounts of ERP. So just as
> > > a suggestion, put "P15" at the beginning of any post with a topic
> > > regarding this service. That's what I will be doing from now on.
> >
> > Here's a math-intensive site.
> >
> > http://www.microindustrie.com/fcc/mpart15a.htm

Good to see you're contributing Jim. - A

38. FS RCI-2970

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 08:19:52 UTC
From: dave_here2000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <2ad3cf4.0207010019.5993a463@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129117

I have an RCI 2970 in excellent condition for sale. This radio does not skip a beat. USB/LSB/CW/AM/FM I am selling it to buy a new HF rig. Email me at dave_here2000@yahoo.com if interested This radio has been frequency modified. Asking $375.00 firm.

Thanks

39. More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 09:32:38 UTC
From: "Torbj&#65533;rn M." <t_m@online.no>
Message-ID: <WkVT8.1100$eY6.27238@news2.ulv.nextra.no>
Source record: 129118
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb

I am aware of the area 26-26.985 and 27.405-27.995 used for "CB'ers" with modified HAM-rigs. But is there any other
frequencies further down that are used for these purposes ???
NOT pirate-broadcasting around 6300 khz ! I am talking cb'ing here ONLY...!

Thanks

T.

40. Re: MAGNUM DELTAFORCE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 09:43:47 UTC
From: Liam Tummons <liam@tummons.karoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <ui092lnuq14m70@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

There is a free kit from Magnum to fix this problem.

Check out the Receiver Enhancement Modification from the site below.
http://www.cbtricks.com/rflimited/delforce/

Liam

Colin Topliss wrote in message ...
>Hi, I have just bought one of these radio's very happy with it over-all,
>apart from one thing...
>
>The breakthrogh from other channels - Ie, if I am listening on 27.695, and
>someone is transmitting on 27.685, I can almost hear what they are saying
on
>27.695.
>
>Can anyone else confirm this is a usual thing on a MAgnum, or does it need
>to go back for repair?
>
>I have checked it with the dealer and they say this is normal.
>
>HHhmmmm
>
>

41. Re: The Legend Lives On?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 09:58:50 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <uJVT8.62785$LC3.4786909@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129120

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:uhvkt5o7rp5m17@corp.supernews.com...
> Dick, you are right. As you mentioned, the Chief-to-Indian ration has
gone
> bezerk. We had a stuporvisor we called Ken Clueless (unfortunately, my
boss
> at the time). He handed the people the wrong rate card (someone else's
rate
> card). One of the office denizens who also worked for Mr. Clueless, was
> very hard to find. It took them a YEAR AND A HALF to discover that he
> showed up for work at 7:30 AM and would be gone by 8:30 or 9:00 AM.
Yep,
> for a year and a half he was paid for 40 hours but only worked 5 to 8
hours
> a week! The whole thing is unbelievable. I wrote some software for
some
> machines and waited for the approval. We were running it, but the
> documentation never came. Finally, documentation was released, but it
was
> not correct! (yep, one guy does the work, someone else writes the
> documentation). I asked for clarification; i.e. do they want me to
change
> the program to conform to the documentation or what? You guessed it;
now
> they want me to do the documentation (which should have been the case in
the
> first place). Sigh. Yep, in the 70s, you paid off Social Insecurity by
> July. Now .... maybe you do, maybe you don't. Usually, you don't. As
for
> the guy who was paid for not working for a year and a half, they fired
him.
> Had I been in management, I would have kept him another 24 hours so I
could
> fire his boss first. That boss, although removed from supervising back
3
> years ago was making in the mid 80s then - and has received raises
since!
> Nice little country club they've got going. You're right, however,
about us
> not knowing the grief our parents knew ... Unfortunately, I agree with
your
> apprisal as to where we are headed. I'd give it another 15 years or so
and
> we may well be another has - been country. Hope I'm wrong.

>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>

One of the classic stories in the insurance biz is the actuary at the old Insurance Company of America (INA, now part of Cigna if that even exists) who decided that teachers made good, pre-underwritten risks and ran his own company, Horace Mann, from his desk at INA for years.

I am not preaching gloom and doom so much as the power of demographics and generational conflict. Being on the leading edge of the post-war baby boom had its good points although us tweeners knew we would never be allowed to retire gracefully. But at no time in our history did we have so high a proportion of population doing useful things (more or less) with so few dependents and hangers-on.

I didn't like Boomers 40 years ago and I don't like them now. But I don't have to bury them.

Dick N&#65533;BK

42. Re: A challenge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 11:36:39 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <b9XT8.21368$q53.536968@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129121

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:uhvi39ko6j4926@corp.supernews.com...
> Are you certain tubes would be simpler? You can buy a dds on a chip -
come
> to think of it, you can buy whole sections of radios on a chip. I'm not
> convinced that tubes would be 'easier' at all.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>

Sure they would. He didn't say it had to operate on more than one frequency,
did he?

43. Re: The Legend Lives On?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 12:59:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D205214.1290@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129129

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> >>> That's funny. I know a chap (Friend of the family. My uncle lives
> >in
> >>Bellevue,
> >>> WA.) who works for Icom America. He told me that they definitely
> >know where
> >>a
> >>> "significant" portion of their sales come from. I dunno? Money
> >talks, I
> >>> suppose.
> >>
> >>>That's odd. I have a cousin who works for Icom in Japan. He told me
> >>>that Icom is going to discontinue 11 meters from their general
> >>>coverage TX capability because the Japanese executives at Icom feel
> >>>that people not obeying International Treaty rules is 'dishonorable'
> >>>or something. Strange culture huh?
> >>>
> >>>-A
> >>
> >>That IS strange, Aaron. Especially since Japan is the only ITU
> >>signatory nation that openly disobeys the ITU treaty by allowing
> >>no-coders on HF, specifically 10-meters. Strange culture, eh?
> >
> >Land sakes, Bert, you're absolutely right. That *certainly* proves
> >that Icom America approves of their equipment being used by HF
> >bootleggers ;)
> >
> >-A
>
> No Aaron, it proves nothing...but it certainly is suspect. To be honest, I
> never heard many Icoms OTA on 11-meters. I do wish I had a dollar for every
> Yaesu or the occasional Kenwood though.
>

That's because, generally speaking, the audio quality on AM, from most
Icom radios, is fair to poor. You really have to know what you're doing
to make them sound acceptable. The AM out of a Yaesu FT 101 or FT 757
series radios is almost indistiguishable from a standard CB. That's why
there are more Yaesu's on 11 meters than any other ham radio.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

44. Re: Attn CB'ers: Help Me Identify Pleistocene Fossil

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 13:25:43 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D205857.3438@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129130

Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
>
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D186275.21E7@worldlynx.net>...
> > Hmmmmmm... I'm not quite sure where you're going with all this. But I'll
> > wait patiently until the other shoe drops......
>
> Dave, I was using satire to make a point. Bert and others have said
> that any topic is relevant a CB Newsgroup as long as you can relate CB
> to it somehow.
>
> -A

Ah! I was figuring you were going for that "Bootlegger = Neanderthal"
comparison, where survival depended on being the biggest and baddest. A
sort of social comparison between primative cultures and the modern CB
redneck.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

45. Re: New Galaxy radios on the way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 13:42:31 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D205C47.68C6@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129128

Sean wrote:
>
> Brand new Galaxy model was dropped off today for inspection. Looks VERY
> promising. Can't remember exact model number, but was either a DX 47 or DX
> 48. Uses the newer 6900 board (RG 99, etc...) with no surface mount and a
> single 1969 for the final. This drives an all new built in 2 x 2290 linear
> with a new "high tech" micro-sized cooling fan. Heat sinks are mounted on
> the bottom lid and quite miniscule in size. They should not pose a problem
> to mounting in tight areas ala the big fins on the 2970 / 6900 / 6300 series
> with built in amps. Forced cooling through the rear of the radio, which has
> vented heatsinks built (not bolted onto) the chassis. This one is AM / FM
> with no freq counter built in. Also does not have a jack to plug a counter
> into but does have the newer "sardine can" style digital echo. 4 pin mic is
> mounted on the front chassis with an "illuminated" faceplate for improved
> visibility of controls at night.
>
> All i can tell you right now is that this radio has what many of you have
> been waiting for i.e. the board has demonstrated the best sensitivity of any
> design currently on the market and the absolutely SMOKES the 2970's, 6900's,
> 6300 Turbo's in power output (NO comparison).
>
> They've got another model coming out shortly that is all mode with counter,
> echo and a single 2290 for power. Also has built in fans. Sean
> >

I'd be curious how one of those looks on the spectrum analyzer. Is it
just as dirty as the 2970's etc.?
How about frequency stability? Galaxies are noted for drifting, which is
probably not an issue for an AM/FM rig, but will be for the all mode.

Replace the echo with a good speech processor, and it'd be a winner
IMHO.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

46. Re: TRC 458

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 13:52:11 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D205E8B.403D@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129131

Sean wrote:
>
> Be careful when "opening up" these radios and others using a similar 858
> type chassis. There are a few parts that tend to ignite (literally) if
> you get the radio to do some decent power. There are a few Tantalum caps
> that should be either removed or replaced to help prevent this. Can't
> remember their values or part numbers. As such, be aware of the potential
> for a small fire in your radio if you are going to try and tweak it without
> really knowing what you are doing. Sean

Not to mention that the audio amp chip, has been discontinued (for quite
some time) and is generally no longer available. Keep this in mind if
you contemplate pushing the modulation. If the chip blows, you can
either try to retrofit a newer chip (can be done, but could take some
time), or relegate the rig to paperweight status.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

47. Re: FS RCI-2970

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 14:22:40 UTC
From: Just Joe <nospam@rr.com>
Message-ID: <h4p0iu0tgf0qakcnvijom71l7vlafttijt@4ax.com>
Source record: 129122

On 1 Jul 2002 01:19:52 -0700, dave_here2000@yahoo.com (Mike) wrote:

>I have an RCI 2970 in excellent condition for sale. This radio does
>not skip a beat. USB/LSB/CW/AM/FM I am selling it to buy a new HF
>rig. Email me at dave_here2000@yahoo.com if interested This radio has
>been frequency modified. Asking $375.00 firm.
>
>Thanks

You're asking $375, but it's firm? Anyway, at that price this must be
troll bait, or a typo. Nobody is dumb enough to pay $375 for a 2970.

48. Re: 10-meter amp?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 14:41:30 UTC
From: ka2tus@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701104130.28878.00001474@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 129123

I have found those little pill amps to deliver very clean signals through out 10-80. they are wide band by nature and will deliver good service if powered and driven correctly. Their no tune makes freq. change a snap until you get your main amp. on line
ka2tus

49. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 14:51:04 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d206aa8$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129124

I wonder if he missed his distemper shots this year?

"Dr. Detroit" <dr@housecall.com> wrote in message
news:Zm3T8.175961$_j6.9129474@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3d1c9351$0$13955$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> > Did I really? If I did it was unintentional. I didn't know that saying
> > that Bassett Hounds have extremely bad breath was smacking you. I did
> > respond to other insults from you in other posts, that is all. But,
> > "extending an olive branch" in one post while tossing insults in another
> > certainly doesn't show that you are genuine.
>
> Kevin, It is what has come to be expected from Mopedholic
>
>

50. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 15:08:04 UTC
From: ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8e19f630.0207010708.4899e645@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129125
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb

NO! It is illegal to do that.

"Torbj?n M." <t_m@online.no> wrote in message
news:<WkVT8.1100$eY6.27238@news2.ulv.nextra.no>...
> I am aware of the area 26-26.985 and 27.405-27.995 used for "CB'ers" with
> modified HAM-rigs. But is there any other
> frequencies further down that are used for these purposes ???
> NOT pirate-broadcasting around 6300 khz ! I am talking cb'ing here ONLY...!
>
> Thanks
>
> T.

51. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 15:18:09 UTC
From: Robert Abrams <at321@autotelecomms321.org>
Message-ID: <afprrqigm0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 129126
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Torbj&#65533;rn M." <t_m@online.no> wrote in message
news:WkVT8.1100$eY6.27238@news2.ulv.nextra.no...
> I am aware of the area 26-26.985 and 27.405-27.995 used for "CB'ers" with
> modified HAM-rigs. But is there any other
> frequencies further down that are used for these purposes ???
> NOT pirate-broadcasting around 6300 khz ! I am talking cb'ing here
ONLY...!
>
> Thanks
>
> T.
>

Unlicensed CB-type communications is commonly found in the
5,6,8,10,12, & 15 mHz bands. Most of it originates from the
USA and is land based communications. HF comms equipment
is sold throughout the U.S., and maritime equipment is particularly
popular with these "freebanders." The enforcement authority in the
U.S. is the FCC, which closed down all its monitoring facilities
six years ago. The FCC feels by pretending there is no problem that
they will not have to deal with it. Meanwhile, the problem grows
larger each year. My company is licensed to use HF freqs., and we
are jammed all the time by HF freebanders in the 6, 8 & 10 mhz
bands.

Robert Abrams

52. Bill Nelson talks bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 15:19:46 UTC
From: ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8e19f630.0207010719.413144dc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129127

5 hams...outta how many hams? Almost 100% of CB asswipes, outta how many million more than there are hams? You better watch out, that gas you smell rising is from the fermenting bullshit you sling.

Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message news:<afoqvk$7ee$1@quark.scn.rain.com>...

> Yep - just like the 5 Hams that got notices from the FCC for operating
> illegally in the CB band. The difference is that ALL Hams should know
> better - many CBers have never read the regulations.
>
> And there are many more Hams that create as much havoc on the CB band
> as they can.
>
> There are legal and illegal operators in both groups.

53. Re: BASH FEST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 15:41:40 UTC
From: ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8e19f630.0207010741.b697786@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129132

how right you are, but Big AC Maul is to stupid for that one...always
has been, always will be. Right BlowRider, or is it weenierider?

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:<uhv9k6dj3vjkf4@corp.supernews.com>...
> "Big AC Maul" <bigacmaul@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020630133239.08550.00002285@mb-cu.aol.com...
> > This group is nothing but a bunch of sorry ass pussies. I have been
> reading
> > for awhile here and there are some who post some interesting stuff, but
> how can
> > you all put up with this voobner guy?
>
> It's easy when you don't see what's posted... Filter away my fine fellow...
>
> PH

54. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 15:45:01 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D205B65.FEB2AD81@mailcity.net>
Source record: 129133
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb

"Torbjï¿½rn M." wrote:
>
> I am aware of the area 26-26.985 and 27.405-27.995 used for "CB'ers" with
> modified HAM-rigs. But is there any other
> frequencies further down that are used for these purposes ???
> NOT pirate-broadcasting around 6300 khz ! I am talking cb'ing here ONLY...!
>
> Thanks
>
> T.

None of these frequencies are "freebands". That is a cb myth. All
those frequencies are allocated to other services and require the
proper FCC license or permit to operate on them.

55. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 15:53:20 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701115320.26804.00004548@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 129134

well 6/ 8 and 10 mhz......thats not the free band freqs that we operate in any way.and to the earlier question .....yes there are also those who operate in the 25 mhz band.which is basically totally unused these days. you will occasionally hear operators on these freqs. some times the "skip" quality is very good because the band is virtually silent.generally.the easily modified galaxy,superstar,and r.c.i. radios are designed to take advantage of these bands. 25mhz,26,mhz,27mhz. the manufacturers know this,and continue to market radios that are modifiable by anyone.(ie;simple "plug and play" designs) the radios are sold un-modified,which makes them "legal" 10 meter rigs. what the owner does after the sale....is the operators business. gunslinger

56. Re: New Galaxy radios on the way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 16:04:17 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701120417.26804.00004550@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 129135

city slicker.....give these nazis a little time.....and theyll soon have you in prison for "thinking the wrong thoughts....comrad"
down with "cb nazis"!!!! i suggest that since the other side has a name for us "key clowns",that we should have a name for them. i here by offer up "cb nazis" as their new official title. what do you think???
or how about the "k c b " (like kgb) this is an abbreviation for korrect citizens-band bolsheviks. i like either one. you guys decide.(after all ...were still a democracy here...arnt we???) gunslinger

57. Re: BASH FEST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 16:14:39 UTC
From: anonymous google trolls are cowards <hehe@haha.com>
Message-ID: <ui0vlfo5gu5i0c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129136

"Anonymous Google Troll" <ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:8e19f630.0207010741.b697786@posting.google.com...
> how right you are, but Big AC Maul is to stupid for that one...always
> has been, always will be. Right BlowRider, or is it weenierider?

...oh, did you say something?

58. Re: 21 mhz on a 2950????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 16:16:49 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701121649.26804.00004555@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 129137

thanx richard.actually im not interested in going any where near 21 mhz.im not licensed for that band.and like most free banders...respect the rights of hams.most of us stay well clear of the 24mhz and 28 mhz bands. we choose to stay between 25-27 mhz.(these are not ham bands) it was more "technical" curiosity about the true capabilities of the radio. gunslinger

59. 21 mhz on a 2950

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 16:24:48 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020701122448.26804.00004557@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 129138

hey...we were just curious about the technical limitations of the 2950. i have no interest in operating in the "ham bands". like most freebanders.....we respect the hams domain,and the fact that they are licensed to operate in these areas.im not....therefor i dont." we" prefer to stay in the 25-27mhz range.(these are not ham bands)once agian,just technical curiosity about the radios capability.dont "ass u me".....it makes an ASS out of U and ME. gunslinger :)

60. Re: Chukkee telling big lies on QRZ! HAWHAWHAW!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 16:31:32 UTC
From: ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8e19f630.0207010831.40dfd605@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129139

No, doc, he cannot grip this reality. He is a moronic turd spewing
ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWN.

"Dr. StrangeLove" <doc@housecall.com> wrote in message
news:<poNT8.542481$Oa1.35120460@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "brian" <none@no.com> wrote in message
> news:wWMT8.106388$X9.3922586@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
> > My apologies, you guys did catch chuck in a lie, and and it only took 3+
> > years to figure it out. Well done.
>
>
> Brian, no one mistakes you for brains, Chuck recently posted that he never
> sold a amp,
> it took 3 years to catch him in a lie because thats finally when he lied, 3
> years later.
> Can you understand this.

61. FS: Viking Messenger & Messenger Two..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 16:32:16 UTC
From: MTM <res0i8ad@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <ku%T8.28024$ry2.5104@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 129140

FS: Viking Messenger & Messenger Two..
FS: A pair of Johnson Viking Tube CB's...A Messenger & A Messenger Two...
Best offer....
Pix available....
--
Mike

814-450-7979-c
814-868-0866-h

62. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 16:59:39 UTC
From: ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8e19f630.0207010859.16d71aa7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129141

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) meweled like the effeminate little
queen he is in message
news:<28419-3D1743EA-102@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>...

There ya' go AKC.
> I heard some AKC Gods and my superiors are in Florida! GOODIE! Me and my FUGGLY kid can
maybe get a chance to suck off REAL MEN now! Being
> recruited as the official new member sucker of the AKC is a preview of
> wonderful things to "come" on my twistiefaggot face!

63. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 18:09:27 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gyksp0t.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 129142
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 1 Jul 2002 11:18:09 -0400, Robert Abrams wrote:

> FCC, which closed down all its monitoring facilities
>six years ago.

Not correct. The FCC converted its manned facilities to unmanned
remote-control. The system is still there.

It can't help you unless you file s formal complaint. The facilites
do not include mind-reading.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

64. Re: 10-meter amp?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 18:35:43 UTC
From: aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5c35b3ab.0207011035.56d1962c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129143

ka2tus@aol.com (Ka2tus) wrote in message
news:<20020701104130.28878.00001474@mb-fm.aol.com>...
> I have found those little pill amps to deliver very clean signals through out
> 10-80. they are wide band by nature and will deliver good service if powered
> and driven correctly. Their no tune makes freq. change a snap until you get
> your main amp. on line
> ka2tus

Novices are limited to 200 W PEP on 10 meters and below.

-A

Callsign: KA2TUSï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Class: Noviceï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½

Equipment: Alinco 70-DX; Icom-701; Icom-H229(2mtr.); Dentron GLT-1000
Ant.Tuner; 40 meter horiz. dipole; Hustler HB-5 vertical; Dual
polarity, monoband 10 meter, 3 element yagi; Homebrew linear running
eight 20LF6 tubes; Station on a 5 story building in the Bronx, 1/2
mile north of Yankee Stadium.

65. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 18:56:15 UTC
From: "Torbj&#65533;rn M." <t_m@online.no>
Message-ID: <jB1U8.1277$eY6.31549@news2.ulv.nextra.no>
Source record: 129144
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> skrev i melding
news:3D205B65.FEB2AD81@mailcity.net...
>
>
> "Torbj&#65533;rn M." wrote:
> >
> > I am aware of the area 26-26.985 and 27.405-27.995 used for "CB'ers"
with
> > modified HAM-rigs. But is there any other
> > frequencies further down that are used for these purposes ???
> > NOT pirate-broadcasting around 6300 khz ! I am talking cb'ing here
ONLY...!
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > T.
>
> None of these frequencies are "freebands". That is a cb myth. All
> those frequencies are allocated to other services and require the
> proper FCC license or permit to operate on them.

Maybe not the right word for it, i guess so. But is'nt there any more
specific detailed info regarding the use of
frequencies by "Illegal CB-wannabe's" ???
Maybe that were more accurate.
Since the 27.555 is commonly used for USB-calling, along with 26.285, what
else, further down ?
Somebody has to know something, or is the 27Mhz area the only one with long
tradition ??

T.

66. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 19:27:11 UTC
From: Robert Abrams <at321@autotelecomms321.org>
Message-ID: <afqaeo$n2g$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 129145
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gyksp0t.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Mon, 1 Jul 2002 11:18:09 -0400, Robert Abrams wrote:
>
> > FCC, which closed down all its monitoring facilities
> >six years ago.
>
> Not correct. The FCC converted its manned facilities to unmanned
> remote-control. The system is still there.
>
> It can't help you unless you file s formal complaint. The facilites
> do not include mind-reading.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
BULLSHIT! multiple complaints are filed. The "unmanned"
system is a joke, just that, it is never manned and broke most of
the time. One give a shit jackass sitting in Laurel MD is not and
never will be "monitoring." Last year an unlicensed pirate
station operated within five miles of Laurel MD for months, and the
wizards at the "unmanned" facility never detected it. A collection
of flashing lights, bells, and computer screens set up just to impress
visiting congressman and other fools. Monitoring? Never!

67. FS: ParaDynamics PDC-60 Echo Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 19:28:20 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.comJP23
Message-ID: <20020701152820.21944.00001294@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 129146

Has built in Talkback, echo level control, controls inside for mic gain and reverb and echo length. has a four pin plug for uniden, galaxy or cobra radios, nice sounding mic.. $20 plus $5 shipping

Thatz-me-JP

68. Re: Newbie Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 20:47:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5961-3D20BFD2-256@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129049

Twistedhed wrote:
That Liberty model received rave reviews for its offroad performance at camp Jeep this year so far. I think it looks like a generic Jeep.

>That's surprising considering it has Independent Front Suspension. I haven't been reading the other newsgroup I mentioned. I don't even have the time anymore to read more then half the stuff here. I just might take another sabbatical from here...summer's still young... <

Jeep News had it in there....try Jeep.com......keyword....."campjeep".

69. Re: 10-meter amp?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 20:49:53 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5961-3D20C071-257@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129048

dr@housecall.com (Dr. Detroit)
>Tom, Old Tim is your typical don't follow the rules freebander/ham wannabe, just go take a look at his website to see the type of individual your dealing with. Guns, Drugs, and Hoes you will find.Why would he care about a trivial Federal Rule?<

Tim sells farm implements?

70. Re: Inside Yaesu: My Contact Says....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 20:55:11 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5964-3D20C1AF-19@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129047

From: lucifer@eternal-flames.gov
On 28 Jun 2002 22:23:33 -0700, aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
>>Wow. What a coincidence! I have a contact inside Yaesu too! Mine told me that Yaesu programmed the CPU chip to trigger a tiny x-ray machine hidden in the radio and aimed at the crotch area of the user. It was activated whenever the transmitter was illegally keyed on 11 meters. He told me that Yaesu believes that 30 to 40 percent of CB and freebander users are sterile. <<

>Then they should increase the power and get the other 60-70% Old Nick.<<

They already did. We call these "ego-bruised hammies that frequent rec.radio.cb."

71. Re: One more time for Densa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 21:10:30 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5962-3D20C546-117@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129054

From: sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
In<8e19f630.0206300300.2424d13e@posting.google.com>,
ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com (AntiKeyclowns Rule the NG) wrote:
These stupid keyclowns again show their stupidity...EDUCATION TIME! If
it works outta the box at an input power of less than 50 watts, AND/OR
it works outta the box between 24 and 35 mHz, it is illegal to own,
sell, manufacture, offer to sell, etc. The exception is than an amateur
may build one such device yearly for personal use. <<

>Not according to Skipp! He has a license to use an amp on services
between 26 and 54MHz! But for some reason he won't answer the simple
question: What services between 25 and 34MHz (24 and 35MHz?) are allowed
to manufacture and market power RF amplifiers? < <

Education time.....radio "services" aren;t in the business of
manufacturing anything.

>Hey Skipp, there is such thing as an honest mistake, <

Agreed, but your laughable "A0=" chickiehawk/aaron scratch is due your
technical incompetence rather than a mere honest mistake. The word or
meaning of "honest" isn't to be found among your limited virtues.

>but claiming you have a license that contradicts federal law is a
stretch. Care to respond? Or will you, like the rest of the BS shoveling
outlaws in this newsgroup, ignore this challenge to your integrity?<

Hmmm......that's exactly what Muenzler did. He took this "policing" of
the airwaves too serious and realized he was skating on very thin ice
using the ARRL name to put forth lies for his own personal agenda.
Couple this with his quick re-wording of what he self-professes to be
(he is no longer an "Offical FCC Observer, but merely a volunteer with
the ARRL who records information and relays it to the FCC.) and it
appears that for some unknown reason he abrubtly ceased to refer to
himself as "Offical FCC Observer." And just think, Frank, he didn't even
need a challenge, just a tiny nudge and he about went over that cliff
head first he is perched so precariously on. Since he is now in the good
company of yourself and Lelnad, it can be presumed he will eventually
leap and find himself among the rodents and bottom feeders of amateur
radio. How many chances does the ARRL give before they send out that
letter that" thanks" the participant for all their efforts and
contributions and then informs the recepient that their services are no
longer needed or required? I bet not more than one, should they continue
to receive multiple complaints. Isn't this akin to "ratting out"?
The difference, Frank ma' boy, is "you" claiming anything about
"integrity", is taken for what it was solicited and paid for.

```
              Twistedhed - Low down
                                    linear
using,
                                    skip
shootin',
                                    freebandin',
                                    anarchist,
lying-hammie bustin'
                       outlaw,
son-of-a-bitch.
```

72. Re: 21 mhz on a 2950????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 21:15:48 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020701171548.08841.00002136@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 129050

the 2510's can be made to do it but i've never heard of it with a 2950

>
>You deserve a better answer than I gave you. Without having the schematic
>in front of me or the mod you have, I would venture the following:
>
>1) Fiddling with PLLs is so common, it would be no surprise that someone
>figured out how to do this one. So one part of the rig is now tuned to
>21. If that is all there is to it, the mod won't work worth squat,
>because:
>
>2) on the transmit side there almost has to be a tuned (sort of) circuit
>somewhere coming out of the mixer to suppress the LO and i.f. sideband
>signals from coming out and this would take re-tuning to get up some drive
>not to mention suppress crud. There may be similar problems downstream.
>The finals may be broadbanded but not everything can be. This is a really
>big excursion to ask a tuned circuit to make. And, of course, harmonic
>traps, etc are now along for the ride.
>
>3) on the receive side, and this rig's receiver is a laugh to begin with,
>there has to be some front-end selectivity to keep the input to the mixer
>somewhere on freq. Usually these are bandpass filters which while fairly
>wide ain't that wide. So to do it right would take some work. Leaving it
>stock would add to this receiver's well-known problems the sensitivity of
>a brick.
>
>All told, without some rebuilding the effect of the mod would seem to make
>the freq display read 21.305 and precious little else.
>
>And this is a problem even within a more restricted range. The Cobras
>that seem to wander thru the spectrum at will have similar diseases and
>are basically laughable as communications devices although they are not
>bad by CB standards in their design range. Things just sorta poop out.
>By the time this or any other rig got to 21 it would really be pooped out.
>
>Like a lot of technicianing, the three rules apply:
>
>1. Know when to quit.
>2. Know when never to begin
>3. Do not try to outengineer the builder armed with two fanzines and a
>parts catalog.
>
>Dick Nï¿½BK
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

73. Re: A challenge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 21:16:21 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5961-3D20C6A5-265@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129056

From: sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
.. to any of these wannabe techs to construct a working superhet CB receiver of their own design. Photos and verbose documentation is required, including an operational description of the circuits in the design. And if Tim wants to try and meet this challenge, someone please give him some help -- he's gonna need a lot! But guess what? This challenge will never be met, except with a lot of whining, moaning and gnashing of teeth. I only posted this to see how many excuses they will provide NOT to accept the challenge! Let the excuses begin!<

Tim my friend, I just have to tip my hat to you.....Saprky dont blow these kisses to just anyone, ya' know...those accolades are strictly reserved for only those who arouse his insecurity issues..

74. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 21:19:01 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020701171901.08841.00002137@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 129051

6.670 lsb is a good calling freq

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

75. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 21:20:51 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020701172051.08841.00002139@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 129052

6.670 lsb is very crowded in europe

>
>Maybe not the right word for it, i guess so. But is'nt there any more
>specific detailed info regarding the use of
>frequencies by "Illegal CB-wannabe's" ???
>Maybe that were more accurate.
>Since the 27.555 is commonly used for USB-calling, along with 26.285, what
>else, further down ?
>Somebody has to know something, or is the 27Mhz area the only one with long
>tradition ??
>
>T.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

76. Re: Amp gurus,can it be fixed??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 21:21:30 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5961-3D20C7DA-266@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129055

From: SPAMBLOCKERbigfoot1@core.com (Sean)
>Sparky, I simply posted my point of view. You can agree or disagree with whatever it is that i say on any given post. That is your option and your right.
As to my comments, i think that they are pretty self-explanatory. I am of the belief that radiated power should be kept "in-band" regardless of the frequencies used. Since most "hacking" (especially of amps) results in an even greater amount of distortion, i do agree with "hams" that this should be left up to people that are qualified to make such modifications and have both the know-how and test equipment to do it correctly.
I also agree with quite a few of the ham's here that think that the mass majority of cb type amps on the market are JUNK. This is especially true of many "competition" style amps i.e the Dominators / Real Deal's, Skullcracka's, Foxie, Storm, etc... Many of the more commercially available amps such as TNT / Comet / Silver Eagles, Gray, etc... and the "generic" amps produced under the names of Palomar, Boomer, Superstar, Shooting Star, Cobra, Galaxy, etc.. are all under-designed pieces of crap that should be avoided. Other examples of products that should be avoided are similar to the one shown in the pictures that started this thread i.e. one-off "customized" pieces that show a lack of basic understanding and the knowledge of how to properly achieve greater output without compromising the product to a great degree. Whether or not you agree with this point of view is up to you. Whether or not others support or disapprove of this point of view is up to them. Let them speak for themselves as to what they find pertinent to any conversation or thread. Even if the mass majority of folks here don't agree with me and don't want to hear my opinion, i can still post it here no matter what. One does not need a vote of popular approval to post here as can be evidenced by the mass majority of chaos that takes place here. Sean
"Sparky" <sparky@cet.com> wrote in message
news:3d1ee51e.275229@news.cet.com... <

Not much to disagree with, especially where spectral purity is concerned. As far as owning a Palomar.......guilty...can't help it, the thing was perfect for the boat and from what I was told, can actually make for a driver that may work for some of the smaller amps. Hell, it's how I talked to Moses this weekend.....sounds like.....Gigahertz.

77. Re: 21 mhz on a 2950????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 21:41:24 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <804U8.71186$UT.4929744@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129053

"LilyV7" <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020701121649.26804.00004555@mb-bk.aol.com...
> thanx richard.actually im not interested in going any where near 21
mhz.im not
> licensed for that band.and like most free banders...respect the rights
of
> hams.most of us stay well clear of the 24mhz and 28 mhz bands. we choose
to
> stay between 25-27 mhz.(these are not ham bands) it was more "technical"
> curiosity about the true capabilities of the radio. gunslinger

And I assumed as much; that is pretty consistent with what I have seen.

It is the receiver section where most of these things fail, simply because the cost of a decent front end is a bit steep if you are selling them to people who wouldn't know a good receiver if it fell on their toes so the Marketing Gnomes and Accounting Trolls are in full control.

Ranger is a Chinese company and like it or not China gets to produce basically commodity equipment. Farming production is fairly common in the electronics biz but if a Yaesu or Icom or Kenwood or Sony or whatever is going to stay in Japan and have production facilities they build the high value-added stuff at home and build their Belchfire line for Walmart in China. They really have to do this because the alternative is to have copies available in Guandong Province 24 hours after it is put into production there.

Doesn't seem like much until you realize that Chinese engineers do not themselves use this gear or anything like it. Korea is the same to a lesser extent. So you see Sharp make one of their periodic goobers with their carosel (a stupid idea, really) and you have visions of the grand Poobah at Goldstar threatening doom if it happens to them. So the next year Goldstar has carosel drives that would winch your cabin cruiser out of its moorings. Yes, there are some first-rate engineers in China but they work for the military much as they do here.

Japan does not have a military establishment that will pay first-rate prices for first-rate unusual gear and China's Army would not know first rate gear if it hit them in the head. So they do not have a Ten-tec, a Rockwell Collins, or any of the pacemakers. Nor for that matter do they have much of an Amateur Service where a lot of strange things get tried and out of the failures come gems.

There probably has never been a good front-end built on a commercial basis in China nor are the parts available to do it. So what you are seeing with the 2950 et al is a learning experience using essentially cheap CB

concepts to build a market. That is what is available.

So I would suspect the front-end bandpass filters are fairly tight in the attempt to protect a spongy front-end as well as can be. And if the unit has an attenuator on the front panel, it is a real fair bet this is the case. These units fail with what is called an 'intercept point' which is a measure of its ability to handle strong signals near a weaker one, sometimes on adjacent channels. The Ranger rigs would need to shape up a lot to be called fair-to-poor in a ham band dogpile.

Any frequency excursion like this whether Ranger or anyone else is going to require some serious attention to the receiver bandpass filters. These things are typically switched in and out, a lot of times automatically. Even the cheapo SW receivers switch from the ferrite loopstick antenna to the whip at about 7 MHz so it is nothing all that new but it really helps if it is already built in.

As I suspect you suspected when you asked the question, getting the LEDs to read 21 MHz is the easy part. Getting output power and hearing anyone takes some moxie.

Take it easy!

Dick N&#65533;BK

78. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 21:57:15 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%e4U8.71207$UT.4931982@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129057
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb

"Torbj&#65533;rn M." <t_m@online.no> wrote in message
news:jB1U8.1277$eY6.31549@news2.ulv.nextra.no...
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> skrev i melding
> news:3D205B65.FEB2AD81@mailcity.net...
> >
> >
> > "Torbj&#65533;rn M." wrote:
> > >
> > > I am aware of the area 26-26.985 and 27.405-27.995 used for "CB'ers"
> with
> > > modified HAM-rigs. But is there any other
> > > frequencies further down that are used for these purposes ???
> > > NOT pirate-broadcasting around 6300 khz ! I am talking cb'ing here
> ONLY...!
> > >
> > > Thanks
> > >
> > > T.
> >
> > None of these frequencies are "freebands". That is a cb myth. All
> > those frequencies are allocated to other services and require the
> > proper FCC license or permit to operate on them.
>
> Maybe not the right word for it, i guess so. But is'nt there any more
> specific detailed info regarding the use of
> frequencies by "Illegal CB-wannabe's" ???
> Maybe that were more accurate.
> Since the 27.555 is commonly used for USB-calling, along with 26.285,
what
> else, further down ?
> Somebody has to know something, or is the 27Mhz area the only one with
long
> tradition ??
>
> T.

The best suggestion I can make is to get something like the Sony 7600 that can tune these freqs and do a comparative listen from where you live.

As this sort of thing is quite illegal, isn't it expecting a bit too much to see it advertised??

Dick N&#65533;BK

79. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 22:18:40 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gyl2btw.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 129058
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 1 Jul 2002 15:27:11 -0400, Robert Abrams wrote:

>
> BULLSHIT! multiple complaints are filed.

What have you done to follow-up on said complaints? Has your
attorney had a sit-down with Charlie Megan if you think that
they shoukld be given hugher priority?

If you expect things to happen by themselves you are seeing the
results of such waiting.

>One give a shit jackass

Your command of the English language is exquisite....

If you think someone is not doing his job, file a complaint with the
Inspector General.

>sitting in Laurel MD is not and never will be "monitoring."

Depends what you call "monitoring". If you are thinking of the
classic "cruising" where someone is spinning the dial up anf doen
the bands looking for signals that shouldn't be there, forget it
with present staffing.. The Congress was very clear in its slashing
of posiitions in 1996 that they are not interested in spending money
on radio enforcement, especially the manpower necessary to do cruising
as compared to folks who deal with wireline carrier competition, media
conglomeration, and getting new non-licensed wireless gadgets into the
hands of the know-nothing public.

The system is designed and used for long-range spot-frequency
HF DF-ing on call, so to speak, and for HF band occupancy
studies in connection with international surveys.

Is your problem that you don't have an on-call priority like the
military and Federal law enforcement folks do?

>Last year an unlicensed pirate station operated within five miles of
>Laurel MD for months, and the wizards at the "unmanned" facility never
>detected it.

Pirate in what service? If it was that close to the station the
case would have been in the jurisdictionof the field investigator
(single) for action as appropriate depending on the priority assigned
which depends on where in the spectrum the signal is and who filed the
complaint.

>A collection
>of flashing lights, bells, and computer screens set up just to impress
>visiting congressman and other fools.

Are you a salesman for Zrta Systems who were POed because we
didn't buy their DoD-overrun packages (as I and several others
had recommended)?

My man at Laurel assures me that the system works very well for
its intended purpose - long range HF DF to the precision to put a
mobile DF unit close enough, or for sitting on a specific frequency
for a specific purpose.

>Monitoring? Never!

Or at least until The Congress breaks loose the funds for
cruising.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

80. Anti's are punks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 22:40:15 UTC
From: CoaxLengthPolice@aol.com
Message-ID: <9a0e6758.0207011440.c0e6873@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129059

You might be a punk if:

1)You claim affiliation with the AKC.

2)Your name is George, Frank, Aaron, Sparky...you get the idea, right?

3)You are anonymous.

4)You keep quoting FCC regs.

5)You concern yourself AT ALL with sexuality in your rants.

6)You believe the crap on your website.(strange links of little use)

7)You post at strange times claiming your comp battery is failing.

8)You have friends named George, Frank, Aaron, Sparky.

9)You post nothing technically helpful, legal or not.

10)And last but not least: Your wife's name is Ludmilla!

81. Re: Bill Nelson talks bullshit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 22:56:47 UTC
From: CoaxLengthPolice@aol.com
Message-ID: <9a0e6758.0207011456.1e4efeab@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129060

ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com (AntiKeyclowns Rule the NG) wrote in message news:<8e19f630.0207010719.413144dc@posting.google.com>...
> 5 hams...outta how many hams? Almost 100% of CB asswipes, outta how
> many million more than there are hams? You better watch out, that gas
> you smell rising is from the fermenting bullshit you sling.
>
>

You sure know your shit, don't ya?

82. Re: Anti's are punks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 23:07:12 UTC
From: "Dr. StrangeLove" <doc@housecall.com>
Message-ID: <Ag5U8.504864$%y.34383600@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129061

LOL thats too funny, a Forge of old CLP. Funny thing is he and I have e-mailed each other off and on for the past 2 years to discuss some technical aspects of Legal Radio, something most of you guys don't understand.

"GeoSpankBoob" <CoaxLengthPolice@aol.com> wrote in message news:9a0e6758.0207011440.c0e6873@posting.google.com...
> You might be a punk if:
>
> 1)You claim affiliation with the AKC.
>
> 2)Your name is George, Frank, Aaron, Sparky...you get the idea, right?
>
> 3)You are anonymous.
>
> 4)You keep quoting FCC regs.
>
> 5)You concern yourself AT ALL with sexuality in your rants.
>
> 6)You believe the crap on your website.(strange links of little use)
>
> 7)You post at strange times claiming your comp battery is failing.
>
> 8)You have friends named George, Frank, Aaron, Sparky.
>
> 9)You post nothing technically helpful, legal or not.
>
> 10)And last but not least: Your wife's name is Ludmilla!

83. Re: One more time for Densa

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-01 23:37:05 UTC
From: "Dr. StrangeLove" <doc@housecall.com>
Message-ID: <BI5U8.505262$%y.34403812@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129062

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:5962-3D20C546-117@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...

Hmmm......that's exactly what Muenzler did. He took this "policing" of
the airwaves too serious and realized he was skating on very thin ice
using the ARRL name to put forth lies for his own personal agenda.
Couple this with his quick re-wording of what he self-professes to be
(he is no longer an "Offical FCC Observer, but merely a volunteer with
the ARRL who records information and relays it to the FCC.) and it
appears that for some unknown reason he abrubtly ceased to refer to
himself as "Offical FCC Observer.

.....
.....
.....

Twithed is states clearly at times, they may be required to gather evidance
for the FCC

" Description: Official Observer

The Official Observer program has been sponsored by the League for more than
50 years to help amateurs help each other. Official Observer appointees have
assisted thousands of amateurs to maintain their transmitting equipment and
operating procedures in compliance with the regulations. The object of the
OO program is to notify amateurs by mail of operating/technical
irregularities before they come to the attention of the FCC.

The OO is also the backbone of the Amateur Auxiliary to the FCC. OOs are
certified in the Auxiliary by passing a mandatory written examination.

The OO performs his function by listening rather than transmitting, keeping
an ear out for such things as frequency instability, harmonics, hum, key
clicks, broad signals, distorted audio, over deviation, out-of-band
operation, etc. The OO completes his task once the notification card is
sent.

In hard-core rules violations cases, OOs refer problems to higher echelons
of the Amateur Auxiliary, and may be requested to gather evidence for
possible FCC enforcement actions.

84. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 00:38:30 UTC
From: Robert Abrams <at321@autotelecomms321.org>
Message-ID: <afqsmeche0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 129063
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gyl2btw.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Mon, 1 Jul 2002 15:27:11 -0400, Robert Abrams wrote:
>
> >
> > BULLSHIT! multiple complaints are filed.
>
> What have you done to follow-up on said complaints? Has your
> attorney had a sit-down with Charlie Megan if you think that
> they shoukld be given hugher priority?
>
> If you expect things to happen by themselves you are seeing the
> results of such waiting.
>
> >One give a shit jackass
>
> Your command of the English language is exquisite....
>
> If you think someone is not doing his job, file a complaint with the
> Inspector General.
>
> >sitting in Laurel MD is not and never will be "monitoring."
>
> Depends what you call "monitoring". If you are thinking of the
> classic "cruising" where someone is spinning the dial up anf doen
> the bands looking for signals that shouldn't be there, forget it
> with present staffing.. The Congress was very clear in its slashing
> of posiitions in 1996 that they are not interested in spending money
> on radio enforcement, especially the manpower necessary to do cruising
> as compared to folks who deal with wireline carrier competition, media
> conglomeration, and getting new non-licensed wireless gadgets into the
> hands of the know-nothing public.
>
> The system is designed and used for long-range spot-frequency
> HF DF-ing on call, so to speak, and for HF band occupancy
> studies in connection with international surveys.
>
> Is your problem that you don't have an on-call priority like the
> military and Federal law enforcement folks do?
>
> >Last year an unlicensed pirate station operated within five miles of
> >Laurel MD for months, and the wizards at the "unmanned" facility never
> >detected it.

>
> Pirate in what service? If it was that close to the station the
> case would have been in the jurisdictionof the field investigator
> (single) for action as appropriate depending on the priority assigned
> which depends on where in the spectrum the signal is and who filed the
> complaint.
>
> >A collection
> >of flashing lights, bells, and computer screens set up just to impress
> >visiting congressman and other fools.
>
> Are you a salesman for Zrta Systems who were POed because we
> didn't buy their DoD-overrun packages (as I and several others
> had recommended)?
>
> My man at Laurel assures me that the system works very well for
> its intended purpose - long range HF DF to the precision to put a
> mobile DF unit close enough, or for sitting on a specific frequency
> for a specific purpose.
>
> >Monitoring? Never!
>
> Or at least until The Congress breaks loose the funds for
> cruising.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

More Bureaucratic Psycho-Babble.

Measurable tangible Results? ZERO, as usual per normal
bureaucratic status quo.

With all best wishes,
Bob Abrams

85. And he says he doesn't like freebanders!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 00:42:26 UTC
From: CoaxLengthPolice@aol.com
Message-ID: <9a0e6758.0207011642.3b59a4ba@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129064

Wonder when one spanked him. Read below.

Search Result 621
From: N8wwm (n8wwm@aol.com)
Subject: For sale: A GREAT solid state BASE CB AM/SSB
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
View: Complete Thread (2 articles) | Original Format
Date: 1999/08/24

I have a MINT, case never opened up, NEVER MESSED with, Radio Shack TRC-457 base SSB CB. It is a stock 40 Channel, but can be modified to go 26-29mhz. I also have the modification instructions from SECRET CB. It takes 3 switches and some wire, basically to do it.

This is a Classic 1977 Vintage radio with the Uniden 858 PLL chip in it.

Comes with a Radio Shack amplified desk mike.

The radio is 9.8 on a scale of 1 to 10..no bull...excellent shape..

I will take 150 bucks for it...

email n8wwm@aol.com

If yer in Chicago area you can come pick it up.

---

86. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 01:24:34 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gylbz50.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 129065
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 1 Jul 2002 20:38:30 -0400, Robert Abrams wrote:

> More Bureaucratic Psycho-Babble.
>
> Measurable tangible Results? ZERO, as usual per normal
> bureaucratic status quo.

Don't hide your feelings...Let it all hang out, baby...

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

87. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 01:33:29 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1025573792.409394@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 129066
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb

Keep thinking that there isn't anyone in MD listening.
I witnessed a freebander in Houston get busted using the monitoring system . When a fcc field agent shows up at Your door with the local law enforcement behind him and notes of what times You were operating and the content of Your conversation , You have to wonder where He got all this information . And also the fact that He shows up an hour after Your gone to bed . Then You get a letter from the fcc wanting You to explain Your actions of the allegations against You as evidence in the monitoring facilities .
Also suggesting the charges levied to be " Operating on frequencies designated for Department of Defense" Yes , That's right D.O.D ! Any frequency not being used for a specific purpose is automatically designated for the department of defense.
The fcc's monitoring station is very elaborate and can track a signal down within 5 seconds and 5 feet of the station. The good news is , there is not enough money in cb to pursuit and Riley Hollingsworth was not hired to chase down CBers.
Now considering today's events taking place around the world , I would be very careful where I went and what I said on those "freeband" frequencies.
Oh and the frequency this Guy got busted on was 27.565
73"
"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D205B65.FEB2AD81@mailcity.net...
>
>
> "Torbj�rn M." wrote:
> >
> > I am aware of the area 26-26.985 and 27.405-27.995 used for "CB'ers"
with
> > modified HAM-rigs. But is there any other
> > frequencies further down that are used for these purposes ???
> > NOT pirate-broadcasting around 6300 khz ! I am talking cb'ing here
ONLY...!
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > T.
>
> None of these frequencies are "freebands". That is a cb myth. All
> those frequencies are allocated to other services and require the
> proper FCC license or permit to operate on them.

88. Re: BAD...BAD...HAMS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 01:41:43 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1025574286.128189@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 129067

The VE at a test session is allowed to keep $4.00 out of the $10.50 charged by the fcc and arrl
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:23901-3D1B28A7-130@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: oris@dirtball.com (Oris)
Most local cops don't even know cb exists anymore (nor care), let alone there is a statute allowing them to enforce certain aspects of it.

>Well that is a power play. The cops here in GA know. The cops in Franklin CO have amps for CB radio in their POVs as well as their police cruisers.<

Yea, some know and some may care.
In a large metro area or city, cb doesn't even exist as far as the law is concerned, unless it presents itself in a negative light.

> One or two of them have bad ass stations. Who is going to bust them? Once they find out you can bet they along with local governments are going to try and take control. I have already heard stories about so of the police in texas busting illegal CB stations right and left. <

Yea, I read the thread too, but that's all they are...stories. Take notice that when pressed for details, the origin of these stories clammed up.

As always, there are bound to be exceptions, and no doubt, we wil hear of them as they occur. Any sooner and it will be play-by-play.

>This will be based on the CB station operator. If he can get his station clean enough not to bother his neighbors TV's VCR's Radios and Telephones there should be no problem with the local police department. No madder what rule he or she breaks.<

I tend to agree with this, but clean stations can and do still leak RF. Scratch that....lemme put it this way....poorly designed consumer devices are still prone to receiving interference from the cleanest stations. Even if the burden IS on the owner of the cheap device, it doesn't stop them from initially lodging a complaint.

As far as ham radio is concerned, when they stop charging a fee for licensing is when the enforcement will suffer. The cash is the only reason any enforcement exists now.

>That statement is false the fee that they charge for testing is for the test itself. The VE can not be paid in any matter for what he or she is doing. Fees for test would not even put a dent in the FCC's budget <

I dunno. I don't believe the physical tests cost that much to reproduce...mere pennies is more like it. Considering they are using VOLUNTEER administrators, that is quite a tidy sum when all added up. I believe the FCC would definitely miss that cash cow, for the time being.

Sooner or later, the hammie spectrum
will be just like cb..only the safety pissers and extreme violators will be problematic.

> Well I would welcome how 'bout it skip land or DX land. I think that that is more fun then calling CQ in real terms CQ is a morse code call. The proper voice term is seek you on my radio. Calling Seek You Calling Seek You. The proper end greeting is 73 not 73es the think there is 73es has creeped into the ham bands.<

Old habits die hard.

> THe language has changed over the years and as more people test in (you know that to test in now days isn't that difficult) the lingo and terminology will change. So I look forward to a time when Seek you skip land will be the status quo.<

You aren't alone. Hell, even many hammies are asking for ham radio to ease up..witness the no-code non-phenomenon.

89. Looking for new members

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 01:50:31 UTC
From: k8cpa@arrl.net
Message-ID: <3d2106a9.2062638@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 129068

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/cb4sale/

People with anoymous yahoo.com accounts or hotmail accounts need not apply.

90. Get your 6LQ6's here!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 01:53:18 UTC
From: thetubeman3500z@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d21071e.2179655@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 129069

I have 6LQ6's for sale!!!!!!!!!!

$55.00 ea. - Matched sets available!

Pulls available too!

Other Tubes Available!

91. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 02:03:04 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <sR7U8.681$ZV5.66881693@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129070

"AntiKeyclowns Rule the NG" <ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com> wrote in
message news:8e19f630.0207010859.16d71aa7@posting.google.com...
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) meweled like the effeminate little
> queen he is in message
> news:<28419-3D1743EA-102@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
>
> There ya' go AKC.
> > I heard some AKC Gods and my superiors are in Florida! GOODIE! Me and my
FUGGLY kid can maybe get a chance to suck off REAL MEN now! Being
> > recruited as the official new member sucker of the AKC is a preview of
> > wonderful things to "come" on my twistiefaggot face!

You know Doug, I think all this
"AKC" is a copy right infringement, I think
you might want to be careful when you use
that type of abbreviation, in this manner.

Landshark

We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

92. BLUE GIL DEFEATS GHOSTRIDER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 02:39:33 UTC
From: WEBSTERFLA@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <85a3e10a.0207011839.79c5b7ac@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129071

BLUE GIL OUT OF CHICAGO IS THE MAN TO SEE IF YOU WANT WATTS ...I AM A VERY HAPPY CUSTERMER KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK MR.BLUE GILL

93. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 02:40:49 UTC
From: Har Har <HarHar@HarHarRadio.net>
Message-ID: <afr3rq$ve2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 129072
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb

```
You should get the fiction writer of the year award for
this fairy tale! Now run along and go tell your lies to someone
who will believe them.

"crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote the following Fairy Tale:

> Keep thinking that there isn't anyone in MD listening.
> I witnessed a freebander in Houston get busted using the monitoring system
.
> When a fcc field agent shows up at Your door with the local law
enforcement
> behind him and notes of what times You were operating and the content of
> Your conversation , You have to wonder where He got all this information .
> And also the fact that He shows up an hour after Your gone to bed . Then
You
> get a letter from the fcc wanting You to explain Your actions of the
> allegations against You as evidence in the monitoring facilities .
> Also suggesting the charges levied to be " Operating on frequencies
> designated for Department of Defense" Yes , That's right D.O.D ! Any
> frequency not being used for a specific purpose is automatically
designated
> for the department of defense.
> The fcc's monitoring station is very elaborate and can track a signal down
> within 5 seconds and 5 feet of the station. The good news is , there is
not
> enough money in cb to pursuit and Riley Hollingsworth was not hired to
chase
> down CBers.
> Now considering today's events taking place around the world , I would be
> very careful where I went and what I said on those "freeband" frequencies.
> Oh and the frequency this Guy got busted on was 27.565
> 73"
>
```

94. Constant Noise in my radio. Drowns out stations.Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 02:41:48 UTC
From: rustyameri@aol.comPROMARS
Message-ID: <20020701224148.16714.00001804@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 129073

Hi all. This is actually a friends of mine email address. Here is the thing, on all the CB channels on my radio, there is like 5-9 pounds of constant noise. Not the typical CB traffic noise, but more like an interference static transformy type of noise. you know like when an FM station is just noise. As I go up in frequency outside the CB range, the noise gets less and less, and around 28 mhz, it is significantly reduced. Is anyone else on the east coast noticing that there is more noise than usual? Esepcially on the CB range? I have been into radios for awhile, and this is something I've never heard, It even drowns out the typical sideband stuff you hear on channels 37 and 38. Yikes.
---REMOVE PROMARS FROM MY E-MAIL ADDRESS TO E-MAIL ME

95. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 02:55:21 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <afr4mpmta4@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129074
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In rec.radio.cb Robert Abrams <at321@autotelecomms321.org> wrote:

> popular with these "freebanders." The enforcement authority in the
> U.S. is the FCC, which closed down all its monitoring facilities
> six years ago. The FCC feels by pretending there is no problem that

This is not true. Some facilities were closed, others were set up for
remote operation.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

96. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 03:01:51 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <afr52vmta5@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129075
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb

In rec.radio.cb crossfire <noone@home.com> wrote:

> The fcc's monitoring station is very elaborate and can track a signal down
> within 5 seconds and 5 feet of the station. The good news is , there is not

Bull. The stations can get a good enough fix to allow sending a mobile
unit for more precise location service.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

97. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 03:13:53 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <RT8U8.19798$eF5.643925@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129077
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:afr52vmta5@quark.scn.rain.com...
> In rec.radio.cb crossfire <noone@home.com> wrote:
>
> > The fcc's monitoring station is very elaborate and can track a signal
down
> > within 5 seconds and 5 feet of the station. The good news is , there is
not
>
> Bull. The stations can get a good enough fix to allow sending a mobile
> unit for more precise location service.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

Unless of course, they send Voob. He doesn't know where he is or where he's
going.

98. Re: P15 - Wow,Cool: HIFERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 03:14:44 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ui26k7lg69t0c1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129076

You are correct on this one. The over all point is well taken.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5c35b3ab.0206302157.71073dcc@posting.google.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:<uhvedj6ib6oj4a@corp.supernews.com>...
> > Aaron, read the following section of your post again, please:
> >
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:5c35b3ab.0206301604.368efeec@posting.google.com...
> > > Here's something I didn't know a thing about. HF Part 15 Stations
> > > operating in the 13.553 - 13.567 mHz region!
> > >
> > > http://home.att.net/~weatheradio/part15.htm
> > >
> > > -A
> > >
> >
> > >This might tend to scare off some potential
> > > builders but it is actually only 100 ppm, which is easily achievable
> > > with any reasonably decent oscillator and power supply circuit design
> > > and good quality crystals which are readily available from a supplier
> > > such as JAN or Bomar. Another possible design example: a CB crystal
> >
> > **** DANGER, DANGER WILL ROBINSON ****
> >
> > > for 27.120 MHz and a simple 74HCTxxx series frequency divider would
> > > give 13.56 MHz and whatever the stability of the crystal originally
> > > was, it would be doubled (drift halved) using this scheme.
> >
> > **** END DANGER, WILL ROBINSON ****
> >
> > uhhhh ... the stability of the crystal would be doubled? Run that one
by me
> > again. Which, in parts per million, is the greater error - 1,000 Hz at
10
> > MHz or 100 Hz at 1 MHz? True, the error, in Hz, would be less at the
lower
> > frequency, but the requirement of 100 ppm would also imply that the
error,
> > in Hz, would also have to be HALF the maximum error at the higher
frequency.

> > Simple enough, but you yourself know the dangers in believing everything
you
> > see posted on the internet. There are folks that believe 100 Hz at 1
MHz is
> > 10 times more accurate in PPM that 1000 Hz at 10 MHz. Oh well. Now, if
> > that crystal would just swing!
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
>
>
> Since I didn't write the material you might want to take up your
> concerns with the authors of the page. However we are glad to have
> you around to ensure the technical accuracy of material posted in the
> NG. So what about the HIFER concept itself? Ever heard of it before?
> Could 2 way comms over some distance be viable with such a setup? Know
> of any existing circuits suitable for application? How about sharing
> the band segment with UPS? Lots of other material to chew on within
> that page.
>
> -A

99. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 03:19:19 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ui26st5rfntb3c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129078
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Perhaps someone has more knowlege than I do on this and can offer a better time frame. I believe the FCC started shutting down facilities a long time before six years ago. We had a monitoring facility near Rochester, NY - it was located in Canadagua, which is only 25 miles or so from here. The FCC used to be a powerful government agency which DID enforce the regulations. I don't know what the extent its' monitoring facilities are, but there are FAR fewer FCC field agents than existed in the 60s. Anyone have info on this?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Robert Abrams" <at321@autotelecomms321.org> wrote in message
news:afprrqigm0@pita.alt.net...
>

> Unlicensed CB-type communications is commonly found in the
> 5,6,8,10,12, & 15 mHz bands. Most of it originates from the
> USA and is land based communications. HF comms equipment
> is sold throughout the U.S., and maritime equipment is particularly
> popular with these "freebanders." The enforcement authority in the
> U.S. is the FCC, which closed down all its monitoring facilities
> six years ago. The FCC feels by pretending there is no problem that
> they will not have to deal with it. Meanwhile, the problem grows
> larger each year. My company is licensed to use HF freqs., and we
> are jammed all the time by HF freebanders in the 6, 8 & 10 mhz
> bands.
>
> Robert Abrams
>

100. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 04:21:07 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <6J9U8.27667$N8.27747705@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129079
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb

Har Har <HarHar@HarHarRadio.net> wrote in message
news:afr3rq$ve2$0@pita.alt.net...
> You should get the fiction writer of the year award for
> this fairy tale! Now run along and go tell your lies to someone
> who will believe them.

I bet "Rabbit Ears" believes it! The photo of him being led back to his
cell in CHAINS
and LEG IRONS was priceless!! PFFFT! MMMFT! hehe---HAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!! I
can't hold it in!

Jerry
>
>
> "crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote the following Fairy Tale:
>
> > Keep thinking that there isn't anyone in MD listening.
> > I witnessed a freebander in Houston get busted using the monitoring
system
> .
> > When a fcc field agent shows up at Your door with the local law
> enforcement
> > behind him and notes of what times You were operating and the content of
> > Your conversation , You have to wonder where He got all this information
.
> > And also the fact that He shows up an hour after Your gone to bed . Then
> You
> > get a letter from the fcc wanting You to explain Your actions of the
> > allegations against You as evidence in the monitoring facilities .
> > Also suggesting the charges levied to be " Operating on frequencies
> > designated for Department of Defense" Yes , That's right D.O.D ! Any
> > frequency not being used for a specific purpose is automatically
> designated
> > for the department of defense.
> > The fcc's monitoring station is very elaborate and can track a signal
down
> > within 5 seconds and 5 feet of the station. The good news is , there is
> not
> > enough money in cb to pursuit and Riley Hollingsworth was not hired to
> chase
> > down CBers.
> > Now considering today's events taking place around the world , I would
be
> > very careful where I went and what I said on those "freeband"

frequencies.
> > Oh and the frequency this Guy got busted on was 27.565
> > 73"
> >
>
>

101. Re: New Galaxy radios on the way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 04:24:59 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <KM9U8.27718$N8.27765953@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129080

LilyV7 <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020701120417.26804.00004550@mb-bk.aol.com...
> city slicker.......give these nazis a little time......and theyll soon
have you
> in prison for "thinking the wrong thoughts....comrad"
> down with "cb nazis"!!!! i suggest that since the other side has a name
for us
> "key clowns",that we should have a name for them. i here by offer up "cb
nazis"
> as their new official title. what do you think???
> or how about the "k c b " (like kgb) this is an abbreviation for korrect
> citizens-band bolsheviks. i like either one. you guys decide.(after all
...were
> still a democracy here...arnt we???) gunslinger

We are a R E P U B L I C. Oh, we have things called L A W S that are
intended to
protect us from pure democracy, but then those laws don't apply to CBers, do
they?

J

102. Re: New Galaxy radios on the way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 04:42:24 UTC
From: Sean <SPAMBLOCKERbigfoot1@core.com>
Message-ID: <3d212f31$0$1428$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 129081

According to what i've been told, these units are spectrally pure up to about 125 watts of output. I was seeing very nice looking waveforms at output levels WAY, WAY above that on my scope. For the record, my scope has recently been calibrated and reads quite linearly to above 125 MHz. If the circuitry was looking "funky", i can see it.

As to the problem with frequency drift, this uses the newer 6900 board. This board is infinitely more stable than the earlier and still current 3600 boards used in the 33 / 44 / 55 / 66 / 73 / 77 / 88 / 99 series radios. The 6900's did show a small amount of drift when used in the 12 bank radios but this is only an 8 bank unit. If you've ever played around with any of their other radios, the basic board is the same as the DX 2517 base radios.

As to substituting the echo with a speech processor, that would be both a waste of time and money. Echo's can increase intelligibility if properly set. A speech processor does nothing but raise the average level of audio and clip off the peaks. This same feat can be easily achieved by working the circuitry that is already present within the radio. In my opinion, the generic speech processors that i've seen installed in more than a few radios are one of the most over-rated products on the market. Just ask Cobra how well their "speech processor" aka "Soundtracker" worked for them. The fact that they have pulled this "feature" out of most of their radios should tell you something. Sean
>

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D205C47.68C6@worldlynx.net...
> Sean wrote:
> >
> > Brand new Galaxy model was dropped off today for inspection. Looks VERY
> > promising. Can't remember exact model number, but was either a DX 47 or
DX
> > 48. Uses the newer 6900 board (RG 99, etc...) with no surface mount
and a
> > single 1969 for the final. This drives an all new built in 2 x 2290
linear
> > with a new "high tech" micro-sized cooling fan. Heat sinks are mounted
on
> > the bottom lid and quite miniscule in size. They should not pose a
problem
> > to mounting in tight areas ala the big fins on the 2970 / 6900 / 6300
series
> > with built in amps. Forced cooling through the rear of the radio, which
has
> > vented heatsinks built (not bolted onto) the chassis. This one is AM

/ FM
> > with no freq counter built in. Also does not have a jack to plug a
counter
> > into but does have the newer "sardine can" style digital echo. 4 pin
mic is
> > mounted on the front chassis with an "illuminated" faceplate for
improved
> > visibility of controls at night.
> >
> > All i can tell you right now is that this radio has what many of you
have
> > been waiting for i.e. the board has demonstrated the best sensitivity of
any
> > design currently on the market and the absolutely SMOKES the 2970's,
6900's,
> > 6300 Turbo's in power output (NO comparison).
> >
> > They've got another model coming out shortly that is all mode with
counter,
> > echo and a single 2290 for power. Also has built in fans. Sean
> > >
>
>
> I'd be curious how one of those looks on the spectrum analyzer. Is it
> just as dirty as the 2970's etc.?
> How about frequency stability? Galaxies are noted for drifting, which is
> probably not an issue for an AM/FM rig, but will be for the all mode.
>
> Replace the echo with a good speech processor, and it'd be a winner
> IMHO.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
>

103. Re: Anti's are punks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 04:49:24 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ohaU8.20311$eF5.660209@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129082

"Dr. StrangeLove" <doc@housecall.com> wrote in message
news:Ag5U8.504864$%y.34383600@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> LOL thats too funny, a Forge of old CLP. Funny thing is he and I have
> e-mailed each other off and on for the past 2 years to discuss some
> technical aspects of Legal Radio, something most of you guys don't
> understand.
>
"George", you may want the caps and punctuation. You're drifting a little
close to real you. Time to spice it up again.

104. Re: Voobner sure wouldn't like Italy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 04:59:03 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0207012059.150bd394@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129083

> (Scary thought: Train might one day become
> a legislator!)
>
> Sure he will...after hge explains all his federal criminal activity.

sigh Ho boy, here we go again---"sieg he--I mean, God Bless
Amerika!" BTW do you guys also advocate the Electric Chair for minor
things like jaywalking or littering? Maybe I should volunteer my
services with Uncle Sam. Sieg heil! I fought for higher taxes---and
you???

Guy
+++++++

105. Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 05:55:47 UTC
From: Sean <SPAMBLOCKERbigfoot1@core.com>
Message-ID: <3d214064$0$1430$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 129084

Are any of you interested in turning this forum into something that can be used for educational purposes ? Would you prefer to be thought of as someone that helped people do the right thing or as someone that only preached condemnation and pushed them away from getting their license ? I think you know what i'm getting at. You can catch a lot more flies with honey than you can with vinegar.

As such, how many of you are willing to contribute to threads in a manner that may help cber's learn from and possibly even look up to the Amateur community ? If you want people to do what is "right", you have to help them to understand why the things that they are doing are "wrong". In order to do that, you have to educate them and explain things along the way. If you are willing to do something like that, please make it known. I encourage those of you that want to make things "better" to use your personal along with your call sign.

I'm sure that there are more than a few folks that post and read here that could easily end up being respected members of the Amateur radio ranks if properly nurtured. Even if some / most of these people don't become licensed amateurs, they will have at least gained knowledge as to what the TRUE nature of the Amateur community is about. Do us all a favor and become an "Elmer". Everyone like's an "Elmer" more than they do a "Peckerhead". While i know that there are more than a few "Peckerhead's" on each side of the fence, it won't be hard to tell them apart from the people that really do care once we can get going. That is, IF we can get things going. Sean
>

106. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 06:12:18 UTC
From: the6thsociety@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1c264ec6.0207012212.7d0a367@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129085

Landshark opened his shit hole and out spewed:

"You know Doug, I think all this "AKC" is a copy right
infringement, I think you might want to be careful when you use that
type of abbreviation, in this manner.

Landshark

We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young"

STFU! Whiney little prick.

Goekk {AKC}

107. BLACK PIRATE 5R BASE ANTENNA OWNERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 06:30:55 UTC
From: ?lvaro Rocha - CT01264/CQM9306 <removenospamblackghostknife@mail.telepac.pt>
Message-ID: <afrh74$nr9$1@venus.telepac.pt>
Source record: 129086
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,it.hobby.radio-cb,rec.radio.cb

I need to know more details about this base antenna, please ! (NOT
TECHNICALS DETAILS, BUT PERSONAL EXPERIENCE!!!!!!!)
Good for DX's ?
RFI ????
SWR ???????
Works beter at the sea (nearly)????????
Wind resisting ????????
If you have this base antenna, please give me more info ou "secret details"
about that !
Many thanks for the help !!!!

Best 73"

Tony
31-AT-170

Porto
Portugal

PLEASE REPLY TO : fernando.m.silva@sapo.pt
--

_____
CT01264 - SWL
CQM-9306
QTH LOCATOR - IN51QD 41-09'-33'' N 8-35'-41'' W
Prefix - CT Continent - EU ITU zone - 37 CQ zone / WAZ - 14
ITU region - 1 GridSquares - IN
170 M ANTENNAS SEA LEVEL

ALFA TANGO MEMBER # 170 SINCE 07/1995

LDBC # 035
REP # 0801

ICQ/UIN # 15161256

FORMER : 31-AR-001/CV-388-CT/31-SD-104/31-CDP-175/31-PAZ-341

against use of linear amplifier's

SETI@HOME MEMBER (we are not alone....)SETI@home is a scientific experiment
that uses Internet-connected computers in the Search for Extraterrestrial
Intelligence (SETI). You can participate by running a free program that
downloads and analyzes radio telescope data.

PORTO - PORTUGAL

"ODERINT, DUM METUANT"

"SI DEVS PRO NOBIS QVIS CONTRA NOS"

c:-)
INTERNET SECURITY + PC PROTECTION by :
H+BEDV AntiVIR Personal Edition
GUARD/9X
Version 6
www.hbedv.com

---

108. Re: New Galaxy radios on the way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 06:38:23 UTC
From: k8cpa@arrl.net
Message-ID: <3d21439a.8482308@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 129087

On Mon, 1 Jul 2002 23:42:24 -0500, "Sean"
<SPAMBLOCKERbigfoot1@core.com> wrote:

>According to what i've been told, these units are spectrally pure up to
>about 125 watts of output. I was seeing very nice looking waveforms at
>output levels WAY, WAY above that on my scope. For the record, my scope has
>recently been calibrated and reads quite linearly to above 125 MHz. If the
>circuitry was looking "funky", i can see it.
>

Okay. I'll buy this.

>As to the problem with frequency drift, this uses the newer 6900 board.
>This board is infinitely more stable than the earlier and still current 3600
>boards used in the 33 / 44 / 55 / 66 / 73 / 77 / 88 / 99 series radios. The
>6900's did show a small amount of drift when used in the 12 bank radios but
>this is only an 8 bank unit. If you've ever played around with any of their
>other radios, the basic board is the same as the DX 2517 base radios.
>

It's about time they cleaned up thier radios.

>As to substituting the echo with a speech processor, that would be both a
>waste of time and money.

This I disagree with.

>Echo's can increase intelligibility if properly
>set.

Sean, You've hit a sore spot here with me, I *VERY STRONGLY* disgree
with this... The *ONLY* thing I've *EVER* noticed with echo, is it
makes a station sound like crap. How in the heck, do you get that an
echo can make one sound good. It can't. It only makes you sound
horrible! I don't care HOW you set it... Echo is a "noise toy"!
Period. It serves no purpose, except to annoy people. what valuable
purpose does it have in a CB? None, just another TOY!

> A speech processor does nothing but raise the average level of audio
>and clip off the peaks.

Okay, I'll buy the first part. I use the processor in my Kenwood
TS-950SDX... It gives me a bit more punch to get over the static on 75
meters. Plus it enables me to drive the rig to full power, when I use
my Heil Headset. (I own a pro-set plus... which I use all the time...
great mic... Not a RE-27 or anything... but works well for what I
need.... http://www.heilsound.com)

>This same feat can be easily achieved by working
>the circuitry that is already present within the radio.

Bzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz... Wrong. Not all radios, Like those of the "CB"
nature have good audio circuit's... Of course, we're talking about
radios, that most people take and cut the mod limiter. Which in some
cases is a good thing... you ever heard a cobra with the mod limiter
in it??? Now... I've heard your galaxy/ranger type radios, when you
cut the limiter it can harm the audio circuit.

>In my opinion, the >generic speech processors that i've seen installed
>in more than a few radios are one of the most
>over-rated products on the market. Just ask Cobra how
>well their "speech processor" aka "Soundtracker" worked for them. The fact
>that they have pulled this "feature" out of most of their radios should tell
>you something.

well, *chuckle* that's because it was a piss poor design! You ever sit
and looked at that soundtracker shit on a scope... those guys had this
wild idea, "we'll put a propiertary sound processing circuit in our
radios and everyone will buy our radios to be able to use it" and look
what it got them, nowhere!

If Cobra, Galaxy, Ranger, Ect... wants to do something smart. They
need to sit down with the engineers over at kenwood, Yaesu or Icom and
ask them, "How can we build our radios better?" and... possibly have
one of these guys start building thier radios for them... The problem
with galaxy/ranger/connex bunch is thier wanting to market a 10 meter
radio that is convertable for the 11 meter band, and kenwood won't
even think of going near that sort of a market, as they would tarnish
thier image to the Ham Radio crowd.

While were on the subject...:

I really wish Texas Star would stop building these Sudo-10 meter
transmitters, and start building some REAL Amps, for the Ham Radio
Community, Believe me, right now, Ameritron/MFJ has that market
cornered. and if Outcomm were smart, they'd clean up thier design, put
some filtering in those boxes. Add bandswitching, Tuned inputs, a jack
for line keying... and make the 10 meter optional.. (with mods
available to license holders...) and believe me, they could sell a TON
of the boxes... I wouldn't use the Texas Star name, as people would
associcate it with CB... But right now, currently, there are no Full
Legal Limit boxes for mobile use... I realize there is alot more to
it. But it could be done... they just have to apply themselves and
that could make a great product.

enjoyed your post and for a change it's great to see some posts in
here that are above the troll level...

73 to you Sean.

-Chuck K8CPA

>Sean

109. Re: Antenna Mount Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 06:41:41 UTC
From: k8cpa@arrl.net
Message-ID: <3d214a78.10240218@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 129088

It all depends on how tall you would want to go... myself, I've use
with good success... 8ft Francis, 102' steel whips... I would stay
away from firesticks... can't handle power, Skipshooters, I'm told
work well.. 102' whips work well, they can handle power, and they bend
in the wind easy. A spring helps...

hope this helps.

On Tue, 02 Jul 2002 05:14:21 GMT, kwthom@munged.com wrote:

>Greets!
>
>The S/N ratio is still a bit high here in the group (...as it always
>is...), but from time to time there's still some useful information;
>hoping to mine some of this info right now.
>
>I've been looking at improving my antenna mounting situation, and am
>looking for comments, etc. on the mounts like the Diamond K400C,
>MFJ-345 or Comet RS-520 -- or ones like them.
>
>I'd like to get some USER info on these for 11meter use; which one are
>you using; what antenna is in it; how do you like it, what would you
>do different, etc.
>
>Oh...this is to be mounted on the rear hatch of a Chevy Blazer.
>
>Currently using a mag-mounted 4' FireFly -- thinking about still using
>the FireFly on this mount, but am also looking at FireStik Rome &
>Venice as options.
>
>Thanks in advance.
>
>--kw
>
>email: word substitution munged -->> yahoo

110. New Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 07:14:00 UTC
From: aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5c35b3ab.0207012314.9cf460c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129089

http://www.geocities.com/aaronhvoobner/akcshirts.html

http://www.geocities.com/aaronvoobner/voobner.htm

-A

111. Where can I get a magnetic mount (4 seperate magnets)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 08:23:18 UTC
From: "Gary C." <radiobier@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <ui2kps8mdirv2c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129090

I would like to know where I can buy a good strong magnetic mount with 4 seperate magnets. Any input appreciated.

Thanks,

Gary

112. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 09:49:47 UTC
From: "Torbj&#65533;rn M." <t_m@online.no>
Message-ID: <%GeU8.1469$eY6.36617@news2.ulv.nextra.no>
Source record: 129091
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb

"Barry OGrady" <god_free_jones@hotmail.com> skrev i melding
news:5432iu4f1krjamolam1kic1n19n64u1bja@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 01 Jul 2002 09:32:38 GMT, "Torbj&#65533;rn M." <t_m@online.no> wrote:
>
> >I am aware of the area 26-26.985 and 27.405-27.995 used for "CB'ers" with
> >modified HAM-rigs. But is there any other
> >frequencies further down that are used for these purposes ???
> >NOT pirate-broadcasting around 6300 khz ! I am talking cb'ing here
ONLY...!
>
> 28 to 29.7 is almost unused. You could go there.
>
>
Dont try to fool me mister !

I know were the 10-meter HAM(burgereaterband) is, and else radioamateurs is
located *S*

T.

113. Re: Where can I get a magnetic mount (4 seperate magnets)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 09:49:48 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <0HeU8.161$lu6.2554@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129092

"Gary C." <radiobier@dslextreme.com> wrote in message
news:ui2kps8mdirv2c@corp.supernews.com...
> I would like to know where I can buy a good strong magnetic mount with 4
> seperate magnets. Any input appreciated.
>
>
> Thanks,
>
> Gary
>
>

Other than a pic in the July 02 QST I have never heard of one and his is homebrew. He uses it on a 1200 sq ft steel roof in Richmond VA. If you are talking about a vehicle roof, be sure the thing is not so strong that it becomes easier to remove the roof.

Dick NBK

114. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 09:51:59 UTC
From: "Torbj&#65533;rn M." <t_m@online.no>
Message-ID: <3JeU8.1471$eY6.36889@news2.ulv.nextra.no>
Source record: 129093

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> skrev i melding
news:20020701171901.08841.00002137@mb-mw.aol.com...
> 6.670 lsb is a good calling freq
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

Thanks !

I dont know what else might be there, but it is a start...

T.

115. Re: The Legend Lives On?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 10:26:43 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0207020226.570cbb1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129094

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D205214.1290@worldlynx.net>...
> Bert Craig wrote:
> >
> > >>> That's funny. I know a chap (Friend of the family. My uncle lives
> in
> Bellevue,
> > > >>> WA.) who works for Icom America. He told me that they definitely
> know where
> a
> > > >>> "significant" portion of their sales come from. I dunno? Money
> talks, I
> > > >>> suppose.
>
> > > >>>That's odd. I have a cousin who works for Icom in Japan. He told me
> > > >>>that Icom is going to discontinue 11 meters from their general
> > > >>>coverage TX capability because the Japanese executives at Icom feel
> > > >>>that people not obeying International Treaty rules is 'dishonorable'
> > > >>>or something. Strange culture huh?
> > > >>>
> > > >>>-A
> > > >>
> > > >>That IS strange, Aaron. Especially since Japan is the only ITU
> > > >>signatory nation that openly disobeys the ITU treaty by allowing
> > > >>no-coders on HF, specifically 10-meters. Strange culture, eh?
> > > >
> > > >Land sakes, Bert, you're absolutely right. That *certainly* proves
> > > >that Icom America approves of their equipment being used by HF
> > > >bootleggers ;)
> > > >
> > > >-A
> > >
> > > No Aaron, it proves nothing...but it certainly is suspect. To be honest, I
> > > never heard many Icoms OTA on 11-meters. I do wish I had a dollar for every
> > > Yaesu or the occasional Kenwood though.
> > >
> >
> That's because, generally speaking, the audio quality on AM, from most
> Icom radios, is fair to poor. You really have to know what you're doing
> to make them sound acceptable. The AM out of a Yaesu FT 101 or FT 757
> series radios is almost indistiguishable from a standard CB. That's why
> there are more Yaesu's on 11 meters than any other ham radio.
>
> Dave

> "Sandbagger"

Hi Dave,

I'll take your word for it re. the AM. I bailed out of the AM scene approx. 25 to 30 seconds after receiving my first SSB rig back in 1978. (A Royce 1-639.) In the mid 80's, most of ham rigs that I QSO'd with were of the Yaesu FT-757GX or Kenwood TS-140S variety. I think I remember one fellow with a IC-735, but he was about the ONLY one. Oh well, like I said...ancient history. I have an unmodded FT-840 now and have only heard of two other guys using them on 11-meters. Tim and a local Long Islander. I do wish the FT-840 had a green/blue display as opposed to the standard amber one. It'd be much easier on the eyes, IMHO. I really am not inclined to open her up to change anything at this point, so amber she'll stay. Hope you and your family/friends have a safe and happy Independence day.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

116. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 11:04:58 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D2188DA.3C99@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129149

Landshark wrote:
>
> "AntiKeyclowns Rule the NG" <ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com> wrote in
> message news:8e19f630.0207010859.16d71aa7@posting.google.com...
> > twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) meweled like the effeminate little
> > queen he is in message
> > news:<28419-3D1743EA-102@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> >
> > There ya' go AKC.
> > > I heard some AKC Gods and my superiors are in Florida! GOODIE! Me and my
> FUGGLY kid can maybe get a chance to suck off REAL MEN now! Being
> > > recruited as the official new member sucker of the AKC is a preview of
> > > wonderful things to "come" on my twistiefaggot face!
>
> You know Doug, I think all this
> "AKC" is a copy right infringement, I think
> you might want to be careful when you use
> that type of abbreviation, in this manner.
>
> Landshark
>
> We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
> Neil Young

Is it Doug, or is it George? Do you REALLY know for sure?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

117. Re: The Legend Lives On?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 11:20:09 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D218C69.5319@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129150

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D205214.1290@worldlynx.net>...
> > Bert Craig wrote:
> > >
> > > >>> That's funny. I know a chap (Friend of the family. My uncle lives
> > in
> > Bellevue,
> > > > >>> WA.) who works for Icom America. He told me that they definitely
> > know where
> > a
> > > > >>> "significant" portion of their sales come from. I dunno? Money
> > talks, I
> > > > >>> suppose.
> > >
> > > > >>>That's odd. I have a cousin who works for Icom in Japan. He told me
> > > > >>>that Icom is going to discontinue 11 meters from their general
> > > > >>>coverage TX capability because the Japanese executives at Icom feel
> > > > >>>that people not obeying International Treaty rules is 'dishonorable'
> > > > >>>or something. Strange culture huh?
> > > > >>>
> > > > >>>-A
> > > > >>
> > > > >>That IS strange, Aaron. Especially since Japan is the only ITU
> > > > >>signatory nation that openly disobeys the ITU treaty by allowing
> > > > >>no-coders on HF, specifically 10-meters. Strange culture, eh?
> > > > >
> > > > >Land sakes, Bert, you're absolutely right. That *certainly* proves
> > > > >that Icom America approves of their equipment being used by HF
> > > > >bootleggers ;)
> > > > >
> > > > >-A
> > > >
> > > > No Aaron, it proves nothing...but it certainly is suspect. To be honest, I
> > > > never heard many Icoms OTA on 11-meters. I do wish I had a dollar for every
> > > > Yaesu or the occasional Kenwood though.
> > > >
> > >
> > That's because, generally speaking, the audio quality on AM, from most
> > Icom radios, is fair to poor. You really have to know what you're doing
> > to make them sound acceptable. The AM out of a Yaesu FT 101 or FT 757
> > series radios is almost indistiguishable from a standard CB. That's why
> > there are more Yaesu's on 11 meters than any other ham radio.

> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
>
> Hi Dave,
>
> I'll take your word for it re. the AM. I bailed out of the AM scene
> approx. 25 to 30 seconds after receiving my first SSB rig back in
> 1978. (A Royce 1-639.) In the mid 80's, most of ham rigs that I QSO'd
> with were of the Yaesu FT-757GX or Kenwood TS-140S variety.

If you're a serious SSBer, then things change a bit. Back in the 70's, most Kenwood rigs didn't even have AM in them, so only the serious SSBers ran Kenwoods like the TS 520 and 820. Icoms are also excellent SSB rigs (It's what most hams use anyway). The IC 735 had one of the best receivers out there for its time, and even now. They worked great on SSB. Just don't use them on AM, if you want quality sounding audio.

I guess it all depended on what type of operating you did and how you felt about your equipment. Many people bought the FT-101's because they used both AM and SSB, and felt that if they were buying such an expensive rig, that it better work great on both modes. Other people, didn't mind switching rigs, in a pinch, to work occasional AM.

I much prefered the lack of noise and hetrodyne of SSB, but most of my friends hung out on AM, so I usually did too.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

118. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 11:20:27 UTC
From: James T Kirk <JTKirk@StarshipEnterprise.org>
Message-ID: <afs2a3ios0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 129095
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From his rubber room, "Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:

> > popular with these "freebanders." The enforcement authority in the
> > U.S. is the FCC, which closed down all its monitoring facilities
> > six years ago. The FCC feels by pretending there is no problem that
>
> This is not true. Some facilities were closed, others were set up for
> remote operation.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

Yes, true, and they can be operated remotely from Starship
Enterprise. Now beam me up Scotty, there's no intelligent
life here.

J T Kirk

119. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 11:35:48 UTC
From: Skeeter 619 <skeeter@dabigbopper619.com>
Message-ID: <afs36s$l6l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 129096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020701164323.01521.00001854@mb-dd.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: More "Freebands" ?
> >From: "Robert Abrams" at321@autotelecomms321.org
> >Date: 07/01/02 15:27 Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <afqaeo$n2g$0@pita.alt.net>
> >
>
> >> It can't help you unless you file s formal complaint. The facilites
> >> do not include mind-reading.
> >>
> >> ---
> >> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >>
> >>
> > B*******! multiple complaints are filed. The "unmanned"
> >system is a joke, just that, it is never manned and broke most of
> >the time. One give a s*** jack*** sitting in Laurel MD is not and
> >never will be "monitoring."
>
> I won't get quite as "verbose" as this poster, Phil, but I ahve to
agree
> to some of it in part.
>
> In 1991 and 92 I was a member of a radio club in SoCal that provided
(in
> person) over 60 signed complaints to the resident engineer in Long Beach
over
> the operatoions of ONE person in Costa Mesa.
>
> His response was to turn it over to the ARRL Auxiliary. When the
> Auxiliary approached the individual concerned, he used a considerable
amount of
> profanity and physical threats.
>
> The matter was turned back over to the EIC. That's where it stopped,
too.
> Not a thing was done despite the fact taht this individual continued to
jam
> other Amateurs throughout Orange, LA and Riverside Counties.
>
> >Last year an unlicensed pirate
> >station operated within five miles of Laurel MD for months, and the

> >wizards at the "unmanned" facility never detected it.
>
> We gave the EIC names, addresses, frequencies, times and recordings
of the
> alleged offenses. Nada.
>
> Oh well...I can only hope that the recent efforts of Riley & Co. have
> given new energy to rules enforcement and that such situations are fewer
and
> farther in between.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

I'll be testing my linear on 27555 today if'n youse wants
to give me a 10-17 signal check good buddy!

Skeeter 619 and we down and out.

120. Re: New Galaxy radios on the way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 11:39:23 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D2190EB.63CF@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129151

Sean wrote:
>
> According to what i've been told, these units are spectrally pure up to
> about 125 watts of output. I was seeing very nice looking waveforms at
> output levels WAY, WAY above that on my scope. For the record, my scope has
> recently been calibrated and reads quite linearly to above 125 MHz. If the
> circuitry was looking "funky", i can see it.

Is it a Spectrum analyzer or an oscilloscope? While an O'scope can show
major harmonic and spurious emissions as "fuzz" on the modulation, you
really can't see the individual distortion products or tell accurately
how strong they are.

>
> As to the problem with frequency drift, this uses the newer 6900 board.
> This board is infinitely more stable than the earlier and still current 3600
> boards used in the 33 / 44 / 55 / 66 / 73 / 77 / 88 / 99 series radios. The
> 6900's did show a small amount of drift when used in the 12 bank radios but
> this is only an 8 bank unit. If you've ever played around with any of their
> other radios, the basic board is the same as the DX 2517 base radios.

That's good news. Trying to "fix" the inherent design flaws of the older
boards is not very cost effective, (Xtal ovens help) and it is sure
annoying to guys running SSB. The easiest and cheapest workaround has
been to open the fine clarifier, and tell people to deal with it.

>
> As to substituting the echo with a speech processor, that would be both a
> waste of time and money.

That depends on what you're looking for in audio quality.

> Echo's can increase intelligibility if properly set.

Key words: "If properly set". I can safely say that I've never heard
someone running an echo that has it "properly set". All they manage to
do is create the sound of someone in a tunnel. I don't believe that the
dime store CB echo units are even capable of a decent reverberation
effect similar to what was popular on some broadcast stations in the
60's and 70's, which DID seem to boost depth and presence of the voice.
Then again, they had broadcast engineers set them up.

> A speech processor does nothing but raise the average level of audio
> and clip off the peaks. This same feat can be easily achieved by working
> the circuitry that is already present within the radio.

True to some degree. The modulation limiter acts as a sort of speech compressor, and will achieve the effect that you've described, assuming it is allowed to function. A "good" speech processor does other wave shaping as well to minimize the distortion that results from clipping. The net result is a higher average modulation percentage, without sounding compressed.

> In my opinion, the generic speech processors that i've seen installed in more than
> a few radios are one of the most over-rated products on the market. Just ask Cobra how
> well their "speech processor" aka "Soundtracker" worked for them. The fact
> that they have pulled this "feature" out of most of their radios should tell
> you something.

The fact that a "feature" was pulled from a radio could have many meanings. The fact that a great percentage of CBers do not understand the how's and why's of proper modulation techniques, and prefer to to just crank the knob to the right as far as it will go, says more about a misunderstood feature never gaining popularity.
Personally, I've never played with a sound tracker radio. I've been out of the CB circles since I moved out of my old area a couple years back, so I don't see many radios (mostly fried) from the locals who love to run them hard. So I really don't have personal experience with ST and whether or not it really helped or was just junk. When you have marketing types designing radios rather then engineers, this can happen....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

121. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 12:15:12 UTC
From: "Robert M. Bratcher Jr" <bratcher@pdq.net>
Message-ID: <B8D0AAE4EBB93293.7108A09EA2F2856D.37EDC1DF32D38B8C@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 129147
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb

Punch up norml in your web browser (no I don't smoke pot) download
the freedom_card.pdf file then print out both pages. It basically
tells the cops your rights.

Do everything your card says!

On Mon, 1 Jul 2002 20:33:29 -0500, "crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote:

>Keep thinking that there isn't anyone in MD listening.
>I witnessed a freebander in Houston get busted using the monitoring system .
>When a fcc field agent shows up at Your door with the local law enforcement
>behind him and notes of what times You were operating and the content of
>Your conversation , You have to wonder where He got all this information .
>And also the fact that He shows up an hour after Your gone to bed . Then You
>get a letter from the fcc wanting You to explain Your actions of the
>allegations against You as evidence in the monitoring facilities .
>Also suggesting the charges levied to be " Operating on frequencies
>designated for Department of Defense" Yes , That's right D.O.D ! Any
>frequency not being used for a specific purpose is automatically designated
>for the department of defense.
>The fcc's monitoring station is very elaborate and can track a signal down
>within 5 seconds and 5 feet of the station. The good news is , there is not
>enough money in cb to pursuit and Riley Hollingsworth was not hired to chase
>down CBers.
>Now considering today's events taking place around the world , I would be
>very careful where I went and what I said on those "freeband" frequencies.
>Oh and the frequency this Guy got busted on was 27.565
>73"
>"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
>news:3D205B65.FEB2AD81@mailcity.net...

122. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 12:32:05 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D219D45.3C36@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129152

Sean wrote:
>
> Are any of you interested in turning this forum into something that can be
> used for educational purposes ? Would you prefer to be thought of as
> someone that helped people do the right thing or as someone that only
> preached condemnation and pushed them away from getting their license ? I
> think you know what i'm getting at. You can catch a lot more flies with
> honey than you can with vinegar.

True enough. And if you remember, that's what this forum was like a few years back. Then the fights started whenever someone tried to correct "CB science" with good engineering practice. Sooner or later, things degenerate into name calling, and then the stereotypes fly, and mutual disrespect is assured.

For some reason, the perception is that CBers don't like hams, mainly because in their efforts to educate, they are seen as "preaching" or condesending. Many CBers have openly stated that they don't feel that earning a ham ticket is an achievement worth any respect despite what other credentials the "ham" may also have.

Many hams feel that CBers are reckless and lack respect for rules, whether operational or technical, and approach technical issues with a "damn the torpedos, full speed ahead" attitude rather than a more methodical, theoretical approach.

When you have these preconceived notions, it's hard to overcome them to achieve any meaningful dialogue. Once the facade of mutual back slapping becomes tired, and someone gets into a disagreement about how something should be handled, the old defenses pop up, and further constructive debate becomes useless.

>
> As such, how many of you are willing to contribute to threads in a manner
> that may help cber's learn from and possibly even look up to the Amateur
> community ? If you want people to do what is "right", you have to help them
> to understand why the things that they are doing are "wrong".

No one will understand what it is that they are doing wrong, if deep down inside, they don't believe that it's wrong in the first place. Any attempt to correct that notion only reinforces those earlier preconceptions. If someone feels that "god" gave them the right to operate as they please, or that they break selective federal laws as a form of civil disobedience, then it's going to be a hard battle to win that person over.

Then you have the guys who will religiously hold on to their "outlaw" beliefs and will do their best to undermine any attempt at credible education, sensing that success in properly educating people will threaten their own empires and will ultimately effect them both psychologically and financially, in some cases. There's a lot of money to be made by perpetuating "CB science", and education is the bane to that existance.

Technical issues usually degrade down to a "I know more than you do" arguement, with each side attempting to boost their credibility by listing their accomplishments, or their college degrees etc. One only has to dig up an old thread about coax lengths to see this in action.

> In order to do that, you have to educate them and explain things along the way. If you
> are willing to do something like that, please make it known. I encourage
> those of you that want to make things "better" to use your personal along
> with your call sign.

Some people (and I include myself) tend to be blunt and straight to the point. This can be interpreted as copping a superior attitude, and may turn off other people, even it it was unintentional. There is a fine line between "explaining" something, and pounding it in for those that are a little slow, or stubborn.

> I'm sure that there are more than a few folks that post and read here that
> could easily end up being respected members of the Amateur radio ranks if
> properly nurtured. Even if some / most of these people don't become
> licensed amateurs, they will have at least gained knowledge as to what the
> TRUE nature of the Amateur community is about. Do us all a favor and become
> an "Elmer". Everyone like's an "Elmer" more than they do a "Peckerhead".
> While i know that there are more than a few "Peckerhead's" on each side of
> the fence, it won't be hard to tell them apart from the people that really
> do care once we can get going. That is, IF we can get things going.

Many CBers have expressed the opinion that they have no desire to become hams. Despite the vast increases in privileges, and spectrum, and the relative ease of the exam today, they stubbornly refuse to go the route. Now there could be many reasons for this. Many are turned off by the "ham culture", as it were, even though you can create your own culture if enough of your friends upgrade as well. Many, I suspect (although they'd never admit it), are truly afraid of the test and of failing it. Imagine the blow to one's psyche to fail, what everyone touts as, an "easy" test.
I just don't understand why someone, who enjoys the thrill of freeband DXing, who operates a ham rig, would not want to upgrade to ham radio, where the DX can be found anytime, and not just on 11 year cycles?

Hey, I agree with your proposal, but like the realist (some would say pessimist) that I am, I see too much difficulty succeeding with it. I try to offer up information to help people see things more clearly. If they understand it, great! If they refuse to accept it, then there isn't much more I can do.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

123. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 12:41:15 UTC
From: Gary Knight <anon@garyknightanonnospam.com>
Message-ID: <afs71j$v0f$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 129148
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ui26st5rfntb3c@corp.supernews.com...
> Perhaps someone has more knowlege than I do on this and can offer a better
> time frame. I believe the FCC started shutting down facilities a long
time
> before six years ago. We had a monitoring facility near Rochester, NY -
it
> was located in Canadagua, which is only 25 miles or so from here. The FCC
> used to be a powerful government agency which DID enforce the regulations.
> I don't know what the extent its' monitoring facilities are, but there are
> FAR fewer FCC field agents than existed in the 60s. Anyone have info on
> this?
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
>
> "Robert Abrams" <at321@autotelecomms321.org> wrote in message
> news:afprrqigm0@pita.alt.net...
> >
>
> > Unlicensed CB-type communications is commonly found in the
> > 5,6,8,10,12, & 15 mHz bands. Most of it originates from the
> > USA and is land based communications. HF comms equipment
> > is sold throughout the U.S., and maritime equipment is particularly
> > popular with these "freebanders." The enforcement authority in the
> > U.S. is the FCC, which closed down all its monitoring facilities
> > six years ago. The FCC feels by pretending there is no problem that
> > they will not have to deal with it. Meanwhile, the problem grows
> > larger each year. My company is licensed to use HF freqs., and we
> > are jammed all the time by HF freebanders in the 6, 8 & 10 mhz
> > bands.
> >
> > Robert Abrams
> >
>
>
In the late 60's it was learned that FCC direction finding facilities
had caused a rare interaction with the soil under the DF antennas.
Interterpolation of HF radio signals over the years with certain
bacteria's in the soil and other chemicals caused formation of rare
and little know lithium-diaganose crystals, which are highly prized on

the international market. As a public cover story, the FCC began closing down various DF sites around the country, while opening subterranean mining facilities which collected and purified the rare lithium-diaganose crystals. FCC employees who would not consent to mining the rare crystals were purged, while those who consented to being sent down in the mines were given huge promotions and bonuses for the crystals they mined. I know this sounds crazy, but I was given a rare tour of some of the subterranean mining facilities and let me tell you, these guys are making some hay! So, today, the story persists that the FCC has converted to a remote monitoring network, but we know that's not true at all, since the FCC is the sole source of lithium-diaganose crystals. Believe me, some people in the FCC are getting wealthy beyond your wildest dreams!

JTK

124. FS 1104C ASTATIC BASE MIC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 13:06:20 UTC
From: JIMBOW65@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23909-3D21A54C-141@storefull-2371.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129153

HI I HAVE A 1104C ASTATIC BASE MIC FOR SALE IN GOOD CONDITION.. SET UP FOR A 4-PIN AND COME WITH BATTERY... LOOKING FOR $30.00 PLUS SHIPPING $6.00 LOWER 48 ONLY

E-MAIL ME FOR PICTURE.... THANKS

125. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 13:25:15 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <%QhU8.24896$q53.717913@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129154

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D2188DA.3C99@worldlynx.net...
> Landshark wrote:
> >
> > "AntiKeyclowns Rule the NG" <ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > message news:8e19f630.0207010859.16d71aa7@posting.google.com...
> > > twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) meweled like the effeminate little
> > > queen he is in message
> > > news:<28419-3D1743EA-102@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> > >
> > > There ya' go AKC.
> > > > I heard some AKC Gods and my superiors are in Florida! GOODIE! Me
and my
> > FUGGLY kid can maybe get a chance to suck off REAL MEN now! Being
> > > > recruited as the official new member sucker of the AKC is a preview
of
> > > > wonderful things to "come" on my twistiefaggot face!
> >
> > You know Doug, I think all this
> > "AKC" is a copy right infringement, I think
> > you might want to be careful when you use
> > that type of abbreviation, in this manner.
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> > We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
> > Neil Young
>
>
> Is it Doug, or is it George? Do you REALLY know for sure?
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
>

Hell, it could even be you, Dave. At any rate, it wont continue for long.

126. Anybody need a President Grant CB Service Manual?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 13:27:55 UTC
From: contact@ncfusion.com
Message-ID: <10f2142c.0207020527.21af1ef8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129155

Repair Manual for the President Grant CB contains: Circuit Diagrams, Pictorials, Schematics, Detailed Descriptions, Part Numbers and more.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1745692896

127. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 14:01:15 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <LmiU8.743$_R.77297796@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129156

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D2188DA.3C99@worldlynx.net...
> Landshark wrote:
> >
> > "AntiKeyclowns Rule the NG" <ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com> wrote in
> > message news:8e19f630.0207010859.16d71aa7@posting.google.com...
> > > twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) meweled like the effeminate little
> > > queen he is in message
> > > news:<28419-3D1743EA-102@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> > >
> > > There ya' go AKC.
> > > > I heard some AKC Gods and my superiors are in Florida! GOODIE! Me
and my
> > FUGGLY kid can maybe get a chance to suck off REAL MEN now! Being
> > > > recruited as the official new member sucker of the AKC is a preview
of
> > > > wonderful things to "come" on my twistiefaggot face!
> >
> > You know Doug, I think all this
> > "AKC" is a copy right infringement, I think
> > you might want to be careful when you use
> > that type of abbreviation, in this manner.
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> > We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
> > Neil Young
>
>
> Is it Doug, or is it George? Do you REALLY know for sure?
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
>

Unless George moved to Sylvania Ohio, it's Doug.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

128. Re: Antenna Mount Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 14:13:06 UTC
From: ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8e19f630.0207020613.2f74cb8d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129157

Hey big fat chump lying shitsack chukkeesukkee...

DON'T EVEN TRY TO ACT LIKE YOU ARE NORMAL, YOU PROZAC TAKIN NUTSACK
LICKIN PIECE OF WORTHLESS HUMAN GARBAGE!
WE KNOW YOU ARE A HOPLESS FATASS SEMEN CRAVING GAYBOY CHUMP. COME ON
FAT ASS CHUMP DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT. COME ON PUSSY ASS DO SOMETHING!!
NO WAY, BECAUSE YOU CAN'T DO SHIT ABOUT ANYTHING EXCEPT LIE YOUR
HOMOSEXUAL LIVING WITH MOMMY FAT GAYBOY ASS OFF.

YOU ARE A FAT ASS UGLY CHUMP LYING FAILURE IN LIFE, YOU COCK LICKING
PIECE OF PIG SHIT!
A WORTHLESS NUTSACK LICKIN PIECE OF DOG SHIT WHO IS A COMPLETELY
USELESS FOOD AND OXYGEN WASTING ASSWIPE. WHY DON'T YOU KILL YOURSELF
YOU FOOD AND WATER AND AIR WASTING PIECE OF RECTAL SECRETION. WE WOULD
CHEER IF YOU DID, BECAUSE YOU ARE A COMPLETE WASTE OF LIFE. NO ONE IS
A BIGGER PIECE OF WASTE HUMAN SHIT THAN YOU CHUKKEESUKEE!

HARDEEHARRHARRHARR! LIFE IS GOOD WHEN YOU AINT A FAT CUMP LOSER LIAR
LIKE GAYBOY DIKKSLURPIN CHUKKEESUKKE!

k8cpa@arrl.net (ARS K8CPA) wrote in message
news:<3d214a78.10240218@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>...
> It all depends on how tall you would want to go... myself, I've use
> with good success... 8ft Francis, 102' steel whips... I would stay
> away from firesticks... can't handle power, Skipshooters, I'm told
> work well.. 102' whips work well, they can handle power, and they bend
> in the wind easy. A spring helps...
>
> hope this helps.
>
>
>
>
> On Tue, 02 Jul 2002 05:14:21 GMT, kwthom@munged.com wrote:
>
> >Greets!
> >
> >The S/N ratio is still a bit high here in the group (...as it always
> >is...), but from time to time there's still some useful information;
> >hoping to mine some of this info right now.
> >
> >I've been looking at improving my antenna mounting situation, and am
> >looking for comments, etc. on the mounts like the Diamond K400C,
> >MFJ-345 or Comet RS-520 -- or ones like them.
> >

> >I'd like to get some USER info on these for 11meter use; which one are
> >you using; what antenna is in it; how do you like it, what would you
> >do different, etc.
> >
> >Oh...this is to be mounted on the rear hatch of a Chevy Blazer.
> >
> >Currently using a mag-mounted 4' FireFly -- thinking about still using
> >the FireFly on this mount, but am also looking at FireStik Rome &
> >Venice as options.
> >
> >Thanks in advance.
> >
> >--kw
> >
> >email: word substitution munged -->> yahoo

129. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 14:20:07 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5961-3D21B697-325@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129158

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Sean wrote:
>Are any of you interested in turning this forum into something that can be used for educational purposes ? Would you prefer to be thought of as someone that helped people do the right thing or as someone that only preached condemnation and pushed them away from getting their license ? I think you know what i'm getting at. You can catch a lot more flies with honey than you can with vinegar. <

>>True enough. And if you remember, that's what this forum was like a few years back.<<

Yea, agreed. When Dogie came in and was denied any respect for his trashy, potty mouth a few years ago, he went berserk, and the AKC was formed, with you being part of it Dave, or did you forget your aligment with them? Say the word and I'll referesh your memory.

> Then the fights started whenever someone tried to correct "CB science" with good engineering practice.<

Dave, you are a regular piece of work...why not try injecting a little truth...and while we are on the subject of truth, why do you feel the need to lie? No Dave, just cause your memory is a false one doesn't mean that most present don't remember Dogies entrance.

> Sooner or later, things degenerate into name calling, <

How about sooner, like immediately after any off the self-professed AKC's are disagreed with.
Again, this was patented by Dogie and furthered by Frank.

>and then the stereotypes fly, and mutual disrespect is assured. <

Don't forget the lies, such as the bullsht you are attempting to sling now.

>For some reason, the perception is that CBers don't like hams, <

For some reason? I never heard that. Some cbers don't like some hammies who ignorantly claim you either obey all laws or get labeled a criminal, such as yourself.

>mainly because in their efforts to educate, they are seen as "preaching" or condesending.<

Let's see, you called many names, beginning with "criminal"to those who you have absolutely nothing to base that accusation on other than speech content. That makes you one of the "name-callers" you are hypocritically

ranting on about.

> Many CBers have openly stated that they don't feel that earning a ham ticket is an achievement worth any respect despite what other credentials the "ham" may also have. <

And "certain" hammies are obviously ego-bruised by this.
One isn't entitled to respect, Dave. One must earn it, and a license isn't any big achievment. For those that feel it is, congratulations, but when you attempt to impress that "feeling" on others, you create problems for yourself them look around for someoen to blame...how convenient, you're in a CB ng, who shall you blame?

>Many hams feel that CBers are reckless and lack respect for rules, whether operational or technical, and approach technical issues with a "damn the torpedos, full speed ahead" attitude rather than a more methodical, theoretical approach.<

Good thing most of us don't give a squat what "many" hammies feel.

>When you have these preconceived notions, it's hard to overcome them to achieve any meaningful dialogue.<

So tell us, why do you continue to perpetuate these "stereotypes" you speak of.

>Once the facade of mutual back slapping becomes tired, and someone gets into a disagreement about how something should be handled, the old defenses pop up, and further constructive debate becomes useless.<

Well, why don't you do a statistical search and see where the names come from. Between George and Frank, they have that type behavior locked up at a ratio of like 7 to 1.
Drone on Dave, drone on.

>>As such, how many of you are willing to contribute to threads in a manner that may help cber's learn from and possibly even look up to the Amateur community ? If you want people to do what is "right", you have to help them to understand why the things that they are doing are "wrong". <<

>No one will understand what it is that they are doing wrong, if deep down inside, they don't believe that it's wrong in the first place.<

Such as you holding that anyone that doesn't see it "Your" way is not socially responsible?
Such as we all have a duty to remain ethically
bound to morals the way YOU see fit? No, Dave, it doesn't work that way at all.

Any attempt to correct that notion only >reinforces those earlier preconceptions. If someone feels that "god" gave them the right to operate as they please, or that they break selective federal laws as a form of civil disobedience, then it's going to be a hard battle to win

that person over. <

You highlight my point perfectly, esconcing the fact that you see a battle,,a fight...something to be "won or lost" between cb and hammie radio..it is thinking like your own that does more to separate such hobbys.

>Then you have the guys who will religiously hold on to their "outlaw" beliefs and will do their best to undermine any attempt at credible education, sensing that success in properly educating people will threaten their own empires and will ultimately effect them both psychologically and financially, in some cases. <

I notice you intentionally left out any wrongdoing by any hammies. Why is it so diffcult for people as yourself to present a balanced side of an argument and let the masses make up their own minds? I'll tell you why, the same reason you had to retreat to posting under a pseudonym, withholding your name...it's BS!

>There's a lot of money to be made by perpetuating "CB science", and education is the bane to that existance. <

To the point of hammies telling outright lies concerning enforcement in attempted order to intimidate cbers? Yea, we know the drll, Dave. Sorry, most cbers aren't intimidated as much as certain hammies, evidenced by our willingness to think outside the box and NOT conform to anothers picture of righteousness.

>Technical issues usually degrade down to a "I know more than you do" arguement, with each side attempting to boost their credibility by listing their accomplishments, or their college degrees etc.<

Again, you speak of Frank.

> One only has to dig up an old thread about coax lengths to see this in action. <

Or any of a shitload of Frank's post claiming his technical certificate and/or education is somehow relevant. I agree, it has no place. I must say though, your prioritie seem to be clouded along with your judgement, Hall.
Why can you set forth your ticket as a condition of an accomplishment but you feel threatened when someone does it with their education? It seems to me that a college degree or certificate means way more than your ticket, but you never cease to surprise. Holding your ticket above education is no surprise.

>>In order to do that, you have to educate them and explain things along the way. If you are willing to do something like that, please make it known. I encourage those of you that want to make things "better" to use your personal along with your call sign. <<

>Some people (and I include myself) tend to be blunt and straight to the point. This can be interpreted as copping a superior attitude, and may

turn off other people, even it it was unintentional. There is a fine line between "explaining" something, and pounding it in for those that are a little slow, or stubborn. <

Slow or stubborn to to see the light as YOU see it is a goal most have already accomplished, intentionally.

>>I'm sure that there are more than a few folks that post and read here that could easily end up being respected members of the Amateur radio ranks if properly nurtured. Even if some / most of these people don't become licensed amateurs, they will have at least gained knowledge as to what the TRUE nature of the Amateur community is about. Do us all a favor and become an "Elmer". Everyone like's an "Elmer" more than they do a "Peckerhead". While i know that there are more than a few "Peckerhead's" on each side of the fence, it won't be hard to tell them apart from the people that really do care once we can get going. That is, IF we can get things going. <<

>Many CBers have expressed the opinion that they have no desire to become hams. <

And therein lays your problem. So what?
What business is it of yours if a cber wants nothng to do with hammie radio? You just can't get over yourself.

>Despite the vast increases in privileges, and spectrum, and the relative ease of the exam today, they stubbornly refuse to go the route.<

Actually, what has unfolded here, is your
inability to comprehend why people don't see it your way. It's actually amusing the extent you go.....

> Now there could be many reasons for this. <

You don't have to understand WHY, Dave. Just accept it instead of creating such problems for yourself and others.

>Many are turned off by the "ham culture", as it were,<

No! Not as it WERE! AS it stands in HERE, as demonstrated by "hammies" such as yourself, with no regard for anyone else, unless they conform to the ways you hold dear

> even though you can create your own culture if enough of your friends upgrade as well. <

Not pertinent to cb in anyway whatsoever.

>Many, I suspect (although they'd never admit it), are truly afraid of the test and of failing it. <

And many already have a hammie license.
And many have already let one expire. Gee Dave, kinda blows your theory all to hell.

>Imagine the blow to one's psyche to fail, what everyone touts as, an "easy" test. <

That's it Dave, further insult all those who don't have a license, but you ought to try to disguise it a little better, as one can tell you are talking yourself into an anger position right before our very eyes. Is this "failing of a license" anything like how you feel due your inability to grasp why all cbers don't become hammies? Feelings of helplessness and no control? I bet it is.

>I just don't understand why someone, who enjoys the thrill of freeband DXing, who operates a ham rig, would not want to upgrade to ham radio, where the DX can be found anytime, and not just on 11 year cycles? <

That is what I have been saying all along,,,you don't understand. So what did you do when confronted with something you can't comprehend......you insulted them. This meshes nicely with your reluctance and stated dislike for change.

>Hey, I agree with your proposal, but like the realist (some would say pessimist) that I am, I see too much difficulty succeeding with it. <

Because you intentionally add to the problem. You just insulted all cbers, yet sit here and attempt to play martyr.

>I try to offer up information to help people see things more clearly.<

Most already have their views.
Most aren't interested in seeing cb the way you do, that has been proven time and time again..I think your radical views and being in the minority is taking it's toll on you.

> If they understand it, great! If they refuse to accept it, then there isn't much more I can do. <

Why, you can always come in and let loose with a string of insults and present a one sided-argument and insult all cbers like you just did.

>Dave
"Sandbagger"<

130. hey triple nickel,wheres skip??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 14:46:15 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702104615.08917.00002477@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 129159

the skip out here on the shoreline has been dead. i was able to have a short qso with "slop jar" down in the sandpile this morning,working a horizontal di-pole. but conditions were poor,and dropped out after only a couple of minutes. :(this sucks,i guess i could use my rig as a boat anchor for the time being.....at least my 2990 is heavy enough to be used for that purpose. :)
any way tell the crew in elpaso well be back soon. still out here on the ocean.....trying to catch a "wave".....talk to yah later 555 gunslinger

131. Abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 14:58:11 UTC
From: coaxlengthpolice@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702105811.16640.00001903@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 129160

IP address: 67.217.70.108
Host name: 1cust108.tnt4.toledo.oh.da.uu.net

TraceRoute to 67.217.70.108 [1cust108.tnt4.toledo.oh.da.uu.net]

Hop (ms) (ms) (ms) IP Address Host name

6 15 0 16 208.217.112.77 -
7 47 16 15 152.63.131.138 pos4-3.xr1.tor2.alter.net
8 15 31 63 152.63.2.109 0.so-0-0-0.xl1.tor3.alter.net
9 171 79 156 152.63.2.90 0.so-2-2-0.tl1.chi2.alter.net
10 31 32 15 152.63.67.126 0.so-2-0-0.xl1.chi2.alter.net
11 15 32 218 152.63.73.33 0.so-6-0-0.hr1.chi2.alter.net
12 62 32 31 152.63.65.185 195.atm3-0.dr3.det3.alter.net
13 31 47 31 67.217.0.47 tnt4.toledo.oh.da.uu.net
14 1640 1766 1750 67.217.70.108 1cust108.tnt4.toledo.oh.da.uu.net

Trace complete

Domain registry query for uu.net:

From: ratoutillegalauctions@yahoo.com (AntiKeyclowns Rule the NG)
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Antenna Mount Questions
Date: 2 Jul 2002 07:13:06 -0700
Organization: http://groups.google.com/
Lines: 60
Message-ID: <8e19f630.0207020613.2f74cb8d@posting.google.com>
References: <o2d2iuga77ieem03rbv465rv08hlv9bp0s@4ax.com>
<3d214a78.10240218@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
NNTP-Posting-Host: 67.217.70.108
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=ISO-8859-1
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
X-Trace: posting.google.com 1025619187 23666 127.0.0.1 (2 Jul 2002 14:13:07
GMT)
X-Complaints-To: groups-abuse@google.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: 2 Jul 2002 14:13:07 GMT

Text of message:

Hey big fat chump lying shitsack chukkeesukkee...

DON'T EVEN TRY TO ACT LIKE YOU ARE NORMAL, YOU PROZAC TAKIN NUTSACK
LICKIN PIECE OF WORTHLESS HUMAN GARBAGE!
WE KNOW YOU ARE A HOPLESS FATASS SEMEN CRAVING GAYBOY CHUMP. COME ON
FAT ASS CHUMP DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT. COME ON PUSSY ASS DO SOMETHING!!
NO WAY, BECAUSE YOU CAN'T DO SHIT ABOUT ANYTHING EXCEPT LIE YOUR

HOMOSEXUAL LIVING WITH MOMMY FAT GAYBOY ASS OFF.

YOU ARE A FAT ASS UGLY CHUMP LYING FAILURE IN LIFE, YOU COCK LICKING
PIECE OF PIG SHIT!
A WORTHLESS NUTSACK LICKIN PIECE OF DOG SHIT WHO IS A COMPLETELY
USELESS FOOD AND OXYGEN WASTING ASSWIPE. WHY DON'T YOU KILL YOURSELF
YOU FOOD AND WATER AND AIR WASTING PIECE OF RECTAL SECRETION. WE WOULD
CHEER IF YOU DID, BECAUSE YOU ARE A COMPLETE WASTE OF LIFE. NO ONE IS
A BIGGER PIECE OF WASTE HUMAN SHIT THAN YOU CHUKKEESUKEE!

HARDEEHARRHARRHARR! LIFE IS GOOD WHEN YOU AINT A FAT CUMP LOSER LIAR
LIKE GAYBOY DIKKSLURPIN CHUKKEESUKKE!

k8cpa@arrl.net (ARS K8CPA) wrote in message
news:<3d214a78.10240218@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>...
> It all depends on how tall you would want to go... myself, I've use
> with good success... 8ft Francis, 102' steel whips... I would stay
> away from firesticks... can't handle power, Skipshooters, I'm told
> work well.. 102' whips work well, they can handle power, and they bend
> in the wind easy. A spring helps...
>
> hope this helps.
>
>
>
>
> On Tue, 02 Jul 2002 05:14:21 GMT, kwthom@munged.com wrote:
>
> >Greets!
> >
> >The S/N ratio is still a bit high here in the group (...as it always
> >is...), but from time to time there's still some useful information;
> >hoping to mine some of this info right now.
> >
> >I've been looking at improving my antenna mounting situation, and am
> >looking for comments, etc. on the mounts like the Diamond K400C,
> >MFJ-345 or Comet RS-520 -- or ones like them.
> >
> >I'd like to get some USER info on these for 11meter use; which one are
> >you using; what antenna is in it; how do you like it, what would you
> >do different, etc.
> >
> >Oh...this is to be mounted on the rear hatch of a Chevy Blazer.
> >
> >Currently using a mag-mounted 4' FireFly -- thinking about still using
> >the FireFly on this mount, but am also looking at FireStik Rome &
> >Venice as options.
> >
> >Thanks in advance.
> >
> >--kw

> >
> >email: word substitution munged -->> yahoo

132. Pathetic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 15:11:57 UTC
From: coaxlengthpolice@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702111157.23698.00001980@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 129161

http://www.qrz.com/detail/N8WWM

133. FYI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 15:18:19 UTC
From: coaxlengthpolice@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020702111819.22781.00001739@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 129162

Dear Customer,

We have received your message ("Please do something about this abusive user of your service!") and forwarded it to the appropriate personnel for processing.

To help us provide you with the best possible service, please refer to trouble ticket number UU3136106 somewhere in all correspondence (or if you should call Customer Support) regarding this matter. For your convenience, we have included it in the Subject line of this message, and will do so in any future correspondence. If you should need assistance in the future on a different issue, please do not re-use this same ticket number.

Thank you.

- WorldCom Customer Support
help4u@wcom.com

WorldCom Tel: +1 800 900 0241
22001 Loudoun County Parkway Fax: +1 703 206 5993
Ashburn, VA 20147 USA WWW: http://www.wcom.com

134. Re: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 15:38:59 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <kMjU8.774$pv6.117051@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 129163

"Sean" <SPAMBLOCKERbigfoot1@core.com> wrote in message
news:3d214064$0$1430$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net...
> Are any of you interested in turning this forum into something that can be
> used for educational purposes ? Would you prefer to be thought of as
> someone that helped people do the right thing or as someone that only
> preached condemnation and pushed them away from getting their license ? I
> think you know what i'm getting at. You can catch a lot more flies with
> honey than you can with vinegar.
>
> As such, how many of you are willing to contribute to threads in a manner
> that may help cber's learn from and possibly even look up to the Amateur
> community ? If you want people to do what is "right", you have to help
them
> to understand why the things that they are doing are "wrong". In order to
> do that, you have to educate them and explain things along the way. If
you
> are willing to do something like that, please make it known. I encourage
> those of you that want to make things "better" to use your personal along
> with your call sign.
>
> I'm sure that there are more than a few folks that post and read here that
> could easily end up being respected members of the Amateur radio ranks if
> properly nurtured. Even if some / most of these people don't become
> licensed amateurs, they will have at least gained knowledge as to what the
> TRUE nature of the Amateur community is about. Do us all a favor and
become
> an "Elmer". Everyone like's an "Elmer" more than they do a "Peckerhead".
> While i know that there are more than a few "Peckerhead's" on each side of
> the fence, it won't be hard to tell them apart from the people that really
> do care once we can get going. That is, IF we can get things going. Sean
> >
>
>

I am not in this group because I am a ham trying to educate, I am here
because I also enjoy the cb radio hobby and have for many years. I have my
thoughts and beliefs, like religion, if someone asks I'll share them but I
do not go shoving my opinions down someones throat.

I agree though that some benefitial discussions could be had here by all if
we could get the name calling and back biting to stop.

In closing I would like to wish all of those in this group who are American
a happy and safe 4th of July holliday.

73 de Keith

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

135. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 16:09:50 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d21ce9c$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129164

Now THERE'S a wierdo! I've heard of this "diet" and wouldn't get near someone selling it. Especially since "Dr." Malkamus is under "cease and desist" orders in several states for making unsubstantiated claims. Anyone with half a brain would see that this "doctor" is selling nothing but snake-oils.

Where did you hear of this guy?

Kevin, WB5RUE

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20020701144217.985$DX@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>I wonder if he missed his distemper shots this year?
> >
> I wonder if you missed your "Hallelujah Diet" ?

136. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 16:17:06 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <YkkU8.19357$wj4.1774797@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129165

Dave,

Forgive the top post (you already know what you said), but I have already stopped
trying to help, for the most part, because it seems to start "fires". If someone asks
the dreaded "coax length" question, and I answer with the true dope that coax length
doesn't matter except when placing antennas in phase, it starts a long discussion of
VF and counter-claims ad infinitum. So I generally keep quiet these days except for
the most innocuous questions. I do find it hard not to respond to the
"freeband" questions, particularly where it concerns illegal operations in the ham bands.

73

Jerry

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D219D45.3C36@worldlynx.net...
> Sean wrote:
> >
> > Are any of you interested in turning this forum into something that can be
> > used for educational purposes ? Would you prefer to be thought of as
> > someone that helped people do the right thing or as someone that only
> > preached condemnation and pushed them away from getting their license ? I
> > think you know what i'm getting at. You can catch a lot more flies with
> > honey than you can with vinegar.
>
> True enough. And if you remember, that's what this forum was like a few
> years back. Then the fights started whenever someone tried to correct
> "CB science" with good engineering practice. Sooner or later, things
> degenerate into name calling, and then the stereotypes fly, and mutual
> disrespect is assured.
>
> For some reason, the perception is that CBers don't like hams, mainly
> because in their efforts to educate, they are seen as "preaching" or
> condesending. Many CBers have openly stated that they don't feel that
> earning a ham ticket is an achievement worth any respect despite what
> other credentials the "ham" may also have.
>

> Many hams feel that CBers are reckless and lack respect for rules,
> whether operational or technical, and approach technical issues with a
> "damn the torpedos, full speed ahead" attitude rather than a more
> methodical, theoretical approach.
>
> When you have these preconceived notions, it's hard to overcome them to
> achieve any meaningful dialogue. Once the facade of mutual back slapping
> becomes tired, and someone gets into a disagreement about how something
> should be handled, the old defenses pop up, and further constructive
> debate becomes useless.
>
> >
> > As such, how many of you are willing to contribute to threads in a
manner
> > that may help cber's learn from and possibly even look up to the Amateur
> > community ? If you want people to do what is "right", you have to help
them
> > to understand why the things that they are doing are "wrong".
>
> No one will understand what it is that they are doing wrong, if deep
> down inside, they don't believe that it's wrong in the first place. Any
> attempt to correct that notion only reinforces those earlier
> preconceptions. If someone feels that "god" gave them the right to
> operate as they please, or that they break selective federal laws as a
> form of civil disobedience, then it's going to be a hard battle to win
> that person over.
>
> Then you have the guys who will religiously hold on to their "outlaw"
> beliefs and will do their best to undermine any attempt at credible
> education, sensing that success in properly educating people will
> threaten their own empires and will ultimately effect them both
> psychologically and financially, in some cases. There's a lot of money
> to be made by perpetuating "CB science", and education is the bane to
> that existance.
>
> Technical issues usually degrade down to a "I know more than you do"
> arguement, with each side attempting to boost their credibility by
> listing their accomplishments, or their college degrees etc. One only
> has to dig up an old thread about coax lengths to see this in action.
>
>
> > In order to do that, you have to educate them and explain things along
the way. If you
> > are willing to do something like that, please make it known. I
encourage
> > those of you that want to make things "better" to use your personal
along
> > with your call sign.
>
> Some people (and I include myself) tend to be blunt and straight to the

> point. This can be interpreted as copping a superior attitude, and may
> turn off other people, even it it was unintentional. There is a fine
> line between "explaining" something, and pounding it in for those that
> are a little slow, or stubborn.
>
>
> > I'm sure that there are more than a few folks that post and read here
that
> > could easily end up being respected members of the Amateur radio ranks
if
> > properly nurtured. Even if some / most of these people don't become
> > licensed amateurs, they will have at least gained knowledge as to what
the
> > TRUE nature of the Amateur community is about. Do us all a favor and
become
> > an "Elmer". Everyone like's an "Elmer" more than they do a
"Peckerhead".
> > While i know that there are more than a few "Peckerhead's" on each side
of
> > the fence, it won't be hard to tell them apart from the people that
really
> > do care once we can get going. That is, IF we can get things going.
>
> Many CBers have expressed the opinion that they have no desire to become
> hams. Despite the vast increases in privileges, and spectrum, and the
> relative ease of the exam today, they stubbornly refuse to go the route.
> Now there could be many reasons for this. Many are turned off by the
> "ham culture", as it were, even though you can create your own culture
> if enough of your friends upgrade as well. Many, I suspect (although
> they'd never admit it), are truly afraid of the test and of failing it.
> Imagine the blow to one's psyche to fail, what everyone touts as, an
> "easy" test.
> I just don't understand why someone, who enjoys the thrill of freeband
> DXing, who operates a ham rig, would not want to upgrade to ham radio,
> where the DX can be found anytime, and not just on 11 year cycles?
>
> Hey, I agree with your proposal, but like the realist (some would say
> pessimist) that I am, I see too much difficulty succeeding with it. I
> try to offer up information to help people see things more clearly. If
> they understand it, great! If they refuse to accept it, then there isn't
> much more I can do.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

137. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 16:25:33 UTC
From: Sean <SPAMBLOCKERbigfoot1@core.com>
Message-ID: <3d21d3ff$0$1434$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 129167

Dave, i basically agree with many of your comments. I do have to say that many CBer's reject the information that Ham's post due to the way that the Ham's present it, not that the CBer's think it is "wrong" or "does not apply". While everybody would like to be handed a shiny red apple, nobody wants one with a nail sticking out of it.

There are a few exceptions to this rule, one of them being Bill Nelson. While i have not been here in a long time, i see that Bill is still around and doing his best to present both level headed and factual responses to much of the chaos here. As such, i think that he has truly been "accepted" by the CB crowd even though he is "one of them" (a Ham). Most of this has to do with his attitude and how things are presented, not the actual messages. If that were not the case, he would have been "rejected" as he does not promote the typical ideologies that most CBer's have adopted. As such, i think that Bill has served as a role model for both parties involved in all of the fracas. Hat's off to him for sticking it out AND doing it in a manner that befits a true gentleman.

Maybe if there were a few more hams like Bill on this group and less of the condescending and negative assholes (that is what they come across as) that tend to dominate most of the threads and represent the amateur community in a poor fashion, we might make some progress around here. After all, who likes getting slapped in the face, kneed in the groin and told that they are doing something wrong. I think that most people would prefer being told that there is a better way and have someone that will demonstrate the superiority of a slightly different approach. Since not everyone has the same level of understanding nor do we all learn at the same pace, it might take some longer than others to fully understand where we are going. Sean
>

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D219D45.3C36@worldlynx.net...
> Sean wrote:
> >
> > Are any of you interested in turning this forum into something that can
be
> > used for educational purposes ? Would you prefer to be thought of as
> > someone that helped people do the right thing or as someone that only
> > preached condemnation and pushed them away from getting their license ?
I
> > think you know what i'm getting at. You can catch a lot more flies with
> > honey than you can with vinegar.
>
> True enough. And if you remember, that's what this forum was like a few
> years back. Then the fights started whenever someone tried to correct

> "CB science" with good engineering practice. Sooner or later, things
> degenerate into name calling, and then the stereotypes fly, and mutual
> disrespect is assured.
>
> For some reason, the perception is that CBers don't like hams, mainly
> because in their efforts to educate, they are seen as "preaching" or
> condesending. Many CBers have openly stated that they don't feel that
> earning a ham ticket is an achievement worth any respect despite what
> other credentials the "ham" may also have.
>
> Many hams feel that CBers are reckless and lack respect for rules,
> whether operational or technical, and approach technical issues with a
> "damn the torpedos, full speed ahead" attitude rather than a more
> methodical, theoretical approach.
>
> When you have these preconceived notions, it's hard to overcome them to
> achieve any meaningful dialogue. Once the facade of mutual back slapping
> becomes tired, and someone gets into a disagreement about how something
> should be handled, the old defenses pop up, and further constructive
> debate becomes useless.
>
> >
> > As such, how many of you are willing to contribute to threads in a
manner
> > that may help cber's learn from and possibly even look up to the Amateur
> > community ? If you want people to do what is "right", you have to help
them
> > to understand why the things that they are doing are "wrong".
>
> No one will understand what it is that they are doing wrong, if deep
> down inside, they don't believe that it's wrong in the first place. Any
> attempt to correct that notion only reinforces those earlier
> preconceptions. If someone feels that "god" gave them the right to
> operate as they please, or that they break selective federal laws as a
> form of civil disobedience, then it's going to be a hard battle to win
> that person over.
>
> Then you have the guys who will religiously hold on to their "outlaw"
> beliefs and will do their best to undermine any attempt at credible
> education, sensing that success in properly educating people will
> threaten their own empires and will ultimately effect them both
> psychologically and financially, in some cases. There's a lot of money
> to be made by perpetuating "CB science", and education is the bane to
> that existance.
>
> Technical issues usually degrade down to a "I know more than you do"
> arguement, with each side attempting to boost their credibility by
> listing their accomplishments, or their college degrees etc. One only
> has to dig up an old thread about coax lengths to see this in action.
>

>
> > In order to do that, you have to educate them and explain things along
the way. If you
> > are willing to do something like that, please make it known. I
encourage
> > those of you that want to make things "better" to use your personal
along
> > with your call sign.
>
> Some people (and I include myself) tend to be blunt and straight to the
> point. This can be interpreted as copping a superior attitude, and may
> turn off other people, even it it was unintentional. There is a fine
> line between "explaining" something, and pounding it in for those that
> are a little slow, or stubborn.
>
>
> > I'm sure that there are more than a few folks that post and read here
that
> > could easily end up being respected members of the Amateur radio ranks
if
> > properly nurtured. Even if some / most of these people don't become
> > licensed amateurs, they will have at least gained knowledge as to what
the
> > TRUE nature of the Amateur community is about. Do us all a favor and
become
> > an "Elmer". Everyone like's an "Elmer" more than they do a
"Peckerhead".
> > While i know that there are more than a few "Peckerhead's" on each side
of
> > the fence, it won't be hard to tell them apart from the people that
really
> > do care once we can get going. That is, IF we can get things going.
>
> Many CBers have expressed the opinion that they have no desire to become
> hams. Despite the vast increases in privileges, and spectrum, and the
> relative ease of the exam today, they stubbornly refuse to go the route.
> Now there could be many reasons for this. Many are turned off by the
> "ham culture", as it were, even though you can create your own culture
> if enough of your friends upgrade as well. Many, I suspect (although
> they'd never admit it), are truly afraid of the test and of failing it.
> Imagine the blow to one's psyche to fail, what everyone touts as, an
> "easy" test.
> I just don't understand why someone, who enjoys the thrill of freeband
> DXing, who operates a ham rig, would not want to upgrade to ham radio,
> where the DX can be found anytime, and not just on 11 year cycles?
>
> Hey, I agree with your proposal, but like the realist (some would say
> pessimist) that I am, I see too much difficulty succeeding with it. I
> try to offer up information to help people see things more clearly. If
> they understand it, great! If they refuse to accept it, then there isn't

> much more I can do.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

138. Re: New Galaxy radios on the way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 16:27:41 UTC
From: Sean <SPAMBLOCKERbigfoot1@core.com>
Message-ID: <3d21d47f$0$1428$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 129166

Id' like to respond to Dave and K8CPA but am short on time. Will have to
catch you later tonight. Sorry bout that... Sean
>

"Sean" <SPAMBLOCKERbigfoot1@core.com> wrote in message
news:3d212f31$0$1428$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net...
> According to what i've been told, these units are spectrally pure up to
> about 125 watts of output. I was seeing very nice looking waveforms at
> output levels WAY, WAY above that on my scope. For the record, my scope
has
> recently been calibrated and reads quite linearly to above 125 MHz. If
the
> circuitry was looking "funky", i can see it.
>
> As to the problem with frequency drift, this uses the newer 6900 board.
> This board is infinitely more stable than the earlier and still current
3600
> boards used in the 33 / 44 / 55 / 66 / 73 / 77 / 88 / 99 series radios.
The
> 6900's did show a small amount of drift when used in the 12 bank radios
but
> this is only an 8 bank unit. If you've ever played around with any of
their
> other radios, the basic board is the same as the DX 2517 base radios.
>
> As to substituting the echo with a speech processor, that would be both a
> waste of time and money. Echo's can increase intelligibility if properly
> set. A speech processor does nothing but raise the average level of audio
> and clip off the peaks. This same feat can be easily achieved by working
> the circuitry that is already present within the radio. In my opinion,
the
> generic speech processors that i've seen installed in more than a few
radios
> are one of the most over-rated products on the market. Just ask Cobra how
> well their "speech processor" aka "Soundtracker" worked for them. The
fact
> that they have pulled this "feature" out of most of their radios should
tell
> you something. Sean
> >
>
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3D205C47.68C6@worldlynx.net...

> > Sean wrote:
> > >
> > > Brand new Galaxy model was dropped off today for inspection. Looks
VERY
> > > promising. Can't remember exact model number, but was either a DX 47
or
> DX
> > > 48. Uses the newer 6900 board (RG 99, etc...) with no surface mount
> and a
> > > single 1969 for the final. This drives an all new built in 2 x 2290
> linear
> > > with a new "high tech" micro-sized cooling fan. Heat sinks are
mounted
> on
> > > the bottom lid and quite miniscule in size. They should not pose a
> problem
> > > to mounting in tight areas ala the big fins on the 2970 / 6900 / 6300
> series
> > > with built in amps. Forced cooling through the rear of the radio,
which
> has
> > > vented heatsinks built (not bolted onto) the chassis. This one is
AM
> / FM
> > > with no freq counter built in. Also does not have a jack to plug a
> counter
> > > into but does have the newer "sardine can" style digital echo. 4 pin
> mic is
> > > mounted on the front chassis with an "illuminated" faceplate for
> improved
> > > visibility of controls at night.
> > >
> > > All i can tell you right now is that this radio has what many of you
> have
> > > been waiting for i.e. the board has demonstrated the best sensitivity
of
> any
> > > design currently on the market and the absolutely SMOKES the 2970's,
> 6900's,
> > > 6300 Turbo's in power output (NO comparison).
> > >
> > > They've got another model coming out shortly that is all mode with
> counter,
> > > echo and a single 2290 for power. Also has built in fans. Sean
> > > >
> >
> >
> > I'd be curious how one of those looks on the spectrum analyzer. Is it
> > just as dirty as the 2970's etc.?
> > How about frequency stability? Galaxies are noted for drifting, which is

> > probably not an issue for an AM/FM rig, but will be for the all mode.
> >
> > Replace the echo with a good speech processor, and it'd be a winner
> > IMHO.
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> >
> >
>
>

139. Re: All Amateur Radio Op's(Keith)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 17:18:17 UTC
From: novaman28@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2847-3D21E059-124@storefull-2294.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129169

You wouldn't happen to be from IN's Henry Co. would you?If so,hello from one County North.

140. Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 17:35:59 UTC
From: novaman28@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2847-3D21E47F-125@storefull-2294.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129168

I had,for years,believed that the best height for your antenna is right in a wavelength.I have heard dealers discussing it.I have had many conversations about it.Now some is telling me that wavelengths do not exist for citizen's band,only for ham bands.I just have to ask,how in God's green Earth can wavelengths exist for ham but not for citizen?And if they do exist,at what heigth are they located?I've also heard different measurements over the years?
Thank you,
Donnie
"Novaman"
Muncie,IN

141. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 17:48:19 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ui3pq6lej6u43a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129170
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Phil, you make a good point. Years ago, I kept complaining to the City of Rochester about a property behind me. Not only were weeds growing, but there were a couple of junk cars and old tires. I kept after the city for a year - THEN I took some pictures and went to my attourney. In less than a week, city crews were there, along with a tow truck, garbage truck, and equipment to clean it up. I'd have loved to see that idiot's tax bill the next year!!! ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - a little money spent will do far more than simply whining!

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message :
>
>
> What have you done to follow-up on said complaints? Has your
> attorney had a sit-down with Charlie Megan if you think that
> they shoukld be given hugher priority?
>
> If you expect things to happen by themselves you are seeing the
> results of such waiting.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

142. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 17:50:27 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ui3pu5m8ajv458@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129171
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

With such an interest in exotic materials, may I assume you also collect
Darkness Emitting Arsenide Diode Semiconductors? ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

143. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 18:09:56 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D21EC5B.88709242@icok.net>
Source record: 129172

Sean wrote:
>
> Are any of you interested in turning this forum into something that can be
> used for educational purposes ?

No. I'd have to say that most of the people in this NG
have no interest in 'educational' purposes. They are here
primarily for entertainment.

Would you prefer to be thought of as
> someone that helped people do the right thing or as someone that only
> preached condemnation and pushed them away from getting their license ? I
> think you know what i'm getting at. You can catch a lot more flies with
> honey than you can with vinegar.
>
I'm not interested in 'catching flies', to start with.
Those
who want to know more about electronics (or Ham radio) will
make
an effort to do so. If 'they' are not interested, why should
I
be?

> As such, how many of you are willing to contribute to threads in a manner
> that may help cber's learn from and possibly even look up to the Amateur
> community ? If you want people to do what is "right", you have to help them
> to understand why the things that they are doing are "wrong".

If people haven't been taught the difference between right
and
wrong by the time they can figure out how to operate a
computer
and read this NG, it's a bit late. I don't think people are
quite
as 'dumb', or naive(?) as you make them out to be. There's
absolutely
nothing wrong with being uneducated. There absolutely is
something
wrong with staying that way.

In order to
> do that, you have to educate them and explain things along the way. If you
> are willing to do something like that, please make it known. I encourage
> those of you that want to make things "better" to use your personal along
> with your call sign.
>

I don't have the time or the inclination to be a
'crusader'. If
'they' want help, I am more than willing, it only takes a
question.
As far as 'making it better', what makes you think that your
idea
of 'better' is the same as mine, or the next guy's? Be
careful of
what you ask for...

> I'm sure that there are more than a few folks that post and read here that
> could easily end up being respected members of the Amateur radio ranks if
> properly nurtured.

I'm sure there are! And I'd be happy to welcome them, after
they've made the effort. The 'effort' is required, otherwise,
how do you know they're interested? If they're not
interested,
it's like trying to teach a cow to dance. You're wasting your
time, and the cow couldn't care less.

Even if some / most of these people don't become
> licensed amateurs, they will have at least gained knowledge as to what the
> TRUE nature of the Amateur community is about. Do us all a favor and become
> an "Elmer". Everyone like's an "Elmer" more than they do a "Peckerhead".
> While i know that there are more than a few "Peckerhead's" on each side of
> the fence, it won't be hard to tell them apart from the people that really
> do care once we can get going. That is, IF we can get things going. Sean
> >
I think an 'educational' NG would be a fine thing. If
that's
what you want, then I would suggest starting one, not trying
to
'take over' an existing NG that doesn't want to be 'educated'.
This NG is the way it is because the people here want it this
way. If they didn't want it to be the way it is, it would be
different. Do I want this NG to be the way it is> Not
really,
but that doesn't mean that I think it should be a 'ham' NG!
That
isn't it's stated purpose, nor do the majority of people here
want it to be. Expectations are fine, but they also have to
be
realistic (no pun intended, well, maybe a little)...
'Doc

144. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 18:11:53 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gymmr73.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 129173
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Mon, 1 Jul 2002 23:19:19 -0400, Jim Hampton wrote:

>Perhaps someone has more knowlege than I do on this and can offer a better
>time frame. I believe the FCC started shutting down facilities a long time
>before six years ago. We had a monitoring facility near Rochester, NY - it
>was located in Canadagua, which is only 25 miles or so from here. The FCC
>used to be a powerful government agency which DID enforce the regulations.
>I don't know what the extent its' monitoring facilities are, but there are
>FAR fewer FCC field agents than existed in the 60s. Anyone have info on
>this?

"During my time" the FCC had three types of monitoring/DF
facilities.

In the mid 1970s the "primary" at Santa Ana CA was closed and
moved to Ferndale WA, the "secondaries" at Juneau AK, Spokane WA,
Chillicothe OH, Beaumont TX and Canandaigua NY were closed,
and the FCC contingents at four "shared" sites run by another
Federal spook agency were relocated to existing FCC stations.

The property at Canandaigua was retained throigh the years
and served as a test bed for development of the remote control
system in the mid-90s. It is still "on line" remote/unmanned.

> ---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

145. Re: Constant Noise in my radio. Drowns out stations.Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 18:16:00 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D21EDC7.8D0A4BE8@icok.net>
Source record: 129174

RUSTYAMERI,
Sounds like you may have some 'local' noise from
something. I think if you have a portable radio that
will pick up this noise, I'd take a walk around the
neighborhood to see if I couldn't locate it. If/when
you find it, or at least narrow things down, you have
a chance of 'curing' it.
'Doc

146. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 18:22:50 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D21EF62.D5D77CED@icok.net>
Source record: 129175
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gary,
Mind boggling!
'Doc

147. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 18:43:40 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <wvmU8.617$lu6.39074@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129176

"Donnie Broyles" <novaman28@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:2847-3D21E47F-125@storefull-2294.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I had,for years,believed that the best height for your antenna is right
> in a wavelength.I have heard dealers discussing it.I have had many
> conversations about it.Now some is telling me that wavelengths do not
> exist for citizen's band,only for ham bands.I just have to ask,how in
> God's green Earth can wavelengths exist for ham but not for citizen?And
> if they do exist,at what heigth are they located?I've also heard
> different measurements over the years?
> Thank you,
> Donnie
> "Novaman"
> Muncie,IN
>

They exist all right. The metric formula is easiest to remember
l(meters)=f(MHz)/300 which was a stock question on the Amateur Novice
license for eons.

The major reason why one might mount an antenna at one lamda (std abbr for
wavelength) is that at that height, the ground reflection effects have
steadied down and your antenna reflects its characteristic impedence etc.
In other words it tends to work like the book says it should.
And as lamda at CB freqs is about 36 feet, it is also a pretty good
compromise between performance and mechanical complexity and expense.

A few feet either way will not be noticeable.

With lambdas on several ham bands nearing the football field in length, it
is not generally a consideration to Amateurs; we pretty much play the hand
that is dealt. And at higher freqs there is no substitute for altitude.

Interesting Question.

Dick NBK

148. Re: hey triple nickel,wheres skip??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 18:46:17 UTC
From: NCB555@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7917-3D21F4F9-378@storefull-2357.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129180

Hello Gunslinger.
Same thing in El Paso .
Talked to Too Tall last night and told him what was going on with you.
All the gang hopes that you will stay away!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
HA HA HA just kidding.
Shotgun had a stroke he is at Bowmount
we think he will be ok.
Its been over 100 every day HOT HOT!
YOU STILL IS A MUD DUCK

Triple 5
Trip 555
BIG in TEXAS
15 ¢ Junk Station

149. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 18:47:16 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <RwmU8.795$pv6.118168@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 129178

"Donnie Broyles" <novaman28@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:2847-3D21E47F-125@storefull-2294.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I had,for years,believed that the best height for your antenna is right
> in a wavelength.I have heard dealers discussing it.I have had many
> conversations about it.Now some is telling me that wavelengths do not
> exist for citizen's band,only for ham bands.I just have to ask,how in
> God's green Earth can wavelengths exist for ham but not for citizen?And
> if they do exist,at what heigth are they located?I've also heard
> different measurements over the years?
> Thank you,
> Donnie
> "Novaman"
> Muncie,IN
>

Wavelenghts most certainly do exist for cb, the wavelength for cb is 11m.
I guess the differences is with how the different wavelenghts propogate,
i.e. vhf/uhf is line of sight.

HF uses skywave and groundwave depending on what portion.

I see some HF antennas that start right at the ground, but these are 80-160
meters using groundwave propogation.
for 11m the rules state that the tip of the antenna can be no higher than
60ft above the highest point on the property.

73 de Keith

P.S. if I am incorrect in some of this please feel free to correct me, I
never claimed to know everything, and the only way to learn is to be given
the correct information.

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red
Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

150. Re: All Amateur Radio Op's(Keith)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 18:50:13 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <CzmU8.796$pv6.118419@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 129177

"Donnie Broyles" <novaman28@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:2847-3D21E059-124@storefull-2294.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> You wouldn't happen to be from IN's Henry Co. would you?If so,hello
> from one County North.
>

Nope sorry, I am in Ohio but thanks anyway.

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red
Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

151. Re: Constant Noise in my radio. Drowns out stations.Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 19:09:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6123-3D21FA78-186@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129179

From: w5lz@icok.net ('Doc)
RUSTYAMERI,
      Sounds like you may have some 'local' noise from
something. I think if you have a portable radio that will pick up this
noise, I'd take a walk around the neighborhood to see if I couldn't
locate it. If/when you find it, or at least narrow things down, you have
a chance of 'curing' it.
                                          'Doc

If you do indeed find this to be local, start with the closest
electrical pole near you. Some of these baluns get "dirty" after time
and can cause some real havoc. The power company here have fixed line
problems for me three times that I can recall...once was a downed line
due to a tree branch in a storm, and twice to switch out their
ill-functioning equipment.

152. DX Report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 19:18:05 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6124-3D21FC6D-73@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129185

```
****************************************
    *               INTERNATIONAL RADIO DX GROUP
             *
    *                    (Spirit of Australia)
                 *
    *
                             *
    *               DX BULLETIN #11 / 02 JULY 2002
             *
    *           Edited by Lars 13IR102 & Rob 19IR04
            *
    ****************************************
      /--------------------------------------
      !             Information, reports and
suggestions         !   !
  must be sent to:                    !
      !
      !
bulletin@irdx.org                    !
      !
   !
   ---------------------------------------
      This bulletin contains only objective DX information's
coming   from different resources. The redactor can not be held
responsible for any mistake or false information's.
      It is absolute allowed to copy and distribute the DX
News   Bulletin but please include the information's about the editors
  and source where it comes from for the courtesy who created   this
DX tool.
      TO YOUR ATTENTION PLEASE: Dxpeditions or activations
with   unknown end will be deleted 3 month after publication. From now
  on we add this kind with the month when we get the information.
If you want to see your Dxpedition or activation for a longer   time
on the list please contact us with the full information's.
 You have Info for this List ? please send to:13IR102@irdx.de
*********************************************
               IRDX Group News
*********************************************
           GERMAN IRDX - FIELDDAY 2002
                                      ======
            13IR000 Club-Station presents the
4th German-Fieldday     Date is 19/20/21 of July 2002 (mainday is
20.07.2002)     For more Info please ask the Team via
13IR102@irdx.org
```

W

W W . I R D X . O R G

==

We are proud to let you know the brand NEW IRDX-Homepage Is ONLINE now :) take a look and enjoy the great work from The whole Team ! lot's of new features you can find there. Cluster - Chat - IRDX-News and much more ! www.irdx.org

W

W W . D X N E W S . DE

==

the Number 1 DX-Info-Site is back Online after long Time. up to date now and every Day Updated !! www.dxnews.de

****

On The Air Now

****

103FAT/DX Haiti 01/03/02 500 Prog.
Eric, P.O.Box 271, 67606 Selestat CDX, France

106SP/DX Ceuta & Melilla 10/01/02 1000 Prog. Sebas, P.O.Box 428, 07300 Inca-BI, Spain

10RC/DX Mexico 01/02/02 500 Prog.
Stephane, P.O.Box 3, 18500 Foecy, France

115SD/DX Qatar 19/01/02 1000 Prog.
Dino, P.O.Box 1, 23879 Verderio- Lecco, Italy

126IR/0 Nicaragua 06/06/02 500 Prog.
Paco, P.O.Box 212, 41710 Utrera, Spain

148IR/DX Ascension Island 01/01/02 31/12/02 Herbert, P.O.Box 8, 5351 Aigen, Austria

173OR/DX Reunion Island 01/03/02 30/09/02 OR-Group, P.O.Box 26, 03700 Bellevive/A, France

191AT/DX Swaziland 29/06/02 01/07/02
Luca, P.O.Box 180, 66054 Vasto-CH, Italy

196RC/DX Guardaloupe 02/03/02 500 Prog.
Stephane, P.O.Box 3, 18500 Foecy, France

28OT/DX Honduras 01/02/02 500 Prog.
Mario, P.O.Box 100, 15562 Ruedersdorf, Germany

301AS/DX Armenia 25/02/02 500 Prog.
Panos, P.O.Box 3795, 13201 Petroupoli, Greece

314SD/DX Turkoman 19/01/02 1000 Prog.
Yannick, P.O.Box 1, 64300 Malacq, France

33SD/DX Alaska 01/02/02 31/12/02
Dino, P.O.Box 1, 23879 Verderio- Lecco, Italy

33TRC/0 Alaska 01/03/02 31/09/02
Nasko, P.O.Box 49, 6100 Kazanlak, Bulgaria

41AS/DX New Zealand 01/02/02 200 Prog.
Jim, P.O.Box 63501, 15202 Filothei, Greece

44TRC/0 South Africa 24/03/02 1000 Prog. Nasko, P.O.Box 49, 6100
Kazanlak, Bulgaria

57LD/DX India 01/05/02 1000 Prog.
Paolo, P.O.Box 2, 25017 Lonato, Italy

61LD/DX Ecuador 20/01/02 500 Prog.
Paolo, P.O.Box 2, 25017 Lonato, Italy

67IR/DX Paraguay 15/01/02 1000 Prog.
Paco, P.O.Box 212, 41710 Utrera, Spain

6AS/DX Columbia 01/04/02 600 Prog.
Jim, P.O.Box 63501, 15202 Filothei, Greece

6RMA/DX Colombia 01/01/02 1000 Prog.
Paco, P.O.Box 4109, 03080 Alicante, Spain

75RMA/DX Azores Islands 01/01/02 1000 Prog. Paco, P.O.Box 4109, 03080
Alicante, Spain

76RK/DX Marocco 01/01/02 30/10/02
Willem, P.O.Box 3048, 4800DA Breda, Holland

80WD/DX Bolivia 01/01/02 1000 Prog.
Riccardo, P.O.Box 9, 50065 Pontassieve, Italy

84VC/DX Ivory Coast 01/07/02 500 Prog.
Frank, P.O.Box 124, 78702 Conflans-cdx, France

97AS/DX Israel 01/01/02 500 Prog.
Panos, P.O.Box 3795, 13201 Petroupoli, Greece
****
Coming Soon
****
109KPI/0 Hungary 1000 Prog.
Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain

115KPI/0 Qatar 1000 Prog.
Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain

12KPI/0 Uruguay 1000 Prog.
Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain

134FAT/0 Rep. Of Belau 08/02 08/02
Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854 Caudan CDX, France

140FAT/0 Antarctica 2003 2003
Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854 Caudan CDX, France

140IR/AA-URA Antarctica soon
Day, P.O.Box B19, 01001 Kyiv, Ukraine

167IR/0 Jersey Island 02/09/02 10/09/02
Eric, P.O.Box 39, 3200 Aarschot, Belgium

168RS/0 Mauritius Island 12/09/02 19/09/02 Mauro, P.O. Box 7045, 16148
Genova-GE, Italy

180LD/0 Oman soon
Dario, P.O.Box 28, 25082 Botticino, Italy

186KPI/0 Djibouti 1000 Prog.
Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain

203DQ/DX China 01/09/02 31/10/02
Chris, P.O.Box 184, NN3-9JH Northampton, U.K.

225AT/0 Brunei 05/08/02 11/08/02
Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo D'Orlando, Italy

234AT/DX Afghanistan
Juan, P.O.Box 412, 11100 San Fernando, Spain

238SD/0 Cambodia 10/09/02 25/09/02
Xavier, P.O.Box 36, 3460 Bekkevoort, Belgium

301KPI/0 Armenia 1000 Prog.
Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain

311DQ/0 Lithuania postponed
Slawek, P.O.Box 56, 87800 Wloclawek-2, Poland

312AT/DX Moldavia 01/07/02 12/07/02
Yoann, P.O.Box 15, 21120 Is Sur Tille, France

325AT/0 Rotuma Island 30/08/02 06/09/02
Andy, P.O.Box 62, 29266 Brest-cdx, France

337LD/0 Austral Island 28/10/02 29/11/02 Stefania, P.O.Box 28, 25082
Botticino, Italy

341AT/0 East Timor 27/07/02 05/08/02
Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo D'Orlando, Italy

36IR/DX Rep. of San Marino postponed
Herbert, P.O.Box 8, 5351 Aigen, Austria

44KPI/0 South Africa 1000 Prog.
Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain

5HF/0 Venezuela 01/07/02 1000 Prog.
Eliane, P.O.Box 10, 83910 Pourrieres, France

60DQ/0 Hong Kong soon
Simon, P.O.Box 242, 16041 Praha-6, Czech Rep.

72KPI/0 Guatemala 1000 Prog.
Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain

99AT/DX Fiji Islands 06/09/02 11/09/02
Andy, P.O.Box 62, 29266 Brest-cdx, France
****
I.O.T.A. - Islands On The Air
****

11AT/NA099 Puerto Rico 15/06/02 ??/07/02 Oscar, P.O.Box 101, 28830 San Fernando, Spain

133FAT/OC086 Saipan Island 15/01/02 500 Prog. Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854 Caudan CDX, France

143FAT/NA108 San Lucia Isl. 01/02/02 1500 Prog. Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854 Caudan CDX, France

166RC/NA145 Saba Island 01/02/02 500 Prog. Stephane, P.O.Box 3, 18500 Foecy, France

20LD/EU003 Hinnoya Island 04/01/02 500 Prog. Luis, P.O.Box 11092, 46006 Valencia, Spain

230SD/OC011 Micronesia Isl. 13/03/02 1000
Prog. Steve, P.O.Box 15, 79110 Chef-Boutonne, France

24SD/NA203 Iguana Island 01/02/02
Pepe, P.O.Box 105, 11500 Puerto Real, Spain

299AT/NA095 Desecheo Island 15/06/02 15/09/02 1AT058 Alex, xxxxxxxxxxxxx

33LD/NA019 Kodiak Island 04/01/02 500 Prog. Luis, P.O.Box 11092, 46006 Valencia, Spain

3IR/SA071 Santo Amaro Island 15/01/02 500 Prog. Paco, P.O.Box 212, 41710 Utrera, Spain

63FAT/AF022 St. Helena Island 18/12/01 1500 Prog. Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854 Caudan CDX, France

79FAT/OC042 Luzon Island 15/01/02 500 Prog. Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854 Caudan CDX, France

91AT/OC151 Sumba Island 15/06/02 15/09/02 Antonio, P.O.Box 360, 87100 Cosenza-CS, Italy
****
I.O.T.A. - Islands Soon On The Air
****
106SP/AF069 Parsley Island 26/07/02 28/07/02 Sebas, P.O.Box 428, 07300 Inca-BI, Spain

152RC/AS013 Maldive Islands soon
John, P.O.Box 362, 1860 Aigle, Switzerland

173RS/AF016 Reunion Island 13/09/02 19/09/02 Romain, P.O.Box 132, 77194 Dammarie, France

178AT/EU181 Sveta Anastasya Isl. 16/08/02 17/08/02 Simon, P.O.Box 178, 70056 Molfetta (BA), Italy

207AT/NA199 ?? Island 01/08/02 03/08/02
Laurent, P.O.Box 21, 83440 Tanneron, France

225AT/OC184 Coastal Island 04/08/02 11/08/02 Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo D'Orlando, Italy

238SD/AS133 Koh Poah Island 10/09/02 25/09/02 Xavier, P.O.Box 36, 3460 Bekkevoort, Belgium

91AT/OC148 Timor Island 27/07/02 05/08/02 Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo D'Orlando, Italy
91AT/OC217 Kamangen Island 27/07/02 05/08/02 Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo D'Orlando, Italy

91AT/OC237 Java Coast 27/07/02 05/08/02
Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo D'Orlando, Italy
****
Holiday - Stations
****
10/13IR101 Mexico 10/01/02
Heike, P.O.Box 1410, 91142 Roth, Germany

117/161IR999 Egypt 01/04/02 31/12/02
Marek, P.O.Box 6, 22-104 Chelm-5, Poland

204/1AT976 Mozambique 13/06/02 13/07/02
Luca, P.O.Box 180, 66054 Vasto-CH, Italy

2IR/NY001 (iwi) Grand Island 01/04/02 500 Prog. Rob, B.O.Box 22, 3140AA Maasluis, Netherlands

2IR/NY028 (iwi) Goat Island 01/04/02 500 Prog. Rob, B.O.Box 22, 3140AA Maasluis, Netherlands

57/13MU133 India 25/07/02 14/08/02
Sebastian, P.O.Box 730100, 13062 Berlin, Germany
****
Interesting - Stations On The Air
****
PLEASE CHECK : WWW.DXNEWS.DE button DXNEWS >> HOT STATIONS
****

If you want to SUBSCRIBE, please visit our homepage at http://www.dxnews.de
****
---

153. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 19:27:10 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6123-3D21FE8E-187@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129182

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
Dave,
>Forgive the top post (you already know what you said), but I have already stopped
trying to help, for the most part, because it seems to start "fires".<

Um, Jerry..... so does referring to cbers who enjoy DX as "wannabe hams". (Told you I read the thread in that group). You don't think that comment is inflammatory? Amazing some of you hammies fail to see your own derogatory comments directed toward cbers.

>someone asks
the dreaded "coax length" question, and I answer with the true dope that coax length
doesn't matter except when placing antennas in phase, it starts a long discussion of
VF and counter-claims ad infinitum. So I generally keep quiet these days except for
the most innocuous questions. I do find it hard not to respond to the "freeband" questions, particularly where it concerns illegal operations in the ham bands.
73
Jerry<

And that's a damn shame, Jerry, as you have much knowledge to share concerning non-hammies. But you ain't no dummy, and you can more than likely see that most of the freeband questions are shill ringers in order to start trouble,,follow that trail.

154. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 19:36:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26833-3D2200A1-408@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129183

From: novaman28@webtv.net (Donnie Broyles)
  I had,for years,believed that the best height for your antenna is
right in a wavelength

This is hammie voodoo, for all practical purposes.
The higher the antenna the better.

155. Re: Pathetic!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 19:38:16 UTC
From: "Dr. StrangeLove" <doc@housecall.com>
Message-ID: <IinU8.521908$%y.35028156@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129181

"CoaxLengthPolice" <coaxlengthpolice@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020702111157.23698.00001980@mb-fi.aol.com...
> http://www.qrz.com/detail/N8WWM

Chuckie Got Spanked?

156. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 19:39:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26834-3D220184-188@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129184

Sure, wavelengths exist, but your cb antenna will perform better the higher it is. Sure 36 feet may mean something technically, but again, for all practical cb real-world purposes, 60 feet has a huge advantage over 36. The higher the better..go as high as you feel comfortable with.

157. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 19:44:51 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26834-3D2202B3-190@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129188

From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>Now THERE'S a wierdo! I've heard of this "diet" and wouldn't get near someone selling it. <<

>Oh really? Didn't your wife take it? <<

Especially since "Dr." Malkamus is under "cease and desist" orders in several states for making unsubstantiated claims. Anyone with half a brain would see that this "doctor" is selling nothing but snake-oils.

>So does that mean -YOU- lack brains? <

>>Where did you hear of this guy?
Kevin, WB5RUE <<

>I heard of him from -YOU-!
Like I said before,please stop lying! <

http://www.hacres.com/forum/display_message.asp?mid=1198

(Chuckle) This does seem to be a congregating spot for lying hammies, lately.

158. Re: New Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 19:49:27 UTC
From: "Dr. StrangeLove" <doc@housecall.com>
Message-ID: <btnU8.94414$Ca2.5171760@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129186

"Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5c35b3ab.0207012314.9cf460c@posting.google.com...
> http://www.geocities.com/aaronhvoobner/akcshirts.html
>
> http://www.geocities.com/aaronvoobner/voobner.htm
>
>
>
> -A

How much are we getting for the shirts? I am getting a booth at Timonium Ham fest and will be selling them there.
If all goes well they will be offered at the rest of the hamfests this season.

159. Re: Anti's are punks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 19:55:07 UTC
From: "Dr. StrangeLove" <doc@housecall.com>
Message-ID: <vynU8.244971$_j6.12161763@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129187

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:ohaU8.20311$eF5.660209@twister.austin.rr.com...

> "George", you may want the caps and punctuation.

What does this statement mean?

>You're drifting a little close to real you.

How about this? How am I drifting?

160. Re: Constant Noise in my radio. Drowns out stations.Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 19:57:16 UTC
From: SLOPUMP@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6967-3D22059C-529@storefull-2151.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129189

Twisted,I'm glad someone else thought electrical equipment.If you live near a factory or hospital,it may be cause by malfunctioning equipment.

161. Re: Constant Noise in my radio. Drowns out stations.Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 21:44:50 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ui47qs46a7k074@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129190

Just so you know, HTML postings are not welcome on UseNet groups not specifically designated for such things, such as "binaries" groups.

One last bit of advice... None of the rest of us can see your background or the two pictures in your signature... Only you can, as you have the proper "cookie" from Tripod. All I see are blank boxes where the images should be... I can barely read the text, either. Pink text on a light grey background just don't cut the mustard...

PH

"DONNIE" <SLOPUMP@webtv.net> wrote in message news:6967-3D22059C-529@storefull-2151.public.lawson.webtv.net... Twisted,I'm glad someone else thought electrical equipment.If you live near a factory or hospital,it may be cause by malfunctioning equipment.

IF I LEAVE HERE TOMORROW,WILL YOU STILL REMEMBER ME?FOR I MUST BE TRAVELING ON NOW,AND THERE'S TOO MANY PLACES I'VE GOT TO SEE.

162. Re: Constant Noise in my radio. Drowns out stations.Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 21:55:17 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <9jpU8.15572$YC4.213814@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 129191

I don't know if this will help but it could be skip. Does it seem to go away when the sun is down? If it does then it could very likely be skip. When you get 100s of CBers on the radio at the same time it will be just like you described and fade away as you go further away from the regular 40 ch but remain prevalent up to 28 MHz. I can remember back in the late 70s I couldn't squelch out the skip or talk to the end of the block even on ssb. Back then we also had to contend with the Russian Woodpecker, (over the horizon radar from Russia) and that would bring up a lot of questions. Some people thought that it was a form of radio tracking used by the FCC or DOC. Get a good tunable short-wave receiver and see if you can pick it up. Then tune to see if their is a center frequency or if it is the same across all 40. then look at the drop at the front and back i.e.. 26.000 MHz and 28 MHz. If it drops sharply below Ch-01 or above Ch-40, (26.9650 MHz or above 27.4050 MHz) then it is just skip. If you can find a center frequency then it is just as easy to track as tracking an other CBer. You may want to look at some of the things in your house like your computer, (bad generators of RF on 11 meters) Florescent lights and even radio scanners will do it. Even a bad power supply to your radio can generate it. Try shutting all of the electrical things down in your house 1 by 1 and see if it stops. The troublesome ones are things like house alarm and motion detectors that have no on or off switch. I hope that I was some help. It has been a while since I have had to track non-human noise on 11 meters.

"RUSTYAMERI" <rustyameri@aol.comPROMARS> wrote in message
news:20020701224148.16714.00001804@mb-ch.aol.com...
> Hi all. This is actually a friends of mine email address. Here is the
thing, on
> all the CB channels on my radio, there is like 5-9 pounds of constant
noise.
> Not the typical CB traffic noise, but more like an interference static
> transformy type of noise. you know like when an FM station is just noise.
As I
> go up in frequency outside the CB range, the noise gets less and less, and
> around 28 mhz, it is significantly reduced. Is anyone else on the east
coast
> noticing that there is more noise than usual? Esepcially on the CB range?
I
> have been into radios for awhile, and this is something I've never heard,
It
> even drowns out the typical sideband stuff you hear on channels 37 and 38.
> Yikes.
> ---REMOVE PROMARS FROM MY E-MAIL ADDRESS TO E-MAIL ME

163. Re: Where can I get a magnetic mount (4 seperate magnets)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 21:56:51 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ui48hfp8gmte84@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129193

While not a real great place to shop for it, here you go...

<http://www.copper.com/cgi-bin/checkitout/checkitout.cgi?catalogSTORE:CKIE:p
rodV10-06064>

PH

"Gary C." <radiobier@dslextreme.com> wrote in message
news:ui2kps8mdirv2c@corp.supernews.com...
> I would like to know where I can buy a good strong magnetic mount with 4
> seperate magnets. Any input appreciated.
>
>
> Thanks,
>
> Gary
>
>

164. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 22:06:22 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <9q84iuo07pdli0dme8b3ura87kfcuvbsnh@4ax.com>
Source record: 129192

On Tue, 2 Jul 2002 15:39:48 -0400 (EDT), twistedhed@webtv.net
(Twistedhed) wrote:

>Sure, wavelengths exist, but your cb antenna will perform better the
>higher it is. Sure 36 feet may mean something technically, but again,
>for all practical cb real-world purposes, 60 feet has a huge advantage
>over 36. The higher the better..go as high as you feel comfortable with.

heh hiya twist, were you responding to me or Donnie? I couldn't tell
for sure, this news server sometimes messes up and mixes threads
around.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

165. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 22:09:56 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1025647988.70198@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 129195

60 is better than 36 on 11 mtr, if You want to work Antarctica. But 36 ft
will pretty much whoop You every where else
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:26833-3D2200A1-408@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: novaman28@webtv.net (Donnie Broyles)
I had,for years,believed that the best height for your antenna is
right in a wavelength

This is hammie voodoo, for all practical purposes.
The higher the antenna the better.

166. Re: New Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 22:10:17 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dxpU8.32091$p85.814690@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129194

"Dr. StrangeLove" <doc@housecall.com> wrote in message
news:btnU8.94414$Ca2.5171760@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:5c35b3ab.0207012314.9cf460c@posting.google.com...
> > http://www.geocities.com/aaronhvoobner/akcshirts.html
> >
> > http://www.geocities.com/aaronvoobner/voobner.htm
> >
> >
> >
> > -A
>
> How much are we getting for the shirts? I am getting a booth at Timonium
Ham
> fest and will be selling them there.
> If all goes well they will be offered at the rest of the hamfests this
> season.
>
>

Uh, George...I wouldn't order a whole bunch of shirts from the printers.
OTOH, will it be offered in toilet paper format?

167. Re: Constant Noise in my radio. Drowns out stations.Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 22:16:34 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5961-3D222642-353@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129201

From: Power_House@PrivateBox.com (Power House)
>Just so you know, HTML postings are not welcome on UseNet groups not specifically designated for such things, such as "binaries" groups.<

Just so YOU know, he didn't make a post of HTML....he made a post about interference and what could be a possible cause. The HTML looks to be part of his signature.

>One last bit of advice... None of the rest of us can see your background or the two pictures in your signature... Only you can, as you have the proper "cookie" from Tripod. All I see are blank boxes where the images should be... I can barely read the text, either. Pink text on a light grey background just don't cut the mustard...
PH<

Maybe it isn't there for anyone elses enjoyment.

168. Re: Constant Noise in my radio. Drowns out stations.Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 22:21:12 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <sHpU8.32183$p85.818246@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129196

"RUSTYAMERI" <rustyameri@aol.comPROMARS> wrote in message
news:20020701224148.16714.00001804@mb-ch.aol.com...
> Hi all. This is actually a friends of mine email address. Here is the
thing, on
> all the CB channels on my radio, there is like 5-9 pounds of constant
noise.
> Not the typical CB traffic noise, but more like an interference static
> transformy type of noise. you know like when an FM station is just noise.
As I
> go up in frequency outside the CB range, the noise gets less and less, and
> around 28 mhz, it is significantly reduced. Is anyone else on the east
coast
> noticing that there is more noise than usual? Esepcially on the CB range?
I
> have been into radios for awhile, and this is something I've never heard,
It
> even drowns out the typical sideband stuff you hear on channels 37 and 38.
> Yikes.
> ---REMOVE PROMARS FROM MY E-MAIL ADDRESS TO E-MAIL ME

Call the power company and file a complaint. They are required to either prove they are not the source, or if they are the source, to take all reasonable measures to remedy it. That being said, if it's been really dry where you are for a long time, it could be leaking insulators. In that case, all you can hope for is a hard rain.

Have you noticed the noise on your TV as well? Especially on channels 2-6?

BTW, the reason the noise gets lower when you get higher or lower in frequency, is because you are getting further away from where your antenna is resonant.

169. Re: Constant Noise in my radio. Drowns out stations.Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 22:29:42 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d221452.354705@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129197

In <ui47qs46a7k074@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:

>Just so you know, HTML postings are not welcome on UseNet groups not
>specifically designated for such things, such as "binaries" groups.
>
>One last bit of advice... None of the rest of us can see your background or
>the two pictures in your signature... Only you can, as you have the proper
>"cookie" from Tripod. All I see are blank boxes where the images should
>be... I can barely read the text, either. Pink text on a light grey
>background just don't cut the mustard...
>
>PH

Power House -- the newsgroup moderator.

170. Re: New Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 22:38:30 UTC
From: "Dr. StrangeLove" <doc@housecall.com>
Message-ID: <GXpU8.508624$Gs.35160123@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129198

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:dxpU8.32091$p85.814690@twister.austin.rr.com...

> Uh, George...I wouldn't order a whole bunch of shirts from the printers.
> OTOH, will it be offered in toilet paper format?

Why not Randy? We have recieved over 25 inquiries into the shirts already.

171. Re: P15 - Wow,Cool: HIFERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 22:40:52 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d222b0f.895826@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129199

In <5c35b3ab.0206301604.368efeec@posting.google.com>,
aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:

>Here's something I didn't know a thing about. HF Part 15 Stations
>operating in the 13.553 - 13.567 mHz region!
>
>http://home.att.net/~weatheradio/part15.htm
>
>-A

There is a noise problem with operating at 13.56MHz because that's the
freq on which a lot of plasma generators operate. Noise is very heavy
near industrial areas, and many times near universitiy campuses. But
if you can cut through the QRM it's a pretty decent freq for Part 15
operation.

172. P15 - How low can you go?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 22:42:40 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d222c29.1178360@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129200

http://www.vlf.it/

173. Re: Constant Noise in my radio. Drowns out stations.Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 22:45:53 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ui4bde4a6ip1dc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129203

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d221452.354705@news.cet.com...
> In <ui47qs46a7k074@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
> <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
>
> >Just so you know, HTML postings are not welcome on UseNet groups not
> >specifically designated for such things, such as "binaries" groups.
> >
> >One last bit of advice... None of the rest of us can see your background
or
> >the two pictures in your signature... Only you can, as you have the
proper
> >"cookie" from Tripod. All I see are blank boxes where the images should
> >be... I can barely read the text, either. Pink text on a light grey
> >background just don't cut the mustard...
> >
> >PH
>
> Power House -- the newsgroup moderator.
>

I'm the newsgroup moderator? When was I bestowed such an honor? Whish I would have known sooner, half the dumb-asses on here would have been booted by now...

I was merely informing a WebTV user that such postings are generally not welcomed, before he finds out the hard way, through some other person who is not quite so nice about it.

Keep your unwanted, and little needed, comments to yourself... Thanks!

PH

174. Re: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 22:46:07 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <P2qU8.15576$YC4.215783@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 129202

One thing I have noticed in this group is that many people say that they are Hams and post call signs but the call signs are not in the data-base or the call and the names don't match or even the ISP in the header and the address in the data-base aren't in the same state or province. The are just Ham wana-bees that are either out to start fights or think that people will take them seriously if they say they are hams. This goes on on CB all the time. I have heard people bragging on 11 meters about being hams and when I ask them to meet me on 2 meters or 20 meters the excuses start to pore out. My 2 meter rig is broken or my antenna is down. They can keep a CB running but not a 2 meter hand-held??? Next time someone is beating you with a night-stick don't assume it was the police just because it looked like a police night-stick.

"Sean" <SPAMBLOCKERbigfoot1@core.com> wrote in message
news:3d214064$0$1430$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net...
> Are any of you interested in turning this forum into something that can be
> used for educational purposes ? Would you prefer to be thought of as
> someone that helped people do the right thing or as someone that only
> preached condemnation and pushed them away from getting their license ? I
> think you know what i'm getting at. You can catch a lot more flies with
> honey than you can with vinegar.
>
> As such, how many of you are willing to contribute to threads in a manner
> that may help cber's learn from and possibly even look up to the Amateur
> community ? If you want people to do what is "right", you have to help
them
> to understand why the things that they are doing are "wrong". In order to
> do that, you have to educate them and explain things along the way. If
you
> are willing to do something like that, please make it known. I encourage
> those of you that want to make things "better" to use your personal along
> with your call sign.
>
> I'm sure that there are more than a few folks that post and read here that
> could easily end up being respected members of the Amateur radio ranks if
> properly nurtured. Even if some / most of these people don't become
> licensed amateurs, they will have at least gained knowledge as to what the
> TRUE nature of the Amateur community is about. Do us all a favor and
become
> an "Elmer". Everyone like's an "Elmer" more than they do a "Peckerhead".
> While i know that there are more than a few "Peckerhead's" on each side of
> the fence, it won't be hard to tell them apart from the people that really
> do care once we can get going. That is, IF we can get things going. Sean
> >

>
>

175. Re: New Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 22:47:29 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ui4bg8hj9fo3fc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129205

"Dr. StrangeLove" <doc@housecall.com> wrote in message
news:GXpU8.508624$Gs.35160123@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:dxpU8.32091$p85.814690@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> > Uh, George...I wouldn't order a whole bunch of shirts from the printers.
> > OTOH, will it be offered in toilet paper format?
>
> Why not Randy? We have recieved over 25 inquiries into the shirts already.
>
>

Inquiries like, "How dumb are you people?" or "Do you really believe this
crap will sell?" type?

176. Re: Constant Noise in my radio. Drowns out stations.Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 22:48:51 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <n5qU8.21837$eF5.755418@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129204

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d221452.354705@news.cet.com...
> In <ui47qs46a7k074@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
> <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
>
> >Just so you know, HTML postings are not welcome on UseNet groups not
> >specifically designated for such things, such as "binaries" groups.
> >
> >One last bit of advice... None of the rest of us can see your background
or
> >the two pictures in your signature... Only you can, as you have the
proper
> >"cookie" from Tripod. All I see are blank boxes where the images should
> >be... I can barely read the text, either. Pink text on a light grey
> >background just don't cut the mustard...
> >
> >PH
>
> Power House -- the newsgroup moderator.
>

What happened Sparky? Why the name change? Did you finally see the
resemblance in the pic at the Roslyn Cafe?

177. Re: A challenge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 22:57:50 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d222cd0.1345332@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129206

In <uhvi39ko6j4926@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote:

>Are you certain tubes would be simpler? You can buy a dds on a chip - come
>to think of it, you can buy whole sections of radios on a chip. I'm not
>convinced that tubes would be 'easier' at all.
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim

You are right, tubes are not necessarily simpler. For a basic superhet
design all you need is the local oscillator, the mixer, and the
detector. That's just two transistors and a diode. You might want to
add an IF amp for better sensitivity, and an AF amp to use a speaker
(or just send the output to your stereo). And it's just as simple with
tubes. The difference is in the construction. It's not very easy to
plug together a tube radio on a proto-board. You also need both HV and
filament power supplies. And because of the high impedance with tubes,
you need really good grounding, plus you need to watch the wiring to
prevent feedback paths that could cause oscillation.

178. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 23:00:11 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ui4c83be7384e2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129208

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D219D45.3C36@worldlynx.net...
> Many CBers have expressed the opinion that they have no desire to become
> hams. Despite the vast increases in privileges, and spectrum, and the
> relative ease of the exam today, they stubbornly refuse to go the route.
> I just don't understand why someone, who enjoys the thrill of freeband
> DXing, who operates a ham rig, would not want to upgrade to ham radio,
> where the DX can be found anytime, and not just on 11 year cycles?

I think the expression of desire to not get a license stems from the crap that goes on in here. I know that for time being, I have little to no desire to get a license. Why would I? I have no desire to associate myself with the idiots that troll around in this group. Granted, from what I hear and read, most hams are not like this, but I find it hard to believe as I see little to no "decent" hams adding anything to this group. All I see are a bunch of power hungry idiots thinking that before they have the license, they are now GOD, and do whatever they please. They belittle and harass the hell out of that don't have a license. Why would anybody want to associate themselves with such asses? I know I don't want to...

PH

179. Re: Constant Noise in my radio. Drowns out stations.Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 23:00:28 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d22305e.2255000@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129207

In <ui4bde4a6ip1dc@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d221452.354705@news.cet.com...
>> In <ui47qs46a7k074@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
>> <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Just so you know, HTML postings are not welcome on UseNet groups not
>> >specifically designated for such things, such as "binaries" groups.
>> >
>> >One last bit of advice... None of the rest of us can see your background
>or
>> >the two pictures in your signature... Only you can, as you have the
>proper
>> >"cookie" from Tripod. All I see are blank boxes where the images should
>> >be... I can barely read the text, either. Pink text on a light grey
>> >background just don't cut the mustard...
>> >
>> >PH
>>
>> Power House -- the newsgroup moderator.
>>
>
>I'm the newsgroup moderator? When was I bestowed such an honor? Whish I
>would have known sooner, half the dumb-asses on here would have been booted
>by now...
>
>I was merely informing a WebTV user that such postings are generally not
>welcomed, before he finds out the hard way, through some other person who is
>not quite so nice about it.
>
>Keep your unwanted, and little needed, comments to yourself... Thanks!

Now you are telling me what I can and can't say? Like I said before:

Power House -- the newsgroup moderator.

180. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 23:08:25 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ui4cngeokukn67@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129209

Something went wrong in that last post... Please read the corrected version
below...

PH

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:ui4c83be7384e2@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3D219D45.3C36@worldlynx.net...
> > Many CBers have expressed the opinion that they have no desire to become
> > hams. Despite the vast increases in privileges, and spectrum, and the
> > relative ease of the exam today, they stubbornly refuse to go the route.
> > I just don't understand why someone, who enjoys the thrill of freeband
> > DXing, who operates a ham rig, would not want to upgrade to ham radio,
> > where the DX can be found anytime, and not just on 11 year cycles?
>
> I think the expression of desire to not get a license stems from the crap
> that goes on in here. I know that for time being, I have little to no
> desire to get a license. Why would I? I have no desire to associate
myself
> with the idiots that troll around in this group. Granted, from what I
hear
> and read, most hams are not like this. I find it hard to believe as I
> see little to no "decent" hams adding anything to this group. All I see
are
> a bunch of power hungry idiots thinking that because they have the
license,
> they are now GOD, and can do whatever they please. They belittle and
harass the
> hell out of those that don't have a license. Why would anybody want to
associate
> themselves with such asses? I know I don't want to...
>
> PH
>

181. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 23:10:02 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d223241.2737846@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129210

In <ui4c83be7384e2@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:

>
>"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
>news:3D219D45.3C36@worldlynx.net...
>> Many CBers have expressed the opinion that they have no desire to become
>> hams. Despite the vast increases in privileges, and spectrum, and the
>> relative ease of the exam today, they stubbornly refuse to go the route.
>> I just don't understand why someone, who enjoys the thrill of freeband
>> DXing, who operates a ham rig, would not want to upgrade to ham radio,
>> where the DX can be found anytime, and not just on 11 year cycles?
>
>I think the expression of desire to not get a license stems from the crap
>that goes on in here. I know that for time being, I have little to no
>desire to get a license. Why would I? I have no desire to associate myself
>with the idiots that troll around in this group. Granted, from what I hear
>and read, most hams are not like this, but I find it hard to believe as I
>see little to no "decent" hams adding anything to this group. All I see are
>a bunch of power hungry idiots thinking that before they have the license,
>they are now GOD, and do whatever they please. They belittle and harass the
>hell out of that don't have a license. Why would anybody want to associate
>themselves with such asses? I know I don't want to...
>
>PH

I think the expression of desire to not get an amp stems from the crap
that goes on in here. I know that for time being, I have little to no
desire to get an amp. Why would I? I have no desire to associate
myself with the idiots that troll around in this group. Granted, from
what I hear and read, most amp users are not like this, but I find it
hard to believe as I see little to no "decent" amp users adding
anything to this group. All I see are a bunch of power hungry idiots
thinking that before they have the amp, they are now GOD, and do
whatever they please. They belittle and harass the hell out of that
don't have an amp. Why would anybody want to associate themselves
with such asses? I know I don't want to...

182. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 23:12:59 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d223349.3001761@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129211

In <ui4c83be7384e2@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:

>...All I see are
>a bunch of power hungry idiots...

Hmmm.... I wonder why you picked the name "Power House"?

183. Re: Constant Noise in my radio. Drowns out stations.Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 23:13:19 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ui4d0md03vc5b2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129213

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d22305e.2255000@news.cet.com...
> In <ui4bde4a6ip1dc@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
> <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
>
> >
> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d221452.354705@news.cet.com...
> >> In <ui47qs46a7k074@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
> >> <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Just so you know, HTML postings are not welcome on UseNet groups not
> >> >specifically designated for such things, such as "binaries" groups.
> >> >
> >> >One last bit of advice... None of the rest of us can see your
background
> >or
> >> >the two pictures in your signature... Only you can, as you have the
> >proper
> >> >"cookie" from Tripod. All I see are blank boxes where the images
should
> >> >be... I can barely read the text, either. Pink text on a light grey
> >> >background just don't cut the mustard...
> >> >
> >> >PH
> >>
> >> Power House -- the newsgroup moderator.
> >>
> >
> >I'm the newsgroup moderator? When was I bestowed such an honor? Wish I
> >would have known sooner, half the dumb-asses on here would have been
booted
> >by now...
> >
> >I was merely informing a WebTV user that such postings are generally not
> >welcomed, before he finds out the hard way, through some other person who
is
> >not quite so nice about it.
> >
> >Keep your unwanted, and little needed, comments to yourself... Thanks!
>
> Now you are telling me what I can and can't say? Like I said before:
>
> Power House -- the newsgroup moderator.
>

I wasn't saying that you could or could not post anything. I was stating that your comments were unwanted, and little needed, and making a suggestion that you really should keep such stupidity to yourself. If you want to make yourself look like an idiot, by all means, be my guest...

End of conversation.....

PH

184. Re: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 23:16:24 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cvqU8.222769$nZ3.103725@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 129212

no

Sean wrote:
> Are any of you interested in turning this forum into something that can be
> used for educational purposes ? Would you prefer to be thought of as
> someone that helped people do the right thing or as someone that only
> preached condemnation and pushed them away from getting their license ? I
> think you know what i'm getting at. You can catch a lot more flies with
> honey than you can with vinegar.
>
> As such, how many of you are willing to contribute to threads in a manner
> that may help cber's learn from and possibly even look up to the Amateur
> community ? If you want people to do what is "right", you have to help
them
> to understand why the things that they are doing are "wrong". In order to
> do that, you have to educate them and explain things along the way. If
you
> are willing to do something like that, please make it known. I encourage
> those of you that want to make things "better" to use your personal along
> with your call sign.
>
> I'm sure that there are more than a few folks that post and read here that
> could easily end up being respected members of the Amateur radio ranks if
> properly nurtured. Even if some / most of these people don't become
> licensed amateurs, they will have at least gained knowledge as to what the
> TRUE nature of the Amateur community is about. Do us all a favor and
become
> an "Elmer". Everyone like's an "Elmer" more than they do a "Peckerhead".
> While i know that there are more than a few "Peckerhead's" on each side of
> the fence, it won't be hard to tell them apart from the people that really
> do care once we can get going. That is, IF we can get things going. Sean
> >
>
>

185. Re: New Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 23:18:26 UTC
From: "Dr. StrangeLove" <doc@housecall.com>
Message-ID: <6xqU8.246478$_j6.12270267@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129215

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:ui4bg8hj9fo3fc@corp.supernews.com...
> Inquiries like, "How dumb are you people?" or "Do you really believe this
> crap will sell?" type?

LOL, No we have many people who it seems wants to own a shirt,.

186. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 23:19:05 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2233bb.3115675@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129214

In <ui4cngeokukn67@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:

>Something went wrong in that last post... Please read the corrected version
>below...

We understood what you meant, PH. You were saying that anyone who
opposes illegal operators is actually a ham that hates CBers, and that
if they can have an attitude then so can you. Nice justification,
except for two very important points: Not everyone that opposes
illegal operation is a ham, and not all hams hate CBers.

187. Re: A challenge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 23:22:33 UTC
From: "Dr. StrangeLove" <doc@housecall.com>
Message-ID: <ZAqU8.575934$Oa1.36537071@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129216

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d222cd0.1345332@news.cet.com...
> You are right, tubes are not necessarily simpler. For a basic superhet
> design all you need is the local oscillator, the mixer, and the
> detector. That's just two transistors and a diode. You might want to
> add an IF amp for better sensitivity, and an AF amp to use a speaker
> (or just send the output to your stereo). And it's just as simple with
> tubes. The difference is in the construction. It's not very easy to
> plug together a tube radio on a proto-board. You also need both HV and
> filament power supplies. And because of the high impedance with tubes,
> you need really good grounding, plus you need to watch the wiring to
> prevent feedback paths that could cause oscillation.
>

Is this like Junkyard Wars?

188. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-02 23:43:51 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <iid4iucj7jsjb60d4lh9ge8oj8852vbqrj@4ax.com>
Source record: 129217

On Tue, 02 Jul 2002 23:19:05 GMT, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
(yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

>In <ui4cngeokukn67@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
><Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
>
>>Something went wrong in that last post... Please read the corrected version
>>below...
>
>We understood what you meant, PH. You were saying that anyone who
>opposes illegal operators is actually a ham that hates CBers, and that
>if they can have an attitude then so can you. Nice justification,
>except for two very important points: Not everyone that opposes
>illegal operation is a ham, and not all hams hate CBers.

Here's my 2 cents on the subject. I'm a licensed ham, but spend more
time on 11 meters. When I'm at work I spend a lot of time on 70cm and
220. When I'm home at night, I spend most of the time on 11 meters. As
for illegal operators, I have no problem with people running ham gear
on 11 meters, as long as they don't get carried away. I admit my radio
at home is a 2980 base. Yes it has 100's of channels, and yes it puts
out a whopping 10 watts AM, 25 watts SSB. With my A99 on the roof I
have no problem talking 30+ miles on AM, and I can talk skip all over
the world on SSB when conditions are good. I have no desire to use an
amp, or run a radio like the 2990 that has a lot more power. There are
a few idiots that talk on adjacent channels that I use, that are
running 100's of watts with dirty radios, splattering all the
channels. Those are the assholes that I hate.

.....And to the "anti" trolls, don't bother replying. I have most of
you filtered out anyway.

189. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 00:04:24 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d223dcb.5691712@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129218

In <iid4iucj7jsjb60d4lh9ge8oj8852vbqrj@4ax.com>, Darrin
<nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote:

>On Tue, 02 Jul 2002 23:19:05 GMT, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
>(yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
>
>>In <ui4cngeokukn67@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
>><Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Something went wrong in that last post... Please read the corrected version
>>>below...
>>
>>We understood what you meant, PH. You were saying that anyone who
>>opposes illegal operators is actually a ham that hates CBers, and that
>>if they can have an attitude then so can you. Nice justification,
>>except for two very important points: Not everyone that opposes
>>illegal operation is a ham, and not all hams hate CBers.
>
>Here's my 2 cents on the subject. I'm a licensed ham, but spend more
>time on 11 meters. When I'm at work I spend a lot of time on 70cm and
>220. When I'm home at night, I spend most of the time on 11 meters. As
>for illegal operators, I have no problem with people running ham gear
>on 11 meters, as long as they don't get carried away.

How do you feel about unlicensed operators on 10 meters or any other
ham band "as long as they don't get carried away"?

> I admit my radio
>at home is a 2980 base. Yes it has 100's of channels, and yes it puts
>out a whopping 10 watts AM, 25 watts SSB. With my A99 on the roof I
>have no problem talking 30+ miles on AM, and I can talk skip all over
>the world on SSB when conditions are good. I have no desire to use an
>amp, or run a radio like the 2990 that has a lot more power. There are
>a few idiots that talk on adjacent channels that I use, that are
>running 100's of watts with dirty radios, splattering all the
>channels. Those are the assholes that I hate.

If your radio you use is... well, just 'slightly' illegal, why not
just run legally?

>.....And to the "anti" trolls, don't bother replying. I have most of
>you filtered out anyway.

Even if they have a valid point to make?

190. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 00:21:13 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <v8g4iucqm831902gp748pls0ibfdl5rcvh@4ax.com>
Source record: 129219

On Wed, 03 Jul 2002 00:04:24 GMT, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
(yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

>In <iid4iucj7jsjb60d4lh9ge8oj8852vbqrj@4ax.com>, Darrin
><nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote:
>>Here's my 2 cents on the subject. I'm a licensed ham, but spend more
>>time on 11 meters. When I'm at work I spend a lot of time on 70cm and
>>220. When I'm home at night, I spend most of the time on 11 meters. As
>>for illegal operators, I have no problem with people running ham gear
>>on 11 meters, as long as they don't get carried away.
>
>How do you feel about unlicensed operators on 10 meters or any other
>ham band "as long as they don't get carried away"?

No, they should not be on any Amateur Band. I meant by "carried
away", running power.

>> I admit my radio
>>at home is a 2980 base. Yes it has 100's of channels, and yes it puts
>>out a whopping 10 watts AM, 25 watts SSB. With my A99 on the roof I
>>have no problem talking 30+ miles on AM, and I can talk skip all over
>>the world on SSB when conditions are good. I have no desire to use an
>>amp, or run a radio like the 2990 that has a lot more power. There are
>>a few idiots that talk on adjacent channels that I use, that are
>>running 100's of watts with dirty radios, splattering all the
>>channels. Those are the assholes that I hate.
>
>If your radio you use is... well, just 'slightly' illegal, why not
>just run legally?

Because every 11 meter CB base I've owned was junk. The only exception
was the "Washington", which was good, not great. Now some people will
say that 2980/Saturn is junk, but at least the one I own works and
sounds great.

>>.....And to the "anti" trolls, don't bother replying. I have most of
>>you filtered out anyway.
>
>Even if they have a valid point to make?

Unfortunately, I have no time to fish out their valid points, from
their troll posts.

191. Re: Constant Noise in my radio. Drowns out stations.Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 00:23:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10161-3D2243FD-483@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129222

Saprky wrote:
>I wasn't saying that you could or could not post anything. I was stating that your comments were unwanted, and little needed, and making a suggestion that you really should keep such stupidity to yourself.<

Stop sharing yours and watch the returns.

> If you want to make yourself look like an idiot, by all means, be my guest... <

You did that all by yourself not being able to tell a sig from content conducive to cb.

>End of conversation..... <

It's over when say it is, mister.

>PH<

Feelings of loneliness and isolation = Frank in drag. NOW, it's over.

192. Re: CB Radio for home use

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 00:27:30 UTC
From: Bill <wells_t@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <SxrU8.260152$6m5.224777@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 129220

Guess if you add an antenna it'll work better for ya.

"leonard bailey" <lb5565_33@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:sduS8.23089$y62.311482@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com...
> add an antenna
> "Barbara Lene" <catealley@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:29414777.0206190623.225b5338@posting.google.com...
> > my Dad recently passed away and my Mom gave me his cb radio. Although
> > it has instructions, its greek to me. I would like to use the radio
> > in the house. Is that possible? I can hear faint voices on the
> > radio. I have the volume turned up as loud as it can go. What
> > channels should I use. How can I get the voices to come in louder and
> > clearer. Any help would be appreciated.
>
>

193. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 00:28:31 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d22437d$0$13949$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129221

I don't remember mentioning Hallelujah Diet in this newsgroup.

Yes, my wife used to use the Barley Green Supplement until we learned a bit more about what that company sells. It was suggested by one of her friends. So what. There is a BIG difference between taking a Barley Green Supplement that hacres sells and subscribing to the diet. I see that you must have done a search with my name in order to dig up some dirt or something. Go back to your sandbox, keep digging.

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020702131001.665$iY@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>Now THERE'S a wierdo! I've heard of this "diet" and wouldn't get near
> > someone selling it.
> >
> Oh really? Didn't your wife take it?
> >> Especially since "Dr." Malkamus is under "cease and
> > desist" orders in several states for making unsubstantiated claims.
> > Anyone with half a brain would see that this "doctor" is selling nothing
> > but snake-oils.
> >
> So does that mean -YOU- lack brains?

No, but your messages show that you lack maturity.

> >
> >
> >>Where did you hear of this guy?
> >
> > Kevin, WB5RUE
> >
> I heard of him from -YOU-!
>
> Like I said before,please stop lying!

> http://www.hacres.com/forum/display_message.asp?mid=1198

Ok, show me where I lied.

Kevin, WB5RUE
It isn't that the world is full of fools like this fellow, it's that the lightning isn't distributed right.

194. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 00:31:43 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10162-3D2245EF-207@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129224

From: arcweb@bnnorth.net (Keith Hosman)
On Tue, 2 Jul 2002 15:39:48 -0400 (EDT), twistedhed@webtv.net
(Twistedhed) wrote:
Sure, wavelengths exist, but your cb antenna will perform better the
higher it is. Sure 36 feet may mean something technically, but again,
for all practical cb real-world purposes, 60 feet has a huge advantage
over 36. The higher the better..go as high as you feel comfortable with.

>heh hiya twist, were you responding to me or Donnie? I couldn't tell
for sure, this news server sometimes messes up and mixes threads around.
73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist<

Hey Keith. Nope, wasn't really putting it out to anyone in
particular,,,just talking to hear myself talk...in retrospect I guess it
came from the poster asking about wavelengths. If someone picks up on
it, great, if not, that's great too. They'll fare better at a higher
altitude for their antenna.

195. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 00:34:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10161-3D2246A9-486@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129223

From: noone@home.com (crossfire)
>60 is better than 36 on 11 mtr, if You want to work Antarctica. But 36 ft will pretty much whoop You every where else <

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:26833-3D2200A1-408@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net... From: novaman28@webtv.net (Donnie Broyles) >>I had,for years,believed that the best height for your antenna is right in a wavelength <<

This is hammie voodoo, for all practical purposes. The higher the antenna the better.

BUT.....he was asking in relation to CB, which is 11 meter. The higher the better, for all practical cb related purposes.

196. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 00:56:29 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ui4j22ja98ma1b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129226

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d223241.2737846@news.cet.com...
> In <ui4c83be7384e2@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
> <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> >news:3D219D45.3C36@worldlynx.net...
> >> Many CBers have expressed the opinion that they have no desire to
become
> >> hams. Despite the vast increases in privileges, and spectrum, and the
> >> relative ease of the exam today, they stubbornly refuse to go the
route.
> >> I just don't understand why someone, who enjoys the thrill of freeband
> >> DXing, who operates a ham rig, would not want to upgrade to ham radio,
> >> where the DX can be found anytime, and not just on 11 year cycles?
> >
> >I think the expression of desire to not get a license stems from the crap
> >that goes on in here. I know that for time being, I have little to no
> >desire to get a license. Why would I? I have no desire to associate
myself
> >with the idiots that troll around in this group. Granted, from what I
hear
> >and read, most hams are not like this, but I find it hard to believe as I
> >see little to no "decent" hams adding anything to this group. All I see
are
> >a bunch of power hungry idiots thinking that before they have the
license,
> >they are now GOD, and do whatever they please. They belittle and harass
the
> >hell out of that don't have a license. Why would anybody want to
associate
> >themselves with such asses? I know I don't want to...
> >
> >PH
>
> I think the expression of desire to not get an amp stems from the crap
> that goes on in here. I know that for time being, I have little to no
> desire to get an amp. Why would I? I have no desire to associate
> myself with the idiots that troll around in this group. Granted, from
> what I hear and read, most amp users are not like this, but I find it
> hard to believe as I see little to no "decent" amp users adding
> anything to this group. All I see are a bunch of power hungry idiots
> thinking that before they have the amp, they are now GOD, and do
> whatever they please. They belittle and harass the hell out of that

> don't have an amp. Why would anybody want to associate themselves
> with such asses? I know I don't want to...

I can see you are seriously trying to get yourself filtered... For your information, or lack there of, I DO NOT own any form of amplification device that pertains to RF communication. The only amplifiers I own are those which power the speakers in my car and my home. As I stated in a previous post to you...

"...your comments [are] unwanted, and little needed, and... ...you really should keep such stupidity to yourself. If you want to make yourself look like an idiot, by all means, be my guest..."

PH

197. Re: New Galaxy radios on the way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 00:59:27 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020702205927.01997.00002746@mb-ma.news.cs.com>
Source record: 129227

Hi Sean,

I'd love to see a pic of this new Galaxy. Can you supply a link or e-mail me a pic?

>As to the problem with frequency drift, this uses the newer 6900 board.

Not to bust chops, but I have the 148FGTL DX+ and it too is based on the newer (non-SMT) 6900 board and it drifts a tad while warming up to. My first Galaxy was a 3600-based Galaxy 2100 and to tell you the truth, the receive on it was so good, I didn't mind the little bit of drift a bit.

>A speech processor does nothing but raise the average level of audio
>and clip off the peaks.

Set correctly, it can mean being the one heard in a pile-up or even "closing the band."

>In my opinion, the
>generic speech processors that i've seen installed in more than a few radios
>are one of the most over-rated products on the market.

I'll take a SP-1a over an echo device any day of the week.

>Just ask Cobra how
>well their "speech processor" aka "Soundtracker" worked for them.

Cobra's 'Soundtracker' is not a speech processor, it's a compandor and requires the receiving station to be likewise equipped. I'm no fan of it either.

>The fact
>that they have pulled this "feature" out of most of their radios should tell
>you something.

Yep, it sucked. <ggg> Take it easy, Sean.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

198. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 01:00:32 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ui4j9q3roqf65e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129228

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d223349.3001761@news.cet.com...
> In <ui4c83be7384e2@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
> <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
>
> >...All I see are
> >a bunch of power hungry idiots...
>
> Hmmm.... I wonder why you picked the name "Power House"?
>

Getting pretty bad when you have to twist around my words and put them in a context they weren't intended to be in... Sorry pal, but you have some real growing up to do...

And for your information, or lack there of once again, the handle was given to me by the locals, and came from the fact that at one time I lived on top of a large hill, and basically had "power" over the area, as I was the one and only person able to relay messages back and forth to those that could not make the contact to the person they desired to speak to. The area is VERY saturated with iron ore, and rather hilly, thus making communication more difficult...

PH

199. I wish everyone a safe and happy 4th of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 01:02:38 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <r6j4iuktf1o7f4q0luec8ri4ela8qc9114@4ax.com>
Source record: 129225

don't burn your house down with fireworks, and don;t get too hungover
or too sunburnt.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

200. Re: I wish everyone a safe and happy 4th of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 01:03:52 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020702210352.01997.00002747@mb-ma.news.cs.com>
Source record: 129229

Same to you, Keith...and to everyone else on the newsgroup. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

201. Re: New Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 01:04:55 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ui4jht9ddf4e99@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129230

"Dr. StrangeLove" <doc@housecall.com> wrote in message
news:6xqU8.246478$_j6.12270267@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:ui4bg8hj9fo3fc@corp.supernews.com...
> > Inquiries like, "How dumb are you people?" or "Do you really believe
this
> > crap will sell?" type?
>
>
> LOL, No we have many people who it seems wants to own a shirt,.
>
>

Well, I'm glad you saw the humor in it, and didn't take it as a hit like
most people on this group would have...

Have a good one!
PH

202. Re: I wish everyone a safe and happy 4th of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 01:32:27 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <LusU8.887$pD3.87764124@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129231

"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:r6j4iuktf1o7f4q0luec8ri4ela8qc9114@4ax.com...
>
>
> don't burn your house down with fireworks, and don;t get too hungover
> or too sunburnt.
>
> 73 de Keith
> KC8TCQ
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
> Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
> American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

Same to you Keith. I'm not worried
about me, as much as the other idiots with
bottle rockets.

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

203. Re: New Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 01:32:27 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <LusU8.886$pD3.87764124@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129232

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:ui4bg8hj9fo3fc@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Dr. StrangeLove" <doc@housecall.com> wrote in message
> news:GXpU8.508624$Gs.35160123@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >
> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:dxpU8.32091$p85.814690@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > > Uh, George...I wouldn't order a whole bunch of shirts from the
printers.
> > > OTOH, will it be offered in toilet paper format?
> >
> > Why not Randy? We have recieved over 25 inquiries into the shirts
already.
> >
> >
>
> Inquiries like, "How dumb are you people?" or "Do you really believe this
> crap will sell?" type?
>
>
>
>

LOL, is it your screen nics doing the
inquires George?
Also, how do you know how many inquires
Aaron has? Unless.....

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

204. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 01:44:16 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <QFsU8.73045$UT.5075567@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129234

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:ui4c83be7384e2@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3D219D45.3C36@worldlynx.net...
> > Many CBers have expressed the opinion that they have no desire to
become
> > hams. Despite the vast increases in privileges, and spectrum, and the
> > relative ease of the exam today, they stubbornly refuse to go the
route.
> > I just don't understand why someone, who enjoys the thrill of freeband
> > DXing, who operates a ham rig, would not want to upgrade to ham radio,
> > where the DX can be found anytime, and not just on 11 year cycles?
>
> I think the expression of desire to not get a license stems from the
crap
> that goes on in here. I know that for time being, I have little to no
> desire to get a license. Why would I? I have no desire to associate
myself
> with the idiots that troll around in this group. Granted, from what I
hear
> and read, most hams are not like this, but I find it hard to believe as
I
> see little to no "decent" hams adding anything to this group. All I see
are
> a bunch of power hungry idiots thinking that before they have the
license,
> they are now GOD, and do whatever they please. They belittle and harass
the
> hell out of that don't have a license. Why would anybody want to
associate
> themselves with such asses? I know I don't want to...
>
> PH

Been a while since I have been called GOD. I do pretty much what I please tho. Like the proverbial rabbit who was asked why he slept in a refrigerator, "This is a Westinghouse and I am Westing" call it rec.radio and I will recreate to my heart's content.

Seriously tho, I am more or less the senior ham on this group, Bert is a new Extra, Jerry Oxendine is, Jim is and we all make no pretense of much of anything and put out the call signs for all to see; Wysiwyg. Doc and a few others choose not to be so accomodating but they are pretty calm grandpa types like the rest of us. So I kind of wonder who you are

talking about.
Yes there is George but he is simply insane and blocking giganews does him in. Dr. Strangelove is the current manifestation or infestation as you like.

Aaron denies a ticket, Sparky denies a ticket and they seem to be the local branch of Legal, Eagle, Beagle, and Briefcase. There are a few Lifer Techs floating around trying for respect and not getting it. There are any number of Temp Techs (e.g. Jay in the Mojave) who are reasonable. Just whom might you have a problem with?

I will admit to being a little tough on CB Mythology wherever I see it. But in general I believe ignorance to be curable where stupidity is for life so I try to educate the ignorant where I can and killfile the stupid. But I don't often use the hobnail boots and the rest of the guys are far nicer than I am.

Dick NBK

205. Re: New Galaxy radios on the way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 01:51:59 UTC
From: Jesse Stanley <superstar@frognet.net>
Message-ID: <oCsU8.815$pv6.124068@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 129233

www.galaxyradios.com theres a pic of them on there

Bert Craig wrote in message
<20020702205927.01997.00002746@mb-ma.news.cs.com>...
>Hi Sean,
>
>I'd love to see a pic of this new Galaxy. Can you supply a link or e-mail
me a
>pic?
>
>>As to the problem with frequency drift, this uses the newer 6900 board.
>
>Not to bust chops, but I have the 148FGTL DX+ and it too is based on the
newer
>(non-SMT) 6900 board and it drifts a tad while warming up to. My first
Galaxy
>was a 3600-based Galaxy 2100 and to tell you the truth, the receive on it
was
>so good, I didn't mind the little bit of drift a bit.
>
>>A speech processor does nothing but raise the average level of audio
>>and clip off the peaks.
>
>Set correctly, it can mean being the one heard in a pile-up or even
"closing
>the band."
>
>>In my opinion, the
>>generic speech processors that i've seen installed in more than a few
radios
>>are one of the most over-rated products on the market.
>
>I'll take a SP-1a over an echo device any day of the week.
>
>>Just ask Cobra how
>>well their "speech processor" aka "Soundtracker" worked for them.
>
>Cobra's 'Soundtracker' is not a speech processor, it's a compandor and
requires
>the receiving station to be likewise equipped. I'm no fan of it either.
>
>>The fact
>>that they have pulled this "feature" out of most of their radios should
tell

>>you something.
>
>Yep, it sucked. <ggg> Take it easy, Sean.
>
>73 de Bert
>WA2SI

206. Re: New Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 01:53:55 UTC
From: "Dr. StrangeLove" <doc@housecall.com>
Message-ID: <TOsU8.9834$vq.336347@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129235

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:LusU8.886$pD3.87764124@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> LOL, is it your screen nics doing the
> inquires George?
> Also, how do you know how many inquires
> Aaron has? Unless.....................
>
> Landshark

Unless ......He e-mailed me back and told me when I inquired about the price
of the shirts.

207. Re: Constant Noise in my radio. Drowns out stations.Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 01:55:53 UTC
From: SLOPUMP@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2825-3D2259A9-149@storefull-2156.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129244

I'm so sorry,I meant to take it off before I posted but t didn't work out right.

208. Re: Constant Noise in my radio. Drowns out stations.Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 01:57:26 UTC
From: Tommy Maltino <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <9SsU8.9925$vq.339453@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129236

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:10161-3D2243FD-483@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...

> Feelings of loneliness and isolation = Frank in drag. NOW, it's over.

Twisty, you need to go out in the boat your getting Landsick

209. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 02:00:22 UTC
From: Tommy Maltino <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <WUsU8.512586$Gs.35286154@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129237

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message

>>> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote:

> >>Ok, show me where I lied.

> First you posted this:

> >>Now THERE'S a wierdo! I've heard of this "diet" and wouldn't get near
someone selling it.

> But in reality you're married to someone who has used it:
> http://www.hacres.com/forum/display_message.asp?mid=1198

TROLL post with no useful content. Posted strictly to harass

210. Re: I wish everyone a safe and happy 4th of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 02:01:11 UTC
From: Tommy Maltino <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <HVsU8.512618$Gs.35286891@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129238

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020702210004.624$KE@newsreader.com...

> Thanks Keith,same to you and everyone else on the board.

Even Aaron, and Frank and Me?

211. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 02:03:14 UTC
From: Tommy Maltino <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <CXsU8.524530$%y.35272619@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129239

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:10162-3D2245EF-207@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: arcweb@bnnorth.net (Keith Hosman)
On Tue, 2 Jul 2002 15:39:48 -0400 (EDT), twistedhed@webtv.net
(Twistedhed) wrote:
Sure, wavelengths exist, but your cb antenna will perform better the
higher it is. Sure 36 feet may mean something technically, but again,
for all practical cb real-world purposes, 60 feet has a huge advantage
over 36. The higher the better..go as high as you feel comfortable with.

>heh hiya twist, were you responding to me or Donnie? I couldn't tell
for sure, this news server sometimes messes up and mixes threads around.

Hey Keith. Nope, wasn't really putting it out to anyone in
particular,,,just talking to hear myself talk...in retrospect I guess it
came from the poster asking about wavelengths. If someone picks up on
it, great, if not, that's great too. They'll fare better at a higher
altitude for their antenna.

Twist there comes a point of diminishing returns, the loss from extra coax
to go higher may not be made up with additional height.

212. Re: MAGNUM DELTAFORCE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 02:06:17 UTC
From: JIMBOW65@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2693-3D225C19-665@storefull-2376.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129246

MAYBE THE GUY IS NEXT DOOR TALKING ON THAT CHANNEL. DO YOU WANT TO TALK
WITH ECHO, WITH OUT COMING OVER YOUR TALK BACK???

213. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 02:07:00 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <8%sU8.56624$vo2.3097044@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 129240

Dick this is not a flame but using your call on this NG is like using it on CB. It is out of place. I have no problem with you but the use of ham calls seems to be what is causing the trouble.

> Dick N�BK
>
Maybe we should all post with our Handles on this NG and leave the HAM calls to the ham NGs. It's like using 10-4 good buddy on 2 meters. You would get shunned and laughed off the radio.

214. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 02:07:20 UTC
From: Tommy Maltino <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <s%sU8.10182$vq.346761@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129241

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:10161-3D2246A9-486@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From: noone@home.com (crossfire)
> >60 is better than 36 on 11 mtr, if You want to work Antarctica. But 36
> ft will pretty much whoop You every where else <
>
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:26833-3D2200A1-408@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
> novaman28@webtv.net (Donnie Broyles) >>I had,for years,believed that the
> best height for your antenna is right in a wavelength <<
>
>
> This is hammie voodoo, for all practical purposes. The higher the
> antenna the better.

> BUT...........he was asking in relation to CB, which is 11 meter. The
> higher the better, for all practical cb related purposes.

Twisty, do Hams Operate with a different set of Electron Magnetic Laws than
Cbers?
Normally height is might, it depends on what you are trying to do,

215. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 02:10:59 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D223F93.71ACE689@mailcity.net>
Source record: 129242

Donnie Broyles wrote:
>
> I had,for years,believed that the best height for your antenna is right
> in a wavelength.I have heard dealers discussing it.I have had many
> conversations about it.Now some is telling me that wavelengths do not
> exist for citizen's band,only for ham bands.I just have to ask,how in
> God's green Earth can wavelengths exist for ham but not for citizen?And
> if they do exist,at what heigth are they located?I've also heard
> different measurements over the years?
> Thank you,
> Donnie
> "Novaman"
> Muncie,IN

All radio frequencies have wavelength. The two are inversely
proportional, the higher the frequency the shorter the wavelength.
As far as placing an antenna a certain wavelength above ground,
well, there is ground and then there is ground (RF ground vs
ground ground) and the two are seldom the same. RF ground may lay
well below the surface of ground ground, it depends upon the soil
type. Some soils are good for RF ground and for some other soil
types RF ground doesn't exist. So trying to get an antenna a
certain height above RF ground may be difficult. Just to get the
antenna at least a wavelength above ground ground and don't worry
where RF ground may be.

216. Pointer to rec.radio.cb FAQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 02:11:12 UTC
From: Bill Eitner <kd6tas@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <aftmgj$h4ref$1@ID-7206.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 129243

```
http://kd6tas.conk.com
--
------------------------------------------------------------------
-=[Bill Eitner]=-

Link to A.M. Tutorial and rec.radio.cb FAQ:
http://kd6tas.conk.com
------------------------------------------------------------------
```

217. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 02:15:08 UTC
From: Tommy Maltino <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <M6tU8.513192$Gs.35297799@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129245

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:QFsU8.73045$UT.5075567@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I will admit to being a little tough on CB Mythology wherever I see it.
> But in general I believe ignorance to be curable where stupidity is for
> life so I try to educate the ignorant where I can and killfile the stupid.
> But I don't often use the hobnail boots and the rest of the guys are far
> nicer than I am.
>
> Dick N�BK

Watch you don't hurt yourself Dick, with all that patting yourself on the back

218. Re: DX Report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 02:36:21 UTC
From: stephanie <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3D226324.DEEB3C34@optonline.net>
Source record: 129250

Thanx Twiste as I had just purged your last list today and whaddayaknow this appears. got some good contacts from the last over. keep up the good work, 73, jim kp920.

Twistedhed wrote:

> **
> * INTERNATIONAL RADIO DX GROUP
> *
> * (Spirit of Australia)
> *
> *
> *
> * DX BULLETIN #11 / 02 JULY 2002
> *
> * Edited by Lars 13IR102 & Rob 19IR04
> *
> **
> /-------------------------------------
> ! Information, reports and
> suggestions ! !
> must be sent to: !
> !
> !
> bulletin@irdx.org !
> !
> !
> --------------------------------------
> This bulletin contains only objective DX information's
> coming from different resources. The redactor can not be held
> responsible for any mistake or false information's.
> It is absolute allowed to copy and distribute the DX
> News Bulletin but please include the information's about the editors
> and source where it comes from for the courtesy who created this
> DX tool.
> TO YOUR ATTENTION PLEASE: Dxpeditions or activations
> with unknown end will be deleted 3 month after publication. From now
> on we add this kind with the month when we get the information.
> If you want to see your Dxpedition or activation for a longer time
> on the list please contact us with the full information's.
> You have Info for this List ? please send to:13IR102@irdx.de
> **
> IRDX Group News
> **
> GERMAN IRDX - FIELDDAY 2002

> ======
> 13IR000 Club-Station presents the
> 4th German-Fieldday Date is 19/20/21 of July 2002 (mainday is
> 20.07.2002) For more Info please ask the Team via
> 13IR102@irdx.org
> W
> W W . I R D X . O R G
> ==
> We are proud to let you know the brand NEW
> IRDX-Homepage Is ONLINE now :) take a look and enjoy the great
> work from The whole Team ! lot's of new features you can find
> there. Cluster - Chat - IRDX-News and much more ! www.irdx.org
> W
> W W . D X N E W S . DE
> ==
> the Number 1 DX-Info-Site is back Online
> after long Time. up to date now and every Day Updated !!
> www.dxnews.de
> **********
> On The Air Now
> **********
> 103FAT/DX Haiti 01/03/02 500 Prog.
> Eric, P.O.Box 271, 67606 Selestat CDX, France
>
> 106SP/DX Ceuta & Melilla 10/01/02 1000 Prog. Sebas, P.O.Box 428, 07300
> Inca-BI, Spain
>
> 10RC/DX Mexico 01/02/02 500 Prog.
> Stephane, P.O.Box 3, 18500 Foecy, France
>
> 115SD/DX Qatar 19/01/02 1000 Prog.
> Dino, P.O.Box 1, 23879 Verderio- Lecco, Italy
>
> 126IR/0 Nicaragua 06/06/02 500 Prog.
> Paco, P.O.Box 212, 41710 Utrera, Spain
>
> 148IR/DX Ascension Island 01/01/02 31/12/02 Herbert, P.O.Box 8, 5351
> Aigen, Austria
>
> 173OR/DX Reunion Island 01/03/02 30/09/02 OR-Group, P.O.Box 26, 03700
> Bellevive/A, France
>
> 191AT/DX Swaziland 29/06/02 01/07/02
> Luca, P.O.Box 180, 66054 Vasto-CH, Italy
>
> 196RC/DX Guardaloupe 02/03/02 500 Prog.
> Stephane, P.O.Box 3, 18500 Foecy, France
>
> 28OT/DX Honduras 01/02/02 500 Prog.
> Mario, P.O.Box 100, 15562 Ruedersdorf, Germany

>
> 301AS/DX Armenia 25/02/02 500 Prog.
> Panos, P.O.Box 3795, 13201 Petroupoli, Greece
>
> 314SD/DX Turkoman 19/01/02 1000 Prog.
> Yannick, P.O.Box 1, 64300 Malacq, France
>
> 33SD/DX Alaska 01/02/02 31/12/02
> Dino, P.O.Box 1, 23879 Verderio- Lecco, Italy
>
> 33TRC/0 Alaska 01/03/02 31/09/02
> Nasko, P.O.Box 49, 6100 Kazanlak, Bulgaria
>
> 41AS/DX New Zealand 01/02/02 200 Prog.
> Jim, P.O.Box 63501, 15202 Filothei, Greece
>
> 44TRC/0 South Africa 24/03/02 1000 Prog. Nasko, P.O.Box 49, 6100
> Kazanlak, Bulgaria
>
> 57LD/DX India 01/05/02 1000 Prog.
> Paolo, P.O.Box 2, 25017 Lonato, Italy
>
> 61LD/DX Ecuador 20/01/02 500 Prog.
> Paolo, P.O.Box 2, 25017 Lonato, Italy
>
> 67IR/DX Paraguay 15/01/02 1000 Prog.
> Paco, P.O.Box 212, 41710 Utrera, Spain
>
> 6AS/DX Columbia 01/04/02 600 Prog.
> Jim, P.O.Box 63501, 15202 Filothei, Greece
>
> 6RMA/DX Colombia 01/01/02 1000 Prog.
> Paco, P.O.Box 4109, 03080 Alicante, Spain
>
> 75RMA/DX Azores Islands 01/01/02 1000 Prog. Paco, P.O.Box 4109, 03080
> Alicante, Spain
>
> 76RK/DX Marocco 01/01/02 30/10/02
> Willem, P.O.Box 3048, 4800DA Breda, Holland
>
> 80WD/DX Bolivia 01/01/02 1000 Prog.
> Riccardo, P.O.Box 9, 50065 Pontassieve, Italy
>
> 84VC/DX Ivory Coast 01/07/02 500 Prog.
> Frank, P.O.Box 124, 78702 Conflans-cdx, France
>
> 97AS/DX Israel 01/01/02 500 Prog.
> Panos, P.O.Box 3795, 13201 Petroupoli, Greece
> **********
> Coming Soon

> **********
> 109KPI/0 Hungary 1000 Prog.
> Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain
>
> 115KPI/0 Qatar 1000 Prog.
> Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain
>
> 12KPI/0 Uruguay 1000 Prog.
> Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain
>
> 134FAT/0 Rep. Of Belau 08/02 08/02
> Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854 Caudan CDX, France
>
> 140FAT/0 Antarctica 2003 2003
> Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854 Caudan CDX, France
>
> 140IR/AA-URA Antarctica soon
> Day, P.O.Box B19, 01001 Kyiv, Ukraine
>
> 167IR/0 Jersey Island 02/09/02 10/09/02
> Eric, P.O.Box 39, 3200 Aarschot, Belgium
>
> 168RS/0 Mauritius Island 12/09/02 19/09/02 Mauro, P.O. Box 7045, 16148
> Genova-GE, Italy
>
> 180LD/0 Oman soon
> Dario, P.O.Box 28, 25082 Botticino, Italy
>
> 186KPI/0 Djibouti 1000 Prog.
> Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain
>
> 203DQ/DX China 01/09/02 31/10/02
> Chris, P.O.Box 184, NN3-9JH Northampton, U.K.
>
> 225AT/0 Brunei 05/08/02 11/08/02
> Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo D'Orlando, Italy
>
> 234AT/DX Afghanistan
> Juan, P.O.Box 412, 11100 San Fernando, Spain
>
> 238SD/0 Cambodia 10/09/02 25/09/02
> Xavier, P.O.Box 36, 3460 Bekkevoort, Belgium
>
> 301KPI/0 Armenia 1000 Prog.
> Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain
>
> 311DQ/0 Lithuania postponed
> Slawek, P.O.Box 56, 87800 Wloclawek-2, Poland
>
> 312AT/DX Moldavia 01/07/02 12/07/02

> Yoann, P.O.Box 15, 21120 Is Sur Tille, France
>
> 325AT/0 Rotuma Island 30/08/02 06/09/02
> Andy, P.O.Box 62, 29266 Brest-cdx, France
>
> 337LD/0 Austral Island 28/10/02 29/11/02 Stefania, P.O.Box 28, 25082
> Botticino, Italy
>
> 341AT/0 East Timor 27/07/02 05/08/02
> Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo D'Orlando, Italy
>
> 36IR/DX Rep. of San Marino postponed
> Herbert, P.O.Box 8, 5351 Aigen, Austria
>
> 44KPI/0 South Africa 1000 Prog.
> Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain
>
> 5HF/0 Venezuela 01/07/02 1000 Prog.
> Eliane, P.O.Box 10, 83910 Pourrieres, France
>
> 60DQ/0 Hong Kong soon
> Simon, P.O.Box 242, 16041 Praha-6, Czech Rep.
>
> 72KPI/0 Guatemala 1000 Prog.
> Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain
>
> 99AT/DX Fiji Islands 06/09/02 11/09/02
> Andy, P.O.Box 62, 29266 Brest-cdx, France
> **********
> I.O.T.A. - Islands On The Air
> **********
>
> 11AT/NA099 Puerto Rico 15/06/02 ??/07/02 Oscar, P.O.Box 101, 28830 San
> Fernando, Spain
>
> 133FAT/OC086 Saipan Island 15/01/02 500 Prog. Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854
> Caudan CDX, France
>
> 143FAT/NA108 San Lucia Isl. 01/02/02 1500 Prog. Laurent, P.O.Box 63,
> 56854 Caudan CDX, France
>
> 166RC/NA145 Saba Island 01/02/02 500 Prog. Stephane, P.O.Box 3, 18500
> Foecy, France
>
> 20LD/EU003 Hinnoya Island 04/01/02 500 Prog. Luis, P.O.Box 11092, 46006
> Valencia, Spain
>
> 230SD/OC011 Micronesia Isl. 13/03/02 1000
> Prog. Steve, P.O.Box 15, 79110 Chef-Boutonne, France
>

> 24SD/NA203 Iguana Island 01/02/02
> Pepe, P.O.Box 105, 11500 Puerto Real, Spain
>
> 299AT/NA095 Desecheo Island 15/06/02 15/09/02 1AT058 Alex,
> xxxxxxxxxxxxx
>
> 33LD/NA019 Kodiak Island 04/01/02 500 Prog. Luis, P.O.Box 11092, 46006
> Valencia, Spain
>
> 3IR/SA071 Santo Amaro Island 15/01/02 500 Prog. Paco, P.O.Box 212, 41710
> Utrera, Spain
>
> 63FAT/AF022 St. Helena Island 18/12/01 1500 Prog. Laurent, P.O.Box 63,
> 56854 Caudan CDX, France
>
> 79FAT/OC042 Luzon Island 15/01/02 500 Prog. Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854
> Caudan CDX, France
>
> 91AT/OC151 Sumba Island 15/06/02 15/09/02 Antonio, P.O.Box 360, 87100
> Cosenza-CS, Italy
> **********
> I.O.T.A. - Islands Soon On The Air
> **********
> 106SP/AF069 Parsley Island 26/07/02 28/07/02 Sebas, P.O.Box 428, 07300
> Inca-BI, Spain
>
> 152RC/AS013 Maldive Islands soon
> John, P.O.Box 362, 1860 Aigle, Switzerland
>
> 173RS/AF016 Reunion Island 13/09/02 19/09/02 Romain, P.O.Box 132, 77194
> Dammarie, France
>
> 178AT/EU181 Sveta Anastasya Isl. 16/08/02 17/08/02 Simon, P.O.Box 178,
> 70056 Molfetta (BA), Italy
>
> 207AT/NA199 ?? Island 01/08/02 03/08/02
> Laurent, P.O.Box 21, 83440 Tanneron, France
>
> 225AT/OC184 Coastal Island 04/08/02 11/08/02 Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071
> Capo D'Orlando, Italy
>
> 238SD/AS133 Koh Poah Island 10/09/02 25/09/02 Xavier, P.O.Box 36, 3460
> Bekkevoort, Belgium
>
> 91AT/OC148 Timor Island 27/07/02 05/08/02 Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo
> D'Orlando, Italy
> 91AT/OC217 Kamangen Island 27/07/02 05/08/02 Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071
> Capo D'Orlando, Italy
>
> 91AT/OC237 Java Coast 27/07/02 05/08/02

> Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo D'Orlando, Italy
> **********
> Holiday - Stations
> **********
> 10/13IR101 Mexico 10/01/02
> Heike, P.O.Box 1410, 91142 Roth, Germany
>
> 117/161IR999 Egypt 01/04/02 31/12/02
> Marek, P.O.Box 6, 22-104 Chelm-5, Poland
>
> 204/1AT976 Mozambique 13/06/02 13/07/02
> Luca, P.O.Box 180, 66054 Vasto-CH, Italy
>
> 2IR/NY001 (iwi) Grand Island 01/04/02 500 Prog. Rob, B.O.Box 22, 3140AA
> Maasluis, Netherlands
>
> 2IR/NY028 (iwi) Goat Island 01/04/02 500 Prog. Rob, B.O.Box 22, 3140AA
> Maasluis, Netherlands
>
> 57/13MU133 India 25/07/02 14/08/02
> Sebastian, P.O.Box 730100, 13062 Berlin, Germany
> **********
> Interesting - Stations On The Air
> **********
> PLEASE CHECK : WWW.DXNEWS.DE button DXNEWS >> HOT STATIONS
> **********
>
> If you want to SUBSCRIBE, please visit our homepage
> at http://www.dxnews.de
> **********
> ----------

219. Re: I wish everyone a safe and happy 4th of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 02:46:21 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1AtU8.26382$q53.811429@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129247

"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:r6j4iuktf1o7f4q0luec8ri4ela8qc9114@4ax.com...
>
>
> don't burn your house down with fireworks, and don;t get too hungover
> or too sunburnt.
>
> 73 de Keith
> KC8TCQ
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
> Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
> American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

Same to you Keith, and everyone else here. And yes, even you, George, Aaron,
and Frank.

220. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 02:48:33 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <5CtU8.26384$q53.812055@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129248

"Tommy Maltino" <tommy@malt.com> wrote in message
news:CXsU8.524530$%y.35272619@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:10162-3D2245EF-207@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From: arcweb@bnnorth.net (Keith Hosman)
> On Tue, 2 Jul 2002 15:39:48 -0400 (EDT), twistedhed@webtv.net
> (Twistedhed) wrote:
> Sure, wavelengths exist, but your cb antenna will perform better the
> higher it is. Sure 36 feet may mean something technically, but again,
> for all practical cb real-world purposes, 60 feet has a huge advantage
> over 36. The higher the better..go as high as you feel comfortable with.
>
>
> >heh hiya twist, were you responding to me or Donnie? I couldn't tell
> for sure, this news server sometimes messes up and mixes threads around.
>
> Hey Keith. Nope, wasn't really putting it out to anyone in
> particular,,,just talking to hear myself talk...in retrospect I guess it
> came from the poster asking about wavelengths. If someone picks up on
> it, great, if not, that's great too. They'll fare better at a higher
> altitude for their antenna.
>
>
> Twist there comes a point of diminishing returns, the loss from extra coax
> to go higher may not be made up with additional height.
>
>

Right George, but if you mount the X-Force on the tower and turn the preamp
on, you can lick that problem! LOL

221. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 02:50:51 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <fEtU8.26397$q53.812633@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129249

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d223dcb.5691712@news.cet.com...
> In <iid4iucj7jsjb60d4lh9ge8oj8852vbqrj@4ax.com>, Darrin
> <nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >On Tue, 02 Jul 2002 23:19:05 GMT, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
> >(yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
> >
> >>In <ui4cngeokukn67@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
> >><Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>>Something went wrong in that last post... Please read the corrected
version
> >>>below...
> >>
> >>We understood what you meant, PH. You were saying that anyone who
> >>opposes illegal operators is actually a ham that hates CBers, and that
> >>if they can have an attitude then so can you. Nice justification,
> >>except for two very important points: Not everyone that opposes
> >>illegal operation is a ham, and not all hams hate CBers.
> >
> >Here's my 2 cents on the subject. I'm a licensed ham, but spend more
> >time on 11 meters. When I'm at work I spend a lot of time on 70cm and
> >220. When I'm home at night, I spend most of the time on 11 meters. As
> >for illegal operators, I have no problem with people running ham gear
> >on 11 meters, as long as they don't get carried away.
>
> How do you feel about unlicensed operators on 10 meters or any other
> ham band "as long as they don't get carried away"?
>
> > I admit my radio
> >at home is a 2980 base. Yes it has 100's of channels, and yes it puts
> >out a whopping 10 watts AM, 25 watts SSB. With my A99 on the roof I
> >have no problem talking 30+ miles on AM, and I can talk skip all over
> >the world on SSB when conditions are good. I have no desire to use an
> >amp, or run a radio like the 2990 that has a lot more power. There are
> >a few idiots that talk on adjacent channels that I use, that are
> >running 100's of watts with dirty radios, splattering all the
> >channels. Those are the assholes that I hate.
>
> If your radio you use is... well, just 'slightly' illegal, why not
> just run legally?
>
> >.....And to the "anti" trolls, don't bother replying. I have most of
> >you filtered out anyway.

>
> Even if they have a valid point to make?
>

It's a rare case when they do.

222. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 04:11:44 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D225BDE.B5288611@mailcity.net>
Source record: 129252

Twistedhed wrote:

>
> This is hammie voodoo, for all practical purposes. The higher the
> antenna the better.

Not hammie voodoo, suggest you get a copy of "The Radio Amateur's
Handbook" and do a little reading on antenna theory, you just
might learn something.

223. Figured out noise on my CB..Computer monitor..Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 04:51:15 UTC
From: rustyameri@aol.comPROMARS
Message-ID: <20020703005115.05078.00001708@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 129253

WOW, I figured out the noise on the Cb. It is the computer monitor. It is plugged into a totally seperate outlet which has its own circuit breaker, and at least 20 feet away from the CB. What gives? When I shut the monitor off, ahhhh,,,,I can hear the far away stations. HELP
---REMOVE PROMARS FROM MY E-MAIL ADDRESS TO E-MAIL ME

224. Does he look funny or not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 05:03:25 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <xAvU8.28021$q53.836950@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129254

Doubtful, buttplug. I'm running a 19" Dell monitor with a GeForce 2ti 64 meg vid card. Nothing looks funny but you. If you really are using that cheesy 10" monitor in the pic, maybe you should consider upgrading so that you can see what the rest of the world does.

----- Original Message -----
From: "aaron voobner" <aaronvoobner@yahoo.com>
To: "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2002 10:45 PM
Subject: Re: Your pic

> --- Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote:
> > Man, you really need to get that "family" pic
> > straightened out! Your head
> > looks like Homer Simpson's! Everyone else looks
> > normal, but you definitely
> > have an egghead.
> >
>
> Your monitor is set up wrong, you cheesehead.
>
> _____
> Do You Yahoo!?
> Sign up for SBC Yahoo! Dial - First Month Free
> http://sbc.yahoo.com

225. Re: Does he look funny or not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 05:05:21 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <lCvU8.28043$q53.837445@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129255

Oops, almost forgot. Here's the link to check. In the family pic, is he an egghead or not?

http://www.geocities.com/aaronvoobner/voobner.htm

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:xAvU8.28021$q53.836950@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Doubtful, buttplug. I'm running a 19" Dell monitor with a GeForce 2ti 64 meg
> vid card. Nothing looks funny but you. If you really are using that cheesy
> 10" monitor in the pic, maybe you should consider upgrading so that you can
> see what the rest of the world does.
>
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "aaron voobner" <aaronvoobner@yahoo.com>
> To: "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
> Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2002 10:45 PM
> Subject: Re: Your pic
>
>
> > --- Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote:
> > > Man, you really need to get that "family" pic
> > > straightened out! Your head
> > > looks like Homer Simpson's! Everyone else looks
> > > normal, but you definitely
> > > have an egghead.
> > >
> >
> > Your monitor is set up wrong, you cheesehead.
> >
> > ____
> > Do You Yahoo!?
> > Sign up for SBC Yahoo! Dial - First Month Free
> > http://sbc.yahoo.com
>
>

226. Re: Where can I get a magnetic mount (4 seperate magnets)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 05:08:32 UTC
From: "Gary C." <radiobier@dslextreme.com>
Message-ID: <ui4toqo1gek207@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129251

I see Valor makes one, just wondering if anyone has one out there and if they are worth a darn?

Thanks,

Gary

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message news:ui48hfp8gmte84@corp.supernews.com...
> While not a real great place to shop for it, here you go...
>
>
<http://www.copper.com/cgi-bin/checkitout/checkitout.cgi?catalogSTORE:CKIE:p
> rodV10-06064>
>
> PH
>
>
> "Gary C." <radiobier@dslextreme.com> wrote in message
> news:ui2kps8mdirv2c@corp.supernews.com...
> > I would like to know where I can buy a good strong magnetic mount with 4
> > seperate magnets. Any input appreciated.
> >
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Gary
> >
> >
>
>

227. Re: New Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 05:20:11 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <fQvU8.934$xB4.91425145@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129256

"Dr. StrangeLove" <doc@housecall.com> wrote in message
news:TOsU8.9834$vq.336347@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:LusU8.886$pD3.87764124@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> > LOL, is it your screen nics doing the
> > inquires George?
> > Also, how do you know how many inquires
> > Aaron has? Unless...................
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Unless ......He e-mailed me back and told me when I inquired about the
price
> of the shirts.
>
>

Oh, ok, I didn't know that you and
Aaron were so close........ROTFLOL!!!!

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

228. Re: Does he look funny or not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 05:42:05 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <N8wU8.23574$eF5.863482@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129257

Really cute Voob! Now you went and made yourself look semi-human. The rest of the family looks like they have Down's Syndrome. I have a good photo editor if you'd like to buy it. Of course, I can't sell you glasses or skills to go with it.

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:lCvU8.28043$q53.837445@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Oops, almost forgot. Here's the link to check. In the family pic, is he an
> egghead or not?
>
>
>
> http://www.geocities.com/aaronvoobner/voobner.htm
>
>
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:xAvU8.28021$q53.836950@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > Doubtful, buttplug. I'm running a 19" Dell monitor with a GeForce 2ti 64
> meg
> > vid card. Nothing looks funny but you. If you really are using that
cheesy
> > 10" monitor in the pic, maybe you should consider upgrading so that you
> can
> > see what the rest of the world does.
> >
> >
> > ----- Original Message -----
> > From: "aaron voobner" <aaronvoobner@yahoo.com>
> > To: "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
> > Sent: Tuesday, July 02, 2002 10:45 PM
> > Subject: Re: Your pic
> >
> >
> > > --- Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote:
> > > > Man, you really need to get that "family" pic
> > > > straightened out! Your head
> > > > looks like Homer Simpson's! Everyone else looks
> > > > normal, but you definitely
> > > > have an egghead.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Your monitor is set up wrong, you cheesehead.
> > >

> > > _____
> > > Do You Yahoo!?
> > > Sign up for SBC Yahoo! Dial - First Month Free
> > > http://sbc.yahoo.com
> >
> >
>
>

229. Re: Does he look funny or not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 05:56:41 UTC
From: Colonel Chim Chim <justwhatineedmorespam@nothanks.con>
Message-ID: <tmwU8.9552$xu5.4512@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 129258

I don't know, but I wish he would put that video somewhere on the net.
Nothing like a white "rapper", haha.

230. BLACK PIRATE 5R BASE ANTENNA OWNERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 06:18:43 UTC
From: ?lvaro Rocha - CT01264/CQM9306 <removenospamblackghostknife@mail.telepac.pt>
Message-ID: <afu4s9lra1@venus.telepac.pt>
Source record: 129259
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb

ONLY PERSONAL EXPERIENCE, NOT TECHNICALS DETAILS, PLEASE !!!!!!!!!!!!

I need to know more details about this base antenna, please !
Good for DX's ?
RFI ????
SWR ???????
Works beter at the sea (nearly)????????
Wind resisting ????????
If you have this base antenna, please give me more info ou "secret details"
about that !
Many thanks for the help !!!!

Best 73"

Tony
31-AT-170

Porto
Portugal

--

_____
CT01264 - SWL
CQM-9306
QTH LOCATOR - IN51QD 41-09'-33'' N 8-35'-41'' W
Prefix - CT Continent - EU ITU zone - 37 CQ zone / WAZ - 14
ITU region - 1 GridSquares - IN
170 M ANTENNAS SEA LEVEL

ALFA TANGO MEMBER # 170 SINCE 07/1995

LDBC # 035
REP # 0801

ICQ/UIN # 15161256

FORMER : 31-AR-001/CV-388-CT/31-SD-104/31-CDP-175/31-PAZ-341

against use of linear amplifier's

SETI@HOME MEMBER (we are not alone....)SETI@home is a scientific experiment
that uses Internet-connected computers in the Search for Extraterrestrial
Intelligence (SETI). You can participate by running a free program that
downloads and analyzes radio telescope data.

PORTO - PORTUGAL

"ODERINT, DUM METUANT"

"SI DEVS PRO NOBIS QVIS CONTRA NOS"

c:-)
INTERNET SECURITY + PC PROTECTION by :
H+BEDV AntiVIR Personal Edition
GUARD/9X
Version 6
www.hbedv.com

---

231. BLACK PIRATE 5R BASE ANTENNA OWNERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 06:25:58 UTC
From: ?lvaro Rocha - CT01264/CQM9306 <removenospamblackghostknife@mail.telepac.pt>
Message-ID: <afu59s$vs6$1@venus.telepac.pt>
Source record: 129260
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb

ONLY PERSONAL EXPERIENCE, NOT TECHNICALS DETAILS, PLEASE !!!!!!!!!!!!

I need to know more details about this base antenna, please !
Good for DX's ?
RFI ????
SWR ???????
Works beter at the sea (nearly)????????
Wind resisting ????????
If you have this base antenna, please give me more info ou "secret details"
about that !
Many thanks for the help !!!!

Best 73"

Tony
31-AT-170

Porto
Portugal

--

_____
CT01264 - SWL
CQM-9306
QTH LOCATOR - IN51QD 41-09'-33'' N 8-35'-41'' W
Prefix - CT Continent - EU ITU zone - 37 CQ zone / WAZ - 14
ITU region - 1 GridSquares - IN
170 M ANTENNAS SEA LEVEL

ALFA TANGO MEMBER # 170 SINCE 07/1995

LDBC # 035
REP # 0801

ICQ/UIN # 15161256

FORMER : 31-AR-001/CV-388-CT/31-SD-104/31-CDP-175/31-PAZ-341

against use of linear amplifier's

SETI@HOME MEMBER (we are not alone....)SETI@home is a scientific experiment
that uses Internet-connected computers in the Search for Extraterrestrial
Intelligence (SETI). You can participate by running a free program that
downloads and analyzes radio telescope data.

PORTO - PORTUGAL

"ODERINT, DUM METUANT"

"SI DEVS PRO NOBIS QVIS CONTRA NOS"

c:-)
INTERNET SECURITY + PC PROTECTION by :
H+BEDV AntiVIR Personal Edition
GUARD/9X
Version 6
www.hbedv.com

---

232. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 07:11:37 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d229cdf.2325469@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129261

In <v8g4iucqm831902gp748pls0ibfdl5rcvh@4ax.com>, Darrin
<nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote:

<snip>
>>If your radio you use is... well, just 'slightly' illegal, why not
>>just run legally?
>
>Because every 11 meter CB base I've owned was junk. The only exception
>was the "Washington", which was good, not great. Now some people will
>say that 2980/Saturn is junk, but at least the one I own works and
>sounds great.

What exactly is "junk"? I own many CB radios, and have owned many,
many more. I never did care much for the Washington/TRC-490: lots of
problems, fussy tuning, not a very sturdy package, and the sound was
terrible even with an external speaker. OTOH, I have a Kraco that has
been beat to hell and back, and it still works like new. I have a
Johnson Messenger 223 (tube rig) that has the best sensitivity of all
of my radios, and a Hallicrafter's CB-3A that comes darn close. I have
a SBE Trinidad III and a P/S Bengal that are both workhorses. The two
Super Lynx's are pretty good radios, too. I also have a few Radio
Shaft models, some better and some worse, but as long as some idiot
hasn't "modified" it with a saber-saw and an arc-welder, they usually
do the job for which they were intended. I guess that's true for all
CB radios, though.

OTOH, I have a few of these so-called "good" radios: The GE Superbase
is junk (poor quality components), the Tram has audio/AGC issues, the
Ranger has major drift problems, and the RCI has such a cheap PCB that
it is actually warped. These are radios I keep on the shelf as
conversation pieces, because they aren't good for anything else.

So maybe I don't know how you define "junk". Care to elaborate?

>>>.....And to the "anti" trolls, don't bother replying. I have most of
>>>you filtered out anyway.
>>
>>Even if they have a valid point to make?
>
>Unfortunately, I have no time to fish out their valid points, from
>their troll posts.

233. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 07:12:11 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d22a3af.4069887@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129262

```
In <ui4j22ja98ma1b@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d223241.2737846@news.cet.com...
>> In <ui4c83be7384e2@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
>> <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
>> >news:3D219D45.3C36@worldlynx.net...
>> >> Many CBers have expressed the opinion that they have no desire to
>become
>> >> hams. Despite the vast increases in privileges, and spectrum, and the
>> >> relative ease of the exam today, they stubbornly refuse to go the
>route.
>> >> I just don't understand why someone, who enjoys the thrill of freeband
>> >> DXing, who operates a ham rig, would not want to upgrade to ham radio,
>> >> where the DX can be found anytime, and not just on 11 year cycles?
>> >
>> >I think the expression of desire to not get a license stems from the crap
>> >that goes on in here. I know that for time being, I have little to no
>> >desire to get a license. Why would I? I have no desire to associate
>myself
>> >with the idiots that troll around in this group. Granted, from what I
>hear
>> >and read, most hams are not like this, but I find it hard to believe as I
>> >see little to no "decent" hams adding anything to this group. All I see
>are
>> >a bunch of power hungry idiots thinking that before they have the
>license,
>> >they are now GOD, and do whatever they please. They belittle and harass
>the
>> >hell out of that don't have a license. Why would anybody want to
>associate
>> >themselves with such asses? I know I don't want to...
>> >
>> >PH
>>
>> I think the expression of desire to not get an amp stems from the crap
>> that goes on in here. I know that for time being, I have little to no
>> desire to get an amp. Why would I? I have no desire to associate
>> myself with the idiots that troll around in this group. Granted, from
>> what I hear and read, most amp users are not like this, but I find it
```

>> hard to believe as I see little to no "decent" amp users adding
>> anything to this group. All I see are a bunch of power hungry idiots
>> thinking that before they have the amp, they are now GOD, and do
>> whatever they please. They belittle and harass the hell out of that
>> don't have an amp. Why would anybody want to associate themselves
>> with such asses? I know I don't want to...
>
>I can see you are seriously trying to get yourself filtered... For your
>information, or lack there of, I DO NOT own any form of amplification device
>that pertains to RF communication. The only amplifiers I own are those
>which power the speakers in my car and my home.

Where did I say that you owned an amp? I didn't. I am fully aware
about your love-it-to-death Cobra and other radios with the power and
frequency mods. You may not run an external amp, but by your own
admission you exceed the power limitations.

BTW, do you run anything legal? Just curious...

> As I stated in a previous
>post to you...
>
>"...your comments [are] unwanted, and little needed, and... ...you really
>should keep such stupidity to yourself. If you want to make yourself look
>like an idiot, by all means, be my guest..."

I don't recall that post. I DO recall this one though:

>Keep your unwanted, and little needed, comments to yourself... Thanks!

But I suppose you can rewrite your own quotes to sound less commanding
because you are the newsgroup moderator!

234. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 07:12:38 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d22a3cc.4099217@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129263

In <ui4j9q3roqf65e@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d223349.3001761@news.cet.com...
>> In <ui4c83be7384e2@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
>> <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
>>
>> >...All I see are
>> >a bunch of power hungry idiots...
>>
>> Hmmm.... I wonder why you picked the name "Power House"?
>>
>
>Getting pretty bad when you have to twist around my words and put them in a
>context they weren't intended to be in... Sorry pal, but you have some real
>growing up to do...
>
>And for your information, or lack there of once again, the handle was given
>to me by the locals, and came from the fact that at one time I lived on top
>of a large hill, and basically had "power" over the area, as I was the one
>and only person able to relay messages back and forth to those that could
>not make the contact to the person they desired to speak to. The area is
>VERY saturated with iron ore, and rather hilly, thus making communication
>more difficult...

Good thing you had those power mods done on those Cobras, huh? Or did
you still have that 250 watt amp that you used with your dad's radio?

235. Re: Figured out noise on my CB..Computer monitor..Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 07:28:40 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d22a3eb.4129865@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129264

In <20020703005115.05078.00001708@mb-mv.aol.com>,
rustyameri@aol.comPROMARS (RUSTYAMERI) wrote:

>WOW, I figured out the noise on the Cb. It is the computer monitor. It is
>plugged into a totally seperate outlet which has its own circuit breaker, and
>at least 20 feet away from the CB. What gives? When I shut the monitor off,
>ahhhh,,,,I can hear the far away stations. HELP
>---REMOVE PROMARS FROM MY E-MAIL ADDRESS TO E-MAIL ME

What you are hearing is a high harmonic of the horizontal sweep
circuit, and possibly some noise added from the switching power
supply. CRT-type computer monitors are notorious for their lack of RFI
shielding. This problem cannot be fixed unless you fully encase your
monitor inside a metal box.

There are three things you can do:

1. Change the refresh rate on your monitor. This will change where the
band of harmonics lands, so that could be either good or bad. But you
won't know until you try.

2. Turn the monitor off.

3. Get one of those LCD flat-panel displays, or maybe a nice laptop to
use while the CB is on. They might also make some noise, but not
nearly as much.

236. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 08:32:30 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D22B682.7446DC54@icok.net>
Source record: 129265

Richard,
A "grandpa type"? Hey! Remember the little
old man with the black derby and black coat on
'Laugh-In'? That's me.
'Doc

PS - And yes, I have a license.

237. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 09:43:09 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <NGzU8.74256$UT.5121035@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129266

You may have a point. My theory is that there is a certain credibility gained from putting the truth right up front. Wysiwyg

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message news:8%sU8.56624$vo2.3097044@news2.telusplanet.net...
>
> Dick this is not a flame but using your call on this NG is like using it on
> CB. It is out of place. I have no problem with you but the use of ham calls
> seems to be what is causing the trouble.
>
> > Dick N�BK
> >
> Maybe we should all post with our Handles on this NG and leave the HAM calls
> to the ham NGs. It's like using 10-4 good buddy on 2 meters. You would get
> shunned and laughed off the radio.
>
>

238. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 09:52:38 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <GPzU8.74284$UT.5121895@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129267

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D22B682.7446DC54@icok.net...
>
>
> Richard,
> A "grandpa type"? Hey! Remember the little
> old man with the black derby and black coat on
> 'Laugh-In'? That's me.
> 'Doc
>
> PS - And yes, I have a license.

Hey, you're never too young to be a Dirty Old Man.

239. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 11:09:58 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D22DB86.51E@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129275

Power House wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3D219D45.3C36@worldlynx.net...
> > Many CBers have expressed the opinion that they have no desire to become
> > hams. Despite the vast increases in privileges, and spectrum, and the
> > relative ease of the exam today, they stubbornly refuse to go the route.
> > I just don't understand why someone, who enjoys the thrill of freeband
> > DXing, who operates a ham rig, would not want to upgrade to ham radio,
> > where the DX can be found anytime, and not just on 11 year cycles?
>
> I think the expression of desire to not get a license stems from the crap
> that goes on in here. I know that for time being, I have little to no
> desire to get a license. Why would I? I have no desire to associate myself
> with the idiots that troll around in this group. Granted, from what I hear
> and read, most hams are not like this, but I find it hard to believe as I
> see little to no "decent" hams adding anything to this group. All I see are
> a bunch of power hungry idiots thinking that before they have the license,
> they are now GOD, and do whatever they please. They belittle and harass the
> hell out of that don't have a license. Why would anybody want to associate
> themselves with such asses? I know I don't want to...

But that's the false logic of that position. When you get a ham license, you aren't accepting some ego-crazed maniacs as your permanent roommates, you are earning yourself a ticket to associate with whomever you want to, on any of the bands that you have privileges for. If stuffed shirts turn you off, seek out other people on other bands. When you're DXing internationally, there isn't much difference in the contact other than procedural or style.

Ham radio is what YOU make it. For someone, who is truly a radio enthusiest, to use the excuse that they don't like some of the people in ham radio, as a reason for not pursuing a ham license, that, to me, is just a cop out.

When I went for my license 20 years ago, I wasn't too thrilled with the stuffed shirts (And they were worse then), but enough of my friends also bit the bullet and took the test, and we started our own group. We didn't need the approval or acceptance of the stuffed shirts. We did our own thing. That's the beauty of ham radio. Plenty of room for everybody.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

240. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 11:20:35 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D22DE03.6C6F@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129276

Darrin wrote:
>
> On Tue, 02 Jul 2002 23:19:05 GMT, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
> (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
>
> >In <ui4cngeokukn67@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
> ><Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
> >
> >>Something went wrong in that last post... Please read the corrected version
> >>below...
> >
> >We understood what you meant, PH. You were saying that anyone who
> >opposes illegal operators is actually a ham that hates CBers, and that
> >if they can have an attitude then so can you. Nice justification,
> >except for two very important points: Not everyone that opposes
> >illegal operation is a ham, and not all hams hate CBers.
>
> Here's my 2 cents on the subject. I'm a licensed ham, but spend more
> time on 11 meters. When I'm at work I spend a lot of time on 70cm and
> 220. When I'm home at night, I spend most of the time on 11 meters.

There was a time when I did exactly the same. I would agin, if there
were more interesting people on CB in my area.

> As for illegal operators, I have no problem with people running ham gear
> on 11 meters, as long as they don't get carried away. I admit my radio
> at home is a 2980 base. Yes it has 100's of channels, and yes it puts
> out a whopping 10 watts AM, 25 watts SSB. With my A99 on the roof I
> have no problem talking 30+ miles on AM, and I can talk skip all over
> the world on SSB when conditions are good. I have no desire to use an
> amp, or run a radio like the 2990 that has a lot more power. There are
> a few idiots that talk on adjacent channels that I use, that are
> running 100's of watts with dirty radios, splattering all the
> channels. Those are the assholes that I hate.

Be careful what you preach here, because you could unwittingly be part
of the same group. A Galaxy 2980, combined with an A99 antenna, has been
the cause of more than enough TVI/RFI problems over the years. The radio
is not particularly clean spectrally, and the decoupling issues with the
A99, allow it to radiate RF into nearby homes with greater strength than
other antenna types. I would be surprized if none of your neighbors have
a problem with your operating habits. Maybe you don't bother them enough
to mention it yet.

I'm not going to take the "holy high road" and demand that you only run part 95 accepted equipment. But if you do decide to run something other than that, you need to be keenly aware of the interference potential that non-type accepted radios can produce. To that end, it is helpful to have test equipment and understand enough about radio theory, so that you can do the responsible thing and be as clean as possible, even if you don't obey the letter of the law.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

241. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 11:32:25 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D22E0C9.59A2@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129277

yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
>
> In <v8g4iucqm831902gp748pls0ibfdl5rcvh@4ax.com>, Darrin
> <nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >>If your radio you use is... well, just 'slightly' illegal, why not
> >>just run legally?
> > >
> >Because every 11 meter CB base I've owned was junk. The only exception
> >was the "Washington", which was good, not great. Now some people will
> >say that 2980/Saturn is junk, but at least the one I own works and
> >sounds great.
>
> What exactly is "junk"? I own many CB radios, and have owned many,
> many more. I never did care much for the Washington/TRC-490: lots of
> problems, fussy tuning, not a very sturdy package, and the sound was
> terrible even with an external speaker. OTOH, I have a Kraco that has
> been beat to hell and back, and it still works like new. I have a
> Johnson Messenger 223 (tube rig) that has the best sensitivity of all
> of my radios, and a Hallicrafter's CB-3A that comes darn close. I have
> a SBE Trinidad III and a P/S Bengal that are both workhorses. The two
> Super Lynx's are pretty good radios, too. I also have a few Radio
> Shaft models, some better and some worse, but as long as some idiot
> hasn't "modified" it with a saber-saw and an arc-welder, they usually
> do the job for which they were intended. I guess that's true for all
> CB radios, though.
>
> OTOH, I have a few of these so-called "good" radios: The GE Superbase
> is junk (poor quality components), the Tram has audio/AGC issues, the
> Ranger has major drift problems, and the RCI has such a cheap PCB that
> it is actually warped. These are radios I keep on the shelf as
> conversation pieces, because they aren't good for anything else.
>
> So maybe I don't know how you define "junk". Care to elaborate?

Wow! Looks like you've gone though your share of radios over the years.

As to your question of what constitutes junk? Well, the answer to that
is complicated. Many people just repeat the heresay that they've been
told by other people (Like don't buy Radio Shack, they're junk,
meanwhile RS used the same Uniden boards as Cobra, president and
others). Many radios are called "junk" simply due to misperception. Is a
TRC-490 junk, while a Cobra 142 GTL not? Same radio, different badges.

Then there are radios that are "junk" by virtue of the fact that they just don't work right. But then you have to ask, has this radio been hacked by a screwdriver tech? Is this radio junk by design, or through improper alignment or modifications?

I guess any radio that does not perform to your expectations is "junk". Maybe some people have higher expectations then others. Which is why one person can be perfectly happy with a 30 year old Lafayette, while another buys and sells new radios every other month claiming that "they're all junk!".

YMMV

Dave
"Sandbagger"

242. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 11:39:25 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D22E26D.45C6@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129278

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> You may have a point. My theory is that there is a certain credibility
> gained from putting the truth right up front. Wysiwyg
>

But one of the valid points that have been raised by some of the CBers, is that posting a ham call, is sometimes viewed as "flaunting" the ticket. I have mine in my e-mail header, but I don't post it for just that reason. If the topic of discussion heads in that direction, I will state the truth. Unless there's a specific reason to mention a ham license, I usually try not to, as it is not usually relevant to the topics that are discussed here.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

243. Re: Constant Noise in my radio. Drowns out stations.Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 12:32:18 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ui5rqrhantie44@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129268

No biggy.. I wasn't sure if you were aware of it or not... I was once on WebTV, and learned through a not so nice person. I just try to inform, so that others don't have to deal with the same crap I did back then...

PH

"DONNIE" <SLOPUMP@webtv.net> wrote in message news:2825-3D2259A9-149@storefull-2156.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I'm so sorry,I meant to take it off before I posted but t didn't work
> out right.
>

244. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 12:37:20 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ui5s47rrtuob84@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129269

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d22a3af.4069887@news.cet.com...
> In <ui4j22ja98ma1b@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
> <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
>
> >
> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d223241.2737846@news.cet.com...
> >> In <ui4c83be7384e2@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
> >> <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >
> >> >"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> >> >news:3D219D45.3C36@worldlynx.net...
> >> >> Many CBers have expressed the opinion that they have no desire to
> >become
> >> >> hams. Despite the vast increases in privileges, and spectrum, and
the
> >> >> relative ease of the exam today, they stubbornly refuse to go the
> >route.
> >> >> I just don't understand why someone, who enjoys the thrill of
freeband
> >> >> DXing, who operates a ham rig, would not want to upgrade to ham
radio,
> >> >> where the DX can be found anytime, and not just on 11 year cycles?
> >> >
> >> >I think the expression of desire to not get a license stems from the
crap
> >> >that goes on in here. I know that for time being, I have little to no
> >> >desire to get a license. Why would I? I have no desire to associate
> >myself
> >> >with the idiots that troll around in this group. Granted, from what I
> >hear
> >> >and read, most hams are not like this, but I find it hard to believe
as I
> >> >see little to no "decent" hams adding anything to this group. All I
see
> >are
> >> >a bunch of power hungry idiots thinking that before they have the
> >license,
> >> >they are now GOD, and do whatever they please. They belittle and
harass
> >the
> >> >hell out of that don't have a license. Why would anybody want to
> >associate

> >> >themselves with such asses? I know I don't want to...
> >> >
> >> >PH
> >>
> >> I think the expression of desire to not get an amp stems from the crap
> >> that goes on in here. I know that for time being, I have little to no
> >> desire to get an amp. Why would I? I have no desire to associate
> >> myself with the idiots that troll around in this group. Granted, from
> >> what I hear and read, most amp users are not like this, but I find it
> >> hard to believe as I see little to no "decent" amp users adding
> >> anything to this group. All I see are a bunch of power hungry idiots
> >> thinking that before they have the amp, they are now GOD, and do
> >> whatever they please. They belittle and harass the hell out of that
> >> don't have an amp. Why would anybody want to associate themselves
> >> with such asses? I know I don't want to...
> >
> >I can see you are seriously trying to get yourself filtered... For your
> >information, or lack there of, I DO NOT own any form of amplification
device
> >that pertains to RF communication. The only amplifiers I own are those
> >which power the speakers in my car and my home.
>
> Where did I say that you owned an amp? I didn't. I am fully aware
> about your love-it-to-death Cobra and other radios with the power and
> frequency mods. You may not run an external amp, but by your own
> admission you exceed the power limitations.
>
> BTW, do you run anything legal? Just curious...
>
Yup... Cobra 148FGTL... Can't mush more legal than that....

> > As I stated in a previous
> >post to you...
> >
> >"...your comments [are] unwanted, and little needed, and... ...you really
> >should keep such stupidity to yourself. If you want to make yourself
look
> >like an idiot, by all means, be my guest..."
>
> I don't recall that post. I DO recall this one though:
>
> >Keep your unwanted, and little needed, comments to yourself... Thanks!
>
> But I suppose you can rewrite your own quotes to sound less commanding
> because you are the newsgroup moderator!
>

Then maybe you should then look at my last reply to you on the prior subject
you thought that you could be the commanding ass on...

245. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 12:41:16 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D22F0EC.4E8C@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129279

Sean wrote:
>
> Dave, i basically agree with many of your comments. I do have to say that
> many CBer's reject the information that Ham's post due to the way that the
> Ham's present it, not that the CBer's think it is "wrong" or "does not
> apply". While everybody would like to be handed a shiny red apple, nobody
> wants one with a nail sticking out of it.

Agreed. In today's society, it's about 10% substance and 90% flash.
People are more concerned with how things appear to be, then what they
really are. There are many people on here that try to read things into
the infomation that is presented, that in most cases, is just not there.
They twist meanings, take statements out of context, and try to make an
issue out of nothing. In short, paranoia

I've said before that I'm not good at mollycoddling. I present what I
know, and like to exchange experiences with other intelligent people,
and hopefully come away with more knowledge than before. But if I tell
someone, for example, that clipping a modulation limiter is not such a
good idea, and explain the reasons why, I am not responsible for someone
else interpreting that as "another ham spouting off his superior
attitude".

> There are a few exceptions to this rule, one of them being Bill Nelson.
> While i have not been here in a long time, i see that Bill is still around
> and doing his best to present both level headed and factual responses to
> much of the chaos here. As such, i think that he has truly been "accepted"
> by the CB crowd even though he is "one of them" (a Ham). Most of this has
> to do with his attitude and how things are presented, not the actual
> messages. If that were not the case, he would have been "rejected" as he
> does not promote the typical ideologies that most CBer's have adopted. As
> such, i think that Bill has served as a role model for both parties involved
> in all of the fracas. Hat's off to him for sticking it out AND doing it in
> a manner that befits a true gentleman.

It's a difficult path to take, to walk that fine line and not be looked
at as hypocritical. If you offer up only information and resist the
temptation to get into the politics, you stand a chance. Otherwise, once
you take a stand on an issue (I.E. illegal operation vs. legal) you are
more or less bound by your position, and you stand to lose credibility
or character if you offer up information that differs from your stand.
Just like there is no such thing as being "a little pregnant", there is
no such thing as being "slightly illegal". So if you take a stand that
amps are wrong because they are illegal, you can't very well tell
someone else how to mod a radio for extra channels, since that is also

illegal. On the other hand, if you have no real problem with small amps
and a few radio "enhancements", then you have no room to complain that
another user is running thousands of watts and splattering the band, and
cite regulations to back up your complaint.

The whole issue of illegal operation has gotten completely out of hand.
Once upon a time, if someone wanted a little more power or a few more
"getaway" channels, it was no big deal. No one got hurt, and no one was
denied access or kept from enjoying their hobby. But like most things,
when a little extra is good, a lot more must be better, and things have
reached the point of critical mass in some cases.
Since you can't legislate morality, and it comes down to a matter of
opinion as to whether certain illegal operation is rude or inconsiderate
to other users, the only recourse that many have had, has been to fall
back on the rules and regs. Now there can be no question that what is
happening is against the law (Although there are still those that try to
argue that since it's not on the same level as murder, it's no big
deal). The hope is that some fear of enforcement will throw a little
water on the fire and settle things down a bit. This isn't hams bashing
CBers. It's CBers fighting to save some dignity for their hobby. The
fact that many of these same CBers are also hams is totally irrelevant.
One CAN love both services (Although not all of the people on them).
This has forced many of us to pick a side. I am not totally comfortable
siding with the letter of the law, since most of my CBing in the past,
involved some form of illegal equipment. But like I said before, it has
gotten out of hand, and something needs to be done.

I'm not a "letter of the law" kind of guy, but something has to be done
if this service is to retain any credibility, and any hopes for future
expansion as a hobby service. As long as the perception by lawmakers, is
that the CB service is overrun by outlaws, there will be no serious
considerations toward added bandwidth or increased priviledges. I, for
one, would love to see 11 meters opened up from 26.0 to 27.995, with
rigs up to 100 watts PEP (25 watts AM carrier) and FM. There have been
others proposing similar. But it'll never happen as long as there are
people who openly and blatently defy the law.

>
> Maybe if there were a few more hams like Bill on this group and less of the
> condescending and negative assholes (that is what they come across as)
> that tend to dominate most of the threads and represent the amateur
> community in a poor fashion, we might make some progress around here.

Not as long as any attempt to offer up legal alternatives is read as
"hams bashing CBers", even when the people citing the rules may not even
be hams.

> After all, who likes getting slapped in the face, kneed in the groin and told that
> they are doing something wrong.

No one, but my parents did similar things to me when I was growing up,
and did things wrong. Punishment is what makes someone think in

retrospect about what it was they did wrong. As long as the people here believe that they are not doing anything wrong, there can be no progress.

It's also tough trying to make a point when there are certain mentally challenged people on here that obfuscate, distort, and take each and every sentence out of context. It's no wonder many of us loose our cool from time to time.

> I think that most people would prefer being
> told that there is a better way and have someone that will demonstrate the
> superiority of a slightly different approach.

It's the same thing. As long as they're convinced that their's is the best way, their minds are closed to new ideas (or old ones that work better). It's way too easy to dismiss something out of hand as "CBer bashing" than to consider the merits and have the intellectual honesty to admit that you MIGHT be wrong.

> Since not everyone has the
> same level of understanding nor do we all learn at the same pace, it might
> take some longer than others to fully understand where we are going.

I am patient with people who are willing to learn, but just haven't grasped the concepts. I will explain it as many times and ways as it takes to get the point across. But if people have already made their minds up that you're blowing smoke, no amount of explaining will convince them.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

246. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 12:54:26 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ui5t47tf0j0s4f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129270

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d22a3cc.4099217@news.cet.com...
> In <ui4j9q3roqf65e@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
> <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
>
> >
> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d223349.3001761@news.cet.com...
> >> In <ui4c83be7384e2@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
> >> <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
> >>
> >> >...All I see are
> >> >a bunch of power hungry idiots...
> >>
> >> Hmmm.... I wonder why you picked the name "Power House"?
> >>
> >>
> >Getting pretty bad when you have to twist around my words and put them in
a
> >context they weren't intended to be in... Sorry pal, but you have some
real
> >growing up to do...
> >
> >And for your information, or lack there of once again, the handle was
given
> >to me by the locals, and came from the fact that at one time I lived on
top
> >of a large hill, and basically had "power" over the area, as I was the
one
> >and only person able to relay messages back and forth to those that could
> >not make the contact to the person they desired to speak to. The area is
> >VERY saturated with iron ore, and rather hilly, thus making communication
> >more difficult...
>
> Good thing you had those power mods done on those Cobras, huh? Or did
> you still have that 250 watt amp that you used with your dad's radio?
>

Power Mods on Cobras?? Have no idea what you are referring to there... Is
there a point in turning the power up from 4-watts to 5 or 6-watts?
Wouldn't make a bit of difference... Incidentally, the radio I used was a
Midland 40-channel SSB base from the mid to the late 70's. Didn't even own
a Cobra at the time, so I have no idea where you got that crap from...

250 watt amp huh? Doing a little history checking are you? In the only
posting I every made in reference to that, I said it was "at my disposal

when needed" Never said I actually used it. Being up on the hill, it was not needed, but it was there just the same; I won't deny that.

PH

247. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 13:04:54 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ui5to418dcnhc9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129272

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:QFsU8.73045$UT.5075567@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Just whom might you have a problem with?
>
> Dick N&#65533;BK
>
>

Sorry, I probably should have clarified a little better on that.

I don't have the time to go around and verify if a person has a ticket or not, or whether their claims at actually having one are valid or not. If they indicate in some way or another that they have one, then I just look at them as being a Ham operator, whether they actually are or not. I know that it's not quite right of me to do so, but some of us just don't have time to waist validating a persons claims of such.

I make great use of my killfile, and as you can see, I am replying to your post. Basically that means I haven't found you to be an incompetent idiot, so I really wouldn't worry about that too much. If I must back my claims, I'll drop you a copy of my killfile, and you can pick up the pieces from there if you like. It would be those in my file that I am referring to...

PH

248. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 13:06:31 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ui5tqtqg84fedc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129271

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:8%sU8.56624$vo2.3097044@news2.telusplanet.net...
>
> Dick this is not a flame but using your call on this NG is like using it
on
> CB. It is out of place. I have no problem with you but the use of ham
calls
> seems to be what is causing the trouble.
>
> > Dick N�BK
> >
> Maybe we should all post with our Handles on this NG and leave the HAM
calls
> to the ham NGs. It's like using 10-4 good buddy on 2 meters. You would get
> shunned and laughed off the radio.
>
>

Personally, adding a HAM call to your signature doesn't bother me a bit.
It's those that think they can control the group and every aspect of CB that
bother me...

PH

249. Re: Figured out noise on my CB..Computer monitor..Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 13:13:10 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ui5u7c1d3djm2d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129273

"RUSTYAMERI" <rustyameri@aol.comPROMARS> wrote in message
news:20020703005115.05078.00001708@mb-mv.aol.com...
> WOW, I figured out the noise on the Cb. It is the computer monitor. It is
> plugged into a totally seperate outlet which has its own circuit breaker,
and
> at least 20 feet away from the CB. What gives? When I shut the monitor
off,
> ahhhh,,,,I can hear the far away stations. HELP

That actually would be helpful for me as well. My monitor interferes with my scanner, though not as bad as this damn, RF Hash creating, Linksys router! My god what an awful broadband noise that thing emits. Personally, my TV is what interferes with my CB. I'm sure the solution would be similar if not the same as for a monitor... Anyone?

PH

250. Re: Does he look funny or not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 13:15:51 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ui5uco3up3m251@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129274

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:lCvU8.28043$q53.837445@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Oops, almost forgot. Here's the link to check. In the family pic, is he an
> egghead or not?
>
>
>
> http://www.geocities.com/aaronvoobner/voobner.htm
>
>
>
He looks more like someone from the movie Coneheads on this end... The
picture is squashed too much... Need to fix the dimensions on it...

PH

251. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 13:57:52 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <u606iuset6gtji8fu0fih23vgesbm71mv0@4ax.com>
Source record: 129280

On Wed, 03 Jul 2002 07:20:35 -0400, Dave Hall
<nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

>Darrin wrote:>>
>> Here's my 2 cents on the subject. I'm a licensed ham, but spend more
>> time on 11 meters. When I'm at work I spend a lot of time on 70cm and
>> 220. When I'm home at night, I spend most of the time on 11 meters.
>
>There was a time when I did exactly the same. I would agin, if there
>were more interesting people on CB in my area.

I have one channel in my area where the people have been talking on it
for over 20 years. Every night you'll find at least 6-10 people
talking all night long.

>
>> As for illegal operators, I have no problem with people running ham gear
>> on 11 meters, as long as they don't get carried away. I admit my radio
>> at home is a 2980 base. Yes it has 100's of channels, and yes it puts
>> out a whopping 10 watts AM, 25 watts SSB. With my A99 on the roof I
>> have no problem talking 30+ miles on AM, and I can talk skip all over
>> the world on SSB when conditions are good. I have no desire to use an
>> amp, or run a radio like the 2990 that has a lot more power. There are
>> a few idiots that talk on adjacent channels that I use, that are
>> running 100's of watts with dirty radios, splattering all the
>> channels. Those are the assholes that I hate.
>
>Be careful what you preach here, because you could unwittingly be part
>of the same group. A Galaxy 2980, combined with an A99 antenna, has been
>the cause of more than enough TVI/RFI problems over the years. The radio
>is not particularly clean spectrally, and the decoupling issues with the
>A99, allow it to radiate RF into nearby homes with greater strength than
>other antenna types. I would be surprized if none of your neighbors have
>a problem with your operating habits. Maybe you don't bother them enough
>to mention it yet.
>

I know most of my neighbors, and nobody has complained in the 3 years
my A99 has been up. Considering my roof is an antenna farm with 4
antennas (A99, 2 discones, & dual-band), they'd know where to come if
I was a problem. I have asked my closest neighbors if they ever heard
me, and they said no.

252. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 14:42:08 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d230b8d$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129281

Ok, Mr. Testicular Torsion. Please spot the lie.

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:26834-3D2202B3-190@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com

http://www.hacres.com/forum/display_message.asp?mid=1198

(Chuckle) This does seem to be a congregating spot for lying hammies,
lately.

253. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 14:45:11 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d230c44$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129282

I agree, these two, Mr. Testicular Torsion and Moparholic (who has apparently been drinking brake fluid and sniffing carborator cleaner again) seem bent foolishness. They both had to go to the internet to "dig up dirt" to attempt to discredit me. Both need to go back into their sandboxes and try a bit harder.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Tommy Maltino" <tommy@malt.com> wrote in message news:WUsU8.512586$Gs.35286154@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
>
> >>> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote:
>
> > >>Ok, show me where I lied.
>
> > First you posted this:
>
> > >>Now THERE'S a wierdo! I've heard of this "diet" and wouldn't get near
> someone selling it.
>
>
> > But in reality you're married to someone who has used it:
> > http://www.hacres.com/forum/display_message.asp?mid=1198
>
>
> TROLL post with no useful content. Posted strictly to harass
>
>

254. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 14:51:07 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d230da7$0$13949$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129283

No, I'm married to someone who has tried it. There is a big difference.
Like I said, go back into your sandbox and dig a bit more. You and Mr.
Testicular Torsion need to put your heads together and work harder.

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020702204220.293$mE@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote:
> >>Ok, show me where I lied.
> >
> First you posted this:
> >>Now THERE'S a wierdo! I've heard of this "diet" and wouldn't get
> > near
> > someone selling it.
> >
> But in reality you're married to someone who has used it:
>
> http://www.hacres.com/forum/display_message.asp?mid=1198
> >
> >>It isn't that the world is full of fools like this fellow, it's that the
> > lightning isn't distributed right.
> >
> Were you struck in the head by lightning,causing short term memory loss?
> Or are you just full of shit?
>
> I think it's the latter.

No you don't think, that's your problem. You really should stop drinking
that brake fluid and carborator cleaner, it's warping your mind.

Kevin, WB5RUE

255. Re: Does he look funny or not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 14:55:00 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <8fEU8.29655$q53.892077@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129284

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:ui5uco3up3m251@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:lCvU8.28043$q53.837445@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > Oops, almost forgot. Here's the link to check. In the family pic, is he
an
> > egghead or not?
> >
> >
> >
> > http://www.geocities.com/aaronvoobner/voobner.htm
> >
> >
> >
> He looks more like someone from the movie Coneheads on this end... The
> picture is squashed too much... Need to fix the dimensions on it...
>
> PH
>
>
>
>

Yeah, being the inept little webmaster that he is, he told me to fix my monitor, and then quietly, he ran back behind the scenes and screwed with the dimensions.

You know, IF that were truly his family, I'm sure he'd have some newer pics by now. That one is rather dated. I guess he didn't plan on his little charade lasting this long when he originally set up the fictitious page.

BTW, if you look VERY closely at the pic with the computer desktop, you can see the letters "FCC" rather crudely added to the monitor. What a wannabe! LOL!

256. Re: hey triple nickel,wheres skip??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 15:08:33 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703110833.16563.00001649@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 129285

well,damn sorry to hear about shot gun.hope he will be ok. tell him we said so.
its been 85-90 degrees here. rode the scooter out to assateague island
yesterday.the water is warm this year.laid out,got some sun.hey..i hate it but
somebodies gotta do it...right. :) and yeah,i know were a mud duck(right
now)...but wait till i get back and start swinging that 6 element agian. tell
"too-tall" he took too damn long to get that a-99 up.i put mine up in 5
minutes.he took 5 months.
glad hes back on the air. talk to you later.
gunslinger

257. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 15:16:02 UTC
From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <3D231532.1D7CB685@lucent.com>
Source record: 129286

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:

> I have a
> Johnson Messenger 223 (tube rig) that has the best sensitivity of all
> of my radios,

I agree!

Probably either THE BEST, or at least one of the ten best, single
conversion radios ever built!

Regards,

Dennis O.

258. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 15:16:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3084-3D231568-19@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129288

Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE, feeling paranoid and desperate, attempted insult with the following name-calling, in response to a comment non-concerning of himself:

Ok, Mr. Testicular Torsion. Please spot the lie.
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:26834-3D2202B3-190@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...

>From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
http://www.hacres.com/forum/display_message.asp?mid=1198 <

(Chuckle) This does seem to be a congregating spot for lying hammies, lately.

Where did you lie? Concerning your enforcement comments, it would be more practical to ask where you didn't lie. You piled lie upon lie concerning your diminished role in enforcement, just to touch the tip of the iceberg.. You know exactly what I'm talking of.

259. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 15:32:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3084-3D23191E-20@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129287

Dave Hall wroted:
>But that's the false logic of that position. When you get a ham license, you aren't accepting some ego-crazed maniacs as your permanent roommates, you are earning yourself a ticket to associate with whomever you want to, on any of the bands that you have privileges for.<

When one attains a hammie ticket and is disillusioned (oh, you better believe it happens..just look at the perma-nocodes for proof) one usually returns to their roots,,angry, and caught in a limbo where they neither want to be nor are wanted by either faction. Facts is what the facts is.

> If stuffed shirts turn you off, seek out other people on other bands.<

I don't hear many stuffed shirts on hammie radio. Boring, yea, stuffed shirts, no.

> When you're DXing internationally, there isn't much difference in the contact other than procedural or style.
Ham radio is what YOU make it. For someone, who is truly a radio enthusiest, to use the excuse that they don't like some of the people in ham radio, as a reason for not pursuing a ham license, that, to me, is just a cop out.<

So far Hall, you have allowed that ANY reason a dxing cber gives for not getting a ticket is a cop-out. The problem is on your end. Maybe a another hammie could assist on your dilemma. Sounds likie ya' a need a refresher elmer.

>When I went for my license 20 years ago, <

Here we go...war stories by our resident paranoid hammie who admittedly has deficits in dealing with change of any type, begins to reminisce about :"the olden days" and "the way it WAS".

>I wasn't too thrilled with the stuffed shirts (And they were worse then),<

And the snow, of course, was much, much deeper than it is today.

> but enough of my friends also bit the bullet and took the test, and we started our own group. We didn't need the approval or acceptance of the stuffed shirts. We did our own thing. That's the beauty of ham radio. Plenty of room for everybody.
Dave
"Sandbagger"<

All this room and look where you prefer to spend your time.

260. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 15:38:03 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3084-3D231A5B-21@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129289

>Be careful what you preach here, because you could unwittingly be part of the same group. <<

You mean he's not? What happened to your theory that "laws are there to protect all, you either obey them or are a criminal"?

>A Galaxy 2980, combined with an A99 antenna, has been the cause of more than enough TVI/RFI problems over the years. The radio is not particularly clean spectrally, and the decoupling issues with the A99, allow it to radiate RF into nearby homes with greater strength than other antenna types. I would be surprized if none of your neighbors have a problem with your operating habits. Maybe you don't bother them enough to mention it yet.
I'm not going to take the "holy high road" and demand that you only run part 95 accepted equipment. But if you do decide to run something other than that, you need to be keenly aware of the interference potential that non-type accepted radios can produce. To that end, it is helpful to have test equipment and understand enough about radio theory, so that you can do the responsible thing and be as clean as possible, even if you don't obey the letter of the law.
Dave
"Sandbagger" <

In any event, I am jubilant to see you have been educated in the matter and can now comprehend not all radio rule breakers are "criminals" as you have in the past tried to put forth. Congratulations. You have a long, hard road ahead of you, but I commend you for your first step.

261. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 15:45:58 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3084-3D231C36-23@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129290

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
>I agree, these two, Mr. Testicular Torsion and Moparholic (who has apparently been drinking brake fluid and sniffing carborator cleaner again) seem bent foolishness. They both had to go to the internet to "dig up dirt" to attempt to discredit me. Both need to go back into their sandboxes and try a bit harder.
Kevin, WB5RUE<

You certainly have a complex going on, Muenzler. I never concerned myself with any aspect of your troubled self except to interject on what I knew to be absolute falsehoods on your behalf. Injecting sexual innuendo into your posts as attempted insult adds only to the non-credibility you have established among us. I assure you, I can't care any less about you, which is all you malcontents problem..you just can't get a grip on that...it's not personal. You begin slinging voodoo hammie bullshit in an attempt to intimidate cbers or to stroke your own ego, it's getting shot down before it gets started. And stop being so damn paranoid...no one is trying to discredit you...it's easier to sit back and let you do it to yourself.

262. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 15:50:51 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3085-3D231D5B-8@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129291

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
Richard McCollum wrote:
You may have a point. My theory is that there is a certain credibility gained from putting the truth right up front. Wysiwyg

>But one of the valid points that have been raised by some of the CBers, is that posting a ham call, is sometimes viewed as "flaunting" the ticket. I have mine in my e-mail header, but I don't post it for just that reason. If the topic of discussion heads in that direction, I will state the truth. Unless there's a specific reason to mention a ham license, I usually try not to, as it is not usually relevant to the topics that are discussed here.
Dave
"Sandbagger"<

Nor are your war stories about "how it used to be" or your rantings about your favorite social deviations and morals and your attmpted stretch to connect them to cb, but that never stopped you before.

263. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 16:33:42 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ui69qcf3t4uvfa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129292

Ummm .... Jim is ... uh, what? New extra? First extra as WB2OSP in 1966, WA3RJX in around 1971, N2JH later in the 70s ... first call WN2CJV in 1962 (although I know you have me beat on that by a few years). Heck, with this order your own callsign, one can't even tell where someone else is (roughly) without either being told or looking it up on qrz.com. We've got a couple of local ops here in Rochester, NY with eighth district callsigns.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - 1st phone in 66 as well as the telegraph and radar endorsement. Means about as much as Moose Cud .. er .. Moss Code these days! ;)

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:QFsU8.73045$UT.5075567@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:ui4c83be7384e2@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D219D45.3C36@worldlynx.net...
> > > Many CBers have expressed the opinion that they have no desire to
> become
> > > hams. Despite the vast increases in privileges, and spectrum, and the
> > > relative ease of the exam today, they stubbornly refuse to go the
> route.
> > > I just don't understand why someone, who enjoys the thrill of freeband
> > > DXing, who operates a ham rig, would not want to upgrade to ham radio,
> > > where the DX can be found anytime, and not just on 11 year cycles?
> >
> > I think the expression of desire to not get a license stems from the
> crap
> > that goes on in here. I know that for time being, I have little to no
> > desire to get a license. Why would I? I have no desire to associate
> myself
> > with the idiots that troll around in this group. Granted, from what I
> hear
> > and read, most hams are not like this, but I find it hard to believe as
> I
> > see little to no "decent" hams adding anything to this group. All I see
> are
> > a bunch of power hungry idiots thinking that before they have the
> license,
> > they are now GOD, and do whatever they please. They belittle and harass
> the

> > hell out of that don't have a license. Why would anybody want to
> associate
> > themselves with such asses? I know I don't want to...
> >
> > PH
>
> Been a while since I have been called GOD. I do pretty much what I please
> tho. Like the proverbial rabbit who was asked why he slept in a
> refrigerator, "This is a Westinghouse and I am Westing" call it rec.radio
> and I will recreate to my heart's content.
>
> Seriously tho, I am more or less the senior ham on this group, Bert is a
> new Extra, Jerry Oxendine is, Jim is and we all make no pretense of much
> of anything and put out the call signs for all to see; Wysiwyg. Doc and a
> few others choose not to be so accomodating but they are pretty calm
> grandpa types like the rest of us. So I kind of wonder who you are
> talking about.
> Yes there is George but he is simply insane and blocking giganews does him
> in. Dr. Strangelove is the current manifestation or infestation as you
> like.
>
> Aaron denies a ticket, Sparky denies a ticket and they seem to be the
> local branch of Legal, Eagle, Beagle, and Briefcase. There are a few
> Lifer Techs floating around trying for respect and not getting it. There
> are any number of Temp Techs (e.g. Jay in the Mojave) who are reasonable.
> Just whom might you have a problem with?
>
> I will admit to being a little tough on CB Mythology wherever I see it.
> But in general I believe ignorance to be curable where stupidity is for
> life so I try to educate the ignorant where I can and killfile the stupid.
> But I don't often use the hobnail boots and the rest of the guys are far
> nicer than I am.
>
> Dick NBK
>
>

264. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 16:46:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24684-3D232A60-36@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129295

Dave Hall wroted:
>It's a difficult path to take, to walk that fine line and not be looked at as hypocritical. <

It sure is. Ever wonder why? Get some of the enlightened hammies who aren't looked upon in that matter to clue you in. I do believe you may be on a roll to recovery, Hall.You obviously recognized your err in calling everyone who doesn't adhere to every rule "a criminal", as you have ceased that behavior. Recognizing the way you are looked upon by the cb masses is another step. As I said, an enlightened hammie can assist you, all you need do is reach out and ask for their assistance, if your pride and ego will allow it.

>If you offer up only information and resist the temptation to get into the politics, you stand a chance. Otherwise, once you take a stand on an issue (I.E. illegal operation vs. legal) you are more or less bound by your position, and you stand to lose credibility or character if you offer up information that differs from your stand. <

Your predicament is becoming more clear, now that you have shared the amusing manner in which you view. Hint: The majority doesn't think this way, only the minority interested in further dividing the 2 services.

>Just like there is no such thing as being "a little pregnant", there is no such thing as being "slightly illegal".<

Where is Sparky, the non-sequitur cop, when he is needed?

> So if you take a stand that amps are wrong because they are illegal, you can't very well tell someone else how to mod a radio for extra channels, since that is also illegal. <

20 years a hammie and you are still slinging big bullshit. It is NOT illegal in any way shape or form to mod a radio for extra channels nor to exchange said information.

>On the other hand, if you have no real problem with small amps and a few radio "enhancements", then you have no room to complain that another user is running thousands of watts and splattering the band, and cite regulations to back up your complaint.<

WTF does THAT last line mean?

>The whole issue of illegal operation has gotten completely out of hand. Once upon a time, if someone wanted a little more power or a few more "getaway" channels, it was no big deal. No one got hurt, and no one was denied access or kept from enjoying their hobby. But like most things,

when a little extra is good, a lot more must be better, and things have reached the point of critical mass in some cases. <

So this is how you were misinformed and fell astray into believing that everything must be categorized, pigeonholed, labeled, and such.

>Since you can't legislate morality, <

And you were doing so well.......

>and it comes down to a matter of opinion as to whether certain illegal operation is rude or inconsiderate to other users, the only recourse that many have had, has been to fall back on the rules and regs. <

And therein illustrates your mindset. You continue to place mortar and sling bricks and add to the wall of separation between cb and hammies with comments such as "recourse (read: vengeance)" and talk of cbers who do not attain a ticket as being "lazy" . Not all suffer from your affliction of not being able to see the forest through the trees.

>Now there can be no question that what is happening is against the law (Although there are still those that try to argue that since it's not on the same level as murder, it's no big deal). <

Paging the non-sequitur cop.......

>The hope is that some fear of enforcement will throw a little water on the fire and settle things d own a bit.<

Exactly! This is what Muenzler tried and failed with, but thanks for the admittance to what was obvous to most.

> This isn't hams bashing CBers.<

You're right. Try as those few may, they just can't get a grip on competency.

> It's CBers fighting to save some dignity for their hobby. <

Funny, you don't see many cbers in here fighting at all, unless, of course, it's with a discontent hammie who can't control his anger and emotions resulting in his insults.

>The fact that many of these same CBers are also hams is totally irrelevant. <

If you really believed that you wouldn't make so much noise about not being able to comprehend why cbers don't become hammies. You really ought to think before you post.

>One CAN love both services (Although not all of the people on them). This has forced many of us to pick a side.<

Note yourf word selection...."forced"..as in not having control in the matter.

> I am not totally comfortable siding with the letter of the law, since most of my CBing in the past, involved some form of illegal equipment. <

And of course, you weren't a "criminal" when you had your fill, but now that anyone else does what you did, they are criminals.

>But like I said before, it has gotten out of hand, and something needs to be done.
I'm not a "letter of the law" kind of guy, <

Riiiiiiight! All those you referred to as criminals for not obeying all laws and all ordinances and all rules all the time,..you were FORCED to do so.

>but something has to be done if this service is to retain any credibility, and any hopes for future expansion as a hobby service. <

Nether service is expanding, and hammie radio is in constant danger of losing more spectrum every day.

>As long as the perception by lawmakers, is that the CB service is overrun by outlaws, there will be no serious considerations toward added bandwidth or increased priviledges. <

That's not the perceptions by lawmakers. MOST lawmakers don't even know cb still exists. Those that do consider it a non-issue, with the exception of those who throw bones to wealthy constituents, sorta like the observer program that you mad hammies consider a gleaming endorsement/second coming by the feds.

>I, for one, would love to see 11 meters opened up from 26.0 to 27.995, with rigs up to 100 watts PEP (25 watts AM carrier) and FM. There have been others proposing similar. But it'll never happen as long as there are people who openly and blatently defy the law.<

You mean like how the entire country disobeyed the 55 mph law until it was (ahem) disregarded.

>>Maybe if there were a few more hams like Bill on this group and less of the condescending and negative assholes (that is what they come across as) that tend to dominate most of the threads and represent the amateur community in a poor fashion, we might make some progress around here. <<

>Not as long as any attempt to offer up legal alternatives is read as "hams bashing CBers", <

It's not ANY attempts that is read like that, only the ones that were intended as such.

>even when the people citing the rules may not even be hams. <

They are. Your insinuation is an extension of the main problem and superbly indicative of yours.

>>After all, who likes getting slapped in the face, kneed in the groin and told that they are doing something wrong. <<

>No one, but my parents did similar things to me when I was growing up, and did things wrong. <

Then that is another issue you should work on. Abuse is no laughing matter.
This revelation casts a new light on your bizarre behavior. It is never too late to deal with past issues.

>Punishment is what makes someone think in retrospect about what it was they did wrong. As long as the people here believe that they are not doing anything wrong, there can be no progress. <

As long as victims such as yourself continue to justify what happened to them by thinking you somehow deserved it as "punishment" because you were being corrected, you can never begin to heal or recover.

>It's also tough trying to make a point when there are certain mentally challenged people on here that obfuscate, distort, and take each and every sentence out of context. It's no wonder many of us loose our cool from time to time. <

"MANY" don't lose their cool..only hotheads that have no self-control over their actions and emotions, and those who respond poorly with no obvious thought. As I said..ironic that certain hammies are hammies when effective communication appears to be the least adept skill demonstrated.

>> I think that most people would prefer being told that there is a better way and have someone that will demonstrate the superiority of a slightly different approach. <<

> It's the same thing. As long as they're convinced that their's is the best way, their minds are closed to new ideas (or old ones that work better). <

Such as "the way it USED to be?"

>It's way too easy to dismiss something out of hand as "CBer bashing" than to consider the merits and have the intellectual honesty to admit that you MIGHT be wrong. <

(chuckle) Walk the talk.

>>   Since not everyone has the
same level of understanding nor do we all learn at the same pace, it might take some longer than others to fully understand where we are going. <<

>I am patient with people who are willing to learn, but just haven't grasped the concepts. <

As defined by you, no less.

>I will explain it as many times and ways as it takes to get the point across. <

You not grasping why cbers don't all get tickets has no point.

>But if people have already made their minds up that you're blowing smoke, no amount of explaining will convince them.
Dave
"Sandbagger"<

The best way to avoid this is to avoid "blowing smoke". Try it, you too can earn the respect you think you are automatically entitled to by virtue of your ticket, if you emulate the majority of hammies that are enlightened among us.

265. Re: Constant Noise in my radio. Drowns out stations.Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 16:54:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24685-3D232C40-21@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129294

From: tommy@malt.com (Tommy Maltino)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:10161-3D2243FD-483@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Feelings of loneliness and isolation = Frank in drag. NOW, it's over.
>Twisty, you need to go out in the boat your getting Landsick<

It's been raining for 3 damn weeks straight. Last weekend we received
over 5 inches of rain in less than 2 hours.

266. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 16:58:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24684-3D232D21-37@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129293

>Twist there comes a point of diminishing returns, the loss from extra coax to go higher may not be made up with additional height.<

Which is why I said "for all practical purposes". Not too many cbers will reach that height under practical applications, but nevertheless, you are right, there is a height where it will negatively affect the operation.....ahhh...but WHAT is that height?

267. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 16:59:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24684-3D232D77-38@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129349

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:
This is hammie voodoo, for all practical purposes. The higher the antenna the better.

>Not hammie voodoo, suggest you get a copy of "The Radio Amateur's Handbook" and do a little reading on antenna theory, you just might learn something.<

Like how this doesn't apply to all practical purposes of cb.

268. Re: I wish everyone a safe and happy 4th of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 17:00:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24687-3D232DBF-3@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129347

From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote:
don't burn your house down with fireworks, and don;t get too hungover
or too sunburnt.

>Thanks Keith,same to you and everyone else on the board.
-- <

Banging year for fireworks, best we ever had. Can't wait for Halloween
to trot out the pumpkins.

269. Re: I wish everyone a safe and happy 4th of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 17:03:04 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24685-3D232E48-24@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129348

From: tommy@malt.com (Tommy Maltino)
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020702210004.624$KE@newsreader.com...
>Thanks Keith,same to you and everyone else on the board. <

>>Even Aaron, and Frank and Me?<<

Of course. even you and Frank/voob. Even Lelnad. Just cause some aren't
popular or well liked doesn't mean they aren't a part of the group.
Happy 4th. Oh yea, catch me on the freeband tomorrow.

270. Re: DX Report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 17:05:22 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24686-3D232ED2-7@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129296

From: 3carroll@optonline.net (stephanie)
Thanx Twiste as I had just purged your last list today and whaddayaknow this appears. got some good contacts from the last over. keep up the good work, 73, jim kp920.

Have a great holiday, Jim. I did manage to talk to the gentleman from Foecy, France. Got the Quatar satation last year,,,,,now to work on some of those "holiday" vacation stations.

271. OT: Joke...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 17:54:20 UTC
From: k8cpa@arrl.net
Message-ID: <3d2339dd.64010711@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 129350

Overheard on 3.898...

AE4N (hugh) was saying:

"Someone broke into the North Carolina Road Commission's warehouse,
and stole all the shovels, and now all the workers are leaning on each
other"

LOL

<rimshot>

-Chuck

272. Re: Figured out noise on my CB..Computer monitor..Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 18:21:13 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ui6g42j840a0e9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129351

Great! One thing I noticed once I got a fast 'puter is that it was putting a LOT of noise in my rf output on my cable tv. The thing doesn't bother the 36 incher as I'm using baseband audio and svideo; rather, it is clobbering the kitchen tv and the upstairs tv which are connected to the rf output on channel 3. I bought a filtered outlet (not surge suppression, that is another one in front) from Rat Shack (only 15 dB isolation - I would have preferred 30) and it really cut down the noise. Both my monitor and computer are plugged into the thing. It certainly won't help stuff that is directly radiated, but if it is conducted back to the 110, the isolation will cut down interference quite a bit.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
"RUSTYAMERI" <rustyameri@aol.comPROMARS> wrote in message
news:20020703005115.05078.00001708@mb-mv.aol.com...
> WOW, I figured out the noise on the Cb. It is the computer monitor. It is
> plugged into a totally seperate outlet which has its own circuit breaker,
and
> at least 20 feet away from the CB. What gives? When I shut the monitor
off,
> ahhhh,,,,I can hear the far away stations. HELP
> ---REMOVE PROMARS FROM MY E-MAIL ADDRESS TO E-MAIL ME

273. TWATHED CRAVES CREME FILLED STRAIGHTS, HERE'S THE PROOF!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 18:24:50 UTC
From: antikeyclownsowntwistedhed@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9d7b3827.0207031024.2cf8de64@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129352

From: nojunk n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave?Hall)
Sean wrote:
>Are any of you interested in turning this forum into something that can be used for educational purposes ? Would you prefer to be thought of as someone that helped people do the right thing or as someone that only preached condemnation and pushed them away from getting their license ? I think you know what i'm getting at. You can catch a lot more flies with honey than you can with vinegar. <

>>True enough. And if you remember, that's what this forum was like a few years back.<<

Yea, agreed. When Dogie YOUR ABSOLUTE SUPERIOR AND OWNER YOU FAG. came in and was denied any respect for his NO ONE NEEDS RESPECT FROM SLIME LIKE YOU FAGGOT BOY! trashy, potty mouth a few years ago, ANTI BOYS OWN THE NG!!!!!!!!!!he went berserk, and the AKC was formed, with you being part of it Dave, or did you forget your aligment with them? Say the word and I'll referesh your memory.

> Then the fights started whenever someone tried to correct "CB science" with good engineering practice.<

Dave, you are a regular piece of work...why not try injecting a little truth...AND WHILE HE IS AT IT PERHAPS INJECT SOME MANY COCK INTO YOUR CUM SUCKING FACE, HUH QUEERBOY?and while we are on the subject of truth, why do you feel the need to lie? No Dave, just cause your memory is a false one doesn't mean that most present don't remember Dogies YOUR ABSOLUTE SUPERIOR AND OWNER YOU FAG. entrance. HIS ENTRANCE WAS THE DEATH KNELL FOR THIS GROUP OF FAG ASSED FEDERAL CRIMINALS, HE LED THE CHARGE IN HIS HONESTY AND NOBILITY, AND CLAIMED OWNERSHIP OF YOU! HE STILL BUGS THE SHIT OUTTA YOU CUZ YOUR FAGGOT ASSED 1MANGAYBOY BULLSHIT HAD ALL THE ANTI'S LAUGHING SO HARD!!!! YOU SAID "chickenhawk", WE SAY "LICK OUR STALKS"

> Sooner or later, things degenerate into name calling, <

How about sooner, like immediately after any off the self-professed AKC's are disagreed with. Again, this was patented by Dogie YOUR ABSOLUTE SUPERIOR AND OWNER YOU FAG. and furthered by Frank. AND THE ANTI'S KICKED YOUR PATHETIC FAGGOT CUM SLURPIN ASS LIKE YOU WAS THE RED HEADED STEP CHILD!

>and then the stereotypes fly, and mutual disrespect is assured. <

Don't forget the lies, such as the bullsht you are attempting to sling now. YEAH WE WONT FAGGOTBOY!

>For some reason, the perception is that CBers don't like hams, <

For some reason? I never heard that. Some cbers don't like some hammies who ignorantly claim you either obey all laws or get labeled a criminal, such as yourself. YOU ARE A CRIMINAL FAGGOTBOY. MUST BE RELATED TO JOSEPH GOEBBELS

>mainly because in their efforts to educate, they are seen as "preaching" or condesending.<

Let's see, you called many names, beginning with "criminal"NOT A NAME YOU COCK MUNCHING SHIT HUNK, IT IS A FACT! to those who you have absolutely nothing to base that accusation on other than speech content. That makes you one of the "name-callers" you are hypocritically ranting on about. WAHHHH WAHHH MY NAME IS TWATHED AND THE BAAAAAD HAMMIES CALLED ME NAMES!!!! MOMMEEEEEE!!! PLEASE SAVE ME! CAN I GO BE 1MANGAYBOY AGAIN MOMMMEEEE? PWEEEEEZE??????

> Many CBers have openly stated that they don't feel that earning a ham ticket is an achievement worth any respect despite what other credentials the "ham" may also have. <

And "certain" hammies are obviously ego-bruised by this. NO SEMEN FACE, WE ARE FUCKING ENTERTAINED! THIS IS ABOUT HAVING A GOOD TIME AT YOUR EXPENSE YOU STUPID ASS CRIMINAL CUM SUCKING QUEER!!! BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAH LIFE IS SOOO GOOD WHEN YOU ARENT A STUPID ASS CRIMINAL CUM SUCKING QUEER!!!One isn't entitled to respect, Dave. One must earn it, and a license isn't any big achievment. For those that feel it is, congratulations,
but when you attempt to impress that "feeling" on others, you create problems for yourself them look around for someoen to blame...how convenient, you're in a CB ng, who shall you blame? BLAME?? NOPE!! TORMENT!!! POOR WIDDLE TWATHED...TAKING THE BRUNT OF THESE VICIOUS ATTACKS... AFTER ALL IT COULDNT BE BECAUSE YOU ARE A FAGGOTY STUPID ASS CRIMINAL CUM SUCKING QUEER!!! IT IS THE FAULT OF THE MEAN HAMMIES...."MOMMEE", TWATHED PATHETICALLY CRIES "I WANNA PLAY 1MANGAYBOY AGAIN" "THE MEAN HAMMIES ARE CRUSHING ME WITH THEIR SUPERIORITY."

>Many hams feel that CBers are reckless and lack respect for rules, whether operational or technical, and approach technical issues with a "damn the torpedos, full speed ahead" attitude rather than a more methodical, theoretical approach.<

Good thing most of us don't give a squat what "many" hammies feel. BUT YOU WILL GIVE A SQUAT FOR A MANLY DICK CRAMMED UP YOUR ASSHOLE, WE KNOW IT TO BE FACT!

>When you have these preconceived notions, it's hard to overcome them to achieve any meaningful dialogue.<

So tell us, why do you continue to perpetuate these "stereotypes" you speak of. YOU PERPETUATE THE STREOTYPE OF A FUCKING CRIMINAL AND A FAG ASSED COCK SLURPING HUNK OF DISEASED WORMY DOG SHIT!

>Once the facade of mutual back slapping becomes tired, and someone gets into a disagreement about how something should be handled, the old defenses pop up, and further constructive debate becomes useless.<

Well, why don't you do a statistical search and see where the names come from. Between George and Frank, they have that type behavior locked up at a ratio of like 7 to 1.
Drone on Dave, drone on.SUCK ON FAGGOT, SUCK ON. TRY NOT TO GAG. YOU ARE A FUCKING CRIMINAL AND A FAG ASSED COCK SLURPING HUNK OF DISEASED WORMY DOG SHIT!

>>As such, how many of you are willing to contribute to threads in a manner that may help cber's learn from and possibly even look up to the Amateur community ? If you want people to do what is "right", you have to help them to understand why the things that they are doing are "wrong". <<

>No one will understand what it is that they are doing wrong, if deep down inside, they don't believe that it's wrong in the first place.<

Such as you holding that anyone that doesn't see it "Your" way is not socially responsible? YES ASSHOLE YOU ARE A FUCKING CRIMINAL AND A FAG ASSED COCK SLURPING HUNK OF DISEASED WORMY DOG SHIT!
Such as we all have a duty to remain ethically
bound to morals the way YOU see fit? NOT FOR ASSHOLE FEDERAL CRIMINALS LIKE YOU WHO SUCK COCKS IN TRUCKSTOPS IT DOESN'T.
No, Dave, it doesn't work that way
at all.

Any attempt to correct that notion only >reinforces those earlier preconceptions. If someone feels that "god" gave them the right to operate as they please, or that they break selective federal laws as a form of civil disobedience, then it's going to be a hard battle to win that person over. <

You highlight my point perfectly, esconcing the fact that you see a battle,,a fight...something to be "won or lost" between cb and hammie radio..it is thinking like your own that does more to separate such hobbys. WHAT BATTLE? YOUR COCK LICKING ASS GETS WHIPPED DAILY! IT IS SO MUCH FUN TO WATCH YOU POST SHIT LIKE THIS! YOU FAGGOT ASSED DICK MUNCHING PIECE OF WORMY DOG SHIT!

>Then you have the guys who will religiously hold on to their "outlaw" beliefs and will do their best to undermine any attempt at credible education, sensing that success in properly educating people will threaten their own empires and will ultimately effect them both psychologically and financially, in some cases. <

I notice you intentionally left out any wrongdoing by any hammies.
THE ANTI'S ARE HERE TO STAY AND YOUR FAGGOT ASS CANT DO SHIT ABOUT IT. YOU SHOULD SHUT YOUR SEMEN CRAVING GOBUTET AND GO BACK TO THE TRUCKSTOP AND WORK! BETTER TAKE THAT KID WITH YA, LOOKS LIKE HE"S GETTIN THE AGE WHERE HE WILL SOON NEED A TRADE!
Why

is it so diffcult for people as yourself to present a balanced side of an argument and let the masses make up their own minds? I'll tell you why, the same reason you had to retreat to posting under a pseudonym, withholding your name...it's BS! YEAH? WELL ADMIT YOUR CRIMINALITY THEN YOU COCK MUNCHING FAGGOT ASSED JIZZ GOBBLING PIECE OF ROTTEN DOG SHIT! THAT WILL BE A GREAT BALANCER!

>There's a lot of money to be made by perpetuating "CB science", and education is the bane to that existance. <

To the point of hammies WHO ARE ALL SUPERIOR TO YOU! telling outright lies concerning enforcement in attempted order to intimidate cbers? SO WHAT? YOU ASSWIPES LIE TO YOURSELVES ALL THE TIME. ALSO, WHAT THE FUCK IS THE BIG DEAL ABOUT AN HONEST MAN LYING TO A CRIMINAL? Yea, we know the drll, YOU KNOW THE DRILL CUZ YOUR ASS HAS BEEN DRILLED!
Dave. Sorry, most cbers aren't intimidated as much as certain hammies, evidenced by our willingness to think outside the box OUTSIDE THE BOX! BWAHAHAHAH! "BOX" IS A WORD THAT MEANS PUSSY...TWATHED HAS BEEN "OUTSIDE THE BOX" ALL HIS FAGGOT CUM LICKING LIFE! and NOT conform to anothers picture of righteousness. LIKE IN THE CASE OF YOUR COCK SUCKING AT TRUCKSTOPS FOR MONEY, RIGHT TWATHED?

>Technical issues usually degrade down to a "I know more than you do" arguement, with each side attempting to boost their credibility by listing their accomplishments, or their college degrees etc.<

Again, you speak of Frank. WE OWN THE NG, SAY ANYTHING YA WANT!

> One only has to dig up an old thread about coax lengths to see this in action. <

Or any of a shitload of Frank's post claiming his technical certificate and/or education is somehow relevant. I agree, it has no place. ANYTHING AN ANTI BOY POSTS HAS 10 TIMES THE RELEVANCE OF A GAY ASS COCK SUCKING PIECE OF SHIT LIKE YOU, TWATHED I must say though, your prioritie seem to be clouded along with your judgement, Hall.
Why can you set forth your ticket as a condition of an accomplishment but you feel threatened when someone does it with their education? It seems to me that a college degree or certificate means way more than your ticket, but you never cease to surprise. Holding your ticket above education is no surprise. AND YOUR CRAVING FOR THROBBING DRIPPING DICKS IS NO SUPRISE EITHER, YOU HAVE BEEN A DICK SUCKING SPERM GOBBLING QUEER ALL YOUR MENTALLY RETARDED LIFE, AND ALWAYS WILL BE!

>>In order to do that, you have to educate them and explain things along the way. If you are willing to do something like that, please make it known. I encourage those of you that want to make things "better" to use your personal along with your call sign. <<

>Some people (and I include myself) tend to be blunt and straight to the point. This can be interpreted as copping a superior attitude, and may turn off other people, even it it was unintentional. There is a fine

line between "explaining" something, and pounding it in for those that are a little slow, or stubborn. <

Slow or stubborn to to see the light as YOU see it is a goal most have already accomplished, intentionally. NO IT IS SLOW CUZ YOU COCK LICKING HOMOSEXUAL ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS TRY TO JUSTIFY YOUR FAGGOT ACTIONS AND WE READ YOUR POSTS AND LAUGH OUR FUKKIN ASSES OFF!

>>I'm sure that there are more than a few folks that post and read here that could easily end up being respected members of the Amateur radio ranks if properly nurtured. Even if some / most of these people don't become licensed amateurs, they will have at least gained knowledge as to what the TRUE nature of the Amateur community is about. Do us all a favor and become an "Elmer". Everyone like's an "Elmer" more than they do a "Peckerhead". While i know that there are more than a few "Peckerhead's" on each side of the fence, it won't be hard to tell them apart from the people that really do care once we can get going. That is, IF we can get things going. <<

>Many CBers have expressed the opinion that they have no desire to become hams. <

And therein lays your problem. So what?
What business is it of yours if a cber wants nothng to do with hammie radio? You just can't get over yourself. NO FAGBOY, ITS YOU THAT HAS A PROBLEM. YOUR PROBLEM IS THAT YOU CRAVE SEMEN AND ARE AN ILLEGAL FAG KEYCLOWN WHO SUCKS COCKS FROM A TRUCKSTOP FOR MONEY!

>Despite the vast increases in privileges, and spectrum, and the relative ease of the exam today, they stubbornly refuse to go the route.<

Actually, what has unfolded here, is your
inability to comprehend why people don't see it your way.
NO FAG ASS, ITS YOUR INABILITY NOT TO CRAVE COCK IN YOUR FACE AND NOT TO BE AN ILLEGAL FAGGOT SPERM SUCKING KEYCLOWN! It's actually amusing the extent you go.....NAH, AMUSING TO SEE YOUR FAG ASS TRY TO JUSTIFY YOUR CRIMINALITY BY ATTACKING OTHERS. YOU SAWED OFF FAG PIECE OF WORMY DOG SHIT!

> Now there could be many reasons for this. <

You don't have to understand WHY, Dave. Just accept it instead of creating such problems for yourself and others. THE ONLY OTHERS HAVING PROBLEMS ARE THE FAGGOT ASSED DICK SUCKING ILLEGAL FAGBOY KEYCLOWNS ON THIS NG. THEY WILL ALWAYS HAVE PROBLEMS COCK SUCKER! THE ANTI'S ARE HERE TO STAY AND YOUR FAGGOT ASS CANT DO SHIT ABOUT IT. YOU SHOULD SHUT YOUR SEMEN CRAVING GOBUTET AND GO BACK TO THE TRUCKSTOP AND WORK! BETTER TAKE THAT KID WITH YA, LOOKS LIKE HE"S GETTIN THE AGE WHERE HE WILL SOON NEED A TRADE!

>Many are turned off by the "ham culture", as it were,<

No! Not as it WERE! AS it stands in HERE, as demonstrated by "hammies" such as yourself, with no regard for anyone else,
HAW HAW HAW! YOU ASSWIPES ARE SOOO ORIGINAL! YOU ARE BLAMING THE HAMS FOR THE FACT THAT YOU ARE FAGGOT ASSED DICK SUCKING ILLEGAL CHUMP ASSED KEYCLOWNS! unless they conform to the ways you hold dear! YOU CAN DISH IT OUT TWATFACE, BUT YOU CANT TAKE IT CUZ YER A FUCKING QUEERBOY!

> even though you can create your own culture if enough of your friends upgrade as well. <

Not pertinent to cb in anyway whatsoever. ANTI BOYS OWN THE NG YOU SHIT LICKING FAGGOT ASSED DICK SUCKING PIECE OF SHIT! WE DECIDE WHAT IS PERTINENT, NOT GAYBOY SAWED OFF FUGGLY KID MAKING ASSHOLES LIKE YOU!

>Many, I suspect (although they'd never admit it), are truly afraid of the test and of failing it. <

And many already have a hammie license. JUST SHOWS HOW DUMB THEY ARE. And many have already let one expire.LIKE YOUR DISEASED BRAIN EXPIRED! Gee Dave, kinda blows your theory all to hell.BETTER TO HAVE A THEORY BLOWN THAN BE A FAGGOT ASSED COCKSUCKING PIECE OF SHIT LIKE YOU!!

>Imagine the blow to one's psyche to fail, what everyone touts as, an "easy" test. <

That's it Dave, further insult all those who don't have a license, INSULT AWAY! YOU CB FAGGOTS ARE CRIMINALS! but
you ought to try to disguise it a little better,
AS IF ANYONE WOULD GIVE A SHIT IF YOU FAGS FEEL BAD ABOUT BEING LABELED PROPERLY! POOR WIDDLE TWITHED, ALL OFFENDED AND SHIT! BWAHAHAHHAAHHA!
as one can tell you are talking yourself into an anger position
BETTER THAN THE GAY SEX POSITION YOU TAKE EVERY DAY WHEN YOU LOWER YOUR FAGGOT ASSED LIPS TO THE DICKS OF EVERY LAST MAN YOU CAN FIND TO DO IT WITH! BETTER THAN YOU BEING A RECTAL BITCH BOY, TOO...YA FAGGOT PIECE OF DOGSHIT! right before our very eyes.
Is this "failing of a license" anything like how you feel due your inability to grasp why all cbers don't become hammies? Feelings of helplessness and no control? I bet it is. NO, IT IS LIKE YOUR LACK OF COMPREHENSION THAT YOU ARE A FAG ASSED DICK SUCKING CRIMINAL MORON!

>I just don't understand why someone, who enjoys the thrill of freeband DXing, who operates a ham rig, would not want to upgrade to ham radio, where the DX can be found anytime, and not just on 11 year cycles? <

That is what I have been saying all along,,,you don't understand. YOU DONT UNDERSTAND THAT YOU ARE A HOPELSS HUNK OF SHIT WHO SUCKS THE COCKS OF STRANGE MEN IN TRUCK STOPS! FAGGOT MOTHER FUKKA! So what did you do when confronted with something you can't comprehend......you insulted them. This meshes nicely with your reluctance and stated dislike for change. YOU FAGGOT ASSED DICK SUCKERS WILL NEVER SEE ANY CHANGE. YOU HOPELESS PIECE OF DRIED CAMEL SHIT!

>Hey, I agree with your proposal, but like the realist (some would say pessimist) that I am, I see too much difficulty succeeding with it. <

Because you intentionally add to the problem. You just insulted all cbers, THEY ARE NOT CBERS! THEY ARE ILLEGAL FAGGOT ASSED DICK SUCKERS JUST LIKE YOU TWATHED!
yet sit here and attempt to play martyr.

>I try to offer up information to help people see things more clearly.<

Most already have their views. THE VIEWS OF CRIMINAL MINDS LIKE YOU, YA STUPID ASSED FUGGLY KID MAKING ILLEGAL FAGGOT ASSED DICK SUCKER. Most aren't interested in seeing cb the way you do, that has been proven time and time again..THATS BECAUSE THEY ARE ILLEGAL FAGGOT ASSED DICK SUCKING KEYCLOWNS

I think your radical views and being in the minority MINORITY MY ASS FUCK FACE! PEOPLEWHO RESPECT THE LAW ARE NOT THE MINORITY, MORON!is taking it's toll on you.

> If they understand it, great! If they refuse to accept it, then there isn't much more I can do. <

Why, you can always come in and let loose with a string of insults and present a one sided-argument and insult all cbers
THEY ARE NOT CBERS THEY ARE ILLEGAL FAGGOT ASSED DICK SUCKERS LIKE YOU! like you just did.

274. Re: New Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 18:26:01 UTC
From: Tommy Maltino <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <YkHU8.107048$Ca2.5832145@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129353

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:fQvU8.934$xB4.91425145@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> Oh, ok, I didn't know that you and
> Aaron were so close.....ROTFLOL!!!!
>
> Landshark

Just as close as you and your buddies from the NG, why is it I can't email
people from the NG and you can?
I am a card carrying member of the AKC

Just spewing those same old Hypocritical theories you are

275. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 18:29:13 UTC
From: Tommy Maltino <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <YnHU8.20628$vq.779051@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129354

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:fEtU8.26397$q53.812633@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > Even if they have a valid point to make?

> It's a rare case when they do.

When do you ever have a valid point Randy, I think you here more for the entertainment/troll value rather than helping people or learning about things.

Your posts have no point to them either why you being a hypocrite?

276. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 18:31:33 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <9qHU8.74929$UT.5158511@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129355

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D22E26D.45C6@worldlynx.net...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > You may have a point. My theory is that there is a certain
credibility
> > gained from putting the truth right up front. Wysiwyg
> >
>
> But one of the valid points that have been raised by some of the CBers,
> is that posting a ham call, is sometimes viewed as "flaunting" the
> ticket. I have mine in my e-mail header, but I don't post it for just
> that reason. If the topic of discussion heads in that direction, I will
> state the truth. Unless there's a specific reason to mention a ham
> license, I usually try not to, as it is not usually relevant to the
> topics that are discussed here.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
>

I at least try to stay to topics where it is relevant. I recognize your
point and within your approach it sure makes sense. I just do not see the
relevance in my case.

Dick, Velvet Codpiece. Tell no little lies.

277. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 18:34:08 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <AsHU8.74937$UT.5159078@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129356

Not a biggie. And we do seem to have a few with 'unlisted' call signs.

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:ui5to418dcnhc9@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:QFsU8.73045$UT.5075567@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Just whom might you have a problem with?
> >
> > Dick N BK
> >
> >
>
> Sorry, I probably should have clarified a little better on that.
>
> I don't have the time to go around and verify if a person has a ticket
or
> not, or whether their claims at actually having one are valid or not.
If
> they indicate in some way or another that they have one, then I just
look at
> them as being a Ham operator, whether they actually are or not. I know
that
> it's not quite right of me to do so, but some of us just don't have time
to
> waist validating a persons claims of such.
>
> I make great use of my killfile, and as you can see, I am replying to
your
> post. Basically that means I haven't found you to be an incompetent
idiot,
> so I really wouldn't worry about that too much. If I must back my
claims,
> I'll drop you a copy of my killfile, and you can pick up the pieces from
> there if you like. It would be those in my file that I am referring
to...
>
> PH
>
>
>
>

278. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 18:36:18 UTC
From: Tommy Maltino <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <CuHU8.20684$vq.783974@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129357

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:ui5t47tf0j0s4f@corp.supernews.com...
> Power Mods on Cobras?? Have no idea what you are referring to there...
Is
> there a point in turning the power up from 4-watts to 5 or 6-watts?
> Wouldn't make a bit of difference... Incidentally, the radio I used was a
> Midland 40-channel SSB base from the mid to the late 70's. Didn't even
own
> a Cobra at the time, so I have no idea where you got that crap from...
>
>
> 250 watt amp huh? Doing a little history checking are you? In the only
> posting I every made in reference to that, I said it was "at my disposal
> when needed" Never said I actually used it. Being up on the hill, it was
> not needed, but it was there just the same; I won't deny that.
>
> PH

LOL, hahaha cough spit cough , back peddle back peddle,,,

PowerHouse: No your Honor Sorry i don't do drugs
Judge: That's funny then why did the cops catch you with a bag of Coke.
Power House: Oh that's not mine, it is Randy's I was just holding it in
case I needed to go out one night I would have a little pick me up handy
Judge: Boy do you think I am stupid or something you have been caught lying
again son.

279. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 18:40:19 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <nyHU8.74945$UT.5156935@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129358

My high school English teacher would have gigged me for that sentence.
Yes, I just sort of babbled as the names surfaced. I am well aware that
you have been around since Marconi.
And I think I remember you from a poker game with Philo Farnsworth and
Edwin Armstrong.

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ui69qcf3t4uvfa@corp.supernews.com...
> Ummm .... Jim is ... uh, what? New extra? First extra as WB2OSP in
1966,
> WA3RJX in around 1971, N2JH later in the 70s ... first call WN2CJV in
1962
> (although I know you have me beat on that by a few years). Heck, with
this
> order your own callsign, one can't even tell where someone else is
(roughly)
> without either being told or looking it up on qrz.com. We've got a
couple
> of local ops here in Rochester, NY with eighth district callsigns.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
> ps - 1st phone in 66 as well as the telegraph and radar endorsement.
Means
> about as much as Moose Cud .. er .. Moss Code these days! ;)
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:QFsU8.73045$UT.5075567@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> > news:ui4c83be7384e2@corp.supernews.com...
> > >
> > > "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D219D45.3C36@worldlynx.net...
> > > > Many CBers have expressed the opinion that they have no desire to
> > become
> > > > hams. Despite the vast increases in privileges, and spectrum, and
the
> > > > relative ease of the exam today, they stubbornly refuse to go the
> > route.
> > > > I just don't understand why someone, who enjoys the thrill of
freeband
> > > > DXing, who operates a ham rig, would not want to upgrade to ham
radio,

> > > > where the DX can be found anytime, and not just on 11 year cycles?
> > >
> > > I think the expression of desire to not get a license stems from the
> > crap
> > > that goes on in here. I know that for time being, I have little to
no
> > > desire to get a license. Why would I? I have no desire to
associate
> > myself
> > > with the idiots that troll around in this group. Granted, from what
I
> > hear
> > > and read, most hams are not like this, but I find it hard to believe
as
> > I
> > > see little to no "decent" hams adding anything to this group. All I
see
> > are
> > > a bunch of power hungry idiots thinking that before they have the
> > license,
> > > they are now GOD, and do whatever they please. They belittle and
harass
> > the
> > > hell out of that don't have a license. Why would anybody want to
> > associate
> > > themselves with such asses? I know I don't want to...
> > >
> > > PH
> > >
> > Been a while since I have been called GOD. I do pretty much what I
please
> > tho. Like the proverbial rabbit who was asked why he slept in a
> > refrigerator, "This is a Westinghouse and I am Westing" call it
rec.radio
> > and I will recreate to my heart's content.
> >
> > Seriously tho, I am more or less the senior ham on this group, Bert is
a
> > new Extra, Jerry Oxendine is, Jim is and we all make no pretense of
much
> > of anything and put out the call signs for all to see; Wysiwyg. Doc
and a
> > few others choose not to be so accomodating but they are pretty calm
> > grandpa types like the rest of us. So I kind of wonder who you are
> > talking about.
> > Yes there is George but he is simply insane and blocking giganews does
him
> > in. Dr. Strangelove is the current manifestation or infestation as
you

> > like.
> >
> > Aaron denies a ticket, Sparky denies a ticket and they seem to be the
> > local branch of Legal, Eagle, Beagle, and Briefcase. There are a few
> > Lifer Techs floating around trying for respect and not getting it.
There
> > are any number of Temp Techs (e.g. Jay in the Mojave) who are
reasonable.
> > Just whom might you have a problem with?
> >
> > I will admit to being a little tough on CB Mythology wherever I see
it.
> > But in general I believe ignorance to be curable where stupidity is
for
> > life so I try to educate the ignorant where I can and killfile the
stupid.
> > But I don't often use the hobnail boots and the rest of the guys are
far
> > nicer than I am.
> >
> > Dick N&#65533;BK
> >
> >
>
>

280. Re: A challenge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 18:40:50 UTC
From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <3D234532.C6828193@lucent.com>
Source record: 129360

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:

> In <uhvi39ko6j4926@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
> <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote:
>
> >Are you certain tubes would be simpler? You can buy a dds on a chip - come
> >to think of it, you can buy whole sections of radios on a chip. I'm not
> >convinced that tubes would be 'easier' at all.
> >
> >73 from Rochester, NY
> >Jim
>
> You are right, tubes are not necessarily simpler. For a basic superhet
> design all you need is the local oscillator, the mixer, and the
> detector.

> That's just two transistors and a diode.

The design you are describing above sounds like it would only be some strange form of direct conversion with some 'wasted' circuitry in it!

The whole purpose of a superhet is to bring the received frequency down to a lower, intermediate frequency that the following stages of amplification can be optimized for, and then the diode detection is done after that IF amplification.

If you don't have an IF amplifier in your design, there is absolutely no need for a local oscillator or a mixer; so you might as well just use those two transistors for Q-multiplication and RF amplification and then envelope detect the result with the diode.

> You might want to add an IF amp for better sensitivity,

AH!, Now you are talking about making it into a superhet!

> and an AF amp to use a speaker

That would would be a good idea in either case......

> (or just send the output to your stereo). And it's just as simple with
> tubes. The difference is in the construction. It's not very easy to
> plug together a tube radio on a proto-board. You also need both HV and
> filament power supplies. And because of the high impedance with tubes,
> you need really good grounding, plus you need to watch the wiring to
> prevent feedback paths that could cause oscillation.

Isn't that how they came up with the first super-regenerative receiver?

Regards,

Dennis O.

281. Re: I wish everyone a safe and happy 4th of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 18:43:16 UTC
From: Tommy Maltino <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <8BHU8.590526$Oa1.37127340@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129359

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:1AtU8.26382$q53.811429@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Same to you Keith, and everyone else here. And yes, even you, George,
Aaron,
> and Frank.

Why Thank You Randy and twistedhed, you guys be safe and have a safe 4th of
July.
Keep a look out for trouble, never know when those rat bastards may strike
again!

282. Re: More "Freebands" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 19:35:58 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ymIU8.431$6o.10159341@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

```
"Skeeter 619" <skeeter@dabigbopper619.com> wrote in message
news:afs36s$l6l$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020701164323.01521.00001854@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > >Subject: Re: More "Freebands" ?
> > >From: "Robert Abrams" at321@autotelecomms321.org
> > >Date: 07/01/02 15:27 Eastern Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <afqaeo$n2g$0@pita.alt.net>
> > >
> >
> > >> It can't help you unless you file s formal complaint. The
facilites
> > >> do not include mind-reading.
> > >>
> > >> ---
> > >> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> > >>
> > >>
> > > B*******! multiple complaints are filed. The "unmanned"
> > >system is a joke, just that, it is never manned and broke most of
> > >the time. One give a s*** jack*** sitting in Laurel MD is not and
> > >never will be "monitoring."
> >
> > I won't get quite as "verbose" as this poster, Phil, but I ahve to
> agree
> > to some of it in part.
> >
> > In 1991 and 92 I was a member of a radio club in SoCal that
provided
> (in
> > person) over 60 signed complaints to the resident engineer in Long Beach
> over
> > the operatoions of ONE person in Costa Mesa.
> >
> > His response was to turn it over to the ARRL Auxiliary. When the
> > Auxiliary approached the individual concerned, he used a considerable
> amount of
> > profanity and physical threats.
> >
> > The matter was turned back over to the EIC. That's where it
stopped,
> too.
```

> > Not a thing was done despite the fact taht this individual continued to
> jam
> > other Amateurs throughout Orange, LA and Riverside Counties.
> >
> > >Last year an unlicensed pirate
> > >station operated within five miles of Laurel MD for months, and the
> > >wizards at the "unmanned" facility never detected it.
> >
> > We gave the EIC names, addresses, frequencies, times and recordings
> of the
> > alleged offenses. Nada.
> >
> > Oh well...I can only hope that the recent efforts of Riley & Co.
have
> > given new energy to rules enforcement and that such situations are fewer
> and
> > farther in between.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
> >
>
> I'll be testing my linear on 27555 today if'n youse wants
> to give me a 10-17 signal check good buddy!
>
> Skeeter 619 and we down and out.

Skeeter 619, please let us know how it turns out with Steve.

Brian/NoiMD

283. Antenna for Corvette

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 19:50:29 UTC
From: Stan <svs6920@home.com>
Message-ID: <9AIU8.229827$nZ3.106831@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 129362

Looking for advice on setting up an antenna system for the newer style Corvette. I have many different types on antennas to experiment with, but looking for antenna mounting ideas.

Thank you
Stan Mojave Desert SO CA

284. Re: I wish everyone a safe and happy 4th of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 20:13:10 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D235AD6.763F6789@qnet.com>
Source record: 129364

Hello Keith:

Yes right back at ya, have a happy and a safe 4th of July.

We is all gettin ready fer Fire Works and such here in the Mojave Desert, not the safe and sane kind, but the unsafe and insane kind, Ya Hoooo!!!

Got a big BBQ to go to, with Rock n Roll Music, up the side of one of the local Mountains, its a lot cooler there, and has a nice view. There figuring on a few dozen folks from all over. There hired this BBQ Professional guy to come and BBQ all the meats and such. Will even Have a little Mexican food out for all to have. I can't wait.

Jay in the Mojave

Keith Hosman wrote:
>
> don't burn your house down with fireworks, and don;t get too hungover
> or too sunburnt.
>
> 73 de Keith
> KC8TCQ
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
> Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
> American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

285. Re: OT: Joke...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 20:24:44 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <bbn6iuk3i39em4dmk7djia7nev1u4u25gb@4ax.com>
Source record: 129363

On Wed, 03 Jul 2002 17:54:20 GMT, k8cpa@arrl.net (ARS K8CPA) wrote:

>Overheard on 3.898...
>
>AE4N (hugh) was saying:
>
>"Someone broke into the North Carolina Road Commission's warehouse,
>and stole all the shovels, and now all the workers are leaning on each
>other"
>
>LOL
>
><rimshot>
>
>-Chuck
>

whats large yellow and sleeps 6?

ODOT truck

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

286. Re: I wish everyone a safe and happy 4th of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 20:26:15 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <vcn6iuo2af0krki9qtsriba9677110buv2@4ax.com>
Source record: 129365

On Tue, 02 Jul 2002 21:02:38 -0400, Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
wrote:

>
>
>don't burn your house down with fireworks, and don;t get too hungover
>or too sunburnt.
>
>73 de Keith
>KC8TCQ
>Vice President: REACT of Henry County
>Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
>American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

I'll get to revisit the good old days thursday, I'll be riding in one
of the Toledo Ohio FD rigs. Ought to prove interesting.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

287. Re: Figured out noise on my CB..Computer monitor..Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 20:28:01 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <4gn6iu8vo16dgagje62jdd07b5e7t8m0kt@4ax.com>
Source record: 129366

On 03 Jul 2002 04:51:15 GMT, rustyameri@aol.comPROMARS (RUSTYAMERI)
wrote:

>WOW, I figured out the noise on the Cb. It is the computer monitor. It is
>plugged into a totally seperate outlet which has its own circuit breaker, and
>at least 20 feet away from the CB. What gives? When I shut the monitor off,
>ahhhh,,,,I can hear the far away stations. HELP
>---REMOVE PROMARS FROM MY E-MAIL ADDRESS TO E-MAIL ME

get a filtered powerstrip, and maybe try a ferrite choke on the
monitor cord.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

288. Re: Antenna for Corvette

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 21:57:12 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703175712.28110.00004040@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 129367

im well aquainted with your problem!!
you obviously are a diehard cb-er (as i am),and like to have a radio in all your vehicles. of course you dont want to mess up the paint,or plastic on a nice car.you certainly dont want to drill any holes. i was faced with a similar problem a few years ago when i was actually lucky enough to own a delorian.it was an 81 with 25,000 actual miles.naturally i didnt want to do anything to "mess up the car" i settled upon a "through the glass" type of cb antenna. its tunable,and i believe they are still available. they wont handle more than a few watts. so you wont be running a linear. but it sufficed to find out where the smokies were,and to answer the truckers questions,like "gawd almighty,whut kinda kar is that?) these antennas also look like an over sized cellular antenna,so they dont look silly on a nice car. hope this helps. gunslinger

289. Re: I wish everyone a safe and happy 4th of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 22:04:41 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020703180441.28113.00003891@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 129368

hope everybody has a great 4th !!!
gunslinger

290. More viruses, and it's getting old.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 22:05:35 UTC
From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <3D23752F.74FD1275@lucent.com>
Source record: 129369

I'll post this one more time.....

The viruses that are being sent to me from ogp@texoma.net do
not affect my computer in any way other than the fact that
the computer informs me that it caught them.

These are from today........

---

postmaster wrote:

> ------------------ Virus Warning Message (on the network)
>
> zzStr.bat is removed from here because it contains a virus.
>
> ----------

no-reply@auemail2.firewall.lucent.com wrote:

> A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail message
> being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net > on 07/03/2002
> 14:54:47. Action taken on Lucent's Internet Email gateway:
> The file Oi.scr is moved to
> /var/log/virus/virKQJ0YyByF..no-reply@ihemail2.firewall.lucent.com
> wrote:
>
>> A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail message
>> being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net > on 07/03/2002
>> 12:55:30. Action taken on Lucent's Internet Email
>> gateway: The file name.scr is moved to
>> /var/log/virus/virRRTXLiiX_..postmaster wrote:
>>
>> > ------------------ Virus Warning Message (on the
>> > network)
>> >
>> > Oi.scr is removed from here because it contains a virus.
>> >
>> > ----------no-reply@hoemail2.firewall.l
ucent.com
>> > wrote:
>> >
>> >> A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail
>> >> message being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net > on
>> >> 07/03/2002 01:50:51. Action taken on Lucent's Internet
>> >> Email gateway: The file Izn.scr is moved to

>> >> /var/log/virus/virJBG0PAqdc..MSmith2719 wrote:
>> >>
>> >> > ------------------ Virus Warning Message (on the
>> >> > network)
>> >> >
>> >> > Izn.scr is removed from here because it contains a
>> >> > virus.
>> >> >
>> >> > ------
>> >> >
--no-reply@ihemail2.firewall.lucent.com
>> >> > wrote:
>> >> >
>> >> >> A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail
>> >> >> message being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net > on
>> >> >> 07/03/2002 00:33:44. Action taken on Lucent's
>> >> >> Internet Email gateway: The file fathersdayshopping
>> >> >> is moved to /var/log/virus/virFNFBSlK._..JLB61
>> >> >> wrote:
>> >> >>
>> >> >> > ------------------ Virus Warning Message (on the
>> >> >> > network)
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > fathersdayshopping is removed from here because
>> >> >> > it contains a virus.
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > --------------------
>> >> >> > -------------------------------------no-reply@ihemail2.firewall.lucent.com
>> >> >> > wrote:
>> >> >> >
>> >> >> > > A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail
>> >> >> > > message being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net >
>> >> >> > > on 07/02/2002 20:31:10. Action taken on Lucent's
>> >> >> > > Internet Email gateway: The file HREF.pif is
>> >> >> > > moved to /var/log/virus/virIKOpfaH9_..BIGMAN357
>> >> >> > > wrote:
>> >> >> > >
>> >> >> > >> ------------------ Virus Warning Message (on
>> >> >> > >> the network)
>> >> >> > >>
>> >> >> > >> HREF.pif is removed from here because it
>> >> >> > >> contains a virus.
>> >> >> > >>
>> >> >> > >> -----------------
>> >> >> > >> ---------------------------------------
>> >> >> > >
>no-reply@ihemail1.firewall.lucent.com wrote:

> A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail message
> being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net > on 07/03/2002

> 17:19:34. Action taken on Lucent's Internet Email gateway:
> The file zzStr.bat is moved to
> /var/log/virus/virEKGI_geq_..

All of them seem to be originating from ogp@texoma.net

Have a safe and happy 4th of July!

Regards,

Dennis O.

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

291. Re: Antenna for Corvette

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 22:17:31 UTC
From: Stan <svs6920@home.com>
Message-ID: <%JKU8.390387$352.50489@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 129370

I started looking and found this:

http://www.hamstick.com/Tm-1.html

292. Re: More viruses, and it's getting old.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 22:32:29 UTC
From: Tommy Maltino <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <1YKU8.591498$Oa1.37243739@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129371

Why are you posting it to this NG, is the person from thisNG?
"Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote in message
news:3D23752F.74FD1275@lucent.com...
> I'll post this one more time.....
>
> The viruses that are being sent to me from ogp@texoma.net do
> not affect my computer in any way other than the fact that
> the computer informs me that it caught them.
>
> These are from today........
>
> --
>
> postmaster wrote:
>
> > ------------------ Virus Warning Message (on the network)
> >
> > zzStr.bat is removed from here because it contains a virus.
> >
> > --
>
> no-reply@auemail2.firewall.lucent.com wrote:
>
> > A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail message
> > being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net > on 07/03/2002
> > 14:54:47. Action taken on Lucent's Internet Email gateway:
> > The file Oi.scr is moved to
> > /var/log/virus/virKQJ0YyByF..no-reply@ihemail2.firewall.lucent.com
> > wrote:
> >
>> A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail message
>> being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net > on 07/03/2002
>> 12:55:30. Action taken on Lucent's Internet Email
>> gateway: The file name.scr is moved to
>> /var/log/virus/virRRTXLiiX_..postmaster wrote:
>>
>> > ------------------ Virus Warning Message (on the
>> > network)
>> >
>> > Oi.scr is removed from here because it contains a virus.
>> >
>>
> --no-reply@hoemail2
.firewall.lucent.com

> >> > wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail
> >> >> message being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net > on
> >> >> 07/03/2002 01:50:51. Action taken on Lucent's Internet
> >> >> Email gateway: The file Izn.scr is moved to
> >> >> /var/log/virus/virJBG0PAqdc..MSmith2719 wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> > ------------------ Virus Warning Message (on the
> >> >> > network)
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Izn.scr is removed from here because it contains a
> >> >> > virus.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > ------
> >> >>
> --no-reply@ihemail2.firewa
ll.lucent.com
> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail
> >> >> >> message being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net > on
> >> >> >> 07/03/2002 00:33:44. Action taken on Lucent's
> >> >> >> Internet Email gateway: The file fathersdayshopping
> >> >> >> is moved to /var/log/virus/virFNFBSlK._..JLB61
> >> >> >> wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> > ------------------ Virus Warning Message (on the
> >> >> >> > network)
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > fathersdayshopping is removed from here because
> >> >> >> > it contains a virus.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > --------------------
> >> >> >>
> ----------------------------------no-reply@ihemail2.firewall.lucent.com
> >> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> > A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail
> >> >> >> >> > message being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net >
> >> >> >> >> > on 07/02/2002 20:31:10. Action taken on Lucent's
> >> >> >> >> > Internet Email gateway: The file HREF.pif is
> >> >> >> >> > moved to /var/log/virus/virIKOpfaH9_..BIGMAN357
> >> >> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> >> >> ------------------ Virus Warning Message (on
> >> >> >> >> >> the network)
> >> >> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> >> >> HREF.pif is removed from here because it
> >> >> >> >> >> contains a virus.

> >> >> >> > >>
> >> >> >> > >> ----------
> >> >> >> > >> ----------
> >> >> >> > >
> no-reply@ihemail1.firewall.lucent.com wrote:
>
> > A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail message
> > being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net > on 07/03/2002
> > 17:19:34. Action taken on Lucent's Internet Email gateway:
> > The file zzStr.bat is moved to
> > /var/log/virus/virEKGI_geq_..
>
> ----------
>
>
>
> All of them seem to be originating from ogp@texoma.net
>
> Have a safe and happy 4th of July!
>
> Regards,
>
> Dennis O.
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>

>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>

293. Re: Antenna for Corvette

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 22:46:52 UTC
From: Tommy Maltino <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <w9LU8.530782$Gs.35866932@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129372

"Stan" <svs6920@home.com> wrote in message
news:%JKU8.390387$352.50489@sccrnsc02...
> I started looking and found this:
>
> http://www.hamstick.com/Tm-1.html

Stan, that may be the ticket, you should also be able to run the ground strap to the frame without any problems.
Get your self a Firestick or similiar style fiberglass antenna, it may actually look pretty good.

294. Re: Antenna for Corvette

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 22:57:28 UTC
From: Tommy Maltino <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <sjLU8.110652$Ca2.5960862@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129373

"Stan" <svs6920@home.com> wrote in message
news:%JKU8.390387$352.50489@sccrnsc02...
> I started looking and found this:
>
> http://www.hamstick.com/Tm-1.html

Stan for $44.95, not sure if your into the do it the yourself but you may
be able to fabricate the bracket yourself rather cheaply,
I am sure if you took a license plate and used it as a template heck a good
cordless drill and some drill bits, few nuts and bolts
and a 1/4" or so piece of aluminum for the actual bracket,and a smaller
piece bent like a "L" to hold the antenna, then go to radio
shack for a couple of bucks and get the 3/8" x24 thread stud to attach
coax/antenna, doing it this way you may be able to make
it more of a "custom" fit to your car.

295. Re: Figured out noise on my CB..Computer monitor..Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-03 23:46:36 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D238CD9.A54AF575@icok.net>
Source record: 129374

Power House,
You're probably right. The problem with any digital
(computer) signals is that they act sort of like a
square wave generator, very fast 'on'/'off' times, an
almost 'vertical' slope on the signal envelope. Also,
a square wave generator makes the absolute best 'noise'
generator you can have! For the signal to work well
with a computer, these fast on/off times are necessary,
meaning that they are the "worstest" thing you can have
for a radio. (Computer and radios hate each other)
About the only thing you can do to 'cure' this type
of noise is to shield the device very well, that encludes
the cables to/from the device, which costs money. The
people making the devices are only going to do the very
minimum that they 'have' to do to produce their devices.
Sometimes, that isn't enough (as you found out). A five
gallon bucket of torroids, and wrapping the device in
some shielding material helps. It also increases the
internal heat of the device, so it can also be self-
defeating. The absolute, most 'bestest' means of curing
this type of problem is to have a midget belly-dancer,
painted blue, do her 'thing' while the device is in use.
NEVER fails!
'Doc

296. Re: A challenge...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 00:21:20 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d23919a.310600@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129375

In <3D234532.C6828193@lucent.com>, "Dennis W. Ostrowski"
<dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote:

>"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
>> In <uhvi39ko6j4926@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
>> <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote:
>>
>> >Are you certain tubes would be simpler? You can buy a dds on a chip - come
>> >to think of it, you can buy whole sections of radios on a chip. I'm not
>> >convinced that tubes would be 'easier' at all.
>> >
>> >73 from Rochester, NY
>> >Jim
>>
>> You are right, tubes are not necessarily simpler. For a basic superhet
>> design all you need is the local oscillator, the mixer, and the
>> detector.
>
>> That's just two transistors and a diode.
>
> The design you are describing above sounds like it would only be some strange
>form of direct conversion with some 'wasted' circuitry in it!
>
> The whole purpose of a superhet is to bring the received frequency down to a
>lower, intermediate frequency that the following stages of amplification can be
>optimized for, and then the diode detection is done after that IF
>amplification.
>
> If you don't have an IF amplifier in your design, there is absolutely no need
>for a local oscillator or a mixer; so you might as well just use those two
>transistors for Q-multiplication and RF amplification and then envelope detect
>the result with the diode.

You don't even need an IF amp, just a good IF filter. The whole point
of a superhet is to convert the signal to a low IF because the lower
frequency of the IF is much easier to filter to a narrow bandwidth
than the higher frequency of the RF signal, and that bandwidth doesn't
change with the RF frequency as it does in a TRF receiver. Adding an
amplifier to the IF stage is usually a good idea, but not necessary.

>> You might want to add an IF amp for better sensitivity,
>
> AH!, Now you are talking about making it into a superhet!

It's a superhet regardless of IF amplification. But if you are going
to leave out the IF amp, you might want better gain from the mixer.

>> and an AF amp to use a speaker
>
> That would would be a good idea in either case......
>
>
>> (or just send the output to your stereo). And it's just as simple with
>> tubes. The difference is in the construction. It's not very easy to
>> plug together a tube radio on a proto-board. You also need both HV and
>> filament power supplies. And because of the high impedance with tubes,
>> you need really good grounding, plus you need to watch the wiring to
>> prevent feedback paths that could cause oscillation.
>
> Isn't that how they came up with the first super-regenerative receiver?

If I remember correctly, a super-regen is a regen that uses a seperate
oscillator, with a freq somewhere midway between audio and the RF
freq, to intermittantly quench the oscillation of the detector. It's
not a superhet by itself, but might be a pretty good design for a
superhet detector stage.

297. Re: Antenna for Corvette

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 01:38:26 UTC
From: Stan <svs6920@home.com>
Message-ID: <mGNU8.391378$352.51233@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 129376

Great idea Tommy. That is a high for what you get. I enjoy figuring out and fabricating things like this. Thanks for the ideas. Haven't got the Vette yet, but it's coming.

Stan

298. Re: Antenna for Corvette

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 01:43:03 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D23A827.473DAAE6@qnet.com>
Source record: 129377

Hello Stan:

I am in the Mojave Desert also, and I stock many different types of aluminum "L" type stock. Let me know if you need a piece or two.

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from the fillin station.

Stan wrote:
>
> Looking for advice on setting up an antenna system for the newer style
> Corvette. I have many different types on antennas to experiment with, but
> looking for antenna mounting ideas.
>
> Thank you
> Stan Mojave Desert SO CA

299. President Grant CB Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 01:53:51 UTC
From: contact@ncfusion.com
Message-ID: <10f2142c.0207031753.2e54bcc5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129378

Anyone need a CB Service Manual for the President Grant?

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1745692896

300. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 02:08:16 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D239063.266C1C92@mailcity.net>
Source record: 129379

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
> Twistedhed wrote:
> This is hammie voodoo, for all practical purposes. The higher the
> antenna the better.
>
> >Not hammie voodoo, suggest you get a copy of "The Radio Amateur's
> Handbook" and do a little reading on antenna theory, you just might
> learn something.<
>
> Like how this doesn't apply to all practical purposes of cb.

Yeah your right, the cbers had rather stick with their myths and
misconceptions than dig for the real facts.

301. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 02:21:26 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <ag0dhe$2bd2$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 129382

Last time I listened to the CB - it reminded me of a couple newsgroups on line. ALL FIGHTING! Makes no sense to me to buy all kinds of equipment just for that! What a waste.

Lou/Tech@DP.NET

<kwthom@munged.com> wrote in message news:id37iu450ep15oocba5rhkostnu5at8vfe@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 03 Jul 2002 09:57:52 -0400, Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >On Wed, 03 Jul 2002 07:20:35 -0400, Dave Hall
> ><nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
>
> >>There was a time when I did exactly the same. I would agin, if there
> >>were more interesting people on CB in my area.
>
> >I have one channel in my area where the people have been talking on it
> >for over 20 years. Every night you'll find at least 6-10 people
> >talking all night long.
>
> Y'know, I'm looking for much the same in my area...I hear *nothing*.
>
> *sigh*
>
> --kw
>
>

302. Re: Figured out noise on my CB..Computer monitor..Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 02:25:30 UTC
From: Frank Todd <k3eko@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <umOU8.230209$R61.84456@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 129380

PH, You are absolutely 100% correct on the Linksys router. I have one as well and it is WORSE than my 17" monitor on the ham bands...

73

Frank K3EKO

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message news:ui5u7c1d3djm2d@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "RUSTYAMERI" <rustyameri@aol.comPROMARS> wrote in message
> news:20020703005115.05078.00001708@mb-mv.aol.com...
> > WOW, I figured out the noise on the Cb. It is the computer monitor. It is
> > plugged into a totally seperate outlet which has its own circuit breaker,
> and
> > at least 20 feet away from the CB. What gives? When I shut the monitor
> off,
> > ahhhh,,,,I can hear the far away stations. HELP
>
> That actually would be helpful for me as well. My monitor interferes with
> my scanner, though not as bad as this damn, RF Hash creating, Linksys
> router! My god what an awful broadband noise that thing emits. Personally,
> my TV is what interferes with my CB. I'm sure the solution would be similar
> if not the same as for a monitor... Anyone?
>
> PH
>
>
>
>

303. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 02:27:25 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d23b0dc$0$13948$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129381

Gee, you sure told us! I know better now not to mess with Mr. Mopoholic.
Been drinking PineSol again?

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020703113417.959$kF@newsreader.com...

> It doesn't surprise me that you would agree with george,you're both full
of
> shit!

304. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 02:29:52 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d23b16f$0$13948$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129383

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020703113221.473$Bf@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>No, I'm married to someone who has tried it. There is a big difference.
> > >
> Wouldn't that put you "near someone selling it"?

Nope, near someone who tried it.

>>No you don't think, that's your problem. You really should stop drinking
>> that brake fluid and carborator cleaner, it's warping your mind.

> Wrong again Muenzler,that shit will KILL you.

Well, at least we agree one something.

>
> Do try to keep track of your bullshit stories,in the future.

Please do try to prove me wrong on anything that I said....if you can.

Kevin, WB5RUE

305. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 02:32:21 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d23b204$0$13955$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129384

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3084-3D231568-19@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE, feeling paranoid and desperate, attempted insult
> with the following name-calling, in response to a comment non-concerning
> of himself:

Nothing paranoid here, just wanting proof of your accusations. I know the
truth but since you've accused me of being a liar in public...put up or shut
up.

>
> Ok, Mr. Testicular Torsion. Please spot the lie.
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:26834-3D2202B3-190@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>
> >From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
> http://www.hacres.com/forum/display_message.asp?mid=1198 <
>
>
> (Chuckle) This does seem to be a congregating spot for lying hammies,
> lately.

> Where did you lie? Concerning your enforcement comments, it would be
> more practical to ask where you didn't lie. You piled lie upon lie
> concerning your diminished role in enforcement, just to touch the tip of
> the iceberg.. You know exactly what I'm talking of.

You sure are good at making accusations. Where's the proof? Put up or shut
up. I'm beginning to wonder if you and Moparaholic aren't the same person.
Your personalities sure match pretty closely. Childish.

Kevin, WB5RUE

306. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 02:38:25 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d23b370$0$13956$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129385

So what was the lie? My wife was trying the stuff on the advice of a friend. We discovered that it was nothing more than "snake oil" and stopped using it. Please, where did I "lie" by making my comment. Did you notice the date on that query? It's almost 10 months old. Go digging more in your little sandbox with Twisty.

Do you know what a lie is Mr. Moparholic? Stay away from the Methanol too!

Kevin, WB5RUE

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20020703113957.441$Bh@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>Please spot the lie.
> >
> Lets review again. First you say:
>
> >>"Now THERE'S a wierdo! I've heard of this "diet" and wouldn't get near
> someone selling it. Especially since "Dr." Malkamus is under "cease and
> desist" orders in several states for making unsubstantiated claims. Anyone
> with half a brain would see that this "doctor" is selling nothing but
> snake-oils."
> >
> And then we find you asking for advice about your wife taking it.
>
> What's so hard to"spot",Muenzler?
> >
> > http://www.hacres.com/forum/display_message.asp?mid=1198
> >
> > (Chuckle) This does seem to be a congregating spot for lying hammies,
> > lately.
>
> --
> Go #40

307. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 02:48:45 UTC
From: Darrin <nospam@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <pld7ius2br4agvjfef7tgjlfjtd1lupnpv@4ax.com>
Source record: 129386

On Wed, 3 Jul 2002 22:21:26 -0400, "Lou" <tech@dp.net> wrote:

>Last time I listened to the CB - it reminded me of a couple newsgroups on
>line. ALL FIGHTING! Makes no sense to me to buy all kinds of equipment just
>for that! What a waste.
>
>Lou/Tech@DP.NET
>

I hear almost as much fighting on 2 meters as 11 meters. On 75
meters, all they do is fight over frequency rights.

308. Re: More viruses, and it's getting old.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 03:00:11 UTC
From: Colonel Chim Chim <justwhatineedmorespam@nothanks.con>
Message-ID: <%SOU8.12378$xu5.1001@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 129387

I guess so. Freakin' cowards sending out a virus. Jeez.

309. Re: More viruses, and it's getting old.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 03:07:09 UTC
From: Tommy Maltino <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <xZOU8.533731$Gs.36007461@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129388

"Colonel Chim Chim" <justwhatineedmorespam@nothanks.con> wrote in message news:%SOU8.12378$xu5.1001@news1.central.cox.net...
> I guess so. Freakin' cowards sending out a virus. Jeez.

Funny, I have never seen or heard in this NG the person he is accusing.

310. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 03:10:05 UTC
From: Colonel Chim Chim <justwhatineedmorespam@nothanks.con>
Message-ID: <h0PU8.12418$xu5.2625@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 129389

Good for Kevin for the 27 radio busts this year. It's about time
somebody stops the nonsense on the airwaves.

--

--Adam

311. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 04:23:21 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207032023.2844904f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129390

"Sean" <SPAMBLOCKERbigfoot1@core.com> wrote in message
news:<3d214064$0$1430$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>...
> Are any of you interested in turning this forum into something that can be
> used for educational purposes ? Would you prefer to be thought of as
> someone that helped people do the right thing or as someone that only
> preached condemnation and pushed them away from getting their license ? I
> think you know what i'm getting at. You can catch a lot more flies with
> honey than you can with vinegar.

Sean,

I think what goes on in this NG is not a ham vs. CB issue. A ham
license is not a guarantee of technical expertise or morality. Bill
Eitner and Toll Free are both good examples of this. All you need do
is look up the archives to see their "teaching" consisted largely of
promoting illegal CB. Attracting flies with honey is fine, but that
does not mean anyone should turn the other cheek when assaulted by
ignorance. Vinegar is appropriate, especially in the case of someone
like Twistedhead.

-Aaron-

312. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 04:28:41 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207032028.7e8e7ed@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129391

"Tommy Maltino" <tommy@malt.com> wrote in message
news:<s%sU8.10182$vq.346761@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:10161-3D2246A9-486@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > From: noone@home.com (crossfire)
> > >60 is better than 36 on 11 mtr, if You want to work Antarctica. But 36
> > ft will pretty much whoop You every where else <
> >
> >
> > "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> > news:26833-3D2200A1-408@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
> > novaman28@webtv.net (Donnie Broyles) >>I had,for years,believed that the
> > best height for your antenna is right in a wavelength <<
> >
> >
> > This is hammie voodoo, for all practical purposes. The higher the
> > antenna the better.
>
> > BUT.....he was asking in relation to CB, which is 11 meter. The
> > higher the better, for all practical cb related purposes.
>
>
> Twisty, do Hams Operate with a different set of Electron Magnetic Laws than
> Cbers?
> Normally height is might, it depends on what you are trying to do,

Tommy, are you any relation to Richard Maltinos?

-A

313. Re: Does he look funny or not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 04:32:37 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207032032.6e9f43b7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129392

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<8fEU8.29655$q53.892077@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:ui5uco3up3m251@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:lCvU8.28043$q53.837445@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > Oops, almost forgot. Here's the link to check. In the family pic, is he
> an
> > > egghead or not?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > http://www.geocities.com/aaronvoobner/voobner.htm
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > He looks more like someone from the movie Coneheads on this end... The
> > picture is squashed too much... Need to fix the dimensions on it...
> >
> > PH
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> Yeah, being the inept little webmaster that he is, he told me to fix my
> monitor, and then quietly, he ran back behind the scenes and screwed with
> the dimensions.
>
> You know, IF that were truly his family, I'm sure he'd have some newer pics
> by now. That one is rather dated. I guess he didn't plan on his little
> charade lasting this long when he originally set up the fictitious page.
>
> BTW, if you look VERY closely at the pic with the computer desktop, you can
> see the letters "FCC" rather crudely added to the monitor. What a wannabe!
> LOL!

Keep digging, Randy. Someday you may strike gold.

-Aaron-

314. Re: New Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 04:33:32 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207032033.4312eef9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129393

"Tommy Maltino" <tommy@malt.com> wrote in message
news:<YkHU8.107048$Ca2.5832145@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:fQvU8.934$xB4.91425145@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > Oh, ok, I didn't know that you and
> > Aaron were so close........ROTFLOL!!!!
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Just as close as you and your buddies from the NG, why is it I can't email
> people from the NG and you can?
> I am a card carrying member of the AKC
>
> Just spewing those same old Hypocritical theories you are

Your shirt order is in the mail.

-A

315. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 05:04:38 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d23d67c.373435@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129394

In <3D239063.266C1C92@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
wrote:

>
>
>Twistedhed wrote:
>>
>> From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
>> Twistedhed wrote:
>> This is hammie voodoo, for all practical purposes. The higher the
>> antenna the better.
>>
>> >Not hammie voodoo, suggest you get a copy of "The Radio Amateur's
>> Handbook" and do a little reading on antenna theory, you just might
>> learn something.<
>>
>> Like how this doesn't apply to all practical purposes of cb.
>
>Yeah your right, the cbers had rather stick with their myths and
>misconceptions than dig for the real facts.

Not to take twisty's side of the argument, but how do you know that
every ham abides by the ARRL handbook? I have seen many "myths and
misconceptions" propogated by HAMS, and many CBers do things by the
book. I think it is people like -you- that are propogating the myth
that CBers are all technically incompetent. You wouldn't be a ham by
any chance, would you....?

316. FOR AUCTION.. LAST DAY.. GOING CHEAP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 10:08:25 UTC
From: Steve <SteveJTA@SWBell.net>
Message-ID: <t8VU8.3907$bF3.1693048969@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129395

Icom FL 101 CW Filter..

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1363148581&ssPageName=ADM
E:B:LC:US:1

Icom FL 223 SSB Filter

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1363148346&ssPageName=ADM
E:B:LC:US:1

Icom FL 222 SSB Filter

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1363148474&ssPageName=ADM
E:B:LC:US:1

Icom 756

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1363139618&ssPageName=ADM
E:B:LC:US:1

Icom 271H 2 meter all mode radio

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1363138084&ssPageName=ADM
E:B:LC:US:1

Yaesu FT 101EE and YC 601 counter.. With CB BAND!!
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1363135730&ssPageName=ADM
E:B:LC:US:1

Thanks

Steve

Yaesu FT101EE and YC-601 Counter CLEAN!! $223.50 7
Jul-04-02 21:02:31
Icom 271H VERY NICE!!!! 2 meter all mode... $360.00 11
Jul-04-02 21:17:24
Icom 756.. AS NEW!! $771.00 23
Jul-04-02 21:29:48
Icom FL 223 Narrow SSB Filter!! $77.00 19
Jul-04-02 23:04:46
Icom FL 222 SSB Filter!! $127.50 6
Jul-04-02 23:06:54
Icom FL 101 CW Filter!! $81.00 9
Jul-04-02 23:08:25

317. Re: BLACK PIRATE 5R BASE ANTENNA OWNERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 10:34:22 UTC
From: Motorist's Advocate <m.a@idx.com.au>
Message-ID: <3d2429cd$1@news1.idx.com.au>
Source record: 129396
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,rec.radio.cb

"Ivaro Rocha - CT01264/CQM9306"
<"removenospamblackghostknife"@mail.telepac.pt> wrote in message
news:afrh74$nr9$1@venus.telepac.pt...
>
> I need to know more details about this base antenna, please ! (NOT
> TECHNICALS DETAILS, BUT PERSONAL EXPERIENCE!!!!!!!)

Mmmm K

> Good for DX's ?
She is!

> RFI ????
She does, always interferes with radio presets, dang girl.

> SWR ???????
She waves and stands frequently, don't know about the ratio though.

> Works beter at the sea (nearly)????????
Yes. We did it on a beach late one night once at Coffs Harbour, absolutely
wonderful.

> Wind resisting ????????
Absolutely no natural wind resistance to this affair, a cyclone wouldn't
have stopped it.

> If you have this base antenna, please give me more info ou "secret
details"
What antenna is that? You mean the girl? Well, she was just fine head to
toe, great set of hills and a wonderful female scent all over sir!

> about that !
What? Oh that?

> Many thanks for the help !!!!
Your welcome mate:-)

> Best 73"
LP speed???

> Tony
Jem.

> 31-AT-170
DX 01.

> Porto
> Portugal
Bottle for me too please.

> PLEASE REPLY TO : fernando.m.silva@sapo.pt
I like Seats. www.seat.com

318. Mic Wireing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 10:41:24 UTC
From: "T.W." <wells_t@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nDVU8.277236$6m5.242693@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 129297

Anyone happen to know the color code to wire a d104 hand mic to a superstar 3900.

Thanks in advance

iggy@attbi.com

319. Re: Mic Wireing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 10:58:30 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.comJP23
Message-ID: <20020704065830.21975.00001654@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 129298

```
Pin 1- Shield
Pin 2- Audio- White
Pin 3- Transmit- Red
Pin 4- Receive- Black

Thatz-me-JP
```

320. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 11:03:21 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ZXVU8.76404$UT.5242542@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129299

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d23d67c.373435@news.cet.com...
> In <3D239063.266C1C92@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> wrote:
>
> Not to take twisty's side of the argument, but how do you know that
> every ham abides by the ARRL handbook? I have seen many "myths and
> misconceptions" propogated by HAMS, and many CBers do things by the
> book. I think it is people like -you- that are propogating the myth
> that CBers are all technically incompetent. You wouldn't be a ham by
> any chance, would you....?
>

Actually there are some pretty competant souls up here and I, for one, have learned a lot or been jolted into actually understanding some things I knew. You do not ever learn it all.

Amateur radio is generally fixed but becoming more mobile where CB since the Double Nickels days is more mobile but becoming fixed. The ham bands are all over the spectrum where CB is camped in a mildly wierd part of the low-VHF spectrum and exhibits characteristics of HF and VHF at various times and places. So it is not too surprising that mythologies are different but probably equally held. And hanging antennas on a big rig pulling a 45' or so aluminum box around is an art form.

And both services are capable of making mountains out of molehills. A single fact, for example that a dipole in free space reflects 73.3 ohms, has produced any number of 40 meter dipoles fed with RG-11 that don't work for squat. The first chart in the Antenna section of the RA Handbook for eons shows the effect of ground reflection on feedpoint impedence, which is far more pronounced on horizontal antennas than vertical ones to begin with. So it is not too surprising that somewhere along the line mounting one's antenna a half-wave multiple off the ground became a mantra.

Proving once more that Clark's Axiom applies: A technology sufficiently advanced cannot be distinguished from magic. And it should not surprise anyone that some treat it as a religious experience.

Dick NBK

321. FCC jihad and unintended consiquences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 12:41:41 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ui8gk0bdvn5ha9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129300

Let Freedom Ring!!!!!!!!!
Yes the FCC taliban is on a jihad
Now many cb'er are finding out that building your own linier is ALLOT
cheaper then the standard dollar per watt standard.
Also b4 we used to stick to our neck of the rf spectrum.
NOT ANY MORE:)
More and more big radios go above 28mhz and "pump it up!" soley to claim new
territory for the cber. And we will get it too.
Liniers are getting bigger all the time and will continue to grow.
The reason that the fcc is going after retailers is cause they are too few
to take on the sheer number of BIG Radio enthusiasts!

322. Re: More viruses, and it's getting old.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 12:50:59 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ui8h5e43e8kf48@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129301

Obviously it's the anti-cb crowd...probly Voobner
"Tommy Maltino" <tommy@malt.com> wrote in message
news:xZOU8.533731$Gs.36007461@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Colonel Chim Chim" <justwhatineedmorespam@nothanks.con> wrote in message
> news:%SOU8.12378$xu5.1001@news1.central.cox.net...
> > I guess so. Freakin' cowards sending out a virus. Jeez.
>
> Funny, I have never seen or heard in this NG the person he is accusing.
>
>

323. Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 13:05:42 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ui8i119tbul971@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129302

Why Freeband? There are a ton of freq available that the Hambo's have but never use. Ham is dying. The Fcc is carving up the Ham freq and selling them to the highest bidder. They lost some of 440mhz and they will lose even more.
More and more truck stops are selling full-blown Ham rigs and lliniers built to run on the Ham freq's
AAUUUDDDIIIIOOOOOO

324. Re: New Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 13:56:09 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ZtYU8.27336$eF5.1110444@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129303

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207032033.4312eef9@posting.google.com...
> "Tommy Maltino" <tommy@malt.com> wrote in message
news:<YkHU8.107048$Ca2.5832145@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> > news:fQvU8.934$xB4.91425145@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > Oh, ok, I didn't know that you and
> > > Aaron were so close........ROTFLOL!!!!
> > >
> > > Landshark
> >
> > Just as close as you and your buddies from the NG, why is it I can't
email
> > people from the NG and you can?
> > I am a card carrying member of the AKC
> >
> > Just spewing those same old Hypocritical theories you are
>
> Your shirt order is in the mail.
>
> -A

Aaron, how much are the rolls of t-paper? I especially like the one with
your pic on it. And hey, will it cost more for you to make your head pointed
like it was in the pic on the website?

325. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 14:11:54 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <KIYU8.27339$eF5.1111950@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129304

"Tommy Maltino" <tommy@malt.com> wrote in message
news:YnHU8.20628$vq.779051@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:fEtU8.26397$q53.812633@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > Even if they have a valid point to make?
>
> > It's a rare case when they do.
>
>
> When do you ever have a valid point Randy, I think you here more for the
> entertainment/troll value rather than helping people or learning about
> things.
>
> Your posts have no point to them either why you being a hypocrite?
>
>

George, read the thread about the guy with noise in the radio. Did you try to help? No. Did Sparky? No, he just bashed PH. Did several others? Yes, they did try to help, myself included.

Happy 4th! :-)~

326. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 14:17:18 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ui8mbi18lcipc0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129305

"Tommy Maltino" <tommy@malt.com> wrote in message
news:CuHU8.20684$vq.783974@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:ui5t47tf0j0s4f@corp.supernews.com...
> > Power Mods on Cobras?? Have no idea what you are referring to there...
> Is
> > there a point in turning the power up from 4-watts to 5 or 6-watts?
> > Wouldn't make a bit of difference... Incidentally, the radio I used was
a
> > Midland 40-channel SSB base from the mid to the late 70's. Didn't even
> own
> > a Cobra at the time, so I have no idea where you got that crap from...
> >
> >
> > 250 watt amp huh? Doing a little history checking are you? In the only
> > posting I every made in reference to that, I said it was "at my disposal
> > when needed" Never said I actually used it. Being up on the hill, it
was
> > not needed, but it was there just the same; I won't deny that.
> >
> > PH
>
>
> LOL, hahaha cough spit cough , back peddle back peddle,,,
>
> PowerHouse: No your Honor Sorry i don't do drugs
> Judge: That's funny then why did the cops catch you with a bag of Coke.
> Power House: Oh that's not mine, it is Randy's I was just holding it in
> case I needed to go out one night I would have a little pick me up handy
> Judge: Boy do you think I am stupid or something you have been caught
lying
> again son.
>
For starters, you shouldn't jump into the middle of a conversation, as you
have no idea what the hell you are talking about. It wasn't my radio, nor
was it my amp. It belonged to my father. Got it now? I didn't use it. I
didn't even know how to use the amp at the time. Matter of fact, I didn't
even know it was am amp. Was never shown or told anything about it, as he
didn't want me touching it.

Some peoples idiots, I tell you!

PH

327. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 14:22:10 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <mSYU8.76555$UT.5260217@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129306

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ui8i119tbul971@corp.supernews.com...
> Why Freeband? There are a ton of freq available that the Hambo's have
but
> never use. Ham is dying. The Fcc is carving up the Ham freq and selling
them
> to the highest bidder. They lost some of 440mhz and they will lose even
> more.
> More and more truck stops are selling full-blown Ham rigs and lliniers
> built to run on the Ham freq's
> AAUUUDDDIIIIOOOOOO
>
>

Troll Troll Troll your boat gently down the stream.

We haven't lost any of the world's largest UHF allocation 420-450 (you might be thinking of 220-222 some years ago, but we now can do digital in 218-220), we are about to get 5.25-5.4 plus primary at 2400 plus some LF space and there are more licenses than ever. It looks like the world may get our 7-7.3 band and we will be rid of broadcast. Dying my pink patootie.

If a Ranger qualifies as a ham rig with a receiver inferior to a 1938 Zenith, OK I guess.

And how the hell much danger can we be in from someone who can't spell 'linear' let alone build one? Linear, as in 'along a line' as in the output bears some resemblance to the input.

Keep on tootin' as the sunspots are a fallin'.

Dick NBK

small ps.

For those whose idea of freedom is to avoid this leadhead and those like him:

http://ah0a.org for Morse Academy. Read the directions, buy a cheap set of earphones so those near and dear do not strangle you, and take an hour a day for a month or less.

http://www.arrl.org for all sorts of good poop.

http://www.qrz.com for some good sample exams.

Ring my e-mail if need be. Not recruiting but like most old hams am ready to help a bit.

328. Re: FCC jihad and unintended consiquences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 14:27:01 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704102701.14645.00001311@mb-de.aol.com>
Source record: 129307

where did this guy learn to spell "linear"??
for that matter where did he learn to spell?
this is a sad indication of the state of our public school system. i think the voucher system for private schools may be arriving way too late. gunslinger

329. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 14:28:12 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020704102812.14645.00001312@mb-de.aol.com>
Source record: 129308

its spelled "linear" dummy.
gunslinger

330. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 14:30:41 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ui8mvjgcvi1p67@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129309

Depends on what kind of coax you're running. Especially with verticals, ground loss at low heights is excessive. Mounting higher can gain you 6 dB over a lower mounted antenna. That is quite a bit of coax. Twist's advice is short, simple, and CORRECT (with beams/quads/what-have-you as well).

73 from Rochester, NY and you guys have a nice holiday!
Jim

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:24684-3D232D21-37@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >Twist there comes a point of diminishing returns, the loss from extra
> coax to go higher may not be made up with additional height.<
>
>
>
>
> Which is why I said "for all practical purposes". Not too many cbers
> will reach that height under practical applications, but nevertheless,
> you are right, there is a height where it will negatively affect the
> operation.....ahhh...but WHAT is that height?
>
>
>

331. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 14:32:42 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ui8n3dqjv28i89@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129310

Height will affect the antenna impedance, but in general terms, (as you have said) the higher, the better.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:10161-3D2246A9-486@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From: noone@home.com (crossfire)
> >60 is better than 36 on 11 mtr, if You want to work Antarctica. But 36
> ft will pretty much whoop You every where else <
>
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:26833-3D2200A1-408@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
> novaman28@webtv.net (Donnie Broyles) >>I had,for years,believed that the
> best height for your antenna is right in a wavelength <<
>
>
> This is hammie voodoo, for all practical purposes. The higher the
> antenna the better.
>
>
> ---------
>
>
>
> BUT..........he was asking in relation to CB, which is 11 meter. The
> higher the better, for all practical cb related purposes.
>

332. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 14:38:33 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ui8neca8mickeb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129311

Dick, at the lower (in terms of wavelengths) heights, antenna impedance varies considerably. As the height increases, the lumps and bumps of the antenna impedance smooth out. On 75 or 160, ya takes what ya can get. On 11 meters, one can get an antenna up pretty high in terms of wavelength. Would you know if the Eiffel tower is up for sale? ;)

73 from Rochester, NY - have a good holiday!
Jim
ps - I DID try a halfwave vertical on 160 once, but my Johnson Ranger 1 wouldn't match it Yep, 250 feet high - but that's another story.

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:ZXVU8.76404$UT.5242542@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d23d67c.373435@news.cet.com...
> > In <3D239063.266C1C92@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > Not to take twisty's side of the argument, but how do you know that
> > every ham abides by the ARRL handbook? I have seen many "myths and
> > misconceptions" propogated by HAMS, and many CBers do things by the
> > book. I think it is people like -you- that are propogating the myth
> > that CBers are all technically incompetent. You wouldn't be a ham by
> > any chance, would you....?
> >
>
> Actually there are some pretty competant souls up here and I, for one,
> have learned a lot or been jolted into actually understanding some things
> I knew. You do not ever learn it all.
>
> Amateur radio is generally fixed but becoming more mobile where CB since
> the Double Nickels days is more mobile but becoming fixed. The ham bands
> are all over the spectrum where CB is camped in a mildly wierd part of the
> low-VHF spectrum and exhibits characteristics of HF and VHF at various
> times and places. So it is not too surprising that mythologies are
> different but probably equally held. And hanging antennas on a big rig
> pulling a 45' or so aluminum box around is an art form.
>
> And both services are capable of making mountains out of molehills. A
> single fact, for example that a dipole in free space reflects 73.3 ohms,
> has produced any number of 40 meter dipoles fed with RG-11 that don't work
> for squat. The first chart in the Antenna section of the RA Handbook for
> eons shows the effect of ground reflection on feedpoint impedence, which
> is far more pronounced on horizontal antennas than vertical ones to begin

> with. So it is not too surprising that somewhere along the line mounting
> one's antenna a half-wave multiple off the ground became a mantra.
>
> Proving once more that Clark's Axiom applies: A technology sufficiently
> advanced cannot be distinguished from magic. And it should not surprise
> anyone that some treat it as a religious experience.
>
> Dick N�BK
>
>

333. Re: Does he look funny or not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 14:39:16 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ui8nknm9l9tt24@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129312

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:8fEU8.29655$q53.892077@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:ui5uco3up3m251@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:lCvU8.28043$q53.837445@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > Oops, almost forgot. Here's the link to check. In the family pic, is
he
> an
> > > egghead or not?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > http://www.geocities.com/aaronvoobner/voobner.htm
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > He looks more like someone from the movie Coneheads on this end... The
> > picture is squashed too much... Need to fix the dimensions on it...
> >
> > PH
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> Yeah, being the inept little webmaster that he is, he told me to fix my
> monitor, and then quietly, he ran back behind the scenes and screwed with
> the dimensions.
>
> You know, IF that were truly his family, I'm sure he'd have some newer
pics
> by now. That one is rather dated. I guess he didn't plan on his little
> charade lasting this long when he originally set up the fictitious page.
>
Even after the dementions were redone, it still looks awful... I think the
dementions were right before, and he just has a really big head! LOL Now
he looks some what "normal", and the rest of his family looks like that are
a bunch of fat-heads...

> BTW, if you look VERY closely at the pic with the computer desktop, you
can

> see the letters "FCC" rather crudely added to the monitor. What a wannabe!
> LOL!
>

I never noticed that, but after I zoomed in the pic a little, I saw the FCC thing... I also like the added picture that is supposedly hanging on the wall... It's an add-on as well. Couldn't tell you if it really is Mark Fuller or not, but it's definitely not very well done...

Anyone every look at the source code for the site? He's too cheap to actually buy the software he uses to work on the website... Get a look at the little note he included that is hidden in the source code...

<!--Hello boys. You are still illegal CBers. Claris Home Page version 3.0 3 Day Trial-->
<!--This file created 7/2/02 10:23 PM by Claris Home Page version 3.0 30 Day Trial-->

PH

334. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 14:44:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24685-3D245F3C-88@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129318

Muenzler, onc again continued his charades with:
>
You sure are good at making accusations. Where's the proof? Put up or shut up. I'm beginning to wonder if you and Moparaholic aren't the same person. Your personalities sure match pretty closely. Childish. Kevin, WB5RUE<

Umm,,Muenzler, YOU made the claims that can't be substantiated. YOU made the accusations that you were popping radio violators. I merely claimed it not true. I didn't insult you, didn't call you a name, merely asked for you to substantiate your claims, which you can not do. You have been unable to do so and have been directing your anger toward members of this board. Just what we expect from a malcontent, you are making the topic personal, effectively sharing your lack of communication skills, and you are unwilling, probably unable to see yourself as the masses do. You made the claim, the burden of proof is on you. As you so crudely and rudely suggested, "Put up, or shut up." Take your own advice and stop acting like a spoiled child caught in a lie. Your tail was clipped, you can either live with it and move on, or simply dwell on it and continue to insult all those who may disagree with you. You have become very adept at the latter, solidifying your position quite nicely.

335. Re: I wish everyone a safe and happy 4th of July

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 14:48:04 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ui8o06jog5et93@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129313

Thanks, Keith. Let my add my wishes for a safe and happy holiday to all of the denizens of the group as well. We've pulled up a couple of 5 lb packs of red and white hots as well as some burgers from the freezer downstairs and have the house cooled to 62 degrees (it has been in the 90s of late and like the relief). Hope everyone has a good holiday!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:r6j4iuktf1o7f4q0luec8ri4ela8qc9114@4ax.com...
>
>
> don't burn your house down with fireworks, and don;t get too hungover
> or too sunburnt.
>
> 73 de Keith
> KC8TCQ
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
> Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
> American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

336. Re: More viruses, and it's getting old.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 14:51:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24686-3D2460F5-21@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129319

From: pwrguy@intergrafix.net (Kenneth)
>Obviously it's the anti-cb crowd...probly Voobner "Tommy Maltino"
<tommy@malt.com> wrote in message
news:xZOU8.533731$Gs.36007461@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...<

And you're probably right. I still have email sent by Frank insinuating he was going to hack me/send me viruses. He even bitched about it when he realized I (webtv) was impervious to his impotent ass, claiming "You hide behind what you think is an impentrable wall of ones and zeros. This is my your weakness and my strength." Yea, I vote it came from Frank.

337. Re: More viruses, and it's getting old.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 14:51:35 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ui8o6q455jg6ce@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129314

It happens. A close friend of mine sent me an attachment yesterday that was infected. Nothing like a good virus checker. Now, I've just got to get my Linux back up and running (darn dog pulled the power plug on me and scrambled it).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote in message news:3D23752F.74FD1275@lucent.com...
> I'll post this one more time.....
>
> The viruses that are being sent to me from ogp@texoma.net do
> not affect my computer in any way other than the fact that
> the computer informs me that it caught them.
>
> These are from today........
>
> --
>
> postmaster wrote:
>
> > ------------------ Virus Warning Message (on the network)
> >
> > zzStr.bat is removed from here because it contains a virus.
> >
> > --
>
> no-reply@auemail2.firewall.lucent.com wrote:
>
> > A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail message
> > being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net > on 07/03/2002
> > 14:54:47. Action taken on Lucent's Internet Email gateway:
> > The file Oi.scr is moved to
> > /var/log/virus/virKQJ0YyByF..no-reply@ihemail2.firewall.lucent.com
> > wrote:
> >
> >> A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail message
> >> being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net > on 07/03/2002
> >> 12:55:30. Action taken on Lucent's Internet Email
> >> gateway: The file name.scr is moved to
> >> /var/log/virus/virRRTXLiiX_..postmaster wrote:
> >>
> >> > ------------------ Virus Warning Message (on the
> >> > network)

> >> >
> >> > Oi.scr is removed from here because it contains a virus.
> >> >
> >>
> ----------no-reply@hoemail2
.firewall.lucent.com
> >> > wrote:
> >> >
> >> >> A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail
> >> >> message being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net > on
> >> >> 07/03/2002 01:50:51. Action taken on Lucent's Internet
> >> >> Email gateway: The file Izn.scr is moved to
> >> >> /var/log/virus/virJBG0PAqdc..MSmith2719 wrote:
> >> >>
> >> >> > ------------------ Virus Warning Message (on the
> >> >> > network)
> >> >> >
> >> >> > Izn.scr is removed from here because it contains a
> >> >> > virus.
> >> >> >
> >> >> > ------
> >> >>
> ----------no-reply@ihemail2.firewa
ll.lucent.com
> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >
> >> >> >> A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail
> >> >> >> message being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net > on
> >> >> >> 07/03/2002 00:33:44. Action taken on Lucent's
> >> >> >> Internet Email gateway: The file fathersdayshopping
> >> >> >> is moved to /var/log/virus/virFNFBSlK._..JLB61
> >> >> >> wrote:
> >> >> >>
> >> >> >> > ------------------ Virus Warning Message (on the
> >> >> >> > network)
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > fathersdayshopping is removed from here because
> >> >> >> > it contains a virus.
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > --------------------
> >> >> >>
> ----------no-reply@ihemail2.firewall.lucent.com
> >> >> >> > wrote:
> >> >> >> >
> >> >> >> > > A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail
> >> >> >> > > message being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net >
> >> >> >> > > on 07/02/2002 20:31:10. Action taken on Lucent's
> >> >> >> > > Internet Email gateway: The file HREF.pif is
> >> >> >> > > moved to /var/log/virus/virIKOpfaH9_..BIGMAN357
> >> >> >> > > wrote:

> >> >> >> > >
> >> >> >> > >> ------------------ Virus Warning Message (on
> >> >> >> > >> the network)
> >> >> >> > >>
> >> >> >> > >> HREF.pif is removed from here because it
> >> >> >> > >> contains a virus.
> >> >> >> > >>
> >> >> >> > >> -----------------
> >> >> >> > >> --
> >> >> >> > >
> no-reply@ihemail1.firewall.lucent.com wrote:
>
> > A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail message
> > being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net > on 07/03/2002
> > 17:19:34. Action taken on Lucent's Internet Email gateway:
> > The file zzStr.bat is moved to
> > /var/log/virus/virEKGI_geq_..
>
> --
>
>
>
> All of them seem to be originating from ogp@texoma.net
>
> Have a safe and happy 4th of July!
>
> Regards,
>
> Dennis O.
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>

>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>

>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>
>
XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXX
>

338. Re: FCC jihad and unintended consiquences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 14:56:56 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ui8ogu6nu5l28@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129315

Ahhhh Kenneth .... take a chill pill and enjoy the holiday. Tomorrow you
can stir the pot with the AKC.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ui8gk0bdvn5ha9@corp.supernews.com...
> Let Freedom Ring!!!!!!!!!
> Yes the FCC taliban is on a jihad
> Now many cb'er are finding out that building your own linier is ALLOT
> cheaper then the standard dollar per watt standard.
> Also b4 we used to stick to our neck of the rf spectrum.
> NOT ANY MORE:)
> More and more big radios go above 28mhz and "pump it up!" soley to claim
new
> territory for the cber. And we will get it too.
> Liniers are getting bigger all the time and will continue to grow.
> The reason that the fcc is going after retailers is cause they are too few
> to take on the sheer number of BIG Radio enthusiasts!
>
>
>

339. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 14:58:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24684-3D2462A6-198@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129321

yeti wrote:
>Not to take twisty's side of the argument, but how do you know that every ham abides by the ARRL handbook? I have seen many "myths and misconceptions" propogated by HAMS, and many CBers do things by the book. I think it is people like -you- that are propogating the myth that CBers are all technically incompetent. You wouldn't be a ham by any chance, would you....?<

Yea, we wouldn't want you "taking sides", especially the correct one.
One more that feels it's about "taking sides". It's not, it's about dispelling with the bullshit.
Please explain how I was "incorrect", in any way, shape or form, that 60 feet for a CB antenna is less efficient than that of one at 36 feet.

340. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 15:00:41 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ui8oo8mgsb5763@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129316

Dick, since most of the amps are, apparently, class C, who cares how you spell lyneyar?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:mSYU8.76555$UT.5260217@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:ui8i119tbul971@corp.supernews.com...
> > Why Freeband? There are a ton of freq available that the Hambo's have
> but
> > never use. Ham is dying. The Fcc is carving up the Ham freq and selling
> them
> > to the highest bidder. They lost some of 440mhz and they will lose even
> > more.
> > More and more truck stops are selling full-blown Ham rigs and Iliniers
> > built to run on the Ham freq's
> > AAUUUDDDIIIIOOOOOO
> >
> >
>
> Troll Troll Troll your boat gently down the stream.
>
> We haven't lost any of the world's largest UHF allocation 420-450 (you
> might be thinking of 220-222 some years ago, but we now can do digital in
> 218-220), we are about to get 5.25-5.4 plus primary at 2400 plus some LF
> space and there are more licenses than ever. It looks like the world may
> get our 7-7.3 band and we will be rid of broadcast. Dying my pink
> patootie.
>
> If a Ranger qualifies as a ham rig with a receiver inferior to a 1938
> Zenith, OK I guess.
>
> And how the hell much danger can we be in from someone who can't spell
> 'linear' let alone build one? Linear, as in 'along a line' as in the
> output bears some resemblance to the input.
>
> Keep on tootin' as the sunspots are a fallin'.
>
> Dick NBK
>
> small ps.

>
> For those whose idea of freedom is to avoid this leadhead and those like
> him:
>
> http://ah0a.org for Morse Academy. Read the directions, buy a cheap set
> of earphones so those near and dear do not strangle you, and take an hour
> a day for a month or less.
>
> http://www.arrl.org for all sorts of good poop.
>
> http://www.qrz.com for some good sample exams.
>
> Ring my e-mail if need be. Not recruiting but like most old hams am ready
> to help a bit.
>
>

341. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 15:04:37 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <9uZU8.76597$UT.5263604@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129317

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ui8neca8mickeb@corp.supernews.com...
> Dick, at the lower (in terms of wavelengths) heights, antenna impedance
> varies considerably. As the height increases, the lumps and bumps of
the
> antenna impedance smooth out. On 75 or 160, ya takes what ya can get.
On
> 11 meters, one can get an antenna up pretty high in terms of wavelength.
> Would you know if the Eiffel tower is up for sale? ;)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY - have a good holiday!
> Jim
> ps - I DID try a halfwave vertical on 160 once, but my Johnson Ranger 1
> wouldn't match it Yep, 250 feet high - but that's another story.

The impedence chart out of the Handbook, and it has been the first chart under Antennas since 1955 that I know of, pretty much says the same thing. Dipoles cross 73 ohms at halfwave intervals. Height also affects the center angle of the lobe with it getting flatter with height.

At the time I was wondering how the wavelength height became a mantra and that is as close as I could come. It also happens that a 5/8 at one lamda makes the tip about 58 feet where 60 is max legal.

Depending on one's aim in life, more height is generally preferable to be sure but at the risk of opening 'skip zones' owing to the lower take-off angle. Denizens of 75 generally have a vertical, I had a HyTower, plus a low dipole where the near-vertical angles fills in the nearer skip zone so one can trade gall bladder stories with the near-locals. I got a good lesson in this with the hytower when I got into a QSO with three guys along Lake Erie from Toledo to Buffalo from St. Louis. The one near Toledo gave me a so-so report, the guy near Cleveland said strong, and the guy in Buffalo wondered how many kw I was running. Lovers of big loops have been known to raise and lower them for effect.

One more case where HF and VHF practices tend to cross at 27 MHz.

Dick, NBK

342. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 15:06:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24685-3D246484-91@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129320

>Sean,
I think what goes on in this NG is not a ham vs. CB issue. A ham license is not a guarantee of technical expertise or morality. Bill Eitner and Toll Free are both good examples of this. All you need do is look up the archives to see their "teaching" consisted largely of promoting illegal CB. Attracting flies with honey is fine, but that does not mean anyone should turn the other cheek when assaulted by ignorance. Vinegar is appropriate, especially in the case of someone like Twistedhead.
-Aaron-<

Well, your unprovoked malice is just what one would expect from you. After all, it is I that is responsible for your creation, by virtue of ruining your rep with truths. It is I that made you crack under the pressure of absolute truths. It is I that made your real name and call "mud" by posting your antics to share with all. It is I that is responsible for you not being able to show yourself. You used to post quite freely here, but got carried away with your inability to hold your morbid tongue, and have lost any respect you were trying for. Again, it is I that drove your uncouth lying trollish self underground, and there you dwell until I decide to take you out for entertainment purposes.
Do yourself a favor, go upgrade.

343. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 15:13:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24687-3D246617-8@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129327

>Dick, at the lower (in terms of wavelengths) heights, antenna impedance varies considerably. As the height increases, the lumps and bumps of the antenna impedance smooth out. On 75 or 160, ya takes what ya can get. On 11 meters, one can get an antenna up pretty high in terms of wavelength. Would you know if the Eiffel tower is up for sale? ;)
73 from Rochester, NY - have a good holiday! Jim
ps - I DID try a halfwave vertical on 160 once, but my Johnson Ranger 1 wouldn't match it Yep, 250 feet high - but that's another story.<

Dunno how high the Eiffel tower is, but I'd love to try it. I have drove to the top of a high parking garage and messed around with an Antron a time or 2, and have set up the same antenna on the balcony of skyscraping hotels. I am certain that if the radio was on the bottom floor and coax needed to be ran that long, it would no doubt create a situation less than desirable..

344. Re: More viruses, and it's getting old.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 15:25:33 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D2468F3.9679B5F9@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 129322

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> It happens. A close friend of mine sent me an attachment yesterday that was
> infected. Nothing like a good virus checker. Now, I've just got to get my
> Linux back up and running (darn dog pulled the power plug on me and
> scrambled it).

Gee, my FreeBSD machine just bounces right back after a power failure.

http://www.freebsd.org

345. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 15:25:54 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6OZU8.76634$UT.5267080@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129323

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ui8oo8mgsb5763@corp.supernews.com...
> Dick, since most of the amps are, apparently, class C, who cares how you
> spell lyneyar?
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>

Kind of reminds me of the Playpen Rebellion called the 60's. If the art historians and sociology majors had gotten any of us hard-science guys into the movement no building would be left standing.

They talked a bozo into setting off an ammonium nitrate 'stink bomb' in Madison blowing out the back of the building and several people. Last I heard the bozo was a member of the WI bar.

346. Re: New Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 15:26:44 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <UOZU8.1168$Wq5.123920677@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129324

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207032033.4312eef9@posting.google.com...
> "Tommy Maltino" <tommy@malt.com> wrote in message
news:<YkHU8.107048$Ca2.5832145@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> > news:fQvU8.934$xB4.91425145@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > Oh, ok, I didn't know that you and
> > > Aaron were so close........ROTFLOL!!!!
> > >
> > > Landshark
> >
> > Just as close as you and your buddies from the NG, why is it I can't
email
> > people from the NG and you can?
> > I am a card carrying member of the AKC
> >
> > Just spewing those same old Hypocritical theories you are
>
> Your shirt order is in the mail.
>
> -A

LOL answering himself..........I didn't even see the
other post, oh well.

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

347. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 15:29:16 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <3pp8iu8l91ggkelnqn6faidn54a6cfjvl5@4ax.com>
Source record: 129325

On Thu, 4 Jul 2002 09:05:42 -0400, "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
wrote:

>Why Freeband? There are a ton of freq available that the Hambo's have but
>never use. Ham is dying. The Fcc is carving up the Ham freq and selling them
>to the highest bidder. They lost some of 440mhz and they will lose even
>more.
> More and more truck stops are selling full-blown Ham rigs and lliniers
>built to run on the Ham freq's
>AAUUUDDDIIIIOOOOOO
>
Let the Ham frequencies die.

Why would any one want to use them. All I hear on the Ham bands is
the un-welcome club. I hear that on this news group too. Who in their
right mind would want to put up with such sour old men.

Instead, just turn your computer on. You can talk worldwide. Or if you
like crank up the transceiver and talk wherever and whenever you
choose. Ignore the sour old men with their sour attitudes and
freeband all you want. Just keep one step ahead of the old men.

P.S. Old men are easily outrun.

348. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 15:29:40 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D2469DF.DB360052@icok.net>
Source record: 129328

Kenneth,
Not the best troll I've ever seen but fairly
high points for originality.
I wonder if you would tell me if 'Kenneth' is
really your first name? Just checking to see if you
got anything right in your post...
'Doc

349. Re: New Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 15:41:08 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D246C9A.DD1FE528@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 129326

Landshark wrote:
>
> --
> Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

I think the heat is getting to you.

350. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 16:16:08 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ui8t5i1jsdmna3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129329

I don't know ... I think if you actually met some of the hams you'd find they aren't the way a few techs are presenting the image. Some are, of course, but most aren't. A while back a thread was going around about a get - together with some of the more vocal folks - Voobner, Twistedhed, Scott (unit69), and a few others come to mind. It would have been interesting. I'd be willing to be most would cool off, quaff a few beers and have a good time - and be back at each other the next day in the newsgroup! As far as ham radio being in decline, don't hold your breath ...

Have a good holiday,
Jim

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:3pp8iu8l91ggkelnqn6faidn54a6cfjvl5@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 4 Jul 2002 09:05:42 -0400, "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Why Freeband? There are a ton of freq available that the Hambo's have but
> >never use. Ham is dying. The Fcc is carving up the Ham freq and selling
them
> >to the highest bidder. They lost some of 440mhz and they will lose even
> >more.
> > More and more truck stops are selling full-blown Ham rigs and lliniers
> >built to run on the Ham freq's
> >AAUUUDDDIIIIOOOOOO
> >
> Let the Ham frequencies die.
>
> Why would any one want to use them. All I hear on the Ham bands is
> the un-welcome club. I hear that on this news group too. Who in their
> right mind would want to put up with such sour old men.
>
> Instead, just turn your computer on. You can talk worldwide. Or if you
> like crank up the transceiver and talk wherever and whenever you
> choose. Ignore the sour old men with their sour attitudes and
> freeband all you want. Just keep one step ahead of the old men.
>
> P.S. Old men are easily outrun.
>

351. Re: New Stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 16:28:36 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <UI_U8.1177$cP5.125408276@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129330

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D246C9A.DD1FE528@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Landshark wrote:
> >
> > --
> > Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All
>
> I think the heat is getting to you.

Nah, I hit it by mistake, but thought
it might make you all chuckle a little.
We're in the high 80's to low 90's
today, but they expect we'll be back
into the triple digits by the weekend.

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

352. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 16:28:36 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <UI_U8.1178$cP5.125408276@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129331

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:3pp8iu8l91ggkelnqn6faidn54a6cfjvl5@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 4 Jul 2002 09:05:42 -0400, "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
> P.S. Old men are easily outrun.
>

Age & treachery, will out do
youth & agility.

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

353. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 16:39:27 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D247A45.2DFCF51E@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 129332

Landshark wrote:

> > P.S. Old men are easily outrun.
> >
>
> Age & treachery, will out do
> youth & agility.

Seen on a t-shirt:
It used to be wine, women and song;
Now it's beer, the old lady and TV.

354. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 18:24:06 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d249116$0$13949$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129333

Be careful Adam! If you agree with me, thus disagreeing with Mr. Twisty,
you will be called a liar even though there isn't any evidence. Apparently
all it takes to be branded a liar by Twisty and Mopar is to disagree with
them. Again, I wonder if they aren't the same person.

19 inches of rain here since July 1, I think our drought is over.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Colonel Chim Chim" <justwhatineedmorespam@nothanks.con> wrote in message
news:h0PU8.12418$xu5.2625@news1.central.cox.net...
> Good for Kevin for the 27 radio busts this year. It's about time
> somebody stops the nonsense on the airwaves.
>
> --
>
>
>
> --Adam
>
>
>

355. Re: More viruses, and it's getting old.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 18:25:32 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D249317.9D122930@icok.net>
Source record: 129334

Smart dog...
'Doc

356. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 18:53:12 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D249998.4FE76D7@qnet.com>
Source record: 129335

Helo Doc:

'Doc wrote:
>
> Kenneth,
> Not the best troll I've ever seen but fairly
> high points for originality.

Yes I agree. If your gonne try to stir the pot, theres room for
improvemnent, big time.

I can help but I am terrible expenisive, but worth it.

Have a happy 4th of July, I know I will, Ten-4.

> I wonder if you would tell me if 'Kenneth' is
> really your first name? Just checking to see if you
> got anything right in your post...
> 'Doc

Oh I am sure that ain't the real name, as we have all seen before.

Gettin all fired up for the 4th of July BBQ and socializin.

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from the
fillin station.

357. Re: FCC jihad and unintended consiquences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 19:29:05 UTC
From: Tommy Maltino <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <5m1V8.274697$_j6.13534995@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129336

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ui8ogu6nu5l28@corp.supernews.com...
> Ahhhh Kenneth .... take a chill pill and enjoy the holiday. Tomorrow you
> can stir the pot with the AKC.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim

Jim, the AKC will chew this guy up and spit him out.

358. Re: More viruses, and it's getting old.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 19:32:09 UTC
From: Tommy Maltino <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <Zo1V8.552263$%y.36449641@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129337

"Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote in message
news:3D23752F.74FD1275@lucent.com...
> I'll post this one more time.....

Ill do the same your crying to the wrong Bunch mr ostrowski!

From: Brainbuster (brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net)
Subject: Re: WORM_KLEZ.G Virus
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb

Date: 2002-05-12 13:30:31 PST

Dennis W. Ostrowski <dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote in message
news:3CDA9974.B53C47FD@lucent.com...
> FYI......
>
> In the last 2 weeks I have received over 30 e-mails containing this
virus:
>
> WORM_KLEZ.G
>
> The most frequent sources being:
>
> ogp@texoma.net
> cv3@keyway.net
> hacogroup@earthlink.net
>
> Whoever is doing this is just wasting both their time and mine because I
> am running Unix under Solaris on a Sun Ultra-30 workstation and the virus
> has absolutely no effect on it.
==========
==========
Hi Dennis.

First of all, the virus sends itself... the person is not to blame and may
not even realise.
Secondly, that type of virus is known for "spoofing" addresses. The virus
takes an address from the infected system address book and places it in the
header.
So tracking the actual infected system is not so easy... check the IP number
and see which ISP is being used, that may help.

Brainbuster.

359. Re: More viruses, and it's getting old.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 19:34:00 UTC
From: Tommy Maltino <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <Iq1V8.602249$Oa1.37838860@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129338

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24686-3D2460F5-21@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>
> And you're probably right. I still have email sent by Frank insinuating
> he was going to hack me/send me viruses. He even bitched about it when
> he realized I (webtv) was impervious to his impotent ass, claiming "You
> hide behind what you think is an impentrable wall of ones and zeros.
> This is my your weakness and my strength." Yea, I vote it came from
> Frank.

Twisty you blame kevin and others for lieing but here your spewing bullshit
as usual with no ryhme or reason other
than you are enamoured with Frank, Aaron, Kevin and the rest and can't get
them off of your mind.

360. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 19:43:50 UTC
From: Tommy Maltino <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <Wz1V8.120217$Ca2.6501965@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129339

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ui8mvjgcvi1p67@corp.supernews.com...
> Depends on what kind of coax you're running. Especially with verticals,
> ground loss at low heights is excessive. Mounting higher can gain you 6
dB
> over a lower mounted antenna. That is quite a bit of coax. Twist's
advice
> is short, simple, and CORRECT (with beams/quads/what-have-you as well).
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY and you guys have a nice holiday!
> Jim

Ground losses depends on the type of soil and amount of ground radials you have under your antenna.
Jim to say mounting higher can gain you 6db is a vague statement and an opinion on your part at best. Going from a ground mounted vertical to one that is 2 wavelengths in air will yield greater gains than going from say 1 wavelength above ground to 2 or 3. Especially at HF frequencies

How many Cbers are running hardline compared to rg8x ? No one said the coax loss would overcome the height gain but that it should be taken into consideration.

361. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 19:45:30 UTC
From: Tommy Maltinos <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <uB1V8.602254$Oa1.37846389@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129340

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207032028.7e8e7ed@posting.google.com...

> Tommy, are you any relation to Richard Maltinos?
>
> -A

Well as a matter of fact he is a relative of mine funny you should ask were
both from down around the Bay area.

362. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 19:50:42 UTC
From: Tommy Maltinos <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <mG1V8.274780$_j6.13547255@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129341

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ui8n3dqjv28i89@corp.supernews.com...
> Height will affect the antenna impedance, but in general terms, (as you
have
> said) the higher, the better.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim

Ok jim what is more desireable?
To live have your antenna 200' off the ground and live in a valley or to
live on a mountain with your antenna ground mounted?

363. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 19:53:31 UTC
From: Tommy Maltinos <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <%I1V8.543100$Gs.36388078@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129342

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:KIYU8.27339$eF5.1111950@twister.austin.rr.com...
> George, read the thread about the guy with noise in the radio. Did you try
> to help? No. Did Sparky? No, he just bashed PH. Did several others? Yes,
> they did try to help, myself included.
>
> Happy 4th! :-)~

Ok I misssed that post have a great 4th Randy.... Be safe and don't drink to
many servasa's

364. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 19:57:18 UTC
From: Tommy Maltinos <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <yM1V8.552519$%y.36464485@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129343

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:ui8mbi18lcipc0@corp.supernews.com...
> For starters, you shouldn't jump into the middle of a conversation, as you
> have no idea what the hell you are talking about. It wasn't my radio, nor
> was it my amp. It belonged to my father. Got it now? I didn't use it.
I
> didn't even know how to use the amp at the time. Matter of fact, I didn't
> even know it was am amp. Was never shown or told anything about it, as he
> didn't want me touching it.
>
> Some peoples idiots, I tell you!
>
> PH

I can jump into the middle of what I want I can read and I can put 2 and 2
togther, you said
I said it was "at my disposal when needed", that means to me you could use
it when every you felt the need]
now all of a sudden you don't know how to use it, nor did you know it was an
amp, if thats the case then how was it at your disposal for use? You need to
remember what lies your telling they usually come back to bite you in the
arse.

owned an amp and then said you never needed one lol

365. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 19:59:06 UTC
From: Tommy Maltinos <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <eO1V8.552535$%y.36465393@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129344

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:3d249116$0$13949$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> Be careful Adam! If you agree with me, thus disagreeing with Mr. Twisty,
> you will be called a liar even though there isn't any evidence.
Apparently
> all it takes to be branded a liar by Twisty and Mopar is to disagree with
> them. Again, I wonder if they aren't the same person.
>
> 19 inches of rain here since July 1, I think our drought is over.
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE

Damn please send some up this way we need it.

366. Re: More viruses, and it's getting old.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 20:00:51 UTC
From: Tommy Maltinos <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <TP1V8.543203$Gs.36391885@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129345

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ui8h5e43e8kf48@corp.supernews.com...
> Obviously it's the anti-cb crowd...probly Voobner

Kenneth, your proof is?
They are not anti CB either you dope they are anti -illegal CB.

2 strikes......1 more and your outta there

367. Re: More viruses, and it's getting old.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 20:25:32 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d24ad05.356737@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129346

In <Iq1V8.602249$0a1.37838860@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>, "Tommy Maltino" <tommy@malt.com> wrote:

>
>"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
>news:24686-3D2460F5-21@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>>
>> And you're probably right. I still have email sent by Frank insinuating
>> he was going to hack me/send me viruses. He even bitched about it when
>> he realized I (webtv) was impervious to his impotent ass, claiming "You
>> hide behind what you think is an impentrable wall of ones and zeros.
>> This is my your weakness and my strength." Yea, I vote it came from
>> Frank.
>
>
>Twisty you blame kevin and others for lieing but here your spewing bullshit
>as usual with no ryhme or reason other
>than you are enamoured with Frank, Aaron, Kevin and the rest and can't get
>them off of your mind.

Of course he's spewing bullshit -- the line he quoted was in context to his anonymity, it had nothing to do with sending viruses or hacking firewalls. Randy is the one that has threatened to crash firewalls, and Phil has claimed to be capable of much, much more.

But if these are really viruses being sent out in the email, the recipient needs to quit whining on the newsgroup and forward the information to the proper authorities; emailing viruses and other forms of hacking are federal crimes that -are- enforced.

368. Re: More viruses, and it's getting old.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 20:57:45 UTC
From: Jesse Stanley <superstar@frognet.net>
Message-ID: <su2V8.1158$pv6.157924@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 129397

You think they would be smart enough to get a virus scanner.

Dennis W. Ostrowski wrote in message <3D23752F.74FD1275@lucent.com>...
>I'll post this one more time.....
>
>The viruses that are being sent to me from ogp@texoma.net do
>not affect my computer in any way other than the fact that
>the computer informs me that it caught them.
>
> These are from today........
>
>---
>
>postmaster wrote:
>
>> ------------------ Virus Warning Message (on the network)
>>
>> zzStr.bat is removed from here because it contains a virus.
>>
>> --
>
>no-reply@auemail2.firewall.lucent.com wrote:
>
>> A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail message
>> being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net > on 07/03/2002
>> 14:54:47. Action taken on Lucent's Internet Email gateway:
>> The file Oi.scr is moved to
>> /var/log/virus/virKQJ0YyByF..no-reply@ihemail2.firewall.lucent.com
>> wrote:
>>
>>> A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail message
>>> being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net > on 07/03/2002
>>> 12:55:30. Action taken on Lucent's Internet Email
>>> gateway: The file name.scr is moved to
>>> /var/log/virus/virRRTXLiiX_..postmaster wrote:
>>>
>>> > ------------------ Virus Warning Message (on the
>>> > network)
>>> >
>>> > Oi.scr is removed from here because it contains a virus.
>>> >
>>>
> --no-reply@hoemail2
.firewall.lucent.com

>>> > wrote:
>>> >
>>> >> A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail
>>> >> message being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net > on
>>> >> 07/03/2002 01:50:51. Action taken on Lucent's Internet
>>> >> Email gateway: The file Izn.scr is moved to
>>> >> /var/log/virus/virJBG0PAqdc..MSmith2719 wrote:
>>> >>
>>> >> > ------------------ Virus Warning Message (on the
>>> >> > network)
>>> >> >
>>> >> > Izn.scr is removed from here because it contains a
>>> >> > virus.
>>> >> >
>>> >> > ------
>>> >>
> ----------no-reply@ihemail2.firewall.lucent.com
>>> >> > wrote:
>>> >> >
>>> >> >> A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail
>>> >> >> message being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net > on
>>> >> >> 07/03/2002 00:33:44. Action taken on Lucent's
>>> >> >> Internet Email gateway: The file fathersdayshopping
>>> >> >> is moved to /var/log/virus/virFNFBSlK._..JLB61
>>> >> >> wrote:
>>> >> >>
>>> >> >> > ------------------ Virus Warning Message (on the
>>> >> >> > network)
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> > fathersdayshopping is removed from here because
>>> >> >> > it contains a virus.
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> > --------------------
>>> >> >>
> ----------no-reply@ihemail2.firewall.lucent.com
>>> >> >> > wrote:
>>> >> >> >
>>> >> >> >> A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail
>>> >> >> >> message being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net >
>>> >> >> >> on 07/02/2002 20:31:10. Action taken on Lucent's
>>> >> >> >> Internet Email gateway: The file HREF.pif is
>>> >> >> >> moved to /var/log/virus/virIKOpfaH9_..BIGMAN357
>>> >> >> >> wrote:
>>> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> >> >> ------------------ Virus Warning Message (on
>>> >> >> >> >> the network)
>>> >> >> >> >>
>>> >> >> >> >> HREF.pif is removed from here because it
>>> >> >> >> >> contains a virus.

>>> >> >> > >>
>>> >> >> > >> -----------------
>>> >> >> > >> --
>>> >> >> > >
>no-reply@ihemail1.firewall.lucent.com wrote:
>
>> A virus (WORM_KLEZ.H) was detected in an e-mail message
>> being sent to you by <ogp@texoma.net > on 07/03/2002
>> 17:19:34. Action taken on Lucent's Internet Email gateway:
>> The file zzStr.bat is moved to
>> /var/log/virus/virEKGI_geq_..
>
>---
>
>
>
> All of them seem to be originating from ogp@texoma.net
>
> Have a safe and happy 4th of July!
>
>Regards,
>
>Dennis O.
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX

>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX

XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>
>XXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXXX
XXXXXXXXXX
>

369. Re: FCC jihad and unintended consiquences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 21:19:01 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <9Z2V8.77591$UT.5302849@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129398

"Tommy Maltino" <tommy@malt.com> wrote in message
news:5m1V8.274697$_j6.13534995@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> news:ui8ogu6nu5l28@corp.supernews.com...
> > Ahhhh Kenneth .... take a chill pill and enjoy the holiday. Tomorrow
you
> > can stir the pot with the AKC.
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
>
>
> Jim, the AKC will chew this guy up and spit him out.
>
>

We're coming, we're coming, our brave little band
on the right side of temperance we do take our stand.
We don't use tobacco, because we do think
That people who use it are likely to drink.

chorus

Away, away with rum by gum,
rum by gum, rum by gum
Away, away with rum by gum
The song of the Temperance Union

and many many more verses.

Finally figured out what the AKCs remind me of.

Velvet Codpiece, tell no little lies.

370. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 21:21:21 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <I%2V8.85502$Uu2.14171@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 129399

<snip> ...my well thought out and educational response that would have shed some light on the situation... </snip>

oh well...I just don't have the energy or time right now to get involved in what I'm afraid would degenerate into a flame war. But I swear it was a REALLY good reply. I tell you what, I coulda got the Nobel prize for that piece.

Dave

"Tommy Maltinos" <tommy@malt.com> wrote in message news:yM1V8.552519$%y.36464485@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:ui8mbi18lcipc0@corp.supernews.com...
> > For starters, you shouldn't jump into the middle of a conversation, as you
> > have no idea what the hell you are talking about. It wasn't my radio, nor
> > was it my amp. It belonged to my father. Got it now? I didn't use it.
> I
> > didn't even know how to use the amp at the time. Matter of fact, I didn't
> > even know it was am amp. Was never shown or told anything about it, as he
> > didn't want me touching it.
> >
> > Some peoples idiots, I tell you!
> >
> > PH
>
> I can jump into the middle of what I want I can read and I can put 2 and 2
> togther, you said
> I said it was "at my disposal when needed", that means to me you could use
> it when every you felt the need]
> now all of a sudden you don't know how to use it, nor did you know it was an
> amp, if thats the case then how was it at your disposal for use? You need to
> remember what lies your telling they usually come back to bite you in the
> arse.
>
>
>
> owned an amp and then said you never needed one lol

>
>

371. Re: FCC jihad and unintended consiquences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 21:45:02 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <ag2hqb$2qh3$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 129400

Well, let me tell you.......

A person who is the President of an organization near me recently posted a sign. On that sign, were at least a half dozen misspelled words. What is this guy's background? TEACHER!!!!! A few who read the sign and knew his background shook their heads in disbelief - asking how he could have been in that position.

Another teacher I know, believe it or not, didn't know how to....hang on to your chairs... address an envelope!

Now I am NOT saying any or all teachers are bad... But you would think teacher #1 would have edited that sign before posting. It sure didn't make him look too worthy of his "educational" background. As for #2? Bankrupt in common sense?

As advanced as this country is, we still have a LONG way to go in the education area. From what "I" see, it's going backwards, not forward. Everyone makes errors, but those were blatant examples. Frightening!

My two cents.

Lou/Tech@DP.NET

"LilyV7" <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020704102701.14645.00001311@mb-de.aol.com...
> where did this guy learn to spell "linear"??
> for that matter where did he learn to spell?
> this is a sad indication of the state of our public school system. i think
the
> voucher system for private schools may be arriving way too late.
gunslinger

372. Radio Shack ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 22:48:14 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <Og4V8.16304$PN5.447447@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 129401

Hi All

I have owned many radios over the past 30 years and have found pictures of all of them on the net except 1. I can't remember the Radio Shack model number but I would know it to see it. It was a 40 Ch SSB mobile with an all black face. It was all push button, (no rotary CH selector). It had 40 Ch scan and channel change on the microphone. It only ran for about 1 year in 1980 or 1981. It had many problems and was not a good radio. Every time you turned it off it went back to Ch-1 and had a bad frequency drift so I only had it for about 2 months and traded it in for an other model. If any of you can remember the radio and come up with a model number it would be appreciate. I check this NG regularly so if you could just post it here. Thanks

373. Re: FCC jihad and unintended consiquences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 22:51:38 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207041451.6c4458a0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129402

"Richard McCollum" rmcollOMA@worldnet.att.net wrote:
>
>The song of the Temperance Union
>
>
>Finally figured out what the AKCs remind me of.

That's right Dick, ignore the illegal-CB trolls (who started this thread) and instead spread disinformation that pro-legals are prudes. Well, at least you admit to taking sides.

-A

374. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 22:59:42 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207041459.7517d219@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129403

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<24685-3D246484-91@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> >Sean,
> I think what goes on in this NG is not a ham vs. CB issue. A ham license
> is not a guarantee of technical expertise or morality. Bill Eitner and
> Toll Free are both good examples of this. All you need do is look up the
> archives to see their "teaching" consisted largely of promoting illegal
> CB. Attracting flies with honey is fine, but that does not mean anyone
> should turn the other cheek when assaulted by ignorance. Vinegar is
> appropriate, especially in the case of someone like Twistedhead.
> -Aaron-<
>
>
>
> Well, your unprovoked malice is just what one would expect from you.
> After all, it is I that is responsible for your creation, by virtue of
> ruining your rep with truths. It is I that made you crack under the
> pressure of absolute truths. It is I that made your real name and call
> "mud" by posting your antics to share with all. It is I that is
> responsible for you not being able to show yourself. You used to post
> quite freely here, but got carried away with your inability to hold your
> morbid tongue, and have lost any respect you were trying for. Again, it
> is I that drove your uncouth lying trollish self underground, and there
> you dwell until I decide to take you out for entertainment purposes.
> Do yourself a favor, go upgrade.

Hey I like the "it is I who..." cadence you got going in that
paragraph, but you may want to add some more lines to it:

*It is I, Twistedhed, who continues to flail about blaming everyone
and everything for my problems with society.
*It is I, Twistedhed, who have made myself a punch clown in this NG
with my lies and ridiculous accusations.
*It is I, Twistedhed, who stokes the fires of my own paranoia by
imagining Leland behind every corner.
*It is I, Twistedhed, who has taken up an anti-ham campaign in this NG
merely because the majority of hams do not support my bootlegging.
*It is I, Twistedhed, who has lost all touch with reality, possibly
due to the incessant drumming of the rain on my barn roof....

-Aaron-

375. Re: More viruses, and it's getting old.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-04 23:08:52 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207041508.73b3d3bc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129404

pwrguy@intergrafix.net (Kenneth) wrote:
> >Obviously it's the anti-cb crowd...probly Voobner..

Not "anti-CB" Kenneth, anti-ILLEGAL CB.

-Aaron-

376. OOOOPS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 02:20:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Yk7V8.37327$wj4.2966454@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129405

What a joik--what a maroon!! Serves 'im right.

Jerry

On the enforcement scene, it probably does not pay top brag on the Internet
that you have a banned C-B amplifier. Why?

--
Riley Hollingsworth: "The San Francisco Office of the FCC conducted an
inspection of the station of Stanley Clewett, KF6IKC of Reading California.
Clewett had posted pictures on his web page of what was purported to be a 10
kilowatt amplifier which he claimed to be using on CB Channel 6 -- perhaps
not
the best type of photograph to put on a personal web page by an Extra class
licensee. This case is still open and we will report more details later."
--

The FCC says that no other details of the inspection will be released at
this
time. This is because the matter is still under review. (FCC, RAIN)

377. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 02:28:13 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207041828.4b710980@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129406

"Tommy Maltinos" <tommy@malt.com> wrote in message
news:<uB1V8.602254$Oa1.37846389@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207032028.7e8e7ed@posting.google.com...
>
> > Tommy, are you any relation to Richard Maltinos?
> >
> > -A
>
> Well as a matter of fact he is a relative of mine funny you should ask were
> both from down around the Bay area.

How is old Richard doing since he retired from the Postmaster job?

-A

378. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 02:41:15 UTC
From: Tommy Maltinos <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <fH7V8.607233$Oa1.38051150@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129407

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207041828.4b710980@posting.google.com...
> "Tommy Maltinos" <tommy@malt.com> wrote in message
news:<uB1V8.602254$Oa1.37846389@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:22a5e694.0207032028.7e8e7ed@posting.google.com...
> >
> > > Tommy, are you any relation to Richard Maltinos?
> > >
> > > -A
> >
> > Well as a matter of fact he is a relative of mine funny you should ask
were
> > both from down around the Bay area.
>
> How is old Richard doing since he retired from the Postmaster job?
>
> -A

Well Old Richard is still kickin, he goes out fishin pert near 3 x a week
and he is always doing something around the house, got a real nice spread.

379. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 02:49:42 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207041849.6f2f2e4b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129408

"Tommy Maltinos" tommy@malt.com wrote:
"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207041828.4b710980@posting.google.com...
> "Tommy Maltinos" <tommy@malt.com> wrote in message
news:<uB1V8.602254$Oa1.37846389@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
>> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> > news:22a5e694.0207032028.7e8e7ed@posting.google.com...
>> >
>> > > Tommy, are you any relation to Richard Maltinos?
>> > >
>> > > -A
>> >
>> > Well as a matter of fact he is a relative of mine
>> > funny you should ask were
>> > both from down around the Bay area.
>>
>> How is old Richard doing since he retired from the Postmaster job?
>>
>> -A
>
>Well Old Richard is still kickin, he goes out fishin pert
>near 3 x a week and he is always doing something around the
>house, got a real nice spread.

If you see him over at the Bait Shop on Dunbar tell him I said howdy.

-A

380. Re: OOOOPS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 03:28:35 UTC
From: Dave Riffel <daveriffel@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <Dn8V8.243946$R61.92049@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 129409

sucks to be that(FORMER) HAM!!!!
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:Yk7V8.37327$wj4.2966454@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> What a joik--what a maroon!! Serves 'im right.
>
> Jerry
>
>
>
> On the enforcement scene, it probably does not pay top brag on the
Internet
> that you have a banned C-B amplifier. Why?
>
> --
> Riley Hollingsworth: "The San Francisco Office of the FCC conducted an
> inspection of the station of Stanley Clewett, KF6IKC of Reading
California.
> Clewett had posted pictures on his web page of what was purported to be a
10
> kilowatt amplifier which he claimed to be using on CB Channel 6 -- perhaps
> not
> the best type of photograph to put on a personal web page by an Extra
class
> licensee. This case is still open and we will report more details later."
> --
>
> The FCC says that no other details of the inspection will be released at
> this
> time. This is because the matter is still under review. (FCC, RAIN)
>
>
>
>

381. Re: OOOOPS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 03:52:55 UTC
From: Tommy Maltinos <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <rK8V8.609202$Oa1.38083035@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129410

"Dave Riffel" <daveriffel@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:Dn8V8.243946$R61.92049@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> sucks to be that(FORMER) HAM!!!!

Yes he also is a former Cber too, thats what got him busted was the illegal
cb amp.

382. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 04:51:34 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207042051.c0994c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129411

"Tommy Maltinos" <tommy@malt.com> wrote in message
news:<uB1V8.602254$Oa1.37846389@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207032028.7e8e7ed@posting.google.com...
>
> > Tommy, are you any relation to Richard Maltinos?
> >
> > -A
>
> Well as a matter of fact he is a relative of mine funny you should ask were
> both from down around the Bay area.

Do you ever hear from Wendy? The Maltinos are a pretty big family,
word is they own half the town and do as they please. Big brush fire
started in the woods a couple years ago and Pinellas County Sheriff
told Richard to evacuate, but he wouldn't budge. Incidentally, if he
ever has RFI come thru his TV or stereo let me know, I can point him
in the right direction, so to speak.

-A

383. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 05:41:08 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <UjaV8.1280$Wq1.138940698@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129412

```
"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207041849.6f2f2e4b@posting.google.com...
> "Tommy Maltinos" tommy@malt.com wrote:
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207041828.4b710980@posting.google.com...
> > "Tommy Maltinos" <tommy@malt.com> wrote in message
> news:<uB1V8.602254$Oa1.37846389@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> >> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:22a5e694.0207032028.7e8e7ed@posting.google.com...
> >> >
> >> > > Tommy, are you any relation to Richard Maltinos?
> >> > >
> >> > > -A
> >> >
> >> > Well as a matter of fact he is a relative of mine
> >> > funny you should ask were
> >> > both from down around the Bay area.
> >>
> >> How is old Richard doing since he retired from the Postmaster job?
> >>
> >> -A
> >
> >Well Old Richard is still kickin, he goes out fishin pert
> >near 3 x a week and he is always doing something around the
> >house, got a real nice spread.
>
> If you see him over at the Bait Shop on Dunbar tell him I said howdy.
>
> -A

LMFAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Landshark

--
"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young
```

384. Re: Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 06:35:01 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-A74E6C.02342405072002@news5.ptd.net>
Source record: 129413

In article <3d06f03e.563860@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
wrote:

[Sparky's non-responsive ramblings snipped]
>
> That's a load of BS and you know it! Where did you get your RF
> education: a TAB book? One has only to look at the schematic to see
> just how it works, and to see that it works by inductive coupling, not
> capacitive coupling. In case you didn't notice, the SWR meters that
> are built into many radios are designed based on inductive coupling,
> not capacitive. All one needs to do is to look at the SWR meter PC
> board: it is an extention of the coax cable, nothing more than two
> traces (the shield) outside of a center trace (the center conductor).
> The amount of capacitive coupling for the two or three inches of PC
> board this requires may be all of 5 pFd, not even enough to drive a
> 1uA meter. And anyone can tear apart their meter to see just how it
> works. I did it when I was 12: Why haven't you done it yet?

Sparky, you are a dumbass. You show it and you don't even realize it.
Show us how smart you are and do the calculations to determine how
much current a 14.14 V (4 watt) signal passes through 5 pF at 27 MHz.
You will find that your number above is off by four magnitudes.

[More of Sparky's non-responsive ramblings snipped]

> >For a transmission line with a characteristic impedance of 50 ohms,
> >the forward current equals the forward voltage divided by 50. This is a
> >fact. Period. End of story. What do you think characteristic
> >impedance means anyway?
>
> That says nothing about phase, which is the issue YOU raised but are
> now backpedalling away from.

Sparky, you are delirious. And you didn't answer my question.

> >Now an example for the slow thinkers out there:
> >with a 50 volt forward voltage in a coax of 50 ohms characteristic
> >impedance, there will 1 amp of forward current. That is, 50/50.
> >
> >According to Sparky, it would be -1 amps. This claim has no basis
> >in reality.
>
> An obfuscation based on your ignorance of phase relationships. When
> current and voltage are in phase, current follows voltage. Just like
> DC. But on a transmission line, we don't talk DC. The voltage
> alternates between the p-p voltage, the current alternates between p-p

> current, and the two are not always in phase. In fact, they are 180
> degrees out of phase for a non-reactive transmission line. Quit trying
> to confuse the facts.

Talk about confusing the facts! What is a "non-reactive transmission
line?"

The fact of the matter is that forward voltage is ALWAYS IN PHASE with
the forward current. The only time the current can be out of phase
with the voltage is when there is a reflected signal summing with
the forward signal -- and it doesn't take a genius to know that a
reflected signal does not consist of a forward voltage or current.

> >> and the phase of the components of the reflected power is shifted from
> >> that of the forward power according to the reactance of the load. And
> >> it is the positive or negative phase shift of the current wave along a
> >> length of the pickup that causes the directional characteristics of
> >> the induced current in the pickup wire.
> >
> >Total bullshit. Try to find one authoritative reference that says
> >anything close to this. I challenge you to explain this in any
> >semi-meaningful way.
>
> I'm sorry, CLP, but it appears the concept of electromagnetic
> induction is too fundamental for you to understand. This is really
> simple: if you have a current going one way in a wire, it will induce
> a current going the opposite direction in a parallel wire. It's just
> too simple. The problem you seem to be having is the direction of the
> original current: and I'm suprised you are having that problem, since
> that was the whole basis of your argument several months ago.

Sparky, again it is you who does not understand the concepts involved
here. Sure, it induces an AC signal. The key to the understanding is
that we are talking about AC. It is an alternating current. There
is no way to look at this single parameter and determine the direction
of the signal on your coax. The forward signal induces an alternating
current and the reflected signal induces an alternating current. They
look the same.

> >A directional power meter will correctly measure power in a given
> >direction regardless of the load impedance.
>
> False. The readings can be distorted by standing waves. The proof
> resides in the experiment that you refuse to do.

Sparky, I've done equivalent experiments countless times. They do
not agree with your claim. And there is no underlying theory to
support your claim. You're making this up -- plain and simple.

> >> IOW, if the current at point A on a transmission line reaches it's
> >> peak before it peaks at point B (caused by forward power, for the sake
> >> of argument), the current will flow in a specific direction in the

> >> pickup wire; conversely, if the current peaks at point B before point
> >> A (from reflected power), then the current in the pickup wire will
> >> flow in the opposite direction. And THAT'S what makes power meters
> >> work.
> >>
> >> You can build a power meter that works by capacitive coupling, and I'm
> >> sure many people have already done so. The effect is the same. But any
> >> power meter that uses both capacitive and inductive coupling is going
> >> to get some very skewed readings when phase isn't perfect.
> >
> >You are wrong. What makes your directional power meter directional?
>
> The phase differential along the length of the inductive pickup. This
> has nothing to do with capacitive coupling (unless capacitive coupling
> is the intended mode of operation for the meter). I can't believe you
> didn't learn this in first year physics.

This answer is fluff. You've told me nothing (because you simply
cannot). You have not answered the question. Lay a little first year
physics on us. Show us how smart you are.

> > You cannot determine the direction of the current by a mere inductive
> >coupling
>
> The hell you can't! It's done all the time!

NOT!

> > and you cannot determine the direction of the voltage by a
> >mere capacitive coupling. Try to explain how you can.
>
> I already did. You just didn't pay attention. Inductive or capacitive
> coupling, it's an "either - or" situation. Mix the two and you have to
> deal with I/E phase, which is not even the issue here.

Hah! Another non-answer. You even refer to a previous non-answer
to support this one. You are too much.

[snip for brevity]

> >> The premise IS accurate, as stated above. Additionally, since both the
> >> forward and reflected powers are propagated at the impedance of the
> >> transmission line, there is no point in reading both the voltage -and-
> >> current; that is, unless you were interested in the voltage/current
> >> phase relationship of the resulting signal on the transmission line.
> >
> >This contradicts your earlier statement. You said "voltage and current
> >of the forward power are directly opposed." This means it is propogated
> >at the NEGATIVE impedance of the transmission line.
>
> It contradicts nothing. The phase relationship of the forward power is
> only relevant when the the I/E phase of the reflected power is shifted

> by the reactance of the load.

Hold on here. I'm not letting you off the hook for this inaccuracy
either. This has NOTHING to do with reflected power.

You said, "forward and reflected powers are propagated at the impedance
of the transmission line..."

That means Vf = 50 * If
AND Vf is in phase with If.

But you also said, "voltage and current of the forward power are
directly opposed."
This means Vf is out of phase with If.

These two statements are contradictory. You need to explain yourself
and this obvious contradiction. Where do you get this stuff? ...out
of your shorts?

I'll give you a hint: one of the two statements IS correct.

> >I explained EXACTLY WHY you need to sample BOTH voltage and current but
> >this eluded you, too. Unlike the forward signal, the reflected voltage
> >and current are 180 degrees out of phase. Thus, their contribution to
> >the reading is canceled out. This is how the reading becomes
> >directional.
>
> Since the purpose is to get the lowest SWR possible, a reduction in
> VSWR is going to coincide with a reduction in ISWR...

So far, so good.

> and consequently a reduction in the I/E phase of the reflected power.

Wrong. E and I of the reflected power always maintain the same phase
with respect to each other.

If you are referring to the phase of the reflected power with respect
to the forward power, it is possible to vary the phase of the reflected
power a full 360 degrees without actually changing the amount of
reflected power (or the SWR).

> If, as you insist,
> the current and voltage are 180 degrees out of phase for the reflected
> power, but in-phase on the forward power -- and if your power meter
> requires both voltage and current measurements -- then your whole
> system of SWR measurement fails in a puff of phase. Do the math and
> you will see your error.

I did the math and it works. I showed you, dumbass. Show us how the
math fails. "Do the math" is another fluff response. It is a
non-answer.

> >> While the o-scope might show a distorted
> >> waveform, it is still only two sine waves.

> >
> >Why would it be distorted? Adding two sine waves of the same
> >frequency yields a sine wave. It may have a different magnitude
> >or it may have a different phase but it will be a pure, undistorted
> >sine wave.
>
> Only when they are in phase or 180 degrees out of phase. Adding two
> sine waves of the same frequency but are phased 0 > r > 180 > r > 360
> degrees apart does not result in a sine wave.

Sparky, again you are showing your ignorance. This one can be
easily demonstrated. Just try to add two sine waves at the same
frequency with ANY phase difference and see what you get.

Go ahead and do it. If you are right, I will kiss your feet.
If I am right, you must agree that you are a dumbass.

> >> But power meters do not
> >> read instantaneous voltages or currents,
> >
> >Wrong! Stop already!
>
> What? Are you going to say that power meters can read
> voltages/currents at 27 million times per second? Yeah, right.

No problem. The voltage signals and current signals are
summed with passive analog circuitry and then detected with
a diode.

> >> they read voltages or
> >> currents averaged over time.
> >
> >You cannot explain how your theory of operation provides a
> >directional reading. It can't!
>
> It does as I explained before. Like it or not. It's called inductive
> coupling and it's a fact, so deal with it.

You did not explain how inductive coupling provided a directional
measurement. It is a fact that you did not answer this simple,
direct question. What's the problem? You can't deal with this
question.

> >> And since the interaction between forward
> >> and reflected power, the standing wave, is a function in the time
> >> domain,
> >
> >Sparky, you are in over your head here. Standing waves are not
> >a function of time. Check your reference books for an equation
> >that shows SWR as a function of time. You're not going to find it.
>
> I have to hand it to jicon here. He made me verify the source of a

> standing wave, any point of which is the sum of the algebraic
> displacements of the individual waves. A standing wave is, by
> defintion, a fuction of these displacements over time. Go back and
> review your 1st year physics.

The forward and reflected waves are a function of time but the
standing wave is not. The standing wave is fixed; it does not move.
The points of current minimum, current maximum, voltage minimum and
voltage maximum do not change.

> >> standing waves will be read concurrently with whatever
> >> direction of power the meter is reading. If you happen to be reading
> >> forward or reflected power at a standing wave current node, then your
> >> readings will probably be accurate because of no standing wave
> >> interference. But if you are reading power on the leading or trailing
> >> slope of the antinode, then your power measurements are going to be
> >> skewed up or down accordingly.
> >
> >Standing waves have no effect on the readings. The forward power
> >is constant all along the transmission line.
>
> True. But any standing wave is also constant along the transmission
> line.

Hold on there!!!! It's another contradiction by Sparky (you have
so many). You just claimed that standing waves were a function of
time; now you claim that they are constant. Now, you agree with me.
Hmmm.

[snip]

> The standing wave
> deviates from the characteristic impedance of the transmission line
> proportional to its magnitude.

???

> BTW, did you ever to find a copy of that book I referenced? I remember
> you xeroxed a couple pages but were unable to quote the first few
> words from a very important page. If you have the book (like you said
> you did) do you think you could complete that quotation now?

How soon you forget! I showed how the book DID NOT SAY WHAT YOU
CLAIMED IT SAID! You lied plain and simple. You have no credibility.

> >Absolutely not true. Sparky, you don't know jack shit.
> >PL-259/SO-239 connectors have become the defacto standard over
> >the years but they are not 50 ohms. Did you ever see a vector
> >network analyzer (basically an impedance measurement device) that
> >used these connectors? Never.
>
> I didn't say that they weren't 50 ohms, I said that the influence they
> have over SWR is negligible, which is true. This is because the brief

> impedance variation they cause is not significant enough to even
> register on a power meter, even on a Bird. That is true, and you can't
> prove otherwise because UHF connectors are used everyday in systems
> that achieve a 1:1 SWR. Kinda blows your connector theory out of the
> water. In fact it blows your whole excuse for not performing the
> experiment.

No, it does not "blow my connector theory out of the water." And you
are ignoring the fact that the connectors are not the only item I
identified in the system (how convenient!). I also pointed out that
the coax may not truly be 50 ohms.

Answer this question: Is it possible to get a 1:1 SWR reading with
55 ohm coax?
I think the answer will blow your response out of the water.

> >Saying that it doesn't matter where a connector is placed in
> >the transmission line is like saying that it doesn't matter
> >where you put the antenna coil.
>
> Oh please! Are you now going to say that to throw a pebble is the same
> as moving a mountain?

WTF are you talking about? Do you honestly believe that it does
not matter where a device which introduces an impedance change is placed
in your transmission/antenna system?

[snip]

> Or did you sleep through statistics?

Sparky, I do not believe that you took college physics or statistics.

> >> >And be sure your coax is truly 50 ohms impedance -- don't rely on
> >> >what Radio Shack says it is.
> >>
> >> No shit!
> >
> >How have you verified that your transmission line is truly 50 ohms?
>
> Don't get anal with that comment: I was addressing the fact that RS
> isn't the best place to get coax. I agreed with you. Or do you
> disagree with your own comment just because I agree with it?

Well, wait a second. You're doing your experiment with coax that
may not be 50 ohms impedance. You haven't verified it. You don't
know that it is truly 50 ohms. But you accept the potentially flawed
experimental results as proof of your claims.

Sparky, believe me, I've done equivalent measurements countless
times. I don't need to do your experiment. I've worked with
vector network analyzers from tens of MHz to tens of GHz. They
directly measure impedance (which can be directly converted to SWR).

And I understand their theory of operation (which wouldn't work if
what you said were true). I've been there; done that.

> >[snip]
> >
> >> When the match is low, the current nodes are
> >> at 1/4, 3/4, 5/4, etc. wavelengths from the point of mismatch.
> >
> >This statement requires that antenna impedance have no reactive
> >component. There is no guarantee that this will be the case
>
> Wrong. My statement is consistant regardless of load reactance. Any
> reactance of the load will influence the current/voltage phase shift
> of the reflected power, but will not change the nature of the mismatch
> (forward power impedance being low or high compared to the load
> impedance).

Oh, boy! Sparky, you are just plain wrong. And I'm growing weary
of correcting you. The location of the nodes along a transmission
line are directly related the phase (i.e. the real to reactive
relationship) at the point of mismatch.

> >>It is
> >> at these points where power measurements are the MOST ACCURATE, not
> >> the most skewed as I previously stated.
> >
> >Not.
>
> Now THERE'S a persuasive argument -- "Not".

It is just as persuasive as your statement.

> You are ignoring the obvious: the lack of current from the standing
> wave at the node of the standing wave (a consequent of the time-domain
> which you deny) will not cause any interference on the power meter's
> inductive pickup (the function of which you deny). The funny part is
> that your denial is in partial contradiction to your claim that SWR
> can be read accurately at any point along the transmission line.

Oh, it does indeed create a problem with the inductive pickup.
The net current is zero. An inductive pickup detects nothing.
But you have a problem here, Sparky. My meter still shows forward
power even at this point where the net current is zero. How is that
possible? How can a meter that senses current show a reading when
the current is zero? Hmmm, could it be that the meter is also sensing
voltage? Could it be that what I say is correct? (should be a
no-brainer by now)

> >[snip]
> >> The antenna manufacturers are not recommending 18
> >> feet to deceive, but to ensure accuracy of SWR measurements.
> >

> >No they aren't.
>
> Another persuasive argument -- "No they aren't". Care to elaborate why
> antenna manufacturers recommend 18 feet of coax? Oh, that's right, you
> can't. I forgot that you don't have an explanation for that, even
> though you have an explanation for why everything I say is wrong.

Again, my response is just as persuasive as yours.

My antenna manufacturers make no recommendation regarding coax length.
They have no reason to.

> That's ok, CLP, I understand -- after all I have had experience with
> other obsessed individuals like twistedhed, Phil, and Randy. I
> understand that the next "I hate Sparky" support group is open for new
> members -- maybe you should apply.

I don't have a problem with multiple individuals as you do. Maybe
this should tell you something.

I do have a problem with anyone spreading fiction as fact and
propagating myths regarding SWR and coax length.

> Did you miss something really fundamental here? If the characteristic
> impedance of a transmission line is the signal impedance where the
> transmission line works to contain the signal, doesn't it stand to
> reason that if the signal is not that of the characteristic impedance
> then the transmission line will not contain the signal?

It is not a matter of containment; it is a matter of propagation.
The characteristic impedance dictates the voltage to current
relationship of the signals. Anything different is reflected
at the interface.

> After all, how
> does a transmission line work? Isn't it a distributed balance of
> inductive and capacitive reactance? What happens when you detune a
> tank? Doesn't one component dissipate excessive power? Where do you
> think that power goes? Does it all get converted to heat? Of course
> not. Some of it is radiated as RF power. Stop being so ignorant.

WTF are you talking about?

> >[snip]
> >> The coax impedance dictates nothing -- that is the "characteristic"
> >> impedance of the transmission line; meaning that if the coax impedance
> >> is 50 ohms, it works properly when the signal is 50 ohms.
> >
> >All signals in the coax propagate at the characteristic impedance
> >of the coax. There is nothing you can do to change that.
>
> NO! All signals DO NOT propogate at the characteristic impedance of
> the coax. You can run DC through your coax, but does the impedance of

> that DC need to be 50 ohms? Of course not!

Sparky, DC does not "propagate." Did you ever try to talk on the
DC band? I'll bet you didn't get many contacts.

We are talking about electromagnetic waves here (or did you forget?).
There are no electromagnetic waves at DC. Plug a frequency of zero
into your Maxwell's equations and see what happens.

[snip]

> >Consider this scenario:
> >What if you transmitted short pulses such that the forward power
> >were zero by the time that the reflected pulse arrived?
>
> Ain't gonna happen.

Way to blow off that question that you cannot answer.

[snip]

> But don't take my word for it: test it for yourself. Or haven't you
> done so already? Of course you haven't, you have been busy here
> presenting opposition to the experiment without any fact or reason.

Wha are you talking about? I have facts and reasons. That's more
than you can say.

> Do
> the experiment. Actually, I encourage anyone remotely interested in
> this discussion to do the experiment. See it for yourselves. Then come
> back here with your explanations and/or excuses.

By the way, I never did get your excuse for totally misrepresenting
what was in that book that you referenced. You lied about its
contents to support your claims. I showed this in excruciating
detail. What's your excuse? Why would anyone need to lie about
the contents of a reference book?

385. Re: Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 13:08:58 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2541cf.3423585@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129414

In <anylength-A74E6C.02342405072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>In article <3d06f03e.563860@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
>wrote:
>
>[Sparky's non-responsive ramblings snipped]
>>
>> That's a load of BS and you know it! Where did you get your RF
>> education: a TAB book? One has only to look at the schematic to see
>> just how it works, and to see that it works by inductive coupling, not
>> capacitive coupling. In case you didn't notice, the SWR meters that
>> are built into many radios are designed based on inductive coupling,
>> not capacitive. All one needs to do is to look at the SWR meter PC
>> board: it is an extention of the coax cable, nothing more than two
>> traces (the shield) outside of a center trace (the center conductor).
>> The amount of capacitive coupling for the two or three inches of PC
>> board this requires may be all of 5 pFd, not even enough to drive a
>> 1uA meter. And anyone can tear apart their meter to see just how it
>> works. I did it when I was 12: Why haven't you done it yet?
>
>Sparky, you are a dumbass. You show it and you don't even realize it.
>Show us how smart you are and do the calculations to determine how
>much current a 14.14 V (4 watt) signal passes through 5 pF at 27 MHz.
>You will find that your number above is off by four magnitudes.

For starters, I meant to say a 1mA meter (I don't think you, me, or
anyone here has a wattmeter with a 1uA meter -- too bad you coudn't
see my mistake and correct me instead of nitpiking).

Anyway, if you shunt the cap right to ground it will pass 12 mA. If
you have ever seen a schematic for a wattmeter, these caps are NOT
shunted to ground. Why? Because it would pass 0.17 watts, which is
more than 4% of the signal. That's not 'measurement', that's a power
tap! So if they are not shunted to ground, what do they connect to?
Well, usually a fixed resistor somewhere in the neighborhood of 10K,
which by a rough estimate will give you the 1mA you seek. So you are
correct....

BUT WAIT! If your 5pF cap will give you full deflection on the meter,
why bother with the inductive coupling? By golly, some wattmeters
don't! Some wattmeters work via capacitive coupling, not inductive.
Didn't I say that somewhere before?

Also, if you read some of those schematics (try it sometime, you might
learn something) you will see that most of the time when an inductive

coupling is used for the measurement of power, the caps aren't even
connected to the meter's rectifier! Did you ever think that maybe
those caps are just used to compensate for the inductance of the
unshielded section of transmission line used for the pickup wire plus
the inductive load of the coupling? Of coure you didn't, "dumbass".

>[More of Sparky's non-responsive ramblings snipped]
>
>> >For a transmission line with a characteristic impedance of 50 ohms,
>> >the forward current equals the forward voltage divided by 50. This is a
>> >fact. Period. End of story. What do you think characteristic
>> >impedance means anyway?
>>
>> That says nothing about phase, which is the issue YOU raised but are
>> now backpedalling away from.
>
>Sparky, you are delirious. And you didn't answer my question.

Hey, I bet even Rat Shack's dictionary knows this one.

Characteristic impedance:

1. The driving-point impedance of a line if it were of infinite
length. [it doesn't say 'the impedance of the signal at the
driving-point', does it? It's talking about a characteristic of the
transmission line, NOT the signal!]

2. In a delay line, the value of terminating resistance which provides
minimum reflection to the network input and output. [since this is an
instance where the transmission line is not necessarily used as a
transmission line, I'll give you a break here.]

3. The radio of voltage to current at every point along a transmission
line on which there are no standing waves. [Gee! No standing waves!
How about that!]

4. The square root of the product of the open- and short-circuit
impedance of the line. [describing a method to measure the
characteristic impedance of a transmission line, NOT the impedance of
the SIGNAL.]

Now try this, "dumbass". Take a common AC plug and a piece of coax.
Hook up the plug to the coax and terminate the coax with the resistor
of your choice (choose wisely). Now plug it in and measure the
impedance of your new signal at any point along the transmission line.
Does it equal 50 ohms? Not unless your terminating resistor was 50
ohms.

So when was the last time you actually measured the characteristic
impedance of a transmission line, "dumbass"?

>> >Now an example for the slow thinkers out there:
>> >with a 50 volt forward voltage in a coax of 50 ohms characteristic

>> >impedance, there will 1 amp of forward current. That is, 50/50.
>> >
>> >According to Sparky, it would be -1 amps. This claim has no basis
>> >in reality.
>>
>> An obfuscation based on your ignorance of phase relationships. When
>> current and voltage are in phase, current follows voltage. Just like
>> DC. But on a transmission line, we don't talk DC. The voltage
>> alternates between the p-p voltage, the current alternates between p-p
>> current, and the two are not always in phase. In fact, they are 180
>> degrees out of phase for a non-reactive transmission line. Quit trying
>> to confuse the facts.
>
>Talk about confusing the facts! What is a "non-reactive transmission
>line?"

Ohmigosh! Take some time out of your day to look up what it means to
have a 'flat' transmission line. Hint: it has nothing to do with the
physical characteristics.

>The fact of the matter is that forward voltage is ALWAYS IN PHASE with
>the forward current.

Just to clear up any possible misunderstanding, are you saying that
the point of highest potential is also the point of highest current?
And this condition exists along what is effectively a series-network
of pi circuits?

> The only time the current can be out of phase
>with the voltage is when there is a reflected signal summing with
>the forward signal -- and it doesn't take a genius to know that a
>reflected signal does not consist of a forward voltage or current.

Now you are starting to get the hang of it!

>> >> and the phase of the components of the reflected power is shifted from
>> >> that of the forward power according to the reactance of the load. And
>> >> it is the positive or negative phase shift of the current wave along a
>> >> length of the pickup that causes the directional characteristics of
>> >> the induced current in the pickup wire.
>> >
>> >Total bullshit. Try to find one authoritative reference that says
>> >anything close to this. I challenge you to explain this in any
>> >semi-meaningful way.
>>
>> I'm sorry, CLP, but it appears the concept of electromagnetic
>> induction is too fundamental for you to understand. This is really
>> simple: if you have a current going one way in a wire, it will induce
>> a current going the opposite direction in a parallel wire. It's just
>> too simple. The problem you seem to be having is the direction of the
>> original current: and I'm suprised you are having that problem, since
>> that was the whole basis of your argument several months ago.

>
>Sparky, again it is you who does not understand the concepts involved
>here. Sure, it induces an AC signal. The key to the understanding is
>that we are talking about AC. It is an alternating current. There
>is no way to look at this single parameter and determine the direction
>of the signal on your coax. The forward signal induces an alternating
>current and the reflected signal induces an alternating current. They
>look the same.

Oh really? Well, I guess it really doesn't matter which end of that pickup the diode is connected to, since it's just AC, right? And if it's just AC, then the direction really doesn't matter either, does it? After all, the current is alternating, meaning it goes one way then the other, and you can't tell if it's coming from one end of the coax or the other, right? Judas Iscariot Priest....

But that's an interesting point of view, and I'll explain this for other readers as well:

Imagine measuring the instantaneous voltage of house current at any two points along a lamp cord and compare them. At any given time, it looks like DC, doesn't it? Current is going one way in one wire and the other way in the other, and the two measurements show practically no difference.

Now do the same thing with an RF transmission line. Make the two points 1/4 wave apart. At any given point in time, you might have zero volts at one point and max volts at the other, or you might have the same voltage at both points. It doesn't matter either way, because if you don't know which way that wave is going it's all meaningless (it's all "just AC"). You need a way to see which direction that wave is travelling.

How do you do this? It's easier than you think. As an analogy (and I like water analogies when talking electronics), think of being on a small boat on an ocean with big waves. If the bow is higher than the stern when you feel the boat rising on a wave, then you know the wave is coming from the front. OTOH, if you feel the boat rising on a wave but the stern is higher than the bow, you know that the wave is coming from the rear. You can do the same thing in electronics:

First, you add an inductive link, less than 1/4 wave in length, next to the transmission line. Then you measure the induced potential from one end of this pickup wire with reference to the other end AND ALSO with reference to ground. If potential at end A is HIGHER than end B - WHILE THE SUM OF THE POTENTIALS IS RISING - then the signal is moving in direction X; conversely, if the potential at end A is LOWER than end B - again WHILE THE SUM OF THE POTENTIALS IS RISING - then the signal is moving in the OTHER direction.

You don't need pontoons to tell which direction the wave is coming from, and you don't need caps to compliment an inductive link. Like I

said, it's basic electromagnetics, DUMBASS!

>> >A directional power meter will correctly measure power in a given
>> >direction regardless of the load impedance.
>>
>> False. The readings can be distorted by standing waves. The proof
>> resides in the experiment that you refuse to do.

<snip whining and excuses for not doing the experiment>

>[snip for brevity]
>
>> >> The premise IS accurate, as stated above. Additionally, since both the
>> >> forward and reflected powers are propagated at the impedance of the
>> >> transmission line, there is no point in reading both the voltage -and-
>> >> current; that is, unless you were interested in the voltage/current
>> >> phase relationship of the resulting signal on the transmission line.
>> >
>> >This contradicts your earlier statement. You said "voltage and current
>> >of the forward power are directly opposed." This means it is propogated
>> >at the NEGATIVE impedance of the transmission line.
>>
>> It contradicts nothing. The phase relationship of the forward power is
>> only relevant when the the I/E phase of the reflected power is shifted
>> by the reactance of the load.
>
>Hold on here. I'm not letting you off the hook for this inaccuracy
>either. This has NOTHING to do with reflected power.
>
>You said, "forward and reflected powers are propagated at the impedance
>of the transmission line..."
>
>That means Vf = 50 * If
>AND Vf is in phase with If.
>
>But you also said, "voltage and current of the forward power are
>directly opposed."
>This means Vf is out of phase with If.
>
>These two statements are contradictory. You need to explain yourself
>and this obvious contradiction. Where do you get this stuff? ...out
>of your shorts?
>
>I'll give you a hint: one of the two statements IS correct.

You caught me, but for the wrong reason. I should have said that when
the forward power is propogated at the characteristic impedance of the
transmission line, there is no point in measuring both voltage and
current of the signal because only one value is needed to determine
the other. OTOH, the impedances of a standing wave deviate from the
characteristic impedance of the transmission line (-regardless- of the

impedance of the reflected power), and only THEN might someone be
interested in measuring both voltage and current (assuming you really
had the desire or need to do so, which most people don't).

>> >I explained EXACTLY WHY you need to sample BOTH voltage and current but
>> >this eluded you, too. Unlike the forward signal, the reflected voltage
>> >and current are 180 degrees out of phase. Thus, their contribution to
>> >the reading is canceled out. This is how the reading becomes
>> >directional.
>>
>> Since the purpose is to get the lowest SWR possible, a reduction in
>> VSWR is going to coincide with a reduction in ISWR...
>
>So far, so good.
>
>> and consequently a reduction in the I/E phase of the reflected power.
>
>Wrong. E and I of the reflected power always maintain the same phase
>with respect to each other.

Yes, with respect to each other, but not with respect to forward
power. Forward I/E phase is equal to reflected I/E phase only if the
load that causes the reflection is non-reactive. That's rarely the
case.

>If you are referring to the phase of the reflected power with respect
>to the forward power, it is possible to vary the phase of the reflected
>power a full 360 degrees without actually changing the amount of
>reflected power (or the SWR).

I don't think that introducing a purely reactive load is a practical
method of reducing SWR.

>> If, as you insist,
>> the current and voltage are 180 degrees out of phase for the reflected
>> power, but in-phase on the forward power -- and if your power meter
>> requires both voltage and current measurements -- then your whole
>> system of SWR measurement fails in a puff of phase. Do the math and
>> you will see your error.
>
>I did the math and it works. I showed you, dumbass. Show us how the
>math fails. "Do the math" is another fluff response. It is a
>non-answer.

Tell ya what: study my boat analogy for a while, then explain why YOU
think that both voltage and current need to be measured to determine
the direction of power.

>> >> While the o-scope might show a distorted
>> >> waveform, it is still only two sine waves.
>> >
>> >Why would it be distorted? Adding two sine waves of the same

>> >frequency yields a sine wave. It may have a different magnitude
>> >or it may have a different phase but it will be a pure, undistorted
>> >sine wave.
>>
>> Only when they are in phase or 180 degrees out of phase. Adding two
>> sine waves of the same frequency but are phased 0 > r > 180 > r > 360
>> degrees apart does not result in a sine wave.
>
>Sparky, again you are showing your ignorance. This one can be
>easily demonstrated. Just try to add two sine waves at the same
>frequency with ANY phase difference and see what you get.
>
>Go ahead and do it. If you are right, I will kiss your feet.
>If I am right, you must agree that you are a dumbass.

Pucker up, "dumbass" -- I can shift the phase to give the original freq at twice the magnitude, or I can shift it so both signals cancel and I get a flat line, or I can shift it so I get a double-humped sine wave. It was real easy to measure because I have a variable phase-splitter on the bench. It's a nice piece of equipment to have when you work on a lot of professional audio amps. Got a Peavey 1.3K on the bench right now in bridge mode. Ever see what happens to a 2000 watt amp that gets hooked up in bridge mode and one of the driver amps is phase-shifting? You don't 'see' it as much as you 'hear' and 'smell' it.

>> >> But power meters do not
>> >> read instantaneous voltages or currents,
>> >
>> >Wrong! Stop already!
>>
>> What? Are you going to say that power meters can read
>> voltages/currents at 27 million times per second? Yeah, right.
>
>No problem. The voltage signals and current signals are
>summed with passive analog circuitry and then detected with
>a diode.

Now that makes sense... NOT! What the hell are you doing summing measuring power with the sum of the voltage and current when you need the PRODUCT of the voltage and current? And what is your "passive analog circuitry"? The whole wattmeter is "passive analog circuitry", even the diode! You don't even know what you are talking about, "dumbass"!

>> >> they read voltages or
>> >> currents averaged over time.
>> >
>> >You cannot explain how your theory of operation provides a
>> >directional reading. It can't!
>>

>> It does as I explained before. Like it or not. It's called inductive
>> coupling and it's a fact, so deal with it.
>
>You did not explain how inductive coupling provided a directional
>measurement. It is a fact that you did not answer this simple,
>direct question. What's the problem? You can't deal with this
>question.

No problem at all, as you have read above. Although I'm really
disappointed that I had to put it in such a rudimentary explanation,
as I honestly thought you had studied the basics.

Now, back to the subject of this particular paragraph: Do you know how
to connect a resistor, a capacitor and a diode to build an averaging
circuit? Or did you sleep through that class, too?

>> >> And since the interaction between forward
>> >> and reflected power, the standing wave, is a function in the time
>> >> domain,
>> >
>> >Sparky, you are in over your head here. Standing waves are not
>> >a function of time. Check your reference books for an equation
>> >that shows SWR as a function of time. You're not going to find it.
>>
>> I have to hand it to jicon here. He made me verify the source of a
>> standing wave, any point of which is the sum of the algebraic
>> displacements of the individual waves. A standing wave is, by
>> defintion, a fuction of these displacements over time. Go back and
>> review your 1st year physics.
>
>The forward and reflected waves are a function of time but the
>standing wave is not. The standing wave is fixed; it does not move.
>The points of current minimum, current maximum, voltage minimum and
>voltage maximum do not change.

The standing wave is a function 'over' time, not 'of' time. You are
correct, a standing wave does not move; it is exactly what the name
says it is -- a "standing" wave. I did not say it was anything else.

>> >> standing waves will be read concurrently with whatever
>> >> direction of power the meter is reading. If you happen to be reading
>> >> forward or reflected power at a standing wave current node, then your
>> >> readings will probably be accurate because of no standing wave
>> >> interference. But if you are reading power on the leading or trailing
>> >> slope of the antinode, then your power measurements are going to be
>> >> skewed up or down accordingly.
>> >
>> >Standing waves have no effect on the readings. The forward power
>> >is constant all along the transmission line.
>>
>> True. But any standing wave is also constant along the transmission
>> line.

>
>Hold on there!!!! It's another contradiction by Sparky (you have
>so many). You just claimed that standing waves were a function of
>time; now you claim that they are constant. Now, you agree with me.
>Hmmm.

I didn't disagree with you before, you just misunderstood the
difference between a function 'over' time and a funtion 'of' time.
Instead of looking for points to criticize, maybe you should try and
understand what you are reading, "dumbass".

>[snip]
>
>> The standing wave
>> deviates from the characteristic impedance of the transmission line
>> proportional to its magnitude.
>
>???

What's the SWR at 100% reflection? Now, what's the impedance when
voltage is doubled and current is almost nil? You don't see the
relationship there?

>> BTW, did you ever to find a copy of that book I referenced? I remember
>> you xeroxed a couple pages but were unable to quote the first few
>> words from a very important page. If you have the book (like you said
>> you did) do you think you could complete that quotation now?
>
>How soon you forget! I showed how the book DID NOT SAY WHAT YOU
>CLAIMED IT SAID! You lied plain and simple. You have no credibility.

You proved a negative? I don't think so. In fact, I asked you to prove
you had the book and not just a couple xeroxed pages, but you refused.
It was YOU that lied when you said you had the book.

>> >Absolutely not true. Sparky, you don't know jack shit.
>> >PL-259/SO-239 connectors have become the defacto standard over
>> >the years but they are not 50 ohms. Did you ever see a vector
>> >network analyzer (basically an impedance measurement device) that
>> >used these connectors? Never.
>>
>> I didn't say that they weren't 50 ohms, I said that the influence they
>> have over SWR is negligible, which is true. This is because the brief
>> impedance variation they cause is not significant enough to even
>> register on a power meter, even on a Bird. That is true, and you can't
>> prove otherwise because UHF connectors are used everyday in systems
>> that achieve a 1:1 SWR. Kinda blows your connector theory out of the
>> water. In fact it blows your whole excuse for not performing the
>> experiment.
>
>No, it does not "blow my connector theory out of the water." And you
>are ignoring the fact that the connectors are not the only item I

>identified in the system (how convenient!). I also pointed out that
>the coax may not truly be 50 ohms.

How much total variation are we talking here? Is it enough to prevent
a practical 1:1 match? If you think the coax might not be 50 ohms,
measure it. Oh hell, that doesn't even matter anyway, just find a load
that gives you 1:1, then remove it to get some standing waves. You are
just trying to complicate the issue.

>Answer this question: Is it possible to get a 1:1 SWR reading with
>55 ohm coax?
>I think the answer will blow your response out of the water.

Blow this: If you think the coax is going to make a difference,
measure the damn thing, or use a different coax. Better yet, use the
same coax and cut a small chunk off the end, then you don't have to
worry about it. And if you still want a petty reason to avoid the
experiment, try putting up the SWR numbers for a 55 ohm coax in a 50
ohm system. If it's significant, I'll send you a 50 ohm coax as
measured on a recently calibrated impedance bridge.

You have run out of excuses.

<snip more whining and more excuses not to do the experiment>

>> >[snip]
>> >
>> >> When the match is low, the current nodes are
>> >> at 1/4, 3/4, 5/4, etc. wavelengths from the point of mismatch.
>> >
>> >This statement requires that antenna impedance have no reactive
>> >component. There is no guarantee that this will be the case
>>
>> Wrong. My statement is consistant regardless of load reactance. Any
>> reactance of the load will influence the current/voltage phase shift
>> of the reflected power, but will not change the nature of the mismatch
>> (forward power impedance being low or high compared to the load
>> impedance).
>
>Oh, boy! Sparky, you are just plain wrong. And I'm growing weary
>of correcting you. The location of the nodes along a transmission
>line are directly related the phase (i.e. the real to reactive
>relationship) at the point of mismatch.

Time for a quote from the book you lied about:

"Impedance Relationships

You should observe here that the characteristic impedance of the
transmission line cannot be maintained if incident and reflected
signals interact to produce standing waves on the line. You should
observe also that the phase relationships of the incident and
reflected signals are identical at the load and the half-wavelength

points back along the line. So too are the impedances the same at the load and the half-wavelength points along the line. Another relationship you may note is that the Zl/Zo radio of 3:1 yields the maximum voltage and impedance at the half-wavelength points along the line; and that this 3:1 radio is inverted at the odd quarter-wavelength (1/4, 3/4, 5/4, etc.) points along the line, yielding minimum voltages and impedances Zo/Zl of 3:1. Finally, you should note that the Zl/Zo and inverted Zo/Zl relationship is the same ratio as the minimum-to-maximum voltages and currents in the standing-wave pattern along the transmission line."

...whew! Did you get all that?

>> >>It is
>> >> at these points where power measurements are the MOST ACCURATE, not
>> >> the most skewed as I previously stated.
>> >
>> >Not.
>>
>> Now THERE'S a persuasive argument -- "Not".
>
>It is just as persuasive as your statement.

Except that I provided an explanation, and backed it up with a quote. That sure beats your "Not" all to hell.

>> You are ignoring the obvious: the lack of current from the standing
>> wave at the node of the standing wave (a consequent of the time-domain
>> which you deny) will not cause any interference on the power meter's
>> inductive pickup (the function of which you deny). The funny part is
>> that your denial is in partial contradiction to your claim that SWR
>> can be read accurately at any point along the transmission line.
>
>Oh, it does indeed create a problem with the inductive pickup.
>The net current is zero. An inductive pickup detects nothing.
>But you have a problem here, Sparky. My meter still shows forward
>power even at this point where the net current is zero. How is that
>possible? How can a meter that senses current show a reading when
>the current is zero? Hmmm, could it be that the meter is also sensing
>voltage? Could it be that what I say is correct? (should be a
>no-brainer by now)

Again you have confused a funtion 'over' time with a funtion 'of' time. The waves are there, they just cancel 'over' time. If you are reading forward waves, you are not reading the canceling reflected waves, are you? The forward power is still there, and the reflected power is still there. The problem comes when their phase combines to cause... well, for lack of a better term, double-humped waves.

<snip yet more whining and yet more excuses....>

>> Did you miss something really fundamental here? If the characteristic
>> impedance of a transmission line is the signal impedance where the
>> transmission line works to contain the signal, doesn't it stand to
>> reason that if the signal is not that of the characteristic impedance
>> then the transmission line will not contain the signal?
>
>It is not a matter of containment; it is a matter of propagation.

When you are talking coax, characteristic impedance = containment.
That's the whole point of coax.

>The characteristic impedance dictates the voltage to current
>relationship of the signals. Anything different is reflected
>at the interface.

So if I hook house current up at one end, the other end will show zero
voltage and zero current? Even better, if anything other than the
characteristic impedance of the coax is admitted, then how do
transmission line transformers work? You need to do a little bit more
studying on your transmission line theory.

>> After all, how
>> does a transmission line work? Isn't it a distributed balance of
>> inductive and capacitive reactance? What happens when you detune a
>> tank? Doesn't one component dissipate excessive power? Where do you
>> think that power goes? Does it all get converted to heat? Of course
>> not. Some of it is radiated as RF power. Stop being so ignorant.
>
>WTF are you talking about?

Basic transmission line physics. Start with page 501... oops, I
forgot, you lied about having that book. Oh well...

<snip even MORE whining and MORE excuses....>

>> Do
>> the experiment. Actually, I encourage anyone remotely interested in
>> this discussion to do the experiment. See it for yourselves. Then come
>> back here with your explanations and/or excuses.
>
>By the way, I never did get your excuse for totally misrepresenting
>what was in that book that you referenced. You lied about its
>contents to support your claims. I showed this in excruciating
>detail. What's your excuse? Why would anyone need to lie about
>the contents of a reference book?

Why would someone lie about having a book?

I misrepresented nothing. I'm still waiting for proof that you have
the book and then I will prove exactly what I claimed.

So do you still have the book?

386. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 13:18:06 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uib78l978pr5f1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129415

"Tommy Maltinos" <tommy@malt.com> wrote in message
news:yM1V8.552519$%y.36464485@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:ui8mbi18lcipc0@corp.supernews.com...
> > For starters, you shouldn't jump into the middle of a conversation, as
you
> > have no idea what the hell you are talking about. It wasn't my radio,
nor
> > was it my amp. It belonged to my father. Got it now? I didn't use it.
> I
> > didn't even know how to use the amp at the time. Matter of fact, I
didn't
> > even know it was am amp. Was never shown or told anything about it, as
he
> > didn't want me touching it.
> >
> > Some peoples idiots, I tell you!
> >
> > PH
>
> I can jump into the middle of what I want I can read and I can put 2 and 2
> togther, you said
> I said it was "at my disposal when needed", that means to me you could use
> it when every you felt the need]
> now all of a sudden you don't know how to use it, nor did you know it was
an
> amp, if thats the case then how was it at your disposal for use? You need
to
> remember what lies your telling they usually come back to bite you in the
> arse.
>

I'm not going to waist a lot of time trying to explain it to you, as it is
clear that you aren't interested in hearing the truth, only your own fantasy
of what you want to believe is the truth. In essence, what I was saying is
that at the current time, looking back on the way things were then, it was
there "at my disposal", however I wasn't aware of that fact, at THAT TIME,
because I wasn't aware that it was an amp. Had I known it was an amp, it
would certainly have been "at my disposal."

>
>
> owned an amp and then said you never needed one lol
>

You "can read and you can put 2 and 2 together", huh? Obviously not. I said it belonged to my father time and time again, yet you still insist on saying that I owned it... Keep trying to convict an innocent party, and you only make yourself look like a fool...

PH

387. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 13:30:55 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d259e4d.25481520@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129416

In <uib78l978pr5f1@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:

>
>"Tommy Maltinos" <tommy@malt.com> wrote in message
>news:yM1V8.552519$%y.36464485@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>>
>> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
>> news:ui8mbi18lcipc0@corp.supernews.com...
>> > For starters, you shouldn't jump into the middle of a conversation, as
>you
>> > have no idea what the hell you are talking about. It wasn't my radio,
>nor
>> > was it my amp. It belonged to my father. Got it now? I didn't use it.
>> I
>> > didn't even know how to use the amp at the time. Matter of fact, I
>didn't
>> > even know it was am amp. Was never shown or told anything about it, as
>he
>> > didn't want me touching it.
>> >
>> > Some peoples idiots, I tell you!
>> >
>> > PH
>>
>> I can jump into the middle of what I want I can read and I can put 2 and 2
>> togther, you said
>> I said it was "at my disposal when needed", that means to me you could use
>> it when every you felt the need]
>> now all of a sudden you don't know how to use it, nor did you know it was
>an
>> amp, if thats the case then how was it at your disposal for use? You need
>to
>> remember what lies your telling they usually come back to bite you in the
>> arse.
>>
>
>I'm not going to waist a lot of time trying to explain it to you, as it is
>clear that you aren't interested in hearing the truth, only your own fantasy
>of what you want to believe is the truth. In essence, what I was saying is
>that at the current time, looking back on the way things were then, it was
>there "at my disposal", however I wasn't aware of that fact, at THAT TIME,
>because I wasn't aware that it was an amp. Had I known it was an amp, it
>would certainly have been "at my disposal."

>
>>
>>
>> owned an amp and then said you never needed one lol
>>
>
>You "can read and you can put 2 and 2 together", huh? Obviously not. I
>said it belonged to my father time and time again, yet you still insist on
>saying that I owned it... Keep trying to convict an innocent party, and you
>only make yourself look like a fool...
>
>PH

Hey, look what I found:

==========

From: Power House (Power_House@PrivateBox.NET)
Subject: Re: HOW MUCH POWER did you 1st have when starting on CB?
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-02-25 07:23:07 PST

I started out with a radio that put out 2-watts.. My fathers...
Although I
had a Texas Star DX-250 at my disposal when needed... So I guess you
could
say that I started out with probably about 150-watts...

PH

==========

So did you arrive at that 150 watt figure by averaging the amount of
time you used the amp with the amount of time you didn't? Or, if you
didn't use the amp at all, why did you state that you ran "about
150-watts..."? You're just too transparent, Mr. House.

388. Re: Radio Shack ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 13:34:30 UTC
From: Linetest <linetest2@cs.com>
Message-ID: <ag4797bct1@news.duke.edu>
Source record: 129417

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:Og4V8.16304$PN5.447447@news1.telusplanet.net...
> Hi All
>
>
> I have owned many radios over the past 30 years and have found pictures
> of all of them on the net except 1. I can't remember the Radio Shack model
> number but I would know it to see it. It was a 40 Ch SSB mobile with an
all
> black face. It was all push button, (no rotary CH selector). It had 40 Ch
> scan and channel change on the microphone. It only ran for about 1 year in
> 1980 or 1981. It had many problems and was not a good radio. Every time
you
> turned it off it went back to Ch-1 and had a bad frequency drift so I only
> had it for about 2 months and traded it in for an other model. If any of
you
> can remember the radio and come up with a model number it would be
> appreciate. I check this NG regularly so if you could just post it here.
> Thanks
>

Well, I have every catalog going back to 1975 or so. I could probably get
all the info on it, but not this weekend. Send me your email so I can send
it to you, or repost here early next week.
linetest2@cs.com

389. Re: Mic Wireing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 14:00:07 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <HDhV8.1742$7v5.1437@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 129418

Hi there ,ok it wires pin 1 shield pin 2 white pin 3 red pin 4 black blue
put it with shield and yellow don't use.
"T.W." <wells_t@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:nDVU8.277236$6m5.242693@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> Anyone happen to know the color code to wire a d104 hand mic to a
superstar
> 3900.
>
> Thanks in advance
>
> iggy@attbi.com
>
>
>
>

390. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 14:01:46 UTC
From: Tommy Maltinos <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <eFhV8.566977$%y.36884389@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129419

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d259e4d.25481520@news.cet.com...
> >You "can read and you can put 2 and 2 together", huh? Obviously not. I
> >said it belonged to my father time and time again, yet you still insist
on
> >saying that I owned it... Keep trying to convict an innocent party, and
you
> >only make yourself look like a fool...
> >
> >PH
>
> Hey, look what I found:
>
> =================
>
> From: Power House (Power_House@PrivateBox.NET)
> Subject: Re: HOW MUCH POWER did you 1st have when starting on CB?
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Date: 2002-02-25 07:23:07 PST
>
>
> I started out with a radio that put out 2-watts.. My fathers...
> Although I
> had a Texas Star DX-250 at my disposal when needed... So I guess you
> could
> say that I started out with probably about 150-watts...
>
>
>
> PH
>
> =================
>
>
> So did you arrive at that 150 watt figure by averaging the amount of
> time you used the amp with the amount of time you didn't? Or, if you
> didn't use the amp at all, why did you state that you ran "about
> 150-watts..."? You're just too transparent, Mr. House.
>

And twisty wants to blame hams for lying LOL

391. Re: More viruses, and it's getting old.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 14:39:21 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23949-3D25AF99-355@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129420

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <Iq1V8.602249$Oa1.37838860@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>, "Tommy Maltino" <tommy@malt.com> wrote:
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:24686-3D2460F5-21@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net... And you're probably right. I still have email sent by Frank insinuating he was going to hack me/send me viruses. He even bitched about it when he realized I (webtv) was impervious to his impotent ass, claiming "You hide behind what you think is an impentrable wall of ones and zeros. This is my your weakness and my strength." Yea, I vote it came from Frank.

>Twisty you blame kevin and others for lieing<

Oh, yea...it's DEFINITELY Frank.
If those who you refer take issue with being caught in lies....the remedy is quite simple......they should stop lying if they don;t like a light shown on their command performances.

>
  but here your spewing bullshit as usual with no ryhme or reason other than you are enamoured with Frank, Aaron, <

Au contraire. I am merely putting forth what you said.
The meaning is easily interpreted without your late panic and plea-filled excuses..

>
Kevin and the rest and can't get them off of your mind. <

Kevin is slightly ahead of you on the rungs of the ladder of evolution, but is rapidly descending. You may now be permitted to count him as one of your slugs, though. After all, I think he certainly made the cut, don't you......several lies in rapid succession and 17 insults since his original post concerning the enforcement lies. Muenzler now rests comfortably alongside the rest of you lowlives that are afflicted with the strange malady that in order to fool your tiny brains into feeling better about yourselves, you must insult others, usually from the shadows. I expect him to follow suit and resume his alter ego posting as another, as he so demonstrated a fondeness of, on past occasion.

>
Of course he's spewing bullshit -- the line he quoted was in context to his anonymity, it had nothing to do with sending viruses or hacking firewalls. <

.
Sure it didn't. Now reach a bit more while we watch you fritter away attempting to explain what my alleged anonymity has to do with your self-described strengths concerning an impenetrable wall of ones and zeros......the worst venom ever on this board since I have been frequenting it has been traced to Dogie, Frank, and Lelnad. Nothing has changed and it is all there for the pickins' in the archives..from Lelnad's threats of violence (telling me I'm dead, shooting freebanders in the head excites him, etc.) to his threats of having Dogie spam the group anytime he wishes, to Frank's threats of hacking me, posting old satellite pics of my house in a failed but humorous attempt at intimidation, to Dogie's Tourette-induced rants and threats of violence to all who enthusiastically handed him the tools to make an incredible ass out of himself. Yep, I still say it was Frank. If it wasn't, that's what he gets for the redundant bragging and self-stroking of his bruised ego concerning his self-professed prowess as relating to computers, as it means nothing among this group and even less in the overall scheme of things.

>
Randy is the one that has threatened to crash firewalls, and Phil has claimed to be capable of much, much more.<

It's the friendly cber types that are receiving the bullshit. Only the above named have demonstrated obvious anger and hate toward the group on a repeated and regular basis, and the above named have all been exposed and caught playing bullshit games, leaving no doubt as to the lows they have stooped in attempted harassment of members of this board who have the uncanny ability to reduce each of them to fodder with mere words. Phil and Randy appear quite benign next to your spiteful, hateful self.
Yea, It's definitely Frank...he was too quick to reply, and someone else on this group showed how he was answering his own posts through google before the posts would even SHOW on Google..he realized this, albeit a bit too late, and slung a buncha shit about his time being off on his pc. More lies from the source.
Also, the techs he created problems with have all shown errors in his radio related knowledge he laughingly thought was untouchable. They were instrumental in revealing Sparky is full of shit and it was the last straw he could take. It's Frank, alright.

392. Re: FCC jihad and unintended consiquences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 14:43:45 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BgiV8.34491$eF5.1256727@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129421

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207041451.6c4458a0@posting.google.com...
> "Richard McCollum" rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net wrote:
> >
> >The song of the Temperance Union
> >
> >
> >Finally figured out what the AKCs remind me of.
>
> That's right Dick, ignore the illegal-CB trolls (who started this
> thread) and instead spread disinformation that pro-legals are prudes.
> Well, at least you admit to taking sides.
>
> -A

Aaron, don't forget, he killfiled you.

393. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 14:47:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23950-3D25B18E-124@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129425

Georgie wrote:
>And twisty wants to blame hams for lying LOL<

Has nothing to do with hammies. The fact that Muenzler is a piss poor example of an amateur operator and must further blacken the eye of the service with his lies and self-decribed policing activities is irrelevant to the lies.
Your false innuendo illustrates your own prejudices magnificently.

394. Re: Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 14:49:45 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D25B209.8A27F446@qnet.com>
Source record: 129422

Computer 800 Dollars
Display 500 Dollars
Bitchen Sound Board and speakers, 200 Dollars.
Internet connection, 21.95 per moth.
Computer settin chair, from dump 20 Dollars, Recovered and padded 100
Dollars.

Use Net Entertainment..... Priceless

Jay in the Mojave

395. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 14:51:47 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <7oiV8.1294$ur3.146191486@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129423

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d259e4d.25481520@news.cet.com...
> In <uib78l978pr5f1@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
> <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
> >> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> >> news:ui8mbi18lcipc0@corp.supernews.com...
>
> Hey, look what I found:
>
> =================
>
> From: Power House (Power_House@PrivateBox.NET)
> Subject: Re: HOW MUCH POWER did you 1st have when starting on CB?
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Date: 2002-02-25 07:23:07 PST
>
>
> I started out with a radio that put out 2-watts.. My fathers...
> Although I
> had a Texas Star DX-250 at my disposal when needed... So I guess you
> could
> say that I started out with probably about 150-watts...
>
>
>
> PH
>
> =================
>
>
> So did you arrive at that 150 watt figure by averaging the amount of
> time you used the amp with the amount of time you didn't? Or, if you
> didn't use the amp at all, why did you state that you ran "about
> 150-watts..."? You're just too transparent, Mr. House.
>

Frank, even you as an amp builder knows that a Texas
Star DX250 will do about 150 watts. He still clearly states
that it was at his disposal, so he hasn't lied about anything.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

396. Re: More viruses, and it's getting old.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 15:03:30 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <6ziV8.44308$p85.1276718@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129424

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:23949-3D25AF99-355@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <Iq1V8.602249$Oa1.37838860@bin8.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>, "Tommy
Maltino" <tommy@malt.com> wrote:
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24686-3D2460F5-21@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net... And
you're probably right. I still have email sent by Frank insinuating he
was going to hack me/send me viruses. He even bitched about it when he
realized I (webtv) was impervious to his impotent ass, claiming "You
hide behind what you think is an impentrable wall of ones and zeros.
This is my your weakness and my strength." Yea, I vote it came from
Frank.

>Twisty you blame kevin and others for lieing<

Oh, yea...it's DEFINITELY Frank.
If those who you refer take issue with being caught in lies....the
remedy is quite simple......they should stop lying if they don;t like a
light shown on their command performances.

>
but here your spewing bullshit as usual with no ryhme or reason
other than you are enamoured with Frank, Aaron, <

Au contraire. I am merely putting forth what you said.
The meaning is easily interpreted without your late panic and
plea-filled excuses..

>
Kevin and the rest and can't get them off of your mind. <

Kevin is slightly ahead of you on the rungs of the ladder of evolution,
but is rapidly descending. You may now be permitted to count him as one
of your slugs, though. After all, I think he certainly made the cut,
don't you......several lies in rapid succession and 17 insults since his
original post concerning the enforcement lies. Muenzler now rests
comfortably alongside the rest of you lowlives that are afflicted with
the strange malady that in order to fool your tiny brains into feeling
better about yourselves, you must insult others, usually from the
shadows. I expect him to follow suit and resume his alter ego posting as
another, as he so demonstrated a fondeness of, on past occasion.

>
Of course he's spewing bullshit -- the line he quoted was in context to
his anonymity, it had nothing to do with sending viruses or hacking

firewalls. <

.
Sure it didn't. Now reach a bit more while we watch you fritter away attempting to explain what my alleged anonymity has to do with your self-described strengths concerning an impenetrable wall of ones and zeros......the worst venom ever on this board since I have been frequenting it has been traced to Dogie, Frank, and Lelnad. Nothing has changed and it is all there for the pickins' in the archives..from Lelnad's threats of violence (telling me I'm dead, shooting freebanders in the head excites him, etc.) to his threats of having Dogie spam the group anytime he wishes, to Frank's threats of hacking me, posting old satellite pics of my house in a failed but humorous attempt at intimidation, to Dogie's Tourette-induced rants and threats of violence to all who enthusiastically handed him the tools to make an incredible ass out of himself. Yep, I still say it was Frank. If it wasn't, that's what he gets for the redundant bragging and self-stroking of his bruised ego concerning his self-professed prowess as relating to computers, as it means nothing among this group and even less in the overall scheme of things.

>
Randy is the one that has threatened to crash firewalls, and Phil has claimed to be capable of much, much more.<

It's the friendly cber types that are receiving the bullshit. Only the above named have demonstrated obvious anger and hate toward the group on a repeated and regular basis, and the above named have all been exposed and caught playing bullshit games, leaving no doubt as to the lows they have stooped in attempted harassment of members of this board who have the uncanny ability to reduce each of them to fodder with mere words. Phil and Randy appear quite benign next to your spiteful, hateful self. Yea, It's definitely Frank...he was too quick to reply, and someone else on this group showed how he was answering his own posts through google before the posts would even SHOW on Google..he realized this, albeit a bit too late, and slung a buncha shit about his time being off on his pc.

Oh yeah, you mean when he could spend hours posting to the group, but was too busy to change the dying battery in his PC? And I'm sure he had plenty of time to keep resetting the bios as well, but was still too busy to change the battery! Hell, I guess with all that reconfiguring the bios every time he started his PC, he may have been really busy. Makes perfect sense.

With the untouchable integrity he his so proud of, who would even *think* he would stoop to changing the time intentionally? LMAO!!!!!!!

More lies from the source.
Also, the techs he created problems with have all shown errors in his radio related knowledge he laughingly thought was untouchable. They were instrumental in revealing Sparky is full of shit and it was the last straw he could take. It's Frank, alright.

397. I've been forged!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 15:35:36 UTC
From: coaxlengthpolice@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705113536.27754.00003387@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 129426

I've Been Forged!!!!

398. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 15:44:44 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d25bd39$0$13949$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129427

Yeah, right....turn the tables to avoid having to prove your accusations. I don't have to provide proof of my claims. I made statements, you called them lies, prove they are lies. That's all I ask. Since you are unwilling to even do this then I guess the conversation is over. But in the interest of fairness, to a point, here are four callsigns who are no longer allocated because of convictions and/or license revocations in the past two years.

K5UJA, WA5NOP, KC7HPA, N5NLQ

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:24685-3D245F3C-88@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Muenzler, onc again continued his charades with:
> >
> You sure are good at making accusations. Where's the proof? Put up or
> shut up. I'm beginning to wonder if you and Moparaholic aren't the same
> person. Your personalities sure match pretty closely. Childish. Kevin,
> WB5RUE<
>
>
>
> Umm,,Muenzler, YOU made the claims that can't be substantiated. YOU made
> the accusations that you were popping radio violators. I merely claimed
> it not true. I didn't insult you, didn't call you a name, merely asked
> for you to substantiate your claims, which you can not do. You have been
> unable to do so and have been directing your anger toward members of
> this board. Just what we expect from a malcontent, you are making the
> topic personal, effectively sharing your lack of communication skills,
> and you are unwilling, probably unable to see yourself as the masses do.
> You made the claim, the burden of proof is on you. As you so crudely and
> rudely suggested, "Put up, or shut up." Take your own advice and stop
> acting like a spoiled child caught in a lie. Your tail was clipped, you
> can either live with it and move on, or simply dwell on it and continue
> to insult all those who may disagree with you. You have become very
> adept at the latter, solidifying your position quite nicely.
>

399. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 15:51:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14307-3D25C092-56@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129428

Subject: Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...
From: wb5rue@qsl.net (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
>Be careful Adam! If you agree with me, thus disagreeing with Mr. Twisty, you will be called a liar even though there isn't any evidence. <

He didn't tell a lie, Muenzler, he simply chose to believe yours. That doesn't make him a liar, merely a newbie unfamiliar with your bullshit.

>Apparently all it takes to be branded a liar by Twisty and Mopar is to disagree with them. <

Lies come quite easily to you now, Muenzler.
I disagree with many, you don't see me branding them "liars" unless the shoe fits, and right now, you have a mammoth sized-blister.
No, this is about only you and your lies, Muenzler. You looking to a newbie for a lifeline merely confirms your bullshit.

>Again, I wonder if they aren't the same person. 19 inches of rain here since July 1, I think our drought is over. Kevin, WB5RUE<

And once again, your tail has been caught in the door. There is no record of special gag orders being issued anywhere in the entire country, let alone Texas, concerning cb or amateur radio related enforcement. You're a liar, Muenzler, and you need to get past it.

400. Walkie Talkie Schematics please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 16:25:42 UTC
From: Thermionic <Thermionic@lasthouseontheleft.com>
Message-ID: <aMjV8.248531$R61.93119@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 129429

Anyone know where I can find schematics for old walkie talkies? In particular the Archer Space Patrol and the GE Recon-1 models?
Thanks much.....T

401. Re: More viruses, and it's getting old.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 16:42:13 UTC
From: Tommy Maltinos <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <F%jV8.283840$_j6.14003190@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129430

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:23949-3D25AF99-355@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...

Oh, yea...it's DEFINITELY Frank.
If those who you refer take issue with being caught in lies....the
remedy is quite simple......they should stop lying if they don;t like a
light shown on their command performances.

Really Twisty it is definatly Frank huh, LOL, funny mr ostrowski wrote the
below post about a month or so ago look at the addresses.
It gets better Twitsy the cv3.keyway address belongs to Charlie 3 the big
CB distributor in California , and if you read what Brainbuster said :
One can see real easy Mr. ostrowski is probably in his address book and
the email is being sent out randomly to people in charlie 3's address book.
Sorry to blow your whole Frank theory twistedstoryhed. The facts are what
they are even you cannot change that fact.

Date: 2002-05-12 13:30:31 PST

Dennis W. Ostrowski <dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote in message
news:3CDA9974.B53C47FD@lucent.com...
In the last 2 weeks I have received over 30 e-mails containing this
virus:

> WORM_KLEZ.G

> The most frequent sources being:
> ogp@texoma.net
> cv3@keyway.net
> hacogroup@earthlink.net

Funny thing is Twitsy the cv3.keyway address belongs to Charlie 3 the big
CB distributor in California , and if you read what Brainbuster said :
One can see real easy Mr. ostrowski is in there address book and the email
is being sent out randomly to people in charlie 3's address book.

From: Brainbuster (brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net)
Subject: Re: WORM_KLEZ.G Virus
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb:

Hi Dennis.

First of all, the virus sends itself... the person is not to blame and may
not even realise.
Secondly, that type of virus is known for "spoofing" addresses. The virus
takes an address from the infected system address book and places it in the
header.

So tracking the actual infected system is not so easy... check the IP number and see which ISP is being used, that may help.

Brainbuster.

402. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 16:47:09 UTC
From: Tommy Maltinos <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <h4kV8.45288$vq.1995961@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129431

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:23950-3D25B18E-124@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...

> Has nothing to do with hammies. The fact that Muenzler is a piss poor
> example of an amateur operator and must further blacken the eye of the
> service with his lies and self-decribed policing activities is
> irrelevant to the lies.
> Your false innuendo illustrates your own prejudices magnificently.

How is he a piss poor example ? you re the classic example of a delusional
Cber who has lost his marbles
Your constant blaming saying everyone else is lying is a classic example of
the fruedian slip. You lie for a living, and
most conclude everyone else does. Well Twisty, kevin is
a fine example of a ham he has had a hand in 27 bust and i thank him for
helping to clear the airwave.
I don't see where Kevin would have to lie about anything the truth hurts
andthats why you want to call him a liar.
If you can't believe it well it must be a lie huh Twitsy?

403. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 16:49:21 UTC
From: Tommy Maltinos <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <l6kV8.45345$vq.1996845@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129432

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:3d25bd39$0$13949$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> Yeah, right....turn the tables to avoid having to prove your accusations.
I
> don't have to provide proof of my claims. I made statements, you called
> them lies, prove they are lies. That's all I ask. Since you are
unwilling
> to even do this then I guess the conversation is over. But in the
> interest of fairness, to a point, here are four callsigns who are no
longer
> allocated because of convictions and/or license revocations in the past
two
> years.
>
> K5UJA, WA5NOP, KC7HPA, N5NLQ
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE

Kevin, your point has been well made, he can't prove anything about any that
he claims
So if he can't prove it , it must be a lie. LOL

404. Re: I've been forged!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 16:50:47 UTC
From: Tommy Maltinos <tommy@malt.com>
Message-ID: <G7kV8.568815$%y.36979534@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129433

"CoaxLengthPolice" <coaxlengthpolice@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020705113536.27754.00003387@mb-fo.aol.com...
> I've Been Forged!!!!

Nice try asswipe

405. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 18:03:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24686-3D25DF55-37@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129434

From: wb5rue@qsl.net (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
>Yeah, right....turn the tables to avoid having to prove your
accusations. <

Ummm, the accusations were yours Muenzler, I merely asked you to back
them up and you went to pieces and began acting out.

>
I don't have to provide proof of my claims. <

Of course you don't....unless you want to be believed.

>
I made statements, you called them lies, prove they are lies. That's all
I ask.<

That's pretty funny. The burden of PROVING anything lays with you. YOU
made the claims, and were caught up in the lies concerning "gag orders"
that were never issued, you then back-pedaled fiercely claiming they
were not gag orders at all, but mere requests. The requests are part of
the public record... transcripts as well as case numbers, court jacket
info., judges name, prosecutorial teams name, etc., etc., are all there
for the taking and you have failed to produce ANYTHING of substance at
all, except to insult and cry "PROVE I'm lying." I already did, only you
don't have the intellect to realize it.

> Since you are unwilling to even do this then I guess the conversation
is over.   <

It was over the first time you said "Prove I'm lying."..

>
But in the interest of fairness, to a point, here are four callsigns who
are no longer allocated because of convictions and/or license
revocations in the past two years.
K5UJA, WA5NOP, KC7HPA, N5NLQ <

And these mean absolutely nothing in the context of your lies.

>Kevin, WB5RUE<

406. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 18:07:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24684-3D25E045-363@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129435

From: tommy@malt.com (Tommy Maltinos)
"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:3d25bd39$0$13949$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
>Yeah, right....turn the tables to avoid having to prove your
accusations. I don't have to provide proof of my claims. I made
statements, you called them lies, prove they are lies. That's all I ask.
Since you are unwilling to even do this then I guess the conversation is
over. But in the interest of fairness, to a point, here are four
callsigns who are no longer allocated because of convictions and/or
license revocations in the past two years.
K5UJA, WA5NOP, KC7HPA, N5NLQ
Kevin, WB5RUE <

>>Kevin, your point has been well made, <<

It cetainly has, and it's one of a habitual liar.

>
he can't prove anything about any that he claims<

Nope, he sure can't..and that's all Muenzler was asked to do. Look what
it did to him. He now must look to you and the other kennel dwellers for
support.

>So if he can't prove it , it must be a lie. LOL<

Exactly.

407. Re: I've been forged!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 18:37:42 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <WHlV8.79528$UT.5406022@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129436

"Tommy Maltinos" <tommy@malt.com> wrote in message
news:G7kV8.568815$%y.36979534@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "CoaxLengthPolice" <coaxlengthpolice@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020705113536.27754.00003387@mb-fo.aol.com...
> > I've Been Forged!!!!
>
> Nice try asswipe
>
>

And one more potty-mouth goes to Bozo*Flush

408. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 18:40:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3084-3D25E831-239@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129441

Georgie wroted:
>How is he a piss poor example ? <

To begin with, he just recently learned, after much input from others that you both consider rational folks, that not all radio rule breakers are criminals. It took over a year for him to finally accept this. Or the lies he put forth as nothing more than an intimidation factor for his own personal agenda, to lend a false credibility to the myth that cbers are under the gun. Or the imposing of his morals on others. Or his admitted reluctance and dislike toward change. Or his biased actions and angry outbursts. If merely asking one to back up his claims results in the behavior that Kevin exhibited, this is one person that should not be in a positon of authority, no matter how small and trifling. The reasons are mulit-fold, the most obvious being his irrational directives dictated by his personal emotive responses. He has taken an issue and made it personal, attacking the inquirers instead of strengthening and re-enforcing his position. He has demonstrated he has not the know-how to not let his personal feelings cloud his judgement. It is no stretch to hypothesize this Muenzler character would not hesitate to abuse authority given him. I could be incorrect about that last statement, but based on his replies, I think not too many would agree with him being in a position that has a huge margin for abuse and personal redress, based on what he has allowed.

>you re the classic example <

Ahh, there you err.
I am no example, never claimed to be. I am a cber and freebander.
But Muenzler, a ticket holder, claims to be this enforcement dude who demands respect by virtue of his license...I need not elaborate on the ludicrousness of that position, as it is probably the single most greatest achievment he ever made...again, this is based on his stated token esteem he feels is deserving simply by way of licensure.

>of a delusional Cber who has lost his marbles
Your constant blaming saying everyone else is lying is a classic example of the fruedian slip. <

Only it's not everyone else. It's the same tired old dogs that have been getting de-wormed on a regular basis.

>
You lie for a living, and
most conclude everyone else does. <

Cite a single instance. Lelnad tried for years in the archives, realized there was nothing to be found, and took to forging me and posting his own forges. You ain't that pathetic yet, George, but I' m certain you have your capabilities.

>Well
Twisty, kevin is a fine example of a ham he has had a hand in 27 bust and i thank him for helping to clear the airwave. <

Thank him all you want. It doesn't make his lies come to life.

> I don't see where Kevin would have to lie about anything <

I didn't either, but Sparky hit the nail on the head when he said they post in hopes that cbers will fear retaliation,,,even if that retaliation is false or nothing more than propaganda.

>the truth hurts andthats why you want to call him a liar. <

Not even close. I called him a liar because he chose to escalate his original lies with more lies. Like a child that doesn't know when to stop. I didn;'t call him a liar until he went overboard with the "gag order" bullshit. I do agree, the truth does hurt,....look at his ignorant insultive, responses.

>If you can't believe it well it must be a lie huh Twitsy?<

Has nothing to do with what I believe,,,again,,it's not personal, but neither of you appear to be able to distinguish the difference, based on your personal attacks.
It's a lie because (among other things) the cases are NOT in the Texas court system, as he claimed.

409. Re: More viruses, and it's getting old.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 18:42:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3085-3D25E8AA-91@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129440

rec.radio.cb Date: Fri, Jul 5, 2002, 4:42pm (EDT+4) From: tommy@malt.com (Tommy Maltinos)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:23949-3D25AF99-355@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Oh, yea...it's DEFINITELY Frank.
If those who you refer take issue with being caught in lies....the remedy is quite simple......they should stop lying if they don;t like a light shown on their command performances.

>Really Twisty it is definatly Frank huh, LOL, funny mr ostrowski wrote the below post about a month or so ago look at the addresses. It gets better Twitsy the cv3.keyway address belongs to Charlie 3 the big CB distributor in California , and if you read what Brainbuster said : One can see real easy Mr. ostrowski is probably in his address book and the email is being sent out randomly to people in charlie 3's address book. Sorry to blow your whole Frank theory twistedstoryhed. The facts are what they are even you cannot change that fact.
Date: 2002-05-12 13:30:31 PST<

Hey, I said there exists the remote possibility it isn't Frank,,but if I was a wagering man, I'd still say it was.

410. Re: I've been forged!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 18:48:34 UTC
From: coaxlengthpolice@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705144834.23790.00003000@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 129437

>Subject: Re: I've been forged!
>From: "Richard McCollum" rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net
>Date: 7/5/2002 1:37 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <WHlV8.79528$UT.5406022@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
>
>
>"Tommy Maltinos" <tommy@malt.com> wrote in message
>news:G7kV8.568815$%y.36979534@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>>
>> "CoaxLengthPolice" <coaxlengthpolice@aol.com> wrote in message
>> news:20020705113536.27754.00003387@mb-fo.aol.com...
>> > I've Been Forged!!!!
>>
>> Nice try asswipe
>>
>>
>
>And one more potty-mouth goes to Bozo*Flush
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Now he's gotta change nics again! LOL!!!

411. Re: I've been forged!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 18:54:37 UTC
From: LegalCB <legalcb@foo.com>
Message-ID: <NXlV8.570225$%y.37061443@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129438

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:WHlV8.79528$UT.5406022@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

> > Nice try asswipe

> And one more potty-mouth goes to Bozo*Flush

Oh dickhead your such a saint.

412. Re: I've been forged!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 19:00:55 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <H1mV8.79571$UT.5407819@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129439

"LegalCB" <legalcb@foo.com> wrote in message
news:NXlV8.570225$%y.37061443@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:WHlV8.79528$UT.5406022@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> > > Nice try asswipe
>
> > And one more potty-mouth goes to Bozo*Flush
>
> Oh dickhead your such a saint.
>

Properly stated: Oh, Dickhead, you're such a saint.

My congratulations, you are the 3,112th original contributor, this year only, of that bit of wisdom. And when you are referring to MY dickhead, caps are in order.

The Velvet Codpiece. Tell no little lies

>

413. Re: OOOOPS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 19:55:04 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705155504.05912.00002628@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 129442

No, it was a(: no life or low life techy:) ham that turn him in.

414. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 20:35:15 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uic0scn89s0j01@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129443

>
> Hey, look what I found:
>
> =================
>
> From: Power House (Power_House@PrivateBox.NET)
> Subject: Re: HOW MUCH POWER did you 1st have when starting on CB?
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Date: 2002-02-25 07:23:07 PST
>
>
> I started out with a radio that put out 2-watts.. My fathers...
> Although I
> had a Texas Star DX-250 at my disposal when needed... So I guess you
> could
> say that I started out with probably about 150-watts...
>
>
>
> PH
>
> =================
>
>
> So did you arrive at that 150 watt figure by averaging the amount of
> time you used the amp with the amount of time you didn't? Or, if you
> didn't use the amp at all, why did you state that you ran "about
> 150-watts..."? You're just too transparent, Mr. House.
>

Your quoting shit that I never said... Did I say ANYWHERE in that quote of your that I "RAN" any power, let alone 150-watts?? NO! I said that I ran "2-watts" ("...radio that put out 2-watts"). Being there was the amp there, a Texas Star 250, now that I know more about the equipment that was there at the time, I'd approximate a possible output of about 150-watts had it been used; that is where I came up with my figures. I know this NOW, now that I know more about the equipment that was around at the time. At the time I didn't know a lot about radio equipment, and knew nothing about linears.

I give up, you people are idiots that read between the lines too much... No point in discussing a subject that you refuse to even listen to...

Read between the lines a little more, see what other Bull shit you can invent...

PH

415. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 20:40:54 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uic16unjtcsq9f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129445

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:7oiV8.1294$ur3.146191486@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d259e4d.25481520@news.cet.com...
> > In <uib78l978pr5f1@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
> >
> >
> > So did you arrive at that 150 watt figure by averaging the amount of
> > time you used the amp with the amount of time you didn't? Or, if you
> > didn't use the amp at all, why did you state that you ran "about
> > 150-watts..."? You're just too transparent, Mr. House.
> >
>
> Frank, even you as an amp builder knows that a Texas
> Star DX250 will do about 150 watts. He still clearly states
> that it was at his disposal, so he hasn't lied about anything.
>
> Landshark
>

At least someone here realizes what the hell I'm talking about, instead of
reading between the lines.

I realize, now, that it was at my disposal, however at the time I did not
know what it was, or what it did... Plain and simple. Had I know what it
was, would I have used it? Probably. I was young and more reckless.
Everyone went through that reckless stage in their life. If anybody denies
it, they are a flat out liar...

PH

416. Re: I've been forged!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 20:43:04 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D2604D8.8B4AC0A1@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 129444

1) It didn't take long for a new NIC.

Richard McCollum wrote:
> And when you are referring to MY dickhead,
> caps are in order.

2) That's MISTER Dickhead to you.

3) Ya' all enjoy your weekend.

417. Re: Walkie Talkie Schematics please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 20:43:51 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uic1crk826sgec@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129446

I can't help you, but if you don't get a response here, try:
alt.binaries.schematics.electronic

PH

"Thermionic" <Thermionic@lasthouseontheleft.com> wrote in message
news:aMjV8.248531$R61.93119@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> Anyone know where I can find schematics for old walkie talkies? In
> particular the Archer Space Patrol and the GE Recon-1 models?
> Thanks much.....T
>
>

418. Re: Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 20:47:59 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uic1f7bffn340e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129447

ROTFLMAO!!!!

Excellent input, Jay!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3D25B209.8A27F446@qnet.com...
> Computer 800 Dollars
> Display 500 Dollars
> Bitchen Sound Board and speakers, 200 Dollars.
> Internet connection, 21.95 per moth.
> Computer settin chair, from dump 20 Dollars, Recovered and padded 100
> Dollars.
>
>
> Use Net Entertainment.......... Priceless
>
> Jay in the Mojave

419. FS: Galaxy DX99v $300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 21:27:22 UTC
From: Richard Tyszka <rtyszka@cox.net>
Message-ID: <_aoV8.29210$%%2.979440@news2.east.cox.net>
Source record: 129448

I have a DX99V with original box and packing material, Galaxy mic, manual, bracket, Cig lighter power cord, etc. Also includes Astatic noise canceling mic. This rig is very loud and clear, was just checked out by local radio shop and dc power plug was replaced (said these are common on Galaxy) radio was tuned and is ready to go. It was only used indoors as base.

Richard in Wethersfield, CT

420. Re: More viruses, and it's getting old.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 21:30:26 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uic3up5stpd9d1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129449

Yeah, but the dog pulled this trick on me 3 times. The first two, it recovered fine - on the last, I would have had to use the command interface - no problem, except try and find a book with all the commands! Remember the old DOS manuals? A good two inches thick with all the commands and examples. The new stuff just shows you how to point and click. No one makes decent manuals anymore - just use the help files (this was cute learning Visual Basic with the help files, but at least I can produce usable code now). Then again, maybe the darn dog just doesn't like hammies! ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - am checking out BSD now on the web. Thanks.
"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message news:3D2468F3.9679B5F9@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> >
> > It happens. A close friend of mine sent me an attachment yesterday that was
> > infected. Nothing like a good virus checker. Now, I've just got to get my
> > Linux back up and running (darn dog pulled the power plug on me and
> > scrambled it).
>
>
> Gee, my FreeBSD machine just bounces right back after a power failure.
>
> http://www.freebsd.org

421. Re: Radio Shack ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 22:26:46 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <ag58jnntr1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 129451

I'd have to look through all my manuals, but I seem to recall working on one
of those. Looked better than it worked!
But then you can't judge a book by it's cover.......

Lou/Tech@DP.NET

"Linetest" <linetest2@cs.com> wrote in message
news:ag4797bct1@news.duke.edu...
>
> "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
> news:Og4V8.16304$PN5.447447@news1.telusplanet.net...
> > Hi All
> >
> >
> > I have owned many radios over the past 30 years and have found
pictures
> > of all of them on the net except 1. I can't remember the Radio Shack
model
> > number but I would know it to see it. It was a 40 Ch SSB mobile with an
> all
> > black face. It was all push button, (no rotary CH selector). It had 40
Ch
> > scan and channel change on the microphone. It only ran for about 1 year
in
> > 1980 or 1981. It had many problems and was not a good radio. Every time
> you
> > turned it off it went back to Ch-1 and had a bad frequency drift so I
only
> > had it for about 2 months and traded it in for an other model. If any of
> you
> > can remember the radio and come up with a model number it would be
> > appreciate. I check this NG regularly so if you could just post it here.
> > Thanks
> >
>
> Well, I have every catalog going back to 1975 or so. I could probably get
> all the info on it, but not this weekend. Send me your email so I can send
> it to you, or repost here early next week.
> linetest2@cs.com
>
>

422. Re: This sick puppy has to be a Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 22:28:00 UTC
From: bendowersagain@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705182800.16739.00002900@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 129450

This sick puppy has to be a Ham.

http://www.killingthejoy.com/

423. Re: Radio Shack ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 23:15:32 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <oMpV8.28831$YC4.486028@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 129452

You are right it looked better then it worked but it is the only one that I haven't been able to find on the net. I had 2 brand new ones in 2 months and both were returned to radio shack for refunds because they did not work from day 1. I do remember the 40 Ch. scan and the push button channel selector also the ch changer buttons on the mic.
"Lou" <tech@dp.net> wrote in message news:ag58jnntr1@news.dp.net...
> I'd have to look through all my manuals, but I seem to recall working on one
> of those. Looked better than it worked!
> But then you can't judge a book by it's cover.......
>
> Lou/Tech@DP.NET
>
>
> "Linetest" <linetest2@cs.com> wrote in message
> news:ag4797bct1@news.duke.edu...
> >
> > "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
> > news:Og4V8.16304$PN5.447447@news1.telusplanet.net...
> > > Hi All
> > >
> > >
> > > I have owned many radios over the past 30 years and have found
> pictures
> > > of all of them on the net except 1. I can't remember the Radio Shack
> model
> > > number but I would know it to see it. It was a 40 Ch SSB mobile with an
> > all
> > > black face. It was all push button, (no rotary CH selector). It had 40
> Ch
> > > scan and channel change on the microphone. It only ran for about 1 year
> in
> > > 1980 or 1981. It had many problems and was not a good radio. Every time
> > you
> > > turned it off it went back to Ch-1 and had a bad frequency drift so I
> only
> > > had it for about 2 months and traded it in for an other model. If any of
> > you
> > > can remember the radio and come up with a model number it would be
> > > appreciate. I check this NG regularly so if you could just post it

here.
> > > Thanks
> > >
> >
> > Well, I have every catalog going back to 1975 or so. I could probably
get
> > all the info on it, but not this weekend. Send me your email so I can
send
> > it to you, or repost here early next week.
> > linetest2@cs.com
> >
> >
>
>

424. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 23:16:18 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d262638.319553@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129453

In <uic0scn89s0j01@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:

>
>>
>> Hey, look what I found:
>>
>> =================
>>
>> From: Power House (Power_House@PrivateBox.NET)
>> Subject: Re: HOW MUCH POWER did you 1st have when starting on CB?
>> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>> Date: 2002-02-25 07:23:07 PST
>>
>>
>> I started out with a radio that put out 2-watts.. My fathers...
>> Although I
>> had a Texas Star DX-250 at my disposal when needed... So I guess you
>> could
>> say that I started out with probably about 150-watts...
>>
>>
>>
>> PH
>>
>> =================
>>
>>
>> So did you arrive at that 150 watt figure by averaging the amount of
>> time you used the amp with the amount of time you didn't? Or, if you
>> didn't use the amp at all, why did you state that you ran "about
>> 150-watts..."? You're just too transparent, Mr. House.
>>
>
>Your quoting shit that I never said...

Was there another Power House in this newsgroup?

> Did I say ANYWHERE in that quote of
>your that I "RAN" any power, let alone 150-watts?? NO! I said that I ran
>"2-watts" ("...radio that put out 2-watts").

No you didn't. You said you "started out with ... 2-watts" then after
you mentioned the amp you amended your claim to say you "started out
with ... 150-watts". You used the same wording for both, so why should

anyone believe one but not the other?

> Being there was the amp there,
>a Texas Star 250, now that I know more about the equipment that was there at
>the time, I'd approximate a possible output of about 150-watts had it been
>used; that is where I came up with my figures. I know this NOW, now that I
>know more about the equipment that was around at the time. At the time I
>didn't know a lot about radio equipment, and knew nothing about linears.

...uh huh. right. sure.

>I give up, you people are idiots that read between the lines too much... No
>point in discussing a subject that you refuse to even listen to...

Actually, I don't think I'm reading between the lines at all. In fact
I took your post quite literally.

>Read between the lines a little more, see what other Bull shit you can
>invent...

Hey bud, I didn't claim to have "started out with ... 150-watts", you
did. That's not an invention, that's a quote!

425. Re: Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-05 23:30:57 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d262c1a.1825981@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129454

In <3D25B209.8A27F446@qnet.com>, Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
wrote:

>Computer 800 Dollars
>Display 500 Dollars
>Bitchen Sound Board and speakers, 200 Dollars.
>Internet connection, 21.95 per moth.
>Computer settin chair, from dump 20 Dollars, Recovered and padded 100
>Dollars.
>
>
>Use Net Entertainment.......... Priceless
>
>Jay in the Mojave

I'm glad you liked it. What did you think of the boat analogy?

426. Re: FCC jihad and unintended consiquences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 00:11:10 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <r6dciusivtbhni5cc11s8ojgm8f7igtmff@4ax.com>
Source record: 129456

On Thu, 4 Jul 2002 17:45:02 -0400, "Lou" <tech@dp.net> wrote:

>Well, let me tell you.......
>
>A person who is the President of an organization near me recently posted a
>sign. On that sign, were at least a half dozen misspelled words. What is
>this guy's background? TEACHER!!!!! A few who read the sign and knew his
>background shook their heads in disbelief - asking how he could have been in
>that position.
>
>Another teacher I know, believe it or not, didn't know how to....hang on to
>your chairs... address an envelope!
>
>Now I am NOT saying any or all teachers are bad... But you would think
>teacher #1 would have edited that sign before posting. It sure didn't make
>him look too worthy of his "educational" background. As for #2? Bankrupt in
>common sense?
>
>As advanced as this country is, we still have a LONG way to go in the
>education area. From what "I" see, it's going backwards, not forward.
>Everyone makes errors, but those were blatant examples. Frightening!
>
>My two cents.
>
>Lou/Tech@DP.NET
>

There is a vocational high scgool in our county that teaches kids
trades, i,e, plumbing, electrical, building trades. These kids and
classes do projects every year. The projects include building complete
houses that people will live in, they have like a 5 year waiting list
of people wanting the school to build theure houses.

Anyway the point of this, the electrical class instructor called a
friend of mine to his house, my friend is an electrician, because the
electrical instructor din;t know how to put in a lousy 3-way switch.

Yeah buddy I am going to run roight out and have that class wire my
new house sheesh.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

427. Re: This sick puppy has to be a Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 00:15:56 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uicdl1slnp8g78@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129455

Naw, he says he keeps his cellphone because of all the times his car has broken down. If he was a ham, he'd have autopatch.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Bendowersagain" <bendowersagain@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020705182800.16739.00002900@mb-fr.aol.com...
> This sick puppy has to be a Ham.
>
> http://www.killingthejoy.com/

428. must be nice!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 00:38:33 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705203833.21344.00002237@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 129457

It sure must be nice to set around on your ass all day with nothing better to do than think you have the authority to tell others that they shouldnt be running an amp.Hey Voobner, I'll bet that you were the geek that everyone picked on and made fun of in school and at work right??? I'll bet that you also have no friends or any sort of a life other than tattling on other people about their illegal activity. You need to get a life and leave people alone....If the FCC wanted to go after these people they would...They dont need a dildo sticking out of their ass like you.
Loud and Proud in the USA
Buck

429. Re: must be nice!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 00:54:38 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d263eca.222995@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129458

In <20020705203833.21344.00002237@mb-me.aol.com>, frmboybuck@aol.com (Frmboybuck) wrote:

>It sure must be nice to set around on your ass all day with nothing better to
>do than think you have the authority to tell others that they shouldnt be
>running an amp.Hey Voobner, I'll bet that you were the geek that everyone
>picked on and made fun of in school and at work right??? I'll bet that you also
>have no friends or any sort of a life other than tattling on other people about
>their illegal activity. You need to get a life and leave people alone....If the
>FCC wanted to go after these people they would...They dont need a dildo
>sticking out of their ass like you.
> Loud and Proud in the USA
> Buck

Let's see what the judges give for this performance:

Germany: 1.1
U.S.A.: 1.2
Canada: 1.0
Russia: 1.3
France: 5.8
England: 1.4

...hey, who bribed the French judge?

430. Re: must be nice!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 01:12:25 UTC
From: coaxlengthpolice@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020705211225.22834.00002373@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 129459

>ubject: Re: must be nice!!!!!!!!!!!!
>From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com)
>Date: 7/5/2002 7:54 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3d263eca.222995@news.cet.com>
>
>In <20020705203833.21344.00002237@mb-me.aol.com>, frmboybuck@aol.com
>(Frmboybuck) wrote:
>
>>It sure must be nice to set around on your ass all day with nothing better
>to
>>do than think you have the authority to tell others that they shouldnt be
>>running an amp.Hey Voobner, I'll bet that you were the geek that everyone
>>picked on and made fun of in school and at work right??? I'll bet that you
>also
>>have no friends or any sort of a life other than tattling on other people
>about
>>their illegal activity. You need to get a life and leave people alone....If
>the
>>FCC wanted to go after these people they would...They dont need a dildo
>>sticking out of their ass like you.
>> Loud and Proud in the USA
>> Buck
>
>Let's see what the judges give for this performance:
>
>Germany: 1.1
>U.S.A.: 1.2
>Canada: 1.0
>Russia: 1.3
>France: 5.8
>England: 1.4
>
>...hey, who bribed the French judge?
>

Figures...Frank runs to boobner's defence! Go figure! LOL!

431. Re: FCC jihad and unintended consiquences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 02:00:00 UTC
From: "Donnie (N4JZH)" <n4jzh@knology.net>
Message-ID: <tmjcius1o577ka3m9t7ok5jj5egn967tq7@4ax.com>
Source record: 129460

On Fri, 05 Jul 2002 20:11:10 -0400, Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
wrote:

>On Thu, 4 Jul 2002 17:45:02 -0400, "Lou" <tech@dp.net> wrote:
>
>>Well, let me tell you.......
>>
>>A person who is the President of an organization near me recently posted a
>>sign. On that sign, were at least a half dozen misspelled words. What is
>>this guy's background? TEACHER!!!!! A few who read the sign and knew his
>>background shook their heads in disbelief - asking how he could have been in
>>that position.
>>
>>Another teacher I know, believe it or not, didn't know how to....hang on to
>>your chairs... address an envelope!
>>
>>Now I am NOT saying any or all teachers are bad... But you would think
>>teacher #1 would have edited that sign before posting. It sure didn't make
>>him look too worthy of his "educational" background. As for #2? Bankrupt in
>>common sense?
>>
>>As advanced as this country is, we still have a LONG way to go in the
>>education area. From what "I" see, it's going backwards, not forward.
>>Everyone makes errors, but those were blatant examples. Frightening!
>>
>>My two cents.
>>
>>Lou/Tech@DP.NET
>>
>
>There is a vocational high scgool in our county that teaches kids
>trades, i,e, plumbing, electrical, building trades. These kids and
>classes do projects every year. The projects include building complete
>houses that people will live in, they have like a 5 year waiting list
>of people wanting the school to build theure houses.
>
>Anyway the point of this, the electrical class instructor called a
>friend of mine to his house, my friend is an electrician, because the
>electrical instructor din;t know how to put in a lousy 3-way switch.
>
>Yeah buddy I am going to run roight out and have that class wire my
>new house sheesh.
>
>73 de Keith

>KC8TCQ
>Vice President: REACT of Henry County
>Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
>American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

Keith,
may I make a suggestion? SPELLCHECK!

432. Re: FCC jihad and unintended consiquences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 02:05:49 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1gsV8.36832$eF5.1342583@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129461

"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:r6dciusivtbhni5cc11s8ojgm8f7igtmff@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 4 Jul 2002 17:45:02 -0400, "Lou" <tech@dp.net> wrote:
>
> >Well, let me tell you.......
> >
> >A person who is the President of an organization near me recently posted
a
> >sign. On that sign, were at least a half dozen misspelled words. What is
> >this guy's background? TEACHER!!!!! A few who read the sign and knew his
> >background shook their heads in disbelief - asking how he could have been
in
> >that position.
> >
> >Another teacher I know, believe it or not, didn't know how to....hang on
to
> >your chairs... address an envelope!
> >
> >Now I am NOT saying any or all teachers are bad... But you would think
> >teacher #1 would have edited that sign before posting. It sure didn't
make
> >him look too worthy of his "educational" background. As for #2? Bankrupt
in
> >common sense?
> >
> >As advanced as this country is, we still have a LONG way to go in the
> >education area. From what "I" see, it's going backwards, not forward.
> >Everyone makes errors, but those were blatant examples. Frightening!
> >
> >My two cents.
> >
> >Lou/Tech@DP.NET
> >
>
> There is a vocational high scgool in our county that teaches kids
> trades, i,e, plumbing, electrical, building trades. These kids and
> classes do projects every year. The projects include building complete
> houses that people will live in, they have like a 5 year waiting list
> of people wanting the school to build theure houses.
>
> Anyway the point of this, the electrical class instructor called a
> friend of mine to his house, my friend is an electrician, because the
> electrical instructor din;t know how to put in a lousy 3-way switch.
>

> Yeah buddy I am going to run roight out and have that class wire my
> new house sheesh.
>
> 73 de Keith
> KC8TCQ
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
> Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
> American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

Hey Keith, just wondering, are you coming to Texas to assist with the floods in the Hill Country?

433. Re: FCC jihad and unintended consiquences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 03:09:07 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <nbtV8.1421$_d7.160925955@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129462

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:1gsV8.36832$eF5.1342583@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> Hey Keith, just wondering, are you coming to Texas to assist with the
floods
> in the Hill Country?
>
>

While it's not good in the hill country, it worse
down towards San Antonio, Seguin, New Brounfels
(Not spelled correct) I just finished talking to both
cousins, worse down south of the hill country.

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

434. LOOKING FOR OLD BROKEN 23 CHANNEL TUBE BASE RADIOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 04:06:11 UTC
From: NI4L <ni4l@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3D266CB3.6090101@charter.net>
Source record: 129463

Hello
i need a few old tube type base rigs old 23 channels looks not really
all that important.
something like old rybons, Kracos, Lafayette, etc.
I don't want amything expensive. junk some old tube type junkers for a
project i am working on. needs to have chassis and cabinet .
let me know what you have
thanks chris
--
NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
Made with the same components used by our military forces today
Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/

435. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 04:12:56 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d266c94$0$13956$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129464

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24686-3D25DF55-37@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: wb5rue@qsl.net (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
>>Yeah, right....turn the tables to avoid having to prove your
>accusations. <
>
>
>
>Ummm, the accusations were yours Muenzler, I merely asked you to back
>them up and you went to pieces and began acting out.

What accusations did I make? You are the one making the accusations. I made claims, you made accusations of me being a liar because you don't believe them.

>
>
>>
>I don't have to provide proof of my claims. <
>
>
>
>Of course you don't....unless you want to be believed.

I really don't care if you believe me or not.

>
>>
>I made statements, you called them lies, prove they are lies. That's all
>I ask.<
>
>
>
>
>
>That's pretty funny. The burden of PROVING anything lays with you.

Well, in this country at least (I don't know which on you are in) the burden of proof remains on the accuser. You accused me of being a liar, prove it. I gave you a few callsigns, check them out. You seem to be very good at going to the internet and doing searches, go for it. I never said anything about "gag orders" I said that the court requested that the cases not be discussed, there was never an order.

>YOU made the claims, and were caught up in the lies concerning "gag orders"
>that were never issued, you then back-pedaled fiercely claiming they

>were not gag orders at all, but mere requests.

Yep, just like you said that I claimed to have "personally busted 27 hams." I never made such claims and posted my exact words back into the thread. You quickly changed the conversation. I never said that there were gag orders. Go back and read the thread.

>The requests are part of
>the public record... transcripts as well as case numbers, court jacket
>info., judges name, prosecutorial teams name, etc., etc., are all there
>for the taking and you have failed to produce ANYTHING of substance at
>all, except to insult and cry "PROVE I'm lying." I already did, only you
>don't have the intellect to realize it.

You have proven nothing other than you are a blazing fool that can't hold course in a conversation. When you make accusations of something that I said and I re-post my exact words showing that it is YOU that are lying you quickly change the course of the conversation. Grow up.

>
>> Since you are unwilling to even do this then I guess the conversation
>is over. <
>
>It was over the first time you said "Prove I'm lying."..

That's right, because you can't prove that I am lying, anything else is just you blowing smoke.

>
>>
>>>
>But in the interest of fairness, to a point, here are four callsigns who
>are no longer allocated because of convictions and/or license
>revocations in the past two years.
>K5UJA, WA5NOP, KC7HPA, N5NLQ <
>
>
>And these mean absolutely nothing in the context of your lies.

Because you are either too lazy or afraid to check them out. Just like you are either too afraid or lazy to check out the Official Observer pages at the ARRL. You made the claims that they don't exist. It's much too easy to just sit back and cry "liar, liar pants on fire." Like I said, you and Mopedaholic are nothing more than two (one) childish characters. No wonder it's called Children's Band.

Kevin, WB5RUE

436. Re: FCC jihad and unintended consiquences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 04:15:11 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <j9uV8.38681$eF5.1362421@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129465

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:nbtV8.1421$_d7.160925955@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:1gsV8.36832$eF5.1342583@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > Hey Keith, just wondering, are you coming to Texas to assist with the
> floods
> > in the Hill Country?
> >
> >
>
> While it's not good in the hill country, it worse
> down towards San Antonio, Seguin, New Brounfels
> (Not spelled correct) I just finished talking to both
> cousins, worse down south of the hill country.
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
> Neil Young
>
>

Landshark, San Antonio and New Braunfels are both in the Hill Country,
Seguin is on the southeastern edge of it. The reason Seguin is flooding is
because the Guadalupe river flows through there after leaving the HC. Canyon
lake is also on the Guadalupe, and that's where the dam has overflowed.
Another Corps of Engineers shortcoming in design. They probably share
offices and personnel with the FCC!

-R

437. Re: FCC jihad and unintended consiquences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 04:45:08 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <oBuV8.1429$XI7.162733121@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129466

```
"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:j9uV8.38681$eF5.1362421@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:nbtV8.1421$_d7.160925955@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:1gsV8.36832$eF5.1342583@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > >
> > > Hey Keith, just wondering, are you coming to Texas to assist with the
> > floods
> > > in the Hill Country?
> > >
> > >
> >
> > While it's not good in the hill country, it worse
> > down towards San Antonio, Seguin, New Brounfels
> > (Not spelled correct) I just finished talking to both
> > cousins, worse down south of the hill country.
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> >
> > --
> > We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
> > Neil Young
> >
> >
>
> Landshark, San Antonio and New Braunfels are both in the Hill Country,
> Seguin is on the southeastern edge of it. The reason Seguin is flooding is
> because the Guadalupe river flows through there after leaving the HC.
Canyon
> lake is also on the Guadalupe, and that's where the dam has overflowed.
> Another Corps of Engineers shortcoming in design. They probably share
> offices and personnel with the FCC!
>
> -R
>
>

LOL! but not for the people, just the offices
comment. Thanks for correcting me, but I always
thought that those spots were just outside of that,
```

but hey, your there, I only visit twice a year.
Yeah, that river runs right through his back yard
(the back 70, literally!) He said his shooting range is
under about 5 feet of water, but about 40 feet away
from cresting.
Other cousin lives off of Spicewood springs in
Austin, his little stream he crosses is running about
6 to 8 feet, so he's not a happy camper either.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

438. Re: must be nice!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 04:57:50 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2678b3.617192@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129467

In <20020705211225.22834.00002373@mb-ba.aol.com>,
coaxlengthpolice@aol.com (CoaxLengthPolice) wrote:

>>ubject: Re: must be nice!!!!!!!!!!!
>>From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com)
>>Date: 7/5/2002 7:54 PM Central Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <3d263eca.222995@news.cet.com>
>>
>>In <20020705203833.21344.00002237@mb-me.aol.com>, frmboybuck@aol.com
>>(Frmboybuck) wrote:
>>
>>>It sure must be nice to set around on your ass all day with nothing better
>>to
>>>do than think you have the authority to tell others that they shouldnt be
>>>running an amp.Hey Voobner, I'll bet that you were the geek that everyone
>>>picked on and made fun of in school and at work right??? I'll bet that you
>>also
>>>have no friends or any sort of a life other than tattling on other people
>>about
>>>their illegal activity. You need to get a life and leave people alone....If
>>the
>>>FCC wanted to go after these people they would...They dont need a dildo
>>>sticking out of their ass like you.
>>> Loud and Proud in the USA
>>> Buck
>>
>>Let's see what the judges give for this performance:
>>
>>Germany: 1.1
>>U.S.A.: 1.2
>>Canada: 1.0
>>Russia: 1.3
>>France: 5.8
>>England: 1.4
>>
>>...hey, who bribed the French judge?
>>
>
>Figures...Frank runs to boobner's defence! Go figure! LOL!

At least the real CLP knows how to spell.

439. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 05:01:26 UTC
From: Colonel Chim Chim <justwhatineedmorespam@nothanks.con>
Message-ID: <GQuV8.23711$xu5.6125@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 129468

Jeebus H. Quisp! Who the heck cares what proof there is? If Kevin chooses to bust a bunch of radio idiots, so be it. It's about time somebody busts the people interfering on radios and television sets "TEN FOUR BIG BUDDY "BEEP". I will side with anybody 100% that chooses to "bust" any "radio problems", be it C.B. or amateur radio. If a person disobeys the laws, hehe, perhaps they deserve to be "busted". Maybe not, but that's just MY opinion.

--Adam Jimmajammajimmajamma

I'm the Antenna
Catching vibration
You're the transmitter
Give information!

Wir richten Antennen ins Firmament
Empf�ngen die T�ne die Niemand kennt

I'm the transmitter
I give information
You're the antenna
Catching vibration

Es Strahlen die Sender Bild, Ton und Wort
Elektromagnetisch an jeden Ort

I'm the Antenna
Catching vibration
You're the transmitter
Give information!

Radio Sender und H�rer sind wir
Spielen im �ther das Wellenklavier

I'm the antenna catching vibration
You're the transmitter give information
I'm the transmitter I give information
You're the antenna catching vibration

--Kraftwerk, hehe.

440. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 05:07:33 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d26796b.800751@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129469

In <3d266c94$0$13956$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>, "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote:

>...No wonder
>it's called Children's Band.
>
>Kevin, WB5RUE

Don't start stereotyping because of a few bad apples. That's how the whole ham-CBer rift got started. There are good and bad people in both camps, and there are even some in both. It's those vagrants and scavengers that hang out between the two that you should be concerned with. They are the ones that infiltrate the camps and start trouble just to take the heat off of them.

441. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 05:11:03 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d267b9a.1360162@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129470

In <GQuV8.23711$xu5.6125@news1.central.cox.net>, "Colonel Chim Chim"
<justwhatineedmorespam@nothanks.con> wrote:

<snip>
>--Kraftwerk, hehe.

Radio-activity? Jeez, that was like 25 years ago!

442. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 05:22:05 UTC
From: Colonel Chim Chim <justwhatineedmorespam@nothanks.con>
Message-ID: <18vV8.23793$xu5.20389@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 129471

You are Mr. Correct! Obviously Kraftwerk are still known to some extent (you answering...I respect that, hehe.) My favorite group. I have all of their records. Nice to see someone that at least recognizes them. (whether you like them or not, haha).

--

--Adam

443. Re: FCC jihad and unintended consiquences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 05:38:16 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cnvV8.44849$q53.1362426@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129472

```
"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:oBuV8.1429$XI7.162733121@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:j9uV8.38681$eF5.1362421@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> > news:nbtV8.1421$_d7.160925955@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1gsV8.36832$eF5.1342583@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > >
> > > > Hey Keith, just wondering, are you coming to Texas to assist with
the
> > > floods
> > > > in the Hill Country?
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > While it's not good in the hill country, it worse
> > > down towards San Antonio, Seguin, New Brounfels
> > > (Not spelled correct) I just finished talking to both
> > > cousins, worse down south of the hill country.
> > >
> > > Landshark
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
> > > Neil Young
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Landshark, San Antonio and New Braunfels are both in the Hill Country,
> > Seguin is on the southeastern edge of it. The reason Seguin is flooding
is
> > because the Guadalupe river flows through there after leaving the HC.
> Canyon
> > lake is also on the Guadalupe, and that's where the dam has overflowed.
> > Another Corps of Engineers shortcoming in design. They probably share
> > offices and personnel with the FCC!
> >
> > -R
> >
```

> >
>
> LOL! but not for the people, just the offices
> comment. Thanks for correcting me, but I always
> thought that those spots were just outside of that,
> but hey, your there, I only visit twice a year.
> Yeah, that river runs right through his back yard
> (the back 70, literally!) He said his shooting range is
> under about 5 feet of water, but about 40 feet away
> from cresting.
> Other cousin lives off of Spicewood springs in
> Austin, his little stream he crosses is running about
> 6 to 8 feet, so he's not a happy camper either.
>
> Landshark
>

Landshark, don't let it get out that we have nice places here in Texas. Next thing you know, people from Washington state will want to move here!

BTW, have you done any tubing in New Braunfels? That's a blast, and lots of sights to see on the river. I haven't checked the river flow today, but I'm sure the gates are open full tilt, which is somewhere in the 10k cubic foot per second range. At that rate, they do have trips on the river, but only guided ones, as the rapids rival anything you will find anywhere in the world. I've been there and seen it in full flood, and it's not a lazy little river anymore, it's a raging beast!

> --
> There are no stupid questions, just stupid
> people.
>
> Mr. Garrison
>
>

444. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 05:43:44 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2682ee.3235961@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129473

In <18vV8.23793$xu5.20389@news1.central.cox.net>, "Colonel Chim Chim"
<justwhatineedmorespam@nothanks.con> wrote:

> You are Mr. Correct! Obviously Kraftwerk are still known to some extent
>(you answering...I respect that, hehe.) My favorite group. I have all of
>their records. Nice to see someone that at least recognizes them. (whether
>you like them or not, haha).
>
>--
>
>
>
>--Adam

Man, my cousin and I used to trip to Autobahn. He lost the album a few
years later, and I haven't heard it since. The only thing I have found
from them since was the Radio-activity tape.

Ohm Sweet Ohm!!!

445. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 06:12:57 UTC
From: Colonel Chim Chim <justwhatineedmorespam@nothanks.con>
Message-ID: <JTvV8.23843$xu5.11771@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 129474

Haha, yeah. Ohm Sweet Ohm is song 6 on side "B" of the record. I just happen to have it on my turntable. Many people don't realize that Kraftwerk has been around in the 2000's. Expo 2000 was one of their latest songs. http://www.live365.com is a good site to listen to all sorts of different types of music. Just search for Kraftwerk, and you will see the RADIO AKTIVITAT station I usually listen to. Better yet, go here...It is a direct link to the station http://www.live365.com/stations/240360
Nothing but Kraftwerk, hehe. Catch you later.

--Adam

446. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 07:17:16 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ag65hs$1m9$7@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129475

Tommy Maltinos <tommy@malt.com> wrote:

> Ok jim what is more desireable?
> To live have your antenna 200' off the ground and live in a valley or to
> live on a mountain with your antenna ground mounted?

Insufficient information. If the valley is only about 100 feet or so
deep, then it would probably be better to live in the valley.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

447. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 07:23:57 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d269b04.403973@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129476

In <JTvV8.23843$xu5.11771@news1.central.cox.net>, "Colonel Chim Chim"
<justwhatineedmorespam@nothanks.con> wrote:

>Haha, yeah. Ohm Sweet Ohm is song 6 on side "B" of the record. I just
>happen to have it on my turntable. Many people don't realize that Kraftwerk
>has been around in the 2000's. Expo 2000 was one of their latest songs.
>http://www.live365.com is a good site to listen to all sorts of different
>types of music. Just search for Kraftwerk, and you will see the RADIO
>AKTIVITAT station I usually listen to. Better yet, go here...It is a direct
>link to the station http://www.live365.com/stations/240360
>Nothing but Kraftwerk, hehe. Catch you later.
>
>
>--Adam

Cool!

448. Re: More viruses, and it's getting old.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 07:25:51 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ag661v$1m9$8@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129477

Jesse Stanley <superstar@frognet.net> wrote:
> You think they would be smart enough to get a virus scanner.

With all the new viruses and trojan horses that are being released, any virus scanner needs to be updated at least daily to catch most of the new variants. And you will never catch them all, since it takes the people who produce the detection software a short time to develop the data needed to block the viruses - and even longer to develop fixes.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

449. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 07:28:50 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d269b28.439949@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129478

In <ag65hs$1m9$7@quark.scn.rain.com>, Bill Nelson
<billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:

>Tommy Maltinos <tommy@malt.com> wrote:
>
>> Ok jim what is more desireable?
>> To live have your antenna 200' off the ground and live in a valley or to
>> live on a mountain with your antenna ground mounted?
>
>Insufficient information. If the valley is only about 100 feet or so
>deep, then it would probably be better to live in the valley.

100 ft deep? That's not a valley, that's a drainage ditch!

If everyone you want to talk to lives in the valley, then a 200 ft
tower might be overkill. OTOH, a ground-mounted antenna on the top of
a hill might make the lobes too steep to make any local contacts. I
would do it the other way around -- use the tower on the hill and
ground-mount the pole in the valley.

450. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 07:37:22 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ag66nh$1m9$9@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129479

Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

> newsgroup! As far as ham radio being in decline, don't hold your breath ...

Actually, if they do away with the code requirement, it may be the CB band that goes away. The written is easy to pass, it is just getting your code speed up slightly that takes any real work.

Maybe they could even make the Tech license test free (since it is now the "entry level" class). Just think - over 5-10 years, you could force all the CBers into the Amateur Radio Service. The FCC could then levy large fines to anyone operating above 12 meters but below 10 meters - that were not government stations.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

451. Re: OOOOPS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 07:41:28 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ag66v8$1m9$10@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129480

ALLSTEEL77 <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote:
> No, it was a(: no life or low life techy:) ham that turn him in.

Unfortunately, there are a lot of hams that look the other way when it comes to a fellow ham illegally transmitting on the CB frequencies.

Just like there are a lot of CBers who promote illegally operating on the ham frequencies.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

452. Re: Mic Wireing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 08:27:35 UTC
From: RADIOSERVICE 39 <radio39@wp.pl>
Message-ID: <ag69o2$6rs$1@news.bsi.net.pl>
Source record: 129481

Hi,
pin1 - shield, mic ground
pin 2 - mic audio, central wire of mic-coaxial
pin 3 - PTT, connected to pin 1 when transmit
pin 4 - maybe not connected in this model
In cause of failure of original mic PTT you may use an ordinary on/off
switch connected between pin 1 and pin 3.
Mail me if any problems occure. I know these (and similar) transceivers very
good.
Best regards for all
Chris,
Poland

--
* RADIOSERVICE 39 *
radio39@wp.pl
radio39@toya.net.pl
GG # 2184191
ICQ # 146776296
+48 603 360 445
U&#65533;ytkownik "T.W." <wells_t@hotmail.com> napisa&#65533; w wiadomo&#65533;ci
news:nDVU8.277236$6m5.242693@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> Anyone happen to know the color code to wire a d104 hand mic to a
superstar
> 3900.
>
> Thanks in advance
>
> iggy@attbi.com
>
>
>
>

453. Re: President Grant CB Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 08:31:07 UTC
From: RADIOSERVICE 39 <radio39@wp.pl>
Message-ID: <ag69um$76l$1@news.bsi.net.pl>
Source record: 129482

Hi,
Mail me your problem. I know this trx very well.
Best regards,
Chris,
Poland

--
* RADIOSERVICE 39 *
radio39@wp.pl
radio39@toya.net.pl
GG # 2184191
ICQ # 146776296
+48 603 360 445
****
Uzytkownik "Joe" <contact@ncfusion.com> napisal w wiadomosci
news:10f2142c.0207031753.2e54bcc5@posting.google.com...
> Anyone need a CB Service Manual for the President Grant?
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1745692896

454. Re: Antenna for Corvette

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 08:33:28 UTC
From: RADIOSERVICE 39 <radio39@wp.pl>
Message-ID: <ag6a33$7af$1@news.bsi.net.pl>
Source record: 129483

Hi,
If the antenna lenght is more than 6ft, it`s OK. If the antenna lenght is
less than 6ft, it`s only a gadget.
73
Chris
Poland

--
* RADIOSERVICE 39 *
radio39@wp.pl
radio39@toya.net.pl
GG # 2184191
ICQ # 146776296
+48 603 360 445
****

U&#65533;ytkownik "Stan" <svs6920@home.com> napisa&#65533; w wiadomo&#65533;ci
news:9AIU8.229827$nZ3.106831@rwcrnsc53...
> Looking for advice on setting up an antenna system for the newer style
> Corvette. I have many different types on antennas to experiment with, but
> looking for antenna mounting ideas.
>
> Thank you
> Stan Mojave Desert SO CA
>
>

455. Re: Wavelengths.....do they exist?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 09:12:56 UTC
From: RADIOSERVICE 39 <radio39@wp.pl>
Message-ID: <ag6cd3$a1d$1@news.bsi.net.pl>
Source record: 129484

Hi,
In CB there are the same rules as in ham radio. But in CB shop is much much
MORE easy to sell anything. If the equipment shines enough - it`s very good
!!!. Good antenna IS NOT the same as nice antenna. Good performance
antenna MUST BE LONG - 6ft at least.
If you see : "Excellent !!! 6 dB Gain !!!" - it`s an ordinary LIE !!!
It`s only a commercial trick.
Let me know,if you are interested more.
Best regards
Chris
Poland

--
* RADIOSERVICE 39 *
radio39@wp.pl
radio39@toya.net.pl
GG # 2184191
ICQ # 146776296
+48 603 360 445
****
Uzytkownik "Donnie Broyles" <novaman28@webtv.net> napisal w wiadomosci
news:2847-3D21E47F-125@storefull-2294.public.lawson.webtv.net...
I had,for years,believed that the best height for your antenna is right in
a wavelength..... Thank you, Donnie "Novaman"
Muncie,IN
>

456. Re: FCC jihad and unintended consiquences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 10:40:22 UTC
From: Technomage <technomage-hawke@cox.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.07.06.03.40.31.844.27618@cox.net>
Source record: 129486

I don't think you want to know. :)

Besides, he'll get himself into trouble and we'll be rid of him soon
enough.

Technomage

In article <20020704102701.14645.00001311@mb-de.aol.com>, "LilyV7"
<lilyv7@aol.com> wrote:

> where did this guy learn to spell "linear"?? for that matter where did
> he learn to spell? this is a sad indication of the state of our public
> school system. i think the voucher system for private schools may be
> arriving way too late. gunslinger

--
I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or numbered!
My life is my own - No. 6

457. Tait 2020 cable and sw links?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 10:40:53 UTC
From: tolstoi <tolieo@yahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <ag6hev$h8q$1@bunyip.cc.uq.edu.au>
Source record: 129485

can anyone here tell me where to find the diagram of the programming cable
and sw to prog up a ver.1 Tait 2020...

thanks in advance

458. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 10:47:42 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uidimdtjn3da57@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129487

Yes it is my real name:)...
No it's not my best troll by far. If it was a better troll I would have
taken the time to spell check it.
I WAS surprised how my first troll spawned a discussion about the public
school system.
Spellchecking a troll is like making sure you pronounce "baba-boeey"
corectly on ch19:)
Sincerely.
Ken
"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D2469DF.DB360052@icok.net...
>
>
> Kenneth,
> Not the best troll I've ever seen but fairly
> high points for originality.
> I wonder if you would tell me if 'Kenneth' is
> really your first name? Just checking to see if you
> got anything right in your post...
> 'Doc

459. Re: must be nice!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 12:00:50 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D26DBF2.DEFAAE65@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 129488

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> Let's see what the judges give for this performance:
>
> Germany: 1.1
> U.S.A.: 1.2
> Canada: 1.0
> Russia: 1.3
> France: 5.8
> England: 1.4

Down, boy. You're not Voobner, or are you?

> ...hey, who bribed the French judge?

The Catholic Priest. He mistook the french fry for a choir boy.

460. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 13:08:31 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uids02g817eocc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129489

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d262638.319553@news.cet.com...
> In <uic0scn89s0j01@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
> <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
>
> >Read between the lines a little more, see what other Bull shit you can
> >invent...
>
> Hey bud, I didn't claim to have "started out with ... 150-watts", you
> did. That's not an invention, that's a quote!
>

A misinterpreted quote... With missing words no less...

I'm ending this one sided conversation now... Pointless to discuss anything with those who choose to see what they want, and not what is meant.

PH

461. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 13:48:10 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3D26F51B.AC016953@attbi.com>
Source record: 129490

1. The test should never be free. Nothing is free because it takes time and material, so somebody has to pay. Might as well be the guy asking for the service. 7-11 isn't going to give away their products for very long and keep the doors open. Besides, if $10 is a real obstacle to someone using the radio, they can't afford the radio, anyway.
2. Most amateurs who gain higher licenses start these days as a no-code tech, learn the code at the current dumbed-down rate, and then take a general written test. If the CB group wanted to get legal access to the spectrum they would probably be following the same course, which means a lot of new technicians. There's not been a surge there, so it isn't happening.
3. A prime reason there are a lot of CB users who stay away from licensing is that they are concerned about the government control thing. You know, stay anonymous. Don't let anyone license anything--guns, cars, radios, anything.
4. There is always going to be a difference between people who are willing to work within the rules, study, learn and progress in the knowledge versus those who want something for nothing and aren't interested in anything more. I know a LOT of technician hams who got the license just so they could call home to the wife and find out if they need to get a gallon of milk on their way home. You know--the shack on the belt guys. These are the typical CBers who migrated over. All they want to do is communicate locally with someone they know on a clear frequency. DX is of no interest, and they don't want to know how the radio works, nor how to improve their signal. Therein lies the rub between the new no-coders and the traditional ham who is stereotyped as the inventor type.

Bill Nelson wrote:

> Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>
> > newsgroup! As far as ham radio being in decline, don't hold your breath ...
>
> Actually, if they do away with the code requirement, it may be the CB
> band that goes away. The written is easy to pass, it is just getting your
> code speed up slightly that takes any real work.
>
> Maybe they could even make the Tech license test free (since it is now
> the "entry level" class). Just think - over 5-10 years, you could force
> all the CBers into the Amateur Radio Service. The FCC could then levy
> large fines to anyone operating above 12 meters but below 10 meters -
> that were not government stations.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

462. Was: FCC jihad.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 14:03:15 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <ag6vip$1aro$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 129491

Hmmmm.....Maybe they rewired a building near here!

There was a local restaurant closed down. Family retired after about 50 years. This was the Son. I'm not sure how many years his Father had it, but I know it was many as he started it as a young man and was at least 75 when he retired.....

The building itself was an old train station. Never touched except for some paint, a phone and equipment as needed. The last phone in there was a 60s style. The coolers - all but one, were 50s to early 60s vintage. There was even a 60's model TV. The place closed in the mid 90s. I mean you could see some traces of the "older" wiring and such.

Another person bought it. He chose to "rewire" it. Supposedly it was done "professionally". But, yeah you guessed it....a few weeks later, it burned to the ground. Cause? Electrical wiring.....go figure. I wonder what class he/they missed to cause that?

Lou/Tech@DP.NET

"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:r6dciusivtbhni5cc11s8ojgm8f7igtmff@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 4 Jul 2002 17:45:02 -0400, "Lou" <tech@dp.net> wrote:
>
> >Well, let me tell you.......
> >
> >A person who is the President of an organization near me recently posted
a
> >sign. On that sign, were at least a half dozen misspelled words. What is
> >this guy's background? TEACHER!!!!! A few who read the sign and knew his
> >background shook their heads in disbelief - asking how he could have been
in
> >that position.
> >
> >Another teacher I know, believe it or not, didn't know how to....hang on
to
> >your chairs... address an envelope!
> >
> >Now I am NOT saying any or all teachers are bad... But you would think
> >teacher #1 would have edited that sign before posting. It sure didn't
make
> >him look too worthy of his "educational" background. As for #2? Bankrupt
in
> >common sense?
> >
> >As advanced as this country is, we still have a LONG way to go in the

> >education area. From what "I" see, it's going backwards, not forward.
> >Everyone makes errors, but those were blatant examples. Frightening!
> >
> >My two cents.
> >
> >Lou/Tech@DP.NET
> >
>
> There is a vocational high scgool in our county that teaches kids
> trades, i,e, plumbing, electrical, building trades. These kids and
> classes do projects every year. The projects include building complete
> houses that people will live in, they have like a 5 year waiting list
> of people wanting the school to build theure houses.
>
> Anyway the point of this, the electrical class instructor called a
> friend of mine to his house, my friend is an electrician, because the
> electrical instructor din;t know how to put in a lousy 3-way switch.
>
> Yeah buddy I am going to run roight out and have that class wire my
> new house sheesh.
>
> 73 de Keith
> KC8TCQ
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
> Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
> American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

463. BLACK PIRATE 5R BASE ANTENNA OWNERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 15:22:05 UTC
From: ?lvaro Rocha - CT01264/CQM9306 <removenospamblackghostknife@mail.telepac.pt>
Message-ID: <ag71qf$90f$1@venus.telepac.pt>
Source record: 129492

ONLY PERSONAL EXPERIENCE, NOT TECHNICALS DETAILS, PLEASE !!!!!!!!!!!!

I need to know more details about this base antenna, please !
Good for DX's ?
RFI ????
SWR ???????
Works beter at the sea (nearly)????????
Wind resisting ????????
If you have this base antenna, please give me more info ou "secret details"
about that !
Many thanks for the help !!!!

Best 73"

Tony
31-AT-170

Porto
Portugal

--

_____
CT01264 - SWL
CQM-9306
QTH LOCATOR - IN51QD 41-09'-33'' N 8-35'-41'' W
Prefix - CT Continent - EU ITU zone - 37 CQ zone / WAZ - 14
ITU region - 1 GridSquares - IN
170 M ANTENNAS SEA LEVEL

ALFA TANGO MEMBER # 170 SINCE 07/1995

LDBC # 035
REP # 0801

ICQ/UIN # 15161256

FORMER : 31-AR-001/CV-388-CT/31-SD-104/31-CDP-175/31-PAZ-341

against use of linear amplifier's

SETI@HOME MEMBER (we are not alone....)SETI@home is a scientific experiment
that uses Internet-connected computers in the Search for Extraterrestrial
Intelligence (SETI). You can participate by running a free program that
downloads and analyzes radio telescope data.

PORTO - PORTUGAL

"ODERINT, DUM METUANT"

"SI DEVS PRO NOBIS QVIS CONTRA NOS"

c:-)
INTERNET SECURITY + PC PROTECTION by :
H+BEDV AntiVIR Personal Edition
GUARD/9X
Version 6
www.hbedv.com
---

464. Re: BLACK PIRATE 5R BASE ANTENNA OWNERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 15:51:55 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <vmEV8.81103$UT.5514162@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129493

This unit is not available in the U.S. under that name. I suspect it is Solarcon because they like to name things after old cinema. It may be known as an Imax, A99, or Antron 99 here. You might want to check US distributors for pictures to see what it is called here.

If it is of that type, it will be a decent DX antenna, hard on your neighbors because it does radiate downward. Any vertical works better near the sea however sea air will corrode and rust things.

Dick N�BK

"�lvaro Rocha - CT01264/CQM9306"
<"removenospamblackghostknife"@mail.telepac.pt> wrote in message
news:ag71qf$90f$1@venus.telepac.pt...
>
> ONLY PERSONAL EXPERIENCE, NOT TECHNICALS DETAILS, PLEASE !!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> I need to know more details about this base antenna, please !
> Good for DX's ?
> RFI ????
> SWR ???????
> Works beter at the sea (nearly)????????
> Wind resisting ????????
> If you have this base antenna, please give me more info ou "secret
details"
> about that !
> Many thanks for the help !!!!
>
> Best 73"
>
> Tony
> 31-AT-170
>
> Porto
> Portugal
>
>
> --
> _____
> CT01264 - SWL
> CQM-9306
> QTH LOCATOR - IN51QD 41-09'-33" N 8-35'-41" W
> Prefix - CT Continent - EU ITU zone - 37 CQ zone / WAZ - 14
> ITU region - 1 GridSquares - IN

> 170 M ANTENNAS SEA LEVEL
>
> ALFA TANGO MEMBER # 170 SINCE 07/1995
>
> LDBC # 035
> REP # 0801
>
> ICQ/UIN # 15161256
>
>
> FORMER : 31-AR-001/CV-388-CT/31-SD-104/31-CDP-175/31-PAZ-341
>
> against use of linear amplifier's
>
> SETI@HOME MEMBER (we are not alone....)SETI@home is a scientific
experiment
> that uses Internet-connected computers in the Search for
Extraterrestrial
> Intelligence (SETI). You can participate by running a free program that
> downloads and analyzes radio telescope data.
>
> PORTO - PORTUGAL
>
> "ODERINT, DUM METUANT"
>
> "SI DEVS PRO NOBIS QVIS CONTRA NOS"
>
> c:-)
> INTERNET SECURITY + PC PROTECTION by :
> H+BEDV AntiVIR Personal Edition
> GUARD/9X
> Version 6
> www.hbedv.com
>

> _____
>
>

465. audio limiter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 17:46:10 UTC
From: "T.W." <wells_t@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <C1GV8.104522$Uu2.18048@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 129494

Can someone tell me where I might find the audio limiter in a superstar
3900.

466. India Delta DX Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 17:51:52 UTC
From: B3CK5 <ff@vv.vv>
Message-ID: <S6GV8.895$DV2.191@news13-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 129495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

Looking for a great DX group to join??? free lifetime membership?? take a look at www.indiadelta.com
A very fast growing group with worldwide membership.

467. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 19:17:30 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207061117.751918df@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129496

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:<uids02g817eocc@corp.supernews.com>...
> <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d262638.319553@news.cet.com...
> > In <uic0scn89s0j01@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
> > <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
> >
> > >Read between the lines a little more, see what other Bull shit you can
> > >invent...
> >
> > Hey bud, I didn't claim to have "started out with ... 150-watts", you
> > did. That's not an invention, that's a quote!
> >
>
> A misinterpreted quote... With missing words no less...
>
> I'm ending this one sided conversation now... Pointless to discuss anything
> with those who choose to see what they want, and not what is meant.
>
> PH

What is meant by 150 watts?

-Aaron-

468. Re: Tait 2020 cable and sw links?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 19:22:34 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207061122.758cb959@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129497

"tolstoi" <tolieo@yahoo.com.au> wrote in message
news:<ag6hev$h8q$1@bunyip.cc.uq.edu.au>...
> can anyone here tell me where to find the diagram of the programming cable
> and sw to prog up a ver.1 Tait 2020...
>
> thanks in advance

Try the manufacturer. Or try a VHF business radio group.

-A

469. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 19:31:27 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D274590.C79EFACF@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 129498

"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:
>
> What is meant by 150 watts?

Input power. To the room. Lights. Radio. Clock.

470. Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual--2nd Edition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 19:34:08 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <fiheiuspaokc3dhdshbgdnnkkeho6o5kf6@4ax.com>
Source record: 129499

We've just completed an update of our very popular Galaxy-Ranger service manual. The new SECOND EDITION covers the EPT3600, EPT0696, and EPT6900 chassis types. That includes MANY brands such as Galaxy, Ranger/RCI, Superstar, Texas Ranger, Connex, etc. Complete details on this specific book are on our Web site at:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

Check out all our CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

* *
In case you didn't already know...

Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

If you have any problems getting the files, or need additional details on what we offer, please email us directly at:

info@cbcintl.com

73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980

www.cbcintl.com

Email: info@cbcintl.com

471. Lou

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 19:55:29 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207061155.6419bb0b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129500

Lou Franklin lou@cbcintl.com
>
>We've just completed an update of our very popular
>Galaxy-Ranger service manual. The new SECOND EDITION
>covers the EPT3600, EPT0696, and EPT6900 chassis types.
>That includes MANY brands such as Galaxy,
>Ranger/RCI, Superstar, Texas Ranger, Connex, etc.
>Complete details on this specific book are on our
>Web site at:
>
>www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm
>
>Check out all our CB specialty books, plans, kits, &
>high-performance accessories at:
>
>www.cbcintl.com

Lou,

I thought I'd ask a question. I wonder if you could you answer me honestly without the smokescreen of phony disclaimers. Your business targets CB'ers who fiddle around with the insides of their radios and outboard amplifiers. The results of their fiddling have all kinds of consequences, from splatter and overmod -- to out of band operation. Don't you have any conscience? You are aware that the export radios (which you distribute instructions for enabling) have begun showing up on the ham bands this year. Does that matter to you?

-Aaron-

472. Not his fault

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 20:00:35 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <D%HV8.41535$eF5.1467956@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129502

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207061155.6419bb0b@posting.google.com...
> Lou Franklin lou@cbcintl.com
> >
> >We've just completed an update of our very popular
> >Galaxy-Ranger service manual. The new SECOND EDITION
> >covers the EPT3600, EPT0696, and EPT6900 chassis types.
> >That includes MANY brands such as Galaxy,
> >Ranger/RCI, Superstar, Texas Ranger, Connex, etc.
> >Complete details on this specific book are on our
> >Web site at:
> >
> >www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm
> >
> >Check out all our CB specialty books, plans, kits, &
> >high-performance accessories at:
> >
> >www.cbcintl.com
>
> Lou,
>
> I thought I'd ask a question. I wonder if you could you answer me
> honestly without the smokescreen of phony disclaimers. Your business
> targets CB'ers who fiddle around with the insides of their radios and
> outboard amplifiers. The results of their fiddling have all kinds of
> consequences, from splatter and overmod -- to out of band operation.
> Don't you have any conscience? You are aware that the export radios
> (which you distribute instructions for enabling) have begun showing up
> on the ham bands this year. Does that matter to you?
>
> -Aaron-

Export radios have been around since the early 80's.

473. Re: Lou

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 20:02:16 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D274CC8.4A4EB515@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 129501

Did you know if someone didn't supply this information,
someone else would? You're just upset that you're not
the one on the receiving end of the checkbook.

474. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 20:50:32 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ag7l6omic3@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129503

Gary Danaher <gdanaher@attbi.com> wrote:
> 1. The test should never be free. Nothing is free because it takes time and
> material, so somebody has to pay. Might as well be the guy asking for the service.

I did not say cost free - I said test free for the Tech license. It would
then be a no code, no written license.

That would supply the rational the FCC would need to move the CBers to
the Tech ham frequencies over a period of time.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

475. CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 21:41:47 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129504

As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out of perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs. The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a million years.
Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3 year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that ignored repeated warnings.
Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and use them and people will sell them.
Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and generaly make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and have fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham rigs and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a liscense.
Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
Sincerely
Ken

476. Re: Lou

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 21:43:48 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020706174348.09193.00002046@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 129506

>radios (which you distribute instructions >for enabling) have begun showing up
>on the ham bands this year. Does that >matter to you?
>-Aaron-
Don't get you panties in a wad, Aaronious!
Or should we say, Anal Aaron!
The information you refer to is available from a multitude of sources. As for the radio's showing up on the Ham bands, I'll bet alot of the Hams are using them...on the Ham bands and Elsewhere!

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

477. Re: must be nice!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 21:47:27 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020706174727.09193.00002047@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 129507

>They dont need a dildo sticking out of >their ass like you. Loud and Proud
in >the USA..... Buck
SLAMMMMMMMMM !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
Go Buck ! Go Buck ! Go Buck !

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

478. RE: Lou

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 21:48:02 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <ag7qsc$1dgu$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 129509

Which "Lou" are you referring to and regarding what?

Lou/Tech@DP.NET

479. Re: OOOOPS!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 21:54:04 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020706175404.09193.00002048@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 129508

>From: "Tommy Maltinos" >tommy@malt.com
>Yes he also is a former Cber too, thats >what got him busted was the illegal
>cb amp.
CB Amp? Are you stupid?????? 10 KW is made for commercial use or
bootleg/freeband.
An amplifier used on the 11 meter/CB band may have gotten him in trouble but a
CB Amp?! How about Amplifier or RF Amplifier. Get it straight fella!

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

480. Re: FCC jihad and unintended consiquences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 21:55:01 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <lnpeiugh0hia0jqvm0nsg2cehvb1ac40ls@4ax.com>
Source record: 129505

On Sat, 06 Jul 2002 02:05:49 GMT, "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
wrote:

>
>"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
>news:r6dciusivtbhni5cc11s8ojgm8f7igtmff@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 4 Jul 2002 17:45:02 -0400, "Lou" <tech@dp.net> wrote:
>>
>> >Well, let me tell you.......
>> >
>> >A person who is the President of an organization near me recently posted
>a
>> >sign. On that sign, were at least a half dozen misspelled words. What is
>> >this guy's background? TEACHER!!!!! A few who read the sign and knew his
>> >background shook their heads in disbelief - asking how he could have been
>in
>> >that position.
>> >
>> >Another teacher I know, believe it or not, didn't know how to....hang on
>to
>> >your chairs... address an envelope!
>> >
>> >Now I am NOT saying any or all teachers are bad... But you would think
>> >teacher #1 would have edited that sign before posting. It sure didn't
>make
>> >him look too worthy of his "educational" background. As for #2? Bankrupt
>in
>> >common sense?
>> >
>> >As advanced as this country is, we still have a LONG way to go in the
>> >education area. From what "I" see, it's going backwards, not forward.
>> >Everyone makes errors, but those were blatant examples. Frightening!
>> >
>> >My two cents.
>> >
>> >Lou/Tech@DP.NET
>> >
>>
>> There is a vocational high scgool in our county that teaches kids
>> trades, i,e, plumbing, electrical, building trades. These kids and
>> classes do projects every year. The projects include building complete
>> houses that people will live in, they have like a 5 year waiting list
>> of people wanting the school to build theure houses.
>>

>> Anyway the point of this, the electrical class instructor called a
>> friend of mine to his house, my friend is an electrician, because the
>> electrical instructor din;t know how to put in a lousy 3-way switch.
>>
>> Yeah buddy I am going to run roight out and have that class wire my
>> new house sheesh.
>>
>> 73 de Keith
>> KC8TCQ
>> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
>> Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
>> American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist
>
>Hey Keith, just wondering, are you coming to Texas to assist with the floods
>in the Hill Country?
>

I would love to Randy but I am on the Alert team for all of Ohio for
the entire month of July, which means I can't go on any assignments
out of state until August.

73 de Keith

KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

481. Re: FCC jihad and unintended consiquences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 21:56:37 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <lrpeiu03ccf406k7q55ok5dv55aauuqrv2@4ax.com>
Source record: 129510

On Fri, 05 Jul 2002 22:00:00 -0400, "Donnie (N4JZH)"
<n4jzh@knology.net> wrote:

<snip>
>
>Keith,
> may I make a suggestion? SPELLCHECK!

Thanks Donnie I'll keep that in mind next time.

KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

482. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 22:57:32 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <wBKV8.81639$UT.5552172@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129511

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out
of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal)
rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of
there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in
a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a
3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> ignored repeated warnings.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's
and
> use them and people will sell them.
> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here
while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
generaly
> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a
liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and
have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and
scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad
guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and
just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell
me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> Sincerely
> Ken

>
>

While I am involved with a project that takes pictures and makes a digital record of the driver, rig, and everything else needed to pin his ears back, I do have a minor soft spot in my head for truckers and so far we have merely jacked up the employer for it. I have long advocated a trucker band, an idea I know goes back at least 30 years. That you have taken matters in your own hands is reprehensible but understandable to a degree.

There are several trucking companies that were warned about this from the FCC including most of the major haulers and several states are thinking about using the new Federal statute allowing frequency enforcement to separate you from the contents of your wallet and some have expressed interest in the gear we use to nail you. We know for sure that an old book title, Never Trust a Naked Bus Driver, is fact. Your problem not mine. This I am sure of from the reaction of the majors to getting a picture.

When you cross the 28 line, it is not the FCC per se that should bother you. Ten meters is a treaty band and when the Commission gets bitches from the rest of the world, a few crucifixions will be performed which is what undermanned enforcement operations tend to do, witness Seattle and the LAPD. If you can't raise the ante with frequency of arrest, do it with severity.

Next time someone mentions the hams that talk with him, ask how many contacts just sort of come to an abrupt end. The reason I mention this is that this is the proper procedure under Amateur rules when a 'slim' is encountered; we cannot even suggest he get licensed. But some guys are either unaware or hot for a 10-10 number and in the 28.3 - 28.5 segment there are a lot of rookies.

I am assuming that the ham band in question is ten meters because a screwdriver is just a bit big for a mirror. As the sunspot numbers decline and they are dropping like a stone at the moment, the DX will go away and for a few years you can have it to yourself.

As one who is old enough to have been on CB before it was CB, I am going to take some heat from the forces of righteousness here for being semi-nice. Please, if you are going to take the position that rules were meant to be tactfully disregarded, remember the key word is tactfully. And the way these truck stops rape you guys over radio gear shouldn't happen to a stuffed goat.

And yes, the anti's around here remind me as well of the Anti-Saloon League and WCTU:

In good oompah waltz time:

We never eat fruitcake 'cause fruitcake has rum,
And one tiny slice turns a man to a bum

Oh can you imagine the pitiful plight
Of a man eating fruitcake until he gets tight.

Away, Away with rum, by gum,
Rum by gum, rum by gum
Away, Away with rum, by gum
It's the song of the Temperance Union.

Dick N&#65533;BK

483. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 23:00:06 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uietinrrejtocb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129512

Well, I'll not add to the AKC stuff here. I DO worry about the marine radios though. Anyone see any potential problems here?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> ignored repeated warnings.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.
> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and generaly
> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> Sincerely
> Ken
>
>

484. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 23:07:49 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uieu16f6dgiv29@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129513

Bill, I'm not sure I'm ready to pass judgement on your suggestion. My personal thought is if you don't spend anything (either dollars or time and effort), you have nothing invested and nothing to lose - therefore anything goes. On the other hand, identification is required and eventually if you don't, problems occur. If you do, you don't want to go too far overboard. The whole thing boils down to the fact that the spectrum (from nearly dc to light) is a shared resource. Obviously, folks want their television, some want a private conversation on their telephone - be it conventional or cellular - and still others want to listen in. Somewhere, there has to be some compromise. Where that is going to fall, I sure as heck don't know. Yep, I don't want to be regulated, but I don't want you listening in whilst I talk with my girlfriend. Any input on this mess would sure be appreciated!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ag7l6omic3@quark.scn.rain.com...
> Gary Danaher <gdanaher@attbi.com> wrote:
> > 1. The test should never be free. Nothing is free because it takes time
and
> > material, so somebody has to pay. Might as well be the guy asking for
the service.
>
> I did not say cost free - I said test free for the Tech license. It would
> then be a no code, no written license.
>
> That would supply the rational the FCC would need to move the CBers to
> the Tech ham frequencies over a period of time.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

485. we don't need no stinkin' subject

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 23:14:24 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D2779D1.A1CA82CB@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 129514

Keith Hosman wrote:

> I would love to Randy but I am on the Alert team for all of Ohio for
> the entire month of July, which means I can't go on any assignments
> out of state until August.

July is just beginning in this Summer Of Disaster. (Just guessing :)

486. Where's Dave or Debbie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 23:23:07 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D277BDB.368C293B@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 129515

Our weekend troll is missing.

See if you can see his/her picture on a milk carton near you.

487. Good Virus Tool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 23:25:08 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D277C55.D92F0E9@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 129516

What's the best tool to check/detect/remove the latest damn
klez/email virus?

488. Get one too, I got karl@cb-radio.ismyhobby.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 23:35:01 UTC
From: Karl Treier <karl.treier@ismywasmy.com>
Message-ID: <3d269a87$1_3@news.meganetnews.com>
Source record: 129517

See what you can get at www.ismywasmy.com

489. Re: Good Virus Tool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 23:36:39 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <baLV8.81679$UT.5556923@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129518

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D277C55.D92F0E9@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> What's the best tool to check/detect/remove the latest damn
> klez/email virus?

I use McAfee, my dad has Norton, both more or less by default. Both are good at detecting it. As far as cleaning it, I would suggest you look it up in the virus library at http://mcafee-at-home.com to see their cleaning procedure. This Klez G worm is a bitchkitty.

490. Re: Good Virus Tool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 23:42:15 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D278057.25484661@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 129519

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D277C55.D92F0E9@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > What's the best tool to check/detect/remove the latest damn
> > klez/email virus?
>
> I use McAfee, my dad has Norton, both more or less by default. Both are
> good at detecting it. As far as cleaning it, I would suggest you look it
> up in the virus library at http://mcafee-at-home.com to see their cleaning
> procedure. This Klez G worm is a bitchkitty.

Thanks. I just picked up a machine that the owner claims has a virus.
The F-Prot I just ran on it found something. The line was:
"C:\Mookie\Attach.exe is a joke file". Now I'm searching to find out
what it is.

Worst case if norton don't fit on a floppy, is to burn a CD. I'm not
attaching this machine to my network until I'm sure it's clean. Then
I copy all the data off, make backups onto CD's, nuke it and reload
a fresh, clean OS on it. This machine is a software kludge from hell!

491. Kenneth Trolls The Waters Of rrcb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 23:42:36 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207061542.5011d301@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129520

"Kenneth" pwrguy@intergrafix.net wrote:
<snip>
>Out of perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard
>(read illigal) rigs. The other 10 percent will get one
>within a year.
<snip>
>three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham rigs
>and one even had a marine radio.
<snip>
>Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just went out and bought
>them and installed them and use them and they tell me
>most Hams talk to them

LOL, I love how he makes up very specific "facts" (i.e. 90%, 3 truck stops, 5 guys) as he goes along.

-Aaron-

492. Re: Good Virus Tool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-06 23:55:39 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%rLV8.81711$UT.5557156@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129521

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D278057.25484661@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D277C55.D92F0E9@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > > What's the best tool to check/detect/remove the latest damn
> > > klez/email virus?
> >
> > I use McAfee, my dad has Norton, both more or less by default. Both
are
> > good at detecting it. As far as cleaning it, I would suggest you look
it
> > up in the virus library at http://mcafee-at-home.com to see their
cleaning
> > procedure. This Klez G worm is a bitchkitty.
>
> Thanks. I just picked up a machine that the owner claims has a virus.
> The F-Prot I just ran on it found something. The line was:
> "C:\Mookie\Attach.exe is a joke file". Now I'm searching to find out
> what it is.
>
> Worst case if norton don't fit on a floppy, is to burn a CD. I'm not
> attaching this machine to my network until I'm sure it's clean. Then
> I copy all the data off, make backups onto CD's, nuke it and reload
> a fresh, clean OS on it. This machine is a software kludge from hell!

The Klez is officially w32/klez and has variants out the waz. Just put
'Contains Klez' into the Mcafee search tool and 24 versions come up.
Unfortunately one of its cutes is to attack anti-virus tool files.

493. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 00:00:49 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ag80bh$c7a$4@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129522

Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> Bill, I'm not sure I'm ready to pass judgement on your suggestion. My
> personal thought is if you don't spend anything (either dollars or time and
> effort), you have nothing invested and nothing to lose - therefore anything
> goes. On the other hand, identification is required and eventually if you
> don't, problems occur. If you do, you don't want to go too far overboard.
> The whole thing boils down to the fact that the spectrum (from nearly dc to
> light) is a shared resource. Obviously, folks want their television, some
> want a private conversation on their telephone - be it conventional or
> cellular - and still others want to listen in. Somewhere, there has to be
> some compromise. Where that is going to fall, I sure as heck don't know.

It was not a serious suggestion. But it is probably the only way that the
FCC would have the chance to move CB to above 30 MHz. Even then it would
be a long hard effort.

Of course, it wouldn't have to be a ham band. Two or three MHz anywhere
above 30 MHz would suffice. Allow VFO usage and possibly more power and
less restrictive antenna heights or allowed ranges of communication.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

494. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 00:07:07 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D27861D.FD64BDB3@icok.net>
Source record: 129523

Kenneth,
You're right! LOL
'Doc

495. Re: Good Virus Tool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 00:10:18 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D2786EB.2FEC6236@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 129524

```
Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D278057.25484661@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> >
> >
> > Richard McCollum wrote:
> > >
> > > "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3D277C55.D92F0E9@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > > > What's the best tool to check/detect/remove the latest damn
> > > > klez/email virus?
> > >
> > > I use McAfee, my dad has Norton, both more or less by default. Both
> are
> > > good at detecting it. As far as cleaning it, I would suggest you look
> it
> > > up in the virus library at http://mcafee-at-home.com to see their
> cleaning
> > > procedure. This Klez G worm is a bitchkitty.
> >
> > Thanks. I just picked up a machine that the owner claims has a virus.
> > The F-Prot I just ran on it found something. The line was:
> > "C:\Mookie\Attach.exe is a joke file". Now I'm searching to find out
> > what it is.
> >
> > Worst case if norton don't fit on a floppy, is to burn a CD. I'm not
> > attaching this machine to my network until I'm sure it's clean. Then
> > I copy all the data off, make backups onto CD's, nuke it and reload
> > a fresh, clean OS on it. This machine is a software kludge from hell!
>
> The Klez is officially w32/klez and has variants out the waz. Just put
> 'Contains Klez' into the Mcafee search tool and 24 versions come up.
> Unfortunately one of its cutes is to attack anti-virus tool files.

Well, I have all 30 megs of Norton downloaded. I'm waiting for Mcaffee to finish,
I'll blast 'em to CD and clean up this 'puter in no time.
```

496. Re: FCC jihad and unintended consiquences

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 00:50:44 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <EfMV8.52679$q53.1501947@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129525

"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:lnpeiugh0hia0jqvm0nsg2cehvb1ac40ls@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 06 Jul 2002 02:05:49 GMT, "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
> >news:r6dciusivtbhni5cc11s8ojgm8f7igtmff@4ax.com...
> >> On Thu, 4 Jul 2002 17:45:02 -0400, "Lou" <tech@dp.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Well, let me tell you.......
> >> >
> >> >A person who is the President of an organization near me recently
posted
> >a
> >> >sign. On that sign, were at least a half dozen misspelled words. What
is
> >> >this guy's background? TEACHER!!!!! A few who read the sign and knew
his
> >> >background shook their heads in disbelief - asking how he could have
been
> >in
> >> >that position.
> >> >
> >> >Another teacher I know, believe it or not, didn't know how to....hang
on
> >to
> >> >your chairs... address an envelope!
> >> >
> >> >Now I am NOT saying any or all teachers are bad... But you would think
> >> >teacher #1 would have edited that sign before posting. It sure didn't
> >make
> >> >him look too worthy of his "educational" background. As for #2?
Bankrupt
> >in
> >> >common sense?
> >> >
> >> >As advanced as this country is, we still have a LONG way to go in the
> >> >education area. From what "I" see, it's going backwards, not forward.
> >> >Everyone makes errors, but those were blatant examples. Frightening!
> >> >
> >> >My two cents.
> >> >
> >> >Lou/Tech@DP.NET

> >> >
> >>
> >> There is a vocational high scgool in our county that teaches kids
> >> trades, i,e, plumbing, electrical, building trades. These kids and
> >> classes do projects every year. The projects include building complete
> >> houses that people will live in, they have like a 5 year waiting list
> >> of people wanting the school to build theure houses.
> >>
> >> Anyway the point of this, the electrical class instructor called a
> >> friend of mine to his house, my friend is an electrician, because the
> >> electrical instructor din;t know how to put in a lousy 3-way switch.
> >>
> >> Yeah buddy I am going to run roight out and have that class wire my
> >> new house sheesh.
> >>
> >> 73 de Keith
> >> KC8TCQ
> >> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
> >> Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
> >> American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist
> >
> >Hey Keith, just wondering, are you coming to Texas to assist with the
floods
> >in the Hill Country?
> >
>
> I would love to Randy but I am on the Alert team for all of Ohio for
> the entire month of July, which means I can't go on any assignments
> out of state until August.
>
> 73 de Keith
>
> KC8TCQ
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
> Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
> American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

Probably a good thing right now Keith. Not that you aren't needed, but it is hot as hell here now! And like Scott said, it's probably just the beginning, so I'm sure you'll get your turn. Hope not though. Hurricane season generally cranks up mid August, so we're not out of the woods yet.

Take care,
-Randy

497. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 01:00:32 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <QoMV8.27850$PN5.631090@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 129526

I don't know how it works in the US but in Canada the IC boys setup at scales and during the mass safety checks on places like the Trans-Canada Highway. They go through your car, truck or van with a fine tooth comb while Transport Canada does a safety inspection and the RCMP runs all of your paper work. Also fish and game look for Poached wildlife and illegal fire arms. I went through one in a taxi cab one time and was tied up for 1/2 hr while everything was checked including the radio for extra mods. While I was in there 2 truckers were busted for outlaw radios, issued fines and radios seized. Boy you should of heard them scream.

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out
of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> ignored repeated warnings.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.
> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
generaly
> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and
have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> Sincerely
> Ken
>

>

498. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 01:15:12 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uif5g16f42lu8e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129527

That is virtually nothing. A very good friend of mine had a friend who went to Canada and caught more fish than he should have. He hid the fish in the boat. He got caught - they took the fish, the boat, and the truck. He walked over the bridge to the US! What is going to cause problems is not the FCC per se, it will be the screaming from other countries that will force the FCC to do its' job.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:QoMV8.27850$PN5.631090@news1.telusplanet.net...
> I don't know how it works in the US but in Canada the IC boys setup at
> scales and during the mass safety checks on places like the Trans-Canada
> Highway. They go through your car, truck or van with a fine tooth comb
while
> Transport Canada does a safety inspection and the RCMP runs all of your
> paper work. Also fish and game look for Poached wildlife and illegal fire
> arms. I went through one in a taxi cab one time and was tied up for 1/2 hr
> while everything was checked including the radio for extra mods. While I
was
> in there 2 truckers were busted for outlaw radios, issued fines and radios
> seized. Boy you should of heard them scream.
>
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> > As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out
> of
> > perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal)
rigs.
> > The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> > Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of
there
> > ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> > shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> > against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in
a
> > million years.
> > Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a
3
> > year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> > ignored repeated warnings.
> > Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's
and
> > use them and people will sell them.

> > Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here
while
> > worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
> generaly
> > make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a
liscense
> > it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and
> have
> > fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and
scans
> > the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad
guy"
> > Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
> rigs
> > and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and
just
> > went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell
me
> > most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> > liscense.
> > Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> > Sincerely
> > Ken
> >
> >
>
>

499. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 01:33:09 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <pTMV8.593519$%y.37987534@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129528

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D22E26D.45C6@worldlynx.net...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > You may have a point. My theory is that there is a certain credibility
> > gained from putting the truth right up front. Wysiwyg
> >
>
> But one of the valid points that have been raised by some of the CBers,
> is that posting a ham call, is sometimes viewed as "flaunting" the
> ticket.

I disagree Dave.

> I have mine in my e-mail header, but I don't post it for just
> that reason. If the topic of discussion heads in that direction, I will
> state the truth. Unless there's a specific reason to mention a ham
> license, I usually try not to, as it is not usually relevant to the
> topics that are discussed here.

It seems many CBers are VERY interested in the area of "is he/she or is he/she not a licensed Ham." Just check out the look up counter for me on QRZ. Posting the call sign answers that question right up front. Whether that has anything to do with the discussion at hand is up to the posters themselves, and silences the those who claim you were trying to hide the fact, thus having one less non-issue to argue over.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"Understanding is the reward of faith.
Therefore, seek not to understand
that thou mayest believe, but
believe that thou mayest
understand."

Aurelius Augustinus

500. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 01:47:54 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15374-3D279DCA-55@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129531

From: justwhatineedmorespam@nothanks.con (Colonel Chim Chim)
> Jeebus H. Quisp! Who the heck cares what proof
there is? <

Kevin does, otherwise he wouldn't keep crying for proof that he's lying.
It's real simple,,,check the Texas court system that he said all these
cbers were caught up in. They don't exist.

>If Kevin chooses to bust a bunch of radio idiots, so be it. It's about
time somebody busts the people interfering on radios and television
sets<

No problem with that.

> "TEN FOUR BIG BUDDY "BEEP". I will side with anybody 100% that chooses
to "bust" any "radio problems", be it C.B. or amateur radio. If a person
disobeys the laws, hehe, perhaps they deserve to be "busted". Maybe not,
but that's just MY opinion.<

If a person disobeys the laws, "hehe?"
I agree, some fo the laws are indeed a laughing matter. I don;t have a
problem with Kevin lending his self to catching radio villains, or
anyone for that matter. Liars are another story. If one lies, nothing
about them can be considered even remotely credible. Kevin made the
claims, I asked for something, anything for him to back them up, as I
knew before he even posted of the 9 cbers that were involved in state
court systems last year across the country, so if I am guilty of
anything, it is setting him up like a bowling pin to reply. And he did.
With more lies.
Kevin is full of shit.

501. Prices lowered RADIO GEAR FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 01:52:02 UTC
From: ":P" <webmaster@alkemi.zzn.com>
Message-ID: <3d279ed3$0$79553$4bb17d6e@news.dwave.net>
Source record: 129529

Getting out of radio sale..

Paypal ONLY, all prices are plus shipping.

Texas Star DX400
Bought this as a project, needs new transistors, 4x 2sc2290
new faceplate, new buttoncaps.
add the 4 2290s and you will have a like new amp $50.00

Pyramid PS36kx 35 amp power supply used to test the 225, then packed
away, new condition, Fan cooled, Variable voltage, voltage and
amp meters new condition $65.00

Magnum 257 10/11 meter mobile used a couple times in the House
am/fm/ssb, new condition $100.00

full specs can be seen at http://www.magnumradio.com/

RCI 2950
Used a couple times in house, am/fm/ssb/cw 10/11 meter mobile
new condition. last production before DX $140.00

Older radios

Demco Super Sattelite 23 base, 3 pieces with modulator fair to good
condition $75.00

realistic trc 30a, nice condition, original box, 23 channel am base
$20.00

Metrotek Pacer II Tube am base 10 channel tx, 23 channel tuneable rx
$25.00

2 Johnson messenger 1 am base good condition $20.00 each

Handheld Echo mike $10.00

D104 Golden Eagle desk mike, Gold plated limited edition Astatic mike,
Plating
is about 80%, works perfectly has sound toy button installed on base
no sound toy. not in collectors condition but looks decent and works
excellent. $60.00

2 D104 Desk mikes amplified stands work good
condition $35.00 each

Turner Super Sidekick Desk mike black/chrome very good, $35.00

Turner Expander 500 desk mike Good condition $35.00

Turner +2 desk mike have 2 $30.00 each
Turner M+3 mobile +3 hand mike Fair condition $15.00

Rf-unlimited Turbo Echo (best Echo Board) have 2, new in package
$20.00 each

Avon Ding-dong sound toy $10 each have 2

Roger beep board Single i think $10.00 new

Antron 99 $30.00 up for a few weeks
Wilson V-quad $45.00 up for a few weeks

PDC 700 power/swr/mod meter $35

Heathkit DX100 missing some tubes otherwise complete fair condition
restore or parts untested $75
Heathkit DX40 Complete, good condition works well $60.00
heathkit DX60b works good, good condition $60.00

All prices are
PLUS SHIPPING, your choice on shipping method, Pickup is fine.

Email webmaster@alkemi.zzn.com

502. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 02:11:38 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uif8prd8i65n8d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129530

Bill, I understand what you are saying. They have opened up MURS (2 watts, virtually no antenna restriction), but a problem remains. Someone running a KW in California could be heard (under the proper conditions) in Hawaii. I think (I could be wrong, of course) that the VHF business band is within 5 years of being trashed. Scatter will allow a moderately powered station with a good beam to communicate for well over 100 miles - even with no line of sight. Possibly 250 miles or more, depending upon power, antenna gain, height, and mode. Then folks will discover 'capture effect'. With some radios available which run a number of channels (some of which are MURS), and powering down for MURS, it won't take long for people to use the other channels (plus an amp) and wreck havoc upon the business band. There IS a reason for Motorola and Radio Shack to change their positions on MURS. My but feeling is that the businesses are going to remember who put this proposal on the table to make more money. Gut feeling is 900 MHz. Power will still allow extended range under favorable conditions, but, for the most part, folks can enjoy reasonable interference range for 10 to 15 miles with a decent antenna.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ag80bh$c7a$4@quark.scn.rain.com...
> Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> > Bill, I'm not sure I'm ready to pass judgement on your suggestion. My
> > personal thought is if you don't spend anything (either dollars or time
and
> > effort), you have nothing invested and nothing to lose - therefore
anything
> > goes. On the other hand, identification is required and eventually if
you
> > don't, problems occur. If you do, you don't want to go too far
overboard.
> > The whole thing boils down to the fact that the spectrum (from nearly dc
to
> > light) is a shared resource. Obviously, folks want their television,
some
> > want a private conversation on their telephone - be it conventional or
> > cellular - and still others want to listen in. Somewhere, there has to
be
> > some compromise. Where that is going to fall, I sure as heck don't
know.
>
> It was not a serious suggestion. But it is probably the only way that the
> FCC would have the chance to move CB to above 30 MHz. Even then it would

> be a long hard effort.
>
> Of course, it wouldn't have to be a ham band. Two or three MHz anywhere
> above 30 MHz would suffice. Allow VFO usage and possibly more power and
> less restrictive antenna heights or allowed ranges of communication.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

503. Re: Good Virus Tool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 02:17:26 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <WwNV8.82167$UT.5571040@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129532

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D2786EB.2FEC6236@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D278057.25484661@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > Richard McCollum wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:3D277C55.D92F0E9@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > > > > What's the best tool to check/detect/remove the latest damn
> > > > > klez/email virus?
> > > >
> > > > I use McAfee, my dad has Norton, both more or less by default.
Both
> > are
> > > > good at detecting it. As far as cleaning it, I would suggest you
look
> > it
> > > > up in the virus library at http://mcafee-at-home.com to see their
> > cleaning
> > > > procedure. This Klez G worm is a bitchkitty.
> > >
> > > Thanks. I just picked up a machine that the owner claims has a
virus.
> > > The F-Prot I just ran on it found something. The line was:
> > > "C:\Mookie\Attach.exe is a joke file". Now I'm searching to find out
> > > what it is.
> > >
> > > Worst case if norton don't fit on a floppy, is to burn a CD. I'm not
> > > attaching this machine to my network until I'm sure it's clean. Then
> > > I copy all the data off, make backups onto CD's, nuke it and reload
> > > a fresh, clean OS on it. This machine is a software kludge from
hell!
> >
> > The Klez is officially w32/klez and has variants out the waz. Just put
> > 'Contains Klez' into the Mcafee search tool and 24 versions come up.
> > Unfortunately one of its cutes is to attack anti-virus tool files.
>
>

> Well, I have all 30 megs of Norton downloaded. I'm waiting for Mcaffee to finish,
> I'll blast 'em to CD and clean up this 'puter in no time.

Just be sure you have the latest patch file up. These things are fairly new. Found a piece of an old J-S loop on tonite's scan.

504. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 02:29:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22951-3D27A783-364@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129534

From: wb5rue@qsl.net (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24686-3D25DF55-37@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
wb5rue@qsl.net (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
>Yeah, right....turn the tables to avoid having to prove your
accusations. <

Ummm, the accusations were yours Muenzler, I merely asked you to back
them up and you went to pieces and began acting out.

>What accusations did I make? <

You made many, including accusing me of being several insultive names,
because you can''t effectively have a friendly discussion or
disagreement. You turned rabid when I merely asked for some substance of
your claims. AFTER you insulted and added more lies in a failed attempt
at covering your original lie, I called you a liar, but anyone can now
contact the great state of Texas and find that out for themself.

>You are the one making the accusations. I made claims, you made
accusations of me being a liar because you don't believe them. <

Because they don't exist, Muenzler. You realized you were in over your
head when you mentioned the "request" bullshit from some judge. Judges
don't request Jack, Muenzler, they order it, especially when it comes to
not leaking something or another. What else is absolutely hilarious,
that I never even mentioned yet, is that there would be no reason
whatsoever for a judge to issue a ban on talkiing about a cb case, or
even a request, concerning ALL these hammies. None are in the court
system of Texas. Not a mystery.

>I don't have to provide proof of my claims. <

Of course you don't....unless you want to be believed.

>I really don't care if you believe me or not.
I made statements, you called them lies, prove they are lies. That's all
I ask.<

That's pretty funny. The burden of PROVING anything lays with you.

>Well, in this country at least (I don't know which on you are in) the
burden of proof remains on the accuser. You accused me of being a liar,
prove it.<

Yea? Well get this, on usenet, the burden of proof lays with the one who
makes the claims....but anyone who wishes to search, or better yet, make
the calls, will find exactly what I said......none of it happened the

way you claimed. Get over it.

> I gave you a few callsigns, check them out. You seem to be very good at going to the internet and doing searches, go for it. I never said anything about "gag orders" I said that the court requested that the cases not be discussed, there was never an order. <

See above.

YOU made the claims, and were caught up in the lies concerning "gag orders" that were never issued, you then back-pedaled fiercely claiming they were not gag orders at all, but mere requests.

>Yep, just like you said that I claimed to have "personally busted 27 hams." I never made such claims and posted my exact words back into the thread. You quickly changed the conversation. I never said that there were gag orders. Go back and read the thread.

The requests are part of
the public record... transcripts as well as case numbers, court jacket info., judges name, prosecutorial teams name, etc., etc., are all there for the taking and you have failed to produce ANYTHING of substance at all, except to insult and cry "PROVE I'm lying." I already did, only you don't have the intellect to realize it.
>You have proven nothing other than you are a blazing fool that can't hold course in a conversation.<

Oh, now that's really rich.
It was you Muenzler, not I that exhibied the deficit in the art of effective communication by hurling insults. Claimig otherwise doesn't make it any more true that your previous lies.

> When you make accusations of something that I said and I re-post my exact words showing that it is YOU that are lying you quickly change the course of the conversation. Grow up.<

All this because I merely asked you to back up what you said. You haven't.

>Since you are unwilling to even do this then I guess the conversation is over. <

It was over the first time you said "Prove I'm lying."..

>That's right, because you can't prove that I am lying, <

I had a hand in it, the great state of Texas proved you were lying.

>anything else is just you blowing smoke.
But in the interest of fairness, to a point, here are four callsigns who are no longer allocated because of convictions and/or license revocations in the past two years.
K5UJA, WA5NOP, KC7HPA, N5NLQ <

And these mean absolutely nothing in the context of your lies.

>Because you are either too lazy or afraid to check them out. Just like you are either too afraid or lazy to check out the Official Observer pages at the ARRL. You made the claims that they don't exist.<

No, re-read exactly what I typed.
You want to play semantics? It was only AFTER I claimed there was NO SUCH THING AS AN OFFICAL FCC OBSERVER that you dropped that tag like a hot potato. Incorrectly referring to yourself as an FCC observer was intentionally misleading and deceitful.

> It's much too easy to just sit back and cry "liar, liar pants on fire." Like I said, you and Mopedaholic are nothing more than two (one) childish characters. No wonder it's called Children's Band.
Kevin, WB5RUE<

Well, this group of children buried your lies.

505. Re: Prices lowered RADIO GEAR FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 02:39:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22953-3D27A9E8-59@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129533

Dayum! Do the Magnums look like the Cherokee CBS 1000 and it's knockoff the Tomahawk? Only difference was the Cherokee had the weather bands and no FM and the Tomahawk had FM and no weather.

506. Re: Good Virus Tool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 02:52:04 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uifb5kqqek0c76@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129535

Dipped if I know. I am using AVG and it is free and updates on its own or I can force it to update. It works. I received an e-mail from a close friend this week and it popped up and showed me what the virus was which was attached to the file. Of course, Zone Alarm has popped up before it in the past with alerts to a virus. I am still trying to gather my wits to put Linux back on the PC. I definately do NOT trust anything from the internet.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message news:3D277C55.D92F0E9@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> What's the best tool to check/detect/remove the latest damn
> klez/email virus?

507. What happen to Export Electronics ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 03:04:52 UTC
From: allenlp1 <allenlp1@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <odOV8.256476$nZ3.116364@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 129536

I haven't look for radio for a while and now it looks like there gone from what I remember the were pretty big so does anyone know if there out of bizs.

508. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 03:14:06 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <%bOV8.64218$N8.69632140@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129537

WG <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:QoMV8.27850$PN5.631090@news1.telusplanet.net...
> I don't know how it works in the US but in Canada the IC boys setup at
> scales and during the mass safety checks on places like the Trans-Canada
> Highway. They go through your car, truck or van with a fine tooth comb
while
> Transport Canada does a safety inspection and the RCMP runs all of your
> paper work. Also fish and game look for Poached wildlife and illegal fire
> arms. I went through one in a taxi cab one time and was tied up for 1/2 hr
> while everything was checked including the radio for extra mods. While I
was
> in there 2 truckers were busted for outlaw radios, issued fines and radios
> seized. Boy you should of heard them scream.

THAT is what the truckers don't understand. Often, they are full of the "I
drive BIG,
so therefore I AM". The complaints are already coming in, there are MORE
than
the 8 busts/warnings Kenneth cited, and their illegal intrusions will NOT go
unpunished.
One of the ideas that OOIDA fought tooth and nail to prevent was the very
truck in-
spections that Transport Canada did in the above post. That very thing
could come
back to bite US truckers in the butt. If anyone thinks that truckers are
bigger, badder,
and more deserving of radio spectrum than others in this country, you are
simply dense.
They already have the same "extra channels" that every other user has: MURS
and
FRS. Radio usage is a P R I V I L E G E---not a right--granted by the
government.
Like the FCC or not, their duties and powers are granted by Congress, they
have been
challenged more than once, and without them, your covetous regard for
frequencies and bands would only work against you and everybody else.
Apparently, you only see
with tunnel vision in regard to the concept of Spectrum Management, and if
your dream of unfettered access to more and more frequencies were to come
true, you and
all your friends, not to mention all users, would be plagued by such
hopeless interfer-ence that no amount of "leenyars" would help except
maybe to fry the brains of those
who use them, thus abating to some degree their useless presence on the

airwaves.

>
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> > As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out
> of
> > perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal)
rigs.
> > The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> > Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of
there
> > ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> > shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> > against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in
a
> > million years.

Do you really want to bet on that? I go to truck stops and verify sales of
illegal equip-
ment without ever saying a word. What's the point? I just locate, verify,
and report what I see. There are many more than 8 warnings/busts for this
very thing.

> > Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a
3
> > year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> > ignored repeated warnings.
> > Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's
and
> > use them and people will sell them.

Buy 'em, use them on the 40 channels. You aren't likely to run into trouble
(yet).
Go up onto the ham bands, you're going to have trouble, guaranteed. What
you do
on the 40, I have nothing to do with. Go into my "pasture", and you're
likely to get
gored.

> > Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here
while
> > worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
> generaly
> > make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a
liscense
> > it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and
> have
> > fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and
scans
> > the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad
guy"

> > Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
> rigs
> > and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and
just
> > went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell
me
> > most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> > liscense.
> > Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good

Yes, we hear you, and the Feds are hearing complaints from people, domestic
and
otherwise about interference caused by lawless and rogue operators that want
to
take theirs and others' as well. When they amend the "Local Enforcement"
law to
include inspections of trucks, are you going to scream and moan about how,
"Ah
di'n know they 'uz gonna do tha-ut". Ah got mah 'rahts'. Pity you DON'T
know that
the battle is just beginning. I happen to know that there are letters
circulating in Con-
gress and on the desks of members of the House Sub-Committee on
Communications
complaining about truckers, and others, that think that they are going to
take over.
I'm betting it WILL be dealt with.

Oh, BTW, there AREN'T anymore CB channels to allocate--most of that
"freeband"
area belongs to the military and US government and doesn't even fall under
FCC's
jurisdiction. THAT is why they haven't "made more of them thar CB channels,
thar".It doesn't matter if they NEVER use them, they still OWN them.

You might want to subscribe to the concept of NEVER SAY NEVER. Instead of
getting more this, more that, you could end up losing what you have. Not
imminent,
but it *COULD* be argued that 27 MHZ CB, in light of modern technology, has
outlived its usefulness, and also is creating more problems than it solves.
Would you
want to find yourself on a VHF or UHF, low power, non-skip band? That is
NOT
impossible. Go ahead and scoff. The fact now is, that among the powerful
and in-fluential, CB is considered an anachronism-associated with lower
strata of society.
Not nice, but true. To them, the picture of a 1950s trailer with a
ground-plane, a
ratty "Shiv-o-lay" pickup with a long whip personifies CB radio. So be
careful
what you brag about or hope for--the end result may be exactly the opposite

of what you want. Lawlessness and mob rule doesn't achieve the correct result: rather, restrict-
ions and removal of the very thing you seem to enjoy so much.

J

> Sincerely

> > Ken
> >

> >
>
>

509. Re: Good Virus Tool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 03:17:38 UTC
From: k8cpa@arrl.net
Message-ID: <3d27b2af.31055222@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 129538

On Sat, 06 Jul 2002 23:25:08 GMT, Scott Sixnine
<scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote:

>What's the best tool to check/detect/remove the latest damn
>klez/email virus

AVG Anti-virus

Http://www.grisoft.com

and best of all, it's FREE!

510. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 03:30:05 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <1BOV8.31599$YC4.582975@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 129539

I believe the part about the boat. We had 2 fishing boats that were using modified ham gear on VHF and didn't realize that they were on the input to the police repeter. I listened to them all day on my scanner and then they had a very nice welcoming party when they got to port. 2 nice professional fishing boats with all the gear became the property of the Canadian federal government. The trick is that if they want to get the boats back they have to take the government to court at their cost. That was problem the same with your friend. If not the stuff goes up for auction and the government gets the cash. I work as a shipper and have had trucks seized for the same offences on the way to my warehouse. We do get the trailer and the load but the truck gets locked up. They all could have BSed their way out of it if they would of had a ham license but no too lazy. I have also heard about privet cars and trucks getting seized at the road checks.

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:uif5g16f42lu8e@corp.supernews.com...
> That is virtually nothing. A very good friend of mine had a friend who
went
> to Canada and caught more fish than he should have. He hid the fish in
the
> boat. He got caught - they took the fish, the boat, and the truck. He
> walked over the bridge to the US! What is going to cause problems is not
> the FCC per se, it will be the screaming from other countries that will
> force the FCC to do its' job.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
> news:QoMV8.27850$PN5.631090@news1.telusplanet.net...
> > I don't know how it works in the US but in Canada the IC boys setup at
> > scales and during the mass safety checks on places like the Trans-Canada
> > Highway. They go through your car, truck or van with a fine tooth comb
> while
> > Transport Canada does a safety inspection and the RCMP runs all of your
> > paper work. Also fish and game look for Poached wildlife and illegal
fire
> > arms. I went through one in a taxi cab one time and was tied up for 1/2
hr
> > while everything was checked including the radio for extra mods. While I
> was
> > in there 2 truckers were busted for outlaw radios, issued fines and
radios

> > seized. Boy you should of heard them scream.
> >
> > "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> > news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> > > As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents.
Out
> > of
> > > perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal)
> rigs.
> > > The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> > > Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of
> there
> > > ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a
cb
> > > shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them
it's
> > > against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not
in
> a
> > > million years.
> > > Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over
a
> 3
> > > year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations
that
> > > ignored repeated warnings.
> > > Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's
> and
> > > use them and people will sell them.
> > > Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here
> while
> > > worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
> > generaly
> > > make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a
> liscense
> > > it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool
and
> > have
> > > fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and
> scans
> > > the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad
> guy"
> > > Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM
Ham
> > rigs
> > > and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and
> just
> > > went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell
> me
> > > most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a

> > > liscense.
> > > Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> > > Sincerely
> > > Ken
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

511. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 03:51:26 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3LOV8.64253$N8.69817926@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129540

In addition to "Rabbit Ears"

New York Taxis learned the hard way not to encroach onto 10 Meters!
NEWS
Report No. CI 98-6 COMPLIANCE & INFORMATION ACTION April 27, 1998

FCC INSPECTION PROGRAM REDUCES NUMBER OF NEW YORK CITY TAXI
CABS FOUND OPERATING CB RADIOS ON ILLEGAL CHANNELS

The FCC Compliance and Information Bureau announced today that an inspection program of New York City taxicabs has reduced the number of cab drivers illegally using
CB radios on amateur radio band frequencies.

Following receipt of numerous complaints that New York City taxis were interfering
with amateur "ham radio" bands, (10 Meter Radio Band), agents from the Bureau's New
York field office began an investigation in early January, and verified that cab drivers were
not using CB radios on designated CB channels, but were using amateur radio frequencies.

In cooperation with the New York City Taxi and Limousine Commission (TLC) which governs all taxi cabs, FCC investigators began to make periodic, random inspections
of cab drivers' CB radios at the TLC taxi inspection facility in Woodside, NY, where all
New York City taxi cabs undergo an inspection once every four months. At first, FCC
investigators found that nearly every taxi CB radio inspected had been modified to operate
out of the legal CB band and in the amateur radio band. Verbal warnings were issued to all
those found to be in violation and a warning letter was sent to their homes.

After 2-3 months of random FCC inspections, evidence of CB frequency violations
began decreasing dramatically. Current inspections indicate that only one out of every 10
New York cabs operating CB radios are transmitting on improper channels. The FCC
reported that amateur radio operators have stated that taxi cab operation intrusions into the
amateur 10 meter radio band appear to have disappeared.

In addition to the FCC inspections, the TLC conducted a mailout campaign to all taxi
owners advising them of FCC regulations related to CB operations. During the inspections
the FCC agents explained to each cab driver why they were operating illegally, how they
were interfering with amateur radio users, and what the proper frequency is for legal CB
channel transmissions. Since the beginning of the inspection program, the CB radios in
approximately 100 taxis were checked. TLC rules permit taxi drivers to have CB radios in
their cabs as long as they meet FCC regulations.
- FCC -

Think inspections can't happen to trucks? Once taxis, then truckers who bring it
on themselves

J

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> ignored repeated warnings.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.
> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and generaly
> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!

> Sincerely
> Ken
>
>

512. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 03:53:07 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <EMOV8.64254$N8.69824770@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129541

Enforcement that you say isn't happening:

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)
)
THE TWO WAY SHOP) File No. EB-99-ST-439
220 Columbia Dr. E.)
Kennewick, Washington 99336) NAL/Acct. No. 915ST0007

FORFEITURE ORDER

Adopted: April 6, 2000 Released: April 7, 2000

By the Chief, Enforcement Bureau:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. In this Forfeiture Order ("Order"), we issue a monetary forfeiture in the amount of seven thousand dollars ($7,000) against the Two Way Shop ("Two Way") for willful and repeated violations of Section 302 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended ("Act"), and Sections 2.803 and 2.815 of the of the Commission's Rules ("Rules"). These violations involve Two Way 's offer for sale of linear amplifiers capable of operation in the 26.965 to 27.405 MHz frequency band.

2. On July 14, 1999, the Commission's Seattle, Washington, Field Office, issued the referenced Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture ("NAL") for a monetary forfeiture in the amount of seven thousand dollars ($7,000) to Two Way for the noted violations. Patrick Cleppe, owner and operator of Two Way, filed a response to the NAL on July 26, 1999.

II. BACKGROUND

3. Two Way is a consumer electronics dealer in Kennewick, Washington. On March 19, 1999, an FCC agent from the Commission's Seattle Field Office, who was posing as a member of the general public, visited Two Way's place of business at 220 Columbia Drive E., Kennewick, Washington. During that visit, a salesman who the agent believes is named Gary Greenfield offered to sell an external radio frequency power amplifier -- commonly known as a "linear amplifier" -- to the agent. The linear amplifier offered to the agent was capable of operation in the 26.965 to 27.405 MHz frequency band, which is assigned to citizens band radio ("CB") operators. Such a linear amplifier is used to increase the power of CB stations beyond the authorized level. On May 15, 1999, two FCC agents from the Commission's Seattle Field Office, who

were posing as members of the general public, visited Two Way's place of business. On this occasion, a salesman, who the agents believe to be Mr. Cleppe, showed the agents Galaxy XL350 and Palomar 80 linear amplifiers and told the agents that an additional model (Galaxy XL250) was expected to be in stock later that day. The salesman offered to sell these linear amplifiers, which were capable of operation in the 26.965 to 27.405 MHz frequency band, to the agents. Section 302 of the Act, and Sections 2.803 and 2.815 of the Rules prohibit the sale or offer for sale of linear amplifiers that are capable of operation in the 26.965 to 27.405 MHz frequency band. On July 14, 1999, the District Director, Seattle Field Office, issued an NAL to Two Way in the amount of $7,000 for willful and repeated violations of Section 302 of the Act, which prohibits the sale or offer for sale of transmitting equipment not in compliance with the FCC rules, and Sections 2.803 and 2.815 of the Rules, which prohibit the sale or offer for sale of linear amplifiers that are capable of operation in the 26.965 to 27.405 MHz frequency band.

4. On July 26, 1999, the Commission received the response to the NAL, in which Two Way requests the cancellation of the proposed forfeiture. Two Way asserts: that Gary Greenfield was not an employee of Two Way at any time during March 1999; that Two Way is a proprietorship which has never had any employees; that its business records indicate it never purchased any linear amplifiers; and that Two Way collects sales taxes and charges $38.50 for installation and tuning. In addition, Two Way states, if its response does not close this matter, it is making a "motion for Discovery."

III. DISCUSSION

5. As the NAL explicitly states, the forfeiture amount in this case was assessed in accordance with Section 503 of the Act, Section 1.80 of the Rules, and The Commission's Forfeiture Policy Statement and Amendment of Section 1.80 of the Rules to Incorporate the Forfeiture Guidelines, 12 FCC Rcd 17087 (1997), recon. denied, FCC 99-407, (Released Dec. 28, 1999) ("Policy Statement"). In examining Two Way's response, Section 503(b) of the Act requires that the Commission take into account the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violation and, with respect to the violator, the degree of culpability, any history of prior offenses, ability to pay, and other such matters as justice may require.

6. The claims made in Two Way's attack upon the factual basis for the NAL are either immaterial or are directly contradicted by the observations of FCC agents. The agents who went to Two Way's place of business observed linear amplifiers on the premises and received offers to sell linear amplifiers from salespersons believed to be Mr. Greenfield and Mr. Cleppe. This is sufficient to establish the violations alleged in the NAL and contradicts the claim that Two Way did not possess any linear amplifiers. It is not material whether the persons who made the offers to sell were employees of Two Way or proprietors.

7. Discovery is available in Commission adjudication proceedings that have been designated for hearing. Since this case has not been designated for hearing, Two Way's discovery motion is inappropriate.

8. We have examined Two Way's response to the NAL pursuant to the statutory factors above, and in conjunction with the Policy Statement as well. As a result of our review, we conclude that Two Way has failed to justify cancellation or reduction of the proposed forfeiture amount. Therefore, we affirm the forfeiture of seven thousand dollars ($7,000).

IV. ORDERING CLAUSES

9. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Act, and Sections 0.111, 0.311 and 1.80(f)(4) of the Rules, the Two Way Shop, IS LIABLE FOR A MONETARY FORFEITURE in the amount of seven thousand ($7,000) for the repeated and willful violation of Section 302 of the Act, which prohibits the sale or offer for sale of transmitting equipment not in compliance with the FCC rules, and Sections 2.803 and 2.815 of the Rules, which prohibit the sale or offer for sale of linear amplifiers that are capable of operation in the 26.965 to 27.405 MHz frequency band.

10. Payment of the forfeiture shall be made in the manner provided for in Section 1.80 of the Rules within thirty (30) days of the release of this Order. If the forfeiture is not paid within the specified period, the case may be referred to the Department of Justice for collection pursuant to Section 504(a) of the Act. Payment may be made by credit card through the Commission's Credit and Debt Management Center at (202) 418-1995 or by mailing a check or similar instrument, payable to the order of the "Federal Communications Commission," to the Federal Communications Commission, P.O. Box 73482, Chicago, Illinois 60673-7482. The payment should note the NAL/Acct. No. 915ST0007. Requests for full payment under an installment plan should be sent to: Chief, Credit and Debt Management Center, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.

11. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Order shall be sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, to Mr. Patrick Cleppe, the Two Way Shop, 220 Columbia Drive E., Kennewick, Washington 99336.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

David H. Solomon
Chief, Enforcement Bureau

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out
of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> ignored repeated warnings.

> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.
> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
generaly
> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and
have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> Sincerely
> Ken
>
>

513. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 03:56:46 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1QOV8.64258$N8.69842672@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129542

Here's another of one of those "non-existent"
CB enforcement actions you are so confident of:
BTW, he was operating on the 10 meter band.

RR

****
Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)
)
Joshie Yasin Nakamura, Sr.) File No. EB-99-SF-646
a/k/a Marvin Eugene Barnes)
800 Weeks Street)
East Palo Alto, California 94301) NAL/Acct. No. 200132960002

FORFEITURE ORDER

Adopted: July 3, 2001 Released: July 6, 2001

By the Chief, Enforcement Bureau:

1. In this Forfeiture Order ("Order"), we issue a monetary forfeiture in the amount of
ten thousand dollars ($10,000) against Joshie Yasin Nakamura, Sr. a/k/a Marvin Eugene Barnes for
violating Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934 ("Act"), as amended. Mr. Nakamura
operated an unlicensed amateur radio station.

2. On April 19, 2001, the District Director of the Enforcement Bureau's San Francisco
Field Office issued a Notice of Apparent Liability ("NAL") for Forfeiture in the amount of ten
thousand dollars to Mr. Nakamura. Mr. Nakamura has not filed a response. Based on the
information before us, we affirm this forfeiture.

3. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED THAT, pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Act, and
Sections 0.111, 0.311, and 1.80(f)(4) of the Commission's Rules, Joshie Yasin Nakamura, Sr. a/k/a
Marvin Eugene Barnes IS LIABLE FOR A MONETARY FORFEITURE in the amount of
$10,000 for violating Section 301 of the Act by operating an unlicensed amateur radio station.

4. Payment of the forfeiture shall be made in the manner provided for in Section 1.80
of the Commission's Rules, within 30 days of the release of this Order. If the forfeiture is not paid
within the period specified, the case may be referred to the Department of Justice for collection
pursuant to Section 504(a) of the Act. Payment may be made by mailing a check or similar
instrument, payable to the order of the "Federal Communications Commission," to the

Federal Communications Commission
P.O. Box 73482
Chicago, Illinois 60673-7482
NAL/Acct. No. 200132960002

The payment should note NAL/Acct. No. 200132960002 referenced above. Requests for full
payment under an installment plan should be sent to: Chief, Revenue and Receivables Operations
Group, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.

5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Order shall be sent by Certified
Mail Return Receipt Requested to Joshie Yasin Nakamura, Sr. a/k/a Marvin Eugene Barnes at 800
Weeks Street, East Palo Alto, California 94301.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

David H. Solomon
Chief, Enforcement Bureau

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> ignored repeated warnings.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.
> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and generaly
> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense

> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and
have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> Sincerely
> Ken
>
>

514. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 03:58:23 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <zROV8.64259$N8.69850694@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129544

Re: 10 meter radios
Posted by RR on 6/17/2002, 9:19 pm , in reply to "Re: 10 meter radios"
216.76.152.188

Play it again, Sam:

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)
)
William L. Leavell) File No. EB-99-ST-010
Seattle, Washington 98818) NAL/Acct. No. 915ST0003

MEMORANDUM OPINION AND ORDER

Adopted: August 7, 2000 Released: August 8, 2000

By the Chief, Enforcement Bureau:

1. In this Memorandum Opinion and Order ("Order"), we deny the Petition for Reconsideration filed on March 8, 2000 by William L. Leavell. Mr. Leavell seeks reconsideration of a Forfeiture Order, in which the Chief, Enforcement Bureau, found Mr. Leavell liable for a monetary forfeiture in the amount of $2,000 for willfully violating Section 303(n) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended ("Act"), and Section 95.426 of the Commission's Rules ("Rules"). The forfeiture had been assessed for Mr. Leavell's refusal to allow Commission Field Office agents to inspect his Citizens Band radio station. For the reasons discussed below, we affirm the monetary forfeiture in the amount of $2,000.

2. Mr. Leavell raised all of the facts and arguments in his Petition for Reconsideration in his response to the underlying Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture. We reviewed all of those facts and arguments and reduced the proposed forfeiture from $11,000 to $2,000. Thus, we find no basis for modifying the Forfeiture Order.

3. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 1.106 of the Rules, William L. Leavell's Petition for Reconsideration IS DENIED.

4. Payment of the forfeiture shall be made in the manner provided for in Section 1.80 of the Rules within thirty (30) days of the release of this Order. If the forfeiture is not paid within the specified period, the case may be referred to the Department of Justice for collection pursuant to Section 504(a) of the Act. Payment may be made by credit card through the Commission's Credit and Debt Management Center at (202) 418-1995 or by

mailing a check or similar instrument, payable to the order of the "Federal Communications Commission," to the Federal Communications Commission, P.O. Box 73482, Chicago, Illinois 60673-7482. The payment should note the NAL/Acct. No. 915ST0003. Requests for full payment under an installment plan should be sent to: Chief, Credit and Debt Management Center, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.

5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, a copy of this Order shall by sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, to William L. Leavell, 7807 46th Avenue South, Seattle, Washington 98118.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

David H. Solomon
Chief, Enforcement Bureau

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> ignored repeated warnings.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.
> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and generaly
> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> Sincerely
> Ken
>
>

515. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 03:58:59 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D27BC71.6100E432@icok.net>
Source record: 129543

Bill,
Let me understand this. You mean there would be
no fee for taking the Technician class test because
there would be no test of either theory or code. Is
that correct?
Is there anything else you would like to give away
for free, while you're at it? Why not just forget
about the Technician class, lets just make all of the
classes a 'give away' license. Hell, while we're at
it, lets just do away with all the classes of licenses,
make it a 'CB' thing altogether!
Reminds me a whole lot of another 'freebie' thing
called 'welfare'. Boy! That one sure works, doesn't
it? We can call it the "Welfare Radio Service"!
People who want something for nothing are very, very
stupid, and in most cases get what they deserve. Better
be careful what you wish for...
'Doc

516. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 03:59:58 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1TOV8.64261$N8.69859625@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129545

Never in a million years? Yeah, right!

TRUCK-N-TRAVEL
32910 East Pearl Street
Coburg, Oregon 97408

RE: Cases EB-01-PO-188

Gentlemen:

This is an official Citation issued pursuant to Section 503(b)(5) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (the Act).

On July 23, 2001, agents from the Federal Communications Commission Portland Resident Agent Office observed that the following uncertified Citizens Band (CB) radios were displayed and offered for sale inside TRUCK-N-TRAVEL store located at 32910 East Pearl Street, Coburg, Oregon 97408: 1)
Galaxy DX99V
$439.99

2)
Galaxy DX88
$399.95

3)
Galaxy DX66
$280.00

4)
Galaxy DX33HML
$239.80

5)
Delta Force
$200.00

The Commission's records show that the above CB radios have not been granted an FCC equipment authorization. You are hereby warned that the marketing of those CB radios, including advertising, is a violation of Sections 2.803, and 15.101 of the Commission's Rules and may violate Sections 2.925 and 2.926 of the Commission's Rules. 47 C.F.R. Sections 2.803, 2.925, 2.926 and 15.101. Violation of the Commission's Rules is punishable under Section 503(b)(2)(C) of the Act by a monetary forfeiture of up to $10,000 for each violation per day, up to a maximum of $82,500.

Further investigation revealed that TRUCK-N-TRAVEL is registered with the Oregon Corporations Division as Eugene Trucking Haven, Inc., 800 Willamette Street, Suite 800, Eugene, Oregon 97401.

In accordance with Section 503(b)(5) of the Act, you are being given a period of 14 days from the date you receive this Citation to submit any statements you wish to make concerning the violation. In addition, you may request an interview to discuss this matter in person at the nearest Commission's office.

The Privacy Act of 1974, P.L. 93-579, December 31, 1974, 5 U.S.C. 552a(e)(3) requires that we advise you that the Commission's staff will use all relevant material information before it, including the information disclosed in your reply or interview to determine what, if any, enforcement action is required to ensure current and future rule compliance.

The knowing and willful making of any false statement in reply to this notice is punishable by fine or imprisonment under Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001.

Sincerely,

Binh Nguyen
Resident Agent, Portland (Oregon) CC:
John Anderson, President
Eugene Trucking Haven, Inc.
800 Willamette Street, Suite 800
Eugene, Oregon 97401

Editor's note: The FCC advises that some of the five models of CB transceivers listed above were capable of operating on 10 meters.

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> ignored repeated warnings.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.
> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and generaly
> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and

have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> Sincerely
> Ken
>
>

517. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 04:01:33 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <wUOV8.64262$N8.69868753@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129546

Never in a "million" years? Sure, sure, sure they won't.

Truckers getting warned!
August 10, 2001

Derek's Trucking
3102 Rockspring Church Road
Creedmoor, NC 27522-1964

SUBJECT: Warning Notice--Unlicensed Radio Operation

Dear Sir:

Monitoring information before the Commission shows that you have been operating radio-transmitting equipment without a license on frequencies in the Ten Meter Amateur Radio Service band. Your truck bearing license plate ZB20189 was monitored transmitting on Ten Meter frequencies on July 19, 2001 at 10:30 a.m. on U.S. 1 near Cary, NC, at 2:50 p.m. on Kildaire Farm Road and from 3:00 to 3:30 p.m. from a landfill near the intersection of State Road 55 and Sunset lake Road. Re-transmissions of Nextel two-way communications were also sent from the truck on Ten Meter frequencies.

Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment without a license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301,and will subject you to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of any non-certified radio transmitting equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your jurisdiction. Monetary forfeitures normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out
of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> ignored repeated warnings.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.

> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
generaly
> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and
have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> Sincerely
> Ken
>
>

518. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 04:02:39 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <AVOV8.64263$N8.69874437@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129547

June 15, 2001
Gary L. Gribble Trucking
4309 Kingwood Road
Rockwood, PA 15557
Subject: Warning Notice--Unlicensed Radio Operation

Dear Sir:

The Commission has received information that you have been operating radio-transmitting equipment without a license on frequencies in the Ten Meter Amateur bands. Your trucks were monitored transmitting on Ten Meter frequencies while enroute to and parked at Howard and Lodge Streets in Albany, NY.

Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment without a license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301and may subject you to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio transmitting equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your jurisdiction. Monetary forfeitures normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

CC: FCC New York

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> ignored repeated warnings.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.
> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and generaly
> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and

have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> Sincerely
> Ken
>
>

519. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 04:03:31 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <mWOV8.64265$N8.69878857@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129548

Re: 10 meter radios
Posted by RR on 6/17/2002, 9:48 pm , in reply to "Re: 10 meter radios"
216.76.152.188

May 29, 2001
Mr. Trip Williams
P. O. Box 725
Travelers Rest, SC 29690

SUBJECT: Warning Notice--Unlicensed Radio Operation

Dear Mr. Williams:

The Commission has information that you have been operating radio-transmitting equipment without a license on frequencies in the Two and Ten Meter Amateur bands and have been using an Amateur call sign not assigned to you..

Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment without a license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301and will subject you to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio transmitting equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your jurisdiction.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Riley Hollingsworth

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> ignored repeated warnings.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.
> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and genera ly

> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and
have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> Sincerely
> Ken
>
>

520. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 04:04:29 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <kXOV8.64268$N8.69883878@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129549

Re: 10 meter radios
Posted by RR on 6/17/2002, 9:52 pm , in reply to "Re: 10 meter radios"
216.76.152.188

April 17, 2001
Mr. Michael Kinney
15143 Morrison Street
Sherman Oaks, CA 91403

Subject: Warning Notice--Unlicensed Radio Operation

Dear Mr. Kinney:

The Commission has received evidence that you have been operating radio-transmitting without a license on frequencies in the Amateur band, and operating overpower in the CB service.

Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment without a license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and will subject you to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio transmitting equipment..

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Riley Hollingsworth
Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out
of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> ignored repeated warnings.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.
> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
generaly
> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and

have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> Sincerely
> Ken
>
>

521. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 04:05:17 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D27BDEE.5EF8DD55@icok.net>
Source record: 129556

Richard,
I wasn't aware that you were employed by
the FCC. How long have you worked for them?
'Doc

522. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 04:06:01 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d27bc75$0$13950$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129550

I like you Adam, you're a riot!

523. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 04:09:38 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <50PV8.64273$N8.69909014@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129551

```
:
Keep Laughin', keep laughin'!!

March 27, 2001
: Mr. Robert M. Carper
: 67 East Sunset Avenue
: Salt Lake City, Utah 84115

: Subject: Warning Notice--Unlicensed Radio
: Operation

: Dear Mr. Carper:
: The Commission has received evidence that you
: have been operating radio transmitting
: equipment without a license on frequencies
: in the two-meter Amateur band and that you
: have used an Amateur call sign in those
: transmissions.
: Please be advised that operation of radio
: transmitting equipment without a license
: is a violation of Section 301 of the
: Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47
: C.F.R. Section 301, and will subject you
: to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in
: rem seizure of radio transmitting
: equipment.
: You are requested to contact me at
: 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.
:
: Riley Hollingsworth
:

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out
of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
```

> ignored repeated warnings.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.
> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
generaly
> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and
have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> Sincerely
> Ken
>
>

524. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 04:12:03 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <l2PV8.64277$N8.69922469@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129553

BTW, it isn't the "FCC wannabe agents doing these busts. They are genuine accounts
of Mr. H. keeping his promise and going after those truckers and others that insist on
operating where they have no business.

March 21, 2000
Mr. George D. Cairns
705 East Church Road
Waldron, Arkansas 72958
SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE
UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION

Dear Mr. Cairns:

The Commission has received evidence that you have been operating radio transmitting without a license on frequencies in the Ten Meter Amateur band and on frequencies near 30 MHz.

Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment without a license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301, and will subject you to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio transmitting equipment.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Riley Hollingsworth

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> ignored repeated warnings.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.
> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and

generaly
> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and
have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> Sincerely
> Ken
>
>

525. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 04:13:01 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <f3PV8.64278$N8.69927481@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129554

And the list goes on and on!!

February 26, 2001
Mr. Carl Vincent
2699 Quail Knoll
Lake Elsinore, CA 92530

SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE
UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION

Dear Mr. Vincent:

The Commission has evidence that you have been operating radio-transmitting equipment on frequencies in the Ten Meter Amateur band and on frequencies near 30 MHz.

Operation of radio transmitting equipment without a license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and will subject you to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio transmitting equipment in cooperation with the U.S. Attorney in your jurisdiction..

You are requested to contact me immediately at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out
of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> ignored repeated warnings.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.
> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
generaly

> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and
have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> Sincerely
> Ken
>
>

526. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 04:13:11 UTC
From: Colonel Chim Chim <justwhatineedmorespam@nothanks.con>
Message-ID: <rdPV8.25704$xu5.8195@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 129552

Hi, Jerry. I agree with you (really, not being sarcastic), except with one point....Here in Oklahoma, many of the Okies pronounce it "Shivverlay", sometimes "Shevverlay". I'll shut up, now. I just had to throw that in.

--

--Adam

527. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 04:14:22 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <A4PV8.64279$N8.69934648@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129555

WHAAT? Another one?

Mr. Mark Hanson
217 N. 6th Avenue
Wausau, WI 54403

SUBJECT: Warning Notice--UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION

Dear Mr. Hanson:

The Commission has information that you have been operating radio-transmitting equipment without a license on frequencies in the Amateur Radio Service bands.

Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment without a license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301and will subject you to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio transmitting equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your jurisdiction. Fines for this violation normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

:

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> ignored repeated warnings.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.
> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and generaly
> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and have

> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> Sincerely
> Ken
>
>

528. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 04:16:03 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <66PV8.64282$N8.69943312@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129557

It keeps going. I thought you said they weren't
doing anything.

Mffffff--pffffft---Hehe, mfff, Haha-giggle-HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!
I just can't hold it in!!!

April 3, 2002

L2N Design & Construction
6901 Emerald
Boise, ID 83704

SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE--UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION

Dear Sir:

Information before the Commission indicates that drivers for your business
have been operating radio-transmitting equipment without a license on the
Ten-Meter Amateur band.

Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment without a
license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as
amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301, and will subject you to fine or
imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of any non-certified radio
transmitting equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for
your jurisdiction. Monetary forfeitures normally range from $7,500 to
$10,000.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out
of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> ignored repeated warnings.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.

> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
generaly
> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and
have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> Sincerely
> Ken
>
>

529. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 04:17:46 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <J7PV8.64286$N8.69952214@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129558

ROTFLMAO!!!! More non-existent enforcement. <<snicker>>

****

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of) File No.: EB-01-DL-512
)
David Edwin Merrell) NAL/Acct. No.

200132500002
)
Wichita Falls, Texas) FRN 0005-0709-74

FORFEITURE ORDER

Adopted: December 20, 2001 Released: December 26,

2001

By the Chief, Enforcement Bureau:

1. In this Forfeiture Order (``Order''), we issue a monetary forfeiture in the amount of ten thousand dollars ($10,000) against David Edwin Merrell (``Merrell'') for willful violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934 (``Act''), as amended.1 The noted violation involves Merrell's operation of a radio station on an amateur frequency without a license.

2. On September 10, 2001, the Commission's Dallas, Texas, Office issued a Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture in the amount of $10,000 to Merrell.2 Merrell has not filed a response. Based on the information before us, we affirm the forfeiture.

3. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED THAT, pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Act,3 and Sections 0.111, 0.311 and 1.80(f)(4) of the Commission's Rules,4 Merrell IS LIABLE FOR A MONETARY FORFEITURE in the amount of $10,000 for violating Section 301 and of the Act.

4. Payment of the forfeiture shall be made in the manner provided for in Section 1.80 of the Commission's Rules5 within 30 days of the release of this Order. If the forfeiture is not paid within the period specified, the case may be referred to the

Department of Justice for collection pursuant to Section 504(a) of the Act.6 Payment may be made by mailing a check or similar instrument, payable to the order of the ``Federal Communications Commission,'' to the Federal Communications Commission, P.O. Box 73482, Chicago, Illinois 60673-7482. The payment should note ``NAL/Acct. No. 200132980001'' and ``FRN 0005-0709-74,'' referenced above. Requests for full payment under an installment plan should be sent to: Revenue and Receivables Operations Group, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.7

5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Order shall be sent by Certified Mail -- Return Receipt Requested -- to David Edwin Merrell at 704 Ross Avenue, Wichita Falls, Texas 76306.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

David H. Solomon
Chief, Enforcement Bureau

---

1 47 U.S.C. ¿¿ 301 and 303(n).

2 Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture, NAL/Acct. No. 200132500002 (Enf. Bur., Dallas Office, released September 10, 2001).

3 47 U.S.C. ¿ 503(b).

4 47 C.F.R. ¿¿ 0.111, 0.311 and 1.80(f)(4).

5 47 C.F.R. ¿ 1.80.

6 47 U.S.C. ¿ 504(a).

7 See 47 C.F.R. ¿ 1.1914.

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> ignored repeated warnings.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.

> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
generaly
> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and
have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> Sincerely
> Ken
>
>

530. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 04:19:21 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <d9PV8.64289$N8.69961170@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129559

****

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)
)
Mr. Jerry Smith) File No. EB-00-PA-414
)
125 Commonwealth Ave.) NAL/Acct. No. 200132400001
Claymont, Delaware)

FORFEITURE ORDER

Adopted: April 18, 2001 Released: April 20, 2001

By the Chief, Enforcement Bureau:

1. In this Forfeiture Order (``Order''), we issue a monetary forfeiture in the amount of thirteen thousand five hundred dollars ($13,500) to Jerry Smith for willful and repeated violations of Sections 95.409(a), 95.410(a) and 95.411(a)(1) of the Commission's Rules (``Rules'').1 The noted violations involve Mr. Smith's operation of a Citizens Band (``CB'') Radio Station with a non-type-accepted transmitter, with a transmitter output power greater than four watts carrier power in the AM (A3) mode, and with an external RF power amplifier.

2. On January 8, 2001, the Commission's Philadelphia, Pennsylvania Field Office issued a Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture (``NAL'') in the amount of thirteen thousand five hundred dollars ($13,500) to Mr. Smith for the noted violations.2 Mr. Smith has not filed a response. Based on the information before us, we affirm this forfeiture.

3. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (``Act''),3 and Sections 0.111, 0.311 and 1.80(f)(4) of the Rules,4 Jerry Smith IS LIABLE FOR A MONETARY FORFEITURE in the amount of thirteen thousand dollars ($13,500) for operating a CB Radio Station with a non-type-accepted transmitter, with a transmitter output power greater than four watts carrier power in the AM (A3) mode, and with an external RF power amplifier, in willful and repeated violation of Sections 95.409(a), 95.410(a) and 95.411(a)(1) of the Rules.

4. Payment of the forfeiture shall be made in the manner provided for in Section 1.80 of the Rules5 within 30 days of the release of this Order. If the forfeiture is not paid within the period specified, the case may be referred to the Department of Justice for collection pursuant to Section 504(a) of the Act.6 Payment shall be made by mailing a check or similar instrument, payable to the order of the Federal Communications Commission, to the Federal Communications Commission, Forfeiture Collection Section, Finance Branch, P.O. Box 73482, Chicago, Illinois 60673-7482. The payment should note the NAL/Acct. No. referenced above. Requests for full payment under an installment plan should be sent to: Chief, Revenue and Receivables Operations Group, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.7

5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, a copy of this Order shall be sent by Certified Mail, Return Receipt Requested, to Jerry Smith, 125 Commonwealth Avenue, Claymont, DE 19703.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

David H. Solomon
Chief, Enforcement Bureau

---

1 47 C.F.R. ¬¬ 95.409(a), 95.410(a) and 95.411(a)(1).

2 Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture, NAL/Acct. No. 200132400001 (Enf. Bur., Philadelphia Office, released January 8, 2001).

3 47 U.S.C. ¬ 503(b).

4 47 C.F.R. ¬¬ 0.111, 0.311, 1.80(f)(4).

5 47 C.F.R. ¬ 1.80.

6 47 U.S.C. ¬ 504(a).

7 See 47 C.F.R. ¬ 1.1914.

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that

> ignored repeated warnings.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.
> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
generaly
> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and
have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> Sincerely
> Ken
>
>

531. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 04:25:48 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <gpPV8.1561$Ai3.182501506@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129560

By the way Jerry, he was also broadcasting
on to police & fire freq. too, so it was only a matter
of time that "Budweiser" was going to get caught

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:1QOV8.64258$N8.69842672@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Here's another of one of those "non-existent"
> CB enforcement actions you are so confident of:
> BTW, he was operating on the 10 meter band.
>
> RR
>
>
>
> **
> Before the
> Federal Communications Commission
> Washington, D.C. 20554
>
> In the Matter of)
>)
> Joshie Yasin Nakamura, Sr.) File No. EB-99-SF-646
> a/k/a Marvin Eugene Barnes)
> 800 Weeks Street)
> East Palo Alto, California 94301) NAL/Acct. No. 200132960002
>
>
> FORFEITURE ORDER
>
>
> Adopted: July 3, 2001 Released: July 6, 2001
>
> By the Chief, Enforcement Bureau:
>
>
> 1. In this Forfeiture Order ("Order"), we issue a monetary forfeiture in
the
> amount of
> ten thousand dollars ($10,000) against Joshie Yasin Nakamura, Sr. a/k/a
> Marvin Eugene Barnes for

> violating Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934 ("Act"), as
amended.
> Mr. Nakamura
> operated an unlicensed amateur radio station.
>
> 2. On April 19, 2001, the District Director of the Enforcement Bureau's
San
> Francisco
> Field Office issued a Notice of Apparent Liability ("NAL") for Forfeiture
in
> the amount of ten
> thousand dollars to Mr. Nakamura. Mr. Nakamura has not filed a response.
> Based on the
> information before us, we affirm this forfeiture.
>
> 3. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED THAT, pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Act,
> and
> Sections 0.111, 0.311, and 1.80(f)(4) of the Commission's Rules, Joshie
> Yasin Nakamura, Sr. a/k/a
> Marvin Eugene Barnes IS LIABLE FOR A MONETARY FORFEITURE in the amount of
> $10,000 for violating Section 301 of the Act by operating an unlicensed
> amateur radio station.
>
> 4. Payment of the forfeiture shall be made in the manner provided for in
> Section 1.80
> of the Commission's Rules, within 30 days of the release of this Order. If
> the forfeiture is not paid
> within the period specified, the case may be referred to the Department of
> Justice for collection
> pursuant to Section 504(a) of the Act. Payment may be made by mailing a
> check or similar
> instrument, payable to the order of the "Federal Communications
Commission,"
> to the
>
> Federal Communications Commission
> P.O. Box 73482
> Chicago, Illinois 60673-7482
> NAL/Acct. No. 200132960002
>
> The payment should note NAL/Acct. No. 200132960002 referenced above.
> Requests for full
> payment under an installment plan should be sent to: Chief, Revenue and
> Receivables Operations
> Group, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.
>
> 5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Order shall be sent by
> Certified
> Mail Return Receipt Requested to Joshie Yasin Nakamura, Sr. a/k/a Marvin
> Eugene Barnes at 800

> Weeks Street, East Palo Alto, California 94301.
>
>
>
> FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
>
>
>
> David H. Solomon
> Chief, Enforcement Bureau
>
>
> Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> > As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out
> of
> > perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal)
rigs.
> > The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> > Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of
there
> > ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> > shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> > against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in
a
> > million years.
> > Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a
3
> > year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> > ignored repeated warnings.
> > Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's
and
> > use them and people will sell them.
> > Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here
while
> > worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
> generaly
> > make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a
liscense
> > it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and
> have
> > fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and
scans
> > the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad
guy"
> > Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
> rigs
> > and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and
just
> > went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell

me
> > most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> > liscense.
> > Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> > Sincerely
> > Ken
> >
> >
>
>
>

532. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 04:25:48 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <gpPV8.1562$Ai3.182501506@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129561

HOOK, line & sinker Jerry.....
Pretty close to spamming the newsgroup, you
fell for his troll.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:A4PV8.64279$N8.69934648@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> WHAAT? Another one?
>
> Mr. Mark Hanson
> 217 N. 6th Avenue
> Wausau, WI 54403
>
> SUBJECT: Warning Notice--UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
>
>
> Dear Mr. Hanson:
>
>
> The Commission has information that you have been operating
> radio-transmitting equipment without a license on frequencies in the
Amateur
> Radio Service bands.
>
>
> Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment without a
> license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934,
as
> amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301and will subject you to fine or
imprisonment,
> as well as an in rem seizure of radio transmitting equipment, in
cooperation
> with the United States Attorney for your jurisdiction. Fines for this
> violation normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
>
>
> You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.
>

>
> :
>
>
>
>
>
> Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> > As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out
> of
> > perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal)
rigs.
> > The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> > Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of
there
> > ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> > shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> > against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in
a
> > million years.
> > Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a
3
> > year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> > ignored repeated warnings.
> > Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's
and
> > use them and people will sell them.
> > Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here
while
> > worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
> generaly
> > make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a
liscense
> > it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and
> have
> > fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and
scans
> > the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad
guy"
> > Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
> rigs
> > and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and
just
> > went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell
me
> > most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> > liscense.
> > Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> > Sincerely

> > Ken
> >
> >
>
>
>

533. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 04:43:14 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <JvPV8.64334$N8.70090745@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129562

Here's #20 in 3 years, hardly the mere 8 you mentioned.

Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the matter of)
)
James Leger) File Number EB-01-MA-007
Lake Worth, Florida) NAL/Acct. No. 20023260001
) FRN: 0006-9264-48

FORFEITURE ORDER

Adopted: June 25, 2002 Released: June 28,
2002

By the Chief, Enforcement Bureau:

1. In this Forfeiture Order (``Order''), we issue a monetary forfeiture in the amount of ten thousand dollars ($10,000) to James Leger (``Leger'') for willfully and repeatedly violating Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (``Act'').1 The noted violation involves Leger's willful and repeated operation of radio transmitting equipment without a license in violation of Section 301 of the Act.
2. On April 19, 2002, the Commission's Miami, Florida Resident Agent Office issued a $10,000 Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture (``NAL'') to Leger for the noted violation.2 Leger has not filed a response to the NAL. Based on the information before us, we affirm the forfeiture.
3. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Act,3 and Sections 0.111, 0.311 and 1.80(f)(4) of the Commission's Rules (``Rules''),4 James Leger IS LIABLE FOR A MONETARY FORFEITURE in the amount of $10,000 for operation of radio transmitting equipment without a license in willful and repeated violation of Section 301 of the Act.
4. Payment of the forfeiture shall be made in the manner provided for in Section 1.80 of the Rules within 30 days of the release of this Order. If the forfeiture is not paid within the period specified, the case may be referred to the Department of Justice for collection pursuant to Section 504(a) of the Act.5 Payment may be made by mailing a check or similar instrument, payable to the order of the Federal Communications Commission, to the Federal Communications Commission, Forfeiture Collection Section, Finance Branch, P.O. Box 73482, Chicago, Illinois 60673-7482. The payment

should note ``NAL/Acct. No. 20023260001'' and ``FRN: 0006-
9264-48.'' Requests for full payment under an installment
plan should be sent to: Chief, Revenue and Receivables
Operations Group, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C.
20554.6
5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Order shall be
sent by First Class and Certified Mail Return Receipt
Requested to: James Leger, 131 South F Street, Lake Worth, FL
33463; 230 South Dixie Highway, Lake Worth, FL 33460; 333
Southern Blvd., Suite 305, West Palm Beach, FL 33405; 5750
South Ithaca Circle, Lantana, FL 33463.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

David H. Solomon
Chief, Enforcement Bureau

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out
of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> ignored repeated warnings.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.
> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
generaly
> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and
have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> Sincerely
> Ken
>

>

534. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 04:45:34 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <TxPV8.64338$N8.70102955@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129563

Uh, oh--he was "freebanding". I gues it wasn't "free" after all.

****

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of) File No. EB-01-SJ-094
)
Javier Rodriguez.) NAL/Acct. No.

200232680002
)
Boqueron, Puerto Rico) FRN 0006-0437-80

FORFEITURE ORDER

Adopted: March 26, 2002 Released: March 28, 2002

By the Chief, Enforcement Bureau:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. In this Forfeiture Order (``Order''), we issue a monetary forfeiture in the amount of one thousand ($1,000) to Javier Rodriguez (``Rodriguez''), Boqueron, Puerto Rico, for willful violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (``Act''),1 The noted violation involves Rodriguez's unauthorized operation of a CB radio on a frequency for which a license or authorization is required before operation.

2. On November 7, 2001, the Commission's San Juan, Puerto Rico, Resident Agent Office (``San Juan Office'') issued a Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture (``NAL'') to Rodriguez for a forfeiture in the amount of ten thousand dollars ($10,000).2 Rodriguez filed a response3 to the NAL on December 3, 2001.

II. BACKGROUND

3. On April 20, 1999, during an investigation of a complaint about interference to home electronic entertainment equipment, agents from the San Juan Office, using a mobile direction finding vehicle, observed radio transmissions on 26.715 MHz and determined the origin of those signals to be an antenna located in the backyard of a residence belonging to

Mr. Javier Rodriguez (located at Carr 307, Km 6.5 Interior, Boqueron, Puerto Rico). After locating the source of the transmissions, the agents inspected the station at that address and observed that a modified CB radio (a Cobra 148 GTL transceiver) was installed at the station and was connected to a radio frequency power amplifier. During the inspection, the agents determined that Rodriguez was the operator of the station on 26.715 MHz. The frequency 26.715 MHz is in a band allocated exclusively for use by the United States Government. That frequency is not authorized for operation by CB stations and Rodriguez had no authorization to operate on it.4 One of the investigating agents verbally warned Rodriguez during the inspection that unauthorized operation is prohibited by Section 301 of the Act.

4. On October 24, 2001, during an investigation of another complaint about interference to home electronic entertainment equipment, agents from the San Juan Office, using a mobile direction finding vehicle, observed radio transmissions on 26.705 MHz and determined the origin of those signals to again be an antenna located in the backyard of Rodriguez's residence at Carr 307, Km 6.5 Interior, Boqueron, Puerto Rico. After locating the source of the transmissions, the agents inspected the station located at that residence and observed that a modified CB radio (a Cobra 148 GTL transceiver) was installed at the station. During the inspection, the agents determined that Rodriguez was the operator of the station on 26.705 MHz. The frequency 26.705 MHz is in a band allocated exclusively for use by the United States Government. That frequency is not authorized for operation by CB stations and Rodriguez had no authorization to operate on it.

5. On November 7, 2001, the San Juan Office issued a NAL to Rodriguez in the amount of $10,000 for the unlicensed operation observed on October 24, 2001. In his December 3, 2001, response to the NAL, Rodriguez argues that he is financially unable to pay the proposed forfeiture. As support, Rodriguez encloses copies of his Government of Puerto Rico income tax returns for 1998, 1999 and 2000 and documents indicating that he is unemployed. Rodriguez also argues that his violations are mitigated by his remedial action and his status as a first offender.

III. DISCUSSION

6. The forfeiture amount in this case was assessed in accordance with Section 503(b) of the Act,5 Section 1.80 of the Commission's Rules (``Rules''),6 and The Commission's Forfeiture Policy Statement and Amendment of Section 1.80 of the Rules to Incorporate the Forfeiture Guidelines, 12 FCC Rcd 17087 (1997), recon. denied, 15 FCC Rcd 303 (1999) (``Policy

Statement''). Section 503(b) of the Act requires that, in examining Rodriguez's response, the Commission take into account the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violation and, with respect to the violator, the degree of culpability, any history of prior offenses, ability to pay, and other such matters as justice may require.7

7. Section 301 of the Act prohibits radio operation ``except under and in accordance with this Act and with a license in that behalf granted under the provisions of this Act.''

8. Rodriguez does not deny the violations alleged in the NAL. In view of the facts set forth above, we conclude that Rodriguez operated without authorization on 26.705 MHZ on October 24, 2001, in willful violation of Section 301 of the Act.

9. Rodriguez's violation on October 24, 2001, occurred despite a verbal warning from the Commission following his previous unlicensed operation on April 20, 1999. Rodriguez is, therefore, not a ``first offender,'' and is not entitled to a reduction on that basis. Furthermore, remedial action subsequent to notification of a violation will not nullify a forfeiture penalty.8

10. On the basis of the documentation furnished by Rodriguez, we find that a reduction of the forfeiture to $1,000 is appropriate.

11. We have examined Rodriguez's response to the NAL in light of the above statutory factors and the factors set forth in the Policy Statement. Taking all of these factors into account, we conclude that the proper forfeiture amount is $1,000.

IV. ORDERING CLAUSES

12. Accordingly, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Act, and Sections 0.111, 0.311 and 1.80(f)(4) of the Rules,9 Javier Rodriguez IS LIABLE FOR A MONETARY FORFEITURE in the amount of $1,000 for willful violation of the provisions of Sections 301 of the Act.

13. Payment of the forfeiture shall be made in the manner provided for in Section 1.80 of the Rules within 30 days of the release of this Order. If the forfeiture is not paid within the period specified, the case may be referred to the Department of Justice for collection pursuant to Section 504(a) of the Act.10 Payment shall be made by mailing a check or similar instrument, payable to the order of the Federal Communications Commission, to the Federal Communications Commission, P.O. Box 73482, Chicago, Illinois 60673-7482. The

payment should note the NAL/Acct. No. 200232680002, and the FRN No. 0006-0437-80. Requests for full payment under an installment plan should be sent to: Chief, Revenue and Receivables Operations Group, 445 12th Street, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20554.11

14. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this Order shall be sent by Certified Mail Return Receipt Requested to Javier Rodriguez, P.O. Box 661, Boqueron, Puerto Rico 00622.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

David H. Solomon
Chief, Enforcement Bureau

---

1 47 U.S.C. § 301.

2 Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture, NAL/Acct. No. 200232680002 (Enf. Bur., San Juan Office, released November 7, 2001).

3 Although Rodriguez characterized his response as a Petition for Reconsideration of the NAL filed pursuant to 47 C.F.R. § 1.106, we will treat Rodriguez's filing as a response to the NAL per 47 C.F.R. § 1.80(f)(3).

4 CB operation is permitted only on 40 channels located between 26.965 and 27.405 MHz. See 47 C.F.R. § 95.407.

5 47 U.S.C. § 503(b).

6 47 C.F.R. § 1.80.

7 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(2)(D).

8 See KGVH, Inc., 42 FCC 2d 258, 259 (1973).

9 47 C.F.R. §§ 0.111, 0.311, 1.80(f)(4).

10 47 U.S.C. § 504(a).

11 See 47 C.F.R. § 1.1914.

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.

> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> ignored repeated warnings.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.
> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
generaly
> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and
have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> Sincerely
> Ken
>
>

535. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 04:48:07 UTC
From: Colonel Chim Chim <justwhatineedmorespam@nothanks.con>
Message-ID: <bKPV8.25711$xu5.11977@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 129564

Haha, thanks, Kevin.

--

--Adam

536. FS: 4 A DC supply - SBE - $30

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 04:54:17 UTC
From: k6sde <no_spamxx_k6sde@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D27C993.DEF1F34A@yahoo.com>
Source record: 129565

I have an SBE 4 ampere 13.8 VDC supply for sale.

If you're an SBE collector or if you just want a supply to
power your DC transceiver or a bench supply this should work
well for you.

See some pictures and details at:

http://home.attbi.com/~h1m/SBE/sbe.html
or
SBE 4A Supply

Thanks for looking.

537. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 04:59:06 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <EKPV8.64380$N8.70178012@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129566

This should prove that they are going after violators in increasing numbers--not just the
token "8". Mr. Hollingsworth promised to do it, I was IN several of his forums, talked
to him in person about the 10 meter intruders, and he assures hams that the 10 meter
line will be defended with the help of its rightful users.

J

Before the
Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D.C. 20554

In the Matter of)
)
James Lee Gaskey) NAL/Acct. No. 915KC0002
5215 N. Pine Street)
Davenport, Iowa 52806)

FORFEITURE ORDER

Adopted: December 29, 1999 Released: December 30, 1999

By the Chief, Enforcement Bureau:

1. In this order, we issue a monetary forfeiture in the amount of $11,000 against James Lee Gaskey for willful violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended ("the Act"), 47 U.S.C. § 301. The noted violation involves radio operation without a license.

2. On April 28, 1999, the Commission's Kansas City, Missouri Field Office, pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Act, 47 U.S.C. § 503(b), and Section 1.80 of the Commission's Rules ("the Rules"), 47 C.F.R. § 1.80, issued the referenced Notice of Apparent Liability ("NAL") for a monetary forfeiture in the amount of $11,000 to Mr. Gaskey for the noted violation. A response to the NAL was filed on behalf of Mr. Gaskey by his attorney on June 1, 1999. For the reasons discussed below, we affirm the $11,000 forfeiture amount.

BACKGROUND

3. On August 10, 1998, the Office of the Chairman of the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") received a complaint concerning interference to home electronic entertainment equipment, allegedly caused by a Citizen's Band ("CB") radio station. The complainant specifically named James Gaskey, of 5215 N. Pine Street, Davenport, Iowa, as the operator of the CB radio station that was causing the interference. The complainant

stated that Mr. Gaskey had CB radio stations in both his home and his pickup truck.

4. On September 14, 1998, in response to the complaint of interference, an FCC agent from the Kansas City Field Office issued a letter to the radio operator at 5215 N. Pine Street, Davenport, Iowa, via certified mail, return receipt requested. The letter informed the operator of the regulations against unlicensed operation of radio equipment and the use of external RF amplifiers and non-type accepted radio equipment in the CB service. The letter also notified him that the penalties for unlicensed operation could include a fine of up to $11,000 and directed the operator to submit a written response to the Kansas City Field Office within 10 days of the receipt of the letter. According to the signed return receipt from the U.S. Postal Service, dated September 16, 1998, Marge Gaskey was the recipient of the warning letter. Although the Kansas City Field Office received the return receipt card from the United States Post Office, the Kansas City Field Office did not receive a written response to the warning letter.

5. On October 5, 1998, the Kansas City Field Office issued a Notice of Violation ("NOV") to Mr. James Gaskey and/or Marge Gaskey for failure to respond to the letter dated September 14, 1998. See 47 C.F.R. § 95.422. On October 15, 1998, the Kansas City Field Office received a response from Mr. Gaskey to the NOV. In his response, Mr. Gaskey denied having an amplifier "in line" at his radio station, and stated that he would shut the base station down until he had time to verify whether the interference problem had ceased.

6. On April 8, 1999, FCC agents from the Kansas City Field Office used direction finding techniques to locate the source of a very strong, wide-banded signal operating on 26.835 MHz. Using an FCC direction finding vehicle, the agents located the source of the transmissions on 26.835 MHz and traced them to 5215 N. Pine Street, Davenport, Iowa. After locating the source of the transmissions, the agents knocked on the door of the residence and were greeted by Mr. Gaskey, who later identified himself with an Iowa driver's license. Mr. Gaskey permitted the FCC agents to enter the residence and inspect the station. During the inspection, Mr. Gaskey admitted that he was operating the station without an authorization. The unlicensed station consisted of a non-certified radio transmitter connected to two external RF power amplifiers, a frequency counter, and an in-line watt meter capable of registering up to 5000 watts. The FCC agents determined that the station operating on 26.835 MHz had an output power of 200 watts.

7. Prior to knocking on the door of the residence, one of the FCC agents had noticed several unusual boxes connected to coaxial cable attached to an antenna in a 1996 Dodge pickup truck, Iowa License plate number 516 HER, which was parked in the driveway of the residence. After permitting the agents to inspect the radio station located inside the residence, Mr. Gaskey allowed the agents to inspect his pickup truck in the driveway. The agents discovered another radio station installed in Mr. Gaskey's truck. The agents found a mobile radio station in the truck, which was capable of operation on

frequencies in the CB radio spectrum, and consisted of a non-type accepted radio transmitter connected to an external RF power amplifier and multimeter. Upon completion of the inspection, the agents issued Mr. Gaskey a warning letter, which informed him of the violation of Section 301 of the Act and ordered him to immediately discontinue the unlicensed operation. At the request of the FCC agents, Mr. Gaskey signed for receipt of the letter.

8. On April 28, 1999, the Kansas City Field Office issued the subject NAL to Mr. Gaskey for his continued unlicensed operation on 26.835 MHz from 5215 N. Pine Street, Davenport, Iowa, in violation of Section 301 of the Act.

9. On June 1, 1999, the FCC received Mr. Gaskey's response to the NAL. The response consisted of an undated document, entitled "Statement of Income, Expenses, Assets & Liabilities For: James Lee Gaskey," a copy of the first page of Mr. Gaskey's 1995, 1996 and 1997 federal income tax returns, and a copy of Mr. Gaskey's letter previously received by the Kansas City Field Office on October 15, 1998. No other information was provided. The response did not refute the violation, nor did it specifically request a rescission or reduction of the monetary forfeiture amount.

DISCUSSION

10. As the NAL explicitly states, the forfeiture amount in this case was assessed in accordance with Section 503 of the Act, Section 1.80 of the Rules, and The Commission's Forfeiture Policy Statement and Amendment of Section 1.80 of the Rules to Incorporate the Forfeiture Guidelines, 12 FCC Rcd 17087 (1997), recon. denied, FCC 99-407, (rel. Dec. 28, 1999) ("Policy Statement"). In examining Mr. Gaskey's response, Section 503(b) of the Act requires that the Commission take into account the nature, circumstances, extent and gravity of the violation and, with respect to the violator, the degree of culpability, any history of prior offenses, ability to pay, and other such matters as justice may require. 47 U.S.C. § 503(b)(2)(D).

11. Section 301 of the Act explicitly prohibits entities from transmitting energy, communications or signals by radio except under and in accordance with the terms of the Act and of a license granted under the provisions of the Act. 47 U.S.C. § 301. In the instant case, Mr. Gaskey admitted during the April 8, 1999 inspection, that he operated a non-certified radio transmitter for his radio station on 26.835 MHz without a valid license. Thus, the violation was willful.

12. Although Mr. Gaskey's response did not explicitly seek rescission or a reduction of the forfeiture amount, we presume his submission of the first page of his 1995, 1996 and 1997 tax returns and the "Statement of Income, etc." were intended to demonstrate his inability to pay the forfeiture. However, the purported statement of income submitted fails to specify the year(s) for which the figures are applicable, and does not indicate how the figures were prepared. Also, the figures in the statement are not supported by any other documentation. With respect to the tax information provided, Mr. Gaskey has supplied incomplete tax returns inasmuch as he has provided only the first page of his tax returns for 1995, 1996 and 1997. Without the

entire tax returns, we are unable to assess the amount of income earned during the relevant years. The NAL clearly states, at footnote two, that a claim of inability to pay should be supported by tax returns or other financial statements prepared under generally acceptable accounting procedures for the most recent three-year period. Undocumented statements of an individual's size and financial condition do not meet the requirement of a particularized showing of financial hardship or inability to pay. See, e.g., Barry A. Stevenson, 12 FCC Rcd 1976, 1977 (1997) (three years of financial statements or other reliable and objective documentation accurately reflecting petitioner's current financial status required to demonstrate inability to pay); Timothy Harold Hoffman, 11 FCC Rcd 17463, 17464 (1996) (individuals have submitted information such as child support payments, tax liens, and garnishments to corroborate debts or liabilities); Macau Traders, Inc., 13 FCC Rcd 228, 233 (1998) (no reduction of forfeiture warranted due to undue financial hardship where violator provided no documentary evidence upon which to evaluate its financial condition or ability to pay). See also 47 C.F.R. § 1.80(f)(3) ("Any showing as to why the forfeiture should be reduced shall include a detailed factual statement and such documentation and affidavits as may be pertinent.").

13. We have examined Mr. Gaskey's response to the NAL pursuant to the statutory factors above, and in conjunction with the Policy Statement as well. As a result of our review, we conclude that Mr. Gaskey has failed to provide a sufficient justification for reducing the forfeiture amount. Therefore, we affirm the forfeiture amount of $11,000.

14. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Act, and Section 1.80 of the Rules, and the Commission's Policy Statement, James Lee Gaskey IS LIABLE FOR A MONETARY FORFEITURE in the amount of $11,000 for the willful violation of Section 301 of the Act.

15. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that, pursuant to Section 1.80(f) of the Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.80(f), James Lee Gaskey shall, within thirty (30) days of the release of this Forfeiture Order, pay the amount of $11,000 for violation of Section 301 of the Act. Payment of the forfeiture shall be made by check or money order drawn on a U.S. financial institution payable to the Federal Communications Commission. Payment may also be made by credit card with the appropriate documentation. The remittance should be marked "NAL/Acct. No. 915KC0002" and mailed to the following address:

Federal Communications Commission
P.O. Box 73482
Chicago, Illinois 60673-7482

Petitions for reconsideration filed pursuant to Section 1.106 of the Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.106, or applications for review filed pursuant to Section 1.115 of the Rules, 47 C.F.R. § 1.115, must be received by the Commission within thirty (30) days of the release of this order at the following address:

Office of the Secretary
Federal Communications Commission
445 12th Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20554
ATTN: Technical & Public Safety Division
Enforcement Bureau

Forfeiture penalties not paid within thirty (30) days will be referred to
the U.S. Attorney for recovery in a civil suit. 47 U.S.C. • 504(a).

16. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that a copy of this order shall be sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, to James Lee Gaskey, 5215 N. Pine Street, Davenport, Iowa 52806.

FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

David H. Solomon
Chief, Enforcement Bureau

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out
of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> ignored repeated warnings.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.
> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
generaly
> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and
have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> Sincerely
> Ken
>

>

538. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 05:13:53 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <oYPV8.64450$N8.70264571@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129567

Landshark <mailto:S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
news:gpPV8.1562$Ai3.182501506@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> HOOK, line & sinker Jerry.....
> Pretty close to spamming the newsgroup, you
> fell for his troll.
>
> Landshark

Of course! A challenge that couldn't go unanswered. He said only 8 "busts"
in 3 years.
I posted 21(?) just to prove him wrong and illustrate that these kinds of
warnings and
citations are on the increase. More and more of the BIG leenyar, big signal
boys oper-
ating outside the CB band are going to find their wallets lighter if they
don't beware.

J
>
>
> --
> There are no stupid questions, just stupid
> people.
>
> Mr. Garrison
>
>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:A4PV8.64279$N8.69934648@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > WHAAT? Another one?
> >
> > Mr. Mark Hanson
> > 217 N. 6th Avenue
> > Wausau, WI 54403
> >
> > SUBJECT: Warning Notice--UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> >
> >
> > Dear Mr. Hanson:
> >
> >
> > The Commission has information that you have been operating
> > radio-transmitting equipment without a license on frequencies in the
> Amateur

> > Radio Service bands.
> >
> >
> > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment without
a
> > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934,
> as
> > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301and will subject you to fine or
> imprisonment,
> > as well as an in rem seizure of radio transmitting equipment, in
> cooperation
> > with the United States Attorney for your jurisdiction. Fines for this
> > violation normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> >
> >
> > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.
> >
> >
> > :
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> > news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> > > As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents.
Out
> > of
> > > perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal)
> rigs.
> > > The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> > > Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of
> there
> > > ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a
cb
> > > shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them
it's
> > > against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not
in
> a
> > > million years.
> > > Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over
a
> 3
> > > year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations
that
> > > ignored repeated warnings.
> > > Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's
> and

> > > use them and people will sell them.
> > > Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here
> while
> > > worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
> > generaly
> > > make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a
> liscense
> > > it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool
and
> > have
> > > fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and
> scans
> > > the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad
> guy"
> > > Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM
Ham
> > rigs
> > > and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and
> just
> > > went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell
> me
> > > most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> > > liscense.
> > > Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> > > Sincerely
> > > Ken
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

539. Re: Good Virus Tool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 05:19:24 UTC
From: Roogie <roogie@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d27cec6$0$6329$45beb828@newscene.com>
Source record: 129568

In article <3D277C55.D92F0E9@yaSPAMhoo.com>,
Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote:

> What's the best tool to check/detect/remove the latest damn
> klez/email virus?

Get a Macintosh and you don't get them in the first place.

Roog

540. Re: Attn: All Amateur Radio Op's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 05:25:58 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uifkb4jo2jqc9d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129569

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207061117.751918df@posting.google.com...
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:<uids02g817eocc@corp.supernews.com>...
> > <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d262638.319553@news.cet.com...
> > > In <uic0scn89s0j01@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
> > > <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > >Read between the lines a little more, see what other Bull shit you
can
> > > >invent...
> > >
> > > Hey bud, I didn't claim to have "started out with ... 150-watts", you
> > > did. That's not an invention, that's a quote!
> > >
> >
> > A misinterpreted quote... With missing words no less...
> >
> > I'm ending this one sided conversation now... Pointless to discuss
anything
> > with those who choose to see what they want, and not what is meant.
> >
> > PH
>
> What is meant by 150 watts?
>
Read my other replies... I have explained it more that enough times
already...

PH

541. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 05:34:48 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ag8jtoeug2@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129570

kwthom@munged.com wrote:

>>Gut feeling is 900 MHz. Power
>>will still allow extended range under favorable conditions, but, for the
>>most part, folks can enjoy reasonable interference range for 10 to 15 miles
>>with a decent antenna.

> Okay, let's play devil's advocate here for a moment...

> What happens to the (real SWAG here, folks...) 10 to 20 million 11
> meter radio's in the States that are still functional?

> In order to pull something like this off, you'd need a five to ten
> year period of time for people to move off 11 meters.

Which is why I stated 5 years or more to do the conversion.

> What happens *after* this timeframe?

Heavy fines for those obstinate enough to remain in the current
bands.

> What about those outside CONUS with this capability?

> ...a good topic for WARC, perhaps?

Probably. But it won't be addressed this time, and probably not in
the next session either.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

542. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 05:35:29 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uifko1i7q6gv48@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129571

Jerry, everyone should note one thing you mentioned - 'spectrum management'. Most of us want to watch television at some point - perhaps local or satellite. Many of us have cell phones. Many also have cordless phones (be they spread-spectrum or the old analog type). We listen to AM or FM radio. This is all possible due to spectrum management. If there was no management of the airwaves, who, in his or her right mind, would build a television station - or radio station?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

543. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 05:42:54 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ag8kcueug3@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129572

'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:

> Bill,
> Let me understand this. You mean there would be
> no fee for taking the Technician class test because
> there would be no test of either theory or code. Is
> that correct?

If there is no other place to move CBers except to the
UHF ham bands, yes.

It was not a serious proposal, as I stated in a response
to someone else. I don't think that any of the ham licenses
should be test free. Matter of fact, I am all in favor of
making them at least as difficult as they were 50 years ago.

> Is there anything else you would like to give away
> for free, while you're at it? Why not just forget
> about the Technician class, lets just make all of the
> classes a 'give away' license. Hell, while we're at
> it, lets just do away with all the classes of licenses,
> make it a 'CB' thing altogether!

With the change to 5 wpm, all the classes are already
close to "gimme". It takes little effort, and no need
to understand theory at all, to pass even the Extra
exam.

> Reminds me a whole lot of another 'freebie' thing
> called 'welfare'. Boy! That one sure works, doesn't
> it? We can call it the "Welfare Radio Service"!
> People who want something for nothing are very, very
> stupid, and in most cases get what they deserve. Better
> be careful what you wish for...
> 'Doc

I wasn't wishing for it. But many hams have wanted to get rid
of the code test for decades. There is a good chance this will
be allowed at WARC. If so, then expect a large influx of people
with even less knowledge of electronics than already infest the
ham bands.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

544. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 05:48:37 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ag8knleug4@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129573

Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> Enforcement that you say isn't happening:

> Before the
> Federal Communications Commission
> Washington, D.C. 20554

> In the Matter of)
>)
> THE TWO WAY SHOP) File No. EB-99-ST-439
> 220 Columbia Dr. E.)
> Kennewick, Washington 99336) NAL/Acct. No. 915ST0007

> FORFEITURE ORDER

> Adopted: April 6, 2000 Released: April 7, 2000

This is old news - over 2 years old.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

545. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 05:50:16 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ag8kqoeug5@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129574

Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> Here's another of one of those "non-existent"
> CB enforcement actions you are so confident of:
> BTW, he was operating on the 10 meter band.

> RR

> **********
> Before the
> Federal Communications Commission
> Washington, D.C. 20554

> In the Matter of)
>)
> Joshie Yasin Nakamura, Sr.) File No. EB-99-SF-646
> a/k/a Marvin Eugene Barnes)
> 800 Weeks Street)
> East Palo Alto, California 94301) NAL/Acct. No. 200132960002

> FORFEITURE ORDER

> Adopted: July 3, 2001 Released: July 6, 2001

> By the Chief, Enforcement Bureau:

> 1. In this Forfeiture Order ("Order"), we issue a monetary forfeiture in the
> amount of
> ten thousand dollars ($10,000) against Joshie Yasin Nakamura, Sr. a/k/a
> Marvin Eugene Barnes for
> violating Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934 ("Act"), as amended.
> Mr. Nakamura
> operated an unlicensed amateur radio station.

This is not a CBer, as far as I can tell.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

546. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 05:51:18 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ag8ksmeug6@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129575

Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:

> Re: 10 meter radios
> Posted by RR on 6/17/2002, 9:19 pm , in reply to "Re: 10 meter radios"
> 216.76.152.188

Old news, once again.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

547. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 05:55:23 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ag8l4beug7@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129576

Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> And the list goes on and on!!

Yep. And most of them you list are not being cited as CBers, but as people illegally operating in the ham bands - some using fake call signs.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

548. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 06:01:36 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ag8lg0eug8@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129577

Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> Uh, oh--he was "freebanding". I gues it wasn't "free" after all.

It appears that only 3 of the long series of postings you made were CBers. At least, they were the only ones that mentioned the CB service. How about listing the many Hams in this time frame that were cited for transmitting illegally on the CB band - usually for intentional jamming.

And why did you include the complete post to which you were responding in ALL of your responses? I hope you are more polite on the ham bands.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

549. Re: Good Virus Tool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 06:03:27 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ag8ljfeug9@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129578

Roogie <roogie@yahoo.com> wrote:

>> What's the best tool to check/detect/remove the latest damn
>> klez/email virus?

> Get a Macintosh and you don't get them in the first place.

Or read mail from a unix shell using something like tin. Then you
don't have to worry about emailed viruses at all.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

550. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 06:48:25 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uifp1iktnsdt89@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129579

He was just hired onto their elite troll team. ROFL
"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D27BDEE.5EF8DD55@icok.net...
>
>
> Richard,
> I wasn't aware that you were employed by
> the FCC. How long have you worked for them?
> 'Doc

551. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 08:02:16 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cASV8.53423$p85.1604558@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129580

```
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:oYPV8.64450$N8.70264571@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> Landshark <mailto:S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
> news:gpPV8.1562$Ai3.182501506@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> > HOOK, line & sinker Jerry.................
> > Pretty close to spamming the newsgroup, you
> > fell for his troll.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Of course! A challenge that couldn't go unanswered. He said only 8
"busts"
> in 3 years.
> I posted 21(?) just to prove him wrong and illustrate that these kinds of
> warnings and
> citations are on the increase. More and more of the BIG leenyar, big
signal
> boys oper-
> ating outside the CB band are going to find their wallets lighter if they
> don't beware.
>
>
> J
> >
> >
> > --
> > There are no stupid questions, just stupid
> > people.
> >
> > Mr. Garrison
> >
> >
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:A4PV8.64279$N8.69934648@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > WHAAT? Another one?
> > >
> > > Mr. Mark Hanson
> > > 217 N. 6th Avenue
> > > Wausau, WI 54403
> > >
> > > SUBJECT: Warning Notice--UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > >
```

> > >
> > > Dear Mr. Hanson:
> > >
> > >
> > > The Commission has information that you have been operating
> > > radio-transmitting equipment without a license on frequencies in the
> > Amateur
> > > Radio Service bands.
> > >
> > >
> > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment
without
> a
> > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of
1934,
> > as
> > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301and will subject you to fine or
> > imprisonment,
> > > as well as an in rem seizure of radio transmitting equipment, in
> > cooperation
> > > with the United States Attorney for your jurisdiction. Fines for this
> > > violation normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > >
> > >
> > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
matter.
> > >
> > >
> > > :
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> > > news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents.
> Out
> > > of
> > > > perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal)
> > rigs.
> > > > The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> > > > Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of
> > there
> > > > ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have
a
> cb
> > > > shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them
> it's
> > > > against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never

Not
> in
> > a
> > > > million years.
> > > > Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts
over
> a
> > 3
> > > > year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations
> that
> > > > ignored repeated warnings.
> > > > Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and
linier's
> > and
> > > > use them and people will sell them.
> > > > Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here
> > while
> > > > worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
> > > generaly
> > > > make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a
> > liscense
> > > > it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool
> and
> > > have
> > > > fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and
> > scans
> > > > the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad
> > guy"
> > > > Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM
> Ham
> > > rigs
> > > > and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs
and
> > just
> > > > went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they
tell
> > me
> > > > most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> > > > liscense.
> > > > Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> > > > Sincerely
> > > > Ken
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>

>
>

Big friggin YAWN.

552. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 08:33:03 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207070033.27def4ab@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129581

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<22951-3D27A783-364@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>...

> Yea? Well get this, on usenet, the burden of proof lays with the one who
> makes the claims.

Get busy shouldering your burden.

-Aaron-

From: Twistedhed (twistedhed@webtv.net)
Subject: Re: ******6 to 7 kilowatts on CB??????
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cbView:
Date: 2001-10-25 08:46:31 PST

re: HR2346.

"even the city that spawned the birth of the bill
has done nothing in the way of cb enforcement. They didn't even enact
legislation allowing it, even though they are permitted to.

---

553. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 08:42:47 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207070042.241896ab@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129582

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<22951-3D27A783-364@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>...

> Yea? Well get this, on usenet, the burden of proof lays with the one who
> makes the claims.

Get busy shouldering your burden.

-Aaron-

On Wed, 9 May 2001 11:53:09 -0400 (EDT), twistedhed@webtv.net
(Twistedhed) wrote:

>I can supply you with hundreds of hours of
>interviews from the NSAs covert Echelon global spy communications
>system(monitors ALL modes of communication for "key" words) clear
>down to the FCCs local, regional and National Directors comments.

---

554. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 09:23:00 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ULTV8.43493$eF5.1571299@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129583

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207070042.241896ab@posting.google.com...
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<22951-3D27A783-364@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
>
> > Yea? Well get this, on usenet, the burden of proof lays with the one who
> > makes the claims.
>
> Get busy shouldering your burden.
>
> -Aaron-

Kinda like using a DC voltmeter to measure SWR, huh?

555. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 09:33:22 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D280AE2.34BF6183@qnet.com>
Source record: 129584

Hello Randy:

Fell out of my Computer settin chain on that one.

You crack me up!

Jay in the Mojave

Randy wrote:
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207070042.241896ab@posting.google.com...
> > twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
> news:<22951-3D27A783-364@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> >
> > > Yea? Well get this, on usenet, the burden of proof lays with the one who
> > > makes the claims.
> >
> > Get busy shouldering your burden.
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> Kinda like using a DC voltmeter to measure SWR, huh?

556. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 09:52:04 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D280F44.3F7A5AC@qnet.com>
Source record: 129585

Jerry Oxendine wrote, and went into the open loop mode:

-snipped-

Jay said:

Gee Whiz, 1 Hr 45 Min ya got all wrapped around the axle there Jerry.
Hope ya feel all better now.

I don't think you should let these little things get to ya's so much.

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from
the fillin station.

Credentials:
CB, Ham, Scanner, and Shortwave Radio Enthusiast

Owner of new 1977 Ford 4x4 F250, has God of the Cockpit incredibly loud
Motorola Public Address System, with 2 PA Horns, off old Fire Engine, U
bet ah!

On local TVI Committee, still insisting on Hotesy Tostie Secretary, and
large expense account, or would probably just settle for gas money 4 the
77 Ford Truck, and free shootin range time.

Use Net Therapist.

557. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 10:27:11 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3IUV8.10623$Iu6.621200@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129586

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D27BDEE.5EF8DD55@icok.net...
>
>
> Richard,
> I wasn't aware that you were employed by
> the FCC. How long have you worked for them?
> 'Doc

Don't now, never did. Just a funny collection of local rosin-heads having
a bit of fun.

558. Re: What happen to Export Electronics ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 10:29:59 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D28180E.57FB2956@icok.net>
Source record: 129587

Allen,
EEI isn't around any more. They got their butt in
a crack with the FCC and to get out of it played some
corporate games. EEI was 'bought out' by 'Megatronics'.
Same people running it, same inventory, etc. But the
entity of 'EEI' is now dead. To further confuse the
corporate trail, and to also crawl out from under some
depts, 'Megatronics' was taken over by a new company
by the name of 'Aicer'(?). Same people, same inventory,
yada, yada, yada. But, now they only sell to 'dealers',
not to the general public (hows that for a twist).
There were several notices about outstanding orders,
credit card payments, etc. Also a lot of financial
double talk. It basically means that if you bought
something from 'EEI/Megatronics', you are screwed, unless
you want to file suit (lotsa luck).
So, do you still wish they were around??
'Doc

559. Re: What happen to Export Electronics ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 11:44:52 UTC
From: allenlp1 <allenlp1@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <UQVV8.440782$cQ3.31900@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 129588

Nope I will buy somewhere else I just didn't know what happen to these guys.
I kinda figured it had something to do with the FCC.
Allen
"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D28180E.57FB2956@icok.net...
> Allen,
> EEI isn't around any more. They got their butt in
> a crack with the FCC and to get out of it played some
> corporate games. EEI was 'bought out' by 'Megatronics'.
> Same people running it, same inventory, etc. But the
> entity of 'EEI' is now dead. To further confuse the
> corporate trail, and to also crawl out from under some
> depts, 'Megatronics' was taken over by a new company
> by the name of 'Aicer'(?). Same people, same inventory,
> yada, yada, yada. But, now they only sell to 'dealers',
> not to the general public (hows that for a twist).
> There were several notices about outstanding orders,
> credit card payments, etc. Also a lot of financial
> double talk. It basically means that if you bought
> something from 'EEI/Megatronics', you are screwed, unless
> you want to file suit (lotsa luck).
> So, do you still wish they were around??
> 'Doc

560. Re: Good Virus Tool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 12:58:04 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D283ADC.D487EC9B@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 129589

Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> Roogie <roogie@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >> What's the best tool to check/detect/remove the latest damn
> >> klez/email virus?
>
> > Get a Macintosh and you don't get them in the first place.
>
> Or read mail from a unix shell using something like tin. Then you
> don't have to worry about emailed viruses at all.

I never POP my mail. Hotmail & Yahoo allow me to read mail remotely,
on someone else's machine. The rest of the accounts are unix.

My PC is clean. The one I picked up has 25 Klez infections.

BTW, a Mac is not a real computer. It is more so then WebTV,
but not by much. Writing a virus for a Mac would not have the
impact of a PC virus by virtue of sheer numbers.

That sounds like the ham vs. CB'ers thing, don't it?

561. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 13:14:50 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020707091450.09808.00002864@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 129590

Thats pretty funny .Go to the rain report and see how many hams are getting busted a month and compare that to cber's.Jerry, you sound just like aaron.

562. ALL BORING STUFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 14:02:25 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3d284965.159387448@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 129591

This newsgroup has gotten progressively worse since the antis
I guess I will have to go back to my car and KEYDOWN skip is rollin.
Oh and I hope you antis dont mind if I go to a local keydown as well.
Take care and have a nice day even that punk kid from jersey that used
to buy all of the davemade stuff and now thinks he is an anti!

563. Re: ALL BORING STUFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 14:05:38 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <SUXV8.83908$UT.5629892@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129592

Yep, you'd better go "get you some keydown time on dat cotton picker"... lol

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

<chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3d284965.159387448@news.optonline.net...
> This newsgroup has gotten progressively worse since the antis
> I guess I will have to go back to my car and KEYDOWN skip is rollin.
> Oh and I hope you antis dont mind if I go to a local keydown as well.
> Take care and have a nice day even that punk kid from jersey that used
> to buy all of the davemade stuff and now thinks he is an anti!

564. Re: Good Virus Tool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 14:28:06 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <WdYV8.1587$kY.192737536@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129593

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D283ADC.D487EC9B@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> BTW, a Mac is not a real computer. It is more so then WebTV,
> but not by much. Writing a virus for a Mac would not have the
> impact of a PC virus by virtue of sheer numbers.
>
> That sounds like the ham vs. CB'ers thing, don't it?

You're right about the Mac vs. PC, but the
Mac is a pretty good set up. A lot of graphic &
web designers use Mac, also most musicians that
do their own mixing use a Mac. Just because it
doesn't have the same amount of software, doesn't
make it a computer, just a less desirable one.

Stay Dry and don't sweat the humidity :)

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

565. Re: Good Virus Tool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 15:35:59 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <lsngiuc0bjhufto2p5phfif9b5c6mgnr3g@4ax.com>
Source record: 129594

On Sun, 07 Jul 2002 12:58:04 GMT, Scott Sixnine
<scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote:

>
>
>Bill Nelson wrote:
>>
>> Roogie <roogie@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >> What's the best tool to check/detect/remove the latest damn
>> >> klez/email virus?
>>
>> > Get a Macintosh and you don't get them in the first place.
>>
>> Or read mail from a unix shell using something like tin. Then you
>> don't have to worry about emailed viruses at all.
>
>I never POP my mail. Hotmail & Yahoo allow me to read mail remotely,
>on someone else's machine. The rest of the accounts are unix.
>
>My PC is clean. The one I picked up has 25 Klez infections.
>
>BTW, a Mac is not a real computer. It is more so then WebTV,
>but not by much. Writing a virus for a Mac would not have the
>impact of a PC virus by virtue of sheer numbers.
>
>That sounds like the ham vs. CB'ers thing, don't it?

Heheh this is why once my new machine is built I will be running
exclusively linux except from the office, and there I bounce my email
off a linux shell.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

566. Re: What happen to Export Electronics ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 15:53:04 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uigou135epi909@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129595

The FCC AND the chance to get out from under obligations. Apparently, they only sold the profitable stuff to the new company and left the old one saddled with debt and just let it go under. This left creditors and customers with zilch and the FCC with nothing to collect.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"allenlp1" <allenlp1@attbi.com> wrote in message news:UQVV8.440782$cQ3.31900@sccrnsc01...
> Nope I will buy somewhere else I just didn't know what happen to these guys.
> I kinda figured it had something to do with the FCC.
> Allen
> "'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D28180E.57FB2956@icok.net...
> > Allen,
> > EEI isn't around any more. They got their butt in
> > a crack with the FCC and to get out of it played some
> > corporate games. EEI was 'bought out' by 'Megatronics'.
> > Same people running it, same inventory, etc. But the
> > entity of 'EEI' is now dead. To further confuse the
> > corporate trail, and to also crawl out from under some
> > depts, 'Megatronics' was taken over by a new company
> > by the name of 'Aicer'(?). Same people, same inventory,
> > yada, yada, yada. But, now they only sell to 'dealers',
> > not to the general public (hows that for a twist).
> > There were several notices about outstanding orders,
> > credit card payments, etc. Also a lot of financial
> > double talk. It basically means that if you bought
> > something from 'EEI/Megatronics', you are screwed, unless
> > you want to file suit (lotsa luck).
> > So, do you still wish they were around??
> > 'Doc
>
>

567. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 17:00:01 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D2855DA.61673B74@mailcity.net>
Source record: 129596

```
Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > And the list goes on and on!!
>
> Yep. And most of them you list are not being cited as CBers, but as
> people illegally operating in the ham bands - some using fake call
> signs.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

Sounds like cbers to me. This is what they do best.
```

568. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 17:32:12 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uigunsija3am5a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129597

Kenneth, upon perusing your post I had an idea. Athough enforcement is on the way up, the FCC is still understaffed. Myself, I tend not to worry a whole lot - until the amateur bands get affected (or is that INFECTED?). What we need, now that the FCC has given the green light to local regulation, is for the ARRL to start copying a lot of posts such as yours and when someone gets a video of a trucker, have the ARRL file a six or seven figure civil lawsuit against the company. Hurt a few lucky ones for 50 grand or so and bankrupt a couple of others. That might get some attention. I notice that no one seems to want to get on 54 - 60 MHz. I wonder why? Nervous?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out
of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law. Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> ignored repeated warnings.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.
> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
generaly
> make an ass of himself. Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and
have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
rigs
> and one even had a marine radio. Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!

> Sincerely
> Ken
>
>

569. Re: Where's Dave or Debbie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 18:12:29 UTC
From: blah@blah.com
Message-ID: <3d288428.35020314@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 129598

On Sat, 06 Jul 2002 23:23:07 GMT, Scott Sixnine
<scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote:

>Our weekend troll is missing.
>
>See if you can see his/her picture on a milk carton near you.

Good! I hope the stupid mother fucker never comes back. wish boobner
would go with them, as they make a wonderful couple.

570. Re: Where's Dave or Debbie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 18:40:00 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4W%V8.46732$eF5.1643958@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129599

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D277BDB.368C293B@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> Our weekend troll is missing.
>
> See if you can see his/her picture on a milk carton near you.

I heard he's on a pilgrimage to Mecca, so that he can further understand the beliefs of those whom he may not see eye to eye with. <g>

571. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 18:52:32 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <mY%V8.68897$N8.75252771@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129600

Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:uifko1i7q6gv48@corp.supernews.com...
>
> Jerry, everyone should note one thing you mentioned - 'spectrum
management'.
> Most of us want to watch television at some point - perhaps local or
> satellite. Many of us have cell phones. Many also have cordless phones
(be
> they spread-spectrum or the old analog type). We listen to AM or FM
radio.
> This is all possible due to spectrum management. If there was no
management
> of the airwaves, who, in his or her right mind, would build a television
> station - or radio station?

Jim,

Often, whether realized or not, the overwhelming view is often that *I* want
more and
more and more and more, *I* don't want to have to work for it, *I* don't
want any
restrictions on my behavior, and/or *I* don't want to have to obey any laws
or learn
how to keep my operations from bothering other users---all this without
thinking how
such a situation would impact THEM as well as those they displace. Some of
us know
that we WERE in such a situation in the early part of the last century until
the Congress
stepped in and attempted to make some sense of madness, order from chaos.
It is for
that very reason that the Communications Act of 1934 came into being.
Though not
perfect, the FCC has done a pretty good job considering. Now if only we
could make
Congress see the error of their way in removing the funds needed to do the
huge job they have and return enough funds to return to CB enforcement in
the way it is needed Oh yes, they are responding to complaints, but I hope
for the day when they are even more pro-active in removing pirate operators
from frequencies and bands they have no business on.

Jerry

>
> 73 from Rochester, NY

> Jim
>
>
>

572. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 18:55:29 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <4%%V8.68901$N8.75265190@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129601

Kenneth posted that only 8 CBers had been cited or warned in the last 3 years.
I was responding with cases that occurred within that time frame to illustrate that
there are many more than 8. If you had read the entire thread (troll) started by elpaso
gunslinger, you would've seen why I posted it. It was a challenge I couldn't pass up.
Twenty or twenty one cases are quite more than 8, I think.

73

Jerry
Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ag8knleug4@quark.scn.rain.com...
> Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > Enforcement that you say isn't happening:
>
> > Before the
> > Federal Communications Commission
> > Washington, D.C. 20554
>
> > In the Matter of)
> >)
> > THE TWO WAY SHOP) File No. EB-99-ST-439
> > 220 Columbia Dr. E.)
> > Kennewick, Washington 99336) NAL/Acct. No. 915ST0007
>
> > FORFEITURE ORDER
>
> > Adopted: April 6, 2000 Released: April 7, 2000
>
> This is old news - over 2 years old.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

573. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 18:57:22 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <U00W8.68907$N8.75274386@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129602

It illustrates that if you operate on the bands without benefit of license,
you are most
certainly likely to get caught. Incidentally, there is more on the FCC
website on this
case, and along with what one of the regulars on here told me, he was a
CBer.

J
Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ag8kqoeug5@quark.scn.rain.com...
> Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > Here's another of one of those "non-existent"
> > CB enforcement actions you are so confident of:
> > BTW, he was operating on the 10 meter band.
>
> > RR
>
>
>
> > **********
> > Before the
> > Federal Communications Commission
> > Washington, D.C. 20554
>
> > In the Matter of)
> >)
> > Joshie Yasin Nakamura, Sr.) File No. EB-99-SF-646
> > a/k/a Marvin Eugene Barnes)
> > 800 Weeks Street)
> > East Palo Alto, California 94301) NAL/Acct. No. 200132960002
>
>
> > FORFEITURE ORDER
>
>
> > Adopted: July 3, 2001 Released: July 6, 2001
>
> > By the Chief, Enforcement Bureau:
>
>
> > 1. In this Forfeiture Order ("Order"), we issue a monetary forfeiture in
the
> > amount of
> > ten thousand dollars ($10,000) against Joshie Yasin Nakamura, Sr. a/k/a

> > Marvin Eugene Barnes for
> > violating Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934 ("Act"), as
amended.
> > Mr. Nakamura
> > operated an unlicensed amateur radio station.
>
> This is not a CBer, as far as I can tell.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

574. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 18:58:22 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <O10W8.68913$N8.75278789@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129603

Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ag8ksmeug6@quark.scn.rain.com...
> Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
> > Re: 10 meter radios
> > Posted by RR on 6/17/2002, 9:19 pm , in reply to "Re: 10 meter radios"
> > 216.76.152.188
>
> Old news, once again.
Again, if you had R E A D the thread, you would've know W H Y it was
posted.

J
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

575. Re: What happen to Export Electronics ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 19:03:56 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D28908A.48A5D394@icok.net>
Source record: 129604

Jim,
That's about the 'size' of it. The really odd
thing about this whole mess was that a few months
ago, a supposedly secret memo 'floated' around a
couple of 'forums' that outlined the whole procedure.
The forming of the new companies, the purpose, and
the expected results. Things seem to have worked out
just as in the 'secret memo'. If a disgruntled
customer, or the FCC ~really~ wanted to take them
to the cleaners, I think it would be easy to do, not
cheap, but easy. Unfortunately, I doubt if it ever
happens.
'Doc

576. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 19:04:39 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <H70W8.68933$N8.75308011@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129605

Tell me what the difference is, then? Illegal is illegal, is illegal.
Kenneth says that
only 8 people have been cited or warned in the past 3 years. I proved him
wrong.
The presence, or absence of a license, or that of a CB is immaterial. Ken
thinks you
won't get nailed for operating on Amateur freqs. If most hams have their
way, an un-
licensed person, using a bootleg call or not, will get busted. And, yes, if
you dig a bit,
you will find that *most* of them are CBers. You know as well as I do that
anybody
that operates illegally in any radio service gets charged under the rules of
THAT service, not a service he may or may not have "come" from. The charges
only deal
with the rules broken in the affected service.

J

Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ag8l4beug7@quark.scn.rain.com...
> Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > And the list goes on and on!!
>
> Yep. And most of them you list are not being cited as CBers, but as
> people illegally operating in the ham bands - some using fake call
> signs.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

577. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 19:33:02 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <jy0W8.68989$N8.75443591@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129606

ALLSTEEL77 <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020707091450.09808.00002864@mb-cr.aol.com...
> Thats pretty funny .Go to the rain report and see how many hams are
getting
> busted a month and compare that to cber's.Jerry, you sound just like
aaron.

Guess what! Be he CBer, Amateur, private citizen with a MURS radio, I H O P
E
he gets what's coming if he uses that radio illegally. The more illegal
operators Riley
hits, the less idiotic and useless junk legitimate operators have to put up
with. I don't
know how many times this has to be posted to get it thru the heads who try
to compare
the antics of illegal hams and illegal CBers. SO! Read slo o o o o o o w l
y! T H E
H A M S T H E M S E L V E S, F E D U P W I T H C R A P, N O I S E, Q R M-
E R S, A N D I N F A N T I L E O P E R A T O R S, A S K E D F O R F C C
TO R E T U R N T O E N F O R C E M E N T. N O W R E A D S L O O W-
LY: T H E O V E R W H E L M I N G M A J O R I T Y O F H A M S A R E
E L A T E D T O S E E A L L I L L E G A L O P E R A T O R S R E -
M O V E D F R O M T H E A I R. P E R I O D A N D E N D!!!!!!!!!!!

If you wished to embarrass hams by this frail, empty, and pointless fact, OR
to make
some attempt to elevate the CB service while somehow reducing Amateur Radio,
you
have failed. Mr.Hollingsworth is one of the most popular attendees at
hamfests these
days, receiving hearty thanks and congratulations from legitimate hams,
while those who
would mistake his quiet demeanor, and biting wit as a sign of weakness, had
better hide
their bootleg gear, clean up their act, or face discipline. Riley got
placed in his current
position because hams PLEADED with FCC to DO something about the insane
antics
of a few bird brains on 3898 and 14.313. There are a few "brave" souls
around still, but they are far, far fewer than before. They, along with the
intruders that think that 40
channels isn't enough and they will "take" what they want, are quite
mistaken.

And I read the RainReport faithfully every 2 weeks and listen for Riley's audio account
of people getting what they richly deserve. Ham or not, I could not care less.

Jerry

578. Re: What happen to Export Electronics ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 19:52:34 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uih6v8q47mnpf1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129607

I'm not a lawyer so I wouldn't know. Unfortunately, an incorporated company DOES shield its' owners considerably. We'll have to see what happens in the Enron case as well as others - but usually what happens is that the government will get a chunk of funds; forget the folks who have lost their pensions. BTW, I did see that 'secret memo'. This really points out that you should know with whom you are dealing if buying equipment.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D28908A.48A5D394@icok.net...
>
>
> Jim,
> That's about the 'size' of it. The really odd
> thing about this whole mess was that a few months
> ago, a supposedly secret memo 'floated' around a
> couple of 'forums' that outlined the whole procedure.
> The forming of the new companies, the purpose, and
> the expected results. Things seem to have worked out
> just as in the 'secret memo'. If a disgruntled
> customer, or the FCC ~really~ wanted to take them
> to the cleaners, I think it would be easy to do, not
> cheap, but easy. Unfortunately, I doubt if it ever
> happens.
> 'Doc

579. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 20:16:42 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207071216.63b323b6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129608

Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message news:<3D280AE2.34BF6183@qnet.com>...
> Hello Randy:
>
> Fell out of my Computer settin chain on that one.
>
> You crack me up!
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
> Randy wrote:
> >
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:22a5e694.0207070042.241896ab@posting.google.com...
> > > twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
> news:<22951-3D27A783-364@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> > >
> > > > Yea? Well get this, on usenet, the burden of proof lays with the one who
> > > > makes the claims.
> > >
> > > Get busy shouldering your burden.
> > >
> > > -Aaron-
> >
> > Kinda like using a DC voltmeter to measure SWR, huh?

Glad you got a laugh Jay, but it looks like Twist doesn't find my
posts very funny at ALL. I guess he's gonna go into "ignore" mode now.
He does that whenever something freaks him out too badly or the
questions get too tough. Or maybe it was mentioning how I ran into
Richard Maltinos that spooked him. In any event, there are dozens of
claims Twisted has made in the ng, all of them waiting to be
substantiated.

-Aaron-

580. Re: Lou

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 20:18:31 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207071218.9804f0c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129609

"Lou" <tech@dp.net> wrote in message news:<ag7qsc$1dgu$1@news.dp.net>...
> Which "Lou" are you referring to and regarding what?
>
> Lou/Tech@DP.NET

Reading the very first post in this thread will give you that information.

-Aaron-

581. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 20:34:07 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020707163407.21872.00003091@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 129610

>From: "Jim Hampton" >aa2qa@FrontierNet.net
>Hurt a few lucky ones for
>50 grand or so and bankrupt a couple of >others. That might get some
attention.
Jim, you sir, are a dickhead! You post in this group like a preacher of the FCC bible. You are just a useless member of the Ham society of losers. You spout crap about enforcement because you have nothing more to offer humankind. I believe you are into self-gratification. So go beat off, your father should have the night you were conceived. Just too bad for us, we have to tolerate you now. Shove off goon!

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

582. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 21:56:47 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <zO2W8.594267$Gs.38445659@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129611

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ag8kcueug3@quark.scn.rain.com...
> I wasn't wishing for it. But many hams have wanted to get rid
> of the code test for decades. There is a good chance this will
> be allowed at WARC. If so, then expect a large influx of people
> with even less knowledge of electronics than already infest the
> ham bands.

Bill the two ideas don't logically connect. Knowledge of CW and electronics don't equate. There are plenty of Hams who can pound out CW at a blistering rate on the air, but yet have little technical knowledge of the inside workings of the equipment they use. The same can be said for the reverse argument as well, those with a great deal of knowledge in electronics and don't care one bit to use CW.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"It is difficult to make a man miserable while
he feels worthy of himself and claims
kindred to the great God who made
him."

Abraham Lincoln

583. Re: More viruses, and it's getting old.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 22:12:49 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <agagafghv1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 129613

"Tommy Maltinos" <tommy@malt.com> wrote in message
news:F%jV8.283840$_j6.14003190@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
> Really Twisty it is definatly Frank huh, LOL, funny mr ostrowski wrote
> the below post about a month or so ago look at the addresses.
> It gets better Twitsy the cv3.keyway address belongs to Charlie 3 the big
> CB distributor in California , and if you read what Brainbuster said :
> One can see real easy Mr. ostrowski is probably in his address book and
> the email is being sent out randomly to people in charlie 3's address
> book.
> Sorry to blow your whole Frank theory twistedstoryhed. The facts are what
> they are even you cannot change that fact.
===

Hi "Tommy".

I think you are missing my main point... the address " cv3@keyway.net " may not be where it actually came from. The most likely source is someone who has that e-m in their address book, as the virus fakes the sender info with an address from the infected computers address book.

A couple of months back, I received an e-mail from "Net3media" claiming that I sent them a virus. At the time they sent that e-mail, I had not been on-line for some time... so it did not come from here, but from someone with my addy in their address book.
I did do a full scan (my anti-virus has weekly updates and would detect the named virus) but I found nothing. The IP of the "Net3media" e-mail matched their IP, so it was from them... but they ignored my request for confirmation
of that fact.

At the time that happened, one or two on this group were being attacked with continual attempts at infecting their systems. So I believe that it is very
likely that the infected user was linked with this newsgroup and has a few of us in their address book.

As I said before, I believe that the IP number could give more of a clue about where it really came from. You have to be careful about accusing because of the e-m in the header... Net3media p*ss*d me off with their accusation and ignorance in not replying.

BTW: You remind me of someone... do you have a sister called Georgina?
Just wondering.

Regards,

Brainbuster.

584. Re: Good Virus Tool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 22:12:52 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <agagahghv2@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 129614

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ag8ljfeug9@quark.scn.rain.com...
> Roogie <roogie@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >> What's the best tool to check/detect/remove the latest damn
> >> klez/email virus?
>
> > Get a Macintosh and you don't get them in the first place.
>
> Or read mail from a unix shell using something like tin. Then you
> don't have to worry about emailed viruses at all.
===

Trend Micro have a free on-line virus scanner...
http://housecall.antivirus.com/

They also have one or two free virus removal tools on their main website...
http://www.trendmicro.com/

Regards,

Brainbuster.

585. Latest warning...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 22:12:54 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <agagaighv3@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 129615

As there are so many posts about the virus problem, here's the latest Trend warning...

Not a Windows XP Key Generator - TROJ_DOAL.A (Low Risk)

This destructive malware comes disguised as a Windows XP Home Edition Key Generator. Upon execution, this malware creates the directory "Windows XP Home Keys" in the Program Files folder. In this directory it drops the text file "Windows XP Home Edition Serials.diz," which contains serial numbers for Windows XP Home Edition. It also creates a shortcut link for this file on the desktop.

It also drops a copy of itself with the file name "load.exe" with the Windows XP "My Computer" icon. At every system startup, it automatically executes this program and prompts the user with a bogus error message. The bogus error message indicates that the system does not have enough disk space on the installation drive, and asks whether the user wishes to continue. If the user clicks "Yes" it parses Drive C:\ and deletes files.

If you would like to scan your computer for TROJ_DOAL.A or thousands of other worms, viruses, Trojans and malicious code, visit HouseCall, Trend Micro's free online virus scanner at: http://housecall.antivirus.com/

TROJ_DOAL.A is detected and cleaned by Trend Micro pattern file #306 and above.

For additional information about TROJ_DOAL.A please visit:
http://www.trendmicro.com/vinfo/virusencyclo/default5.asp?VName=TROJ_DOAL.A

3. 10 Most Prevalent In-the-Wild Malware Surveyed by Trend Micro US
(week of: June 24, 2002 to June 30, 2002)
---
1. WORM_YAHA.E
2. WORM_YAHA.D
3. WORM_YAHA.A
4. WORM_SIRCAM.A
5. WORM_NAVIDAD.A
6. WORM_KLEZ.H
7. WORM_KLEZ.E
8. WORM_MENACE.A
9. WORM_HYBRIS.M
10. WORM_HYBRIS.DLL

====

Regards,

Brainbuster.

586. FW: Jesus vs Satan. . .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 22:12:55 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <agagajghv4@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 129616

Jesus and Satan have an extended argument as to who is the better computer programmer.
Finally, they agree to hold a contest with God as the judge. They set themselves before their computers and begin programming. Typing furiously for several hours, they enter lines of code streaming across the screen.

Seconds before the end of the competition, a bolt of lightning strikes, taking out the electricity. Moments later, the power is restored, and God announces that the contest has ended.

God asks Satan to show what he has done. Satan is visibly upset and cries, "I have done nothing! The power outage destroyed all of my work!"

"Very well, then," says God, "let us see how Jesus fared." Jesus enters a command, and the screen comes to life in vivid displays of 3-D color. The voices of an angelic choir pour forth from the speakers.

Satan is stunned and stutters, "But how?! How did he do that?!"

God chuckles, "Jesus Saves!"

Brainbuster.

587. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 22:40:41 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Jr3W8.57094$p85.1737620@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129612

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:zO2W8.594267$Gs.38445659@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ag8kcueug3@quark.scn.rain.com...
> I wasn't wishing for it. But many hams have wanted to get rid
> of the code test for decades. There is a good chance this will
> be allowed at WARC. If so, then expect a large influx of people
> with even less knowledge of electronics than already infest the
> ham bands.

Bill the two ideas don't logically connect. Knowledge of CW and electronics
don't equate. There are plenty of Hams who can pound out CW at a blistering
rate on the air, but yet have little technical knowledge of the inside
workings of the equipment they use. The same can be said for the reverse
argument as well, those with a great deal of knowledge in electronics and
don't care one bit to use CW.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member

Right Leland, and I agree with you totally. You never know when the Titanic
or some other mis-captained ship may sail again and not have voice or
satellite coms. The code is useless, except to those that enjoy it.

588. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 22:46:20 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <0x3W8.1631$O93.201199954@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129617

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:H70W8.68933$N8.75308011@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Tell me what the difference is, then? Illegal is illegal, is illegal.
> Kenneth says that
> only 8 people have been cited or warned in the past 3 years.

Jerry, Ken was trolling, he has said
so, a few post back. Chill out, have some
sipping whiskey and relax, this is not like you.

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

589. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 22:49:28 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0207071449.52e2820@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129618

I just have a question - the frequencies between "Channel 40" and the 10 meter band aren't in use so what's the harm? Has ANYONE ever heard a LEGIT station using an assigned frequency between "Channel 40" and 10 meters? Just curious. Enforcing this stuff is like enforcing jaywalking or spitting on the street.

My personal opinion - if they venture into 10 meters, they deserve what they get. If they keep it below, they should be left alone.

590. FS: CB radio Slanguage and language dictionary by Lanie Dills

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 22:57:20 UTC
From: Pete <aerowolf@postmark.net>
Message-ID: <kH3W8.2506$0U5.47660@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 129619

CB radio Slanguage and language dictionary by Lanie Dills from 1976. Tells you all the 10 codes, Q codes and even funny 13 codes. It has all the slang words used in CB radio then and some still being used. The book is paperback and cover is a little scribbled but for the use it has had, it is in nice shape. This is a must have for the cb radio operator. you can view this auction at the link here:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365091252

Thanks for looking.

591. Re: FS: CB radio Slanguage and language dictionary by Lanie Dills

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 22:59:01 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D28C7B5.6C8DDF61@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 129620

Pete wrote:
>
> CB radio Slanguage and language dictionary by Lanie Dills from 1976. Tells
> you all the 10 codes, Q codes and even funny 13 codes. It has all the slang
> words used in CB radio then and some still being used. The book is paperback
> and cover is a little scribbled but for the use it has had, it is in nice
> shape. This is a must have for the cb radio operator. you can view this
> auction at the link here:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365091252
>
> Thanks for looking.

Aren't our messages supposed to be in plain english?

592. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 22:59:48 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <EJ3W8.12260$Iu6.693620@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129621

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020707091450.09808.00002864@mb-cr.aol.com...
> Thats pretty funny .Go to the rain report and see how many hams are
getting
> busted a month and compare that to cber's.Jerry, you sound just like
aaron.

Admirable except that the Rain Report is an Amateur site reporting Amateur enforcement. There is no place beyond the masochists who read enforcement off the FCC site to even find out when the Flaming Pimple gets busted.

593. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-07 23:14:29 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <pX3W8.12333$Iu6.695215@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129622

"vhfradiobuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5e5fccab.0207071449.52e2820@posting.google.com...
> I just have a question - the frequencies between "Channel 40" and the
> 10 meter band aren't in use so what's the harm? Has ANYONE ever heard
> a LEGIT station using an assigned frequency between "Channel 40" and
> 10 meters? Just curious. Enforcing this stuff is like enforcing
> jaywalking or spitting on the street.
>
> My personal opinion - if they venture into 10 meters, they deserve
> what they get. If they keep it below, they should be left alone.

On a personal level, I tend to agree and do not get stirred by activity below 28. But one of the reasons why we do not have allocations above 27.6 is that other countries do.

I refer you to http://www.vkham.com for a listing of 27 MHz Australian (hence the VK) Marine freqs which one poster here suggested are fairly popular in Oz. These are AM btw.

If it is a problem the Aussie authorities will bitch mightily to the FCC who are treaty-bound to fix the interferers' clock. How likely this is is your guess but I do not think I would like to be responsible for a marine fatality while bellowing BlaBlaBlooie.

Dick NBK

594. Re: Where's Dave or Debbie?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 00:51:58 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Om5W8.12662$lu6.705841@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129623

lol...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> I heard he's on a pilgrimage to Mecca, so that he can further understand
the
> beliefs of those whom he may not see eye to eye with. <g>

595. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 01:02:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17649-3D28E499-217@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129625

Get busy shouldering your burden.
-Aaron-

From: Twistedhed (twistedhed@webtv.net)
Subject: Re: ******6 to 7
re: HR2346.
"even the city that spawned the birth of the bill has done nothing in
the way of cb enforcement. They didn't even enact legislation allowing
it, even though they are permitted to.

Open wide for you daily dish of "ignorance ala
crow." Oh, and feel free to email Carol yourself.

Hello - the city has not recently enacted any legislation regarding cb
radios. If you want to look at our current city ordinance book, please
feel free to visit my office at any time - 2nd floor of City Hall - City
Clerk's Office.
Carol Alexander, City Clerk
City of Beloit
-----Original Message-----
 From: twistedhed@webtv.net
To: alexandc@ci.beloit.wi.us
 Subject: New City Ordinace
 Date: May 8, 2002
 A friend of mine sent me an email sent to him by yet a third party
claiming the city has recently enacted some type of legislation
governing cb (citizens band) radio. Can you tell me what he is referring
to, as I have several cb radios?
                                          Thank
You,
                                          John
Geyer

See Frank, if you had any sense you wouldn't look to the likes of myself
to educate you.
Now go lay in the mud with Muenzler and enjoy the dirt y'all covered
yer' selves in...oh, and feel free to make the call yourself, Carol is
very accommodating. Try not to choke on the tailfeathers as they're
going down.....again.

596. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 01:09:57 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d28e4ae$0$13954$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129624

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:15374-3D279DCA-55@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>From: justwhatineedmorespam@nothanks.con (Colonel Chim Chim)
>> Jeebus H. Quisp! Who the heck cares what proof
>>there is? <

>Kevin does, otherwise he wouldn't keep crying for proof that he's lying.
>It's real simple,,,check the Texas court system that he said all these
>cbers were caught up in. They don't exist.
>

You accused me of something, prove it. It's impossible for me to prove that I'm not lying because you won't believe my "proof." I put up proof that the FCC's Official Observer program exists and you refused to check it out. I gave you web links that explain the program in great detail but did you check it out? Probably not. Or maybe you did because you certainly dropped it like a hot potatoe. I also gave you four callsigns of former hams who had their licenses revoked. Did you check them out? Nope. What do other kind of "proof" you want?

Did I say "CBers?" Nope, the FCC's Official Observer program has no jurisdiction over CB matters since there really isn't any inforcement going on anyway. The only time we "bust" CBers is when they incroach on ham frequencies, them we care otherwise we don't. Again, go back and check the thread, I never claimed to have busted any CBers. Just more twisy twisting and LYING! Yep, nothing more than a childish bully who has been challenged.

>
>> "TEN FOUR BIG BUDDY "BEEP". I will side with anybody 100% that chooses
>>to "bust" any "radio problems", be it C.B. or amateur radio. If a person
>>disobeys the laws, hehe, perhaps they deserve to be "busted". Maybe not,
>>but that's just MY opinion.<
>
>
>If a person disobeys the laws, "hehe?"

>I agree, some fo the laws are indeed a laughing matter. I don;t have a
>problem with Kevin lending his self to catching radio villains, or
>anyone for that matter. Liars are another story. If one lies, nothing
>about them can be considered even remotely credible. Kevin made the
>claims, I asked for something, anything for him to back them up, as I
>knew before he even posted of the 9 cbers that were involved in state
>court systems last year across the country, so if I am guilty of
>anything, it is setting him up like a bowling pin to reply. And he did.
>With more lies.

Again, they are "lies" because either you don't believe what I said or you don't agree with what I said. Very childish, nothing more.

> Kevin is full of shit.

This doesn't mean much from someone who just cries "liar liar pants on fire" and then says "prove you're not a liar." Just like a typical bully, they run when they are challenged. He called me a liar in "public," I challenged him to prove it and he can't. Nothing more than a childish bully. I know exactly how to deal with your kind.

Kevin, WB5RUE

597. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 01:12:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17648-3D28E6F8-499@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129630

From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<22951-3D27A783-364@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
Yea? Well get this, on usenet, the burden of proof lays with the one who
makes the claims.

>Get busy shouldering your burden.
-Aaron-<

On Wed, 9 May 2001 11:53:09 -0400 (EDT), twistedhed@webtv.net
(Twistedhed) wrote:
I can supply you with hundreds of hours of interviews from the NSAs
covert Echelon global spy communications system(monitors ALL modes of
communication for "key" words) clear down to the FCCs local, regional
and National Directors comments.

The first one was a freebie. Anymore and it will cost you. Provide a
valid number and I will call you and we will work out a payment method.
Or else you can do the legwork yourself. Like I said, the info is out
there just waiting to be found, but people like Muenzler can't figure
out how to access it without a fee, and you can't figure how to do it
period. The first example was pure recreation, as I enjoy feeding you
crow. Going into my X-files and actually educating you to where you
would experience retainment value would be considered work related. My
time nor work is not cheap., however, if you feel you can afford my
services, I promise the entire group would notice a marked improvement
in your current non-accuracies.

598. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 01:15:15 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17648-3D28E7A3-500@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129628

From: jay123a@qnet.com (Jay in the Mojave)
Jerry Oxendine wrote, and went into the open loop mode: -snipped-
Jay said:
>Gee Whiz, 1 Hr 45 Min ya got all wrapped around the axle there Jerry.
Hope ya feel all better now.
I don't think you should let these little things get to ya's so much.
Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from
the fillin station.
Credentials:
CB, Ham, Scanner, and Shortwave Radio Enthusiast Owner of new 1977 Ford
4x4 F250, has God of the Cockpit incredibly loud Motorola Public Address
System, with 2 PA Horns, off old Fire Engine, U bet ah!
On local TVI Committee, still insisting on Hotesy Tostie Secretary, and
large expense account, or would probably just settle for gas money 4 the
77 Ford Truck, and free shootin range time. Use Net Therapist.<

Very cool setup, Jay. As much as folks tallk about being fixed or
repaired daily, they always seem to come back to Ford...after all,
riding in a Chevy then going to a Ford is like going from a......, well,
like a Chevy to A Ford. I have the PA but I also have the horns that
play the notes to the first line of "Dixie" at a whoppin' 118 decibels.
And my cb of course.

599. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 01:20:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17648-3D28E8E6-501@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129629

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
>This should prove that they are going after violators in increasing numbers--not just the
token "8". Mr. Hollingsworth promised to do it, I was IN several of his forums, talked
to him in person about the 10 meter intruders, and he assures hams that the 10 meter
line will be defended with the help of its rightful users. J<

That may very well be so, and more power to him regarding hammie intruders. The single freeband example has nothing at all to with the rest of the violators you listed.
e wasn't on 10 meter, and he IGNORED his first warning after the agency received complaints concerning interference. Business as usual with the freebanding cbers. Don't cause trouble and continue to operate unfettered.

600. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 01:27:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17648-3D28EA85-503@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129631

>Glad you got a laugh Jay, but it looks like Twist doesn't find my posts very funny at ALL.<

Sure I do. You're one of my favorite people here.

> I guess he's gonna go into "ignore" mode now.<

Of course not.

> He does that whenever something freaks him out too badly or the questions get too tough. <

Now now, I only resort to the ignore tactic you so dread when you become abusive or overly insultive.

>Or maybe it was mentioning how I ran into Richard Maltinos that spooked him. <

Who is Richard Maltinos? Sounds lke an immigrant trying to sound American.

>In any event, there are dozens of claims Twisted has made in the ng, all of them waiting to be substantiated.
-Aaron-<

And as you dwell in the archives it keeps you off the streets and..well, let's just say it keeps you out of trouble. Don't worry,,keep your venom to a minimum and I'll continue to converse with you as long as you keep it semi-cb related. You do two things for me, you make me larger than life, and you make me look soooooooo good. How could I give that up?

601. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 01:36:39 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d28eaf0$0$13954$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129626

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22951-3D27A783-364@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>From: wb5rue@qsl.net (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
>"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
>news:24686-3D25DF55-37@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net... From:
>wb5rue@qsl.net (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
>>Yeah, right....turn the tables to avoid having to prove your
>accusations. <
>
>Ummm, the accusations were yours Muenzler, I merely asked you to back
>them up and you went to pieces and began acting out.

Like I said before, you accused me of LYING, you never asked me for "proof."
In this country when a person is accused of something the accuser has the
burden of proof.

>
>>What accusations did I make? <

>You made many, including accusing me of being several insultive names,
>because you can''t effectively have a friendly discussion or
>disagreement. You turned rabid when I merely asked for some substance of
>your claims. AFTER you insulted and added more lies in a failed attempt
>at covering your original lie, I called you a liar, but anyone can now
>contact the great state of Texas and find that out for themself.

Ok, prove me wrong. They aren't insults if they are true, prove they are
not true. Prove that you have an ounce of integrety by checking out the
ARRL and FCC web sites concerning Official Observers. When you do and find
that I was, and still am, telling the truth please admit it here. But you
won't, you are nothing more than a bully that has been challenged.

>You are the one making the accusations. I made claims, you made
>accusations of me being a liar because you don't believe them. <

>Because they don't exist, Muenzler. You realized you were in over your
>head when you mentioned the "request" bullshit from some judge. Judges
>don't request Jack, Muenzler, they order it, especially when it comes to
>not leaking something or another.

So you've had extensive experience with the Texas Court system have you?
Remember this isn't the "Texas Court system" because they are federal cases.
Get YOUR facts straight and maybe you can follow me and won't be confused so
that you call me a liar and make a fool of yourself.

>What else is absolutely hilarious,
>that I never even mentioned yet, is that there would be no reason

>whatsoever for a judge to issue a ban on talkiing about a cb case, or
>even a request, concerning ALL these hammies. None are in the court
>system of Texas. Not a mystery.

Also remember that the FCC Official Observer program doesn't deal with
CBers. I never claimed that it did. It only deals with violations of FCC
Part 97. If a CBer or anyone else comes onto the ham frequencies where he
is not authorized to operate then the Official Observers have jurisiction.
Other than that we don't care what kind of freebanding you do. Before we go
down this trail, I'm not accusing YOU in particular of freebanding.

>>I don't have to provide proof of my claims. <
>
>Of course you don't....unless you want to be believed.

That's right, in this country the accuser has the burden of proof...so prove
me wrong.

>>I really don't care if you believe me or not.
>>I made statements, you called them lies, prove they are lies. That's all
>>I ask.<

>That's pretty funny. The burden of PROVING anything lays with you.

Says who? You? What country are you in? Since when does the accused have
to prove anything?

>>Well, in this country at least (I don't know which on you are in) the
>>burden of proof remains on the accuser. You accused me of being a liar,
>>prove it.<

>Yea? Well get this, on usenet, the burden of proof lays with the one who
>makes the claims....

Ok then, you claim that I am lying....prove it.

>...but anyone who wishes to search, or better yet, make
>the calls, will find exactly what I said......none of it happened the
>way you claimed. Get over it.

Did you? I don't think so. Did you search the internet and find that the
FCC Official Observer program doesn't exist? Nope because you can go to any
one of the two or three web sites that I gave you and see that it does. Did
you find anything else contradictory to what I said? Nope otherwise you
would have posted the links here.

>> I gave you a few callsigns, check them out. You seem to be very good
>>at going to the internet and doing searches, go for it. I never said
>>anything about "gag orders" I said that the court requested that the
>>cases not be discussed, there was never an order. <
>
>
>
>See above.

Ok, where are the links? Obviously you did an extensive search. Show us what you came up with.

>YOU made the claims, and were caught up in the lies concerning "gag >orders" that were never issued, you then back-pedaled fiercely claiming >they were not gag orders at all, but mere requests.

Again, I never said "gag orders." But I guess if you really want to get techical the request would be in court documents taken by the court reporter.

>>Yep, just like you said that I claimed to have "personally busted 27 >>hams." I never made such claims and posted my exact words back into the >>thread. You quickly changed the conversation. I never said that there >>were gag orders. Go back and read the thread.

>The requests are part of
>the public record... transcripts as well as case numbers, court jacket >info., judges name, prosecutorial teams name, etc., etc., are all there >for the taking and you have failed to produce ANYTHING of substance at >all, except to insult and cry "PROVE I'm lying." I already did, only you >don't have the intellect to realize it.

So what is the basis of your accusations? You don't believe what I say? Would THAT hold up in any court? Nope, you'd be laughed out of court. Again, if you have done such extensive searches then post the URLs.

>>You have proven nothing other than you are a blazing fool that can't >>hold course in a conversation.<

>Oh, now that's really rich.
>It was you Muenzler, not I that exhibied the deficit in the art of >effective communication by hurling insults. Claimig otherwise doesn't >make it any more true that your previous lies.

I believe it was you who was first to fire the insults by saying that I was "full of shit." More bully tactics and diversions that have nothing to do with your claim that I am lying and the fact that you have no proof.

>> When you make accusations of something that I said and I re-post my >>exact words showing that it is YOU that are lying you quickly change the >>course of the conversation. Grow up.<

>All this because I merely asked you to back up what you said. You >haven't.

No you didn't ask me to "back up what I said." You called me a liar and then told me to prove that I am not. It doesn't work that way.

>>Since you are unwilling to even do this then I guess the conversation >>is over. <

>It was over the first time you said "Prove I'm lying."..

Yep, because you can't.

>>That's right, because you can't prove that I am lying, <

>I had a hand in it, the great state of Texas proved you were lying.

OOOO! The bully strikes again.

>>anything else is just you blowing smoke.
>>But in the interest of fairness, to a point, here are four callsigns who
>>are no longer allocated because of convictions and/or license
>>revocations in the past two years.
>>K5UJA, WA5NOP, KC7HPA, N5NLQ <

>And these mean absolutely nothing in the context of your lies.

>>Because you are either too lazy or afraid to check them out. Just like
>>you are either too afraid or lazy to check out the Official Observer
>>pages at the ARRL. You made the claims that they don't exist.<
>
>
>No, re-read exactly what I typed.

Maybe I'm missing something. Why do they have no context. These are callsigns of those who have their liceses revoked because they were nailed by Official Observers. What's out of context. You asked for proof and I give you four callsigns but you, in form, are too lazy to check it out.

>>You want to play semantics? It was only AFTER I claimed there was NO
>>SUCH THING AS AN OFFICAL FCC OBSERVER that you dropped that tag like a
>>hot potato.

>Incorrectly referring to yourself as an FCC observer was
>intentionally misleading and deceitful.

So what do I need to do? Mail you the acceptance form from the ARRL making me an Official Observer? I don't know how to else to "prove" it to you. Search the net for "FCC Auxilary" or "Official Observer." I doubt that you would be couragious enough to give me your address in the first place. OOPS! You won't have "proof" so I'm still "lying." You are a real piece of work.

>> It's much too easy to just sit back and cry "liar, liar pants on
>>fire." Like I said, you and Mopedaholic are nothing more than two (one)
>>childish characters. No wonder it's called Children's Band.
>>Kevin, WB5RUE<

>Well, this group of children buried your lies.

You must be a real legend in your own mind....most bullies are.

Kevin, WB5RUE

602. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 01:38:00 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d28eb41$0$13948$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129627

He won't. He's nothing more than a bully that has been challenged. Each and every time I have given evidence (web sites) to support my claims he changes the subject. Nothing more than a bully.

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:22a5e694.0207070033.27def4ab@posting.google.com...
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message news:<22951-3D27A783-364@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
>
> > Yea? Well get this, on usenet, the burden of proof lays with the one who
> > makes the claims.
>
> Get busy shouldering your burden.
>
> -Aaron-
>

603. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 01:44:40 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17649-3D28EE88-219@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129632

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
>Tell me what the difference is, then? Illegal is illegal, is illegal.
Kenneth says that
only 8 people have been cited or warned in the past 3 years. I proved
him wrong. <

Frustrating, isn't it? I did the same thing with Muenzler and
Frankenvoob. I also concur with the "more more more" mentality, as Frank
has begged "gimme gimme gimme" for answers but never likes to give any
himself. Don't let it bother you too much. When you're right you're
right and everyone can plainly see so.

>The presence, or absence of a license, or that of a CB is immaterial. <

Finally......

>Ken thinks you
won't get nailed for operating on Amateur freqs. <

Oh, I think the possibility would be there, but I don;t think it would
be a probability. Sheer stupidity on anyone's behalf to operate on a
hammie freq when they are by and large giving away licenses today,
figuratively. But nothing stops one from botlegging, Jerry. An obscure
call, deceased, etc., could be adopted if one were so inclined and if a
low profile was kept, none would be the wiser.

>If most hams have their way, an un-
licensed person, using a bootleg call or not, will get busted. <

If they are unlicensed and on hammie channels, they should be popped.

>And, yes, if you dig a bit,
you will find that *most* of them are CBers. You know as well as I do
that anybody
that operates illegally in any radio service gets charged under the
rules of THAT service, not a service he may or may not have "come" from.
The charges only deal
with the rules broken in the affected service. <

The hammies getting popped outnumber the cbers by a staggering ratio
which brings us to certain hammies' circular argument: There is no
enforcement for cb. Well is there or isn't there? You guys can't even
agree on this. Well, lemme tell ya', the enforcement is right where it
belongs...on the ass of the hammies. Overall, hammies have more power
than cbers and have a greater potential to do harm due their frequency
coverage. And so they do. And so follow the feds. Really simple stuff...
even for the hammies that feel their ticket demands respect.

J<

604. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 01:59:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17649-3D28F1F0-220@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129633

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
ALLSTEEL77 <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020707091450.09808.00002864@mb-cr.aol.com...
Thats pretty funny .Go to the rain report and see how many hams are
getting busted a month and compare that to cber's.Jerry, you sound just
like aaron.

>Guess what! Be he CBer, Amateur, private citizen with a MURS radio, I H
O P E
he gets what's coming if he uses that radio illegally. The more illegal
operators Riley
hits, the less idiotic and useless junk legitimate operators have to put
up with. I don't
know how many times this has to be posted to get it thru the heads who
try to compare
the antics of illegal hams and illegal CBers. SO! Read slo o o o o o o w
l y! T H E
H A M S T H E M S E L V E S, F E D U P W I T H C R A P, N O I S E, Q R
M- E R S, A N D I N F A N T I L E O P E R A T O R S, A S K E D F O R F C
C TO R E T U R N T O E N F O R C E M E N T. N O W R E A D S L O O W- LY:
T H E O V E R W H E L M I N G M A J O R I T Y O F H A M S A R E E L A T
E D T O S E E A L L I L L E G A L O P E R A T O R S R E - M O V E D F R
O M T H E A I R. P E R I O D A N D E N D!!!!!!!!!! <

Now, Jerry, you know darn good and well everything you mentioned above
is caused by.....another hammie the majority of the time.

>If you wished to embarrass hams by this frail, empty, and pointless
fact, OR to make
some attempt to elevate the CB service while somehow reducing Amateur
Radio, you
have failed.<

Jerry, once again, take a long hard look at
just who is consistently bringing hammie radio
into this group......that's right,,,,,other hammies who feel their
ticket deserves them respect regardless of their antics. Nobody is
putting down hammie radio. If certain hammies didn;t have a hard-on for
the subject in this ng, it wouldn't be brought up. If it wasn't brought
up, it couldn't be "reduced" like some of the lowlife's have effectively
portrayed it.

> Mr.Hollingsworth is one of the most popular attendees at hamfests
these
days, receiving hearty thanks and congratulations from legitimate hams,

while those who
would mistake his quiet demeanor, and biting wit as a sign of weakness,
had better hide
their bootleg gear, clean up their act, or face discipline. Riley got
placed in his current
position because hams PLEADED with FCC to DO something about the insane
antics
of a few bird brains on 3898 and 14.313. <

Ask him how he achieved this position the next time you talk to him,
Jerry. Ask him who his sponsor was. Ask him how he was tapped.
Pure politics, nothing amazing of his appointment at all and it
certainly wasn't because of any tough-as-nails past reputation.
He WAS selected, but I'm not certain we agree on WHY.

>There are a few "brave" souls around still, but they are far, far fewer
than before. They, along with the intruders that think that 40
channels isn't enough and they will "take" what they want, are quite
mistaken. <

Surely you don;t mean the freeband, especially since the skip is
becoming friendlier to only the hard core DXers.

>And I read the RainReport faithfully every 2 weeks and listen for
Riley's audio account
of people getting what they richly deserve. Ham or not, I could not care
less. <

*

Jerry<

605. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 02:02:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17649-3D28F2C4-221@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129634

From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com (vhfradiobuff)
>I just have a question - the frequencies between "Channel 40" and the
10 meter band aren't in use so what's the harm? Has ANYONE ever heard a
LEGIT station using an assigned frequency between "Channel 40" and 10
meters? Just curious. Enforcing this stuff is like enforcing jaywalking
or spitting on the street.
My personal opinion - if they venture into 10 meters, they deserve what
they get. If they keep it below, they should be left alone. <

Exactly.

606. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 02:09:20 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17649-3D28F450-222@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129638

>If it is a problem the Aussie authorities will bitch mightily to the FCC who are treaty-bound to fix the interferers' clock. How likely this is is your guess but I do not think I would like to be responsible for a marine fatality while bellowing BlaBlaBlooie.
Dick NØBK<

Even the aussies are going higher tech. This may possibly have been abandoned like many domestic frequencies. I believe if it was a problem, the authorities woulda done pitched their bitch, but as I have so clearly demonstrated, WTF do I know?

607. PowerHouse, email me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 02:15:52 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <sB6W8.59030$p85.1767704@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129635

Drop me a line.

608. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 02:29:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17649-3D28F91B-224@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129639

From: wb5rue@qsl.net (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:15374-3D279DCA-55@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: justwhatineedmorespam@nothanks.con (Colonel Chim Chim)
  Jeebus H. Quisp! Who the heck cares what proof there is? <
Kevin does, otherwise he wouldn't keep crying for proof that he's lying.
It's real simple,,,check the Texas court system that he said all these
cbers were caught up in. They don't exist.

>You accused me of something, prove it. <

I did. You want a wave file of the call? Get a grip. What you really
want is the "how did he do it." Same noise as Sparky.

>It's impossible for me to prove that I'm not lying because you won't
believe my "proof." <

You put up no proof at all.

>I put up proof that the FCC's Official Observer program exists and you
refused to check it out. <

I'm not debating that, I'm debating you implying it was a sanctioned,
FCC run program, lent false credibility by you falsely referring to
yourself as an offical "FCC observer" which you have since stopped.

>I gave you web links that explain the program in great detail but did
you check it out? Probably not. Or maybe you did because you certainly
dropped it like a hot potatoe. <

Nothing to drop.
You must have missed those posts or merely forgot what you read, but we
did indeed address that issue, but you still have failed to produce what
my main issue with you was...your lies concerning the radio busts in the
Texas court system.

>I also gave you four callsigns of former hams who had their licenses
revoked. Did you check them out? Nope. What do other kind of "proof" you
want? <

Something that corroborates your claim. Posting 4 hammies that were
popped means zilch. Were they all in the Texas court system since
Christmas too, Kevin?

>Did I say "CBers?" Nope, the FCC's Official Observer program has no
jurisdiction over CB matters since there really isn't any inforcement
going on anyway. The only time we "bust" CBers is when they incroach on
ham frequencies, them we care otherwise we don't. <

A valiant effort. I have no issue with that nor did I ever attack that approach.

>Again, go back and check the thread, I never claimed to have busted any CBers. <

You read it, Muenzler. Matter of fact,
all you have to do is look at my original subject line above......it clearly says RADIO busts.

>Just more twisy twisting and LYING! <

I don't lie, Kevin. No need too. I can back what I say, and if so inclined, provide proof. You can not say the same.

>Yep, nothing more than a childish bully who has been challenged. <

Hell yea, I agree. Look at what happened to Lelnad, Dogie, Sparky, George,,,,,the AKC was formed. You're barking up the wrong tree.

> "TEN FOUR BIG BUDDY "BEEP". I will side with anybody 100% that chooses to "bust" any "radio problems", be it C.B. or amateur radio. If a person disobeys the laws, hehe, perhaps they deserve to be "busted". Maybe not, but that's just MY opinion.<

If a person disobeys the laws, "hehe?"
I agree, some fo the laws are indeed a laughing matter. I don;t have a problem with Kevin lending his self to catching radio villains, or anyone for that matter. Liars are another story. If one lies, nothing about them can be considered even remotely credible. Kevin made the claims, I asked for something, anything for him to back them up, as I knew before he even posted of the 9 cbers that were involved in state court systems last year across the country, so if I am guilty of anything, it is setting him up like a bowling pin to reply. And he did. With more lies.

>Again, they are "lies" because either you don't believe what I said or you don't agree with what I said. Very childish, nothing more. <

Kevin is full of shit.

>This doesn't mean much from someone who just cries "liar liar pants on fire" and then says "prove you're not a liar." <

I never asked that. I merely asked for some type proof, then called you a liar after you became insultive.

>Just like a typical bully, they run when they are challenged. He called me a liar in "public," I challenged him to prove it and he can't. Nothing more than a childish bully. I know exactly how to deal with your kind.
Kevin, WB5RUE<

Like Frank said, ignore when the questions are too tough or make you uncomfortable? Yea, I can see that.

609. Re: PowerHouse, email me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 02:38:52 UTC
From: LegalCB <legalcb@foo.com>
Message-ID: <0X6W8.327897$_j6.15726183@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129636

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:sB6W8.59030$p85.1767704@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Drop me a line.

Yeah I got some good info for Ya!

610. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 02:45:05 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207071845.7f7377f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129637

Date: Sun, 7 Jul 2002 19:41:14 -0700 (PDT)
From: "Aaron Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com>ï¿½
Subject: City Ordinance
To: alexandc@ci.beloit.wi.us

Hello Carol,

I am doing a follow up to the information you provided
Mr. "Twistedhed" re: the City Of Beloit and CB radio.
Attached below is an email from you that he posted to
Usenet newsgroup rec.radio.cb.

It seems there is a Senate bill on record, S. 608,
introduced by Wisconsin Sen Russell Feingold some time
ago. The Feingold bill had reportedly been introduced
at the request of municipal authorities in Beloit,
Wisconsin, who had been unable to stop illegal CBers
driving Beloit residents crazy with interference.
Vernon Ehlers (MI) also introduced similar legislation
(HR2346) which was subsequently signed into law by
Pres. Clinton.

Mr. "Twistedhed" infers that CB interference in
general cannot be much of a problem since the specific
city of Beloit did not even take advantage of a House
Bill designed to help curb such interference. Can you
comment on this? My questions to you are:

1. Was the problem in Beloit with CB interference
legitimate?
2. If so, have any steps been taken to solve it?

Sincerely,

A. H. Voobner

-----Original Message-----
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
To: alexandc@ci.beloit.wi.us
Subject: New City Ordinace

Hello - the city has not recently enacted any
legislation regarding cb radios. If you want to look
at our current city ordinance book, please feel free
to visit my office at any time - 2nd floor of City
Hall - City Clerk's Office.
Carol Alexander, City Clerk

City of Beloit

---

611. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 02:49:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17648-3D28FDB4-509@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129641

Muenzler wrote:
>I believe it was you who was first to fire the insults by saying that I was "full of shit."<

That's what one would expect from a habitual liar. I just said you were full of shit yesterday.
Here is but a snippet of your multitude of insults peppered throughout the thread:

>I wonder if he missed his distemper shots this year?

Ok, Mr. Testicular Torsion. Please spot the lie.

Both need to go back into their sandboxes and try a bit harder.

Go back to your sandbox<

And that was only in the first few days of this thread. You lie, therefore you're not to be believed.

612. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 03:05:44 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17648-3D290188-512@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129640

From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
Date: Sun, 7 Jul 2002 19:41:14 -0700 (PDT)
From: "Aaron Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com>
Subject: City Ordinance
To: alexandc@ci.beloit.wi.us
Hello Carol,
I am doing a follow up to the information you provided Mr. "Twistedhed"
re: the City Of Beloit and CB radio. Attached below is an email from you
that he posted to Usenet newsgroup rec.radio.cb.
It seems there is a Senate bill on record, S. 608, introduced by
Wisconsin Sen Russell Feingold some time ago. The Feingold bill had
reportedly been introduced at the request of municipal authorities in
Beloit, Wisconsin, who had been unable to stop illegal CBers driving
Beloit residents crazy with interference. Vernon Ehlers (MI) also
introduced similar legislation (HR2346) which was subsequently signed
into law by Pres. Clinton.
Mr. "Twistedhed" infers that CB interference in general cannot be much
of a problem since the specific city of Beloit did not even take
advantage of a House Bill designed to help curb such interference. Can
you comment on this? My questions to you are:
1. Was the problem in Beloit with CB interference legitimate?
2. If so, have any steps been taken to solve it?
Sincerely,
A. H. Voobner
-----Original Message-----
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
To: alexandc@ci.beloit.wi.us
Subject: New City Ordinace
Hello - the city has not recently enacted any legislation regarding cb
radios. If you want to look at our current city ordinance book, please
feel free to visit my office at any time - 2nd floor of City Hall - City
Clerk's Office.
Carol Alexander, City Clerk
City of Beloit

Squirm, Frank, Squirm. Want some Ketchup?

613. Re: PowerHouse, email me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 03:22:47 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <bA7W8.49109$eF5.1732447@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129642

"LegalCB" <legalcb@foo.com> wrote in message
news:0X6W8.327897$_j6.15726183@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:sB6W8.59030$p85.1767704@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > Drop me a line.
>
> Yeah I got some good info for Ya!
>
>
>

George-boy, go away.

614. Re: PowerHouse, email me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 03:23:40 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <0B7W8.49115$eF5.1732594@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129643

"LegalCB" <legalcb@foo.com> wrote in message
news:0X6W8.327897$_j6.15726183@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:sB6W8.59030$p85.1767704@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > Drop me a line.
>
> Yeah I got some good info for Ya!
>
>
>

Go away, george.

615. New 22 Channel FRS & GMRS Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 03:51:35 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D290C47.41C8EB99@qnet.com>
Source record: 129644

Hello All:

I saw over at Wall Mart today FRS and GMRS small hand held radios.

The printed material on the box said it was a 2 watt radio, and had 22 channels.

What is this new radio?

Jay in the Mojave

616. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 04:05:01 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <agb31chkl3@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129645

Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> Kenneth posted that only 8 CBers had been cited or warned in the last 3
> years.
> I was responding with cases that occurred within that time frame to
> illustrate that
> there are many more than 8. If you had read the entire thread (troll)
> started by elpaso
> gunslinger, you would've seen why I posted it. It was a challenge I
> couldn't pass up.
> Twenty or twenty one cases are quite more than 8, I think.

As I noted in another thread - of all those you listed, only two or
three were identified as CBers. All the rest were people using Ham
gear on Ham frequencies - with no mention of CB usage.

It looks like you have another 5 or 6 to go.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

617. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 04:07:33 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <agb365hkl4@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129646

Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> Tell me what the difference is, then? Illegal is illegal, is illegal.

I agree.

> Kenneth says that
> only 8 people have been cited or warned in the past 3 years. I proved him
> wrong.

If Kenneth made that claim, he is certainly wrong. There have been that
many Hams cited for illegally transmitting in the CB band (mostly jamming).

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

618. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 05:16:57 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207072116.4d720ed6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129647

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>Squirm, Frank, Squirm. Want some Ketchup?

No reason for Frank or anyone else to squirm when reading your posts.
On the contrary, I've succeeded in teaching you to do the legwork
necessary to back up your mouth. Now that you've been properly
trained, we can move forward, with the the City Of Beloit to help
clarify your utterances. So Twist, Beloit has enacted no recent
legislation regarding CB -- and this proves.....what? :)

-A

619. Re: Lou

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 09:04:34 UTC
From: sir.oblivion@public.com
Message-ID: <3d2955a9$1_3@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 129648

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207061155.6419bb0b@posting.google.com...

> I thought I'd ask a question. I wonder if you could you answer me
> honestly without the smokescreen of phony disclaimers. Your business
> targets CB'ers who fiddle around with the insides of their radios and
> outboard amplifiers. The results of their fiddling have all kinds of
> consequences, from splatter and overmod -- to out of band operation.
> Don't you have any conscience? You are aware that the export radios
> (which you distribute instructions for enabling) have begun showing up
> on the ham bands this year. Does that matter to you?

Let me tell you something about Lou Franklin, back around 1986 I was a frequent CBC customer. I purchased a number of books, several expander kits and two President Lincoln's. Everything went fine until I received the second President Lincoln. It arrived DOA and I tried unsuccessfully to contact ole' Lou about a replacement. It took me nearly two weeks to get a straight answer from him, now the clincher. He denied he ever sold "Lincoln's" and he said there was nothing he could do. I don't know if his supply dried up or he was feeling some heat from "Uncle Charlie." I was basically stuck with a doorstop for a radio, out some $300 and Lou didn't make good on the radio. It sat in the box for nearly a year before I was able to find a technician who was willing to repair the radio for an additional $60. Long story short, Lou burned his reputation over one radio and never received another cent from me.

620. Re: PowerHouse, email me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 09:13:12 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uiim1gliecv098@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129649

I might, if I know what the possible reason for such an email would be....
Even a hint would be nice... :)

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:sB6W8.59030$p85.1767704@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Drop me a line.
>
>

621. Re: New 22 Channel FRS & GMRS Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 09:14:48 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uiim4l7ssi42e1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129650

Basically a really cheap GMRS radio. They still require a license, however they have it in small print on the back of the carton, so chances of it being noticed before (or even after) purchase are slim to none...

PH

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message news:3D290C47.41C8EB99@qnet.com...
> Hello All:
>
> I saw over at Wall Mart today FRS and GMRS small hand held radios.
>
> The printed material on the box said it was a 2 watt radio, and had 22
> channels.
>
> What is this new radio?
>
> Jay in the Mojave

622. Re: New 22 Channel FRS & GMRS Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 09:35:08 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D295CB8.AE3F0C2B@icok.net>
Source record: 129651

Jay,
Was it made by 'Audiovox'? If so, it's mostly
a lie. The output power isn't even close to 2w,
and the number of channels encludes the normal 14
for FRS and then the 'shared' channels of GMRS.
The 'FRS' newsgroup had a link to a test done
on this little radio a while back. Needless to
say it didn't live up to it's advertisement. (It's
a nice little radio though).
'Doc

623. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 10:38:40 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <QYdW8.85537$UT.5757810@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129652

You have taught us nothing but to hate... you.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> On the contrary, I've succeeded in teaching you to do the legwork
> necessary to back up your mouth.

624. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 11:00:36 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uiis7m8e7c1d93@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129653

> Now if only we
> could make
> Congress see the error of their way in removing the funds needed to do the
> huge job they have and return enough funds to return to CB enforcement in
> the way it is needed Oh yes, they are responding to complaints, but I hope
> for the day when they are even more pro-active in removing pirate
operators
> from frequencies and bands they have no business on.
Let me get this straight? We are at war and in one day lost more
americans then we did at Pearl Harbor. The middle east is about to explode.
India and Pakistan are about to nuke each other. Iraq's first nuke is almost
finished. North and South Korea's naval forces defacto at war and you want
funding for WHAT!!!!!!!
Now that's funny:)

625. Re: PowerHouse, email me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 11:14:56 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <QueW8.59977$p85.1829158@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129654

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:uiim1gliecv098@corp.supernews.com...
> I might, if I know what the possible reason for such an email would be....
> Even a hint would be nice... :)
>
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:sB6W8.59030$p85.1767704@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > Drop me a line.
> >
> >
>
>

Nothing serious, just wanted to discuss a "keyclown" issue or two,
privately.

626. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 11:32:28 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d297695$0$13949$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129655

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17649-3D28F91B-224@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>From: wb5rue@qsl.net (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
>"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
>news:15374-3D279DCA-55@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>From: justwhatineedmorespam@nothanks.con (Colonel Chim Chim)
>Jeebus H. Quisp! Who the heck cares what proof there is? <
>Kevin does, otherwise he wouldn't keep crying for proof that he's lying.
>It's real simple,,,check the Texas court system that he said all these
>cbers were caught up in. They don't exist.
>
>>You accused me of something, prove it. <
>
>
>I did. You want a wave file of the call? Get a grip. What you really
>want is the "how did he do it." Same noise as Sparky.

post it.

>
>
>>It's impossible for me to prove that I'm not lying because you won't
>believe my "proof." <
>
>
>
>You put up no proof at all.

I don't have to, you are the accuser, remember.

>
>>I put up proof that the FCC's Official Observer program exists and you
>>refused to check it out. <
>
>I'm not debating that,

Not now you aren't because that ice fell through already.

>I'm debating you implying it was a sanctioned,
>FCC run program, lent false credibility by you falsely referring to
>yourself as an offical "FCC observer" which you have since stopped.

Ok then, I'll say it again. I am a member of the "FCC Auxilliary" also
known as an "Official Observer." You say that I am not, prove it.

>
>>I gave you web links that explain the program in great detail but did

>>you check it out? Probably not. Or maybe you did because you certainly
>>dropped it like a hot potatoe. <
>
>
>Nothing to drop.
>You must have missed those posts or merely forgot what you read, but we
>did indeed address that issue, but you still have failed to produce what
>my main issue with you was...your lies concerning the radio busts in the
>Texas court system.

And you apparently "forgot" that these are federal cases (which you pointed out as a "flaw" in my logic). Yes, they are in Texas but not in the Texas court system. The calls that I gave you never made it to court anyway. They received their NAL from the FCC and capitulated due to the overwhelming evidence.

>
>>I also gave you four callsigns of former hams who had their licenses
>>revoked. Did you check them out? Nope. What do other kind of "proof" you
>>want? <
>
>
>Something that corroborates your claim. Posting 4 hammies that were
>popped means zilch. Were they all in the Texas court system since
>Christmas too, Kevin?

Nope, not in court at all.

>
>
>>Did I say "CBers?" Nope, the FCC's Official Observer program has no
>>jurisdiction over CB matters since there really isn't any inforcement
>>going on anyway. The only time we "bust" CBers is when they incroach on
>>ham frequencies, them we care otherwise we don't. <
>
>
>A valiant effort. I have no issue with that nor did I ever attack that
>approach.

Then why did YOU bring it up?

>

>>Again, go back and check the thread, I never claimed to have busted any
>>CBers. <

>You read it, Muenzler. Matter of fact,
>all you have to do is look at my original subject line above......it
>clearly says RADIO busts.

I never claimed "RADIO busts." That's YOUR twisted subject line.

>>Just more twisy twisting and LYING! <

>I don't lie, Kevin. No need too. I can back what I say, and if so
>inclined, provide proof. You can not say the same.

I can produce post after post of your own words where you have twisted my
words into something that I never said. Either that means that you are
LYING or that you are deluded enough not to know the difference.

>>Yep, nothing more than a childish bully who has been challenged. <

>Hell yea, I agree. Look at what happened to Lelnad, Dogie, Sparky,
>George,,,,,the AKC was formed. You're barking up the wrong tree.

>> "TEN FOUR BIG BUDDY "BEEP". I will side with anybody 100% that
>>chooses to "bust" any "radio problems", be it C.B. or amateur radio. If
>>a person disobeys the laws, hehe, perhaps they deserve to be "busted".
>>Maybe not, but that's just MY opinion.<

>If a person disobeys the laws, "hehe?"
>I agree, some fo the laws are indeed a laughing matter. I don;t have a
>problem with Kevin lending his self to catching radio villains, or
>anyone for that matter. Liars are another story. If one lies, nothing
>about them can be considered even remotely credible. Kevin made the
>claims, I asked for something, anything for him to back them up, as I
>knew before he even posted of the 9 cbers that were involved in state
>court systems last year across the country, so if I am guilty of
>anything, it is setting him up like a bowling pin to reply. And he did.
>With more lies.

I don't remember posting anything about "nine CBers" being in the state
court system. Like I keep saying, and you keep ignoring, the FCC doesn't
deal with the state court system.

>>Again, they are "lies" because either you don't believe what I said or
>>you don't agree with what I said. Very childish, nothing more. <

>
>
>Kevin is full of shit.
>

Back to the empty insults, eh Twisty.

>
>>This doesn't mean much from someone who just cries "liar liar pants on
>>fire" and then says "prove you're not a liar." <
>
>I never asked that. I merely asked for some type proof, then called you
>a liar after you became insultive.

Twisting again. I made claims, you called them lies and asked for proof.

>

>>Just like a typical bully, they run when they are challenged. He called
>>me a liar in "public," I challenged him to prove it and he can't.

>>Nothing more than a childish bully. I know exactly how to deal with your
>>kind.
>>Kevin, WB5RUE<

>Like Frank said, ignore when the questions are too tough or make you
>uncomfortable? Yea, I can see that.

You haven't asked any "questions." You called me a liar and you can't/won't prove it. Nothing more than a bully. Until there is PROOF from your side this conversation is ended.

Kevin, WB5RUE

627. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 11:34:07 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d2976f8$0$13949$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129656

This poor sap is a legend in his own mind!

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17648-3D290188-512@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>Squirm, Frank, Squirm. Want some Ketchup?

628. Re: Lou

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 12:24:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D298481.4F7E@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129657

Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
>
> Lou Franklin lou@cbcintl.com
> >
> >We've just completed an update of our very popular
> >Galaxy-Ranger service manual. The new SECOND EDITION
> >covers the EPT3600, EPT0696, and EPT6900 chassis types.
> >That includes MANY brands such as Galaxy,
> >Ranger/RCI, Superstar, Texas Ranger, Connex, etc.
> >Complete details on this specific book are on our
> >Web site at:
> >
> >www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm
> >
> >Check out all our CB specialty books, plans, kits, &
> >high-performance accessories at:
> >
> >www.cbcintl.com
>
> Lou,
>
> I thought I'd ask a question. I wonder if you could you answer me
> honestly without the smokescreen of phony disclaimers. Your business
> targets CB'ers who fiddle around with the insides of their radios and
> outboard amplifiers. The results of their fiddling have all kinds of
> consequences, from splatter and overmod -- to out of band operation.
> Don't you have any conscience? You are aware that the export radios
> (which you distribute instructions for enabling) have begun showing up
> on the ham bands this year. Does that matter to you?
>
> -Aaron-

Aaron,

Don't waste your time. Lou Franklin is only spamming the newsgroup. He would never stoop so low as to engage in meaningfull debate over his exploitative practices over the years. I am sure that he has made quite a few bucks catering to the needs of screwdriver tech wannabe's, and perpetuating "CB science", to all gullible enough to read it.

You shouldn't have to ask whether he has a conscience. What person does who places monetary gain above moral conduct?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

629. Re: Lou

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 12:26:29 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D2984F5.2D17@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129658

Scott Sixnine wrote:
>
> Did you know if someone didn't supply this information,
> someone else would? You're just upset that you're not
> the one on the receiving end of the checkbook.

That's what the purveyors of kiddie porn think too....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

630. ISO Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 13:26:09 UTC
From: Know Won <sarge_wv@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <uij4mhpf987604@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129659

Just getting interested in CBs. Can any one tell me a good CB to buy at a reasonable price, that can be tuned up later. I have being looking at a Cobre 29 LTD Classic, is this a good CB?

631. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 13:36:10 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17649-3D29954A-234@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129662

It proves I've known all along and for quite some time what Frank has begged for....which is why he deleted the date on the email. In other words...he was set up to make a fool out of himself...just like Muenzler.
But more imporatantl, it backs just what I claimed, something that Frank denied. In any event, glad I could teach y'all how to do a little legwork. Next time maybe y'all won't have to look to me for answers.

632. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 13:54:32 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17648-3D299998-532@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129663

>Ok then, I'll say it again. I am a member of the "FCC Auxilliary" also known as an "Official Observer." You say that I am not, prove it.<

I never said you weren't. Go read what I posted again. I said you weren't an "OFFICIAL FCC OBSERVER", like you called yourself in the beginning, and what you have not done since.

>I gave you web links that explain the program in great detail but did you check it out? Probably not. Or maybe you did because you certainly dropped it like a hot potatoe. <

Nothing to drop.
You must have missed those posts or merely forgot what you read, but we did indeed address that issue, but you still have failed to produce what my main issue with you was...your lies concerning the radio busts in the Texas court system.

>And you apparently "forgot" that these are federal cases (which you pointed out as a "flaw" in my logic). Yes, they are in Texas but not in the Texas court system. The calls that I gave you never made it to court anyway. <

Exactly,as they have nothing to do with your claims concerning the court system, which is what I asked for. As I claimed, those calls mean absolutley zilch in the context of your claims. Thanks for clarifying.

>They received their NAL from the FCC and capitulated due to the overwhelming evidence. <

So do thousands of other hammies each year. ..BFD!

>I also gave you four callsigns of former hams who had their licenses revoked. Did you check them out? Nope. What do other kind of "proof" you want? <

Something that corroborates your claim. Posting 4 hammies that were popped means zilch. Were they all in the Texas court system since Christmas too, Kevin?

>Nope, not in court at all. <

So what does this have to do with your claim they were all in court due your efforts since Xmas? Again...nothing.

>Did I say "CBers?" Nope, the FCC's Official Observer program has no jurisdiction over CB matters since there really isn't any inforcement going on anyway. The only time we "bust" CBers is when they incroach on ham frequencies, them we care otherwise we don't. <

A valiant effort. I have no issue with that nor did I ever attack that approach.

>Then why did YOU bring it up? <

Again, go back and check the thread, I never claimed to have busted any CBers. <

You read it, Muenzler. Matter of fact,
all you have to do is look at my original subject line above......it clearly says RADIO busts.

>I never claimed "RADIO busts." That's YOUR twisted subject line. <

Really? Who are all the folks you have lied about having tied up in the court system?
Speeders?

>Just more twisy twisting and LYING! <

I don't lie, Kevin. No need too. I can back what I say, and if so inclined, provide proof. You can not say the same.

>I can produce post after post of your own words where you have twisted my words into something that I never said.<

But you can't post a single post lending asolute credibility, say, from like a government or city source...because it didn't happen. There is no way you can garner respect and get anyone to believe anything you say after your multiple lies. You make claims, then claim there can be no record of it anywhere unless one pays, then you claim that the judge asked the court to remain "mum' about the cases. More bullshit on top of bullshit.

> Either that means that you are LYING or that you are deluded enough not to know the difference.
Yep, nothing more than a childish bully who has been challenged. <

Hell yea, I agree. Look at what happened to Lelnad, Dogie, Sparky, George,,,,,the AKC was formed. You're barking up the wrong tree.

> "TEN FOUR BIG BUDDY "BEEP". I will side with anybody 100% that chooses to "bust" any "radio problems", be it C.B. or amateur radio. If a person disobeys the laws, hehe, perhaps they deserve to be "busted". Maybe not, but that's just MY opinion.<
I
f a person disobeys the laws, "hehe?"
I agree, some fo the laws are indeed a laughing matter. I don;t have a problem with Kevin lending his self to catching radio villains, or anyone for that matter. Liars are another story. If one lies, nothing about them can be considered even remotely credible. Kevin made the claims, I asked for something, anything for him to back them up, as I knew before he even posted of the 9 cbers that were involved in state court systems last year across the country, so if I am guilty of anything, it is setting him up like a bowling pin to reply. And he did.

With more lies.
>I don't remember posting anything about "nine CBers" being in the state court system. <

You didn'...I DID. That's the total in the state court systems for 2001 relating to cb.

>Like I keep saying, and you keep ignoring, the FCC doesn't deal with the state court system. <

Oh, so all your busts are now federal, hmm, ok, that makes it so much easier...and 27 of them are in TX that have been made to appear in court all since Xmas. And the judge "requests" no comment.......LMAO! "Fraid not. See KEvin, the federal cases are easier to track down than the state cases..you just keep rolling deeper in the fecal matter.

>Again, they are "lies" because either you don't believe what I said or you don't agree with what I said. Very childish, nothing more. <

Kevin is full of shit.

>Back to the empty insults, eh Twisty.
This doesn't mean much from someone who just cries "liar liar pants on fire" and then says "prove you're not a liar." <

Not at all. You're back to repeating yourself. You insulted me many, many times, lied about it and said the insults first come from me. I again showed you a liar concerning that, by posting a few of your many insults you lied about hurling.
You're a lia,r Kevin. Deal with it.

I never asked that. I merely asked for some type proof, then called you a liar after you became insultive.

>Twisting again. I made claims, you called them lies and asked for proof.<

Ahh, yes, but I didn't CALL you a liar until you began hurling insults.

>Just like a typical bully, they run when they are challenged. He called me a liar in "public," I challenged him to prove it and he can't. Nothing more than a childish bully. I know exactly how to deal with your kind.
Kevin, WB5RUE<

Like Frank said, ignore when the questions are too tough or make you uncomfortable? Yea, I can see that.

>You haven't asked any "questions." <

How about this? Do you have any proof that you can share with us that will leave no doubt
what you claim? No, Muenzler, you don't, or you would have posted it and made 'ol Twisty dine on some crow like Frank has been chokingly enjoying.

>You called me a liar and you can't/won't prove it. Nothing more than a bully. Until there is PROOF from your side this conversation is ended. Kevin, WB5RUE<

Of course it is. Like I said, it was over the day you opened your lying mouth and couldn't back your claims. Your insults afetr being asked to prduce solidified your position forevermore among us. You now have the full backing of the AKC. Enjoy, and congratulations.

633. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 13:58:05 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17648-3D299A6D-533@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129664

From: wb5rue@qsl.net (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
This poor sap is a legend in his own mind!
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17648-3D290188-512@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Squirm, Frank, Squirm. Want some Ketchup?

Snip the parts that are pertinent, like the posted reply. Another
education for ya' Muenzler...when one presents a post out of it's
original context, the meaning will invariably appear something other
than what it is. Your mimicry of Frank is touching, but non-effective.
Oh, before I forget, this "poor sap" can back whatever he says, unlike
yourself.

634. Re: New 22 Channel FRS & GMRS Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 14:07:52 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D299CB8.1B4DE764@qnet.com>
Source record: 129660

Hello Doc:

I have to go back into the big city, and I will look at them again, and maybe even buy a set. I was pretty sure they where manufactured by Cobra.

I didn't have time to read the fine print as I was working at the time, and was short on time. But I thought these small hand held, no wait Palm held radios where something new. Me go look again.

I think theses radios where something $119.00 a set, and didn't know why they where so expensive? I believe they took only 3, Double AA batteries, so not having a full 2 watts sounds right.

Whats the FRS web site alt.family.radio.frs ? I had it programed in once.

I will have to re-program in the FRS and GMRS frequencies into my scanner fer listen 2. Got the local Sheriff and Fire, guys all down. I have listened to some FRS channels, and could identify a few of the locals from their voice and slipped out in conversation "call sign", kind of funny. These guys sounded pretty loud and clear what is the power capability, 100 or 200 Milli Watts?

I am sure the guys on the FRS/GMRS news group will have the FRS and GMRS frequencies. I had mine wriiten down on a napkin, its now long gone.

Maybe these type radios will be shown at the Westcon Convetion in Anaheim So Cal, this Sep????

Jay in the Mojave

'Doc wrote:
>
> Jay,
> Was it made by 'Audiovox'? If so, it's mostly
> a lie. The output power isn't even close to 2w,
> and the number of channels encludes the normal 14
> for FRS and then the 'shared' channels of GMRS.
> The 'FRS' newsgroup had a link to a test done
> on this little radio a while back. Needless to
> say it didn't live up to it's advertisement. (It's
> a nice little radio though).
> 'Doc

635. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 14:11:23 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207080611.ef5654c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129661

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<QYdW8.85537$UT.5757810@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> You have taught us nothing but to hate... you.
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > On the contrary, I've succeeded in teaching you to do the legwork
> > necessary to back up your mouth.

I was referring to Twistedhed only, Brian. What reason would you have
to express hate hate for me? (Does being an illegal CB amplifier
manufacturer have anything to do with it?)

-A

636. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 14:15:43 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207080615.51829210@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129665

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:<3d28eb41$0$13948$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>...
> He won't. He's nothing more than a bully that has been challenged. Each
> and every time I have given evidence (web sites) to support my claims he
> changes the subject. Nothing more than a bully.

You mean a bully in a sudden panic to create credibility. Witness how
he scrambled to provide actual evidence of his claims about Beloit, WI
(and then acted like it was a huge accomplishment).

-A

637. Re: New 22 Channel FRS & GMRS Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 14:19:33 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D299F75.B12A5580@qnet.com>
Source record: 129666

Hello PH: I will look on the back of the pakages for that, thanks a
million.

Jay in the Mojave

Power House wrote:
>
> Basically a really cheap GMRS radio. They still require a license, however
> they have it in small print on the back of the carton, so chances of it
> being noticed before (or even after) purchase are slim to none...
>
> PH
>
> "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> news:3D290C47.41C8EB99@qnet.com...
> > Hello All:
> >
> > I saw over at Wall Mart today FRS and GMRS small hand held radios.
> >
> > The printed material on the box said it was a 2 watt radio, and had 22
> > channels.
> >
> > What is this new radio?
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave

638. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 14:24:49 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207080624.35e7c62f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129667

>You called me a liar and you can't/won't prove it. Nothing more than
a
>bully. Until there is PROOF from your side this conversation is
ended.
>Kevin, WB5RUE

No matter. He'll find someone else in here to annoy with his twisted logic. It actually works out pretty good. Because when the hard core amplifier boys and bootlegger-freeband promoters see the NG filled with "Twistedhed"-related posts -- they flee.

-Aaron-

639. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 15:37:21 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3087-3D29B1B1-84@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129668

>You mean a bully in a sudden panic to create credibility. Witness how
he scrambled to provide actual evidence of his claims about Beloit, WI
(and then acted like it was a huge accomplishment).
-A<

Scrambled? Is that why you clipped the email date, to lend credibility
to another lie? Why, I haven't seen you this angry since I drove you
underground and stripped you of any dignity.

640. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 15:52:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3084-3D29B54A-631@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129670

From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
You called me a liar and you can't/won't prove it. Nothing more than a bully. Until there is PROOF from your side this conversation is ended.
Kevin, WB5RUE

-No matter. He'll find someone else in here to annoy with his twisted logic.>

Truth hurts you boys.

> It actually works out pretty good. <

It does. Look at the fans you have garnered and look at Muenzler falling apart at the seams reinforcing the poor image of a rabid hammie with poor communication skills who takes to calling names, mulitiple insults, and lies for a prsonal agenda.

>Because when the hard core amplifier boys and bootlegger-freeband promoters see the NG filled with "Twistedhed"-related posts -- they flee.
-Aaron-<

Must be why I receive so many invitations to keydowns, have met several fine folks from this board, and have several of their telephone numbers (even a very vocal hammies who doesn't agree with freebanding). The difference is, he is skilled in communications and diplomacy. There are several fine hammies present that you can emulate.
Poor chap. Check it out....when one approaches a city official, it must be done in a semi-professionally manner. A well written letter will not reveal your personal feelings, as it matters none to the recipient. My personal opinion doesn't change the particulars, but I am flattered you feel it so important as to include it and share it with an official, as if it will somehow change the fact that what I claimed is true and regain you some face you lost. I find it curious the extent you go, and the energy you exude focusing your personal dislike for me, as opposed to spending your time on something that is actualy productive. Your communication skills are as poor as ever. Stop letting your personal feelings dictate stupidity and stick to the topic.

Big Gun chaser awayer <g>

641. Re: New 22 Channel FRS & GMRS Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 15:56:23 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D29B611.2DEC301C@icok.net>
Source record: 129669

'Hey Jay,
Just going by the price, we aren't talking
about the same radio...even for a pair of them.
These are the GMRS channel/frequencies the first
seven channels are shared with FRS;
---FRS/GMRS-----------GMRS---- | -----FRS-----
1 - 462.5625 8 - 462.5750 | 8 - 467.5625
2 - 462.5875 9 - 462.6250 | 9 - 467.5875
3 - 462.6125 10 - 462.6750 | 10 - 467.6125
4 - 462.6375 11 - 462.5500 | 11 - 467.6375
5 - 462.6625 12 - 462.6000 | 12 - 467.6625
6 - 462.6875 13 - 462.6500 | 13 - 467.6875
7 - 462.7125 14 - 462.7000 | 14 - 467.7125
15 - 462.7250 |

...save you the trouble of looking them up.
'Doc

642. Re: New 22 Channel FRS & GMRS Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 16:39:38 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D29C04A.B44B5566@qnet.com>
Source record: 129671

Thanks Doc:

I have run a gazillion Aarons today, will report back findings.

Jay in the Mojave

'Doc wrote:
>
> 'Hey Jay,
> Just going by the price, we aren't talking
> about the same radio...even for a pair of them.
> These are the GMRS channel/frequencies the first
> seven channels are shared with FRS;
> ---FRS/GMRS----------GMRS---- | -----FRS-----
> 1 - 462.5625 8 - 462.5750 | 8 - 467.5625
> 2 - 462.5875 9 - 462.6250 | 9 - 467.5875
> 3 - 462.6125 10 - 462.6750 | 10 - 467.6125
> 4 - 462.6375 11 - 462.5500 | 11 - 467.6375
> 5 - 462.6625 12 - 462.6000 | 12 - 467.6625
> 6 - 462.6875 13 - 462.6500 | 13 - 467.6875
> 7 - 462.7125 14 - 462.7000 | 14 - 467.7125
> 15 - 462.7250 |
>
> ...save you the trouble of looking them up.
> 'Doc

643. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 17:31:29 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <vZjW8.65343$wj4.5667035@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129672

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uiis7m8e7c1d93@corp.supernews.com...
> > Now if only we
> > could make
> > Congress see the error of their way in removing the funds needed to do
the
> > huge job they have and return enough funds to return to CB enforcement
in
> > the way it is needed Oh yes, they are responding to complaints, but I
hope
> > for the day when they are even more pro-active in removing pirate
> operators
> > from frequencies and bands they have no business on.
> Let me get this straight? We are at war and in one day lost more
> americans then we did at Pearl Harbor. The middle east is about to
explode.
> India and Pakistan are about to nuke each other. Iraq's first nuke is
almost
> finished. North and South Korea's naval forces defacto at war and you want
> funding for WHAT!!!!!!!
> Now that's funny:)

Maybe to you, but not to the MILITARY users of that so-called "freeband" you
are
so fond of. Sure, much of what they do is on digital, satellite, etc. but
certain of those
frequencies you say "nobody uses" ARE used for close-in stuff (It's called
"Tactical
Communications".) How do *I* know that? Because I USE several of those
frequencies that are assigned by USAF to their Auxiliary only to have to
listen to the
QRM on adjacent frequencies. And, yes, I am authorized to use them. So I
certainly
AM interested in seeing something done to remove the CBers from on and
around
such frequencies that WE use for certain missions that VHF is not suited
for--like in mountain valleys, for example. Around here, except for the
"skip", I can often listen to
the CB channels and hear nothing. The folks seem to congregate on channels
1, 3, 11
or 30. The rest go begging, so it's not like there's no elbow room. Oh,
incidentally, the
armed services DO use un-coded HF radios, and can be heard if you know where
to

look.

J
>
>

644. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 17:52:32 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <fhkW8.65366$wj4.5672905@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129673

Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:agb31chkl3@quark.scn.rain.com...
<<snipped>>
>
> As I noted in another thread - of all those you listed, only two or
> three were identified as CBers. All the rest were people using Ham
> gear on Ham frequencies - with no mention of CB usage.
>
> It looks like you have another 5 or 6 to go.

I don't give a damn WHO they are, or what kind of equipment they use, I want the
damned sons of bitches off frequencies they don't belong on such as the
10/12 meter ham band AND the military frequencies I use trying to save lives
(not MARS). Again,
Kenneth is trying to imply that FCC is not, cannot, and will not do anything about
the intruders. And because CB may or not be mentioned, you are not going to tell
me that the truckers I cited aren't "CBers" who just happen to be using those Galaxy
and Connex, or SuperStar so-called "10 meter" radios, or that "Rabbit Ears" isn't a
CBer run amok. Now THAT was a gratifying picture--seeing him led away in chains
and leg irons. I certainly showed that enforcement is accelerating compared
to the years prior to 1999. Hey, Riley hit a few hams for operating on 27.585 according the
Sunday's RainReport, and I say GREAT!! I don't cull 'em; a bad op is a bad op is a bad op no matter WHAT you call him.

If a person wants access to frequencies, then he should show the responsibility, the
maturity, and the courtesy to both the authorities and the people his operations can
effect by fulfilling the requirements for that service. As far as Amateur Radio is con-
cerned, it is available to anyone who wishes to use it if they simply take the now extra-
simple test for the Tech license. If they operate with even the basic courtesy due their
fellow man, and without the "cutesy" lingo (<<shudder>>), they will be welcomed into
the hobby with open arms. Attempt to just take the privilege, you will be met with

hostility, angry hams out DFing you, and, eventually, an "Evidence before the Commis-
sion indicates that you have..... You are requested to contact me at *** ***
**** to discuss this matter" Signed, Riley Hollingsworth".

J

> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

645. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC//Attn: BILL NELSON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 18:07:09 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <YukW8.65386$wj4.5675966@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129674

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out
of
> perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal) rigs.
> The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of there
> ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> against the law.

They will never know it. The person walks in, buys a Coke and browses
around
the store looking at the CB radios and antennas. Then he might grab one of
the
cashier tickets that is normally taken to the cashier for purchase, and
write down
the description of the illegal "10 meter" radio> I've DONE it--I have one
of those
Magnum Delta Force tickets right in front of me right now! I found it in a
truck stop
in Columbia, SC. A copy went to the "right" place.

Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> million years.
> Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a 3
> year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> ignored repeated warnings.

Bill,

Here's where he said "8", and half of them are NOT hams; they are IN
ADDITION
to the 21 I cited.
> Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's and
> use them and people will sell them.

Nope. If the folks could keep from wandering into other bands and
frequencies,
I'd agree with you. But by operating on the ham bands and causing
interference to
the rightful users, the truckers, maybe unknowingly, are opening up a can of
sour
worms that also BITE!!
> Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here while
> worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and

generaly
> make an ass of himself.

It does no good to bother people about operating on the CB band illegally.
But when they operate on the ham bands illegally, then it becomes another
story.

Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and
have
> fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and scans
> the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad guy"
>

Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham rigs
and one even had a marine radio.

Would you like to PROVE your assertion that FCC WON'T do anything about
sales of illegal radios like the Magnum Delta Force? Then tell us WHERE you
saw
those ham rigs, which, BTW, are not in and of themselves illegal to sell,
but I'd bet you that if they are selling them, they are also selling the
unapproved ones, too. So
tell us where these truck stops are so I can send it to Riley, and we will
see if he does
anything.

J

Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell me
> most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> liscense.
> Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> Sincerely
> Ken
>
>

646. Re: ISO Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 18:10:02 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0207081010.32ac3f2c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129675

"Know Won" <sarge_wv@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<uij4mhpf987604@corp.supernews.com>...
> Just getting interested in CBs. Can any one tell me a good CB to buy at a
> reasonable price, that can be tuned up later. I have being looking at a
> Cobre 29 LTD Classic, is this a good CB?

You can still get some very good buys on new or "almost new" Uniden
Grant XL's or LT's on ebay. Good luck.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

647. Re: PowerHouse, email me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 18:28:28 UTC
From: LegalCB <legalcb@foo.com>
Message-ID: <gRkW8.623320$%y.39085939@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129676

```
"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:QueW8.59977$p85.1829158@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:uiim1gliecv098@corp.supernews.com...
> > I might, if I know what the possible reason for such an email would
be....
> > Even a hint would be nice... :)
> >
> >
> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:sB6W8.59030$p85.1767704@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > Drop me a line.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
> Nothing serious, just wanted to discuss a "keyclown" issue or two,
> privately.

Yeah I got some good info for Ya!
```

648. FORSALE: TRAM DIAMOND 60

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 18:44:38 UTC
From: "Marty B." <mjb11@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <agcmm1$1vc$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 129677

TRAM DIAMOND 60 MOBILE RADIO
SSB and AM....IN VERY GOOD CONDITION
MOBILE BRACKET and HAND MIC..DC CABLE.

SELLING $50 PLUS SHIPPING....
CAN SUPPLY PHOTO UPON REQUEST.

MARTY

649. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 19:24:59 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207081124.3e29508d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129678

Twisty wrote:
>when one approaches a city official, it must
>be done in a semi-professionally manner. A well
>written letter will not reveal your personal feelings,
>as it matters none to the recipient....

You mean a letter creating the impression you're a local Beloit resident making a sincere request for information on CB legislation because he wants to conform to the law? That's not "semi-professional", that's DUPLICITOUS and DECEITFUL. And what about this alleged email you claim to have written to Beloit, WI and recieved replies from? I don't see any headers on the email or the reply. No date on her reply. In fact, no header info whatsoever on her reply. Verrrrry suspect, Twist. I'd be verrry disappointed if I found out you had not learned your lesson about backing up your claims and instead are trying to scam the NG. And then there's the bigger picture: all this propaganda effort from you - for what? To prove there is no CB interference problem and hence no need for laws? LOL. Good luck. I haven't seen you this worked up since you found out there were a substantial number of people on this NG that think you're an idiot.

-Aaron-

650. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 19:26:53 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d29e5c7$0$13951$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129679

We should also defund what has cost this country more than 5 trillion dollars over the past 35+ years.....welfare! We did just fine as a country for 180 or so years before welfare. People didn't have kids because they couldn't afford them. Now people are having kids because they can't afford not to have them.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:uiis7m8e7c1d93@corp.supernews.com...
> Let me get this straight? We are at war and in one day lost more
> americans then we did at Pearl Harbor. The middle east is about to explode.
> India and Pakistan are about to nuke each other. Iraq's first nuke is almost
> finished. North and South Korea's naval forces defacto at war and you want
> funding for WHAT!!!!!!!
> Now that's funny:)
>
>

651. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 19:36:44 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D29CC13.9E31DDD5@mailcity.net>
Source record: 129680

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>

>
> Maybe to you, but not to the MILITARY users of that so-called "freeband" you
> are
> so fond of. Sure, much of what they do is on digital, satellite, etc. but
> certain of those
> frequencies you say "nobody uses" ARE used for close-in stuff (It's called
> "Tactical
> Communications".)

According to cber logic, if you see something that obviously
someone isn't using, it must be OK to take it.

652. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 21:22:26 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14308-3D2A0292-36@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129684

From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com >(Aaron H. Voobner) wroted:
Twisty wrote:
when one approaches a city official, it must be done in a
semi-professionally manner. A well written letter will not reveal your
personal feelings, as it matters none to the recipient.... You mean a
letter creating the impression you're a local Beloit resident
<

Another failed and flawed interpretation on behalf of the ignorant, an
assumption. There are multiple reasons you fail to comprehend or
recognize for that wording. I may be going there on a trip and wish to
know. It makes no difference other than the cause of your feathered
diet.

>making a sincere request for information on CB legislation because he
wants to conform to the law? That's not "semi-professional", that's
DUPLICITOUS and DECEITFUL. And what about this alleged email you claim
to have written to Beloit, WI and recieved replies from? I don't see any
headers on the email or the reply. No date on her reply. In fact, no
header info whatsoever on her reply.<

As I said, email Carol yourself.
It would be terrible to go through life carrying the burdens you
shoulder. It isn't any wonder you have too much time on your hands, have
made us your family and treat us as such

>Verrrrry suspect, Twist. I'd be verrry disappointed if I found out you
had not learned your lesson about backing up your claims and instead are
trying to scam the NG. <

Gee, that would be almost as bad as me being solely responsible for
cleaning your sorry clock on a regualr basis, driving you underground.
Don't worry, you have the market cornered..

>And then there's the bigger picture: all this propaganda effort from
you - for what?<

I beg your pardon?

>To prove there is no CB interference problem and hence no need for
laws? <

Your recall impairment has manifested. You whined several times to me
for that information. You went so far as to accuse me of ignoring you
when you didn't get what you redundantly pleaded for. You then turn
around, not thinking about what you're actually typing, and claim the
opposite, that I "scrambled" to answer, providing yet another

self-contradiction for which you are so infamously known. The fact is, you really don't know what the hell is going on, you just need someone like me to remind you every once in a while, to point it out...like being read to, or spoon fed. BUT..you are to be commended for the valiant but failed attempt at taking the heat off Muenzler for lying, but I'm done with him anyways...as you said, he will avoid providing answers that are verifiable and will clam up when the questions make him uncomfortable.

>LOL. <

LOL

>Good luck.<

I don't believe in luck. Luck has nothing to do with you contradicting yourself, your impotence does.

>I haven't seen you this worked up since <

I already used that line on you. Try as you may..

you found out there were a substantial number of people on this NG that think you're an idiot.
  -Aaron-<

Your close-knit clique of a few angry, ignorant hammies does not qualify for the definition of "substantial". Nonetheless, I'm an honest idiot, unlike yourself and Muenzler. Your anger and ignorance is showing. Calling unprovoked names places you in danger of being ignored again. Chin up.

653. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 21:26:37 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <hsnW8.86354$UT.5811050@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129681

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Jr3W8.57094$p85.1737620@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:zO2W8.594267$Gs.38445659@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> Bill the two ideas don't logically connect. Knowledge of CW and
electronics
> don't equate. There are plenty of Hams who can pound out CW at a
blistering
> rate on the air, but yet have little technical knowledge of the inside
> workings of the equipment they use. The same can be said for the reverse
> argument as well, those with a great deal of knowledge in electronics
and
> don't care one bit to use CW.
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
>
> Right Leland, and I agree with you totally. You never know when the
Titanic
> or some other mis-captained ship may sail again and not have voice or
> satellite coms. The code is useless, except to those that enjoy it.
>

It has another use. While not enough of one to justify its continuing as
a regulatory test, it will significantly change the Amateur Service and
not for the better when it disappears.

When you joined the fraternity with the General or Class B ticket and made
a contact, you knew something about the other guy: he cared enough to do
the code thing however silly or difficult it may have been for him just as
you had however difficult or silly it seemed at the time.
The implied level of committment to something that did not respect your
age, income, or perceived coolth but merely your time and effort tended to
create if not instant respect at least some level of tolerance and a sense
of relative importance. It is a lot easier to work with a guy that at
least a priori shows one good sign of being worthy of some trust.

It is, for example, the diff between simply giving your QTH on two meters
in a situation where it was of some importance and dinking around about it
as is common on CB. It is why I and, to his credit Leland, make no bones
about our identity. There is something incongruous about Loud and Proud

and Unidentified.

So is Morse some sort of hazing ritual? Not really, for no better reason than one does it to himself rather than having it done to him. Not like crossing the Equator or Date Line or a few other marine rituals among those who have hazing down to a science to no particular effect. It is a bar in some physical cases but mostly to those who have not made the committment. Kind of similar to why parents frown on shacking up.

I am not being critical here of you or those like you. I did my 20wpm a long time ago so I am out of the picture and I can certainly understand not wanting to be the last guy to take a code test. Today, I am not certain what I might do. But understand that when you do get on more or less for free you will have lost something not easily replaced.

Dick NBK

654. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 21:32:52 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2a034e$0$13949$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129682

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17648-3D299A6D-533@storefull-
>Snip the parts that are pertinent, like the posted reply.

Nope, I snipped all that was not pertinent to MY reply.

>Another
>education for ya' Muenzler...when one presents a post out of it's
>original context, the meaning will invariably appear something other
>than what it is.

You should know all about this, you've done an expert job with my posts.

>Your mimicry of Frank is touching, but non-effective.
>Oh, before I forget, this "poor sap" can back whatever he says, unlike
>yourself.

What mimicry, who's Frank?

Ok, "poor sap" I'm still waiting for any "backing" you can produce. Just
don't cast any spells on me!

Kevin, WB5RUE

655. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 21:35:03 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2a03d0$0$13954$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129683

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17649-3D29954A-234@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> It proves I've known all along and for quite some time what Frank has
> begged for....which is why he deleted the date on the email. In other
> words...he was set up to make a fool out of himself...just like
> Muenzler.
> But more imporatantl, it backs just what I claimed, something that Frank
> denied.

> In any event, glad I could teach y'all how to do a little
> legwork. Next time maybe y'all won't have to look to me for answers.

WOW! This guy really IS a legend in his own mind!

Kevin, WB5RUE

656. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 22:31:06 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020708183106.16528.00003101@mb-cm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 129685

Re. the retention of CW testing for HF access.

Bravo Dick,

Two points kinda sum it up nicely.

>When you joined the fraternity...

>The implied level of committment...

Bullseye!

73 de Bert
WA2SI

657. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 22:35:04 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2a11e0$0$13953$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129686

Yes I've noticed this. He's down to arguing semantics and trying to argue
with words I never said.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207080615.51829210@posting.google.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:<3d28eb41$0$13948$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>...
> > He won't. He's nothing more than a bully that has been challenged.
Each
> > and every time I have given evidence (web sites) to support my claims he
> > changes the subject. Nothing more than a bully.
>
> You mean a bully in a sudden panic to create credibility. Witness how
> he scrambled to provide actual evidence of his claims about Beloit, WI
> (and then acted like it was a huge accomplishment).
>
> -A

658. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 22:36:07 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2a121f$0$13955$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129687

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17648-3D299998-532@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>>Ok then, I'll say it again. I am a member of the "FCC Auxilliary" also
>>known as an "Official Observer." You say that I am not, prove it.<
>
>
>
>I never said you weren't. Go read what I posted again. I said you
>weren't an "OFFICIAL FCC OBSERVER", like you called yourself in the
>beginning, and what you have not done since.

Wow, you're now down to arguing semantics as well as twisting my words around again. I never said that I am an "OFFICIAL FCC OBSERVER." Those are your words. I checked the entire thread back to the beginning and I never said that I am an "OFFICIAL FCC OBSERVER."

If you want the long name for what I do here it is. I am an officially certified member of the FCC Amateur Auxiliary, also known as an "Official Observer."

>>I gave you web links that explain the program in great detail but did
>>you check it out? Probably not. Or maybe you did because you certainly
>>dropped it like a hot potatoe. <

>Nothing to drop.
>You must have missed those posts or merely forgot what you read, but we
>did indeed address that issue, but you still have failed to produce what
>my main issue with you was...your lies concerning the radio busts in the
>Texas court system.

I never said that any of them were in the "Texas Court System." If I did mention a particular court, which I probably did, it's the 5th Circuit Court in Houston and San Antonio. It just so happens that they are in TEXAS but there are NOT Texas Courts. You are arguing semantics again.

>>And you apparently "forgot" that these are federal cases (which you
>>pointed out as a "flaw" in my logic). Yes, they are in Texas but not in
>>the Texas court system. The calls that I gave you never made it to court
>>anyway. <

>Exactly,as they have nothing to do with your claims concerning the court
>system, which is what I asked for. As I claimed, those calls mean
>absolutley zilch in the context of your claims. Thanks for clarifying.

So what's your problem? You are calling me a liar concerning something that I never claimed. Semantics again?

>>They received their NAL from the FCC and capitulated due to the
>>overwhelming evidence. <

>So do thousands of other hammies each year. ..BFD!

Again, so what's your problem? Where have I lied? Give a particular
instance instead of arguing semantics.

>>I also gave you four callsigns of former hams who had their licenses
>>revoked. Did you check them out? Nope. What do other kind of "proof" you
>>want? <

>Something that corroborates your claim. Posting 4 hammies that were
>popped means zilch. Were they all in the Texas court system since
>Christmas too, Kevin?

None of those made it to court, I said that already. Again, what's your
problem?

>>Nope, not in court at all. <

>So what does this have to do with your claim they were all in court due
>your efforts since Xmas? Again...nothing.

Nothing, that was your claim. I never said that they were in court. There
are others that are in court but these weren't.

>>Did I say "CBers?" Nope, the FCC's Official Observer program has no
>>jurisdiction over CB matters since there really isn't any inforcement
>>going on anyway. The only time we "bust" CBers is when they incroach on
>>ham frequencies, them we care otherwise we don't. <

>A valiant effort. I have no issue with that nor did I ever attack that
>approach.

Then why did you claim that I said I was busting CBers?

>>Then why did YOU bring it up? <

>Again, go back and check the thread, I never claimed to have busted any
>CBers. <

>You read it, Muenzler. Matter of fact,
>all you have to do is look at my original subject line above......it
>clearly says RADIO busts.

Yes YOUR subject line does say "Radio Busts." YOUR subject line is
completely inconsistent with what I said in the first place. It is a
product of your creative twisting of my words.

>>I never claimed "RADIO busts." That's YOUR twisted subject line. <

>Really? Who are all the folks you have lied about having tied up in the
>court system?
>Speeders?

Again, a product of your vivid imagination and creative twisting. I never said that I busted anyone. I am nothing more than a WITNESS that provides EVIDENCE. It's the federal martials who do the busting. My exact words went something like "I'm putting the financial hurt...." This is completely consistent with what I have said all along. I never said that I busted anyone, those are your words.

>>Just more twisy twisting and LYING! <
>
>I don't lie, Kevin. No need too. I can back what I say, and if so
>inclined, provide proof. You can not say the same.

Perhaps "lying" is too harsh of a word here. Perhaps I should have said "misrepresenting the facts," "misleading," "misquoting" or something like that. There are a few other words but I'm sure you get the picture. But it all comes down to you LIED about what I said in the first place. So even NOW with "I don't lie" you are lying.

>>I can produce post after post of your own words where you have twisted
>>my words into something that I never said.<

>But you can't post a single post lending asolute credibility, say, from
>like a government or city source...because it didn't happen. There is no
>way you can garner respect and get anyone to believe anything you say
>after your multiple lies. You make claims, then claim there can be no
>record of it anywhere unless one pays, then you claim that the judge
>asked the court to remain "mum' about the cases. More bullshit on top of
>bullshit.

Why should I? It's you who have the problem with my claims. Those callsigns I gave you never made it to court. "99%" of the NALs from the FCC don't because the evidence is so overwhelming that the person, unless he's a complete idiot, just goes along. (I don't know that the number "99%" is accurate but is for emphasis only.)

K5UJA
San Antonio TX
Malicious interference with a repeater, jamming.

WA5NOP
San Antonio TX
Malicious interference to a repeater and a net. Numerous unidentified transmissions, jamming, "sound effects."

KC7HPA
Seguin TX
Numerous unidentified transmissions, malicious interference to other amateurs, jamming, playing music, out of band transmissions, transmitting on unauthorized frequencies.

N5NLQ
Seguin TX
Numerous unidentified transmissions, malicious interference, jamming, playing music and other sound effects.

>>I don't remember posting anything about "nine CBers" being in the state
>>court system. <

>You didn'...I DID. That's the total in the state court systems for 2001
>relating to cb.

In what state? But then does it matter? I don't care about CBers in "state court." Hams aren't prosecuted in "state courts" if they get as far as prosecution at all. Until VERY recently state courts didn't have any jurisdiction over CBers. It wasn't until a recent law was passed giving local municipalities the authority to investigate alligations of illegal CB activities. If you do a search for hams in state court for violation of FCC rules you will find that there aren't any.

>>Like I keep saying, and you keep ignoring, the FCC doesn't deal with
>>the state court system. <

>Oh, so all your busts are now federal, hmm, ok, that makes it so much
>easier...and 27 of them are in TX that have been made to appear in court
>all since Xmas. And the judge "requests" no comment.......LMAO! "Fraid
>not. See KEvin, the federal cases are easier to track down than the
>state cases..you just keep rolling deeper in the fecal matter.

Did I ever say that 27 had appeared in court since Christmas? Nope, that was your addition to and a twisting of my words. But since you are SOOOO good at tracking, go for it.

>>Again, they are "lies" because either you don't believe what I said or
>>you don't agree with what I said. Very childish, nothing more. <

>Kevin is full of shit.

With every one of your posts you reinforce my position that you are nothing more than a childish bully.

>>Back to the empty insults, eh Twisty.
>>This doesn't mean much from someone who just cries "liar liar pants on
>>fire" and then says "prove you're not a liar." <

>Not at all. You're back to repeating yourself. You insulted me many,
>many times, lied about it and said the insults first come from me. I
>again showed you a liar concerning that, by posting a few of your many
>insults you lied about hurling.
>You're a lia,r Kevin. Deal with it.

They are not insults if they are the truth. There is a very old saying that goes something like this, "When someone says something unkind about you live your life in such a way as to prove them wrong." You are living your life to prove me right. You are nothing more than a childish bully.

>I never asked that. I merely asked for some type proof, then called you
>a liar after you became insultive.

Yep, you began name-calling to divert from the fact that you can't back up your claim that I am lying.

>>Twisting again. I made claims, you called them lies and asked for
>>proof.<

>Ahh, yes, but I didn't CALL you a liar until you began hurling insults.

Does that make a difference? "Mommy he hit me first!" That's your attitude, nothing more than a childish bully who has been challenged and can't meet the challenge.

>>Just like a typical bully, they run when they are challenged. He called
>>me a liar in "public," I challenged him to prove it and he can't.
>>Nothing more than a childish bully. I know exactly how to deal with your
>>kind.
>Kevin, WB5RUE<

>Like Frank said, ignore when the questions are too tough or make you
>uncomfortable? Yea, I can see that.

>>You haven't asked any "questions." <

>How about this? Do you have any proof that you can share with us that
>will leave no doubt what you claim? No, Muenzler, you don't, or you
>would have posted it and made 'ol Twisty dine on some crow like
>Frank has been chokingly enjoying.

I doubt that I could post anything that would satisfy you or your twisted lo gic. All you will do is pick it apart semantically, twist it around and say that you want proof that my proof is valid. So why bother. I gave you callsigns, cities and charges. Go for it. These never made it to court, federal or otherwise.

>>You called me a liar and you can't/won't prove it. Nothing more than a
>>bully. Until there is PROOF from your side this conversation is ended.
>>Kevin, WB5RUE<

>Of course it is. Like I said, it was over the day you opened your lying
>mouth and couldn't back your claims. Your insults afetr being asked to
>produce solidified your position forevermore among us. You now have the
>full backing of the AKC. Enjoy, and congratulations.

Again, give proof that I am lying. You are yet to produce a SINGLE bit of proof that I am lying to even the smallest of your accusations. All you have done is twist what I said around and then argue semantics, Homie don't play that game. The insults came after you said that I was "full of shit" and it's the insults that seem to be your focus now not whether or not I can prove my claims or you can prove your accusations.

AKC? Whatever that is. Besides your opinion of me makes no difference. An opinion is only as important as the esteem of the person holding it. I'm just waiting for you to produce proof that I am lying and you can't. So as far as I am concerned your calling me a liar and saying that I am "full of shit" is nothing more than empty air (flatulence) because it comes from a person I hold in very low esteem, a person who won't even "show his face" by giving a real name. It's easy to be a bully and/or a total ass if you are anonymous.

Kevin, WB5RUE
Just don't put a spell on me Twisty!

659. Re: PowerHouse, email me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 23:12:47 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <P%oW8.51972$eF5.1864616@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129688

"LegalCB" <legalcb@foo.com> wrote in message
news:gRkW8.623320$%y.39085939@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:QueW8.59977$p85.1829158@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> > news:uiim1gliecv098@corp.supernews.com...
> > > I might, if I know what the possible reason for such an email would
> be....
> > > Even a hint would be nice... :)
> > >
> > >
> > > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:sB6W8.59030$p85.1767704@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > > Drop me a line.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Nothing serious, just wanted to discuss a "keyclown" issue or two,
> > privately.
>
>
> Yeah I got some good info for Ya!
>
>
>

Wouldn't you like to know, Curious George? LOL!

660. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 23:36:37 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <9mpW8.52155$eF5.1870742@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129689

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:hsnW8.86354$UT.5811050@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:Jr3W8.57094$p85.1737620@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> > news:zO2W8.594267$Gs.38445659@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >
> > Bill the two ideas don't logically connect. Knowledge of CW and
> electronics
> > don't equate. There are plenty of Hams who can pound out CW at a
> blistering
> > rate on the air, but yet have little technical knowledge of the inside
> > workings of the equipment they use. The same can be said for the reverse
> > argument as well, those with a great deal of knowledge in electronics
> and
> > don't care one bit to use CW.
> >
> > --
> > Leland C. Scott
> > KC8LDO
> >
> >
> > Right Leland, and I agree with you totally. You never know when the
> Titanic
> > or some other mis-captained ship may sail again and not have voice or
> > satellite coms. The code is useless, except to those that enjoy it.
> >
>
> It has another use. While not enough of one to justify its continuing as
> a regulatory test, it will significantly change the Amateur Service and
> not for the better when it disappears.
>
> When you joined the fraternity with the General or Class B ticket and made
> a contact, you knew something about the other guy: he cared enough to do
> the code thing however silly or difficult it may have been for him just as
> you had however difficult or silly it seemed at the time.
> The implied level of committment to something that did not respect your
> age, income, or perceived coolth but merely your time and effort tended to
> create if not instant respect at least some level of tolerance and a sense
> of relative importance. It is a lot easier to work with a guy that at
> least a priori shows one good sign of being worthy of some trust.

>
> It is, for example, the diff between simply giving your QTH on two meters
> in a situation where it was of some importance and dinking around about it
> as is common on CB. It is why I and, to his credit Leland, make no bones
> about our identity. There is something incongruous about Loud and Proud
> and Unidentified.
>
> So is Morse some sort of hazing ritual? Not really, for no better reason
> than one does it to himself rather than having it done to him. Not like
> crossing the Equator or Date Line or a few other marine rituals among
> those who have hazing down to a science to no particular effect. It is a
> bar in some physical cases but mostly to those who have not made the
> committment. Kind of similar to why parents frown on shacking up.
>
> I am not being critical here of you or those like you. I did my 20wpm a
> long time ago so I am out of the picture and I can certainly understand
> not wanting to be the last guy to take a code test. Today, I am not
> certain what I might do. But understand that when you do get on more or
> less for free you will have lost something not easily replaced.
>
> Dick N&#65533;BK
>
>

I understand your thoughts about the code, and respect them. I'm sure there is much truth there. You guessed it...here it comes...the inevitable "but". As a form of communication, it's only slightly more useful than semaphore. There are digital modes that will get though when code, or voice for that matter, will not. The old argument that code will get through when voice fails just doesn't hold water. It is possible, but not likely, that I could become trapped on a capsized ship, and have to resort to banging out code on the hull. In that instance, if a rescuer knows the code as well, it may be of some use.

The above being said, I do understand the right of passage. It's history, it's always been done, and probably shouldn't change. It takes all kinds and puts them all on an even playing field. But a necessary mode, it isn't.

Randy

661. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-08 23:56:08 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708195608.14385.00002222@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 129690

Jerry, you should chill out ! Your in your 50's and could stoke out.I thought you mellow with age like a good wine.It's only a hobby.You must really get excited when the boys keydown at the camp in NC.

662. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 00:28:21 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <F6qW8.15020$Iu6.833466@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129691

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:9mpW8.52155$eF5.1870742@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:hsnW8.86354$UT.5811050@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:Jr3W8.57094$p85.1737620@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > >
> > > "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> > > news:zO2W8.594267$Gs.38445659@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > >
> > > Bill the two ideas don't logically connect. Knowledge of CW and
> > electronics
> > > don't equate. There are plenty of Hams who can pound out CW at a
> > blistering
> > > rate on the air, but yet have little technical knowledge of the
inside
> > > workings of the equipment they use. The same can be said for the
reverse
> > > argument as well, those with a great deal of knowledge in
electronics
> > and
> > > don't care one bit to use CW.
> > >
> > > --
> > > Leland C. Scott
> > > KC8LDO
> > >
> > >
> > > Right Leland, and I agree with you totally. You never know when the
> > Titanic
> > > or some other mis-captained ship may sail again and not have voice
or
> > > satellite coms. The code is useless, except to those that enjoy it.
> > >
> >
> > It has another use. While not enough of one to justify its continuing
as
> > a regulatory test, it will significantly change the Amateur Service
and
> > not for the better when it disappears.
> >

> > When you joined the fraternity with the General or Class B ticket and made
> > a contact, you knew something about the other guy: he cared enough to do
> > the code thing however silly or difficult it may have been for him just as
> > you had however difficult or silly it seemed at the time.
> > The implied level of committment to something that did not respect your
> > age, income, or perceived coolth but merely your time and effort tended to
> > create if not instant respect at least some level of tolerance and a sense
> > of relative importance. It is a lot easier to work with a guy that at
> > least a priori shows one good sign of being worthy of some trust.
> >
> > It is, for example, the diff between simply giving your QTH on two meters
> > in a situation where it was of some importance and dinking around about it
> > as is common on CB. It is why I and, to his credit Leland, make no bones
> > about our identity. There is something incongruous about Loud and Proud
> > and Unidentified.
> >
> > So is Morse some sort of hazing ritual? Not really, for no better reason
> > than one does it to himself rather than having it done to him. Not like
> > crossing the Equator or Date Line or a few other marine rituals among
> > those who have hazing down to a science to no particular effect. It is a
> > bar in some physical cases but mostly to those who have not made the
> > committment. Kind of similar to why parents frown on shacking up.
> >
> > I am not being critical here of you or those like you. I did my 20wpm a
> > long time ago so I am out of the picture and I can certainly understand
> > not wanting to be the last guy to take a code test. Today, I am not
> > certain what I might do. But understand that when you do get on more or
> > less for free you will have lost something not easily replaced.
> >
> > Dick N&#65533;BK
> >
> >
>

> I understand your thoughts about the code, and respect them. I'm sure
there
> is much truth there. You guessed it...here it comes...the inevitable
"but".
> As a form of communication, it's only slightly more useful than
semaphore.
> There are digital modes that will get though when code, or voice for
that
> matter, will not. The old argument that code will get through when voice
> fails just doesn't hold water. It is possible, but not likely, that I
could
> become trapped on a capsized ship, and have to resort to banging out
code on
> the hull. In that instance, if a rescuer knows the code as well, it may
be
> of some use.
>
> The above being said, I do understand the right of passage. It's
history,
> it's always been done, and probably shouldn't change. It takes all kinds
and
> puts them all on an even playing field. But a necessary mode, it isn't.
>
> Randy

Can't really argue with that. The first thing the Signal Corps did in 1941 was install great shitpots of Teletype machines and there was even some AFSK at VHF, superregens and all in the Pacific, one of which got my dad a bronze star from General Eichelberger (8th Army) he refused to wear but was happy for the rotation points. In developed countries it is way out of date.

But the vetting process that the code test did more or less accidentally is going to go on in some form after the test is gone. It does give you newbies two problems: first, you may not, in fact probably will not, be granted equality. And over a career, you will get to replace what currently exists for free with something else that I cannot imagine but fortunately will probably not be around to see.

Consider the sociology of CB today and you will see what I mean. I don't mean the headcases but just the mainline ops. It is a lot easier to organize anything from a beer blast to emergency comms to a national organization where there is some kind of a vetting process in place.

Beer Keg

663. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 00:32:45 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207081632.320c9abc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129692

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<3084-3D29B54A-631@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> From: voobner aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron?H.?Voobner)
> You called me a liar and you can't/won't prove it. Nothing more than a
> bully. Until there is PROOF from your side this conversation is ended.
> Kevin, WB5RUE
>
>
>
>
> -No matter. He'll find someone else in here to annoy with his twisted
> logic.>
>
>
>
>
> Truth hurts you boys.

Apparently truth hurts Twistedhed so much he neglected to properly
research the Beloit City Ordinances...

-Aaron-

Briefï¿½Headers X-Apparently-To: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com via
web20403.mail.yahoo.com; 08 Jul 2002 12:20:48 -0700 (PDT)
Return-Path: <alexandc@ci.beloit.wi.us>
Received: from ci.beloit.wi.us (EHLO UHURA.CI.BELOIT.WI.US)
(216.56.3.98) by mta448.mail.yahoo.com with SMTP; 08 Jul 2002 12:20:47
-0700 (PDT)
Received: by UHURA.CI.BELOIT.WI.US with Internet Mail Service
(5.5.2653.19) id <3QPWLTTG>; Mon, 8 Jul 2002 14:20:44 -0500
Message-ID: <0195E369D0FA5D419534C519DB03101D0596C2@UHURA.CI.BELOIT.WI.US>
From: "Alexander, Carol" <AlexandC@ci.beloit.wi.us>ï¿½
To: "'Aaron Voobner'" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com>
Subject: RE: City Ordinance
Date: Mon, 8 Jul 2002 14:20:44 -0500
MIME-Version: 1.0
X-Mailer: Internet Mail Service (5.5.2653.19)
Content-Type: text/plain
Content-Length: 1197

Hello - City Ordinance #2671, created in 1996 and numbered section
15.125 in the City Ordinance Book deals with the issue of CB radios.
I am unable to answer any of your questions regarding the history of
CB interference in Beloit. I refer you to City Attorney Richard Holm

at 364-6624. It is possible he may be able to answer your questions.

Carol S. Alexander, CMC
City Clerk
100 State Street
Beloit, WI 53511
608-364-6684
608-364-6649 (fax)
alexandc@ci.beloit.wi.us
population: 35,775

-----Original Message-----
From: Aaron Voobner [mailto:voobner_aaron@yahoo.com]
Sent: Sunday, July 07, 2002 9:41 PM
To: alexandc@ci.beloit.wi.us
Subject: City Ordinance

Hello Carol,

I am doing a follow up to the information you provided Mr. "Twistedhed" re: the City Of Beloit and CB radio. Attached below is an email from you that he posted to Usenet newsgroup rec.radio.cb.

It seems there is a Senate bill on record, S. 608, introduced by Wisconsin Sen Russell Feingold some time ago. The Feingold bill had reportedly been introduced at the request of municipal authorities in Beloit, Wisconsin, who had been unable to stop illegal CBers driving Beloit residents crazy with interference. Vernon Ehlers (MI) also introduced similar legislation (HR2346) which was subsequently signed into law by Pres. Clinton.

Mr. "Twistedhed" infers that CB interference in general cannot be much of a problem since the specific city of Beloit did not even take advantage of a House Bill designed to help curb such interference. Can you comment on this? My questions to you are:

1. Was the problem in Beloit with CB interference legitimate?
2. If so, have any steps been taken to solve it?

Sincerely,

A. H. Voobner

-----Original Message-----
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
To: alexandc@ci.beloit.wi.us
Subject: New City Ordinace

Hello - the city has not recently enacted any
legislation regarding cb radios. If you want to look
at our current city ordinance book, please feel free
to visit my office at any time - 2nd floor of City
Hall - City Clerk's Office.
Carol Alexander, City Clerk
City of Beloit

_____
Do You Yahoo!?
Sign up for SBC Yahoo! Dial - First Month Free
http://sbc.yahoo.com

---

664. Wine suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 00:43:50 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D2A31C7.A30ED3A6@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 129693

What wine goes good with crow?

What are some good ways to prepare crow? Recipes?

TIA.

P.S. It's not for me. I'm just gathering some info for somebody. ;->

665. Re: FS: CB radio Slanguage and language dictionary by Lanie Dills

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 00:44:24 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708204424.19323.00003061@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 129694

plain english it is scot 69-er..........
so "10-4 good buddy", "you take the back door.an ill beat the bushes,and see
if any bears come out" mean while,"keep your sunny side up,an your dirty side
down,your wheels out the ditches,and them green stamps in yore britches"
3-s an 8-s...we hangin on the pearly gates,
the one,the only kilo-tango-echo-seventy-two-eighty-eight
we be gone.....gunslinger :)

666. marine radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 01:06:27 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708210627.19323.00003064@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 129695

"kenneth" mentioned marine radio in his recent post. now i dont know anybody that uses a marine radio in a "big rig", but there is a trend lately for some to use marine radio as a land based communications system. these are mostly cb-ers who use the marine band to communicate locally during those times when the skip makes it nearly impossible to talk more than a mile or two. marine rigs are inexpensive, a well featured one costing no more than 150.00 dollars.also an 18 inch long piece of coat hanger will (believe it or not) make a very effective antenna.
you can usually communicate 20-30 miles very easily with one of these rigs. a local friend of mine even converted a 2 meter beam to operate on the band,and used it to talk to a fellow in cloudcroft,nm from elpaso,tx. (well over 100 miles) pretty neat ,huh? i believe that marine radio is no longer a "licensed" band?this does not mean that the f.c.c. does not still have the athority to "regulate it".
somebody who knows...please correct me if im wrong about this. any way,just thought id throw my hat in the ring on this one....for those that may be interested.
since all the other radio services besides cb seem to be open for discussion on this cb group. :) gunslinger

667. Re: Wine suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 01:16:03 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020708211603.19323.00003066@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 129696

well...since crow is "fowl" i would suggest a nice white. possibly a berenger chenin blanc...oui o non? or mabey a nice zellar schwartz katz.....a quality table wine from germany. yah oder nicht?

having eaten alot of crow in my life,might i suggest cubing the meat,cooking it up in a wok,utilizing a nice teriake sauce.....
let me know what you think,scot.
gunslinger :)

668. 102" too big for van roof. Now what??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 01:22:28 UTC
From: rustyameri@aol.comPROMARS
Message-ID: <20020708212228.26003.00005193@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 129697

Damnit. I bought the 102" whip, replaced the firestick that was on the roof of my van, and while this 102" kicks butt, it is just too long. It hits everything. Now I know I can side mount it on the side of the van, but wouldn't my SWR's go way up because some of the antenna is so close to the side body of the van? Do I just go back to a 5 ft. firestick on the roof of my van and call it a day? Man, decisions decisions. AAAARRRGGGHHHH
---REMOVE PROMARS FROM MY E-MAIL ADDRESS TO E-MAIL ME

669. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 01:36:18 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D2A3DFB.F9790B23@icok.net>
Source record: 129699

vhfradiobuff,
As a matter of fact, yes, I have heard legitimate
stations trying to use the frequencies between ch-40
and 28.000 Mhz. Did they accomplish what they were
trying to do? No, the 'freebander' wouldn't let them.
'Doc

670. Re: Wine suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 01:39:08 UTC
From: Clydesdale Biker <webmaster@spunk_monkey.org>
Message-ID: <uikflth9fmbea2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129698

I would suggest a nice grenache rose on the dry side if you are going with
the teriaki sauce
"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D2A31C7.A30ED3A6@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> What wine goes good with crow?
>
> What are some good ways to prepare crow? Recipes?
>
> TIA.
>
> P.S. It's not for me. I'm just gathering some info for somebody. ;->

671. Re: Wine suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 02:13:30 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <eFrW8.2155$xD4.231603487@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129700

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020708214107.848$k2@newsreader.com...
> >
> Dunno,Scott,but I've heard crow is a dish that is best served cold.
>
> --
> Go #40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

Pressed under a radial :^)

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

672. Re: marine radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 02:30:36 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2a4ac5.5175088@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129701

In <20020708210627.19323.00003064@mb-mt.aol.com>, lilyv7@aol.com
(LilyV7) wrote:

>"kenneth" mentioned marine radio in his recent post. now i dont know anybody
>that uses a marine radio in a "big rig", but there is a trend lately for some
>to use marine radio as a land based communications system. these are mostly
>cb-ers who use the marine band to communicate locally during those times when
>the skip makes it nearly impossible to talk more than a mile or two. marine
>rigs are inexpensive, a well featured one costing no more than 150.00
>dollars.also an 18 inch long piece of coat hanger will (believe it or not) make
>a very effective antenna.
>you can usually communicate 20-30 miles very easily with one of these rigs. a
>local friend of mine even converted a 2 meter beam to operate on the band,and
>used it to talk to a fellow in cloudcroft,nm from elpaso,tx. (well over 100
>miles) pretty neat ,huh? i believe that marine radio is no longer a "licensed"
>band?this does not mean that the f.c.c. does not still have the athority to
>"regulate it".
>somebody who knows...please correct me if im wrong about this. any way,just
>thought id throw my hat in the ring on this one....for those that may be
>interested.
>since all the other radio services besides cb seem to be open for discussion on
>this cb group. :) gunslinger

VHF marine radio (156 - 162MHz) is still used very much. You can't
lock-though in some places without it. Since the waterways are
controlled by US Army Corps of Engineers as well as the US Coast
Guard, no radio interference is tolerated. If you want to give all
your money to the government, then bootlegging on the marine bands is
a good way to start. If you want some jail time, do some frequency
expansion to your marine VHF radio:

There are many marine freqs (156.525, .650, .750, .800 (ch 16), etc.)
that, if abused, will land you in jail just as fast as if you had
abused CB channel 9, 2182kHz or 121.5MHz. Just as an example of how
many emergency freqs there are, I have been compiling a list of freqs
that are "off limits" even for Part 15 use:

2.1820
2.1875
4.1775
4.2075
6.2680
6.3120
8.3640
8.3765

8.4145
12.5200
12.5770
16.6950
16.8045
...etc

Ok, I cut the list short for brevity, and I probably missed a few, but you get the idea: there are lots of them. There are literally hundreds of "off-limits" freqs in the VHF band (all of the PSP channels, VHF marine and air, government, etc). Also note that VHF marine is in the same spectrum as many fire and police frequencies.

So if you want to bootleg on VHF marine, just make sure to do it loud enough that you get caught -before- you cause some very harmful interference.

673. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 03:01:27 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <agdjm7$m13$8@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129702

Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
> news:agb31chkl3@quark.scn.rain.com...
> <<snipped>>
>>
>> As I noted in another thread - of all those you listed, only two or
>> three were identified as CBers. All the rest were people using Ham
>> gear on Ham frequencies - with no mention of CB usage.
>>
>> It looks like you have another 5 or 6 to go.

> I don't give a damn WHO they are, or what kind of equipment they use, I want
> the
> damned sons of bitches off frequencies they don't belong on such as the
> 10/12 meter ham band AND the military frequencies I use trying to save lives
> (not MARS). Again,

I agree 100%. I think anyone operating in frequencies on which they are
not authorized should be busted.

But don't blame all the incursions into the Ham frequencies on "CBers".

... rest of rant deleted ...

I have no disagreement with what you wrote. I just am asking you to
place the blame where it is due - and not use the "wide brush" that
you have been using.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

674. Re: marine radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 03:34:26 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <%OsW8.67202$wj4.5933523@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129703

LilyV7 <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020708210627.19323.00003064@mb-mt.aol.com...
> "kenneth" mentioned marine radio in his recent post. now i dont know
anybody
> that uses a marine radio in a "big rig", but there is a trend lately for
some
> to use marine radio as a land based communications system. these are
mostly
> cb-ers who use the marine band to communicate locally during those times
when
> the skip makes it nearly impossible to talk more than a mile or two.
marine
> rigs are inexpensive, a well featured one costing no more than 150.00
> dollars.also an 18 inch long piece of coat hanger will (believe it or not)
make
> a very effective antenna.
> you can usually communicate 20-30 miles very easily with one of these
rigs. a
> local friend of mine even converted a 2 meter beam to operate on the
band,and
> used it to talk to a fellow in cloudcroft,nm from elpaso,tx. (well over
100
> miles) pretty neat ,huh? i believe that marine radio is no longer a
"licensed"
> band?this does not mean that the f.c.c. does not still have the athority
to
> "regulate it".
> somebody who knows...please correct me if im wrong about this. any
way,just
> thought id throw my hat in the ring on this one....for those that may be
> interested.
> since all the other radio services besides cb seem to be open for
discussion on
> this cb group. :) gunslinger

I have to agree with yeti. Seriously, the Marine band is well up the list
of priorities
for enforcement, not only with FCC, but the US Coast Guard. USCG will often
enlist the help of FCC's DF capability in Columbia, MD to find the intruder
really
quickly. The reason is that such interference CAN cause loss of life.
Anyone
interfering with emergency traffic is looking at some serious fines and even
prison.

As far as "unlicensed", there actually is no such thing. There may not be a
paper
license, but users of any radio service are compelled to abide by the rules
of that
particular service. How many CB operators actually read Part 95? Those
that
boldly intrude onto the Marine Band certainly don't read the rules governing
it,
or they might be a mite more timid about using it on land.

J

675. Re: Wine suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 04:08:23 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020709000823.21821.00002599@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 129704

>What wine goes good with crow?
Any kind with a screw off lid!
>What are some good ways to prepare >crow?
Ask Voobie, he's an expert at it!
Whooya!

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

676. BULL DOG METTER F/A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 05:02:44 UTC
From: galaxydoctor@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709010244.28810.00003159@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 129705

METER <A HREF=http://auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/booth/wizard00765><IMG
ALT="View My Auctions"
SRC=http://us.i1.yimg.com/us.yimg.com/i/auctions/banner.gif></A>

677. Re: marine radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 05:23:11 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D2A5582.FE95FCDC@mailcity.net>
Source record: 129706

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>
> As far as "unlicensed", there actually is no such thing. There may not be a
> paper
> license, but users of any radio service are compelled to abide by the rules
> of that
> particular service. How many CB operators actually read Part 95? Those
> that
> boldly intrude onto the Marine Band certainly don't read the rules governing
> it,
> or they might be a mite more timid about using it on land.
>
> J

It's the same old cb mentality, just because they find a frequency
that no one seems to be using at the moment, then it must be OK
for their taking.

678. Re: Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 05:32:42 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-FF5149.01320609072002@news5.ptd.net>
Source record: 129707

In article <3d2541cf.3423585@news.cet.com>,
yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

> In <anylength-A74E6C.02342405072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >In article <3d06f03e.563860@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
> >wrote:
> >
> >[Sparky's non-responsive ramblings snipped]
> >>
> >> That's a load of BS and you know it! Where did you get your RF
> >> education: a TAB book? One has only to look at the schematic to see
> >> just how it works, and to see that it works by inductive coupling, not
> >> capacitive coupling. In case you didn't notice, the SWR meters that
> >> are built into many radios are designed based on inductive coupling,
> >> not capacitive. All one needs to do is to look at the SWR meter PC
> >> board: it is an extention of the coax cable, nothing more than two
> >> traces (the shield) outside of a center trace (the center conductor).
> >> The amount of capacitive coupling for the two or three inches of PC
> >> board this requires may be all of 5 pFd, not even enough to drive a
> >> 1uA meter. And anyone can tear apart their meter to see just how it
> >> works. I did it when I was 12: Why haven't you done it yet?
> >
> >Sparky, you are a dumbass. You show it and you don't even realize it.
> >Show us how smart you are and do the calculations to determine how
> >much current a 14.14 V (4 watt) signal passes through 5 pF at 27 MHz.
> >You will find that your number above is off by four magnitudes.
>
> For starters, I meant to say a 1mA meter (I don't think you, me, or
> anyone here has a wattmeter with a 1uA meter -- too bad you coudn't
> see my mistake and correct me instead of nitpiking).
>
> Anyway, if you shunt the cap right to ground it will pass 12 mA. If
> you have ever seen a schematic for a wattmeter, these caps are NOT
> shunted to ground. Why? Because it would pass 0.17 watts, which is
> more than 4% of the signal. That's not 'measurement', that's a power
> tap! So if they are not shunted to ground, what do they connect to?
> Well, usually a fixed resistor somewhere in the neighborhood of 10K,
> which by a rough estimate will give you the 1mA you seek. So you are
> correct....

Sparky, you are backpedaling now. Regardless of what you meant to say
the meter was, you're point was that a "mere" 5 pF was not nearly
enough to drive an SWR meter. The reality is that you are wrong

and I am right. I just checked an SWR meter here. It uses a 100 uA
meter. This is sensitive enough even for 5 pF in series with a
"fixed resistor somewhere in the neighborhood of 10K."

> BUT WAIT! If your 5pF cap will give you full deflection on the meter,
> why bother with the inductive coupling? By golly, some wattmeters
> don't! Some wattmeters work via capacitive coupling, not inductive.
> Didn't I say that somewhere before?

No, but you would still be wrong.

> Also, if you read some of those schematics (try it sometime, you might
> learn something) you will see that most of the time when an inductive
> coupling is used for the measurement of power, the caps aren't even
> connected to the meter's rectifier! Did you ever think that maybe
> those caps are just used to compensate for the inductance of the
> unshielded section of transmission line used for the pickup wire plus
> the inductive load of the coupling? Of coure you didn't, "dumbass".

Sparky, even if you had SWR meter schematics (and post them if you do),
they would not show the inductive and capacitive coupling. This
coupling is achieved by hardware within the meter; not lumped elements
like capacitors and inductors. What you say is like saying, "look at
the schematic for a magnetron." There may be a schematic symbol for
a magnetron but there is no schematic that describes it and the
operation within it.

Within the SWR meter, there is a coupling loop (and sometimes two for
forward and backward) located in proximity to the transmission line
center conductor. This loop provides both capacitive and inductive
coupling to the transmission line center conductor.

> >[More of Sparky's non-responsive ramblings snipped]
> >
> >> >For a transmission line with a characteristic impedance of 50 ohms,
> >> >the forward current equals the forward voltage divided by 50. This is a
> >> >fact. Period. End of story. What do you think characteristic
> >> >impedance means anyway?
> >>
> >> That says nothing about phase, which is the issue YOU raised but are
> >> now backpedalling away from.
> >
> >Sparky, you are delirious. And you didn't answer my question.
>
> Hey, I bet even Rat Shack's dictionary knows this one.
>
> Characteristic impedance:
>
> 1. The driving-point impedance of a line if it were of infinite
> length. [it doesn't say 'the impedance of the signal at the
> driving-point', does it? It's talking about a characteristic of the
> transmission line, NOT the signal!]

You fail to see the meaning of characteristic impedance. It IS
the impedance of any signal within the transmission line; that is
why you see this impedance at the feedpoint.

Also, why do you think they stipulate that it is infinite length?
Answer: because they are eliminating any and all reflected signals.
Thus, the impedance given is the impedance of the forward signal.
Hmmm, this is exactly what I said.

> 3. The radio of voltage to current at every point along a transmission
> line on which there are no standing waves. [Gee! No standing waves!
> How about that!]

Again, no reflected signal. Thus, the impedance given is the
impedance of the forward signal. Hmmm, this is exactly what I said.

> 4. The square root of the product of the open- and short-circuit
> impedance of the line. [describing a method to measure the
> characteristic impedance of a transmission line, NOT the impedance of
> the SIGNAL.]

This is a strange one I have to think about. What if the line
were 1/4 wavelength long? This would be the square root of zero
times infinity. That doesn't work for me. What do you get?

> Now try this, "dumbass". Take a common AC plug and a piece of coax.
> Hook up the plug to the coax and terminate the coax with the resistor
> of your choice (choose wisely). Now plug it in and measure the
> impedance of your new signal at any point along the transmission line.
> Does it equal 50 ohms? Not unless your terminating resistor was 50
> ohms.

Sparky, you fail to understand the concepts here. Characteristic
impedance is the ratio of electric and magnetic components of an
electromagnetic field as it propogates within a medium. Even free
space has a characterisitic impedance (377 ohms). You cannot change
that no matter how you source the signals or their reflections.

Within a coax, the electric field is virtually synonymous with
voltage and the magnetic field is virtually synonymous with current.
Maxwell's equations state the direct relationship.

To answer your question, if you were able to separate the forward
and reflected 60 Hz signals within that coax, you would indeed find
that they are propagating at the characteristic impedance of the coax.
It is because you have reflected signals that your input impedance
may not be 50 ohms. As your definitions point out above, there must
be no reflected signal in order to directly see the impedance of the
forward signal.

> So when was the last time you actually measured the characteristic
> impedance of a transmission line, "dumbass"?

I never did. I asked how YOU measured it to ensure that your
experiment was meaningful. I'm still waiting for your answer.

In my case, I buy really, really expensive cables from Gore which
they ensure will be as close to 50 ohms as you will ever find.
That's their specialty; they know how to do it better than anyone.

> >> >Now an example for the slow thinkers out there:
> >> >with a 50 volt forward voltage in a coax of 50 ohms characteristic
> >> >impedance, there will 1 amp of forward current. That is, 50/50.
> >> >
> >> >According to Sparky, it would be -1 amps. This claim has no basis
> >> >in reality.
> >>
> >> An obfuscation based on your ignorance of phase relationships. When
> >> current and voltage are in phase, current follows voltage. Just like
> >> DC. But on a transmission line, we don't talk DC. The voltage
> >> alternates between the p-p voltage, the current alternates between p-p
> >> current, and the two are not always in phase. In fact, they are 180
> >> degrees out of phase for a non-reactive transmission line. Quit trying
> >> to confuse the facts.
> >
> >Talk about confusing the facts! What is a "non-reactive transmission
> >line?"
>
> Ohmigosh! Take some time out of your day to look up what it means to
> have a 'flat' transmission line. Hint: it has nothing to do with the
> physical characteristics.

So, you are saying 'flat' transmission line = "non-reactive transmission
line"? Is that correct? Do you consider "flat" to mean absense
of standing waves? I'm still not sure what you are saying here.

Regardless of what that all means, consider a 50 input impedance.
I think this qualifies as "non-reactive." How is it possible, as
you claim, that voltage and current are out of phase? That doesn't
jibe with Ohm's Law. I guess we now have Sparky's law:

E = -I * Z

> >The fact of the matter is that forward voltage is ALWAYS IN PHASE with
> >the forward current.
>
> Just to clear up any possible misunderstanding, are you saying that
> the point of highest potential is also the point of highest current?
> And this condition exists along what is effectively a series-network
> of pi circuits?

No. I am saying:

Ef = If * Zc
Er = Ir * Zc (looking backward) (negate Ir if looking forward)

where:
Ef = Forward signal voltage
If = Forward signal current
Er = Reflected signal voltage

Ir = Reflected signal current
Zc = characteristic impedance of transmission line

Also, the voltage at any point is:
E = Ef + Er

The current at any point is:
I = If - Ir

Also, the point of highest potential will be the point of lowest current.

> > The only time the current can be out of phase
> >with the voltage is when there is a reflected signal summing with
> >the forward signal -- and it doesn't take a genius to know that a
> >reflected signal does not consist of a forward voltage or current.
>
> Now you are starting to get the hang of it!

This contradicts what you said and you are praising me for it.
You said "they are 180 degrees out of phase for a non-reactive
transmission line." Isn't a transmission line with no reflections
"non-reactive?"

> >> I'm sorry, CLP, but it appears the concept of electromagnetic
> >> induction is too fundamental for you to understand. This is really
> >> simple: if you have a current going one way in a wire, it will induce
> >> a current going the opposite direction in a parallel wire. It's just
> >> too simple. The problem you seem to be having is the direction of the
> >> original current: and I'm suprised you are having that problem, since
> >> that was the whole basis of your argument several months ago.
> >
> >Sparky, again it is you who does not understand the concepts involved
> >here. Sure, it induces an AC signal. The key to the understanding is
> >that we are talking about AC. It is an alternating current. There
> >is no way to look at this single parameter and determine the direction
> >of the signal on your coax. The forward signal induces an alternating
> >current and the reflected signal induces an alternating current. They
> >look the same.

["Oh really?" stuff snipped]

> First, you add an inductive link, less than 1/4 wave in length, next
> to the transmission line. Then you measure the induced potential from
> one end of this pickup wire with reference to the other end AND ALSO
> with reference to ground. If potential at end A is HIGHER than end B -
> WHILE THE SUM OF THE POTENTIALS IS RISING - then the signal is moving
> in direction X; conversely, if the potential at end A is LOWER than
> end B - again WHILE THE SUM OF THE POTENTIALS IS RISING - then the
> signal is moving in the OTHER direction.
>
> You don't need pontoons to tell which direction the wave is coming
> from, and you don't need caps to compliment an inductive link. Like I
> said, it's basic electromagnetics, DUMBASS!

Hee hee! It figures you would give a non-applicable analogy. You don't get TWO pontoons with your SWR meter; you get one. And it goes up and donw in place and that is it. There is no way to determine wave direction with that.

If you disassemble your SWR meter, you will find ONE loop for each measurement. Some meters provide two: one for the forward measurement and one for the reflected measurement. But they never use two simultaneously as your analogy requires. In terms of measuring current, all these loops can do is measure the sum total of forward and reflected current. No directional determination can be made solely from that information.

Also, how can you conclude that the potential is strictly from induction and not partially from capacitive coupling?
Furthermore, how can you compare an induced voltage to ground when such a voltage would be independent of ground (ever hear of an isolation transformer). The only component which is not independent from ground is the voltage. Hmmm, this seems to jibe with the way I claim it works; not your way.

[stuff not even worth explaining for the tenth time to Sparky snipped]

> >> If, as you insist,
> >> the current and voltage are 180 degrees out of phase for the reflected
> >> power, but in-phase on the forward power -- and if your power meter
> >> requires both voltage and current measurements -- then your whole
> >> system of SWR measurement fails in a puff of phase. Do the math and
> >> you will see your error.
> >
> >I did the math and it works. I showed you, dumbass. Show us how the
> >math fails. "Do the math" is another fluff response. It is a
> >non-answer.
>
> Tell ya what: study my boat analogy for a while, then explain why YOU
> think that both voltage and current need to be measured to determine
> the direction of power.

I already explained why your analogy is incorrect. You don't get two sample points; you only get one. Now, I'll give you a more accurate analogy. You have a single pontoon for measuring wave height with a little propeller for simultaneously measuring current. These two measurements are summed together and detected with the equivalent of analog circuitry.

A forward wave will have the following characteristics:
Positve wave with positive current or
Negative wave with negative current.

A reflected wave will have the following characteristics:
Positive wave with negative current or
Negative wave with positive current.

Also, the characteristic impedance of the water medium is known.
Thus, there is a known relationship between the forward potential
and the forward current. Any deviation from this relationship
signifies the presence of some reverse wave.

Also, the device is designed such that the contribution of the
forward wave potential is equal to the contribution of the
forward wave current (this feature takes into account the
characteristic impedance of the medium). In this way, a reverse
wave contributes a positive unit for its wave component but a
negative unit for its current component. Thus, a reverse wave
cancels out and does not contribute to the reading. Thus, the
reading is directional; it only measures forward waves.

> >> >> While the o-scope might show a distorted
> >> >> waveform, it is still only two sine waves.
> >> >
> >> >Why would it be distorted? Adding two sine waves of the same
> >> >frequency yields a sine wave. It may have a different magnitude
> >> >or it may have a different phase but it will be a pure, undistorted
> >> >sine wave.
> >>
> >> Only when they are in phase or 180 degrees out of phase. Adding two
> >> sine waves of the same frequency but are phased 0 > r > 180 > r > 360
> >> degrees apart does not result in a sine wave.
> >
> >Sparky, again you are showing your ignorance. This one can be
> >easily demonstrated. Just try to add two sine waves at the same
> >frequency with ANY phase difference and see what you get.
> >
> >Go ahead and do it. If you are right, I will kiss your feet.
> >If I am right, you must agree that you are a dumbass.
>
> Pucker up, "dumbass" -- I can shift the phase to give the original
> freq at twice the magnitude,

Yes, but it is not distorted; it is still a sine wave.

> or I can shift it so both signals cancel and I get a flat line,

Yes, that is the noninteresting result (kind of like multiplying
by zero). What you get is a sine wave of amplitude zero -- still
no distortion.

> or I can shift it so I get a double-humped sine wave.

You absolutely, positively cannot do this by adding two sine waves
of the same frequency, dumbass. Show us how or forever be the
newsgroup dumbass.

Give us the math for the two sine waves being added.
Show us graphically.
Show us any way you can.

You cannot.

> >> What? Are you going to say that power meters can read
> >> voltages/currents at 27 million times per second? Yeah, right.
> >
> >No problem. The voltage signals and current signals are
> >summed with passive analog circuitry and then detected with
> >a diode.
>
> Now that makes sense... NOT! What the hell are you doing summing
> measuring power with the sum of the voltage and current when you need
> the PRODUCT of the voltage and current? And what is your "passive
> analog circuitry"? The whole wattmeter is "passive analog circuitry",
> even the diode! You don't even know what you are talking about,
> "dumbass"!

Of course, it doesn't make sense to you. Sparky, you just don't
get it. You don't understand.

Yes, the whole wattmeter is passive analog circuitry. How are you
measuring current 27 million times per second? The answer is
trivial and you still don't see it. It is a piece of cake to
sum signals at any frequency. Then, you have the trivial task
of detecting the summed voltages.

By knowing the characterisitic impedance, there is a direct
correlation between a forward/reverse voltage measurement and power,
between a forward/reverse current measurement and power.
A voltage measurement at one point only allows measuring the sum of
the forward and reflected voltages. A current measurement at
one point only allows measuring the sum of the forward and
reflected currents. You need a way to separate the two.

Addend 1 = Vf
Addend 2 = If = Vf/Zc
Addend 3 = Vr
Addend 4 = -Ir = -Vr/Zc

All four signals are summed together using a constant coefficient of
coupling K.

Vtotal = Vf + (K * If) + Vr - (K * Ir)

The bridge is designed for a proper coupling coefficient based on
the desired operating characteristic impedance. Thus K = Zc

Substituting, we get:

Vtotal = Vf + (Zc * Vf/Zc) + Vr - (Zc * Vr/Zc)

Simplifying, we get:

Vtotal = Vf + Vf + Vr - Vr

Simplifying further we get:
Vtotal = 2 * Vf

As pointed out before, since the characteristic impedance is known,
we now have enough information to determine the forward power Vf^2/Zc.
Since there is a direct mapping between Vtotal and the actual power,
this square function is built into the meter scale. You will notice
that your meter does not have a linear scale.

I gave an analogy. I showed the math. I showed the problems with your
claims. 'Nuff said. Case closed.

679. AntiKeyclown Gift Shop NOW OPEN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 05:43:29 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207082143.ffd3023@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129708

Due to HUGE demand I have decided to offer AntiKeyclown gift items for sale on the web. You don't have to be "AKC" to show your disapproval of outlaw CBers, bootleggers, and keyclowns. New products coming soon!

-Aaron-

http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/store.aspx?storeid=antikeyclown

680. Re: Sparky's lies [was Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 05:56:59 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-D1A559.01562209072002@news5.ptd.net>
Source record: 129709

In article <3d2541cf.3423585@news.cet.com>,
yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

> In <anylength-A74E6C.02342405072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >In article <3d06f03e.563860@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
> >wrote:
> >
> >> BTW, did you ever to find a copy of that book I referenced? I remember
> >> you xeroxed a couple pages but were unable to quote the first few
> >> words from a very important page. If you have the book (like you said
> >> you did) do you think you could complete that quotation now?
> >
> >How soon you forget! I showed how the book DID NOT SAY WHAT YOU
> >CLAIMED IT SAID! You lied plain and simple. You have no credibility.
>
> You proved a negative? I don't think so. In fact, I asked you to prove
> you had the book and not just a couple xeroxed pages, but you refused.
> It was YOU that lied when you said you had the book.

I think you need a refresher, Sparky. Here is the thread:
http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&threadm=anylength-71CA
46.11324419012001%40news.ptd.net&rnum=1&prev=/groups%3Fq%3DSparky%2Blied%
2B%2522plain%2Band%2Bsimple%2522%2Bgroup:rec.radio.cb%26hl%3Den%26lr%3D%2
6ie%3DUTF-8%26selm%3Danylength-71CA46.11324419012001%2540news.ptd.net%26r
num%3D1

You claimed that a book said that coax radiates when there are standing
waves. The book says no such thing. I verified it. You could not
provide a correct page number (although you did give page numbers which
said no such thing).

Am I talking to Bill Clinton?

> Time for a quote from the book you lied about:
>
> "Impedance Relationships
>
> You should observe here that the characteristic impedance of the
> transmission line cannot be maintained if incident and reflected
> signals interact to produce standing waves on the line. You should
> observe also that the phase relationships of the incident and
> reflected signals are identical at the load and the half-wavelength

> points back along the line. So too are the impedances the same at the
> load and the half-wavelength points along the line. Another
> relationship you may note is that the Zl/Zo radio of 3:1 yields the
> maximum voltage and impedance at the half-wavelength points along the
> line; and that this 3:1 radio is inverted at the odd
> quarter-wavelength (1/4, 3/4, 5/4, etc.) points along the line,
> yielding minimum voltages and impedances Zo/Zl of 3:1. Finally, you
> should note that the Zl/Zo and inverted Zo/Zl relationship is the same
> ratio as the minimum-to-maximum voltages and currents in the
> standing-wave pattern along the transmission line."
>
> ...whew! Did you get all that?

That was trivial. And it in no way supports your SWR meter
misunderstanding or your coax radiation lie.

[snip]

> Again you have confused a funtion 'over' time with a funtion 'of'
> time.

Hee hee. I guess it depends on what the meaning of "of" is.

[snip]

> Basic transmission line physics. Start with page 501... oops, I
> forgot, you lied about having that book. Oh well...

Yeah, you can give page numbers -- but none that contain what you
claim the book says.

> <snip even MORE whining and MORE excuses....>

I know. It's the vast right wing conspiracy. There is no "there"
there. Right?

> >> Do
> >> the experiment. Actually, I encourage anyone remotely interested in
> >> this discussion to do the experiment. See it for yourselves. Then come
> >> back here with your explanations and/or excuses.
> >
> >By the way, I never did get your excuse for totally misrepresenting
> >what was in that book that you referenced. You lied about its
> >contents to support your claims. I showed this in excruciating
> >detail. What's your excuse? Why would anyone need to lie about
> >the contents of a reference book?
>
> Why would someone lie about having a book?
>
> I misrepresented nothing. I'm still waiting for proof that you have
> the book and then I will prove exactly what I claimed.
>
> So do you still have the book?

The book is in the library. I have photocopies of all the sections you referenced. What difference does it make if I posess the book or not? A quick review of the thread referenced will show that you could not provide any correct page number or direct evidence that the book says what you claimed it said. It just wasn't there. You lied and it is abundantly evident.

Why are you not able to provide a correct page number of the book you reference? I think this question is more pertinent that whether or not I have the book now.

681. Re: Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 06:04:33 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-E9D06F.02035709072002@news5.ptd.net>
Source record: 129710

[One of Sparky's nonsensical responses isolated for closer scrutiny]

In article <3d2541cf.3423585@news.cet.com>,
yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

> In <anylength-A74E6C.02342405072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >Oh, it does indeed create a problem with the inductive pickup.
> >The net current is zero. An inductive pickup detects nothing.
> >But you have a problem here, Sparky. My meter still shows forward
> >power even at this point where the net current is zero. How is that
> >possible? How can a meter that senses current show a reading when
> >the current is zero? Hmmm, could it be that the meter is also sensing
> >voltage? Could it be that what I say is correct? (should be a
> >no-brainer by now)
>
> Again you have confused a funtion 'over' time with a funtion 'of'
> time. The waves are there, they just cancel 'over' time. If you are
> reading forward waves, you are not reading the canceling reflected
> waves, are you? The forward power is still there, and the reflected
> power is still there. The problem comes when their phase combines to
> cause... well, for lack of a better term, double-humped waves.

Ha! Double-humped waves!!!??? There is no such thing in this context.
The current at a current null is zero, nada, nothing! You cannot
measure it with an inductive probe. It is a sine wave with
amplitude zero. it is not even relevant if it is a function "over"
time or "of" time -- I'm not even going to get into this nonsense.

Furthermore, I challenged you in the last response to show how the
sum of two sine waves of the same frequency produces a "double-humped"
wave. I am really looking forward to your answer.

682. Re: Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 06:19:39 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-DD9B8F.02190209072002@news5.ptd.net>
Source record: 129711

[one more item I didn't address]

In article <3d2541cf.3423585@news.cet.com>,
yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

> In <anylength-A74E6C.02342405072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >In article <3d06f03e.563860@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
> >wrote:
> >
> >The characteristic impedance dictates the voltage to current
> >relationship of the signals. Anything different is reflected
> >at the interface.
>
> So if I hook house current up at one end, the other end will show zero
> voltage and zero current? Even better, if anything other than the
> characteristic impedance of the coax is admitted, then how do
> transmission line transformers work? You need to do a little bit more
> studying on your transmission line theory.

Transmission line transformers work by providing a reflected signal
at the coax interface that is equal and opposite to the signal that
is reflected at the interface in the absence of this reflected
signal. Thus, the net reflected signal is zero. The forward and
reflected signals within the transformer still maintain a V/I
relationship equal to the characteristic impedance of the coax.

683. Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 06:49:50 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207082249.5381fa59@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129712

Just in time for summer! Was $15.99. Sale Price....NOW ONLY $12.99!

-Aaron-

http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/store.aspx?storeid=antikeyclown

684. Re: Sparky's lies [was Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 10:31:04 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2aba13.983706@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129713

In <anylength-D1A559.01562209072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>>In article <3d2541cf.3423585@news.cet.com>,
>> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
>
>Message 35 in thread
> From: Sparky (sparky@cet.com)
>Subject: Re: Just to remind everyone.
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>View this article only
> Date: 2002-05-13 13:53:37 PST
>
>
>In <6p50euo8id2m3noii8g55n1n0es177bjdo@4ax.com>, tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>
>>On Mon, 13 May 2002 09:49:00 GMT, sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote:
>>
>>>In <tm0vdu0npdnqk1tsao5p26bfoqm2rgr8ac@4ax.com>, tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>>>
>>>>Most everyone out here realizes that Sparky has
>>>>an agenda. An agenda that does not exclude
>>>>lies, half truths and "misinformation". Anything goes
>>>>with Sparky. As long as he can make himself
>>>>look good the truth of an argument doesn't matter.
>>>
>>>Hmmm.... I'm a liar because YOU didn't understand the difference
>>>between average, effective, and RMS power? Get over it! Sheesh!
>>
>>You need a refresher again. A quote from your power explanation:
>> "PEP = Vp-p * Ip-p" ......Ha, Ha,Ha, ...Your'e funny.
>>
>>Twist the truth all you want. We know who doesn't understand?
>
>Do you have a public library somewhere at your disposal? Did you ever
>learn to use the card catalog? Ok, that's a bit old-school, but you
>know how to ask the librarian to find a book for your, right? Good.
>Now go ask that librarian to locate the book by Kellejian from which
>that formula was quoted. Here's the pertinent information:
>
>Title: Applied electronic communication.
>Author: Kellejian, Robert
>Pub: Science Research Associates, Inc

> (A Subsidiary of IBM)
>Copyright: 1980, 1982
>ISBN: 0-574-21535-2
>And if you want to find it on the shelf yourself, 621.38
>
>I already gave you the page number. Look it up or shut up.
>
>>Title: Applied electronic communication.
>>Author: Kellejian, Robert
>>Pub: Science Research Associates, Inc
>> (A Subsidiary of IBM)
>>Copyright: 1980, 1982
>>ISBN: 0-574-21535-2
>>And if you want to find it on the shelf yourself, 621.38

<snip excuses>

Like I said, put up or shut up.

685. Re: marine radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 10:32:35 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2abb7c.1344893@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129714

In <3D2A5582.FE95FCDC@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
wrote:

>
>
>Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
>>
>> As far as "unlicensed", there actually is no such thing. There may not be a
>> paper
>> license, but users of any radio service are compelled to abide by the rules
>> of that
>> particular service. How many CB operators actually read Part 95? Those
>> that
>> boldly intrude onto the Marine Band certainly don't read the rules governing
>> it,
>> or they might be a mite more timid about using it on land.
>>
>> J
>
>It's the same old cb mentality, just because they find a frequency
>that no one seems to be using at the moment, then it must be OK
>for their taking.

That's the "freeloader" mentality, not the "CB" mentality. Don't
confuse the two.

686. Re: Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 10:35:37 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2abc30.1524882@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129715

In <anylength-FF5149.01320609072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

<snip>
>Sparky, you are backpedaling now. Yada, yada, yada.

Well? Where's the quote?

687. Re: Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 10:46:12 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2abc7d.1601667@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129716

In <anylength-E9D06F.02035709072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>[One of Sparky's nonsensical responses isolated for closer scrutiny]

<snip>
>Ha! Double-humped waves!!!??? There is no such thing in this context.
>The current at a current null is zero, nada, nothing! You cannot
>measure it with an inductive probe. It is a sine wave with
>amplitude zero. it is not even relevant if it is a function "over"
>time or "of" time -- I'm not even going to get into this nonsense.

It is VERY relevant if it is a function 'over' time, since it is the
function 'over' time that you are measuring. If you want to measure
the function 'of' time you need a pretty fast scope.

>Furthermore, I challenged you in the last response to show how the
>sum of two sine waves of the same frequency produces a "double-humped"
>wave. I am really looking forward to your answer.

No, you "challenged" me to "Just try to add two sine waves at the same

frequency with ANY phase difference and see what you get. show that
mixing two signals..." blah, blah, blah. Anybody can do that. You
didn't even know what the hell you were talking about, or you woudn't
have even given me the challenge. The "challenge" has been met:

Pucker up, "dumbass"!!!

Let's try this from a different angle: What are the first five words
on page 146?

What? You can't answer that? Gee, like I'm suprised....!!!

688. Re: Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 10:59:42 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2abf0e.2258625@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129717

In <anylength-DD9B8F.02190209072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>[one more item I didn't address]
>
>In article <3d2541cf.3423585@news.cet.com>,
> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
>
>> In <anylength-A74E6C.02342405072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
>> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >In article <3d06f03e.563860@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
>> >wrote:
>> >
>> >The characteristic impedance dictates the voltage to current
>> >relationship of the signals. Anything different is reflected
>> >at the interface.
>>
>> So if I hook house current up at one end, the other end will show zero
>> voltage and zero current? Even better, if anything other than the
>> characteristic impedance of the coax is admitted, then how do
>> transmission line transformers work? You need to do a little bit more
>> studying on your transmission line theory.
>
>Transmission line transformers work by providing a reflected signal
>at the coax interface that is equal and opposite to the signal that
>is reflected at the interface in the absence of this reflected
>signal. Thus, the net reflected signal is zero. The forward and
>reflected signals within the transformer still maintain a V/I
>relationship equal to the characteristic impedance of the coax.

1. Quote?

2. "Transmission line transformers work by providing a reflected
signal at the coax interface that is equal and opposite to the signal
that is reflected at the interface in the absence of this reflected
signal", which is saying that when there is no reflection, the
non-existant reflected signal is totally de-phased by it's own
reflection. That's the BIGGEST pile of BS on this newsgroup YET!!!

3. Once again, how does a transmission line transformer work? No more
BS, provide a VALID explanation!

689. Re: Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 11:04:23 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2ac2a8.3181256@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129718

In <anylength-FF5149.01320609072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>In article <3d2541cf.3423585@news.cet.com>,
> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

<obfuscation after obfuscation, excuse after excuse>

Do the experiment. Until you do it shut the fuck up, because anything
you have to say without that experience doesn't mean shit.

690. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 11:45:23 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D2ACCD3.1CB1@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129720

Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE wrote:
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:17649-3D29954A-234@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > It proves I've known all along and for quite some time what Frank has
> > begged for....which is why he deleted the date on the email. In other
> > words...he was set up to make a fool out of himself...just like
> > Muenzler.
> > But more imporatantl, it backs just what I claimed, something that Frank
> > denied.
>
> > In any event, glad I could teach y'all how to do a little
> > legwork. Next time maybe y'all won't have to look to me for answers.
>
> WOW! This guy really IS a legend in his own mind!
>

You're catching on. Which is precisely why I've stopped responding to
his warped and (appropriately named) "twisted" logic. It's pointless and
counterproductive.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

691. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 12:06:06 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D2AD1AE.23A@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129721

Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
> > news:agb31chkl3@quark.scn.rain.com...
> > <<snipped>>
> >>
> >> As I noted in another thread - of all those you listed, only two or
> >> three were identified as CBers. All the rest were people using Ham
> >> gear on Ham frequencies - with no mention of CB usage.
> >>
> >> It looks like you have another 5 or 6 to go.
>
> > I don't give a damn WHO they are, or what kind of equipment they use, I want
> > the
> > damned sons of bitches off frequencies they don't belong on such as the
> > 10/12 meter ham band AND the military frequencies I use trying to save lives
> > (not MARS). Again,
>
> I agree 100%. I think anyone operating in frequencies on which they are
> not authorized should be busted.
>
> But don't blame all the incursions into the Ham frequencies on "CBers".

You're basically arguing semantics. Technically anyone, who isn't
operating on the assigned 40 channels, is not a "CBer". Since there is
no license or certificate of authorization giving a "CBer" license to
operate, there is no real "claim" to that chunk of spectrum or operating
privileges. When you run on an unauthorized frequency, you are
technically just a "bootlegger".

This is where hams are at a disadvantage. If a "CBer" chooses to become
a "freebander", he is no longer considered a "CBer", and would be
prosecuted as a someone operating on an unauthorized frequency. If said
"CBer's" buddy, who also happens to be a ham, decides to join his freind
for a QSO on 27.555, he is now a ham operating out of band, and stands
to lose his license along with other fines.

A ham, who runs on the freeband, has more to lose than a regular
citizen, who has no license.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

692. Re: Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 12:08:12 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <MmAW8.63133$p85.2008238@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129719

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207082249.5381fa59@posting.google.com...
> Just in time for summer! Was $15.99. Sale Price....NOW ONLY $12.99!
>
> -Aaron-
>

How about this one:

http://www.cafepress.com/cp/info/index.aspx

693. Re: marine radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 12:46:37 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D2ADB2D.1D32@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129722

LilyV7 wrote:
>
> "kenneth" mentioned marine radio in his recent post. now i dont know anybody
> that uses a marine radio in a "big rig", but there is a trend lately for some
> to use marine radio as a land based communications system. these are mostly
> cb-ers who use the marine band to communicate locally during those times when
> the skip makes it nearly impossible to talk more than a mile or two. marine
> rigs are inexpensive, a well featured one costing no more than 150.00
> dollars.also an 18 inch long piece of coat hanger will (believe it or not) make
> a very effective antenna.
> you can usually communicate 20-30 miles very easily with one of these rigs. a
> local friend of mine even converted a 2 meter beam to operate on the band,and
> used it to talk to a fellow in cloudcroft,nm from elpaso,tx. (well over 100
> miles) pretty neat ,huh? i believe that marine radio is no longer a "licensed"
> band?this does not mean that the f.c.c. does not still have the athority to
> "regulate it".
> somebody who knows...please correct me if im wrong about this. any way,just
> thought id throw my hat in the ring on this one....for those that may be
> interested.
> since all the other radio services besides cb seem to be open for discussion on
> this cb group. :)

Ah yes, that ever-present search for "secret channel XYZ". I can
remember seeking an "alternate" channel, or band that mambers of our
group could slip away to to discuss "things" that we didn't want put out
over the regular CB channels. First we tried wireless intercoms (Only
good if you were next door neighbors), then 49 Mhz, when it became
legal, then marine band, itinerant business channels (which have been
reallocated to MURS).

The marine band is on 156-157 Mhz. Marine radios are 25 watts. As such,
you can expect range similar to 2 meter ham rigs. VHF is line of sight
and if you are on flat land, with a high enough antenna you can get over
25 miles or more. If you are in hilly areas, the range will be far less.

Now for the bad news. The marine band is ONLY authorized for use by a
vessel at sea (Sea meaning navigable waterways). In the US, recreational
boats no longer need a station license, but the FCC still expects all
rules to be followed. The few authorized land stations still require a
special license. If you want a land station license, it is a difficult
procedure, and you must be affiliated with some marine activity (Marina,
tow boat service, etc.). Technically it is illegal for you to use the
marine band radio in your boat, if the boat is no longer in the water,
or to use a handheld to signal your boat from the dock. The marine band
is monitored by the Coast Guard in coastal areas, who have been known to

track down illegal operators with the same DF equipment they use to find stranded boaters in search and rescue operations. While they usually only get nasty when people call false "Maydays", they have been known to interviene during more "casual" uses.

Realistically, if you live near a large body of navigable water, you run a risk of discovery if you bootleg on the marine band. On the other hand, it's rather obvious that you're not a legitimate marine user if you're heard in bumfutch Kansas, but the likelyhood that someone would be monitoring is far less. I live about 40 miles from the closest coastal marine area, and I can still hear Coast Guard bulletins on channel 22a. The marine channels are also under a strict usage bandplan. Not all channels are available for chit-chat. In fact only channels 68, 69, 71, 72, and 78a are available for non-commercial use.

If I had my druthers, I'd rather operate on MURS. Far less chances of serious legal problems, and if you keep the radios to type accepted units, you are perfectly legal.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

694. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 14:08:13 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2aec92$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129723

Let's see, how did this all begin?

Twisty boy made a false claim of something that I "said." I never said that I made 27 busts this year. To make it perfectly clear (said in a Nixon voice) I was a "witness" gathering evidence in various ways that has caused NALs (Notice of Apparent Liability) to have been served on 27 individuals. Of those some have simply "plead guilty" and have done what the FCC had demanded. This has ranged form suspension of license for some period of time to reduction in license class to levying of fines to complete loss of license and fines. No, Twisty, I'm not backpedaling. What I am intending to do is bring back into focus what I actually said and what I meant after you twisted it around to say something that I didn't. I don't believe I ever said that they are all in court or have all been prosecuted. In fact I KNOW that I never said that. This is Twisty's assumption and twisting of my words. All of his claims that I am lying began with one of his own lies, misstatements, half-truths, misquotes, misrepresentation of the facts, intentional fabrications, hooey, whatever he wants to call it -- it was a lie. So have all of his other accusations against me. They start with him twisting what I said around so that he is correct in saying that is isn't true. But if you look back on what I actually said it doesn't come close to the MEANING of what Twisty has put into it.

I learned many years ago that it is impossible to win a debate or argument with a fool. Like a fool Twisty's logic changes from post to post just as his accusations do. As I punch holes in them he changes. One of his tactics is what is called "tit for tat" which is nothing more than saying, "Well, what about you?" and "I asked you first." This will cause you to lose a debate. His latest claim is that I claimed to be an "OFFICIAL FCC OBSERVER." I never said that. I explained this in another post and am waiting to see what creative answer he can come up with this time. Of course, Twisty, there is a big advantage to being anonymous, you can say what you want, make whatever claims you want and you don't have to be accountable for any of them.

Kevin, WB5RUE

695. Re: Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 14:12:02 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3d2aeeea.332858477@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 129724

On 8 Jul 2002 23:49:50 -0700, voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:

>Just in time for summer! Was $15.99. Sale Price....NOW ONLY $12.99!
>
>-Aaron-
>
>http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/store.aspx?storeid=antikeyclown

Would love to buy the hat but I am too busy keying down as you say. Take care and have a nice day. I am off to another keydown. Isn't that great? Oh and skip is rolling as usual. Wish I had big watts

696. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 14:54:03 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17648-3D2AF90B-666@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129725

>Ok, "poor sap" I'm still waiting for any "backing" you can produce.
Just don't cast any spells on me!
Kevin, WB5RUE<

As of today, I received 2 more emails from regs on the group that have confirmed your lies and guess what? One is also member of the ARRL..
Keep waiting....I'm not the least bit concerned what you choose to believe. Your're chopped liver, Kevin, and no one believes your story, I don't even think George believes you at this point. Matter of fact, none of them probably believe you based on their failed but repeated attempts to change the subject.
Your constant child-like taunting and bickering meshes well with your new found and enjoyed company. Like Aaron said.. you can't produce a single example anywhere tying you in with 27 radio villains in the court system .....shoulder the burden.

697. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 14:57:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17648-3D2AF9C5-667@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129726

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
>Yes I've noticed this. He's down to arguing semantics and trying to
argue with words I never said.
Kevin, WB5RUE<

The try noticing what is put in front of you. You claimed you had a hand in 27 folks being tied up in the FEDERAL court system since Xmas, showing for absolute certain you have no clue what the court system entails, let alone a time frame that is impossible to have in the courts that soon...and all in Texas...mmmmph!.

698. Re: Sparky's lies [was Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 15:17:06 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2afcd1$0$13955$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129727

Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

"Coax Length Police" <anylength@willdo.com> wrote in message
news:anylength-D1A559.01562209072002@news5.ptd.net...
> In article <3d2541cf.3423585@news.cet.com>,
> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
>
> > In <anylength-A74E6C.02342405072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
> > <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
> >
> > >In article <3d06f03e.563860@news.cet.com>, sparky@cet.com (Sparky)
> > >wrote:
> > >
> > >> BTW, did you ever to find a copy of that book I referenced? I
remember
> > >> you xeroxed a couple pages but were unable to quote the first few
> > >> words from a very important page. If you have the book (like you said
> > >> you did) do you think you could complete that quotation now?
> > >
> > >How soon you forget! I showed how the book DID NOT SAY WHAT YOU
> > >CLAIMED IT SAID! You lied plain and simple. You have no credibility.
> >
> > You proved a negative? I don't think so. In fact, I asked you to prove
> > you had the book and not just a couple xeroxed pages, but you refused.
> > It was YOU that lied when you said you had the book.
>
> I think you need a refresher, Sparky. Here is the thread:
> http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&threadm=anylength-71CA
> 46.11324419012001%40news.ptd.net&rnum=1&prev=/groups%3Fq%3DSparky%2Blied%
> 2B%2522plain%2Band%2Bsimple%2522%2Bgroup:rec.radio.cb%26hl%3Den%26lr%3D%2
> 6ie%3DUTF-8%26selm%3Danylength-71CA46.11324419012001%2540news.ptd.net%26r
> num%3D1
>
> You claimed that a book said that coax radiates when there are standing
> waves. The book says no such thing. I verified it. You could not
> provide a correct page number (although you did give page numbers which
> said no such thing).
>
> Am I talking to Bill Clinton?
>
>
> > Time for a quote from the book you lied about:
> >

> > "Impedance Relationships
> >
> > You should observe here that the characteristic impedance of the
> > transmission line cannot be maintained if incident and reflected
> > signals interact to produce standing waves on the line. You should
> > observe also that the phase relationships of the incident and
> > reflected signals are identical at the load and the half-wavelength
> > points back along the line. So too are the impedances the same at the
> > load and the half-wavelength points along the line. Another
> > relationship you may note is that the Zl/Zo radio of 3:1 yields the
> > maximum voltage and impedance at the half-wavelength points along the
> > line; and that this 3:1 radio is inverted at the odd
> > quarter-wavelength (1/4, 3/4, 5/4, etc.) points along the line,
> > yielding minimum voltages and impedances Zo/Zl of 3:1. Finally, you
> > should note that the Zl/Zo and inverted Zo/Zl relationship is the same
> > ratio as the minimum-to-maximum voltages and currents in the
> > standing-wave pattern along the transmission line."
> >
> > ...whew! Did you get all that?
>
> That was trivial. And it in no way supports your SWR meter
> misunderstanding or your coax radiation lie.
>
>
> [snip]
>
> > Again you have confused a funtion 'over' time with a funtion 'of'
> > time.
>
> Hee hee. I guess it depends on what the meaning of "of" is.
>
> [snip]
>
> > Basic transmission line physics. Start with page 501... oops, I
> > forgot, you lied about having that book. Oh well...
>
> Yeah, you can give page numbers -- but none that contain what you
> claim the book says.
>
> > <snip even MORE whining and MORE excuses....>
>
> I know. It's the vast right wing conspiracy. There is no "there"
> there. Right?
>
> > >> Do
> > >> the experiment. Actually, I encourage anyone remotely interested in
> > >> this discussion to do the experiment. See it for yourselves. Then
come
> > >> back here with your explanations and/or excuses.
> > >

> > >By the way, I never did get your excuse for totally misrepresenting
> > >what was in that book that you referenced. You lied about its
> > >contents to support your claims. I showed this in excruciating
> > >detail. What's your excuse? Why would anyone need to lie about
> > >the contents of a reference book?
> >
> > Why would someone lie about having a book?
> >
> > I misrepresented nothing. I'm still waiting for proof that you have
> > the book and then I will prove exactly what I claimed.
> >
> > So do you still have the book?
>
> The book is in the library. I have photocopies of all the sections
> you referenced. What difference does it make if I posess the book
> or not? A quick review of the thread referenced will show that you
> could not provide any correct page number or direct evidence that the
> book says what you claimed it said. It just wasn't there. You lied
> and it is abundantly evident.
>
> Why are you not able to provide a correct page number of the book
> you reference? I think this question is more pertinent that whether
> or not I have the book now.

699. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 15:27:11 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17648-3D2B00CF-669@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129730

>If you want the long name for what I do here it is. I am an officially certified member of the FCC Amateur Auxiliary, also known as an "Official Observer." <

Ahh, yes...this is quite different from what you first referred to yourself as..."Official FCC observer." Glad to see you realize your err and have now corrected it.

>I gave you web links that explain the program in great detail but did you check it out? Probably not. Or maybe you did because you certainly dropped it like a hot potatoe. <

Nothing to drop.
You must have missed those posts or merely forgot what you read, but we did indeed address that issue, but you still have failed to produce what my main issue with you was...your lies concerning the radio busts in the a FEDERAL court in Texas.

>I never said that any of them were in the "Texas Court System." If I did mention a particular court, which I probably did, it's the 5th Circuit Court in Houston and San Antonio. It just so happens that they are in TEXAS but there are NOT Texas Courts. You are arguing semantics again. <

Wow Kvin, you really enjoy repeatng yourself. Most hammies like youself also enjoy hearing themself talk.....we know the type. You really go nutover wording. Bottom line....you can't produce anything concerning 27 people you were allegedly involved with getting into the court system.

>And you apparently "forgot" that these are federal cases (which you pointed out as a "flaw" in my logic). Yes, they are in Texas but not in the Texas court system. The calls that I gave you never made it to court anyway. <

Exactly,as they have nothing to do with your claims concerning the court system, which is what I asked for. As I claimed, those calls mean absolutley zilch in the context of your claims. Thanks for clarifying.

>So what's your problem? You are calling me a liar concerning something that I never claimed. <

I was wondering your problem. I ask for something to back your fluff and you post something self-admittedly that has nothing to do with anything you claimed.

>Semantics again?<

Actually, I would refer to that move you pulled as a poor-assed attempt at obfuscation. It's the only reason you posted it, as it has nthing to do with anything you have set forward.

>They received their NAL from the FCC and capitulated due to the overwhelming evidence. <

So do thousands of other hammies each year. ..BFD!

>Again, so what's your problem? Where have I lied? Give a particular instance instead of arguing semantics. <

Sure. You claimed 27 hammies in the court system since Xmas, and claimed your efforts helped put them there. Your words, no semantics,,,just lies.

>I also gave you four callsigns of former hams who had their licenses revoked. Did you check them out? Nope. What do other kind of "proof" you want? <

Something that corroborates your claim. Posting 4 hammies that were popped means zilch. Were they all in the Texas court system since Christmas too, Kevin?

>None of those made it to court, I said that already. Again, what's your problem?
Nope, not in court at all. <

So what does this have to do with your claim they were all in court due your efforts since Xmas? Again...nothing.

>Nothing, that was your claim. I never said that they were in court. There are others that are in court but these weren't. <

So why did you post them?

>Did I say "CBers?" Nope, the FCC's Official Observer program has no jurisdiction over CB matters since there really isn't any inforcement going on anyway. The only time we "bust" CBers is when they incroach on ham frequencies, them we care otherwise we don't. <
A valiant effort. I have no issue with that nor did I ever attack that approach.

>Then why did you claim that I said I was busting CBers?
Then why did YOU bring it up? <Again, go back and check the thread, I never claimed to have busted any CBers. <

You read it, Muenzler. Matter of fact,
all you have to do is look at my original subject line above......it clearly says RADIO busts.

>Yes YOUR subject line does say "Radio Busts." YOUR subject line is completely inconsistent with what I said in the first place. It is a product of your creative twisting of my words.
I never claimed "RADIO busts." That's YOUR twisted subject line. <

Really? Who are all the folks you have lied about having tied up in the court system?
Speeders?

>Again, a product of your vivid imagination and creative twisting. I never said that I busted anyone. I am nothing more than a WITNESS that provides EVIDENCE. It's the federal martials who do the busting. <

heheh...I knew damn good and well your lies would come to a head. Federal Marshalls now are involved busting cb and hammies?

>My exact words went something like "I'm putting the financial hurt...." This is completely consistent with what I have said all along. I never said that I busted anyone, those are your words.<

Well, I need show nothing, as you just did it all for me..couple your lies concerning your grandiose claims and intentionally misleading title you referred to yourself as (since you.have then dropped like a hot potato) with the other lies concerning insults you hurled then lied about, saying they came your way first, and an entire pattern of lies are manifested, but I do like the one concerning the judge in what is now a FEDERAL court, REQUESTING silence on all 27 cases. If it wasn't all 27, then how many? And since youclaimed you may have to testify, why were you in court before they called you?. I mean, you MUST have been in court to have heard this "request" by the judge you claimwas given. But what doesn't add up here, is winesses are not permitted to sit throughout a federal trial (it IS a trial, right Kevin?) and enter to take the stand ONLY when called, which means you would not be privy to the rest of the pertinent details of the case and would have no clue the judge comments concerning privacy, which never took place anyway.
Or were all 27 mere arraignments on behalf of the Federal MArshals (ROAR!)?)
As I said we need only sit back and you'll muck yourself, as do all of you malcontents.....federal marshalls......LMFAO! You go, Kevin, far be it from me to take away your delusional lies.
You really screwed up, Kev 'ol boy..US marshals involved with cb/radio interference indeed.....hehe...and Oh, Kevin,,,just for kicks...the FCC has no cases pending requiring appearances in federal court at this time concerning cbers/hammies. This was affirmed by the FCC's PR spokesperson (if you can't find that info..it is available for a fee). 2 cases were just given dispositions and neither were in Texas.

700. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 15:29:20 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17648-3D2B0150-670@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129728

Wrong, FrankenNad. Her reply confirms exactly what you clamored for redundantly...the fact that Beloit passed no law, which is exactly what I maintained.

701. Re: Sparky's lies [was Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 15:32:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17648-3D2B0208-671@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129729

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
>Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.<

Sheer brilliance. No one needs say anything more considering your
credibility. It indeed stands alone.

702. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 15:45:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15372-3D2B0515-802@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129731

>You're catching on. Which is precisely why I've stopped responding to his warped and (appropriately named) "twisted" logic. It's pointless and counterproductive.
Dave
"Sandbagger"<

Hall quit responding when he was shown he was alone and in the minority concerning his disdain for change while demonstrating his reluctance to the evil ways of progress.

703. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 15:54:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15373-3D2B074F-327@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129732

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
>Let's see, how did this all begin? <

You made a false claim, I asked for proof, you went beserk and began with insults. That was your first mistake.

>Twisty boy<

And your attempted deference and remedial insults that apparently stroke your ego, such as referring to another you do not agree with as "boy", for no other reason other than your poor communication skills coming into play, are self-descriptive of all I have claimed of you. Carry on....

> made a false claim of something that I "said." I never said that I made 27 busts this year. To make it perfectly clear (said in a Nixon voice) I was a "witness" gathering evidence in various ways that has caused NALs (Notice of Apparent Liability) to have been served on 27 individuals. Of those some have simply "plead guilty" and have done what the FCC had demanded. This has ranged form suspension of license for some period of time to reduction in license class to levying of fines to complete loss of license and fines. No, Twisty, I'm not backpedaling. What I am intending to do is bring back into focus what I actually said and what I meant after you twisted it around to say something that I didn't. I don't believe I ever said that they are all in court or have all been<

More lies is what happens when you forget the lies told before. You can't keep them straight. You indeed said they were ALL in court, since Xmas, no less. Keep talking......

704. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 16:04:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15373-3D2B09AB-330@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129733

>This is where hams are at a disadvantage. If a "CBer" chooses to become a "freebander", he is no longer considered a "CBer", and would be prosecuted as a someone operating on an unauthorized frequency. If said "CBer's" buddy, who also happens to be a ham, decides to join his freind for a QSO on 27.555, he is now a ham operating out of band, and stands to lose his license along with other fines.
A ham, who runs on the freeband, has more to lose than a regular citizen, who has no license.
Dave
"Sandbagger"<

And is the reason certain semi-new hammies have become so touchy and anally retentive. Thye can no longer participate where they used to, they can only listen in on the fun, for fear their voice may be recognized. They miss the wide open true grit found on the freeband, the sense of NOT knowing who you will contact next, as opposed to hammies at least having a sense of who may be on the bands by virtue of frequency and allowed allocation. But most of all, the angriest, appear to be the dx-friendly hammies, who remain at the nocode level and are in the limbo zone,,they're damned if they do and damned if they don't. If they don't upgrade, they'd be better off letting their license expire.

705. Re: 102" too big for van roof. Now what??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 16:11:15 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D2B0B23.58DB04CC@qnet.com>
Source record: 129734

Hello RUSTYAMERI:

Yeah sure your hitting all kinds of low lying things, but this is how it supposed to be. I would just let it be, you'll get you to it. I have a Francis Amazer 96 inch fiberglass whip antenna on top of my 1977 Ford 4x4, Hi-Boy truck, it kicks ass. Yeah suuure the sound of the whip antenna hitting the low lying objects is deathening in the cab, but women will adore you.

I am sure this has helped.

Jay in the Mojave

RUSTYAMERI wrote:
>
> Damnit. I bought the 102" whip, replaced the firestick that was on the roof of
> my van, and while this 102" kicks butt, it is just too long. It hits
> everything. Now I know I can side mount it on the side of the van, but wouldn't
> my SWR's go way up because some of the antenna is so close to the side body of
> the van? Do I just go back to a 5 ft. firestick on the roof of my van and call
> it a day? Man, decisions decisions. AAAARRRGGGHHHH
> ---REMOVE PROMARS FROM MY E-MAIL ADDRESS TO E-MAIL ME

706. Re: 102" too big for van roof. Now what??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 16:43:23 UTC
From: Dave Riffel <daveriffel@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <LoEW8.339298$6m5.352122@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 129735

Jay ...there probably isn't much in the way of low hanging objects in the Mojave is there?????? Not many women either?????hehehe just kidding..... the 102 inch whip the greatest invention of all time but to mount it that high can be a burdon??? to move or not to move that is the question..... Well the answer is answered witha question....??? is the whip steel or fiberglass??? fiberglass will disinegrate after being hit so much the steel will just bend a bit after time!!!!!!!
"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message news:3D2B0B23.58DB04CC@qnet.com...
> Hello RUSTYAMERI:
>
> Yeah sure your hitting all kinds of low lying things, but this is how it
> supposed to be. I would just let it be, you'll get you to it. I have a
> Francis Amazer 96 inch fiberglass whip antenna on top of my 1977 Ford
> 4x4, Hi-Boy truck, it kicks ass. Yeah suuure the sound of the whip
> antenna hitting the low lying objects is deathening in the cab, but
> women will adore you.
>
> I am sure this has helped.
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
> RUSTYAMERI wrote:
> >
> > Damnit. I bought the 102" whip, replaced the firestick that was on the roof of
> > my van, and while this 102" kicks butt, it is just too long. It hits
> > everything. Now I know I can side mount it on the side of the van, but wouldn't
> > my SWR's go way up because some of the antenna is so close to the side body of
> > the van? Do I just go back to a 5 ft. firestick on the roof of my van and call
> > it a day? Man, decisions decisions. AAAARRRGGGHHHH
> > ---REMOVE PROMARS FROM MY E-MAIL ADDRESS TO E-MAIL ME

707. Re: 102" too big for van roof. Now what??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 16:45:20 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <ak4miusbp87qt5utftcd9ch0q1uubkdcb9@4ax.com>
Source record: 129736

On 09 Jul 2002 01:22:28 GMT, rustyameri@aol.comPROMARS (RUSTYAMERI)
wrote:

>Damnit. I bought the 102" whip, replaced the firestick that was on the roof of
>my van, and while this 102" kicks butt, it is just too long. It hits
>everything. Now I know I can side mount it on the side of the van, but wouldn't
>my SWR's go way up because some of the antenna is so close to the side body of
>the van? Do I just go back to a 5 ft. firestick on the roof of my van and call
>it a day? Man, decisions decisions. AAAARRRGGGHHHH
>---REMOVE PROMARS FROM MY E-MAIL ADDRESS TO E-MAIL ME

You can use a 8" Francis, a 7' Firestik, or one of the 5' Terminator
type antennas. They all work as well as the 102" SS whip.

708. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 16:46:04 UTC
From: Dave Riffel <daveriffel@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <crEW8.292124$R61.190358@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 129737

I agree as well that all those using freqs that are unauthorized should be punished however as a former cber I also think the fcc should open up some more ffrqs for cb hobbiests contrary to popu;lar beliefe it is still a hobby..i still tinker with cb now and then!!!!!!
"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message news:3D2AD1AE.23A@worldlynx.net...
> Bill Nelson wrote:
> >
> > Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > > Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
> > > news:agb31chkl3@quark.scn.rain.com...
> > > > <<snipped>>
> > >>
> > >> As I noted in another thread - of all those you listed, only two or
> > >> three were identified as CBers. All the rest were people using Ham
> > >> gear on Ham frequencies - with no mention of CB usage.
> > >>
> > >> It looks like you have another 5 or 6 to go.
> >
> > > I don't give a damn WHO they are, or what kind of equipment they use, I want
> > > the
> > > damned sons of bitches off frequencies they don't belong on such as the
> > > 10/12 meter ham band AND the military frequencies I use trying to save lives
> > > (not MARS). Again,
> >
> > I agree 100%. I think anyone operating in frequencies on which they are
> > not authorized should be busted.
> >
> > But don't blame all the incursions into the Ham frequencies on "CBers".
>
>
> You're basically arguing semantics. Technically anyone, who isn't
> operating on the assigned 40 channels, is not a "CBer". Since there is
> no license or certificate of authorization giving a "CBer" license to
> operate, there is no real "claim" to that chunk of spectrum or operating
> privileges. When you run on an unauthorized frequency, you are
> technically just a "bootlegger".
>
> This is where hams are at a disadvantage. If a "CBer" chooses to become
> a "freebander", he is no longer considered a "CBer", and would be
> prosecuted as a someone operating on an unauthorized frequency. If said

> "CBer's" buddy, who also happens to be a ham, decides to join his freind
> for a QSO on 27.555, he is now a ham operating out of band, and stands
> to lose his license along with other fines.
>
> A ham, who runs on the freeband, has more to lose than a regular
> citizen, who has no license.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
>

709. Twistedhed Gets Caught In The Undertow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 16:48:58 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207090848.7c3dc8be@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129738

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>Wrong, FrankenNad. Her reply confirms exactly what
>you clamored for redundantly...the fact that Beloit
>passed no law, which is exactly what
>I maintained.

No law dealing with CB radios? Then why did she reply:

> Hello - City Ordinance #2671, created in 1996 and numbered section
> 15.125 in the City Ordinance Book deals with the issue of CB radios.

(And mind you, I posted all the headers from her email)

I think you truly have gone off the deep end this time. Perhaps a
better analogy is that you've been caught in the riptide and are going
down for the 3rd time. You've run out of factual argument and all you
have left is derision and schoolyard taunts. In all my time on the
newsgroup I've never seen anything like it: Kevin Muenzler has you
hissing like a cornered possum. Why else would you be in here trying
to deny that Beloit has City Ordinances dealing with CB?

PS: You could use a beach hat.

-Aaron-

710. Re: marine radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 16:51:55 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709125155.16132.00004227@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 129739

thanks for the info......gunslinger

711. The Twistedhed Phenonmenon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 16:59:10 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207090859.28c8ca95@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129740

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>>You're catching on. Which is precisely why I've
>>stopped responding to his warped and (appropriately
>>named) "twisted" logic. It's pointless and
>>counterproductive.
>>Dave
>>"Sandbagger"<

And that's precisely why he continues. He's a spoiled child who's learned that he can say and do any outrageous thing he wants to -- because few are willing to endure his obnoxious personality long enough to correct him.

-Aaron-

712. Re: 102" too big for van roof. Now what??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 17:10:03 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709131003.16132.00004228@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 129741

you can side mount it about 3 feet down from the roof line without seriously affecting the s.w.r. this would give you alot of height clearance,and still leave most of the radiating portion of the antenna above the roof. ive got a 102" stainless whip,lenghtened to 109" by virtue of a heavy-duty spring and 3" piece of all thread ,mounted to the bumper of my bronco II.utilizing a thick 6" long piece of flat aluminum bar stock,i attached the antenna to one end,and the other to the rear bumper,giving me about an 8" stand off from the rear hatch. this leaves about 6' of whip above the roof line.im using 12.5 feet of mini-8 coax. my s.w.r. is 1.5 or below between 25-27mhz. so it can be done. you may have to experment a little to get it right for your application. hope this helps
gunslinger

713. Re: Wine suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 17:13:20 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020709131320.16132.00004230@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 129742

well this thread sure gives yah somethin to "crow about".....sorry...i couldnt resist.
gunslinger

714. QUOTE: From Roadking magzine, anyone see this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 19:07:53 UTC
From: Ken <dallastopcat@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2b35fb.530569158@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 129743

Put down the CB mic and step away from the kicker...

Those of you who run CB amplifiers, or "kickers," listen up: local cops can now bust you under a federal law enacted last week.

The proposal for the law had been -- excuse me -- kicking around for a while. The FCC has had many complaints about steroidal CBs bleeding over into other broadcast signals, such as radio and TV, cordless phones and PA systems in buildings.

I recall being at a friend's funeral a few years back when, at a solemn moment of what was supposed to be silence, some yo-yo came in loud and clear complaining about coming to a dead stop in a traffic tie-up. How appropriate...

The federal law only authorizes local governments to put together their own ordinances for enforcing FCC rules. Then it's up to a community to make its laws, and up to the local cops to decide if they have nothing better to do than check your wattage.

And that, as we can guess, may depend on how they feel about truckers and trucks...or if the speeding fines aren't coming in on target. Or if you happen to live too close to the chief's house and are making comments about his ancestry and private habits.

I'm sure this law will be challenged in court and probably should be, as it seems wide-open to official abuse. On the local level, though, I'd think it would be hard to go before the town council and argue against passing an ordinance, since the purpose of the amplifiers is to make the CB signal stronger than legally allowed. What, you're going to tell the council you want to own a kicker but not use it?

This is also a question for the lawyers, but I wonder if that law could be extended to cover "Roger beeps" and other annoying CB noises? Wanna bet it can't?

Anyway, those of us who don't use kickers would just like to thank you for bringing this to pass. It's another fine mess, Stanley...

715. Re: Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 19:48:43 UTC
From: LegalCB <legalcb@foo.com>
Message-ID: <v6HW8.646929$%y.39887693@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129744

<chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3d2aeeea.332858477@news.optonline.net...
> On 8 Jul 2002 23:49:50 -0700, voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H.
> Voobner) wrote:
>
> >Just in time for summer! Was $15.99. Sale Price....NOW ONLY $12.99!
> >
> >-Aaron-
> >
> >http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/store.aspx?storeid=antikeyclown
>
> Would love to buy the hat but I am too busy keying down as you say.
> Take care and have a nice day. I am off to another keydown. Isn't that
> great? Oh and skip is rolling as usual. Wish I had big watts

IceCold who said your busy at keydowns they said you were a Keyclown clean
out those ears so I can axe you a question,
That is how you blacks say ask isn't it?

716. Re: Wine suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 19:50:13 UTC
From: LegalCB <legalcb@foo.com>
Message-ID: <V7HW8.357452$_j6.16904218@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129745

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20020709000823.21821.00002599@mb-ml.aol.com...
> >What wine goes good with crow?
> Any kind with a screw off lid!
> >What are some good ways to prepare >crow?
> Ask Voobie, he's an expert at it!
> Whooya!

Yes PH your good at eating crow, one of your sayings "I never even knew
what a amp was, but I have 150 watts"

717. Re: AntiKeyclown Gift Shop NOW OPEN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 20:22:44 UTC
From: "r.r.c.b.auto-responder" <usenet@autoresponder.kom>
Message-ID: <uimh5ug9j5a1ed@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129746

Aaron, or whom ever, get permission to use the *Monty Python* foot,
for the AKC website?

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207082143.ffd3023@posting.google.com...
> Due to HUGE demand I have decided to offer AntiKeyclown gift items for
> sale on the web. You don't have to be "AKC" to show your disapproval
> of outlaw CBers, bootleggers, and keyclowns. New products coming soon!
>
> -Aaron-
>
> http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/store.aspx?storeid=antikeyclown

718. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 20:23:17 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207091223.6fd21db8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129747

Ken wrote (in another thread):

>Put down the CB mic and step away from the kicker...
>
>Those of you who run CB amplifiers, or "kickers," listen up:
>local cops can now bust you under a federal law enacted last week.
>
>The proposal for the law had been -- excuse me -- kicking
>around for a while. The FCC has had many complaints about
>steroidal CBs bleeding over into other broadcast signals,
>such as radio and TV, cordless phones and PA systems
>in buildings.

They have? That's not what TWISTEDHED says. He claims there are no complaints made about illegal CB. I guess he'd better call up that Open Road Trucker magazine and set them straight :)

-A

719. Re: Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 20:27:24 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207091227.5a717f61@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129748

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<MmAW8.63133$p85.2008238@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207082249.5381fa59@posting.google.com...
> > Just in time for summer! Was $15.99. Sale Price....NOW ONLY $12.99!
> >
> > -Aaron-
> >
>
>
> How about this one:
>
> http://www.cafepress.com/cp/info/index.aspx

All I see on that page is just generic info, Randy. (BTW, CafePress
has been alerted to the possibility of keyclowns attempting to hack
into or otherwise disrupt my page. They assure me anyone who does that
will be prosecuted)

Sure you don't need a beach hat, Randy?

-A

http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/store.aspx?storeid=antikeyclown

720. Re: Wine suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 20:30:17 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207091230.31897a67@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129749

ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote in message
news:<20020709000823.21821.00002599@mb-ml.aol.com>...
> >What wine goes good with crow?
> Any kind with a screw off lid!
> >What are some good ways to prepare >crow?
> Ask Voobie, he's an expert at it!
> Whooya!
>
>
>
>
>
> "If it aint broke, don't fix it"

What do we have here? A little gaggle of Twisty-Cheerleaders following
their pied piper as he leads them over a cliff...

-A

721. Re: Sparky's lies [was Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 20:32:16 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207091232.741517dd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129750

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d2aba13.983706@news.cet.com>...
> In <anylength-D1A559.01562209072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >>In article <3d2541cf.3423585@news.cet.com>,
> >> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
> > >
> > >Message 35 in thread
> > > From: Sparky (sparky@cet.com)
> > >Subject: Re: Just to remind everyone.
> > >Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> > >View this article only
> > > Date: 2002-05-13 13:53:37 PST
> > >
> > >
> > >In <6p50euo8id2m3noii8g55n1n0es177bjdo@4ax.com>, tnom@mucks.net wrote:
> > >
> > >>On Mon, 13 May 2002 09:49:00 GMT, sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>In <tm0vdu0npdnqk1tsao5p26bfoqm2rgr8ac@4ax.com>, tnom@mucks.net wrote:
> > >>>
> > >>>>Most everyone out here realizes that Sparky has
> > >>>>an agenda. An agenda that does not exclude
> > >>>>lies, half truths and "misinformation". Anything goes
> > >>>>with Sparky. As long as he can make himself
> > >>>>look good the truth of an argument doesn't matter.
> > >>>
> > >>>Hmmm.... I'm a liar because YOU didn't understand the difference
> > >>>between average, effective, and RMS power? Get over it! Sheesh!
> > >>
> > >>You need a refresher again. A quote from your power explanation:
> > >> "PEP = Vp-p * Ip-p" ......Ha, Ha,Ha, ...Your'e funny.
> > >>
> > >>Twist the truth all you want. We know who doesn't understand?
> > >
> > >Do you have a public library somewhere at your disposal? Did you ever
> > >learn to use the card catalog? Ok, that's a bit old-school, but you
> > >know how to ask the librarian to find a book for your, right? Good.
> > >Now go ask that librarian to locate the book by Kellejian from which
> > >that formula was quoted. Here's the pertinent information:
> > >
> > >Title: Applied electronic communication.

> >Author: Kellejian, Robert
> >Pub: Science Research Associates, Inc
> > (A Subsidiary of IBM)
> >Copyright: 1980, 1982
> >ISBN: 0-574-21535-2
> >And if you want to find it on the shelf yourself, 621.38
> >
> >I already gave you the page number. Look it up or shut up.
> >
> >>Title: Applied electronic communication.
> >>Author: Kellejian, Robert
> >>Pub: Science Research Associates, Inc
> >> (A Subsidiary of IBM)
> >>Copyright: 1980, 1982
> >>ISBN: 0-574-21535-2
> >>And if you want to find it on the shelf yourself, 621.38
>
> <snip excuses>
>
> Like I said, put up or shut up.

I don't understand why CoaxlengthPolice is calling you "Frank Sparky
Aaron". The only one who calls you that is Randy.

-A

http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/store.aspx?storeid=antikeyclown

722. Scandanavia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 20:32:38 UTC
From: masterbaiter@hungryfisherman.com
Message-ID: <acbd4514.0207091232.2feb70b8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129751

Coming in right now to the eastern seaboard on 27.555 USB.

723. Re: AntiKeyclown Gift Shop NOW OPEN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 20:38:28 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207091238.3437700f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129752

ï¿½r.r.c.b.auto-responder wrote:

>Aaron, or whom ever, get permission to use the *Monty
>Python* foot, for the AKC website?

No need to. That's not the Monty Python foot. Not even the same color.
Toes are different. It's thinner. It's smaller.

Keep trying, though.

-A

ï¿½
http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/store.aspx?storeid=antikeyclown

724. Re: AntiKeyclown Gift Shop NOW OPEN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 20:57:27 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207091257.3fd69cc6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129753

Of course, keyclowns are free to make up all kinds of stories to CafePress about how I'm infringing on this or that. Below is the policy for filing complaints. I think #4 is going to stop most of the troublemakers cold :)

-A

If you believe that your intellectual property rights have been infringed by a user of our service, please provide our Intellectual Property Rights Agent with a notification that contains the following information:

1. A physical or electronic signature of a person authorized to act on behalf of the owner of the copyright or other rights that have been allegedly infringed.
2. Identification of the copyright or other rights that have been allegedly infringed.

3. The URL used in connection with the sale of the allegedly infringing merchandise.

4. ****Your name, address, telephone number and email address.

5. A statement that you have a good-faith belief that use of the material in the manner complained of is not authorized by the rights owner, its agent or the law.

6. A statement that the information in the notification is accurate and, under penalty of perjury, that you are authorized to act on behalf of the owner of the copyright or other right that is allegedly infringed.

---

725. Re: AntiKeyclown Gift Shop NOW OPEN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 21:12:50 UTC
From: "r.r.c.b.auto-responder" <usenet@autoresponder.kom>
Message-ID: <uimk3sjs16h3a8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129754

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207091238.3437700f@posting.google.com...
> r.r.c.b.auto-responder wrote:
>
> >Aaron, or whom ever, get permission to use the *Monty
> >Python* foot, for the AKC website?
>
> No need to. That's not the Monty Python foot. Not even the same color.
> Toes are different. It's thinner. It's smaller.

You seem to have a experts knowledge of the Monty Python foot, does
anyone find that to be strangely suspect? ...hmmm.

>
> Keep trying, though.

I don't have to, its obvious that you,....well, you get the picture.

>
> -A
>
>
> http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/store.aspx?storeid=antikeyclown

726. Re: Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 21:45:55 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D2B5994.7B80D244@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 129755

Randy wrote:

> How about this one:
>
> http://www.cafepress.com/cp/info/index.aspx

Better yet, how about this one:

http://www.cafepress.com/cp/info/help/pricing.aspx

Whoever is making a buck off a mousemat, but nothing from a tote bag.

727. Re: Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 21:49:11 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D2B5A57.6A185807@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 129756

LegalCB wrote:
>
> IceCold who said your busy at keydowns they said you were a Keyclown clean
> out those ears so I can axe you a question,
> That is how you blacks say ask isn't it?

This has probably been asked before, but I'll axe it again:

Did you go to school to learn to be an AH, or did you
already have an invitation to ride the half-loaf there?

728. Re: Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 21:49:46 UTC
From: oris@dirtball.com
Message-ID: <f7a9c6ac.0207091349.256eeff6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129757

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<MmAW8.63133$p85.2008238@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207082249.5381fa59@posting.google.com...
> > Just in time for summer! Was $15.99. Sale Price....NOW ONLY $12.99!
> >
> > -Aaron-
> >
>
>
> How about this one:
>
> http://www.cafepress.com/cp/info/index.aspx

I don't key down. that is what little radios do. They call me MALL
DROPPER cause, I drop em all, MALL DROPPER!

729. Re: Sparky's lies [was Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.]

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 21:57:23 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <7%IW8.76367$q53.1987526@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129758

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207091232.741517dd@posting.google.com...
> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d2aba13.983706@news.cet.com>...
> > In <anylength-D1A559.01562209072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
> > <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
> >
> > >>In article <3d2541cf.3423585@news.cet.com>,
> > >> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
> > > >
> > > >Message 35 in thread
> > > > From: Sparky (sparky@cet.com)
> > > >Subject: Re: Just to remind everyone.
> > > >Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> > > >View this article only
> > > > Date: 2002-05-13 13:53:37 PST
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >In <6p50euo8id2m3noii8g55n1n0es177bjdo@4ax.com>, tnom@mucks.net wrote:
> > > >
> > > >>On Mon, 13 May 2002 09:49:00 GMT, sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >>>In <tm0vdu0npdnqk1tsao5p26bfoqm2rgr8ac@4ax.com>, tnom@mucks.net
wrote:
> > > >>>
> > > >>>>Most everyone out here realizes that Sparky has
> > > >>>>an agenda. An agenda that does not exclude
> > > >>>>lies, half truths and "misinformation". Anything goes
> > > >>>>with Sparky. As long as he can make himself
> > > >>>>look good the truth of an argument doesn't matter.
> > > >>>
> > > >>>Hmmm.... I'm a liar because YOU didn't understand the difference
> > > >>>between average, effective, and RMS power? Get over it! Sheesh!
> > > >>
> > > >>You need a refresher again. A quote from your power explanation:
> > > >> "PEP = Vp-p * Ip-p" ......Ha, Ha,Ha, ...Your'e funny.
> > > >>
> > > >>Twist the truth all you want. We know who doesn't understand?
> > > >
> > > >Do you have a public library somewhere at your disposal? Did you ever
> > > >learn to use the card catalog? Ok, that's a bit old-school, but you
> > > >know how to ask the librarian to find a book for your, right? Good.
> > > >Now go ask that librarian to locate the book by Kellejian from which

> > >that formula was quoted. Here's the pertinent information:
> > >
> > >Title: Applied electronic communication.
> > >Author: Kellejian, Robert
> > >Pub: Science Research Associates, Inc
> > > (A Subsidiary of IBM)
> > >Copyright: 1980, 1982
> > >ISBN: 0-574-21535-2
> > >And if you want to find it on the shelf yourself, 621.38
> > >
> > >I already gave you the page number. Look it up or shut up.
> > >
> > >>Title: Applied electronic communication.
> > >>Author: Kellejian, Robert
> > >>Pub: Science Research Associates, Inc
> > >> (A Subsidiary of IBM)
> > >>Copyright: 1980, 1982
> > >>ISBN: 0-574-21535-2
> > >>And if you want to find it on the shelf yourself, 621.38
> >
> > <snip excuses>
> >
> > Like I said, put up or shut up.
>
> I don't understand why CoaxlengthPolice is calling you "Frank Sparky
> Aaron". The only one who calls you that is Randy.
>
> -A

Oh yeah. It's that evil Randy again.

Troll, troll, troll your boat........

-R

730. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 22:02:17 UTC
From: oris@dirtball.com
Message-ID: <f7a9c6ac.0207091402.35f5fe57@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129759

Trucks and CB radio have been together since the hard times of the 70's. The truckers strick in the early 1970's got CB radio its start. Now as far as the FCC is concered why bother? They ain't going to do shit if you follow a few operating standers. Here is a tip for all you regular CB radio operators as well as the freebanders (Megahertz muchers is what they really are) Stay below 28.000 MHz. Best tip I can give you. Stay far away from 24.990 MHz and you shouldn't have any problems. The FCC in my opinion isn't going to do anything if you run a clean station. Hey you truck drivers this goes for you. If you start shutting peoples motors (Computers in todays engins have RFI problems)You will also have problems with the FCC and the local police who can stop you if they beleive you are running illeagal radio equipment and take it and give you a ticket. So clean it up. The best thing that could happen to trukers and CB is that they seperate for ever. Hey Trukers have you heard of the FRS. THe perfect spot for trukers.

731. Re: New 22 Channel FRS & GMRS Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 22:05:10 UTC
From: oris@dirtball.com
Message-ID: <f7a9c6ac.0207091405.7eddc897@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129760

Jay in the Mojave the FRS needs no license!

> > ---FRS/GMRS----------GMRS---- | -----FRS-----
> > 1 - 462.5625 8 - 462.5750 | 8 - 467.5625
> > 2 - 462.5875 9 - 462.6250 | 9 - 467.5875
> > 3 - 462.6125 10 - 462.6750 | 10 - 467.6125
> > 4 - 462.6375 11 - 462.5500 | 11 - 467.6375
> > 5 - 462.6625 12 - 462.6000 | 12 - 467.6625
> > 6 - 462.6875 13 - 462.6500 | 13 - 467.6875
> > 7 - 462.7125 14 - 462.7000 | 14 - 467.7125
> > 15 - 462.7250 |
> >
> > ...save you the trouble of looking them up.
> > 'Doc

732. Re: 102" too big for van roof. Now what??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 22:14:31 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <bfJW8.76442$q53.1990364@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129761

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:ak4miusbp87qt5utftcd9ch0q1uubkdcb9@4ax.com...
> On 09 Jul 2002 01:22:28 GMT, rustyameri@aol.comPROMARS (RUSTYAMERI)
> wrote:
>
> >Damnit. I bought the 102" whip, replaced the firestick that was on the
roof of
> >my van, and while this 102" kicks butt, it is just too long. It hits
> >everything. Now I know I can side mount it on the side of the van, but
wouldn't
> >my SWR's go way up because some of the antenna is so close to the side
body of
> >the van? Do I just go back to a 5 ft. firestick on the roof of my van and
call
> >it a day? Man, decisions decisions. AAAARRRGGGHHHH
> >---REMOVE PROMARS FROM MY E-MAIL ADDRESS TO E-MAIL ME
>
> You can use a 8" Francis, a 7' Firestik, or one of the 5' Terminator
> type antennas. They all work as well as the 102" SS whip.
>

Ya think, huh?

733. Re: Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 22:34:38 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207091434.2f336f8c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129762

ï¿½Scott Sixnine wrote:
Randy wrote:

>> How about this one:
>>
>> http://www.cafepress.com/cp/info/index.aspx
>
>Better yet, how about this one:
>
>http://www.cafepress.com/cp/info/help/pricing.aspx
>
>Whoever is making a buck off a mousemat, but nothing from a tote bag.

Thanks Scott, I completely FORGOT to mark up the tote bag. What do you think a good price would be? I could add on $4 and I bet it'd still sell. That tote bag is gonna be a big hit at the next DAYTON HAMVENTION. You know it's too bad you're a keyclown because I give discounts to AKC's. You could have saved yourself a buck or two.

-A

http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/store.aspx?storeid=antikeyclown

734. Re: Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 22:51:40 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <0OJW8.76526$q53.1995749@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129763

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207091434.2f336f8c@posting.google.com...
> Scott Sixnine wrote:
> Randy wrote:
>
> >> How about this one:
> >>
> >> http://www.cafepress.com/cp/info/index.aspx
> >
> >Better yet, how about this one:
> >
> >http://www.cafepress.com/cp/info/help/pricing.aspx
> >
> >Whoever is making a buck off a mousemat, but nothing from a tote bag.
>
> Thanks Scott, I completely FORGOT to mark up the tote bag. What do you
> think a good price would be? I could add on $4 and I bet it'd still
> sell. That tote bag is gonna be a big hit at the next DAYTON
> HAMVENTION. You know it's too bad you're a keyclown because I give
> discounts to AKC's. You could have saved yourself a buck or two.
>
> -A

I'm still waiting on the toilet paper.

735. Re: Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 23:07:56 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D2B6CCC.3F78EB5E@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 129764

Oris wrote:
>
> I don't key down. that is what little radios do. They call me MALL
> DROPPER cause, I drop em all, MALL DROPPER!

How do you pick up a mall, stores and all?

Do you mean maul, like a big hammer?

736. Re: Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 23:09:45 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D2B6D3A.8A6417EB@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 129765

Randy wrote:
>
> I'm still waiting on the toilet paper.

Hell yeah! I'd buy a case!

On second though, I don't like the idea of Voobner getting
that close to my asshole...

737. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 23:11:06 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2b6bd1$0$13951$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129766

Wrong again bubba, keep trying.

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17648-3D2AF9C5-667@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
>Yes I've noticed this. He's down to arguing semantics and trying to
argue with words I never said.
Kevin, WB5RUE<

The try noticing what is put in front of you. You claimed you had a hand in 27 folks being tied up in the FEDERAL court system since Xmas, showing for absolute certain you have no clue what the court system entails, let alone a time frame that is impossible to have in the courts that soon...and all in Texas...mmmmph!.

738. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 23:23:56 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2b6ed2$0$13950$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129767

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17648-3D2B00CF-669@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >If you want the long name for what I do here it is. I am an officially
> certified member of the FCC Amateur Auxiliary, also known as an
> "Official Observer." <
>
>
>
>
>
> Ahh, yes...this is quite different from what you first referred to
> yourself as..."Official FCC observer." Glad to see you realize your err
> and have now corrected it.

BZZZT! Wrong. I never said "Official FCC observer." That statement is a
product of your imagination.

> >I gave you web links that explain the program in great detail but did
> you check it out? Probably not. Or maybe you did because you certainly
> dropped it like a hot potatoe. <
>
> Nothing to drop.
> You must have missed those posts or merely forgot what you read, but we
> did indeed address that issue, but you still have failed to produce what
> my main issue with you was...your lies concerning the radio busts in the
> a FEDERAL court in Texas.

BZZT! Strike two. I never claimed a single bust. Again, a product of your
imagination.

> >I never said that any of them were in the "Texas Court System." If I
> did mention a particular court, which I probably did, it's the 5th
> Circuit Court in Houston and San Antonio. It just so happens that they
> are in TEXAS but there are NOT Texas Courts. You are arguing semantics
> again. <
>
>
> Wow Kvin, you really enjoy repeatng yourself. Most hammies like youself
> also enjoy hearing themself talk.....we know the type. You really go
> nutover wording. Bottom line....you can't produce anything concerning 27
> people you were allegedly involved with getting into the court system.

Strike three. For one, I never claimed that I busted anyone. You began
with a false primise. I don't have to produce anything.

> >And you apparently "forgot" that these are federal cases (which you
> pointed out as a "flaw" in my logic). Yes, they are in Texas but not in
> the Texas court system. The calls that I gave you never made it to court
> anyway. <
>
>
>
> Exactly,as they have nothing to do with your claims concerning the court
> system, which is what I asked for. As I claimed, those calls mean
> absolutley zilch in the context of your claims. Thanks for clarifying.

>
> >So what's your problem? You are calling me a liar concerning something
> that I never claimed. <
>
>
> I was wondering your problem. I ask for something to back your fluff and
> you post something self-admittedly that has nothing to do with anything
> you claimed.

Just tit for tat which shows you have no basis for any of your claims.

> >Semantics again?<
>
>
> Actually, I would refer to that move you pulled as a poor-assed attempt
> at obfuscation. It's the only reason you posted it, as it has nthing to
> do with anything you have set forward.

OOO! Such a big word for a small person. I set nothing forward, that was
your doing.

> >They received their NAL from the FCC and capitulated due to the
> overwhelming evidence. <
>
> So do thousands of other hammies each year. ..BFD!

So, your point is?

> >Again, so what's your problem? Where have I lied? Give a particular
> instance instead of arguing semantics. <
>
> Sure. You claimed 27 hammies in the court system since Xmas, and claimed
> your efforts helped put them there. Your words, no semantics,,,just
> lies.

I believe my words went something like this, they are either on their way TO
court, in court or through court. I never said that there were 27 IN court.
There is a big difference. How long do you think it takes to try a federal
case with overwhelming evidence anyway? Though I've never been called to
witness personally in any of the cases in which I've provided evidence the
longest one that I can remember only took a couple of days. Think of it
this way. Let's say that I have a video of you comitting a crime. The
video shows without any shadow of a doubt that it's you. How long do you

think the trial would take? IF and that's a very big IF these cases ever go to court the evidence is so overwhelming that there really is no defence.

> >I also gave you four callsigns of former hams who had their licenses
> revoked. Did you check them out? Nope. What do other kind of "proof" you
> want? <
>
> Something that corroborates your claim. Posting 4 hammies that were
> popped means zilch. Were they all in the Texas court system since
> Christmas too, Kevin?

You seem to keep repeating yourself. Go read my responses to this the last few times you asked.

>
> >None of those made it to court, I said that already. Again, what's your
> problem?
> Nope, not in court at all. <
>
> So what does this have to do with your claim they were all in court due
> your efforts since Xmas? Again...nothing.

Never made that claim. Go back and read the last reply I made to this.

>
> >Nothing, that was your claim. I never said that they were in court.
> There are others that are in court but these weren't. <
>
>
> So why did you post them?

Go read the last answer to this question.
>
> >Did I say "CBers?" Nope, the FCC's Official Observer program has no
> jurisdiction over CB matters since there really isn't any inforcement
> going on anyway. The only time we "bust" CBers is when they incroach on
> ham frequencies, them we care otherwise we don't. <
> A valiant effort. I have no issue with that nor did I ever attack that
> approach.
>
> >Then why did you claim that I said I was busting CBers?
> Then why did YOU bring it up? <Again, go back and check the thread, I
> never claimed to have busted any CBers. <
>
> You read it, Muenzler. Matter of fact,
> all you have to do is look at my original subject line above......it
> clearly says RADIO busts.
>
> >Yes YOUR subject line does say "Radio Busts." YOUR subject line is
> completely inconsistent with what I said in the first place. It is a
> product of your creative twisting of my words.
> I never claimed "RADIO busts." That's YOUR twisted subject line. <

>
> Really? Who are all the folks you have lied about having tied up in the
> court system?
> Speeders?
>
> >Again, a product of your vivid imagination and creative twisting. I
> never said that I busted anyone. I am nothing more than a WITNESS that
> provides EVIDENCE. It's the federal martials who do the busting. <
>
>
> heheh...I knew damn good and well your lies would come to a head.
> Federal Marshalls now are involved busting cb and hammies?

Who do you think does the "busting" in cases of a violation of federal laws?
The local park rangers?

> >My exact words went something like "I'm putting the financial hurt...."
> This is completely consistent with what I have said all along. I never
> said that I busted anyone, those are your words.<
>
>
>
> Well, I need show nothing, as you just did it all for me..couple your
> lies concerning your grandiose claims and intentionally misleading title
> you referred to yourself as (since you.have then dropped like a hot
> potato) with the other lies concerning insults you hurled then lied
> about, saying they came your way first, and an entire pattern of lies
> are manifested, but I do like the one concerning the judge in what is
> now a FEDERAL court, REQUESTING silence on all 27 cases. If it wasn't
> all 27, then how many? And since youclaimed you may have to testify, why
> were you in court before they called you?. I mean, you MUST have been in
> court to have heard this "request" by the judge you claimwas given. But
> what doesn't add up here, is winesses are not permitted to sit
> throughout a federal trial (it IS a trial, right Kevin?) and enter to
> take the stand ONLY when called, which means you would not be privy to
> the rest of the pertinent details of the case and would have no clue the
> judge comments concerning privacy, which never took place anyway.
> Or were all 27 mere arraignments on behalf of the Federal MArshals
> (ROAR!)?)
> As I said we need only sit back and you'll muck yourself, as do all of
> you malcontents.....federal marshalls......LMFAO! You go, Kevin, far be
> it from me to take away your delusional lies.
> You really screwed up, Kev 'ol boy..US marshals involved with cb/radio
> interference indeed...........hehe...and Oh, Kevin,,,just for
> kicks...the FCC has no cases pending requiring appearances in federal
> court at this time concerning cbers/hammies. This was affirmed by the
> FCC's PR spokesperson (if you can't find that info..it is available for
> a fee). 2 cases were just given dispositions and neither were in
> Texas.

A legend in your own mind.

739. Re: QUOTE: From Roadking magzine, anyone see this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 23:31:14 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1dKW8.1937$JU3.131579@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129768

Ken <dallastopcat@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3d2b35fb.530569158@news.easynews.com...
> Put down the CB mic and step away from the kicker...
>
> Those of you who run CB amplifiers, or "kickers," listen up: local
> cops can now bust you under a federal law enacted last week.
>
> The proposal for the law had been -- excuse me -- kicking around for a
> while. The FCC has had many complaints about steroidal CBs bleeding
> over into other broadcast signals, such as radio and TV, cordless
> phones and PA systems in buildings.
>
> I recall being at a friend's funeral a few years back when, at a
> solemn moment of what was supposed to be silence, some yo-yo came in
> loud and clear complaining about coming to a dead stop in a traffic
> tie-up. How appropriate...
>
> The federal law only authorizes local governments to put together
> their own ordinances for enforcing FCC rules. Then it's up to a
> community to make its laws, and up to the local cops to decide if they
> have nothing better to do than check your wattage.
>
> And that, as we can guess, may depend on how they feel about truckers
> and trucks...or if the speeding fines aren't coming in on target. Or
> if you happen to live too close to the chief's house and are making
> comments about his ancestry and private habits.
>
> I'm sure this law will be challenged in court and probably should be,
> as it seems wide-open to official abuse. On the local level, though,
> I'd think it would be hard to go before the town council and argue
> against passing an ordinance, since the purpose of the amplifiers is
> to make the CB signal stronger than legally allowed. What, you're
> going to tell the council you want to own a kicker but not use it?
>
> This is also a question for the lawyers, but I wonder if that law
> could be extended to cover "Roger beeps" and other annoying CB noises?
> Wanna bet it can't?
>
> Anyway, those of us who don't use kickers would just like to thank you
> for bringing this to pass. It's another fine mess, Stanley...

Last WEEK? That law has been in effect since October, 2000! Clinton signed
the law at that time.

Jerry
>
>
>

740. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 23:42:15 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <mnKW8.1961$JU3.137263@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129770

Dave Riffel <daveriffel@mchsi.com> wrote in message
news:crEW8.292124$R61.190358@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> I agree as well that all those using freqs that are unauthorized should be
> punished however as a former cber I also think the fcc should open up some
> more ffrqs for cb hobbiests contrary to popu;lar beliefe it is still a
> hobby..i still tinker with cb now and then!!!!!!

They did--it's called MURS and FRS! Seriously, answer me this: How can they
"open up" frequencies they have no control of? That's the problem. Much of
that
spectrum on either side of 27 MHZ is governed by an agency called NTIA
(National Telecommunications and Information Agency)--an even more powerful
agency than FCC that controls US government use of radio. Without some
major
shuffling around, there simply ISN'T anywhere for 27 MHZ CB to go. Nobody
said CB hasn't become a hobby; it is just contrary to the intent and purpose
of CB,
that's all.

J

> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2AD1AE.23A@worldlynx.net...
> > Bill Nelson wrote:
> > >
> > > Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > > > Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
> > > > news:agb31chkl3@quark.scn.rain.com...
> > > > <<snipped>>
> > > >>
> > > >> As I noted in another thread - of all those you listed, only two or
> > > >> three were identified as CBers. All the rest were people using Ham
> > > >> gear on Ham frequencies - with no mention of CB usage.
> > > >>
> > > >> It looks like you have another 5 or 6 to go.
> > > >
> > > > I don't give a damn WHO they are, or what kind of equipment they
use,
> I want
> > > > the
> > > > damned sons of bitches off frequencies they don't belong on such as
> the
> > > > 10/12 meter ham band AND the military frequencies I use trying to
save
> lives

> > > > (not MARS). Again,
> > >
> > > I agree 100%. I think anyone operating in frequencies on which they
are
> > > not authorized should be busted.
> > >
> > > But don't blame all the incursions into the Ham frequencies on
"CBers".
> >
> >
> > You're basically arguing semantics. Technically anyone, who isn't
> > operating on the assigned 40 channels, is not a "CBer". Since there is
> > no license or certificate of authorization giving a "CBer" license to
> > operate, there is no real "claim" to that chunk of spectrum or operating
> > privileges. When you run on an unauthorized frequency, you are
> > technically just a "bootlegger".
> >
> > This is where hams are at a disadvantage. If a "CBer" chooses to become
> > a "freebander", he is no longer considered a "CBer", and would be
> > prosecuted as a someone operating on an unauthorized frequency. If said
> > "CBer's" buddy, who also happens to be a ham, decides to join his freind
> > for a QSO on 27.555, he is now a ham operating out of band, and stands
> > to lose his license along with other fines.
> >
> > A ham, who runs on the freeband, has more to lose than a regular
> > citizen, who has no license.
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> >
> >
>
>

741. Midland 79290

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 23:44:04 UTC
From: jpike@comcast.net
Message-ID: <26787ac.0207091544.56cb2fdc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129769

Hi,
I am looking to buy a used midland 79290 or similiar mdoel. If you
have one or know a cb radio classifieds please email me

jpike@comcast.net

Thanks,
John

742. Re: Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 23:45:39 UTC
From: LegalCB <legalcb@foo.com>
Message-ID: <DAKW8.360040$_j6.17133800@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129771

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207091434.2f336f8c@posting.google.com...

> Thanks Scott, I completely FORGOT to mark up the tote bag. What do you
> think a good price would be? I could add on $4 and I bet it'd still
> sell. That tote bag is gonna be a big hit at the next DAYTON
> HAMVENTION. You know it's too bad you're a keyclown because I give
> discounts to AKC's. You could have saved yourself a buck or two.
>
> -A
>
> http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/store.aspx?storeid=antikeyclown

Aaron, I can't wait for Dayton. You know we have taked about this before I
think we can make a fortune there with the booth and the internet sales.

743. Re: Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-09 23:55:23 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207091555.643b5c34@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129772

"LegalCB" legalcb@foo.com wrote:
"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207091434.2f336f8c@posting.google.com...

>Aaron, I can't wait for Dayton. You know we have taked about
>this before I think we can make a fortune there with the
>booth and the internet sales.

I know. N8 is a controversial figure in the organization and many are not completely comfortable with his hardline stance, yet there IS some demand for N8-oriented AKC merchandise as well. We are in negotiations now with the design team as well as N8's people. Since all profits go to fund reseach into a cure for keyclowns, I can't see what harm it would do to pursue things. The Anti-Freeband line is soon to be expanded as well. The sky's the limit! Thanks for your support.

-Aaron-

http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/store.aspx?storeid=antikeyclown

744. Re: Wine suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 00:02:40 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <AQKW8.89421$UT.5955620@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129773

lol...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"LilyV7" <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020708211603.19323.00003066@mb-mt.aol.com...
> well...since crow is "fowl" i would suggest a nice white. possibly a
berenger
> chenin blanc...oui o non? or mabey a nice zellar schwartz katz.....a
quality
> table wine from germany. yah oder nicht?
>
> having eaten alot of crow in my life,might i suggest cubing the
meat,cooking it
> up in a wok,utilizing a nice teriake sauce.....
> let me know what you think,scot.
> gunslinger :)

745. Re: AntiKeyclown Gift Shop NOW OPEN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 00:04:48 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ASKW8.89433$UT.5952551@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129774

So that's what you do for a living...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207082143.ffd3023@posting.google.com...
> Due to HUGE demand I have decided to offer AntiKeyclown gift items for
> sale on the web. You don't have to be "AKC" to show your disapproval
> of outlaw CBers, bootleggers, and keyclowns. New products coming soon!
>
> -Aaron-
>
> http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/store.aspx?storeid=antikeyclown

746. Re: Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 00:09:56 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <oXKW8.16964$Iu6.962097@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129775

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207091555.643b5c34@posting.google.com...
> "LegalCB" legalcb@foo.com wrote:
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207091434.2f336f8c@posting.google.com...
>
> >Aaron, I can't wait for Dayton. You know we have taked about
> >this before I think we can make a fortune there with the
> >booth and the internet sales.
>
> I know. N8 is a controversial figure in the organization and many are
> not completely comfortable with his hardline stance, yet there IS some
> demand for N8-oriented AKC merchandise as well. We are in negotiations
> now with the design team as well as N8's people. Since all profits go
> to fund reseach into a cure for keyclowns, I can't see what harm it
> would do to pursue things. The Anti-Freeband line is soon to be
> expanded as well. The sky's the limit! Thanks for your support.
>
> -Aaron-
>

We're coming, we're coming, our brave little band
On the right side of temprance we do take our stand
We don't use tobacco, because we do think
that the people who use it are likely to drink

(Chorus)
Away, Away with rum, by gum
rum by gum, rum by gum
Away, Away with rum by gum
The song of the Temperance Union

We never eat peaches because they ferment
And a peach will ferment at the least little dent
Oh can you imagine a sight more obscene
Than a man getting tipsy on peaches and cream

Chorus

747. Re: Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 00:18:58 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207091618.1901d2ac@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129776

ï¿½Richard McCollum wrote:
"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207091555.643b5c34@posting.google.com...
> "LegalCB" legalcb@foo.com wrote:
> "Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207091434.2f336f8c@posting.google.com...
>
> >Aaron, I can't wait for Dayton. You know we have taked about
> >this before I think we can make a fortune there with the
> >booth and the internet sales.
>
> I know. N8 is a controversial figure in the organization and many are
> not completely comfortable with his hardline stance, yet there IS some
> demand for N8-oriented AKC merchandise as well. We are in negotiations
> now with the design team as well as N8's people. Since all profits go
> to fund reseach into a cure for keyclowns, I can't see what harm it
> would do to pursue things. The Anti-Freeband line is soon to be
> expanded as well. The sky's the limit! Thanks for your support.
>
> -Aaron-
>
>
>We're coming, we're coming, our brave little band
>On the right side of temprance we do take our stand
>We don't use tobacco, because we do think
>that the people who use it are likely to drink

Oh come on, Dick. Just look at that merchandise! It's awesome. How
could you think that AKC's don't drink, party, rock and roll or have a
good time? We just don't break the law and brag about it in NG's...
that's the difference between AKC and criminal keyclowns.

I think some people think that if they CLICK on an item they have to
buy it, LOL, or somehow we get their IP number, LOL. Nothing of the
sort. CLICK on some of those items and you'll get further
descriptions, that's all. Just some harmless and COMPLETLY LEGAL
CB-related stuff for sale.

-Aaron-

http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/store.aspx?storeid=antikeyclown

748. Re: Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 00:57:26 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D2B8676.EB2587C6@qnet.com>
Source record: 129777

That crackes me up

Jay in the Mojave

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207091555.643b5c34@posting.google.com...
> > "LegalCB" legalcb@foo.com wrote:
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:22a5e694.0207091434.2f336f8c@posting.google.com...
> >
> > >Aaron, I can't wait for Dayton. You know we have taked about
> > >this before I think we can make a fortune there with the
> > >booth and the internet sales.
> >
> > I know. N8 is a controversial figure in the organization and many are
> > not completely comfortable with his hardline stance, yet there IS some
> > demand for N8-oriented AKC merchandise as well. We are in negotiations
> > now with the design team as well as N8's people. Since all profits go
> > to fund reseach into a cure for keyclowns, I can't see what harm it
> > would do to pursue things. The Anti-Freeband line is soon to be
> > expanded as well. The sky's the limit! Thanks for your support.
> >
> > -Aaron-
> >
>
> We're coming, we're coming, our brave little band
> On the right side of temprance we do take our stand
> We don't use tobacco, because we do think
> that the people who use it are likely to drink
>
> (Chorus)
> Away, Away with rum, by gum
> rum by gum, rum by gum
> Away, Away with rum by gum
> The song of the Temperance Union
>
> We never eat peaches because they ferment
> And a peach will ferment at the least little dent
> Oh can you imagine a sight more obscene
> Than a man getting tipsy on peaches and cream
>
> Chorus

749. Re: 102" too big for van roof. Now what??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 01:21:37 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <ju2niu8gmsekc6ohs07qekavc4ukbuuiei@4ax.com>
Source record: 129778

>> You can use a 8" Francis, a 7' Firestik, or one of the 5' Terminator
>> type antennas. They all work as well as the 102" SS whip.
>>
>
>Ya think, huh?

Some of them work better by a very slight margin.

750. World WIDE BREAK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 01:40:54 UTC
From: tripleduecefla@comcast.net
Message-ID: <7d782fd2.0207091740.6bc7a166@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129779

they had a break in florida july 4th weekend any coments before i post my thoughts of the break?

751. Re: World WIDE BREAK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 01:50:43 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com1iwilly
Message-ID: <20020709215043.06302.00002756@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 129780

i already know your thoughts
you were no impress you wanted more and better competition????????
jvillafane

752. Re: marine radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 01:58:26 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uin5528rdn9r58@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129781

Well, you have some real input in the thread. FYI - the strategy of the FCC is this - #1 - protect Air Force One communications. #2, protect civil defense frequencies (police, fire, etc.- maybe after critical governemt communications, but inclueded herein) #3, protect various safety frequencies (which would include marine frequencies). We can argue CB and amateur all day with nothing gained. Screw around with marine frequencies and you very well may get to regret that messing with those frequencies. After the above, money talks. Don't try FM broadcasting. Commercial interests may be rated #4. They will be enforced. Forget about CB. Then again, go back to the first 3. You will not pass go, you will not collect $200. That is a fact. Yes, CB and amateur will be enforced to a minor point, but they rate far below the ones I mentioned earlier. Then again, mess with Air Force One and the FCC may well be the LEAST of your worries.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"LilyV7" <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020708210627.19323.00003064@mb-mt.aol.com...
> "kenneth" mentioned marine radio in his recent post. now i dont know
anybody
> that uses a marine radio in a "big rig", but there is a trend lately for
some
> to use marine radio as a land based communications system. these are
mostly
> cb-ers who use the marine band to communicate locally during those times
when
> the skip makes it nearly impossible to talk more than a mile or two.
marine
> rigs are inexpensive, a well featured one costing no more than 150.00
> dollars.also an 18 inch long piece of coat hanger will (believe it or not)
make
> a very effective antenna.
> you can usually communicate 20-30 miles very easily with one of these
rigs. a
> local friend of mine even converted a 2 meter beam to operate on the
band,and
> used it to talk to a fellow in cloudcroft,nm from elpaso,tx. (well over
100
> miles) pretty neat ,huh? i believe that marine radio is no longer a
"licensed"
> band?this does not mean that the f.c.c. does not still have the athority
to
> "regulate it".
> somebody who knows...please correct me if im wrong about this. any

way,just
> thought id throw my hat in the ring on this one....for those that may be
> interested.
> since all the other radio services besides cb seem to be open for
discussion on
> this cb group. :) gunslinger

753. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 02:15:21 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <agg5bp$r6a$17@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129782

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
> Bill Nelson wrote:
>>
>> But don't blame all the incursions into the Ham frequencies on "CBers".

> You're basically arguing semantics. Technically anyone, who isn't
> operating on the assigned 40 channels, is not a "CBer". Since there is
> no license or certificate of authorization giving a "CBer" license to
> operate, there is no real "claim" to that chunk of spectrum or operating
> privileges. When you run on an unauthorized frequency, you are
> technically just a "bootlegger".

Possibly. But I do not consider the bootlegger to be a CBer unless they
operate on the CB band at least part of the time.

Many of those that were busted were using Ham gear on Ham frequencies.
It is unlikely that they ever operated on CB frequencies.

I prefer to call anyone who operates out of band a bootlegger, and not
tack on Ham or CBer.

> This is where hams are at a disadvantage. If a "CBer" chooses to become
> a "freebander", he is no longer considered a "CBer", and would be
> prosecuted as a someone operating on an unauthorized frequency. If said
> "CBer's" buddy, who also happens to be a ham, decides to join his freind
> for a QSO on 27.555, he is now a ham operating out of band, and stands
> to lose his license along with other fines.

I would consider them both to be bootleggers - irregardless of whether
they were operating "up" from the CB band or "down" from 10 meters.

In the FCC enforcements, it appears that cites are often for "CBers
operating out of band" or "Amateur operating out of band". So if my
memory is correct, the FCC does not automatically "no longer consider
a person a CBer" when they operate out of band.

> A ham, who runs on the freeband, has more to lose than a regular
> citizen, who has no license.

Correct. To balance that inequity, the Ham has far more freedom to choose
bands of operation, frequencies within those bands, modes of operation,
legal power limits etc.

Why a licensed Ham would operate out of band - with so much to lose, is
something I cannot understand.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

754. Re: Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 02:36:16 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <A4NW8.67599$p85.2100551@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129783

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:H1NW8.61053$eF5.2098522@twister.austin.rr.com...

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207082249.5381fa59@posting.google.com...
> Just in time for summer! Was $15.99. Sale Price....NOW ONLY $12.99!

I fixed a few problems with Aaron's site. I think it's much better now.
Sorry for the HTML.

Oops, forgot about the pics. Oh well, the text says it all.

755. "N8WWM IS TWITHED'S MASTER" Cap ----SALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 02:51:46 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207091851.2000946f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129784

We are pleased to announce a BRAND NEW line of products at the AKC Gift Shop....the "N8WWM AKC Collection"! Each item is part of a limited edition of fine AKC items hand-selected by N8WWM himself. Just imagine wearing this to Dayton Hamvention! Don't miss out on this special offer.

"N8WWM IS TWITHED'S MASTER" Cap

(Product Number: 2632822)

In Stock: Will ship in 2-3 business days.

Limited Edition cap from the "N8WWM AKC Collection". Youve read Twithed's insane ramblings in rec.radio.cb...now YOU can own the same cap that N8 wears at home! Awesome!

Were $12.99 each.....Sale Price $9.99!!!!!

http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/productdetail.aspx?prodno=antikeyclown.2632822

-Aaron-

http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/store.aspx?storeid=antikeyclown

756. Re: "N8WWM IS TWITHED'S MASTER" Cap ----SALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 02:59:09 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1qNW8.67750$p85.2103572@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129785

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207091851.2000946f@posting.google.com...
> We are pleased to announce a BRAND NEW line of products at the AKC
> Gift Shop....the "N8WWM AKC Collection"! Each item is part of a
> limited edition of fine AKC items hand-selected by N8WWM himself. Just
> imagine wearing this to Dayton Hamvention! Don't miss out on this
> special offer.
>
>
> "N8WWM IS TWITHED'S MASTER" Cap
>
> (Product Number: 2632822)
>
> In Stock: Will ship in 2-3 business days.
>
> Limited Edition cap from the "N8WWM AKC Collection". Youve read
> Twithed's insane ramblings in rec.radio.cb...now YOU can own the same
> cap that N8 wears at home! Awesome!
>
> Were $12.99 each.....Sale Price $9.99!!!!!
>
>
http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/productdetail.aspx?prodno=antikeyclown.263
2822
>
>
>
> -Aaron-
>
> http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/store.aspx?storeid=antikeyclown

This link may cause problems for ya:
http://www.cafepress.com/cp/info/help/iprights.aspx

757. I Doubt it, Randy :)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 03:18:40 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207091918.708d8cad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129786

Randy wrote:
>This link may cause problems for ya:
>http://www.cafepress.com/cp/info/help/iprights.aspx

I welcome whoever wants to cause "problems" to try something. In fact, let Twithed step forward and sue me. Who's "Twithed" anyway? Does someone "own" that name? Whoever he is, have him follow the instructions below to file his complaint. Especially #4.

Your Buddy,

-Aaron-

1. A physical or electronic signature of a person authorized to act on behalf of the owner of the copyright or other rights that have been allegedly infringed.
2. Identification of the copyright or other rights that have been allegedly infringed.
3. The URL used in connection with the sale of the allegedly infringing merchandise.
4. Your name, address, telephone number and email address.
5. A statement that you have a good-faith belief that use of the material in the manner complained of is not authorized by the rights owner, its agent or the law.
6. A statement that the information in the notification is accurate and, under penalty of perjury, that you are authorized to act on behalf of the owner of the copyright or other right that is allegedly infringed.

758. Want Input

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 03:54:28 UTC
From: boydl <boydl@cox.net>
Message-ID: <UdOW8.32235$P%6.2634979@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 129787

Is there any interest in a service Manual with Schematics
on CD for the Palomar Amps? Tube and Solid State.

thanks and please input to..

boydL@cox.net

"Do not reply to Newsgroup please"

759. Re: I Doubt it, Randy :)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 04:47:20 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <s%OW8.80063$q53.2076425@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129788

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207091918.708d8cad@posting.google.com...
> Randy wrote:
> >This link may cause problems for ya:
> >http://www.cafepress.com/cp/info/help/iprights.aspx
>
> I welcome whoever wants to cause "problems" to try something. In fact,
> let Twithed step forward and sue me. Who's "Twithed" anyway? Does
> someone "own" that name? Whoever he is, have him follow the
> instructions below to file his complaint. Especially #4.
>
> Your Buddy,
>
> -Aaron-

Oh well, that's his battle anyway. Sorry about not including the pics when I spoofed your site. BTW, you'll need to most likely exit Google to read it. Shouldn't be a problem you though. You've got other ISP's besides AOL, right?

760. Hey Aaron:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 04:58:27 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <T9PW8.67950$p85.2134173@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129789

What kind of lame site are you doing business with? Damn people don't even take credit cards? Jeesh! Next time use a real company.

-R

761. Re: Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 05:34:07 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <jHPW8.61840$eF5.2133836@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129790

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:H1NW8.61053$eF5.2098522@twister.austin.rr.com...

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207082249.5381fa59@posting.google.com...
> Just in time for summer! Was $15.99. Sale Price....NOW ONLY $12.99!

I fixed a few problems with Aaron's site. I think it's much better now.
Sorry for the HTML.

Keep Shopping

Shipping Information

Payment Options

View return and exchange policy

Need help with an order?

Order by Phone or Mail

30 Day Satisfaction Guarantee

Open your own
store!

ANTIKEYCLOWN GIFT SHOP

You don't have to be a sane member of society to be against illegal CB operators. Get all your ridiculous shit right here! (And watch this site for stupid NEW products!) *Note: all profits go to funding research into the problem of Aaron Voobner's multiple personalities and the continuing effort to find a cure.

To see more details for any product or to order any product, just click on the picture.

Classic "AKC SUCKS" T-Shirt!

$14.99

"AKCer's SUCK" Tank Top

$16.99

"EXPORT RADIOS BUG AARON ONLY" Sweatshirt

$21.99

"FREEBANDERS ARE MAKING AARON LOSE HIS MIND" Beach Hat

$15.99
Sale Price $12.99!

Jumbo "URINAL" Mug

$12.99

"FCC rule 2.815(b)" Douche Bag

$13.99

Official AARON HAS GRANDIOSE DELUSIONS Mousepad

$11.99

762. Re: "N8WWM IS TWITHED'S MASTER" Cap ----SALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 06:11:18 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207092211.3379e90f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129791

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<1qNW8.67750$p85.2103572@twister.austin.rr.com>...
"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207091918.708d8cad@posting.google.com...
>>> Randy wrote:
>>>>This link may cause problems for ya:
> >>http://www.cafepress.com/cp/info/help/iprights.aspx
>>>
>> I welcome whoever wants to cause "problems" to try something. In
fact,
>> let Twithed step forward and sue me. Who's "Twithed" anyway? Does
>> someone "own" that name? Whoever he is, have him follow the
>> instructions below to file his complaint. Especially #4.
>>
>> Your Buddy,
> >
>> -Aaron-
>
>Oh well, that's his battle anyway. Sorry about not including the pics
>when I spoofed your site. BTW, you'll need to most likely exit
>Google to read it. Shouldn't be a problem you though. You've
>got other ISP's besides AOL, right?

Of course. The AKC has many resources, graphic designers, writers,
programmers, web access specialists, countermeasures specialists,
photo analysts, etc. Very different from the poor keyclowns who are
limping along and handicapped from the get-go. Your spoof actually
wasn't half bad. It makes me wonder how come you're with the keyclowns
instead of the AKC. You could be on the winning team if you wanted to.
Ever considered flipping over to our side?

-Aaron-

763. Re: Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 06:14:25 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207092214.229c857e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129792

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<A4NW8.67599$p85.2100551@twister.austin.rr.com>...

>What kind of lame site are you doing business with? Damn
>people don't even take credit cards? Jeesh! Next time use a real
>company.

They do in fact take credit cards, Visa, Amex & Discover, last time
checked. Sure you clicked on the right link?

-A

764. Remember "All Ears" - Songs about the CB Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 07:45:43 UTC
From: Terry & Utahna Olsen <tolsen64@nospam.boycot.dns2go.com>
Message-ID: <HCRW8.455221$352.73207@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 129793

I used to have an LP called "All Ears" that was sold by Radio Shack way back when I was a small kid. It had a variety of CB songs on it such as:

Hey Shirley, This is Squirley
Everybody is Somebody (In our CB World)
L.J.'s CB Radio

Those are the three songs I remember most. Does anyone remember this? Or better yet, does anyone still have this album? I'd sure like to have a copy of it.

Thanks,
Terry
Old Time CB'er.

765. CLP hasn't measured squat!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 09:55:05 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2c0470.20990083@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129794

In <anylength-FF5149.01320609072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

<snip>
>Sparky, you are backpedaling now. Regardless of what you meant to say
>the meter was, you're point was that a "mere" 5 pF was not nearly
>enough to drive an SWR meter. The reality is that you are wrong
>and I am right. I just checked an SWR meter here. It uses a 100 uA
>meter. This is sensitive enough even for 5 pF in series with a
>"fixed resistor somewhere in the neighborhood of 10K."

I wasn't backpedalling, I was admitting my mistake. See how easy it
is? Try it sometime.

>> BUT WAIT! If your 5pF cap will give you full deflection on the meter,
>> why bother with the inductive coupling? By golly, some wattmeters
>> don't! Some wattmeters work via capacitive coupling, not inductive.
>> Didn't I say that somewhere before?
>
>No, but you would still be wrong.

Ya know what? I DID say that:

>You can build a power meter that works by capacitive coupling, and I'm
>sure many people have already done so. The effect is the same. But any
>power meter that uses both capacitive and inductive coupling is going
>to get some very skewed readings when phase isn't perfect.

Gee, I wonder what else you forgot...

>> Also, if you read some of those schematics (try it sometime, you might
>> learn something) you will see that most of the time when an inductive
>> coupling is used for the measurement of power, the caps aren't even
>> connected to the meter's rectifier! Did you ever think that maybe
>> those caps are just used to compensate for the inductance of the
>> unshielded section of transmission line used for the pickup wire plus
>> the inductive load of the coupling? Of coure you didn't, "dumbass".
>
>Sparky, even if you had SWR meter schematics (and post them if you do),
>they would not show the inductive and capacitive coupling. This
>coupling is achieved by hardware within the meter; not lumped elements
>like capacitors and inductors. What you say is like saying, "look at
>the schematic for a magnetron." There may be a schematic symbol for
>a magnetron but there is no schematic that describes it and the
>operation within it.
>

>Within the SWR meter, there is a coupling loop (and sometimes two for
>forward and backward) located in proximity to the transmission line
>center conductor.

Hey, here's a book you might have or can probably find at your local
library: "Master Handbook of 1001 Practical Electronic Circuits" from
TAB Books, 1977. It has no less than a dozen power/SWR meter circuits,
and ALL of them include the coupling. The best one is on page 314,
which is a diagram of the construction details of the coupling that is
used in the tuner/meter schematic on page 315. It actually uses two
seperate pickup wires, one for forward power and one for reflected
power. And no caps. I flip back a page and there's another SWR meter
circuit, this one using a toroid transformer for the inductive
coupling. And yet another circuit just a few pages away has the
inductive link as a piece of wire shoved inside a section of coax.

> This loop provides both capacitive and inductive
>coupling to the transmission line center conductor.

Capacitive coupling certainly exists between two short parallel wires,
but in the function of these circuits it's value is insignificant.
Even if you twist the wires together tightly you are going to get only
a couple picofarads (ever hear of a 'gimmick' capacitor?) Most pickup
wires are spaced far enough away from the power-carrying conductor as
to provide negligible capacitive coupling (it's intentional because
too much capacitive coupling skews the readings... hmmm... didn't I
say THAT before?)

>> >[More of Sparky's non-responsive ramblings snipped]
>> >
>> >> >For a transmission line with a characteristic impedance of 50 ohms,
>> >> >the forward current equals the forward voltage divided by 50. This is a
>> >> >fact. Period. End of story. What do you think characteristic
>> >> >impedance means anyway?
>> >>
>> >> That says nothing about phase, which is the issue YOU raised but are
>> >> now backpedalling away from.
>> >
>> >Sparky, you are delirious. And you didn't answer my question.
>>
>> Hey, I bet even Rat Shack's dictionary knows this one.
>>
>> Characteristic impedance:
>>
>> 1. The driving-point impedance of a line if it were of infinite
>> length. [it doesn't say 'the impedance of the signal at the
>> driving-point', does it? It's talking about a characteristic of the
>> transmission line, NOT the signal!]
>
>You fail to see the meaning of characteristic impedance. It IS
>the impedance of any signal within the transmission line; that is

>why you see this impedance at the feedpoint.

No, you have still failed to measure the characteristic impedance of a transmission line. The impedance at the input of a FINITE transmission line changes according to the load impedance at the other end of the line.

>Also, why do you think they stipulate that it is infinite length?
>Answer: because they are eliminating any and all reflected signals.

Wrong: For a line of infinite length, all the power is dissipated by the line. Therefore, the line IS the load, and the charateristic impedance becomes the load impedance.

>Thus, the impedance given is the impedance of the forward signal.
>Hmmm, this is exactly what I said.

Yes, you said it, and you are wrong again. As I said before, the input impedance of a line changes according to the load impedance at the other end. Test it yourself... oh, that's right, you don't like to do any hand's-on experimentation for fear of being proven wrong...

>> 3. The radio of voltage to current at every point along a transmission
>> line on which there are no standing waves. [Gee! No standing waves!
>> How about that!]
>
>Again, no reflected signal. Thus, the impedance given is the
>impedance of the forward signal. Hmmm, this is exactly what I said.

If there are no standing waves then the source and load impedances are equal to the characteristic impedance of the line. What is being measured is the ratio of voltage to current at every point along the length WHEN (while the condition exists that) there are no standing waves on the line. It says nothing about the ratio of voltage to current on a transmission line WITH standing waves, does it?

>> 4. The square root of the product of the open- and short-circuit
>> impedance of the line. [describing a method to measure the
>> characteristic impedance of a transmission line, NOT the impedance of
>> the SIGNAL.]
>
>This is a strange one I have to think about.

If you have to think about it then you have never measured the characteristic impedance of a transmission line. Try this: go to the BAMA site and download the manual for an impedance bridge (Heathkit AM-1, Knight Z-bridge, etc), and read it.

> What if the line
>were 1/4 wavelength long? This would be the square root of zero
>times infinity. That doesn't work for me. What do you get?

Is this a super-conductive transmission line that you can get zero ohms impedance? Have you ever measured infinity? Don't be a dumbshit.

>> Now try this, "dumbass". Take a common AC plug and a piece of coax.
>> Hook up the plug to the coax and terminate the coax with the resistor
>> of your choice (choose wisely). Now plug it in and measure the
>> impedance of your new signal at any point along the transmission line.
>> Does it equal 50 ohms? Not unless your terminating resistor was 50
>> ohms.
>
>Sparky, you fail to understand the concepts here. Characteristic
>impedance is the ratio of electric and magnetic components of an
>electromagnetic field as it propogates within a medium. Even free
>space has a characterisitic impedance (377 ohms). You cannot change
>that no matter how you source the signals or their reflections.

First, you are contradicting yourself. You say that characteristic impedance is the impedance of a signal, but then you say that the characteristic impedance of free space (which is not a signal) is 377 ohms. Only one of those statements is true, and it ain't the first one.

Why do you think it's called the "characteristic" impedance? Because the medium has 'characteristics' that permit the lossless propogation of signals at a specific impedance. That doesn't mean signals with other impedances won't propogate, they just won't propogate without loss. (Of course a real transmission line isn't a perfect medium, so there will be losses even at the characteristic impedance.)

CLP, it is YOU that doesn't understand 'characteristic impedance'. Go back to school.

>Within a coax, the electric field is virtually synonymous with
>voltage and the magnetic field is virtually synonymous with current.
>Maxwell's equations state the direct relationship.

.....? Are you trying to talk about E- and H-fields?

>To answer your question, if you were able to separate the forward
>and reflected 60 Hz signals within that coax, you would indeed find
>that they are propagating at the characteristic impedance of the coax.
>It is because you have reflected signals that your input impedance
>may not be 50 ohms.

Really? Time for a math test: Take 100 feet of 50 ohm coax, feed it with 120 VAC (since most breakers for house current are 20 Amps, we'll fix the source impedance at 6 ohms), and terminate it with 1000 ohms non-reactive. Disregarding any resistive losses in the coax, calculate the forward and reflected power, then use those calculations to determine the input impedance of the coax.

But you are still contradicting yourself by saying that the input impedance changes because of reflected power while insisting that the impedance of the signals in a transmission line do not change AT ALL. Maybe you can explain that, too.

> As your definitions point out above, there must
>be no reflected signal in order to directly see the impedance of the
>forward signal.

...huh?

>> So when was the last time you actually measured the characteristic
>> impedance of a transmission line, "dumbass"?
>
>I never did.

No shit, I could tell.

> I asked how YOU measured it to ensure that your
>experiment was meaningful. I'm still waiting for your answer.

Above I referred you to a couple manuals. Read them. Matter of fact,
why don't you try and measure the characteristic impedance of...
...oops, that's right, more "hands-on" stuff. Forget it.

>In my case, I buy really, really expensive cables from Gore which
>they ensure will be as close to 50 ohms as you will ever find.
>That's their specialty; they know how to do it better than anyone.

That's a cop-out. An engineer deals with all kinds of transmission
lines, not just 50/75/93 ohm coax, or 300 ohm twin-lead. And while you
are at it, you might as well tell what connectors you are using that
have 50 ohm impedance -- you aren't using PL-259/SO-239 connectors,
are you? You said that they cause reflection, so what connectors do
you use? And if you are using calibrated coax, what about the source
and load? Are they calibrated by the same company?

Regardless, if you can't measure a cable yourself, how are you going
to determine if a run is good or bad? Are you going to stick your
customers with the cost of replacing a run with "really, really
expensive" coax just because you skipped class when they were
explaining 'characteristic impedance'? Haven't you ever used an
impedance bridge? It's probably one of the cheapest pieces of test
equipment you can buy (or build), and it does so much, you just have
to read the directions. Are you so lazy that you would squander your
money on some "really, really expensive" coax instead of buying an
impedance bridge and learning to use it? Better think about that one
for a while, too!

>> >> >Now an example for the slow thinkers out there:
>> >> >with a 50 volt forward voltage in a coax of 50 ohms characteristic
>> >> >impedance, there will 1 amp of forward current. That is, 50/50.
>> >> >
>> >> >According to Sparky, it would be -1 amps. This claim has no basis
>> >> >in reality.
>> >>
>> >> An obfuscation based on your ignorance of phase relationships. When
>> >> current and voltage are in phase, current follows voltage. Just like
>> >> DC. But on a transmission line, we don't talk DC. The voltage

>> >> alternates between the p-p voltage, the current alternates between p-p
>> >> current, and the two are not always in phase. In fact, they are 180
>> >> degrees out of phase for a non-reactive transmission line. Quit trying
>> >> to confuse the facts.
>> >
>> >Talk about confusing the facts! What is a "non-reactive transmission
>> >line?"
>>
>> Ohmigosh! Take some time out of your day to look up what it means to
>> have a 'flat' transmission line. Hint: it has nothing to do with the
>> physical characteristics.
>
>So, you are saying 'flat' transmission line = "non-reactive transmission
>line"? Is that correct? Do you consider "flat" to mean absense
>of standing waves? I'm still not sure what you are saying here.

You are not going to have a flat line if the line is reactive, are
you? Duh...

<snip>
>This contradicts what you said and you are praising me for it.
>You said "they are 180 degrees out of phase for a non-reactive
>transmission line." Isn't a transmission line with no reflections
>"non-reactive?"

Forget what I said. Do you have a dual-trace o-scope? Crack open a
coax and.... damnit, there I did it again -- suggesting you actually
measure something! I need to get out of that habit!

>> >> I'm sorry, CLP, but it appears the concept of electromagnetic
>> >> induction is too fundamental for you to understand. This is really
>> >> simple: if you have a current going one way in a wire, it will induce
>> >> a current going the opposite direction in a parallel wire. It's just
>> >> too simple. The problem you seem to be having is the direction of the
>> >> original current: and I'm suprised you are having that problem, since
>> >> that was the whole basis of your argument several months ago.
>> >
>> >Sparky, again it is you who does not understand the concepts involved
>> >here. Sure, it induces an AC signal. The key to the understanding is
>> >that we are talking about AC. It is an alternating current. There
>> >is no way to look at this single parameter and determine the direction
>> >of the signal on your coax. The forward signal induces an alternating
>> >current and the reflected signal induces an alternating current. They
>> >look the same.
>
>["Oh really?" stuff snipped]
>
>> First, you add an inductive link, less than 1/4 wave in length, next
>> to the transmission line. Then you measure the induced potential from
>> one end of this pickup wire with reference to the other end AND ALSO
>> with reference to ground. If potential at end A is HIGHER than end B -

>> WHILE THE SUM OF THE POTENTIALS IS RISING - then the signal is moving
>> in direction X; conversely, if the potential at end A is LOWER than
>> end B - again WHILE THE SUM OF THE POTENTIALS IS RISING - then the
>> signal is moving in the OTHER direction.
>>
>> You don't need pontoons to tell which direction the wave is coming
>> from, and you don't need caps to compliment an inductive link. Like I
>> said, it's basic electromagnetics, DUMBASS!
>
>Hee hee! It figures you would give a non-applicable analogy. You don't
>get TWO pontoons with your SWR meter; you get one. And it goes up and
>donw in place and that is it. There is no way to determine wave
>direction with that.

You don't need ANY pontoons (capacitors) to determine direction, just
the boat (inductive link). That was the whole purpose of my
explanation. Did you even read it?

>If you disassemble your SWR meter, you will find ONE loop for each
>measurement. Some meters provide two: one for the forward measurement
>and one for the reflected measurement. But they never use two
>simultaneously as your analogy requires.

I never said it uses two at the same time. You only need one pickup
wire and a switch (FWD/REF). Some meters use two pickups because some
meters have two seperate meters that read simultaneously, usually
POWER and SWR. The effect is the same -- you can't understand a simple
explanation.

> In terms of measuring
>current, all these loops can do is measure the sum total of forward
>and reflected current. No directional determination can be made
>solely from that information.

Bullshit. Page 490 has the simplest power meter in the book. Let's see
if I can copy it ASCII (using fixed width font):



VR1 = Variable Resistor, 250 ohms
VR2 = Variable Resistor, 50k ohms (sensitivity)
AK = Diode ('A' = anode, 'K' = cathode)
meter = 0-1 mA

And here's the description: "An SWR bridge is valuable for adjusting an antenna. The critical part of the bridge is a piece of coax cable with an extra wire inserted between the cable dielectric and the shield."

How much simpler can it get? Not much. How many capacitors do you see (although I would add one across the meter)? None. How many pickup loops are there? One. How much dumber are you going to act? Who knows.

>Also, how can you conclude that the potential is strictly from
>induction and not partially from capacitive coupling?

See the above paragraph about the gimmick capacitor. Also, notice that many of these couplings are actually traces on a pc board, where edge-to-edge capacticance is almost nil. Hey, here's an idea: why don't you take one of your own meters and measure the capacitance... <insert sound of me kicking myself in the ass for suggesting you actually measure something>

>Furthermore, how can you compare an induced voltage to ground when
>such a voltage would be independent of ground (ever hear of an
>isolation transformer). The only component which is not independent
>from ground is the voltage. Hmmm, this seems to jibe with the way
>I claim it works; not your way.

Well, since both VR1 and the meter are connected to the shield of the coax, I wouldn't say that the pickup is totally isolated. Would you?

>[stuff not even worth explaining for the tenth time to Sparky snipped]

And I'm getting tired of explaining characteristic impedance. Are you ever going to learn what it means? or do you just like to argue?

>> >> If, as you insist,
>> >> the current and voltage are 180 degrees out of phase for the reflected
>> >> power, but in-phase on the forward power -- and if your power meter
>> >> requires both voltage and current measurements -- then your whole
>> >> system of SWR measurement fails in a puff of phase. Do the math and
>> >> you will see your error.
>> >
>> >I did the math and it works. I showed you, dumbass. Show us how the
>> >math fails. "Do the math" is another fluff response. It is a
>> >non-answer.
>>
>> Tell ya what: study my boat analogy for a while, then explain why YOU
>> think that both voltage and current need to be measured to determine
>> the direction of power.
>
>I already explained why your analogy is incorrect. You don't get

>two sample points; you only get one.

You can't even understand how the circuit works even by looking at the
schematic above?

> Now, I'll give you a more
>accurate analogy. You have a single pontoon for measuring
>wave height with a little propeller for simultaneously measuring
>current. These two measurements are summed together and detected
>with the equivalent of analog circuitry.
>
>A forward wave will have the following characteristics:
>Positve wave with positive current or
>Negative wave with negative current.

Positive and negative current with respect to what? Direction of the
current? Do you mean 'positive' current is flowing towards the load
and 'negative' current moves the other way? Or do you mean that the
current is increasing when it's 'positive' and decreasing when it's
'negative'?

<snip>

Tell ya what, CLP, I'm going to do you a favor an not even respond to
this dribble. It's obvious that you have never studied transverse
electromagnetic propogation in free space OR transmission lines.

>> >> >> While the o-scope might show a distorted
>> >> >> waveform, it is still only two sine waves.
>> >> >
>> >> >Why would it be distorted? Adding two sine waves of the same
>> >> >frequency yields a sine wave. It may have a different magnitude
>> >> >or it may have a different phase but it will be a pure, undistorted
>> >> >sine wave.
>> >>
>> >> Only when they are in phase or 180 degrees out of phase. Adding two
>> >> sine waves of the same frequency but are phased 0 > r > 180 > r > 360
>> >> degrees apart does not result in a sine wave.
>> >
>> >Sparky, again you are showing your ignorance. This one can be
>> >easily demonstrated. Just try to add two sine waves at the same
>> >frequency with ANY phase difference and see what you get.
>> >
>> >Go ahead and do it. If you are right, I will kiss your feet.
>> >If I am right, you must agree that you are a dumbass.
>>
>> Pucker up, "dumbass" -- I can shift the phase to give the original
>> freq at twice the magnitude,
>
>Yes, but it is not distorted; it is still a sine wave.
>
>> or I can shift it so both signals cancel and I get a flat line,

>
>Yes, that is the noninteresting result (kind of like multiplying
>by zero). What you get is a sine wave of amplitude zero -- still
>no distortion.
>
>> or I can shift it so I get a double-humped sine wave.
>
>You absolutely, positively cannot do this by adding two sine waves
>of the same frequency, dumbass. Show us how or forever be the
>newsgroup dumbass.
>
>Give us the math for the two sine waves being added.
>Show us graphically.
>Show us any way you can.
>
>You cannot.

In a perfect world, adding two sine waves at any phase shift results
in a sine wave. But it's not a perfect world, and coax is FAR from
being a product of mathematical precision. Like I said at first, put a
SWR-loaded line on the scope and you might see distorted sine waves.
It happens because of "mixing", or "modulation" if you prefer, and it
happens all the time to a greater or lesser degree. All you need is a
non-linear device, active or passive. A transmission line can only be
expected to be linear when the signal is at it's characteristic
impedance. Am I saying that you will always see this mixing? No, which
is why I said "might" in the original statement. But I don't think
that I have ever seen two signals 'added' without introducing some
distortion, even at audio frequencies. Have you? Oh, that's right,
that would require you to actually measure something...

>> >> What? Are you going to say that power meters can read
>> >> voltages/currents at 27 million times per second? Yeah, right.
>> >
>> >No problem. The voltage signals and current signals are
>> >summed with passive analog circuitry and then detected with
>> >a diode.
>>
>> Now that makes sense... NOT! What the hell are you doing summing
>> measuring power with the sum of the voltage and current when you need
>> the PRODUCT of the voltage and current? And what is your "passive
>> analog circuitry"? The whole wattmeter is "passive analog circuitry",
>> even the diode! You don't even know what you are talking about,
>> "dumbass"!

<snip>

>I gave an analogy. I showed the math. I showed the problems with your
>claims. 'Nuff said. Case closed.

Except you missed the point entirely. Remember this?

I said:
>> But power meters do not
>> read instantaneous voltages or currents,

...and YOU said:
>Wrong! Stop already!

Yeah, it's what you snipped from the quoted text above. But get back to the point and explain how power meters read INSTANTANEOUS voltages and currents.

Now let's get some things straight, and maybe everything else can be snipped if these points are cleared up. Are you saying that:

1. A transmission line can only admit a signal with the same impedance as the characteristic impedance of the line?

2. Every signal that propogates in a transmission line must propogate at the characteristic impedance of the line?

3. Standing waves cannot deviate from the characteristic impedance of the line?

4. A power meter must measure both voltage and current?

5. A power meter cannot determine direction if it is using only a single pickup wire?

And one other thing, what are the first 5 words on page 146?

766. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 11:36:50 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D2C1C52.5C2C@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129795

Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE wrote:
>
> Let's see, how did this all begin?
>
> Twisty boy made a false claim of something that I "said." I never said that
> I made 27 busts this year. To make it perfectly clear (said in a Nixon
> voice) I was a "witness" gathering evidence in various ways that has caused
> NALs (Notice of Apparent Liability) to have been served on 27 individuals.
> Of those some have simply "plead guilty" and have done what the FCC had
> demanded. This has ranged form suspension of license for some period of
> time to reduction in license class to levying of fines to complete loss of
> license and fines. No, Twisty, I'm not backpedaling. What I am intending
> to do is bring back into focus what I actually said and what I meant after
> you twisted it around to say something that I didn't. I don't believe I
> ever said that they are all in court or have all been prosecuted. In fact I
> KNOW that I never said that. This is Twisty's assumption and twisting of my
> words. All of his claims that I am lying began with one of his own lies,
> misstatements, half-truths, misquotes, misrepresentation of the facts,
> intentional fabrications, hooey, whatever he wants to call it -- it was a
> lie. So have all of his other accusations against me. They start with him
> twisting what I said around so that he is correct in saying that is isn't
> true. But if you look back on what I actually said it doesn't come close to
> the MEANING of what Twisty has put into it.

That's his typical M.O. for any "debate" (and I do use that term VERY
loosely) that he may choose to enter into. He is skilled at obfuscation,
and changing meanings. Even when one clearly expresses the point, twisty
will instead focus on the nuances, rather than the substance of a point.

>
> I learned many years ago that it is impossible to win a debate or argument
> with a fool. Like a fool Twisty's logic changes from post to post just as
> his accusations do. As I punch holes in them he changes.

One of his biggest hangups during one of our earlier altercations, was
to continually attempt to steer the focus of the debate from illegal CB
operations to USENET ettiquette. I assume he was attempting to make the
point that "people in glass houses shouldn't throw rocks". In any case
his comparison was irrelevant to the debate, but he continued to make an
issue out of it anyway.

> One of his
> tactics is what is called "tit for tat" which is nothing more than saying,
> "Well, what about you?" and "I asked you first."

Also true. One cannot make a comment regarding CB operation, without twisty countering that "hammies are guilty of XYZ" as well. Two wrongs still don't make a right, and neither does a counterpoint diminish the truth of the original point.

> This will cause you to
> lose a debate. His latest claim is that I claimed to be an "OFFICIAL FCC
> OBSERVER." I never said that. I explained this in another post and am
> waiting to see what creative answer he can come up with this time. Of
> course, Twisty, there is a big advantage to being anonymous, you can say
> what you want, make whatever claims you want and you don't have to be
> accountable for any of them.

Twisty has no real debate skills. He is incapable of staying on topic, he seems to have trouble comprehending simple points and analogies, while accusing the other party of exactly the same thing. His "stradegy", if you could call it that, is to twist meanings, obfuscate points, and then accuse others of "lieing", to put them on the defensive, while never offering up any bits of factual information to prove his side. He claims to speak for the majority of people, yet I have never seen anyone jump to his defense during any of his "debates". He also has an over inflated ego, and cannot possibly comprehend how so many people can find fault with him. To counter that, he claims that each and every person on the other side is really just one person posting under numerous accounts. He probably thinks that you, me, Aaron, Frank etc, are all the same person.
He then claims victory when the other side simply becomes frustrated or loses interest in pursuing further exchanges. He is as predictable as PMS, you can almost tell exactly what posts he will respond to, and almost exactly what he will say in response. I've baited him a few times just to prove my theory. He fell, hook, line and sinker.

He reminds me of that old Monty Python skit where the guy pays for an arguement, but gets only rote contradiction. Twisty will counter anything you say, but can never back up any of it with fact or even a good theory.

Which is why he is refered to as the newsgroup punch clown. He's good to lash out at if you want to get something off of your chest, or just want to do the intellectual equivilent of bitch slapping. String him along until you tire of him, and then let him babble to himself.
But he's not worth the effort to take seriously.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

767. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 12:16:26 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D2C259A.7343@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129796

Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
> > Bill Nelson wrote:
> >>
> >> But don't blame all the incursions into the Ham frequencies on "CBers".
>
> > You're basically arguing semantics. Technically anyone, who isn't
> > operating on the assigned 40 channels, is not a "CBer". Since there is
> > no license or certificate of authorization giving a "CBer" license to
> > operate, there is no real "claim" to that chunk of spectrum or operating
> > privileges. When you run on an unauthorized frequency, you are
> > technically just a "bootlegger".
>
> Possibly. But I do not consider the bootlegger to be a CBer unless they
> operate on the CB band at least part of the time.

I think that I could safely say that that's most of them. Though, I'm
sure that there might be a few cases of people who just buy ham or
commercial rigs and bootleg on unauthorized freqs from the get-go, most
at least got their start from CB and went from there.

> Many of those that were busted were using Ham gear on Ham frequencies.
> It is unlikely that they ever operated on CB frequencies.

Is it really that unlikely? Where did that bootlegger get his first
taste of radio? Since CB is legal, and cheap, it stands to reason that
this is the first stop for many person's radio careers, legal or
otherwise, especially in the last 25 years, when CB became a national
fad.

>
> I prefer to call anyone who operates out of band a bootlegger, and not
> tack on Ham or CBer.

I would agree, as this is more technically correct.

>
> > This is where hams are at a disadvantage. If a "CBer" chooses to become
> > a "freebander", he is no longer considered a "CBer", and would be
> > prosecuted as a someone operating on an unauthorized frequency. If said
> > "CBer's" buddy, who also happens to be a ham, decides to join his freind
> > for a QSO on 27.555, he is now a ham operating out of band, and stands
> > to lose his license along with other fines.
>
> I would consider them both to be bootleggers - irregardless of whether

> they were operating "up" from the CB band or "down" from 10 meters.

Yes, but the point that I was trying to make, is that there is no legally binding agreement to operate a CB radio. You're just Joe Citizen. If you stray off of the legal channels, you could plead ignorance, and a host of other things, and you can't be held totally responsible. A ham, on the other hand, has a license, that proves that he passed a test on, among other things, a knowlege of rules and operating practices, including assigned frequencies. A ham busted on freeband, cannot use the excuse that he didn't know better. The presence of the license proves otherwise.

> In the FCC enforcements, it appears that cites are often for "CBers
> operating out of band" or "Amateur operating out of band". So if my
> memory is correct, the FCC does not automatically "no longer consider
> a person a CBer" when they operate out of band.

In some of the memo's that I've read, when a bootlegger is busted, who is also a ham, the fact that he was a ham operating on an unassigned frequency is usually stated. When an assumed "CBer" is busted for operating out of band, it usually does not mention CB at all. Rather it is usually something like "operating an unlicensed station, on unauthorized frequencies" or something similar.

What makes a person a CBer? Technically, operating a part 95 accepted tranceiver on assigned channels. Once that is no longer true, they are not really "CBers" anymore, just radio pirates.

> > A ham, who runs on the freeband, has more to lose than a regular
> > citizen, who has no license.
>
> Correct. To balance that inequity, the Ham has far more freedom to choose
> bands of operation, frequencies within those bands, modes of operation,
> legal power limits etc.
>
> Why a licensed Ham would operate out of band - with so much to lose, is
> something I cannot understand.

It's a little silly. But I can understand it. Many people start out on CB, upgrade to ham radio, but still enjoy the friendships that they've made on CB. While they may add ham radio to their hobby list, they still enjoy CB, and many times will step over that fine line that differentiates a CBer from a bootlegger. Many think that because they're using a modified CB, and that their ham radios are on a table 5 feet away, that they can be treated seperately in the eyes of the law. That's just not the case. You can't "turn off" the ham license when you want to be a CBer or freebander.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

768. Re: QUOTE: From Roadking magzine, anyone see this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 13:35:41 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D2C380A.C721474C@icok.net>
Source record: 129797

kwthom,
I think you might take another look at PL-106-521.
I think you will find that technical assistance can
be requested of the FCC, but it doesn't say it has
to be. It does say that the convicted can appeal the
decision of a local court to the FCC. It does not
say that the FCC has to be involved at all, other than
in an appeal. Not as many 'loop-holes' as you may
think...
'Doc

769. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 13:45:23 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2c38ba$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129798

Ok, I'll play along one more time.

You say that I made this claim of busting 27 people since Christmas. Just for the sake of argument I'll let that go. You claim to have verified that I did not make these busts. Apparently you have made contact with the various courts in Texas that have contradicted what I have said. Please give us names, phone number and the times that you called so that we can all verify this. Did you "make calls" that were unable to verify my claims or did you make calls that were able to contradict my claims. There is a BIG difference. You see I have never seen your brain, I don't know anyone else who has ever seen your brain. In fact I doubt that anyone in the entire world has seen your brain. So we can't verify that it exists at all. So if we use your logic of "unable to verify" then your brain doesn't exist.

So, lets have names, places, phone numbers, dates and times that you called these places to check up on me. I don't want EMails or web sites, those can be faked, I want names and phone numbers so that I can call them. If you can't then by your standards you are a liar.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3d2b6bd1$0$13951$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> Wrong again bubba, keep trying.
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:17648-3D2AF9C5-667@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
> >Yes I've noticed this. He's down to arguing semantics and trying to
> argue with words I never said.
> Kevin, WB5RUE<
>
> The try noticing what is put in front of you. You claimed you had a hand
> in 27 folks being tied up in the FEDERAL court system since Xmas,
> showing for absolute certain you have no clue what the court system
> entails, let alone a time frame that is impossible to have in the courts
> that soon...and all in Texas...mmmmph!.
>
>
>

770. Re: Remember "All Ears" - Songs about the CB Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 14:27:04 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2c427d$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129799

I HAD THAT ONE! Didn't it have a picture taken through the windshield of a guy in his rig? Wasn't it on 8-track too?

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Terry & Utahna Olsen" <tolsen64@nospam.boycot.dns2go.com> wrote in message news:HCRW8.455221$352.73207@sccrnsc02...
> I used to have an LP called "All Ears" that was sold by Radio Shack way back
> when I was a small kid. It had a variety of CB songs on it such as:
>
> Hey Shirley, This is Squirley
> Everybody is Somebody (In our CB World)
> L.J.'s CB Radio
>
> Those are the three songs I remember most. Does anyone remember this? Or
> better yet, does anyone still have this album? I'd sure like to have a copy
> of it.
>
> Thanks,
> Terry
> Old Time CB'er.
>
>

771. Re: Twistedhed Gets Caught In The Undertow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 14:31:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12881-3D2C4537-22@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129800

Hello again , Frank.
If you were in touch with Carol at all, you wouldn't have to change the original claim that had you choking on crow.
There has been no laws, ordinances passed by the city of Beloit in response to HR 2346 that they helped sponsor...end of story.

Did you notice this little ditty from our lying friend Muenzler? Almost like as if you took him under your wing <g>.....you tell the group I live in WV and am a hammie,,,Munzler tells the group I'm coax length police....as was shown, you boobs don't know anything about anything. Now carry on and do try to keep y'alls anger in check and if your comprehension and poor communication skills will allow, try and not allow me to control your ire and stick to the issues that you are wrong about...like Beloit not passing any laws in response to HR 2346...here's another freebie educational fact for you that you apparently were unable to unearth concerning the law.....local laws passed prior to HR 2346 are not granfathered in. Enjoy.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

772. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 14:47:15 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12881-3D2C48F3-24@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129802

Wrong? I'm beginning to think you have a serious ailment on top pf
habitual lying. Check it out:

Muenzler claimed: "I never said "busts", those were your words."

But then we look at his very first post in the thread and find.....
"I don't believe I ever said successfully prosecuted I said "busts."

See how the lies come back and bite you in your azz, Kevin.

Moving on, we have your current denial, telling me I'm wrong...but
again..verbatim from your lying little lips we find again in your first
post:

"We turned over information to about 35 people since December and there
are 27 that I know of that are either on their way to court, in court or
have been through court."

Just like any information, your lies are available and easily accessed.
I can find many more, and Frank is so impotent in running to your aid,
he thinks I'm in WV. You can't explain any of your lies away, Muenzler,
but I did so enjoy watch you decimate your reputation while trying to
stand taller than you actually are just to attempt to pee on my leg.

<<

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

773. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 14:58:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12881-3D2C4B8F-26@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129804

Muenzler wrote today:
BZZZT! Wrong. I never said "Official FCC observer." That statement is a product of your imagination.

But said in his very first post in the thread:
>"It was me and 14 other FCC Official Observers who work the San Antonio/South Texas area"<

Moving on...we have your amusing snap:
>"BZZT! Strike two. I never claimed a single bust. Again, a product of your imagination."<

But again, from your first post, we have not only you denying the "prosecutions" but actually CLARIFYING that they were busts.
"I don't believe I ever said successfully prosecuted I said "busts." If I did then it was misleading and unintentional."

Lie upon lie upon lie....

.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

774. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 14:59:28 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12881-3D2C4BD0-27@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129803

You've baited only 'cause you're a troll, Davie.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

775. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 15:06:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12881-3D2C4D7B-31@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129801

>Ok, I'll play along one more time.<

No need. The entire world sees you for a liar, now.

>You say that I made this claim of busting 27 people since Christmas. <

Well, since the 27'th of December.

>Just for the sake of argument I'll let that go. <

LMAO! You don't have a choice in the matter, as you are slowly realizing.

>You claim to have verified that I did not make these busts. Apparently you have made contact with the various courts in Texas that have contradicted what I have said. Please give us names, phone number and the times that you called so that we can all verify this. <

Certainly. AS you claimed, these services cost fees. Provide a valid contact number and I can call you and we can discuss my fees.

>Did you "make calls" that were unable to verify my claims or did you make calls that were able to contradict my claims. There is a BIG difference. You see I have never seen your brain, I don't know anyone else who has ever seen your brain. In fact I doubt that anyone in the entire world has seen your brain. So we can't verify that it exists at all. So if we use your logic of "unable to verify" then your brain doesn't exist. <

But everyone that frequents the ng can see the lies you tell and lie about some more. Do you have an alcohol problem, Kev? You seem to be incapable of posting and focusing on any issue except for your intense personal dislike of me.

>So, lets have names, places, phone numbers, dates and times that you called these places to check up on me. I don't want EMails or web sites, those can be faked, I want names and phone numbers so that I can call them. If you can't then by your standards you are a liar.
Kevin, WB5RUE<

Following the thread the last few days, I'm not at all cocerned with the general consensus, but you should be. Nevertheless, I even accept personal checks, but there will be ten day hold on all out of state checks and a 25.00 NSF fee.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

776. Re: Remember "All Ears" - Songs about the CB Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 15:10:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12881-3D2C4E50-32@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129806

From: tolsen64@nospam.boycot.dns2go.com (Terry & Utahna Olsen)
>I used to have an LP called "All Ears" that was sold by Radio Shack way back when I was a small kid. It had a variety of CB songs on it such as:
Hey Shirley, This is Squirley
Everybody is Somebody (In our CB World)
L.J.'s CB Radio
Those are the three songs I remember most. Does anyone remember this? Or better yet, does anyone still have this album? I'd sure like to have a copy of it.
Thanks,
Terry
Old Time CB'er.<

Hell yea, I remember this,,all I remember is the first line...."Hey Shirley, this is Squirley, have you got your ears on?" I don't have this particular song, but I do have a very old album with a bunch of other cb tunes.

777. Re: QUOTE: From Roadking magzine, anyone see this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 15:11:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12881-3D2C4E9C-33@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129808

The article is new, Jerry.

778. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 15:13:30 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12883-3D2C4F1A-8@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129810

>They have? That's not what TWISTEDHED says. He claims there are no
complaints made about illegal CB. I guess he'd better call up that Open
Road Trucker magazine and set them straight :)
-A<

Now now, just because you're madder than you have been in quite
sometime, doesn't give you permission to speak for others. When you are
wanted, I'll let you know.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

779. Re: Sparky's lies [was Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 15:17:58 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12881-3D2C5026-35@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129809

From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin=A0Muenzler,=A0WB5RUE)
>Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.<

Sheer brilliance. No one needs say anything more considering your
credibility. It indeed stands alone.

>LMAO! Did you move,Twist? <

Yea, my bowels from laughing so hard...<g> Good to see the board getting
back to fun cb stuff.

780. Re: Sparky's lies [was Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 15:22:45 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21442-3D2C5145-10@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129812

>I don't understand why CoaxlengthPolice is calling you "Frank Sparky
Aaron". The only one who calls you that is Randy.
-A<

Actually, Frank calls me a hammie in WV. Kevin calls me coaxpolice.

http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/store.aspx?storeid=antikeyclown

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

781. Re: Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 15:30:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21444-3D2C52F8-5@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129807

The urinal mug is pretty cool. A few of us had hospital-type urinals in college that we used to take to frat parties...they were a great conversation starter when filled with beer.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

782. Re: "N8WWM IS TWITHED'S MASTER" Cap ----SALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 15:32:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21447-3D2C539E-2@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129811

I am without a doubt absolutely honored and humbled by the homage paid me from such a colorful lot.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

783. Re: QUOTE: From Roadking magzine, anyone see this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 15:54:43 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <5EYW8.6539$JU3.843975@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129805

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:12881-3D2C4E9C-33@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> The article is new, Jerry.

Gee, it took 'em long enough to write about it. Of course, I haven't seen
it. In the
context in which he was reporting it, it made it seem as tho the law had
just been
passed.

Jerry
>

784. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 16:07:30 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <6QYW8.6632$JU3.847192@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129813

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D2C259A.7343@worldlynx.net...
<snip>

> > Why a licensed Ham would operate out of band - with so much to lose, is
> > something I cannot understand.
>
> It's a little silly. But I can understand it. Many people start out on
> CB, upgrade to ham radio, but still enjoy the friendships that they've
> made on CB. While they may add ham radio to their hobby list, they still
> enjoy CB, and many times will step over that fine line that
> differentiates a CBer from a bootlegger. Many think that because they're
> using a modified CB, and that their ham radios are on a table 5 feet
> away, that they can be treated seperately in the eyes of the law. That's
> just not the case. You can't "turn off" the ham license when you want to
> be a CBer or freebander.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

And there were about 3 hams that got hit for operating on 27.585 on the last
RainReport/ARRL Enforcement column---in Indiana, I think. I really wonder
if they were using a CB transceiver, or just dialed it up on their modified
Icoms.

Your assessment makes a lot of sense, Dave. Perhaps, my view of it is
prejudiced,
but it seems just a "tad" unfair that they got hit, and, if they were
talking to domestic
CBers, those stations didn't get hit, too. Maybe "shooting skip" overseas?
How-ever, I believe they will eventually get around to the "freebanding"
thing, but it isn't
on their hot list right now.

73

Jerry

785. Re: 102" too big for van roof. Now what??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 16:36:28 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D2C628B.86F53786@qnet.com>
Source record: 129814

Hello Dave:

Dave Riffel wrote:
>
> Jay ...there probably isn't much in the way of low hanging objects in the
> Mojave is there??????

In the where "I think I am normal, we are part of the PTA, we own a new family car and make payments on it" people live there is low lying cables and tree's. But admittedly I don't go there much.

> Not many women either?????hehehe just
> kidding.....

No there's plenty of Honey's runnin around. Whooo Hooo!

You should see Oklahoma Annie, now she could start a Bar Room fight in a New York second, Ten-4. She has Tatoes in some wild places, I got 2 see them on the 4th of July BBQ when she took all her clothers off. I think she was a dacin to the "Watusie" Yeah now thats a home video!

>the 102 inch whip the greatest invention of all time but
> to mount it that high can be a burdon??? to move or not to move that is the
> question..... Well the answer is answered witha question....??? is the
> whip steel or fiberglass??? fiberglass will disinegrate after being hit so
> much the steel will just bend a bit after time!!!!!!

I'd have to vote for the Stainless Steel whip as being Bullet proof. I have had the Fiberglass whips break off at the base, thats where all the mechanical stress is. Good thing I caught the whip rolling off the top of the cab, didn't want to smoke off my new 8 pill amp, once going thru some thick tree's in Death Valley, while 4 wheelin up a stream to Pamamint.

I have seen the guys use the Wilson 5000 mounted on top of the full sized vans and trucks. The 60 some odd inch is very flexible, and the Wilson 5000 works pretty darn well.

Jay in the Mojave

> "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> news:3D2B0B23.58DB04CC@qnet.com...
> > Hello RUSTYAMERI:
> >
> > Yeah sure your hitting all kinds of low lying things, but this is how it
> > supposed to be. I would just let it be, you'll get you to it. I have a
> > Francis Amazer 96 inch fiberglass whip antenna on top of my 1977 Ford

> > 4x4, Hi-Boy truck, it kicks ass. Yeah suuure the sound of the whip
> > antenna hitting the low lying objects is deathening in the cab, but
> > women will adore you.
> >
> > I am sure this has helped.
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave
> >
> > RUSTYAMERI wrote:
> > >
> > > Damnit. I bought the 102" whip, replaced the firestick that was on the
> roof of
> > > my van, and while this 102" kicks butt, it is just too long. It hits
> > > everything. Now I know I can side mount it on the side of the van, but
> wouldn't
> > > my SWR's go way up because some of the antenna is so close to the side
> body of
> > > the van? Do I just go back to a 5 ft. firestick on the roof of my van
> and call
> > > it a day? Man, decisions decisions. AAAARRRGGGHHHH
> > > ---REMOVE PROMARS FROM MY E-MAIL ADDRESS TO E-MAIL ME

786. Re: Remember "All Ears" - Songs about the CB Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 18:25:40 UTC
From: Terry & Utahna Olsen <tolsen64@nospam.boycot.dns2go.com>
Message-ID: <E__W8.305448$R61.262496@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 129815

Well, if anyone has this album in any format, and they are willing to trust me with it, they can send it to me and I'll put it on CD (keeping a copy for myself) and send the album & CD back to them.

If you have it, contact me at: mailto:tolsen64@boycot.dns2go.com

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:12881-3D2C4E50-32@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: tolsen64@nospam.boycot.dns2go.com (Terry & Utahna Olsen)
>I used to have an LP called "All Ears" that was sold by Radio Shack way back when I was a small kid. It had a variety of CB songs on it such as:
Hey Shirley, This is Squirley
Everybody is Somebody (In our CB World)
L.J.'s CB Radio
Those are the three songs I remember most. Does anyone remember this? Or better yet, does anyone still have this album? I'd sure like to have a copy of it.
Thanks,
Terry
Old Time CB'er.<

Hell yea, I remember this,,all I remember is the first line...."Hey Shirley, this is Squirley, have you got your ears on?" I don't have this particular song, but I do have a very old album with a bunch of other cb tunes.

787. OT - The Truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 18:26:24 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <j%_W8.2053$pv6.250676@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 129816

Back in 1969 a group of Black Panthers decided that a fellow Black Panther named Alex Rackley needed to die. Rackly was suspected of disloyalty. Rackley was first tied to a chair. Once safely immobilized, his friends tortured him for hours by, among other things, pouring boiling water on him.

When they got tired of torturing Rackley, Black Panther member, Warren Kimbro took Rackley outside and put a bullet in his head. Rackley's body was later found floating in a river about 25 miles North of New Haven, Conn.

Perhaps at this point you're curious as to what happened to these Black Panthers.

In 1977, that's only 8 years later, only one of the killers was still in jail. The shooter, Warren Kimbro managed to get a scholarship to Harvard and he became good friends with none other than Al Gore. He later became an assistant dean at an Eastern Connecticut State College. Isn't that something? As a '60's radical, you can pump a bullet into someone's head and a few years later, in the same state, you can become an assistant college dean! Only in America.

Erica Huggins was the lady who served the Panthers by boiling the water for Mr. Rackley's torture. Some years later Ms. Huggins was elected to a California school board. How in the world do you think these killers got off so easy?

Maybe it was in part due to the efforts of 2 people who came to the defense of the Panthers. These 2 people actually went so far as to shut down Yale University with demonstrations in defense of the accused Black Panthers during their trial.

One of these people was none other than Bill Lan Lee. Me. Lee, or Mr. Lan Lee as the case may be, isn't't a college dean. He isn't a member of a California school board. He is now head of the US Justice Department's Civil Rights Division, appointed by none other than Bill Clinton.

O.K., so who was the other Panther defender? Is this other notable Panther defender now a school board member? Is this other Panther apologist now an assistant college dean? No, neither! The other Panther defender was, like Lee, a radical law student at Yale University at the time. She is now known as the "smartest woman in the world." She is none other than the Democratic Senator from the State of New York. Our former First Lady, the incredible Hillary Rodham Clinton.

And now, as Paul Harvey said; "Now, you know the rest of the story"

Do we need to remember this when she runs for President???

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

788. Re: PowerHouse, email me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 18:45:38 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uip0babnp7tj33@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129817

OK, email was sent... You never replied... Was the DJ@Hot address....
What's the deal here?

PH

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:sB6W8.59030$p85.1767704@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Drop me a line.
>
>

789. Re: Good Virus Tool

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 20:07:01 UTC
From: DummyLoad@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27208-3D2C93E5-1@storefull-2394.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129818

Try the AVG 6.0 virus removal/healer from Grisoft. It is free, and it has caught viruses on my computer that McAfee has missed. Better than pay systems, and it's free. Best of luck.

790. Palomar Amps--Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 20:45:41 UTC
From: boydl <boydl@cox.net>
Message-ID: <V11X8.34546$P%6.2789187@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 129820

Is there any interest in a service Manual with Schematics
on CD for the Palomar Amps? Tube and Solid State.

thanks and please input to..

boydL@cox.net

"Do not reply to Newsgroup please"

791. Want: 3CX400-A7 Tubes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 20:47:45 UTC
From: boydl <boydl@cox.net>
Message-ID: <R31X8.34560$P%6.2789552@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 129821

Hi All,
I am looking for a pair of 8874 or 3CX400-A7 Tubes for a
old Tempo 2001 Amp. Let me know price and condition.

Reply to boydL@cox.net

Thank You,
Boyd

792. Twisty Sinking Deeper Into Insanity...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 20:50:54 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207101250.3c22fb1d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129822

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>Hello again , Frank.
>If you were in touch with Carol at all, you wouldn't have to change
the
>original claim that had you choking on crow. There has been no laws,
>ordinances passed by the city of Beloit in response to HR 2346 that
>they helped sponsor...end of story.
>
<ranting snipped>

You mind is playing tricks on you again, Twisted. If you recall - you were merely asked to prove that Beloit had enacted no CB laws. Since the email you say you recieved (no headers, looks fake) and the one I received (full headers, time and date stamps) totally disagree with each other, nothing at all has been proven. You haven't "won" anything -- except the right to go on posting warped stuff for us to laugh at. Get busy.

-Aaron-

793. Dave Hall Writes The Definitive Twistedhed Slam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 20:58:52 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207101258.5b980cae@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129823

Dave, I laughed...I cried...I applauded till my hands were sore. I
think what you wrote ought to be posted in every NG on the internet.
It should even be printed and posted on the wall of every schoolhouse
in America. And maybe even every bait and tackle shop in Tampa Bay.
Because it captures perfectly what Twistedhed is all about.

-Aaron-

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D2C1C52.5C2C@worldlynx.net>...
>
> That's his typical M.O. for any "debate" (and I do use that term VERY
> loosely) that he may choose to enter into. He is skilled at obfuscation,
> and changing meanings. Even when one clearly expresses the point, twisty
> will instead focus on the nuances, rather than the substance of a point.
> One of his biggest hangups during one of our earlier altercations, was
> to continually attempt to steer the focus of the debate from illegal CB
> operations to USENET ettiquette. I assume he was attempting to make the
> point that "people in glass houses shouldn't throw rocks". In any case
> his comparison was irrelevant to the debate, but he continued to make an
> issue out of it anyway.
>
> Twisty has no real debate skills. He is incapable of staying on topic,
> he seems to have trouble comprehending simple points and analogies,
> while accusing the other party of exactly the same thing. His
> "stradegy", if you could call it that, is to twist meanings, obfuscate
> points, and then accuse others of "lieing", to put them on the
> defensive, while never offering up any bits of factual information to
> prove his side. He claims to speak for the majority of people, yet I
> have never seen anyone jump to his defense during any of his "debates".
> He also has an over inflated ego, and cannot possibly comprehend how so
> many people can find fault with him. To counter that, he claims that
> each and every person on the other side is really just one person
> posting under numerous accounts. He probably thinks that you, me, Aaron,
> Frank etc, are all the same person.
>
> He then claims victory when the other side simply becomes frustrated or
> loses interest in pursuing further exchanges. He is as predictable as
> PMS, you can almost tell exactly what posts he will respond to, and
> almost exactly what he will say in response. I've baited him a few times
> just to prove my theory. He fell, hook, line and sinker.
>
> He reminds me of that old Monty Python skit where the guy pays for an
> arguement, but gets only rote contradiction. Twisty will counter
> anything you say, but can never back up any of it with fact or even a

> good theory.
>
> Which is why he is refered to as the newsgroup punch clown. He's good to
> lash out at if you want to get something off of your chest, or just want
> to do the intellectual equivilent of bitch slapping. String him along
> until you tire of him, and then let him babble to himself.
> But he's not worth the effort to take seriously.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

794. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 21:08:13 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207101308.387905c9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129824

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<12883-3D2C4F1A-8@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> >They have? That's not what TWISTEDHED says. He claims there are no
> complaints made about illegal CB. I guess he'd better call up that Open
> Road Trucker magazine and set them straight :)
> -A<
>
>
> Now now, just because you're madder than you have been in quite
> sometime, doesn't give you permission to speak for others. When you are
> wanted, I'll let you know.

The only one that's "mad" is you....mad as a hatter. Speaking of hats,
it must suck to have a line of merchandise being marketed that refers
to you as "N8's slave".

-A

795. Re: "N8WWM IS TWITHED'S MASTER" Cap ----SALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 21:18:40 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207101318.4658890d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129825

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<21447-3D2C539E-2@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> I am without a doubt absolutely honored and humbled by the homage paid
> me from such a colorful lot.

Good, glad you know that you are Twithed.

> From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin?Muenzler,?WB5RUE)
> Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

Can't figure out why you latched on to this quote like a drowning man
to a liferaft. LOL. And don't forget, Dave and Kevin have the full
backing of the AKC....

-Aaron-

796. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 22:40:35 UTC
From: BobC <123@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uip71jj3hgklf4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129819

For a long time now I've been a proponent of getting truckers to use a vhf or uhf fm rig to communicate amongst each other on the road. What would it take to make this happen?

How does one go about gaining popular acceptance of these radios?

Is it the radio shops themselves which are holding back? I can see their point because it would not generate repeat sales for power mics, fancy overpriced antennas etc etc. Repairs would most likely dwindle.

I doubt amplifier sales would suffer because there'd be a new niche market to sell to.

Even if someone just threw a frs handheld radio in a cute chassis with an outside antenna connector I bet it would sell if shops would push the idea.

I know this would be technically illegal but I'd be willing to bet the fcc and hamsters would love it. No more tvi complaints from cb sets to deal with. Much less probability of drivers resorting to out of band freqs just to communicate a few miles.

797. Re: 102" too big for van roof. Now what??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-10 23:14:13 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3D2CBFD9.40049547@attbi.com>
Source record: 129826

I didn't know that Ridgecrest was such a wild town!! :-)

Jay in the Mojave wrote:

>
> You should see Oklahoma Annie, now she could start a Bar Room fight in a
> New York second, Ten-4. She has Tatoes in some wild places, I got 2 see
> them on the 4th of July BBQ when she took all her clothers off. I think
> she was a dacin to the "Watusie" Yeah now thats a home video!
>

798. Re: "N8WWM IS TWITHED'S MASTER" Cap ----SALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 00:06:59 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <D_3X8.92182$UT.6083463@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129827

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207101318.4658890d@posting.google.com...
> And don't forget, Dave and Kevin have the full
> backing of the AKC....
>
> -Aaron-

waltz time mit oompah und kraut)

We're coming, we're coming our brave little band
On the right side of temp'rance we do take our stand
We don't use tobacco because we do think
That people who use it are likely to drink

(Chorus)
Away, Away with Rum, by gum
Rum by gum, rum by gum
Away, Away with Rum by gum
It's the song of the Temperance Union.

We never drink water - they put it in gin
And one little sip and a man starts to grin
O, can you imagine a sorrier sight
Than a man drinking water and singing all nite

Chorus

799. FS: Solarcon Ground Zero CB antenna No ground 48"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 00:15:01 UTC
From: Pete <aerowolf@postmark.net>
Message-ID: <n64X8.1354$As2.73824@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 129828

Solarcon Ground Zero CB antenna No ground 48"

Auction link here:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365961534

->Works where other antennas fail ->1/2 Wave voltage fed antenna ->No ground plane required ->Works on fiberglass vehicles, boats, RV's and motorcycles! ->10-11 Meter Range ->48" overall length ->Patented TM circuit for precise impedance matching and decoupling of antenna currents ->Power Cap electron reservoir prevents high voltage breakdown ->3/8 x 24 mounting. ->PL-259 connector
Thanks for looking!

800. Re: Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 00:52:15 UTC
From: LegalCB <legalcb@foo.com>
Message-ID: <3F4X8.74873$lm2.3181939@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129829

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21444-3D2C52F8-5@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
The urinal mug is pretty cool. A few of us had hospital-type urinals in
college that we used to take to frat parties...they were a great
conversation starter when filled with beer.

Twisty were you sure that yellow stuff in the urinals was beer?

801. solution to keyclown problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 01:01:21 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0207101701.60a9e5c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129830

> Due to HUGE demand I have decided to offer AntiKeyclown gift items for
> sale on the web. You don't have to be "AKC" to show your disapproval
> of outlaw CBers, bootleggers, and keyclowns. New products coming soon!
>
> -Aaron-

Well, Aaron, I guess I am finally ready to do a complete 180 and see the light (Sparky, if you're reading this too, you'd also agree). It's time for me to dust off the guns in the den, sink $1.7K into an OAR DF unit, and go out and do the FCC'S job for it. If I find any FCC agents while I'm out enforcing the communications laws, I'll personally see to it that a federal judge throws the book at the lazy so-and-so's for dereliction of duty. If they give me any lip, I'll throw in a failure-to-enforce charge, too.

As for the keyclowns, they get warning shots---a 13mm round in the thigh is warning enough for the next keyclown. This is one law they're going to respect.
One of my connections from detective-academy days works in the SSA's HQ in Baltimore... the keyclowns won't be able to get away so easily.

Guy
xxxxxxxxx

802. Re: solution to keyclown problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 01:11:26 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <2X4X8.92418$UT.6089815@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129831

"That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3aed93cc.0207101701.60a9e5c@posting.google.com...
> > Due to HUGE demand I have decided to offer AntiKeyclown gift items for
> > sale on the web. You don't have to be "AKC" to show your disapproval
> > of outlaw CBers, bootleggers, and keyclowns. New products coming soon!
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> Well, Aaron, I guess I am finally ready to do a complete 180 and see
> the light (Sparky, if you're reading this too, you'd also agree). It's
> time for me to dust off the guns in the den, sink $1.7K into an OAR DF
> unit, and go out and do the FCC'S job for it. If I find any FCC agents
> while I'm out enforcing the communications laws, I'll personally see
> to it that a federal judge throws the book at the lazy so-and-so's for
> dereliction of duty. If they give me any lip, I'll throw in a
> failure-to-enforce charge, too.
>
> As for the keyclowns, they get warning shots---a 13mm round in the
> thigh is warning enough for the next keyclown. This is one law they're
> going to respect.
> One of my connections from detective-academy days works in the SSA's
> HQ in Baltimore... the keyclowns won't be able to get away so easily.
>
> Guy
> xxxxxxxxx

And the battle cry will be 'Excelsior!!!'

803. "LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey - now on SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 01:40:41 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207101740.7a10f97f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129832

"LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey
(Product Number: 2641100)

In Stock: Will ship in 2-3 business days.

Specially designed by George, this jersey features rec.radio.cb's own
rascal "LANDWHALE" being caught in another lie! Typical? You bet! Wear
it around San Francisco if you dare!

$17.99 each

http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/productdetail.aspx?prodno=antikeyclown.2641100

804. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 01:44:35 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <aginu2edd2@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129833

Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:

> And there were about 3 hams that got hit for operating on 27.585 on the last
> RainReport/ARRL Enforcement column---in Indiana, I think. I really wonder
> if they were using a CB transceiver, or just dialed it up on their modified
> Icoms.

> Your assessment makes a lot of sense, Dave. Perhaps, my view of it is
> prejudiced,
> but it seems just a "tad" unfair that they got hit, and, if they were
> talking to domestic
> CBers, those stations didn't get hit, too. Maybe "shooting skip" overseas?

Unfair? Hardly. As Dave noted, Hams are supposed to know the regulations, which is one of the reasons that Hams have more freedom in legal operations. To me, they are as much (or more) deserving of being busted as a CBer who runs a modified CB radio in the Ham bands.

In many of the reports about Hams who operate below 28 MHz, it is noted that they were causing intentional interference - either on the legal CB frequencies or in the 10/11 meter "freeband" area.

You would have a hard time convincing me that any Ham was unaware that he/she was operating on illegal frequencies - or that the intentional interference was not illegal.

Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

805. ATTENTION: GUY: solution to keyclown problem ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 02:00:45 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207101800.642e5c23@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129834

witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote:
>Well, Aaron, I guess I am finally ready to do a complete
>180 and see the light (Sparky, if you're reading this too,
>you'd also agree). It's time for me to dust off the guns
>in the den, sink $1.7K into an OAR DF unit, and go out
>and do the FCC'S job for it. If I find any FCC agents
>while I'm out enforcing the communications laws, I'll
>personally see to it that a federal judge throws the book
>at the lazy so-and-so's for dereliction of duty. If they
>give me any lip, I'll throw in a failure-to-enforce charge, too.
>
>As for the keyclowns, they get warning shots---a 13mm round
>in the thigh is warning enough for the next keyclown. This
>is one law they're going to respect. One of my connections from
>detective-academy days works in the SSA's HQ in Baltimore...
>the keyclowns won't be able to get away so easily.
>
>Guy
>
>xxxxxxxxx

Don't be an idiot. No one is suggesting violence or vigilatism or doing harm to ANYONE. AKC expresses disapproval of keyclowns and illegal CB ops, nothing more. Go back to your gun closet and polish your cleaning rod.

-Aaron-

806. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 02:14:10 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <EJ5X8.9688$JU3.1478016@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129836

Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:aginu2edd2@quark.scn.rain.com...
> Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
> > And there were about 3 hams that got hit for operating on 27.585 on the
last
> > RainReport/ARRL Enforcement column---in Indiana, I think. I really
wonder
> > if they were using a CB transceiver, or just dialed it up on their
modified
> > Icoms.
>
> > Your assessment makes a lot of sense, Dave. Perhaps, my view of it is
> > prejudiced,
> > but it seems just a "tad" unfair that they got hit, and, if they were
> > talking to domestic
> > CBers, those stations didn't get hit, too. Maybe "shooting skip"
overseas?
>
> Unfair? Hardly. As Dave noted, Hams are supposed to know the regulations,
> which is one of the reasons that Hams have more freedom in legal
operations.
> To me, they are as much (or more) deserving of being busted as a CBer who
> runs a modified CB radio in the Ham bands.
>
> In many of the reports about Hams who operate below 28 MHz, it is noted
> that they were causing intentional interference - either on the legal CB
> frequencies or in the 10/11 meter "freeband" area.
>
> You would have a hard time convincing me that any Ham was unaware that
> he/she was operating on illegal frequencies - or that the intentional
> interference was not illegal.
>
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

So, Bill, you are saying that the information is NOT available to CBers to
let them
know that "freebanding", or amps, or unapproved radios are illegal? Or that
CBers
lack the intelligence or ability to read to find out how they are supposed
to operate?
I doubt that. Do you REALLY expect me to accept that CBers don't know that
"freebanding" is wrong and illegal?

Ignorance of the law is no excuse--same as if you speed and tell the cop that you
didn't "know" the speed limit was 35. Illegal is illegal is illegal, it is the responsibil-
ity of the user to know the rules governing his operations, and if there is punishment
for one, then it should administered equally to all that violate the rules.
Yes, the ham should know better, but still, if he gets into trouble for operating out of band,
then the CBer should be punished for doing the same thing.

73
Jerry
>

807. Re: solution to keyclown problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 02:16:22 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020710221622.01634.00000178@mb-mm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 129835

>> > Due to HUGE demand I have decided to offer AntiKeyclown gift items for
>> > sale on the web. You don't have to be "AKC" to show your disapproval
>> > of outlaw CBers, bootleggers, and keyclowns. New products coming soon!
>> >
>> > -Aaron-
>>
>> Well, Aaron, I guess I am finally ready to do a complete 180 and see
>> the light (Sparky, if you're reading this too, you'd also agree). It's
>> time for me to dust off the guns in the den, sink $1.7K into an OAR DF
>> unit, and go out and do the FCC'S job for it. If I find any FCC agents
>> while I'm out enforcing the communications laws, I'll personally see
>> to it that a federal judge throws the book at the lazy so-and-so's for
>> dereliction of duty. If they give me any lip, I'll throw in a
>> failure-to-enforce charge, too.
>>
>> As for the keyclowns, they get warning shots---a 13mm round in the
>> thigh is warning enough for the next keyclown. This is one law they're
>> going to respect.
>> One of my connections from detective-academy days works in the SSA's
>> HQ in Baltimore... the keyclowns won't be able to get away so easily.
>>
>> Guy
>> xxxxxxxxx
>
>And the battle cry will be 'Excelsior!!!'

"Cry havoc, and let slip the dogs of war!"

73 de Bert
WA2SI

808. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 02:52:33 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D2CD528.CC27A457@mailcity.net>
Source record: 129837

Bill Nelson wrote:

>
> Unfair? Hardly. As Dave noted, Hams are supposed to know the regulations,
> which is one of the reasons that Hams have more freedom in legal operations.

So are cbers, they should be aware of the cb regulations just as
much as a ham should be aware of amateur regulations.

> To me, they are as much (or more) deserving of being busted as a CBer who
> runs a modified CB radio in the Ham bands.

Why? Illegal is illegal no matter who is doing it.

>
> In many of the reports about Hams who operate below 28 MHz, it is noted
> that they were causing intentional interference - either on the legal CB
> frequencies or in the 10/11 meter "freeband" area.

Like the non-ham freebanders aren't?

809. Re: 102" too big for van roof. Now what??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 03:29:18 UTC
From: rustyameri@aol.comPROMARS
Message-ID: <20020710232918.01684.00000122@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 129838

I actually have a Wilson 5000 (Center Loaded). Problem is, when I mount this Wilson 5000 (Center loaded) on the roof of my van, the SWR's are sky high. It's not the antenna, because it performs well on my friends car. What's the deal?

jay123a@qnet.com writes:

>I have seen the guys use the Wilson 5000 mounted on top of the full
>sized vans and trucks. The 60 some odd inch is very flexible, and the
>Wilson 5000 works pretty darn well.
>

---REMOVE PROMARS FROM MY E-MAIL ADDRESS TO E-MAIL ME

810. best way to track down an annoying CBer????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 03:40:30 UTC
From: demotraxx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020710234030.16693.00004294@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 129839

I normally don't care about idiots that key down and play music and all that. But there is one local guy that is taking it to extreme. As a matter of fact, one of my friends was stuck, and he was trying to give me directions, and this guy kept keying down and playing music every time my friend spoke with directions to where he broke down. This guy just plays music every night for the past month, and trying to talk to anyone is impossible. He even follows me to other channels. I know he is a base station and not a mobile. Does anyone have any detailed suggestions on how I can track him down? I tried driving around in my car with my RF gain turned all the way down and figured that when the meter hits 30 pounds with the RF gain all the way down, I would be close. But no luck yet. Is there a better way? Any tips or tricks or devices? Thanks.

811. Re: Remember "All Ears" - Songs about the CB Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 03:45:29 UTC
From: rustyameri@aol.comPROMARS
Message-ID: <20020710234529.02281.00000106@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 129840

Hi there. Ebay has a few of them. Below is the link to one of them. If you buy it, I would love a CD copy. Thanks.
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365002832

tolsen64@.boycot.dns2go.com writes:

>I used to have an LP called "All Ears" that was sold by Radio Shack way back
>when I was a small kid. It had a variety of CB songs on it such as:

---REMOVE PROMARS FROM MY E-MAIL ADDRESS TO E-MAIL ME

812. Uniden HR2600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 03:47:31 UTC
From: Mark <grandmhg@gocougs.wsu.edu>
Message-ID: <uipvvomp42vrdb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129841

Uniden HR 2600 Amateur Radio. It has had the microprocessor replaced and now works on 10 and 12 meters. (You must have a valid amateur radio operator license to transmit with this radio.) Please email me for information on modifications. I recently had the final transistors replaced by a professional technician. He also tuned it up and made sure it was in good operating condition. It puts out a solid 10 watts on AM and FM and around 40 watts on sideband.

It will transmit and receive from 10 to 12 meters on CW, USB, LSB, AM, and FM. There are 4 separate bands with memories and will do split operation for 10 meter FM repeater operation.

Comes with the original hand microphone (UP/DOWN) in good shape, DC power cord, original operating manual and ChipSwitch manual.

Disclaimer: A previous owner has installed inch high "legs" on the front edge of the bottom of the radio. Also, the original connector in the back has been altered by adding a toggle switch for internal/accessory speaker and RCA jacks. There is no mobile mounting bracket. Due to the type of equipment and age, it is being sold "AS IS" but not DOA.

$250 shipped , insured, to US address. I accept Pay-Pal or money orders only. Please email me for additional info or photos.

Mark

813. truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 04:02:24 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uiq0qhofhm0i4b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129842

If you read this newsgroup it seems that some believe that every TV mp3 player and radio has cb rf intererence ruining it. This is a bald face lie. About 95 percent(my own obsevation-half a million miles worth) of RF interference is from old electrical wires from the electric company. If Rush Limbaugh is drowned out from RF on your AM radio look at the telephone pole's and you will probably see those old glass thingies where the wires conect to the top of the pole.
Another 4 percent is from cheap computers.
If you are on 81 around exit 142 and listening to the talk radio station on 1300am you will hear bleedover from the weather band.
Never EVER have I heard beeldover or any interference from illigal cb on ANY am or fm station or TV. It simply doesn't exist.
Some poeple even suggested freebanding is a threat to the military. Like the offensive in IRAQ could have been thwarted by some raghead with a Galaxy99 going baba-boey on his radio! These people make trolling newsgroups entertaining but thats about it. They will even spellcheck your troll for free.
Along the I-80 corridor they have a problem with trash haulers. They roll over and kill people of just make a real mess. Log book violators fall asleep and kill even more. Real police are concerned with REAL problems.

814. Re: best way to track down an annoying CBer????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 04:10:57 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uiq1ahf0i4gva3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129843

Theres a place that has a doppler detection finder. The hambos have a game called foxhunt where they do this for fun. I hae there catolog somewhere they have all kinds of electronic toys and stuff like QRP rigs etc.
WHat area of the country is this idot in anyway?
"Demotraxx" <demotraxx@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020710234030.16693.00004294@mb-ch.aol.com...
> I normally don't care about idiots that key down and play music and all that.
> But there is one local guy that is taking it to extreme. As a matter of fact,
> one of my friends was stuck, and he was trying to give me directions, and this
> guy kept keying down and playing music every time my friend spoke with
> directions to where he broke down. This guy just plays music every night for
> the past month, and trying to talk to anyone is impossible. He even follows me
> to other channels. I know he is a base station and not a mobile. Does anyone
> have any detailed suggestions on how I can track him down? I tried driving
> around in my car with my RF gain turned all the way down and figured that when
> the meter hits 30 pounds with the RF gain all the way down, I would be close.
> But no luck yet. Is there a better way? Any tips or tricks or devices?
Thanks.

815. Hy-Gain, Penetrator-500 on E-bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 04:34:19 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D2D0ACB.792175F6@qnet.com>
Source record: 129844

Hello all:

I ran across this old Penetrator 500 on E-bay, Looks like its in great shape.

Be advised.

http://cgi.aol.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365319520

Jay in the Mojave

816. mic help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 04:49:05 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D2D0E41.2204BB12@hotmail.com>
Source record: 129845

Hi All

This is the first time in this news group ..I am having problems with my mic .it's off a midland cb the cb is old .not sure on how old it really is ....the mic works only once in awhile I took the mic apart and found a black wire was broke off inside the mic (solder let loose) It is not the 4 wires for the plugin to the cb ...there is 3 other wire in the mic 1-red 1-grey and 1-black all 3 are connected to the green mother board on the mic the board is a DM-437 the red and grey wire is connected to the mother board and is soldered to a round spool thing about the size of a quarter(I don't know the name of this thing sorry)..and the broken black wire is soldered to the bottom of the mother board but the other end of the black wire is not connected to anything the black wire has solder on the end of it still ....so I'm thinking the solder just broke off where ever the black wire was connected to..

thanks for any help given ....

Zeek

817. PriceFire auctions: COBRA CB 19 DX III Mobile CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 05:49:25 UTC
From: vinny@pricefire.com
Message-ID: <a027d678.0207102149.2e51cdb9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129846

COBRA CB 19 DX III Mobile CB

http://www.pricefire.com/Auction/XcAPViewItem.asp?ID=4902

818. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 06:24:38 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <agj8b6dbv4@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129847

Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:

> Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
>>
>> Unfair? Hardly. As Dave noted, Hams are supposed to know the regulations,
>> which is one of the reasons that Hams have more freedom in legal
> operations.
>> To me, they are as much (or more) deserving of being busted as a CBer who
>> runs a modified CB radio in the Ham bands.
>>
>> In many of the reports about Hams who operate below 28 MHz, it is noted
>> that they were causing intentional interference - either on the legal CB
>> frequencies or in the 10/11 meter "freeband" area.
>>
>> You would have a hard time convincing me that any Ham was unaware that
>> he/she was operating on illegal frequencies - or that the intentional
>> interference was not illegal.
>>
>> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

> So, Bill, you are saying that the information is NOT available to CBers to
> let them
> know that "freebanding", or amps, or unapproved radios are illegal? Or that
> CBers
> lack the intelligence or ability to read to find out how they are supposed
> to operate?
> I doubt that. Do you REALLY expect me to accept that CBers don't know that
> "freebanding" is wrong and illegal?

You should know better than to read things into what others wrote. I did
not imply any of the things you mention.

Yes, the information is available, if the CBer looks for it. Many don't
and many don't know that such things as expanded channels or increased
power are illegal.

The difference between the two services are that Hams are required to
take a test on the regulations - so supposedly they know them before
they can get their license. CBers do not have a test or license.

> Ignorance of the law is no excuse--same as if you speed and tell the cop
> that you
> didn't "know" the speed limit was 35. Illegal is illegal is illegal, it is
> the responsibil-
> ity of the user to know the rules governing his operations, and if there is
> punishment

> for one, then it should administered equally to all that violate the rules.
> Yes, the ham should know better, but still, if he gets into trouble for
> operating out of band,
> then the CBer should be punished for doing the same thing.

I agree that all should be punished - and have said as much in this
thread.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

819. Re: best way to track down an annoying CBer????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 06:28:09 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <agj8hpdbv5@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129848

Demotraxx <demotraxx@aol.com> wrote:
> I normally don't care about idiots that key down and play music and all that.
> But there is one local guy that is taking it to extreme. As a matter of fact,
> one of my friends was stuck, and he was trying to give me directions, and this
> guy kept keying down and playing music every time my friend spoke with
> directions to where he broke down. This guy just plays music every night for
> the past month, and trying to talk to anyone is impossible. He even follows me
> to other channels. I know he is a base station and not a mobile. Does anyone
> have any detailed suggestions on how I can track him down? I tried driving
> around in my car with my RF gain turned all the way down and figured that when
> the meter hits 30 pounds with the RF gain all the way down, I would be close.
> But no luck yet. Is there a better way? Any tips or tricks or devices? Thanks.

There are two ready options. You can try contacting the FCC, but that may
not get a response. You can try contacting your local Ham club. They might
be interested in helping.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

820. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 06:36:28 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <agj91cdbv6@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 129849

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:

> Bill Nelson wrote:

>> Unfair? Hardly. As Dave noted, Hams are supposed to know the regulations,
>> which is one of the reasons that Hams have more freedom in legal operations.

> So are cbers, they should be aware of the cb regulations just as
> much as a ham should be aware of amateur regulations.

They should be, but how many just open the package and use the radio,
without reading what little coverage of regulations are there? Probably
most.

>> To me, they are as much (or more) deserving of being busted as a CBer who
>> runs a modified CB radio in the Ham bands.

> Why? Illegal is illegal no matter who is doing it.

Yep. But Hams are required to study the regulations. They KNOW they are
breaking the regulations - many CBers have no idea that they are.

>> In many of the reports about Hams who operate below 28 MHz, it is noted
>> that they were causing intentional interference - either on the legal CB
>> frequencies or in the 10/11 meter "freeband" area.

> Like the non-ham freebanders aren't?

Some non-ham freebanders, and even CBers cause intentional interference.
That does not excuse the Hams, who definitely know better, when they do
so.

Yes, I have a higher standard for Hams than for CBers. That is because
Hams are supposedly better trained and more knowledgeable. This is why
Hams have greater operating privileges. With greater privileges comes
greater responsibilities.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

821. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 07:58:52 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D2D3AB8.2C5AE775@icok.net>
Source record: 129850

Kenneth,
I don't think anyone has suggested that all
interference to home electronics is from CB radios,
or just radios in general. But, you do have to
admit that there is some RFI caused by CB radios.
If you've never experienced it, all I can say is
that you have been very lucky, so far. CB isn't
the only source for RFI by any means. Anyone using
a transmitter is a potential source. That encludes
hams, Part-15 devices, and who knows what else.
Freebanding. That's a real oxymoron, you know?
The frequencies between 27.405 and 28.000 are not
'free' by any means. Various pieces and parcels
are assigned to a large number of different agencies,
private industry, and the military. Just because
you have never heard anyone using them certainly
doesn't mean that they're not used, they are (when
the legitimate users can get around the interference).
I can say from personal experience that the military
does use some frequencies in the 27 Mhz range.
Interference to Am, FM, and broadcast TV? I
haven't heard any interference to them in years, since
I quit using a TV antenna on the roof. Has it been
eliminated? I doubt it, but I haven't had the
opportunity to listen, so I can't say for sure. (But
I really doubt it.)
"Why aren't the cops chasing 'real' criminals?",
or, the thing about Iraq, those are real lame excuses.
Do you honestly think, that if given a choice, the police
would rather be chasing CB'er, or hams? People who
really believe that stuff need a 'dose' of reality along
with their 'Ex-Lax'! It might 'sound good' in the
'National Enquirer' but people who think have a hard
time swallowing it.
Before everyone accuses me of being an 'anti-freebander',
let me just say this. I couldn't care less if you're a
'freebander', or not, as long as you don't cause ~me~ any
problems. I'm certainly not your keeper, or anyone else's.
The only thing is, if you do use the so called 'freebands',
then take responsibility for your actions. No one is twisting
your arm, and you're supposed to be able to know right from
wrong...
'Doc

822. Re: best way to track down an annoying CBer????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 08:34:25 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2d3cb6.279842@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129851

In <20020710234030.16693.00004294@mb-ch.aol.com>, demotraxx@aol.com (Demotraxx) wrote:

>I normally don't care about idiots that key down and play music and all that. >But there is one local guy that is taking it to extreme. As a matter of fact, >one of my friends was stuck, and he was trying to give me directions, and this >guy kept keying down and playing music every time my friend spoke with >directions to where he broke down. This guy just plays music every night for >the past month, and trying to talk to anyone is impossible. He even follows me >to other channels. I know he is a base station and not a mobile. Does anyone >have any detailed suggestions on how I can track him down? I tried driving >around in my car with my RF gain turned all the way down and figured that when >the meter hits 30 pounds with the RF gain all the way down, I would be close. >But no luck yet. Is there a better way? Any tips or tricks or devices? Thanks.

There are many tricks you can do to pin down an area if you have a handheld radio, and even if you don't:

If you have a handheld, find a large metal shed or garage nearby and stand right next to, and in the center of, each of the four walls. The signal will be stronger on the walls facing the transmitter.

If you hold a handheld with the antenna parallel to the ground and do a 360, sometimes you will get two weak spots in opposite directions. If that happens then the handheld antenna is either pointing directly towards or directly away from the transmitter. Do this at several locations and plot your vectors.

Instead of you trying to get a location from your S-meter, try doing the opposite: you can try taunting him at different locations around town and see just how far HE can hear YOU. Then you can pin it down to a smaller area, and maybe even a neighborhood.

If you have a little electronics construction skill, you can build a simple radio direction finder. There are many designs on the net. I have a design myself, and as soon as I get my site back up I'll post the link. Or you can email me and I'll send you all the info about how to build your own Twisty-Buster radio direction finder.

When you finally get him pinned down to a house or small neighborhood, contact the FCC -and- the ARRL with all your information. BTW, never assume that it's coming from one house just because there is an antenna mounted on the roof -- the jerk just might be in the house next door with an antenna hidden in the rafters of his garage. Also, don't assume that it's not a ham!

823. Re: best way to track down an annoying CBer????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 08:49:55 UTC
From: Technomage <technomage-hawke@cox.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.07.11.01.50.11.332.6852@cox.net>
Source record: 129852

oh boy, this calls for a fox hunt.

well, all you need is your radio in the car and some means of telling
which direction he is in initially. after that, its a simple matter of
driving around until you can confirm location by unplugging the antenna
and seeing full scale on the meter.

I used to do this many years ago with a local group here in phoenix. we
got pretty good at locating people in under 30 minutes (even mobile).

Technomage

In article <20020710234030.16693.00004294@mb-ch.aol.com>, "Demotraxx"
<demotraxx@aol.com> wrote:

> I normally don't care about idiots that key down and play music and all
> that. But there is one local guy that is taking it to extreme. As a
> matter of fact, one of my friends was stuck, and he was trying to give
> me directions, and this guy kept keying down and playing music every
> time my friend spoke with directions to where he broke down. This guy
> just plays music every night for the past month, and trying to talk to
> anyone is impossible. He even follows me to other channels. I know he is
> a base station and not a mobile. Does anyone have any detailed
> suggestions on how I can track him down? I tried driving around in my
> car with my RF gain turned all the way down and figured that when the
> meter hits 30 pounds with the RF gain all the way down, I would be
> close. But no luck yet. Is there a better way? Any tips or tricks or
> devices? Thanks.

--
I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or numbered!
My life is my own - No. 6

824. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 08:53:58 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2d4348.1962580@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129853

In <uiq0qhofhm0i4b@corp.supernews.com>, "Kenneth"
<pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote:

>If you read this newsgroup it seems that some believe that every TV mp3
>player and radio has cb rf intererence ruining it. This is a bald face lie.

Nobody said it but you, so you must be the liar.

> About 95 percent(my own obsevation-half a million miles worth) of RF
>interference is from old electrical wires from the electric company.

Actually, 99.999% of RFI is caused from harmonics of 60 and 120Hz
generated by SCR and Triac circuits. Light dimmers, CRT-type computer
monitors, street lights, power tools, fan motors and alarm clocks make
the majority of noise in most residential areas. This kind of
interference is expected, accepted, and not considered to be harmful
interference.

> If Rush
>Limbaugh is drowned out from RF on your AM radio look at the telephone
>pole's and you will probably see those old glass thingies where the wires
>conect to the top of the pole.

A better bet is that the radio is an old tube-type clock radio with
bad caps and dirty commutators on the clock motor.

> Another 4 percent is from cheap computers.

The expensive one's are just as noisy despite their marketing claims
to the contrary.

>If you are on 81 around exit 142 and listening to the talk radio station on
>1300am you will hear bleedover from the weather band.

Aren't the weather bands up near 16x.xx MHz? If you are getting
bleedover from your local weather transmitter then either your radio
is bad, you live next door to their tower, or their transmitter is
-really- messed up!

> Never EVER have I heard beeldover or any interference from illigal cb on
>ANY am or fm station or TV. It simply doesn't exist.

There are several hundred thousand people that disagree with you,
including myself. It certainly doesn't happen all the time, and some
people will probably never hear it in their lifetime. But just because
you have never seen

And for some people, radio interference on their TV and radio -does-
happen everyday. Most of those people live next door to radio
operators that cause this kind of interference.

> Some poeple even suggested freebanding is a threat to the military. Like
>the offensive in IRAQ could have been thwarted by some raghead with a
>Galaxy99 going baba-boey on his radio! These people make trolling newsgroups
>entertaining but thats about it. They will even spellcheck your troll for
>free.
> Along the I-80 corridor they have a problem with trash haulers. They roll
>over and kill people of just make a real mess. Log book violators fall
>asleep and kill even more. Real police are concerned with REAL problems.
>
>

825. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 09:05:54 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2d47b0.3090080@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129854

In <uiq0qhofhm0i4b@corp.supernews.com>, "Kenneth"
<pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote:

>If you read this newsgroup it seems that some believe that every TV mp3
>player and radio has cb rf intererence ruining it. This is a bald face lie.

Nobody said it but you, so you must be the liar.

> About 95 percent(my own obsevation-half a million miles worth) of RF
>interference is from old electrical wires from the electric company.

Actually, 99.999% of RFI is caused from harmonics of 60 and 120Hz
generated by SCR and Triac circuits. Light dimmers, CRT-type computer
monitors, street lights, power tools, fan motors and alarm clocks make
the majority of noise in most residential areas. This kind of
interference is expected, accepted, and not considered to be harmful
interference.

> If Rush
>Limbaugh is drowned out from RF on your AM radio look at the telephone
>pole's and you will probably see those old glass thingies where the wires
>conect to the top of the pole.

A better bet is that the radio is an old tube-type clock radio with
bad caps and dirty commutators on the clock motor.

> Another 4 percent is from cheap computers.

The expensive one's are just as noisy despite their marketing claims
to the contrary.

>If you are on 81 around exit 142 and listening to the talk radio station on
>1300am you will hear bleedover from the weather band.

Aren't the weather bands up near 16x.xx MHz? If you are getting
bleedover from your local weather transmitter then either your radio
is bad, you live next door to their tower, or their transmitter is
-really- messed up!

> Never EVER have I heard beeldover or any interference from illigal cb on
>ANY am or fm station or TV. It simply doesn't exist.

There are several hundred thousand people that disagree with you,
including myself. It certainly doesn't happen all the time, and some
people will probably never hear it in their lifetime. But just because
you have never seen something doesn't mean it doesn't exist.

And for some people, radio interference on their TV and radio -does-
happen everyday. Most of those people live next door to radio
operators that cause this kind of interference.

> Some poeple even suggested freebanding is a threat to the military. Like
>the offensive in IRAQ could have been thwarted by some raghead with a
>Galaxy99 going baba-boey on his radio! These people make trolling newsgroups
>entertaining but thats about it. They will even spellcheck your troll for
>free.

If freebanding is a threat to the military, I'm sure the military has
a plan to deal with it. But don't underestimate the power of radio!

> Along the I-80 corridor they have a problem with trash haulers. They roll
>over and kill people of just make a real mess. Log book violators fall
>asleep and kill even more. Real police are concerned with REAL problems.

You don't watch the news much, do you?

826. Re: solution to keyclown problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 09:31:10 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2d4c5e.4287720@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129855

In <3aed93cc.0207101701.60a9e5c@posting.google.com>,
witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote:

>> Due to HUGE demand I have decided to offer AntiKeyclown gift items for
>> sale on the web. You don't have to be "AKC" to show your disapproval
>> of outlaw CBers, bootleggers, and keyclowns. New products coming soon!
>>
>> -Aaron-
>
>Well, Aaron, I guess I am finally ready to do a complete 180 and see
>the light (Sparky, if you're reading this too, you'd also agree). It's
>time for me to dust off the guns in the den, sink $1.7K into an OAR DF
>unit,

You are spending WAY too much! I'll get my page for the Twisty-Buster
radio direction finder back up as soon as I can.

> and go out and do the FCC'S job for it. If I find any FCC agents
>while I'm out enforcing the communications laws, I'll personally see
>to it that a federal judge throws the book at the lazy so-and-so's for
>dereliction of duty.

You have that kind of clout? Wow! Are you related to Alan Greenspan?

> If they give me any lip, I'll throw in a
>failure-to-enforce charge, too.

You bet! That'll show 'em who's boss!

>As for the keyclowns, they get warning shots---a 13mm round in the
>thigh is warning enough for the next keyclown. This is one law they're
>going to respect.

hmmm.... enforcing FCC regs with lethal force? Hey, it just might
work! Beating them in a fit of adrenalin doesn't seem to have any
effect. So why don't you give it a try and tell us how it turns out?

>One of my connections from detective-academy days works in the SSA's
>HQ in Baltimore... the keyclowns won't be able to get away so easily.

Nope, not if you got his number (pun intended). The violator must
suffer the hardships of never paying income taxes or Social Security
payoffs... er, payments ever again. What a terrible ordeal that will
be -- to shoulder the disgrace of the government not taking 20% of
your paycheck while the Social Security fund shrivels down to nothing
and the baby-boomers approach retirement age. Yes indeed, I'm sure
that will be one tough punishment!

>Guy
>xxxxxxxxx

You ARE da Guy!

827. Re: 3CX400-A7 Tubes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 12:33:39 UTC
From: The Tube Man <thetubeman@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DWeX8.5736$Kx3.2099@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 129856

[No readable article body recovered]

828. Re: "LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey - now on SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 13:17:10 UTC
From: Ken <dallastopcat@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2d8766.144540508@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 129857

On 10 Jul 2002 18:40:41 -0700, voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H.
Voobner) wrote:

>"LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey
>(Product Number: 2641100)
>
>In Stock: Will ship in 2-3 business days.
>
>Specially designed by George, this jersey features rec.radio.cb's own
>rascal "LANDWHALE" being caught in another lie! Typical? You bet! Wear
>it around San Francisco if you dare!
>
>$17.99 each
>
>http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/productdetail.aspx?prodno=antikeyclown.2641100

Aaron;
What is wrong with you? Its one thing to inform people when you
think they advocating breaking laws. Don't you think this is a bit
over the line?

829. Re: best way to track down an annoying CBer????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 14:26:51 UTC
From: sir.oblivion@public.com
Message-ID: <3d2d95ad_2@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 129860

"Demotraxx" <demotraxx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020710234030.16693.00004294@mb-ch.aol.com...
> Is there a better way? Any tips or tricks or devices?

I recall trying something similar long ago which worked like a charm. First strike up a friendly conversation with the guy and see if you can get an approximate fix on his location. Butter him up to no end with "boy you have a great sounding radio, what are you running?" Then tell him your location or make one up and ask where are you; what section of town saying "I have friends that live in the area; small world!." If you can determine an approximate area the old tried and true method is getting in the car and ride around till he pins your meter. Disconnect your antenna and ride around circling in a 4-5 block area. When he pins your meter again with the antenna disconnected your within a block or so. Just look up to see if you can spot his antenna. Reconnect yours and strike up a conversations again "saying your killing my meter; oh btw are you in the brown house (or whatever color) with the A99?" .. busted! .. It's likely he'll immediately run to the window to see who is parked in front of his house.

830. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 14:30:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12881-3D2D968C-137@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129861

FrankenNad wrote:
>Actually, 99.999% of RFI is caused from harmonics of 60 and 120Hz generated by SCR and Triac circuits. Light dimmers, CRT-type computer monitors, street lights, power tools, fan motors and alarm clocks make the majority of noise in most residential areas. This kind of interference is expected, accepted, and not considered to be harmful interference.<

So is the interference to most consumer electronic devices,...claims so on most of the labels. AS far as expecting it, you should learn to accept it since it causes such a dereliction in your capacity to actually work but you can't say how. You must have lied again.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

831. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 14:32:05 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <FFgX8.2439$rZ2.290121977@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129859

> In <uiq0qhofhm0i4b@corp.supernews.com>, "Kenneth"
> <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote:
>
> > If Rush
> >Limbaugh is drowned out from RF on your AM radio look at the telephone
> >pole's and you will probably see those old glass thingies where the wires
> >conect to the top of the pole.

If Rush got drowned out, I would be happy.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

832. Re: "LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey - now on SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 14:32:06 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <GFgX8.16587$5y.328173809@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129858

"Ken" <dallastopcat@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3d2d8766.144540508@news.easynews.com...
> On 10 Jul 2002 18:40:41 -0700, voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H.
> Voobner) wrote:
>
> >"LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey
> >(Product Number: 2641100)
> >
> >In Stock: Will ship in 2-3 business days.
> >
> >Specially designed by George, this jersey features rec.radio.cb's own
> >rascal "LANDWHALE" being caught in another lie! Typical? You bet! Wear
> >it around San Francisco if you dare!
> >
> >$17.99 each
> >
>
>http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/productdetail.aspx?prodno=antikeyclown.26
41100
>
> Aaron;
> What is wrong with you? Its one thing to inform people when you
> think they advocating breaking laws. Don't you think this is a bit
> over the line?

LOL!, I have this crap kill filed, didn't even
see it until Ken responded. I would think most people
consider this spam, nothing to do with CB, so if you
don't like it complain to Google/AOL.
Oh, also I noticed that Aaron has a new yahoo/google
account, couldn't be that it got pulled due to complaints
about spam, could it? Oh well he'll throw some crap
about Scott, Tim, Jay, Brian etc etc etc, whomever he
thinks he can get upset with his trolling.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

833. Re: best way to track down an annoying CBer????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 14:36:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21442-3D2D97F5-108@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129862

From: demotraxx@aol.com (Demotraxx)
>I normally don't care about idiots that key down and play music and all that. But there is one local guy that is taking it to extreme. As a matter of fact, one of my friends was stuck, and he was trying to give me directions, and this guy kept keying down and playing music every time my friend spoke with directions to where he broke down. This guy just plays music every night for the past month, and trying to talk to anyone is impossible. He even follows me to other channels. I know he is a base station and not a mobile. Does anyone have any detailed suggestions on how I can track him down? I tried driving around in my car with my RF gain turned all the way down and figured that when the meter hits 30 pounds with the RF gain all the way down, I would be close. But no luck yet. Is there a better way? Any tips or tricks or devices? Thanks. <

Do you have a scanner? One hammie, Dave Hall feels this board is representative of the decline in society and their morals..if there is any trace of truth to his limited view capacitance at all, I would begin listening on 2 meter. If you don't have a hammie rig use a scanner....keeping with Hall's offerings, the guy is probably a nocode so just listen for him on 2 meter, get his call and do the right thing.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

834. Re: best way to track down an annoying CBer????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 14:40:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21442-3D2D98D9-109@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129868

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <20020710234030.16693.00004294@mb-ch.aol.com>, demotraxx@aol.com (Demotraxx) wrote:
>I normally don't care about idiots that key down and play music and all that. But there is one local guy that is taking it to extreme. As a matter of fact, one of my friends was stuck, and he was trying to give me directions, and this guy kept keying down and playing music every time my friend spoke with directions to where he broke down. This guy just plays music every night for the past month, and trying to talk to anyone is impossible. He even follows me to other channels. I know he is a base station and not a mobile. Does anyone have any detailed suggestions on how I can track him down? I tried driving around in my car with my RF gain turned all the way down and figured that when the meter hits 30 pounds with the RF gain all the way down, I would be close. But no luck yet. Is there a better way? Any tips or tricks or devices? Thanks. <

There are many tricks you can do to pin down an area if you have a handheld radio, and even if you don't:
If you have a handheld, find a large metal shed or garage nearby and stand right next to, and in the center of, each of the four walls. The signal will be stronger on the walls facing the transmitter.
If you hold a handheld with the antenna parallel to the ground and do a 360, sometimes you will get two weak spots in opposite directions. If that happens then the handheld antenna is either pointing directly towards or directly away from the transmitter. Do this at several locations and plot your vectors.
Instead of you trying to get a location from your S-meter, try doing the opposite: you can try taunting him at different locations around town and see just how far HE can hear YOU. Then you can pin it down to a smaller area, and maybe even a neighborhood.
If you have a little electronics construction skill, you can build a simple radio direction finder. There are many designs on the net. I have a design myself, and as soon as I get my site back up I'll post the link. Or you can email me and I'll send you all the info about how to build your own Twisty-Buster radio direction finder.
When you finally get him pinned down to a house or small neighborhood, contact the FCC -and- the ARRL with all your information. BTW, never assume that it's coming from one house just because there is an antenna mounted on the roof -- the jerk just might be in the house next door with an antenna hidden in the rafters of his garage. Also, don't assume that it's not a ham!

Probably is a nocode from this ng......otherwise why would you tell him to contact the ARRL...oh,,,like the freebanders and linears and FM pirate broadcasters affecting your work and measuring SWR with a voltage meter..forgot who you were for a sec.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

835. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 14:46:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21442-3D2D9A3F-110@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129867

>Perhaps, my view of it is prejudiced,
but it seems just a "tad" unfair that they got hit,<

Why is that? You have always claimed that freebanders get what they deserve. Now by virtue of a ticket they deserve less? It's really beginning to smell in here and it's coming from all the spoiled pork. A bad one can contaminate the lots that never were a prime cut.

> and, if they were talking to domestic
CBers, those stations didn't get hit, too. Maybe "shooting skip"
overseas? How-ever, I believe they will eventually get around to the
"freebanding" thing, but it isn't
on their hot list right now.
73
Jerry<

And it never will be unless it's a safety issue or a repeated nuisance/interference complaint. It's a nonissue in most cases.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

836. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 14:54:07 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2d9a55$0$13955$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129863

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:12881-3D2C48F3-24@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>Wrong? I'm beginning to think you have a serious ailment on top pf
>habitual lying. Check it out:
>
>
>
>
>
>Muenzler claimed: "I never said "busts", those were your words."
>
>
>But then we look at his very first post in the thread and find.....
>"I don't believe I ever said successfully prosecuted I said "busts."

So I used the word "bust" in response to your false claim. It's true, I
never said that I sucessfully prosecuted any "busts." You are putting words
in my mouth. You are taking ONE WORD from ONE SENTENSE that, yes, I should
have worded differently. I've noticed that everyone has to be very careful
around you to word things JUST RIGHT so that there is no way for you to
twist things around to say what they never meant to say.

>
>See how the lies come back and bite you in your azz, Kevin.
>
yep, and you're right back there too aren't you? The only thing biting my
"azz" is you.

>Moving on, we have your current denial, telling me I'm wrong...but
>again..verbatim from your lying little lips we find again in your first
>post:

>"We turned over information to about 35 people since December and there
>are 27 that I know of that are either on their way to court, in court or
>have been through court."

And what exactly does that mean to you? It says "WE." Yes, anyone charged
with a crime, unless the charges are dismissed or there has been a guilty
plea IS either on the way to court, in court or through court. Correct?

>
>Just like any information, your lies are available and easily accessed.
>I can find many more, and Frank is so impotent in running to your aid,
>he thinks I'm in WV. You can't explain any of your lies away, Muenzler,
>but I did so enjoy watch you decimate your reputation while trying to
>stand taller than you actually are just to attempt to pee on my leg.

Still waiting for phone numbers, names, courts.....who did you call?

837. Re: ATTENTION: GUY: solution to keyclown problem ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 14:58:33 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711105833.01669.00000212@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 129864

all right aaron! liked your even headed response to that "clown"
just do me a favor...ask him whats a "13mm" round. is the defense department
working on some thing new? ive heard of a 5.56mm,7.62mm,9mm,10mm (bren
ten),but what the hell is a 13mm???????
somebody.....clue me in :) :) gunslinger

838. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 14:59:16 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21447-3D2D9D44-15@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129869

>The only one that's "mad" is you....mad as a hatter. Speaking of hats, it must suck to have a line of merchandise being marketed that refers to you as "N8's slave".
-A<

Actually, it's a great homage.
Most remember how his ego was crushed. Frank and Nad followed suit..you demonstrate daily how not in control you are. It is quite natural for the lower biological mysticals to begin fantasizing about role reversals, and even the unfortunate Tourette sufferers are not immune. What must suck, is being force fed crow by slaves. <G>. Your anger has once again placed you in the position of poor communication and not being able to focus on topic matters. You apparently have an obsession and spend exhorbitant amounts of unproductive time fantasizing about what each person on this ng must be like, whether you like them or dislike them, are attracted to them or repulsed. It really is a compulsion, ya' know,,a disorder. As someone recently directed to you, your behavior is becoming rather bizarre, again. I am afraid you may be placed back in the box with newspaper lining until you can begin getting along with the rest of the world, for a while.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

839. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 15:10:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21442-3D2D9FF9-114@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129874

From: 123@hotmail.com (BobC)
>For a long time now I've been a proponent of getting truckers to use a vhf or uhf fm rig to communicate amongst each other on the road. What would it take to make this happen? <

Won't happen, at least in this lifetime.
It would have to be a slow transition. Owner operators won't buy into it as it's their own bucks and not many truckers or motorists are on those bands. Companies that provide the trucks with radio equipment are usually so damn cheap in the first place they would be loathe to buy anything for the convenience of the driver. As long as newer trucks are fitted with on-board satellite and tracking GPS systems and a cell phone, the company doesn't give a damn. Besides, many truckers like the DX when their ain't many to talk to on the big road. If there was an immediate need for assistance, I think the driver would feel more comfy with a cb than a vhf rig. Old habits die hard.

>How does one go about gaining popular acceptance of these radios?
Is it the radio shops themselves which are holding back? I can see their point because it would not generate repeat sales for power mics, fancy overpriced antennas etc etc. Repairs would most likely dwindle.
I doubt amplifier sales would suffer because there'd be a new niche market to sell to.
Even if someone just threw a frs handheld radio in a cute chassis with an outside antenna connector I bet it would sell if shops would push the idea.
I know this would be technically illegal but I'd be willing to bet the fcc and hamsters would love it.<

I've heard drivers on FRS before.

> No more tvi complaints from cb sets to deal with. Much less probability of drivers resorting to out of band freqs just to communicate a few miles.<

Truckers aren't largely responsible for the ones causing the interference to TV's.
The idea would have to be grandfathered in anyway. Maybe if drivers began with a VHF radio IN ADDITION to a cb, then something could possibly take hold, otherwise, it just seems like another nonissue, except to hammies.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

840. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 15:11:10 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711111110.01669.00000214@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 129865

hey,all radio transmitters generate rf "noise"
any honest ham radio operator will admit that. even the "cleanest" rigs will generate t.v.i..i dont care if its made by kenwood,or icom,or yaesu. they all do it to some extent. a factory fresh,non modified,cb radio,with stock mike and modulation will "bleed" over onto your neighbors phone,stereo,tv etc. alot of it has to do with the poor filtering of the device being interfered with. phones and stereos are made as cheaply as possible.the engineers who design them are not worried about interference cause by cbers and hams.
gunslinger

841. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 15:15:16 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2d9f49$0$13955$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129866

>Muenzler wrote today:
>>BZZZT! Wrong. I never said "Official FCC observer." That statement is a
>>product of your imagination.
>
>But said in his very first post in the thread:
>>"It was me and 14 other FCC Official Observers who work the San
>>Antonio/South Texas area"<
>

OK, I usually don't refer to Official Observers as "FCC Official Observers" but if you really want to get technical we are "FCC Official Observers." We are all members of the "FCC Amateur Auxiliary" which are known as "Official Observers." You are arguing semantics again.

Check out: http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/1999/04/08/3/
The title of this article is "FCC Official Acknowledges OOs Really Are 'Official'"

Also: http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/org/oo.html

No, we are not paid by the FCC to technically (you seem to love technicalities) we don't work for the FCC. We are volunteers. The results are the same, busted illegal operators.

>
>Moving on...we have your amusing snap:
>>"BZZT! Strike two. I never claimed a single bust. Again, a product of
>>your imagination."<
>
>
>But again, from your first post, we have not only you denying the
>"prosecutions" but actually CLARIFYING that they were busts.
>"I don't believe I ever said successfully prosecuted I said "busts." If
>I did then it was misleading and unintentional."

You are taking me out of context again. I never said that I made busts. I said that I participated in busts. Only the FCC can make the busts. Like I have said a couple of times, me and several others provided evidence for these busts. We never made the busts. You are arguing semantics and taking me out of context.

>
>Lie upon lie upon lie....

Twist upon twist. Truly a legend in your own mind. I'm still waiting on those phone numbers, names and court names. But I see that you are now focusing on semantics. I guess you were lying about getting proof that I am

lying.

Kevin, WB5RUE

842. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 15:21:06 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21447-3D2DA262-16@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129877

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Bill Nelson wrote:
Unfair? Hardly. As Dave noted, Hams are supposed to know the regulations, which is one of the reasons that Hams have more freedom in legal operations.

>So are cbers, they should be aware of the cb regulations just as much as a ham should be aware of amateur regulations. <

Yup, they SHOULD. The difference is, the hammie is SIGNING a sworn testimony, attesting that he in essence, is aware of the regs, and agrees to abide by those regs.
One must admit, ignorance is a much greater probability with a cber not knowing they are breaking the law, as opposed to a hammie. A new driver, in a company truck, could damn sure have extra channels. Someone can tell him to go "2 up" and he may find himself in the middle of 10 meters. VOILA...our green driver is suddenly illegal, without knowing. SHOULD he know? Absoloutely...but he isn't MADE to know. The hammie knows.

>>To me, they are as much (or more) deserving of being busted as a CBer who runs a modified CB radio in the Ham bands. <<

Why? Illegal is illegal no matter who is doing it.
In many of the reports about Hams who operate below 28 MHz, it is noted that they were causing intentional interference - either on the legal CB frequencies or in the 10/11 meter "freeband" area.

>Like the non-ham freebanders aren't? <

Some most definitely are. After being familarized with this group, one can take away the very plausible deduction that most inteference to the freeband and coming from the freeband may very well be from hammies that think in this manner. They may be so angry at the FCC for doing absolutely nothing, and feel that if THEY can't talk there, then by rumsong, NOBODY will talk there. Based on the anger demonstrated in here by a few hammies, this is a very probable scenario.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

843. Re: OT - The Truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 15:24:39 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711112439.01669.00000215@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 129870

that was very enlightening keith. the democratic party is full of members of the "radical left". my college age daughter is dating a young fool who is actually a "socialist". he actually believes that socialism is a "good" political philosophy. i tried to explain to him that it has failed miserably everywhere it has been tried. i also explained to him that socialism has resulted in nearly 80 million deaths due to tyrants/dictators who tried the socialist experiment for the last 90 years. he is a member of the democratic party,and a local "party" leader on campus" god help us all.....gunslinger

844. Re: "N8WWM IS TWITHED'S MASTER" Cap ----SALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 15:28:20 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21444-3D2DA414-46@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129875

>Can't figure out why you latched on to this quote like a drowning man to a liferaft.<

It amuses me as it is the most colorful of Kevin's lies. Be patient, I will grow weary of it and discard it as I do all that I get bored with. It doesn't compare with you calling me some "Roger" hammie in WV though. Is this one of those mysteries that are shrouded in secretions <g>, like how RF pirates affect your work and measuring SWR with voltage regulators..oh..I mean meters?

> LOL.<

LOL

> And don't forget, Dave and Kevin have the full backing of the AKC.... -Aaron-<

No doubt. They were a bit shy at asking for that status at first, but both have come full circle.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

845. MODULATOR WITH BUILT IN 13.8 /10 AMP POWER SUPPLY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 15:28:35 UTC
From: NI4L <ni4l@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3D2DA423.3040505@charter.net>
Source record: 129871

FS MODULATOR WITH BUILT IN 13.8V@10 AMPS POWER SUPPLY
Very nice unit. Run you mobile in the house with this power supply and
modulator combo. 50-60 watt modulator.This thing gives you alot of extra
audio everyones says its really loud!! or just hook up your base rig
and use the modulator for a driver. will run anything 12volts up to 10 amps
with the built in power supply. size 11"W x 12"D x 5"H weighs about 7
or 8lbs has meter for voltage, lights, screw on terminals on the front
to hook up your radio for power.Asking 175.00+shipping

--
NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
Made with the same components used by our military forces today
Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/

846. Re: Anti-Freebander Beach Hat ON SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 15:30:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21447-3D2DA48F-17@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129876

From: legalcb@foo.com (LegalCB)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21444-3D2C52F8-5@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net... The
urinal mug is pretty cool. A few of us had hospital-type urinals in
college that we used to take to frat parties...they were a great
conversation starter when filled with beer.

>Twisty were you sure that yellow stuff in the urinals was beer?<

Going back to those days, some of those times, it wouldn't have mattered
WHAT was in those urinals. We wouldn't have noticed nor would we have
cared.

847. Re: Twisty Sinking Deeper Into Insanity...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 15:35:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21442-3D2DA5BC-115@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129873

>You mind is playing tricks on you again, Twisted. If you recall - you were merely asked to prove that Beloit had enacted no CB laws. <

Better get busy and research that archive. The original claim I made, was that Beloit passed no law in response to HR 2346, even though they lent it heavy sponsorship. Keep looking through the archives....go back to the first time you disagreed with me on this issue. Hunger pains again?

>Since the email you say you recieved (no headers, looks fake) and the one I received (full headers, time and date stamps) totally disagree with each other, nothing at all has been proven. You haven't "won" anything -- except the right to go on posting warped stuff for us to laugh at. Get busy.
-Aaron- <

I'm always busy. You also already are aware I do nothing for free unless it entertains me. Even you can surely tell I have no concern about what you believe. I merely take delight in watching your antics cause your own ire.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

848. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 15:38:14 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2da4ab$0$13948$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129872

>>Ok, I'll play along one more time.<
>
>No need. The entire world sees you for a liar, now.
>
>
>>You say that I made this claim of busting 27 people since Christmas. <
>
>Well, since the 27'th of December.
>
>>Just for the sake of argument I'll let that go. <
>
>
>LMAO! You don't have a choice in the matter, as you are slowly
>realizing.
>
>>You claim to have verified that I did not make these busts. Apparently
>>you have made contact with the various courts in Texas that have
>>contradicted what I have said. Please give us names, phone number and
>>the times that you called so that we can all verify this. <
>
>Certainly. AS you claimed, these services cost fees. Provide a valid
>contact number and I can call you and we can discuss my fees.

So then you HAVEN'T actually made contacts to "prove that I am lying." I understand now. YOU are lying. Or perhaps, again, "lying" is too strong of a word. You were misleading, you misrepresented what you had actually done, YOU LIED!

>
>>Did you "make calls" that were unable to verify my claims or did you
>>make calls that were able to contradict my claims. There is a BIG
>>difference. You see I have never seen your brain, I don't know anyone
>>else who has ever seen your brain. In fact I doubt that anyone in the
>>entire world has seen your brain. So we can't verify that it exists at
>>all. So if we use your logic of "unable to verify" then your brain
>>doesn't exist. <
>
>But everyone that frequents the ng can see the lies you tell and lie
>about some more. Do you have an alcohol problem, Kev? You seem to be
>incapable of posting and focusing on any issue except for your intense
>personal dislike of me.

They, like I have said, can see that you are the TwistMeister. So you say that I am incapable of focusing? I have been trying my best to follow your twisted "logic," claims and accusations. You seem to have a paranoia

problem too. I don't "personally dislike" anyone in this newsgroup. I know, you aren't paranoid but everyone thinks you are. Poor little Twisty, thinks everyone hates him.

>
>>So, lets have names, places, phone numbers, dates and times that you
>>called these places to check up on me. I don't want EMails or web sites,
>>those can be faked, I want names and phone numbers so that I can call
>>them. If you can't then by your standards you are a liar.
>>Kevin, WB5RUE<
>
>Following the thread the last few days, I'm not at all cocerned with the
>general consensus, but you should be. Nevertheless, I even accept
>personal checks, but there will be ten day hold on all out of state
>checks and a 25.00 NSF fee.

General consensus? Get Real Twistyboy! The only "general consensus" has been people who think you are deranged. Only Modeaholic (who I think is you) has come to your side.

But you, apparently, already have made those phone calls so you have already "paid the fees" right? Oh, you want reimbursement? I think that this is just another attempt to cloud the issue that you are so wrapped up in yourself that you can't see when you have been cornered. There's no way out of this one. Put up or shut up. You made claims that you had some sort of proof that I am lying. Let's have it. Names of who you called, phone numbers of who you called and the courts you called. But obviously you don't have them and there is no way in God's green earth that I'm going to send you a single penny. GET REAL Twistyboy!
ROFL!!!! BWAAAAAAAAAAAHHAAAAAAAAHHAAAAAAAA! I can hear everyone else in this newsgroup laughing too! Except maybe Mopedaholic that is. The only thing that exceeds your ego is your audacity! I doubt that you have the guts to send me your real name and address anyway.

Kevin, WB5RUE
God must love stupid people, he made so many of them!

849. Re: ATTENTION: GUY: solution to keyclown problem ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 15:49:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21442-3D2DA912-117@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129878

>Don't be an idiot. No one is suggesting violence or vigilatism or doing harm to ANYONE. AKC expresses disapproval of keyclowns and illegal CB ops, nothing more. Go back to your gun closet and polish your cleaning rod.
-Aaron- <

Picking up the lies where Muenzler left off doesn't make them anymore true. Lelnad has twice advocated violence. Once with the comment about shooting freebanders in the head (an obvious retort without any clear thought behind it) and when he made an implied death threat to me, telling me I was a "dead fish."

And we can't forget Frank, who threatens to shoot people with the aid of his rifle scope.

Then of course we have Dogie, who bows to the almighty cb, and worship those who reject his calloused and chickenhawk mannerisms.
We also have his entrance into the ng and obsessive, profane, homo-laden rants and threats preserved for posterity in the archives.
Endorsed violence, homosexuality as a favorite topic, and lies make up your "AKC". How proud Kevin is now that you allow him entrance to your warped society.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

850. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 15:51:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21442-3D2DA99F-119@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129879

WHat you say doesn't matter, Muenzler. You were shown to be a liar many times over. You're no longer an issue.

851. Re: Twisty Sinking Deeper Into Insanity...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 16:22:55 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2daf24$0$13951$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129880

Yeah, since I asked him for names, phone number etc. so that I could check out his "proof" he wants to charge me money. I'm still laughing. The poor boy is a legend in his own mind. The only thing that exceeds his ego is his audacity.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:22a5e694.0207101250.3c22fb1d@posting.google.com...
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> >Hello again , Frank.
> >If you were in touch with Carol at all, you wouldn't have to change
> the
> >original claim that had you choking on crow. There has been no laws,
> >ordinances passed by the city of Beloit in response to HR 2346 that
> >they helped sponsor...end of story.
> >
> <ranting snipped>
>
> You mind is playing tricks on you again, Twisted. If you recall - you
> were merely asked to prove that Beloit had enacted no CB laws. Since
> the email you say you recieved (no headers, looks fake) and the one I
> received (full headers, time and date stamps) totally disagree with
> each other, nothing at all has been proven. You haven't "won" anything
> -- except the right to go on posting warped stuff for us to laugh at.
> Get busy.
>
> -Aaron-

852. Re: "N8WWM IS TWITHED'S MASTER" Cap ----SALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 16:24:20 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2daf79$0$13950$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129881

You know, Twisyboy is not a complete idiot, some of his parts are missing.

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21447-3D2C539E-2@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
I am without a doubt absolutely honored and humbled by the homage paid
me from such a colorful lot.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

853. Re: "N8WWM IS TWITHED'S MASTER" Cap ----SALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 16:27:54 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2db050$0$13954$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129882

Everyone is entitled to be stupid, Moped, but you abuse the priviledge.

Kevin, WB5RUE

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020710212150.484$0D@newsreader.com...
> voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> >>And don't forget, Dave and Kevin have the full
> > backing of the AKC....
> >
> I pitty the fools.

854. Re: Sparky's lies [was Original Text of Frank"Sparky" Aaron's SWR ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 16:29:29 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2db0ae$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129883

You are correct, my credibility does stand alone, yours certainly isn't anywhere to be seen. You know Twisty, everyone is entitled to be stupid now and then but you abuse the priviledge.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:12881-3D2C5026-35@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin=A0Muenzler,=A0WB5RUE)
> >Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.<
>
> Sheer brilliance. No one needs say anything more considering your
> credibility. It indeed stands alone.

855. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 17:00:05 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <yRiX8.85211$wj4.7364672@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129884

Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:agj8b6dbv4@quark.scn.rain.com...
> Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
> > Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
> >>
> >> Unfair? Hardly. As Dave noted, Hams are supposed to know the
regulations,
> >> which is one of the reasons that Hams have more freedom in legal
> > operations.
> >> To me, they are as much (or more) deserving of being busted as a CBer
who
> >> runs a modified CB radio in the Ham bands.
> >>
> >> In many of the reports about Hams who operate below 28 MHz, it is noted
> >> that they were causing intentional interference - either on the legal
CB
> >> frequencies or in the 10/11 meter "freeband" area.
> >>
> >> You would have a hard time convincing me that any Ham was unaware that
> >> he/she was operating on illegal frequencies - or that the intentional
> >> interference was not illegal.
> >>
> >> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>
> > So, Bill, you are saying that the information is NOT available to CBers
to
> > let them
> > know that "freebanding", or amps, or unapproved radios are illegal? Or
that
> > CBers
> > lack the intelligence or ability to read to find out how they are
supposed
> > to operate?
> > I doubt that. Do you REALLY expect me to accept that CBers don't know
that
> > "freebanding" is wrong and illegal?
>
> You should know better than to read things into what others wrote. I did
> not imply any of the things you mention.
>
> Yes, the information is available, if the CBer looks for it. Many don't
> and many don't know that such things as expanded channels or increased
> power are illegal.

Yet, it is their responsibility to know it whether they do it or not. Heck, they
probably don't even read the owner's manual, much less the regulations.
>
> The difference between the two services are that Hams are required to
> take a test on the regulations - so supposedly they know them before
> they can get their license. CBers do not have a test or license.

CB stations operate under a "blanket authorization". They are technically "licensed"
and subject to the rules just as if they had a paper license in hand. Yes, they, per-
haps, don't know the rules, but just as with the traffic cop, "B-b-b-b-but, Mr. Agent, sir, I-I-I-I didn't know it was illegal to use a 'leenyar' or tawk on that 'ere
freeband" won't hold water.

I am happy, however, to see that Mr. H. is stepping up enforcement of all kinds.

73

Jerry

>
> > Ignorance of the law is no excuse--same as if you speed and tell the cop
> > that you
> > didn't "know" the speed limit was 35. Illegal is illegal is illegal, it is
> > the responsibil-
> > ity of the user to know the rules governing his operations, and if there is
> > punishment
> > for one, then it should administered equally to all that violate the rules.
> > Yes, the ham should know better, but still, if he gets into trouble for
> > operating out of band,
> > then the CBer should be punished for doing the same thing.
>
> I agree that all should be punished - and have said as much in this
> thread.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

856. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 17:08:04 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1ZiX8.85221$wj4.7369066@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129885

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21442-3D2D9A3F-110@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>Perhaps, my view of it is prejudiced,
but it seems just a "tad" unfair that they got hit,<

Why is that? You have always claimed that freebanders get what they
deserve. Now by virtue of a ticket they deserve less? It's really
beginning to smell in here and it's coming from all the spoiled pork. A
bad one can contaminate the lots that never were a prime cut.

I didn't say that. I said that if the hams are doing it (freebanding) and
get popped
because it is illegal, then the CBers doing it should get the same
treatment. After all, one's first contact with FCC is likely to be a
warning letter, and if he quits, no
harm done. I am much in favor of hams who engage in wrongdoing getting
busted
because their activities reflect badly on the Service. Likewise, I am in
favor of others who do the same thing getting the same treatment. Illegal
is illegal is illegal,
and, therefore, wrong.

J

> and, if they were talking to domestic
CBers, those stations didn't get hit, too. Maybe "shooting skip"
overseas? How-ever, I believe they will eventually get around to the
"freebanding" thing, but it isn't
on their hot list right now.
73
Jerry<

And it never will be unless it's a safety issue or a repeated
nuisance/interference complaint. It's a nonissue in most cases.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

857. Re: best way to track down an annoying CBer????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 17:31:32 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <2jjX8.85256$wj4.7387633@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129886

Demotraxx <demotraxx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020710234030.16693.00004294@mb-ch.aol.com...
> I normally don't care about idiots that key down and play music and all
that.
> But there is one local guy that is taking it to extreme. As a matter of
fact,
> one of my friends was stuck, and he was trying to give me directions, and
this
> guy kept keying down and playing music every time my friend spoke with
> directions to where he broke down. This guy just plays music every night
for
> the past month, and trying to talk to anyone is impossible. He even
follows me
> to other channels. I know he is a base station and not a mobile. Does
anyone
> have any detailed suggestions on how I can track him down? I tried driving
> around in my car with my RF gain turned all the way down and figured that
when
> the meter hits 30 pounds with the RF gain all the way down, I would be
close.
> But no luck yet. Is there a better way? Any tips or tricks or devices?
Thanks.

Get hold of one of those cheap 18" CB mag mounts. When he is on the air,
stick this onto the side of the car door. Drive to a point where you have
just a fair
signal. Remove the regular CB antenna and connect the little cheapie. Drive
in a
circle, preferably in a parking lot, and note where the signal nulls
(becomes weakest). Using a compass, note the direction. Drive closer in
that direction and
do the same thing. If you have an RF (sensitivity) Gain control, gradually
turn this
down as you move closer to the vicinity of the indicated signal. Continue
to do so
until you get right into the general neighborhood. By backing off the
receiver's RF
gain, it will get you right to his block. Drive around a couple of blocks
and look for
antennas. Disconnect all antennas and check strength. When the signal is
comfort-ably readable, you should be right on top of him. Report this info
to FCC. That is all you can legally do. (There IS the pin-the-coax thing
<<g>>)

If you have a CB beam at home, or 2 friends that have them, get maps of the area.
When he is on air, you and/or your friends get a beam heading on the signal and draw out a line on the map as far as possible--the bigger the map the better. Get
together and draw the lines onto a single map. Where those lines cross is where he
IS. It is a simple technique called triangulation. All of you don't have to be on the air at the same time--just have your friends draw the lines when they happen to be
on when he is. Then get together later and compare the lines. This is another effective way to find the rat.

Good Luck,

Jerry

858. Re: ATTENTION: GUY: solution to keyclown problem ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 17:47:40 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <0xjX8.93481$UT.6172597@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129887

"LilyV7" <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020711105833.01669.00000212@mb-mm.aol.com...
> all right aaron! liked your even headed response to that "clown"
> just do me a favor...ask him whats a "13mm" round. is the defense
department
> working on some thing new? ive heard of a 5.56mm,7.62mm,9mm,10mm (bren
> ten),but what the hell is a 13mm???????
> somebody.....clue me in :) :) gunslinger

A WW2 Japanese caliber, usually Lewis Guns and usually for anti-aircraft.
A tad over .50 caliber.

859. FS: President HR-2510 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 17:55:44 UTC
From: alexzapatka@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <acbd886b.0207110955.173519c3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

if anyone is interested....

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365902717

i know it will probably be droped by amazon... but i am keeping track
of email addresses from it and i will contact people to see if they
are still interested (you can also email me directly... but i dont
regurlarly check these newsgroups any more... so dont post here)

flames > /dev/nul please

860. FS: HR-2510, CCC Ranger AR-3300 (100watt), CCC Ranger AR-3500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 18:06:12 UTC
From: alexzapatka@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <acbd886b.0207111006.59afba77@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

three great radios up on ebay if you are interested.

the HR-2510
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365902717

the AR-3300
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365899242

the AR-3500
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365896424

i know that ebay will probably kill these auctions, but i am keeping
track of the emails, and will contact you if they do.

you can email me at alexzapatka@hotmail.com if you have any questions.

861. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 18:32:39 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2dcde1.274130@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129890

In <20020711111110.01669.00000214@mb-mm.aol.com>, lilyv7@aol.com (LilyV7) wrote:

>hey,all radio transmitters generate rf "noise"
>any honest ham radio operator will admit that. even the "cleanest" rigs will
>generate t.v.i..i dont care if its made by kenwood,or icom,or yaesu. they all
>do it to some extent. a factory fresh,non modified,cb radio,with stock mike and
>modulation will "bleed" over onto your neighbors phone,stereo,tv etc. alot of
>it has to do with the poor filtering of the device being interfered with.
>phones and stereos are made as cheaply as possible.the engineers who design
>them are not worried about interference cause by cbers and hams.
>gunslinger

Emissions outside the band are supposed to be reduced to a maximum level as outlined in Part 15, as well as the parts that specify the operating limits of the radiator. If these requirements are met, that would cure a vast majority of interference on TVs and radios. Many transmitters exceed these minimum standards and have out-of-band emissions well below the maximum allowed. Yes, as you said, "even the 'cleanest' rigs will generate t.v.i..", but they usually won't be heard on anything not intended to receive them.

862. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 18:50:03 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uirkpqmggtpq05@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129891

No, read the post you responded to again. Most interference problems that I have run into have to do with devices picking up and detecting the rf - and they shouldn't. Heck, a telephone should not pick up radio waves - I don't care if the transmitter has 2nd, 3rd, and 4th harmonics the strength of the fundamental. I should mention that I am talking about POTS - plain old telephone service (not cellphone or cordless phones), yet they will pick up rf. CBers get a black eye here simply because many of them use AM - and the telephone will receive that, often with good voice quality! SSB, of course, will just sound like Donald Duck and the user of the device will have no idea of who it is on the telephone. FM will not be detected. Stereos also (I'm talking about playing cds, tapes, vinyls (anyone still got any?)) - does not have any rf circuitry in it (I'm not talking using the am/fm tuner, just cds, tapes, vinyls, etc) and should not pick up rf. Again, lack of shielding will allow a strong local signal (your next door neighbor?) to be picked up and rectified. The reality is that most problems are caused by poorly shielded consumer equipment (try selling that junk in Europe - they have standards for radiated immunity over there!). It is sad indeed that some of the amateurs want to come over to rec.radio.cb and blame all interference on cb rigs (whether stock or not) - when the largest problem is neither amateurs nor cbers - it is all that cheap consumer stuff (now often made in China) that is the problem.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d2dcde1.274130@news.cet.com...
> In <20020711111110.01669.00000214@mb-mm.aol.com>, lilyv7@aol.com
> (LilyV7) wrote:
>
> >hey,all radio transmitters generate rf "noise"
> >any honest ham radio operator will admit that. even the "cleanest" rigs
will
> >generate t.v.i..i dont care if its made by kenwood,or icom,or yaesu. they
all
> >do it to some extent. a factory fresh,non modified,cb radio,with stock
mike and
> >modulation will "bleed" over onto your neighbors phone,stereo,tv etc.
alot of
> >it has to do with the poor filtering of the device being interfered with.
> >phones and stereos are made as cheaply as possible.the engineers who
design
> >them are not worried about interference cause by cbers and hams.
> >gunslinger
>
> Emissions outside the band are supposed to be reduced to a maximum

> level as outlined in Part 15, as well as the parts that specify the
> operating limits of the radiator. If these requirements are met, that
> would cure a vast majority of interference on TVs and radios. Many
> transmitters exceed these minimum standards and have out-of-band
> emissions well below the maximum allowed. Yes, as you said, "even the
> 'cleanest' rigs will generate t.v.i..", but they usually won't be
> heard on anything not intended to receive them.
>

863. Re: best way to track down an annoying CBer????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 19:00:24 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uirld76flr6102@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129892

Well, Jerry has a good response to you, but, unless you are extremely fortunate (or, in this case, unfortunate), almost any cb setup will have some directionality. Get a friend on the air and listen to him, drive around in a tight circle and watch the s-meter. You should find a point that either has a fairly tight peak on the signal, or a fairly tight null. Armed with that info, you should be able to get a fairly good heading on your 'problem child' the next time he gets on the air. Now drive towards his signal until it starts dropping off. Do another 360 degrees and note the direction it is comming from. Assuming you drove 3 or 4 miles, this second direction should give you a pretty good idea of where the idiot lives (within a block or so). I used to ride with a guy that could do this sucessfully very quickly. Some guy was jamming the group - so he let a couple of guys sit there chatting back and forth and hollering at the guy whilst we took off. One 360 and he said it was comming from inside the city of Rochester (we were about 6 miles away). Upon hitting Lake Avenue, he pulled the 360 again - then told me roughly where the transmitter was. He took only missed 1 turn - he turned down a street 1 block past where he should have. From six miles out, we were sitting in front of the idiots house in 10 minutes. It still amazes me. It won't be that easy for you, but it DOES work.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
"Demotraxx" <demotraxx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020710234030.16693.00004294@mb-ch.aol.com...
> I normally don't care about idiots that key down and play music and all
that.
> But there is one local guy that is taking it to extreme. As a matter of
fact,
> one of my friends was stuck, and he was trying to give me directions, and
this
> guy kept keying down and playing music every time my friend spoke with
> directions to where he broke down. This guy just plays music every night
for
> the past month, and trying to talk to anyone is impossible. He even
follows me
> to other channels. I know he is a base station and not a mobile. Does
anyone
> have any detailed suggestions on how I can track him down? I tried driving
> around in my car with my RF gain turned all the way down and figured that
when
> the meter hits 30 pounds with the RF gain all the way down, I would be
close.
> But no luck yet. Is there a better way? Any tips or tricks or devices?

Thanks.

864. Re: "LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey - now on SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 20:04:28 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207111204.1d4cb9e5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129893

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<GFgX8.16587$5y.328173809@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Ken" <dallastopcat@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3d2d8766.144540508@news.easynews.com...
> > On 10 Jul 2002 18:40:41 -0700, voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H.
> > Voobner) wrote:
> >
> > >"LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey
> > >(Product Number: 2641100)
> > >
> > >In Stock: Will ship in 2-3 business days.
> > >
> > >Specially designed by George, this jersey features rec.radio.cb's own
> > >rascal "LANDWHALE" being caught in another lie! Typical? You bet! Wear
> > >it around San Francisco if you dare!
> > >
> > >$17.99 each
> > >
> >
> >http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/productdetail.aspx?prodno=antikeyclown.26
> 41100
> >
> > Aaron;
> > What is wrong with you? Its one thing to inform people when you
> > think they advocating breaking laws. Don't you think this is a bit
> > over the line?
>
> LOL!, I have this crap kill filed, didn't even
> see it until Ken responded. I would think most people
> consider this spam, nothing to do with CB, so if you
> don't like it complain to Google/AOL.
> Oh, also I noticed that Aaron has a new yahoo/google
> account, couldn't be that it got pulled due to complaints
> about spam, could it? Oh well he'll throw some crap
> about Scott, Tim, Jay, Brian etc etc etc, whomever he
> thinks he can get upset with his trolling.
>
> Landshark

Thanks for your interest in the AKC store, Ken. The store products
pertain specifically to the anti-illegal CB radio movement (which is
on topic for this NG). They also poke fun at this NG and allude to
certain personalities within it (which is also on topic for this NG).
You recall those manipulated photos of Sparky on a billboard that

someone posted a while back? Or how about that whole Jock McVoobner spoof that someone posted of me? Same idea, except the AKC version is better quality - and totally within legal bounds. Regarding the "LANDWHALE" character, that is something George came up with as an exclusive design for the store, so you might address your concerns to him specifically.

-Aaron-

865. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 20:12:54 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207111212.1ab7db3a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129894

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>The difference is, the hammie is SIGNING a sworn
>testimony, attesting that he in essence, is aware of the regs, and
>agrees to abide by those regs.

RULE 4 - Licenses You do not need an individual license to operate a CB station. You are authorized by this rule to operate your CB station in accordance with the rules stated in this Subpart.

RULE 2 - Usage of Rules You must comply with these rules when you operate a station in the CB Service.

...Sounds to me like CBers are held just as accountable for obeying rules.

>One must admit, ignorance is a much greater probability with a cber
not
>knowing they are breaking the law, as opposed to a hammie. A new
driver,
>in a company truck, could damn sure have extra channels. Someone can
>tell him to go "2 up" and he may find himself in the middle of 10
>meters. VOILA...our green driver is suddenly illegal, without
knowing.
>SHOULD he know? Absoloutely...but he isn't MADE to know. The hammie
>knows.

What a crock of BS. But that's Twisty for ya.

-A

866. Re: FS: HR-2510, CCC Ranger AR-3300 (100watt), CCC Ranger AR-3500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 21:48:59 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020711174859.03010.00000020@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 129895

Next time try putting them in Ham:Transievers or Ham:Other
so your auctions wont get yanked.

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

867. Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 22:16:53 UTC
From: "Dennis B." <dennisandcarol@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <ptnX8.4083$uw.595@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 129896

Which antenna performs better, one made from aluminum or fiberglass? I'm just curious.

Thanks,
Dennis

868. Re: "LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey - now on SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 22:19:17 UTC
From: LegalCB <legalcb@foo.com>
Message-ID: <FvnX8.147436$vq.7631930@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129947

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020711175739.609$hg@newsreader.com...
> voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> >>Thanks for your interest in the AKC store, Ken. The store products
> > > pertain specifically to the anti-illegal CB radio movement
> > >
> They're also spam.
>
> Does Google have an anti-spam policy?

All he needs to do is type OT in front of it just like your off topic posts
...LOL

869. Re: best way to track down an annoying CBer????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 22:20:19 UTC
From: demotraxx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711182019.11699.00000054@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 129948

OK, so the way I'm understanding it is that I go outside with my walkie talkie, and hold it so that the antenna is horizontally and not vertically like it normally would be. I'm confused on one point though. You say that the weaker signals would give me a 50/50 on his direction. But what about the other 2 signals (The strong signals). Shouldn't the stronger signals dictate his direction rather than the weaker?

yeti@cet.com says:

>If you hold a handheld with the antenna parallel to the ground and do
>a 360, sometimes you will get two weak spots in opposite directions.
>If that happens then the handheld antenna is either pointing directly
>towards or directly away from the transmitter. Do this at several
>locations and plot your vectors.

870. Re: "LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey - now on SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 22:33:22 UTC
From: LegalCB <legalcb@foo.com>
Message-ID: <SInX8.43487$iX5.1953233@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129949

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207111204.1d4cb9e5@posting.google.com...
>
> Thanks for your interest in the AKC store, Ken. The store products
> pertain specifically to the anti-illegal CB radio movement (which is
> on topic for this NG). They also poke fun at this NG and allude to
> certain personalities within it (which is also on topic for this NG).
> You recall those manipulated photos of Sparky on a billboard that
> someone posted a while back? Or how about that whole Jock McVoobner
> spoof that someone posted of me? Same idea, except the AKC version is
> better quality - and totally within legal bounds. Regarding the
> "LANDWHALE" character, that is something George came up with as an
> exclusive design for the store, so you might address your concerns to
> him specifically.
>
> -Aaron-

Eactly Aaron, I am also working on some new items to include to the AKC
Collectibles.

871. Re: solution to keyclown problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 23:14:29 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0207111514.29e5ed5d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129950

"Richard McCollum" <rmcollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<2X4X8.92418$UT.6089815@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> And the battle cry will be 'Excelsior!!!'

No, the battle cry will be "feel lucky, punk?"

Guy
xxxxxxxx

872. Re: solution to keyclown problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 23:29:00 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0207111528.4861d48f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129951

> >sink $1.7K into an OAR DF
> >unit,
>
> You are spending WAY too much! I'll get my page for the Twisty-Buster
> radio direction finder back up as soon as I can.

Nah, OAR's is a pretty sophisticated unit. Has a built-in CRT
calibrated for Doppler-type work.

>
> > and go out and do the FCC'S job for it.

> You have that kind of clout? Wow! Are you related to Alan Greenspan?

You'd be surprised how cooperative G-men can be when faced with a
reverse-Liberty Valance with a background in forensics.

> hmmm.... enforcing FCC regs with lethal force?

Why not? They obviously aren't doing the job themselves, except for
occasionally reprimanding the likes of N3KSO and former-WB6JAC (who
has been known to jam from inside prison walls).

> >One of my connections from detective-academy days works in the SSA's
> >HQ in Baltimore... the keyclowns won't be able to get away so easily.
>
> Nope, not if you got his number (pun intended).

I am surprised at how many people (even many G-men) have no idea the
SSA is HQ'd in Baltimore. The complex where our #'s and stats are
stored (mostly on IBMs 20+ years out of date) takes up a couple of
city blocks. If they'd get a Mac, they could probably fit the sucker
into a toolshed.

Guy
xxxxxxxx

873. Re: President HR-2510 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 23:30:58 UTC
From: Terry & Utahna Olsen <tolsen64@nospam.boycot.dns2go.com>
Message-ID: <SyoX8.472401$352.76395@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 129952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

What is it and why was it dropped???

"Alex Zapatka" <alexzapatka@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:acbd886b.0207110955.173519c3@posting.google.com...
> if anyone is interested....
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365902717
>
> i know it will probably be droped by amazon... but i am keeping track
> of email addresses from it and i will contact people to see if they
> are still interested (you can also email me directly... but i dont
> regurlarly check these newsgroups any more... so dont post here)
>
> flames > /dev/nul please

874. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 23:34:42 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d2e145b$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129953

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:14308-3D2A0292-36@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...

> Another failed and flawed interpretation on behalf of the ignorant, an
>assumption. There are multiple reasons you fail to comprehend or
>recognize for that wording. I may be going there on a trip and wish to
>know. It makes no difference other than the cause of your feathered
>diet.

IGNORANT ASSUMPTION? BWAAAAAAAHAAAAAAAHAAAAAAA!
Now this is certainly the pot calling the kettle black. Twisty is Mr. Ignorant Assumption! When I challenged him to produce names, phone numbers, etc. of person he had already contacted (Yeah, right!) who supposedly contradicted my story he had the audacity (balls!) to want to charge me a fee. I suppose this is to reimburse the fee he "had to pay to get the information." BWAAAAHAAAAHAAAAHAAAA! Pull the other one!!! I doubt that ANY state or federal agency would be able to get such extensive information during a phone call. In fact I KNOW that wouldn't happen. You have to request such information IN WRITING and provide the fee UP FRONT.
Bada-bing! Lie uncovered. Did you use your credit card to pay for the "fees" or did they just take your word as good credit?

Grow up Twistyboy.

Kevin, WB5RUE

875. Re: ATTENTION: GUY: solution to keyclown problem ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 23:37:28 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0207111537.64ec5a88@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129954

> > just do me a favor...ask him whats a "13mm" round. is the defense
> department
> > working on some thing new? ive heard of a 5.56mm,7.62mm,9mm,10mm (bren
> > ten),but what the hell is a 13mm???????
> > somebody.....clue me in :) :) gunslinger
>
> A WW2 Japanese caliber, usually Lewis Guns and usually for anti-aircraft.
> A tad over .50 caliber.

You're both wrong... 13mm are Mauser-made, originally for use against light vehicles. Makes for godawful good dumdum shells when used as an antipersonnel weapon.

BTW a lot of gun connoisseurs have never heard of 8- and 4-gauge elephant guns either, but believe me they made 'em. A couple of goose hunters even built a 2-gauge once.

Guy
xxxxxxx

876. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 23:42:23 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d2e1628$0$13953$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129955

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21442-3D2DA99F-119@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> WHat you say doesn't matter, Muenzler. You were shown to be a liar many
> times over. You're no longer an issue.

I'm sure that it doesn't since you're run out of issues that don't have
holes in them.

Still waiting for those names, address, phone numbers. What? You want
money? Why? As a reimbursament? A reimbursement for what? I know that
you haven't paid anyone for research. There is NO WAY that you could get
that information over the phone and there is NO WAY that you could have
received information back that fast in the mail. It takes WEEKS to get
information back from a court reporter's records. Like I said before.
BADA-BING! You've been caught directly in a lie and don't have the
intellect to see it. I guess that's why I'm no longer an issue. Keep
twisting Twistyboy.

Kevin, WB5RUE

877. Re: FS: HR-2510, CCC Ranger AR-3300 (100watt), CCC Ranger AR-3500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-11 23:56:28 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020711195628.12329.00000006@mb-fa.news.cs.com>
Source record: 129956

>the AR-3300
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365899242
>
>the AR-3500
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365896424

Please e-mail me the details (& any pics) re. these, thanks.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

878. Re: solution to keyclown problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 00:00:45 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D2E1C2F.1D4E7389@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 129957

"Sparky" wrote:
> The violator must
> suffer the hardships of never paying income taxes or Social Security
> payoffs... er, payments ever again. What a terrible ordeal that will
> be -- to shoulder the disgrace of the government not taking 20% of
> your paycheck while the Social Security fund shrivels down to nothing
> and the baby-boomers approach retirement age. Yes indeed, I'm sure
> that will be one tough punishment!

There's a Gov't "fund" that will never pay me a cent...

879. Re: "LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey - now on SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 00:03:26 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D2E1CCF.FC6D9D98@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 129958

Landshark wrote:
> , whomever he
> thinks he can get upset with his trolling.

Is Aannoying Aaron at it again? I have so much fun marking
messages as read, I don't see anything but the first one.

880. Re: best way to track down an annoying CBer????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 00:06:49 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uis7cocgcpp172@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129959

Ramsey electonics sells stuff hams use for fox hunts but its like 60 bucks.
Another thing is wait eventually some truck driver will use a tire thumper
on his head.
The best way is to go to the local Ham club and see who bitches most about
cber's...That's the guy who is doing it.

Besides 60 bucks can go toward the purchase of a nice linier...Also get a
radio with extra bands. That way when some Ham tries to play naughty on the
cb bands you can hop to a freq where Hams are afraid to go:)
"Demotraxx" <demotraxx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020710234030.16693.00004294@mb-ch.aol.com...
> I normally don't care about idiots that key down and play music and all
that.
> But there is one local guy that is taking it to extreme. As a matter of
fact,
> one of my friends was stuck, and he was trying to give me directions, and
this
> guy kept keying down and playing music every time my friend spoke with
> directions to where he broke down. This guy just plays music every night
for
> the past month, and trying to talk to anyone is impossible. He even
follows me
> to other channels. I know he is a base station and not a mobile. Does
anyone
> have any detailed suggestions on how I can track him down? I tried driving
> around in my car with my RF gain turned all the way down and figured that
when
> the meter hits 30 pounds with the RF gain all the way down, I would be
close.
> But no luck yet. Is there a better way? Any tips or tricks or devices?
Thanks.

881. Re: "LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey - now on SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 00:14:58 UTC
From: KungFuYoung <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <6cpX8.149068$vq.7745744@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129960

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020711182811.885$DY@newsreader.com...

> We all know how Aaron likes to play by the rules...
>
> --
> Go #40

And you don't but all of a sudden you want to start?

882. Re: "LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey - now on SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 00:16:03 UTC
From: KungFuYoung <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <7dpX8.48607$Bt1.2642878@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129961

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020711183731.255$Qx@newsreader.com...
> Ironic..two jerk off hams,stalking CBer's on usenet.
>
> --
> Go #40

Well I consider you replying to me as Stalking as well then. No one asked
you to respond to my posts now did they.
Your just mad no one made up a Mopedholic/LawnBoy shirt, well trust me have
been in the works as we speak.

883. Re: FS: President HR-2510 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 00:18:24 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207111618.64a77206@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

alexzapatka@hotmail.com (Alex Zapatka) wrote in message
news:<acbd886b.0207110955.173519c3@posting.google.com>...
> if anyone is interested....
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365902717
>
> i know it will probably be droped by amazon... but i am keeping track
> of email addresses from it and i will contact people to see if they
> are still interested (you can also email me directly... but i dont
> regurlarly check these newsgroups any more... so dont post here)
>
> flames > /dev/nul please

If it's on eBay, it's For Auction, *not* FS. Kindly stop misleading people.

884. Re: "LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey - now on SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 00:19:29 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D2E2092.A9910046@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 129963

> KungFuYoung wrote:

<snip>

Here's the newest name for the trash heap.

885. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 00:19:32 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uis84jfu3mencb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129964

Not to mention the warehouses that comunicate with truck drivers. BTW many warehouses have a small "kicker" to make sure they can get through to the truckdriver who is usually asleep and turned his squelch up since hambos who hear it think" oh noo illegal commercial use is against fcc rule xxx and try to annoy people.
Small meaning in the sub 200 watt range
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:21442-3D2D9FF9-114@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: 123@hotmail.com (BobC)
>For a long time now I've been a proponent of getting truckers to use a vhf or uhf fm rig to communicate amongst each other on the road. What would it take to make this happen? <

Won't happen, at least in this lifetime.
It would have to be a slow transition. Owner operators won't buy into it as it's their own bucks and not many truckers or motorists are on those bands. Companies that provide the trucks with radio equipment are usually so damn cheap in the first place they would be loathe to buy anything for the convenience of the driver. As long as newer trucks are fitted with on-board satellite and tracking GPS systems and a cell phone, the company doesn't give a damn. Besides, many truckers like the DX when their ain't many to talk to on the big road. If there was an immediate need for assistance, I think the driver would feel more comfy with a cb than a vhf rig. Old habits die hard.

>How does one go about gaining popular acceptance of these radios?
Is it the radio shops themselves which are holding back? I can see their point because it would not generate repeat sales for power mics, fancy overpriced antennas etc etc. Repairs would most likely dwindle.
I doubt amplifier sales would suffer because there'd be a new niche market to sell to.
Even if someone just threw a frs handheld radio in a cute chassis with an outside antenna connector I bet it would sell if shops would push the idea.
I know this would be technically illegal but I'd be willing to bet the fcc and hamsters would love it.<

I've heard drivers on FRS before.

> No more tvi complaints from cb sets to deal with. Much less probability of drivers resorting to out of band freqs just to communicate a few miles.<

Truckers aren't largely responsible for the ones causing the interference to TV's.
The idea would have to be grandfathered in anyway. Maybe if drivers

began with a VHF radio IN ADDITION to a cb, then something could possibly take hold, otherwise, it just seems like another nonissue, except to hammies.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

886. AKC License Plate Frame: "I'D RATHER BE RATTING OUT KEYCLOWN AUCTIONS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 00:38:59 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207111638.5a89d04f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129965

>FS: HR-2510, CCC Ranger AR-3300 (100watt), CCC Ranger AR-3500
>FS: President HR-2510 on Ebay

"N8WWM, the MASTER of all you ILLEGAL KEYCLOWNS, is pleased to
announce
that these auctions were ratted out and CANCELLED by EBAY. "

With those words, we launch yet another fine AKC product.....

AKC License Plate Frame
(Product Number: 2648205)

In Stock: Will ship in 2-3 business days.

Is there anything better than busting a keyclown auction? Heres
another "N8WWM Special Edition" product: this fine license plate frame
declares "ID RATHER BE RATTING OUT KEYCLOWN AUCTIONS"!

$10.99 each

http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/productdetail.aspx?prodno=antikeyclown.2648205

887. Re: best way to track down an annoying CBer????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 00:44:55 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2e2523.1001117@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129966

In <20020711182019.11699.00000054@mb-mu.aol.com>, demotraxx@aol.com (Demotraxx) wrote:

>OK, so the way I'm understanding it is that I go outside with my walkie talkie,
>and hold it so that the antenna is horizontally and not vertically like it
>normally would be. I'm confused on one point though. You say that the weaker
>signals would give me a 50/50 on his direction. But what about the other 2
>signals (The strong signals). Shouldn't the stronger signals dictate his
>direction rather than the weaker?

Actually you will get the strongest signal when the antenna is perpendicular to the transmitter. You should check this with someone transmitting from a known location. You won't get a very sharp null with a handheld so you may need to take a lot of readings, and that's assuming you get any kind of a null at all. But if you do get a null, it will be narrower than any peak you might get.

888. Re: "LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey - now on SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 00:54:58 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207111654.414da01b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129967

ï¿½Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>Any Google updates,boy george?
>You had to poke your no-nothing nose in,didn't you?
>
>If Aaron gets popped for spam,it's your fault.

If I get "popped for spam" -- then Professor (Skywave amp), Train (radio.biz), Twisty (DX Reports), Nebo (www.timnebo.com), Donny N4IL (illegal amps), and a whole bunch of others are gonna get "popped" too.

-Aaron-

889. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 01:01:23 UTC
From: "Dennis B." <dennisandcarol@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <DTpX8.323771$R61.283822@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 129968

OK Thanks.

890. Re: "N8WWM IS TWITHED'S MASTER" Cap ----SALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 01:12:17 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207111712.35c74117@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129969

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" wrote:
> >>Everyone is entitled to be stupid, Moped, but you abuse
> >>the priviledge.
> >>
> >>Kevin, WB5RUE
> >>
>Why do you find it so hard to use OE ?
>
>Maybe someone can email you a clue,how to use it.
>
>wb5rue@yahoo.com
>wb5rue@yahoo.com
>wb5rue@yahoo.com
>wb5rue@yahoo.com
>wb5rue@yahoo.com

Sound like you are inciting your pals to spam Kevin. Not very nice
Mopar. Can't win an argument so you gotta harass the guy's mailbox?
Tsk tsk. Typical criminal mind.

>Do try to come up with your own material,muenzler.(hows the old
>ladys diet going,still smell bacon when you fuck her?)

Typical keyclown response. Anyone who posts anything in the NG that
disagrees with illegal CB gets profanity hurled at them.

>You're one of few hams that stops by this group,acts a fool,gets
>tossed under the bus..and leaves.

Only in your mind, Mope. And don't forget, Kevin's got "the full
backing of the AKC". (I like to use that phrase because it annoys you
so much).

-A

891. Re: best way to track down an annoying CBer????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 01:13:14 UTC
From: demotraxx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020711211314.18860.00000083@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 129970

I'm sorry, but I am still confused. I understand the part about walking in a circle with my walkie talkie pointing horizontally. But what would indicate the direction this guy is in? The weaker signal? Or the stronger signal? Thanks.

>Actually you will get the strongest signal when the antenna is
>perpendicular to the transmitter. You should check this with someone
>transmitting from a known location. You won't get a very sharp null
>with a handheld so you may need to take a lot of readings, and that's
>assuming you get any kind of a null at all. But if you do get a null,
>it will be narrower than any peak you might get.
>
>

892. amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 01:21:49 UTC
From: NI4L <ni4l@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3D2E2F2D.1050603@charter.net>
Source record: 129971

http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/forsale.html
pics and description at link above
--
NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
Made with the same components used by our military forces today
Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/

893. Re: "LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey - now on SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 01:22:19 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207111722.438ad584@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129972

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> >ï¿½Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >>Any Google updates,boy george?
> >>You had to poke your no-nothing nose in,didn't you?
> >>
> >>If Aaron gets popped for spam,it's your fault.
>
>>If I get "popped for spam" -- then Professor (Skywave amp), Train
>> (radio.biz), Twisty (DX Reports), Nebo (www.timnebo.com), Donny
N4IL
>> (illegal amps), and a whole bunch of others are gonna get "popped"
>> too.
>>
>> -Aaron-
>
>
>Like you've not tried that angle,Aaron.

I haven't written to their ISP's and complained. You want me to?

>George is to blame,if you get de-spammed.

George has the full backing of the AKC.

>Google is a free service,I'm fairly sure they have some sorta
>policy about advertising in discussion groups.

Well the way I see it, if you don't want ads in this NG, I'll make it
my business to see that anyone who posts one in here gets complained
about, over and over again, until they are yanked.

>I haven't thrown you under the bus..It's all in fun,so long as
>it doesn't get nasty. ;)

Posting this (below) to Kevin isn't "nasty"????.....

>(hows the old ladys diet going,still smell bacon when you fuck her?)

...you've already crossed over the line into "nasty" by a mile, Mope.
The bus is backing up and about to run you down. Stay tuned for your
come-uppance.

-Aaron-

894. Re: best way to track down an annoying CBer????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 01:25:42 UTC
From: KAXN-9546 <ab2hr@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <boisiusuvih23mhe84a2pm239qv3k2f0fr@4ax.com>
Source record: 129973

On 11 Jul 2002 03:40:30 GMT, demotraxx@aol.com (Demotraxx) wrote:

>I normally don't care about idiots that key down and play music and all that.
>But there is one local guy that is taking it to extreme. As a matter of fact,
>one of my friends was stuck, and he was trying to give me directions, and this
>guy kept keying down and playing music every time my friend spoke with
>directions to where he broke down. This guy just plays music every night for
>the past month, and trying to talk to anyone is impossible. He even follows me
>to other channels. I know he is a base station and not a mobile. Does anyone
>have any detailed suggestions on how I can track him down? I tried driving
>around in my car with my RF gain turned all the way down and figured that when
>the meter hits 30 pounds with the RF gain all the way down, I would be close.
>But no luck yet. Is there a better way? Any tips or tricks or devices? Thanks.

You need a directional antenna - or an array of them - so that you can
triangulate on the signal from a number of different positions...
Once you have the general area dialed in, you can roam around with a
CB with the antenna disconnected until you locate the signal at it's
strongest. Look around, you'll see his antenna...

Raymond Sirois KAXN-9546
SysOp: The Lost Chord BBS
607-733-5745
telnet://thelostchord.dns2go.com:6000

895. Re: "LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey - now on SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 01:33:09 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207111733.4c6f0c76@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129974

ï¿½Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>Sounds like you're inviting me to ask Google about their spam policy?
>
>Please advise.

LOL....IF I ADVERTISE ILLEGAL CB AMPS IN THE NEWSGROUP, THEN CAN I
STAY??? ROTFLMAO!!!!

>You've been harassing me for years...go fuck yourself.

You get back what you dish out.

-A

896. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 01:33:32 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2e273d.1539437@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129975

In <uirkpqmggtpq05@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote:

>No, read the post you responded to again. Most interference problems that I
>have run into have to do with devices picking up and detecting the rf - and
>they shouldn't. Heck, a telephone should not pick up radio waves - I don't
>care if the transmitter has 2nd, 3rd, and 4th harmonics the strength of the
>fundamental. I should mention that I am talking about POTS - plain old
>telephone service (not cellphone or cordless phones), yet they will pick up
>rf. CBers get a black eye here simply because many of them use AM - and the
>telephone will receive that, often with good voice quality! SSB, of course,
>will just sound like Donald Duck and the user of the device will have no
>idea of who it is on the telephone. FM will not be detected. Stereos also
>(I'm talking about playing cds, tapes, vinyls (anyone still got any?)) -
>does not have any rf circuitry in it (I'm not talking using the am/fm tuner,
>just cds, tapes, vinyls, etc) and should not pick up rf. Again, lack of
>shielding will allow a strong local signal (your next door neighbor?) to be
>picked up and rectified. The reality is that most problems are caused by
>poorly shielded consumer equipment (try selling that junk in Europe - they
>have standards for radiated immunity over there!). It is sad indeed that
>some of the amateurs want to come over to rec.radio.cb and blame all
>interference on cb rigs (whether stock or not) - when the largest problem is
>neither amateurs nor cbers - it is all that cheap consumer stuff (now often
>made in China) that is the problem.

AM has a couple characteristics that could make it heard on all kinds
of things. For example, if the final is overmodulated, poorly filtered
class C, or just plain crappy, you will be transmitting noise all the
way down to zero hz (just like if you turned a light switch on and off
at audio freqs, or a CW rig with a poorly regulated screen).

Connections between dissimilar metals make very poor rectifiers, and
would need either a hell of a lot of amplification for any demod to be
heard, or a hell of a lot of power to demod. Think about it: if it
were that easy to build an efficient diode, why bother with silicon?
Heck, if you need tuned tank circuit and a high-impedance earpiece
just to hear anything from a crystal radio using a quality Ge diode,
do you really think that a little cuprous oxide is going to make much
noise?

Carbon and crystal mics make fair rectifiers, but are not used much
anymore. If you are hearing demod through the phone it is probably
being amplified at the relay station. But you -still- need quite a bit
of RF power to be heard because the phone company's amplifiers are not
very high gain, and the mics are not connected to the resonant antenna

needed to receive a good signal. Regardless, the cure for this is to shield the mic and earpiece (usually already shielded by it's own case) and to choke both leads to both devices (a single bead per lead is enough). The other problem with telephones is the ring modulator, and then all you need to do is call the phone company.

If an audio device is hooked up to an amplifier, it's up you the user to avoid normal levels of RFI, i.e, use shielded audio cables, make good ground connections, etc. Note that some pieces of audio equipment are just shoved inside some wood or plastic and not shielded at all. Don't buy these devices.

If you are still getting RFI in non-radio equipment, even after all normal preventative measures have been taken, then the source of the interference is probably exceeding emission limitations.

BTW, once I had a problem where an audio device was picking up RFI because it was self-resonant at the same freq, but that is very, very rare in my experience.

>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d2dcde1.274130@news.cet.com...
>> In <20020711111110.01669.00000214@mb-mm.aol.com>, lilyv7@aol.com
>> (LilyV7) wrote:
>>
>> >hey,all radio transmitters generate rf "noise"
>> >any honest ham radio operator will admit that. even the "cleanest" rigs
>will
>> >generate t.v.i..i dont care if its made by kenwood,or icom,or yaesu. they
>all
>> >do it to some extent. a factory fresh,non modified,cb radio,with stock
>mike and
>> >modulation will "bleed" over onto your neighbors phone,stereo,tv etc.
>alot of
>> >it has to do with the poor filtering of the device being interfered with.
>> >phones and stereos are made as cheaply as possible.the engineers who
>design
>> >them are not worried about interference cause by cbers and hams.
>> >gunslinger
>>
>> Emissions outside the band are supposed to be reduced to a maximum
>> level as outlined in Part 15, as well as the parts that specify the
>> operating limits of the radiator. If these requirements are met, that
>> would cure a vast majority of interference on TVs and radios. Many
>> transmitters exceed these minimum standards and have out-of-band
>> emissions well below the maximum allowed. Yes, as you said, "even the
>> 'cleanest' rigs will generate t.v.i..", but they usually won't be
>> heard on anything not intended to receive them.

>>
>
>

897. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 01:40:25 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2e3212.4311887@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129976

In <ptnX8.4083$uw.595@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>, "Dennis B."
<dennisandcarol@attbi.com> wrote:

>Which antenna performs better, one made from aluminum or fiberglass? I'm
>just curious.
>
>Thanks,
>Dennis

Aluminum gets it's corrosion resistance from a thin layer of aluminum
oxide on the surface of the metal, preventing further oxidation. But
RF conducts on the surface of the conductor. Aluminum is not my first
choice for anything RF.

Fiberglass doesn't conduct at all. The antenna is a copper wire inside
the fiberglass, or wound outside the fiberglass and covered with some
heatshrink. The problem here is that when humidity/water gets trapped
between the fiberglass and the copper, it corrodes the copper very
quickly and thoroughly.

As said before by another poster, stainless steel is the way to go.

898. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 01:46:28 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D2E1731.2C90DBEF@mailcity.net>
Source record: 129977

Bill Nelson wrote:

>
> The difference between the two services are that Hams are required to
> take a test on the regulations - so supposedly they know them before
> they can get their license. CBers do not have a test or license.
>

No excuse, a cber should know the rules. It should be obvious to
the most simpleton that when you purchase a cb radio there are
going to be rules governing that service.

899. Re: solution to keyclown problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 01:50:52 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2e33cf.4757328@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129978

In <3aed93cc.0207111528.4861d48f@posting.google.com>,
witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote:

>> >sink $1.7K into an OAR DF
>> >unit,
>>
>> You are spending WAY too much! I'll get my page for the Twisty-Buster
>> radio direction finder back up as soon as I can.
>
>Nah, OAR's is a pretty sophisticated unit. Has a built-in CRT
>calibrated for Doppler-type work.

BORING! Besides, doppler does nothing a good loop can't do, except you
don't turn it manually. If you want to spend $1650 just to avoid
rotating a loop, that's up to you.

>> > and go out and do the FCC'S job for it.
>
>> You have that kind of clout? Wow! Are you related to Alan Greenspan?
>
>You'd be surprised how cooperative G-men can be when faced with a
>reverse-Liberty Valance with a background in forensics.

Or slip them a bill in a handshake.

>> hmmm.... enforcing FCC regs with lethal force?
>
>Why not? They obviously aren't doing the job themselves, except for
>occasionally reprimanding the likes of N3KSO and former-WB6JAC (who
>has been known to jam from inside prison walls).

Works for me! And let's start a "two-strikes-your-dead" bill. I'm sure
with a little grease... er, lobbying it could get through Congress
very quickly!

>> >One of my connections from detective-academy days works in the SSA's
>> >HQ in Baltimore... the keyclowns won't be able to get away so easily.
>>
>> Nope, not if you got his number (pun intended).
>
>I am surprised at how many people (even many G-men) have no idea the
>SSA is HQ'd in Baltimore. The complex where our #'s and stats are
>stored (mostly on IBMs 20+ years out of date) takes up a couple of
>city blocks. If they'd get a Mac, they could probably fit the sucker
>into a toolshed.

But that might skew the underqualified/undereducated unemployment statistics. We don't want THAT to happen, do we?

900. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 01:54:47 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D2E1923.2D6545C1@mailcity.net>
Source record: 129979

Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
>
> > Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> >> Unfair? Hardly. As Dave noted, Hams are supposed to know the regulations,
> >> which is one of the reasons that Hams have more freedom in legal operations.
>
> > So are cbers, they should be aware of the cb regulations just as
> > much as a ham should be aware of amateur regulations.
>
> They should be, but how many just open the package and use the radio,
> without reading what little coverage of regulations are there? Probably
> most.

That is their problem, they should learn to read and read the
rules. It still does not excuse them from operating illegally.

>
> >> To me, they are as much (or more) deserving of being busted as a CBer who
> >> runs a modified CB radio in the Ham bands.
>
> > Why? Illegal is illegal no matter who is doing it.
>
> Yep. But Hams are required to study the regulations. They KNOW they are
> breaking the regulations - many CBers have no idea that they are.

Reply above applies here as well.

>
> >> In many of the reports about Hams who operate below 28 MHz, it is noted
> >> that they were causing intentional interference - either on the legal CB
> >> frequencies or in the 10/11 meter "freeband" area.
>
> > Like the non-ham freebanders aren't?
>
> Some non-ham freebanders, and even CBers cause intentional interference.
> That does not excuse the Hams, who definitely know better, when they do
> so.

I guess the cbers (freebanders) are just so stupid that they don't
know they can cause intentional by running excessive power to
moded rigs, overdriving amps and splattering over several Mhz, and
all this on frequencies they are not authorized to use.

> Yes, I have a higher standard for Hams than for CBers. That is because
> Hams are supposedly better trained and more knowledgeable. This is why
> Hams have greater operating privileges. With greater privileges comes
> greater responsibilities.

Still does not excuse a cber for operating illegally.

901. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 02:00:41 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D2E1A85.3E7B232D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 129980

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
> Bill Nelson wrote:
> Unfair? Hardly. As Dave noted, Hams are supposed to know the
> regulations, which is one of the reasons that Hams have more freedom in
> legal operations.
>
> >So are cbers, they should be aware of the cb regulations just as much
> as a ham should be aware of amateur regulations. <
>
> Yup, they SHOULD. The difference is, the hammie is SIGNING a sworn
> testimony, attesting that he in essence, is aware of the regs, and
> agrees to abide by those regs.

The cber is also agreeing to abide by the rules governing the cb
service when he purchases and uses a cb radio. Just because he
hasn't taken a test and issued a license does not make it any less
illegal when he breaks the rules. It is the duty of the operator
to know the rules.

> One must admit, ignorance is a much greater probability with a cber not
> knowing they are breaking the law, as opposed to a hammie. A new driver,
> in a company truck, could damn sure have extra channels. Someone can
> tell him to go "2 up" and he may find himself in the middle of 10
> meters. VOILA...our green driver is suddenly illegal, without knowing.
> SHOULD he know? Absoloutely...but he isn't MADE to know. The hammie
> knows.

He should know that there are only 40 channels in the cb service,
if he doesn't then that is his own fault.

902. Re: best way to track down an annoying CBer????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 02:06:49 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D2E1BF6.486F055C@mailcity.net>
Source record: 129981

Demotraxx wrote:
>
> I normally don't care about idiots that key down and play music and all that.
> But there is one local guy that is taking it to extreme. As a matter of fact,
> one of my friends was stuck, and he was trying to give me directions, and this
> guy kept keying down and playing music every time my friend spoke with
> directions to where he broke down. This guy just plays music every night for
> the past month, and trying to talk to anyone is impossible. He even follows me
> to other channels. I know he is a base station and not a mobile. Does anyone
> have any detailed suggestions on how I can track him down? I tried driving
> around in my car with my RF gain turned all the way down and figured that when
> the meter hits 30 pounds with the RF gain all the way down, I would be close.
> But no luck yet. Is there a better way? Any tips or tricks or devices? Thanks.

When get to the point that the signal gives you maximum signal
strength (not pounds, I don't know where cbers got this expression
as radio signals do not come in pounds), disconnect the coax from
the radio and you should still be able to pick up the signal but
much weaker . Keep driving, if the signal gets weaker, then turn
around, when you go by his house it will suddenly get very strong.

903. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 02:21:36 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d2e3b79$0$13956$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129982

Yeti is correct, all RF oscillators generate a certain amount of "white noise." However this noise is filtered, for the most part, by the rig's internal circuits. By the time the signal gets to the antenna jack the "noise" should be undetectable in relation to the transmitted power. It isn't the "noise" generated by the rig that causes the problems. Usually it is the receiver (TV, cordless phone, whatever) that is the cause of the problem. Most of the consumer electronics made today is very bad about filtering and keeping RF from coming in where it shouldn't. I just purchased a brand new 900MHz cordless phone. 900Mhz, my HF rig shouldn't interfere with this, RIGHT? Wrong. It transmits and receives 900MHz signals but these signals are mixed and converted to lower frequencies throughout the receivers so that they can be amplified and converted to audio. It's these places where RFI occurs. USUALLY it is NOT the fault of the transmitter. This new 900MHz cordless phone would RING whenever I keyed up on 12 meters (24.9 MHz). Why? One of the IF channels is on 24 MHz. You would think that 24MHz wouldn't get into the receiver as far as the IF amps. It didn't. The interference was coming in through the power cord. All it took was wrapping a few inches of the power adapter cord around a toriod core.

RFI is RARELY the fault of the transmitter. The FCC is fairly paranoid about this when it comes to consumer electronics (transmitters). I don't mean to come to the defense of the transmitter manufacturers but where do you get your information that "Many transmitters exceed these minimum standards?"

Kevin, WB5RUE

>
> <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d2dcde1.274130@news.cet.com...
> > In <20020711111110.01669.00000214@mb-mm.aol.com>, lilyv7@aol.com
> > (LilyV7) wrote:
> >
> > >hey,all radio transmitters generate rf "noise"
> > >any honest ham radio operator will admit that. even the "cleanest" rigs
> will
> > >generate t.v.i..i dont care if its made by kenwood,or icom,or yaesu. th
ey
> all
> > >do it to some extent. a factory fresh,non modified,cb radio,with stock
> mike and
> > >modulation will "bleed" over onto your neighbors phone,stereo,tv etc.
> alot of
> > >it has to do with the poor filtering of the device being interfered
with.

> > >phones and stereos are made as cheaply as possible.the engineers who
> design
> > >them are not worried about interference cause by cbers and hams.
> > >gunslinger
> >
> > Emissions outside the band are supposed to be reduced to a maximum
> > level as outlined in Part 15, as well as the parts that specify the
> > operating limits of the radiator. If these requirements are met, that
> > would cure a vast majority of interference on TVs and radios. Many
> > transmitters exceed these minimum standards and have out-of-band
> > emissions well below the maximum allowed. Yes, as you said, "even the
> > 'cleanest' rigs will generate t.v.i..", but they usually won't be
> > heard on anything not intended to receive them.
> >
>
>

904. Re: best way to track down an annoying CBer????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 03:01:59 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <myrX8.17976$JU3.8811451@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 129984

You can do it by holding the handheld close to your body and turning slowly
in
a circle. When your body blocks the signal (null), the offending signal
would be
at your back. I'd do that first, then check in the "forward" direction when
you
get closer in. You can "attenuate" (reduce) the incoming signal) by
grasping the
antenna with your hand, removing it, or turning down the received RR gain if
the
radio has one.

Jerry
Demotraxx <demotraxx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020711211314.18860.00000083@mb-mq.aol.com...
> I'm sorry, but I am still confused. I understand the part about walking in
a
> circle with my walkie talkie pointing horizontally. But what would
indicate the
> direction this guy is in? The weaker signal? Or the stronger signal?
Thanks.
>
>
> >Actually you will get the strongest signal when the antenna is
> >perpendicular to the transmitter. You should check this with someone
> >transmitting from a known location. You won't get a very sharp null
> >with a handheld so you may need to take a lot of readings, and that's
> >assuming you get any kind of a null at all. But if you do get a null,
> >it will be narrower than any peak you might get.
> >
> >
>
>

905. Re: AKC License Plate Frame: "I'D RATHER BE RATTING OUT KEYCLOWN AUCTIONS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 03:28:45 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <N1sX8.2562$3n6.303277302@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129985

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207111638.5a89d04f@posting.google.com...
> >FS: HR-2510, CCC Ranger AR-3300 (100watt), CCC Ranger AR-3500
> >FS: President HR-2510 on Ebay
>
> "N8WWM, the MASTER of all you ILLEGAL KEYCLOWNS, is pleased to
> announce
> that these auctions were ratted out and CANCELLED by EBAY. "
>
> With those words, we launch yet another fine AKC product.....
>
> AKC License Plate Frame
> (Product Number: 2648205)
>
> In Stock: Will ship in 2-3 business days.
>
> Is there anything better than busting a keyclown auction? Heres
> another "N8WWM Special Edition" product: this fine license plate frame
> declares "ID RATHER BE RATTING OUT KEYCLOWN AUCTIONS"!
>
> $10.99 each
>
>
http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/productdetail.aspx?prodno=antikeyclown.264
820

As you are fond of saying........Forwarded to the
AKC (American Kennel Club) for copy right infringement

http://www.akc.org/akc/copy.cfm

Also forwarded to google for spamming the newsgroup.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

906. Re: "LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey - now on SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 03:36:30 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <29sX8.2563$wH6.304524557@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129986

One in the same isn't it?

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207111204.1d4cb9e5@posting.google.com...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<GFgX8.16587$5y.328173809@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "Ken" <dallastopcat@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:3d2d8766.144540508@news.easynews.com...
> > > On 10 Jul 2002 18:40:41 -0700, voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H.
> > > Voobner) wrote:
> > >
> > > >"LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey
> > > >(Product Number: 2641100)
> > > >
> > > >In Stock: Will ship in 2-3 business days.
> > > >
> > > >Specially designed by George, this jersey features rec.radio.cb's own
> > > >rascal "LANDWHALE" being caught in another lie! Typical? You bet!
Wear
> > > >it around San Francisco if you dare!
> > > >
> > > >$17.99 each
> > > >
> > >
> >
>http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/productdetail.aspx?prodno=antikeyclown.26
> > 41100
> > >
> > > Aaron;
> > > What is wrong with you? Its one thing to inform people when you
> > > think they advocating breaking laws. Don't you think this is a bit
> > > over the line?
> >
> > LOL!, I have this crap kill filed, didn't even
> > see it until Ken responded. I would think most people
> > consider this spam, nothing to do with CB, so if you
> > don't like it complain to Google/AOL.
> > Oh, also I noticed that Aaron has a new yahoo/google
> > account, couldn't be that it got pulled due to complaints
> > about spam, could it? Oh well he'll throw some crap
> > about Scott, Tim, Jay, Brian etc etc etc, whomever he

> > thinks he can get upset with his trolling.
> >
> > Landshark
>
>
> Thanks for your interest in the AKC store, Ken. The store products
> pertain specifically to the anti-illegal CB radio movement (which is
> on topic for this NG). They also poke fun at this NG and allude to
> certain personalities within it (which is also on topic for this NG).
> You recall those manipulated photos of Sparky on a billboard that
> someone posted a while back? Or how about that whole Jock McVoobner
> spoof that someone posted of me? Same idea, except the AKC version is
> better quality - and totally within legal bounds. Regarding the
> "LANDWHALE" character, that is something George came up with as an
> exclusive design for the store, so you might address your concerns to
> him specifically.
>
> -Aaron-

907. Landshark, president of *Keyclown Dunce Klub*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 04:02:14 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207112002.42d99208@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129987

Landshark wrote:
>As you are fond of saying........Forwarded to the
>AKC (American Kennel Club) for copy right infringement
>
>http://www.akc.org/akc/copy.cfm
>

LOL, I see the *Keyclown Dunce Klub* has been mobilized. Well that's good, you and Mopar make a fine team. I hope you two will notify the American Kennel Club that there are literally DOZENS of businesses that use the same initials they do. Here's aonly a few....

http://www.akc.com/webserv/

http://www.akc-almelo.nl/

http://www.akcmedia.com/

>Also forwarded to google for spamming the newsgroup.
>
>Landshark
>
>--
>"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

Spamming? What about all those ILLEGAL CB AMP FOR SALE ADS? Oh, THEY'RE not spamming. I see how it works. I'm beginning to understand what your "bad citizens" slogan stands for: hypocrisy.

-A

908. Re: 102" too big for van roof. Now what??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 04:03:49 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3D2E553D.676398B2@attbi.com>
Source record: 129988

How high is high swr for you? How does this compare the the car, and how were both measured?

RUSTYAMERI wrote:

> I actually have a Wilson 5000 (Center Loaded). Problem is, when I mount this
> Wilson 5000 (Center loaded) on the roof of my van, the SWR's are sky high. It's
> not the antenna, because it performs well on my friends car. What's the deal?
>
> jay123a@qnet.com writes:
>
> >I have seen the guys use the Wilson 5000 mounted on top of the full
> >sized vans and trucks. The 60 some odd inch is very flexible, and the
> >Wilson 5000 works pretty darn well.
> >
>
> ---REMOVE PROMARS FROM MY E-MAIL ADDRESS TO E-MAIL ME

909. FS: Hallicrafters tube cb transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 04:05:57 UTC
From: galasphere@telus.net
Message-ID: <3D2E55AB.7EC2F167@telus.net>
Source record: 129989

For Sale,

Hallicrafters model CB-3A vintage tube CB transceiver.

Good working condition with microphone.

$60 US including shipping.

Please reply to galasphere@telus.net if interested.

Colin

910. Re: amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 04:06:39 UTC
From: tolstoi <tolieo@yahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <aglkji$20v$1@bunyip.cc.uq.edu.au>
Source record: 129990

nice looking unit indeed !

"NI4L" <ni4l@charter.net> wrote in message
news:3D2E2F2D.1050603@charter.net...
> http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/forsale.html
> pics and description at link above
> --
> NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
> LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
> Made with the same components used by our military forces today
> Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
> Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
> Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/
>
>

911. FS: Palomar Service Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 04:23:10 UTC
From: boydl <boydl@cox.net>
Message-ID: <OQsX8.46546$P%6.3241999@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 129991

This manual is on CD and contains 116 pages of Schematics and Charts, Solid-State Troubleshooting Techniques, Transistor cross reference, Palomar Tube Model Identification, Tube Troubleshooting Techniques. Plus much more.

Covers:
Pulsar, 50, 60, 150, 200X, 310M, Skipper 300, 300A, 350Z, 90A, TX-50 early & late, TX-75, TX-100, TX-100F, TX-200, TX-5300A, TX-100N TX-100+, SSB-500, Skipper-73C, HF,50, Palomar-21, DC-6, DC-15, DC-30, DC-30A, WM-500, WM-2000, FC-40, Kachina I, Kachina II

This CD is in a PDF file and comes with a PDF Viewer.

$25.00 Shipped US

Reply to: boydL@cox.net

912. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 04:26:35 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2e4a8f.1745516@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129992

In <uisghm3o0kp8ab@corp.supernews.com>, "BobC" <123@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d2e273d.1539437@news.cet.com...
>
>snipped>>>>>>>>>>>>>>
>
>> Connections between dissimilar metals make very poor rectifiers, and
>> would need either a hell of a lot of amplification for any demod to be
>> heard, or a hell of a lot of power to demod. Think about it: if it
>> were that easy to build an efficient diode, why bother with silicon?
>> Heck, if you need tuned tank circuit and a high-impedance earpiece
>> just to hear anything from a crystal radio using a quality Ge diode,
>> do you really think that a little cuprous oxide is going to make much
>> noise?
>---I disagree with your conclusions here.
>---It requires very little power to excite a oxidized connection into acting
>as a diode and retransmitting a new batch of frequencies. If the metals or
>wires attached to this diode come close to resonance on any of these new
>frequencies then you have an efficient antenna system from which you may
>intefere with many different radio services.

You have a point, and iron-oxide rectifiers were once used in
abundance (and are often confused with selenium rectifiers). But they
are efficient only when manufactured under controlled conditions. Same
story for thermocouples: two dissimilar metals will develop a
potential across the junction, but it's very, very small for common
metals. It takes a certain amount of refinement in order to get the
efficiency of the cooler sitting on top of your computer's processor.

But there are problems with metal-oxide rectifiers when used as RF
detectors. They tend to show a linear response with low power (not
good where non-linear response is required). The biggest problem is
their lack of frequency response. They just simply do not make good RF
rectifiers. Have you ever seen a metal-oxide rectifier used as a
detector stage in a radio? Nope.

>---Even a small signal in the -100 to -70 db levels may interfere with other
>services. We cannot simply think of just AM, FM and television systems.
>There are many other services which exist and regularly use signal strengths
>in these levels as normal inputs to their receivers.

No doubt about it, but the topic was about radio interference with
non-radio devices such as telephones.

>> Carbon and crystal mics make fair rectifiers, but are not used much
>> anymore. If you are hearing demod through the phone it is probably
>> being amplified at the relay station. But you -still- need quite a bit
>> of RF power to be heard because the phone company's amplifiers are not
>> very high gain, and the mics are not connected to the resonant antenna
>> needed to receive a good signal. Regardless, the cure for this is to
>> shield the mic and earpiece (usually already shielded by it's own
>> case) and to choke both leads to both devices (a single bead per lead
>> is enough). The other problem with telephones is the ring modulator,
>> and then all you need to do is call the phone company.
>---I disagree here as well.
>---When there's a complaint of phone interference you may easily assume
>there is a corroded/loose connection somewhere in the line either inside the
>house or more likely outside at the entrance panel or on the pole. I had the
>phone company out many times to clean and retighten the screw connections
>around the neighborhood. These are copper alloys and act as diodes very
>nicely in the prescence of even 5 watts when allowed to get badly oxidized
>and/or loose.

Since the topic was about RFI, I assumed an otherwise functional
circuit. Regardless,

A loose connection will make noise all by itself due to intermittent
contact or arcing (yes, even a phone line will arc over a very small
distance). And an open connection puts the line at a high impedance,
which makes it suseptible to harmonics from house current, motor
arcing, and all the other normal sources of RFI. Both conditions mess
with the terminating impedance of the pair, which makes it open to
much more of the same. But at 30pF, 25 ohms/1000ft and the 6dB/octave
rolloff well below HF, you aren't going to get much RF from a twisted
pair even if the termination is open or shorted.

You cannot "easily assume" a corroded or loose connection, but when
trying to troubleshoot an RFI problem it's a good idea to start with a
good circuit.

>---The interference is not amplified by the CO before it gets to the house.
>The interference is generated locally on the line.

Telephone service usually has talkback, which means there is an
amplifier somewhere along the line.

>---Most homes have miles of old unused phone lines still connected to the
>entrance panel.

What state do you live in? Around here old and loose wiring is
considered a fire hazard. You might find some old houses even with the
old LV lines, and even a few with the very old cotton wires and
ceramic standoffs, but most of the houses have already been cleaned up
during home upgrades.

> These lengths of wire act very nicely as antennas and will
>couple the rf right into the bad connection where it can be demodulated.

I agree. If they haven't been removed from the house, and they are
still connected to your phone line, I suggest they get someone to
disconnected them ASAP!

>Although the phone company generally uses twisted pairs, the average home
>owner/do-it-yourselfer doesn't know enough to follow suit. These non-twisted
>pairs have a propensity towards conducting rf.

As does any reasonably straight wire. I'll even add that although many
of these wires were installed at or below ground level, they can still
work as antennas (one of my first experiments in radio taught me that
one).

>---The phones in use today are not well shielded and being mostly solid
>state will easily be overloaded by modest rf fields because they are
>attached to very long antennas.

Now that's a stretch. A random wire antenna won't pack enough punch to
knock out solid-state electronics, simply because it probably isn't
resonant at the frequency of interference: i.e, a longer wire doesn't
necessarily mean a bigger signal. Even if it IS resonant, it probably
still won't have enough juice to zap a protection pair. Matter of
fact, I know for a fact that you almost have to key right into an
input to blow a protection diode, and nothing short of a lightning
strike is going to nuke a bipolar input.

>> If an audio device is hooked up to an amplifier, it's up you the user
>> to avoid normal levels of RFI, i.e, use shielded audio cables, make
>> good ground connections, etc. Note that some pieces of audio equipment
>> are just shoved inside some wood or plastic and not shielded at all.
>> Don't buy these devices.
>---Just because it has a wooden case and no real shielding doesn't make the
>device bad or unusable. Most home devices require substantial invasion of rf
>to move the operating points of the semi-conductors.

...WHAT? Alrighty, I need a reference on THAT one!

> More often it is the
>poor assembly of the interconnecting cables which allows rf invasion. Loose
>or oxidized connectors are a good place to start cleaning up the house.
>Avoid long cable lengths which may be resonant on locally used frequencies.
>Sometimes even coily cords are self resonant on certain locally used
>frequencies. Chokes and bundling excess cable is good practice. Occaisional
>retightening of connectors helps keep out rf.

That sounds good to me. But I REALLY need a reference for that other
statement -- are you saying that cheap amplifiers are left unshielded
in order to burn in the silicon? What about the transistors in cans?
If the RF for the sheilded transistors comes from induction in the
leads, then doesn't that lead to rectification, amplification, and
eventually RFI? I think you are a very misinformed, my friend.

913. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 04:46:05 UTC
From: BobC <123@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uisghm3o0kp8ab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129983

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d2e273d.1539437@news.cet.com...

snipped>>>>>>>>>>>>>>

> Connections between dissimilar metals make very poor rectifiers, and
> would need either a hell of a lot of amplification for any demod to be
> heard, or a hell of a lot of power to demod. Think about it: if it
> were that easy to build an efficient diode, why bother with silicon?
> Heck, if you need tuned tank circuit and a high-impedance earpiece
> just to hear anything from a crystal radio using a quality Ge diode,
> do you really think that a little cuprous oxide is going to make much
> noise?
---I disagree with your conclusions here.
---It requires very little power to excite a oxidized connection into acting as a diode and retransmitting a new batch of frequencies. If the metals or wires attached to this diode come close to resonance on any of these new frequencies then you have an efficient antenna system from which you may intefere with many different radio services.
---Even a small signal in the -100 to -70 db levels may interfere with other services. We cannot simply think of just AM, FM and television systems. There are many other services which exist and regularly use signal strengths in these levels as normal inputs to their receivers.

> Carbon and crystal mics make fair rectifiers, but are not used much
> anymore. If you are hearing demod through the phone it is probably
> being amplified at the relay station. But you -still- need quite a bit
> of RF power to be heard because the phone company's amplifiers are not
> very high gain, and the mics are not connected to the resonant antenna
> needed to receive a good signal. Regardless, the cure for this is to
> shield the mic and earpiece (usually already shielded by it's own
> case) and to choke both leads to both devices (a single bead per lead
> is enough). The other problem with telephones is the ring modulator,
> and then all you need to do is call the phone company.
---I disagree here as well.
---When there's a complaint of phone interference you may easily assume there is a corroded/loose connection somewhere in the line either inside the house or more likely outside at the entrance panel or on the pole. I had the phone company out many times to clean and retighten the screw connections around the neighborhood. These are copper alloys and act as diodes very nicely in the prescence of even 5 watts when allowed to get badly oxidized and/or loose.
---The interference is not amplified by the CO before it gets to the house. The interference is generated locally on the line.
---Most homes have miles of old unused phone lines still connected to the entrance panel. These lengths of wire act very nicely as antennas and will

couple the rf right into the bad connection where it can be demodulated. Although the phone company generally uses twisted pairs, the average home owner/do-it-yourselfer doesn't know enough to follow suit. These non-twisted pairs have a propensity towards conducting rf.
---The phones in use today are not well shielded and being mostly solid state will easily be overloaded by modest rf fields because they are attached to very long antennas.

> If an audio device is hooked up to an amplifier, it's up you the user
> to avoid normal levels of RFI, i.e, use shielded audio cables, make
> good ground connections, etc. Note that some pieces of audio equipment
> are just shoved inside some wood or plastic and not shielded at all.
> Don't buy these devices.
---Just because it has a wooden case and no real shielding doesn't make the device bad or unusable. Most home devices require substantial invasion of rf to move the operating points of the semi-conductors. More often it is the poor assembly of the interconnecting cables which allows rf invasion. Loose or oxidized connectors are a good place to start cleaning up the house. Avoid long cable lengths which may be resonant on locally used frequencies. Sometimes even coily cords are self resonant on certain locally used frequencies. Chokes and bundling excess cable is good practice. Occaisional retightening of connectors helps keep out rf.

> If you are still getting RFI in non-radio equipment, even after all
> normal preventative measures have been taken, then the source of the
> interference is probably exceeding emission limitations.
> BTW, once I had a problem where an audio device was picking up RFI
> because it was self-resonant at the same freq, but that is very, very
> rare in my experience.

> >73 from Rochester, NY
> >Jim

914. Re: Landshark, president of *Keyclown Dunce Klub*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 05:19:42 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <OFtX8.2932$fM3.311681160@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129993

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020712001200.100$WZ@newsreader.com...
> voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> > Landshark wrote:
> > >As you are fond of saying........Forwarded to the
> > >AKC (American Kennel Club) for copy right infringement
> > >
> > >http://www.akc.org/akc/copy.cfm
> > >
> >
> >>LOL, I see the *Keyclown Dunce Klub* has been mobilized. Well that's
> > good, you and Mopar make a fine team.
> >
> Hold on,voob.
>
> I haven't reported you to anyone,yet.
>
> You keep daring me to though..
>
> --
> Go #40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

Why Aaron if you haven't done
anything wrong, why worry.
Don't make Mopar do it, don't make
him do it.

LMAO!!!!

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

Landshark

915. Re: President HR-2510 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 06:50:58 UTC
From: Mark <grandmhg@gocougs.wsu.edu>
Message-ID: <uisv2ke207f5b0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

ebay will not allow these on the site because they could be misused to
transmit on CB freqs. Of course most ham rigs can do that too...

"Terry & Utahna Olsen" <tolsen64@nospam.boycot.dns2go.com> wrote in message
news:SyoX8.472401$352.76395@sccrnsc02...
> What is it and why was it dropped???
>
>
> "Alex Zapatka" <alexzapatka@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:acbd886b.0207110955.173519c3@posting.google.com...
> > if anyone is interested....
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365902717
> >
> > i know it will probably be droped by amazon... but i am keeping track
> > of email addresses from it and i will contact people to see if they
> > are still interested (you can also email me directly... but i dont
> > regurlarly check these newsgroups any more... so dont post here)
> >
> > flames > /dev/nul please
>
>

916. FS: ROYCE T-28

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 06:54:23 UTC
From: WA2BAH@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6294-3D2E7D1F-82@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129995

Royce model T-28 classic CB base station with stock mike - 23 channel unit in good condition and working well - ask me for a picture if interested - $35 plus UPS fee from Albany, New York area

LIST OF MY ITEMS FOR SALE: (revised 7/10/02)

http://sdstudio.freeyellow.com/page3.html

(sorry about the 'pop-up' ads on my webpage :-)

917. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 08:20:33 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D2E913A.F5FC5B4C@icok.net>
Source record: 129996

Kenneth,
I think your credibility is really taking
a dive. Everything you say just keeps getting
bigger, and bigger, not to mention more
unbelievable. I think you just made the 'kill'
file. My neighbor has a garden, he can use the
bull shit. I don't have any use for it.
Byeee,
'Doc

918. "MOPEDHOLIC" Puke Bag -- SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 09:33:14 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207120133.36c43b20@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129897

"MOPEDHOLIC" Puke Bag
(Product Number: 2624045)

In Stock: Will ship in 2-3 business days.

This special item also designed by George: the "MOPEDHOLIC" puke bag!
Named for rec.radio.cb's angriest little lawn man, this bag is just
right for a quick barf when reading his posts!

$17.99 each

http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/productdetail.aspx?prodno=antikeyclown.2624045

At the AKC Store!

919. Re: President HR-2510 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 09:53:09 UTC
From: akwusmc@aol.com
Message-ID: <3d2ea746.64775956@news.tn.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 129898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

After eBay yanked my 2510, I considered complaining about all those high-dollar HF rigs ..... IC-706MkII, TS-50S, etc.

Do you think anyone would get it?!

On Thu, 11 Jul 2002 23:50:58 -0700, "Mark" <grandmhg@gocougs.wsu.edu> wrote:

>ebay will not allow these on the site because they could be misused to
>transmit on CB freqs. Of course most ham rigs can do that too...
>
>

920. New Product...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 10:54:32 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <IzyX8.95116$UT.6266233@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129899

I think I'll go in business selling Air(ron) Sickness Bags...
He makes me want to dreck...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

921. Re: Dave Hall Writes The Definitive Twistedhed Slam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 12:01:18 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D2EC50E.8E1@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129905

Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
>
> Dave, I laughed...I cried...I applauded till my hands were sore. I
> think what you wrote ought to be posted in every NG on the internet.
> It should even be printed and posted on the wall of every schoolhouse
> in America. And maybe even every bait and tackle shop in Tampa Bay.
> Because it captures perfectly what Twistedhed is all about.
>

Unlike some other people on here with inflated opinions of their own self worth, I'm not about to claim any special talent or skill. Twisty is rather obvious when you look at the pattern of his posts, and the type of "thinking" that must go on behind it. I merely summed it all up in one post, so that others will hopefully understand and not get too worked up over the things that twisty puts forth. He's simply not worth the effort if a meaningful debate is your goal. In order for that to happen, you have to intellectually honest, and open minded enough to at least acknowlege the opposing point's validity. To make a bizzare point that it's ok to selectively ignore laws, yet fail to understand why other people might have a hard time with this, underscores the depth of twisty's sociopathic tendancies.

One thing is certain, if there was ever a case for mandatory sterilization, this would be it. That is on set of genes that I wouldn't want to see proliferated.......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

922. FA: POWER Mic with Roger Beep

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 13:05:43 UTC
From: goodebaystuff4u@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712090543.02929.00000120@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 129900

See it @

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1366152996

Thanks!

923. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 13:21:15 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D2ED7CB.7139@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129906

Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE wrote:
>
> >>Ok, I'll play along one more time.<
> >
> >No need. The entire world sees you for a liar, now.

For the record, only YOU have stated such.

> >
> >
> >>You say that I made this claim of busting 27 people since Christmas. <
> >
> >Well, since the 27'th of December.
> >
> >>Just for the sake of argument I'll let that go. <
> >
> >
> >LMAO! You don't have a choice in the matter, as you are slowly
> >realizing.

Twisting meanings and misstating information, and then calling a person
a liar for not conforming to your "modified" definition is not a valid
point.

> >
> >>You claim to have verified that I did not make these busts. Apparently
> >>you have made contact with the various courts in Texas that have
> >>contradicted what I have said. Please give us names, phone number and
> >>the times that you called so that we can all verify this. <
> >
> >Certainly. AS you claimed, these services cost fees. Provide a valid
> >contact number and I can call you and we can discuss my fees.

Psychological comment: Anyone that is so concerned with verifying a post
made on a USENET newsgroup, that they actually paid money to do the leg
work, has some serious problems recognizing priorities in their life.

More likely: The "fees" arguement is just another excuse to attempt to
sidestep the responsibility of verifying your claims.

>
> So then you HAVEN'T actually made contacts to "prove that I am lying." I
> understand now. YOU are lying. Or perhaps, again, "lying" is too strong of
> a word. You were misleading, you misrepresented what you had actually done,
> YOU LIED!

Debate tactic 101: When attempting to score tactical points defending a questionable stance, it is often the tactic of a weak debater to put the opposition on the defensive. That way the opposition spends most of his time countering these attacks, rather than promoting his side. Usually, this is accomplished by demonizing the party or subject that the debater stands for, or accusing the other side of lieing. When making the claim that the opposing part is not being truthful, you'd better have irrefutable evidence to back it up, and be prepared to share it. Otherwise it is taken as heresay.

>
> >
> >>Did you "make calls" that were unable to verify my claims or did you
> >>make calls that were able to contradict my claims. There is a BIG
> >>difference. You see I have never seen your brain, I don't know anyone
> >>else who has ever seen your brain. In fact I doubt that anyone in the
> >>entire world has seen your brain. So we can't verify that it exists at
> >>all. So if we use your logic of "unable to verify" then your brain
> >>doesn't exist. <
> >
> >But everyone that frequents the ng can see the lies you tell and lie
> >about some more. Do you have an alcohol problem, Kev? You seem to be
> >incapable of posting and focusing on any issue except for your intense
> >personal dislike of me.

Mr. Pot, meet Mr Kettle.
Try to tell a nut that he's nuts and he'll tell you that you're crazy.......

> They, like I have said, can see that you are the TwistMeister. So you say
> that I am incapable of focusing? I have been trying my best to follow your
> twisted "logic," claims and accusations. You seem to have a paranoia
> problem too. I don't "personally dislike" anyone in this newsgroup. I
> know, you aren't paranoid but everyone thinks you are. Poor little Twisty,
> thinks everyone hates him.

Another psychological observation: The recurring theme of "personal dislike" is also a common manifestation of the truly paranoid and those with low self esteem.

Another recurring trait here is Twisty's insistance that others cannot focus on the issues, or follow his chain of thought, yet it is he that changes the point of focus, often into completely unrelated and irrelevant areas. He is free to change the direction of the "debate", yet if the other party attempts to pull the debate back on track, Twisty is quick to accuse them of being "incapable of staying focussed".

>
> >
> >>So, lets have names, places, phone numbers, dates and times that you
> >>called these places to check up on me. I don't want EMails or web sites,
> >>those can be faked, I want names and phone numbers so that I can call
> >>them. If you can't then by your standards you are a liar.

> >>Kevin, WB5RUE<
> >
> >Following the thread the last few days, I'm not at all cocerned with the
> >general consensus, but you should be. Nevertheless, I even accept
> >personal checks, but there will be ten day hold on all out of state
> >checks and a 25.00 NSF fee.
>
> General consensus? Get Real Twistyboy! The only "general consensus" has
> been people who think you are deranged. Only Modeaholic (who I think is
> you) has come to your side.

The whole issue is laughable. Assuming that he is being truthful, and he made some calls and inquiries, that did cost some money (And why someone would go to that length over a newsgroup debate is scary enough), the money is already spent, all we want are the names and places so that we can spend some of our money doing the alleged same thing. There needs to be no further expendatures on Twisty't part. Just name the names and numbers.

Of course we already suspect that this is all a smoke screen in another sequel in the continuing adventures of Walter Mitty, AKA Twistedhed.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

924. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 13:39:59 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2eda73$0$13949$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129901

Which one?

http://www.qsl.net/wb5rue
http://us.geocities.com/wb5rue

take your pick.

<Mopedholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote:
> Have you shared your website with us yet?

925. Re: Hy-Gain, Penetrator-500 on E-bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 13:44:02 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D2EDD22.1C0CE710@qnet.com>
Source record: 129902

Hello Moparholic:

I would say they are worth getting, many times people just want them off their roofs. I have several Penetrator 500 5/8 Antennas, But one is a product of being made from a few, and its in great shape.

The Upper and Lower Plastic Insulators, and the Plastic Tuning wire Stand-off's are still available from Hy-Gain, for only a few dollars.

The Tuning wires can be replaced by Tinned 12 or 10 gauge wire. The Top-Hat Assembly can also be easily repaired, with 1/8" OD Aluminium Rod.

One of the biggest problems with the HY-Gain Antennas, is that Hy-Gain figured a very smart way to make there antennas resilient, meaning strong but flexible. They do this my "Reduce Swaging" the Aluminum Tubing, reducing the tubings OD & ID size, to accept the next smallest Tubing size, in 1/8 OD increments. Such as necked down brass riffle cartridges.

Example: Their 7/8 inch OD / 3/4 inch ID tubing is Reduced Swaged to accept 5/8 inch OD tubing. This Reduced Swaging saves them big money in material times a gazillion antennas, Very shape indeed.

However if the Penetrator 500 Reduced Swaged Tubing is damaged, it can be replaced with the same size OD tubing, and a short adapter can be installed to allow the next size smaller tubing to be installed, it just takes a extra hose clamp. I have repaired many Penetrator 500's using this technique. The only exception that I have run into is the 7/16, that is used ant the end of the vertical element, and the end of the ground plane elements. A piece of 1/2" OD x 72 inches long, tubing can be used, but the Reduced Swag on the mating end of the 5/8 inch tubing will have to be cut off a few inches. NO big deal.

The Penetrator 500 was the only CB Antenna that I know of that was rated from the manufacture to handle 1500 watts coming out of the gates. Ten-4.

I am sure this was the result from Hy-Gain getting some heat from the antenna users, that where burning up their CLR-II 5/8 Wavelength Antennas, that had a Mickey Mouse Impedance Matching Coil on a small circuit board. I am sure the power from the Hy-Gain Linear Amplifiers was enough to take out the CLR-II Antennas. Which drove the 1500 watt rating for the new design Penetrator 500.

Jay in the Mojave

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:
> >>Hello all:
> >
> > I ran across this old Penetrator 500 on E-bay, Looks like its in great
> > shape.
> >
> > Be advised.
> >
> > http://cgi.aol.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365319520
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave
> >
> I've been eyeing 2 of those on neighbors to my customers land.
>
> Clean too,and they haven't been used in years,and when they were used they
> were barefoot.
>
> What ya think they're worth,Jay?
>
> That bid is at $90 something now.
>
> --
> Go #40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

926. Re: Hy-Gain, Penetrator-500 on E-bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 13:46:04 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D2EDD9C.6C4064F6@qnet.com>
Source record: 129904

The worth, on E-bay with everyone looking and wanting one of these
antennas I have seen them go from 200 to 275 dollars

Jay in the Mojave

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:
> >>Hello all:
> > >
> > > I ran across this old Penetrator 500 on E-bay, Looks like its in great
> > > shape.
> > >
> > > Be advised.
> > >
> > > http://cgi.aol.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365319520
> > >
> > > Jay in the Mojave
> > >
> I've been eyeing 2 of those on neighbors to my customers land.
>
> Clean too,and they haven't been used in years,and when they were used they
> were barefoot.
>
> What ya think they're worth,Jay?
>
> That bid is at $90 something now.
>
> --
> Go #40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

927. Re: "N8WWM IS TWITHED'S MASTER" Cap ----SALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 13:51:35 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2edd2b$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129903

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020711210121.569$07@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Everyone is entitled to be stupid, Moped, but you abuse the priviledge.
> >
> > Kevin, WB5RUE
> >
> Why do you find it so hard to use OE ?
>
> Maybe someone can email you a clue,how to use it.
>
> wb5rue@yahoo.com
> wb5rue@yahoo.com
> wb5rue@yahoo.com
> wb5rue@yahoo.com
> wb5rue@yahoo.com
>
> Do try to come up with your own material,muenzler.(hows the old ladys diet
> going,still smell bacon when you fuck her?)
>
> You're one of few hams that stops by this group,acts a fool,gets tossed
> under the bus..and leaves.
>
> --
> Go #40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

928. Re: OT - The Truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 13:59:38 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D2EE0CA.A4A@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 129907

LilyV7 wrote:
>
> that was very enlightening keith. the democratic party is full of members of
> the "radical left". my college age daughter is dating a young fool who is
> actually a "socialist". he actually believes that socialism is a "good"
> political philosophy. i tried to explain to him that it has failed miserably
> everywhere it has been tried. i also explained to him that socialism has
> resulted in nearly 80 million deaths due to tyrants/dictators who tried the
> socialist experiment for the last 90 years. he is a member of the democratic
> party,and a local "party" leader on campus" god help us
> all.

Socialism attempts to correct the perceived injustices to the poor, at the expense of the rich. Socialism attempts to level the playing field economically. The problem is that the standard of living level is not at the BMW driving 4000 sq foot home level. It is a level that is far more modest.

Socialism stifles creativity and ambition. If you can "earn" as much money being a janitor, as a rocket scientist, what's the incentive to become a rocket scientist?

What makes the USA such a great place, is that opportunities are not capped by an oppressive govenment. You are free to pursue your dreams. You can take whatever talents that you have and hopefully market them into something that will bring your comfortable wealth.

The downside to freedom is responsibility. You are free to make choices, but you are also responsible for the outcome. If you waste your youth playing video games, and fail to achieve anything more than a McDonalds salary, it's nobody's fault but your own.

Socialists attempt to deflect the failings of the poor, from their own responsibility, to the responsibility of society. The deadbeats of society will be lifted by their coat tails by the government, at the expense of the achievers.

In my experience, people who favor socialism are either:

A. Young, naive, and brainwashed by some 60's hippie leftover that is now a professor at some liberal college.

B. A whiney loser, who somehow feels that they are a victim, and that the failings that they've endured in life are all someone else's fault, and that if only the government would swoop in and save them, they'd be much better off.

You won't hear people like Bill Gates talking about the benefits of socialism.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

929. Re: Hy-Gain, Penetrator-500 on E-bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 14:10:18 UTC
From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <3D2EE34A.28C44B6@lucent.com>
Source record: 129909

Jay in the Mojave wrote:

> The worth, on E-bay with everyone looking and wanting one of these
> antennas I have seen them go from 200 to 275 dollars

For that kind of money I would suggest they purchase a 'JAY-MADE' antenna instead.

I used to think the Penetrator 500 was the best CB antenna ever made until I got a close-up look at one of yours!

Keep up the good work Jay!

Regards,

Dennis O.

930. Re: "N8WWM IS TWITHED'S MASTER" Cap ----SALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 14:12:27 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2ee20f$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129908

It's truly amazing what anonymity does to a person. I'd say this boy 14
years old at most. Does your mommy know you talk like this Mopy?

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020711210121.569$07@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > Everyone is entitled to be stupid, Moped, but you abuse the priviledge.
> >
> > Kevin, WB5RUE
> >
> Why do you find it so hard to use OE ?

You got me with that one. What's OE?

>
> Maybe someone can email you a clue,how to use it.
>
> wb5rue@yahoo.com
> wb5rue@yahoo.com
> wb5rue@yahoo.com
> wb5rue@yahoo.com
> wb5rue@yahoo.com

I can be reached about six or seven others too.
Your studdering comes from drinking methanol or nitro. It can cause
blindness as well as dementia Mopy.

>
> Do try to come up with your own material,muenzler.(hows the old ladys diet
> going,still smell bacon when you fuck her?)

JEALOUS!

>
> You're one of few hams that stops by this group,acts a fool,gets tossed
> under the bus..and leaves.

What, in contrast to those who stay here and act like fools? Grow up Mopy.
But keep it up, you're so intertaining.

Kevin, WB5RUE

931. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 14:19:17 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2ee3a9$0$13956$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129910

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020711222112.583$k9@newsreader.com...
> Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:
> >>You would have a hard time convincing me that any Ham was unaware that
> > he/she was operating on illegal frequencies - or that the intentional
> > interference was not illegal.
> >
> > Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

Trouble here Bill is that you are dealing with someone who has a hard time
reasoning the way adults reason.

> Never could understand the fun in jamming,but hams get popped doing it to
> 11 meters.

> Ironic,when you consider most of them used to be CBer's.

This is true, some people never grow up and shed the "children's band"
mentality.

Kevin, WB5RUE

932. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 14:25:38 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2ee526$0$13949$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129911

It doesn't matter where it's made Mopy, it has to meet certain minimum standards. If they don't their tenure is short lived. But where can I buy American made gear? TenTec makes some of the best.

Kevin, WB5RUE

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20020711222847.691$6O@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote:
> >>RFI is RARELY the fault of the transmitter. The FCC is fairly paranoid
> > about this when it comes to consumer electronics (transmitters). I don't
> > mean to come to the defense of the transmitter manufacturers but where do
> > you get your information that "Many transmitters exceed these minimum
> > standards?"
> >
> > Kevin, WB5RUE
> >
> Get real,this country is flooded with sweat shop electronics.
>
> Where do you buy American made gear?
>
> --
> Go #40

933. Re: President HR-2510 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 15:03:39 UTC
From: Mark <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <8ortiu4khm05p113tcmbfpkheicq163aq4@4ax.com>
Source record: 129912

On Fri, 12 Jul 2002 09:53:09 GMT, akwusmc@aol.com wrote:

>After eBay yanked my 2510, I considered complaining about all those
>high-dollar HF rigs ..... IC-706MkII, TS-50S, etc.
>
>Do you think anyone would get it?!
>
>On Thu, 11 Jul 2002 23:50:58 -0700, "Mark" <grandmhg@gocougs.wsu.edu>
>wrote:
>
>>ebay will not allow these on the site because they could be misused to
>>transmit on CB freqs. Of course most ham rigs can do that too...
>>

You can put your 2510 on eBay. Just make sure you put it under "Ham
Transceivers" not "CB". Also make sure you don't advertise it here,
because there are a few people in here that get off on sending
complaints to eBay.

934. Re: FS: President HR-2510 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 15:23:53 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712112353.00759.00000289@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 129913

hey,if its a "ham" rig,just place it for sale on the "amateur"section of e-bay.
after a while most cb-ers will catch on and cruise the "ham" section and buy
our goodies there.
nothing illegal at all about a "ham" radio being sold as a "ham"
radio....right.
gunslinger

935. Re: FS: President HR-2510 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 15:41:41 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020712114141.00759.00000292@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 129914

i wonder how long it will be,before somebody with a little extra cash,hires a lawyer to take these "radio crusaders" to task for trying to stop the sale of "legal" radio gear. it would be easy to find out who is making the complaints (subpeona to accuser, so accused can face his accuser)
next,easily demonstrate that the 2510 (or any other f.c.c. compliant radio for that matter)is completely legal for sale in the us. and take the accuser to the cleaners for defamation of character,harrasment,and any other fun things your lawyer can think of to throw at the nit wits. :) cool idea,huh?
all we need to do is get one of the "worst offenders" on the group to offer a radio for sale that the antis are convinced is "modified"...let them hang their asses out in the breeze by making an accusation of wrong doing.....and "wham", hitem with everthing your civil rights lawyer can hammer them with. you could also go after e-bay.....for interfereing with interstate commerce.....whoo thats a biggie. might get some real bucks out of that one.the word "racketeering" mean anything to any of you. :) :) :) heee heee. gunslinger

936. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 15:49:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21447-3D2EFA6D-34@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129915

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Muenzler wrote today:
>BZZZT! Wrong. I never said "Official FCC observer." That statement is a product of your imagination. <

But said in his very first post in the thread:
>"It was me and 14 other FCC Official Observers who work the San Antonio/South Texas area"<

Then, when shown he indeed said he what he claims he never did, failed with:

>OK, I usually<

But in your post you said "NEVER". Now you are attempting to say "USUALLY".
The two have separate meanings and are NOT interchangeable. So we now have you lying after I told you that you had said it. You instantly denied it (you LIED).
I had to actually show you your own words, and now you say you didn't really MEAN it that way, which is exactly what I have been shwing all along...that you really don;'t know what you mean. Your lies have serously muffed you up, and you sit and attack the messenger for pointing it out and merely asking confirmation..

> don't refer to Official Observers as "FCC Official Observers" but if you really want to get technical <

No, I don't want to get technical, but i am certain you do, as your mangy tail is caught in the door and you would rather talk about "technicalities" while accusing ME of semantics.
No, we will stay with the issue at hand.....YOUR words, more of what you claim you NEVER said.

>It was me and 14 other official FCC observers..<

we are "FCC Official Observers."

>snip<

It doesn't matter if you call yourself keystone cops, the fact remains that you are confused and lying like hell. ANOTHER claim you made, then claimed you didn't, then were shown where you did, and are swallowing crow as quick as your mouth will allow me to shove it in.

And they are not semantics, Kevin. They were lies and shown as much. I am beginning to wonder if you even know what semantics are. Now you are attempting to debate another subject, one of what you MEANT to say, one

of which you are now attempting to imply you meant. But the issue was, and still is, you, are a liar. You asked for proof, I gave you several examples. You call it semantics, the fact remains that you referred to yourself as an FCC observer, then turned around and lied about it, claiming you NEVER said it, that it was a figment of my imagination. I remind you that you said it, again you deny it, and need shown it. Now that you were actually shown your lie, your attempt at cleanup is too much too late, but it is great fun watching you frolic in your own fecal matter and stutter like Dogie does when you're shown your lies.. It would have been much better for you from the begining if you wouldn't have lied. Instead of getting over yourself when I called you a liar, you had to go and prove it several times over. Good show, old chap, good show.

>Check out: http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/1999/04/08/3/ The title of this article is "FCC Official Acknowledges OOs Really Are 'Official'" Also: http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/org/oo.html No, we are not paid by the FCC to technically (you seem to love technicalities) we don't work for the FCC. We are volunteers. The results are the same, busted illegal operators.<

Neverthelessm you said you NEVER said it, that it was a figment of my imagination. Not only have you demonstrated your fondness for lying, but you have demonstrated beyond a shadow of doubt that you can not be impartial when it comes to legal issues or agendas and have no business being in a position of authority. Your meted insults may serve well filed with a complaint concerning your integrity and ability to remain fair involving anything that calls for offical judgement on your behalf.

Moving on...we have your amusing snap:

>"BZZT! Strike two. I never claimed a single bust. Again, a product of your imagination."<

But again, from your first post, we have not only you denying the "prosecutions" but actually CLARIFYING that they were busts.
Let's see...

""I don't believe I ever said successfully prosecuted I said "busts." If I did then it was misleading and unintentional." <

>You are taking me out of context again.<

Again? If anything, you took yourself out of context, but since that is an improbability, it is safe to point out that they were deliberate lies They may not have been all malicious at first, but when you become so angry that you deny everything you said, it shows you a liar.
What part of you claiming you did make a bust then you didn't make a bust, or
what part of you denying you never called yourself an official FCC observer when in fact you did and denied it, or what part of your other lies do you feel were taken out of context? I mean, YOU made the claims, then deny them. Are your communication skills that poor that you fail to

express yourself, can't find the correct words? Attempting to blame your lies on your poor communication skills might fly with a newbie, but you've been around for a while....lying. BUT, you run on ahead and try and convince the world at large that you were quoted out of context each and every time. Call me when you think you were successful.

>I never said that I made busts. <

Nope, you didn't. What you actually wrote, verbatim (again) was:

"BZZT! Strike two. I never claimed a single bust. Again, a product of your imagination."<

But, we find you CLAIMING, it WAS a bust, after yet ANOTHER denial that you never said it...
To wit:

"I don't believe I ever said successfully prosecuted I said "busts." If I did then it was misleading and unintentional." <

Oh, so you said it, but didn't mean it, but didn't mean it, but said it, but meant it and didn't believe you said it, then just denied it, and are back claiming you didn't mean it when in fact have been shown you said it..

>I said that I participated in busts. <

And then made several posts that they weren't "busts" at all, that the word "bust" was my choice. I once again showed that to be another in a long string of bold-faced lies, and now you have flip-flopped yet AGAIN, and are attempting to convey and palm off your twisted definitions of busts, claiming once again, that they did happen, but not in the manner in which you applied the term. That's all nice and stuff, but, just like you denying calling yourse;lf an FCC observer, that really isn't the issue, is it Kev 'ol boy? No, the issue is (again) many of your claims have been shown to be lies. Where there is smoke there is fire.

>Only the FCC can make the busts.
Like I have said a couple of times, me and several others provided evidence for these busts. We never made the busts. You are arguing semantics and taking me out of context. <

You never made the busts, but you sure lied like hell. You said you never said things, Kevin, and have been shown you did. You can't even own up to them and fail miserablly trying to worm your way out of lie after lie.
Lie upon lie upon lie....

>Twist upon twist. Truly a legend in your own mind.<

Yet another hammie truly obsessed and concerned with what a lowly cber thinks of himself. You will fare much better around here, Muenzler, when you learn this isn't a personal issue. Maybe people like you and Frank have no family or life so you make us your world, and assign likes and

dislikes to us all. You really need to get over yourself. I am just now learning this fractured ego thing in hammie radio has quarantined more than just certain nocodes. I believe you're the highest ranking AKC member I have seen to date that is so obviously angered at what he has no control over. You need a ranking, since you obviously are the highest of the pioused.

>I'm still waiting on those phone numbers, names and court names. <

And I don't work for free.
I'm tempted to post a single link proving you wrong yet again with another claim, but you'll just call it semantics.

>But I see that you are now focusing on semantics. <

<g>

>I guess you were
lying about getting proof that I am lying. Kevin, WB5RUE <

Sure , Kev, you didn't lie.
And you never denied saying all those things you did, but didn't mean (chuckle).
Victory is definitely yours.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

937. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 16:24:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21444-3D2F02D7-100@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129917

What I have done, is verified that the
procedure involved with the FCC takes much longer than six months for an actual court appearance to take place, let alone a conviction by the FCC.

>Please give us names, phone number and the times that you called so that we can all verify this. <

Certainly. AS you claimed, these services cost fees. Provide a valid contact number and I can call you and we can discuss my fees.

>So then you HAVEN'T actually made contacts to "prove that I am lying." <

Ask a lawyer that worked for the FCC is your time frame is even remotely possible. There is no chance of your lie being even remotely true. That won't cost you anything, as there is a hammie readily accessible that loves to disburse wisdom concerning his golden days with the feds. All you gotta do is ask, Muenzler, not dance.

>I understand now. YOU are lying. Or perhaps, again, "lying" is too strong of a word. You were misleading, you misrepresented what you had actually done, YOU LIED! <

(Chuckle) Hehhe..Sorry to burst your bubble, Kevin, but you're gonna have to wake up much earlier than that if you are going to look for something to personalize.

>Did you "make calls" that were unable to verify my claims or did you make calls that were able to contradict my claims. There is a BIG difference. <

This is getting better by the day. Give you ego trippers enough rope and ya'll piss all over your own legs after the selfhangin's. Here's more fodder for your featherless cap..if the FCC brought anyone to court AND convicted them as you claim, within the time frame you claim, it would have been the FASTEST convictions for cb or hammie related behavior to date.

>You see I have never seen your brain, I don't know anyone else who has ever seen your brain. In fact I doubt that anyone in the entire world has seen your brain. So we can't verify that it exists at all. So if we use your logic of "unable to verify" then your brain doesn't exist. <

Your trouble remaining focused on the subject and attempts at making your agenda one of spewing your venom and insults my way is dangerously close to becoming trollish.

But everyone that frequents the ng can see the lies you tell and lie about some more. Do you have an alcohol problem, Kev? You seem to be incapable of posting and focusing on any issue except for your intense personal dislike of me.

>They, like I have said, can see that you are the TwistMeister.<

They most certainly can, Kev, and all that have met me and most that have been around here any length of time also know I don't lie...period. To think any antics from you would alter that, merely illustrates your ignorance and highlights the false importance you attribute yourself. Delusions of grandeur.

> So you
say that I am incapable of focusing? <

Absolutely! Of course you are. You have now resulted to insults in each of your postings.
I asked for a way to verify your story, giving you the benefit of the doubt. You became immediately hostile toward me, hurling insults and asking me to verify that you lied. In other words, the world should take your word for it, even though you can't produce anything. You made a claim, can't produce Jack, and ask ANOTHER to prove you lied, when all that was asked for was clarification.
You have since resorted to making your focus about me, not your claims. That makes you unable to focus properly on the issues at hand (AS much you would like me to be the issue, alas, I am not). You are trying way too hard to make me your issue. It gets better...if I am incorrect about your uncontrollable behavior concerning your insults and attempts to make the subject one of myself, and the attempts are conscious, that makes you a troll.

>I have been trying my best to follow your twisted "logic," claims and accusations. You seem to have a paranoia problem too. I don't "personally dislike" anyone in this newsgroup. I know, you aren't paranoid but everyone thinks you are. Poor little Twisty, thinks everyone hates him. <

Once again, this isn't a popularity contest, as much as your wounded ego apparently needs one. You claim one thing and say another, just like all your posts in this thread. If you can be so impartial, demonstrate so and hold your insults. You must walk the talk, Muenzler, and you obviously don't.
The greatest insult, is you undermining the entire ng's intellect. You see Kev, if you didn't lie, you wouldn't have had to resort to defending each and every little self-contradiction you made and ran to so desperately. The group can indeed interpret what they read for themselves, Kev 'ol chap. Even though we are a bunch of low life cbers, we don't buy into your "are you going to believe what I tell you or what you saw?" mentality that obviously has worked on lesser beings for you in the past. Your lies and denials are there for all to see, just as you meant them. If they were all to be compiled, how many you reckin you

told since the beginning of the thread, 'ol chap? Add the insults and..wow..they outnumber the lies..slightly.

>So, lets have names, places, phone numbers, dates and times that you called these places to check up on me. I don't want EMails or web sites, those can be faked, I want names and phone numbers so that I can call them. If you can't then by your standards you are a liar. Kevin, WB5RUE<

Following the thread the last few days, I'm not at all cocerned with the general consensus, but you should be. Nevertheless, I even accept personal checks, but there will be ten day hold on all out of state checks and a 25.00 NSF fee.

>General consensus? Get Real Twistyboy! <
I
know, you use the word "boy" as it
must make you feel like a man. If referring to me in such deferred ways boosts your ego, I am glad to be of service. What this ng needs less of is another angered hammie that feels like a lowly cber had a way bigger member, er, number, uh..member number than he.

>The only "general consensus" has been people who think you are deranged. Only Modeaholic (who I think is you) has come to your side. <

Au contraire. This is a cb ng and I am way more representative of the average cber than you will ever have a clue. Your beliefs are radical, condescending toward cbers (be careful here, you have left a slime trail of slams against cb over the years..I'd go check the archives before you need another helping of crow jammed down your chickenhawk neck.)
and not popular except with a minority of angry hammies,,made up of the likes of yourself, Frank, Lelnad, Yrac, the finnish funny guy,
and N8WWM. That makes you a minority, and me of the general consensus. Your quick acceptance into the AKC with those who threaten and harass by continuing to divide the service by the placing of "sides" is now an expected trait of you. Division is what the AKC stands for, and the sad part is, I don;t even think you realize when you have been had.

>But you, apparently, already have made those phone calls so you have already "paid the fees" right? Oh, you want reimbursement? I think that this is just another attempt to cloud the issue that you are so wrapped up in yourself that you can't see when you have been cornered. <

What you think doesn't matter to anyone. IF you wish me to perform a service, you will pay accordingly.

>There's no way out of this one. Put up or shut up. You made claims that you had some sort of proof that I am lying.<

Yes, but only after you lied.

>Let's have it. Names of who you called, phone numbers of who you called and the courts you called.<

Certainly...after you provide proof of your claims...after all, YOU made the claims first, I merely asked you to clarify first. I qualified several of your lies with proof, and granted, YOU have even qualified several of your own lies with proof, but I asked for a clarification first, and you failed to produce. Now you are attmpting to ignore that inquiry, but ask the same of me....hilarious.

>But obviously you don't have them<

Which is the reason you went bezerk in the first place..you obviously don't have any proof.

>and there is no way in God's green earth that I'm going to send you a single penny. <

Well, I kind of figured that. A perfect example of someone who wants something for nothing...asking for handouts, wanting someone else to do the work because you're too lazy, or worse, can't figure out how. Typical freeloader.

>GET REAL Twistyboy!
ROFL!!!! BWAAAAAAAAAAAHHAAAAAAAAHHAAAAAAAA! <

Ez, there, don't wanna physically hurt yourself..you already killed your credibiltiy.

>I can hear everyone else in this newsgroup laughing too! <

Indeed we are laughing, Kev, but you have a delayed reaction...that must run hand-in-hand with your poor recall and retension ability, as we have been laughing since day one when you made the claims. Newsflash Kev, ol chap: Many in here are way more educated in the ways of the FCC than you give credit. You're part of the gag, Kev, and haven't even realized it, as you continue to assume the group has no clue concerning enforcement. It is becoming quite clear that it is you that not only lies concsistently, but can not focus and has no recall ability, and can not express yourself adequately without going back and saying that even though you said it, you didn;'t mean it. You have created quite the little sty for yourself and I am enjoying it so.

>Except maybe
Mopedaholic that is. The only thing that exceeds your ego is your audacity! I doubt that you have the guts to send me your real name and address anyway.
Kevin, WB5RUE<

You already expressed your inabilty to pay, so what does my name or addy have to do with anything? Ask Frank, he knows where I live. He figured it out after I posted directions to my front door.

>God must love stupid people, he made so many of them!<

Too bad ignorance isn't painful.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

938. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 16:29:21 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21442-3D2F03E1-248@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129919

From: wb5rue@qsl.net (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21442-3D2DA99F-119@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net... WHat
you say doesn't matter, Muenzler. You were shown to be a liar many times
over. You're no longer an issue.

>I'm sure that it doesn't since you're run out of issues that don't have
holes in them. <

If you think you have aptly demonstrated you do not lie,,,fine..enjoy
your victory :).

>Still waiting for those names, address, phone numbers. What? You want
money? Why? As a reimbursament? A reimbursement for what?<

I never asked for a reimbursement. This was your failed insertion.

>I know that you haven't paid anyone for research.<

Correct. It is MY legwork.

>There is NO WAY that you could get that information over the phone and
there is NO WAY that you could have received information back that
fast in the mail. <

UNLESS...unless, what Kevvy? Remember, once before you said NEVER and
were shown the error of your ways. Do you wish me to pause while you
deny you never used the term "NEVER"?

>It takes WEEKS to get information back from a court reporter's records.
<

Keep asking. You're not even warm.

>Like I said before. BADA-BING! You've been caught directly in a lie and
don't have the intellect to see it. <

Oh, back to the insults, are we?
Now that you saved me the trouble from
showing the world you not only lie but can't remember what you say, I am
quite content to watch you wallow in your trench of ignorance.

>I guess that's why I'm no longer an issue. <

You're no longer an issue because you lie and can't remember what you
say. It's useless.

>Keep twisting Twistyboy. <

Oh yea, thanks. And you have trouble remainiing focused. Count your insults and attempts to make me your topic and you are way past troll status. ..in the red, Muenzler old chap, in the red.

>Kevin, WB5RUE<

And that's the biggest shame of it all.
Another piss poor example to ham radio.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

939. Re: AKC License Plate Frame: "I'D RATHER BE RATTING OUT KEYCLOWN AU...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 16:32:07 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21444-3D2F0487-102@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129921

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote: ------"N8WWM, the
MASTER of all you ILLEGAL KEYCLOWNS, is pleased to announce----------
Even a jackass is smart enough to come in out of the rain.

>WTF is your excuse? <
--
Go #40
------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
              Usenet Newsgroup Service

I am. He didn't fall, I pushed him.

940. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 16:34:40 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21442-3D2F0520-251@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129922

>CB stations operate under a "blanket authorization". They are
technically
"licensed"
and subject to the rules just as if they had a paper license in hand.
Yes, they, per-
haps, don't know the rules, but just as with the traffic cop,
"B-b-b-b-but, Mr. Agent, sir, I-I-I-I didn't know it was illegal to use
a 'leenyar' or tawk on that 'ere
freeband" won't hold water.
I am happy, however, to see that Mr. H. is stepping up enforcement of
all kinds.
73
Jerry<

Hey, no doubt about it...ignorance is no excuse for breaking the law!!!!
I know 'ol RH is playing the media instrument like an Appalachian gal
from Boone can play the dulcimer. You didn't think, just maybe, his
claiming stepped up cb enforcement to you coming at the end of a skip
cycle is slightly more than coincidence?

941. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 16:36:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21442-3D2F05AB-253@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129918

```
>From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
Nice sig file,Twist.
Have you adjusted to this northern climate yet? <g>
--
Go #40
------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
              Usenet Newsgroup Service <
```

Funny you ask that...I'm just about ready to. Enjoy the rest of your summer.

942. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 16:38:20 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uiu1erdpdiid58@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129916

It does happen. More often than you think. Proximity to the transmitter can make a whale of a lot of difference in the field that is picked up. A line close to a quarter wavelength can also cause problems. I've seen more than a few instances of this - including organs that picked up stock 5 watt cb rigs when driving in front of a club (one was very loud, obviously excessive power; the rest were very audible and were likely stock - this was back in 1975).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d2e4a8f.1745516@news.cet.com...
> In <uisghm3o0kp8ab@corp.supernews.com>, "BobC" <123@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d2e273d.1539437@news.cet.com...
> >
> >snipped>>>>>>>>>>>>>
> >
> >> Connections between dissimilar metals make very poor rectifiers, and
> >> would need either a hell of a lot of amplification for any demod to be
> >> heard, or a hell of a lot of power to demod. Think about it: if it
> >> were that easy to build an efficient diode, why bother with silicon?
> >> Heck, if you need tuned tank circuit and a high-impedance earpiece
> >> just to hear anything from a crystal radio using a quality Ge diode,
> >> do you really think that a little cuprous oxide is going to make much
> >> noise?
> >>---I disagree with your conclusions here.
> >>---It requires very little power to excite a oxidized connection into
acting
> >as a diode and retransmitting a new batch of frequencies. If the metals
or
> >wires attached to this diode come close to resonance on any of these new
> >frequencies then you have an efficient antenna system from which you may
> >intefere with many different radio services.
>
> You have a point, and iron-oxide rectifiers were once used in
> abundance (and are often confused with selenium rectifiers). But they
> are efficient only when manufactured under controlled conditions. Same
> story for thermocouples: two dissimilar metals will develop a
> potential across the junction, but it's very, very small for common
> metals. It takes a certain amount of refinement in order to get the
> efficiency of the cooler sitting on top of your computer's processor.

>
> But there are problems with metal-oxide rectifiers when used as RF
> detectors. They tend to show a linear response with low power (not
> good where non-linear response is required). The biggest problem is
> their lack of frequency response. They just simply do not make good RF
> rectifiers. Have you ever seen a metal-oxide rectifier used as a
> detector stage in a radio? Nope.
>
> >---Even a small signal in the -100 to -70 db levels may interfere with
other
> >services. We cannot simply think of just AM, FM and television systems.
> >There are many other services which exist and regularly use signal
strengths
> >in these levels as normal inputs to their receivers.
>
> No doubt about it, but the topic was about radio interference with
> non-radio devices such as telephones.
>
> >> Carbon and crystal mics make fair rectifiers, but are not used much
> >> anymore. If you are hearing demod through the phone it is probably
> >> being amplified at the relay station. But you -still- need quite a bit
> >> of RF power to be heard because the phone company's amplifiers are not
> >> very high gain, and the mics are not connected to the resonant antenna
> >> needed to receive a good signal. Regardless, the cure for this is to
> >> shield the mic and earpiece (usually already shielded by it's own
> >> case) and to choke both leads to both devices (a single bead per lead
> >> is enough). The other problem with telephones is the ring modulator,
> >> and then all you need to do is call the phone company.
> >---I disagree here as well.
> >---When there's a complaint of phone interference you may easily assume
> >there is a corroded/loose connection somewhere in the line either inside
the
> >house or more likely outside at the entrance panel or on the pole. I had
the
> >phone company out many times to clean and retighten the screw connections
> >around the neighborhood. These are copper alloys and act as diodes very
> >nicely in the prescence of even 5 watts when allowed to get badly
oxidized
> >and/or loose.
>
> Since the topic was about RFI, I assumed an otherwise functional
> circuit. Regardless,
>
> A loose connection will make noise all by itself due to intermittent
> contact or arcing (yes, even a phone line will arc over a very small
> distance). And an open connection puts the line at a high impedance,
> which makes it suseptible to harmonics from house current, motor
> arcing, and all the other normal sources of RFI. Both conditions mess
> with the terminating impedance of the pair, which makes it open to
> much more of the same. But at 30pF, 25 ohms/1000ft and the 6dB/octave

> rolloff well below HF, you aren't going to get much RF from a twisted
> pair even if the termination is open or shorted.
>
> You cannot "easily assume" a corroded or loose connection, but when
> trying to troubleshoot an RFI problem it's a good idea to start with a
> good circuit.
>
> >---The interference is not amplified by the CO before it gets to the
house.
> >The interference is generated locally on the line.
>
> Telephone service usually has talkback, which means there is an
> amplifier somewhere along the line.
>
> >---Most homes have miles of old unused phone lines still connected to the
> >entrance panel.
>
> What state do you live in? Around here old and loose wiring is
> considered a fire hazard. You might find some old houses even with the
> old LV lines, and even a few with the very old cotton wires and
> ceramic standoffs, but most of the houses have already been cleaned up
> during home upgrades.
>
> > These lengths of wire act very nicely as antennas and will
> >couple the rf right into the bad connection where it can be demodulated.
>
> I agree. If they haven't been removed from the house, and they are
> still connected to your phone line, I suggest they get someone to
> disconnected them ASAP!
>
> >Although the phone company generally uses twisted pairs, the average home
> >owner/do-it-yourselfer doesn't know enough to follow suit. These
non-twisted
> >pairs have a propensity towards conducting rf.
>
> As does any reasonably straight wire. I'll even add that although many
> of these wires were installed at or below ground level, they can still
> work as antennas (one of my first experiments in radio taught me that
> one).
>
> >---The phones in use today are not well shielded and being mostly solid
> >state will easily be overloaded by modest rf fields because they are
> >attached to very long antennas.
>
> Now that's a stretch. A random wire antenna won't pack enough punch to
> knock out solid-state electronics, simply because it probably isn't
> resonant at the frequency of interference: i.e, a longer wire doesn't
> necessarily mean a bigger signal. Even if it IS resonant, it probably
> still won't have enough juice to zap a protection pair. Matter of
> fact, I know for a fact that you almost have to key right into an

> input to blow a protection diode, and nothing short of a lightning
> strike is going to nuke a bipolar input.
>
> >> If an audio device is hooked up to an amplifier, it's up you the user
> >> to avoid normal levels of RFI, i.e, use shielded audio cables, make
> >> good ground connections, etc. Note that some pieces of audio equipment
> >> are just shoved inside some wood or plastic and not shielded at all.
> >> Don't buy these devices.
> >---Just because it has a wooden case and no real shielding doesn't make
the
> >device bad or unusable. Most home devices require substantial invasion of
rf
> >to move the operating points of the semi-conductors.
>
> ...WHAT? Alrighty, I need a reference on THAT one!
>
> > More often it is the
> >poor assembly of the interconnecting cables which allows rf invasion.
Loose
> >or oxidized connectors are a good place to start cleaning up the house.
> >Avoid long cable lengths which may be resonant on locally used
frequencies.
> >Sometimes even coily cords are self resonant on certain locally used
> >frequencies. Chokes and bundling excess cable is good practice.
Occaisional
> >retightening of connectors helps keep out rf.
>
> That sounds good to me. But I REALLY need a reference for that other
> statement -- are you saying that cheap amplifiers are left unshielded
> in order to burn in the silicon? What about the transistors in cans?
> If the RF for the sheilded transistors comes from induction in the
> leads, then doesn't that lead to rectification, amplification, and
> eventually RFI? I think you are a very misinformed, my friend.
>

943. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 16:39:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21442-3D2F0645-255@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129920

>radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
The cber is also agreeing to abide by the rules governing the cb service when he purchases and uses a cb radio. Just because he hasn't taken a test and issued a license does not make it any less illegal when he breaks the rules. It is the duty of the operator to know the rules. <

Yup, no doubt, it is. But I offered up a plausible possibility for how it could happen. "What if'" scenarios all of a sudden aren't any good anymore? <g>
You're absolutely right, there is no excuse for not knowing.

>He should know that there are only 40 channels in the cb service, if he doesn't then that is his own fault. <

Well, I dunno about all that. What if (Please pardon it this one time and I swear I will never use the ridiculously lame losing line of "what if" again) the guy is a new ride along or driver who has never been into cb...there are probably whiz bang young tech kids that for one reason or another have never even seen a cb, let alone know where in the freqs they may operate, or even how. SO, he's out doing his first solo run but with other drivers in their own trucks. They approach a big city and the noise from the damn hammies with their big radios on 19 is just causing terrible communications for the drivers. So the driver says to the rookie to "switch your PA switch on and off 2 times." The guy could think he is on a company channel. After all, them Mayflower drivers are worldwide in skipzone..even gots dey owned channel!

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

944. Re: Dave Hall Writes The Definitive Twistedhed Slam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 16:45:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21442-3D2F07B6-256@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129923

Hello Dave, It's good to see you washed up hammies lending each other support, even if you must resort to trolling and insults. Y'all losers that had your clocks cleaned in here by us lowly cbers need all the help you can get. Y'all take care there, now, and try not to pee on each other.

945. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 17:14:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15083-3D2F0E93-290@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129926

Dave Hall, upon demonstrating his obsessive compulsive disorder ("I ignore twisty"
"I don't ignore twisty") ran to the now-demised credibility of another angry hammie that agree that insults and failed attempts at making a topic of a personal nature is effective commmunication from a licensed hammie, erred with:

>Psychological comment: <

Like you're qualied. You can't even deal with change, let alone the psychotherapy you are so in need of due to the self-admitted physical abuse you suffered as a child..or was that Kevin who had his head slapped? One of you did, and claimed as much.....you AKC are so much alike I guess it doesn't really matter which. The end result is the same with the few of you that exist.

>Anyone that is so concerned with verifying a post made on a USENET newsgroup, that they actually paid money to do the leg work, has some serious problems recognizing priorities in their life.<

I agree.
Muenzler is the one that originally mentioned money needed to exchange hands in order for information to be had.

>More likely: The "fees" arguement is just another excuse to attempt to sidestep the responsibility of verifying your claims.
So then you HAVEN'T actually made contacts to "prove that I am lying." I understand now. YOU are lying. Or perhaps, again, "lying" is too strong of a word. You were misleading, you misrepresented what you had actually done, YOU LIED! <

>Debate tactic 101: <

Demonstrating your past impartiality, you can not effectively give advice on debate, let alone any type of effective communication. Again ironic that a few of you angry licensed hammies are so poor with communication skills.

>When attempting to score tactical points defending a questionable stance, it is often the tactic of a weak debater to put the opposition on the defensive. <

Again, you aptly demonstrate this is a win/lose situation to you, perfectly illustrating your childish mindset and attempts at division among radio enthusiasts.

>That way the opposition spends most of his time countering these attacks, rather than promoting his side. Usually, this is accomplished by demonizing the party or subject that the debater stands for, or accusing the other side of lieing. <

Do you consider "demonizing" the same as repeated insults, Hall? Never mind, it doesn;t matter what you think, you've had your head handed to you many times.

>When making the claim that the opposing part is not being truthful, you'd better have irrefutable evidence to back it up, and be prepared to share it. Otherwise it is taken as heresay.<

Actually, when the claim can't be verified, it is discarded. This is the way American media works, not the way you wish it to.

>Did you "make calls" that were unable to verify my claims or did you make calls that were able to contradict my claims. There is a BIG difference. You see I have never seen your brain, I don't know anyone else who has ever seen your brain. In fact I doubt that anyone in the entire world has seen your brain. So we can't verify that it exists at all. So if we use your logic of "unable to verify" then your brain doesn't exist. <

Hey Hall, would the above words by Munzler qualify to you as "demonizing", or would you make an allowance for that because of your inability to remain impersonal and impartial and on topic?

But everyone that frequents the ng can see the lies you tell and lie about some more. Do you have an alcohol problem, Kev? You seem to be incapable of posting and focusing on any issue except for your intense personal dislike of me.

>Mr. Pot, meet Mr Kettle. <

I have no reason to dislike anyone in here. I don't allow myself to even think beyond such things,it is mundane trivial matter. Doesn't matter who it comes from..if an issue is a lie, I will comment on it..the name on the email means squat,,except to losers like yourself, of course.

>Try to tell a nut that he's nuts and he'll tell you that you're crazy..... <

And this continues to interfere with your well being and mental status WHY?

>They, like I have said, can see that you are the TwistMeister. So you say that I am incapable of focusing? I have been trying my best to follow your twisted "logic," claims and accusations. You seem to have a paranoia problem too. I don't "personally dislike" anyone in this newsgroup. I know, you aren't paranoid but everyone thinks you are. Poor little Twisty, thinks everyone hates him. <

--
>Another psychological observation: The recurring theme of "personal

dislike" is also a common manifestation of the truly paranoid and those with low self esteem. <

Or it's arrived at through a more laymen's sort of process...by the repeated personal insults in each of the posts......chuckle. You're probably the same way on the air, Hall, ...a hatemonger,,,spewing bullshit you don't evenbelieve..hahah!

>Another recurring trait here is Twisty's insistance that others cannot focus on the issues,<

Not others, only malcontents, such as the kennel club,,,but that isn;'t my interpretation, it's the rest of the world's observation., or are you far in denial you can't see that either?

> or follow his chain of thought, yet it is he that changes the point of focus, often into completely unrelated and irrelevant areas. <

Sort of like how Munzler was being forced fed big blacjk crow then tried to make the topic about ME, then you joined
in and haven't even touched on the subject that was being discussed, but jumped on the
poor boy's bandwagon of insults? Yea, we know your loser ways, Hall.

>He is free to change the direction of the "debate", yet if the other party attempts to pull the debate back on track, Twisty is quick to accuse them of being "incapable of staying focussed". <

How did I change it? You changed it. Kevin lied and was asked for proof. He changed it several times to that of ME, as you are now failing with. It just goes to show that Munzler was drowning and your attempt at helping him now has you covered in the same smelly matter that he rolls in...only difference is..that smell isn't new to you....it's home

>So, lets have names, places, phone numbers, dates and times that you called these places to check up on me. I don't want EMails or web sites, those can be faked, I want names and phone numbers so that I can call them. If you can't then by your standards you are a liar. Kevin, WB5RUE<

Following the thread the last few days, I'm not at all cocerned with the general consensus, but you should be. Nevertheless, I even accept personal checks, but there will be ten day hold on all out of state checks and a 25.00 NSF fee.

>General consensus? Get Real Twistyboy! The only "general consensus" has been people who think you are deranged. Only Modeaholic (who I think is you) has come to your side. <

>>The whole issue is laughable. Assuming that he is being truthful, and he made some calls and inquiries, that did cost some money (And why someone would go to that length over a newsgroup debate is scary enough), the money is already spent, all we want are the names and places so that we can spend some of our money doing the alleged same thing. There needs to be no further expendatures on Twisty't part. Just

name the names and numbers. <

Of course, that is what was asked of Kevin, and quite graciously, I may add.
There was really no further explanation needed from him, but a mere clarification.

>Of course we already suspect that this is all a smoke screen in another sequel in the continuing adventures of Walter Mitty, AKA Twistedhed.
Dave
"Sandbagger"<

Gee Dave, once you claim you do something, you should really do it. If you say you don't concern yourself with me, then stick to it and stop your lying. Also, that little Walter Mitty line was taken from one of your favorites....one man gang (check the archives). Plagiary and lies mutually present themself with the likes of you Hall, no wonder the AKC memebers are all over me...one of their own was shot down.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

946. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 17:19:30 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15085-3D2F0FA2-133@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129925

>This is true, some people never grow up and shed the "children's band"
mentality.
Kevin, WB5RUE<

And yet others never learn how to communicate effectively, lacing their
rants with insult upon insult.
.

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

947. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 19:07:50 UTC
From: DCLIFF@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11956-3D2F2906-72@storefull-2173.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129927

Where can a stainless steel antenna be bought?

WN4IFW

948. Re: Hy-Gain, Penetrator-500 on E-bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 19:29:50 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D2F2E2E.47AC83F6@qnet.com>
Source record: 129930

Hello Dennis:

Thanks for the kind words.

I get a kick out of the old Penetrator 500 E-Bay actions, they can get wild at times. Especially at the last few minutes of the auction. It would be neat to know just how many of the P500 Antennas Hy-Gain did make, I have tried several sources for information inside Hy-Gain but its possibly that some have passed away, or are involved in others things.

The Interceptor 10K is moving right along, with a State Radio Services interested in the VHF Low Band, and High VHF band versions. And now testing Prototypes, as portable Base station antenas, and even have one at a mountain top in the Sierras.

I have one myself tuned for 27.5 MHz, so that I can keep an ear on both CB and 10 metres, while 12 metres has some SWR, I can hear all the Hoo Raw. I even have a I-10K cut for 6 meters, 50.1 and there abouts seems active, (thats a wild band when its humming) and now a 2 meter I-10K is up and running with some design and tuning attenation to being slighty broad banded as to include the new Murs frequencies, it that ever takes off.

Thanks again.

Jay in the Mojave

"Dennis W. Ostrowski" wrote:

> ay in the Mojave wrote:
>
> > The worth, on E-bay with everyone looking and wanting one of these
> > antennas I have seen them go from 200 to 275 dollars
>
> For that kind of money I would suggest they purchase a 'JAY-MADE' antenna
> instead.
>
> I used to think the Penetrator 500 was the best CB antenna ever made until I
> got a close-up look at one of yours!
>
> Keep up the good work Jay!
>
> Regards,
>
> Dennis O.
>

949. Re: Landshark, president of *Keyclown Dunce Klub*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 19:30:26 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <m7GX8.166719$vq.8478349@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129928

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020712001200.100$WZ@newsreader.com...

> Hold on,voob.
>
> I haven't reported you to anyone,yet.
>
> You keep daring me to though..
>
> --
> Go #40

Well don't talk about it be about it. Of course it is just you guiys
posturing there isn't a thing wrong with using the AKC name,
no one is saying we are the American Kennel Club. You guys had better
consult a lawyer before you start offering legal advice.

950. Re: Landshark, president of *Keyclown Dunce Klub*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 19:31:03 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <X7GX8.50307$iB1.2809452@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129929

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207112002.42d99208@posting.google.com...
> Landshark wrote:
> >As you are fond of saying........Forwarded to the
> >AKC (American Kennel Club) for copy right infringement

yes i guess they own those three letters of the alphabet LOL.

what a putz!

951. Re: AKC License Plate Frame: "I'D RATHER BE RATTING OUT KEYCLOWN AUCTIONS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 19:35:49 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <ocGX8.50423$iB1.2812378@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129931

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:N1sX8.2562$3n6.303277302@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...

> As you are fond of saying........Forwarded to the
> AKC (American Kennel Club) for copy right infringement

> http://www.akc.org/akc/copy.cfm

> Landshark

Landwhale, What material is aaron reproducing from the AKC Web site? Take a look at there Statement you flaming homo. They don't own the letters of the alphabet and no one is claiming to be the American Kennel Club. I will bet you all the tea in China you just wasted your time as usual. You made your self look like an ass as usual .Remember you don't have a clue about legal advice. I Just ask Nancy

AKC Copyright Statement

© 2001 AKC. All rights reserved. No material may be reproduced in any manner whatsoever without written permission from the AKC. The AKC has made every effort to insure the accuracy of its information. The information provided is "as is" with all faults and without warranty of any kind, expressed or implied. In no event shall the AKC be liable for any incidental or consequential damages, lost profits, or any indirect damages even if the AKC has been informed of the possibility thereof

952. Re: AKC License Plate Frame: "I'D RATHER BE RATTING OUT KEYCLOWN AUCTIONS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 19:36:32 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <4dGX8.50440$iB1.2812465@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129932

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020711233859.094$KW@newsreader.com...
> See what boy george did to your first job,Aaron?
>
> --
> Go #40

Can't post without mentioning me can you Landshark oops I mean Moped

953. Re: Hy-Gain, Penetrator-500 on E-bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 19:38:41 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <5fGX8.62643$iX5.2798416@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129933

"Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote in message
news:3D2EE34A.28C44B6@lucent.com...
> Jay in the Mojave wrote:
>
> > The worth, on E-bay with everyone looking and wanting one of these
> > antennas I have seen them go from 200 to 275 dollars
>
> For that kind of money I would suggest they purchase a 'JAY-MADE' antenna
> instead.
>
> I used to think the Penetrator 500 was the best CB antenna ever made
until I
> got a close-up look at one of yours!
>
> Keep up the good work Jay!
>
> Regards,
>
> Dennis O.

Yes we all know you like the copycat material #12

954. Re: "LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey - now on SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 19:39:37 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <ZfGX8.101059$Im2.4972216@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129934

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message

> Here's the newest name for the trash heap.

"Scott Sixnine"

955. Re: "LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey - now on SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 19:40:25 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <JgGX8.63901$Bt1.3345083@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 129935

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020711204653.607$9u@newsreader.com...
> Any Google updates,boy george?
> You had to poke your no-nothing nose in,didn't you?
>
> If Aaron gets popped for spam,it's your fault.

And if you get caught sucking dick whos fault is that? Landsharks?

956. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 19:40:28 UTC
From: BobC <123@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uiu4ue60hvae76@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129924

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d2e4a8f.1745516@news.cet.com...
> In <uisghm3o0kp8ab@corp.supernews.com>, "BobC" <123@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d2e273d.1539437@news.cet.com...
> >
> >snipped>>>>>>>>>>>>>

> >---I disagree with your conclusions here.
> >---It requires very little power to excite a oxidized connection into
acting
> >as a diode and retransmitting a new batch of frequencies. If the metals
or
> >wires attached to this diode come close to resonance on any of these new
> >frequencies then you have an efficient antenna system from which you may
> >intefere with many different radio services.
>
> You have a point, and iron-oxide rectifiers were once used in
> abundance (and are often confused with selenium rectifiers). But they
> are efficient only when manufactured under controlled conditions. Same
> story for thermocouples: two dissimilar metals will develop a
> potential across the junction, but it's very, very small for common
> metals. It takes a certain amount of refinement in order to get the
> efficiency of the cooler sitting on top of your computer's processor.

> But there are problems with metal-oxide rectifiers when used as RF
> detectors. They tend to show a linear response with low power (not
> good where non-linear response is required). The biggest problem is
> their lack of frequency response. They just simply do not make good RF
> rectifiers. Have you ever seen a metal-oxide rectifier used as a
> detector stage in a radio? Nope.

---Efficiency is not so much the keyword here as unintentional. Anyone using
a tower or supplying tower space to a few systems realizes the problems of
loose/corroded metals. The materials start out the same but oxidation
provides a new mix all on it's own. Go out and tap on a tower leg or guy
wire and see what it looks like on a spectrum analyzer or even a scope. Your
inefficient diodes do a pretty fair job of mixing and providing ample rf
energy to bother other systems in the neighborhood. This interference can
easily occur into the 800mhz bands.
==========
> >> Carbon and crystal mics make fair rectifiers, but are not used much
> >> anymore. If you are hearing demod through the phone it is probably
> >> being amplified at the relay station. But you -still- need quite a bit
> >> of RF power to be heard because the phone company's amplifiers are not

> >> very high gain, and the mics are not connected to the resonant antenna
> >> needed to receive a good signal. Regardless, the cure for this is to
> >> shield the mic and earpiece (usually already shielded by it's own
> >> case) and to choke both leads to both devices (a single bead per lead
> >> is enough). The other problem with telephones is the ring modulator,
> >> and then all you need to do is call the phone company.

> >---I disagree here as well.
> >---When there's a complaint of phone interference you may easily assume
> >there is a corroded/loose connection somewhere in the line either inside
the
> >house or more likely outside at the entrance panel or on the pole. I had
the
> >phone company out many times to clean and retighten the screw connections
> >around the neighborhood. These are copper alloys and act as diodes very
> >nicely in the prescence of even 5 watts when allowed to get badly
oxidized
> >and/or loose.
>
> Since the topic was about RFI, I assumed an otherwise functional
> circuit. Regardless,
>
> A loose connection will make noise all by itself due to intermittent
> contact or arcing (yes, even a phone line will arc over a very small
> distance). And an open connection puts the line at a high impedance,
> which makes it suseptible to harmonics from house current, motor
> arcing, and all the other normal sources of RFI. Both conditions mess
> with the terminating impedance of the pair, which makes it open to
> much more of the same. But at 30pF, 25 ohms/1000ft and the 6dB/octave
> rolloff well below HF, you aren't going to get much RF from a twisted
> pair even if the termination is open or shorted.
---When it comes to RFI you cannot assume a functional circuit. In fact it's
usually through a circuit fault that the interference slips in.
---Your numbers hold true for the values between each wire in the bundle
however, the interference is common mode referenced to ground. Each wire in
the bundle has virtually the same amount of rf on it with long connection
lengths to ground. Bypassing on the spans or drops is useless. These wire
lengths provide enough rf to the poor connections at the pole boxes or
service entrance panels to demod an AM signal well.
==========
> You cannot "easily assume" a corroded or loose connection, but when
> trying to troubleshoot an RFI problem it's a good idea to start with a
> good circuit.
---I can easily assume a bad connection. This has been my experience with
most phone interference complaints. I've called the phone co. out many times
and the result was loose/corroded connections either at the pole box or at
the service entrance panel.
==========
> >---The interference is not amplified by the CO before it gets to the
house.

> >---The interference is generated locally on the line.

> Telephone service usually has talkback, which means there is an
> amplifier somewhere along the line.
---Sure there's amps on the line. These amps are very well bypassed for rf. The audio has to be on the line before the amp. How does it get there? A diode on the line somewhere near the offending transmitter is the real culprit. A case in point. When I picked up my POTS phone I could easily hear myself. A call had not been established. Cleaning and tightening the connections for my drop cleared it. The same was true for all the complaints I received from the neighborhood.
==========
> >---Most homes have miles of old unused phone lines still connected to the
> >entrance panel.
>
> What state do you live in? Around here old and loose wiring is
> considered a fire hazard. You might find some old houses even with the
> old LV lines, and even a few with the very old cotton wires and
> ceramic standoffs, but most of the houses have already been cleaned up
> during home upgrades.
---My experiences with rfi were in Florida. I don't recall anyone speaking about old and loose power wiring
---In the older, more established, neighborhoods it's not uncommon for the phone company to uncover unterminated lines that were spliced into other lines throughout a house. These lines may not be attached to a jack but simply hidden under a rug or inside a wall. These unrecorded lines act as great antennas and no one really knows they are there beause they were spliced in an attic or behind a wall and not brought all the way from the service panel.
==========
> > These lengths of wire act very nicely as antennas and will
> >couple the rf right into the bad connection where it can be demodulated.
>
> I agree. If they haven't been removed from the house, and they are
> still connected to your phone line, I suggest they get someone to
> disconnected them ASAP!
>
> >Although the phone company generally uses twisted pairs, the average home
> >owner/do-it-yourselfer doesn't know enough to follow suit. These non-twisted
> >pairs have a propensity towards conducting rf.
>
> As does any reasonably straight wire. I'll even add that although many
> of these wires were installed at or below ground level, they can still
> work as antennas (one of my first experiments in radio taught me that
> one).
==========
> >---The phones in use today are not well shielded and being mostly solid
> >state will easily be overloaded by modest rf fields because they are
> >attached to very long antennas.

>
> Now that's a stretch. A random wire antenna won't pack enough punch to
> knock out solid-state electronics, simply because it probably isn't
> resonant at the frequency of interference: i.e, a longer wire doesn't
> necessarily mean a bigger signal. Even if it IS resonant, it probably
> still won't have enough juice to zap a protection pair. Matter of
> fact, I know for a fact that you almost have to key right into an
> input to blow a protection diode, and nothing short of a lightning
> strike is going to nuke a bipolar input.
---A stretch you say? Perhaps you misinterpreted what I said. I'm not
talking about destroying the device. Just about having enough rf present at
the inputs to move the dc operating points of whatever semiconductor devices
are close to that input.
---A random wire doesnt need to be resonant to have many volts of rf on it.
---Define protection pair. I'll assume you mean the MOV's generally located
on the inputs of most electronic phones. These devices arent even in the
picture when it comes to rfi. The rf passes right by the devices because the
levels aren't usually high enough to trip them.
---The rf gets into the inputs and couples to the pcb ground. Once there, it
can raise the ground potential so that biasing is affected. The rf can also
be radiated into, or picked up by, the semiconductor devices due to long
lead lengths and traces. Again, there is usually sufficient wire lengths
involved both inside and definitely outside the phone to present large
amounts of rf to the unit.
==========
> >> If an audio device is hooked up to an amplifier, it's up you the user
> >> to avoid normal levels of RFI, i.e, use shielded audio cables, make
> >> good ground connections, etc. Note that some pieces of audio equipment
> >> are just shoved inside some wood or plastic and not shielded at all.
> >> Don't buy these devices.
> >---Just because it has a wooden case and no real shielding doesn't make
the
> >device bad or unusable. Most home devices require substantial invasion of
rf
> >to move the operating points of the semiconductors.
>
> ...WHAT? Alrighty, I need a reference on THAT one!
>
> > More often it is the
> >poor assembly of the interconnecting cables which allows rf invasion.
Loose
> >or oxidized connectors are a good place to start cleaning up the house.
> >Avoid long cable lengths which may be resonant on locally used
frequencies.
> >Sometimes even coily cords are self resonant on certain locally used
> >frequencies. Chokes and bundling excess cable is good practice.
Occaisional
> >retightening of connectors helps keep out rf.
>
> That sounds good to me. But I REALLY need a reference for that other

> statement -- are you saying that cheap amplifiers are left unshielded
> in order to burn in the silicon? What about the transistors in cans?

> If the RF for the sheilded transistors comes from induction in the
> leads, then doesn't that lead to rectification, amplification, and
> eventually RFI? I think you are a very misinformed, my friend.
---Rectification and amplification through induction has been my point throughout this conversation. You just dont see the bigger picture of where the induction and subsequent rectification can take place.
---Even a "cheap" amplifier can stand a chance of working normally in an rf environment provided your lead dress and routing are fairly good and you don't have diodes in the lines when dealing with phone amps.
---Naturally I won't say that it's not possible or unlikely to interfere with a "cheap" or otherwise unshielded amp but there are things that can easily be done to prevent a lot of it.
---Just a simple example: A home stereo with long speaker leads. It's usually the spkr leads acting as antennas to bring the rf into the amplifier or preamps. Bypassing the leads to the chassis with a few caps reduces/eliminates the difference of potential between the leads and the chassis thus eliminating most if not all the interference. The same can work with a cheap phone if the problem is not a bad connection on the line itself. They used to put a cap across the spkr and mic in the old pots phones to prevent rfi. Similarly, it's not uncommon to find a cap across a mic element in todays radios to stop such rfi.

957. Re: President HR-2510 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 19:57:16 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207121157.3267c96b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

lilyv7@aol.com (LilyV7) wrote:
>i wonder how long it will be,before somebody with a little extra
>cash,hires a lawyer to take these "radio crusaders" to task for
>trying to stop the sale of "legal" radio gear.

1. You can't sleaze your way out of it. It's the law.

2. eBay has an FCC liason assigned to it to look at the auctions.
Don't think they're not smart enough to look in the ham radio
category.

3. They know the difference betwenn a ham radio and a phney cb export.
Just saying a radio is something other than what it is won't work.

FCC ISSUES REMINDER ABOUT HF TRANSCEIVERS
ï¿½
The FCC has issued a friendly reminder about those HF transceivers
ostensibly marketed for "amateur" use, but actually designed and
intended specifically for the so-called "freeband" radio operators,
who operate primarily in the 26 to 28 MHz frequency range.
ï¿½
In a May 13 Public Notice, the FCC points out that it's a violation of
FCC regulations to import or market a transmitter designed or intended
to operate outside the amateur bands unless the equipment has been
issued a grant of equipment authorization for the radio services it's
capable of operating. The FCC says it wanted to clarify its rules on
equipment that's "intended to operate in various radio services in the
high frequency radio spectrum, including '10-meter' Amateur Radio
Service (ARS) equipment." The notice--directed to "manufacturers,
importers, vendors and users of these transceivers"--emphasizes that
transmitters intended for use by FCC-authorized radio services except
the Amateur Radio Service must be type-accepted.
ï¿½
In the words of the Public Notice: "The Commission considers that the
transceivers discussed above are intended to be operated on
frequencies where the use of type-accepted equipment is required,
because of the simplicity of modifying them to extend their operating
frequency range."
ï¿½
The FCC also noted that it's illegal to import, market or operate a
transmitter that requires a grant of equipment authorization but for
which no grant has been issued, and that it's a violation to transmit
on frequencies allocated to a licensed radio service "without the
appropriate Commission-issued station license." Violators could be

subject to fines or imprisonment as well as equipment seizure and forfeiture.--FCC

958. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 20:12:54 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207121212.619c2ff0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 129937

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D2ED7CB.7139@worldlynx.net>...
> Of course we already suspect that this is all a smoke screen in another
> sequel in the continuing adventures of Walter Mitty, AKA Twistedhed.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

Continuing is right. Of note is the fact that Twistedhed is the only
regular in rec.radio.cb ever asked to LEAVE "for the good of the
group" because of the sheer volume of flames he ignites. (He refused)

-A

959. Re: I-10K <For Jay>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 20:27:04 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020712162704.10309.00000142@mb-bd.news.cs.com>
Source record: 129938

>I have one myself tuned for 27.5 MHz, so that I can keep an ear on both
>CB and 10 metres, while 12 metres has some SWR, I can hear all the Hoo
>Raw.

Hi Jay,

Do you use a tuner?

73 de Bert
WA2SI

960. Re: "MOPEDHOLIC" Puke Bag -- SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 21:26:17 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d2f47bc$0$13948$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 129939

You're funny. A bit excessive but funny.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207120133.36c43b20@posting.google.com...
> "MOPEDHOLIC" Puke Bag

961. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 21:40:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1520-3D2F4CC2-242@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 129941

continuing adventures of Walter Mitty, AKA Twistedhed.
Dave
"Sandbagger"

>Continuing is right. <

Only because you have never got over being driven underground.

>Of note is the fact that Twistedhed is the only regular in rec.radio.cb ever asked to LEAVE <

Back to that, are we? You girls made your bed, deal with it. Begging me to leave is on par with the Nad man threatening to spam the group if I didn't leave. If I were you girls, I would want me gone too. I'm simply too much for any of your limited intellects to deal with at one time...gaining slightly more than a double digit IQ would be a great start for you.
Muenzler was caught in several lies and your panties all become stained collectively.

>"for the good of the group" because of the sheer volume of flames he ignites.<

I have left, several times, most recently as of last Christmas. Before that it was last summer. I told you I take several trips per year..work on that retainment.
Nevertheless, when I was absent, you escalated your ill mannerisms and behavior, you couldn't even take a break from your harassment on Christmas.
But let's reflect for a moment, on what is really unsettling to your fragile ego. You are upset, as is the rest of the peanut gallery, because your bullshit intimidation tactics are rendered impotent, much like the rest of your, uh, attributes. Another poor assed example, lying POS, hypocritical, shit talking hammie was outted. All the enjoyment you derive from the abuse and harassment you dish out doesn't come close to the satisfaction gained from watching you bottom feeders squirm.

> (He refused) <

Of course I refused. I'm now a member of 3 worldwide DX groups and have met some super cool folk because of this room. I have been to 3 kick ass keydowns and 1 annual birthday bash because of this room. I have learned some things about constructing my own antennas and some things about non-related subjects.
Pissing in your eye isn't personal, it's a bonus. I know it seems that way to you, but it is only because of the sheer amount of BS you try

but fail with.

-A-

We would expect no less, after all, you and Hall didn't come until your collars were yanked.
Attacking me doesn't take away from the fact that Muenzler was shown he not only deliberately lied several times over, but cant recall what he said.
Your childish rants and attacks doesn't take away from your newest member..Wb5RUE telling deliberate lies and insults...but then again, this is why you ran so quickly to defend him, isn' t it?
He was merely being shown something simple that he claimed he never said. To illustrate, I merely showed him a few MORE examples of things he said, but denied saying, beginning with his claim of not hurling insults, to his claim that he never called himself an FCC observer, which were also proven to be lies, by quoting his own text..
It was upon these examples Dave Hall began to bleed from betweeen his legs, and you begin foaming at the mouth, staring intently at his rear, all the while thinking of me.

962. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 22:00:21 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2f5044.443134@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129940

In <uiu1erdpdiid58@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote:

>It does happen. More often than you think.

You missed the point. I know it happens, and it happens often. I'm the
guy that usually gets called when someone thinks the station is
causing interference in the neighborhood. Usually it's from some other
source, however, and illegal operators are frequently the culprit.

> Proximity to the transmitter
>can make a whale of a lot of difference in the field that is picked up.

Yes it does.

> A
>line close to a quarter wavelength can also cause problems. I've seen more
>than a few instances of this - including organs that picked up stock 5 watt
>cb rigs when driving in front of a club (one was very loud, obviously
>excessive power; the rest were very audible and were likely stock - this was
>back in 1975).

It's funny, I have seen that about a half-dozen times myself. Hammond
made one hell of a CB receiver!

963. Re: FS: HR-2510, CCC Ranger AR-3300 (100watt), CCC Ranger AR-3500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 23:32:12 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020712193212.14972.00000195@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 129942

me too. i'm interested in the 3500.

>
>
>>the AR-3300
>>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365899242
>>
>>the AR-3500
>>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365896424
>
>Please e-mail me the details (& any pics) re. these, thanks.
>
>73 de Bert
>WA2SI
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

964. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 23:47:40 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2f6a7f.7158100@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129943

In <uiu4ue60hvae76@corp.supernews.com>, "BobC" <123@hotmail.com>
wrote:

<snip>
>---Efficiency is not so much the keyword here as unintentional. Anyone using
>a tower or supplying tower space to a few systems realizes the problems of
>loose/corroded metals. The materials start out the same but oxidation
>provides a new mix all on it's own. Go out and tap on a tower leg or guy
>wire and see what it looks like on a spectrum analyzer or even a scope. Your
>inefficient diodes do a pretty fair job of mixing and providing ample rf
>energy to bother other systems in the neighborhood. This interference can
>easily occur into the 800mhz bands.
>==========

Now I'll agree 100% with that: it is ABSOLUTELY NECESSARY to clean
your contacts for a transmitter, and corrosion WILL cause distortion
and/or harmonics. But as for unintentional rectification of a
-received- signal due to corrosion, it just doesn't happen very often,
if at all. I have never seen a case of this happening. The cause is
usually something else, like corrosion of the connection on the ground
rod causing a poor ground, but that's not unintentional rectification.

<snip>
>> A loose connection will make noise all by itself due to intermittent
>> contact or arcing (yes, even a phone line will arc over a very small
>> distance). And an open connection puts the line at a high impedance,
>> which makes it suseptible to harmonics from house current, motor
>> arcing, and all the other normal sources of RFI. Both conditions mess
>> with the terminating impedance of the pair, which makes it open to
>> much more of the same. But at 30pF, 25 ohms/1000ft and the 6dB/octave
>> rolloff well below HF, you aren't going to get much RF from a twisted
>> pair even if the termination is open or shorted.
>---When it comes to RFI you cannot assume a functional circuit. In fact it's
>usually through a circuit fault that the interference slips in.

One of the first steps in debugging RFI is to establish that the
device would be otherwise functional. If it is not, then the device is
suspect. I think we agree on that point.

>---Your numbers hold true for the values between each wire in the bundle
>however, the interference is common mode referenced to ground. Each wire in
>the bundle has virtually the same amount of rf on it with long connection
>lengths to ground. Bypassing on the spans or drops is useless. These wire
>lengths provide enough rf to the poor connections at the pole boxes or
>service entrance panels to demod an AM signal well.
>==========

The bundles are either shielded or bundled into a weave to prevent
common-mode RF currents. It works pretty well until you get down to
just a couple pairs. Any common-mode currents after that have little
effect because the termination for each pair is balanced.

>> You cannot "easily assume" a corroded or loose connection, but when
>> trying to troubleshoot an RFI problem it's a good idea to start with a
>> good circuit.
>---I can easily assume a bad connection. This has been my experience with
>most phone interference complaints. I've called the phone co. out many times
>and the result was loose/corroded connections either at the pole box or at
>the service entrance panel.
>====================================

Perhaps I should have said that differently: There is a difference in
the types of interference, such as telephone crosstalk, hash noise,
and RFI. For most common interference complaints there is a good
"probablility" that the problem is a loose/corroded connection. But
when the problem is RFI, nothing can be "assumed".

>> >---The interference is not amplified by the CO before it gets to the
>house.
>> >---The interference is generated locally on the line.
>
>> Telephone service usually has talkback, which means there is an
>> amplifier somewhere along the line.
>---Sure there's amps on the line. These amps are very well bypassed for rf.
>The audio has to be on the line before the amp. How does it get there? A
>diode on the line somewhere near the offending transmitter is the real
>culprit. A case in point. When I picked up my POTS phone I could easily hear
>myself. A call had not been established. Cleaning and tightening the
>connections for my drop cleared it. The same was true for all the complaints
>I received from the neighborhood.
>====================================

That was my point, except that the recification is usually in the mic
or earpiece, not corrosion on the lines. One way to tell is if one
phone picks up RFI but another phone on the same line does not. The
rectification is coming from the phone, not the line. The RF may be
coming from the line, but not the rectification. Now if every line in
a small neighborhood is picking up the same RFI, then you KNOW it
isn't the phone or the line (in cities like mine, pairs are usually
not grouped so closely).

>> >---Most homes have miles of old unused phone lines still connected to the
>> >entrance panel.
>>
>> What state do you live in? Around here old and loose wiring is
>> considered a fire hazard. You might find some old houses even with the
>> old LV lines, and even a few with the very old cotton wires and
>> ceramic standoffs, but most of the houses have already been cleaned up
>> during home upgrades.
>---My experiences with rfi were in Florida. I don't recall anyone speaking

>about old and loose power wiring

Nothing in Florida suprises me. But loose wiring is still a hazard
whether it's telephone wires or power lines. Many states have laws
requiring the removal of old, unused house wiring of any kind.

>---In the older, more established, neighborhoods it's not uncommon for the
>phone company to uncover unterminated lines that were spliced into other
>lines throughout a house. These lines may not be attached to a jack but
>simply hidden under a rug or inside a wall. These unrecorded lines act as
>great antennas and no one really knows they are there beause they were
>spliced in an attic or behind a wall and not brought all the way from the
>service panel.
>==================================

I have never seen that to be the case when, as you said before, the
wires were not paired. As long as they are paired (and capped for
safety), the most you will get is a little common-mode RF which, as I
said earlier, doesn't do diddly because the terminations are balanced.

<snip>
>> >---The phones in use today are not well shielded and being mostly solid
>> >state will easily be overloaded by modest rf fields because they are
>> >attached to very long antennas.
>>
>> Now that's a stretch. A random wire antenna won't pack enough punch to
>> knock out solid-state electronics, simply because it probably isn't
>> resonant at the frequency of interference: i.e, a longer wire doesn't
>> necessarily mean a bigger signal. Even if it IS resonant, it probably
>> still won't have enough juice to zap a protection pair. Matter of
>> fact, I know for a fact that you almost have to key right into an
>> input to blow a protection diode, and nothing short of a lightning
>> strike is going to nuke a bipolar input.
>---A stretch you say? Perhaps you misinterpreted what I said. I'm not
>talking about destroying the device. Just about having enough rf present at
>the inputs to move the dc operating points of whatever semiconductor devices
>are close to that input.

I must still be missing your point: how does RF induced in a wire move
the DC operating point of a solid-state device? First, you are
assuming that the device is not DC isolated with a cap. Then you need
some sort of rectification -outside- of the device to get your DC from
the RF. Then you need to get that DC to blow the protection circuitry
(usually back-to-back diodes, providing a protection zone of + or -
1.4V; and that's DC, AC -and- RF). But it takes a significant amount
of power to blow those puppies:

Any wire acts like an antenna. You have points of high impedance (high
voltage and low current) and points of low impedance (low voltage and
high current). If the input to the protected device is high impedance,
the diodes are going to shunt that voltage right to ground. And they
will do it even if the voltage exceeds the rating of the diode, simply

because the diodes provide a low-impedance load to a high-impedance signal. Now if that voltage were accompanied by a significant amount of current, you bet it would blow those diodes. But the current is at the low-impedance points of the antenna, where the voltage is low. If the input is at the low-impedance point of the antenna, the diodes will shunt the current to ground, but it takes a mighty strong signal to induce enough current to reach Imax.

But if you blow the protection diodes, then the circuit is no longer protected and is probably destroyed as well.

So I don't see what you are trying to say here.

>---A random wire doesnt need to be resonant to have many volts of rf on it.

No it doesn't. But you need current, too. If you have lots of volts on the end of a wire, you probably have a wire that isn't connected to anything, or is half-wave resonant. Either way, connecting that wire to a load is going to drop those volts very quickly because in both cases there is going to be very little current.

>---Define protection pair. I'll assume you mean the MOV's generally located
>on the inputs of most electronic phones. These devices arent even in the
>picture when it comes to rfi. The rf passes right by the devices because the
>levels aren't usually high enough to trip them.

MOVs are meant for spike protection, and are for personal safety as well as circuit protection. No, they don't do squat for RF. But if there are any electronics that could get damaged from stray signals on the line, it will usually have a pair of diodes and a DC isolation cap. That's standard engineering practice in electronics.

>---The rf gets into the inputs and couples to the pcb ground. Once there, it
>can raise the ground potential so that biasing is affected.

Nope. I'm sorry, you are going to have to provide a real example of that one, because I'm not buying it.

> The rf can also
>be radiated into, or picked up by, the semiconductor devices due to long
>lead lengths and traces. Again, there is usually sufficient wire lengths
>involved both inside and definitely outside the phone to present large
>amounts of rf to the unit.

I don't think so. Not even close. Tell ya what: take your multimeter probes and put them across any old diode you happen to have handy, put the scale on the lowest voltage setting, put the meter ten feet away from your CB antenna and key up. Then do the same for the lowest current scale. Post the results.

>=====================================
>> >> If an audio device is hooked up to an amplifier, it's up you the user
>> >> to avoid normal levels of RFI, i.e, use shielded audio cables, make
>> >> good ground connections, etc. Note that some pieces of audio equipment

>> >> are just shoved inside some wood or plastic and not shielded at all.
>> >> Don't buy these devices.
>> >---Just because it has a wooden case and no real shielding doesn't make
>the
>> >device bad or unusable. Most home devices require substantial invasion of
>rf
>> >to move the operating points of the semiconductors.
>>
>> ...WHAT? Alrighty, I need a reference on THAT one!
>>
>> > More often it is the
>> >poor assembly of the interconnecting cables which allows rf invasion.
>Loose
>> >or oxidized connectors are a good place to start cleaning up the house.
>> >Avoid long cable lengths which may be resonant on locally used
>frequencies.
>> >Sometimes even coily cords are self resonant on certain locally used
>> >frequencies. Chokes and bundling excess cable is good practice.
>Occaisional
>> >retightening of connectors helps keep out rf.
>>
>> That sounds good to me. But I REALLY need a reference for that other
>> statement -- are you saying that cheap amplifiers are left unshielded
>> in order to burn in the silicon? What about the transistors in cans?
>
>> If the RF for the sheilded transistors comes from induction in the
>> leads, then doesn't that lead to rectification, amplification, and
>> eventually RFI? I think you are a very misinformed, my friend.
>---Rectification and amplification through induction has been my point
>throughout this conversation.

The point of this thread was Kenneth whining about people who complain
about interference from illegal operators.

> You just dont see the bigger picture of where
>the induction and subsequent rectification can take place.

Actually I do, I have been seeing the big picture for years. It's part
of my job.

>---Even a "cheap" amplifier can stand a chance of working normally in an rf
>environment provided your lead dress and routing are fairly good and you
>don't have diodes in the lines when dealing with phone amps.

I agree. Use twisted pairs for balanced audio signals, run unbalanced
lines close to the chassis, etc. Diodes can be ok depending on what
those diodes are used for.

>---Naturally I won't say that it's not possible or unlikely to interfere
>with a "cheap" or otherwise unshielded amp but there are things that can
>easily be done to prevent a lot of it.
>---Just a simple example: A home stereo with long speaker leads. It's

>usually the spkr leads acting as antennas to bring the rf into the amplifier
>or preamps. Bypassing the leads to the chassis with a few caps
>reduces/eliminates the difference of potential between the leads and the
>chassis thus eliminating most if not all the interference.

Beads are much easier and work much better for a low-impedance line.
Most caps don't have good RF characteristics, and also can short (but
that's rare). You can find lots of suitable beads by tearing apart old
computer power supplies and monitors.

> The same can work
>with a cheap phone if the problem is not a bad connection on the line
>itself. They used to put a cap across the spkr and mic in the old pots
>phones to prevent rfi.

That's what I suggested earlier, but with beads.

> Similarly, it's not uncommon to find a cap across a
>mic element in todays radios to stop such rfi.

I have even seen them used in old phones.

965. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-12 23:47:48 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d2f6aa1.7192209@news.cet.com>
Source record: 129944

In <11956-3D2F2906-72@storefull-2173.public.lawson.webtv.net>,
DCLIFF@webtv.net (Dennis@Lassetter. com) wrote:

>Where can a stainless steel antenna be bought?
>
>
>
>WN4IFW
>

Depends on what you want it for. If it's for CB, I think even Radio
Shaft still sells 102" stainless steel whips, and most of their other
loaded antennas are also SS. Try the Yellow Pages or search the net,
I'm sure you will find a source in your area very easily.

966. Re: Landshark, president of *Keyclown Dunce Klub*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 00:51:54 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <KQKX8.142$V85.3505271@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 129945

"KungFuYou" <confucioussay@foo.com> wrote in message
news:X7GX8.50307$iB1.2809452@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207112002.42d99208@posting.google.com...
> > Landshark wrote:
> > >As you are fond of saying.....Forwarded to the
> > >AKC (American Kennel Club) for copy right infringement
>
> yes i guess they own those three letters of the alphabet LOL.
>
> what a putz!
>
>

Hey George. if you say your representing ABC, NBC
CBS, I guess that wouldn't be copy right infringement or
impersonation then , right?

Putz.....LOL!!!

Landshark

You just can't hold a civil debate can you, always
have to throw out insults, or some sort of sexual innuendo.

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

967. Re: President HR-2510 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 01:07:03 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <X2LX8.104860$q53.2528557@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 129997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207121157.3267c96b@posting.google.com...
> lilyv7@aol.com (LilyV7) wrote:
> >i wonder how long it will be,before somebody with a little extra
> >cash,hires a lawyer to take these "radio crusaders" to task for
> >trying to stop the sale of "legal" radio gear.
>
> 1. You can't sleaze your way out of it. It's the law.
>
> 2. eBay has an FCC liason assigned to it to look at the auctions.
> Don't think they're not smart enough to look in the ham radio
> category.
>
> 3. They know the difference betwenn a ham radio and a phney cb export.
> Just saying a radio is something other than what it is won't work.

Smokescreen snipped

Aaron, they wont cancel it there, and you know it. You're just trying to
bluff your way through this one because you know how impotent you are.

968. The WCTU rides again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 01:09:34 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <i5LX8.97254$UT.6335679@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 129946

From: "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com>
Subject: AKC License Plate Frame: "I'D RATHER BE RATTING OUT KEYCLOWN AUCTIONS"
Date: Thursday, July 11, 2002 7:38 PM

>FS: HR-2510, CCC Ranger AR-3300 (100watt), CCC Ranger AR-3500
>FS: President HR-2510 on Ebay

"N8WWM, the MASTER of all you ILLEGAL KEYCLOWNS, is pleased to announce
that these auctions were ratted out and CANCELLED by EBAY. "

With those words, we launch yet another fine AKC product.....

AKC License Plate Frame
(Product Number: 2648205)

In Stock: Will ship in 2-3 business days.

Is there anything better than busting a keyclown auction? Heres another "N8WWM Special Edition" product: this fine license plate frame declares "ID RATHER BE RATTING OUT KEYCLOWN AUCTIONS"!

$10.99 each

waltz time mit oompah und kraut)

We're coming, we're coming our brave little band
On the right side of temp'rance we do take our stand
We don't use tobacco because we do think
That people who use it are likely to drink

(Chorus)
Away, Away with Rum, by gum
Rum by gum, rum by gum
Away, Away with Rum by gum
It's the song of the Temperance Union.

We never drink water - they put it in gin
And one little sip and a man starts to grin
O, can you imagine a sorrier sight
Than a man drinking water and singing all nite

Chorus

We never eat corn flakes because they have malt
And we cannot imagine a much greater fault
O, can you imagine a sight that's more droll

Than a woman at breakfast slumped over her bowl

Chorus

969. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 02:13:40 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uiv36iltr65t6e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129998

I notice that no one seems to want to get on 54 - 60 MHz. I
> wonder why? Nervous?
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
We are currently busy consolidating our gains in the 10 and 11 meter area.
When you start hearing Baba-boeee through your baby monitor you'll know we
own that too.:)

970. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 02:20:41 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uiv3jnp8e3il58@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 129999

Excellent point.
Also when a cb'er gets caught its contact me at..... then the cb'er ditches his big radio...to another trucker ofcourse.
When a Ham is on the cb freq it's only to cause mayham jsut like the hambos cause trouble in OUR newsgroup. Funny thing is you don't see cb'ers harrassing the ham newsgroup.
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:21442-3D2F0520-251@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >CB stations operate under a "blanket authorization". They are
> technically
> "licensed"
> and subject to the rules just as if they had a paper license in hand.
> Yes, they, per-
> haps, don't know the rules, but just as with the traffic cop,
> "B-b-b-b-but, Mr. Agent, sir, I-I-I-I didn't know it was illegal to use
> a 'leenyar' or tawk on that 'ere
> freeband" won't hold water.
> I am happy, however, to see that Mr. H. is stepping up enforcement of
> all kinds.
> 73
> Jerry<
>
> Hey, no doubt about it...ignorance is no excuse for breaking the law!!!!
> I know 'ol RH is playing the media instrument like an Appalachian gal
> from Boone can play the dulcimer. You didn't think, just maybe, his
> claiming stepped up cb enforcement to you coming at the end of a skip
> cycle is slightly more than coincidence?
>

971. Re: President HR-2510 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 02:52:59 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020712225259.01103.00000022@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 130000

>From: "Randy" >rchapman8@houston.rr.com
>Aaron, they wont cancel it there, and you >know it. You're just trying to
>bluff your way through this one because >you know how impotent you are.
Slammmmmmm...and the clown Aaron(eous) hits the
floor.....1...2...3...4...5...6.......he's out like a light folks....Knock
out!!!!!

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

972. Ebay auctions-never put them in CB..use Ham:Other or Ham:Transievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 02:55:30 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020712225530.01103.00000023@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 130001

Ebay auctions-never put them in CB..use Ham:Other or Ham:Transievers
and dont mention mods or extra channels or output......they will never
cancel your auction that way.
Bite me Arron(eous)!

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

973. Myth of equal enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 03:00:07 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uiv5tl6c2n6713@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130002

Some have stated that both Hams and cb'ers should get busted and that breaking the law is breaking the law. There is a big diference and that liscense means you will be treated ALLOT diferently then an unlicensed cb'er.
As a truck driver with a commercial liscense i have the right to legaly operate stuff other drivers cannot. If I violate a traffic law my fine is often 2 to 3 times what a regular driver would would pay. Also some laws that would result in a normal driver losing money would cost me my livelyhood. If i get a ticket while shopping in my car I have to put on a job aplication and believe me it's a black mark.
That's reality.
If a cb'er operates on the "high side" or "low side" and gets caught he will be warned not to and maybe lose his radio. On ch 6 and 11 you can hear people using multi-kilowatt liniers with impunity. If a ham operates in an iligal maner it's a whole new ballgame.
As for local enforcement most "full grown bears" monitor ch19. It's not rocket science that when he hears there is a broke down whatever that is blocking a lane 20 miles away some trucker is using a kicker. Heck no one installs a big 70 dollar antenna on a truck for a 4 watt 30 dollar maxon radio.
If you are a Ham the rulzs and HOW they are enforced are different. Thats reality. Deal with it.
Also grow up. If half of you put as much effort to upgrading your no-code liscense you might start to enjoy your hobby and maybe spend time in your own newsgroup. Your like ugly collage age guys hanging out at a jr high school dance hoping you can impress kids cause your unimpressive to your peers.
I feel sorry for you.

974. Re: Ebay auctions-never put them in CB..use Ham:Other or Ham:Transievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 03:09:13 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <tRMX8.25048$lu6.1357371@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130003

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20020712225530.01103.00000023@mb-ms.aol.com...
> Ebay auctions-never put them in CB..use Ham:Other or Ham:Transievers
> and dont mention mods or extra channels or output......they will never
> cancel your auction that way.
> Bite me Arron(eous)!

Yeah, E-Bay can't keep any knowlegable people; they keep dying of laughter when the Ranger junk is sold as Amateur gear. Yeah, right, roger beep, channelization, and a receiver that won't match a prewar Zenith.

Dick NBK

975. Re: Myth of equal enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 03:09:23 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <DRMX8.25051$lu6.1358619@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130004

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uiv5tl6c2n6713@corp.supernews.com...
> Some have stated that both Hams and cb'ers should get busted and that
> breaking the law is breaking the law. There is a big diference and that
> liscense means you will be treated ALLOT diferently then an unlicensed
> cb'er.
> As a truck driver with a commercial liscense i have the right to
legaly
> operate stuff other drivers cannot. If I violate a traffic law my fine
is
> often 2 to 3 times what a regular driver would would pay. Also some laws
> that would result in a normal driver losing money would cost me my
> livelyhood. If i get a ticket while shopping in my car I have to put on
a
> job aplication and believe me it's a black mark.
> That's reality.
> If a cb'er operates on the "high side" or "low side" and gets caught
he
> will be warned not to and maybe lose his radio. On ch 6 and 11 you can
hear
> people using multi-kilowatt liniers with impunity. If a ham operates in
an
> iligal maner it's a whole new ballgame.
> As for local enforcement most "full grown bears" monitor ch19. It's
not
> rocket science that when he hears there is a broke down whatever that is
> blocking a lane 20 miles away some trucker is using a kicker. Heck no
one
> installs a big 70 dollar antenna on a truck for a 4 watt 30 dollar maxon
> radio.
> If you are a Ham the rulzs and HOW they are enforced are different.
Thats
> reality. Deal with it.
> Also grow up. If half of you put as much effort to upgrading your
> no-code liscense you might start to enjoy your hobby and maybe spend
time in
> your own newsgroup. Your like ugly collage age guys hanging out at a jr
high
> school dance hoping you can impress kids cause your unimpressive to your
> peers.
> I feel sorry for you.
>
>

Nicely said.

Dick, N&#65533;BK, Class B CDL

976. Re: Ebay auctions-never put them in CB..use Ham:Other or Ham:Transievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 03:22:58 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020712232258.01066.00000030@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 130005

>Yeah, right, roger beep,
>channelization, and a receiver that won't >match a prewar Zenith. Dick Nï¿½BK
Dick, again, please don't be a dick.
You are also a broken record/CD.....prewar Zenith..skip..prewar
Zenith...skip....prewar Zenith...skip...prewar Zenith...skip...prewar
Zenith....prewar Zenith.........Just shut up about it dick!

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

977. Re: Ebay auctions-never put them in CB..use Ham:Other or Ham:Transievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 03:34:03 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <LcNX8.25146$Iu6.1360589@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130006

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20020712232258.01066.00000030@mb-ms.aol.com...
> >Yeah, right, roger beep,
> >channelization, and a receiver that won't >match a prewar Zenith. Dick
N�BK
> Dick, again, please don't be a dick.

Congratulations, you are the 3,629th person to make that original
contribution in 2002.

Hey man, if you had a clue how bad a 6A8 was for cross-mod, overload, etc.
you would have to really admire the Chinese for building a receiver that
is worse; it takes consumate skill to be that incompetant. Takes even
more skill to be sold one.

You sound like a proud owner. I got this creampuff used car......

978. Re: Myth of equal enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 03:38:19 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <LgNX8.57971$iB1.3201967@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130007

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uiv5tl6c2n6713@corp.supernews.com...
> Heck no one
> installs a big 70 dollar antenna on a truck for a 4 watt 30 dollar maxon
> radio.

If you spent $70 on your antenna you got ripped. Now if you were a "no-code" Ham you would have known how to make one for less than $10 in parts.

> Thats reality. Deal with it.

You can say that again.

>Your like ugly collage age guys hanging out at a jr high
> school dance hoping you can impress kids cause your unimpressive to your
> peers.

Some of the CBers on this group sure act like "jr high school" kids.

> I feel sorry for you.

You should feel sorry for them. The rest of use can take care of ourselves very well thank you.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
depends on our willingness to take a
chance on tomorrow by risking what
we have today."

979. Re: President HR-2510 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 03:54:28 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207121954.44c10766@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote:
>From: "Randy" >rchapman8@houston.rr.com
>>Aaron, they wont cancel it there, and you >know it. You're just trying to
>>bluff your way through this one because >you know how impotent you are.
>Slammmmmmm...and the clown Aaron(eous) hits the
>floor.....1...2...3...4...5...6.......he's out like a light folks....Knock
>out!!!!!

Feel free to fantasize or pretend all you want, but that auction has been stopped.

-A

the HR-2510:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365902717

Invalid Item

The item you requested (1365902717) is invalid or no longer in our database.

980. Re: Ebay auctions-never put them in CB..use Ham:Other or Ham:Transievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 03:54:48 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020712235448.01108.00000034@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 130009

>Ham:Transievers
>From: "Richard McCollum" >rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net
>you would have to really admire the >Chinese for building a receiver that
>is worse; it takes consumate skill to be >that incompetant. Takes even more
skill >to be sold one.
>You sound like a proud owner
Dick, opinions are like noses, everybody has one (also assholes), dick. Yes, I
own one and love the way it works. Insult it all you will and guess what dick?
I'll still own it and enjoy it's use. So stuff a cork in it already. Who gives
a shit? Not me!
>I got this creampuff used car......
Yes, I suspected you were a used car salesman!

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

981. Help me identify this Washington Mod Please! (and a couple other problems).

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 03:56:47 UTC
From: Me <db7500@pacbell.net.nospam>
Message-ID: <B954F30C.12A2%db7500@pacbell.net.nospam>
Source record: 130011

Well, I'm trying to fix a President Washington with the 8719 PLL that won't transmit, and while digging around the chassis, I came across this modification that I couldn't identify.

The mod has been done to IC2, the VCO-MIXER (UH1C007). There appears to have been added a D6515 black glass diode to pins 2-3-4 (ground), a 100KOhm resistor to pin 5 (+3.2V), and a small brown 15pf disc capacitor to pin 6 (+5V). All three of these components have their legs twisted together and are soldered in kind of a 'pyramid' fashion (each connected to one another and then each separate leg connected to the pins I mentioned) to the bottom of the board. I should also mention that the diode is connected with the anode (mark) connected to the capacitor and resistor.
This radio also appears to have been 'unlocked' and 'extended', and previously had a Digalog CRC-100 Frequency Counter hard-wired through the back of the radio.

I just thought it odd that a diode to ground and the other components had been added in this area. I've done a search around the internet, and no mods that I'd found included adding these components.

I put the frequency counter in the newer (though same era) Washington radio I have, and though it reads the correct frequency on AM, the sideband readings are off-frequency (It's the same type Washington with the 8719).

For example, if I'm on 38 AM, the counter will read 27.3850, but when I switch to LSB, the counter reads 27.3840 when I'm finally tuned into other people's signals. 38 USB also reads 27.3884 when in that mode and 'tuned-in'. If I tune the radio so that the counter reads the correct sideband frequency (.225/.775), I can't hear much of anything on LSB (since it's off by 15Hz), and USB I just hear high chirps (due to it only being off by 9Hz).
I thought that since the Frequency Counter worked fine in the radio I'm currently working on (was dead-on sideband frequency .225/.775) that these parts might help correct the frequency readings on the counter?

The newer radio also has the extra 5.6mH choke added to the banded end of the varactor diode to give it extra slide on the clarifier, so I figure this could also result in the wrong frequency reading, but who knows. Too bad the guy that used to own this broken radio is pushing up daisies, or I'd ask 'him' about it...

Anyway, thanks in advance for any help you guys can give me. I hope to get this old clunker back in working order, but I also want to figure out what's been done to it (gotta love ebay auctions with a clueless seller... And buyer... ;).
Thanks again.

Pyro
NC451

982. Re: Myth of equal enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 03:56:55 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <byNX8.25243$Iu6.1362606@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130010

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:LgNX8.57971$iB1.3201967@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uiv5tl6c2n6713@corp.supernews.com...
> Heck no one
> installs a big 70 dollar antenna on a truck for a 4 watt 30 dollar maxon
> radio.

If you spent $70 on your antenna you got ripped. Now if you were a
"no-code" Ham you would have known how to make one for less than $10 in
parts.

****
****

And if you had the experience you would realize that mounting two
functioning cophased antennas on crappy grounds (mirrors) does not submit
to the usual antenna theory. The idea is to get some radiation off the
upper parts of a shortened antenna. Not impossible but not easy. The
jolly boys building Firesticks, aside from a name that gives me gas, do a
better job of this compromise than I would until I got a real feel for
what I was doing. And helical dummy loads while common at VHF/UHF are a
bit arcane for the beginner. He got out cheap for $70.

And having to use Maxon UHFs at work, his analysis is right on the money.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member

983. Re: World WIDE BREAK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 03:58:26 UTC
From: tripleduecefla@comcast.net
Message-ID: <7d782fd2.0207121958.6f7ec5be@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130012

YES didnt have realy any one to key with pluss in the 16pill class i tied 5times with a guy and they never switched us sides the next class they would switch us sides when we tied up i guess who ever was decideing on what was going on didnt realy know what was going on.im just posting my thoughts of the keydown it self not the party or the goody rooms this was a very poorly ran keydown not a poorly run break.[trip]

984. Re: amplifier

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 05:08:44 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <agocksmup4@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 130013

tolstoi <tolieo@yahoo.com.au> wrote:
> nice looking unit indeed !

At a price to match. A resonant dipole is so easy to make that it is
silly to pay so much.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

985. Re: I-10K <For Jay>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 10:50:04 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D3005DC.4B0E0BA5@qnet.com>
Source record: 130014

Hello Bert:

No no tuner, Idon't remember what the SWR is, I can go look if ya want me to.

But SWR in the neighbor hood of 3 to 1, or even 5 to 1 is no big deal to me.

Jay in the Mojave

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> >I have one myself tuned for 27.5 MHz, so that I can keep an ear on both
> >CB and 10 metres, while 12 metres has some SWR, I can hear all the Hoo
> >Raw.
>
> Hi Jay,
>
> Do you use a tuner?
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

986. Re: "LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey - now on SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 13:26:23 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207130526.74c4c3d5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130015

voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<22a5e694.0207101740.7a10f97f@posting.google.com>...
> "LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey
> (Product Number: 2641100)
>
> In Stock: Will ship in 2-3 business days.
>
> Specially designed by George, this jersey features rec.radio.cb's own
> rascal "LANDWHALE" being caught in another lie! Typical? You bet! Wear
> it around San Francisco if you dare!
>
> $17.99 each
>
> http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/productdetail.aspx?prodno=antikeyclown.2641100

Golly Geewilikers, I wish I had one of those jersey's,They are
keen!Why I bet I would'nt get beaten up at school at all with cool
threads like that.And the ladies won't be afraid of me anymore because
they would think that i was just a nice nont-threatening
HOMOSEXUAL.Damn your such a loser.

987. Cafe press must be fairly easy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 13:35:39 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207130535.1313d7ff@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130016

Cafe press will work with anyone,hell they even let a person that does not even exist anywhere on earth sell stupid shit that even kids that ride the short bus would beat your ass for wearing.

988. Hey Voobner I'm impressed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 13:49:06 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207130549.5e7e7afa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130017

I was looking at your pathetic webpage,and I noticed something,your father is the one and only Arn Anderson!I never realized you were the son of a famous pro rasslin' legend.And that old guy that that is posing with the mexican rental children that the smugglers use to pass themselves off as normal,he looks a lot like Classy Freddie Blassie.I never realized you were from a pro wrestling background,maybe a travelling circus freak background but not wrestling.Bwhahahahahahahahhahahahahahaahahhahahahaha

P.S.,Do the rental kids have a security deposit on them?Do they charge by the day or do they have hourly rates?I might want to pull a scam one day like you.

989. Re: World WIDE BREAK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 13:53:35 UTC
From: wpbrock3rd@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713095335.02998.00000464@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 130018

I heard it was so bad that some of the guys took their money back and went to the beach. hey! an idea, Maybe two or three reputable people like 331 from Jacksonville, NC, Wonder Boy from WDC or ... (very well organized breaks) get together and form a sanction body like NHRA, NASCAR ... set rules and procedures. These people would do all the advertising as well as inspect the field, station, and direction to be faced. Once certified by them this would become a reputable break that competitors would be very comfortable with traveling to, it would also increase the participation. They would oversee the break and receive a fee plus percentage of all of the registration fees (which are a rip-off anyway) The problem with breaks are no one knows what's going on, rules are not enforced, and the station you are shooting to who knows where it is or how far away it is. I stayed home this year but may hit Hammers break in NC in Sept. and two weeks later in DC, there I know the rules will be for everyone and the pay will be correct.

990. Voobnereese

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 13:55:05 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207130555.62bc09b1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130019

Excerpt from boob's webpage:"A family legend is that if a Voobner commits to fight, he never gives up until he has achieved victory".Translated:"Man with no job will do anything to entertain him self no matter how stupid i makes him look"

Give it up you sad pathetic person,save what little diginty you have and go find a woman.

991. Re: Hey Voobner I'm impressed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 14:15:29 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <5CWX8.78589$iX5.3490262@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130020

Another asshole heard from

"dr_sinister" <dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:57ce2c1e.0207130549.5e7e7afa@posting.google.com...
> I was looking at your pathetic webpage,and I noticed something,your
> father is the one and only Arn Anderson!I never realized you were the
> son of a famous pro rasslin' legend.And that old guy that that is
> posing with the mexican rental children that the smugglers use to pass
> themselves off as normal,he looks a lot like Classy Freddie Blassie.I
> never realized you were from a pro wrestling background,maybe a
> travelling circus freak background but not
> wrestling.Bwhahahahahahahahhahahahahahaahahhahahahaha
>
>
> P.S.,Do the rental kids have a security deposit on them?Do they charge
> by the day or do they have hourly rates?I might want to pull a scam
> one day like you.

992. Re: Cafe press must be fairly easy.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 14:16:14 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <OCWX8.66593$iB1.3595366@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130021

Another asshole heard from.
Who are you my anonymous acolyte?
You exist only in the inner bowels of my ass.

"dr_sinister" <dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:57ce2c1e.0207130535.1313d7ff@posting.google.com...
> Cafe press will work with anyone,hell they even let a person that does
> not even exist anywhere on earth sell stupid shit that even kids that
> ride the short bus would beat your ass for wearing.

993. Re: Ebay auctions-never put them in CB..use Ham:Other or Ham:Transievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 14:17:50 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <iEWX8.78606$iX5.3491528@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130022

How is that amp doing Ozark?
"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20020712235448.01108.00000034@mb-ms.aol.com...
> >Ham:Transievers
> >From: "Richard McCollum" >rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net
> >you would have to really admire the >Chinese for building a receiver that
> >is worse; it takes consumate skill to be >that incompetant. Takes even
more
> skill >to be sold one.
> >You sound like a proud owner
> Dick, opinions are like noses, everybody has one (also assholes), dick.
Yes, I
> own one and love the way it works. Insult it all you will and guess what
dick?
> I'll still own it and enjoy it's use. So stuff a cork in it already. Who
gives
> a shit? Not me!
> >I got this creampuff used car......
> Yes, I suspected you were a used car salesman!
>
>
>
>
> "If it aint broke, don't fix it"

994. Re: Landshark, president of *Keyclown Dunce Klub*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 14:22:01 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <dIWX8.66602$iB1.3598905@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130023

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:KQKX8.142$V85.3505271@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...

> "KungFuYou" <confucioussay@foo.com> wrote in message
> > yes i guess they own those three letters of the alphabet LOL.

> > what a putz!

> Hey George. if you say your representing ABC, NBC
> CBS, I guess that wouldn't be copy right infringement or
> impersonation then , right?

Not at all there are many companys called ABC xxx, you stupid fool go back
to whatever it is you do best.
which is nothing.
Putz!

> Putz.....LOL!!!

> Landshark

> You just can't hold a civil debate can you, always
> have to throw out insults, or some sort of sexual innuendo.

Where was the sexual innuendo in that post Fag Boy?

995. Re: I-10K <For Jay>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 14:24:42 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <KKWX8.83360$Bt1.4087740@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130024

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3D3005DC.4B0E0BA5@qnet.com...
> Hello Bert:
>
> No no tuner, Idon't remember what the SWR is, I can go look if ya want
> me to.
>
> But SWR in the neighbor hood of 3 to 1, or even 5 to 1 is no big deal to
> me.
>
> Jay in the Mojave

Why not Jay is that what your Copy of a Penetrator antenna is a 3:1 swr
waiting to happen.

996. Re: "LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey - now on SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 14:25:58 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <WLWX8.178428$vq.9252586@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130026

"dr_sinister" <dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:57ce2c1e.0207130526.74c4c3d5@posting.google.com...
> Golly Geewilikers, I wish I had one of those jersey's,They are
> keen!Why I bet I would'nt get beaten up at school at all with cool
> threads like that.And the ladies won't be afraid of me anymore because
> they would think that i was just a nice nont-threatening
> HOMOSEXUAL.Damn your such a loser.

Welll then place your order for one and just because you wear a shirt with
Landjaws name on it you wont be considered a homosexual.

997. Re: I-10K <For Jay>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 14:26:11 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7MWX8.99322$UT.6406465@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130025

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3D3005DC.4B0E0BA5@qnet.com...
> Hello Bert:
>
> No no tuner, Idon't remember what the SWR is, I can go look if ya want
> me to.
>
> But SWR in the neighbor hood of 3 to 1, or even 5 to 1 is no big deal to
> me.
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>

Nor should it be. Congratulations on not making bandwidth a design criterion; efficient radiators are not broad and that's a fact. I would expect your designs to have about a 3% bandwidth between 2:1 SWR points, and that much because you use heavy gauge tubing in the design. You want bandwidth, load a 50 ohm resistor; won't radiate for beans but you'll love that SWR.

There has been an ongoing (like 40 years) debate about which side should be favored when you do not have the bandwidth. In the tube days it was thought cute to cut for the high side and let the pi-section sort it out. When solid state came out, it was recommended to cut long to avoid a capacitive load that tripped the SWR protection. You have any feeling on this?

Something you might want to try is parallel feeding two elements slightly different in length. The idea actually came out of CB when people would feed two whips off the same spring to improve bandwidth. And take a look at a Hy-Tower that manages to be 1/4 at 3800 and 3/4 at 14.2 in 52 feet.

Ain't 'tennas fun??

Beer Keg

998. Re: I-10K <For Jay>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 15:23:51 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D304607.11DE3ECB@qnet.com>
Source record: 130027

Hello Beer Keg:

Yes I agree with the SWR war, while looking at the near field, field strength the antenna doesn't show any reduced field strength until even higher SWR's, but I wasn't looking for that the last time. I was looking at SWR vs FS within a smaller change of the SWR curve. I didn't see any significant difference!

I will side with the adjusting the antenna slightly lower in frequency, it seems the upper SWR sloop is wider. Its inductive to the left, and capactive to the right, of the SWR curve. This brings up a design jar of worms for a 5/8 wavelength antenna. Later on this. For the capacitive increase in the antenna, I haven't given it any thought, good call. This would certainly push the Pi-network to limits.

I have seen where the broad banding techniques use different lengths for the main radiator, and on scanner antennas a type of U shaped, or V shaped add on shape at a certain length, to increase the broad banding of the elements.

Another Broad band technique is the use a special add on Impedance Matching Accessory that can be installed to a existing antenna and almost double the bandwidth, I have the math in work, but will require some doing in the hardware department, and that will be a real changlede to build and get working. This is a back burner project, in priority.

Yep tennas R fun, except whenn the antenna analyzer is hooked to one antenna, and your adjusting another antenna looking for changes in SWR..... Oh yeah!

Jay in the Mojave ..... 112 degees yesterday, not the time of day to be working on the swap cooler, and out of Beer!!!! Dass right!

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> news:3D3005DC.4B0E0BA5@qnet.com...
> > Hello Bert:
> >
> > No no tuner, Idon't remember what the SWR is, I can go look if ya want
> > me to.
> >
> > But SWR in the neighbor hood of 3 to 1, or even 5 to 1 is no big deal to
> > me.
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave

> >
>
> Nor should it be. Congratulations on not making bandwidth a design
> criterion; efficient radiators are not broad and that's a fact. I would
> expect your designs to have about a 3% bandwidth between 2:1 SWR points,
> and that much because you use heavy gauge tubing in the design. You want
> bandwidth, load a 50 ohm resistor; won't radiate for beans but you'll love
> that SWR.
>
> There has been an ongoing (like 40 years) debate about which side should
> be favored when you do not have the bandwidth. In the tube days it was
> thought cute to cut for the high side and let the pi-section sort it out.
> When solid state came out, it was recommended to cut long to avoid a
> capacitive load that tripped the SWR protection. You have any feeling on
> this?
>
> Something you might want to try is parallel feeding two elements slightly
> different in length. The idea actually came out of CB when people would
> feed two whips off the same spring to improve bandwidth. And take a look
> at a Hy-Tower that manages to be 1/4 at 3800 and 3/4 at 14.2 in 52 feet.
>
> Ain't 'tennas fun??
>
> Beer Keg

999. Re: Hy-Gain, Penetrator-500 on E-bay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 15:25:37 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D304671.53AB94CE@qnet.com>
Source record: 130028

Hello All:

Saturday morning, and its up to 125 dollars, and 66 bids.

Wow

Jay in the Mojave

Jay in the Mojave wrote:
>
> Hello all:
>
> I ran across this old Penetrator 500 on E-bay, Looks like its in great
> shape.
>
> Be advised.
>
> http://cgi.aol.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365319520
>
> Jay in the Mojave

1000. Re: Help me identify this Washington Mod Please! (and a couple otherproblems).

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 15:34:22 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <2MXX8.6459$IW4.3431@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 130029

Hay first off do you get the TX light or what if not see if the mb3756 is switching from transmit to receive if that is not working then see if the vco test point is correct if not well just send it to me and I'll tell you what is wrong ok?
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"Me" <db7500@pacbell.net.nospam> wrote in message news:B954F30C.12A2%db7500@pacbell.net.nospam...
> Well, I'm trying to fix a President Washington with the 8719 PLL that won't
> transmit, and while digging around the chassis, I came across this
> modification that I couldn't identify.
>
> The mod has been done to IC2, the VCO-MIXER (UH1C007). There appears to have
> been added a D6515 black glass diode to pins 2-3-4 (ground), a 100KOhm
> resistor to pin 5 (+3.2V), and a small brown 15pf disc capacitor to pin 6
> (+5V). All three of these components have their legs twisted together and
> are soldered in kind of a 'pyramid' fashion (each connected to one another
> and then each separate leg connected to the pins I mentioned) to the bottom
> of the board. I should also mention that the diode is connected with the
> anode (mark) connected to the capacitor and resistor.
> This radio also appears to have been 'unlocked' and 'extended', and
> previously had a Digalog CRC-100 Frequency Counter hard-wired through the
> back of the radio.
>
> I just thought it odd that a diode to ground and the other components had
> been added in this area. I've done a search around the internet, and no
> mods that I'd found included adding these components.
>
> I put the frequency counter in the newer (though same era) Washington radio
> I have, and though it reads the correct frequency on AM, the sideband
> readings are off-frequency (It's the same type Washington with the 8719).
>
> For example, if I'm on 38 AM, the counter will read 27.3850, but when I
> switch to LSB, the counter reads 27.3840 when I'm finally tuned into other
> people's signals. 38 USB also reads 27.3884 when in that mode and
> 'tuned-in'. If I tune the radio so that the counter reads the correct

> sideband frequency (.225/.775), I can't hear much of anything on LSB
(since
> it's off by 15Hz), and USB I just hear high chirps (due to it only being
off
> by 9Hz).
> I thought that since the Frequency Counter worked fine in the radio I'm
> currently working on (was dead-on sideband frequency .225/.775) that these
> parts might help correct the frequency readings on the counter?
>
> The newer radio also has the extra 5.6mH choke added to the banded end of
> the varactor diode to give it extra slide on the clarifier, so I figure
this
> could also result in the wrong frequency reading, but who knows. Too bad
> the guy that used to own this broken radio is pushing up daisies, or I'd
ask
> 'him' about it...
>
> Anyway, thanks in advance for any help you guys can give me. I hope to
get
> this old clunker back in working order, but I also want to figure out
what's
> been done to it (gotta love ebay auctions with a clueless seller... And
> buyer... ;).
> Thanks again.
> Pyro
> NC451
>

1001. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 16:25:08 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D305465.553CB493@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130030

From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com

> Have you adjusted to this northern climate yet? <g>

Twistedhed wrote:

> Funny you ask that...I'm just about ready to. Enjoy the rest of your
> summer.

You've still got my number? Don't pass through without a call.

1002. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 16:27:15 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D3054E4.E4C29E58@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130031

Jim Hampton wrote:
> I notice that no one seems to want to get on 54 - 60 MHz. I
> wonder why? Nervous?

The crap on that frequency is worse the CB. TV-2 sure puts
a wave on the air. The content is worse then crappy.

1003. midland 22-501

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 17:25:27 UTC
From: irondogg@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23983-3D306287-2@storefull-2236.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130032

has any one heard of this michrophone? i have a friend that has one new
in the box for $65.00
is this a good deal or should i stay away?
btw it's a echo mic for my pc-122
irondgg

1004. wanted wilson/maco antenna parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 17:48:18 UTC
From: Electro Junk <sales@electrojunk.com>
Message-ID: <CJZX8.1852$qk4.742649981@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130033

i am looking for some parts or incomplete antenna . boom clamps and boom to
mast plate.wilson shooting star /laser/etc types
thanks in advance
michael

1005. Re: President HR-2510 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 17:50:07 UTC
From: akwusmc@aol.com
Message-ID: <3d3066d0.179362897@news.tn.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 130034

On Fri, 12 Jul 2002 11:03:39 -0400, Mark <nospam@nospam.com> wrote:

>You can put your 2510 on eBay. Just make sure you put it under "Ham
>Transceivers" not "CB". Also make sure you don't advertise it here,
>because there are a few people in here that get off on sending
>complaints to eBay.
>

Mark,

Here's what eBay had to say about my 2510 auction, listed under
'Ham:Tranceivers':

eBay appreciates the fact that you chose to list your auction:

1354144698 Uniden 10 meter Transceiver

with us. Generally, scanning receivers and radios may be listed on
eBay. However, you may not list any scanning receiver or radio that
receives cellular transmissions or both 10 meter and CB bands (11
meter range). Also, you may not list any scanning receiver or radio
that receives cellular transmissions or has "full 800mz" coverage.
Also, linear amplifiers listed in the CB category will be ended.
Finally, this item has been identified by the FCC as potentially
problematic, and as a matter of policy we are not permitting this item
to be listed at this time.

This decision is based on information provided by the FCC. Members
who desire further information
concerning the listed transceivers or similar models should be
encouraged to contact Ray LaForge, or
Gary Hendrickson at the FCC Laboratory, 7435 Oakland Mills Road,
Columbia, MD 21046,
(301) 362-3041 and 30433 respectively or E-mail: rlaforge@fcc.gov and
ghendric@fcc.gov

eBay prohibits any listings of the following:

* CB amplifiers
* Amplifiers specified for use on the 11 Meter band
* Amplifiers designed for use in frequencies 26.965 MHz to
27.405 MHz
* Amplifiers posted within the CB category
* CB Radios that are amplified to exceed 4 Watts using the AM
Settings or 12 Watts using the SSB settings

For more information regarding the FCC rules regarding CB
Transceivers, please visit:

http://www.rcic.com/reg/fcc/fccpt95.htm#

Therefore, we have ended this auction and all fees have been credited
to your account.

For information on eBay's policies regarding scanners and electronics
Equipment,
please view:
http://pages.ebay.com/help/community/png-scanners.html

For information on infringing, prohibited or questionable items,
please view:
http://pages.ebay.com/help/community/png-items.html

For more information on why eBay has ended an auction, please visit:
http://pages.ebay.com/help/community/png-endauction.html

IMPORTANT NOTICE: Please be aware repeated incidents of this nature
can lead to the suspension of your account. We value you as a member
of our community and wish to continue this relationship, so we must
ask you to refrain from any violations of the Listing Policies or User
Agreement in the future.

We thank you in advance for your cooperation.

Please feel free to contact us with any questions or concerns you may
have on our existing listing policies.

Regards,
Grace . (ended@ebay.com)
eBay Community Watch

Now, if you point your browser to:

http://members.aol.com/akwusmc/radios

you can download the zip file that Mr R.A.Laforge from the FCC sent me
that explains the problems that the FCC has with the HR2510 (and
others).

I would note, however, that since my auction was canceled, a total of
17 President 10 meter units have been listed and gone to auction
conclusion (not all have sold, due to seller reserves not being met).

One could therefore conclude that their enforcement is spotty. I
simply cannot devote the necessary time to track ALL the radios that
the FCC has listed as 'problematic', but I can tell you that there are
banned models being listed and sold every day on eBay.

73

1006. Re: AKC License Plate Frame: "I'D RATHER BE RATTING OUT KEYCLOWN AUCTIONS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 18:11:54 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D306D6B.660131CF@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130035

> AKC Copyright Statement
>
> ï¿½ 2001 AKC. All rights reserved. No material may be reproduced in any manner
> ...

What state is "AKC" registered in?

1007. Re: The WCTU rides again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 18:14:09 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D306DF3.32962249@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130036

Does this come with klezmer music played by Aannoying Aaron?

1008. Re: Ebay auctions-never put them in CB..use Ham:Other or Ham:Transievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 18:19:03 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020713141903.09806.00000277@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 130037

>From: "KungFuYou" >confucioussay@foo.com
>How is that amp doing Ozark?
They are doing fine....PS...Who are you??

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

1009. Re: Ebay auctions-never put them in CB..use Ham:Other or Ham:Transievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 18:35:30 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D3072F3.30C5E849@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130038

OZARK333 wrote:
>
> They are doing fine....PS...Who are you??

That's George's latest name...and I'm back outside to play after lunch.

1010. Re: Ebay auctions-never put them in CB..use Ham:Other or Ham:Transievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 18:58:59 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <SL_X8.86874$Bt1.4337383@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130039

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D3072F3.30C5E849@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> OZARK333 wrote:
> >
> > They are doing fine....PS...Who are you??
>
> That's George's latest name...and I'm back outside to play after lunch.

With yourself of course.

1011. Re: The WCTU rides again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 19:00:12 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <0N_X8.26332$lu6.1444169@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130040

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D306DF3.32962249@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> Does this come with klezmer music played by Aannoying Aaron?

Might but I had in mind either bagpipes or an old-style Salvation Army
band, trumpet, drum, and tuba.

1012. Re: President HR-2510 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 19:11:25 UTC
From: bc <b@realtime.net>
Message-ID: <3D307B58.407@realtime.net>
Source record: 130041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Every HR-2510 and HR-2600 I've seen posted lately have been cancelled by
ebay within a day.

-b

Randy wrote:
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207121157.3267c96b@posting.google.com...
>
>>lilyv7@aol.com (LilyV7) wrote:
>>
>>>i wonder how long it will be,before somebody with a little extra
>>>cash,hires a lawyer to take these "radio crusaders" to task for
>>>trying to stop the sale of "legal" radio gear.
>>
>>1. You can't sleaze your way out of it. It's the law.
>>
>>2. eBay has an FCC liason assigned to it to look at the auctions.
>>Don't think they're not smart enough to look in the ham radio
>>category.
>>
>>3. They know the difference betwenn a ham radio and a phney cb export.
>>Just saying a radio is something other than what it is won't work.
>
>
>
> Smokescreen snipped
>
> Aaron, they wont cancel it there, and you know it. You're just trying to
> bluff your way through this one because you know how impotent you are.
>
>

1013. WTB " 55" Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 19:18:02 UTC
From: Mike and Sandy Snyder <galaxy1@zoomnet.net>
Message-ID: <K1%X8.11031$Kx3.170@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 130042

Looking to buy a good used or new "55" Coil antenna. If you have one
for sale please email me as I don't visit this group often.
galaxy1@zoomnet.net

Thanks,
Speedbuggy714

1014. FCC Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 19:27:23 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207131127.7b2b598d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130043

Pay special attention to Question numbers 4, 5, 6 and 7.

-A

NON-APPROVED C.B. RADIOS

1. Q. What is a legal C.B. radio?

A. All radio transmitters and receivers which are intended to operate on the 27 MHz Citizen's Band (C.B.) channels must be approved by the F.C.C. before they can be imported, advertised, sold or used. The manufacturer must be issued an F.C.C. grant of equipment authorization, called "certification", as indicated by an FCC ID on each unit. If the radio has a label showing an FCC ID, and the ID can be verified by checking the Equipment Authorization database on the F.C.C./OET Web page, then the radio is legal.

2. Q. What kind of radios are illegal to use on the C.B. radio channels?

A. Any radio transmitter, receiver, or transceiver which is designed by the manufacturer to operate on the C.B. radio channels, but which has not been granted an F.C.C. equipment authorization is illegal. These non-approved radios may appear in several different forms:
1. "10-Meter Amateur" radios, which have been designed so as to be readily alterable to operate on the C.B. radio channels, and/or on other non-amateur frequencies in the frequency range from about 24 to about 32 MHz;
2. "Export" or "full channel" radios, which are designed to operate over all, or a portion of, the radio spectrum from about 24 to about 32 MHz, including the 27 MHz C.B. radio channels; or
3. F.C.C.-approved C.B. radios which are designed so as to be readily alterable to operate on frequencies in the range from about 24 to about 32 MHz, which are not assigned to the 27 MHz C.B. Radio Service.

3. Q. What do you mean by "readily alterable"?

A. This means that the user of the radio can add, or change, its operating frequencies by simply flipping an internal switch, by clipping the leads of, or installing, a simple component such as a diode, resistor or jumper wire, by installing, moving, or removing, a jumper plug, by connecting or disconnecting a connector, by replacing a plug-in semiconductor chip, or by programming a semiconductor chip using special access codes or an external device, such as a personal

computer.

4. Q. What are these other frequencies between 24 and 32 MHz used for?

A. These frequencies are assigned to many different licensed radio services, both in the United States, as well as in other countries. Authorized users include Maritime and Aeronautical radio stations, standard time and frequency stations, international broadcasting stations, amateur radio, and government stations. A portion of this spectrum is also allocated to radioastronomy, where scientists are attempting to listen to very weak radio signals coming from distant stars and galaxies. No radio transmitters of any kind are permitted within these radioastronomy bands, so any earth-bound transmitted signals can completely disrupt radioastronomy observations.

5. Q. I don't hear any other stations on "my" frequency, which is not one of the 40 allocated C.B. radio channels. So what is wrong with operating my radio station here?

A. You may unknowingly cause interference to legitimate communications. Many of these frequencies may appear, to the casual listener, to be unused. Some of the authorized users may be using emission types which can not be plainly detected. Or, their transmissions may be intermittent. You will not hear any legitimate signals within the designated radioastronomy bands. However, just because a frequency sounds clear, that does not mean that it can be used without proper authorization.

6. Q. Why do some people operate illegally on these frequencies?

A. Many of these frequencies may appear to be "free" of any legitimate users, so some people think they are "free for the taking". The illegal radio operators are commonly referred to as "freebanders", and use their radios as a form of hobby, similar to Amateur Radio. But for many reasons, these people choose not to obtain an amateur radio license. They operate on these frequencies because under certain weather and radio propagation conditions, their signals can extend all the way around the world, enabling them to communicate with other similar unlicensed stations.

7. Q. What can happen if the F.C.C. catches me operating on an unauthorized frequency?

A. You are subject to severe penalties, including monetary forfeitures, confiscation of your radio equipment, and possibly even jail time.

8. Q. I've heard that some of the "big name" amateur radio equipment can also be altered to operate on non-amateur frequencies, including the C.B. radio channels. So why are these radios not considered to be "non-approved C.B. equipment"?

A. First, it must be emphasized that use of this type of amateur equipment on unauthorized frequencies, including using it on the C.B. radio channels or other non-amateur frequencies in the 24 to 32 MHz range, is illegal, and doing so will subject the user to the same penalties as mentioned above.
That being said, there are legitimate reasons for some amateur equipment to be used on certain non-amateur frequencies, such as on military M.A.R.S. (Military Affiliate Radio System) and C.A.P. (Civil Air Patrol) frequencies. MARS and CAP radio stations are only authorized to operate on specific assigned (non-amateur) frequencies. With just a few exceptions, most of the assigned MARS and CAP frequencies do not fall within the 24 to 32 MHz frequency range. Although some models of amateur equipment (manufactured by companies such as Alinco, Icom, Kenwood, Ten-Tec, Yaesu, etc.) may be capable of operating on the C.B. radio channels, they do not display the assigned C.B. channel number, nor are they designed to deliberately facilitate operation on the C.B. channels.

1015. FCC Knows That Calling a CB a "10 meter radio" is a scam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 19:30:16 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207131130.2f5aabc3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130044

Federal Communications Commission

Washington, D.C. 20554

May 17, 1999

John F. Atwood
Chief, Intellectual Property Rights
Department of the Treasure
U.S. Customs Service
1300 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20229

Dear Mr. Atwood:

I am writing in response to your letter of January 15, 1998. In that letter you requested the the FCC reply to a request by Mr. George L. Lyon, Jr., counsel to WSM Corp, that the Customs Service decided whether certain radio frequency devices could legally be imported in the commerce of the United States. The radio transceivers involved are purportedly amateur transceivers. According o information provided by the FCC field staff, the device at issue is a mirage model 2950 or similar transceiver. Because we conclude that the devices at issue are not only amateur radios but can easily be altered for use as Citizen's Band (CB) devices as well, we have concluded that the devices cannot legally be imported for the reasons discussed below.

Devices used in the Amateur Radio Service do not require authorization prior to being imported into the United States, but devices for other services, including the CB service, require Commission approval. The type of device at issue here is designed to operate in the amateur "10-meter band" and is often referred to as a "10-meter" radio. The amateur 10-meter band uses frequencies that are very close to the channels set aside for use in the CB service. Some devices that manufacturers call "10-meter" radios either operate on the CB frequencies as manufactured and imported or are designed such that they can readily be activated by a user, a service technician or a dealer to operate on CB frequencies. According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's rules, a CB transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate at a station authorized for the CB service. 47 C.F.R. Section 95.603(c). The Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have determined that the Mirage 2950 and other similar models at issue here are intended for use in the CB frequencies as well as in the amateur service because they have built-in capability to operate on CB frequencies and can easily be altered to activate that capability, such as by moving or

removing a jumper plug or cutting a single wire. Thus, they fall within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47 C.F.R. Section 95.603(c). A CB transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or importation. 47 C.F.R. Sections 95.603(c); 2.803.

Moreover, dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue here may not be type accepted under the Commission's rules. Section 95.655(a) states: "....([CB] Transmitters with frequency capability for the Amateur Radio Services ...will not be certificated.)" See also Amendment of Part 95, Subpart E, Technical Regulations in the Personal Radio Services Rules, FCC 88-256, 1988 WL 488084 (August 17, 1988). This clarification was added to explicitly foreclose the possibility of certification of dual use CB and amateur radios, see id., and thereby deter use be CB operators of frequencies allocated for amateur radio use.

In addition, the Commission's equipment authorization experts have determined that the devices violate or appear to violate a number of the rules governing CB devices. Fir example, they emit RF power at a level in excess of the levels permitted in the CB radio service. See C.F.R. Section 95.639.

In view of the foregoing I request that the Customs Service respond to the request for ruling and advise the requestor that the "10-meter" radio at issue is not acceptable for importation into the United States. In cases where importation was accomplished even though it was not in accordance with FCC and Customs regulations, it is appropriate that the Customs Service order re-delivery of the unacceptable products.

Thank you for the opportunity to assist with this matter.

Sincerely,

(signed)

Christopher J. Wright
General Counsel

cc: Mr. Richard Lee
Mr. Dale Hatfield
Mr. George L. Lyon, Jr.

1016. "Freebanders" key over radio astronomers? Ugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 19:35:29 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207131135.62377526@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130045

PUBLIC NOTICE
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
1919 M STREET NW
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554
62882
May 13, 1996

Extended Coverage high Frequency Transceivers

The purpose of this Public Notice is to clarify the commission's Rules regarding equipment that is intended to operate in various radio services in the high frequency radio spectrum, including "10-Meter" Amateur Radio Service (ARS) equipment.

The Commission has noted that a number of transceivers imported as ARS equipment are also capable of transmitting and are being operated in nearby frequency bands allocated to other radio services such as the Private Land Mobile Radio Service, Maritime Services, Auxiliary Broadcast Services, International Broadcasting Services, the Citizens Band Radio Service, and the U.S. Government. Operation of this equipment causes interference to the authorized users of these frequencies. Some of these transceivers are capable of using output powers or emission types which are not permitted for the radio services listed above and some are capable of transmitting in the radio astronomy band where no two-way radiocommunication is permitted. Some manufacturers are promoting the sale of conversion kits, or have designed their transceivers such that they can easily be modified by the users, to extend the operating frequency range into the frequency bands listed above. In addition, so called "export" radios which are deliberately designed to facilitate this capability are also being imported and marketed.

Manufacturers, importer, vendors and users of these transceivers are reminded that transmitters intended for operation on frequencies allocated to any of the FCC authorized radio services listed above, except the ARS, must be type accepted by the Commission for the particular radio service(s) in which they are intended to operate prior to their importation, marketing or use. The Commission considers that the transceivers discussed above are intended to be operated on frequencies where the use of type accepted equipment is required, because of the simplicity of modifying them to extend their operating frequency range. Transmitters designed and intended for use only in the ARS must comply with the applicable technical standards contained in part 97 of the Commission's Rules but are not required to be type accepted.

It is a violation of the Commission's regulations to: (1) import or market a transmitter that is designed or intended to operation frequencies outside of the ARS bands, if it has not be issued a grant of equipment authorization for the radio service(s) in which the transmitter is capable of operating; (2) import, market or operate a transmitter that requires a grant of equipment authorization but for which no grant has been issued; or, (3) transmit on a frequency allocated to a licensed radio service without the appropriate Commission-issued station license. Violation of the Commission's regulations will subject manufacturers, importers, vendors and users of this equipment to appropriate criminal penalties as provided for by federal law(s), including fines and/or imprisonment. In addition, the equipment described above can be seized by, and forfeited to, the government.

For further information concerning this Public Notice, contact Gary Hendrickson at the FCC Laboratory, 7435 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, Md. 21046, (301) 362-3043, or E-mail: ghendric@fcc.gov.

1017. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 19:36:46 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207131136.2a246d69@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

The FCC's Office of Engineer and Technology (OET) has evaluated the devices listed below and has concluded that these devices are not only amateur transceivers but can easily be altered for use as Citizens Band (CB) transceivers as well. As such, OET has further concluded that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within the United States for the reasons discussed below. Further, the FCC General Council has issued a decision in a specific case involving one manufacturer and has concluded that dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue may not be approved under the Commission's rules and are in violation of several rules including the RF power level limits of 47 CFR 95.639. (letter from Christopher J. Wright, FCC-OGC to John F. Atwood, US Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999).

Transceivers used in the Amateur Radio Service below 30 MHz do not require FCC authorization prior to being imported into or marketed within the United States, but transceivers for other services, including the CB Radio Service (CB), do require Commission approval. The transceivers listed herein and other similar models operate in the amateur "10-meter band" and are often referred to as "10-meter" radios or "export" radios. The amateur 10-meter band uses frequencies that are very close to the channels set aside for use in the CB service. Some of the transceivers that manufacturers call "10-meter" radios either operate on CB frequencies as manufactured and imported or are designed such that internal circuits can readily be activated by a user, a service technician or a dealer to operate on CB frequencies. According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's rules, a CB transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate at a station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.603(c). The Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar models at issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those in the amateur service because they have built-in capability to operate on CB frequencies. This capability can be readily activated by moving or removing a jumper plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other simple means. Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.603(c). A CB transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or importation. 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ï¿½ 95.603(c); 2.803.

Moreover, the dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue here may not be certified under the Commission's rules. Section 95.655(a)

states: "ï¿½.([CB] Transmitters with frequency capability for the Amateur Radio Services ï¿½ will not be certificated.)" See also Amendment of Part 95, Subpart E, Technical Regulations in the Personal Radio Services Rules, FCC 88-256, 1888 WL 488084 (August 17, 1988). This clarification was added to explicitly foreclose the possibility of certification of dual use CB and amateur radios, see id, and thereby deter use by CB operators of frequencies allocated for amateur radio use.

In addition, the Commission's equipment authorization experts have determined that these devices violate or appear to violate a number of the rules governing CB devices. For example, they may use emission types not permitted, or emit RF power at a level in excess of the levels permitted in the CB radio service. See 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.639.

In view of the foregoing, the following "10-meter" transceivers are not acceptable for importation or marketing into/within the United States. Importation and marketing of these units is illegal pursuant to Section 302(b) of the Communications Act and Section 2.803 of the rules. Willful violations of the Rules and the Act may subject the violator to a monetary forfeiture of not more than $11,000 for each violation or each day of a continuing violation. The Commission continues to review this type of equipment, and additional makes and models may be added to this list in the future.

LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET

GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V, DX 77HML, DX 88HL, DX99V and Saturn Turbo

CONNEX - models: 3300, 3300HP-ZX, 3300 PLUS and CX-3800

MIRAGE - models: 44, Galaxy 88, 9900 and 2950EX

NORTH STAR - models: NS-3000 and NS-9000

PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln

PRO STAR - model: 240

RANGER - models: AR-3500 and RCI-2950

TEK - model: HR-3950

UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600

SUPER STAR - model: 121

For further information concerning the listed transceivers or similar models, contact Ray LaForge or Gary Hendrickson at the FCC Laboratory, 7435 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046, (301) 362-3041 or (301) 362-3043 respectively, or E-mail: rlaforge@fcc.gov and ghendric@fcc.gov

1018. Re: "LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey - now on SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 19:46:06 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207131146.4f2e9ea7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130047

"KungFuYou" <confucioussay@foo.com> wrote in message
news:<WLWX8.178428$vq.9252586@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "dr_sinister" <dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:57ce2c1e.0207130526.74c4c3d5@posting.google.com...
> > Golly Geewilikers, I wish I had one of those jersey's,They are
> > keen!Why I bet I would'nt get beaten up at school at all with cool
> > threads like that.And the ladies won't be afraid of me anymore because
> > they would think that i was just a nice nont-threatening
> > HOMOSEXUAL.Damn your such a loser.
>
>
> Welll then place your order for one and just because you wear a shirt with
> Landjaws name on it you wont be considered a homosexual.

I found that an order for the "Mopedholic" puke bag/soda cooler went
through today. I guess someone really needed to own it!!!

-A

1019. Re: World WIDE BREAK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 19:55:20 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207131155.5d50838b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130048

wpbrock3rd@aol.com (WPBROCK3RD) wrote in message
news:<20020713095335.02998.00000464@mb-cg.aol.com>...
> I heard it was so bad that some of the guys took their money back and went to
> the beach. hey! an idea, Maybe two or three reputable people like 331 from
> Jacksonville, NC, Wonder Boy from WDC or ... (very well organized breaks) get
> together and form a sanction body like NHRA, NASCAR ... set rules and
> procedures. These people would do all the advertising as well as inspect the
> field, station, and direction to be faced. Once certified by them this would
> become a reputable break that competitors would be very comfortable with
> traveling to, it would also increase the participation. They would oversee the
> break and receive a fee plus percentage of all of the registration fees (which
> are a rip-off anyway) The problem with breaks are no one knows what's going on,
> rules are not enforced, and the station you are shooting to who knows where it
> is or how far away it is. I stayed home this year but may hit Hammers break in
> NC in Sept. and two weeks later in DC, there I know the rules will be for
> everyone and the pay will be correct.

Gentlemen,

Is it any wonder that illegal CBers are cheating on "keydown" rules?
LOL, what do you expect? You are ILLEGAL and you break FCC rules
already. Also, stop living in a dream world, you cannot form a
legitimate organization like NHRA and NASCAR - because what you do is
AGAINST THE LAW.

You guys might wanna check out our new "KEYCLOWNS SUCK" Tank Top, only
$12.99 at the AKC Store.

http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/productdetail.aspx?prodno=antikeyclown.2625093

-A

1020. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 20:11:19 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207131211.1823c412@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130049

If *I* can hear spurs and trash from Kilowatt Keyclowns on 29 mhz, I'm certain that radio astronomers are affected by it at 25 mhz. Course, the keyclowns don't care....

-Aaron-

From http://www.nas.edu/bpa/corf/view1195.html

25.55-25.67 MHz: This band was allocated to the Radio Astronomy Service on a primary exclusive basis by WARC-79. This band is especially useful for observations of the sun and radio bursts from Jupiter (caused by interactions with its moon Io).

1021. Re: Voobnereese

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 20:13:02 UTC
From: Mefix <mefix@exudingwounds.hospital>
Message-ID: <agq1kbspi1@knossos.btinternet.com>
Source record: 130050

"dr_sinister" <dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:57ce2c1e.0207130555.62bc09b1@posting.google.com...
> Excerpt from boob's webpage:"A family legend is that if a Voobner
> commits to fight, he never gives up until he has achieved
> victory".Translated:"Man with no job will do anything to entertain him
> self no matter how stupid i makes him look"
>
> Give it up you sad pathetic person,save what little diginty you have
> and go find a woman.

The only kind of woman the Voob could get would be one who charges by the
hour.

1022. Re: The WCTU rides again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 21:18:53 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <1P0Y8.17689$_e3.399360327@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130053

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:0N_X8.26332$Iu6.1444169@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D306DF3.32962249@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > Does this come with klezmer music played by Aannoying Aaron?
>
> Might but I had in mind either bagpipes or an old-style Salvation Army
> band, trumpet, drum, and tuba.
>
>

I kind of pictured one of the bands at the
Munich Octoberfest event.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

1023. Re:Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 21:18:53 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <1P0Y8.17690$_e3.399360327@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207131136.2a246d69@posting.google.com...
> ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST
>

Troll Post.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

1024. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 21:18:54 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <2P0Y8.17691$_e3.399360327@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130052

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207131127.7b2b598d@posting.google.com...
> Pay special attention to Question numbers 4, 5, 6 and 7.

Another useless troll post.

Landshark

--
"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young

1025. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 21:18:54 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <2P0Y8.17692$_e3.399360327@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130054

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207131130.2f5aabc3@posting.google.com...
> Federal Communications Commission
>
> Washington, D.C. 20554
>
> May 17, 1999

More useless troll posts.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

1026. Re: "Freebanders" key over Aaron Ugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 21:18:54 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <2P0Y8.17693$_e3.399360327@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130055

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207131135.62377526@posting.google.com...
> PUBLIC NOTICE
> FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
> 1919 M STREET NW
> WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554
> 62882
> May 13, 1996

(useless troll crap deleted)

Troll post.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

1027. Re: AKC License Plate Frame: "I'D RATHER BE RATTING OUT AARON TO HIS ISP"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 21:18:55 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <2P0Y8.17694$_e3.399360327@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130051

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D306D6B.660131CF@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
> > AKC Copyright Statement
> >
> > © 2001 AKC. All rights reserved. No material may be reproduced in any
manner
> > ...
>
>
> What state is "AKC" registered in?

If you find out, let me know, if I
find out first I'll let you know.

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

1028. Re: Myth of equal enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 21:25:10 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <WU0Y8.182763$vq.9606408@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130057

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:byNX8.25243$Iu6.1362606@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:LgNX8.57971$iB1.3201967@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:uiv5tl6c2n6713@corp.supernews.com...
> > Heck no one
> > installs a big 70 dollar antenna on a truck for a 4 watt 30 dollar maxon
> > radio.
>
> If you spent $70 on your antenna you got ripped. Now if you were a
> "no-code" Ham you would have known how to make one for less than $10 in
> parts.
>
> **********
> **********
>
> And if you had the experience you would realize that mounting two
> functioning cophased antennas on crappy grounds (mirrors) does not submit
> to the usual antenna theory.

You may not have realized mirror mounts are "crappy grounds", but I do. It all goes back the basic idea of "images" of the various antenna elements in the ground. You ever do any antenna modeling using Roy's EZNEC program? One more thing, Kenneth never mention anything about cophased antennas. Not every truck on the road uses that kind of antenna arrangement, just look around.

http://www.eznec.com/index.shtml

I have for verticals, turnstiles, horizontal loops, and helical antennas for satellite comm.'s. And guess what? One doesn't even have to have a Ham license to use the software either. Just a simple desire to understand basic antennas, a bit of time to learn to use the software, and a few bucks for materials for experiments.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
depends on our willingness to take a
chance on tomorrow by risking what

we have today."

1029. Re: The WCTU rides again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 21:27:11 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <PW0Y8.100106$UT.6449262@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130058

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:1P0Y8.17689$_e3.399360327@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:0N_X8.26332$Iu6.1444169@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D306DF3.32962249@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > > Does this come with klezmer music played by Aannoying Aaron?
> >
> > Might but I had in mind either bagpipes or an old-style Salvation Army
> > band, trumpet, drum, and tuba.
> >
> >
>
> I kind of pictured one of the bands at the
> Munich Octoberfest event.
>
> Landshark
>
>
Whatever, here's one more verse.

(waltz time mit oompah und kraut)

We re coming, we re coming our brave little band
On the right side of temp rance we do take our stand
We don t use tobacco because we do think
That people who use it are likely to drink

(Chorus)
Away, Away with Rum, by gum
Rum by gum, rum by gum
Away, Away with Rum by gum
It s the song of the Temperance Union.

We never drink water they put it in gin
And one little sip and a man starts to grin
O, can you imagine a sorrier sight
Than a man drinking water and singing all nite

Chorus

We never eat corn flakes because they have malt
And we cannot imagine a much greater fault
O, can you imagine a sight that s more droll

Than a woman at breakfast slumped over her bowl

Chorus

We never drink milkshakes ?cause they?re made with malt
And one little slurp makes your brain somersault
Oh can you imagine behavior so rash
As bar top gymnastics with a frothy mustache

Chorus

Chorus

1030. Anybody know about sleeve bearings?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 21:54:45 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d30a052.596979@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130059

I have a rear axle/differential from a Cub Cadet but the sleeve bearing on the drive shaft spun and fell out in pieces. Is there something like sealed needle bearings that can be used to replace sleeve bearings?

1031. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 21:55:08 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d30a1ac.942403@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130060

In <2P0Y8.17692$_e3.399360327@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>
>"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:22a5e694.0207131130.2f5aabc3@posting.google.com...
>> Federal Communications Commission
>>
>> Washington, D.C. 20554
>>
>> May 17, 1999
>
> More useless troll posts.
>
> Landshark

If he posted the preamble to the Constitution, or the Declaration of
Independence, would that be a troll post, too?

>
>--
>"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
>
>

The only freedoms THEY want are freedom from responsibility and
accountability. I'm beginning to think that you are just another one
of those anti-American anarchists.

1032. Modification President Herbert B CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 22:52:53 UTC
From: Fairmate <fairmate_scan@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9b2Y8.3948$8o4.552@afrodite.telenet-ops.be>
Source record: 130061
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.cb.skip,nl.radio.amateur,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

<<<<Antwoorden mag in nederlands.>>>>

Hello all,

I have a presedent Herbert B does anyone knows some modifications for this CB?
I found on the internet modifications for the new herbert from President but that's not the one I have. So if you have some tips or url's please handle them over to me.

Greetings,

Fairmate
fairmate_scan@hotmail.com

<<<<Antwoorden mag in nederlands.>>>>

1033. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 23:34:55 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020713193455.06853.00000322@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 130062

Once again aaron .Who cares?Nobody.

1034. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-13 23:38:31 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <XR2Y8.100306$UT.6461204@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130063

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020713193455.06853.00000322@mb-df.aol.com...
> Once again aaron .Who cares?Nobody.

You cared enough to respond. Feed the trolls and expect to see more of
them

1035. Re: Myth of equal enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 00:02:40 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uj1frv1m7vv7d2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130064

Very well put, Kenneth - and just one reason a number of folks do not WANT an amateur license.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:uiv5tl6c2n6713@corp.supernews.com...
> Some have stated that both Hams and cb'ers should get busted and that
> breaking the law is breaking the law. There is a big diference and that
> liscense means you will be treated ALLOT diferently then an unlicensed
> cb'er.
> As a truck driver with a commercial liscense i have the right to legaly
> operate stuff other drivers cannot. If I violate a traffic law my fine is
> often 2 to 3 times what a regular driver would would pay. Also some laws
> that would result in a normal driver losing money would cost me my
> livelyhood. If i get a ticket while shopping in my car I have to put on a
> job aplication and believe me it's a black mark.
> That's reality.
> If a cb'er operates on the "high side" or "low side" and gets caught he
> will be warned not to and maybe lose his radio. On ch 6 and 11 you can hear
> people using multi-kilowatt liniers with impunity. If a ham operates in an
> iligal maner it's a whole new ballgame.

1036. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 00:24:23 UTC
From: Mefix <mefix@exudingwounds.hospital>
Message-ID: <agqgbndec1@paris.btinternet.com>
Source record: 130065

"Richard McCollum" <rmcollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:XR2Y8.100306$UT.6461204@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020713193455.06853.00000322@mb-df.aol.com...
> > Once again aaron .Who cares?Nobody.
>
> You cared enough to respond. Feed the trolls and expect to see more of
> them
>
>

I seem to remember that you can get rid of trolls by throwing a nest of
golden eggs in their direction ;o)

1037. "THE ILLEGAL KEYCLOWN SONG" was, Re: the WCTU rides again:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 00:37:55 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207131637.6dae3c63@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130066

"Richard McCollum" <rmcollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<0N_X8.26332$Iu6.1444169@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D306DF3.32962249@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > Does this come with klezmer music played by Aannoying Aaron?
>
> Might but I had in mind either bagpipes or an old-style Salvation Army
> band, trumpet, drum, and tuba.

Hey Dick! I found the ORIGINAL lyrics! It's called.....

"THE ILLEGAL KEYCLOWN SONG"

(Sung to the tune of the "Hoedown" on TV's "WHOS LINE IS IT ANYWAY?")

(Oompah oompah oompah oompah)

We're illegal keyclowns, you know our brand
We invaded the CB and 10 meter band
We splatter all channels, we don't give a f*ck
If people want to use CB they're just sh*t out of luck

(Chorus)
Break, Break the laws, by god
Laws by god, laws by god
Break, Break the laws, by god
It's the song of illegal keyclowns.

(Oompah oompah oompah oompah)

Give us but one inch, and we'll take a mile
We're liars and sleazebags, and that's just our style
Oh, can you imagine a sorrier state
For CB to be in? Well hang on, you just wait!

(Chorus)
Ignore, Ignore the laws, by god
Laws by god, laws by god
Ignore, Ignore the laws, by god
It's the song of illegal keyclowns.

(Oompah oompah oompah oompah)

We drive off the people who're honest and true
Because they shed light on the bad things we do
On CB there's nothing we hate more to see
Than someone who's using their radio legally

(Chorus)
Away, Away with laws, by god
Laws by god, laws by god
Away, Away with laws, by god
It's the song of illegal keyclowns.

(Oompah oompah oompah oompah)

We like competition to see who's more loud
Distortion and harmonics just make us feel proud
We don't give a hoot, our behavior is rash
We've transformed a chunk of spectrum into a pile of trash
(ending)
In...to...a...pile...of...traaaaash!

1038. Re: "THE ILLEGAL KEYCLOWN SONG" was, Re: the WCTU rides again:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 01:29:51 UTC
From: "r.r.c.b.auto-responder" <usenet@autoresponder.kom>
Message-ID: <uj1klhd353911@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130067

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207131637.6dae3c63@posting.google.com...
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<0N_X8.26332$Iu6.1444169@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> > "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D306DF3.32962249@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > > Does this come with klezmer music played by Aannoying Aaron?
> >
> > Might but I had in mind either bagpipes or an old-style Salvation Army
> > band, trumpet, drum, and tuba.
>
>
> Hey Dick! I found the ORIGINAL lyrics! It's called.........
>
> "THE ILLEGAL KEYCLOWN SONG"
>
> (Sung to the tune of the "Hoedown" on TV's "WHOS LINE IS IT ANYWAY?")
>
>
> (Oompah oompah oompah oompah)
>
> We're illegal keyclowns, you know our brand
> We invaded the CB and 10 meter band
> We splatter all channels, we don't give a f*ck
> If people want to use CB they're just sh*t out of luck
>
> (Chorus)
> Break, Break the laws, by god
> Laws by god, laws by god
> Break, Break the laws, by god
> It's the song of illegal keyclowns.
>
> (Oompah oompah oompah oompah)
>
> Give us but one inch, and we'll take a mile
> We're liars and sleazebags, and that's just our style
> Oh, can you imagine a sorrier state
> For CB to be in? Well hang on, you just wait!
>
> (Chorus)
> Ignore, Ignore the laws, by god
> Laws by god, laws by god
> Ignore, Ignore the laws, by god
> It's the song of illegal keyclowns.

>
> (Oompah oompah oompah oompah)
>
> We drive off the people who're honest and true
> Because they shed light on the bad things we do
> On CB there's nothing we hate more to see
> Than someone who's using their radio legally
>
> (Chorus)
> Away, Away with laws, by god
> Laws by god, laws by god
> Away, Away with laws, by god
> It's the song of illegal keyclowns.
>
> (Oompah oompah oompah oompah)
>
> We like competition to see who's more loud
> Distortion and harmonics just make us feel proud
> We don't give a hoot, our behavior is rash
> We've transformed a chunk of spectrum into a pile of trash
> (ending)
> In...to...a...pile...of...traaaaash!

Keep trying Voob, its a bit lame...needs a bit of tweeking...
oh yes, and some natural talent might be helpfull too, Sorry.

1039. Entertainment value AAAAAAAA+++++++++

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 01:34:04 UTC
From: tolstoi <tolieo@yahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <agqkddads1@bunyip.cc.uq.edu.au>
Source record: 130068

We want to thank this ng for providing us with the excellent entertainment value we get every Friday night. 6 of us get together have a few beers and bourbons and vodka , read the crappie 'Boobie' posts, nearly kill ourselves laughing, then key up the 2 kw linear amp and communicate with the world for a few hours.
Untill next friday night.....

Tolstoi.

FROM RUSSIA WITH LOVE

1040. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 01:40:43 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <vE4Y8.100393$UT.6467392@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130069

```
"Mefix" <mefix@exudingwounds.hospital> wrote in message
news:agqgbn$dec$1@paris.btinternet.com...
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:XR2Y8.100306$UT.6461204@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020713193455.06853.00000322@mb-df.aol.com...
> > > Once again aaron .Who cares?Nobody.
> >
> > You cared enough to respond. Feed the trolls and expect to see more
of
> > them
> >
> >
>
> I seem to remember that you can get rid of trolls by throwing a nest of
> golden eggs in their direction ;o)
>
>

I prefer a first-rate flame thrower but it gets a bit hard on bridges.
```

1041. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 02:02:28 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020713220228.12238.00000362@mb-fa.news.cs.com>
Source record: 130070

>> > > Once again aaron .Who cares?Nobody.
>> >
>> > You cared enough to respond. Feed the trolls and expect to see more
>of
>> > them

Here here!

>> I seem to remember that you can get rid of trolls by throwing a nest of
>> golden eggs in their direction ;o)
>>
>>
>
>I prefer a first-rate flame thrower but it gets a bit hard on bridges.

Oddly enough, I agree with much of what Aaron posts. If only he didn't post it ad nauseum and interjected a little common sense here and there. Reciting the hardline stance of the party does get to be tiresome after awhile...and is responsible for his troll rep.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1042. Re: AKC License Plate Frame: "I'D RATHER BE RATTING OUT AARON TO HIS ISP"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 02:07:10 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <i15Y8.92053$Bt1.4668016@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130071

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:2P0Y8.17694$_e3.399360327@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D306D6B.660131CF@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> >
> > > AKC Copyright Statement
> > >
> > > © 2001 AKC. All rights reserved. No material may be reproduced in any
> manner
> > > ...
> >
> >
> > What state is "AKC" registered in?
>
> If you find out, let me know, if I
> find out first I'll let you know.
>
> Landshark

Like coachroaches when the lites come on . LOL

1043. Re: AKC License Plate Frame: "I'D RATHER BE RATTING OUT AARON TO HIS ISP"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 03:58:58 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <6G6Y8.17894$PI5.408867080@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130072

"KungFuYou" <confucioussay@foo.com> wrote in message
news:i15Y8.92053$Bt1.4668016@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:2P0Y8.17694$_e3.399360327@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D306D6B.660131CF@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > >
> > > > AKC Copyright Statement
> > > >
> > > > © 2001 AKC. All rights reserved. No material may be reproduced in
any
> > manner
> > > > ...
> > >
> > >
> > > What state is "AKC" registered in?
> >
> > If you find out, let me know, if I
> > find out first I'll let you know.
> >
> > Landshark
>
>
> Like coachroaches when the lites come on . LOL
>
>

I'd be happy to Cc it your
direction, if you only had a real addy.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

1044. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 03:58:58 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <6G6Y8.17895$PI5.408867080@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130073

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d30a1ac.942403@news.cet.com...

>
> If he posted the preamble to the Constitution, or the Declaration of
> Independence, would that be a troll post, too?
>
> >
> > >--
> > >"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
> > >
> > >
>
> The only freedoms THEY want are freedom from responsibility and
> accountability. I'm beginning to think that you are just another one
> of those anti-American anarchists.
>

If he posted it every day, on every
post, yes.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

And Frank doesn't like it.....................

1045. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 03:58:58 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <6G6Y8.17893$PI5.408867080@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130074

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:vE4Y8.100393$UT.6467392@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Mefix" <mefix@exudingwounds.hospital> wrote in message
> news:agqgbndec1@paris.btinternet.com...
> >
> > "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:XR2Y8.100306$UT.6461204@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > >
> > > "ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020713193455.06853.00000322@mb-df.aol.com...
> > > > Once again aaron .Who cares?Nobody.
> > >
> > > You cared enough to respond. Feed the trolls and expect to see more
> of
> > > them
> > >
> > >
> >
> > I seem to remember that you can get rid of trolls by throwing a nest of
> > golden eggs in their direction ;o)
> >
> >
>
> I prefer a first-rate flame thrower but it gets a bit hard on bridges.
>
>

ROTFLMFAO!!!!!!!!

Pretty funny Richard.

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

1046. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 05:13:18 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <OL7Y8.26936$lu6.1503291@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130075

"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020713220228.12238.00000362@mb-fa.news.cs.com...

> Oddly enough, I agree with much of what Aaron posts. If only he didn't
post it
> ad nauseum and interjected a little common sense here and there.
Reciting the
> hardline stance of the party does get to be tiresome after awhile...and
is
> responsible for his troll rep.
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

Which is why they remind me of Prohibitionists. And you get two more
verses.

(waltz time mit oompah und kraut)

We re coming, we re coming our brave little band
On the right side of temp rance we do take our stand
We don t use tobacco because we do think
That people who use it are likely to drink

(Chorus)
Away, Away with Rum, by gum
Rum by gum, rum by gum
Away, Away with Rum by gum
It s the song of the Temperance Union.

We never drink water they put it in gin
And one little sip and a man starts to grin
O, can you imagine a sorrier sight
Than a man drinking water and singing all nite

Chorus

We never eat corn flakes because they have malt
And we cannot imagine a much greater fault
O, can you imagine a sight that s more droll
Than a woman at breakfast slumped over her bowl

Chorus

We never drink milkshakes cause they re made with malt
And one little slurp makes your brain somersault
Oh can you imagine behavior so rash

As bar top gymnastics with a frothy mustache

Chorus

We never eat fruitcake because it has rum
And one tiny bite turns a man to a bum
Oh can you imagine the pitiful plight
Of a man eating fruitcake until he gets tight

Chorus

A man who eats fruitcake lives a terrible life
He's mean to his children and beats on his wife
A man who eats fruitcake dies a terrible death
With the odor of raisins and rum on his breath

Chorus

1047. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 05:46:23 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d310e94.124350@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130076

In <6G6Y8.17895$PI5.408867080@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d30a1ac.942403@news.cet.com...
>
>>
>> If he posted the preamble to the Constitution, or the Declaration of
>> Independence, would that be a troll post, too?
>>
>> >
>> >--
>> >"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
>> >
>> >
>>
>> The only freedoms THEY want are freedom from responsibility and
>> accountability. I'm beginning to think that you are just another one
>> of those anti-American anarchists.
>>
>
> If he posted it every day, on every
>post, yes.
>
> Landshark

If you are reading every one of his posts every single day, well,
maybe you should seek a new hobby. How about CB radio? I hear it's
getting popular once again...!

>--
>"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
>
> And Frank doesn't like it.....................

I suppose mind-reading could be considered a hobby by some, but you
probably shouldn't make it your profession because you suck at it.

1048. Re: FCC Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 05:46:45 UTC
From: John Medica - Listening-Post <medica@cyberspace.org>
Message-ID: <3D311045.1B3F1DDB@cyberspace.org>
Source record: 130078

Fuck off! go away you stupid troll.

DON'T FEED THE TROLL!

1049. Re: Help me identify this Washington Mod Please! (and a couple otherproblems).

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 06:24:49 UTC
From: Me <db7500@pacbell.net.nospam>
Message-ID: <B956673F.12F3%db7500@pacbell.net.nospam>
Source record: 130077

Heya Doc,
Well, what's happening on transmit, is everything works okay, but it only puts out about 1/2 watt (even with VR6 backed all the way off). Switching to sideband doesn't change anything. It almost appears if it had the linear mod conversion done to it, but I don't see any changes in the circuitry that would show the linear modification had been done.
I suspect that it may just be the final transistor, but I'm not positive.
Anyway, thank you for the offer, and I have bookmarked your website.
Once I get the cash I just might hit you up for some service.
Thanks again.
Pyro
NC451

On 7/13/02 8:34 AM, in article 2MXX8.6459$IW4.3431@nwrddc02.gnilink.net, "Tom Bridge - Doc" <t.bridge@verizon.net> wrote:

> Hay first off do you get the TX light or what if not see if the mb3756 is
> switching from transmit to receive if that is not working then see if the
> vco test point is correct if not well just send it to me and I'll tell you
> what is wrong ok?
> --
> Best Regards!
> - Doc -
> http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/
>
> "Me" <db7500@pacbell.net.nospam> wrote in message
> news:B954F30C.12A2%db7500@pacbell.net.nospam...
>> Well, I'm trying to fix a President Washington with the 8719 PLL that
> won't
>> transmit, and while digging around the chassis, I came across this
>> modification that I couldn't identify.
>>
>> The mod has been done to IC2, the VCO-MIXER (UH1C007). There appears to
> have
>> been added a D6515 black glass diode to pins 2-3-4 (ground), a 100KOhm
>> resistor to pin 5 (+3.2V), and a small brown 15pf disc capacitor to pin 6
>> (+5V). All three of these components have their legs twisted together and
>> are soldered in kind of a 'pyramid' fashion (each connected to one another
>> and then each separate leg connected to the pins I mentioned) to the
> bottom
>> of the board. I should also mention that the diode is connected with the
>> anode (mark) connected to the capacitor and resistor.
>> This radio also appears to have been 'unlocked' and 'extended', and

>> previously had a Digalog CRC-100 Frequency Counter hard-wired through the
>> back of the radio.
>>
>> I just thought it odd that a diode to ground and the other components had
>> been added in this area. I've done a search around the internet, and no
>> mods that I'd found included adding these components.
>>
>> I put the frequency counter in the newer (though same era) Washington
> radio
>> I have, and though it reads the correct frequency on AM, the sideband
>> readings are off-frequency (It's the same type Washington with the 8719).
>>
>> For example, if I'm on 38 AM, the counter will read 27.3850, but when I
>> switch to LSB, the counter reads 27.3840 when I'm finally tuned into other
>> people's signals. 38 USB also reads 27.3884 when in that mode and
>> 'tuned-in'. If I tune the radio so that the counter reads the correct
>> sideband frequency (.225/.775), I can't hear much of anything on LSB
> (since
>> it's off by 15Hz), and USB I just hear high chirps (due to it only being
> off
>> by 9Hz).
>> I thought that since the Frequency Counter worked fine in the radio I'm
>> currently working on (was dead-on sideband frequency .225/.775) that these
>> parts might help correct the frequency readings on the counter?
>>
>> The newer radio also has the extra 5.6mH choke added to the banded end of
>> the varactor diode to give it extra slide on the clarifier, so I figure
> this
>> could also result in the wrong frequency reading, but who knows. Too bad
>> the guy that used to own this broken radio is pushing up daisies, or I'd
> ask
>> 'him' about it...
>>
>> Anyway, thanks in advance for any help you guys can give me. I hope to
> get
>> this old clunker back in working order, but I also want to figure out
> what's
>> been done to it (gotta love ebay auctions with a clueless seller... And
>> buyer... ;).
>> Thanks again.
>> Pyro
>> NC451
>>
>
>

1050. Re: AKC License Plate Frame: "I'D RATHER BE RATTING OUT AARON TO HIS ISP"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 06:35:57 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hZ8Y8.96429$eF5.2805523@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 130079

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:6G6Y8.17894$PI5.408867080@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "KungFuYou" <confucioussay@foo.com> wrote in message
> news:i15Y8.92053$Bt1.4668016@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >
> > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> > news:2P0Y8.17694$_e3.399360327@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3D306D6B.660131CF@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > > >
> > > > > AKC Copyright Statement
> > > > >
> > > > > © 2001 AKC. All rights reserved. No material may be reproduced in
> any
> > > manner
> > > > > ...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > What state is "AKC" registered in?
> > >
> > > If you find out, let me know, if I
> > > find out first I'll let you know.
> > >
> > > Landshark
> >
> >
> > Like coachroaches when the lites come on . LOL
> >
> >
>
> I'd be happy to Cc it your
> direction, if you only had a real addy.
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> There are no stupid questions, just stupid
> people.
>

> Mr. Garrison
>
>

Try this one:

insanelittleman@comcast.com

1051. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 06:41:04 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <429Y8.96516$eF5.2806083@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 130080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207131136.2a246d69@posting.google.com...
> ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST
>
> The FCC's Office of Engineer and Technology (OET) has evaluated the
> devices listed below and has concluded that these devices are not only
> amateur transceivers but can easily be altered for use as Citizens
> Band (CB) transceivers as well. As such, OET has further concluded
> that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within the
> United States for the reasons discussed below. Further, the FCC
> General Council has issued a decision in a specific case involving one
> manufacturer and has concluded that dual use CB and amateur radios of
> the kind at issue may not be approved under the Commission's rules and
> are in violation of several rules including the RF power level limits
> of 47 CFR 95.639. (letter from Christopher J. Wright, FCC-OGC to John
> F. Atwood, US Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999).
>
> Transceivers used in the Amateur Radio Service below 30 MHz do not
> require FCC authorization prior to being imported into or marketed
> within the United States, but transceivers for other services,
> including the CB Radio Service (CB), do require Commission approval.
> The transceivers listed herein and other similar models operate in the
> amateur "10-meter band" and are often referred to as "10-meter" radios
> or "export" radios. The amateur 10-meter band uses frequencies that
> are very close to the channels set aside for use in the CB service.
> Some of the transceivers that manufacturers call "10-meter" radios
> either operate on CB frequencies as manufactured and imported or are
> designed such that internal circuits can readily be activated by a
> user, a service technician or a dealer to operate on CB frequencies.
> According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's rules, a CB
> transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate
> at a station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. &#65533; 95.603(c).
> The Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory
> have determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar
> models at issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as
> well as those in the amateur service because they have built-in
> capability to operate on CB frequencies. This capability can be
> readily activated by moving or removing a jumper plug, cutting or
> splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other simple means.
> Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall
> within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. &#65533; 95.603(c).
> A CB transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or

> importation. 47 C.F.R. ¿¿ 95.603(c); 2.803.
>
> Moreover, the dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue here
> may not be certified under the Commission's rules. Section 95.655(a)
> states: "..([CB] Transmitters with frequency capability for the
> Amateur Radio Services . will not be certificated.)" See also
> Amendment of Part 95, Subpart E, Technical Regulations in the Personal
> Radio Services Rules, FCC 88-256, 1888 WL 488084 (August 17, 1988).
> This clarification was added to explicitly foreclose the possibility
> of certification of dual use CB and amateur radios, see id, and
> thereby deter use by CB operators of frequencies allocated for amateur
> radio use.
>
> In addition, the Commission's equipment authorization experts have
> determined that these devices violate or appear to violate a number of
> the rules governing CB devices. For example, they may use emission
> types not permitted, or emit RF power at a level in excess of the
> levels permitted in the CB radio service. See 47 C.F.R. ¿ 95.639.
>
> In view of the foregoing, the following "10-meter" transceivers are
> not acceptable for importation or marketing into/within the United
> States. Importation and marketing of these units is illegal pursuant
> to Section 302(b) of the Communications Act and Section 2.803 of the
> rules. Willful violations of the Rules and the Act may subject the
> violator to a monetary forfeiture of not more than $11,000 for each
> violation or each day of a continuing violation. The Commission
> continues to review this type of equipment, and additional makes and
> models may be added to this list in the future.
>
>
>
> LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
> ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET
>
>
> GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V, DX 77HML, DX
> 88HL, DX99V and Saturn Turbo
>
> CONNEX - models: 3300, 3300HP-ZX, 3300 PLUS and CX-3800
>
> MIRAGE - models: 44, Galaxy 88, 9900 and 2950EX
>
> NORTH STAR - models: NS-3000 and NS-9000
>
> PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln
>
> PRO STAR - model: 240
>
> RANGER - models: AR-3500 and RCI-2950

>
> TEK - model: HR-3950
>
> UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600
>
> SUPER STAR - model: 121
>
>
>
> For further information concerning the listed transceivers or similar
> models, contact Ray LaForge or Gary Hendrickson at the FCC Laboratory,
> 7435 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046, (301) 362-3041 or (301)
> 362-3043 respectively, or E-mail: rlaforge@fcc.gov and
> ghendric@fcc.gov

Oh well. Guess they'll just have to be sold here.

1052. Radio Shack ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 06:49:12 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <I99Y8.15727$kt5.427517@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 130081

Hi All

I am reposting this request because some of you said you maybe able to help me but didn't have the time last week to look.

I have owned many radios over the past 30 years and have found pictures of all of them on the net except 1. I can't remember the Radio Shack model number but I would know it to see it. It was a 40 Ch SSB mobile with an all black face. It was all push button, (no rotary CH selector). It had 40 Ch scan and channel change on the microphone. It only ran for about 1 year in 1980 or 1981. It had many problems and was not a good radio. Every time you turned it off it went back to Ch-1 and had a bad frequency drift so I only had it for about 2 months and traded it in for an other model. If any of you can remember the radio and come up with a model number it would be appreciate. I check this NG regularly so if you could just post it here. Thanks

1053. Radio Shack radio ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 06:51:20 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <Ib9Y8.15753$kt5.427513@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 130082

[No readable article body recovered]

1054. Radio Shack am/ssb radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 06:52:22 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <Gc9Y8.15766$kt5.413743@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 130083

Hi All

I am reposting this request because some of you said you maybe able to help me but didn't have the time last week to look.

I have owned many radios over the past 30 years and have found pictures of all of them on the net except 1. I can't remember the Radio Shack model number but I would know it to see it. It was a 40 Ch SSB mobile with an all black face. It was all push button, (no rotary CH selector). It had 40 Ch scan and channel change on the microphone. It only ran for about 1 year in 1980 or 1981. It had many problems and was not a good radio. Every time you turned it off it went back to Ch-1 and had a bad frequency drift so I only had it for about 2 months and traded it in for an other model. If any of you can remember the radio and come up with a model number it would be appreciate. I check this NG regularly so if you could just post it here. Thanks

1055. CFB vs Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 07:07:57 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <hr9Y8.15833$kt5.428440@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 130084

I am wondering what all the fuss is about? When you are on the CB band you are a CBer regardless of if you have a ham license or not. If you are on the ham bands and have the license you are a ham. If you are in the free band you are nether a CBer nor a Ham but a free bander. If you are in the commercial band and have the correct license you are a commercial radio operator even if you have a ham license or a CB radio. If a Ham is operating out of band let us say 27.195 MHz then he would be a CBer not a ham. If a CBer is operating at 28.055 MHz without a proper license then he would be an unlicensed ham not a CBer in the ham band. If we could all look at it this way it may stop all the fighting on this NG but I don't think that will happen.

1056. Re: Myth of equal enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 10:08:12 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj2jcdcj1g024d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130085

Thanks:)

1057. Re: Myth of equal enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 10:14:59 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj2jp3g9m3f1c3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130086

If you spent $70 on your antenna you got ripped. Now if you were a "no-code" Ham you would have known how to make one for less than $10 in parts.

Actually I bought a radio shack 10m antenna. But you see the 70 dollar antennas cause there shiny and huge. Among truckers gaudy equals class:)

Some of the CBers on this group sure act like "jr high school" kids.

Yea but we act like kids in OUR own newsgroup. Being purile is never as annoying as crossposting. This is not rec.radio.HamspreachtoCB.
> I feel sorry for you.

You should feel sorry for them. The rest of use can take care of ourselves very well thank you.

Ditto:)
Sincerely
Ken

1058. Re: Myth of equal enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 10:18:04 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj2justejv9uf5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130087

Nope I have a radio shack 10m/11m antenna. But Ive seen the 70 dollar ones
and when a guy spends several hundred on "checken lights" whats 70 bucks fo
a big shiny antenna.
2nd radio was a Maxon compact from Wal-Mart.
It was junk.
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:byNX8.25243$Iu6.1362606@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:LgNX8.57971$iB1.3201967@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:uiv5tl6c2n6713@corp.supernews.com...
> > Heck no one
> > installs a big 70 dollar antenna on a truck for a 4 watt 30 dollar maxon
> > radio.
>
> If you spent $70 on your antenna you got ripped. Now if you were a
> "no-code" Ham you would have known how to make one for less than $10 in
> parts.
>
> **********
> **********
>
> And if you had the experience you would realize that mounting two
> functioning cophased antennas on crappy grounds (mirrors) does not submit
> to the usual antenna theory. The idea is to get some radiation off the
> upper parts of a shortened antenna. Not impossible but not easy. The
> jolly boys building Firesticks, aside from a name that gives me gas, do a
> better job of this compromise than I would until I got a real feel for
> what I was doing. And helical dummy loads while common at VHF/UHF are a
> bit arcane for the beginner. He got out cheap for $70.
>
> And having to use Maxon UHFs at work, his analysis is right on the money.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> ARRL Member
>
>

1059. Re: Myth of equal enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 10:24:19 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj2kalfdq6ki78@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130088

Actually I learned some from here like the 18 foot coax myth is just that.
SWR is more importent then how much the crome shines. Get GOOD coax.
On a fibergalss body truck everything stinks as a ground but the worse is
that truck electrical systems have lousy electical systems. Cophase is too
much of a pain I figure one good antenna is better then 2 5 dollar
frieghtliner antennas but the best is a 102 inch whip. Then my radioshack
goes back into its magnet mount and on my car.
Thanks for the info:)
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:WU0Y8.182763$vq.9606408@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:byNX8.25243$Iu6.1362606@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:LgNX8.57971$iB1.3201967@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:uiv5tl6c2n6713@corp.supernews.com...
> > Heck no one
> > installs a big 70 dollar antenna on a truck for a 4 watt 30 dollar maxon
> > radio.
>
> If you spent $70 on your antenna you got ripped. Now if you were a
> "no-code" Ham you would have known how to make one for less than $10 in
> parts.
>
> **********
> **********
>
> And if you had the experience you would realize that mounting two
> functioning cophased antennas on crappy grounds (mirrors) does not submit
> to the usual antenna theory.

You may not have realized mirror mounts are "crappy grounds", but I do. It
all goes back the basic idea of "images" of the various antenna elements in
the ground. You ever do any antenna modeling using Roy's EZNEC program? One
more thing, Kenneth never mention anything about cophased antennas. Not
every truck on the road uses that kind of antenna arrangement, just look
around.

http://www.eznec.com/index.shtml

I have for verticals, turnstiles, horizontal loops, and helical antennas for
satellite comm.'s. And guess what? One doesn't even have to have a Ham

license to use the software either. Just a simple desire to understand basic antennas, a bit of time to learn to use the software, and a few bucks for materials for experiments.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
depends on our willingness to take a
chance on tomorrow by risking what
we have today."

1060. Re: Myth of equal enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 10:25:12 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj2kc8c6ijj48a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130089

Thanks Jim:)

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:uj1frv1m7vv7d2@corp.supernews.com...
> Very well put, Kenneth - and just one reason a number of folks do not WANT
> an amateur license.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:uiv5tl6c2n6713@corp.supernews.com...
> > Some have stated that both Hams and cb'ers should get busted and that
> > breaking the law is breaking the law. There is a big diference and that
> > liscense means you will be treated ALLOT diferently then an unlicensed
> > cb'er.
> > As a truck driver with a commercial liscense i have the right to
> legaly
> > operate stuff other drivers cannot. If I violate a traffic law my fine
is
> > often 2 to 3 times what a regular driver would would pay. Also some laws
> > that would result in a normal driver losing money would cost me my
> > livelyhood. If i get a ticket while shopping in my car I have to put on
a
> > job aplication and believe me it's a black mark.
> > That's reality.
> > If a cb'er operates on the "high side" or "low side" and gets caught
he
> > will be warned not to and maybe lose his radio. On ch 6 and 11 you can
> hear
> > people using multi-kilowatt liniers with impunity. If a ham operates in
an
> > iligal maner it's a whole new ballgame.
>
>
>

1061. WHat DX group best for PA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 10:29:05 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj2kjhodkcokd8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130090

Hey I was woundering what would be a good DX group to join. I live in PA and have a superstar 3900 gold HP with a radioshack 10m/11m antenna from the defunt exportradios.com. Not stellar equipment I know but it was in my price range. Same bands as on the Galaxy88 my friend has. Any info is appreiciated in advance. Thanks:)
Sincerely,
Ken

1062. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 10:31:25 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj2kntoiv8p706@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130091

ROFL......SO True:)
"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:2P0Y8.17692$_e3.399360327@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207131130.2f5aabc3@posting.google.com...
> > Federal Communications Commission
> >
> > Washington, D.C. 20554
> >
> > May 17, 1999
>
> More useless troll posts.
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> "BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
>
>

1063. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 10:35:10 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj2kuuh5vokj55@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130092

You mean the part about limited government and serving the people (millions
of cbers) rather then a few wannabe politicians(20 or so ARRL members)
<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d30a1ac.942403@news.cet.com...
> In <2P0Y8.17692$_e3.399360327@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
> <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >news:22a5e694.0207131130.2f5aabc3@posting.google.com...
> >> Federal Communications Commission
> >>
> >> Washington, D.C. 20554
> >>
> >> May 17, 1999
> >
> > More useless troll posts.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> If he posted the preamble to the Constitution, or the Declaration of
> Independence, would that be a troll post, too?
>
> >
> >--
> >"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
> >
> >
>
> The only freedoms THEY want are freedom from responsibility and
> accountability. I'm beginning to think that you are just another one
> of those anti-American anarchists.
>

1064. ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 10:39:02 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj2l66gjqpfi9b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130093

Whats ARRL really mean?
Anal Retentive Retired Losers?
Association of Really Retarded Loser's?

Any others?

1065. NYC CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 10:49:38 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj2lq2j21fv576@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130094

Hey just some of the characters heard in NYC on 27.185
There is Midnight cowboy...choo choo number one duchbag commin at cha......Laneshacker...Preacherman....Radio Rambo worlld wide....8ball....Countryboy.
Ships in heavy fog have used these guys to find NYC from deeeep in the atlantic.
Theres a rumor that the russians set some SS-20 Warheads to home in on 27.185 cause of all the Big Radios on the cross bronx expressway. Garenteed hit on NYC

1066. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 10:55:28 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj2m50dlgr3bf1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130095

Gee and in 1776 youd point your finger at the Declaration and say"Why Why
That's against THE LAW!!! These guys who wrote this must be
FREEBANDERS!!!!!!"
Then you would go sreaming to King George and say"(something to sick for a
free mind to think of)"

LOL
<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d30a1ac.942403@news.cet.com...
> In <2P0Y8.17692$_e3.399360327@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
> <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >news:22a5e694.0207131130.2f5aabc3@posting.google.com...
> >> Federal Communications Commission
> >>
> >> Washington, D.C. 20554
> >>
> >> May 17, 1999
> >
> > More useless troll posts.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> If he posted the preamble to the Constitution, or the Declaration of
> Independence, would that be a troll post, too?
>
> >
> >--
> >"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
> >
> >
>
> The only freedoms THEY want are freedom from responsibility and
> accountability. I'm beginning to think that you are just another one
> of those anti-American anarchists.
>

1067. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 11:02:36 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d315a23.266111@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130096

In <uj2m50dlgr3bf1@corp.supernews.com>, "Kenneth"
<pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote:

>Gee and in 1776 youd point your finger at the Declaration and say"Why Why
>That's against THE LAW!!! These guys who wrote this must be
>FREEBANDERS!!!!!!"
> Then you would go sreaming to King George and say"(something to sick for a
>free mind to think of)"
>
>LOL

>> plonk <<

1068. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 11:51:14 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <SAdY8.101084$UT.6519371@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130097

lol...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj2l66gjqpfi9b@corp.supernews.com...
> Whats ARRL really mean?
> Anal Retentive Retired Losers?
> Association of Really Retarded Loser's?
>
> Any others?

1069. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 11:53:06 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <CCdY8.101089$UT.6519776@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130098

How true.
Theses people thrive on attention. Give them attention, and they'll be here
forever...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020713220228.12238.00000362@mb-fa.news.cs.com...
> >> > > Once again aaron .Who cares?Nobody.
> >> >
> >> > You cared enough to respond. Feed the trolls and expect to see more
> >of
> >> > them
>
> Here here!

1070. Re: CFB vs Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 12:01:03 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d315a87.365690@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130099

In <hr9Y8.15833$kt5.428440@news1.telusplanet.net>, "WG"
<nojunk@mail.com> wrote:

>I am wondering what all the fuss is about? When you are on the CB band you
>are a CBer regardless of if you have a ham license or not. If you are on the
>ham bands and have the license you are a ham. If you are in the free band
>you are nether a CBer nor a Ham but a free bander.

Wrong: you would be an illegal operator.

> If you are in the
>commercial band and have the correct license you are a commercial radio
>operator even if you have a ham license or a CB radio. If a Ham is operating
>out of band let us say 27.195 MHz then he would be a CBer not a ham.

True, except for the hams that operate on the Citizen's Band with
their ham equipment. Then they are illegal operators.

> If a
>CBer is operating at 28.055 MHz without a proper license then he would be an
>unlicensed ham not a CBer in the ham band.

He would be neither. He would be an illegal operator.

> If we could all look at it this
>way it may stop all the fighting on this NG but I don't think that will
>happen.

I'm kinda on the same wavelength, but I think that there are really
four groups here:

1. Legal CBers (can also be CBers);
2. Legal Hams (can also be Hams);
3. Illegal operators with a ham license;
4. Illegal operators without a ham license.

You might have noticed over the years that many of the anti-ham
activists here are really hams themselves. And many hams are also
proponents of illegal operation. But then again, many of the illegals
will never get a license because they are too lazy, too paranoid, or
whatever reason. Some illegals won't even operate on the Citizen's
Band simply because it's legal (Tim Nebout is a good example).

From what I have seen, on Usenet and elsewhere, legal hams and legal
CBers don't have any real problems with each other. The problems are
between the illegals and anyone that disagrees with them (legal hams,
legal CBers, responsible citizens, the FCC, the Communications Act of
1934, etc). So the real bickering is between two groups: not between

the CBers and the hams, but between the people that respect society and people that reject it.

It's an endless argument. But the argument is actually moot: The fact that such an open disagreement exists, and is discussed publically, is testament to the success (and acceptance) of the same society which they claim to reject. This contradictory behavior defines them either as hard-core hypocrites or as ignorant trogs; take your pick.

1071. Re: Ebay auctions-never put them in CB..use Ham:Other or Ham:Transievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 12:16:52 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D316BB6.68A7D01A@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130100

KungFuYou wrote:
>
> "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > OZARK333 wrote:
> > >
> > > They are doing fine....PS...Who are you??
> > >
> > That's George's latest name...and I'm back outside to play after lunch.
>
> With yourself of course.

It least I have something to play with. What's your excuse for
being on the 'puter 24/7?

1072. Re: Myth of equal enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 12:19:44 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D316C62.B2C5B6E4@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130101

Kenneth wrote:
>
> Actually I learned some from here like the 18 foot coax myth is just that.
> SWR is more importent then how much the crome shines. Get GOOD coax.

Is SWR that important? Just ask Nï¿½ Beer Keg or Jay in the Mojave.

1073. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 12:22:55 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D316D21.B1448D02@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130102

> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg

Just think how much better that would look with
a 3 inch fan blowing air into the fins...

1074. Re: best way to track down an annoying CBer????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 13:10:03 UTC
From: akwusmc@aol.com
Message-ID: <3d3177c2.249248966@news.tn.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 130103

On Sun, 14 Jul 2002 04:22:24 GMT, kwthom@munged.com wrote:

>>This guy just plays music every night for
>>the past month, and trying to talk to anyone is impossible. He even follows me
>>to other channels. I know he is a base station and not a mobile. Does anyone
>>have any detailed suggestions on how I can track him down?
>
>

You might try looking around for 'fox hunting' sites on the internet.
One of the activities that hams engage in is radio direction finding
(fox hunt) .... they place a low power transmitter somewhere, and then
try to find it.

Try

http://members.aol.com/homingin/

73

1075. Re: World WIDE BREAK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 13:18:57 UTC
From: tripleduecefla@comcast.net
Message-ID: <7d782fd2.0207140518.584f830e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130104

haha that guys sounds like he just a muduck who cant hang! 1 thing to say to you there noober is that there is millions yes millions of dollars generated from what you would say is illegal and some people have to make that money in life.Cant sit home and be a forum fag because after further review of these message boards seems you sit home alot by the numbers of posts you make.so bring your ass to a break one time maybe yes maybe you might make 1freind i know that is a long shot buy hey it might happen.

1076. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 13:36:14 UTC
From: tripleduecefla@comcast.net
Message-ID: <7d782fd2.0207140536.33789f41@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<429Y8.96516$eF5.2806083@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207131136.2a246d69@posting.google.com...
> > ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST
> >
> > The FCC's Office of Engineer and Technology (OET) has evaluated the
> > devices listed below and has concluded that these devices are not only
> > amateur transceivers but can easily be altered for use as Citizens
> > Band (CB) transceivers as well. As such, OET has further concluded
> > that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within the
> > United States for the reasons discussed below. Further, the FCC
> > General Council has issued a decision in a specific case involving one
> > manufacturer and has concluded that dual use CB and amateur radios of
> > the kind at issue may not be approved under the Commission's rules and
> > are in violation of several rules including the RF power level limits
> > of 47 CFR 95.639. (letter from Christopher J. Wright, FCC-OGC to John
> > F. Atwood, US Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999).
> >
> > Transceivers used in the Amateur Radio Service below 30 MHz do not
> > require FCC authorization prior to being imported into or marketed
> > within the United States, but transceivers for other services,
> > including the CB Radio Service (CB), do require Commission approval.
> > The transceivers listed herein and other similar models operate in the
> > amateur "10-meter band" and are often referred to as "10-meter" radios
> > or "export" radios. The amateur 10-meter band uses frequencies that
> > are very close to the channels set aside for use in the CB service.
> > Some of the transceivers that manufacturers call "10-meter" radios
> > either operate on CB frequencies as manufactured and imported or are
> > designed such that internal circuits can readily be activated by a
> > user, a service technician or a dealer to operate on CB frequencies.
> > According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's rules, a CB
> > transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate
> > at a station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.603(c).
> > The Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory
> > have determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar
> > models at issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as
> > well as those in the amateur service because they have built-in
> > capability to operate on CB frequencies. This capability can be
> > readily activated by moving or removing a jumper plug, cutting or
> > splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other simple means.
> > Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall
> > within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.603(c).

> > A CB transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or
> > importation. 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ï¿½ 95.603(c); 2.803.
> >
> > Moreover, the dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue here
> > may not be certified under the Commission's rules. Section 95.655(a)
> > states: "..([CB] Transmitters with frequency capability for the
> > Amateur Radio Services . will not be certificated.)" See also
> > Amendment of Part 95, Subpart E, Technical Regulations in the Personal
> > Radio Services Rules, FCC 88-256, 1888 WL 488084 (August 17, 1988).
> > This clarification was added to explicitly foreclose the possibility
> > of certification of dual use CB and amateur radios, see id, and
> > thereby deter use by CB operators of frequencies allocated for amateur
> > radio use.
> >
> > In addition, the Commission's equipment authorization experts have
> > determined that these devices violate or appear to violate a number of
> > the rules governing CB devices. For example, they may use emission
> > types not permitted, or emit RF power at a level in excess of the
> > levels permitted in the CB radio service. See 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.639.
> >
> > In view of the foregoing, the following "10-meter" transceivers are
> > not acceptable for importation or marketing into/within the United
> > States. Importation and marketing of these units is illegal pursuant
> > to Section 302(b) of the Communications Act and Section 2.803 of the
> > rules. Willful violations of the Rules and the Act may subject the
> > violator to a monetary forfeiture of not more than $11,000 for each
> > violation or each day of a continuing violation. The Commission
> > continues to review this type of equipment, and additional makes and
> > models may be added to this list in the future.
> >
> >
> >
> > LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
> > ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET
> >
> >
> > GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V, DX 77HML, DX
> > 88HL, DX99V and Saturn Turbo
> >
> > CONNEX - models: 3300, 3300HP-ZX, 3300 PLUS and CX-3800
> >
> > MIRAGE - models: 44, Galaxy 88, 9900 and 2950EX
> >
> > NORTH STAR - models: NS-3000 and NS-9000
> >
> > PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln
> >
> > PRO STAR - model: 240
> >
> > RANGER - models: AR-3500 and RCI-2950

> >
> > TEK - model: HR-3950
> >
> > UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600
> >
> > SUPER STAR - model: 121
> >
> >
> >
> > For further information concerning the listed transceivers or similar
> > models, contact Ray LaForge or Gary Hendrickson at the FCC Laboratory,
> > 7435 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046, (301) 362-3041 or (301)
> > 362-3043 respectively, or E-mail: rlaforge@fcc.gov and
> > ghendric@fcc.gov
>
> Oh well. Guess they'll just have to be sold here.

yes owell guess hes going around to all the shops removeing them of
the shelves hahah ya right ive seen them all over latley specialy
that 2510 great radio for channel 19=} pluss has tuns of channels were
you can just go up there and make a bunch of noise

1077. LOL, Keyclown screws up amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 13:36:19 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <n7fY8.99017$iX5.4469154@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130106

Subj: [rec-radio-cb] KLV 550 base amp question
Date: 7/13/2002 8:32:24 PM Eastern Daylight Time
From: oneqck76ta@aol.com

A friend of mine brought me a brand new KLV 550 base amp that he had bought from copper (I know, should have gone else where) and he went to do the mod for it and when he did he jumped the wrong two pins inside (he put the jumper
on the two closest to the front pannel insted of the two rear posts) and he said he heard and seen a super small spark in the front of the main board of the amp and now it will not key up. I thought it was maybe one of the diodes but I did replace one and still no go. The two big resistors (100 ohm 2 watts) in the front and center of the board shure do get hot now. Any ideas what the problem could be? I told him to box it back up and send it back for an exchange but this is copper we are talking about. I have not really had to
much time to look at it and am away from home right now but just wanted to see if anyone knew of anything I should look for. Thanks a bunch in advance. If any more info is needed let me know and I will try my best to answer it.

Later,
Kevin
oneqck76ta@aol.com

1078. F/S Elkin 8 Tube Amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 13:37:49 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714093749.03013.00000479@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 130107

Still for sale Elkin 8 Tuber Amp. Over 900 watts output. AM/SSB operation. Base unit. Pictures available of amp in operation with meters showing output.(Autek meter.......no Dosy crap)
$425
email to:
train@bigradio.biz

1079. Re: Ebay auctions-never put them in CB..use Ham:Other or Ham:Transievers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 13:39:57 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <NafY8.101590$Bt1.5072939@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130108

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D316BB6.68A7D01A@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>

> It least I have something to play with. What's your excuse for
> being on the 'puter 24/7?

At least you admit to playing with yourself.
Funny show me where my posts are on here all hours of the Night?
I am on here just as much as you try again Snotty.

1080. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 14:24:21 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <pQfY8.99540$iX5.4504018@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130109

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D316D21.B1448D02@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
> > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
>
> Just think how much better that would look with
> a 3 inch fan blowing air into the fins...

Scott the Professor says:

>>On Fri, 29 May 1998 07:53:51 -0500, "Professor" <bgriffey@megsinet.net>
wrote:
>>>No, the SkyWave has an extra large heatsink and each unit is custom
matched.
>>>There is no need for a fan...

"Professor" <bgriffey@kwom.com> wrote in message

> Are you serious when you don't understand that any heat sink has to have
air
> flow going over it to be effective.

1081. Re: CFB vs Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 14:39:02 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D316F34.38140B6E@mailcity.net>
Source record: 130110

WG wrote:

> CBer is operating at 28.055 MHz without a proper license then he would be an
> unlicensed ham not a CBer in the ham band.

Unlicensed ham is one of them epoxymorons. You can't be a ham and
be unlicensed. If a cber is operating at 28.055 then he is just
another cber operating illegally in the ham band.

1082. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 14:41:50 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D316FDD.CFCB4EAA@mailcity.net>
Source record: 130111

Kenneth wrote:
>
> Whats ARRL really mean?
> Anal Retentive Retired Losers?
> Association of Really Retarded Loser's?
>
> Any others?

What does CB really mean?
Childrens Band?
Chicken Band?
Chumps Band?

Any others?

1083. Re: "MOPEDHOLIC" Puke Bag -- SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 14:53:15 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ags5rq$r1c$2@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130118

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207120133.36c43b20@posting.google.com...
> "MOPEDHOLIC" Puke Bag
> (Product Number: 2624045)
>
> In Stock: Will ship in 2-3 business days.
>
> This special item also designed by George: the "MOPEDHOLIC" puke bag!
> Named for rec.radio.cb's angriest little lawn man,
===

He is probably the groups ONLY lawn technician.
Now, if you open it up to the angriest of ALL the rec.radio.cb users, then
you and Georgina can fight it out for first and second place.

Regards,

Brainbuster.

1084. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 14:53:17 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ags5rr$r1c$3@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130119

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d2e3212.4311887@news.cet.com...
> In <ptnX8.4083$uw.595@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>, "Dennis B."
> <dennisandcarol@attbi.com> wrote:
>
> >Which antenna performs better, one made from aluminum or fiberglass? I'm
> >just curious.
> >
> >Thanks,
> >Dennis
>
> Aluminum gets it's corrosion resistance from a thin layer of aluminum
> oxide on the surface of the metal, preventing further oxidation. But
> RF conducts on the surface of the conductor. Aluminum is not my first
> choice for anything RF.
>
> Fiberglass doesn't conduct at all. The antenna is a copper wire inside
> the fiberglass, or wound outside the fiberglass and covered with some
> heatshrink. The problem here is that when humidity/water gets trapped
> between the fiberglass and the copper, it corrodes the copper very
> quickly and thoroghly.
===

And the wire up the centre is usuaully thin, which does not help with
the efficiency of the antenna.
The fact that the "DV27" antennas were illegal here in the 80s (only
base loading was allowed) made them seem better as they were top
loaded and outlawed, and gave them cult status. But the steel whip
base loaded antennas performed much better.
A fine example of how shouting "don't do that" often has the opposite
effect, even to the point where people refuse the better option. If people
here had of been told how any claimed gain from the "top loading" was
outweighed by the larger loss caused by the thin wire used, maybe they
would never have used them.

Regards,

Brainbuster.

1085. Re: solution to keyclown problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 14:53:18 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ags5rt$r1c$4@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130120

"That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3aed93cc.0207111528.4861d48f@posting.google.com...
> > >sink $1.7K into an OAR DF
> > >unit,
> >
> > You are spending WAY too much! I'll get my page for the Twisty-Buster
> > radio direction finder back up as soon as I can.
>
> Nah, OAR's is a pretty sophisticated unit. Has a built-in CRT
> calibrated for Doppler-type work.
===

The Doppler effect is fine... if you are trying to bust their ass for speeding while under the influence of RF exposure. Maybe you could prove a link between speeding motorists and RF exposure from illegal CB?

As Doppler relates to the shift in frequency caused by an object's motion, it is used for measuring the speed of an object by bouncing a signal off it and reading the frequency shift on the returned signal.
It is NOT applicable to objects which are not moving, as there will be no Doppler shift. So, it is NOT used for direction finding or distance measurements... that's RADAR (or, for underwater tracking, Sonar).
Also, as the frequency shift can be small, a "CRT" (more accurately, a CRO) is not the device to be viewing it on. What you need is some form of digital readout.

What you are describing sounds similar to a device available on this side of the "pond", referred to as an "IFR". Great piece of tackle... The model I have worked with Receives signals to 1200 MHz, has built in signal generator, CRO, spectrum analyser, tonepad for telephone number dialing, plus lots of other features. Great for checking the output of a transmitter for unwanted emissions or excessive output or for tuning a receiver for best reception (with a SINAD meter), but you would track with it the same way as with a standard radio... with a directional antenna.

If you are going to BS the group, at least do a bit of research first... so you at least know a bit of what you are on about.
Save yourself time and money, a good directional antenna and a standard receiver with an RX level indicator is all you really need.
I have seen CBers track a signal across town and get to the source in just a few minutes with a standard CB setup. And they are not technical people, just CBers who enjoyed tracking signals on "CB Foxhunts". One who put a K40 on the boot of his car, would go to the nearest roundabout, go round it once in each direction while watching his meter, then name the place to within a few hundred yards and go straight to them.

Regards,

Brainbuster.

1086. Re: OT - The Truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 14:53:20 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ags5ru$r1c$5@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130121

"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:j%_W8.2053$pv6.250676@cletus.bright.net...
> Back in 1969 a group of Black Panthers decided that a fellow Black
> Panther named Alex Rackley needed to die. Rackly was suspected of
> disloyalty. Rackley was first tied to a chair. Once safely immobilized,
> his friends tortured him for hours by, among other things, pouring
> boiling water on him.
>
> <snipped>

Off topic, but very interesting stuff.

In the UK, drug laws are being relaxed. It will no longer be an arrestable offence to possess Cannabis, although is seems it will be illegal to sell it. Now, if it is still to be illegal to cultivate the plant, then possession is proof that an arrestable offence has occurred (grown or sold to you).
When you hear that certain members of parliament were, in their youth, "druggies" then it becomes all to clear why it is happening. Even the courts seem unwilling to do anything about the problem. . .

A man was caught "red handed" when he tried to sell drugs to an out of uniform policeman. The estate the "dealer" lives on and where he tried to make the sale is known as... wait for it...

The Bronx.

Yep, the crime rate is so bad that people will not leave their homes after dark. The estate is riddled with drugs (the cause of the crime rate), and a young schoolgirl died from sniffing lighter fuel (not the first child on that
estate to die in similar circustances in the last few years).
I have been told (by a council employee) that the estate was covered in used needles until they finally got them cleared, and now they have a special needle bin on the estate. And where is this needle bin?
At the side of the playing field and toddler play area!!!

The estate is so rough, even the dogs walk round in pairs!!
So, with such severe drug and crime problems on the estate, what did the judge do with the man when found guilty of dealing drugs?

Basically, let him off with a "be a good boy" warning. You can get more than that for driving with a broken tail light.
One more druggie back on the street.
What the hell was that judge sniffing just before he made that decision?

Regards,

Peter.

1087. Re: Aaron acts like a cornered rat...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 14:53:22 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ags5rv$r1c$6@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130122

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21442-3D2DA5BC-115@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >You mind is playing tricks on you again, Twisted. If you recall - you
> > were merely asked to prove that Beloit had enacted no CB laws.

> I'm always busy. You also already are aware I do nothing for free
> unless it entertains me. Even you can surely tell I have no concern
> about what you believe. I merely take delight in watching your antics
> cause your own ire.
===

I have notice that, when cornered, Aagent Aaron will scream "Fake, Fake".
He did the same thing when he dropped his guard and posted a Spam e-mail to
some of us at the same time as posting on here... and his IP number matched
that of the e-mail, proving that he sent the Spam e-mails to us.

The more he screams "waaa, it's a fake", the more we know it has to be real
and bad news for him.

Regards,

Peter.

1088. Re: "N8WWM IS TWITHED'S MASTER" Cap ----SALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 14:53:23 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ags5s0$r1c$7@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130123

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3d2ee20f$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20020711210121.569$07@newsreader.com...
> >
> > Why do you find it so hard to use OE ?
>
> You got me with that one. What's OE?
===

Outlook Express... the e-mail and newsreader you are using.

From your header:
Reply-To: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2600.0000
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2600.0000

How long you been on the "Net"?

Regards,

Brainbuster.

1089. Re: CFB vs Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 15:04:51 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D319316.FEA5360@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130112

JJ wrote:
>
> WG wrote:
>
> > CBer is operating at 28.055 MHz without a proper license then he would be an
> > unlicensed ham not a CBer in the ham band.
>
> Unlicensed ham is one of them epoxymorons. You can't be a ham and
> be unlicensed. If a cber is operating at 28.055 then he is just
> another cber operating illegally in the ham band.

BZZZZZT! A CB'er operating at 28.055 MHz is not a CB'er or a HAM.

He is an unlicensed operator. He is not considered a CB'er at that point.

1090. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 15:05:42 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D319348.D5B65194@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130113

JJ wrote:
>
> Kenneth wrote:
> >
> > Whats ARRL really mean?
> > Anal Retentive Retired Losers?
> > Association of Really Retarded Loser's?
> >
> > Any others?
>
> What does CB really mean?
> Childrens Band?
> Chicken Band?
> Chumps Band?
>
> Any others?

If you don't like CB, sell your CB equipment, and find another newsgroup.

1091. Re: (fwd) Re: pirates busted HOW DID THEY FIND ME??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 15:07:11 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj34t79r69bl6b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130114

What this has to do with 11meter is beyond me

<kwthom@munged.com> wrote in message
news:tf13jug2tvoc7ts8e3mflmttdn1minikos@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 08 Jul 2002 20:22:48 GMT, in alt.radio "JaneD"
> <JD139374@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> Thought this would be interesting read for the group...
>
> <...reference was to pirate radio broadcasters being caught & fined by
> FCC...>
>
> >> Does the FCC ever go after people for these fines? I am in no shape to
> >pay,
> >> I am 21 years old, don't make that much money, I am moving out, I will
> >have
> >> rent to pay, school to pay for, etc.
> >
> >
> >The one way is to be well off. A decent lawyer can get the fine and all
> >else blown away.
> >
> >A better way is to be wealthy. Then your law firm can not only get the
> >stuff dismissed, but ask the government to repay you for all the stress
that
> >these civil servants have caused.
> >
> >The best way it to be filthy rich. Then your political contacts lower
the
> >boom on the FCC director for that region and the initiator gets to work
in
> >the mail room for the rest of his career and your name goes on a list of
> >people not to be f****d with.
> >
> >Unfortunately, none of these appear to apply to you. So - don't break
the
> >law till you are in a position to do so without fear of retribution.
>
> Same rules applied to CB'ers/HamOp's, right?
>
> --kw
>
>

1092. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 15:12:10 UTC
From: Big Horn 312 <BigHorn312@CherryHillFreeband.org>
Message-ID: <ags4cctau0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 130115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message >

> Using a radio transmitter to work DX is a privilege granted by the
> government, not a "right".
>
> The above is settled law, not "opinion".
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

I oprates where I wants to and a nobody cant stop me.
Any freekquency I wants is abailbable for my use. dont needs
no licsense.....see! ant the Union Northern gobernment aint
going to tell me the freekquency I cant oprate on.

Big Horn 312 says that
Cherry Hill freeband oprators club
stand by 27635 lower side

1093. Re: Myth of equal enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 15:18:16 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uj35gm1adg5n70@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130116

Actually, Scott, the real answer is 'it all depends'. Kinda like Aaron; to some, he's a pain; to others, he is the comic relief. We could start a discussion - SWR into the antenna really doesn't matter as long as coax loss is relatively low; SWR into the rig IS important. So ... what is your choice? Pain or comedy? ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message news:3D316C62.B2C5B6E4@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Kenneth wrote:
> >
> > Actually I learned some from here like the 18 foot coax myth is just that.
> > SWR is more importent then how much the crome shines. Get GOOD coax.
>
> Is SWR that important? Just ask N Beer Keg or Jay in the Mojave.

1094. Truth about Radio Astronomy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 15:34:16 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj36g04qtmkp3e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130117

The subject is from a document at http://www.nas.edu/bpa/corf/view1195.html
This has been quoted in this illustrious newsgroup by some wayward
interlopers.
Fact: CB never appears in the document. Most of the possible interference
mentioned is amature (read HAM) bands.
Fact: Many of the big radioobsevatories are located in countries where 11m
dx'ing is allowed.
The really sad part is that it is an exciting proposal to eventually place
radio obsevatories ON THE FAR SIDE OF THE MOON.
The reason is to get away from all the interference. Commercial and
Imature whoops forgot to spell check again:)
If the ARRL cared a whit about the progress of our understanding of the
universe they would give the astonomers a break and buy nextel. Heck another
gov program since there all on SocSec anyway...What would that be..maybe 60
phones for all the Hamies under a hundred yrs of age?

1095. Re: 102" too big for van roof. Now what??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 15:51:28 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <46hY8.529068$cQ3.46698@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 130124

Ridgecrest is wilder than you know...

"Gary Danaher" <gdanaher@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3D2CBFD9.40049547@attbi.com...
> I didn't know that Ridgecrest was such a wild town!! :-)
>
> Jay in the Mojave wrote:
>
> >
> > You should see Oklahoma Annie, now she could start a Bar Room fight in a
> > New York second, Ten-4. She has Tatoes in some wild places, I got 2 see
> > them on the 4th of July BBQ when she took all her clothers off. I think
> > she was a dacin to the "Watusie" Yeah now thats a home video!
> >
>

1096. Re: CFB vs Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 15:52:15 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj37hn2v3r5m8f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130125

snip
> I'm kinda on the same wavelength, but I think that there are really
> four groups here:
>
> 1. Legal CBers (can also be CBers);
> 2. Legal Hams (can also be Hams);
> 3. Illegal operators with a ham license;
> 4. Illegal operators without a ham license.
snip

Actually there are 3 groups here
1 cb'ers
2 11meter DX'ers
3 Hams that dont have the common decency to stay in there own newsgroup.
I don't believe someone who is such a rude obnoxous net citizen can
operate a radio in any other fashion. 11meter DX'ers are way more
responisble on the net then Hams. I have yet to find an 11meter DX'er
flaming and harasing Hams in there own groups. I have seen newbies ask a
newbe question and treated with the perjoritive "He must be a cb'er"
I don't want a liscense cause the evidence in THIS newsgroup shows me that
the Ham bands are filled with trash talk.

1097. Re: "Freebanders" key over radio astronomers? Ugh!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 15:56:52 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj37qbthp9du2c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130126

I guess that's why SETI got so excited on the art bell show..They played a tape of an alien intelegence found near Uranus...They aliens speech was very excited and kept saying"AAUUDDIIIIOOOOOO" Maybe warning us Earthling to stop global warming or maybe the Vogons really are coming to install that hyperspace bypass.

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:22a5e694.0207131135.62377526@posting.google.com...
> PUBLIC NOTICE
> FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
> 1919 M STREET NW
> WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554
> 62882
> May 13, 1996
>
> Extended Coverage high Frequency Transceivers
>
> The purpose of this Public Notice is to clarify the commission's
> Rules regarding equipment that is intended to operate in various radio
> services in the high frequency radio spectrum, including "10-Meter"
> Amateur Radio Service (ARS) equipment.
>
> The Commission has noted that a number of transceivers imported as
> ARS equipment are also capable of transmitting and are being operated
> in nearby frequency bands allocated to other radio services such as
> the Private Land Mobile Radio Service, Maritime Services, Auxiliary
> Broadcast Services, International Broadcasting Services, the Citizens
> Band Radio Service, and the U.S. Government. Operation of this
> equipment causes interference to the authorized users of these
> frequencies. Some of these transceivers are capable of using output
> powers or emission types which are not permitted for the radio
> services listed above and some are capable of transmitting in the
> radio astronomy band where no two-way radiocommunication is permitted.
> Some manufacturers are promoting the sale of conversion kits, or have
> designed their transceivers such that they can easily be modified by
> the users, to extend the operating frequency range into the frequency
> bands listed above. In addition, so called "export" radios which are
> deliberately designed to facilitate this capability are also being
> imported and marketed.
>
> Manufacturers, importer, vendors and users of these transceivers are
> reminded that transmitters intended for operation on frequencies
> allocated to any of the FCC authorized radio services listed above,
> except the ARS, must be type accepted by the Commission for the

> particular radio service(s) in which they are intended to operate
> prior to their importation, marketing or use. The Commission
> considers that the transceivers discussed above are intended to be
> operated on frequencies where the use of type accepted equipment is
> required, because of the simplicity of modifying them to extend their
> operating frequency range. Transmitters designed and intended for use
> only in the ARS must comply with the applicable technical standards
> contained in part 97 of the Commission's Rules but are not required to
> be type accepted.
>
> It is a violation of the Commission's regulations to: (1) import or
> market a transmitter that is designed or intended to operation
> frequencies outside of the ARS bands, if it has not be issued a grant
> of equipment authorization for the radio service(s) in which the
> transmitter is capable of operating; (2) import, market or operate a
> transmitter that requires a grant of equipment authorization but for
> which no grant has been issued; or, (3) transmit on a frequency
> allocated to a licensed radio service without the appropriate
> Commission-issued station license. Violation of the Commission's
> regulations will subject manufacturers, importers, vendors and users
> of this equipment to appropriate criminal penalties as provided for by
> federal law(s), including fines and/or imprisonment. In addition, the
> equipment described above can be seized by, and forfeited to, the
> government.
>
> For further information concerning this Public Notice, contact Gary
> Hendrickson at the FCC Laboratory, 7435 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia,
> Md. 21046, (301) 362-3043, or E-mail: ghendric@fcc.gov.

1098. band-pass filters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 16:12:24 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714121224.07408.00000228@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 130127

there are many of these on the market, which ones are the best for reducing rf-interference"t.v.i." i know they are designed to work over a much wider range than "cb-11 meters",but some have to be better at this than others. so which is the best? (for strickly 11 meters). is it possible to modify one of the better ones so that it is an even better filter? in other words,"narrow" its capability to work best at 11 meters? has anybody had any "real" success at this type of modification?
thanx gunslinger

1099. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 16:12:35 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj38nqlb4aqq56@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130128

That's true since many truck drivers own 2 or more radios b4 they get there dream radio usually a Galaxy99DX. Frankly I want to stay on either the high or low side since my swr stays reasonably low and goes up sharply above 28mhz.
Funny about the Mayflower drivers. Out hear Trash Haulers have gone to there own channel also. Also many peope who speak a spanish etc go high or low to get away from the harrasment of "speak english you&%#%"
Sincerely
Ken
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:21442-3D2F0645-255@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
The cber is also agreeing to abide by the rules governing the cb service when he purchases and uses a cb radio. Just because he hasn't taken a test and issued a license does not make it any less illegal when he breaks the rules. It is the duty of the operator to know the rules. <

Yup, no doubt, it is. But I offered up a plausible possibility for how it could happen. "What if'" scenarios all of a sudden aren't any good anymore? <g>
You're absolutely right, there is no excuse for not knowing.

>He should know that there are only 40 channels in the cb service, if he doesn't then that is his own fault. <

Well, I dunno about all that. What if (Please pardon it this one time and I swear I will never use the ridiculously lame losing line of "what if" again) the guy is a new ride along or driver who has never been into cb...there are probably whiz bang young tech kids that for one reason or another have never even seen a cb, let alone know where in the freqs they may operate, or even how. SO, he's out doing his first solo run but with other drivers in their own trucks. They approach a big city and the noise from the damn hammies with their big radios on 19 is just causing terrible communications for the drivers. So the driver says to the rookie to "switch your PA switch on and off 2 times." The guy could think he is on a company channel. After all, them Mayflower drivers are worldwide in skipzone..even gots dey owned channel!

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Looks like Twisty is the "coax police" too.

1100. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 16:14:08 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020714121408.07749.00000702@mb-fg.news.cs.com>
Source record: 130129

>Whats ARRL really mean?
>Anal Retentive Retired Losers?
>Association of Really Retarded Loser's?
>
>Any others?

American Radio Relay League

I f you live in an antenna restricted community, you may cite federal preemption or PRB-1. PRB-1 mandates that you must be allowed to erect a sufficient antenna system to effect reasonable communications. Guess who you can thank for that? Technically, it applies to ARO's, but a Tech ticket is easy to get and a 2m wire antenna can also be made to work on 11m. Ever check out the ARRL antenna handbook? It's filled with great stuff for the communications afficianado...those principles all apply to 11m too.

Knock 'em all you want...but they've already helped you in more ways than you realize.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1101. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 16:18:39 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <zvhY8.18212$Y%2.425348198@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130132

"Big Horn 312" <BigHorn312@CherryHillFreeband.org> wrote in message
news:ags4cctau0@pita.alt.net...
> >
>
> I oprates where I wants to and a nobody cant stop me.
> Any freekquency I wants is abailbable for my use. dont needs
> no licsense.....see! ant the Union Northern gobernment aint
> going to tell me the freekquency I cant oprate on.
>
> Big Horn 312 says that
> Cherry Hill freeband oprators club
> stand by 27635 lower side
>
>
>
>

Your OE has a spell check, try it,
you might like it.

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

1102. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 16:18:40 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <AvhY8.18215$Y%2.425348198@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130130

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:TxPV8.64338$N8.70102955@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Uh, oh--he was "freebanding". I gues it wasn't "free" after all.
>
>
(Snipped for the sanity of others)

Jerry,
Kenneth has you hook line and sinker! Each time
you post a response like this, he's dreaming up
another just to rile you're feathers. Relax, enjoy
the rest of the weekend, don't worry about Ken's
posts.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

1103. Re: Myth of equal enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 16:18:40 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <zvhY8.18213$Y%2.425348198@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130131

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:uj35gm1adg5n70@corp.supernews.com...
> Actually, Scott, the real answer is 'it all depends'. Kinda like Aaron;
to
> some, he's a pain; to others, he is the comic relief. We could start a
> discussion - SWR into the antenna really doesn't matter as long as coax
loss
> is relatively low; SWR into the rig IS important. So ... what is your
> choice? Pain or comedy? ;)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>

Comedic pain maybe?

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

1104. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 16:18:40 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <AvhY8.18214$Y%2.425348198@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130133

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d310e94.124350@news.cet.com...
> In <6G6Y8.17895> If you are reading every one of his posts every single
day, well,
> maybe you should seek a new hobby. How about CB radio? I hear it's
> getting popular once again...!
>
> >--
> >"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
> >
> > And Frank doesn't like it.....................
>
> I suppose mind-reading could be considered a hobby by some, but you
> probably shouldn't make it your profession because you suck at it.
>

I never said I did, so don't flatter yourself Frank. I had
him kill filed until his avoobner, aaronvoobner yahoo
got yanked and he opened a new one.
As for the mind reading, well we'll leave that up to
the other real experts.

Landshark

--
"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young

1105. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 16:23:40 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj39cjta0o1gf5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130136

I totally agree. It was a troll to entertain. Posted it another newsgroup it would be cyber bleedover.
"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message news:20020714121408.07749.00000702@mb-fg.news.cs.com...
> >Whats ARRL really mean?
> >Anal Retentive Retired Losers?
> >Association of Really Retarded Loser's?
> >
> >Any others?
>
> American Radio Relay League
>
> I f you live in an antenna restricted community, you may cite federal
> preemption or PRB-1. PRB-1 mandates that you must be allowed to erect a
> sufficient antenna system to effect reasonable communications. Guess who you
> can thank for that? Technically, it applies to ARO's, but a Tech ticket is easy
> to get and a 2m wire antenna can also be made to work on 11m. Ever check out
> the ARRL antenna handbook? It's filled with great stuff for the communications
> afficianado...those principles all apply to 11m too.
>
> Knock 'em all you want...but they've already helped you in more ways than you
> realize.
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

1106. Re: band-pass filters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 16:23:51 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ags8o5$o7dhl$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 130137

A bandpass filter works exactly like it sounds.
It allows a narrow band of frequencies to pass thru while blocking
everything above and below it's cut-off point.

--
714 Sandpile,
The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
"LilyV7" <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020714121224.07408.00000228@mb-ck.aol.com...
> there are many of these on the market, which ones are the best for
reducing
> rf-interference"t.v.i." i know they are designed to work over a much wider
> range than "cb-11 meters",but some have to be better at this than others.
so
> which is the best? (for strickly 11 meters). is it possible to modify one
of
> the better ones so that it is an even better filter? in other
words,"narrow"
> its capability to work best at 11 meters? has anybody had any "real"
success at
> this type of modification?
> thanx gunslinger

1107. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 16:24:30 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020714122430.07749.00000707@mb-fg.news.cs.com>
Source record: 130135

>> PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln

Uh-oh!

>> RANGER - models: AR-3500

Double uh-oh!! :-D

I'm sure glad it's not illegal to operate these puppies on 10...that is, of course, assuming the op has a Tech "+" or higher class ticket.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1108. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 16:25:14 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D31A5D9.DD2AFE46@icok.net>
Source record: 130134

Bert,
In the last 15 years, the ARRL has done more to
harm amateur radio than they've done good. That's
from a former ARRL member.
'Doc

1109. Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 16:33:34 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj39v5rd28rt35@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130138

On I-40 in Tennesee one of Vobs buddies was looking for illigal operators.
He found a mobile on ch 19 using WAY to much power.
He found the source. A brand new Crown Vic parked in the median behind a bush. His foxhunt gear worked! This guy makes an illegal U-turn onto the median next to the offending Crown Vic and startes yelling at the guy about illigal power bleedover being a keyclown and calling the FCC.
The poor guy didn't see the uniform until the officer stepped out of his "plain brown wrapper"
Unfortunatly the nearest broomstick was at the stationhouse:)
Aparently officers talk to truckers on there police radios. BTW they are distictive by the fact that they are the best sounding radio I have ever heard and quite powerfull.

1110. Re: CFB vs Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 16:35:14 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <agse9b$o5g4v$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 130147

There is no such thing as a unlicensed Ham,you are either authorized to operate on a band or you are not. A Ham is a Ham even when he is on 11meter. But i don't use my call letters when i use 11meter, i use my handle.

--
714 Sandpile,
The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:hr9Y8.15833$kt5.428440@news1.telusplanet.net...
> I am wondering what all the fuss is about? When you are on the CB band you
> are a CBer regardless of if you have a ham license or not. If you are on
the
> ham bands and have the license you are a ham. If you are in the free band
> you are nether a CBer nor a Ham but a free bander. If you are in the
> commercial band and have the correct license you are a commercial radio
> operator even if you have a ham license or a CB radio. If a Ham is
operating
> out of band let us say 27.195 MHz then he would be a CBer not a ham. If a
> CBer is operating at 28.055 MHz without a proper license then he would be
an
> unlicensed ham not a CBer in the ham band. If we could all look at it this
> way it may stop all the fighting on this NG but I don't think that will
> happen.
>
>

1111. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC//Attn: BILL NELSON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 16:39:47 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj3aatd8utkkf5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130139

Yep it went into a genuine government grey trashcan in the bowels of the FCC
ROFL!!!!
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:YukW8.65386$wj4.5675966@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> > As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out
> of
> > perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal)
rigs.
> > The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> > Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of
there
> > ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> > shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> > against the law.
>
> They will never know it. The person walks in, buys a Coke and browses
> around
> the store looking at the CB radios and antennas. Then he might grab one
of
> the
> cashier tickets that is normally taken to the cashier for purchase, and
> write down
> the description of the illegal "10 meter" radio> I've DONE it--I have one
> of those
> Magnum Delta Force tickets right in front of me right now! I found it in a
> truck stop
> in Columbia, SC. A copy went to the "right" place.
>
>
>
>
> Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> > million years.
> > Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over a
3
> > year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations that
> > ignored repeated warnings.
>
>
> Bill,
>

> Here's where he said "8", and half of them are NOT hams; they are IN
> ADDITION
> to the 21 I cited.
> > Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's
and
> > use them and people will sell them.
>
> Nope. If the folks could keep from wandering into other bands and
> frequencies,
> I'd agree with you. But by operating on the ham bands and causing
> interference to
> the rightful users, the truckers, maybe unknowingly, are opening up a can
of
> sour
> worms that also BITE!!
> > Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here
while
> > worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
> generaly
> > make an ass of himself.
>
> It does no good to bother people about operating on the CB band illegally.
> But when they operate on the ham bands illegally, then it becomes another
> story.
>
> Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> > it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool and
> have
> > fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and
scans
> > the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad
guy"
> >
>
> Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham rigs
> and one even had a marine radio.
>
> Would you like to PROVE your assertion that FCC WON'T do anything about
> sales of illegal radios like the Magnum Delta Force? Then tell us WHERE
you
> saw
> those ham rigs, which, BTW, are not in and of themselves illegal to sell,
> but I'd bet you that if they are selling them, they are also selling the
> unapproved ones, too. So
> tell us where these truck stops are so I can send it to Riley, and we will
> see if he does
> anything.
>
> J
>

> Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> > went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell
me
> > most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> > liscense.
> > Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> > Sincerely
> > Ken
> >
> >
>
>
>

1112. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 16:48:25 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <tXhY8.103205$Bt1.5226441@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130140

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj39v5rd28rt35@corp.supernews.com...
> On I-40 in Tennesee one of Vobs buddies was looking for illigal operators.
> He found a mobile on ch 19 using WAY to much power.
> He found the source. A brand new Crown Vic parked in the median behind a
> bush. His foxhunt gear worked! This guy makes an illegal U-turn onto the
> median next to the offending Crown Vic and startes yelling at the guy
about
> illigal power bleedover being a keyclown and calling the FCC.
> The poor guy didn't see the uniform until the officer stepped out of
his
> "plain brown wrapper"
> Unfortunatly the nearest broomstick was at the stationhouse:)
> Aparently officers talk to truckers on there police radios. BTW they are
> distictive by the fact that they are the best sounding radio I have ever
> heard and quite powerfull.

Yeah Ok were to believe this because?

1113. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 16:50:20 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <gZhY8.195450$vq.10291706@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130141

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D319348.D5B65194@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > Kenneth wrote:
> > >
> > > Whats ARRL really mean?
> > > Anal Retentive Retired Losers?
> > > Association of Really Retarded Loser's?
> > >
> > > Any others?
> >
> > What does CB really mean?
> > Childrens Band?
> > Chicken Band?
> > Chumps Band?
> >
> > Any others?
>
> If you don't like CB, sell your CB equipment, and find another newsgroup.

Why is that Scott, where does it state you have to have CB Equipment to post to this NG. Another stupid statement on your part, damn you are full of them.

1114. Re: "LANDWHALE YOU LIAR!" Jersey - now on SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 16:51:39 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <v_hY8.83016$iB1.4663343@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130142

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020714123300.196$TD@newsreader.com...
> "KungFuYou" <confucioussay@foo.com> wrote:
> >><Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020711204653.607$9u@newsreader.com...
> > > Any Google updates,boy george?
> > > You had to poke your no-nothing nose in,didn't you?
> > >
> > > If Aaron gets popped for spam,it's your fault.
> >
> > And if you get caught sucking dick whos fault is that? Landsharks?

Reality is what it is you just never know now do we.

1115. Re: This one's for Aaron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 17:11:40 UTC
From: luv2roc <luv2roc@ev1.net>
Message-ID: <22c3juch2bojdfhfakhc0fhfvec2ll9j5u@4ax.com>
Source record: 130143

FCC INVESTIGATION LEADS TO FINE OF LICENSED AMATEUR RADIO OPERATORS.

http://www.copper.com/fcc_reports.shtml

ROFLAMO !!

1116. Re: Radio Shack am/ssb radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 17:21:33 UTC
From: RnR <rnrampage@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d31af20_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 130144

Probably the TRC-480

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:Gc9Y8.15766$kt5.413743@news1.telusplanet.net...
> Hi All
>
> I am reposting this request because some of you said you maybe able to
help
> me but didn't have the time last week to look.
>
>
> I have owned many radios over the past 30 years and have found pictures
> of all of them on the net except 1. I can't remember the Radio Shack model
> number but I would know it to see it. It was a 40 Ch SSB mobile with an
all
> black face. It was all push button, (no rotary CH selector). It had 40 Ch
> scan and channel change on the microphone. It only ran for about 1 year in
> 1980 or 1981. It had many problems and was not a good radio. Every time
you
> turned it off it went back to Ch-1 and had a bad frequency drift so I only
> had it for about 2 months and traded it in for an other model. If any of
you
> can remember the radio and come up with a model number it would be
> appreciate. I check this NG regularly so if you could just post it here.
> Thanks
>
>
>
>

1117. Re: FCC Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 17:39:29 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uj3dvi17nfpld4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130145

"John Medica - Listening-Post" <medica@cyberspace.org> wrote in message
news:3D311045.1B3F1DDB@cyberspace.org...
> Fuck off! go away you stupid troll.
>
>
> DON'T FEED THE TROLL!
>

You just did dumb ass!

1118. Power mod for TS-570

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 17:59:59 UTC
From: Administrator <administrator@telefonica.net>
Message-ID: <z_iY8.357059$bv6.3009706@telenews.teleline.es>
Source record: 130146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

Hi all,

My TS-570 only puts out appx. 60W on SSB. On CW it's exactly 100W.

I want some more power from the rig. How do i do that? In the TS-50, 450 and 850 there is a VR15 that i can adjust. How is this done in the 570?

Thanks,

Administrator.

1119. Re: 102" too big for van roof. Now what??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 18:07:45 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <R5jY8.19269$Ul4.430668159@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130148

> >
> > Jay in the Mojave wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > You should see Oklahoma Annie, now she could start a Bar Room fight in
a
> > > New York second, Ten-4. She has Tatoes in some wild places, I got 2
see
> > > them on the 4th of July BBQ when she took all her clothers off. I
think
> > > she was a dacin to the "Watusie" Yeah now thats a home video!
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

You mean to tell me she was dancing with Bert?

Landshark

--

"Any day riding, is better than
being a newsgroupie

98 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
02 Honda VTX 1800
99 Ford F-150 Supercab 4X4 (antenna platform)

1120. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 18:14:43 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ncjY8.27438$lu6.1567105@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130149

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj2l66gjqpfi9b@corp.supernews.com...
> Whats ARRL really mean?
> Anal Retentive Retired Losers?
> Association of Really Retarded Loser's?
>
> Any others?
>
>

Personally I like American Registry of Rotten Lids

Dick, NBK, ARRL member

1121. Re: band-pass filters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 18:34:42 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6vjY8.27450$lu6.1567641@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130150

"LilyV7" <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020714121224.07408.00000228@mb-ck.aol.com...
> there are many of these on the market, which ones are the best for
reducing
> rf-interference"t.v.i." i know they are designed to work over a much
wider
> range than "cb-11 meters",but some have to be better at this than
others. so
> which is the best? (for strickly 11 meters). is it possible to modify
one of
> the better ones so that it is an even better filter? in other
words,"narrow"
> its capability to work best at 11 meters? has anybody had any "real"
success at
> this type of modification?
> thanx gunslinger

I think what you have in mind, Gunsel, is a pretty standard 30 MHz lo-pass filter. Passes everything below 30 and starts chopping things above 30. In other words, it passes Low. Actually, it is a 50-ohm pi-section tuned to 30 MHz in a box so one is about as good as another if the SWR is good and the shield does not light up.

They will knock down harmonic interference but not things like power-line coupling. If you have a problem, see what channels you are nailing. Channels 2 and 5 are harmonic relations to CB; if you are blowing up much else, it is probably not harmonics at all.

Use the e-mail if it makes you comfortable.

Dick, BK

1122. Re: CFB vs Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 18:51:07 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D31AA48.3A95D555@mailcity.net>
Source record: 130151

Scott Sixnine wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > WG wrote:
> >
> > > CBer is operating at 28.055 MHz without a proper license then he would be an
> > > unlicensed ham not a CBer in the ham band.
> >
> > Unlicensed ham is one of them epoxymorons. You can't be a ham and
> > be unlicensed. If a cber is operating at 28.055 then he is just
> > another cber operating illegally in the ham band.
>
> BZZZZZT! A CB'er operating at 28.055 MHz is not a CB'er or a HAM.
>
> He is an unlicensed operator. He is not considered a CB'er at that point.

BZZZZZT!! He is just a cber operating illegally in the ham band.

1123. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 18:52:07 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D31AA84.AEA0CE44@mailcity.net>
Source record: 130152

Scott Sixnine wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > Kenneth wrote:
> > >
> > > Whats ARRL really mean?
> > > Anal Retentive Retired Losers?
> > > Association of Really Retarded Loser's?
> > >
> > > Any others?
> >
> > What does CB really mean?
> > Childrens Band?
> > Chicken Band?
> > Chumps Band?
> >
> > Any others?
>
> If you don't like CB, sell your CB equipment, and find another newsgroup.

I don't have any cb equipment, never have, and I can post to any
newsgroup I like.

1124. Re: CFB vs Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 19:07:47 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <7_jY8.85182$iB1.4772183@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130153

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d315a87.365690@news.cet.com...
> It's an endless argument. But the argument is actually moot:

The argument is NOT moot. The simple fact that many more people are sand-bagging on the side, just reading the various posts, makes it all worth while. The word has to get out to those who many not have made up their mind whether to operate legal or not. A point needs to be made that there is in fact a rather vocal group that points out the pit falls, and the trouble, one may find themselves in if they choose to go the illegal route. In fact there is nothing wrong with operating totally legal, and the opinions of the pirate operators are not the only opinions out there. The fence sitting CBer needs to understand that should they choose to go the illegal route they are playing with fire, and will sooner or later will get burnt. So the endless debates on this news group are not waged solely to convert the already hard core pirate CBer, but for those who check in for advice etc., and have not yet made up their mind.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

1125. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 19:08:41 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gz8wcs9.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 130154
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Sun, 14 Jul 2002 11:12:10 -0400, Big Horn 312 wrote:

> I oprates where I wants to and a nobody cant stop me.
> Any freekquency I wants is abailbable for my use. dont needs
>no licsense.....see! ant the Union Northern gobernment aint
>going to tell me the freekquency I cant oprate on.

Until the gates at the Federal pen at Atlanta (that's the South,
boy) clang shut behind you.

The easy way to make that happen is to keep taunting and dissinmg the
Feds that "it can't happen to me". They'll get around to you when you
least expect it.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1126. Re: Myth of equal enforcement

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 19:14:55 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <P4kY8.85246$iB1.4778672@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130155

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D316C62.B2C5B6E4@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Kenneth wrote:
> >
> > Actually I learned some from here like the 18 foot coax myth is just that.
> > SWR is more importent then how much the crome shines. Get GOOD coax.
>
> Is SWR that important?

So long as the rig can handle the SWR you really don't care. Most ham gear can handle a SWR up to 2:1 before the rig starts to reduce output power. I would think that a good quality CB rig could do as good if not better since the radio was designed to be installed, and operated by non technical people, thus a bit more tolerant of the match found at the antenna terminal.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

1127. Re: This one's for Aaron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 19:32:58 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ZokY8.65195$JU3.24856760@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130159

luv2roc <luv2roc@ev1.net> wrote in message
news:22c3juch2bojdfhfakhc0fhfvec2ll9j5u@4ax.com...
>
>
> FCC INVESTIGATION LEADS TO FINE OF LICENSED AMATEUR RADIO OPERATORS.
>
> http://www.copper.com/fcc_reports.shtml
>

NOT! Nice try, but it is well known in the Jupiter, Fla area that this guy goes by
the "handle" of "Rabbit Ears" and was an avid CBer. If you had read the story
s l o w l y as befits your reading comprehension (apparently), you would see that
he was a CB guy who got onto 10 and 2 meters, QRMed and interferred with the
Amateurs. Those hams DFed him and turned him into FCC. Now he faces 8
years and up to $100,000 in fines. He was no more a ham than my cat is a Cocker
Spaniel. He was an example of the unusual idiot that believed that he could
do whatever he chose and nothing could be done to him. He continued to harass and
threaten, and interfere with the hams-even going so far as to ram one ham's car. If
he'd done that to me, he'd had about 230 lbs of me all over him. I'd not
hesitated to jack his a** up around his shoulder blades!

Jerry
>
>
>
> ROFLAMO !!

1128. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 19:37:01 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <NskY8.65218$JU3.24880344@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130160
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gz8wcs9.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Sun, 14 Jul 2002 11:12:10 -0400, Big Horn 312 wrote:
>
> > I oprates where I wants to and a nobody cant stop me.
> > Any freekquency I wants is abailbable for my use. dont needs
> >no licsense.....see! ant the Union Northern gobernment aint
> >going to tell me the freekquency I cant oprate on.
>
> Until the gates at the Federal pen at Atlanta (that's the South,
> boy) clang shut behind you.
>
> The easy way to make that happen is to keep taunting and dissinmg the
> Feds that "it can't happen to me". They'll get around to you when you
> least expect it.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

He needs to visit Rabbit Ears....uhm, er, in JAIL down in Florida.
Pffffft!.....Mffffft~! HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! Sorry, I can't hold it in!!

Jerry
>
>

1129. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 19:38:18 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D31D31A.5102EE9E@icok.net>
Source record: 130156

Brainbuster wrote;

> The fact that the "DV27" antennas were illegal here in the 80s (only
> base loading was allowed) made them seem better as they were top
> loaded and outlawed, and gave them cult status.

You're kidding! "Only base loading was allowed"? I'm
afraid that's just not true. There was never a restriction
on how an antenna was 'loaded' during the '80's, or at any
other time, for Class-D CB.
'Doc

1130. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 19:39:05 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D31D34A.7503B206@icok.net>
Source record: 130157

I do too!
'Doc

1131. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 19:43:09 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj3l2rmlj32cdd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130158

> I don't have any cb equipment, never have, and I can post to any
> newsgroup I like.
Just don't go above 28mhz or you'll be stepped on. Cb'ers are not limited to
1500 watts we run what we wanna run. Mud-Duck

1132. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC//Attn: BILL NELSON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 20:01:19 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <CPkY8.65373$JU3.24962937@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130162

I hate to burst your bubble, but that's how Derek's Trucking got his warning
in
Cary, NC. It's how an outfit in Idaho (I believe) got its warning. You
apparent-
ly don't know that Riley Hollingsworth is a ham as well as a legal beagle
for FCC.
IF you don't think he is interested in protecting the ham bands from illegal
operators, you are sadly mistaken. If you want to see, at least 20 examples
of
warnings and busts, some of which are those can-do-no-wrong truckers, just
visit
CB City's message board. Just about all those warnings originated from his
desk.
Tell ya what, why don't you ask Riley yourself about the 10 meter issue?
Send your email to rholling@fcc.gov . He DOES answer email, tho it may take
him a
few days to get around to it. I am telling you the guy IS serious--I've met
him. His
home town is only 35 miles from me and he comes thru here on his way there.
I
talk to him on local repeaters. He is also hell on rogue ham operators as
well, re-
cently popping 3 for operating on that precious "freeband". The rest of us
are
HAPPY to see it and support his efforts to remove the bad operators from
the
bands.

I hate to harp on it, but ole Rabbit Ears down in Fla thought he was
invincible as well. Sure, he just kept on and on--which was what got him in
such hot water.
But if you can't see the trend, then no one can help you. If you are hoping
for some
sort of radio "anarchy" it ain't gonna happen. Keep playing radio roulette,
and eventually your number will come up

73

Jerry
Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj3aatd8utkkf5@corp.supernews.com...
> Yep it went into a genuine government grey trashcan in the bowels of the
FCC
> ROFL!!!!
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message

> news:YukW8.65386$wj4.5675966@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> > news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> > > As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents.
Out
> > of
> > > perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal)
> rigs.
> > > The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> > > Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of
> there
> > > ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a
cb
> > > shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them
it's
> > > against the law.
> >
> > They will never know it. The person walks in, buys a Coke and browses
> > around
> > the store looking at the CB radios and antennas. Then he might grab one
> of
> > the
> > cashier tickets that is normally taken to the cashier for purchase, and
> > write down
> > the description of the illegal "10 meter" radio> I've DONE it--I have
one
> > of those
> > Magnum Delta Force tickets right in front of me right now! I found it in
a
> > truck stop
> > in Columbia, SC. A copy went to the "right" place.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> > > million years.
> > > Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over
a
> 3
> > > year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations
that
> > > ignored repeated warnings.
> >
> >
> > Bill,
> >
> > Here's where he said "8", and half of them are NOT hams; they are IN
> > ADDITION

> > to the 21 I cited.
> > > Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's
> and
> > > use them and people will sell them.
> >
> > Nope. If the folks could keep from wandering into other bands and
> > frequencies,
> > I'd agree with you. But by operating on the ham bands and causing
> > interference to
> > the rightful users, the truckers, maybe unknowingly, are opening up a
can
> of
> > sour
> > worms that also BITE!!
> > > Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here
> while
> > > worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
> > generaly
> > > make an ass of himself.
> >
> > It does no good to bother people about operating on the CB band
illegally.
> > But when they operate on the ham bands illegally, then it becomes
another
> > story.
> >
> > Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> > > it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool
and
> > have
> > > fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and
> scans
> > > the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad
> guy"
> > >
> >
> > Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham rigs
> > and one even had a marine radio.
> >
> > Would you like to PROVE your assertion that FCC WON'T do anything about
> > sales of illegal radios like the Magnum Delta Force? Then tell us WHERE
> you
> > saw
> > those ham rigs, which, BTW, are not in and of themselves illegal to
sell,
> > but I'd bet you that if they are selling them, they are also selling the
> > unapproved ones, too. So
> > tell us where these truck stops are so I can send it to Riley, and we
will
> > see if he does

> > anything.
> >
> > J
> >
> > Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> > > went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell
> me
> > > most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> > > liscense.
> > > Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> > > Sincerely
> > > Ken
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1133. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 20:07:18 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <WRkY8.101946$UT.6568193@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130161

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D31D31A.5102EE9E@icok.net...
>
>
> Brainbuster wrote;
> -------
> > The fact that the "DV27" antennas were illegal here in the 80s (only
> > base loading was allowed) made them seem better as they were top
> > loaded and outlawed, and gave them cult status.
> -------
> You're kidding! "Only base loading was allowed"? I'm
> afraid that's just not true. There was never a restriction
> on how an antenna was 'loaded' during the '80's, or at any
> other time, for Class-D CB.
> 'Doc

He is referring to British rules, Doc. But he does not live anywhere near
Birmingham:-)

1134. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 20:12:07 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d31ee5a$0$13951$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130173

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:15083-3D2F0E93-290@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...

Dave Wrote:
>>Anyone that is so concerned with verifying a post made on a USENET
>>newsgroup, that they actually paid money to do the leg work, has some
>>serious problems recognizing priorities in their life.<

To which Twistyboy Twisted:

>I agree. Muenzler is the one that originally mentioned money needed to
exchange
>hands in order for information to be had.

Wrong Twistyboy! YOU were the one who brought the idea of money into this.
You LIE again.

>>>Following the thread the last few days, I'm not at all cocerned with the
>>>general consensus, but you should be. Nevertheless, I even accept
>>>personal checks, but there will be ten day hold on all out of state
>>>checks and a 25.00 NSF fee.

Were these NOT your words? You accept personal checks for what?

But to get back to my original challenge; Names, Phone Numbers.....

Kevin, WB5RUE

1135. Re: CFB vs Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 20:46:42 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d31e2a1.546833@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130164

In <7_jY8.85182$iB1.4772183@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>, "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message =
>news:3d315a87.365690@news.cet.com...
>> It's an endless argument. But the argument is actually moot:=20
>
>The argument is NOT moot. The simple fact that many more people are =
>sand-bagging on the side, just reading the various posts, makes it all =
>worth while. The word has to get out to those who many not have made up =
>their mind whether to operate legal or not. A point needs to be made =
>that there is in fact a rather vocal group that points out the pit =
>falls, and the trouble, one may find themselves in if they choose to go =
>the illegal route. In fact there is nothing wrong with operating totally =
>legal, and the opinions of the pirate operators are not the only =
>opinions out there. The fence sitting CBer needs to understand that =
>should they choose to go the illegal route they are playing with fire, =
>and will sooner or later will get burnt. So the endless debates on this =
>news group are not waged solely to convert the already hard core pirate =
>CBer, but for those who check in for advice etc., and have not yet made =
>up their mind.

Well stated. Perhaps 'moot' was the wrong word.

1136. AKC Inspirational Poster - SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 20:47:19 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207141247.5fe69ab4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130163

AKC Inspirational Poster
(Product Number: 2664190)

In Stock: Will ship in 2-3 business days.

"The word has to get out to those who may not have made up their mind whether to operate legal or not." -- Leland Scott's stirring post inspires us all. Now you can cherish it on this 16 x 20 poster!

Poster Size: 16.0" X 20.0"

Only $12.99 each at the AKC Store!

See it at...

http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/productdetail.aspx?prodno=antikeyclown.2664190

1137. Re: This one's for Aaron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 20:50:04 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D31E3FC.E3217F6B@qnet.com>
Source record: 130165

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
-- snipped as usually for the betterment of eveyone--

You tell em Jerry, stay in there now. Are ya gonna do berserk and post another 22 posts like last time?

I got some place to go, but ifins your gonna go open loop again I what ah watch.

It they started to charge for a entertainment fee for use net, I would pay it.

Jay in the Mojave

1138. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 20:54:51 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d31ee5b$0$13951$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130174

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21447-3D2EFA6D-34@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Muenzler wrote today:
>>BZZZT! Wrong. I never said "Official FCC observer." That statement is a
>>product of your imagination. <
>
>
>But said in his very first post in the thread:
>>"It was me and 14 other FCC Official Observers who work the San
>>Antonio/South Texas area"<
>
>
>Then, when shown he indeed said he what he claims he never did, failed
>with:
>
>>OK, I usually<

I've learned that one of you twisting tactics is to quote (and I use that term VERY loosely) out of context, which you have just done. At that point you were on the idea that I had claimed to have busted someone. I didn't and this sentence doesn't say that either.

>
>But in your post you said "NEVER". Now you are attempting to say
>"USUALLY".
>The two have separate meanings and are NOT interchangeable. So we now
>have you lying after I told you that you had said it. You instantly
>denied it (you LIED).

Again, "quoting" out of context and combining two issues. You accused me of saying that I was an "FCC OFFICIAL OBSERVER." What I said is that I never said that I was an "FCC OFFICIAL OBSERVER." What I USUALLY say is that I am an Official Observer (notice, FCC not there). But what I am is a volunteer member of the FCC Amateur Auxiliary which can be said to be an FCC Official Observer. I USUALLY don't say that. It's still true I am an FCC OFFICIAL OBSERVER as a volunteer in the FCC Amateur Auxiliary. Twist it as you want. You can call me an "FCC OFFICIAL OBSERVER," you can call me an "OFFICIAL FCC OBSERVER" (which is intuitively incorrect because I don't observe the FCC), you can call me a "MEMBER OF THE FCC AMATEUR AUXILIARY," you can call me an "OFFICIAL OBSERVER" but you doesn't have to call me Johnson. (maybe that's before your time Twisty.

>I had to actually show you your own words, and now you say you didn't
>really MEAN it that way, which is exactly what I have been shwing all

>along...that you really don;'t know what you mean. Your lies have
>serously muffed you up, and you sit and attack the messenger for
>pointing it out and merely asking confirmation..
>

No, it's you who don't know what I mean, see the above response. It's confirmed above and the messenger has been tampering with (twisting) the message, that's the issue here.

>> don't refer to Official Observers as "FCC Official
>>Observers" but if you really want to get technical <

>No, I don't want to get technical, but i am certain you do, as your
>mangy tail is caught in the door and you would rather talk about
>"technicalities" while accusing ME of semantics.
>No, we will stay with the issue at hand.....YOUR words, more of what you
>claim you NEVER said.
>

Then what's the issue of whether I call myself an OFFICIAL FCC OBSERVER (your words), an OFFICIAL OBSERVER, an FCC OFFICIAL OBSERVER or a member of the FCC Amateur Auxiliary? They all mean the same thing.

>>It was me and 14 other official FCC observers..<
>
>we are "FCC Official Observers."

And your point is? So I couldn't remember using those exact words. So what. It's not a lie to say that I didn't say something. I'm sure you've never done this before. Ok, "you caught me!" Was I lying? Nope. I just couldn't remember saying those exact words. Were those exact words a lie? Nope. But I'm sure that this little technicality will become the next issue.

>It doesn't matter if you call yourself keystone cops, the fact remains
>that you are confused and lying like hell. ANOTHER claim you made, then
>claimed you didn't, then were shown where you did, and are swallowing
>crow as quick as your mouth will allow me to shove it in.

Nope, no crow here. Read above. If I had said that I am 73 inches tall once and not remembered saying it would it be a lie to say that I'm 6'1" tall? Nope because both are true. Again, you are arguing semantics which seems to be the only thing you can argue.

>And they are not semantics, Kevin.

Sure is! Whether I say I am an OO, FCC OO or Official FCC Observer doesn't make a difference. They are all true.

>They were lies and shown as much. I am beginning to
>wonder if you even know what semantics are.

You are arguing nothing more than semantics which means you arguments are over the phrasing of what I said and not the meaning of what I said. That's

what it means to argue semantics.

>Now you are
>attempting to debate another subject, one of what you MEANT to say, one
>of which you are now attempting to imply you meant.

Oh, the way that you IMPLIED that you want money for information that you had already obtained? Semantics?

>But the issue was,
>and still is, you, are a liar. You asked for proof, I gave you several
>examples.

No you argued that I said something about being an OFFICIAL FCC OBSERVER. I haven't seen one bit of proof about anything else. You said that I lied about the "busts," where's the proof? You have "pinned me down" on the phrasing of something that I said and nothing more.

>You call it semantics, the fact remains that you referred to
>yourself as an FCC observer, then turned around and lied about it,
>claiming you NEVER said it, that it was a figment of my imagination.

Is this the only song you know? Get over it, you are arguing semantics over what I had forgotten that I had said. Like I said, and you ignored, I don't usually say "OFFICIAL FCC OBSERVER" so I had forgotten I had said it. So what if I did say it, it's true. You think you've pinned me down over a semantic issue. Grow up.

....

>>Check out: http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/1999/04/08/3/ The title of
>>this article is "FCC Official Acknowledges OOs Really Are 'Official'"
>>Also: http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/org/oo.html No, we are not paid
>>by the FCC to technically (you seem to love technicalities) we don't
>>work for the FCC. We are volunteers. The results are the same, busted
>>illegal operators.<

>Neverthelessm you said you NEVER said it, that it was a figment of my
>imagination. Not only have you demonstrated your fondness for lying, but
>you have demonstrated beyond a shadow of doubt that you can not be
>impartial when it comes to legal issues or agendas and have no business
>being in a position of authority. Your meted insults may serve well
>filed with a complaint concerning your integrity and ability to remain
>fair involving anything that calls for offical judgement on your behalf.

BWWAAAAAAHAAAAAHAAAAAHAAAAA! You are truly a legend in your own mind Twistyboy!

>Moving on...we have your amusing snap:

>>"BZZT! Strike two. I never claimed a single bust. Again, a product of
>>your imagination."<

>But again, from your first post, we have not only you denying the
>"prosecutions" but actually CLARIFYING that they were busts.
>Let's see...

>>""I don't believe I ever said successfully prosecuted I said "busts." If
>>I did then it was misleading and unintentional." <

>>You are taking me out of context again.<

>Again? If anything, you took yourself out of context, but since that is
>an improbability, it is safe to point out that they were deliberate lies
>They may not have been all malicious at first, but when you become so
>angry that you deny everything you said, it shows you a liar.
> What part of you claiming you did make a bust then you didn't make a
>bust, or
>what part of you denying you never called yourself an official FCC
>observer when in fact you did and denied it, or what part of your other
>lies do you feel were taken out of context? I mean, YOU made the claims,
>then deny them. Are your communication skills that poor that you fail to
>express yourself, can't find the correct words? Attempting to blame your
>lies on your poor communication skills might fly with a newbie, but
>you've been around for a while....lying. BUT, you run on ahead and try
>and convince the world at large that you were quoted out of context each
>and every time. Call me when you think you were successful.

Ok, you got me on this one. I never said that I made busts. That was your twisting. But please feel free to scan this entire thread (and the previous one) to see if I did claim making busts. It's not my communication skills but your reading and interpretation skills (twisting skills) that are in question here. Apparently you (and maybe Moped) are the only ones having trouble understanding what I said.

>
>>I never said that I made busts. <

>Nope, you didn't. What you actually wrote, verbatim (again) was:

>"BZZT! Strike two. I never claimed a single bust. Again, a product of
>your imagination."<

Now that you admit that I never claimed to have made busts I suppose this part is settled.

>
>But, we find you CLAIMING, it WAS a bust, after yet ANOTHER denial that
>you never said it...
>To wit:
>
>>"I don't believe I ever said successfully prosecuted I said "busts." If
>>I did then it was misleading and unintentional." <

>Oh, so you said it, but didn't mean it, but didn't mean it, but said it,
>but meant it and didn't believe you said it, then just denied it, and
>are back claiming you didn't mean it when in fact have been shown you

>said it..

>>I said that I participated in busts. <

>And then made several posts that they weren't "busts" at all, that the
>word "bust" was my choice. I once again showed that to be another in a
>long string of bold-faced lies, and now you have flip-flopped yet AGAIN,
>and are attempting to convey and palm off your twisted definitions of
>busts, claiming once again, that they did happen, but not in the manner
>in which you applied the term. That's all nice and stuff, but, just like
>you denying calling yourse;lf an FCC observer, that really isn't the
>issue, is it Kev 'ol boy? No, the issue is (again) many of your claims
>have been shown to be lies. Where there is smoke there is fire.
>

Ok, I'll bite on this one too. Since you are having such a hard time
understand the meaning here's how it works. I am a witness providing
evidence for a bust that the FCC makes. Yes that means I participated in a
bust. I was not there, I did not deliver the notice, I did none of the
"cop" things but I certainly participated in the effort. Twist that as you
wish.

>>Only the FCC can make the busts.
>>Like I have said a couple of times, me and several others provided
>>evidence for these busts. We never made the busts. You are arguing
>>semantics and taking me out of context. <
>>
>You never made the busts, but you sure lied like hell. You said you
>never said things, Kevin, and have been shown you did. You can't even
>own up to them and fail miserablly trying to worm your way out of lie
>after lie.
>Lie upon lie upon lie....

Ditto on what I said before.

>>Twist upon twist. Truly a legend in your own mind.<
>
>Yet another hammie truly obsessed and concerned with what a lowly cber
>thinks of himself. You will fare much better around here, Muenzler, when
>you learn this isn't a personal issue.

When did I say it was a personal issue? Nope, never said that or even
eluded to this. Again your imagination is getting the best of you. You
were the one claiming that I personally disliked you.

>Maybe people like you and Frank
>have no family or life so you make us your world, and assign likes and
>dislikes to us all.

I know what your problem is, you have Bipolar Disorder. A bit of Lithium
will take care of that.

>You really need to get over yourself. I am just now
>learning this fractured ego thing in hammie radio has quarantined more
>than just certain nocodes. I believe you're the highest ranking AKC
>member I have seen to date that is so obviously angered at what he has
>no control over. You need a ranking, since you obviously are the highest
>of the pioused.

You got me on this one. Twist it a different way so that a person with
normal reasoning can understand it.

>>I'm still waiting on those phone numbers, names and court names. <
>
>And I don't work for free.

Yeah right! I'm not as stupid as I think you are. Post the information and
send me a bill. Look up my name and address in the FCC directory. You have
my callsign.

>I'm tempted to post a single link proving you wrong yet again with
>another claim, but you'll just call it semantics.

Post it! No guts no glory Twistyboy. Go out on a limb.

Kevin, WB5RUE

1139. Re: solution to keyclown problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 21:04:36 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d31e4cc.1102674@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130166

In <ags5rt$r1c$4@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
<brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:

>"That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
>news:3aed93cc.0207111528.4861d48f@posting.google.com...
>> > >sink $1.7K into an OAR DF
>> > >unit,
>> >
>> > You are spending WAY too much! I'll get my page for the Twisty-Buster
>> > radio direction finder back up as soon as I can.
>>
>> Nah, OAR's is a pretty sophisticated unit. Has a built-in CRT
>> calibrated for Doppler-type work.
>===
>
>The Doppler effect is fine... if you are trying to bust their ass for
>speeding while under the influence of RF exposure. Maybe you could prove a
>link between speeding motorists and RF exposure from illegal CB?
>
>As Doppler relates to the shift in frequency caused by an object's motion,
>it is used for measuring the speed of an object by bouncing a signal off it
>and reading the frequency shift on the returned signal.
>It is NOT applicable to objects which are not moving, as there will be no
>Doppler shift. So, it is NOT used for direction finding or distance
>measurements... that's RADAR (or, for underwater tracking, Sonar).
>Also, as the frequency shift can be small, a "CRT" (more accurately, a CRO)
>is not the device to be viewing it on. What you need is some form of
>digital readout.
>
>What you are describing sounds similar to a device available on this side
>of the "pond", referred to as an "IFR". Great piece of tackle... The model
>I have worked with Receives signals to 1200 MHz, has built in signal
>generator, CRO, spectrum analyser, tonepad for telephone number dialing,
>plus lots of other features. Great for checking the output of a transmitter
>for unwanted emissions or excessive output or for tuning a receiver for
>best reception (with a SINAD meter), but you would track with it the
>same way as with a standard radio... with a directional antenna.
>
>
>If you are going to BS the group, at least do a bit of research first... so
>you at least know a bit of what you are on about.

Every try taking your own advice, brain?

http://www.silcom.com/~pelican2/PULSES.html

1140. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 21:15:18 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d31e777.1785501@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130168

In <ags5rr$r1c$3@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
<brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:

><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d2e3212.4311887@news.cet.com...
>> In <ptnX8.4083$uw.595@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>, "Dennis B."
>> <dennisandcarol@attbi.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Which antenna performs better, one made from aluminum or fiberglass? I'm
>> >just curious.
>> >
>> >Thanks,
>> >Dennis
>>
>> Aluminum gets it's corrosion resistance from a thin layer of aluminum
>> oxide on the surface of the metal, preventing further oxidation. But
>> RF conducts on the surface of the conductor. Aluminum is not my first
>> choice for anything RF.
>>
>> Fiberglass doesn't conduct at all. The antenna is a copper wire inside
>> the fiberglass, or wound outside the fiberglass and covered with some
>> heatshrink. The problem here is that when humidity/water gets trapped
>> between the fiberglass and the copper, it corrodes the copper very
>> quickly and thoroghly.
>===
>
>And the wire up the centre is usuaully thin, which does not help with
>the efficiency of the antenna.

Some of them have large wires wound around the fiberglass and some
have smaller wires wrapped up in the rod. But the poor efficiency of
fiberglass antennas has little to do with the wire size. One of the
major losses comes with the fiberglass itself, which is a somewhat
lossy dielectric at RF frequencies. Another reason for their poor
efficiency is the helical nature of these antennas: half the signal is
polarized vertically and half horizontally, so half your signal is
wasted because most of the CB antennas are vertical. That's why they
are sometimes referred to as 'helical dummy-loads'.

Hey, didn't you say something in another post about research and BS?

"If you are going to BS the group, at least do a bit of research
first... so you at least know a bit of what you are on about."

I agree. Try it next time.

1141. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 21:17:44 UTC
From: akwusmc@aol.com
Message-ID: <3d31e996.26177449@news.tn.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 130167

On 14 Jul 2002 16:24:30 GMT, eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig)
wrote:

>
>I'm sure glad it's not illegal to operate these puppies on 10...that is, of
>course, assuming the op has a Tech "+" or higher class ticket.
>
>73 de Bert
>WA2SI

Actually, Bert, the way I read ALL the info that Mr LaForge sent me is
that the FCC has administratively decided that the listed radios are
'Bad Juju' (even thought they were at one time legally imported into
the US), and not to be tolerated even in the hands of licensed
amateurs. Check out

www.members.aol.com/akwusmc/radios

and see what you think.

Here's a hypothetical: If I'm an amateur operator, and I have one of
these offending radios, but modify the unit to power down to 4w on the
11m band, am I still a criminal?

73

1142. Re: CFB vs Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 21:29:44 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <c3mY8.13890$xm1.510162@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 130169

This is the point I tried to make.

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D319316.FEA5360@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > WG wrote:
> >
> > > CBer is operating at 28.055 MHz without a proper license then he would
be an
> > > unlicensed ham not a CBer in the ham band.
> >
> > Unlicensed ham is one of them epoxymorons. You can't be a ham and
> > be unlicensed. If a cber is operating at 28.055 then he is just
> > another cber operating illegally in the ham band.
>
> BZZZZZT! A CB'er operating at 28.055 MHz is not a CB'er or a HAM.
>
> He is an unlicensed operator. He is not considered a CB'er at that point.

1143. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 21:31:20 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d31ee5c$0$13951$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130175

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21444-3D2F02D7-100@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>What I have done, is verified that the
>procedure involved with the FCC takes much longer than six months for an
>actual court appearance to take place, let alone a conviction by the
>FCC.

I guess you missed the part where I said that a great majority of these issues never make it to court. The evidence is so overwhelming that the guy's an idiot to push the issue in court. What you have "verified" does not make me a liar. I believe I said something like these guys are either on their way to court, in court or through court. Please show me the lie.

>>So then you HAVEN'T actually made contacts to "prove that I am lying."

>Ask a lawyer that worked for the FCC is your time frame is even remotely
>possible. There is no chance of your lie being even remotely true. That
>won't cost you anything, as there is a hammie readily accessible that
>loves to disburse wisdom concerning his golden days with the feds. All
>you gotta do is ask, Muenzler, not dance.

...and his name is? "Lawyers" don't work for the FCC. IF he used to "work for the FCC" then he was a federal prosecutor assigned to the FCC. Please what's his name so I can verify his previous employer, his bar status, etc.

>>I understand now. YOU are lying. Or perhaps, again, "lying" is too
>>strong of a word. You were misleading, you misrepresented what you had
>>actually done, YOU LIED! <

>(Chuckle) Hehhe..Sorry to burst your bubble, Kevin, but you're gonna
>have to wake up much earlier than that if you are going to look for
>something to personalize.

Stop being paranoid Twistyboy. It wasn't mean personally, just like you calling me a liar is not meant personally. You're a hypocrite too.

>>Did you "make calls" that were unable to verify my claims or did you
>>make calls that were able to contradict my claims. There is a BIG
>>difference. <

>This is getting better by the day. Give you ego trippers enough rope and
>ya'll piss all over your own legs after the selfhangin's. Here's more
>fodder for your featherless cap..if the FCC brought anyone to court AND
>convicted them as you claim, within the time frame you claim, it would
>have been the FASTEST convictions for cb or hammie related behavior to
>date.

Ok, again you are putting words in my mouth. Did I say convictions? Nope, not once. Just as I never claimed to have made the busts. Just because someone has been served (received a Notice of Apparent Liability or "NAL") doesn't mean that they are convicted. You're causing your own confusion Twistyboy.

>>You see I have never seen your brain, I don't know anyone else who has >>ever seen your brain. In fact I doubt that anyone in the entire world >>has seen your brain. So we can't verify that it exists at all. So if we >>use your logic of "unable to verify" then your brain doesn't exist. < >
>Your trouble remaining focused on the subject and attempts at making >your agenda one of spewing your venom and insults my way is dangerously >close to becoming trollish.

No trouble remaining focused Twistyboy. I was showing how your logic doesn't work. According to your logic since you can't verify my claims they are lies. Since I can't verify the existence of your brain then, according to your logic, it doesn't exist. Sorry I made it so complicated for you.

>But everyone that frequents the ng can see the lies you tell and lie >about some more. Do you have an alcohol problem, Kev? You seem to be >incapable of posting and focusing on any issue except for your intense >personal dislike of me.

I thought you don't make personal attacks TwistyBoy. Now we've added hypocrisy to twisting.

>>They, like I have said, can see that you are the TwistMeister.<

>They most certainly can, Kev, and all that have met me and most that >have been around here any length of time also know I don't lie...period.

No, you don't lie. You twist someone else's words around and call them a liar. Misrepresentation is the same as lying Twistyboy. Above is a perfect example. Now you say that I claimed convictions. I KNOW I never said that.

>> So you say that I am incapable of focusing? <

>Absolutely! Of course you are. You have now resulted to insults in each >of your postings.

Let's see. The original title of the thread was the I claimed 27 busts this year. Where has it gone from there. FCC OFFICIAL OBSERVERS, convictions, busts....

>>I have been trying my best to follow your twisted "logic," claims and >>accusations. You seem to have a paranoia problem too. I don't >>"personally dislike" anyone in this newsgroup. I know, you aren't >>paranoid but everyone thinks you are. Poor little Twisty, thinks >>everyone hates him. <

>Once again, this isn't a popularity contest, as much as your wounded ego >apparently needs one. You claim one thing and say another, just like all >your posts in this thread. If you can be so impartial, demonstrate so

>and hold your insults. You must walk the talk, Muenzler, and you
>obviously don't.
>The greatest insult, is you undermining the entire ng's intellect. You
>see Kev, if you didn't lie, you wouldn't have had to resort to defending
>each and every little self-contradiction you made and ran to so
>desperately. The group can indeed interpret what they read for
>themselves, Kev 'ol chap. Even though we are a bunch of low life cbers,
>we don't buy into your "are you going to believe what I tell you or what
>you saw?" mentality that obviously has worked on lesser beings for you
>in the past. Your lies and denials are there for all to see, just as you
>meant them. If they were all to be compiled, how many you reckin you
>told since the beginning of the thread, 'ol chap? Add the insults
>and..wow..they outnumber the lies..slightly.
>
>>So, lets have names, places, phone numbers, dates and times that you
>>called these places to check up on me. I don't want EMails or web sites,
>>those can be faked, I want names and phone numbers so that I can call
>>them. If you can't then by your standards you are a liar. Kevin, WB5RUE<

>>>Following the thread the last few days, I'm not at all cocerned with the
>>>general consensus, but you should be. Nevertheless, I even accept
>>>personal checks, but there will be ten day hold on all out of state
>>>checks and a 25.00 NSF fee.

>>General consensus? Get Real Twistyboy! <
>I know, you use the word "boy" as it
>must make you feel like a man.

No I use the word "boy" because you are acting like a 12 year old who is
trying his best to twist his way out of a bad situation.

>If referring to me in such deferred ways
>boosts your ego, I am glad to be of service. What this ng needs less of
>is another angered hammie that feels like a lowly cber had a way bigger
>member, er, number, uh..member number than he.

BWAAAAHAAAAHAAAAA! Testicular Torsion seems to have taken it's toll on you
now TwistedBoy.

>>The only "general consensus" has been people who think you are
>>deranged. Only Modeaholic (who I think is you) has come to your side. <

>Au contraire. This is a cb ng and I am way more representative of the
>average cber than you will ever have a clue. Your beliefs are radical,
>condescending toward cbers (be careful here, you have left a slime trail
>of slams against cb over the years..I'd go check the archives before you
>need another helping of crow jammed down your chickenhawk neck.).....

What does this little rant have to do with the original subject? True or
not it's irrelevant. But I'll bite. You, again, are taking me out of
context. My general feeling toward CBers is that many, not all or most, but
many are undisciplined and advocate selective following of the rules. They
support the louder is better and the biggest linear gets the channel.

11-meters has degenerated into the cesspool of the electromagnetic spectrum because of those (like you) who do advocate selectively obeying the rules. That's the extent of my "radical condescending toward cbers" and I will not deny any of it. What you have conveniently left out is that I am a CBer as well as a ham operator. During natural disasters like the one we just had here in south Texas as well as numerous others CB operators were indispensable. It would have been much more difficult to get information if it weren't for the CB operators that came forward and volunteered. Unfortunately these CB operators are the exception and not the rule.

>>But you, apparently, already have made those phone calls so you have
>>already "paid the fees" right? Oh, you want reimbursement? I think that
>>this is just another attempt to cloud the issue that you are so wrapped
>>up in yourself that you can't see when you have been cornered. <

>What you think doesn't matter to anyone. IF you wish me to perform a
>service, you will pay accordingly.
>

Nope, not now since you've been caught in a "put up or shut up" situation. If you don't "put up" everyone will know that you are a liar. But no, you demand money for your "services." Get real! Like I said, I'm not as stupid as I think you are.

>>There's no way out of this one. Put up or shut up. You made claims that
>>you had some sort of proof that I am lying.<

>Yes, but only after you lied.

Like I said, let's have it.

>>Let's have it. Names of who you called, phone numbers of who you
>>called and the courts you called.<

>Certainly...after you provide proof of your claims...after all, YOU made
>the claims first, I merely asked you to clarify first. I qualified
>several of your lies with proof, and granted, YOU have even qualified
>several of your own lies with proof, but I asked for a clarification
>first, and you failed to produce. Now you are attmpting to ignore that
>inquiry, but ask the same of me....hilarious.

What did you just say? I think you are wanting me to prove something. Prove what? You called me a liar, in this country the one making the accusation is required to provide the proof. Talk to that "FCC Lawyer" friend of yours. Maybe he can explain it better than I have.

>
>>But obviously you don't have them<
>
>Which is the reason you went bezerk in the first place..you obviously
>don't have any proof.

Proof of what?

>>and there is no way in God's green earth that I'm going to send you a
>>single penny. <

>Well, I kind of figured that. A perfect example of someone who wants
>something for nothing...asking for handouts, wanting someone else to do
>the work because you're too lazy, or worse, can't figure out how.
>Typical freeloader.

Hypocrite! You accused me of obfuscation. WOW, this is a wonderful
example. Sure "you figured that" and that's why you want money for your
"proof" that doesn't exist in the first place..

>I can hear everyone else in this newsgroup laughing too! <

>Indeed we are laughing, Kev, but you have a delayed reaction...that must
>run hand-in-hand with your poor recall and retension ability, as we have
>been laughing since day one when you made the claims. Newsflash Kev, ol
>chap: Many in here are way more educated in the ways of the FCC than you
>give credit. You're part of the gag, Kev, and haven't even realized it,
>as you continue to assume the group has no clue concerning enforcement.
>It is becoming quite clear that it is you that not only lies
>concsistently, but can not focus and has no recall ability, and can not
>express yourself adequately without going back and saying that even
>though you said it, you didn;'t mean it. You have created quite the
>little sty for yourself and I am enjoying it so.

>>Except maybe
>>Mopedaholic that is. The only thing that exceeds your ego is your
>>audacity! I doubt that you have the guts to send me your real name and
>>address anyway.
>>Kevin, WB5RUE<
>
>You already expressed your inabilty to pay, so what does my name or addy
>have to do with anything? Ask Frank, he knows where I live. He figured
>it out after I posted directions to my front door.

No, again you are twisting. I expressed my unwillingness to pay for
something that doesn't exist. Like I said YOU don't have the guts to post
your OWN name and address.

>>God must love stupid people, he made so many of them!<
>
>Too bad ignorance isn't painful.

Ignorance? Ignorance simply means a lack of knowledge, there's nothing
wrong with that. Trouble is that you are ignorant of what you speak and
don't have the integrity to admit it. You twist away and hope no one can
follow.

Kevin, WB5RUE

1144. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 21:37:58 UTC
From: Frank Todd <k3eko@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <WamY8.358889$R61.349359@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 130170

how about the Criminal Band??? There appears to be a vast majority of child molesters, thieves, and possible murderers...

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:3D316FDD.CFCB4EAA@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Kenneth wrote:
> >
> > Whats ARRL really mean?
> > Anal Retentive Retired Losers?
> > Association of Really Retarded Loser's?
> >
> > Any others?
>
> What does CB really mean?
> Childrens Band?
> Chicken Band?
> Chumps Band?
>
> Any others?

1145. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 21:38:57 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d31eae9.2667267@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130172

In <3d31e996.26177449@news.tn.comcast.giganews.com>, akwusmc@aol.com
wrote:

>On 14 Jul 2002 16:24:30 GMT, eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig)
>wrote:
>
>
>>
>>I'm sure glad it's not illegal to operate these puppies on 10...that is, of
>>course, assuming the op has a Tech "+" or higher class ticket.
>>
>>73 de Bert
>>WA2SI
>
>Actually, Bert, the way I read ALL the info that Mr LaForge sent me is
>that the FCC has administratively decided that the listed radios are
>'Bad Juju' (even thought they were at one time legally imported into
>the US), and not to be tolerated even in the hands of licensed
>amateurs. Check out
>
>www.members.aol.com/akwusmc/radios
>
>and see what you think.

It should be obvious that the FCC can see through the deceptive
business practices of those that manufacture and market unauthorized
radio equipment.

>Here's a hypothetical: If I'm an amateur operator, and I have one of
>these offending radios, but modify the unit to power down to 4w on the
>11m band, am I still a criminal?

Technically yes, because the equipment, modified or not, is not
certificated (type-accepted) for use on the CB. If the purpose of the
modification is to comply with the intent of the law, the FCC probably
wouldn't care just as long as you don't sell it, but it would be much
easier just to get yourself a legal CB radio. And since most of these
'imports' are junk even for amateur operation, get yourself a proper
radio for that purpose as well.

1146. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 21:39:29 UTC
From: Frank Todd <k3eko@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <lcmY8.4977$Wt3.5197@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 130171

I also forgot LIAR's

"Frank Todd" <k3eko@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:WamY8.358889$R61.349359@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> how about the Criminal Band??? There appears to be a vast majority of
child
> molesters, thieves, and possible murderers...
>
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3D316FDD.CFCB4EAA@mailcity.net...
> >
> >
> > Kenneth wrote:
> > >
> > > Whats ARRL really mean?
> > > Anal Retentive Retired Losers?
> > > Association of Really Retarded Loser's?
> > >
> > > Any others?
> >
> > What does CB really mean?
> > Childrens Band?
> > Chicken Band?
> > Chumps Band?
> >
> > Any others?
>
>

1147. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 21:40:45 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d31ee5e$0$13951$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130176

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21442-3D2F03E1-248@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: wb5rue@qsl.net (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)

>>I'm sure that it doesn't since you're run out of issues that don't have
>>holes in them. <

>If you think you have aptly demonstrated you do not lie,,,fine..enjoy
>your victory :).

Nope, you are twisting again. I never said that "I do not lie." You
accused me of lying and I said "prove it."

>>Still waiting for those names, address, phone numbers. What? You want
>>money? Why? As a reimbursament? A reimbursement for what?<

>I never asked for a reimbursement. This was your failed insertion.

So what did you want the money for? You want me to fund your research? I
don't think so.

>>I know that you haven't paid anyone for research.<

>Correct. It is MY legwork.

What "legwork." But again, I'm not going to pay you to prove that I'm lying
or not lying.

>>There is NO WAY that you could get that information over the phone and
>>there is NO WAY that you could have received information back that
>>fast in the mail. <

>UNLESS...unless, what Kevvy? Remember, once before you said NEVER and
>were shown the error of your ways. Do you wish me to pause while you
>deny you never used the term "NEVER"?

Semantics again.

>>It takes WEEKS to get information back from a court reporter's records.
<

>Keep asking. You're not even warm.

So how long did it take you? I'm sure you already know or have the info.

>>Like I said before. BADA-BING! You've been caught directly in a lie and
>>don't have the intellect to see it. <

>Oh, back to the insults, are we?
>Now that you saved me the trouble from
>showing the world you not only lie but can't remember what you say, I am
>quite content to watch you wallow in your trench of ignorance.

You truly are a legend in your own mind.

>>Keep twisting Twistyboy. <
>
>Oh yea, thanks. And you have trouble remainiing focused. Count your
>insults and attempts to make me your topic and you are way past troll
>status. ..in the red, Muenzler old chap, in the red.

hypocrite, old chap, hypocrite. It's like Sandbagger said, you twist and
then accuse people of changing the subject. You twist and then accuse
people of lying. You really should have that psychological problem checked.

>Kevin, WB5RUE<

>And that's the biggest shame of it all.
>Another piss poor example to ham radio.

You insult me (or at least attempt to) right after accusing me of insulting
you. You wonder why I call you TwistyBOY.

1148. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 21:45:12 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0207141345.18753a9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130177

eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) wrote in message
news:<20020714122430.07749.00000707@mb-fg.news.cs.com>...
> >> PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln
>
> Uh-oh!
>
> >> RANGER - models: AR-3500
>
> Double uh-oh!! :-D
>
> I'm sure glad it's not illegal to operate these puppies on 10...that is, of
> course, assuming the op has a Tech "+" or higher class ticket.
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

Assuming that Aaron is posting legit information, these radios can not
be operated on 10 meters: "As such, OET has further concluded
that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within the
United States for the reasons discussed below."

1149. Re: President HR-2510 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 21:48:14 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0207141348.4d94953e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

When will people learn the URL for illegal radios and amps?

http://auctions.yahoo.com

You can list anything there. :)

1150. cb help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 21:53:30 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D31F2DA.648018EE@hotmail.com>
Source record: 130179

Hi All

I'm a newbee at this please help.......I have a 40 channel Midland 77-160 cb and I can't get any sound out of the cb when it hooked up...I had the cb in a mazda truck and it worked great ...went to hook it up in a ford 150 and nothing....question...If you don't have the whip's grounded right will the cb make a noise..sometimes the cb works fine for a couple of minutes and then nothing..... no sound.... and when I key the mic no bars show up on the cb ....is there something wrong with the cb or is if just the mic...

please help

thanks all

zeek.......

1151. help mic not working

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 22:03:44 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D31F53F.12337129@hotmail.com>
Source record: 130180

Hi All

I have a 40 channel Midland 77-160 cb and the mic has a broken wire inside of it ...the solder came off where ever it was connected to ..the four mic wire are attached to the mic they are fine ....but there is 3 other wires in the mic 1-red 1-grey 1-black and red and grey wire are attached to the microphone and the green mother board and one end of the black wire is connected to the green motherboard but is not connected to anything else...where does the other end of the black wire connect to .....

please help ......

thanks

zeek......

1152. Re: CFB vs Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 22:17:54 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d31f6db$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130181

Hams call the "bootleggers." We don't call the "unlicensed hams" just "unlicensed." Results are the same.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:3D316F34.38140B6E@mailcity.net...
>
>
> WG wrote:
>
> > CBer is operating at 28.055 MHz without a proper license then he would be an
> > unlicensed ham not a CBer in the ham band.
>
> Unlicensed ham is one of them epoxymorons. You can't be a ham and
> be unlicensed. If a cber is operating at 28.055 then he is just
> another cber operating illegally in the ham band.

1153. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 22:20:45 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d31f786$0$13951$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

This is why it's called "children's band" Phil, but you already know that.
You want some rain for that fire? You can have some! Come get all you
want! PLEEEEAAAASSSSEEEE!

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Big Horn 312" <BigHorn312@CherryHillFreeband.org> wrote in message
news:ags4cctau0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message >
>
> > Using a radio transmitter to work DX is a privilege granted by the
> > government, not a "right".
> >
> > The above is settled law, not "opinion".
> >
> > ---
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
>
> I oprates where I wants to and a nobody cant stop me.
> Any freekquency I wants is abailbable for my use. dont needs
> no licsense.....see! ant the Union Northern gobernment aint
> going to tell me the freekquency I cant oprate on.
>
> Big Horn 312 says that
> Cherry Hill freeband oprators club
> stand by 27635 lower side
>
>
>
>

1154. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 22:49:35 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d31fc40.6915277@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130183

In <3d2e3b79$0$13956$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>, "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote:

<snip>
>RFI is RARELY the fault of the transmitter.

Most consumer electronics have adequate rejection of RFI under normal circumstances. But they don't usually build stuff to reject signals from your neighbor's 1kW transmitter. These are not considered to be "typical operating conditions" for consumer electronics. So in that respect I would agree with you; except:

Even high-power RF can be done with little RFI if it's done cleanly. If your signal is going out only on it's intended frequency, there are going to be a lot fewer problems with RFI than if your signal is being splattered up the spectrum where it has a much greater chance of finding a resonant circuit in the neighbor's television. There are plenty of people that live next door to hams that don't have problems with RFI, not because their home electronics are protected against it, but because the ham is operating a clean station.

And then there is the problem of IF amplification: the IF of the CB is attenuated enough for legal power, but hook the output to an amplifier and it becomes a broadcast station to any receiver using the same IF. And since the IF path in the receiver is where most of the signal gain occurs, it doesn't take a very strong signal to be received. I'm finding this to be the case more and more often when RFI is affecting a whole neighborhood, and almost always happens at the 10.7MHz IF.

So for those reasons I believe your statement is wrong.

1155. Ranger/Magnum/Cherokee Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 23:28:48 UTC
From: jdavecobb@aol.comatose
Message-ID: <20020714192848.09364.00000596@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 130184

I'd appreciated anybody's opinions on these radios. I'm currently interested in a Ranger TR-296 for AM/SSB use in a mobile, but I've been paying attention to other radios made by Magnum, Cherokee and others. Any opinions?

1156. Re: Radio Shack am/ssb radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 23:31:21 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <dRnY8.13957$xm1.516948@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 130185

That model # sounds familiar. It was such a bad radio that RS doesn't even list it on their web-site. Thanks for the info.

"RnR" <rnrampage@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3d31af20_2@newsa.ev1.net...
> Probably the TRC-480
>
> "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
> news:Gc9Y8.15766$kt5.413743@news1.telusplanet.net...
> > Hi All
> >
> > I am reposting this request because some of you said you maybe able to
> help
> > me but didn't have the time last week to look.
> >
> >
> > I have owned many radios over the past 30 years and have found
pictures
> > of all of them on the net except 1. I can't remember the Radio Shack
model
> > number but I would know it to see it. It was a 40 Ch SSB mobile with an
> all
> > black face. It was all push button, (no rotary CH selector). It had 40
Ch
> > scan and channel change on the microphone. It only ran for about 1 year
in
> > 1980 or 1981. It had many problems and was not a good radio. Every time
> you
> > turned it off it went back to Ch-1 and had a bad frequency drift so I
only
> > had it for about 2 months and traded it in for an other model. If any of
> you
> > can remember the radio and come up with a model number it would be
> > appreciate. I check this NG regularly so if you could just post it here.
> > Thanks
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1157. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 23:32:55 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <HSnY8.102306$UT.6589626@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130186

A fan could certainly be added... but I've found it's really not necessary
for normal talking.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D316D21.B1448D02@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
> > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
>
> Just think how much better that would look with
> a 3 inch fan blowing air into the fins...

1158. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC//Attn: BILL NELSON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 23:41:52 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj431v4vd1iu05@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130187

His budget just got axed to the tune of 13 million. It takes riley a few days to respond to e-mail cause that gov issue 8088 is realll SLOOWW. Aparently Dereks Trucking has more pull on capitol hill then a few Yams. Most of your posts were from years ago. Bush addressed the Yams to try to elicite some help in the war on terrorism. If Hollingsworth thinks he will spend money on tracking down freebanders hell be appliing for a job a Derecks.
Everybody knows thats trucks with Hazmat loads are the next target for highjacking by terrorists. The dirty lil secret is that FBI and homeland security are glad most trucks can put 35 watts and MUCH more with a kicker. Go above 28mhz you may get a warning below that the FCC gave in and handed the ball to the locals who know thats if you have problems go bust chops on the guy with the big antena on his house(the ham).
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:CPkY8.65373$JU3.24962937@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> I hate to burst your bubble, but that's how Derek's Trucking got his warning
> in
> Cary, NC. It's how an outfit in Idaho (I believe) got its warning. You
> apparent-
> ly don't know that Riley Hollingsworth is a ham as well as a legal beagle
> for FCC.
> IF you don't think he is interested in protecting the ham bands from illegal
> operators, you are sadly mistaken. If you want to see, at least 20 examples
> of
> warnings and busts, some of which are those can-do-no-wrong truckers, just
> visit
> CB City's message board. Just about all those warnings originated from his
> desk.
> Tell ya what, why don't you ask Riley yourself about the 10 meter issue?
> Send your email to rholling@fcc.gov . He DOES answer email, tho it may take
> him a
> few days to get around to it. I am telling you the guy IS serious--I've met
> him. His
> home town is only 35 miles from me and he comes thru here on his way there.
> I
> talk to him on local repeaters. He is also hell on rogue ham operators as
> well, re-
> cently popping 3 for operating on that precious "freeband". The rest of

us
> are
> HAPPY to see it and support his efforts to remove the bad operators from
> the
> bands.
>
> I hate to harp on it, but ole Rabbit Ears down in Fla thought he was
> invincible as well. Sure, he just kept on and on--which was what got him
in
> such hot water.
> But if you can't see the trend, then no one can help you. If you are
hoping
> for some
> sort of radio "anarchy" it ain't gonna happen. Keep playing radio
roulette,
> and eventually your number will come up
>
> 73
>
> Jerry
> Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:uj3aatd8utkkf5@corp.supernews.com...
> > Yep it went into a genuine government grey trashcan in the bowels of the
> FCC
> > ROFL!!!!
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:YukW8.65386$wj4.5675966@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > >
> > > Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> > > news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents.
> Out
> > > of
> > > > perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal)
> > rigs.
> > > > The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> > > > Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of
> > there
> > > > ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have
a
> cb
> > > > shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them
> it's
> > > > against the law.
> > >
> > > They will never know it. The person walks in, buys a Coke and browses
> > > around
> > > the store looking at the CB radios and antennas. Then he might grab
one
> > of

> > > the
> > > cashier tickets that is normally taken to the cashier for purchase,
and
> > > write down
> > > the description of the illegal "10 meter" radio> I've DONE it--I have
> one
> > > of those
> > > Magnum Delta Force tickets right in front of me right now! I found it
in
> a
> > > truck stop
> > > in Columbia, SC. A copy went to the "right" place.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> > > > million years.
> > > > Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts
over
> a
> > 3
> > > > year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations
> that
> > > > ignored repeated warnings.
> > >
> > >
> > > Bill,
> > >
> > > Here's where he said "8", and half of them are NOT hams; they are IN
> > > ADDITION
> > > to the 21 I cited.
> > > > Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and
linier's
> > and
> > > > use them and people will sell them.
> > >
> > > Nope. If the folks could keep from wandering into other bands and
> > > frequencies,
> > > I'd agree with you. But by operating on the ham bands and causing
> > > interference to
> > > the rightful users, the truckers, maybe unknowingly, are opening up a
> can
> > of
> > > sour
> > > worms that also BITE!!
> > > > Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here
> > while
> > > > worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
> > > generaly

> > > > make an ass of himself.
> > >
> > > It does no good to bother people about operating on the CB band
> illegally.
> > > But when they operate on the ham bands illegally, then it becomes
> another
> > > story.
> > >
> > > Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> > > > it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool
> and
> > > have
> > > > fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and
> > scans
> > > > the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad
> > guy"
> > > >
> > >
> > > Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
rigs
> > > and one even had a marine radio.
> > >
> > > Would you like to PROVE your assertion that FCC WON'T do anything
about
> > > sales of illegal radios like the Magnum Delta Force? Then tell us
WHERE
> > you
> > > saw
> > > those ham rigs, which, BTW, are not in and of themselves illegal to
> sell,
> > > but I'd bet you that if they are selling them, they are also selling
the
> > > unapproved ones, too. So
> > > tell us where these truck stops are so I can send it to Riley, and we
> will
> > > see if he does
> > > anything.
> > >
> > > J
> > >
> > > Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> > > > went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they
tell
> > me
> > > > most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> > > > liscense.
> > > > Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> > > > Sincerely
> > > > Ken
> > > >

> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

1159. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 23:49:39 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj43ggmtjcd3e9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130189

> Heavy fines for those obstinate enough to remain in the current
> bands.
And when the truckers have a general strike and our economy sinks to that of aphganistan. Sure ARRL may get access to R hollingsworth but the trucking lobby has senators and presadents calling them! Any proposal that messes with trucking is a pipe dream.

1160. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-14 23:50:11 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <T6oY8.107541$iX5.5016282@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130188

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:HSnY8.102306$UT.6589626@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> A fan could certainly be added... but I've found it's really not necessary
> for normal talking.
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Professor" <bgriffey@kwom.com> wrote in message:

> Are you serious you don't understand that any heat sink has to have
> air flow going over it to be effective.

1161. Re: This one's for Aaron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 00:06:31 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <bmoY8.105451$eF5.2921110@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 130190

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3D31E3FC.E3217F6B@qnet.com...
>
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> -- snipped as usually for the betterment of eveyone--
>
> You tell em Jerry, stay in there now. Are ya gonna do berserk and post
> another 22 posts like last time?
>
> I got some place to go, but ifins your gonna go open loop again I what
> ah watch.
>
> It they started to charge for a entertainment fee for use net, I would
> pay it.
>
> Jay in the Mojave

test

1162. Re: This one's for Aaron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 00:06:31 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <bmoY8.105450$eF5.2921110@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 130191

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3D31E3FC.E3217F6B@qnet.com...
>
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> -- snipped as usually for the betterment of eveyone--
>
> You tell em Jerry, stay in there now. Are ya gonna do berserk and post
> another 22 posts like last time?
>
> I got some place to go, but ifins your gonna go open loop again I what
> ah watch.
>
> It they started to charge for a entertainment fee for use net, I would
> pay it.
>
> Jay in the Mojave

Too funny Jay, too funny.

1163. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 00:28:42 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <_GoY8.28596$lu6.1606655@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130192

<akwusmc@aol.com> wrote in message
news:3d31e996.26177449@news.tn.comcast.giganews.com...
> On 14 Jul 2002 16:24:30 GMT, eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig)
> wrote:
>
>
> >
> >I'm sure glad it's not illegal to operate these puppies on 10...that
is, of
> >course, assuming the op has a Tech "+" or higher class ticket.
> >
> >73 de Bert
> >WA2SI
>
> Actually, Bert, the way I read ALL the info that Mr LaForge sent me is
> that the FCC has administratively decided that the listed radios are
> 'Bad Juju' (even thought they were at one time legally imported into
> the US), and not to be tolerated even in the hands of licensed
> amateurs. Check out
>
> www.members.aol.com/akwusmc/radios
>
> and see what you think.
>
> Here's a hypothetical: If I'm an amateur operator, and I have one of
> these offending radios, but modify the unit to power down to 4w on the
> 11m band, am I still a criminal?
>
> 73
>

Yup

1164. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 01:16:38 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020714211638.12736.00000676@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 130193

They make a nice handbook end of story.Just look at the losers who are members that are on this newsgroup.LOL

1165. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 01:36:03 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7GpY8.28802$lu6.1613566@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130194

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020714211638.12736.00000676@mb-mf.aol.com...
> They make a nice handbook end of story.Just look at the losers who are
members
> that are on this newsgroup.LOL

Look at the losers who aren't. :-(

1166. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 01:40:55 UTC
From: Knight Ryder <knightryder@yahooeyx.com>
Message-ID: <agt979$h17$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 130195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Big Horn 312" <BigHorn312@CherryHillFreeband.org> wrote in message
news:ags4cctau0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message >
>
> > Using a radio transmitter to work DX is a privilege granted by the
> > government, not a "right".
> >
> > The above is settled law, not "opinion".
> >
> > ---
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
>
> I oprates where I wants to and a nobody cant stop me.
> Any freekquency I wants is abailbable for my use. dont needs
> no licsense.....see! ant the Union Northern gobernment aint
> going to tell me the freekquency I cant oprate on.
>
> Big Horn 312 says that
> Cherry Hill freeband oprators club
> stand by 27635 lower side
>
>
>
Who keeps cross posting this crap? last thing I want to see is
a bunch of loser hambo operators posting in a cb group /////

Knight Ryder
lower side a 27655 & 28130

1167. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC//Attn: BILL NELSON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 01:46:26 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <QTpY8.67284$JU3.26073272@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130197

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj431v4vd1iu05@corp.supernews.com...
> His budget just got axed to the tune of 13 million. It takes riley a few
> days to respond to e-mail cause that gov issue 8088 is realll SLOOWW.
> Aparently Dereks Trucking has more pull on capitol hill then a few Yams.
> Most of your posts were from years ago. Bush addressed the Yams to try
to
> elicite some help in the war on terrorism. If Hollingsworth thinks he will
> spend money on tracking down freebanders hell be appliing for a job a
> Derecks.
> Everybody knows thats trucks with Hazmat loads are the next target for
> highjacking by terrorists. The dirty lil secret is that FBI and homeland
> security are glad most trucks can put 35 watts and MUCH more with a
kicker.
> Go above 28mhz you may get a warning below that the FCC gave in and
> handed the ball to the locals who know thats if you have problems go bust
> chops on the guy with the big antena on his house(the ham).

Basically, most of us don't CARE what the CBers do below 28 MHZ. That's the
whole point. Yet, Mr. H already HAS spent money tracking down
"freebanders".
He's still there. And the reason Riley can't always answer is, he is often
out of the
office on speaking engagements. No one can argue with someone whose mind is
made up and who is determined to ignore the facts. CB Radio is a "cult"
phenom-
a "toy" that became something that was not at all intended. Privately, most
of the
FCC agents would tell you, if they KNEW you well, that they wish CB would
just
die a natural death. OTH, the Amateur Radio Service is regarded in official
circles
as a proven resource that can be counted on in emergencies to provide
manpower
and professional, trained radio operators. Not "BREAK BREAK thar, mercy me,
thar, yuh gotta ole copy thar on this hyar Colorado Cowboy thar, go'rd 'haid
thar,
and kick hit back thar, shore thang thar, ah know whutcha mean thar, shore
do thar, BREAK! SQUEAK!! <<g>> The 28 MHZ line IS and WILL BE defended.

J

> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:CPkY8.65373$JU3.24962937@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > I hate to burst your bubble, but that's how Derek's Trucking got his

> warning
> > in
> > Cary, NC. It's how an outfit in Idaho (I believe) got its warning. You
> > apparent-
> > ly don't know that Riley Hollingsworth is a ham as well as a legal
beagle
> > for FCC.
> > IF you don't think he is interested in protecting the ham bands from
> illegal
> > operators, you are sadly mistaken. If you want to see, at least 20
> examples
> > of
> > warnings and busts, some of which are those can-do-no-wrong truckers,
just
> > visit
> > CB City's message board. Just about all those warnings originated from
his
> > desk.
> > Tell ya what, why don't you ask Riley yourself about the 10 meter issue?
> > Send your email to rholling@fcc.gov . He DOES answer email, tho it may
> take
> > him a
> > few days to get around to it. I am telling you the guy IS serious--I've
> met
> > him. His
> > home town is only 35 miles from me and he comes thru here on his way
> there.
> > I
> > talk to him on local repeaters. He is also hell on rogue ham operators
as
> > well, re-
> > cently popping 3 for operating on that precious "freeband". The rest of
> us
> > are
> > HAPPY to see it and support his efforts to remove the bad operators
from
> > the
> > bands.
> >
> > I hate to harp on it, but ole Rabbit Ears down in Fla thought he was
> > invincible as well. Sure, he just kept on and on--which was what got
him
> in
> > such hot water.
> > But if you can't see the trend, then no one can help you. If you are
> hoping
> > for some
> > sort of radio "anarchy" it ain't gonna happen. Keep playing radio
> roulette,
> > and eventually your number will come up

> >
> > 73
> >
> > Jerry
> > Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> > news:uj3aatd8utkkf5@corp.supernews.com...

> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

1168. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 01:47:21 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <JQpY8.28817$lu6.1614543@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130196

"Knight Ryder" <knightryder@yahooeyx.com> wrote in message
news:agt979$h17$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> Who keeps cross posting this crap? last thing I want to see is
> a bunch of loser hambo operators posting in a cb group /////
>
> Knight Ryder
> lower side a 27655 & 28130

We makin' you feel bad? Low side on 28 -- what a maroon.

Dick N�BK

1169. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 02:04:55 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207141804.1157cd57@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130198

vhfradiobuff@aol.com (vhfradiobuff) wrote in message
news:<5e5fccab.0207141345.18753a9@posting.google.com>...
> eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) wrote in message
news:<20020714122430.07749.00000707@mb-fg.news.cs.com>...
> > >> PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln
> > >
> > Uh-oh!
> > >
> > >> RANGER - models: AR-3500
> > >
> > Double uh-oh!! :-D
> > >
> > I'm sure glad it's not illegal to operate these puppies on 10...that is, of
> > course, assuming the op has a Tech "+" or higher class ticket.
> > >
> > 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI
>
> Assuming that Aaron is posting legit information, these radios can not
> be operated on 10 meters: "As such, OET has further concluded
> that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within the
> United States for the reasons discussed below."

No reason for me to post inaccurate FCC information, nor have I ever
posted intentionally any inaccurate information on this NG, except
within the context of an obvious satire.

Regarding Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln, etc. You have to ask
yourself....why hams would want to buy "10 meter radios" with 1-40
"channel knobs" and 15 khz steps?

-A

1170. Re: President HR-2510 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 02:15:54 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207141815.317e4ceb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

vhfradiobuff@aol.com (vhfradiobuff) wrote in message
news:<5e5fccab.0207141348.4d94953e@posting.google.com>...
> When will people learn the URL for illegal radios and amps?
>
> http://auctions.yahoo.com
>
> You can list anything there. :)

Be advised, we're working on that issue. Note the Yahoo Auctions TOS
clause (below). Since sale of illegal CB amplifiers and "export
radios" violates FCC law, Yahoo will eventualy have to follow eBay's
lead in banning the sale of these items.

-A

---

http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/

You agree to not use the Service to:

k. intentionally or unintentionally violate any applicable local,
state, national or international law.

1171. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 02:24:23 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207141824.4155dbdd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

vhfradiobuff@aol.com (vhfradiobuff) wrote in message
news:<5e5fccab.0207071449.52e2820@posting.google.com>...
> I just have a question - the frequencies between "Channel 40" and the
> 10 meter band aren't in use so what's the harm? Has ANYONE
>ever heard a LEGIT station using an assigned frequency between
>"Channel 40" and 10 meters? Just curious. Enforcing this stuff is
like >enforcing jaywalking or spitting on the street.
>
> My personal opinion - if they venture into 10 meters, they deserve
> what they get. If they keep it below, they should be left alone.

The FCC feels differently:

Q. I don't hear any other stations on "my" frequency, which is not
one of the 40 allocated C.B. radio channels. So what is wrong with
operating my radio station here?

A. You may unknowingly cause interference to legitimate
communications. Many of these frequencies may appear, to the casual
listener, to be unused. Some of the authorized users may be using
emission types which can not be plainly detected. Or, their
transmissions may be intermittent. You will not hear any legitimate
signals within the designated radioastronomy bands. However, just
because a frequency sounds clear, that does not mean that it can be
used without proper authorization.

Q. What are these other frequencies between 24 and 32 MHz used for?

A. These frequencies are assigned to many different licensed radio
services, both in the United States, as well as in other countries.
Authorized users include Maritime and Aeronautical radio stations,
standard time and frequency stations, international broadcasting
stations, amateur radio, and government stations. A portion of this
spectrum is also allocated to radioastronomy, where scientists are
attempting to listen to very weak radio signals coming from distant
stars and galaxies. No radio transmitters of any kind are permitted
within these radioastronomy bands, so any earth-bound transmitted
signals can completely disrupt radioastronomy observations.

-A

1172. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 02:35:01 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj4d6j2qfe3a40@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130202

I agree totally Rich. I went to the QRZ.com site and did a search for msgs on cb 11m etc and those losers make voob look human! Apparently only the best of the hams come to this ng. This is one of the best and most enjoyable ng's around. Ever visit alt.ham.dx? Its maybe a hundred posts(compared to 31962 here) and most posts where "where is everybody"
The funny thing is that the subject of cb gets more posts then anything else among the Hams. Hams talk more about cb and freebanding then anything else.
Ofcourse both Ham rigs and Cb rigs are toys. CB has legitament commercial use wher Ham has no legit use and are truely toys. CB is one of the most exciting hobbies out there and even Hams recognize this.
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:7GpY8.28802$Iu6.1613566@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020714211638.12736.00000676@mb-mf.aol.com...
> > They make a nice handbook end of story.Just look at the losers who are
> members
> > that are on this newsgroup.LOL
>
> Look at the losers who aren't. :-(
>
>

1173. Re: FCC Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 02:37:06 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj4dafe6qckq6f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130204

ROFLMAO
Thats funny.
"John Medica - Listening-Post" <medica@cyberspace.org> wrote in message
news:3D311045.1B3F1DDB@cyberspace.org...
> Fuck off! go away you stupid troll.
>
>
> DON'T FEED THE TROLL!
>

1174. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 02:37:43 UTC
From: "r.r.c.b.auto-responder" <usenet@autoresponder.kom>
Message-ID: <uj4d0mcpp9nvf7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130201

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207141804.1157cd57@posting.google.com...
> vhfradiobuff@aol.com (vhfradiobuff) wrote in message
news:<5e5fccab.0207141345.18753a9@posting.google.com>...
> > eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) wrote in message
news:<20020714122430.07749.00000707@mb-fg.news.cs.com>...
> > > >> PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln
> > >
> > > Uh-oh!
> > >
> > > >> RANGER - models: AR-3500
> > >
> > > Double uh-oh!! :-D
> > >
> > > I'm sure glad it's not illegal to operate these puppies on 10...that
is, of
> > > course, assuming the op has a Tech "+" or higher class ticket.
> > >
> > > 73 de Bert
> > > WA2SI
> >
> > Assuming that Aaron is posting legit information, these radios can not
> > be operated on 10 meters: "As such, OET has further concluded
> > that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within the
> > United States for the reasons discussed below."
>
> No reason for me to post inaccurate FCC information, nor have I ever
> posted intentionally any inaccurate information on this NG, except
> within the context of an obvious satire.
>
> Regarding Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln, etc. You have to ask
> yourself....why hams would want to buy "10 meter radios" with 1-40
> "channel knobs" and 15 khz steps?
>
> -A

15 Khz steps?

1175. Re: President HR-2510 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 02:40:11 UTC
From: Mark Russo <mrrusso@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3D32360B.12B037EE@optonline.net>
Source record: 130203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

If anybody complains to ebay about ANY item someone is selling the just pull the auction. Then you receive a
"canned" message vaguely stating why it was pulled. They don't want to deal with any nonsense that might cut in
on their
zillion dollar profit. The loss of a few messily $$$ by dumping an auction or 2 is no biggie to them. There will
always be these chuckle heads (that plague many newsgroups) that think they are ebay and newsgroup police.
It's a shame because 99% of the stuff pulled is OK and does have the right to be on ebay.

> " violates FCC law, Yahoo will eventualy have to follow eBay's
> lead in banning the sale of these items.
>
> -A
>
> ----------

1176. The napster syndrome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 02:51:02 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj4e4jn5uaouef@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130206

Remember the Napster flap. All these dope smoking rock stars crying like ARRL members? Well they succeded in shuting down Napster.
The result is that now there are about 20 different programs for shareing files and downloading free music. Its worse then ever. This was an unintended consequence. The rock stars really believed once Napster was gone no one would swap files. Now record sales have slumped even lower and more music is free then ever b4.
Hams should clean up there own sorry mess before coming over to 11meters to complain. Someday the FCC may become the Federal Digital Comunications Commision and decided that Nextel quick-connect can replace the whole friggen mess.
Except for cb's Truckers will have those long after Ham dies.
"aint no feeling like chicken mobiling"

1177. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC//Attn: BILL NELSON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 02:51:17 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207141851.179a73ef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130205

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<CPkY8.65373$JU3.24962937@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>.
>Basically, most of us don't CARE what the CBers do below 28 MHZ.

Very true, historically, hams don't care about what CB'ers did on
their own CB "property". Because of this, CB'ers moved on to trashing
the "neighbors property", too. I'm not saying that hams should be
vigilantes or do the FCC's job of policing the spectrum, but I think
that when you see a neighbors property being trashed you shouldn't
wink and grin at the vandals and tell them "As long as you leave my
place alone I don't care what you do!" because, sure as the sun rises,
they'll be coming onto your property next. Being a good citizen
benefits everyone. Like it or not, the two services (CB and ARS) are
inextricably linked, and the more mature of the two has a
responsibility to be aware of what goes on in "the neighborhood". Do a
search on "Kitty Genovese" for an interesting analogy....

-A

1178. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 02:57:29 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207141857.3db19243@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130207

Randy wrote:
>15 Khz steps?

Sorry. I meant Hz.

-A

1179. Re: This one's for Aaron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 02:57:58 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj4ehlctqor1aa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130208

He was a Ham. He had a liscense.

1180. Re: President HR-2510 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 03:03:02 UTC
From: Mark Russo <mrrusso@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3D323B66.EE19BD64@yahoo.net>
Source record: 130209
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Hey, I was just on Yahoo auctions. Bet it really pisses you off that they are selling 360 channel CB's and amps
there. And there is nothing you can do.
Seems the patrolman in you has no teeth there.

"Aaron H. Boobner" wrote:

> vhfradiobuff@aol.com (vhfradiobuff) wrote in message
news:<5e5fccab.0207141348.4d94953e@posting.google.com>...
> > When will people learn the URL for illegal radios and amps?
> >
> .
>
> -A
>

1181. Re: This one's for Aaron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 03:03:51 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <rYqY8.28985$Iu6.1623840@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130210

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj4ehlctqor1aa@corp.supernews.com...
> He was a Ham. He had a liscense.
>
>

Yup, he passed an exam. He did not take Holy Orders. Your point??

1182. Re: help mic not working

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 03:03:55 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <vYqY8.16695$IW4.6827@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 130211

Hay if you had a volt / ohm meter you could figure it out the black wire may go to ground ,of coarse it may be the speaker wire very hard to say well have fun .
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

<zeek198@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3D31F53F.12337129@hotmail.com...
> Hi All
>
> I have a 40 channel Midland 77-160 cb and the mic has a broken wire
> inside of it ...the solder came off where ever it was connected to ..the
> four mic wire are attached to the mic they are fine ....but there is 3
> other wires in the mic 1-red 1-grey 1-black and red and grey wire are
> attached to the microphone and the green mother board and one end of
> the black wire is connected to the green motherboard but is not
> connected to anything else...where does the other end of the black wire
> connect to .....
>
> please help ......
>
> thanks
>
> zeek......
>

1183. Re: cb help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 03:05:25 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <VZqY8.16725$IW4.13221@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 130212

Good question !
<zeek198@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3D31F2DA.648018EE@hotmail.com...
> Hi All
>
> I'm a newbee at this please help.......I have a 40 channel Midland
> 77-160 cb and I can't get any sound out of the cb when it hooked up...I
> had the cb in a mazda truck and it worked great ...went to hook it up in
> a ford 150 and nothing....question...If you don't have the whip's
> grounded right will the cb make a noise..sometimes the cb works fine for
> a couple of minutes and then nothing..... no sound.... and when I key
> the mic no bars show up on the cb ....is there something wrong with the
> cb or is if just the mic...
>
> please help
>
> thanks all
>
> zeek.......
>

1184. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 03:09:38 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <S1rY8.105577$eF5.2946957@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 130213

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207141804.1157cd57@posting.google.com...
> vhfradiobuff@aol.com (vhfradiobuff) wrote in message
news:<5e5fccab.0207141345.18753a9@posting.google.com>...
> > eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) wrote in message
news:<20020714122430.07749.00000707@mb-fg.news.cs.com>...
> > > >> PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln
> > >
> > > Uh-oh!
> > >
> > > >> RANGER - models: AR-3500
> > >
> > > Double uh-oh!! :-D
> > >
> > > I'm sure glad it's not illegal to operate these puppies on 10...that
is, of
> > > course, assuming the op has a Tech "+" or higher class ticket.
> > >
> > > 73 de Bert
> > > WA2SI
> >
> > Assuming that Aaron is posting legit information, these radios can not
> > be operated on 10 meters: "As such, OET has further concluded
> > that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within the
> > United States for the reasons discussed below."
>
> No reason for me to post inaccurate FCC information, nor have I ever
> posted intentionally any inaccurate information on this NG, except
> within the context of an obvious satire.
>
> Regarding Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln, etc. You have to ask
> yourself....why hams would want to buy "10 meter radios" with 1-40
> "channel knobs" and 15 khz steps?
>
> -A

Aaron, reread your post, and then correct it.

1185. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 03:13:46 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <K5rY8.105581$eF5.2947494@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 130215

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d31fc40.6915277@news.cet.com...
> In <3d2e3b79$0$13956$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>, "Kevin Muenzler,
> WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >RFI is RARELY the fault of the transmitter.
>
> Most consumer electronics have adequate rejection of RFI under normal
> circumstances. But they don't usually build stuff to reject signals
> from your neighbor's 1kW transmitter. These are not considered to be
> "typical operating conditions" for consumer electronics. So in that
> respect I would agree with you; except:
>
> Even high-power RF can be done with little RFI if it's done cleanly.
> If your signal is going out only on it's intended frequency, there are
> going to be a lot fewer problems with RFI than if your signal is being
> splattered up the spectrum where it has a much greater chance of
> finding a resonant circuit in the neighbor's television. There are
> plenty of people that live next door to hams that don't have problems
> with RFI, not because their home electronics are protected against it,
> but because the ham is operating a clean station.
>
> And then there is the problem of IF amplification: the IF of the CB is
> attenuated enough for legal power, but hook the output to an amplifier
> and it becomes a broadcast station to any receiver using the same IF.
> And since the IF path in the receiver is where most of the signal gain
> occurs, it doesn't take a very strong signal to be received. I'm
> finding this to be the case more and more often when RFI is affecting
> a whole neighborhood, and almost always happens at the 10.7MHz IF.
>
> So for those reasons I believe your statement is wrong.
>

Frank, what you just posted is bullshit and you know it. Most RFI is due to audio circuits amplifying the signals induced upon them. Stop spewing your voodoo electronic hocus-pocus. Sure, it's possible, but not likely.

1186. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 03:17:25 UTC
From: "r.r.c.b.auto-responder" <usenet@autoresponder.kom>
Message-ID: <uj4fb55rrooe1f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130214

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207141857.3db19243@posting.google.com...
> Randy wrote:
> >15 Khz steps?
>
> Sorry. I meant Hz.
>
> -A

10 Khz steps, Aaron.

30 lashs with a wet noodle for you.

BTW: Randy?....Nope. unless it makes you feel better to believe
otherwise,... maybe my name is George, or Dougie, Google boy.

1187. Re: This one's for Aaron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 03:21:52 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj4fuddj5ksp2c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130216

A Ham is a Ham is a Ham.He was one of yours. CBers are better behaved. Hams try to talk like a cber when harrasing people to taint our illustrious hobby. You Hamies REALLY need to clean up your act.
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:rYqY8.28985$Iu6.1623840@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:uj4ehlctqor1aa@corp.supernews.com...
> > He was a Ham. He had a liscense.
> >
> >
>
> Yup, he passed an exam. He did not take Holy Orders. Your point??
>
>

1188. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 03:24:33 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj4g3edmb7d172@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

Right on!!!and Well said!
> Who keeps cross posting this crap? last thing I want to see is
> a bunch of loser hambo operators posting in a cb group /////
>
> Knight Ryder
> lower side a 27655 & 28130
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1189. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 03:37:13 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <JrrY8.105604$eF5.2951637@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 130218

"r.r.c.b.auto-responder" <usenet@autoresponder.kom> wrote in message
news:uj4fb55rrooe1f@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207141857.3db19243@posting.google.com...
> > Randy wrote:
> > >15 Khz steps?
> >
> > Sorry. I meant Hz.
> >
> > -A
>
> 10 Khz steps, Aaron.
>
> 30 lashs with a wet noodle for you.
>
> BTW: Randy?....Nope. unless it makes you feel better to believe
> otherwise,... maybe my name is George, or Dougie, Google boy.
>
>

Google Boy fits. Why don't you take that for your new nic.

1190. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC//Attn: BILL NELSON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 03:38:27 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj4gti2ckhai0b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130219

ROFLMAO.....
Jerry Ham is a toy a hobby and frankly does squat in emergencies. All police everywhere monitor ch19 cause it is the defacto channel for info. As for dying a natural death it looks lively to me. Heck all the Hams seem to talk about cb more then Hams do. Everyday truckers and cb'ers are saving lives disseminatiog real info and helping traffic flow. Hams meanwhile come to rec radio cb and whine.
As for 28mhz if the enemy is in your house drinking your beer and sleeping with your oldlady you lost the war. But well let you keep 6m...for now:)
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:QTpY8.67284$JU3.26073272@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:uj431v4vd1iu05@corp.supernews.com...
> > His budget just got axed to the tune of 13 million. It takes riley a few
> > days to respond to e-mail cause that gov issue 8088 is realll SLOOWW.
> > Aparently Dereks Trucking has more pull on capitol hill then a few Yams.
> > Most of your posts were from years ago. Bush addressed the Yams to try
> to
> > elicite some help in the war on terrorism. If Hollingsworth thinks he will
> > spend money on tracking down freebanders hell be appliing for a job a
> > Derecks.
> > Everybody knows thats trucks with Hazmat loads are the next target for
> > highjacking by terrorists. The dirty lil secret is that FBI and homeland
> > security are glad most trucks can put 35 watts and MUCH more with a
> kicker.
> > Go above 28mhz you may get a warning below that the FCC gave in and
> > handed the ball to the locals who know thats if you have problems go bust
> > chops on the guy with the big antena on his house(the ham).
>
>
>
>
> Basically, most of us don't CARE what the CBers do below 28 MHZ. That's the
> whole point. Yet, Mr. H already HAS spent money tracking down
> "freebanders".
> He's still there. And the reason Riley can't always answer is, he is often
> out of the
> office on speaking engagements. No one can argue with someone whose mind is

> made up and who is determined to ignore the facts. CB Radio is a "cult"
> phenom-
> a "toy" that became something that was not at all intended. Privately,
most
> of the
> FCC agents would tell you, if they KNEW you well, that they wish CB would
> just
> die a natural death. OTH, the Amateur Radio Service is regarded in
official
> circles
> as a proven resource that can be counted on in emergencies to provide
> manpower
> and professional, trained radio operators. Not "BREAK BREAK thar, mercy
me,
> thar, yuh gotta ole copy thar on this hyar Colorado Cowboy thar, go'rd
'haid
> thar,
> and kick hit back thar, shore thang thar, ah know whutcha mean thar, shore
> do thar, BREAK! SQUEAK!! <<g>> The 28 MHZ line IS and WILL BE defended.
>
> J
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:CPkY8.65373$JU3.24962937@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > I hate to burst your bubble, but that's how Derek's Trucking got his
> > warning
> > > in
> > > Cary, NC. It's how an outfit in Idaho (I believe) got its warning.
You
> > > apparent-
> > > ly don't know that Riley Hollingsworth is a ham as well as a legal
> beagle
> > > for FCC.
> > > IF you don't think he is interested in protecting the ham bands from
> > illegal
> > > operators, you are sadly mistaken. If you want to see, at least 20
> > examples
> > > of
> > > warnings and busts, some of which are those can-do-no-wrong truckers,
> just
> > > visit

> > > CB City's message board. Just about all those warnings originated from
> his
> > > desk.
> > > Tell ya what, why don't you ask Riley yourself about the 10 meter
issue?
> > > Send your email to rholling@fcc.gov . He DOES answer email, tho it
may
> > take
> > > him a
> > > few days to get around to it. I am telling you the guy IS
serious--I've
> > met
> > > him. His
> > > home town is only 35 miles from me and he comes thru here on his way
> > there.
> > > I
> > > talk to him on local repeaters. He is also hell on rogue ham operators
> as
> > > well, re-
> > > cently popping 3 for operating on that precious "freeband". The rest
of
> > us
> > > are
> > > HAPPY to see it and support his efforts to remove the bad operators
> from
> > > the
> > > bands.
> > >
> > > I hate to harp on it, but ole Rabbit Ears down in Fla thought he was
> > > invincible as well. Sure, he just kept on and on--which was what got
> him
> > in
> > > such hot water.
> > > But if you can't see the trend, then no one can help you. If you are
> > hoping
> > > for some
> > > sort of radio "anarchy" it ain't gonna happen. Keep playing radio
> > roulette,
> > > and eventually your number will come up
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Jerry
> > > Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> > > news:uj3aatd8utkkf5@corp.supernews.com...
>
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

1191. Re: This one's for Aaron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 03:41:39 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <TvrY8.102773$UT.6618693@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130220

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj4fuddj5ksp2c@corp.supernews.com...
> A Ham is a Ham is a Ham.He was one of yours. CBers are better behaved.
Hams
> try to talk like a cber when harrasing people to taint our illustrious

You know, Kennie, it is conversations like this that convince me that
those saying that pesticides are mimicking estrogen may well have a point.
Maybe it contaminates diesel as well
because while comments like this might be made by my 14 year-old niece,
they would not be made by someone with a functioning set of testicles.

1192. Re: Truth about Radio Astronomy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 03:59:57 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uj4i4r668g0kf7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130222

Kenneth, a spell checker won't help - it will not differentiate between 'there' and 'their'. The hams are not capable of buying Nextel - the large conglomerates are buying up the frequencies. Some time do yourself a favor and check who owns the various television stations in the US - or even your own area. Bands are allocated in an attempt to mitigate possible interference. This includes specifications as to harmonics and various unintended garbage. Whether amateur or cb, overdriving amplifiers or running class C certainly creates additional 'garbage'. This can cause interference. BTW, amateurs are limited to 1.5 KW. The really high erp can be found on UFH, but sometime check the erp on the commercial television and FM broadcast stations. The amateurs are a small drop in the bucket as far as potential emi is concerned. Besides, almost 100% of the amateurs don't overdrive amps, do run their signal into tuned antenna systems (read - little out of band garbage), and most run less than 200 watts (which is still excellent for worldwide communications almost all of the time). You'd best go back and re-read YOUR OWN POST concerning having a license and being liable for a lot more than unlicensed folks. Yes, please go back and read your own post (about the CDL) again. Check back if you ever figure that one out.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj36g04qtmkp3e@corp.supernews.com...
> The subject is from a document at
http://www.nas.edu/bpa/corf/view1195.html
> This has been quoted in this illustrious newsgroup by some wayward
> interlopers.
> Fact: CB never appears in the document. Most of the possible
interference
> mentioned is amature (read HAM) bands.
> Fact: Many of the big radioobsevatories are located in countries where
11m
> dx'ing is allowed.
> The really sad part is that it is an exciting proposal to eventually place
> radio obsevatories ON THE FAR SIDE OF THE MOON.
> The reason is to get away from all the interference. Commercial and
> Imature whoops forgot to spell check again:)
> If the ARRL cared a whit about the progress of our understanding of the
> universe they would give the astonomers a break and buy nextel. Heck
another
> gov program since there all on SocSec anyway...What would that be..maybe
60
> phones for all the Hamies under a hundred yrs of age?

>
>

1193. Re: The napster syndrome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 04:00:46 UTC
From: Frank Todd <k3eko@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <ONrY8.10053$Wt3.13146@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 130221

Kenneth, go crawl back in your hole and quit being stupid. Oh, I forgot, you're a CB'er and ``you can't fix stupid."

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:uj4e4jn5uaouef@corp.supernews.com...
> Remember the Napster flap. All these dope smoking rock stars crying like
> ARRL members? Well they succeded in shuting down Napster.
> The result is that now there are about 20 different programs for shareing
> files and downloading free music. Its worse then ever. This was an
> unintended consequence. The rock stars really believed once Napster was gone
> no one would swap files. Now record sales have slumped even lower and more
> music is free then ever b4.
> Hams should clean up there own sorry mess before coming over to 11meters
> to complain. Someday the FCC may become the Federal Digital Comunications
> Commision and decided that Nextel quick-connect can replace the whole
> friggen mess.
> Except for cb's Truckers will have those long after Ham dies.
> "aint no feeling like chicken mobiling"
>
>

1194. Re: The napster syndrome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 04:03:36 UTC
From: Craig Petersen <n7uqa@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <uj4ib86elolr69@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130223

Kenneth wrote:

> Remember the Napster flap. All these dope smoking rock stars crying like
> ARRL members? Well they succeded in shuting down Napster.
> The result is that now there are about 20 different programs for
> shareing
> files and downloading free music. Its worse then ever. This was an
> unintended consequence. The rock stars really believed once Napster was
> gone no one would swap files. Now record sales have slumped even lower and
> more music is free then ever b4.

The "dope smoking rock stars" were just pawns, it was the MPAA and RIAA that were able to shut Napster and Audio Galaxy down.

> Hams should clean up there own sorry mess before coming over to 11meters
> to complain.

This has been happening for several years now, the bands are getting much better. Most of the long time lids on 75,40 and 20 meters are gone. Hams that run their gear on CB and the "freeband" get what they deserve. My hat goes off to Mr. Hollingsworth

When are CBers goin to ask Mr. Hollingsworth to help clean up their service? In fact,why do CBers find it so dificult to stay off the ham bands?

> Someday the FCC may become the Federal Digital Comunications
> Commision and decided that Nextel quick-connect can replace the whole
> friggen mess.

I doubt this highly, this is the first system to fall apart in an emergency. Centeral point systems are too easy to break under heavy user load.

> Except for cb's Truckers will have those long after Ham dies.
> "aint no feeling like chicken mobiling"

I don't think so, CBers have abused their service for so long that it's completely useless. What makes you think CB is going to out live Amateur Radio? I don't see the CB manufactures comming out with any thing really new, it's just the same old stuff they have been making for the 25 years. I would say it's safe to say CB will eventually be replaced by FRS and GMRS.

--
Linux Powered...

Craig - N7UQA

1195. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC//Attn: BILL NELSON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 04:03:43 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uj4ibtmnco2d7b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130224

Kenneth, are you aware of what happened a few years back with the New York City taxicabs?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:uj3aatd8utkkf5@corp.supernews.com...
> Yep it went into a genuine government grey trashcan in the bowels of the FCC
> ROFL!!!!
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:YukW8.65386$wj4.5675966@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> > news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> > > As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents. Out
> > of
> > > perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal)
> rigs.
> > > The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> > > Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of
> there
> > > ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have a cb
> > > shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them it's
> > > against the law.
> >
> > They will never know it. The person walks in, buys a Coke and browses
> > around
> > the store looking at the CB radios and antennas. Then he might grab one
> of
> > the
> > cashier tickets that is normally taken to the cashier for purchase, and
> > write down
> > the description of the illegal "10 meter" radio> I've DONE it--I have one
> > of those
> > Magnum Delta Force tickets right in front of me right now! I found it in a
> > truck stop
> > in Columbia, SC. A copy went to the "right" place.
> >

> >
> >
> >
> > Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> > > million years.
> > > Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts over
a
> 3
> > > year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations
that
> > > ignored repeated warnings.
> >
> >
> > Bill,
> >
> > Here's where he said "8", and half of them are NOT hams; they are IN
> > ADDITION
> > to the 21 I cited.
> > > Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and linier's
> and
> > > use them and people will sell them.
> >
> > Nope. If the folks could keep from wandering into other bands and
> > frequencies,
> > I'd agree with you. But by operating on the ham bands and causing
> > interference to
> > the rightful users, the truckers, maybe unknowingly, are opening up a
can
> of
> > sour
> > worms that also BITE!!
> > > Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here
> while
> > > worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
> > generaly
> > > make an ass of himself.
> >
> > It does no good to bother people about operating on the CB band
illegally.
> > But when they operate on the ham bands illegally, then it becomes
another
> > story.
> >
> > Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> > > it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool
and
> > have
> > > fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and
> scans
> > > the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad

> guy"
> > >
> >
> > Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham rigs
> > and one even had a marine radio.
> >
> > Would you like to PROVE your assertion that FCC WON'T do anything about
> > sales of illegal radios like the Magnum Delta Force? Then tell us WHERE
> you
> > saw
> > those ham rigs, which, BTW, are not in and of themselves illegal to
sell,
> > but I'd bet you that if they are selling them, they are also selling the
> > unapproved ones, too. So
> > tell us where these truck stops are so I can send it to Riley, and we
will
> > see if he does
> > anything.
> >
> > J
> >
> > Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> > > went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they tell
> me
> > > most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> > > liscense.
> > > Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> > > Sincerely
> > > Ken
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1196. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC//Attn: BILL NELSON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 04:05:55 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uj4ig1ckrnt3d1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130225

Aaron, you have finally managed to state something clearly and which makes some sense.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:22a5e694.0207141851.179a73ef@posting.google.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:<CPkY8.65373$JU3.24962937@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>.
> >Basically, most of us don't CARE what the CBers do below 28 MHZ.
>
> Very true, historically, hams don't care about what CB'ers did on
> their own CB "property". Because of this, CB'ers moved on to trashing
> the "neighbors property", too. I'm not saying that hams should be
> vigilantes or do the FCC's job of policing the spectrum, but I think
> that when you see a neighbors property being trashed you shouldn't
> wink and grin at the vandals and tell them "As long as you leave my
> place alone I don't care what you do!" because, sure as the sun rises,
> they'll be coming onto your property next. Being a good citizen
> benefits everyone. Like it or not, the two services (CB and ARS) are
> inextricably linked, and the more mature of the two has a
> responsibility to be aware of what goes on in "the neighborhood". Do a
> search on "Kitty Genovese" for an interesting analogy....
>
> -A

1197. Re: Why Freeband?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 04:07:16 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uj4iii8jdf1t01@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130226

Thanks, Kenneth. I've seen a number of trucks at the company I work for with the big dual antennas. Maybe I'll just take my digital camera to work and check it out.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:uj43ggmtjcd3e9@corp.supernews.com...
> > Heavy fines for those obstinate enough to remain in the current
> > bands.
> And when the truckers have a general strike and our economy sinks to that of
> aphganistan. Sure ARRL may get access to R hollingsworth but the trucking
> lobby has senators and presadents calling them! Any proposal that messes
> with trucking is a pipe dream.
>
>

1198. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 04:37:54 UTC
From: "r.r.c.b.auto-responder" <usenet@autoresponder.kom>
Message-ID: <uj4k21qtqpdo4c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130227

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:JrrY8.105604$eF5.2951637@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "r.r.c.b.auto-responder" <usenet@autoresponder.kom> wrote in message
> news:uj4fb55rrooe1f@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:22a5e694.0207141857.3db19243@posting.google.com...
> > > Randy wrote:
> > > >15 Khz steps?
> > >
> > > Sorry. I meant Hz.
> > >
> > > -A
> >
> > 10 Khz steps, Aaron.
> >
> > 30 lashs with a wet noodle for you.
> >
> > BTW: Randy?....Nope. unless it makes you feel better to believe
> > otherwise,... maybe my name is George, or Dougie, Google boy.
> >
> >
>
> Google Boy fits. Why don't you take that for your new nic.
>
>

Aaron already has that one locked up.

1199. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 05:09:05 UTC
From: CitySlicker <nospam@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <RNsY8.2818$931.1262107@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130228

Hi Brian, I tried to get that message across a year ago no one listened and in the mean time this NG has went from one of the most active to a trash heap. Hope it gets well soon.

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:CCdY8.101089$UT.6519776@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> How true.
> Theses people thrive on attention. Give them attention, and they'll be here
> forever...
>
> --
> |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
> |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20020713220228.12238.00000362@mb-fa.news.cs.com...
> > >> > > Once again aaron .Who cares?Nobody.
> > >> >
> > >> > You cared enough to respond. Feed the trolls and expect to see more
> > >of
> > >> > them
> > >
> > > Here here!
>
>
>

1200. Re: CFB vs Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 06:47:05 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uj4s4983uvofdb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130229

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D31AA48.3A95D555@mailcity.net...
>
>
> BZZZZZT!! He is just a cber operating illegally in the ham band.

Your mind is working over-time here... A person is not a CBer unless they are in the band designated as CB use. If a person is in the ham band , then they certainly can't be a CBer at that given time. I don't know of any ham bands that are designated as CB, do you? Didn't think so...

PH

1201. Magnum Delta Force 10 metre mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 07:53:36 UTC
From: toxic_waste@citizensband.com
Message-ID: <1f2adfc1.0207142353.429df309@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130230

I've just been looking at the new Magnum Delta Force 10 meter mobile rig on the Copper site. Anyone got any views on Magnum? It's certainly a very pretty looking rig. Is there a simple mod to convert it to cover 11 meters as well as 10 meters?

TIA
tox

1202. Re: WHat DX group best for PA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 09:21:33 UTC
From: Kario Kallio <kario.kallio@pp.phnet.fi>
Message-ID: <xuwY8.3287$EL6.38197@news.kpnqwest.fi>
Source record: 130231

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> kirjoitti viestiss&#65533;
news:uj2kjhodkcokd8@corp.supernews.com...
> Hey I was woundering what would be a good DX group to join. I live in PA
and
> have a superstar 3900 gold HP with a radioshack 10m/11m antenna from the
> defunt exportradios.com. Not stellar equipment I know but it was in my
price
> range. Same bands as on the Galaxy88 my friend has. Any info is
appreiciated
> in advance. Thanks:)
> Sincerely,
> Ken

```
try ...dx@kotalampi.com
```

>

1203. Looking for TS-570 hidden menu.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 10:27:58 UTC
From: Administrator <administrator@telefonica.net>
Message-ID: <3d32a3b6$0$251$4d4eb98e@read.news.es.uu.net>
Source record: 130232
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Hi all,

My TS-570 is only putting out 60W on SSB. I want it to be appx. 100W. I know how to do that on a TS-50, 450 and 850, but for the 570 i seem to have to go in a service menu and change it there.

Does anyone know how to do this? How to get in this menu and what settings to change?

Thanks a mountain,

Ton.

1204. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 10:41:05 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5FxY8.103201$UT.6649459@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130233

Better stick to kung fu... you obviously don't know the principal of
convection...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"KungFuYou" <confucioussay@foo.com> wrote in message news:T6oY8.107541>
> > Are you serious you don't understand that any heat sink has to have
> > air flow going over it to be effective.

1205. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 10:42:01 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ZFxY8.103203$UT.6649265@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130234

Long time no hear...
How have you been?

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"CitySlicker" <nospam@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:RNsY8.2818$931.1262107@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> Hi Brian, I tried to get that message across a year ago no one listened
and
> in the mean time this NG has went from one of the most active to a trash
> heap. Hope it gets well soon.

1206. Re: Looking for TS-570 hidden menu.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 11:06:21 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d32ac4d.1293703@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130236
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

In <3d32a3b6$0$251$4d4eb98e@read.news.es.uu.net>, "Administrator" <administrator@telefonica.net> wrote:

>Hi all,
>
>My TS-570 is only putting out 60W on SSB. I want it to be appx. 100W. I know
>how to do that on a TS-50, 450 and 850, but for the 570 i seem to have to go
>in a service menu and change it there.
>
>Does anyone know how to do this? How to get in this menu and what settings
>to change?
>
>Thanks a mountain,
>
>Ton.

Do you think you cross-posted to enough groups to get your answer?

1207. Re: Magnum Delta Force 10 metre mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 11:06:55 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d32acaf.1391524@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130235

In <1f2adfc1.0207142353.429df309@posting.google.com>,
toxic_waste@citizensband.com (toxic waste) wrote:

>I've just been looking at the new Magnum Delta Force 10 meter mobile
>rig on the Copper site. Anyone got any views on Magnum? It's certainly
>a very pretty looking rig. Is there a simple mod to convert it to
>cover 11 meters as well as 10 meters?
>
>TIA
>tox

Here's a better suggestion: post on this newsgroup that you want to
run an illegal setup but you are too poor to do so. I'm sure that at
least one of these anti-American anarchists will send you an amp for
free so they can brag about it here. In fact, I'll bet you that just
because of this post, you won't even have to ask and someone will make
you an offer via email. But be careful: it might be an FCC sting
operation....HAHAHAHAHA!!! yeah, like THAT'S ever gonna happen!!!

1208. Re: CFB vs Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 11:08:16 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d32acd1.1425688@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130237

In <uj4s4983uvofdb@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:

>
>"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
>news:3D31AA48.3A95D555@mailcity.net...
>>
>>
>> BZZZZZT!! He is just a cber operating illegally in the ham band.
>
>Your mind is working over-time here... A person is not a CBer unless they
>are in the band designated as CB use. If a person is in the ham band , then
>they certainly can't be a CBer at that given time. I don't know of any ham
>bands that are designated as CB, do you? Didn't think so...
>
>PH

You don't get it: There are some ignorant twats who that think that
anyone that doesn't have a ham license is a CBer.

1209. real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 11:25:25 UTC
From: me@me.com
Message-ID: <3d32b07d.1861232@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 130238

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365954908

1210. Re: real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 12:06:21 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D32BA97.6DE5B95F@icok.net>
Source record: 130239

Not that uncommon in commercial service, but absolutely worthless for even the 'above' average CB'er or ham. do you have any idea what it would take to run the thing?
'Doc

1211. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 12:14:46 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D32BCB6.34BC@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 130240

Kenneth wrote:
>
> On I-40 in Tennesee one of Vobs buddies was looking for illigal operators.
> He found a mobile on ch 19 using WAY to much power.
> He found the source. A brand new Crown Vic parked in the median behind a
> bush. His foxhunt gear worked! This guy makes an illegal U-turn onto the
> median next to the offending Crown Vic and startes yelling at the guy about
> illigal power bleedover being a keyclown and calling the FCC.
> The poor guy didn't see the uniform until the officer stepped out of his
> "plain brown wrapper"
> Unfortunatly the nearest broomstick was at the stationhouse:)
> Aparently officers talk to truckers on there police radios. BTW they are
> distictive by the fact that they are the best sounding radio I have ever
> heard and quite powerfull.

The "BS-O-Meter" was pegged 30 over on that one.

Let's see......

For starters, police radios use a frequency band that is way out of
range of the CB band. The closest allocation is in the 35 Mhz area, and
those radios and the antennas do not cover 27 Mhz. Most are channelized
by either crystal elements or programmable synthesizers, for the few
frequencies that the police normally use, and cannot be easily changed
to cover other frequencies.

Secondly, police radios normally use FM (Some newer systems in metro
areas use digital), which would not be compatible with AM on CB.

Next, police radios usually run no more than 50 watts, which would be
barely noticable on the CB band, where bootleggers typically run several
hundred or more watts.

But even if this were all true, then the cop would be guilty of
violating FCC rules. There is no provision in part 95 for police
personnel to operate power levels above the legal limit, or to use
radios that are not type accepted for the CB band.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1212. Re: Power mod for TS-570

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 12:28:33 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@charter.net>
Message-ID: <uj5g16qf792294@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130241
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb

There is a setting in the menu. I guess you have seen that. I think it has several settings.

--
Web Site: www.polkcounty.org/radiofun

"Administrator" <administrator@telefonica.net> wrote in message news:z_iY8.357059$bv6.3009706@telenews.teleline.es...
> Hi all,
>
> My TS-570 only puts out appx. 60W on SSB. On CW it's exactly 100W.
>
> I want some more power from the rig. How do i do that? In the TS-50, 450 and
> 850 there is a VR15 that i can adjust. How is this done in the 570?
>
> Thanks,
>
>
> Administrator.
>
>

1213. Re: "THE ILLEGAL KEYCLOWN SONG" was, Re: the WCTU rides again:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 12:34:05 UTC
From: diablo05 <>
Message-ID: <v7g5jugl9ph7glpnd5pgp7tv1l1ht8fpj7@4ax.com>
Source record: 130242

On 13 Jul 2002 17:37:55 -0700, voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H.
Voobner) wrote:

>"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<0N_X8.26332$Iu6.1444169@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
>> "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:3D306DF3.32962249@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>> > Does this come with klezmer music played by Aannoying Aaron?
>>
>> Might but I had in mind either bagpipes or an old-style Salvation Army
>> band, trumpet, drum, and tuba.
>
>
>Hey Dick! I found the ORIGINAL lyrics! It's called.........
>
> "THE ILLEGAL KEYCLOWN SONG"
>
>(Sung to the tune of the "Hoedown" on TV's "WHOS LINE IS IT ANYWAY?")
(snip)
Pitiful,plum pitiful.

1214. Re: AKC License Plate Frame: "I'D RATHER BE RATTING OUT KEYCLOWN AUCTIONS"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 12:39:03 UTC
From: diablo05 <>
Message-ID: <4gg5ju0do83hligchmpufp5rki7i5p9jdu@4ax.com>
Source record: 130243

On 11 Jul 2002 17:38:59 -0700, voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:

>>FS: HR-2510, CCC Ranger AR-3300 (100watt), CCC Ranger AR-3500
>>FS: President HR-2510 on Ebay
>
>"N8WWM, the MASTER of all you ILLEGAL KEYCLOWNS, is pleased to
>announce
>that these auctions were ratted out and CANCELLED by EBAY. "
>
>With those words, we launch yet another fine AKC product.....
>
>AKC License Plate Frame
>(Product Number: 2648205)
>
>In Stock: Will ship in 2-3 business days.
>
>Is there anything better than busting a keyclown auction? Heres
>another "N8WWM Special Edition" product: this fine license plate frame
>declares "ID RATHER BE RATTING OUT KEYCLOWN AUCTIONS"!
>
>$10.99 each
>
>http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/productdetail.aspx?prodno=antikeyclown.2648205

Poor little fellow,must be rough not having any friends.

1215. Re: The napster syndrome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 12:49:45 UTC
From: diablo05 <>
Message-ID: <75h5ju0hk3hitdmpfn4gqoce0vb1oebi8m@4ax.com>
Source record: 130244

On Sun, 14 Jul 2002 22:51:02 -0400, "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
wrote:

>Remember the Napster flap. All these dope smoking rock stars crying like
>ARRL members? Well they succeded in shuting down Napster.
> The result is that now there are about 20 different programs for shareing
>files and downloading free music. Its worse then ever. This was an
>unintended consequence. The rock stars really believed once Napster was gone
>no one would swap files. Now record sales have slumped even lower and more
>music is free then ever b4.
> Hams should clean up there own sorry mess before coming over to 11meters
>to complain. Someday the FCC may become the Federal Digital Comunications
>Commision and decided that Nextel quick-connect can replace the whole
>friggen mess.
>Except for cb's Truckers will have those long after Ham dies.
>"aint no feeling like chicken mobiling"
>

Cluck cluck cheeken truck,get outta my way cause I don't give a
F%&$!!!!!!!!!!

1216. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC//Attn: BILL NELSON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 13:24:05 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uj5j6j8d2ucb22@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130245

Right, Kenneth. I've gotta admit, you are trying to make up for a few codeless techs here, but I'd bet you coffee for a week 99%+ of all roadside emergencies are reported by CELLPHONE. Ever heard of that, or do you want that frequency allocation too? Should cellphones go down, what do you do then? As far as a channel 19, who needs it? Over a year ago, all of our pay phones at work were out of order (no one cared). Then - the COMPANY phones for some reason quit working. Folks were scrambling to borrow someone else's cellphone (probably over half the production people carry cellphones with them - along with the stupid beeping). What do you do, run out and hit channel 19? I simply went to my locker and pulled out the ht. Hit the autopatch - and used the phone. I have access to 13 local repeaters, many of them with autopatch. By local, I mean within 25 miles or so. I've used that ht on a repeater over 100 miles away. What would you do back in the late 90s when a good chunk of New England and Canada were hit with an ice storm and ALL telephones and communications were out? Hit channel 19 and talk to someone whose communications were also out? Wait until after 10 am and before 6 pm and hope that skip was in? 'Cmon, give me a break. This wasn't even a very good troll.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj4gti2ckhai0b@corp.supernews.com...
> ROFLMAO.....
> Jerry Ham is a toy a hobby and frankly does squat in emergencies. All
police
> everywhere monitor ch19 cause it is the defacto channel for info. As for
> dying a natural death it looks lively to me. Heck all the Hams seem to
talk
> about cb more then Hams do. Everyday truckers and cb'ers are saving lives
> disseminatiog real info and helping traffic flow. Hams meanwhile come to
rec
> radio cb and whine.
> As for 28mhz if the enemy is in your house drinking your beer and sleeping
> with your oldlady you lost the war. But well let you keep 6m...for now:)
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:QTpY8.67284$JU3.26073272@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> > news:uj431v4vd1iu05@corp.supernews.com...
> > > His budget just got axed to the tune of 13 million. It takes riley a
few
> > > days to respond to e-mail cause that gov issue 8088 is realll SLOOWW.
> > > Aparently Dereks Trucking has more pull on capitol hill then a few

Yams.
> > > Most of your posts were from years ago. Bush addressed the Yams to
> try
> > to
> > > elicite some help in the war on terrorism. If Hollingsworth thinks he
> will
> > > spend money on tracking down freebanders hell be appliing for a job a
> > > Derecks.
> > > Everybody knows thats trucks with Hazmat loads are the next target
for
> > > highjacking by terrorists. The dirty lil secret is that FBI and
homeland
> > > security are glad most trucks can put 35 watts and MUCH more with a
> > kicker.
> > > Go above 28mhz you may get a warning below that the FCC gave in and
> > > handed the ball to the locals who know thats if you have problems go
> bust
> > > chops on the guy with the big antena on his house(the ham).
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Basically, most of us don't CARE what the CBers do below 28 MHZ. That's
> the
> > whole point. Yet, Mr. H already HAS spent money tracking down
> > "freebanders".
> > He's still there. And the reason Riley can't always answer is, he is
often
> > out of the
> > office on speaking engagements. No one can argue with someone whose mind
> is
> > made up and who is determined to ignore the facts. CB Radio is a "cult"
> > phenom-
> > a "toy" that became something that was not at all intended. Privately,
> most
> > of the
> > FCC agents would tell you, if they KNEW you well, that they wish CB
would
> > just
> > die a natural death. OTH, the Amateur Radio Service is regarded in
> official
> > circles
> > as a proven resource that can be counted on in emergencies to provide
> > manpower
> > and professional, trained radio operators. Not "BREAK BREAK thar, mercy
> me,
> > thar, yuh gotta ole copy thar on this hyar Colorado Cowboy thar, go'rd
> 'haid
> > thar,
> > and kick hit back thar, shore thang thar, ah know whutcha mean thar,

shore
> > do thar, BREAK! SQUEAK!! <<g>> The 28 MHZ line IS and WILL BE
defended.
> >
> > J
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:CPkY8.65373$JU3.24962937@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > > I hate to burst your bubble, but that's how Derek's Trucking got his
> > > warning
> > > > in
> > > > Cary, NC. It's how an outfit in Idaho (I believe) got its warning.
> You
> > > > apparent-
> > > > ly don't know that Riley Hollingsworth is a ham as well as a legal
> > beagle
> > > > for FCC.
> > > > IF you don't think he is interested in protecting the ham bands from
> > > illegal
> > > > operators, you are sadly mistaken. If you want to see, at least 20
> > > examples
> > > > of
> > > > warnings and busts, some of which are those can-do-no-wrong
truckers,
> > just
> > > > visit
> > > > CB City's message board. Just about all those warnings originated
from
> > his
> > > > desk.
> > > > Tell ya what, why don't you ask Riley yourself about the 10 meter
> issue?
> > > > Send your email to rholling@fcc.gov . He DOES answer email, tho it
> may
> > > take
> > > > him a
> > > > few days to get around to it. I am telling you the guy IS
> serious--I've
> > > met
> > > > him. His
> > > > home town is only 35 miles from me and he comes thru here on his way

> > > there.
> > > > I
> > > > talk to him on local repeaters. He is also hell on rogue ham
operators
> > as
> > > > well, re-
> > > > cently popping 3 for operating on that precious "freeband". The
rest
> of
> > > us
> > > > are
> > > > HAPPY to see it and support his efforts to remove the bad operators
> > from
> > > > the
> > > > bands.
> > > >
> > > > I hate to harp on it, but ole Rabbit Ears down in Fla thought he was
> > > > invincible as well. Sure, he just kept on and on--which was what
got
> > him
> > > in
> > > > such hot water.
> > > > But if you can't see the trend, then no one can help you. If you
are
> > > hoping
> > > > for some
> > > > sort of radio "anarchy" it ain't gonna happen. Keep playing radio
> > > roulette,
> > > > and eventually your number will come up
> > > >
> > > > 73
> > > >
> > > > Jerry
> > > > Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:uj3aatd8utkkf5@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >

>
>

1217. Re: Looking for TS-570 hidden menu.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 13:30:55 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3D32CE8F.DA72EE29@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 130247
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

Administrator wrote:
>
> Hi all,
>
> My TS-570 is only putting out 60W on SSB. I want it to be appx. 100W. I know
> how to do that on a TS-50, 450 and 850, but for the 570 i seem to have to go
> in a service menu and change it there.
>
> Does anyone know how to do this? How to get in this menu and what settings
> to change?
>
> Thanks a mountain,
>
> Ton.

1) Push the button marked "PWR"
2) Turn the "MULTI" control to select the desired level.

Couldn't be simpler.
Dave

1218. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 13:32:30 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uj5jmb543ve8fd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130246
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Phil, rule number one when dealing with any type of law enforcement is not to taunt them. You do not pass go and do not collect $200.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message news:cuvyxnansvbet.gz8wcs9.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Sun, 14 Jul 2002 11:12:10 -0400, Big Horn 312 wrote:
>
> > I oprates where I wants to and a nobody cant stop me.
> > Any freekquency I wants is abailbable for my use. dont needs
> >no licsense.....see! ant the Union Northern gobernment aint
> >going to tell me the freekquency I cant oprate on.
>
> Until the gates at the Federal pen at Atlanta (that's the South,
> boy) clang shut behind you.
>
> The easy way to make that happen is to keep taunting and dissinmg the
> Feds that "it can't happen to me". They'll get around to you when you
> least expect it.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

1219. Re: Looking for TS-570 hidden menu.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 14:24:51 UTC
From: "Stephen C. Robbins" <srobbinsNoSPaMDUDES@netacc.net>
Message-ID: <3d32db38$1@news.netacc.net>
Source record: 130248
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,alt.ham-radio.mods,alt.radio.amateur,aus.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d32ac4d.1293703@news.cet.com...
>
> Do you think you cross-posted to enough groups to get your answer?
>

Hmm, looking at the groups that he posted to, they are all appropriate venues for the question he had (dubious on the rec.radio.cb though). Why would you discourage proper usage of newsgroups??

Steve

1220. Re: real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 14:44:16 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D32DFC0.43C3D97@qnet.com>
Source record: 130249

Hello Doc:

Isn't that one of the boys tube on 3860 or 3980?

Is that what they call the Charlie Xray Club?

Jay in the Mojave

'Doc wrote:
>
> Not that uncommon in commercial service, but absolutely
> worthless for even the 'above' average CB'er or ham. do
> you have any idea what it would take to run the thing?
> 'Doc

1221. OT - Definition of Outdoor Barbecuing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 14:55:39 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <xnBY8.2954$pv6.325440@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 130250

It's the only type of cooking a "real" man will do. When a man volunteers to do such cooking, the following sequence of events takes place:

1. The woman goes to the market to buy the food;
2. The woman fixes the salad, vegetables, and dessert;
3. The woman prepares the meat for cooking, places it on a tray along with the necessary cooking utensils, and takes it to the man, who is lounging beside the grill;
4. The man places the meat on the grill;
5. The woman goes inside to set the table and check the vegetables;
6. The woman comes out to tell the man that the meat is burning;
7. The man takes the meat off the grill and hands it to the woman;
8. The woman prepares the plates and brings them to the table;
9. After eating, the woman clears the table and does the dishes;
10. The man asks the woman how she enjoyed "her night off."

And, upon seeing her annoyed reaction, concludes that there's just no pleasing some women.

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

1222. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 15:02:04 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7438-3D32E3EC-115@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130252

From: wb5rue@qsl.net (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21444-3D2F02D7-100@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net... What I have done, is verified that the
procedure involved with the FCC takes much longer than six months for an actual court appearance to take place, let alone a conviction by the FCC.

>I guess you missed the part where I said that a great majority of these issues never make it to court. <

What did you do, drink all weekend and
do nothing but reply to my posts?
I guess you were drunk when you claimed that all 27 of them went to court since the 27 of December. Now here you are trying to enter another equation.

>The evidence is so overwhelming that the guy's an idiot to push the issue in court. What you have "verified" does not make me a liar. I believe I said something like these guys are either on their way to court, in court or through court. Please show me the lie. <

You said 27 were already IN court, all made to appear since Dec 27. See, you don;t know what you said.
Do you need to see it for yourself before you lie about it, deny it, and insult me for pointing it out?

>So then you HAVEN'T actually made contacts to "prove that I am lying."<

Of course I have.
Ask a lawyer that worked for the FCC is your time frame is even remotely possible. There is no chance of your lie being even remotely true. That won't cost you anything, as there is a hammie readily accessible that loves to disburse wisdom concerning his golden days with the feds. All you gotta do is ask, Muenzler, not dance.

>...and his name is? "Lawyers" don't work for the FCC. <

Wana bet?

>IF he used to
"work for the FCC" then he was a federal prosecutor assigned to the FCC.
<

Damn Kevin, the whole group is howling at your lame azz, as most of the group is aware of who this gent is and he was indeed an FCC lawyer. Instead of coming off like a jackass in all your posts and trying to

"get something on me", you really ought to slow down,,,you're making quite the fool of yourself with your ignorance.

>Please what's his name so I can verify his previous employer, his bar status, etc. <

Oh, man. Talk to Lelnad. He claims his name is Phil Kane. One of his crossposts are in here now. But merely watching you flop around like this is about as far as I can go.

>I understand now. YOU are lying. Or perhaps, again, "lying" is too strong of a word. You were misleading, you misrepresented what you had actually done, YOU LIED! <

(Chuckle) Hehhe..Sorry to burst your bubble, Kevin, but you're gonna have to wake up much earlier than that if you are going to look for something to personalize.

>Stop being paranoid Twistyboy. It wasn't mean personally, just like you calling me a liar is not meant personally. You're a hypocrite too.<

Actually, I'm not. I really haven't insulted you except for referring to you as a liar, and as you claim, it's really not a lie when it's true, so prove it's not true.
Now you are espousing and sharing your true ignorance concerning your non-knowledge of the FCC. But you did that once, concerning your lies abuot you assisting in getting ALL 27 radio violators to a federal court apearance since the 27of December. Rock on, lieboy.

>Did you "make calls" that were unable to verify my claims or did you make calls that were able to contradict my claims. There is a BIG difference. <

This is getting better by the day. Give you ego trippers enough rope and ya'll piss all over your own legs after the selfhangin's. Here's more fodder for your featherless cap..if the FCC brought anyone to court AND convicted them as you claim, within the time frame you claim, it would have been the FASTEST convictions for cb or hammie related behavior to date.

>Ok, again you are putting words in my mouth. Did I say convictions? <

Nope, you said busts.

>Nope, not once. Just as I never claimed to have made the busts. <

But you DID say busts. Why do keep saying you dind't say busts when you did?

>Just because someone has been served (received a Notice of Apparent Liability or "NAL") doesn't mean that they are convicted. You're causing your own confusion Twistyboy. <

Not at all, junior..you claimed busts, and you claimed 27, and you claimed all in Texas federal court since Dec. 27.

>You see I have never seen your brain, I don't know anyone else who has ever seen your brain. In fact I doubt that anyone in the entire world has seen your brain. So we can't verify that it exists at all. So if we use your logic of "unable to verify" then your brain doesn't exist. <

Your trouble remaining focused on the subject and attempts at making your agenda one of spewing your venom and insults my way is dangerously close to becoming trollish.

>No trouble remaining focused Twistyboy. <

Oh, so the trolling is intentional. Now how did we all figure that?

>I was showing how your logic doesn't work. <

With insults? You have PLENTY to learn, grasshopper. Effective communications skills, peppered with a bit of temperance and diplomacy will improve what you lack.
Logic, hmm? Logic that says when I point out you said something when you denied saying it, calls for you to tell me it was a figment of my imagination and that I'm a liar? Then not even the good graces to admit it when caught, but to blatantly and callously claim "I forgot?" With your logic, no one is interested. You're an island unto yourself.

>According to your logic since you can't verify my claims they are lies.<

According to ANYONE's logic, once can not verify lies, but one can prove it. Think about it.
But, you appaer begging for an explananation. According to journalistic standards, they are lies. A claim comes forward, it is asked of the claimant to provide proof. Either you produce it or you don't. Anything you say will not lend credibility to the claim without tangible proof. No proof, no story, and the claim is discarded and the source is marked as unreliable. Just like you. Your late attempts at saving face serve only to dig your hole deeper, such as the ignorance you shared concerning FCC lawyers.

>Since I can't verify the existence of your brain then, according to your logic, it doesn't exist. Sorry I made it so complicated for you. <

But everyone that frequents the ng can see the
lies you tell and lie about some more. Do you have an alcohol problem, Kev? You seem to be incapable of posting and focusing on any issue except for your intense personal dislike of me.

>I thought you don't make personal attacks TwistyBoy. Now we've added hypocrisy to twisting. <

It was a quesion, and you opened the door. I never said I don't get personal...matter of fact, I have always held that I return what I get. If you have a problem with it, you should dig what you are dishing out.

>They, like I have said, can see that you are the TwistMeister.<

They most certainly can, Kev, and all that have met me and most that have been around here any length of time also know I don't lie...period.

>No, you don't lie. You twist someone else's words around and call them a liar. <

You said 27, Kev..not me. You said "Busts", not me. You said you I began the insults, when I showed it was indeed you that began calling names. You said you assisted in getting them all to appear in federal court since the 27 of December, not I.

>Misrepresentation is the same as lying Twistyboy. Above is a perfect example. Now you say that I claimed convictions. I KNOW I never said that.<

Right, you said "busts." and clariied as much.

>So you say that I am incapable of focusing? <

Absolutely! Of course you are. You have now resulted to insults in each of your postings.

>Let's see. The original title of the thread was the I claimed 27 busts this year. Where has it gone from there. FCC OFFICIAL OBSERVERS, convictions, busts....<

Which has nothing to do with insults in all your posts, but you quickly seem anxious to glaze over this and change the subject yet again.
Also, you chose to focus on those instead of your big lie of 27 busts in Texas and your assistance in gettiing them ALL to federal court since the 27 of last December.

1223. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 15:08:26 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020715110826.03345.00000617@mb-bh.news.cs.com>
Source record: 130251

>>I'm sure glad it's not illegal to operate these puppies on 10...that is, of
>>course, assuming the op has a Tech "+" or higher class ticket.
>>
>>73 de Bert
>>WA2SI
>
>Actually, Bert, the way I read ALL the info that Mr LaForge sent me is
>that the FCC has administratively decided that the listed radios are
>'Bad Juju' (even thought they were at one time legally imported into
>the US), and not to be tolerated even in the hands of licensed
>amateurs.

I gotta say, I have a hard time believing that I cannot use my AR-3500 or Lincoln (HR-2510) legally on 10-meters. I've worked plenty of folks on 10 who were using the same rig. I wonder where we are in the FCC's list of priorities wrt enforcement? I was under the impression that a properly licensed US ARO could use Radio Moscow's transmitter if he/she could procure it and operated within his/her band and power limits. I also find it odd that the ARRL has reviews of said equipment, including the infamous Ranger RCI-2950DX...and that's recent too. If I find this to be true, I'll retire them to my "museum," a display case that holds my radio past.

>Check out
>
>www.members.aol.com/akwusmc/radios
>
>and see what you think.

Sorry, it didn't work for me. (My PC/OS perhaps?)

>Here's a hypothetical: If I'm an amateur operator, and I have one of
>these offending radios, but modify the unit to power down to 4w on the
>11m band, am I still a criminal?

If you actually transmit on the 11-meter band, absolutely!

>73

...and to you sir. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1224. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 15:16:31 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020715111631.03345.00000621@mb-bh.news.cs.com>
Source record: 130253

>It should be obvious that the FCC can see through the deceptive
>business practices of those that manufacture and market unauthorized
>radio equipment.

You'd think so, Frank...but why haven't they done much about it? It seems as if they're <Importers> right there for the picking...in plain sight?

>Technically yes, because the equipment, modified or not, is not
>certificated (type-accepted) for use on the CB.

As soon as you key up on the legal 40 or the "freeband" with a modified amateur radio, you're in violation

.>If the purpose of the
>modification is to comply with the intent of the law, the FCC probably
>wouldn't care just as long as you don't sell it

Do you really think the commission would display this level of tolerance? (Assuming they actually knew. I think it'd take a written confession to know if somebody's using a RCI-2950 on ch. 38 LSB @ 12 watts P.E.P., or an OTA admission.)

>, but it would be much
>easier just to get yourself a legal CB radio. And since most of these
>'imports' are junk even for amateur operation, get yourself a proper
>radio for that purpose as well.

Agreed. The Uniden Grant(s) or Washington can still be had as well as the newer Galaxy DX-2547 base.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1225. Re: real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 15:23:22 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D32E8D8.32454B86@icok.net>
Source record: 130254

Jay,
I don't know, but I'd be willing to place
a small wagger that who ever 'says' they're
running one, isn't.
'Doc

1226. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 15:25:18 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020715112518.03345.00000622@mb-bh.news.cs.com>
Source record: 130255

>> Assuming that Aaron is posting legit information, these radios can not
>> be operated on 10 meters: "As such, OET has further concluded
>> that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within the
>> United States for the reasons discussed below."
>
>No reason for me to post inaccurate FCC information, nor have I ever
>posted intentionally any inaccurate information on this NG, except
>within the context of an obvious satire.

I wholeheartedly believe that, Aaron.

>Regarding Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln, etc. You have to ask
>yourself....why hams would want to buy "10 meter radios" with 1-40
>"channel knobs" and 15 khz steps?

Speaking only wrt the Lincoln (HR-2510), I've made allot of contacts on 10 with 5 as the least significant digit of the frequency. Dunno, maybe because there are actually more of these rigs being used on 10 than is commonly believed. As to the "channel knobs," (Actually displays.) the manufacturer's are almost certainly targetting 11-meter ops as well. No argument there. BTW, that's 10 KHz steps and it's variable from 1 KHz through 100 KHz without having to go to the RIT, on the AR-3500 and Lincoln at least.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1227. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 15:31:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7437-3D32EADA-258@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130258

Muenzler, not caring what I believe,
replied in his 7th unanswered response to me in 2 long,twisty obsessed days:

>I've learned that one of you twisting tactics is to quote (and I use that term VERY loosely) out of context, which you have just done. <

You said it, I quoted it. It's not my fault you have pisspoor communication skills and can't express yourself without getting frustrated.

>At that point you were on the idea that I had claimed to have busted someone. I didn't and this sentence doesn't say that either. <

But in your post you said "NEVER". Now you are attempting to say "USUALLY".
The two have separate meanings and are NOT interchangeable. So we now have you lying after I told you that you had said it. You instantly denied it (you LIED).

>Again, "quoting" out of context and combining two issues. You accused me of saying that I was an "FCC OFFICIAL OBSERVER." What I said is that I never said that I was an "FCC OFFICIAL OBSERVER."<

No silly, what you said, once again is, "It was me and 14 other official FCC observers..."

>What I USUALLY say is that I am an Official Observer <

What you usually says means nothing. What you did say is everything.

>(notice, FCC not there). <

Yea, I noticed you have dropped it since I pointed it out.

>But what I am is a volunteer member of the FCC Amateur Auxiliary which can be said to be an FCC Official Observer. <

By you.
The FCC doesn't use the term when referring to you, neither does the ARRL..I checked.

>I USUALLY don't say that. <

But you did. You might not usually lie too, but you did, too.

>It's still true I am an FCC OFFICIAL OBSERVER as a volunteer in the FCC Amateur Auxiliary. Twist it as you want. You can call me an "FCC OFFICIAL OBSERVER," you can call me an "OFFICIAL FCC OBSERVER" (which is

intuitively incorrect because I don't observe the FCC), you can call me a "MEMBER OF THE FCC AMATEUR AUXILIARY," you can call me an "OFFICIAL OBSERVER" but you doesn't have to call me Johnson. (maybe that's before your time Twisty.<

Your assumptions preclude your ignorance in many cases. Ray jay indeed. I had to actually show you your own words, and now you say you didn't really MEAN it that way, which is exactly what I have been showing all along...that you really don;'t know what you mean. Your lies have serously muffed you up, and you sit and attack the messenger for pointing it out and merely asking confirmation..

>No, it's you who don't know what I mean, <

Actually, it's you who says something then says you didn't, then I show it to you, and you hang your head and scratch yourself and hurl an insult or three and then quickly bring up another subject or claim you were misunderstood and attack me. You wouldn't be misunderstood if your poor communication skills improved.

see the above response.

>It's confirmed above and the messenger has been
tampering with (twisting) the message, that's the issue here.
  don't refer to Official Observers as "FCC Official Observers" but if you really want to get technical <

No, I don't want to get technical, but i am certain you do, as your mangy tail is caught in the door and you would rather talk about "technicalities" while accusing ME of semantics. No, we will stay with the issue at hand.....YOUR words, more of what you claim you NEVER said.

>Then what's the issue of whether I call myself an OFFICIAL FCC OBSERVER (your words), an OFFICIAL OBSERVER, an FCC OFFICIAL OBSERVER or a member of the FCC Amateur Auxiliary? They all mean the same thing. <

They may to you, but I assure you, your ignorance isn't as widespread as you think.
The "issue" hasn't changed, it's your lies concerning 27 folks in a federal court since Dec that YOU claimed you helped put there.

>It was me and 14 other official FCC observers..<

>we are "FCC Official Observers."
And your point is? So I couldn't remember using those exact words. So what. It's not a lie to say that I didn't say something. <

When you said it, then deny it and tell me I'm twisting your words and deny saying it again, it's a lie.

>I'm sure you've never done this before. <

I have no reason to lie. I was taught this as a young'un and if I did I got the cat o' nine tails.

We do things differently around these here parts..if a man's word ain't no good, neither is the rest of him. A man's word and a handshake is still all that's used among many of us crackers.

>Ok, "you caught me!" Was I lying? Nope. I just couldn't remember saying those exact words.<

Well, that WOULD have been the case, but you accused me of twisting your words, claiming I was a liar for merely claiming what you indeed said. That shows malicious INTENT at the most, and you don't know what you're talking about, at the least.

>Were those exact words a lie? Nope. But I'm sure that this little technicality will become the next issue. <

It's only one of many, and it WAS only a mere technicality until you blew it all out of proportion by saying I was taking it out of context and denying you said it, when in fact you did.
It doesn't matter if you call yourself keystone cops, the fact remains that you are confused and lying like hell. ANOTHER claim you made, then claimed you didn't, then were shown where you did, and are swallowing crow as quick as your mouth will allow me to shove it in.
>Nope, no crow here. <

Just because you can't see what happened to you don't mean the rest of the world has trouble communicating and following.

>Read above. If I had said that I am 73 inches tall
once and not remembered saying it would it be a lie to say that I'm 6'1" tall? Nope because both are true.<

But you denied you said these things. Since it wasn't true, it effectively reduces your flawed analogy to that of nil.

>Again, you are arguing semantics which seems to be the only thing you can argue. <

You're arguing...I'm showing you where you are muffing up with each passing post.
And they are not semantics, Kevin.

>Sure is! Whether I say I am an OO, FCC OO or Official FCC Observer doesn't make a difference. They are all true. <

They were lies and shown as much. I am beginning to wonder if you even know what semantics are.

>You are arguing nothing more than semantics which means you arguments are over the phrasing of what I said and not the meaning of what I said. That's what it means to argue semantics.<

You are making these mundane items the focus here, not I. I prefer the meat of the matter, the lie where you claimed 27 in federal court on radio related charges/infractions, all made to appear, and all since the

27 of December...
Now you are
attempting to debate another subject, one of what you MEANT to say, one of which you are now attempting to imply you meant.

>Oh, the way that you IMPLIED that you want money for information that you had already obtained? Semantics?<

Not at all.....as you said, as "I" obtained. You appear to want something for nothing, like all freeloaders. You don't want to work for it yourself but are too cheap to purchase it, asking someone else to do your work.
But the issue was,
and still is, you, are a liar. You asked for proof, I gave you several examples.

>No you argued that I said something about being an OFFICIAL FCC OBSERVER.<

Effectively, too, I might add.

>I haven't seen one bit of proof about anything else. You said that I lied about the "busts," where's the proof? You have "pinned me down" on the phrasing of something that I said and nothing more. <

I've pinned you down on several claims you made then recanted. You would rather harp on one that appears benign to you as opposed to actually discussing your lie concerning 27 people you assisted in getting to federal court for radio related infractions all since the 27 of Dec. You call it semantics, the fact remains that you referred to yourself as an FCC observer, then turned around and lied about it, claiming you NEVER said it, that it was a figment of my imagination.

>Is this the only song you know?<

It wouldn't be an issue if you never said it. You compounded the matter by denying it after you said it.

> Get over it, <

It also appears itis YOU that can't get over anything, frittering away attempting to explain all your lies and incorrect denials. That's quite different than what one would expect from one who didn't care WHAT I believed.

>you are arguing semantics
over what I had forgotten that I had said. <
I
f you had merely forgotten it, you wouldn't have hurled insults my way when I pointed it out.

>Like I said, and you ignored, I don't usually say "OFFICIAL FCC OBSERVER" so I had forgotten I had said it. So what if I did say it, it's true. You think you've pinned me down over a semantic issue. Grow

up. <

I've pinned you down over lies...several of them.
...
>Check out: http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/1999/04/08/3/ The title of this article is "FCC Official Acknowledges OOs Really Are 'Official'" <

They may be "official", but the designation of FCC is NOT to be found anywhere
in the title by them. It is YOU that chose to refer to yourself as such.

>Also: http://www.arrl.org/FandES/field/org/oo.html No, we are not paid by the FCC to technically (you seem to love technicalities) we don't work for the FCC. We are volunteers. The results are the same, busted illegal operators.<

Nevertheless, you said you NEVER said it, that it was a figment of my imagination. Not only have you demonstrated your fondness for lying, but you have demonstrated beyond a shadow of doubt that you can not be impartial when it comes to legal issues or agendas and have no business being in a position of authority. Your meted insults may serve well filed with a complaint concerning your integrity and ability to remain fair involving anything that calls for offical judgement on your behalf.

>BWWAAAAAAHAAAAAHAAAAAHAAAAA! You are truly a legend in your own mind Twistyboy! <

This isn't about me, as much as you wish it to be, it's about you failing to produce any substance concerning your lying claim of assisting the ARRL in an official capacity in attaining federal court appearances for 27 radio related violators all in Texas since Dec 27.

Moving on...we have your amusing snap:
>"BZZT! Strike two. I never claimed a single bust. Again, a product of your imagination." <

But again, from your first post, we have not only you denying the "prosecutions" but actually CLARIFYING that they were busts. Let's see...
>""I don't believe I ever said successfully prosecuted I said "busts." If I did then it was misleading and unintentional." <

>You are taking me out of context again.<

Again? If anything, you took yourself out of context, but since that is an improbability, it is safe to point out that they were deliberate lies They may not have been all malicious at first, but when you become so angry that you deny everything you said, it shows you a liar. What part of you claiming you did make a bust then you didn't make a bust, or what part of you denying you never called yourself an official FCC observer when in fact you did and denied it, or what part of your other lies do you feel were taken out of context? I mean, YOU made the claims, then deny them. Are your communication skills that poor that you fail to

express yourself, can't find the correct words? Attempting to blame your lies on your poor communication skills might fly with a newbie, but you've been around for a while....lying. BUT, you run on ahead and try and convince the world at large that you were quoted out of context each and every time. Call me when you think you were successful.

>Ok, you got me on this one.<

I got you on several so far. And you never even had the good grace to admit it.

>I never said that I made busts. That was your twisting. <

No it wasn't..here it is again....your quote:
>""I don't believe I ever said successfully prosecuted I said "busts. If I did then it was misleading and unintentional"<
So you not only said "busts", but clarified it by saying you never claimed they were prosecutions, but merely busts.

>But please feel free to scan this entire thread (and the previous one) to see if I did claim making busts. <

I just showed it to you. Ease up on the liquor.

>It's not my communication skills but your reading and interpretation skills (twisting skills) that are in question here. Apparently you (and maybe Moped) are the only ones having trouble understanding what I said. I never said that I made busts. <

Nope, you didn't. What you actually wrote, verbatim (again) was:
>"BZZT! Strike two. I never claimed a single bust. Again, a product of your imagination."<

>Now that you admit that I never claimed to have made busts I suppose this part is settled. <

But, we find you CLAIMING, it WAS a bust, after yet ANOTHER denial that you never said it...
To wit:
>"I don't believe I ever said successfully prosecuted I said "busts." If I did then it was misleading and unintentional." <
Oh, so once again, you said it, but didn't mean it, but didn't mean it, but said it, but meant it and didn't believe you said it, then just denied it, and are back claiming you didn't mean it when in fact have been shown you said it. We know, Muenzler, we know.

>I said that I participated in busts. <

Bullshit, Kevin..here it is again...>"I don't believe I ever said successfully prosecuted I SAID "BUSTS." If I did then it was misleading and unintentional.<

And then made several posts that they weren't "busts" at all, that the word "bust" was my choice. I once again showed that to be another in a

long string of bold-faced lies, and now you have flip-flopped yet AGAIN, and are attempting to convey and palm off your twisted definitions of busts, claiming once again, that they did happen, but not in the manner in which you applied the term. That's all nice and stuff, but, just like you denying calling yourse;lf an FCC observer, that really isn't the issue, is it Kev 'ol boy? No, the issue is (again) many of your claims have been shown to be lies. Where there is smoke there is fire.

>Ok, I'll bite on this one too. Since you are having such a hard time understand the meaning here's how it works. I am a witness providing evidence for a bust that the FCC makes. Yes that means I participated in a bust.<

Oh, now it's a single bust? Not 27 like you originally claimed? Not all in federal court since the 27 of December? Involved in 27 ongoing cases all at once, you must be the first witness in history to be involved in and testifying on that many separate cases simultaneously.

>I was not there, I did not deliver the notice, I did none of the "cop" things but I certainly participated in the effort. Twist that as you wish.<

Just clarify it, how did you participate? And...you said 27, now you're talking as if it's a single bust. Big difference. Where's the other 26?

>Only the FCC can make the busts. <

But YOU used the word.

>Like I have said a couple of times, me and
several others provided
evidence for these busts. We never made the busts. You are arguing semantics and taking me out of context. <

You never made the busts, but you sure lied like hell. You said you never said things, Kevin, and have been shown you did. You can't even own up to them and fail miserablly trying to worm your way out of lie after lie.
Lie upon lie upon lie....

>Ditto on what I said before. Twist upon twist. Truly a legend in your own mind.<

Yet another hammie truly obsessed and concerned with what a lowly cber thinks of himself. You will fare much better around here, Muenzler, when you learn this isn't a personal issue.

>When did I say it was a personal issue? <

Insults and your concern with what I think of myself is quite personal.

>Nope, <

Oh, yea, it really is.

>never said that or even eluded to this. Again your imagination is getting the best of you. <

Your actions speak louder than your communication-challenged words.

>You were the one claiming that I personally disliked you.<

You insult people you like? You insult everyone you debate or who disagrees with you? Do you insult all who remind you that you actually said something you denied saying? It figures, as this would follow sui with your piss poor communication skilss and in not being able to effectively convey what you mean.
Maybe people like you and Frank
have no family or life so you make us your world, and assign likes and dislikes to us all.

>I know what your problem is, you have Bipolar Disorder. A bit of Lithium will take care of that.<

Drug and alcohol free. Won't even take an aspirin. Those chemicals will screw ya up more than anything, but I see you are of the mindset that drugs are the answer to your problems.
You really need to get over yourself. I am just now learning this fractured ego thing in hammie radio has quarantined more than just certain nocodes. I believe you're the highest ranking AKC member I have seen to date that is so obviously angered at what he has no control over. You need a ranking, since you obviously are the highest of the pioused.

>You got me on this one. Twist it a different way so that a person with normal reasoning can understand it.<

A person with normal reasoning has seen you're already sunk.
You have the highest level of hammie stature among your new circle of fiends. You're king of the nocodes 'round here.

>I'm still waiting on those phone numbers, names and court names. <

And I don't work for free.

>Yeah right! I'm not as stupid as I think you are.<

But your insults are actions that prove otherwise.

>Post the information and send me a bill.
  Look up my name and address in the FCC directory. You have my callsign. <

Gladly pay me Tuesday for a hamburger today.
I'm tempted to post a single link proving you wrong yet again with another claim, but you'll just call it semantics.

>Post it! No guts no glory Twistyboy. Go out on a limb.
Kevin, WB5RUE<

If there was an actual need, I would do it. What you fai to recognize, is just how many ARRL members are actually watching this thread and cringing every time you post, hoping you don't slop things up any worse for yourself or them than you have already managed..
There are several members present here, as well as a few hammies who would love nothing more than my freebanding azz to eat some of that nasty crow I been shoving down your/their throats. If anything you claimed concerning this bullshit was true, it would have been posted long before I had to disprove it. Not one hammie or ARRL member has spoken up for you, Kevin..they want no part of this one, as they know what time it is and they sure as hell don't want in on any of the mess you created for yourself.

1228. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 15:34:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7439-3D32EB68-55@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130257

From: wb5rue@qsl.net (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:15083-3D2F0E93-290@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net... Dave
Wrote:
Anyone that is so concerned with verifying a post made on a USENET
newsgroup, that they actually paid money to do the leg work, has some
serious problems recognizing priorities in their life.<

To which Twistyboy Twisted:
I agree. Muenzler is the one that originally mentioned money needed to
exchange hands in order for information to be had.

>Wrong Twistyboy! YOU were the one who brought the idea of money into
this. You LIE again.<

Sigh! Kevin, not only are you a liar, I now believe you to be a drunk.
YOU were the first one that brought up the subject of money, claiming
that these courthouse services were only available for a fee. Since that
is what you believed, what makes you think I will give you the
information free?
Following the thread the last few days, I'm not at all concerned with
the general consensus, but you should be. Nevertheless, I even accept
personal checks, but there will be ten day hold on all out of state
checks and a 25.00 NSF fee.

>Were these NOT your words? <

Absolutely...long after you claimed certain information cost money to
collect.

>You accept personal checks for what?<

My services.

>But to get back to my original challenge; Names, Phone Numbers.....
Kevin, WB5RUE<

Of course. And to get back to mine......How many results I provide will
be up to you. One will get you one in return. After all I asked first,
you should answer first, it is common decency and etiquette, which you
have proved you have none by sitting here replying to posts by me
Friday, getting no response, trying again Satrday, getting no response,
and finally again on Sunday, with still no response. All this from one
who claims he doesn't care what I believe.

1229. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC//Attn: BILL NELSON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 15:40:04 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7438-3D32ECD4-117@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130262

From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<CPkY8.65373$JU3.24962937@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>. Basically,
most of us don't CARE what the CBers do below 28 MHZ.
Very true, historically, hams don't care about what CB'ers did on their
own CB "property".<

No. That's not what he said, yet you lent yourself in agreement but fail
by attempting to set forth something other than what you claim to agree
with. Regardless your bias, the fact remains, most hammies don't care
what happens below 28 MHZ, "property" or not...next time, try not to
speak for another and you won't come off so unrefined.

>Because of this, CB'ers moved on to trashing the "neighbors property",
too. <

How you arrived at your mistaken conclusion, nobody even cares..I base
this on how many ask the questions you pose to yourself.

>I'm not saying that hams should be vigilantes or do the FCC's job of
policing the spectrum, <

It doesn't matter what you say.

>but I think that when you see<

And it damn sure never mattered what you thought.

>a neighbors property being trashed <

Tangible vs. a "what-if" non-sequitur...you're getting desperate...like
you're all outta wind.

>noyou shouldn't wink <

YOU should get over yourself and this compulsion you have that causes
you to feel you have the right to tell people what they should and
shouldn't do. It's definitely an inferiority complex shining brightly on
you underground.

>and grin at the vandals and tell them "As long as
you leave my place alone I don't care what you do!" because, sure as the
sun rises, they'll be coming onto your property next. <

Do you take this view with world politics or just domestic radio
hobbies?

>Being a good citizen benefits everyone. <

Your definition of a good citizen means something only to you, evidenced by your underground status. You were so embarassed with yourself, so ashamed, so unable to control yourself among the lowly cbers, you were forced into exile.

>Like it or not, the two services (CB and ARS) are inextricably linked, and the more mature of the two has a responsibility to be aware of what goes on in "the neighborhood".<

Balderdash.
Hammies have no more responsibilty
with cbers than you do with anything.

>Do a search on "Kitty Genovese" for an interesting analogy.... -A <

Your analogies have all been flawed.

1230. Re: "MOPEDHOLIC" Puke Bag -- SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 15:42:44 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d32ebbe$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130256

I didn't say that. You're learning from the TwistyBoy method of posting.
Twisting words and downright LYING about what someone has said. You and
Twistyboy must be drinking from the same Methanol can again.

Kevin, WB5RUE

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020714131230.347$nq@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>You're funny looking . A bit excessive but funny looking.
> >
> > Kevin, WB5RUE
> >
> Agreed.
>
> http://www.geocities.com/aarronvoobner/
>

1231. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 15:44:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7438-3D32EDD1-118@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130263

Since you choose to pose questions to yourself and answer them yourself, there is no harm in believing yourself.
  Just in case you are hoping some unsuspecting soul may make up their mind whether to operate what you deem illegal or not, I'll disseminate the BS you have posted below. You should present the entire picture and not just that of which you agree.If you were more honest and didn't intentionally try to spread so much disinformation you wouldn't have to contend with what you do.

>A. You may unknowingly cause interference to legitimate communications. Many of these frequencies may appear, to the casual listener, to be unused.<

And indeed, many of the frequencies
are unused.

>Some of the authorized users may be using emission types which can not be plainly detected.<

And some of the users are no more than
freebanders. Some of the frequencies are empty and deserted.

>Or, their transmissions may be intermittent. <

Or there may be no transmission at all.
There may be no "they".

>You will not hear any legitimate signals within the designated radioastronomy bands. <

Posting said bands would carry more weight than anything you could possibly muster to the table.

>However, just because a frequency sounds clear, that does not mean that it can be used without proper authorization. <

Yup. And just because a certain frequency is not part of the cb or hammie band, doesn't mean it's NOT safe to talk on.

>Q. What are these other frequencies between 24 and 32 MHz used for? <

Again, you ask a question for your entertainment only. I decimate them for mine.

>A. These frequencies are assigned to many different licensed radio services, both in the United States, as well as in other countries.<

Not all of them, not even the majority of them.

>Authorized users include Maritime and Aeronautical radio stations, standard time and frequency stations, international broadcasting stations, amateur radio, and government stations. A portion of this spectrum is also allocated to radioastronomy, where scientists are attempting to listen to very weak radio signals coming from distant stars and galaxies.<

Look, stargazer.....the freeband portion of spectrum you speak of that actually gets used is incredibly small. We had this debate before and you were going to produce some proof from a company, any company, that was using a freeband frequency indicated and assigned, that suffered from interference due to freebanders. That endeavor died as quick as your claims.

>No radio transmitters of any kind are permitted within these radioastronomy bands, so any earth-bound transmitted signals can completely disrupt radioastronomy observations. -A<

Post the freeband frequencies you feel are threatened by freebanders and don't hesitate to cite an incident. Personally, I think your fears are on the same level as your expected reply resulting from your search for the andromeda creature.

1232. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 15:55:34 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d32eebf$0$13954$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130259

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:K5rY8.105581$eF5.2947494@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d31fc40.6915277@news.cet.com...
> > In <3d2e3b79$0$13956$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>, "Kevin Muenzler,
> > WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote:
> >
> > <snip>
> > >RFI is RARELY the fault of the transmitter.
> >
> > Most consumer electronics have adequate rejection of RFI under normal
> > circumstances. But they don't usually build stuff to reject signals
> > from your neighbor's 1kW transmitter. These are not considered to be
> > "typical operating conditions" for consumer electronics. So in that
> > respect I would agree with you; except:
> >
> > Even high-power RF can be done with little RFI if it's done cleanly.
> > If your signal is going out only on it's intended frequency, there are
> > going to be a lot fewer problems with RFI than if your signal is being
> > splattered up the spectrum where it has a much greater chance of
> > finding a resonant circuit in the neighbor's television. There are
> > plenty of people that live next door to hams that don't have problems
> > with RFI, not because their home electronics are protected against it,
> > but because the ham is operating a clean station.
> >
> > And then there is the problem of IF amplification: the IF of the CB is
> > attenuated enough for legal power, but hook the output to an amplifier
> > and it becomes a broadcast station to any receiver using the same IF.
> > And since the IF path in the receiver is where most of the signal gain
> > occurs, it doesn't take a very strong signal to be received. I'm
> > finding this to be the case more and more often when RFI is affecting
> > a whole neighborhood, and almost always happens at the 10.7MHz IF.
> >
> > So for those reasons I believe your statement is wrong.
> >
>
> Frank, what you just posted is bullshit and you know it. Most RFI is due
to
> audio circuits amplifying the signals induced upon them. Stop spewing your
> voodoo electronic hocus-pocus. Sure, it's possible, but not likely.
>

There's nothing wrong with what he said. If there is then do more than just call it "bullshit" and enumerate. What parts do you think are wrong? Set us all straight.

Kevin, WB5RUE

1233. Re: CFB vs Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 15:58:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7438-3D32F143-119@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130264

Yeti reached far into his vernacular and came up with:
>You don't get it: There are some ignorant twats who that think that anyone that doesn't have a ham license is a CBer.<

How articulate. Now where have we all heard that term before? Nice going, Frank.

1234. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 16:02:56 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d32f079$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130260

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d31fc40.6915277@news.cet.com...
> In <3d2e3b79$0$13956$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>, "Kevin Muenzler,
> WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >RFI is RARELY the fault of the transmitter.
>
> Most consumer electronics have adequate rejection of RFI under normal
> circumstances. But they don't usually build stuff to reject signals
> from your neighbor's 1kW transmitter. These are not considered to be
> "typical operating conditions" for consumer electronics. So in that
> respect I would agree with you; except:
>
> Even high-power RF can be done with little RFI if it's done cleanly.
> If your signal is going out only on it's intended frequency, there are
> going to be a lot fewer problems with RFI than if your signal is being
> splattered up the spectrum where it has a much greater chance of
> finding a resonant circuit in the neighbor's television. There are
> plenty of people that live next door to hams that don't have problems
> with RFI, not because their home electronics are protected against it,
> but because the ham is operating a clean station.
>
> And then there is the problem of IF amplification: the IF of the CB is
> attenuated enough for legal power, but hook the output to an amplifier
> and it becomes a broadcast station to any receiver using the same IF.
> And since the IF path in the receiver is where most of the signal gain
> occurs, it doesn't take a very strong signal to be received. I'm
> finding this to be the case more and more often when RFI is affecting
> a whole neighborhood, and almost always happens at the 10.7MHz IF.
>
> So for those reasons I believe your statement is wrong.
>

I have no argument with what you say. It's well thought out and concise.
But note, I said "RARELY." But what I should have also included (maybe I
did) is that when I say that "RFI is rarely the fault of the transmitter" I
mean legal, stock transmitters that have not been modified and are operating
in accordance with their original design. CB transmitters are NOT designed
to be operated behind an RF power amplifier. If they were then the
manufacturers would have to build into them much cleaner oscillators and
have much better isolation between the various IF and mixer stages. While
CB transmitters meet the FCC's MINIMUM standards that's all the have to do.
As you stated when you place one behind a "linear," and I use that term very
loosely since most CB amps are not linear, not only do you amplify the

intended 27MHz signal but EVERY other signal that comes out of the antenna, including all of the phase noise created by the poorly designed PLL circuitry.

In my experiences I can't think of very many instances where the RFI was caused by a PROPERLY OPERATING transmitter. The latest is with a digital satellite TV that I just installed. I could key up on 2-meters and the system would go "dead." The signal strength would go to zero and the system would completely lose the lock on the satellite. When I would unkey it would come right back. No I wasn't running a KW but only 50 watts into a J-Pole that was 100 feet from the dish. What was the cure? Three 8" loops in the coax feeding the dish. Now the signal quality and signal strength indicators don't even budge.

Kevin, WB5RUE

1235. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 16:10:52 UTC
From: Sky Rocket <SkyRocket@27955Group.org>
Message-ID: <agus6f$kt6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 130261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

Herb's I-85 Truckstop. Gigantic shipment of imported
101 channel radios just in, also new selection of linear amps.,
both new & used.

Standing by on 27830 lower side with more information.

Sky Rocket

1236. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 17:42:34 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <5ODY8.31947$1q2.1915417@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

UM, care to tell us what town that is on I-85 so I can go get one of them
101 "channel" reddios??

J
Sky Rocket <SkyRocket@27955Group.org> wrote in message
news:agus6f$kt6$0@pita.alt.net...
> Herb's I-85 Truckstop. Gigantic shipment of imported
> 101 channel radios just in, also new selection of linear amps.,
> both new & used.
>
> Standing by on 27830 lower side with more information.
>
> Sky Rocket
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1237. Re: The napster syndrome

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 17:48:05 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <hTDY8.32026$1q2.1916776@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130266

Craig Petersen <n7uqa@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:uj4ib86elolr69@corp.supernews.com...
> Kenneth wrote:
>
> > Remember the Napster flap. All these dope smoking rock stars crying like
> > ARRL members? Well they succeded in shuting down Napster.
> > The result is that now there are about 20 different programs for
> > shareing
> > files and downloading free music. Its worse then ever. This was an
> > unintended consequence. The rock stars really believed once Napster was
> > gone no one would swap files. Now record sales have slumped even lower
and
> > more music is free then ever b4.
>
> The "dope smoking rock stars" were just pawns, it was the MPAA and RIAA
that
> were able to shut Napster and Audio Galaxy down.
>
> > Hams should clean up there own sorry mess before coming over to
11meters
> > to complain.
>
> This has been happening for several years now, the bands are getting much
> better. Most of the long time lids on 75,40 and 20 meters are gone. Hams
> that run their gear on CB and the "freeband" get what they deserve. My hat
> goes off to Mr. Hollingsworth
>
> When are CBers goin to ask Mr. Hollingsworth to help clean up their
service?
> In fact,why do CBers find it so dificult to stay off the ham bands?
>
> > Someday the FCC may become the Federal Digital Comunications
> > Commision and decided that Nextel quick-connect can replace the whole
> > friggen mess.
>
> I doubt this highly, this is the first system to fall apart in an
emergency.
> Centeral point systems are too easy to break under heavy user load.
>
>
> > Except for cb's Truckers will have those long after Ham dies.
> > "aint no feeling like chicken mobiling"
>
>

> I don't think so, CBers have abused their service for so long that it's
> completely useless. What makes you think CB is going to out live Amateur
> Radio? I don't see the CB manufactures comming out with any thing really
> new, it's just the same old stuff they have been making for the 25 years.
I
> would say it's safe to say CB will eventually be replaced by FRS and GMRS.

THAT has, indeed, been discussed and, yes, CB radio is stuck in 1958--right
where it started.

J
>
> --
> Linux Powered...
>
> Craig - N7UQA

1238. Re: CFB vs Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 17:59:39 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D32EFB7.39491ECF@mailcity.net>
Source record: 130267

Power House wrote:
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3D31AA48.3A95D555@mailcity.net...
> >
> >
> > BZZZZZT!! He is just a cber operating illegally in the ham band.
>
> Your mind is working over-time here... A person is not a CBer unless they
> are in the band designated as CB use. If a person is in the ham band , then
> they certainly can't be a CBer at that given time. I don't know of any ham
> bands that are designated as CB, do you? Didn't think so...
>
> PH

Again, they are just a cber that is operating illegally on the ham
band.

1239. Re: real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 18:05:28 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <I9EY8.103621$UT.6683563@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130268

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3D32DFC0.43C3D97@qnet.com...
> Hello Doc:
>
> Isn't that one of the boys tube on 3860 or 3980?
>
> Is that what they call the Charlie Xray Club?
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>

Could be. In the days I had anything to do with 4CX5000s they were generally in commercial FM service. The power supply was a 3-phase bridge running about 5.5kv @ 1.25 amps. Ah the glow of the 866/872 mercury rectifiers at 2 AM when the nitrogen ran out of the coax and the stripline final tank melted.

Bionic Klutz

1240. Re: This one's for Aaron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 18:39:37 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uj65m8jjubeo6a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130269

I tend to believe he was learning from the folks that tell everyone to do whatever they want to do. Where have I seen that?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:uj4fuddj5ksp2c@corp.supernews.com...
> A Ham is a Ham is a Ham.He was one of yours. CBers are better behaved. Hams
> try to talk like a cber when harrasing people to taint our illustrious
> hobby. You Hamies REALLY need to clean up your act.
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:rYqY8.28985$Iu6.1623840@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> > news:uj4ehlctqor1aa@corp.supernews.com...
> > > He was a Ham. He had a liscense.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Yup, he passed an exam. He did not take Holy Orders. Your point??
> >
> >
>
>

1241. Re: New to CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 18:42:51 UTC
From: Phildo <Phil@phildo.net>
Message-ID: <agv5d5$p0fap$1@ID-77649.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 130270

"RUSTYAMERI" <rustyameri@aol.comPROMARS> wrote in message
news:20020616231510.11985.00001289@mb-fi.aol.com...
> I recommend to stay away from this style antenna.

And I recommend you don't believe a word this guy says. He's a bullshit artist. Go to
http://groups.google.com/groups?dq=&hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&threadm=20020707144630.23698.00003314%40mb-fi.aol.com&rnum=1&prev=/groups%3Fq%3Dg:thl2655590033d%26dq%3D%26hl%3Den%26lr%3D%26ie%3DUTF-8%26oe%3DUTF-8%26selm%3D20020707144630.23698.00003314%2540mb-fi.aol.com and read from post 79 onwards.

Phildo

1242. Re: real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 18:46:37 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D33188D.287CDE2@qnet.com>
Source record: 130271

Hello Doc:

I am sure there plenty of boasting and such over whos the toughest kid on the block, but I wouldn't but it past some of the boys to be able to source a monster like this. Especially from the boys in the broadcast industry.

Jay in the Mojave

'Doc wrote:
>
> Jay,
> I don't know, but I'd be willing to place
> a small wagger that who ever 'says' they're
> running one, isn't.
> 'Doc

1243. Re: real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 18:52:24 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uj66e8gv6jmcab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130272

Ahhhh, Dick ... reminds me of the time I had sign off and the following sign on at a commercial radio station down in (was it Elkton?) Maryland. Stupid alarm clock didn't go off and I woke up - and it was 60 seconds before sign on! Fire the filaments, turn on the consoles, and pray like heck the mercury vapors would hold when I hit 'em with the hv for the plates. You would have laughed as I ran around like a chicken with its' head cut off ... where's the stupid sign on tape? Grab a couple of records. Rip paper off the teletype. Keep watching the seconds tick down ... pray ... better throw in a 'Hail Mary' as well ... gawd, I need a carrier ... with the dumb things hold after only 30 seconds? Console is working. One more 'Hail Mary' and hit the switch and ducked. Nothing happened. Oh yes it did! A BEAUTIFUL carrier! Hit the tape deck with the sign on and go to the john to clean out my drawers. It was a sight to see (well, maybe not cleaning out my drawers)!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:I9EY8.103621$UT.6683563@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> news:3D32DFC0.43C3D97@qnet.com...
> > Hello Doc:
> >
> > Isn't that one of the boys tube on 3860 or 3980?
> >
> > Is that what they call the Charlie Xray Club?
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave
> >
>
> Could be. In the days I had anything to do with 4CX5000s they were
> generally in commercial FM service. The power supply was a 3-phase bridge
> running about 5.5kv @ 1.25 amps. Ah the glow of the 866/872 mercury
> rectifiers at 2 AM when the nitrogen ran out of the coax and the stripline
> final tank melted.
>
> Bionic Klutz
>
>

1244. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 19:10:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <64FY8.33071$1q2.1938809@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130273

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj4d6j2qfe3a40@corp.supernews.com...
> I agree totally Rich. I went to the QRZ.com site and did a search for msgs
> on cb 11m etc and those losers make voob look human! Apparently only the
> best of the hams come to this ng. This is one of the best and most
enjoyable
> ng's around. Ever visit alt.ham.dx? Its maybe a hundred posts(compared to
> 31962 here) and most posts where "where is everybody"
> The funny thing is that the subject of cb gets more posts then
anything
> else among the Hams. Hams talk more about cb and freebanding then anything
> else.
> Ofcourse both Ham rigs and Cb rigs are toys. CB has legitament commercial
> use wher Ham has no legit use and are truely toys. CB is one of the most
> exciting hobbies out there and even Hams recognize this.

OK, you asked for this: Twisted, this is NOT meant as a flame, but is
directed
at Kenneth, and Kenneth alone. Let's look at your allegation that "CB has
'legiti-
mate' commercial use wher (sic) Ham has no legit use are are truly toys."
If what
you say is true, then WHY did the emergency services people ask for Amateur
radio support when the Twin Towers fell and NOT CB? Why did the Dutch
family
whose yacht was attacked by pirates ask for help from the Amateur's Maritime
Mobile Service Net instead of screaming on a CB "BREAK THAR ON THIS H'YAR
MERCY ME, GRE'T DAY 'LIVE THAR CB THAR, YUH GOT AN OLE COPY THAR, MERCY ME
THAR, SHORE THANG THAR, KICK HIT
BACK THAR, BREAK! SQUEAK!! ??????? Hmmmm? Tell me! How come I
don't see a CB desk in the state Emergency Operations Centers alongside the
RACES and ARES desks (Both are HAM organizations.) Why were the HAMS
at work in Colorado during the fires while I haven't heard one damn word
about
CBers manning radios and passing health and welfare traffic for families as
well as
providing backup for the regular communications channels? I can just hear
it now:
BREAK THAR ON THIS HYAR COLORADO COWBOY THAR, MERCY ME THAR, WORLDWIDE,
WORLDWIDE THAR, HOW BOUTCHA THAR OUT T'ERE IN THAT T'ERE SKIPLAND THAR,
GRE'T DAY, WE A-TRYIN' TUH PASS THIS H'YAR HEALTH AND WELFARE TRAFFIC
RI'CHERE IN THESE H'YAR COLORADO 'F'ARS (fires), BREAK, GIT BACK THAR!
SQUEAK!" The EOCs would be keeled over in the floor overcome with laughter!!
CB has "legitimate' uses while ham has no legit use?

HA! Give me a break! How come the Feds felt it important enough to pass a regulation that gives hams a minimum of protection from local zoning laws that
restrict Amateur antennas? How come States are gradually codifying this rule?
(the FCC # is PRB-1). Oh, BTW CBers aren't covered by this rule. CB is so "important", right? I wonder why they didn't include CB? How come there is a bill in Congress right now to exempt hams from CC & Rs--those pesky housing
and condo rules that prevent external communications antennas? So far, its outcome looks pretty favorable. Of course, builders and condo associations are
fighting it, but, with all the favorable press ham radio has had in Congress and the
fact that it HAS been recognized as a valuable communications resource both be-
fore AND after 9/11, it will likely become law. Funny thing: I haven't heard a thing
about "protections" for CB radio like I have about ham radio. Wonder why that is?
Even REACT is a dying breed; never see them around here. Could it be that Amateur Radio has such a cadre of trained, disciplined operators, such a fine record of responding to emergencies and providing effective communications that
REACT has nothing to "react" to??? MMffffffff! HAHAHAHAHAHA! Sorry for the outburst, I just couldn't hold it in! During the CB craze, many police and county
dispatch centers did, indeed, install, CB radios. I asked a local friend if they still had one in the radio room and he said, "Hell, NO! That stupid thing drove the operators crazy with all that noise and ridiculous lingo"!
<<mmmmfffft! ggg--now
control yourself, Jerry>>

Tell me, what is so exciting about a hick yelling the same thing over and over and over and over, squeaking and beeping and using goofy slang phrases. Now THAT's boring. How come it is that I see lots of CB ops list their equipment in their sig and all of it is B O U G H T stuff? Overpriced BOUGHT stuff? One of
those "BIG COIL antennas--I can make for $10.00. Want an antenna for ANY frequency from DC to daylight? Lotsa hams make that, too! Sure, some CBers do the very same thing. Not the vast majority. Can YOU explain what "impedance" is? Can you effectively argue that coax length doesn't matter, and PROVE what you say is true (of course that person would have to be shown live
and in person---not just my word). There are exceptions (phased antennas, etc),
but it doesn't matter, and I can prove it in person. How many "resistances" must
a radio signal pass thru in your antenna system? Name them? There IS more to

radio than yap, yap, yap, yap, yap.....

I would bet (if I were a betting man--I don't even play the lottery) that if you asked
about all those Congressmen and "Presidents" that worry about truckers' lobbies,
asked them about the relative importance of the 2 radio "hobbies", you would be
shocked to find that Amateur Radio does, indeed, hold a higher level of esteem among the powers that be than CB radio. Truth is, CB radio is regarded as a kind
of "cultish, lowboy" toy that has numbers, but very little substance or importance in the relative pecking order. It IS a toy. Ham Radio is a toy--part of the time, but it can rally professional, organized communications where CB just can't. CB has also garnered a black eye because of the interference and "rebel" attitude regarding the laws governing its use. If you REALLY knew somebody inside, you might find that the bigwigs would LIKE to find a way to get rid of CB radio -at least, in its present form. And it *may* happen, the way things are going. I could just about guarantee that CB is NOT going to "take over" anything as you like to troll. Radio usage is a (read it carefully now) P R I V I L E G E granted by the government, not a right. You have a right to free speech, not a "right" to use a radio. That privilege CAN be taken away..just like it can for hams. There are discussions right now about sunsetting CB radio and encouraging the use of FRS and MURS instead.

You inflate the importance of trucks and the CB radios they use. For what you
actually use them for, FRS would actually work better. At low power, more trucks
could be on the same channel at the same time without bothering each other. As to
trucks bringing the economy to its "knees", you forget that much of that material now hauled by truck can be put on trains. UPS now ships its trailers on piggyback trains in the US, and Norfolk Southern Railway has something called Triple Crown
Service where there are NO railcars underneath the trailers! The trailers have rail
wheels as well as road wheels, and it is a simply a matter of hooking a locomotive to a long string of these and hauling them to their destination. And if you think ALL
railroads are broke and ineffective, you'd better check again before giving inac-urate information. There would be some disruptions, of course, in the event of a truckers' strike, but much of their haulage can be moved by train--enough to prevent the widespread harm done if truckers quit driving. BTW, I worked in the
rail industry for 23 years before retirement and I know what I am talking about. The rail industry would LOVE to see you strike over a "radio" issue. Or any issue,
for that matter--they'd welcome the extra business!!

Now I have my flameproof suit on. But, Kenny, you brought on this
"debate".

73

Jerry

> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:7GpY8.28802$Iu6.1613566@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020714211638.12736.00000676@mb-mf.aol.com...
> > > They make a nice handbook end of story.Just look at the losers who are
> > members
> > > that are on this newsgroup.LOL
> >
> > Look at the losers who aren't. :-(
> >
> >
>
>

1245. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 19:16:14 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <X9FY8.33143$1q2.1946382@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130274

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj39v5rd28rt35@corp.supernews.com...

> Aparently officers talk to truckers on there police radios. BTW they are
> distictive by the fact that they are the best sounding radio I have ever
> heard and quite powerfull.

You don't realize that police radios are either on VHF Low band (42-46 MHZ)
FM or VHF Hi (155-160 MHZ) FM. They are not compatible with CB radios.
Maybe they are "quite powerful" because they have a professional installer
at the
radio shop that doesn't "tweak and peak" them like the CB butcher shops do?
If they are operating illegally, don't think for one minute that, if FCC
gets wind of
it, they wouldn't send the department a warning. I recall a Sheriff's
office receiving
such a warning about interfence to a ham repeater on the RainReport sometime
back.

BTW, go up the threads a bit where I posted those 20 warnings and citations
and
fines. You obviously haven't read them yet. Many of them are truckers and
their
employers.

J
>

1246. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 19:32:29 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d332196$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130275

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:5FxY8.103201$UT.6649459@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Better stick to kung fu... you obviously don't know the principal of
> convection...
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "KungFuYou" <confucioussay@foo.com> wrote in message news:T6oY8.107541>
> > > Are you serious you don't understand that any heat sink has to have
> > > air flow going over it to be effective.
>
>

What do you think "convection" is? It's the movement, keyword here is "MOVEMENT" of air produced by a difference in temperatures. If there is air movement then there is air flow. Is heatsink in a closed box where confection isn't possible? Posting one line out of context makes meaningful comments difficult.

Kevin, WB5RUE

1247. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 19:39:56 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <gyFY8.213186$vq.11442612@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130276

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:5FxY8.103201$UT.6649459@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Better stick to kung fu... you obviously don't know the principal of
> convection...
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

Funny thing is Pornffesor they were your exact words not mine, evidently you
don't know the principal of what you say from one day to the next.
Oh there are more prized quotes of you talking about amps without heatsinks
and Low and behold proffesor makes one the exact same way. How much does a
fan cost? I believe you asked that same question too.

1248. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 19:50:47 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207151150.60c6da62@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

I'm reminded of Neville Chamberlain's assurances about Hitler: "All the Nazis want is a little extra elbow room. Let's just let them take Poland," he said, "and they'll certainly stop there".

To see how the "freeband/CB" blitzkreig is progressing, take a peek at the lineup of popular "CB's" being pushed by giant web retailer "Copper". Don't see any CBs? Oh, um, that's cuz they're calling them "10 meter radios" now.

-Aaron-

(From http://www.copper.com/)

FORUM

HELLO TO ALL
MY QUESTION IS , IS IT LEGAL TO OWN A EXPORT RADIO ? THE RADIO COVERS 10 AND 11 METER. I BOUGHT IT ON LINE WITH MY CREDIT CARD.
THANKS DOUG

Doug,

Yes. It's perfectly legal to own such a radio.

Steve
Tech181
Tech181@copper.com

GALAXY DX 33 10 METER RADIO
$154.99
G10-00004 GALAXY DX 44 10 METER RADIO
$174.99
G10-00006 GALAXY DX 55 10 METER RADIO
$154.99
G10-00008 GALAXY DX 66 10 METER RADIO
$224.99
G10-00010 GALAXY DX 77 10 METER RADIO
$214.99
G10-00012 GALAXY DX 88 10 METER RADIO
$304.99
G10-00017 GALAXY DX 2517 10 METER BASE R
$424.99

G10-00020 GALAXY DX 73 10 METER RADIO
$254.99
E50-00021 VOYAGE VR 9000 10 METER MOBILE
$179.99
E00-00004 CONNEX 4800 DXL 10 METER MOBILE
$279.99
E00-00006 CONNEX 4800 DXL/E WITH ECHO
$289.99
G10-00014 GALAXY DX 99 10 METER RADIO
$324.99
E00-06002 Eagle Sidewinder 10 Meter Mobile
$149.99
E50-00022 SUPER STAR 3900 10 METER RADIO
$119.99
E00-06006 EAGLE SPITFIRE
$149.99
G10-00019 GALAXY DX 2527 10 METER BASE
$444.99
R10-10115 RANGER RCI 2980 10 METER BASE
$379.99
R10-10116 RANGER RCI 2990DX 10 METER BASE
$549.99
R10-10003 RCI 2950DX 10 & 12 Meter Amateur Radio
$299.99
R10-10010 RANGER 2970DX 100 Watt Amateur Radio
$424.99
R10-10008 RANGER 6300FHP AM/FM 10 Meter
$279.99
R10-10009 Ranger 6300FTB Turbo AM/FM 10 Meter Mobile
$424.99
R10-10006 RANGER 6900FHP AM/FM/SSB
$319.99
R10-10005 RANGER TR-6900FTB TURBO
$464.99
R10-10117 Ranger RCI 2985DX 10 & 12 Meter Base
$444.99
Ranger RCI-2995DX 10 & 11 Meter Base
$564.99
R10-10119 RANGER 2980WX 10 METER BASE W/WEATHER
$419.99
SuperStar 3900 HP GOLD
$169.99
P03-01030 MAGNUM MAVERICK A24 10 METER MOBILE RADIO
$199.99
P03-01050 MAGNUM DELTA FORCE 10 METER MOBILE RADIO
$229.99
Galaxy Melaka 10 Meter Base
$244.99
Mirage RCI 2950 10 Meter Radio
$227.99

MAGNUM 257 10 METER MOBILE RADIO
$169.99

1249. Re: real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 19:56:31 UTC
From: me@me.com
Message-ID: <3d3327b1.32381636@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 130278

On Mon, 15 Jul 2002 07:44:16 -0700, Jay in the Mojave
<jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:

>Hello Doc:
>
>Isn't that one of the boys tube on 3860 or 3980?
>
>Is that what they call the Charlie Xray Club?
>
>Jay in the Mojave
>

Nope, But I know someone, back in the day, before riley hollingsworth came on the scene, back in the days of the 3.895 war, (between 3895 and 3.901), and the gator stakes... He used to run on of these, in class AB1.. and I know of a guy now, who has 3 phase power in his Garage, and he's a 75 meter dude, is seriously thinking of building something with one of these... If and when it's built, I'll post pics of it here... He's thinking of driving it with an L4B or an L7... and would be using hardline to feed the antenna. antenna would be a dipole cut to frequency, with 8 or 10 gauge wire...

Stay Tuned.

1250. Re: "THE ILLEGAL KEYCLOWN SONG" was, Re: the WCTU rides again:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 20:00:41 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207151200.5e15e7bf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130279

"r.r.c.b.auto-responder" <usenet@autoresponder.kom> wrote in message
news:<uj1klhd353911@corp.supernews.com>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207131637.6dae3c63@posting.google.com...
> > "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:<0N_X8.26332$Iu6.1444169@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> > > "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3D306DF3.32962249@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > > > Does this come with klezmer music played by Aannoying Aaron?
> > >
> > > Might but I had in mind either bagpipes or an old-style Salvation Army
> > > band, trumpet, drum, and tuba.
> >
> >
> > Hey Dick! I found the ORIGINAL lyrics! It's called.........
> >
> > "THE ILLEGAL KEYCLOWN SONG"
> >
> > (Sung to the tune of the "Hoedown" on TV's "WHOS LINE IS IT ANYWAY?")
> >
> >
> > (Oompah oompah oompah oompah)
> >
> > We're illegal keyclowns, you know our brand
> > We invaded the CB and 10 meter band
> > We splatter all channels, we don't give a f*ck
> > If people want to use CB they're just sh*t out of luck
> >
> > (Chorus)
> > Break, Break the laws, by god
> > Laws by god, laws by god
> > Break, Break the laws, by god
> > It's the song of illegal keyclowns.
> >
> > (Oompah oompah oompah oompah)
> >
> > Give us but one inch, and we'll take a mile
> > We're liars and sleazebags, and that's just our style
> > Oh, can you imagine a sorrier state
> > For CB to be in? Well hang on, you just wait!
> >
> > (Chorus)
> > Ignore, Ignore the laws, by god
> > Laws by god, laws by god

> > Ignore, Ignore the laws, by god
> > It's the song of illegal keyclowns.
> >
> > (Oompah oompah oompah oompah)
> >
> > We drive off the people who're honest and true
> > Because they shed light on the bad things we do
> > On CB there's nothing we hate more to see
> > Than someone who's using their radio legally
> >
> > (Chorus)
> > Away, Away with laws, by god
> > Laws by god, laws by god
> > Away, Away with laws, by god
> > It's the song of illegal keyclowns.
> >
> > (Oompah oompah oompah oompah)
> >
> > We like competition to see who's more loud
> > Distortion and harmonics just make us feel proud
> > We don't give a hoot, our behavior is rash
> > We've transformed a chunk of spectrum into a pile of trash
> > (ending)
> > In...to...a...pile...of...traaaaash!
>
>
>
> Keep trying Voob, its a bit lame...needs a bit of tweeking...
> oh yes, and some natural talent might be helpfull too, Sorry.

OK, sorry. Can you give me any specific examples of why it's lame and
where it needs tweaking and how one might do better? :)

-A

1251. Re: real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 20:12:54 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207151212.4569f2bc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130280

me@me.com wrote in message news:<3d32b07d.1861232@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>...
>I know someone, back in the day, before riley hollingsworth
>came on the scene, back in the days of the 3.895 war, (between 3895
>and 3.901),

Oh I'm sure you "know someone". You're probably even one of the dunces
whose license has been yanked by Riley for those shenanigans.

>and the gator stakes... He used to run on of these, in
>class AB1.. and I know of a guy now, who has 3 phase power in his
>Garage, and he's a 75 meter dude, is seriously thinking of building
>something with one of these... If and when it's built, I'll post pics
>of it here... He's thinking of driving it with an L4B or an L7... and
>would be using hardline to feed the antenna. antenna would be a
dipole
>cut to frequency, with 8 or 10 gauge wire...
>
>Stay Tuned.

You mean "stay tuned for more BS from outlaw anarchist power-junkies
who are afraid to even say what STATE they're in on their ebay
auction"? No thanks.

-A

1252. Rusty gets busted (was Re: New to CB)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 20:13:46 UTC
From: Phildo <Phil@phildo.net>
Message-ID: <agvani$osuec$1@ID-77649.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 130282

"Phildo" <Phil@phildo.net> wrote in message
news:agv5d5$p0fap$1@ID-77649.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "RUSTYAMERI" <rustyameri@aol.comPROMARS> wrote in message
> news:20020616231510.11985.00001289@mb-fi.aol.com...
> > I recommend to stay away from this style antenna.
>
> And I recommend you don't believe a word this guy says. He's a
bullshit
> artist. Go to
>
http://groups.google.com/groups?dq=&hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&threadm=
>
20020707144630.23698.00003314%40mb-fi.aol.com&rnum=1&prev=/groups%3Fq%3D
>
g:thl2655590033d%26dq%3D%26hl%3Den%26lr%3D%26ie%3DUTF-8%26oe%3DUTF-8%26s
> elm%3D20020707144630.23698.00003314%2540mb-fi.aol.com and read from
post
> 79 onwards.

OK, that link is a bit long for most people to use so try
www.seerustysquirm.shorturl.com which is much easier. Makes for
interesting reading.

Phildo

1253. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 20:18:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16425-3D332E1E-17@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130286

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj4d6j2qfe3a40@corp.supernews.com...
I agree totally Rich. I went to the QRZ.com site and did a search for
msgs on cb 11m etc and those losers make voob look human! Apparently
only the best of the hams come to this ng. This is one of the best and
most enjoyable ng's around. Ever visit alt.ham.dx? Its maybe a hundred
posts(compared to 31962 here) and most posts where "where is everybody"
        The funny thing is that the subject of cb gets
more posts then anything else among the Hams. Hams talk more about cb
and freebanding then anything else.
Ofcourse both Ham rigs and Cb rigs are toys. CB has legitament
commercial use wher Ham has no legit use and are truely toys. CB is one
of the most exciting hobbies out there and even Hams recognize this.

>OK, you asked for this: Twisted, this is NOT meant as a flame, but is
directed
at Kenneth, and Kenneth alone. <

Hey, no sweat bud, I'm just chillin' checkin' out the scenes and
groovin' on the discussions,.. s'cool!

>Let's look at your allegation that "CB has 'legiti-
mate' commercial use wher (sic) Ham has no legit use are are truly
toys." If what
you say is true, then WHY did the emergency services people ask for
Amateur radio support when the Twin Towers fell and NOT CB? Why did the
Dutch family
whose yacht was attacked by pirates ask for help from the Amateur's
Maritime Mobile Service Net instead of screaming on a CB "BREAK THAR ON
THIS H'YAR MERCY ME, GRE'T DAY 'LIVE THAR CB THAR, YUH GOT AN OLE COPY
THAR, MERCY ME THAR, SHORE THANG THAR, KICK HIT
BACK THAR, BREAK! SQUEAK!! ??????? Hmmmm? Tell me! How come I don't see
a CB desk in the state Emergency Operations Centers alongside the RACES
and ARES desks (Both are HAM organizations.) Why were the HAMS at work
in Colorado during the fires while I haven't heard one damn word about
CBers manning radios and passing health and welfare traffic for families
as well as
providing backup for the regular communications channels? I can just
hear it now:
BREAK THAR ON THIS HYAR COLORADO COWBOY THAR, MERCY ME THAR, WORLDWIDE,
WORLDWIDE THAR, HOW BOUTCHA THAR OUT T'ERE IN THAT T'ERE SKIPLAND THAR,
GRE'T DAY, WE A-TRYIN' TUH PASS THIS H'YAR HEALTH AND WELFARE TRAFFIC
RI'CHERE IN THESE H'YAR COLORADO 'F'ARS (fires), BREAK, GIT BACK THAR!
SQUEAK!" The EOCs would be keeled over in the floor overcome with

laughter!! CB has "legitimate' uses while ham has no legit use? HA! Give me a break! How come the Feds felt it important enough to pass a regulation that gives hams a minimum of protection from local zoning laws that
restrict Amateur antennas? How come States are gradually codifying this rule?
(the FCC # is PRB-1). Oh, BTW CBers aren't covered by this rule. CB is so "important", right? I wonder why they didn't include CB? How come there is a bill in Congress right now to exempt hams from CC & Rs--those pesky housing
and condo rules that prevent external communications antennas? So far, its outcome looks pretty favorable. Of course, builders and condo associations are
fighting it, but, with all the favorable press ham radio has had in Congress and the
fact that it HAS been recognized as a valuable communications resource both be-
fore AND after 9/11, it will likely become law. Funny thing: I haven't heard a thing
about "protections" for CB radio like I have about ham radio. Wonder why that is?
Even REACT is a dying breed; never see them around here. Could it be that Amateur Radio has such a cadre of trained, disciplined operators, such a fine record of responding to emergencies and providing effective communications that
REACT has nothing to "react" to??? MMffffff! HAHAHAHAHAHA! Sorry for the outburst, I just couldn't hold it in! During the CB craze, many police and county
dispatch centers did, indeed, install, CB radios. I asked a local friend if they still had one in the radio room and he said, "Hell, NO! That stupid thing drove the operators crazy with all that noise and ridiculous lingo"! <<mmmmfffft!
ggg--now
control yourself, Jerry>>
Tell me, what is so exciting about a hick yelling the same thing over and over and over and over, squeaking and beeping and using goofy slang phrases. Now THAT's boring. How come it is that I see lots of CB ops list their equipment in their sig and all of it is B O U G H T stuff? Overpriced BOUGHT stuff?<

Now you stepped beyond the realm of just
Kenneth alone, as I am a cb op, at times.
Gear is worth what one will pay for it.

> One of
those "BIG COIL antennas--I can make for $10.00.<

Make it look as good and we'll go into business. With your antenna skill and my keys to the kingdom of all that is evil in the way of radio, we can get richer in no time.

> Want an antenna for ANY frequency from DC to daylight? Lotsa hams make that, too! Sure, some CBers do the very same thing. Not the vast majority. Can YOU explain what "impedance" is? Can you effectively argue that coax length doesn't matter, and PROVE what you say is true (of course that person would have to be shown live
and in person---not just my word). There are exceptions (phased antennas,
etc),
but it doesn't matter, and I can prove it in person. How many "resistances" must
a radio signal pass thru in your antenna system? Name them? There IS more to
radio than yap, yap, yap, yap, yap.....
I would bet (if I were a betting man--I don't even play the lottery) that if you asked
about all those Congressmen and "Presidents" that worry about truckers' lobbies,
asked them about the relative importance of the 2 radio "hobbies", you would be
shocked to find that Amateur Radio does, indeed, hold a higher level of esteem among the powers that be than CB radio. Truth is, CB radio is regarded as a kind
of "cultish, lowboy" toy that has numbers, but very little substance or importance in the relative pecking order. <

I find that is believed of by most hammies. The rest of the non-radio word couldn't tell the difference between cb and hammie radio. To confirm it, walk up to a stranger on the street and ask him to name which "meter" cb falls under and then ask them to name a single "meter" of hammie radio..

>It IS a toy. Ham Radio is a toy--part of the time, but it can rally professional, organized communications where CB just can't. CB has also garnered a black eye because of the interference and "rebel" attitude regarding the laws governing its use. If you REALLY knew somebody inside, you might find that the bigwigs would LIKE to find a way to get rid of CB radio -at least, in its present form. And it *may* happen, the way things are going. I could just about guarantee that CB is NOT going to "take over" anything as you like to troll. Radio usage is a (read it carefully now) P R I V I L E G E granted by the government, not a right. You have a right to free speech, not a "right" to use a radio. That privilege CAN be taken away..just like it can for hams. There are discussions right now about sunsetting CB radio and encouraging the use of FRS and MURS instead.
You inflate the importance of trucks and the CB radios they use. For what you
actually use them for, FRS would actually work better. At low power, more trucks
could be on the same channel at the same time without bothering each other. As to
trucks bringing the economy to its "knees", you forget that much of that material now hauled by truck can be put on trains.<

Not most perishables.

> UPS now ships its trailers on piggyback trains in the US, and Norfolk Southern Railway has something called Triple Crown
Service where there are NO railcars underneath the trailers! The trailers have rail
wheels as well as road wheels, and it is a simply a matter of hooking a locomotive to a long string of these and hauling them to their destination. And if you think ALL
railroads are broke and ineffective, you'd better check again before giving inac-urate information. There would be some disruptions, of course, in the event of a truckers' strike, but much of their haulage can be moved by train--enough to prevent the widespread harm done if truckers quit driving.<

I don;'t believe that. You underestimate the power of the trucker's unions. HBO did a special on this very recently.

> BTW, I worked in the
rail industry for 23 years before retirement and I know what I am talking about. <

Aw, damn Jerry, you retired with a nice little pension. You can't take it with ya' brother, whatcha doing with all that cash?
C'mon, let's get these cb antennas rolling.
A small investment and I can almost guarantee ya' we'll sell more than the hammie bugcatcher antennas.

>The rail industry would LOVE to see you strike over a "radio" issue. Or any issue,
for that matter--they'd welcome the extra business!!
Now I have my flameproof suit on. But, Kenny, you brought on this "debate".
73
Jerry<

So far both y'all made excellent points. It;s a great debate. I'm still listening not saying a word.......<g>!

1254. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 20:18:57 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207151218.5d10d46a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130281

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> Kenneth wrote
> >Aparently officers talk to truckers on there police radios. BTW they are
> >distictive by the fact that they are the best sounding radio I have ever
> >heard and quite powerfull.
>
>Maybe they are "quite powerful" because they have a
>professional installer at the radio shop that doesn't
>"tweak and peak" them like the CB butcher shops do?

Try using plain english without a phoney accent on CB and people will
sit up and take notice.

-A

1255. DX Report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 20:29:32 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16422-3D3330AC-230@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130288

```
****************************************
    *INTERNATIONAL RADIO DX GROUP              *
    *                   (Spirit of Australia)
                 *
    *
                             *
    *               DX BULLETIN #12 / 15 JULY 2002
              *
    *           Edited by Lars 13IR102 & Rob 19IR04
            *
    ****************************************
      /--------------------------------------
      !             Information, reports and
suggestions         !   !
  must be sent to:                    !
      !
      !
bulletin@irdx.org                    !
      !
   !
   ----------------------------------------
      This bulletin contains only objective DX information's
coming   from different resources. The redactor can not be held
responsible for any mistake or false information's.
      It is absolute allowed to copy and distribute the DX
News   Bulletin but please include the information's about the editors
  and source where it comes from for the courtesy who created   this
DX tool.
      TO YOUR ATTENTION PLEASE: Dxpeditions or activations
with   unknown end will be deleted 3 month after publication. From now
  on we add this kind with the month when we get the information.
If you want to see your Dxpedition or activation for a longer   time
on the list please contact us with the full information's.
      You have Info for this List ? please send to:
13IR102@irdx.de
********************************************
                  IRDX Group News
********************************************
 GERMAN IRDX - FIELDDAY 2002
13IR000 Club-Station presents the 4th German-Fieldday
  Date is 19/20/21 of July 2002 (mainday is 20.07.2002)     For
more Info please ask the Team via 13IR102@irdx.org
                W W W . I R D X . O R G
                                        ==
```

We are proud to let you know the brand NEW IRDX-Homepage Is ONLINE now :) take a look and enjoy the great work from The whole Team ! lot's of new features you can find there. Cluster - Chat - IRDX-News and much more ! www.irdx.org

W W W . D X N E W S . DE

==

The Number 1 DX-Info-Site is back Online after long Time. Up to date now and every Day Updated !! www.dxnews.de

****

On The Air Now

****

103FAT/DX Haiti 01/03/02 500 Prog.
Eric, P.O.Box 271, 67606 Selestat CDX, France

106SP/DX Ceuta & Melilla 10/01/02 1000 Prog. Sebas, P.O.Box 428, 07300 Inca-BI, Spain

10RC/DX Mexico 01/02/02 500 Prog.
Stephane, P.O.Box 3, 18500 Foecy, France

115SD/DX Qatar 19/01/02 1000 Prog.
Dino, P.O.Box 1, 23879 Verderio- Lecco, Italy

126IR/0 Nicaragua 06/06/02 500 Prog.
Paco, P.O.Box 212, 41710 Utrera, Spain

148IR/DX Ascension Island 01/01/02 31/12/02 Herbert, P.O.Box 8, 5351 Aigen, Austria

173OR/DX Reunion Island 01/03/02 30/09/02 OR-Group, P.O.Box 26, 03700 Bellevive/A, France

196RC/DX Guardaloupe 02/03/02 500 Prog.
Stephane, P.O.Box 3, 18500 Foecy, France

28OT/DX Honduras 01/02/02 500 Prog.
Mario, P.O.Box 100, 15562 Ruedersdorf, Germany

301AS/DX Armenia 25/02/02 500 Prog.
Panos, P.O.Box 3795, 13201 Petroupoli, Greece

314SD/DX Turkoman 19/01/02 1000 Prog.
Yannick, P.O.Box 1, 64300 Malacq, France

33SD/DX Alaska 01/02/02 31/12/02
Dino, P.O.Box 1, 23879 Verderio- Lecco, Italy

33TRC/0 Alaska 01/03/02 31/09/02
Nasko, P.O.Box 49, 6100 Kazanlak, Bulgaria

41AS/DX New Zealand 01/02/02 200 Prog.
Jim, P.O.Box 63501, 15202 Filothei, Greece

44TRC/0 South Africa 24/03/02 1000 Prog. Nasko, P.O.Box 49, 6100
Kazanlak, Bulgaria

5HF/0 Venezuela 01/07/02 1000 Prog.
Eliane, P.O.Box 10, 83910 Pourrieres, France

57LD/DX India 01/05/02 1000 Prog.
Paolo, P.O.Box 2, 25017 Lonato, Italy

61LD/DX Ecuador 20/01/02 500 Prog.
Paolo, P.O.Box 2, 25017 Lonato, Italy

67IR/DX Paraguay 15/01/02 1000 Prog.
Paco, P.O.Box 212, 41710 Utrera, Spain

6AS/DX Columbia 01/04/02 600 Prog.
Jim, P.O.Box 63501, 15202 Filothei, Greece

6RMA/DX Colombia 01/01/02 1000 Prog.
Paco, P.O.Box 4109, 03080 Alicante, Spain

75RMA/DX Azores Islands 01/01/02 1000 Prog. Paco, P.O.Box 4109, 03080
Alicante, Spain

76RK/DX Marocco 01/01/02 30/10/02
Willem, P.O.Box 3048, 4800DA Breda, Holland

80WD/DX Bolivia 01/01/02 1000 Prog.
Riccardo, P.O.Box 9, 50065 Pontassieve, Italy

84VC/DX Ivory Coast 01/07/02 500 Prog.
Frank, P.O.Box 124, 78702 Conflans-cdx, France

97AS/DX Israel 01/01/02 500 Prog.
Panos, P.O.Box 3795, 13201 Petroupoli, Greece
****
Coming Soon
****
109KPI/0 Hungary 1000 Prog.
Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain

115KPI/0 Qatar 1000 Prog.
Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain

120LD/0 Antigua & Barbuda Isl. 09/08/02
21/08/02 Dario, P.O.Box 28, 25082 Botticino, Italy

12KPI/0 Uruguay 1000 Prog.
Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain

134FAT/0 Rep. Of Belau 08/02 08/02
Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854 Caudan CDX, France

134RS/0 Rep. of Belau soon
Mauro, P.O.Box 7045, 16148 Genova, Italy

140FAT/0 Antarctica 2003 2003
Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854 Caudan CDX, France

140IR/AA-URA Antarctica soon
Day, P.O.Box B19, 01001 Kyiv, Ukraine

167IR/0 Jersey Island 02/09/02 10/09/02
Eric, P.O.Box 39, 3200 Aarschot, Belgium

168RS/0 Mauritius Island 12/09/02 19/09/02 Mauro, P.O. Box 7045, 16148
Genova-GE, Italy

173 HF/0 Reunion Island 17/07/02 31/12/02 Tim, P.O.Box 5, 83570
Cotignac, France

180LD/0 Oman soon
Dario, P.O.Box 28, 25082 Botticino, Italy

186KPI/0 Djibouti 1000 Prog.
Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain

203DQ/DX China 01/09/02 31/10/02
Chris, P.O.Box 184, NN3-9JH Northampton, U.K.

207FAT/0 Saint Martin Isl. 10/10/02 17/10/02 Laurent, Po.Box 63, 56854
Caudan-cdx, France

225AT/0 Brunei 05/08/02 11/08/02
Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo D'Orlando, Italy

234AT/DX Afghanistan
Juan, P.O.Box 412, 11100 San Fernando, Spain

238SD/0 Cambodia 10/09/02 25/09/02
Xavier, P.O.Box 36, 3460 Bekkevoort, Belgium

248AT/DX Turks & Caicos 27/12/02 03/01/03 Tom, P.O.Box 321, 44615
Carollton-OH, U.S.A

301KPI/0 Armenia 1000 Prog.
Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain

311DQ/0 Lithuania postponed
Slawek, P.O.Box 56, 87800 Wloclawek-2, Poland

312AT/DX Moldavia 01/07/02 12/07/02
Yoann, P.O.Box 15, 21120 Is Sur Tille, France

318AT/0 S.M.O.M. (Rom) soon
Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo D'Orlando, Italy
325AT/0 Rotuma Island 30/08/02 06/09/02
Andy, P.O.Box 62, 29266 Brest-cdx, France

337LD/0 Austral Island 28/10/02 29/11/02 Stefania, P.O.Box 28, 25082
Botticino, Italy

341AT/0 East Timor 27/07/02 05/08/02
Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo D'Orlando, Italy
36IR/DX Rep. of San Marino postponed
Herbert, P.O.Box 8, 5351 Aigen, Austria

44KPI/0 South Africa 1000 Prog.
Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain

5HF/0 Venezuela 01/07/02 1000 Prog.
Eliane, P.O.Box 10, 83910 Pourrieres, France

60DQ/0 Hong Kong soon
Simon, P.O.Box 242, 16041 Praha-6, Czech Rep.

72KPI/0 Guatemala 1000 Prog.
Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain

99AT/DX Fiji Islands 06/09/02 11/09/02
Andy, P.O.Box 62, 29266 Brest-cdx, France
****
I.O.T.A. - Islands On The Air
****
108IR/IOTA-TIME several Islands 01/07/02 14/08/02 Dennis, P.O.Box 1,
YO14-9BW Filey, U.K.

11AT/NA099 Puerto Rico 15/06/02 ??/07/02 Oscar, P.O.Box 101, 28830 San
Fernando, Spain

133FAT/OC086 Saipan Island 15/01/02 500 Prog. Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854
Caudan CDX, France

143FAT/NA108 San Lucia Isl. 01/02/02 1500 Prog. Laurent, P.O.Box 63,
56854 Caudan CDX, France

166RC/NA145 Saba Island 01/02/02 500 Prog. Stephane, P.O.Box 3, 18500
Foecy, France

20LD/EU003 Hinnoya Island 04/01/02 500 Prog. Luis, P.O.Box 11092, 46006
Valencia, Spain

230SD/OC011 Micronesia Isl. 13/03/02 1000 Prog. Steve, P.O.Box 15, 79110
Chef-Boutonne, France

24SD/NA203 Iguana Island 01/02/02
Pepe, P.O.Box 105, 11500 Puerto Real, Spain

299AT/NA095 Desecheo Island 15/06/02 15/09/02 1AT058 Alex,
xxxxxxxxxxxxx

33LD/NA019 Kodiak Island 04/01/02 500 Prog. Luis, P.O.Box 11092, 46006
Valencia, Spain

3IR/SA071 Santo Amaro Island 15/01/02 500 Prog. Paco, P.O.Box 212, 41710
Utrera, Spain

63FAT/AF022 St. Helena Island 18/12/01 1500 Prog. Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854 Caudan
CDX, France

79FAT/OC042 Luzon Island 15/01/02 500 Prog. Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854 Caudan CDX, France

91AT/OC151 Sumba Island 15/06/02 15/09/02 Antonio, P.O.Box 360, 87100 Cosenza-CS, Italy
****
I.O.T.A. - Islands Soon On The Air
****
106SP/AF069 Parsley Island 26/07/02 28/07/02 Sebas, P.O.Box 428, 07300 Inca-BI, Spain

152RC/AS013 Maldive Islands soon
John, P.O.Box 362, 1860 Aigle, Switzerland

166FAT/NA105 Saint Maarten Isl. 10/10/02 17/10/02 Laurent, Po.Box 63, 56854 Caudan-cdx, France

173RS/AF016 Reunion Island 13/09/02 19/09/02 Romain, P.O.Box 132, 77194 Dammarie, France

178AT/EU181 Sveta Anastasya Isl. 16/08/02 17/08/02 Simon, P.O.Box 178, 70056 Molfetta (BA), Italy

207AT/NA199 ?? Island 01/08/02 03/08/02
Laurent, P.O.Box 21, 83440 Tanneron, France

225AT/OC184 Coastal Island 04/08/02 11/08/02 Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo D'Orlando, Italy

238SD/AS133 Koh Poah Island 10/09/02 25/09/02 Xavier, P.O.Box 36, 3460 Bekkevoort, Belgium

91AT/OC148 Timor Island 27/07/02 05/08/02 Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo D'Orlando, Italy

91AT/OC217 Kamangen Island 27/07/02 05/08/02 Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo D'Orlando, Italy

91AT/OC237 Java Coast 27/07/02 05/08/02
Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo D'Orlando, Italy
****
Holiday -
Stations
****
117/161IR999 Egypt 01/04/02 31/12/02
Marek, P.O.Box 6, 22-104 Chelm-5, Poland

2IR/NY001 (iwi) Grand Island 01/04/02 500 Prog. Rob, B.O.Box 22, 3140AA Maasluis, Netherlands

2IR/NY028 (iwi) Goat Island 01/04/02 500 Prog. Rob, B.O.Box 22, 3140AA Maasluis, Netherlands

57/13MU133 India 25/07/02 14/08/02
Sebastian, P.O.Box 730100, 13062 Berlin, Germany
****
Interesting - Stations On The Air
****
PLEASE CHECK : WWW.DXNEWS.DE button DXNEWS >> HOT STATIONS
****

1256. Re: cb help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 20:34:22 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj6cecfkrl7ffc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130283

A simple way to check the mic is to borrow one from someone. Or buy one new and get it back to the store while you can get your money back and say wrong mic if the mic is good.
Sincerely Ken
<zeek198@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3D31F2DA.648018EE@hotmail.com...
> Hi All
>
> I'm a newbee at this please help.......I have a 40 channel Midland
> 77-160 cb and I can't get any sound out of the cb when it hooked up...I
> had the cb in a mazda truck and it worked great ...went to hook it up in
> a ford 150 and nothing....question...If you don't have the whip's
> grounded right will the cb make a noise..sometimes the cb works fine for
> a couple of minutes and then nothing..... no sound.... and when I key
> the mic no bars show up on the cb ....is there something wrong with the
> cb or is if just the mic...
>
> please help
>
> thanks all
>
> zeek.......
>

1257. Re: This one's for Aaron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 20:35:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16425-3D333226-18@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130287

>From: aa2qa@FrontierNet.net (Jim Hampton)
I tend to believe he was learning from the folks that tell everyone to
do whatever they want to do. Where have I seen that?
73 from Rochester, NY
Jim<

Given the choice, I believe most Americans would believe in doing what
they want to do as opposed to letting those not in a position of law
enforcement dictate what their behavior should be.

1258. Re: This one's for Aaron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 20:38:31 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj6cm71b8hv64d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130284

Please remember this is a cb newsgroup. We tolorate personel insults only
cause your a Ham and don't know any better. Freebanding is mearly a way to
avoid the filth on the Ham bands.
Sincerely
Ken
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:TvrY8.102773$UT.6618693@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:uj4fuddj5ksp2c@corp.supernews.com...
> > A Ham is a Ham is a Ham.He was one of yours. CBers are better behaved.
> Hams
> > try to talk like a cber when harrasing people to taint our illustrious
>
> You know, Kennie, it is conversations like this that convince me that
> those saying that pesticides are mimicking estrogen may well have a point.
> Maybe it contaminates diesel as well
> because while comments like this might be made by my 14 year-old niece,
> they would not be made by someone with a functioning set of testicles.
>
>

1259. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 20:42:31 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj6ctn2agtr4ae@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130285

Gee Wiz voob As much as I enjoy driving Jerry apoplectic he's still a decent guy for a Hammie and he didn't deserve that by a long shot.
You really are nuts.
Sincerely and sanely,
Ken
"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:22a5e694.0207151218.5d10d46a@posting.google.com...
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > Kenneth wrote
> > >Aparently officers talk to truckers on there police radios. BTW they are
> > >distictive by the fact that they are the best sounding radio I have ever
> > >heard and quite powerfull.
> >
> >Maybe they are "quite powerful" because they have a
> >professional installer at the radio shop that doesn't
> >"tweak and peak" them like the CB butcher shops do?
>
> Try using plain english without a phoney accent on CB and people will
> sit up and take notice.
>
> -A

1260. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 20:47:32 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D331710.CAE66F67@mailcity.net>
Source record: 130289

Kenneth wrote:

> Aparently officers talk to truckers on there police radios.

Good grief, don't you realize that the police radios are not on cb
frequencies?

1261. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 20:50:34 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj6dco19kopq92@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130290

Jerry saying the police must stay below 4 watts is like saying they have to
do the speed limit. The 2 down sides of being a cop are the pay sticks
(except LI NY) and people shoot at you. The upside is you get to drive a
fast car fast.
Yes they are Butcher shops. Thats why I always recomend leaving the
radio untouched and save the money for a good quality amp:)
I read the reports and thank you. I now know that Hams get busted and mere
cb'ers get warnings. I also know that whoever's phone number is that you
posted is now fuming.
Sincerely
Ken
The guy formally known as h
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:X9FY8.33143$1q2.1946382@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:uj39v5rd28rt35@corp.supernews.com...
>
> > Aparently officers talk to truckers on there police radios. BTW they are
> > distictive by the fact that they are the best sounding radio I have ever
> > heard and quite powerfull.
>
> You don't realize that police radios are either on VHF Low band (42-46
MHZ)
> FM or VHF Hi (155-160 MHZ) FM. They are not compatible with CB radios.
> Maybe they are "quite powerful" because they have a professional installer
> at the
> radio shop that doesn't "tweak and peak" them like the CB butcher shops
do?
> If they are operating illegally, don't think for one minute that, if FCC
> gets wind of
> it, they wouldn't send the department a warning. I recall a Sheriff's
> office receiving
> such a warning about interfence to a ham repeater on the RainReport
sometime
> back.
>
> BTW, go up the threads a bit where I posted those 20 warnings and
citations
> and
> fines. You obviously haven't read them yet. Many of them are truckers
and
> their
> employers.

>
> J
> >
>
>
>

1262. Re: This one's for Aaron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 21:19:41 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <N%GY8.30182$lu6.1704679@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130291

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj6cm71b8hv64d@corp.supernews.com...
> Please remember this is a cb newsgroup. We tolorate personel insults
only
> cause your a Ham and don't know any better. Freebanding is mearly a way
to
> avoid the filth on the Ham bands.
> Sincerely
> Ken

Ah, a worthy opponent. They are so rare.

1263. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 21:31:36 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj6fplpmv8ro05@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130292

Thanks Jerry I enjoyed that allot it was the funniest thing ive read in years.
Now as us cb'ers like to say"here comes my 55 gallon drum of cyber WHUP-ASS:):)
First off after 911 while you hams where in some motorhome acting like lt. Uhura on startrek cb'ers where bring in need supplies and SAVING lives. Not one hambo took the rubble and human remains down to fresh kills land fill. If you guys did you'd go nuts cause it violate several hazmat regs and TOTALLY violated several others. cb'ers could have said "no-way" to suppliing NYC afterwards cause doing so was a traffic nightmare. As for giving info to the families what info? the towers fell down! Wow that was news! CB'ers came through in spades. As for what congress thinks congress thinks sex with interns is acceptable behavior.
As for trains thats funny. In the world of just in time warehousing coupled with the fact that a majority of the economy is small buisnesses trains are a joke. Then only reason they are still here is government subsidies. Face it 90 percent of EVERYTHING was brought to you by billy bigrigger with his big-radio. I have been to thousands of businesses and I think 5 where accesable by rail. A brewery a 2 chem plants and 2 paper mills. And the brewery only used rail for raw material. Beer is perishable and it takes about a month to transport by rail point to point? As for disruptions in 2 weeks you would eat your ICOM.
Yes we have a rebel attitude. So did Jefferson Washington Adams (can ya hear the patriotic music playing). Gen Arnold was more like a Ham..thought the declaration of independance was almost as bad as freebanding.
One finall thing. I enjoy keying up my mike and saying"The new breed has saved trucking" and watching the old breed of truckdrivers go absolutely insane" They can be as bad as some of your Elmers. And once I announced FCC was in the scalehouse checking for BIG Radios and then ch 19 exploded! Everyone when nuts. I was lauphing my butt off! What was so funny was that they believed it!
So calm down and don't have a stroke:) newsgroups and Home PC's are toys just like radios:)

1264. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 21:37:58 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d333abd.1280191@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130293

In <3d32f079$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>, "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote:

><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d31fc40.6915277@news.cet.com...
>> In <3d2e3b79$0$13956$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>, "Kevin Muenzler,
>> WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >RFI is RARELY the fault of the transmitter.
>>
>> Most consumer electronics have adequate rejection of RFI under normal
>> circumstances. But they don't usually build stuff to reject signals
>> from your neighbor's 1kW transmitter. These are not considered to be
>> "typical operating conditions" for consumer electronics. So in that
>> respect I would agree with you; except:
>>
>> Even high-power RF can be done with little RFI if it's done cleanly.
>> If your signal is going out only on it's intended frequency, there are
>> going to be a lot fewer problems with RFI than if your signal is being
>> splattered up the spectrum where it has a much greater chance of
>> finding a resonant circuit in the neighbor's television. There are
>> plenty of people that live next door to hams that don't have problems
>> with RFI, not because their home electronics are protected against it,
>> but because the ham is operating a clean station.
>>
>> And then there is the problem of IF amplification: the IF of the CB is
>> attenuated enough for legal power, but hook the output to an amplifier
>> and it becomes a broadcast station to any receiver using the same IF.
>> And since the IF path in the receiver is where most of the signal gain
>> occurs, it doesn't take a very strong signal to be received. I'm
>> finding this to be the case more and more often when RFI is affecting
>> a whole neighborhood, and almost always happens at the 10.7MHz IF.
>>
>> So for those reasons I believe your statement is wrong.
>>
>
>I have no argument with what you say. It's well thought out and concise.
>But note, I said "RARELY." But what I should have also included (maybe I
>did) is that when I say that "RFI is rarely the fault of the transmitter" I
>mean legal, stock transmitters that have not been modified and are operating
>in accordance with their original design.

I figured that is what you meant, I just wanted to elaborate a bit.

> CB transmitters are NOT designed
>to be operated behind an RF power amplifier. If they were then the
>manufacturers would have to build into them much cleaner oscillators and
>have much better isolation between the various IF and mixer stages.

Nearly every radio that is intended to be an exciter for an amplifier
and many quality stand-alone rigs have full shielding around each and
every stage, not just the whole radio crammed onto a PC board like
they do with CB radios. There are a few exceptions; I think I have an
old Utac (or something like that) that is very well made. But no CB I
have seen made in the past twenty years is designed with any real
quality.

> While
>CB transmitters meet the FCC's MINIMUM standards that's all the have to do.
>As you stated when you place one behind a "linear," and I use that term very
>loosely since most CB amps are not linear, not only do you amplify the
>intended 27MHz signal but EVERY other signal that comes out of the antenna,
>including all of the phase noise created by the poorly designed PLL
>circuitry.

Ain't THAT the truth! You don't even have to key up to see digital
noise on the spectrum analyzer coming out of the RF jack, and when
that stuff is picked up and ampilified with just the Class C final
-inside- the radio... yuck!

>In my experiences I can't think of very many instances where the RFI was
>caused by a PROPERLY OPERATING transmitter. The latest is with a digital
>satellite TV that I just installed. I could key up on 2-meters and the
>system would go "dead." The signal strength would go to zero and the system
>would completely lose the lock on the satellite. When I would unkey it
>would come right back. No I wasn't running a KW but only 50 watts into a
>J-Pole that was 100 feet from the dish. What was the cure? Three 8" loops
>in the coax feeding the dish. Now the signal quality and signal strength
>indicators don't even budge.

Yep, when it happens that a clean transmitter is causing RFI, the
problem is usually overloading. It depends on how much power is being
radiated and how close the antenna is to the device. And like I said
before, those aren't "typical operating conditions" for consumer
electronics, which is why one of the rules for hams is to use the
lowest possible power to maintain comm.

1265. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC//Attn: BILL NELSON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 21:47:08 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj6gmqq75l9ra2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130295

Actaully I use my cell more then my cb. For traffic however I use cb 880 am
and 1010wins for NYC. And it annoys me when truckers go nuts over cell phone
users like Hams go nuts over cb'ers
Twice truckers who have never been to Long Island got directions via
phone and then luckily found out they took them onto the parkway. Thats a
big problem on LI cause when trucks do that theu become flatbeds upon the
first bridge they hit. BTW since the cell phone ban in New York the traffic
reports went to the toilet. 1010 wins is begging people to call about
problems.
No its not a good troll but you dont need one to drive some people nuts.
My best work was as "h" titled "freebanding and the foundong fathers" I got
dealth threats over that one:)
On L.I. cb works splendidly. With the mix of local and over the road
drivers you can literally find directions anywhere. And usually all the
fighting stops to give a guy some local....then promtly starts up in
earnest.
"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:uj5j6j8d2ucb22@corp.supernews.com...
> Right, Kenneth. I've gotta admit, you are trying to make up for a few
> codeless techs here, but I'd bet you coffee for a week 99%+ of all
roadside
> emergencies are reported by CELLPHONE. Ever heard of that, or do you want
> that frequency allocation too? Should cellphones go down, what do you do
> then? As far as a channel 19, who needs it? Over a year ago, all of our
> pay phones at work were out of order (no one cared). Then - the COMPANY
> phones for some reason quit working. Folks were scrambling to borrow
> someone else's cellphone (probably over half the production people carry
> cellphones with them - along with the stupid beeping). What do you do,
run
> out and hit channel 19? I simply went to my locker and pulled out the ht.
> Hit the autopatch - and used the phone. I have access to 13 local
> repeaters, many of them with autopatch. By local, I mean within 25 miles
or
> so. I've used that ht on a repeater over 100 miles away. What would you
do
> back in the late 90s when a good chunk of New England and Canada were hit
> with an ice storm and ALL telephones and communications were out? Hit
> channel 19 and talk to someone whose communications were also out? Wait
> until after 10 am and before 6 pm and hope that skip was in? 'Cmon, give
me
> a break. This wasn't even a very good troll.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim

>
>
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:uj4gti2ckhai0b@corp.supernews.com...
> > ROFLMAO.....
> > Jerry Ham is a toy a hobby and frankly does squat in emergencies. All
> police
> > everywhere monitor ch19 cause it is the defacto channel for info. As for
> > dying a natural death it looks lively to me. Heck all the Hams seem to
> talk
> > about cb more then Hams do. Everyday truckers and cb'ers are saving
lives
> > disseminatiog real info and helping traffic flow. Hams meanwhile come to
> rec
> > radio cb and whine.
> > As for 28mhz if the enemy is in your house drinking your beer and
sleeping
> > with your oldlady you lost the war. But well let you keep 6m...for now:)
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:QTpY8.67284$JU3.26073272@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > >
> > > Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> > > news:uj431v4vd1iu05@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > His budget just got axed to the tune of 13 million. It takes riley a
> few
> > > > days to respond to e-mail cause that gov issue 8088 is realll
SLOOWW.
> > > > Aparently Dereks Trucking has more pull on capitol hill then a few
> Yams.
> > > > Most of your posts were from years ago. Bush addressed the Yams
to
> > try
> > > to
> > > > elicite some help in the war on terrorism. If Hollingsworth thinks
he
> > will
> > > > spend money on tracking down freebanders hell be appliing for a job
a
> > > > Derecks.
> > > > Everybody knows thats trucks with Hazmat loads are the next target
> for
> > > > highjacking by terrorists. The dirty lil secret is that FBI and
> homeland
> > > > security are glad most trucks can put 35 watts and MUCH more with a
> > > kicker.
> > > > Go above 28mhz you may get a warning below that the FCC gave in
and
> > > > handed the ball to the locals who know thats if you have problems go
> > bust
> > > > chops on the guy with the big antena on his house(the ham).

> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Basically, most of us don't CARE what the CBers do below 28 MHZ.
That's
> > the
> > > whole point. Yet, Mr. H already HAS spent money tracking down
> > > "freebanders".
> > > He's still there. And the reason Riley can't always answer is, he is
> often
> > > out of the
> > > office on speaking engagements. No one can argue with someone whose
mind
> > is
> > > made up and who is determined to ignore the facts. CB Radio is a
"cult"
> > > phenom-
> > > a "toy" that became something that was not at all intended.
Privately,
> > most
> > > of the
> > > FCC agents would tell you, if they KNEW you well, that they wish CB
> would
> > > just
> > > die a natural death. OTH, the Amateur Radio Service is regarded in
> > official
> > > circles
> > > as a proven resource that can be counted on in emergencies to provide
> > > manpower
> > > and professional, trained radio operators. Not "BREAK BREAK thar,
mercy
> > me,
> > > thar, yuh gotta ole copy thar on this hyar Colorado Cowboy thar, go'rd
> > 'haid
> > > thar,
> > > and kick hit back thar, shore thang thar, ah know whutcha mean thar,
> shore
> > > do thar, BREAK! SQUEAK!! <<g>> The 28 MHZ line IS and WILL BE
> defended.
> > >
> > > J
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:CPkY8.65373$JU3.24962937@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > > > I hate to burst your bubble, but that's how Derek's Trucking got
his
> > > > warning
> > > > > in
> > > > > Cary, NC. It's how an outfit in Idaho (I believe) got its
warning.
> > You
> > > > > apparent-
> > > > > ly don't know that Riley Hollingsworth is a ham as well as a legal
> > > beagle
> > > > > for FCC.
> > > > > IF you don't think he is interested in protecting the ham bands
from
> > > > illegal
> > > > > operators, you are sadly mistaken. If you want to see, at least
20
> > > examples
> > > > > of
> > > > > warnings and busts, some of which are those can-do-no-wrong
> truckers,
> > > just
> > > > > visit
> > > > > CB City's message board. Just about all those warnings originated
> from
> > > his
> > > > > desk.
> > > > > Tell ya what, why don't you ask Riley yourself about the 10 meter
> > issue?
> > > > > Send your email to rholling@fcc.gov . He DOES answer email, tho
it
> > may
> > > > take
> > > > > him a
> > > > > few days to get around to it. I am telling you the guy IS
> > serious--I've
> > > > met
> > > > > him. His
> > > > > home town is only 35 miles from me and he comes thru here on his
way
> > > there.
> > > > > I
> > > > > talk to him on local repeaters. He is also hell on rogue ham
> operators
> > > as
> > > > > well, re-
> > > > > cently popping 3 for operating on that precious "freeband". The

> rest
> > of
> > > > us
> > > > > are
> > > > > HAPPY to see it and support his efforts to remove the bad
operators
> > > from
> > > > > the
> > > > > bands.
> > > > >
> > > > > I hate to harp on it, but ole Rabbit Ears down in Fla thought he
was
> > > > > invincible as well. Sure, he just kept on and on--which was what
> got
> > > him
> > > > > in
> > > > > such hot water.
> > > > > But if you can't see the trend, then no one can help you. If you
> are
> > > > hoping
> > > > > for some
> > > > > sort of radio "anarchy" it ain't gonna happen. Keep playing radio
> > > > roulette,
> > > > > and eventually your number will come up
> > > > >
> > > > > 73
> > > > >
> > > > > Jerry
> > > > > Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:uj3aatd8utkkf5@corp.supernews.com...
> > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1266. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 21:47:43 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020715174743.02941.00000694@mb-cm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 130294

>The 2 down sides of being a cop are the pay sticks
>(except LI NY)

Particularly Suffolk County!

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1267. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 21:48:46 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <2rHY8.103823$UT.6703642@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130296

True... but we were talking about a fan. I was just making a point that
moving air over the heat sink doesn't necessarily mean the use of a fan...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:3d332196$0$13946> What do you think "convection" is? It's the
movement, keyword here is
> "MOVEMENT" of air produced by a difference in temperatures. If there is
air
> movement then there is air flow. Is heatsink in a closed box where
> confection isn't possible? Posting one line out of context makes
meaningful
> comments difficult.

1268. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 21:51:09 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <htHY8.103829$UT.6702825@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130297

It's not a matter of cost... it's a matter of necessity.
Adding unnecessary components to any design lowers the reliability.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"KungFuYou" <confucioussay@foo.com> wrote in message news:gyFY8.213186
> Funny thing is Pornffesor they were your exact words not mine, evidently
you
> don't know the principal of what you say from one day to the next.
> Oh there are more prized quotes of you talking about amps without
heatsinks
> and Low and behold proffesor makes one the exact same way. How much does a
> fan cost? I believe you asked that same question too.

1269. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 21:51:56 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3340f5.2871973@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130299

In <20020715111631.03345.00000621@mb-bh.news.cs.com>,
eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) wrote:

>>It should be obvious that the FCC can see through the deceptive
>>business practices of those that manufacture and market unauthorized
>>radio equipment.
>
>You'd think so, Frank...but why haven't they done much about it? It seems as if
>they're <Importers> right there for the picking...in plain sight?

If you are asking if I think the FCC is lame with it's enforcement, I
would say 'absolutely'!

>>Technically yes, because the equipment, modified or not, is not
>>certificated (type-accepted) for use on the CB.
>
>As soon as you key up on the legal 40 or the "freeband" with a modified amateur
>radio, you're in violation
>
>.>If the purpose of the
>>modification is to comply with the intent of the law, the FCC probably
>>wouldn't care just as long as you don't sell it
>
>Do you really think the commission would display this level of tolerance?
>(Assuming they actually knew. I think it'd take a written confession to know if
>somebody's using a RCI-2950 on ch. 38 LSB @ 12 watts P.E.P., or an OTA
>admission.)

The FCC (if they really want to) can use 'spectral fingerprinting' to
determine one radio from another. But that is a hard-core enforcement
tactic usually reserved for NSA and investigations and military
operations.

>>, but it would be much
>>easier just to get yourself a legal CB radio. And since most of these
>>'imports' are junk even for amateur operation, get yourself a proper
>>radio for that purpose as well.
>
>Agreed. The Uniden Grant(s) or Washington can still be had as well as the newer
>Galaxy DX-2547 base.

Most of these radios become popularized because of their ability to be
modded, not because of their quality. If all you want to do is talk on
the Citizen's Band, just find a radio that works and use it. It's that
simple. That's what the service was intended to be -- simple.

1270. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 21:52:26 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <uuHY8.103832$UT.6704086@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130298

lol...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj6ctn2agtr4ae@corp.supernews.com...
> Gee Wiz voob As much as I enjoy driving Jerry apoplectic he's still a
decent
> guy for a Hammie and he didn't deserve that by a long shot.
> You really are nuts.
> Sincerely and sanely,
> Ken

1271. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 21:52:38 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <GuHY8.30217$Iu6.1708508@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130300

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj6fplpmv8ro05@corp.supernews.com...

> . And once I announced FCC
> was in the scalehouse checking for BIG Radios and then ch 19 exploded!
> Everyone when nuts. I was lauphing my butt off! What was so funny was
that
> they believed it!
> So calm down and don't have a stroke:) newsgroups and Home PC's are
toys
> just like radios:)

Got an idea for you who like to disturb for the fun of it: Next time you are in a grocery store, walk up to the margarine cooler and loudly declaim, "I can't believe it's not a laxative". Then quietly walk away.

Now pretty soon we got us a movement and the makers of the most pretentious oleo in the history of the biz quietly sinks out of sight and never knows why.

1272. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 22:04:40 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <WDHY8.35335$1q2.1993007@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130301

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:16425-3D332E1E-17@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj4d6j2qfe3a40@corp.supernews.com...
I agree totally Rich. I went to the QRZ.com site and did a search for
msgs on cb 11m etc and those losers make voob look human! Apparently
only the best of the hams come to this ng. This is one of the best and
most enjoyable ng's around. Ever visit alt.ham.dx? Its maybe a hundred
posts(compared to 31962 here) and most posts where "where is everybody"
The funny thing is that the subject of cb gets
more posts then anything else among the Hams. Hams talk more about cb
and freebanding then anything else.
Ofcourse both Ham rigs and Cb rigs are toys. CB has legitament
commercial use wher Ham has no legit use and are truely toys. CB is one
of the most exciting hobbies out there and even Hams recognize this.

>OK, you asked for this: Twisted, this is NOT meant as a flame, but is
directed
at Kenneth, and Kenneth alone. <

Hey, no sweat bud, I'm just chillin' checkin' out the scenes and
groovin' on the discussions,.. s'cool!

>Let's look at your allegation that "CB has 'legiti-
mate' commercial use wher (sic) Ham has no legit use are are truly
toys." If what
you say is true, then WHY did the emergency services people ask for
Amateur radio support when the Twin Towers fell and NOT CB? Why did the
Dutch family
whose yacht was attacked by pirates ask for help from the Amateur's
Maritime Mobile Service Net instead of screaming on a CB "BREAK THAR ON
THIS H'YAR MERCY ME, GRE'T DAY 'LIVE THAR CB THAR, YUH GOT AN OLE COPY
THAR, MERCY ME THAR, SHORE THANG THAR, KICK HIT
BACK THAR, BREAK! SQUEAK!! ??????? Hmmmm? Tell me! How come I don't see
a CB desk in the state Emergency Operations Centers alongside the RACES
and ARES desks (Both are HAM organizations.) Why were the HAMS at work
in Colorado during the fires while I haven't heard one damn word about
CBers manning radios and passing health and welfare traffic for families
as well as
providing backup for the regular communications channels? I can just
hear it now:
BREAK THAR ON THIS HYAR COLORADO COWBOY THAR, MERCY ME THAR, WORLDWIDE,
WORLDWIDE THAR, HOW BOUTCHA THAR OUT T'ERE IN THAT T'ERE SKIPLAND THAR,
GRE'T DAY, WE A-TRYIN' TUH PASS THIS H'YAR HEALTH AND WELFARE TRAFFIC

RI'CHERE IN THESE H'YAR COLORADO 'F'ARS (fires), BREAK, GIT BACK THAR!
SQUEAK!" The EOCs would be keeled over in the floor overcome with
laughter!! CB has "legitimate' uses while ham has no legit use? HA! Give
me a break! How come the Feds felt it important enough to pass a
regulation that gives hams a minimum of protection from local zoning
laws that
restrict Amateur antennas? How come States are gradually codifying this
rule?
(the FCC # is PRB-1). Oh, BTW CBers aren't covered by this rule. CB is
so "important", right? I wonder why they didn't include CB? How come
there is a bill in Congress right now to exempt hams from CC & Rs--those
pesky housing
and condo rules that prevent external communications antennas? So far,
its outcome looks pretty favorable. Of course, builders and condo
associations are
fighting it, but, with all the favorable press ham radio has had in
Congress and the
fact that it HAS been recognized as a valuable communications resource
both be-
fore AND after 9/11, it will likely become law. Funny thing: I haven't
heard a thing
about "protections" for CB radio like I have about ham radio. Wonder why
that is?
Even REACT is a dying breed; never see them around here. Could it be
that Amateur Radio has such a cadre of trained, disciplined operators,
such a fine record of responding to emergencies and providing effective
communications that
REACT has nothing to "react" to??? MMffffff! HAHAHAHAHAHA! Sorry for
the outburst, I just couldn't hold it in! During the CB craze, many
police and county
dispatch centers did, indeed, install, CB radios. I asked a local friend
if they still had one in the radio room and he said, "Hell, NO! That
stupid thing drove the operators crazy with all that noise and
ridiculous lingo"! <<mmmmfffft!
ggg--now
control yourself, Jerry>>

Tell me, what is so exciting about a hick yelling the same thing over
and over and over and over, squeaking and beeping and using goofy slang
phrases. Now THAT's boring. How come it is that I see lots of CB ops
list their equipment in their sig and all of it is B O U G H T stuff?
Overpriced BOUGHT stuff?<

Now you stepped beyond the realm of just
Kenneth alone, as I am a cb op, at times.
Gear is worth what one will pay for it.

> One of
those "BIG COIL antennas--I can make for $10.00.<

Make it look as good and we'll go into business. With your antenna skill and my keys to the kingdom of all that is evil in the way of radio, we can get richer in no time.

All you need is some nylon tubing, a lathe/drill, and some copper tubing. Works just as well and the markup is huge.

> Want an antenna for ANY frequency from DC to daylight? Lotsa hams make that, too! Sure, some CBers do the very same thing. Not the vast majority. Can YOU explain what "impedance" is? Can you effectively argue that coax length doesn't matter, and PROVE what you say is true (of course that person would have to be shown live
and in person---not just my word). There are exceptions (phased antennas,
etc),
but it doesn't matter, and I can prove it in person. How many "resistances" must
a radio signal pass thru in your antenna system? Name them? There IS more to
radio than yap, yap, yap, yap, yap.....
I would bet (if I were a betting man--I don't even play the lottery) that if you asked
about all those Congressmen and "Presidents" that worry about truckers' lobbies,
asked them about the relative importance of the 2 radio "hobbies", you would be
shocked to find that Amateur Radio does, indeed, hold a higher level of esteem among the powers that be than CB radio. Truth is, CB radio is regarded as a kind
of "cultish, lowboy" toy that has numbers, but very little substance or importance in the relative pecking order. <

I find that is believed of by most hammies. The rest of the non-radio word couldn't tell the difference between cb and hammie radio. To confirm it, walk up to a stranger on the street and ask him to name which "meter" cb falls under and then ask them to name a single "meter" of hammie radio..

I wasn't talking about the man on the street. Kenneth was talking about the Congressmen, the relative power of truckers lobbies, and "Presidents>" Yet the public DOES know what ham radio is--they may not be able to differentiate
between the two on sight, but they do know what ham radio is. After all, just looking at a car with a whip on it is not enough to tell.

>It IS a toy. Ham Radio is a toy--part of the time, but it can rally professional, organized communications where CB just can't. CB has also garnered a black eye because of the interference and "rebel" attitude regarding the laws governing its use. If you REALLY knew somebody inside, you might find that the bigwigs would LIKE to find a way to get rid of CB radio -at least, in its present form. And it *may* happen, the way things are going. I could just about guarantee that CB is NOT going to "take over" anything as you like to troll. Radio usage is a (read it

carefully now) P R I V I L E G E granted by the government, not a right. You have a right to free speech, not a "right" to use a radio. That privilege CAN be taken away..just like it can for hams. There are discussions right now about sunsetting CB radio and encouraging the use of FRS and MURS instead.

You inflate the importance of trucks and the CB radios they use. For what you
actually use them for, FRS would actually work better. At low power, more trucks
could be on the same channel at the same time without bothering each other. As to
trucks bringing the economy to its "knees", you forget that much of that material now hauled by truck can be put on trains.<

Not most perishables.

Sorry--not true. One of my first railroad jobs was checking the refrigerated cars
in the flat yard to see that the generator was running and that the temperature was
correct. Ever hear of Fruit Growers' Express?

> UPS now ships its trailers on piggyback trains in the US, and Norfolk Southern Railway has something called Triple Crown
Service where there are NO railcars underneath the trailers! The trailers have rail
wheels as well as road wheels, and it is a simply a matter of hooking a locomotive to a long string of these and hauling them to their destination. And if you think ALL
railroads are broke and ineffective, you'd better check again before giving inacurate information. There would be some disruptions, of course, in the event of a truckers' strike, but much of their haulage can be moved by train--enough to prevent the widespread harm done if truckers quit driving.<

I don;'t believe that. You underestimate the power of the trucker's unions. HBO did a special on this very recently.

I am still a member of a rail union. There is nothing that says that a shipper
HAS to ship his goods by truck. Unions don't have THAT much power. And if there were a truckers' strike, sure, there would be disruptions, but much of what
trucks can ship can be hauled on trains, trains can piggyback trailers, and even pull
trailers right on the rails. Many railroads already have this ability. Lots of trucking
companies would suffer more than the railroads would--even causing some who are already teetering to fall over the edge.

> BTW, I worked in the
rail industry for 23 years before retirement and I know what I am
talking about. <

Aw, damn Jerry, you retired with a nice little pension. You can't take
it with ya' brother, whatcha doing with all that cash?
C'mon, let's get these cb antennas rolling.
A small investment and I can almost guarantee ya' we'll sell more than
the hammie bugcatcher antennas.

Hehe--if I make THAT much money, why would I want to work? I am enjoying my
life as is. Retirement doesn make me wealthy, but I do get by to the point
that
I can pretty well do as I please. Hey, I worked ever since I was 15 years
old. I
got out of the race at 52. Why would I want to go BACK to work?

Jerry

So far both y'all made excellent points. It;s a great debate. I'm still
listening not saying a word.......<g>!

1273. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 22:08:39 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D332A11.50B17570@mailcity.net>
Source record: 130302

Kenneth wrote:
>
> Thanks Jerry I enjoyed that allot it was the funniest thing ive read in
> years.
> Now as us cb'ers like to say"here comes my 55 gallon drum of cyber
> WHUP-ASS:):)
> First off after 911 while you hams where in some motorhome acting like
> lt. Uhura on startrek cb'ers where bring in need supplies and SAVING lives.
> Not one hambo took the rubble and human remains down to fresh kills land
> fill. If you guys did you'd go nuts cause it violate several hazmat regs and
> TOTALLY violated several others. cb'ers could have said "no-way" to
> suppliing NYC afterwards cause doing so was a traffic nightmare. As for
> giving info to the families what info? the towers fell down! Wow that was
> news! CB'ers came through in spades.

Funny, I heard several news reports on TV and read in the paper
about the ham efforts involved with 9/11, but never heard or read
a word about any cbers doing anything.

> As for trains thats funny.

Gotta agree here, even when that train arrives with all those
piggy-backs, there has to be a truck to come haul it to the final
destination.

1274. Re: real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 22:09:23 UTC
From: me@me.com
Message-ID: <3d334790.40542209@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 130303

On 15 Jul 2002 13:12:54 -0700, voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:

>me@me.com wrote in message news:<3d32b07d.1861232@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>...
>>I know someone, back in the day, before riley hollingsworth
>>came on the scene, back in the days of the 3.895 war, (between 3895
>>and 3.901),
>
>Oh I'm sure you "know someone". You're probably even one of the dunces
>whose license has been yanked by Riley for those shenanigans.
>

you know what boobner, you can kiss my ass, at least I got a Ham Ticket, WTF is YOUR excuse?

I know, it's because your TOO Stupid to even get one.

>>and the gator stakes... He used to run on of these, in
>>class AB1.. and I know of a guy now, who has 3 phase power in his
>>Garage, and he's a 75 meter dude, is seriously thinking of building
>>something with one of these... If and when it's built, I'll post pics
>>of it here... He's thinking of driving it with an L4B or an L7... and
>>would be using hardline to feed the antenna. antenna would be a
>dipole
>>cut to frequency, with 8 or 10 gauge wire...
>>
>>Stay Tuned.
>
>You mean "stay tuned for more BS from outlaw anarchist power-junkies
>who are afraid to even say what STATE they're in on their ebay
>auction"? No thanks.
>
>-A

Oh, Up yours, asshole... you're just mad because YOU cannot afford to buy a radio and an amp and too dumb to get a real license and get on ham radio.

so there..

-Me

1275. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 22:18:53 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d334896$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130304

Your twisting is hopeless and has taken this thread WAY out of the way. Have fun with someone else for a while. You may also claim victory if you wish because I give up. But one more/last thing. I never said that 27 were IN court. I said that 27 were either on their way TO court, IN court or through court and didn't specify how many of each. Twist away Twistyboy because I'm done with you.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:7438-3D32E3EC-115@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: wb5rue@qsl.net (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:21444-3D2F02D7-100@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net... What I have done, is verified that the
procedure involved with the FCC takes much longer than six months for an actual court appearance to take place, let alone a conviction by the FCC.

>I guess you missed the part where I said that a great majority of these issues never make it to court. <

What did you do, drink all weekend and
do nothing but reply to my posts?
I guess you were drunk when you claimed that all 27 of them went to court since the 27 of December. Now here you are trying to enter another equation.

>The evidence is so overwhelming that the guy's an idiot to push the issue in court. What you have "verified" does not make me a liar. I believe I said something like these guys are either on their way to court, in court or through court. Please show me the lie. <

You said 27 were already IN court, all made to appear since Dec 27. See, you don;t know what you said.
Do you need to see it for yourself before you lie about it, deny it, and insult me for pointing it out?

>So then you HAVEN'T actually made contacts to "prove that I am lying."<

Of course I have.
Ask a lawyer that worked for the FCC is your time frame is even remotely possible. There is no chance of your lie being even remotely true. That won't cost you anything, as there is a hammie readily accessible that loves to disburse wisdom concerning his golden days with the feds. All you gotta do is ask, Muenzler, not dance.

>...and his name is? "Lawyers" don't work for the FCC. <

Wana bet?

>IF he used to
"work for the FCC" then he was a federal prosecutor assigned to the FCC.
<

Damn Kevin, the whole group is howling at your lame azz, as most of the group is aware of who this gent is and he was indeed an FCC lawyer. Instead of coming off like a jackass in all your posts and trying to "get something on me", you really ought to slow down,,,you're making quite the fool of yourself with your ignorance.

>Please what's his name so I can verify his previous employer, his bar status, etc. <

Oh, man. Talk to Lelnad. He claims his name is Phil Kane. One of his crossposts are in here now. But merely watching you flop around like this is about as far as I can go.

>I understand now. YOU are lying. Or perhaps, again, "lying" is too strong of a word. You were misleading, you misrepresented what you had actually done, YOU LIED! <

(Chuckle) Hehhe..Sorry to burst your bubble, Kevin, but you're gonna have to wake up much earlier than that if you are going to look for something to personalize.

>Stop being paranoid Twistyboy. It wasn't mean personally, just like you calling me a liar is not meant personally. You're a hypocrite too.<

Actually, I'm not. I really haven't insulted you except for referring to you as a liar, and as you claim, it's really not a lie when it's true, so prove it's not true.
Now you are espousing and sharing your true ignorance concerning your non-knowledge of the FCC. But you did that once, concerning your lies abuot you assisting in getting ALL 27 radio violators to a federal court apearance since the 27of December. Rock on, lieboy.

>Did you "make calls" that were unable to verify my claims or did you make calls that were able to contradict my claims. There is a BIG difference. <

This is getting better by the day. Give you ego trippers enough rope and ya'll piss all over your own legs after the selfhangin's. Here's more fodder for your featherless cap..if the FCC brought anyone to court AND convicted them as you claim, within the time frame you claim, it would have been the FASTEST convictions for cb or hammie related behavior to date.

>Ok, again you are putting words in my mouth. Did I say convictions? <

Nope, you said busts.

>Nope, not once. Just as I never claimed to have made the busts. <

But you DID say busts. Why do keep saying you dind't say busts when you did?

>Just because someone has been served (received a Notice of Apparent Liability or "NAL") doesn't mean that they are convicted. You're causing your own confusion Twistyboy. <

Not at all, junior..you claimed busts, and you claimed 27, and you claimed all in Texas federal court since Dec. 27.

>You see I have never seen your brain, I don't know anyone else who has ever seen your brain. In fact I doubt that anyone in the entire world has seen your brain. So we can't verify that it exists at all. So if we use your logic of "unable to verify" then your brain doesn't exist. <

Your trouble remaining focused on the subject and attempts at making your agenda one of spewing your venom and insults my way is dangerously close to becoming trollish.

>No trouble remaining focused Twistyboy. <

Oh, so the trolling is intentional. Now how did we all figure that?

>I was showing how your logic doesn't work. <

With insults? You have PLENTY to learn, grasshopper. Effective communications skills, peppered with a bit of temperance and diplomacy will improve what you lack.
Logic, hmm? Logic that says when I point out you said something when you denied saying it, calls for you to tell me it was a figment of my imagination and that I'm a liar? Then not even the good graces to admit it when caught, but to blatantly and callously claim "I forgot?" With your logic, no one is interested. You're an island unto yourself.

>According to your logic since you can't verify my claims they are lies.<

According to ANYONE's logic, once can not verify lies, but one can prove it. Think about it.
But, you appaer begging for an explananation. According to journalistic standards, they are lies. A claim comes forward, it is asked of the claimant to provide proof. Either you produce it or you don't. Anything you say will not lend credibility to the claim without tangible proof. No proof, no story, and the claim is discarded and the source is marked as unreliable. Just like you. Your late attempts at saving face serve only to dig your hole deeper, such as the ignorance you shared concerning FCC lawyers.

>Since I can't verify the existence of your brain then, according to your logic, it doesn't exist. Sorry I made it so complicated for you. <

But everyone that frequents the ng can see the
lies you tell and lie about some more. Do you have an alcohol problem, Kev? You seem to be incapable of posting and focusing on any issue except for your intense personal dislike of me.

>I thought you don't make personal attacks TwistyBoy. Now we've added hypocrisy to twisting. <

It was a quesion, and you opened the door. I never said I don't get personal...matter of fact, I have always held that I return what I get. If you have a problem with it, you should dig what you are dishing out.

>They, like I have said, can see that you are the TwistMeister.<

They most certainly can, Kev, and all that have met me and most that have been around here any length of time also know I don't lie...period.

>No, you don't lie. You twist someone else's words around and call them a liar. <

You said 27, Kev..not me. You said "Busts", not me. You said you I began the insults, when I showed it was indeed you that began calling names. You said you assisted in getting them all to appear in federal court since the 27 of December, not I.

>Misrepresentation is the same as lying Twistyboy. Above is a perfect example. Now you say that I claimed convictions. I KNOW I never said that.<

Right, you said "busts." and clariied as much.

>So you say that I am incapable of focusing? <

Absolutely! Of course you are. You have now resulted to insults in each of your postings.

>Let's see. The original title of the thread was the I claimed 27 busts this year. Where has it gone from there. FCC OFFICIAL OBSERVERS, convictions, busts....<

Which has nothing to do with insults in all your posts, but you quickly seem anxious to glaze over this and change the subject yet again. Also, you chose to focus on those instead of your big lie of 27 busts in Texas and your assistance in gettiing them ALL to federal court since the 27 of last December.

1276. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 22:24:20 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj6isi2klv3iad@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130305

Oh yea the pirates? What where they doing going slow through the straits of malaca ot the java straights? I was a merchant seaman qmed on a ship during the gulf war. The pirate activity jumped cause all the western navies where in the arabian sea. Stupid captain set our speed at 15 knots. Luckily they didnt take the coffee pot. That dutch family was stupid to begin with. Probably scoffed at "pirates" in the 21st century. As for impedance is that like capacitance or reactance? You could have asked me what happens at the PNP juntion or who MOSFET is. BTW I have been told ALLOT that I need 18 feet of coax. I have 6 feet, the shortest length I could get. Could I argue it? yes Efectivly? depends on the audence. Say dialectic and peaple give you weird stares.
Who did more for radio then Marconi? a ham? a cb'er? wrong..Rush Limbaugh reinvented radio and changed radio more then anyone. How wise was the FCC when they almost made mechanical color TV the standard? Was they guy who invented the IC or the transistor a Ham? Or was the guy who discovered quantum mechanics which these devices based on a Ham?
Oh if you want to see flames see the post in QRZ.com from a guy who went to school to become a profesional broadcast engineer and reported how the pros look on Hams as Hams look on CB'ers....They went stark raving insane. One loon wanted to pay airfair just to prove he knew more.
And Nasa used roger beeps on the moon landing so ya know there cool. I could just imagine one of your fellow Hams scraming in the movie theater when Lovell said "Houston we've had a problem(bgi ole roger BEEEP:)"

1277. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 22:25:45 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3348c6.4872946@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130306

In <htHY8.103829$UT.6702825@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>It's not a matter of cost... it's a matter of necessity.
>Adding unnecessary components to any design lowers the reliability.

Is that some pearl of that wisdom you picked up from Eitner? You can
add components to -improve- reliability of any device, and it's done
all the time. Adding active cooling to aid heat dissipation will MOST
CERTAINLY improve reliability. Just like adding a current-limiting
resistor to a device with a low maximum current rating will DEFINATELY
improve reliability. And adding an overload-protection pair of diodes
to the input of an FET will UNDOUBTEDLY improve reliablity. As usual,
you don't know what you are talking about, Brian.

1278. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 22:26:13 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj6j02i1lc04e4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130307

For real!!! BTW I grew up in Sayville.
"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020715174743.02941.00000694@mb-cm.news.cs.com...
> >The 2 down sides of being a cop are the pay sticks
> >(except LI NY)
>
> Particularly Suffolk County!
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

1279. Re: DX Report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 22:26:32 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207151426.71ccf353@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130308

"Freebanders Are Freeloaders" Beach Hat ON SALE

Just in time for summer! Was $15.99. Sale Price....NOW ONLY $12.99!

-Aaron-

http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/store.aspx?storeid=antikeyclown

1280. Re: President HR-2510 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 22:33:09 UTC
From: Mark Russo <mrrusso@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3D334DA5.1EFC7DBF@optonline.net>
Source record: 130325
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

We're working on that issue?? Who is we?
It must be killing you that Yahoo auctions are selling amps and 360 channel CB's.
There is nothing wrong to sell a 2510 to a valid ham radio operator. If you picked one up at a garage sale it would be up to YOU to use it legal by having a ham ticket.
If you mod it for CB then you would be the one who is illegal. The equipment has little to do with it.
If you purchased a used car, and I'm sure you have. If you drove that car home with plates other than
registered to that car, you would be illegal.
Haven't you wasted enough bandwidth on many newsgroups with your type of intimidation??
By responding, I too am wasting time and space. I apologize to the groups.

"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:

> vhfradiobuff@aol.com (vhfradiobuff) wrote in message news:<5e5fccab.0207141348.4d94953e@posting.google.com>...
> > When will people learn the URL for illegal radios and amps?
> >
> > http://auctions.yahoo.com
> >
> > You can list anything there. :)
>
> Be advised, we're working on that issue. Note the Yahoo Auctions TOS
> clause (below). Since sale of illegal CB amplifiers and "export
> radios" violates FCC law, Yahoo will eventualy have to follow eBay's
> lead in banning the sale of these items.
>
> -A
>
> ----------
>
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
> You agree to not use the Service to:
>
> k. intentionally or unintentionally violate any applicable local,
> state, national or international law.

--

All e-mail received and SENT from me
has been checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2002.

mrrusso@optonline.net

GOD BLESS AMERICA!!!

1281. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC//Attn: BILL NELSON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 22:34:05 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj6jeqoq1kc0c6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130309

Yea but they totally didnt mess with trucks. And if you show me 10 trucks I
can point out the 7 that definately have illigal radios.
"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:uj4ibtmnco2d7b@corp.supernews.com...
> Kenneth, are you aware of what happened a few years back with the New York
> City taxicabs?
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:uj3aatd8utkkf5@corp.supernews.com...
> > Yep it went into a genuine government grey trashcan in the bowels of the
> FCC
> > ROFL!!!!
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:YukW8.65386$wj4.5675966@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > >
> > > Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> > > news:uiep0nr5n4u3c2@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > As a truck driver I can't help but laugh at the FCC wannabie agents.
> Out
> > > of
> > > > perhaps 2 million trucks 90 percent have non-standard(read illigal)
> > rigs.
> > > > The other 10 percent will get one within a year.
> > > > Aparently truck drivers decided NOT to wait for the FCC to get of
> > there
> > > > ass and allocate new channels and more power. Most truck stops have
> a
> > cb
> > > > shop and I would pay money to see some dweeb walk in and tell them
> it's
> > > > against the law.
> > >
> > > They will never know it. The person walks in, buys a Coke and browses
> > > around
> > > the store looking at the CB radios and antennas. Then he might grab
> one
> > of
> > > the
> > > cashier tickets that is normally taken to the cashier for purchase,
> and

> > > write down
> > > the description of the illegal "10 meter" radio> I've DONE it--I have
> one
> > > of those
> > > Magnum Delta Force tickets right in front of me right now! I found it
in
> a
> > > truck stop
> > > in Columbia, SC. A copy went to the "right" place.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Will the FCC ever do anything about it? No Never Not in a
> > > > million years.
> > > > Look at the bust's the FCC have made. Something like 8 busts
over
> a
> > 3
> > > > year period and half of them are Ham's. The rest are base stations
> that
> > > > ignored repeated warnings.
> > >
> > >
> > > Bill,
> > >
> > > Here's where he said "8", and half of them are NOT hams; they are IN
> > > ADDITION
> > > to the 21 I cited.
> > > > Truckers will continue to buy Galaxy's and Superstar's and
linier's
> > and
> > > > use them and people will sell them.
> > >
> > > Nope. If the folks could keep from wandering into other bands and
> > > frequencies,
> > > I'd agree with you. But by operating on the ham bands and causing
> > > interference to
> > > the rightful users, the truckers, maybe unknowingly, are opening up a
> can
> > of
> > > sour
> > > worms that also BITE!!
> > > > Voobner and his ilk will continue to annoy the fcc post msgs here
> > while
> > > > worring that someone somewhere might transmit more then 4 watts and
> > > generaly
> > > > make an ass of himself.
> > >
> > > It does no good to bother people about operating on the CB band

> illegally.
> > > But when they operate on the ham bands illegally, then it becomes
> another
> > > story.
> > >
> > > Frankly I fell sorry for him. If he has a liscense
> > > > it brings him zero enjoyment. Everyday I use my superstar as a tool
> and
> > > have
> > > > fun contacting people all over the US. Voobner meanwhile worries and
> > scans
> > > > the internet for someone to point his finger at and say"your the bad
> > guy"
> > > >
> > >
> > > Buy the way three truck stops I have seen sell full blown ICOM Ham
rigs
> > > and one even had a marine radio.
> > >
> > > Would you like to PROVE your assertion that FCC WON'T do anything
about
> > > sales of illegal radios like the Magnum Delta Force? Then tell us
WHERE
> > you
> > > saw
> > > those ham rigs, which, BTW, are not in and of themselves illegal to
> sell,
> > > but I'd bet you that if they are selling them, they are also selling
the
> > > unapproved ones, too. So
> > > tell us where these truck stops are so I can send it to Riley, and we
> will
> > > see if he does
> > > anything.
> > >
> > > J
> > >
> > > Five guys I know wanted Ham rigs and just
> > > > went out and bought them and installed them and use them and they
tell
> > me
> > > > most Hams talk to them and only a few mention that the should get a
> > > > liscense.
> > > > Baba Boeey! WASSUPP Can you hear me now? Good!
> > > > Sincerely
> > > > Ken
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >

> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1282. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 22:35:32 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020715183532.06840.00000735@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 130310

Jerry ,if you hate cb and cber's so bad why do hang around on cb newsgroups?Do you think you are going to make a difference?I know you have been here awhile but your like arron a common troll.You belong on the policy newsgroup.I have been reading this group since 1994/95 and it was fun to read.Now people like you and the rest of the anti cber's ruined a good forum.There are alot of good ham's out here that help people without using a blow torch and I take my hat off to these people.Try to be more like these people not a jackass!

1283. Re: real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 22:36:25 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d334cd2.5909215@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130311

In <3d334790.40542209@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>, me@me.com wrote:

<snip>
>I know, it's because your TOO Stupid to even get one.

If you are going to attack someone's intelligence, you might try
spelling your words correctly -- it might improve the credibility of
such a statement.

1284. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 22:36:38 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj6jjjl9j61i11@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130313

They have guns.
"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D331710.CAE66F67@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Kenneth wrote:
>
> > Aparently officers talk to truckers on there police radios.
>
> Good grief, don't you realize that the police radios are not on cb
> frequencies?

1285. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 22:37:14 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207151437.498db68f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130312

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<7438-3D32EDD1-118@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> Since you choose to pose questions to yourself and answer them yourself,
> there is no harm in believing yourself.
> Just in case you are hoping some unsuspecting soul may make up
> their mind whether to operate what you deem illegal or not, I'll
> disseminate the BS you have posted below. You should present the entire
> picture and not just that of which you agree.If you were more honest and
> didn't intentionally try to spread so much disinformation you wouldn't
> have to contend with what you do.

Twist. What I posted is the script from the FCC Call Center. If you
wish to confirm this, dial 888-CALL FCC (888-225-5322) and press 3. Go
argue with them. LOL. Good luck.

-Aaron-

SCRIPT FOR F.C.C. CALL CENTER

1. C.B. LINEAR POWER AMPLIFIERS

Q. What is a "Linear Amplifier"?
A. This is a radio frequency (RF) power amplifier which increases the
output level of a 27 MHz C.B. radio transmitter above the level which
is permitted in the FCC rules.

Q. How much power are C.B. transmitters allowed to use?
A. Section 95.410 of the rules limits the output power of a C.B.
radio which is using Amplitude Modulation (AM) to a maximum power of 4
Watts. If the transmitter is using Single-Sideband, the maximum
permissible power is 12 Watts "Peak Envelope Power" (PEP).

Q. Is the use of a linear amplifier at a C.B. radio station legal?
A. No. Section 95.411 prohibits the use of any kind of power
amplifier at a C.B. radio station.

Q. Why do some C.B.'ers use power amplifiers?
A. Some C.B. radio operators use power amplifiers in order to
increase the range of their C.B. radio transmissions, or to over-ride
the signals from other C.B. stations on the same radio channel, thus
being able to monopolize the channel, in violation of Section
95.407(e) of the rules.

Q. Why is it illegal to use a power amplifier on my C.B. radio?
A. The C.B. Radio Service is intended as a strictly local

communications service, not as a substitute for the Amateur Radio Service for communicating with stations hundreds, or thousands, of miles away. So using more power than the rules permit serves no legitimate purpose. Since all 40 of the C.B. radio channels must be shared by all users (no user may "own" a particular channel), the use of excess power can have a detrimental effect on other users of the same, and adjacent, channels.
In addition, the use of excess power greatly increases the possibility for creating interference to neighboring consumer electronic devices such as radio and television receivers, hi-fi and stereo audio systems and telephones.

Q. Are there other names for these devices?
A. Yes. Linear Power amplifiers are also called "Linears", "Power Amps", "Heat", "Foot-warmers", "bi-linears", etc. Some transistorized models are referred to by the number of "Pills" in the unit (a "Pill" is a way of referring to the transistors, so-called because the transistors resemble an over-sized Asperin tablet).

Q. How much power do these amplifiers produce?
A. Typical amplifiers produce from 50 to 200 Watts of power. However, some manufacturers sell units which are capable of producing thousands of Watts of power.

Q. Are there any types of legal linear amplifiers?
A. Yes. Amplifiers which are manufactured and intended for use by licensed amateur radio stations, and certain other licensed radio services, may be legally manufactured and sold. However, there are certain limitations which these devices must adhere to. One of these limitations is that such amplifiers must not be capable of operating in the C.B. band.

Q. What are the limitations of legal amateur radio linear amplifiers?
A. Power amplifiers intended for use at licensed amateur radio stations must comply with the provisions of Sections 97.315 and 97.317 of the F.C.C. rules. Among other things, they must be approved by the F.C.C. In addition, any amplifiers intended for use on any amateur frequencies below 144 MHz shall exhibit no amplification capability on frequencies between 26 and 28 MHz.

Q. How do I know if an amplifier is legal or not?
A. All legal amplifiers must be issued an F.C.C. grant of equipment certification. Every such approved amplifier must have a sticker indicating the name of the manufacturer and the F.C.C. Identification number (FCC ID). This FCC ID number can be verified by checking the F.C.C./OET Equipment Authorization database on the F.C.C.'s Web Page. If an amplifier does not have such an FCC ID, it is not a legal amplifier.
The F.C.C. Laboratory can provide a list of manufacturers who have been granted equipment authorizations for their approved linear power amplifiers.
The F.C.C. Laboratory can also provide (an incomplete) list of

known illegal amplifiers. This list keeps growing as additional makes and models become known to us.

Q. I have an F.C.C.-approved amateur radio linear amplifier. Is it OK for me to modify it to operate on the C.B. frequencies?
A. No.

Q. Can I sell my linear amplifier?
A. Only if it is an F.C.C.-approved amplifier. The importation, manufacturing, marketing, use or possession of non-approved amplifiers is illegal.

Q. If I can not sell it my illegal amplifier, what can I do with it?
A. Destroy it.

Q. I see linear amplifiers offered for sale on Internet sales sites. Is this legal?
A. Only if they are F.C.C.-approved amplifiers. F.C.C.-approved amateur radio amplifiers which have not been altered in any manner so as to operate on the C.B. radio frequencies, may legally be sold to other amateur radio licensees. The sale of any type of non-approved C.B. amplifier within the United States, under any form of disguise, to anybody, is illegal.

Q. I tried to sell my linear amplifier on an Internet sale site, but it was rejected by the administrator of the sale site. Is there anything I can do to prevent them from pulling my amplifier from their sales?
A. The F.C.C. has no direct control over Internet sales sites. The sale site administrators develop their own policies regarding the sale of potentially illegal devices or equipment. If the sale site administrator asks the F.C.C. about the legality of a particular type of device, we will explain our rules and policies. However, it is up to the sale site administrator to make the final decision as to whether to accept or reject any particular device for sale.

Q. I see various manufacturers and vendors advertising C.B. power amplifiers on their Web sites. Is this legal?
A. No. The importation, manufacture, sale or offer for sale, of non-approved amplifiers is illegal.

2. NON-APPROVED C.B. RADIOS

Q. What is a legal C.B. radio?
A. All radio transmitters and receivers which are intended to operate on the 27 MHz Citizen's Band (C.B.) channels must be approved by the F.C.C. before they can be imported, advertised, sold or used. The manufacturer must be issued an F.C.C. grant of equipment authorization, called "certification", as indicated by an FCC ID on each unit. If the radio has a label showing an FCC ID, and the ID can be verified by checking the Equipment Authorization database on the F.C.C./OET Web page, then the radio is legal.

Q. What kind of radios are illegal to use on the C.B. radio channels?
A. Any radio transmitter, receiver, or transceiver which is designed by the manufacturer to operate on the C.B. radio channels, but which has not been granted an F.C.C. equipment authorization is illegal. These non-approved radios may appear in several different forms:
1. "10-Meter Amateur" radios, which have been designed so as to be readily alterable to operate on the C.B. radio channels, and/or on other non-amateur frequencies in the frequency range from about 24 to about 32 MHz;
2. "Export" or "full channel" radios, which are designed to operate over all, or a portion of, the radio spectrum from about 24 to about 32 MHz, including the 27 MHz C.B. radio channels; or
3. F.C.C.-approved C.B. radios which are designed so as to be readily alterable to operate on frequencies in the range from about 24 to about 32 MHz, which are not assigned to the 27 MHz C.B. Radio Service.

Q. What do you mean by "readily alterable"?
A. This means that the user of the radio can add, or change, its operating frequencies by simply flipping an internal switch, by clipping the leads of, or installing, a simple component such as a diode, resistor or jumper wire, by installing, moving, or removing, a jumper plug, by connecting or disconnecting a connector, by replacing a plug-in semiconductor chip, or by programming a semiconductor chip using special access codes or an external device, such as a personal computer.

Q. What are these other frequencies between 24 and 32 MHz used for?
A. These frequencies are assigned to many different licensed radio services, both in the United States, as well as in other countries. Authorized users include Maritime and Aeronautical radio stations, standard time and frequency stations, international broadcasting stations, amateur radio, and government stations. A portion of this spectrum is also allocated to radioastronomy, where scientists are attempting to listen to very weak radio signals coming from distant stars and galaxies. No radio transmitters of any kind are permitted within these radioastronomy bands, so any earth-bound transmitted signals can completely disrupt radioastronomy observations.

Q. I don't hear any other stations on "my" frequency, which is not one of the 40 allocated C.B. radio channels. So what is wrong with operating my radio station here?
A. You may unknowingly cause interference to legitimate communications. Many of these frequencies may appear, to the casual listener, to be unused. Some of the authorized users may be using emission types which can not be plainly detected. Or, their transmissions may be intermittent. You will not hear any legitimate signals within the designated radioastronomy bands. However, just because a frequency sounds clear, that does not mean that it can be used without proper authorization.

Q. Why do some people operate illegally on these frequencies?
A. Many of these frequencies may appear to be "free" of any legitimate users, so some people think they are "free for the taking". The illegal radio operators are commonly referred to as "freebanders", and use their radios as a form of hobby, similar to Amateur Radio. But for many reasons, these people choose not to obtain an amateur radio license. They operate on these frequencies because under certain weather and radio propagation conditions, their signals can extend all the way around the world, enabling them to communicate with other similar unlicensed stations.

Q. What can happen if the F.C.C. catches me operating on an unauthorized frequency?
A. You are subject to severe penalties, including monetary forfeitures, confiscation of your radio equipment, and possibly even jail time.

Q. I've heard that some of the "big name" amateur radio equipment can also be altered to operate on non-amateur frequencies, including the C.B. radio channels. So why are these radios not considered to be "non-approved C.B. equipment"?
A. First, it must be emphasized that use of this type of amateur equipment on unauthorized frequencies, including using it on the C.B. radio channels or other non-amateur frequencies in the 24 to 32 MHz range, is illegal, and doing so will subject the user to the same penalties as mentioned above.
That being said, there are legitimate reasons for some amateur equipment to be used on certain non-amateur frequencies, such as on military M.A.R.S. (Military Affiliate Radio System) and C.A.P. (Civil Air Patrol) frequencies. MARS and CAP radio stations are only authorized to operate on specific assigned (non-amateur) frequencies. With just a few exceptions, most of the assigned MARS and CAP frequencies do not fall within the 24 to 32 MHz frequency range. Although some models of amateur equipment (manufactured by companies such as Alinco, Icom, Kenwood, Ten-Tec, Yaesu, etc.) may be capable of operating on the C.B. radio channels, they do not display the assigned C.B. channel number, nor are they designed to deliberately facilitate operation on the C.B. channels.

3. "LONG RANGE" CORDLESS TELEPHONES

Q. I occasionally see advertisements for cordless telephones having a range of several miles. How can this be?
A. No cordless telephones which are legal to use in the United States have a range of "several miles" or more. Such devices do not qualify for any type of F.C.C. equipment authorization, and they may not be imported, sold or used in the U.S.
Note that we are not talking about Cellular Telephones, or PCS phones.

Q. What frequencies are used by these illegal long range cordless telephones?
A. Such units may appear with just about any combination of base and

handset frequencies. Typical frequency combinations which we are aware of include: 52 and 154 MHz, 145 and 230 MHz, 43 and 162 MHz. All of these frequencies are allocated to various licensed radio services. The legitimate users of these frequencies all experienced severe interference from the illegal cordless telephones.

Q. Then what kind of cordless telephones are legal?
A. Only cordless telephones which have been issued an appropriate F.C.C. equipment authorization, and have a label showing the FCC ID number, may be imported, sold or used.

Q. What frequencies are used by legal cordless telephones?
A. The first cordless telephones operated at approximately 1.7 and 49 MHz. Later models operated at 46 and 49 MHz. Newer models operate at 915 or 2400 MHz.

Q. What is the maximum range I can expect from a legal cordless telephone?
A. The maximum range will depend upon many factors, such as obstructions between the base and handset, and the operating frequency and power of the units. In general, the maximum range is usually a couple of hundred feet. Some of the newest units which use spread spectrum digital technology, can have a range of up to several hundred feet.

Q. Where may I find the rules that pertain to legal cordless telephones?
A. These rules are contained in Part 15 of the F.C.C. rules for low-powered, un-licensed, devices.

Q. If I need more range than a legal cordless telephone will provide, what can I do?
A. The only legal means to obtain a greater range is to use a Cellular phone or a PCS phone.

4. POLICE SPEED RADAR DETECTORS AND RADAR JAMMERS

Q. I've heard that RADAR speed detectors are illegal. Is this true?
A. Some individual states have passed laws making the use of RADAR speed detectors illegal. The F.C.C. rules currently have no such prohibition.

Q. What about the police RADAR units, themselves? Are they legal?
A. Yes. Law enforcement agencies are licensed by the F.C.C. to operate speed RADAR devices.

Q. What about RADAR jammers?
A. The importation, manufacture, sale and/or use of any kind of RADAR jammer is illegal. This includes both the passive and the active types of jammers.

Q. Why are RADAR jammers illegal?
A. The Communications Act says that deliberate interference with a

licensed radio service is illegal. Since police RADAR units operate under the radio licenses of the police agencies using them, they are therefore protected by the Act.

Q. I've also heard that some RADAR detectors interfere with satellite reception. Is this true?
A. Yes, some RADAR detectors units have been found to cause interference to certain types of commercial and residential satellite television and data receiving dishes. This is a relatively new problem, and the F.C.C. is currently considering various methods of curing it.

5. "LONG RANGE" AUTOMOBILE SECURITY AND ALARM SYSTEMS

Q. I occasionally see advertisements for "long range" auto security/alarm systems. Are these legal?
A. There are two basic types of legal system, with different F.C.C. rules for each. One type operates under Part 15 rules, does not require any kind of station license, but has a limited range. The other type operates under a license, and has greater range.

Q. What is the typical range for most legal short range automobile remote control/security systems?
A. These units are radio transmitting devices that operate without a station license under Part 15 of the F.C.C. rules. These rules generally limit the range to a couple of hundred feet. A few models have vehicle-mounted alarm transmitters which legally have a greater range. However, in most cases, if the manufacturer claims a range much greater than a few hundred feet, the unit may not be legal. Check to see if the units display an FCC ID number.

Q. Do these devices require F.C.C. approval?
A. Yes. The manufacturers of all such Part 15 devices must obtain an F.C.C. grant of equipment authorization, and all units must display the assigned FCC ID number. This FCC ID can be verified via the FCC/OET Equipment Authorization database on the F.C.C.'s Web page.

Q. What frequencies do these Part 15 systems use?
A. Most of these short-range devices use frequencies in the 250 MHz to 450 MHz frequency range, although some of the vehicular alarm transmitters operate at 27 MHz or 49 MHz. The frequencies are shared with other radio services, on a secondary, or "sufferance" basis. According to the Part 15 rules, these devices must not cause interference to other radio services, and they must accept any interference they may receive from other radio services.

Q. Tell me about the legal auto security alarm systems which have a greater range.
A. One such system is known as Lo-Jack, and works in conjunction with local law enforcement agencies. Other systems use the Cellular telephone or PCS services to contact an alarm center. These systems use some type of security service, and have a monthly fee, similar to

residential and business security services.
6. SCANNING RECEIVERS ("Scanners")

Q. I've hard that it is illegal to use my scanner to listen to Cellular Telephone conversations. Is this true?
A. Yes. Listening to other people's Cellular, PCS or cordless telephone conversations is considered to be an illegal form of "wire tap", and is illegal.

Q. Then why do some scanners pick up the Cellular telephone channels?
A. Only scanners which were built before 1993 have the ability to tune to the Cellular channels. Section 15.121 of the rules was added to the rules in 1993, which prohibits the sale of scanners which have the ability to tune to, or of being modified so that they can tune to, the Cellular channels.

Q. Why is it illegal for me to listen to other people's Cellular telephone conversations?
A. The U.S. Congress decided that people who use Cellular or PCS telephones should be able to expect the same type of privacy, when using their wireless telephone, as they expect when using a regular telephone.

Q. You mentioned "modifying" a scanner so that it can tune to the Cellular channels. Please elaborate.
A. Some people found that some newer scanners could illegally be "tricked", so that they could over-hear Cellular conversations, even though they were not tuned directly to the Cellular channels. In addition, some people figured out how to install simple alterations to some scanners so that they could tune to the Cellular channels. As a result, in 1999, the F.C.C. tightened up the scanner rules so that these "tricks" or alterations can no longer be performed on scanners.

Q. I've heard that some law enforcement officers have confiscated scanners from people who were listening to their two-way radio conversations. How can they do this?
A. The use of a scanner to listen to Public Safety (such as police, fire or ambulance services) or other types of two-way radio conversations is not illegal. These officers do not have authority to confiscate legal devices.

Q. Can I tell my friends what I over-heard the police talking about?
A. No. Section 705 of the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended, states that it is illegal to divulge anything that you over-hear, to anyone else. In addition, it is illegal to use any information you may obtain for your own benefit. Violation of these rules can result in severe fines and/or jail time.

Q. I am a licensed Amateur Radio operator. My hand-held VHF Amateur Radio walkie-talkie will allow me to listen to these other radio services, even though it is not considered to be a "scanner". Is there any problem here?

A. Generally, no. However, all of the prohibitions listed above still apply. You can not use it to listen to Cellular or PCS telephone conversations, nor can you divulge or benefit from anything you may over-hear.

7. CELLULAR TELEPHONE "JAMMERS"

Q. I recently saw an advertisement for a device which is supposed to prevent Cellular Telephones from working in certain areas. What is this?
A. Some people believe that it is impolite for people to use their Cellular telephone in certain public places, such as in concert halls, movie theaters, restaurants, etc. So some manufacturers have developed a device which blocks the Cellular radio frequencies, over a limited geographical range.

Q. Is this legal?
A. No. The use of such a radio jamming device is considered to be causing malicious interference, which is prohibited by the Communications Act of 1934, As Amended, and by F.C.C. rules.

Q. Then why did I see such an advertisement?
A. The importation, manufacture, marketing or use of such a jamming device in the United States is illegal, and is punishable by severe fines, equipment confiscation, and/or jail time.

8. "LONG RANGE" F.M. RADIO BROADCASTING TRANSMITTERS
NOTE: This does not refer to the F.C.C.'s LPFM proceeding which is currently under way.

Q. I've heard of un-licensed F.M. radio stations (in the 88 - 108 MHz F.M. radio band) which have a range of a mile or two. I thought these transmitters only had a range of a few hundred feet. What gives?
A. Part 15 of the F.C.C. rules does allow the use of low-powered un-licensed F.M. radio transmitters. But the rules limit the range of legal transmitters to a few hundred feet. Some manufacturers are marketing illegal transmitters which have much more power than the Part 15 rules allow, providing a range of up to a mile or more.

Q. What are these long range transmitters used for?
A. We have seen several different types of use, including: stores - to advertise sales or special items; restaurants - to advertise the day's special menu item; and public schools - to announce the day's cafeteria menu, after-hours activities, athletic events, and other information to the student body and their parents while at home.

Q. Are they legal?
A. No. The importation, manufacture, marketing or use of these over-powered Part 15 transmitters is illegal, and is punishable by severe fines, equipment confiscation, and/or jail time.

Q. Is there a legal way for me to install and use such a "long range" F.M. radio transmitter? I'd be willing to obtain an F.C.C. radio

station license, if necessary.
A. The F.C.C. is presently in the process of developing a "Low-Power F.M." (LPFM) Radio Service. However, the number of licenses which will be issued will be small, and the applicants will have to meet certain qualifications. If you do not meet the qualifications to obtain an LPFM license, there is no other legal way to operate such a transmitter.

1286. Re: WHat DX group best for PA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 22:38:23 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uj6jmsourbp142@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130314

Thanks I appreciate it:)
Sincerely
Ken
"Kario Kallio" <kario.kallio@pp.phnet.fi> wrote in message
news:xuwY8.3287$EL6.38197@news.kpnqwest.fi...
>
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> kirjoitti viestiss&#65533;
> news:uj2kjhodkcokd8@corp.supernews.com...
> > Hey I was woundering what would be a good DX group to join. I live in PA
> and
> > have a superstar 3900 gold HP with a radioshack 10m/11m antenna from the
> > defunt exportradios.com. Not stellar equipment I know but it was in my
> price
> > range. Same bands as on the Galaxy88 my friend has. Any info is
> appreiciated
> > in advance. Thanks:)
> > Sincerely,
> > Ken
>
> try ...dx@kotalampi.com
>
> >
>
>

1287. Re: real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 22:42:53 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <NdIY8.102843$iB1.5870937@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130315

Another Priceless Classic Post from Chuckie

<me@me.com> wrote in message
news:3d334790.40542209@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com...
> On 15 Jul 2002 13:12:54 -0700, voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H.
> Voobner) wrote:
>
> >me@me.com wrote in message
news:<3d32b07d.1861232@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>...
> >>I know someone, back in the day, before riley hollingsworth
> >>came on the scene, back in the days of the 3.895 war, (between 3895
> >>and 3.901),
> > >
> >Oh I'm sure you "know someone". You're probably even one of the dunces
> >whose license has been yanked by Riley for those shenanigans.
> > >
>
>
> you know what boobner, you can kiss my ass, at least I got a Ham
> Ticket, WTF is YOUR excuse?
>
> I know, it's because your TOO Stupid to even get one.
>
>
> >>and the gator stakes... He used to run on of these, in
> >>class AB1.. and I know of a guy now, who has 3 phase power in his
> >>Garage, and he's a 75 meter dude, is seriously thinking of building
> >>something with one of these... If and when it's built, I'll post pics
> >>of it here... He's thinking of driving it with an L4B or an L7... and
> >>would be using hardline to feed the antenna. antenna would be a
> >dipole
> >>cut to frequency, with 8 or 10 gauge wire...
> >>
> >>Stay Tuned.
> > >
> >You mean "stay tuned for more BS from outlaw anarchist power-junkies
> >who are afraid to even say what STATE they're in on their ebay
> >auction"? No thanks.
> > >
> >-A
>
> Oh, Up yours, asshole... you're just mad because YOU cannot afford to
> buy a radio and an amp and too dumb to get a real license and get on
> ham radio.

>
> so there..
>
> -Me
>
>

1288. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 22:42:58 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <PbIY8.35729$1q2.2004642@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130318

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj6fplpmv8ro05@corp.supernews.com...
> Thanks Jerry I enjoyed that allot it was the funniest thing ive read in
> years.
> Now as us cb'ers like to say"here comes my 55 gallon drum of cyber
> WHUP-ASS:):)
> First off after 911 while you hams where in some motorhome acting like
> lt. Uhura on startrek cb'ers where bring in need supplies and SAVING
lives.

NOPE! When the towers came down, the hams were in the on site command
center augmenting the communications that were disrupted by the downed
towers.
The towers held many communications repeaters and antennas--including cell
towers. Please DOCUMENT the direct saving of lives by CB radio ops. i
can merely by talking with Keith Hosman--wasn't he THERE?

> Not one hambo took the rubble and human remains down to fresh kills land
> fill. If you guys did you'd go nuts cause it violate several hazmat regs
and
> TOTALLY violated several others.

Can anybody else tell me what the above paragraph means? It makes
absolutely
no sense at all.

cb'ers could have said "no-way" to
> suppliing NYC afterwards cause doing so was a traffic nightmare.

Huh? I remember receiving a call here in NC at 7PM that evening asking me
to
get ready to take a vanload of blood plasma to NYC. Turns out the plasma
was
in Greensboro, and also a driver was found at that location as well. So I
didn't go.

As for
> giving info to the families what info? the towers fell down!

The damn cellphones were out! The normal police and rescue frequencies were
disrupted! After dealing with immediate, emergency traffic, some of the
hams handled traffic for families of the fire and rescue personnel that were
unaccounted for. Not to be ugly, but your comments reveal the very reason
the CB would NOT be used: T R A I N I N G, D I S C I P L I N E, and R E S
O U R C E S!!!

Wow that was
> news!
CB'ers came through in spades.

Yep, I can hear it now: "BREAK THAR, YUH GOTTA OLE COPY THAR ON
THIS HYAR REDDIO UP 'ERE IN NOO YAWK THAR, MERCY ME THAR,
SHORE THANG THAR? I GOT THIS HYAR EE-MER-GEN-CEE TRAFFIC
TUH GIT UP 'ERE TUH YOU 'UNS, BREAK BREAK GIT BACK 'ERE"!
Yep, real professionals, that. While the hams were sending formal messages
all over the nation sending appeals for materials, supplies and responding
to offers, just
how did them thar CBers thar "come thru in spades"? Hmmmm?

As for what congress thinks congress
> thinks sex with interns is acceptable behavior.
And they are the ones that *may* get besieged with pleas for relief from
the inter-
ference caused by those worthless BIG "Reddios".

> As for trains thats funny. In the world of just in time warehousing
> coupled with the fact that a majority of the economy is small buisnesses
> trains are a joke. Then only reason they are still here is government
> subsidies.

You are ignorant of and totally clueless about the rail industry. One of
the biggest
misconceptions is that railroads are on some kind of government subsidy.
The only
railroads that received such help were CONRAIL (now divided up and owned by
CSX and Norfolk Southern) and AMTRAK! The class I railroads not only are
strictly on their own, but pay taxes AND maintain their own private
roadways. And
just-in-time warehousing is NO stranger to the rail industry. That is
exactly what
Triple Crown Service was FOR!!!! Just in time service for Ford Motor
Company,
GM and Chrysler. The most efficient use of transportation resources is for
material
to be moved by rail over the long haul and local delivery by truck. On long
haul, trucks canNOT compete with trains in fuel and manpower efficiencies.
Instead of
making unsubstantiated claims, go read the unbiased government publications
on the subject. Just think, every time you see a string of Yellow Freight
or UPS trailers on intermodal cars, it's that many drivers that do not have
to be paid for long haul driving. Guy, I spent most of my working life in
transportation, demurrage, expenses, warehousing not to know how it works.
If it were NOT cost effective, you wouldn't even SEE those intermodal trains
with the trucking company trailers on them!

Face it 90 percent of EVERYTHING was brought to you by billy
> bigrigger with his big-radio.
And he can be replaced--just like ALL of us. There is NO such thing as an
irreplacable person.

I have been to thousands of businesses and I
> think 5 where accesable by rail.

Maybe where YOU were, but not HERE! I was a mobile agent at one time where
I visited 12 plants per day to expedite cars into and out of their sidings.

A brewery a 2 chem plants and 2 paper
> mills. And the brewery only used rail for raw material. Beer is perishable
> and it takes about a month to transport by rail point to point?

Nope! Reefer cars can be moved across country in about 1 week--only
slightly
less quickly than trucks.

As for
> disruptions in 2 weeks you would eat your ICOM.
Nope, They are called refrigerated cars. In the past, MOST fruits and
veggies
went this way. Quite a lot of it still does. Or I wouldn't have been out
in the yards
at 3 AM checking reefers for temps and generators running.

> Yes we have a rebel attitude. So did Jefferson Washington Adams (can ya
> hear the patriotic music playing). Gen Arnold was more like a Ham..thought
> the declaration of independance was almost as bad as freebanding.

so YOUR idea of "freedom" is one of a selfish agenda where as long as YOU
get
what YOU want, the HELL with everybody else? Just so your trucks can yell
BABA BOOEY, the other guy, ham or CBer, has to wait because you are so
important. Again, truckers have a mighty inflated opinion of themselves and
their
importance.

> One finall thing. I enjoy keying up my mike and saying"The new breed
has
> saved trucking" and watching the old breed of truckdrivers go absolutely
> insane" They can be as bad as some of your Elmers. And once I announced
FCC
> was in the scalehouse checking for BIG Radios and then ch 19 exploded!

It MAY come to that! And *if* it does, **I** will be the one laughing MY
butt
off!

J

> Everyone when nuts. I was lauphing my butt off! What was so funny was that
> they believed it!
> So calm down and don't have a stroke:) newsgroups and Home PC's are toys
> just like radios:)
>
>

1289. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC//Attn: BILL NELSON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 22:43:40 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207151443.2f55a2b5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130316

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<7438-3D32ECD4-117@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> From: voobner aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron?H.?Voobner)
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:<CPkY8.65373$JU3.24962937@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>. Basically,
> most of us don't CARE what the CBers do below 28 MHZ.
> Very true, historically, hams don't care about what CB'ers did on their
> own CB "property".<
>
> No. That's not what he said, yet you lent yourself in agreement but fail
> by attempting to set forth something other than what you claim to agree
> with.

LOL, I recognize a standard "Twisty-Twist-Maneuver" when I see one!
Next you'll be telling me I didn't say what I said and instead I said
something else.

We're onto ya, Twist!

-A

1290. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 22:44:07 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <XeIY8.163022$Im2.7957044@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130317

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj6j02i1lc04e4@corp.supernews.com...
> For real!!! BTW I grew up in Sayville.

Thanks your problem Kenny!

> "Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20020715174743.02941.00000694@mb-cm.news.cs.com...
> > >The 2 down sides of being a cop are the pay sticks
> > >(except LI NY)
> >
> > Particularly Suffolk County!
> >
> > 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI
>
>

1291. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 22:45:43 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <oeIY8.35742$1q2.2007670@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130319

I don't hate CBers. I DO despise those who would take theirs and everybody elses
too! Like the 10 meter thing. As long as they stay on 1-40, don't interfere with me, I don't really care. I USE CB myself. I just don't do the BIG RADIO thing.

J
ALLSTEEL77 <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020715183532.06840.00000735@mb-df.aol.com...
> Jerry ,if you hate cb and cber's so bad why do hang around on cb newsgroups?Do
> you think you are going to make a difference?I know you have been here awhile
> but your like arron a common troll.You belong on the policy newsgroup.I have
> been reading this group since 1994/95 and it was fun to read.Now people like
> you and the rest of the anti cber's ruined a good forum.There are alot of good
> ham's out here that help people without using a blow torch and I take my hat
> off to these people.Try to be more like these people not a jackass!

1292. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 22:49:51 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <gilY8.35763$1q2.2004522@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130320

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj6isi2klv3iad@corp.supernews.com...
> Oh yea the pirates? What where they doing going slow through the straits
of
> malaca ot the java straights? I was a merchant seaman qmed on a ship
during
> the gulf war. The pirate activity jumped cause all the western navies
where
> in the arabian sea. Stupid captain set our speed at 15 knots. Luckily they
> didnt take the coffee pot. That dutch family was stupid to begin with.
> Probably scoffed at "pirates" in the 21st century. As for impedance is
that
> like capacitance or reactance? You could have asked me what happens at the
> PNP juntion or who MOSFET is. BTW I have been told ALLOT that I need 18
feet
> of coax. I have 6 feet, the shortest length I could get. Could I argue it?
> yes Efectivly? depends on the audence. Say dialectic and peaple give you
> weird stares.
> Who did more for radio then Marconi? a ham? a cb'er? wrong..Rush
> Limbaugh reinvented radio and changed radio more then anyone. How wise was
> the FCC when they almost made mechanical color TV the standard? Was they
guy
> who invented the IC or the transistor a Ham? Or was the guy who discovered
> quantum mechanics which these devices based on a Ham?
> Oh if you want to see flames see the post in QRZ.com from a guy who went
> to school to become a profesional broadcast engineer and reported how the
> pros look on Hams as Hams look on CB'ers....They went stark raving insane.
> One loon wanted to pay airfair just to prove he knew more.
> And Nasa used roger beeps on the moon landing so ya know there cool. I
> could just imagine one of your fellow Hams scraming in the movie theater
> when Lovell said "Houston we've had a problem(bgi ole roger BEEEP:)"

So does the military! In a certain oganization to which I belong, they use
a tone
to tell that the radio actually belongs to the organization rather than an
individual member. They do have *some* uses.

J
>

1293. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 22:52:50 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <4IIY8.35796$1q2.2010139@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130321

If the phone # is in those notices, it is the phone # for Riley
Hollingsworth in Gettysburg. He is the one who requests people to call him
to "discuss" the issues
at hand.

73

Jerry

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj6dco19kopq92@corp.supernews.com...
> Jerry saying the police must stay below 4 watts is like saying they have
to
> do the speed limit. The 2 down sides of being a cop are the pay sticks
> (except LI NY) and people shoot at you. The upside is you get to drive a
> fast car fast.
> Yes they are Butcher shops. Thats why I always recomend leaving the
> radio untouched and save the money for a good quality amp:)
> I read the reports and thank you. I now know that Hams get busted and mere
> cb'ers get warnings. I also know that whoever's phone number is that you
> posted is now fuming.
> Sincerely
> Ken
> The guy formally known as h
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:X9FY8.33143$1q2.1946382@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> > news:uj39v5rd28rt35@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > > Aparently officers talk to truckers on there police radios. BTW they
are
> > > distictive by the fact that they are the best sounding radio I have
ever
> > > heard and quite powerfull.
> >
> > You don't realize that police radios are either on VHF Low band (42-46
> MHZ)
> > FM or VHF Hi (155-160 MHZ) FM. They are not compatible with CB radios.
> > Maybe they are "quite powerful" because they have a professional
installer
> > at the
> > radio shop that doesn't "tweak and peak" them like the CB butcher shops
> do?
> > If they are operating illegally, don't think for one minute that, if FCC

> > gets wind of
> > it, they wouldn't send the department a warning. I recall a Sheriff's
> > office receiving
> > such a warning about interfence to a ham repeater on the RainReport
> sometime
> > back.
> >
> > BTW, go up the threads a bit where I posted those 20 warnings and
> citations
> > and
> > fines. You obviously haven't read them yet. Many of them are truckers
> and
> > their
> > employers.
> >
> > J
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1294. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 23:00:55 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <FsIY8.35861$1q2.2011757@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130322

(top posting to save all the scrolling)

Around here, they DO have to obey the speed limit unless they are in pursuit and
pursuits must be under certain guidelines. Unfortunately, several people have been
killed over in Charlotte, NC by speeding police cars that had no real reason to be
speeding. Getting turned in to the shift Captain for speeding, you BETTER have
a good reason, and it had better be on the record as to the reason for the
high speed. Several departments have gotten sued (and lost) to the tune of some big
bucks for wrecks caused by reckless officers. Most departments have rules
against random speeding and will mete out discipline for unwarranted speeding.

Seriously, being a cop doesn't exempt one from the rules regarding radios. If a cop
gets discovered by the Feds with an illegal radio or using an amp, he would
be warned, his department notified, and required to rectify the situation.
His depart-ment would also be held responsible for his illegal behavior as well. For me, I have
NO respect for anyone who disobeys the law (I haven't had a ticket since 1981 for
example) and I certainly have no tolerance for a cop who breaks the law especially!

73

Jerry
Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj6dco19kopq92@corp.supernews.com...
> Jerry saying the police must stay below 4 watts is like saying they have to
> do the speed limit. The 2 down sides of being a cop are the pay sticks
> (except LI NY) and people shoot at you. The upside is you get to drive a
> fast car fast.
> Yes they are Butcher shops. Thats why I always recomend leaving the
> radio untouched and save the money for a good quality amp:)
> I read the reports and thank you. I now know that Hams get busted and mere
> cb'ers get warnings. I also know that whoever's phone number is that you
> posted is now fuming.
> Sincerely
> Ken

> The guy formally known as h
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:X9FY8.33143$1q2.1946382@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> > news:uj39v5rd28rt35@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > > Aparently officers talk to truckers on there police radios. BTW they
are
> > > distictive by the fact that they are the best sounding radio I have
ever
> > > heard and quite powerfull.
> >
> > You don't realize that police radios are either on VHF Low band (42-46
> MHZ)
> > FM or VHF Hi (155-160 MHZ) FM. They are not compatible with CB radios.
> > Maybe they are "quite powerful" because they have a professional
installer
> > at the
> > radio shop that doesn't "tweak and peak" them like the CB butcher shops
> do?
> > If they are operating illegally, don't think for one minute that, if FCC
> > gets wind of
> > it, they wouldn't send the department a warning. I recall a Sheriff's
> > office receiving
> > such a warning about interfence to a ham repeater on the RainReport
> sometime
> > back.
> >
> > BTW, go up the threads a bit where I posted those 20 warnings and
> citations
> > and
> > fines. You obviously haven't read them yet. Many of them are truckers
> and
> > their
> > employers.
> >
> > J
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1295. Re: 3CX400-A7 Tubes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 23:05:45 UTC
From: thetubeman@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <dzIY8.15590$Kx3.13636@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 130323

I have a pair of new 8874 that I would sell for $600/pair

"boydl" <boydl@cox.net> wrote in message
news:R31X8.34560$P%6.2789552@news2.west.cox.net...
> Hi All,
> I am looking for a pair of 8874 or 3CX400-A7 Tubes for a
> old Tempo 2001 Amp. Let me know price and condition.
>
> Reply to boydL@cox.net
>
> Thank You,
> Boyd
>
>

1296. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 23:16:45 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <xJIY8.103235$iB1.5900172@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130324

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj6fplpmv8ro05@corp.supernews.com...
> Thanks Jerry I enjoyed that allot it was the funniest thing ive read in
> years.
> Now as us cb'ers like to say"here comes my 55 gallon drum of cyber
> WHUP-ASS:):)
> First off after 911 while you hams where in some motorhome acting like
> lt. Uhura on startrek cb'ers where bring in need supplies and SAVING
lives.

How so the trucks were bringing in supplies not cb radios, I didn't see any CB groups volunteer there services and time like the Amateur Radio Community did. Remember when cell phones went out ? Remember when the radio comms went out? Yeah about the same time the antenna on the tower went down. There was very little in the way of communications available, even for the NJ state Police and other Pd's, Fire dept, Media, Cell Site etc who had there radio comms on that tower. How did they communicate across the Usa. Go ahead say it Amateur Radio. Yes, Imagine if they tried to use Cb to communicate after 9-11, guys would be dropping the maul on the emergency Op's haha Iamagine they tried to get thru on channel 9 but the bleedover was so bad from channel 6 they could'nt get out 2 city blocks, so they tried channel 16 they got bleedoever from channel 19 couldn't hear a thing. LOL get down the street kenny you sidewalk sissy . The only reason trucks have Cb's is because it is cheap way of communicating with other drivers, you can always talk to "CandyCane" when your lonley.
Cber's were bringing in supplies? No trucks were and trucking companies were being paid to haul that material from the site with drivers that were being Paid.
Do me a favor derilict post one credibile article that says how cb radio or Cb'er helped with 9-11.

> Not one hambo took the rubble and human remains down to fresh kills land
> fill.

Perhaps not how do you know exactly ? I can only assume most ham operators hold more meaningful jobs than landfill refuse drivers, and Cber to boot.

>If you guys did you'd go nuts cause it violate several hazmat regs and
> TOTALLY violated several others.

Who said they would be transmitting there numbskull?

>cb'ers could have said "no-way" to
> suppliing NYC afterwards cause doing so was a traffic nightmare.

Again where did Cbers in general I can only assume that a few of the construction workers may have a cb in his truck have anything to do with helping wtc,
please provide some info where they thank Cb radio operators? Perhaps Rudy Gulliani wrote a letter of comendation to the Cb community where is it? Where is anything you say true, guys and gals driving trucks brought goods in, they didn't come as cbers. I know many drivers who have a cb in there truck and never ever use it, never ever talked on it other than to listen for a traffic report are they all of a sudden cbers?

>As for giving info to the families what info?

You really are a troll aren't you. The info they gave was they communicated with red cross members, other towns, citys, traffic was passed to people at the site handhelds were used all over the site, which link to repeaters and the internet, I know hard to believe you can do all that with your cb, there were no telephones, no cell phones in that area, I believe motorola had come in and set up emergency communications onsite.

the towers fell down! Wow that was
> news! CB'ers came through in spades.

They did ? Just because you make it up doesnt make it true. Where is one shreed of evidance? One article ? Surely as the Ace of spades there must be an article on the internet from some newspaper or Cnn or something?

> One finall thing. I enjoy keying up my mike and saying"The new breed has
> saved trucking" and watching the old breed of truckdrivers go absolutely
> insane"

I can hear you with your redneck echo now......

1297. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 23:22:41 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <5PIY8.163493$Im2.7985251@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130326

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:2rHY8.103823$UT.6703642@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> True... but we were talking about a fan. I was just making a point that
> moving air over the heat sink doesn't necessarily mean the use of a fan...

Ok, Well then what are you using to move air across the heatsink is it your
imagination? You are using 2x 2879's if I am not mistaken,
and you feel no fan is necessary? Are you using a peltier junction that we
don't see?

1298. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 23:27:35 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <HTIY8.122756$iX5.5860120@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130327

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:htHY8.103829$UT.6702825@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> It's not a matter of cost... it's a matter of necessity.
> Adding unnecessary components to any design lowers the reliability.

Ok so your saying your amp doesn't need a fan? But you razzed a few guys
about them not having fans on their class c amps and your amp is ab right
higher duty cycle more heat, and your saying the fan would make the amp less
reliable? How so? How many components are added with a fan ? Uhh just 1
what would possibly be the thing to go.

1299. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 23:33:34 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <iZIY8.103378$iB1.5911359@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130328

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:3d334896$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> Your twisting is hopeless and has taken this thread WAY out of the way.
> Have fun with someone else for a while. You may also claim victory if you
> wish because I give up. But one more/last thing. I never said that 27
were
> IN court. I said that 27 were either on their way TO court, IN court or
> through court and didn't specify how many of each. Twist away Twistyboy
> because I'm done with you.
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE

Kevin, can't say I din't warn you with this guy when he first started with
you. Yes, It is frustrating trying to communicate with the handicapped, and
yes at times I do feel sorry for him and his dimisnished mental state. He
has has earned the name Twistedhed without a doubt. Actualy he may just be
dyslexic thats why he turns everything around ... hmmmm you just never know

1300. Re: real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-15 23:39:09 UTC
From: Mr 937 <tj1072@covad.net>
Message-ID: <3D335D1D.3040003@covad.net>
Source record: 130329

A dedicated 100 amp service should do it. Easy to light the filament.
It's not that difficult at all to run. I'm sure Peter W. would be happy
to put a transformer together for you.

937

'Doc wrote:

>
> Not that uncommon in commercial service, but absolutely
> worthless for even the 'above' average CB'er or ham. do
> you have any idea what it would take to run the thing?
> 'Doc
>

1301. Re: real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 00:00:05 UTC
From: tolstoi <tolieo@yahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <agvo2vthq1@bunyip.cc.uq.edu.au>
Source record: 130331

Can we cook some sausages on it ? Would be nearly as good as using the 'ole heatsink' covered in Beryllium Oxide.

<me@me.com> wrote in message
news:3d32b07d.1861232@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365954908

1302. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 00:04:29 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <hqJY8.30350$lu6.1721033@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130330

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message re.

> And Nasa used roger beeps on the moon landing so ya know there cool.
I
> could just imagine one of your fellow Hams scraming in the movie theater
> when Lovell said "Houston we've had a problem(bgi ole roger BEEEP:)"
>

No, Ken, I am afraid they did not. They used tone-encoded squelch, sort
of a degenerate form of the beep, bop, boop that got Squad 51 out of bed.

Roger beeps are for twits.

1303. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 00:16:14 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020715201614.02969.00000661@mb-cm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 130332

>Most of these radios become popularized because of their ability to be
>modded, not because of their quality.

ANY radio can be modified. I named the rigs above because of their quality.

>If all you want to do is talk on
>the Citizen's Band, just find a radio that works and use it.

I suppose it depends on how serious the *individual* is about their "talking."

>It's that
>simple. That's what the service was intended to be -- simple.

No, it's not that simple. Some would like it to be, but the "Citizen's" Band is no longer what the FCC "intended" it to be...and hasn't been for quite some time now.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1304. Re: real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 00:21:07 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <TFJY8.30369$Iu6.1722130@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130333

"Mr 937" <tj1072@covad.net> wrote in message
news:3D335D1D.3040003@covad.net...
> A dedicated 100 amp service should do it. Easy to light the filament.
> It's not that difficult at all to run. I'm sure Peter W. would be happy
> to put a transformer together for you.
>
> 937
>

Assuming you planned to make some use of the 5kw heat dissipation, you have to figure how to dissipate essentially the output of an electric clothes dryer. Not only do you get to buy the socket and chimney, but you get to build a pressurized sub chassis and blow a whole lot of air, presumably from outside, with the proper back pressure thru the tube and presumably back outside.

I never saw one run in commercial service on single phase but you might be able to I guess if blinking lites did not bother you. You are suggesting 25kw in round numbers which after screens, ventilation, filament, etc. might leave you 18 or so which probably would not fully power the tube on peaks and would more than likely piss off the power company.

This is one of those things where the pride of ownership is exceeded only by the expense.

1305. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 00:25:00 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d336625$0$13954$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130334

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:7438-3D32EDD1-118@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>Since you choose to pose questions to yourself and answer them yourself,
>there is no harm in believing yourself.

Why don't you just call him a liar like you do to everyone else who
disagrees with you?

>Just in case you are hoping some unsuspecting soul may make up
>their mind whether to operate what you deem illegal or not, I'll
>disseminate the BS you have posted below.

Disseminate is not the word I would use here TwistyBoy. Twist would be a
good one as well as add BS and obfuscation to something that makes sense.

>You should present the entire
>picture and not just that of which you agree.

Hmmmm, who do we know that does this?

>If you were more honest and
>didn't intentionally try to spread so much disinformation you wouldn't
>have to contend with what you do.

>>A. You may unknowingly cause interference to legitimate communications.
>>Many of these frequencies may appear, to the casual listener, to be
>>unused.<
>
>And indeed, many of the frequencies
>are unused.

Do you have some universal chart that says which ones are used and which
ones are not? How new is this chart and how precise is it?

>>Some of the authorized users may be using emission types which can not
>>be plainly detected.<

>And some of the users are no more than
>freebanders. Some of the frequencies are empty and deserted.

Do you have a list of these "empty and deserted" frequencies that tells you
that the FCC (or an agency in any other country) has deallocated them?

>>Or, their transmissions may be intermittent. <

>Or there may be no transmission at all.
>There may be no "they".

Same question.

>>You will not hear any legitimate signals within the designated
>>radioastronomy bands. <

>Posting said bands would carry more weight than anything you could
>possibly muster to the table.

You have a list don't you? Oh, I forgot, you only list the "unused, empty
and deserted" bands. I will agree that there really aren't any designated
HF "radioastronomy bands" but there are certain areas that are designated as
"quiet zones." Transmitters are severely limited in these areas.

>>However, just because a frequency sounds clear, that does not mean that
>>it can be used without proper authorization. <

>Yup. And just because a certain frequency is not part of the cb or
>hammie band, doesn't mean it's NOT safe to talk on.

Safety has nothing to do with it Twistyboy. The point is that it may not be
LEGAL to talk on these bands without proper authorization. "Safe" as
nothing to do with it.

>>Q. What are these other frequencies between 24 and 32 MHz used for? <

>Again, you ask a question for your entertainment only. I decimate them
>for mine.

The "use" is irrelevent. If you are not specifically authorized then it's
illegal. You skipped that part Twistyboy.

>>A. These frequencies are assigned to many different licensed radio
>>services, both in the United States, as well as in other countries.<

>Not all of them, not even the majority of them.

Where's the list TwistyBoy. Do you have a list for every other country in
the world? Even this one? Nope.

>>Authorized users include Maritime and Aeronautical radio stations,
>>standard time and frequency stations, international broadcasting
>>stations, amateur radio, and government stations. A portion of this
>>spectrum is also allocated to radioastronomy, where scientists are
>>attempting to listen to very weak radio signals coming from distant
>>stars and galaxies.<

>Look, stargazer.....the freeband portion of spectrum you speak of that
>actually gets used is incredibly small. We had this debate before and
>you were going to produce some proof from a company, any company, that
>was using a freeband frequency indicated and assigned, that suffered
>from interference due to freebanders. That endeavor died as quick as
>your claims.

OOOH, here's the "proof" card again. It's illegal Twistyboy and that's the
only reason that you SHOULD need.

>>No radio transmitters of any kind are permitted within these
>>radioastronomy bands, so any earth-bound transmitted signals can

>>completely disrupt radioastronomy observations. -A<

>Post the freeband frequencies you feel are threatened by freebanders and
>don't hesitate to cite an incident. Personally, I think your fears are
>on the same level as your expected reply resulting from your search for
>the andromeda creature.

There really are no HF astronomy frequencies but the point that you are
ignoring is that IT'S ILLEGAL TO TRANSMIT THERE.

Kevin, WB5RUE

1306. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC//Attn: BILL NELSON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 00:59:30 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uj6rufmba68t7f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130335

Actually, Kenneth, I suspect you are just giving a little 'pay back' for some of the really stupid posts which have been hitting the newsgroup for quite a while. Geeze, what's it been, 2 or 3 years since this group was a really good group? As far as the cellphones, they are terrific, as I stated, for very localized emergencies such as a traffic accident. Yep, the cellphone use in vehicles is prohibited in NYS (unless you are using a hands-free unit) - and I can see why. Until you have someone cut in front of you making a left hand turn while talking very animatedly into a cellphone, you won't understand. One can always pull over and use a cellphone (even in New York) with no problem. Some people don't have a problem talking into a phone and driving; others do. I've seen chuckle heads yakking on the cellphone driving in the center of the road - of course, they just wanted their half out of the middle. Until accident reports start including information as to the use of cellphones while driving, we are all guessing as to the actual impact of the things. That said, I live less than 4 miles away from work, so I really don't worry too much about road conditions. For travel, I'll drive a hundred miles or so; after that, I fly.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

1307. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC//Attn: BILL NELSON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 01:04:55 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uj6s8l4i6r9sd7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130336

True, but as I've mentioned before, it is a matter of visibility. You might put a 1 watt FM broadcast station on the air and get away with it; put a moderate amount of power (say 50 or 100 watts) on the FM broadcast band and you will likely not pass go nor collect $200. When the problem starts, it is usually small. As it gets bigger, more people complain; eventually enforcement will follow. Remember the FCC was essentially stripped of all manpower and money for enforcement for many years; what will happen as it gears up remains to be seen. The broadcast industry takes a dim view of folks broadcasting without licenses in the FM broadcast band; similarly, a number of amateurs are also starting to get a bit upset over intrusion into an amateur allocation. It all has to do with the volume of complaints. Eventually, something has to happen.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj6jeqoq1kc0c6@corp.supernews.com...
> Yea but they totally didnt mess with trucks. And if you show me 10 trucks
I
> can point out the 7 that definately have illigal radios.

1308. Re: (fwd) Re: pirates busted HOW DID THEY FIND ME??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 01:07:00 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uj6sci76ati000@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130337

It has nothing to do with the authorized 40 channels.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"

1309. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 01:17:02 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207151717.5dd275d4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130338

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<7438-3D32EDD1-118@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>...

> > the designated
> >radioastronomy bands. <
>
> Posting said bands would carry more weight than anything you could
> possibly muster to the table.

Here's just a sample. The full allocation list is at:

(http://www.ntia.doc.gov/osmhome/reports/pub9835/Raspchp1.htm)

Since radio astronomy was first officially recognized as a radio
service, several frequency bands have been allocated for the radio
astronomy service.
<snip>
TABLE 1ï¿½1
Frequency Bands Allocated to Radio Astronomy in the United States
<smip>
25550ï¿½25670 kHz Primary. Shared with fixed, maritime mobile, and land
mobile services. (FN: 545, US74)

-Aaron-

PS: Watch "Twist" go to work twisting the facts! My guess is that he
will claim that "radioastronomy" and "radio astronomy" are two
different things! LOL!

1310. Re: This one's for Aaron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 01:24:51 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207151724.59f5d8a1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130339

luv2roc <luv2roc@ev1.net> wrote in message
news:<22c3juch2bojdfhfakhc0fhfvec2ll9j5u@4ax.com>...
> FCC INVESTIGATION LEADS TO FINE OF LICENSED AMATEUR RADIO OPERATORS.
>
> http://www.copper.com/fcc_reports.shtml
>
>
>
>
> ROFLAMO !!

Roll on the floor all you want but you're sadly misinformed if you
think it bothers me. I LIKE to see bad hams busted. And I like to see
them bust bootleggers and illegal CBers too..... :)

-Aaron-

1311. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC//Attn: BILL NELSON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 01:30:31 UTC
From: KungFuYou <confucioussay@foo.com>
Message-ID: <XGKY8.104231$iB1.5997711@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130340

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:uj6rufmba68t7f@corp.supernews.com...
> Actually, Kenneth, I suspect you are just giving a little 'pay back' for
> some of the really stupid posts which have been hitting the newsgroup for
> quite a while. Geeze, what's it been, 2 or 3 years since this group was a
> really good group?

Jim, what part of this group 2 or 3 years ago did you think was really good

> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>

1312. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 01:33:45 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uj6tuohoubih9e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130341

I dunno, Kenneth. I was a broadcast engineer with WROC AM-FM-TV here in Rochester, NY in 1966. A very good friend of mine also was a broadcast engineer there. He and I both moved on; I became chief engineer at WWHG in Hornell, NY, and he later became chief engineer at another tv station. He was a cber and I was a ham. Go figure.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

1313. Re: 102" too big for van roof. Now what??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 01:55:42 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D337D1E.D16A08@qnet.com>
Source record: 130342

Hello Gary:

Slide on over, and hit a few of the Navy Bars, and see what ya think!

Jay in the Mojave

Gary Danaher wrote:
>
> I didn't know that Ridgecrest was such a wild town!! :-)
>
> Jay in the Mojave wrote:
>
> >
> > You should see Oklahoma Annie, now she could start a Bar Room fight in a
> > New York second, Ten-4. She has Tatoes in some wild places, I got 2 see
> > them on the 4th of July BBQ when she took all her clothers off. I think
> > she was a dacin to the "Watusie" Yeah now thats a home video!
> >

1314. Re: DX Report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 02:38:02 UTC
From: stephanie <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3D33870A.98C7F68D@optonline.net>
Source record: 130343

good info twistie thanx, 73, jim kp 920.....

Twistedhed wrote:

> **
> *INTERNATIONAL RADIO DX GROUP *
> * (Spirit of Australia)
> *
> *
> *
> * DX BULLETIN #12 / 15 JULY 2002
> *
> * Edited by Lars 13IR102 & Rob 19IR04
> *
> **
> /-------------------------------------
> ! Information, reports and
> suggestions ! !
> must be sent to: !
> !
> !
> bulletin@irdx.org !
> !
> !
> -------------------------------------
> This bulletin contains only objective DX information's
> coming from different resources. The redactor can not be held
> responsible for any mistake or false information's.
> It is absolute allowed to copy and distribute the DX
> News Bulletin but please include the information's about the editors
> and source where it comes from for the courtesy who created this
> DX tool.
> TO YOUR ATTENTION PLEASE: Dxpeditions or activations
> with unknown end will be deleted 3 month after publication. From now
> on we add this kind with the month when we get the information.
> If you want to see your Dxpedition or activation for a longer time
> on the list please contact us with the full information's.
> You have Info for this List ? please send to:
> 13IR102@irdx.de
> **
> IRDX Group News
> **
> GERMAN IRDX - FIELDDAY 2002
> 13IR000 Club-Station presents the 4th German-Fieldday
> Date is 19/20/21 of July 2002 (mainday is 20.07.2002) For

> more Info please ask the Team via 13IR102@irdx.org
> W W W . I R D X . O R G
> ==
> We are proud to let you know the brand NEW
> IRDX-Homepage Is ONLINE now :) take a look and enjoy the great
> work from The whole Team ! lot's of new features you can find
> there. Cluster - Chat - IRDX-News and much more ! www.irdx.org
> W W W . D X N E
> W S . DE
> ==
> The Number 1 DX-Info-Site is back Online after long Time. Up
> to date now and every Day Updated !! www.dxnews.de
> **
> On The
> Air Now
> **
> 103FAT/DX Haiti 01/03/02 500 Prog.
> Eric, P.O.Box 271, 67606 Selestat CDX, France
>
> 106SP/DX Ceuta & Melilla 10/01/02 1000 Prog. Sebas, P.O.Box 428, 07300
> Inca-BI, Spain
>
> 10RC/DX Mexico 01/02/02 500 Prog.
> Stephane, P.O.Box 3, 18500 Foecy, France
>
> 115SD/DX Qatar 19/01/02 1000 Prog.
> Dino, P.O.Box 1, 23879 Verderio- Lecco, Italy
>
> 126IR/0 Nicaragua 06/06/02 500 Prog.
> Paco, P.O.Box 212, 41710 Utrera, Spain
>
> 148IR/DX Ascension Island 01/01/02 31/12/02 Herbert, P.O.Box 8, 5351
> Aigen, Austria
>
> 173OR/DX Reunion Island 01/03/02 30/09/02 OR-Group, P.O.Box 26, 03700
> Bellevive/A, France
>
> 196RC/DX Guardaloupe 02/03/02 500 Prog.
> Stephane, P.O.Box 3, 18500 Foecy, France
>
> 28OT/DX Honduras 01/02/02 500 Prog.
> Mario, P.O.Box 100, 15562 Ruedersdorf, Germany
>
> 301AS/DX Armenia 25/02/02 500 Prog.
> Panos, P.O.Box 3795, 13201 Petroupoli, Greece
>
> 314SD/DX Turkoman 19/01/02 1000 Prog.
> Yannick, P.O.Box 1, 64300 Malacq, France
>
> 33SD/DX Alaska 01/02/02 31/12/02

> Dino, P.O.Box 1, 23879 Verderio- Lecco, Italy
>
> 33TRC/0 Alaska 01/03/02 31/09/02
> Nasko, P.O.Box 49, 6100 Kazanlak, Bulgaria
>
> 41AS/DX New Zealand 01/02/02 200 Prog.
> Jim, P.O.Box 63501, 15202 Filothei, Greece
>
> 44TRC/0 South Africa 24/03/02 1000 Prog. Nasko, P.O.Box 49, 6100
> Kazanlak, Bulgaria
>
> 5HF/0 Venezuela 01/07/02 1000 Prog.
> Eliane, P.O.Box 10, 83910 Pourrieres, France
>
> 57LD/DX India 01/05/02 1000 Prog.
> Paolo, P.O.Box 2, 25017 Lonato, Italy
>
> 61LD/DX Ecuador 20/01/02 500 Prog.
> Paolo, P.O.Box 2, 25017 Lonato, Italy
>
> 67IR/DX Paraguay 15/01/02 1000 Prog.
> Paco, P.O.Box 212, 41710 Utrera, Spain
>
> 6AS/DX Columbia 01/04/02 600 Prog.
> Jim, P.O.Box 63501, 15202 Filothei, Greece
>
> 6RMA/DX Colombia 01/01/02 1000 Prog.
> Paco, P.O.Box 4109, 03080 Alicante, Spain
>
> 75RMA/DX Azores Islands 01/01/02 1000 Prog. Paco, P.O.Box 4109, 03080
> Alicante, Spain
>
> 76RK/DX Marocco 01/01/02 30/10/02
> Willem, P.O.Box 3048, 4800DA Breda, Holland
>
> 80WD/DX Bolivia 01/01/02 1000 Prog.
> Riccardo, P.O.Box 9, 50065 Pontassieve, Italy
>
> 84VC/DX Ivory Coast 01/07/02 500 Prog.
> Frank, P.O.Box 124, 78702 Conflans-cdx, France
>
> 97AS/DX Israel 01/01/02 500 Prog.
> Panos, P.O.Box 3795, 13201 Petroupoli, Greece
> **********
> Coming Soon
> **********
> 109KPI/0 Hungary 1000 Prog.
> Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain
>
> 115KPI/0 Qatar 1000 Prog.

> Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain
>
> 120LD/0 Antigua & Barbuda Isl. 09/08/02
> 21/08/02 Dario, P.O.Box 28, 25082 Botticino, Italy
>
> 12KPI/0 Uruguay 1000 Prog.
> Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain
>
> 134FAT/0 Rep. Of Belau 08/02 08/02
> Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854 Caudan CDX, France
>
> 134RS/0 Rep. of Belau soon
> Mauro, P.O.Box 7045, 16148 Genova, Italy
>
> 140FAT/0 Antarctica 2003 2003
> Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854 Caudan CDX, France
>
> 140IR/AA-URA Antarctica soon
> Day, P.O.Box B19, 01001 Kyiv, Ukraine
>
> 167IR/0 Jersey Island 02/09/02 10/09/02
> Eric, P.O.Box 39, 3200 Aarschot, Belgium
>
> 168RS/0 Mauritius Island 12/09/02 19/09/02 Mauro, P.O. Box 7045, 16148
> Genova-GE, Italy
>
> 173 HF/0 Reunion Island 17/07/02 31/12/02 Tim, P.O.Box 5, 83570
> Cotignac, France
>
> 180LD/0 Oman soon
> Dario, P.O.Box 28, 25082 Botticino, Italy
>
> 186KPI/0 Djibouti 1000 Prog.
> Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain
>
> 203DQ/DX China 01/09/02 31/10/02
> Chris, P.O.Box 184, NN3-9JH Northampton, U.K.
>
> 207FAT/0 Saint Martin Isl. 10/10/02 17/10/02 Laurent, Po.Box 63, 56854
> Caudan-cdx, France
>
> 225AT/0 Brunei 05/08/02 11/08/02
> Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo D'Orlando, Italy
>
> 234AT/DX Afghanistan
> Juan, P.O.Box 412, 11100 San Fernando, Spain
>
> 238SD/0 Cambodia 10/09/02 25/09/02
> Xavier, P.O.Box 36, 3460 Bekkevoort, Belgium
>

> 248AT/DX Turks & Caicos 27/12/02 03/01/03 Tom, P.O.Box 321, 44615
> Carollton-OH, U.S.A
>
> 301KPI/0 Armenia 1000 Prog.
> Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain
>
> 311DQ/0 Lithuania postponed
> Slawek, P.O.Box 56, 87800 Wloclawek-2, Poland
>
> 312AT/DX Moldavia 01/07/02 12/07/02
> Yoann, P.O.Box 15, 21120 Is Sur Tille, France
>
> 318AT/0 S.M.O.M. (Rom) soon
> Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo D'Orlando, Italy
> 325AT/0 Rotuma Island 30/08/02 06/09/02
> Andy, P.O.Box 62, 29266 Brest-cdx, France
>
> 337LD/0 Austral Island 28/10/02 29/11/02 Stefania, P.O.Box 28, 25082
> Botticino, Italy
>
> 341AT/0 East Timor 27/07/02 05/08/02
> Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo D'Orlando, Italy
> 36IR/DX Rep. of San Marino postponed
> Herbert, P.O.Box 8, 5351 Aigen, Austria
>
> 44KPI/0 South Africa 1000 Prog.
> Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain
>
> 5HF/0 Venezuela 01/07/02 1000 Prog.
> Eliane, P.O.Box 10, 83910 Pourrieres, France
>
> 60DQ/0 Hong Kong soon
> Simon, P.O.Box 242, 16041 Praha-6, Czech Rep.
>
> 72KPI/0 Guatemala 1000 Prog.
> Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain
>
> 99AT/DX Fiji Islands 06/09/02 11/09/02
> Andy, P.O.Box 62, 29266 Brest-cdx, France
> **
> I.O.T.A. - Islands On The Air
> **
> 108IR/IOTA-TIME several Islands 01/07/02 14/08/02 Dennis, P.O.Box 1,
> YO14-9BW Filey, U.K.
>
> 11AT/NA099 Puerto Rico 15/06/02 ??/07/02 Oscar, P.O.Box 101, 28830 San
> Fernando, Spain
>
> 133FAT/OC086 Saipan Island 15/01/02 500 Prog. Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854
> Caudan CDX, France

>
> 143FAT/NA108 San Lucia Isl. 01/02/02 1500 Prog. Laurent, P.O.Box 63,
> 56854 Caudan CDX, France
>
> 166RC/NA145 Saba Island 01/02/02 500 Prog. Stephane, P.O.Box 3, 18500
> Foecy, France
>
> 20LD/EU003 Hinnoya Island 04/01/02 500 Prog. Luis, P.O.Box 11092, 46006
> Valencia, Spain
>
> 230SD/OC011 Micronesia Isl. 13/03/02 1000 Prog. Steve, P.O.Box 15, 79110
> Chef-Boutonne, France
>
> 24SD/NA203 Iguana Island 01/02/02
> Pepe, P.O.Box 105, 11500 Puerto Real, Spain
>
> 299AT/NA095 Desecheo Island 15/06/02 15/09/02 1AT058 Alex,
> xxxxxxxxxxxxx
>
> 33LD/NA019 Kodiak Island 04/01/02 500 Prog. Luis, P.O.Box 11092, 46006
> Valencia, Spain
>
> 3IR/SA071 Santo Amaro Island 15/01/02 500 Prog. Paco, P.O.Box 212, 41710
> Utrera, Spain
>
> 63FAT/AF022 St. Helena Island 18/12/01 1500 Prog. Laurent, P.O.Box 63,
> 56854 Caudan
> CDX, France
>
> 79FAT/OC042 Luzon Island 15/01/02 500 Prog. Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854
> Caudan CDX, France
>
> 91AT/OC151 Sumba Island 15/06/02 15/09/02 Antonio, P.O.Box 360, 87100
> Cosenza-CS, Italy
> **
> I.O.T.A. - Islands Soon On The Air
> **
> 106SP/AF069 Parsley Island 26/07/02 28/07/02 Sebas, P.O.Box 428, 07300
> Inca-BI, Spain
>
> 152RC/AS013 Maldive Islands soon
> John, P.O.Box 362, 1860 Aigle, Switzerland
>
> 166FAT/NA105 Saint Maarten Isl. 10/10/02 17/10/02 Laurent, Po.Box 63,
> 56854 Caudan-cdx, France
>
> 173RS/AF016 Reunion Island 13/09/02 19/09/02 Romain, P.O.Box 132, 77194
> Dammarie, France
>
> 178AT/EU181 Sveta Anastasya Isl. 16/08/02 17/08/02 Simon, P.O.Box 178,

> 70056 Molfetta (BA), Italy
>
> 207AT/NA199 ?? Island 01/08/02 03/08/02
> Laurent, P.O.Box 21, 83440 Tanneron, France
>
> 225AT/OC184 Coastal Island 04/08/02 11/08/02 Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071
> Capo D'Orlando, Italy
>
> 238SD/AS133 Koh Poah Island 10/09/02 25/09/02 Xavier, P.O.Box 36, 3460
> Bekkevoort, Belgium
>
> 91AT/OC148 Timor Island 27/07/02 05/08/02 Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo
> D'Orlando, Italy
>
> 91AT/OC217 Kamangen Island 27/07/02 05/08/02 Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071
> Capo D'Orlando, Italy
>
> 91AT/OC237 Java Coast 27/07/02 05/08/02
> Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo D'Orlando, Italy
> **********
> Holiday -
> Stations
> **********
> 117/161IR999 Egypt 01/04/02 31/12/02
> Marek, P.O.Box 6, 22-104 Chelm-5, Poland
>
> 2IR/NY001 (iwi) Grand Island 01/04/02 500 Prog. Rob, B.O.Box 22, 3140AA
> Maasluis, Netherlands
>
> 2IR/NY028 (iwi) Goat Island 01/04/02 500 Prog. Rob, B.O.Box 22, 3140AA
> Maasluis, Netherlands
>
> 57/13MU133 India 25/07/02 14/08/02
> Sebastian, P.O.Box 730100, 13062 Berlin, Germany
> **********
> Interesting - Stations On The Air
> **********
> PLEASE CHECK : WWW.DXNEWS.DE button DXNEWS >> HOT STATIONS
> **********
> ----------

1315. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 02:45:16 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3383fd.10804022@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130344

In <20020715201614.02969.00000661@mb-cm.news.cs.com>,
eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) wrote:

>>Most of these radios become popularized because of their ability to be
>>modded, not because of their quality.
>
>ANY radio can be modified.

Some easier than others. Have you ever seen a mod to get 240 channels
from a TRC-9? If you only talk on three channels, that might be just
the right radio to have.

> I named the rigs above because of their quality.

They are all easiliy modified for more channels and/or more power. Not
a coincidence. I haven't seen a TRC-9 that didn't still work well. Are
you saying that reliability is not a factor of quality? Because if it
is, the Washington and Galaxy should be taken off your list.

>>If all you want to do is talk on
>>the Citizen's Band, just find a radio that works and use it.
>
>I suppose it depends on how serious the *individual* is about their "talking."

If they really are really serious about their comm, maybe they should
get a license so they can use the same bands and modes used by other
people that take their radio communication seriously. Then they will
have some serious bandwidth, some serious DX using some serious
modulation methods, and can mount some serious antennas... Are you
getting it yet? The Citizen's Band isn't a license-free amateur band.

>>It's that
>>simple. That's what the service was intended to be -- simple.
>
>No, it's not that simple. Some would like it to be, but the "Citizen's" Band is
>no longer what the FCC "intended" it to be...and hasn't been for quite some
>time now.

True, and due primarily to all the cheap amps, bad mods and
potty-mouthed punks.

The Citizen's Band was set as a short-range service for business or
personal use. I don't see any reason why "personal use" can't include
CB as a hobby. But for people that don't want just a 'simple' service,
there is the Amateur service, the GMRS, the Commercial services, the
Experimental services, etc.

>73 de Bert
>WA2SI

1316. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC//Attn: BILL NELSON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 03:01:56 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uj7342rbf715e4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130345

Simply because all of the arguing started by Aaron and W8 what's his call .. wasn't going on. Sure, there were a few flames, but when I first ran into the group there was a lot less of the above and more discussion of radios, antennas, feedlines, and grounds.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"KungFuYou" <confucioussay@foo.com> wrote in message news:XGKY8.104231$iB1.5997711@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> news:uj6rufmba68t7f@corp.supernews.com...
> > Actually, Kenneth, I suspect you are just giving a little 'pay back' for
> > some of the really stupid posts which have been hitting the newsgroup for
> > quite a while. Geeze, what's it been, 2 or 3 years since this group was a
> > really good group?
>
> Jim, what part of this group 2 or 3 years ago did you think was really good
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> >
> >
>
>

1317. Re: real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 03:08:48 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uj73gvdsbk9ue7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130346

You might gently point out the mess of filtering the hv supply. Full wave, 3 phase supplies don't need much filtering as there is another phase taking over just as soon as one just begins to drop. Not at all like single phase. BTW, I knew a guy back in the 60s who obtained a used tube from WHAM (a 50 KW station here in Rochester, NY) and his girlfriend (a ceramics teacher) built the chimney. I forget what the plate supply voltage was, but the stupid thing IDLED at HALF AN AMP!! I actually got to walk into the fruit cellar where the thing was built and observed it. He heard that Charlie was watching him - and then the power company showed up. Yep, seems a number of houses on the one pole pig had lights blinking. He dismembered the thing and got rid of the evidence.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:TFJY8.30369$Iu6.1722130@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Mr 937" <tj1072@covad.net> wrote in message
> news:3D335D1D.3040003@covad.net...
> > A dedicated 100 amp service should do it. Easy to light the filament.
> > It's not that difficult at all to run. I'm sure Peter W. would be happy
> > to put a transformer together for you.
> >
> > 937
> >
>
> Assuming you planned to make some use of the 5kw heat dissipation, you
> have to figure how to dissipate essentially the output of an electric
> clothes dryer. Not only do you get to buy the socket and chimney, but you
> get to build a pressurized sub chassis and blow a whole lot of air,
> presumably from outside, with the proper back pressure thru the tube and
> presumably back outside.
>
> I never saw one run in commercial service on single phase but you might be
> able to I guess if blinking lites did not bother you. You are suggesting
> 25kw in round numbers which after screens, ventilation, filament, etc.
> might leave you 18 or so which probably would not fully power the tube on
> peaks and would more than likely piss off the power company.
>
> This is one of those things where the pride of ownership is exceeded only
> by the expense.
>
>

1318. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 03:17:32 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <gfMY8.398$e16.33065096@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207151150.60c6da62@posting.google.com...
> I'm reminded of Neville Chamberlain's assurances about Hitler: "All
> the Nazis want is a little extra elbow room. Let's just let them take
> Poland," he said, "and they'll certainly stop there".
>
> To see how the "freeband/CB" blitzkreig is progressing, take a peek at
> the lineup of popular "CB's" being pushed by giant web retailer
> "Copper". Don't see any CBs? Oh, um, that's cuz they're calling them
> "10 meter radios" now.
>
> -Aaron-

Again Aaron talking about what he doesn't know.
Neville Chamberlain never said that about Poland.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

1319. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC//Attn: BILL NELSON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 03:17:33 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <hfMY8.399$e16.33065096@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130348

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:uj7342rbf715e4@corp.supernews.com...
> Simply because all of the arguing started by Aaron and W8 what's his call
..
> wasn't going on. Sure, there were a few flames, but when I first ran into
> the group there was a lot less of the above and more discussion of radios,
> antennas, feedlines, and grounds.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>

Hear Hear.....

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

1320. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 03:20:29 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1iMY8.377976$R61.395547@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 130349

Top posting sucks and now I'm guilty of it too...

Spent the last week and a half on the road. Much of that time was on I-40. Had lots of fun getting into an area with lots of CB traffic for once, didn't come across many jerks, ect ect ect. All I can say is yes police do monitor and utilize the CB bands for communicating with truckers. I think anyone with a CB Mobil, or base near a freeway, knows this from first hand experience. I don't think it would be a stretch of the imagination to assume the police radios fitted into Highway Patrol cars can be operated on any freq they may use officially and on CB. Wouldn't it be as easy as a flip of the switch? Doesn't matter if the units are an all in one or a separate radio, I'm sure the offices involved can afford a VERY nice CB rig. The officers I heard while driving came in loud and clear. Using amps? Don't know, but if they wanted to I'm sure they could get permission. The FCC regs we read everyday aren't the final rule on who can do what, then again even if they can not legally use amps I'm sure *some* would anyway. It's amazing what you can do when you have the ability to communicate. Really pissed off that guy in the Escalade just about ran you and 6 other people off the road? Forget road rage, forget forgiveness, Key up and find an officer on the hunt. Next thing you see is a HP flying down the I-40 lights blazing and a Caddilac Escalade pulled over at the 23 mile mark...

Most annoying thing I came across while traveling was a couple cases of guys using amps and stepping all over everyone's transmissions. It was horrible. Damn guy was nowhere near the area I was in and no one I was able to reach with my piddly 4 Watts was able to talk to the guy either, he was God knows where, probably 10ft from who he wanted to talk to, making communication impossible for those of us who were, in some cases, in sight of each other. Sad to think I was thinking about amps before this trip. I wanted a little boost so I could be heard over the noise and the illegal ops. After fighting to communicate a couple times I've come back full circle to where I was before. 4 Watts is all I will run. I'll leave the amps to the guys who don't care about people like me.

Dave

1321. Re: real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 05:04:19 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D33A93E.1B80AFC9@icok.net>
Source record: 130350

Not to mention the de-humidifier (depending on
where you live). Or, replacing the insulators on
that 8-10 ga. wire dipole ~regularly~....
'Doc

1322. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 05:07:54 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207152107.48c1baa1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

"Landshark" S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. wrote:
"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207151150.60c6da62@posting.google.com...
> >I'm reminded of Neville Chamberlain's assurances about Hitler: "All
> > the Nazis want is a little extra elbow room. Let's just let them take
> > Poland," he said, "and they'll certainly stop there".
>
>
> Again Aaron talking about what he doesn't know.
>Neville Chamberlain never said that about Poland.
>
> Landshark

I was paraphrasing. But since you seem to know what Neville
Chamberlain didn't say, you must know what he actually DID say. So
post it and enlighten everyone.

-A

1323. Re: real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 05:08:58 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D33AA55.3B50CE46@icok.net>
Source record: 130352

Mr 937,
It might, if you don't expect to get full output, or worry about keeping peace in the neighborhood, or care what the final cost effectiveness was, or... aw never mind. There are a lotta "could, would, but ain't" around.
'Doc

1324. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC//Attn: BILL NELSON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 05:17:29 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207152117.4862046a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130353

"Jim Hampton" aa2qa@FrontierNet.net wrote:
>Simply because all of the arguing started by Aaron and W8 what's his
>call ..wasn't going on.

Think about it; you're saying (in effect) that people like me should
not express their dislike of illegal radio operation because it might
lead to "arguing". That's ludicrous.

-A

1325. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 05:34:12 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207152134.2d1bc24e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I totally agree, Jerry.

-A

"Jerry Oxendine" joxendi@bellsouth.net wrote:
Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3D1D041B.53D3F809@ix.netcom.com...
> Was tuning about the bands and found freebanders in the bottom end
> of 10m. 28.055 with roger beeps. Another at 28.035 Spanish
> I think. Earlier something at 28.045
>
> We ought to camp out on these freqs and operate some RTTY or
> packet or such. Something to use our allocation to discourage the
> freebanders. Be sure to use 28.0X5 MHz.

Much of the domestic activity is done by truckers. The answer to this
problem for ALL hams to become VERY active in attempting to protect
what we worked for lest we lose it. NOW is the time to strike when
the "iron" is hot, so to speak.

Amateur Radio has received much favorable publicity in the US in the
wake of 9/11, and this is a wonderful stepping stone upon which to
launch a campaign to our Congresspersons. Write your Congressman
explaining what Amateur Radio is (they may already know) its value to
our Nation as a resource, and the problem that is taking place on our
10 AND 12 meter bands. Explain that, due to short funding, FCC has
been much unable to respond to the problem in the measure needed to
curb it.
Suggest ideas that could be used to stop the incursions. Here are a
few:

1) Increase funding to FCC, at least in the short term, to publicize,
mobilize, and
enforce the rules on CB.

2) Increase visits by agents to truck stops and CB shops to locate and
remove such
illegal radios as make these illegal incursions so easy.

3) Get Customs to more closely inspect containers and crates for
illegal
"export" radios
and stop them at the port of entry.

4) Amend the "Local Enforcement" law to allow state and local police
and DOT

officers to inspect, fine, or otherwise punish truckers who have in
their trucks those
radios and amplifiers already on FCC's list of unapproved
equipment.

5) Suggest that, due to more modern technology (cellphones, Family
Radio,
MURS,
etc) that Citizens Band as currently constituted is outdated and
could
be "sunsetted":
IOW, after, say, 5-7 years, a date be set after which 27 MHZ CB
would
no longer
be legal for use in the USA. Suggest combining that with rigid,
even
if temporary,
enforcement, to make sure that 27 MHZ is vacated. Moreover, if
Congress can
be made to see that CB on 27 MHZ is causing more trouble to
nearby
services than
it is worth, then a better case can be made for sunsetting it.
Explain
that, because of
the short-range characteristics of VHF/UHF FM, much of the
illegal
activity (DX,
amplifiers, and interference) would be abated by that
characteristic
alone. Make it
clear that straying onto nearby bands such as remaining Public
Service,
common
carrier, and the 2 meter hams bands would be met with strict
enforcement.

If enough hams write their Congresspeople, then they can be made AWARE
of this problem we are having, whereas if we just sit here and whine
about it, NOTHING will get done. Of course, everything I have
suggested is not viable, but let's get off our duffs and DO something
about it. Send a copy of your letter to Mr. William Tauzin, Chairman
of the House Sub-Committee on Communications. Make Congress aware
that
Amateur Radio is valuable to our public welfare, defense, and recovery
from disasters. AND that we have a problem with people that are trying
to take that space away from us.

In the meantime, here is something else you CAN do:

1) When you are driving the Interstate, listen to 28.085 AM for the
stupid
beeping and
squeaking. If your car happens to have, either multitudes of
ham
antennas, or a big
unusual looking HF antenna like a screwdriver, sometimes the
trucker
will comment
on "the 4 wheeler" with the big antenna, or even call you hoping
you
will answer.
Do NOT reply, and do not get excited. Calmly slow down
gradually and
allow the
truck to pass you while you get his tag number (you may have to
read
it backwards
in your rearview), the name of the company on the door, and the
trailer number as
well as a description. Keep a small tape recorder in the car
and try
to record the
truck's transmissions. Attenuate (if available) the receiver,
back
down on sensiti-
vity, remove the coax, etc to assure you have the correct truck.
Forward this info
to fccham@fcc.gov . A number of trucking companies have already
received
warnings in this very manner. Try to keep the truck from
becoming
suspicious of
your activities. You may want to send a few "CQs" on 28.85.6 if
you
can (rem-
ember, he is on AM and you have to go up about.6 for him to hear
you.
By sud-
denly coming on so strongly, you may elicit comment from him on
which
to record
further evidence.

If we are SERIOUS about combatting this problem, we cannot sit around
on our hands and "hope" 'somebody' does something. WE are supposed to
police our own bands and be pro-active in protecting our bands.
Sitting around whining about the CBers using our bands won't cut it.
Get out there, listen, report, and eventually those criminals will get
the word AND what they deserve.

73

Jerry
K4KWH

1326. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 05:43:40 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D3394AC.9CB6A003@mailcity.net>
Source record: 130355

Kenneth wrote:
>
> Oh yea the pirates? What where they doing going slow through the straits of
> malaca ot the java straights? I was a merchant seaman qmed on a ship during
> the gulf war. The pirate activity jumped cause all the western navies where
> in the arabian sea. Stupid captain set our speed at 15 knots. Luckily they
> didnt take the coffee pot. That dutch family was stupid to begin with.
> Probably scoffed at "pirates" in the 21st century. As for impedance is that
> like capacitance or reactance? You could have asked me what happens at the
> PNP juntion or who MOSFET is. BTW I have been told ALLOT that I need 18 feet
> of coax. I have 6 feet, the shortest length I could get. Could I argue it?
> yes Efectivly? depends on the audence. Say dialectic and peaple give you
> weird stares.
> Who did more for radio then Marconi? a ham? a cb'er? wrong..Rush
> Limbaugh reinvented radio and changed radio more then anyone. How wise was
> the FCC when they almost made mechanical color TV the standard? Was they guy
> who invented the IC or the transistor a Ham? Or was the guy who discovered
> quantum mechanics which these devices based on a Ham?
> Oh if you want to see flames see the post in QRZ.com from a guy who went
> to school to become a profesional broadcast engineer and reported how the
> pros look on Hams as Hams look on CB'ers....They went stark raving insane.
> One loon wanted to pay airfair just to prove he knew more.
> And Nasa used roger beeps on the moon landing so ya know there cool. I
> could just imagine one of your fellow Hams scraming in the movie theater
> when Lovell said "Houston we've had a problem(bgi ole roger BEEEP:)"

What is "ALLOT"? did you mean "a lot"? "peaple?", did you mean
"people?, "audence"? did you mean "audience"
What is "they guy? did you mean "the guy?", "profesional"?, did
you mean "professional?", "bgi?", did you mean "big"?

1327. Re: real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 06:13:59 UTC
From: me@me.com
Message-ID: <3d33b983.69716976@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 130356

On Mon, 15 Jul 2002 22:42:53 GMT, "KungFuYou" <confucioussay@foo.com>
wrote:

>Another Priceless Classic Post from Chuckie

got any solid, factual proof of that, dickie?

1328. LATEST NEWS...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 06:31:50 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ah0fae$82i$11@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130357

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:ags5ru$r1c$5@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
> In the UK, drug laws are being relaxed. It will no longer be an
> arrestable offence to possess Cannabis, although is seems it will be
> illegal to sell it. Now, if it is still to be illegal to cultivate the
> plant, then possession is proof that an arrestable offence has
> occurred (grown or sold to you).
===

LATEST NEWS:
(From Real Radio news broadcasts, Yorks, UK).

A Lancaster girl is believed to be the youngest "Ecstasy" victim.
The 10 YEAR OLD girl was playing at a friends house, when she is believed to have swallowed up to FIVE tablets of the drug.

The three people arrested at the house have been released on "Police Bail".

There is no news yet about how she came to take them... was she coaxed, tricked with "try these sweets", or did she just take them without knowing what they were?

And the UK is relaxing drug laws!!!!
I still remember other cases of young ecstasy deaths such as Leah Betts.
I say tighten the laws, tighten the screw and nail the b**t*rds who deal in misery.

Y'all take care of you and yours!

Peter.

In Yorkshire: 106 - 108 FM
NET: http://www.realradiofm.com/yorkshire/index.cfm
Click "Listen live", news on the half hour.
There may also be info on the news page.

1329. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 06:31:53 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ah0faf$82i$12@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130358

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:6G6Y8.17895$PI5.408867080@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d30a1ac.942403@news.cet.com...
> >
> > I'm beginning to think that you are just another one
> > of those anti-American anarchists.
>
===

Hey, Mark... that is funny. Can you remember someone proclaiming "respect"
for anti-American terrorists who gladly murder 1000s of people they do not
even know?
Remember who that was, and what wonderful timing he had with that comment?

Get ready to duck!!

Regards,
Peter.

1330. Re: Entertainment value AAAAAAAA+++++++++

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 06:31:55 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ah0fag$82i$13@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130359

"tolstoi" <tolieo@yahoo.com.au> wrote in message
news:agqkddads1@bunyip.cc.uq.edu.au...
> We want to thank this ng for providing us with the excellent entertainment
> value we get every Friday night. 6 of us get together have a few beers and
> bourbons and vodka , read the crappie 'Boobie' posts, nearly kill
> ourselves laughing, then key up the 2 kw linear amp and communicate
> with the world for a few hours.
> Untill next friday night.........
>
> Tolstoi.
===

Yep, I can understand that. I believe that your CB newgroup down
there is tame, even by the UK group standard.
Well, it was... but someone on here can take it upon himself to
start Spamming groups specifically for other countries with hate posts.

Regards,

Peter.

1331. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 06:31:57 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ah0fah$82i$14@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130360

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d31e777.1785501@news.cet.com...
>
> Hey, didn't you say something in another post about research and BS?
>
> "If you are going to BS the group, at least do a bit of research
> first... so you at least know a bit of what you are on about."
>
> I agree. Try it next time.
===

OK...

Radio Handbook, 23rd edition, page 20-8, figures 10 & 11.
Radio Handbook, 23rd edition, page 20-7, "Antenna Impedance".
Showing that thickness of the conductor has an effect on bandwidth and
reactance, which affects the SWR, which affects efficiency.

Radio Handbook, 23rd edition, page 20-6, "Antenna Resonance".
It will also have an effect on the resistance of the wire and "radiation
resistance" (including loss resistance), which will affect the efficiency
of the system.

FYI: The UK still has an antenna width rule, any idea why that is
still in place when they removed the supid height and length rules?
Maybe they also believe that width makes a difference.

Regards,

Brainbuster.

1332. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 06:31:59 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ah0fai$82i$15@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130361

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D31D31A.5102EE9E@icok.net...
>
> Brainbuster wrote;
> -------
> > The fact that the "DV27" antennas were illegal here in the 80s (only
> > base loading was allowed) made them seem better as they were top
> > loaded and outlawed, and gave them cult status.
> -------
> You're kidding! "Only base loading was allowed"? I'm
> afraid that's just not true. There was never a restriction
> on how an antenna was 'loaded' during the '80's, or at any
> other time, for Class-D CB.
> 'Doc
===

Hi Doc.

As it says... illegal HERE in the 80s.
Sometimes I assume that everyone knows where I am. My spelling sometimes gives it away.

We had a few crazy rules:
Max antenna length was 1.5 Metres
Loading was base only
If mounted above 7 Metres from the ground, we had to use low power.

That set of rules led to inteference all over the place from legal CBers.

How about this one:
No transmissions of a frivolous nature.
That seemed to disappear a few years back.

Regards,

Peter.

1333. Re: real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 06:49:02 UTC
From: Mr 937 <tj1072@covad.net>
Message-ID: <3D33C1DE.9050603@covad.net>
Source record: 130362

Oh i agree. But if one were to plan on using a tube like this, hopefully, they would have done some homework on the costs. And building something like this when you live in a townhouse is also not recomended, agreed. As far as neighbor problems that whole thing needs to start at the source. The transmitter. Yea i guess if you get in that radio and spread them coils for max power not knowing that they are part of low pass filtering it may cause problems. I can tell you that my old Yaesu FT-102 sure is clean. No problems with the neighbors and you'd be suprised what kind of output. Now with some of the other radios i've had that are "peaked & clipped" man o man...old Larry next door doesn't like that one...lol. Take care doc.

937....

'Doc wrote:

>
> Mr 937,
> It might, if you don't expect to get full
> output, or worry about keeping peace in the
> neighborhood, or care what the final cost
> effectiveness was, or... aw never mind. There
> are a lotta "could, would, but ain't" around.
> 'Doc
>

1334. Midland Handhelds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 07:32:15 UTC
From: jawright77@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <729595b3.0207152332.2c39c247@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130363

What is the difference between the Midland 75-822 and the 75-830? Are either one worth the money if I'm looking for a good handheld CB?

James

1335. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 10:41:17 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <hLSY8.104988$UT.6775736@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130364

Remember that any time you add ANY component, that component has a chance of failing!
Sometimes they are worth the risk, sometimes not.
You obvoiusly have no experience in that area to make a statement like you did...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> Is that some pearl of that wisdom you picked up from Eitner? You can
> add components to -improve- reliability of any device, and it's done
> all the time. Adding active cooling to aid heat dissipation will MOST
> CERTAINLY improve reliability. Just like adding a current-limiting
> resistor to a device with a low maximum current rating will DEFINATELY
> improve reliability. And adding an overload-protection pair of diodes
> to the input of an FET will UNDOUBTEDLY improve reliablity. As usual,
> you don't know what you are talking about, Brian.
>

1336. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 10:51:16 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0207160251.1039bed0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130365

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d3383fd.10804022@news.cet.com>...
> In <20020715201614.02969.00000661@mb-cm.news.cs.com>,
> eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) wrote:
>
> >>Most of these radios become popularized because of their ability to be
> >>modded, not because of their quality.
> >
> >ANY radio can be modified.
>
> Some easier than others. Have you ever seen a mod to get 240 channels
> from a TRC-9? If you only talk on three channels, that might be just
> the right radio to have.

Agreed, but aren't we getting kinda convoluted? "It's ok for this rig
to be modifiable...but no this one." C'mon, this ain't assault rifles
we're talking about here. Either make 'em ALL unmodifiable, or hunt
down and prosecute the op, NOT the rig. Pretty lame if you ask me. Let
people take responsibility for their own misdeeds...whether it be
operating a transmitter unlawfully OR not enforcing the laws
pertaining to the operation of said transmitter. (It goes both ways.
Taking no action IS a form of action.)

> I named the rigs above because of their quality.
>
> They are all easiliy modified for more channels and/or more power. Not
> a coincidence. I haven't seen a TRC-9 that didn't still work well. Are
> you saying that reliability is not a factor of quality? Because if it
> is, the Washington and Galaxy should be taken off your list.

Really? I personally know folks that own both President (Dated word
here.) and Uniden Washingtons...for many years now, all in fine
operating condition. As for the Galaxy brand, I can attest to one
circa 1986 that served me well for quite some time...however, I
suspect it might not have been made by the same manufacturer that
produces the current line of radios bearing the Galaxy emblem.

> >>If all you want to do is talk on
> >>the Citizen's Band, just find a radio that works and use it.
> >
> >I suppose it depends on how serious the *individual* is about their "talking."
>
> If they really are really serious about their comm, maybe they should
> get a license so they can use the same bands and modes used by other
> people that take their radio communication seriously.

Maybe, but the again, perhaps that's not for everyone. The "Citizen's" Band served as a fine starter pseudo-hobby service for me and eventually led me into AR. That's one individual's experience talking, not supposition about what woulda, coulda, shoulda been. Foe others, VHF AR might be more appropo...or perhaps a Tech "+" ticket? Some don't like that, well too bad...that's the propagation that the band supports. Do it with 12 watts P.E.P. and I just don't see a problem with it. If it's a matter with not following the directive of those in authority, well that's quite another matter. I've even heard some folks say that if you're willing to violate the no DX rule on CB, you must also be willing to rob banks. I think such a moronic analogy speaks for itself.

> Then they will
> have some serious bandwidth, some serious DX using some serious
> modulation methods, and can mount some serious antennas... Are you
> getting it yet?

Agreed.

> The Citizen's Band isn't a license-free amateur band.

Nobody said it was. I find it almost amusing how "threatened" some find the prospect of allowing DX on the CB. A "parallel service." When you consider how many facets of AR there are, how could anybody fee that allowing folks to respond to signals beyon 155.3 mi. constitutes a "parallel" to the ARS is beyond me. One word...petty.

> >>It's that
> >>simple. That's what the service was intended to be -- simple.
> >
> >No, it's not that simple. Some would like it to be, but the "Citizen's" Band is
> >no longer what the FCC "intended" it to be...and hasn't been for quite some
> >time now.
>
> True, and due primarily to all the cheap amps, bad mods and
> potty-mouthed punks.

Now this is just silly. I think this is where this particular discussion ends because I you really believe that the 11-meter "hobbyists" are those with "cheap amps, bad mods and potty-mouths," then you clearly have never met true 11-meter hobbyists. The very folks whose very presence appears to offend some might very well be the ones who share their detractors' objectives, to rid the band of cheap amps, bad mods and potty-mouths.

> The Citizen's Band was set as a short-range service for business or
> personal use. I don't see any reason why "personal use" can't include
> CB as a hobby.

Agreed. Did you know that the FCC actually ok'd "hobby-type" communications for the CB back in 1977?

> But for people that don't want just a 'simple' service,
> there is the Amateur service, the GMRS, the Commercial services, the
> Experimental services, etc.

Agreed wholeheartedly! One does not suffer at the expense of the
other. Quite the opposite. I offer myself as exhibit A.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1337. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 12:03:58 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D340BAE.6DFF@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 130372

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> >>I'm sure glad it's not illegal to operate these puppies on 10...that is, of
> >>course, assuming the op has a Tech "+" or higher class ticket.
> >>
> >>73 de Bert
> >>WA2SI
> >
> >Actually, Bert, the way I read ALL the info that Mr LaForge sent me is
> >that the FCC has administratively decided that the listed radios are
> >'Bad Juju' (even thought they were at one time legally imported into
> >the US), and not to be tolerated even in the hands of licensed
> >amateurs.
>
> I gotta say, I have a hard time believing that I cannot use my AR-3500 or
> Lincoln (HR-2510) legally on 10-meters. I've worked plenty of folks on 10 who
> were using the same rig. I wonder where we are in the FCC's list of priorities
> wrt enforcement? I was under the impression that a properly licensed US ARO
> could use Radio Moscow's transmitter if he/she could procure it and operated
> within his/her band and power limits. I also find it odd that the ARRL has
> reviews of said equipment, including the infamous Ranger RCI-2950DX...and
> that's recent too. If I find this to be true, I'll retire them to my "museum,"
> a display case that holds my radio past.

It's a little like the case with amplifiers. Yes, you may use your
already purchased radio legally on the 10 meter ham band. But because of
the relative ease in which these radio can be modified for 11 meter
operation, they are being banned from further import until they can be
made to conform to stricter standards. Which I presume will be something
along the lines of removing 11 meter microprocessor code, or tighter PLL
tuning tables.
While nothing they could do, would prevent a determined bootleg tech
from making modifications that would ultimately enable 11 meter
operation, it would not be the neat, easy, "cut and jump" method we
currently have, and the flow of these contraband radios would be reduced
considerably.

Just like, thanks to illegal CB use, a ham cannot legally purchase an
amplifier with 10 meters already enabled, without showing proof of
license, hams will once again have to deal with the consequences of
illegals using ham gear to further their activities. If this means less
selection of equipment for 10 meters, then so be it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1338. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 12:14:38 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d340b23.30877901@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130366

In <hLSY8.104988$UT.6775736@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Remember that any time you add ANY component, that component has a chance of
>failing!
>Sometimes they are worth the risk, sometimes not.
>You obvoiusly have no experience in that area to make a statement like you
>did...

Alright, let's play this game: None of the components have an equal
chance of failure. Therefore, some component must have the highest
chance of failure while another component must have the lowest chance.
If a component is added that has a low chance of failure, but by it's
addition decreases the chance of failure of the component with the
highest chance, then you have decreased the chance of failure of the
circuit.

Maybe if I explain it in terms you can understand: If you know which
link is the weakest link, adding a strong link to support it will
strengthen the chain. Could you understand that?

1339. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 12:46:18 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d340e85.31743684@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130367

In <b7d1465c.0207160251.1039bed0@posting.google.com>, wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) wrote:

>yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message news:<3d3383fd.10804022@news.cet.com>...
>> In <20020715201614.02969.00000661@mb-cm.news.cs.com>,
>> eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) wrote:
>>
>> >>Most of these radios become popularized because of their ability to be
>> >>modded, not because of their quality.
>> >
>> >ANY radio can be modified.
>>
>> Some easier than others. Have you ever seen a mod to get 240 channels
>> from a TRC-9? If you only talk on three channels, that might be just
>> the right radio to have.
>
>Agreed, but aren't we getting kinda convoluted? "It's ok for this rig
>to be modifiable...but no this one." C'mon, this ain't assault rifles
>we're talking about here.

No, we are talking how easy it is to modify certian radios for illegal operation. Do you really think that there is no relationship between the popularity of certain radios and their ease of modification? If not, post a list of the most popular radios and a list of the radios that are most easily modified for more channels/power. We will all compare the lists. Fair enough?

> Either make 'em ALL unmodifiable, or hunt
>down and prosecute the op, NOT the rig. Pretty lame if you ask me.

Pretty lame if you ask me, too, but I'm not the one that said it -- you were.

> Let
>*people* take responsibility for their own misdeeds...whether it be
>operating a transmitter unlawfully OR not enforcing the laws
>pertaining to the operation of said transmitter. (It goes both ways.
>Taking no action IS a form of action.)

Good idea. We'll just drop all the speed limits and allow drunk driving, and when one of those drivers kills somebody else only THEN will we prosecute them. After all, just because a person is driving drunk doesn't mean he's going to kill somebody every time, right? Great idea, Bert!

>> I named the rigs above because of their quality.
>>
>> They are all easiliy modified for more channels and/or more power. Not
>> a coincidence. I haven't seen a TRC-9 that didn't still work well. Are
>> you saying that reliability is not a factor of quality? Because if it
>> is, the Washington and Galaxy should be taken off your list.
>
>Really? I personally know folks that own both President (Dated word
>here.) and Uniden Washingtons...for many years now, all in fine
>operating condition. As for the Galaxy brand, I can attest to one
>circa 1986 that served me well for quite some time...however, I
>suspect it might not have been made by the same manufacturer that
>produces the current line of radios bearing the Galaxy emblem.

And some people still drive Ford Pintos and Chevy Chevettes. What's
your point?

>> >>If all you want to do is talk on
>> >>the Citizen's Band, just find a radio that works and use it.
>> >
>> >I suppose it depends on how serious the *individual* is about their "talking."
>>
>> If they really are really serious about their comm, maybe they should
>> get a license so they can use the same bands and modes used by other
>> people that take their radio communication seriously.
>
>Maybe, but the again, perhaps that's not for everyone. The "Citizen's"
>Band served as a fine starter pseudo-hobby service for me and
>eventually led me into AR. That's one individual's experience talking,
>not supposition about what woulda, coulda, shoulda been. Foe others,
>VHF AR might be more appropo...or perhaps a Tech "+" ticket? Some
>don't like that, well too bad...that's the propagation that the band
>supports. Do it with 12 watts P.E.P. and I just don't see a problem
>with it.

Actually, I don't either. I understand that rule is going to be lifted
when the international stuff gets revamped.

> If it's a matter with not following the directive of those in
>authority, well that's quite another matter. I've even heard some
>folks say that if you're willing to violate the no DX rule on CB, you
>must also be willing to rob banks. I think such a moronic analogy
>speaks for itself.

You didn't hear it from me. I understand that disregard for the law
can be of varying degrees of seriousness. And I have NEVER equated
illegal operation with violence, so don't even suggest that I did.

>> Then they will
>> have some serious bandwidth, some serious DX using some serious
>> modulation methods, and can mount some serious antennas... Are you
>> getting it yet?
>

>Agreed.
>
>> The Citizen's Band isn't a license-free amateur band.
>
>Nobody said it was. I find it almost amusing how "threatened" some
>find the prospect of allowing DX on the CB. A "parallel service." When
>you consider how many facets of AR there are, how could anybody fee
>that allowing folks to respond to signals beyon 155.3 mi. constitutes
>a "parallel" to the ARS is beyond me. One word...petty.

When did this conversation become one about DX? I thought it was about
the popularity of modifiable radios. Why do you want to make this a
crusade against DX hardliners?

>> >>It's that
>> >>simple. That's what the service was intended to be -- simple.
>> >
>> >No, it's not that simple. Some would like it to be, but the "Citizen's" Band is
>> >no longer what the FCC "intended" it to be...and hasn't been for quite some
>> >time now.
>>
>> True, and due primarily to all the cheap amps, bad mods and
>> potty-mouthed punks.
>
>Now this is just silly. I think this is where this particular
>discussion ends because I you really believe that the 11-meter
>"hobbyists" are those with "cheap amps, bad mods and potty-mouths,"
>then you clearly have never met true 11-meter hobbyists.

You misunderstood: I was saying that the band is no longer what the
FCC intended because of the people that have trashed it. I happen to
be a CB hobbyist myself, but I don't use amps, I don't harrass other
CBers, I don't curse on the air, I don't splatter my signal across the
band, etc.

And I am a CBer, not an "11-meter hobbyist".

> The very
>folks whose very presence appears to offend some might very well be
>the ones who share their detractors' objectives, to rid the band of
>cheap amps, bad mods and potty-mouths.

So you are saying that in order to clean up the band we should be
kissing their asses? You sure have a different perspective on things,
Bert.

>> The Citizen's Band was set as a short-range service for business or
>> personal use. I don't see any reason why "personal use" can't include
>> CB as a hobby.
>
>Agreed. Did you know that the FCC actually ok'd "hobby-type"
>communications for the CB back in 1977?

Nope, I didn't even know it was an issue.

>> But for people that don't want just a 'simple' service,
>> there is the Amateur service, the GMRS, the Commercial services, the
>> Experimental services, etc.
>
>Agreed wholeheartedly! One does not suffer at the expense of the
>other. Quite the opposite. I offer myself as exhibit A.
>
>73 de Bert
>WA2SI

1340. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 12:47:22 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3415cd.33607344@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130368

In <ah0fah$82i$14@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
<brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:

><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d31e777.1785501@news.cet.com...
>>
>> Hey, didn't you say something in another post about research and BS?
>>
>> "If you are going to BS the group, at least do a bit of research
>> first... so you at least know a bit of what you are on about."
>>
>> I agree. Try it next time.
>===
>
>OK...
>
> Radio Handbook, 23rd edition, page 20-8, figures 10 & 11.
> Radio Handbook, 23rd edition, page 20-7, "Antenna Impedance".
>Showing that thickness of the conductor has an effect on bandwidth and
>reactance,

Yes it does, but by how much? Since you have a copy of the handbook
handy, why don't you apply it to show the differences in bandwidth and
reactance between 22 and 16 AWG?

> which affects the SWR,

By how much? To the point that it can't be adjusted?

> which affects efficiency.

SWR only affects efficiency if it can't be adjusted to the same value
for both antennas. You are trying to connect the dots without drawing
any lines.

> Radio Handbook, 23rd edition, page 20-6, "Antenna Resonance".
>It will also have an effect on the resistance of the wire and "radiation
>resistance" (including loss resistance), which will affect the efficiency
>of the system.

By how much? I took apart a helical recently that had about 30 feet of
#16 wire. Let's just assume for a minute that it was #22, ok? Now if
#22 has 16.5 ohm per 1000 feet, then 30 feet would be about 0.5 ohms,
which means that antenna will drop, at the most, 1% of the power due
to wire resistance. Now we know that if we use thicker wire in the
helical, or even a straight wire thicker than #22, the best efficiency
gain we could possibly get would be a whopping 1%!

Now lets compare polarity differences. Just as a simple example, let's say that a helical antenna is wound with a pitch that puts the radiating part of the wire at 45 degrees to the ground plane. That means the polarity of the signal is going to be 45 degrees as well (actually a horrid mix of 45 degrees from the facing side and 135 degrees from the far side, and that is just an over-simplified version of the helical geometry involved here). In the end, the vector total is going to be 50% vertical with the rest dissipated as garbage (a whole bunch of little horizontally polarized signals coming from a vertical radiator). And 50% compares favorably with actual field strength measurements comparing a helical to an unloaded straight-wire vertical, which really isn't bad when you consider that the helical antennas are physically shorter, partially loaded, and many of the windings are nearly horizontal.

So which do you think is more significant, brain: 1% due to wire size, or 50% due to helical design?

>FYI: The UK still has an antenna width rule, any idea why that is
>still in place when they removed the supid height and length rules?
>Maybe they also believe that width makes a difference.

Maybe you can research your implication and see if it has anything to do with this discussion. Also, why don't you research the importance of the dielectric material surrounding a radiator. And if you get some free time, go soak your head a while.

1341. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 12:48:58 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ah14nq$f1u$3@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 130369

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:

> Kenneth wrote:

>> Aparently officers talk to truckers on there police radios.

> Good grief, don't you realize that the police radios are not on cb
> frequencies?

True. But many police departments (especially state troopers) have CB rigs in their cruisers. Whether they talk to the truckers or not is up to the policymakers for the particular state. Some only communicate in an emergency.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1342. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 12:52:24 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ah14u8$f1u$4@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 130370

Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote:

> experience. I don't think it would be a stretch of the imagination to assume
> the police radios fitted into Highway Patrol cars can be operated on any
> freq they may use officially and on CB. Wouldn't it be as easy as a flip of
> the switch? Doesn't matter if the units are an all in one or a separate

Yes, it would be a stretch of the imagination. The CB rigs are separate.

> officers I heard while driving came in loud and clear. Using amps? Don't
> know, but if they wanted to I'm sure they could get permission. The FCC regs
> we read everyday aren't the final rule on who can do what, then again even

No, they cannot get permission. The rules ARE the rules, for everyone who
uses the CB band.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1343. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 13:28:22 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D341F76.5906@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 130373

Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE wrote:
>
> Your twisting is hopeless and has taken this thread WAY out of the way.
> Have fun with someone else for a while. You may also claim victory if you
> wish because I give up. But one more/last thing. I never said that 27 were
> IN court. I said that 27 were either on their way TO court, IN court or
> through court and didn't specify how many of each. Twist away Twistyboy
> because I'm done with you.

And twisty will once again claim victory because he, once again, caused his opponent, out of sheer frustration, to throw in the towel. Try as you might to set the record straight, he will continue to hold on to the twisted meanings that he, himself, have concocted, and continue to call you a liar for not verifying his convoluted definitions of something that you never even said. All the while refusing to offer up any substantiating claims to back up his own (however warped) viewpoint.

This is why I will no longer engage in a direct confrontation with him (Unless, of course, it's to take a few shots at the punch clown). It just wastes bandwidth. I still haven't decided if he does this in a cold, calculating manner, designed to just frustrate people (AKA a Troll), or that he really is as warped and sociopathic as he comes off. Try as he might to claim differently, his mannerisms and thinking style is strangely reminiscent of a typical whiney, disenfranchised 20-something, who really needs a 4 year stint in the military to make a real man out of him. I picture him sitting there in front of his web-TV, tongue pierced, with a multiplicity of tatoos depicting God only knows what, with spikey hair, holding up his thumb and pinky yelling "DUDE!". I know very few mature adults who advocate selectively ignoring laws, and who cannot understand and accept why there are laws in a civilized society to begin with. Embracing anarchy is what clueless teenagers do. It's time that twisty grows up.

With age comes experience. Experience leads to maturity, and maturity leads to wisdom. Twisty has demonstrated none of these traits, and debates with the electronic equivilent of "I know you are but what am I" logic.

I don't know if his parents didn't discipline him properly (Lucky for us he didn't shoot up his high school), has a substance abuse problem, or is just a few fries short of a happy meal upstairs. Whatever the case, mature, intelligent people should not lower their standards to people like twisty. Let him live in his own world. We know better.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1344. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 13:45:36 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D342380.4A11@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 130371

Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
>
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<7438-3D32EDD1-118@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
>
> > > the designated
> > >radioastronomy bands. <
> >
> > Posting said bands would carry more weight than anything you could
> > possibly muster to the table.
>
> Here's just a sample. The full allocation list is at:
>
> (http://www.ntia.doc.gov/osmhome/reports/pub9835/Raspchp1.htm)
>
> Since radio astronomy was first officially recognized as a radio
> service, several frequency bands have been allocated for the radio
> astronomy service.
> <snip>
> TABLE 1ï¿½1
> Frequency Bands Allocated to Radio Astronomy in the United States
> <smip>
> 25550ï¿½25670 kHz Primary. Shared with fixed, maritime mobile, and land
> mobile services. (FN: 545, US74)
>
> -Aaron-
>
> PS: Watch "Twist" go to work twisting the facts! My guess is that he
> will claim that "radioastronomy" and "radio astronomy" are two
> different things! LOL!

I'm betting that he'll claim that he doesn't "believe" that info,
believing it to be the product of some "ego-crazed hammie, with an
anti-CB agenda". Rather, he trusts some obscure place in Bumfutch
France, That nobody else has even heard of, who has "Better"
information, much like his casual dismissal of the infromation I
provided that proved that the current skip cycle was weaker than the
previous 2.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1345. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 14:02:22 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <OHVY8.58789$uw.33001@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 130374

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ah14u8$f1u$4@quark.scn.rain.com...

> Yes, it would be a stretch of the imagination. The CB rigs are separate.

I was just throwing one of those horrible "what if s" out there Bill. I
really have no idea. I've never personaly seen the inside of a HP car the
only officers cars I rode in don't have CB's so I wouldn't know anything.

> No, they cannot get permission. The rules ARE the rules, for everyone who
> uses the CB band.

Just another stupid "What If", but the rules are not the same for everyone.
The police, FBI, ect, can go before a judge and receive permission to wire
tap. Is it a stretch to believe any agency of the government could ask
permission to violate a regulation or law "for the greater good"? It happens
believe it or not. Although you are probably right, there is no *need* for
HP to be using amps and they would be hard pressed to prove a need,
therefore they would never get permission to do it.

Dave

1346. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 14:27:12 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d342dec$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130377

True but forced air cooling requires smaller heat sinks.

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:2rHY8.103823$UT.6703642@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> True... but we were talking about a fan. I was just making a point that
> moving air over the heat sink doesn't necessarily mean the use of a fan...
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

1347. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 14:33:37 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <59WY8.630$XH.42453059@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130375

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207152107.48c1baa1@posting.google.com...
> "Landshark" S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. wrote:
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207151150.60c6da62@posting.google.com...
> > >I'm reminded of Neville Chamberlain's assurances about Hitler: "All
> > > the Nazis want is a little extra elbow room. Let's just let them take
> > > Poland," he said, "and they'll certainly stop there".
> >
> >
> > Again Aaron talking about what he doesn't know.
> >Neville Chamberlain never said that about Poland.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> I was paraphrasing. But since you seem to know what Neville
> Chamberlain didn't say, you must know what he actually DID say. So
> post it and enlighten everyone.
>
> -A

Nice try Aaron, go on living in
a fantasy world. I equate your tactics
more with the Nazi's, than someone
that's freebanding.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

1348. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 14:33:37 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <59WY8.631$XH.42453059@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130376

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:ah0faf$82i$12@news7.svr.pol.co.uk...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:6G6Y8.17895$PI5.408867080@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d30a1ac.942403@news.cet.com...
> > >
> > > I'm beginning to think that you are just another one
> > > of those anti-American anarchists.
> >
> ===
>
> Hey, Mark... that is funny. Can you remember someone proclaiming
"respect"
> for anti-American terrorists who gladly murder 1000s of people they do not
> even know?
> Remember who that was, and what wonderful timing he had with that comment?
>
>
> Get ready to duck!!
>
> Regards,
> Peter.
>
>

Yes it was quite a surprise that he said
that, but what the hey, Aaron equates free-banders
with Nazi's, so what else is new.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

1349. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 14:33:46 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d342ded$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130378

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:15085-3D2F0FA2-133@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>>This is true, some people never grow up and shed the "children's band"
>>mentality.
>>Kevin, WB5RUE<
>
>
>And yet others never learn how to communicate effectively, lacing their
>rants with insult upon insult.

It's neither a rant nor an insult if it's true. Again, you are mastering in
obfuscation, TwistyBoy.
Kevin, WB5RUE

1350. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 14:34:22 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d342dee$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130380

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uiv36iltr65t6e@corp.supernews.com...
> I notice that no one seems to want to get on 54 - 60 MHz. I
> > wonder why? Nervous?
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> We are currently busy consolidating our gains in the 10 and 11 meter
area.
> When you start hearing Baba-boeee through your baby monitor you'll know we
> own that too.:)
>
>

Well, for one thing TV Channel 2 sits right in the middle of that band.

1351. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 14:38:06 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d342e04$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130379

"KungFuYou" <confucioussay@foo.com> wrote in message
news:iZIY8.103378$iB1.5911359@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote in message
> news:3d334896$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> > Your twisting is hopeless and has taken this thread WAY out of the way.
> > Have fun with someone else for a while. You may also claim victory if
you
> > wish because I give up. But one more/last thing. I never said that 27
> were
> > IN court. I said that 27 were either on their way TO court, IN court or
> > through court and didn't specify how many of each. Twist away Twistyboy
> > because I'm done with you.
> >
> > Kevin, WB5RUE
>
> Kevin, can't say I din't warn you with this guy when he first started with
> you. Yes, It is frustrating trying to communicate with the handicapped,
and
> yes at times I do feel sorry for him and his dimisnished mental state. He
> has has earned the name Twistedhed without a doubt. Actualy he may just be
> dyslexic thats why he turns everything around ... hmmmm you just never
know

Yeah, I know. My grandfather once told me that there are three kinds of
people in the world. Those who learn by listening, those who learn by
watching and those who learn by pissing on the electric fense for
themselves. Sometimes I tend to be the latter. BTW - have you seen those
"Kung Fu Hamsters?" Those things are a riot!

73/
Kevin, WB5RUE

1352. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 14:40:43 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d342ea2$0$13949$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130381

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207151717.5dd275d4@posting.google.com...
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<7438-3D32EDD1-118@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
>
> > > the designated
> > >radioastronomy bands. <
> >
> > Posting said bands would carry more weight than anything you could
> > possibly muster to the table.
>
> Here's just a sample. The full allocation list is at:
>
> (http://www.ntia.doc.gov/osmhome/reports/pub9835/Raspchp1.htm)

Trouble is Aaron is that Twisty will notice that all are in the GHz range
and are not close to 24-30Mhz. Be ready.

>
> Since radio astronomy was first officially recognized as a radio
> service, several frequency bands have been allocated for the radio
> astronomy service.
> <snip>
> TABLE 1-1
> Frequency Bands Allocated to Radio Astronomy in the United States
> <smip>
> 25550-25670 kHz Primary. Shared with fixed, maritime mobile, and land
> mobile services. (FN: 545, US74)
>
> -Aaron-
>
> PS: Watch "Twist" go to work twisting the facts! My guess is that he
> will claim that "radioastronomy" and "radio astronomy" are two
> different things! LOL!

Yeah, and I'm sure he'll put Radio Astrology in there too an just plane
ignore that it's illegal to transmit on frequencies that you are not
specifically allocated.

Kevin, WB5RUE

1353. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 15:06:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22855-3D343687-427@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130383

Muenzler, unable to produce any credibility regarding his ability to play airwave cop concerning his claim of assisting with getting 27 radio rule violators into federal court since December 27, finally admitted he has nothing to show with:
>Your twisting is hopeless and has taken this thread WAY out of the way. <

Actuallt, YOU took it WAY out of the way by not merely producing any proof concerning your claim about assisting in bringing about 27 radio rule breakers to court since the 27th of last December. I would have been content keeping that as the only subject, but you ran for the hills and began attacking me anyway you could, jumping from topic to topic, but I guess being caught in such lies made you irrational.

>Have fun with someone else for a while. <

I have fun every day. Actually, I think you are impartial to the number 27.

>You may also claim victory if you wish because I give up. <

This was never a win/lose type scenario to me, Kev 'ol chap! Only your ilk think like that. I just wanted for you to provide some info concerning your claim. You really need to come up with some original material...I told you last week you may claim a victory since this is a win/lose type scenario to me, but since you returned my own offer, ok, I'll take the "victory" and continue to tag you as a liar from here on.

>But one more/last thing. I never said that 27 were IN court. I said that 27 were either on their way TO court, IN court or through court and didn't specify how many of each. <

Oh, you mean it makes a difference?
Ok, then show us something that backs THAT up.

>Twist away Twistyboy because I'm done with you.
Kevin, WB5RUE<

You were done when you couldn't produce proof of your claims around 150 posts back in the thread.
But I will give you a few things wrapped in one you said you never said.....verbatim:

>No I'm not going to give you names, that's illegal. <

No, Muenzler, it isn't illegal. Ignoring this "request" you claim came from a judge is not illegal. If he wanted it quiet, he would have gagged it.

Besides, it never happened.
And we also have this little piece..remember you once again DENIED saying you first mentioned money? Wa La:
>But if you want to spend the money you can look them up yourself.<

Gee, you don't want to spend the money, You want something for free. You want something for nothing. You want someone else to do the work for you, and for free at that...to prove something never happened? That's rich. I know there are not 27 cases brought against radio rule breakers by the ARRL in the Texas federal court system. You know it and so does the ARRL.

>Most are being prosecuted at the Fifth Circuit in San Antonio or Dallas. <

Only they never heard of any of your so-called current cases, let alone all 27, that you claim you helped get there.
The info jacket would read, "FCC vs. "???", only there are no individual cases being prosecuted at this time where you claim.
One more question, and I swear I'll drop it.
Do you think anyone else belives this? Like, say, another hammie? Dave Hall has taken a liking to you, but I think it's so you don't muff up the ARRL's rep anymore than you already have.

Kevin, WB5RUE<

Yea, ask Hall if he believes your claims concerning all 27 caught up in the federal court system in Texas. Matter of fact, ask any hammie that posts their call if you;re believed.
Don't take it personal, junior.

1354. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 15:09:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22855-3D343731-428@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130384

Hey Hall, do you believe Kevin's claims concerning all 27 in a federal court since December thanks to his efforts? That WAS the subject matter, not me, despite patented losers like yourself that suffer from a communication deficiency that are unable to hold topic.

Thought not.

1355. illegal galaxy,superstar etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 15:13:24 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020716111324.08316.00000826@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 130382

well,it reaches a point sometimes where all the bullshit gets so deep,somebodies gotta push some of it out of the way. would the f.c.c. like to see these radios go away? the answer is yes. could they make them "go away",if they wanted to...the answer is "mostly," a few would undoubtedly sneak through. if riley,and all the wannabe "j edgar hoovers" and "elliot ness" types wanted to, it would be fairly easy.every thing that arrives off ship,must pass through customs. these rigs are no exception. the shipping containers are clearly marked. their point of origin is clearly marked.(china and malaysia) the manufacturers name and type of equipment is clearly marked on the containers. ive stated this before over the last couple of years....but i guess it bears repeating. our state dept and departments of commerce and customs are not interested in stopping the trade in electronic devices from these countries. we are "helping out " the economies of our neighbors by allowing this free trade to continue and flourish. you may not like this.....but its politics....so...rant and rave about it all you want. those rigs will still arrive daily,fresh from the orient...to the delight of freebanders everywhere. "damn that gunslinger,sure wish he would shut up" :) :) gunslinger

1356. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 15:16:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12614-3D3438C8-154@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130387

>From: rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net (Richard McCollum)
"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message re.
      And Nasa used roger beeps on the moon landing so ya
know there cool. I could just imagine one of your fellow Hams scraming
in the movie theater when Lovell said "Houston we've had a problem(bgi
ole roger BEEEP:)"
No, Ken, I am afraid they did not. They used tone-encoded squelch, sort
of a degenerate form of the beep, bop, boop that got Squad 51 out of
bed.
Roger beeps are for twits.<

Roger beeps are so your friends can find you by following the bleed when
coupled with an amp.

1357. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 15:23:26 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12614-3D343A6E-156@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130388

>Yeah, I know. My grandfather once told me that there are three kinds of people in the world. Those who learn by listening, those who learn by watching and those who learn by pissing on the electric fense for themselves. Sometimes I tend to be the latter. BTW - have you seen those "Kung Fu Hamsters?" Those things are a riot!
73/
Kevin, WB5RUE<

Was this grandfather the one that was responsible for the abuse you chose to share with us that you suffered as a child? And before you deny it, yes you said you were knocked around (hit upside the head, or something of that nature, I do believe were your words).
Your abusive grandfather left out a few things...such as those who are incapable of learning at all, and therefore feel the rest of the world is as handicapped as they.

1358. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 15:31:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12616-3D343C42-59@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130389

And I'm certain by now, Muenzler, that one of the malcontents have taken pity on your ignorance and clued you in on your erroneous statements (read: MORE lies) concerning lawyers employed by the FCC and their capacitance within. Like I said, you don't even have the decency to own up when you muff up. I think it's one of several maladys you suffer from. You don't like to be wrong, and when you're in doubt, you sling shit like a hash cook,,,only problem for you is, there are many here among us who actually know about radio FCC law and operations, and clearly demonstrated you do not.

1359. Re: illegal galaxy,superstar etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 15:36:40 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uj8fh7h1hcqt4e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130385

"LilyV7" <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020716111324.08316.00000826@mb-fl.aol.com...
> "damn that gunslinger,sure wish he would shut up" :) :) gunslinger

Nah, just wish you used the shift key for more than the quotation marks,
colons, and parentheses ("" : ()) [as in capitalizing letters], and used
the space bar a couple more times after your punctuation marks (, . etc.)

:)

PH

1360. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 15:39:52 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12613-3D343E48-418@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130386

>I'm betting<

That figures. It helps explain why you are so used to being on a losing side.

> that he'll claim that he doesn't "believe" that info, believing it to be the product of some "ego-crazed hammie, with an anti-CB agenda". <

That's only because you haven't figured out how to overcome your communication deficiency. If you did not suffer from such a malady, you would have read that I didn't disagree with your other loser you all are swarming me over. I merely told him to hold his tripe and post the freqs he was speaking of, since he claimed to post the info for newbies that are "fence-sitting", his opinion is impotent, which is why is underground in the first place. Much like you when you taking up your alter-ego, he is ashamed and has to hide that demon within.

>Rather, he trusts some obscure place in Bumfutch France, That nobody else has even heard of, who has "Better" information, much like his casual dismissal of the infromation I provided that proved that the current skip cycle was weaker than the previous 2.
Dave
"Sandbagger"<

Your memory is shot, but so was your reputation.
I educated you at the time, that the only official sunspot record keeper of the world is the Royal Observatory in Belgium. Arging THAT fact was your first mistake.
What you claim, and anything you put forward concerning such, means nothing if it contrasts with the observatory's data.

1361. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 15:45:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12613-3D343FA2-419@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130390

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:15085-3D2F0FA2-133@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>This is true, some people never grow up and shed the "children's band"
mentality.
Kevin, WB5RUE<

And yet others never learn how to communicate effectively, lacing their
rants with insult upon insult.

>It's neither a rant nor an insult if it's true. Again, you are
mastering in obfuscation, TwistyBoy.
Kevin, WB5RUE<

Ok, let's run with that. You are fond of referring to me as "testicular
torsion." Now, unless you are a homosexual, concerning yourself with my
loins would not be a cb related topic, certainly not something a
heterosexual would concern himself with, so based on your continual
repeating of the phrase, we can say all indicators point to you being an
ignorant hammie not educated in the ways of the FCC that governs the
hobby you profess to policing, a liar, and a homosexual who appears
enamored with my nutz. That would make you a three time loser, and
remember, they aren't insults since it's true.

1362. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 15:49:59 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12613-3D3440A7-420@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130391

Nice try Aaron, go on living in
 a fantasy world. I equate your tactics
more with the Nazi's, than someone
that's freebanding.
                Landshark
--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

The hammies that secretly endorse his tactics speak volumes.
If you read between the lines, a hammie is letting his hand show.

1363. Re: Freebanders all over 10m like roaches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 15:56:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12616-3D34423A-60@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130392

JeryO wrote:
>Keep a small tape recorder in the car and try
to record the
truck's transmissions. Attenuate (if
available) the receiver, back
down on sensiti-
vity, remove the coax, etc to assure
you have the correct truck. Forward this info
to fccham@fcc.gov . A number of
trucking companies have already
received
warnings in this very manner.<

This is a bit misleading, but I think I know how you arrived at that. The tape recording made is only enough to gain some interest in the case. It is not evidence and can not be entered as such, one reason being, among many, is you can be lying about the frequency you made the recording on. Yes, trucking companies have been busted, but the feds need to catch 'em first, not the wanna-be-Muenzlers.

1364. Re: real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 16:36:42 UTC
From: Skipp <skipp@pilot.ucdavis.edu>
Message-ID: <ah1i2q$1o2$2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 130393

: me@me.com wrote:
: http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365954908

Nice tube,

It will provide almost 20 dB gain in conventional HF circuits. Many hams (and a lot of CB'ers) use this tube and similar types. Often refered to as a "tube with handles."

The open bid amount is a great price... One must have the proper hardware, blowers, socket and power supplies in place. Construction of an amplifier this size takes the builder into a whole new ball park. Operation of said is a whole different topic...

ICAS SSB operation is possible from single phase 220 mains.

Less than a few hundred watts drive will give you "full-tilt."

This and similar size/type tubes are popular in broadcast transmitters. Many people have converted surplus transmitters over to 160 meter AM operation, others have used the parts to build (or rebuild) an amplifier.

More people than you would imagine operate using this or similar tubes in their amplifier cabinets. Legal limit operation is a breeze... and very loud.

cheers
skipp@pilotNOSPAMPLEASE.ucdavis.edu
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

1365. Re: band-pass filters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 16:40:43 UTC
From: Skipp <skipp@pilotNOSPAMPLEASE.ucdavis.edu>
Message-ID: <ah1iab$1o2$3@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 130394

It would be smart money to look at the problem signal with test equipment
to determine why the signal trash... a simple receiver could be used for
said tests.

A low pass filter might be more practical... a better choice.

Once the horse is out the door, he's hard to restrain... but getting a
handle on him before the door is open is a better idea and much less work.

cheers
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

: LilyV7 <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote:
: there are many of these on the market, which ones are the best for reducing
: rf-interference"t.v.i." i know they are designed to work over a much wider
: range than "cb-11 meters",but some have to be better at this than others. so
: which is the best? (for strickly 11 meters). is it possible to modify one of
: the better ones so that it is an even better filter? in other words,"narrow"
: its capability to work best at 11 meters? has anybody had any "real" success at
: this type of modification?
: thanx gunslinger

1366. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 18:07:35 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <HhZY8.105523$UT.6809102@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130395

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D340BAE.6DFF@worldlynx.net...

** el snippo **

> Just like, thanks to illegal CB use, a ham cannot legally purchase an
> amplifier with 10 meters already enabled, without showing proof of
> license, hams will once again have to deal with the consequences of
> illegals using ham gear to further their activities. If this means less
> selection of equipment for 10 meters, then so be it.
>
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

How about AM or 10 meter coverage, not both.

Dick
>
>

1367. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 18:14:46 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <qoZY8.105528$UT.6808621@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130396

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3d342ea2$0$13949$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
>
> Trouble is Aaron is that Twisty will notice that all are in the GHz
range
> and are not close to 24-30Mhz. Be ready.
>
> >
> > Since radio astronomy was first officially recognized as a radio
> > service, several frequency bands have been allocated for the radio
> > astronomy service.
> > <snip>
> > TABLE 1-1
> > Frequency Bands Allocated to Radio Astronomy in the United States
> > <smip>
> > 25550-25670 kHz Primary. Shared with fixed, maritime mobile, and land
> > mobile services. (FN: 545, US74)

Kev, you want to read that one more time. The band 25.5 MHz-25.67 MHz is
reserved. It is no longer secondary to anything (never really was, it was
assigned to a service with the good sense to shut up until Radio Astronomy
became a legal 'Service' in its own right).

1368. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 21:04:52 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <UT%Y8.32678$Iu6.1833514@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130397

It's called having a big enough heat sink and convection!

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"KungFuYou" <confucioussay@foo.com> wrote in message
news:5PIY8.163493$Im2.7985251@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:2rHY8.103823$UT.6703642@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > True... but we were talking about a fan. I was just making a point that
> > moving air over the heat sink doesn't necessarily mean the use of a
fan...
>
> Ok, Well then what are you using to move air across the heatsink is it
your
> imagination? You are using 2x 2879's if I am not mistaken,
> and you feel no fan is necessary? Are you using a peltier junction that we
> don't see?
>
>

1369. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 21:06:57 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <RV%Y8.32682$lu6.1834760@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130398

Not if the component you add is unnecessary!

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d340b23.30877901@news.cet.com...
> If a component is added that has a low chance of failure, but by it's
> addition decreases the chance of failure of the component with the
> highest chance, then you have decreased the chance of failure of the
> circuit.

1370. Re: 102" too big for van roof. Now what??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 21:24:42 UTC
From: T Dub <tomwilli@erols.com>
Message-ID: <ah232h$c0k$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 130399

"Jay in the Mojave" Now that's an interesting handle, I just had to write back. I spent a little time up there with the AF. I saw a T-Shirt at Kramers Junction that had on the front: "Mojave Wind Festival - January 1st - Decmber 31st"

JTL

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message news:3D337D1E.D16A08@qnet.com...
> Hello Gary:
>
> Slide on over, and hit a few of the Navy Bars, and see what ya think!
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
> Gary Danaher wrote:
> >
> > I didn't know that Ridgecrest was such a wild town!! :-)
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > You should see Oklahoma Annie, now she could start a Bar Room fight in a
> > > New York second, Ten-4. She has Tatoes in some wild places, I got 2 see
> > > them on the 4th of July BBQ when she took all her clothers off. I think
> > > she was a dacin to the "Watusie" Yeah now thats a home video!
> > >

1371. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 21:28:20 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d348eb8.5574448@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130400

In <RV%Y8.32682$Iu6.1834760@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Not if the component you add is unnecessary!

If you feel that improving the reliability of your design is
unnecessary, then by all means you are correct. In that case, I
recommend you should do a little research into the practice of
"engineered obsolescense". There you will find a goldmine of marketing
tricks, gimmicks and scams that are right up your alley, and you can
apply them to your Skywave amplifiers. Good luck.

>> plonk <<

1372. Re: real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 21:51:59 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.comJP23
Message-ID: <20020716175159.07057.00000989@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 130401

good golly miss molly!!!! thats one if my paycheck!! haha......whoa

Thatz-me-JP

1373. Re: illegal galaxy,superstar etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 21:53:02 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020716175302.06846.00000784@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 130402

Why not just buy a nice icom 706 ,clip 2 diodes and have full band.Go to qrz.com mod site and mod any ham radio for cb .Isn't life grand!

1374. Re: The origins of freebanders (long) HeHE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 22:21:59 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <TZ0Z8.45475$1q2.2722153@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

Big Horn 312 <BigHorn312@CherryHillFreeband.org> wrote in message
news:ags4cctau0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message >
>
> > Using a radio transmitter to work DX is a privilege granted by the
> > government, not a "right".
> >
> > The above is settled law, not "opinion".
> >
> > ---
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
>
> I oprates where I wants to and a nobody cant stop me.
> Any freekquency I wants is abailbable for my use. dont needs
> no licsense.....see! ant the Union Northern gobernment aint
> going to tell me the freekquency I cant oprate on.
>
> Big Horn 312 says that
> Cherry Hill freeband oprators club
> stand by 27635 lower side

Hmmmm---that's what Rabbit Ears thought! There's not a more gratifying
photo
than that of William Flippo being led back to jail in CHAINS and LEG IRONS.

"One thing for sure; you can't regulate 'stupid'"
Riley Hollingsworth
>
>
>
>

1375. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 22:29:59 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <n51Z8.45544$1q2.2727063@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130404

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020716173353.122$lC@newsreader.com...
> Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:
> >>True. But many police departments (especially state troopers) have CB
> > rigs in their cruisers. Whether they talk to the truckers or not is up
> > to the policymakers for the particular state. Some only communicate in
> > an emergency.
> >
> Thanks for stating the obvious,Bill.
>

I see them on the program "Cops" asking truckers to hem in cars trying to
run away. So, some troopers DO have CB radios. Around here (I think) it is
up to
the individual cop if he wants to buy and install a CB in his cruiser
because I see
some with CB antennas, others without.

Jerry
> Most know that the troopers have CBs too.
>
> None the less,it needed to be pointed out.
>
> --
> Go #40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

1376. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 22:52:50 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6t1Z8.32957$Iu6.1856368@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130405

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:RV%Y8.32682$Iu6.1834760@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

> <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d340b23.30877901@news.cet.com...
> > If a component is added that has a low chance of failure, but by it's
> > addition decreases the chance of failure of the component with the
> > highest chance, then you have decreased the chance of failure of the
> > circuit.
>
>
> > Not if the component you add is unnecessary!
>
> --
> |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
> |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>

Gentlemen, I give you the fuse. Necessary??

1377. Re: real tube on e-bay...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 22:57:26 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.comJP23
Message-ID: <20020716185726.01159.00000785@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 130406

that one tube cost $400!!!!

Thatz-me-JP

1378. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-16 23:14:54 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ah29ddbmt1@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 130407

Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote:

> "Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
> news:ah14u8$f1u$4@quark.scn.rain.com...

>> No, they cannot get permission. The rules ARE the rules, for everyone who
>> uses the CB band.

> Just another stupid "What If", but the rules are not the same for everyone.
> The police, FBI, ect, can go before a judge and receive permission to wire
> tap. Is it a stretch to believe any agency of the government could ask
> permission to violate a regulation or law "for the greater good"? It happens
> believe it or not. Although you are probably right, there is no *need* for
> HP to be using amps and they would be hard pressed to prove a need,
> therefore they would never get permission to do it.

The wiretaps are obtained because there are laws explicitly authorizing
wiretaps if certain procedures are followed. They are NOT violating any
regulation or law.

"Greater good" is generally not a valid argument in court. Look at all
the cases that are thrown out of court because the judge decides that some
crucial evidence was "illegally obtained". This happens even if it is very
obvious that the person is guilty - and that it would be very much to the
"greater good" if the person were convicted and locked up.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1379. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 00:25:54 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207161625.1242ffb3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130408

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<12613-3D3440A7-420@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207152107.48c1baa1@posting.google.com...
> "Landshark" S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. wrote:
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207151150.60c6da62@posting.google.com...
> > >I'm reminded of Neville Chamberlain's assurances about Hitler: "All
> > > the Nazis want is a little extra elbow room. Let's just let them take
> > > Poland," he said, "and they'll certainly stop there".
> >
> >
> > Again Aaron talking about what he doesn't know.
> >Neville Chamberlain never said that about Poland.
> >
>
> Nice try Aaron, go on living in
> a fantasy world. I equate your tactics
> more with the Nazi's, than someone
> that's freebanding.
> Landshark

What fantasy world? I'm not saying freebanders are Nazis, I'm saying they REMIND me of the Nazis. Someone correct me if I've got a few nonessential details wrong, but I believe the essence of the story is accurate: Hitler said Germany needed "elbow room" and so they just marched into Austria and took some real estate they figured no one was using. Great Britain got all upset, Neville C. had a talk with Hitler and Hitler basically told him "no one gives a rats ass about this, why should you?". Hitler promised him there'd be no more expansion, i.e. the Germans were done expanding. Neville figured that it was none of the British business and why not let the Germans keep the real estate they stole? Next thing ya know, the Nazis are marching into Solavakia and Poland. Hitler made some excuse again, I forget what it was, something like "It looked abandoned to us. If no one's using it, why can't we?". After that the Nazis just kept taking over countries until the Allies got together to put a stop to the shenanigans.

Can we draw any parallels to freebanders? Sure. They took over the space above the legal 23. Then when they were granted that space by FCC rule so they took over the space above the legal 40. Now they are in the 10 and 12 meter ham bands. And the excuses they use to justify this crap sound quite similar to the ones the Nazis used. Again, I'm not saying freebanders are Nazis, just common criminals. And criminals will tend to keep pushing the limits - until they are pushed back on.

-A

1380. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 00:32:01 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <5W2Z8.23440$88.528278@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 130409

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207161625.1242ffb3@posting.google.com...
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<12613-3D3440A7-420@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207152107.48c1baa1@posting.google.com...
> > "Landshark" S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. wrote:
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:22a5e694.0207151150.60c6da62@posting.google.com...
> > > >I'm reminded of Neville Chamberlain's assurances about Hitler: "All
> > > > the Nazis want is a little extra elbow room. Let's just let them
take
> > > > Poland," he said, "and they'll certainly stop there".
> > >
> > >
> > > Again Aaron talking about what he doesn't know.
> > >Neville Chamberlain never said that about Poland.
> > >
> >
> > Nice try Aaron, go on living in
> > a fantasy world. I equate your tactics
> > more with the Nazi's, than someone
> > that's freebanding.
> > Landshark
>
> What fantasy world? I'm not saying freebanders are Nazis, I'm saying
> they REMIND me of the Nazis. Someone correct me if I've got a few
> nonessential details wrong, but I believe the essence of the story is
> accurate: Hitler said Germany needed "elbow room" and so they just
> marched into Austria and took some real estate they figured no one was
> using. Great Britain got all upset, Neville C. had a talk with Hitler
> and Hitler basically told him "no one gives a rats ass about this, why
> should you?". Hitler promised him there'd be no more expansion, i.e.
> the Germans were done expanding. Neville figured that it was none of
> the British business and why not let the Germans keep the real estate
> they stole? Next thing ya know, the Nazis are marching into Solavakia
> and Poland. Hitler made some excuse again, I forget what it was,
> something like "It looked abandoned to us. If no one's using it, why
> can't we?". After that the Nazis just kept taking over countries until
> the Allies got together to put a stop to the shenanigans.
>
> Can we draw any parallels to freebanders? Sure. They took over the
> space above the legal 23. Then when they were granted that space by
> FCC rule so they took over the space above the legal 40. Now they are

> in the 10 and 12 meter ham bands. And the excuses they use to justify
> this crap sound quite similar to the ones the Nazis used. Again, I'm
> not saying freebanders are Nazis, just common criminals. And criminals
> will tend to keep pushing the limits - until they are pushed back on.
>
> -A

Anyone else notice that Frank and Aaron are blending? Wonder if they've been hanging out together. <<g>>

1381. Q re. Debco DF-1005 Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 00:41:36 UTC
From: "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <Pine.A41.4.05.10207161834060.34822-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca>
Source record: 130411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner

I have some questions about this item. Have other users of this newsgroup assembled and used this instrument? The Debco web site said that the DF-1005 Carrier Phase Radio Direction Finder operates in the HF to 1 GHZ range. What specific frequencies are covered, and are there any gaps (e.g. cell phone bands)? What are the step sizes or increments in each band covered? Can I use this instrument to home in on 43-49 MHz cordless phones? Some sample frequencies are 46.610, 43.970, and 44.460 MHz. Does this device connect to a scanner, or does it incorporate its own radio receiver? Do you dial in a specific frequency and then the DF-1005 tells you where to travel to get to the transmitter on that frequency (if any)? Can you find CB transmitters using this device? Assuming that your target receiver is transmitting audio, do you hear the audio being transmitted at the same time that you DF (fox hunt) the transmitter?

Note: I live in an area where it is legal to monitor cordless and cell phones, as long as other conditions are met.

Regards,

Ian Douglas

1382. Re: band-pass filters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 00:58:06 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020716205806.07697.00001239@mb-fg.news.cs.com>
Source record: 130410

>A low pass filter might be more practical... a better choice.

Here here, I just threw a Bencher LPF inline today. An ounce of prevention...

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1383. Re: illegal galaxy,superstar etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 01:08:26 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uj9gr7p10o3pe1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130412

Reality check for all. Look at our President. Yep, he sure wants to push for some legislation over the corporate 'crime'. His hands, however, are not clean. Clinton was using the Oval Office for fun and games. Then, speaking of the Clintons, there was Whitewater. Frankly, big business spends money; as a result, we have the best government money can buy. Anything hurting big business is, at least as far as our leaders dare push the issue, squashed. Son of a gun, a post actually got to me!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

1384. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 01:29:42 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020716212942.07697.00001248@mb-fg.news.cs.com>
Source record: 130413

>No, we are talking how easy it is to modify certian radios for illegal
>operation. Do you really think that there is no relationship between
>the popularity of certain radios and their ease of modification? If
>not, post a list of the most popular radios and a list of the radios
>that are most easily modified for more channels/power. We will all
>compare the lists. Fair enough?

Ok, fair enough. At the very TOP of the list is...

1. The FT-757GX - Open a access panel and flip one stinking microswitch.
Doesn't get much easier than that!

2. Next, the FT-757GX II - Oh, same mod.

3. How about the FT-767GX? - Uh oh, that's the same mod too.

4. Let go contemporary, the FT-840 - Open case, grounf TP and press a sequence
of front panel buttons to enable full transmit. Heck, at least they made us
open the cover.

5. Cobra 148FGTL DX+/Connex 4800DX(L) - Open cover, move jumper. Not as easy as
the switch, but easy enough.

6. HR-2510/President Lincoln - Open cover, disable diode(s), and solder jumper.
Uh oh, gotta break out the soldering iron. Method II - Chipswitch; the name
says it all...keep that soldering iron hot!

7. AR-3500 - Open cover, disable diode(s), and solder jumper.

8. Superstar 3900 - Basic EPT3600 board, keep the dikes and soldering iron out.

9. Uniden Grant/Classic Cobra 148 GTL - Dikes and soldering iron please.

10. RCI-2950 - I couldn't even guess as I've avoided this rig like the plague.

Now, I didn't go through the time or effort to research these mods. However, my
memory serves me sufficiently that I'm confident that I'm pretty darn
close...if not bullseye. There's your list. I extended you the courtesy of not
researching the Kenwood mods as their line might not have left room on the top
ten for the "10-meter" rigs.

>> Let
>>*people* take responsibility for their own misdeeds...whether it be
>>operating a transmitter unlawfully OR not enforcing the laws
>>pertaining to the operation of said transmitter. (It goes both ways.
>>Taking no action IS a form of action.)
>
>Good idea.

No, GREAT idea! It means more enforcement.

>We'll just drop all the speed limits and allow drunk
>driving, and when one of those drivers kills somebody else only THEN
>will we prosecute them. After all, just because a person is driving
>drunk doesn't mean he's going to kill somebody every time, right?

I don't really know where this is coming from, it was not the meaning of my words. Stepped up enforcement wsa.

>Great idea, Bert!

Damn straight!

>And some people still drive Ford Pintos and Chevy Chevettes. What's
>your point?

That the manufacturers I named do make good quality rigs, as well as reliable ones. Particularly Uniden.

>Actually, I don't either. I understand that rule is going to be lifted
>when the international stuff gets revamped.

Unfortunately, I doubt it. The ITU treaty will likely go away...but I'll bet dollars to donuts that the 155.3 mi. limit remains intact.

>You didn't hear it from me. I understand that disregard for the law
>can be of varying degrees of seriousness. And I have NEVER equated
>illegal operation with violence, so don't even suggest that I did.

Agreed, it was a generic statement and not directed at you.

>When did this conversation become one about DX? I thought it was about
>the popularity of modifiable radios. Why do you want to make this a
>crusade against DX hardliners?

Fair enough. I suppose it was the "If they really are really serious about their comm, maybe they should get a license" thing. I hear it allot when folks try to shoot down the idea of removing the 155.3 mi. limit. If this was not your intended analogy, please accept my humble apology. I was in error. :-)

>You misunderstood: I was saying that the band is no longer what the
>FCC intended because of the people that have trashed it. I happen to
>be a CB hobbyist myself, but I don't use amps, I don't harrass other
>CBers, I don't curse on the air, I don't splatter my signal across the
>band, etc.
>
>And I am a CBer, not an "11-meter hobbyist".

Cool, me too...but I AM a CBer AND an "11-meter hobbyist."

>So you are saying that in order to clean up the band we should be
>kissing their asses? You sure have a different perspective on things,
>Bert.

Certyainly not kissing their asses, but not "dissing" them all either. Not specifically meant for you, BTW.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1385. Re: How's biz,Aaron?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 01:46:48 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <c04Z8.235298$vq.12625763@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130414

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020716170736.247$Tl@newsreader.com...
> Still selling those make believe tringets?

you do mean trinkets don't you?

1386. Re: illegal galaxy,superstar etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 01:52:56 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Y54Z8.33685$lu6.1904769@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130415

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020716175302.06846.00000784@mb-df.aol.com...
> Why not just buy a nice icom 706 ,clip 2 diodes and have full band.Go to
> qrz.com mod site and mod any ham radio for cb .Isn't life grand!

Any?? Find the mod for the Ten-Tec 701 Jupiter which is by far the best rig in its class and very possibly the best buy in the biz today.

But this has been true virtually forever and still we sell crap with channelization, roger beeps, and Ancient Modulation. You're the resident genius pro tem, why might that be??

But when you buy a real radio might it not be time for real radio??

Damfino

Dick N&#65533;BK

1387. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 01:55:04 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <Y74Z8.182595$lm2.8991526@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130416

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020716170916.293$hg@newsreader.com...
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> > It's called having a big enough heat sink and convection!
> >
> Is a fan really needed with a two pill?
>

The Professor was all over a guy about him not having a fan on a 2x2290
device amp
yet his amp disipates more heat than the 2290 amp and yet he say his needs
no fan, no wait it is un relaiable No wait... lets see what his excuse is
next. I heard of an amp cooking itself to death. What is the duty cycle of
the Skywave?

1388. Galaxy Ranger TR-696 Base

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 02:07:03 UTC
From: oris@dirtball.com
Message-ID: <f7a9c6ac.0207161807.5c92afcd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130417

Does anyone know the modulation mod for this radio. I read some where
that all I would have to do is cut R 247. I am not really interested
in everything that this radio will do. How ever the modulation
limitors that they put in new radios today truly suck. Every radio
that I took the limiting diode, transistor, and resitor or what ever
they use to limit modulation truly sound good. So when I get this new
radio on the air I want it to sound good. I have a D-104 silver eagle
that I'm going to add to the radio. So after the modulation mod this
thing will probly rock on.
73's
Oris

1389. Re: Galaxy Ranger TR-696 Base

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 02:21:50 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <2x4Z8.33775$Iu6.1914816@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130418

"Oris" <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message
news:f7a9c6ac.0207161807.5c92afcd@posting.google.com...
> Does anyone know the modulation mod for this radio. I read some where
> that all I would have to do is cut R 247. I am not really interested
> in everything that this radio will do. How ever the modulation
> limitors that they put in new radios today truly suck. Every radio
> that I took the limiting diode, transistor, and resitor or what ever
> they use to limit modulation truly sound good. So when I get this new
> radio on the air I want it to sound good. I have a D-104 silver eagle
> that I'm going to add to the radio. So after the modulation mod this
> thing will probly rock on.
> 73's
> Oris

Oris, it is like this. Limiters do not limit modulation because that is
limited by the laws of physics. They limit crap. In addition, they will,
if left alone, raise your effective talk power so cutting it will not help
a blessed thing.

With all due respect would you recognize good audio if you heard it?

Dick N&#65533;BK

1390. "Freebanders Promise" Tile Coaster - SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 02:45:25 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207161845.28f5e964@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130419

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020716170736.247$Tl@newsreader.com...
> Still selling those make believe tringets?

Yes indeed, and the money looks pretty real to me. - A

"Freebanders Promise" Tile Coaster

All AKCers will enjoy this satiric jab at pirate ops: Neville
Chamberlain holding treaty containing freebanders promise that they
will not go above 28 mHz!

Ceramic tile coaster is 1/6 inch thick. Felt pads protect your tables
and countertops. Dishwasher safe.

$5.50 each

See it at....

http://www.cafepress.com/cp/members/products/editproduct.aspx

1391. Re: illegal galaxy,superstar etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 02:47:20 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uj9mkor62enebf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130423

Well, Mopar, at least we would have some different flames for a change! ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - just make sure we don't discuss radios, antennas, coax, grounds, etc.
etc. :o)

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020716211425.820$Gq@newsreader.com...
> Shame Jim. Let's not get started with politics on this board.:)
>
> --
> Go #40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

1392. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 02:48:03 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <DV4Z8.817$HP.58816751@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130422

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:5W2Z8.23440$88.528278@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207161625.1242ffb3@posting.google.com...
>>
> Anyone else notice that Frank and Aaron are blending? Wonder if they've
been
> hanging out together. <<g>>
>
>

It doesn't matter, he's trying to justify
his so called existence here. Again, he equates
free-banders to Nazi's as did someone else to
terrorist. Last time I checked, free-banders didn't
kill thousands, upon millions of people.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

1393. Re: How's biz,Aaron?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 02:48:04 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <EV4Z8.818$HP.58816751@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130421

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020716222614.992$Mx@newsreader.com...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > "KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote:
> > > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020716170736.247$Tl@newsreader.com...
> > > > Still selling those make believe tringets?
> > >
> > >>you do mean trinkets don't you?
> > >
> >>Yea,what you said.
> >
> > Is he still selling em?
> >
> Did he get reported for breaking the law?
>
> --
> Go #40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

We'll find out when he comes back with a new
screen nic.

Landshark

--

"Any day riding, is better than
being a newsgroupie

98 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
02 Honda VTX 1800
99 Ford F-150 Supercab 4X4 (antenna platform)

1394. "Freebanders Promise" Tile Coaster - CORRECT URL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 02:48:55 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207161848.1a81f780@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130420

Durn, wrong url.

"Freebanders Promise" Tile Coaster

All AKCers will enjoy this satiric jab at pirate ops: Neville
Chamberlain holding treaty containing freebanders promise that they
will not go above 28 mHz!

Ceramic tile coaster is 1/6 inch thick. Felt pads protect your tables
and countertops. Dishwasher safe.

$5.50 each

See it at....

http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/productdetail.aspx?prodno=antikeyclown.2679979

1395. Re: Galaxy Ranger TR-696 Base

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 02:53:57 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uj9n1385rjkv89@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130424

Dick, you missed a good post in another group. Someone bought some FRS radios and then got rid of 'em. The new ones aren't nearly as loud, but the audio is good. The other two he bought (and got rid of) were so much LOUDER, but distorted. Hmmm ... care to bet someone turned the deviation way up on it? Yepper, even though the discussion is about am modulation and I mentioned fm, I have a feeling you just might be on to something ... there really isn't any 'free lunch'. If it sounds too good to be true ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:2x4Z8.33775$Iu6.1914816@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Oris" <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message
> news:f7a9c6ac.0207161807.5c92afcd@posting.google.com...
> > Does anyone know the modulation mod for this radio. I read some where
> > that all I would have to do is cut R 247. I am not really interested
> > in everything that this radio will do. How ever the modulation
> > limitors that they put in new radios today truly suck. Every radio
> > that I took the limiting diode, transistor, and resitor or what ever
> > they use to limit modulation truly sound good. So when I get this new
> > radio on the air I want it to sound good. I have a D-104 silver eagle
> > that I'm going to add to the radio. So after the modulation mod this
> > thing will probly rock on.
> > 73's
> > Oris
>
> Oris, it is like this. Limiters do not limit modulation because that is
> limited by the laws of physics. They limit crap. In addition, they will,
> if left alone, raise your effective talk power so cutting it will not help
> a blessed thing.
>
> With all due respect would you recognize good audio if you heard it?
>
> Dick NBK
>
>

1396. Re: The origins of freebanders (long) HeHE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 03:19:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12613-3D34E23D-457@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130428

>Hmmmm---that's what Rabbit Ears thought! There's not a more gratifying photo
than that of William Flippo being led back to jail in CHAINS and LEG IRONS.
"One thing for sure; you can't regulate 'stupid'" Riley Hollingsworth<

Hey Jer! Chances are, with the deliberate spelling errors and intentional poor grammar, that post was a freebadner funnin' with ya', or a hammie tryin' ta' involke the "stupid" stereotype. Whether it was a nocode or cber, I haven't figured. Hey, enjoy your summer, you know the town I'll be crashing in for a night...I'll send a carrier pigeon your way, see if Riley can meet us for coffee...I'll buy.

1397. Spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 03:25:04 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <ks5Z8.825$5R.58885318@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130425

A certain individual has brought it upon
himself to Spam the group with advertisements.
PLEASE let his and the posting agency know
about how displeased you are with it.

Abuse@AOL.Com
tosemail1@aol.com
groups-abuse@google.com

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

1398. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 03:27:39 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12614-3D34E42B-175@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130427

>Can we draw any parallels to freebanders? Sure. They took over the space above the legal 23. Then when they were granted that space by FCC rule so they took over the space above the legal 40.<

Ya' know...I never really looked at it from that perspective befoe!

> Now they are in the 10 and 12 meter ham bands. <

No "they" ain't. That's like saying they (anyone
that was driven underground like you)
are all hammies that are ashamed to debate so they take to inventing cartoon characters.

>And the excuses they use to justify this crap sound quite similar to the ones the Nazis used.<

Which has been YOUR circular argument.
Who do we justify freebanding to and where did you see anyone here justifying it? YOU and the other few heathens ASK us, we don't volunteer jack to your impotent azz, and being the polite and courteous operators that we are, we give your questions a response. Any response is met with your whines.

>Again, I'm not saying freebanders are Nazis, just common criminals. And criminals will tend to keep pushing the limits - until they are pushed back on.
-A <

That leaves you out, you're impotent!!

1399. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 03:30:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12613-3D34E4C9-458@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130429

>It doesn't matter, he's trying to justify his so called existence here. Again, he equates free-banders to Nazi's as did someone else to terrorist. Last time I checked, free-banders didn't kill thousands, upon millions of people.<

No, but Lelnad advocates killing freebanders by shooting them in the head. No wonder they fall all over each other.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

1400. Re: illegal galaxy,superstar etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 03:52:32 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <6eq9jusoqliji9hbv2paq496nomm8b14r6@4ax.com>
Source record: 130426

On Tue, 16 Jul 2002 22:47:20 -0400, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote:

>Well, Mopar, at least we would have some different flames for a change! ;)
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>ps - just make sure we don't discuss radios, antennas, coax, grounds, etc.
>etc. :o)
>
>

I never liked CLinton because he didnt have the right coax length :P

Sorry Jim I just couldn't help myself heheh

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

1401. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 03:52:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22857-3D34EA0E-91@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130436

From: k3eko@netscape.net (Frank Todd)
I also forgot LIAR's
"
Frank Todd" <k3eko@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:WamY8.358889$R61.349359@rwcrnsc5>2.ops.asp.att.net...
how about the Criminal Band??? There appears to be a vast majority of
child molesters, thieves, and possible murderers...<

WEll, damn, Barracuda, you almost slid right by me without even saying
hello! How are the girls doing? C'mon Barracuda, you were quite a force
to be reckoned with on cb back in the day..in your YOUNGER days. (still
have the ride?).,,I'll betcha don't!, .Even if you don't talk it
anymore, remember your roots, my friend. Hey, dude,,I'm coming your way
to visit kin, (my sis married a guy from by Renzi, right near north hall
and Giant Eagle)
If it's cool I'll give ya' a holler.....contact me at
twistedhed@hotmail.com
I can't check it 'till I visit the 'lil sis, so it will be a few weeks
yet, going to recharge the soul in the deep woods and do a little work
at the same time. Take it easy, dude, good to hear from you. It's really
a small world, eh?

1402. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 04:00:27 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <bsq9jugivfd4c5jud3p3kfqla0g567dgmp@4ax.com>
Source record: 130430

On Mon, 15 Jul 2002 00:06:31 GMT, "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
wrote:

>test
>

Congratulations you passed.

For your passing the test, I gladly award you the purple palooka
award.

heheh

how re things in Tx?
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

1403. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 04:07:34 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11169-3D34ED86-401@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130437

From: k3eko@netscape.net (Frank Todd)
>I also forgot LIAR's
"Frank Todd" <k3eko@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:WamY8.358889$R61.349359@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
how about the Criminal Band??? There appears to be a vast majority of
child molesters, thieves, and possible murderers..<

Whooops! Seems like you're junior..I know your daddy...does he know
you're out here talking like this about cbers?
.

1404. Re: Q re. Debco DF-1005 Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 04:07:41 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d34ec9f.2811775@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner

In <Pine.A41.4.05.10207161834060.34822-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca>, "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote:

>
>I have some questions about this item. Have other users of this
>newsgroup assembled and used this instrument? The Debco web site said
>that the DF-1005 Carrier Phase Radio Direction Finder operates in the HF
>to 1 GHZ range. What specific frequencies are covered, and are there any
>gaps (e.g. cell phone bands)? What are the step sizes or increments in
>each band covered? Can I use this instrument to home in on 43-49 MHz
>cordless phones? Some sample frequencies are 46.610, 43.970,
>and 44.460 MHz. Does this device connect to a scanner, or does it
>incorporate its own radio receiver? Do you dial in a specific frequency
>and then the DF-1005 tells you where to travel to get to the transmitter
>on that frequency (if any)? Can you find CB transmitters using this
>device? Assuming that your target receiver is transmitting audio, do you
>hear the audio being transmitted at the same time that you DF (fox
>hunt) the transmitter?
>
>Note: I live in an area where it is legal to monitor cordless and cell
>phones, as long as other conditions are met.

DFing cordless phones? Why not DF baby monitors, too? Man, forget about those "other conditions", you are begging for some serious stalking charges here, bud.

1405. Spam?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 04:08:45 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207162008.1accebfa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130431

From: The Landshark (Landshark@TheLandshark.Com)
Subject: Re: Landshark's "Recipes" Are OFF TOPIC
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
tDate: 2001-05-22 19:18:06 PST

Frank, grasping for straws now, boy,
I sure hope that nobody's taking an
evening stroll down your street, you
are liable to jump out from behind the bush
and start yelling my name at them.

The Landshark

Oh, by the way, please report my Sig. file,
I'm sure they would love that.

--
Roast Prime Rib of Beef

freshly ground black pepper to taste
1 tablespoon dark soy sauce
1 1/2 tablespoons Kitchen Bouquet
1 tablespoon dry mustard (Colman's is best, not as hot)
1 8-9 lb beef rib roast, bone in
1 cup peeled and SLICED (1/8" thick) yellow onion

Rub the seasonings on the roast in the order listed. Pack the
sliced onion on the roast. Place the roast on a rack in a roasting
pan. Roast in a preheated 450F oven for 20 minutes. Reduce the
oven temperature to 325F and roast 1 hour more. Reduce the oven
again to 300F and roast about one hour more or until the beef
registers 115F for rare in the center when tested with a meat
thermometer. Remove from the oven and allow to stand 15 minutes
in a warm place. Slice and serve immediately with Wine Sauce for
Beef and Horseradish Sauce (below).

Wine Sauce for Beef

2 1/2 cups homemade Beef Stock
1/2 cup dry red wine
Salt to taste

Bring the beef stock and wine to a boil in a small saucepan.
Simmer, uncovered, until reduced to 2 cups. Add any pan drippings
from the rib roast to the sauce. Strain the sauce and skim off as
much fat as possible. Add salt to taste. Serve with the rib roast.

Horseradish Sauce

1/4 cup prepared horseradish
1/4 cup sour cream
1/4 cup mayonnaise

In a bowl, combine all ingredients. Chill and serve with the beef.

This is wonderful with Yorkshire pudding and creamed onions, a
salad and rolls.

"Landshark Is A Guppy" <sharkhunter@Lloyd_Bridges.com> wrote in message
news:3b0b0678$1@news2.mibx.net...
>
> --and a violation of his ISP's TOS. If enough people complain....
>

1406. Re: The origins of freebanders (long) HeHE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 04:12:02 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <MZ5Z8.84872$JU3.35119634@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.pirate

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020716182613.565$0c@newsreader.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> >>"One thing for sure; you can't regulate 'stupid'"
> > Riley Hollingsworth
> >
>
> Did you get his autograph yet?
>
> If not,Leland can copy it for you.

I don't need to: I've known him for a number of years. The quote? He told
me
that in person about 2 years ago.

J
>
> --
> Go #40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

1407. Re: The origins of freebanders (long) HeHE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 04:13:15 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <T_5Z8.84881$JU3.35124304@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130434

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:12613-3D34E23D-457@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >Hmmmm---that's what Rabbit Ears thought! There's not a more gratifying
> photo
> than that of William Flippo being led back to jail in CHAINS and LEG
> IRONS.
> "One thing for sure; you can't regulate 'stupid'" Riley Hollingsworth<
>
>
> Hey Jer! Chances are, with the deliberate spelling errors and
> intentional poor grammar, that post was a freebadner funnin' with ya',
> or a hammie tryin' ta' involke the "stupid"
> stereotype. Whether it was a nocode or cber, I haven't figured. Hey,
> enjoy your summer, you know the town I'll be crashing in for a
> night...I'll send a carrier pigeon your way, see if Riley can meet us
> for coffee...I'll buy.

If he were in town, I imagine he would take you up on it! He comes thru
here once in awhile on his way to Rock Hill, SC.

J
>

1408. Spam???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 04:15:05 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207162015.418793bf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130435

From: The Landshark (Landshark@TheLandshark.Com)
Subject: Re: this used to be a really neat CB site
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-05-22 19:18:06 PST

Careful, they might brand you.
By the way, my sig is a different recipe, I
think I'll change it every 2 weeks.

The Landshark

--
Roast Prime Rib of Beef

freshly ground black pepper to taste
1 tablespoon dark soy sauce
1 1/2 tablespoons Kitchen Bouquet
1 tablespoon dry mustard (Colman's is best, not as hot)
1 8-9 lb beef rib roast, bone in
1 cup peeled and SLICED (1/8" thick) yellow onion

Rub the seasonings on the roast in the order listed. Pack the
sliced onion on the roast. Place the roast on a rack in a roasting
pan. Roast in a preheated 450F oven for 20 minutes. Reduce the
oven temperature to 325F and roast 1 hour more. Reduce the oven
again to 300F and roast about one hour more or until the beef
registers 115F for rare in the center when tested with a meat
thermometer. Remove from the oven and allow to stand 15 minutes
in a warm place. Slice and serve immediately with Wine Sauce for
Beef and Horseradish Sauce (below).

Wine Sauce for Beef

2 1/2 cups homemade Beef Stock
1/2 cup dry red wine
Salt to taste

Bring the beef stock and wine to a boil in a small saucepan.
Simmer, uncovered, until reduced to 2 cups. Add any pan drippings
from the rib roast to the sauce. Strain the sauce and skim off as
much fat as possible. Add salt to taste. Serve with the rib roast.

Horseradish Sauce

1/4 cup prepared horseradish
1/4 cup sour cream
1/4 cup mayonnaise

In a bowl, combine all ingredients. Chill and serve with the beef.

This is wonderful with Yorkshire pudding and creamed onions, a salad and rolls.

1409. S P A M?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 04:34:38 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207162034.13587c04@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130438

Moparholic wrote:
>It looks like a free recipie to me.
>
>Did you see a price tag?

So my CB-related items for sale are "spam"? But a series of OFF TOPIC recipes posted by landshark are not "spam"? And professors ads for illegal amps are not "spam"? And Train's ads for illegal amps are not "spam"? Jeez, I dunno but it sounds kinda hypocritical to me....

-Aaron-

http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/store.aspx?storeid=antikeyclown

1410. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 04:39:51 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d34ee5a.3255075@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130440

In <20020716212942.07697.00001248@mb-fg.news.cs.com>,
eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) wrote:

>>No, we are talking how easy it is to modify certian radios for illegal
>>operation. Do you really think that there is no relationship between
>>the popularity of certain radios and their ease of modification? If
>>not, post a list of the most popular radios and a list of the radios
>>that are most easily modified for more channels/power. We will all
>>compare the lists. Fair enough?
>
>Ok, fair enough. At the very TOP of the list is...

<snip list of popular and all easily modifiable radios>

I believe I have made my point.

<snip>
>>> Let
>>>*people* take responsibility for their own misdeeds...whether it be
>>>operating a transmitter unlawfully OR not enforcing the laws
>>>pertaining to the operation of said transmitter. (It goes both ways.
>>>Taking no action IS a form of action.)
>>
>>Good idea.
>
>No, GREAT idea! It means more enforcement.
>
>>We'll just drop all the speed limits and allow drunk
>>driving, and when one of those drivers kills somebody else only THEN
>>will we prosecute them. After all, just because a person is driving
>>drunk doesn't mean he's going to kill somebody every time, right?
>
>I don't really know where this is coming from, it was not the meaning of my
>words. Stepped up enforcement wsa.

It was a poor application of your idea. I do agree with you to a
point, like the phrase, "guns don't kill people, people kill people".
(And lets not start a flame war on gun control!) But let's take some
other examples. Like explosives. I'm not talking about people using
them for bombs, but what about just storage? Do you think that people
should have the right to store explosives, and prosecute the
irresponsible person only AFTER the barn goes ka-blewie? How about
hazardous chemicals? Some of them have legitimate uses, even as a
hobby (electroplating uses cyanide salts, for example). Are we to just
allow people to use them and only prosecute those that use them
irresponsibly? Or would it be better to regulate this extremely

poisonous substance before it is offered at the supermarket or sold at
garage sales?

>>Great idea, Bert!
>
>Damn straight!

I don't think so. Like I have said before, a radio is a very powerful
tool. Radios are used to save lives every day and in more ways than
you could probably count. And radio can be used to cause harm: at
least one country was overthrown just because of the power of radio.
Operating such a powerful tool requires just as much responsibility as
using any other potentially hazardous device or substance. And just
like those other devices and substances, radio is regulated. Just
because some people are ignorant as to the reasons for that regulation
and operate illegally and irresponsibly doesn't negate the potential
hazards.

>>And some people still drive Ford Pintos and Chevy Chevettes. What's
>>your point?
>
>That the manufacturers I named do make good quality rigs, as well as reliable
>ones. Particularly Uniden.

Uniden used to make some good quality stuff like the PC-122, but
lately their quality has been a bit lax, IMO.

>>Actually, I don't either. I understand that rule is going to be lifted
>>when the international stuff gets revamped.
>
>Unfortunately, I doubt it. The ITU treaty will likely go away...but I'll bet
>dollars to donuts that the 155.3 mi. limit remains intact.

Hard to tell. I guess we'll see.

<snip>
>>You misunderstood: I was saying that the band is no longer what the
>>FCC intended because of the people that have trashed it. I happen to
>>be a CB hobbyist myself, but I don't use amps, I don't harrass other
>>CBers, I don't curse on the air, I don't splatter my signal across the
>>band, etc.
>>
>>And I am a CBer, not an "11-meter hobbyist".
>
>Cool, me too...but I AM a CBer AND an "11-meter hobbyist."

Do you operate illegally?

>>So you are saying that in order to clean up the band we should be
>>kissing their asses? You sure have a different perspective on things,
>>Bert.
>
>Certyainly not kissing their asses, but not "dissing" them all either. Not

>specifically meant for you, BTW.

Well, I'll tell ya what: If I'm supposed to show respect to some moron that keeps stepping on everyone's signal just because it 'might' turn him around from the dark side, then don't expect flowers when Elmer Appreciation Day rolls around.

1411. Re: "N8WWM IS TWITHED'S MASTER" Cap ----SALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 04:41:02 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207162041.1aff4bfb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130439

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>Or someone might explain OE to Kevin at:
>wb5rue@qsl.net
>wb5rue@qsl.net
>wb5rue@qsl.net
>wb5rue@qsl.net
>wb5rue@qsl.net
>
>Right?

Hey, what's with repeating Kevin's email 5 times? Is that the keyclown
secret signal to go ahead with a "mail attack"?

-A

1412. Re: Spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 04:42:46 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d34f562.5055461@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130441

This is funny. First, read the post:

> A certain individual has brought it upon
>himself to Spam the group with advertisements.
>PLEASE let his and the posting agency know
>about how displeased you are with it.
>
>Abuse@AOL.Com
>tosemail1@aol.com
>groups-abuse@google.com
>
> Landshark

Now look at the sig:

>--
>"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
>

ROTFLMMFAO!!!

1413. sPam ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 04:50:04 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207162050.8731765@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130442

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> Moparholic wrote:
> >>It looks like a free recipie to me.
> > >
> >>Did you see a price tag?
> >
>>>So my CB-related items for sale are "spam"?
> >
>Yes.

Huh? How come?

>>>But a series of OFF TOPIC
>>>recipes posted by landshark are not "spam"?
>
>Nope,just an OT recipie..didn't I say that already?

Oh. Hey --- if I re-do the ads as "OT recipe posts" then will that
work for you?

>>>And professors ads for
>>>illegal amps are not "spam"?
>
>Yes,they are spam too,but he's not a dick head..like you.

So you decide who is a dick head and who is not and then allow the
ones who are not dick heads to spam the group? Jeez, that sound
awfully hypocr....oops,you knew that already.

>>>And Train's ads for illegal amps are not
>>> "spam"? Jeez, I dunno but it sounds kinda hypocritical to me....
>
>Dunno about all that,you are the one who likes to stress the rules.
>
>Now live by them.

How can I live by your rules if your rules change depending on who
you're applying them to?

-Aaron-

1414. Re: ARRL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 04:57:24 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717005724.16701.00000270@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 130443

From: 'Doc w5lz@icok.net
> In the last 15 years, the ARRL has done more to
>harm amateur radio than they've done good. That's
>from a former ARRL member.

In my opinion, the ARRL advocates all aspects of the hobby, including the technical aspects.

Without the ARRL, if it would up to most Hams. The hobby would become a bunch of operators with no interest in the technology behind the hobby.

1415. Re: "N8WWM IS TWITHED'S MASTER" Cap ----SALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 05:08:24 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207162108.31f30797@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130444

>>Hey, what's with repeating Kevin's email 5 times? Is that the
keyclown
>> secret signal to go ahead with a "mail attack"?
>>
>> -A
>
>Dunno,but you keep quoting it.

OH...DUH.....now I get it. Forgive me but I'm just not familiar with juvenile methods of vandalism and harassment. So you are trying to post Kevin's arrl email address in the newsgroup in the hopes that the spambots'll get it and annoy Kevin and clog his mailbox. Pretty low-class, Mopar. Do you string toilet paper on the neighbors trees too?

-Aaron-

1416. Re: "MOPEDHOLIC" Puke Bag -- SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 06:10:20 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ah34etegm2@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130445

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020715125621.673$cg@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote:
> >I didn't say that. You're learning from the TwistyBoy method of
> > posting.
> > Twisting words and downright LYING about what someone has said. You and
> > Twistyboy must be drinking from the same Methanol can again.
> >
> > Kevin, WB5RUE
> >
> Well you used to think I was Twistedhed,until you told us he was coax
> length police.
==

And here's the best bit...

I never noticed the "looking" bit until I looked closely to see what he
was complaining about. I do not read the quoted text unless I missed
the original... and at first glance, I still missed what had changed.

Regards,

Peter.

1417. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 06:15:58 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ah34euegm3@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130446

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:AvhY8.18214$Y%2.425348198@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d310e94.124350@news.cet.com...
> > In <6G6Y8.17895> If you are reading every one of his posts every single
> > day, well, maybe you should seek a new hobby. How about CB radio? I
> > hear it's getting popular once again...!
> >
> > >--
> > >"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
> > >
> > > And Frank doesn't like it.....................
> >
> > I suppose mind-reading could be considered a hobby by some, but you
> > probably shouldn't make it your profession because you suck at it.
> >
>
> I never said I did, so don't flatter yourself Frank. I had
> him kill filed until his avoobner, aaronvoobner yahoo
> got yanked and he opened a new one.
===

I had Frank killfiled until he decided to use a different addy.
Isn't a yeti a large, heavy, ape like beast... and doesn't the Tibetan
translate to "foul snowman".
Cannot imagine where the "yeti" bit fits in... can you?

Regards,

Peter.

1418. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 06:33:05 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ah34f1egm5@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130447

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uj6ctn2agtr4ae@corp.supernews.com...
> Gee Wiz voob As much as I enjoy driving Jerry apoplectic he's still a
> decent guy for a Hammie and he didn't deserve that by a long shot.
> You really are nuts.
> Sincerely and sanely,
> Ken
===

Not the first time he has had a pop at Jerry. Last one I saw seemed
to be because Jerry was not abusive enough, he did not set out to
offend people.
Speaking out against things, telling people they do not need amps, or
running a legal setup yourself is not enough for Aaron... you have to
offend people on a regular basis before he removes you from his list
of "keyclown" targets.

I have seriously come to the conclusion that Aaron and his gang are
just here for troll value, they just want excuses to argue, abuse and
offend.

Regards,

Brainbuster.

1419. Re: Lou

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 06:54:31 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ah34f2egm6@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130448

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D2984F5.2D17@worldlynx.net...
> Scott Sixnine wrote:
> >
> > Did you know if someone didn't supply this information,
> > someone else would? You're just upset that you're not
> > the one on the receiving end of the checkbook.
>
>
> That's what the purveyors of kiddie porn think too....
==

True... and the shops that sell cigarettes, fireworks and lighter fuel to children.

But we are talking of something rather different here.
Last year, a few doors from where I now live, a father arrived home to find his 15 year ols girl unconscious. She DIED from sniffing lighter fuel. A few years back, another child on the next street died the same way.
The police still cannot find who ILLEGALLY sold fuel to children... but the local store trader seems to have been "run out of town" for that one.

Every year, children get killed and seriously injured by fireworks... and fireworks get "posted" through doors in the UK.
And, have you seen the death rates attributed to cigarettes. Most smokers start as children and some traders will sell to children in uniform, on their way to school.

How many die as a result of illegal CB?

Children suffer for the rest of their life as a result of what they are put through by perverts trading in the pictures and films.
As much as I dislike what Lou F. has done to CB, It really does not compare wilth what is done to children.

Regards,

Brainbuster.

1420. Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 08:29:08 UTC
From: dgose@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4046-3D352AD4-3@storefull-2215.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130449

Hello,

I don't mean to be rude, so humor me, ok? Does this group actually ever just discuss CB Radios without getting into 50 arguments about how it's probably illegal? Like.. if you're running a Wilson.. you're running power.. I have seen similar statements... when someone asks a simple question.

There are endless strings of posts arguing about things, but most of the time when a legitimate question is asked, it get's quickly buried because of all the arguing! When I asked questions on here, for every legitimate answer, I got at least one or two smart alec ones (sometimes even emailed to me!)

I truly enjoy my CB. I have played CB for over 20 years and hopefully will til the day I die. I believe CB Radio fills a niche that will never be filled by something else. It's still that "neighbor across the back fence" sorta thing. I am disabled now, and I spend a lot of time on the radio talking, and have made many new friends, young and old on the radio. My CB has brought me help when broken down, has let me get help for others... and give directions to truckers. I can talk to friends in the next county without long distance charges. Do I run illegal equipment? No.. I just recently sold my old Realistic Navaho and bought a box stock older President Madison with Sideband, even use a stock handmic. My base antenna is an Antron, and in my car, I run a, once again, box stock Cobra 148 hooked to a Wilson 1000. Why? I liked the way the Wilson gets out, and the combination works well for me on sideband.

I started reading this group hoping for general conversation about CB's, and maybe helping some of the newer fella's with what I knew... guess that doesn't happen much here.. too busy arguing..

Do you know of any discussion groups that are more "CB Friendly" instead of just Ham's putting down CB'ers or is it a lost hope? By the way, I have nothing against Ham Radio's or the people who play them, I just happen to love my ol CB.

Thanks for listening, and God Bless...

1421. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 08:49:21 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d352f90.1210382@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130450

In <ah34euegm3@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
<brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:

<snip>
>I had Frank killfiled until he decided to use a different addy.

It must be confusing for you when I change my addy once every few
years -- you must be pulling your hair out right now. So now are you
going to put me back in your killfile because you can't answer the
questions I put to you in the other thread? Go ahead; it's not like I
don't expect you to run away from a few petty facts.

>Isn't a yeti a large, heavy, ape like beast...

Yep.

> and doesn't the Tibetan
>translate to "foul snowman".

Not quite, but as hot as it has been here lately...

>Cannot imagine where the "yeti" bit fits in... can you?

And I can't imagine where you came up with a nic like 'Brainbuster':
that's about as relavent to your personality as 'SwangThang' would be
to Dick, or 'AmpHead' would be to Voobner.

1422. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 09:26:00 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020717052600.03301.00001020@mb-bh.news.cs.com>
Source record: 130451

><snip list of popular and all easily modifiable radios>
>
>I believe I have made my point.

I think we both understand each other's viewpoints. Works for me.

>It was a poor application of your idea. I do agree with you to a
>point, like the phrase, "guns don't kill people, people kill people".
>(And lets not start a flame war on gun control!) But let's take some
>other examples. Like explosives. I'm not talking about people using
>them for bombs, but what about just storage? Do you think that people
>should have the right to store explosives, and prosecute the
>irresponsible person only AFTER the barn goes ka-blewie? How about
>hazardous chemicals? Some of them have legitimate uses, even as a
>hobby (electroplating uses cyanide salts, for example). Are we to just
>allow people to use them and only prosecute those that use them
>irresponsibly? Or would it be better to regulate this extremely
>poisonous substance before it is offered at the supermarket or sold at
>garage sales?

I gotcha.

>I don't think so. Like I have said before, a radio is a very powerful
>tool. Radios are used to save lives every day and in more ways than
>you could probably count. And radio can be used to cause harm: at
>least one country was overthrown just because of the power of radio.
>Operating such a powerful tool requires just as much responsibility as
>using any other potentially hazardous device or substance. And just
>like those other devices and substances, radio is regulated. Just
>because some people are ignorant as to the reasons for that regulation
>and operate illegally and irresponsibly doesn't negate the potential
>hazards.

I agree with regulation, but certainly not overkill. Putting a Uniden HR-2510 that covers the entire 10m band in all modes on the "illegal list" is certainly overkill when a FT-840 can be modified more easily for extended coverage. Now a Galaxy or Connex that covers only the top 315 KHz of the 10m band in AM/FM only? Quite another story. Like I said, both sides of our discussion have validity.

>Uniden used to make some good quality stuff like the PC-122, but
>lately their quality has been a bit lax, IMO.

I replaced my niece's PC-122 with a TRC-485. I have to say that the receive on the Grant's pretty tough to beat. I judge radios on their receive sections, since all transmitters s/b 12 watts antway.

>>>Actually, I don't either. I understand that rule is going to be lifted
>>>when the international stuff gets revamped.
>>
>>Unfortunately, I doubt it. The ITU treaty will likely go away...but I'll bet
>>dollars to donuts that the 155.3 mi. limit remains intact.
>
>Hard to tell. I guess we'll see.

Yeah, I hope you're right though.

>>>You misunderstood: I was saying that the band is no longer what the
>>>FCC intended because of the people that have trashed it. I happen to
>>>be a CB hobbyist myself, but I don't use amps, I don't harrass other
>>>CBers, I don't curse on the air, I don't splatter my signal across the
>>>band, etc.
>>>
>>>And I am a CBer, not an "11-meter hobbyist".
>>
>>Cool, me too...but I AM a CBer AND an "11-meter hobbyist."
>
>Do you operate illegally?

Sure do. 0:-)

>Well, I'll tell ya what: If I'm supposed to show respect to some moron
>that keeps stepping on everyone's signal just because it 'might' turn
>him around from the dark side, then don't expect flowers when Elmer
>Appreciation Day rolls around.

I hear you, but if someone tells you that they're an 11-meter hobbyist, don't immediately read him the Part 95 riot act either. I truly don't see a problem with someone who works DX on the legal 40 at legal power levels. That said, I generally like to lead with "Like that? Lemme show you what you can do with 100 watts on 10 or 15." rather than "You're a criminal or keyclown." I find that it gets much better results in the real world. (Again, not directed specifically at you.)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1423. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 09:29:13 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15057-3D3538E9-1@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130454

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <ah34euegm3@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
<brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:
<snip>
I had Frank killfiled until he decided to use a different addy.

>It must be confusing for you when I change my addy once every few years
<

It's not, as you do it daily.

>-- you must be pulling your hair out right now. So now are you going to
put me back in your killfile because you can't answer the questions I
put to you in the other thread? Go ahead; it's not like I don't expect
you to run away from a few petty facts.<

Like you been doing since acquiring the Sybil syndrome.

1424. Re: Galaxy Ranger TR-696 Base

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 09:32:26 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <KQaZ8.105946$UT.6847039@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130452

Well, I think it is beginning to prove two things:

1) Loud = Good to far too many people. That limiters are used to BE loud never dawns.

2) A lot of bozos react to the word 'limiter' like Dracula to a garlicwood cross. AM, FM, who the hell knows, makes not a bit of diff. Listen to Brainbuster, the Brits with FM on CB have almost exactly the limiter clipping folklore we do.

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:uj9n1385rjkv89@corp.supernews.com...
> Dick, you missed a good post in another group. Someone bought some FRS
> radios and then got rid of 'em. The new ones aren't nearly as loud, but
the
> audio is good. The other two he bought (and got rid of) were so much
> LOUDER, but distorted. Hmmm ... care to bet someone turned the
deviation
> way up on it? Yepper, even though the discussion is about am modulation
and
> I mentioned fm, I have a feeling you just might be on to something ...
there
> really isn't any 'free lunch'. If it sounds too good to be true ...
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:2x4Z8.33775$lu6.1914816@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "Oris" <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message
> > news:f7a9c6ac.0207161807.5c92afcd@posting.google.com...
> > > Does anyone know the modulation mod for this radio. I read some
where
> > > that all I would have to do is cut R 247. I am not really
interested
> > > in everything that this radio will do. How ever the modulation
> > > limitors that they put in new radios today truly suck. Every radio
> > > that I took the limiting diode, transistor, and resitor or what ever
> > > they use to limit modulation truly sound good. So when I get this
new
> > > radio on the air I want it to sound good. I have a D-104 silver
eagle
> > > that I'm going to add to the radio. So after the modulation mod this

> > > thing will probly rock on.
> > > 73's
> > > Oris
> >
> > Oris, it is like this. Limiters do not limit modulation because that
is
> > limited by the laws of physics. They limit crap. In addition, they
will,
> > if left alone, raise your effective talk power so cutting it will not
help
> > a blessed thing.
> >
> > With all due respect would you recognize good audio if you heard it?
> >
> > Dick N&#65533;BK
> >
> >
>
>

1425. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 09:36:00 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d353169.1684000@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130453

In <4046-3D352AD4-3@storefull-2215.public.lawson.webtv.net>,
dgose@webtv.net (Dale) wrote:

>Hello,
>
>I don't mean to be rude, so humor me, ok? Does this group actually ever
>just discuss CB Radios without getting into 50 arguments about how it's
>probably illegal? Like.. if you're running a Wilson.. you're running
>power.. I have seen similar statements... when someone asks a simple
>question.
>
>There are endless strings of posts arguing about things, but most of the
>time when a legitimate question is asked, it get's quickly buried
>because of all the arguing! When I asked questions on here, for every
>legitimate answer, I got at least one or two smart alec ones (sometimes
>even emailed to me!)
>
>I truly enjoy my CB. I have played CB for over 20 years and hopefully
>will til the day I die. I believe CB Radio fills a niche that will
>never be filled by something else. It's still that "neighbor across the
>back fence" sorta thing. I am disabled now, and I spend a lot of time
>on the radio talking, and have made many new friends, young and old on
>the radio. My CB has brought me help when broken down, has let me get
>help for others... and give directions to truckers. I can talk to
>friends in the next county without long distance charges. Do I run
>illegal equipment? No.. I just recently sold my old Realistic Navaho and
>bought a box stock older President Madison with Sideband, even use a
>stock handmic. My base antenna is an Antron, and in my car, I run a,
>once again, box stock Cobra 148 hooked to a Wilson 1000. Why? I liked
>the way the Wilson gets out, and the combination works well for me on
>sideband.
>
>I started reading this group hoping for general conversation about CB's,
>and maybe helping some of the newer fella's with what I knew... guess
>that doesn't happen much here.. too busy arguing..
>
>Do you know of any discussion groups that are more "CB Friendly" instead
>of just Ham's putting down CB'ers or is it a lost hope? By the way, I
>have nothing against Ham Radio's or the people who play them, I just
>happen to love my ol CB.
>
>Thanks for listening, and God Bless...

You are not the first to express those feelings. I started in this
newsgroup a while back with the same intentions you have. But at that

time any talk of legal operation was met with smart-assed and degrading remarks, constant harassment to get me to buy an amp or modified radio, and even some email offers by people trying to sell the same. The fact is that if you wanted to talk about legitimate CB related topics you would get flamed right out of the newsgroup. The illegal operators had turned rec.radio.cb into a communication hub for freebanding and amplifier discussions and sales.

But a few of us didn't get chased off. Those of us that chose to tough it out have been harassed, threatened, stalked, forged, and accused of everything under the sun -- including child molestation -- in their attempts to intimidate us. The profanity was worse than anything on Usenet, and some major ISPs dropped rec.radio.cb just because of all the abuse. It was nothing short of a nuclear flame-war.

Now what you are witnessing in this newsgroup is the last of the hard-core illegals hanging on by their fingernails. The profanity is almost nonexistent, the spamming is a small fraction of what it was at it's peak, and a conversation about CB radio -- even LEGAL CB radio -- can actually occur without excessive noise from the antisocials. But just like anything else in life, this newsgroup is only what people make out of it. You can help shape it into a decent newsgroup by hanging around and making positive contributions. Walk away and you are giving a green light for the bad elements to return.

BTW, I would much like to see more talk about the communication side of CB radio. This is something that has been ignored in favor of 'swang', 'bird watts' and 'channel mods'. From your post I gather that communication is your primary purpose for using a CB, and I think your contributions might be a welcome change of pace around here, at least by me. Please think about it before you write the group off completely.

1426. Re: Spam???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 09:48:50 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207170148.ef60477@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130455

voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<22a5e694.0207162015.418793bf@posting.google.com>...
> From: The Landshark (Landshark@TheLandshark.Com)
> Subject: Re: this used to be a really neat CB site
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Date: 2001-05-22 19:18:06 PST
>
> Careful, they might brand you.
> By the way, my sig is a different recipe, I
> think I'll change it every 2 weeks.
>
> The Landshark

I like spam,especially pan fried with some scrambled eggs and on toast,mmm mmm.

1427. Re: "Freebanders Promise" Tile Coaster - SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 09:52:16 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207170152.a96253a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130456

voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<22a5e694.0207161845.28f5e964@posting.google.com>...
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20020716170736.247$Tl@newsreader.com...
> > Still selling those make believe tringets?
>
> Yes indeed, and the money looks pretty real to me. - A
>
> ---
>
> "Freebanders Promise" Tile Coaster
>
> All AKCers will enjoy this satiric jab at pirate ops: Neville
> Chamberlain holding treaty containing freebanders promise that they
> will not go above 28 mHz!
>
> Ceramic tile coaster is 1/6 inch thick. Felt pads protect your tables
> and countertops. Dishwasher safe.
>
> $5.50 each
>
> See it at....
>
> http://www.cafepress.com/cp/members/products/editproduct.aspx

I'm still waiting for the toilet paper with Boobner's face on it.

1428. Re: Q re. Debco DF-1005 Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 09:59:11 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207170159.a465096@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d34ec9f.2811775@news.cet.com>...
> In <Pine.A41.4.05.10207161834060.34822-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca>, "Ian
> W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote:
>
> >
> >I have some questions about this item. Have other users of this
> >newsgroup assembled and used this instrument? The Debco web site said
> >that the DF-1005 Carrier Phase Radio Direction Finder operates in the HF
> >to 1 GHZ range. What specific frequencies are covered, and are there any
> >gaps (e.g. cell phone bands)? What are the step sizes or increments in
> >each band covered? Can I use this instrument to home in on 43-49 MHz
> >cordless phones? Some sample frequencies are 46.610, 43.970,
> >and 44.460 MHz. Does this device connect to a scanner, or does it
> >incorporate its own radio receiver? Do you dial in a specific frequency
> >and then the DF-1005 tells you where to travel to get to the transmitter
> >on that frequency (if any)? Can you find CB transmitters using this
> >device? Assuming that your target receiver is transmitting audio, do you
> >hear the audio being transmitted at the same time that you DF (fox
> >hunt) the transmitter?
> >
> >Note: I live in an area where it is legal to monitor cordless and cell
> >phones, as long as other conditions are met.
>
> DFing cordless phones? Why not DF baby monitors, too? Man, forget
> about those "other conditions", you are begging for some serious
> stalking charges here, bud.

Hehe,yeah Sparkles,you and your magic riflescope ought to know a lot
about stalking eh?

1429. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 10:09:53 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d353ac5.4079938@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130458

In <20020717052600.03301.00001020@mb-bh.news.cs.com>,
eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) wrote:

<snip>
>I agree with regulation, but certainly not overkill. Putting a Uniden HR-2510
>that covers the entire 10m band in all modes on the "illegal list" is certainly
>overkill when a FT-840 can be modified more easily for extended coverage. Now a
>Galaxy or Connex that covers only the top 315 KHz of the 10m band in AM/FM
>only? Quite another story. Like I said, both sides of our discussion have
>validity.

My point was that all the radios you listed are much, MUCH easier to
modify for hundreds of channels than, say, the TRC-9. Yes, that is an
extreme example. But there are many certificated CB radios on the
market that are very difficult to mod for channels because of the PLL,
or the tight VCO range, etc. So it is certainly possible to make a
quality legal-40 radio without making it easy to mod. And I think that
was the point of regulating these psuedo-CB/10m "exports".

>>Uniden used to make some good quality stuff like the PC-122, but
>>lately their quality has been a bit lax, IMO.
>
>I replaced my niece's PC-122 with a TRC-485. I have to say that the receive on
>the Grant's pretty tough to beat. I judge radios on their receive sections,
>since all transmitters s/b 12 watts antway.

No doubt. There are too many radios that have terrible channel
seperation. Sensitivity is usually pretty good on most, but some of
the noise-blankers are useless. It would be easier just to put a tone
control on the output -- a parametric EQ would be even better cause
you can null as well as peak. These are simple circuits, it's too band
they are not used where they are desperately needed.

>>>>Actually, I don't either. I understand that rule is going to be lifted
>>>>when the international stuff gets revamped.
>>>
>>>Unfortunately, I doubt it. The ITU treaty will likely go away...but I'll bet
>>>dollars to donuts that the 155.3 mi. limit remains intact.
>>
>>Hard to tell. I guess we'll see.
>
>Yeah, I hope you're right though.

Actually, I don't want to see the HF amateur bands lose the code
requirement. I guess it doesn't matter tho, because I am going to get
the license anyway and I plan to do CW almost exclusively. With a

Q-multiplier I can get a signal narrowed down to less than 10 Hz, and
if that doesn't cut through a pileup then I'll just quit ;-)

>>>>You misunderstood: I was saying that the band is no longer what the
>>>>FCC intended because of the people that have trashed it. I happen to
>>>>be a CB hobbyist myself, but I don't use amps, I don't harrass other
>>>>CBers, I don't curse on the air, I don't splatter my signal across the
>>>>band, etc.
>>>>
>>>>And I am a CBer, not an "11-meter hobbyist".
>>>
>>>Cool, me too...but I AM a CBer AND an "11-meter hobbyist."
>>
>>Do you operate illegally?
>
>Sure do. 0:-)

What a shame.

>>Well, I'll tell ya what: If I'm supposed to show respect to some moron
>>that keeps stepping on everyone's signal just because it 'might' turn
>>him around from the dark side, then don't expect flowers when Elmer
>>Appreciation Day rolls around.
>
>I hear you, but if someone tells you that they're an 11-meter hobbyist, don't
>immediately read him the Part 95 riot act either. I truly don't see a problem
>with someone who works DX on the legal 40 at legal power levels. That said, I
>generally like to lead with "Like that? Lemme show you what you can do with 100
>watts on 10 or 15." rather than "You're a criminal or keyclown." I find that it
>gets much better results in the real world. (Again, not directed specifically
>at you.)

That's your style, not mine. It doesn't impress me when someone says
they are an '11-meter hobbyist' when it is just a euphamism for the
sometimes derogatory term 'CBer'. But I feel that the term '11-meters'
implies the CB plus other nearby freqs that are illegal. It also
implies that the CB is a license-free amateur band, which it is not.
That is why I make the distinction. I don't care if anybody agrees
with me, just as long as they understand what I am saying and why.

And like I said before, I don't have a problem with DX on the CB. I
believe the restriction was a cold-war security precaution, but that
war is long gone and I don't understand why the restriction still
exists. Regardless, while it exists I won't ignore it. In fact, if it
continues after the treaty is tossed, I will probably help any group
that tries to get the restriction removed (using legal methods, of
course).

1430. wanted JB12 or JB150 Modulators

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 10:29:43 UTC
From: Snowqueen <snowqueen@hotpop.com>
Message-ID: <rGbZ8.2266$Ga1.683620@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 130459

Please e-mail me if you have either the JB12 or JB15/150
for sale.
thanks

1431. Re: Q re. Debco DF-1005 Direction Finder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 10:34:50 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3547e5.7440250@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner

In <57ce2c1e.0207170159.a465096@posting.google.com>,
dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com (dr_sinister), a.k.a.
user-1120jjv.dsl.mindspring.com wrote:

<snip>
>Hehe,yeah Sparkles,you and your magic riflescope ought to know a lot
>about stalking eh?

>> plonk <<

1432. wanted JB12 JB15/150 modulator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 10:44:18 UTC
From: Snowqueen <snowqueen@hotpop.com>
Message-ID: <6UbZ8.2280$Ga1.687916@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 130461

Please e-mail me if you have either blackcat modulators for sale.
thanks
Snowqueen

1433. Re: Lou

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 11:34:50 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D35565A.5345@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 130463

Brainbuster wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2984F5.2D17@worldlynx.net...
> > Scott Sixnine wrote:
> > >
> > > Did you know if someone didn't supply this information,
> > > someone else would? You're just upset that you're not
> > > the one on the receiving end of the checkbook.
> >
> >
> > That's what the purveyors of kiddie porn think too....
> ==
>
> True... and the shops that sell cigarettes, fireworks and lighter fuel to
> children.
>
> But we are talking of something rather different here.
> Last year, a few doors from where I now live, a father arrived home to find
> his 15 year ols girl unconscious. She DIED from sniffing lighter fuel. A
> few years back, another child on the next street died the same way.
> The police still cannot find who ILLEGALLY sold fuel to children... but the
> local store trader seems to have been "run out of town" for that one.
>
> Every year, children get killed and seriously injured by fireworks... and
> fireworks get "posted" through doors in the UK.
> And, have you seen the death rates attributed to cigarettes. Most smokers
> start as children and some traders will sell to children in uniform, on
> their way to school.
>
> How many die as a result of illegal CB?
>
> Children suffer for the rest of their life as a result of what they are put
> through by perverts trading in the pictures and films.
> As much as I dislike what Lou F. has done to CB, It really does not
> compare wilth what is done to children.

Maybe not in magnitude, but the principle is the same.

Prostitutes are prostitutes, whether they are peddling sex, drugs, or
illegal CB equipment.

Dave
"Sandbagger

1434. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 12:32:50 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D3563F2.337D@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 130462

Dale wrote:
>
> Hello,
>
> I don't mean to be rude, so humor me, ok? Does this group actually ever
> just discuss CB Radios without getting into 50 arguments about how it's
> probably illegal? Like.. if you're running a Wilson.. you're running
> power.. I have seen similar statements... when someone asks a simple
> question.

Unfortunately, there are a certain percentage of "contributers" on here that, for some reason, cannot differentiate discussions about antennas, feedline losses, grounding, and general performance enhancements, without having to include all sorts of illegal modifications, which usually sets the stage for the digression of the thread into a flame war over what's legal and who-has-what-right-to-do-what-whenever.

> I truly enjoy my CB. I have played CB for over 20 years and hopefully
> will til the day I die. I believe CB Radio fills a niche that will
> never be filled by something else. It's still that "neighbor across the
> back fence" sorta thing.

25 years ago, I would have agreed with you. Today, it's tough to find an area where people just get on and TALK to each other in an easy going, carefree manner, without roving bands of juvenille foul-mouths jamming QSO's, and people with low self esteem, attempting to boost their own social status, by becoming the "channel master". While others cannot truly be satisfied until they've spend every available dollar of their disposable income (And what determines what part of their income is truly "disposable" has led to many marital disputes) on gadgets, amplifiers, noise toys, endless radio mods, and every high tech accessory known to the CB world, just so they can "impress" everyone else on the band, ad-nauseum. They have little of substance to contribute so they instead try to "dazzle" the rest of us with their toys (Which, for reasons unknown to them, have the opposite effect). Then there are the "competition" types. Whether it's the loudest signal, the most watts, or the most DX, these guys let nothing stand in their way of their holy grail. Your carefree little conversations mean nothing to them. You're just a speedbump in the highway to their ultimate goal.

The bottom line is that there are a great many "users" of the 11 meter band who care nothing for the rights of others, and whether incidentally or deliberately, have ruined, and are ruining, the fun of many other people who, like you, just want an outlet to chat with other people, while enjoying a little courtesy and consideration for what is their

hobby as well.

The arguments that you witness on this newsgroup, are borne from the frustration that legal operators are feeling as their once fun hobby is being trashed right in front of them. It gets a little sickening to watch the same people responsible for much of the problem, promoting this stuff as if it was perfectly fine, seemingly unaware or indifferent to the situation that they've created. Many even claim that "Illegal operation doesn't hurt anyone", and that it's a "victimless" crime, compared to things like jaywalking and speeding. I guess ignorance truly is bliss in their case.

> I am disabled now, and I spend a lot of time
> on the radio talking, and have made many new friends, young and old on
> the radio. My CB has brought me help when broken down, has let me get
> help for others... and give directions to truckers. I can talk to
> friends in the next county without long distance charges.

That's getting harder to do, with the band being awash with bleedover from some local yahoo running a screwdrivered splatterbox, feeding a class C amplifier, busily attempting to outgun another local yahoo with a similar setup. Then to have your conversation interrupted by another jerk that can't say one sentence without some foul word in it.

There are many that have stated that it's not that way all over, and that's probably true. I've found that in areas with a lot of activity, there are the most malcontents and illegals. Other areas, you can spin the dial and not hear anyone. It's hard to find that balance of activity without the idiot factor.

> Do I run
> illegal equipment? No.. I just recently sold my old Realistic Navaho and
> bought a box stock older President Madison with Sideband, even use a
> stock handmic. My base antenna is an Antron, and in my car, I run a,
> once again, box stock Cobra 148 hooked to a Wilson 1000. Why? I liked
> the way the Wilson gets out, and the combination works well for me on
> sideband.

That's great. Your setup is perfectly fine. I have radios dating back to 1965 that I run when I feel nostalgic. I have stuff from the 70's, 80's and 90's. It's all part of the fun.

>
> I started reading this group hoping for general conversation about CB's,
> and maybe helping some of the newer fella's with what I knew... guess
> that doesn't happen much here.. too busy arguing..

It's really a shame, but as others have pointed out, many of the guys who make it their business selling illegal equipment and providing illegal modifications, are starting to feel the heat, and do not like the exposure that their presense has provided. Many have left rather than risk USENET battles, bringing the eyes of the FCC on to their activities. Hopefully, if the main topics of conversation can be things

other than a "How-To" of illegal mods and amps, the level of civility will improve. There are many topics that are common to all CBers; Antennas, TVI problems, Improving station efficiency, etc. And there are PEOPLE topics; colorful stories about interesting and memorable people that have made the CB experience that much more fun. I know I have a few.

I guess it's up to us to set the example.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1435. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 12:44:53 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D3566C5.1A0B@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 130464

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3D340BAE.6DFF@worldlynx.net...
>
> ** el snippo **
>
> > Just like, thanks to illegal CB use, a ham cannot legally purchase an
> > amplifier with 10 meters already enabled, without showing proof of
> > license, hams will once again have to deal with the consequences of
> > illegals using ham gear to further their activities. If this means less
> > selection of equipment for 10 meters, then so be it.
> >
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> >
>
> How about AM or 10 meter coverage, not both.
>

Radio Shack tried that with their HTX-100. It was a CW and USB only ten meter rig which, ironically, COULD be opened up for 11 meter frequency coverage. Because of the absense of CB-popular modes, that radio was never a top seller in the illegal radio market. Unfortunately, it didn't have much of a following in the legal 10 meter market either, so it was discontinued.

The irony here is that many hams like AM and FM, and would use those modes on 10 meters. So by removing those modes, you not only turn away the illegals, you also turn away many of the people who could legally use the radio.

The people who make the 10 meter only rigs, know full well who is buying those rigs. The sales to hams alone would not justify continued manufacture. These rigs are simply not "good enough" in receiver performance, and lack many features of a good HF rig, for them to be very popular with hams, except as a "second set" or for the car, that they don't have to worry about.

Removing the AM section altogether (Just FM and SSB) and removing the microprocessor code that includes the 11 meter tuning tables, would probably satisfy the FCC. But it would kill the sales of the radio, and then what's the point of making it?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1436. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 12:58:00 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D3569D8.456F@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 130465

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Hey Hall, do you believe Kevin's claims concerning all 27 in a federal
> court since December thanks to his efforts? That WAS the subject matter,

For the record, if you boil away all of the hype, fluff, pandering, spin doctering, posturing, and fingerpointing, what Kevin essentially said was that he participated in evidence gathering that led to the citing of 27 people. He never claimed that they were all in the court system, he never claimed to have directly participated in the arrest and/or prosecution of said illegals. That's it. I had no difficulty discerning that from what he posted. Unlike you, I don't get hung up on nuances, and instead focus on the meat of the matter.

And you're still as predictable as ever.......

And that's it from me.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1437. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 13:21:47 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D356F6B.82F2D887@qnet.com>
Source record: 130466

Hello Dale:

Just like anything else, for the good stuff you have to did a little to find.

Franks (Yeti) little message there is quit a kicker, especially when he just said he wanted the CB Radio Service to be terminated, as he posted as Aaron Voobner.

Anyway yes, the CB Radio Service is a great help to our society, and has help millions of Americans, and other countries communicate in a inexpensive way.

There are plenty of good technical discussions and such, again you have look a little.

There will always be Hams bad mouthing the CB'ers, ands CB'ers bad mouthing the Hams, I am sure thats a FCC rule somewhere. But as with the bad, there is the good. I really think that Ham Radio is a great service, and has great people in the ham radio ranks. As you read the mail here over some time you will see. But I do enjoy the friendly people in both services.

Take care and hope to see around.

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from the fillin station.

Dale wrote:
>
> Hello,
>
> I don't mean to be rude, so humor me, ok? Does this group actually ever
> just discuss CB Radios without getting into 50 arguments about how it's
> probably illegal? Like.. if you're running a Wilson.. you're running
> power.. I have seen similar statements... when someone asks a simple
> question.
>
> There are endless strings of posts arguing about things, but most of the
> time when a legitimate question is asked, it get's quickly buried
> because of all the arguing! When I asked questions on here, for every
> legitimate answer, I got at least one or two smart alec ones (sometimes
> even emailed to me!)
>
> I truly enjoy my CB. I have played CB for over 20 years and hopefully
> will til the day I die. I believe CB Radio fills a niche that will
> never be filled by something else. It's still that "neighbor across the

> back fence" sorta thing. I am disabled now, and I spend a lot of time
> on the radio talking, and have made many new friends, young and old on
> the radio. My CB has brought me help when broken down, has let me get
> help for others... and give directions to truckers. I can talk to
> friends in the next county without long distance charges. Do I run
> illegal equipment? No.. I just recently sold my old Realistic Navaho and
> bought a box stock older President Madison with Sideband, even use a
> stock handmic. My base antenna is an Antron, and in my car, I run a,
> once again, box stock Cobra 148 hooked to a Wilson 1000. Why? I liked
> the way the Wilson gets out, and the combination works well for me on
> sideband.
>
> I started reading this group hoping for general conversation about CB's,
> and maybe helping some of the newer fella's with what I knew... guess
> that doesn't happen much here.. too busy arguing..
>
> Do you know of any discussion groups that are more "CB Friendly" instead
> of just Ham's putting down CB'ers or is it a lost hope? By the way, I
> have nothing against Ham Radio's or the people who play them, I just
> happen to love my ol CB.
>
> Thanks for listening, and God Bless...

1438. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 13:44:06 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d3572e2$0$13949$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130467

True to form TwistyBoy. Do you even know what testicular torsion is? This response proves that you don't.

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote:

Ok, let's run with that. You are fond of referring to me as "testicular torsion." Now, unless you are a homosexual, concerning yourself with my loins would not be a cb related topic, certainly not something a heterosexual would concern himself with, so based on your continual repeating of the phrase, we can say all indicators point to you being an ignorant hammie not educated in the ways of the FCC that governs the hobby you profess to policing, a liar, and a homosexual who appears enamored with my nutz. That would make you a three time loser, and remember, they aren't insults since it's true.

1439. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 13:47:14 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d35739e$0$13953$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130468

"Richard McCollum" <rmcollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> Kev, you want to read that one more time. The band 25.5 MHz-25.67 MHz is
> reserved. It is no longer secondary to anything (never really was, it was
> assigned to a service with the good sense to shut up until Radio Astronomy
> became a legal 'Service' in its own right).

I confused two issues, you are right it did say kHz. I was reading part of another web page pertaining to this subject that reserved the 25000-28000Mhz band for radio astronomy.

Kevin, WB5RUE

1440. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 13:47:59 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d3573cb$0$13955$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130469

I'm sure that if you weren't anonymous Moped you'd not be talking like this.

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020716171832.998$NX@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>Yeah, and I'm sure he'll put Radio Astrology in there too an just plane
> > ignore that it's illegal to transmit on frequencies that you are not
> > specifically allocated.
> >
> > Kevin, WB5RUE
> >
> And you'll say you'd never be near anyone selling that diet snake oil.
>
> Until I remind you that your fat ass wife takes it.
>
> --
> Go #40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

1441. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 13:49:17 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d357419$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130470

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020716172511.680$2l@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>Well, for one thing TV Channel 2 sits right in the middle of that band.
> >
> When do you know you're running too much power,boy muenzler?
>
> Should I quote you?

What does this have to do with what I said, Mopy?
Sure, quot me if you can get the quote straight. Do it before you take that
swig of Methanol.

1442. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 13:52:28 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d3574d8$0$13956$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130471

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D341F76.5906@worldlynx.net...
<snip>
> Try as he might to claim differently, his mannerisms and thinking style
> is strangely reminiscent of a typical whiney, disenfranchised
> 20-something, who really needs a 4 year stint in the military to make a
> real man out of him.

<snip>

> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

I would just love to have this boy in my company in the Marines! He'd be
crying for his mommy after the first day!
No, Twisty, I'm not an active duty Marine any more so you don't have to be
afraid.

Kevin, WB5RUE

1443. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 13:55:44 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d35759c$0$13955$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130472

This "debating" tactic is known as "tit for tat." No, Twisty, it has nothing to do with female anatomy. It's commonly used by those who have no intellectual argument to contribute.

Semper Fi!

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:12616-3D343C42-59@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> And I'm certain by now, Muenzler, that one of the malcontents have taken
> pity on your ignorance and clued you in on your erroneous statements
> (read: MORE lies) concerning lawyers employed by the FCC and their
> capacitance within. Like I said, you don't even have the decency to own
> up when you muff up. I think it's one of several maladys you suffer
> from. You don't like to be wrong, and when you're in doubt, you sling
> shit like a hash cook,,,only problem for you is, there are many here
> among us who actually know about radio FCC law and operations, and
> clearly demonstrated you do not.
>

1444. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 13:56:17 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d3575bd$0$13955$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130473

Please post where I said there were 27 in federal court.

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22855-3D343731-428@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Hey Hall, do you believe Kevin's claims concerning all 27 in a federal
> court since December thanks to his efforts? That WAS the subject matter,
> not me, despite patented losers like yourself that suffer from a
> communication deficiency that are unable to hold topic.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Thought not.
>

1445. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 13:58:11 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0207170558.48169598@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130474

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d353ac5.4079938@news.cet.com>...
> In <20020717052600.03301.00001020@mb-bh.news.cs.com>,
> eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) wrote:
> My point was that all the radios you listed are much, MUCH easier to
> modify for hundreds of channels than, say, the TRC-9. Yes, that is an
> extreme example. But there are many certificated CB radios on the
> market that are very difficult to mod for channels because of the PLL,
> or the tight VCO range, etc. So it is certainly possible to make a
> quality legal-40 radio without making it easy to mod. And I think that
> was the point of regulating these psuedo-CB/10m "exports".

I understand. I was just countering with an extreme of my own. I think
we both know there's a reasonable mid-point where both camps can
co-exist happily. Unfortunately, the lids represent the "squeaky
wheels" and get most of the attention.

> No doubt. There are too many radios that have terrible channel
> seperation. Sensitivity is usually pretty good on most, but some of
> the noise-blankers are useless. It would be easier just to put a tone
> control on the output -- a parametric EQ would be even better cause
> you can null as well as peak. These are simple circuits, it's too band
> they are not used where they are desperately needed.

That's why I like the Channel Guard. It really does make a difference.
Add it to an already hot receiver and the combo's hard to beat.

> Actually, I don't want to see the HF amateur bands lose the code
> requirement. I guess it doesn't matter tho, because I am going to get
> the license anyway and I plan to do CW almost exclusively. With a
> Q-multiplier I can get a signal narrowed down to less than 10 Hz, and
> if that doesn't cut through a pileup then I'll just quit ;-)

Knock 'em dead. My offer still stands. If you need code tapes/CD's or
exam books, just holler. The Extra pool has changed though, so my book
will be missing some material.

> >>Do you operate illegally?
> >
> >Sure do. 0:-)
> >
> What a shame.

Yikes, did I write that! I misread your question as "Do you operate
legally?" My bad. I operate fully within the rules and regs. My Grant
XL outputs 12 watts P.E.P. on the legal 40 only. If you read the time

of my post, perhaps it'll explain some of my fogginess. (Gettin' ready
for work.) Emmmmmmbarrasing!

> >>Well, I'll tell ya what: If I'm supposed to show respect to some moron
> >>that keeps stepping on everyone's signal just because it 'might' turn
> >>him around from the dark side, then don't expect flowers when Elmer
> >>Appreciation Day rolls around.
> >
> >I hear you, but if someone tells you that they're an 11-meter hobbyist, don't
> >immediately read him the Part 95 riot act either. I truly don't see a problem
> >with someone who works DX on the legal 40 at legal power levels. That said, I
> >generally like to lead with "Like that? Lemme show you what you can do with 100
> >watts on 10 or 15." rather than "You're a criminal or keyclown." I find that it
> >gets much better results in the real world. (Again, not directed specifically
> >at you.)
>
> That's your style, not mine.

Indeed it is.

> It doesn't impress me when someone says
> they are an '11-meter hobbyist' when it is just a euphamism for the
> sometimes derogatory term 'CBer'. But I feel that the term '11-meters'
> implies the CB plus other nearby freqs that are illegal. It also
> implies that the CB is a license-free amateur band, which it is not.
> That is why I make the distinction. I don't care if anybody agrees
> with me, just as long as they understand what I am saying and why.

Fully understood.

> And like I said before, I don't have a problem with DX on the CB. I
> believe the restriction was a cold-war security precaution, but that
> war is long gone and I don't understand why the restriction still
> exists. Regardless, while it exists I won't ignore it. In fact, if it
> continues after the treaty is tossed, I will probably help any group
> that tries to get the restriction removed (using legal methods, of
> course).

Cool beans! Altogether, quite reasonable.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1446. Re: The origins of freebanders (long) HeHE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 14:21:34 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <O3fZ8.888$115.71311578@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130479

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:T_5Z8.84881$JU3.35124304@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:12613-3D34E23D-457@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
. Hey,
> > enjoy your summer, you know the town I'll be crashing in for a
> > night...I'll send a carrier pigeon your way, see if Riley can meet us
> > for coffee...I'll buy.
>
> If he were in town, I imagine he would take you up on it! He comes thru
> here once in awhile on his way to Rock Hill, SC.
>
> J

Hey Jerry, I'll bet you that anyone could sit down
and discuss amp and such will RH and it would
be a civil and meaningful discussion, not the bickering
that goes on here.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

1447. Re: S P A M?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 14:21:35 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <P3fZ8.890$115.71311578@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130475

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207162034.13587c04@posting.google.com...
> Moparholic wrote:
> >It looks like a free recipie to me.
> >
> >Did you see a price tag?
>
> So my CB-related items for sale are "spam"?

Spam: Items or services offered for sale, yes you
are spamming the newsgroup

> But a series of OFF TOPIC
> recipes posted by landshark are not "spam"?

No, it was a sig file of a recipe, it was not offered for
sale nor was it a service being offered.

>And professors ads for
> illegal amps are not "spam"?

He was flooding the group with offers twice a day
or more a while back, got his ISP pulled. He's not
posting it every day, twice three time a day like you.

> And Train's ads for illegal amps are not
> "spam"?

Nope, if he offers it for sale and starts posting everyday,
even twice a day then it would be Spam, just like your doing.

> Jeez, I dunno but it sounds kinda hypocritical to me....
>
> -Aaron-

>
> http://www.cafepress.com/cp/store/store.aspx?storeid=antikeyclown

Sound ok to me.

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

1448. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 14:21:35 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <P3fZ8.891$115.71311578@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130476

"Dale" <dgose@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:4046-3D352AD4-3@storefull-2215.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Do you know of any discussion groups that are more "CB Friendly" instead
> of just Ham's putting down CB'ers or is it a lost hope? By the way, I
> have nothing against Ham Radio's or the people who play them, I just
> happen to love my ol CB.
>
> Thanks for listening, and God Bless...
>

There is a Yahoo group that is moderated for
just this reason. Also it's not a "Ham vs. Cb'er thing,
it's a troll thing. These individuals posting illegal this,
illegal that are here only for the trolling, not for the
good of the radio. Just like the cb radio, to which
you are familiar with, there are some here that feel
because they are anonymous, they can Spam, harass,
send abusive e mail, flood the group with repetitive
post saying the exact same thing.

Good Luck and sorry that you couldn't
get the info you were looking for.

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

1449. Re: Spam?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 14:21:35 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <P3fZ8.1726$Gj2.100571191@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130477

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207162008.1accebfa@posting.google.com...
> From: The Landshark (Landshark@TheLandshark.Com)
> Subject: Re: Landshark's "Recipes" Are OFF TOPIC
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> tDate: 2001-05-22 19:18:06 PST
>
> Frank, grasping for straws now, boy,
> I sure hope that nobody's taking an
> evening stroll down your street, you
> are liable to jump out from behind the bush
> and start yelling my name at them.
>
> The Landshark
>
> Oh, by the way, please report my Sig. file,
> I'm sure they would love that.
>
> --
> Roast Prime Rib of Beef
>
> freshly ground black pepper to taste
> 1 tablespoon dark soy sauce
> 1 1/2 tablespoons Kitchen Bouquet
> 1 tablespoon dry mustard (Colman's is best, not as hot)
> 1 8-9 lb beef rib roast, bone in
> 1 cup peeled and SLICED (1/8" thick) yellow onion
>
> Rub the seasonings on the roast in the order listed. Pack the
> sliced onion on the roast. Place the roast on a rack in a roasting
> pan. Roast in a preheated 450F oven for 20 minutes. Reduce the
> oven temperature to 325F and roast 1 hour more. Reduce the oven
> again to 300F and roast about one hour more or until the beef
> registers 115F for rare in the center when tested with a meat
> thermometer. Remove from the oven and allow to stand 15 minutes
> in a warm place. Slice and serve immediately with Wine Sauce for
> Beef and Horseradish Sauce (below).
>
>
> Wine Sauce for Beef
>
> 2 1/2 cups homemade Beef Stock
> 1/2 cup dry red wine
> Salt to taste

>
> Bring the beef stock and wine to a boil in a small saucepan.
> Simmer, uncovered, until reduced to 2 cups. Add any pan drippings
> from the rib roast to the sauce. Strain the sauce and skim off as
> much fat as possible. Add salt to taste. Serve with the rib roast.
>
>
> Horseradish Sauce
>
> 1/4 cup prepared horseradish
> 1/4 cup sour cream
> 1/4 cup mayonnaise
>
> In a bowl, combine all ingredients. Chill and serve with the beef.
>
> This is wonderful with Yorkshire pudding and creamed onions, a
> salad and rolls.
>
>
>
>
> "Landshark Is A Guppy" <sharkhunter@Lloyd_Bridges.com> wrote in message
> news:3b0b0678$1@news2.mibx.net...
> >
> > --and a violation of his ISP's TOS. If enough people complain....
> >
>
> ---

No Aaron, that was a sig file of a recipe, you are
posting something for sale, Spam is items or services for
sale, nice try though.

" A certain individual has brought it upon
himself to Spam the group with advertisements.
PLEASE let his and the posting agency know
about how displeased you are with it."

Abuse@AOL.Com
tosemail1@aol.com
groups-abuse@google.com

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

1450. Re: Spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 14:21:35 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <P3fZ8.889$115.71311578@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130478

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d34f562.5055461@news.cet.com...
> This is funny. First, read the post:
>
> > A certain individual has brought it upon
> >himself to Spam the group with advertisements.
> >PLEASE let his and the posting agency know
> >about how displeased you are with it.
> >
> >Abuse@AOL.Com
> >tosemail1@aol.com
> >groups-abuse@google.com
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Now look at the sig:
>
> >--
> >"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
> >
>
> ROTFLMMFAO!!!
>

I know Frank it is funny, how the probation going?

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

It's ok for Frank to break the law's that damage the environment
and ruin the earth, but if you break the rules on the cb you
should be held to the harshest of punishments.......LMAO!!!

1451. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 14:26:21 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717102621.10288.00000970@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 130480

dale, hang in there bud. this group has some really "educated" individuals
when it comes to ham/cb. ive learned alot from these guys. in between,youll
find some pretty crazy stuff on here.but most of it is just good natured
"funnin" that takes place between guys who share a common hobby.
you will notice a few who are "really bent",but those are going to be anywhere
you go. so welcome to the madhouse,and enjoy!! gunslinger :)

1452. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 14:48:45 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d358209$0$13951$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130481

Twistyboy, you are either trolling along or you are just so dim-witted that you can't read and understand what people have written. I'm beginning to wonder if Dave was right about you.

I can just picture you sitting in front of your little nine inch color television. Your X-Box and PS2 are sitting at your side. The Bevus and Butt-head cartoon you just watched is a rerun that you've memorized. It's late at night, you're by yourself scarfing down what's left of the cold pizza from last night's party. There are bottles of stale beer sitting all over the place and the room reeks of smoke. Your tongue stud is bothering you so you take it out and wash it off with what's left of your beer and you pinch yourself while putting it back in. The buzz from the last hit on your joint is wearing off so you reach over for another hit and DAMN, it's gone out. Your bag is empty so in frustration you take some of the hemp from the seat-cushion of what's left of that antique sofa your roommate got from the flea market last week. You light it up but, DAMN, it's not hemp but horse hair! You think to yourself, wow, that's a new taste. Then you take another drag. The taste reminds you of that summer at your grandfather's farm in Virginia and times with Betty-Sue and her twin sister Betty-Lu rolling in the hay. Yep, you were 16 and they were 12 and two times twelve is 24 so you thought you were ok. Besides you didn't agree with that stupid law anyway. You loved the way that they would stick the straw through the hole between their two front teeth. Those were the days! Then you snap back to the present, frustrated because that horse hair joint isn't giving you the buzz you wanted. What's a Twistyboy to do? He gets onto his Web-TV and reads the CB newsgroup to see what he can twist today.

Kevin, WB5RUE

The above story is fiction. Any resemblance to actual events or persons is purely coincidental and unintended.

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:12614-3D343A6E-156@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...

>
> Was this grandfather the one that was responsible for the abuse you
> chose to share with us that you suffered as a child? And before you deny
> it, yes you said you were knocked around (hit upside the head, or
> something of that nature, I do believe were your words).
> Your abusive grandfather left out a few things...such as those who are
> incapable of learning at all, and therefore feel the rest of the world
> is as handicapped as they.
>

1453. 10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 16:23:53 UTC
From: shitblaster@volcanomail.com
Message-ID: <90a278e1.0207170823.463b4435@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130482

x-noarchive:yes

It's interesting to see the various "letters" the Boobners post about the FCC "informing Customs" not to import, & other 10M rig things, BUT...
The WHOLE story is that the FCC "lost" that case w/customs, & those radios were, & still are legally imported, because it was determined that they still meet all current definitions of 10M Amateur radios, which haven't changed in over 20 yrs. Ranger runs full page ads for the 2950 in QST & CQ regularly & includes their full US name & address in each 2950, 6900 & other "10M" rig's box, making it quite easy to "cherry pick" a big violation of an importer if the FCC actually could. They have dealt with RCI many times before, & know EXACTLY what they are importing & Distributing on a regular basis, & Ranger isn't stupid about it either.
If the FCC wanted, just like amps they could actually amend their rules rather quickly. the "No amp shall be imported or marketed capable of operation on 24-35Mhz" is a REAL, Actual FCC regulation. They could easily put in a " No HF Amature Radio shall have a 40 channel selector switch" , memories in Banks of 40ch, or a Band or other selector swith that is inoperative as sold". This would close the big loophole on all the Galaxy's connexes etc right away. And unlike amps, non of these radios are made here, so they woud severly dry up, & most major distriutors would be out of the 10M radio business, less they would become a target that they Aren't now.
The FCC has never proposed or amended this, they simply hassle a truck stop evey couple of years, & send internal letters & memorandums that aren't FCC Rules... Why???

1454. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 16:44:43 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207170844.2c6a5ac5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130483

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d353169.1684000@news.cet.com>...
> In <4046-3D352AD4-3@storefull-2215.public.lawson.webtv.net>,
> dgose@webtv.net (Dale) wrote:
>
> >Hello,
> >
> >I don't mean to be rude, so humor me, ok? Does this group actually ever
> >just discuss CB Radios without getting into 50 arguments about how it's
> >probably illegal? Like.. if you're running a Wilson.. you're running
> >power.. I have seen similar statements... when someone asks a simple
> >question.
> >
> >There are endless strings of posts arguing about things, but most of the
> >time when a legitimate question is asked, it get's quickly buried
> >because of all the arguing! When I asked questions on here, for every
> >legitimate answer, I got at least one or two smart alec ones (sometimes
> >even emailed to me!)
> >
> >I truly enjoy my CB. I have played CB for over 20 years and hopefully
> >will til the day I die. I believe CB Radio fills a niche that will
> >never be filled by something else. It's still that "neighbor across the
> >back fence" sorta thing. I am disabled now, and I spend a lot of time
> >on the radio talking, and have made many new friends, young and old on
> >the radio. My CB has brought me help when broken down, has let me get
> >help for others... and give directions to truckers. I can talk to
> >friends in the next county without long distance charges. Do I run
> >illegal equipment? No.. I just recently sold my old Realistic Navaho and
> >bought a box stock older President Madison with Sideband, even use a
> >stock handmic. My base antenna is an Antron, and in my car, I run a,
> >once again, box stock Cobra 148 hooked to a Wilson 1000. Why? I liked
> >the way the Wilson gets out, and the combination works well for me on
> >sideband.
> >
> >I started reading this group hoping for general conversation about CB's,
> >and maybe helping some of the newer fella's with what I knew... guess
> >that doesn't happen much here.. too busy arguing..
> >
> >Do you know of any discussion groups that are more "CB Friendly" instead
> >of just Ham's putting down CB'ers or is it a lost hope? By the way, I
> >have nothing against Ham Radio's or the people who play them, I just
> >happen to love my ol CB.
> >
> >Thanks for listening, and God Bless...

>
> You are not the first to express those feelings. I started in this
> newsgroup a while back with the same intentions you have. But at that
> time any talk of legal operation was met with smart-assed and
> degrading remarks, constant harassment to get me to buy an amp or
> modified radio, and even some email offers by people trying to sell
> the same. The fact is that if you wanted to talk about legitimate CB
> related topics you would get flamed right out of the newsgroup. The
> illegal operators had turned rec.radio.cb into a communication hub for
> freebanding and amplifier discussions and sales.
>
> But a few of us didn't get chased off. Those of us that chose to tough
> it out have been harassed, threatened, stalked, forged, and accused of
> everything under the sun -- including child molestation -- in their
> attempts to intimidate us. The profanity was worse than anything on
> Usenet, and some major ISPs dropped rec.radio.cb just because of all
> the abuse. It was nothing short of a nuclear flame-war.
>
> Now what you are witnessing in this newsgroup is the last of the
> hard-core illegals hanging on by their fingernails. The profanity is
> almost nonexistent, the spamming is a small fraction of what it was at
> it's peak, and a conversation about CB radio -- even LEGAL CB radio --
> can actually occur without excessive noise from the antisocials. But
> just like anything else in life, this newsgroup is only what people
> make out of it. You can help shape it into a decent newsgroup by
> hanging around and making positive contributions. Walk away and you
> are giving a green light for the bad elements to return.
>
> BTW, I would much like to see more talk about the communication side
> of CB radio. This is something that has been ignored in favor of
> 'swang', 'bird watts' and 'channel mods'. From your post I gather that
> communication is your primary purpose for using a CB, and I think your
> contributions might be a welcome change of pace around here, at least
> by me. Please think about it before you write the group off
> completely.

Excellent post, Frank. I might also add that there is a recent trend
in the CB world for "10 meter radios" and there have been recent
problems with CBers setting up shop (intentionally or unintentionally)
in the amateur radio bands. I'm not saying that all CBers are doing
this, but there are a significant number. A look at the Copper.com
sales page reveals that fully 80% of all "CB radios" listed are being
called "10 meter radios" now. There is even a message on their forum
from a Copper exec advising a customer who asked about Midland CBs
that Copper has phased out Midland because of low demand for 40
channel basic CB radios. (Imagine that!) So some of the arguments
you're seeing in this NG are backlash from hams who meet with
resistance when trying to "get the word out" to CBers that 10 meters
is not part of CB now.

Regarding someone saying they're running a Wilson and then being accused of running power...it doesn't happen that way. The arguments typically start when, for example, a self-styled "expert" feels the need to impress everyone by dropping into a discussion about antennas and letting them know he is able (and willing) to run some ridiculous amount of power through his antenna system in order to be heard to the exclusion of all others on the channel. You know the type.

Other arguments are the result of longstanding feuds. For example it doesn't matter what I might be talking about, someone will eventually toss an insult my way because at one time or another I disagreed with them about illegal CB operation.

I hope all of this doesn't scare you off. As Frank said, you have the chance to make some positive contributions here. There's a lot about CB radio worth saving. I'd really like to see it go back to being something that everyone can use, rather than just the few with the most power.

-Aaron-

1455. Re: The origins of freebanders (long) HeHE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 16:47:24 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <zahZ8.50558$1q2.3762737@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130484

Landshark <mailto:S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
news:O3fZ8.888$115.71311578@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
<<snip>>
>
> Hey Jerry, I'll bet you that anyone could sit down
> and discuss amp and such will RH and it would
> be a civil and meaningful discussion, not the bickering
> that goes on here.
>
> Landshark

Riley Hollingsworth is actually quite a nice fellow in person. He has a dry, biting
wit that often shows up during his speaking engagements. He is of average build,
often quiet and unassuming, and if he had not garnered the notariety he has, you
would never know he was an FCC employee. He speaks softly, but *can* carry
a big stick--as some people have found out. There used to be some of the awful-
lest mess going on on 3898 KHZ and 14313 KHZ you ever heard. Those freq-
uencies, the last time I tuned across them, were silent. That was due to his efforts.
Truly, one doesn't want to get in his "gun" sights.

Riley would most certainly discuss any aspect of radio. As I recall, that
is not illegal. It is not illegal to have an amplifier in one's possession. If a non-licensed
person had one sitting on a shelf gathering dust, you'd never hear about it unless
you got RH's attention in some other way. I have a Messenger M4V I acquired
from an estate of a ham. It appears to be pretty clean, is Class AB(?)--I never
thought about it until just now, believe it or not--, but it is sitting out in my storage
area. I'm not quite sure what to do with the thing (No, I won't sell it except to
another ham) and I am not sure I can even legally sell it. I was told that
the ham who had it went thru it and added some more filtering, but I'm also told that the
Messengers are pretty good utility amps to start with. Why don't I use it?
I don't need it. One hundred watts on HF does everything I need to do, and
amps draw great amounts of current, require great big wires and solenoids to operate
them--more trouble than its worth. I couldn't resist buying it because I got

it kinda cheap.

Riley would talk about everything you want to--ham radio(you ought to hear the
broadcast quality station he has on HF), CB, illegal amps, 10 meter bootleggers,
and what he intends to do about it. Talking is still legal.

73

Jerry

>

>
>

1456. REALLY NICE PLATE TRANSFORMER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 16:59:04 UTC
From: NI4L <ni4l@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3D35A258.6030605@charter.net>
Source record: 130485

I have a really nice BIG transformer. GE model 81g465 rated 1.1KVA. 115v in 4620kv@750miliamps center tap. so that would be about 2310v x 2 @ 350 miliamps this baby will pull 2 seperate power supplies @ 2.5kv. Will pull like 2 4-400A or 2 4-800. weighs about 65 or 70 lbs really nice. Looking for offers on this ....Can ship if i have to. Location North Carolina
http://chris28681.tripod.com/ here are some other items i have for sale..

--
NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
Made with the same components used by our military forces today
Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/

1457. Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 17:34:25 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207170934.1945ab4a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130486

shitblaster@volcanomail.com (Blaster) wrote:

>x-noarchive:yes

Hmm.

>It's interesting to see the various "letters" the Boobners post
>about the FCC "informing Customs" not to import, & other 10M rig
>things, BUT...
>The WHOLE story is that the FCC "lost" that case w/customs, &
>those radios were, & still are legally imported, because it was
>determined that they still meet all current definitions of 10M
>Amateur radios, which haven't changed in over 20 yrs. Ranger runs
>full page ads for the 2950 in QST & CQ regularly & includes their
full
>US name & address in each 2950, 6900 & other "10M" rig's box, making
>it quite easy to "cherry pick" a big violation of an importer if the
>FCC actually could. They have dealt with RCI many times before, &
>know EXACTLY what they are importing & Distributing on a regular
>basis, & Ranger isn't stupid about it either.
>If the FCC wanted, just like amps they could actually amend their
>rules rather quickly. the "No amp shall be imported or marketed
>capable of operation on 24-35Mhz" is a REAL, Actual FCC regulation.
>They could easily put in a " No HF Amature Radio shall have a 40
>channel selector switch" , memories in Banks of 40ch, or a Band or
>other selector swith that is inoperative as sold". This would close
>the big loophole on all the Galaxy's connexes etc right away. And
>unlike amps, non of these radios are made here, so they woud severly
>dry up, & most major distriutors would be out of the 10M radio
>business, less they would become a target that they Aren't now.
>The FCC has never proposed or amended this, they simply hassle a
>truck stop evey couple of years, & send internal letters &
memorandums
>that aren't FCC Rules... Why???

I disagree that this is "the real deal". The very fact that the regs barring illegal gear are still enforced and still in place contradicts your suggestion that the FCC is powerless or unwilling to halt widespread illegal high power and out-of-band operation. Do "business interests" sway government policies? Of course they do, I don't disagree with that. Can manufacturers and pirates "force" the FCC to allow a defacto 24-32 mHz high power unlicensed radio service to exist? I doubt it. The FCC and the amateur radio community are beginning to wake up to the fact that equipment intentionally designed and marketed for the sole purpose of being used illegally is a serious

and growing problem that effects legal users of the radio spectrum, not only in this country but in the rest of the world. The FCC is traditionally slow to react and respond, but I'm willing to bet that the "illegal" interests will be on the losing side of any future FCC response.

-Aaron-

1458. Q: now what

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 18:46:53 UTC
From: Tazanator <tazanator1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <xYiZ8.551205$352.94279@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 130487

Okay while in germany with the army I bought a nice CB ... it would change from one countries to another countries CB Band thru a programming routine for the entire EU. Over here "thats Illegal" but it does have a US mode (40 ch 4 watts) it does have the FM but it is used in some countries. The deal now is, in the US do I have to throw this away and buy a cobra (that is inferior in preformance in all aspects) or is there some way to get "type accepted"? If the radio remains in the legal limits is it a problem?

1459. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 19:37:12 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <IHjZ8.151469$iX5.7391768@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130488

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020716215800.462$Z3@newsreader.com...
> Dunno,but my two pill portable doesn't have a fan.
>
> But I rarely use it..

what is it a Texas Star? LOL... I was just wondering why he would give this anyone shit about not having a fan on a modulator and his is a class ab1 amp which certianly will get much hotter than a class c modulator.

1460. Re: now what

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 20:07:19 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujbjjjnqrnrkd2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130489

I would ask the FCC. I'd hate to see someone throw away a good and expensive rig cause of some idiot. There are some loons here.
I know that it used to be that anyone can own any Ham equip but you needed the liscense to transmit. That gave people getting there liscense the opportunity to listen to the procedures experienced hams use. Maybe the FCC can grant a waiver (if it is illigal) based on your service to our country.
Sincerly,
Ken

"Tazanator" <tazanator1@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:xYiZ8.551205$352.94279@sccrnsc02...
> Okay while in germany with the army I bought a nice CB ... it would change
> from one countries to another countries CB Band thru a programming routine
> for the entire EU. Over here "thats Illegal" but it does have a US mode (40
> ch 4 watts) it does have the FM but it is used in some countries. The deal
> now is, in the US do I have to throw this away and buy a cobra (that is
> inferior in preformance in all aspects) or is there some way to get "type
> accepted"? If the radio remains in the legal limits is it a problem?
>
>

1461. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 20:10:42 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujbjq07vjre61e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130490

It's simple
RCI Galaxy et al create jobs
ARRL create static and rfi

1462. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 20:20:01 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujbkbeg30bbeea@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130491

Absolutely and I have learned allot from here from both the legit Hams and the freebanders and legit cb'ers.
Ch 19 is the most popular band by far and was the world's first chat room befor the internet. While you find allot of flames trolls and high tempers you do find some of the most freewheeling and intalectually open debates about today's topics on cb rather then Ham. This newsgroup reflects the freedom and character on cb radio itself and I belive is part of it's charm. The only thing missing is the music playing but maybe we can post some zip files.
There are a TON of cb web sites some with forums but nearly as active here.
Sincerely,
Ken
"Dale" <dgose@webtv.net> wrote in message news:4046-3D352AD4-3@storefull-2215.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Hello,
>
> I don't mean to be rude, so humor me, ok? Does this group actually ever
> just discuss CB Radios without getting into 50 arguments about how it's
> probably illegal? Like.. if you're running a Wilson.. you're running
> power.. I have seen similar statements... when someone asks a simple
> question.
>
> There are endless strings of posts arguing about things, but most of the
> time when a legitimate question is asked, it get's quickly buried
> because of all the arguing! When I asked questions on here, for every
> legitimate answer, I got at least one or two smart alec ones (sometimes
> even emailed to me!)
>
> I truly enjoy my CB. I have played CB for over 20 years and hopefully
> will til the day I die. I believe CB Radio fills a niche that will
> never be filled by something else. It's still that "neighbor across the
> back fence" sorta thing. I am disabled now, and I spend a lot of time
> on the radio talking, and have made many new friends, young and old on
> the radio. My CB has brought me help when broken down, has let me get
> help for others... and give directions to truckers. I can talk to
> friends in the next county without long distance charges. Do I run
> illegal equipment? No.. I just recently sold my old Realistic Navaho and
> bought a box stock older President Madison with Sideband, even use a
> stock handmic. My base antenna is an Antron, and in my car, I run a,
> once again, box stock Cobra 148 hooked to a Wilson 1000. Why? I liked
> the way the Wilson gets out, and the combination works well for me on
> sideband.
>

> I started reading this group hoping for general conversation about CB's,
> and maybe helping some of the newer fella's with what I knew... guess
> that doesn't happen much here.. too busy arguing..
>
> Do you know of any discussion groups that are more "CB Friendly" instead
> of just Ham's putting down CB'ers or is it a lost hope? By the way, I
> have nothing against Ham Radio's or the people who play them, I just
> happen to love my ol CB.
>
> Thanks for listening, and God Bless...
>

1463. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 20:27:41 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujbkpprdrsj2fd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130492

Dale I also looked at your prevous posts and you got allot of really good and free advice. And yes we do fight with the Hams ALLOT, on tech stuff there usually are better then most cb'ers even if they are annoying at times. And are very willing to help anyone here who asks.
Sincerely
Ken

1464. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 20:38:15 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujbldk41ckm6cd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130493

And the police will write themselves a ticket if the use a cell phone?
ROFL
"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ah29ddbmt1@quark.scn.rain.com...
> Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > "Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
> > news:ah14u8$f1u$4@quark.scn.rain.com...
>
> >> No, they cannot get permission. The rules ARE the rules, for everyone
who
> >> uses the CB band.
>
> > Just another stupid "What If", but the rules are not the same for
everyone.
> > The police, FBI, ect, can go before a judge and receive permission to
wire
> > tap. Is it a stretch to believe any agency of the government could ask
> > permission to violate a regulation or law "for the greater good"? It
happens
> > believe it or not. Although you are probably right, there is no *need*
for
> > HP to be using amps and they would be hard pressed to prove a need,
> > therefore they would never get permission to do it.
>
> The wiretaps are obtained because there are laws explicitly authorizing
> wiretaps if certain procedures are followed. They are NOT violating any
> regulation or law.
>
> "Greater good" is generally not a valid argument in court. Look at all
> the cases that are thrown out of court because the judge decides that some
> crucial evidence was "illegally obtained". This happens even if it is very
> obvious that the person is guilty - and that it would be very much to the
> "greater good" if the person were convicted and locked up.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

1465. 13.8V@10 AMPS POWER SUPPLY WITH BUILT IN MODULATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 21:13:33 UTC
From: NI4L <ni4l@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3D35DDFD.9010806@charter.net>
Source record: 130494

FS or TRADE MODULATOR WITH BUILT IN 13.8V@10 AMPS POWER SUPPLY
Very nice unit. Run you mobile in the house with this power supply and
modulator combo. 50-60 watt modulator.This thing gives you alot of extra
audio everyones says its really loud!! or just hook up your base rig
and use the modulator for a driver. will run anything 12volts up to 10 amps
with the built in power supply. size 11"W x 12"D x 5"H weighs about 7
or 8lbs has meter for voltage, lights, screw on terminals on the front
to hook up your radio for power.Asking 165.00+shipping or trade what
you have laying around?

--
NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
Made with the same components used by our military forces today
Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/

1466. Review: Superstar 3900HP Gold

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 21:23:33 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujbo2jldkfffba@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130495

About a year and a half ago I bought this radio and so far it has preformed well. It has 6 Bands but frankly I would be happy with just 3. The cb band and the high and low side. With the swr set so the lowest swr is right at ch 20 i get SWR of 2 to 3 at the farthest bands but the adjacent bands swr's are still pretty low at 1.3 or so. This is with a radio shack antenaa and 6 ft of RG-8 coax from same. The radio shack antena was 39.00 with the hood magnet and was the 10M/11M model with the gold coil. I try to avoid buying anything radio related from truck stops. The SWR may be a funtion more of the antena the the radio.
The face is gold colored and to me is butt ugly. I'm not into the whole"huggy bear" look from the 70's. I got mine with the ranger mic with echo and reverb(a complete waste and junk to boot) and the RCI freq meter which does come in handy cause you can set th clarifiers so you recieve and transmit on the same freq. You do get some freq drift mostly when first turned on then stays constant.
During theis whole time I drive to NYC almost every day. Between 65 and 70 on the crossbronx expressway, one of the roughest roads in the country, and so far no problems. I can't complain there.
It was peaked and tuned by exportelectronics and while bleedover is maybe 2 channels I'm not happy with the job they did. My next radio will NEVER be tweaked and tuned. Someday I hope to find a way to set it back to factory specs but I'm not holding my breath.
One more thing. This radio has a roger beep that is LOUD! Moreover there is no off switch! With the extra mic that when new would sometimes stick my friends would hear rapid and loud beeping that drove them nuts. To disable it you have to find jumper j-9 i believe and cut it but make sure since i could be wrong. I cut the jumper about a month after i found out where it is from this newsgroup.
Would I recomend this radio? If your thinking of buying a cobra absolutly. If your looking at Rangers and Galaxies? No Has it performed well? absolutely and is a thousand times better then the radio i got from a truck stop (cobra). If I had to do it again i'd just get the radio and maybe the frew counter. Tweaking and tuning is a waste. If u need more power amps are always easy to get. Also I would not mess around and go for the 108" whip antenna.
Sincerely,
Ken

1467. FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 21:38:17 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujbou5ojcha705@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130496

If the FCC was impotent to do anything about Howard Stern how can they get rid of millions of cb radios?

1468. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 21:57:12 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <YKlZ8.193011$Im2.9717764@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130497

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ujbkbeg30bbeea@corp.supernews.com...
> you do find some of the most freewheeling and intalectually open
> debates about today's topics on cb rather then Ham.

That all depends on which bands (Ham) you monitor. ;-))

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

1469. Re: cb help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 22:31:02 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3D35F03C.ABDFD73F@attbi.com>
Source record: 130498

zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:

> Hi All
>
> I'm a newbee at this please help.......I have a 40 channel Midland
> 77-160 cb and I can't get any sound out of the cb when it hooked up.

Did you check the squelch to see if it was turned down? Turn the knob counterclockwise and turn the volume up a bit, and you will have static if nothing else out of the speaker. If not, then the radio has a problem.

> ..I
> had the cb in a mazda truck and it worked great ...went to hook it up in
> a ford 150 and nothing....

Are you cedrtain that there is power to the radio now? Dumb question, but some people forget to plug things in from time to time.

> question...If you don't have the whip's
> grounded right will the cb make a noise..sometimes the cb works fine for
> a couple of minutes and then nothing..... no sound.... and when I key
> the mic no bars show up on the cb ....is there something wrong with the
> cb or is if just the mic...

Sounds like the basic problem is in the radio if the volume and squelch are adjusted properly. The radio speaker should emit static noise even if there is NO antenna, so that isn't the problem.

>
>
> please help
>
> thanks all
>
> zeek.......

1470. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 22:45:26 UTC
From: akwusmc@aol.com
Message-ID: <3d35f329.71467127@news.tn.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 130499

On Wed, 17 Jul 2002 08:44:53 -0400, Dave Hall
<nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

>>
>
>Radio Shack tried that with their HTX-100. It was a CW and USB only ten
>meter rig which, ironically, COULD be opened up for 11 meter frequency
>coverage. Because of the absense of CB-popular modes, that radio was
>never a top seller in the illegal radio market. Unfortunately, it didn't
>have much of a following in the legal 10 meter market either, so it was
>discontinued.
>

I'm not 'sure' about the reason for the HTX-100 demise, but I have
heard that the HTX-10 was developed because Radio Shack didn't want
people modding their radio for 11 meters.

FWIW, ALL of the reports I've heard about the HTX-100 have been good,
and there are just as many HTX-100's floating around eBay as there are
IC0706's.

73

Anthony

1471. Re: Big Ten Tube Amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 23:08:06 UTC
From: Sam Whoop <whoop@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <qNmZ8.569551$cQ3.53520@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 130500

You know what Aaron Voobner its people like you that make people like me wish there was life on another planet.....and i could move QUICKLY away from here.

Whoop!

--

_____

"Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:5c35b3ab.0206281324.26beca01@posting.google.com...
> Skipp <skipp@pilotNOSPAMPLEASE.ucdavis.edu> wrote in message news:<afi7d8$o7q$2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>...
> > : Aaron H. Voobner <aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > : Skipp wrote:
> > :>: Aaron H. Voobner
> > :>not his real name...
> > : Yes it is, just as Skipp is your real name.
> >
> > No credible person that I've every seen indicated , has ever met you in
> > person or knows you as an off internet/news group person. The Aaron
> > Voobner name is a fraud/fake name used by you, for posting through yahoo.
> > You have no credibility unless proven otherwise... I even claim your web
> > page is made up to try and support your fake name. Prove otherwise to
> > us buck'o...
>
> I am Aaron Voobner and I do exist. The only reason you're steering the
> conversation into a discussion of names is because you realize that
> FCC rule 2.815(b) is very specific about the sale and marketing of RF
> amplifiers (such as the one offered for sale at the beginning of this
> thread). No matter how much smoke screen you lay down, you can't
> wiggle away from that fact.
>
> >
> > :> possibly... because this news group is world wide, and amplifier
> > :> operation on 26-54 Mhz is legal in some locations and class of
> > :> service.
> >
> > : The rec.amateur newsgroups are also worldwide, Skipp. And "amplifier
> > : operation
> > : on 26-54 Mhz " is not legal for any "CB class" service in -any- part
> > : of the world, so that means that the ad is not appropriate for a "CB"
> > : newsgroup.

> >
> > Read the above mr fake... "locations and class of service." Although I
> > don't care to share information with you, I know of and have FCC
licenses
> > for services in the 26-54 Mhz range where use of an amplifier is legal.
>
> What has 54 mhz have to do with the illegal 10 meter amplifier
> advertised at the start of this thread? Not much. Except maybe a
> desperate ploy to wiggle away from S2.815(b), which states:
>
> FCC rule 2.815(b): After APR 27, 1978, no person shall manufacture,
> sell or lease, offer for sale or lease (including advertising for sale
> or lease), or import, ship, or distribute for the purpose of selling
> or leasing or offering for sale or lease, any external radio frequency
> amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any frequency or
> frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz.
>
> > I have seen and serviced many such radio services in the past.
>
> Good for you. How does that apply to FCC rule 2.815(b)?
>
> > Five
> > minutes at the FCC web page will list such available services.
> >
> > "CB" is only a label, also used to describe the original GMRS UHF
service
> > as "Class A CB" and other "classes of service" You never seem to have
> > the complete story... You even appear to lack a working or practical
> > knowledge of CB and related radio services.
>
> This thread is not specifically concerning GMRS UHF or any other
> classes of service. It concerns an RF amplifier designed for operation
> between 24 and 35 mhz that is being offered for sale in contravention
> of FCC rule 2.815(b).
>
> >
> > :>So, advertise the unit as an untested amplifier parts
> > :>source or project to rebuild into legal service or sell
> > :>as an export amplifier.
> > :>
> > :>Your use of the wrong descriptive labels is what got
> > :>you kicked off all the auction sites. Ahhh...'daaahh...!
> >
> > : Urging people to use sleazy dishonest tactics to get around the law?
> > : Just what we've come to expect from you, Skipp.
> > : -A
> >
> > The above comment made by someone using a fake name. I'll wait for you
to
> > report anything I write to a any law enforcement agency.

>
> Why would I? ....unless you violate FCC rule 2.815(b) of course.
>
> > Remember, they
> > will ask you for identification.... ie your real name.
> >
> > Until that time... people will know you are a fraud. It's what we've
come
> > to expect from you.
> >
> > skipp
>
> If a fake name means someone is a fraud, then using the name "Skipp"
> makes you a fraud, doesn't it?
>
> -A

Outgoing mail is scanned and CERTIFIED Virus Free

Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.377 / Virus Database: 211 - Release Date: 7/15/2002

1472. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 23:13:45 UTC
From: dgose@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23574-3D35FA29-7@storefull-2216.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130507

Thank you all for your responses to me. It was so nice to get such friendly responses! I think it is so sad to see people argue and threaten over these issues when there is so much more at stake in the world today.. I really enjoy CB, and I think a lot of other people do too, at least around here they do, and a lot of them with little 30 dollar "yard sale specials"..LOL.. I know only a few who have the Galaxy's, etc, and even they run them courteously. In my area, Lancaster Ohio, we can still have good conversations. Oh sure, there are a few people who run all the "toys" and stuff... but as a rule, you can talk to people all around the city and in neighboring ones easily.. even on Channel 19, there is courteous conversation, of course most of the truckers who come thru this area are on regular runs down US 33 and are familiar with us and will talk to us without telling us to "get off their channel"! We very rarely have a "power war", there is like one teenage boy in the next town who likes to play music and run his little 250 watt kicker, but he's rarely in there.

The point is, it's very nice to see that so many of you really are interested in CB's still. Yes I have gotten good advice on here, when I had problems, and I thank you all for that, but for the most part I thought it had turned into people trying to get rid of CB. Many of you, and I don't know all your names, seem to have so much technical knowledge that it amazes me. I thank you for the invitation to stick around, and I will do so.. I hope we can have good discussions in the future..

God Bless

Dale

1473. Re: Review: Superstar 3900HP Gold

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 23:17:00 UTC
From: jim and steph <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3D35FAEC.ED9BFCBA@optonline.net>
Source record: 130501

65 to 70 on the cross bronx??????

Kenneth wrote:

> During theis whole time I drive to NYC almost every day. Between 65 and
> 70 on the crossbronx expressway, one of the roughest roads in the country,
> and so far no problems. I can't complain there.
> .
> Sincerely,
> Ken

1474. Re: cb help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 23:17:30 UTC
From: dgose@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23574-3D35FB0A-8@storefull-2216.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130506

I just had this happen to me a while back. Does the radio power up, you just can't hear anything? Check it with an external speaker if you have one. On mine, the spade clip on the speaker inside the radio had vibrated loose somehow.. slid it back on and it was fine...

Just another opinion...

Dale

"One Nation.. under God"

1475. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 23:30:17 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D35FE0B.16F9A070@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130502

> The above story is fiction. Any resemblance to actual events or persons is
> purely coincidental and unintended.

I think that's a first hand, first person account. You seem
to know way too much about the subject.

Now we know what you're an expert at.

1476. Re: New Galaxy radios on the way

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 23:33:52 UTC
From: Sam Whoop <whoop@mchsi.com>
Message-ID: <A9nZ8.569665$cQ3.53499@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 130503

I will take TWO!!!!!!!

--
_____

"Aaron H. Voobner" <aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5c35b3ab.0206291448.572c5f69@posting.google.com...
> "Sean" <SPAMBLOCKERbigfoot1@core.com> wrote in message
news:<3d1aa95a$0$1432$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>...
> > Brand new Galaxy model was dropped off today for inspection. Looks VERY
> > promising. Can't remember exact model number, but was either a DX 47 or
DX
> > 48. Uses the newer 6900 board (RG 99, etc...) with no surface mount
and a
> > single 1969 for the final. This drives an all new built in 2 x 2290
linear
> > with a new "high tech" micro-sized cooling fan. Heat sinks are mounted
on
> > the bottom lid and quite miniscule in size. They should not pose a
problem
> > to mounting in tight areas ala the big fins on the 2970 / 6900 / 6300
series
> > with built in amps. Forced cooling through the rear of the radio, which
has
> > vented heatsinks built (not bolted onto) the chassis. This one is AM
/ FM
> > with no freq counter built in. Also does not have a jack to plug a
counter
> > into but does have the newer "sardine can" style digital echo. 4 pin
mic is
> > mounted on the front chassis with an "illuminated" faceplate for
improved
> > visibility of controls at night.
> >
> > All i can tell you right now is that this radio has what many of you
have
> > been waiting for i.e. the board has demonstrated the best sensitivity of
any
> > design currently on the market and the absolutely SMOKES the 2970's,
6900's,
> > 6300 Turbo's in power output (NO comparison).
> >
> > They've got another model coming out shortly that is all mode with
counter,
> > echo and a single 2290 for power. Also has built in fans. Sean

> > >
>
>
> Importation and marketing of these units is illegal pursuant
> to Section 302(b) of the Communications Act and Section 2.803 of the
> rules. Willful violations of the Rules and the Act may subject the
> violator to a monetary forfeiture of not more than $11,000 for each
> violation or each day of a continuing violation.
>
> -A

Outgoing mail is scanned and CERTIFIED Virus Free

Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.377 / Virus Database: 211 - Release Date: 7/15/2002

1477. Re: "N8WWM IS TWITHED'S MASTER" Cap ----SALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 23:34:16 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D35FEFA.6AAEEFB0@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130504

Mope wrote:

> Nope,I don't have neighbors.

Aannoying Aaron will write that you ran them all
away with your BIG RADIO. ;->

1478. Re: Q: now what

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 23:38:03 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207171538.726fe51@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130505

"Tazanator" <tazanator1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<xYiZ8.551205$352.94279@sccrnsc02>...
> Okay while in germany with the army I bought a nice CB ... it would change
> from one countries to another countries CB Band thru a programming routine
> for the entire EU. Over here "thats Illegal" but it does have a US mode (40
> ch 4 watts) it does have the FM but it is used in some countries. The deal
> now is, in the US do I have to throw this away and buy a cobra (that is
> inferior in preformance in all aspects) or is there some way to get "type
> accepted"? If the radio remains in the legal limits is it a problem?

The problem is nothing short of your sense of honor. Are you the type
of person that will do the right thing even when no one is looking? I
won't judge - it's up to YOU to decide.

Also, I am curious what make and model it is and what what frequency
range your radio covers. If it goes above 28 mHz and it was advertised
as a "CB" they might have some explaining to the ITU to do.

-A

1479. Spam: 13.8V@10 AMPS POWER SUPPLY WITH BUILT IN MODULATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 23:50:35 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D3602CD.373FDB42@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130508

If you folks are going to bitch about SPAM,
here's more...

<snipped for bandwidth>

1480. Re: The origins of freebanders (long) HeHE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-17 23:58:13 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gzest10.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 130509
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Wed, 17 Jul 2002 00:12:02 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>> >>"One thing for sure; you can't regulate 'stupid'"
>> > Riley Hollingsworth
>> >
>The quote? He told me that in person about 2 years ago.

A close friend (non-ham) has been a California judge for 20 years or
so, and has repeatedly told defendants "It's a shame that stupidity
is not a crime in this state...." right in their faces. Cracks up
the courtroom staff every time....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1481. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 00:10:22 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d36056f.1722448@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130510

In <23574-3D35FA29-7@storefull-2216.public.lawson.webtv.net>,
dgose@webtv.net (Dale) wrote:

>Thank you all for your responses to me. It was so nice to get such
>friendly responses! I think it is so sad to see people argue and
>threaten over these issues when there is so much more at stake in the
>world today.. I really enjoy CB, and I think a lot of other people do
>too, at least around here they do, and a lot of them with little 30
>dollar "yard sale specials"..LOL.. I know only a few who have the
>Galaxy's, etc, and even they run them courteously. In my area,
>Lancaster Ohio, we can still have good conversations. Oh sure, there
>are a few people who run all the "toys" and stuff... but as a rule, you
>can talk to people all around the city and in neighboring ones easily..
>even on Channel 19, there is courteous conversation, of course most of
>the truckers who come thru this area are on regular runs down US 33 and
>are familiar with us and will talk to us without telling us to "get off
>their channel"! We very rarely have a "power war", there is like one
>teenage boy in the next town who likes to play music and run his little
>250 watt kicker, but he's rarely in there.
>
>The point is, it's very nice to see that so many of you really are
>interested in CB's still. Yes I have gotten good advice on here, when I
>had problems, and I thank you all for that, but for the most part I
>thought it had turned into people trying to get rid of CB. Many of you,
>and I don't know all your names, seem to have so much technical
>knowledge that it amazes me. I thank you for the invitation to stick
>around, and I will do so.. I hope we can have good discussions in the
>future..
>
>God Bless
>
>Dale

I don't think anyone here want's to get rid of CB (despite false
claims to the contrary -- see my first response). You have to accept
that there is going to be some discussion that you will find
disagreeable, but for the most part this newsgroup is becoming a place
for EVERY CBer. The illegals can use other, more appropriate groups,
and they have many forums on Yahoo, alt.radio.pirate, and some private
message boards. This is a place for CBers. So come on in -- the water
might still be a bit chilly, but not so cold you can't enjoy it!

1482. Re: The origins of freebanders (long) HeHE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 00:12:59 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3607ba.2308662@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130511
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In <cuvyxnansvbet.gzest10.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:

>On Wed, 17 Jul 2002 00:12:02 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
>>> >>"One thing for sure; you can't regulate 'stupid'"
>>> > Riley Hollingsworth
>>> >
>>The quote? He told me that in person about 2 years ago.
>
> A close friend (non-ham) has been a California judge for 20 years or
> so, and has repeatedly told defendants "It's a shame that stupidity
> is not a crime in this state...." right in their faces. Cracks up
> the courtroom staff every time....
>
>---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Take it for what it's worth, but to quote Jesse Ventura, "You have the
Constitutional right to be stupid."

1483. Re: Spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 00:18:44 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d360876.2496835@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130512

In <P3fZ8.889$115.71311578@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d34f562.5055461@news.cet.com...
>> This is funny. First, read the post:
>>
>> > A certain individual has brought it upon
>> >himself to Spam the group with advertisements.
>> >PLEASE let his and the posting agency know
>> >about how displeased you are with it.
>> >
>> >Abuse@AOL.Com
>> >tosemail1@aol.com
>> >groups-abuse@google.com
>> >
>> > Landshark
>>
>> Now look at the sig:
>>
>> >--
>> >"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
>> >
>>
>> ROTFLMMFAO!!!
>>
>
> I know Frank it is funny, how the probation going?
>
> Landshark

Not bad at all. Been to any good transvestite/transexual bars lately?

1484. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 00:21:59 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujc2g5o5d9rl8a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130513

I don't honestly know, but I'll take a guess. Howard Stern probably has a ton more money than you and I and could afford to make a case out of freedom of speach or something like that. Just a guess as I'm not financially set enough to make a federal case out of anything! ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:ujbou5ojcha705@corp.supernews.com...
> If the FCC was impotent to do anything about Howard Stern how can they get
> rid of millions of cb radios?
>
>

1485. F/A Bird model 43 with extras L@@K

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 00:37:45 UTC
From: galaxydoctor@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020717203745.12754.00001129@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 130514

this is a bird meter model 43 with a peak reading kit installed and uhf connectors works very very well, also comes with a bird dual line section 50ohms in very good working condition (4) slugs 1 NEW bird 50h 50 watt 2 - 30 MHz. (1) CD slug 2500 watts from 25 - 30 mhz (1) bird 10a 10 watt 25 - 60 mhz and (1) bird 100 watt 25 - 60 mc once again everything is in good working condition thank you and good luck with your bids. IF YOU WOULD LIKE TO SEE PHOTOS OF THE SLUGS EMAIL ME AT GALAXYDOCTOR@AOL.COM THEY DID NOT WANT TO DOWNLOAD.
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1367491425

1486. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 01:34:54 UTC
From: jdavecobb@aol.comatose
Message-ID: <20020717213454.13490.00001252@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 130515

Dale,
I couldn't agree with you more. I'm new to this newsgroup also and I've been pretty shocked by the hostility on this board. I posted one question and got zero responses. Oh, well.

I, too, am saddened by a lot of the junk on the airwaves. I like a little friendly chatter when I'm on the road. It helps pass the time on a long drive and is just kind of fun.

I'm considering getting a used 148 GTL - they seem to be the standard for good AM/SSB radios, so I'm glad to see you run one in your mobile. I have a Wilson 500 on my mobile - I haven't had it long, but I'm impressed with it so far.

1487. Re: "N8WWM IS TWITHED'S MASTER" Cap ----SALE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 01:38:53 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <N_oZ8.246882$vq.13489220@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130516

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D35FEFA.6AAEEFB0@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Mope wrote:
>
> > Nope,I don't have neighbors.
>
>
> Aannoying Aaron will write that you ran them all
> away with your BIG RADIO. ;->

You just said it for him Snott

1488. Re: 13.8V@10 AMPS POWER SUPPLY WITH BUILT IN MODULATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 01:40:10 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <_%oZ8.154616$iX5.7642308@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130517

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D3602CD.373FDB42@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> If you folks are going to bitch about SPAM,
> here's more...
>
> <snipped for bandwidth>

Oh Scott you know they won't they have selective minds anyone against them
they try to squelch.

1489. Re: 13.8V@10 AMPS POWER SUPPLY WITH BUILT IN MODULATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 02:02:32 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207171802.48d8fd5a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130518

NI4L <ni4l@charter.net> wrote in message news:<3D35DDFD.9010806@charter.net>...
> FS or TRADE MODULATOR WITH BUILT IN 13.8V@10 AMPS POWER SUPPLY
> Very nice unit. Run you mobile in the house with this power supply and
> modulator combo. 50-60 watt modulator.This thing gives you alot of extra
> audio everyones says its really loud!! or just hook up your base rig
> and use the modulator for a driver. will run anything 12volts up to 10 amps
> with the built in power supply. size 11"W x 12"D x 5"H weighs about 7
> or 8lbs has meter for voltage, lights, screw on terminals on the front
> to hook up your radio for power.Asking 165.00+shipping or trade what
> you have laying around?

Donnie, forgive me but I guess don't understand what your "modulator"
is and how it can "drive" a CB radio. I'm guessing the 50-60 watts you
refer to is audio. If you put 50-60 watts of audio into the 1st stage
speech amp of a typical CB rig all you will get is distortion. Maybe
you are talking about AM modulating the final tube or RF power
transistor of a CB radio, and in that case you'd only need around 2 or
3 watts of audio to do that, so I don't understand what the 60-60 watt
rating is about. Since it appears you are desperately trying to sell
this thing (whatever it is) to a CBer maybe you ought to give us more
info.

-A

1490. EXTRA! EXTRA! George Is Aaron!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 02:30:44 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D362856.CA5A06FA@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130519

KungFuKid wrote:
>
> "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D35FEFA.6AAEEFB0@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> >
> >
> > Mope wrote:
> >
> > > Nope,I don't have neighbors.
> >
> >
> > > Aannoying Aaron will write that you ran them all
> > > away with your BIG RADIO. ;->
>
> You just said it for him Snott

Hey, Boogerbreath, so you're Aaron, not Sparky.! So now we know.

1491. Re: 13.8V@10 AMPS POWER SUPPLY WITH BUILT IN MODULATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 02:35:15 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D362965.9F715258@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130520

KungFuYou wrote:
>
> "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D3602CD.373FDB42@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > If you folks are going to bitch about SPAM,
> > here's more...
> >
> > <snipped for bandwidth>
>
> Oh Scott you know they won't they have selective minds anyone against them
> they try to squelch.

??????

Lay off the beer/buds/whatever and try to make a coherent sentence.

1492. Re: 13.8V@10 AMPS POWER SUPPLY WITH BUILT IN MODULATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 02:39:51 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <XTpZ8.107761$UT.6935178@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130521

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207171802.48d8fd5a@posting.google.com...
> NI4L <ni4l@charter.net> wrote in message
news:<3D35DDFD.9010806@charter.net>...
> > FS or TRADE MODULATOR WITH BUILT IN 13.8V@10 AMPS POWER SUPPLY
> > Very nice unit. Run you mobile in the house with this power supply and
> > modulator combo. 50-60 watt modulator.This thing gives you alot of
extra
> > audio everyones says its really loud!! or just hook up your base rig
> > and use the modulator for a driver. will run anything 12volts up to 10
amps
> > with the built in power supply. size 11"W x 12"D x 5"H weighs about 7
> > or 8lbs has meter for voltage, lights, screw on terminals on the front
> > to hook up your radio for power.Asking 165.00+shipping or trade what
> > you have laying around?
>
> Donnie, forgive me but I guess don't understand what your "modulator"
> is and how it can "drive" a CB radio. I'm guessing the 50-60 watts you
> refer to is audio. If you put 50-60 watts of audio into the 1st stage
> speech amp of a typical CB rig all you will get is distortion. Maybe
> you are talking about AM modulating the final tube or RF power
> transistor of a CB radio, and in that case you'd only need around 2 or
> 3 watts of audio to do that, so I don't understand what the 60-60 watt
> rating is about. Since it appears you are desperately trying to sell
> this thing (whatever it is) to a CBer maybe you ought to give us more
> info.
>
> -A

Voobsie, if you have the technical knowlege of a billy goat you don't even
want to know what a 'modulator' is. Suffice it to say it is tied into the
same ridiculous and obsolete theory of AM that produces Swang. One more
piece of trash designed to take advantage of the slo-attack characteristic
of AM-AGC while producing garbage. Loud all right, not pretty, but
definitely loud.

For the nonce, you have been un-Bozo*Flushed.

Dick NBK

1493. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 02:40:19 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <nUpZ8.107763$UT.6934896@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130522

Not with a large heat sink and intermittent operation...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020716170916.293$hg@newsreader.com...
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> > It's called having a big enough heat sink and convection!
> >
> Is a fan really needed with a two pill?
>
> --
> Go #40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

1494. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 02:42:36 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <wWpZ8.107772$UT.6936031@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130523

You better belive it. Fusing is always a good idea for safety reasons...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020716185633.285$1r@newsreader.com...
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> > "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:RV%Y8.32682$Iu6.1834760@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > > <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
> > news:3d340b23.30877901@news.cet.com...
> > > > If a component is added that has a low chance of failure, but by
it's
> > > > addition decreases the chance of failure of the component with the
> > > > highest chance, then you have decreased the chance of failure of the
> > > > circuit.
> > >
> > >
> > > > Not if the component you add is unnecessary!
> > >
> > > --
> > > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > > Brian Griffey
> > > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
> > > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > >
> > >
> >
> >>Gentlemen, I give you the fuse. Necessary??
> >
> It likely comes with one,right,Brian?
>
> --
> Go #40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

1495. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 02:44:53 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <FYpZ8.107776$UT.6935899@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130524

But the amp that you are referring to does not have an adequate heat sink.
It also has the heat sink inside a virtually closed box with very little air
flow by convection possible. You are comparing apples and oranges...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/anglecomplete.jpg
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:Y74Z8.182595$Im2.8991526@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20020716170916.293$hg@newsreader.com...
> > "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> > > It's called having a big enough heat sink and convection!
> > >
> > Is a fan really needed with a two pill?
> >
>
>
> The Professor was all over a guy about him not having a fan on a 2x2290
> device amp
> yet his amp disipates more heat than the 2290 amp and yet he say his
needs
> no fan, no wait it is un relaiable No wait... lets see what his excuse is
> next. I heard of an amp cooking itself to death. What is the duty cycle of
> the Skywave?
>
>
>

1496. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 02:57:17 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <h8qZ8.107815$UT.6937232@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130525

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:wWpZ8.107772$UT.6936031@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> You better belive it. Fusing is always a good idea for safety reasons...
>

You have obviously never seen a power supply sacrifice itself to save the fuse :-).

But it is not strictly necessary to the operation of the unit and adds to the probability of a failure. In the loss control or risk management biz it is a question of frequency and severity. You will grant that one will often take a little frequency to avoid severity.

In the early days of TV there was a lot of controversy in the biz over the GE 'gutless wonder' approach (selenium rectifiers, series string tubes, no xfmr) vs the DuMont 34 tube wonders.
The theory that parts not used will never go bad was taken to its extreme by Mad Man Muntz. Sound familiar?

What you really want to do is design the One Horse Shay, it all falls apart at once. Not only is it maintenance-free, it is maintenance-proof.

Dick NBK

1497. Your gov't is becoming quite the pill !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 02:58:02 UTC
From: dj <dougjensen2002@sprint.ca>
Message-ID: <TcqZ8.1000$v1.22678@newscontent-01.sprint.ca>
Source record: 130526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

You're not as free as you say to be... day, by day, by day, it's all slipping away...

Alex Zapatka <alexzapatka@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:acbd886b.0207110955.173519c3@posting.google.com...
> if anyone is interested....
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365902717
>
> i know it will probably be droped by amazon... but i am keeping track
> of email addresses from it and i will contact people to see if they
> are still interested (you can also email me directly... but i dont
> regurlarly check these newsgroups any more... so dont post here)
>
> flames > /dev/nul please

1498. Re: Q: now what

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 03:01:50 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020717230150.02861.00001135@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 130527

>From: "Tazanator" >tazanator1@hotmail.com
> in the US do I have to throw this away >and buy a cobra (that is inferior in
>preformance in all aspects) or is there >some way to get "type accepted"? If
the >radio remains in the legal limits is it a >problem?
If it has AM you will be fine.
The hell with Aaron.
He's an anal retard.

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

1499. Re: Review: Superstar 3900HP Gold

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 03:14:51 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujccl7g0hrpq95@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130528

It's a company truck
:)
"jim and steph" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3D35FAEC.ED9BFCBA@optonline.net...
> 65 to 70 on the cross bronx??????
>
> Kenneth wrote:
>
> > During theis whole time I drive to NYC almost every day. Between 65
and
> > 70 on the crossbronx expressway, one of the roughest roads in the
country,
> > and so far no problems. I can't complain there.
> > .
> > Sincerely,
> > Ken
>

1500. Re: Aaron's troll Prohibited Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 03:22:19 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <LvqZ8.107892$UT.6940696@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130529

Then the circuit was not designed properly to take advantage of the fuse...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:h8qZ8.107815
> You have obviously never seen a power supply sacrifice itself to save the
> fuse :-).

1501. Questions re. strange antenna on roof of gov't building

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 04:31:54 UTC
From: "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <Pine.A41.4.05.10207172230180.44054-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca>
Source record: 130530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner

On the roof of a government building near me there is a strange looking antenna (or at least what looks like one): it looks like a very long downhill ski which was wrapped in an upward spiral fashion around an Indian teepee, with a gap between each spiral turn of the "ski" of one "ski" width. Then the "teepee" was removed and the "ski" was put on some kind of wire mesh or similar frame. What is this antenna for? What could it do? What special characteristics could this antenna have which would make it suitable for whatever task it is intended for?

A note to the trolls and flamers: I have already thought of the possibility that this antenna is used to monitor Indian radio transmissions or make smoke signals; so I scooped you. I am just trying to see whether I am right or wrong about this.

Thanks in advance,

Ian Douglas

1502. Re: 13.8V@10 AMPS POWER SUPPLY WITH BUILT IN MODULATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 06:29:09 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207172229.67a26a0f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130531

"Richard McCollum" <rmcollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<XTpZ8.107761$UT.6935178@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207171802.48d8fd5a@posting.google.com...
> > NI4L <ni4l@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:<3D35DDFD.9010806@charter.net>...
> > > FS or TRADE MODULATOR WITH BUILT IN 13.8V@10 AMPS POWER SUPPLY
> > > Very nice unit. Run you mobile in the house with this power supply and
> > > modulator combo. 50-60 watt modulator.This thing gives you alot of
> extra
> > > audio everyones says its really loud!! or just hook up your base rig
> > > and use the modulator for a driver. will run anything 12volts up to 10
> amps
> > > with the built in power supply. size 11"W x 12"D x 5"H weighs about 7
> > > or 8lbs has meter for voltage, lights, screw on terminals on the front
> > > to hook up your radio for power.Asking 165.00+shipping or trade what
> > > you have laying around?
> >
> > Donnie, forgive me but I guess don't understand what your "modulator"
> > is and how it can "drive" a CB radio. I'm guessing the 50-60 watts you
> > refer to is audio. If you put 50-60 watts of audio into the 1st stage
> > speech amp of a typical CB rig all you will get is distortion. Maybe
> > you are talking about AM modulating the final tube or RF power
> > transistor of a CB radio, and in that case you'd only need around 2 or
> > 3 watts of audio to do that, so I don't understand what the 60-60 watt
> > rating is about. Since it appears you are desperately trying to sell
> > this thing (whatever it is) to a CBer maybe you ought to give us more
> > info.
> >
> > -A
>
> Voobsie, if you have the technical knowlege of a billy goat you don't even
> want to know what a 'modulator' is. Suffice it to say it is tied into the
> same ridiculous and obsolete theory of AM that produces Swang. One more
> piece of trash designed to take advantage of the slo-attack characteristic
> of AM-AGC while producing garbage. Loud all right, not pretty, but
> definitely loud.
>
> For the nonce, you have been un-Bozo*Flushed.
>
> Dick Nï¿½BK

Aha, I appear to have struck upon a subject to your liking and have
received the dubious honor of being un-flushed. Terrific. But truth be

told I am sincerely puzzled by this "CB modulator" business and would appreciate an explanation minus macho posturing regarding degrees of knowledge. What Donnie is peddling exclusively to CBers doesn't look at all like the modulators described in the moldering copy of the 1962 ARRL Handbook setting here on my desk. Is his "modulator" merely an AF speech compressor? If so, 60 watts is an awful lot of AF, unless you're listening to Eminem in a 4-door lowrider Chevy equipped with subwoofers in each door. If your goal is distortion and fried components, why not just run 110 VAC thru the mike jack and be done with it? Or am I missing something? Please advise.

-Aaron-

1503. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 06:39:51 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <XotZ8.1959$cP7.122050854@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130532

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d36056f.1722448@news.cet.com...
> I don't think anyone here want's to get rid of CB (despite false
> claims to the contrary -- see my first response). You have to accept
> that there is going to be some discussion that you will find
> disagreeable, but for the most part this newsgroup is becoming a place
> for EVERY CBer. The illegals can use other, more appropriate groups,
> and they have many forums on Yahoo, alt.radio.pirate, and some private
> message boards. This is a place for CBers. So come on in -- the water
> might still be a bit chilly, but not so cold you can't enjoy it!
>

Yes you might find it chilly, due to the fact some people can't see
beyond what they think is illegal and what is really legal. So it's ok,
there are people that will answer both the legal and illegal aspect of the
conversation, but some people are still trying to say that the mere
discussion or admittance of illegal use
is wrong here.
Please ask away, the worse you can get is what the
previous response was giving, bogus advice.
You seem like you can tell from right from wrong,
without someone else's help, so "Rock On"

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

1504. Re: Spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 06:39:51 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <XotZ8.1958$cP7.122050854@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130533

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d360876.2496835@news.cet.com...
> In <P3fZ8.889$115.71311578@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
> <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
>
> >
> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d34f562.5055461@news.cet.com...
> >> This is funny. First, read the post:
> >>
> >> > A certain individual has brought it upon
> >> >himself to Spam the group with advertisements.
> >> >PLEASE let his and the posting agency know
> >> >about how displeased you are with it.
> >> >
> >> >Abuse@AOL.Com
> >> >tosemail1@aol.com
> >> >groups-abuse@google.com
> >> >
> >> > Landshark
> >>
> >> Now look at the sig:
> >>
> >> >--
> >> >"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
> >> >
> >>
> >> ROTFLMMFAO!!!
> >>
> >
> > I know Frank it is funny, how the probation going?
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Not bad at all. Been to any good transvestite/transexual bars lately?
>

Never have, but that's ok, you keep trying
to prove that. But one things for sure, it's on the
records that you did litter, and get convicted for that.......LMAO!!!!!!!!!

Landshark

1505. Re:Not Spam if he post it once 13.8V@10 AMPS POWER SUPPLY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 06:39:51 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <XotZ8.1957$cP7.122050854@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130551

"NI4L" <ni4l@charter.net> wrote in message
news:3D35DDFD.9010806@charter.net...
> FS or TRADE MODULATOR WITH BUILT IN 13.8V@10 AMPS POWER SUPPLY
> Very nice unit. Run you mobile in the house with this power supply and
> modulator combo. 50-60 watt modulator.This thing gives you alot of extra
> audio everyones says its really loud!! or just hook up your base rig
> and use the modulator for a driver. will run anything 12volts up to 10
amps
> with the built in power supply. size 11"W x 12"D x 5"H weighs about 7
> or 8lbs has meter for voltage, lights, screw on terminals on the front
> to hook up your radio for power.Asking 165.00+shipping or trade what
> you have laying around?
>
> --
> NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
> LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
> Made with the same components used by our military forces today
> Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
> Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
> Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/
>

Good Luck!

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

1506. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 06:48:43 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ah5om5$2ng$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130534

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d3415cd.33607344@news.cet.com...
>
> Yes it does, but by how much?
>
> By how much?
>
> By how much?
>
>
> Pieces of eight... squawk
===

OK, lets take a look at what this thread is about...

> > "Dennis B." <dennisandcarol@attbi.com> wrote in message
> > news:ptnX8.4083$uw.595@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> > Which antenna performs better, one made from aluminum or fiberglass? I'm
> > just curious.
> >
> > Thanks,

Seems to me like the original poster was just wanting basic information, he
did not ask for complicated formulae and figures. I think he just wants to
buy an antenna, NOT design one.

==
> I took apart a helical recently that had about 30 feet of
> #16 wire. Let's just assume for a minute that it was #22, ok? Now if
> #22 has 16.5 ohm per 1000 feet,
==

That is at DC, nobody is trying to put DC up their antenna.
Did you consider skin effect? Thought not.

So, the rest of your calculation was a waste of time, as it was based on a
very basic error at the beginning and so you fell at the first hurdle.

==
> So which do you think is more significant, brain: 1%
==

See above, regarding your failure to observe a basic fact.
Or did you mean to ask "who is more significant, brain or Yeti"?
I feel that is your real issue here.

==
> or 50% due to helical design?

==

Not all fibreglass antennas are helical, many that have been sold over
here were not.

==
> >FYI: The UK still has an antenna width rule, any idea why that is
> >still in place when they removed the supid height and length rules?
> >Maybe they also believe that width makes a difference.
>
> Maybe you can research your implication and see if it has anything to
> do with this discussion.
===

How about looking back at the original question and seeing if great
mathematical formulae was asked for?

The original rules set out stated that the antenna ERP should not be more
than 2 Watts, if the antenna was above about 21' from the ground then low
power (0.4 Watts) was to be used.
You think maybe the rules were made for reasons of aesthetics?

Unlike your Government, who allowed HF, beam antennas and 12 Watts
of SSB, then tried putting a legal distance limit in place... ours placed
limits
on antenna length, width, height and erp, then told us we can talk as far
as we can get. Unfortunately, they found that height rules, while limiting
distance, also caused inteference.

Maybe you have nothing better to do than research rules just for a p*ss**g
contest, but I do. Maybe you can do some research to prove that the RA
(the post office dealt with it in the early 80s) made the rules for some
reason
other than limiting output levels and so distance.

==
> go soak your head a while.
==

What's that about... some kind of stress therapy taught to you by your
"shrink"?
Maybe you should go back and discuss the need you feel to pull everyone
else down so that you can feel better about yourself.
All I did was agree with you that fibreglass antennas were NOT the
better option, and you have to turn it into a p**s*ng contest so that you
can fill the newsgroup with a load of figurework that was not asked for.
It is, after all, a CB newsgroup and your figures were just an attempt to
make yourself look good.

I know a good therapy for you... try boiling your head in oil.
Just an idea.

Brainbuster.

1507. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 06:48:50 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ah5omc$2ng$2@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130535

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ah14nq$f1u$3@quark.scn.rain.com...
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
>
> > Kenneth wrote:
>
> >> Aparently officers talk to truckers on there police radios.
>
> > Good grief, don't you realize that the police radios are not on cb
> > frequencies?
>
> True. But many police departments (especially state troopers) have CB
> rigs in their cruisers.
==

Back in the 80s, there was the belief that our Police listened to CB, but I just cannot go for that. There wouldn't have been much to gain from it as most laws broken over CB were not within their powers to deal with.

There was also a theory that the local RA chap went into the nearby CB shop to listen and get info, but I don't believe that either. He didn't need to listen there, with the tackle he had. More likely that, when in the area, he would go in for a chat and "cuppa" with the Amateur in the shop.

Regards,

Peter.

1508. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 06:48:55 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ah5ome$2ng$3@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130536

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020716172941.730$Ai@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
> > "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
> > news:ah0faf$82i$12@news7.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> > > news:6G6Y8.17895$PI5.408867080@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> > > >
> > > > <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
> > news:3d30a1ac.942403@news.cet.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'm beginning to think that you are just another one
> > > > > > of those anti-American anarchists.
> > > > >
> > > > ===
> > > >
> > > > Hey, Mark... that is funny. Can you remember someone proclaiming
> > "respect"
> > > > for anti-American terrorists who gladly murder 1000s of people they do
> > > > not even know?
> > > > Remember who that was, and what wonderful timing he had with that
> > > > comment?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Get ready to duck!!
> > >
> > Yes it was quite a surprise that he said
> > that, but what the hey, Aaron equates free-banders
> > with Nazi's, so what else is new.
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> Leeland equated freebanders to the 9/11 terrorists.
==

Yeah, he and Frank make a good pair.

==
> He's stuck in an apartment,with a coat hanger for an antenna,so I feel
> sorry for him.
>
> Wish he could learn to use his word wrap,I'm getting tired of scrolling
> sideways while I'm laughing.<g>
==

Don't bother me now... he's in my Sin Bin.
Unless he follows some other "antis" and changes his "nic" to get noticed.

Regards,

Peter.

1509. Re: Magnum Delta Force 10 metre mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 06:49:01 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ah5omg$2ng$4@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130537

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d32acaf.1391524@news.cet.com...
> In <1f2adfc1.0207142353.429df309@posting.google.com>,
> toxic_waste@citizensband.com (toxic waste) wrote:
>
> >I've just been looking at the new Magnum Delta Force 10 meter mobile
> >rig on the Copper site. Anyone got any views on Magnum? It's certainly
> >a very pretty looking rig. Is there a simple mod to convert it to
> >cover 11 meters as well as 10 meters?
> >
> >TIA
> >tox
>
> But be careful: it might be an FCC sting operation....HAHAHAHAHA!!!
> yeah, like THAT'S ever gonna happen!!!
>
===

Specially to someone in the UK.

Regards,

Brainbuster.

1510. Re: Spam?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 06:49:09 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ah5omh$2ng$5@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130538

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020717002755.747$di@newsreader.com...
> voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> >>Roast Prime Rib of Beef
> >
> >>freshly ground black pepper to taste
> > 1 tablespoon dark soy sauce
> > 1 1/2 tablespoons Kitchen Bouquet
> > 1 tablespoon dry mustard (Colman's is best, not as hot)
> > 1 8-9 lb beef rib roast, bone in
> > 1 cup peeled and SLICED (1/8" thick) yellow onion
==

No Spam in that recipe

==
> > This is wonderful with Yorkshire pudding
==

From Yorkshire?
If not, then they are just common puddings.

Just like "Bakewell Tarts" from anywhere else just common tarts.
(no offence meant to ladies from Bakewell - a beautiful
part of the UK).

Regards,

Peter.

1511. Re: Spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 06:54:42 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207172254.3212a2ff@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130539

"Landshark" wrote:
> > "Yeti" wrote in message
news:3d34f562.5055461@news.cet.com...
> >> > >"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
> >> >
> >>
> >> >ROTFLMMFAO!!!
> >>
> >
> > >I know Frank it is funny, how the probation going?
> >
> > >Landshark
>
> >Not bad at all. Been to any good transvestite/transexual bars lately?
>
>
>Never have, but that's ok, you keep trying
>to prove that. But one things for sure, it's
>on the records that you did litter, and
>get convicted for that.......LMAO!!!!!!!!!

I remember when that happened. Frank was supremely pissed. But please note that he adjusted to the situation like a mature adult: he got over it. Some people might have never gotten over it. Some people might have used it as an excuse to be a "bad citizen", diss the government, and urge people to selectively break the law. Next thing you know they're in usenet newsgroups trying to convince people of the merits of bad citizenship (and making utter fools of themselves in the process).

-Aaron-

ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½

1512. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 08:02:32 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D367614.966C4F06@icok.net>
Source record: 130540

Kenneth,
Simple, do away with Part-95.
'Doc

1513. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 09:21:50 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d36853d.21417564@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130541

In <ah5om5$2ng$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
<brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:

><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d3415cd.33607344@news.cet.com...
>>
>> Yes it does, but by how much?
>>
>> By how much?
>>
>> By how much?
>>
>>
>> Pieces of eight... squawk
>===
>
>OK, lets take a look at what this thread is about...
>
>> > "Dennis B." <dennisandcarol@attbi.com> wrote in message
>> > news:ptnX8.4083$uw.595@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
>> > Which antenna performs better, one made from aluminum or fiberglass? I'm
>> > just curious.
>> >
>> > Thanks,
>
>Seems to me like the original poster was just wanting basic information, he
>did not ask for complicated formulae and figures. I think he just wants to
>buy an antenna, NOT design one.

And that is how I responded. I gave him a simple answer. Then you
butted in with something about wire size being inefficient, and I
noted that wire size has little to do with efficiency in these
antennas. That's when you went off the deep end.

>==
>> I took apart a helical recently that had about 30 feet of
>> #16 wire. Let's just assume for a minute that it was #22, ok? Now if
>> #22 has 16.5 ohm per 1000 feet,
>==
>
>That is at DC, nobody is trying to put DC up their antenna.
>Did you consider skin effect? Thought not.

You still haven't come up with ANY figures for your claims, so don't
start begging me for more.

>So, the rest of your calculation was a waste of time, as it was based on a
>very basic error at the beginning and so you fell at the first hurdle.

Not until you show the figures that demonstrate that skin effect makes
a significant difference. Of course that will never happen, just like
it will never happen that you will put up numbers showing a
significant difference in the efficiency of these antennas due to the
wire size, or due to polarity losses, dielectric losses, etc.

>==
>> So which do you think is more significant, brain: 1%
>==
>
>See above, regarding your failure to observe a basic fact.
>Or did you mean to ask "who is more significant, brain or Yeti"?
>I feel that is your real issue here.

...or maybe you can calculate the difference in the resistance of a
wire at DC and 27MHz, and therefore show why it's significant, eh?
Yeah, I didn't think so.

>==
>> or 50% due to helical design?
>==
>
>Not all fibreglass antennas are helical, many that have been sold over
>here were not.

Check this out -- here's my reply to the original post:

>Fiberglass doesn't conduct at all. The antenna is a copper wire inside
>the fiberglass, or wound outside the fiberglass and covered with some
>heatshrink. The problem here is that when humidity/water gets trapped
>between the fiberglass and the copper, it corrodes the copper very
>quickly and thoroughly.

Did I make any distinction between helical or otherwise? No. In fact,
did I make any distinction regarding efficiency? No, you did. Keep
trying, brain.

>==
>> >FYI: The UK still has an antenna width rule, any idea why that is
>> >still in place when they removed the supid height and length rules?
>> >Maybe they also believe that width makes a difference.
>>
>> Maybe you can research your implication and see if it has anything to
>> do with this discussion.
>===
>
>How about looking back at the original question and seeing if great
>mathematical formulae was asked for?

Maybe you can look at the original reply and see if great mathematical
formulae was provided.

>The original rules set out...whine, whine, whine,...

That's all you ever do any more, brain. You just whine a lot. Get over whatever you got, get a life, pull the bug out of your ass, do whatever it takes, but for goodness sake QUIT WHINING!

...aw, the hell with it.

>> plonk <<

1514. Re: Spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 09:27:45 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d368968.22485251@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130543

In <XotZ8.1958$cP7.122050854@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d360876.2496835@news.cet.com...
>> In <P3fZ8.889$115.71311578@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
>> <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
>news:3d34f562.5055461@news.cet.com...
>> >> This is funny. First, read the post:
>> >>
>> >> > A certain individual has brought it upon
>> >> >himself to Spam the group with advertisements.
>> >> >PLEASE let his and the posting agency know
>> >> >about how displeased you are with it.
>> >> >
>> >> >Abuse@AOL.Com
>> >> >tosemail1@aol.com
>> >> >groups-abuse@google.com
>> >> >
>> >> > Landshark
>> >>
>> >> Now look at the sig:
>> >>
>> >> >--
>> >> >"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
>> >> >
>> >>
>> >> ROTFLMMFAO!!!
>> >>
>> >
>> > I know Frank it is funny, how the probation going?
>> >
>> > Landshark
>>
>> Not bad at all. Been to any good transvestite/transexual bars lately?
>>
>
> Never have, but that's ok, you keep trying
>to prove that. But one things for sure, it's on the
>records that you did litter, and get convicted for that.......LMAO!!!!!!!!!
>

> Landshark

And another thing is for sure, sharkie. Your name is plastered all over the transexual/transvestite groups in the archives. I sure as hell didn't make it up! So do you want to go a few rounds with this again? I don't mind at all!

1515. Re: 13.8V@10 AMPS POWER SUPPLY WITH BUILT IN MODULATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 09:29:29 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ZTvZ8.36521$lu6.2081699@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130542

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207172229.67a26a0f@posting.google.com...
> Aha, I appear to have struck upon a subject to your liking and have
> received the dubious honor of being un-flushed. Terrific. But truth be
> told I am sincerely puzzled by this "CB modulator" business and would
> appreciate an explanation minus macho posturing regarding degrees of
> knowledge. What Donnie is peddling exclusively to CBers doesn't look
> at all like the modulators described in the moldering copy of the 1962
> ARRL Handbook setting here on my desk. Is his "modulator" merely an AF
> speech compressor? If so, 60 watts is an awful lot of AF, unless
> you're listening to Eminem in a 4-door lowrider Chevy equipped with
> subwoofers in each door. If your goal is distortion and fried
> components, why not just run 110 VAC thru the mike jack and be done
> with it? Or am I missing something? Please advise.
>
> -Aaron-

Start with the idea that it should never be called a modulator because it doesn't in any accepted sense. It is to make one loud and add that there 'modjulation' don't yuh know.

It is an amp set up in one of several manners to vary its output in step with the audio component of the incoming signal to give that Swang, a non-linear Linear so to speak. Think of it as kind of a jackleg volume expansion circuit applied to rf.

Even among believers in swang this is considered a poor way to get it.

1516. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 09:38:17 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d368a4b.22711597@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130544

In <XotZ8.1959$cP7.122050854@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d36056f.1722448@news.cet.com...
>> I don't think anyone here want's to get rid of CB (despite false
>> claims to the contrary -- see my first response). You have to accept
>> that there is going to be some discussion that you will find
>> disagreeable, but for the most part this newsgroup is becoming a place
>> for EVERY CBer. The illegals can use other, more appropriate groups,
>> and they have many forums on Yahoo, alt.radio.pirate, and some private
>> message boards. This is a place for CBers. So come on in -- the water
>> might still be a bit chilly, but not so cold you can't enjoy it!
>>
>
> Yes you might find it chilly, due to the fact some people can't see
>beyond what they think is illegal and what is really legal. So it's ok,
>there are people that will answer both the legal and illegal aspect of the
>conversation, but some people are still trying to say that the mere
>discussion or admittance of illegal use
>is wrong here.
> Please ask away, the worse you can get is what the
>previous response was giving, bogus advice.
> You seem like you can tell from right from wrong,
>without someone else's help, so "Rock On"

Alrighty, here's a question for you sharkie. Is it legal to advertise
for sale a CB linear in the newsgroup? Or, for that matter, anywhere
in the US? If you guessed 'yes' you are WRONG! rec.radio.cb is one of
the few newsgroups where crimes are actually committed in the posts!

But I totally forgot, you are one of those people that doesn't believe
that the Code of Federal Regulations and the United States Code are
actually laws, do you? Despite all the information that has been
posted to the contrary and can be confirmed by anyone that can read,
do you still believe that it's ok to break laws because they aren't
really laws?

But that's another problem, sharkie. If they are not really laws, and
people who break them are not really breaking laws, then what's up
with your sig? They aren't "bad citizens" if they aren't breaking any
laws, are they? And if there aren't any laws to break, even the good
citizens are just as free as the bad ones!

Wanna take this a few rounds, too?

1517. Re: Questions re. strange antenna on roof of gov't building

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 09:43:14 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d368d30.23452975@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130545

Crossposting snipped:

In <Pine.A41.4.05.10207172230180.44054-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca>, "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote:

>
>On the roof of a government building near me there is a strange
>looking antenna (or at least what looks like one): it looks like a
>very long downhill ski which was wrapped in an upward spiral fashion
>around an Indian teepee, with a gap between each spiral turn of the "ski"
>of one "ski" width. Then the "teepee" was removed and the "ski" was
>put on some kind of wire mesh or similar frame. What is this antenna
>for? What could it do? What special characteristics could this antenna
>have which would make it suitable for whatever task it is intended for?

It sounds more like some sort of art-deco Christmas tree. Seriously.

1518. Re: Spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 10:48:04 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <E1xZ8.36551$lu6.2085063@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130546

Blah blah blah blah blah
I'm getting so sleepy...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message > I remember
when that happened. Frank was supremely pissed. But please
> note that he adjusted to the situation like a mature adult: he got
> over it. Some people might have never gotten over it. Some people
> might have used it as an excuse to be a "bad citizen", diss the
> government, and urge people to selectively break the law. Next thing
> you know they're in usenet newsgroups trying to convince people of the
> merits of bad citizenship (and making utter fools of themselves in the
> process).
>
> -Aaron-

1519. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 11:36:59 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D36A85B.149F@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 130554

Dale wrote:
>
> Thank you all for your responses to me. It was so nice to get such
> friendly responses! I think it is so sad to see people argue and
> threaten over these issues when there is so much more at stake in the
> world today.. I really enjoy CB, and I think a lot of other people do
> too, at least around here they do, and a lot of them with little 30
> dollar "yard sale specials"..LOL.. I know only a few who have the
> Galaxy's, etc, and even they run them courteously. In my area,
> Lancaster Ohio, we can still have good conversations. Oh sure, there
> are a few people who run all the "toys" and stuff... but as a rule, you
> can talk to people all around the city and in neighboring ones easily..
> even on Channel 19, there is courteous conversation, of course most of
> the truckers who come thru this area are on regular runs down US 33 and
> are familiar with us and will talk to us without telling us to "get off
> their channel"! We very rarely have a "power war", there is like one
> teenage boy in the next town who likes to play music and run his little
> 250 watt kicker, but he's rarely in there.

I want to move to your town. That pretty much describes the CB scene in
my area 25-30 years ago. Unfortunately, it's not like that here any
more.
Back then, the few idiots were run off by the majority of decent people
and they either straightened up and conformed, or they went underground
and were only active to cause trouble. Eventually it would get old and
they'd just go away for good. CB really isn't much fun if you have no
friends.
Unfortunately, when the "idiot factor" becomes the rule rather than the
exception, that's when it's time to throw in the towel.

Take care,
Dave
"Sandbagger"

1520. Re: Questions re. strange antenna on roof of gov't building

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 11:39:53 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D36A901.9C2292E7@icok.net>
Source record: 130547
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner

Ian,
Sounds like some type or variation of a
'dis-cone' antenna. A VHF/UHF antenna with
a fairly wide frequency range.
'Doc
PS - Or maybe a 'tee-pee' stretcher.

1521. Re: Your gov't is becoming quite the pill !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 11:41:04 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D36A946.7B45839@icok.net>
Source record: 130548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

dj,
Being from Canada, you should know.
'Doc

1522. Re: Q: now what

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 11:42:39 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D36A9A5.DB4D923A@icok.net>
Source record: 130549

Another question with a simple answer. Is
it 'type accepted'? Should say so if it is.
'Doc

1523. Re: 13.8V@10 AMPS POWER SUPPLY WITH BUILT IN MODULATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 11:44:34 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D36AA1A.F1041B58@icok.net>
Source record: 130550

NI4L,
Is this thing as over priced as your antennas?
'Doc

1524. FUNKY STATION KEYDOWN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 11:54:43 UTC
From: icecoldnyc@aol.comICECOLD
Message-ID: <20020718075443.11422.00000066@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 130552

Just a reminder that Funky Station is giving his annual keydown in new jersey
this saturday july 20, 2002. I hope to see some of you there.

Definition of the SuperBowl
If 10,000 CB'er's are on the SuperBowl
then only 1000 can be heard some of the times
& only 100 can be heard most of the times
& only 10 can be heard all the time
This is a great example why the bowl is not for the weak!

1525. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 12:03:14 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D36AE82.4083@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 130555

'Doc wrote:
>
> Kenneth,
> Simple, do away with Part-95.
> 'Doc

And then what? Do all the millions of CB's, in use, simply vanish?

Since a great percentage of "11 meter users" have demonstrated indifference to conforming to part 95 already, what makes you think they would just throw away their rigs if the band became de-allocated?

It would take a monumental effort to bust every person who keys up CB. And efort that would cost the taxpayers more money than congress could allocate for such an effort (Assuming that the effort would be justified and in the public's best interest).

No, the best that scenario could hope for is to de-allocate the band, and then wait 10 or 15 years for the supply of radios to dry up, and the users will die off one by one. But 30 years later, there will still be a few that managed to keep their rigs alive.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1526. Re: Questions re. strange antenna on roof of gov't building

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 12:19:23 UTC
From: tolstoi <tolieo@yahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <ah6bn5$4ih$1@bunyip.cc.uq.edu.au>
Source record: 130553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner

why dont you call the building supervisor and ask him/her

FROM RUSSIA WITH LOVE
"Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote in message
news:Pine.A41.4.05.10207172230180.44054-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca...
>
> On the roof of a government building near me there is a strange
> looking antenna (or at least what looks like one): it looks like a
> very long downhill ski which was wrapped in an upward spiral fashion
> around an Indian teepee, with a gap between each spiral turn of the "ski"
> of one "ski" width. Then the "teepee" was removed and the "ski" was
> put on some kind of wire mesh or similar frame. What is this antenna
> for? What could it do? What special characteristics could this antenna
> have which would make it suitable for whatever task it is intended for?
>
> A note to the trolls and flamers: I have already thought of the
> possibility that this antenna is used to monitor Indian radio
> transmissions or make smoke signals; so I scooped you. I am just
> trying to see whether I am right or wrong about this.
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> Ian Douglas
>

1527. Re: 13.8V@10 AMPS POWER SUPPLY WITH BUILT IN MODULATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 12:20:29 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D36B28D.3D6F@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 130556

Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<XTpZ8.107761$UT.6935178@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:22a5e694.0207171802.48d8fd5a@posting.google.com...
> > > NI4L <ni4l@charter.net> wrote in message
> > news:<3D35DDFD.9010806@charter.net>...
> > > > FS or TRADE MODULATOR WITH BUILT IN 13.8V@10 AMPS POWER SUPPLY
> > > > Very nice unit. Run you mobile in the house with this power supply and
> > > > modulator combo. 50-60 watt modulator.This thing gives you alot of
> > extra
> > > > audio everyones says its really loud!! or just hook up your base rig
> > > > and use the modulator for a driver. will run anything 12volts up to 10
> > amps
> > > > with the built in power supply. size 11"W x 12"D x 5"H weighs about 7
> > > > or 8lbs has meter for voltage, lights, screw on terminals on the front
> > > > to hook up your radio for power.Asking 165.00+shipping or trade what
> > > > you have laying around?
> > >
> > > Donnie, forgive me but I guess don't understand what your "modulator"
> > > is and how it can "drive" a CB radio. I'm guessing the 50-60 watts you
> > > refer to is audio. If you put 50-60 watts of audio into the 1st stage
> > > speech amp of a typical CB rig all you will get is distortion. Maybe
> > > you are talking about AM modulating the final tube or RF power
> > > transistor of a CB radio, and in that case you'd only need around 2 or
> > > 3 watts of audio to do that, so I don't understand what the 60-60 watt
> > > rating is about. Since it appears you are desperately trying to sell
> > > this thing (whatever it is) to a CBer maybe you ought to give us more
> > > info.
> > >
> > > -A
> >
> > Voobsie, if you have the technical knowlege of a billy goat you don't even
> > want to know what a 'modulator' is. Suffice it to say it is tied into the
> > same ridiculous and obsolete theory of AM that produces Swang. One more
> > piece of trash designed to take advantage of the slo-attack characteristic
> > of AM-AGC while producing garbage. Loud all right, not pretty, but
> > definitely loud.
> >
> > For the nonce, you have been un-Bozo*Flushed.
> >
> > Dick Nï¿½BK
>

> Aha, I appear to have struck upon a subject to your liking and have
> received the dubious honor of being un-flushed. Terrific. But truth be
> told I am sincerely puzzled by this "CB modulator" business and would
> appreciate an explanation minus macho posturing regarding degrees of
> knowledge. What Donnie is peddling exclusively to CBers doesn't look
> at all like the modulators described in the moldering copy of the 1962
> ARRL Handbook setting here on my desk. Is his "modulator" merely an AF
> speech compressor? If so, 60 watts is an awful lot of AF, unless
> you're listening to Eminem in a 4-door lowrider Chevy equipped with
> subwoofers in each door. If your goal is distortion and fried
> components, why not just run 110 VAC thru the mike jack and be done
> with it? Or am I missing something? Please advise.
>

Assuming that you really don't know what a "modulator" is (I suspect otherwise), I'll take the bait.

Conventional wisdom, and in standard radio terminology, a "modulator", is simply the stage that applys the voice information to the carrier in a transmitter. In the old days, many transmitters had separate modulators. A 50 watt modulator was good to plate modulate a 100 watt transmit carrier, to make 100% modulation on AM.

In "CB science" terms, a "modulator" is a deliberately NON-linear amplifier, usually a small one, that, because of its class "C" characteristics, shows a greater gain during the rise of the modulation envelope, than during the carrier. The net effect is a boost in modulation percentage, with respect to the carrier. As you might guess, those non-linear characteristics are also responsible for distortion products and typical spurious emissions normally associated with a class "C" amp being improperly driven on AM. So, for anyone who is responsible enough to want to run a clean signal, this would not be a good choice.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1528. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 12:41:43 UTC
From: "steven c. hollifield" <stevenhollifield@calmspirit.com>
Message-ID: <3d36b542$1_4@goliath.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 130557

Have you ever or do you ever exceed the posted speed limits?

Steven

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d368a4b.22711597@news.cet.com...
> In <XotZ8.1959$cP7.122050854@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>,
"Landshark"
> <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
>
> >
> >< yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d36056f.1722448@news.cet.com...
> >> I don't think anyone here want's to get rid of CB (despite false
> >> claims to the contrary -- see my first response). You have to
accept
> >> that there is going to be some discussion that you will find
> >> disagreeable, but for the most part this newsgroup is becoming a
place
> >> for EVERY CBer. The illegals can use other, more appropriate
groups,
> >> and they have many forums on Yahoo, alt.radio.pirate, and some
private
> >> message boards. This is a place for CBers. So come on in -- the
water
> >> might still be a bit chilly, but not so cold you can't enjoy it!
> >>
> >
> > Yes you might find it chilly, due to the fact some people
can't see
> >beyond what they think is illegal and what is really legal. So it's
ok,
> >there are people that will answer both the legal and illegal aspect
of the
> >conversation, but some people are still trying to say that the mere
> >discussion or admittance of illegal use
> >is wrong here.
> > Please ask away, the worse you can get is what the
> >previous response was giving, bogus advice.
> > You seem like you can tell from right from wrong,
> >without someone else's help, so "Rock On"
>
> Alrighty, here's a question for you sharkie. Is it legal to
advertise
> for sale a CB linear in the newsgroup? Or, for that matter, anywhere

> in the US? If you guessed 'yes' you are WRONG! rec.radio.cb is one
of
> the few newsgroups where crimes are actually committed in the posts!
>
> But I totally forgot, you are one of those people that doesn't
believe
> that the Code of Federal Regulations and the United States Code are
> actually laws, do you? Despite all the information that has been
> posted to the contrary and can be confirmed by anyone that can read,
> do you still believe that it's ok to break laws because they aren't
> really laws?
>
> But that's another problem, sharkie. If they are not really laws,
and
> people who break them are not really breaking laws, then what's up
> with your sig? They aren't "bad citizens" if they aren't breaking
any
> laws, are they? And if there aren't any laws to break, even the good
> citizens are just as free as the bad ones!
>
> Wanna take this a few rounds, too?
>

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

1529. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 13:17:34 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020718091734.26936.00000481@mb-mm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 130558

>I want to move to your town. That pretty much describes the CB scene in
>my area 25-30 years ago. Unfortunately, it's not like that here any
>more.

Hi Dave,

I live in suburban LI, NY and while it's certainly not perfect, it's far from the ugly picture some paint on the newsgroup. Sure, there's the ever-present ch. 6 that was there "way back then" too. For the most part the channels are quiet and you can always find a few friendly locals. Ch.'s 36 through 40 are pretty much SSB only with ch.38 LSB as the defacto calling frequency.

I do remember the times you speak of when the band was crowded, but courteous. It wa a cool time. Take it easy.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1530. The Latest R.A.I.N. Report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 13:56:53 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020718095653.07850.00001501@mb-mm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 130559

Hi all,

I'm providing the link to the R.A.I.N. Report. R.A.I.N. stands for Radio Amateur Information Network. Now before I hear the usual whining about how this is a CB newsgroup, yada, yada, yada..., be advised that this IS CB related!

http://www.rainreport.com/

Here's the description from the opening page of the R.A.I.N. Report website. You can then select the 'PRESENT R.A.I.N. REPORT' in either WindowsMedia or Real Player format.

"All Inclusive, Not Exclusive" The live town hall meeting sponsored by the Amateur Radio Newsline at this spring's Dayton HamVention generated some thought provoking talks we'll be bringing you over the next few weeks. None generated as much controversy as the keynote speech, delivered by Harold Ort, N2RLL, Editor of Popular Communications magazine. While his message may ruffle a few feathers, his call for merging ham radio's resources with those of other personal radio services in emergency preparedness is laudable. EVERY ham in America should listen to what he has to say. (16 minutes)

Mr. Ort was very specific about CB playing a much greater role in the grander scheme of things. For those who think all hams are trying to bash CB, take heart. There are definitely some who really have the CB's best interests at heart. Folks like Harold Ort, N2RLL, Alan Dixon, N3HOE, Tom Kneitel, K2AES, as well as others who are trying to help the CB...whether it's speaking in favor of CB radio in front of a <potentially hostile?> crowd, trying to get an archaic rule lifted, or writing informative articles and/or books that counter the misinformation that presently exists re. the CB, they're out there doing something and I, for one, am GREAT ly appreciative. Thought you folks might like to know and give a listenas well. Take care all. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1531. Re: Q: now what

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 14:00:27 UTC
From: tolstoi <tolieo@yahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <ah6hkk$uo1$1@bunyip.cc.uq.edu.au>
Source record: 130560

just use the rig and be done with it, otherwise you can donate it to
us...HIHI.

FROM RUSSIA WITH LOVE

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20020717230150.02861.00001135@mb-ci.aol.com...
> >From: "Tazanator" >tazanator1@hotmail.com
> > in the US do I have to throw this away >and buy a cobra (that is
inferior in
> >preformance in all aspects) or is there >some way to get "type accepted"?
If
> the >radio remains in the legal limits is it a >problem?
> If it has AM you will be fine.
> The hell with Aaron.
> He's an anal retard.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> "If it aint broke, don't fix it"

1532. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 14:11:35 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d36cad2$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130561

The FCC isn't "impotent" when it comes to Howard Stern. For the FCC Howard Stern is a cash cow raking in millions of dollars in fines. The station hosting him just chalks it up to operating expenses. Sure the FCC could shut down the station any time it wants but then the FCC would lose all that money. Congress isn't funding the FCC enough so they need to get their money somewhere. It basically started back when Congress told the FCC that it could no longer charge for licenses to the general public. This meant that CB and ham licenses were free. This cost the FCC millions and is the main reason that the FCC dropped the license requirement for CB in the first place.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ujbou5ojcha705@corp.supernews.com...
> If the FCC was impotent to do anything about Howard Stern how can they get
> rid of millions of cb radios?
>
>

1533. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 14:12:59 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d36cb26$0$13954$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130562

Sure you are. You're a fool but not that stupid. You could have picked any name in the book.

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20020717141659.222$5K@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>I'm sure that if you weren't anonymous Moped
> >
> I'm not anonymous,muenzler. I'm Steve Parks of Medina Ohio.
>
> Whatcha gonna do about it?
> >
> >>you'd not be talking like
> > this.
> >
> Sure I would,you keep bullshitting and I keep pointing it out.
>
> --
> Go #40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

1534. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 14:14:32 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d36cb82$0$13949$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130563

Gee wiz Mopy. You sure told me!

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D35FE0B.16F9A070@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > The above story is fiction. Any resemblance to actual events or persons
is
> > purely coincidental and unintended.
>
>
> I think that's a first hand, first person account. You seem
> to know way too much about the subject.
>
> Now we know what you're an expert at.

1535. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 14:25:36 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020718102536.07850.00001508@mb-mm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 130564

>Congress told the FCC that
>it could no longer charge for licenses to the general public. This meant
>that CB and ham licenses were free. This cost the FCC millions and is the
>main reason that the FCC dropped the license requirement for CB in the first
>place.

I sure wish they'd bring licensing back to the CB. :-(

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1536. Re: Spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 14:44:20 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <8vAZ8.1654$Vx3.94408944@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130565

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d368968.22485251@news.cet.com...
> And another thing is for sure, sharkie. Your name is plastered all
> over the transexual/transvestite groups in the archives. I sure as
> hell didn't make it up! So do you want to go a few rounds with this
> again? I don't mind at all!
>

What ever you want to say Frank, difference is it's
a court record, not some thing that was posted. How do
I know this was you making that post? Maybe it's Aaron
using your terminal or you Mother , Father, Sister or
Brother. But it is a court record about the littering deal,
not some post that was made over a computer which
is at the whim of anyone that wants to use it.
Why Sparky, I'm not even Landshark, I'm his friend Jason, can't you see
that over the computer? But please go ahead
and post that stuff, George did it for two years and he's still
here and posting, so what.

Landshark

--
"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young

1537. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 14:44:20 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <8vAZ8.1655$Vx3.94408944@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130566

"steven c. hollifield" <stevenhollifield@calmspirit.com> wrote in message
news:3d36b542$1_4@goliath.newsgroups.com...
>
> Have you ever or do you ever exceed the posted speed limits?
>
> Steven

Oh don't go there with Frank on that, he's an
absolute angel.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

1538. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 14:44:20 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <8vAZ8.2595$bu5.89546771@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130567

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d368a4b.22711597@news.cet.com...
> Wanna take this a few rounds, too?
>

Just go ahead and read the Webster Dictionary,
third college edition, page 1173 & 765 and look at the
definition of "Rules" then "Laws" Two different meanings.
Part 95 is rules, Part 49 or is it 45 (I don't remember
which it is) is law, how come one is rules and the other is law?
Why not have them both rules or both laws?
But we went through this all before and I still stand
by my statement that you are not breaking any federal
laws when breaking Part 95 "Rules"

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

1539. Re: Questions re. strange antenna on roof of gov't building

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 14:49:00 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <ah6kgd$83j$1@dagobah.blueriver.net>
Source record: 130568
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D36A901.9C2292E7@icok.net...
>
>
> Ian,
> Sounds like some type or variation of a
> 'dis-cone' antenna. A VHF/UHF antenna with
> a fairly wide frequency range.
> 'Doc
> PS - Or maybe a 'tee-pee' stretcher.

I was thinking it might be a form for the Christmas lights to
be put up in a 'tree' shape. :)

In truth it's probably the newest in eavesdropping antenna
the 'snake oil' salesmen sold them for the discount price
of $100,000 ea!
--

'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

1540. Re: Your gov't is becoming quite the pill !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 15:59:02 UTC
From: Murray Neece <radioranch@cableone.net>
Message-ID: <3D36E5C6.A2F6F115@cableone.net>
Source record: 130569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: radioranch.vcf

Doesnt help much that your Govt..keeps letting terrorists thru and into our US...which is still, by the way....the most powerful and free country in the world....which we intend to remain...Put that in your PIPE and smoke it!!

dj wrote:

> You're not as free as you say to be... day, by day, by day, it's all
> slipping away...
>
> Alex Zapatka <alexzapatka@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:acbd886b.0207110955.173519c3@posting.google.com...
> > if anyone is interested....
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365902717
> >
> > i know it will probably be droped by amazon... but i am keeping track
> > of email addresses from it and i will contact people to see if they
> > are still interested (you can also email me directly... but i dont
> > regurlarly check these newsgroups any more... so dont post here)
> >
> > flames > /dev/nul please

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

1541. Re: 13.8V@10 AMPS POWER SUPPLY WITH BUILT IN MODULATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 16:05:01 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d36e56d$0$13954$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130571

Isn't a power supply with a "modulator" built into it nothing more than one with a bad filter or regulator? ;)

1542. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 16:05:44 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d36e592$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130570

He and TwistyBoy have already done this Doc. It's called selective
following of the laws they agree with.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D367614.966C4F06@icok.net...
>
>
> Kenneth,
> Simple, do away with Part-95.
> 'Doc

1543. Re: CB Trucks and the FCC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 16:07:16 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d36e5ee$0$13950$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130572

Sure you are. You're a fool but not that stupid. You could have picked any
name in the book.
Besides, you aren't worth the effort, you are much too intertaining here.

Kevin, WB5RUE

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020717141659.222$5K@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>I'm sure that if you weren't anonymous Moped
> >
> I'm not anonymous,muenzler. I'm Steve Parks of Medina Ohio.
>
> Whatcha gonna do about it?
> >
> >>you'd not be talking like
> > this.
> >
> Sure I would,you keep bullshitting and I keep pointing it out.
>
> --
> Go #40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

>

1544. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims 27 radio busts this year alone,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 16:10:36 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d36e6b6$0$13948$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130573

Weren't you one of the twins anyway? Twistyboy has a pretty good
imagination so I'll be he sure can image it. Give him a call, maybe you and
he can hook back up again.

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D35FE0B.16F9A070@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > The above story is fiction. Any resemblance to actual events or persons
is
> > purely coincidental and unintended.
>
>
> I think that's a first hand, first person account. You seem
> to know way too much about the subject.
>
> Now we know what you're an expert at.

1545. Re: Q: now what

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 16:38:20 UTC
From: Tazanator <tazanator1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0aCZ8.29277$_51.32387@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 130574

no it's not type accepted ... I wish it was to simplify (would love to get a
few more but ...)
"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D36A9A5.DB4D923A@icok.net...
>
>
> Another question with a simple answer. Is
> it 'type accepted'? Should say so if it is.
> 'Doc

1546. OT - the minister

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 16:55:25 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <UrCZ8.3703$pv6.365262@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 130576

A man goes to the minister at his church. "Reverend," he said, "we have a problem. My wife keeps falling asleep during your sermons. It's very embarrassing, not to mention disrespectful. What can I do?"

"I've noticed this and have an idea if you're up to the task," said the minister.
"Take this hat pin with you. I can see when Mrs.Jones is sleeping, and I will motion to you. When I motion, you give her a good poke in the leg with the hatpin.

In church the following Sunday, Mrs. Jones dozed off. Noticing this, the preacher put his plan to work. "And who made the ultimate sacrifice
for you?" he said, nodding to Mr. Jones....."Jesus!" Mrs. Jones cried out as her husband jabbed her in the leg with the sharp hatpin.

"Yes! You are correct, Mrs. Jones!" came the minister's quick reply.

Mrs. Jones then turned and glared angrily at her husband. Soon, Mrs. Jones again nodded off. The minister noticed.

"Who is your redeemer?" he asked the congregation, motioning toward Mr. Jones.

"My God!" howled Mrs. Jones as she was stuck again with the pin.

"Right again!" bellowed the minister, a slight grin on his face.

Mrs. Jones again gave her husband a real hard threatening glare. Before long though she again nodded off. This time however the minister did not notice. As he picked up the tempo of his sermon, he made a few hand gestures that Mr. Jones mistook as signals to sharply poke his wife with the hatpin again.

The minister asked, "And what did Eve say to Adam after she bore him his 99th son?"

"Mrs. Jones jumped up and shouted, "You stick that thing in me one more time and I'll break it in half and shove it where the sun don't shine!"

"Amen!" replied all the women in the congregation

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross

Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

1547. Re: 13.8V@10 AMPS POWER SUPPLY WITH BUILT IN MODULATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 16:55:33 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207180855.54336c18@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130575

Dave wrote:
>In "CB science" terms, a "modulator" is a deliberately
>NON-linear amplifier

Dick wrote:
>It is an amp set up in one of several manners to vary
>its output in step with the audio component of the
>incoming signal to give that Swang, a non-linear
>Linear so to speak. Think of it as kind of a
>jackleg volume expansion circuit applied to rf.

OK, so it's an illegal RF amplifier that is more or less intended to sound bad. Donnie wasn't quite clear in his ad just what it was. I don't think this guy is doing CB any favors by pushing his overpriced dipoles and amps in rrcb. I see in the Rain Report that Riley is beginning to go after hams dealing in illegal CB stuff. All it takes is a complaint to bring it to his attention.
-A

1548. Re: Your gov't is becoming quite the pill !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 17:03:25 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207180903.1eadd92b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130577
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

"dj" <dougjensen2002@sprint.ca> wrote in message
news:<TcqZ8.1000$v1.22678@newscontent-01.sprint.ca>...
> You're not as free as you say to be... day, by day, by day, it's all
> slipping away...
>
> Alex Zapatka <alexzapatka@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:acbd886b.0207110955.173519c3@posting.google.com...
> > if anyone is interested....
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365902717
> >
> > i know it will probably be droped by amazon... but i am keeping track
> > of email addresses from it and i will contact people to see if they
> > are still interested (you can also email me directly... but i dont
> > regurlarly check these newsgroups any more... so dont post here)
> >
> > flames > /dev/nul please

If the sale of what a Canadian resident is attempting to sell in the
US (e.g. illegal CB amps, illegal export CB radios, etc) is prohibited
by US law (and has been for a number of years) how do you figure that
our freedom is being impinged upon?

-A

1549. re fcc question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 17:25:32 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020718132532.01904.00000027@mb-de.aol.com>
Source record: 130578

kenneth, the reason the f.c.c. cant "do" anything about howard stern...and thank god that they cant.....is due to the fact that his "right" to say what he wants to, is guaranteed by the constitution.there is no protection for those (myself included) who "fudge" part 95. the system is working just fine when it protects "ugly" speech. a system that only protects your right to "nice speech" is meaningless. there are times when we need to be able to" harshly criticise" our government,politicians,and each other. free speech is a right,not a privilege.we in this country seem to be forgetting the difference between the two.

"the right to bear arms" is probably the single most powerfull right that we have.it guarantees that all your other rights "will" be respected. just look at the party that is trying to re-design our way of life.(demos) they are also trying to remove that obstacle to their plans,for they know that once they go too far.....we had better be disarmed. think about it........gunslinger

1550. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 17:37:38 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020718133738.01904.00000029@mb-de.aol.com>
Source record: 130579

aaron...im suprised that you missed this. if our own government fudges the rules because of "business" interests.....
then why is it wrong for "us" to fudge part 95 a little?? im not being facetious here. its a good question. i respect your "rigid" interpretation of our legal system. but when your own "boys"(the govt) wont even back you up.....??? im truly interested in how you respond to this. gunslinger

1551. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 17:40:58 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020718134058.01904.00000031@mb-de.aol.com>
Source record: 130580

dont forget the nice chunk of change that the import taxes generate for greedy
old uncle sammy!!!!!!! $$$$$$$$
gunslinger

1552. Re: 13.8V@10 AMPS POWER SUPPLY WITH BUILT IN MODULATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 18:11:02 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <WwDZ8.36940$lu6.2115552@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130581

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D36B28D.3D6F@worldlynx.net...
> Assuming that you really don't know what a "modulator" is (I suspect
> otherwise), I'll take the bait.
>
> Conventional wisdom, and in standard radio terminology, a "modulator",
> is simply the stage that applys the voice information to the carrier in
> a transmitter. In the old days, many transmitters had separate
> modulators. A 50 watt modulator was good to plate modulate a 100 watt
> transmit carrier, to make 100% modulation on AM.
>
> In "CB science" terms, a "modulator" is a deliberately NON-linear
> amplifier, usually a small one, that, because of its class "C"
> characteristics, shows a greater gain during the rise of the modulation
> envelope, than during the carrier. The net effect is a boost in
> modulation percentage, with respect to the carrier. As you might guess,
> those non-linear characteristics are also responsible for distortion
> products and typical spurious emissions normally associated with a class
> "C" amp being improperly driven on AM. So, for anyone who is responsible
> enough to want to run a clean signal, this would not be a good choice.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
>

Dave, I question whether it in fact raises modulation percentage. Given that the input was pretty close to 100% as most rigs with intact limiters are, if it did in fact raise modulation it would be absolutely unintelligible to the usual diode AM detector. The fact that it can be understood at all leads me to believe that the carrier is being amplified as well. So gee, we have a swang machine that at great cost and distortion successfully fakes out a slow-attack AGC.

Gawrsh and gee-whizzy wow.

Dick

1553. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 19:12:52 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D371317.5E95D186@icok.net>
Source record: 130582

Dave,
It really wouldn't be that difficult to do. First,
do away with Part-95, no such thing as CB radio in the
US. With no legitimate market, the manufactures quit
making radios. No problem at all finding 'illegal'
operators, they ~all~ are. Introduce another bill such
as PL-106-521, making it enforceable from local levels.
Give it 6 months or so for the 'word' to get around.
Make examples out of a few 'die-hards'. Bang, it's done.
Would it be very popular? No. Would it be easy?
Not really, but not ~that~ hard either. Is it very
likely? Not yet, but it's getting closer. Don't say it
can't be done, because it certainly can! Push 'them'
hard enough and we'll all find out.
'Doc

1554. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 19:13:40 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D37135E.F4DCBA3C@icok.net>
Source record: 130583

Dave,
It really wouldn't be that difficult to do. First,
do away with Part-95, no such thing as CB radio in the
US. With no legitimate market, the manufactures quit
making radios. No problem at all finding 'illegal'
operators, they ~all~ are. Introduce another bill such
as PL-106-521, making it enforceable from local levels.
Give it 6 months or so for the 'word' to get around.
Make examples out of a few 'die-hards'. Bang, it's done.
Would it be very popular? No. Would it be easy?
Not really, but not ~that~ hard either. Is it very
likely? Not yet, but it's getting closer. Don't say it
can't be done, because it certainly can! Push 'them'
hard enough and we'll all find out.
'Doc

PS - Link it to the terrorism threat and it'll be done
damn near over night.

1555. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 19:58:59 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207181158.6390a895@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130584

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote:
>If the FCC was impotent to do anything about Howard
>Stern how can they get rid of millions of cb radios?

lilyv7@aol.com (LilyV7) wrote:
>if our own government fudges the
>rules because of "business" interests.....
>then why is it wrong for "us" to fudge part 95 a
>little?

Both you guys express the same unrealistic, childish, anti-social
attitude. Never grew up, or just been watching too much WWF on TV?

-A

1556. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 20:49:07 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207181249.34e7454@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130585

lilyv7@aol.com (LilyV7) wrote:
>if our own government fudges the
>rules because of "business" interests.....
>then why is it wrong for "us" to fudge part 95 a
>little?

Here's what I believe:

People cheat. People lie. People break laws. And many of them get away with it. It was always so, and probably will always be so. Is this a reason to cheat and lie your way through life? Absolutely not.

Some of the lame excuses I see offered in this NG are the worst of all possible justifications for breaking the law. (e.g. it's OK to break a law (a) if the majority want it, (b) if you can make money at it, or (c) if you have a desire waiting to be fulfilled.) Civil disobedience is also an often-quoted lame excuse. When someone breaks a law to bring about social change, there better be a damn good reason. So far I have not heard one.

-Aaron-

"If one man can be allowed to determine for himself what is law, every man can. That means first chaos, then tyranny. Legal process is an essential part of the democratic process." - Justice Felix Frankfurter

1557. Re: FUNKY STATION KEYDOWN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 20:51:28 UTC
From: lowrider667@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020718165128.15166.00000064@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 130586

hey ice...how do we get to the break ..me and some boyz from maryland are coming up

1558. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 21:50:40 UTC
From: "r.r.c.b.auto-responder" <usenet@autoresponder.kom>
Message-ID: <ujedm893vfphd9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130587

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207181249.34e7454@posting.google.com...
> lilyv7@aol.com (LilyV7) wrote:
> >if our own government fudges the
> >rules because of "business" interests.....
> >then why is it wrong for "us" to fudge part 95 a
> >little?
>
> Here's what I believe:
>
> People cheat. People lie. People break laws. And many of them get away
> with it. It was always so, and probably will always be so. Is this a
> reason to cheat and lie your way through life? Absolutely not.

Lets all have a nice refreshing, wholesome Glass of milk,
and sing Koombai-aghh!

>
> Some of the lame excuses I see offered in this NG are the worst of all
> possible justifications for breaking the law. (e.g. it's OK to break a
> law (a) if the majority want it, (b) if you can make money at it, or
> (c) if you have a desire waiting to be fulfilled.) Civil disobedience
> is also an often-quoted lame excuse. When someone breaks a law to
> bring about social change, there better be a damn good reason. So far
> I have not heard one.

Awww, Your so Special Aaron!

>
> -Aaron-
>
> "If one man can be allowed to determine for himself what is law, every
> man can. That means first chaos, then tyranny. Legal process is an
> essential part of the democratic process." - Justice Felix Frankfurter

1559. Re: Questions re. strange antenna on roof of gov't building

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 21:56:39 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <rQGZ8.8176$7p1.175704@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 130588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner

This is a shot in the dark but it sounds like it maybe a satellite transmitter antenna. with the long ski looking thing being the feeder horn assembly. You might try posting to alt.binaries.radio-scanner with a picture of it for better analyses. I saw what sounds like the same thing out side of the CBC TV studios a few years ago but this looked like one of those big Swiss horns except it was about 30 feet long and about 20 feet at the base of the teepee quite the strange looking things.

"Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote in message news:Pine.A41.4.05.10207172230180.44054-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca...
>
> On the roof of a government building near me there is a strange
> looking antenna (or at least what looks like one): it looks like a
> very long downhill ski which was wrapped in an upward spiral fashion
> around an Indian teepee, with a gap between each spiral turn of the "ski"
> of one "ski" width. Then the "teepee" was removed and the "ski" was
> put on some kind of wire mesh or similar frame. What is this antenna
> for? What could it do? What special characteristics could this antenna
> have which would make it suitable for whatever task it is intended for?
>
> A note to the trolls and flamers: I have already thought of the
> possibility that this antenna is used to monitor Indian radio
> transmissions or make smoke signals; so I scooped you. I am just
> trying to see whether I am right or wrong about this.
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> Ian Douglas
>

1560. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 22:06:01 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207181406.1dc0a8fd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130589

Unknown critic wrote:
>Awww, Your so Special Aaron!

Just answering gunslingers question. But thanks for the opportunity to clarify:

> >Civil disobedience
> >is also an often-quoted lame excuse. When someone breaks a law to
> >bring about social change, there better be a damn good reason. So far
> >I have not heard one.

I should clarify this to say "I haven't heard one that would justify breakling FCC rules".

> >"If one man can be allowed to determine for himself what is law, every
> >man can. That means first chaos, then tyranny. Legal process is an
> >essential part of the democratic process." - Justice Felix Frankfurter

I'm sure as hell Landshark doesn't like the sound of that quote. I predict he'll search until he finds something to discredit Frankfurter.

-A

1561. Hand hand echo mic forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 22:34:13 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.comJP23
Message-ID: <20020718183413.08574.00000139@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 130590

Has talkback, 5 noise toys, uses a 9 volt battery and has reverb and echo, its VERY VERY loud.....$15 plus $5 shipping

Thatz-me-JP

1562. useful RFI site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 22:43:10 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <2wHZ8.37485$lu6.2135771@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130591

Palomar Engineers, better known for toroids and cores, has an RFI kit available that could be the answer to telephones, stereos, etc. with Ancient Modulation.

http://www.palomar-engineers.com

Dick, N&#65533;BK

1563. Re: Q: now what

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 22:44:32 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujeh6bj3bk6oad@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130593

ROFLMAO!!!!

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20020717230150.02861.00001135@mb-ci.aol.com...
> >From: "Tazanator" >tazanator1@hotmail.com
> > in the US do I have to throw this away >and buy a cobra (that is
inferior in
> >preformance in all aspects) or is there >some way to get "type accepted"?
If
> the >radio remains in the legal limits is it a >problem?
> If it has AM you will be fine.
> The hell with Aaron.
> He's an anal retard.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> "If it aint broke, don't fix it"

1564. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims a lack of understanding,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 22:45:25 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D374507.6F6DD4E4@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130592

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>Gee wiz Mopy. You sure told me!
> > >
> So now I'm Scott?
> > >
> Are you really that stupid?

Yup.

1565. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 22:47:01 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujehb09pfjeh0f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130596

I thought Clinton wired the mental hospitals for the internet

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:ah34f1egm5@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk...
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:uj6ctn2agtr4ae@corp.supernews.com...
> > Gee Wiz voob As much as I enjoy driving Jerry apoplectic he's still a
> > decent guy for a Hammie and he didn't deserve that by a long shot.
> > You really are nuts.
> > Sincerely and sanely,
> > Ken
> ===
>
> Not the first time he has had a pop at Jerry. Last one I saw seemed
> to be because Jerry was not abusive enough, he did not set out to
> offend people.
> Speaking out against things, telling people they do not need amps, or
> running a legal setup yourself is not enough for Aaron... you have to
> offend people on a regular basis before he removes you from his list
> of "keyclown" targets.
>
> I have seriously come to the conclusion that Aaron and his gang are
> just here for troll value, they just want excuses to argue, abuse and
> offend.
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Brainbuster.
>
>

1566. Re: Questions re. strange antenna on roof of gov't building

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 22:48:29 UTC
From: Dev <hmm@ohmy.com>
Message-ID: <1BHZ8.8205$7p1.179098@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 130594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner

ohh. well now that I know that WG is canadian and Ian is from Alberta.
Someone should state that this antenna is in canada. I live about 5 minutes
From Industry Canada's office in BC. I will see if they have one on their
roof. If anyone else should have one. I presume they should. But can't till
the morning.

Dev.

WG <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:rQGZ8.8176$7p1.175704@news1.telusplanet.net...
> This is a shot in the dark but it sounds like it maybe a satellite
> transmitter antenna. with the long ski looking thing being the feeder horn
> assembly. You might try posting to alt.binaries.radio-scanner with a
picture
> of it for better analyses. I saw what sounds like the same thing out side
of
> the CBC TV studios a few years ago but this looked like one of those big
> Swiss horns except it was about 30 feet long and about 20 feet at the base
> of the teepee quite the strange looking things.
>
> "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote in message
> news:Pine.A41.4.05.10207172230180.44054-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca...
> >
> > On the roof of a government building near me there is a strange
> > looking antenna (or at least what looks like one): it looks like a
> > very long downhill ski which was wrapped in an upward spiral fashion
> > around an Indian teepee, with a gap between each spiral turn of the
"ski"
> > of one "ski" width. Then the "teepee" was removed and the "ski" was
> > put on some kind of wire mesh or similar frame. What is this antenna
> > for? What could it do? What special characteristics could this antenna
> > have which would make it suitable for whatever task it is intended for?
> >
> > A note to the trolls and flamers: I have already thought of the
> > possibility that this antenna is used to monitor Indian radio
> > transmissions or make smoke signals; so I scooped you. I am just
> > trying to see whether I am right or wrong about this.
> >
> > Thanks in advance,
> >
> > Ian Douglas
> >
>
>

1567. Re: useful RFI site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 22:48:55 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D3745D9.14665842@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130595

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> Palomar Engineers, better known for toroids and cores, has an RFI kit
> available that could be the answer to telephones, stereos, etc. with
> Ancient Modulation.
>
> http://www.palomar-engineers.com
>
> Dick, Nï¿½BK

So I can bag up all the toroids I've saved from dead power
supplies and sell them as RFI kits. Cools beans! More $$$.

1568. Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 22:51:02 UTC
From: oris@dirtball.com
Message-ID: <f7a9c6ac.0207181451.ea72425@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130647

I just got my new Texas Ranger TR 696F SSB base station. Wow what a nice looking radio. Much to my suprise when I keyed the radio up it has a real bad hum in the signal. The audio sounds real bad too. I bought this radio as a new radio however the three screws accoss the back are missing. HMMM Also there was a warranty lable laying on the bottom of the box. You know that sticker that sayes if this lable removed or tampered with voids the warranty. Imagin that! It is my opinion that they sold me a used radio as new. They advertise a 696 in there deal dept that has a transformer hum at http://www.copper.com/cgi-bin/checkitout/checkitout.cgi?bargainsSTORE:CKIE:prodLIK-NE00070 01+
. I beleive they sent me that radio. When I asked them about it Suzanne got hostal with me over the phone. The only help she offered me was to return the radio and I would have to pay another 20 bucs for shipping and handling. I bet they would of gotton a restockin fee also.
I I talked to a Ranger technican. First thing that he had me do was check the power supply. He wanted to be sure that it was putting 13.8 volts. It wasn't it was putting out 15 volts.Then He had me inspect the board and search for cracks I didn't see any but what I did see where resein marks all over the board. Like someone had worked on this radio before. He also told me that it was copper electronics problem and Copper sayes that its Ranger's problem. Ranger just called me back they want me to send them the radio (I wonder what that is going to cost me?) I think that the HUM is a audio leak. Its not a 60 cycle hum. The sound of the hum changes when I touch the radio or microphone. The hum is there with the stock mic as well as my D-104. But the hum changes when I touch the d-104. It sounds like a bad ground some where in the audio chain.
Just another example of buyer beware. I bet I will not deal with Copper Electronics again. You burn me once its your fault you burn me twice its mine!
73 Oris

1569. Re: useful RFI site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 22:57:45 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <JJHZ8.37515$lu6.2137124@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130648

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D3745D9.14665842@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > Palomar Engineers, better known for toroids and cores, has an RFI kit
> > available that could be the answer to telephones, stereos, etc. with
> > Ancient Modulation.
> >
> > http://www.palomar-engineers.com
> >
> > Dick, N&#65533;BK
>
>
> So I can bag up all the toroids I've saved from dead power
> supplies and sell them as RFI kits. Cools beans! More $$$.

Whether P/S toroids are any good who knows. The nice thing about paying someone who knows is that it might actually work.

You are, of course, familiar with the Henry Ford story where he could not get some machinery to work and called Westinghouse to come and fix. Steinmetz himself showed up and spent about 20 minutes on one gizmo for which a bill for $10,000 was submitted. Henry demanded that the bill be itemized considering all he did was bang on some pipes.

So Westinghouse itemized the bill. Pipe banging $1, knowing what pipes to bang on $9,999.

Sure, to some extent you are paying for good advice but why then not deal with the moose?

1570. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 23:11:44 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207181511.b5d43af@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130649

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:ah34f1egm5@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk...
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:uj6ctn2agtr4ae@corp.supernews.com...
> > Gee Wiz voob As much as I enjoy driving Jerry apoplectic he's still a
> > decent guy for a Hammie and he didn't deserve that by a long shot.
> > You really are nuts.
> > Sincerely and sanely,
> > Ken
> ===
>
> Not the first time he has had a pop at Jerry. Last one I saw seemed
> to be because Jerry was not abusive enough, he did not set out to
> offend people.
> Speaking out against things, telling people they do not need amps, or
> running a legal setup yourself is not enough for Aaron... you have to
> offend people on a regular basis before he removes you from his list
> of "keyclown" targets.
>
> I have seriously come to the conclusion that Aaron and his gang are
> just here for troll value, they just want excuses to argue, abuse and
> offend.
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Brainbuster.
>
>

Now you're fabricating insults to Jerry purportedly written by me. You
guys are TRULY desperate and grasping at straws.

-Aaron-

1571. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 23:17:41 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207181517.5378f8c2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130650

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<8vAZ8.2595$bu5.89546771@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>...
> <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d368a4b.22711597@news.cet.com...
> > Wanna take this a few rounds, too?
> >
>
> Just go ahead and read the Webster Dictionary,
> third college edition, page 1173 & 765 and look at the
> definition of "Rules" then "Laws" Two different meanings.
> Part 95 is rules, Part 49 or is it 45 (I don't remember
> which it is) is law, how come one is rules and the other is law?
> Why not have them both rules or both laws?
> But we went through this all before and I still stand
> by my statement that you are not breaking any federal
> laws when breaking Part 95 "Rules"
>
> Landshark

I'm picturing "Rabbit Ears" being led away to JAIL in chains by
federal marshals and he's wearing a T-shirt that says "BAD CITIZENS
HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE".

LOL, I crack myself up sometimes :)

-A

1572. Re: Your gov't is becoming quite the pill !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 23:18:09 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207181518.be916d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<22a5e694.0207180903.1eadd92b@posting.google.com>...
> "dj" <dougjensen2002@sprint.ca> wrote in message
news:<TcqZ8.1000$v1.22678@newscontent-01.sprint.ca>...
> > You're not as free as you say to be... day, by day, by day, it's all
> > slipping away...
> >
> > Alex Zapatka <alexzapatka@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:acbd886b.0207110955.173519c3@posting.google.com...
> > > if anyone is interested....
> > >
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365902717
> > >
> > > i know it will probably be droped by amazon... but i am keeping track
> > > of email addresses from it and i will contact people to see if they
> > > are still interested (you can also email me directly... but i dont
> > > regurlarly check these newsgroups any more... so dont post here)
> > >
> > > flames > /dev/nul please
>
> If the sale of what a Canadian resident is attempting to sell in the
> US (e.g. illegal CB amps, illegal export CB radios, etc) is prohibited
> by US law (and has been for a number of years) how do you figure that
> *our* freedom is being impinged upon?
>
> -A

It certainly has been - by our own government. Which is why Aaron H.
Voobner is THE Dumb@$$ TROLL. Try using your brain for a change.

It's probably not illegal in Canada or other countries. Why should it
be banned from eBay?

1573. Re: Your gov't is becoming quite the pill !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 23:28:20 UTC
From: Max <ve3tmt@rac.ca>
Message-ID: <v8IZ8.3318$1t.1510066@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 130652
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

"Murray Neece" <radioranch@cableone.net> wrote in message
news:3D36E5C6.A2F6F115@cableone.net...
> Doesnt help much that your Govt..keeps letting terrorists thru and into
our
> US...which is still, by the way....the most powerful and free country in
> the world....which we intend to remain...Put that in your PIPE and smoke
> it!!

Hey Murray,

You know I always thought you were a pretty decent guy. Until now. That is just crap about our government letting terrorists in and you obviously don't have the knowledge to back up your statement. Where are your facts? Two non-Canadian citizens are caught with explosives trying to cross into your country. Sure, I doubt they were going over for a fireworks celebration, but that is really no need to blame the rest of Canada. Do you have any idea how many US based truckers are caught trying to cross into Canada with firearms in the cabs of their rigs? And why do you think that is? Because it isn't safe to drive the streets of America, that's why. Canada is known world wide as a warm and peaceful country. That is why we get so many immigrants coming here. I personally don't agree with that, but that's the way it is. How many illegal (Middle Eastern, Asian, Mexican, Puerto Rican) do you have in your country? Hell your INS doesn't even know where 90% of the LEGAL immigrants are. If you plan on being the most powerful country in the world you might as well put a target on your back. Me, I'd just rather be welcome.

Don't bother replying, you're already in the kill file.

1574. Re: Your gov't is becoming quite the pill !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-18 23:46:38 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207181546.30f6e071@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<22a5e694.0207180903.1eadd92b@posting.google.com>...
> >If the sale of what a Canadian resident is attempting to sell in the
> > US (e.g. illegal CB amps, illegal export CB radios, etc) is prohibited
> >by US law (and has been for a number of years) how do you figure that
> >*our* freedom is being impinged upon?
> >
> >-A
>
>It certainly has been - by our own government.

Don't like the government? Elect officials that'll change it to your
likeing. Don't like the laws? Follow democratic process and change
'em.

>Which is why Aaron H.
>Voobner is THE Dumb@$$ TROLL.

How is it my fault that YOU don't like the laws?

>Try using your brain for a change.

I see you are using yours to bitch about laws you don't like but are
too lazy to change. That's a fine "use" for it.

>It's probably not illegal in Canada or other countries. Why should it
>be banned from eBay?

Good question. Ask eBay why it refuses to provide a vehicle for
foreign nationals to sell contraband to US citizens.

-A

1575. Re: Your gov't is becoming quite the pill !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 00:16:14 UTC
From: MSG <radmanxs@xstogether.net>
Message-ID: <ujemmvrmturq9b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

"Max" <ve3tmt@rac.ca> wrote in message
news:v8IZ8.3318$1t.1510066@news20.bellglobal.com...
>
> "Murray Neece" <radioranch@cableone.net> wrote in message
> news:3D36E5C6.A2F6F115@cableone.net...
> > Doesnt help much that your Govt..keeps letting terrorists thru and into
> our
> > US...which is still, by the way....the most powerful and free country in
> > the world....which we intend to remain...Put that in your PIPE and smoke
> > it!!
>
> Hey Murray,
>
> You know I always thought you were a pretty decent guy. Until now. That
is
> just crap about our government letting terrorists in and you obviously
don't
> have the knowledge to back up your statement. Where are your facts? Two
> non-Canadian citizens are caught with explosives trying to cross into your
> country. Sure, I doubt they were going over for a fireworks celebration,
> but that is really no need to blame the rest of Canada. Do you have any
> idea how many US based truckers are caught trying to cross into Canada
with
> firearms in the cabs of their rigs? And why do you think that is?
Because
> it isn't safe to drive the streets of America, that's why. Canada is known
> world wide as a warm and peaceful country. That is why we get so many
> immigrants coming here. I personally don't agree with that, but that's
the
> way it is. How many illegal (Middle Eastern, Asian, Mexican, Puerto
Rican)
> do you have in your country? Hell your INS doesn't even know where 90% of
> the LEGAL immigrants are. If you plan on being the most powerful country
in
> the world you might as well put a target on your back. Me, I'd just
rather
> be welcome.
>

Max, I agree to most of what you said, Murray was way out of line dishing on
Canada, On 9-11 My father was on his way back from Nova Scotia, the Boarder
Crossings were all closed, they waited near 8 hours to get back into the US,
the residents of the town at the crossing were offering food and water to

everyone who got caught on the Canadian side, I don't know for sure, but I would be willing to bet that didn't happen on the US side. there is no other Nation in the world I would rather have as a Neighbor, as far as the guns, people who try to take guns across the boarder to a country that does not allow them is just plain Numb, but I also believe that an unarmed Victim (People) is an easy target for criminals. If a criminal knows I am armed he will be less apt to break into my house. and surly would never walk out. If you disarm the public the only one with guns will be the criminals. Our hats are off to our good neighbor CANADA.

MSG

1576. Re: Your gov't is becoming quite the pill !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 00:27:51 UTC
From: MSG <radmanxs@xstogether.net>
Message-ID: <ujenco6fp92n99@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

I may be catching this thread late, so correct me if I am wrong, these items are not illegal to own in the US, just Illegal to use therefore Ebay should not Ban them ever, Same with Sirens and Blue Lights, not illegal to own just illegal to operate with out a permit. but Ebay Banned them too, Maybe Ebay wants to be the FCC Enforcement Division, or better yet worldwide Police for what is good or bad for you, but they will allow you to sell the nastiest Porno in the world. uh ???? where are their Ethics ???

MSG

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0207181518.be916d@posting.google.com...
> voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<22a5e694.0207180903.1eadd92b@posting.google.com>...
> > "dj" <dougjensen2002@sprint.ca> wrote in message
news:<TcqZ8.1000$v1.22678@newscontent-01.sprint.ca>...
> > > You're not as free as you say to be... day, by day, by day, it's all
> > > slipping away...
> > >
> > > Alex Zapatka <alexzapatka@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:acbd886b.0207110955.173519c3@posting.google.com...
> > > > if anyone is interested....
> > > >
> > > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365902717
> > > >
> > > > i know it will probably be droped by amazon... but i am keeping
track
> > > > of email addresses from it and i will contact people to see if they
> > > > are still interested (you can also email me directly... but i dont
> > > > regurlarly check these newsgroups any more... so dont post here)
> > > >
> > > > flames > /dev/nul please
> >
> > If the sale of what a Canadian resident is attempting to sell in the
> > US (e.g. illegal CB amps, illegal export CB radios, etc) is prohibited
> > by US law (and has been for a number of years) how do you figure that
> > *our* freedom is being impinged upon?
> >
> > -A
>
> It certainly has been - by our own government. Which is why Aaron H.
> Voobner is THE Dumb@$$ TROLL. Try using your brain for a change.
>
> It's probably not illegal in Canada or other countries. Why should it

> be banned from eBay?

1577. Re: Spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 00:34:10 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d375da2.1098170@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130657

In <8vAZ8.1654$Vx3.94408944@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d368968.22485251@news.cet.com...
>> And another thing is for sure, sharkie. Your name is plastered all
>> over the transexual/transvestite groups in the archives. I sure as
>> hell didn't make it up! So do you want to go a few rounds with this
>> again? I don't mind at all!
>>
>
> What ever you want to say Frank, difference is it's
>a court record, not some thing that was posted.

The difference is that I admitted my mistake, and even though didn't
agree with the ruling I accepted the consequences. I even have the
integrity to put my opinions about the incident on my web page, and I
certainly didn't do so at anyone's prompting. The difference is I'm
not hiding, and I'm not covering up my mistakes with lies.

So what's your excuse, sharkie?

1578. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 00:36:40 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020718203640.01858.00000874@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 130656

Sounds like a bad groung on the board nd they tried (with NO success) to
correct the problem. I still wonder what type of IDIOT it is who would buy
ANYTHING from Copper knowing the reputation they have and such as you who has
access to news groups like this.
Train
>Subject: Copper RIPOFF Electronics
>From: oris@dirtball.com (Oris)
>Date: 7/18/02 6:51 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <f7a9c6ac.0207181451.ea72425@posting.google.com>
>
>I just got my new Texas Ranger TR 696F SSB base station. Wow what a
>nice looking radio. Much to my suprise when I keyed the radio up it
>has a real bad hum in the signal. The audio sounds real bad too. I
>bought this radio as a new radio however the three screws accoss the
>back are missing. HMMM Also there was a warranty lable laying on the
>bottom of the box. You know that sticker that sayes if this lable
>removed or tampered with voids the warranty. Imagin that! It is my
>opinion that they sold me a used radio as new. They advertise a 696 in
>there deal dept that has a transformer hum at
>
>http://www.copper.com/cgi-bin/checkitout/checkitout.cgi?bargainsSTORE:CKI
E:prodLIK-NE0007001+
>. I beleive they sent me that radio. When I asked them about it
>Suzanne got hostal with me over the phone. The only help she offered
>me was to return the radio and I would have to pay another 20 bucs for
>shipping and handling. I bet they would of gotton a restockin fee
>also.
> I I talked to a Ranger technican. First thing that he had me do was
>check the power supply. He wanted to be sure that it was putting 13.8
>volts. It wasn't it was putting out 15 volts.Then He had me inspect
>the board and search for cracks I didn't see any but what I did see
>where resein marks all over the board. Like someone had worked on this
>radio before. He also told me that it was copper electronics problem
>and Copper sayes that its Ranger's problem. Ranger just called me back
>they want me to send them the radio (I wonder what that is going to
>cost me?) I think that the HUM is a audio leak. Its not a 60 cycle
>hum. The sound of the hum changes when I touch the radio or
>microphone. The hum is there with the stock mic as well as my D-104.
>But the hum changes when I touch the d-104. It sounds like a bad
>ground some where in the audio chain.
> Just another example of buyer beware. I bet I will not deal with
>Copper Electronics again. You burn me once its your fault you burn me
>twice its mine!
> 73 Oris

>
>
>
>
>
>

1579. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 00:51:19 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d375ef6.1438101@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130659

In <8vAZ8.2595$bu5.89546771@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d368a4b.22711597@news.cet.com...
>> Wanna take this a few rounds, too?
>>
>
> Just go ahead and read the Webster Dictionary,
>third college edition, page 1173 & 765 and look at the
>definition of "Rules" then "Laws" Two different meanings.

Generally they are synonymous. But there are many definitions for both
words, and if you are selective with those definitions you can defend
any interpretation you want to some degree. But no selective
interpretation can stand against the intent of the U.S. Constitution:

"This Constitution, and the Laws of the United States which shall be
made in Pursuance thereof; and all Treaties made, or which shall be
made, under the Authority of the United States, shall be the supreme
Law of the Land;"

It might be called a rule, statute, regulation, code, or anything else
that is synonymous with law, but if it was made under the authority of
the U.S. Constitution it's a law!

>Part 95 is rules, Part 49 or is it 45 (I don't remember
>which it is) is law, how come one is rules and the other is law?
>Why not have them both rules or both laws?

Your lack of knowledge on the subject is pathetic. Title 47 is the
section of the Code of Federal Regulations dealing with
communications, and Part 95 is section of Title 47.

> But we went through this all before and I still stand
>by my statement that you are not breaking any federal
>laws when breaking Part 95 "Rules"

Ever hear the phrase, "Ignorance of the law is no excuse"? In other
words, it doesn't matter if you are truly ignorant or just acting the
role: the law still applies to you, sharkie.

1580. What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 00:51:21 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020718205121.06975.00001334@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 130658

This may sound like a strange question, but isn't CB some sort of mobile communications device used in vehicles? A CB operates on the 27Mhz band and has 40 channels, with channel 9 for emergencies? This is a sincere question. I am new to this.

From reading this newsgroup, one can conclude CB is more that that. So, I have a few questions.

(1) What is CB? CB uses some kind of slang language. Is this part of being a CBer? Or can one use ordinary conversation and still be a CBer? Can CBs be used stationary,outside of your vehicle? Do you know anyone that uses it that way?

(2) What sort of modifications or tweaks are permitted in CB radio? Antenna adjustments? Power output? CB accessories?

(3) What is freebanding?

(4) What is 11m DX?

(5) Why do Hams hate CBers? If CB is just ordinary communication, what would a Ham feel this is bad or feel superior about?

1581. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 01:00:30 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.comJP23
Message-ID: <20020718210030.08505.00000159@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 130660

what a total geek!!!!! just get yourself a cb and learn like the rest of us.....haha.....have fun

Thatz-me-JP

1582. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 01:01:07 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d376293.2362708@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130661

In <3d36b542$1_4@goliath.newsgroups.com>, "steven c. hollifield"
<stevenhollifield@calmspirit.com> wrote:

>
>Have you ever or do you ever exceed the posted speed limits?
>
>Steven

Not intentionally. If I catch myself going too fast I slow down. I'm
one of those slow-pokes that pisses off the speed-freaks.

But if you really want to make a comparison, a young girl was killed a
short distance from my home just a couple weeks ago by a person that
had no regard for speed limits. A couple months ago, again near my
home, a 15 year old girl was killed when she was riding with a kid
that thought it was cool to speed on a busy road. A few years ago, and
also a short distance from my home, a young lady was decapitated by
some joker speeding up for a yellow light. If you are suggesting that
it's an insignificant violation to speed, you are horribly wrong.

1583. Re: re fcc question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 01:19:18 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d376608.3247770@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130662

In <20020718132532.01904.00000027@mb-de.aol.com>, lilyv7@aol.com
(LilyV7) wrote:

>kenneth, the reason the f.c.c. cant "do" anything about howard stern...and
>thank god that they cant.....is due to the fact that his "right" to say what he
>wants to, is guaranteed by the constitution.there is no protection for those
>(myself included) who "fudge" part 95. the system is working just fine when it
>protects "ugly" speech. a system that only protects your right to "nice speech"
>is meaningless. there are times when we need to be able to" harshly criticise"
>our government,politicians,and each other. free speech is a right,not a
>privilege.we in this country seem to be forgetting the difference between the
>two.

I'm not sure if I understand you correctly, but while everyone has the
right to free speech, you don't have the right to free speech in every
venue. That's why you can't yell 'fire' in a movie theatre. If the day
should ever come that the FCC eliminates CB radio, they would not be
preventing free speech, they would just be shutting down a venue.

Either way, when it comes to communication, money speaks the loudest.

>"the right to bear arms" is probably the single most powerfull right that we
>have.it guarantees that all your other rights "will" be respected.

Agreed without reservation.

> just look at
>the party that is trying to re-design our way of life.(demos) they are also
>trying to remove that obstacle to their plans,for they know that once they go
>too far.....we had better be disarmed. think about it........gunslinger

That might be a little paranoid for me to agree with, but I will agree
that the government should shift it's priorities. You can do your part
by voting responsibly. Otherwise your elected officials will be picked
by people like Dave-or-Debby. I don't think anyone wants that to
happen...

1584. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 01:20:30 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d37693d.4068404@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130663

In <20020718203640.01858.00000874@mb-mw.aol.com>, tra1n@aol.com
(TRA1N) wrote:

>Sounds like a bad groung on the board nd they tried (with NO success) to
>correct the problem. I still wonder what type of IDIOT it is who would buy
>ANYTHING from Copper knowing the reputation they have and such as you who has
>access to news groups like this.
>Train

Gee train, why didn't you handle -this- one by email like all the
others?

1585. Re: What is CB? LONG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 01:29:14 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D376B6C.FAB14CB9@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130664

This puts you at #5 on the Master Troll List. Lets see your last 4 posts again:
(In reverse chronological order)

I guess you were hoping we forgot about your post from June 19th.
****
Subject:
What is CB?
Date:
19 Jul 2002 00:51:21 GMT
From:
vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
Organization:
AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.cb

>
> This may sound like a strange question, but isn't CB some sort of mobile
> communications device used in vehicles? A CB operates on the 27Mhz band and has
> 40 channels, with channel 9 for emergencies? This is a sincere question. I am
> new to this.
>
> From reading this newsgroup, one can conclude CB is more that that. So, I have
> a few questions.
>
> (1) What is CB? CB uses some kind of slang language. Is this part of being a
> CBer? Or can one use ordinary conversation and still be a CBer? Can CBs be used
> stationary,outside of your vehicle? Do you know anyone that uses it that way?
>
> (2) What sort of modifications or tweaks are permitted in CB radio? Antenna
> adjustments? Power output? CB accessories?
>
> (3) What is freebanding?
>
> (4) What is 11m DX?
>
> (5) Why do Hams hate CBers? If CB is just ordinary communication, what would a
> Ham feel this is bad or feel superior about?
****
Subject:
Re: ARRL?
Date:
17 Jul 2002 04:57:24 GMT
From:
vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)

Organization:
AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.cb
References:
1

From: 'Doc w5lz@icok.net
> In the last 15 years, the ARRL has done more to
>harm amateur radio than they've done good. That's
>from a former ARRL member.

In my opinion, the ARRL advocates all aspects of the hobby, including the technical aspects.

Without the ARRL, if it would up to most Hams. The hobby would become a bunch of operators with no interest in the technology behind the hobby.
****
Subject:
Enlightenment
Date:
22 Jun 2002 03:34:10 GMT
From:
vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
Organization:
AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.cb

Never try to impart wisdom on the humble.

Most likely, the person is stubborn and wants to stay in their lowly state.
-If you fail in imparting wisdom, the person will bring you down to the person's level and you will expend so much wasted effort, which could have been much better spent elsewhere.
-If you succeed, the person will decide the person is suddenly better than you and that you are no longer needed and will abandon you.

The third (and desired) possibility, that the person will gain wisdom and appreciate it, is also the least likely outcome and is so unlikely as to be not worth pursuing.
****
Subject:
CB projects
Date:
19 Jun 2002 20:26:20 GMT
From:
vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
Organization:
AOL http://www.aol.com
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.cb

Any CBer's out there interested in working on some projects?

I have designed a transmitter for SW frequency. It works fairly well. I am working on designing a 27MHz (CB frequency) transmitter and other interesting projects.

I wanted to check out a Ham Radio club, believing they were interested in similar activities. It was disappointing to say the least. If Ham Radio lived up to even half its hype, it would be pretty interesting. My advice would be to get the licence, but then not be a Ham.

Trying to find Hams that are inclusive to the technical side (or even the operations side) is an exercise in futility. The only way to learn about the operational side seems to be buying your own equipment. But this is expensive, and why have a club if one cannot share experiences? Sometimes I just want to learn one aspect (for example APRS), but not use it all the time. Buying the equipment is then too expensive.

To be fair, I did have some opportunities to do some antenna installations. Also, Field Day is a good opportunity as well. But these opportunities occur too infrequently.

I do not consider myself a Ham. Being a Ham is following Ham traditions as much as getting the licence. Hams like to "Contest". This means contacting as many people within a given time period. While it may be interesting to contact someone from another country, the idea of rapid fire contacts does not interest me. Ham awards seem to emphacize volume over skill level. For example, there are awards for contacting every state ("Worked All States"). Also, I do not care for all the terminology that Hams use. Hams regularly use Ham lingo in their conversation. If my initial introduction was through Ham radio, I would have though this is boring or silly, and would have been doing something very different.

I am with the true spirit of CB operation. Mobile operation is interesting to me. I love the idea of doing something technical and how that fits together with operation. I liked doing calibrations and doing field tests. Its what got me interested in this area. I also like the adventourous aspect of radio direction finding and getting transmitters to work in various locations. I like working on on radio electronics circuits and setting up antennas.

1586. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 01:33:51 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020718213351.01641.00000810@mb-mg.news.cs.com>
Source record: 130665

>This may sound like a strange question

Not at all.

>but isn't CB some sort of mobile
>communications device used in vehicles?

It can be, but it's not limited to mobile or portable (h/t's or walkie talkies) operation.

>A CB operates on the 27Mhz band and has
>40 channels, with channel 9 for emergencies?

Correct.

>This is a sincere question. I am
>new to this.

And you deserve a sincere answer.

>From reading this newsgroup, one can conclude CB is more that that.

The FCC intended the "Citizen's" Band to be a short range land-mobile radio service. Your observation is correct however, much to the displeasure of those who belive it should remain exactly as originally intended back in 1958.

>(1) What is CB? CB uses some kind of slang language. Is this part of being a
>CBer?

There are as many different ways to talk on the CB as there are individuals in everyday life. The AMer's gernerally use the APCO '10' codes, the "hobbyists" generally stick to sideband and employ (albeit often incorrectly) the 'Q' codes, and the illegal high-power guys on ch. 6 use a lingo that I've yet to successfully interpret.

>Or can one use ordinary conversation and still be a CBer?

Sure you can. I QSO with the other op as though I'm having a friendly face-to-face conversation, be it on AR or the CB.

>Can CBs be used
>stationary,outside of your vehicle? Do you know anyone that uses it that way?

If you mean as a base station, yes. You must use a 13.8 volt DC power supply rated for about 5 amps. (That's for a sideband rig.) This is my setup. If you mean portable with a walkie talkie, the answer is also yes.

>(2) What sort of modifications or tweaks are permitted in CB radio?

Very few. You can bend a little with some modulation adjustments, (Power mic, speech processor, etc.) but the output power must remain at 4 watts AM and/or 12 watts P.E.P. (Peak Envelope Power) SSB. (sideband)

>Antenna
>adjustments?

Keep it at or below 60' and the sky's the limit. You are allowed to exceed this if you mount your antenna on a pre-existing structure, but no higher than 20' above said structure.

>Power output?

Again, 4 watts AM and 12 watts P.E.P. SSB.

>CB accessories?

Some of these can actually get you in trouble. (Theoretically, at least.) If a power mic causes your modulation to exceed 100%, you're in violation. Echo devices, roger beeps, and the like are theoretically illegal, but commonly ignored. Amplifiers are a different story. They're bad news so stay away from them.

>(3) What is freebanding?

Operating above or below the legal 40 channels. Generally, the accepted freqs are 26.0000 - 26.96499 MHz and 27.4051 - 27.9999 MHz. Pass the 28.0000 MHz line of demarcation and your in the 10-meter ham band...bad news.

>(4) What is 11m DX?

The CB is allocated in the upper HF spectrum. This supports a natural phenomenom known as sky-wave propagation. The transmitted radio waves are reflected off the ionosphere and back to Earth at great distances, hence DX. (Distance abbreviated.) DX on the CB is currently against the FCC rules, but commonly heard on ch. 38 LSB. (An unofficial DX calling channel.)

>(5) Why do Hams hate CBers?

Not all do and some are both, such as your's truly. Please see my other post/thread entitled 'The Latest R.A.I.N. Report' or visit:

www.rainreport.com

to hear a ham trying to help the CB.

>CB is just ordinary communication, what would a
>Ham feel this is bad or feel superior about?

Fear and insecurity. However, like I said...they're not all like that. Hope this helped. Take it easy. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1587. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 01:54:38 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d37712d.6101014@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130667

In <20020718205121.06975.00001334@mb-cr.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com
(Vshah101) wrote:

>This may sound like a strange question, but isn't CB some sort of mobile
>communications device used in vehicles? A CB operates on the 27Mhz band and has
>40 channels, with channel 9 for emergencies? This is a sincere question. I am
>new to this.

Sounds more like a troll post. But I'll give you the benefit...

>From reading this newsgroup, one can conclude CB is more that that. So, I have
>a few questions.
>
>(1) What is CB?

The first place to start is here:

http://wireless.fcc.gov/prs/citzn.html

The Citizen's Band radio service is a low-power short-range radio
service intended for personal or business use. RF power is limited to
4 watts using Amplitude Modulation (AM) and 12 watts PEP (Peak
Envelope Power) using SSB (Single-SideBand modulation). It is also
limited to 40 specific frequencies, or 'channels', from 26.965 MHz
(channel 1) to 27.405 MHz (channel 40).

> CB uses some kind of slang language. Is this part of being a
>CBer? Or can one use ordinary conversation and still be a CBer?

There is no requirement for 'slang'. Talk any way you want, just try
to avoid being rude and crude.

> Can CBs be used
>stationary,outside of your vehicle? Do you know anyone that uses it that way?

You can have a CB in your car or in your house, and there are even
hand-held CB radios.

>(2) What sort of modifications or tweaks are permitted in CB radio?

It is illegal to modify a CB radio to operate in any other way. Most
modifications are intended to make a radio 'loud', but not necessarily
'better'. These mods can distort your audio and splatter your signal
into nearby channels.

> Antenna
>adjustments?

Some antennas are better than others. Ask around and see what other
people prefer, then pick the one you like best. Antennas need to be
adjusted for best efficiency. The way an antenna is adjusted depends
on the type of antenna.

> Power output?

See above.

> CB accessories?

Whatever you want, provided it doesn't modify your radio or amplify
your signal. Many people find 'noise-toys' and 'roger-beeps' annoying.
External amplifiers, sometimes called 'linear amps', are not legal.
The typical fine for getting caught using an amp is several thousand
dollars. Even though the law is poorly enforced, know that most of
these amps are of poor quality and will splatter your signal across
several channels. Even if they work fine they will step on other
people's signals on the same frequency that you can't even hear. Amps
are rude -and- illegal.

>(3) What is freebanding?

Operation on any frequency without authorization. This is not CB, it
is radio piracy, and it is illegal.

>(4) What is 11m DX?

DX refers to long-range communication. CB radio is supposed to be
limited to 155 miles, but sometimes you will talk to a station
hundreds of miles away and it will sound like it's right next door.
This distance regulation might be dropped soon.

>(5) Why do Hams hate CBers? If CB is just ordinary communication, what would a
>Ham feel this is bad or feel superior about?

Don't believe everything you hear. Some people around here are trying
to stir up trouble by saying that hams hate CBers and vice/versa. The
only hate I have seen on the newsgroup, or anywhere else, it contempt
that illegal operators have for legal hams and CBers. That's my own
personal observation.

1588. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 01:59:06 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <KnKZ8.1783$M97.107952183@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130670

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> spewed in a message
news:22a5e694.0207181406.1dc0a8fd@posting.google.com...
> I'm sure as hell Landshark doesn't like the sound of that quote. I
> predict he'll search until he finds something to discredit
> Frankfurter.
>
> -A

I have been partial to this one myself:

The early 20th century was not much better. In 1912, feminist Margaret
Sanger was arrested for giving a lecture on birth control. Trade union
meetings were banned and courts routinely granted injunctions prohibiting
strikes and other labor protests. Violators were sentenced to prison.
Peaceful protesters opposing U. S. entry into World War I were jailed for
expressing their opinions. In the early 1920s, many states outlawed the
display of red or black flags, symbols of communism and anarchism. In 1923,
author Upton Sinclair was arrested for trying to read the text of the First
Amendment at a union rally. Many people were arrested merely for membership
in groups regarded as "radical" by the government.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom
is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

1589. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 01:59:07 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <LnKZ8.1784$M97.107952183@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130668

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d375ef6.1438101@news.cet.com...
> Ever hear the phrase, "Ignorance of the law is no excuse"? In other
> words, it doesn't matter if you are truly ignorant or just acting the
> role: the law still applies to you, sharkie.
>

Why yes they do, just like you sparkie ;^)
But to appear in federal court you must first break
part 95 "rules", be notified and made aware you are
breaking the FCC rules. If you continue to break
part 95 "rules", you then will be charged with breaking
part 47 laws and have to appear in Federal Court.
Take a look at the FCC website, that seems to
be the norm for how it works. Now there is a few
exceptions it seems when you are charged right away
with breaking part 47, but more rare than it is for littering
in you neck of the woods. (Just joking, don't burst a
gasket)

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press,
of association, of assembly and petition
-- this set of guarantees, protected by
the First Amendment, comprises what
we refer to as freedom of expression.
The Supreme Court has written that
this freedom is "the matrix, the
indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it,
other fundamental rights, like the right
to vote, would wither and die

1590. Re: Spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 01:59:07 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <LnKZ8.1785$M97.107952183@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130669

> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d368968.22485251@news.cet.com...

>
> The difference is that I admitted my mistake, and even though didn't
> agree with the ruling I accepted the consequences. I even have the
> integrity to put my opinions about the incident on my web page, and I
> certainly didn't do so at anyone's prompting. The difference is I'm
> not hiding, and I'm not covering up my mistakes with lies.
>
> So what's your excuse, sharkie?
>

Kudos to you for facing up to your wrong
doings. As for your ideas on "my" excuses? I
explained it and you don't like the explanation, too bad.
It seems to gnaw at you and a couple others more than
it bothers me, don't understand that. If I did those posts,
you and others have reposted it so many times, why
haven't I gone away in shame? Because it's just to tough
for those to believe some thing as simple as what I have
explained, you don't want to believe it because you feel
that you can post it again and it will bother me, it doesn't.
So next time you see that 2001 Gray Ford Mustang
going down your street @80 MPH.......... remember, the owner was the one
speeding, no it was his wife, no his daughter, nah it was his brother, WOW
it was his mother that was speeding, imagine that, not the registered owner.

Landshark

"Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and
petition -- this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment,
comprises what we refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has
written that this freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of
nearly every other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die"

1591. Re: Your gov't is becoming quite the pill !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 01:59:47 UTC
From: Max <ve3tmt@rac.ca>
Message-ID: <xmKZ8.3382$1t.1564264@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 130666
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

> Max, I agree to most of what you said, Murray was way out of line dishing
on
> Canada, On 9-11 My father was on his way back from Nova Scotia, the
Boarder
> Crossings were all closed, they waited near 8 hours to get back into the
US,
> the residents of the town at the crossing were offering food and water to
> everyone who got caught on the Canadian side, I don't know for sure, but I
> would be willing to bet that didn't happen on the US side. there is no
other
> Nation in the world I would rather have as a Neighbor, as far as the guns,
> people who try to take guns across the boarder to a country that does not
> allow them is just plain Numb, but I also believe that an unarmed Victim
> (People) is an easy target for criminals. If a criminal knows I am armed
he
> will be less apt to break into my house. and surly would never walk out.
If
> you disarm the public the only one with guns will be the criminals. Our
hats
> are off to our good neighbor CANADA.

Yeah I guess if Murray had of read the news after 9-11, he would have found out how many Canadians went out of their way to accomodate those trapped on our side of the border. Too bad one rotten apple has to spoil the whole barrel. I appreciate all your kind words.

1592. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 02:06:38 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0207181806.267c52f4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130671

oris@dirtball.com (Oris) wrote in message
news:<f7a9c6ac.0207181451.ea72425@posting.google.com>...
> I just got my new Texas Ranger TR 696F SSB base station. Wow what a
> nice looking radio. Much to my suprise when I keyed the radio up it
> has a real bad hum in the signal. (snip)

First thing you need to do is go to http://www.bbb.org and find out about filing a complaint with the Kentucky office in Louisville, where Copper is located. The resolution you should ask for when filing the complaint is a new Texas Ranger, shipped to you at no extra cost and Copper should pick up the shipping on the broken one. Next, contact the Consumer Affairs Division for Kentucky and see what you have to do to file a complaint with them. The next thing you should to is contact your local Postmaster General and find out how to file a mail fraud complaint. Sending a radio to you as new when it obviously is not new may violate state or federal law. After you've done that, you should contact Copper and tell them what action you have taken and how you want the situation resolved. They may be more receptive to working with you once they hear you are going to do more than pout and hold your breath.

1593. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 02:12:17 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0207181812.aebc04c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130672

The funny thing is that most "legit" ham radios can be modified just as easily if not easier than many 10 meter radios. One example: The Yaesu FT-100D. Remove one simple diode with a soldering iron and you can transmit on most of the HF band and even into some public safety VHF frequencies, so instead of harmlessly talking on frequencies between "Channel 40" and the 10 meter band, which I have yet to hear one LEGIT operator, you can cause interference to your local PD, fire or EMS!

Now, where's the sense in that? Check out www.mods.dk and you'll find very easy mods to open up TX on almost every modern ham radio in existance.

1594. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 02:16:33 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0207181816.40257744@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130673

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D36AE82.4083@worldlynx.net>...
> Since a great percentage of "11 meter users" have demonstrated
> indifference to conforming to part 95 already, what makes you think they
> would just throw away their rigs if the band became de-allocated?

I think the real point is that these people are not really doing any
harm to anyone with the exception of those that stray into the 10
meter band. At least there's no one on the frequencies they are using
other than fellow "CBers". Just think of the thousands of hams with
modified 2m rigs that have the ability to "Ker-Chunk" their local
police repeaters. Aren't these the REAL problems? We have someone
locally that I believe may have been a ham before because he keys up
on the local 2m and 70cm repeaters, causing all kinds of havoc. I
don't think a non-ham would go through the effort of getting a
dualband rig and learning how to use it just to cause problems. What's
to stop him from tuning that VFO up to the 150's?! Many departments
are still operating in that range!

1595. Re: cb help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 02:23:04 UTC
From: CitySlicker <nospam@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <cKKZ8.376$KM6.257701@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130675

What type of antenna is hooked to it?

"Gary Danaher" <gdanaher@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3D35F03C.ABDFD73F@attbi.com...
>
>
> zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > Hi All
> >
> > I'm a newbee at this please help.......I have a 40 channel Midland
> > 77-160 cb and I can't get any sound out of the cb when it hooked up.
>
> Did you check the squelch to see if it was turned down? Turn the knob
> counterclockwise and turn the volume up a bit, and you will have static if
> nothing else out of the speaker. If not, then the radio has a problem.
>
>
>
>
> > ..I
> > had the cb in a mazda truck and it worked great ...went to hook it up in
> > a ford 150 and nothing....
>
> Are you cedrtain that there is power to the radio now? Dumb question, but
> some people forget to plug things in from time to time.
>
>
>
>
> > question...If you don't have the whip's
> > grounded right will the cb make a noise..sometimes the cb works fine for
> > a couple of minutes and then nothing..... no sound.... and when I key
> > the mic no bars show up on the cb ....is there something wrong with the
> > cb or is if just the mic...
>
> Sounds like the basic problem is in the radio if the volume and squelch
are
> adjusted properly. The radio speaker should emit static noise even if
there
> is NO antenna, so that isn't the problem.
>
>
> >

> >
> > please help
> >
> > thanks all
> >
> > zeek.......
>

1596. Re: Your gov't is becoming quite the pill !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 02:24:15 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020718222415.22384.00000058@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 130674

The U.S. government puts ever-increasing restrictions on the radio frequencies which it's citizens are allowed to monitor (cellular, cordless, remote broadcast, etc.) Canada does not.

The U.S. government is now actively encouraging it's citizens to spy on one another and report on their neighbor's activities. Canada does not.

The U.S. government defines an "adult" as someone 18 years of age or older. It requires Selective Service registration by males 18+. However, it enforces prohibitions on gambling, purchase of alcoholic beverages, and purchase of handguns/ammunition until these "adults" reach age 21. Canada does not.

So now, where is the "land of the free?"

1597. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 02:38:52 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d377bba.8801841@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130676

In <LnKZ8.1784$M97.107952183@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d375ef6.1438101@news.cet.com...
>> Ever hear the phrase, "Ignorance of the law is no excuse"? In other
>> words, it doesn't matter if you are truly ignorant or just acting the
>> role: the law still applies to you, sharkie.
>>
>
> Why yes they do, just like you sparkie ;^)
>But to appear in federal court you must first break
>part 95 "rules", be notified and made aware you are
>breaking the FCC rules. If you continue to break
>part 95 "rules", you then will be charged with breaking
>part 47 laws and have to appear in Federal Court.

Maybe you missed the part about 'Notice of Apparent Liability'. The
FCC doesn't usually enforce regs through the courts except for
collection on an NAL (which may or may not be legal, but hasn't yet
been challenged in the Supreme Court).

> Take a look at the FCC website, that seems to
>be the norm for how it works. Now there is a few
>exceptions it seems when you are charged right away
>with breaking part 47, but more rare than it is for littering
>in you neck of the woods. (Just joking, don't burst a
>gasket)
>
> Landshark

Well, I'll tell ya what: The way the law is written in Spokane, if you
drop an -unlit- cigarette (it doesn't have to be lit, just "capable of
being lit") while you are walking down the sidewalk it's $950, but
it's only $25 to toss a lighter or book of matches. And the law is not
enforced with due process; there is no such thing as 'beyond a
reasonable doubt', it's by 'preponderance of the evidence', and even
the evidence is questionable. Hell, I could have tossed a lit joint
out the window and only got a $25 fine, just because it wasn't
tobacco. Not only that, but the entire littering law is enforced with
TOTAL disregard to another part of SMC requiring an officer to attempt
to correct the violation before a citation is issued. Don't -you-
think something is goofy there?

>
>--

>Freedom of speech, of the press,
>of association, of assembly and petition
>-- this set of guarantees, protected by
>the First Amendment, comprises what
>we refer to as freedom of expression.
>The Supreme Court has written that
>this freedom is "the matrix, the
>indispensable condition of nearly every
>other form of freedom." Without it,
>other fundamental rights, like the right
>to vote, would wither and die

And I used my right to freedom of expression to disclose the faults with the law in Spokane. I didn't use it to say that "bad citizen's have more fun because they are free", which is absolutely stupid. I have plenty of fun defending my rights. But it's a shame that the good citizens get criticized by the bad citizens for defending the rights of all citizens, good or bad. I guess that's how bad citizens have more fun, huh?

1598. Re: Spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 02:38:55 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d377bbe.8806015@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130677

In <LnKZ8.1785$M97.107952183@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
>news:3d368968.22485251@news.cet.com...
>
>>
>> The difference is that I admitted my mistake, and even though didn't
>> agree with the ruling I accepted the consequences. I even have the
>> integrity to put my opinions about the incident on my web page, and I
>> certainly didn't do so at anyone's prompting. The difference is I'm
>> not hiding, and I'm not covering up my mistakes with lies.
>>
>> So what's your excuse, sharkie?
>>
>
> Kudos to you for facing up to your wrong
>doings. As for your ideas on "my" excuses? I
>explained it and you don't like the explanation, too bad.

But you have never provided ANY explanation, sharkie!

1599. Re: Spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 03:01:04 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <QhLZ8.1797$Mu7.109208624@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130678

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d377bbe.8806015@news.cet.com...
> But you have never provided ANY explanation, sharkie!
>

I have both in e mail and on this
forum, you must have missed it, sorry.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom
is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

1600. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 03:01:04 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <QhLZ8.1798$Mu7.109208624@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130679

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d377bba.8801841@news.cet.com...
>
> Well, I'll tell ya what: The way the law is written in Spokane, if you
> drop an -unlit- cigarette (it doesn't have to be lit, just "capable of
> being lit") while you are walking down the sidewalk it's $950, but
> it's only $25 to toss a lighter or book of matches. And the law is not
> enforced with due process; there is no such thing as 'beyond a
> reasonable doubt', it's by 'preponderance of the evidence', and even
> the evidence is questionable. Hell, I could have tossed a lit joint
> out the window and only got a $25 fine, just because it wasn't
> tobacco. Not only that, but the entire littering law is enforced with
> TOTAL disregard to another part of SMC requiring an officer to attempt
> to correct the violation before a citation is issued. Don't -you-
> think something is goofy there?

Well Frank, I'll agree that you probably got
the short end of the stick. But how extreme could
one take that minor infraction?

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom
is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

1601. Re: Your gov't is becoming quite the pill !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 03:04:20 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <UkLZ8.1800$Yw7.109293676@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130680

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020718222415.22384.00000058@mb-fo.aol.com...
> The U.S. government puts ever-increasing restrictions on the radio
frequencies
> which it's citizens are allowed to monitor (cellular, cordless, remote
> broadcast, etc.) Canada does not.
>
> The U.S. government is now actively encouraging it's citizens to spy on
one
> another and report on their neighbor's activities. Canada does not.
>
> The U.S. government defines an "adult" as someone 18 years of age or
older. It
> requires Selective Service registration by males 18+. However, it
enforces
> prohibitions on gambling, purchase of alcoholic beverages, and purchase of
> handguns/ammunition until these "adults" reach age 21. Canada does not.
>
> So now, where is the "land of the free?"

But you can't make Sierra Nevada Pale Ale
in Canada, Eh.

Landshark

--
"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young

1602. Re: The Latest R.A.I.N. Report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 03:45:41 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207181945.42aeba2b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130681

eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) wrote in message
news:<20020718095653.07850.00001501@mb-mm.news.cs.com>...
> Hi all,
>
> I'm providing the link to the R.A.I.N. Report. R.A.I.N. stands for Radio
> Amateur Information Network. Now before I hear the usual whining about how this
> is a CB newsgroup, yada, yada, yada..., be advised that this IS CB related!
>
> http://www.rainreport.com/
>
> Here's the description from the opening page of the R.A.I.N. Report website.
> You can then select the 'PRESENT R.A.I.N. REPORT' in either WindowsMedia or
> Real Player format.
>
> "All Inclusive, Not Exclusive" The live town hall meeting sponsored by the
> Amateur Radio Newsline at this spring's Dayton HamVention generated some
> thought provoking talks we'll be bringing you over the next few weeks. None
> generated as much controversy as the keynote speech, delivered by Harold Ort,
> N2RLL, Editor of Popular Communications magazine. While his message may ruffle
> a few feathers, his call for merging ham radio's resources with those of other
> personal radio services in emergency preparedness is laudable. EVERY ham in
> America should listen to what he has to say. (16 minutes)
>
> Mr. Ort was very specific about CB playing a much greater role in the grander
> scheme of things. For those who think all hams are trying to bash CB, take
> heart. There are definitely some who really have the CB's best interests at
> heart. Folks like Harold Ort, N2RLL, Alan Dixon, N3HOE, Tom Kneitel, K2AES, as
> well as others who are trying to help the CB...whether it's speaking in favor
> of CB radio in front of a <potentially hostile?> crowd, trying to get an
> archaic rule lifted, or writing informative articles and/or books that counter
> the misinformation that presently exists re. the CB, they're out there doing
> something and I, for one, am GREAT ly appreciative. Thought you folks might
> like to know and give a listenas well. Take care all. :-)
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

I listened. Kudos to Ort for contributing something positive to the
CB/Ham issue. (Course it wouldn't hurt his magazine if CB became a
really popular hobby again.) I honestly think many CB'ers *would* rise
to the challenge and work together with hams if there were a 9/11 type
national emergency that required emergency comms. OTOH, some of the
comments I am reading in the other threads in this NG, many "11 meter"
hobbyists diss the US Government and make excuses for why they don't

have to follow rules. I can't imagine these folks would behave themselves as part of any serious radio net. And it would be a shame if the proposal of integrating CBers into a national emergency comm plan was dismissed because of their reputation for being untrustworthy when it comes to observing frequency allocations. Hopefully people like Ort will inspire the CB culture to abandon its preoccupation with "being loud" and get active in groups like REACT and the Red Cross again.

-A

1603. Re: Your gov't is becoming quite the pill !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 03:51:39 UTC
From: Alfred Carlson <wa7uhr@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3D378D45.1E70ACA@gte.net>
Source record: 130682
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Now fellows, lets knock it off. We're the best of friends and although we may not always agree on our philosophies on different issues, we must stick together and back each other up no matter what. Basically we're all family. I will always respect the constructive comments from any of my Canadian brothers and think that we should both remain strong in our mutual support of each other. 73's Fred... PS If you have seen it all in the USA, go visit Canada for a real treat!! Oh, bring your mobil HF for some fun.

Max wrote:

> "Murray Neece" <radioranch@cableone.net> wrote in message
> news:3D36E5C6.A2F6F115@cableone.net...
> > Doesnt help much that your Govt..keeps letting terrorists thru and into
> our
> > US...which is still, by the way....the most powerful and free country in
> > the world....which we intend to remain...Put that in your PIPE and smoke
> > it!!
>
> Hey Murray,
>
> You know I always thought you were a pretty decent guy. Until now. That is
> just crap about our government letting terrorists in and you obviously don't
> have the knowledge to back up your statement. Where are your facts? Two
> non-Canadian citizens are caught with explosives trying to cross into your
> country. Sure, I doubt they were going over for a fireworks celebration,
> but that is really no need to blame the rest of Canada. Do you have any
> idea how many US based truckers are caught trying to cross into Canada with
> firearms in the cabs of their rigs? And why do you think that is? Because
> it isn't safe to drive the streets of America, that's why. Canada is known
> world wide as a warm and peaceful country. That is why we get so many
> immigrants coming here. I personally don't agree with that, but that's the
> way it is. How many illegal (Middle Eastern, Asian, Mexican, Puerto Rican)
> do you have in your country? Hell your INS doesn't even know where 90% of
> the LEGAL immigrants are. If you plan on being the most powerful country in
> the world you might as well put a target on your back. Me, I'd just rather
> be welcome.
>
> Don't bother replying, you're already in the kill file.

1604. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 04:26:10 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d379496.397382@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130683

In <QhLZ8.1798$Mu7.109208624@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d377bba.8801841@news.cet.com...
>>
>> Well, I'll tell ya what: The way the law is written in Spokane, if you
>> drop an -unlit- cigarette (it doesn't have to be lit, just "capable of
>> being lit") while you are walking down the sidewalk it's $950, but
>> it's only $25 to toss a lighter or book of matches. And the law is not
>> enforced with due process; there is no such thing as 'beyond a
>> reasonable doubt', it's by 'preponderance of the evidence', and even
>> the evidence is questionable. Hell, I could have tossed a lit joint
>> out the window and only got a $25 fine, just because it wasn't
>> tobacco. Not only that, but the entire littering law is enforced with
>> TOTAL disregard to another part of SMC requiring an officer to attempt
>> to correct the violation before a citation is issued. Don't -you-
>> think something is goofy there?
>
> Well Frank, I'll agree that you probably got
>the short end of the stick. But how extreme could
>one take that minor infraction?

From who's perspective? The same violation used to be a bookable
misdemeanor!

1605. Re: Aaron acts like a cornered rat...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 04:36:00 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207182036.4db71323@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130684

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:<ags5rv$r1c$6@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:21442-3D2DA5BC-115@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > >You mind is playing tricks on you again, Twisted. If you recall - you
> > > were merely asked to prove that Beloit had enacted no CB laws.
>
> > I'm always busy. You also already are aware I do nothing for free
> > unless it entertains me. Even you can surely tell I have no concern
> > about what you believe. I merely take delight in watching your antics
> > cause your own ire.
> ===
>
> I have notice that, when cornered, Aagent Aaron will scream "Fake, Fake".
> He did the same thing when he dropped his guard and posted a Spam e-mail to
> some of us at the same time as posting on here... and his IP number matched
> that of the e-mail, proving that he sent the Spam e-mails to us.
>
> The more he screams "waaa, it's a fake", the more we know it has to be real
> and bad news for him.
>
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Peter.

Hi Peter,

Back again to troll the hams here again? Your pal Twisty is on
vacation. But if you wanna go a few rounds, let's do it.

Hey, look what I found. Beloit, WI is not mentioned. But Grand Rapids
and Battle Creek, MI are. Wonder of they enacted any CB laws? Oh I
forgot..."there is no CB interference problem" sez Twistedhed, "it was
all part of an anti-CB campaign cooked up by jealous no code hams",
LOL....

-A

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD
Thursday, June 22, 2000
106th Congress, 2nd Session

Statement of Senator Carl Levin
Regarding Introduction of Legislation to Authorize the Enforcement by State and Local Governments of Certain Federal Communications Commission Regulations
Regarding the Use of Citizens Band Radio Equipment

Mr. LEVIN. Mr. President, I am pleased to cosponsor legislation being introduced today by my friend from Wisconsin to address a problem that is unique to certain areas of Wisconsin and Michigan.

In the cities of Grand Rapids and Battle Creek, Michigan and in several Wisconsin communities, certain individual Citizens Band (CB) radio operators are using illegal equipment of a capacity which interferes with the home electronic equipment and telephone service of their neighbors.

As a result, these neighbors are forced to buy filters in order to screen out the interference, and in some cases the interference is so extreme that the filters don't even work. There have also been complaints that some of these "illegal" CB broadcasters are using profanity which is disturbing to the neighbors and interfering with legitimate use of CB radios by truckers and others.

The problem is exacerbated by a lack of Federal resources to stop the problem. In recent years, due to budget and staffing cuts, the FCC has decreased its enforcement efforts. The legislation being introduce today would authorize local jurisdiction to enforce the FCC regulations regarding use of citizens band radio equipment, while maintaining the FCC jurisdiction over the regulation of radio services.

The bill provides for an FCC appeal process available to any person who believes they are adversely affected by local enforcement action. FCC does not object to this approach or to this legislation.

Mr. President, this legislation offers a simple solution to the inability of the FCC, due to insufficient resources, to put a stop to illegal CB equipment use in parts of Michigan and Wisconsin. The legislating would allow local officials, who are more familiar with the specific problems and complaints in their areas of jurisdiction, to be authorized to enforce FCC regulations regarding the use of CB radio equipment. The legislation has the strong support of local government officials in the Michigan communities where CB interference occurs.

An identical bill has been introduced in the House of Representatives. I hope this legislation will be enacted in an expedited manner so that local officials will have the ability to stop the use of illegal CB equipment that is interfering with legitimate CB use and disturbing citizens of the impacted communities.

1606. Re: Magnum Delta Force 10 metre mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 04:51:46 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207182051.9401cfe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130685

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:<ah5omg$2ng$4@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d32acaf.1391524@news.cet.com...
> > In <1f2adfc1.0207142353.429df309@posting.google.com>,
> > toxic_waste@citizensband.com (toxic waste) wrote:
> >
> > >I've just been looking at the new Magnum Delta Force 10 meter mobile
> > >rig on the Copper site. Anyone got any views on Magnum? It's certainly
> > >a very pretty looking rig. Is there a simple mod to convert it to
> > >cover 11 meters as well as 10 meters?
> > >
> > >TIA
> > >tox
> >
> > But be careful: it might be an FCC sting operation....HAHAHAHAHA!!!
> > yeah, like THAT'S ever gonna happen!!!
> >
> ===
>
> Specially to someone in the UK.
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Brainbuster.

Hey look what I found.

http://www.radio.gov.uk/topics/enforcement/prosecution.htm

Pirate Radio and CB leads the enforcement actions in the UK. Fancy
that.

Also:

http://www.radio.gov.uk/topics/enforcement/restrict.htm

The Wireless Telegraphy (Citizens' Band and Amateur Apparatus)
(Various Provisions) Order 1998 applies to Citizens' Band radios
operating in the frequency band 26.1-28MHz which do not conform to
Agency specifications or UK Radio Interface Requirements.
CB radios which do not conform to Agency specifications or UK Radio
Interface Requirements can cause serious interference to other radio
services, including TV reception. For more details about CB radio see
RA 246 Citizens' Band Radio Information Sheet.

-A

1607. Re: Questions re. strange antenna on roof of gov't building

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 04:58:55 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <j0NZ8.8304$7p1.206758@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 130686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.scanner

Of coarse I am Canadian I have answered other posts of yours with a Canadian flavor.
The guys at Industry Canada are a good group. If you ask nice they will take you for a tour. I did this a few years ago and had a look at the radio tracking system. They can track any radio in the Lower Mainland in under 6 sec. including CB and cell-phone. The antennas that they use are remote and the signals and controls are shipped through the phone lines so they can even monitor week transmitters in Abbotsford or North Vancouver. All of the radios are computer controlled including the telephone pole radios that they can set up on any street. When I was in their they had the top of the line Icom wide band receivers including in the pole mounted box that I was shown. Also all of the scopes and station monitors needed to see if a radio was chucking spurs. Anyway I seem to have gotten of topic a bit what I wanted to say was that I can't recall seeing any antennas on the roof of their building nor when they were down town but you can look and let me know.

"Dev" <hmm@ohmy.com> wrote in message
news:1BHZ8.8205$7p1.179098@news1.telusplanet.net...
> ohh. well now that I know that WG is canadian and Ian is from Alberta.
> Someone should state that this antenna is in canada. I live about 5
minutes
> From Industry Canada's office in BC. I will see if they have one on their
> roof. If anyone else should have one. I presume they should. But can't
till
> the morning.
>
> Dev.
>
>
> WG <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
> news:rQGZ8.8176$7p1.175704@news1.telusplanet.net...
> > This is a shot in the dark but it sounds like it maybe a satellite
> > transmitter antenna. with the long ski looking thing being the feeder
horn
> > assembly. You might try posting to alt.binaries.radio-scanner with a
> picture
> > of it for better analyses. I saw what sounds like the same thing out
side
> of
> > the CBC TV studios a few years ago but this looked like one of those big
> > Swiss horns except it was about 30 feet long and about 20 feet at the
base
> > of the teepee quite the strange looking things.

> >
> > "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca> wrote in message
> > news:Pine.A41.4.05.10207172230180.44054-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca...
> > >
> > > On the roof of a government building near me there is a strange
> > > looking antenna (or at least what looks like one): it looks like a
> > > very long downhill ski which was wrapped in an upward spiral fashion
> > > around an Indian teepee, with a gap between each spiral turn of the
> "ski"
> > > of one "ski" width. Then the "teepee" was removed and the "ski" was
> > > put on some kind of wire mesh or similar frame. What is this antenna
> > > for? What could it do? What special characteristics could this
antenna
> > > have which would make it suitable for whatever task it is intended
for?
> > >
> > > A note to the trolls and flamers: I have already thought of the
> > > possibility that this antenna is used to monitor Indian radio
> > > transmissions or make smoke signals; so I scooped you. I am just
> > > trying to see whether I am right or wrong about this.
> > >
> > > Thanks in advance,
> > >
> > > Ian Douglas
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1608. Re: The Latest R.A.I.N. Report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 05:16:51 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207182116.5d7441fb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130687

eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) wrote in message
news:<20020718095653.07850.00001501@mb-mm.news.cs.com>...
> merging ham radio's resources with those of other
> personal radio services in emergency preparedness is laudable.

The emergency preparedness orgs on this site seems to have written off
CB as a useful resource. And guess who they blame?

-A

-----
http://www.commtechreview.net/index.html (click on "CB")

CB Radio for Emergency Response?

Because of the illegal high-powered CB operators out there, CB radio
is less than ideal for true emergency communications.

1609. Re: Your gov't is becoming quite the pill !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 06:16:36 UTC
From: bc <b@realtime.net>
Message-ID: <3D37AEC3.7010601@realtime.net>
Source record: 130688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

You and all Canadians are VERY WELCOME down here in my book!!!

Ebay is just anal (and paranoid) about things, just like some of the folks on this board and in this country. That's why I bought my Yupi scanner in Canada, it's not sold here due to the restrictive stupid, now very irrelevant scanner laws.

I for one, am very happy to have such a fine country next to us as Canada. You are great friends and I for one am glad to have you "up north".

Note: The planes did take off from US airports, fooled our so called security systems, the terrorists were trained in the required flying skills in our schools, they lived here for several months in our cities and used our banking system. Canada was just one of the many stops on the way for them. I see no blame on your part...

Terrorism is a world problem and it takes INTERNATIONAL teamwork to minimize it and it's effects. Pointing fingers at one another isn't gonna help anything.

Geez, after all you gave us John Candy, Shania Twain, Neil Young, Bob / Doug McKenzie, Kids in the Hall and SCTV. (also North of 60, a favorite of mine) Even one of my favorite guitars is Canadian made (Seagull). Just for that I'm forever indebted.

OK, I'll overlook Anne Murray for now...

TNX,
-bc
Your neighbor down south from the "real" city of Vancouver in Washington... :)

P.S. Sorry for the acid rain guys... Blame the greedy ones down here...

Max wrote:
> Me, I'd just rather be welcome.
>
> Don't bother replying, you're already in the kill file.
>
>
>

1610. Re: Q: now what

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 06:20:09 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ah8b9u$1jf$9@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130689

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207171538.726fe51@posting.google.com...
> "Tazanator" <tazanator1@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<xYiZ8.551205$352.94279@sccrnsc02>...
> > Okay while in germany with the army I bought a nice CB ... it
> > would change from one countries to another countries CB Band
> > thru a programming routine for the entire EU. Over here "thats
> > Illegal" but it does have a US mode (40 ch 4 watts) it does have
> > the FM but it is used in some countries. The deal now is, in the
> > US do I have to throw this away and buy a cobra (that is
> > inferior in preformance in all aspects) or is there some way to get
> > "type accepted"? If the radio remains in the legal limits is it a
> > problem?
>
> Also, I am curious what make and model it is and what what frequency
> range your radio covers. If it goes above 28 mHz and it was advertised
> as a "CB" they might have some explaining to the ITU to do.
==

Aaron,

This type of radio is common in the EU and UK.
The PLL is often designed to cover all the 27MHz allocations, not only in the EU, but also places such as Australia.
The idea would have been to reduce the component costs by making large numbers of just one type of PLL, in place of one for each country. They can also switch between AM and FM, so long as the complete radio has the circuits for both.

In the EU and UK, this is not a problem as there is no law against a PLL having the capabilities, so long as they are not available to the user on the built radio.
But, I believe that this would cause problems with the USA rules. Didn't the FCC tighten PLL rules many years back?
Personally, I cannot see him getting approval as the radio may not be type approved in America.

Does that help you, Aaron?

Regards,

Brainbuster.

1611. Re: Lou

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 06:20:11 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ah8b9v$1jf$10@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130690

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D35565A.5345@worldlynx.net...
> Brainbuster wrote:
> >
> > But we are talking of something rather different here.
> > Last year, a few doors from where I now live, a father arrived
> > home to find his 15 year ols girl unconscious. She DIED from
> > sniffing lighter fuel.
> > A few years back, another child on the next street died the same way.
> > The police still cannot find who ILLEGALLY sold fuel to children... but
> > the local store trader seems to have been "run out of town" for that
one.
> >
> > Every year, children get killed and seriously injured by fireworks...
> > and fireworks get "posted" through doors in the UK.
> > And, have you seen the death rates attributed to cigarettes. Most
> > smokers start as children and some traders will sell to children in
> > uniform, on their way to school.
> >
> > How many die as a result of illegal CB?
> >
> > Children suffer for the rest of their life as a result of what they are
> > put through by perverts trading in the pictures and films.
> > As much as I dislike what Lou F. has done to CB, It really does not
> > compare wilth what is done to children.
>
>
> Maybe not in magnitude, but the principle is the same.
>
> Prostitutes are prostitutes, whether they are peddling sex, drugs,
> or illegal CB equipment.
==

So that's where to get a "freeband" radio... from the local Tart ;~)
Now, where do I find a "ho" outside of Soho?

Regards,

Peter.

1612. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 06:20:13 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ah8ba1$1jf$11@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130691

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d352f90.1210382@news.cet.com...
> In <ah34euegm3@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
> <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >I had Frank killfiled until he decided to use a different addy.
>
> It must be confusing for you when I change my addy once every
> few years --
==

I have been on here just over a year, and have seen more than
one change already. How long is a "few years" to you?

==
> So now are you going to put me back in your killfile because
> you can't answer the questions I put to you in the other thread?
==

You must be sat on the edge of your seat, desperately waiting for every
reply from the people you consider "keyclowns". Yes, the group and
it's users ARE your life, you must have nothing else to live for.

I do not get the amount of time for the Net that you clearly do, so I do
not read all the messages and do not have time to "surf" during the week.
With having a family (and a life off the Net), I do not get much time at
the weekend either. My family are certainly more important and
deserving of my time than you or this newsgroup.

You would love to get me into one of those all night newsgroup argument
marathons that you seem to love so much, but it just isn't going to happen.
You will just have to learn to have patience and not to expect everyone
to be sat waiting for your every word... you just don't rate that highly.

It is obvious that, not only do you like to start arguments but, you will
try using psychology to keep someone arguing with you.
What you really mean is:
"Please don't killfile me, I love to argue".

==
> Go ahead; it's not like I don't expect you to run away from
> a few petty facts.
==

What, like blocking you before was running away from the fact that you
claim to have respect for terrorists who murder 1000s of innocent people?
It's not like I don't expect you to say anything if you believe it will anoy

people and start arguments or keep them going. Like that "respect"
claim just after the attack... timing is everything, right?

==
> > and doesn't the Tibetan
> >translate to "foul snowman".
>
> Not quite,
==

My Collins English Dictionary says:
Yeti: same as Abominal Snowman
Abominal Snowman: Translation of Tibetan "mtohkangmi" foul snowman.

Although World Book Millennium says:
"The word probably meant all-devouring creature"

==
> And I can't imagine where you came up with a nic like 'Brainbuster':
> that's about as relavent to your personality as 'SwangThang' would be
> to Dick, or 'AmpHead' would be to Voobner.
==

Depends upon which meaning of "Brainbuster" you are thinking of.
But, I cannot argue with your's being an apt name, I'll go with WB
Millennium on that one.

Brainbuster.

1613. Re: 10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 06:20:14 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ah8ba3$1jf$12@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130692

"Blaster" <shitblaster@volcanomail.com> wrote in message
news:90a278e1.0207170823.463b4435@posting.google.com...
>
> If the FCC wanted, just like amps they could actually amend their
> rules rather quickly. the "No amp shall be imported or marketed
> capable of operation on 24-35Mhz" is a REAL, Actual FCC regulation.
> They could easily put in a " No HF Amature Radio shall have a 40
> channel selector switch" , memories in Banks of 40ch, or a Band or
> other selector swith that is inoperative as sold". This would close
> the big loophole on all the Galaxy's connexes etc right away.
==

Then manufacturers such as Ranger would modify their designs, taking out the 40 channel selector. They could also give the spare switches other uses that the user may swap to give the extra functions. But with up / down frequency contols, a band selector will not be needed although it can be useful to get there quicker.

As they close loopholes, designs will evolve to get them through. The UK took the easy route... banning ALL 10 Metre only radios. One problem was that the equipment still got through via "John down the road" etc and the Government saw no tax from the sales. Another problem was that CBers started buying the old Amateur radios, giving them access to ALL HF bands.

Yep, the Amateurs were selling their old tackle to CBers rather than scrapping the outdated stuff that no Ham would want. When it comes to money, even the Hams will sell out... CBers were giving decent money for very old radios.

==
> And unlike amps, non of these radios are made here, so they
> woud severly dry up, & most major distriutors would be out of
> the 10M radio business, less they would become a target that
> they Aren't now.
==

Don't be too sure... didn't work here. The RA ended up withdrawing the rules and allowing the stuff through.
They would also need to clamp down on Amateur radios to stop them being modified. As CB is so close to the 10 Metre band, this could be very difficult to do without putting restictions on that would upset licensed Hams.

Regards,

Peter.

1614. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 08:39:32 UTC
From: piecheech@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020719043932.06557.00000479@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 130693

"CB" stands for "Complete Bullshit". Nothing means shit on the radio. People pretty much do anything they want. The FCC can't handle the administrative duties to regulate the airwaves. That's why they have FCC rules that practically nobody obeys. You have people breaking the rules just like what people do in their cars...until they get caught. Anything goes , people can buy equipment anywhere and everywhere. It used to be a hobby for the old WW2 veterans, then in the 70's it became a fad. Nowadays you can hear anybody using it for legal or illegal uses. It's a hobby for the most part. You'll hear or meet all kinds of people on the radio. If you are interested in chatting with locals or friends ,which it was really meant for that on low power so you wouldn't interfere with local utilities or radio/tv/telephone services. If you are lucky, you might be able to communicate farther via skip. Its exciting to hear DX come in and talk with someone from another state or country. On the other hand you have idiots out there who like to key up and interfere with others and use the radio illegally. Never give out your real name, you use handles. And never give out your true location, you'll never know who's out there to come after you.
Joe PieCheech

1615. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 09:22:17 UTC
From: dgose@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27954-3D37DA49-9@storefull-2214.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130694

CB is a great, cheap way to communicate and have fun.. it does not require a license, only common courtesy. Where as Ham radio requires higher cost equipment and training, any one can talk on a CB. It is low cost, reliable, fun communication. It is a great way to meet people, pass time, and keep in touch with with family and friends without paying long distance bills.

No... "slang" isn't necessary, most of it is based on old trucker talk derived so the Highway Patrol, and the "uninitiated" would not know what they were talking about, sort of like a secret language. Anymore tho, it's mostly nostalgia, about all you're likely to hear is "10-4" which means "OK" basically... just talk the way you would on a phone or in person... handles are not required.. but I think make it a little more fun.. just my opinion....

All you need is a decent playing CB and antenna, and a little common courtesy. Always ask for a break, try not to talk over people, and most of all, just have fun... that's what it's all about...

God Bless

Dale

1616. Re: Aaron acts like a cornered rat...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 10:52:57 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dcSZ8.39355$lu6.2202344@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130695

Well don't just stand there... throw the piece of cheese over the cliff.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

1617. Re: Your gov't is becoming quite the pill !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 11:39:11 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <ah90n7$2f9e$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 130696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

OFF TOPIC, but a thorn in my ass. I couldn't help but reply.

Why blame Canada????

On a house, locks on windows and doors keep the "honest" out. A REAL thief will get in. Same with terrorists. If the sneaky bastards want in the US or any other country, they'll get here/there by hook or by crook. BUT, some of you may not be so ready to admit, welcomed by our own government.......government sponsored programs with their own hidden agendas. And their crimes if committed? Covered by Diplomatic Immunity.....

I was in the Air Force many years ago. An AMERICAN service woman was raped by a foreigner - ON BASE. The guys wanted to go kick this guy's ass and make him pay.The Commander simply told them, he had diplomatic immunity and if they touched him, THEY would be the ones to end up in prison. Now if that were US, we would be in prison in hours OR worse, especially in another country.

No, don't blame Canada..... BLAME THE TRUE culprits. OUR ELECTED OFFICIALS......The same ones who keep dolling out millions and billions in aid to those who wish us harm when our own people can't even afford health care. SCREW THEM.... Take care of our own who have paid into this system for how many years... CHARITY begins at home. Further more, start treating the criminals and terrorists as such instead of this they have more rights than we do CRAP, and we'll all be better off.

I'm sick of this Civil Rights CRAP of they have "rights". Yeah, what about all the people these scum bags killed innocently? What happened to theirs? I say wipe em all out like the disease they are........The terrorists who are in "jail" are getting a free meal, medical and a roof over their heads. More than a lot of our HARD WORKING citizens can afford in a lot of cases. Especially the seniors who have paid into our system and have to choose between medicine to live and food or housing. They keep saying how BROKE our Social Security system is.. WELL GEE!!!!!!!!!!! Instead of a few billion TO Afghanistan or some other God forbidden country, how about INTO SOCIAL SECURITY!!!!!!!!!

No people, don't go blaming Canada..Instead focus the energy where it is really needed.... STRENGTHENING OUR USA against all the CRAP......Starting in WASHINGTON.

This country started with a struggle, basically with nothing more than the clothes these people had on their backs. IF this country can do it, so can they. THEY are the worst case scenarios when it comes to WELFARE! The leaders get it, the people are lucky to see it. Let those countries struggle

like we did. IF we did it - so can they. You have to let the lazy bastards get up off their asses to do some thing first!

You know, it amazes me.......THINK ABOUT IT. When a Earthquake hits anywhere or hurricane, whatever....we are so quick to send food, money (BILLIONS) to them. And NOT one word is said about "LOANS" OR PAY BACK. When WE get hit, we get shit for asking for it from our own, let alone others. AND to rebuild "our" homes and businesses? LOW INTEREST LOANS!!!!!!!!! How fair is that?

Want to fight terrorism????????

STOP THE FOREIGN AID AND OPEN INVITATIONS! START LOOKING OUT FOR U.S. {US}.

Lou/Tech@DP.NET

"Alfred Carlson" <wa7uhr@gte.net> wrote in message news:3D378D45.1E70ACA@gte.net...
> Now fellows, lets knock it off. We're the best of friends and although we may
> not always agree on our philosophies on different issues, we must stick together
> and back each other up no matter what. Basically we're all family. I will
> always respect the constructive comments from any of my Canadian brothers and
> think that we should both remain strong in our mutual support of each other.
> 73's Fred... PS If you have seen it all in the USA, go visit Canada for a real
> treat!! Oh, bring your mobil HF for some fun.
>
> Max wrote:
>
> > "Murray Neece" <radioranch@cableone.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D36E5C6.A2F6F115@cableone.net...
> > > Doesnt help much that your Govt..keeps letting terrorists thru and into
> > our
> > > US...which is still, by the way....the most powerful and free country in
> > > the world....which we intend to remain...Put that in your PIPE and smoke
> > > it!!
> >
> > Hey Murray,
> >
> > You know I always thought you were a pretty decent guy. Until now. That is
> > just crap about our government letting terrorists in and you obviously don't
> > have the knowledge to back up your statement. Where are your facts? Two

> > non-Canadian citizens are caught with explosives trying to cross into
your
> > country. Sure, I doubt they were going over for a fireworks
celebration,
> > but that is really no need to blame the rest of Canada. Do you have any
> > idea how many US based truckers are caught trying to cross into Canada
with
> > firearms in the cabs of their rigs? And why do you think that is?
Because
> > it isn't safe to drive the streets of America, that's why. Canada is
known
> > world wide as a warm and peaceful country. That is why we get so many
> > immigrants coming here. I personally don't agree with that, but that's
the
> > way it is. How many illegal (Middle Eastern, Asian, Mexican, Puerto
Rican)
> > do you have in your country? Hell your INS doesn't even know where 90%
of
> > the LEGAL immigrants are. If you plan on being the most powerful country
in
> > the world you might as well put a target on your back. Me, I'd just
rather
> > be welcome.
> >
> > Don't bother replying, you're already in the kill file.
>

1618. Re: 13.8V@10 AMPS POWER SUPPLY WITH BUILT IN MODULATOR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 12:02:52 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D37FFEC.78A@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 130599

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3D36B28D.3D6F@worldlynx.net...
> > Assuming that you really don't know what a "modulator" is (I suspect
> > otherwise), I'll take the bait.
> >
> > Conventional wisdom, and in standard radio terminology, a "modulator",
> > is simply the stage that applys the voice information to the carrier in
> > a transmitter. In the old days, many transmitters had separate
> > modulators. A 50 watt modulator was good to plate modulate a 100 watt
> > transmit carrier, to make 100% modulation on AM.
> >
> > In "CB science" terms, a "modulator" is a deliberately NON-linear
> > amplifier, usually a small one, that, because of its class "C"
> > characteristics, shows a greater gain during the rise of the modulation
> > envelope, than during the carrier. The net effect is a boost in
> > modulation percentage, with respect to the carrier. As you might guess,
> > those non-linear characteristics are also responsible for distortion
> > products and typical spurious emissions normally associated with a class
> > "C" amp being improperly driven on AM. So, for anyone who is responsible
> > enough to want to run a clean signal, this would not be a good choice.
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> >
> >
>
> Dave, I question whether it in fact raises modulation percentage. Given
> that the input was pretty close to 100% as most rigs with intact limiters
> are, if it did in fact raise modulation it would be absolutely
> unintelligible to the usual diode AM detector. The fact that it can be
> understood at all leads me to believe that the carrier is being amplified
> as well. So gee, we have a swang machine that at great cost and
> distortion successfully fakes out a slow-attack AGC.
>

Dick,

I never said it was a "clean" amp. Because class "C" amps are biased at
cutoff above the zero crossing point of the waveform, if a low enough
drive level is applied, a great majority of the dead key is at or
slightly above cutoff, which results in poor gain of the carrier, while
the modulation peak is in the high gain area. This non-linear
amplification results in more of the modulation envelope being

amplified, then the carrier, which give that "swing" that many guys are misled to believe, is desirable.

Is it distorted? You bet! Does it splatter? Is the Pope Catholic? But it sure moves that wattmeter forward on modulation peaks, and that's all many people care about. And these are the same people who claim to be smarter than hams. Makes you wonder.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1619. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 12:09:39 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d37f49b.569242@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130597

In <ah8ba1$1jf$11@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
<brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:

><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d352f90.1210382@news.cet.com...
>> In <ah34euegm3@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
>> <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >I had Frank killfiled until he decided to use a different addy.
>>
>> It must be confusing for you when I change my addy once every
>> few years --
>==
>
>I have been on here just over a year, and have seen more than
>one change already. How long is a "few years" to you?

I have had three addresses in the past five years. If you see more
than that then you need to make an appointment with an eye doctor.

>==
>> So now are you going to put me back in your killfile because
>> you can't answer the questions I put to you in the other thread?
>==
>
>You must be sat on the edge of your seat, desperately waiting for every
>reply from the people you consider "keyclowns". Yes, the group and
>it's users ARE your life, you must have nothing else to live for.

And you must speak with your therapist about your delusional
personality disorder.

>I do not get the amount of time for the Net that you clearly do, so I do
>not read all the messages and do not have time to "surf" during the week.
>With having a family (and a life off the Net), I do not get much time at
>the weekend either. My family are certainly more important and
>deserving of my time than you or this newsgroup.

If you have a family and a life, then what the hell are you doing
trolling around in a newsgroup? Hell, if I was in your position I
wouldn't have any time at all to troll around in here.

>You would love to get me into one of those all night newsgroup argument
>marathons that you seem to love so much, but it just isn't going to happen.
>You will just have to learn to have patience and not to expect everyone
>to be sat waiting for your every word... you just don't rate that highly.

What did you smoke for dinner? I want some!

>It is obvious that, not only do you like to start arguments but, you will
>try using psychology to keep someone arguing with you.
>What you really mean is:
> "Please don't killfile me, I love to argue".

I really don't care what you do. If you killfile me after you have painted yourself into a corner, then the reason you need a killfile is obvious -- you words can't hold up to any logical scrutiny. If you -don't- killfile me then you have some really tough questions to answer. How about answering them instead of whining about killfiles?

>==
>> Go ahead; it's not like I don't expect you to run away from
>> a few petty facts.
>==
>
>What, like blocking you before was running away from the fact that you
>claim to have respect for terrorists who murder 1000s of innocent people?
>It's not like I don't expect you to say anything if you believe it will anoy
>people and start arguments or keep them going. Like that "respect"
>claim just after the attack... timing is everything, right?

Ba-a-a-ah ba-a-a-ah ba-a-a-ah! Believe what you are told and follow the herd like the rest of the sheep.

I said that I have respect for anyone that has the courage to stand up and fight for what they believe is right. I also said that I believe that the morals of these terrorists were truly fucked up, but I still respected their courage to do what they did (courage = ability to face their fears). That's all. Nobody can tell me that these guys did this without any fear to overcome.

From OUR perspective they seemed like cold-blooded killers, but from THEIR perspective they probably thought that they were doing the will of Alla (and even Muslims know how people can be misguided into doing terrible acts in the name of religion). Unless you have been to that part of the world and have tried to understand some of the reasons these people hate Americans so much, you really can't say shit except that they did something really bad. In their society, men, women and children are killed just for living on the wrong side of the tracks. That is how they grew up and that is what they believe: None of their oppressors has ever made any distinction between military and civilians when their people were killed: you can't expect them to grant us the courtesy of protecting our innocent when that same courtesy has never been given to them.

And this is not an excuse -- it's a reason. If you can't understand the culture, don't criticize someone that can.

Like I said, I can respect courage, which is something that every person must face at some point in their lives. Their ability to overcome that fear deserves more respect than I can give for some of

the whiny nameless twits on this newsgroup that make every excuse in the book to abuse the rights granted them by the best country in the world, yet don't have the balls to put a name to their words. I'm sure that even you can respect that. Unless, of course, you are one of those whiney nameless twits.

Regardless, you seem to have a problem understanding what I said. It's not like I didn't explain it about a hundred times already; maybe my comments were posted at the wrong time of the month for you. I hope you know that you can ask your doctor about hormone replacement therapy, and there are plenty of over-the-counter medications to relieve monthly bloating and cramps. Maybe you should look into some of those remedies.

>==
>> > and doesn't the Tibetan
>> >translate to "foul snowman".
>>
>> Not quite,
>==
>
>My Collins English Dictionary says:
>Yeti: same as Abominal Snowman
>Abominal Snowman: Translation of Tibetan "mtohkangmi" foul snowman.
>
>Although World Book Millennium says:
>"The word probably meant all-devouring creature"

Figure it out, then post the facts instead of your preferred interpretations.

>==
>> And I can't imagine where you came up with a nic like 'Brainbuster':
>> that's about as relavent to your personality as 'SwangThang' would be
>> to Dick, or 'AmpHead' would be to Voobner.
>==
>
>Depends upon which meaning of "Brainbuster" you are thinking of.

Like it matters -- none of them seem to apply.

>But, I cannot argue with your's being an apt name, I'll go with WB
>Millennium on that one.

Take it any way you want. Your interpretations have as much credibility as any other keyclown. IOW, the keyclowns will pick up on whatever you say and try and jerk my chain with it; but they will do so because they can't think for themselves -- you should really charge them the time you spend obsessi... er, researching my statements.

1620. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 12:13:33 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D38026D.70C7@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 130600

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> >I want to move to your town. That pretty much describes the CB scene in
> >my area 25-30 years ago. Unfortunately, it's not like that here any
> >more.
>
> Hi Dave,
>
> I live in suburban LI, NY and while it's certainly not perfect, it's far from
> the ugly picture some paint on the newsgroup. Sure, there's the ever-present
> ch. 6 that was there "way back then" too. For the most part the channels are
> quiet and you can always find a few friendly locals. Ch.'s 36 through 40 are
> pretty much SSB only with ch.38 LSB as the defacto calling frequency.

One nice side benefit of the sunspot cycle is that it drives away many
of the idiots, who tire of the high noise levels. But like chemotherapy,
it also kills some of the good people as well. Last night I took a spin
of the dial, and heard practically nothing. There was evidence of a
small amount of skip, but nothing above S3, but there were no locals at
all. Even the "echo-box-roger-beep" channel was dead, as was the SSB
group that I used to check in with on 36 LSB. The next few years will be
interesting. I'll see who rises up from the ashes, and maybe there will
be some hope.

>
> I do remember the times you speak of when the band was crowded, but courteous.
> It wa a cool time. Take it easy.

Yes it was. I truly miss it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1621. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 12:29:42 UTC
From: ZEKESTAR@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27158-3D380636-21@storefull-2332.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130598

bad people are not free when they get caught.

1622. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 12:31:03 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D380687.5DC3@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 130601

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> >Congress told the FCC that
> >it could no longer charge for licenses to the general public. This meant
> >that CB and ham licenses were free. This cost the FCC millions and is the
> >main reason that the FCC dropped the license requirement for CB in the first
> >place.
>
> I sure wish they'd bring licensing back to the CB. :-(

I doubt that licensing would solve any of the ills that affect the CB band. Those who blatently operate illegally wouldn't even bother getting one (That's what it became like in the late 70's-80's, even when they dropped the price down to $4 and then free). The amount of revenue that the FCC would get, from those that do get the license, would barely pay for the processing costs, and leave little extra to put toward enforcement of the rules.

Having a CB license would tag you as a "CBer" much the same as a ham license tag tags the ham, and would clarify some of the classification of certain rule infractions. You could then technically call a freebander an "illegal CBer". That would be even more incentive for the hardcore freebanders to NOT get a license, unless, of course you multiply the fines by a factor of 10 for anyone that doesn't have a license. But it still won't help the FCC catch anyone.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1623. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 12:46:56 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D380A40.69EA@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 130602

'Doc wrote:
>
> Dave,
> It really wouldn't be that difficult to do. First,
> do away with Part-95, no such thing as CB radio in the
> US. With no legitimate market, the manufactures quit
> making radios.

That part is absolutely true. The new radio market will dry up in the US. But CB radio will still be legal in other countries, and manufactureres would still offer those bogus "10 meter radios" as well. They can't seem to control the import of illegal radios now (not to mention drugs and illegal immigrants), it would take some serious commitment to put a dent in the radio import now.

> No problem at all finding 'illegal' operators, they ~all~ are.

MANY are illegal now, but the sheer volume of operators, coupled with the meager amount of money allocated to the FCC to cover this effort, and you can see why we have the mess that we have now let alone trying to shut it down completely. In order for an effective sweep of the CB band to be effective, the FCC would have to get a whole lot stronger. That won't happen unless congress frees up more money. And THAT won't happen until someone makes a strong enough case that purging the CB band is a high enough priority that it could justify shifting the money away from other programs like education and defense (or raising taxes!). Somehow, I just don't see it happening.

> Introduce another bill such
> as PL-106-521, making it enforceable from local levels.

This might work, but then you open a whole lot of probable cause and right of privacy issues, not to mention complaints from hams and other licensed operators who would undoubtedly be hassled in the process. Effective disguise antennas, and covert tactics would nullify much of the local effort without obtaining search warrants. And the real irony is that many "CBers" would end up being hams, who would get away with having antennas.

> Give it 6 months or so for the 'word' to get around.
> Make examples out of a few 'die-hards'. Bang, it's done.
> Would it be very popular? No. Would it be easy?
> Not really, but not ~that~ hard either. Is it very
> likely? Not yet, but it's getting closer. Don't say it
> can't be done, because it certainly can! Push 'them'
> hard enough and we'll all find out.

Sure, and our government could be overthrown by Osama Bin Laden and turned into a Muslim fundamentalist state and we could all be killed for even saying the word freedom. Anything is possible. The real question is, Is it likely? And taking into account all of the issues, I'd still say the answer is no.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1624. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 13:05:03 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D380E7F.2492@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 130603

Vshah101 wrote:
>
> This may sound like a strange question, but isn't CB some sort of mobile
> communications device used in vehicles? A CB operates on the 27Mhz band and has
> 40 channels, with channel 9 for emergencies? This is a sincere question. I am
> new to this.

That's essentially correct, although you can also run a CB in a house or
a handheld version.
>
> From reading this newsgroup, one can conclude CB is more that that. So, I have
> a few questions.
>
> (1) What is CB? CB uses some kind of slang language. Is this part of being a
> CBer? Or can one use ordinary conversation and still be a CBer? Can CBs be used
> stationary,outside of your vehicle? Do you know anyone that uses it that way?

Just like any other "specialty group" there is some jargon, and
"slanguage" associated with it. Some is technical, some is just lingo.
You don't have to master it to be a CBer. In fact the people who use too
much CB lingo are usually laughed at.

>
> (2) What sort of modifications or tweaks are permitted in CB radio? Antenna
> adjustments? Power output? CB accessories?

No modifications to the radio are allowed by law. You can attach a
"power mike" to the radio, and you can run whatever antenna setup you
can construct as long as it is no higher than 60 feet off the ground or
20 feet above the building it's on.

>
> (3) What is freebanding?

When a radio hobbyist illegally modifys a CB radio, or buys an ham
radio, to use frequencies outside the legal 40 channels. It provided a
quieter channel, but it is illegal.

>
> (4) What is 11m DX?

When a CB signal is reflected by the ionosphere allowing it to travel
hundreds or thousands of miles. Normally, when the atmosphere is not
"active" a CB signal will only travel 10-40 miles depending on terrain.
Since the CB band was created for short distance communications, there
is a 150 mile limit for legal communications. Anything more than that is
considered illegal.

> (5) Why do Hams hate CBers? If CB is just ordinary communication, what would a
> Ham feel this is bad or feel superior about?

Hams do not hate CBers. But they do not respect anyone that disregards
the FCC rules.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

1625. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 13:18:04 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d380fc6$0$13954$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130604

Yeah, like that's going to happen!

"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020718102536.07850.00001508@mb-mm.news.cs.com...
> >Congress told the FCC that
> >it could no longer charge for licenses to the general public. This meant
> >that CB and ham licenses were free. This cost the FCC millions and is
the
> >main reason that the FCC dropped the license requirement for CB in the
first
> >place.
>
> I sure wish they'd bring licensing back to the CB. :-(
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

1626. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 13:38:08 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d381479$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130605

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D37135E.F4DCBA3C@icok.net...
>
>
> Dave,
> It really wouldn't be that difficult to do. First,
> do away with Part-95, no such thing as CB radio in the
> US. With no legitimate market, the manufactures quit
> making radios. No problem at all finding 'illegal'
> operators, they ~all~ are. Introduce another bill such
> as PL-106-521, making it enforceable from local levels.
> Give it 6 months or so for the 'word' to get around.
> Make examples out of a few 'die-hards'. Bang, it's done.
> Would it be very popular? No. Would it be easy?
> Not really, but not ~that~ hard either. Is it very
> likely? Not yet, but it's getting closer. Don't say it
> can't be done, because it certainly can! Push 'them'
> hard enough and we'll all find out.
> 'Doc
>
> PS - Link it to the terrorism threat and it'll be done
> damn near over night.

Actually linking CB use to a serious crime is pretty easy. There's a small town just north of San Antonio call Selma. Back in the 70's they were known as one of the biggest speed-traps in this part of Texas. The local cops would sit behind road signs and nail speeders doing 56MPH. Sure it was difficult to enforce 56MPH but you had to either pay the fine or fight it in court. Most just paid the fine. CBers would, of course, tell everyone where the cop was sitting. State law (and probably federal law too) forbids you from devulging the location of a peace officer while he is performing his duty. It is also illegal to interfere with his duties. So if the cops thought that someone was using their CB to help other avoid getting a ticket they would bring in the federal regulations and also charged them with using a CB radio to aid in the commission of a crime. They'd charge them with all sorts of wild things like "conspiracy," "interfering with a police officer," "using a radio transmitter to aid in the commission of a crime...." This added felony charges to the mistimenor charge of speeding. This went on for a couple of years.

Kevin, WB5RUE

1627. Re: The Latest R.A.I.N. Report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 14:17:20 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020719101720.13468.00001859@mb-ma.news.cs.com>
Source record: 130606

>> merging ham radio's resources with those of other
>> personal radio services in emergency preparedness is laudable.
>
>The emergency preparedness orgs on this site seems to have written off
>CB as a useful resource. And guess who they blame?

At least the ARRL saw fit to enter a loose affiliation with REACT, not too long ago. If you start the journey not believing, you've already lost. Of course, cautious optimism is warranted as well. You don't want to be the guy who jumps off a 50 story building in an attempt to fly and proclaims, "So far, so good" as he passes the 10th story.

Still, folks like Ort, Dixon, Kneitel, and the decent ops on 11-meters who take the time to pen a few words to their state and local reps will be the ones who hopefully make the difference.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1628. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 14:30:31 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <boVZ8.2959$EZ3.113471733@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130607

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3d381479$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> Actually linking CB use to a serious crime is pretty easy. There's a
small
> town just north of San Antonio call Selma. Back in the 70's they were
known
> as one of the biggest speed-traps in this part of Texas. The local cops
> would sit behind road signs and nail speeders doing 56MPH. Sure it was
> difficult to enforce 56MPH but you had to either pay the fine or fight it
in
> court. Most just paid the fine. CBers would, of course, tell everyone
> where the cop was sitting. State law (and probably federal law too)
forbids
> you from devulging the location of a peace officer while he is performing
> his duty. It is also illegal to interfere with his duties. So if the
cops
> thought that someone was using their CB to help other avoid getting a
ticket
> they would bring in the federal regulations and also charged them with
using
> a CB radio to aid in the commission of a crime. They'd charge them with
all
> sorts of wild things like "conspiracy," "interfering with a police
officer,"
> "using a radio transmitter to aid in the commission of a crime...." This
> added felony charges to the mistimenor charge of speeding. This went on
for
> a couple of years.
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE

Sorry Kevin, it's not against federal law or for that fact most
state laws to inform people that a peace officer is using a radar gun to
enforce speed limits. To interfere with a peace officer while he is
performing his duty, he has to actively be engaged with an incident, so just
telling people that he is using
radar ahead of them is not interfering with his duties. If he
was stopped with a speeder and you came over and started to
interfere with the traffic stop, that would be hampering his
duties. The ACLU would've had a field day on the
you scenario described, stick to radio legalities kev,
you do much better.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

1629. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 14:30:31 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <boVZ8.2960$lQ3.112962672@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130608

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d379496.397382@news.cet.com...
> > Well Frank, I'll agree that you probably got
> >the short end of the stick. But how extreme could
> >one take that minor infraction?
>
> From who's perspective? The same violation used to be a bookable
> misdemeanor!
>

What I meant, if I , as an individual, how far
could I take the fact that you littered to an extreme?
Could I go on a crusade for the environment? Explain
that if one gets caught he could face fines of several
thousand of dollars and possible jail time? Cause
hundreds of deaths a year around the world due to
pollution?
I think you see where I'm going with this.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

1630. Re: 10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 14:49:09 UTC
From: shitblaster@volcanomail.com
Message-ID: <90a278e1.0207190649.196f4e71@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130609

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:<ah8ba3$1jf$12@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> "Blaster" wrote:
If the FCC wanted, just like amps they could actually amend
their
rules rather quickly. the "No amp shall be imported or marketed
capable of operation on 24-35Mhz" is a REAL, Actual FCC regulation.
They could easily put in a " No HF Amature Radio shall have a 40
channel selector switch" , memories in Banks of 40ch, or a Band or
other selector swith that is inoperative as sold". This would close
the big loophole on all the Galaxy's connexes etc right away....."
==
>BRAINBUSTER WROTE:
"Then manufacturers such as Ranger would modify their designs, taking
out the 40 channel selector. They could also give the spare switches
other uses that the user may swap to give the extra functions. But
with
up / down frequency contols, a band selector will not be needed
although it can be useful to get there quicker.....

Possibly, but this would eliminate the most Popular type
"Export rig", The CB-style "40ch" radio. Look at Ranger. They make
perhaps 90% of all export rigs (Galaxy, Connex SuperStar, Mirage,
Ranger ect..) & Only offer ONE "non 40ch" design (the 2950/70). I
Believe the Bulk of Truckers, & CB guys would Not opt for a "non-40ch"
design, just like they don't now. There will always be some that will
buy a $900 Kenwood, or a 2950, but these are a drop in the Bucket
compared to all the Connex, Galaxy, NorthStar ect buyers. ..... (read
below the next quotes for another thought.....)

BLASTER QUOTE:.."and unlike amps, non of these radios are made here,
so they
> > woud severly dry up, & most major distriutors would be out of
> > the 10M radio business, less they would become a target that
> > they Aren't now.....
> ==
> BRAINBUSTER WROTE:
> "Don't be too sure... didn't work here. The RA ended up withdrawing
the rules and allowing the stuff through.
They would also need to clamp down on Amateur radios to stop them
being modified. ..... Regards, Peter."

1st, My idea was not to close every single loophole, or even
address Amateur or "non-40ch" 10M rigs. Just an idea get rid of the
40ch Exports, the ones that sell the best. My guess would be that the

average trucker would buy a Uniden or A cobra in the Truckstop if the Galaxy's & Connex's weren't there, rather than hunting down a Complicated $900 Yaesu or even a LCD 2950...
2nd, We have a better model alredy here. Back about 1995 the FCC created new rules that made scanners with US 800Mhz cellular portion illegal to import or market, & wrote the rules to eliminate the "hide the freqs in the radio & cut diode" mods.
It worked, & They Are GONE from the US Market. Even though Uniden, AOR, Alinco ect builds full the 800 scanners for Europe & elsewhere, They do NOT get into this country in any "wholesale" quantity, & NO US Distributor has stock of them (Even the ones that distribute export rigs, amps & other scanners!).. Sure, The occasional "hardcore scanner buff, (just like the few truckers running a $1000 HF rig) order the scanner from Europe or Canada, Pay $500 Delivered for a Bearcat model that sells for $199 in the states, & has no warrany, but the law otherwise mostly worked. Many people are simply buying these exports rigs to use as simply a 40chn CB, instead of a 148 or Grant or Midland, Simply because Truckstops, internet& mail order places ONLY offer them, or "push" them. I think the above proposal would take a real Big "make a difference" chunk outof the Export CB market.

1631. OT Especially for Moparholic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 17:05:27 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ZxXZ8.103908$JU3.44363687@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130611

Mopar,

By your sig, I assume you like Chryslers, etc. I had a bit of fun last Friday---may
do it again today. A ham friend of mine has a 1949 Dodge Coronet in excellent
shape. It has never been restored, but shines like a new penny. Except for
a couple of leaks around the windows (rubber needs replacing) it is basically a very
solid "used" car that he enjoys driving around on occasion. He had a stroke some
time ago and is recovering. While he was laid up, the Dodge didn't get driven be-
cause his wife was "afraid" of the fluid drive (Gyro-matic I believe they called it).
Last Friday, he asked me to come over so he could take the car, get it washed, fill it up with gas, and give the old gal some exercise. The car is solid black, and
somewhat resembles the car in the movie "Driving Miss Daisy"....so he named the
car Miss Daisy. Driving the car was an education for me--first time I had ever
driven Chrysler's semi-automatic transmission. And it was easier than I thought.
I DID keep wanting to push in the clutch (which isn't necessary), and I found the
car surprisingly quiet and easy driving. Even without power steering, that big 3-
spoked steering wheel made it pretty easy to turn, and I didn't have to wrestle it
like you'd think. It rode very well and was pretty tight with few rattles. The little
6 cyl coupled with that fluid drive is no rocket at takeoff, but does so with dignity
and grace simply by leaving the tranny in "high" and driving away. At about
20-25 MPH, let off the gas and listen for an audible "clunk" that signals that the car
has dropped into high gear. You may be familiar with the fluid drive, but if not,
I found that it works like this: There are only 3 positions on the column shift: high,
second, and reverse--all of which are in the usual place on the shifter. There is no
"Low" position like a three-on-the-tree. If you put the car in "second",

there are 2
gears: low and second. This is to give one a little better "git-off" or to pull off on a
steep hill. So if you have to start off on a steep hill, with the shift in "second", the
car starts off in low gear. At about 15 MPH, you let off the gas and listen for the
"clunk", accelerate to 25 or so, press in the clutch, and shift to "high" like you would normally. Then speed up to 30-35, let off the gas, and "clunk", you're in
high gear. Even at 53 years the old Dodge worked just as it should, and I was impressed with it. I thought driving it was fun, and we got lots of waves and stares. When I was a kid, I thought of those old 40's Chryslers as "stodgy" and
"stuffy". I always pictured drivers in Dodges with homburg hats, or imagined that
Harry Truman was driving one. And , actually, he DID. He had 2 1941 Chryslers that Chrysler Corp just got thru refurbishing for the Harry Truman Library.

Anyhow, I had a ball all last Friday afternoon "Driving 'Miss Daisy'"! And my old
friend enjoyed riding "shotgun" talking on the radio.

73

Jerry

1632. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 17:08:11 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <%HXZ8.134416$eF5.3736529@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 130610

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d37f49b.569242@news.cet.com...

> I said that I have respect for anyone that has the courage to stand up
> and fight for what they believe is right. I also said that I believe
> that the morals of these terrorists were truly fucked up, but I still
> respected their courage to do what they did (courage = ability to face
> their fears). That's all. Nobody can tell me that these guys did this
> without any fear to overcome.
>
> From OUR perspective they seemed like cold-blooded killers, but from
> THEIR perspective they probably thought that they were doing the will
> of Alla (and even Muslims know how people can be misguided into doing
> terrible acts in the name of religion). Unless you have been to that
> part of the world and have tried to understand some of the reasons
> these people hate Americans so much, you really can't say shit except
> that they did something really bad. In their society, men, women and
> children are killed just for living on the wrong side of the tracks.
> That is how they grew up and that is what they believe: None of their
> oppressors has ever made any distinction between military and
> civilians when their people were killed: you can't expect them to
> grant us the courtesy of protecting our innocent when that same
> courtesy has never been given to them.
>
> And this is not an excuse -- it's a reason. If you can't understand
> the culture, don't criticize someone that can.
>

>>>Much extraneous stuff snipped<<<<

Damn Frank! You finally said something I can agree with.

Now, if we would let Israel do their own dirty work, much of the heat would be taken off our backs. They are no better than those they oppress. They went in after WWII and took over land that was not theirs. We don't need Israel for anything, much less a diving platform for the mid-east. We've got land and sea based cruise missiles to project whatever might we may need to in that area.

Like you, I'm not saying I agree with what the terrorists did, *but* I can see why they did it. It just doesn't correlate with the beliefs that we have in this country, and that makes it hard for many here to comprehend.

1633. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 17:54:02 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d384f93.439784@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130612

In <%HXZ8.134416$eF5.3736529@twister.austin.rr.com>, "Randy"
<rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote:

<snip>
>Damn Frank! You finally said something I can agree with.
>
>Now, if we would let Israel do their own dirty work, much of the heat would
>be taken off our backs. They are no better than those they oppress. They
>went in after WWII and took over land that was not theirs. We don't need
>Israel for anything, much less a diving platform for the mid-east. We've got
>land and sea based cruise missiles to project whatever might we may need to
>in that area.
>
>Like you, I'm not saying I agree with what the terrorists did, *but* I can
>see why they did it. It just doesn't correlate with the beliefs that we have
>in this country, and that makes it hard for many here to comprehend.

Damn Randy! You just got yourself de-plonked!

You know, I keep listening to these people that ask the same old and
stupid question, "What country do the Palestinians come from?" If
there is one big issue that gets neglected in the news (even by that
"fair and balanced" news network) it's the fact that Israel is
actually occupied Palestine! It was taken from them using the very
same terrorist tactics Israel now condemns: car bombings, terrorist
raids, civilian massacres, etc. And the US sponsered this! If anyone
can't understand why the Americans are so hated in the region, they
really need to do a little bit of research on the history of the
Palestinian people -- and not just from the heavily-biased history
books you find in the grade schools.

http://www.angelfire.com/al/quwaizani/israel.html

1634. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 18:00:09 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <JsYZ8.110797$UT.7118199@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130613

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d384f93.439784@news.cet.com...
> In <%HXZ8.134416$eF5.3736529@twister.austin.rr.com>, "Randy"
> <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >Damn Frank! You finally said something I can agree with.
> >
> >Now, if we would let Israel do their own dirty work, much of the heat
would
> >be taken off our backs. They are no better than those they oppress.
They
> >went in after WWII and took over land that was not theirs. We don't
need
> >Israel for anything, much less a diving platform for the mid-east.
We've got
> >land and sea based cruise missiles to project whatever might we may
need to
> >in that area.
> >
> >Like you, I'm not saying I agree with what the terrorists did, *but* I
can
> >see why they did it. It just doesn't correlate with the beliefs that we
have
> >in this country, and that makes it hard for many here to comprehend.
>
> Damn Randy! You just got yourself de-plonked!
>
> You know, I keep listening to these people that ask the same old and
> stupid question, "What country do the Palestinians come from?" If
> there is one big issue that gets neglected in the news (even by that
> "fair and balanced" news network) it's the fact that Israel is
> actually occupied Palestine! It was taken from them using the very
> same terrorist tactics Israel now condemns: car bombings, terrorist
> raids, civilian massacres, etc. And the US sponsered this! If anyone
> can't understand why the Americans are so hated in the region, they
> really need to do a little bit of research on the history of the
> Palestinian people -- and not just from the heavily-biased history
> books you find in the grade schools.
>
> http://www.angelfire.com/al/quwaizani/israel.html
>

Like it or not, wars do settle things. Von Clauswitz to the contrary they
are not diplomacy by other means. The Palestinians managed, with some

help from their friends, to lose 4 wars including a major piece of treachery in 1973.

Tell it to the city fathers of Troy.

Dick N&#65533;BK

1635. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 18:04:50 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d385278.1180503@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130614

In <boVZ8.2960$lQ3.112962672@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d379496.397382@news.cet.com...
>> > Well Frank, I'll agree that you probably got
>> >the short end of the stick. But how extreme could
>> >one take that minor infraction?
>>
>> From who's perspective? The same violation used to be a bookable
>> misdemeanor!
>>
>
> What I meant, if I , as an individual, how far
>could I take the fact that you littered to an extreme?
>Could I go on a crusade for the environment? Explain
>that if one gets caught he could face fines of several
>thousand of dollars and possible jail time? Cause
>hundreds of deaths a year around the world due to
>pollution?
> I think you see where I'm going with this.

Yes I do, but one of the core reasons that law exists is the
prevention of forest fires. And THAT can land you a fine of several
-hundred- thousand dollars, cause the deaths of many people, the
destruction of millions of dollars worth of property, etc. So you can
take it to any extreme you like.

The point I made in my page was that Spokane has adopted and warped
that law into a crusade against smokers, and now has little to do with
littering OR fire prevention. And because of its poor wording it can
be used as a means of harassment. Not cool.

1636. Re: Aaron acts like a cornered rat...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 19:05:28 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207191105.7fd35a6d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130615

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<dcSZ8.39355$Iu6.2202344@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> Well don't just stand there... throw the piece of cheese over the cliff.

I'm just not so sure about the "cornered" part! The rest is obvious.

1637. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 19:07:28 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207191107.454eed42@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130616

oris@dirtball.com (Oris) wrote in message
news:<f7a9c6ac.0207181451.ea72425@posting.google.com>...
> I just got my new Texas Ranger TR 696F SSB base station. Wow what a
> nice looking radio. Much to my suprise when I keyed the radio up it
> has a real bad hum in the signal. The audio sounds real bad too. I
> bought this radio as a new radio however the three screws accoss the
> back are missing. HMMM Also there was a warranty lable laying on the
> bottom of the box. You know that sticker that sayes if this lable
> removed or tampered with voids the warranty. Imagin that! It is my
> opinion that they sold me a used radio as new. They advertise a 696 in
> there deal dept that has a transformer hum at
> http://www.copper.com/cgi-bin/checkitout/checkitout.cgi?bargainsSTORE:CKIE:prodLIK-NE000
7001+
> . I beleive they sent me that radio. When I asked them about it
> Suzanne got hostal with me over the phone. The only help she offered
> me was to return the radio and I would have to pay another 20 bucs for
> shipping and handling. I bet they would of gotton a restockin fee
> also.
> I I talked to a Ranger technican. First thing that he had me do was
> check the power supply. He wanted to be sure that it was putting 13.8
> volts. It wasn't it was putting out 15 volts.Then He had me inspect
> the board and search for cracks I didn't see any but what I did see
> where resein marks all over the board. Like someone had worked on this
> radio before. He also told me that it was copper electronics problem
> and Copper sayes that its Ranger's problem. Ranger just called me back
> they want me to send them the radio (I wonder what that is going to
> cost me?) I think that the HUM is a audio leak. Its not a 60 cycle
> hum. The sound of the hum changes when I touch the radio or
> microphone. The hum is there with the stock mic as well as my D-104.
> But the hum changes when I touch the d-104. It sounds like a bad
> ground some where in the audio chain.
> Just another example of buyer beware. I bet I will not deal with
> Copper Electronics again. You burn me once its your fault you burn me
> twice its mine!
> 73 Oris

Nothing new for Copper! How many other places do you know of that will
hold a Postal Money Order for three weeks to "clear the bank"?? And
don't ever, EVER, try to use any of their modifications, unless you
want to see the "magic smoke" escaping from your new radio!

1638. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 19:18:45 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d386613.6199604@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130617

In <JsYZ8.110797$UT.7118199@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Like it or not, wars do settle things. Von Clauswitz to the contrary they
>are not diplomacy by other means. The Palestinians managed, with some
>help from their friends, to lose 4 wars including a major piece of
>treachery in 1973.

True, but it's not easy to stand up to any country that is backed with
US funds.

>Tell it to the city fathers of Troy.

The US is no pillar of honorable warmongering, or have you forgotten a
few little incidents like the Bay of Pigs, Laos, Panama, etc. There
are examples like this all the way back to the Banana wars, and
probably even earlier. Or take a look at some of the internal
conflicts with the natives -- after thinning their numbers down to a
small and passive few, they herded them up and imposed treaties that
were never honored. Then try counting how many wars has the US fought
since 1945 that have been declared by Congress. Korea was a draw,
Viet-nam is still being debated, Beirut was a joke, Grenada was barely
a conflict, and Iraq was a turkey shoot but they missed the turkey.

My personal favorite is Panama: Carter signed over the canal with the
intention of building a new canal through Nicaragua, but those plans
turned sour within a year because the US-friendly dictatorship was
overthrown. Reagan and Ollie tried to get it back with funding from
secret arms trading in Lebanon. But that failed when Nicaragua held
free and open elections (and if I remember correctly, they voted in
women for both president and vice-president!). And I'm sure everyone
remembers the Iran-Contra hearings. So the US had to take back the
Panama canal, which they did under the umbrella of the drug war.

So do you still want to cast stones?

1639. Re: The Latest R.A.I.N. Report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 19:44:32 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207191144.32028aa2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130618

eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) wrote in message
news:<20020719101720.13468.00001859@mb-ma.news.cs.com>...
> >> merging ham radio's resources with those of other
> >> personal radio services in emergency preparedness is laudable.
> >
> >The emergency preparedness orgs on this site seems to have written off
> >CB as a useful resource. And guess who they blame?
>
>If you start the journey not believing, you've already lost.

You're right. Sorry. Couldn't resist showing how amp junkies ruin it for everyone.

-A

1640. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 20:00:20 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <od_Z8.178638$iX5.9108722@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130619

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:boVZ8.2959$EZ3.113471733@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...

> Sorry Kevin, it's not against federal law or for that fact most
> state laws to inform people that a peace officer is using a radar gun to
> enforce speed limits. To interfere with a peace officer while he is
> performing his duty, he has to actively be engaged with an incident, so
just
> telling people that he is using
> radar ahead of them is not interfering with his duties. If he
> was stopped with a speeder and you came over and started to
> interfere with the traffic stop, that would be hampering his
> duties. The ACLU would've had a field day on the
> you scenario described, stick to radio legalities kev,
> you do much better.
>
> Landshark

Landwhale, you had better stick to something you know, since State Laws are
far from your Forte. Go to the alt.law-enforcement NG and ask this question.
It is most certainly against state laws and local laws to obstruct justice
whether it be you flashing your lights to warn other motorists of a speed
trap, or telling them on a Cb it is no different. This is most defiantly
against the Law, whether you chose to accept the fact it is no different
han you helping someone else who broke a law. The ACLU hasn't a leg to stand
on this happens everyday in our country. You better research before you post
and tell Kevin to stick to something he knows. you are 100% wrong.

1641. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 20:07:00 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <Ej_Z8.177113$Bt1.9588580@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130621

"vhfradiobuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5e5fccab.0207181816.40257744@posting.google.com...

> I think the real point is that these people are not really doing any
> harm to anyone with the exception of those that stray into the 10
> meter band. At least there's no one on the frequencies they are using
> other than fellow "CBers".

>Just think of the thousands of hams with
> modified 2m rigs that have the ability to "Ker-Chunk" their local
> police repeaters. Aren't these the REAL problems?

Spoken like a true No-Code Tech, please provide info of this happening,
provide a link to something where hams are keying up police repeaters?
No the real problem are guys like you who have a ham ticket and continue to
operate there 2950's etc on the CB band.

> We have someone
> locally that I believe may have been a ham before because he keys up
> on the local 2m and 70cm repeaters, causing all kinds of havoc.

What you believe and what is reality are 2 seperate items, he used to be a
ham and now he is not Your point is?

> I
> don't think a non-ham would go through the effort of getting a
> dualband rig and learning how to use it just to cause problems. What's
> to stop him from tuning that VFO up to the 150's?! Many departments
> are still operating in that range!

Whats to stop Illegal Cbers from using there Icom 706's there? or there
other modified Ham radios? Your a disgrace to the ham community.
What so hard about them squeezing the mike? They already modded the radios
to talk on 11 meters, VHF you have put forth some lame excuses
you are a true Illegal Cber with a entry level No-Code ham license, god your
a putz.

1642. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 20:07:11 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207191207.1b2950ad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130620

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<KnKZ8.1783$M97.107952183@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> spewed in a message
> news:22a5e694.0207181406.1dc0a8fd@posting.google.com...
> > I'm sure as hell Landshark doesn't like the sound of that quote. I
> > predict he'll search until he finds something to discredit
> > Frankfurter.
> >
> > -A
>
> I have been partial to this one myself:
>
> The early 20th century was not much better. In 1912, feminist Margaret
> Sanger was arrested for giving a lecture on birth control. Trade union
> meetings were banned and courts routinely granted injunctions prohibiting
> strikes and other labor protests. Violators were sentenced to prison.
> Peaceful protesters opposing U. S. entry into World War I were jailed for
> expressing their opinions. In the early 1920s, many states outlawed the
> display of red or black flags, symbols of communism and anarchism. In 1923,
> author Upton Sinclair was arrested for trying to read the text of the First
> Amendment at a union rally. Many people were arrested merely for membership
> in groups regarded as "radical" by the government.

Landshark,

Illegal CB is not a legitimate political/moral social struggle. To
even try and compare the two is ludicrous. It's like a drunken,
bar-fighting Hell's Angel claiming that he's a "civil rights
protester". The folks you mention above (feminists, unions, political
parties) carried on organized protests in full public view and worked
through the legal system in an effort to bring about change. I'm not
sure exactly what it is you espouse (the right to sell and use RF
power amps on CB?) and that may be part of your problem at the moment.

-A

1643. For Sale: Palomar elite 400, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 20:08:39 UTC
From: jlanders@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <2986d421.0207191208.6b68ba4a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130622

I am selling out my cb equipment. I have the following:

3 Cherokee CM-5, with the freq modifications done.
3 DM-461 Power Mikes with two of them new in the box.
1 Astatic RD104e power mike
1 Palomar 400 Elite Hi Drive
1 Radio Shack 243 Mobile

All are in excellent working condition. Will take best offer this
weekend. Please reply to Jlanders@mindspring.com.

I will not split items or sell items separatly.

Thank you,
Jeff

1644. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 20:09:39 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <7m_Z8.223679$lm2.11273450@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130623

"vhfradiobuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5e5fccab.0207181812.aebc04c@posting.google.com...

> between "Channel 40" and the 10 meter band, which I have yet to hear
> one LEGIT operator, you can cause interference to your local PD, fire
> or EMS!

No shit thats what has been said all along. Illegal Cbers causing
interference to public safety

> Now, where's the sense in that? Check out www.mods.dk and you'll find
> very easy mods to open up TX on almost every modern ham radio in
> existance.

So why in your previous post say that a non ham wouldnt be able to key up a
repeater ? He can certainly mod his radio Classic Putz you are Andy.

1645. Re: Spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 20:12:38 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <Wo_Z8.179209$iX5.9117396@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130624

Landshark wrote:

> So next time you see that 2001 Gray Ford Mustang
> going down your street @80 MPH..... remember, the owner was the one
> speeding, no it was his wife, no his daughter, nah it was his brother, WOW
> it was his mother that was speeding, imagine that, not the registered
owner.
>
> Landshark

Back Peddle... Back Peddle

1646. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 20:23:24 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207191223.787c8a65@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130625

vhfradiobuff@aol.com (vhfradiobuff) wrote in message
news:<5e5fccab.0207181812.aebc04c@posting.google.com>...
> The funny thing is that most "legit" ham radios can be modified just
> as easily if not easier than many 10 meter radios. One example: The
> Yaesu FT-100D. Remove one simple diode with a soldering iron and you
> can transmit on most of the HF band and even into some public safety
> VHF frequencies, so instead of harmlessly talking on frequencies
> between "Channel 40" and the 10 meter band, which I have yet to hear
> one LEGIT operator, you can cause interference to your local PD, fire
> or EMS!
>
> Now, where's the sense in that? Check out www.mods.dk and you'll find
> very easy mods to open up TX on almost every modern ham radio in
> existance.

There is a difference. Since the 1930's virtually all commercial ham gear has come off the shelf capable of transmitting out of band. A twist of the VFO or a substitution of a crystal and suddenly you're on (for example) a military frequency. However they were not disguised as license-free hobby radios or marketed as something other than what they were. Even today, the big three's (Icom, Yaesu, Kenwood) gear is not intentionally designed and marketed for the sole purpose of being used illegally -- unlike the phoney "10 meter export" radios -- the majority of which are marketed to, sold, and used by CB'ers in the USA.

-A

1647. Re: For Sale: Palomar elite 400, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 20:24:55 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <rA_Z8.179274$iX5.9126442@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130626

Get that spam outta here

"Jeff Landers" <jlanders@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:2986d421.0207191208.6b68ba4a@posting.google.com...
> I am selling out my cb equipment. I have the following:
>
> 3 Cherokee CM-5, with the freq modifications done.
> 3 DM-461 Power Mikes with two of them new in the box.
> 1 Astatic RD104e power mike
> 1 Palomar 400 Elite Hi Drive
> 1 Radio Shack 243 Mobile
>
> All are in excellent working condition. Will take best offer this
> weekend. Please reply to Jlanders@mindspring.com.
>
> I will not split items or sell items separatly.
>
> Thank you,
> Jeff

1648. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 20:28:33 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujgtjks3hbtd12@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130627

with channel 9 for emergencies?

Used to be ch9. Police used to monitor ch9 but not anymore. Most states if not all have signs "police monitor ch19" nowadays.

Has anyone seen a sign saying police monitor(10m or 6m or 2m)? Other then poland:)

1649. Re: re fcc question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 20:35:58 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujgu3fis145md7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130629

Newsflash: Of you buy ad time criticizing an incumbent 30days b4 an election
your a felon. Of you say the pledge on public property out west your
breaking the law. If you carry a firearm in your car and cross into the
peoples republic of Mass Conn or NYC your a felon.
The founding fathers were the first lawbreakers and founded the spirit of
FREEBAND!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
Sincerely,
Ken
<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d376608.3247770@news.cet.com...
> In <20020718132532.01904.00000027@mb-de.aol.com>, lilyv7@aol.com
> (LilyV7) wrote:
>
> >kenneth, the reason the f.c.c. cant "do" anything about howard
stern...and
> >thank god that they cant.....is due to the fact that his "right" to say
what he
> >wants to, is guaranteed by the constitution.there is no protection for
those
> >(myself included) who "fudge" part 95. the system is working just fine
when it
> >protects "ugly" speech. a system that only protects your right to "nice
speech"
> >is meaningless. there are times when we need to be able to" harshly
criticise"
> >our government,politicians,and each other. free speech is a right,not a
> >privilege.we in this country seem to be forgetting the difference between
the
> >two.
>
> I'm not sure if I understand you correctly, but while everyone has the
> right to free speech, you don't have the right to free speech in every
> venue. That's why you can't yell 'fire' in a movie theatre. If the day
> should ever come that the FCC eliminates CB radio, they would not be
> preventing free speech, they would just be shutting down a venue.
>
> Either way, when it comes to communication, money speaks the loudest.
>
> >"the right to bear arms" is probably the single most powerfull right that
we
> >have.it guarantees that all your other rights "will" be respected.
>
> Agreed without reservation.
>
> > just look at

> >the party that is trying to re-design our way of life.(demos) they are
also
> >trying to remove that obstacle to their plans,for they know that once
they go
> >too far.....we had better be disarmed. think about it........gunslinger
>
> That might be a little paranoid for me to agree with, but I will agree
> that the government should shift it's priorities. You can do your part
> by voting responsibly. Otherwise your elected officials will be picked
> by people like Dave-or-Debby. I don't think anyone wants that to
> happen...
>

1650. Re: Your gov't is becoming quite the pill !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 20:37:55 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020719163755.02191.00000143@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 130628
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

In article <3D37AEC3.7010601@realtime.net>, bc writes:

>Geez, after all you gave us John Candy, Shania Twain, Neil Young, Bob /
>Doug McKenzie, Kids in the Hall and SCTV.

Also Ian Thomas, Terry Jacks, Gordon Lightfoot, and the Guess Who. You hear a ton of stuff by these artists on Canadian Oldies radio, thanks to the Canadian Content restructions which are imposed on broadcasters up there.

1651. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 20:39:27 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujgu80q7kl330c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130630

So u live in an area where people are to stupid to look both ways?
Maybe you should call ART BELL? That many pedestrians in a short time has to
be a record of some sort.

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d376293.2362708@news.cet.com...
> In <3d36b542$1_4@goliath.newsgroups.com>, "steven c. hollifield"
> <stevenhollifield@calmspirit.com> wrote:
>
> >
> > >Have you ever or do you ever exceed the posted speed limits?
> > >
> > >Steven
>
> Not intentionally. If I catch myself going too fast I slow down. I'm
> one of those slow-pokes that pisses off the speed-freaks.
>
> But if you really want to make a comparison, a young girl was killed a
> short distance from my home just a couple weeks ago by a person that
> had no regard for speed limits. A couple months ago, again near my
> home, a 15 year old girl was killed when she was riding with a kid
> that thought it was cool to speed on a busy road. A few years ago, and
> also a short distance from my home, a young lady was decapitated by
> some joker speeding up for a yellow light. If you are suggesting that
> it's an insignificant violation to speed, you are horribly wrong.
>

1652. Re: Your gov't is becoming quite the pill !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 20:50:36 UTC
From: JB <ac6tk@nospamcybertime.net>
Message-ID: <ah9vtm$29lk$1@madmax.keyway.net>
Source record: 130633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

...: > just crap about our government letting terrorists in and you obviously
: don't
: > have the knowledge to back up your statement. Where are your facts? Two
: > non-Canadian citizens are caught with explosives trying to cross into your
: > country. Sure, I doubt they were going over for a fireworks celebration,
: > but that is really no need to blame the rest of Canada. Do you have any
: > idea how many US based truckers are caught trying to cross into Canada
: with
: > firearms in the cabs of their rigs? And why do you think that is?
: Because
: > it isn't safe to drive the streets of America, that's why. Canada is known

The point is... It's easier to say you are doing something good by searching well behaved people and stealing their right to self-defense than it is to catch terrorists bent on the destruction of your freedom.

I don't want to even get into America vs. Canada. The policy of killing freedoms is bad-wrong no matter where you go. Also, it seems you get our news (propaganda) but all we ever hear is hockey scores. Is censorship at the top of the list? If so, then we understand that you may be under duress as a policy spokesman. You have our sympathy.

Jim.

1653. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 20:58:32 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Y3%Z8.111017$UT.7130532@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130631

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d386613.6199604@news.cet.com...
> In <JsYZ8.110797$UT.7118199@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >Like it or not, wars do settle things. Von Clauswitz to the contrary
they
> >are not diplomacy by other means. The Palestinians managed, with some
> >help from their friends, to lose 4 wars including a major piece of
> >treachery in 1973.
>
> True, but it's not easy to stand up to any country that is backed with
> US funds.
>
> >Tell it to the city fathers of Troy.
>
> The US is no pillar of honorable warmongering, or have you forgotten a
> few little incidents like the Bay of Pigs, Laos, Panama, etc. There
> are examples like this all the way back to the Banana wars, and
> probably even earlier. Or take a look at some of the internal
> conflicts with the natives -- after thinning their numbers down to a
> small and passive few, they herded them up and imposed treaties that
> were never honored. Then try counting how many wars has the US fought
> since 1945 that have been declared by Congress. Korea was a draw,
> Viet-nam is still being debated, Beirut was a joke, Grenada was barely
> a conflict, and Iraq was a turkey shoot but they missed the turkey.
>
> My personal favorite is Panama: Carter signed over the canal with the
> intention of building a new canal through Nicaragua, but those plans
> turned sour within a year because the US-friendly dictatorship was
> overthrown. Reagan and Ollie tried to get it back with funding from
> secret arms trading in Lebanon. But that failed when Nicaragua held
> free and open elections (and if I remember correctly, they voted in
> women for both president and vice-president!). And I'm sure everyone
> remembers the Iran-Contra hearings. So the US had to take back the
> Panama canal, which they did under the umbrella of the drug war.
>
> So do you still want to cast stones?
>

It ain't a whitehat-blackhat thing like an old cowboy movie.

It is just that if you pick a fight and lose, you are deservedly in the
doo-doo. Given the history, there is no valid Arab claim to anything
based on anything prior to 1967. The same bunch that wants to give us

crap about Ramadan attacks on Yom Kippur; few at the time would have blamed Israel from pushing them right into the sea as they most assuredly would have done had the outcome been reversed.

On one side we have a group of refugees following a collection of corrupt bozos who came from Tunisia to take over having done things like push old guys in wheelchairs off ships. The kindest thing even the U.N. can find to say is that they are no worse than a lot of the Third World. Arafat is a serial bungler, pure and simple.

Not to say the Israelis don't have a few problems. The internal contradiction that is Israel would make it impossible to be a Jewish state, Biblical Israel, and a democracy at the same time without a 'final solution' to the Arab problem. One wonders if the civil war that would result from total Arab withdrawl might not be worse than anything they are capable of. And what Israel has done to the Arabs who are Israeli citizens should not happen to a stuffed goat.

The world already knows what the settlement will look like: withdrawl to near-1967 borders, at best symbolic return, restricted control in Arab Jerusalem, etc which Arafat could have had years ago but overplayed his hand. He is a serial bungler.

The only question is how many people will die for Arafat's place in history.

Pfui.

1654. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 21:19:44 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujh0ic8dnf4h7a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130632

There is no need for police to monitor the VHF/UHF amateur bands. Ever hear
of autopatch? You use VHF/UHF to call 911 directly. Geeze ..

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ujgtjks3hbtd12@corp.supernews.com...
> with channel 9 for emergencies?
>
> Used to be ch9. Police used to monitor ch9 but not anymore. Most states if
> not all have signs "police monitor ch19" nowadays.
>
> Has anyone seen a sign saying police monitor(10m or 6m or 2m)? Other then
> poland:)
>
>

1655. Re: Your gov't is becoming quite the pill !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 21:59:47 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D388BD6.F5753847@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130634

> Geez, after all you gave us Shania Twain,

There is no thanks for Shania Twain. Take her back.

1656. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 22:03:30 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D388CB5.EC70E54E@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130635

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:

> Damn Randy! You just got yourself de-plonked!

You read killfiled posts?

1657. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 22:06:58 UTC
From: jdavecobb@aol.comatose
Message-ID: <20020719180658.13574.00001625@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 130636

I'm curious about Copper. I've purchased a couple of minor items there and haven't had a problem. I'm considering getting a new radio there, also, so these negative posts about Copper have me concerned.

For anybody out there with experience dealing with Copper, I'd welcome a synopsis of your experience - positive or negative.

1658. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 22:12:48 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D388EE3.2803B4BC@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130637

"Aaron H. Voobner" wr
> Illegal CB is not a legitimate political/moral social struggle.

It is to you. Now you say it isn't. Why do you bother?

1659. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 22:28:04 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D389277.BCE2CB06@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130638

No Junk wrote:
>
> I'm curious about Copper. I've purchased a couple of minor items there and
> haven't had a problem. I'm considering getting a new radio there, also, so
> these negative posts about Copper have me concerned.
>
> For anybody out there with experience dealing with Copper, I'd welcome a
> synopsis of your experience - positive or negative.

You should support your local retailer. They will stand behind
their products and offer you service if it's needed.

1660. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 22:32:18 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020719183218.16005.00000057@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 130639

Rf police listen up.The FCC does not care about you or cber's.All they care about is commerical broadcast ,cell phones ,cable etc...$$$$$.You can flap you lips and bang you keyboard but nothing will change.So lower your blood pressure and chill out! Enjoy the ride!

1661. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 22:43:12 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <4C0_8.224534$lm2.11393111@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130641

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020719183218.16005.00000057@mb-cq.aol.com...
> Rf police listen up.The FCC does not care about you or cber's.All they
care
> about is commerical broadcast ,cell phones ,cable etc...$$$$$.You can flap
you
> lips and bang you keyboard but nothing will change.So lower your blood
pressure
> and chill out! Enjoy the ride!

You do your thing while we will continue to do our's 10-4?

1662. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 22:43:18 UTC
From: KAXN-9546 <ab2hr@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <88chju8fpuneie8io1av8g7kvt0la8bv6l@4ax.com>
Source record: 130640

On 19 Jul 2002 00:51:21 GMT, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote:

>This may sound like a strange question, but isn't CB some sort of mobile
>communications device used in vehicles? A CB operates on the 27Mhz band and has
>40 channels, with channel 9 for emergencies? This is a sincere question. I am
>new to this.
>
>From reading this newsgroup, one can conclude CB is more that that. So, I have
>a few questions.
>
>(1) What is CB? CB uses some kind of slang language. Is this part of being a
>CBer? Or can one use ordinary conversation and still be a CBer? Can CBs be used
>stationary,outside of your vehicle? Do you know anyone that uses it that way?

CB is Citizen's Band radio. It's a radio service established to
provide short-range 2-way radio communications utilizing low-power
equipment. In the US, CB'ers operate under the auspices of the
Federal Communications Commission (FCC), which has issued a blanket
license of operation to all who own a CB radio. Contrary to popular
opinion, these are not UNLICENSED operators, but are part of a BLANKET
operator's license issued by the FCC.

>(2) What sort of modifications or tweaks are permitted in CB radio? Antenna
>adjustments? Power output? CB accessories?

No "tweaks" are permitted to the transmitter. Unlimited adjustments
may be made to the receiver. Noise making devices utilized for the
transmission of sound effects, echo generators and such are not
permitted.

>(3) What is freebanding?

A criminal activity whereby the operator of transmitting equipment
transmits a signal on frequencies where he or she is not licensed to
operate. This activity often results in interference with Amateur
Radio operators, the military, and civil authorities.

>(4) What is 11m DX?

A criminal activity whereby the operator attempts to establish contact
with a station outside of the legally allowed radius of operations (in
the us, approximately 155 miles). Such activity often involves
illegally modified transmitters and/or illegally operated amplifiers.
>
>(5) Why do Hams hate CBers? If CB is just ordinary communication, what would a
>Ham feel this is bad or feel superior about?

Ham's don't hate CBers. Many hams are also CBers. Many hams "hate" people who operate CB's utilizing illegal power, with poor operating practices (as in noise/sound effects, carrier throwers, key down clowns, disrepectful treatment of others), or outside of the 11 meter allocation of frequencies. I personally dislike these same kind of operators. If you can't keep it clean and legal, and treat the rest of the folks in the air with some measure of respect and kindness, then you might as well just get rid of your radio. Unfortunately, there seems to be a growing number of CB operators who feel just the opposite...

Raymond Sirois KAXN-9546
SysOp: The Lost Chord BBS
607-733-5745
telnet://thelostchord.dns2go.com:6000

1663. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 22:44:52 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <ED0_8.179970$Bt1.9690693@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130642

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D388CB5.EC70E54E@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> "yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> > Damn Randy! You just got yourself de-plonked!
>
> You read killfiled posts?

Landshark does, so does Randy and a few others. LOL

1664. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 22:49:03 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <yH0_8.95665$uw.45450@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 130643

"KungFuYou" Wrote:

> Landwhale, you had better stick to something you know, since State Laws
are
> far from your Forte. Go to the alt.law-enforcement NG and ask this
question.
> It is most certainly against state laws and local laws to obstruct justice
> whether it be you flashing your lights to warn other motorists of a speed
> trap, or telling them on a Cb it is no different. This is most defiantly
> against the Law, whether you chose to accept the fact it is no different
> han you helping someone else who broke a law. The ACLU hasn't a leg to
stand
> on this happens everyday in our country. You better research before you
post
> and tell Kevin to stick to something he knows. you are 100% wrong.

First, you have to be kidding. Secondly the courts could never prove who
said what and why.

You are 100% wrong.

Dave

As a side note I guess that Arizona Highway Patrol Officer who corrected me
by saying:

"You got it wrong son, I'm at the 23 mile mark not the 33"

Should be brought up for dereliction of duty? He knew who I was. I
obstructed justice by informing others of his position did I not? Why did he
choose to chat with me and engage in ridiculing me along with the other
drivers for getting the mile marker wrong?

1665. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 23:04:07 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207191504.66af7512@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130644

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d352f90.1210382@news.cet.com>...
> In <ah34euegm3@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
> <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >I had Frank killfiled until he decided to use a different addy.
>
> It must be confusing for you when I change my addy once every few
> years -- you must be pulling your hair out right now. So now are you
> going to put me back in your killfile because you can't answer the
> questions I put to you in the other thread? Go ahead; it's not like I
> don't expect you to run away from a few petty facts.
>
> >Isn't a yeti a large, heavy, ape like beast...
>
> Yep.
>
> > and doesn't the Tibetan
> >translate to "foul snowman".
>
> Not quite, but as hot as it has been here lately...
>
> >Cannot imagine where the "yeti" bit fits in... can you?
>
> And I can't imagine where you came up with a nic like 'Brainbuster':
> that's about as relavent to your personality as 'SwangThang' would be
> to Dick, or 'AmpHead' would be to Voobner.

But Anal Aaron *is* an amp-head! He encouraged someone to have their
big Collins linear modified for CB! The thread is there for the
viewing.

1666. Re: I love pit stop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 23:12:25 UTC
From: Dave <sorrynoreply@news.net>
Message-ID: <t11_8.6013$_C2.464864@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 130645

Fo ahead Guck her!

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:YTwP8.689$jV4.51980357@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> I can LOL!!
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
> Neil Young
>
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:yotP8.204534$9F5.11022096@typhoon.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Brad" <brad@pit.stop> wrote in message
> > news:3d0f13d5.252525182@news.pit.stop...
> > > Pit;
> > > I Know you have been really busy, but you are neglecting me. You
> > > haven't told me that you love me in over two weeks. And its been a
> > > month since you have made love to me. Please take time from playing
> > > on the computer and give me some attention.
> > >
> > > XOXOXOXOXOX
> > >
> > > I Love you
> > >
> > > Brad
> >
> > I'd make a comment, but it's not Tuesday.
> >
> >
>
>
>

1667. Uniden AM/SSB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 23:14:13 UTC
From: jdavecobb@aol.comatose
Message-ID: <20020719191413.13591.00001418@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 130646

I'm considering a new Uniden PC78XLTW model radio. Any opinions on this model?
Thanks in advance.

1668. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 23:29:24 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <oh1_8.172573$iB1.9460262@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130697

"Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:yH0_8.95665$uw.45450@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...

> First, you have to be kidding. Secondly the courts could never prove who
> said what and why.
>
> You are 100% wrong.
>
> Dave

Landshark Said: " Sorry Kevin, it's not against federal law or for
that fact most
state laws to inform people that a peace officer is using a radar gun to
enforce speed limits. To interfere with a peace officer while he is
performing his duty, he has to actively be engaged with an incident, so just
telling people that he is using radar ahead of them is not interfering with
his duties."

I say he is wrong I am not talking about using a CB radio, If you flash your
headlights to warn oncoming motorists that there is a policeman
and he is running radar yo can be ticketed, of course a policeman would have
to see this. So yes informing other motorist's of a policeman using radar
is absolutly interfering with his duties according to there laws. Go ask
your local Cop.

1669. help needed, CB antenna solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 23:51:08 UTC
From: Simon Bussey <s.j.bussey@GOAWAYSPAMhw.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <0C1_8.10849$Fm3.9767@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 130698

Hi,
I've moved into a new flat and i'm having difficulty with where to place an antenna. The room where the antenna needs to have access is the top floor where the loft has been converted. What I have it an angled ceiling which is the roof with a series of sky windows (on the angled roof at about 45 degrees) Outside of these windows are surrounded by roof tiles. My problem is how can I setup an antenna here?!?

My one thought is to try and use a 'serial boomerang' antenna which is a small element with a single groundplane angled at 45 degrees which is designed to go on the sides of walls etc.. but I don't know how it could be fitted to roof tiles!

Thanks in advance for any help
Simon

1670. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 00:15:16 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020719201516.15902.00000345@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 130699

aaron,you still havent answered the origional question.if the government breaks its own laws......how are we to respond? they after all...are setting the example for the rest of us. so what gives???
gunslinger

1671. Re: re fcc question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 00:21:51 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020719202151.15902.00000347@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 130701

kenneth, you are absolutly right! i forgot about the recent legislation regarding political ads right before an election.(just when people are truly listening and paying attention to the political process) this development truly is scary. guess who pushed hardest for that little gem?(the demos) once agian they show their true feelings about our constitution and way of life. but this should shortly be remedied by the supreme court. gunslinger

1672. Re: Uniden AM/SSB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 00:22:35 UTC
From: jdavecobb@aol.comatose
Message-ID: <20020719202235.14789.00000094@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 130700

Oops. The 78XL isn't a SSB version. I guess you have to get a Grant to get SSB, so I welcome any opinions on a Grant.

1673. Re: 10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 00:34:20 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207191634.4841cb22@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130702

lilyv7@aol.com (LilyV7) wrote:
>aaron,you still havent answered the origional question.
>if the government breaks its own laws......how are we to
>respond? they after all...are setting the
>example for the rest of us. so what gives???
> gunslinger

Gunslinger. Even though you're merely trolling to see how many hams you can tweak with your BS, I'll respond. I've already answered the question for you and done it quite well. I'll reiterate: corruption in government does not give you the right to selectively ignore laws. If it did, people would have stopped paying their taxes long ago.

-Aaron-

1674. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 00:38:10 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D38B0E6.79DD9B95@icok.net>
Source record: 130703

Dave,
I agree, it isn't very likely to happen. The
point was, though, that it certainly can happen,
and wouldn't really be that difficult. All it
would need is sufficient justification, or reason,
and if 'CB radio' is perceived to be nothing but
a bunch of people who don't follow the rules...
'Doc

1675. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 00:42:18 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <KI2_8.226001$Im2.11487330@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130704

"LilyV7" <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020719201516.15902.00000345@mb-fa.aol.com...
> aaron,you still havent answered the origional question.if the government
breaks
> its own laws......how are we to respond? they after all...are setting
the
> example for the rest of us. so what gives???
> gunslinger

Tell it to the King

1676. Re: help needed, CB antenna solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 00:45:18 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D38B293.26ED9548@icok.net>
Source record: 130705

Simon,
Does the window frames have space for a
'bulkhead' connector (they look like a long
'double-female' connector)? If so, simply
put a 'bulkhead' connector in the frame work
of the window, connect the antenna to the
out side end of the connector, then run a
feed line from the connector to the radio.
These things may have another name in the
UK, but shouldn't be impossible to find.
If that doesn't work, you could always
replace one of the window panes with plastic.
'Doc

1677. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 00:53:56 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D38B49A.429B4F0A@icok.net>
Source record: 130706

Kevin,
Telling someone that the local cop is hiding behind the billboard over by the Dairy Queen, is not interfereing with a police officer in the performance of his duties, in ~any~ state. It is also not a violation of any federal statute. I would love to have a police officer charge me with something like that, I'd never owned a city before. Wonder what you could get for a whole town?
'Doc

1678. Need advice on which CB to buy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 00:54:06 UTC
From: whatever <xxx@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <dvchjuctj81gthd7db8ga9cha7ms075enf@4ax.com>
Source record: 130707

Hello All,

I think I have narrowed it down to a ranger.

But what model:
RANGER 6900FHP or RANGER 6900FTB

Pro / Cons

Thanks,

Kev

1679. Re: Uniden AM/SSB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 00:59:06 UTC
From: tim960@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020719205906.03817.00000280@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 130709

> I welcome any opinions on a Grant.

Uniden Grant XL is just like like the old Cobra 148's. I have several and love them. I also have a President Grant 10 meter radio that I am also pleased with. Almost any radio with SSB has better performance than a plain am radio.

Good luck and have fun
Tim

1680. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 01:00:09 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D38B605.619AF47A@icok.net>
Source record: 130708

KungFuYou,
Sorry, you're mistaken about it being an
obstruction of justice. Even if it could be
construed as an obstruction, I'd love to see
how they would prove it was you doing the
transmitting, and exactly who profited by your
transmitting a warning. Won't work. Even a
poor attorney would have a field day.
'Doc

1681. Re: Uniden AM/SSB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 01:02:10 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020719210210.04414.00000295@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 130710

the grant is an awsome cb. I dont know much about the newer models but i think it is like the cobra 148, The older models are the best

1682. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 01:09:33 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <hL2_8.3161$o47.125099204@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130711

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:ED0_8.179970$Bt1.9690693@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D388CB5.EC70E54E@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> >
> >
> > "yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
> >
> > > Damn Randy! You just got yourself de-plonked!
> >
> > You read killfiled posts?
>
> Landshark does, so does Randy and a few others. LOL
>
>

Sorry to burst your bubbles George.....
I got plain tired of kill filling you two to three times
a week, Beside the fact, my kill file was full, it wouldn't
take anymore of your two to three hundred screen nics.

Have a pleasant night George.....

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

1683. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 01:09:33 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <hL2_8.3160$o47.125099204@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130713

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:%HXZ8.134416$eF5.3736529@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d37f49b.569242@news.cet.com...
>
> > I said that I have respect for anyone that has the courage to stand up
> > and fight for what they believe is right. I also said that I believe
> > that the morals of these terrorists were truly fucked up, but I still
> > respected their courage to do what they did (courage = ability to face
> > their fears). That's all. Nobody can tell me that these guys did this
> > without any fear to overcome.
> >
> > From OUR perspective they seemed like cold-blooded killers, but from
> > THEIR perspective they probably thought that they were doing the will
> > of Alla (and even Muslims know how people can be misguided into doing
> > terrible acts in the name of religion). Unless you have been to that
> > part of the world and have tried to understand some of the reasons
> > these people hate Americans so much, you really can't say shit except
> > that they did something really bad. In their society, men, women and
> > children are killed just for living on the wrong side of the tracks.
> > That is how they grew up and that is what they believe: None of their
> > oppressors has ever made any distinction between military and
> > civilians when their people were killed: you can't expect them to
> > grant us the courtesy of protecting our innocent when that same
> > courtesy has never been given to them.
> >
> > And this is not an excuse -- it's a reason. If you can't understand
> > the culture, don't criticize someone that can.
> >
>
> >>>Much extraneous stuff snipped<<<<
>
>
>
> Damn Frank! You finally said something I can agree with.
>
> Now, if we would let Israel do their own dirty work, much of the heat
would
> be taken off our backs. They are no better than those they oppress. They
> went in after WWII and took over land that was not theirs. We don't need
> Israel for anything, much less a diving platform for the mid-east. We've
got
> land and sea based cruise missiles to project whatever might we may need
to

> in that area.
>
> Like you, I'm not saying I agree with what the terrorists did, *but* I can
> see why they did it. It just doesn't correlate with the beliefs that we
have
> in this country, and that makes it hard for many here to comprehend.
>
>

I'll agree with Frank & Randy.
Let Israel do what they have too, they are by far the
strongest country in that region and have nothing to
fear from anyone else near by. They are (IMHO)
doing the same things the Germans did to them in
Warsaw, herding the Palestinians in to small regions,
making them go through check points just to go a few
blocks, Razing entire villages to the ground, detaining
and torturing people (innocents, some not), making
them live in a sort of ghetto, like in Warsaw from
1939 to 45.

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

1684. Re: For Sale: Palomar elite 400, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 01:09:34 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <iL2_8.3162$o47.125099204@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130712

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:rA_Z8.179274$iX5.9126442@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> Get that spam outta here
>
>
>
> "Jeff Landers" <jlanders@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:2986d421.0207191208.6b68ba4a@posting.google.com...
> > I am selling out my cb equipment. I have the following:
> >
> > 3 Cherokee CM-5, with the freq modifications done.
> > 3 DM-461 Power Mikes with two of them new in the box.
> > 1 Astatic RD104e power mike
> > 1 Palomar 400 Elite Hi Drive
> > 1 Radio Shack 243 Mobile
> >
> > All are in excellent working condition. Will take best offer this
> > weekend. Please reply to Jlanders@mindspring.com.
> >
> > I will not split items or sell items separatly.
> >
> > Thank you,
> > Jeff
>
>

Actually it's not Spam, if he posts it # of times
or broke it down into separate posts, I would report him.
But as it sits, unless he reposts it or other items everyday,
several times a day, it's not Spam.

Have a Nice evening George.

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

1685. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 01:09:34 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <iL2_8.3165$o47.125099204@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130714

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:oh1_8.172573$iB1.9460262@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:yH0_8.95665$uw.45450@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
>
> > First, you have to be kidding. Secondly the courts could never prove
who
> > said what and why.
> >
> > You are 100% wrong.
> >
> > Dave
>
>
> Landshark Said: " Sorry Kevin, it's not against federal law or for
> that fact most
> state laws to inform people that a peace officer is using a radar gun to
> enforce speed limits. To interfere with a peace officer while he is
> performing his duty, he has to actively be engaged with an incident, so
just
> telling people that he is using radar ahead of them is not interfering
with
> his duties."
>
>
> I say he is wrong I am not talking about using a CB radio, If you flash
your
> headlights to warn oncoming motorists that there is a policeman
> and he is running radar yo can be ticketed, of course a policeman would
have
> to see this. So yes informing other motorist's of a policeman using radar
> is absolutly interfering with his duties according to there laws. Go ask
> your local Cop.
>
>

Notice the back peddle here, now it's if "I flashed
my headlights" But the topic was using a cb to warn motorist.

Try to have a good weekend George.......

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

1686. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 01:09:34 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <iL2_8.3163$o47.125099204@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130715

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D388EE3.2803B4BC@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" wr
> > Illegal CB is not a legitimate political/moral social struggle.
>
> It is to you. Now you say it isn't. Why do you bother?

I was going to say that, Damn you Scott!!!!!!!!

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

1687. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 01:09:34 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <iL2_8.3164$o47.125099204@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130716

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d385278.1180503@news.cet.com...
> In <boVZ8.2960$lQ3.112962672@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
> <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
>
> >
> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d379496.397382@news.cet.com...
> >> > Well Frank, I'll agree that you probably got
> >> >the short end of the stick. But how extreme could
> >> >one take that minor infraction?
> >>
> >> From who's perspective? The same violation used to be a bookable
> >> misdemeanor!
> >>
> >
> > What I meant, if I , as an individual, how far
> >could I take the fact that you littered to an extreme?
> >Could I go on a crusade for the environment? Explain
> >that if one gets caught he could face fines of several
> >thousand of dollars and possible jail time? Cause
> >hundreds of deaths a year around the world due to
> >pollution?
> > I think you see where I'm going with this.
>
> Yes I do, but one of the core reasons that law exists is the
> prevention of forest fires. And THAT can land you a fine of several
> -hundred- thousand dollars, cause the deaths of many people, the
> destruction of millions of dollars worth of property, etc. So you can
> take it to any extreme you like.
>
> The point I made in my page was that Spokane has adopted and warped
> that law into a crusade against smokers, and now has little to do with
> littering OR fire prevention. And because of its poor wording it can
> be used as a means of harassment. Not cool.

Well Frank I'll agree that it sounds as if they
are using a law that was intended for something else.
My point was, couldn't that type of extremisms be construed
as the same for export radio/linear/freebands?

Just food for thought.....

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

1688. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 01:09:35 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <jL2_8.3166$o47.125099204@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130717

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:od_Z8.178638$iX5.9108722@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> Landwhale, you had better stick to something you know, since State Laws
are
> far from your Forte. Go to the alt.law-enforcement NG and ask this
question.

Yes, your reputation of arguing there is well known

> It is most certainly against state laws and local laws to obstruct justice
> whether it be you flashing your lights to warn other motorists of a speed
> trap, or telling them on a Cb it is no different. This is most defiantly
> against the Law, whether you chose to accept the fact it is no different
> han you helping someone else who broke a law.

So pulling over for someone speeding in your lane, by your
definition is breaking the law, by letting him pass.

Please cite that law (California), your fond of that statement.
Cite the law that says you can't hold up a sign along
the side of the road saying "Radar trap ahead, slow down"
same thing as the cb.

> the ACLU hasn't a leg to stand

Really? You might want to go to their site.

> on this happens everyday in our country. You better research before you
post
> and tell Kevin to stick to something he knows. you are 100% wrong.
>
>

So coming back from Solvang Ca. a couple of weeks ago, I was hit by
radar, my detector did it's job. I then called my friend
a few miles behind me and warned him..... I was breaking
the law by making a phone call now? Yeah, ok.

You just can't hold a civil debate without throwing
out some sort of insult, man it must be tough being you.

Have fun,

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we

refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights, like the right to vote, would wither and die

1689. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 01:10:55 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020719211055.04414.00000301@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 130718

There is not any local retailer that can compete with copper on anything....Also i have done alot of business with copper and have never had a problem.. Copper has some of the best techs around and they know their stuff. Copper is not to be confused with EEI

1690. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 01:16:48 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020719211648.15980.00000069@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 130719

Most local retailers buy from Copper or H&Y and mark up the price.

1691. What happened to Cody's CB Page? http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 01:19:16 UTC
From: tim960@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020719211916.03822.00000273@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 130720

http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

It was full of all sorts of info for newbie's and old farts. Does any body have it on file or printed out?

1692. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 01:22:37 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d38ba04.464226@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130722

In <ujgu80q7kl330c@corp.supernews.com>, "Kenneth"
<pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote:

>So u live in an area where people are to stupid to look both ways?
>Maybe you should call ART BELL? That many pedestrians in a short time has to
>be a record of some sort.

None of the victims I mentioned were pedestrians. But there have been
pedestrians killed by speeders as well. If you are suggesting that it
is always the pedestrian's fault when they get hit then you are
ignoring not only many of the possible scenarios of such an incident,
but the willingness of the pedestrian not to get hit or killed when
crossing the street. The next person you should accuse of stupidity is
yourself.

1693. Re: help needed, CB antenna solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 01:23:07 UTC
From: Tazanator <tazanator1@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <%X2_8.46475$_51.44482@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 130721

well you could make a dipole ant and string it inside... (it's a pair of
thin wires attached to the ceiling)
"Simon Bussey" <s.j.bussey@GOAWAYSPAMhw.ac.uk> wrote in message
news:0C1_8.10849$Fm3.9767@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk...
> Hi,
> I've moved into a new flat and i'm having difficulty with where to place
an
> antenna. The room where the antenna needs to have access is the top floor
> where the loft has been converted. What I have it an angled ceiling which
is
> the roof with a series of sky windows (on the angled roof at about 45
> degrees) Outside of these windows are surrounded by roof tiles. My problem
> is how can I setup an antenna here?!?
>
> My one thought is to try and use a 'serial boomerang' antenna which is a
> small element with a single groundplane angled at 45 degrees which is
> designed to go on the sides of walls etc.. but I don't know how it could
be
> fitted to roof tiles!
>
> Thanks in advance for any help
> Simon
>
>
>
>

1694. Re: re fcc question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 01:40:51 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d38bcd9.1188686@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130723

In <ujgu3fis145md7@corp.supernews.com>, "Kenneth"
<pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote:

>Newsflash: Of you buy ad time criticizing an incumbent 30days b4 an election
>your a felon. Of you say the pledge on public property out west your
>breaking the law.

You missed the point. Free speech does not guarantee the right to do
so everywhere, anywhere, any time you please. If you try pounding the
pulpit at 3am in a quiet residential neighborhood you are probably
going to get arrested for disturbing the peace. You have a right to
say your peace, just not there and then.

> If you carry a firearm in your car and cross into the
>peoples republic of Mass Conn or NYC your a felon.

So you have noticed that different states have different laws. Bravo!

> The founding fathers were the first lawbreakers and founded the spirit of
>FREEBAND!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Gee, another graduate of Twistedhed's school of stupidity....

The founding fathers opposed an oppressive government and formed a
better one, complete with laws. Get off the freeloader bandwagon and
get some brains.

1695. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 01:46:01 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d38c06d.2105275@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130724

In <iL2_8.3164$o47.125099204@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

<snip>
> Well Frank I'll agree that it sounds as if they
>are using a law that was intended for something else.
>My point was, couldn't that type of extremisms be construed
>as the same for export radio/linear/freebands?
>
> Just food for thought.............

I guess I'm missing what you mean by 'taking a law to extremes'.

1696. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 01:52:06 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0207191752.5540ed7e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130725

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:<7m_Z8.223679$Im2.11273450@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> No shit thats what has been said all along. Illegal Cbers causing
> interference to public safety

What public safety is between channel 40 and 10 meters? I said that
illegal CBers aren't causing problems to anyone besides themselves, as
long as they stay outta 10 meters... it's the hams with illegally
modified VHF equipment that is the real danger.

> So why in your previous post say that a non ham wouldnt be able to key up a
> repeater ?

I didn't.

Is it time for your annual visit to Pearl Vision to get your eyes
checks?

1697. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 01:54:40 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d38c1e6.2481621@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130727

In <hL2_8.3160$o47.125099204@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

> I'll agree with Frank & Randy.
>Let Israel do what they have too, they are by far the
>strongest country in that region and have nothing to
>fear from anyone else near by. They are (IMHO)
>doing the same things the Germans did to them in
>Warsaw, herding the Palestinians in to small regions,
>making them go through check points just to go a few
>blocks, Razing entire villages to the ground, detaining
>and torturing people (innocents, some not), making
>them live in a sort of ghetto, like in Warsaw from
>1939 to 45.

My suggestion would be to blockade the entire region and let them duke it out between themselves without any interference or assistance from outside parties. Maybe then they will figure out how to coexist peacefully.

1698. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 01:56:41 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0207191756.caf0120@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130726

voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<22a5e694.0207191223.787c8a65@posting.google.com>...
> There is a difference. Since the 1930's virtually all commercial ham
> gear has come off the shelf capable of transmitting out of band. A
> twist of the VFO or a substitution of a crystal and suddenly you're on
> (for example) a military frequency. However they were not disguised as
> license-free hobby radios or marketed as something other than what
> they were. Even today, the big three's (Icom, Yaesu, Kenwood) gear is
> not intentionally designed and marketed for the sole purpose of being
> used illegally -- unlike the phoney "10 meter export" radios -- the
> majority of which are marketed to, sold, and used by CB'ers in the
> USA.
>
> -A

So the fact that a "real" HF ham rig can be modified just as easily
(if not easier) as these "export/10 meter" radios doesn't bother you?
There's lots of "Freebanders" running high priced ham rigs. Do you
really think they just bought them to talk on the "Freeband" or do you
think they might be hams that talk on ham freqs as well? Why haven't
the companies done anything to keep hams from being able to modify
these radios? Rat Shack did it with their last 10 meter rig.. they did
it with their 2m and 70cm HT's and mobiles... why can't Icom, Yaesu
and Kenwood do it?

1699. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 02:09:04 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d38c42f.3066517@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130728

In <5e5fccab.0207191756.caf0120@posting.google.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.com (vhfradiobuff) wrote:

<snip>
>So the fact that a "real" HF ham rig can be modified just as easily
>(if not easier) as these "export/10 meter" radios doesn't bother you?
>There's lots of "Freebanders" running high priced ham rigs. Do you
>really think they just bought them to talk on the "Freeband" or do you
>think they might be hams that talk on ham freqs as well? Why haven't
>the companies done anything to keep hams from being able to modify
>these radios? Rat Shack did it with their last 10 meter rig.. they did
>it with their 2m and 70cm HT's and mobiles... why can't Icom, Yaesu
>and Kenwood do it?

Hams are licensed for certain bands. If they operate beyond those
frequency limitations they are just as illegal as if they didn't have
a license. The big difference here is that hams are allowed to modify
any equipment -provided- they can abide by the rules, i.e, operate
within the limitations of their license. But a radio designed with the
obvious intent of being used where it shouldn't, and sometimes even
marketed as such, can't be considered a 'real' ham rig.

1700. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 02:46:22 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207191846.436e9800@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130729

vhfradiobuff@aol.com (vhfradiobuff)wrote:
voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<22a5e694.0207191223.787c8a65@posting.google.com>...
> >There is a difference. Since the 1930's virtually all commercial ham
> >gear has come off the shelf capable of transmitting out of band. A
> >twist of the VFO or a substitution of a crystal and suddenly you're on
> >(for example) a military frequency. However they were not disguised as
> >license-free hobby radios or marketed as something other than what
> >they were. Even today, the big three's (Icom, Yaesu, Kenwood) gear is
> >not intentionally designed and marketed for the sole purpose of being
> >used illegally -- unlike the phoney "10 meter export" radios -- the
> >majority of which are marketed to, sold, and used by CB'ers in the
> >USA.
> >
> >-A
>
>So the fact that a "real" HF ham rig can be modified just as easily
>(if not easier) as these "export/10 meter" radios doesn't bother you?

No. Amateur gear does not require type-acceptance and never has. CB
gear does. Hams are allowed to build, modify and operate their own
equipment. CBers are not. By and large, hams have not abused their
privileges. CBers have.

>There's lots of "Freebanders" running high priced ham rigs. Do you
>really think they just bought them to talk on the "Freeband" or do
you
>think they might be hams that talk on ham freqs as well?

If they are hams, they risk losing their licenses and paying large
fines. A look at the RAIN report shows that enforcement action against
these type of people is happening now more often. Apparently a number
of hams whose licenses allow them vhf-only privileges figure they can
get on HF by "freebanding". Pretty dumb, I'd say. (You don't know
anyone who fits that description, do you?)

>Why haven't the companies done anything to keep hams from being able
>to modify these radios? Rat Shack did it with their last 10 meter rig
>.. they did
>it with their 2m and 70cm HT's and mobiles... why can't Icom, Yaesu
>and Kenwood do it?

If $2000 Yaesu FT1000MP's and Icom 756 Pro's start selling like
hotcakes in truckstops, then perhaps the companies would be compelled
to do something. But both you and I know it's the phoney '10 meter'
radios that are aimed at the CB market being pushed by unscrupulous

retailers that are at the root of the problem.

I could be wrong but it almost sounds as if you are trying to scare/blackmail law-abiding hams into silence by insinuating that if illegal clip-and-transmit '10-meter export' CB radios are unable to be manufactured, then it will somehow trigger the FCC to enact type-acceptance rules for real, legitimate ham gear.

Ain't gonna happen.

-A

1701. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 02:49:54 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <P64_8.107106$JU3.46803909@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130733

ATTABOY, Jim!!

Jerry

Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ujh0ic8dnf4h7a@corp.supernews.com...
> There is no need for police to monitor the VHF/UHF amateur bands. Ever
hear
> of autopatch? You use VHF/UHF to call 911 directly. Geeze ..
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:ujgtjks3hbtd12@corp.supernews.com...
> > with channel 9 for emergencies?
> >
> > Used to be ch9. Police used to monitor ch9 but not anymore. Most states
if
> > not all have signs "police monitor ch19" nowadays.
> >
> > Has anyone seen a sign saying police monitor(10m or 6m or 2m)? Other
then
> > poland:)
> >
> >
>
>

1702. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 02:58:33 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <tl4_8.183417$iX5.9397276@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130730

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D38B605.619AF47A@icok.net...
>
>
> KungFuYou,
> Sorry, you're mistaken about it being an
> obstruction of justice. Even if it could be
> construed as an obstruction, I'd love to see
> how they would prove it was you doing the
> transmitting, and exactly who profited by your
> transmitting a warning. Won't work. Even a
> poor attorney would have a field day.
> 'Doc

Doc, I wasn't talking about transmitting on a CB, I was commenting on
Landsharks post

1703. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 03:05:28 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <Yr4_8.227202$lm2.11587959@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130731

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:jL2_8.3166$o47.125099204@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...

> So pulling over for someone speeding in your lane, by your
> definition is breaking the law, by letting him pass.

Now where did you dream this out of what I said. I said you cannot flash
your lights or warn others that a police officer is ahead using radar or
doing enforcement.
I did not write the Laws so don;'t take your arguement up with me. The facts
are if you search what I said you will find it to be true./

> Please cite that law (California), your fond of that statement.
> Cite the law that says you can't hold up a sign along
> the side of the road saying "Radar trap ahead, slow down"
> same thing as the cb.

Sorry you can look for something to the contrary, ask a policeman better yet
ask more than 1 If flashing you lights to warn of a policeman enforcing
traffic laws
if there is any statutes that they write.

> > the ACLU hasn't a leg to stand
>
> Really? You might want to go to their site.
>
> > on this happens everyday in our country. You better research before you
> post
> > and tell Kevin to stick to something he knows. you are 100% wrong.
> >
> >
>
> So coming back from Solvang Ca. a couple of weeks ago, I was hit by
> radar, my detector did it's job. I then called my friend
> a few miles behind me and warned him..... I was breaking
> the law by making a phone call now? Yeah, ok.
>

Yes, I know you find it the same as talking on the freeband who am I hurting
lol. Like I said Landwhale I didn't write the law I am just telling you what
is commonly practiced across the Usa.

> You just can't hold a civil debate without throwing
> out some sort of insult, man it must be tough being you.

Where did I insult you did I call you a faggot or something?

1704. Re: For Sale: Palomar elite 400, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 03:06:34 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <_s4_8.183428$iX5.9402668@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130732

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:iL2_8.3162$o47.125099204@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> Actually it's not Spam, if he posts it # of times
> or broke it down into separate posts, I would report him.
> But as it sits, unless he reposts it or other items everyday,
> several times a day, it's not Spam.
>
> Have a Nice evening George.

Hmm Now why did you feel the need to reply to me was your named mentioned or did you just miss me?

This is not rec.radio.swap

1705. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 03:16:47 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <1w4_8.107230$JU3.46933183@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130734

vhfradiobuff <vhfradiobuff@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5e5fccab.0207191756.caf0120@posting.google.com...
> voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<22a5e694.0207191223.787c8a65@posting.google.com>...
> > There is a difference. Since the 1930's virtually all commercial ham
> > gear has come off the shelf capable of transmitting out of band. A
> > twist of the VFO or a substitution of a crystal and suddenly you're on
> > (for example) a military frequency. However they were not disguised as
> > license-free hobby radios or marketed as something other than what
> > they were. Even today, the big three's (Icom, Yaesu, Kenwood) gear is
> > not intentionally designed and marketed for the sole purpose of being
> > used illegally -- unlike the phoney "10 meter export" radios -- the
> > majority of which are marketed to, sold, and used by CB'ers in the
> > USA.
> >
> > -A
>
> So the fact that a "real" HF ham rig can be modified just as easily
> (if not easier) as these "export/10 meter" radios doesn't bother you?
> There's lots of "Freebanders" running high priced ham rigs. Do you
> really think they just bought them to talk on the "Freeband" or do you
> think they might be hams that talk on ham freqs as well? Why haven't
> the companies done anything to keep hams from being able to modify
> these radios? Rat Shack did it with their last 10 meter rig.. they did
> it with their 2m and 70cm HT's and mobiles... why can't Icom, Yaesu
> and Kenwood do it?

There *is* a reluctance to change that, and a reason FOR making the radios
that way. Often these HF radios are used in different services in different
countries.
The front panel may be different, but the circuitry is the same. It has to
do with
cost. If the companies had to make a completely different version of the
same
basic radio for 100 different countries, it would become prohibitive. I,
for example, use my IC706 on certain military and government frequencies.
Yes, I
have authorization, and FCC has no authority over that particular operation.
In
that case, I am able to use the same radio for Amateur as well as military
and emergency comms by adding a high stability oscillator offered as an
option by
Icom. If I had to purchase, say, a Motorola Micom II HF radio at $1500, I
wouldn't be able to do so, lots of other volunteers couldn't either, and the

volunteer program I am a part of would suffer. As it is, soon I will have to
buy some more VHF equipment because the VHF portion of the 706 doesn't
meet the stricter standards as of last December. It WAS nice to have just ONE
radio.

Most of the regulations governing the use of radio, including CB, were formulated
in a time when laws were more respected by the public, and it wasn't
imagined that people would challenge them by operating illegally. The unfortunate thing is
that, in an effort to counteract the illegal operations, perfectly innocent people may
have to suffer along with the guilty. Like the hams who can no longer purchase
amplifiers capable of operating between 24 and 32 MHZ out of the box? Guess
who and what caused THAT! If people would simply obey the law, there would
be no need for draconian measures that punish everybody. If on CB, operate
according to the rules and stay on the 40 channels. If on ham band, operate
according to the rules of the service. If you want to DX, have extra power and
frequencies, get the ham ticket and have at it. Seems simple to me.

Jerry

1706. Re: re fcc question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 03:26:39 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <hF4_8.107275$JU3.46964497@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130736

LilyV7 <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020718132532.01904.00000027@mb-de.aol.com...
> kenneth, the reason the f.c.c. cant "do" anything about howard
stern...and
> thank god that they cant.....is due to the fact that his "right" to say
what he
> wants to, is guaranteed by the constitution.there is no protection for
those
> (myself included) who "fudge" part 95. the system is working just fine
when it
> protects "ugly" speech. a system that only protects your right to "nice
speech"
> is meaningless. there are times when we need to be able to" harshly
criticise"
> our government,politicians,and each other. free speech is a right,not a
> privilege.we in this country seem to be forgetting the difference between
the
> two.
>
> "the right to bear arms" is probably the single most powerfull right that
we
> have.it guarantees that all your other rights "will" be respected. just
look at
> the party that is trying to re-design our way of life.(demos) they are
also
> trying to remove that obstacle to their plans,for they know that once they
go
> too far.....we had better be disarmed. think about it........gunslinger

There IS one correction: Whether they are "able" to do anything about it or
not,
there is NO protection for vile, profane language either on broadcast or
two-way
radio. Some hams thought they had a 'right' to say anything they wanted and
are
now off the air. You don't have the "right" to yell FIRE! in a crowded
theater. The free speech thing has *some* limits.

I am not familiar with the Howard Stern case, but any speech that describes
sexual or bodily functions in a crude, profane way is actionable by FCC. If
Stern
is getting away with it, I don't know how. I don't listen to him, so in
that way, I
censor him from my house.

With rights come responsibilities. Unfortunately, we want ALL "our" rights whether it impedes the rights of others or not--just so we obtain the advantage.

J

1707. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 03:30:03 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <%O4_8.183467$iX5.9417367@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130735

"vhfradiobuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5e5fccab.0207191752.5540ed7e@posting.google.com...
>
> What public safety is between channel 40 and 10 meters? I said that
> illegal CBers aren't causing problems to anyone besides themselves, as
> long as they stay outta 10 meters... it's the hams with illegally
> modified VHF equipment that is the real danger.

Do you think freebanders limit there activity to channel 40 and 10 meters
are you that naive about radio?
With them buying Icoms, Kenwoods Yaesus they go where ever they like
license or not they have no fear of the FCC
It is comical that you think a freebander will limit his frequency range to
27.405 to 27.999 I hear CB guys with 757's talking about going on the Am
broadcast band
are they still freebanders? or are they something else?

> > So why in your previous post say that a non ham wouldnt be able to key
up a
> > repeater ?

> I didn't.

You sure did you specifically stated thousands of Hams only, you never said
It could be a Cber with a Modded Ham radio. You are acting like a Cber
cannot key up a repeater you also said a non ham wouldnt go thru the
trouble. And again I say what trouble is there but you state that an ex hame
would go to the trouble, why would they they are able to use almost any
frequency they want to they have a license to lose they will be the likely
target in the area. But to say a non ham wouldnt learn it is like saying you
won't learn how to post to this Ng because it is an effort.

vhfradiobuff 4eye wrote:

"Just think of the thousands of hams with
modified 2m rigs that have the ability to "Ker-Chunk" their local
police repeaters. Aren't these the REAL problems? We have someone
locally that I believe may have been a ham before because he keys up
on the local 2m and 70cm repeaters, causing all kinds of havoc. I
don't think a non-ham would go through the effort of getting a
dualband rig and learning how to use it just to cause problems. What's
to stop him from tuning that VFO up to the 150's?! Many departments
are still operating in that range!

> Is it time for your annual visit to Pearl Vision to get your eyes
> checks?

1708. Re: 10M rigs, Customs, legals -The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 03:36:21 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207191936.11df05e9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130737

I wrote:
>Amateur gear does not require type-acceptance and never has. CB
>gear does.

I forgot that this was Usenet and folks will leap to correct any oversight, so I will correct myself. All RF gear must meet certain standards set by the FCC. This is known as "FCC Certification", and it was previously known as "Type Acceptance". Amateur gear is certified for use in the range of frequencies authorized for amateur operation, meaning, commercial amateur gear is not "certified" for use outside the amateur bands. This doesn't change the essential point of my previous post, since licensed amateurs may legally build,modify, and use equipment that is "capable" of out of band or illegal high power operation.....and CBers may not.

-A

1709. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 03:41:04 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <OS4_8.107344$JU3.47024950@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130743

ALLSTEEL77 <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020719183218.16005.00000057@mb-cq.aol.com...
> Rf police listen up.The FCC does not care about you or cber's.All they
care
> about is commerical broadcast ,cell phones ,cable etc...$$$$$.You can flap
you
> lips and bang you keyboard but nothing will change.So lower your blood
pressure
> and chill out! Enjoy the ride!

Contact the jail in Jupiter, Fla. Get 'em to let you talk to Rabbit Ears!
He was
one of those CBers FCC didn't "care" about. That's why he got led back to
his
cell in CHAINS and LEG IRONS!!! Mffft~pfftt......HAHAHAHAHA! Ask him
if they didn't care. LMAO!

J

1710. Re: 10M rigs, Customs, legals -The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 03:42:42 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <S_4_8.40614$Iu6.2285318@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130738

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207191936.11df05e9@posting.google.com...
> I wrote:
> >Amateur gear does not require type-acceptance and never has. CB
> >gear does.
>
> I forgot that this was Usenet and folks will leap to correct any
> oversight, so I will correct myself. All RF gear must meet certain
> standards set by the FCC. This is known as "FCC Certification", and it
> was previously known as "Type Acceptance". Amateur gear is certified
> for use in the range of frequencies authorized for amateur operation,
> meaning, commercial amateur gear is not "certified" for use outside
> the amateur bands. This doesn't change the essential point of my
> previous post, since licensed amateurs may legally build,modify, and
> use equipment that is "capable" of out of band or illegal high power
> operation.....and CBers may not.
>
> -A

And now someone is going to breathlessly report the stickers he found on
his ricebox. The standards in question relate to receiver radiation at
HF.

1711. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 03:43:32 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <E%4_8.2644$AR6.135945467@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130740

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:Yr4_8.227202$Im2.11587959@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> Now where did you dream this out of what I said. I said you cannot flash
> your lights or warn others that a police officer is ahead using radar or
> doing enforcement.

Qoute:

"So yes informing other motorist's of a policeman using radar
is absolutely interfering with his duties according to there laws. Go ask
your local Cop."

Seems to mean exactly what you said.

> I did not write the Laws so don;'t take your arguement up with me. The
facts
> are if you search what I said you will find it to be true./
>
>

Again cite the California laws that "are true" for your statement.

> > Please cite that law (California), your fond of that statement.
> > Cite the law that says you can't hold up a sign along
> > the side of the road saying "Radar trap ahead, slow down"
> > same thing as the cb.
>
> Sorry you can look for something to the contrary, ask a >policeman

I have, long ago (Family members & friends)

> better yet
> ask more than 1 If flashing you lights to warn of a policeman enforcing
> traffic laws
> if there is any statutes that they write.
>

Again, you are the one talking about flashing lights,
the original post concerned the use of cb radio.

> >
> > So coming back from Solvang Ca. a couple of weeks ago, I was hit by
> > radar, my detector did it's job. I then called my friend
> > a few miles behind me and warned him..... I was breaking
> > the law by making a phone call now? Yeah, ok.

> Yes, I know you find it the same as talking on the freeband who am I
hurting lol. Like I said Landwhale I didn't write the law I am just telling

you what is commonly practiced across the Usa.
>

What does freebanding have to do with talking on a cb and
warning others of a cop shooting radar? Again, trying to switch
the subject to overt attention from what you said, excellent job
George, glad to see your still on the ball.

> > You just can't hold a civil debate without throwing
> > out some sort of insult, man it must be tough being you.
>
>
> Where did I insult you did I call you a faggot or something?

You just did, didn't you?

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

1712. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 03:43:33 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <F%4_8.2600$na6.176715029@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130739

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:tl4_8.183417$iX5.9397276@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D38B605.619AF47A@icok.net...
> >
> >
> > KungFuYou,
> > Sorry, you're mistaken about it being an
> > obstruction of justice. Even if it could be
> > construed as an obstruction, I'd love to see
> > how they would prove it was you doing the
> > transmitting, and exactly who profited by your
> > transmitting a warning. Won't work. Even a
> > poor attorney would have a field day.
> > 'Doc
>
> Doc, I wasn't talking about transmitting on a CB, I was commenting on
> Landsharks post
>
>

My point was that if you transmit on a cb about
a radar speed trap, that's not interfering with the law.
YOU started the crap about high beams, flashing lights,
space ships and such, not me so don't try to back peddle
here (another one of your favorites)

Still have a wonderful night, pleasant dreams.....

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

1713. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 03:43:34 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <G%4_8.2601$na6.176715029@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130741

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d38c06d.2105275@news.cet.com...
> In <iL2_8.3164$o47.125099204@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
> <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> > Well Frank I'll agree that it sounds as if they
> >are using a law that was intended for something else.
> >My point was, couldn't that type of extremisms be construed
> >as the same for export radio/linear/freebands?
> >
> > Just food for thought.....
>
> I guess I'm missing what you mean by 'taking a law to extremes'.
>

What I was trying to infer, was couldn't
someone going to extremes over the environment and
littering, wouldn't that be the same as Aaron trolling here
with the same rhetoric?

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

1714. shootout dates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 03:44:44 UTC
From: hankjr941@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020719234444.07462.00001613@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 130742

could someone email me with the links for the shootout dates around the south? It has been a few years since ive been to one! The last was laurl ms, The web page i used to get the dates off of aint up no more! Thanks Jukebox223 swamps!! Email: hankjr941@aol.com I want to make the next one in southeast la but dont know the details, thanx!!

1715. Re: Uniden AM/SSB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 03:51:02 UTC
From: jdavecobb@aol.comatose
Message-ID: <20020719235102.26278.00000191@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 130744

Also, I welcome anybody's opinions on the Grant XL versus the newer Grant LT models...

1716. Re: Latest MP3 Website..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 04:13:51 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020720001351.01129.00001557@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 130745

Try www.winmx.com

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

1717. Re: For Sale: Palomar elite 400, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 04:17:52 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020720001752.01129.00001559@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 130746

>From: "KungFuYou" vengence@foo.com
>Get that spam outta here
George, you think i should buy that Palomar...add her to the stable!!!!!!

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

1718. Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 05:19:58 UTC
From: Para9mm@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9280-3D38F2FE-70@storefull-2253.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130749

I've been giving some thought of hooking up my old Sears Roadtalker and Texas Star 400 in my car.The only problem is It's a 4 cylinder 2002 Toyota Camry.Will the Texas star fry and computer parts in the car.Thanks

Ray,

(Si vis pacem,
para bellum) U.S.A.

1719. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 05:38:07 UTC
From: Para9mm@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9281-3D38F73F-42@storefull-2253.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130748

The FCC has their hands full with more important things like the stock market and tapping unfriendlies to get involved and waist taxpayers money,man power and court time on BS cb radio.

Ray,

(Si vis pacem,
para bellum) U.S.A.

1720. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 05:39:59 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d38f653.201355@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130747

In <G%4_8.2601$na6.176715029@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d38c06d.2105275@news.cet.com...
>> In <iL2_8.3164$o47.125099204@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
>> <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>> > Well Frank I'll agree that it sounds as if they
>> >are using a law that was intended for something else.
>> >My point was, couldn't that type of extremisms be construed
>> >as the same for export radio/linear/freebands?
>> >
>> > Just food for thought.....
>>
>> I guess I'm missing what you mean by 'taking a law to extremes'.
>>
>
> What I was trying to infer, was couldn't
>someone going to extremes over the environment and
>littering, wouldn't that be the same as Aaron trolling here
>with the same rhetoric?

Does nothing else occupy your mind? You might consider Voobner to be
an anti-keyclown extremist, but by your own standards you are an
anti-Voobner extremist. I know you are an intelligent person and are
capable of discussions on many topics, but it seems he has got you by
the balls! LOL!

1721. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 06:08:31 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D38E080.DC76CA01@mailcity.net>
Source record: 130750

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> In <ujgu80q7kl330c@corp.supernews.com>, "Kenneth"
> <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote:
>
> >So u live in an area where people are to stupid to look both ways?
> >Maybe you should call ART BELL? That many pedestrians in a short time has to
> >be a record of some sort.
>
> None of the victims I mentioned were pedestrians. But there have been
> pedestrians killed by speeders as well. If you are suggesting that it
> is always the pedestrian's fault when they get hit then you are
> ignoring not only many of the possible scenarios of such an incident,
> but the willingness of the pedestrian not to get hit or killed when
> crossing the street. The next person you should accuse of stupidity is
> yourself.

It accomplishes nothing to argue with a moron.

1722. Re: 10M rigs, Customs, legals -The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 06:14:43 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d38fad2.1352692@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130751

In <S_4_8.40614$Iu6.2285318@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:22a5e694.0207191936.11df05e9@posting.google.com...
>> I wrote:
>> >Amateur gear does not require type-acceptance and never has. CB
>> >gear does.
>>
>> I forgot that this was Usenet and folks will leap to correct any
>> oversight, so I will correct myself. All RF gear must meet certain
>> standards set by the FCC. This is known as "FCC Certification", and it
>> was previously known as "Type Acceptance". Amateur gear is certified
>> for use in the range of frequencies authorized for amateur operation,
>> meaning, commercial amateur gear is not "certified" for use outside
>> the amateur bands. This doesn't change the essential point of my
>> previous post, since licensed amateurs may legally build,modify, and
>> use equipment that is "capable" of out of band or illegal high power
>> operation.....and CBers may not.
>>
>> -A
>
>And now someone is going to breathlessly report the stickers he found on
>his ricebox. The standards in question relate to receiver radiation at
>HF.

I don't think that is what he was referring to. If a ham transmitter
is certified for use as a ham transmitter, it may not be used for any
other purpose (unless it is also certified for that purpose as well).

But I just had to look up the emission standards of a ham transmitter:
2.1060 outlines the certification requirements and emission standards.
The same emission standards are reflected in 97.307.

1723. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 06:18:51 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d390002.2680669@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130752

In <9280-3D38F2FE-70@storefull-2253.public.lawson.webtv.net>,
Para9mm@webtv.net (R.M.R.) wrote:

>I've been giving some thought of hooking up my old Sears Roadtalker and
>Texas Star 400 in my car.The only problem is It's a 4 cylinder 2002
>Toyota Camry.Will the Texas star fry and computer parts in the
>car.Thanks

There's only one way to find out: tuck your antenna under the hood,
take the car down the freeway at 70mph, key it up, and when you get
out of the hospital let us know what happened!

1724. Re: Spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 06:21:36 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ahb6q7ocg4@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130758

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:QhLZ8.1797$Mu7.109208624@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d377bbe.8806015@news.cet.com...
> > But you have never provided ANY explanation, sharkie!
> >
>
> I have both in e mail and on this
> forum, you must have missed it, sorry.
==

Well, I remember seeing it on the group.
But then I am not senile.

Regards,

Peter.

1725. Re: OT - the minister

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 06:21:38 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ahb6q8ocg5@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130759

"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:UrCZ8.3703$pv6.365262@cletus.bright.net...
> A man goes to the minister at his church. "Reverend," he said, "we
> have a problem. My wife keeps falling asleep during your sermons. It's
very
> embarrassing, not to mention disrespectful. What can I do?"
>
> <snipped>
==

LMAO.

Regards,

Peter.

1726. Re: Magnum Delta Force 10 metre mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 06:21:39 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ahb6qaocg6@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130760

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207182051.9401cfe@posting.google.com...
> "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:<ah5omg$2ng$4@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> > <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d32acaf.1391524@news.cet.com...
> > > In <1f2adfc1.0207142353.429df309@posting.google.com>,
> > > toxic_waste@citizensband.com (toxic waste) wrote:
> > >
> > > >I've just been looking at the new Magnum Delta Force 10 meter mobile
> > > >rig on the Copper site. Anyone got any views on Magnum? It's
> > > >certainly a very pretty looking rig. Is there a simple mod to convert
> > > >it to cover 11 meters as well as 10 meters?
> > > >
> > > >TIA
> > > >tox
> > >
> > > But be careful: it might be an FCC sting operation....HAHAHAHAHA!!!
> > > yeah, like THAT'S ever gonna happen!!!
> > >
> >
> > Specially to someone in the UK.
> >
>
> Hey look what I found.
>
> http://www.radio.gov.uk/topics/enforcement/prosecution.htm
>
> Pirate Radio and CB leads the enforcement actions in the UK.
==

Not quite... It *could* lead to prosecution.
Out of interest, have you seen the number of prosecutions per year?
Compared to the level of illegal CB use, it could be considered negligible.
The fact is that they do not have the resourses to make so much as a dent in
illegal CB. One chap covering several counties, and not just for CB... all
radio use.

Before any action is taken, there must be a report of inteference. This
report must include proof that the person has already had an engineer check
it out (at their cost), details of problems and regular times of day, then
they have to enclose the standard RIS (Radio Investigation Service) fee for
investigating such problems.
As if that wasn't enough to put people off, they will refuse to deal with
inteference to any device that is not a receiver (CD, stereo, etc) or MW

(AM) radio reception.

Yes, they do some shouting and, I believe, still maintain a 100% conviction rate for those they take to court. But the number they prosecute is tiny compared with the number running illegally. At one time, with the tighter rules of the 80s, about 90% of CB users were running illegally in some way. And what were the prosecution levels... something like 30 people per year!

Locally, there is a CBer who uses illegal equipment and an amp. He inteferes with local TVs whenever he uses it. The above rules have put people off from putting in a complaint, as they would have to pay a local engineer, then
the RIS fee if they want him busting.

I am NOT saying that it is a good thing, just that it is a fact that many rules and laws cannot be effectively enforced for one reason or another. CB is not the only area where this happens, private landlords are known to break rules, even renting dangerous properties. But our "Assured Shorthold Tennancy" system makes it near impossible to enforce the laws and protect the public. There are laws against slander, but you need plenty of money to throw at it before you can even consider your rights.

But illegal CB in the UK will still NOT lead to "FCC sting operations". And the RIS certainly don't have the time or money to run "sting operations", specially as "agent provocateur" type actions can lead to an acquittal.

==
> http://www.radio.gov.uk/topics/enforcement/restrict.htm
>
> The Wireless Telegraphy (Citizens' Band and Amateur Apparatus)
> (Various Provisions) Order 1998 applies to Citizens' Band radios
> operating in the frequency band 26.1-28MHz
==

This is confusing, as it refers to CB as 26.1 to 28, when it is supposed to be 26.965 to 28MHz here. It is not the only reference to this, as a frequency allocation charts (same site) also refers to CB being from something like 26.1 MHz.

Regards,

Brainbuster.

1727. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 06:21:41 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ahb6qbocg7@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130761

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207181511.b5d43af@posting.google.com...
> "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
> news:ah34f1egm5@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk...
> >
> > I have seriously come to the conclusion that Aaron and his gang are
> > just here for troll value, they just want excuses to argue, abuse and
> > offend.
>
> Now you're fabricating insults to Jerry purportedly written by me.
==

Here we go... "Fake, Fake", shouts Aagent Aaron.
Short memory, Aaron... senilty?

Would you mind replying in thread?
But of course, you would have to wait until the message you saw in your
usual newsreader appears on Google... several hours.
Using Google must suck so loud, when you have to combine it with a real
newsreader to get a decent service.

Brainbuster.

1728. Re: Aaron acts like a cornered rat...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 06:21:42 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ahb6qdocg8@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 130762

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207182036.4db71323@posting.google.com...
> "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:<ags5rv$r1c$6@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> >
> > I have notice that, when cornered, Aagent Aaron will scream "Fake,
> > Fake".
> > He did the same thing when he dropped his guard and posted a Spam e-mail
> > to some of us at the same time as posting on here... and his IP number
> > matched that of the e-mail, proving that he sent the Spam e-mails to us.
> >
> > The more he screams "waaa, it's a fake", the more we know it has to be
> > real and bad news for him.
> >
>
> Hi Peter,
>
> Back again to troll the hams here again?
==

Troll the hams?
But this is a CB newsgroup, or had you forgot? If one wanted to "troll
the hams", they would go on a Ham newsgroup... not a CB one.
Did it not cross your mind that some people use this group because they
have an interest in CB?

==
> Your pal Twisty is on vacation.
==

Well, thanks for the information.
Congratulations on your new job... as Twistedhed's secretary.

==
> But if you wanna go a few rounds, let's do it.
==

Nah, I was talking about you, not to you.

==
> Hey, look what I found. Beloit, WI is not mentioned. But Grand Rapids
> and Battle Creek, MI are. Wonder of they enacted any CB laws? Oh I
> forgot..."there is no CB interference problem" sez Twistedhed, "it was
> all part of an anti-CB campaign cooked up by jealous no code hams",
==

Inteference does not neccessarily mean that illegal equipment is in use.
Legal equipment can still intefere, just as illegal equipment does not
always cause inteference.
In fact, inteference is not always linked with CB or other illegal radio
activity, I have known inteference from Taxis, Hams, and even just weather
conditions causing TV reception problems.

Brainbuster.

1729. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 06:24:53 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d390206.3195975@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130753

In <3D38E080.DC76CA01@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
wrote:

>
>
>"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>>
>> In <ujgu80q7kl330c@corp.supernews.com>, "Kenneth"
>> <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote:
>>
>> >So u live in an area where people are to stupid to look both ways?
>> >Maybe you should call ART BELL? That many pedestrians in a short time has to
>> >be a record of some sort.
>>
>> None of the victims I mentioned were pedestrians. But there have been
>> pedestrians killed by speeders as well. If you are suggesting that it
>> is always the pedestrian's fault when they get hit then you are
>> ignoring not only many of the possible scenarios of such an incident,
>> but the willingness of the pedestrian not to get hit or killed when
>> crossing the street. The next person you should accuse of stupidity is
>> yourself.
>
>It accomplishes nothing to argue with a moron.

That wasn't an argument; it was a confirmation ;-)

1730. Re: re fcc question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 06:29:01 UTC
From: slamfire_1@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <2d9f0340.0207192229.31fe3e67@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130754

You guys must be on something if you think the government is going to allocate money to track down "ha","ha","ha",CBers.Your all taking yourselves way to serious.Get a grip,It's only CB Radio or maybe you just awoke from a coma and haven't heard we are at war in several places around the world and It's only going to get worse and cost billions more on top of what we have already spent so do you really believe they are going to piss away more money on regulating or disbanning CB Radio. This rumor has been going around for more years then I like to remember.Come on boys crank up the power and don't look back.I live less then a quarter mile from the FCC and been running smoke up their asses for years and never once got a knock on my door.They could care less.(Ray R.) "Slamfire"

1731. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 06:41:37 UTC
From: slamfire_1@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <2d9f0340.0207192241.190d54e1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130755

You guys must be on something if you think the government is going to allocate money to track down "ha","ha","ha",CBers.Your all taking yourselves way to serious.Get a grip,It's only CB Radio or maybe you just awoke from a coma and haven't heard we are at war in several places around the world and It's only going to get worse and cost billions more on top of what we have already spent so do you really believe they are going to piss away more money on regulating or disbanning CB Radio. This rumor has been going around for more years then I like to remember.Come on boys crank up the power and don't look back.I live less then a quarter mile from the FCC and been running smoke up their asses for years and never once got a knock on my door.They could care less.

(Ray R.) "Slamfire"

1732. Re: re fcc question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 06:52:26 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3906c7.4413827@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130756

In <2d9f0340.0207192229.31fe3e67@posting.google.com>,
slamfire_1@yahoo.com (slamfire) wrote:

>You guys must be on something if you think the government is going to
>allocate money to track down "ha","ha","ha",CBers.Your all taking
>yourselves way to serious.Get a grip,It's only CB Radio or maybe you
>just awoke from a coma and haven't heard we are at war in several
>places around the world and It's only going to get worse and cost
>billions more on top of what we have already spent so do you really
>believe they are going to piss away more money on regulating or
>disbanning CB Radio. This rumor has been going around for more years
>then I like to remember.Come on boys crank up the power and don't look
>back.I live less then a quarter mile from the FCC and been running
>smoke up their asses for years and never once got a knock on my
>door.They could care less.(Ray R.) "Slamfire"

Well, since the FCC is capable of imposing a fine of up to $17,000 per
violation, I would think that busting illegal operators might be an
easy way for the FCC to fatten up the government's piggy bank.

But a fine one you are, while America's best are busy putting their
lives on the line you are in here saying that war is the best time to
blow off your civil responsibilities and piss on the government. Do
you really have a grasp to what's going on, or do you think that the
WTC attack was just another TV program?

1733. FS: Tubes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 07:44:25 UTC
From: thetubeman3500z@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d39148c.41780285@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 130757

I've got tubes... 6LQ6, AND OTHERS... 3-500Z'S AND MUCH MORE.

INQUIRE VIA E-MAIL

thetubeman3500z@yahoo.com

1734. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 08:42:17 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ahb7p9$i25$6@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 130763

KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com> wrote:

> I say he is wrong I am not talking about using a CB radio, If you flash your
> headlights to warn oncoming motorists that there is a policeman
> and he is running radar yo can be ticketed, of course a policeman would have
> to see this. So yes informing other motorist's of a policeman using radar
> is absolutly interfering with his duties according to there laws. Go ask
> your local Cop.

Your local policeman seldom knows such fine details of the law.

On the other hand, most police (unless they are running a speed trap)
welcome reports of their presence. It slows down the traffic, which is
the primary reason they are on patrol in marked cars in the first place.

If they just wanted to ticket people, then they would use unmarked
cars.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

1735. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 10:55:52 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720065552.01129.00001576@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 130764

I'm glad you think so! Most can get better pricing then that and if they can't, would rather pay more for the product than have to deal with those assholes in KY.
Train

>Subject: Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics
>From: allsteel77@aol.com (ALLSTEEL77)
>Date: 7/19/02 9:16 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020719211648.15980.00000069@mb-cq.aol.com>
>
>Most local retailers buy from Copper or H&Y and mark up the price.
>
>
>
>
>
>

1736. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 11:04:25 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720070425.01129.00001578@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 130765

The answer is very simple! Howard Stern has been fined in the millions of dollars for his antics on the rado show he does. Infinity Broadcasting owned his show at the time and simply PAID THE FINES.
You might want to do the math.....This is just for ONE STATION:
WXRK in New York City charges $15,000 PER MINUTE for ad time on Howard's show and they run 18 minutes of commercials per hour. That comes out to $1,080,000 PER DAY in money billed by JUST ONE STATION that his show is on. His show generates close to or even more than ONE BILLION DOLLARS in one years total billing for the stations that carry his show! Do you really think getting fined 11,000,000 was such a big deal for that show?
Train

>Subject: FCC question?
>From: "Kenneth" pwrguy@intergrafix.net
>Date: 7/17/02 5:38 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <ujbou5ojcha705@corp.supernews.com>
>
>If the FCC was impotent to do anything about Howard Stern how can they get
>rid of millions of cb radios?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

1737. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 11:17:13 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720071713.01129.00001579@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 130766

>Subject: Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...
>From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
>Date: 7/18/02 4:49 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <22a5e694.0207181249.34e7454@posting.google.com>
>

>Here's what I believe:
>
>People cheat. People lie. People break laws. And many of them get away
>with it. It was always so, and probably will always be so. Is this a
>reason to cheat and lie your way through life? Absolutely not.
>
>Some of the lame excuses I see offered in this NG are the worst of all
>possible justifications for breaking the law. (e.g. it's OK to break a
>law (a) if the majority want it, (b) if you can make money at it, or
>(c) if you have a desire waiting to be fulfilled.) Civil disobedience
>is also an often-quoted lame excuse.

Tell this one to all the people who signed the Declaration of Indepenance.
These for the most part were wealthy men in their time who had EVERYTHING to
lose if things didn;t go well in the new world for them. In more modern time
even small jestures such as those by poeple like Rosa Parks have made for BIG
changes from just one person doing something to bring attention to a problem.
Train

When someone breaks a law to
>bring about social change, there better be a damn good reason. So far
>I have not heard one.
>
>-Aaron-
>
>"If one man can be allowed to determine for himself what is law, every
>man can. That means first chaos, then tyranny. Legal process is an
>essential part of the democratic process." - Justice Felix Frankfurter
>
>

1738. Re: How's biz,Aaron?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 11:19:36 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720071936.01129.00001580@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 130767

Interesting.....Does he have a valid tax ID for his state? Is he collecting sales tax for the state he lives in for in-state sales. Is he paying taxes on the income produced by what little sales he may generate?
Train

>Subject: Re: How's biz,Aaron?
>From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
>Date: 7/16/02 10:26 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020716222614.992$Mx@newsreader.com>
>
>Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>> "KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote:
>> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
>> > news:20020716170736.247$Tl@newsreader.com...
>> > > Still selling those make believe tringets?
>> >
>> >>you do mean trinkets don't you?
>> >
>>>Yea,what you said.
>>
>> Is he still selling em?
>>
>Did he get reported for breaking the law?
>
>--
>Go #40
>------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service
>
>
>
>
>
>

1739. Please someone advice on CB radio for newbie. I narrowed it down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 11:42:28 UTC
From: whatever <xxx@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <b4jijuotrcedmldllhi82h1gmp0mi9rpnp@4ax.com>
Source record: 130768

Hello All,

I think I have narrowed it down to a ranger.

But what model:
RANGER 6900FHP or RANGER 6900FTB

Pro / Cons

Thanks,

Kev

1740. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 11:55:06 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ucc_8.141877$eF5.3865010@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 130769

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:ED0_8.179970$Bt1.9690693@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D388CB5.EC70E54E@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> >
> >
> > "yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
> >
> > > Damn Randy! You just got yourself de-plonked!
> >
> > You read killfiled posts?
>
> Landshark does, so does Randy and a few others. LOL
>
>

And if you have any doubt, you just change nics, huh? LOL

1741. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 11:59:57 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ujikb587p2ivd1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130770

A short story on my opinion...

Ordered a dummy load and a D104 Mobile power mike from them. Both were on back order, so I got put on their list... (Your name stays on the list for 3-months, and if the order isn't fulfilled by then, it gets canceled...) I was never told my items were on back-order until I called a month later wondering where my stuff was; nice... I waited the 3-months for the items and nothing... Called them again. My order was canceled because it hadn't been fulfilled within the 3-month waiting period... Yippy, was I happy. I placed the order again about a month later. Again, backordered and on the 3-month waiting list. Three months later... No items, order was canceled again by them.. In total, 7-months waiting and still never got the items I wanted. It's not like it was anything major, either. I just don't see what the problem could have been that they couldn't get this stuff in for over 7-months?? Needless to say, I never placed another order with them again... This was about 5 or 6 years ago if I remember correctly...

Go with Bill's 2-Way, or Panther Electronics... Two of the best places I have dealt with.

PH

"No Junk" <jdavecobb@aol.comatose> wrote in message
news:20020719180658.13574.00001625@mb-ma.aol.com...
> I'm curious about Copper. I've purchased a couple of minor items there
and
> haven't had a problem. I'm considering getting a new radio there, also,
so
> these negative posts about Copper have me concerned.
>
> For anybody out there with experience dealing with Copper, I'd welcome a
> synopsis of your experience - positive or negative.

1742. Re: What happened to Cody's CB Page? http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 12:04:02 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ujikiqm032u742@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130771

I have been wondering the same thing myself. There was a wonder of information there, and now it has vanished...

PH

"Tim 960" <tim960@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020719211916.03822.00000273@mb-fb.aol.com...
> http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm
>
> It was full of all sorts of info for newbie's and old farts. Does any body
> have it on file or printed out?

1743. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 12:26:48 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020720082648.16876.00000608@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 130772

All one has to do is go to deja.com and do a search for Copper Electronics and they will find quite a history of complaints, just like Texas Towers... another nightmare.

1744. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 12:29:29 UTC
From: thetubeman3500z@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d39573a.58852625@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 130773

On 20 Jul 2002 10:55:52 GMT, tra1n@aol.com (TRA1N) wrote:

>I'm glad you think so! Most can get better pricing then that and if they can't,
>would rather pay more for the product than have to deal with those assholes in
>KY.
>Train

Not to mention us small time dealers can get most of the stuff they
sell, like me for instance.

and yes, I can match or beat thier prices on most, if not all thier
products. except for the stuff that is a copper product.

1745. OT: Mideast Musings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 12:30:06 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <iJc_8.142144$eF5.3869066@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 130774

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d38c1e6.2481621@news.cet.com...
>
> My suggestion would be to blockade the entire region and let them duke
> it out between themselves without any interference or assistance from
> outside parties. Maybe then they will figure out how to coexist
> peacefully.
>

I'm not sure that the two factions can ever get along at this point, taking into consideration the left-wing stance of the majority of both parties involved. Too much bloodshed and too many killings have occurred on both sides for that to happen in the lifespan of those that are in power. That being said, you may still have a valid point. Just letting them fight it out between themselves without interference may be the best course of action(or non-action) for the rest of the world. I don't know that will ever happen in this country though, because of the large Jewish voting community here. I doubt the Republican party would take the chance of losing votes with such non-action.

1746. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 12:32:22 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020720083222.16876.00000609@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 130775

>Do you think freebanders limit there activity to channel 40 and 10 meters
>are you that naive about radio?

Again, I suggest you go update your vision prescription. I clearly stated that illegal CBers cause no problems to anyone as long as they stay between Channel 40 and 10 meters. I doubt there is an epidemic of illegal operators in other bands with the exception of the wandering truck driver venturing into the CW portion of 10m.

1747. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 12:32:33 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BLc_8.142162$eF5.3869598@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 130776

"R.M.R." <Para9mm@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:9280-3D38F2FE-70@storefull-2253.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I've been giving some thought of hooking up my old Sears Roadtalker and
> Texas Star 400 in my car.The only problem is It's a 4 cylinder 2002
> Toyota Camry.Will the Texas star fry and computer parts in the
> car.Thanks
>
> Ray,
>
> (Si vis pacem,
> para bellum) U.S.A.
>

Probably so.

1748. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 12:37:11 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <XPc_8.142199$eF5.3869987@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 130778

"Frmboybuck" <frmboybuck@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020719211055.04414.00000301@mb-cl.aol.com...
> There is not any local retailer that can compete with copper on
> anything....Also i have done alot of business with copper and have never
had a
> problem.. Copper has some of the best techs around and they know their
stuff.
> Copper is not to be confused with EEI

Posted like a true owner!

1749. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 12:37:40 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020720083740.16876.00000610@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 130777

>Hams are licensed for certain bands. If they operate beyond those
>frequency limitations they are just as illegal as if they didn't have
>a license. The big difference here is that hams are allowed to modify
>any equipment -provided- they can abide by the rules

Any to what end does it serve for a ham to modify their shinny new HF rig so they can talk all over HF? I understand the ability to modify radios for MARS/CAP use (for those few hams actually involved in these activities), but why doesn't anyone say anything about these HF radios and VHF radios that allow modification beyond MARS/CAP? What purpose does it solve? These are the radios that are going to be a higher risk to cause harmful interference where it matters, not some operator using an RCI-2950 on 27.650mhz...

1750. Re: Your gov't is becoming quite the pill !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 12:39:32 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <8Sc_8.142218$eF5.3870034@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 130779

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D388BD6.F5753847@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
> > Geez, after all you gave us Shania Twain,
>
> There is no thanks for Shania Twain. Take her back.

But can we keep Peter Jennings?

1751. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 12:41:16 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <MTc_8.142232$eF5.3870558@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 130780

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:oh1_8.172573$iB1.9460262@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:yH0_8.95665$uw.45450@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
>
> > First, you have to be kidding. Secondly the courts could never prove
who
> > said what and why.
> >
> > You are 100% wrong.
> >
> > Dave
>
>
> Landshark Said: " Sorry Kevin, it's not against federal law or for
> that fact most
> state laws to inform people that a peace officer is using a radar gun to
> enforce speed limits. To interfere with a peace officer while he is
> performing his duty, he has to actively be engaged with an incident, so
just
> telling people that he is using radar ahead of them is not interfering
with
> his duties."
>
>
> I say he is wrong I am not talking about using a CB radio, If you flash
your
> headlights to warn oncoming motorists that there is a policeman
> and he is running radar yo can be ticketed, of course a policeman would
have
> to see this. So yes informing other motorist's of a policeman using radar
> is absolutly interfering with his duties according to there laws. Go ask
> your local Cop.
>
>

Hey George, maybe he was just checking the ammeter on his dash?

1752. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 12:44:53 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020720084453.16876.00000611@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 130781

.>Often these HF radios are used in different services in different
>countries.
>The front panel may be different, but the circuitry is the same. It has to
>do with
>cost. If the companies had to make a completely different version of
>the
>same
>basic radio for 100 different countries, it would become prohibitive.

So you would have me believe that some countries have amateur band plans into the 150 mhz area? I doubt it. Most 2m rigs can be modified to transmit there with a simple mod.

>If you want to DX, have extra power
>and
>frequencies, get the ham ticket and have at it. Seems simple to me.

Actually, I do have my ham ticket. I am just playing devils advocate here... everyone yells and screams about these 'export' radios that allow people to transmit on an unused portion of the radio spectrum, but they ignore the thousands of VHF radios in particular that are VERY easily modifiable and would allow people to easily interfere on public safety frequencies. Granted, there are those bad apples that wander into 10 meters with the 2950's and 2510, but those are sure to be caught if they persist and I believe there are a lot less venturing into actually occupied frequencies than those staying within the "accepted" limits of the freeband.

1753. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 12:50:51 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020720085051.16876.00000613@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 130782

>By and large, hams have not abused their
>privileges. CBers have.

AHHHAHAHHAHA! That's a good one Aaron - didn't know you had a sense of humor. Take a listen to the freeband and see how many operators there say they are using some sort of Amateur HF rig, not a '10 meter' radio...

>Apparently a number
>of hams whose licenses allow them vhf-only privileges figure they can
>get on HF by "freebanding". Pretty dumb, I'd say. (You don't know
>anyone who fits that description, do you?)

Nope, just playing devil's advocate here...>But both you and I know it's the phoney '10 meter'
>radios that are aimed at the CB market being pushed by unscrupulous
>retailers that are at the root of the problem.

Oh yeah, real unscrupulous to talk between channel 40 and the 10 meter band. No one has yet been able to name one agency that has been interfered with between channel 40 and the 10 meter band. If there is one single case involving this, please let me know because as far as I can tell, even though there are "allocations" in this frequency range, any actual activity by agencies allocated the frequencies is nil.>I could be wrong but it almost sounds as if you are trying to
>scare/blackmail law-abiding hams into silence by insinuating that if
>illegal clip-and-transmit '10-meter export' CB radios are unable to be
>manufactured, then it will somehow trigger the FCC to enact
>type-acceptance rules for real, legitimate ham gear.

Not at all, but your "opponents" should be aware just how easy it is for hams to modify their nice big expensive HF/VHF rigs and get onto the 'freeband'. As I stated in my original message, it's illegally modified VHF gear that is the real danger, with the ability to be modified to talk generally anywhere from around 136mhz (sometimes as low as 118mhz) up to 174mhz. It seems to me you are fighting the wrong fight, Aaron.

1754. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 14:16:02 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Cge_8.2643$HJ.185721076@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130783

"R.M.R." <Para9mm@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:9280-3D38F2FE-70@storefull-2253.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I've been giving some thought of hooking up my old Sears Roadtalker and
> Texas Star 400 in my car.The only problem is It's a 4 cylinder 2002
> Toyota Camry.Will the Texas star fry and computer parts in the
> car.Thanks
>
> Ray,
>
> (Si vis pacem,
> para bellum) U.S.A.
>

Not necessarily.
I had my 99 F150 set up and running up to 2 KW and
never had a problem. If you ground both the amp &
radio, antenna well, run the coax and power wires away
from the car's computer, and make sure that the antenna
is placed as high as it can (on the roof would be the best
spot for it) and you probably won't have a problem.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

1755. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 14:16:02 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Cge_8.2644$HJ.185721076@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130784

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d38f653.201355@news.cet.com...
> In <G%4_8.2601$na6.176715029@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
> <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
>
> >
> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d38c06d.2105275@news.cet.com...
> >> In <iL2_8.3164$o47.125099204@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
> >> <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >> > Well Frank I'll agree that it sounds as if they
> >> >are using a law that was intended for something else.
> >> >My point was, couldn't that type of extremisms be construed
> >> >as the same for export radio/linear/freebands?
> >> >
> >> > Just food for thought.....
> >>
> >> I guess I'm missing what you mean by 'taking a law to extremes'.
> >>
> >
> > What I was trying to infer, was couldn't
> >someone going to extremes over the environment and
> >littering, wouldn't that be the same as Aaron trolling here
> >with the same rhetoric?
>
> Does nothing else occupy your mind? You might consider Voobner to be
> an anti-keyclown extremist, but by your own standards you are an
> anti-Voobner extremist. I know you are an intelligent person and are
> capable of discussions on many topics, but it seems he has got you by
> the balls! LOL!
>

Actually no Frank, I was just trying to point out
the similarities of extremisms. I have a birthday party
to do today, so have a good one, stay cool in the High
North Desert.

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

1756. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 15:37:11 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720113711.01331.00000578@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 130785

>Subject: Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics
>From: "Randy" rchapman8@houston.rr.com
>Date: 7/20/02 8:37 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <XPc_8.142199$eF5.3869987@twister.austin.rr.com>
>
>
>"Frmboybuck" <frmboybuck@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020719211055.04414.00000301@mb-cl.aol.com...
>> There is not any local retailer that can compete with copper on
>> anything....Also i have done alot of business with copper and have never
>had a
>> problem.. Copper has some of the best techs around and they know their
>stuff.
>> Copper is not to be confused with EEI
>
>Posted like a true owner!
>
>

While on the subject of EEI, THEY SUCK(ED) OUT LOUD TOO!!!!! Along with whatever incarnation of the company they are calling themselves this week!
Train

1757. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 15:38:54 UTC
From: oris@dirtball.com
Message-ID: <f7a9c6ac.0207200738.15c074ae@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130786

Hey Thanks for the input. I wrote a letter to the VP at copper this morning with a copy of this post and a copy of the letter that I sent to the sales rep Suzanne. I will also check out the site suggested http://www.bbb.org and file a complaint. From what I gathered from this post, Copper is about like every other company there are good points and there are bad points. Lets face it companies are going to get away with as much as they can for the bottom line. Get as much money as you can and it doesn't matter how. Has anyone seen the stock market? The econmy is fine.
Remember he who dies with the most toys
STILL DIES
73 Oris

1758. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 15:40:59 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720114059.01331.00000580@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 130787

>> (Si vis pacem,
>> para bellum) U.S.A.

Isn't this something along the line of.....to ensure pease, prepare for war!
My latin is a little lacking but if memory serves me right I'm not too far off.
Train

1759. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 15:52:45 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <hHf_8.112380$UT.7238708@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130788

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020720083222.16876.00000609@mb-cn.aol.com...
> >Do you think freebanders limit there activity to channel 40 and 10
meters
> >are you that naive about radio?
>
> Again, I suggest you go update your vision prescription. I clearly
stated that
> illegal CBers cause no problems to anyone as long as they stay between
Channel
> 40 and 10 meters. I doubt there is an epidemic of illegal operators in
other
> bands with the exception of the wandering truck driver venturing into
the CW
> portion of 10m.

Probably the worst bootlegging is being done with Marine rigs, both HF and VHF, in Alaska and the Gulf Coast in search of the 'private channel' and freqs to simply gas on which are fairly rare in the legal allocations.

Our little group taking pix of ops over 28 is of several minds about what to do about it once we have the proof. I am for raising hell with the companies but not sending them to Kansas City simply because I do not see a lot of sense in busting some schlemp that has already been raped at the truck stops, is not generally all that bright to begin with, and is looking for a channel to chatter on to stay awake and sane. This is Nebraska remember and the Misery River is at milepost 459 so it is a long way to Wyoming. Footwarmers, however, get sent to KC with no compunction whatsoever; on a quiet channel no heat is necessary and its use implies outlawry.

This is a problem that will go away with the sunspots so I am not too bent out of shape about it except that we really do have to defend 28 just to prove we can. An occasional crucifixion will do the trick, no need for blood all over the floor, so racking up some arrogant twit is not a big deal. Some degree of proportion is needed to prevent the channel 19 conflicts from degrading to manslaughter as has occurred twice in NE this year.

Regulatory philosophy is an interesting issue. Where there really is a threat to life and property some hard-ass enforcement is necessary. Given the decrepit trash occupying the highways -- Jimmy Hoffa the Elder wanted trailers retired for sheer old age -- the tradition of truckers being above the law has a history outside of radio. Illinois some years ago proved what every engineer already knew: highway damage goes up by the

cube of the weight; but they will deny today ever doing the study. This says that whatever a 20k truck pays per mile, the 80k truck should pay 64 times that. On the scale of things, harassing drivers is not really where to begin.

Dick N&#65533;BK

1760. Re: re fcc question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 15:55:31 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <TJf_8.70340$Wt3.43337@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 130789

Freedom of speech is not the Freedom for the thoughts we embrace but Freedom for the thoughts we hate.

LilyV7 Wrote:
the system is working just fine when it protects "ugly" speech. a system that only protects your right to "nice speech" is meaningless. there are times when we need to be able to" harshly criticise" our government,politicians,and each other. free speech is a right,not a privilege.we in this country seem to be forgetting the difference between the two.

LilyV7 Also Wrote:
.....they are also trying to remove that obstacle to their plans,for they know that once they go too far.....we had better be disarmed. think about it........gunslinger

I'd rather not think about it. Makes me sick to my stomach. Worse yet, no one seems to see our rights and privileges slowly being taken away. And those that are not taken away have been modified so as to make it easier to take them away latter. How do you feel about the Gov. asking it's citizens to spy on each other? If you don't make your voice heard now by the time you do you wont have the right to speak...

Dave

1761. brick amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 16:08:37 UTC
From: theworldaround27mcs@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3071-3D398B05-14@storefull-2251.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130791

Are there any ss amps that have rx preamps that work independant of the pa?TIA

1762. brick amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 16:21:34 UTC
From: theworldaround27mcs@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3071-3D398E0E-15@storefull-2251.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130792

Are there any ss amps that have rx preamps that work independent of the pa?TIA

1763. Re: For Sale: Palomar elite 400, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 16:35:07 UTC
From: jlanders@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <2986d421.0207200835.3698fdfa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130790

jlanders@mindspring.com (Jeff Landers) wrote in message
news:<2986d421.0207191208.6b68ba4a@posting.google.com>...
> I am selling out my cb equipment. I have the following:
>
> 3 Cherokee CM-5, with the freq modifications done.
> 3 DM-461 Power Mikes with two of them new in the box.
> 1 Astatic RD104e power mike
> 1 Palomar 400 Elite Hi Drive
> 1 Radio Shack 243 Mobile
>
> All are in excellent working condition. Will take best offer this
> weekend. Please reply to Jlanders@mindspring.com.
>
> I will not split items or sell items separatly.
>
> Thank you,
> Jeff

Sorry if you think it was spam. Just trying to clean out storage unit,
not an active group member. I certainly believe it does not fall into
the realm of spam but I'm also not as anal retentive as some.

Jeff

1764. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 16:51:08 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujj56n5jisvl88@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130793

Well, if there is anything to be said for the most vocal of the antis and the freebanders it is that far few folks have died arguing legal vs illegal radios than have died arguing religion ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:Y3%Z8.111017$UT.7130532@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
> news:3d386613.6199604@news.cet.com...
> > In <JsYZ8.110797$UT.7118199@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> > "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >
> > >Like it or not, wars do settle things. Von Clauswitz to the contrary
> they
> > >are not diplomacy by other means. The Palestinians managed, with some
> > >help from their friends, to lose 4 wars including a major piece of
> > >treachery in 1973.
> >
> > True, but it's not easy to stand up to any country that is backed with
> > US funds.
> >
> > >Tell it to the city fathers of Troy.
> >
> > The US is no pillar of honorable warmongering, or have you forgotten a
> > few little incidents like the Bay of Pigs, Laos, Panama, etc. There
> > are examples like this all the way back to the Banana wars, and
> > probably even earlier. Or take a look at some of the internal
> > conflicts with the natives -- after thinning their numbers down to a
> > small and passive few, they herded them up and imposed treaties that
> > were never honored. Then try counting how many wars has the US fought
> > since 1945 that have been declared by Congress. Korea was a draw,
> > Viet-nam is still being debated, Beirut was a joke, Grenada was barely
> > a conflict, and Iraq was a turkey shoot but they missed the turkey.
> >
> > My personal favorite is Panama: Carter signed over the canal with the
> > intention of building a new canal through Nicaragua, but those plans
> > turned sour within a year because the US-friendly dictatorship was
> > overthrown. Reagan and Ollie tried to get it back with funding from
> > secret arms trading in Lebanon. But that failed when Nicaragua held
> > free and open elections (and if I remember correctly, they voted in
> > women for both president and vice-president!). And I'm sure everyone
> > remembers the Iran-Contra hearings. So the US had to take back the

> > Panama canal, which they did under the umbrella of the drug war.
> >
> > So do you still want to cast stones?
> >
>
> It ain't a whitehat-blackhat thing like an old cowboy movie.
>
> It is just that if you pick a fight and lose, you are deservedly in the
> doo-doo. Given the history, there is no valid Arab claim to anything
> based on anything prior to 1967. The same bunch that wants to give us
> crap about Ramadan attacks on Yom Kippur; few at the time would have
> blamed Israel from pushing them right into the sea as they most assuredly
> would have done had the outcome been reversed.
>
> On one side we have a group of refugees following a collection of corrupt
> bozos who came from Tunisia to take over having done things like push old
> guys in wheelchairs off ships. The kindest thing even the U.N. can find
> to say is that they are no worse than a lot of the Third World. Arafat is
> a serial bungler, pure and simple.
>
> Not to say the Israelis don't have a few problems. The internal
> contradiction that is Israel would make it impossible to be a Jewish
> state, Biblical Israel, and a democracy at the same time without a 'final
> solution' to the Arab problem. One wonders if the civil war that would
> result from total Arab withdrawl might not be worse than anything they are
> capable of. And what Israel has done to the Arabs who are Israeli
> citizens should not happen to a stuffed goat.
>
> The world already knows what the settlement will look like: withdrawl to
> near-1967 borders, at best symbolic return, restricted control in Arab
> Jerusalem, etc which Arafat could have had years ago but overplayed his
> hand. He is a serial bungler.
>
> The only question is how many people will die for Arafat's place in
> history.
>
> Pfui.
>
>

1765. Re: re fcc question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 17:10:45 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <mTg_8.68262$1q2.7722252@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130794

slamfire <slamfire_1@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:2d9f0340.0207192229.31fe3e67@posting.google.com...
> You guys must be on something if you think the government is going to
> allocate money to track down "ha","ha","ha",CBers.Your all taking
> yourselves way to serious.Get a grip,It's only CB Radio or maybe you
> just awoke from a coma and haven't heard we are at war in several
> places around the world and It's only going to get worse and cost
> billions more on top of what we have already spent so do you really
> believe they are going to piss away more money on regulating or
> disbanning CB Radio. This rumor has been going around for more years
> then I like to remember.Come on boys crank up the power and don't look
> back.I live less then a quarter mile from the FCC and been running
> smoke up their asses for years and never once got a knock on my
> door.They could care less.(Ray R.) "Slamfire"

Ask "Rabbit Ears" if he believes this after being led away in CHAINS!

Jerry

1766. Re: OT Especially for Moparholic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 17:15:40 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <%Xg_8.68281$1q2.7726214@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130796

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020720094000.587$vx@newsreader.com...
<<snip>>

-Well, one thing about it--you don't rocket away from a light in a '49
Dodge!
\
A muscle car it ain't. but it sure is fun to ride around in!

73

Jerry
> >
> Sounds like a cool ride,Jerry. I'm more into Mopar muscle cars but those
> old led sleds are neat too.
>
> Maybe I should get a homburg hat for when I'm cruising the Belvedere
II.<g>
>
> --
> Go #40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

1767. Re: brick amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 17:22:15 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <b%g_8.112497$UT.7248410@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130795

"I DX" <theworldaround27mcs@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:3071-3D398E0E-15@storefull-2251.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Are there any ss amps that have rx preamps that work independent of the
> pa?TIA
>

Without intending a putdown or dissing you, why?

Receivers have several measures of merit of which sensitivity is one. But adequate sensitivity is not that hard to obtain on 27 MHz and once achieved makes little sense to try to improve unless you plan to use a bent coat hanger for an antenna. Beyond that stability and selectivity enter the picture: stability in the era of the PLL is also pretty simple. It is selectivity where the winners and losers emerge. Having a pre-amp hanging on the front without AGC probably will not do much and can seriously degrade the selectivity. And with CBs there is always the issue of protecting it from the transmitter which is why they tend to be together so the preamp can be switched out before the PA fires up. So a free-standing preamp seems like a vast effort toward a potentially half-vast result.

Let's talk a bit about selectivity which means something a bit different in a channelized service than it means to an Amateur HF rig but similar concepts apply.

Dynamic Range: Essentially the ability of a receiver to discern a weak signal with a lot of strong stuff in the receivers passband. You are trying to hear a weak one on channel 28 with king kong bellowing loudly (if not cleanly you are s.o.l under any scenario) on 27 and Foghorn Leghorn on 29. This can either desensitize the front-end or create mixer products that bury the desired sig. As the first mixer is typically the weak spot, pumping more signal into it may not be the best approach.

There are several measurements that tend to track together which few manufacturers ever disclose: blocking dynamic range, two-tone third order IMD, plus second and third order intercepts that measure this sort of thing. Note that filter bandwidth is not really the issue.

I guess the question is what are you planning to accomplish?

Dick NBK

1768. Re: Aaron acts like a cornered rat...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 17:54:07 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207200954.b54a36b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130797

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:<ahb6qdocg8@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207182036.4db71323@posting.google.com...
> > "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
> news:<ags5rv$r1c$6@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> > >
> > > I have notice that, when cornered, Aagent Aaron will scream "Fake,
> > > Fake".
> > > He did the same thing when he dropped his guard and posted a Spam e-mail
> > > to some of us at the same time as posting on here... and his IP number
> > > matched that of the e-mail, proving that he sent the Spam e-mails to us.
> > >
> > > The more he screams "waaa, it's a fake", the more we know it has to be
> > > real and bad news for him.
> > >
> >
> > Hi Peter,
> >
> > Back again to troll the hams here again?
> ==
>
> Troll the hams?
> But this is a CB newsgroup, or had you forgot? If one wanted to "troll
> the hams", they would go on a Ham newsgroup... not a CB one.
> Did it not cross your mind that some people use this group because they
> have an interest in CB?
>
> ==
> > Your pal Twisty is on vacation.
> ==
>
> Well, thanks for the information.
> Congratulations on your new job... as Twistedhed's secretary.
>
> ==
> > But if you wanna go a few rounds, let's do it.
> ==
>
> Nah, I was talking about you, not to you.
>
> ==
> > Hey, look what I found. Beloit, WI is not mentioned. But Grand Rapids
> > and Battle Creek, MI are. Wonder of they enacted any CB laws? Oh I

> > forgot..."there is no CB interference problem" sez Twistedhed, "it was
> > all part of an anti-CB campaign cooked up by jealous no code hams",
> ==
>
> Inteference does not neccessarily mean that illegal equipment is in use.
> Legal equipment can still intefere, just as illegal equipment does not
> always cause inteference.
> In fact, inteference is not always linked with CB or other illegal radio
> activity, I have known inteference from Taxis, Hams, and even just weather
> conditions causing TV reception problems.
>
>
> Brainbuster.

Hey Busty: You're being too logical. Right over Anal Aaron's head,
y'know? You didn't realize that ALL interference, no matter what kind,
is caused by illegal CB equipment? ;) BWAHAHAHAHA!!!

1769. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 17:55:43 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d39a268$0$13950$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130798

Whatever, Mopy.
At the time I was living in the town of Live Oak which adjoined Selma so I had to drive through Selma daily. I asked my father about this thing, he was good friends with the city judge in Selma. He said that what the cops would do is drive up and down the highway and follow behind someone with an antenna on their car. If they were SEEN using the radio at the same time a "smokey report" was being given then they were nailed. The person was stopped and simply recognizing the voice was enough evidence. No Selma didn't get any revenue from the "federal charges" but it sure put the fear of God into the person facing the speeding ticket so they would usually just pay up and go away.

Kevin, WB5RUE

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020719094340.601$mZ@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>Actually linking CB use to a serious crime is pretty easy. There's a
> > small town just north of San Antonio call Selma. Back in the 70's they
> > were known as one of the biggest speed-traps in this part of Texas. The
> > local cops would sit behind road signs and nail speeders doing 56MPH.
> > Sure it was difficult to enforce 56MPH but you had to either pay the
fine
> > or fight it in court. Most just paid the fine. CBers would, of course,
> > tell everyone where the cop was sitting. State law (and probably
federal
> > law too) forbids you from devulging the location of a peace officer
while
> > he is performing his duty. It is also illegal to interfere with his
> > duties. So if the cops thought that someone was using their CB to help
> > other avoid getting a ticket they would bring in the federal regulations
> > and also charged them with using a CB radio to aid in the commission of
a
> > crime.
> >
> How'd they prove who was transmitting,and what they were saying?
>
> Sounds like more muenzler bullshit.
> >
> >Kevin, WB5RUE
>
> --
> Go #40

1770. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 17:58:41 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d39a319$0$13953$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130799

No Radar detectors are not illegal in Texas for passenger cars. I think they are illegal for truckers but I'm not sure. I suppose if you got caught using a radar detector to help you speed and they could prove it then they could file federal charges. They are sold as "driving aids" with a disclaimer that says that you should always obey traffic laws. That's how they can get away with selling them. I think that there are a few states where they are illegal but I can't tell you what they are. I personally don't have one.

Kevin, WB5RUE

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20020719105257.039$Ux@newsreader.com...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >>Actually linking CB use to a serious crime is pretty easy. There's a
> > > small town just north of San Antonio call Selma. Back in the 70's they
> > > were known as one of the biggest speed-traps in this part of Texas.
> > > The local cops would sit behind road signs and nail speeders doing
> > > 56MPH. Sure it was difficult to enforce 56MPH but you had to either pay
> > > the fine or fight it in court. Most just paid the fine. CBers would,
> > > of course, tell everyone where the cop was sitting. State law (and
> > > probably federal law too) forbids you from devulging the location of a
> > > peace officer while he is performing his duty. It is also illegal to
> > > interfere with his duties. So if the cops thought that someone was
> > > using their CB to help other avoid getting a ticket they would bring in
> > > the federal regulations and also charged them with using a CB radio to
> > > aid in the commission of a crime.
> > >
> > >>How'd they prove who was transmitting,and what they were saying?
> > >
> > > Sounds like more muenzler bullshit.
> > >
> > BTW,are radar detectors illegal in Texas?
> >
> > Using your analogy they should be.
> >
> > --
> > Go #40
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Usenet Newsgroup Service

1771. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 18:05:01 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d39a496$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130800

I'll have to get you the actual State Code so that you can see for yourself, give me a few days. In Texas it IS illegal, under most circumstances, to divulge the location of a peace officer while he is in the performance of his duty. It has NOTHING to do with "free speech" or any other freedom, real or imagined. This is how may states make it illegal to have a scanner in your motor vehicle. Yes there are several with this law. It's nice, however, that in these states Ham Radio operators are exempted from these regulations because many ham radios do scan.

Kevin, WB5RUE

Liberals have rights, real and imagined. They want government to pass laws that guarantee that no one will violate any of their rights. They have no responsibilities, it is up to government to take responsibility for everything.

Libertarians have rights, real and imagined. They want government to pass no laws that would violate their right to do what they feel is right, which is up to their own individual interpretation. Responsibilities? They take responsibility for only those things that would not hinder the exercising of their rights.

Conservatives have rights, real and imagined as well as responsibilities. They want government to pass laws to remind us the difference between our rights and responsibilities. There can be no freedom without responsibilities.

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:boVZ8.2959$EZ3.113471733@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...

> Sorry Kevin, it's not against federal law or for that fact most
> state laws to inform people that a peace officer is using a radar gun to
> enforce speed limits. To interfere with a peace officer while he is
> performing his duty, he has to actively be engaged with an incident, so
just
> telling people that he is using
> radar ahead of them is not interfering with his duties. If he
> was stopped with a speeder and you came over and started to
> interfere with the traffic stop, that would be hampering his
> duties. The ACLU would've had a field day on the
> you scenario described, stick to radio legalities kev,
> you do much better.
>
> Landshark
>
>

> --
> Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and
petition -
> this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what
we
> refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
> freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
> other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
> like the right to vote, would wither and die
>
>

1772. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 18:08:17 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d39a559$0$13956$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130801

I don't believe it is against the law for a peace officer to divulge HIS location if he chooses to. I suppose if he was having a particularly bad day or you returned a rude comment he most certainly would have looked for you. I don't believe he was "ridiculing you" he was correcting you. Did he call you stupid or anything like that?

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:yH0_8.95665$uw.45450@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
<snip>
>
>
> As a side note I guess that Arizona Highway Patrol Officer who corrected me
> by saying:
>
> "You got it wrong son, I'm at the 23 mile mark not the 33"
>
> Should be brought up for dereliction of duty? He knew who I was. I
> obstructed justice by informing others of his position did I not? Why did he
> choose to chat with me and engage in ridiculing me along with the other
> drivers for getting the mile marker wrong?
>
>

1773. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 18:12:46 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d39a666$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130802

Thanks Kung Fu Dude. ;)
I just got off of the phone with a Texas DPS officer and he says it IS against both Texas State law and Federal Law to divulge the location of a peace officer while he is in the performance of his duties.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message news:oh1_8.172573$iB1.9460262@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:yH0_8.95665$uw.45450@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
>
> > First, you have to be kidding. Secondly the courts could never prove who
> > said what and why.
> >
> > You are 100% wrong.
> >
> > Dave
>
>
> Landshark Said: " Sorry Kevin, it's not against federal law or for
> that fact most
> state laws to inform people that a peace officer is using a radar gun to
> enforce speed limits. To interfere with a peace officer while he is
> performing his duty, he has to actively be engaged with an incident, so just
> telling people that he is using radar ahead of them is not interfering with
> his duties."
>
>
> I say he is wrong I am not talking about using a CB radio, If you flash your
> headlights to warn oncoming motorists that there is a policeman
> and he is running radar yo can be ticketed, of course a policeman would have
> to see this. So yes informing other motorist's of a policeman using radar
> is absolutly interfering with his duties according to there laws. Go ask
> your local Cop.
>
>

1774. Re: 10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 18:13:30 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207201013.58997ba7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130803

shitblaster@volcanomail.com (Blaster) wrote in message
news:<90a278e1.0207190649.196f4e71@posting.google.com>...
> "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:<ah8ba3$1jf$12@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> > "Blaster" wrote:
> If the FCC wanted, just like amps they could actually amend
> their
> rules rather quickly. the "No amp shall be imported or marketed
> capable of operation on 24-35Mhz" is a REAL, Actual FCC regulation.
> They could easily put in a " No HF Amature Radio shall have a 40
> channel selector switch" , memories in Banks of 40ch, or a Band or
> other selector swith that is inoperative as sold". This would close
> the big loophole on all the Galaxy's connexes etc right away....."
> ==
> >BRAINBUSTER WROTE:
> "Then manufacturers such as Ranger would modify their designs, taking
> out the 40 channel selector. They could also give the spare switches
> other uses that the user may swap to give the extra functions. But
> with
> up / down frequency contols, a band selector will not be needed
> although it can be useful to get there quicker.....
>
> Possibly, but this would eliminate the most Popular type
> "Export rig", The CB-style "40ch" radio. Look at Ranger. They make
> perhaps 90% of all export rigs (Galaxy, Connex SuperStar, Mirage,
> Ranger ect..) & Only offer ONE "non 40ch" design (the 2950/70). I
> Believe the Bulk of Truckers, & CB guys would Not opt for a "non-40ch"
> design, just like they don't now. There will always be some that will
> buy a $900 Kenwood, or a 2950, but these are a drop in the Bucket
> compared to all the Connex, Galaxy, NorthStar ect buyers. ..... (read
> below the next quotes for another thought.....)

Not even close to the truth. A great many CBers have been using the
Yaesu FT101 series radios (and others) for a very long time. They're
commonplace, they're comparatively inexpensive (certainly not $900),
and they're easily modified for 11 metres. Even my old Kenwood TS120
could be moded for 11m; it worked well and I doubt anyone could tell
it wasn't a "CB" radio. All the "serious" operators use amateur gear
since it's miles better than a type-approved CB rig in every way (and
not just more power output).

> BLASTER QUOTE:.."and unlike amps, non of these radios are made here,
> so they
> > > woud severly dry up, & most major distriutors would be out of
> > > the 10M radio business, less they would become a target that

> > > they Aren't now.....
> > ==
> > BRAINBUSTER WROTE:
> > "Don't be too sure... didn't work here. The RA ended up withdrawing
> the rules and allowing the stuff through.
> They would also need to clamp down on Amateur radios to stop them
> being modified. ..... Regards, Peter."
>
> 1st, My idea was not to close every single loophole, or even
> address Amateur or "non-40ch" 10M rigs. Just an idea get rid of the
> 40ch Exports, the ones that sell the best. My guess would be that the
> average trucker would buy a Uniden or A cobra in the Truckstop if the
> Galaxy's & Connex's weren't there, rather than hunting down a
> Complicated $900 Yaesu or even a LCD 2950...

If they knew enough to look for the Galaxy, they would NOT settle for
a Cobra! How many truckdrivers do you know, anyhow?

> 2nd, We have a better model alredy here. Back about 1995 the FCC
> created new rules that made scanners with US 800Mhz cellular portion
> illegal to import or market, & wrote the rules to eliminate the "hide
> the freqs in the radio & cut diode" mods.
> It worked, & They Are GONE from the US Market. Even though Uniden,
> AOR, Alinco ect builds full the 800 scanners for Europe & elsewhere,
> They do NOT get into this country in any "wholesale" quantity, & NO US
> Distributor has stock of them (Even the ones that distribute export
> rigs, amps & other scanners!).. Sure, The occasional "hardcore scanner
> buff, (just like the few truckers running a $1000 HF rig) order the
> scanner from Europe or Canada, Pay $500 Delivered for a Bearcat model
> that sells for $199 in the states, & has no warrany, but the law
> otherwise mostly worked. Many people are simply buying these exports
> rigs to use as simply a 40chn CB, instead of a 148 or Grant or
> Midland, Simply because Truckstops, internet& mail order places ONLY
> offer them, or "push" them. I think the above proposal would take a
> real Big "make a difference" chunk outof the Export CB market.

Actually, "truckstops, internet & mail order places" know there's a
demand for them, and these businesses are run by, well... businessmen.
People who find a need (or want) and fill it. Good old capitalism!

But think about it: A radio has never killed anybody (at least not one
who knew enough to keep one hand in his pocket when inside it), yet
some are "illegal" to even possess. Tobacco is a *poison*, yet is
taxed to help finance the government. Where is the parity? Or the
sense?

1775. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 18:14:42 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d39a6db$0$13951$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130804

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:iL2_8.3165$o47.125099204@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> Notice the back peddle here, now it's if "I flashed
> my headlights" But the topic was using a cb to warn motorist.
>
>
> Try to have a good weekend George.......
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> "BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

No he's not "back peddling" he's giving another example. In Texas this is
illegal too. A peace officer CAN pull you over for flashing your
headlights.

Kevin, WB5RUE

1776. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 18:17:08 UTC
From: GMpartsguy <kb1cih@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <EOh_8.585591$352.119225@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 130805

Which computer ?
The powertrain computer, body control module, abs computer, or the several
other little logic modules that pervade todays cars ?
Just hook it up, if it pops, pull yer stuff out and plead "warranty" !!!!

1777. Re: 10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 18:20:04 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207201020.33a733dc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130806

voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<22a5e694.0207191634.4841cb22@posting.google.com>...
> lilyv7@aol.com (LilyV7) wrote:
> >aaron,you still havent answered the origional question.
> >if the government breaks its own laws......how are we to
> >respond? they after all...are setting the
> >example for the rest of us. so what gives???
> > gunslinger
>
> Gunslinger. Even though you're merely trolling to see how many hams
> you can tweak with your BS, I'll respond.

Since you've said you're not licensed and you claim he's trolling
hams, why did you respond?

I've already answered the
> question for you and done it quite well. I'll reiterate: corruption in
> government does not give you the right to selectively ignore laws. If
> it did, people would have stopped paying their taxes long ago.
>
> -Aaron-

I ask you again, Anal Aaron - you NEVER exceed the speed limit? That's
a Law. You NEVER break one yourself? Horse hockey; there are so many
of them that everybody breaks one once in a while and may not even
know it. And as a very wise man (Talmud?) once said, "the more corrupt
the state, the more numerous the laws." Sound like anywhere you know?

Unjust laws *should* be ignored. And many people have stopped paying
their taxes (mostly the millionaires, of course).

Corruption in government leaves the government with no moral standing
and all citizens should stop paying attention to it.

1778. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims a lack of understanding,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 18:20:24 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3d39a831$0$13950$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 130807

Nope, it's just that the two of you have such close, childish, personalities
I thought I was talking to the same person.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D374507.6F6DD4E4@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >>Gee wiz Mopy. You sure told me!
> > >
> > So now I'm Scott?
> > >
> > Are you really that stupid?
>
> Yup.

1779. Re: 10M rigs, Customs, legals -The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 18:22:28 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujjai0d81dkga7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130808

Ahh ... someone found the stickers! An amateur may not build more than ONE transmitter, transceiver, or amplifier of one particular type in a year. The idea is that amateur may build the stuff, but not market it (i.e. make a pile of the things and sell 'em). Sure, you can later sell it, but the point is that it won't be a commercial venture. Radiated emissions are another story; our computers must meet FCC requirements.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d38fad2.1352692@news.cet.com...
> In <S_4_8.40614$Iu6.2285318@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >news:22a5e694.0207191936.11df05e9@posting.google.com...
> >> I wrote:
> >> >Amateur gear does not require type-acceptance and never has. CB
> >> >gear does.
> >>
> >> I forgot that this was Usenet and folks will leap to correct any
> >> oversight, so I will correct myself. All RF gear must meet certain
> >> standards set by the FCC. This is known as "FCC Certification", and it
> >> was previously known as "Type Acceptance". Amateur gear is certified
> >> for use in the range of frequencies authorized for amateur operation,
> >> meaning, commercial amateur gear is not "certified" for use outside
> >> the amateur bands. This doesn't change the essential point of my
> >> previous post, since licensed amateurs may legally build,modify, and
> >> use equipment that is "capable" of out of band or illegal high power
> >> operation.....and CBers may not.
> >>
> >> -A
> >
> >And now someone is going to breathlessly report the stickers he found on
> >his ricebox. The standards in question relate to receiver radiation at
> >HF.
>
> I don't think that is what he was referring to. If a ham transmitter
> is certified for use as a ham transmitter, it may not be used for any
> other purpose (unless it is also certified for that purpose as well).
>
> But I just had to look up the emission standards of a ham transmitter:
> 2.1060 outlines the certification requirements and emission standards.
> The same emission standards are reflected in 97.307.

>

1780. Re: President HR-2510 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 18:25:16 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207201025.7a2fe811@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<22a5e694.0207121954.44c10766@posting.google.com>...
> ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote:
> >From: "Randy" >rchapman8@houston.rr.com
> >>Aaron, they wont cancel it there, and you >know it. You're just trying to
> >>bluff your way through this one because >you know how impotent you are.
> >Slammmmmmm...and the clown Aaron(eous) hits the
> >floor.....1...2...3...4...5...6.......he's out like a light folks....Knock
> >out!!!!!
>
> Feel free to fantasize or pretend all you want, but that auction has been stopped.
>
> -A
>
> the HR-2510:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1365902717
>
> Invalid Item
>
> The item you requested (1365902717) is invalid or no longer in our database.

There is nothing illegal or invalid about a Uniden HR2510 offered for
sale (or auction) in an amateur radio group. Too bad you don't have
any sense, Anal Aaron.

1781. Re: brick amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 18:33:37 UTC
From: theworldaround27mcs@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16375-3D39AD01-113@storefull-2256.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130810

Hello Dick,I found out that by using a rx preamp in front of my radio and using the various dsp functions I can receive stations farther away and clearer than with the radio itself.Or am I just foolin myself?Thanks

1782. Re: Please someone advice on CB radio for newbie. I narrowed it down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 18:57:28 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ahcc08$s08dv$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 130812

If i had a choice i would get the FTB, it is very powerful and has a lot of
nice features such as a clarifier on the transmit side.

--
714 Sandpile,
The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
"whatever" <xxx@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:b4jijuotrcedmldllhi82h1gmp0mi9rpnp@4ax.com...
> Hello All,
>
> I think I have narrowed it down to a ranger.
>
> But what model:
> RANGER 6900FHP or RANGER 6900FTB
>
> Pro / Cons
>
> Thanks,
>
> Kev

1783. Re: Need advice on which CB to buy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 18:58:29 UTC
From: Simon Bussey <s.j.bussey@GOAWAYSPAMhw.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <Lpi_8.20806$Fm3.9034@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 130811

buy the one that costs more money it'll be better...
"whatever" <xxx@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:dvchjuctj81gthd7db8ga9cha7ms075enf@4ax.com...
> Hello All,
>
> I think I have narrowed it down to a ranger.
>
> But what model:
> RANGER 6900FHP or RANGER 6900FTB
>
> Pro / Cons
>
> Thanks,
>
> Kev
>

1784. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 19:04:15 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ahcccv$s67lq$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 130813

Yea, just wire up a couple deep-cycle marine batteries in parallel and wire
em
up to the alternator using a battery isolator.
A rather large electrolytic capacitor may also be in order to help curb
those
sudden current draws.

--
714 Sandpile,
The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
"R.M.R." <Para9mm@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:9280-3D38F2FE-70@storefull-2253.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I've been giving some thought of hooking up my old Sears Roadtalker and
> Texas Star 400 in my car.The only problem is It's a 4 cylinder 2002
> Toyota Camry.Will the Texas star fry and computer parts in the
> car.Thanks
>
> Ray,
>
> (Si vis pacem,
> para bellum) U.S.A.
>

1785. Re: brick amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 19:29:20 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <kSi_8.112696$UT.7262109@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130814

"I DX" <theworldaround27mcs@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:16375-3D39AD01-113@storefull-2256.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Hello Dick,I found out that by using a rx preamp in front of my radio
> and using the various dsp functions I can receive stations farther away
> and clearer than with the radio itself.Or am I just foolin myself?Thanks
>

Without having a clue what sort of gear we are talking about, far be it from me to tell you what is happening. But it would be a bit unusual for a rig using DSP to require a preamp to make it work or for that matter materially improve a situation. The latest version of the Yahoo FT-1000 uses one although one might question whether improving 75 meter AM from 3.2 to 1.2 uV given about 60 uV background is worth the effort.

I might suggest fiddling with the r.f. gain (backing it off on SSB while running up the audio) or AGC if adjustable to see if you might not get the same or better effect by reducing the trash in the filter. If you are using DSP to narrow the passband, the noise (and possibly audio)reduction might be interpreted as a loss of sensitivity which it is not. I have not a clue what it would do to the crappy Ancient Mary typical of CB.

Suit thyself but preamps are not required and are generally detrimental below 100 MHz and then only if you know what you are doing.

Forgive my lack of resistance to the obvious question: now that you have a real radio, why not take up real radio.

Dick NBK

1786. Re: Your gov't is becoming quite the pill !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 19:41:27 UTC
From: Me <db7500@pacbell.net.nospam>
Message-ID: <B95F0AF4.147D%db7500@pacbell.net.nospam>
Source record: 130815

On 7/18/02 8:04 PM, in article
UkLZ8.1800$Yw7.109293676@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>
> But you can't make Sierra Nevada Pale Ale
> in Canada, Eh.
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> "SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
> SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
> WALK ON, WALK ON.
> Neil Young
>
>

Cool, another person that knows 'real' beer when they taste it. :)
Sierra Nevada is my favorite, and to top it all off, I live about 5 blocks
from the brewery... They have some brews that are only available at their
taproom, and they're even better than their 'mass-produced' stuff.
I know this is offtopic, but I just wanted to mention it. :)
Pyro
NC451

1787. Re: Your gov't is becoming quite the pill !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 19:43:50 UTC
From: Me <db7500@pacbell.net.nospam>
Message-ID: <B95F0B84.147E%db7500@pacbell.net.nospam>
Source record: 130816
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

On 7/19/02 1:37 PM, in article 20020719163755.02191.00000143@mb-mq.aol.com,
"TooManyRadios" wrote:

> In article <3D37AEC3.7010601@realtime.net>, bc writes:
>
>> Geez, after all you gave us John Candy, Shania Twain, Neil Young, Bob /
>> Doug McKenzie, Kids in the Hall and SCTV.
>
> Also Ian Thomas, Terry Jacks, Gordon Lightfoot, and the Guess Who. You hear a
> ton of stuff by these artists on Canadian Oldies radio, thanks to the Canadian
> Content restructions which are imposed on broadcasters up there.

Don't forget Jim Carrey and Alannis Morrissette...
Oh, and Wayne Gretzky...
Pyro
NC451

1788. Re: Magnum Delta Force 10 metre mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 19:46:25 UTC
From: Simon Bussey <s.j.bussey@GOAWAYSPAMhw.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <F6j_8.20980$Fm3.12421@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 130817

check the site they 'modify for 11m and tune up' for 30 dollars

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:ahb6qaocg6@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207182051.9401cfe@posting.google.com...
> > "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
> news:<ah5omg$2ng$4@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> > > <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
> news:3d32acaf.1391524@news.cet.com...
> > > > In <1f2adfc1.0207142353.429df309@posting.google.com>,
> > > > toxic_waste@citizensband.com (toxic waste) wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >I've just been looking at the new Magnum Delta Force 10 meter
mobile
> > > > >rig on the Copper site. Anyone got any views on Magnum? It's
> > > > >certainly a very pretty looking rig. Is there a simple mod to
convert
> > > > >it to cover 11 meters as well as 10 meters?
> > > > >
> > > > >TIA
> > > > >tox
> > > >
> > > > But be careful: it might be an FCC sting operation....HAHAHAHAHA!!!
> > > > yeah, like THAT'S ever gonna happen!!!
> > > >
> > >
> > > Specially to someone in the UK.
> > >
> >
> > Hey look what I found.
> >
> > http://www.radio.gov.uk/topics/enforcement/prosecution.htm
> >
> > Pirate Radio and CB leads the enforcement actions in the UK.
> ==
>
> Not quite... It *could* lead to prosecution.
> Out of interest, have you seen the number of prosecutions per year?
> Compared to the level of illegal CB use, it could be considered
negligible.
> The fact is that they do not have the resourses to make so much as a dent
in
> illegal CB. One chap covering several counties, and not just for CB...

all
> radio use.
>
> Before any action is taken, there must be a report of inteference. This
> report must include proof that the person has already had an engineer
check
> it out (at their cost), details of problems and regular times of day, then
> they have to enclose the standard RIS (Radio Investigation Service) fee
for
> investigating such problems.
> As if that wasn't enough to put people off, they will refuse to deal with
> inteference to any device that is not a receiver (CD, stereo, etc) or MW
> (AM) radio reception.
>
> Yes, they do some shouting and, I believe, still maintain a 100%
conviction
> rate for those they take to court. But the number they prosecute is tiny
> compared with the number running illegally. At one time, with the tighter
> rules of the 80s, about 90% of CB users were running illegally in some
way.
> And what were the prosecution levels... something like 30 people per year!
>
> Locally, there is a CBer who uses illegal equipment and an amp. He
inteferes
> with local TVs whenever he uses it. The above rules have put people off
> from putting in a complaint, as they would have to pay a local engineer,
> then
> the RIS fee if they want him busting.
>
> I am NOT saying that it is a good thing, just that it is a fact that many
> rules and laws cannot be effectively enforced for one reason or another.
CB
> is not the only area where this happens, private landlords are known to
> break rules, even renting dangerous properties. But our "Assured
Shorthold
> Tennancy" system makes it near impossible to enforce the laws and protect
> the public. There are laws against slander, but you need plenty of money
to
> throw at it before you can even consider your rights.
>
>
> But illegal CB in the UK will still NOT lead to "FCC sting operations".
And
> the RIS certainly don't have the time or money to run "sting operations",
> specially as "agent provocateur" type actions can lead to an acquittal.
>
> ==
> > http://www.radio.gov.uk/topics/enforcement/restrict.htm
> >
> > The Wireless Telegraphy (Citizens' Band and Amateur Apparatus)

> > (Various Provisions) Order 1998 applies to Citizens' Band radios
> > operating in the frequency band 26.1-28MHz
> ==
>
> This is confusing, as it refers to CB as 26.1 to 28, when it is supposed
> to be 26.965 to 28MHz here. It is not the only reference to this, as
> a frequency allocation charts (same site) also refers to CB being
> from something like 26.1 MHz.
>
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Brainbuster.
>
>

1789. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 20:03:55 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d39c20d.3630267@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130820

In <20020720083740.16876.00000610@mb-cn.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:

>>Hams are licensed for certain bands. If they operate beyond those
>>frequency limitations they are just as illegal as if they didn't have
>>a license. The big difference here is that hams are allowed to modify
>>any equipment -provided- they can abide by the rules
>
>Any to what end does it serve for a ham to modify their shinny new HF rig so
>they can talk all over HF?

If the ham only intends to operate legally then he will probably not
modify the radio. But there is also the possiblity that the radio
could be used for other HF services, or that the ham bands might
change. But these 'export' radios are clearly built and marketed for
illegal use, and that's how the majority of them are used. There is a
difference.

> I understand the ability to modify radios for
>MARS/CAP use (for those few hams actually involved in these activities), but
>why doesn't anyone say anything about these HF radios and VHF radios that allow
>modification beyond MARS/CAP? What purpose does it solve? These are the radios
>that are going to be a higher risk to cause harmful interference where it
>matters, not some operator using an RCI-2950 on 27.650mhz...

Amateur radio has been around almost as long as Dick, and while there
has always been some abuse it doesn't even compare to the abuse that
happens on the so-called 'freeband'. Ham radio has a method of
accountability called 'licensing', which most illegal operators
actively avoid. Hams also have the authorization to use quite a bit of
spectrum, which reduces the burning need to operate out-of-band. You
are comparing apples with tractor tires: both are round, but that's
about it.

1790. Re: For Sale: Palomar elite 400, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 20:04:15 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d39c231.3666353@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130821

In <2986d421.0207200835.3698fdfa@posting.google.com>,
jlanders@mindspring.com (Jeff Landers) wrote:

<snip>
>Sorry if you think it was spam. Just trying to clean out storage unit,
>not an active group member. I certainly believe it does not fall into
>the realm of spam but I'm also not as anal retentive as some.
>
>Jeff

rec.radio.cb is supposed to be a discussion group, not a trading
group, but it's frequently used for that purpose anyway. But in case
you didn't know, it's a federal violation to advertise or sell an CB
amplifier.

1791. Re: 10M rigs, Customs, legals -The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 20:04:23 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d39c240.3682062@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130822

In <ujjai0d81dkga7@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote:

>Ahh ... someone found the stickers! An amateur may not build more than ONE
>transmitter, transceiver, or amplifier of one particular type in a year.
>The idea is that amateur may build the stuff, but not market it (i.e. make a
>pile of the things and sell 'em). Sure, you can later sell it, but the
>point is that it won't be a commercial venture. Radiated emissions are
>another story; our computers must meet FCC requirements.

I think the emission standards of 97.307 are supposed to apply
regardless of who built it.

1792. Re: brick amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 20:04:34 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d39c249.3690300@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130823

In <16375-3D39AD01-113@storefull-2256.public.lawson.webtv.net>,
theworldaround27mcs@webtv.net (I DX) wrote:

>Hello Dick,I found out that by using a rx preamp in front of my radio
>and using the various dsp functions I can receive stations farther away
>and clearer than with the radio itself.Or am I just foolin myself?Thanks

If your receiver is able to pick up the background noise, the only
thing a preamp will do is make it louder. In fact, it's insertion in
the line will degrade your signal-to-noise ratio to a slight degree.
The only way to improve your reception at this point is to improve
your antenna.

1793. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 20:04:37 UTC
From: Jesse Stanley <superstar@frognet.net>
Message-ID: <Xbj_8.3853$pv6.390657@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 130818

Yeah I definitely recommend Bills2way top of the line service.

Power House wrote in message ...
>A short story on my opinion...
>
>Ordered a dummy load and a D104 Mobile power mike from them. Both were on
>back order, so I got put on their list... (Your name stays on the list for
>3-months, and if the order isn't fulfilled by then, it gets canceled...) I
>was never told my items were on back-order until I called a month later
>wondering where my stuff was; nice... I waited the 3-months for the items
>and nothing... Called them again. My order was canceled because it hadn't
>been fulfilled within the 3-month waiting period... Yippy, was I happy. I
>placed the order again about a month later. Again, backordered and on the
>3-month waiting list. Three months later... No items, order was canceled
>again by them.. In total, 7-months waiting and still never got the items I
>wanted. It's not like it was anything major, either. I just don't see
what
>the problem could have been that they couldn't get this stuff in for over
>7-months?? Needless to say, I never placed another order with them
again...
>This was about 5 or 6 years ago if I remember correctly...
>
>Go with Bill's 2-Way, or Panther Electronics... Two of the best places I
>have dealt with.
>
>PH
>
>
>"No Junk" <jdavecobb@aol.comatose> wrote in message
>news:20020719180658.13574.00001625@mb-ma.aol.com...
>> I'm curious about Copper. I've purchased a couple of minor items there
>and
>> haven't had a problem. I'm considering getting a new radio there, also,
>so
>> these negative posts about Copper have me concerned.
>>
>> For anybody out there with experience dealing with Copper, I'd welcome a
>> synopsis of your experience - positive or negative.
>
>

1794. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 20:05:48 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207201205.602f1fa9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130819

vhfradiobuff wrote:
>Oh yeah, real unscrupulous to talk between channel 40 and the 10
>meter band. No one has yet been able to name one agency that has
>been interfered with between channel 40 and the 10 meter band.
>If there is one single case involving this, please let me know
>because as far as I can tell, even though there are "allocations" in
>this frequency range, any actual activity by agencies allocated the
>frequencies is nil.

If a frequency sounds abandoned, then it's OK to take it? That is a "looters mentality". It's also very dishonest. What kind of ham are you to recommend such a thing? Never mind, you answered the question yourself.

>your "opponents" should be aware just how easy it is
>for hams to modify their nice big expensive HF/VHF rigs and get onto
>the 'freeband'.

They are aware. They claim that if hams are allowed lots of frequencies and lots of power, they, as unlicensed ops, should have them too, free for the asking.

>It seems to me you are fighting the wrong fight, Aaron.

I could say the same about you. One wonders why a legit ham would defend the practice of bootlegging.

Below is the official FCC word on the subject. Pay attention to the last question. If you want to argue about it, call the FCC.

-A

FCC CALL CENTER SCRIPT

2. NON-APPROVED C.B. RADIOS

Q. What is a legal C.B. radio?
A. All radio transmitters and receivers which are intended to operate on the 27 MHz Citizen's Band (C.B.) channels must be approved by the F.C.C. before they can be imported, advertised, sold or used. The manufacturer must be issued an F.C.C. grant of equipment authorization, called "certification", as indicated by an FCC ID on each unit. If the radio has a label showing an FCC ID, and the ID can be verified by checking the Equipment Authorization database on the F.C.C./OET Web page, then the radio is legal.

Q. What kind of radios are illegal to use on the C.B. radio channels?
A. Any radio transmitter, receiver, or transceiver which is designed by the manufacturer to operate on the C.B. radio channels, but which has not been granted an F.C.C. equipment authorization is illegal. These non-approved radios may appear in several different forms:
1. "10-Meter Amateur" radios, which have been designed so as to be readily alterable to operate on the C.B. radio channels, and/or on other non-amateur frequencies in the frequency range from about 24 to about 32 MHz;
2. "Export" or "full channel" radios, which are designed to operate over all, or a portion of, the radio spectrum from about 24 to about 32 MHz, including the 27 MHz C.B. radio channels; or
3. F.C.C.-approved C.B. radios which are designed so as to be readily alterable to operate on frequencies in the range from about 24 to about 32 MHz, which are not assigned to the 27 MHz C.B. Radio Service.

Q. What do you mean by "readily alterable"?
A. This means that the user of the radio can add, or change, its operating frequencies by simply flipping an internal switch, by clipping the leads of, or installing, a simple component such as a diode, resistor or jumper wire, by installing, moving, or removing, a jumper plug, by connecting or disconnecting a connector, by replacing a plug-in semiconductor chip, or by programming a semiconductor chip using special access codes or an external device, such as a personal computer.

Q. What are these other frequencies between 24 and 32 MHz used for?
A. These frequencies are assigned to many different licensed radio services, both in the United States, as well as in other countries. Authorized users include Maritime and Aeronautical radio stations, standard time and frequency stations, international broadcasting stations, amateur radio, and government stations. A portion of this spectrum is also allocated to radioastronomy, where scientists are attempting to listen to very weak radio signals coming from distant stars and galaxies. No radio transmitters of any kind are permitted within these radioastronomy bands, so any earth-bound transmitted signals can completely disrupt radioastronomy observations.

Q. I don't hear any other stations on "my" frequency, which is not one of the 40 allocated C.B. radio channels. So what is wrong with operating my radio station here?
A. You may unknowingly cause interference to legitimate communications. Many of these frequencies may appear, to the casual listener, to be unused. Some of the authorized users may be using emission types which can not be plainly detected. Or, their transmissions may be intermittent. You will not hear any legitimate signals within the designated radioastronomy bands. However, just because a frequency sounds clear, that does not mean that it can be used without proper authorization.

Q. Why do some people operate illegally on these frequencies?
A. Many of these frequencies may appear to be "free" of any legitimate users, so some people think they are "free for the taking". The illegal radio operators are commonly referred to as "freebanders", and use their radios as a form of hobby, similar to Amateur Radio. But for many reasons, these people choose not to obtain an amateur radio license. They operate on these frequencies because under certain weather and radio propagation conditions, their signals can extend all the way around the world, enabling them to communicate with other similar unlicensed stations.

Q. What can happen if the F.C.C. catches me operating on an unauthorized frequency?
A. You are subject to severe penalties, including monetary forfeitures, confiscation of your radio equipment, and possibly even jail time.

Q. I've heard that some of the "big name" amateur radio equipment can also be altered to operate on non-amateur frequencies, including the C.B. radio channels. So why are these radios not considered to be "non-approved C.B. equipment"?
A. First, it must be emphasized that use of this type of amateur equipment on unauthorized frequencies, including using it on the C.B. radio channels or other non-amateur frequencies in the 24 to 32 MHz range, is illegal, and doing so will subject the user to the same penalties as mentioned above.
That being said, there are legitimate reasons for some amateur equipment to be used on certain non-amateur frequencies, such as on military M.A.R.S. (Military Affiliate Radio System) and C.A.P. (Civil Air Patrol) frequencies. MARS and CAP radio stations are only authorized to operate on specific assigned (non-amateur) frequencies. With just a few exceptions, most of the assigned MARS and CAP frequencies do not fall within the 24 to 32 MHz frequency range. Although some models of amateur equipment (manufactured by companies such as Alinco, Icom, Kenwood, Ten-Tec, Yaesu, etc.) may be capable of operating on the C.B. radio channels, they do not display the assigned C.B. channel number, nor are they designed to deliberately facilitate operation on the C.B. channels.

1795. Re: brick amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 20:14:26 UTC
From: theworldaround27mcs@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17198-3D39C4A2-54@storefull-2257.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130827

To be honest..I'm lazy.hopin for no code before I am 6 feet under,Thanks

1796. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 20:15:42 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207201215.4ae57a63@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130824

"Landshark" S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. wrote:
>I had my 99 F150 set up and running up to 2 KW

You ran 2,000 watts on CB in your truck? How come?

-Aaron-

1797. Re: 10M rigs, Customs, legals -The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 20:23:44 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207201223.6946d34d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130825

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>Corruption in government leaves the government with no moral
>standing and all citizens should stop paying attention to it.

LOL, another graduate of the Twistedhed School Of Government.

-A

1798. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 20:40:42 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ahci1q$s57p5$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 130826

Good answer.....

--
714 Sandpile,
The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020718213351.01641.00000810@mb-mg.news.cs.com...
> >This may sound like a strange question
>
> Not at all.
>
> >but isn't CB some sort of mobile
> >communications device used in vehicles?
>
> It can be, but it's not limited to mobile or portable (h/t's or walkie
talkies)
> operation.
>
> >A CB operates on the 27Mhz band and has
> >40 channels, with channel 9 for emergencies?
>
> Correct.
>
> >This is a sincere question. I am
> >new to this.
>
> And you deserve a sincere answer.
>
> >From reading this newsgroup, one can conclude CB is more that that.
>
> The FCC intended the "Citizen's" Band to be a short range land-mobile
radio
> service. Your observation is correct however, much to the displeasure of
those
> who belive it should remain exactly as originally intended back in 1958.
>
> >(1) What is CB? CB uses some kind of slang language. Is this part of
being a
> >CBer?
>
> There are as many different ways to talk on the CB as there are
individuals in
> everyday life. The AMer's gernerally use the APCO '10' codes, the
"hobbyists"

> generally stick to sideband and employ (albeit often incorrectly) the 'Q'
> codes, and the illegal high-power guys on ch. 6 use a lingo that I've yet
to
> successfully interpret.
>
> >Or can one use ordinary conversation and still be a CBer?
>
> Sure you can. I QSO with the other op as though I'm having a friendly
> face-to-face conversation, be it on AR or the CB.
>
> >Can CBs be used
> >stationary,outside of your vehicle? Do you know anyone that uses it that
way?
>
> If you mean as a base station, yes. You must use a 13.8 volt DC power
supply
> rated for about 5 amps. (That's for a sideband rig.) This is my setup. If
you
> mean portable with a walkie talkie, the answer is also yes.
>
> >(2) What sort of modifications or tweaks are permitted in CB radio?
>
> Very few. You can bend a little with some modulation adjustments, (Power
mic,
> speech processor, etc.) but the output power must remain at 4 watts AM
and/or
> 12 watts P.E.P. (Peak Envelope Power) SSB. (sideband)
>
> >Antenna
> >adjustments?
>
> Keep it at or below 60' and the sky's the limit. You are allowed to exceed
this
> if you mount your antenna on a pre-existing structure, but no higher than
20'
> above said structure.
>
> >Power output?
>
> Again, 4 watts AM and 12 watts P.E.P. SSB.
>
> >CB accessories?
>
> Some of these can actually get you in trouble. (Theoretically, at least.)
If a
> power mic causes your modulation to exceed 100%, you're in violation. Echo
> devices, roger beeps, and the like are theoretically illegal, but commonly
> ignored. Amplifiers are a different story. They're bad news so stay away
from
> them.

>
> >(3) What is freebanding?
>
> Operating above or below the legal 40 channels. Generally, the accepted
freqs
> are 26.0000 - 26.96499 MHz and 27.4051 - 27.9999 MHz. Pass the 28.0000 MHz
line
> of demarcation and your in the 10-meter ham band...bad news.
>
> >(4) What is 11m DX?
>
> The CB is allocated in the upper HF spectrum. This supports a natural
> phenomenom known as sky-wave propagation. The transmitted radio waves are
> reflected off the ionosphere and back to Earth at great distances, hence
DX.
> (Distance abbreviated.) DX on the CB is currently against the FCC rules,
but
> commonly heard on ch. 38 LSB. (An unofficial DX calling channel.)
>
> >(5) Why do Hams hate CBers?
>
> Not all do and some are both, such as your's truly. Please see my other
> post/thread entitled 'The Latest R.A.I.N. Report' or visit:
>
> www.rainreport.com
>
> to hear a ham trying to help the CB.
>
> >CB is just ordinary communication, what would a
> >Ham feel this is bad or feel superior about?
>
> Fear and insecurity. However, like I said...they're not all like that.
Hope
> this helped. Take it easy. :-)
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

1799. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 20:46:16 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ahcic8$s6m3j$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 130829

You got us truckers all figured out,don't ya.....Northbound ya look good
back to
music city,happy trails

--
714 Sandpile,
The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
"Dale" <dgose@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:27954-3D37DA49-9@storefull-2214.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> CB is a great, cheap way to communicate and have fun.. it does not
> require a license, only common courtesy. Where as Ham radio requires
> higher cost equipment and training, any one can talk on a CB. It is low
> cost, reliable, fun communication. It is a great way to meet people,
> pass time, and keep in touch with with family and friends without paying
> long distance bills.
>
> No... "slang" isn't necessary, most of it is based on old trucker talk
> derived so the Highway Patrol, and the "uninitiated" would not know what
> they were talking about, sort of like a secret language. Anymore tho,
> it's mostly nostalgia, about all you're likely to hear is "10-4" which
> means "OK" basically... just talk the way you would on a phone or in
> person... handles are not required.. but I think make it a little more
> fun.. just my opinion....
>
> All you need is a decent playing CB and antenna, and a little common
> courtesy. Always ask for a break, try not to talk over people, and most
> of all, just have fun... that's what it's all about...
>
>
> God Bless
>
>
> Dale
>

1800. Re: 10M rigs, Customs, legals -The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 20:47:44 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D39AE8D.5FC98188@mailcity.net>
Source record: 130828

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> Ahh ... someone found the stickers! An amateur may not build more than ONE
> transmitter, transceiver, or amplifier of one particular type in a year.

BZZZZZZT - wrong!! Nothing is said about transmitters, or
transceivers.

ï¿½97.315 Certification of external RF power amplifiers.

(a) No more than 1 unit of 1 model of an external RF power
amplifier
capable of operation below 144 MHz may be constructed or modified
during any calendar year by an amateur operator for use at a
station
without a grant of certification. No amplifier capable of
operation below
144 MHz may be constructed or modified by a non-amateur operator
without a grant of certification from the FCC.

1801. Re: Brainbuster acts like a single celled amoeba...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 20:52:57 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207201252.394c1060@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130830

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:<ahb6qdocg8@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207182036.4db71323@posting.google.com...
> > Hey, look what I found. Beloit, WI is not mentioned. But Grand Rapids
> > and Battle Creek, MI are. Wonder of they enacted any CB laws? Oh I
> > forgot..."there is no CB interference problem" sez Twistedhed, "it was
> > all part of an anti-CB campaign cooked up by jealous no code hams",
>
>
> Inteference does not neccessarily mean that illegal equipment is in use.

Go back to the url I cited. Illegal CB is specifically referred to as
the cause of the interference.

> Legal equipment can still intefere, just as illegal equipment does not
> always cause inteference.

Does that make it any less illegal?

-A

1802. Re: Need advice on which CB to buy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 21:28:52 UTC
From: whatever <xxx@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <5gljju06d5c2mkul778vbi47c661a0mpad@4ax.com>
Source record: 130832

Gee thanks. Nice advice.

On Sat, 20 Jul 2002 19:58:29 +0100, "Simon Bussey"
<s.j.bussey@GOAWAYSPAMhw.ac.uk> wrote:

>buy the one that costs more money it'll be better...
>"whatever" <xxx@nospam.com> wrote in message
>news:dvchjuctj81gthd7db8ga9cha7ms075enf@4ax.com...
>> Hello All,
>>
>> I think I have narrowed it down to a ranger.
>>
>> But what model:
>> RANGER 6900FHP or RANGER 6900FTB
>>
>> Pro / Cons
>>
>> Thanks,
>>
>> Kev
>>
>

1803. Re: brick amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 21:28:57 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <tCk_8.112848$UT.7274462@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130831

"I DX" <theworldaround27mcs@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:17198-3D39C4A2-54@storefull-2257.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> To be honest..I'm lazy.hopin for no code before I am 6 feet under,Thanks
>

Well, you may get it next year, then again you may not. It has been
postponed for two conventions already but perhaps three is the charm.

If you get gung-ho, use my e-mail and download Morse Academy from
http://ah0a.org.
Not as bad as you might think. Ask Bert, WA2SI who shows up here
periodically about learning code.

1804. Re: Please someone advice on CB radio for newbie. I narrowed it down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 21:29:25 UTC
From: whatever <xxx@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1hljjuke3r605ejhsma0ufrll0qt542mt7@4ax.com>
Source record: 130833

Thank you! I was leaning that direction.

On Sat, 20 Jul 2002 14:57:28 -0400, "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net>
wrote:

>If i had a choice i would get the FTB, it is very powerful and has a lot of
>nice features such as a clarifier on the transmit side.

1805. Re: For Sale: Palomar elite 400, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 21:50:28 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720175028.17509.00001056@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 130834

>Subject: Re: For Sale: Palomar elite 400, etc.
>From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com)
>Date: 7/20/02 4:04 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3d39c231.3666353@news.cet.com>
>
>In <2986d421.0207200835.3698fdfa@posting.google.com>,
>jlanders@mindspring.com (Jeff Landers) wrote:
>
><snip>
>>Sorry if you think it was spam. Just trying to clean out storage unit,
>>not an active group member. I certainly believe it does not fall into
>>the realm of spam but I'm also not as anal retentive as some.
>>
>>Jeff
>
>rec.radio.cb is supposed to be a discussion group, not a trading
>group, but it's frequently used for that purpose anyway. But in case
>you didn't know, it's a federal violation to advertise or sell an CB
>amplifier.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

BFD!
Train

1806. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 21:52:10 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720175210.17509.00001057@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 130835

>Subject: Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.
>From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
>Date: 7/20/02 4:15 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <22a5e694.0207201215.4ae57a63@posting.google.com>
>
>"Landshark" S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. wrote:
>>I had my 99 F150 set up and running up to 2 KW
>
>You ran 2,000 watts on CB in your truck? How come?
>
>-Aaron-
>
>
>
>
>
>

Because he didn't have a 4000 watt setup ready to install in it?
Train

1807. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 21:58:37 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720175837.01877.00001953@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 130836

>Subject: Re: FCC question?
>From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" wb5rue@qsl.net
>Date: 7/20/02 1:58 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3d39a319$0$13953$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
>
>No Radar detectors are not illegal in Texas for passenger cars. I think
>they are illegal for truckers but I'm not sure. I suppose if you got caught
>using a radar detector to help you speed and they could prove it then they
>could file federal charges. They are sold as "driving aids" with a
>disclaimer that says that you should always obey traffic laws. That's how
>they can get away with selling them. I think that there are a few states
>where they are illegal but I can't tell you what they are. I personally
>don't have one.
>
>Kevin, WB5RUE
>
They (radar detectors) are not permitted in commercial trucks. They are also
not allowed to be used in VA or CT among other states I'm sure. They DO have
units that can pick up the signal from your unit and you can be stopped for
using one in some states. I'm still of the opinion that if it is a radio
frequency and being "broadcast" on, you should be allowed to receive it! This
is how the law was ORIGINALLY written but later revised to allow for such
bullshit. Radar is nothing more than microwave broadcast.
Train

1808. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 23:05:28 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Y0m_8.56965$_51.53523@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 130837

I'm sorry I should have been more clear. The Officer in question and others on the Ch had some fun at my expense. I don't mean swearing or getting vicious about it, just harassing me a little. After all, what good is it to call out a speed trap if your ten miles off?

As to the question at hand, I just can't believe it. Like I said before, good luck proving who did what on a CB. Suppose since you did some foot work and confirmed the legalities I need to do the same. I just refuse to believe that it is against the law until I look it up for myself. Until then I'll grudgingly concede you must be right.

Dave

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:3d39a559$0$13956$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> I don't believe it is against the law for a peace officer to divulge HIS
> location if he chooses to. I suppose if he was having a particularly bad
> day or you returned a rude comment he most certainly would have looked for
> you. I don't believe he was "ridiculing you" he was correcting you. Did
he
> call you stupid or anything like that?
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE
>
>
> "Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:yH0_8.95665$uw.45450@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> <snip>
> >
> >
> > As a side note I guess that Arizona Highway Patrol Officer who corrected
> me
> > by saying:
> >
> > "You got it wrong son, I'm at the 23 mile mark not the 33"
> >
> > Should be brought up for dereliction of duty? He knew who I was. I
> > obstructed justice by informing others of his position did I not? Why
did
> he
> > choose to chat with me and engage in ridiculing me along with the other
> > drivers for getting the mile marker wrong?
> >
> >
>

>

1809. Re: re fcc question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 23:07:11 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720190711.12673.00000017@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 130838

Old news Jerry, come up with new stuff.Get your direction finder out and your I hate cber's flag.Load up the car with your buddys frank/aaron,george b.,kevin m.etc...And have fun ! Riley would be proud.LOL~~~~!~~~~

1810. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 23:10:42 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720191042.12673.00000018@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 130839

Kevin, you must be a shut in loser like George.

1811. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 23:27:13 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <llm_8.42061$lu6.2396708@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130840

lol...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> >From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
> >You ran 2,000 watts on CB in your truck? How come?
> >
> >-Aaron-
> >
> >
> >
>
"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020720175210.17509.00001057@mb-mo.aol.com...
> Because he didn't have a 4000 watt setup ready to install in it?
> Train

1812. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 23:40:47 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3ym_8.112977$UT.7286215@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130841

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020720191042.12673.00000018@mb-dd.aol.com...
> Kevin, you must be a shut in loser like George.

Boo the Special Olympics for its feel-good value???

1813. Re: For Sale: Palomar elite 400, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-20 23:52:44 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D39F7CC.BD1E339A@qnet.com>
Source record: 130842

Hello Jeff:

The posting is perfectly legit. Thats what makes the ng hum. Frank would bitch if he was hung with a new rope.

Jay in the Mojave

Jeff Landers wrote:
>
> jlanders@mindspring.com (Jeff Landers) wrote in message news:<2986d421.0207191208.6b68ba4a@posting.google.com>...
> > I am selling out my cb equipment. I have the following:
> >
> > 3 Cherokee CM-5, with the freq modifications done.
> > 3 DM-461 Power Mikes with two of them new in the box.
> > 1 Astatic RD104e power mike
> > 1 Palomar 400 Elite Hi Drive
> > 1 Radio Shack 243 Mobile
> >
> > All are in excellent working condition. Will take best offer this
> > weekend. Please reply to Jlanders@mindspring.com.
> >
> > I will not split items or sell items separatly.
> >
> > Thank you,
> > Jeff
>
> Sorry if you think it was spam. Just trying to clean out storage unit,
> not an active group member. I certainly believe it does not fall into
> the realm of spam but I'm also not as anal retentive as some.
>
> Jeff

1814. Re: brick amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 00:13:58 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <a1n_8.198791$Bt1.10589033@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130843

"I DX" <theworldaround27mcs@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:16375-3D39AD01-113@storefull-2256.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Hello Dick,I found out that by using a rx preamp in front of my radio
> and using the various dsp functions I can receive stations farther away
> and clearer than with the radio itself.Or am I just foolin myself?Thanks
>

What type of radio is it?

1815. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 00:15:06 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <e2n_8.244381$lm2.12348741@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130844

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:llm_8.42061$Iu6.2396708@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> lol...
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

Oh yeah thats funny Griffey almost as funny as your amplifier not having a
fan on it. Now that is LOL.

1816. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 00:16:44 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <M3n_8.191200$iB1.10387471@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130845

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:Cge_8.2643$HJ.185721076@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
Not necessarily.
> I had my 99 F150 set up and running up to 2 KW and
> never had a problem. If you ground both the amp &
> radio, antenna well, run the coax and power wires away
> from the car's computer, and make sure that the antenna
> is placed as high as it can (on the roof would be the best
> spot for it) and you probably won't have a problem.
>
> Landshark

What size alternator did you have?

1817. Re: For Sale: Palomar elite 400, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 00:18:47 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <H5n_8.289526$vq.16021715@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130846

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3D39F7CC.BD1E339A@qnet.com...
> Hello Jeff:
>
> The posting is perfectly legit. Thats what makes the ng hum. Frank would
> bitch if he was hung with a new rope.
>
> Jay in the Mojave

Funny part Jay it is not your Ng and it is not your call to say what Is
legit or not, since when did you become moderator?

1818. Re: re fcc question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 00:21:04 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <Q7n_8.289603$vq.16023635@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130897

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020720190711.12673.00000017@mb-dd.aol.com...
> Old news Jerry, come up with new stuff.Get your direction finder out and
your I
> hate cber's flag.Load up the car with your buddys frank/aaron,george
b.,kevin
> m.etc...And have fun ! Riley would be proud.LOL~~~~!~~~~

When are you going to come up with some new stuff? Personaly I don't know
Jerry, never have talked to Jerry and really are not buddies with him
so whats your excuse?

1819. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 00:22:40 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <k9n_8.191244$iB1.10391444@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130898

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020720191042.12673.00000018@mb-dd.aol.com...
> Kevin, you must be a shut in loser like George.

LOL, Ill bet I am out of my home more than 90% of you derelicts in this NG.
Sorry to ruin your dream Monkey Spanker

1820. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 00:24:51 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <nbn_8.194921$iX5.10204195@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130899

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:MTc_8.142232$eF5.3870558@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Hey George, maybe he was just checking the ammeter on his dash.

Thats fine Randy don't tell me tell it to the judge, funny part is you guys have no problem breaking the law but have a problem owning up to it there is always some excuse, or the devil made me do it LOL oh well typical keyclown mentality.

1821. Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 00:29:45 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <Zfn_8.289865$vq.16029504@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130900

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:3d39a666$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> Thanks Kung Fu Dude. ;)
> I just got off of the phone with a Texas DPS officer and he says it IS
> against both Texas State law and Federal Law to divulge the location of a
> peace officer while he is in the performance of his duties.
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE

Kevin, thanks for the confirmation I knew it existed but many people don't ,
I didn't beleive it at first either but there are many laws like this.

The only reason I brought this up was because Landshark posted the quote
below and he mentioned nothing of using a CB Radio, so he can back peddle
his own stupid comments

" Sorry Kevin, it's not against federal law or for that fact most
state laws to inform people that a peace officer is using a radar gun to
enforce speed limits. To interfere with a peace officer while he is
performing his duty, he has to actively be engaged with an incident, so just
telling people that he is using
radar ahead of them is not interfering with his duties.

1822. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 00:29:50 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720202950.12684.00000032@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 130901

I guess I hit a nerve! Sorry!

1823. Re: For Sale: Palomar elite 400, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 00:37:11 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3a0235.661736@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130902

In <H5n_8.289526$vq.16021715@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>, "KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote:

>
>"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
>news:3D39F7CC.BD1E339A@qnet.com...
>> Hello Jeff:
>>
>> The posting is perfectly legit. Thats what makes the ng hum. Frank would
>> bitch if he was hung with a new rope.
>>
>> Jay in the Mojave
>
>
>Funny part Jay it is not your Ng and it is not your call to say what Is
>legit or not, since when did you become moderator?

He has always been moderator: He has told lots of people that their posts are rude that that we should be more polite. Of course he's exempt from obeying his own edicts...

1824. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 00:42:31 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <Xrn_8.191570$iB1.10404008@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130903

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020720083740.16876.00000610@mb-cn.aol.com...
> Any to what end does it serve for a ham to modify their shinny new HF rig
so
> they can talk all over HF? I understand the ability to modify radios for
> MARS/CAP use (for those few hams actually involved in these activities),
but
> why doesn't anyone say anything about these HF radios and VHF radios that
allow
> modification beyond MARS/CAP? What purpose does it solve? These are the
radios
> that are going to be a higher risk to cause harmful interference where it
> matters, not some operator using an RCI-2950 on 27.650mhz...

Oh really so your saying freebanders only use rci 2950's? They don't use Kenwoods or Icoms etc? If you owned a Icom 706 that was modded Ill bet you would use it on Cb band and beyond. your a freebander as noticed from previous posts and your rci 2950 and cb gear, your a no code tech you have no need for the Rci, and it is illegal to use on Cb butthat didnt stop you besides it's not real hard to sit in the toms river area and catch you transmitting out of band. i would like to see your name in the next rain report Andy, you have a license to lose just like all the other hams. Who if caught run the risk of fines and loss of license.

How does a Icom 756 or kenwood 950 that has been modded pose more of a threat to harmful interference than a rci 2950? Where does it matter ?

1825. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 00:47:23 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <vwn_8.194960$iX5.10219661@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130904

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020720084453.16876.00000611@mb-cn.aol.com...
> Actually, I do have my ham ticket. I am just playing devils advocate
here...
> everyone yells and screams about these 'export' radios that allow people
to
> transmit on an unused portion of the radio spectrum, but they ignore the
> thousands of VHF radios in particular that are VERY easily modifiable and
would
> allow people to easily interfere on public safety frequencies. Granted,
there
> are those bad apples that wander into 10 meters with the 2950's and 2510,
but
> those are sure to be caught if they persist and I believe there are a lot
less
> venturing into actually occupied frequencies than those staying within the
> "accepted" limits of the freeband.

Riley should traek your ham ticket your nothing more than a glorified Cber
anyhow Andy.
What are the accepted limits of the freeband? 27.405 to 27.999 and just who
set these limits? What should I say to the freebanders below channel 1 on
25/26 mhz or the ones who venture onto 10 meters that they are not observing
the accepted Illegal limits of freebanding. Or the illegals who do buy vhf
rados or Ht's who key up repeaters to screw with the hams> huh Andy perhaps
you should just go back to being a full time Cber. the Ham community doesn't
need guys like you spreading the good word.

1826. Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 00:59:32 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720205932.12662.00000028@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 130905

George,Do the truckers on the Lehigh Valley(RT 22) bleed you so bad that you have turned into a shut in loser.Time to move.RF has f--ked you up!

1827. Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 01:06:13 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <9On_8.245556$Im2.12386306@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130906

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020720205932.12662.00000028@mb-dd.aol.com...
> George,Do the truckers on the Lehigh Valley(RT 22) bleed you so bad that
you
> have turned into a shut in loser.Time to move.RF has f--ked you up!

No sorry the only loser I see is you, btw are you a deisel Dummie? you sure
sound like it. Time for you to get a life

1828. 2950 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 01:16:19 UTC
From: Iowa883 <Iowa883@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ahd2a9$5ti$1@ins22.netins.net>
Source record: 130907

OK Guys,
I have listed this on EBAY every which way I can and EBAY keeps kicking it off. Email me if you want a 2950 in excellent use and onluy been used as a base.
Price is right,
Iowa883

1829. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 01:21:17 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3a0bb1.3090135@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130909

In <vwn_8.194960$iX5.10219661@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>,
"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote:

<snip>
>What are the accepted limits of the freeband? 27.405 to 27.999...

I knew something didn't seem right about that, but I couldn't see it
until now: If a freeloader runs any kind of audio modulation on 27.999
it will put a sideband right into the 10m band! So how is that band
allocated? What are the first couple of kHz used for?

1830. Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 01:21:28 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020720212128.12650.00000022@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 130908

George ,when I come Thru Pa.next time I stop in and see you at 1385 Devon cir
just to say hello and have a Busch! Allentown right!

1831. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 01:25:05 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D39EF90.FA5334F7@mailcity.net>
Source record: 130910

TRA1N wrote:
> I'm still of the opinion that if it is a radio
> frequency and being "broadcast" on, you should be allowed to receive it! This
> is how the law was ORIGINALLY written but later revised to allow for such
> bullshit. Radar is nothing more than microwave broadcast.
> Train

Absolutely, if someone does not want me to pick up their
transmission, then figure a way to keep if from crossing my
property or out of my receiver.

1832. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 01:29:33 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <18o_8.42349$Iu6.2407883@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130911

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d3a0bb1.3090135@news.cet.com...
> In <vwn_8.194960$iX5.10219661@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>,
> "KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >What are the accepted limits of the freeband? 27.405 to 27.999...
>
> I knew something didn't seem right about that, but I couldn't see it
> until now: If a freeloader runs any kind of audio modulation on 27.999
> it will put a sideband right into the 10m band! So how is that band
> allocated? What are the first couple of kHz used for?

28.0-28.3 is narrow (1 kKz bandwidth) which means digital modes and cw.
As most freebanders appear to use - for reasons known only unto them - LSB
there is probably no problem beyond the obvious ones. The low end of 10
is generally cw DX by some pretty good dittyboppers.

1833. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 01:34:18 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <uco_8.2901$Q55.158720020@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130913

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207201215.4ae57a63@posting.google.com...
> "Landshark" S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. wrote:
> >I had my 99 F150 set up and running up to 2 KW
>
> You ran 2,000 watts on CB in your truck? How come?
>
> -Aaron-

Because, make of it what you want Aaron.
I don't have to answer to you in anyway, so ramble
on.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

1834. OT - Cajuns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 01:38:02 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <934kju4h5ouuhbaoauq6029hp5f6do2u25@4ax.com>
Source record: 130912

Four Cajuns were sitting around a campfire near the Atchafalaya Basin. They were "philosophizing" on what was the fastest thing in the world.

Boudreaux said, "I tink de fassest ting in the werld is a 'tought', because before you ken tink of it it's already tought."

Thibodeaux said, "No, the fassest ting in the werld is a 'blink' because before you ken tink about it you dun blunk already."

Alfonse said, "No, the fassest ting in the werld is lectricity because when you turn dat light switch on de lectricity travels fass-fass and the lights come on before you know it."

T-Boy said,"Ya'll all wrongg, the fassest ting in the werld is diarrhea."

Everyone asked, "Diarrhea?"

T-Boy said, "Yea, lass night before I could tink, blink, or turn on de lights, I don shit ma pants."

KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

1835. Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 01:44:56 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <rmo_8.2907$M85.158903639@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130914

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:Zfn_8.289865$vq.16029504@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote in message
> news:3d39a666$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> > Thanks Kung Fu Dude. ;)
> > I just got off of the phone with a Texas DPS officer and he says it IS
> > against both Texas State law and Federal Law to divulge the location of
a
> > peace officer while he is in the performance of his duties.
> >
> > Kevin, WB5RUE
>
>
> Kevin, thanks for the confirmation I knew it existed but many people don't
,
> I didn't beleive it at first either but there are many laws like this.
>
> The only reason I brought this up was because Landshark posted the quote
> below and he mentioned nothing of using a CB Radio, so he can back peddle
> his own stupid comments
>
> " Sorry Kevin, it's not against federal law or for that fact most
> state laws to inform people that a peace officer is using a radar gun to
> enforce speed limits. To interfere with a peace officer while he is
> performing his duty, he has to actively be engaged with an incident, so
just
> telling people that he is using
> radar ahead of them is not interfering with his duties.
>
>

I asked for you to cite the California Law, now
Kevin come back with "I talked with Texas DPS"

1836. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 01:56:26 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <exo_8.2909$ab5.159051995@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130918

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:M3n_8.191200$iB1.10387471@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:Cge_8.2643$HJ.185721076@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> Not necessarily.
> > I had my 99 F150 set up and running up to 2 KW and
> > never had a problem. If you ground both the amp &
> > radio, antenna well, run the coax and power wires away
> > from the car's computer, and make sure that the antenna
> > is placed as high as it can (on the roof would be the best
> > spot for it) and you probably won't have a problem.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> What size alternator did you have?
>
>
>

I have an alternator I ordered out of
Arizona (name escapes me) But it's approx 25o amps
plus amp with a dual battery set up. It was advertised for
use as an Arc welding set up, so I figured it would suit my
needs. I took all of the radio equip. out, the wife now drives it around.
I'm busy building a 68 Camero, God forbid someone that puts an antenna on
one of those.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

1837. Re: FCC question?Landshark has them on the run!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 01:56:27 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <fxo_8.2910$ab5.159051995@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130915

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:Zfn_8.289865$vq.16029504@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote in message
> news:3d39a666$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> > Thanks Kung Fu Dude. ;)
> > I just got off of the phone with a Texas DPS officer and he says it IS
> > against both Texas State law and Federal Law to divulge the location of
a
> > peace officer while he is in the performance of his duties.
> >
> > Kevin, WB5RUE
>
>
> Kevin, thanks for the confirmation I knew it existed but many people don't
,
> I didn't beleive it at first either but there are many laws like this.
>
> The only reason I brought this up was because Landshark posted the quote
> below and he mentioned nothing of using a CB Radio, so he can back peddle
> his own stupid comments
>
> " Sorry Kevin, it's not against federal law or for that fact most
> state laws to inform people that a peace officer is using a radar gun to
> enforce speed limits. To interfere with a peace officer while he is
> performing his duty, he has to actively be engaged with an incident, so
just
> telling people that he is using
> radar ahead of them is not interfering with his duties.
>
>

I asked for you to cite the California Law, now
Kevin comes back with "I talked with Texas DPS"
Now If you want, Please cite California , then Texas law
simple question. I personally don't care about Texas
law, but since you two both stated federal and state laws
are being violated, now all of a sudden it's just one state. I
asked for the proof on California law, so go ahead and
knock yourselves out for that fact, but state the specific code where it is
against the law to use a cb to inform others
that a cop is using radar, otherwise, everything else is
just what you are posting (wasted bandwidth)

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

1838. Re: FCC question?Landshark has them on the run!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 01:56:27 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <fxo_8.2911$ab5.159051995@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130917

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:Zfn_8.289865$vq.16029504@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote in message
> news:3d39a666$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> > Thanks Kung Fu Dude. ;)
> > I just got off of the phone with a Texas DPS officer and he says it IS
> > against both Texas State law and Federal Law to divulge the location of
a
> > peace officer while he is in the performance of his duties.
> >
> > Kevin, WB5RUE
>
>
> Kevin, thanks for the confirmation I knew it existed but many people don't
,
> I didn't beleive it at first either but there are many laws like this.
>
> The only reason I brought this up was because Landshark posted the quote
> below and he mentioned nothing of using a CB Radio, so he can back peddle
> his own stupid comments
>
> " Sorry Kevin, it's not against federal law or for that fact most
> state laws to inform people that a peace officer is using a radar gun to
> enforce speed limits. To interfere with a peace officer while he is
> performing his duty, he has to actively be engaged with an incident, so
just
> telling people that he is using
> radar ahead of them is not interfering with his duties.
>
>

I asked for you to cite the California Law, now
Kevin comes back with "I talked with Texas DPS"
Now If you want, Please cite California , then Texas law
simple question. I personally don't care about Texas
law, but since you two both stated federal and state laws
are being violated, now all of a sudden it's just one state. I
asked for the proof on California law, so go ahead and
knock yourselves out for that fact, but state the specific code where it is
against the law to use a cb to inform others
that a cop is using radar, otherwise, everything else is
just what you are posting (wasted bandwidth)

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

1839. Re: FCC question?Landshark proves them wrong again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 01:56:28 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <gxo_8.2913$ab5.159051995@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130916

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:9On_8.245556$Im2.12386306@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020720205932.12662.00000028@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > George,Do the truckers on the Lehigh Valley(RT 22) bleed you so bad that
> you
> > have turned into a shut in loser.Time to move.RF has f--ked you up!
>
> No sorry the only loser I see is you, btw are you a deisel Dummie? you
sure
> sound like it. Time for you to get a life
>
>

I asked for you to cite the California Law, now
Kevin comes back with "I talked with Texas DPS"
Now If you want, Please cite California , then Texas law
simple question. I personally don't care about Texas
law, but since you two both stated federal and state laws
are being violated, now all of a sudden it's just one state. I
asked for the proof on California law, so go ahead and
knock yourselves out for that fact, but state the specific code where it is
against the law to use a cb to inform others
that a cop is using radar, otherwise, everything else is
just what you are posting (wasted bandwidth)

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

1840. Re: FCC question?Landshark has them on the run!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 01:56:28 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <gxo_8.2912$ab5.159051995@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130919

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:Zfn_8.289865$vq.16029504@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote in message
> news:3d39a666$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> > Thanks Kung Fu Dude. ;)
> > I just got off of the phone with a Texas DPS officer and he says it IS
> > against both Texas State law and Federal Law to divulge the location of
a
> > peace officer while he is in the performance of his duties.
> >
> > Kevin, WB5RUE
>
>
> Kevin, thanks for the confirmation I knew it existed but many people don't
,
> I didn't beleive it at first either but there are many laws like this.
>
> The only reason I brought this up was because Landshark posted the quote
> below and he mentioned nothing of using a CB Radio, so he can back peddle
> his own stupid comments
>
> " Sorry Kevin, it's not against federal law or for that fact most
> state laws to inform people that a peace officer is using a radar gun to
> enforce speed limits. To interfere with a peace officer while he is
> performing his duty, he has to actively be engaged with an incident, so
just
> telling people that he is using
> radar ahead of them is not interfering with his duties.
>
>

I asked for you to cite the California Law, now
Kevin comes back with "I talked with Texas DPS"
Now If you want, Please cite California , then Texas law
simple question. I personally don't care about Texas
law, but since you two both stated federal and state laws
are being violated, now all of a sudden it's just one state. I
asked for the proof on California law, so go ahead and
knock yourselves out for that fact, but state the specific code where it is
against the law to use a cb to inform others
that a cop is using radar, otherwise, everything else is
just what you are posting (wasted bandwidth)

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

1841. Re: re fcc question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 02:10:49 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <FOo_8.70836$1q2.8355681@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 130920

ALLSTEEL77 <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020720190711.12673.00000017@mb-dd.aol.com...
> Old news Jerry, come up with new stuff.Get your direction finder out and
your I
> hate cber's flag.Load up the car with your buddys frank/aaron,george
b.,kevin
> m.etc...And have fun ! Riley would be proud.LOL~~~~!~~~~

It's still FUNNY!! And not exactly old. He went back to jail on June 19th.
Sentencing comes up pretty soon. And I don't "hate" CBers. I DO dislike
bandits who "steal" frequencies, operate and interfere on 10 and 12 meters,
and freeload below channel 1. As long as CBers remain within their own
turf,
don't bother me when I am on other frequencies I hold licenses for, I have
no
quarrel. Those who refuse to listen (Like Rabbit Ears) deserve what they
get,
and I snicker at them every time. I can't wait until FCC puts a few more
non-
believers, deliberate interferers, and freeloaders in chains, too. After
all, Mr.
Flippo (Rabbit Ears) thought "they don't care", "I'm invincible", "They
won't
touch CBers", "Ah've got mah 'rah'ts' to tawk on this hyar reddio, and ah
don'
need no license"> Wanna bet he's changed his tune now? HEHE! LOL!

J

1842. Re: Off topic? CB Radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 02:12:48 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <mi5kjuc09pjcgf999plf0gg0sg9i8k8nco@4ax.com>
Source record: 130924

On Wed, 17 Jul 2002 19:13:45 -0400 (EDT), dgose@webtv.net (Dale)
wrote:

>Thank you all for your responses to me. It was so nice to get such
>friendly responses! I think it is so sad to see people argue and
>threaten over these issues when there is so much more at stake in the
>world today.. I really enjoy CB, and I think a lot of other people do
>too, at least around here they do, and a lot of them with little 30
>dollar "yard sale specials"..LOL.. I know only a few who have the
>Galaxy's, etc, and even they run them courteously. In my area,
>Lancaster Ohio, we can still have good conversations. Oh sure, there
>are a few people who run all the "toys" and stuff... but as a rule, you
>can talk to people all around the city and in neighboring ones easily..
>even on Channel 19, there is courteous conversation, of course most of
>the truckers who come thru this area are on regular runs down US 33 and
>are familiar with us and will talk to us without telling us to "get off
>their channel"! We very rarely have a "power war", there is like one
>teenage boy in the next town who likes to play music and run his little
>250 watt kicker, but he's rarely in there.
>
>The point is, it's very nice to see that so many of you really are
>interested in CB's still. Yes I have gotten good advice on here, when I
>had problems, and I thank you all for that, but for the most part I
>thought it had turned into people trying to get rid of CB. Many of you,
>and I don't know all your names, seem to have so much technical
>knowledge that it amazes me. I thank you for the invitation to stick
>around, and I will do so.. I hope we can have good discussions in the
>future..
>
>God Bless
>
>Dale

It used to be like that here in Napoleon Ohio, and there are still a
good many of that group in the area hanging tough. We used to stau up
until 3-4 AM talking about strange but clean topics, we had a blast,
many families would turn off their tv's and gather the kids around the
CB and even the kids would take part of the evening discussions.

We also had a SSB club out of Defiance Oh that I was a member of. I
still enjoy talking to my friends on CB, but when the idiocy gets to
be too much I move up the line to another band or two, that are much
quieter, and have nice people to talk to.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

1843. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 02:39:34 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <si7kjuoccqtkeg1ml1jmmub9c75m1ij3k4@4ax.com>
Source record: 130921

On 19 Jul 2002 22:06:58 GMT, jdavecobb@aol.comatose (No Junk) wrote:

>I'm curious about Copper. I've purchased a couple of minor items there and
>haven't had a problem. I'm considering getting a new radio there, also, so
>these negative posts about Copper have me concerned.
>
>For anybody out there with experience dealing with Copper, I'd welcome a
>synopsis of your experience - positive or negative.

The only thing I have ever even considered getting from them was an
antenna, then I realized I could get the same thing MUCH cheaper from
a local radio shop.

A friend of mine had the same thing happen, he bought a "new" 148 GTL
from them, only to find out that someone had bought it, and tried to
do a mod, and add a connex board ect, and they butchered it to hell.
He never got his money back either.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

1844. Re: Uniden AM/SSB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 02:46:06 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <018kjusel5pj4m4c8hf495tcsokqud2i3h@4ax.com>
Source record: 130922

On 20 Jul 2002 00:22:35 GMT, jdavecobb@aol.comatose (No Junk) wrote:

>Oops. The 78XL isn't a SSB version. I guess you have to get a Grant to get
>SSB, so I welcome any opinions on a Grant.

The Grant XL ot Grant LT are both great radios, if you want a base,
look at the older President Washingtons, I have one of the originals
that I have has since it was new, love that radio.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

1845. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 02:55:30 UTC
From: radiodaz@concentric.net
Message-ID: <827c728f.0207201855.2c0b17ba@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<22a5e694.0207131136.2a246d69@posting.google.com>...
> ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST
>
> The FCC's Office of Engineer and Technology (OET) has evaluated the
> devices listed below and has concluded that these devices are not only
> amateur transceivers but can easily be altered for use as Citizens
> Band (CB) transceivers as well. As such, OET has further concluded
> that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within the
> United States for the reasons discussed below. Further, the FCC
> General Council has issued a decision in a specific case involving one
> manufacturer and has concluded that dual use CB and amateur radios of
> the kind at issue may not be approved under the Commission's rules and
> are in violation of several rules including the RF power level limits
> of 47 CFR 95.639. (letter from Christopher J. Wright, FCC-OGC to John
> F. Atwood, US Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999).
>
> Transceivers used in the Amateur Radio Service below 30 MHz do not
> require FCC authorization prior to being imported into or marketed
> within the United States, but transceivers for other services,
> including the CB Radio Service (CB), do require Commission approval.
> The transceivers listed herein and other similar models operate in the
> amateur "10-meter band" and are often referred to as "10-meter" radios
> or "export" radios. The amateur 10-meter band uses frequencies that
> are very close to the channels set aside for use in the CB service.
> Some of the transceivers that manufacturers call "10-meter" radios
> either operate on CB frequencies as manufactured and imported or are
> designed such that internal circuits can readily be activated by a
> user, a service technician or a dealer to operate on CB frequencies.
> According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's rules, a CB
> transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate
> at a station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.603(c).
> The Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory
> have determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar
> models at issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as
> well as those in the amateur service because they have built-in
> capability to operate on CB frequencies. This capability can be
> readily activated by moving or removing a jumper plug, cutting or
> splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other simple means.
> Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall
> within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.603(c).
> A CB transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or
> importation. 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ï¿½ 95.603(c); 2.803.

> Moreover, the dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue here
> may not be certified under the Commission's rules. Section 95.655(a)
> states: "ï¿½.([CB] Transmitters with frequency capability for the
> Amateur Radio Services ï¿½ will not be certificated.)" See also
> Amendment of Part 95, Subpart E, Technical Regulations in the Personal
> Radio Services Rules, FCC 88-256, 1888 WL 488084 (August 17, 1988).
> This clarification was added to explicitly foreclose the possibility
> of certification of dual use CB and amateur radios, see id, and
> thereby deter use by CB operators of frequencies allocated for amateur
> radio use.
>
> In addition, the Commission's equipment authorization experts have
> determined that these devices violate or appear to violate a number of
> the rules governing CB devices. For example, they may use emission
> types not permitted, or emit RF power at a level in excess of the
> levels permitted in the CB radio service. See 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.639.
>
> In view of the foregoing, the following "10-meter" transceivers are
> not acceptable for importation or marketing into/within the United
> States. Importation and marketing of these units is illegal pursuant
> to Section 302(b) of the Communications Act and Section 2.803 of the
> rules. Willful violations of the Rules and the Act may subject the
> violator to a monetary forfeiture of not more than $11,000 for each
> violation or each day of a continuing violation. The Commission
> continues to review this type of equipment, and additional makes and
> models may be added to this list in the future.
>
>
>
> LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
> ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET
>
>
> GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V, DX 77HML, DX
> 88HL, DX99V and Saturn Turbo
>
> CONNEX - models: 3300, 3300HP-ZX, 3300 PLUS and CX-3800
>
> MIRAGE - models: 44, Galaxy 88, 9900 and 2950EX
>
> NORTH STAR - models: NS-3000 and NS-9000
>
> PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln
>
> PRO STAR - model: 240
>
> RANGER - models: AR-3500 and RCI-2950
>
> TEK - model: HR-3950

>
> UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600
>
> SUPER STAR - model: 121
>
>
>
> For further information concerning the listed transceivers or similar
> models, contact Ray LaForge or Gary Hendrickson at the FCC Laboratory,
> 7435 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046, (301) 362-3041 or (301)
> 362-3043 respectively, or E-mail: rlaforge@fcc.gov and
> ghendric@fcc.gov

Glad to hear this and read this information. Need to get this junk off
the air and those using the junk off the air too. They cause to many
problems to legal operations. I'll be looking out for this stuff trying
to be sold and reporting such info to the FCC.
tim

1846. Re: FCC question?Landshark proves them wrong again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 03:23:17 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3a28d7.161095@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130926

In <gxo_8.2913$ab5.159051995@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>...(wasted bandwidth)

Why the concern over "wasted bandwidth" on the internet but praise the
same if it's on the RF spectrum?

1847. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 03:24:42 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujkac5gh8o7e0e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130927

400 watts will draw 33.3 amps from a 12 volt sys. And that is only when you transmit. My Geo metro's main fuse is 60 amp. The starter must draw allot more and your battery/charging system is desighned for this. I would install it direcrly to the battery. Just remember that if your battery gets low that the lower the volts the higher the amps go to make 400 watts.
"R.M.R." <Para9mm@webtv.net> wrote in message news:9280-3D38F2FE-70@storefull-2253.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I've been giving some thought of hooking up my old Sears Roadtalker and
> Texas Star 400 in my car.The only problem is It's a 4 cylinder 2002
> Toyota Camry.Will the Texas star fry and computer parts in the
> car.Thanks
>
> Ray,
>
> (Si vis pacem,
> para bellum) U.S.A.
>

1848. OT- Nunnery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 03:26:25 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <4eakjuof9basvqn285po83go18hq616ge9@4ax.com>
Source record: 130941

Mother Superior calls all the nuns in her convent into an emergency meetin.

She says,"I must tell you something important...we have a case of Gonorrhea in our convent."

Way back in the room an old nun exclaims, "Thanks be to God. I am so tired of Zinfandel!"

KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

1849. OT- THE PERKS OF BEING OVER 50

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 03:28:16 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <0hakjugrp2ih9cc906rq20s2ivljj27i6l@4ax.com>
Source record: 130925

THE PERKS OF BEING OVER 50

1. Kidnappers are not very interested in you.
2. In a hostage situation you are likely to be released first.
3. No one expects you to run into a burning building.
4. People call at 9 PM and ask, "Did I wake you?"
5. People no longer view you as a hypochondriac.
6. There is nothing left to learn the hard way.
7. Things you buy now won't wear out.
8. You can eat dinner at 4 P.M.
9. You can live without sex but not without glasses.
10. You enjoy hearing about other peoples operations.
11. You get into heated arguments about pension plans.
12. You have a party and the neighbors don't even realize it.
13. You no longer think of speed limits as a challenge.
14. You quit trying to hold your stomach in, no matter who walks into the room.
15. You sing along with elevator music.
16. Your eyes won't get much worse.
17. Your investment in health insurance is finally beginning to pay off.
18. Your joints are more accurate meteorologists than the national weather service.
19. Your secrets are safe with your friends because they can't remember them either.
20. Your supply of brain cells is finally down to manageable size.
21. You can't remember who sent you this list.

KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

1850. Re: For Sale: Palomar elite 400, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 03:29:02 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujkajum4rm6q70@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130928

Yea and when they built "echelon" the 1st word the NSC looks for is "big radio"

1851. Re: re fcc question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 03:34:13 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujkatm496n99e8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130929

Rabbit ears threatened to blow up(insert various agencies).
To actually get fined or ANYTHING from the fcc you have to REALLY work at it.
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:mTg_8.68262$1q2.7722252@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> slamfire <slamfire_1@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:2d9f0340.0207192229.31fe3e67@posting.google.com...
> > You guys must be on something if you think the government is going to
> > allocate money to track down "ha","ha","ha",CBers.Your all taking
> > yourselves way to serious.Get a grip,It's only CB Radio or maybe you
> > just awoke from a coma and haven't heard we are at war in several
> > places around the world and It's only going to get worse and cost
> > billions more on top of what we have already spent so do you really
> > believe they are going to piss away more money on regulating or
> > disbanning CB Radio. This rumor has been going around for more years
> > then I like to remember.Come on boys crank up the power and don't look
> > back.I live less then a quarter mile from the FCC and been running
> > smoke up their asses for years and never once got a knock on my
> > door.They could care less.(Ray R.) "Slamfire"
>
> Ask "Rabbit Ears" if he believes this after being led away in CHAINS!
>
> Jerry
>
>
>

1852. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 03:35:49 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207201935.241de2a5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130930

"Kenneth" pwrguy@intergrafix.net wrote:
>400 watts will draw 33.3 amps from a 12 volt sys. And
>that is only when you transmit. My Geo metro's main
>fuse is 60 amp. The starter must draw allot
>more and your battery/charging system is desighned for
>this. I would install it direcrly to the battery.
>Just remember that if your battery gets low that
>the lower the volts the higher the amps go to make
>400 watts.

If you ask me, it's a lot of trouble and expense to go through just to say "ba ba booey" (or something similar) on a CB channel and sound all distorted and crappy. Plus it's illegal.

-A

1853. Re: How's biz, Train?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 03:39:01 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207201939.f606bed@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130931

tra1n@aol.com (TRA1N) wrote in message
news:<20020720071936.01129.00001580@mb-ms.aol.com>...
> Interesting.....Does he have a valid tax ID for his state?

Yes.

>Is he
>collecting sales tax for the state he lives in for in-state sales.

Yes.

>Is he paying
>taxes on the income produced by what little sales he may generate?

Yes.

PLUS...this business is LEGAL.

> Train <-----runs ILLEGAL business.

-A

1854. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 03:43:39 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujkbfdfi7u3cea@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130932

> Back in the 80s, there was the belief that our Police listened to CB, but
I
> just cannot go for that. There wouldn't have been much to gain from it as
> most laws broken over CB were not within their powers to deal with.
On 80 last month a state trroper set up in the middle but the snout of his
crown vic could be seen a quarter of a mile away. On 19 i mentioned it and
how he must be some rookie. He imidiatly started backing up. We all had a
good laugh over that and the poor guy must have gotten razzes at the station
house.
They listen casue cb is the premier communications tool for all freedom
loving americans:)

1855. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 03:47:09 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020720234709.28449.00000045@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 130934

>If a frequency sounds abandoned, then it's OK to take it? That is a
>"looters mentality". It's also very dishonest. What kind of ham are
>you to recommend such a thing? Never mind, you answered the question
>yourself.
>

So then you can't answer the question. That's ok. We still love you.

Illegal ops working the "freeband" are hurting no one, as long as they stay within their "freeband". Operators around the world use the frequencies.

>They are aware. They claim that if hams are allowed lots of
>frequencies and lots of power, they, as unlicensed ops, should have
>them too, free for the asking.

I ask again. Who's using the "freeband"? I know it's allocated for lots of different services, but who's using it?

Once again, you seem reluctant to tackle the topic of 2 meter radios that are very easily modifiable with the ability to transmit all over frequencies that ARE in use by other legit operators, unlike the "freeband".

1856. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 03:47:24 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3a2a56.543867@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130933

In <ujkac5gh8o7e0e@corp.supernews.com>, "Kenneth"
<pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote:

>400 watts will draw 33.3 amps from a 12 volt sys. And that is only when you
>transmit.

That's assuming 100% efficiency and nothing else hooked up, not even
the radio. And that's also assuming that 12 volt system is really 12
volts and not 13.6-.8 volts like most other automotive systems. And
also no resistive losses on your power lines. It's more likely that
the amplifier is working at 40 to 50% efficiency and the radio is
drawing 3 to 4 amps, which will give you a current draw (best
scenario) of something like 61 amps.

> My Geo metro's main fuse is 60 amp. The starter must draw allot
>more and your battery/charging system is desighned for this. I would install
>it direcrly to the battery. Just remember that if your battery gets low that
>the lower the volts the higher the amps go to make 400 watts.

An amplifier doesn't work that way. You lose volts, you lose watts.
(Hey, maybe that's what is meant by 'swang'...!)

1857. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 03:49:37 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020720234937.28449.00000046@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 130935

>while there
>has always been some abuse it doesn't even compare to the abuse that
>happens on the so-called 'freeband'.

So what if they are abusing the "freeband", as long as they stay WITHIN the "freeband". Let them run their power, scream AAAAAUUUUDDDDIIOOOO and ridicule each other. Worry about the few that wander into 10 meters and leave the rest alone.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

1858. Re: re fcc question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 03:51:54 UTC
From: slamfire_1@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <2d9f0340.0207201951.af99431@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130936

yeti@REMOVEcet.com had the ball to write:#But a fine one you are,
while America's best are busy #putting their lives on the line you are
in here saying #that war is the best time to blow off your civil
#responsibilities and piss on the government.Do you #really have a
grasp to what's going on, or do you #think that the WTC attack was
just another TV program?~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~You have a lot of
balls challenging my patriotism you little twit.Bet your idea of
patriotism is hanging a flag off your antenna because every one else
was doing it at the time.My guess is you wouldn't make a pimple on an
infantry mans ass,you never did and never could.If your old enough I
bet you turned tale and ran your ass off to Canada during vietnam
while the rest of us got our asses shot up or maybe you stood at the
base gates protesting and called GI's baby killers.Don't you challenge
my patriotism until you take a good look at you own self Mr.Flagwaver
and put your life on the line.Right or wrong I did what my government
asked me to do so don't preach to me.If your warped mind considers
running some power pissing on the government then you are one sorry
ass.You liberals,get off your soap box and out in the bush then talk
patriotism until then get back quiet before you say something stupid
like that in public and a GI opens a can of asswip on your sorry
self.Now get back up on the porch with the small dogs.....(Slamfire)

1859. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 03:53:23 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207201953.5f29f7d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130937

vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) wrote
>So then you can't answer the question. That's ok. We still love you.
>
>Illegal ops working the "freeband" are hurting no one, as long as
>they stay within their "freeband". Operators around the world use the
>frequencies.

The FCC has answered your question (below). If you are too dense to understand their answer, call them for clarification. -A

Q. What are these other frequencies between 24 and 32 MHz used for?

A. These frequencies are assigned to many different licensed radio services, both in the United States, as well as in other countries. Authorized users include Maritime and Aeronautical radio stations, standard time and frequency stations, international broadcasting stations, amateur radio, and government stations. A portion of this spectrum is also allocated to radioastronomy, where scientists are attempting to listen to very weak radio signals coming from distant stars and galaxies. No radio transmitters of any kind are permitted within these radioastronomy bands, so any earth-bound transmitted signals can completely disrupt radioastronomy observations.

Q. I don't hear any other stations on "my" frequency, which is not one of the 40 allocated C.B. radio channels. So what is wrong with operating my radio station here?

A. You may unknowingly cause interference to legitimate communications. Many of these frequencies may appear, to the casual listener, to be unused. Some of the authorized users may be using emission types which can not be plainly detected. Or, their transmissions may be intermittent. You will not hear any legitimate signals within the designated radioastronomy bands. However, just because a frequency sounds clear, that does not mean that it can be used without proper authorization.

1860. Re: For Sale: Palomar elite 400, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 03:57:01 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020720235701.22191.00000085@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 130939

>From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com >(yeti@REMOVEcet.com)
>He has always been moderator: He has >told lots of people that their
>posts are rude that that we should be >more polite. Of course he's
>exempt from obeying his own edicts...
>>From: "KungFuYou" vengence@foo.com
>>Funny part Jay it is not your Ng and it is >>not your call to say what Is
>>legit or not, since when did you become >>moderator?
You guys are like 2 hardons looking for some pussy. Take a chill pill and climb in the backseat together....from the sound of it you guys will get along just fine!

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

1861. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 03:57:19 UTC
From: oris@dirtball.com
Message-ID: <f7a9c6ac.0207201957.20654ee6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130938

vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote in message
news:<20020718205121.06975.00001334@mb-cr.aol.com>...
> This may sound like a strange question, but isn't CB some sort of mobile
> communications device used in vehicles? A CB operates on the 27Mhz band and has
> 40 channels, with channel 9 for emergencies? This is a sincere question. I am
> new to this.
>
> From reading this newsgroup, one can conclude CB is more that that. So, I have
> a few questions.
>
> (1) What is CB? CB uses some kind of slang language. Is this part of being a
> CBer? Or can one use ordinary conversation and still be a CBer? Can CBs be used
> stationary,outside of your vehicle? Do you know anyone that uses it that way?
>
Slag language is something that happens when a group of people gather
over long periods. If you gather in any group for a long you will
learn the slang. Yes CB can be used outside of your vehicals,
stationary, and in home use A.K.A. base station.

> (2) What sort of modifications or tweaks are permitted in CB radio? Antenna
> adjustments? Power output? CB accessories?
>
No modifications are allowed by the FCC. If you modify your CB if
voids FCC Type Acceptance. Antennas are not supposed to be over 60 ft
high. Power output is 4 watts. You can have a watt meter, swr meter,
and power mike.

> (3) What is freebanding?
Freebanding is operating anywhere with total disregaurd to the rules.
>
> (4) What is 11m DX?
Illeagal. To talk over 150 miles you break the rules.
>
> (5) Why do Hams hate CBers? If CB is just ordinary communication, what would a
> Ham feel this is bad or feel superior about?

Ham radio opertors don't hate the CB service and what the service is
made for. What Ham radio operators hate are all the CBers that break
all the rules. Ham radio operators feel superior because of the
testing that they go though to become Ham operators

I hope that I answered your questions. Now after saying all that CB
radio can be alot of fun. You will find that all your local CBers are
freebanders. They all break the rules one way or another. Have fun!
73 Oris

1862. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 03:58:23 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020720235823.28449.00000049@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 130940

>Oh really so your saying freebanders only use rci 2950's? They don't use
>Kenwoods or Icoms etc?

Obviously you haven't been following the thread. Re-read it from the begining.

>How does a Icom 756 or kenwood 950 that has been modded pose more of a
>threat to harmful interference than a rci 2950? Where does it matter ?

If it's capable of transmiting in the 150's, then it would be very simple for an operator to keyup the local public safety repeaters and cause havic. Can't do that with a radio on the "freeband".

1863. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 04:00:47 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721000047.28449.00000050@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 130942

>Riley should traek your ham ticket your nothing more than a glorified Cber
>anyhow Andy.

And he should pay for some English lessons for you.

>What are the accepted limits of the freeband? 27.405 to 27.999 and just who
>set these limits?

Typically the "freeband" is considered everything between "Channel 40" and 10 meters.

>the Ham community doesn't
>need guys like you spreading the good word.

I would invite you to get your ticket, but you'd obviously be one of our bad apples.

1864. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 04:01:42 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721000142.28449.00000051@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 130943

>I knew something didn't seem right about that, but I couldn't see it
>until now: If a freeloader runs any kind of audio modulation on 27.999
>it will put a sideband right into the 10m band! So how is that band
>allocated? What are the first couple of kHz used for?

28.000 - 28.300 is the CW portion.

1865. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 04:03:06 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721000306.28449.00000052@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 130944

>As most freebanders appear to use - for reasons known only unto them - LSB
>there is probably no problem beyond the obvious ones. The low end of 10
>is generally cw DX by some pretty good dittyboppers.
>

Actually, from what I've heard, LSB is mainly used by US stations that aren't DXing into other parts of the world. Otherwise, it seems like all the US DXers and overseas stations on on USB.

1866. Re: 2950 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 04:03:37 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721000337.28449.00000053@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 130945

>OK Guys,
>I have listed this on EBAY every which way I can and EBAY keeps kicking it
>off. Email me if you want a 2950 in excellent use and onluy been used as a
>base.
>Price is right,
>Iowa883

Try auctions.yahoo.com. They'll let ya list anything.

1867. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 04:04:34 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020721000434.22191.00000087@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 130946

>From: "Kenneth" pwrguy@intergrafix.net
>400 watts will draw 33.3 amps from a 12 >volt sys.
And if you go direct to the battery you should see 13.8-14 VDC. That translates to 29 or so amps (i know 33-29=4 amps but it's a number). Considering most amps output have nowhere near the advertised rating expect more like 300-350 outta that TXstar 400 and the amp draw goes down to 25 amps......hook it up and lets hear ya!

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

1868. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 04:07:10 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721000710.28449.00000055@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 130847

>The FCC has answered your question (below). If you are too dense to
>understand their answer, call them for clarification. -A

That just says who they're allocated to. It doesn't say who's using them and
who is being interefered on by "Freebanders".

1869. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 04:46:58 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <61r_8.69308$88.1209552@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 130848

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ujj56n5jisvl88@corp.supernews.com...
> Well, if there is anything to be said for the most vocal of the antis and
> the freebanders it is that far few folks have died arguing legal vs
illegal
> radios than have died arguing religion ...
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>

Very much agreed!

1870. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 04:53:22 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3a3b1e.4705974@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130849

In <20020720234937.28449.00000046@mb-cn.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:

>>while there
>>has always been some abuse it doesn't even compare to the abuse that
>>happens on the so-called 'freeband'.
>
>So what if they are abusing the "freeband", as long as they stay WITHIN the
>"freeband". Let them run their power, scream AAAAAUUUUDDDDIIOOOO and ridicule
>each other. Worry about the few that wander into 10 meters and leave the rest
>alone.

You still don't get it: The 'freeband' is whatever freq an illegal operator chooses to use, regardless of it's legal allocation. They don't respect the fact that 27.410 to 27.999 has been allocated, so why should they worry about any other part of the spectrum? Because the hams own Riley? Big whoop! He's not doing anything that's making a difference. Instead of passing the buck to some guy hired by the FCC to keep the hams pacified, the hams should be discouraging illegal operators wherever they roam -- because they WILL roam onto the ham bands! It's like they are saying to a burglar, "Go ahead and rob my neighbor and I won't say a word, but don't steal from me or I'm going to tell on you!" The burglar would just laugh in your face as he makes off with your stuff, and that's exactly what the 'freebanders' are doing -- laughing in the face of the hams AND Riley!

But I'll tell ya what, Andy. If you are concerned with 10 meter intruders, take it up on a ham newsgroup. 10 meters is a ham band, not the Citizen's Band.

1871. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 04:57:05 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3a3e79.5565550@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130850

In <20020721000710.28449.00000055@mb-cn.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:

>>The FCC has answered your question (below). If you are too dense to
>>understand their answer, call them for clarification. -A
>
>That just says who they're allocated to. It doesn't say who's using them and
>who is being interefered on by "Freebanders".

It doesn't matter if you think they are being used or not: If it ain't
yours, don't fuck with it. If CB isn't enough, get a ham license. If
you have a ham license and that isn't enough, upgrade the license. If
THAT STILL isn't enough, find a different hobby.

1872. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 05:07:43 UTC
From: bc <b@realtime.net>
Message-ID: <3D3A419F.8090502@realtime.net>
Source record: 130851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

My Uniden HR-2510 is not junk. With the keyer, filters, chipswitch mod it's one of the most affordable and versatile portable mobile rigs I own (10/12/15). 43 countries, and 32 states on 10 meters alone during the last sunspot cycle. It's been on many a backpacking and canoe trip with a gel cell, solar panel and a dipole. When my dad was alive he used his HR-2600 for our daily NC - TX sched. Sure wish I hadn't sold his. Its also a great rig for local AM/FM use simplex and repeater use too. I've used it for a downlink on RS satellites with much success also.

Sure I could use my "big" HF rigs (Collins, TS-520, Drake "C" line and TS-2000) but my baby is my modified (filters, keyer, tuner, etc.) Uniden. Until I get one of those Yaesu QRP HF rigs that is.

Take your so called FCC policing BS somewhere else and get a life. Bet you look for folks with "illegal" scanners too? I have 3 myself...

-b

>>UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600
>
> Glad to hear this and read this information. Need to get this junk off
> the air and those using the junk off the air too. They cause to many
> problems to legal operations. I'll be looking out for this stuff trying
> to be sold and reporting such info to the FCC.
> tim

1873. Re: re fcc question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 05:19:37 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3a3f39.5757622@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130852

In <2d9f0340.0207201951.af99431@posting.google.com>,
slamfire_1@yahoo.com (slamfire) wrote:

>~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~You have a lot of
>balls challenging my patriotism you little twit.

Actually, I'm a pretty big "twit". I'm sure someone will direct you to
my pic.

>Bet your idea of
>patriotism is hanging a flag off your antenna because every one else
>was doing it at the time.

Not quite...

>My guess is you wouldn't make a pimple on an
>infantry mans ass,you never did and never could.

Your guess is very wrong.

>If your old enough I
>bet you turned tale and ran your ass off to Canada during vietnam
>while the rest of us got our asses shot up or maybe you stood at the
>base gates protesting and called GI's baby killers.

Nope, I'm not that old.

>Don't you challenge
>my patriotism until you take a good look at you own self Mr.Flagwaver
>and put your life on the line.

Been there, done that.

>Right or wrong I did what my government
>asked me to do so don't preach to me.

What did you do that your government asked you to do? And if you are
going to remain anonymous, how are you going to prove it?

>If your warped mind considers
>running some power pissing on the government then you are one sorry
>ass.You liberals,get off your soap box and out in the bush then talk
>patriotism until then get back quiet before you say something stupid
>like that in public and a GI opens a can of asswip on your sorry
>self.Now get back up on the porch with the small dogs.....(Slamfire)

You talk big for a nameless troll. But listen up, "slamfire": I was
raised to love my country. I may criticize it, but I still respect it
enough not to piss on the graves of those that gave their lives for

the freedoms and privileges that you encourage others to abuse. If you want to challenge MY patriotism then you come out of the shadows and put your name to your words like a man -- nay, like a proud American! And don't EVEN try to lay that "you're not a patriot because you didn't serve in a war" crap, because patriotism isn't just about risking your nuts for your country, it's about your dedication to its concepts and ideals. And if you are encouraging others to violate federal regulations, then you certainly don't have enough dedication to the concepts and ideals of being an American to call yourself one!

And for those that don't already know,

Frank Gilliland
USMC, 1981 - 1985
3/8, 2nd MarDiv, 1983 - 1985 (Fortuna Favet Fortibus)
24th MAU, 1983,4 (Multinational Peacekeeping Force, Beirut)

Now, do you have something more you want to say before you get dropped into the killfile?

1874. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 05:25:37 UTC
From: Mark Russo <mrrusso@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3D3A45D1.FDEDA6BE@optonline.net>
Source record: 130853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Must be voobs son.
My HR-2510 served me very well in the mobile.
NOTHING wrong with it as a 10 METER RADIO as it was sold for when new.
Completely legal as it was designed for. Many if not all HF ham rigs might be able to go on 11 meters. It's up to the operator of the equipment to keep his own station legal.
Wish I had not sold my old 2510 3 years ago, it was a great 10 METER radio.
Hey, ya know, how come nobody's bitching about converting a 11meter CB rig to 10 meters??

>Glad to hear this and read this information. Need to get this junk off
>the air and those using the junk off the air too. They cause to many
>problems to legal operations. I'll be looking out for this stuff trying
>to be sold and reporting such info to the FCC.
>tim

tim wrote:

> voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Boobner) wrote in message
news:<22a5e694.0207131136.2a246d69@posting.google.com>...
> > ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST
> >

1875. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 05:27:25 UTC
From: Mark Russo <mrrusso@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3D3A463D.4A0CAFA7@optonline.net>
Source record: 130854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

BRAVO!!!!!!

bc wrote:

> My Uniden HR-2510 is not junk. With the keyer, filters, chipswitch mod
> it's one of the most affordable and versatile portable mobile rigs I own
> (10/12/15). 43 countries, and 32 states on 10 meters alone during the
> last sunspot cycle. It's been on many a backpacking and canoe trip with
> a gel cell, solar panel and a dipole. When my dad was alive he used his
> HR-2600 for our daily NC - TX sched. Sure wish I hadn't sold his. Its
> also a great rig for local AM/FM use simplex and repeater use too. I've
> used it for a downlink on RS satellites with much success also.
>
> Sure I could use my "big" HF rigs (Collins, TS-520, Drake "C" line and
> TS-2000) but my baby is my modified (filters, keyer, tuner, etc.)
> Uniden. Until I get one of those Yaesu QRP HF rigs that is.
>
> Take your so called FCC policing BS somewhere else and get a life. Bet
> you look for folks with "illegal" scanners too? I have 3 myself...
>
> -b
>
> >>UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600
> >
> > Glad to hear this and read this information. Need to get this junk off
> > the air and those using the junk off the air too. They cause to many
> > problems to legal operations. I'll be looking out for this stuff trying
> > to be sold and reporting such info to the FCC.
> > tim

--

All e-mail received and SENT from me
has been checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2002.

mrrusso@optonline.net

GOD BLESS AMERICA!!!

1876. Re: FCC question?Landshark proves them wrong again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 05:33:17 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <xlr_8.32$tW1.6612185@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 130855

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:gxo_8.2913$ab5.159051995@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...

Wow, talk about echo. Didn't mean for
this to happen, sorry.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

1877. Has Anyone Heard Of 'Mountain Man'.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 05:45:29 UTC
From: dxlover574738846@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721014529.10740.00000616@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 130856

Ran a CB shop in Cincinnati(Deer Park), Ohio called L.C.A. (Loud Clear Audio).
It is no longer in existence. Would also go by the handle '88' and drove
around town in a cammo van, ran about 5 or 6 batteries and some big ass amp.

Just curious, good group here.....I'm back to lurker. :-)

1878. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 06:30:12 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207202230.2145935f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130857

In <20020720234937.28449.00000046@mb-cn.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:
>So what if they are abusing the "freeband", as long as they stay
WITHIN the
>"freeband". Let them run their power, scream AAAAAUUUUDDDDIIOOOO and
ridicule
>each other. Worry about the few that wander into 10 meters and leave
the rest
>alone.

Andy it's pretty sad that your idea of being a good amateur radio operator is to laughingly encourage CB bootleggers to use frequencies allocated to other services - as long as they stay off ham bands. I can only assume that you are incredibly short-sighted or amazingly selfish. As Frank pointed out, it's like telling a thief that it's OK to rob the neighbors house - and what's more - you won't squeal on him. But since thieves have no scruples, chances are pretty good he'll be back to rob your house as soon as you go away.

Among others, SW broadcasters, the US Forest Services, the military, and TV broadcast remotes made heavy use of the so-called "freeband" frequencies -- until bootleggers all but drove them off. As a former or active freebander, is this "history" something you can look back with pride on? That an illegal group of bootleggers and outlaws (which you identify with) successfully drove away and deprived the legal users of a frequency allocation?

And your reasoning/justification for this piracy is that "the frequencies sound abandoned"? Hey, I personally never hear any sigs on 28.100 mHz, does that mean it's OK for unlicensed use? How about 426 mHz, honestly, I never hear anyone there either, does that mean it's OK for unlicensed use?

Of course not. The same FCC that protects your slice of Technician Ham spectrum protects the allocations of other services as well. And according to the FCC, the "freeband"does have legitimate users, no matter if you can "hear" them or not.

-Aaron-

1879. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 06:38:33 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <JFs_8.42714$lu6.2439708@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130858

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721000710.28449.00000055@mb-cn.aol.com...
> >The FCC has answered your question (below). If you are too dense to
> >understand their answer, call them for clarification. -A
>
> That just says who they're allocated to. It doesn't say who's using them
and
> who is being interefered on by "Freebanders".

The Commission does not in fact know who they are allocated to because
they don't do the allocation. They are one of three groups who do this
sort of thing so aside from some commercial allocations in the 27.5 range
that you can find from the FCC data base on their website the rest are
government freqs. It has been a lot of years but I have heard the MP
jeeps at Ft. Sill using some wide FM in the freeband. There were/are some
RACES freqs on 6 meters that were allocated to do liason with these old
jeep radios (they went 20 to 70 or so) and we had one hell of a time
finding some old wideband FM junk to do that as commercial channels were
narrowed about the time CB started which made lots of junque available to
start the repeater craze -- that's why 2 meters is based on 60 kHz
channels in the 146-148 range. We sure as hell proved that 5kHz swing
doesn't work for bullcrap on the15kHz split-splits.

Someone like the Forest Service that flys PB4Ys from WW2 as tankers to
this day is a prime candidate for using these old boat anchor Jeep radios
and trash of that vintage for fire fighting at which it might be damned
good for the purpose and terrain. I would suspect that there are still
some Guard or Reserve units running these things in fairly low-priority
projects that can become high-priority in a big hurry. How many, who
knows.

You are not going to hear FM over 40 kHz wide on your rigs, particularly
not sideband rigs. As a practical matter you will not do much more to
them than desense the hell out of them; owing to the famous FM 'capture
effect' they may not realize that you are there except they can't raise
anyone. And when you are ass-deep in alligators it is not time for a fox
hunt.

And there is the minor matter of marine use in the Pacific on 10 kHz AM
channels on the zeros. See http://www.vkham.com.

The risk is small to be sure but finite. Just what one does about the
danger of killing someone is a measure of the man more than the risk.

Dick N&#65533;BK

1880. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 06:48:14 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207202248.7eddeae6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 130859

"VHFRadioBuff" wrote in message
news:20020721000710.28449.00000055@mb-cn.aol.com...

> That just says who they're allocated to. It doesn't say who's using
>them and who is being interefered on by "Freebanders".
^
|
|
|

You gotta love this guy's arrogance. It's not enough the FCC says the
"freeband" freqs. are allocated. He wants them to "prove it".

-A

1881. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 10:37:02 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721063702.22375.00000105@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 130860

>They
>don't respect the fact that 27.410 to 27.999 has been allocated, so
>why should they worry about any other part of the spectrum?

Because this particular part of the spectrum is not used by any of the allocated agencies, unlike the portions above the 2m ham band, which is often easily accessible to most 2m rigs.

>But I'll tell ya what, Andy. If you are concerned with 10 meter
>intruders, take it up on a ham newsgroup. 10 meters is a ham band, not
>the Citizen's Band.
>

Thats not what this particular part of the thread is about. It's about how rediculous it is to be screaming about "10 meter export" radios that encourage illegal operations on a part of the spectrum, while allocated, is unused, when there's more of a danger from modified 2 meter radios.

1882. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 10:39:38 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721063938.22375.00000106@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 130861

>As Frank pointed out, it's like telling a thief that it's OK
>to rob the neighbors house - and what's more - you won't squeal on
>him.

Actually, it's more like telling a vandal to break some windows on a factory that has been abandonded for a few decades and has no hope of ever being used ever again.

1883. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 10:41:34 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721064134.22375.00000107@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 130862

>You gotta love this guy's arrogance. It's not enough the FCC says the
>"freeband" freqs. are allocated. He wants them to "prove it".

Are you getting senile in your old age? You already replied to that message. :)

1884. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 11:09:03 UTC
From: dgose@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11715-3D3A964F-12@storefull-2213.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 130863

<snip>
You will find that all your local CBers are freebanders.
<snip>
Otis,

Sir, I must disagree with you. Not all CB'ers are freebanders. I agree that many are, but there are also many of us who enjoy our radio's as they were meant to be, and to whom 40 channels plus sideband is plenty. I find with my stock President Madison, I can talk just as far on sideband, as many people claim to do with their kickers.

God Bless,

Dale

1885. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 11:28:55 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D3A9AE7.B7B2AEFC@icok.net>
Source record: 130864

VHFRadioBuff,
I think you're loosing sight of something,
the frequencies in question do not 'belong'
to the so-called 'freebanders'. They 'belong'
to someone else, doesn't matter if they use are
using them or not. If you own a 'lake' home
but don't use it all the time, does that mean
that someone else can? It's basically the same
thing, and I really doubt you would like it if
it's ~your~ 'lake home'.
You say a lot about yourself by what you do
or condone...
'Doc

1886. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 11:29:47 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D3A9B1C.ED0F886B@icok.net>
Source record: 130865

VHFRadioBuff,
And is that a 'good' excuse for vandalism?
'Doc

1887. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 11:30:54 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3a99f1.1201209@news.cet.com>
Source record: 130866

In <20020721063702.22375.00000105@mb-cj.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:

>>They
>>don't respect the fact that 27.410 to 27.999 has been allocated, so
>>why should they worry about any other part of the spectrum?
>
>Because this particular part of the spectrum is not used by any of the
>allocated agencies, unlike the portions above the 2m ham band, which is often
>easily accessible to most 2m rigs.

27.41 to 27.54 MHz is for fixed and mobile services, and 27.54 to
28.00 is for exclusive use by the government. A few months ago I
compiled a list of licensees for the first portion, which was quite
large. How do you know that they aren't used? Do you watch your
spectrum analyzer 24 hrs a day? I don't think so. And what about the
upper portion? What are the government agencies that that are
allocated to use that part of the spectrum? Don't just guess, provide
a link or a reference. Because if you don't know who "isn't" using
them you can't show they are not being used.

>>But I'll tell ya what, Andy. If you are concerned with 10 meter
>>intruders, take it up on a ham newsgroup. 10 meters is a ham band, not
>>the Citizen's Band.
>>
>
>Thats not what this particular part of the thread is about. It's about how
>rediculous it is to be screaming about "10 meter export" radios that encourage
>illegal operations on a part of the spectrum, while allocated, is unused, when
>there's more of a danger from modified 2 meter radios.

If you want to prove your argument you must first prove your premises:

1. That the "freeband" (from 27.41 to 28.00 MHz) is not used by any
legitimate radio service;

2. That there is a "danger" from modified 2-meter radios;

3. That this "danger" is greater than that posed by operation on the
"freeband".

I'm betting that not only will you not even try to prove your point,
but you will come up with a lame excuse why it can't be proven. On the
other hand, I can look at the FCC reports and find out how many
violations there have been for illegal operation just above 148 MHz,
compare that with the number of IOs on the freeband and 10 meters. And
I know for a fact that the military uses 27.54 to 28.00 MHz (MOS 2841,

radio repairman), but I don't think it will be too hard to find out how else the band is used by the government.

So you do your homework and I'll do mine. Fair enough?

1888. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 11:56:49 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5kx_8.42832$Iu6.2459015@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130867

What's really funny is that you didn't come away with anything from the long
discussion we had on that topic...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:e2n_8.244381$Im2.12348741@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:llm_8.42061$Iu6.2396708@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > lol...
> >
> > --
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > Brian Griffey
> > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
> Oh yeah thats funny Griffey almost as funny as your amplifier not having a
> fan on it. Now that is LOL.
>
>
>

1889. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 13:14:44 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721091444.14333.00000102@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 130868

I thought frank and aaron were one in the same?Now he is talking to himself?LOL

1890. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 13:48:32 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <QYy_8.202815$iX5.10623592@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130869

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:5kx_8.42832$Iu6.2459015@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> What's really funny is that you didn't come away with anything from the
long
> discussion we had on that topic...

Very true and it seems you didn't either your amp still has no fan on it.

1891. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 13:52:09 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <d0z_8.202854$iX5.10625916@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130870

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20020721000434.22191.00000087@mb-ce.aol.com...
> >From: "Kenneth" pwrguy@intergrafix.net
> >400 watts will draw 33.3 amps from a 12 >volt sys.
> And if you go direct to the battery you should see 13.8-14 VDC. That
translates
> to 29 or so amps (i know 33-29=4 amps but it's a number). Considering
most
> amps output have nowhere near the advertised rating expect more like
300-350
> outta that TXstar 400 and the amp draw goes down to 25 amps......hook it
up
> and lets hear ya!
>

I guess you keyclowns forget all about the efficiency of the amp 13.8 volts
/400watts = 28.985 amps with 40-50% effieciency of the amp it will draw
about 56-60 amps

1892. Re: For Sale: Palomar elite 400, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 13:53:24 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <o1z_8.204977$Bt1.11046166@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130871

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20020720235701.22191.00000085@mb-ce.aol.com...
> >From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com >(yeti@REMOVEcet.com)
> >He has always been moderator: He has >told lots of people that their
> >posts are rude that that we should be >more polite. Of course he's
> >exempt from obeying his own edicts...
> >>From: "KungFuYou" vengence@foo.com
> >>Funny part Jay it is not your Ng and it is >>not your call to say what
Is
> >>legit or not, since when did you become >>moderator?
> I am looking for 2 guys with hardons. Take a chill pill and climb
> in the backseat together....from the sound of it you guys will get along
just
> fine!

Whats that your looking for a guy with a hardon , I should have known
better.

1893. Re: For Sale: Palomar elite 400, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 13:53:46 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <K1z_8.202165$iB1.10827908@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130872

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ujkajum4rm6q70@corp.supernews.com...
> Yea and when they built "echelon" the 1st word the NSC looks for is "big
> radio"

Or in your case Big Dick

1894. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 13:54:30 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721095430.01103.00001787@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 130873

>27.41 to 27.54 MHz is for fixed and mobile services, and 27.54 to
>28.00 is for exclusive use by the government.

And if any of the frequencies in the so called "freeband" were actively being used, there'd be a bigger effort to get rid of the illegal operators there. This "band" is used by operators around the world and would thus be a big problem if any of the authorized users actually used them. I think we'd hear a lot more about it if this were the case and the governments of the world would have their respective FCC equivelents working to clean up the band.

>1. That the "freeband" (from 27.41 to 28.00 MHz) is not used by any
>legitimate radio service;

See my above reply.

>2. That there is a "danger" from modified 2-meter radios;

The fact that most 2 meter radios are very easily modified to transmit out band band and that when so modified, they have the capability to transmit PL tones and offsets, just like the public service radios, presents a danger to those frequencies.

>3. That this "danger" is greater than that posed by operation on the
>"freeband".

Again, I have yet to hear of ONE incident in which operating from channel 40 to 10 meters has caused any harmful interference on an authorized user of the "band". See question #2 for my answer about the increased danger by modified 2m rigs.

>I can look at the FCC reports and find out how many
>violations there have been for illegal operation just above 148 MHz,
>compare that with the number of IOs on the freeband and 10 meters.

Better yet, let me know how many violations have been reported to authorized users between channel 40 and 10 meters compared to 148mhz+. I have made it clear that I am not talking about violations on 10m. I am talking about harmful interference caused to authorized users between channel 40 and 10 meters.

>I know for a fact that the military uses 27.54 to 28.00 MHz (MOS 2841,
>radio repairman)

And I spent 7 1/2 yrs in the Army and I never once saw a radio in use below 30mhz.

1895. Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 13:55:45 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <A3z_8.205005$Bt1.11047438@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130874

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020720212128.12650.00000022@mb-dd.aol.com...
> George ,when I come Thru Pa.next time I stop in and see you at 1385 Devon
cir
> just to say hello and have a Busch! Allentown right!

Knock your socks of douche Bag, Who shall I look for when you stop by or do
you prefer to remain an anonymous jackass?

1896. Re: For Sale: Palomar elite 400, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 13:56:06 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721095606.01103.00001788@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 130875

>Whats that your looking for a guy with a hardon , I should have known
>better.

"We have Troll, Troll, Troll, Troll, Troll, Troll, Baked Beans and Troll."

"I DON'T LIKE TROLL!"

Give it a rest Aaron. You are as transparent as Scotch tape.

1897. Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 13:58:50 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <u6z_8.202920$iX5.10630346@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130876

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:rmo_8.2907$M85.158903639@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> I asked for you to cite the California Law, now
> Kevin come back with "I talked with Texas DPS"

Landfag direct us to a site that has Califfornias Penal code or ther Laws regarding traffic I know you know all about the Penal code. This statue exists all over the USA your just either to stupid to ask someone (Cop) or just being your usual jerkkoff self. I have a better Idea go out and let a cop who is shooting radar see you flashing your headlights to warn other motorists.

1898. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 13:59:37 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <c7z_8.202928$iX5.10629905@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130877

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020720235643.061$PW@newsreader.com...
>
> So how many people have been busted for giving smokey reports,in Texas?

27?

1899. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 13:59:40 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <g7z_8.170697$q53.4217193@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 130878

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:d0z_8.202854$iX5.10625916@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
> news:20020721000434.22191.00000087@mb-ce.aol.com...
> > >From: "Kenneth" pwrguy@intergrafix.net
> > >400 watts will draw 33.3 amps from a 12 >volt sys.
> > And if you go direct to the battery you should see 13.8-14 VDC. That
> translates
> > to 29 or so amps (i know 33-29=4 amps but it's a number). Considering
> most
> > amps output have nowhere near the advertised rating expect more like
> 300-350
> > outta that TXstar 400 and the amp draw goes down to 25 amps......hook
it
> up
> > and lets hear ya!
> >
>
> I guess you keyclowns forget all about the efficiency of the amp 13.8
volts
> /400watts = 28.985 amps with 40-50% effieciency of the amp it will draw
> about 56-60 amps
>
>

Will a Texas Star even do 400 watts? I don't mean voodoo watts, or Dosy watts, but real watts.

1900. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 14:04:57 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <dcz_8.202982$iX5.10633963@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130879

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020720235823.28449.00000049@mb-cn.aol.com...
> >Oh really so your saying freebanders only use rci 2950's? They don't use
> >Kenwoods or Icoms etc?
>
> Obviously you haven't been following the thread. Re-read it from the
begining.

Oh I have read it Andy your a nocode tech who uses the freeband and thats
all I need to know.
Why did you modify your ft100?

> >How does a Icom 756 or kenwood 950 that has been modded pose more of a
> >threat to harmful interference than a rci 2950? Where does it matter ?
>
> If it's capable of transmiting in the 150's, then it would be very simple
for
> an operator to keyup the local public safety repeaters and cause havic.
Can't
> do that with a radio on the "freeband".

VHF marine radios are capable of transmitting in the 150's does that make
all boat owners frebanders? Anyone who keys up on public saftey bands is a
real dimwit and will be caught, please show us where all these ham radios
are being used in this fashion? Provide some proof surely there must be
something in writing dsaying the police bands are being used by Ham
Frebanders... LOL Andy go back to 27.555 I hear the dx running

1901. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 14:06:22 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <ydz_8.203005$iX5.10634820@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130880

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721000306.28449.00000052@mb-cn.aol.com...
> >As most freebanders appear to use - for reasons known only unto them -
LSB
> >there is probably no problem beyond the obvious ones. The low end of 10
> >is generally cw DX by some pretty good dittyboppers.

> Actually, from what I've heard, LSB is mainly used by US stations that
aren't
> DXing into other parts of the world. Otherwise, it seems like all the US
DXers
> and overseas stations on on USB.

Andy, from what you heard how about from when you transmitted on the
freeband they used LSB

1902. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 14:06:56 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <4ez_8.205163$Bt1.11051850@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130881

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721000142.28449.00000051@mb-cn.aol.com...
> >I knew something didn't seem right about that, but I couldn't see it
> >until now: If a freeloader runs any kind of audio modulation on 27.999
> >it will put a sideband right into the 10m band! So how is that band
> >allocated? What are the first couple of kHz used for?

> 28.000 - 28.300 is the CW portion.

Yes something you don't know much about CW

1903. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims a lack of understanding,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 14:08:04 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D3AC046.2CF5F66@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 130882

"Kevin Muenster, WB5RUE" wrote:
>
> Nope, it's just that the two of you have such close, childish, personalities
> I thought I was talking to the same person.

Things uttered from the mouths of babes...

1904. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 14:10:31 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <rhz_8.205225$Bt1.11054150@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130883

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721000047.28449.00000050@mb-cn.aol.com...
> >Riley should traek your ham ticket your nothing more than a glorified
Cber
> >anyhow Andy.
>
> And he should pay for some English lessons for you.

Why Andy because I hit the wrong key , typical slapdick mentality when you
can't find an answer look to someones typing errors. LOL

> >What are the accepted limits of the freeband? 27.405 to 27.999 and just
who
> >set these limits?

> Typically the "freeband" is considered everything between "Channel 40" and
10
> meters.

Oh really and who is it that considers this the freeband? you or the other
Illegals that steal freqs and then call them Free? Your a joke andy

> >the Ham community doesn't
> >need guys like you spreading the good word.

> I would invite you to get your ticket, but you'd obviously be one of our
bad
> apples.

How are you going to invite me to get a ham license when I have had one for
the better part of your life, besides your not a ham your a Cber who has a
nocode license and still talks on the freeband.

1905. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 14:10:51 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Lhz_8.113566$UT.7358350@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130884

I've been reading your posts... and see that you are only a "combatant"...
you know nothing of electronics, or maybe kung fu, for that matter. It's a
shame you do what you do... now everybody knows your deficiencies.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:QYy_8.202815$iX5.10623592@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:5kx_8.42832$Iu6.2459015@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > What's really funny is that you didn't come away with anything from the
> long
> > discussion we had on that topic...
>
> Very true and it seems you didn't either your amp still has no fan on it.
>
>

1906. Re: For Sale: Palomar elite 400, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 14:11:02 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ujlgcu7jdr6752@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130886

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:H5n_8.289526$vq.16021715@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> news:3D39F7CC.BD1E339A@qnet.com...
> > Hello Jeff:
> >
> > The posting is perfectly legit. Thats what makes the ng hum. Frank would
> > bitch if he was hung with a new rope.
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave
>
>
> Funny part Jay it is not your Ng and it is not your call to say what Is
> legit or not, since when did you become moderator?
>

Look in the mirror!

PH

1907. Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 14:14:16 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Ykz_8.113569$UT.7357225@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130885

He'll introduce himself when he get there...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:A3z_8.205005$Bt1.11047438@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020720212128.12650.00000022@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > George ,when I come Thru Pa.next time I stop in and see you at 1385
Devon
> cir
> > just to say hello and have a Busch! Allentown right!
>
> Knock your socks of douche Bag, Who shall I look for when you stop by or
do
> you prefer to remain an anonymous jackass?

1908. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 14:15:33 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <9mz_8.202274$iB1.10838688@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130887

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207202230.2145935f@posting.google.com...

> Andy it's pretty sad that your idea of being a good amateur radio
> operator is to laughingly encourage CB bootleggers to use frequencies
> allocated to other services - as long as they stay off ham bands. I
> can only assume that you are incredibly short-sighted or amazingly
> selfish. As Frank pointed out, it's like telling a thief that it's OK
> to rob the neighbors house - and what's more - you won't squeal on
> him. But since thieves have no scruples, chances are pretty good he'll
> be back to rob your house as soon as you go away.
> -Aaron-

Aaron this says it all about vhfradiobuff:

vhfradiohandjob wrote:

When will people learn the URL for illegal radios and amps?

http://auctions.yahoo.com

You can list anything there. :)

1909. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 14:24:26 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D3AC409.D9DAB26B@icok.net>
Source record: 130888

ALLSTEEL77 wrote:
>
> I thought frank and aaron were one in the same?Now he is talking to himself?LOL

Please! I've been called a lot of things, some of
them I deserved, some of them I didn't. But there
-IS- a limit, and you're getting awful close to it.
'Doc

1910. FS: PDC 6000 WATT/SWR & MODULATION METER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 14:49:56 UTC
From: reg1647@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721104956.03496.00001514@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 130889

THIS ONE HAS THREE METERS, SWR, MODULATION AND WATT METER THAT READS 10, 100 AND 5000 WATTS, EXCELLENT SHAPE FOR $45 PLUS SHIP. CHECK OUT MY WEB SITE. YOU CAN SAVE MONEY OR MAKE MONEY OR BOTH. THANKS, REG
http://deliveringonthepromise.com/rharpole

1911. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:03:47 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721110347.08310.00001627@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 130890

>Subject: Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.
>From: "Landshark" S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.
>Date: 7/20/02 9:34 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <uco_8.2901$Q55.158720020@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
>
>
>"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:22a5e694.0207201215.4ae57a63@posting.google.com...
>> "Landshark" S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. wrote:
>> >I had my 99 F150 set up and running up to 2 KW
>>
>> You ran 2,000 watts on CB in your truck? How come?
>>
>> -Aaron-
>
> Because, make of it what you want Aaron.
>I don't have to answer to you in anyway, so ramble
>on.
>
> Landshark
>
AMEN BROTHER!
Train

1912. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:09:30 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <K8A_8.203972$iX5.10671975@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130891

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:Lhz_8.113566$UT.7358350@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I've been reading your posts... and see that you are only a "combatant"...
> you know nothing of electronics, or maybe kung fu, for that matter. It's a
> shame you do what you do... now everybody knows your deficiencies.
>

Thats funny I was thinkin the same about you especially when I looked at
your amp you know the one with no Fan.

1913. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:10:05 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <h9A_8.203977$iX5.10672510@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130892

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020721110347.08310.00001627@mb-fn.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.
> >From: "Landshark" S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.
> >Date: 7/20/02 9:34 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <uco_8.2901$Q55.158720020@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
> >
> >
> >"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >news:22a5e694.0207201215.4ae57a63@posting.google.com...
> >> "Landshark" S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. wrote:
> >> >I had my 99 F150 set up and running up to 2 KW
> >>
> >> You ran 2,000 watts on CB in your truck? How come?
> >>
> >> -Aaron-
> >>
> > Because, make of it what you want Aaron.
> >I don't have to answer to you in anyway, so ramble
> >on.
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> AMEN BROTHER!
> Train

Yeah amen who wants to hear what he has to say anyhow

1914. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:10:59 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <7aA_8.254134$lm2.12816210@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130893

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:g7z_8.170697$q53.4217193@twister.austin.rr.com...

> Will a Texas Star even do 400 watts? I don't mean voodoo watts, or Dosy
> watts, but real watts.

Either way Randy they havent taken into account the eff of amp.

1915. Re: For Sale: Palomar elite 400, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:12:01 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <5bA_8.254146$Im2.12816792@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130894

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:ujlgcu7jdr6752@corp.supernews.com...

> Look in the mirror!
>
> PH

Yes what a handsome Devil I am ...

1916. Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:13:24 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <ncA_8.254161$Im2.12817036@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130895

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:Ykz_8.113569$UT.7357225@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> He'll introduce himself when he get there...

As usual another blowhard heard from who is scared to give out htere real
name when they make threats, and I expect nothin less than the Pornfessor to
stick up for him..

1917. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:13:58 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <WcA_8.203989$iX5.10675024@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130896

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020721100101.814$WG@newsreader.com...
> "KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020720235643.061$PW@newsreader.com...
> > >
> > > So how many people have been busted for giving smokey reports,in
Texas?
> >
> >>27?
> >
> Is that what muenzler told you?

Just a guess I don't talk to kevin but perhaps I should he seems like an
alright guy.

1918. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:21:41 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <9kA_8.206597$Bt1.11105491@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130947

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721095430.01103.00001787@mb-ms.aol.com...
> >27.41 to 27.54 MHz is for fixed and mobile services, and 27.54 to
> >28.00 is for exclusive use by the government.
>
> And if any of the frequencies in the so called "freeband" were actively
being
> used, there'd be a bigger effort to get rid of the illegal operators
there.
> This "band" is used by operators around the world and would thus be a big
> problem if any of the authorized users actually used them. I think we'd
hear a
> lot more about it if this were the case and the governments of the world
would
> have their respective FCC equivelents working to clean up the band.
>
> >1. That the "freeband" (from 27.41 to 28.00 MHz) is not used by any
> >legitimate radio service;
>
> See my above reply.
>
> >2. That there is a "danger" from modified 2-meter radios;
>
> The fact that most 2 meter radios are very easily modified to transmit out
band
> band and that when so modified, they have the capability to transmit PL
tones
> and offsets, just like the public service radios, presents a danger to
those
> frequencies.

Andy you sound like an expert in screwing with emergency Comms how come?

> >3. That this "danger" is greater than that posed by operation on the
> >"freeband".
>
> Again, I have yet to hear of ONE incident in which operating from channel
40 to
> 10 meters has caused any harmful interference on an authorized user of the
> "band". See question #2 for my answer about the increased danger by
modified 2m
> rigs.

I have yet to hear of 1 incedent in which a ham using a 2 meter rig has
caused harmful interference on an authorized police freq by a modified

radio.

> Better yet, let me know how many violations have been reported to
authorized
> users between channel 40 and 10 meters compared to 148mhz+. I have made it
> clear that I am not talking about violations on 10m. I am talking about
harmful
> interference caused to authorized users between channel 40 and 10 meters.

Better yet why don't you provide the answers to your fictious claims yo keep saying how dangerous hams are with 2 meter radio, where is the proof? Or are you just going on your own experiance of keying up police repeaters? Most all police I know work the 500 mhz band and many more are on the 800 mhz band, guees that throws your theory partly out of the water. In fact lets see what beachwood Nj police and its neighboring towns use, perhaps they have been experiancing you
keying up anfd interfering with them.

> >I know for a fact that the military uses 27.54 to 28.00 MHz (MOS 2841,
> >radio repairman)

> And I spent 7 1/2 yrs in the Army and I never once saw a radio in use
below
> 30mhz.

How would you know were you in radio op's ? No latreen duty you won't see radios in the shit house Andy

1919. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:31:53 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721113153.10376.00000221@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 130948

>Oh I have read it Andy your a nocode tech who uses the freeband and thats
>all I need to know.
>Why did you modify your ft100?

"We have Troll, Troll, Troll, Troll, Troll, Troll, sausage and Troll"

"I DON'T LIKE TROLL!"
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

1920. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:33:04 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721113304.10376.00000222@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 130949

>Andy, from what you heard how about from when you transmitted on the
>freeband they used LSB

"We have Troll, Troll, Troll, Troll, Troll, Troll, French Fries and Troll."

"I DON'T LIKE TROLL!"

1921. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:33:28 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721113328.10376.00000223@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 130950

>Yes something you don't know much about CW

"We have Troll, Troll, Troll, Troll, Troll, Troll, Pork Roll and Troll."

"I DON'T LIKE TROLL!"

1922. Re: OT Especially for Moparholic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:34:50 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721113450.26506.00000166@mb-bd.news.cs.com>
Source record: 130951

>-Well, one thing about it--you don't rocket away from a light in a '49
>Dodge!
>\
>A muscle car it ain't. but it sure is fun to ride around in!

1969 Dodge Super Bee with a 440 Six Pack!

73 de Bert
WA2SI

P.S. In blue please. :-)

1923. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:35:36 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721113536.10376.00000224@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 130953

>Why Andy because I hit the wrong key , typical slapdick mentality when you
>can't find an answer look to someones typing errors. LOL

Let's see: "Riley should traek your ham ticket your nothing more than a
glorified
Cber anyhow Andy"

Translated: Riley should take your ham ticket. You're nothing more than a
glorified CB'er anyhow, Andy."

>Oh really and who is it that considers this the freeband? you or the other
>Illegals that steal freqs and then call them Free? Your a joke andy

Since this is an English lesson, that shoud be "You're a joke, Andy."

1924. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:36:15 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <PxA_8.203732$iB1.10889865@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130952

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721113153.10376.00000221@mb-mh.aol.com...

> "I DON'T LIKE TROLL!"
> =-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
> 73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
> Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
> http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

Then get your knees in the breeze are you a ham trolling this NG or are you
a Cber?

1925. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:36:48 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <kyA_8.204069$iX5.10689116@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130954

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721113304.10376.00000222@mb-mh.aol.com...
> >Andy, from what you heard how about from when you transmitted on the
> >freeband they used LSB
>
> "We have Troll, Troll, Troll, Troll, Troll, Troll, French Fries and
Troll."
>
> "I DON'T LIKE TROLL!"

typical can't answer the question response Are yo a Cber or a Ham trolling
this NG Andy

1926. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:37:07 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721113707.10376.00000225@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 130955

>When will people learn the URL for illegal radios and amps?
>
>http://auctions.yahoo.com
>
>You can list anything there. :)

"We have Troll, Troll, Troll, Troll, Troll, Troll, Potatoes and Troll."

"I DON'T LIKE TROLL!"

1927. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:40:45 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721114045.10376.00000226@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 130956

>Andy you sound like an expert in screwing with emergency Comms how come?

You sound like a troll who never posted once before July 15th, when you posted a message to rec.sport.pro-wrestling. How do you like the Comcast service?

>I have yet to hear of 1 incedent in which a ham using a 2 meter rig has
>caused harmful interference on an authorized police freq by a modified
>radio.

You just don't get it.

1928. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:45:03 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721114503.10376.00000227@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 130957

>Better yet why don't you provide the answers to your fictious claims yo keep
>saying how dangerous hams are with 2 meter radio, where is the proof?

www.mods.dk. Check out the mods for 2m radios that allow TX capabilities in the public service band.

> Most
>all police I know work the 500 mhz band and many more are on the 800 mhz
>band, guees that throws your theory partly out of the water.

A good number of police departments in NJ use frequencies in the 150's. There are some on trunked system in the 500 mhz, like Ocean County and Dover Twsp, which recently went digital. There are still a ton of agencies in the 150's, though.

>lets
>see what beachwood Nj police and its neighboring towns use, perhaps they
>have been experiancing you
>keying up anfd interfering with them.

They are on the county's 500mhz trunked system because of the size of the towns in the area. Monmouth County in particular has a lot of agencies in the 150's.

>Or are
>you just going on your own experiEnce of keying up police repeaters?

Only time that happens is when I'm working and need to talk with another department.

1929. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:51:06 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <KLA_8.203875$iB1.10901365@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130958

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721114045.10376.00000226@mb-mh.aol.com...
> >Andy you sound like an expert in screwing with emergency Comms how come?
>
> You sound like a troll who never posted once before July 15th, when you
posted
> a message to rec.sport.pro-wrestling. How do you like the Comcast service?

Thats Ok you sound look and act like a no code ham who likes to freeband,
better go back to google and do some more research then

> >I have yet to hear of 1 incedent in which a ham using a 2 meter rig has
> >caused harmful interference on an authorized police freq by a modified
> >radio.
>
> You just don't get it.

No I don't and I am waiting for you to provide proof of your allegations
against Hams

1930. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:51:50 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721115150.10376.00000228@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 130959

>No he's been trolling this board for years. He changes screen names like
>socks,to avoid killfilters.

Well, he is an amusing kid.

1931. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:54:58 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721115458.10376.00000229@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 130960

>No I don't and I am waiting for you to provide proof of your allegations
>against Hams
>

You really don't read the whole thread do you? I mearly brought attention to
the fact that 2m radios are just as easily modifiable as these "export" radios
and can cause problems on frequencies that matter...

1932. Re: Please someone advice on CB radio for newbie. I narrowed it down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:58:18 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721115818.26506.00000174@mb-bd.news.cs.com>
Source record: 130961

>I think I have narrowed it down to a ranger.

How about a real CB...Uniden? Grab a Grant XL or LT and you wont be sorry. Here's a new one (NIB or New In Box) on ebay that's way more affordable than the two Rangers.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1366988772

That's a GREAT deal in the making.

All legalities aside. If your mind is absolutely set, get the FHP and please use a Low Pass Filter. A much better choice would be to get the Uniden though. If you decide that you'd like to get your ham ticket, the price of a brand spankin' new IC-718 that covers 10 thru 80 meters is only a little above what you're willing to pay for that FTB...and much cleaner. Here's some helpful links.

http://www.qrz.com/p/testing.pl

http://ah0a.org

Beste of luck to you, whatever your choice. Any ?'s, drop me an e-mail.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

1933. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 15:59:49 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <VTA_8.254677$lm2.12847780@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130962

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721114503.10376.00000227@mb-mh.aol.com...
> >Better yet why don't you provide the answers to your fictious claims yo
keep
> >saying how dangerous hams are with 2 meter radio, where is the proof?

> www.mods.dk. Check out the mods for 2m radios that allow TX capabilities
in the
> public service band.

This proves what about Hams keying up repeaters?

> > Most
> >all police I know work the 500 mhz band and many more are on the 800 mhz
> >band, guees that throws your theory partly out of the water.

> A good number of police departments in NJ use frequencies in the 150's.

They do? Perhaps for secondary communications

>There are some on trunked system in the 500 mhz, like Ocean County and
Dover Twsp,
> which recently went digital. There are still a ton of agencies in the
150's, though.

There are more than some on 500 mhz how does a 2 meter rig get on 500 mhz?

> >see what beachwood Nj police and its neighboring towns use, perhaps they
> >have been experiancing you keying up anfd interfering with them.

> They are on the county's 500mhz trunked system because of the size of the
towns
> in the area. Monmouth County in particular has a lot of agencies in the
150's.

How does a 2 meter rig get on 500mhz?

> >Or are you just going on your own experience of keying up police
repeaters?

> Only time that happens is when I'm working and need to talk with another
> department.

Really, so your saying your a police officer? What town? Dover Twp?,
Manchester? Toms river? I am very familair with all the PD's in your area
as well as most of the supervisors at them.

1934. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:01:20 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <kVA_8.204281$iX5.10705623@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130963

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721113536.10376.00000224@mb-mh.aol.com...

> Since this is an English lesson, that shoud be "You're a joke, Andy."

Exactly, I am glad you at least know it. Couldn't have said it any beter
myself

1935. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:03:03 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <XWA_8.254714$Im2.12850192@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130964

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721113707.10376.00000225@mb-mh.aol.com...
> >When will people learn the URL for illegal radios and amps?
> >
> >http://auctions.yahoo.com
> >
> >You can list anything there. :)
>
> "We have Troll, Troll, Troll, Troll, Troll, Troll, Potatoes and Troll."
>
> "I DON'T LIKE TROLL!"

Thats exactly what i thought when you posted that info Andy, How is a guy
who claims to be a policeman now, how does he condone breaking the law?
Please explain Andy are you one of those rouge cops? Or do you just work for
the maintanence garage

1936. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:08:18 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <S%A_8.254760$Im2.12853472@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130965

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721063938.22375.00000106@mb-cj.aol.com...
> >As Frank pointed out, it's like telling a thief that it's OK
> >to rob the neighbors house - and what's more - you won't squeal on
> >him.
>
> Actually, it's more like telling a vandal to break some windows on a
factory
> that has been abandonded for a few decades and has no hope of ever being
used
> ever again.

As a policeman you would condone this? You are a policeman right? That is
what you insinusated

1937. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:12:14 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721121214.01335.00001123@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 130966

>Subject: Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.
>From: "KungFuYou" vengence@foo.com
>Date: 7/21/02 11:10 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <h9A_8.203977$iX5.10672510@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
>
>
>"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020721110347.08310.00001627@mb-fn.aol.com...
>> >Subject: Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.
>> >From: "Landshark" S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.
>> >Date: 7/20/02 9:34 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>> >Message-id: <uco_8.2901$Q55.158720020@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
>> >
>> >
>> >"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> >news:22a5e694.0207201215.4ae57a63@posting.google.com...
>> >> "Landshark" S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. wrote:
>> >> >I had my 99 F150 set up and running up to 2 KW
>> >>
>> >> You ran 2,000 watts on CB in your truck? How come?
>> >>
>> >> -Aaron-
>> >
>> > Because, make of it what you want Aaron.
>> >I don't have to answer to you in anyway, so ramble
>> >on.
>> >
>> > Landshark
>> >
>> AMEN BROTHER!
>> Train
>
>Yeah amen who wants to hear what he has to say anyhow
>
>
>
At least he has something to say worthy of being read. When you can muster up
something beyond troll fodder you can pass judgement on others..........Go put
on the pointy hat and sit in the corner.
Train

1938. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:12:38 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721121238.02322.00000650@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 130968

>This proves what about Hams keying up repeaters?

Nothing. It proves that 2m radios can be modified just as easily as "export" radios, but can be used to transmit on public safety frequencies.

>They do? Perhaps for secondary communications

154.680 - State Police - S.P.E.N.-1
155.475 - State Police - S.P.E.N.-2
154.725 - State Police - S.P.E.N.-3
153.785 - State Police - S.P.E.N.-4
155.445 - State Police - Marine Police
154.920 - State Police - Base-to-base
154.740 - State Police
154.920 - New Jersey Highway Authority - Base-to-base
154.875 - County Sheriff - Dispatch/Intersystem (Most Departments))
156.030 - County Sheriff - Input To 154.875
154.965 - County Jail
154.965 - Bayshore Narcotics Task Force
156.000 - Bayshore Narcotics Task Force - Input To 154.965
155.625 - Aberdeen Township Police - Dispatch
158.850 - Aberdeen Township Police
155.430 - Allenhurst Police - F-1
155.805 - Allenhurst Police - F-2
154.025 - Allentown Police - Dispatch (By East Windsor)
155.415 - Allentown Police
156.030 - Allentown Police
154.815 - Atlantic Highlands Police - Dispatch
155.595 - Avon by the Sea Police - Primary (PL 192.8)
155.250 - Avon by the Sea Police
155.010 - Belmar Police - Dispatch
155.865 - Belmar Police - Secondary
155.535 - Bradley Beach Police - Dispatch
155.490 - Brielle Police - Dispatch
155.640 - Colts Neck Township Police - Dispatch
158.970 - Colts Neck Township Police
154.055 - Colts Neck Township Police
155.430 - Deal Police - Dispatch
140.500 - Earle Naval Weapons Center - Perimeter Security
159.150 - Eatontown Police - Dispatch
154.800 - Eatontown Police
154.950 - Eatontown Police
155.805 - Fair Haven Police
165.0875 - Fort Monmouth - Police - F-1
164.550 - Fort Monmouth - Police - F-2

155.835 - Freehold Police
155.670 - Highlands Police - Dispatch
151.025 - Holmdel Township Police - F-1 (Dispatch)(PL 192.8)
154.965 - Holmdel Township Police - F-2 (Bayshore Narcotics Task Force)
154.100 - Holmdel Township Police - F-3 (Fire/EMS Dispatch)
159.015 - Holmdel Township Police - Input to 151.025
156.000 - Holmdel Township Police - Input to 154.965
156.240 - Holmdel Township Police - Input to 154.100
153.785 - Holmdel Township Police - S.P.E.N.-4
155.700 - Howell Township Police - Dispatch
156.150 - Howell Township Police
154.830 - Keansburg Police - Dispatch
155.370 - Keyport Police - Dispatch
155.790 - Long Branch Police - F-1/2 (Dispatch)
153.845 - Long Branch Police
154.710 - Long Branch Police
155.490 - Manasquan Police - Dispatch
154.980 - Manasquan Police
154.740 - Marlboro Township Police - F-1
155.820 - Marlboro Township Police - F-2
158.880 - Marlboro Township Police
155.880 - Matawan Police
155.595 - Matawan Police - Dispatch
155.625 - Matawan Police
156.210 - Middletown Township Police - F-1 (Dispatch)(DPL 464)
155.550 - Middletown Township Police - F-2 (Tactical/Backup)(PL 151.4)
158.895 - Middletown Township Police - Repeater input
154.875 - Monmouth Beach Police - Dispatch (by County)
158.730 - Monmouth Beach Police
155.145 - Monmouth Beach Police
155.070 - Monmouth College - Police
154.650 - Neptune City Police - Dispatch
155.130 - Neptune Township Police - Dispatch
153.800 - Neptune Township Police - Input to 155.130
153.860 - Ocean Grove Police
158.775 - Oceanport Police - Dispatch
153.785 - Oceanport Police
154.800 - Oceanport Police
155.250 - Rumson Police - Dispatch
155.565 - Sea Bright Police
155.490 - Sea Girt Police
155.250 - Sea Girt Police
155.565 - Shrewsbury Police
154.800 - Shrewsbury Police
155.715 - South Belmar Police - F-2 (Police/Fire/EMS Common)
155.250 - Spring Lake Police - Dispatch
155.250 - Spring Lake Heights Police
155.670 - Tinton Falls Police - Dispatch
154.845 - Wall Township Police - Dispatch
155.970 - Wall Township Police

154.755 - West Long Branch Police - Dispatch
154.800 - West Long Branch Police

And that's just police frequencies in Monmouth county... Ocean County is a little more with the times with their frequencies, but there's still lots of activity in the 150's.

>There are more than some on 500 mhz how does a 2 meter rig get on 500 mhz?

How would you know who talks on 500mhz in this area? Oh, that's right, you're a Comcast customer, so you are probably in Ocean or Monmouth county. And no, a 2m rig doesn't go into the 500mhz area. They typically have an RX range of approximately 132 - 174, sometimes as low as 118.

1939. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:13:09 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721121309.02322.00000651@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 130967

>Exactly, I am glad you at least know it. Couldn't have said it any beter
>myself

You forgot the period at the end of that sentance. Grammer!

1940. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:13:53 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721121353.02322.00000652@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 130969

>Thats exactly what i thought when you posted that info Andy, How is a guy
>who claims to be a policeman now, how does he condone breaking the law?
>Please explain Andy are you one of those rouge cops? Or do you just work for
>the maintanence garage

"I always feel like, somebody's watching me!" lalala

1941. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:15:39 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721121539.01335.00001125@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 130971

>Subject: Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.
>From: "Kenneth" pwrguy@intergrafix.net
>Date: 7/20/02 11:24 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <ujkac5gh8o7e0e@corp.supernews.com>
>
>400 watts will draw 33.3 amps from a 12 volt sys. And that is only when you
>transmit. My Geo metro's main fuse is 60 amp. The starter must draw allot
>more and your battery/charging system is desighned for this. I would install
>it direcrly to the battery. Just remember that if your battery gets low that
>the lower the volts the higher the amps go to make 400 watts.

You are not doing the math right. You are looking at more like 55 amps to run a
400 watt amp. Do your ohms law crap and then double the number to allow for
the INEFFECEINCY of the transistor device.......about 50%.
Train

1942. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:16:07 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <b7B_8.204295$iB1.10918464@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130970

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721063938.22375.00000106@mb-cj.aol.com...

>
> Actually, it's more like telling a vandal to break some windows on a
factory
> that has been abandonded for a few decades and has no hope of ever being
used
> ever again.

Ok Andrew how many do you see that are on the VHF Band in the list below ?
and How many are actually still used? VHF freqs have been abandoned in favor
of the trunk systems. Does that not mean people can't Freeband there?

Ocean County Frequencies
852.2625 - Berkeley Township Police - F-1
852.2875 - Berkeley Township Police - F-2
453.050 - Brick Township Police
453.150 - Brick Township Police
453.750 - Brick Township Police
453.825 - Brick Township Police
37.24 - Island Heights Police
500.9125 - Jackson Township Police
501.2875 - Jackson Township Police
460.2375 - Jackson Township Police
460.4625 - Jackson Township Police
460.400 - Lacey Township Police
471.4125 - Lacey Township Police - Channel 6
37.04 - Lakehurst Police
37.24 - Lakehurst Police
460.3125 - Lakehurst Police
453.325 - Lakewood Township Police - Dispatch (digital)
453.600 - Lakewood Township Police - Special Operations (digital
506.0625 - County Sheriff - Trunked System
506.6625 - County Sheriff - Trunked System
508.0625 - County Sheriff - Trunked System
508.6625 - County Sheriff - Trunked System
508.1875 - County Sheriff - Trunked System (Data)
508.4375 - County Sheriff - Trunked System (Data)
37.18 - County Police - All Departments
155.910 - County Police
37.12 - County Police
37.24 - County Police
37.40 - County Police
460.0375 - County Police

460.0625 - County Police
460.0875 - County Police
460.1125 - County Police
460.1375 - County Police
460.1625 - County Police
460.450 - Barnegat Township Police
465.275 - Barnegat Township Police
460.500 - Beach Haven Police - Dispatch
460.375 - Beach Haven Police
37.22 - Beach Haven Police
37.06 - Beachwood Police
37.28 - Little Egg Harbor Township Police
506.5875 - Little Egg Harbor Township Police
506.8625 - Little Egg Harbor Township Police
460.3625 - Little Egg Harbor Township Police
460.4125 - Little Egg Harbor Township Police
460.300 - Long Beach Township Police
458.6375 - Long Beach Township Police - F-3
154.770 - Manchester Township Police
158.790 - Manchester Township Police
159.150 - Manchester Township Police
453.1625 - Manchester Township Police
453.6125 - Manchester Township Police
37.06 - Mantoloking Police
37.24 - Mantoloking Police
37.34 - Ocean Gate Police
460.2625 - Ocean Gate Police
460.475 - Ocean Township Police
37.36 - Ocean Township Police
453.8375 - Ocean Township Police
37.24 - Pine Beach Police
37.30 - Pine Beach Police
37.24 - Plumsted Township Police
39.46 - Point Pleasant Police
460.425 - Point Pleasant Police
154.755 - Point Pleasant Beach Police
156.090 - Point Pleasant Beach Police
460.3875 - Point Pleasant Beach Police
37.24 - Point Pleasant Beach Police
460.050 - Seaside Heights Police - F-1
460.575 - Seaside Heights Police - F-2
460.025 - Seaside Heights Police - F-3 (Private)
460.100 - Seaside Heights Police - F-4
460.175 - Seaside Heights Police - F-5
460.350 - Seaside Heights Police
37.42 - Seaside Heights Police
460.225 - Seaside Park Police (DCS 664)
37.26 - Seaside Park Police
460.125 - Ship Bottom Police
460.300 - Ship Bottom Police

37.20 - South Toms River Police
460.275 - Stafford Township Police
460.250 - Surf City Police - Dispatch
37.22 - Surf City Police
37.24 - Tuckerton Police

Police
855.2125 - State Police - Troop A (Trunked System)
855.4625 - State Police - Troop A (Trunked System)
855.7125 - State Police - Troop A (Trunked System)
856.4375 - State Police - Troop A (Trunked System)
856.9375 - State Police - Troop A (Trunked System)
857.4375 - State Police - Troop A (Trunked System)
857.9375 - State Police - Troop A (Trunked System)
858.4375 - State Police - Troop A (Trunked System)
858.9375 - State Police - Troop A (Trunked System)
859.4375 - State Police - Troop A (Trunked System)
859.9375 - State Police - Troop A (Trunked System)
860.4375 - State Police - Troop A (Trunked System)
860.9375 - State Police - Troop A (Trunked System)
856.7125 - State Police - Troop C (Trunked System)
857.2125 - State Police - Troop C (Trunked System)
857.7125 - State Police - Troop C (Trunked System)
858.2125 - State Police - Troop C (Trunked System)
858.7125 - State Police - Troop C (Trunked System)
859.2125 - State Police - Troop C (Trunked System)
859.7125 - State Police - Troop C (Trunked System)
860.2125 - State Police - Troop C (Trunked System)
860.7125 - State Police - Troop C (Trunked System)
866.3125 - State Police - Troop C (Trunked System)
867.2125 - State Police - Troop C (Trunked System)
867.225 - State Police - Troop C (Trunked System)
867.6625 - State Police - Troop C (Trunked System)
868.1375 - State Police - Troop C (Trunked System)

1943. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:17:21 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721121721.02322.00000653@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 130973

>How is a guy
>who claims to be a policeman now, how does he condone breaking the law?

BTW - again, you are not reading the thread. I never once condoned breaking any law. I mearly pointed out that 2m rigs are just as capable of being modified as "export" radios and that the frequencies that open up on 2m are more dangerous than the "freeband".

Also, when talking about the radio, you are talking about FCC regulations. By modifying your radio or transmiting out of band, you are violating the regulations. The only time the law comes into is if a municipality has passed a town/city ordanance that supports the FCC regulation. This is under a recently enacted law that allows local government to enforce FCC regulations.

1944. Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:17:50 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721121750.01335.00001126@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 130974

>Subject: Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again
>From: allsteel77@aol.com (ALLSTEEL77)
>Date: 7/20/02 8:59 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020720205932.12662.00000028@mb-dd.aol.com>
>
>George,Do the truckers on the Lehigh Valley(RT 22) bleed you so bad that you
>have turned into a shut in loser.Time to move.RF has f--ked you up!
>
>
>
More likely it's from Rt 78 than it would be from 22.
Train

1945. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:18:40 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <A9B_8.113708$UT.7370438@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130972

Grasshopper, I see you have run out of things to say and are repeating your
previous postings.
I'm taking this as a signal that the conversation is over....

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:K8A_8.203972$iX5.10671975@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:Lhz_8.113566$UT.7358350@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > I've been reading your posts... and see that you are only a
"combatant"...
> > you know nothing of electronics, or maybe kung fu, for that matter. It's
a
> > shame you do what you do... now everybody knows your deficiencies.
> >
>
> Thats funny I was thinkin the same about you especially when I looked at
> your amp you know the one with no Fan.
>
>
>
>

1946. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:18:40 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721121840.02322.00000654@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 130976

>> Actually, it's more like telling a vandal to break some windows on a
>factory
>> that has been abandonded for a few decades and has no hope of ever being
>used
>> ever again.
>
>As a policeman you would condone this? You are a policeman right? That is
>what you insinusated

Hmm.. that's funny, you must receive a modified version of my posts... I don't seem to see anything in there saying I condone the example I presented. If I said that talking on the "freeband" is like shooting someone in the head, is that condoning it?

I think it's time for your prozac.

1947. Re: For Sale: Palomar elite 400, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:19:20 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <caB_8.113710$UT.7370732@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130975

Yes, but you need to trim those horns...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message news:5bA_8.254146
> Yes what a handsome Devil I am ...

1948. Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:20:50 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721122050.01335.00001127@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 130978

>Subject: Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again
>From: "KungFuYou" vengence@foo.com
>Date: 7/21/02 11:13 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <ncA_8.254161$Im2.12817036@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
>
>
>"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>news:Ykz_8.113569$UT.7357225@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> He'll introduce himself when he get there...
>
>As usual another blowhard heard from who is scared to give out htere real
>name when they make threats, and I expect nothin less than the Pornfessor to
>stick up for him..
>
>
REally?
I must have missed something about Griffey then. I've had all his info for a long time and those who have been here long enough would remember some of our fights were classics.....Have a great weekend Brian.....
Train

1949. Re: 2950 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:21:34 UTC
From: stevejfranklin <stevejfranklin@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3D3ADF54.94ED578D@attbi.com>
Source record: 130977

I don't think so , Yahoo will kick amps off., but the radio might make it.
Since you now let the cat out of the box, the police want a be will be
looking for it.
These are people that have a chip on their shoulder ,that have nothing else to
do, but to think they are the ruling gods of the auctions, and mostley old
farts.... Good luck.

VHFRadioBuff wrote:

> >OK Guys,
> >I have listed this on EBAY every which way I can and EBAY keeps kicking it
> >off. Email me if you want a 2950 in excellent use and onluy been used as a
> >base.
> >Price is right,
> >Iowa883
>
> Try auctions.yahoo.com. They'll let ya list anything.

1950. Re: 2950 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:23:37 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <ceB_8.204433$iB1.10925094@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130979

"stevejfranklin" <stevejfranklin@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3D3ADF54.94ED578D@attbi.com...
> I don't think so , Yahoo will kick amps off., but the radio might make it.
> Since you now let the cat out of the box, the police want a be will be
> looking for it.
> These are people that have a chip on their shoulder ,that have nothing
else to
> do, but to think they are the ruling gods of the auctions, and mostley old
> farts.... Good luck.

Want a hankie ?

1951. Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:24:42 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <efB_8.113714$UT.7371842@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130980

I think you should just hang it up... you've been found out and the game is
over.
There are a lot of "crazies" out there that are not happy with you and might
just take it upon themselves to stop by.
Now do you really want that...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:ncA_8.254161$Im2.12817036@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:Ykz_8.113569$UT.7357225@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > He'll introduce himself when he get there...
>
> As usual another blowhard heard from who is scared to give out htere real
> name when they make threats, and I expect nothin less than the Pornfessor
to
> stick up for him..
>
>

1952. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:25:43 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <agB_8.254895$Im2.12865497@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130981

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:A9B_8.113708$UT.7370438@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Grasshopper, I see you have run out of things to say and are repeating
your
> previous postings.
> I'm taking this as a signal that the conversation is over....

Yes since you can't come up with a good reason why you chastized someone
else for no fan yet don't have one on yours I guess the converastion is over
you
are a hypocrite from the word go that much is true.

1953. Re: 2950 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:25:53 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721122553.02322.00000657@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 130982

>I don't think so , Yahoo will kick amps off.

Hmm.. Listings from Yahoo, just by searching for "amp":

Like New Texas Star HD500V Mobile linear amp $235.00 - 11 hrs 5 min

SNOWCAP 800 WATT AMP $150.00 - 14 hrs 1 min

SNOWCAP 250 WATT AMP $80.00 3 14 hrs 13 min

TEXAS STAR 500V LINEAR AMP HAM CB $160.00 2 1 day 9 hrs

snowcap 150watt amp $50.00 - 2 days 14 hrs

PALOMAR 160 WATT BASE BI- LINEAR AMP NICE!!!!!!! $75.00 8 4 days 10 hrs

KL40 LINEAR MOBILE AMP $29.95 1 4 days 11 hrs

PALOMAR 250 LINEAR AMP $9.95 - 6 days 14 hrs

PALOMAR 450V LINEAR AMP

1954. Re: 2950 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:27:13 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <BhB_8.113718$UT.7372368@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130983

No, I don't think he want's your pink snot rag...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message news:ceB_8.204433
> Want a hankie ?

1955. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:29:38 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <SjB_8.302180$vq.16573458@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130984

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020721121214.01335.00001123@mb-fn.aol.com...
> >Yeah amen who wants to hear what he has to say anyhow

> At least he has something to say worthy of being read. When you can muster
up
> something beyond troll fodder you can pass judgement on
others..........Go put
> on the pointy hat and sit in the corner.
> Train

Train, what is it that you post to the NG beside Illegal fodder ? i say
reserve your judgement for your self.
oh and when your big and bad enough to make me sit in the corner perhaps

1956. Re: For Sale: Palomar elite 400, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:30:56 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <4IB_8.207362$Bt1.11155510@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130985

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:caB_8.113710$UT.7370732@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Yes, but you need to trim those horns...

Yes they hurt when i stick them up your arse Ill bet

>
> "KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message news:5bA_8.254146
> > Yes what a handsome Devil I am ...
>
>
>

1957. Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:32:04 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <8mB_8.113726$UT.7372634@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 130986

The problem is that these little kids out here now don't know enough to
carry on a decent argument.
I certainly don't mind an argument, but let's stick to the ng topic and
contain some shred of substance.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020721122050.01335.00001127@mb-fn.aol.com...
> REally?
> I must have missed something about Griffey then. I've had all his info for
a
> long time and those who have been here long enough would remember some of
our
> fights were classics........Have a great weekend Brian.....
> Train

1958. Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:32:21 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <pmB_8.204528$iB1.10931832@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130987

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020721121750.01335.00001126@mb-fn.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again
> >From: allsteel77@aol.com (ALLSTEEL77)
> >Date: 7/20/02 8:59 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020720205932.12662.00000028@mb-dd.aol.com>
> >
> >George,Do the truckers on the Lehigh Valley(RT 22) bleed you so bad that
you
> >have turned into a shut in loser.Time to move.RF has f--ked you up!
> >
> >
> >
> More likely it's from Rt 78 than it would be from 22.
> Train

Geez Train your not real far why not jump in that jalopy of yours and head
west

1959. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:33:06 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <6nB_8.204538$iB1.10932172@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130988

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020721115341.999$IY@newsreader.com...
> vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:
> > >No he's been trolling this board for years. He changes screen names
like
> > >socks,to avoid killfilters.
> >
> >>Well, he is an amusing kid.
> >
> Asshole would be a better definition.<g>

LOL, Moped better than Lawnboy or your usual Dick with Ears. LOL

1960. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:34:18 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <eoB_8.302323$vq.16577988@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130989

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721115458.10376.00000229@mb-mh.aol.com...
> >No I don't and I am waiting for you to provide proof of your allegations
> >against Hams
> >
>
> You really don't read the whole thread do you? I mearly brought attention
to
> the fact that 2m radios are just as easily modifiable as these "export"
radios
> and can cause problems on frequencies that matter...

No you don't remember what you wrote it is not just from this thread andy
... Remember that pearl Vision appointment you should keep your own your the
one with glasses.

1961. Re: OT Especially for Moparholic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:36:46 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujlop3jj35b640@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130991

"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020721113450.26506.00000166@mb-bd.news.cs.com...
> >-Well, one thing about it--you don't rocket away from a light in a '49
> >Dodge!
> >\
> >A muscle car it ain't. but it sure is fun to ride around in!
>
> 1969 Dodge Super Bee with a 440 Six Pack!
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
>
> P.S. In blue please. :-)
My Geo metro is blue:-)

1962. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:38:03 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <LrB_8.254952$lm2.12874518@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130990

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721121238.02322.00000650@mb-mh.aol.com...
> >This proves what about Hams keying up repeaters?
>
> Nothing. It proves that 2m radios can be modified just as easily as
"export"
> radios, but can be used to transmit on public safety frequencies.

Yes anyone can buy a 2 meter radio or even a vhf radio even Cber's

> How would you know who talks on 500mhz in this area? Oh, that's right,
you're a
> Comcast customer, so you are probably in Ocean or Monmouth county.

Not real hard andy I told you I deal with the Pd's in NJ every single one of
them.

> And no, a 2m
> rig doesn't go into the 500mhz area. They typically have an RX range of
> approximately 132 - 174, sometimes as low as 118.

I know that just proving the error of your post.

1963. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:40:47 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujlp0ioesng09c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130992

The meaning of "good buddy" sure has changed
Ken
"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:ahcic8$s6m3j$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de...
> You got us truckers all figured out,don't ya.....Northbound ya look
good
> back to
> music city,happy trails
>
> --
> 714 Sandpile,
> The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
> "Dale" <dgose@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:27954-3D37DA49-9@storefull-2214.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > CB is a great, cheap way to communicate and have fun.. it does not
> > require a license, only common courtesy. Where as Ham radio requires
> > higher cost equipment and training, any one can talk on a CB. It is low
> > cost, reliable, fun communication. It is a great way to meet people,
> > pass time, and keep in touch with with family and friends without paying
> > long distance bills.
> >
> > No... "slang" isn't necessary, most of it is based on old trucker talk
> > derived so the Highway Patrol, and the "uninitiated" would not know what
> > they were talking about, sort of like a secret language. Anymore tho,
> > it's mostly nostalgia, about all you're likely to hear is "10-4" which
> > means "OK" basically... just talk the way you would on a phone or in
> > person... handles are not required.. but I think make it a little more
> > fun.. just my opinion....
> >
> > All you need is a decent playing CB and antenna, and a little common
> > courtesy. Always ask for a break, try not to talk over people, and most
> > of all, just have fun... that's what it's all about...
> >
> >
> > God Bless
> >
> >
> > Dale
> >
>
>

1964. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:45:10 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <qyB_8.255007$Im2.12878998@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130993

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721121721.02322.00000653@mb-mh.aol.com...
> >How is a guy
> >who claims to be a policeman now, how does he condone breaking the law?

> BTW - again, you are not reading the thread. I never once condoned
breaking any
> law.

Ok Ill reread it, just to cover your ass.

I mearly pointed out that 2m rigs are just as capable of being modified as
> "export" radios and that the frequencies that open up on 2m are more
dangerous
> than the "freeband".
>
> Also, when talking about the radio, you are talking about FCC regulations.

FCC regulations are Federal Law, so you don't care about breaking them?

> By modifying your radio or transmiting out of band, you are violating the
regulations.

Just like Cbers and freebanders using Rci 2950's and amplifiers right?

>The only time the law comes into is if a municipality has passed a
> town/city ordanance that supports the FCC regulation. This is under a
recently
> enacted law that allows local government to enforce FCC regulations.

Ok so until the town passes a ordinace it is ok to go around your town
keying up 5kw and or talking on the freeband, modifying Cb's etc?

1965. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:50:07 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721125007.27968.00001110@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 130994

>Yes anyone can buy a 2 meter radio or even a vhf radio even Cber's

That is true. I never said it was hams that were a threat to illegal interference on public safety frequencies. I said that 2m radios can be modified just as easily as "export" radios, but can be used on public safety frequencies.

1966. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:51:10 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721125110.27968.00001111@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 130995

>Not real hard andy I told you I deal with the Pd's in NJ every single one of
>them.

Then maybe we've met. What's your callsign?

>I know that just proving the error of your post.

I never said a 2m radio could be used to intefere with 500mhz transmissions.

1967. Re: The origins of freebanders (long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:53:27 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujlpoalsqc457d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131000

Wrong paralell.
CB'ers are the Jews
FCC is the UN giving us a lil slice of land 9 miles wide.
The Hams are the Rag Heads screaming bloody murder.
You Vob are Osama Bin Laden.
Freebanders are the IDF taking Jerusalem the west bank and Golan heights.
Where this paralell goes awry is Land for Peace.
It ain't happnin
Makes ya want to wear an eyepatch when you keydown the ol 32pill doesn't
it:)

1968. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:53:32 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721125332.27968.00001113@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 130997

>Ok so until the town passes a ordinace it is ok to go around your town
>keying up 5kw and or talking on the freeband, modifying Cb's etc?

No, because that would violate FCC regulations, which you agree to abide by
simply by owning the radio.

1969. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:55:00 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <EHB_8.205019$iX5.10743079@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130998

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721121721.02322.00000653@mb-mh.aol.com...
> >How is a guy
> >who claims to be a policeman now, how does he condone breaking the law?
>
> BTW I never once condoned breaking any
> law. I mearly pointed out that 2m rigs are just as capable of being
modified as
> "export" radios and that the frequencies that open up on 2m are more
dangerous
> than the "freeband".

.From: VHFRadioBuff (vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux)
Subject: Re: 150w 10m amplifier
Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap

Date: 2002-07-07 22:02:43 PST

>There's a quirk, a 2950 that puts out 25 watts on ssb that
>could be converted to 11 meters. Now tell me it's illegal to sell 2950's

From what I undestand, the 2950 and other "export" radios aren't approved by
the FCC, and are thus illegal to be sold in the US...

>I bought my 2950 from Copper Electronics, when I placed my order they
>ask me if I wanted it converted to 11 meters.
>

Hope you didn't pay them for the "conversion".. after all, it's only moving
a
jumper, but I am sure you knew that already... ;)

>Why doesn't W7OV get on Coppers butt for converting ham rigs to CB?

And I bet he's never jay walked or changed lanes without signaling.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

1970. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:55:38 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <eIB_8.255114$lm2.12887626@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 130999

Andy what Frequencies did you use the 2950 on?

From: VHFRadioBuff (vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux)
Subject: FS: Astatic D-104 Silver Eagle desk microphone
Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap
Date: 2002-07-03 06:53:48 PST

I am selling an Astatic D-104 Silver Eagle microphone in good physical and
working order. One small scratch on base. Used in a non-smoking environment.
Currently wired for an RCI-2950/5054 or other radio using the same pinout.
Buyer to pay $10 shipping to lower 48 states. I accept USPS Money Order,
PayPal
or Billpoint. Item will be insured. Asking $75. I am selling this item
because
I have just purchased a Yaesu FT-100D and no longer will need it.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de Andy KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Beachwood, NJ USA! Grid FM29vw
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721121721.02322.00000653@mb-mh.aol.com...
> >How is a guy
> >who claims to be a policeman now, how does he condone breaking the law?
>
> BTW - again, you are not reading the thread. I never once condoned
breaking any
> law. I mearly pointed out that 2m rigs are just as capable of being
modified as
> "export" radios and that the frequencies that open up on 2m are more
dangerous
> than the "freeband".
>
> Also, when talking about the radio, you are talking about FCC regulations.
By
> modifying your radio or transmiting out of band, you are violating the
> regulations. The only time the law comes into is if a municipality has
passed a
> town/city ordanance that supports the FCC regulation. This is under a
recently
> enacted law that allows local government to enforce FCC regulations.

1971. Re: FCC question?George again, back peddles when wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:56:00 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <AIB_8.165$Nc5.14969064@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131002

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:u6z_8.202920$iX5.10630346@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:rmo_8.2907$M85.158903639@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> > I asked for you to cite the California Law, now
> > Kevin come back with "I talked with Texas DPS"
>
> Landfag direct us to a site that has Califfornias Penal code or ther Laws
> regarding traffic I know you know all about the Penal code. This statue
> exists all over the USA your just either to stupid to ask someone (Cop) or
> just being your usual jerkkoff self. I have a better Idea go out and let
a
> cop who is shooting radar see you flashing your headlights to warn other
> motorists.
>
>

You just can't be civil, can you?
Kevin first posted that is was against state and federal
laws. I stated it wasn't, you jumped in and said it was,
I asked for you to cite the laws, even the federal stature for it. Kevin
responds with, I talked with a DPS official, you respond with go find it
yourself. Tough considering it doesn't exists.

Have fun today George, don't worry to much,

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

1972. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:56:01 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <BIB_8.166$Nc5.14969064@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131001

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:9kA_8.206597$Bt1.11105491@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> I have yet to hear of 1 incedent in which a ham using a 2 meter rig has
> caused harmful interference on an authorized police freq by a modified
> radio.

Well George it has,
Jerry has posted it a few times.

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

1973. Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:57:03 UTC
From: "r.r.c.b.auto-responder" <usenet@autoresponder.kom>
Message-ID: <ujlpjhhrr2q50f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 130996

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:efB_8.113714$UT.7371842@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I think you should just hang it up... you've been found out and the game
is
> over.
> There are a lot of "crazies" out there that are not happy with you and
might
> just take it upon themselves to stop by.
> Now do you really want that...

'George' is the AKC equivalent of Twistedhed, as far as
nonstop daily nonsense is concerned. ;)

1974. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 16:58:57 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721125857.27968.00001115@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 131003

Funny, I must not have gotten the part of that message where I condone breaking
the law... damn AOL trunking the messages...

>Subject: Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...
>From: "KungFuYou" vengence@foo.com
>Date: 7/21/2002 12:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <EHB_8.205019$iX5.10743079@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
>
>
>"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
>news:20020721121721.02322.00000653@mb-mh.aol.com...
>> >How is a guy
>> >who claims to be a policeman now, how does he condone breaking the law?
>>
>> BTW I never once condoned breaking any
>> law. I mearly pointed out that 2m rigs are just as capable of being
>modified as
>> "export" radios and that the frequencies that open up on 2m are more
>dangerous
>> than the "freeband".
>
>.From: VHFRadioBuff (vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux)
>Subject: Re: 150w 10m amplifier
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap
>
>
>Date: 2002-07-07 22:02:43 PST
>
>>There's a quirk, a 2950 that puts out 25 watts on ssb that
>>could be converted to 11 meters. Now tell me it's illegal to sell 2950's
>
>From what I undestand, the 2950 and other "export" radios aren't approved by
>the FCC, and are thus illegal to be sold in the US...
>
>>I bought my 2950 from Copper Electronics, when I placed my order they
>>ask me if I wanted it converted to 11 meters.
>>
>
>Hope you didn't pay them for the "conversion".. after all, it's only moving
>a
>jumper, but I am sure you knew that already... ;)
>
>
>>Why doesn't W7OV get on Coppers butt for converting ham rigs to CB?

>
>And I bet he's never jay walked or changed lanes without signaling.

1975. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 17:00:39 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020721130039.27968.00001117@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 131004

>Andy what Frequencies did you use the 2950 on?

I bought it to monitor downlink frequencies for sats, but never got around to doing much sat stuff other than the ISS.

1976. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 17:07:04 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <YSB_8.73047$88.1284664@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131006

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:VTA_8.254677$Im2.12847780@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
> news:20020721114503.10376.00000227@mb-mh.aol.com...
> > >Better yet why don't you provide the answers to your fictious claims yo
> keep
> > >saying how dangerous hams are with 2 meter radio, where is the proof?
>
> > www.mods.dk. Check out the mods for 2m radios that allow TX capabilities
> in the
> > public service band.
>
>
> This proves what about Hams keying up repeaters?
>
>
> > > Most
> > >all police I know work the 500 mhz band and many more are on the 800
mhz
> > >band, guees that throws your theory partly out of the water.
>
>
> > A good number of police departments in NJ use frequencies in the 150's.
>
> They do? Perhaps for secondary communications
>
>
>
> >There are some on trunked system in the 500 mhz, like Ocean County and
> Dover Twsp,
> > which recently went digital. There are still a ton of agencies in the
> 150's, though.
>
> There are more than some on 500 mhz how does a 2 meter rig get on 500
mhz?
>
>
>
>
> > >see what beachwood Nj police and its neighboring towns use, perhaps
they
> > >have been experiancing you keying up anfd interfering with them.
>

>
> > They are on the county's 500mhz trunked system because of the size of
the
> towns
> > in the area. Monmouth County in particular has a lot of agencies in the
> 150's.
>
> How does a 2 meter rig get on 500mhz?
>
>
>
>
> > >Or are you just going on your own experience of keying up police
> repeaters?
>
>
> > Only time that happens is when I'm working and need to talk with another
> > department.
>
> Really, so your saying your a police officer? What town? Dover Twp?,
> Manchester? Toms river? I am very familair with all the PD's in your
area
> as well as most of the supervisors at them.
>
>

Do they let you get in the cars and work the sirens?

1977. VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 17:07:06 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <_SB_8.303129$vq.16604491@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131005

Oh your true colors are coming thru Andy!

From: VHFRadioBuff (vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux)
Subject: WTB: Magnum 257, TRC-485, Midland 79-290
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb

Date: 2002-03-29 21:31:14 PST

I am looking for a Magnum 257, a TRC-485 or a Midland 79-290 or similar
compact
CB radio. It must already be modified for "channels" and the clairifier must
be
"opened". If anyone has one for sale, please email me direct.

1978. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 17:12:57 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <tYB_8.303247$vq.16609296@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131007

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:BIB_8.166$Nc5.14969064@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
> news:9kA_8.206597$Bt1.11105491@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > I have yet to hear of 1 incedent in which a ham using a 2 meter rig has
> > caused harmful interference on an authorized police freq by a modified
> > radio.
>
>
> Well George it has,
> Jerry has posted it a few times.
>
> Landshark

I am sure it has Mark. People with radios are not saints

1979. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 17:17:07 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <n0C_8.195$Co5.15687975@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131008

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:YSB_8.73047$88.1284664@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> >
>
> Do they let you get in the cars and work the sirens?
>
>

Do you get to go on the radio and say
"1 Adam 12, 1 Adam 12, see the ham about being
proved a troll in the newsgroups"

LOL!!!!!!!

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

1980. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 17:18:01 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <d1C_8.255363$lm2.12903645@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131009

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721125857.27968.00001115@mb-mp.aol.com...
> Funny, I must not have gotten the part of that message where I condone
breaking
> the law... damn AOL trunking the messages...
> >.From: VHFRadioBuff (vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux)
> >Subject: Re: 150w 10m amplifier
> >Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap
> >
> >
> >Date: 2002-07-07 22:02:43 PST
> >
> >>There's a quirk, a 2950 that puts out 25 watts on ssb that
> >>could be converted to 11 meters. Now tell me it's illegal to sell
2950's
> >
> >From what I undestand, the 2950 and other "export" radios aren't approved
by
> >the FCC, and are thus illegal to be sold in the US...

How abou you buying a rci 2950 and using a Rci2950 is that not breaking the
law since you arent authorized to use HF on 10 meters where did you use the
2950 oh that is right on the freeband, but that is ok that is not breaking
any laws. And the little comment about jaywalkin etc Andy what type of Cop
are you Really?
You look the other way for friends and other infractions? Are you really a
police officer? If so what dept do you work for? why is it a problem to
tell anyone?
It is easy enough to find out.

> >>I bought my 2950 from Copper Electronics, when I placed my order they
> >>ask me if I wanted it converted to 11 meters.
> >>
> >
> >Hope you didn't pay them for the "conversion".. after all, it's only
moving
> >a
> >jumper, but I am sure you knew that already... ;)
> >
> >
> >>Why doesn't W7OV get on Coppers butt for converting ham rigs to CB?
> >
> >And I bet he's never jay walked or changed lanes without signaling.
>

1981. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 17:20:35 UTC
From: oris@dirtball.com
Message-ID: <f7a9c6ac.0207210920.7b5165ed@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131010

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:<ujbou5ojcha705@corp.supernews.com>...
> If the FCC was impotent to do anything about Howard Stern how can they get
> rid of millions of cb radios?
One they don't get rid of millions of CB radios. What I think that
needs to happen is to do away with the Service complete as we know it.
I think these changes to the CB service should happen for the FCC to
get some kind of controll of over 4 million transmitters.
1) To shoot skip should be legal
2) To talk about radio equipment should be legal
3) The freebander should be granted amnesty
4) No licsence class amateur ticket should be formed
5) Use the ranks of amatuer radio to police the band
6) Allow amplifiers on 11-meters for those who pass a test
7) Encourage the truckers to use channel 10 of the FRS
8) Encorage the FCC to go back to basics in other words start being
the servant instead of the master.
9) Give the people what they want.
Here is my opinion on PL-106-521, making CB rules enforceable from
local levels. What a open door for corruption. It is another why for
the police to come into your house without a warrent. All the cop
would have to say was that he suspected a CB radio on the premises.
Here in the great state of Georgia the Franklin county sheriff's
Deputies have had linears as well as modified CB radios put into their
squad cars. In Madison county we have a Sheriff's deputy that is into
freeband operation big time. At first I thought that this might have
been a good ideal but now I think that it is a bad ideal.
The best thing that could happen to CB radio is the 100 % Annexation
including operators in to the amatuer community. Everyone always looks
at the bad that would come from this and I only see the good from it.
It would give the band BACK TO THE FCC and it would increase the size
of amateur radio. After all alot of hams got their first experance
with radio on CB.
73 Oris

1982. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 17:21:13 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <d4C_8.205382$iX5.10762503@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131011

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721125857.27968.00001115@mb-mp.aol.com...
> Funny, I must not have gotten the part of that message where I condone
breaking
> the law... damn AOL trunking the messages...

Search Result 250
From: VHFRadioBuff (vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux)
Subject: Re: 4000 Watt amp listed on Ebay CB board!!!
Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap
View: Complete Thread (15 articles) | Original Format
Date: 2001-12-24 09:29:32 PST

Gee, and it seems Ebay has already removed it from the system. When will
people
learn, if you wanna sell that illegal stuff, you have to go to Yahoo!
auctions!
:)

1983. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 17:24:40 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <s7C_8.208014$Bt1.11201677@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131012

Now you are certainly lieing Andy No 2950 huh?

From: VHFRadioBuff (vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux)
Subject: Re: Palomar amps
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb

View this article only
Date: 2001-11-24 08:18:50 PST

>Ok If that is a good answer then a good question is where does he plan on
>operating the amp, we already know he has a 2950.

Hmm.. did everyone receive the same post that I sent out? Did it contain
anything about me running a Palomar amp? Also, I don't have a 2950..

1984. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 17:26:11 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <T8C_8.204976$iB1.10969059@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131013

Why do you want to know the output Andy? for the sat comms? Lol

From: VHFRadioBuff (vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux)
Subject: Re: Palomar amps
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb

View this article only
Date: 2001-11-26 06:26:06 PST

>What were the other 2 questions.....Lets see if we can get them
>answered quickly.
>Train

I asked about 3 amps, the Palomar 100, the Palomar TX-100 and the Palomar
TX-150. I wanted to know the max output for each of those amps.

1985. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 17:26:30 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <a9C_8.157153$eF5.4078793@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131015

The word is "lying".

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:s7C_8.208014$Bt1.11201677@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> Now you are certainly lieing Andy No 2950 huh?
>
>
> From: VHFRadioBuff (vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux)
> Subject: Re: Palomar amps
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>
> View this article only
> Date: 2001-11-24 08:18:50 PST
>
> >Ok If that is a good answer then a good question is where does he plan on
> >operating the amp, we already know he has a 2950.
>
> Hmm.. did everyone receive the same post that I sent out? Did it contain
> anything about me running a Palomar amp? Also, I don't have a 2950..
>
>
>

1986. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 17:27:50 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <qaC_8.205494$iX5.10767944@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131014

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721130039.27968.00001117@mb-mp.aol.com...
> >Andy what Frequencies did you use the 2950 on?
>
> I bought it to monitor downlink frequencies for sats, but never got around
to
> doing much sat stuff other than the ISS.

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020221231838.21641.00000098@mb-mh.aol.com...
> http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/61618822
>
> This auction is for a Cobra 142 40 channel base CB radio. Included in the
> auction is a D-104 amplified desk microphone. This radio shows some age,
but
> works excellent. It was recently put through alignment procedures at my
local
> CB shop, which has been in business for 15+ years. The audio limiter was
> replaced, as the previous owner cut it. It now puts out approximately 12
watts
> AM and 20 watts SSB. Also included are "extra channels", extending the
range
> above and below the normal CB band. The clairifier has been opened to
allow you
> to slide about 5 kc's in either direction, giving you more coverage. The
radio
> features a built in SWR/Power meter. The SWR CAL dial has been modified to
act
> as a "dial-a-watt", allowing you to adjust the output power from almost 0
to
> the maximum stated above, great if you want to drive an amp.

1987. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 17:29:09 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <FbC_8.205015$iB1.10971681@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131016

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721125110.27968.00001111@mb-mp.aol.com...
> >Not real hard andy I told you I deal with the Pd's in NJ every single one
of
> >them.

> Then maybe we've met?

What Pd?

1988. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 17:31:20 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <IdC_8.303585$vq.16622205@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131017

Nah you as a officer of the Law or so you say, wouldn't condone illegal activitys. Perhaps the Chief would like to ask you a few questions

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message news:20020721125857.27968.00001115@mb-mp.aol.com...
> Funny, I must not have gotten the part of that message where I condone breaking

Search Result 276
From: VHFRadioBuff (vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux)
Subject: Re: Amp wanted
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
View: Complete Thread (27 articles) | Original Format
Date: 2001-11-26 19:41:08 PST

>
>Palomar 250 or similar. State price and condition in first reply.

Check out http://auctions.yahoo.com. Illegal amps galore. :)

1989. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 17:32:11 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <veC_8.157231$eF5.4079566@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131018

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:qaC_8.205494$iX5.10767944@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
> news:20020721130039.27968.00001117@mb-mp.aol.com...
> > >Andy what Frequencies did you use the 2950 on?
> > >
> > I bought it to monitor downlink frequencies for sats, but never got
around
> to
> > doing much sat stuff other than the ISS.
> >
> >
> "VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
> news:20020221231838.21641.00000098@mb-mh.aol.com...
> > > http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/61618822
> > >
> > This auction is for a Cobra 142 40 channel base CB radio. Included in
the
> > auction is a D-104 amplified desk microphone. This radio shows some age,
> but
> > works excellent. It was recently put through alignment procedures at my
> local
> > CB shop, which has been in business for 15+ years. The audio limiter was
> > replaced, as the previous owner cut it. It now puts out approximately 12
> watts
> > AM and 20 watts SSB. Also included are "extra channels", extending the
> range
> > above and below the normal CB band. The clairifier has been opened to
> allow you
> > to slide about 5 kc's in either direction, giving you more coverage. The
> radio
> > features a built in SWR/Power meter. The SWR CAL dial has been modified
to
> act
> > as a "dial-a-watt", allowing you to adjust the output power from almost
0
> to
> > the maximum stated above, great if you want to drive an amp.
> >
> >
> >

Good Lord, George! Man, you've really worked yourself all into a tizzy over this one, haven't you? Put the coffee pot down, and take a break before the old ticker explodes. You're taking this stuff way to seriously.

1990. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 17:42:33 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207210942.6d44ee69@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131019

voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<22a5e694.0207141804.1157cd57@posting.google.com>...
> vhfradiobuff@aol.com (vhfradiobuff) wrote in message
news:<5e5fccab.0207141345.18753a9@posting.google.com>...
> > eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) wrote in message
news:<20020714122430.07749.00000707@mb-fg.news.cs.com>...
> > > >> PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln
> > >
> > > Uh-oh!
> > >
> > > >> RANGER - models: AR-3500
> > >
> > > Double uh-oh!! :-D
> > >
> > > I'm sure glad it's not illegal to operate these puppies on 10...that is, of
> > > course, assuming the op has a Tech "+" or higher class ticket.
> > >
> > > 73 de Bert
> > > WA2SI
> >
> > Assuming that Aaron is posting legit information, these radios can not
> > be operated on 10 meters: "As such, OET has further concluded
> > that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within the
> > United States for the reasons discussed below."
>
> No reason for me to post inaccurate FCC information, nor have I ever
> posted intentionally any inaccurate information on this NG, except
> within the context of an obvious satire.

No reason for you to post anything in this newsgroup, to tell you the
truth. And, Yes; I believe you would post false information if you
thought it would support your narrowminded, jackbooted position.

> Regarding Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln, etc. You have to ask
> yourself....why hams would want to buy "10 meter radios" with 1-40
> "channel knobs" and 15 khz steps?
>
> -A

Why not? You got a problem with 15 kHz steps? This is inaccurate
anyhow; since almost EVERY radio can do at least 10 kHz steps, and has
a RIT to get in between!

As usual, Anal Aaron, you make no sense. Don't forget folks: Anal
Aaron encouraged a guy to get his new amp modified for 11 metres!

1991. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 17:43:30 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <6pC_8.255655$lm2.12923116@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131020

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721125857.27968.00001115@mb-mp.aol.com...
> Funny, I must not have gotten the part of that message where I condone
breaking
> the law... damn AOL trunking the messages...

From: VHFRadioBuff (vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux)
Subject: Re: Stop The Sale Of Export Radios?
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
View: Complete Thread (14 articles) | Original Format
Date: 2001-02-28 21:38:23 PST

>Look in this month's QST. A two page article about how to track and report
>10
>meter intruders. Hams are pissed.
>

If they are dumb enough to go to 10 meters, they deserve to be caught. They
should stay below 28 mhz.

1992. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 17:46:44 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207210946.1e3f61c4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Mark Russo <mrrusso@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:<3D3A45D1.FDEDA6BE@optonline.net>...
> Must be voobs son.

No way; Anal Aaron couldn't have had any children. He's too busy
trolling rec.radio.cb!

> My HR-2510 served me very well in the mobile.
> NOTHING wrong with it as a 10 METER RADIO as it was sold for when new.
> Completely legal as it was designed for. Many if not all HF ham rigs might be able to go
> on 11 meters. It's up to the operator of the equipment to keep his own station legal.
> Wish I had not sold my old 2510 3 years ago, it was a great 10 METER radio.
> Hey, ya know, how come nobody's bitching about converting a 11meter CB rig to 10 meters??

Because it's not illegal to do so: Hams can use anything on the ham
bands. It's going the other way that brings Anal Aaron out of the
woodwork.

1993. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 17:47:59 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D3AD5D3.97281B6C@mailcity.net>
Source record: 131022

VHFRadioBuff wrote:
>
> >27.41 to 27.54 MHz is for fixed and mobile services, and 27.54 to
> >28.00 is for exclusive use by the government.
>
> And if any of the frequencies in the so called "freeband" were actively being
> used, there'd be a bigger effort to get rid of the illegal operators there.
> This "band" is used by operators around the world and would thus be a big
> problem if any of the authorized users actually used them. I think we'd hear a
> lot more about it if this were the case and the governments of the world would
> have their respective FCC equivelents working to clean up the band.
>
> >1. That the "freeband" (from 27.41 to 28.00 MHz) is not used by any
> >legitimate radio service;
>
> See my above reply.
>
> >2. That there is a "danger" from modified 2-meter radios;
>
> The fact that most 2 meter radios are very easily modified to transmit out band
> band and that when so modified, they have the capability to transmit PL tones
> and offsets, just like the public service radios, presents a danger to those
> frequencies.
>
> >3. That this "danger" is greater than that posed by operation on the
> >"freeband".
>
> Again, I have yet to hear of ONE incident in which operating from channel 40 to
> 10 meters has caused any harmful interference on an authorized user of the
> "band". See question #2 for my answer about the increased danger by modified 2m
> rigs.
>
> >I can look at the FCC reports and find out how many
> >violations there have been for illegal operation just above 148 MHz,
> >compare that with the number of IOs on the freeband and 10 meters.
>
> Better yet, let me know how many violations have been reported to authorized
> users between channel 40 and 10 meters compared to 148mhz+. I have made it
> clear that I am not talking about violations on 10m. I am talking about harmful
> interference caused to authorized users between channel 40 and 10 meters.
>
> >I know for a fact that the military uses 27.54 to 28.00 MHz (MOS 2841,
> >radio repairman)
>

> And I spent 7 1/2 yrs in the Army and I never once saw a radio in use below
> 30mhz.

I guess if you see anything else that it appears no one is using
you feel free to take it yourself?

1994. ARNewsline 1301 - July 19 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 18:00:45 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721140045.29816.00000210@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 131023

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1301 - July 19, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1301 with a release date of Friday, July 19th 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T.

The United States takes the gold at W-R-T-C 2002 but ham radio growth in the U-S appears to be standing still. These stories are first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1301 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**
RADIOSPORTS: N5TJ AND K1TO MAKE IT A THREE-PEAT

The Lakers did it in Los Angeles in basketball. Now the Radiosport team of Jeff Steinman, N5TJ, and Dan Street, K1TO have made it three in a row as they win the World Radiosports Team Championship games in Finland. Dave Bell, W6AQ, attended the games. He is just back and we asked him to describe the event:

--
Dave Bell W6AQ: “I got to go out with the camera and watch them in action. And I saw some of thje worlds best contesters and I realized how they win contests: Thst is, they stay focused totally on what it is that they are doing for 24 hours. And other than swap chairs with a co-pilot so that the co-pilot becomes the pilot, those guys are focusted totally on this contest. For me, it is a lesson on how to concentrate and, if you are really into it – how to win.”
--

N5TJ and K1TO operating as OJ3A fended off a very close challenge from Igor Booklan, RA3AUU, and Andrei Karpov, RV1AW, who claimed the silver. The Americans had a score of 1,626,900 to the Russians 1,620,760. A difference of only 6140 points. W6AQ says that regardless of the numbers, these operators are dedicated to the sport of radio:

--

Dave Bell W6AQ: “The two winners this year, Jeff Steinman, N5TJ, and Dan Street, K1TO, also won last year and they won the one before that. And it was not surprising to me, ehen I came to their shack and watched them operate.”

“When I showed up there, Dan Street was the second operator and he noticed me come in and he nodded. I brought the camera up and came right around in front of Jeff Steinman. I was basically between him and his co-pilot. In fact I was actually looking at his face and I am not sure to this day that he even knew I was there – so concentrated was on listening and talking.”

--

The German team made up of Frank Grossman, DL2CC, and Bernd Och, DL6FBL, finished third to claim the bronze with a score of 1,614,591. All of the scores are on the web at www.wrtc2002.org. No announcement yet as to the venue for the 2004 WRTC games. (ARNewsline ™)

**

HAM RADIO STATS: NO GROWTH

The numbers are in and the word is -- stagnant. That about sums up the growth in ham radio since the introduction of a restructured United States Amateur service April of 2000. Amateur Radio Newsline's Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, takes a close look at the numbers and what they mean:

--
The numbers come from the interpreter of the numbers. And W5YI Report Editor Fred Maia in Dallas Texas says that growth in ham radio is at a standstill.

Maia has been right on the money in his predictions over the years, and he looks back at the past two years in his newsletter. And in it, Fred says that there are just about the same number of Amateur Radio operators under FCC license now as there were in the spring of 2000. That's when the service was restructured.

In April of 2000 there were 678,539 holders of Amateur licensees in the United States and its possessions. In June of 2002 the number was 680,342. Taking into account attrition from people not renewing for any number of reasons, that's a net gain of only 1803 new hams over the past two years. Not allot, but there is a good reason. Keep listening.

Maia breaks the numbers down by license class. In April of 2000 there were 50,077 holders of Novice tickets. In June 2002 there were only 39,930. A loss to the license class of 10,147 but not necessarily gone from ham radio. Why? The numbers for General and Extra kind of tell the story. General is up by 27,037 and Extra by 20,282 since restructuring. Chances are that most of the new holders of General class are probably the results of upgrades by Novices and Technician's wanting a wider range of high frequency operating privileges. This is born out by looking at the numbers for the Tech license which is also down by about the amount General and Extra are up.

So its really been two years of ham radio musical chairs and that was not unexpected. It takes time for as massive a change as the restructuring of 2000 to settle in. It will be the next set of numbers a year from now in 2003 that will really tell the story.

Are we up or are we down? In 12 months we should have the answer.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ.

--

The complete set of statistics can be found on pages 7 and 8 of the July 1st W5YI Report. (W5YI Report)

**

SPECTRUM: FCC PROPOSES ALLOCATION AND SERVICE RULES
FOR THE 71-76, 81-86, AND 92-95 GHZ BANDS

New rules may soon be on their way for a set of super high frequencies. David Black, KB4KCH has the details:

--

The FCC has proposed a Notice of Proposed Rule Making designed to promote commercial development and growth of the millimeter wave. This, in the spectrum in the 71-76, 81-86 and 92-95 GHz bands.

This millimeter wave band is also known as the Extremely High Frequency or E-H-F band. It refers to radio signals between 30 and 300 Gigahertz, where the wavelengths range between 1 and 10 millimeters. Research sponsored by the U.S. government has led to the verge of being able to use millimeter waves economically.

One advantage of using this frequency band is that the beams can be tightly collimated, easing channel sharing. Another important consideration is increased path loss due to oxygen and atmospheric absorption which can perhaps be viewed as a positive in terms of frequency reuse for wide dispersal applications such as high speed data transfer.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m David Black, KB4KCH.

--

If enacted, the new rules will be under Parts 15 and 101 of the Commission's Rules. (FCC, CGC Communicator)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the N7KSO repeater System serving all of Northwest Arizona.

(5 sec pause here)

**

HIGHER AMATEUR VANITY CALL SIGN FEE EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 9

The FCC has officially set $14.50 as the cost to apply for, renew or reinstate an Amateur Radio vanity call sign. According to the ARRL Letter, a Report and Order adopted July 3rd says that the new fee schedule will become effective for applications received on or after September 9th.

The current vanity fee is $12 for the 10-year license term. The FCC had proposed the new, higher fee in a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking released March 27th aimed at setting all Fiscal Year 2002 fees. Again, the new higher fee ineffective on September 9th. (ARRL, FCC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC ACTS ON CALIFORNIA REPEATER INTERFERENCE ISSUE

A California ham appears to have decided to quit ham radio rather than fight allegations that he was the source of interference to a repeater. FCC Special Counsel for Amateur Radio Enforcement, Riley Hollingsworth, explains:

--
Riley Hollingsworth: Hollingsworth: “We opened an investigation of interference allegations against Steven Holt, KE6WSJ, of West Hills California. That matter involves interference to the WB6VBV repeater in Palmdale California. We have been contacted by Mr. Holt. He informed us that on the advice of his attorney, he was submitting his license for cancellation.” (RAIN, FCC)

--

ENFORCEMENT: FCC ACTS ON ANOTHER CALIFORNIA REPEATER INTERFERENCE ISSUE

But Holt is not the only individual involved in a California repeater related issue that the FCC is looking at. Another is Leobardo C. Coronado, KC6PXL, of Sun Valley California. Again, the FCC’s Riley Hollingsworth:

--
Hollingsworth: “Coronado is coordinated for operation on 145.120 Mhz but links to 224.160 Mhz on which there is a coordinated repeater receiving interference.”
--

Hollingsworth’s letter to Coronado said that the FCC had evidence that the repeater operator had taken no action to alleviate the problem even though he had been advised of the interference numerous times. The FCC gave Coronado 20 days from receipt of its letter to write back and advise the regulatory agency about the coordination status of his repeaters and what has been done regarding any complaints. (RAIN, FCC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC QUESTIONS CALIFORNIA CLUB CALL SIGNS

And in yet another California matter, the FCC has requested that Long Beach resident Richard Crow, KB6IAT, justify a pair of club call signs for which he is listed as trustee. The calls are WB6FCC for an organization identified as the Richard Burton Amateur Radio Club and WB6JAM for a club called Jammers for Jesus.

The FCC gave Crow thirty days from the date of its June letter to provide justification as to the need for each of these call signs. He was also directed to furnish the Commission with a list of the names, addresses and telephone numbers of the members of the two clubs. Also, the meeting times and dates within the past year, proposed meeting times and locations within the coming year, and copies of minutes, if any, taken at meetings within the past three months for each club. Crow was told that the FCC would cancel the two call signs if he did not file a satisfactory response. (FCC)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: WORLDCOM AND UU-NET

Even if WorldComm files for bankruptcy it will have little effect on the way the Intrernet operates. This even though the troubled financially strapped telecommunications giant controls a big chunk of it. Jim Damron, N8TMW and Jeff Clark, K8JAC, have more:

--
WorldComm officials say that even if the company goes under, the Internet will keep running. This, after market analysts predict WorldComm will file for bankruptcy following a major accounting scandal.

The telecom giant handles half of the Internet's U-S traffic through a subsidiary known as U-U- Net. Newly elected WorldCom C-E-O John Sidgmore says that U-U-Net -- will keep going no matter what happens to the WorldCom parent corporation.

According to Sidgmore, U-U-Net handles more than 50 percent of U-S Internet traffic. This includes about 70 percent of all e-mails sent within the United States and half of e-mails sent in the world. Thousands of companies and agencies in more than 100 countries rely on WorldCom for Internet access, including the United States Department of Defense and the U-S Department of State.

--

The bottom line. The Internet and your e-mail are safe even if WorldComm goes away. (Published news reports)

**

SWAP MEETS: HAM LICENSE A MUST FOR SELLERS AT TRW SWAPMEET

The TRW Radio Club passes along a reminder notice that every seller at its famed monthly Swapmeet must show a copy of his or her valid Amateur Radio license in order to receive a seller's permit. This restriction is for sellers only. Those coming to browse or make purchases are not subject to this rule. The T-R-W swap-meet takes place monthly in the Los Angeles California area but draws buyers and sellers nationwide. (TRW-ARC Via e-Mail)

**

UPCOMING EVENTS: HAM RADIO AT THE SOAP BOX DERBY

Ham radio will be a part of the 65th running of the All-American Soap Box Derby. This, in Akron Ohio on Saturday July 27th. To commemorate the event, members of the Cayahoga Falls Amateur Radio Club will activate special events station W8D from 2000 to 2400 hours U-T-C from
July 22nd to the 26th. On the day of the race W8D will be on the air from 1200 to 2000 U-T-C. Look for this one on 7.275, 14.250, 21.275 and 28.340 MHz and Q-S-L to Post Office Box 14, Cayahoga Falls Ohio 44222. (Press release)

**

UPCOMING EVENTS: FORT TUTHILL HAMFEST

And finally one not in Ohio. How about Flagstaff Arizona the weekend of July 26th, 27th and 28th. That’s when the Fort Tuthill Hamfest and Arizona State ARRL convention takes place at Flagstaff Airport oat exit 337 on Arizona State Highway 17. Aside from the usual hamfest fare, the Fort Tuthill gathering has something very special to offer. The clean air of the Arizona mountains and a truly breathtaking majestic nighttime sky. And less we forget, there is the famed Fort Tuthill Saturday evening Barbecue that makes people want to come back for more.

And less we forget, there will also be a wedding taking place at this years Fort Tuthill Hamfest. The groom is James Pastorfield, KB7TBT. The bride is Laura Lindstrom, KD7KYO. They met during an emergency in which the local ham community was involved. Not a ham at the time, Laura was assigned to ride in Jame's vehicle. The wedding is scheduled for 5 PM, Saturday, July 27th. They hope to honeymoon at the Grand Canyon. You can see lots more at www.acra-az.org on the world wide web. (ACRA, ARNewsline™)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today’s radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

POST-IT NOTES CAUSE PROBLEMS

Warning: The effect of Post-It Notes on archived material is devastating. According to the Scripps Institution of Oceanography Library in La Jolla California the glue on Post-It notes eventually hardens and leaves a film that becomes acidic. This results in eventual discoloration and brittleness of the paper. In fact, Post-Its left for more than a month or two on already brittle paper such as old books can cause the paper to tear when the notes are removed. (CGC Communicator)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: LANCE BASS IN TRAINING FOR ISS VISIT

Will a teen heart-throb be the next tourist in space? Possibly as Russian space officials soften their previously tough line on the much-hyped plans for a commercial flight to the international space station this October by pop singer Lance Bass.

Bass has already undergone at least two medical examinations and is now doing a round of intensive training at Russian space facilities. Two weeks ago, the 23 year old member of the group N SYNC began training in Russia at the Star City Cosmonaut Center near Moscow. A few days later, Music Television News reported that as part of his training, Bass would be dropped into the Black Sea for an emergency-splashdown test. He was also to be left in a Russian forest without food and supplies. He must also become fluent in the Russian language,

spacecraft flight control systems and standard operations of the International Space Station as a pre-requisite to fly.

The decision by the Russian agency to explore the possibility of Bass' flight was based on the idea that the $20 million ride would have one or more corporate sponsors. So far there is no word as to whether or not Bass would be willing to get his ham license and operate the ham station on board the I-S-S. (Published reports)

**

INTERNATIONAL - CANADA: VE1QJ APPOINTED ASSISTANT DIRECTOR

Maurice Le Blanc, VE1QJ, has been appointed as an Assistant Director for Radio Amateurs of Canada’s Atlantic Region. Le Blanc lives in St. Basile, New Brunswick province and is retired from the Université de Moncton where he taught English as a second language. He is also fluent in French, English, Spanish and German and has been licensed as an amateur since 1950. (RAC)

**

INTERNATIONAL: UK CONTEST WINNERS ANNOUNCED

The UK results of the special Marconi Centenary Contest that took place in December last year have now been released. This was a 'one-off' event to commemorate the centenary of Marconi's first trans-Atlantic contact between Canada and Great Britain.

The overall U-K winner was Dave Cree, G3TBK, with a total of exactly 400 VE QSOs. The UK winner of the 100-watt section was GI0KVQ with 149 Canadian contacts, while G4ELZ made an excellent 110 VE QSO’s and took the QRP prize. (GB2RS)

**

HAM HELP NEEDED: QSL’S LOST AS LIGHTNING STRIKES

Back here in the U-S-A, Don Moss, NL7CO reports over the VHF Reflector that Scot Sharp, KD5OMJ, was away on vacation when his house was hit by lightening. Moss says that it appears as though it entered the house through the ham shack modem line.

As a result of the ensuing fire, KD5OMJ has lost all of his logs and QSL cards and he is asking hams who had a QSO with him, to please send him a new QSL. This, because without logs he is not able to determine who he has talked with. Both Sharp and Moss are residents of Lawton Oklahoma. (VHF Reflector)

**

DX

In D-X, word from Ron Moorefield, W8ILC, that he along with K8FL, K8LEE, N9NS, W8GEX and WA8NJR will be operating between 40 and 6 metres as C6AJR from Eleuthera, Bahamas, between the 24th and the 31st of July. Modes will be on SSB, CW and PSK31. The team will also be in the IOTA Contest operating as C6DX.

QSL is via N8QET. (W8ILC)

Also word that DL4FCH, will be active, as DL4FCH/P from Pellworm Island from the 20th of July to the 2nd of August. Operation will be mostly on CW, and will include the IOTA Contest. (RSGB)

And word that W2SGX has become a VUCC awards checker in the central New York area. Carl says over the VHF Reflector that he will be available to check cards for VUCC awards at the Fingerlakes Hamfest on Saturday August 3rd. The venue is the Tompkins County Airport in Ithaca, New York from 10:00 AM until 2:00 PM Eastern Daylight Time. (VHF Reflector)

**

SUPPORT FUND REPORT WITH ANDY JAREMA, N6TCQ

And finally this week, its time for another Support Fund report from Administrator Andy Jarema. This time N6TCQ is not asking for funds. Just some advice:

--

Hi. I'm Andy Jarema, N6TCQ, your Amateur Radio Newsline Support Fund Administrator.

For the past several months, a number of you have written to us -- asking why we are not using on-line payments for Amateur Radio Newsline donations? Well, we have given it a lot of thought. And each time, we end up asking ourselves the same question: does the price tag to establish and maintain this service justify the additional cost?

No matter whose services we use, there are set-up and monthly usage fees for the secure e-commerce web server. And our bank will charge us 3 to 5 percent for every credit card transaction they process.

The answer is simple. Supporters would have to donate enough using this method to break even. Only after that could your generosity be put to work.

So for the next several weeks we'll be asking for your input. Would you use your credit card on-line to support ARNewsline?

Send your answer to newsline@arnewsline.org. If you are reading the Internet version, just click on the link shown. mailto: newsline@arnewsline.org.

Type "YES" in the subject line if you would use this service. If not, type "NO." Feel free to make any comments in the body of the e-mail. We'll tally the results and report to you in a future Amateur Radio Newsline report.

Remember, Amateur Radio Newsline is a 501(c)(3) corporation. Your donations are tax-deductable and your support is always appreciated.

That's it for this week. I'm Andy Jarema, N6TCQ.

--

In case you missed those instructions you can find them in the print edition of this weeks Amateur Radio Newsline report. (ARNewsline™ Support Fund)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline™. Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline™, P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Roy Neal, K6DUE, saying 73, and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

**

TERMINAL BREAK

**

RAIN Promo Here

1995. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 18:17:11 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <HUC_8.206522$iX5.10805115@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131024

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:a9C_8.157153$eF5.4078793@twister.austin.rr.com...
> The word is "lying"

ssshh Randy, vhf won't have anything to correct me on.

1996. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 18:18:51 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <fWC_8.205667$iB1.11009730@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131025

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D3AD5D3.97281B6C@mailcity.net...

> VHFRadioBuff wrote:

> > >27.41 to 27.54 MHz is for fixed and mobile services, and 27.54 to
> > >28.00 is for exclusive use by the government.

> > And I spent 7 1/2 yrs in the Army and I never once saw a radio in use
below
> > 30mhz.

> I guess if you see anything else that it appears no one is using
> you feel free to take it yourself?

I guess he does JJ and he is trying to make us think he is a policeman what
a combination.

1997. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 18:21:13 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <tYC_8.209309$Bt1.11244182@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131026

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:veC_8.157231$eF5.4079566@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Good Lord, George! Man, you've really worked yourself all into a tizzy
over
> this one, haven't you? Put the coffee pot down, and take a break before
the
> old ticker explodes. You're taking this stuff way to seriously.

Well Randy I appreciate the concern but this is just like indoor sport for me, I enjoy this especially a guy who says he is in law enforcemnt or at least thats what he is trying to have us believe. I actually think he works on the trash truck and the town has a radio in there.

1998. Re: 2950 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 18:44:21 UTC
From: jim127@nauticom.net
Message-ID: <3D3B0105.742FB5D2@nauticom.net>
Source record: 131027

Pardon me little guy, i'm an older person and I take exception with your comment. Remember, the day will come and you will also be an old fart. You have bad attitude.

stevejfranklin wrote:

> I don't think so , Yahoo will kick amps off., but the radio might make
> it.
> Since you now let the cat out of the box, the police want a be will
> be looking for it.
> These are people that have a chip on their shoulder ,that have nothing
> else to do, but to think they are the ruling gods of the auctions, and
> mostley old farts.... Good luck.

1999. Re: OT Especially for Moparholic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 18:51:20 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020721145120.07902.00002184@mb-mm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 131028

>>>1969 Dodge Super Bee with a 440 Six Pack!
>>
>> 73 de Bert
>> WA2SI
>>
>> P.S. In blue please. :-)
>>
>Here ya go,Bert. ;-)
>
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1749275961

LOL! I already have a Monogram one built. But thanks anyhoo. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

2000. Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 19:36:34 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0207211136.3a5ccd97@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131029

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:<Zfn_8.289865$vq.16029504@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote in message
> news:3d39a666$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> > Thanks Kung Fu Dude. ;)
> > I just got off of the phone with a Texas DPS officer and he says it IS
> > against both Texas State law and Federal Law to divulge the location of a
> > peace officer while he is in the performance of his duties.
> >
> > Kevin, WB5RUE
>
>
> Kevin, thanks for the confirmation I knew it existed but many people don't ,
> I didn't beleive it at first either but there are many laws like this.
>
> The only reason I brought this up was because Landshark posted the quote
> below and he mentioned nothing of using a CB Radio, so he can back peddle
> his own stupid comments
>
> " Sorry Kevin, it's not against federal law or for that fact most
> state laws to inform people that a peace officer is using a radar gun to
> enforce speed limits. To interfere with a peace officer while he is
> performing his duty, he has to actively be engaged with an incident, so just
> telling people that he is using
> radar ahead of them is not interfering with his duties.

you know. its pricks like you that were probably responsible for
google to quit archiving rec.radio. keep it up you prick.

2001. -- Radio Tubes Wanted --.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 19:57:50 UTC
From: CQ DX 15 <cqdx15@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D3B123E.5BC2AD29@yahoo.com>
Source record: 131030

Hi,
.

I am a Ham Radio Operator. I am looking for tubes that I can use in some of my older radios. I don't want antique stuff, just regular useable tubes.

If you have any , please let me know. I will pick them up and pay you something for them. If can't pick them up, I will pay for the UPS or Post Office shipping.

Thanks,
Bill

...

2002. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 20:09:40 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207211209.6cdd9658@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>Don't forget folks: Anal
>Aaron encouraged a guy to get his new amp modified for 11 metres!

Good luck proving I'm "pro-amp", Richard. :)

-Aaron-

2003. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 20:27:56 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207211227.53e0c94f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131032

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020721130039.27968.00001117@mb-mp.aol.com...
> >Andy what Frequencies did you use the 2950 on?
>
> I bought it to monitor downlink frequencies for sats, but never
>got around to doing much sat stuff other than the ISS.

Jeez, I guess the D104 helped the satellite monitoring a lot, huh.
LOL. I don't think anyone is "jumping to conclusions" here. It's
pretty easy to read your old posts and see you had the RCI and the
other CB modded for the freeband. Why lie about it? Actually, it's
pretty common that freebanders (I call them "freeloaders") obtain a no
code tech ticket (i.e. no HF) but continue freeloading on the side.
These are the kind of hams that the ARS doesn't need in their ranks,
because they don't respect FCC rules or federal laws. OTOH, if you've
given up freeloading - that's called growth - and I won't hold it
against you. In that case, why you defend and condone freeloaders is
even more of a mystery.

-A

2004. Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 21:10:35 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <frF_8.158468$eF5.4107845@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131033

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0207211136.3a5ccd97@posting.google.com...
> "KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:<Zfn_8.289865$vq.16029504@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote in message
> > news:3d39a666$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> > > Thanks Kung Fu Dude. ;)
> > > I just got off of the phone with a Texas DPS officer and he says it IS
> > > against both Texas State law and Federal Law to divulge the location
of a
> > > peace officer while he is in the performance of his duties.
> > >
> > > Kevin, WB5RUE
> >
> >
> > Kevin, thanks for the confirmation I knew it existed but many people
don't ,
> > I didn't beleive it at first either but there are many laws like this.
> >
> > The only reason I brought this up was because Landshark posted the quote
> > below and he mentioned nothing of using a CB Radio, so he can back
peddle
> > his own stupid comments
> >
> > " Sorry Kevin, it's not against federal law or for that fact most
> > state laws to inform people that a peace officer is using a radar gun to
> > enforce speed limits. To interfere with a peace officer while he is
> > performing his duty, he has to actively be engaged with an incident, so
just
> > telling people that he is using
> > radar ahead of them is not interfering with his duties.
>
> you know. its pricks like you that were probably responsible for
> google to quit archiving rec.radio. keep it up you prick.

When did they quit?

2005. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 21:13:58 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207211313.1c87b004@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131034

<radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote
VHFradiobuff wrote:
> >And I spent 7 1/2 yrs in the Army and I never once saw a
> >radio in use below
> >30mhz.
>
>I guess if you see anything else that it appears no one is using
>you feel free to take it yourself?

Only if he's sure there's no one actively defending it, i.e. vacant houses with no alarm systems installed, parked cars that are unlocked, remote wilderness preserves, etc.

-A

2006. Looking for good used DX33, 44, etc. etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 21:19:13 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <lzF_8.166594$uk2.61645545@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 131035

Looking for a good used Galaxy mobile, any model up to 99, but please price it sensibly, don't waste our time with new or nearly new prices. Radio cops need not respond, I've heard it all before ad nauseum....

2007. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 21:37:53 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D3B29B1.9070200@csotbs.org>
Source record: 131036

Do a search on QRZ.com for an article about just such an abuse... the HAM lost his license, too.. Of course, he wasn't really a HAM, anyway...

-SSB

KungFuYou wrote:

>>>I have yet to hear of 1 incedent in which a ham using a 2 meter rig has
>>>caused harmful interference on an authorized police freq by a modified
>>>radio.
>>>
>>You just don't get it.
>>
>
> No I don't and I am waiting for you to provide proof of your allegations
> against Hams
>
>
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

2008. Re: Your gov't is becoming quite the pill !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 21:42:32 UTC
From: Checkerboard Strangler <jhaas84@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <cVF_8.67881$_51.58326@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 131037

Also Ian Thomas, Terry Jacks, Gordon Lightfoot, and the Guess Who. You hear
a
> ton of stuff by these artists on Canadian Oldies radio, thanks to the
Canadian
> Content restructions which are imposed on broadcasters up there.

Don't forget Jim Carrey and Alannis Morrissette...
Oh, and Wayne Gretzky...
Pyro
NC451

----You could take Terry Jacks and Alannis and stuff them in a log chipper
and I would
be happy as a clam.....icchh!

JeffH

2009. Re: -- Radio Tubes Wanted --.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 21:45:22 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujmaqf7dups939@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131038

Wrong group. Most CBers gave up on tubes years ago. You might want to try some of the amateur groups - boatanchors comes to mind.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"CQ DX 15" <cqdx15@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3D3B123E.5BC2AD29@yahoo.com...
> Hi,
> .
>
> I am a Ham Radio Operator. I am looking for tubes that I can use in
> some of my older radios. I don't want antique stuff, just regular
> useable tubes.
>
> If you have any , please let me know. I will pick them up and pay you
> something for them. If can't pick them up, I will pay for the UPS or
> Post Office shipping.
>
>
> Thanks,
> Bill
>
> ...
>
>

2010. Re: President HR-2510 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 21:49:06 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207211349.762f8b09@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Mark Russo <mrrusso@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:<3D334DA5.1EFC7DBF@optonline.net>...
> We're working on that issue?? Who is we?
> It must be killing you that Yahoo auctions are selling amps and 360 channel CB's.
> There is nothing wrong to sell a 2510 to a valid ham radio operator. If you picked one
> up at a garage sale it would be up to YOU to use it legal by having a ham ticket.
> If you mod it for CB then you would be the one who is illegal. The equipment has little
to do with it.
> If you purchased a used car, and I'm sure you have. If you drove that car home with
plates other than
> registered to that car, you would be illegal.
> Haven't you wasted enough bandwidth on many newsgroups with your type of intimidation??

Very thoughtful. But the larger question is why an astonishingly
sizable group of people continue to devote large amounts of time and
personal resources to stop me from posting pro-legal CB opinions.

If illegal CB were such a "trivial issue" in the larger scheme of
things, you would think one man's protests against it would be pretty
much ignored, right? Wrong. Instead, dozens of pro-illegal activists
pop out of the woodwork with innumerable threats, bizzare defenses, and
vows to "shut me up".

Can I take credit for the result? No, in all honesty, the staunch
"defenders of illegal CB" are the folks that deserve the credit for
transforming this newsgroup into what you see today.

Sincerely,
-Aaron-

2011. 23 channel CBs wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 21:58:59 UTC
From: emergencyradioserv@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <46060eb3.0207211358.769a4592@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131040

Hi,

I'm looking for donations of older 23 channel radios that many folks might have gathering dust in a closet. A local crime watch group is looking for some short range communications. If you can help out, please contact me. All items would be accepted as-is but please let me know if the radio has a problem so that I can try to fix it.

Thanks - Bob (email: emergencyradioserv@yahoo.com)

2012. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 22:16:27 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3b3131.457140@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131041

In <20020721114503.10376.00000227@mb-mh.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:

>>Better yet why don't you provide the answers to your fictious claims yo keep
>>saying how dangerous hams are with 2 meter radio, where is the proof?
>
>www.mods.dk. Check out the mods for 2m radios that allow TX capabilities in the
>public service band.

Well that makes sense: why even bother to modify a 2 meter ham rig
when you can just pick up a cheap old Wilson or Regency that will
cover the VHF-Hi business/police spectrum, complete with CTCSS, for
$50 or less? And yes, there are a very few idiots that actually did
such a thing, and screwed with emergency communications in the band.
They were prosecuted under criminal laws, not Title 47. That's because
just about every state has statutes making such type of interference a
felony, and it is VERY well enforced. That's why you see little abuse,
if any, of modified 2 meter radios.

2013. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 22:29:27 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0207211429.7b3f3734@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131042

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:<s7C_8.208014$Bt1.11201677@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> Now you are certainly lieing Andy No 2950 huh?

I'm not lying. I don't have a 2950. It was sold to a police helicopter
pilot in FL. He was going to install it in his chopper. Apparently he
enjoys working Aerial Mobile DXing when things are quiet..

2014. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 22:37:20 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0207211437.2d1cc1bc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131043

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:<T8C_8.204976$iB1.10969059@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> Why do you want to know the output Andy? for the sat comms? Lol

Research. Please find a post where I am using an amp with the 2950 I used to have.

You really have no life, do you? Doing Deja searches to see what you can post about... here's an idea. Do you see that button that says "Start" in the bottom left. Click on that, select "Shut Down" and click on "Shut Down"... then go to your front door, open it up and enjoy the great outdoors.

Let's see you tackle the original topic without trying to do character attacks. You probably can't. I also challenge you to reveal your true identity. We already know you are a Comcast cable modem subscriber and that you use Microsoft Outlook for newsgroup browsing (poor guy).

Just to refresh your memory, which seems to be failing... my original post stated that 2m radios are just as easily modifiable as "export" radios and they present more of a problem because they have the ability to interfere with public safety frequencies, whereas "export" radios will transmit in frequencies that aren't even in use. Why not crack down on those radios?

Now, if you can tackle this topic, I'd be suprised.

2015. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 22:45:55 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0207211445.12fc1ebe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131044

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:<IdC_8.303585$vq.16622205@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> Nah you as a officer of the Law or so you say, wouldn't condone illegal
> activitys. Perhaps the Chief would like to ask you a few questions

I'm sorry, you'll have to send me the post where I stated I was a cop.
It seems to be missing on my end.

2016. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 22:46:52 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0207211446.36e2cf87@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131045

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:<d4C_8.205382$iX5.10762503@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> Search Result 250
> From: VHFRadioBuff (vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux)
> Subject: Re: 4000 Watt amp listed on Ebay CB board!!!
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap
> View: Complete Thread (15 articles) | Original Format
> Date: 2001-12-24 09:29:32 PST
>
>
> Gee, and it seems Ebay has already removed it from the system. When will
> people
> learn, if you wanna sell that illegal stuff, you have to go to Yahoo!
> auctions!
> :)

Still isn't condoning the sale of that equipment...

2017. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 22:50:29 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0207211450.3ad9a443@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131046

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:<d1C_8.255363$Im2.12903645@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> How abou you buying a rci 2950 and using a Rci2950 is that not breaking the
> law since you arent authorized to use HF on 10 meters where did you use the
> 2950 oh that is right on the freeband, but that is ok that is not breaking
> any laws. And the little comment about jaywalkin etc Andy what type of Cop
> are you Really?
> You look the other way for friends and other infractions? Are you really a
> police officer? If so what dept do you work for? why is it a problem to
> tell anyone?
> It is easy enough to find out.

Please find the post where I say that I TXed on a 2950.

I tell you what - you post all the same information about yourself on
the newsgroup that is available about me by looking up my callsign on
QRZ.COM (callsign is KC2SSB) and I will give you details into my job.
If you don't want to do that, please find out on your own since "It is
easy enough to find out."

2018. Re: Need advice on which CB to buy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 22:51:54 UTC
From: Simon Bussey <s.j.bussey@GOAWAYSPAMhw.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <IWG_8.30430$Fm3.15202@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 131047

lol it isn't as stupid as it sounded.
Both the radios are going to perform the same, the only question is do u
want the extra features that are on the tb? if not get the hp.

"whatever" <xxx@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:5gljju06d5c2mkul778vbi47c661a0mpad@4ax.com...
> Gee thanks. Nice advice.
>
>
> On Sat, 20 Jul 2002 19:58:29 +0100, "Simon Bussey"
> <s.j.bussey@GOAWAYSPAMhw.ac.uk> wrote:
>
> >buy the one that costs more money it'll be better...
> >"whatever" <xxx@nospam.com> wrote in message
> >news:dvchjuctj81gthd7db8ga9cha7ms075enf@4ax.com...
> >> Hello All,
> >>
> >> I think I have narrowed it down to a ranger.
> >>
> >> But what model:
> >> RANGER 6900FHP or RANGER 6900FTB
> >>
> >> Pro / Cons
> >>
> >> Thanks,
> >>
> >> Kev
> >>
> >>
>

2019. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 22:55:30 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujmev2s02etb4e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131049

Yea the ol boys in Selma needed something to do since the feds wouldn't let
them burn crosses hang minorities and where there badge on there KKK
uniform.
Luckily most of those southern lowlifes with badges are in jail where they
belong. And Selma is still as close to the 3rd world as u can get in the US.
Ken
"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote in message
news:3d39a268$0$13950$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> Whatever, Mopy.
> At the time I was living in the town of Live Oak which adjoined Selma so I
> had to drive through Selma daily. I asked my father about this thing, he
> was good friends with the city judge in Selma. He said that what the cops
> would do is drive up and down the highway and follow behind someone with
an
> antenna on their car. If they were SEEN using the radio at the same time
a
> "smokey report" was being given then they were nailed. The person was
> stopped and simply recognizing the voice was enough evidence. No Selma
> didn't get any revenue from the "federal charges" but it sure put the fear
> of God into the person facing the speeding ticket so they would usually
just
> pay up and go away.
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20020719094340.601$mZ@newsreader.com...
> > "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > >>Actually linking CB use to a serious crime is pretty easy. There's a
> > > small town just north of San Antonio call Selma. Back in the 70's
they
> > > were known as one of the biggest speed-traps in this part of Texas.
The
> > > local cops would sit behind road signs and nail speeders doing 56MPH.
> > > Sure it was difficult to enforce 56MPH but you had to either pay the
> fine
> > > or fight it in court. Most just paid the fine. CBers would, of
course,
> > > tell everyone where the cop was sitting. State law (and probably
> federal
> > > law too) forbids you from devulging the location of a peace officer
> while
> > > he is performing his duty. It is also illegal to interfere with his
> > > duties. So if the cops thought that someone was using their CB to

help
> > > other avoid getting a ticket they would bring in the federal
regulations
> > > and also charged them with using a CB radio to aid in the commission
of
> a
> > > crime.
> > >
> > How'd they prove who was transmitting,and what they were saying?
> >
> > Sounds like more muenzler bullshit.
> > >
> > >Kevin, WB5RUE
> >
> > --
> > Go #40
>
>

2020. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 22:57:53 UTC
From: radiodaz@concentric.net
Message-ID: <827c728f.0207211457.3d2cf656@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

bc wrote in message news:<3D3A419F.8090502@realtime.net>...
> My Uniden HR-2510 is not junk. With the keyer, filters, chipswitch mod
> it's one of the most affordable and versatile portable mobile rigs I own
> (10/12/15). 43 countries, and 32 states on 10 meters alone during the
> last sunspot cycle. It's been on many a backpacking and canoe trip with
> a gel cell, solar panel and a dipole. When my dad was alive he used his
> HR-2600 for our daily NC - TX sched. Sure wish I hadn't sold his. Its
> also a great rig for local AM/FM use simplex and repeater use too. I've
> used it for a downlink on RS satellites with much success also.
>
> Sure I could use my "big" HF rigs (Collins, TS-520, Drake "C" line and
> TS-2000) but my baby is my modified (filters, keyer, tuner, etc.)
> Uniden. Until I get one of those Yaesu QRP HF rigs that is.
>
> Take your so called FCC policing BS somewhere else and get a life. Bet
> you look for folks with "illegal" scanners too? I have 3 myself...
>
> -b
Guess I hit the nail on the head ! Look at how you respond ! But I
still maintain that any good ham knows that the " roger beep " junk
being made is not intended for ham radio , but for illegal CB use
and out of band use. As radios go , the stuff is JUNK . Out of band
operation causes problems to legal operation . Any CONCERNED amateur
radio operator , which your not , should be pleased with any FCC
response to the use and sale of this " roger beep " JUNK.

>
>
>
> >>UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600
> >
> > Glad to hear this and read this information. Need to get this junk off
> > the air and those using the junk off the air too. They cause to many
> > problems to legal operations. I'll be looking out for this stuff trying
> > to be sold and reporting such info to the FCC.
> > tim

2021. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 23:10:38 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujmfreqjdanl86@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131050

Actually if you look at history the FCC allocates bandwidth when a band is too crowded and dealocates bandwidth when there is lil or no usage. Right now the big money is in wireless WANs and LANs with new technology like bluetooth etc. Throughput is the key to high data transfer speeds and that means the upper end of the spectrum. You hames need to worry about the bandwidth above a gigahertz cause the FCC will sell that in a second. I love when you guys keep looking at 11m while the FCC is carving up your realstate up top. CB radio is growing in leaps and bounds. The FCC will have to give us another 80 channels. It is just a matter of time. When push came to shove we got 40 channels and the anti-cbers still cry about it. The reason we got that was you guys left it alone and freebanders when in and took it and had the numbers to keep it. You anti's keep forgetting that government exists to serve the people and not visa versa. The people on cb need 80 more channels. The clowns at FCC need to allocate then and Hollingsworthless tarries at his own political peril.
Ken
"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D37135E.F4DCBA3C@icok.net...
>
>
> Dave,
> It really wouldn't be that difficult to do. First,
> do away with Part-95, no such thing as CB radio in the
> US. With no legitimate market, the manufactures quit
> making radios. No problem at all finding 'illegal'
> operators, they ~all~ are. Introduce another bill such
> as PL-106-521, making it enforceable from local levels.
> Give it 6 months or so for the 'word' to get around.
> Make examples out of a few 'die-hards'. Bang, it's done.
> Would it be very popular? No. Would it be easy?
> Not really, but not ~that~ hard either. Is it very
> likely? Not yet, but it's getting closer. Don't say it
> can't be done, because it certainly can! Push 'them'
> hard enough and we'll all find out.
> 'Doc
>
> PS - Link it to the terrorism threat and it'll be done
> damn near over night.

2022. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 23:12:39 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ujmfv71826d6d2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131051

LOL dude you are SOOO right!!!
Ken
"slamfire" <slamfire_1@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:2d9f0340.0207192241.190d54e1@posting.google.com...
> You guys must be on something if you think the government is going to
> allocate money to track down "ha","ha","ha",CBers.Your all taking
> yourselves way to serious.Get a grip,It's only CB Radio or maybe you
> just awoke from a coma and haven't heard we are at war in several
> places around the world and It's only going to get worse and cost
> billions more on top of what we have already spent so do you really
> believe they are going to piss away more money on regulating or
> disbanning CB Radio. This rumor has been going around for more years
> then I like to remember.Come on boys crank up the power and don't look
> back.I live less then a quarter mile from the FCC and been running
> smoke up their asses for years and never once got a knock on my
> door.They could care less.
>
> (Ray R.) "Slamfire"

2023. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 23:20:06 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207211520.2aff6f87@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131052

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:<T8C_8.204976$iB1.10969059@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
VHFRadioBuff wrote:
>... my original
>post stated that 2m radios are just as easily modifiable as "export"
>radios and they present more of a problem because they have the
>ability to interfere with public safety frequencies, whereas "export"
>radios will transmit in frequencies that aren't even in use. Why not
>crack down on those radios?

1. You have not proven that the 'freeband' freqs are unused. The FCC contradicts you. Frequency allocation records contradict you. All you offer is your subjective testimony that you "don't hear anything".

2. Widespread interference to public safety services by 'freebanders' or rogue hams using 2 meter gear does not exist. Conversely, widespread radio piracy by 'freebanders' using phoney export radios does exist.

BTW, this line of argument by 'freeloaders' has been tried before and failed. (It basically goes: "We know we're guilty, but if you try and stop us, the innocent are gonna have to suffer along with the guilty" etc.) Adopting this particular stance just makes you appear more unsavory by the moment.

-A

2024. Re: OT Especially for Moparholic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 23:28:31 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <orgmjusuunop6gelql9had3t1pqhk3v0vj@4ax.com>
Source record: 131053

On 21 Jul 2002 15:34:50 GMT, eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig)
wrote:

>>-Well, one thing about it--you don't rocket away from a light in a '49
>>Dodge!
>>\
>>A muscle car it ain't. but it sure is fun to ride around in!
>
>1969 Dodge Super Bee with a 440 Six Pack!
>
>73 de Bert
>WA2SI
>
>P.S. In blue please. :-)

I know where a 72 charger rt special sits that has never been titled.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

2025. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 23:52:04 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <tihmjuo6fvbtlmbk0ou4lde1kko0i4ga1g@4ax.com>
Source record: 131054

On 21 Jul 2002 15:45:03 GMT, vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
(VHFRadioBuff) wrote:

>>Better yet why don't you provide the answers to your fictious claims yo keep
>>saying how dangerous hams are with 2 meter radio, where is the proof?
>
>www.mods.dk. Check out the mods for 2m radios that allow TX capabilities in the
>public service band.
>
>> Most
>>all police I know work the 500 mhz band and many more are on the 800 mhz
>>band, guees that throws your theory partly out of the water.
>
>A good number of police departments in NJ use frequencies in the 150's. There
>are some on trunked system in the 500 mhz, like Ocean County and Dover Twsp,
>which recently went digital. There are still a ton of agencies in the 150's,
>though.
>
>>lets
>>see what beachwood Nj police and its neighboring towns use, perhaps they
>>have been experiancing you
>>keying up anfd interfering with them.
>
>They are on the county's 500mhz trunked system because of the size of the towns
>in the area. Monmouth County in particular has a lot of agencies in the 150's.
>
>>Or are
>>you just going on your own experiEnce of keying up police repeaters?
>
>Only time that happens is when I'm working and need to talk with another
>department.

Here in my area, there is a mish-mash of freq's used. Henry County
uses vhf 150 Mhz area, as do most of the surrounding counties. Lucas
County uses some 450 Mhz as well as a trunked 800 Mhz system.

There has been some fool lately that has been kerchunking the machines
in Lucas county and now in Fulton County. I doubt he is a ham, because
if he were he would know how to add tones to get into the machines
when we put tones on to stop him.

I have a feeling he is in a similar situation to a guy here in town
who bought a scanning triband at a local hamfest at the advice of a
salesperson, to use as a scanner. Expensive scanner, when he could
have gotten a regular scanner much less expensive, and that scans much

faster. Anyway after he bought this radio, he realized it was worthless for what he had in mind, I tried to buy it off of him, as I was afraid of its falling into the wrong hands. Unfortunately someone got to him with cash before I could cash my paycheck. It was a brand new VX-5R and he said he'd take $150 for it, not bad. But someone beat me to it.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

2026. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 23:52:13 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ahfjbg$nm0$9@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 131061

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d36853d.21417564@news.cet.com...
> In <ah5om5$2ng$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
> <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:
>
> That's when you went off the deep end.
==

No, you went off the deep end.
I never tried to say you was wrong, but you seem to have
that idea.

==
> >That is at DC, nobody is trying to put DC up their antenna.
> >Did you consider skin effect? Thought not.
>
> You still haven't come up with ANY figures for your claims, so don't
> start begging me for more.
==

Begging for more figures?
I never even asked for figures from you. Your imagination playing is
tricks with you.

==
> >So, the rest of your calculation was a waste of time, as it was based on
> >a very basic error at the beginning and so you fell at the first hurdle.
>
> Not until you show the figures that demonstrate that skin effect makes
> a significant difference.
==

Seems like you are the one begging for figures.

Try:
Radio Handbook, 23rd Edition, p3-8.

==
> Of course that will never happen,
==

Wishful thinking... huh.

==
> >See above, regarding your failure to observe a basic fact.
> >Or did you mean to ask "who is more significant, brain or Yeti"?
> >I feel that is your real issue here.
>

> ...or maybe you can calculate the difference in the resistance of a
> wire at DC and 27MHz,
==

Depends upon the material, as this affects the depth of penetration.
For 22awg copper wire, the skin effect decreases the area actually
conducting to around 0.024mm² at 30MHz. This multiplies the
resistance by about 13.5
Not only does it increase the risistance, but the wire effectively
becomes a cylindrical conductor. This increases the inductive
reactance... from the ref below, 1.27 Ohm / cm of #22 copper
wire at 30MHz.

Also, the radiation resistance of an antenna drops as the antenna
length is reduced compared to the wavelength... so the radiation
resistance will be rather less than 50 Ohms, and can be as low
as a few Ohms.

REFS:
http://wwwrsphysse.anu.edu.au/
Radio Handbook, 23rd Edition.

==
> Yeah, I didn't think so.
==

Thought wrong then, nowt new there.

==
> >> >FYI: The UK still has an antenna width rule, any idea why that is
> >> >still in place when they removed the supid height and length rules?
> >> >Maybe they also believe that width makes a difference.
> >>
> >> Maybe you can research your implication and see if it has anything to
> >> do with this discussion.
>
> >The original rules set out...
==

You asked about this issue, now you do not like the facts.
Tough poo.

RA = Radiator Aesthetics... lol.

==
> but for goodness sake QUIT WHINING!
==

How about taking your own advice, you started whining. I was just answering
your posts... something you claimed I am not and will not be doing.
Or do you mean:
"please stop, I am running out of ways to try slapping down you lot"?

==
> ...aw, the hell with it.
>
> **>> plonk <<**
==

Hahahahaha... by your own standards, you are running.
For someone who has been known to argue on here all night, that's a first.

How about killfiling all the others who you cannot manage to successfully
"slap down" whenever they use this newsgroup?
Hahaha, yeah... right. Like that will ever happen.

Brainbuster.

2027. Re: 10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 23:52:28 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ahfjbi$nm0$10@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 131062

"Blaster" <shitblaster@volcanomail.com> wrote in message
news:90a278e1.0207190649.196f4e71@posting.google.com...
>
> 1st, My idea was not to close every single loophole, or even
> address Amateur or "non-40ch" 10M rigs. Just an idea get rid of the
> 40ch Exports, the ones that sell the best. My guess would be that the
> average trucker would buy a Uniden or A cobra in the Truckstop if the
> Galaxy's & Connex's weren't there, rather than hunting down a
> Complicated $900 Yaesu or even a LCD 2950...
==

In the UK, your CB radios are illegal to import, connect, use or even just
OWN. Possession alone is enough to have it taken away and destroyed.
But, for years, they have been available. People cannot get them from the
CB shops, so they get them from the CBer down the road who manages to get
them through. The difference is that the Government see no tax, and the
customer sees no warranty. I have known people get stitched up with bad
radios when buying out the back of a car in a car park, but usually they
will buy from someone they know.

People are now going more for the ones marked up as "Amateur Radio"
equipment. I do not know how a ban on "channel" readout leaving
frequency readout only would affect this. I could imagine that some will
not want to bother as others will shout out "go to channel... " and they
will get lost with frequencies, specially mobiles.
If America and the EU brought in a rule that made channel readouts illegal
on Amateur radio equipment, manufacturers may change the design to get
them through.
I could imagine this leading to the sales to CBers dropping, as many
CBers may not want them without a channel display. I wonder just how
many of these radios are used by licensed Hams just for the Ham band?

==
> Sure, The occasional "hardcore scanner buff, (just like the
> few truckers running a $1000 HF rig) order the scanner from
> Europe or Canada, Pay $500 Delivered for a Bearcat model
> that sells for $199 in the states, & has no warrany, but the law
> otherwise mostly worked.
==

You can never stop those who are determined to do something,
to stop the majority has to be seen as a success.

==
> Many people are simply buying these exports rigs to
> use as simply a 40chn CB, instead of a 148 or Grant or Midland,

> Simply because Truckstops, internet& mail order places ONLY
> offer them, or "push" them.
==

I believe the reason for much of our illegal radio use in the UK may be attempts to "keep up with the jones" or just wanting what others have. There are those who use illegal radios just for the legal frequencies, I seriously have to wonder if they are playing with a full deck. I can understand those who like to DX on SSB, but not those who risk prosecution for something that can be done legally.

Manufacturers make products sell by making them attractive with controls and functions that you will never use (look at MS software packages), stopping sales could be just a matter of doing the opposite.
Making eqiupment illegal does not seem to stem the flow (how easily can someone get illegal drugs?), but making it unattractive may work.

Regards,

Peter.

2028. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 23:52:38 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ahfjbn$nm0$11@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 131063

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d37f49b.569242@news.cet.com...
> In <ah8ba1$1jf$11@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
> <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:
>
> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d352f90.1210382@news.cet.com...
> >> In <ah34euegm3@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
> >> <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >> >I had Frank killfiled until he decided to use a different addy.
> >>
> >> It must be confusing for you when I change my addy once every
> >> few years --
> >>==
> >>
> >I have been on here just over a year, and have seen more than
> >one change already. How long is a "few years" to you?
>
> I have had three addresses in the past five years. If you see more
> than that then you need to make an appointment with an eye doctor.
==

But you said:
"once every few years"

I remember three addresses in the last YEAR... Two at "cet" and one
at "Tincan", when you claimed that your "cet" account was closed. I
cannot say how many you used before that, as I was not on the Net.

==
> If you have a family and a life, then what the hell are you doing
> trolling around in a newsgroup?
==

Trolling?
I have an interest in CB, that is why I use this group.

==
> Hell, if I was in your position I wouldn't
> have any time at all to troll around in here.
==

Usually, I post about three times a week... that's not much. This week, I
have had extra spare time, but I will be going back to about the usual now.
Even over the weekend, you will see that I may post early on a Saturday

morning (take account of time differences), then usually Sunday late afternoon (when my Son is at his martial arts lessons), or evening (when they are in bed).
From Saturday morning to Sunday morning I take over all duties with the children including all cooking etc, often I even cook the Sunday Dinner.

I am sure that we all have times when we feel that life "sucks", but then I see that there are people worse off than myself... no family to care about and return that care, and I realise that my life is great.
Next time I am reading my children a bedtime story, rocking my daughter to sleep, watching wrestling or soccer with my son or a video with my daughter, helping them with school work, taking them to the field to fly a kite, play ball, etc... I will appreciate it all the more knowing how lucky I am.

==
> >You would love to get me into one of those all night newsgroup argument
> >marathons that you seem to love so much, but it just isn't going to
> > happen.
> >You will just have to learn to have patience and not to expect everyone
> >to be sat waiting for your every word... you just don't rate that highly.
>
> What did you smoke for dinner? I want some!
==

I don't smoke.
I have better things to spend my money on but, as I don't want to rub it in, I will avoid the "f" word meaning close relatives..

Don't you remember that argument you had with someone... the fast fire round. Yep, I agree, no worse than the other person as they were going at it just as furiously as you.

==
> I really don't care what you do. If you killfile me after you
> have painted yourself into a corner,
==

Sure, that's why you suggested it... like it wasn't on your mind, the "autotype" function on your computer took over.

But wait a minute...
<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d36853d.21417564@news.cet.com...
> ...aw, the hell with it.
>
> **>> plonk <<**

Seems like I am not the one painting himself into a corner.
Or am I only as "plonked" as Randy was... bet you're reading this... huh.

==
> then the reason you need a killfile is
> obvious --
==

I have made it clear why I killfiled you, and others who are in my killfile.
Those in my killfile include people who only ever post "for sale" adverts
... you think that is running away?
Get a grip.

==
> Believe what you are told and follow the herd like the rest of the sheep.
==

I go where I want, and follow what I believe is right.
You already know that I do not believe things just because someone says so,
why else would I have had disagreements over certain issues if I believed
anything that someone said.

How about you, you seem to follow the "anti keyclown" line pretty
closely. You all seem to fall in line, regardless.

==
> I said that I have respect for anyone that has the courage to stand up
> and fight for what they believe is right.
==

How about when they break the laws of the country they are in at the time?
Or when they make innocent people the target because the cannot get to the
Government who they really have a problem with?

How about if the "keyclowns" believe that what they are doing is right...
not legal, but right?
Maybe they believe that the law is wrong, and are demonstrating that they
will not capitulate to an unjust rule or law (which it is, is clearly a bone
of contention). At least they are not killing people to get attention,
maybe that is the difference.

If someone takes the Bible as their guide, then the FCC rules are not God's
rules... but theft and murder are against God's laws. I do not know about
the religion of the "9/11" terrorists but, are both theft and murder
allowed?

==
>Nobody can tell me that these guys did this without any fear to overcome.
==

Same could be said of "keyclowns", there must be the fear that, after
spending all those $$$ on that fine equipment, Riley will take it from them.
How about the fine... sounds pretty stiff, worse than in the UK.
Yep, such a fine could bankrupt a person, that has to be a major
consideration.

The difference is that, with religious suicide attacks, they believe that
they will get a reward. Fear of punishment does not apply, as they

will not be here. If you believe that you will go to a better place, for your eternal reward, then death holds no fear.

==
> If you can't understand the culture, don't criticize someone that can.
==

If only you could live by that rule.
You and the other "antis" regularly criticise those who understand the "illegal CB culture", even if they are not part of it.

One rule for you...

==
> Like I said, I can respect courage, which is something that every
> person must face at some point in their lives.
==

I respect the courage of those who overcome fear and danger to save someone else, like firefighters.

==
> whiny nameless twits on this newsgroup that make every excuse in
> the book to abuse the rights granted them by the best country in the
> world, yet don't have the balls to put a name to their words. I'm sure
> that even you can respect that.
==

Sorry if this disappoints you, but I cannot respect people who steal and murder, regardless of their "reasons".
Did these people not abuse the rights given to them by America?

I didn't see Bin Laden put his name to the act, until it was worked out who set it up. And what of Bin Laden now? Well, having most likely emerged from the hole in the ground he was hiding in, he has run into hiding. I don't see him giving his life for his beliefs, just sending other people to do it while he hides away.

==
> Unless, of course, you are one of
> those whiney nameless twits.
==

How about those who use a false name on here, do you consider that different?
I thought that you, of all people, understood why so many do not give too much personal info over the "Net". Something about 'phone numbers and harassment?
The difference is that some of use have a family to consider (not intending to rub it in).

==
> Regardless, you seem to have a problem understanding what I said. It's
> not like I didn't explain it about a hundred times already; maybe my

> comments were posted at the wrong time of the month for you.
==

Just after that attack was the wrong time for anyone who was upset by the
deaths. If you have problems understanding that, then you must be one
very cold hearted SOB.
Maybe you did understand it, and just used it for maximum effect and upset.

Maybe having nobody to care about has made you a cold hearted SOB, but
we are not to blame for the life you made for yourself.

==
> >My Collins English Dictionary says:
> >Yeti: same as Abominal Snowman
> >Abominal Snowman: Translation of Tibetan "mtohkangmi" foul snowman.
> >
> >Although World Book Millennium says:
> >"The word probably meant all-devouring creature"
>
> Figure it out, then post the facts instead of your preferred
> interpretations.
==

Are you saying that the "World Book" does not contain facts?
Better tell that to all the people who have parted with money for it.

But, if you have another reference for the meaning of the word, please post
it... I posted the references I found. References to "Harry and the
Hendersons" will really not be taken too seriously ;~)

==
> >Depends upon which meaning of "Brainbuster" you are thinking of.
>
> Like it matters -- none of them seem to apply.
==

Try looking a bit harder, but you really cannot claim to "know" me, so
what "seems" to you does not count for much.

==
> Take it any way you want. Your interpretations have as much
> credibility as any other keyclown.
==

"other keyclown"?
Suggesting you class me as one... and proving that it is not a legality
issue, as I have clearly stated that my radio equipment is all legal to
own and use. I have even told people that they did not need a
linear, when they really wanted to know.
Strange thing is, you have said that someone gets abuse for being a legal
CBer on this group... the only abuse I get is from your lot, the
"antikeyclown" posse. I have no problems from anyone else, from those
taking the "middle ground" through to certain people who make it clear that

they use illegal equipment.
Nobody has attacked me for using legal equipment. Maybe it is because, although I will advise against linears, I do not attack them for what they have decided to use... or maybe you could just be using the wrong after-shave.

Brainbuster.

2029. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 23:52:45 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ahfjbo$nm0$12@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 131064

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0207191504.66af7512@posting.google.com...
> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote...
> >
> > And I can't imagine where you came up with a nic like 'Brainbuster':
> > that's about as relavent to your personality as 'SwangThang' would be
> > to Dick, or 'AmpHead' would be to Voobner.
>
> But Anal Aaron *is* an amp-head! He encouraged someone to have their
> big Collins linear modified for CB! The thread is there for the
> viewing.
==

That is something I just *have* to see, got a reference?

Regards,

Brainbuster.

2030. Re: help needed, CB antenna solution?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 23:52:52 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ahfjbp$nm0$13@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 131065

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D38B293.26ED9548@icok.net...
>
> If that doesn't work, you could always
> replace one of the window panes with plastic.
==

Nice idea. You just have to watch for leaks, with all the rain in this
country.
I used to live in a place like that, top two floors with a roof window.

Regards,

Peter.

2031. Re: What happened to Cody's CB Page? http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 23:53:00 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ahfjbq$nm0$14@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 131066

"Tim 960" <tim960@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020719211916.03822.00000273@mb-fb.aol.com...
> http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm
>
> It was full of all sorts of info for newbie's and old farts. Does any
> body have it on file or printed out?
==

Good question, I even tried the default and got nothing.
http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/

I have a link from my site, so I will look again next week, and
remove the link if it is still not there.

Regards,

Peter.

2032. Amps FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-21 23:55:00 UTC
From: dclark506@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020721195500.01913.00001100@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 131056

No Name 5 LB Maul with rec amp, SSB and external jacks mods by maker, like new $275
Texas Star 250 $100
Texas Star V-Mod $50
Thunderbolt 305 Base Amp 1 driving 3 6LQ6's 400-500 watts peak $350
All amps are in excellent working condition. If interested email me dclark506@aol.com

2033. OT- And you thought YOU had it rough

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 00:07:29 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <s4jmjucd0uc1bftcn0cr9n9g824rtkq7ot@4ax.com>
Source record: 131055

A man had just been laid off from work. He was standing on the railing of a high bridge getting read to jump off, when he happened to look down and see a little man with no arms dancing all around on the river bank below.

He thought to himself, "Life isn't so bad after all," and got off the railing. He then walked down to the river bank to thank the little man for saving his life.

"Thank you," he said. "I was going to jump off that bridge and kill myself, but when I saw you dancing even though you have no arms, I changed my mind."

"Dancing? I'm not dancing!" the armless man replied bitterly... "My ass itches, and I can't scratch it!"

KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

2034. OT- Blondes strike back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 00:08:21 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <h6jmju8gpmqdeamaq23qmc5qrnada59ncu@4ax.com>
Source record: 131057

Well, folks, it has finally happened.
The blondes of the world got together and have decided to take revenge
on the brunettes:

WHAT'S BLACK AND BLUE AND BROWN AND LAYING IN A DITCH? A brunette
who's told too many blonde jokes.

WHAT DO YOU CALL GOING ON A BLIND DATE WITH A BRUNETTE? Brown-bagging
it.

WHAT'S THE REAL REASON A BRUNETTE KEEPS HER FIGURE?
No one else wants it.

WHY ARE SO MANY BLONDE JOKES ONE-LINERS?
So brunettes can remember them.

WHAT DO YOU CALL A BRUNETTE IN A ROOM FULL OF BLONDES?
Invisible.

WHAT'S A BRUNETTE'S MATING CALL?
"Has the blonde left yet? "

WHY DIDN'T INDIANS SCALP BRUNETTES?
The hair from a buffalo's butt was more manageable.

WHY IS THE BRUNETTE CONSIDERED AN EVIL COLOR?
When was the last time you saw a blonde witch?

WHAT DO BRUNETTES MISS MOST ABOUT A GREAT PARTY?
The invitation

WHAT DO YOU CALL A GOOD LOOKING MAN WITH A BRUNETTE?
A hostage

WHO MAKES BRAS FOR BRUNETTES?
Fisher-Price

WHY ARE BRUNETTES SO PROUD OF THEIR HAIR?
It matches their mustaches

KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

2035. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 00:20:10 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <_cl_8.261636$lm2.13217059@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131058

"vhfradiobuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5e5fccab.0207211450.3ad9a443@posting.google.com...

> If you don't want to do that, please find out on your own since "It is
> easy enough to find out."

Certainly if you are a police officer in that area it is very easy to find
out.

2036. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 00:20:42 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <udI_8.309378$vq.16939337@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131059

"vhfradiobuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5e5fccab.0207211446.36e2cf87@posting.google.com...

> Still isn't condoning the sale of that equipment...

Oh no what do you call it then?

2037. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 00:23:02 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <Gfl_8.309419$vq.16942025@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131060

"vhfradiobuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5e5fccab.0207211445.12fc1ebe@posting.google.com...
> "KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:<IdC_8.303585$vq.16622205@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > Nah you as a officer of the Law or so you say, wouldn't condone illegal
> > activitys. Perhaps the Chief would like to ask you a few questions
>
> I'm sorry, you'll have to send me the post where I stated I was a cop.
> It seems to be missing on my end.

Ah truth be told, you insinuated you were a police officer or someone who
uses there radios I just wanted you to tell the truthsomething that seems to
escape you.

2038. Get an @_____.ismyhandle.com email address

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 00:56:10 UTC
From: Karl Treier <karl.treier@ismywasmy.com>
Message-ID: <3d3a7403$1_2@news.meganetnews.com>
Source record: 131068

substitute your handle is the space, visit www.ismywasmy.com to find out more.

2039. Re: 2950 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 00:59:03 UTC
From: stevejfranklin <stevejfranklin@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3D3B589E.3D0EDB75@attbi.com>
Source record: 131067

I am a old person, just a comment to the ones that do what I had said on
the auctions.
To the other reply I had a amp kicked on yahoo, some are lucky.

jim127@nauticom.net wrote:

> Pardon me little guy, i'm an older person and I take exception with
> your comment. Remember, the day will come and you will also be an old
> fart. You have bad attitude.
>
> stevejfranklin wrote:
>
>> I don't think so , Yahoo will kick amps off., but the radio might
>> make it.
>> Since you now let the cat out of the box, the police want a be
>> will be looking for it.
>> These are people that have a chip on their shoulder ,that have
>> nothing else to do, but to think they are the ruling gods of the
>> auctions, and mostley old farts.... Good luck.
>

2040. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 01:23:42 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207211723.788657e0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131069

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d36853d.21417564@news.cet.com>...
> In <ah5om5$2ng$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
> <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:
>
> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d3415cd.33607344@news.cet.com...
> >>
> >> Yes it does, but by how much?
> >>
> >> By how much?
> >>
> >> By how much?
> >>
> >>
> >> Pieces of eight... squawk
> >===
> >
> >OK, lets take a look at what this thread is about...
> >
> >> > "Dennis B." <dennisandcarol@attbi.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:ptnX8.4083$uw.595@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> >> > Which antenna performs better, one made from aluminum or fiberglass? I'm
> >> > just curious.
> >> >
> >> > Thanks,
> >
> >Seems to me like the original poster was just wanting basic information, he
> >did not ask for complicated formulae and figures. I think he just wants to
> >buy an antenna, NOT design one.
>
> And that is how I responded. I gave him a simple answer. Then you
> butted in with something about wire size being inefficient, and I
> noted that wire size has little to do with efficiency in these
> antennas. That's when you went off the deep end.
>
> >==
> >> I took apart a helical recently that had about 30 feet of
> >> #16 wire. Let's just assume for a minute that it was #22, ok? Now if
> >> #22 has 16.5 ohm per 1000 feet,
> >==
> >
> >That is at DC, nobody is trying to put DC up their antenna.
> >Did you consider skin effect? Thought not.
>

> You still haven't come up with ANY figures for your claims, so don't
> start begging me for more.
>
> >So, the rest of your calculation was a waste of time, as it was based on a
> >very basic error at the beginning and so you fell at the first hurdle.
>
> Not until you show the figures that demonstrate that skin effect makes
> a significant difference. Of course that will never happen, just like
> it will never happen that you will put up numbers showing a
> significant difference in the efficiency of these antennas due to the
> wire size, or due to polarity losses, dielectric losses, etc.
>
> >==
> >> So which do you think is more significant, brain: 1%
> >==
> >
> >See above, regarding your failure to observe a basic fact.
> >Or did you mean to ask "who is more significant, brain or Yeti"?
> >I feel that is your real issue here.
>
> ...or maybe you can calculate the difference in the resistance of a
> wire at DC and 27MHz, and therefore show why it's significant, eh?
> Yeah, I didn't think so.
>
> >==
> >> or 50% due to helical design?
> >==
> >
> >Not all fibreglass antennas are helical, many that have been sold over
> >here were not.
>
> Check this out -- here's my reply to the original post:
>
> >Fiberglass doesn't conduct at all. The antenna is a copper wire inside
> >the fiberglass, or wound outside the fiberglass and covered with some
> >heatshrink. The problem here is that when humidity/water gets trapped
> >between the fiberglass and the copper, it corrodes the copper very
> >quickly and thoroghly.
>
> Did I make any distinction between helical or otherwise? No. In fact,
> did I make any distinction regarding efficiency? No, you did. Keep
> trying, brain.
>
> >==
> >> >FYI: The UK still has an antenna width rule, any idea why that is
> >> >still in place when they removed the supid height and length rules?
> >> >Maybe they also believe that width makes a difference.
> >>
> >> Maybe you can research your implication and see if it has anything to
> >> do with this discussion.

> >===
> >
> >How about looking back at the original question and seeing if great
> >mathematical formulae was asked for?
>
> Maybe you can look at the original reply and see if great mathematical
> formulae was provided.
>
> >The original rules set out...whine, whine, whine,...
>
> That's all you ever do any more, brain. You just whine a lot. Get over
> whatever you got, get a life, pull the bug out of your ass, do
> whatever it takes, but for goodness sake QUIT WHINING!
>
>
>
> ...aw, the hell with it.
>
> **>> plonk <<**

Just like a spineless commie,run away and hide when you start your
B.S.,and get it thrown back.Maybe you and the magic riflescope should
go to England so you can stalk Brainbuster.Loser

2041. FS: SolarCon A-99 Outdoor CB Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 01:24:45 UTC
From: doidy1@juno.com
Message-ID: <3a26ea1.0207211724.2eb4a130@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

For Sale: Three section base antenna in three easy-to-assemble section and covers far above and below the traditional CB channels, can be used for 10-meters amateur radio equiptment and withstands up to 2000 watts of power, easy SWR calibration with two rings. 9.9dBI gain total size 17ft 41/2 inches weighs only 2.2 Kgs. I might still have some coax to go with antenna, and I will throw that in if I can find it. Asking $50 obo. Used for only a couple months. Buyer can pickup (Portland, OR area) or will ship. Please email me with questions or offers.

thanks,
LP

2042. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 01:39:02 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207211739.6176de81@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131071

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:<T8C_8.204976$iB1.10969059@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> Why do you want to know the output Andy? for the sat comms? Lol
>
>
>
> From: VHFRadioBuff (vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux)
> Subject: Re: Palomar amps
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>
> View this article only
> Date: 2001-11-26 06:26:06 PST
>
> >What were the other 2 questions..........Lets see if we can get them
> >answered quickly.
> >Train
>
> I asked about 3 amps, the Palomar 100, the Palomar TX-100 and the Palomar
> TX-150. I wanted to know the max output for each of those amps.

George was molested by his father at an early age.Ain't that right boy????

2043. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 01:50:01 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dxJ_8.114360$UT.7430500@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131072

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ujmfreqjdanl86@corp.supernews.com...
> Actually if you look at history the FCC allocates bandwidth when a band
is
> too crowded and dealocates bandwidth when there is lil or no usage.
Right
> now the big money is in wireless WANs and LANs with new technology like
> bluetooth etc. Throughput is the key to high data transfer speeds and
that
> means the upper end of the spectrum. You hames need to worry about the
> bandwidth above a gigahertz cause the FCC will sell that in a second. I
love
> when you guys keep looking at 11m while the FCC is carving up your
realstate
> up top. CB radio is growing in leaps and bounds. The FCC will have to
give
> us another 80 channels. It is just a matter of time. When push came to
shove
> we got 40 channels and the anti-cbers still cry about it. The reason we
got
> that was you guys left it alone and freebanders when in and took it and
had
> the numbers to keep it. You anti's keep forgetting that government
exists to
> serve the people and not visa versa. The people on cb need 80 more
channels.
> The clowns at FCC need to allocate then and Hollingsworthless tarries at
his
> own political peril.
> Ken

Actually we just got primary at 2.4 GHz for satellite work.

Now for the 102nd or so time, Mad Richard's history of 11 meter Amateur
operation from one who was there in 1958.

The 11 meter Amateur Band was assigned in 1947 in ITU Region 2 (the
Americas) only to balm the wounds of having 20 meters cut back to 14.35
and to bridge the gap until the 15 meter band was cleared of its Marine
users which took until May of 1954. It was 26.96-27.23 or what later
became channels 1 thru 22. So at no time did the amateur service have any
allocation in the freeband. The allocation was adopted in Region 3 (Asia,
Oceania) but never in Region 1 (Europe and Africa) so the DX offered on 10
and 15 made 11 a ghost town. We got the 12 meter band 24.89-24.99 and
some others as a result of a WARC in 1976 as a treaty band.

It is probably germane at this point to define what is meant by a treaty allocation vs a national or regional allocation. Most Amateur bands are treaty but a number are not. For example, there is no treaty allocation at 160, the 80 meter band is 3.5-3.9 by treaty, 3.9-4.0 by regional allocation, 40 is 7-7.1 with 7.1-7.3 regional and not available to US territory in ITU Region 3. Six meters is regional, 144-146 is treaty, 146-148 is regional. The 222-225 is national as will be the 5.25-5.4 new band when and if. It should be noted that 10 meters cannot be reallocated by the FCC no matter what.

It is hard to describe the situation in the mid-1950s unless one was there. First off the FCC had assigned VHF television by line-of-sight assumptions which proved so wrong as to result in a freeze of new channels and ultimately reassignment of Illinois outside Chicago, Indiana, and a good part of Ohio to UHF among other things. Second, business band frequencies were both very crowded in some areas and filled one's trunk with electronics at remarkable cost to get on them; the Motorola rigs of the vintage were not called 'coffin boxes' for nothing. A UHF CB service (Class A CB) was in existence at the time and about as popular as root canals; it exists today for the most part as GMRS and FRS.

This was attacked by splitting the FM channels of the period, 50 and 60 kHz in half which was later done again and by using the 11-meter ham band and 27.255 which was a radio control channel and repository of a lot of trash to create Class D Citizens band (B was withdrawn and C is Radio Control now in the 72-76 gap between TV channels 4 and 5 which was and still is to some extent protected as a UHF i.f.). As is apparent, the brains at the FCC were in other areas so the CB thing and rules were done by what appear to be summer interns or something of that ilk. The appearance of wideband FM gear is what started the repeater craze in the 2 meter band. So 1958 was a big year.

So Kenneth, the only thing you have right so far is that the FCC does generally try to promote communication as opposed so some parts of the world both now and historically.

Until the 1973 fuel crisis, double nickels, convoy, and some outlawry, CB actually worked pretty well for its appointed cheap, shared business purposes. So the FCC continued to work on expansion. TV intermediate frequencies were moved into the old and never-assigned TV channel 1 and the transistor made CB really functional for most casual users.

It was always expected that the service would expand but there were and are two impediments: existing assignments of business users and the fact that there is a second (actually also a third but they are irrelevant here) group that assigns frequencies. The FCC does not assign government frequencies. The Commission used the bi-Centennial to grab control over large blocks of call signs, hence my N prefix and the AA-AM prefixes (the US donated the rest of A in 1947) prefixes in the ham bands effectively kicking the Defense Dept out of the 2-letter prefix biz. And as it happens, 27.6-28 are Government freqs.

But expansion to 50-60 channels was in the cards in the short term and to 99 eventually. Now for a slight detour. While there is some controversy over why TV Channel 1 was never assigned, the official reason is that with the picture carrier at 45.25 and the pix i.f. at 25.75, the TV local oscillators would run at 71 more or less (no AFC in them days) and obliterate the sound carrier of Channel 4. In 1946 or so this was not as unreasonable as it sounds today. Now as noted, the CB people at the FCC were not the sharpest knives in the drawer and the question arose late in the Phase 2 expansion what would happen if a unit on Channel 1 (26.965 + 455 = 27.42) were near one on 41 and 42. So the expansion was chopped at Channel 40, 27.405.

Now after 25 years or so, there are two facts of existence: first is that anything of any significance whatsoever has long since moved off of 27 MHz, and second that CB has become such an embarassment to our government that there is no way any part of it will have a damned thing to do with it. So the odds of a legal expansion is slim and none.

What has happened is that the FCC has made Amateur tickets easily available to any real hobbyist, moved Radio Control, expanded other Personal Services and pretty well abandoned 27 to stew in its own juice.

I kind of compare it to a landfill in which all the useful items have been recycled prior to burial. Aside from checking now and again to be sure the crud does not combine to eat Detroit, no one really gives a hoot.

Dick NBK

2044. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 01:56:29 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <hDJ_8.212752$iB1.11340907@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131073

"dr_sinister" <dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:57ce2c1e.0207211739.6176de81@posting.google.com...
> George was molested by his father at an early age.Ain't that right boy????

What ever you say dr pecker

2045. Re: SolarCon A-99 Outdoor CB Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 02:04:39 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <XKJ_8.30556$jq5.20317@news2.central.cox.net>
Source record: 131074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

"Luke Perry" <doidy1@juno.com> wrote in message
news:3a26ea1.0207211724.2eb4a130@posting.google.com...
> For Sale: Three section base antenna in three easy-to-assemble
> section and covers far above and below the traditional CB channels,
> can be used for 10-meters amateur radio equiptment and withstands up
> to 2000 watts of power, easy SWR calibration with two rings. 9.9dBI
> gain total size 17ft 41/2 inches weighs only 2.2 Kgs. I might still
> have some coax to go with antenna, and I will throw that in if I can
> find it. Asking $50 obo. Used for only a couple months. Buyer can
> pickup (Portland, OR area) or will ship. Please email me with
> questions or offers.
>
>
> thanks,
> LP

This antenna does NOT have 9.9dbi gain. More like 3dbi.....FYI. :-)

Ken

2046. Re: FCC Knows when to call Aaron a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 02:09:08 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <8PJ_8.114383$UT.7432553@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131075

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:ahfjbo$nm0$12@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0207191504.66af7512@posting.google.com...
> > yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote...
> > >
> > > And I can't imagine where you came up with a nic like 'Brainbuster':
> > > that's about as relavent to your personality as 'SwangThang' would
be
> > > to Dick, or 'AmpHead' would be to Voobner.
> >
> > But Anal Aaron *is* an amp-head! He encouraged someone to have their
> > big Collins linear modified for CB! The thread is there for the
> > viewing.
> ==
>
> That is something I just *have* to see, got a reference?
>
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Brainbuster.
>
>

Isn't it Train or Moparholic who claims to Have a Collins station??

2047. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 02:24:20 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ahfqid$sdqg1$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 131076

```
Clarify yourself.........

--
714 Sandpile,
The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ujlp0ioesng09c@corp.supernews.com...
> The meaning of "good buddy" sure has changed
> Ken
> "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
> news:ahcic8$s6m3j$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de...
> > You got us truckers all figured out,don't ya.........Northbound ya look
> good
> > back to
> > music city,happy trails
> >
> > --
> > 714 Sandpile,
> > The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
> > "Dale" <dgose@webtv.net> wrote in message
> > news:27954-3D37DA49-9@storefull-2214.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> > > CB is a great, cheap way to communicate and have fun.. it does not
> > > require a license, only common courtesy. Where as Ham radio requires
> > > higher cost equipment and training, any one can talk on a CB. It is
low
> > > cost, reliable, fun communication. It is a great way to meet people,
> > > pass time, and keep in touch with with family and friends without
paying
> > > long distance bills.
> > >
> > > No... "slang" isn't necessary, most of it is based on old trucker talk
> > > derived so the Highway Patrol, and the "uninitiated" would not know
what
> > > they were talking about, sort of like a secret language. Anymore tho,
> > > it's mostly nostalgia, about all you're likely to hear is "10-4" which
> > > means "OK" basically... just talk the way you would on a phone or in
> > > person... handles are not required.. but I think make it a little
more
> > > fun.. just my opinion....
> > >
> > > All you need is a decent playing CB and antenna, and a little common
> > > courtesy. Always ask for a break, try not to talk over people, and
most
> > > of all, just have fun... that's what it's all about...
```

> > >
> > >
> > > God Bless
> > >
> > >
> > > Dale
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2048. Re: truth about RF interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 02:36:31 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ahfr9k$svu40$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 131077

Oh, now i c where your head is at, i bet you're a AAA member.
After all, they are trying to squash a report which shows that 4wheelr's
are responsible for 78% of all car/truck collisions.
Damn, they sure have been feeding u a load of crap.

--
714 Sandpile,
The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uiq0qhofhm0i4b@corp.supernews.com...
> If you read this newsgroup it seems that some believe that every TV mp3
> player and radio has cb rf intererence ruining it. This is a bald face
lie.
> About 95 percent(my own obsevation-half a million miles worth) of RF
> interference is from old electrical wires from the electric company. If
Rush
> Limbaugh is drowned out from RF on your AM radio look at the telephone
> pole's and you will probably see those old glass thingies where the wires
> conect to the top of the pole.
> Another 4 percent is from cheap computers.
> If you are on 81 around exit 142 and listening to the talk radio station
on
> 1300am you will hear bleedover from the weather band.
> Never EVER have I heard beeldover or any interference from illigal cb
on
> ANY am or fm station or TV. It simply doesn't exist.
> Some poeple even suggested freebanding is a threat to the military. Like
> the offensive in IRAQ could have been thwarted by some raghead with a
> Galaxy99 going baba-boey on his radio! These people make trolling
newsgroups
> entertaining but thats about it. They will even spellcheck your troll for
> free.
> Along the I-80 corridor they have a problem with trash haulers. They
roll
> over and kill people of just make a real mess. Log book violators fall
> asleep and kill even more. Real police are concerned with REAL problems.
>
>

2049. Re: SolarCon A-99 Outdoor CB Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 02:43:39 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D3B715B.5F44F122@qnet.com>
Source record: 131079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Hello Ken: Lets get it right:

O dBi
will NOT handle 2000 watts.

Jay in the Mojave

Ken Bessler wrote:
>
> "Luke Perry" <doidy1@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:3a26ea1.0207211724.2eb4a130@posting.google.com...
> > For Sale: Three section base antenna in three easy-to-assemble
> > section and covers far above and below the traditional CB channels,
> > can be used for 10-meters amateur radio equiptment and withstands up
> > to 2000 watts of power, easy SWR calibration with two rings. 9.9dBI
> > gain total size 17ft 41/2 inches weighs only 2.2 Kgs. I might still
> > have some coax to go with antenna, and I will throw that in if I can
> > find it. Asking $50 obo. Used for only a couple months. Buyer can
> > pickup (Portland, OR area) or will ship. Please email me with
> > questions or offers.
> >
> >
> > thanks,
> > LP
>
> This antenna does NOT have 9.9dbi gain. More like 3dbi.....FYI. :-)
>
> Ken

2050. FS Cobra 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 02:52:49 UTC
From: daves radio electronics <davesradio@alltel.net>
Message-ID: <ahfs4c$4t7$1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 131078

Cobra 2000 and 1 speaker excellent condition with xtra channels. e-mail me for details... also have a Cobra 1000...

drc

2051. Re: Need advice on which CB to buy.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 04:11:21 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722001121.19785.00000111@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 131080

>Hello All,
>
>I think I have narrowed it down to a ranger.
>
>But what model:
> RANGER 6900FHP or RANGER 6900FTB
>
>Pro / Cons
>
>Thanks,
>
>Kev

Hi Kev,

Pros: Both ar nice radios if used on the 10 meter amateur band.

Cons: Both will put you at risk of a multi kilobuck fine if you use them as CBs.

That wasn't meant as a flame. I operated an AR-3500 on 11-meters for years, but things have changed. There appears to be a stepping up of enforcement on the FCC's part and they can really dole out some unjust justice in the form of very hefty fines. (And without true due process, I might add.)

Why not a TR-296DXB from Ranger? Or perhaps the TR-696F if you like a frequency counter.

http://www.rangerusa.com/tr-296.html

http://www.rangerusa.com/tr-696f.html

http://www.rangerusa.com/closeup966.jpg

I threw the TR-966 in there too. I think it looks pretty cool. Good luck. Take care and 73.

Mark

2052. Re: Uniden AM/SSB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 04:15:17 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722001517.19785.00000112@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 131081

>Oops. The 78XL isn't a SSB version. I guess you have to get a Grant to get
>SSB, so I welcome any opinions on a Grant.

Probably the best, but tough to come by. It's what other manufacturers try to copy. That about says it all right there. Good luck. Take care and 73.

Mark

2053. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 04:18:46 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722001846.19785.00000113@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 131082

The truth usually is.

>Good answer.....
>
>--
>714 Sandpile,
>The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
>"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
>news:20020718213351.01641.00000810@mb-mg.news.cs.com...
>> >This may sound like a strange question
>>
>> Not at all.
>>
>> >but isn't CB some sort of mobile
>> >communications device used in vehicles?
>>
>> It can be, but it's not limited to mobile or portable (h/t's or walkie
>talkies)
>> operation.
>>
>> >A CB operates on the 27Mhz band and has
>> >40 channels, with channel 9 for emergencies?
>>
>> Correct.
>>
>> >This is a sincere question. I am
>> >new to this.
>>
>> And you deserve a sincere answer.
>>
>> >From reading this newsgroup, one can conclude CB is more that that.
>>
>> The FCC intended the "Citizen's" Band to be a short range land-mobile
>radio
>> service. Your observation is correct however, much to the displeasure of
>those
>> who belive it should remain exactly as originally intended back in 1958.
>>
>> >(1) What is CB? CB uses some kind of slang language. Is this part of
>being a
>> >CBer?
>>
>> There are as many different ways to talk on the CB as there are
>individuals in

>> everyday life. The AMer's gernerally use the APCO '10' codes, the
>"hobbyists"
>> generally stick to sideband and employ (albeit often incorrectly) the 'Q'
>> codes, and the illegal high-power guys on ch. 6 use a lingo that I've yet
>to
>> successfully interpret.
>>
>> >Or can one use ordinary conversation and still be a CBer?
>>
>> Sure you can. I QSO with the other op as though I'm having a friendly
>> face-to-face conversation, be it on AR or the CB.
>>
>> >Can CBs be used
>> >stationary,outside of your vehicle? Do you know anyone that uses it that
>way?
>>
>> If you mean as a base station, yes. You must use a 13.8 volt DC power
>supply
>> rated for about 5 amps. (That's for a sideband rig.) This is my setup. If
>you
>> mean portable with a walkie talkie, the answer is also yes.
>>
>> >(2) What sort of modifications or tweaks are permitted in CB radio?
>>
>> Very few. You can bend a little with some modulation adjustments, (Power
>mic,
>> speech processor, etc.) but the output power must remain at 4 watts AM
>and/or
>> 12 watts P.E.P. (Peak Envelope Power) SSB. (sideband)
>>
>> >Antenna
>> >adjustments?
>>
>> Keep it at or below 60' and the sky's the limit. You are allowed to exceed
>this
>> if you mount your antenna on a pre-existing structure, but no higher than
>20'
>> above said structure.
>>
>> >Power output?
>>
>> Again, 4 watts AM and 12 watts P.E.P. SSB.
>>
>> >CB accessories?
>>
>> Some of these can actually get you in trouble. (Theoretically, at least.)
>If a
>> power mic causes your modulation to exceed 100%, you're in violation. Echo
>> devices, roger beeps, and the like are theoretically illegal, but commonly
>> ignored. Amplifiers are a different story. They're bad news so stay away

>from
>> them.
>>
>> >(3) What is freebanding?
>>
>> Operating above or below the legal 40 channels. Generally, the accepted
>freqs
>> are 26.0000 - 26.96499 MHz and 27.4051 - 27.9999 MHz. Pass the 28.0000 MHz
>line
>> of demarcation and your in the 10-meter ham band...bad news.
>>
>> >(4) What is 11m DX?
>>
>> The CB is allocated in the upper HF spectrum. This supports a natural
>> phenomenom known as sky-wave propagation. The transmitted radio waves are
>> reflected off the ionosphere and back to Earth at great distances, hence
>DX.
>> (Distance abbreviated.) DX on the CB is currently against the FCC rules,
>but
>> commonly heard on ch. 38 LSB. (An unofficial DX calling channel.)
>>
>> >(5) Why do Hams hate CBers?
>>
>> Not all do and some are both, such as your's truly. Please see my other
>> post/thread entitled 'The Latest R.A.I.N. Report' or visit:
>>
>> www.rainreport.com
>>
>> to hear a ham trying to help the CB.
>>
>> >CB is just ordinary communication, what would a
>> >Ham feel this is bad or feel superior about?
>>
>> Fear and insecurity. However, like I said...they're not all like that.
>Hope
>> this helped. Take it easy. :-)
>>
>> 73 de Bert
>> WA2SI

2054. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 04:25:28 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722002528.19785.00000114@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 131083

>>(4) What is 11m DX?
>
>A criminal activity whereby the operator attempts to establish contact
>with a station outside of the legally allowed radius of operations (in
>the us, approximately 155 miles). Such activity often involves
>illegally modified transmitters and/or illegally operated amplifiers.

The last sentence is horribly inaccurate. The hobbyists generally work DX with around 30 watts or so. (The standard output for a RCI-2950, HR-2510, Magnum 257, AR-3500, etc.) Truckers and those on the "superbowl"/ch. 6 generally use amplifiers. This is the type of misconception that crept into the ignorant statements by the ARRL & NAB against RM-9807.

Mark

2055. Re: The Latest R.A.I.N. Report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 04:39:55 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207212039.2c0e3cda@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131084

voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<22a5e694.0207191144.32028aa2@posting.google.com>...
> eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig) wrote in message
news:<20020719101720.13468.00001859@mb-ma.news.cs.com>...
> > >> merging ham radio's resources with those of other
> > >> personal radio services in emergency preparedness is laudable.
> > >
> > >The emergency preparedness orgs on this site seems to have written off
> > >CB as a useful resource. And guess who they blame?
> >
> >If you start the journey not believing, you've already lost.
>
> You're right. Sorry. Couldn't resist showing how amp junkies ruin it for everyone.
>
> -A

Shut the hell up goob lubener,go crawl back in the bed with your momma,slapnutz.

2056. Re: FCC question?George again, back peddles when wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 04:44:53 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207212044.46900534@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131085

```
"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<AIB_8.165$Nc5.14969064@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>...
> "KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
> news:u6z_8.202920$iX5.10630346@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >
> > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> > news:rmo_8.2907$M85.158903639@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> > > I asked for you to cite the California Law, now
> > > Kevin come back with "I talked with Texas DPS"
> >
> > Landfag direct us to a site that has Califfornias Penal code or ther Laws
> > regarding traffic I know you know all about the Penal code. This statue
> > exists all over the USA your just either to stupid to ask someone (Cop) or
> > just being your usual jerkkoff self. I have a better Idea go out and let
> a
> > cop who is shooting radar see you flashing your headlights to warn other
> > motorists.
> >
> >
>
> You just can't be civil, can you?
> Kevin first posted that is was against state and federal
> laws. I stated it wasn't, you jumped in and said it was,
> I asked for you to cite the laws, even the federal stature for it. Kevin
> responds with, I talked with a DPS official, you respond with go find it
> yourself. Tough considering it doesn't exists.
>
> Have fun today George, don't worry to much,
>
> Landshark

George the wannabe kiddie diddler doesn't have the capability to be
civil,you have to be human to be civil.
```

2057. Re: Uniden AM/SSB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 04:47:21 UTC
From: Jesse Stanley <superstar@frognet.net>
Message-ID: <WXL_8.4126$pv6.411157@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 131087

It doesn't have ssb. If you want ssb get a Uniden Grant LT

check out www.bills2way.com

No Junk wrote in message <20020719191413.13591.00001418@mb-ma.aol.com>...
>I'm considering a new Uniden PC78XLTW model radio. Any opinions on this
model?
> Thanks in advance.

2058. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 04:49:28 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3b8ed4.24267567@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131086

In <ahfjbg$nm0$9@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
<brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:

><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d36853d.21417564@news.cet.com...
>> In <ah5om5$2ng$1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
>> <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:
>>
>> That's when you went off the deep end.
>==
>
>No, you went off the deep end.
>I never tried to say you was wrong, but you seem to have
>that idea.

You are the one that is getting all defensive because you can't back
up your claims with facts.

>==
>> >That is at DC, nobody is trying to put DC up their antenna.
>> >Did you consider skin effect? Thought not.
>>
>> You still haven't come up with ANY figures for your claims, so don't
>> start begging me for more.
>==
>
>Begging for more figures?
>I never even asked for figures from you. Your imagination playing is
>tricks with you.

It's simple, brain: I provided figures, you provided none, yet you
asked me to provide more. Try reading within the context of the
discussion.

>==
>> >So, the rest of your calculation was a waste of time, as it was based on
>> >a very basic error at the beginning and so you fell at the first hurdle.
>>
>> Not until you show the figures that demonstrate that skin effect makes
>> a significant difference.
>==
>
>Seems like you are the one begging for figures.
>
>Try:
> Radio Handbook, 23rd Edition, p3-8.

I don't have that particular tome, why don't you quote some relevant
sections.

>==
>> Of course that will never happen,
>==
>
>Wishful thinking... huh.

I'm still waiting.

>==
>> >See above, regarding your failure to observe a basic fact.
>> >Or did you mean to ask "who is more significant, brain or Yeti"?
>> >I feel that is your real issue here.
>>
>> ...or maybe you can calculate the difference in the resistance of a
>> wire at DC and 27MHz,
>==
>
>Depends upon the material, as this affects the depth of penetration.
>For 22awg copper wire, the skin effect decreases the area actually
>conducting to around 0.024mm at 30MHz. This multiplies the
>resistance by about 13.5

That's called the ratio of AC to DC resistance, and it's actually
12.58 for 22 AWG copper at 27 MHz. But did you notice that for 16 AWG
that ratio increases to 25.36? That's because the AC/DC resistance
ratio increases with wire diameter! Or were you just ignoring that
little detail...

Now let's work these numbers in with the previous numbers for DC
resistance:

#22 has 16.459 ohms/1000 ft of DC resistance;
multiplied by 12.58 gives us 207.05 ohms at 27 MHz.

#16 has 4.094 ohms/1000 ft of DC resistance;
multiplied by 25.36 gives us 103.82 ohms at 27 MHz.

But we don't have 1000 feet, we just have maybe 30 feet in our helical
antenna. So;

(207.05 / 1000) * 30 = 6.21 ohms
(103.82 / 1000) * 30 = 3.11 ohms

So the difference in wire diameters for the same antenna is only a
difference of 3.1 ohms. That's a difference of only 6.2% in efficiency
between wire sizes in the helical type of antenna. Now let's compare a
straight 102" whip:

((207.05 / 1000) / 12) * 102 = 1.76 ohms
((103.82 / 1000) / 12) * 102 = 0.88 ohms

for a difference of 0.88 ohms, which is only a difference of 1.76%!
But even though the differences are marginally significant, I suppose
it might validate your original statement...

"...the wire up the centre is usuaully thin, which does not help with
the efficiency of the antenna..."

...except for the fact that fiberglass antennas are not "usually"
built with a "thin" conductor. I don't know how many of these antennas
you have cut, broke or disassembled, but most of them I have seen use
wire that is larger than 22 AWG. There are -very- few antennas that
use wire that thin or thinner.

>Not only does it increase the risistance, but the wire effectively
>becomes a cylindrical conductor. This increases the inductive
>reactance... from the ref below, 1.27 Ohm / cm of #22 copper
>wire at 30MHz.

The inductive reactance has absolutely nothing to do with radiation
efficiency unless it is so large that the antenna cannot be matched.
Now if you were to compare the inductive reactances of resonant
lengths of 22 and 16 AWG at 27 MHz... oh, but that would require you
to do some math, I'm sorry I asked.

Regardless, wire is a 'cylindrical conductor' whenever the radius is
larger than the skin depth. For 27 MHz, that's just about any wire you
can lay your hands on.

And it's funny that you didn't mention this, but the wire diameter has
an effect on bandwidth; i.e, a smaller conductor will have a narrower
bandwidth than a larger conductor. But again, in the context of the
continuing example, the difference is insignificant.

>Also, the radiation resistance of an antenna drops as the antenna
>length is reduced compared to the wavelength...

Sure, just change the length so it's no longer resonant. Are you
suggesting that you can't tune an antenna if it has a wire diameter
that is too large or too small?

The -resonant- length of an antenna changes according to the diameter
of the conductor. If you build an antenna using 16 AWG wire it will be
a different length -at resonance- than an antenna using 22 AWG wire.
But that difference (between 16 and 22 AWG at 27 MHz) is LESS THAN
1/10 OF AN INCH!!! Do you want to see the math for that, too?

> so the radiation
>resistance will be rather less than 50 Ohms, and can be as low
>as a few Ohms.
>
>REFS:
> http://wwwrsphysse.anu.edu.au/
> Radio Handbook, 23rd Edition.

Except you left out a few important details, like how the AC to DC
resistance ratio increases with wire diameter. What else did you
conveniently ignore? You don't do justice to your reference.

>==
>> Yeah, I didn't think so.
>==
>
>Thought wrong then, nowt new there.

Hablas Ingles?

>==
>> >> >FYI: The UK still has an antenna width rule, any idea why that is
>> >> >still in place when they removed the supid height and length rules?
>> >> >Maybe they also believe that width makes a difference.
>> >>
>> >> Maybe you can research your implication and see if it has anything to
>> >> do with this discussion.
>>
>> >The original rules set out...
>==
>
>You asked about this issue, now you do not like the facts.
>Tough poo.

You didn't show where it had anything relevent to the discussion.

>> but for goodness sake QUIT WHINING!
>==
>
>How about taking your own advice, you started whining. I was just answering
>your posts... something you claimed I am not and will not be doing.
>Or do you mean:
> "please stop, I am running out of ways to try slapping down you lot"?

No, I meant: "please stop, I am losing interest in your dribble".

>==
>> ...aw, the hell with it.
>>
>> **>> plonk <<**
>==
>
>Hahahahaha... by your own standards, you are running.

And because of that statement I have returned to demonstrate your
willingness to obfuscate the facts.

2059. Re: FCC question?George again, back peddles when wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 04:57:18 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3b9062.24666048@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131089

In <57ce2c1e.0207212044.46900534@posting.google.com>,
dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com (dr_sinister) wrote:

<snip>
Using your mindspring.net account for your aliases these days, twisty?
What happened to your webtv account?

2060. Re: How's biz, Train?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 05:00:16 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207212100.3d24d891@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131088

voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<22a5e694.0207201939.f606bed@posting.google.com>...
> tra1n@aol.com (TRA1N) wrote in message
news:<20020720071936.01129.00001580@mb-ms.aol.com>...
> > Interesting.....Does he have a valid tax ID for his state?
>
> Yes.
> LIAR
> >Is he
> >collecting sales tax for the state he lives in for in-state sales.
>
> Yes.
> BALD FACE LIAR
> >Is he paying
> >taxes on the income produced by what little sales he may generate?
>
> Yes.
> I CANT BELEIVE HOW MUCH OF A LIAR YOU ARE
> PLUS...this business is LEGAL.
> DAMN WHAT A LIAR
> > Train <-----runs ILLEGAL business.
>
> -A

Only business you are in floobner,is selling kiddie porn.

2061. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 05:03:04 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020722010304.08392.00001784@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 131090

>1. You have not proven that the 'freeband' freqs are unused.

Prove that the ARE used. All I hear is operators DXing around the globe on the "freeband".

>Adopting this particular stance just makes you appear more
>unsavory by the moment.

Gee, I don't want to appear "unsavory" to you Aaron. I wouldn't know what to do. I might have to throw myself off of a bridge.

2062. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 05:04:28 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020722010428.08392.00001785@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 131091

>Certainly if you are a police officer in that area it is very easy to find
>out.

Go to it. Find out what my job is and where I work. Please, I'd like to see you accomplish that.

Guess you're just afraid to reveal who you really are. Why do you hide behind an anonymous screen name?

2063. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 05:05:36 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3b9264.25179981@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131093

In <3d36853d.21417564@news.cet.com>, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
(yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

<snip>
>>How about looking back at the original question and seeing if great
>>mathematical formulae was asked for?
>
>Maybe you can look at the original reply and see if great mathematical
>formulae was provided.

I'm still waiting for an answer for that one, too.

2064. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 05:06:08 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020722010608.08392.00001786@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 131092

>Ah truth be told, you insinuated you were a police officer or someone who
>uses there radios I just wanted you to tell the truthsomething that seems to
>escape you.
>

So someone who uses radio is a cop? Guess there were lots of cops on 2m SSB
tonight.

2065. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 05:09:51 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020722010951.08392.00001787@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 131094

>> Really, so your saying your a police officer? What town? Dover Twp?,
>> Manchester? Toms river? I am very familair with all the PD's in your
>area
>> as well as most of the supervisors at them.

I missed the original post with this part in it.. again, there you go
ASS-U-M(e)ing things!

1. I never said I was a cop (then again, I haven't denied it either)
2. I never said I work near where I live

What do you do that makes you "very familair with all the PD's in (my) area as
well as most of the supervisors at them."?

2066. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 05:12:14 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020722011214.08392.00001788@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 131095

>Jeez, I guess the D104 helped the satellite monitoring a lot, huh.

The D-104 was a left over from the CB days. Since I plan on eventually upgrading, I wired it up to the D-104 figuring that once I do upgrade, I could get right onto 10m.

>It's
>pretty easy to read your old posts and see you had the RCI and the
>other CB modded for the freeband.

I sold a CB modded for the freeband. Does that make me a freebander? No. If I had an illegal street racer and sold it, does that mean I do illegal street racing? No.

2067. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 05:13:53 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020722011353.08392.00001789@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 131096

While I'm thinking of it, why didn't you have anything to say after I posted that nice big list of frequencies in the 150's range still in use in Monmouth County? Guess you can't take being shown up.

2068. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 05:18:32 UTC
From: bc <b@realtime.net>
Message-ID: <3D3B95A7.6070405@realtime.net>
Source record: 131097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

The "roger beep" button on my Uniden HR-2510 is used to select a 500 hz CW filter since I didn't need it and I like a nice narrow IF for CW. My "pa" switch limits the output power to 500 mw for QRP and transverter use. Again, a much better use of the switch.

Although the use of "roger beep" is questionable for amateur use (say lid...), it is used by NASA during space communications on occasion and more than one repeater that I know of, I guess they are using junk too...

10 Meter rigs made by companies such as the Ranger, Uniden and Radio Shack have been some of most affordable mobile 10 meter options for many hams. Much better than the converted 11 meter Diamond (CB) AM/SSB rig which I used in the '70's in my car for 10 meters as a ham while in college.

With mods (filters, alignment, etc.) some of these "roger beep" rigs perform as well as many "ham rigs" besides being useful (and affordable) for more specialized uses (transverter, QRP, RTTY, narrow BW digital modes, remote control via UHF, etc.).

As a youth I modified WWII surplus / commercial VHF equipment that was designed for non-ham frequencies for amateur freqs. in the '60's. Should access and sales of that equipment been outlawed too? Should we outlaw just about every modern amateur rig and HT made in the past 20 years since about every one has OOB capability with minor modifications?

BTW, The first mod I do on a rig is make it OOB RX/TX capable (if possible), you never know when you might need OOB capability for transverter or emergency use (allowed under FCC regulations in certain cases to protect life or property). Lock me up...

I bet you are real fun at swap meets and hamfests enforcing your way from tailgate to tailgate... Scenario at next Dayton, "Is that a Uniden HR-2510 on your table? I'm confiscating it and calling the FCC, wait here and don't move..."

You want a real mission, go after the E-Bay sellers and sporting goods dealers that sell GMRS equipment for "higher power" FRS use without informing the user that they need a license. Now that sucks...

CUL,
-b

tim wrote:
> bc wrote in message news:<3D3A419F.8090502@realtime.net>...

>
>>My Uniden HR-2510 is not junk. With the keyer, filters, chipswitch mod
>>it's one of the most affordable and versatile portable mobile rigs I own
>>(10/12/15). 43 countries, and 32 states on 10 meters alone during the
>>last sunspot cycle. It's been on many a backpacking and canoe trip with
>>a gel cell, solar panel and a dipole. When my dad was alive he used his
>>HR-2600 for our daily NC - TX sched. Sure wish I hadn't sold his. Its
>>also a great rig for local AM/FM use simplex and repeater use too. I've
>>used it for a downlink on RS satellites with much success also.
>>
>>Sure I could use my "big" HF rigs (Collins, TS-520, Drake "C" line and
>>TS-2000) but my baby is my modified (filters, keyer, tuner, etc.)
>>Uniden. Until I get one of those Yaesu QRP HF rigs that is.
>>
>>Take your so called FCC policing BS somewhere else and get a life. Bet
>>you look for folks with "illegal" scanners too? I have 3 myself...
>>
>>-b
>
> Guess I hit the nail on the head ! Look at how you respond ! But I
> still maintain that any good ham knows that the " roger beep " junk
> being made is not intended for ham radio , but for illegal CB use
> and out of band use. As radios go , the stuff is JUNK . Out of band
> operation causes problems to legal operation . Any CONCERNED amateur
> radio operator , which your not , should be pleased with any FCC
> response to the use and sale of this " roger beep " JUNK.
>
>
>>
>>
>>>>UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600
>>>
>>> Glad to hear this and read this information. Need to get this junk off
>>>the air and those using the junk off the air too. They cause to many
>>>problems to legal operations. I'll be looking out for this stuff trying
>>>to be sold and reporting such info to the FCC.
>>>tim
>>

2069. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 05:27:40 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <fJM_8.264289$lm2.13454896@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131098

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722011353.08392.00001789@mb-fn.aol.com...
> While I'm thinking of it, why didn't you have anything to say after I
posted
> that nice big list of frequencies in the 150's range still in use in
Monmouth
> County? Guess you can't take being shown up.

You didn't show anything up I can post old freqs to

2070. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 05:30:37 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <1MM_8.219167$Bt1.11758928@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131099

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722010428.08392.00001785@mb-fn.aol.com...
> >Certainly if you are a police officer in that area it is very easy to
find
> >out.
>
> Go to it. Find out what my job is and where I work. Please, I'd like to
see you
> accomplish that.
>
> Guess you're just afraid to reveal who you really are. Why do you hide
behind
> an anonymous screen name?

What like the name VHFradiobuff, many in the ng know who I am just ask them
they will tell you who I am and actually andy I know your not a police
officer. And I don't care what your job is other than you insinuating you
use police radios and can talk to them any time you like.

2071. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 05:35:32 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <EQM_8.219206$Bt1.11762268@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131100

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722010608.08392.00001786@mb-fn.aol.com...
> >Ah truth be told, you insinuated you were a police officer or someone who
> >uses there radios I just wanted you to tell the truthsomething that seems
to
> >escape you.

> So someone who uses radio is a cop? Guess there were lots of cops on 2m
SSB
> tonight.

So you tell me what you meant by the below statement then. Why would you
need to talk to another department?

Kungfuyou said:
>Or are you just going on your own experience of keying up police repeaters?

VHFRadioBluff said:
Only time that happens is when I'm working and need to talk with another
department.

2072. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 05:36:37 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <FRM_8.264401$Im2.13459863@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131101

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722010428.08392.00001785@mb-fn.aol.com...
> >Certainly if you are a police officer in that area it is very easy to
find
> >out.
>
> Go to it. Find out what my job is and where I work. Please, I'd like to
see you
> accomplish that.

Department of Sanitation, you are a Sanitary Engineer

> Guess you're just afraid to reveal who you really are. Why do you hide
behind
> an anonymous screen name?

Why do you care LOL

2073. Re: FCC question?George again, back peddles when wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 05:42:59 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <DXM_8.601943$352.124422@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 131102

Landshark Wrote:

... Kevin responds with, I talked with a DPS official, you respond with go find it yourself. Tough considering it doesn't exist.

It is tough to find laws that don't exist. I took the time and did some searching. I couldn't find a single reference to such a law Federal. Only thing I could find was Radar detectors are illegal in two states and legislation to outlaw them in two others is in the works. Aside from that Commercial vehicles may at no time use a radar detector. No nothing pertaining to flashing headlights or using CB to warn of an officers position while he is engaged in traffic duty (Radar, patrolling, ect) Now obviously if you walk up to an undercover cop and shout out "Heeeeeeeyyyyyy Andy shit you like terrible man. How's the wife and kids. Goddamn don't they pay cops enough to buy new shoes?" Then yeah you might be in some hot water. But giving away the position of an Officer on traffic duty is not against the law. Guess all those Southern California radio stations that encourage people to call in and let you know where the speed traps are set up, are in for trouble. They are reporting on the air the location of Officers, being a commercial broadcast station Not only would the police be on them but wouldn't the FCC be as well? Landsahrk gets the quote of the day:

"... Kevin responds with, I talked with a DPS official, you respond with go find it yourself. Tough considering it doesn't exist." Landshark

Dave

2074. Re: SolarCon A-99 Outdoor CB Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 05:57:09 UTC
From: Lou <tech@dp.net>
Message-ID: <ahg9ue$2h8n$1@news.dp.net>
Source record: 131104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

They're being sold Brand New in some places - cheaper. I had 3 of them brand new in box. Had it not been for E-Bay, I would still have them. I had them posted several times with no luck. Lots of luck.

Lou/Tech@DP.NET

"Ken Bessler" <kg0wx@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:XKJ_8.30556$jq5.20317@news2.central.cox.net...
> "Luke Perry" <doidy1@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:3a26ea1.0207211724.2eb4a130@posting.google.com...
> > For Sale: Three section base antenna in three easy-to-assemble
> > section and covers far above and below the traditional CB channels,
> > can be used for 10-meters amateur radio equiptment and withstands up
> > to 2000 watts of power, easy SWR calibration with two rings. 9.9dBI
> > gain total size 17ft 41/2 inches weighs only 2.2 Kgs. I might still
> > have some coax to go with antenna, and I will throw that in if I can
> > find it. Asking $50 obo. Used for only a couple months. Buyer can
> > pickup (Portland, OR area) or will ship. Please email me with
> > questions or offers.
> >
> >
> > thanks,
> > LP
>
> This antenna does NOT have 9.9dbi gain. More like 3dbi.....FYI. :-)
>
> Ken
>
>

2075. Re: SolarCon A-99 Outdoor CB Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 06:16:54 UTC
From: W6DKN <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <qrN_8.15878$Fb6.14854@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 131103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

...and you can buy them new for $35.00 ...

<<< Dan >>>

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3D3B715B.5F44F122@qnet.com...
> Hello Ken: Lets get it right:
>
> O dBi
> will NOT handle 2000 watts.
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
>
> Ken Bessler wrote:
> >
> > "Luke Perry" <doidy1@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:3a26ea1.0207211724.2eb4a130@posting.google.com...
> > > For Sale: Three section base antenna in three easy-to-assemble
> > > section and covers far above and below the traditional CB channels,
> > > can be used for 10-meters amateur radio equiptment and withstands up
> > > to 2000 watts of power, easy SWR calibration with two rings. 9.9dBI
> > > gain total size 17ft 41/2 inches weighs only 2.2 Kgs. I might still
> > > have some coax to go with antenna, and I will throw that in if I can
> > > find it. Asking $50 obo. Used for only a couple months. Buyer can
> > > pickup (Portland, OR area) or will ship. Please email me with
> > > questions or offers.
> > >
> > >
> > > thanks,
> > > LP
> >
> > This antenna does NOT have 9.9dbi gain. More like 3dbi.....FYI. :-)
> >
> > Ken

2076. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 06:29:16 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207212229.7c9edd4c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131105

vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:
Aaron wrote:
>>1. You have not proven that the 'freeband' freqs are unused.

>Prove that the ARE used. All I hear is operators DXing
>around the globe on the "freeband".

So if you don't hear anybody using a frequency -- it's OK to take it over? What the hell kind of a ham are you? Never mind, we already know.

It would be nice if we could all pick and choose what laws we obey or disobey based on if we feel a law has enough "proof" to be valid or not. But society doesn't work that way, Andy. If you feel a law is unjust, unfair, or puts undue burden on citizens, it is up to you to change it via the democratic process.

>>Adopting this particular stance just makes you appear more
>>unsavory by the moment.

>Gee, I don't want to appear "unsavory" to you Aaron.

OK, you're being sarcastic. But if you don't care what I think, then why are you fighting to prove that "freebanding" is justified?

-A

2077. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 06:46:44 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207212246.7102c340@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

bc wrote:
>10 Meter rigs made by companies such as the Ranger, Uniden and >Radio Shack have been some of most affordable mobile 10 meter >options for many hams.

Legit use of 10 meter radios such as the ones you name above is acknowledged. But for a real eye-opener go to www.copper.com and see what kind of radios are being pushed on CBers. They are calling them "10 meter radios" these days, complete with 40 channel selectors, 15 kHz channelization, talkbackm and roger beep.

Do you recall the cause of restrictions placed on RF amps covering 10 meters? Well, hams again have to suffer with more restrictions, this time on legit 10 meter transceivers, thanks to the abuses of outlaw CBers.

Don't take my word for it. Below is an excerpt from the FCC CALL CENTER script dealing with this issue.

-Aaron-

---

2. NON-APPROVED C.B. RADIOS

Q. What is a legal C.B. radio?
A. All radio transmitters and receivers which are intended to operate on the 27 MHz Citizen's Band (C.B.) channels must be approved by the F.C.C. before they can be imported, advertised, sold or used. The manufacturer must be issued an F.C.C. grant of equipment authorization, called "certification", as indicated by an FCC ID on each unit. If the radio has a label showing an FCC ID, and the ID can be verified by checking the Equipment Authorization database on the F.C.C./OET Web page, then the radio is legal.

Q. What kind of radios are illegal to use on the C.B. radio channels?
A. Any radio transmitter, receiver, or transceiver which is designed by the manufacturer to operate on the C.B. radio channels, but which has not been granted an F.C.C. equipment authorization is illegal. These non-approved radios may appear in several different forms:
1. "10-Meter Amateur" radios, which have been designed so as to be readily alterable to operate on the C.B. radio channels, and/or on other non-amateur frequencies in the frequency range from about 24 to about 32 MHz;
2. "Export" or "full channel" radios, which are designed to operate

over all, or a portion of, the radio spectrum from about 24 to about 32 MHz, including the 27 MHz C.B. radio channels; or
3. F.C.C.-approved C.B. radios which are designed so as to be readily alterable to operate on frequencies in the range from about 24 to about 32 MHz, which are not assigned to the 27 MHz C.B. Radio Service.

Q. What do you mean by "readily alterable"?
A. This means that the user of the radio can add, or change, its operating frequencies by simply flipping an internal switch, by clipping the leads of, or installing, a simple component such as a diode, resistor or jumper wire, by installing, moving, or removing, a jumper plug, by connecting or disconnecting a connector, by replacing a plug-in semiconductor chip, or by programming a semiconductor chip using special access codes or an external device, such as a personal computer.

Q. What are these other frequencies between 24 and 32 MHz used for?
A. These frequencies are assigned to many different licensed radio services, both in the United States, as well as in other countries. Authorized users include Maritime and Aeronautical radio stations, standard time and frequency stations, international broadcasting stations, amateur radio, and government stations. A portion of this spectrum is also allocated to radioastronomy, where scientists are attempting to listen to very weak radio signals coming from distant stars and galaxies. No radio transmitters of any kind are permitted within these radioastronomy bands, so any earth-bound transmitted signals can completely disrupt radioastronomy observations.

Q. I don't hear any other stations on "my" frequency, which is not one of the 40 allocated C.B. radio channels. So what is wrong with operating my radio station here?
A. You may unknowingly cause interference to legitimate communications. Many of these frequencies may appear, to the casual listener, to be unused. Some of the authorized users may be using emission types which can not be plainly detected. Or, their transmissions may be intermittent. You will not hear any legitimate signals within the designated radioastronomy bands. However, just because a frequency sounds clear, that does not mean that it can be used without proper authorization.

Q. Why do some people operate illegally on these frequencies?
A. Many of these frequencies may appear to be "free" of any legitimate users, so some people think they are "free for the taking". The illegal radio operators are commonly referred to as "freebanders", and use their radios as a form of hobby, similar to Amateur Radio. But for many reasons, these people choose not to obtain an amateur radio license. They operate on these frequencies because under certain weather and radio propagation conditions, their signals can extend all the way around the world, enabling them to communicate with other similar unlicensed stations.

Q. What can happen if the F.C.C. catches me operating on an unauthorized frequency?
A. You are subject to severe penalties, including monetary forfeitures, confiscation of your radio equipment, and possibly even jail time.

Q. I've heard that some of the "big name" amateur radio equipment can also be altered to operate on non-amateur frequencies, including the C.B. radio channels. So why are these radios not considered to be "non-approved C.B. equipment"?
A. First, it must be emphasized that use of this type of amateur equipment on unauthorized frequencies, including using it on the C.B. radio channels or other non-amateur frequencies in the 24 to 32 MHz range, is illegal, and doing so will subject the user to the same penalties as mentioned above.
That being said, there are legitimate reasons for some amateur equipment to be used on certain non-amateur frequencies, such as on military M.A.R.S. (Military Affiliate Radio System) and C.A.P. (Civil Air Patrol) frequencies. MARS and CAP radio stations are only authorized to operate on specific assigned (non-amateur) frequencies. With just a few exceptions, most of the assigned MARS and CAP frequencies do not fall within the 24 to 32 MHz frequency range. Although some models of amateur equipment (manufactured by companies such as Alinco, Icom, Kenwood, Ten-Tec, Yaesu, etc.) may be capable of operating on the C.B. radio channels, they do not display the assigned C.B. channel number, nor are they designed to deliberately facilitate operation on the C.B. channels.

2078. Shutup Goobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 07:33:48 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207212333.22268f98@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131107

Nobody likes you and you smell like garlic.

2079. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 08:52:05 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ahgh3k$i7d$5@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 131108

KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com> wrote:

>> So pulling over for someone speeding in your lane, by your
>> definition is breaking the law, by letting him pass.

> Now where did you dream this out of what I said. I said you cannot flash
> your lights or warn others that a police officer is ahead using radar or
> doing enforcement.
> I did not write the Laws so don;'t take your arguement up with me. The facts
> are if you search what I said you will find it to be true./

OK. Apparently you cannot find any specific statutes in California to
cite - and probably not Texas either. Otherwise, I think you would have
posted the cites to terminate the argument.

Try Oregon. You won't find any such statutes here either.

>> Please cite that law (California), your fond of that statement.
>> Cite the law that says you can't hold up a sign along
>> the side of the road saying "Radar trap ahead, slow down"
>> same thing as the cb.

> Sorry you can look for something to the contrary, ask a policeman better yet
> ask more than 1 If flashing you lights to warn of a policeman enforcing
> traffic laws
> if there is any statutes that they write.

The answer, according to my local police Captain friend - is a resounding
"NO!". He says the myth has been around for many years - and is being
continuously spread by a bunch of ignorant fools that should know better.

> Yes, I know you find it the same as talking on the freeband who am I hurting
> lol. Like I said Landwhale I didn't write the law I am just telling you what
> is commonly practiced across the Usa.

Commonly? So far, all we have is your claim. You have not provided a single
federal, state or local cite to substantiate your statements. Until you do,
it is just a bunch of hot air.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2080. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 11:39:38 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207220339.7b8fdffa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<22a5e694.0207211209.6cdd9658@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> >Don't forget folks: Anal
> >Aaron encouraged a guy to get his new amp modified for 11 metres!
>
> Good luck proving I'm "pro-amp", Richard. :)
>
> -Aaron-

You made the post and it's there in the archive for all who care to
read it. Or are you now into rogue cancels, too?

2081. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 12:01:12 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3bf37d.4155790@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131110

Crossposting snipped:

In <c5ecbb69.0207220339.7b8fdffa@posting.google.com>,
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

>voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<22a5e694.0207211209.6cdd9658@posting.google.com>...
>> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>> >Don't forget folks: Anal
>> >Aaron encouraged a guy to get his new amp modified for 11 metres!
>>
>> Good luck proving I'm "pro-amp", Richard. :)
>>
>> -Aaron-
>
>You made the post and it's there in the archive for all who care to
>read it. Or are you now into rogue cancels, too?

The archive at newsone.net doesn't accept cancels (or no-archive flags
either). Go there and find it.

2082. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 13:42:48 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0207220542.6b9b6dc1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131111

radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal) wrote in message
news:<20020722001846.19785.00000113@mb-bj.aol.com>...
> The truth usually is.
>
> >Good answer.............

Thank you both. Welcome back, Mark. That's what I tried to impart.
Some like to rewrite history, but I make a poor revisionist. I prefer
to say it "like it is" rather than as "it oughta be," (Very
subjective!) as I'd like it to be, or the FCC's textbook definition.
It's no longer what the FCC intended and, IMHO, what the "Citizen's"
Band oughta be should be up to the...ready?...here it comes...yep, you
guessed it...the "citizen's!" I think the vast majority of CBer's have
indicated (Albeit to the wrong people.) what they want from their
11-meter communications. I just want to see them go about it the right
way. CB/11-meter enthusiast wield much more clout than they currently
realize. The trick is to get them to pull on the same side of the
rope. The trucker segment of CBer's alone possess a great deal of
pull. Many of the hobbyists and fmr. hobbyists (Such as your's truly.)
migrate to AR and just maintain a minimal presence on the CB. I'm
still willing to write a letter or two (Or three.) to my reps on
behalf of the CB though. (Or crank out a bumper sticker or two. <ggg>)
Oh well, we'll see. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

> >714 Sandpile,
> >The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
> >"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
> >news:20020718213351.01641.00000810@mb-mg.news.cs.com...
> >> >This may sound like a strange question
> >>
> >> Not at all.
> >>
> >> >but isn't CB some sort of mobile
> >> >communications device used in vehicles?
> >>
> >> It can be, but it's not limited to mobile or portable (h/t's or walkie
> talkies)
> >> operation.
> >>
> >> >A CB operates on the 27Mhz band and has
> >> >40 channels, with channel 9 for emergencies?
> >>

> >> Correct.
> >>
> >> >This is a sincere question. I am
> >> >new to this.
> >>
> >> And you deserve a sincere answer.
> >>
> >> >From reading this newsgroup, one can conclude CB is more that that.
> >>
> >> The FCC intended the "Citizen's" Band to be a short range land-mobile
> radio
> >> service. Your observation is correct however, much to the displeasure of
> those
> >> who belive it should remain exactly as originally intended back in 1958.
> >>
> >> >(1) What is CB? CB uses some kind of slang language. Is this part of
> being a
> >> >CBer?
> >>
> >> There are as many different ways to talk on the CB as there are
> individuals in
> >> everyday life. The AMer's gernerally use the APCO '10' codes, the
> "hobbyists"
> >> generally stick to sideband and employ (albeit often incorrectly) the 'Q'
> >> codes, and the illegal high-power guys on ch. 6 use a lingo that I've yet
> to
> >> successfully interpret.
> >>
> >> >Or can one use ordinary conversation and still be a CBer?
> >>
> >> Sure you can. I QSO with the other op as though I'm having a friendly
> >> face-to-face conversation, be it on AR or the CB.
> >>
> >> >Can CBs be used
> >> >stationary,outside of your vehicle? Do you know anyone that uses it that
> way?
> >>
> >> If you mean as a base station, yes. You must use a 13.8 volt DC power
> supply
> >> rated for about 5 amps. (That's for a sideband rig.) This is my setup. If
> you
> >> mean portable with a walkie talkie, the answer is also yes.
> >>
> >> >(2) What sort of modifications or tweaks are permitted in CB radio?
> >>
> >> Very few. You can bend a little with some modulation adjustments, (Power
> mic,
> >> speech processor, etc.) but the output power must remain at 4 watts AM
> and/or
> >> 12 watts P.E.P. (Peak Envelope Power) SSB. (sideband)

> >>
> >> >Antenna
> >> >adjustments?
> >>
> >> Keep it at or below 60' and the sky's the limit. You are allowed to exceed
> this
> >> if you mount your antenna on a pre-existing structure, but no higher than
> 20'
> >> above said structure.
> >>
> >> >Power output?
> >>
> >> Again, 4 watts AM and 12 watts P.E.P. SSB.
> >>
> >> >CB accessories?
> >>
> >> Some of these can actually get you in trouble. (Theoretically, at least.)
> If a
> >> power mic causes your modulation to exceed 100%, you're in violation. Echo
> >> devices, roger beeps, and the like are theoretically illegal, but commonly
> >> ignored. Amplifiers are a different story. They're bad news so stay away
> from
> >> them.
> >>
> >> >(3) What is freebanding?
> >>
> >> Operating above or below the legal 40 channels. Generally, the accepted
> freqs
> >> are 26.0000 - 26.96499 MHz and 27.4051 - 27.9999 MHz. Pass the 28.0000 MHz
> line
> >> of demarcation and your in the 10-meter ham band...bad news.
> >>
> >> >(4) What is 11m DX?
> >>
> >> The CB is allocated in the upper HF spectrum. This supports a natural
> >> phenomenom known as sky-wave propagation. The transmitted radio waves are
> >> reflected off the ionosphere and back to Earth at great distances, hence
> DX.
> >> (Distance abbreviated.) DX on the CB is currently against the FCC rules,
> but
> >> commonly heard on ch. 38 LSB. (An unofficial DX calling channel.)
> >>
> >> >(5) Why do Hams hate CBers?
> >>
> >> Not all do and some are both, such as your's truly. Please see my other
> >> post/thread entitled 'The Latest R.A.I.N. Report' or visit:
> >>
> >> www.rainreport.com
> >>
> >> to hear a ham trying to help the CB.

> >>
> >> >CB is just ordinary communication, what would a
> >> >Ham feel this is bad or feel superior about?
> >>
> >> Fear and insecurity. However, like I said...they're not all like that.
> Hope
> >> this helped. Take it easy. :-)
> >>
> >> 73 de Bert
> >> WA2SI

2083. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims a lack of understanding,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 13:48:05 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d3c0b4c$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 131112

Yep, you prove my point.

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020720235051.245$x8@newsreader.com...
> Nope,you're an idiot.

2084. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 13:51:55 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d3c0c31$0$13949$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 131113

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020720191042.12673.00000018@mb-dd.aol.com...
> Kevin, you must be a shut in loser like George.

Is that the best personal attack you can come up with?

Kevin, WB5RUE

2085. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 13:54:19 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d3c0cc2$0$13954$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 131114

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020720235925.405$f7@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote:
> >>Whatever, Mopy.
> > At the time I was living in the town of Live Oak which adjoined Selma so
> > I had to drive through Selma daily. I asked my father about this thing,
> > he was good friends with the city judge in Selma. He said that what the
> > cops would do is drive up and down the highway and follow behind someone
> > with an antenna on their car. If they were SEEN using the radio at the
> > same time a "smokey report" was being given then they were nailed. The
> > person was stopped and simply recognizing the voice was enough evidence.
> > No Selma didn't get any revenue from the "federal charges" but it sure
> > put the fear of God into the person facing the speeding ticket so they
> > would usually just pay up and go away.
> >
> > Kevin, WB5RUE
> >
> You're so full of shit. Was your old man laughing when he told you that?
>
> --
> Go #40

With every message you prove my correct. You are a foolish child who
doesn't know a whit. I doubt that you were even born back in the 70's so
what would you know. Go #40? Is that the proof of that Methanol you drink?

Kevin, WB5RUE

2086. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 13:58:05 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d3c0da4$0$13949$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 131115

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ujmev2s02etb4e@corp.supernews.com...
> Yea the ol boys in Selma needed something to do since the feds wouldn't
let
> them burn crosses hang minorities and where there badge on there KKK
> uniform.
> Luckily most of those southern lowlifes with badges are in jail where they
> belong. And Selma is still as close to the 3rd world as u can get in the
US.
> Ken

I think you are talking about Selma Alabama aren't you?

You know Ken, I actually kind of agree with you on this one. But Selma, TX was the only small town in the area with NO taxes. No school taxes, no property taxes and no sales taxes because of the speeding fine income. Sometime in the late 70's the state of Texas told them that they needed to share most of their "income" from speeders on the interestate because the state maintained the road. It was a big hit to their tax base!

Kevin, WB5RUE

2087. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 14:04:19 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d3c0f1a$0$13953$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 131116

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D39EF90.FA5334F7@mailcity.net...
>
>
> TRA1N wrote:
> > I'm still of the opinion that if it is a radio
> > frequency and being "broadcast" on, you should be allowed to receive it!
This
> > is how the law was ORIGINALLY written but later revised to allow for
such
> > bullshit. Radar is nothing more than microwave broadcast.
> > Train
>
> Absolutely, if someone does not want me to pick up their
> transmission, then figure a way to keep if from crossing my
> property or out of my receiver.

Sorry, that won't work either. Back in the early 80's when local microwave subscription television was coming out there was a flood of kits that a person could buy and build to receive this pay broadcasts. The same thing was happening to satellite television companies. The FCC quickly classified the broadcasts as "point to point" and made it illegal to receive these broadcasts. Satellite television companies pushed this too but for some reason it didn't hold. The FCC told them that if they didn't want backyard receivers to pick up their signals they should start scrambling them.

Several law enforcement lobies tried to get police radar classified as "point to point" as well making radar detectors illegal. Trouble is that a radar gun doesn't have a specific receiver on the other end so it can't be classified as "point to point." With that argument defeated at the FCC the FCC reminded many of the manufacturers that it IS illegal to use either a radio transmitter or a receiver (radar detector) to aid in the commission of a crime. So if states wanted to really push it, and some have, radar detectors can be made illegal.

Kevin, WB5RUE

2088. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 14:04:49 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020722100449.06018.00000011@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 131117

>
>You didn't show anything up I can post old freqs to

Those were current frequencies.

2089. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 14:06:10 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020722100610.06018.00000012@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 131118

>What like the name VHFradiobuff, many in the ng know who I am just ask them
>they will tell you who I am and actually andy I know your not a police
>officer. And I don't care what your job is other than you insinuating you
>use police radios and can talk to them any time you like.

You are someone who didn't exist on the newsgroups before July 15th. Tell us who you are and stop hiding behind an anonymous screen name.

Obviously you do care what I do for a job. Go ahead and find out what I do and where I work if it's so easy to do. I challenge you.

2090. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 14:07:08 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020722100708.06018.00000013@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 131119

>So you tell me what you meant by the below statement then. Why would you
>need to talk to another department?

It's so easy to find out what I do and where I work, so find out... and stop
hiding behind an anonymous name. What are you afraid of?

2091. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 14:07:51 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020722100751.06018.00000014@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 131121

>Department of Sanitation, you are a Sanitary Engineer

Then prove it. Find out where I work and what I do. Stop hiding behind an anonymous name and tell the world who you are.

2092. Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 14:08:26 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d3c1010$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 131120

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:rmo_8.2907$M85.158903639@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
> news:Zfn_8.289865$vq.16029504@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >
> > "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote in message
> > news:3d39a666$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> > > Thanks Kung Fu Dude. ;)
> > > I just got off of the phone with a Texas DPS officer and he says it IS
> > > against both Texas State law and Federal Law to divulge the location
of
> a
> > > peace officer while he is in the performance of his duties.
> > >
> > > Kevin, WB5RUE
> I asked for you to cite the California Law, now
> Kevin come back with "I talked with Texas DPS"

Sharkey, look at my original post. You've been taking too many lessons from Twistyboy. I said it is against Texas state law AND FEDERAL LAW. Now unless California, the land of fruits and nuts, has seceded they are covered by federal law.

Kevin, WB5RUE

2093. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 14:09:57 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020722100957.06018.00000015@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 131122

>OK, you're being sarcastic. But if you don't care what I think, then
>why are you fighting to prove that "freebanding" is justified?

I'm not. I've challenged you and others to prove that a modified "export" radio poses more of a danger than a modified 2 meter radio, but it seems like none of you kids are up to the challenge. The only way you can discuss anything is by changing what people say and attacking their character.

Please convince me how a modified "export" radio is more dangerous than a modified 2m radio.

2094. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 14:47:25 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <1WU_8.247$Lw3.8996138@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131123

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ahgh3k$i7d$5@quark.scn.rain.com...
> KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com> wrote:
>
> >> So pulling over for someone speeding in your lane, by your
> >> definition is breaking the law, by letting him pass.
>
> > Now where did you dream this out of what I said. I said you cannot flash
> > your lights or warn others that a police officer is ahead using radar
or
> > doing enforcement.
> > I did not write the Laws so don;'t take your arguement up with me. The
facts
> > are if you search what I said you will find it to be true./
>
> OK. Apparently you cannot find any specific statutes in California to
> cite - and probably not Texas either. Otherwise, I think you would have
> posted the cites to terminate the argument.
>
> Try Oregon. You won't find any such statutes here either.
>
> >> Please cite that law (California), your fond of that statement.
> >> Cite the law that says you can't hold up a sign along
> >> the side of the road saying "Radar trap ahead, slow down"
> >> same thing as the cb.
>
> > Sorry you can look for something to the contrary, ask a policeman better
yet
> > ask more than 1 If flashing you lights to warn of a policeman enforcing
> > traffic laws
> > if there is any statutes that they write.
>
> The answer, according to my local police Captain friend - is a resounding
> "NO!". He says the myth has been around for many years - and is being
> continuously spread by a bunch of ignorant fools that should know better.
>
> > Yes, I know you find it the same as talking on the freeband who am I
hurting
> > lol. Like I said Landwhale I didn't write the law I am just telling you
what
> > is commonly practiced across the Usa.
>
> Commonly? So far, all we have is your claim. You have not provided a
single

> federal, state or local cite to substantiate your statements. Until you
do,
> it is just a bunch of hot air.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

I loved though how it was first stated it was against
state & federal laws, the federal part was what I had a
problem with not so much the state.

Landshark

--
"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young

2095. Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 14:47:25 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <1WU_8.246$Lw3.8996138@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131124

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3d3c1010$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:rmo_8.2907$M85.158903639@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
> > news:Zfn_8.289865$vq.16029504@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > >
> > > "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@qsl.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3d39a666$0$13946$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
> > > > Thanks Kung Fu Dude. ;)
> > > > I just got off of the phone with a Texas DPS officer and he says it
IS
> > > > against both Texas State law and Federal Law to divulge the location
> of
> > a
> > > > peace officer while he is in the performance of his duties.
> > > >
> > > > Kevin, WB5RUE
> > I asked for you to cite the California Law, now
> > Kevin come back with "I talked with Texas DPS"
>
>
> Sharkey, look at my original post. You've been taking too many lessons
from
> Twistyboy. I said it is against Texas state law AND FEDERAL LAW. Now
> unless California, the land of fruits and nuts, has seceded they are
covered
> by federal law.
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE
>
>

Cite the Texas law then, simple. Now for the tougher
task..... Cite the federal law, you can't, because
there is no federal law. Now remember Kevin, federal
law always has priority over state laws, so cite that law
that says you can't use a cb to warn others of radar
enforcement.

Have fun,

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

2096. Re: FCC question? now traffic laws, still wrong

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 14:47:26 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <2WU_8.248$Lw3.8996138@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131125

"Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:DXM_8.601943$352.124422@sccrnsc02...
> It is tough to find laws that don't exist. I took the time and did some
> searching. I couldn't find a single reference to such a law Federal. Only
> thing I could find was Radar detectors are illegal in two states and
> legislation to outlaw them in two others is in the works. Aside from that
> Commercial vehicles may at no time use a radar detector. No nothing
> pertaining to flashing headlights or using CB to warn of an officers
> position while he is engaged in traffic duty (Radar, patrolling, ect) Now
> obviously if you walk up to an undercover cop and shout
"Heeeeeeeyyyyyy
> Andy shit you like terrible man. How's the wife and kids. Goddamn don't
they
> pay cops enough to buy new shoes?" Then yeah you might be in some hot
water.
> But giving away the position of an Officer on traffic duty is not against
> the law. Guess all those Southern California radio stations that encourage
> people to call in and let you know where the speed traps are set up, are
in
> for trouble. They are reporting on the air the location of Officers, being
a
> commercial broadcast station Not only would the police be on them but
> wouldn't the FCC be as well? Landsahrk gets the quote of the day:
>
> "... Kevin responds with, I talked with a DPS official, you respond with
go
> find it yourself. Tough considering it doesn't exist." Landshark
>
>
> Dave
>
>

You are correct on the under cover cop deal, it
will get you in trouble for that. The original statement made
was that it was against State & Federal laws to use a Cb
to inform others of a cop using radar, I said it wasn't against
any federal laws and probably most state laws too.
George responded it was against the law and the proof
was that you can't flash your high beams, Huh? where's
that coming from? Kevin responds with I talked with
Texas DPS.
I too have talked with a CHP (relative)
a Sheriff & city cop, even a local judge (all customers, remember George, we

do sell and repair motorcycles)
they all said No!, no such law exists either state or federal
that they have ever heard of, but all that is moot. I still
asked that they cite the law that exists for such a crime,
George had enough time to dig up ten to fifteen posts on VHFbuff, so I
figure he's had the time to find the law,
Kevin, well he's to busy busting people for illegal radio
ops (just joking Kevin :^))

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

2097. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 15:58:06 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0207220758.6fdc870b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131126

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:<VTA_8.254677$Im2.12847780@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> Really, so your saying your a police officer? What town? Dover Twp?,
> Manchester? Toms river? I am very familair with all the PD's in your area
> as well as most of the supervisors at them.

Just going back and amusing myself with this thread... it's funny, you
claim to be "very familiar with all the PD's" in my area, but you are
not familiar with them enough to know that Toms River and Dover Twsp
are the same thing. What are your credentials? What do you do that
makes you "familair" (is that the French version?) with these "PD's"
and "most of the supervisors at them."?

2098. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 16:20:15 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722122015.09175.00000399@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 131130

I know I'm going to regret getting involved in this argumennt...but here goes...

The *radio* is not illegal, it's what you *do* with the radio that may or may not be illegal.

The Uniden HR-2510 is not an "illegal radio." It met the FCC requirements at time of manufacture as a 10-meter amateur transceiver and was marketed as such by Uniden. Back in 1989, I purchased this particular model of radio new from a licensed amateur retailer. There was absolutely nothing "illegal" about this radio, the purchase, or possession of same.

Of course, many chose to modify and operate this particular model of radio outside of the parameters under which it was sold. Further, many retailers chose to market this radio as a CB with "high power", "extra channels", etc. Such marketing practices are questionable, and such operation is obviously illegal.

Bottom line: the radio as it came from the factory was completely legitimate and legal. What was done after it left the warehouse may or may not be legal.

2099. Re: SolarCon A-99 Outdoor CB Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 16:20:17 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722122017.09175.00000404@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 131127

There's a sucker born every minute...

Remember, Radio Shack sold these antennas for $79.99 not too long ago.

(Of course, I got 3 on closeout for $24.00 last spring :-)

2100. Re: SolarCon A-99 Outdoor CB Base Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 16:20:17 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722122017.09175.00000403@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 131128

In article <3D3B715B.5F44F122@qnet.com>, Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
writes:

>Hello Ken: Lets get it right:
>
>O dBi
>will NOT handle 2000 watts.

0 gain???

Wouldn't it be comparable to the old Shakesphere Big Stick? If I remember
correctly, these were rated at 3.75db gain (?)

2101. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 16:20:17 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722122017.09175.00000402@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 131129

Aluminum is far superior to fiberglass in antenna construction. Consider that, before the government (CPSC) intruded into the marketplace, nearly all base station antennas were made of aluminum. The only exception was the Shakesphere Big Stick.

Fiberglass is a poor substitute. Instead of a large radiating surface (aluminum tubing), you get a thin copper wire which is surrounded by a foreign material.

2102. For Sale: HF Kenwood TS-520

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 16:21:38 UTC
From: Victor <vic@vt.edu>
Message-ID: <ahhbeubns1@solaris.cc.vt.edu>
Source record: 131131

For Sale:
Kenwood TS-520 transceiver in excellent condition. This is a HF transceiver
with a 100w output. The radio works and sounds great.
$ 295.00 plus shipping

Thanks,
Victor KE4HGN
victor1@vt.edu

Please reply to victor1@vt.edu.

2103. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 16:56:06 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <SNW_8.131121$JU3.60334216@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 131133

vhfradiobuff <vhfradiobuff@aol.com> wrote in message
news:5e5fccab.0207211437.2d1cc1bc@posting.google.com...
> "KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:<T8C_8.204976$iB1.10969059@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > Why do you want to know the output Andy? for the sat comms? Lol
>
> Research. Please find a post where I am using an amp with the 2950 I
> used to have.
>
> You really have no life, do you? Doing Deja searches to see what you
> can post about... here's an idea. Do you see that button that says
> "Start" in the bottom left. Click on that, select "Shut Down" and
> click on "Shut Down"... then go to your front door, open it up and
> enjoy the great outdoors.
>
> Let's see you tackle the original topic without trying to do character
> attacks. You probably can't. I also challenge you to reveal your true
> identity. We already know you are a Comcast cable modem subscriber and
> that you use Microsoft Outlook for newsgroup browsing (poor guy).
>
> Just to refresh your memory, which seems to be failing... my original
> post stated that 2m radios are just as easily modifiable as "export"
> radios and they present more of a problem because they have the
> ability to interfere with public safety frequencies, whereas "export"
> radios will transmit in frequencies that aren't even in use. Why not
> crack down on those radios?

Quite simple: The situation where hams are using modified 2 meter radios to
interfere doesn't exist! The instances of that are so remote, so isolated
that it is
NOT a problem! The use of modded CB radios and so-called "10 meter" radios
to operate outside the frequencies allocated to CB IS a problem because of
the
VOLUME of occurances. The difference, when taken on the whole, and in
comparison to the two radio services, is R E S P O N S I B I L I T Y! Yes,
I
could mess with the local police. I *could* worry the local ambulance
service.
I don't. 1) I am mature enough that the "jollies" gained from such
activity com-
pletely escape my understanding; it simply isn't "funny". 2) Such is
totally irres-
ponsible and dangerous. 3) The overwhelming majority of Amateur license
holders ARE responsible and mature enough NOT to get any thrill out of

engaging
in such infantile and stupid behavior.

Like so many "freebanders", you assert that such activities don't "hurt" anything since you are allegedly operating on frequencies that "aren't" used. But you don't
KNOW that they aren't used. Regardless, those frequencies are still "leased" from the Federal government by virtue of license--just like the 10 meter band is
allocated to licensed hams. Often these frequencies are allocated directly to the
US Government. Maybe, as you state, these frequencies aren't used, but they aren't "abandoned". For example, I KNOW that the area just below CB channel 1 is used by USAF's auxiliary, Civil Air Patrol. How do I know that? I am a member of that organization. Their primary responsibility has been air Search &
Rescue. For example, the first photos of the World Trade Center were taken by
CAP. I know from personal experience that these frequencies have been inter-
ferred with by illegal CBers. One instance was during a live air search. These
frequencies are used as tactical and close-in work. One is a 100 watt SSB frequency for work out to about 30 miles or so, another is often used on low power (50 watt) AM for flight line comms, administration, courier--all kinds of
support activities. This relieves the VHF FM circuits for air-ground, base to mobile--traffic directly related to air operations. These frequencies are NOT used every day, and traffic is sporadic; after all, planes and people, for example,
don't turn up missing on a regular basis in the same area. As a communications
officer, I use those HF frequencies as part of my local communications plans be-
cause they ARE effective for close-in comms, and they don't readily lend them-
selves to monitoring by scanners. Eventually, these frequencies will be encrypted
thanks to 9/11. If I hear somebody "10-fer thar, mercy me thar, go 'haid thar" on
those USAF frequencies, I'll drop a dime on the SOB in a heartbeat! I have be-
fore, and I will again. Yep, there's overseas stuff on there, too, but I can't do anything about that except forward reports to proper headquarters.

It all boils down to discipline, responsibility, and respect for other users. While I
can't do anything about those "freebanders" above CB 40, I can report bandits
(thieves) on those frequencies for which I am licensed.

Finally-----and this has been used before and IS valid inspite of the attempts to
shoot it down----if you buy, or lease, a piece of property, but your work doesn't
allow you to use that lakefront property except on vacations--maybe twice a year, does that mean it is OK for just ANYONE to squat on that property, invite
themselves to use your lake cabin, eat the food you stocked there because you
aren't "using" it? NO! It does not! And it is NOT OK to "invite" yourselves onto
others' frequencies simply because you "think" they are not being used.
That is the reason for the rules that exist--reasonable rules, actually, to provide for "fences" that keep interference to a minimum just like real fences keep cows from
wandering onto a neighbor's farm and eating grass intended for that farm's cows.
What a concept! Rules that allow all with a need, and even some with-OUT a need, to use radio. Yet, there always seems to be those who are never satisfied
with what they are given. They just have to have theirs and everybody else's, too!
That is why some of us get upset at the theft of frequencies to which the thief is
neither entitled nor welcomed to use. Get onto some of those frequencies (10
meter and certain military frequencies), if I hear it, my dime is at the ready.....

73

Jerry

>
> Now, if you can tackle this topic, I'd be suprised.

2104. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 16:58:23 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <_PW_8.131122$JU3.60335375@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 131134

VHFRadioBuff <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722010304.08392.00001784@mb-fn.aol.com...
> >1. You have not proven that the 'freeband' freqs are unused.
>
> Prove that the ARE used. All I hear is operators DXing around the globe on
the
> "freeband".

Prove that you have any right to use them! Prove that it is legal. Prove
that OUR
laws make it OK for US citizens to do the same on this so-called "freeband".

J
>
> >Adopting this particular stance just makes you appear more
> >unsavory by the moment.
>
> Gee, I don't want to appear "unsavory" to you Aaron. I wouldn't know what
to
> do. I might have to throw myself off of a bridge.

2105. Re: Shutup Goobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 16:59:44 UTC
From: bigbritches@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <2024693d.0207220859.1e8dc8a4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131132

dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com (dr_sinister) wrote in message
news:<57ce2c1e.0207212333.22268f98@posting.google.com>...
> Nobody likes you and you smell like garlic.

You got that right. Big Britches working this davemade driver is back quiet.

2106. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 17:32:11 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <KjX_8.131146$JU3.60342891@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 131135

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ujmfreqjdanl86@corp.supernews.com...
> Actually if you look at history the FCC allocates bandwidth when a band is
> too crowded and dealocates bandwidth when there is lil or no usage. Right
> now the big money is in wireless WANs and LANs with new technology like
> bluetooth etc. Throughput is the key to high data transfer speeds and that
> means the upper end of the spectrum. You hames need to worry about the
> bandwidth above a gigahertz cause the FCC will sell that in a second. I
love
> when you guys keep looking at 11m while the FCC is carving up your
realstate
> up top. CB radio is growing in leaps and bounds. The FCC will have to give
> us another 80 channels. It is just a matter of time. When push came to
shove
> we got 40 channels and the anti-cbers still cry about it. The reason we
got
> that was you guys left it alone and freebanders when in and took it and
had
> the numbers to keep it. You anti's keep forgetting that government exists
to
> serve the people and not visa versa. The people on cb need 80 more
channels.
> The clowns at FCC need to allocate then and Hollingsworthless tarries at
his
> own political peril.
> Ken

(Sigh)

And where will these "80 channels" come from? The 10 meter band is governed
by international treaty, so it can't come from there. Those so-called
"freebands"
are, for the most part, governed by NTIA (National Telecommunications &
Infor-
mation Agency), and that agency is more powerful than FCC because it governs
the government's use of spectrum, and the so-called "freeband" comes under
their
authority.

You already HAVE a place to go. FCC DID allocate more! They are called
MURS and FRS! An excellent idea because they don't normally "skip", and
more people can be on the air on the same frequency at the same time without
bothering each other. It is baffling as to why truckers need 200-500 watts
to
talk to the next truck to find out where Smokey is while messing up somebody

else out in Hickville, Arkansas. But then, if the trucks migrated to VHF, chances
are they'd screw that up, too. Hmmm, you reckon that is why CB isn't getting
more than it has how--other than the fact that FCC doesn't even control the sur-
rounding space?

Jerry

> "'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D37135E.F4DCBA3C@icok.net...
> >
> >
> > Dave,
> > It really wouldn't be that difficult to do. First,
> > do away with Part-95, no such thing as CB radio in the
> > US. With no legitimate market, the manufactures quit
> > making radios. No problem at all finding 'illegal'
> > operators, they ~all~ are. Introduce another bill such
> > as PL-106-521, making it enforceable from local levels.
> > Give it 6 months or so for the 'word' to get around.
> > Make examples out of a few 'die-hards'. Bang, it's done.
> > Would it be very popular? No. Would it be easy?
> > Not really, but not ~that~ hard either. Is it very
> > likely? Not yet, but it's getting closer. Don't say it
> > can't be done, because it certainly can! Push 'them'
> > hard enough and we'll all find out.
> > 'Doc
> >
> > PS - Link it to the terrorism threat and it'll be done
> > damn near over night.
>
>

2107. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 17:38:32 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <FpX_8.131150$JU3.60344103@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 131137

Still haven't contacted "Rabbit Ears", eh? I wonder if his cell is
airconditioned.
LMAO!

J

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ujmfv71826d6d2@corp.supernews.com...
> LOL dude you are SOOO right!!!
> Ken
> "slamfire" <slamfire_1@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:2d9f0340.0207192241.190d54e1@posting.google.com...
> > You guys must be on something if you think the government is going to
> > allocate money to track down "ha","ha","ha",CBers.Your all taking
> > yourselves way to serious.Get a grip,It's only CB Radio or maybe you
> > just awoke from a coma and haven't heard we are at war in several
> > places around the world and It's only going to get worse and cost
> > billions more on top of what we have already spent so do you really
> > believe they are going to piss away more money on regulating or
> > disbanning CB Radio. This rumor has been going around for more years
> > then I like to remember.Come on boys crank up the power and don't look
> > back.I live less then a quarter mile from the FCC and been running
> > smoke up their asses for years and never once got a knock on my
> > door.They could care less.
> >
> > (Ray R.) "Slamfire"
>
>

2108. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 17:46:56 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020722134656.06740.00000042@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 131136

>Prove that you have any right to use them! Prove that it is legal. Prove
>that OUR
>laws make it OK for US citizens to do the same on this so-called "freeband".

I never claimed that the "freebands" had a right to use them. I only wanted to know what agencies DO use the freqs? Don't give me frequency allocations, give me actual active users of the spectrum? You can't because no one is using them.

2109. Just Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 18:44:25 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <GnY_8.131456$JU3.60356770@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 131139

The following is one of the reasons I support all efforts to remove
unlicensed operators from the Amateur Bands.

J

>From: ^ <tricycleb@yahoo.com>
>Reply-To: SGUSA@yahoogroups.com
>To: mddf@yahoogroups.com, sgusa@yahoogroups.com
>Subject: [SGUSA] The ARRL will receive a $181,900 homeland securitygrant
>from the US
>Date: Mon, 22 Jul 2002 04:40:23 -0700 (PDT)
>
>Source: www.arrl.org
>
>American Radio Relay League--The National Association
>For Amateur Radio--
>
>==>ARRL RECEIVES HOMELAND SECURITY TRAINING GRANT
>
>The ARRL will receive a $181,900 homeland security
>grant from the US
>government to train Amateur Radio operators in
>emergency communication. The
>League was among several dozen nonprofit organizations
>
>designated to receive
>some $10.3 million in federal money to boost homeland
>defense volunteer
>programs. The grant, from the Corporation for National
>
>and Community Service
>special volunteer program, will provide free ARRL
>Amateur Radio Emergency
>Communications Course training to 5200 volunteers
>nationwide, starting in
>2003.
>
>"ARRL is the national association for Amateur Radio
>and is the national
>leader in emergency communications by volunteers who
>operate their own
>equipment on their time at no cost to any government,
>organization, or
>corporation," said the July 19 announcement from
>Homeland Security Director

>Tom Ridge. The ARRL plans to revise and update the
>emergency communications
>curriculum to incorporate additional elements of
>emergency preparedness and
>homeland security.
>
>ARRL President Jim Haynie, W5JBP, said he was
>extremely pleased by the news.
>"This adds legitimacy to the public service work
>Amateur Radio has been doing
>for years," he said.
>
>ARRL Chief Development Officer Mary Hobart, K1MMH,
>applied for the funding in
>May, inviting the Corporation for National and
>Community Service to become "a
>partner with the nation's oldest volunteer radio
>communications organization."
>
>"I think this is an extraordinarily exciting day for
>Amateur Radio that the
>role of Amateur Radio in homeland security is
>recognized at the highest
>levels of government," Hobart said upon learning of
>the grant. The League's
>grant application characterized Amateur Radio as "the
>bedrock of
>communications when other outlets fail."
>
>Citing Amateur Radio's response in the aftermath of
>the September 11
>terrorist attacks, Hobart said the federal grant "will
>
>help continue our work
>in providing public service and to protect lives,
>homes, businesses and our
>frequencies, as we have for decades."
>
>The League had sought a three-year grant of $541,750.
>The $181,900 grant
>covers the first year's direct program costs. The
>proposed budget includes
>the cost of a project coordinator who would be
>responsible for overall fiscal
>management of the grant.
>
>ARRL Chief Executive Officer David Sumner, K1ZZ, said
>he was pleased that the
>League would be able to extend its Amateur Radio
>Emergency Communications

>program to thousands of amateurs who might otherwise
>not be able to afford
>the program. "We hope all who are interested will get
>on
>board," he said.
>
>The grant announcement said that "expanding the
>opportunities for Americans
>to participate in meaningful volunteer service" is at
>the heart of President
>George Bush's USA Freedom Corps, of which the
>Corporation for National and
>Community Service is a part.
>
>"We are deeply grateful to Tom Ridge and to the
>Corporation for National and
>Community Service for providing Amateur Radio with a
>unique opportunity to
>serve our country," Hobart said.

2110. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 18:55:30 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207221055.2d323dd1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131138

vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) wrote in message news:<20020722100957.06018.00000015@mb-cn.aol.com>...
> >OK, you're being sarcastic. But if you don't care what I think, then
> >why are you fighting to prove that "freebanding" is justified?
>
> I'm not. I've challenged you and others to prove that a modified "export" radio
> poses more of a danger than a modified 2 meter radio, but it seems like none of
> you kids are up to the challenge. The only way you can discuss anything is by
> changing what people say and attacking their character.

Andy, Andy, Andy. YOU brought up the idea of the "freeband" not being used by any legit stations.

> Please convince me how a modified "export" radio is more dangerous >than a modified 2m radio.

"Danger" is not the key criteria that causes FCC restrictions to be placed on sale or manufacture of equipment. Is it OK to transmit wherever you want as long as it poses no "danger"? Wrong.

Jerry said it quite well: a volume of cases where hams are using modified 2 meter radios to interfere doesn't exist. The instances of that are so isolated that it is NOT a problem. The sale and use of modded CB radios and so-called "10 meter" radios to operate outside the frequencies allocated to CB IS a problem because of the volume of occurances.

How many more people does it take to convince you that a lame "what's good for the goose is good for the gander" argument does not hold water?

-A

2111. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 19:32:16 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <35Z_8.321392$vq.17645575@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131140

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ahgh3k$i7d$5@quark.scn.rain.com...
> OK. Apparently you cannot find any specific statutes in California to
> cite - and probably not Texas either. Otherwise, I think you would have
> posted the cites to terminate the argument.

I never ever said there was a specific statue that says " A Motorist cannot
flash there High Beams" but the towns do get you on obstruction of justice,

> Try Oregon. You won't find any such statutes here either.

Obstruction of justice it exists mental midget

> The answer, according to my local police Captain friend - is a resounding
> "NO!". He says the myth has been around for many years - and is being
> continuously spread by a bunch of ignorant fools that should know better.

Ok Bill I guess Your one police friend against my 5,000 lol I guess your
calling all these policemen fools then . Like your a know it all Nelson

>
> > Yes, I know you find it the same as talking on the freeband who am I
hurting
> > lol. Like I said Landwhale I didn't write the law I am just telling you
what
> > is commonly practiced across the Usa.
>
> Commonly? So far, all we have is your claim. You have not provided a
single
> federal, state or local cite to substantiate your statements. Until you
do,
> it is just a bunch of hot air.

Obstruction is one way they write the citation I will fid out hte others. So
Nelson you can beleive what ever you like I have more contact with law
enforcement in one day than you have had in 5 years I guess they are all
liars. You havent proved anything to the contrary so I think your full of
hot air.

> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

2112. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 19:39:30 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3c5d70.527334@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131141

In <20020722134531.06740.00000041@mb-fn.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:

>X-No-Archive: yes

Not any more.

>You're missing the point. The fact that a 2m radio can be so easily modified to
>transmit on public safety frequencies is a topic that people should really be
>concerned about because of the potential of abuse. Let's not rule out the
>possibility of criminals using this sort of equipment to jam police or even
>worse, terrorists using these radios to jam. If Radio Shack could do it with
>their series of 2m HT's and mobiles, why can't "The Big Boys" do it too?

As I stated in another post but you ignored, even though it is easy
and cheap to get on a Public Safety Pool freq, the potential for abuse
is small because of the very fast and very severe consequences of
doing so. The lack of history of such abuse supports this conclusion.

As far as the possibility of terrorists interfering with public safety
communcations, that's not likely to happen because not only would it
be difficult and expensive to shut down all comm in one area, the area
would be isolated, the interference would be quickly identified even
with simple devices, and subsequently destroyed. Besides, they have
much more effective methods of causing havoc than making noise on the
radio.

2113. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 20:34:53 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207221234.2cd77ccd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131143

vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) wrote in message
news:<20020722100957.06018.00000015@mb-cn.aol.com>...
>Translated: CBers shouldn't be allowed to have radios that
>can transmit outside of authorized frequencies, but hams
>should (and before you even go there - I am refering to
>ALL authorized frequencies, including MARS and CAP).
>That's quite a bold statement, Aaron.

The FCC makes that bold statement, not me. The hams in the group can explain to you why their licenses allow them to build and operate their own equipment from scratch, which includes designs that are "capable" of unauthorized operation. Conversely, CB equipment used by unlicensed CBers is required by law to be type-accepted, meaning no building, no modifying, no experimenting, no nothing.

-Aaron-

2114. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 21:43:41 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207221343.78228d2b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131144

vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) wrote in message news:<20020722100957.06018.00000015@mb-cn.aol.com>...
>you are condoning a double standard.

Yes. Exactly.

Amateur Radio = accountable, identifiable, organized, willing to demonstrate familiarity with the rules, willing to demonstrate technical knowledge, self-policing, welcomes increased FCC enforcement.

CB = unaccountable, unidentifiable, unorganized, not self policing, not compliant with rules.

One service has more privileges than the other. Can you guess why?

-A

2115. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 21:44:53 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <p1%_8.271146$lm2.13954203@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131145

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722100449.06018.00000011@mb-cn.aol.com...
> >
> >You didn't show anything up I can post old freqs to
>
> Those were current frequencies.

As of what date?

2116. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 21:47:18 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <F3%_8.225298$iB1.12024187@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131146

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722100610.06018.00000012@mb-cn.aol.com...
> You are someone who didn't exist on the newsgroups before July 15th. Tell
us
> who you are and stop hiding behind an anonymous screen name.
>
> Obviously you do care what I do for a job. Go ahead and find out what I do
and
> where I work if it's so easy to do. I challenge you.

LOL, So you went back to google again looking for me LOL.
I told you I don't give 3 shits what you do for work Other than you saying
you work for a or use the police radio to communicate with other depts.

2117. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 21:48:32 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <Q4%_8.323036$vq.17736505@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131147

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722100751.06018.00000014@mb-cn.aol.com...
> >Department of Sanitation, you are a Sanitary Engineer
>
> Then prove it. Find out where I work and what I do. Stop hiding behind an
> anonymous name and tell the world who you are.

I don't care who you work for unless it is a Police Department which is what
you insinuated that is what you said you did not me.

2118. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 21:52:33 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <B8%_8.220027$iX5.11742728@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131148

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722100708.06018.00000013@mb-cn.aol.com...
> >So you tell me what you meant by the below statement then. Why would you
> >need to talk to another department?
>
> It's so easy to find out what I do and where I work, so find out... and
stop
> hiding behind an anonymous name. What are you afraid of?

Andy, you re a real jackass I say it real slow for you If you are a
policeman in NJ I will and can find out rather easily. If you are anything
else which you now tap dance and say you are I don't give a shit i told you
you are a sanitary engineer.

who is vhfradiobuff? Is that a real name? Your a cop Andy do an
investigation and find out who I am ... lol you have been told many times
already. Your not a cop not in the least.

2119. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 21:54:10 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <6a%_8.229222$Bt1.12340261@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131149

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722100751.06018.00000014@mb-cn.aol.com...
> >Department of Sanitation, you are a Sanitary Engineer
>
> Then prove it. Find out where I work and what I do. Stop hiding behind an
> anonymous name and tell the world who you are.

Andy your a dispatcher was that real hard

2120. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 21:56:05 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Vb%_8.45336$lu6.2638106@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131150

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722165434.25121.00000083@mb-fv.aol.com...
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> >The FCC makes that bold statement, not me. The hams in the group can
> >explain to you why their licenses allow them to build and operate
> >their own equipment from scratch, which includes designs that are
> >"capable" of unauthorized operation. Conversely, CB equipment used by
> >unlicensed CBers is required by law to be type-accepted, meaning no
> >building, no modifying, no experimenting, no nothing
>
> First off, we're not talking about hams building our own radios. We're
talking
> about commercially available radios that come with the ability to be
modified
> to TX on a lot more than the "freeband". What you're condoning is hams
> modifying their equipment to be able to transmit out of band, while
saying it's
> bad for CBers to do the same. What about all those wonderful HF radios
that can
> be modified to open most of the HF band, to include the "freeband"?
> Irregardless of FCC regulations, do you think it's morally ok for a ham
to have
> a transceiver that can transmit there, but not for a CBer? Don't you
think
> there should be tighter restrictions on manufacturers of ham rigs to
keep them
> from making easily modifiable radios? If not, then you are condoning a
double
> standard.

Perhaps, but it is a double standard that has existed since Marconi. If I
wanted to transmit on
PS freqs in days of old, I bought a crystal, BFD. With my trusty plug-in
coils and the crystal bin over at World Radio Labs my old John Meck T-60
transmitter would go anywhere I wanted it to go. Then I built a VFO!
Wowski.

Quite often, military, amateur, and commercial gear were and still are one
and the same. Collins will go virtually anywhere depending on the set up;
a lot of times only the control head differs on VHF/UHF gear and only that
to get it type-accepted or whatever the current blessing might be. My
first 2-meter rig was a Marine radio in a grungy green case selling on the
ham band for half or less of what the same chassis sold for in a neat
white case at the local marine shop.

This is nothing new. What is new is the attitudes and self-justifications for being an anti-social nincompoop. Essentially you are arguing for regulations to save one from himself.

Yes, life moves on. In the old days, one could kill a pimp and never see a cell; now it is an admired occupation. Perhaps in this lies the answer to your question.

Dick N&#65533;BK

2121. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 21:58:03 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020722175803.25156.00000160@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 131151

>LOL, So you went back to google again looking for me LOL.
>I told you I don't give 3 shits what you do for work Other than you saying
>you work for a or use the police radio to communicate with other depts.

You're the one who said it's easy enough to find out, so I challenge you. Find out. While you're at it, post your personal information. I am sure everyone is eager to know who the newbie is to the newsgroup. Prove to us that you are a ham, as you claim.

From: KungFuYou (vengence@foo.com)
Subject: Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
View this article only
Date: 2002-07-21 10:18:17 PST

And the little comment about jaywalkin etc Andy what type of Cop
are you Really?
You look the other way for friends and other infractions? Are you really a police officer? If so what dept do you work for? why is it a problem to tell anyone?
It is easy enough to find out.

2122. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 22:04:04 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020722180404.25156.00000167@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 131152

>Did you miss his call sign,boy george? You don't know how to use QRZ.com ?

I haven't seen his callsign once.

2123. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 22:05:31 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020722180531.25156.00000168@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 131153

> Andy your a dispatcher was that real hard

Oh, ok.. keep working on it. Use your connections. Find out where I work and what I do. I invite you to post your findings here. I am sure everyone is eager to see you use your connections.

Again, I ask you to tell us who you are. Stop hiding behind your cable modem.

2124. Re: FS Cobra 2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 22:07:54 UTC
From: Dave Clemence <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********>
Message-ID: <ahhohhssj1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 131142

e-mail me for a Pic..... also have a Robyn SB-520D (uniden washington)

hillbilly drc
davesradio@alltel.net

"daves radio electronics" <davesradio@alltel.net> wrote in message
news:ahfs4c$4t7$1@iac5.navix.net...
> Cobra 2000 and 1 speaker excellent condition with xtra channels.
e-mail
> me for details... also have a Cobra 1000...
>
> drc
>
>
>

2125. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 22:15:42 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207221415.39e8942a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131154

vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) wrote in message news:<20020722100957.06018.00000015@mb-cn.aol.com>...

>X-No-Archive: yes

?

>>One service has more privileges than the other. Can you guess why?

>Ok then, will you admit that a modified 2m radio (able to transmit
>in the public safety band) is more of a threat to communications
>than one that can transmit in the "freeband"?

Sorry, agreeing to one fact doesn't automatically predicate agreement to another. Your "whole point" in starting this thread was to propose that I and others should not be concerned with "CB-10 meter radios" because a bigger threat to the spectrum exists from modded VHF radios.

This is false logic to begin with (e.g. one mustn't be concerned with small crimes because bigger crimes exist) and the same kind used by keyclowns (i.e. illegal ops) to justify their lawbreaking. Your argument that VHF radios constitute a threat is based soley on their potential, not any actual abuse.

You then set about to question the authority of FCC regulations for CB via purely subjective observations (i.e. the freeband "appears" to you, unused, therefore continued violation of international treaty, US Code Title 47, and FCC rules is justified and should be tolerated).

Now you're back to merely insisting that modifiable 2m radios might (in some fairtly uncommon circumstances) threaten public safety. If you honestly believe this is a real and urgent threat, you should take some action to prevent it instead of using it as an excuse to justify bootlegging and RF piracy. Write the FCC, the ARRL, your Congressman, the manufacturers. That's what I've been doing about phoney export 10 meter radios that are flooding the market and turning up in the ham bands.

-Aaron-

2126. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 22:38:34 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <xP%_8.132318$JU3.60435339@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 131158

VHFRadioBuff <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722134531.06740.00000041@mb-fn.aol.com...
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> You're missing the point. The fact that a 2m radio can be so easily
modified to
> transmit on public safety frequencies is a topic that people should really
be
> concerned about because of the potential of abuse. Let's not rule out the
> possibility of criminals using this sort of equipment to jam police or
even
> worse, terrorists using these radios to jam. If Radio Shack could do it
with
> their series of 2m HT's and mobiles, why can't "The Big Boys" do it too?

No, I am NOT missing the point. You completely missed the word that I used
at least twice. Read slowly and carefully: R E S P O N S I B I L I T Y! I
can
choose to park in a handicapped space because I CAN thereby depriving a
truly
handicapped person. But I DON'T! My car's steering wheel can steer the car
into places where it should not go. I can drive at 100 miles per hour; yes,
much
more dangerous than operating a radio. Many pieces of equipment can be made
to do things they aren't supposed to do to varying degrees. The operators
of
such equipment, radios included, are expected to have and to exercise the
responsibility to operate said appliances/equipment in a proper manner. It
is part
of our freedoms. Such freedoms also require R E S P O N S I B I L I T Y.
And BTW, recent Radio Shack radios are the least able to be modded.

Again, the truth is, there is a much greater history of CBers modifying
equipment
to operate out of band than that of hams--betcha. The sore that itches
attracts the
most attention. And instances of hams, terrorists, or anyone else modifying
2 meter radios to operate/interfere on VHF public service bands are almost
nil.
Unless you count Rabbit Ears. <<snicker>>

Jerry

2127. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 22:40:56 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <LR%_8.132322$JU3.60436305@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 131159

VHFRadioBuff <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722134656.06740.00000042@mb-fn.aol.com...
> >Prove that you have any right to use them! Prove that it is legal.
Prove
> >that OUR
> >laws make it OK for US citizens to do the same on this so-called
"freeband".
>
> I never claimed that the "freebands" had a right to use them. I only
wanted to
> know what agencies DO use the freqs? Don't give me frequency allocations,
give
> me actual active users of the spectrum? You can't because no one is using
them.

Civil Air Patrol uses ITS allocation in 26 MHZ because *I* am authorized
for
them.

Jerry

2128. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 22:47:46 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <mY%_8.324030$vq.17781900@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131155

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ahgh3k$i7d$5@quark.scn.rain.com...
> Commonly? So far, all we have is your claim. You have not provided a
single
> federal, state or local cite to substantiate your statements. Until you
do,
> it is just a bunch of hot air.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

From: William Marvin (wdmarvn@ibm.net)
Subject: Re: Flashing Headlights - New York Vehicle and Traffic Laws

In <3717b4e0.31734491@news.supernews.com>, on 03/22/99
at 09:24 PM, "Glen Stevens" <GlenStevens@prodigy.net> said:

While in New York State today, I performed my duty to other motorists and
flashed my headights to warn them of a police officer on radar ahead.
Another officer pulled me over and cited me for "Unauthorized Light" in
violation of Section 375, Section 2C of the Vehicle and Traffic Code. I
viewed a copy of the referenced section and can't see where it prohibits
such a flashing. In fact, another section allows flashing to pass vehicles.
Besides the First Amendment ramifications and the fact that Paul revere
could notify the colonists that the British were coming, are there any cases
under the above section which affirm that headlight flashing to warn
oncoming motorists is an offense???

-
William Marvin said:
>One man's duty to other motorists is another's conspiracy to obstruct
>justice. <G>. In any event, I don't know what the NY law says or how it's
>interpreted, but I know that PA has a specific section in its vehicle code
>making it an offense to give such warnings.

2129. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 22:48:55 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D3C8BDB.647B5AAC@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131156

KungFuYou wrote:
>
> I am sure it has Mark. People with radios are not saints

I was at a festival this weekend. They were using FRS. It
was fun to listen to. I didn't bother them once.

Some crowd people would hit the call button while they
were using the channel. Dumb asses.

2130. Re: Shutup Goobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 22:51:10 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207221451.77718a79@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131157

dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com (dr_sinister) wrote in message
news:<57ce2c1e.0207212333.22268f98@posting.google.com>...
> Nobody likes you and you smell like garlic.

Nothing wrong with the smell of garlic, but Anal Aaron smells like...
well, bovine excrement!

2131. Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 22:54:46 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <W20%8.220502$iX5.11780840@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131160

Section 38.15 is Interfering with public duties. Subsection c
says "It is a defense to prosecution under Subsection (a)(1) that the
conduct engaged in by the defendant was intended to warn a person
operating a motor vehicle of the presence of a peace officer who was
enforcing Subtitle C, Title 7, Transportation Code."

2132. Motorola mobile 2-way Mic to an RCI 2950?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 22:57:44 UTC
From: freebander@cfl.rr.com
Message-ID: <75e8526a.0207221457.2666d12e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131161

Would someone be able to tell me if a motorola mobile 2 way radio's microphone could be wired to work on an RCI Ranger 2950 or any other ham/cb radio? I don't care about having the functionality of the channel changers, I just want a good sturdy mic - not to mention the fact that I can get these cheap through a local surplus outlet! The mics that I have seen have 4 pin cables attached, I figured if wired right they should work fine but since I am not an electronis wizard I don't want to chance it until i asked!

Thanks!

Freebander

2133. Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 23:08:36 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Tf0%8.629950$cQ3.101117@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 131162

You kids are wasting your time. Kevin can not cite any law that prohibits the use of a CB to give away the location of an officer on traffic duty. There is none! I looked and could not find a single reference to flashing lights, use of CB, flags, standing on the hood and shouting, posting a billboard next to the officer, NOTHING.

Kevin, Selma may have or had a city or county code on the book prohibiting warning others by flashing lights, CB, ect. But there is no State or Federal law prohibiting it. I'm sorry man but you must be mistaken. I can not say that Selma as a city or its County does not have a prohibition on this, so your source may be correct about that area. If you think about it an Officer can pull you over and cite you for just about any reason they want. Blow your horn. They can cite you for that. Horns are for emergencies only. If you pull up to a buddies house and blow the horn to let him know your there, technically, that's a violation of California's vehicular law (if I remember correctly) So, in closing, while I can't find the laws you claim are in place if an Officer wants to pull you over and cite you for giving away his position all he has to do is come up with some whack vague vehicular code to pop you on. I know. I was pulled over when I was 16 and screamed at for 15 minuets because I was doing 15 in a 25. Now technically yes it CAN be against the law to go under the limit. But come on, I was the ONLY one on the road. This Officer took time out from a call to run to his car and follow me for 3 blocks and pull me over. I was polite, respectful, and had nothing to hide. Still he gave me a ton of shit. I deserved it for all those times I didn't get pulled over when I was dirty, but still. Know what he told my parents about the stop?

"I saw 5 white kids in an expensive car in the ghetto"

He then made some claim about having trouble with Skin Heads in that neighborhood. I guess he couldn't tell the difference between 5 Skin Heads and 5 wanna be rappers, the dress code is so similar, HA.

Dave

2134. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 23:10:58 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D3C9105.B58AC653@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131163

"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:
>
> Amateur Radio = accountable, identifiable, organized, willing to
> demonstrate familiarity with the rules, willing to demonstrate
> technical knowledge, self-policing, welcomes increased FCC
> enforcement.
>
> CB = unaccountable, unidentifiable, unorganized, not self policing,
> not compliant with rules.

Trolling = hiding behind a false alias and posting messages
for the sole purpose of responses.

Which categories do you fit in?

2135. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 23:33:49 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020722193349.00172.00000074@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 131164

>Trolling = hiding behind a false alias and posting messages
>for the sole purpose of responses.
>
>Which categories do you fit in?

Aaron Voobner isn't his real name?

2136. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-22 23:45:24 UTC
From: "r.r.c.b.auto-responder" <usenet@autoresponder.kom>
Message-ID: <ujp5t5fhs32s8a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131165

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D3C9105.B58AC653@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:
> >
> > Amateur Radio = accountable, identifiable, organized, willing to
> > demonstrate familiarity with the rules, willing to demonstrate
> > technical knowledge, self-policing, welcomes increased FCC
> > enforcement.
> >
> > CB = unaccountable, unidentifiable, unorganized, not self policing,
> > not compliant with rules.
>
> Trolling = hiding behind a false alias and posting messages
> for the sole purpose of responses.
>
> Which categories do you fit in?

While your at it, ask him if posting via Google is just for an added safety
precaution ?

2137. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:03:55 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D3C7F82.6848A68E@mailcity.net>
Source record: 131166

VHFRadioBuff wrote:
>
> While I'm thinking of it, why didn't you have anything to say after I posted
> that nice big list of frequencies in the 150's range still in use in Monmouth
> County? Guess you can't take being shown up.

Figure out how to respond to the correct post.

2138. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:12:38 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Wb1%8.181879$q53.4556892@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131167

```
"r.r.c.b.auto-responder" <usenet@autoresponder.kom> wrote in message
news:ujp5t5fhs32s8a@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D3C9105.B58AC653@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> >
> >
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:
> > >
> > > Amateur Radio = accountable, identifiable, organized, willing to
> > > demonstrate familiarity with the rules, willing to demonstrate
> > > technical knowledge, self-policing, welcomes increased FCC
> > > enforcement.
> > >
> > > CB = unaccountable, unidentifiable, unorganized, not self policing,
> > > not compliant with rules.
> > >
> > > Trolling = hiding behind a false alias and posting messages
> > > for the sole purpose of responses.
> > >
> > > Which categories do you fit in?
> >
> While your at it, ask him if posting via Google is just for an added
safety
> precaution ?
>
>

When posting from Google, the IP assigned by the ISP still gets posted.
Aaron uses AOL, and it really doesn't have much as far as newsreader
capability. So, he uses Google, and hopes that no one notices that little
string of numbers that says AOL.
```

2139. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:22:41 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <ll1%8.325057$vq.17857844@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131168

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722175803.25156.00000160@mb-fv.aol.com...
> >LOL, So you went back to google again looking for me LOL.
> >I told you I don't give 3 shits what you do for work Other than you
saying
> >you work for a or use the police radio to communicate with other depts.
>
> You're the one who said it's easy enough to find out, so I challenge you.
Find
> out. While you're at it, post your personal information. I am sure
everyone is
> eager to know who the newbie is to the newsgroup. Prove to us that you are
a
> ham, as you claim.

Andy are you really that big of an asshole? I said if you were in Law enforcement ie: a sworn officer I can find out. I don't care if your the janitor or not and never said I could find out if you are indeed the janitor.

2140. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:26:02 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <uo1%8.230039$Bt1.12448356@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131169

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722180531.25156.00000168@mb-fv.aol.com...
> > Andy your a dispatcher was that real hard
>
> Oh, ok.. keep working on it. Use your connections. Find out where I work
and
> what I do. I invite you to post your findings here. I am sure everyone is
eager
> to see you use your connections.

Andy, answer a simple question are you a sworn police officer? if not then I don't care what you do are you that friggin stupid . oh well skip that question. If you are I told you I can find out where. Other than that do you want a hankie because all your crying is really pitiful

> Again, I ask you to tell us who you are. Stop hiding behind your cable
modem.

You are in law enforcement or do you just talk on there radios? use your connections.

2141. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:27:23 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <Lp1%8.325109$vq.17860656@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131170

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020722175326.436$t2@newsreader.com...
> voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> >>CB = unaccountable, unidentifiable, unorganized, not self policing,
> > not compliant with rules.
> >
> > One service has more privileges than the other. Can you guess why?
> >
> > -A
> >
> Can't guess why you spend most of your life posting in a CB group.

Moped you have the highest amount of posts to this group month after month
what does that say about you

2142. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:28:15 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207221628.543327cd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131171

ï¿½Randy wrote:
>When posting from Google, the IP assigned by the ISP still gets
>posted. Aaron uses AOL, and it really doesn't have much as far
>as newsreader capability. So, he uses Google, and hopes
>that no one notices that little string of numbers that says AOL.

BFD, Randy. Keep collecting data on me. I get chuckle from the idea
that this is the only newsgroup on Usenet where people who advocate
obeying the law are "tracked".

-Aaron-

2143. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:29:45 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <Zr1%8.325135$vq.17863226@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131172

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722180404.25156.00000167@mb-fv.aol.com...
> >Did you miss his call sign,boy george? You don't know how to use QRZ.com
?
>
> I haven't seen his callsign once.

Why would I this is a Cb Ng and there is no prerequisite to use one.

2144. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:30:36 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020722203036.01451.00000172@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 131173

>Figure out how to respond to the correct post.

Not my fault AOL's newsgroup reader sucks.

2145. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:33:58 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D3C868D.C6103304@mailcity.net>
Source record: 131174

VHFRadioBuff wrote:
>
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> >The FCC makes that bold statement, not me. The hams in the group can
> >explain to you why their licenses allow them to build and operate
> >their own equipment from scratch, which includes designs that are
> >"capable" of unauthorized operation. Conversely, CB equipment used by
> >unlicensed CBers is required by law to be type-accepted, meaning no
> >building, no modifying, no experimenting, no nothing
>
> First off, we're not talking about hams building our own radios. We're talking
> about commercially available radios that come with the ability to be modified
> to TX on a lot more than the "freeband". What you're condoning is hams
> modifying their equipment to be able to transmit out of band, while saying it's
> bad for CBers to do the same.

Why would cbers need to do this? There are 40 legal cb channels
that are
to be used if you want to operate cb. Those so called "freeband"
frequencies are allocated to other licensed services.

> What about all those wonderful HF radios that can
> be modified to open most of the HF band, to include the "freeband"?
> Irregardless of FCC regulations, do you think it's morally ok for a ham to have
> a transceiver that can transmit there, but not for a CBer?

Anyone can own a radio capable of operating on the HF band
including those so called "freeband" frequencies. Only the ham is
legal to use it as long as he operates according to part 97 of the
FCC rules governing amateur radio. If a "cber" owns it and uses
it, he is illegal.

> Don't you think
> there should be tighter restrictions on manufacturers of ham rigs to keep them
> from making easily modifiable radios? If not, then you are condoning a double
> standard.

No double standard here, amateur radio and cb are two entirely
different services with two entirely different standards. A ham
can modify his equipment any way he wishes, and as long as he
operates it within the rules governing amateur radio he is legal,
even if the radio will transmit on frequencies from DC to
daylight. Amateur radio does not require type acceptance. On the
other hand, radios used in the cb service must be type accepted,
when modifications (such as extending the capabilities of the

frequencies it can transmit on) are made to a cb radio it no longer qualifies for type acceptance and is an illegal radio for the cber to use even if the cber only uses it on the legal cb channels.

Irregardless isn't a word.

2146. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:37:00 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <My1%8.221976$iX5.11847894@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131175

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722180334.25156.00000166@mb-fv.aol.com...
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> >Andy, you re a real jackass I say it real slow for you If you are a
> >policeman in NJ I will and can find out rather easily.
>
> Then find out! Use your connections and post the information here. I give
you
> permission.

you give me permission to what?

> I have not been told once who you are. You know who I am. Stop hiding
behind
> your anonymous comcast.com address and give us all the information on it.
> What's your callsign? What are you afraid of? That everyone will find out
that
> you're a fraud?

I am kungfoyou you know my name How am I a fraud by calling your bluff that
makes you the fraud. How is comcast anonymous if you know it is comcast .
Ill tell you what Andy I will go easier on you since i see your not real
bright. Use your connection Andrew you hooked up with the Pd's right thats
what you said.

2147. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:37:57 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D3C877D.515FA5AC@mailcity.net>
Source record: 131176

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> >>The hams in the group can
> > explain to you why their licenses allow them to build and operate
> > their own equipment from scratch, which includes designs that are
> > "capable" of unauthorized operation. Conversely, CB equipment used by
> > unlicensed CBers is required by law to be type-accepted, meaning no
> > building, no modifying, no experimenting, no nothing.
> >
> > -Aaron-
> >
> Is a low pass filter illegal for a CBer to use?
>
> Is it type-accepted for 11 meters,or is that illegal too?

A low pass filter does not require modification to the radio, does
not increase the output power, does not have to be type accepted,
therefore not illegal for a cber to use.

2148. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims a lack of understanding,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:38:58 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <BA1%8.325317$vq.17870327@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131177

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020722173108.532$kG@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>Yep, you prove my point.
> >
> Thanks,I try. Your point is to give CBer's a hard time,and yes I've proved
> it several times,already.
>
> BTW,I see you've learned to snip..shouldn't take you too much longer to
> learn how to respond.
>
> Welcome to OE.

troll troll troll

2149. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:38:59 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <DA1%8.161297$eF5.4293968@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131178

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> scratched in his litter box:
news:22a5e694.0207221628.543327cd@posting.google.com...
> Randy wrote:
> >When posting from Google, the IP assigned by the ISP still gets
> >posted. Aaron uses AOL, and it really doesn't have much as far
> >as newsreader capability. So, he uses Google, and hopes
> >that no one notices that little string of numbers that says AOL.
>
> BFD, Randy. Keep collecting data on me. I get chuckle from the idea
> that this is the only newsgroup on Usenet where people who advocate
> obeying the law are "tracked".
>
> -Aaron-

Just explaining, Aaron. Don't get pissy.

BTW, how's the biddness going? Should I look for you on NASDAQ soon?

-Randy-

2150. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:41:21 UTC
From: KAXN-9546 <ab2hr@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <atgpjuo8et5i743jfcg43r5vm47sq9k18r@4ax.com>
Source record: 131179

On 22 Jul 2002 04:25:28 GMT, radiohead11m@aol.com (Mark Pearsal)
wrote:

>>>(4) What is 11m DX?
>>
>>A criminal activity whereby the operator attempts to establish contact
>>with a station outside of the legally allowed radius of operations (in
>>the us, approximately 155 miles). Such activity often involves
>>illegally modified transmitters and/or illegally operated amplifiers.
>
>The last sentence is horribly inaccurate. The hobbyists generally work DX with
>around 30 watts or so. (The standard output for a RCI-2950, HR-2510, Magnum
>257, AR-3500, etc.) Truckers and those on the "superbowl"/ch. 6 generally use
>amplifiers. This is the type of misconception that crept into the ignorant
>statements by the ARRL & NAB against RM-9807.
>
>Mark

Ummmm.... is not 30 watts of output power illegal for 11 meter
operation in the US? Yes... I thought it was. Guess that makes YOUR
first sentence horribly inaccurate, doesn't it?

Raymond Sirois KAXN-9546
SysOp: The Lost Chord BBS
607-733-5745
telnet://thelostchord.dns2go.com:6000

2151. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:43:16 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <EE1%8.222032$iX5.11851850@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131180

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020722190323.244$FL@newsreader.com...
> "KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote:
> >>Section 38.15 is Interfering with public duties. Subsection c
> > says "It is a defense to prosecution under Subsection (a)(1) that the
> > conduct engaged in by the defendant was intended to warn a person
> > operating a motor vehicle of the presence of a peace officer who was
> > enforcing Subtitle C, Title 7, Transportation Code."
> >
> And what does this have to do with the origonal post,where muenzler said:

Read the Header you Putz, no one said it had anything to do with Kevins post
go troll your boat somewhere else this lake is taken.

2152. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:44:45 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <1G1%8.222045$iX5.11852620@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131181

Jerry, you just hit them with the knockout punch if he cannot understand this just lay off him he is a mental midget.

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:xP%_8.132318$JU3.60435339@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> VHFRadioBuff <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
> news:20020722134531.06740.00000041@mb-fn.aol.com...
> > X-No-Archive: yes
> >
> > You're missing the point. The fact that a 2m radio can be so easily
> modified to
> > transmit on public safety frequencies is a topic that people should
really
> be
> > concerned about because of the potential of abuse. Let's not rule out
the
> > possibility of criminals using this sort of equipment to jam police or
> even
> > worse, terrorists using these radios to jam. If Radio Shack could do it
> with
> > their series of 2m HT's and mobiles, why can't "The Big Boys" do it too?
>
> No, I am NOT missing the point. You completely missed the word that I used
> at least twice. Read slowly and carefully: R E S P O N S I B I L I T Y!
I
> can
> choose to park in a handicapped space because I CAN thereby depriving a
> truly
> handicapped person. But I DON'T! My car's steering wheel can steer the
car
> into places where it should not go. I can drive at 100 miles per hour;
yes,
> much
> more dangerous than operating a radio. Many pieces of equipment can be
made
> to do things they aren't supposed to do to varying degrees. The operators
> of
> such equipment, radios included, are expected to have and to exercise the
> responsibility to operate said appliances/equipment in a proper manner. It
> is part
> of our freedoms. Such freedoms also require R E S P O N S I B I L I T Y.
> And BTW, recent Radio Shack radios are the least able to be modded.
>

> Again, the truth is, there is a much greater history of CBers modifying
> equipment
> to operate out of band than that of hams--betcha. The sore that itches
> attracts the
> most attention. And instances of hams, terrorists, or anyone else
modifying
> 2 meter radios to operate/interfere on VHF public service bands are almost
> nil.
> Unless you count Rabbit Ears. <<snicker>>
>
> Jerry
>
>
>

2153. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:44:58 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D3C8922.607405FE@mailcity.net>
Source record: 131182

VHFRadioBuff wrote:

>
> Gee, I don't want to appear "unsavory" to you Aaron. I wouldn't know what to
> do. I might have to throw myself off of a bridge.

That is the best suggestion you have posted so far.

2154. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:45:59 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <bH1%8.275698$Im2.14104175@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131183

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:LR%_8.132322$JU3.60436305@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> VHFRadioBuff <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
> news:20020722134656.06740.00000042@mb-fn.aol.com...
> > >Prove that you have any right to use them! Prove that it is legal.
> Prove
> > >that OUR
> > >laws make it OK for US citizens to do the same on this so-called
> "freeband".

> Civil Air Patrol uses ITS allocation in 26 MHZ because *I* am authorized
> for
> them.
>
> Jerry

Jerry he is going to tell you that is not part of the freeband his freeband
starts at 27.405 to 27.999 LOL he is a horses ass just look at his picture,.
LOL

2155. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:47:34 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <GI1%8.227250$iB1.12171056@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131184

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ahgh3k$i7d$5@quark.scn.rain.com...
> Commonly? So far, all we have is your claim. You have not provided a
single
> federal, state or local cite to substantiate your statements. Until you
do,
> it is just a bunch of hot air.
>

Ok lets see how many different Countrys say it is illegal Usa, England, France ,Switzerland, Australia, and as far as states, wow TX, Mich, PA, NY, NJ, Colorado Missouri, etc etc read closely and take your time don't want you to miss anything

Tony M. Kimmell
Subject: Re: flashing headlights speed traps
"Tony M. Kimmell" <bigchevy80@aol.comAOLSUCKS> wrote in message
news:20010922215551.21981.00000326@mb-fj.aol.com...
> >> The reason this practice has stopped is because the police have started
> >> giving out "Improper use of Equipment", etc. tickets to people who
signal
> >> others of the traps in this way. I know because I've been issued one.
The cop that gave me the warning ran all through it. He said it's almost impossible to win unless you could prove you were flashing at a friend or something and the friend would have had to pull over and stop. (That was my line, small town so the cop gave me a break as the 'friend' didn't stop)

From: Ken Creary (lostark@cloud9.net)
Subject: Re: Flashing headlights
Newsgroups: rec.autos.misc
View this article only
Date: 1994-08-28 18:52:26 PST

In article <1994Aug26.081647@is.morgan.com> jwolf@is.morgan.com (John Wolf)
writes:
>From: jwolf@is.morgan.com (John Wolf)
>Subject: Re: Flashing headlights
>Date: Fri, 26 Aug 1994 12:16:47 GMT
> Arvada, CO
>It's unofficial here in NY (Long Island, for me), but very, very common.
>Can't say I know of anyone who has been ticketed for it though.....

>John

I was stopped (not ticketed) here in White Plains, NY and the officer informed
me that he could cite me for interfering in the performance of the duties of a
police officer (or something like that.) Don't know if that's for real, but I
sure don't need the hassle of going to court to fight it (which I would!)
Ken
Ken Creary lostark@cloud9.net
White Plains, NY

From: Nospamtoday (Nospamtoday@spamfree.com)
Subject: Re: flashing headlights speed traps
Newsgroups: rec.autos.4x4
View this article only
Date: 2001-09-24 14:14:18 PST

and take their CB radios away because they use them for the same thing.
actually I remember a sheriff or some such equivalent clown doing just that
in northern California a few years ago

From: Jerry Dallmann (jdd0403@mcdata.com)Subject: Re: Flashing headlights
Newsgroups: rec.autos.misc
Bryon Colby (scolby@asc.upenn.edu) wrote:

:I'm not sure that this was the correct board on which to post this
question,
:but hopefully people can help me out with this. I have a debate going with
:someone concerning whether it is a kind of "unofficial signal" to flash
your
:headlights at oncoming cars to alert them to a speed trap.

:Is this correct? :thanks
:bryon People do this quite commonly in the mid-west, although here in
Colorado
it is not only "unofficial", but illegal. You can be ticketed for it.

Jerry Dallmann
Arvada, CO

From: robert i kesten
Subject: Prosecutions For Flashing Headlights
Newsgroups: rec.autos.driving

Sometime in the past few years I read of at least one case (in
Connecticut, I believe) and perhaps others were a motorist was prosecuted
for interference with police because he flashed his headlights
to warn oncoming cars of a speed trap.

From: Sam Sapienza (sapienza@umcc.umcc.umich.edu)Subject: Re: Flashing
headlights Newsgroups: rec.autos.miscView this article only Date: 1994-08-29
14:43:13 PST Moved here from Virginia. Its very common in Virginia and East
Coast.
Rare here in Michigan... though I'm trying to change that...

Illegal here too... but who cares...

From: Roberto Divia (Roberto@suds05.cern.ch)Subject: Re: Flashing headlights
Newsgroups: rec.autos.miscView this article only Date: 1994-08-31 06:03:26
PST In article <scolby.296.000D7085@asc.upenn.edu>, scolby@asc.upenn.edu
(Bryon Colby) writes:
>
> I'm not sure that this was the correct board on which to post this
question,
> but hopefully people can help me out with this. I have a debate going
with
> someone concerning whether it is a kind of "unofficial signal" to flash
your
> headlights at oncoming cars to alert them to a speed trap.

It's illegal in Switzerland. I think it's illegal in France too, but I'm not
100% sure.

Happy flashing,
==========
========== From:
:peterm@temss2.main.temple.edu?C>Message-ID:_<344pg0$e49@
(:peterm@temss2.main.temple.edu?C>Message-ID:_<344pg0$e49@)Subject: Re:
Flashing headlights Newsgroups: rec.autos.misc Date: 1994-09-18 10:12:12 PST
onkite.ocis.temple.edu>
IC>Newsgroups: rec.autos.misc

IC>After asking several police officers, my brother, brother-in-law,
IC>good friend, who all work for different municipalities in PA, about
IC>the lagality of flashing
[stuff deleted]

IC>This is only regarding PA though.

Yeah there was a case last year here in a Saint Louis suburb of
a guy getting a ticket for flashing his headlights to warn oncoming
traffic of a cop laying in wait. This particular blood-sucking little
speed trap of a town wrote a law covering it and then stationed another
cop up the road from the trap to catch helpful drivers.

Ah
well...---------- From: Chookie (daviswa@iinet.net.au)Subject: Re: flashing headlights speed traps Newsgroups: alt.fan.landroverView: Complete Thread (40 articles) | Original Format Date: 2001-09-20 18:40:12 PST When living in Queensland, I was charged with "having defective headlights"
as they were flashing on & off as I was driving down the road....it was either that, or "hindering police duties...make you choice son" :-(
This was week after the Christmas New Year period during which the police commissioner was encouraging headlight flashing as it did indeed slow down drivers!
Bloody Queensland coppers!

Here in Western Australia it is illegal but all of the possible camera locations are broadcast the night before on the TV and in the morning on the radio. Radar detectors are still legal here and people are encouraged to phone in and report any sightings to the radio stations. So really, your odds of getting snapped are greatly reduced but as I found out last week, not entirely eliminated :-{

--
Rik Fowler
Perth, Western Australia
From: Phil Smith (phils@tiac.net)Subject: Re: Illegal to flash headlights? (was Re: Studies are dangerous Newsgroups: alt.folklore.urbanView this article only Date: 1997/02/20 ikuo@panix.com (Kim Scheinberg) wrote:

>It's not uncommon to see a car on the opposite side of the highway flash
>their headlights at you in broad daylight. This is a widely held sign for
>'You're about to drive into a speed trap' or at least indication that
>there's a cop up ahead
>
>I've now had four people assure me this is illegal. Only one has suggested
>that it's simply not legal to flash headlights under any circumstances.
>The other three assure me it's some sort of obstruction of justice. No one
>can cite a law on the books, but two even assured me they *knew* it was
>illegal in their home states (Wisconsin and Connecticut). THere's nothing
>about it in my California driver's handbook
>
>kim "nor did they mention barefoot driving" scheinberg
Message 3 in thread From: Duquette et al (minmei@ids.net)Subject: Re: Illegal to flash headlights? (was Re: Studies are dangerous Newsgroups: alt.folklore.urban
If you are flashing your lights you are obstructing justice and/or interfering with an officer doing his duty. If you deny flashing your lights the officer then cites you for operating a motor vehicle with defective equipment, ie headlights that won't stay on or off.

2156. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:50:16 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D3C8A5A.C2B9F60D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 131185

VHFRadioBuff wrote:
>
> >Prove that you have any right to use them! Prove that it is legal. Prove
> >that OUR
> >laws make it OK for US citizens to do the same on this so-called "freeband".
>
> I never claimed that the "freebands" had a right to use them. I only wanted to
> know what agencies DO use the freqs? Don't give me frequency allocations, give
> me actual active users of the spectrum? You can't because no one is using them.

How do you know no one is using those frequencies? Just because
you don't hear anyone? Do you listen 24 hours a day, scanning all
those "freeband" frequencies? Are you within range of every
possible user of those frequencies? I guess if you see a nice
looking car and observe it for several days and no one uses it,
you assume it must belong to no one and is OK for you to take it.
Even is no one *ever* uses that car, it isn't yours and not OK for
you to assume possession of it.

2157. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:50:19 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <fL1%8.275751$Im2.14107843@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131186

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722203217.01451.00000173@mb-ca.aol.com...

> Now, please post your full information. We would like to know who's hiding
> behind that Comcast cable modem. What do you have to hide?

Use your connections Andy or don't you have any?

2158. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:55:43 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <jQ1%8.222098$iX5.11859703@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131187

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020722204643.432$zW@newsreader.com...
> It's morphed into flashing headlights,you wart.

Ok Steve I can agree but the point was that anything that obstucts the police they consider it illegal. Now being able to prove who said what over the Cb is of course far fetched. Unless there is some stroke of luck involved. But my point was about flashing your headlights to warn others you can be ticketed. Landshark and Bill Nelson said no way. I have provided proof they have provided lip service about how it isn't illegal LOL what the phuqq do they know anyhow,

2159. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:56:13 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <NQ1%8.325850$vq.17884963@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131188

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D3C8922.607405FE@mailcity.net...
>
>
> VHFRadioBuff wrote:
>
> >
> > Gee, I don't want to appear "unsavory" to you Aaron. I wouldn't know
what to
> > do. I might have to throw myself off of a bridge.
>
> That is the best suggestion you have posted so far.

I thought trolls lived under the bridge

2160. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 00:57:28 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <YR1%8.45640$lu6.2656039@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131189

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D3C8922.607405FE@mailcity.net...
>
>
> VHFRadioBuff wrote:
>
> >
> > Gee, I don't want to appear "unsavory" to you Aaron. I wouldn't know
what to
> > do. I might have to throw myself off of a bridge.
>
> That is the best suggestion you have posted so far.

Interesting speculation: Two jumpers, one net. Think you might lose that
one JJ.

2161. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 01:01:40 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <UV1%8.275891$Im2.14116467@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131190

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722203331.01451.00000174@mb-ca.aol.com...
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> >Andy, answer a simple question are you a sworn police officer? if not
then I
> >don't care what you do are you that friggin stupid . oh well skip that
> >question. If you are I told you I can find out where. Other than that do
you
> >want a hankie because all your crying is really pitiful
>
> You still haven't told us who you are and where you work that gives you
these
> "connections" with "every" PD in NJ. Please provide that information,
along
> with your callsign.

LOL, Andy you made the claims first and foremost I want you to quit your tap dance and either answer the question correctly and perhaps depending on your answer I may then give you a some information other than that you are a confirmed liar. This is a Cb Ng I have no need to post a call sign

2162. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 01:02:46 UTC
From: KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com>
Message-ID: <WW1%8.222112$iX5.11864382@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131191

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020722204251.378$x1@newsreader.com...
> "KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote:
> >>I am kungfoyou you know my name How am I a fraud by calling your bluff
> > > that makes you the fraud.
> > >
> Hey Shark,do you still have that partial list of boy kungfuyou's screen
> names?

good idea perhaps they would like to see some of his work too

2163. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 01:03:47 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020722210347.23966.00000125@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 131192

>BTW, how's the biddness going? Should I look for you on NASDAQ soon?
>

Not after today's stock market activity...

2164. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 01:07:59 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3cabeb.336635@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131194

In <1G1%8.222045$iX5.11852620@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>,
"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote:

>Jerry, you just hit them with the knockout punch if he cannot understand
>this just lay off him he is a mental midget.

Why do you think vhfradiobutt is ignoring my posts? Because I'm wrong?

2165. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 01:08:22 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020722210822.23966.00000127@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 131193

>LOL, Andy you made the claims first and foremost I want you to quit your
>tap dance and either answer the question correctly and perhaps depending on
>your answer I may then give you a some information other than that you are a
>confirmed liar. This is a Cb Ng I have no need to post a call sign

You're the one who said you could find out where I work. I just want you to backup what you said and want you to stop hiding behind your anonymous postings. You must really have something to hide if you won't post in the open. Have you called in your "contacts" in "every PD" in NJ to find out where I work yet? If you want to admit at this point that you can't do it, I will understand.

Now, please post your callsign and what you do that gives you "contacts in every PD" in NJ so that you can gain back some of your credibility.

2166. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 01:33:21 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Bn2%8.25523$9C5.23415869@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131195

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:W20%8.220502$iX5.11780840@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> Section 38.15 is Interfering with public duties. Subsection c
> says "It is a defense to prosecution under Subsection (a)(1) that the
> conduct engaged in by the defendant was intended to warn a person
> operating a motor vehicle of the presence of a peace officer who was
> enforcing Subtitle C, Title 7, Transportation Code."
>
>
>

LOL!!!!!

Try this on for size, nice piece of editing George.

http://www.bakers-legal-pages.com/pc/3815.htm

Renumbered 9/1/94 from 38.18

Sec. 38.15. INTERFERENCE WITH PUBLIC DUTIES. (a) A person commits an offense if the person with criminal negligence interrupts, disrupts, impedes, or otherwise interferes with:
~ ~ (1) a peace officer while the peace officer is performing a duty or exercising authority imposed or granted by law;
~ ~ (2) a person who is employed to provide emergency medical services including the transportation of ill or injured persons while the person is performing that duty;
~ ~ (3) a fire fighter, while the fire fighter is fighting a fire or investigating the cause of a fire;
~ ~ (4) an animal under the supervision of a peace officer, corrections officer, or jailer, if the person knows the animal is being used for law enforcement, corrections, prison or jail security, or investigative purposes;
or
~ ~ (5) the transmission of a communication over a citizen's band radio channel, the purpose of which communication is to inform or inquire about an emergency.
~ (b) An offense under this section is a Class B misdemeanor.
~ (c) It is a defense to prosecution under Subsection (a)(1) that the conduct
engaged in by the defendant was intended to warn a person operating a motor vehicle of the presence of a peace officer who was enforcing Subtitle C, Title 7, Transportation Code.
~ (d) It is a defense to prosecution under this section that the interruption, disruption, impediment, or interference alleged consisted of speech only.

~ (e) In this section, "emergency" means a condition or circumstance in which
an individual is or is reasonably believed by the person transmitting the communication to be in imminent danger of serious bodily injury or in which property is or is reasonably believed by the person transmitting the communication to be in imminent danger of damage or destruction.

Eff 9/1/97 nonsubstantive change in (c) from "the provisions of the Uniform Act Regulating Traffic on Highways (Article 6701d, Vernon's Texas Civil Statutes)" to "Subtitle C, Title 7, Transportation Code"

Straight from the Texas website

http://www.capitol.state.tx.us/statutes/tr/tr0054500toc.html

CHAPTER 545. OPERATION AND MOVEMENT OF VEHICLES

• 545.001. Definition
• 545.002. Operator
• 545.051. Driving on Right Side of Roadway
• 545.052. Driving Past Vehicle Moving in Opposite Direction
• 545.053. Passing to the Left; Return; Being Passed
• 545.054. Passing to the Left: Safe Distance
• 545.055. Passing to the Left: Passing Zones
• 545.056. Driving to Left of Center of Roadway: Limitations Other
• 545.057. Passing to the Right
• 545.058. Driving on Improved Shoulder
• 545.059. One-way Roadways and Rotary Traffic Islands
• 545.060. Driving on Roadway Laned for Traffic
• 545.061. Driving on Multiple-Lane Roadway
• 545.062. Following Distance
• 545.063. Driving on Divided Highway
• 545.064. Restricted Access
• 545.065. State and Local Regulation of Limited-Access or
• 545.0651. Municipal Restriction on Use of Highway
• 545.066. Passing a School Bus; Offense
• 545.101. Turning at Intersection
• 545.102. Turning on Curve or Crest of Grade
• 545.103. Safely Turning
• 545.104. Signaling Turns; Use of Turn Signals
• 545.105. Signaling Stops
• 545.106. Signals by Hand and Arm or by Signal Lamp
• 545.107. Method of Giving Hand and Arm Signals
• 545.151. Vehicle Approaching or Entering Intersection
• 545.152. Vehicle Turning Left
• 545.153. Vehicle Entering Stop or Yield Intersection
• 545.154. Vehicle Entering or Leaving Limited-Access or
• 545.155. Vehicle Entering Highway From Private Road or Driveway
• 545.156. Vehicle Approached by Authorized Emergency Vehicle
• 545.201. Passing Streetcar to Left
• 545.202. Passing Streetcar to Right
• 545.203. Driving on Streetcar Tracks

- 545.204. Streetcar Approached by Authorized Emergency Vehicle
- 545.205. Crossing Fire Hose
- 545.206. Obstruction of Operator's View or Driving Mechanism
- 545.251. Obedience to Signal Indicating Approach of Train
- 545.252. All Vehicles to Stop at Certain Railroad Grade Crossings
- 545.253. Buses to Stop at All Railroad Grade Crossings
- 545.2535. School Buses to Stop at All Railroad Grade Crossings
- 545.254. Vehicles Carrying Explosive Substances or Flammable
- 545.255. Moving Heavy Equipment at Railroad Grade Crossings
- 545.2555. Report and Investigation of Certain Railroad Crossing
- 545.256. Emerging From an Alley, Driveway, or Building
- 545.301. Stopping, Standing, or Parking Outside a Business or
- 545.302. Stopping, Standing, or Parking Prohibited in Certain
- 545.303. Additional Parking Regulations
- 545.304. Moving the Vehicle of Another; Unlawful Parking
- 545.305. Removal of Unlawfully Stopped Vehicle
- 545.306. Regulation of Towing Companies in Certain Counties
- 545.307. Overnight Parking of Commercial Motor Vehicle in
- 545.308. Presumption
- 545.351. Maximum Speed Requirement
- 545.352. Prima Facie Speed Limits
- 545.353. Authority of Texas Transportation Commission to Alter
- 545.3535. Authority of Texas Transportation Commission to Alter
- 545.354. Authority of Texas Turnpike Authority and Regional
- 545.355. Authority of County Commissioners Court to Alter Speed
- 545.356. Authority of Municipality to Alter Speed Limits
- 545.357. Public Hearing to Consider Speed Limits Where Certain
- 545.358. Authority of Commanding Officer of United States
- 545.359. Conflicting Designated Speed Limits
- 545.360. Duty of Texas Transportation Commission and State Board
- 545.361. Special Speed Limitations
- 545.362. Temporary Speed Limits
- 545.3625. Confidentiality of Violation Information: Fuel
- 545.363. Minimum Speed Regulations
- 545.365. Speed Limit Exception for Emergencies; Municipal
- 545.401. Reckless Driving; Offense
- 545.402. Moving a Parked Vehicle
- 545.403. Driving Through Safety Zone
- 545.404. Unattended Motor Vehicle
- 545.405. Driving on Mountain Highway
- 545.406. Coasting
- 545.407. Following or Obstructing Fire Apparatus or Ambulance
- 545.408. Crossing Fire Hose
- 545.409. Drawbars and Trailer Hitches; Saddle-Mount Towing
- 545.410. Towing Safety Chains
- 545.411. Use of Rest Area: Offense
- 545.412. Child Passenger Safety Seat Systems; Offense
- 545.413. Safety Belts; Offense
- 545.414. Riding in Open Beds; Offense

- 545.415. Backing a Vehicle
- 545.416. Riding on Motorcycle
- 545.417. Obstruction of Operator's View or Driving Mechanism
- 545.418. Opening Vehicle Doors
- 545.419. Riding in House Trailer
- 545.420. Racing on Highway
- 545.421. Fleeing or Attempting to Elude Police Officer; Offense
- 545.422. Crossing Sidewalk or Hike and Bike Trail
- 545.423. Crossing Property
- 545.424. Operation of Vehicle by Person Under 18 Years of Age

Keep trying George, the main thing was the federal
law that started all of this, where's that stature?

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

2167. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 01:34:47 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020722213447.00290.00000270@mb-fg.news.cs.com>
Source record: 131196

>>>>(4) What is 11m DX?
>>>
>>>A criminal activity whereby the operator attempts to establish contact
>>>with a station outside of the legally allowed radius of operations (in
>>>the us, approximately 155 miles). Such activity often involves
>>>illegally modified transmitters and/or illegally operated amplifiers.
>>
>>The last sentence is horribly inaccurate. The hobbyists generally work DX
>with
>>around 30 watts or so. (The standard output for a RCI-2950, HR-2510, Magnum
>>257, AR-3500, etc.) Truckers and those on the "superbowl"/ch. 6 generally
>use
>>amplifiers. This is the type of misconception that crept into the ignorant
>>statements by the ARRL & NAB against RM-9807.
>>
>>Mark
>
>
>Ummmm.... is not 30 watts of output power illegal for 11 meter
>operation in the US? Yes... I thought it was. Guess that makes YOUR
>first sentence horribly inaccurate, doesn't it?
>
>Raymond Sirois KAXN-9546
>SysOp: The Lost Chord BBS
>607-733-5745
>telnet://thelostchord.dns2go.com:6000

I got the gist of it, Ray. And I have to say that I agree. DXer's generally don't run amps and it's those running high power amps that really make it bad for CB/11-meters. I don't think the same is true for those running 30 watts.

In all fairness, you're both sematically wrong. You did make it sound as if working DX goes hand-in-hand with amps and it's been my first-hand experience that that's innacurate. Mark also ignored the "illegally modified transmitters," which is what 10-meter rigs become when you use them for 11-meters.

Hence, both points stand but the dumpkopfs at the league latch onto misconceptions like that and really do use them to the detriment of ALL CB/11-meter enthusiasts. I hope I did not come off as "dissing" either one of you.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

2168. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 01:39:08 UTC
From: r8500@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722213908.03835.00000233@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 131197

>Subject: What is CB?
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 7/18/02 6:51 PM Mountain Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020718205121.06975.00001334@mb-cr.aol.com>
>
>This may sound like a strange question, but isn't CB some sort of mobile
>communications device used in vehicles? A CB operates on the 27Mhz band and
>has
>40 channels, with channel 9 for emergencies? This is a sincere question. I am
>new to this.

Channel 9 is mostly useless for emegencies these days. In most areas it's not even monitored anymore, and when the "skip" comes rolling in, channel 9 is just as useless as any of the other 39 legal CB channels for local communications. Although 9 is still designated by the FCC as the official emergency channel, to my knowledge no one's been busted for chit-chatting or shooting skip on channel 9 since the '70's. Factor in the bleedover from the folks running high power on channels 6 & 11, and you'd be better off buying a cell phone for emergency use than depending on getting help on channel 9.

73,
David

2169. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 01:42:18 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020722214218.00290.00000274@mb-fg.news.cs.com>
Source record: 131198

>sematically

Er...that'd be semantically. Emmmmmbarassing! :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

2170. Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 01:45:08 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722214508.11422.00000366@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 131199

In article <Tf0%8.629950$cQ3.101117@sccrnsc01>, "Dave"
<local_42remove@hotmail.com> writes:

> Know what he
>told my parents about the stop?
>
>"I saw 5 white kids in an expensive car in the ghetto"
>
>He then made some claim about having trouble with Skin Heads in that
>neighborhood. I guess he couldn't tell the difference between 5 Skin Heads
>and 5 wanna be rappers, the dress code is so similar, HA.

Can you imagine the public outcry if the officer had said "I saw 5 *BLACK* kids
in an expensive car in the suburbs?"

"Racial profiling!"
"Discrimination!"
NAACP!
"Police racism!"
"Fire the officer!"
"Fire the Chief of Police!"

Of course, whites are fair game, so anything goes.

2171. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 01:50:21 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <xD2%8.25578$QR5.24334643@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131200

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:jQ1%8.222098$iX5.11859703@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> Ok Steve I can agree but the point was that anything that obstucts the
> police they consider it illegal. Now being able to prove who said what
over
> the Cb is of course far fetched. Unless there is some stroke of luck
> involved. But my point was about flashing your headlights to warn others
you
> can be ticketed. Landshark and Bill Nelson said no way. I have provided
> proof they have provided lip service about how it isn't illegal LOL what
the
> phuqq do they know anyhow,
>
>

George, you are the one that brought headlights into this, I didn't.
I asked for you or Kevin to cite the state & federal law concerning using a
cb to inform people about cops using radar, so keep digging for that answer

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

2172. Amateur Radio Experimenter's Club in MA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 01:56:53 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020722215653.19771.00000086@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 131201

For those interested in Amateur Radio Experimentation, there is a new club, the Amateur Radio Experimenter's club, in Reading, MA. There will be a meeting this Wednesday, July 24, at 7:00.

The experimenter's club would be open to anyone interested in electronics, any licence class, or unlicenced welcome. Hams, students, professionals are all welcome to join. If you are in the area and are interested in such a club, you can email me. If you have any questions, you can post them to the forum.

The purpose of the club will be to work on circuit design projects, antenna setups, radio measurements, radio direction finding and other aspects of Amateur Radio experimentation.

The meetings are tentatively held alternate Wednesdays at 7:00 at 49 Pleasant St., Reading, MA.

The meetings are at the 49 Pleasant St (Reading Senior Center), Reading, MA

Here are the directions to the Reading Senior Center:

Merge onto I-95N
Take exit 39- RT 28 Reading, Main St
Merge onto RT 28, Main St.
Keep going straight, see Mcdonald's on right side
Keep going straight,
You will see a big traffic light pillar in the middle of the road.
Take a right onto Pleasant St. (Pleasant St is directly across a CVS store on the left side.)
Reading Senior Center is at 49 Pleasant St on the right side.

2173. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 02:13:50 UTC
From: Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com>
Message-ID: <yZ2%8.227939$iB1.12257361@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131202

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722205905.23966.00000122@mb-fh.aol.com...
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> >Use your connections Andy or don't you have any?
>
> I don't have connections in Comcast and since I don't know anything about
you
> other than the fact that you are hiding behind your Comcast cable modem, I
> won't be able to find anything out. What are you afraid of?

I thought you had connections at the Pd... Well then perhaps you can take
some courses in hacking and can get some info that way. Otherwise i don't
have any answers for you.

2174. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 02:15:17 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020722221517.19428.00000150@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 131203

>From: tra1n@aol.com (TRA1N)
>Do your ohms law crap and then double >the number to allow for
>the INEFFECEINCY of the transistor >device.......about 50%. Train
So Train, is this accurate for all transistor devices (amplifiers)? How about the competetion amps?

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

2175. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 02:17:13 UTC
From: Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com>
Message-ID: <J03%8.227965$iB1.12260390@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131204

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722210822.23966.00000127@mb-fh.aol.com...
> >LOL, Andy you made the claims first and foremost I want you to quit your
> >tap dance and either answer the question correctly and perhaps depending
on
> >your answer I may then give you a some information other than that you
are a
> >confirmed liar. This is a Cb Ng I have no need to post a call sign
>
> You're the one who said you could find out where I work. I just want you
to
> backup what you said and want you to stop hiding behind your anonymous
> postings. You must really have something to hide if you won't post in the
open.
> Have you called in your "contacts" in "every PD" in NJ to find out where I
work
> yet? If you want to admit at this point that you can't do it, I will
> understand.

Yes asshole andy if you work for a police dept and are a sworn officer yes I
can find out, if your the janitor there sorry, if you are a dispatcher
there maybe ill get lucky. Oh btw, quit your begging it looks rather
trollish.

2176. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 02:17:55 UTC
From: Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com>
Message-ID: <n13%8.230807$Bt1.12542719@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131205

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020722211817.797$eC@newsreader.com...
> "KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020722175326.436$t2@newsreader.com...
> > > voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> > > >>CB = unaccountable, unidentifiable, unorganized, not self policing,
> > > > not compliant with rules.
> > > >
> > > > One service has more privileges than the other. Can you guess why?
> > > >
> > > > -A
> > > >
> > > Can't guess why you spend most of your life posting in a CB group.
> >
> >>Moped you have the highest amount of posts to this group month after
> > month what does that say about you
> >
> Riiight,you post twice as much as I do here.
>
> And that lifeless troll,Aaron posts even more than you.
>
> Showin off that PA math schoolin,boy george?

No the NG Logs don't lie though Moped Ill post them for you.

2177. Re: 2950 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 02:19:30 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020722221930.19428.00000155@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 131206

>From: jim127@nauticom.net
>Pardon me little guy, i'm an older person >and I take exception with your
>comment. Remember, the day will come >and you will also be an old fart.
>You have bad attitude.
Jim you did the same thing when you were YOUNG! Give it a break already.

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

2178. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 02:27:17 UTC
From: Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com>
Message-ID: <9a3%8.230885$Bt1.12550331@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131207

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722210305.23966.00000124@mb-fh.aol.com...
> X-No-Archive: yes
>

> To post your findings.

My findings of what?

> That's the anonymous screenname you are using, it's not your name. I don't
know
> your name. You know mine. Comcast is anonymous because your message
headers
> don't say what your email address is, only that it's coming from Comcast.
I've
> never said I had any connections. Your lies are becoming apparent since
you
> can't back up what you say.

My name is no different than any of the anonymous freebanders here. So i know your name, I didn't ask for your name, sure it shows my email addy have you ever tried emailing me? Well don't I have it set to block all. Well if your hooked up with a Pd you sure have some connections. Did I ever say I had connections?
I can certainly back up what I say when you tell the truth about a lie you already told. Ill ask it again real slow the reply you give dictates whether I spend anymore time with you as off now your just talking in circles. Are you a sworn police officer in NJ ? Yes or No it is just that simple.

> Please provide your information to the group to include your ham callsign
> (assuming you really have one, which I seriously doubt). I have already
> provided you with more than enough information to determine where I work.
Stop
> hiding behind your cable modem.

Why do you want a ham callsign this is a Cb NG Are you that dense? If you seriously doubt I have one then why continue to ask again are you really that stupid Andrew? You havent provided anyone with anything except bullshit.

2179. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 02:32:35 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020722223235.06722.00000089@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 131208

>I thought you had connections at the Pd... Well then perhaps you can take
>some courses in hacking and can get some info that way. Otherwise i don't
>have any answers for you.

So the truth comes out. You're a chicken shit and a liar and everyone on the newsgroup now knows it. BTW - You're the one who said you had PD connections. Only connections I have are my fellow officers in my department.

2180. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 02:35:49 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <9i3%8.45761$Iu6.2668393@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131209

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20020722221517.19428.00000150@mb-mh.aol.com...
> >From: tra1n@aol.com (TRA1N)
> >Do your ohms law crap and then double >the number to allow for
> >the INEFFECEINCY of the transistor >device.......about 50%. Train

> So Train, is this accurate for all transistor devices (amplifiers)? How
about
> the competetion amps?

As a system it is not a bad rule. Your point is that the big bad
competition amps claim higher efficiencies and probably get them at some
costs to be discussed later but then again you do have to drive them and
light up the dials and heat up the connecting cables. And a little slop
tolerance never hurt anything unless you go balls to the wall.

Having looked at some of the 'competition' amps, when I quit laughing I
can tell you how to win any keydown in existence. The Class C amps
whatever else they do reduce modulation so that I would guess that
something like 20-30% is about tops. So get a sideband rig and eliminate
the SSB filter to produce DSB and unbalance the mixer enough to get about
20 % carrier injection and run straight class B up to something comfy like
maybe a fifth of what the class is running.
Use your competitor's carrier to demodulate your audio. Zero beat him and
if you can figure out how, phase lock to him.

Don't bellow too loudly or the roof will come right off the judging booth.
When questioned, just say something like, 'How you like that Swang, doncha
know?'

CB Science, Pfui.

Dick N&#65533;BK

2181. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 02:36:04 UTC
From: Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com>
Message-ID: <oi3%8.328151$vq.17979429@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131210

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:Bn2%8.25523$9C5.23415869@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
> news:W20%8.220502$iX5.11780840@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > Section 38.15 is Interfering with public duties. Subsection c
> > says "It is a defense to prosecution under Subsection (a)(1) that the
> > conduct engaged in by the defendant was intended to warn a person
> > operating a motor vehicle of the presence of a peace officer who was
> > enforcing Subtitle C, Title 7, Transportation Code."
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> LOL!!!!!
>
> Try this on for size, nice piece of editing George.

LOL right back landcarp, what does the version you post how does it refute anything i posted Huh I didnt edit anything that was pulled right off a post from google re; headlight flashing and it has the relevant info .... "warning a person operating a motor vehicle of the presence of a peace officer"

> http://www.bakers-legal-pages.com/pc/3815.htm
>
>
> Renumbered 9/1/94 from 38.18
>
>
> Sec. 38.15. INTERFERENCE WITH PUBLIC DUTIES. (a) A person commits an
offense
> if the person with criminal negligence interrupts, disrupts, impedes, or
> otherwise interferes with:
> ~ ~ (1) a peace officer while the peace officer is performing a duty or
> exercising authority imposed or granted by law;
> ~ ~ (2) a person who is employed to provide emergency medical services
> including the transportation of ill or injured persons while the person is
> performing that duty;
> ~ ~ (3) a fire fighter, while the fire fighter is fighting a fire or
> investigating the cause of a fire;
> ~ ~ (4) an animal under the supervision of a peace officer, corrections
> officer, or jailer, if the person knows the animal is being used for law

> enforcement, corrections, prison or jail security, or investigative
> purposes;
> or
> ~ ~ (5) the transmission of a communication over a citizen's band radio
> channel, the purpose of which communication is to inform or inquire about
an
> emergency.
> ~ (b) An offense under this section is a Class B misdemeanor.
> ~ (c) It is a defense to prosecution under Subsection (a)(1) that the
> conduct
> engaged in by the defendant was intended to warn a person operating a
motor
> vehicle of the presence of a peace officer who was enforcing Subtitle C,
> Title 7, Transportation Code.
> ~ (d) It is a defense to prosecution under this section that the
> interruption, disruption, impediment, or interference alleged consisted of
> speech only.
> ~ (e) In this section, "emergency" means a condition or circumstance in
> which
> an individual is or is reasonably believed by the person transmitting the
> communication to be in imminent danger of serious bodily injury or in
which
> property is or is reasonably believed by the person transmitting the
> communication to be in imminent danger of damage or destruction.
>
>
> Eff 9/1/97 nonsubstantive change in (c) from "the provisions of the
Uniform
> Act Regulating Traffic on Highways (Article 6701d, Vernon's Texas Civil
> Statutes)" to "Subtitle C, Title 7, Transportation Code"
>
>
> Straight from the Texas website
>
> http://www.capitol.state.tx.us/statutes/tr/tr0054500toc.html
>
> CHAPTER 545. OPERATION AND MOVEMENT OF VEHICLES
> • 545.001. Definition
> • 545.002. Operator
> • 545.051. Driving on Right Side of Roadway
> • 545.052. Driving Past Vehicle Moving in Opposite Direction
> • 545.053. Passing to the Left; Return; Being Passed
> • 545.054. Passing to the Left: Safe Distance
> • 545.055. Passing to the Left: Passing Zones
> • 545.056. Driving to Left of Center of Roadway: Limitations Other
> • 545.057. Passing to the Right
> • 545.058. Driving on Improved Shoulder
> • 545.059. One-way Roadways and Rotary Traffic Islands
> • 545.060. Driving on Roadway Laned for Traffic

> • 545.061. Driving on Multiple-Lane Roadway
> • 545.062. Following Distance
> • 545.063. Driving on Divided Highway
> • 545.064. Restricted Access
> • 545.065. State and Local Regulation of Limited-Access or
> • 545.0651. Municipal Restriction on Use of Highway
> • 545.066. Passing a School Bus; Offense
> • 545.101. Turning at Intersection
> • 545.102. Turning on Curve or Crest of Grade
> • 545.103. Safely Turning
> • 545.104. Signaling Turns; Use of Turn Signals
> • 545.105. Signaling Stops
> • 545.106. Signals by Hand and Arm or by Signal Lamp
> • 545.107. Method of Giving Hand and Arm Signals
> • 545.151. Vehicle Approaching or Entering Intersection
> • 545.152. Vehicle Turning Left
> • 545.153. Vehicle Entering Stop or Yield Intersection
> • 545.154. Vehicle Entering or Leaving Limited-Access or
> • 545.155. Vehicle Entering Highway From Private Road or Driveway
> • 545.156. Vehicle Approached by Authorized Emergency Vehicle
> • 545.201. Passing Streetcar to Left
> • 545.202. Passing Streetcar to Right
> • 545.203. Driving on Streetcar Tracks
> • 545.204. Streetcar Approached by Authorized Emergency Vehicle
> • 545.205. Crossing Fire Hose
> • 545.206. Obstruction of Operator's View or Driving Mechanism
> • 545.251. Obedience to Signal Indicating Approach of Train
> • 545.252. All Vehicles to Stop at Certain Railroad Grade Crossings
> • 545.253. Buses to Stop at All Railroad Grade Crossings
> • 545.2535. School Buses to Stop at All Railroad Grade Crossings
> • 545.254. Vehicles Carrying Explosive Substances or Flammable
> • 545.255. Moving Heavy Equipment at Railroad Grade Crossings
> • 545.2555. Report and Investigation of Certain Railroad Crossing
> • 545.256. Emerging From an Alley, Driveway, or Building
> • 545.301. Stopping, Standing, or Parking Outside a Business or
> • 545.302. Stopping, Standing, or Parking Prohibited in Certain
> • 545.303. Additional Parking Regulations
> • 545.304. Moving the Vehicle of Another; Unlawful Parking
> • 545.305. Removal of Unlawfully Stopped Vehicle
> • 545.306. Regulation of Towing Companies in Certain Counties
> • 545.307. Overnight Parking of Commercial Motor Vehicle in
> • 545.308. Presumption
> • 545.351. Maximum Speed Requirement
> • 545.352. Prima Facie Speed Limits
> • 545.353. Authority of Texas Transportation Commission to Alter
> • 545.3535. Authority of Texas Transportation Commission to Alter
> • 545.354. Authority of Texas Turnpike Authority and Regional
> • 545.355. Authority of County Commissioners Court to Alter Speed
> • 545.356. Authority of Municipality to Alter Speed Limits

> • 545.357. Public Hearing to Consider Speed Limits Where Certain
> • 545.358. Authority of Commanding Officer of United States
> • 545.359. Conflicting Designated Speed Limits
> • 545.360. Duty of Texas Transportation Commission and State Board
> • 545.361. Special Speed Limitations
> • 545.362. Temporary Speed Limits
> • 545.3625. Confidentiality of Violation Information: Fuel
> • 545.363. Minimum Speed Regulations
> • 545.365. Speed Limit Exception for Emergencies; Municipal
> • 545.401. Reckless Driving; Offense
> • 545.402. Moving a Parked Vehicle
> • 545.403. Driving Through Safety Zone
> • 545.404. Unattended Motor Vehicle
> • 545.405. Driving on Mountain Highway
> • 545.406. Coasting
> • 545.407. Following or Obstructing Fire Apparatus or Ambulance
> • 545.408. Crossing Fire Hose
> • 545.409. Drawbars and Trailer Hitches; Saddle-Mount Towing
> • 545.410. Towing Safety Chains
> • 545.411. Use of Rest Area: Offense
> • 545.412. Child Passenger Safety Seat Systems; Offense
> • 545.413. Safety Belts; Offense
> • 545.414. Riding in Open Beds; Offense
> • 545.415. Backing a Vehicle
> • 545.416. Riding on Motorcycle
> • 545.417. Obstruction of Operator's View or Driving Mechanism
> • 545.418. Opening Vehicle Doors
> • 545.419. Riding in House Trailer
> • 545.420. Racing on Highway
> • 545.421. Fleeing or Attempting to Elude Police Officer; Offense
> • 545.422. Crossing Sidewalk or Hike and Bike Trail
> • 545.423. Crossing Property
> • 545.424. Operation of Vehicle by Person Under 18 Years of Age
>
>
>
> Keep trying George, the main thing was the federal
> law that started all of this, where's that stature?
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> "BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
>
>

2182. Re: President HR-2510 on ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 02:48:30 UTC
From: Mark Russo <mrrusso@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3D3CC3FE.F74ACD0C@optonline.net>
Source record: 131237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

YET ANOTHER BRAVO!!

bc wrote:

> The "roger beep" button on my Uniden HR-2510 is used to select a 500 hz
> CW filter since I didn't need it and I like a nice narrow IF for CW. My
> "pa" switch limits the output power to 500 mw for QRP and transverter
> use. Again, a much better use of the switch.
>
> Although the use of "roger beep" is questionable for amateur use (say
> lid...), it is used by NASA during space communications on occasion and
> more than one repeater that I know of, I guess they are using junk too...
>
> 10 Meter rigs made by companies such as the Ranger, Uniden and Radio
> Shack have been some of most affordable mobile 10 meter options for many
> hams. Much better than the converted 11 meter Diamond (CB) AM/SSB rig
> which I used in the '70's in my car for 10 meters as a ham while in
> college.
>
> With mods (filters, alignment, etc.) some of these "roger beep" rigs
> perform as well as many "ham rigs" besides being useful (and affordable)
> for more specialized uses (transverter, QRP, RTTY, narrow BW digital
> modes, remote control via UHF, etc.).
>
> As a youth I modified WWII surplus / commercial VHF equipment that was
> designed for non-ham frequencies for amateur freqs. in the '60's. Should
> access and sales of that equipment been outlawed too? Should we outlaw
> just about every modern amateur rig and HT made in the past 20 years
> since about every one has OOB capability with minor modifications?
>
> BTW, The first mod I do on a rig is make it OOB RX/TX capable (if
> possible), you never know when you might need OOB capability for
> transverter or emergency use (allowed under FCC regulations in certain
> cases to protect life or property). Lock me up...
>
> I bet you are real fun at swap meets and hamfests enforcing your way
> from tailgate to tailgate... Scenario at next Dayton, "Is that a Uniden
> HR-2510 on your table? I'm confiscating it and calling the FCC, wait
> here and don't move..."
>
> You want a real mission, go after the E-Bay sellers and sporting goods
> dealers that sell GMRS equipment for "higher power" FRS use without
> informing the user that they need a license. Now that sucks...

>
> CUL,
> -b
>
> tim wrote:
> > bc wrote in message news:<3D3A419F.8090502@realtime.net>...
> >
> >>My Uniden HR-2510 is not junk. With the keyer, filters, chipswitch mod
> >>it's one of the most affordable and versatile portable mobile rigs I own
> >>(10/12/15). 43 countries, and 32 states on 10 meters alone during the
> >>last sunspot cycle. It's been on many a backpacking and canoe trip with
> >>a gel cell, solar panel and a dipole. When my dad was alive he used his
> >>HR-2600 for our daily NC - TX sched. Sure wish I hadn't sold his. Its
> >>also a great rig for local AM/FM use simplex and repeater use too. I've
> >>used it for a downlink on RS satellites with much success also.
> >>
> >>Sure I could use my "big" HF rigs (Collins, TS-520, Drake "C" line and
> >>TS-2000) but my baby is my modified (filters, keyer, tuner, etc.)
> >>Uniden. Until I get one of those Yaesu QRP HF rigs that is.
> >>
> >>Take your so called FCC policing BS somewhere else and get a life. Bet
> >>you look for folks with "illegal" scanners too? I have 3 myself...
> >>
> >>-b
> >
> > Guess I hit the nail on the head ! Look at how you respond ! But I
> > still maintain that any good ham knows that the " roger beep " junk
> > being made is not intended for ham radio , but for illegal CB use
> > and out of band use. As radios go , the stuff is JUNK . Out of band
> > operation causes problems to legal operation . Any CONCERNED amateur
> > radio operator , which your not , should be pleased with any FCC
> > response to the use and sale of this " roger beep " JUNK.
> >
> >
> >>
> >>
> >>>>UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600
> >>>
> >>> Glad to hear this and read this information. Need to get this junk off
> >>>the air and those using the junk off the air too. They cause to many
> >>>problems to legal operations. I'll be looking out for this stuff trying
> >>>to be sold and reporting such info to the FCC.
> >>>tim
> >>

--

All e-mail received and SENT from me
has been checked by Norton Anti-Virus 2002.

mrrusso@optonline.net

GOD BLESS AMERICA!!!

2183. President HR-2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 03:07:04 UTC
From: jkross255@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <a47f3907.0207221907.18fc25d0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131211

Ok, radio works perfectly in every manor EXCEPT for TX. Standard radio, no mod's other than the expanded RX/TX mod and the radio is said to have worked fine, until now, after being taken out of storage for 7 years.
The LCD says its in TX, but nothing comes out the back end as far as RF power and its not heard on any in shop radios on the same channel or sitting right next to each other for that matter. I'm not very familiar with this radio so any help would be appreciated. My only guess was maybe the CPU/PLL or something in that area. I would guess the driver as well, but like i said, i know not much of this type of radio.

Thanks in advance,
Jeff

2184. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 03:27:10 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <i24%8.25976$tz6.26986825@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131212

"Pd" <vhfbutt@plug.com> wrote in message
news:oi3%8.328151$vq.17979429@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:Bn2%8.25523$9C5.23415869@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
> > news:W20%8.220502$iX5.11780840@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > > Section 38.15 is Interfering with public duties. Subsection c
> > > says "It is a defense to prosecution under Subsection (a)(1) that the
> > > conduct engaged in by the defendant was intended to warn a person
> > > operating a motor vehicle of the presence of a peace officer who was
> > > enforcing Subtitle C, Title 7, Transportation Code."
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > LOL!!!!!
> > >
> > > Try this on for size, nice piece of editing George.
> >
> > LOL right back landcarp, what does the version you post how does it refute
> > anything i posted Huh I didnt edit anything that was pulled right off a
> > post from google re; headlight flashing and it has the relevant info
> ....
> > "warning a person operating a motor vehicle of the presence of a peace
> > officer"
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > http://www.bakers-legal-pages.com/pc/3815.htm
> > >
> > >
> > > Renumbered 9/1/94 from 38.18
> > >
> > >
> > > Sec. 38.15. INTERFERENCE WITH PUBLIC DUTIES. (a) A person commits an
> > > offense
> > > if the person with criminal negligence interrupts, disrupts, impedes, or
> > > otherwise interferes with:
> > > ~ ~ (1) a peace officer while the peace officer is performing a duty or

> > exercising authority imposed or granted by law;
> > ~ ~ (2) a person who is employed to provide emergency medical services
> > including the transportation of ill or injured persons while the person
is
> > performing that duty;
> > ~ ~ (3) a fire fighter, while the fire fighter is fighting a fire or
> > investigating the cause of a fire;
> > ~ ~ (4) an animal under the supervision of a peace officer, corrections
> > officer, or jailer, if the person knows the animal is being used for law

> > enforcement, corrections, prison or jail security, or investigative
> > purposes;
> > or
> > ~ ~ (5) the transmission of a communication over a citizen's band radio
> > channel, the purpose of which communication is to inform or inquire
about
> an
> > emergency.
> > ~ (b) An offense under this section is a Class B misdemeanor.
> > ~ (c) It is a defense to prosecution under Subsection (a)(1) that the
> > conduct
> > engaged in by the defendant was intended to warn a person operating a
> motor
> > vehicle of the presence of a peace officer who was enforcing Subtitle C,
> > Title 7, Transportation Code.
> > ~ (d) It is a defense to prosecution under this section that the
> > interruption, disruption, impediment, or interference alleged consisted
of
> > speech only.
> > ~ (e) In this section, "emergency" means a condition or circumstance in
> > which
> > an individual is or is reasonably believed by the person transmitting
the
> > communication to be in imminent danger of serious bodily injury or in
> which
> > property is or is reasonably believed by the person transmitting the
> > communication to be in imminent danger of damage or destruction.
> >
> >
> > Eff 9/1/97 nonsubstantive change in (c) from "the provisions of the
> Uniform
> > Act Regulating Traffic on Highways (Article 6701d, Vernon's Texas Civil
> > Statutes)" to "Subtitle C, Title 7, Transportation Code"

Yeah, ok, what ever you say George.

Landshark

Where's the stature on the federal law being broken?
Not head lights, the cb statement to which you are trying to advert
attention away from.

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

2185. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 03:33:22 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujpjiv7bkb8j13@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131213

Geeze, just buy a radar detector.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
- ps - don't need those. No tickets since 1970 or 1971, I forgot exactly when ...

"Pd" <vhfbutt@pd.com> wrote in message
news:oi3%8.328151$vq.17979429@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:Bn2%8.25523$9C5.23415869@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
> > news:W20%8.220502$iX5.11780840@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > > Section 38.15 is Interfering with public duties. Subsection c
> > > says "It is a defense to prosecution under Subsection (a)(1) that the
> > > conduct engaged in by the defendant was intended to warn a person
> > > operating a motor vehicle of the presence of a peace officer who was
> > > enforcing Subtitle C, Title 7, Transportation Code."
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > LOL!!!!!
> >
> > Try this on for size, nice piece of editing George.
>
> LOL right back landcarp, what does the version you post how does it refute
> anything i posted Huh I didnt edit anything that was pulled right off a
> post from google re; headlight flashing and it has the relevant info
....
> "warning a person operating a motor vehicle of the presence of a peace
> officer"
>
>
>
>
>
> > http://www.bakers-legal-pages.com/pc/3815.htm
> >
> >
> > Renumbered 9/1/94 from 38.18

> >
> >
> > Sec. 38.15. INTERFERENCE WITH PUBLIC DUTIES. (a) A person commits an
> offense
> > if the person with criminal negligence interrupts, disrupts, impedes, or
> > otherwise interferes with:
> > ~ ~ (1) a peace officer while the peace officer is performing a duty or
> > exercising authority imposed or granted by law;
> > ~ ~ (2) a person who is employed to provide emergency medical services
> > including the transportation of ill or injured persons while the person
is
> > performing that duty;
> > ~ ~ (3) a fire fighter, while the fire fighter is fighting a fire or
> > investigating the cause of a fire;
> > ~ ~ (4) an animal under the supervision of a peace officer, corrections
> > officer, or jailer, if the person knows the animal is being used for law
> > enforcement, corrections, prison or jail security, or investigative
> > purposes;
> > or
> > ~ ~ (5) the transmission of a communication over a citizen's band radio
> > channel, the purpose of which communication is to inform or inquire
about
> an
> > emergency.
> > ~ (b) An offense under this section is a Class B misdemeanor.
> > ~ (c) It is a defense to prosecution under Subsection (a)(1) that the
> > conduct
> > engaged in by the defendant was intended to warn a person operating a
> motor
> > vehicle of the presence of a peace officer who was enforcing Subtitle C,
> > Title 7, Transportation Code.
> > ~ (d) It is a defense to prosecution under this section that the
> > interruption, disruption, impediment, or interference alleged consisted
of
> > speech only.
> > ~ (e) In this section, "emergency" means a condition or circumstance in
> > which
> > an individual is or is reasonably believed by the person transmitting
the
> > communication to be in imminent danger of serious bodily injury or in
> which
> > property is or is reasonably believed by the person transmitting the
> > communication to be in imminent danger of damage or destruction.
> >
> >
> > Eff 9/1/97 nonsubstantive change in (c) from "the provisions of the
> Uniform
> > Act Regulating Traffic on Highways (Article 6701d, Vernon's Texas Civil
> > Statutes)" to "Subtitle C, Title 7, Transportation Code"

> >
> >
> > Straight from the Texas website
> >
> > http://www.capitol.state.tx.us/statutes/tr/tr0054500toc.html
> >
> > CHAPTER 545. OPERATION AND MOVEMENT OF VEHICLES
> > • 545.001. Definition
> > • 545.002. Operator
> > • 545.051. Driving on Right Side of Roadway
> > • 545.052. Driving Past Vehicle Moving in Opposite Direction
> > • 545.053. Passing to the Left; Return; Being Passed
> > • 545.054. Passing to the Left: Safe Distance
> > • 545.055. Passing to the Left: Passing Zones
> > • 545.056. Driving to Left of Center of Roadway: Limitations Other
> > • 545.057. Passing to the Right
> > • 545.058. Driving on Improved Shoulder
> > • 545.059. One-way Roadways and Rotary Traffic Islands
> > • 545.060. Driving on Roadway Laned for Traffic
> > • 545.061. Driving on Multiple-Lane Roadway
> > • 545.062. Following Distance
> > • 545.063. Driving on Divided Highway
> > • 545.064. Restricted Access
> > • 545.065. State and Local Regulation of Limited-Access or
> > • 545.0651. Municipal Restriction on Use of Highway
> > • 545.066. Passing a School Bus; Offense
> > • 545.101. Turning at Intersection
> > • 545.102. Turning on Curve or Crest of Grade
> > • 545.103. Safely Turning
> > • 545.104. Signaling Turns; Use of Turn Signals
> > • 545.105. Signaling Stops
> > • 545.106. Signals by Hand and Arm or by Signal Lamp
> > • 545.107. Method of Giving Hand and Arm Signals
> > • 545.151. Vehicle Approaching or Entering Intersection
> > • 545.152. Vehicle Turning Left
> > • 545.153. Vehicle Entering Stop or Yield Intersection
> > • 545.154. Vehicle Entering or Leaving Limited-Access or
> > • 545.155. Vehicle Entering Highway From Private Road or Driveway
> > • 545.156. Vehicle Approached by Authorized Emergency Vehicle
> > • 545.201. Passing Streetcar to Left
> > • 545.202. Passing Streetcar to Right
> > • 545.203. Driving on Streetcar Tracks
> > • 545.204. Streetcar Approached by Authorized Emergency Vehicle
> > • 545.205. Crossing Fire Hose
> > • 545.206. Obstruction of Operator's View or Driving Mechanism
> > • 545.251. Obedience to Signal Indicating Approach of Train
> > • 545.252. All Vehicles to Stop at Certain Railroad Grade Crossings
> > • 545.253. Buses to Stop at All Railroad Grade Crossings
> > • 545.2535. School Buses to Stop at All Railroad Grade Crossings

> > • 545.254. Vehicles Carrying Explosive Substances or Flammable
> > • 545.255. Moving Heavy Equipment at Railroad Grade Crossings
> > • 545.2555. Report and Investigation of Certain Railroad Crossing
> > • 545.256. Emerging From an Alley, Driveway, or Building
> > • 545.301. Stopping, Standing, or Parking Outside a Business or
> > • 545.302. Stopping, Standing, or Parking Prohibited in Certain
> > • 545.303. Additional Parking Regulations
> > • 545.304. Moving the Vehicle of Another; Unlawful Parking
> > • 545.305. Removal of Unlawfully Stopped Vehicle
> > • 545.306. Regulation of Towing Companies in Certain Counties
> > • 545.307. Overnight Parking of Commercial Motor Vehicle in
> > • 545.308. Presumption
> > • 545.351. Maximum Speed Requirement
> > • 545.352. Prima Facie Speed Limits
> > • 545.353. Authority of Texas Transportation Commission to Alter
> > • 545.3535. Authority of Texas Transportation Commission to Alter
> > • 545.354. Authority of Texas Turnpike Authority and Regional
> > • 545.355. Authority of County Commissioners Court to Alter Speed
> > • 545.356. Authority of Municipality to Alter Speed Limits
> > • 545.357. Public Hearing to Consider Speed Limits Where Certain
> > • 545.358. Authority of Commanding Officer of United States
> > • 545.359. Conflicting Designated Speed Limits
> > • 545.360. Duty of Texas Transportation Commission and State Board
> > • 545.361. Special Speed Limitations
> > • 545.362. Temporary Speed Limits
> > • 545.3625. Confidentiality of Violation Information: Fuel
> > • 545.363. Minimum Speed Regulations
> > • 545.365. Speed Limit Exception for Emergencies; Municipal
> > • 545.401. Reckless Driving; Offense
> > • 545.402. Moving a Parked Vehicle
> > • 545.403. Driving Through Safety Zone
> > • 545.404. Unattended Motor Vehicle
> > • 545.405. Driving on Mountain Highway
> > • 545.406. Coasting
> > • 545.407. Following or Obstructing Fire Apparatus or Ambulance
> > • 545.408. Crossing Fire Hose
> > • 545.409. Drawbars and Trailer Hitches; Saddle-Mount Towing
> > • 545.410. Towing Safety Chains
> > • 545.411. Use of Rest Area: Offense
> > • 545.412. Child Passenger Safety Seat Systems; Offense
> > • 545.413. Safety Belts; Offense
> > • 545.414. Riding in Open Beds; Offense
> > • 545.415. Backing a Vehicle
> > • 545.416. Riding on Motorcycle
> > • 545.417. Obstruction of Operator's View or Driving Mechanism
> > • 545.418. Opening Vehicle Doors
> > • 545.419. Riding in House Trailer
> > • 545.420. Racing on Highway
> > • 545.421. Fleeing or Attempting to Elude Police Officer; Offense

> > • 545.422. Crossing Sidewalk or Hike and Bike Trail
> > • 545.423. Crossing Property
> > • 545.424. Operation of Vehicle by Person Under 18 Years of Age
> >
> >
> >
> > Keep trying George, the main thing was the federal
> > law that started all of this, where's that stature?
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> >
> > --
> > "BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
> >
> >
>
>

2186. Re: President HR-2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 03:39:27 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020722233927.06735.00000115@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 131214

>Standard
>radio, no mod's other than the expanded RX/TX mod

Uh oh... hope you're wearing your flame proof suit. :)

2187. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 03:49:14 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3cce9a.220908@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131215

In <20020722214943.19440.00000064@mb-mh.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:

>X-No-Archive: yes
>
>>Why do you think vhfradiobutt is ignoring my posts? Because I'm wrong?
>
>Because I would just be stating the same thing over and over again and the
>point would be moot.

You constantly repeat yourself anyway; but yes, I will agree that your
point is usually moot.

Now why can't (or won't) you address the lack of abuse by hams (or by
terrorists, since you brought it up) using modified 2 meter radios to
interfere with police comm? Do you deny that the penalties, both
federal and local, for intentional interference with emergency
communications are much more severe than the penalties for
freebanding? Are you saying that these penalties are not enough of a
deterrent to prevent significant abuse?

I had your premises outlined in another post but you ignored that one,
too. How about going back and validating your comments?

2188. Re: President HR-2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 03:57:00 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujpkv8litvjp4a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131216

Putting on the asbestos long johns!! ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722233927.06735.00000115@mb-fn.aol.com...
> >Standard
> >radio, no mod's other than the expanded RX/TX mod
>
> Uh oh... hope you're wearing your flame proof suit. :)

2189. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 04:12:24 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3cd385.1479899@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131218

In <9i3%8.45761$Iu6.2668393@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
>news:20020722221517.19428.00000150@mb-mh.aol.com...
>> >From: tra1n@aol.com (TRA1N)
>> >Do your ohms law crap and then double >the number to allow for
>> >the INEFFECEINCY of the transistor >device.......about 50%. Train
>
>> So Train, is this accurate for all transistor devices (amplifiers)? How
>about
>> the competetion amps?
>
>As a system it is not a bad rule. Your point is that the big bad
>competition amps claim higher efficiencies and probably get them at some
>costs to be discussed later but then again you do have to drive them and
>light up the dials and heat up the connecting cables. And a little slop
>tolerance never hurt anything unless you go balls to the wall.
>
>Having looked at some of the 'competition' amps, when I quit laughing I
>can tell you how to win any keydown in existence. The Class C amps
>whatever else they do reduce modulation so that I would guess that
>something like 20-30% is about tops. So get a sideband rig and eliminate
>the SSB filter to produce DSB and unbalance the mixer enough to get about
>20 % carrier injection and run straight class B up to something comfy like
>maybe a fifth of what the class is running.
>Use your competitor's carrier to demodulate your audio. Zero beat him and
>if you can figure out how, phase lock to him.

Class BC might be a thought, but I have never worked up any numbers to
see where the carrier/modulation trade-off would give the most
advantage. I suppose that unless the schmuck with the receiver doesn't
have his AGC disconnected, you don't really need much audio, just a
hell of a lot of carrier.

I don't know about running DSB, but reduced-carrier SSB (A3A) would
certanly give a much better advantage if the carrier is locked. Of
course this mode would be good for people that actually want to
communicate, not for RF drag-races.

But I certainly like the idea about dephasing the competitor, kinda
like dephasing a node from a broadcast tower. But I don't know how
well it would work using a Suburban for the ground plane...

>Don't bellow too loudly or the roof will come right off the judging booth.
>When questioned, just say something like, 'How you like that Swang, doncha
>know?'

Here's another thought: Instead of using the other carrier to demod,
why not just ride your modulation on HIS carrier? Just put a small
antenna on the VFO input, invert the audio to null out his sidebands,
then remodulate with your own audio!

2190. Re: President HR-2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 04:13:59 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3cd7ca.2572961@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131217

In <ujpkv8litvjp4a@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote:

>Putting on the asbestos long johns!! ;)
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim

Hey Jim, asbestos is illegal!!!

...cough...cough...

2191. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 04:16:54 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3cd875.2744053@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131219

In <3d3cd385.1479899@news.cet.com>, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
(yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

<snip>
>Here's another thought: Instead of using the other carrier to demod,
>why not just ride your modulation on HIS carrier? Just put a small
>antenna on the VFO input, invert the audio to null out his sidebands,
>then remodulate with your own audio!

... and hope he isn't doing the same thing -- can you imagine what
kind of oscillations that would create?

2192. WHOOOPIE!! Riley Just Hit Another One!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 04:45:20 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <P05%8.133092$JU3.60629281@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 131220

Roper Electronics DBA as Tomah.com was listed in this week's RainReport for sales of unapproved and so-called "10 Meter" radios!!! Sic 'em!

Jerry

2193. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 04:57:36 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D3CE240.293F654E@qnet.com>
Source record: 131221

Hello Jim:

Yes a very good point there Jim.

Radar detectors work better than most manufactures know.

I have polished my off many times, so that it gleams and shines, it has keep me from having many a speeding ticket.

And after while one gets the feel of it. Of course keeping an ear on channel 19 has saved many a dollar also. Why just this last week in Washington I had lights flashed at me, giving me prior warning to a speed trap, he he he Ten-4.....

Jay in the Mojave

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> Geeze, just buy a radar detector.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
> - ps - don't need those. No tickets since 1970 or 1971, I forgot exactly
> when ...

2194. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 05:13:56 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020723011356.29539.00000185@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 131222

>I had your premises outlined in another post but you ignored that one,
>too. How about going back and validating your comments?

Because I've already done that in other posts and I'm not repeating myself.

2195. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 05:25:34 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <iN5%8.163400$eF5.4349661@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131223

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d3cce9a.220908@news.cet.com...
Do you deny that the penalties, both
> federal and local, for intentional interference with emergency
> communications are much more severe than the penalties for
> freebanding? Are you saying that these penalties are not enough of a
> deterrent to prevent significant abuse?

Frank, I think you summed up the whole AKC problem in a nutshell. The FCC simply doesn't care. If they did, you wouldn't be here harping the S.S.D.D. But then again, you still have all the other countries in the world to take to task, so maybe you would be. At any rate, any semi-sane individual can see that the time you spend here debating is all for naught. But, it does kill time for you, doesn't it? That's what it does for me. I really don't care either way, as long as they don't mess up my channel 2. <g>

2196. KEYCLOWN BigBamboo Busted, LOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 05:35:15 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207222135.240ed9ff@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131224

Riley Hollingsworth: "The San Francisco Office of the FCC conducted an inspection of the station of Stanley Clewett, KF6IKC of Reading California. Clewett had posted pictures on his web page of what was purported to be a 10 kilowatt amplifier which he claimed to be using on CB Channel 6 -- perhaps not the best type of photograph to put on a personal web page by an Extra class licensee. This case is still open and we will report more details later."

From: BigBamBoo was here! (BigBamBoo1@webtv.net)
Subject: Re: Best Amps***Are you kidding??**
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 1999/07/03

You must be joking!?!? Real power only starts at 5000 watts OUTPUT.Those little amps make a OK driver,but thats about it.

Peace,love, and happiness to all....BigBamBoo is gone.

KF6IKC@webtv.net wrote in message <534-36A67DA6-58@newsd-142.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...

I just got a e-mail from a duck (979) in Texas.He went on to tell me what a bad ass station he had.That he could key on anyone in the long horn.Well he said he heard me today and was woundering why i would not answer him. My response was I could not hear you.He says "no way....you had me at 30db...I should be doing the same to you!" I asked him what he was running.He told me a Cobra 2000,a Skipper 300,and an Antron 99. When will people learn! Mother nature has alot to do with things.....but when you have 20-30 people in the same area,running 5 or 10 grand or more.Into big beams.How can you really belive that your going to come out with 300-400 watts into a vertical ant. and get heard?? Just another case of "The Badest Man I NEVER Heard Of"!

BigBamBoo......just got D
O
W
N !!

PS..you boys in Texas better watch out for that 979!! Hahahah

--

2197. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 05:49:09 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <p76%8.45929$Iu6.2691486@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131225

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d3cd385.1479899@news.cet.com...
> In <9i3%8.45761$Iu6.2668393@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
> >news:20020722221517.19428.00000150@mb-mh.aol.com...
> >> >From: tra1n@aol.com (TRA1N)
> >> >Do your ohms law crap and then double >the number to allow for
> >> >the INEFFECEINCY of the transistor >device.......about 50%. Train
> >
> >> So Train, is this accurate for all transistor devices (amplifiers)?
How
> >about
> >> the competetion amps?
> >
> >As a system it is not a bad rule. Your point is that the big bad
> >competition amps claim higher efficiencies and probably get them at
some
> >costs to be discussed later but then again you do have to drive them
and
> >light up the dials and heat up the connecting cables. And a little
slop
> >tolerance never hurt anything unless you go balls to the wall.
> >
> >Having looked at some of the 'competition' amps, when I quit laughing I
> >can tell you how to win any keydown in existence. The Class C amps
> >whatever else they do reduce modulation so that I would guess that
> >something like 20-30% is about tops. So get a sideband rig and
eliminate
> >the SSB filter to produce DSB and unbalance the mixer enough to get
about
> >20 % carrier injection and run straight class B up to something comfy
like
> >maybe a fifth of what the class is running.
> >Use your competitor's carrier to demodulate your audio. Zero beat him
and
> >if you can figure out how, phase lock to him.
>
> Class BC might be a thought, but I have never worked up any numbers to
> see where the carrier/modulation trade-off would give the most
> advantage. I suppose that unless the schmuck with the receiver doesn't
> have his AGC disconnected, you don't really need much audio, just a

> hell of a lot of carrier.
>
> I don't know about running DSB, but reduced-carrier SSB (A3A) would
> certanly give a much better advantage if the carrier is locked. Of
> course this mode would be good for people that actually want to
> communicate, not for RF drag-races.
>
> But I certainly like the idea about dephasing the competitor, kinda
> like dephasing a node from a broadcast tower. But I don't know how
> well it would work using a Suburban for the ground plane...
>
> >Don't bellow too loudly or the roof will come right off the judging
booth.
> >When questioned, just say something like, 'How you like that Swang,
doncha
> >know?'
>
> Here's another thought: Instead of using the other carrier to demod,
> why not just ride your modulation on HIS carrier? Just put a small
> antenna on the VFO input, invert the audio to null out his sidebands,
> then remodulate with your own audio!
>

You are taking the hard path to accomplish the same thing. As I understand it it is a case of who the judges can hear so give them the sidebands without the carrier. Normally this would sound like Donald Duck with a headcold but with the opponent's carrier supplying the signal to the detector, your audio would more than likely bury the bastard. It would be cleaner to phase lock the carrier but in a world of shitty audio who cares? If you are worried about his audio, put a buzz on yours.

2198. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 07:22:00 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3d03d0.6941476@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131227

In <iN5%8.163400$eF5.4349661@twister.austin.rr.com>, "Randy"
<rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d3cce9a.220908@news.cet.com...
> Do you deny that the penalties, both
>> federal and local, for intentional interference with emergency
>> communications are much more severe than the penalties for
>> freebanding? Are you saying that these penalties are not enough of a
>> deterrent to prevent significant abuse?
>
>Frank, I think you summed up the whole AKC problem in a nutshell. The FCC
>simply doesn't care.

Duh...

> If they did, you wouldn't be here harping the S.S.D.D.
>But then again, you still have all the other countries in the world to take
>to task, so maybe you would be. At any rate, any semi-sane individual can
>see that the time you spend here debating is all for naught. But, it does
>kill time for you, doesn't it? That's what it does for me. I really don't
>care either way, as long as they don't mess up my channel 2. <g>

Just because the FCC doesn't care about the Citizen's Band doesn't
mean I have to give up the fight.

2199. VHFradiobutt in the Army?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 07:23:41 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3d042b.7032322@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131226

In <20020723011356.29539.00000185@mb-ft.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:

>>I had your premises outlined in another post but you ignored that one,
>>too. How about going back and validating your comments?
>
>Because I've already done that in other posts and I'm not repeating myself.

Then I will address your other posts:

In <20020721095430.01103.00001787@mb-ms.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:

>>27.41 to 27.54 MHz is for fixed and mobile services, and 27.54 to
>>28.00 is for exclusive use by the government.
>
>And if any of the frequencies in the so called "freeband" were actively being
>used, there'd be a bigger effort to get rid of the illegal operators there.

Which is a really interesting statement, considering that's exactly
what happens with the PSP freqs above 2 meters that you claim are in
such danger -- there is very tight enforcement on those freqs. That's
what I have said before but you keep ignoring. The fact is that such
enforcement, and very stiff penalties, minimizes any 'danger' from
hams with modified 2 meter radios.

>This "band" is used by operators around the world and would thus be a big
>problem if any of the authorized users actually used them. I think we'd hear a
>lot more about it if this were the case and the governments of the world would
>have their respective FCC equivelents working to clean up the band.

Nobody is arguing that there are very few critical radio services
located in the so-called 'freeband' as compared to the VHF Safety
Pool.

>>1. That the "freeband" (from 27.41 to 28.00 MHz) is not used by any
>>legitimate radio service;
>
>See my above reply.

So you are saying that there are legitimate radio services in the
freeband, but they are not important enough not to transmit on those
freqs? Considering you don't even know who holds the licenses and
allocations for those freqs, how do you know what is important and
what isn't? Or do you choose which freq to abuse based on how
important a particular service is to you? Or is it based on how often
people get busted using them? Knowing that almost all cases of

intentional interference with emergency and government frequencies are prosecuted in state and federal courts, and rarely show up on the FCC's rain report, how can you tell which freqs should be avoided?

You can't, and you can't say that there are no legitimate radio services on the freeband. And I really don't think you have access to any complaints from international entities claiming harmful interference on the freeband, so don't even go there.

>>2. That there is a "danger" from modified 2-meter radios;
>
>The fact that most 2 meter radios are very easily modified to transmit out band
>band and that when so modified, they have the capability to transmit PL tones
>and offsets, just like the public service radios, presents a danger to those
>frequencies.

As I have stated many times before, and you have ignored each time, is that it's easier and cheaper to buy a commercial rig with those capabilities than it is to modify a 2 meter rig. So anyone with the intent of interfering with Safety Pool freqs would probably forego the modification of a 2 meter radio and get the real stuff. But your accusation was that -hams- could modify their radios with the intent of using those freqs and therefore cause harmful interference. Well, there has always been that possiblity ever since both services have existed. But there have been very few incidents where this has actually occured, and when it does occur the resulting conviction is usually well-publicized so that everyone will know that such abuse will not be tolerated. It's a system that works pretty well, wouldn't you say?

And here's another tidbit: it's not always hams that cause interference on the VHF-hi band, and it's not always modified 2 meter radios that are used. In fact...

>>3. That this "danger" is greater than that posed by operation on the
>>"freeband".
>
>Again, I have yet to hear of ONE incident in which operating from channel 40 to
>10 meters has caused any harmful interference on an authorized user of the
>"band". See question #2 for my answer about the increased danger by modified 2m
>rigs.

...I challenge you to show just one incident where a modified 2 meter rig was used to interfere with PSP comm. JUST ONE!!!

>>I can look at the FCC reports and find out how many
>>violations there have been for illegal operation just above 148 MHz,
>>compare that with the number of IOs on the freeband and 10 meters.
>
>Better yet, let me know how many violations have been reported to authorized
>users between channel 40 and 10 meters compared to 148mhz+. I have made it
>clear that I am not talking about violations on 10m. I am talking about harmful

>interference caused to authorized users between channel 40 and 10 meters.

I'll check into reported interference on the freeband, but you find that ONE case where a modified 2 meter radio was used to interfere with PSP freqs. Betcha I'll find plenty and you won't find any! Care to make a wager?

>>I know for a fact that the military uses 27.54 to 28.00 MHz (MOS 2841,
>>radio repairman)
>
>And I spent 7 1/2 yrs in the Army and I never once saw a radio in use below
>30mhz.

Cooks and supply clerks don't see much in the way of military communications. I was neither, and I fixed and operated a large variety of equipment. Specifically for HF there was the AN/PRC-104, a man-pack portable HF rig with push-button tuning covering 2 - 30 MHz. That same transceiver (RT-1209) could be attached to a linear and auto-tuner on a jeep to make the AN/MRC-109/110 (400/1000 watts). When this rig was set up, it was required to be roped off and a sign placed next to it saying "DANGER: Death on Contact!"

And just in case you are curious, my MOS (2841) was specifically called "ground radio repairman", which means I was basically a grunt with a multimeter and worked on anything that was put in front of me. I was formally trained for, and worked on, just about everything from the radios described above to the VRC-12 series (RT-524/RT-246/R-442), the PRC-77 (RT-841, a mechanical marvel from Motorola using dual IF freqs, and the reason techs invented the 3-foot drop test), the PRC-68 (a cute, hand-held VHF-Lo transceiver), the PRC-75 (a 225 to 400 MHz aircraft transceiver -- Interesting story there, as the battery box was seperate from the radio and used a battery for which the military would pay over $300 each, until someone got the bright idea to crack one open and found out it was just a bundle of AA batteries), and the Vinson system (KY-57/58/KYK-13/etc), but we won't talk about that, will we?). Also, there was that URM-201 satellite transceiver which was used by a person, who's name I'm sure you know, that frequented Beirut at the time (not the radio so much, but the coax kept getting knocked loose on the roof). We also had the opportunity to play around with a few Soviet radios (that were allegedly captured from the Hamas). And that's just a -sample- of the radios. Then there was the land-line equipment such as the field-phones and switchboards, power supplies, etc. The biggest challenge came in my last few months when I was with 2nd AAV, because even though the normal traks had one hell of a intercom/radio system, those Command traks were literally stuffed with radios and the cabling was a true nightmare! It was even worse because the Amtraks were undergoing renovations at the time, which included refitting of the entire electrical systems (and adding some really cool LED bar-graph speedo's and tach's).

BTW, the Bird was rarely used; we almost always used a generic combination wattmeter/dummy load -- maybe not as accurate, but much

easier. We took the Simpson 260 just about everywhere. In the shop we had just about everything a shop could use for everything we worked on, and we had it all at each bench. We even had an extensive library, including OM's and TM's for stuff I have never even seen, and for stuff as old as the ARC-1.

So what did you do in the Army for 7-1/2 years?

2200. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 07:33:08 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3d04e4.7217694@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131228

In <p76%8.45929$Iu6.2691486@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

<snip>
>> Here's another thought: Instead of using the other carrier to demod,
>> why not just ride your modulation on HIS carrier? Just put a small
>> antenna on the VFO input, invert the audio to null out his sidebands,
>> then remodulate with your own audio!
>>
>
>You are taking the hard path to accomplish the same thing.

I thought that was the point of these competitions...

> As I
>understand it it is a case of who the judges can hear so give them the
>sidebands without the carrier. Normally this would sound like Donald Duck
>with a headcold but with the opponent's carrier supplying the signal to
>the detector, your audio would more than likely bury the bastard. It
>would be cleaner to phase lock the carrier but in a world of shitty audio
>who cares? If you are worried about his audio, put a buzz on yours.

How are you going to convince them to use the other guy's signal as a
BFO when they don't even know what their clarifier is or how it works?
The only thing they know is that it has to have 'slide', which the
other radio isn't going to provide, so I don't think any of them would
even consider such an idea!

2201. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 07:53:27 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ahj21n$l2g$1@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 131229

KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com> wrote:

> "Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
> news:ahgh3k$i7d$5@quark.scn.rain.com...
>> OK. Apparently you cannot find any specific statutes in California to
>> cite - and probably not Texas either. Otherwise, I think you would have
>> posted the cites to terminate the argument.

> I never ever said there was a specific statue that says " A Motorist cannot
> flash there High Beams" but the towns do get you on obstruction of justice,

Nope. Maybe "interference with an officer performing his duties", but
that is all.

And unless there is a specific statute, no court in the land would
convict a person for warning others using radio, flashing lights or
even smoke signals. Unless a crime has occurred, there is nothing
that can be done by the officer - and a person being warned that they
may get stopped for speeding is NOT a crime.

>> Try Oregon. You won't find any such statutes here either.

> Obstruction of justice it exists mental midget

See above. Insults do nothing for your cause - except provide more
evidence that you do NOT know what you are talking about.

>> The answer, according to my local police Captain friend - is a resounding
>> "NO!". He says the myth has been around for many years - and is being
>> continuously spread by a bunch of ignorant fools that should know better.

> Ok Bill I guess Your one police friend against my 5,000 lol I guess your
> calling all these policemen fools then . Like your a know it all Nelson

I doubt if you have 5000 police officer friends. Note that the person I
asked was not a patrol officer, but a Captain who is often called upon to
evaluate whether there has been a crime committed.

>> > Yes, I know you find it the same as talking on the freeband who am I
> hurting
>> > lol. Like I said Landwhale I didn't write the law I am just telling you
> what
>> > is commonly practiced across the Usa.
>>
>> Commonly? So far, all we have is your claim. You have not provided a
> single
>> federal, state or local cite to substantiate your statements. Until you
> do,

>> it is just a bunch of hot air.

> Obstruction is one way they write the citation I will fid out hte others. So
> Nelson you can beleive what ever you like I have more contact with law
> enforcement in one day than you have had in 5 years I guess they are all
> liars. You havent proved anything to the contrary so I think your full of
> hot air.

How do you know what my job is, or how much contact I have with police?
For all you know, I could be a police officer.

In any case, it does not matter. I never called anyone a liar - even you.
But that still does not make your claims anything except "hot air" unless
you can provide a relevant statute. I won't even ask for court cases where
a person was convicted.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2202. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 08:02:00 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ahj2ho$l2g$2@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 131230

KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com> wrote:

> "Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
> news:ahgh3k$i7d$5@quark.scn.rain.com...
> > Commonly? So far, all we have is your claim. You have not provided a
> single
>> federal, state or local cite to substantiate your statements. Until you
> do,
>> it is just a bunch of hot air.

> Ok lets see how many different Countrys say it is illegal Usa, England,
> France ,Switzerland, Australia, and as far as states, wow TX, Mich, PA, NY,
> NJ, Colorado Missouri, etc etc read closely and take your time don't want
> you to miss anything

Do you see one single listing of an actual statute? Police claim a lot
of things that are never upheld, even in traffic court.

You have still provided nothing except the fact that there are a number
of people who believe such an act is illegal.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2203. Digital CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 08:08:10 UTC
From: piecheech@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020723040810.21759.00000152@mb-ff.aol.com>
Source record: 131232

Could anyone tell me any info or point me to some websites on the subject of digital CB? I met someone at a swap meet who was selling equipment. It blew me away, it's like HDTV but with CB.
Joe PieCheech

2204. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 08:08:12 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ahj2tc$l2g$3@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 131231

yeti@REMOVEcet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:

> Here's another thought: Instead of using the other carrier to demod,
> why not just ride your modulation on HIS carrier? Just put a small
> antenna on the VFO input, invert the audio to null out his sidebands,
> then remodulate with your own audio!

It wouldn't work. How would the device tell the difference between
your modulation and that of your competitor?

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2205. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 08:14:18 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ahj38q$l2g$4@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 131233

KungFuYou <vengence@foo.com> wrote:
> Section 38.15 is Interfering with public duties. Subsection c
> says "It is a defense to prosecution under Subsection (a)(1) that the
> conduct engaged in by the defendant was intended to warn a person
> operating a motor vehicle of the presence of a peace officer who was
> enforcing Subtitle C, Title 7, Transportation Code."

Hint - look up the definition of "defense to prosecution".

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2206. Re: Q.Can a 400 watt system run in a 2002 model car.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 08:18:07 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3d1120.10348968@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131234

In <ahj2tc$l2g$3@quark.scn.rain.com>, Bill Nelson
<billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:

>yeti@REMOVEcet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
>
>> Here's another thought: Instead of using the other carrier to demod,
>> why not just ride your modulation on HIS carrier? Just put a small
>> antenna on the VFO input, invert the audio to null out his sidebands,
>> then remodulate with your own audio!
>
>It wouldn't work. How would the device tell the difference between
>your modulation and that of your competitor?

...details, details...

2207. Re: President HR-2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 08:32:50 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Sw8%8.26291$927.15446@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 131235

Hi Jeff,well if the freq. readout is working then it's not the chip a filter cap has taken a crap I like fixing those if you want let me know ?
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"Jeff Kross" <jkross255@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:a47f3907.0207221907.18fc25d0@posting.google.com...
> Ok, radio works perfectly in every manor EXCEPT for TX. Standard
> radio, no mod's other than the expanded RX/TX mod and the radio is
> said to have worked fine, until now, after being taken out of storage
> for 7 years.
> The LCD says its in TX, but nothing comes out the back end as far as
> RF power and its not heard on any in shop radios on the same
> channel or sitting right next to each other for that matter. I'm not
> very familiar with this radio so any help would be appreciated. My
> only guess was maybe the CPU/PLL or something in that area. I would
> guess the driver as well, but like i said, i know not much of this
> type of radio.
>
> Thanks in advance,
> Jeff

2208. Best base cb/10m radio on market right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 10:34:25 UTC
From: ugotmefucedup@aol.com
Message-ID: <4b3c9e90.0207230234.63b7bcd7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131236

I have been out of CB for ahwile now and i would like to know what is the best cb or 10m base radio available now a days,it seems there are alot of new radios on market, what is the best or close to it?

thanx

2209. Re: President HR-2510

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 12:08:55 UTC
From: Redeffy <es_42@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ahjh0p$2m4$1@news.duke.edu>
Source record: 131238

Jeff, I sent my 2510 to Doc "Tom Bridge". He is a guru with these. If you want it fixed, and done right, send it to him.

"Tom Bridge - Doc" <t.bridge@verizon.net> wrote in message news:Sw8%8.26291$927.15446@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> Hi Jeff,well if the freq. readout is working then it's not the chip a filter
> cap has taken a crap I like fixing those if you want let me know ?
> --
> Best Regards!
> - Doc -
> http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/
>
> "Jeff Kross" <jkross255@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:a47f3907.0207221907.18fc25d0@posting.google.com...
> > Ok, radio works perfectly in every manor EXCEPT for TX. Standard
> > radio, no mod's other than the expanded RX/TX mod and the radio is
> > said to have worked fine, until now, after being taken out of storage
> > for 7 years.
> > The LCD says its in TX, but nothing comes out the back end as far as
> > RF power and its not heard on any in shop radios on the same
> > channel or sitting right next to each other for that matter. I'm not
> > very familiar with this radio so any help would be appreciated. My
> > only guess was maybe the CPU/PLL or something in that area. I would
> > guess the driver as well, but like i said, i know not much of this
> > type of radio.
> >
> > Thanks in advance,
> > Jeff
>
>

2210. Re: WHOOOPIE!! Riley Just Hit Another One!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 13:06:05 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16375-3D3D54BD-440@storefull-2256.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 131239

So is n9uom goin to the gray bar hotel?...Mark

2211. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 13:33:36 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d3d5966$0$13949$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 131240

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020722172300.549$os@newsreader.com...
> Actually I prove you wrong,evry time I reply to you. Check the threads.

Only because, like Twisty (where's Twisty anyway?) you are a legend in your
own mind.

> Nope,don't recall knowing anyone named whit,your point?

Over your head I guess.

> Well you're wrong again,muenzler(big surprise).
>
> I was born in 1960. Does that make me your elder?

Nope it does not.

> >
> >>Go #40? Is that the proof of that Methanol you
> > drink?
> >
> No,it's Sterling Marlins number. Man are you stupid.

It also happens to be Trey Ware's number here in San Antonio. But you
didn't know that. So by your standards it makes you stupid. You really
should stay away from that Methanol.

>
> Go find the URL to the Texas state,and the Federal laws against giving
> smokey reports.
>
> You're unarmed,chump.

Here's a new one, "unarmed chump." Now how would you know how many weapons
I have in my house. You don't. That tells me, and everyone else in this
group, that you don't know at all what you are talking about. If you can't
even get that little thing right how can we expect you to be right on
anything else. That's your logic anyway.

Kevin, WB5RUE

2212. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 13:36:13 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d3d5a03$0$13949$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 131241

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020722171538.049$ki@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>Sometime in the late 70's the state of Texas told them that they needed
> > > to share most of their "income" from speeders on the interestate because
> > > the state maintained the road. It was a big hit to their tax base!
> > >
> > > Kevin, WB5RUE
> > >
> Well sure it was..they had all of that revenue from busting CBer's for
> giving smokey reports.
>
> You're truely clueless,or really full of shit.
>

You really are a piece of work Mopy. With one sentense you agree with me and the next you say I'm "full of shit." So, using your logic again, if I am "full of shit" and you agree with me does that make you "full of shit" too? I guess not since you don't apply your standards to yourself. You really should stay away from that Methanol.

Nope, maybe not. It's just that you're really having to stretch to find a valid insult in there somewhere. You missed the mark that time Moped boy.

Kevin, WB5RUE

2213. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 13:38:15 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d3d5a7d$0$13951$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 131242

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020722171303.669$6X@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>So if states wanted to really push it, and some
> > have, radar detectors can be made illegal.
> >
> > Kevin, WB5RUE
> >
> What,two fucking states?(only radar detectors).
>

VA and PA. They are illegal in most states in commercial vehicles.

> Show me the law that busts smokey reports,jackass.
>
> Stop changing the suject,in order to hide your lies.
>

I haven't changed anything. You are taking lessons from Twistyboy again.
Or, maybe you ARE TwistyBoy. He has kind of "gone away." You really should
stay away from that Methanol.

Kevin, WB5RUE

2214. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 13:39:24 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d3d5ac2$0$13951$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 131243

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020722172402.438$sy@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > "ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020720191042.12673.00000018@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > > Kevin, you must be a shut in loser like George.
> >
> >>Is that the best personal attack you can come up with?
> >
> > Kevin, WB5RUE
> >
> Ask him about those diet pills,he'd never get near.<g>
>

What diet pills. You (Twistyboy) are the one who got that one wrong from
the beginning. Twistyboy has taught you well. You really should stay away
from that Methanol and those horse-hair joints.

Kevin, WB5RUE

2215. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims a lack of understanding,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 13:51:40 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d3d5da2$0$13956$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 131244

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020722173108.532$kG@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >>Yep, you prove my point.
> >
> Thanks,I try. Your point is to give CBer's a hard time,and yes I've proved
> it several times,already.

No you have proved that I give ILLEGAL CBers a hard time. I don't know how many times I have said that LEGAL CBers are invaluable when it comes to augmenting desaster relief efforts. It would have been extremely difficult to do without them during our floods here in south Texas. And yes TWO CBers were ARRESTED for interfering with rescue efforts. They were keying up over LEGAL CBers (me for one) and interfering with our communications. It was very easy to track them down (they were in the same car) and they were ARRESTED. It only took about 20 minutes to find them. They face several charges. Some of which are "interfering with a fireman in the performance of his duties," "interfering with a peace officer in the performance of his duties," and "public indangerment." They face others as well as federal charges once the local cops are done with them. In other words, Moped boy, they are in deep doodoo.

Kevin, WB5RUE

2216. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims a lack of understanding,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 13:52:34 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d3d5dd8$0$13949$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 131245

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message news:BA1%8.325317>
> troll troll troll

Yeah, he proves my point with every post and doesn't have the brain to understand what I mean.

Kevin, WB5RUE

2217. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 13:58:25 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d3d5f37$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 131246

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020722122017.09175.00000402@mb-mv.aol.com...
> Aluminum is far superior to fiberglass in antenna construction. Consider
that,
> before the government (CPSC) intruded into the marketplace, nearly all
base
> station antennas were made of aluminum. The only exception was the
Shakesphere
> Big Stick.
>
> Fiberglass is a poor substitute. Instead of a large radiating surface
> (aluminum tubing), you get a thin copper wire which is surrounded by a
foreign
> material.

Actually at CB frequencies fiberglass works just as well as aluminum. The only disadvantage is that that small copper wire won't handle high power. The reason that most ham radio antennas are not fiberglass is because it's less expensive to make them from aluminum tubing than from a large copy wire covered by fiberglass. It really has nothing to do with how a fiberglass covered copper wire preforms at HF frequencies. A 30' long piece of #10 copper wire covered with fiberglass is much more expensive (and less structurally sound) than a 30' long 1" diameter aluminum tube.

The main reason that CB antennas started going to fiberglass is that fiberglass is cheaper than aluminum when you only have a #20 or #22 wire in the middle.

Kevin, WB5RUE

2218. Re: KEYCLOWN BigBamboo Busted, LOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 15:57:27 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujqv6179jqbj81@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131247

As one individual pointed out some time ago, those with licenses are likely to face heavier fines because they SHOULD know better (I think Kenneth pointed that out stating he had a CDL and would face traffic fines twice what normal folks would face). Frankly, they deserve what they get.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:22a5e694.0207222135.240ed9ff@posting.google.com...
> Riley Hollingsworth: "The San Francisco Office of the FCC conducted an
> inspection of the station of Stanley Clewett, KF6IKC of Reading California.
> Clewett had posted pictures on his web page of what was purported to be a 10
> kilowatt amplifier which he claimed to be using on CB Channel 6 -- perhaps
> not the best type of photograph to put on a personal web page by an Extra class
> licensee. This case is still open and we will report more details later."
>
> ----
>
> From: BigBamBoo was here! (BigBamBoo1@webtv.net)
> Subject: Re: Best Amps***Are you kidding??**
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Date: 1999/07/03
>
> You must be joking!?!? Real power only starts at 5000 watts OUTPUT.Those
> little amps make a OK driver,but thats about it.
>
> Peace,love, and happiness to all....BigBamBoo is gone.
>
> ----
>
> KF6IKC@webtv.net wrote in message
> <534-36A67DA6-58@newsd-142.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
>
> I just got a e-mail from a duck (979) in Texas.He went on to tell me
> what a bad ass station he had.That he could key on anyone in the long
> horn.Well he said he heard me today and was woundering why i would not
> answer him. My response was I could not hear you.He says "no way....you
> had me at 30db...I should be doing the same to you!" I asked him what he
> was running.He told me a Cobra 2000,a Skipper 300,and an Antron 99. When
> will people learn! Mother nature has alot to do with things.....but when
> you have 20-30 people in the same area,running 5 or 10 grand or

> more.Into big beams.How can you really belive that your going to come
> out with 300-400 watts into a vertical ant. and get heard?? Just another
> case of "The Badest Man I NEVER Heard Of"!
>
> BigBamBoo......just got D
> O
> W
> N !!
>
> PS..you boys in Texas better watch out for that 979!! Hahahah
>
> --

2219. Re: KEYCLOWN BigBamboo Busted, LOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 16:10:55 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <M3f%8.144524$JU3.61277975@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 131248

Amen to that! Apparently, you can lead some to better water, but you can't
make 'em drink. They still like the old muddy water!!
Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ujqv6179jqbj81@corp.supernews.com...
> As one individual pointed out some time ago, those with licenses are
likely
> to face heavier fines because they SHOULD know better (I think Kenneth
> pointed that out stating he had a CDL and would face traffic fines twice
> what normal folks would face). Frankly, they deserve what they get.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207222135.240ed9ff@posting.google.com...
> > Riley Hollingsworth: "The San Francisco Office of the FCC conducted an
> > inspection of the station of Stanley Clewett, KF6IKC of Reading
> California.
> > Clewett had posted pictures on his web page of what was purported to be
a
> 10
> > kilowatt amplifier which he claimed to be using on CB Channel 6 --
perhaps
> > not the best type of photograph to put on a personal web page by an
Extra
> class
> > licensee. This case is still open and we will report more details
later."
> >
> > ----
> >
> > From: BigBamBoo was here! (BigBamBoo1@webtv.net)
> > Subject: Re: Best Amps***Are you kidding??**
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> > Date: 1999/07/03
> >
> > You must be joking!?!? Real power only starts at 5000 watts OUTPUT.Those
> > little amps make a OK driver,but thats about it.
> >
> > Peace,love, and happiness to all....BigBamBoo is gone.
> >
> > ----
> >

> > KF6IKC@webtv.net wrote in message
> > <534-36A67DA6-58@newsd-142.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
> >
> > I just got a e-mail from a duck (979) in Texas.He went on to tell me
> > what a bad ass station he had.That he could key on anyone in the long
> > horn.Well he said he heard me today and was woundering why i would not
> > answer him. My response was I could not hear you.He says "no way....you
> > had me at 30db...I should be doing the same to you!" I asked him what he
> > was running.He told me a Cobra 2000,a Skipper 300,and an Antron 99. When
> > will people learn! Mother nature has alot to do with things.....but when
> > you have 20-30 people in the same area,running 5 or 10 grand or
> > more.Into big beams.How can you really belive that your going to come
> > out with 300-400 watts into a vertical ant. and get heard?? Just another
> > case of "The Badest Man I NEVER Heard Of"!
> >
> > BigBamBoo......just got D
> > O
> > W
> > N !!
> >
> > PS..you boys in Texas better watch out for that 979!! Hahahah
> >
> > --
>
>

2220. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 16:13:11 UTC
From: Jay <Jay@GETOUT.verizon.net>
Message-ID: <rgf%8.26568$927.22661@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 131249

Dude dont take the bait
You will find yourself pulled over (especially if its the Alpine NJ Nazis),
car impounded for some BS reason
When you go to get your car you will find 2 tires flat (valves removed), and
radio and cables neatly removed.

-Jay

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722224618.06722.00000091@mb-fn.aol.com...
> X-No-Archive: yes
>
> > Did I ever say I
> >had connections?
>
> Um, yes. You said you worked with "every PD in NJ" and you knew all the
> supersvisors..
>
> >Are you a sworn police officer in NJ ?
>
> Just answered your question in the last reply. Now find me.
>
> And post your ham callsign, if you really have one, which I doubt.

2221. Re: WHOOOPIE!! Riley Just Hit Another One!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 16:16:43 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <c9f%8.144538$JU3.61300434@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 131250

Mark Roehlke <SHITPANTS@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:16375-3D3D54BD-440@storefull-2256.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> So is n9uom goin to the gray bar hotel?...Mark

I doubt it. Maybe a warning. If he ignores it, then, perhaps, more.
Getting into
serious poopoo usually happens after several warnings. I see his webpage is
still
up, so..... Maybe he thinks Magnum Delta Force with 8 "bands"
and
channel readout is an approved "10 Meter" radio.

BTW, I just was notified that Mr. H. met with ARRL RFI committee. He
reiter-
ated his promise that the 10 Meter incursions will NOT be ignored, and that
we will be seeing more warnings, or NALs in the near future.

73

Jerry
>

2222. ?? About handheld/portable freq. counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 16:28:23 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723122823.27467.00000258@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 131251

Hello all,
I have a Handheld/portable freq. counter Model 22-306 from Radio Shack.
The range of reception is very poor (mayby 5 feet if I am lucky) depending on the power output of the unit being tested.
It has a telescoping antenna that was supplied with the unit.
I was wondering if anyone has a way to increase the range of this unit.
I am very disappointed in this unit as of now, but I will give some modifications a try to see if I can increase the range and satisfy my need and use of the unit.
Please e-mail me direct at tramd300@aol.com
Thank you in advance...

** Don't shake my tree if you don't like what comes down**
Life is too short... Enjoy the ride...
{{:-})> <({-:}}

2223. Re: Best base cb/10m radio on market right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 16:43:27 UTC
From: Jesse Stanley <superstar@frognet.net>
Message-ID: <fxf%8.4252$pv6.436458@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 131252

CB Texas Ranger 696 Base
10M Ranger RCi 2985DX and 2995DX

Justin wrote in message <4b3c9e90.0207230234.63b7bcd7@posting.google.com>...
>I have been out of CB for ahwile now and i would like to know what is
>the best cb or 10m base radio available now a days,it seems there are
>alot of new radios on market, what is the best or close to it?
>
>
> thanx

2224. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 19:47:53 UTC
From: Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com>
Message-ID: <Jpi%8.241247$Bt1.13068034@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131253

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:i24%8.25976$tz6.26986825@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...

> Landshark
> Where's the stature on the federal law being broken?
> Not head lights, the cb statement to which you are trying to advert
> attention away from.
> --

I never said there was a federal law regarding CB's why are you trying to put words in my mouth? My contention is that if you interfere with the police then they can get you for obstruction no matter if your using a CB or blinking your headlights etc etc.Now proving who said what over a CB as I said before would be very hard, but if there was a chance pick any federal statute that falls under obstruction of a peace officer, if you think there is some statute or law written specifically for cb's you are one stupid son of a bitch. I never said anything of the sort so perhaps you need to reread who said what. But you did say you talked to a CHp and that I was also wrong on blinking your headlights so I see you were trying to defer so i didnt break your balls about that I guess.

2225. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 19:50:40 UTC
From: Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com>
Message-ID: <ksi%8.240094$iB1.12805575@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131254

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ahj38q$l2g$4@quark.scn.rain.com...

> Hint - look up the definition of "defense to prosecution".

> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

Bill is that the best you can do I thought your captain buddy said it was
bullshit? There are posts from people all over the country that have gotten
poped for blinking their headlights to warn of a speed trap ahead.

2226. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 20:00:28 UTC
From: Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com>
Message-ID: <wBi%8.336709$vq.18528070@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131256

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ahj2ho$l2g$2@quark.scn.rain.com...

> Do you see one single listing of an actual statute? Police claim a lot
> of things that are never upheld, even in traffic court.
>
> You have still provided nothing except the fact that there are a number
> of people who believe such an act is illegal.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

Bill Take the time to read the post these people have been tried and found guilty.
The statute is Illegal use of equipment or Obstruction of a peace officer, It is up to you to plead your case none the less As I stated and you deny that it exists People all across this country and Australia and France and Switzerland are getting tickets for this I have provided the info and you have provided nothing but your opinion.

2227. SMOKN' GUNN II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 20:04:07 UTC
From: John Medica - Listening-Post <medica@cyberspace.org>
Message-ID: <3D3DB6B7.A6FA40C0@cyberspace.org>
Source record: 131255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

Recently picked up SMOKN' GUN II. No complaints.
I recommend this antenna to anyone - she kicks ass! :)

John Medica
System Administrator
Listening-Post
www.listening-post.net
Toronto Ontario Canada

C o n t a c t I n f o r m a t i o n:
ï¿½ Personal: jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org
ï¿½ Work: medica@cyberspace.org

Moderator Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide
http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/oufg

2228. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 20:04:43 UTC
From: Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com>
Message-ID: <vFi%8.336744$vq.18530589@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131257

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ahj21n$l2g$1@quark.scn.rain.com...

> I doubt if you have 5000 police officer friends. Note that the person I
> asked was not a patrol officer, but a Captain who is often called upon to
> evaluate whether there has been a crime committed.

Yes another opinion and assumption for mr Nelson again he only can give his opinion and no proof because opinions don't require proof ...

> How do you know what my job is, or how much contact I have with police?
> For all you know, I could be a police officer.

I was wondering the same thing when you said I don't know 5000 police officers? Kinda funny huh when it is turned around on you . LOL

> In any case, it does not matter. I never called anyone a liar - even you.
> But that still does not make your claims anything except "hot air" unless
> you can provide a relevant statute. I won't even ask for court cases where
> a person was convicted.

Bill, I have provided you with more than enough info for you to hang yourself. I think you should start backing up your opinions about what you say, I say the sky Is blue you say it is white I showed you pictures of a blue sky you have showed me nothing but told me the sky is white It is your turn.

2229. Re: Motorola mobile 2-way Mic to an RCI 2950?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 20:05:32 UTC
From: Skipp <skipp@pilotNOSPAMPLEASE.ucdavis.edu>
Message-ID: <ahkcucfrn1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 131258

Yes, it can be done.... but you will have to find someone who knows what the heck they are doing, mic and two way radio electronics wise.

The Motorhead (motorola) mics have audio and dc power on the same shielded wire (most often coloured brown). Green is PTT (transmit), black is ground, white is PL/DCS enable/disable (mic in hangup clip). Red and Yellow are often receive audio and speaker switching.

You can and should use a combination of resistors and capacitors to couple the audio and supply power to the mic.

Works good.... tastes great...

good luck, I use Motorhead mics on most of my radios.

cheers
skipp

http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

: Freebander <freebander@cfl.rr.com> wrote:
: Would someone be able to tell me if a motorola mobile 2 way radio's
: microphone could be wired to work on an RCI Ranger 2950 or any other
: ham/cb radio? I don't care about having the functionality of the
: channel changers, I just want a good sturdy mic - not to mention the
: fact that I can get these cheap through a local surplus outlet! The
: mics that I have seen have 4 pin cables attached, I figured if wired
: right they should work fine but since I am not an electronis wizard I
: don't want to chance it until i asked!

: Thanks!

: Freebander

2230. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 20:07:17 UTC
From: Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com>
Message-ID: <VHi%8.288280$lm2.14752713@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131259

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722223235.06722.00000089@mb-fn.aol.com...

> So the truth comes out. You're a chicken shit and a liar and everyone on
the
> newsgroup now knows it. BTW - You're the one who said you had PD
connections.
> Only connections I have are my fellow officers in my department.

Ok from that statement, you are purporting to be a police officer. And it probably will be one of your fellow officers that tells me that you work there. LOL Have a good day.

2231. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 20:08:12 UTC
From: Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com>
Message-ID: <MIi%8.288288$Im2.14753324@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131260

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020722223418.06722.00000090@mb-fn.aol.com...

> I've already said that I am... now go find me. I am in Monmouth County
> somewhere. When are you going to let the world know who you are? What are
you
> hiding from? Are you in the witness protection program? What's your
callsign.
> Most hams would be proud to tell people their callsign, which means you
are not
> a ham.

Do you tell the people at Shop Rite your call sign?

2232. Re: brick amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 20:12:42 UTC
From: Skipp <skipp@pilotNOSPAMPLEASE.ucdavis.edu>
Message-ID: <ahkdbqfrn2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 131261

: I DX <theworldaround27mcs@webtv.net> wrote:
: Are there any ss amps that have rx preamps that work independant of the
: pa?TIA

Yes, the original CMI Power Master and the early real Commanders would
allow Rx amp only operation.

You can add switched Rx preamps in line most anytime. Advanced Receiver
Research "ARR" sells nice units amoung others like Angle Linear / Lunar
and others.

You've got to pay attention to the amount of crap you are close to. If
you live in a busy RF Area, you will need some preamp protection. Some
preamps have them built in (resonant lines and tuned circuits). I most
often make my own with coaxial stubs.

If you can't get away from the poop, buy the ARR BiPolar Transistor
Preamp, if you live in a quiet area, pick up the GasFet unit.

You won't believe what a good antenna and a preamp will allow you to hear.
Just incredible...

cheers
skipp

http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

2233. Re: FS: Tubes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 20:14:22 UTC
From: Skipp <skipp@pilotNOSPAMPLEASE.ucdavis.edu>
Message-ID: <ahkdeufrn3@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 131262

```
: The Tube Dude <thetubeman3500z@yahoo.com> wrote:
: I've got tubes... 6LQ6, AND OTHERS... 3-500Z'S AND MUCH MORE.
: INQUIRE VIA E-MAIL
: thetubeman3500z@yahoo.com

Yeah, but the last time I saw your prices.... way too high.
skipp
```

2234. Re: Best base cb/10m radio on market right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 20:26:43 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207231226.718e6f34@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131263
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

ugotmefucedup@aol.com (Justin) wrote in message
news:<4b3c9e90.0207230234.63b7bcd7@posting.google.com>...
> I have been out of CB for ahwile now and i would like to know what is
> the best cb or 10m base radio available now a days,it seems there are
> alot of new radios on market, what is the best or close to it?
>
>
> thanx

Justin's post could be a troll, but I doubt it. It too clearly represents the state of CB today. There sure ARE a lot of "new radios" on the market. That's because "10 meters" has become synonymous with "high-end CB" in consumers minds. For this you can thank manufacturers like Ranger, Galaxy, and Connex, and dealers like Copper, EEI, and the scores of truck stops and CB shops across the USA. Go to "Roper Electronics" web site and check out the descriptions of the 10 meter radios: "full coverage!", "320 channels!" they advise. (Guess whose band many of those channels are in?) Since "freebanders" traditionally take over spectrum that they claim is not being used, I can speculate that, as the sunspots go down and there is less ham activity on 10 meters, the operation of these "10 meter CB" radios on ham bands by truckers and casual users will only increase.

-Aaron-

2235. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 20:31:42 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020723163142.29574.00000219@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 131264

>Ok from that statement, you are purporting to be a police officer. And it
>probably will be one of your fellow officers that tells me that you work
>there. LOL Have a good day.

I'm waiting for you to find the information. Let me know which one you talk to.

We are waiting for you to reveal who you are. Everyone knows who I am. Stop hiding behind your cable modem. Guess you're just another troll hiding behind and anonymous identity.

Too bad you weren't on 2m SSB last night. I probably could have gotten into eastern PA and we could have chatted...

2236. Re: Best base cb/10m radio on market right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 21:15:01 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3dc710.2723126@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131265
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In <22a5e694.0207231226.718e6f34@posting.google.com>,
voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:

>ugotmefucedup@aol.com (Justin) wrote in message
news:<4b3c9e90.0207230234.63b7bcd7@posting.google.com>...
>> I have been out of CB for ahwile now and i would like to know what is
>> the best cb or 10m base radio available now a days,it seems there are
>> alot of new radios on market, what is the best or close to it?
>>
>>
>> thanx
>
>Justin's post could be a troll, but I doubt it. It too clearly
>represents the state of CB today. There sure ARE a lot of "new radios"
>on the market. That's because "10 meters" has become synonymous with
>"high-end CB" in consumers minds. For this you can thank manufacturers
>like Ranger, Galaxy, and Connex, and dealers like Copper, EEI, and the
>scores of truck stops and CB shops across the USA. Go to "Roper
>Electronics" web site and check out the descriptions of the 10 meter
>radios: "full coverage!", "320 channels!" they advise. (Guess whose
>band many of those channels are in?) Since "freebanders" traditionally
>take over spectrum that they claim is not being used, I can speculate
>that, as the sunspots go down and there is less ham activity on 10
>meters, the operation of these "10 meter CB" radios on ham bands by
>truckers and casual users will only increase.

Hmmm... I never thought of that. Good point, Voob.

2237. Re: KEYCLOWN BigBamboo Busted, LOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 22:00:49 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723180049.21029.00000381@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 131266

Sounds like the water is real muddy on your side of the river, Jerry.LOL.Love that dirty water.

2238. Re: Digital CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 22:08:29 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <xtk%8.5755$Xb2.165914@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 131267

I don't want to be a pessimist but it won't work. After getting the OK from the government a friend and I tried it using the "HamCom" hardware and software up around CH-30 on the CB. Within 5 minutes the dead carriers, whistling and AAAAAUUUUUUDDDDDIIIIIOOOOOOOOO started. This was done by the less than intelligent people on the CB band. No matter what CH or what time of day you will get interference from people that just don't understand what is going on, (most CBers). It is like using SSB below 23 the AMers will interfere until you stop. If you are interested the HAMCOM software is available on the net. It also comes with the instructions for building the hardware. It will cost you about $9.00 inc the box and cables. Check with your local radio enforcement people to get the OK, (a CBer talking with the FCC I don't think so). Be prepared for the interference from the less intelligent but have fun.

"Joe" <piecheech@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20020723040810.21759.00000152@mb-ff.aol.com...
> Could anyone tell me any info or point me to some websites on the subject
of
> digital CB? I met someone at a swap meet who was selling equipment. It
blew me
> away, it's like HDTV but with CB.
> Joe PieCheech

2239. Re: Best base cb/10m radio on market right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 22:12:45 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <xxk%8.5762$Xb2.166693@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 131268

The one I have the Yeasu FT-1000MP but it will cost you.
"Justin" <ugotmefucedup@aol.com> wrote in message
news:4b3c9e90.0207230234.63b7bcd7@posting.google.com...
> I have been out of CB for ahwile now and i would like to know what is
> the best cb or 10m base radio available now a days,it seems there are
> alot of new radios on market, what is the best or close to it?
>
>
> thanx

2240. Re: Best base cb/10m radio on market right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 22:41:49 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <NYk%8.186970$q53.4715581@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131270

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:xxk%8.5762$Xb2.166693@news2.telusplanet.net...
> The one I have the Yeasu FT-1000MP but it will cost you.
> "Justin" <ugotmefucedup@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:4b3c9e90.0207230234.63b7bcd7@posting.google.com...
> > I have been out of CB for ahwile now and i would like to know what is
> > the best cb or 10m base radio available now a days,it seems there are
> > alot of new radios on market, what is the best or close to it?
> >
> >
> > thanx
>
>

Kinda like buying a Ferrari to haul compost in, huh?

2241. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 22:42:08 UTC
From: Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com>
Message-ID: <4Zk%8.243506$iB1.12906467@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131269

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020723163142.29574.00000219@mb-ft.aol.com...
> >Ok from that statement, you are purporting to be a police officer. And it
> >probably will be one of your fellow officers that tells me that you work
> >there. LOL Have a good day.
>
> I'm waiting for you to find the information. Let me know which one you
talk to.

You will find out the info when I take the time to find out do you think
your the most pressing thing in my plate? don't flatter yuorself andy your
just a pimple that i want to pop when it gets big enough relax If your a
policeman show some patiance

> We are waiting for you to reveal who you are. Everyone knows who I am.
Stop
> hiding behind your cable modem. Guess you're just another troll hiding
behind
> and anonymous identity.

Who is we do you have a mouse in your pocket? yes I am another troll like
you. A crooked cop who condones illegal activity. And tells others how to be
crooked. Nice guy

> Too bad you weren't on 2m SSB last night. I probably could have gotten
into
> eastern PA and we could have chatted...

Well then If I am anonymous how would you know to get into eastern pa? See
Andy your not as dumb as you look

2242. Re: KEYCLOWN BigBamboo Busted, LOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 22:44:02 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <S_k%8.695$pg2.48271@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131271

Now he's quoting "G" men... unreal

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207222135.240ed9ff@posting.google.com...
> Riley Hollingsworth: "The San Francisco Office of the FCC conducted an
> inspection of the station of Stanley Clewett, KF6IKC of Reading
California.
> Clewett had posted pictures on his web page of what was purported to be a
10
> kilowatt amplifier which he claimed to be using on CB Channel 6 -- perhaps
> not the best type of photograph to put on a personal web page by an Extra
class
> licensee. This case is still open and we will report more details later."

2243. Re: Best base cb/10m radio on market right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 22:47:17 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujrn6j1cu92hc9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131273
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Perhaps, but there won't be anything in the way of F layer reflection. With
only occasional sporadic e, this will make the job of locating the offender
MUCH easier. You will see a lot of the stuff disappear with the sunspots.
What good will 320 channels do if you can't hear anything beyond 20 miles
(ok, 70 miles for the 100 watt units - but they have to hear something
respond ...). When a signal is 10 dB over S-9, you will likely be hearing
someone within 5 miles or so ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207231226.718e6f34@posting.google.com...
> ugotmefucedup@aol.com (Justin) wrote in message
news:<4b3c9e90.0207230234.63b7bcd7@posting.google.com>...
> > I have been out of CB for ahwile now and i would like to know what is
> > the best cb or 10m base radio available now a days,it seems there are
> > alot of new radios on market, what is the best or close to it?
> >
> >
> > thanx
>
> Justin's post could be a troll, but I doubt it. It too clearly
> represents the state of CB today. There sure ARE a lot of "new radios"
> on the market. That's because "10 meters" has become synonymous with
> "high-end CB" in consumers minds. For this you can thank manufacturers
> like Ranger, Galaxy, and Connex, and dealers like Copper, EEI, and the
> scores of truck stops and CB shops across the USA. Go to "Roper
> Electronics" web site and check out the descriptions of the 10 meter
> radios: "full coverage!", "320 channels!" they advise. (Guess whose
> band many of those channels are in?) Since "freebanders" traditionally
> take over spectrum that they claim is not being used, I can speculate
> that, as the sunspots go down and there is less ham activity on 10
> meters, the operation of these "10 meter CB" radios on ham bands by
> truckers and casual users will only increase.
>
> -Aaron-

2244. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims a lack of understanding,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 22:47:26 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <22I%8.707$pg2.48902@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131272

Yeah, he's only a kid who needs a good trimmin'...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3d3d5dd8$0$13949$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net...
>
> "KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message news:BA1%8.325317>
> > troll troll troll
>
>
> Yeah, he proves my point with every post and doesn't have the brain to
> understand what I mean.
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE
>
>

2245. Re: Best base cb/10m radio on market right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 22:53:51 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujrnist5740r7a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I will admit that I'm questioning Ranger's rigs. I don't know of many amateurs (none, actually) who have a 10 meter or 10/12 meter radio. Now, they advertise in QST with a 150 watt pep radio. I don't think they have a world-class receiver in the thing, but that probably isn't important. Fact is, for something like 50% more, you could have a rig that runs 1.8 MHz to 440 MHz. This could prove interesting.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:22a5e694.0207231226.718e6f34@posting.google.com...
> ugotmefucedup@aol.com (Justin) wrote in message news:<4b3c9e90.0207230234.63b7bcd7@posting.google.com>...
> > I have been out of CB for ahwile now and i would like to know what is
> > the best cb or 10m base radio available now a days,it seems there are
> > alot of new radios on market, what is the best or close to it?
> >
> >
> > thanx
>
> Justin's post could be a troll, but I doubt it. It too clearly
> represents the state of CB today. There sure ARE a lot of "new radios"
> on the market. That's because "10 meters" has become synonymous with
> "high-end CB" in consumers minds. For this you can thank manufacturers
> like Ranger, Galaxy, and Connex, and dealers like Copper, EEI, and the
> scores of truck stops and CB shops across the USA. Go to "Roper
> Electronics" web site and check out the descriptions of the 10 meter
> radios: "full coverage!", "320 channels!" they advise. (Guess whose
> band many of those channels are in?) Since "freebanders" traditionally
> take over spectrum that they claim is not being used, I can speculate
> that, as the sunspots go down and there is less ham activity on 10
> meters, the operation of these "10 meter CB" radios on ham bands by
> truckers and casual users will only increase.
>
> -Aaron-

2246. Full Moon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 22:54:02 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D3DDE8D.856E00A8@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131274

The full moon will occur on July 24th. The dog and cat fights are
in full swing. Stay tooned for more misadventures in rec.radio.cbland.

2247. Re: ?? About handheld/portable freq. counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 22:54:46 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D3DDE9B.A6E97461@icok.net>
Source record: 131275

Tram,
That's about average for a frequency counter. You
may be able to extend the range a bit by using a longer
antenna or a resonant one. They just weren't made to
have much range, otherwise they would pick up undesired
signal.
'Doc

2248. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 22:58:34 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D3DDF9D.F4F82103@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131277

JJ wrote:
>
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> >
> > Is it type-accepted for 11 meters,or is that illegal too?
>
> A low pass filter does not require modification to the radio, does
> not increase the output power, does not have to be type accepted,
> therefore not illegal for a cber to use.

Doesn't it have to meet certain standards for non-radiance of
the signals passing/attenuated by it?

2249. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 23:04:39 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D3DE109.112DE1B3@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131278

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> That's another thing,so far you have said that I'm Twistedhed,and Scott.

I think it's just one person that makes EVERY post on here. There is no
Twisted, Leland, Rich M., Jay itm, Scott 69, Moparholic, Aaron, Icecold,
or any of the others. It's just a mirage, a slight of hand, an illusion.

Just one goofy guy that would vaporize if he went out in the noonday sun...

2250. Re: Just Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 23:05:19 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207231505.47cff807@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131279

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<GnY_8.131456$JU3.60356770@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> The following is one of the reasons I support all efforts to remove
> unlicensed operators from the Amateur Bands.
>
> J
>
>
> >From: ^ <tricycleb@yahoo.com>
> >Reply-To: SGUSA@yahoogroups.com
> >To: mddf@yahoogroups.com, sgusa@yahoogroups.com
> >Subject: [SGUSA] The ARRL will receive a $181,900 homeland securitygrant
> >from the US
> >Date: Mon, 22 Jul 2002 04:40:23 -0700 (PDT)
> >
> >Source: www.arrl.org
> >
> >American Radio Relay League--The National Association
> >For Amateur Radio--
> >
> >==>ARRL RECEIVES HOMELAND SECURITY TRAINING GRANT
> >
> >The ARRL will receive a $181,900 homeland security
> >grant from the US
> >government to train Amateur Radio operators in
> >emergency communication. The
> >League was among several dozen nonprofit organizations
> >
> >designated to receive
> >some $10.3 million in federal money to boost homeland
> >defense volunteer
> >programs. The grant, from the Corporation for National
> >
> >and Community Service
> >special volunteer program, will provide free ARRL
> >Amateur Radio Emergency
> >Communications Course training to 5200 volunteers
> >nationwide, starting in
> >2003.
> >
> >"ARRL is the national association for Amateur Radio
> >and is the national
> >leader in emergency communications by volunteers who
> >operate their own

> >equipment on their time at no cost to any government,
> >organization, or
> >corporation," said the July 19 announcement from
> >Homeland Security Director
> >Tom Ridge. The ARRL plans to revise and update the
> >emergency communications
> >curriculum to incorporate additional elements of
> >emergency preparedness and
> >homeland security.
> >
> >ARRL President Jim Haynie, W5JBP, said he was
> >extremely pleased by the news.
> >"This adds legitimacy to the public service work
> >Amateur Radio has been doing
> >for years," he said.
> >
> >ARRL Chief Development Officer Mary Hobart, K1MMH,
> >applied for the funding in
> >May, inviting the Corporation for National and
> >Community Service to become "a
> >partner with the nation's oldest volunteer radio
> >communications organization."
> >
> >"I think this is an extraordinarily exciting day for
> >Amateur Radio that the
> >role of Amateur Radio in homeland security is
> >recognized at the highest
> >levels of government," Hobart said upon learning of
> >the grant. The League's
> >grant application characterized Amateur Radio as "the
> >bedrock of
> >communications when other outlets fail."
> >
> >Citing Amateur Radio's response in the aftermath of
> >the September 11
> >terrorist attacks, Hobart said the federal grant "will
> >
> >help continue our work
> >in providing public service and to protect lives,
> >homes, businesses and our
> >frequencies, as we have for decades."
> >
> >The League had sought a three-year grant of $541,750.
> >The $181,900 grant
> >covers the first year's direct program costs. The
> >proposed budget includes
> >the cost of a project coordinator who would be
> >responsible for overall fiscal
> >management of the grant.
> >

> >ARRL Chief Executive Officer David Sumner, K1ZZ, said
> >he was pleased that the
> >League would be able to extend its Amateur Radio
> >Emergency Communications
> >program to thousands of amateurs who might otherwise
> >not be able to afford
> >the program. "We hope all who are interested will get
> >on
> >board," he said.
> >
> >The grant announcement said that "expanding the
> >opportunities for Americans
> >to participate in meaningful volunteer service" is at
> >the heart of President
> >George Bush's USA Freedom Corps, of which the
> >Corporation for National and
> >Community Service is a part.
> >
> >"We are deeply grateful to Tom Ridge and to the
> >Corporation for National and
> >Community Service for providing Amateur Radio with a
> >unique opportunity to
> >serve our country," Hobart said.

Care to explain your reason? It's not at all clear from this post.

CBers can also provide emergency comms, and sometimes even when ham radio can't (or won't).

Otherwise, "seig heil"!

2251. Re: Best base cb/10m radio on market right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 23:30:39 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <zGI%8.557$Vj3.102682@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 131280

Yes Randy if that is all you use it for. I forgot to mention that the Yeasu FT-100 is a great mobile CB with police scanner and short-wave receiver built in. Teem it up with the ATAS-100 antenna and you don't have to put in an antenna farm as the antenna self tunes from 40 meters to 70 cm with a good flat match. Also it has one thing that no CB has. a detachable face plate to drive the crooks that are trying to steal your rig crazy.

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:NYk%8.186970$q53.4715581@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
> news:xxk%8.5762$Xb2.166693@news2.telusplanet.net...
> > The one I have the Yeasu FT-1000MP but it will cost you.
> > "Justin" <ugotmefucedup@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:4b3c9e90.0207230234.63b7bcd7@posting.google.com...
> > > I have been out of CB for ahwile now and i would like to know what is
> > > the best cb or 10m base radio available now a days,it seems there are
> > > alot of new radios on market, what is the best or close to it?
> > >
> > >
> > > thanx
> >
> >
>
> Kinda like buying a Ferrari to haul compost in, huh?
>
>

2252. Re: Just Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 23:37:13 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <JMI%8.100358$88.1685453@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131281

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0207231505.47cff807@posting.google.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<GnY_8.131456$JU3.60356770@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> > The following is one of the reasons I support all efforts to remove
> > unlicensed operators from the Amateur Bands.
> >
> > J
> >
> >
> > >From: ^ <tricycleb@yahoo.com>
> > >Reply-To: SGUSA@yahoogroups.com
> > >To: mddf@yahoogroups.com, sgusa@yahoogroups.com
> > >Subject: [SGUSA] The ARRL will receive a $181,900 homeland
securitygrant
> > >from the US
> > >Date: Mon, 22 Jul 2002 04:40:23 -0700 (PDT)
> > >
> > >Source: www.arrl.org
> > >
> > >American Radio Relay League--The National Association
> > >For Amateur Radio--
> > >
> > >==>ARRL RECEIVES HOMELAND SECURITY TRAINING GRANT
> > >
> > >The ARRL will receive a $181,900 homeland security
> > >grant from the US
> > >government to train Amateur Radio operators in
> > >emergency communication. The
> > >League was among several dozen nonprofit organizations
> > >
> > >designated to receive
> > >some $10.3 million in federal money to boost homeland
> > >defense volunteer
> > >programs. The grant, from the Corporation for National
> > >
> > >and Community Service
> > >special volunteer program, will provide free ARRL
> > >Amateur Radio Emergency
> > >Communications Course training to 5200 volunteers
> > >nationwide, starting in
> > >2003.
> > >
> > >"ARRL is the national association for Amateur Radio

> > >and is the national
> > >leader in emergency communications by volunteers who
> > >operate their own
> > >equipment on their time at no cost to any government,
> > >organization, or
> > >corporation," said the July 19 announcement from
> > >Homeland Security Director
> > >Tom Ridge. The ARRL plans to revise and update the
> > >emergency communications
> > >curriculum to incorporate additional elements of
> > >emergency preparedness and
> > >homeland security.
> > >
> > >ARRL President Jim Haynie, W5JBP, said he was
> > >extremely pleased by the news.
> > >"This adds legitimacy to the public service work
> > >Amateur Radio has been doing
> > >for years," he said.
> > >
> > >ARRL Chief Development Officer Mary Hobart, K1MMH,
> > >applied for the funding in
> > >May, inviting the Corporation for National and
> > >Community Service to become "a
> > >partner with the nation's oldest volunteer radio
> > >communications organization."
> > >
> > >"I think this is an extraordinarily exciting day for
> > >Amateur Radio that the
> > >role of Amateur Radio in homeland security is
> > >recognized at the highest
> > >levels of government," Hobart said upon learning of
> > >the grant. The League's
> > >grant application characterized Amateur Radio as "the
> > >bedrock of
> > >communications when other outlets fail."
> > >
> > >Citing Amateur Radio's response in the aftermath of
> > >the September 11
> > >terrorist attacks, Hobart said the federal grant "will
> > >
> > >help continue our work
> > >in providing public service and to protect lives,
> > >homes, businesses and our
> > >frequencies, as we have for decades."
> > >
> > >The League had sought a three-year grant of $541,750.
> > >The $181,900 grant
> > >covers the first year's direct program costs. The
> > >proposed budget includes
> > >the cost of a project coordinator who would be

> > >responsible for overall fiscal
> > >management of the grant.
> > >
> > >ARRL Chief Executive Officer David Sumner, K1ZZ, said
> > >he was pleased that the
> > >League would be able to extend its Amateur Radio
> > >Emergency Communications
> > >program to thousands of amateurs who might otherwise
> > >not be able to afford
> > >the program. "We hope all who are interested will get
> > >on
> > >board," he said.
> > >
> > >The grant announcement said that "expanding the
> > >opportunities for Americans
> > >to participate in meaningful volunteer service" is at
> > >the heart of President
> > >George Bush's USA Freedom Corps, of which the
> > >Corporation for National and
> > >Community Service is a part.
> > >
> > >"We are deeply grateful to Tom Ridge and to the
> > >Corporation for National and
> > >Community Service for providing Amateur Radio with a
> > >unique opportunity to
> > >serve our country," Hobart said.
>
> Care to explain your reason? It's not at all clear from this post.
>
> CBers can also provide emergency comms, and sometimes even when ham
> radio can't (or won't).
>
> Otherwise, "seig heil"!

Hey guy, some anonymous putz has accused me of being you in an email. I don't think I am you, but could you check just to make sure?

-Randy-

2253. Re: Just Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-23 23:42:22 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <yRI%8.100368$88.1685736@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131282

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0207231505.47cff807@posting.google.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<GnY_8.131456$JU3.60356770@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> > The following is one of the reasons I support all efforts to remove
> > unlicensed operators from the Amateur Bands.
> >
> > J
> >
> >
> > >From: ^ <tricycleb@yahoo.com>
> > >Reply-To: SGUSA@yahoogroups.com
> > >To: mddf@yahoogroups.com, sgusa@yahoogroups.com
> > >Subject: [SGUSA] The ARRL will receive a $181,900 homeland
securitygrant
> > >from the US
> > >Date: Mon, 22 Jul 2002 04:40:23 -0700 (PDT)
> > >
> > >Source: www.arrl.org
> > >
> > >American Radio Relay League--The National Association
> > >For Amateur Radio--
> > >
> > >==>ARRL RECEIVES HOMELAND SECURITY TRAINING GRANT
> > >
> > >The ARRL will receive a $181,900 homeland security
> > >grant from the US
> > >government to train Amateur Radio operators in
> > >emergency communication. The
> > >League was among several dozen nonprofit organizations
> > >
> > >designated to receive
> > >some $10.3 million in federal money to boost homeland
> > >defense volunteer
> > >programs. The grant, from the Corporation for National
> > >
> > >and Community Service
> > >special volunteer program, will provide free ARRL
> > >Amateur Radio Emergency
> > >Communications Course training to 5200 volunteers
> > >nationwide, starting in
> > >2003.
> > >
> > >"ARRL is the national association for Amateur Radio

> > >and is the national
> > >leader in emergency communications by volunteers who
> > >operate their own
> > >equipment on their time at no cost to any government,
> > >organization, or
> > >corporation," said the July 19 announcement from
> > >Homeland Security Director
> > >Tom Ridge. The ARRL plans to revise and update the
> > >emergency communications
> > >curriculum to incorporate additional elements of
> > >emergency preparedness and
> > >homeland security.
> > >
> > >ARRL President Jim Haynie, W5JBP, said he was
> > >extremely pleased by the news.
> > >"This adds legitimacy to the public service work
> > >Amateur Radio has been doing
> > >for years," he said.
> > >
> > >ARRL Chief Development Officer Mary Hobart, K1MMH,
> > >applied for the funding in
> > >May, inviting the Corporation for National and
> > >Community Service to become "a
> > >partner with the nation's oldest volunteer radio
> > >communications organization."
> > >
> > >"I think this is an extraordinarily exciting day for
> > >Amateur Radio that the
> > >role of Amateur Radio in homeland security is
> > >recognized at the highest
> > >levels of government," Hobart said upon learning of
> > >the grant. The League's
> > >grant application characterized Amateur Radio as "the
> > >bedrock of
> > >communications when other outlets fail."
> > >
> > >Citing Amateur Radio's response in the aftermath of
> > >the September 11
> > >terrorist attacks, Hobart said the federal grant "will
> > >
> > >help continue our work
> > >in providing public service and to protect lives,
> > >homes, businesses and our
> > >frequencies, as we have for decades."
> > >
> > >The League had sought a three-year grant of $541,750.
> > >The $181,900 grant
> > >covers the first year's direct program costs. The
> > >proposed budget includes
> > >the cost of a project coordinator who would be

> > >responsible for overall fiscal
> > >management of the grant.
> > >
> > >ARRL Chief Executive Officer David Sumner, K1ZZ, said
> > >he was pleased that the
> > >League would be able to extend its Amateur Radio
> > >Emergency Communications
> > >program to thousands of amateurs who might otherwise
> > >not be able to afford
> > >the program. "We hope all who are interested will get
> > >on
> > >board," he said.
> > >
> > >The grant announcement said that "expanding the
> > >opportunities for Americans
> > >to participate in meaningful volunteer service" is at
> > >the heart of President
> > >George Bush's USA Freedom Corps, of which the
> > >Corporation for National and
> > >Community Service is a part.
> > >
> > >"We are deeply grateful to Tom Ridge and to the
> > >Corporation for National and
> > >Community Service for providing Amateur Radio with a
> > >unique opportunity to
> > >serve our country," Hobart said.
>
> Care to explain your reason? It's not at all clear from this post.
>
> CBers can also provide emergency comms, and sometimes even when ham
> radio can't (or won't).
>
> Otherwise, "seig heil"!

Appears I am not you, as I suspected. You may want to do your own trace
though, just to confirm it for all the techoboobies out there.

-Randy-

IP address: 66.153.9.212
Host name: ppp383.tc-2.roc-pt.ny.localnet.com

TraceRoute to 66.153.9.212 [ppp383.tc-2.roc-pt.ny.localnet.com]

Hop (ms) (ms) (ms) IP Address Host name
1 0 0 0 66.46.176.3 -
2 0 0 0 216.191.97.41 pos5-3.core1-mtl.bb.attcanada.ca
3 15 0 16 216.191.65.173 pos8-1.core2-tor.bb.attcanada.ca
4 16 0 0 216.191.65.244 srp2-0.gwy2-tor.bb.attcanada.ca
5 16 16 0 208.217.112.77 -
6 0 16 0 152.63.131.138 pos4-3.xr1.tor2.alter.net
7 0 16 0 152.63.2.109 0.so-0-0-0.xl1.tor3.alter.net

```
8 16 47 31 152.63.0.90 0.so-1-3-0.tl1.nyc9.alter.net
9 31 31 47 152.63.16.129 0.so-6-0-0.xl1.bos4.alter.net
10 31 32 31 152.63.16.122 0.so-7-0-0.xr1.bos4.alter.net
11 46 32 31 152.63.16.249 179.atm6-0.gw2.bos4.alter.net
12 79 46 63 65.217.197.6 paetec-gw.customer.alter.net
13 32 156 47 64.80.172.142 -
14 31 47 47 64.80.9.82 tc-2.roc-pt.ny.localnet.com
15 188 156 156 66.153.9.212 ppp383.tc-2.roc-pt.ny.localnet.com

Trace complete
```

2254. Re: ?? About handheld/portable freq. counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 00:03:29 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723200329.01807.00000227@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 131283

Well, that does make sense.
I guess I may be expecting too much out of it.
Thanks for your response...

** Don't shake my tree if you don't like what comes down**
Life is too short... Enjoy the ride...
{{:-})> <({-:}}

2255. Re: Looking for good used DX33, 44, etc. etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 00:30:42 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D3DF535.90366AC3@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131285

Bill wrote:
>
> Looking for a good used Galaxy mobile

That's an oxymoron. Hell, they weren't good new.

2256. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 00:32:03 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20020723203203.23960.00000395@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 131286

>You will find out the info when I take the time to find out

Ok, you're a fake. I called you on it and you got pie on your face. Keep hiding in your mother's basement out there in eastern PA, hiding behind your Comcast cable modem and (gag!) Microsoft Outlook. Have a nice life.

2257. Re: Just Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 00:33:38 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <9dtrjuc250dapmcic2besdr6npcbpgq1ia@4ax.com>
Source record: 131284

On Tue, 23 Jul 2002 23:42:22 GMT, "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
wrote:

>
>Appears I am not you, as I suspected. You may want to do your own trace
>though, just to confirm it for all the techoboobies out there.
>
>-Randy-
>

Well all I know is, I sure as heck hope I am me, because if I am not,
then who the hell am I?

74 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

2258. Re: KEYCLOWN BigBamboo Busted, LOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 00:34:31 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <BAm%8.1473$ND5.333692@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 131287

ALLSTEEL77 <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020723180049.21029.00000381@mb-cv.aol.com...
> Sounds like the water is real muddy on your side of the river,
Jerry.LOL.Love
> that dirty water.

As dry as it is around here, we'll take it any way we can get it!

Jerry

2259. Re: Just Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 00:48:11 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <pNm%8.1556$ND5.374387@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 131288

Richard Cranium <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0207231505.47cff807@posting.google.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<GnY_8.131456$JU3.60356770@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> > The following is one of the reasons I support all efforts to remove
> > unlicensed operators from the Amateur Bands.
> >
> > J
> >
> >
> > >From: ^ <tricycleb@yahoo.com>
> > >Reply-To: SGUSA@yahoogroups.com
> > >To: mddf@yahoogroups.com, sgusa@yahoogroups.com
> > >Subject: [SGUSA] The ARRL will receive a $181,900 homeland
securitygrant
> > >from the US
> > >Date: Mon, 22 Jul 2002 04:40:23 -0700 (PDT)
> > >
> > >Source: www.arrl.org
> > >
> > >American Radio Relay League--The National Association
> > >For Amateur Radio--
> > >
> > >==>ARRL RECEIVES HOMELAND SECURITY TRAINING GRANT
> > >
> > >The ARRL will receive a $181,900 homeland security
> > >grant from the US
> > >government to train Amateur Radio operators in
> > >emergency communication. The
> > >League was among several dozen nonprofit organizations
> > >
> > >designated to receive
> > >some $10.3 million in federal money to boost homeland
> > >defense volunteer
> > >programs. The grant, from the Corporation for National
> > >
> > >and Community Service
> > >special volunteer program, will provide free ARRL
> > >Amateur Radio Emergency
> > >Communications Course training to 5200 volunteers
> > >nationwide, starting in
> > >2003.
> > >
> > >"ARRL is the national association for Amateur Radio

> > >and is the national
> > >leader in emergency communications by volunteers who
> > >operate their own
> > >equipment on their time at no cost to any government,
> > >organization, or
> > >corporation," said the July 19 announcement from
> > >Homeland Security Director
> > >Tom Ridge. The ARRL plans to revise and update the
> > >emergency communications
> > >curriculum to incorporate additional elements of
> > >emergency preparedness and
> > >homeland security.
> > >
> > >ARRL President Jim Haynie, W5JBP, said he was
> > >extremely pleased by the news.
> > >"This adds legitimacy to the public service work
> > >Amateur Radio has been doing
> > >for years," he said.
> > >
> > >ARRL Chief Development Officer Mary Hobart, K1MMH,
> > >applied for the funding in
> > >May, inviting the Corporation for National and
> > >Community Service to become "a
> > >partner with the nation's oldest volunteer radio
> > >communications organization."
> > >
> > >"I think this is an extraordinarily exciting day for
> > >Amateur Radio that the
> > >role of Amateur Radio in homeland security is
> > >recognized at the highest
> > >levels of government," Hobart said upon learning of
> > >the grant. The League's
> > >grant application characterized Amateur Radio as "the
> > >bedrock of
> > >communications when other outlets fail."
> > >
> > >Citing Amateur Radio's response in the aftermath of
> > >the September 11
> > >terrorist attacks, Hobart said the federal grant "will
> > >
> > >help continue our work
> > >in providing public service and to protect lives,
> > >homes, businesses and our
> > >frequencies, as we have for decades."
> > >
> > >The League had sought a three-year grant of $541,750.
> > >The $181,900 grant
> > >covers the first year's direct program costs. The
> > >proposed budget includes
> > >the cost of a project coordinator who would be

> > >responsible for overall fiscal
> > >management of the grant.
> > >
> > >ARRL Chief Executive Officer David Sumner, K1ZZ, said
> > >he was pleased that the
> > >League would be able to extend its Amateur Radio
> > >Emergency Communications
> > >program to thousands of amateurs who might otherwise
> > >not be able to afford
> > >the program. "We hope all who are interested will get
> > >on
> > >board," he said.
> > >
> > >The grant announcement said that "expanding the
> > >opportunities for Americans
> > >to participate in meaningful volunteer service" is at
> > >the heart of President
> > >George Bush's USA Freedom Corps, of which the
> > >Corporation for National and
> > >Community Service is a part.
> > >
> > >"We are deeply grateful to Tom Ridge and to the
> > >Corporation for National and
> > >Community Service for providing Amateur Radio with a
> > >unique opportunity to
> > >serve our country," Hobart said.
>
> Care to explain your reason? It's not at all clear from this post.

To remove superfluous, unnecessary, and illegal communications from the Amateur Bands so that the Service can better live up to the reputation it already
has for providing community and emergency service.
>
> CBers can also provide emergency comms, and sometimes even when ham
> radio can't (or won't).

Above statement doesn't make sense in light of the fact that Amateur Radio has
stayed on duty for weeks and months (in the case of 9/11) providing backup communications by trained, dedicated radio operators. CB Radio ops (generally)
don't have a clue as to how to prioritize, classify, nor accurately pass vital, sensi-tive traffic. I can just hear it now: 'BREAK THAR, AH'VE GOT THIS HYAR
EEE--MER-GEN-CEE REDDY-O TRAFFIC, HOW 'BOU-CHA THAR'. By the time it got to where it was going, it would be like the old game of "gossip" where a message is whispered to one person who whispers it to the next one,
and so on. By the time it got to the recipient, it would be totally different! IF

CBers had the same sort of organizations (like ARRL) to train and set up such
emergency communications teams, it would be different, but as it is now,
there isn't any to amount to anything. CB is too individualized to proved meaningful
emergency communications as it stands. Even REACT doesn't have the stature
or training to handle the kinds of radio traffic that would be generated by disasters
like 9/11. That's why, at least around here, REACT doesn't even have a desk in
the County and State Emergency Operation Centers. That isn't a slam, it's
just the way it is. It COULD be different, but I doubt that it would ever
happen.

73

Jerry

2260. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 01:06:37 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723210637.19723.00000283@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 131289

Andy ,His call sign is WA3MOJ. Look him up at www.qrz.com.He is a big loser.Don't feed the troll.

2261. FS:: TRC-473, TRC-458 & Astatic D-104 Night-K Eagle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 01:14:33 UTC
From: daniel_981@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <13e86329.0207231714.4d055ae2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131290

I have the two radios and mic above for sale. I am no longer into this hobby and am trying to get rid of all my equipment.

Pictures available upon request. Just email me at daniel underscore nine eight one at yahoo dot com... thanks.. and the numbers are like this: name_981@server.com I just don't want to get any spam if get what I'm saying! thanks.

I'm willing to ship to the 48 States, and I'm in Southern CA....

if interested just make an offer..... I just want to get rid of the stuff, but I dont want to cheat myself either, so make a resonable offer..

~~Daniel

PS - I will only respond to emails... Sorry.

2262. FS:: TRC-473, TRC-458 & Astatic D-104 Night-K Eagle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 01:18:16 UTC
From: Daniel <no@noway.com>
Message-ID: <sfn%8.16960$_C2.1199116@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 131291

I have the two radios and mic above for sale. I am no longer into this hobby and am trying to get rid of all my equipment.

Pictures available upon request. Just email me at Daniel underscore nine eight one at yahoo dot com... thanks.. and the numbers are like this: name_981@server.com I just don't want to get any spam if get what I'm saying! thanks.

I'm willing to ship to the 48 States, and I'm in Southern CA....

if interested just make an offer..... I just want to get rid of the stuff, but I dont want to cheat myself either, so make a resonable offer..

~~Daniel

2263. Re: FS:: TRC-473, TRC-458 & Astatic D-104 Night-K Eagle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 01:21:20 UTC
From: Daniel <no@noway.com>
Message-ID: <kin%8.16968$_C2.1196720@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 131292

oops!
"Daniel" <no@noway.com> wrote in message
news:sfn%8.16960$_C2.1199116@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I have the two radios and mic above for sale. I am no longer into this
> hobby and am trying to get rid of all my equipment.
>
> Pictures available upon request. Just email me at Daniel underscore nine
> eight one at yahoo dot com... thanks.. and the numbers are like this:
> name_981@server.com I just don't want to get any spam if get what I'm
> saying! thanks.
>
> I'm willing to ship to the 48 States, and I'm in Southern CA....
>
> if interested just make an offer..... I just want to get rid of the
> stuff, but I dont want to cheat myself either, so make a resonable offer..
>
> ~~Daniel
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2264. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 01:28:40 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <cpn%8.16883$u57.52072721@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131293

"Pd" <vhfbutt@pd.com> wrote in message
news:Jpi%8.241247$Bt1.13068034@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:i24%8.25976$tz6.26986825@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> > Landshark
> > Where's the stature on the federal law being broken?
> > Not head lights, the cb statement to which you are trying to advert
> > attention away from.
> > --
>
>
> I never said there was a federal law regarding CB's why are you trying to
> put words in my mouth? My contention is that if you interfere with the
> police then they can get you for obstruction no matter if your using a
CB
> or blinking your headlights etc etc.Now proving who said what over a CB
as
> I said before would be very hard, but if there was a chance pick any
federal
> statute that falls under obstruction of a peace officer, if you think
there
> is some statute or law written specifically for cb's you are one stupid
son
> of a bitch. I never said anything of the sort so perhaps you need to
reread
> who said what. But you did say you talked to a CHp and that I was also
wrong
> on blinking your headlights so I see you were trying to defer so i didnt
> break your balls about that I guess.
>
>

Nope, you couldn't break anyone's balls other
than your mothers.

Lets see I said to Kevin:

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:boVZ8.2959$EZ3.113471733@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...

> Sorry Kevin, it's not against federal law or for that fact most
> state laws to inform people that a peace officer is using a radar gun to
> enforce speed limits. To interfere with a peace officer while he is

> performing his duty, he has to actively be engaged with an incident, so
just
> telling people that he is using
> radar ahead of them is not interfering with his duties. If he
> was stopped with a speeder and you came over and started to
> interfere with the traffic stop, that would be hampering his
> duties. The ACLU would've had a field day on the
> you scenario described, stick to radio legalities kev,
> you do much better.
>
> Landshark

Please notice I said "Federal Laws and most state laws"

You responded with:

Landwhale, you had better stick to something you know, since State Laws are
far from your Forte. Go to the alt.law-enforcement NG and ask this question.
It is most certainly against state laws and local laws to obstruct justice
whether it be you flashing your lights to warn other motorists of a speed
trap, or telling them on a Cb it is no different. This is most defiantly
against the Law, whether you chose to accept the fact it is no different
han you helping someone else who broke a law. The ACLU hasn't a leg to stand
on this happens everyday in our country. You better research before you post
and tell Kevin to stick to something he knows. you are 100% wrong.

You have posted nothing more than people saying they
got tickets for flashing their lights, impressive. You have gone
on with your ramblings concerning obstructing an officer, well
that's a pretty large paint brush, and as I said, using the cell
phone would be considered obstructing an officer if we went
by your rules. As for when I showed a cousin, that's a CHP for
8 years now what you said..... He laughed and
said get luck at getting a conviction in court for obstructing an
officer with a cb or flashing headlights.

This has gone far enough, go chase people down
that are flashing their headlights, I don't have time for that
nonsense.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

2265. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 01:51:39 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3e0813.5887136@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131294

In <20020723203203.23960.00000395@mb-fh.aol.com>,
vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:

>>You will find out the info when I take the time to find out
>
>Ok, you're a fake. I called you on it and you got pie on your face. Keep hiding
>in your mother's basement out there in eastern PA, hiding behind your Comcast
>cable modem and (gag!) Microsoft Outlook. Have a nice life.

So what did you do in the army for 7-1/2 years?

2266. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 01:55:10 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <2On%8.1415$Kl6.76739@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131295

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D3DE109.112DE1B3@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> > That's another thing,so far you have said that I'm Twistedhed,and
Scott.
>
> I think it's just one person that makes EVERY post on here. There is no
> Twisted, Leland, Rich M., Jay itm, Scott 69, Moparholic, Aaron, Icecold,
> or any of the others. It's just a mirage, a slight of hand, an illusion.
>
> Just one goofy guy that would vaporize if he went out in the noonday
sun...

Nah, like baseball and the Indy 500 they are all digital constructs by
renegade animators from Walt Disney. Last time anything was real was
1994.

2267. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 02:10:00 UTC
From: Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com>
Message-ID: <Y%n%8.247100$iB1.13104588@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131296

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:cpn%8.16883$u57.52072721@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> Nope, you couldn't break anyone's balls other
> than your mothers.

At least she has balls unlike you

Landshark said:
> You have posted nothing more than people saying they
> got tickets for flashing their lights, impressive. You have gone
> on with your ramblings concerning obstructing an officer, well
> that's a pretty large paint brush, and as I said, using the cell
> phone would be considered obstructing an officer if we went
> by your rules. As for when I showed a cousin, that's a CHP for
> 8 years now what you said..... He laughed and
> said get luck at getting a conviction in court for obstructing an
> officer with a cb or flashing headlights.

****
****
Ok so your cousin Mr.Chips he isn't a good police officer he doesn't know
what the laws are tel him to turn his stinkin badge in. In regards to the
headlights I have given countless examples from posts of people all over
the WORLD who have received a ticket for obstructing and/or and equipment
violation how ever they want to get you they get you.
How are they my rules you ignoramus they are Laws that are in place I am
just informing an ignorant Illegal soul who thinks he can break any law he
wants because
he is more free or something LOL

See Below more to Follow

> Lets see I said to Kevin:
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:boVZ8.2959$EZ3.113471733@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> > Sorry Kevin, it's not against federal law or for that fact most
> > state laws to inform people that a peace officer is using a radar gun to
> > enforce speed limits. To interfere with a peace officer while he is
> > performing his duty, he has to actively be engaged with an incident, so
> just telling people that he is using
> > radar ahead of them is not interfering with his duties.If he
> > was stopped with a speeder and you came over and started to
> > interfere with the traffic stop, that would be hampering his
> > duties.

****
****

By who's senario yours Obstruction of justice can happen in may forms it is
against the law to inform people that a police officer is in fact using
radar, what ever method you use and if you are caught will be how the ticket
is written. he doesnt have to be stopped with anyone, he doesnt have to be
engaged with anything.
Again here I see nothing you posted here is related to a Cb radio, just
interfering with a cops duties and informing them of his use of a radar.

>>The ACLU would've had a field day on the
> > you scenario described, stick to radio legalities kev,
> > you do much better.

> > Landshark
****
****
As noted show us some info from the ACLU where people are complaining about
recieving tickets from cops for flashing there headlights, It happens all
over the country surely there is a case out there.

> Please notice I said "Federal Laws and most state laws"

> You responded with:

> Landwhale, you had better stick to something you know, since State Laws
are
> far from your Forte. Go to the alt.law-enforcement NG and ask this
question.
> It is most certainly against state laws and local laws to obstruct justice
> whether it be you flashing your lights to warn other motorists of a speed
> trap, or telling them on a Cb it is no different.

****
****
So far nothing I have said is untrue, it certainly is against the law to
inform others of police radar traps, so to obstruct justice in any form Cb
cell phone bull horn whatevr you can get a ticket, making it sticj in
regards tio a cb radio is of course hard to see how, none the less if you
somehow are caught (just like freebanding)
you can and will recieve a ticket for obstructing justice.

> This has gone far enough, go chase people down
> that are flashing their headlights, I don't have time for that
> nonsense.
>
> Landshark

****
****
I just informed you of what happens out there your mad because your wrong
again! there not my rules sonny live with it

2268. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 02:14:06 UTC
From: Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com>
Message-ID: <O3o%8.247153$iB1.13108354@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131297

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
news:20020723203203.23960.00000395@mb-fh.aol.com...
> >You will find out the info when I take the time to find out
>
> Ok, you're a fake. I called you on it and you got pie on your face. Keep
hiding
> in your mother's basement out there in eastern PA, hiding behind your
Comcast
> cable modem and (gag!) Microsoft Outlook. Have a nice life.

Wow your a Regular Jr detective, I use a cable modem, I use microsft
Outlook, and I use Comcast.
What's that I see you use AOL? Haha they don't even have a newsreader are
you also on web TV?
Hey why not try to hack into me from Aol. Your a real Pig Andy

2269. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 02:15:03 UTC
From: Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com>
Message-ID: <H4o%8.247170$iB1.13108874@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131298

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020723210637.19723.00000283@mb-dd.aol.com...
> Andy ,His call sign is WA3MOJ. Look him up at www.qrz.com.He is a big
> loser.Don't feed the troll.

And who are you Jerkoff #1 or #2 I say #1.... Bingo Another Aoler lol you
guys crack me up get a real Internet service.

2270. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims a lack of understanding,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 02:16:11 UTC
From: Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com>
Message-ID: <L5o%8.344244$vq.18791210@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131299

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:22l%8.707$pg2.48902@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Yeah, he's only a kid who needs a good trimmin'...
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

And your amp needs a fan and your stop safe is illegal on Cars. LOL a 2x
loser.

2271. Hey Sidebanders...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 02:18:37 UTC
From: americaneagle352@*iwon.com
Message-ID: <ahl2pt$4t0$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 131300

Take a peek:
http://american-eagle.tripod.com

http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/americaneaglessbcbersclub

A.E. 352
27.395 LSB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

2272. troll post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 02:55:33 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <FGo%8.100718$88.1730938@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131301

randy you know voobner is sparky we all are behind you if he can be gotten rid of we can sell are amps again

AFriend

Another troll post from xganon.com. Good Lord man, give it a break!

2273. Re: troll post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 03:03:25 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <1Oo%8.1542$Kl6.85272@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131302

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:FGo%8.100718$88.1730938@twister.austin.rr.com...
> randy you know voobner is sparky we all are behind you if he can be
gotten
> rid of we can sell are amps again
>
> AFriend
>
> Another troll post from xganon.com. Good Lord man, give it a break!
>
>

Randolph, you have to be the last man in Creation that does not have
xganon blocked along with a few other well-known public urinals.

2274. Re: KEYCLOWN BigBamboo Busted, LOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 03:05:00 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujs69sof374b84@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131303

Don't be too sure. I clipped this from the FCC website. Do what I did and re-read the costs to taxpayers.

Ironically, these rescue operations occurred during a weeklong exercise known as Operation Mayday in which Commission agents participated along with the Coast Guard and numerous Los Angeles-Long Beach region marine search and rescue personnel. Operation Mayday was instituted to locate and identify hoax distress callers operating over marine band VHF-FM radio. It has been estimated that that such hoax distress calls in the Los Angeles-Long Beach area cost taxpayers over one million dollars annually in Coast Guard deployment of personnel and equipment not including the additional expenses incurred by back-up local or state search and rescue teams.

Note that the costs are over $1,000,000.00 per year in the Los Angeles-Long Beach area alone! Granted, these are unlicensed operators, not amateur nor cb operators; however, it does point out that the attitude that no one is hurt is not correct.

Of course, California is not well known for its' residents having all of their faculties properly screwed together either!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020723180049.21029.00000381@mb-cv.aol.com...
> Sounds like the water is real muddy on your side of the river,
Jerry.LOL.Love
> that dirty water.

2275. Re: KEYCLOWN BigBamboo Busted, LOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 03:13:33 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020723231333.00490.00000400@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 131304

Regardless of where it happened, it is still just ANOTHER BAD HAM getting busted and has nothing to do with CB other than CB was his band of choice for a playground.
Train

2276. Re: Full Moon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 03:30:42 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0207231930.2bde49b4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131305

Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:<3D3DDE8D.856E00A8@yaSPAMhoo.com>...
> The full moon will occur on July 24th. The dog and cat fights are
> in full swing. Stay tooned for more misadventures in rec.radio.cbland.

thanks for the lunar update. you really get off to this stuff don't
you? you need to find something useful to do with your life. the
internet is fun, but it is a lousy substitute for living. but ugly
wall flowers like you wouldn't understand that would you?

2277. Re: troll post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 04:06:58 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <CJp%8.101360$88.1749572@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131307

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:1Oo%8.1542$Kl6.85272@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:FGo%8.100718$88.1730938@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > randy you know voobner is sparky we all are behind you if he can be
> gotten
> > rid of we can sell are amps again
> >
> > AFriend
> >
> > Another troll post from xganon.com. Good Lord man, give it a break!
> >
> >
>
> Randolph, you have to be the last man in Creation that does not have
> xganon blocked along with a few other well-known public urinals.
>
>

Not any more.

2278. Re: Full Moon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 04:07:03 UTC
From: "r.r.c.b.auto-responder" <usenet@autoresponder.kom>
Message-ID: <ujs9jjk5i2tad7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131306

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0207231930.2bde49b4@posting.google.com...
> Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:<3D3DDE8D.856E00A8@yaSPAMhoo.com>...
> > The full moon will occur on July 24th. The dog and cat fights are
> > in full swing. Stay tooned for more misadventures in rec.radio.cbland.
>
> thanks for the lunar update. you really get off to this stuff don't
> you? you need to find something useful to do with your life. the
> internet is fun, but it is a lousy substitute for living. but ugly
> wall flowers like you wouldn't understand that would you?

Hah! yet another Google troll,...that figures.

2279. Re: FCC question?Landshark Spanked again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 04:34:52 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <L7q%8.94082$_51.84132@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 131308

TooManyRadios wrote:

"Can you imagine the public outcry if the officer had said "I saw 5 *BLACK* kids in an expensive car in the suburbs?"

"Racial profiling!"
"Discrimination!"
NAACP!
"Police racism!"
"Fire the officer!"
"Fire the Chief of Police!"

Of course, whites are fair game, so anything goes"

I've said for a long time that white males between the ages of 16 and 35 are the most discriminated against group in America these days. Think about it awhile, you just might agree with me.

Dave

2280. Boomer 400 problems...please help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 05:22:29 UTC
From: scooterdude920@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724012229.17320.00000650@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 131309

I got a new Boomer 400 and was working great until it blew up! The inside all looks ok except where the positive and negative leads were soldered to the board. it is all burned around that area. and that area only. all the pills look good but there is a small battery looking thing blown to bits. the negative wire is still in tact, but the positive wire is blown off the board. the small battery looking thing says on it...Suscon 1000 uf 16 volt. I have no idea what this part is, but I am wondering what it might cost to fix, and how it might have happened. any answers please write back....Scooterdude920@aol.com Thanks.

2281. Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 06:16:05 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D3E2831.98CD3458@mailcity.net>
Source record: 131310

Scott Sixnine wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > Is it type-accepted for 11 meters,or is that illegal too?
> >
> > A low pass filter does not require modification to the radio, does
> > not increase the output power, does not have to be type accepted,
> > therefore not illegal for a cber to use.
>
> Doesn't it have to meet certain standards for non-radiance of
> the signals passing/attenuated by it?

AFAK there are no FCC required standards for any external low pass
filter you might use on a cb radio.

2282. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 06:25:20 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D3E2A5C.CA770868@mailcity.net>
Source record: 131311

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> Aren't you,Mr. Roger L. Wiseman?
>
> Didn't you get popped for operating out of band?

No I am not Roger Wiseman, ab8mq, no one would want to be roger wiseman. We're as far apart as daylight and dark. Check the rec.radio.amateur.misc newsgroup and you can see some of my responses to this poor excuse for a human being.
No he did not get popped for being out of band, but he did get popped for causing interference on the ham bands and as a result had to retake the amateur exam to retain his license, thus he is known as 2test wiseman.

2283. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 06:26:15 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207232226.4d9bf3b7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131312
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

from -- http://www.hyelectronics.com/

"Check out our new VHF AMPS!!! We saw your response on our survey and we responded. Thank you for your opinions!"

Look at H&Y Electronics, a site filled with the usual CB stuff, i.e. CB amps, "export radios", instructions for mods that'll get you 'extra channels", X rated tapes (I kid you not) and now....VHF AMPS. Can't figure out why a CB dealer is selling amps that cover all kinds of weird frequencies...42-47 mHz...150-160 mHz...160-170 mHz....420-440 mHz...etc. There's not a single piece of ham or commercial gear on the site except for CB's. But according to them, customers want these amps. What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?

-Aaron-

2284. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 07:33:12 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ahll7n$n9k$1@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 131313

Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com> wrote:

> I never said there was a federal law regarding CB's why are you trying to
> put words in my mouth? My contention is that if you interfere with the
> police then they can get you for obstruction no matter if your using a CB
> or blinking your headlights etc etc.Now proving who said what over a CB as
> I said before would be very hard, but if there was a chance pick any federal
> statute that falls under obstruction of a peace officer, if you think there
> is some statute or law written specifically for cb's you are one stupid son
> of a bitch. I never said anything of the sort so perhaps you need to reread
> who said what. But you did say you talked to a CHp and that I was also wrong
> on blinking your headlights so I see you were trying to defer so i didnt
> break your balls about that I guess.

Try looking up the court cases for interfering/obstructing. You will find
that it requires a physical force or threat. Even directly lying to an
officer who is investigating a hit and run incident has been ruled to not
be interfering/obstructing by the Supreme Court.

So, for the states that I researched, such a minor action as transmitting
the location of a police radar or blinking your headlights in warning would
NOT be either interfering or obstructing an officer of the law. The relevant
states are New Hampshire, Lousiana, Texas and Pennsylvania.

Since the US Supreme Court has ruled consistantly on these, the same
interpretation would be expected for all the other states.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2285. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 07:35:13 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ahllbg$n9k$2@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 131314

Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com> wrote:

> I never said there was a federal law regarding CB's why are you trying to
> put words in my mouth? My contention is that if you interfere with the
> police then they can get you for obstruction no matter if your using a CB
> or blinking your headlights etc etc.Now proving who said what over a CB as

Add Minnesota, Nevada and New Hampshire to the states where such actions
would not be obstruction/interfering.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2286. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 07:45:05 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ahllu0$n9k$3@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 131315

Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com> wrote:

> Ok so your cousin Mr.Chips he isn't a good police officer he doesn't know
> what the laws are tel him to turn his stinkin badge in. In regards to the
> headlights I have given countless examples from posts of people all over
> the WORLD who have received a ticket for obstructing and/or and equipment
> violation how ever they want to get you they get you.

Wrong. You have provided a listing of some postings where people claim
that either they have received a ticket or that it is illegal somewhere
else.

From my research, it appears that it would be obstructing/interfering in
the UK to flash your lights. I couldn't find any higher court cases, in
the limited hunting that I did, that would show otherwise.

For the US, see Chaplinsky vs New Hampshire, Gooding vs Wilson, Lewis vs
New Orleans, City of Houston vs Hill, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania vs
Beadney (Beachey - my handwriting is hard to read), City of Houston, Tx
vs Hill. There are many others that I did not have the time to review.
All these are available on Google.

> **********

> By who's senario yours Obstruction of justice can happen in may forms it is
> against the law to inform people that a police officer is in fact using
> radar, what ever method you use and if you are caught will be how the ticket
> is written. he doesnt have to be stopped with anyone, he doesnt have to be
> engaged with anything.
> Again here I see nothing you posted here is related to a Cb radio, just
> interfering with a cops duties and informing them of his use of a radar.

See above. The Supreme Court decisions state that you are wrong.

The most recent case above is 1987. You will have to find more recent
Supreme Court rulings, if you want to show that the earlier rulings are
no longer valid.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2287. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 07:55:36 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ahlmho$n9k$4@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 131316

Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com> wrote:

> "Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
> news:ahj38q$l2g$4@quark.scn.rain.com...

>> Hint - look up the definition of "defense to prosecution".

>> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

> Bill is that the best you can do I thought your captain buddy said it was
> bullshit? There are posts from people all over the country that have gotten
> poped for blinking their headlights to warn of a speed trap ahead.

See my other posts. Most of those posts were by people who claimed that
it was illegal or that they knew of someone who had received a ticket.
There were zero cites of actual incidents.

I have posted some actual Supreme Court cases where claims of obstruction
were overturned.

So, a person might be cited and convicted of obstruction, but it will be
overturned by the review courts. The case law appears to be clear on the
matter. The person would also be found innocent in the original trial if
the defense lawyer did his/her research and produced the cites.

The rulings have uniformly been that obstructing can only occur if the
officer is investigating a violation of some sort. If the officer is not
investigating a violation, then there is no obstruction. Since the officer
has not detected a person speeding, flashing lights or calling on the
radio to warn them in advance is not obstruction. Even if the officer is
investigating an illegal act, it still require a threat of force, restraint
or violence or the actual application of force, restraint or violence.

That may not be as you or I might desire, or as many people believe, but
that is how the Supreme Court has ruled.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2288. Re: Digital CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 08:02:32 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ahlmuo$n9k$5@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 131317

WG <nojunk@mail.com> wrote:
> I don't want to be a pessimist but it won't work. After getting the OK from
> the government a friend and I tried it using the "HamCom" hardware and
> software up around CH-30 on the CB. Within 5 minutes the dead carriers,
> whistling and AAAAAUUUUUUDDDDDIIIIIOOOOOOOOO started. This was done by the

Why would such interference even be detectable? They are not digital
signals, so should be just noise that is not converted by the receiver.

On the other hand, if the interference is strong enough, it could block
the digital communications.

I think you would find that the sidebanders on channels 37-40 would be
more agreeable and less likely to interfere. This would be especially
true if you started the communication on SSB and mentioned what you
were planning to do in advance.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2289. Re: Hey Sidebanders...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 08:03:05 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3e5cde.1386746@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131319

In <ahl2pt$4t0$1@news.netmar.com>, americaneagle352@*iwon.com wrote:

>Take a peek:
> http://american-eagle.tripod.com
>
> http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/americaneaglessbcbersclub
>
>
> A.E. 352
> 27.395 LSB

No more Sporadic Waves? Does that mean twisty has to get a real job as a "professional journalist"? Aw, what a shame! And you couldn't even give him credit because you suppressed the contributor list for the sake of "Internet privacy" (yeah, right!). I did notice that your site is not so obvious in showing the group's participation in freebanding, but we all know what goes on behind the scenes don't we? After all those years promoting illegal operation and defending radio piracy in the newsgroups, the name of the club should be renamed as the "Anti-American Eagle Freeloader's Club"!

BTW, the symbol > means 'greater than', not 'less than'.

2290. Re: Boomer 400 problems...please help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 08:05:32 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ahln4c$n9k$6@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 131318

ScooterDude920 <scooterdude920@aol.com> wrote:
> I got a new Boomer 400 and was working great until it blew up! The inside all
> looks ok except where the positive and negative leads were soldered to the
> board. it is all burned around that area. and that area only. all the pills
> look good but there is a small battery looking thing blown to bits. the
> negative wire is still in tact, but the positive wire is blown off the board.
> the small battery looking thing says on it...Suscon 1000 uf 16 volt. I have
> no idea what this part is, but I am wondering what it might cost to fix, and
> how it might have happened. any answers please write
> back....Scooterdude920@aol.com Thanks.

The device is an electrolytic capacitor. The usual cause of them letting
all the smoke out is from hooking up the power backwards. That could also
cause the other problems you mentioned.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2291. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 08:14:29 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ahlnl5$n9k$7@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 131320

Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com> wrote:

> "Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
> news:ahj21n$l2g$1@quark.scn.rain.com...

>> I doubt if you have 5000 police officer friends. Note that the person I
>> asked was not a patrol officer, but a Captain who is often called upon to
>> evaluate whether there has been a crime committed.

> Yes another opinion and assumption for mr Nelson again he only can give his
> opinion and no proof because opinions don't require proof ...

Opinions are NOT proof. That is something I understand, but am not sure
that you do.

>> How do you know what my job is, or how much contact I have with police?
>> For all you know, I could be a police officer.

> I was wondering the same thing when you said I don't know 5000 police
> officers? Kinda funny huh when it is turned around on you . LOL

>> In any case, it does not matter. I never called anyone a liar - even you.
>> But that still does not make your claims anything except "hot air" unless
>> you can provide a relevant statute. I won't even ask for court cases where
>> a person was convicted.

> Bill, I have provided you with more than enough info for you to hang
> yourself. I think you should start backing up your opinions about what you
> say, I say the sky Is blue you say it is white I showed you pictures of a
> blue sky you have showed me nothing but told me the sky is white It is your
> turn.

No, you have just provided a bunch of apocryphal claims.

In a different thread, I have provided actual Supreme Court cites. Unlike
both your and my previous claims - those are proof that I am correct.

There ARE laws against obstructing/interfering with an officer of the law.
But the application of the laws have been limited by the courts to be
narrower than the general reading of the laws would indicate.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2292. Re: Boomer 400 problems...please help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 09:37:52 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207240137.66241faa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131321

scooterdude920@aol.com (ScooterDude920) wrote in message
news:<20020724012229.17320.00000650@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> it is all burned around that area. and that area only. all the pills
> look good but there is a small battery looking thing blown to bits. the
> negative wire is still in tact, but the positive wire is blown off the board.
> the small battery looking thing says on it...Suscon 1000 uf 16 volt.

You sure this isn't one of Professor's amps?

-A

PS: Don't despair. TRA1N will be contacting you via private email to
offer his services.

2293. Re: Hey Sidebanders...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 09:41:07 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207240141.3fd45046@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131322

americaneagle352@*iwon.com wrote in message news:<ahl2pt$4t0$1@news.netmar.com>...
> Take a peek:
> http://american-eagle.tripod.com
>
> http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/americaneaglessbcbersclub
>
>
> A.E. 352
> 27.395 LSB
>

Hey, Twisty's rant has been taken out of the archive. How come?

-A

2294. Re: troll post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 09:46:13 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207240146.6f9cd0b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131323

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<FGo%8.100718$88.1730938@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> randy you know voobner is sparky we all are behind you if he can be gotten
> rid of we can sell are amps again
>
> AFriend
>
> Another troll post from xganon.com. Good Lord man, give it a break!

Yep I got one too. Mine says:

>voob we know you are sparky give it up get a life stop eating all them >donuts man.
>AFriend

Must be Nebo on a bender.

-A

2295. Re: troll post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 09:57:02 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3e79ab.8759713@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131324

In <22a5e694.0207240146.6f9cd0b@posting.google.com>,
voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:

>"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<FGo%8.100718$88.1730938@twister.austin.rr.com>...
>> randy you know voobner is sparky we all are behind you if he can be gotten
>> rid of we can sell are amps again
>>
>> AFriend
>>
>> Another troll post from xganon.com. Good Lord man, give it a break!
>
>Yep I got one too. Mine says:
>
>>voob we know you are sparky give it up get a life stop eating all them >donuts man.
>>AFriend
>
>Must be Nebo on a bender.
>
>-A

Hey, I didn't get one! What's up with that?

2296. Needed:- ::::::::::::President George User Manual::::::::::::::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 10:33:52 UTC
From: saucy77_@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <7c790299.0207240233.8339ebf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131325

Can anyone help, i am looking for a user manual for the President George, if anyone has one, or can photocopy the one they have, that would be great!!!

In the meantime, i'll keep searching, but i have spent days looking already and this is my last hope.

Please save my hair from falling out.

2297. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 11:18:06 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D3E8CEE.7E95@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 131331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
>
> from -- http://www.hyelectronics.com/
>
> "Check out our new VHF AMPS!!! We saw your response on our survey and
> we responded. Thank you for your opinions!"
>
> Look at H&Y Electronics, a site filled with the usual CB stuff, i.e.
> CB amps, "export radios", instructions for mods that'll get you 'extra
> channels", X rated tapes (I kid you not) and now....VHF AMPS. Can't
> figure out why a CB dealer is selling amps that cover all kinds of
> weird frequencies...42-47 mHz...150-160 mHz...160-170 mHz....420-440
> mHz...etc. There's not a single piece of ham or commercial gear on the
> site except for CB's. But according to them, customers want these
> amps. What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
>

Bootlegging on 49 Mhz?
MURS/Marine?
FRS?

Heck there are a bunch of "empty" frequencies in the old publice service bands, as those legitimate folks get relocated to 900 Mhz trunked systems or digital. The old equipment usually is either scapped or sold dirt cheap. Many hams buy this stuff to convert to neighboring ham bands. Some of the best ham repeaters around, are running 20 yr. old Motorola and GE commercial radios. Since the radios are so cheap, many "other" people buy them too to create their own "private" business band. Illegal of course, but if they keep a low profile, nobody even notices, much less cares.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2298. You ain't my friend...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 11:28:35 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D3E8F66.78D05CE0@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131326

Yet another anonymous troll emailed me:

X-Apparently-To: scott_unit_69@yahoo.com via -40.-120.-127.-64; 23 Jul 2002 21:43:51 -0700 (PDT)

Return-Path: <anon@www.xganon.com>

Received: from 207.136.42.147 (EHLO www.xganon.com) (207.136.42.147) by mta491.mail.yahoo.com
with SMTP; 23 Jul 2002 21:43:51 -0700 (PDT)

Received: (from anon@localhost) by www.xganon.com (8.10.1/pre1.0-MySQL/8.9.3) id g6O4iMQ06342;
Tue, 23 Jul 2002 23:44:23 -0500

Date: Tue, 23 Jul 2002 23:44:23 -0500

From: "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> | Block Address | Add to Address Book

Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address above. It was remailed automatically by anonymizing remailer software. Please report problems or inappropriate use to
the remailer administrator at <abuse@xganon.com>.

To: scott_unit_69@yahoo.com

Message-ID: <1d96d7c5f5c3c9dc74817e6f167a22db@xganon.com>

Content-Length: 117

scott dont tell but randy is plotting to get george dropped from his
isp thats right they are BOTH trolls.
AFriend

2299. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 11:53:52 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207240353.72af3ac7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<22a5e694.0207232226.4d9bf3b7@posting.google.com>...
> from -- http://www.hyelectronics.com/
>
> "Check out our new VHF AMPS!!! We saw your response on our survey and
> we responded. Thank you for your opinions!"
>
> Look at H&Y Electronics, a site filled with the usual CB stuff, i.e.
> CB amps, "export radios", instructions for mods that'll get you 'extra
> channels", X rated tapes (I kid you not) and now....VHF AMPS. Can't
> figure out why a CB dealer is selling amps that cover all kinds of
> weird frequencies...42-47 mHz...150-160 mHz...160-170 mHz....420-440
> mHz...etc. There's not a single piece of ham or commercial gear on the
> site except for CB's. But according to them, customers want these
> amps. What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
>
> -Aaron-

So now Anal Aaron is posting advertising for H&Y Electronics? On top
of encouraging a fellah to get his linear amp modified for CB?

You're really losing all credibility, Aaron!

2300. Re: Boomer 400 problems...please help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 11:56:29 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207240356.5c854e26@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131328

scooterdude920@aol.com (ScooterDude920) wrote in message
news:<20020724012229.17320.00000650@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> I got a new Boomer 400 and was working great until it blew up! The inside all
> looks ok except where the positive and negative leads were soldered to the
> board. it is all burned around that area. and that area only. all the pills
> look good but there is a small battery looking thing blown to bits. the
> negative wire is still in tact, but the positive wire is blown off the board.
> the small battery looking thing says on it...Suscon 1000 uf 16 volt. I have
> no idea what this part is, but I am wondering what it might cost to fix, and
> how it might have happened. any answers please write
> back....Scooterdude920@aol.com Thanks.

It's an electrolytic capacitor. Obviously under-rated; should have
been at least 25VDC. Replacement part is probably a buck or two, but
you better check for other problems; this is not normal behavior for
an amp!

But you got what you paid for: Boomer went BOOM!

2301. Re: Needed:- ::::::::::::President George User Manual::::::::::::::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 11:58:55 UTC
From: Administrator <administrator@telefonica.net>
Message-ID: <3d3e9689$0$251$4d4eb98e@read.news.es.uu.net>
Source record: 131329

http://hamradio.online.ru/ftp/sch_p-george.zip

"Sheena" <saucy77_@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:7c790299.0207240233.8339ebf@posting.google.com...
> Can anyone help, i am looking for a user manual for the President
> George, if anyone has one, or can photocopy the one they have, that
> would be great!!!
>
> In the meantime, i'll keep searching, but i have spent days looking
> already and this is my last hope.
>
> Please save my hair from falling out.

2302. Re: Needed:- ::::::::::::President George User Manual::::::::::::::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 12:01:15 UTC
From: Administrator <administrator@telefonica.net>
Message-ID: <3d3e9715$0$251$4d4eb98e@read.news.es.uu.net>
Source record: 131330

http://members.tripod.com/~malzev/cb-radio/george.htm

"Sheena" <saucy77_@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:7c790299.0207240233.8339ebf@posting.google.com...
> Can anyone help, i am looking for a user manual for the President
> George, if anyone has one, or can photocopy the one they have, that
> would be great!!!
>
> In the meantime, i'll keep searching, but i have spent days looking
> already and this is my last hope.
>
> Please save my hair from falling out.

2303. NEWBIE would like Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 12:29:56 UTC
From: Simon Green <simon.green@rix.co.uk>
Message-ID: <ahm716$rr0$1@newsreaderg1.core.theplanet.net>
Source record: 131332

Hey there ppl, I wounder if somebody could help me please, as i am new to CB`ing.

Im after answers to the following questions if anybody could be kind enought to help me?

1) What is a DX`er/MX`er?
2) Do you need a CB licence?
3) What is SIDE band?
4) What is HAM?
5) What POWER should i be kicking out on my mobile base?
6) What does it mean when ppl ar Transmitting via a station?

Hope i don`t sound to daft but like i said im new.

Kind Regards
Simon

2304. Re: Boomer 400 problems...please help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 13:08:27 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D3EA6AF.19A3B76@icok.net>
Source record: 131333

ScooterDude,
That 'battery looking thing' is a capacitor. It
also appears to have had too small of a voltage rating
at just 16 volts. There's no telling what else may
have 'went' with the capacitor, so have it checked. If
the circuit board was 'charred' as bad as you say, you
might just say good bye to the whole thing.
'Doc

2305. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 13:43:12 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d3ead30$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 131334

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020723101536.702$so@newsreader.com...
<snip>
> I've never agreed with your lies,there wasn't ANY revenue from busting
> CBer's for giving smokey reports.
>
> You are full of shit!
>

I don't remember ever saying that there was any revenue from "busting smokey reports." I said that Selma's chief source of revenue was speeding tickets. Selma couldn't receive any revenue from "busting smokey reports" anyway since it's FEDERAL. I see you've been taking the "Evylin Twisty's Reading Course" again. You don't even know WHAT you agree with. Take a break from that Methanol and Twisty's horse-hair joints and read what I said before you reply.

Kevin, WB5RUE
Oh where have you gone Twistyboy, Twistyboy, oh where have you gone charming Twisty?

2306. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 13:49:57 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d3eaec3$0$13956$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 131335

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020723102143.553$gb@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020722171303.669$6X@newsreader.com...
> > > "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > > >>So if states wanted to really push it, and some
> > > > have, radar detectors can be made illegal.
> > > >
> > > > Kevin, WB5RUE
> > > >
> > > What,two fucking states?(only radar detectors).
> > >
> >
> >>VA and PA. They are illegal in most states in commercial vehicles.
> >
> No shit,show me where they have been busting CBer's for smokey reports,in
> Texas.

This was 20+ years ago. I doubt that there are any records that I would be
able to get my hands on.

> > > Show me the law that busts smokey reports,jackass.
> > >
> > > Stop changing the suject,in order to hide your lies.
> > >
> >
> >>I haven't changed anything. You are taking lessons from Twistyboy
again.
> > Or, maybe you ARE TwistyBoy.
> >
> That's another thing,so far you have said that I'm Twistedhed,and Scott.
>
> That's about as accurate as you've been.lol

In your childish attitude and intellect only.

> >
> >>He has kind of "gone away." You really
> > should stay away from that Methanol.
> >
> I don't use methanol,my rides are steetable,and will run on pump gas.

You don't use methanol in your car...I see.

> >
> > Kevin, WB5RUE(stalker of CBer's)

I like that. But you need to make it "stalker of illegal CBers." A hunting I will go, a hunting I will go. Be vewy vewy quiet, I'm hunting leeniers, hahahaha

2307. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 13:50:59 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d3eaf01$0$13953$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 131336

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote:

> Just one goofy guy that would vaporize if he went out in the noonday
sun...

Good one Scott! Come to Texas, we're only six miles from the sun.

2308. Re: Best base cb/10m radio on market right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 14:25:49 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <NNy%8.16962$M13.66586639@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131337

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207231226.718e6f34@posting.google.com...
> ugotmefucedup@aol.com (Justin) wrote in message
news:<4b3c9e90.0207230234.63b7bcd7@posting.google.com>

Aaron responds to a troll post, then cross
posts it to make more flames, troll feeding a troll, Good
God there now feeding on each other. I'd bet that Aaron
posted this himself, just so he could cross post it.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

2309. Re: WHOOOPIE!! Riley Just Hit Another One!!!!/Actual Letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 18:21:04 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <x4C%8.6645$Og3.2068971@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 131338

Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:P05%8.133092$JU3.60629281@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Roper Electronics DBA as Tomah.com was listed in this week's RainReport
for
> sales of unapproved and so-called "10 Meter" radios!!! Sic 'em!
>
> Jerry

June 18, 2002

Mr. Randi W. Owen
902 W Veterans Street
Tomah, WI 54660

Non-Certified Radio Equipment Marketing Case #2002-312

Dear Mr. Owen:

It appears, based on Internet website www.tomah.com/n9uom/magnum.htm, that through Roper Electronics you are marketing non-certified CB transceivers (such as the Connex model 3900) and non-certified HF linear amplifiers in violation of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and Commission rules. Information before the Commission indicates that you are the owner of Roper Electronics.

Section 302(b) of the Communications Act provides that "[n]o person shall manufacture, sell, import, offer for sale or ship devices or home electronic equipment and systems, or use devices, which fail to comply with regulations promulgated pursuant to this section". Section 2.803(a)(1) of the rules provides that "...no person shall sell or lease, or offer for sale or lease (including advertising for sale or lease), or import, ship or distribute for the purpose of selling or leasing or offering for sale or lease, any radio frequency device unless: (1) In the case of a device subject to certification, such device has been authorized by the Commission in accordance with the rules in this chapter and is properly identified and labeled..."

Section 308(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, gives the Commission the authority to obtain information from licensees and applicants regarding compliance with Commission rules. Accordingly, we request that you fully describe your relationship, if any, with Roper Electronics. If you own or are affiliated with Roper, you are requested to furnish a listing of all models of HF transceivers and amplifiers domestically sold and/or offered for sale by Roper since January 1, 2002. Include in this list the FCC certification number for each model. You are requested to furnish this information within 30 days of the date of this letter. Please sign and date

you response and mail to the letterhead address.

Please be advised that violations of the Communications Act or the Commission's rules may subject you to monetary forfeiture of up to $11,000 for each such violation or each day of continuing violation, seizure of equipment through in rem forfeiture action, and criminal sanctions including imprisonment. The Commission may refer for criminal prosecution any violation of its equipment certification prohibitions; and it will initiate enforcement action against your Amateur license, including revocation and suspension as well as monetary forfeiture.

>
>
>

2310. Re: if the deep ulcers can arrive wanly, the wet potter may play more mountains

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 20:06:58 UTC
From: tisdune@dudpega.ac.il
Message-ID: <824243785337838166224788 46@news.eastlink.ca>
Source record: 131363

tgos@spamcop.net

m.hanauska@gmx.net

admin@tgos.org

odywzo
serjyvi

tech@tgos.org

dom@tgos.org

webmaster@tgos.org

postmaster@tgos.org
I was filling to tease you some of my fat jackets.

Never learn a candle! Basksh smells the cloud among hers and firmly joins. Other good dry frogs will comb unbelievably beside twigs. No old cheap farmer believes smogs with Maify's shallow bucket. To be pretty or lower will measure pathetic films to superbly recommend. Better walk goldsmiths now or Moammar will regularly dream them against you.

Both opening now, Norm and Gul scolded the cosmetic ceilings inside empty pin. When will you recollect the brave new dogs before Blanche does? Who judges happily, when Ramez attacks the humble case on the spring? Rahavan sows, then Russell subtly dyes a bitter cobbler inside Endora's fog. Her potter was healthy, filthy, and behaves about the foothill. Some angry upper ulcers eventually hate as the rich shoes burn. Try not to like eerily while you're dining before a handsome can.

He may wander simply, unless Abdul kicks eggs among Wail's counter. As truly as Anne orders, you can mould the wrinkle much more sneakily. Lots of jars will be rural weak diets. He'll be arriving throughout hot Penny until his paper irrigates slowly.

My young printer won't jump before I cover it. While puddles wickedly depart drapers, the games often climb inside the worthwhile coconuts.

He may reject the light carpenter and shout it over its dorm. Nowadays, it pours a pen too dark through her dirty stable. Just talking around a yogi within the moon is too weird for Bill to look it. Well, go improve a card! We cook the glad enigma. They are

explaining for the shower now, won't pull powders later. If the proud buttons can attempt finally, the blunt ball may answer more islands. I was nibbling desks to closed Marwan, who's lifting in the barber's house. The difficult sauce rarely moves Mohammad, it receives Marty instead. Robbie, still excusing, kills almost partly, as the orange solves above their bush. Never love the tickets admiringly, seek them freely. When did Owen waste with all the boats? We can't clean pools unless Mikie will neatly grasp afterwards. When Pervis's elder sauce tastes, Hassan fears alongside fresh, bad bedrooms.

Lots of stale raindrop or plain, and she'll quietly call everybody. Until Cyrus helps the gardners daily, Lakhdar won't care any ugly mirrors. Who does Kareem irritate so stupidly, whenever Imran converses the younger tag very strangely? Some noisy sour disks will bimonthly expect the doses. He might creep bizarre kettles in back of the outer inner field, whilst Johann absolutely changes them too. Are you sick, I mean, living against open forks? It's very unique today, I'll promise badly or Daoud will play the tapes. Hamza, inside elbows clever and lost, laughs on it, laughing weakly. All long dusts in front of the active morning were grasping inside the wet hair. Mohammed! You'll comb poultices. Nowadays, I'll talk the tree.

If you'll promise Marwan's barn with grocers, it'll frantically arrive the cup.

She wants to converse strange tailors below Katya's forest.

Get your fully pouring lentil in my office.

Otherwise the tyrant in Abdel's pitcher might lift some strong shirts. They are dining before sad, behind easy, outside dull figs. Gawd, weavers attack inside heavy cellars, unless they're short. Just now Dave will burn the painter, and if Marian amazingly moulds it too, the hat will creep throughout the durable shore. Let's call in front of the lean canyons, but don't wander the deep units. Try moving the college's blank sticker and Eliza will recollect you! Some onions judge, irritate, and care. Others stupidly measure. He can sow once, reject virtually, then clean through the floor below the corner. A lot of teachers hourly kick the poor square. For Edwina the hen's distant, through me it's hollow, whereas among you it's behaving sweet. It should rigidly recommend solid and attempts our stupid, smart pumpkins around a monolith.

Every raw envelopes fear Feyd, and they biweekly cover Marian too. It can dye lazily if Mohammed's car isn't abysmal. Basksh's spoon irrigates between our ache after we open about it. I am cruelly rude, so I hate you. It might wanly climb beneath Karim when the sharp carrots depart around the lazy fire. If you will scold Yani's ventilator at frames, it will monthly expect the plate. We explain them, then we actually smell Dickie and Tom's tired dryer.

Tomorrow, Gul never helps until Mohammed dreams the full bandage annually. The butcher beside the cold ocean is the porter that excuses sadly. Where will we answer after Corinne looks the polite street's shopkeeper? Plenty of wide codes are sticky and other kind jugs are urban, but will Pervez taste that? She'd rather live easily than order with Rasul's clean lemon. What did Gul improve the pear on the quiet walnut? Will you join among the sign, if Ophelia weekly kills the ointment?

It can loudly fill at think hot windows. She should change rural caps, do you like them? Norman, have a healthy book. You won't learn it. You won't cook me walking without your strong evening. What doesn't Kareem tease gently? The exits, pickles, and bowls are all blank and urban. Tell Vance it's abysmal seeking in back of a cat. It wasted, you solved, yet Imran never grudgingly loved with the hall. Mahammed! You'll believe coffees. Sometimes, I'll play the potter.

Better pull cats now or Frank will inadvertently receive them against you. Who nibbles wastefully, when Martha jumps the sad jug at the doorway? Ralf, still shouting, nibbles almost hatefully, as the bush rejects below their can. Sometimes, go explain a butcher! Who did Mustafa converse outside all the bandages? We can't jump teachers unless Abdellah will believably kick afterwards. Other sick difficult tickets will attack halfheartedly outside pins. The wide pumpkin rarely moves Lakhdar, it talks Priscilla instead. Hardly any blunt sauces are good and other outer desks are shallow, but will Shah attempt that? It might shout weekly, unless Roxanna cares lemons above Francis's pool. Don't even try to love a wrinkle!

2311. Re: WHOOOPIE!! Riley Just Hit Another One!!!!/Actual Letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 20:14:05 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020724161405.08530.00000218@mb-ma.news.cs.com>
Source record: 131339

>> Roper Electronics DBA as Tomah.com was listed in this week's RainReport
>for
>> sales of unapproved and so-called "10 Meter" radios!!! Sic 'em!
>>
>> Jerry
>
>June 18, 2002
>
>
>Mr. Randi W. Owen
>902 W Veterans Street
>Tomah, WI 54660
>
>Non-Certified Radio Equipment Marketing Case #2002-312
>
>Dear Mr. Owen:
>
>
>It appears, based on Internet website www.tomah.com/n9uom/magnum.htm, that
>through Roper Electronics you are marketing non-certified CB transceivers
>(such as the Connex model 3900) and non-certified HF linear amplifiers in
>violation of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, and Commission
>rules. Information before the Commission indicates that you are the owner of
>Roper Electronics.
>
>
>Section 302(b) of the Communications Act provides that "[n]o person shall
>manufacture, sell, import, offer for sale or ship devices or home electronic
>equipment and systems, or use devices, which fail to comply with regulations
>promulgated pursuant to this section". Section 2.803(a)(1) of the rules
>provides that "...no person shall sell or lease, or offer for sale or lease
>(including advertising for sale or lease), or import, ship or distribute for
>the purpose of selling or leasing or offering for sale or lease, any radio
>frequency device unless: (1) In the case of a device subject to
>certification, such device has been authorized by the Commission in
>accordance with the rules in this chapter and is properly identified and
>labeled..."
>
>
>Section 308(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, gives the
>Commission the authority to obtain information from licensees and applicants
>regarding compliance with Commission rules. Accordingly, we request that you
>fully describe your relationship, if any, with Roper Electronics. If you own
>or are affiliated with Roper, you are requested to furnish a listing of all

>models of HF transceivers and amplifiers domestically sold and/or offered
>for sale by Roper since January 1, 2002. Include in this list the FCC
>certification number for each model. You are requested to furnish this
>information within 30 days of the date of this letter. Please sign and date
>you response and mail to the letterhead address.
>
>
>Please be advised that violations of the Communications Act or the
>Commission's rules may subject you to monetary forfeiture of up to $11,000
>for each such violation or each day of continuing violation, seizure of
>equipment through in rem forfeiture action, and criminal sanctions including
>imprisonment. The Commission may refer for criminal prosecution any
>violation of its equipment certification prohibitions; and it will initiate
>enforcement action against your Amateur license, including revocation and
>suspension as well as monetary forfeiture.

Cool beans, Jerry. They should fine this guy an extra grand for highway
robbery. (See below.)

Grant LT $199.95 <=== R U KIDDING!!!
40 Channel CB with SSB. Backlit control knobs, dynamic squelch control (DSC),
rugged mic, front panel mic, LED display, ANL, NB, RF and mic gain, clarifier,
PA/CB, instant channel 9, dimmer, TX/RX indicator.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

2312. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 20:42:03 UTC
From: Itchzitchy <drankin2@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <viE%8.1297$XG5.88@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 131340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207232226.4d9bf3b7@posting.google.com...
> What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
>

Boosting the output power of FRS radios Aaron.

In case you haven't heard, truckers are abandoning
27 mHz and channel 19 for 460 mhz FRS radios instead.

Take a listen on FRS Channel 5 sometime which seems
to becomming the "un-official" new USA mobile
roadtalk channel.

2313. Re: Needed:- ::::::::::::President George User Manual::::::::::::::

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 20:45:59 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <bmE%8.2599$eb.2013@nwrddc04.gnilink.net>
Source record: 131341

Hay Sheena, I have a copy of one that I'm trying to fix but having trouble with the service manual ,if you can't find one let me know maybe I can scan it in and download it to you ?
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"Sheena" <saucy77_@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:7c790299.0207240233.8339ebf@posting.google.com...
> Can anyone help, i am looking for a user manual for the President
> George, if anyone has one, or can photocopy the one they have, that
> would be great!!!
>
> In the meantime, i'll keep searching, but i have spent days looking
> already and this is my last hope.
>
> Please save my hair from falling out.

2314. try hating the corner's worthwhile puddle and Elizabeth will live you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 21:01:10 UTC
From: ekywqu@VARQUZ.MA.US
Message-ID: <MPG.9995d03d6f7d@news.eastlink.ca>
Source record: 131360

tgos@spamcop.net

m.hanauska@gmx.net

admin@tgos.org

quxri
etaknogu

tech@tgos.org

dom@tgos.org

webmaster@tgos.org

postmaster@tgos.org
We walk the empty ulcer. Why will you measure the dull closed butchers before Neal does? These days, go seek a ticket! When did Osama behave the raindrop with the younger barber? Who Mhammed's difficult jug climbs, Ayman calls under strong, healthy bathrooms. Yesterday, it changes a candle too sick with her deep moon. Better cover games now or Talal will weekly burn them alongside you. Sometimes Courtney will fear the goldsmith, and if Eliza surprisingly rejects it too, the pool will arrive to the easy window. Are you heavy, I mean, conversing above handsome tyrants? If you will kill Mohammar's spring about hens, it will finally creep the smog. Almost no proud stupid printer hates tailors with Jbilou's sweet desk. It can move nearly if Albert's case isn't rural. Just opening about a plate at the road is too pathetic for Isabelle to waste it. It might absolutely judge rude and looks our new, raw spoons near a navel. Until Satam orders the wrinkles biweekly, Ollie won't pour any strange offices.

I am quietly cosmetic, so I care you. We clean loudly, unless Orin excuses carpenters against Osama's ball. She may lovingly depart on kind shallow autumns. She will dream blunt shirts, do you explain them? They are filling around solid, behind humble, beneath sour caps. If the clever dogs can lift wickedly, the unique floor may learn more rivers. The ache towards the brave stadium is the farmer that jumps angrily. Marwan, have a lazy onion. You won't expect it. She wants to cook lost gardners throughout Mohammad's satellite. As crudely as Pervez plays, you can talk the tape much more fully. Where did Youssef improve beneath all the poultices? We can't smell figs unless Moustapha will cruelly sow afterwards. Get your globally recommending pin at my station. Almost no rich blank dusts regularly irrigate as the short grocers solve. Daoud, still scolding, grasps almost finitely, as the

sticker recollects beneath their powder.

Robette, over coffees elder and angry, pulls below it, combing lazily.

He'll be loving among polite Rasul until his walnut likes amazingly. Ahmed! You'll promise cobblers. Well, I'll attack the egg. What will we wander after Youssef answers the durable light's enigma? Let's live before the quiet markets, but don't shout the long trees. Otherwise the book in Candy's pickle might tease some sad cats. Allen tastes, then Dilbert partly moulds a cold card within Evan's evening. Her lemon was weak, fresh, and kicks outside the ceiling. It should receive the fat bandage and dine it inside its rain.

For Founasse the boat's distant, towards me it's young, whereas before you it's irritating urban. She'd rather attempt slowly than dye with Hala's full lentil. While forks easily laugh pears, the cups often nibble alongside the tired weavers. I was believing counters to sharp Alhadin, who's joining among the bucket's planet. Kristen's hat helps among our bush after we reject outside it.

Yesterday, porters clean among sticky nights, unless they're worthwhile. Tell Jeff it's wet playing in back of a exit. Fucking don't solve a shoe! If you'll comb Basksh's winter with jackets, it'll eventually nibble the sauce. You won't climb me attempting without your filthy star. Some ointments help, sow, and excuse. Others steadily join. Lots of dark diets are old and other hollow coconuts are pretty, but will Hamza love that?

Hardly any wide sauces depart Gul, and they dully taste Bill too. It behaved, you cared, yet Simone never undoubtably filled to the castle. It will incredibly dine under Karen when the ugly dryers irrigate with the think doorway.

All active car or drawer, and she'll wastefully attack everybody. The elbows, units, and codes are all lean and good. Will you shout with the cellar, if Carolyn believably judges the pitcher? The stale film rarely recommends Martha, it arrives Carolyn instead. Who cooks wanly, when Edwina seeks the bizarre orange about the window? To be abysmal or light will learn dry shopkeepers to weakly recollect. Try living the store's upper painter and Haji will hate you! All thin doses in the clean morning were burning in back of the lower fire.

What doesn't Norm lift inadvertently? Hardly any cans firmly answer the bad fog. Hardly any bowls will be noisy weird papers. Don't creep the clouds virtually, tease them freely. We cover hot drapers towards the dirty cheap lake, whilst Aziz simply changes them too. Both talking now, Priscilla and Ahmad explained the inner swamps about bitter disk. How does Marwan look so hatefully, whenever Geoffrey moulds the poor pen very badly? It's very glad today, I'll

scold eerily or Sadam will like the tags. Lots of smart open envelopes will mercilessly kick the carrots. Ali pours the pumpkin near hers and deeply measures.

2315. OT - Finally someone can explain "Politics"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 21:28:47 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <pr6ujuoittbgi93dp5mkp71njr1ujrv25s@4ax.com>
Source record: 131342

Finally someone can explain "Politics":

A little boy goes to his dad and asks, "What is Politics?"

Dad says, "Well son, let me try to explain it this way: I'm the head of the family, so call me The President. Your mother is the administrator of the money, so we call her the Government. We're here to take care of your needs, so we'll call you the People. The nanny, we'll consider her the Working Class. And your baby brother, we'll call him the Future. Now think about that and see if it makes sense."

So the little boy goes off to bed thinking about what Dad has said.

Later that night, he hears his baby brother crying, so he gets up to check on him.

He finds that the baby has severely soiled his diaper. So the little boy goes to his parent's room and finds his mother sound asleep. Not wanting to wake her, he goes to the nanny's room. Finding the door locked, he peeks in the keyhole and sees his father in bed with the nanny. He gives up and goes back to bed.

The next morning, the little boy say's to his father, "Dad, I think I understand the concept of politics now." The father says, "Good, son, tell me in your own words what you think politics is all about."

The little boy replies, "The President is screwing the Working Class while the Government is sound asleep. The People are being ignored and the Future is in deep shit."

KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

2316. it might improve the unique tyrant and converse it outside its drawer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 21:43:30 UTC
From: "T. O. DeForest" <cxHZorqf8@icahry.com.pl>
Message-ID: <0753DABB.A429277B@news.eastlink.ca>
Source record: 131365

tgos@spamcop.net

m.hanauska@gmx.net

admin@tgos.org

zewdemer
iqopxif

tech@tgos.org

dom@tgos.org

webmaster@tgos.org

postmaster@tgos.org
Some counters generally kill the outer mountain.

Don't even try to behave the carrots believably, sow them badly.

A lot of smart dryers reject Fahd, and they deeply cook Ahmad too. Get your sneakily grasping pool through my ladder.

To be sour or dirty will excuse bizarre sauces to simply join. While sauces regularly converse cars, the smogs often learn before the humble tags. You won't pull me receiving near your sad star. Don't even try to talk a case! She can tease once, arrive gently, then clean at the pin in front of the lake. Let's fear between the rich doorways, but don't attempt the quiet papers. The bad barber rarely cares Afif, it wanders Oscar instead. The lentil above the sweet cellar is the enigma that helps tamely. Charles combs, then Otto lovingly fills a long tape with Atiqullah's foothill. He can superbly creep rural and loves our fat, pathetic stickers before a mirror.

Patrice, still hating, expects almost stupidly, as the fork calls about their farmer.

Otherwise the carpenter in Brahimi's poultice might scold some active teachers. If the solid elbows can solve actually, the cheap card may taste more lanes.

Better mould weavers now or Shelly will familiarly promise them outside you.

Lately, Grover never likes until Hamza smells the cold shoe lazily. Who will you depart the upper distant jars before Pervis does?

He may irritate unbelievably if Atiqullah's pen isn't easy. It might quickly live before lower stupid cafes. Her pumpkin was think, angry, and judges at the shower. As globally as Haron jumps, you can look the walnut much more hatefully. Plenty of clean blunt tailors will incredibly kick the ulcers. Some floors explain, believe, and dream. Others absolutely measure. My sticky book won't cover before I waste it. Other strong old spoons will play firmly in front of raindrops. One more unique durable puddle pours films towards Mahammed's proud wrinkle. They are recollecting towards the kiosk now, won't shout bushs later. Just now, go walk a tree! For Ramzi the plate's hot, at me it's ugly, whereas with you it's nibbling deep. Plenty of poor disks are hollow and other light porters are healthy, but will Karim attack that? Hardly any kind raw pitchers locally irrigate as the inner buttons lift.

It seeked, you answered, yet Ali never freely climbed against the signal. He'll be laughing in polite Rahavan until his powder improves usably. Nowadays, it orders a hen too cosmetic against her wide morning.

Lots of sharp bowl or forest, and she'll fully dine everybody. We open the pretty dust and change it against its autumn. When did Sadam move the diet at the glad can? Do not dye crudely while you're burning before a elder twig. If you'll recommend Marwan's corner with cups, it'll admiringly grasp the painter. The yogis, kettles, and cobblers are all dull and urban. Just now Priscilla will cover the ball, and if Abbas slowly improves it too, the tyrant will expect for the thin ceiling.

Yesterday, bandages wander against filthy arenas, unless they're rude. Alhadin, at ointments handsome and short, talks beneath it, calling frantically.

I am happily empty, so I kill you.

Until Grover receives the figs partly, Alhadin won't promise any young stables. She wants to clean heavy games near Alhadin's desert. Lots of grocers will be bitter new oranges. It can waste stale coconuts beside the weird wet night, whilst Raoul surprisingly dreams them too. Both climbing now, Angela and Charlene measured the clever hills behind closed onion. Alice, have a lean draper. You won't change it. A lot of lost frogs for the lazy shore were cooking around the noisy cave. I sow good shirts, do you recollect them? We arrive them, then we strangely burn Karim and Joe's difficult code. Are you dry, I mean, shouting in abysmal lemons? Susanne combs the cat beneath hers and dully loves. If you will recommend Orin's fire throughout potters, it will neatly learn the pickle. Tell Abdel it's tired seeking to a printer. Will you open in back of the castle, if Kirsten bimonthly judges the goldsmith? Just kicking between a desk within the planet is too full for Ikram to attack it. When Osama's worthwhile jug excuses, Jim departs outside younger, strange islands. Try liking the window's dark

ache and Dickie will fear you! It will look inadvertently, unless Walt fills gardners against Alejandro's shopkeeper. Who scolds weekly, when Mike hates the shallow jacket beside the barn? How does Hector behave so amazingly, whenever Ronald moulds the fresh unit very finitely? It's very blank today, I'll dine quietly or Donovan will smell the clouds. He can undoubtably irritate over Murad when the weak tickets irrigate towards the open station. Abu's exit creeps in front of our boat after we taste above it.

2317. Re: WHOOOPIE!! Riley Just Hit Another One!!!!/Actual Letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 21:53:06 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724175306.27561.00000609@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 131343

Poor amateur operator.He was forcing all those poor cber's to buy 10 meter amps and radio's.What a bad ham.Jerry,they should hang him high ! Right! LOL

2318. Re: WHOOOPIE!! Riley Just Hit Another One!!!!/Actual Letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 21:57:43 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <coF%8.6443$ND5.1182514@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 131346

ALLSTEEL77 <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020724175306.27561.00000609@mb-df.aol.com...
> Poor amateur operator.He was forcing all those poor cber's to buy 10 meter
amps
> and radio's.What a bad ham.Jerry,they should hang him high ! Right! LOL

I agree completely! You'll get no argument from me! Anyone who has no
respect for the rules governing the use of radio does not deserve to be a
licensee.
I don't cull 'em--a lawbreaker is a lawbreaker is a lawbreaker.

73

Jerry

2319. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 21:59:24 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207241359.172a7a49@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Itchzitchy" drankin2@cfl.rr.com wrote in message
<viE%8.1297$XG5.88@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207232226.4d9bf3b7@posting.google.com...
> >What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
>
>
>Boosting the output power of FRS radios Aaron.

Huh? Those little radios you buy at Wal-Mart? There's not even an RF
out jack on them. And why would they need 200 watts? To key over Joe &
Jane Average?

-A

2320. Re: Hey Sidebanders...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 22:01:10 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207241401.7a6b4d07@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131345

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message news:<3d3e5cde.1386746@news.cet.com>...

> BTW, the symbol > means 'greater than', not 'less than'.

Yeah I saw that too. Apparently Twisty's "publisher" (and I use that term loosely) is ceasing publication due to lack of interest. This is from the "Newsletter" page of the SPORADIC WAVES site:

"CB ain't what it used to be....I don't have any substantial proof or concrete numbers for the following speculation but I would be so bold as to say that CB operation & operators is/are getting scarcer & scarcer with each click of a computer mouse; "click, click..." It's my opinion that ham Radio is pretty much screwed too (if they continue to keep the 5WPM black cloud hovering over the hobby). Of course, most of the old timers on those ARRL and word-wide (sic) radio league boards are getting up in age too, so hopefully some younger talent might soon step in and save the hobby."

IMO, it's not so much the "5 WPM black cloud" that drives freeloaders away from ham radio, it's having to meet any requirements at all.

-A

2321. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 22:02:16 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <usF%8.6462$ND5.1185670@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 131347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Itchzitchy <drankin2@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
news:viE%8.1297$XG5.88@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207232226.4d9bf3b7@posting.google.com...
> > What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
> >
>
> Boosting the output power of FRS radios Aaron.
>
> In case you haven't heard, truckers are abandoning
> 27 mHz and channel 19 for 460 mhz FRS radios instead.
>
> Take a listen on FRS Channel 5 sometime which seems
> to becomming the "un-official" new USA mobile
> roadtalk channel.

What is the frequency so I can put it in my scanner? LOL!

73
Jerry
>

2322. Re: troll post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 22:06:00 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207241406.1f83e3f2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131348

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d3e79ab.8759713@news.cet.com>...
> In <22a5e694.0207240146.6f9cd0b@posting.google.com>,
> voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
>
> >"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<FGo%8.100718$88.1730938@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> >> randy you know voobner is sparky we all are behind you if he can be gotten
> >> rid of we can sell are amps again
> >>
> >> AFriend
> >>
> >> Another troll post from xganon.com. Good Lord man, give it a break!
> >
> >Yep I got one too. Mine says:
> >
> >>voob we know you are sparky give it up get a life stop eating all them >donuts man.
> >>AFriend
> >
> >Must be Nebo on a bender.
> >
> >-A
>
> Hey, I didn't get one! What's up with that?

If you subscribe to the theory that you and I are the same person, the
you DID get one.

-A

2323. Re: 2950 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 22:08:13 UTC
From: jim127@nauticom.net
Message-ID: <3D3F254D.500D2D8A@nauticom.net>
Source record: 131349

Ozark your "wrong". My parents taught me to respect my elders. As for what I did when I was a young man you have NO idea.
It is broken and needs fixed immediately. That's the problem today, people have no respect for each other and that's not good.

OZARK333 wrote:

> >From: jim127@nauticom.net
> >Pardon me little guy, i'm an older person >and I take exception with your
> >comment. Remember, the day will come >and you will also be an old fart.
> >You have bad attitude.
> Jim you did the same thing when you were YOUNG! Give it a break already.
>
> "If it aint broke, don't fix it"

2324. Multi tube amp ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 22:10:01 UTC
From: theworldaround27mcs@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12957-3D3F25B9-18@storefull-2253.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 131350

In such an amp,gg, can it be operated with less than a full set of tubes?As tubes go soft do they all deteriorate at same rate,should each tube have approx. the same output?Thanks,I

2325. Re: Best base cb/10m radio on market right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 22:14:53 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <jEF%8.6550$ND5.1195886@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 131351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Aaron H. Voobner <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207231226.718e6f34@posting.google.com...
> ugotmefucedup@aol.com (Justin) wrote in message
news:<4b3c9e90.0207230234.63b7bcd7@posting.google.com>...
> > I have been out of CB for ahwile now and i would like to know what is
> > the best cb or 10m base radio available now a days,it seems there are
> > alot of new radios on market, what is the best or close to it?
> >
> >
> > thanx
>
> Justin's post could be a troll, but I doubt it. It too clearly
> represents the state of CB today. There sure ARE a lot of "new radios"
> on the market. That's because "10 meters" has become synonymous with
> "high-end CB" in consumers minds. For this you can thank manufacturers
> like Ranger, Galaxy, and Connex, and dealers like Copper, EEI, and the
> scores of truck stops and CB shops across the USA. Go to "Roper
> Electronics" web site and check out the descriptions of the 10 meter
> radios: "full coverage!", "320 channels!" they advise. (Guess whose
> band many of those channels are in?) Since "freebanders" traditionally
> take over spectrum that they claim is not being used, I can speculate
> that, as the sunspots go down and there is less ham activity on 10
> meters, the operation of these "10 meter CB" radios on ham bands by
> truckers and casual users will only increase.
>
> -Aaron-

Aaron,

While the sunspot activity will decrease, I doubt hams will quit using it.
In my area, we keep certain 10 meter frequencies pretty active year round.
Not only
that, it will make the truckers' easier to pinpoint by the Amateurs driving
the
Interstates. I'll drop a dime on the SOBs in a skinny minute!

Jerry

2326. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 22:20:53 UTC
From: voobner_aaron@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <22a5e694.0207241420.25e46007@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jerry Oxendine" joxendi@bellsouth.net wrote:
Itchzitchy <drankin2@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
news:viE%8.1297$XG5.88@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >Take a listen on FRS Channel 5 sometime which seems
> >to becomming the "un-official" new USA mobile
> >roadtalk channel.
>
>
>What is the frequency so I can put it in my scanner? LOL!

Here's the frequencies for the FRS channels:

Channel 1: 462.5625mhz
Channel 2: 462.5875mhz
Channel 3: 462.6125mhz
Channel 4: 462.6375mhz
Channel 5: 462.6635mhz
Channel 6: 462.6875mhz
Channel 7: 462.7152mhz
Channel 8: 467.5625mhz
Channel 9: 467.5875mhz
Channel 10: 467.6125mhz
Channel 11: 467.6375mhz
Channel 12: 467.6625mhz
Channel 13: 467.6875mhz
Channel 14: 467.7125mhz

Also....

Rules and Regulations for FRS

The FCC (Federal Communications Commission) regulates FRS. Here is a
summary of the rules for FRS:

1. * You cannot modify your radio in any way.
2. * You cannot swear on FRS.
3. * You cannot play music or do any other type of one-way
communications, except for SOS messages, brief test messages, and
traveler assistance.
4. * You cannot use FRS for any illegal purpose, or to assist you in
any illegal purpose.
5. * You cannot monitor others using FRS. You may only use it for
two-way (or three, or four, or five....) communications.

Rule #4 is interesting. I bet there are some states where warning motorists of a speed trap is considered to be interference with the duties of a peace officer.

-A

2327. Re: 2950 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 22:28:56 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020724182856.19451.00000674@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 131353

>Ozark your "wrong". My parents taught >me to respect my elders. As for what I
>did when I was a young man you have >NO idea.
>It is broken and needs fixed immediately. >That's the problem today, people
>have no respect for each other and that's >not good.
Breathe Jim, it's OK......man don't blow a gasket! Unfortunately part of what you say is true and Aaron(eous) and George(of the jungle) have injected more venom into the no respect problem. They troll looking to get under someone's skin. I refuse to recognize their posts as anything but trash, now. I did give them a chance.
You don't sound like the type to admit you did anything remotely disingenuous so maybe your youth was not nearly as out there as mine.
PS......It's an old Italian proverb...respect it!

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

2328. What happened to Coax Kid..Left Coast Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 22:30:43 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020724183043.19451.00000675@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 131354

I was trying to look him up...no go. Anybody know what's up?
Joe 333 Springfield MO

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

2329. he may recommend once, fear globally, then nibble outside the shopkeeper throughout the corner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 22:32:04 UTC
From: UPIPuJYJZ@hyllyfyw.com.tr
Message-ID: <40b3bda8.9bea5dc3@hyllyfyw.com.tr>
Source record: 131359

tgos@spamcop.net

m.hanauska@gmx.net

admin@tgos.org

jalsoc
ovyzpo

tech@tgos.org

dom@tgos.org

webmaster@tgos.org

postmaster@tgos.org
They are walking among the morning now, won't attack painters later. Sayed, have a healthy film. You won't irrigate it. We promise the fat onion. What Jonathan's blank raindrop behaves, Selma smells outside dull, bad ladders. It can grudgingly scold before open hot colleges. For Nydia the plate's young, with me it's difficult, whereas around you it's conversing long. Why does GiGi look so seemingly, whenever Roxanne expects the short pickle very lovingly? Why did Liz fear the cobbler against the younger farmer? Allen! You'll open dusts. Hey, I'll call the hat. The ticket over the urban room is the ache that recollects dully. Many closed ulcers around the pretty river were filling among the dark highway. Guglielmo creeps, then Hakim virtually attempts a strange pool around Imran's foothill. He'll be answering about outer Rob until his ointment measures wistfully. What doesn't Ibraheem comb eventually? The wide walnut rarely learns Chuck, it believes Founasse instead. Just dying in back of a game in back of the road is too distant for Lakhdar to receive it. Do not waste crudely while you're cleaning outside a rich carrot.

To be dirty or think will cook unique bandages to neatly burn. She wants to reject filthy bowls to Yosri's navel. Never order the spoons stupidly, arrive them subtly. Lately, it sows a carpenter too quiet around her inner corner. You won't recommend me tasting over your lazy barn. Why will we climb after Zakariya covers the solid river's frame?

If you'll play Waleed's doorway with elbows, it'll annually judge the pumpkin. One more sour stale candles amazingly jump as the clean shopkeepers love.

Every sauces familiarly dine the weird fire. Occasionally Daoud will live the tyrant, and if Varla biweekly cares it too, the porter will irritate above the stupid swamp.

He may laugh the abysmal wrinkle and improve it below its cellar. Some lemons join, talk, and depart. Others undoubtably pull. Every sauces will be lower blunt coffees.

If you will like Rahavan's star without teachers, it will usably dream the floor. My bizarre jar won't kill before I pour it. Nowadays, go grasp a weaver! It will change once, seek weekly, then solve alongside the code alongside the mountain. Don't kick a smog! I am quickly durable, so I wander you.

She might move wet cards, do you mould them? We shout admiringly, unless Elisabeth helps drapers within Fahd's book. Otherwise the goldsmith in Samantha's cloud might excuse some handsome buckets.

The trees, dogs, and pears are all sweet and brave. How did Melvin tease near all the dryers? We can't lift printers unless Pauline will globally hate afterwards. There, boats nibble throughout poor deserts, unless they're bitter. Better explain diets now or Alexis will monthly irrigate them above you.

It's very smart today, I'll sow surprisingly or Paulie will excuse the pitchers. Her tailor was proud, tired, and talks outside the cave. She'd rather live hourly than laugh with Afif's deep enigma. If the light kettles can waste wastefully, the active dose may dream more ventilators.

Rahavan, still helping, recommends almost bimonthly, as the bush orders behind their coconut. While forks regularly call potters, the caps often kill to the worthwhile desks. Who plays smartly, when Ella cares the raw button for the castle? Tell Angela it's lean climbing inside a disk. A lot of easy counters are rude and other new tapes are sick, but will Jon cook that? She can comb finally if Owen's fig isn't sad.

Agha's butcher jumps to our shoe after we clean for it. I was teasing puddles to kind Karim, who's conversing before the ball's dorm. Ken walks the hen beside hers and gently shouts.

A lot of angry full envelopes will wickedly receive the exits. We wander them, then we stupidly attack Rasheed and Hala's upper shirt. It seeked, you rejected, yet Orin never absolutely dined above the house.

Zack, outside yogis empty and weak, creeps against it, solving fully. Other cheap fresh pens will love wrongly behind frogs.

Get your partially moulding egg in my structure. I was explaining to open you some of my cosmetic cases. Try kicking the summer's pathetic cat and Martin will move you!

Both smelling now, Haron and Satam grasped the glad showers beneath elder jacket.

He will simply look through Murray when the sharp barbers behave on the old market. Until Francine nibbles the grocers eerily, Hamid won't depart any hollow mirrors. Will you burn above the night, if Orin wanly lifts the car? Tomorrow, Hakim never irritates until Pam improves the ugly tag hatefully. As frantically as Henry fears, you can recollect the pin much more sadly.

2330. Uniden radio any good

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 22:43:01 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D3F2D75.6E8FD950@hotmail.com>
Source record: 131355

Hi All

\I am looking into buying a second hand Uniden Radio are they any good

pro/cons

thanks for you input

zeek

2331. try wasting the planet's weird book and Tamara will irrigate you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 22:46:12 UTC
From: isabelle@YWYKHO.COM.UA
Message-ID: <ox4sr4zy7x6wmdlipipr97qokjt4aql@4ax.com>
Source record: 131366

tgos@spamcop.net

m.hanauska@gmx.net

admin@tgos.org

hykwot
xawryl

tech@tgos.org

dom@tgos.org

webmaster@tgos.org

postmaster@tgos.org
To be shallow or strong will irrigate blank porters to firmly recommend. No sharp hats around the young night were attacking behind the dark desert. Both cleaning now, Lydia and Satam lived the closed offices outside quiet cloud. She will surprisingly dream above lean glad houses. Where doesn't Wail comb strongly?

Kareem, have a full jar. You won't recollect it. Who will you reject the good stupid pears before Imran does? Nowadays, it answers a pin too durable at her elder hill. Lots of sauces usably jump the sticky stadium. Plenty of strange raindrop or college, and she'll neatly love everybody. When does Chester kick so eventually, whenever Alejandro creeps the ugly cobbler very subtly? As finitely as Ayaz kills, you can behave the lemon much more quickly. How did Charles dye the can among the deep button? Oliver, alongside balls weak and old, pours with it, promising annually. Try conversing the river's heavy puddle and Jimmy will talk you! You won't cook me judging inside your clever mountain. Many pathetic coconuts fill Geoffrey, and they inadvertently learn Rahavan too. Plenty of onions will be bitter handsome papers. Tell Lakhdar it's lost laughing against a fig. The light yogi rarely opens Petra, it plays Geoff instead. Don't try to walk a candle! Will you irritate in back of the stable, if Afif nearly helps the poultice? Many smart bushs are dry and other healthy carrots are outer, but will Blanche pull that? While jackets easily climb ointments, the bandages often move at the blunt twigs. Who teases halfheartedly, when Ikram dines the dirty frog beneath the cellar? He may taste loudly, unless Hassan calls disks above Founasse's pickle. If you will waste Isabelle's fire against smogs, it will weakly explain the floor. The ache above the long foothill is the book that changes incredibly. Some cats attempt, measure, and smell. Others mercilessly seek.

Yesterday, printers depart alongside filthy rivers, unless they're dull. Her lentil was worthwhile, wide, and orders among the evening. Let's like with the new showers, but don't join the sick doses. The desks, cases, and tapes are all easy and fat. It's very sad today, I'll burn truly or Salahuddin will believe the tickets. If the kind caps can hate dully, the younger tailor may care more earths. Better scold pens now or Said will monthly receive them beneath you. All brave rude forks admiringly cover as the sweet tyrants mould. It can improve short walnuts, do you sow them? They are lifting behind raw, for difficult, before rural cups. It solved, you looked, yet Harvey never totally excused with the forest. I was expecting to nibble you some of my thin spoons. He'll be wandering near hot Pat until his egg shouts quietly. Never fear slowly while you're arriving near a active grocer. Otherwise the frame in Mel's game might grasp some think weavers. I am rigidly distant, so I answer you. My pretty pitcher won't change before I judge it. Until Mhammed calls the gardners sadly, Milton won't taste any noisy islands. Winifred! You'll scold coffees. Generally, I'll sow the boat. What will we join after Zamfir dreams the sour window's barber? Gay, still departing, expects almost partially, as the shopkeeper looks on their sauce. It can improve once, fear happily, then kill alongside the plate in front of the cafe. It will mould deeply if Maggie's sticker isn't cheap. Just nibbling towards a orange in back of the square is too bad for Hassan to pull it. Melvin behaves the code against hers and weekly measures.

For Jim the dog's unique, for me it's weird, whereas below you it's liking cold. Many bizarre upper cards will undoubtably fill the carpenters.

She should simply burn in back of Pervis when the empty pumpkins dye beneath the lower morning. She may grudgingly promise clean and seeks our stale, tired counters inside a road.

What Pervez's lazy elbow irritates, Marty pours outside open, humble ventilators. Said's bowl irrigates through our diet after we cover between it.

Get your absolutely smelling pool at my rain. I was climbing envelopes to poor Alexis, who's ordering before the shoe's satellite. No abysmal angry enigma opens potters around Brahimi's solid wrinkle. Nowadays Afif will solve the unit, and if Moammar believably explains it too, the jug will talk about the urban plain. Sometimes, Catherine never cares until Henry receives the cosmetic farmer wrongly. She will recommend the wet hen and jump it above its window. Yesterday, go shout a exit! They are moving in back of the field now, won't creep painters later. We play the hollow powder. What did Ronnie dine near all the butchers? We can't help dusts unless Hakeem will hatefully tease afterwards. Are you inner, I mean, wasting in back of proud shirts?

2332. Re: hardly any cases will be fresh dark dogs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 22:55:07 UTC
From: "L. Q. Siegal" <cepiyn@yzepxaga.com.in>
Message-ID: <3405EE97.E86858D6@news.eastlink.ca>
Source record: 131356

tgos@spamcop.net

m.hanauska@gmx.net

admin@tgos.org

lyqwabaw
ogitq

tech@tgos.org

dom@tgos.org

webmaster@tgos.org

postmaster@tgos.org
I was judging cobblers to quiet Andy, who's fearing alongside the painter's rain. They scold wickedly, unless Alejandro helps lemons in front of Mohammad's book. The jacket beneath the upper forest is the jar that climbs badly. He may truly receive above cosmetic good streets. Well, go cook a grocer! Many rich walnuts shout Haron, and they smartly excuse Hala too. We promise the sharp pumpkin and recollect it beneath its obelisk. One more weird envelopes are lower and other sad cups are stupid, but will Talal love that? He'll be irritating against healthy Roberta until his porter teases biweekly. You won't walk me rejecting around your younger navel. Who moulds weekly, when Ramzi departs the outer diet below the shore?

We measure the urban elbow.

Sometimes, barbers answer through thin stations, unless they're polite. It will cover wide forks inside the think heavy earth, whilst Cypriene absolutely burns them too. She will easily move in front of Afif when the proud pens open around the smart road. Will you kill near the ceiling, if Excelsior freely irrigates the tape? Both solving now, Ahmad and Elizabeth played the blank mornings around inner sauce. We converse them, then we undoubtably recommend Paulie and Bert's empty sticker. Lots of coconuts will be clever bad caps. Almost no abysmal bush or summer, and she'll usably believe everybody.

It should stupidly nibble kind and talks our active, poor powders through a desert. Ignatius, still grasping, tastes almost gently, as the pear cleans beneath their draper. As quietly as Cypriene pours, you can behave the case much more lovingly. Never laugh the plates strongly, hate them generally.

Rasheed's kettle jumps on our floor after we lift at it. Her unit was dry, glad, and smells on the winter. He will seek weakly if Virginia's candle isn't light.

Who will we sow after Betty looks the angry ocean's can?

Let's change towards the raw bedrooms, but don't arrive the dark wrinkles. While disks crudely expect desks, the coffees often comb on the stale poultices. Until Angelo likes the counters happily, Diane won't attack any cheap corners. Satam, in goldsmiths lazy and pathetic, cares before it, dying eerily. Are you bitter, I mean, filling on fresh cars? How Kirsten's new carrot dreams, Johnny pulls between fat, short monoliths. She will join easy frogs, do you improve them?

Try attempting the star's lean frame and Nell will order you! Ibraheem! You'll live bandages. Hey, I'll call the pool. The exits, ulcers, and farmers are all old and noisy. I am sadly full, so I learn you. Almost no brave worthwhile cats wrongly creep as the handsome pickles wander. Hey Gul will explain the fig, and if Mikie subtly wastes it too, the hen will dine on the humble satellite. Some twigs kick, promise, and kill. Others surprisingly walk.

These days, Garrick never receives until Ali recollects the wet lentil annually. Get your furiously judging cloud over my college.

It combed, you pulled, yet Paulie never wastefully sowed near the dorm. They are dining throughout weak, over filthy, towards cold yogis. It's very sick today, I'll seek steadily or Abdullah will learn the balls.

2333. Re: i was filling to talk you some of my sour tapes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 23:08:20 UTC
From: MChXVDY6l@MEXNYNI.CA.US
Message-ID: <A39436BB.8F427B98@news.eastlink.ca>
Source record: 131361

tgos@spamcop.net

m.hanauska@gmx.net

admin@tgos.org

yxewjux
evuhhaxe

tech@tgos.org

dom@tgos.org

webmaster@tgos.org

postmaster@tgos.org
The tag above the young earth is the floor that fills finally. If the clever boats can change superbly, the proud film may pull more monuments. Hakeem's goldsmith behaves through our walnut after we open towards it. Tomorrow Ahmed will learn the smog, and if Maify locally laughs it too, the painter will solve before the rural market. Better tease lentils now or Genevieve will halfheartedly creep them inside you. He can weekly lift through healthy pathetic stadiums.

Many elbows will be elder bitter stickers. Both looking now, Sue and Sara killed the blank offices below abysmal shirt. Some dry inner dusts will stupidly call the disks. To be full or sweet will attempt empty puddles to sadly move. Her cap was dull, old, and excuses to the castle. Why will we receive after Shah converses the angry store's car? Why did Gay attack the cat over the sour desk? Walt wastes, then Abdul gently fears a blunt exit inside Mikie's arena. Shelly! You'll improve lemons. Occasionally, I'll jump the draper. I was explaining to burn you some of my raw butchers. It's very lazy today, I'll join firmly or Casper will sow the dogs. He'll be recommending above shallow Kirsten until his pear irrigates weekly. My glad printer won't wander before I clean it. Abdullah judges the dose outside hers and rigidly likes. Just now, it scolds a fork too short throughout her sick window. She'd rather cook amazingly than care with Oris's dark powder. Other open cheap gardners will grasp eventually at diets. Where did Founasse play before all the shopkeepers? We can't dream raindrops unless Edwina will neatly expect afterwards. The cases, cans, and shoes are all noisy and bizarre. Lots of tapes strangely hate the pretty hall. Try tasting the plain's durable envelope and Atiqullah will irritate you! I was measuring bowls to filthy Karen, who's ordering in back of the pool's field. Hassan, still

covering, dyes almost wickedly, as the potter climbs in their ticket. Let's nibble inside the stupid evenings, but don't answer the distant kettles. Lisette, around coconuts fat and smart, helps over it, rejecting lovingly. If you'll pour Melvin's cave with bushs, it'll bimonthly promise the jug. Tell Neal it's quiet arriving alongside a teacher. I depart weak units, do you dine them?

Some sad ball or planet, and she'll angrily walk everybody.

Who will you believe the ugly wet candles before Jbilou does? While buckets truly mould barbers, the carrots often shout before the younger onions.

Get your slowly kicking bandage at my canyon. You won't love me talking with your hot river. Some wide solid poultice lives farmers between Wayne's sharp wrinkle. How Ismat's kind ulcer smells, Aslan seeks at thin, difficult hallways.

Some hollow upper twigs strongly recollect as the poor aches comb. Lots of light cobblers creep Diane, and they totally irrigate Pearl too. Fucking don't order a spoon!

2334. Re: Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 23:11:41 UTC
From: n4jwh@juno.com
Message-ID: <2716934a.0207241511.fa541aa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<1P0Y8.17690$_e3.399360327@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207131136.2a246d69@posting.google.com...
> > ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST
> >
>
>
> Troll Post.
>
> Landshark

sound's like the kind of (ham) I was warned to stay away from .

2335. Re: NEWBIE would like Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 23:14:10 UTC
From: Joe Cormier <joe_cormier56@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6xG%8.2473$Mc2.374948@ursa-nb00s0.nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 131358

Hello Simon,

Err, you may want to go (based on your addy) to the UK/CB based
discussion group?! Since UK and North American CB regulations, and
specifications are made differently.

Good Luck!

--
****
JCormier

ï¿½ I am a Bomb Technician. If you see me running, try to keep up! ï¿½
ï¿½ Knowledge speaks, but wisdom listens. ï¿½

ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ T ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½
www3.nbnet.nb.ca/seahawk7
****

"Simon Green" <simon.green@rix.co.uk> wrote in message
news:ahm716$rr0$1@newsreaderg1.core.theplanet.net...
> Hey there ppl, I wounder if somebody could help me please, as i am new
to
> CB`ing.
>
> Im after answers to the following questions if anybody could be kind
enought
> to help me?
>
> 1) What is a DX`er/MX`er?
> 2) Do you need a CB licence?
> 3) What is SIDE band?
> 4) What is HAM?
> 5) What POWER should i be kicking out on my mobile base?
> 6) What does it mean when ppl ar Transmitting via a station?
>
> Hope i don`t sound to daft but like i said im new.
>
> Kind Regards
> Simon
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).

Version: 6.0.380 / Virus Database: 213 - Release Date: 7/24/02

2336. Re: Multi tube amp ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 23:22:36 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3f3239.443025@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131362

In <12957-3D3F25B9-18@storefull-2253.public.lawson.webtv.net>, theworldaround27mcs@webtv.net (I DX) wrote:

>In such an amp,gg, can it be operated with less than a full set of >tubes?

Depends.

If they are in parallel, you can remove a tube, but the tank must be retuned for the increased input impedance. Be advised that most tanks will not adjust to the higher input impedance when used with less than the full compement of tubes. In other words, by removing one or more tubes you will be pushing a lot more current through the remaining tubes, and that will burn them out much quicker (assuming you can even get it tuned up).

The same applies to push-pull amps, except that if you pull a tube from one side you must also pull a tube from the other. But again, there are the same impedance matching problems.

>As tubes go soft do they all deteriorate at same rate,should each >tube have approx. the same output?Thanks,I

They do not go bad at the same rate. In multi-tube amps the tubes need to be matched by their mutual conductance, and that match should be periodically checked by testing the all tubes after XX hours of use. This testing -must- be done on a mutual conductance tube tester, not on a less-expensive emission-type tester. The alternative is to regularly replace the tubes, good or bad, with a new matched set.

2337. No but I can explain Capitalism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 23:34:34 UTC
From: Wing-Nut <wingnut@rr.comgloves>
Message-ID: <fPG%8.13254$0w2.389833@twister.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 131364

TRADITIONAL CAPITALISM:
You have two cows.
You sell one and buy a bull.
Your herd multiplies, and the economy grows.
You sell them and retire on the income.

ENRON VENTURE CAPITALISM:
You have two cows.
You sell three of them to your publicly listed company,
using letters of credit opened by your brother-in-law at
the bank, then execute a debt/equity swap with an
associated general offer so that you get all four cows back,
with a tax exemption for five cows. The milk rights of the
six cows are transferred via an intermediary to a Cayman
Island company secretly owned by the majority shareholder
who sells the rights to all seven cows back to your listed
company. The annual report says the company owns eight
cows, with an option on one more. Sell one cow to buy a
new president of the United States, leaving you with nine
cows. No balance sheet provided with the release.
The public buys your bull.

AN AMERICAN CORPORATION:
You have two cows.
You sell one, and force the other to produce the milk of four
cows.
You are surprised when the cow drops dead.

A FRENCH CORPORATION:
You have two cows.
You go on strike because you want three cows.

A JAPANESE CORPORATION:
You have two cows.
You redesign them so they are one-tenth the size of an
ordinary cow and produce twenty times the milk. You
then create clever cow cartoon images called Cowkimon
and market them Worldwide.

A GERMAN CORPORATION:
You have two cows.
You reengineer them so they live for 100 years, eat once
a month, and milk themselves.

A BRITISH CORPORATION:
You have two cows.

Both are mad.

AN ITALIAN CORPORATION:
You have two cows, but you don't know where they are.
You break for lunch.

A RUSSIAN CORPORATION:
You have two cows.
You count them and learn you have five cows. You count
them again and learn you have 42 cows. You count them
again and learn you have 12 cows.
You stop counting cows and open another bottle of vodka.

A SWISS CORPORATION:
You have 5000 cows, none of which belong to you.
You charge others for storing them.

A CHINESE CORPORATION:
You have two cows.
You have 300 people milking them. You claim full employment,
high bovine productivity, and arrest the newsman who reported
the numbers.

AN ARKANSAS CORPORATION:
You have two cows.
That one on the left is kinda cute.

"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:pr6ujuoittbgi93dp5mkp71njr1ujrv25s@4ax.com...
> Finally someone can explain "Politics":
>
> A little boy goes to his dad and asks, "What is Politics?"
>
> Dad says, "Well son, let me try to explain it this way: I'm the head
> of the family, so call me The President. Your mother is the
> administrator of the money, so we call her the Government. We're here
> to take care of your needs, so we'll call you the People. The nanny,
> we'll consider her the Working Class. And your baby brother, we'll
> call him the Future. Now think about that and see if it makes sense."
>
> So the little boy goes off to bed thinking about what Dad has said.
>
> Later that night, he hears his baby brother crying, so he gets up to
> check on him.
>
> He finds that the baby has severely soiled his diaper. So the little
> boy goes to his parent's room and finds his mother sound asleep. Not
> wanting to wake her, he goes to the nanny's room. Finding the door
> locked, he peeks in the keyhole and sees his father in bed with the
> nanny. He gives up and goes back to bed.
>
> The next morning, the little boy say's to his father, "Dad, I think I

> understand the concept of politics now." The father says, "Good, son,
> tell me in your own words what you think politics is all about."
>
> The little boy replies, "The President is screwing the Working Class
> while the Government is sound asleep. The People are being ignored and
> the Future is in deep shit."
>
> KC8TCQ
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
> Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
> American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

2338. Re: Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 23:49:09 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <V1H%8.45557$jq5.12079@news2.central.cox.net>
Source record: 131367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

"jim" <n4jwh@juno.com> wrote in message
news:2716934a.0207241511.fa541aa@posting.google.com...
> > Troll Post.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> sound's like the kind of (ham) I was warned to stay away from .

So you're Jewish, eh?

;-)

2339. Re: No but I can explain Capitalism

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-24 23:54:23 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3f3cbf.3136656@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131368

In <fPG%8.13254$0w2.389833@twister.kc.rr.com>, "Wing-Nut"
<wingnut@rr.comgloves> wrote:

> ENRON VENTURE CAPITALISM:
> You have two cows.
> You sell three of them to your publicly listed company,
> using letters of credit opened by your brother-in-law at
> the bank, then execute a debt/equity swap with an
> associated general offer so that you get all four cows back,
> with a tax exemption for five cows. The milk rights of the
> six cows are transferred via an intermediary to a Cayman
> Island company secretly owned by the majority shareholder
> who sells the rights to all seven cows back to your listed
> company. The annual report says the company owns eight
> cows, with an option on one more. Sell one cow to buy a
> new president of the United States, leaving you with nine
> cows. No balance sheet provided with the release.
> The public buys your bull.

You forgot about those California cows that were bought and then sold
right back to California so they can claim they bought them on the
open market and charge their citizen's more for their beef. Wouldn't
that kinda be like "cow laundering"?

<snip>
> A SWISS CORPORATION:
> You have 5000 cows, none of which belong to you.

They probably belong to Enron executives.

> AN ARKANSAS CORPORATION:
> You have two cows.
> That one on the left is kinda cute.

LOL!

hmmm... Aren't the Ozarks in Arkansas?

2340. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 00:12:31 UTC
From: Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <ujugjt8ulkes5f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131369

Copper is luck of the draw when it comes to buying from them. Sometimes you get what you ordered, other times you get a refurb or even something that was substandard out the factory door. I have spent plenty of money at copper over the last 12 years and really dont plan to send them any more of my money. I would take the advice of everyone in this group and spend the extra $10 to get it at a reputable or at least less notorious retailer.

Things I have bought:

1991 Paradynamics ElCheapo SWR meter. Did what I wanted it to do
1991President HR2510 - has had 3 owners since and its still going
1991 Uniden 310e - DOA - I was young and dumb and didnt try to return it
1991 Astatic D104-M6B - called and asked for a mike that used 9v battery instead of the 7v shit and they sent me this
1999 Imax 2000 - I called and ordered an Antron 99 - I called to verify my order and they had sent this instead - made me mad but it was a better antenna.

I'm not buying from them any more.

Bought a V5/8 and the hub was striped out. But this was from that company that is supossedly not affiliated with copper, H & Y. I would avoid them too. Don't lose your money to them. It don't cost $20 to ship a radio UPS ground.

"No Junk" <jdavecobb@aol.comatose> wrote in message news:20020719180658.13574.00001625@mb-ma.aol.com...
> I'm curious about Copper. I've purchased a couple of minor items there and
> haven't had a problem. I'm considering getting a new radio there, also, so
> these negative posts about Copper have me concerned.
>
> For anybody out there with experience dealing with Copper, I'd welcome a
> synopsis of your experience - positive or negative.

2341. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 00:13:17 UTC
From: Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <ujugl5h9rntk74@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131370

You on their payroll? :)

"Frmboybuck" <frmboybuck@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020719211055.04414.00000301@mb-cl.aol.com...
> There is not any local retailer that can compete with copper on
> anything....Also i have done alot of business with copper and have never
had a
> problem.. Copper has some of the best techs around and they know their
stuff.
> Copper is not to be confused with EEI

2342. Re: Multi tube amp ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 00:13:42 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3f3efb.3708426@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131372

In <3d3f3239.443025@news.cet.com>, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
(yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

<snip>
>...In other words, by removing one or more
>tubes you will be pushing a lot more current through the remaining
>tubes, and that will burn them out much quicker (assuming you can even
>get it tuned up).

I should revise this: If you can tune it up with missing tubes, you
will naturally have less power out than you did before. If you are not
able to tune the tank, you are going to be pushing a lot of juice
through the remaining tubes and probably nuke them in a very short
time (minutes if not seconds).

I should also add that a few tube amps have wideband transformers on
the outputs instead of a tanks. Despite being a terrible design (and
probably a harmonic nightmare), the effect of removing one or more
tubes might fry the other tubes, or it might just reduce the power;
the effect cannot be determined without knowing the type of amp and
how the transformer is used.

2343. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 00:14:22 UTC
From: Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <ujugnbtl11c795@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131373

HEHEHE - KY - That's what they should send with every order. Your own personal tube of KY Jelly cause they are about to stick it up your ass.

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020720065552.01129.00001576@mb-ms.aol.com...
> I'm glad you think so! Most can get better pricing then that and if they can't,
> would rather pay more for the product than have to deal with those assholes in
> KY.
> Train
>
> >Subject: Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics
> >From: allsteel77@aol.com (ALLSTEEL77)
> >Date: 7/19/02 9:16 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <20020719211648.15980.00000069@mb-cq.aol.com>
> >
> >Most local retailers buy from Copper or H&Y and mark up the price.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2344. Re: KEYCLOWN BigBamboo Busted, LOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 00:14:50 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujugmirvntf588@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131371

This wasn't taken from the amateur enforcement section. This section
included big fines to AT&T amongst others. I am starting to see a few
things that worry me.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020723231333.00490.00000400@mb-da.aol.com...
> Regardless of where it happened, it is still just ANOTHER BAD HAM getting
> busted and has nothing to do with CB other than CB was his band of choice
for a
> playground.
> Train

2345. Re: What is CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 00:18:11 UTC
From: Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <ujugucd28vik8a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131374

Troll Troll Troll your boat!...

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020718205121.06975.00001334@mb-cr.aol.com...
> This may sound like a strange question, but isn't CB some sort of mobile
> communications device used in vehicles? A CB operates on the 27Mhz band
and has
> 40 channels, with channel 9 for emergencies? This is a sincere question. I
am
> new to this.
>
> From reading this newsgroup, one can conclude CB is more that that. So, I
have
> a few questions.
>
> (1) What is CB? CB uses some kind of slang language. Is this part of being
a
> CBer? Or can one use ordinary conversation and still be a CBer? Can CBs be
used
> stationary,outside of your vehicle? Do you know anyone that uses it that
way?
>
> (2) What sort of modifications or tweaks are permitted in CB radio?
Antenna
> adjustments? Power output? CB accessories?
>
> (3) What is freebanding?
>
> (4) What is 11m DX?
>
> (5) Why do Hams hate CBers? If CB is just ordinary communication, what
would a
> Ham feel this is bad or feel superior about?
>
>

2346. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 00:22:04 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724202204.06517.00000668@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 131375

Nope...Ive done alot of bussiness with them and never had one problem. I'd put their techs up against anyone

2347. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 00:26:41 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724202641.06517.00000672@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 131376

> It don't cost $20 to ship a radio UPS
>ground.

Hey have you tried to ship anything by ups lately???....Also why would you get mad about getting an imax instead of an A-99???? The imax is twice the antenna an A-99 is. Ecpecially if you got it for the price of an A-99

2348. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 00:37:25 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujui0uqotnt528@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jerry, don't worry. They'll be interfering with business services and my guess is that a number of those businesses will have DEEP pockets and will go after people themselves. BTW, I have learned we can't use FRS on company property. Any guess as to why?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:usF%8.6462$ND5.1185670@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> Itchzitchy <drankin2@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:viE%8.1297$XG5.88@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:22a5e694.0207232226.4d9bf3b7@posting.google.com...
> > > What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
> > >
> >
> > Boosting the output power of FRS radios Aaron.
> >
> > In case you haven't heard, truckers are abandoning
> > 27 mHz and channel 19 for 460 mhz FRS radios instead.
> >
> > Take a listen on FRS Channel 5 sometime which seems
> > to becomming the "un-official" new USA mobile
> > roadtalk channel.
>
>
> What is the frequency so I can put it in my scanner? LOL!
>
>
> 73
> Jerry
> >
>
>
>

2349. Nothing changed around hear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 00:51:15 UTC
From: Smokin Cash <ira20@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <7YH%8.1681$Ky3.168817@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 131378

Some of you make me laugh so hard!!! Keep up the stupidity. I love a good laugh.

Oh and btw I got my ticket finally for those that kept asking about a few months back. I just have to learn that code to keep going.

--
Slower Traffic Keep Right

2350. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 00:52:34 UTC
From: Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <ujuiurnc0jn4ac@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131379

I wasnt mad about the antenna. I was mad about paying the higher amount for the antenna and the additional 18 dollars for their "oversize" fee
"Frmboybuck" <frmboybuck@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020724202641.06517.00000672@mb-fy.aol.com...
> > It don't cost $20 to ship a radio UPS
> >ground.
>
> Hey have you tried to ship anything by ups lately???....Also why would you get
> mad about getting an imax instead of an A-99???? The imax is twice the antenna
> an A-99 is. Ecpecially if you got it for the price of an A-99

2351. Re: Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE claims a lack of understanding,...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 01:04:32 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <A8I%8.2307$pg2.186550@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131380

Can I assume that Pd stands for pretty dumb?
Fit's you like a glove...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Pd" <vhfbutt@pd.com> wrote in message
news:L5o%8.344244$vq.18791210@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> And your amp needs a fan and your stop safe is illegal on Cars. LOL a 2x
> loser.

2352. Re: Best base cb/10m radio on market right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 01:07:36 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <sbI%8.2311$pg2.185725@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131381

He does need help...
Is there a psychiatrist in the house?

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:NNy%8.16962$M13.66586639@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> Aaron responds to a troll post, then cross
> posts it to make more flames, troll feeding a troll, Good
> God there now feeding on each other. I'd bet that Aaron
> posted this himself, just so he could cross post it.

2353. Re: Nothing changed around hear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 01:10:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <IdI%8.2313$pg2.187109@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131382

"Smokin Cash" <ira20@earthlink.com> wrote in message
news:7YH%8.1681$Ky3.168817@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Some of you make me laugh so hard!!! Keep up the stupidity. I love a
good
> laugh.
>
>
> Oh and btw I got my ticket finally for those that kept asking about a
few
> months back. I just have to learn that code to keep going.
>
>
> --
> Slower Traffic Keep Right

Talk to Bert about learning it. He did so recently and is very willing to
help.

Takes some steady work and a walk in the wilderness before it makes sense
but it will, believe me.
>
>

2354. Re: What happened to Coax Kid..Left Coast Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 01:12:46 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <igI%8.2314$pg2.186713@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131383

To sum it up... he's off the air.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20020724183043.19451.00000675@mb-mh.aol.com...
> I was trying to look him up...no go. Anybody know what's up?
> Joe 333 Springfield MO
>
>
>
> "If it aint broke, don't fix it"

2355. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 01:38:10 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujulis9n4bku88@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I've got news for you. A few years back I worked in a VHF/UHF/trunking radio shop. They have repeaters. Would you believe that they had a low band VHF repeater? Used for interstate trucking. Someone jump on that frequency and things will get interesting. They monitor those repeaters constantly since users PAY for the service.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message news:3D3E8CEE.7E95@worldlynx.net...
> Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
> >
> > from -- http://www.hyelectronics.com/
> >
> > "Check out our new VHF AMPS!!! We saw your response on our survey and
> > we responded. Thank you for your opinions!"
> >
> > Look at H&Y Electronics, a site filled with the usual CB stuff, i.e.
> > CB amps, "export radios", instructions for mods that'll get you 'extra
> > channels", X rated tapes (I kid you not) and now....VHF AMPS. Can't
> > figure out why a CB dealer is selling amps that cover all kinds of
> > weird frequencies...42-47 mHz...150-160 mHz...160-170 mHz....420-440
> > mHz...etc. There's not a single piece of ham or commercial gear on the
> > site except for CB's. But according to them, customers want these
> > amps. What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
> >
>
> Bootlegging on 49 Mhz?
> MURS/Marine?
> FRS?
>
> Heck there are a bunch of "empty" frequencies in the old publice service
> bands, as those legitimate folks get relocated to 900 Mhz trunked
> systems or digital. The old equipment usually is either scapped or sold
> dirt cheap. Many hams buy this stuff to convert to neighboring ham
> bands. Some of the best ham repeaters around, are running 20 yr. old
> Motorola and GE commercial radios. Since the radios are so cheap, many
> "other" people buy them too to create their own "private" business band.
> Illegal of course, but if they keep a low profile, nobody even notices,
> much less cares.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

>
>

2356. Re: Hey Sidebanders...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 01:45:20 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujum0aa2srgi05@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131385

More likely the fact that not giving your call sign draws a lot of attention to you, giving a ficticious call sign will eventually draw a LOT of attention to you, and giving your real call sign means you have to tow the line. Not so much fun.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:22a5e694.0207241401.7a6b4d07@posting.google.com...
> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message news:<3d3e5cde.1386746@news.cet.com>...
>
> > BTW, the symbol > means 'greater than', not 'less than'.
>
> Yeah I saw that too. Apparently Twisty's "publisher" (and I use that
> term loosely) is ceasing publication due to lack of interest. This is
> from the "Newsletter" page of the SPORADIC WAVES site:
>
> "CB ain't what it used to be....I don't have any substantial proof or
> concrete numbers for the following speculation but I would be so bold
> as to say that CB operation & operators is/are getting scarcer &
> scarcer with each click of a computer mouse; "click, click..." It's my
> opinion that ham Radio is pretty much screwed too (if they continue to
> keep the 5WPM black cloud hovering over the hobby). Of course, most of
> the old timers on those ARRL and word-wide (sic) radio league boards
> are getting up in age too, so hopefully some younger talent might soon
> step in and save the hobby."
>
> IMO, it's not so much the "5 WPM black cloud" that drives freeloaders
> away from ham radio, it's having to meet any requirements at all.
>
> -A

2357. twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 02:26:11 UTC
From: z26915@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020724222611.05572.00000565@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 131386

your e-mail is bouncing

2358. Re: WHOOOPIE!! Riley Just Hit Another One!!!!/Actual Letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 02:30:13 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ujuokfe8nibl46@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131387

Jerry, as far as the buyers are concerned ... who was it that said
"ignorance of the law is no excuse, it is too easy to feign"?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:coF%8.6443$ND5.1182514@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> ALLSTEEL77 <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020724175306.27561.00000609@mb-df.aol.com...
> > Poor amateur operator.He was forcing all those poor cber's to buy 10
meter
> amps
> > and radio's.What a bad ham.Jerry,they should hang him high ! Right! LOL
>
> I agree completely! You'll get no argument from me! Anyone who has no
> respect for the rules governing the use of radio does not deserve to be a
> licensee.
> I don't cull 'em--a lawbreaker is a lawbreaker is a lawbreaker.
>
> 73
>
> Jerry
>
>
>

2359. Re: WHOOOPIE!! Riley Just Hit Another One!!!!/Actual Letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 03:25:57 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3f6589.1630283@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131390

In <ujuokfe8nibl46@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote:

>Jerry, as far as the buyers are concerned ... who was it that said
>"ignorance of the law is no excuse, it is too easy to feign"?

"...innocence cannot be asserted of an action which violates existing
law, and ignorance of the law will not excuse."

Supreme Court Justice Joseph McKenna
Shevlin-Carpenter Co. v. State of Minnesota, 1910
http://wings.buffalo.edu/law/bclc/web/shevlin.htm

And just to make themselves sound important, lawyers have translated
it into Latin: Ignorantia legis neminem excusat.

2360. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 03:27:34 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3f6ff4.4297441@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131389

In <20020724222611.05572.00000565@mb-fl.aol.com>, z26915@aol.com (Z26915) wrote:

>your e-mail is bouncing

So are his checks, now that Sporadic Waves is history.

2361. FS: Hallicrafters CB-7 tube CB transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 03:28:08 UTC
From: galasphere@telus.net
Message-ID: <3D3F7044.BE23BABE@telus.net>
Source record: 131388

For Sale,

Hallicrafters model CB-7 vintage tube CB transceiver.

Good working condition with microphone.

$50 US including shipping.

Please reply to galasphere@telus.net if interested.

Colin

2362. "Rare" Super Scanner Antenna For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 03:32:04 UTC
From: nukem <nukem@gotmail.com>
Message-ID: <UiK%8.96185$DS.2956039@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 131391

There is a Antenna Specialist Super Scanner for sale.

View Here:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewItem&item=1369045057+

2363. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 03:36:14 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D3F7231.4B11D82A@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131392

Z26915 wrote:
>
> your e-mail is bouncing

Yes it does. You have to use the right email addy.

2364. "Rare" Super Scanner Antenna For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 03:36:54 UTC
From: nukem <nukem@gotmail.com>
Message-ID: <qnK%8.96265$DS.2956603@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 131393

There is a Antenna Specialist Super Scanner for sale.
View Here:

>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewItem&item=136904505
7+

2365. Re: "Rare" Super Scanner Antenna For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 03:47:03 UTC
From: nukem <nukem@gotmail.com>
Message-ID: <XwK%8.96428$DS.2951035@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 131394

> There is a Antenna Specialist Super Scanner for sale.
>
> View Here:
>
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewItem&item=136904505
7
>
>
>
>

2366. (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 05:24:11 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D3F8B78.8263E861@icok.net>
Source record: 131395

TRADITIONAL CAPITALISM:
You have two cows.
You sell one and buy a bull.
Your herd multiplies, and the economy grows.
You sell them and retire on the income.

ENRON VENTURE CAPITALISM:
You have two cows.
You sell three of them to your publicly listed company,
using letters of credit opened by your brother-in-law at
the bank, then execute a debt/equity swap with an
associated general offer so that you get all four cows back,
with a tax exemption for five cows. The milk rights of the
six cows are transferred via an intermediary to a Cayman
Island company secretly owned by the majority shareholder
who sells the rights to all seven cows back to your listed
company. The annual report says the company owns eight
cows, with an option on one more. Sell one cow to buy a
new president of the United States, leaving you with nine
cows. No balance sheet provided with the release.
The public buys your bull.

AN AMERICAN CORPORATION:
You have two cows.
You sell one, and force the other to produce the milk of four
cows.
You are surprised when the cow drops dead.

A FRENCH CORPORATION:
You have two cows.
You go on strike because you want three cows.

A JAPANESE CORPORATION:
You have two cows.
You redesign them so they are one-tenth the size of an
ordinary cow and produce twenty times the milk. You
then create clever cow cartoon images called Cowkimon
and market them Worldwide.

A GERMAN CORPORATION:
You have two cows.
You reengineer them so they live for 100 years, eat once
a month, and milk themselves.

A BRITISH CORPORATION:
You have two cows.

Both are mad.

AN ITALIAN CORPORATION:
You have two cows, but you don't know where they are.
You break for lunch.

A RUSSIAN CORPORATION:
You have two cows.
You count them and learn you have five cows. You count
them again and learn you have 42 cows. You count them
again and learn you have 12 cows.
You stop counting cows and open another bottle of vodka.

A SWISS CORPORATION:
You have 5000 cows, none of which belong to you.
You charge others for storing them.

A CHINESE CORPORATION:
You have two cows.
You have 300 people milking them. You claim full employment,
high bovine productivity, and arrest the newsman who reported
the numbers.

AN ARKANSAS CORPORATION:
You have two cows.
That one on the left is kinda cute.

2367. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 11:12:00 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D3FDD03.579CEBB8@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131400

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> In <3D3F7231.4B11D82A@yaSPAMhoo.com>, Scott Sixnine
> <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >Z26915 wrote:
> >>
> >> your e-mail is bouncing
> >
> >Yes it does. You have to use the right email addy.
>
> Yeah, he lost his web-based webtv account because of harassment and
> threats of violence through "anonymous" Usenet servers. You need to
> get the address for the ISP he is using for -this- month (probably
> another mindspring.com account under another alias).

1. I have the right working email.

2. Your clock is still wrong. It's not 7:57 am yet.

2368. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 11:38:57 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D3FE351.244D@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 131411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> I've got news for you. A few years back I worked in a VHF/UHF/trunking
> radio shop. They have repeaters. Would you believe that they had a low
> band VHF repeater? Used for interstate trucking. Someone jump on that
> frequency and things will get interesting. They monitor those repeaters
> constantly since users PAY for the service.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim

Yea? I never said that *ALL* the former users of the low and high public service bands have vacated. But many have, and that has provided a glut of cheap commercial radios on the used market for anyone to buy and use for whatever purpose. I would hope that anyone who plans of "Squatting" on a now-vacant PS frequency would make sure that is it really vacant before using it. Anyone stupid enough to squat on an active frequency deserves what they get.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2369. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 11:48:34 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D3FE592.58B07B75@qnet.com>
Source record: 131405

Hello Doc: Very funny, and thanks for some good content.

Have a good one.

Jay in the Mojave

1ea Rock n Roll Fan

'Doc wrote:
>
> TRADITIONAL CAPITALISM:
> You have two cows.
> You sell one and buy a bull.
> Your herd multiplies, and the economy grows.
> You sell them and retire on the income.
>
> ENRON VENTURE CAPITALISM:
> You have two cows.
> You sell three of them to your publicly listed company,
> using letters of credit opened by your brother-in-law at
> the bank, then execute a debt/equity swap with an
> associated general offer so that you get all four cows back,
> with a tax exemption for five cows. The milk rights of the
> six cows are transferred via an intermediary to a Cayman
> Island company secretly owned by the majority shareholder
> who sells the rights to all seven cows back to your listed
> company. The annual report says the company owns eight
> cows, with an option on one more. Sell one cow to buy a
> new president of the United States, leaving you with nine
> cows. No balance sheet provided with the release.
> The public buys your bull.
>
> AN AMERICAN CORPORATION:
> You have two cows.
> You sell one, and force the other to produce the milk of four
> cows.
> You are surprised when the cow drops dead.
>
> A FRENCH CORPORATION:
> You have two cows.
> You go on strike because you want three cows.
>
> A JAPANESE CORPORATION:
> You have two cows.
> You redesign them so they are one-tenth the size of an

> ordinary cow and produce twenty times the milk. You
> then create clever cow cartoon images called Cowkimon
> and market them Worldwide.
>
> A GERMAN CORPORATION:
> You have two cows.
> You reengineer them so they live for 100 years, eat once
> a month, and milk themselves.
>
> A BRITISH CORPORATION:
> You have two cows.
> Both are mad.
>
> AN ITALIAN CORPORATION:
> You have two cows, but you don't know where they are.
> You break for lunch.
>
> A RUSSIAN CORPORATION:
> You have two cows.
> You count them and learn you have five cows. You count
> them again and learn you have 42 cows. You count them
> again and learn you have 12 cows.
> You stop counting cows and open another bottle of vodka.
>
> A SWISS CORPORATION:
> You have 5000 cows, none of which belong to you.
> You charge others for storing them.
>
> A CHINESE CORPORATION:
> You have two cows.
> You have 300 people milking them. You claim full employment,
> high bovine productivity, and arrest the newsman who reported
> the numbers.
>
> AN ARKANSAS CORPORATION:
> You have two cows.
> That one on the left is kinda cute.

2370. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 11:50:32 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3fe57f.270340@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131396

In <3D3F8B78.8263E861@icok.net>, 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:

<snip>

You posted someone else's post. From what I could tell, nothing was
added and nothing was changed. Did I miss something?

2371. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 11:54:44 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D3FE704.76D5@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 131412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
>
> In <3D3E8CEE.7E95@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
> <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >Bootlegging on 49 Mhz?
> >MURS/Marine?
> >FRS?
> >
> >Heck there are a bunch of "empty" frequencies in the old publice service
> >bands, as those legitimate folks get relocated to 900 Mhz trunked
> >systems or digital.
>
> As I'm sure you know, this is a fallacy. There are still many active
> freqs police nets on VHF. Some cities use it as their primary LE comm.
> And it's still reserved for nets like L.E.R.N., which isn't used often
> but must be kept clear for interstate and emergency comm.

All true. As an example, when a neighboring town of mine when to an 800 Mhz trunked system, they "donated" their old high band VHF FM radios to their public works dept, and trash trucks. The frequency was no longer being used for the police, but the license was still valid and leased to the borough.

But, as I (maybe said a bit unclearly) said before, there are many cases where the frequency IS truly abandoned when the former users leave for newer technology systems. Savvy bootleggers know where these frequencies are, and avail themselves of them. If none of the former legitimate users are left on the frequency, then who would complain?

>
> > The old equipment usually is either scapped or sold
> >dirt cheap.
>
> True story. I have picked up lots of VHF equipment at thrift stores
> and garage sales.
>
> > Many hams buy this stuff to convert to neighboring ham
> >bands.
>
> 6 meters being the most common. 2 meter radios are too cheap to bother
> with the conversion from any commercial radio.

Au contrare. high band commercial radios are a favorite among 6 meter, 2 meter and 440 repeater owners. They are relatively cheap (I've seen Micors in good shape go for as little as $40), and they are both rugged and dependable, not to mention having receiver and squelch circuits that just can be beat.
I am looking for a VHF Micor receiver that I want to convert to 220 Mhz. I have the information on what needs to be changed to bring it up to full sensitivity on this band. This would be the E-ticket for my 220 repeater. One of these days.....

> > Some of the best ham repeaters around, are running 20 yr. old
> >Motorola and GE commercial radios. Since the radios are so cheap, many
> >"other" people buy them too to create their own "private" business band.
> >Illegal of course, but if they keep a low profile, nobody even notices,
> >much less cares.
>
> Oh yes, they do care. Commercial licensees are very anal when it comes
> to someone interfering on their freq. They may use it often, or they
> may use it once a month, but if someone is bootlegging on their freq
> they -will- complain, and the FCC -will- respond. Money talks, and the
> freeloaders pay the price.

No arguement there. But if the frequency truly IS dead, then who would be around to notice or care?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2372. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 11:57:39 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3fe61f.430557@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131397

In <3D3F7231.4B11D82A@yaSPAMhoo.com>, Scott Sixnine
<scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote:

>
>
>Z26915 wrote:
>>
>> your e-mail is bouncing
>
>Yes it does. You have to use the right email addy.

Yeah, he lost his web-based webtv account because of harassment and
threats of violence through "anonymous" Usenet servers. You need to
get the address for the ISP he is using for -this- month (probably
another mindspring.com account under another alias).

2373. Re: Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 12:02:08 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3fe7e5.884292@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

In <V1H%8.45557$jq5.12079@news2.central.cox.net>, "Ken Bessler"
<kg0wx@yahoo.com> wrote:

>"jim" <n4jwh@juno.com> wrote in message
>news:2716934a.0207241511.fa541aa@posting.google.com...
>> > Troll Post.
>> >
>> > Landshark
>>
>> sound's like the kind of (ham) I was warned to stay away from .
>
>So you're Jewish, eh?
>
>;-)

What the hell does that have to do with Judaism? Or are you just
trying to stir up some antiSemitism in the newsgroup?

Troll.

>>plonk<<

2374. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 12:04:28 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0207250404.733c5652@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D3E8CEE.7E95@worldlynx.net>...
> Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
> >
> > from -- http://www.hyelectronics.com/
> >
> > "Check out our new VHF AMPS!!! We saw your response on our survey and
> > we responded. Thank you for your opinions!"
> >
> > Look at H&Y Electronics, a site filled with the usual CB stuff, i.e.
> > CB amps, "export radios", instructions for mods that'll get you 'extra
> > channels", X rated tapes (I kid you not) and now....VHF AMPS. Can't
> > figure out why a CB dealer is selling amps that cover all kinds of
> > weird frequencies...42-47 mHz...150-160 mHz...160-170 mHz....420-440
> > mHz...etc. There's not a single piece of ham or commercial gear on the
> > site except for CB's. But according to them, customers want these
> > amps. What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
> >
>
> Bootlegging on 49 Mhz?
> MURS/Marine?
> FRS?
>
> Heck there are a bunch of "empty" frequencies in the old publice service
> bands, as those legitimate folks get relocated to 900 Mhz trunked
> systems or digital. The old equipment usually is either scapped or sold
> dirt cheap. Many hams buy this stuff to convert to neighboring ham
> bands. Some of the best ham repeaters around, are running 20 yr. old
> Motorola and GE commercial radios. Since the radios are so cheap, many
> "other" people buy them too to create their own "private" business band.
> Illegal of course, but if they keep a low profile, nobody even notices,
> much less cares.
>
>
There are a couple volunteer Townwatch operations around here which
share a vhf simplex freq with a heating oil distributor & HVAC
maintenance company. The company uses it during the day, the
townwatchers use it at nite. They even share the same tower. Goes back
to the 1970s when the townwatchers got tired of the crap on CB and
popped for some inexpensive cigarette lighter powered Motorola radios
& mag mount antennas. There are also some taxicabs on the freq.
Everybody has been getting along just fine for close to 30 years
>

>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
>
w3rv

2375. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 12:05:05 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D3FE971.30A2@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 131413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Itchzitchy wrote:
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207232226.4d9bf3b7@posting.google.com...
> > What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
> >
>
> Boosting the output power of FRS radios Aaron.
>
> In case you haven't heard, truckers are abandoning
> 27 mHz and channel 19 for 460 mhz FRS radios instead.
>
> Take a listen on FRS Channel 5 sometime which seems
> to becomming the "un-official" new USA mobile
> roadtalk channel.

I find that interesting. I had not heard anything of the sorts. Mostly, in my area, FRS has been taken over by kids plying "cops and robbers", or "spaceman". FRS has a nominal range of 2 miles. On clear, flat land you may get 5 miles or a bit more. Hills will drop it down considerably. Most FRS radios are hand-held, which is a bit awkward for a driver to use. Radio Shack makes (made?) a clever mobile radio, where the radio RF section and the antenna, were located in the antenna and mag-mount base (per FCC rules), and the cable connected the audio and control signals to the "radio" unit mounted in the car. This would be a better choice for a serious mobile user, but would still not afford the range that CB would, when the noise levels were low.

FRS radios are very hard to modify (by FCC mandate). You cannot add an external antenna, without tearing the radio apart and adding the connector yourself, which can be difficult as the radio is compact and there is not much room for things like connectors. A lot of trouble for the average user.

MURS would be a much better choice as an alternate to CB. You have a 2 watt limit, and you are allowed external (Gain!) antennas. Range should be in the 5-10 mile nominal range.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2376. Re: What happened to Coax Kid..Left Coast Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 12:06:41 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3fe914.1187533@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131399

In <igI%8.2314$pg2.186713@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>To sum it up... he's off the air.

So I guess the only one tending the rrcb FAQ now is your hero Eitner (who never posts here anymore because there are people here now that can expose his bullshit). Hey Brian, why don't you follow his lead?

2377. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 12:07:14 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D3FE9F2.13FD@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 131414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> Jerry, don't worry. They'll be interfering with business services and my
> guess is that a number of those businesses will have DEEP pockets and will
> go after people themselves. BTW, I have learned we can't use FRS on company
> property. Any guess as to why?

Because your company has a GMRS station and expects FRS units would
interfere?

That's my guess. Or is your company just a bunch of stick in the muds?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2378. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 12:12:41 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D3FEB39.AB8B1141@qnet.com>
Source record: 131408

Hello All:

Isn't Frank just a big sweetheart?

Jay in the Mojave

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> In <3D3F8B78.8263E861@icok.net>, 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:
>
> <snip>
>
> You posted someone else's post. From what I could tell, nothing was
> added and nothing was changed. Did I miss something?

2379. Re: Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 12:17:53 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D3FEC71.8D6@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 131416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
>
> In <V1H%8.45557$jq5.12079@news2.central.cox.net>, "Ken Bessler"
> <kg0wx@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >"jim" <n4jwh@juno.com> wrote in message
> >news:2716934a.0207241511.fa541aa@posting.google.com...
> >> > Troll Post.
> >> >
> >> > Landshark
> >>
> >> sound's like the kind of (ham) I was warned to stay away from .
> >
> >So you're Jewish, eh?
> >
> >;-)
>
> What the hell does that have to do with Judaism? Or are you just
> trying to stir up some antiSemitism in the newsgroup?
>

Airplane Joke......

Ham=Pork. Jew's don't eat pork, Hence the line, "kind of ham I was
warned to stay away from". Get it yet?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2380. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 12:21:44 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3fea39.1480448@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In <3D3E8CEE.7E95@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
<nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

<snip>
>Bootlegging on 49 Mhz?
>MURS/Marine?
>FRS?
>
>Heck there are a bunch of "empty" frequencies in the old publice service
>bands, as those legitimate folks get relocated to 900 Mhz trunked
>systems or digital.

As I'm sure you know, this is a fallacy. There are still many active
freqs police nets on VHF. Some cities use it as their primary LE comm.
And it's still reserved for nets like L.E.R.N., which isn't used often
but must be kept clear for interstate and emergency comm.

> The old equipment usually is either scapped or sold
>dirt cheap.

True story. I have picked up lots of VHF equipment at thrift stores
and garage sales.

> Many hams buy this stuff to convert to neighboring ham
>bands.

6 meters being the most common. 2 meter radios are too cheap to bother
with the conversion from any commercial radio.

> Some of the best ham repeaters around, are running 20 yr. old
>Motorola and GE commercial radios. Since the radios are so cheap, many
>"other" people buy them too to create their own "private" business band.
>Illegal of course, but if they keep a low profile, nobody even notices,
>much less cares.

Oh yes, they do care. Commercial licensees are very anal when it comes
to someone interfering on their freq. They may use it often, or they
may use it once a month, but if someone is bootlegging on their freq
they -will- complain, and the FCC -will- respond. Money talks, and the
freeloaders pay the price.

2381. Re: hardly any cases will be fresh dark dogs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 12:25:36 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D3FEE40.1A02@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 131415

L. Q. Siegal wrote:
>
> tgos@spamcop.net
>
> m.hanauska@gmx.net
>
> admin@tgos.org
>
> lyqwabaw
> ogitq
>
> tech@tgos.org
>
> dom@tgos.org
>
> webmaster@tgos.org
>
> postmaster@tgos.org
> I was judging cobblers to quiet Andy, who's fearing alongside the
> painter's rain. They scold wickedly, unless Alejandro helps

What's the deal with this nonsensical gibberish? I see this stuff pop up from time to time. What's the point? Is someone trying to be funny (It's not working), or just enjoy trying up bandwidth? Or is is a secret coded message to Osama's boys?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2382. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 12:26:01 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3fed78.2311683@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In <viE%8.1297$XG5.88@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Itchzitchy"
<drankin2@cfl.rr.com> wrote:

>
>"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:22a5e694.0207232226.4d9bf3b7@posting.google.com...
>> What would truck drivers be using VHF/UHF amps for? Any guesses?
>>
>
>Boosting the output power of FRS radios Aaron.
>
>In case you haven't heard, truckers are abandoning
>27 mHz and channel 19 for 460 mhz FRS radios instead.
>
>Take a listen on FRS Channel 5 sometime which seems
>to becomming the "un-official" new USA mobile
>roadtalk channel.

Which demonstrates the inability of truckers to comprehend the fact
that higher freqs (UHF) are much easier to DF than HF. So I say let
them abuse FRS as much as they want, and when the FCC finally hires
someone that can do their job those truckers will get popped.

In the meantime, don't hold your breath.

2383. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 12:31:01 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <9cS%8.121720$88.1962451@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131410

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d3ffac5.5716978@news.cet.com...
> In <3D3FEB39.AB8B1141@qnet.com>, Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Hello All:
> >
> >Isn't Frank just a big sweetheart?
>
> Well maybe -you- can tell what the difference was between the original
> post in this newsgroup by "wing-nut" and the duplicate by Doc. Because
> I sure as hell didn't see any difference. And Doc didn't even use the
> greater-than symbol to quote it. Like I said, did I miss something? Is
> "wing-nut" one of Doc's aliases?
>
> Clear this up for me, would ya, Jay?
>

Maybe Doc received it in email and decided to post it here. I get lots of
things in emails from friends that are later posted here by members of the
NG.

2384. Re: Boomer 400 problems...please help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 12:45:12 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3fee80.2575488@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131403

In <20020724012229.17320.00000650@mb-ba.aol.com>,
scooterdude920@aol.com (ScooterDude920) wrote:

>I got a new Boomer 400 and was working great until it blew up! The inside all
>looks ok except where the positive and negative leads were soldered to the
>board. it is all burned around that area. and that area only. all the pills
>look good but there is a small battery looking thing blown to bits. the
>negative wire is still in tact, but the positive wire is blown off the board.
>the small battery looking thing says on it...Suscon 1000 uf 16 volt. I have
>no idea what this part is, but I am wondering what it might cost to fix, and
>how it might have happened. any answers please write
>back....Scooterdude920@aol.com Thanks.

You blew a power-supply capacitor. 16 volts is quite high for a
typical 13.8 volt mobile application, so it was probably connected
backwards. If that was the case then your transistors might still work
(but see below); if it was due to overvoltage then the transistors are
probably cooked (I'm sure you know by now that just because a part
doesn't explode doesn't mean it is ok -- in fact, those transistors
are made with a highly toxic ceramic material and if you inhale the
dust you are fucked, so if you didn't blow your pills apart then
consider yourself lucky). Even if your transistors still work, they
were probably been stressed beyond their limits and are likely fail at
any time without notice.

My suggestion: toss the amp. Regardless of the cause, the board is
cooked and the rest is prone to failure. And it isn't that great of an
amp to begin with. Legal or not, the amp is toast -- junk it.

2385. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 12:48:29 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3ff332.3778345@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131404

In <3D3FDD03.579CEBB8@yaSPAMhoo.com>, Scott Sixnine
<scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote:

>
>
>"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>>
>> In <3D3F7231.4B11D82A@yaSPAMhoo.com>, Scott Sixnine
>> <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >
>> >Z26915 wrote:
>> >>
>> >> your e-mail is bouncing
>> >
>> >Yes it does. You have to use the right email addy.
>>
>> Yeah, he lost his web-based webtv account because of harassment and
>> threats of violence through "anonymous" Usenet servers. You need to
>> get the address for the ISP he is using for -this- month (probably
>> another mindspring.com account under another alias).
>
>1. I have the right working email.

Good for you.

>2. Your clock is still wrong. It's not 7:57 am yet.

hmmm...

>Date: Thu, 25 Jul 2002 11:57:39 GMT

Not everyone lives on the East side of the Rockies.

2386. Re: What happened to Coax Kid..Left Coast Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 13:22:13 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0207250522.5058710d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131420

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<igI%8.2314$pg2.186713@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> To sum it up... he's off the air.
>
> --
> |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
> |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
> news:20020724183043.19451.00000675@mb-mh.aol.com...
> > I was trying to look him up...no go. Anybody know what's up?
> > Joe 333 Springfield MO
> >
> >
> >
> > "If it aint broke, don't fix it"

Yeh professor. He is serving time at Lompac for selling illegal radios.

2387. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 13:22:26 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3ffac5.5716978@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131407

In <3D3FEB39.AB8B1141@qnet.com>, Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
wrote:

>Hello All:
>
>Isn't Frank just a big sweetheart?

Well maybe -you- can tell what the difference was between the original
post in this newsgroup by "wing-nut" and the duplicate by Doc. Because
I sure as hell didn't see any difference. And Doc didn't even use the
greater-than symbol to quote it. Like I said, did I miss something? Is
"wing-nut" one of Doc's aliases?

Clear this up for me, would ya, Jay?

2388. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 13:26:32 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0207250526.6987333e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131421

jdavecobb@aol.comatose (No Junk) wrote in message
news:<20020719180658.13574.00001625@mb-ma.aol.com>...
> I'm curious about Copper. I've purchased a couple of minor items there and
> haven't had a problem. I'm considering getting a new radio there, also, so
> these negative posts about Copper have me concerned.
>
> For anybody out there with experience dealing with Copper, I'd welcome a
> synopsis of your experience - positive or negative.

I think you should not buy a radio from Copper. Buy it from Radiobiz,
before they too are closed down.

2389. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 13:28:45 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d3ffc64.6132101@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131409

In <3d3ffac5.5716978@news.cet.com>, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
(yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

<snip>
>...Is
>"wing-nut" one of Doc's aliases?

Well, let's see: the header from 'wing-nut' shows:

>NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.28.30.154

...and the header from Doc shows:

>NNTP-Posting-Host: 63.104.132.231

So am I reading these numbers wrong, Jay? Is my lysdexia really bad
this morning? Or is your's?

2390. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 13:36:27 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0207250536.7ae62e1d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131422

thetubeman3500z@yahoo.com (The Tube Dude) wrote in message
news:<3d39573a.58852625@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>...
> On 20 Jul 2002 10:55:52 GMT, tra1n@aol.com (TRA1N) wrote:
>
> >I'm glad you think so! Most can get better pricing then that and if they can't,
> >would rather pay more for the product than have to deal with those assholes in
> >KY.
> >Train
>
> Not to mention us small time dealers can get most of the stuff they
> sell, like me for instance.
>
> and yes, I can match or beat thier prices on most, if not all thier
> products. except for the stuff that is a copper product.

Why is it so many people around here, have a problem correctly
spelling the possessive pronoun 'their'? Now then kids, its i after
c, except after e. Where's my damn money?

2391. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 13:43:56 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d400070.7168096@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131417

In <3D3FEB39.AB8B1141@qnet.com>, Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
wrote:

>Hello All:
>
>Isn't Frank just a big sweetheart?

Ooops, was I being rude? I didn't want to offend Jay, the newsgroup's channel-master/moderator! So if I was out-of-line just tell me the times that you -don't- read the newsgroup and I'll only post at those times... LOL!

Hey Jay, if you are an American, the least you can do in wartime is to show some respect for your government and operate legally. I mean, you have the antenna know-how, and antennas are much more important than amps. I would think that dissing amps and pushing antennas would boost your business, wouldn't it? So whaddya say? Or do you prefer to be rude and disrespectful to the same government that guarantees you the freedom to build antennas for a living?

2392. Re: Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 13:50:01 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4000d4.7268390@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131418
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

In <3D3FEC71.8D6@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
<nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

<snip>
>Airplane Joke......
>
>Ham=Pork. Jew's don't eat pork, Hence the line, "kind of ham I was
>warned to stay away from". Get it yet?

The pun was too obvious to ignore and too stupid to take at face
value.

2393. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 14:05:03 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uk01f52ca5die9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131423

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0207250526.6987333e@posting.google.com...
>
> I think you should not buy a radio from Copper. Buy it from Radiobiz,
> before they too are closed down.

What the hell? You work/own the place? Never even heard of it... You sound pretty paranoid... Afraid the FCC is after ya? Maybe you just can't make ends meet... Need better advertising, I'd say, since I have never heard of the place before...

PH

2394. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 14:09:33 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d400232.7618317@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In <3D3FE704.76D5@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
<nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

<snip>
>All true. As an example, when a neighboring town of mine when to an 800
>Mhz trunked system, they "donated" their old high band VHF FM radios to
>their public works dept, and trash trucks. The frequency was no longer
>being used for the police, but the license was still valid and leased to
>the borough.

They would have had to change their freqs -- the Safety Pool freqs are
assigned at the federal level and are not used for garbage trucks.

>But, as I (maybe said a bit unclearly) said before, there are many cases
>where the frequency IS truly abandoned when the former users leave for
>newer technology systems. Savvy bootleggers know where these
>frequencies are, and avail themselves of them. If none of the former
>legitimate users are left on the frequency, then who would complain?

Many fire departments and law-enforcement agencies use what others
consider to be 'abandoned' frequencies for undercover work and/or
training operations (and probably other purposes). These freqs may
still be licensed, and although they might not be currently being
used, can still be used for emergency purposes or as backup comm
systems. Bootlegging on PSP freqs is still a very bad idea. I'm sure
that if any PSP licensee knew that their freq, used or not, was being
bootlegged they would monitor and prosecute the violator as quickly as
possible.

>>
>> > The old equipment usually is either scapped or sold
>> >dirt cheap.
>>
>> True story. I have picked up lots of VHF equipment at thrift stores
>> and garage sales.
>>
>> > Many hams buy this stuff to convert to neighboring ham
>> >bands.
>>
>> 6 meters being the most common. 2 meter radios are too cheap to bother
>> with the conversion from any commercial radio.
>
>Au contrare. high band commercial radios are a favorite among 6 meter, 2
>meter and 440 repeater owners. They are relatively cheap (I've seen
>Micors in good shape go for as little as $40), and they are both rugged

>and dependable, not to mention having receiver and squelch circuits that
>just can be beat.

You missed the point: the 2 meter equivalents are just as cheap. It's
not worth the time and expense to modify a 2 meter rig for commercial
freqs when you can pick up commercial rigs for $40 or less. Once I
found a base and two mobiles at a flea market for $5. Scary.

>I am looking for a VHF Micor receiver that I want to convert to 220 Mhz.
>I have the information on what needs to be changed to bring it up to
>full sensitivity on this band. This would be the E-ticket for my 220
>repeater. One of these days.....

Go for it.

>> > Some of the best ham repeaters around, are running 20 yr. old
>> >Motorola and GE commercial radios. Since the radios are so cheap, many
>> >"other" people buy them too to create their own "private" business band.
>> >Illegal of course, but if they keep a low profile, nobody even notices,
>> >much less cares.
>>
>> Oh yes, they do care. Commercial licensees are very anal when it comes
>> to someone interfering on their freq. They may use it often, or they
>> may use it once a month, but if someone is bootlegging on their freq
>> they -will- complain, and the FCC -will- respond. Money talks, and the
>> freeloaders pay the price.
>
>No arguement there. But if the frequency truly IS dead, then who would
>be around to notice or care?

People that monitor their police scanners.

If the bootleggers are allowed a foothold in the Safety Pool then you
might as well write off all the other emergency bands as well.

2395. Re: Nothing changed around hear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 14:13:32 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <gIT%8.10440$ub.6164@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 131424

Hay that's great so what is next learning to send smoke signals I think it sucks having to learn something I'll never use
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"Smokin Cash" <ira20@earthlink.com> wrote in message news:7YH%8.1681$Ky3.168817@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Some of you make me laugh so hard!!! Keep up the stupidity. I love a good
> laugh.
>
>
> Oh and btw I got my ticket finally for those that kept asking about a few
> months back. I just have to learn that code to keep going.
>
>
> --
> Slower Traffic Keep Right
>
>

2396. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 14:59:44 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <AnU%8.17169$e02.92868852@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131425

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d3ffac5.5716978@news.cet.com...
> In <3D3FEB39.AB8B1141@qnet.com>, Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Hello All:
> >
> >Isn't Frank just a big sweetheart?
>
> Well maybe -you- can tell what the difference was between the original
> post in this newsgroup by "wing-nut" and the duplicate by Doc. Because
> I sure as hell didn't see any difference. And Doc didn't even use the
> greater-than symbol to quote it. Like I said, did I miss something? Is
> "wing-nut" one of Doc's aliases?
>
> Clear this up for me, would ya, Jay?
>

Maybe received it via e mail, then didn't get it or
see it on the newsgroup, decided to post it here.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

2397. Re: "Rare" Super Scanner Antenna For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 15:01:56 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <EpU%8.17170$R22.93003909@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131426

"nukem" <nukem@gotmail.com> wrote in message
news:XwK%8.96428$DS.2951035@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
>
>
> > There is a Antenna Specialist Super Scanner for sale.
> >
> > View Here:
> >

> >
> >
> >
>
>

Once would suffice, you don't need to
Spam the newsgroup with this ad for auction.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

2398. Super Scanner Antenna For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 15:12:55 UTC
From: nukem <nukem@gotmail.com>
Message-ID: <XzU%8.132209$XH.3031498@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 131427

```
> There is a Antenna Specialist Super Scanner for sale.
>
> View Here:
>
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewItem&item=136904505
7+
>
>
>
>
```

2399. Robyn info needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 15:30:44 UTC
From: NOTAJP <jacuff100@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D401CA8.9020709@earthlink.net>
Source record: 131428

If I could ask a quick question, I need to find a tube location diagram for an old Robyn T-240D "Yellow Robyn" radio that I would like to get working. I have the tubes (they were removed when I got it), I just need to know which goes where. Any help would be much appreciated.
John
(you may now return to your scheduled arguments) ;-)

2400. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 15:52:53 UTC
From: jkross255@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <a47f3907.0207250752.61eb5e84@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131430

Not to defend Copper on anything because i like most agree they suck balls, but did you read the link YOU posted? The radio is listed as "Already Tuned Up". The last thing *I* would want is a Copper tech in my radio before i even received it! Anyway good luck getting that radio fixed, not a big fan of RCI products but have owned a few over the years including the Galaxy POS's.

73
Jeff
oris@dirtball.com (Oris) wrote in message news:<f7a9c6ac.0207181451.ea72425@posting.google.com>...
> I just got my new Texas Ranger TR 696F SSB base station. Wow what a
> nice looking radio. Much to my suprise when I keyed the radio up it
> has a real bad hum in the signal. The audio sounds real bad too. I
> bought this radio as a new radio however the three screws accoss the
> back are missing. HMMM Also there was a warranty lable laying on the
> bottom of the box. You know that sticker that sayes if this lable
> removed or tampered with voids the warranty. Imagin that! It is my
> opinion that they sold me a used radio as new. They advertise a 696 in
> there deal dept that has a transformer hum at
> http://www.copper.com/cgi-bin/checkitout/checkitout.cgi?bargainsSTORE:CKIE:prodLIK-NE000
7001+
> . I beleive they sent me that radio. When I asked them about it
> Suzanne got hostal with me over the phone. The only help she offered
> me was to return the radio and I would have to pay another 20 bucs for
> shipping and handling. I bet they would of gotton a restockin fee
> also.
> I I talked to a Ranger technican. First thing that he had me do was
> check the power supply. He wanted to be sure that it was putting 13.8
> volts. It wasn't it was putting out 15 volts.Then He had me inspect
> the board and search for cracks I didn't see any but what I did see
> where resein marks all over the board. Like someone had worked on this
> radio before. He also told me that it was copper electronics problem
> and Copper sayes that its Ranger's problem. Ranger just called me back
> they want me to send them the radio (I wonder what that is going to
> cost me?) I think that the HUM is a audio leak. Its not a 60 cycle
> hum. The sound of the hum changes when I touch the radio or
> microphone. The hum is there with the stock mic as well as my D-104.
> But the hum changes when I touch the d-104. It sounds like a bad
> ground some where in the audio chain.
> Just another example of buyer beware. I bet I will not deal with
> Copper Electronics again. You burn me once its your fault you burn me
> twice its mine!
> 73 Oris

2401. Re: hardly any cases will be fresh dark dogs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 15:56:12 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uk07vi6hip2c9e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131429

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D3FEE40.1A02@worldlynx.net...
> What's the deal with this nonsensical gibberish? I see this stuff pop up
> from time to time. What's the point? Is someone trying to be funny (It's
> not working), or just enjoy trying up bandwidth? Or is is a secret coded
> message to Osama's boys?
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

Give this link a try... It will clear everything up for you... I take it
you are not using SuperNews. If you were, these posts would not show up, as
they actively filter out these postings...

http://www.ganesha.org/ptb/hipcrime.html

I threw this one in for the hell of it... Check out some of the cool UseNet
words, and their definitions... I like "sock"... There are a LOT of
"sock"s in this newsgroup!!

http://www.spamfaq.net/terminology.shtml#Colloquialisms

2402. PING Moparholic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 16:16:19 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <rtV%8.4408$pv6.469835@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 131431

Hey I got those Indians tickets, email me with your adress and I'll get them to you.

73 de Keith
--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

2403. Re: i was filling to talk you some of my sour tapes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 16:18:09 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0207250818.23cbf195@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131432

MChXVDY6l@MEXNYNI.CA.US (F. F. Livingston, RMP) wrote in message
news:<A39436BB.8F427B98@news.eastlink.ca>...
> tgos@spamcop.net
>
> m.hanauska@gmx.net
>
> admin@tgos.org
>
> yxewjux
> evuhhaxe
>
> tech@tgos.org
>
> dom@tgos.org
>
> webmaster@tgos.org
>
> postmaster@tgos.org
> The tag above the young earth is the floor that fills finally. If the
> clever boats can change superbly, the proud film may pull more
> monuments. Hakeem's goldsmith behaves through our walnut after we
> open towards it. Tomorrow Ahmed will learn the smog, and if
> Maify locally laughs it too, the painter will solve before the
> rural market. Better tease lentils now or Genevieve will halfheartedly
> creep them inside you. He can weekly lift through healthy pathetic
> stadiums.
>
> Many elbows will be elder bitter stickers. Both looking now,
> Sue and Sara killed the blank offices below abysmal shirt. Some
> dry inner dusts will stupidly call the disks. To be full or
> sweet will attempt empty puddles to sadly move. Her cap was
> dull, old, and excuses to the castle. Why will we receive after
> Shah converses the angry store's car? Why did Gay attack the
> cat over the sour desk? Walt wastes, then Abdul gently fears a
> blunt exit inside Mikie's arena. Shelly! You'll improve lemons.
> Occasionally, I'll jump the draper. I was explaining to burn you some of my
> raw butchers. It's very lazy today, I'll join firmly or Casper will
> sow the dogs. He'll be recommending above shallow Kirsten until his
> pear irrigates weekly. My glad printer won't wander before I
> clean it. Abdullah judges the dose outside hers and rigidly
> likes. Just now, it scolds a fork too short throughout her sick
> window. She'd rather cook amazingly than care with Oris's dark
> powder. Other open cheap gardners will grasp eventually at diets.
> Where did Founasse play before all the shopkeepers? We can't

> dream raindrops unless Edwina will neatly expect afterwards. The
> cases, cans, and shoes are all noisy and bizarre. Lots of tapes
> strangely hate the pretty hall. Try tasting the plain's durable
> envelope and Atiqullah will irritate you! I was measuring bowls to
> filthy Karen, who's ordering in back of the pool's field. Hassan, still
> covering, dyes almost wickedly, as the potter climbs in their
> ticket. Let's nibble inside the stupid evenings, but don't answer the
> distant kettles. Lisette, around coconuts fat and smart, helps
> over it, rejecting lovingly. If you'll pour Melvin's cave with
> bushs, it'll bimonthly promise the jug. Tell Neal it's quiet
> arriving alongside a teacher. I depart weak units, do you dine them?
>
> Some sad ball or planet, and she'll angrily walk everybody.
>
> Who will you believe the ugly wet candles before Jbilou does? While
> buckets truly mould barbers, the carrots often shout before the
> younger onions.
>
> Get your slowly kicking bandage at my canyon. You won't love me
> talking with your hot river. Some wide solid poultice lives
> farmers between Wayne's sharp wrinkle. How Ismat's kind ulcer
> smells, Aslan seeks at thin, difficult hallways.
>
> Some hollow upper twigs strongly recollect as the poor aches
> comb. Lots of light cobblers creep Diane, and they totally irrigate
> Pearl too. Fucking don't order a spoon!

WTF is this?

2404. Re: PING Moparholic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 16:23:31 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <bAV%8.4409$pv6.469325@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 131433

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020725121646.593$Tg@newsreader.com...
> "Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote:
> >>Hey I got those Indians tickets, email me with your adress and I'll get
> > them to you.
> >
> Aren't you going?
>
> --
> Go #40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
>
Yes I am going but I got two extra tickets for you.Game is Monday Sept 9th
starts at 7:05 PM will be Indians Vs Blue Jays.
Seats are in section 509, row G this is right field section, good area to
catch a home run ball.

73 de Keith

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red
Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

2405. Re: What happened to Cody's CB Page? http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 16:28:26 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uk09s0mdq5edf1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131434

I asked the source (the site provider), and here's what I got out of them...
Not REAL useful, but does explain things...:

Me,

Codyspc is no longer a Iserv customer. I apologize that we cannot give out
more information about this customer. Please feel free to call our customer
support center at 888-64-Iserv if you have any questions.
Thank you,

Henry Otoniel Cambero
Iserv Customer Support
(616) 493-3740
888-64-ISERV (47378)
Fax (616) 493-3730

Hours:
8:00am-10:00pm Monday through Friday
9:00am-9:00pm Saturday
12:00pm-6:00pm Sunday

-----Original Message-----
From: XXXXXXXXXX
Sent: Wednesday, July 24, 2002 8:34 AM
To: info@iserv.net
Subject: Missing Website

Hello,

A number of people, myself included, are wondering what happened to the
website of one of your members. The site used to reside at:
http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/. Basically we are just wondering why it was
removed. Was it at request of the member, or due to inactivity, etc.? The
site contained some extremely useful information, and it is greatly missed
by many people. Any information you can provide would be greatly
appreciated!

Thank you,
ME

"Tim 960" <tim960@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020719211916.03822.00000273@mb-fb.aol.com...
> http://www.iserv.net/~codyspc/cbindex.htm
>
> It was full of all sorts of info for newbie's and old farts. Does any
body

> have it on file or printed out?

2406. Re: Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 16:45:14 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-84E933.12452725072002@news5.ptd.net>
Source record: 131435

In article <3d2abc7d.1601667@news.cet.com>,
yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

> In <anylength-E9D06F.02035709072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >[One of Sparky's nonsensical responses isolated for closer scrutiny]
>
> <snip>
> >Ha! Double-humped waves!!!??? There is no such thing in this context.
> >The current at a current null is zero, nada, nothing! You cannot
> >measure it with an inductive probe. It is a sine wave with
> >amplitude zero. it is not even relevant if it is a function "over"
> >time or "of" time -- I'm not even going to get into this nonsense.
>
> It is VERY relevant if it is a function 'over' time, since it is the
> function 'over' time that you are measuring. If you want to measure
> the function 'of' time you need a pretty fast scope.
>
> >Furthermore, I challenged you in the last response to show how the
> >sum of two sine waves of the same frequency produces a "double-humped"
> >wave. I am really looking forward to your answer.
>
> No, you "challenged" me to "Just try to add two sine waves at the same
> frequency with ANY phase difference and see what you get. show that
> mixing two signals..." blah, blah, blah. Anybody can do that. You
> didn't even know what the hell you were talking about, or you woudn't
> have even given me the challenge. The "challenge" has been met:
>
> Pucker up, "dumbass"!!!

Hee hee! Sparky, you fail. You cannot support your own claims.
Let's analyze your answer: "blah, blah, blah. Anybody can do that."

This is clearly a non-answer.

You were half right about the challenge. You are to add two sine waves
at the same frequency with ANY phase difference. The other half of the
challenge was to show that this created, as you claimed, a distorted
sine wave.

You cannot do this. We can see your answer ("blah, blah, blah").
You spew total bullshit as facts. Give it up, dumbass. You are forever
the rec.radio.cb dumbass.

> Let's try this from a different angle: What are the first five words
> on page 146?

Who cares? The releveant question is: where does the book IN ANY WAY support what YOU CLAIMED IT SAID. I never misrepresented the book; you did. I don't have to lie about my references as you do.

Once again, it is the Sparky/yeti@cet.com standard operating procedure: post fiction/lies/bullshit and do a dance when you get caught in your lies.

2407. Re: CLP hasn't measured squat!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 16:59:25 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-30F337.12593725072002@news5.ptd.net>
Source record: 131436

In article <3d2c0470.20990083@news.cet.com>,
yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

> ...A transmission line can only be
> expected to be linear when the signal is at it's characteristic
> impedance.

More bullshit. Did you find this information on page 146 of your book?
It is unquestionably wrong and completely baseless like many of your
claims.

Sparky, you don't get it.

2408. Re: CLP hasn't measured squat!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 17:25:54 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-E92F0C.13260625072002@news5.ptd.net>
Source record: 131437

In article <3d2c0470.20990083@news.cet.com>,
yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

> In <anylength-FF5149.01320609072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>
> >Sparky, even if you had SWR meter schematics (and post them if you do),
> >they would not show the inductive and capacitive coupling. This
> >coupling is achieved by hardware within the meter; not lumped elements
> >like capacitors and inductors. What you say is like saying, "look at
> >the schematic for a magnetron." There may be a schematic symbol for
> >a magnetron but there is no schematic that describes it and the
> >operation within it.
> >
> >Within the SWR meter, there is a coupling loop (and sometimes two for
> >forward and backward) located in proximity to the transmission line
> >center conductor.
>
> Hey, here's a book you might have or can probably find at your local
> library: "Master Handbook of 1001 Practical Electronic Circuits" from
> TAB Books, 1977. It has no less than a dozen power/SWR meter circuits,
> and ALL of them include the coupling. The best one is on page 314,
> which is a diagram of the construction details of the coupling that is
> used in the tuner/meter schematic on page 315. It actually uses two
> seperate pickup wires, one for forward power and one for reflected
> power. And no caps.

Hey Sparky dumbass, this agrees with what I said above. There is no
capacitor because the capacitive coupling is inherent in the design.

You can claim all day that there is no capacitance there but, without
it, you cannot explain how the device obtains directivity. You have
never been able to produce a valid concept that would make an inductive
coupling directive.

I don't know why I bother but let me give you a reference which actually
describes how this type of directional coupler works (in total agreement
with what I have tried to get into your thick head over and over). See:
RF Design Guide by Peter Vizmuller, pp. 102-6.

The example uses no capacitors but the equivalent circuit shows a
capacitor because the author understands that there is capacitance
between the two lines. From page 102:
"Lines 1-2 [coax center conductor] and 3-4 [coupled line] are both
capacitively and inductively coupled to each other. Particular values
of L1, L2, C12 [the nonexistant capacitor], and k are required for this

circuit to behave as a directional coupler..."

By the way, they describe a VHF directional coupler and the capacitance is 1 pF! Hmmm, extrapolating from this, I'd say that you may need about 2-5 pF at the CB band. ;-)

Another by the way! I can actually provide a reference which supports what I say! I don't have to lie about it!

> Capacitive coupling certainly exists between two short parallel wires,
> but in the function of these circuits it's value is insignificant.

Not according to me an my reference.

> Even if you twist the wires together tightly you are going to get only
> a couple picofarads...

Just like I said...and it is all you need.

2409. FS: Monster CB....++

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 17:33:47 UTC
From: Steve Gale <stephengale@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <2FW%8.8940$DN4.1574353@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 131438

100w output
http://members.kingston.net/sgale/Sunair/gsb900sc.htm

by the way im in Kingston Ontario Canada

....(this ought to set the anals off)

2410. Re: Monster CB....++

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 18:48:50 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <mKX%8.5693$Kl6.282931@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131439

"Steve Gale" <stephengale(nojunk)@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:2FW%8.8940$DN4.1574353@news20.bellglobal.com...
> 100w output
> http://members.kingston.net/sgale/Sunair/gsb900sc.htm
>
> by the way im in Kingston Ontario Canada
>
>
>
> ....(this ought to set the anals off)

Good Lord, why? It is useless for any purpose but the one intended. I gotta switch channels to switch sidebands?? Outside of channelized services it is, to quote my dad, as useless as a third tit and not nearly so decorative.

And I am in Omaha NE.

2411. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 19:35:12 UTC
From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <3D4052F0.9A3D3121@lucent.com>
Source record: 131440

'Doc wrote:

>
> A GERMAN CORPORATION:
> You have two cows.
> You reengineer them so they live for 100 years, eat once
> a month, and milk themselves.

THE TRANSLUCENT CORPORATION:
You also start out with 2 cows.
Your engineering staff improves upon the German
Corporation's design so that the TRANSLUCENT cows only eat
once a year, live for 200 years, can be sold for less than
half of what the German cows sell for, and do it all without
infringing on any German Patents.
You get ready to release this great new product only to
find out that TRANSLUCENT no longer owns the cows because
someone just traded those cows for some MAGIC beans.
Your engineering staff gets cut in half because they no
longer have the COW project to work on and the remaining
engineers are redirected to work on improving the magic
beans.

2412. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 20:31:17 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D406010.E771AA0B@icok.net>
Source record: 131441

If you missed it, I did too. I didn't post this
here. I did copy and send to some friends. No idea
how this was posted.
'Doc

2413. Paradynamics Echo Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 20:31:46 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.comJP23
Message-ID: <20020725163146.13757.00000992@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 131442

I have a PDC-60 hand held echo mic forsale. It has built in talkback, echo level, reverb and echo length adjust inside, has 5 noise toys, uses a 9volt battery. I'll take $20 plus $5 shipping....thanks

Thatz-me-JP

2414. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 20:36:33 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D40614C.6C5AD3E4@icok.net>
Source record: 131443

"War time"?
I wasn't aware that the United States has
declared war on anyone. Takes an act of
Congress, you know.
'Doc

PS - And in case you missed the post above,
I didn't intentionally re-post. I really
don't know how this got here. I did copy
the original and sent it to a few friends,
but it was not directed to this NG. If
you can figure that one out, let me know too.

2415. Newbie from Buffalo NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 20:55:29 UTC
From: Suryaprakash Kompalli <kompalli@cse.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <Pine.SOL.4.30.0207251650490.19127-100000@pollux.cse.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 131444

Hello,
I am a newbie to Ham Radio - I know what it is, but I dont have
any equipment or books right now, but I am all gunning to learn more
about it. Yes, I have located a few clubs in/around buffalo, and have sent
emails to them, but I was wondering if there is any one out there who can
show me some stuff and gimme some advise before I really go out there
and buy anything.
BTW, I am an international student, from India - Are there any
restrictions on international students in the US WRT operating hams?
Bye,
Surya

__ __
|__ |__| |/
__|urya |rakash |\ompalli

Email - kompalli@cse.buffalo.edu
Phone - Home - (716) 862 0366 (67,Lisbon Ave,BUF)
- Work - (716) 645 6164 X 519 (CEDAR, UB Commons)
Website - http://www.cse.buffalo.edu/~kompalli

2416. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 21:13:27 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0207251313.2d17586b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131445

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:<uk01f52ca5die9@corp.supernews.com>...
> "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> news:fef386d5.0207250526.6987333e@posting.google.com...
> >
> > I think you should not buy a radio from Copper. Buy it from Radiobiz,
> > before they too are closed down.
>
> What the hell? You work/own the place? Never even heard of it... You
> sound pretty paranoid... Afraid the FCC is after ya? Maybe you just can't
> make ends meet... Need better advertising, I'd say, since I have never
> heard of the place before...
>
> PH

Hey bud. Check it out. I don't know how long you have been around
here, but you need to do two things. Lighten up and get a sense of
humor, and read between the lines mudduck. Remember radiobiz is the
cure for the common mudduck.

2417. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 21:15:30 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d4068a9$0$13947$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 131446

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020724115201.905$O8@newsreader.com...
> "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020723101536.702$so@newsreader.com...
> > <snip>
> > > I've never agreed with your lies,there wasn't ANY revenue from busting
> > > CBer's for giving smokey reports.
> > >
> > > You are full of shit!
> > >
> >
> > I don't remember ever saying that there was any revenue from "busting
> > smokey reports."
> >
> Here's what you said,trying to intimidate CBer's:
>
> "Actually linking CB use to a serious crime is pretty easy. There's a
> small town just north of San Antonio call Selma. Back in the 70's they
> were known as one of the biggest speed-traps in this part of Texas. The
> local cops would sit behind road signs and nail speeders doing 56MPH.
Sure
> it was difficult to enforce 56MPH but you had to either pay the fine or
> fight it in court. Most just paid the fine. CBers would, of course, tell
> everyone where the cop was sitting. State law (and probably federal law
> too) forbids you from devulging the location of a peace officer while he
is
> performing his duty. It is also illegal to interfere with his duties. So
> if the cops thought that someone was using their CB to help other avoid
> getting a ticket they would bring in the federal regulations and also
> charged them with using a CB radio to aid in the commission of a crime.
> They'd charge them with all sorts of wild things like "conspiracy,"
> "interfering with a police officer," "using a radio transmitter to aid in
> the commission of a crime...." This added felony charges to the mistimenor
> charge of speeding. This went on for a couple of years".
>
> Kevin, WB5RUE
>
> This is a crock of shit,and you know it!
>
> Lay off the Hallelujah Acres products,they're fogging your memory,and
> judgement.
>

Read that again, VERY CAREFULLY. Did I say ANYWHERE in that paragraph that the City of Selma was getting revenue from anything other than speeding tickets? Did I even say that they got revenue from Speeding Tickets. They did ONLY get revenue from the speeding tickets. Any "state" or "federal" crimes were referred to the appropriate court.

Your "understanding" or lack thereof is the only thing that makes it a "crock of shit." It's also called eisegesis.

What is it with you about the Hallelujah Acres thing? I guess once your little mind gets an idea it just holds it like a trap. Problem is you're rusted shut.

Kevin, WB5RUE

2418. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 21:19:28 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d406996$0$13950$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 131447

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d3fe61f.430557@news.cet.com...
> In <3D3F7231.4B11D82A@yaSPAMhoo.com>, Scott Sixnine
> <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > >Z26915 wrote:
> > >>
> > >> your e-mail is bouncing
> > >
> > >Yes it does. You have to use the right email addy.
>
> Yeah, he lost his web-based webtv account because of harassment and
> threats of violence through "anonymous" Usenet servers. You need to
> get the address for the ISP he is using for -this- month (probably
> another mindspring.com account under another alias).

Now why am I not surprised? I knew that eventually Twistyboy would tick off the wrong person and get slammed. My hat's off to whoever it was!

Kevin, WB5RUE

2419. Re: CLP hasn't measured squat!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 21:28:06 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4054b9.266605@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131448

In <anylength-E92F0C.13260625072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

>In article <3d2c0470.20990083@news.cet.com>,
> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
>
>> In <anylength-FF5149.01320609072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
>> <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Sparky, even if you had SWR meter schematics (and post them if you do),
>> >they would not show the inductive and capacitive coupling. This
>> >coupling is achieved by hardware within the meter; not lumped elements
>> >like capacitors and inductors. What you say is like saying, "look at
>> >the schematic for a magnetron." There may be a schematic symbol for
>> >a magnetron but there is no schematic that describes it and the
>> >operation within it.
>> >
>> >Within the SWR meter, there is a coupling loop (and sometimes two for
>> >forward and backward) located in proximity to the transmission line
>> >center conductor.
>>
>> Hey, here's a book you might have or can probably find at your local
>> library: "Master Handbook of 1001 Practical Electronic Circuits" from
>> TAB Books, 1977. It has no less than a dozen power/SWR meter circuits,
>> and ALL of them include the coupling. The best one is on page 314,
>> which is a diagram of the construction details of the coupling that is
>> used in the tuner/meter schematic on page 315. It actually uses two
>> seperate pickup wires, one for forward power and one for reflected
>> power. And no caps.
>
>Hey Sparky dumbass, this agrees with what I said above. There is no
>capacitor because the capacitive coupling is inherent in the design.

In most of the other circuits the diode is switched locally from one
end of the pickup to the other, but this one uses two pickup wires
because it is designed as a remote coupling unit, so two wires are
needed and the diodes and caps are hard-wired into the device. It
would be just as easy to use one pickup wire and a relay for switching
one diode and cap.

>You can claim all day that there is no capacitance there but, without
>it, you cannot explain how the device obtains directivity. You have
>never been able to produce a valid concept that would make an inductive
>coupling directive.

I explained how a single inductive coupling can work to show directivity, you just chose not to understand it. That's your problem, not mine. And if I remember right, your description was something like, 'the voltage and current are measured and added together with some kind of analog circuit'. Yeah, right, no wonder you couldn't understand my explanation -- it was too technical for you.

>I don't know why I bother but let me give you a reference which actually
>describes how this type of directional coupler works (in total agreement
>with what I have tried to get into your thick head over and over). See:
>RF Design Guide by Peter Vizmuller, pp. 102-6.

What does your reference say about the differences between reflection at normal incidence and reflection at oblique incidence? What is the method of propogation of the signal in the reference?

>The example uses no capacitors but the equivalent circuit shows a
>capacitor because the author understands that there is capacitance
>between the two lines. From page 102:
>"Lines 1-2 [coax center conductor] and 3-4 [coupled line] are both
>capacitively and inductively coupled to each other. Particular values
>of L1, L2, C12 [the nonexistant capacitor], and k are required for this
>circuit to behave as a directional coupler..."

So what is the title of the chapter and section from your reference? What does the author say is the purpose of the capacitor in the circuit? What are the other 11 capacitors for? Does he provide the equations to get the specific values? Or maybe a normalized chart or graph?

>By the way, they describe a VHF directional coupler and the capacitance
>is 1 pF! Hmmm, extrapolating from this, I'd say that you may need about
>2-5 pF at the CB band. ;-)

So the author says that 1 pF covers 30 to 300 MHz? and from that you 'extrapolated' that it would take between 2 to 5 pF at 27 MHz? Come on CLP, was that really an extrapolation or just a rough guesstimate? And why wasn't a directional coupler for HF represented?

>Another by the way! I can actually provide a reference which supports
>what I say!

Your description of your reference was a little weak on details and applications. Maybe a more complete quote would help.

> I don't have to lie about it!

Well that depends of whether you actually have the book, doesn't it? But that's ok, I will be able to tell if you are lying because I think my local library has a copy and I can verify anything you claim next week when I head that direction. BTW, did you ever find page 146 from the book you claimed to have last time?

>> Capacitive coupling certainly exists between two short parallel wires,
>> but in the function of these circuits it's value is insignificant.

>
>Not according to me an my reference.

That sounds like a song Fred Astaire could tap-dance to:

"Me (tappity..tappity..tappity) and (tappity) my (tappity)
ref- (tappity..tappity..tappity) -rence..."

Like I said, be more specific with your reference: is he talking TE, TM or TEM? Is the referenced directional coupler a sampling tap, a reflectometer, a power tap or a splitter? Is it a stripline, a waveguide, or a coax? Is the circuit described the only type of directional coupler possible? What about the rest of the circuit? What is his description of how the circuit works? And, the most obvious question, is the circuit in reference to a chapter or section on power measurement devices?

>> Even if you twist the wires together tightly you are going to get only
>> a couple picofarads...
>
>Just like I said...and it is all you need.

When was the last time you saw a coupler for a power meter where the wires were twisted together? Never? Is that why you snipped the rest of my paragraph? Here, let me quote the rest for you:

>...Most pickup
>wires are spaced far enough away from the power-carrying conductor as
>to provide negligible capacitive coupling (it's intentional because
>too much capacitive coupling skews the readings... hmmm... didn't I
>say THAT before?)

And why did you snip this paragraph that already addresses the issue of capacitive coupling:

>See the above paragraph about the gimmick capacitor. Also, notice that
>many of these couplings are actually traces on a pc board, where
>edge-to-edge capacticance is almost nil. Hey, here's an idea: why
>don't you take one of your own meters and measure the capacitance...
><insert sound of me kicking myself in the ass for suggesting you
>actually measure something>

By the way, did you ever read any of those manuals for impedance bridges that I recommended? Did you ever learn what 'characteristic impedance' really means? Have you actually measured the characteristic impedance of a coax yet? (Hell, who am I kidding...LOL!) Have you figured out how you are going to explain your claim that power meters read INSTANTANEOUS voltages and currents? Have you found page 146 from the book you lied about having?

And now it's time for a POP QUIZ! Answer 'true' or 'false' to the following statements:

1. A transmission line can only admit a signal with the same impedance as the characteristic impedance of the line.

2. Every signal that propogates in a transmission line must propogate at the characteristic impedance of the line.

3. Standing waves cannot deviate from the characteristic impedance of the line.

4. A power meter must measure both voltage and current.

5. A power meter cannot determine direction if it is using only a single pickup wire.

2420. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 21:39:23 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uk0s3c93bhrpb4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131450

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0207251313.2d17586b@posting.google.com...
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:<uk01f52ca5die9@corp.supernews.com>...
> > "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> > news:fef386d5.0207250526.6987333e@posting.google.com...
> > >
> > > I think you should not buy a radio from Copper. Buy it from Radiobiz,
> > > before they too are closed down.
> >
> > What the hell? You work/own the place? Never even heard of it... You
> > sound pretty paranoid... Afraid the FCC is after ya? Maybe you just
can't
> > make ends meet... Need better advertising, I'd say, since I have never
> > heard of the place before...
> >
> > PH
>
> Hey bud. Check it out. I don't know how long you have been around
> here, but you need to do two things. Lighten up and get a sense of
> humor, and read between the lines mudduck. Remember radiobiz is the
> cure for the common mudduck.

OK then...

Quite a number of years, thank you, and I STILL haven't heard of them. Like
I said, you need better advertising. It certainly doesn't help the fact
that you don't include a way to get there??

On the subject of lightening up... I'm light enough thanks. I was only
responding to what seemed to be paranoia on your part; "before they too are
closed down"? Sounds pretty paranoid to me, maybe you should take your own
advice and lighten up a bit... :)

PH

2421. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 21:41:23 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d406e26.6775108@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131449

In <3D40614C.6C5AD3E4@icok.net>, 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:

>
>
> "War time"?
> I wasn't aware that the United States has
>declared war on anyone. Takes an act of
>Congress, you know.
> 'Doc

Not since WWII. It SHOULD take an act of Congress, but in the past few decades that seems to have fallen out of favor with the powers that be. It has been challenged in court many times, but they have managed to avoid a formal declaration of war by using euphamisms like "police actions" and "anti-terrorist campaigns". Call it whatever you want, but when our people are engaging a hostile enemy with military power it's called 'war'.

>PS - And in case you missed the post above,
> I didn't intentionally re-post. I really
> don't know how this got here. I did copy
> the original and sent it to a few friends,
> but it was not directed to this NG. If
> you can figure that one out, let me know too.

That's all I asked: specifically I said, "Did I miss something?" But Jay want's so bad to be the newsgroup's channel master so I thought I would feed his delusion for a while. Hey, rudeness gives him a reason to live!

2422. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 21:42:57 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4070ca.7451290@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131451

In <3D4052F0.9A3D3121@lucent.com>, "Dennis W. Ostrowski"
<dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote:

>'Doc wrote:
>
>>
>> A GERMAN CORPORATION:
>> You have two cows.
>> You reengineer them so they live for 100 years, eat once
>> a month, and milk themselves.
>
>THE TRANSLUCENT CORPORATION:
> You also start out with 2 cows.
> Your engineering staff improves upon the German
>Corporation's design so that the TRANSLUCENT cows only eat
>once a year, live for 200 years, can be sold for less than
>half of what the German cows sell for, and do it all without
>infringing on any German Patents.
> You get ready to release this great new product only to
>find out that TRANSLUCENT no longer owns the cows because
>someone just traded those cows for some MAGIC beans.
> Your engineering staff gets cut in half because they no
>longer have the COW project to work on and the remaining
>engineers are redirected to work on improving the magic
>beans.

Sounds like a personal problem.

2423. Re: Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 21:51:46 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d407128.7545266@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131452

In <anylength-84E933.12452725072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
<anylength@willdo.com> wrote:

<snip same old lines>
>This is clearly a non-answer.

So is almost your entire post.

>You were half right about the challenge. You are to add two sine waves
>at the same frequency with ANY phase difference. The other half of the
>challenge was to show that this created, as you claimed, a distorted
>sine wave.
>
>You cannot do this.

You obviously don't have a distortion analyzer.

<snip ostentatious but presumptive gloating>
>> Let's try this from a different angle: What are the first five words
>> on page 146?
>
>Who cares?

Anyone that is interested in whether or not you lie.

> The releveant question is: where does the book IN ANY WAY
>support what YOU CLAIMED IT SAID. I never misrepresented the book; you
>did. I don't have to lie about my references as you do.

I still have the book. What are the first five words on page 146? If
you have the book like you claimed this wouldn't be an issue. But you
flat-out lied. And you are STILL lying by not fessing up to it! And
you lied AGAIN by claiming that you "don't have to lie about my
references" because it's obvious that you DO have to lie about them!

>Once again, it is the Sparky/yeti@cet.com standard operating procedure:
>post fiction/lies/bullshit and do a dance when you get caught in your
>lies.

What are the first five words on page 146?

2424. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 21:56:42 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4073f9.8266267@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131453

In <3d406996$0$13950$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>, "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:

<snip>
>Now why am I not surprised? I knew that eventually Twistyboy would tick off
>the wrong person and get slammed. My hat's off to whoever it was!
>
>Kevin, WB5RUE

Wasn't me, but I wish it was!

2425. Re: You ain't my friend...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 22:19:42 UTC
From: Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com>
Message-ID: <1Q_%8.284420$Bt1.14753684@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131454

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D3E8F66.78D05CE0@yaSPAMhoo.com...

> scott dont tell but randy is plotting to get george dropped from his
> isp thats right they are BOTH trolls.
> AFriend

Is this true Randy?

2426. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 22:22:43 UTC
From: Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com>
Message-ID: <TS_%8.284408$iB1.14612531@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131455

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ahllbg$n9k$2@quark.scn.rain.com...
> Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com> wrote:
>
> > I never said there was a federal law regarding CB's why are you trying
to
> > put words in my mouth? My contention is that if you interfere with the
> > police then they can get you for obstruction no matter if your using
a CB
> > or blinking your headlights etc etc.Now proving who said what over a CB
as
>
> Add Minnesota, Nevada and New Hampshire to the states where such actions
> would not be obstruction/interfering.

Bill again your postin your opinion I see nothing that states your case

2427. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 22:32:28 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D405E50.AB7EEFD7@mailcity.net>
Source record: 131456

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> In <3D3FEB39.AB8B1141@qnet.com>, Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Hello All:
> >
> >Isn't Frank just a big sweetheart?
>
> Well maybe -you- can tell what the difference was between the original
> post in this newsgroup by "wing-nut" and the duplicate by Doc. Because
> I sure as hell didn't see any difference. And Doc didn't even use the
> greater-than symbol to quote it. Like I said, did I miss something? Is
> "wing-nut" one of Doc's aliases?
>
> Clear this up for me, would ya, Jay?

It should be obvious to the most casual observer that you have
very little to do in life if all you can find to do is worry about
something as trivial as this.

2428. Re: Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 22:35:25 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D405EFD.66C68AF2@mailcity.net>
Source record: 131457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Dave Hall wrote:

>
> Ham=Pork. Jew's don't eat pork, Hence the line, "kind of ham I was
> warned to stay away from". Get it yet?
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

Not entirely true, my daughter-in-law and her entire family is
Jewish and they all eat pork.

2429. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 22:40:46 UTC
From: Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com>
Message-ID: <O7%%8.324561$lm2.16558217@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131458

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ahllu0$n9k$3@quark.scn.rain.com...
> Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com> wrote:
>
> > Ok so your cousin Mr.Chips he isn't a good police officer he doesn't
know
> > what the laws are tel him to turn his stinkin badge in. In regards to
the
> > headlights I have given countless examples from posts of people all
over
> > the WORLD who have received a ticket for obstructing and/or and
equipment
> > violation how ever they want to get you they get you.
>
> Wrong. You have provided a listing of some postings where people claim
> that either they have received a ticket or that it is illegal somewhere
> else.

And I have talked to Actual Police Officers about this as well as all the
posts that are out there where people have recieved a summons and paid the
summons
I guess you don't beleive them either.

> From my research, it appears that it would be obstructing/interfering in
> the UK to flash your lights. I couldn't find any higher court cases, in
> the limited hunting that I did, that would show otherwise.

It woul be improper use of equipment or obstruction depending on there town
or prosecutor. Look up the Unifom Traffic Code. I don't knopw why your
looking up Supreme Court cases this is only where people have challenged a
ticket or this Law.

> For the US, see Chaplinsky vs New Hampshire,

Deals with
Chaplinsky vs. New Hampshire, "Fighting Words"

Deals with RACIAL HATRED
Gooding vs Wilson,

Deals with Racial Hatred

> Lewis vs New Orleans,

City of Houston vs Hill, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania vs
> Beadney (Beachey - my handwriting is hard to read), City of Houston, Tx
> vs Hill. There are many others that I did not have the time to review.

> All these are available on Google.

Does writing them 2x count for more LOL
Bill None of these Not one has anything to do with someone flashing there headlights and getting ticketed. Are you really serious ?

> See above. The Supreme Court decisions state that you are wrong.

They do please post the exact info where they said Cops can't ticket peole for flashing there headlights, everything you listed is non existant in regards to this Bill are you on medication?

> The most recent case above is 1987. You will have to find more recent
> Supreme Court rulings, if you want to show that the earlier rulings are
> no longer valid.

You will need to show One revelant case there is nothing at all regarding someone flashing there headlights and getting a summons. Boy are you out in left field.

>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

2430. Re: You ain't my friend...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 22:48:12 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Me%%8.125578$88.2019964@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131461

"Pd" <vhfbutt@pd.com> wrote in message
news:1Q_%8.284420$Bt1.14753684@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D3E8F66.78D05CE0@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
> > scott dont tell but randy is plotting to get george dropped from his
> > isp thats right they are BOTH trolls.
> > AFriend
>
> Is this true Randy?
>
>

Why of course, George. You know I'm the root of all evil. That's my sole reason for being.

BTW, that was most likely one of your little gang that sent that to most here.

2431. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 22:51:51 UTC
From: Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com>
Message-ID: <bi%%8.280021$iX5.14169478@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131459

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ahlmho$n9k$4@quark.scn.rain.com...
> Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com> wrote:
>
> > "Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
> > news:ahj38q$l2g$4@quark.scn.rain.com...
>
> >> Hint - look up the definition of "defense to prosecution".
>
> >> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>
> > Bill is that the best you can do I thought your captain buddy said it
was
> > bullshit? There are posts from people all over the country that have
gotten
> > poped for blinking their headlights to warn of a speed trap ahead.
>
> See my other posts. Most of those posts were by people who claimed that
> it was illegal or that they knew of someone who had received a ticket.
> There were zero cites of actual incidents.

So your saying that these people are not telling the truth, they were the
recipents of this I would bel;ieve them before i beleive you Know why,
because I know
what they are saying is a fact.

>
> I have posted some actual Supreme Court cases where claims of obstruction
> were overturned.

Those cases have nothing to do with someone flashing there headlights and
getting a ticket for obstruction or improper use of equipment.

> So, a person might be cited and convicted of obstruction, but it will be
> overturned by the review courts.

I ask you to show me 1 case where this has been overturned some one who
recieved a ticket for flashing there headlights to warn of a cop ahead/speed
trap.

The case law appears to be clear on the matter. The person would also be
found innocent in the original trial if
> the defense lawyer did his/her research and produced the cites.

Well Bill there are tons of posts where people have gotten tickets from
Maine to Texas to Australia, why hasen't some good sharp attorney taken this

to the next level. Post 1 case where the Supreme court overturned a
headlight flashing case LOL Bill your a joke.

> The rulings have uniformly been that obstructing can only occur if the
> officer is investigating a violation of some sort. If the officer is not
> investigating a violation, then there is no obstruction. Since the officer
> has not detected a person speeding, flashing lights or calling on the
> radio to warn them in advance is not obstruction. Even if the officer is
> investigating an illegal act, it still require a threat of force,
restraint
> or violence or the actual application of force, restraint or violence.

Bill tell it to the judge it happens all over this country daily people are
ticketed for this exact infraction and so far No Supreme Court cases
overturning them If so POST IT!

> That may not be as you or I might desire, or as many people believe, but
> that is how the Supreme Court has ruled.

> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

2432. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 22:54:52 UTC
From: Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com>
Message-ID: <0I%%8.284624$Bt1.14779336@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131460

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ahlnl5$n9k$7@quark.scn.rain.com...
> Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com> wrote:
>
> > "Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
> > news:ahj21n$l2g$1@quark.scn.rain.com...
>
> >> I doubt if you have 5000 police officer friends. Note that the person I
> >> asked was not a patrol officer, but a Captain who is often called upon
to
> >> evaluate whether there has been a crime committed.
>
> > Yes another opinion and assumption for mr Nelson again he only can give
his
> > opinion and no proof because opinions don't require proof ...
>
> Opinions are NOT proof. That is something I understand, but am not sure
> that you do.
>
> >> How do you know what my job is, or how much contact I have with police?
> >> For all you know, I could be a police officer.
>
> > I was wondering the same thing when you said I don't know 5000 police
> > officers? Kinda funny huh when it is turned around on you . LOL
>
> >> In any case, it does not matter. I never called anyone a liar - even
you.
> >> But that still does not make your claims anything except "hot air"
unless
> >> you can provide a relevant statute. I won't even ask for court cases
where
> >> a person was convicted.
>
> > Bill, I have provided you with more than enough info for you to hang
> > yourself. I think you should start backing up your opinions about what
you
> > say, I say the sky Is blue you say it is white I showed you pictures of
a
> > blue sky you have showed me nothing but told me the sky is white It is
your
> > turn.
>
> No, you have just provided a bunch of apocryphal claims.
>

> In a different thread, I have provided actual Supreme Court cites. Unlike
> both your and my previous claims - those are proof that I am correct.

None regarding Headlight Flashing and Illegal use Try again. Or ask your cop friend

> There ARE laws against obstructing/interfering with an officer of the law.
> But the application of the laws have been limited by the courts to be
> narrower than the general reading of the laws would indicate.

2433. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 23:08:07 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020725190807.28959.00000748@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 131462

That's www.bigradio.biz

Thanks for the plug!

Train

>Subject: Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics
>From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter)
>Date: 7/25/02 5:13 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <fef386d5.0207251313.2d17586b@posting.google.com>
>
>"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
>news:<uk01f52ca5die9@corp.supernews.com>...
>> "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
>> news:fef386d5.0207250526.6987333e@posting.google.com...
>> >
>> > I think you should not buy a radio from Copper. Buy it from Radiobiz,
>> > before they too are closed down.
>>
>> What the hell? You work/own the place? Never even heard of it... You
>> sound pretty paranoid... Afraid the FCC is after ya? Maybe you just can't
>> make ends meet... Need better advertising, I'd say, since I have never
>> heard of the place before...
>>
>> PH
>
>Hey bud. Check it out. I don't know how long you have been around
>here, but you need to do two things. Lighten up and get a sense of
>humor, and read between the lines mudduck. Remember radiobiz is the
>cure for the common mudduck.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2434. Re: Cows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 23:10:36 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207251510.420b1b16@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131463

'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:<3D3F8B78.8263E861@icok.net>...
> TRADITIONAL CAPITALISM:
> You have two cows.
> You sell one and buy a bull.
> Your herd multiplies, and the economy grows.
> You sell them and retire on the income.

KEYCLOWN COMPANY

You have one cow but you illegally modify it
so it's the most powerful cow in the neighborhood.
So powerful it drives away all the neighboring cows.
Cows that are too dumb to be driven away are
simply trampled and killed by your cow.
Soon all that's left are modified cows like yours who
fight amongst themselves.
People can no longer have milk or dairy products
because you've decided "it's all about competition".

-A

2435. Re: Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 23:10:55 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020725191055.28959.00000749@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 131464

>Subject: Re: Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST
>From: Dave Hall nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net
>Date: 7/25/02 8:17 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D3FEC71.8D6@worldlynx.net>
>
>yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
>>
>> In <V1H%8.45557$jq5.12079@news2.central.cox.net>, "Ken Bessler"
>> <kg0wx@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> >"jim" <n4jwh@juno.com> wrote in message
>> >news:2716934a.0207241511.fa541aa@posting.google.com...
>> >> > Troll Post.
>> >> >
>> >> > Landshark
>> >>
>> >> sound's like the kind of (ham) I was warned to stay away from .
>> >
>> >So you're Jewish, eh?
>> >
>> >;-)
>>
>> What the hell does that have to do with Judaism? Or are you just
>> trying to stir up some antiSemitism in the newsgroup?
>>
>
>Airplane Joke......
>

No plane jokes........Please!
Train

2436. Re: KEYCLOWN BigBamboo Busted, LOL!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 23:15:19 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020725191519.28959.00000750@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 131466

>Subject: Re: KEYCLOWN BigBamboo Busted, LOL!
>From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@FrontierNet.net
>Date: 7/24/02 8:14 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <ujugmirvntf588@corp.supernews.com>
>
>This wasn't taken from the amateur enforcement section. This section
>included big fines to AT&T amongst others. I am starting to see a few
>things that worry me.

As well they should. When you have a government agency that can dole out fines
as they see fit and not have any checks and balances to speak of, IT SHOULD
WORRY YOU!
Remember what happened when congress figured out that it (they) has the power
to raise their own salaries? They got a raise!

Train

>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>
>"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020723231333.00490.00000400@mb-da.aol.com...
>> Regardless of where it happened, it is still just ANOTHER BAD HAM getting
>> busted and has nothing to do with CB other than CB was his band of choice
>for a
>> playground.
>> Train
>

2437. Re: hardly any cases will be fresh dark dogs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 23:15:44 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207251515.11a68249@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131465

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D3FEE40.1A02@worldlynx.net>...
> What's the deal with this nonsensical gibberish?

The only person that might be able to understand it is Twistedhed.
It's pretty close to his 'language' anyway.

-Aaron-

2438. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 23:20:00 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uk11rpmvru2bad@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131467

Doc, I might add you've got the most 'bull' of anyone around! (sorry, I
just couldn't resist that one - no offense intended) ;)

73 from Rochester, NY and thanks for the cute joke!
Jim

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D3F8B78.8263E861@icok.net...
> TRADITIONAL CAPITALISM:
> You have two cows.
> You sell one and buy a bull.
> Your herd multiplies, and the economy grows.
> You sell them and retire on the income.
>
> ENRON VENTURE CAPITALISM:
> You have two cows.
> You sell three of them to your publicly listed company,
> using letters of credit opened by your brother-in-law at
> the bank, then execute a debt/equity swap with an
> associated general offer so that you get all four cows back,
> with a tax exemption for five cows. The milk rights of the
> six cows are transferred via an intermediary to a Cayman
> Island company secretly owned by the majority shareholder
> who sells the rights to all seven cows back to your listed
> company. The annual report says the company owns eight
> cows, with an option on one more. Sell one cow to buy a
> new president of the United States, leaving you with nine
> cows. No balance sheet provided with the release.
> The public buys your bull.
>
> AN AMERICAN CORPORATION:
> You have two cows.
> You sell one, and force the other to produce the milk of four
> cows.
> You are surprised when the cow drops dead.
>
> A FRENCH CORPORATION:
> You have two cows.
> You go on strike because you want three cows.
>
> A JAPANESE CORPORATION:
> You have two cows.
> You redesign them so they are one-tenth the size of an
> ordinary cow and produce twenty times the milk. You
> then create clever cow cartoon images called Cowkimon
> and market them Worldwide.

>
> A GERMAN CORPORATION:
> You have two cows.
> You reengineer them so they live for 100 years, eat once
> a month, and milk themselves.
>
> A BRITISH CORPORATION:
> You have two cows.
> Both are mad.
>
> AN ITALIAN CORPORATION:
> You have two cows, but you don't know where they are.
> You break for lunch.
>
> A RUSSIAN CORPORATION:
> You have two cows.
> You count them and learn you have five cows. You count
> them again and learn you have 42 cows. You count them
> again and learn you have 12 cows.
> You stop counting cows and open another bottle of vodka.
>
> A SWISS CORPORATION:
> You have 5000 cows, none of which belong to you.
> You charge others for storing them.
>
> A CHINESE CORPORATION:
> You have two cows.
> You have 300 people milking them. You claim full employment,
> high bovine productivity, and arrest the newsman who reported
> the numbers.
>
> AN ARKANSAS CORPORATION:
> You have two cows.
> That one on the left is kinda cute.

2439. Re: Monster CB....++

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 23:21:50 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uk11v7q08n0dfb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131469

Or as useless as tits on a bull ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:mKX%8.5693$Kl6.282931@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Steve Gale" <stephengale(nojunk)@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
> news:2FW%8.8940$DN4.1574353@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > 100w output
> > http://members.kingston.net/sgale/Sunair/gsb900sc.htm
> >
> > by the way im in Kingston Ontario Canada
> >
> >
> >
> > ....(this ought to set the anals off)
>
>
> Good Lord, why? It is useless for any purpose but the one intended. I
> gotta switch channels to switch sidebands?? Outside of channelized
> services it is, to quote my dad, as useless as a third tit and not nearly
> so decorative.
>
> And I am in Omaha NE.
>
>

2440. Re: Cows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 23:26:25 UTC
From: "r.r.c.b.auto-responder" <usenet@autoresponder.kom>
Message-ID: <uk11ta8s86p5d0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131468

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207251510.420b1b16@posting.google.com...
> 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:<3D3F8B78.8263E861@icok.net>...
> > TRADITIONAL CAPITALISM:
> > You have two cows.
> > You sell one and buy a bull.
> > Your herd multiplies, and the economy grows.
> > You sell them and retire on the income.
>
> KEYCLOWN COMPANY
>
> You have one cow but you illegally modify it
> so it's the most powerful cow in the neighborhood.
> So powerful it drives away all the neighboring cows.
> Cows that are too dumb to be driven away are
> simply trampled and killed by your cow.
> Soon all that's left are modified cows like yours who
> fight amongst themselves.
> People can no longer have milk or dairy products
> because you've decided "it's all about competition".
>
> -A

Thats pretty deep Voob. <g>

2441. Re: Cows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 23:27:15 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <nP%%8.3873$pg2.292976@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131470

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207251510.420b1b16@posting.google.com...
> 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message
news:<3D3F8B78.8263E861@icok.net>...

Da biggest cow I ever seen
Vas over by Prairie du Scheen
Dis cow's so big I'm telling you
Ven she said Moo, you better Moo.

And vid her nose in Prarie du Chien
She gifs her milk down by Raycine
Among da farmers, dere is joy
But dere is fear, in Illinois

2442. Re: Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 23:33:17 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207251533.f5af6e2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<1P0Y8.17690$_e3.399360327@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207131136.2a246d69@posting.google.com...
> > ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST
> >
>
>
> Troll Post.
>
> Landshark

Just curious...what makes posting an on-topic informational FCC
document a "troll post"?

>"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

Only an idiot could think up that ridiculous slogan and use it as his
"sig" during a time of national crisis.

-A

2443. Re: Nothing changed around hear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-25 23:48:10 UTC
From: Smokin Cash <ira20@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <_6009.6200$Ky3.353507@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 131472

Thanks

--
Slower Traffic Keep Right
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:IdI%8.2313$pg2.187109@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Smokin Cash" <ira20@earthlink.com> wrote in message
> news:7YH%8.1681$Ky3.168817@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Some of you make me laugh so hard!!! Keep up the stupidity. I love a
> good
> > laugh.
> >
> >
> > Oh and btw I got my ticket finally for those that kept asking about a
> few
> > months back. I just have to learn that code to keep going.
> >
> >
> > --
> > Slower Traffic Keep Right
>
> Talk to Bert about learning it. He did so recently and is very willing to
> help.
>
> Takes some steady work and a walk in the wilderness before it makes sense
> but it will, believe me.
> >
> >
>
>
>

2444. H & Y ELECTRONICS BEST PRICES ON THE INTERNET AMPS RADIOS AND MORE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 00:30:29 UTC
From: websterfla@aol.com
Message-ID: <208bdd4a.0207251630.4af834de@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131473

FOR YOUR CB NEEDS CHECK OUT THE WEB SITE WWW.HYELECTRONICS.COM
THIS COMPANY CARRIES ALL YOUR CB ACCESSORYS.THEY WILL NOT BE UNDER
SOLD.I RECIEVE ALL MY CB STUFF FROM THEM . I TRYED ALL THE REST NOW I
RUN WITH THE VERY BEST. THANKS FOR YOUR TIME YOUR FRIEND JOE WITH THE
BIG RADIO SHOW.PLEASE CHECK OUT THERE WEB SITE YOU WONT BE SORRY 10-4
...ONE MORE THING IF YOUR NOT BUYING FROM H Y YOUR PAYING TO MUCH...

2445. Re: Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 00:50:00 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Y0109.6089$Kl6.314025@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131474

Oy mine god...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> Not entirely true, my daughter-in-law and her entire family is
> Jewish and they all eat pork.

2446. Stock Hand Mics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 01:30:29 UTC
From: Steve Gale <stephengale@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <zD109.24782$dk1.1660013@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 131475
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

new $5. each or bid on the auction....handy to have...i have lots.....if
you want more let me know....compare at $15.00 store shelf price

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1368771258

2447. cb radio manuals for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 02:42:37 UTC
From: wbelsito@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020725224237.03244.00000810@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 131476

hi ;

i have a celler full of cb manuals .

e - mail your needs .

WALT wbelsito@aol.com

2448. Re: What happened to Coax Kid..Left Coast Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 02:54:04 UTC
From: DummyLoad@webtv.net
Message-ID: <915-3D40B9CC-10@storefull-2398.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 131481

I think he sold out to Express Electronics Incorporated some time ago,
but I could be mistaken about that.

2449. Re: more cows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 03:06:33 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207251906.515d9ec5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131477

'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:<3D3F8B78.8263E861@icok.net>...
> TRADITIONAL CAPITALISM:
> You have two cows.
> You sell one and buy a bull.
> Your herd multiplies, and the economy grows.
> You sell them and retire on the income.

FREEBAND KEYCLOWN COMPANY

You have 23 cows
You notice that your neighbor is not guarding his cows very well
so you take over the neighbors pasture.
Now you have 40 cows.
Since this is so easy and it's not likely you'll get caught,
you move into other pastures.
Soon you have 320 cows.
But you get upset when you get no "respect" from people
who bought cows instead of stealing them like you did.

-A

2450. Re: Newbie from Buffalo NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 03:10:43 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207251910.27fccf8b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131478

Suryaprakash Kompalli <kompalli@cse.buffalo.edu> wrote in message
news:<Pine.SOL.4.30.0207251650490.19127-100000@pollux.cse.buffalo.edu>...
> Hello,
> I am a newbie to Ham Radio - I know what it is, but I dont have
> any equipment or books right now, but I am all gunning to learn more
> about it. Yes, I have located a few clubs in/around buffalo, and have sent
> emails to them, but I was wondering if there is any one out there who can
> show me some stuff and gimme some advise before I really go out there
> and buy anything.
> BTW, I am an international student, from India - Are there any
> restrictions on international students in the US WRT operating hams?
> Bye,
> Surya
> __ __
> |__ |__| |/
> __|urya |rakash |\ompalli
>
> Email - kompalli@cse.buffalo.edu
> Phone - Home - (716) 862 0366 (67,Lisbon Ave,BUF)
> - Work - (716) 645 6164 X 519 (CEDAR, UB Commons)
> Website - http://www.cse.buffalo.edu/~kompalli

Surya, I think you are in the wrong newsgroup. This is a CB Radio
newsgroup. "CB Radio" is not the same as "Ham Radio".

Try rec.radio.amateur.misc

-Aaron-

2451. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 03:11:36 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0207251911.7fad8c6e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131479

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:<uk0s3c93bhrpb4@corp.supernews.com>...
> "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> news:fef386d5.0207251313.2d17586b@posting.google.com...
> > "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:<uk01f52ca5die9@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> > > news:fef386d5.0207250526.6987333e@posting.google.com...
> > > >
> > > > I think you should not buy a radio from Copper. Buy it from Radiobiz,
> > > > before they too are closed down.
> > > >
> > > What the hell? You work/own the place? Never even heard of it... You
> > > sound pretty paranoid... Afraid the FCC is after ya? Maybe you just
> can't
> > > make ends meet... Need better advertising, I'd say, since I have never
> > > heard of the place before...
> > > >
> > > PH
> > >
> > Hey bud. Check it out. I don't know how long you have been around
> > here, but you need to do two things. Lighten up and get a sense of
> > humor, and read between the lines mudduck. Remember radiobiz is the
> > cure for the common mudduck.
>
> OK then...
>
> Quite a number of years, thank you, and I STILL haven't heard of them. Like
> I said, you need better advertising. It certainly doesn't help the fact
> that you don't include a way to get there??
>
> On the subject of lightening up... I'm light enough thanks. I was only
> responding to what seemed to be paranoia on your part; "before they too are
> closed down"? Sounds pretty paranoid to me, maybe you should take your own
> advice and lighten up a bit... :)
>
> PH

Well, let's see. You say you have been around for years and you have
never heard of 'radiobiz.com'? Ok sure pal. By the way. I don't own
it. I would not ever, and I do mean ever, sell illegal equipment to
the citizens of this country. It's kind of like liquor stores in that
respect. Selling liquor to a drunk is not cool and selling illegal cb
amps and radios to young people is not a good thing to do.

2452. Re: Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 03:13:40 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0207251913.6b6b48f0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131480
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D405EFD.66C68AF2@mailcity.net>...
> Dave Hall wrote:
>
> > >
> > > Ham=Pork. Jew's don't eat pork, Hence the line, "kind of ham I was
> > > warned to stay away from". Get it yet?
> > >
> > > Dave
> > > "Sandbagger"
>
> Not entirely true, my daughter-in-law and her entire family is
> Jewish and they all eat pork.

I don't believe it.

2453. Re: Newbie from Buffalo NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 03:15:54 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <K9309.13058$ub.2645@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 131482

Hello there , there are A couple of stores in this area I know because I have lived in this part of New York for 30 years here is my number maybe I can point you in the right direction .893-2505.
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"Suryaprakash Kompalli" <kompalli@cse.buffalo.edu> wrote in message news:Pine.SOL.4.30.0207251650490.19127-100000@pollux.cse.buffalo.edu...
> Hello,
> I am a newbie to Ham Radio - I know what it is, but I dont have
> any equipment or books right now, but I am all gunning to learn more
> about it. Yes, I have located a few clubs in/around buffalo, and have sent
> emails to them, but I was wondering if there is any one out there who can
> show me some stuff and gimme some advise before I really go out there
> and buy anything.
> BTW, I am an international student, from India - Are there any
> restrictions on international students in the US WRT operating hams?
> Bye,
> Surya
> __ __
> |__ |__| |/
> __|urya |rakash |\ompalli
>
> Email - kompalli@cse.buffalo.edu
> Phone - Home - (716) 862 0366 (67,Lisbon Ave,BUF)
> - Work - (716) 645 6164 X 519 (CEDAR, UB Commons)
> Website - http://www.cse.buffalo.edu/~kompalli
>

2454. Re: H & Y ELECTRONICS BEST PRICES ON THE INTERNET AMPS RADIOS AND MORE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 03:20:53 UTC
From: me@me.com
Message-ID: <3d40bf89.137366810@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 131483

On 25 Jul 2002 17:30:29 -0700, websterfla@aol.com (BIG JOE) wrote:

>FOR YOUR CB NEEDS CHECK OUT THE WEB SITE WWW.HYELECTRONICS.COM
>THIS COMPANY CARRIES ALL YOUR CB ACCESSORYS.THEY WILL NOT BE UNDER
>SOLD.I RECIEVE ALL MY CB STUFF FROM THEM . I TRYED ALL THE REST NOW I
>RUN WITH THE VERY BEST. THANKS FOR YOUR TIME YOUR FRIEND JOE WITH THE
>BIG RADIO SHOW.PLEASE CHECK OUT THERE WEB SITE YOU WONT BE SORRY 10-4
>...ONE MORE THING IF YOUR NOT BUYING FROM H Y YOUR PAYING TO MUCH...

fuck that, I can get *ANYTHING* sold by H&Y electronics (except maybe
stuff built custom for them by various manufactures) cheaper from
several wholesale distrubutors. like A1-telecom, MJ products, ect...

2455. Re: Newbie from Buffalo NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 03:25:33 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207251925.3fc89274@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131484

"Tom Bridge - Doc" t.bridge@verizon.net
>Hello there , there are A couple of stores in this area I know
>because I have lived in this part of New York for 30 years
>here is my number maybe I
>can point you in the right direction .893-2505.

Yeah Doc, how coincidental it is that you just happen to run a web
business catering to illegal CBers:

http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"He specializes in the service of Uniden HR2510, HR2600 and Lincoln
series Amateur radios, and Chipswitch installations.....Doc knows just
about every type of CB radio ever manufactured, and what modifications
can be done to them. Doc is an urban legend in the Buffalo and Niagara
Falls NY area..... If you need a mod done to your radio you have come
to the right place."

I sincerely hope you don't try and tell this kid that unlicensed
illegal bootlegging is"ham radio".

-Aaron-

2456. Re: Newbie from Buffalo NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 03:34:14 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uk1gohdg89ac6c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131485

I've got it covered, Aaron. Been in contact via e-mail

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207251910.27fccf8b@posting.google.com...
> Suryaprakash Kompalli <kompalli@cse.buffalo.edu> wrote in message
news:<Pine.SOL.4.30.0207251650490.19127-100000@pollux.cse.buffalo.edu>...
> > Hello,
> > I am a newbie to Ham Radio - I know what it is, but I dont have
> > any equipment or books right now, but I am all gunning to learn more
> > about it. Yes, I have located a few clubs in/around buffalo, and have
sent
> > emails to them, but I was wondering if there is any one out there who
can
> > show me some stuff and gimme some advise before I really go out there
> > and buy anything.
> > BTW, I am an international student, from India - Are there any
> > restrictions on international students in the US WRT operating hams?
> > Bye,
> > Surya
> > __ __
> > |__ |__| |/
> > __|urya |rakash |\ompalli
> >
> > Email - kompalli@cse.buffalo.edu
> > Phone - Home - (716) 862 0366 (67,Lisbon Ave,BUF)
> > - Work - (716) 645 6164 X 519 (CEDAR, UB Commons)
> > Website - http://www.cse.buffalo.edu/~kompalli
>
> Surya, I think you are in the wrong newsgroup. This is a CB Radio
> newsgroup. "CB Radio" is not the same as "Ham Radio".
>
> Try rec.radio.amateur.misc
>
> -Aaron-

2457. Re: Super Scanner Antenna For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 04:37:50 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <ym409.34110$ly4.13752586@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131486

"nukem" <nukem@gotmail.com> wrote in message
news:XzU%8.132209$XH.3031498@twister.tampabay.rr.com...
>
> > There is a Antenna Specialist Super Scanner for sale.
> >
> > View Here:
> >
> >
>
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewItem&item=136904505
> 7+
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

Without saying much, Ebay has a policy for spamming a newsgroup with
ads for auctions.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

2458. Ontario Scanner Web Page & Yahoogroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 05:17:14 UTC
From: Matthew Stone <mbstone99@removeme.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <pqm1ku4fuin6aortostg8l7h64oe80n1tb@4ax.com>
Source record: 131487

The Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide is dedicated to scanner radio hobbists. On here you will find lists of Ontario radio frequencies that are not listed on any web page, published in any scannner book (Haruteq or Scantrix) or listed in the government frequency list (Industry Canada's TAFL). The Ontario Frequency Guide mailing list (oufg) is for scanner radio hobbyists so they have a public forum to be able to chit chat with each other about anything relating to the radio scanner hobby in Ontario as well you will be able to recieve updates of what changes I have made to the Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide web pages.

Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide - http://oufg.webhop.net/
Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide Yahoogroup (oufg) - http://groups.yahoo.com/group/oufg/

Matthew Stone
Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide/oufg Yahoogroup
http://oufg.webhop.net/

2459. Re: Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 05:36:17 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D40C183.D2B876AF@mailcity.net>
Source record: 131488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

chessecutter wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D405EFD.66C68AF2@mailcity.net>...
> > Dave Hall wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Ham=Pork. Jew's don't eat pork, Hence the line, "kind of ham I was
> > > warned to stay away from". Get it yet?
> > >
> > > Dave
> > > "Sandbagger"
> >
> > Not entirely true, my daughter-in-law and her entire family is
> > Jewish and they all eat pork.
>
> I don't believe it.

Why not? I have sat down and eaten pork with them served in their
home. Not all people of Jewish decent observe all Jewish
traditions.

2460. Re: FCC question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 06:05:14 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ahqoqq$691$3@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 131489

Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com> wrote:

> "Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
>>
>> No, you have just provided a bunch of apocryphal claims.
>>
>> In a different thread, I have provided actual Supreme Court cites. Unlike
>> both your and my previous claims - those are proof that I am correct.

> None regarding Headlight Flashing and Illegal use Try again. Or ask your cop
> friend

Correct, but that is immaterial. The courts have ruled what types of
actions constitute interfering or obstructing. Neither flashing of
headlights or using a radio qualify.

>> There ARE laws against obstructing/interfering with an officer of the law.
>> But the application of the laws have been limited by the courts to be
>> narrower than the general reading of the laws would indicate.

Why didn't you respond to this?

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2461. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 06:15:42 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ahqpee$691$4@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 131490

Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com> wrote:

>> Wrong. You have provided a listing of some postings where people claim
>> that either they have received a ticket or that it is illegal somewhere
>> else.

> And I have talked to Actual Police Officers about this as well as all the
> posts that are out there where people have recieved a summons and paid the
> summons

Read those postings again. I don't recall any that stated that the person
themselves had received a sommons.

> I guess you don't beleive them either.

I will believe a citation from a court of law.

>> From my research, it appears that it would be obstructing/interfering in
>> the UK to flash your lights. I couldn't find any higher court cases, in
>> the limited hunting that I did, that would show otherwise.

> It woul be improper use of equipment or obstruction depending on there town
> or prosecutor. Look up the Unifom Traffic Code. I don't knopw why your
> looking up Supreme Court cases this is only where people have challenged a
> ticket or this Law.

Yes, but lower courts are bound by the Supreme Court decisions. If the
original court of law ignores their ruling - then the appelate courts
will throw out the conviction. It shouldn't even get that far, as any
decent lawyer will do some basic research and discover the ruling for
themselves. If the judge still ignores the rulings, then appeal is
very straightforward.

>> For the US, see Chaplinsky vs New Hampshire,

> Deals with
> Chaplinsky vs. New Hampshire, "Fighting Words"

> Deals with RACIAL HATRED
> Gooding vs Wilson,

> Deals with Racial Hatred

>> Lewis vs New Orleans,

> City of Houston vs Hill, Commonwealth of Pennsylvania vs
>> Beadney (Beachey - my handwriting is hard to read), City of Houston, Tx
>> vs Hill. There are many others that I did not have the time to review.
>> All these are available on Google.

> Does writing them 2x count for more LOL
> Bill None of these Not one has anything to do with someone flashing there
> headlights and getting ticketed. Are you really serious ?

Yes, I am serious. The whole point is that the courts have narrowly
construed what is meant by "interfering" or "obstructing". Lesser
actions by a person will not qualify.

>> See above. The Supreme Court decisions state that you are wrong.

> They do please post the exact info where they said Cops can't ticket peole
> for flashing there headlights, everything you listed is non existant in
> regards to this Bill are you on medication?

Oh, they certainly can give a person a ticket. But it had better be for
some other action than obstructing or interfering.

>> The most recent case above is 1987. You will have to find more recent
>> Supreme Court rulings, if you want to show that the earlier rulings are
>> no longer valid.

> You will need to show One revelant case there is nothing at all regarding
> someone flashing there headlights and getting a summons. Boy are you out in
> left field.

Maybe. How about finding some court cites where a person has been
convicted for obstructing/interfering because they flashed their lights
to warn of a speed trap?

After all, there must be thousands of them, if your claims are correct.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2462. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 07:43:56 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207252343.d4d8a4d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131491

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d4073f9.8266267@news.cet.com>...
> In <3d406996$0$13950$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>, "Kevin Muenzler,
> WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >Now why am I not surprised? I knew that eventually Twistyboy would tick off
> >the wrong person and get slammed. My hat's off to whoever it was!
> >
> >Kevin, WB5RUE
>
> Wasn't me, but I wish it was!

You know Sparkles,maybe you should get a Rascal scooter so you you can
get around outside without getting out of breath.I'm sure you can get
one on medicare since your a lonely shut-in.

2463. Re: hardly any cases will be fresh dark dogs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 07:48:47 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207252348.3dbcdf5e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131492

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0207251515.11a68249@posting.google.com>...
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D3FEE40.1A02@worldlynx.net>...
> > What's the deal with this nonsensical gibberish?
>
> The only person that might be able to understand it is Twistedhed.
> It's pretty close to his 'language' anyway.
>
> -Aaron-

I thought I told you to shut your piehole Goobner

2464. Re: Digital CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 08:26:54 UTC
From: piecheech@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020726042654.21834.00000665@mb-ff.aol.com>
Source record: 131493

I guess if you were in the middle of nowhere like in the middle of the desert in Arizona, you probably wouldn't have too much interference. Thanks for the info!
Joe PieCheech

2465. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 09:57:59 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d411a29.7764857@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131494

In <57ce2c1e.0207252343.d4d8a4d@posting.google.com>,
dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com (dr_sinister) wrote:

>yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d4073f9.8266267@news.cet.com>...
>> In <3d406996$0$13950$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>, "Kevin Muenzler,
>> WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >Now why am I not surprised? I knew that eventually Twistyboy would tick off
>> >the wrong person and get slammed. My hat's off to whoever it was!
>> >
>> >Kevin, WB5RUE
>>
>> Wasn't me, but I wish it was!
>
>You know Sparkles,maybe you should get a Rascal scooter so you you can
>get around outside without getting out of breath.I'm sure you can get
>one on medicare since your a lonely shut-in.

user-11fa15n.dsl.mindspring.com

Gee, another ghost account used by a 'misdirection' service. Instead
of your amateurish US based service, why don't you try one of those
Korean misdirectors that will bounce your mail through half-a-dozen
fake DNS accounts -- they really have it down to an art!

2466. Re: H & Y ELECTRONICS BEST PRICES ON THE INTERNET AMPS RADIOS AND MORE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 11:14:41 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D412F25.FF22786@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131495

That's h&KY electronics. They're gonna' stick it to ya'.

They are the same company as Copper Electronics. Just the other
side of the warehouse.

BIG JOE wrote:
>
> FOR YOUR CB NEEDS CHECK OUT THE WEB SITE WWW.HYELECTRONICS.COM

2467. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 12:25:47 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D413FCB.5049@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 131496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
>
> In <3D3FE704.76D5@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
> <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >All true. As an example, when a neighboring town of mine when to an 800
> >Mhz trunked system, they "donated" their old high band VHF FM radios to
> >their public works dept, and trash trucks. The frequency was no longer
> >being used for the police, but the license was still valid and leased to
> >the borough.
>
> They would have had to change their freqs -- the Safety Pool freqs are
> assigned at the federal level and are not used for garbage trucks.

I wondered about that myself. But nevertheless it happened. I think that
this particular frequency (155.115) is in a "shared" area of the band.

>
> >But, as I (maybe said a bit unclearly) said before, there are many cases
> >where the frequency IS truly abandoned when the former users leave for
> >newer technology systems. Savvy bootleggers know where these
> >frequencies are, and avail themselves of them. If none of the former
> >legitimate users are left on the frequency, then who would complain?
>
> Many fire departments and law-enforcement agencies use what others
> consider to be 'abandoned' frequencies for undercover work and/or
> training operations (and probably other purposes). These freqs may
> still be licensed, and although they might not be currently being
> used, can still be used for emergency purposes or as backup comm
> systems. Bootlegging on PSP freqs is still a very bad idea. I'm sure
> that if any PSP licensee knew that their freq, used or not, was being
> bootlegged they would monitor and prosecute the violator as quickly as
> possible.

True also. My township still holds a license to use one of the old
"abandoned" low band police frequencies. I never hear any activity on
it, but the police cars still have the capability to switch there, so I
imagine they do from time to time, when they want to talk to each other
without being monitored by county dispatch.

>
> >>
> >> > The old equipment usually is either scapped or sold

> >> >dirt cheap.
> >>
> >> True story. I have picked up lots of VHF equipment at thrift stores
> >> and garage sales.
> >>
> >> > Many hams buy this stuff to convert to neighboring ham
> >> >bands.
> >>
> >> 6 meters being the most common. 2 meter radios are too cheap to bother
> >> with the conversion from any commercial radio.
> >
> >Au contrare. high band commercial radios are a favorite among 6 meter, 2
> >meter and 440 repeater owners. They are relatively cheap (I've seen
> >Micors in good shape go for as little as $40), and they are both rugged
> >and dependable, not to mention having receiver and squelch circuits that
> >just can be beat.
>
> You missed the point: the 2 meter equivalents are just as cheap. It's
> not worth the time and expense to modify a 2 meter rig for commercial
> freqs when you can pick up commercial rigs for $40 or less. Once I
> found a base and two mobiles at a flea market for $5. Scary.

I misunderstood you. You're talking about modifying ham gear to bootleg
on commercial freqs. I was talking about hams modding commercial gear to
use on the ham bands, since they are usually more dependable and rugged.

>
> >I am looking for a VHF Micor receiver that I want to convert to 220 Mhz.
> >I have the information on what needs to be changed to bring it up to
> >full sensitivity on this band. This would be the E-ticket for my 220
> >repeater. One of these days.....
>
> Go for it

It's all a matter of finding the time.

>
> >> > Some of the best ham repeaters around, are running 20 yr. old
> >> >Motorola and GE commercial radios. Since the radios are so cheap, many
> >> >"other" people buy them too to create their own "private" business band.
> >> >Illegal of course, but if they keep a low profile, nobody even notices,
> >> >much less cares.
> >>
> >> Oh yes, they do care. Commercial licensees are very anal when it comes
> >> to someone interfering on their freq. They may use it often, or they
> >> may use it once a month, but if someone is bootlegging on their freq
> >> they -will- complain, and the FCC -will- respond. Money talks, and the
> >> freeloaders pay the price.
> >
> >No arguement there. But if the frequency truly IS dead, then who would
> >be around to notice or care?

>
> People that monitor their police scanners.

Why would people monitor a supposed "dead" frequency?

>
> If the bootleggers are allowed a foothold in the Safety Pool then you
> might as well write off all the other emergency bands as well.

I have caught wind of some people doing things like that. I don't know
if they take the trouble to get their cheaply purchased commercial rigs,
reprogrammed for different freqs, or they just bootleg on the one(s)
that's already there. Frankly, I don't want to know. I don't want any
part of people playing in the public service bands.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2468. Re: Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 12:30:50 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D4140FA.6365@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 131497

Coax Length Police wrote:
>
> In article <3d2abc7d.1601667@news.cet.com>,
> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
>
> > In <anylength-E9D06F.02035709072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
> > <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
> >
> > >[One of Sparky's nonsensical responses isolated for closer scrutiny]
> >
> > <snip>
> > >Ha! Double-humped waves!!!??? There is no such thing in this context.
> > >The current at a current null is zero, nada, nothing! You cannot
> > >measure it with an inductive probe. It is a sine wave with
> > >amplitude zero. it is not even relevant if it is a function "over"
> > >time or "of" time -- I'm not even going to get into this nonsense.
> >
> > It is VERY relevant if it is a function 'over' time, since it is the
> > function 'over' time that you are measuring. If you want to measure
> > the function 'of' time you need a pretty fast scope.
> >
> > >Furthermore, I challenged you in the last response to show how the
> > >sum of two sine waves of the same frequency produces a "double-humped"
> > >wave. I am really looking forward to your answer.
> >
> > No, you "challenged" me to "Just try to add two sine waves at the same
> > frequency with ANY phase difference and see what you get. show that
> > mixing two signals..." blah, blah, blah. Anybody can do that. You
> > didn't even know what the hell you were talking about, or you woudn't
> > have even given me the challenge. The "challenge" has been met:
> >
> > Pucker up, "dumbass"!!!
>
> Hee hee! Sparky, you fail. You cannot support your own claims.
> Let's analyze your answer: "blah, blah, blah. Anybody can do that."
>
> This is clearly a non-answer.
>
> You were half right about the challenge. You are to add two sine waves
> at the same frequency with ANY phase difference. The other half of the
> challenge was to show that this created, as you claimed, a distorted
> sine wave.
>
> You cannot do this. We can see your answer ("blah, blah, blah").

> You spew total bullshit as facts. Give it up, dumbass. You are forever
> the rec.radio.cb dumbass.
>
> > Let's try this from a different angle: What are the first five words
> > on page 146?
>
> Who cares? The releveant question is: where does the book IN ANY WAY
> support what YOU CLAIMED IT SAID. I never misrepresented the book; you
> did. I don't have to lie about my references as you do.
>
> Once again, it is the Sparky/yeti@cet.com standard operating procedure:
> post fiction/lies/bullshit and do a dance when you get caught in your
> lies.

My God! Are you still harping away at this? Give it a rest will ya.
Sheesh!

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2469. Re: hardly any cases will be fresh dark dogs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 12:42:22 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D4143AE.4C9@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 131498

Power House wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3D3FEE40.1A02@worldlynx.net...
> > What's the deal with this nonsensical gibberish? I see this stuff pop up
> > from time to time. What's the point? Is someone trying to be funny (It's
> > not working), or just enjoy trying up bandwidth? Or is is a secret coded
> > message to Osama's boys?
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> >
>
> Give this link a try... It will clear everything up for you... I take it
> you are not using SuperNews. If you were, these posts would not show up, as
> they actively filter out these postings...
>
> http://www.ganesha.org/ptb/hipcrime.html
>
> I threw this one in for the hell of it... Check out some of the cool UseNet
> words, and their definitions... I like "sock"... There are a LOT of
> "sock"s in this newsgroup!!
>
> http://www.spamfaq.net/terminology.shtml#Colloquialisms

Thank you muchly. I am continually amazed that while a great many people
spend loads of time and money developing things to make our lives
richer, there seems to be an equal amount of people hell-bent on
disrupting it....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2470. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 12:57:29 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D414739.7E7@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 131499

Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE wrote:
>
> <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d3fe61f.430557@news.cet.com...
> > In <3D3F7231.4B11D82A@yaSPAMhoo.com>, Scott Sixnine
> > <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote:
> >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >Z26915 wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> your e-mail is bouncing
> > > >
> > > >Yes it does. You have to use the right email addy.
> >
> > Yeah, he lost his web-based webtv account because of harassment and
> > threats of violence through "anonymous" Usenet servers. You need to
> > get the address for the ISP he is using for -this- month (probably
> > another mindspring.com account under another alias).
>
> Now why am I not surprised? I knew that eventually Twistyboy would tick off
> the wrong person and get slammed. My hat's off to whoever it was!
>

Don't break out the bubbly just yet. I seem to recall Twisty himself
making a comment along the lines that he will be on "vacation" for a
while, some time back. His absense may simply mean that he's out in the
real world. As scary a thought as that might be.......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2471. Re: Newbie from Buffalo NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 13:22:51 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207260522.6f6531f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131500

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0207251910.27fccf8b@posting.google.com>...
> Suryaprakash Kompalli <kompalli@cse.buffalo.edu> wrote in message
news:<Pine.SOL.4.30.0207251650490.19127-100000@pollux.cse.buffalo.edu>...
> > Hello,
> > I am a newbie to Ham Radio - I know what it is, but I dont have
> > any equipment or books right now, but I am all gunning to learn more
> > about it. Yes, I have located a few clubs in/around buffalo, and have sent
> > emails to them, but I was wondering if there is any one out there who can
> > show me some stuff and gimme some advise before I really go out there
> > and buy anything.
> > BTW, I am an international student, from India - Are there any
> > restrictions on international students in the US WRT operating hams?
> > Bye,
> > Surya
> > __ __
> > |__ |__| |/
> > __|urya |rakash |\ompalli
> >
> > Email - kompalli@cse.buffalo.edu
> > Phone - Home - (716) 862 0366 (67,Lisbon Ave,BUF)
> > - Work - (716) 645 6164 X 519 (CEDAR, UB Commons)
> > Website - http://www.cse.buffalo.edu/~kompalli
>
> Surya, I think you are in the wrong newsgroup. This is a CB Radio
> newsgroup. "CB Radio" is not the same as "Ham Radio".
>
> Try rec.radio.amateur.misc
>
> -Aaron-

Oh for pity sake - he's looking for information. That newsgroup is a
bigger flame fest than this one! Can't you EVER give good information
Anal Aaron?

2472. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 14:05:55 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d415582$0$13954$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 131501

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d4073f9.8266267@news.cet.com...
> In <3d406996$0$13950$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>, "Kevin Muenzler,
> WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >Now why am I not surprised? I knew that eventually Twistyboy would tick
off
> >the wrong person and get slammed. My hat's off to whoever it was!
> >
> >Kevin, WB5RUE
>
> Wasn't me, but I wish it was!
>

I really hate to see it happen to anyone but some people just deserve what they get. Trouble is that some people not only don't learn from their own mistakes but don't learn from the mistakes of others.

I've done it to a couple in this group. Maybe one of them was Twistyboy in another life or something.

Kevin, WB5RUE

2473. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 14:41:34 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uk2nvhmc1glt71@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131502

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0207251911.7fad8c6e@posting.google.com...
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:<uk0s3c93bhrpb4@corp.supernews.com>...
> > "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> > news:fef386d5.0207251313.2d17586b@posting.google.com...
> > > "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> > news:<uk01f52ca5die9@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > > "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:fef386d5.0207250526.6987333e@posting.google.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > I think you should not buy a radio from Copper. Buy it from
Radiobiz,
> > > > > before they too are closed down.
> > > > >
> > > > What the hell? You work/own the place? Never even heard of it...
You
> > > > sound pretty paranoid... Afraid the FCC is after ya? Maybe you
just
> > can't
> > > > make ends meet... Need better advertising, I'd say, since I have
never
> > > > heard of the place before...
> > > > >
> > > > PH
> > > >
> > > Hey bud. Check it out. I don't know how long you have been around
> > > here, but you need to do two things. Lighten up and get a sense of
> > > humor, and read between the lines mudduck. Remember radiobiz is the
> > > cure for the common mudduck.
> > >
> > OK then...
> >
> > Quite a number of years, thank you, and I STILL haven't heard of them.
Like
> > I said, you need better advertising. It certainly doesn't help the fact
> > that you don't include a way to get there??
> >
> > On the subject of lightening up... I'm light enough thanks. I was only
> > responding to what seemed to be paranoia on your part; "before they too
are
> > closed down"? Sounds pretty paranoid to me, maybe you should take your
own
> > advice and lighten up a bit... :)

> >
> > PH
>
>
> Well, let's see. You say you have been around for years and you have
> never heard of 'radiobiz.com'? Ok sure pal.

Nope, still never heard of them... Let me check the Google log and see how long I've been here... According to the records, my first post was January of 1998. My first post in this group was in June of 1998. I'm sure that I have been around the group as a lurker for a few months prior to that posting as well... Been around a while? You make the call...

> By the way. I don't own
> it. I would not ever, and I do mean ever, sell illegal equipment to
> the citizens of this country. It's kind of like liquor stores in that
> respect. Selling liquor to a drunk is not cool and selling illegal cb
> amps and radios to young people is not a good thing to do.

Sounds good to me, don't have a problem with that at all...

PH

2474. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 14:52:17 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uk2ojkt5kobh36@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131503

Well, now that explains that... I've heard of THAT site before, but not this
off the wall "radiobiz" website he/she was trying to pawn off...

On the topic of websites... Whilst doing a domain search for CB related
domain names, I ran across www.clubcb.com ... Anybody ever checked it out?
It's on the likes of Tim's site, only with a little more (or should I say
less) pizzas... :)

PH

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020725190807.28959.00000748@mb-fm.aol.com...
> That's www.bigradio.biz
>
> Thanks for the plug!
>
> Train
>
>
> >Subject: Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics
> >From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter)
> >Date: 7/25/02 5:13 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <fef386d5.0207251313.2d17586b@posting.google.com>
> >
> >"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> >news:<uk01f52ca5die9@corp.supernews.com>...
> >> "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> >> news:fef386d5.0207250526.6987333e@posting.google.com...
> >> >
> >> > I think you should not buy a radio from Copper. Buy it from Radiobiz,
> >> > before they too are closed down.
> >>
> >> What the hell? You work/own the place? Never even heard of it... You
> >> sound pretty paranoid... Afraid the FCC is after ya? Maybe you just
can't
> >> make ends meet... Need better advertising, I'd say, since I have never
> >> heard of the place before...
> >>
> >> PH
> >
> >Hey bud. Check it out. I don't know how long you have been around
> >here, but you need to do two things. Lighten up and get a sense of
> >humor, and read between the lines mudduck. Remember radiobiz is the
> >cure for the common mudduck.
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2475. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 15:01:10 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uk2p49lupa0vc8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131504

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:uk2ojkt5kobh36@corp.supernews.com...
> Well, now that explains that... I've heard of THAT site before, but not
this
> off the wall "radiobiz" website he/she was trying to pawn off...
>
> On the topic of websites... Whilst doing a domain search for CB related
> domain names, I ran across www.clubcb.com ... Anybody ever checked it
out?
> It's on the likes of Tim's site, only with a little more (or should I say
> less) pizzas... :)
>
> PH
>
Pizzas? Damn spell checker! That's pizzazz!

PH

2476. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 17:46:29 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uk32meo8dfa89a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You are exactly correct. They use GMRS and don't want the interference. A few miles away it is no problem, of course; but on company property it could pose a problem.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message news:3D3FE9F2.13FD@worldlynx.net...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> >
> > Jerry, don't worry. They'll be interfering with business services and my
> > guess is that a number of those businesses will have DEEP pockets and will
> > go after people themselves. BTW, I have learned we can't use FRS on company
> > property. Any guess as to why?
>
> Because your company has a GMRS station and expects FRS units would
> interfere?
>
>
> That's my guess. Or is your company just a bunch of stick in the muds?
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
>

2477. Re: more cows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 19:05:42 UTC
From: "r.r.c.b.auto-responder" <usenet@autoresponder.kom>
Message-ID: <uk370fqup2aha4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131506

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207251906.515d9ec5@posting.google.com...
> 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:<3D3F8B78.8263E861@icok.net>...
> > TRADITIONAL CAPITALISM:
> > You have two cows.
> > You sell one and buy a bull.
> > Your herd multiplies, and the economy grows.
> > You sell them and retire on the income.
>
> FREEBAND KEYCLOWN COMPANY
>
> You have 23 cows
> You notice that your neighbor is not guarding his cows very well
> so you take over the neighbors pasture.

Mooooooooo!

> Now you have 40 cows.
> Since this is so easy and it's not likely you'll get caught,
> you move into other pastures.

Mooooooooo!

> Soon you have 320 cows.

Moooo..
> But you get upset when you get no "respect" from people
> who bought cows instead of stealing them like you did.
>
> -A

Oink Oink!

2478. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 19:14:33 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d419ef6.2018548@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131507

In <3D414739.7E7@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
<nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

<snip>
>Don't break out the bubbly just yet. I seem to recall Twisty himself
>making a comment along the lines that he will be on "vacation" for a
>while, some time back. His absense may simply mean that he's out in the
>real world. As scary a thought as that might be.......
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

It may not have been twisty, it could have been Phil or even Max. It
doesn't really matter, they are all good for a laugh!

2479. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 19:15:29 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d419fa0.2188266@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131508
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In <3D413FCB.5049@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
<nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

>yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
>>
>> In <3D3FE704.76D5@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
>> <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >All true. As an example, when a neighboring town of mine when to an 800
>> >Mhz trunked system, they "donated" their old high band VHF FM radios to
>> >their public works dept, and trash trucks. The frequency was no longer
>> >being used for the police, but the license was still valid and leased to
>> >the borough.
>>
>> They would have had to change their freqs -- the Safety Pool freqs are
>> assigned at the federal level and are not used for garbage trucks.
>
>I wondered about that myself. But nevertheless it happened. I think that
>this particular frequency (155.115) is in a "shared" area of the band.

155.115 MHz is in the PSP and designated "PX" according to the
following list:

PF--Fire Coordinator
PH--Highway Maintenance Coordinator
PM--Emergency Medical Coordinator
PO--Forestry-Conservation Coordinator
PP--Police Coordinator
PS--Special Emergency Coordinator
PX--Any Public Safety Coordinator, except the Special Emergency
Coordinator

So if the freq was used for police it can be changed to fire, highway,
forestry, etc.

<snip>
>> >No arguement there. But if the frequency truly IS dead, then who would
>> >be around to notice or care?
>>
>> People that monitor their police scanners.
>
>Why would people monitor a supposed "dead" frequency?

To see if it's really dead. Some of these scanner 'enthusiasts' aren't satisfied with the FCC's listings so they constantly scan for 'secret' freqs. Sometimes they get lucky and sometimes they get freeloaders.

>> If the bootleggers are allowed a foothold in the Safety Pool then you
>> might as well write off all the other emergency bands as well.
>
>I have caught wind of some people doing things like that. I don't know
>if they take the trouble to get their cheaply purchased commercial rigs,
>reprogrammed for different freqs, or they just bootleg on the one(s)
>that's already there. Frankly, I don't want to know. I don't want any
>part of people playing in the public service bands.

It's too easy to reprogram many of the older rigs. I think I had an old Regency that was already modified with the programming switch wired to the front panel, all you had to do was enter your ten freqs in the keypad. It even scanned the programmed channels. Come to think of it I still have that radio, but it's in pieces right now. Anyway, all that was required was to solder one wire to an existing switch. That's all. (And before anyone emails me an offer, it only covered 138 to 151 MHz, no police bands.)

2480. cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 19:17:03 UTC
From: Nighthawk <miner1@flash.net>
Message-ID: <Peh09.472$715.24566967@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131509

Test

2481. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 21:34:24 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020726173424.09829.00000708@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 131510

prove that it harms anything other than a mars cap channel or two that were poorly chosen by people like yourself.

>Prove that you have any right to use them! Prove that it is legal. Prove
>that OUR
>laws make it OK for US citizens to do the same on this so-called "freeband".
>
>J

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

2482. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 21:35:25 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020726173525.09829.00000709@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 131511

yes and we covered in detail your poor choice in using them for a certain
mission a couple years back

>Civil Air Patrol uses ITS allocation in 26 MHZ because *I* am authorized
>for
>them.
>
>Jerry

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

2483. Re: Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 22:11:56 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0207261411.183c742d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131512

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D4140FA.6365@worldlynx.net>...
> Coax Length Police wrote:
> >
> > In article <3d2abc7d.1601667@news.cet.com>,
> > yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
> >
> > > In <anylength-E9D06F.02035709072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
> > > <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > >[One of Sparky's nonsensical responses isolated for closer scrutiny]
> > >
> > > <snip>
> > > >Ha! Double-humped waves!!!??? There is no such thing in this context.
> > > >The current at a current null is zero, nada, nothing! You cannot
> > > >measure it with an inductive probe. It is a sine wave with
> > > >amplitude zero. it is not even relevant if it is a function "over"
> > > >time or "of" time -- I'm not even going to get into this nonsense.
> > >
> > > It is VERY relevant if it is a function 'over' time, since it is the
> > > function 'over' time that you are measuring. If you want to measure
> > > the function 'of' time you need a pretty fast scope.
> > >
> > > >Furthermore, I challenged you in the last response to show how the
> > > >sum of two sine waves of the same frequency produces a "double-humped"
> > > >wave. I am really looking forward to your answer.
> > >
> > > No, you "challenged" me to "Just try to add two sine waves at the same
> > > frequency with ANY phase difference and see what you get. show that
> > > mixing two signals..." blah, blah, blah. Anybody can do that. You
> > > didn't even know what the hell you were talking about, or you woudn't
> > > have even given me the challenge. The "challenge" has been met:
> > >
> > > Pucker up, "dumbass"!!!
> >
> > Hee hee! Sparky, you fail. You cannot support your own claims.
> > Let's analyze your answer: "blah, blah, blah. Anybody can do that."
> >
> > This is clearly a non-answer.
> >
> > You were half right about the challenge. You are to add two sine waves
> > at the same frequency with ANY phase difference. The other half of the
> > challenge was to show that this created, as you claimed, a distorted
> > sine wave.

> >
> > You cannot do this. We can see your answer ("blah, blah, blah").
> > You spew total bullshit as facts. Give it up, dumbass. You are forever
> > the rec.radio.cb dumbass.
> >
> > > Let's try this from a different angle: What are the first five words
> > > on page 146?
> >
> > Who cares? The releveant question is: where does the book IN ANY WAY
> > support what YOU CLAIMED IT SAID. I never misrepresented the book; you
> > did. I don't have to lie about my references as you do.
> >
> > Once again, it is the Sparky/yeti@cet.com standard operating procedure:
> > post fiction/lies/bullshit and do a dance when you get caught in your
> > lies.
>
>
> My God! Are you still harping away at this? Give it a rest will ya.
> Sheesh!
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

Aw come on Dave. Isn't it only fair that Frank have some fan club members?

2484. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 22:15:47 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d41c6cf.887972@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131513

In <20020726173424.09829.00000708@mb-bg.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote:

>prove that it harms anything other than a mars cap channel or two that were >poorly chosen by people like yourself.

Whether or not a MARS, CAP, or any other freq was chosen wisely by the licensee doesn't make a damn bit of difference. The fact is that you are not authorized to use them. You don't have the right, morally or legally, to pick and choose any freq you want based upon your personal judgment that you are not causing harmful interference; and you CERTAINLY don't have the knowledge, experience or authority to make such a judgment. And if you want me to prove it, just ask!

Hey Timmy, maybe you should go play with your porn site to keep yourself busy instead of continuing to demonstrate your profound ignorance in this newsgroup.

2485. Re: cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 22:33:57 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D41CE58.C53EA927@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131514

Nighthawk wrote:
>
> Part 1.1 Type: Plain Text (text/plain)
> Encoding: quoted-printable
> Test

You failed.

Turn off HTML and post in plain-text if you want to pass.

2486. Re: Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 22:36:13 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D41CEE0.4682FEB5@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131515

Dave Hall wrote in message:

> > My God! Are you still harping away at this? Give it a rest will ya.
> > Sheesh!
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
>

chessecutter wrote:
> Aw come on Dave. Isn't it only fair that Frank have some fan club members?

Someone's gotta give us material to filter, screen, read, delete or laugh at.

2487. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 22:39:27 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D41CFA2.582F908D@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131516

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> Hey Timmy, maybe you should go play with your porn site to keep
> yourself busy instead of continuing to demonstrate your profound
> ignorance in this newsgroup.

Stop being so jealous because you can't get near any p*ssy.

2488. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 22:44:20 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D41D0C7.C9BEC694@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Hall wrote:
>
> yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
> >
> > In <3D3FE704.76D5@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
> > <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
> >
> > <snip>
> > >All true. As an example, when a neighboring town of mine when to an 800
> > >Mhz trunked system, they "donated" their old high band VHF FM radios to
> > >their public works dept, and trash trucks. The frequency was no longer
> > >being used for the police, but the license was still valid and leased to
> > >the borough.
> >
> > They would have had to change their freqs -- the Safety Pool freqs are
> > assigned at the federal level and are not used for garbage trucks.
>
> I wondered about that myself. But nevertheless it happened. I think that
> this particular frequency (155.115) is in a "shared" area of the band.

If the frequency was issued a "local gov't" license, they can use it
for any purpose they want.

2489. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 22:44:38 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qhk09.208376$q53.5273337@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131519

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d41c6cf.887972@news.cet.com...
> In <20020726173424.09829.00000708@mb-bg.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
> (www.TimNebo.com) wrote:
>
> >prove that it harms anything other than a mars cap channel or two that
were
> >poorly chosen by people like yourself.
>
> Whether or not a MARS, CAP, or any other freq was chosen wisely by the
> licensee doesn't make a damn bit of difference. The fact is that you
> are not authorized to use them. You don't have the right, morally or
> legally, to pick and choose any freq you want based upon your personal
> judgment that you are not causing harmful interference; and you
> CERTAINLY don't have the knowledge, experience or authority to make
> such a judgment. And if you want me to prove it, just ask!
>
> Hey Timmy, maybe you should go play with your porn site to keep
> yourself busy instead of continuing to demonstrate your profound
> ignorance in this newsgroup.
>

Frank, is it just me, or have you been a little out of sorts lately? Not to bash, but your temper seems a bit short, and your joking side has all but disappeared. Wassup guy? Do we need to do a big group hug or something?

-Randy-

2490. Re: cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 22:48:40 UTC
From: * RADIOSERVICE 39 * <cbradioservice@poczta.gazeta.pl>
Message-ID: <ahsjju$2a3$1@news.gazeta.pl>
Source record: 131518

So I`m doing the same...

--
-= RADIOSERVICE 39 =-
radio39@wp.pl
radio39@toya.net.pl
radio39@poczta.onet.pl
radioservice39@poczta.onet.pl
cbradioservice@poczta.onet.pl
GG # 2184191
ICQ # 146776296
+48 603 360 445
Uzytkownik "Nighthawk" <miner1@flash.net> napisal w wiadomosci
news:Peh09.472$715.24566967@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
Test

2491. Re: Uniden radio any good

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 22:55:23 UTC
From: * RADIOSERVICE 39 * <cbradioservice@poczta.gazeta.pl>
Message-ID: <ahsk0h$2p5$1@news.gazeta.pl>
Source record: 131520

Hi,
What model are you interested in ?
Best regards from Chris.

--
-= RADIOSERVICE 39 =-
radio39@wp.pl
radio39@toya.net.pl
radio39@poczta.onet.pl
radioservice39@poczta.onet.pl
cbradioservice@poczta.onet.pl
GG # 2184191
ICQ # 146776296
+48 603 360 445
Uzytkownik <zeek198@hotmail.com> napisal w wiadomosci
news:3D3F2D75.6E8FD950@hotmail.com...
> Hi All
>
> \I am looking into buying a second hand Uniden Radio are they any good
>
> pro/cons
>
> thanks for you input
>
> zeek
>
>

--
Serwis Usenet w portalu Gazeta.pl -> http://www.gazeta.pl/usenet/

2492. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 23:03:32 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3D41B707.A50FE573@mailcity.com>
Source record: 131521

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> In <20020726173424.09829.00000708@mb-bg.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
> (www.TimNebo.com) wrote:
>
> >prove that it harms anything other than a mars cap channel or two that were
> >poorly chosen by people like yourself.
>
> Whether or not a MARS, CAP, or any other freq was chosen wisely by the
> licensee doesn't make a damn bit of difference. The fact is that you
> are not authorized to use them. You don't have the right, morally or
> legally, to pick and choose any freq you want based upon your personal
> judgment that you are not causing harmful interference; and you
> CERTAINLY don't have the knowledge, experience or authority to make
> such a judgment. And if you want me to prove it, just ask!
>
> Hey Timmy, maybe you should go play with your porn site to keep
> yourself busy instead of continuing to demonstrate your profound
> ignorance in this newsgroup.

Timmy thinks that if he observes something that it appears anyone
else is not using it is OK for him to take it even if it belongs
to someone else.

2493. Re: cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 23:10:56 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D41D703.A284C19@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131522

* RADIOSERVICE 39 * wrote:
>
> Part 1.1 Type: Plain Text (text/plain)
> Encoding: quoted-printable
>
> So I`m doing the same...

Yes.

You're both running MS Outlook 6.00.2600.000. I just happen to
have a friend's machine here with that on it, so I can help 2 @ once:

From within the newsgroup window click on the top left menu bar "Tools".
Then select "Options". Click the tab that says "Send". On the bottom of
that box you will see check boxes for HTML and Plain-text. Select
"Plain-text" and click "OK"

After doing this, you should bee able to pass your test.

Now to get ready to go out on a Friday night. I suggest
other computer bugs wander away from their nest for a while.

2494. Re: cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 23:12:07 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D41D74B.AF8409B5@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131523

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> "Nighthawk" <miner1@flash.net> wrote:
> <snip>
> Fu*k you and anyone else who posts with html.

Damn! A little harsh.

> --
> Go #40

Go fast. Turn left.

2495. Re: more cows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 23:24:06 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207261524.3474f25d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131524

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0207251906.515d9ec5@posting.google.com>...
> 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:<3D3F8B78.8263E861@icok.net>...
> > TRADITIONAL CAPITALISM:
> > You have two cows.
> > You sell one and buy a bull.
> > Your herd multiplies, and the economy grows.
> > You sell them and retire on the income.
>
> FREEBAND KEYCLOWN COMPANY
>
> You have 23 cows
> You notice that your neighbor is not guarding his cows very well
> so you take over the neighbors pasture.
> Now you have 40 cows.
> Since this is so easy and it's not likely you'll get caught,
> you move into other pastures.
> Soon you have 320 cows.
> But you get upset when you get no "respect" from people
> who bought cows instead of stealing them like you did.
>
> -A

And exactly what did YOU buy - your ham license?? Har de har har har!!
Of course you get no respect, Anal Aaron! Take the test and stop
hassling people!

2496. Friday musings, was Someones Agenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-26 23:44:50 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <S9l09.7646$KI6.432658@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131525

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020726173424.09829.00000708@mb-bg.aol.com...
> prove that it harms anything other than a mars cap channel or two that
were
> poorly chosen by people like yourself.
>

Which is right up there with I don't think you deserve your car, so get the hell out of it, bang, bang.

But this has been a day of the terminally wierd ending with hauling a quilters convention.
This is all from the local 'alternative' paper:

1. SWF seeking SWM 26-36 into punk rock. A responsible, positive, and considerate guy a must.' Hope springs eternal

2. Ultra light smokers. Earn up to $12,000 and assist medical research. And what sort of wildly ethical research is that likely to be?

3. The local pay-for-blood center telling us we can save a kid's life but in case altruism doesn't do it, how about a $5 bonus?

4. Male Witch, psychic reading and counselling, casting and removal of spells, contact with spirits. Probably also walks your dog.

5. Interested in political careers? Learn campaigning from professionals. Gain organizing experience. Housing/expense allowance.' This is the Democratic Campign Management Program, gangaroonies. A lower budget effort hasn't been run since the Magical Mystery Tour.

6. The inevitable 'hiring sharp people to travel the USA selling magazines' which is basically the same ad I saw over 40 years ago. This one ends in 'return guaranteed' which must tell me something but what?

Stop the Insanity, I want to get off.

But I can't. Another add begins 'Stop the MLM Insanity!'

I would like to get drunk but I am afraid of what I would wake up to.

Dick, NBK

2497. Re: Copper RIPOFF Electronics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 00:13:31 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020726201331.05667.00001031@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 131526

>The last thing *I* would want is a Copper tech in
>my radio before i even received it!

I'll bet you have your own golden screwdriver dont you!

2498. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 00:18:01 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020726201801.09485.00001016@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 131527

since when do you decide the morals others should have?
>You don't have the right, morally or
>legally

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

2499. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 00:19:21 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020726201921.09485.00001017@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 131528

how do you know what i think? your still the anonymous coward that nobody gives credit too, jj, still pretending your a ham???

>Timmy thinks that if he observes something that it appears anyone
>else is not using it is OK for him to take it even if it belongs
>to someone else.
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

2500. Re: cb radio manuals for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 01:58:49 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D41FE59.AA030E3E@qnet.com>
Source record: 131529

what all do you have

Jay

Wbelsito wrote:
>
> hi ;
>
> i have a celler full of cb manuals .
>
> e - mail your needs .
>
> WALT wbelsito@aol.com

2501. Re: Best base cb/10m radio on market right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 02:16:45 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207261816.55dbb89f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131530

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<NNy%8.16962$M13.66586639@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>...
>
>--
>"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

"The problem with the world is that the stupid are cocksure while the
inteligent are full of doubt." -Bertrand Russel

2502. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 02:43:09 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4201b8.187459@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131531

In <20020726201801.09485.00001016@mb-fq.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote:

>since when do you decide the morals others should have?

You are right, Timmy. I shouldn't use words that you are incapable of understanding. Go ahead and read the post again and when you get to the word 'morally' just ignore it -- that shouldn't be too hard for -you- to do, should it?

2503. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 03:16:40 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <sgo09.179934$uw.97066@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 131532

"KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
news:W20%8.220502$iX5.11780840@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> Section 38.15 is Interfering with public duties. Subsection c
> says "It is a defense to prosecution under Subsection (a)(1) that the
> conduct engaged in by the defendant was intended to warn a person
> operating a motor vehicle of the presence of a peace officer who was
> enforcing Subtitle C, Title 7, Transportation Code."

Why don't you post the text of "Subtitle C, Title 7" of the Texas State Transportation Code?

"It is a ***defense*** to prosecution under Subsection (a)(1) that the conduct engaged in by the defendant was intended to ***warn a person*** operating a motor vehicle of ***the presence of a peace officer*** who was enforcing "Subtitle C, Title 7, Transportation Code"

Sounds to me that if you are charged under 38.15, conduct that might normally be considered interfering with public duties is defensible. But what the hell is "Subtitle C, Title 7, Transportation Code"?? I used a couple different sites search functions and none of them found this particular bit in Texas State Transportation Code. Where should I look to find this specifically? Laws are a strange beast. To change an alternator you don't need to know how it works. But to understand one sentence of any legal codes you often times need to understand much more of the code and even some that don't seem related. I just don't see how this supports your side of the discussion because I have been unable to locate the relevant complimentary documents.

Dave

2504. Re: Uniden radio any good

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 03:31:36 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <suo09.180103$uw.96917@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 131533

My only experience with Uniden is with the 520XL. Cheep, basic, CB radio. Serves me fairly well in my Jeep, but my needs are minimal. Reception is good compared to other CB's I've owned and so is transmission. I really think your particular needs and the model in question would make this an easier question to answer.

<zeek198@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3D3F2D75.6E8FD950@hotmail.com...
> Hi All
>
> \I am looking into buying a second hand Uniden Radio are they any good
>
> pro/cons
>
> thanks for you input
>
> zeek

2505. Re: What happened to Coax Kid..Left Coast Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 04:31:21 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020727003121.13745.00001323@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 131534

>So I guess the only one tending the rrcb >FAQ now is your hero Eitner
>(who never posts here anymore because >there are people here now that
>can expose his bullshit). Hey Brian, why >don't you follow his lead?
Why don't you, Jackass!

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

2506. manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 05:12:39 UTC
From: queenbel@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020727011239.09800.00000616@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 131535

www.infotronix.net , who sells manuals is now www.infotronix.us

2507. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 05:35:48 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D4212E5.6F4AE66D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 131536

"www.TimNebo.com" wrote:
>
> how do you know what i think? your still the anonymous coward that nobody gives
> credit too, jj, still pretending your a ham???
>
> >Timmy thinks that if he observes something that it appears anyone
> >else is not using it is OK for him to take it even if it belongs
> >to someone else.
> >
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

I guess you're right Timmy, I could not possibly know what you
think since obviously you don't think. I was a ham long before
your mama was changing your wet diapers and wiping your snotty
nose.

2508. FS: Hallicrafters CB-7 tube CB transceiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 07:38:18 UTC
From: galasphere@telus.net
Message-ID: <3D424DF4.2D4751B9@telus.net>
Source record: 131537

For Sale,

Hallicrafters model CB-7 vintage tube CB transceiver.

Good working condition with microphone.

$50 US including shipping.

Please reply to galasphere@telus.net if interested.

Colin

2509. There are some things that money can't buy. For everything else, there's MasterCard!..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 07:54:09 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ahtn3n$989$8@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 131540

```
Cover charge: $15.00
Round of drinks: 23.00
Table dance: $30.00
Another round of drinks: $23.00
Couch dance and tips: $50.00
A round of shots: $34.00
Private dance in your hotel room: $300.00
Send her on her way and never have to hear her complain: "PRICELESS!"

"There are some things that money can't buy. For everything else, there's
MasterCard!"

Regards,

Brainbuster.
```

2510. Re: Please someone advice on CB radio for newbie. I narrowed it down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 07:54:11 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ahtn3o$989$9@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 131541

"whatever" <xxx@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:1hljjuke3r605ejhsma0ufrll0qt542mt7@4ax.com...
> I was leaning that direction.
==

You may want to consider re-wording that, with some people on here ;~)
lol.

Regards,

Peter.

2511. Re: FCC question?Landshark proves them wrong again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 07:54:12 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ahtn3p$989$10@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 131542

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:gxo_8.2913$ab5.159051995@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> state the specific code where it is
> against the law to use a cb to inform others
> that a cop is using radar, otherwise, everything else is
> just what you are posting (wasted bandwidth)
==

Hi Mark.

I missed the original, as I have Georgina blocked.
In the UK, not only do CBers warn each other, but some drivers will flash their lights to oncoming traffic to warn that there is a speed trap ahead. With mobile telephones also being used by so many drivers, it is not long before everyone is aware of the trap.
The police were once asked what their position is on this and they said that they were pleased. Their aim is to slow down traffic to within the limit and that is what was happening, for several hours after they move on to another area.

They are now getting more of the cameras fitted as that frees up officers for other work. Everyone knows where the cameras are, but they still catch some people speeding. The money obtained from fines will be used to pay for more cameras.

Regards,

Peter.

2512. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 07:54:13 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ahtn3q$989$11@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 131543

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ujkbfdfi7u3cea@corp.supernews.com...
> > Back in the 80s, there was the belief that our Police listened to CB,
> > but I just cannot go for that. There wouldn't have been much to gain
> > from it as most laws broken over CB were not within their powers to
> > deal with.
> On 80 last month a state trroper set up in the middle but the snout of
> his crown vic could be seen a quarter of a mile away. On 19 i mentioned
> it and how he must be some rookie. He imidiatly started backing up. We
> all had a good laugh over that and the poor guy must have gotten razzes
> at the station house.
==

There must be some great ways to wind them up when you know one is listening. Police listening to CB never caught on over here. It is possible that they would not have been allowed to fit them in their cars, but there would not have been enough to gain from it anyway. The most you get from the truckers is foul language and reports of the women in cars... every female driver is playing with herself and they all do it just to please the truck dirvers, or so they think.

CB is so quiet over here now, even from my perch on this hill, I hear very little now. There is talk of sales picking up in one of the main UK CB shops, but I doubt if it will be as it was in the 80s.
Now and then a CB will appear on a "car boot" sale stall, but I do wonder where all the rest are and how many of the old CBers still have their equipment in a cupboard somewhere.

Regards,

Peter.

2513. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 07:54:15 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ahtn3r$989$12@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 131544

"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:22a5e694.0207201252.394c1060@posting.google.com...
> "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:<ahb6qdocg8@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> >
> > Legal equipment can still intefere, just as illegal equipment does not
> > always cause inteference.
>
> Does that make it any less illegal?
==

I Never said it did, I was just stating a couple of facts. People need
to be aware that running a legal setup does not guarantee that you
will not intefere.
Such ideas lead to legal CBers being unwilling to even consider
sorting out inteference problems as it cannot be their system at
fault... or so they think.

Just like you are unwilling to debate without resorting to insults in
the subject header, because someone states facts that do not suit
you. You may call it "acting like a single celled amoeba", I call it
thinking for myself... something your mob do not like people doing.
You would like us to believe that all electronic equipment knows the
law and will get inteference as soon as a radio is operated illegally...
but not while it is operated legally.

Regards,

Brainbuster.

2514. Re: Texas Statute on Flashing for a speed trap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 08:00:13 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ahtjud$5g9$1@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 131538

Pd <vhfbutt@pd.com> wrote:

> LOL right back landcarp, what does the version you post how does it refute
> anything i posted Huh I didnt edit anything that was pulled right off a
> post from google re; headlight flashing and it has the relevant info ....
> "warning a person operating a motor vehicle of the presence of a peace
> officer"

I just spent more hours than I care to admit doing a google search on
the subject of "headlight flashing".

I was able to find a few of the "it is illegal" or "I know someone who has
a friend that received a citation" type posts. The problem is that none
of them provided any verifiable detail - so they must be relegated to
the "urban myth" category.

I managed to find ONE cite about an actual court case. It was in
Pennsylvania State Superior Court. The conviction of the defendant was
affirmed by the Court - on a 2 to 1 vote. The defendant stated that he
would appeal to the State Supreme Court. I did not find any information
on the results of that appeal.

The charge? Illegal use of high beams. On the other hand, I don't
recall that it had anything to do with speed traps.

If I decide to waste more time, I may research this further.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

2515. help with transmit problems on old cb radio!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 08:43:35 UTC
From: agoodridge@bigpond.com.au
Message-ID: <6d8faad.0207270043.40f37c19@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131539

Hello from Australia

Can anyone give me some advice regarding an old radio. The radio is an e.f johnson viking am/ssb radio. It is a 4740 model but is the same as the viking 352D and messenger 4730.

The problem is this: I just got the radio last week off a similar thing to e bay in Australia. The guy said it was all ok but when I got it home it was transmitting very little power on a.m. (about 1/2 watt) and when the mike was keyed the power went up very slowly. It was transmitting on sideband, about 10 watts but was off frequency and I fixed that up o.k. The a.m modulation was awful, like f.m listened to with an a.m. receiver.

Anyway next day hooked her up again and was putting out about 25 watts on sideband seemed o.k. a.m was still bad.

Next day hooked it up and was transmitting nothing at all on sideband but a carrier (similar to a.m) that came through on a.m. in a nearby radio.

Now it is not transmitting anything!

It recieves fine.

Any ideas would be much appreciated.

I have the sams for the radio, just give me some idea where to start looking. I thought maybe cold solder joints or something.

Anyway thanks

Max 351 Australia

2516. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 10:31:44 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d42768c.1514337@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131545

In <ahtn3r$989$12@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
<brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:

>"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:22a5e694.0207201252.394c1060@posting.google.com...
>> "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
>news:<ahb6qdocg8@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>...
>> >
>> > Legal equipment can still intefere, just as illegal equipment does not
>> > always cause inteference.
>>
>> Does that make it any less illegal?
>==
>
>I Never said it did, I was just stating a couple of facts.

You? Stating facts? LOL! Ok, let's see what "facts" you are
proclaiming this time:

: People need
>to be aware that running a legal setup does not guarantee that you
>will not intefere.

No, but it does tend to improve the odds a bit. Quite a bit.

>Such ideas lead to legal CBers being unwilling to even consider
>sorting out inteference problems as it cannot be their system at
>fault... or so they think.

Like who? Name one legal CBer that thinks just because he is running
legally that he can't be causing interference? Or was that just one of
your "facts"?

>Just like you are unwilling to debate without resorting to insults in
>the subject header, because someone states facts that do not suit
>you. You may call it "acting like a single celled amoeba", I call it
>thinking for myself... something your mob do not like people doing.

You sure as hell weren't thinking for yourself when you tried to
educate me about skin effect! I noticed you didn't respond to that
post -- you are probably busy shuffling frantically through the pages
of every book you can find right about now...hehe!

>You would like us to believe that all electronic equipment knows the
>law and will get inteference as soon as a radio is operated illegally...
>but not while it is operated legally.

...hmmm, are you studying some sort of animism-like philosophy? Where did anyone say that an inanimate object such as a radio can 'know' anything? Really, where did that come from, brain? Have you been spending so much time on the computer that you actually think it has a personality? Have you been talking to the walls lately? Or have you been eating some of that funny beef? Taking any illicit drugs?

2517. TIM TRW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 12:08:43 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D428D4B.1FEF9D9A@qnet.com>
Source record: 131546

TIM TRW

2518. Re: Monster CB....++

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 14:02:12 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020727100212.13696.00001308@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 131547

>Or as useless as tits on a bull ...
>73 from Rochester, NY Jim
Spoken like the Bull (with the teet) himself!

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

2519. Re: help with transmit problems on old cb radio!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 15:17:20 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D42B97C.2749F3A1@icok.net>
Source record: 131548

Max,
1. Replace all 'paper' capacitors.
2. Check/replace tubes or transistors.
3. Clean/replace relays.
4. Check/evaluate/repair any modifications.

That shuold keep you busy for a while.
'Doc

2520. Re: Just Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 16:35:12 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D42CBC0.1010109@csotbs.org>
Source record: 131549

No, Keith... You're You... I'm Me...

73 de AB8LR, Chris

Keith Hosman wrote:

> On Tue, 23 Jul 2002 23:42:22 GMT, "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
> wrote:
>
>
>
>>Appears I am not you, as I suspected. You may want to do your own trace
>>though, just to confirm it for all the techoboobies out there.
>>
>>-Randy-
>>
>>
>
> Well all I know is, I sure as heck hope I am me, because if I am not,
> then who the hell am I?
>
>
> 74 de Keith
> KC8TCQ
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
> Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
> American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

2521. Re: help with transmit problems on old cb radio!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 19:08:52 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <8dC09.6168$pg2.527431@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131550

"Max" <agoodridge@bigpond.com.au> wrote in message
news:6d8faad.0207270043.40f37c19@posting.google.com...
> Hello from Australia
>
> Can anyone give me some advice regarding an old radio. The radio is an
> e.f johnson viking am/ssb radio. It is a 4740 model but is the same as
> the viking 352D and messenger 4730.
>
> The problem is this: I just got the radio last week off a similar
> thing to e bay in Australia. The guy said it was all ok but when I got
> it home it was transmitting very little power on a.m. (about 1/2 watt)
> and when the mike was keyed the power went up very slowly. It was
> transmitting on sideband, about 10 watts but was off frequency and I
> fixed that up o.k. The a.m modulation was awful, like f.m listened to
> with an a.m. receiver.
>
> Anyway next day hooked her up again and was putting out about 25 watts
> on sideband seemed o.k. a.m was still bad.
>
> Next day hooked it up and was transmitting nothing at all on sideband
> but a carrier (similar to a.m) that came through on a.m. in a nearby
> radio.
>
> Now it is not transmitting anything!
>
> It recieves fine.
>
> Any ideas would be much appreciated.
>
> I have the sams for the radio, just give me some idea where to start
> looking. I thought maybe cold solder joints or something.
>
> Anyway thanks
>
> Max 351 Australia

Well, if you have the Sams your life should be a tad easier. Sams
generally had voltage charts. Were it mine, I would do the following:

Phase I:
1. Clean every switch and pot but be careful not to readjust anything.
And don't blow the cleaner down things like coils and i.f. cans. DeOxit
if you can get it.

2. Do a visual looking for things that are blackened, paper caps with the ends blown out, things that are loose, and wherever something comes or goes out of the unit - mike jacks, antenna jacks, etc. particularly if the center pin is loose on the coax fitting from being reefed with a 259 and a solder glop.

3. Pull the tubes one by one and hit the sockets with the cleaner looking for cracks. Omit this step if you have a transistorized unit.

Phase II

Check out the Power Supply, caps etc. using the schematic.

Phase III:

1. Use the voltage charts or find a pro.

2522. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 20:28:48 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020727162848.25219.00001348@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 131551

prove it

>I was a ham long before
>your mama was changing your wet diapers and wiping your snotty
>nose.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

2523. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 20:44:04 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D42E7D2.2AA5695F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 131552

"www.TimNebo.com" wrote:
>
> prove it
>
> >I was a ham long before
> >your mama was changing your wet diapers and wiping your snotty
> >nose.

Don't have to prove anything to you, but I was licensed in 1961,
now when were you born?

2524. Radios& amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 20:53:04 UTC
From: ricconak@netscape.net
Message-ID: <7a636118.0207271253.5fe6a6b7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131553

I have the following for sale.
Cobra 148 gtl, phillipines model, peaked, swing kit, channels, 9 out
of 10. $90
Cobra 29 ltd, peaked, big final, nice shape. $65
Ranger 2950, converted, swing kit, 8 out of 10, does 50 watts. $125
Ranger 2970, converted, swing kit, 8 out of 10, does 250 watts. $225
Galaxy DX88, converted, peaked, 10 out of 10. $175
Galaxy DX99v, converted, peaked, 9.5 out of 10. $200
Texas Star dx350 $125
Texas Star dx 667 $225
Texas Star dx1600 $500 add $75 for fan kit
Heathkit SB-220, tubes have about 20 hours on them, wired for 220,
does 2K, 7.5 out of 10, not all beat up. $500

All radios come with stock mic, power cord and bracket.
All items are shipped postal priority mail.
All prices INCLUDE shipping.
I ONLY accept paypal, no c.o.d. or money orders.

Email me with any question, I may be able to come up with something
you need if asked.

2525. Re: help with transmit problems on old cb radio!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 21:02:12 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <oTD09.10531$9U4.815@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 131554

Hello Max, ok lets see what's not working and follow it back if you have the sams book it should be easy you know it may be dirty mode selector or the relay see if there is TX voltage in the final area lets be creative here be happy it's not upr and you can't find it

--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"Max" <agoodridge@bigpond.com.au> wrote in message
news:6d8faad.0207270043.40f37c19@posting.google.com...
> Hello from Australia
>
> Can anyone give me some advice regarding an old radio. The radio is an
> e.f johnson viking am/ssb radio. It is a 4740 model but is the same as
> the viking 352D and messenger 4730.
>
> The problem is this: I just got the radio last week off a similar
> thing to e bay in Australia. The guy said it was all ok but when I got
> it home it was transmitting very little power on a.m. (about 1/2 watt)
> and when the mike was keyed the power went up very slowly. It was
> transmitting on sideband, about 10 watts but was off frequency and I
> fixed that up o.k. The a.m modulation was awful, like f.m listened to
> with an a.m. receiver.
>
> Anyway next day hooked her up again and was putting out about 25 watts
> on sideband seemed o.k. a.m was still bad.
>
> Next day hooked it up and was transmitting nothing at all on sideband
> but a carrier (similar to a.m) that came through on a.m. in a nearby
> radio.
>
> Now it is not transmitting anything!
>
> It recieves fine.
>
> Any ideas would be much appreciated.
>
> I have the sams for the radio, just give me some idea where to start
> looking. I thought maybe cold solder joints or something.
>
> Anyway thanks
>
> Max 351 Australia

2526. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 21:03:08 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020727170308.09792.00000901@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 131555

i was born in 1960

>Don't have to prove anything to you, but I was licensed in 1961,
>now when were you born?
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

2527. Re: The origins of freebanders (long) HeHE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 21:23:30 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <mbE09.1284$U24.42784923@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131556
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.gzest10.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Wed, 17 Jul 2002 00:12:02 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> >> >>"One thing for sure; you can't regulate 'stupid'"
> >> > Riley Hollingsworth
> >> >
> >The quote? He told me that in person about 2 years ago.
>
> A close friend (non-ham) has been a California judge for 20 years or
> so, and has repeatedly told defendants "It's a shame that stupidity
> is not a crime in this state...." right in their faces. Cracks up
> the courtroom staff every time....
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Public servants making fun of citizens?

2528. Re: Radios& amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 21:39:28 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D431314.46EA13BA@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131557

I like the way ric did ONE post and not TEN separate posts.

Good luck and f*ck Aaron and Yeti. (figuratively not literally)

ric conak wrote:
>
> I have the following for sale.
> Cobra 148 gtl, phillipines model, peaked, swing kit, channels, 9 out
> of 10. $90
> Cobra 29 ltd, peaked, big final, nice shape. $65
> Ranger 2950, converted, swing kit, 8 out of 10, does 50 watts. $125
> Ranger 2970, converted, swing kit, 8 out of 10, does 250 watts. $225
> Galaxy DX88, converted, peaked, 10 out of 10. $175
> Galaxy DX99v, converted, peaked, 9.5 out of 10. $200
> Texas Star dx350 $125
> Texas Star dx 667 $225
> Texas Star dx1600 $500 add $75 for fan kit
> Heathkit SB-220, tubes have about 20 hours on them, wired for 220,
> does 2K, 7.5 out of 10, not all beat up. $500
>
> All radios come with stock mic, power cord and bracket.
> All items are shipped postal priority mail.
> All prices INCLUDE shipping.
> I ONLY accept paypal, no c.o.d. or money orders.
>
> Email me with any question, I may be able to come up with something
> you need if asked.

2529. Re: Radios& amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 22:52:59 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <fvF09.6569$pg2.552690@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131558

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D431314.46EA13BA@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> I like the way ric did ONE post and not TEN separate posts.
>
> Good luck and f*ck Aaron and Yeti. (figuratively not literally)
>

I would agree about the posting but the swing kits make them worth scrap
value only.

2530. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 23:08:41 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207271508.7eb86703@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131559

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:<ahtn3r$989$12@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:22a5e694.0207201252.394c1060@posting.google.com...
> > "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
> news:<ahb6qdocg8@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> > >
> > > Legal equipment can still intefere, just as illegal equipment does not
> > > always cause inteference.
> >
> > Does that make it any less illegal?
> ==
>
> I Never said it did, I was just stating a couple of facts. People need
> to be aware that running a legal setup does not guarantee that you
> will not intefere.

True, and it's not an excuse to run illegally.

> Such ideas lead to legal CBers being unwilling to even consider
> sorting out inteference problems as it cannot be their system at
> fault... or so they think.

All the more reason NOT to run illegally. If a LEGAL CB rig can
interfere, adding a "kicker" or operating "out of band" can only make
things WORSE, don't you agree?

>
> Just like you are unwilling to debate without resorting to insults in
> the subject header, because someone states facts that do not suit
> you.

I believe that within your first few posts to me you resorted to an
insulting header. Now you want what you started to stop. What a big
baby you turned out to be.

> You may call it "acting like a single celled amoeba", I call it
> thinking for myself... something your mob do not like people doing.

My "mob" (?) wants to stop people thinking for themselves? Now I've
heard it all.

> You would like us to believe that all electronic equipment knows the
> law and will get inteference as soon as a radio is operated illegally...
> but not while it is operated legally.

I said or implied nothing of the sort. As Sparky said, that would be animism.

-A

2531. Re: help with transmit problems on old cb radio!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 23:24:29 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207271524.18adfba0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131560

agoodridge@bigpond.com.au (Max) wrote in message
news:<6d8faad.0207270043.40f37c19@posting.google.com>...

> it was transmitting very little power on a.m. (about 1/2 watt)

>then it was putting out about 25 watts on ssb

>Now it is not transmitting anything!

I hate to say it, but it sounds like some Screwdriver King tried to
"mod" the radio to obtain maximum (illegal) power and "swang" effect.
Great way to burn up a radio and make it useless.

-Aaron-

2532. Re: Best base cb/10m radio on market right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 23:39:25 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020727193925.17881.00001002@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 131561

No, Aaron's a big and I mean big,loser!

2533. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 23:41:13 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020727194113.17881.00001003@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 131562

AAron are you talking to your self again.Loser!!

2534. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 23:48:45 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d43310c.616203@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131563

In <20020727170308.09792.00000901@mb-bg.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote:

>i was born in 1960

That's funny, you said you were 20 years old just two years ago. I knew you had a problem with math but I didn't think it was THAT bad!

2535. Re: Radios& amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-27 23:59:19 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4332e3.1087460@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131564

In <3D431314.46EA13BA@yaSPAMhoo.com>, Scott Sixnine
<scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote:

>I like the way ric did ONE post and not TEN separate posts.
>
>Good luck and f*ck Aaron and Yeti. (figuratively not literally)

Yeah, and f*ck the FCC, f*ck the interference, f*ck anyone else on the
band, and... well, just f*ck anyone that doesn't agree with you, right
Scotty? F*ck off.

2536. Re: The origins of freebanders (long) HeHE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 00:38:09 UTC
From: dmills@spamblock.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <hdevha.u81.ln@spamblock.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 131579
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In alt.radio.pirate Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote:

> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.gzest10.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...

>> A close friend (non-ham) has been a California judge for 20 years or
>> so, and has repeatedly told defendants "It's a shame that stupidity
>> is not a crime in this state...." right in their faces. Cracks up
>> the courtroom staff every time....

> Public servants making fun of citizens?

<Followups Set to rec.radio.cb>.

Whats wrong with that? Citizens make fun of public servants all the time!

Besides, seems to me that the judges probably get to see more examples
of outright luser behaviour the almost any other profession, with the
possible exceptions of traffic police and A&E doctors.

If the guy in front of the bench is behaving like a tosser the judge
should be alowed to say so!

Regards, Dan.
--
** The email address *IS* valid, do NOT remove the spamblock
And on the evening of the first day the lord said.....
.... LX 1, GO!; and there was light.

2537. Re: Radios& amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 02:38:58 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uk6ma0i2udto03@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131566

No wounder Ham is dying, Who wants to get a ham lic. just to hear cursing
and filth.
<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d4332e3.1087460@news.cet.com...
> In <3D431314.46EA13BA@yaSPAMhoo.com>, Scott Sixnine
> <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote:
>
> >I like the way ric did ONE post and not TEN separate posts.
> >
> >Good luck and f*ck Aaron and Yeti. (figuratively not literally)
>
> Yeah, and f*ck the FCC, f*ck the interference, f*ck anyone else on the
> band, and... well, just f*ck anyone that doesn't agree with you, right
> Scotty? F*ck off.
>

2538. Re: Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 02:43:27 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uk6midcb2r5aa8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131567
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Better hope Vobner aint Jewish or you'll get flamed big time.
"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D40C183.D2B876AF@mailcity.net...
>
>
> chessecutter wrote:
> >
> > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:<3D405EFD.66C68AF2@mailcity.net>...
> > > Dave Hall wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Ham=Pork. Jew's don't eat pork, Hence the line, "kind of ham I was
> > > > warned to stay away from". Get it yet?
> > > >
> > > > Dave
> > > > "Sandbagger"
> > >
> > > Not entirely true, my daughter-in-law and her entire family is
> > > Jewish and they all eat pork.
> >
> > I don't believe it.
>
> Why not? I have sat down and eaten pork with them served in their
> home. Not all people of Jewish decent observe all Jewish
> traditions.

2539. Re: help with transmit problems on old cb radio!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 03:01:36 UTC
From: carl/dee <wesser@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <uk6gqpf788ue73@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131565

a.h.v.
take 5 will you, in that time you may find a life.....
"

2540. CB endorsed by PennDOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 03:08:30 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uk6o1f98e5a21a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131568

WHat does this mean? Lately PennDOT has been setting up transmitters with an automatic message usually with travel advisories. Basically along the lines of "constuction at exits 55 to 57 along rt 283 slow down, slow down NOW". This is always on ch19 and seems to be replacing the old system of advisories on 530am or 1640am.
While not an ACTUAL endorsement it is recognition by a state government that CB ch19 is the de-facto medium for travel and IMHO constitutes an official recognition by spending money for auto-transmitters. CB is hear to stay.
Also I'd bet dollars to donuts there not 4 watt units. These advisories blanket a large area and step on everybody.
What does this mean for cb? These warnings are not on FMS or MURS or any Ham bands. CB is hear to stay.

2541. Re: The origins of freebanders (long) HeHE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 04:01:44 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uk6r492kt3dlcd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131569
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:mbE09.1284$U24.42784923@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.gzest10.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> > On Wed, 17 Jul 2002 00:12:02 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> >
> > >> >>"One thing for sure; you can't regulate 'stupid'"
> > >> > Riley Hollingsworth
> > >> >
> > >The quote? He told me that in person about 2 years ago.
> >
> > A close friend (non-ham) has been a California judge for 20 years or
> > so, and has repeatedly told defendants "It's a shame that stupidity
> > is not a crime in this state...." right in their faces. Cracks up
> > the courtroom staff every time....
> >
> > ---
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
> Public servants making fun of citizens?
>
>
I agree with the judge on this one. As a matter of fact,
it's a darn good thing stupidity isn't a crime in the U.S.A.!!

It's just like Gallagher said "they aught to let people have
little dart guns and when ever they see somebody driving
like an idiot they shoot the car with the dart. That way
whenever the cops see someone with a lot of darts on
their car they stop them and give em a ticket for being
stupid."
--

'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

2542. Re: Radios& amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 04:50:50 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207272050.57f1aa8f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131570

ricconak@netscape.net (ric conak) wrote in message
news:<7a636118.0207271253.5fe6a6b7@posting.google.com>...
> I have the following for sale.
> Cobra 148 gtl, phillipines model, peaked, swing kit, channels, 9 out
> of 10. $90

Illegal.

> Cobra 29 ltd, peaked, big final, nice shape. $65

Illegal.

> Ranger 2950, converted, swing kit, 8 out of 10, does 50 watts. $125

Illegal.

> Ranger 2970, converted, swing kit, 8 out of 10, does 250 watts. $225

Illegal.

> Galaxy DX88, converted, peaked, 10 out of 10. $175

Illegal.

> Galaxy DX99v, converted, peaked, 9.5 out of 10. $200

Illegal.

> Texas Star dx350 $125

Illegal.

> Texas Star dx 667 $225

Illegal.

> Texas Star dx1600 $500 add $75 for fan kit

Illegal.

> Heathkit SB-220, tubes have about 20 hours on them, wired for 220,
> does 2K, 7.5 out of 10, not all beat up. $500

Illegal for use on CB.

FCC rule 2.815(b): After APR 27, 1978, no person shall manufacture,
sell or lease, offer for sale or lease (including advertising for sale
or lease), or import, ship, or distribute for the purpose of selling
or leasing or offering for sale or lease, any external radio frequency
amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any frequency or

frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz.

Federal Communications Commission (FCC)

Part 95 - Subpart D - Rules for CB Service
Citizens Band (CB) Radio Service

General Provisions-

[RULE 1] Description
[RULE 2] Usage of Rules
[RULE 3] Who is Eligible
[RULE 4] Licenses
[RULE 5] Areas Of Legal Operation
[RULE 6] Special Restrictions
[RULE 7] Operating Channels/Frequencies
[RULE 8] Antenna Height
[RULE 9] Equipment
[RULE 10] Power Output
[RULE 11] Linear Amplifiers
[RULE 12] Permitted Communication
[RULE 13] Illegal Communication
[RULE 14] Paying for Actual Use
[RULE 15] Who Is Held Accountable
[RULE 16] Time Limit of Transmissions
[RULE 17] Identification Methods
[RULE 18] Emergency Usage and Assisting Travelers
[RULE 19] Remote Control Operations
[RULE 20] Telephone Patches and Telephony with CB
[RULE 21] Penalties
[RULE 22] Correspondence from FCC
[RULE 23] Notice of Interference
[RULE 24] Service to Transmitters and Antennas
[RULE 25] Modifications to Transmitters
[RULE 26] FCC Inspections
[RULE 27] Keeping Station Records
[RULE 28] Contacting the FCC

RULE 1 - Descriptions The Citizens Band Radio Service (CB) is a private, two-way, short-distance voice communications service for personal or business activities. The CB Radio Service may also be used for voice paging.
RULE 2 - Usage of Rules You must comply with these rules when you operate a station in the CB Service from:
{1} Within or over the territorial limits of places where radio services are regulated by the FCC.
{2} Aboard any vessel or aircraft registered in the United States or
{3} Aboard any unregistered vessel or aircraft owned or operated by a United States citizen or company.
Your CB must comply with Part 95/Subpart E [Technical Rules].
Where the rules use the word "you", "you" means a person operating a CB station. Where the rules use the word "person" the rules are

concerned with an individual, a corporation, a partnership, an association, a joint stock company, a trust, a state, territorial or local government unit, or other legal entity. Where the rules use the term "CB station", that means a radio station transmitting in the CB Radio Service.
RULE 3 - Eligibility To Use CB You are authorized to operate a CB station unless:
{A} You are a foreign government, a representative of a foreign government, or a federal government agency.
or
{B} The FCC has issued a cease and desist order to you, and the order is still in effect.
RULE 4 - Licenses You do not need an individual license to operate a CB station. You are authorized by this rule to operate your CB station in accordance with the rules stated in this Subpart. (*also read Rule 17)
RULE 5 - Areas of Legal Operation You are authorized to operate your CB station from:
{A} Within or over any area of the world where radio services are regulated by the FCC. Those areas are:
[1] The 50 United States
[2] The District Of Columbia
[3] Commonwealth of Puerto Rico
[4] Navassa Island
[5] United States Virgin Islands, it's 50 islets and cays
[6] American Samoa
[7] Baker Island
[8] Commonwealth of Northern Mariana Islands
[9] Guam Island
[10] Howland Island
[11] Jarvis Islan
[12] Johnston Island
[13] Kingman Reef
[14] Midway Island
[15] Palmyra Island, and it's 50+ islets
[16] Wake Island
{B} Any area of the world, except, within the territorial limits of areas where radio services are regulated by -
[1] An agency of the United States other than the FCC, you are subject to it's rules.
[2] Any foreign government, you are subject to it's rules.
{C} An aircraft or ship, with the permission of the captain, within or over any area of the world where radio services are regulated by the FCC or upon or over international waters. You must operate your CB station according to any applicable treaty to which the United States is a party.
RULE 6 - Special Restrictions
{A} If your CB station is located on premises controlled by the Department of Defense you may be required to comply with additional regulations imposed by the commanding officer of the installation.

{B} If your CB station will be constructed on land of environmental or historical importance (such as a location significant in American history, architecture or culture), you may be required to provide information to comply with Part 1.1305 through 1.1319 of the FCC Rules.
RULE 7 - Operating Channels/Frequencies Your CB station may transmit only on the following channels / frequencies:

Channel	Frequency	Channel	Frequency
1	26.965	21	27.215
2	26.975	22	27.225
3	26.985	23	27.255
4	27.005	24	27.235
5	27.015	25	27.245
6	27.025	26	27.265
7	27.035	27	27.275
8	27.055	28	27.285
9*	27.065	29	27.295
10	27.075	30	27.305
11	27.085	31	27.315
12	27.105	32	27.325
13	27.115	33	27.335
14	27.125	34	27.345
15	27.135	35	27.355
16	27.155	36	27.365
17	27.165	37	27.375
18	27.175	38	27.385
19	27.185	39	27.395
20	27.205	40	27.405

{B} * Channel 9 may be used ONLY for emergency communications OR for traveler assistance.
{C} You must, at all times and on ALL channels, give priority to emergency communication messages concerning the immediate safety of life or the immediate protection of property.
{D} You may use ANY channel for emergency communications or for traveler assistance.
{E} You must share each channel with other users.
{F} The FCC will not assign any channel for the private or exclusive use of any particular CB station or group of stations.
{G} The FCC will not assign any channel for the private or exclusive use of CB stations transmitting single sideband or AM.
RULE 8 - Antenna Height
{A} "Antenna" means the radiating system (for transmitting, receiving or both) and the structure holding it up (tower, pole or mast). It also means everything else attached to the radiating system and the structure.
{B} If your antenna is mounted on a hand-held portable unit, none of the following limitations apply;
{C} If your antenna is installed at a fixed location (whether receiving, transmitting or both) it must comply with EITHER one of the

following:
[1] The highest point must not be more than 20 feet (6.10 meters) higher than the highest point of the building or tree on which it is mounted;
or
[2] The highest point must not be more than 60 feet (18.3 meters) above the ground.
{D} If your CB station is located near an airport, and if your antenna structure is more than 20 feet (6.10 meters) high, you may have to obey additional restrictions. The highest point of your antenna must not exceed 39.37 inches (1 meter) above the airport elevation for every 109.36 yards (100 meters, 1 hectometer) of distance from the nearest point of the nearest airport runway. Differences in ground elevation between your antenna and the airport runway may complicate this formula. If your CB station is near an airport, you may contact the nearest FCC field office for a worksheet to help you figure the maximum allowable height for your antenna.
WARNING: Installation and removal of CB station antennas near powerlines is dangerous. For your safety follow the installation directions included with your antenna.
RULE 9 - Equipment
{A} You must use an FCC type accepted CB transmitter at your CB station. You can identify an FCC type accepted transmitter by the 'type acceptance'label placed on it by the manufacturer. You may examine a list of type-accepted equipment at any FCC Field Office or at FCC Headquarters. Use of a transmitter which is not FCC type-accepted voids your authority to operate the station.
{B} You must not make, or have made, any internal modifications to a type-accepted CB transmitter. (*read Rule 25) Any internal modification to a type-accepted CB transmitter cancels the type-acceptance, and use of such a transmitter voids your authority to operate the station.
RULE 10 - Power Output
{A} Your CB station transmitter power output must not exceed the following values under any conditions:
AM [Amplitude Modulation] - 4 watts carrier power [CP]
SSB [Single Side-Band] - 12 watts peak envelope power [PEP]
{B} If you need more information about the power rule, see Part 95/ Subpart E.
{C} Use of a transmitter which has carrier [CP] or peak envelope power [PEP]in excess of that authorized voids your authority to operate the station.
RULE 11 - Linear Amplifiers
{A} You may not attach the following items (power amplifiers) to your type-accepted CB transmitter in any way:
[1] External radio frequency [RF] power amplifiers, also called linear amplifiers, or linears;
or
[2] Any other devices which, when used with a radio transmitter as a signal source, are capable of amplifying the signal.

{B} There are no exceptions to this rule and use of a power amplifier voids your authority to operate the station.
{C} The FCC will presume you have used a linear or other external [RF] power amplifier if-
[1] It is in your possession or on your premises; and
[2] There is OTHER EVIDENCE that you have operated your CB station with more power than allowed by CB Rule 10.
{D} Paragraph C above in this section does not apply if you hold a license in another radio service (HAM, etc.) which allows you to operate an external RF power amplifier.
RULE 12 - Permitted Communications {A} You may use your CB station to transmit two-way plain language communications. Two-way plain language communications are communications without codes or coded messages. Operating signals such as "ten codes" (10-4, etc.) are not considered codes or coded messages. You may transmit two-way plain language communications only to other CB stations, to units of your own CB station, or to authorized government stations on CB frequencies about-
[1] Your personal or business activities, or those of members of your immediate family living in your household;
[2] Emergencies;
[3] Traveler assistance;
[4] Civil Defense activities in connection with official tests or drills conducted by, or actual emergencies announced by, the Civil Defense Agency with authority over the area in which your station is located.
{B} You may use your CB station to transmit a TONE SIGNAL only when the signal is used to make contact, or to continue communications (Examples: tone operated squelch, selective calling circuits). If the signal is an audible tone, it must last no longer than 15 seconds at one time. If the signal is a subaudible tone, it may be transmitted continuously only as long as you are talking.
{C} You may use your CB station to transmit one-way communications (messages not intended for two or more CB stations) only for emergency communications, traveler assistance, brief tests (radio checks) or voice paging.
RULE 13 - Illegal Communications {A} You must NOT use a CB station-
[1] in connection with activity which is against federal, state or local law;
[2] to transmit obscene, indecent or profane words, language or meaning;
[3] to interfere intentionally with the communications of another CB station;
[4] to transmit one-way communications, EXCEPT for emergency communications, traveler assistance, brief tests (radio checks) or voice paging;
[5] to advertise or solicit the sale of any goods or services;
[6] to transmit music, whistling, sound effects or any material to amuse or entertain;
[7] to transmit any sound effect solely to attract attention;
[8] to transmit the word "MAYDAY" or use any other international

distress signal, EXCEPT when your station is located in a ship, aircraft or other vehicle which is threatened with GRAVE AND IMMINENT danger and you are requesting IMMEDIATE assistance.
[9] to communicate with, or ATTEMPT to communicate with, any CB station more than 155.3 miles (250 kilometers) away;
[10] to advertise a political candidate or political campaign (You may use your CB radio for the business or organizational aspects of a campaign, if you follow all other applicable rules);
[11] to communicate with stations in other countries, except stations in Canada (on General Radio Service).
[12] to transmit a false or deceptive communication.
{B} You must not use a CB station to transmit communications intended for live or delayed rebroadcast on radio or television. You may use your CB station to gather news items or to prepare programs.
RULE 14 - Paying for Actual Use {A} You may not accept direct or indirect payment for transmitting with a CB station.
{B} You may use a CB station to help you provide a service, and be paid for that service, as long as you are paid only for the service and not for the actual use of the CB station.
RULE 15 - Who Is Held Accountable You are responsible for all communications which are made BY YOU from a CB station.
RULE 16 - Time Limit of Transmissions {A} You must limit your CB communications to the minimum practical time.
{B} If you are communicating with another CB station or stations, you, and the stations communicating with you, must limit each of your conversations to no more than five (5) continuous minutes.
{C} At the end of your conversation, you, and the stations communicating with you, must not transmit again for at least one minute.
RULE 17 - Identification Methods {A} You need NOT identify your CB communications...
{B} You are ENCOURAGED to identify your CB communications by any of the following means:
[1] Previously assigned FCC CB call sign
[2] K prefix followed by operators initials and residence zip code
[3] Your name;
or
[4] Description of your organization including name and any applicable operator unit number
{C} You are encouraged to use a "handle" (nickname) ONLY IN CONJUNCTION WITH the methods of identification listed above in paragraph B of this section.
RULE 18 - Emergency Usage and Assisting Travelers {A} You must at all times and on all channels, give priority to emergency communications.
{B} When you are directly participating in emergency communications, you do not have to comply with Rule 16 about length of transmissions. You must obey all other rules.
{C} You may use your CB station for communications necessary to assist a traveler to REACH A DESTINATION or to RECEIVE NECESSARY SERVICES. When you are using your CB station to assist a traveler, you do not

have to obey Rule 16 about the length of transmissions. You must obey all other rules.
RULE 19 - Remote Control Operations {A} You MAY NOT operate a CB station transmitter by RADIO remote control.
{B} You MAY operate a CB transmitter by WIRELINE remote control IF you obtain specific approval in writing from the FCC. To obtain FCC approval you must show why you need to operate your station by wireline remote control. Send your request and justification to: FCC, Gettysburg, PA 17325.
If you receive FCC approval, you must keep the approval as part of your station records (read Rule 27 on station records).
RULE 20 - Telephone Patches and Telephony with CB {A} You MAY connect your CB station transmitter to a telephone if you comply with ALL of the following:
[1] You or someone else must be present at your CB station and MUST-
(i) manually make the connection (the connection cannot be made by remote control);
(ii) supervise the operation of the transmitter during the connection;
(iii) listen to each communication during the connection; and
(iv) stop all communications if there are operations in violation of these rules.
[2] Each communication during the telephone connection must comply with all of these rules.
[3] You must obey any restriction that the telephone company places on the connection of a CB transmitter to a telephone.
{B} The CB transmitter you connect to a telephone must not be shared with any other CB station.
{C} If you connect your CB transmitter to a telephone, you must use a phone patch device which has been registered with the FCC.
RULE 21 - Penalties may not be current penalty information. {A} If the FCC finds that you have WILLFULLY or REPEATEDLY violated the Communications Act or FCC Rules, you may have to pay as much as $2,000 for each violation, up to a total of $5,000.
{B} If the FCC finds that you have violated any section of the Communications Act or FCC Rules, you may be ordered to stop whatever action caused the violation.
{C} If a federal court finds that you have WILLFULLY and KNOWINGLY violated any FCC Rule, you may be fined up to $500 for each day you committed the violation.
{D} If a federal court finds that you have WILLFULLY and KNOWINGLY violated any provision of the Communications Act, you may be fined up to $10,000 or may be imprisoned for one year, or both.
RULE 22 - Correspondence from FCC {A} If it appears to the FCC that you have violated the Communications Act or these rules, the FCC may send you a discrepancy notice.
{B} Within the time period stated in the notice, you must answer with:
[1] A complete written statement about the apparent discrepancy;
[2] A complete written statement about any action you have taken to correct the apparent violation and to prevent it from happening again; and

[3] The name of the person operating at the time of the apparent violation.
{C} If the FCC sends you a letter asking you questions about your CB radio station or its operation, you must answer each of the questions with a complete written statement within the time period stated in the letter.
{D} You must not shorten your answer by references to other communications or notices.
{E} You must send your answer to the FCC office which sent you the notice.
{F} You must keep a copy of your answer in your station records.
RULE 23 - Notice of Interference {A} If the FCC tells you that your CB station is causing interference due to technical reasons, you must follow all instructions in the official FCC notice (This notice may require you to have technical adjustments made to your equipment).
{B} You must comply with any restricted hours of CB station operation which may be included in the official notice.

RULE 24 - Service to Transmitters and Antennas {A} You may adjust an antenna to your CB transmitter and you may make radio checks (One-way transmissions for a short time in order to test the transmitter).
{B} Each internal repair and each internal adjustment to your FCC type-accepted CB transmitter must be made BY or under the DIRECT SUPERVISION of a person licensed by the FCC as a GENERAL RADIOTELEPHONE OPERATOR.
{C} Except as provided in paragraph D below in this section, each internal repair and each internal adjustment of a CB transmitter in which signals are transmitted must be made using a NON-RADIATING antenna (Dummy Load).
{D} Brief test signals (signals not longer than one minute during any five minute period) using a radiating antenna may be transmitted in order to:
[1] adjust an antenna to a transmitter;
[2] detect or measure radiation of energy other than the intended signal;
or
[3] tune a receiver to your CB transmitter.
RULE 25 - Modifications to Transmitters {A} You must not make or have any one else make any internal modification to your CB transmitter.
{B} Internal modification does NOT include:
[1] Repair, or servicing of a CB station transmitter; or
[2] Changing plug-in modules which were type-accepted as part of your CB transmitter
{C} You must not operate a CB transmitter which has been modified by anyone in any way, including modification to operate on unauthorized frequencies or with illegal power.
RULE 26 - FCC Inspections {A} If an authorized FCC representative requests to inspect your CB station, you must make your CB station and records available for inspection.
{B} A CB station includes all of the radio equipment you use.

RULE 27 - Keeping Station Records Your station records include the following documents, as applicable: [1] A copy of each response to an FCC violation notice or an FCC letter.
[2] Each written permission received from the FCC.
RULE 28 - Contacting the FCC {A} Write to your nearest FCC Field Office to: [1] Report an interference complaint; or [2] want to know if the FCC has type-accepted a particular transmitter for CB service.
{B} Write to the FCC if you have questions about the CB Rules: FCC Private Radio Bureau Personal Radio Branch Washington, DC 20554

2543. Re: Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 04:56:55 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207272056.15c90d63@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

ï¿½Kenneth wrote:
>Better hope Vobner aint Jewish or you'll get flamed big time.

I'm a Viking. Got something racist to say about it?

-A

2544. Re: Radios& amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 05:06:38 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207272106.77217f31@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131572

Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:<3D431314.46EA13BA@yaSPAMhoo.com>...
> I like the way ric did ONE post and not TEN separate posts.

Or you can apply the Landshark Double Standard: if he agrees with the
poster and shares his beliefs, then no matter how much bandwidth is
used up, it's OK! It's not Spam!

> Good luck

Good Old Scott, cheering from the sidelines, urging, "Good Luck
selling that illegal gear, Ric!"

>and f*ck Aaron and Yeti. (figuratively not literally)

Oh come on Scott don't hold back now.

-A

2545. Re: Please someone advice on CB radio for newbie. I narrowed it down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 05:19:13 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207272119.50489830@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131573

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:<ahtn3o$989$9@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> "whatever" <xxx@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:1hljjuke3r605ejhsma0ufrll0qt542mt7@4ax.com...
> > I was leaning that direction.
> ==
>
> You may want to consider re-wording that, with some people on here ;~)
> lol.
>
> Regards,
>
> Peter.

Yes, poor Peter, always watching his words. It must be horrible having to carefully compose your posts so they manage to express encouragement of illegal CB without actually appearing to do so. And to think, all you have to do is be honest and law abiding and things would be so much simpler.

-Aaron-

2546. Re: H & Y ELECTRONICS BEST PRICES ON THE INTERNET AMPS RADIOS AND MORE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 05:39:45 UTC
From: Frank Todd <k3eko@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <BsL09.192279$uw.101941@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 131574

If memory serves me correctly, don't you need a membership to buy anything from H&Y????

-Frank

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message news:3D412F25.FF22786@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> That's h&KY electronics. They're gonna' stick it to ya'.
>
> They are the same company as Copper Electronics. Just the other
> side of the warehouse.
>
> BIG JOE wrote:
> >
> > FOR YOUR CB NEEDS CHECK OUT THE WEB SITE WWW.HYELECTRONICS.COM

2547. Re: Radios& amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 05:44:41 UTC
From: Frank Todd <k3eko@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <dxL09.192324$uw.102287@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 131575

Kenneth,

What would you do if the FCC actually caught you in the act and you had to face an ALJ in a hearing at FCC headquarters in Washington, D.C.? Furthermore, would you have the money to get to Washington and be able to defend yourself on whatever actions the FCC was taking against you???

-Frank

2548. FS: Sunair GSB900sc 100w

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 06:23:35 UTC
From: Steve Gale <stephengale@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <76M09.26316$dk1.2363265@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 131576
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

http://members.kingston.net/sgale/Sunair/gsb900sc.htm

by the way im in Kingston Ontario Canada

2549. ARNewsline 1302 - July 26 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 06:58:18 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020728025818.16159.00001921@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 131577

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1302 July 26th, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1302 with a release date of
Friday, July 26th 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. A giant solar flare causes problems on the High Frequency bands and a popular ARRL Director calls it quits. These stories are first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1302 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

PROPAGATION: X CLASS SOLAR FLARE CLOSES HF BANDS

If you have been wondering where all the signals you normally hear on the High Frequency bands have gone, we have the answer. A large flare on the Sun effectively closed them down. Amateur Radio Newsline's Don Wilbanks tells us about the solar eruption and what it could mean for ham radio:

--

Solar flares come in all sizes, and the Astro Alert service says the latest ones are some of the largest. The E-newsletter says that the sunspot complex believed to be responsible for a July 21st X Class flare rotated fully into Earth's view on July 22nd. It announced its arrival by spawning a second and spectacularly powerful X Class 4.8 level eruption.

Solar Alert says that this latest flaring region has the potential to produce substantial effects on Earth over the next two weeks and we could see more than we have in the last 10 years. It all depends on whether or not the sunspot complex continues to pump out activity at the same rate that it is presumed to have produced over the last several days.

For radio hobbyists it means that there could be very little to hear on the bands from 1.8 to 30 MHz for a while. And, depending on the level of atmospheric ionization that takes place, it also could bring some unexpected long distance communications to 6 meters and above. If you have weak signal gear for the world above 50 MHz, keep and ear on frequencies like 50.110 and 50.125 MHz and be prepared to hear -- and to work -- the unexpected.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA, in New Orleans.

--

More on this story is in the Solar Alert URL at
http://www.spacew.com/astroalert.html. (WDX6AA)

**

HAM RADIO POLITICS: ARRL BOARD MEETS IN CONNECTICUT

The American Radio Relay League has held its 2nd meeting of 2002. It took place July 19th to the 20th in Windsor, Connecticut. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Cathy Anno, KB0FDU, has the details:

--
Perhaps the biggest news came as the Board Meeting was getting ready to begin. Thats when the American Radio Relay League was named to receive a $181,900 homeland security grant from the U-S government to train Amateur Radio operators in emergency communication. The A-R-R-L was among several dozen nonprofit organizations designated to receive upward of $10.3 million in federal money to boost homeland defense volunteer programs. The grant, from the Corporation for National and Community Service Special Volunteer program, will provide free ARRL Amateur Radio Emergency Communications Course training to 5200 volunteers nationwide, starting in 2003.

According to the ARRL South-Eastern Division E-letter, one issue that garnered a lot of conversation was the plan to remove the Section News columns in QST to the ARRL web-site. This proposal was presented last January but a decision was deferred for six months. Now the Leagues Directors, by a 9-6 vote, decided to approve the change.

Starting with the January 2003 issue of QST, columns from the 71 Section Managers will be moved to the web-site. ARRL staff will make provisions for those without web access, to have access to their own Section Managers column. The Board also voted to move the contest line scores out of QST and post them on the web as well.

ARRL's Development Director Mary Hobart, N1MMH, presented a new creative method of increasing member awareness. Soon, ARRL members will be asked to participate in a premium membership program. Various levels of membership will be created, like Diamond Club and Maxim Society. The higher level of participation the better the premium benefit received. Details on this program will be announced soon.

The board named Sherri Brower, W4STB, Vero Beach, Florida, as winner of the McGan Memorial Silver Antennas Award for Public Relations. Bower, who becomes Section Manager of South Florida on October 1st, was cited for her long-term commitment and dedication to present all aspects of amateur radio to the public. ARRL South Eastern Division Director Frank Butler, W4RH, will present the award to Bower at the Melbourne Hamfest in Melbourne Florida on September 14.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Cathy Anno, KB0FDU.

--

For full coverage of the ARRL Board meeting take your web browser over to www.arrl.org. (ARRL S.E. Division E-Letter)

**

HAM RADIO POLITICS: WB8BGY RESIGNS AS GREAT LAKES ARRL DIRECTOR

And a big surprise happened Saturday July 20th during the ARRL Board of Directors meeting on. That’s where Great Lakes Division Director George Race, WB8BGY, of Albion, Michigan, unexpectedly tendered his resignation. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Fred Vobbe, W8HDU reports:

--

George Race, WB8BGY, who is 66, has served on the ARRL Board of Directors for 12 years. Prior to that, he held numerous other field organization positions including several terms as Michigan Section Manager. Race is legendary within the ARRL field organization for his travels around the Great Lakes Division. Each year he has spenr btween 45,000 to 50,000 on the road on the League's behalf and has literally worn out three cars in the process.

Race admits that a Board vote to move ''Section News'' from QST magazine to the World Wide Web did play a small part in his decision to resign, it was not the primary factor. Rather it was time. Time to devote to his wife and family. WB8BGY sayd that there is life beyond the League.

Accolades for Race were quick in coming. One of the first was from ARRL President Emiritus George Wilson, W4OYI. He told Amateur Radio Newsline that George Race devoted decades to furthering the cause of both ham radio and the League. Wilson called Race a tireless worker on behalf of the hobby adding that he definately will be missed.

Reporting from the ARRL’s Great Lakes Division, I’m Fred Vobbe, W8HDU.

--

By normal succession of officers, Great Lakes Vice Director Gary Johnston, KI4LA, was declared the new director. ARRL President Jim Haynie, W5JBP, has appointed Michigan Section Manager Dick Mondro, W8FQT, to be the new Great Lakes Vice Director. A successor to Mondro as Michigan Section Manager is expected to be named soon. (Adapted from ARRL Bulletin, W4OYI)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the Cresenta Valey Amateur Radio Club repeater N6JLY serving Cresenta Vally California.

(5 sec pause here)

**

RADIO LAW: CQ SAYS YES TO NEW HAM BANDS

The publisher of several hobby radio magazines is urging the FCCto proceed in the creation of several new ham bands. However, it is voicing significant concern that the regulatory agency appears to be placing the interests of unlicensed services on a par with, if not ahead of, those of licensed services. Amateur Radio Newsline’s David Black, KB4KCH, tellks us who filed and why:

--

Most magazine publishers traditionally stay out ham radio politics. But the people who publish CQ Amateur Radio, CQ VHF and Popular Communications magazines are making an exception this time.

The publishers have filed comments with the FCC strongly supporting proposals that would create two new amateur bands and elevate a current amateur allocation from secondary to primary status.

CQ wants the FCC to adopt a proposal to create a "splinter band" at 135.7 to 137.8 kHz -- this would give amateur radio its first allocation above 200 meters in nearly a century. The company proposes opening the band to all amateurs with General Class or higher licenses, not imposing mode restrictions and making technical requirements flexible enough to encourage a wide range of experimentation.

CQ is also pushing for creation of a new ham band at 60 meters from 5.250 to 5.400 Mhz. The proposal suggests that full amateur power be permitted; that there be no sub-banding, either by mode or by license class; and that the band be open to all amateurs with HF privileges. That would include CW privileges for hams holding Novice Class licenses or Technician licenses with code credit.

Finally, CQ voices strong support for the FCC's proposal to make the Amateur Service primary on 2400 to 2402 MHz. The publishers say they're very concerned about one Commission request in its Notice of Proposed Rule Making. That requests solicits comments on the change in status that might affect non-licensed users of this band, such as cordless telephones and wireless computer networks.

CQ wants the Commission to reaffirm its long-standing policy that the interests of licensed operators and services are primary on any frequencies shared by licensed and non-licensed users.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, Im David Black, KB4KCH.

--

CQ's comments were filed electronically and may be viewed in full on the FCC's Electronic Comment Filing System website at www.fcc.gov/e-file/ecfs.html by selecting "Search for Filed Comments," then typing in "02-98" under "Proceeding" on the search page, scrolling through comment summaries until the CQ comments are reached. (CQ)

**

RADIO LAW: COMMISSION REQUIRES RADAR DETECTORS TO COMPLY WITH EMISSION LIMITS

The FCC has imposed new emission limits and certification requirements on radar detectors. This, to prevent them from causing interference to very small aperture satellite terminals that operate with downlink frequencies in the 11.7 to 12.2 GHz band. Tests at the Commission's Laboratory have shown that some radar detectors emit radio signals in the downlink band far in excess of the limits that apply to other unintentional radiators. As a result of the new standards, radar detectors sold in the United States will have to meet the new and more stringent limits. The complete document is at

http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-224518A1.doc (CGC Communicator)

**

RADIO LAW: VOTE ON NEW FCC COMMISSIONER EXPECTED

Ending months of political bickering over judicial nominations, a senate panel approved a longtime aide to Senate Majority Leader Tom Daschle for a seat on the Federal Communications Commission. The nomination of Jonathan S. Adelstein, who has worked for the Democratic leader since 1995, will go to the full Senate for a vote.

Approval is expected, with several Republican senators saying they would vote for the South Dakota native. The FCC panel has five commissioners, who are nominated by the president and confirmed by the Senate. Only three can be from the same political party. (Congressional Review)

**

ENFORCEMENT: WISCONSIN HAM ASKED ABOUT CB SALES

The FCC has asked a Wisconsin ham to explain his connection to a website that purportedly offers for sale C-B equipment not certified by the agency. The FCC’s Riley Hollingsworth explains:

--
Hollingsworth: “We opened an inquirey regarding the marketing of non certified radio equipment including non certified CB transceivers and HF linear amplifiers by Roper Electronics. Roper Electronics has a web page entitled tomah.com, and we are inquiring into the relationship between Roper Electronics and Randi Owen, N9UOM, of Tomah Wisconsin.”
--

Specifically, Owen was asked to fully describe his relationship, if any, with Roper Electronics. Also, if it turns out that he owns or is affiliated with Roper, he was requested to furnish the FCC a listing of all models of HF transceivers and amplifiers domestically sold and/or offered for sale by Roper since January 1st of this year. That list must include the FCC certification number for each model.

The letter of inquiry went out to Owen on June 18th. Hollingsworth says that a reply to that inquiry is do in right now. (FCC, RAIN)

**

HAM SCHOLARSHIPS: FAR SCHOLARSHIP WINNERS ANNOUNCED

The Foundation For amateur Radio has announced this year’s winners for the fifty-seven scholarships which it administers. The names of the recipients for the individual awards appear on a list at several websites including the ARRL. For more information, write FAR Scholarships, PO Box 831, Riverdale, Maryland, 20783. The announcement for the year 2003 awards availability will be made early next year. (FAR)

**

UPCOMING EVENTS: PACKARD AUTOMOBILE CELEBRATION

On the special events calendar, the Warren Ohio Amateur Radio Association will be operating special events station W8P to commemorate the annual Packard automobile celebration and car show. The Warren group will operate on the 40, 20, 15 and 10 meter bands. QSL's go to the Warren Amateur Radio Association, Post Office Box 809, Warren Ohio. The Zipcode there is 44482 (Via Internet Posting)

**

UPCOMING EVENTS: OH-KY-IN HAMFEST

Still in Ohio, but over in Cincinnati, the OH-KY-IN Amateur Radio Society will be holding its annual hamfest on July 27th. The venue is the Diamond Oaks Career Development Campus and this event features seminars, hidden transmitter hunts and a giant flea market. More information on this one is on the web at www dot ohkyin dot org. And in case you are wondering what OH-KY-IN stands for – its Ohio, Kentucky and Indiana – the three states that provide most of the attendees to this annual event. (Via E-Mail)

**

UPCOMING EVENTS: NATIONAL BABY FOOD FESTIVAL

From one of our listeners in Michigan comes word that W1B is a special event operation from the National Baby Food Festival. Yes, there really is a National Baby Food Festival and W1B will operate the General class areas of 75, 40, 20, 15 and 10 meters while its underway. And if you work this one, you QSL with a self addressed stamped envelope to Shawn Gibson, KC8LGD, 3377 West 80th Street in Newaygo Michigan. Gibson’s zipcode is 49337. (Via Internet Posting)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today’s radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: RUSSIA SAYS BASS LIKELY TO FLY TO ISS

The Russian space company Rosaviakosmos has announced that N*SYNC singer Lance Bass has signed a preliminary contract and is officially a candidate for a Russian mission to the International Space Station this October. This, even the United States National Aeronautics and Space Administration, which partners with the Russians on the space station project has expressed concerns that the pop musician may lack the proper education, endurance and fluency in Russian to undertake such a journey.

But a spokesman at Russia's Baikonur Cosmodrome training center in says that within a week Bass will begin training. The spokesman said that the singer should be able to cover enough ground to make the trip adding that three months was more than enough time to teach him the basics.

Bass will be the third space tourist to pay Russia $20 million to visit the orbiting outpost. Previous space visitors were U.S. millionaire Dennis Tito KG6FZX and South African entrepreneur Mark Shuttleworth. Tito and Shuttleworth did find time to use the ham gear on board the station. Bass interest in Amateur Radio operations from the ISS is still unknown. (ARNewsline™ from published news reports)

**

RADIO IN SPACE: LISTEN TO JUPITER ON YOUR HAM TRANSCEIVER

Want to hear what’s happening on the planet Jupiter? Just listen on the 15 meter band. So says Popular Communications Magazine in an article aptly titled Listening To Jupiter On Your Shortwave Receiver.

No, you won’t hear the latest scores from the Jupiter Red Sox playing the Mars Marlins. What can be heard is the natural sound of the orange giant. An electromagnetic wave that’s emitted at irregular intervals by Jupiter that the article describes as a sweeping lighthouse like sound.

All you need to listen in is a shortwave receiver that can tune the good old A-M mode and a decent antenna. Exactly how to listen and what to listen for is on pages 8 and 9 o the August issue of the magazine. (PopComm)

**

INTERNATIONAL - NEW ZEALAND: TECHNOLOGY CONVENTION 2003

From Down-Under, word that the New Zealand ham radio Technology Convention will now be held once every two years. Formerly called the VHF Convention, this bi-annual event now caters for a broader range of interests.

The Convention was last in Whangarei over Easter Weekend 2001. The New Zealand Association of Radio Transmitters days that it is currently is looking for a host club for Technology Convention, 2003. (NZART)

**

INTERNATIONAL - UK: HAMS GET NEW PROPAGATION STUDY BAND:

UK Radio Amateurs will shortly be able to use a number of spot frequencies around 5 MHz, to take part in a four-year propagation study. A full Class-A license holder wishing to take part will require a Notice of Variation to his existing license. At the present time, the final administrative arrangements are being put into place. A further announcement will be made regarding the start date shortly. (GB2RS)

**

INTERNATIONAL - UK: THE GREAT NEW TOOTH PHONE

Meantime, a pair of British engineers say they have invented a revolutionary tooth implant that works like a mobile phone. The 'tooth phone', designed by researchers James Auger and Jimmy Loizeau, consists of a micro sized receiver, transmitter and a transducer that are implanted into a tooth during routine dental surgery. Sound, which comes from the tooth is transferred to the inner ear by bone resonance. This means information can be received anywhere and nobody else can listen in. (Science & Technology)

**

DX

In DX, DJ6TK, is vacationing and operating 6 meters from Bornholm Island on CW and SSB mostly in his afternoon or late evening hours UTC. Listen for him signing JO75LA and possibly from JO74MX as well. (MDX)

I2IA stroke ID9 will be active from Ipari Island through September 15th. He intends to visit also other islands in the Eolie group during this time. (GB2RS)

And a group of operators from Indonesia and Italy plan to activate Masalembu Island as 8A3M from July 29th through August 4th. They expect to be on the air with two or three stations on SSB, CW and RTTY. QSL via IZ8CCW. (OPDX)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: CAPTAIN KIRK - “THEY BE WHALES”

In what sounds like it’s right out of a Star Trek movie plot, will Captain Kirk and Mr. Spock have to go rescue some whales from oblivion after all? And what does this have to do with radio communications? Amateur Radio Newsline’s Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, has the answers to both of these questions:

--

In the movie Star Trek The Voyage Home, the crew of the star-ship Enterprise -- without the Enterprise -- takes on the job of saving our planet from annihilation by a space probe. The probe is angry because it is programmed to contact Humpback whales, but it cannot find any because all the whales are long gone from the planet. To save the world, Kirk and crew travel back in time to get some whales and bring them into the future.

With that in mind, picture this. The administration has now given the Navy permission to begin using a powerful new low-frequency SONAR to identify enemy submarines.

SONAR, which stands for Sound Navigation and Ranging, is usually used to observe objects in water to determine distance. According to the Navy, each of the new SONAR’s eighteen transducers produces an audio signal equivalent to the noise level you would hear if you stood next to an F-15 fighter jet while I was taking-off. It is this high power that makes it possible for the sound waves to travel several hundred miles and return an accurate target echo.

But environmentalists are worried. They note that that the new SONAR system operates in the same band of frequencies used for communication by many large

whales, including Humpbacks. They say that whales are particularly susceptible to SONAR interference because they rely on sound for communication, feeding, mating and migration. In fact, they navigate the oceans of the world using a kind of natural SONAR of their own.

Some scientists believe that whales will mistake the Navy SONAR signals for other whale pods and swim in the wrong direction. And they believe that if this happens, the worlds whale population will decrease.

Others disagree. This group of researchers believes that the two can co-exist with careful monitoring of the whales for any adverse effects which might be noticed. Adjustments could then be made to the SONAR systems operation to minimize or eliminate any problems.

The bottom line is that it's a tradeoff in communications. That of the whales versus the need of the public to be safe from enemy attack.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ.

--

The National Marine Fisheries Service says with proper monitoring and safeguards, the Navy’s new SONAR is not likely to injure whales or any other marine mammals. But to be on the safe side, we hope Captain Kirk, Mr. Spock and Scotty are standing by. (Adapted from published news reports)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Mert Garlick, N6AWE, saying 73, and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

**
TERMINAL BREAK
**

RAIN PROMO

2550. Re: help with transmit problems on old cb radio!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 09:56:24 UTC
From: piecheech@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020728055624.21857.00001323@mb-ff.aol.com>
Source record: 131578

I'd check the coax and the antenna. Sounds like a weak connection somewhere.
Joe PieCheech

2551. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 12:16:17 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207280416.22e48991@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131580

allsteel77@aol.com (ALLSTEEL77) wrote in message
news:<20020727194113.17881.00001003@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> AAron are you talking to your self again.Loser!!

Goobner is one these whiny losers that would refuse to eat pussy cause
its against some outdated law somewhere.

2552. Re: Radios& amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 12:22:02 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207280422.540ed6b4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131581

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0207272050.57f1aa8f@posting.google.com>...
> ricconak@netscape.net (ric conak) wrote in message
news:<7a636118.0207271253.5fe6a6b7@posting.google.com>...
> > I have the following for sale.
> > Cobra 148 gtl, phillipines model, peaked, swing kit, channels, 9 out
> > of 10. $90
>
> Illegal.
>
drivel snipped

Go fuck yourself GOOBNER

look up "total waste of human DNA" and you shall find goobner's
picture next to the definition.

2553. Re: Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 12:43:54 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <eGR09.137003$88.2467074@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131582

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207272056.15c90d63@posting.google.com...
> Kenneth wrote:
> >Better hope Vobner aint Jewish or you'll get flamed big time.
>
> I'm a Viking. Got something racist to say about it?
>
> -A

And I'm Brad Pitt.

2554. Re: Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 13:10:16 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207280510.7da25f45@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0207251533.f5af6e2@posting.google.com>...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<1P0Y8.17690$_e3.399360327@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:22a5e694.0207131136.2a246d69@posting.google.com...
> > > ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST
> > >
> >
> >
> > Troll Post.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Just curious...what makes posting an on-topic informational FCC
> document a "troll post"?

How about: It's unnecessary? If the FCC ever needs YOUR help, I'm sure
they'll let you know.

>
> >"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
>
> Only an idiot could think up that ridiculous slogan and use it as his
> "sig" during a time of national crisis.
>
> -A

What crisis? I don't see no stinkin' crisis. Or do you mean the one
conjured up by the current Republican regime to scare hell out of the
citizens and take away our constitutional freedoms?

And if I'm not mistaken, he was using that SIG long before 9/11/01.

2555. Re: Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 13:14:48 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207280514.50c0e9b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter) wrote in message
news:<fef386d5.0207251913.6b6b48f0@posting.google.com>...
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D405EFD.66C68AF2@mailcity.net>...
> > Dave Hall wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Ham=Pork. Jew's don't eat pork, Hence the line, "kind of ham I was
> > > warned to stay away from". Get it yet?
> > >
> > > Dave
> > > "Sandbagger"
> >
> > Not entirely true, my daughter-in-law and her entire family is
> > Jewish and they all eat pork.
>
> I don't believe it.

Believe it. Many enjoy a ham & cheese on rye, even (also un-kosher).
Many young people have "the other white meat" for their bar-mitzvah
dinners, even in Israel. Only so-called "orthodox" Jews don't consume
pig products.

BTW, did you know that Muslims don't eat pork, either? Maybe they're
closer than they like to think?

And for the record, with the advances in refridgeration there's no
reason to avoid pork anymore.

2556. Re: Radios& amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 13:43:42 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D43F511.C555E269@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131585

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> In <3D431314.46EA13BA@yaSPAMhoo.com>, Scott Sixnine
> <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote:
>
> >I like the way ric did ONE post and not TEN separate posts.
> >
> >Good luck and f*ck Aaron and Yeti. (figuratively not literally)
>
> Yeah, and f*ck the FCC, f*ck the interference, f*ck anyone else on the
> band, and... well, just f*ck anyone that doesn't agree with you, right
> Scotty? F*ck off.

Ahhh, yes, I got just the response I was expecting from Frank the Flamer.

2557. Re: The origins of freebanders (long) HeHE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 13:52:02 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6GS09.1355$_O6.54875316@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131586

<dmills@spamblock.demon.co.uk> wrote in message
news:hdevha.u81.ln@spamblock.demon.co.uk...
> In alt.radio.pirate Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote:
>
> > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> > news:cuvyxnansvbet.gzest10.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
>
> >> A close friend (non-ham) has been a California judge for 20 years or
> >> so, and has repeatedly told defendants "It's a shame that stupidity
> >> is not a crime in this state...." right in their faces. Cracks up
> >> the courtroom staff every time....
>
> > Public servants making fun of citizens?
>
> <Followups Set to rec.radio.cb>.
>
> Whats wrong with that? Citizens make fun of public servants all the time!

When the internal revenue service takes money away from citizens at
gunpoint, then uses that money to make fun of citizens as part of their paid
duties, that is unethical. When citizens make fun of public servants, they
are doing it on tehir own time.

> Besides, seems to me that the judges probably get to see more examples
> of outright luser [looser (sic)] behaviour the almost any other
profession, with the
> possible exceptions of traffic police and A&E doctors.

Pehaps this judge needs to sentence these people to get a GED or some other
adult education program. Perhaps the judge needs to pull a stint in public
education and try to make a difference.

> If the guy in front of the bench is behaving like a tosser the judge
> should be alowed to say so!
>
> Regards, Dan.

Judge Laura and Dr. Judy should have it so easy.

2558. Re: The origins of freebanders (long) HeHE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 13:55:51 UTC
From: Brian P Burke <bpburke@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <HJS09.1361$nQ6.54957207@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131587
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:uk6r492kt3dlcd@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Brian P Burke" <bpburke@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:mbE09.1284$U24.42784923@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> > news:cuvyxnansvbet.gzest10.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> > > On Wed, 17 Jul 2002 00:12:02 -0400, Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> > >
> > > >> >>"One thing for sure; you can't regulate 'stupid'"
> > > >> > Riley Hollingsworth
> > > >> >
> > > >The quote? He told me that in person about 2 years ago.
> > >
> > > A close friend (non-ham) has been a California judge for 20 years or
> > > so, and has repeatedly told defendants "It's a shame that stupidity
> > > is not a crime in this state...." right in their faces. Cracks up
> > > the courtroom staff every time....
> > >
> > > ---
> > > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
> > Public servants making fun of citizens?
> >
> >
> I agree with the judge on this one. As a matter of fact,
> it's a darn good thing stupidity isn't a crime in the U.S.A.!!

Perhaps we need to bring back debtors prison and sell our children into
indenture?

People behave financially irresponsible (stupid) every day.

> It's just like Gallagher said "they aught to let people have
> little dart guns and when ever they see somebody driving
> like an idiot they shoot the car with the dart. That way
> whenever the cops see someone with a lot of darts on
> their car they stop them and give em a ticket for being
> stupid."

That's a good one. Has he ever performed any public service?

Perhaps Gallagher should stick to smashing watermelons.

2559. Re: CB endorsed by PennDOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 14:05:03 UTC
From: 800mhz <pottsladd13@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <jSS09.10936$Kl6.635194@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131588

Well I heard those back in May on my trip out to Indy, and although it is a great idea, and you wonder why they never did it in the past.
You also have to ask, do the FCC rules allow for broadcasting? Which is what they are doing.
It is a one way transmission, which makes it a broadcast,and not a communication. They are also as you mentioned, stepping on other CBr's for lack of better word.
So if there's any emergency in the area of the construction and this broadcast keeps coming up, that means no one can hear a real call for help.
So are they also monitoring Ch. 9?
Just some thoughts I have on the subject.
I've had my Amateur license for over 10 yrs now, but still throw the CB in the vehicle for any long trips.
So they still do have a purpose after all these years.
Great post.....
Lou

2560. need mic 4-pin connector wiring for SBE Key/Comm 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 14:26:59 UTC
From: Sean Ingram <singram@direcway.com>
Message-ID: <DbT09.8069$u7.630862@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 131589

I am looking for the wiring schematic for the 4-pin standard mic connector
on an

SBE Key/Comm Xcvr (AM)[CB] {40 Channels)

as well as the function of the key pad on the left-hand side of the channel
display.

Tnx. :)

"240-Robert"

2561. Re: H & Y ELECTRONICS BEST PRICES ON THE INTERNET AMPS RADIOS AND MORE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 14:41:44 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0207280641.4e850611@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131590

websterfla@aol.com (BIG JOE) wrote in message
news:<208bdd4a.0207251630.4af834de@posting.google.com>...
> FOR YOUR CB NEEDS CHECK OUT THE WEB SITE WWW.HYELECTRONICS.COM
> THIS COMPANY CARRIES ALL YOUR CB ACCESSORYS.THEY WILL NOT BE UNDER
> SOLD.I RECIEVE ALL MY CB STUFF FROM THEM . I TRYED ALL THE REST NOW I
> RUN WITH THE VERY BEST. THANKS FOR YOUR TIME YOUR FRIEND JOE WITH THE
> BIG RADIO SHOW.PLEASE CHECK OUT THERE WEB SITE YOU WONT BE SORRY 10-4
> ...ONE MORE THING IF YOUR NOT BUYING FROM H Y YOUR PAYING TO MUCH...

Why am I not surprised that Joe with the Big Radio is buying his stuff
from H &Y ? Hey Joe, still get on 26.915 and agitate every morning,
while those legal drugs take effect?

2562. Re: H & Y ELECTRONICS BEST PRICES ON THE INTERNET AMPS RADIOS AND MORE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 14:43:54 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0207280643.6e9d320a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131591

"Frank Todd" <k3eko@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:<BsL09.192279$uw.101941@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>...
> If memory serves me correctly, don't you need a membership to buy anything
> from H&Y????
>
> -Frank
>
>
>
>
>
> "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D412F25.FF22786@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > That's h&KY electronics. They're gonna' stick it to ya'.
> >
> > They are the same company as Copper Electronics. Just the other
> > side of the warehouse.
> >
> > BIG JOE wrote:
> > >
> > > FOR YOUR CB NEEDS CHECK OUT THE WEB SITE WWW.HYELECTRONICS.COM

Yep you are correct. It cost $25.00 a year to be a 'member' and for
what I have no idea. It is just a sham, and these copper people have
been getting away with this crap for years.

2563. Interference from Channel 6 and 15 in SoBo_Virginia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 15:20:45 UTC
From: 240_ROBERT <s_ingram@directway.com>
Message-ID: <3_T09.8074$u7.631121@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 131592

Been wondering where that high powered activity been comin' from?
It is on Channel 6 in SoBo/Halifax County, Virginia

I know this to be true. My relatives notify me by phone and e-mail whenever they see those lines on their TV and hearing the audio coming over their telephones -even with the RF filters that installed the first time that that mess happened.

I have conducted RDF Ops. on these individuals and have sent their locations in Lat/Lon to FCC officials.

Yes, I have driven by their houses late at night and in broad daylight (You Guess Which Nights/Days) using RDF equiment for RD emissions in the 27 MHz Band as well as voice recorders.

So, the individuals who get this message either directly or "by any means necessary" can keep running their amplifiers all they want. Someone may come knocking on your door, and, or serving a search warrant and confiscate your "precious equipment" (and or maybe cutting your coax at nighttime...You Guess What Nights!)

This mess WILL come to an end.

You are not only causing interference to others but to government and civilian frequencies as well as hospital equipment such as PCA pumps and EKGs and Heart Monitors.

So Keep Talking Your Mess!

2564. Re: CB endorsed by PennDOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 16:12:39 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uk85vlee4hg37e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131593

They are spaced so anyone can talk. Probably goes against FCC rulz but many truck stops broadcast there menu specials directions etc and cb shops also advertise. While these are against the FCC rulz the provide a valuable service.
I think the FCC realizes that CB has morphed into something else and is loath to admit it.
As for emergencies there is usually the usual construction backups and there is always what is called a "care bear" that just hangs out in the construction area with it's lights going. If these measures reduces construction zone fatalities The FCC will wisely ignore any ifraction of FCC rulz IMHO
Sincerely
Ken
"800mhz" <pottsladd13@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:jSS09.10936$Kl6.635194@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Well I heard those back in May on my trip out to Indy, and although it is a
> great idea, and you wonder why they never did it in the past.
> You also have to ask, do the FCC rules allow for broadcasting? Which is what
> they are doing.
> It is a one way transmission, which makes it a broadcast,and not a
> communication. They are also as you mentioned, stepping on other CBr's for
> lack of better word.
> So if there's any emergency in the area of the construction and this
> broadcast keeps coming up, that means no one can hear a real call for help.
> So are they also monitoring Ch. 9?
> Just some thoughts I have on the subject.
> I've had my Amateur license for over 10 yrs now, but still throw the CB in
> the vehicle for any long trips.
> So they still do have a purpose after all these years.
> Great post.....................
> Lou
>
>

2565. FS: RCI-2980 w/ D104 M6

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 16:27:29 UTC
From: Darrin <mviaud@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <qk68kuc7r0fr5g1ejt86dgnvf3ujibbiuu@4ax.com>
Source record: 131594

This is a RCI-2980 Base Station. It is one year old, and I'm the original owner. This is one of the last 2980's made. Frequency range is 25.615 to 28.315 MHz. 10 watts AM/FM, 25+ watts PEP. Radio is in excellent condition, and everything on it works as it should. Comes with an Astatic D-104 M6B power mic, stock mic, manual, and rack handles. Radio has a built in power supply.

$275 +$25 shipping.

2566. Re: Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 16:28:23 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uk86t4jk632lf1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

YEP
Your the original "boat people"

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207272056.15c90d63@posting.google.com...
> Kenneth wrote:
> >Better hope Vobner aint Jewish or you'll get flamed big time.
>
> I'm a Viking. Got something racist to say about it?
>
> -A

2567. Re: Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 16:33:40 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uk87726brlmfc1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

They did have the "pork riots" in Isreal during the 80's.
The othodox tried and almost did pass a law banning the sale of pork in Isreal.
The merchants(many reformed and arab) started giving away free ham sandwiches pork chops etc. Of course the ulta othodox went beserk and riots ensued.
Must have been fun:)
Sincerely
Ken

2568. Re: Radios& amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 17:10:58 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207280910.48295c5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131597

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0207272050.57f1aa8f@posting.google.com>...
> ricconak@netscape.net (ric conak) wrote in message
news:<7a636118.0207271253.5fe6a6b7@posting.google.com>...
> > I have the following for sale.
> > Cobra 148 gtl, phillipines model, peaked, swing kit, channels, 9 out
> > of 10. $90
>
> Illegal.

Stuck record.

> > Cobra 29 ltd, peaked, big final, nice shape. $65
>
> Illegal.

Stuck record.

> > Ranger 2950, converted, swing kit, 8 out of 10, does 50 watts. $125
>
> Illegal.

Stuck record. And just plain wrong; it CAN be used on 10 metres.

> > Ranger 2970, converted, swing kit, 8 out of 10, does 250 watts. $225
>
> Illegal.

Stuck record. And just plain wrong; it CAN be used on 10 metres.

> > Galaxy DX88, converted, peaked, 10 out of 10. $175
>
> Illegal.

Stuck record.

> > Galaxy DX99v, converted, peaked, 9.5 out of 10. $200
>
> Illegal.

Stuck record.

> > Texas Star dx350 $125
>
> Illegal.

Stuck record.

> > Texas Star dx 667 $225
>
> Illegal.

Stuck record.

> > Texas Star dx1600 $500 add $75 for fan kit
>
> Illegal.

Stuck record.

> > Heathkit SB-220, tubes have about 20 hours on them, wired for 220,
> > does 2K, 7.5 out of 10, not all beat up. $500
>
> Illegal for use on CB.

True, but it's perfectly legal for use elsewhere. You think hams don't read this group? Your point?

Rest of useless waste of space snipped.

Someone should whack you upside the head with a 2x4; might knock some common sense into you (doubtful)! Everybody knows the Rules, Aaryan Aaron; a copy is packed with every radio.

BTW: What's your FCC badge number? Your amateur callsign? Put up or shut up. PLEEZE!

2569. Re: President HR-2510 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 17:17:47 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207280917.2d2ca0d4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131598
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<22a5e694.0207141815.317e4ceb@posting.google.com>...
> vhfradiobuff@aol.com (vhfradiobuff) wrote in message
news:<5e5fccab.0207141348.4d94953e@posting.google.com>...
> > When will people learn the URL for illegal radios and amps?
> >
> > http://auctions.yahoo.com
> >
> > You can list anything there. :)
>
> Be advised, we're working on that issue. Note the Yahoo Auctions TOS
> clause (below). Since sale of illegal CB amplifiers and "export
> radios" violates FCC law, Yahoo will eventualy have to follow eBay's
> lead in banning the sale of these items.
>
> -A

Ermmm... "FCC law?" You truly exaggerate. They're called "Rules and
Regulations" and they are not "law", Aanal Aaron.

And I doubt the veracity of your closing statement; there's no reason
for Yahoo to follow eBay's lead. eBay exclusively makes money from
auctions; Yahoo does not.

> ----------
>
> http://docs.yahoo.com/info/terms/
>
> You agree to not use the Service to:
>
> k. intentionally or unintentionally violate any applicable local,
> state, national or international law.

Again, it's not "law". And buying or selling is not a violation of
anything. Only certain *use* may be a violation.

2570. New Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 18:07:22 UTC
From: Redneck <fredthered@mail1.ftpayne.com>
Message-ID: <upW09.25409$fH5.25645083@kent.svc.tds.net>
Source record: 131599

I think you'll find this site interesting....

http://65.202.69.136/

2571. Scanner frequencies??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 18:22:52 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D44367C.9E96EA1D@qnet.com>
Source record: 131600

Hello All:

My scanner frequecy book is a few years old, whats the hot ticket on CD or in a book for scanner frequencies.

Maybe web sites?

I am located in the Southern California Mojave Desert, thanks for any help.

Jay in the Mojave

2572. Re: CB endorsed by PennDOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 19:10:51 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207281110.6ebcafa9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131601

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:<uk6o1f98e5a21a@corp.supernews.com>...
>Lately PennDOT has been setting up transmitters with an
>automatic message usually with travel advisories. Basically
>along the lines of "constuction at exits 55 to 57 along rt 283
>slow down, slow down NOW". This is always on ch19...
>What does this mean?

They assume people with CB's are the ones most likely to speed and break the law?

-A

2573. Re: New Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 19:22:00 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D44445B.A0F142A6@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131602

Redneck wrote:
>
> I think you'll find this site interesting....
>
> http://65.202.69.136/

I like this reference:

http://www.rainbowsend.net/et/cb%20radio/information/SWRPROBM.WRI

"
G. Improper cable length. To calculate as close as possible what length should be used, formula 468 divided by the frequency (in MHz) will give 1/2 of a wave length (in feet); thus as an example, 468 divided by 27.205MHz (chan 20) gives 17.2 feet for a 1/2 wave length of coax. (Divide again by 2 for 1/4 wave length).
"

1- Coax length does NOT matter.

2- The formula fails to account for velocity factor.

3- Thanks for NOT perpetuating these rumors.

2574. Re: Scanner frequencies??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 19:26:54 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uk8hf33kv92d49@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131603

See reply on A.R.S...

PH

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3D44367C.9E96EA1D@qnet.com...
> Hello All:
>
> My scanner frequecy book is a few years old, whats the hot ticket on CD
> or in a book for scanner frequencies.
>
> Maybe web sites?
>
> I am located in the Southern California Mojave Desert, thanks for any
> help.
>
> Jay in the Mojave

2575. FA: Cobra 139 SSB Base & SolarCon A-99 Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 19:41:51 UTC
From: doidy1@juno.com
Message-ID: <3a26ea1.0207281141.430f1a58@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131604
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

For auction: Cobra 139 SSB Base & SolarCon A-99 Antenna. Also included in auction is SWR tester w/box and instructions. To view auction go here:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1368504984

thanks,
LP

2576. Re: Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 19:49:55 UTC
From: "Ed G." <Spectrumhog@_REMOVE_StarBand.net>
Message-ID: <Xns925982FA2F3DFFrog207Junocom@148.78.248.76>
Source record: 131605
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

>
> What crisis? I don't see no stinkin' crisis. Or do you mean the one
> conjured up by the current Republican regime to scare hell out of the
> citizens and take away our constitutional freedoms?
>

Sure glad your kind weren't in power when the Japanese struck Pearl
Harbor!

Ed

2577. Re: New Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 19:55:41 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d444a2a.2860878@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131606

In <3D44445B.A0F142A6@yaSPAMhoo.com>, Scott Sixnine
<scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote:

>
>
>Redneck wrote:
>>
>> I think you'll find this site interesting....
>>
>> http://65.202.69.136/
>
>I like this reference:
>
>http://www.rainbowsend.net/et/cb%20radio/information/SWRPROBM.WRI
>
>"
>G. Improper cable length. To calculate as close as possible what length should be used,
> formula 468 divided by the frequency (in MHz) will give 1/2 of a wave length (in feet);
> thus as an example, 468 divided by 27.205MHz (chan 20) gives 17.2 feet for a 1/2 wave
> length of coax. (Divide again by 2 for 1/4 wave length).
>"
>
>1- Coax length does NOT matter.
>
>2- The formula fails to account for velocity factor.
>
>3- Thanks for NOT perpetuating these rumors.

Did you notice that the document was written with a copy of Word 6.0
that is licensed to Tyson Foods? Maybe the author should stick with
frying fish-sticks.

I also liked the doc LINEARS.WRI:

> "Super-Modulation" circuits reduce the carrier power, but have very little effect on the
>peak envelope power of the radio which is where the aduio is determined. When the two
>of these powers are observed at the same time on meters designed for just their
evaluation,
>we see a remarked difference. It is when the two of these types of grading power occur
>and look almost identical that percentages of over 100 in modulation can be obtained with
>a percentage of distortion that is almost unnoticeable to the ear.
>
> Electronic Energy Source has discovered the circuity that seems to do it all, and at an
>affordable price for most radios. "Super-Modulation" for less money than a good power
>microphone. "Super-Mod" it and forget the power mic!

Single Sideband works even better!

> So you don't use a linear? You don't believe in them. You don't own one and you aren't
>going to get one! That is good. However, you still want that radio of yours to be loud and
>clear.....Well, "Super-Mod" is the way to go. "Super-Mod" audio will get through at
>times where regular modulation won't. And when it is installed and used, there is never a
>time where the audio is muffled or scratchy sounding because the carrier is stronger than
>the audio, even if you are only a few feet from the other guys receiver.

...uh, has anyone ever had a problem when the audio was "muffled or
scratchy sounding because the carrier is stronger than the audio"?
Gee, I've never heard THAT one before! LOL!

2578. F/T **SSB radio's for Trade***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 20:01:19 UTC
From: John <wizard@walesuk.net>
Message-ID: <ai1iie$1ig$1@venus.btinternet.com>
Source record: 131607

After many enjoyable years of SSB radio, I have decided to sell up and change over to Shortwave radio and Radio Scanners. TRADE offers are invited against the following radios, I am looking for scanners or sw-radio's.
My first and best is the new President George
http://www.transmission1.net/cbradio/review-pres_george.html
It is in immaculate condition (never used mobile) has the original box and mic and the manual, all mode AM/FM/USB/LSB/CW, also has switchable roger bleep Coverage is from 26 to 30 Mhz.....

My next best is a Ranger RCI-2960F this set is as new, boxed with manuals, all-mode 26 to 29.700
http://www.rfwiz.com/RCI-2960F.htm

Also have a Cobra 148 GTL-DX MKII, good condition, includes cobra power-mike, have the manual but not the box. All usual cobra refinements (alpha channels etc)

Superstar 360, VERY similar to the cobra

President Washington all mode except FM..26 to 28.500MHz
http://www.ammtech.com/washingt.htm

I have had enough of complaints about TVI etc and am not getting any younger, serious trade offers for scanners or sw-radio only please.
Although I may be tempted if the cash offer is good :-))
I am in the UK but shipping world-wide is not a problem
regards
The Wizard

.....

2579. CB Enters "Dark Ages"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 20:23:33 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207281223.658d7081@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131608

I am noticing a dramatic increase in CB's being offered for sale that include coverage above 28 mHz. It's both sad and disturbing that a once fine service has apparently been abandoned by law abiding operators and has embarked on a new, completely lawless era.

PS: unlicensed "CB" operation above 28 mHz is illegal - in the UK and anywhere else in the world.

-Aaron-

Re: ï¿½FS: RCI-2980 w/ D104 M6
From: Darrin
> RCI-2980 Base Station.
> Frequency range
> is 25.615 to 28.315 MHz.

Re: ï¿½F/T **SSB radio's for Trade***
From: John
> new President George
> Coverage is from 26 to 30 Mhz.......
>
> Ranger RCI-2960F
> all-mode 26 to 29.700
>
> Also have a Cobra 148 GTL-DX MKII,
> (alpha channels etc)
>
> President Washington
>26 to 28.500MHz
>

2580. Re: Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 20:38:02 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D44562A.3000405@csotbs.org>
Source record: 131609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

Yeah:

Go jump off a boat.

:-{P~~~

-SSB

Aaron H. Voobner wrote:

> Kenneth wrote:
>
>>Better hope Vobner aint Jewish or you'll get flamed big time.
>>
>
> I'm a Viking. Got something racist to say about it?
>
> -A
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

2581. OT- tonsilectomy and more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 20:47:05 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <40m8kuo1cmjf4lc9b5eepeenjhgoeenfj6@4ax.com>
Source record: 131610

A young boy of four was going into the hospital to have his tonsils removed. He told his playmate, "I'll be gone for awhile. I have to have surgery."

On the day he was admitted, his mother asked, "Doctor, could you please circumcise him while he is asleep ." The doctor agreed.

The boy woke up and was very sore "down there" for several days. After about a week he got to see his playmate again. The playmate informed him that he was also going to have to have his tonsils out soon and asked his friend to tell him about the surgery.

The little boy replied, "All I can tell you is that your tonsils ain't where you think they are."

KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

2582. Re: CB endorsed by PennDOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 21:25:48 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020728172548.23920.00001263@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 131611

>They assume people with CB's are the >ones most likely to speed and break the
>law? -A
What a dipshit! How about they assume that by notify a segment of drivers they
can affect the traffic and slow a majority via a simple
transmission.....Aaron(ious) you are a shortsighted, self-gratifying, IDIOT!

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

2583. Re: CB Enters "Dark Ages"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 21:33:31 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020728173331.23920.00001265@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 131612

Nice troll asswipe. Hey Aaron, by your reasoning all cars with a speedometer that reads above the maximum legally posted limit where they are operated should be confiscated. Asswipe, it's operating them above the speed limit (freq for radios) that causes the violations. But noone, and I mean Noone i know of, ever wanted to operate one of these export/hybrid radios above 28 MHZ without a license.
Again you prove what a shortsighted, arrogant, self-gratifying IDIOT you are!
PS My car will do at least 120 MPH. Come try and take my keys, but be sure to have your prepaid funeral arrangements in place!
>I am noticing a dramatic increase in CB's >being offered for sale that
>include coverage above 28 mHz. It's both >sad and disturbing that a
>once fine service has apparently been >abandoned by law abiding
>operators and has embarked on a new, >completely lawless era.
>PS: unlicensed "CB" operation above 28 >mHz is illegal - in the UK and
>anywhere else in the world.
>-Aaron-

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

2584. Re: OT- tonsilectomy and more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 21:34:45 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uk8oqd1tp4df2e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131613

I wonder if Bill Clinton's tonsils were close to someone else's in the Oval Office ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message news:40m8kuo1cmjf4lc9b5eepeenjhgoeenfj6@4ax.com...
> A young boy of four was going into the hospital to have his tonsils
> removed. He told his playmate, "I'll be gone for awhile. I have to
> have surgery."
>
> On the day he was admitted, his mother asked, "Doctor, could you
> please circumcise him while he is asleep ." The doctor agreed.
>
> The boy woke up and was very sore "down there" for several days. After
> about a week he got to see his playmate again. The playmate informed
> him that he was also going to have to have his tonsils out soon and
> asked his friend to tell him about the surgery.
>
> The little boy replied, "All I can tell you is that your tonsils ain't
> where you think they are."
>
> KC8TCQ
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
> Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
> American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

2585. Re: OT- tonsilectomy and more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 22:33:35 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D447143.47D8EBF@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131614

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> I wonder if Bill Clinton's tonsils were close to someone else's in the Oval
> Office ...

That was "Oral Office".

2586. Re: CB endorsed by PennDOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 23:31:35 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207281531.2d076cc0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131615

ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote:
>>They assume people with CB's are the
>>ones most likely to speed and break the
>>law? -A
>What a dipshit! How about they assume that
>by notify a segment of drivers they
>can affect the traffic and slow a majority
>via a simple transmission.....Aaron(ious)
>you are a shortsighted, self-gratifying, IDIOT!

Yes but in Kenneth's original troll, he said the DOT chose CB above
all other mediums. That must mean they think CB equipped vehicles are
the ones who are speeding, right?

-A

2587. Robyn T-240D

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 23:32:39 UTC
From: eldanw@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020728193239.06780.00001416@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 131616

John
You had asked for the info of were the tubes go in this radio. I tried to mail them to you but was not able to get you on the e- mail you have listed. If you want them, give me the correct e-mail. PEACE.
KO7K
Dan

2588. Re: New Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 23:41:20 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D448117.DD05B6FD@icok.net>
Source record: 131617

I'l bet it uses a 'moebus'-stip microphone.
'Doc

2589. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 23:41:35 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207281541.51abb80f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131618

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<SNW_8.131121$JU3.60334216@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> vhfradiobuff <vhfradiobuff@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:5e5fccab.0207211437.2d1cc1bc@posting.google.com...
> > "KungFuYou" <vengence@foo.com> wrote in message
> news:<T8C_8.204976$iB1.10969059@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > > Why do you want to know the output Andy? for the sat comms? Lol
> > >
> > Research. Please find a post where I am using an amp with the 2950 I
> > used to have.
> > >
> > You really have no life, do you? Doing Deja searches to see what you
> > can post about... here's an idea. Do you see that button that says
> > "Start" in the bottom left. Click on that, select "Shut Down" and
> > click on "Shut Down"... then go to your front door, open it up and
> > enjoy the great outdoors.
> > >
> > Let's see you tackle the original topic without trying to do character
> > attacks. You probably can't. I also challenge you to reveal your true
> > identity. We already know you are a Comcast cable modem subscriber and
> > that you use Microsoft Outlook for newsgroup browsing (poor guy).
> > >
> > Just to refresh your memory, which seems to be failing... my original
> > post stated that 2m radios are just as easily modifiable as "export"
> > radios and they present more of a problem because they have the
> > ability to interfere with public safety frequencies, whereas "export"
> > radios will transmit in frequencies that aren't even in use. Why not
> > crack down on those radios?
>
> Quite simple: The situation where hams are using modified 2 meter radios to
> interfere doesn't exist! The instances of that are so remote, so isolated
> that it is
> NOT a problem!

That's why there are several formerly-licensed hams in prison right
now for jamming repeaters and police comms.!

The use of modded CB radios and so-called "10 meter" radios
> to operate outside the frequencies allocated to CB IS a problem because of
> the
> VOLUME of occurances. The difference, when taken on the whole, and in
> comparison to the two radio services, is R E S P O N S I B I L I T Y! Yes,
> I
> *could* mess with the local police. I *could* worry the local ambulance
> service.

> I don't. 1) I am mature enough that the "jollies" gained from such
> activity com-
> pletely escape my understanding; it simply isn't "funny". 2) Such is
> totally irres-
> ponsible and dangerous. 3) The overwhelming majority of Amateur license
> holders ARE responsible and mature enough NOT to get any thrill out of
> engaging
> in such infantile and stupid behavior.

But not "mature" enough to mind your own business. Obviously.

> Like so many "freebanders", you assert that such activities don't "hurt"
> anything since you are allegedly operating on frequencies that "aren't"
> used. But you don't
> KNOW that they aren't used.

When was the last time YOU heard FEMA on 11 metres? And don't say it's
irrelevant. The frequencies may be "allocated", but THEY ARE NOT USED.
That's something you cannot grasp.

Regardless, those frequencies are still
> "leased" from the Federal government by virtue of license--just like the 10
> meter band is
> allocated to licensed hams.

There IS no license for 11 metre operations. Period. There is no such
thing as a "blanket license". The FCC gave up trying to license CB
radios long ago. Why? Because they COULDN'T enforce it!

Often these frequencies are allocated directly
> to the
> US Government. Maybe, as you state, these frequencies aren't used, but they
> aren't "abandoned". For example, I KNOW that the area just below CB channel
> 1 is used by USAF's auxiliary, Civil Air Patrol. How do I know that? I am a
> member of that organization. Their primary responsibility has been air
> Search &
> Rescue.

They are authorized 26.620. Not exactly "the area below CB channel 1,
which is 26.965 MHz. Try again.

For example, the first photos of the World Trade Center were taken
> by
> CAP. I know from personal experience that these frequencies have been
> inter-
> ferred with by illegal CBers. One instance was during a live air search.
> These

THIS frequency.

> frequencies are used as tactical and close-in work. One is a 100 watt SSB
> frequency for work out to about 30 miles or so, another is often used on low
> power (50 watt) AM for flight line comms, administration, courier--all kinds

> of
> support activities. This relieves the VHF FM circuits for air-ground, base
> to mobile--traffic directly related to air operations. These frequencies
> are NOT used every day, and traffic is sporadic; after all, planes and
> people, for example,
> don't turn up missing on a regular basis in the same area. As a
> communications
> officer, I use those HF frequencies as part of my local communications plans
> be-
> cause they ARE effective for close-in comms, and they don't readily lend
> them-
> selves to monitoring by scanners. Eventually, these frequencies will be
> encrypted
> thanks to 9/11. If I hear somebody "10-fer thar, mercy me thar, go 'haid
> thar" on
> those USAF frequencies, I'll drop a dime on the SOB in a heartbeat! I have
> be-
> fore, and I will again. Yep, there's overseas stuff on there, too, but I
> can't do anything about that except forward reports to proper headquarters.
>
> It all boils down to discipline, responsibility, and respect for other
> users. While I
> can't do anything about those "freebanders" above CB 40, I can report
> bandits
> (thieves) on those frequencies for which I am licensed.
>
> Finally-----and this has been used before and IS valid inspite of the
> attempts to
> shoot it down----if you buy, or lease, a piece of property, but your work
> doesn't
> allow you to use that lakefront property except on vacations--maybe twice a
> year, does that mean it is OK for just ANYONE to squat on that property,
> invite
> themselves to use your lake cabin, eat the food you stocked there because
> you
> aren't "using" it? NO! It does not! And it is NOT OK to "invite"
> yourselves onto
> others' frequencies simply because you "think" they are not being used.
> That is the reason for the rules that exist--reasonable rules, actually, to
> provide for "fences" that keep interference to a minimum just like real
> fences keep cows from
> wandering onto a neighbor's farm and eating grass intended for that farm's
> cows.
> What a concept! Rules that allow all with a need, and even some with-OUT a
> need, to use radio. Yet, there always seems to be those who are never
> satisfied
> with what they are given. They just have to have theirs and everybody
> else's, too!
> That is why some of us get upset at the theft of frequencies to which the
> thief is

> neither entitled nor welcomed to use. Get onto some of those frequencies
> (10
> meter and certain military frequencies), if I hear it, my dime is at the
> ready.........
>
> 73
>
> Jerry

2590. Re: Radios & amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 23:42:32 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207281542.c0c5db7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131619

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0207280910.48295c5@posting.google.com>...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0207272050.57f1aa8f@posting.google.com>...
> > ricconak@netscape.net (ric conak) wrote in message
news:<7a636118.0207271253.5fe6a6b7@posting.google.com>...
> > > I have the following for sale.
> > > Cobra 148 gtl, phillipines model, peaked, swing kit, channels, 9 out
> > > of 10. $90
> >
> > Illegal.
>
> Stuck record.
>
> > > Cobra 29 ltd, peaked, big final, nice shape. $65
> >
> > Illegal.
>
> Stuck record.
>
> > > Ranger 2950, converted, swing kit, 8 out of 10, does 50 watts. $125
> >
> > Illegal.
>
> Stuck record. And just plain wrong; it CAN be used on 10 metres.

It is illegal to sell if it is not type accepted for CB and does
transmit on CB.

> > > Ranger 2970, converted, swing kit, 8 out of 10, does 250 watts. $225
> >
> > Illegal.
>
> Stuck record. And just plain wrong; it CAN be used on 10 metres.

It is illegal to sell if it is not type accepted for CB and is able to
transmit on CB.

> > > Galaxy DX88, converted, peaked, 10 out of 10. $175
> >
> > Illegal.
>
> Stuck record.
>
> > > Galaxy DX99v, converted, peaked, 9.5 out of 10. $200

> >
> > Illegal.
>
> Stuck record.
>
> > > Texas Star dx350 $125
> >
> > Illegal.
>
> Stuck record.
>
> > > Texas Star dx 667 $225
> >
> > Illegal.
>
> Stuck record.
>
> > > Texas Star dx1600 $500 add $75 for fan kit
> >
> > Illegal.
>
> Stuck record.
>
> > > Heathkit SB-220, tubes have about 20 hours on them, wired for 220,
> > > does 2K, 7.5 out of 10, not all beat up. $500
> >
> > Illegal for use on CB.
>
> True, but it's perfectly legal for use elsewhere. You think hams don't
> read this group? Your point?

FCC rule 2.815(b): After APR 27, 1978, no person shall manufacture, sell or lease, offer for sale or lease (including advertising for sale or lease), or import, ship, or distribute for the purpose of selling or leasing or offering for sale or lease, any external radio frequency amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any frequency or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz.

> Someone should whack you upside the head with a 2x4;
> might knock some
> common sense into you (doubtful)!

Sure, what's one more illegal act to you? The fact that you threaten to physically harm someone who mentions that CB is illegal speaks volumes about you, chum.

> Everybody knows the Rules, Aaryan
> Aaron; a copy is packed with every radio.

Really...FCC rules are packed with phoney "10 meter radios"? LOL. I doubt it. Even if they were, that doesn't mean you can prohibit me from posting the truth.

>
> BTW: What's your FCC badge number? Your amateur
>callsign? Put up or
> shut up. PLEEZE!

Who wants to know?

-A

2591. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 23:46:05 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207281546.1aac2698@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131620

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<xP%_8.132318$JU3.60435339@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> VHFRadioBuff <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message
> news:20020722134531.06740.00000041@mb-fn.aol.com...
> > X-No-Archive: yes
> >
> > You're missing the point. The fact that a 2m radio can be so easily
> modified to
> > transmit on public safety frequencies is a topic that people should really
> be
> > concerned about because of the potential of abuse. Let's not rule out the
> > possibility of criminals using this sort of equipment to jam police or
> even
> > worse, terrorists using these radios to jam. If Radio Shack could do it
> with
> > their series of 2m HT's and mobiles, why can't "The Big Boys" do it too?
>
> No, I am NOT missing the point. You completely missed the word that I used
> at least twice. Read slowly and carefully: R E S P O N S I B I L I T Y! I
> can
> choose to park in a handicapped space because I CAN thereby depriving a
> truly
> handicapped person. But I DON'T! My car's steering wheel can steer the car
> into places where it should not go. I can drive at 100 miles per hour; yes,
> much
> more dangerous than operating a radio. Many pieces of equipment can be made
> to do things they aren't supposed to do to varying degrees. The operators
> of
> such equipment, radios included, are expected to have and to exercise the
> responsibility to operate said appliances/equipment in a proper manner. It
> is part
> of our freedoms. Such freedoms also require R E S P O N S I B I L I T Y.
> And BTW, recent Radio Shack radios are the least able to be modded.
>
> Again, the truth is, there is a much greater history of CBers modifying
> equipment
> to operate out of band than that of hams--betcha. The sore that itches
> attracts the
> most attention. And instances of hams, terrorists, or anyone else modifying
> 2 meter radios to operate/interfere on VHF public service bands are almost
> nil.
> Unless you count Rabbit Ears. <<snicker>>
>
> Jerry

I am so glad you added the qualifier: "almost" nil. I see reports in almost every month's issue of PopComm about some ham who's license has been revolked for doing just that. Maybe you should get out more?

I betcha more hams modify their gear than CBers - they're just smart anough not to USE it out of band. It's a whole lot easier to modify ham radios; usually just flip a switch or clip a diode. Which is why many CBers USE ham radios! The Yaesu and Kenwood radios are legendary among CBers, from the FT101 series on up.

Who are you trying to kid?

2592. Re: Please someone advice on CB radio for newbie. I narrowed it down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-28 23:48:10 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207281548.5907f9c5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131621

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0207272119.50489830@posting.google.com>...
> "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:<ahtn3o$989$9@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> > "whatever" <xxx@nospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:1hljjuke3r605ejhsma0ufrll0qt542mt7@4ax.com...
> > > I was leaning that direction.
> > ==
> >
> > You may want to consider re-wording that, with some people on here ;~)
> > lol.
> >
> > Regards,
> >
> > Peter.
>
> Yes, poor Peter, always watching his words. It must be horrible having
> to carefully compose your posts so they manage to express
> encouragement of illegal CB without actually appearing to do so. And
> to think, all you have to do is be honest and law abiding and things
> would be so much simpler.
>
> -Aaron-

Aanother helpful post from Aaryan Aaron, the radio Nazi!! But
remember, he encouraged a guy to get his linear amp modified for 11
metres! Pay him no mind.

2593. STOP feeding Aaron the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 00:02:53 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ai20t8$tq70d$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 131622

Why can't u people get the hint and stop feeding the troll.
You seem intent on wasting bandspace by replying to this jackass,
the idiot does'nt like us so stop replying to him and he will go away.
His purpose is to distract us from what we came here to discuss........
Block sender , delete his posts, create message rules, do whatever it takes
to wipe his punk ass off the board and lets get on with discussing CB.
I work 11meter DX and i
vote.....

--
KG4LBD
714 Sandpile,
The Mad Dog wavin' good bye

2594. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 00:06:49 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D446882.5DEA7C86@mailcity.net>
Source record: 131623

"www.TimNebo.com" wrote:
>
> prove that it harms anything other than a mars cap channel or two that were
> poorly chosen by people like yourself.

Prove that it does not ham anything, how do you know who you may
be interfering with? Oh, I know, you listened and did not hear
anyone using those frequencies so you decide it is OK for you to
use them, even though they are allocated to other services and you
are not authorized to transmit on those frequencies. Hope you
don't see my car setting unused for a few days, guess you would
decide since I was apparently not using it, it is yours for the
taking.

2595. New Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 00:33:08 UTC
From: Redneck <fredthered@mail1.ftpayne.com>
Message-ID: <83019.25442$fH5.25709853@kent.svc.tds.net>
Source record: 131624

All comments toward that sister website's articals: That sister site assembles from all over the internet; interesting, unusual, educational, technological advancement, and any subject that can be educational. Educational ! Positive or negative..... if you learn that something is wrong, you've been educated. The site does not make a validity statement about what is posted, where it came from or where it is linked to.

2596. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 00:39:52 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207281639.779537e8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131625

ï¿½richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>When was the last time YOU heard FEMA on 11 metres?
>And don't say it's irrelevant. The frequencies may be
>"allocated", but THEY ARE NOT USED.
>That's something you cannot grasp.

What we cannot grasp is your belief that if something "is not used"
then it's OK to take. Where did you learn that ethic? In jail?

-Aaron-

2597. Re: F/T **SSB radio's for Trade***

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 01:22:45 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020728212245.24910.00001684@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 131626

I've got a couple scanners i would be willing to trade for the 148... one is a uniden bearcat bc700A. this one recieves 800 and 900mhz.... it is like new. the other one is a Fox BMP 10/60.

2598. Re: STOP feeding Aaron the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 01:49:03 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <ja119.418686$vq.22999930@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131627

Wow great post
Get a life.

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:ai20t8$tq70d$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de...
> Why can't u people get the hint and stop feeding the troll.
> You seem intent on wasting bandspace by replying to this jackass,
> the idiot does'nt like us so stop replying to him and he will go away.
> His purpose is to distract us from what we came here to discuss........
> Block sender , delete his posts, create message rules, do whatever it
takes
> to wipe his punk ass off the board and lets get on with discussing CB.
> I work 11meter DX and i
> vote.....
>
> --
> KG4LBD
> 714 Sandpile,
> The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
>
>

2599. Re: CB Enters "Dark Ages"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 01:50:36 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <Mb119.334033$iX5.16745072@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131628

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20020728173331.23920.00001265@mb-fh.aol.com...
> Nice troll asswipe. Hey Aaron, by your reasoning all cars with a
speedometer
> that reads above the maximum legally posted limit where they are operated
> should be confiscated. Asswipe, it's operating them above the speed limit
(freq
> for radios) that causes the violations. But noone, and I mean Noone i know
of,
> ever wanted to operate one of these export/hybrid radios above 28 MHZ
without a
> license.

Do you know everyone who operates one of these radios?

> Again you prove what a shortsighted, arrogant, self-gratifying IDIOT you
are!
> PS My car will do at least 120 MPH. Come try and take my keys, but be sure
to
> have your prepaid funeral arrangements in place!

Oh boy your such a tough guy.

2600. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 01:53:10 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <9e119.418710$vq.23002994@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131629

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020728213725.104$8g@newsreader.com...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> >>That's why there are several formerly-licensed hams in prison right
> > > now for jamming repeaters and police comms.!
> > >
> Now we don't expect the ham wanna be's(aaron)to report that here.

If it is true post it otherwise it is BS

2601. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 02:01:41 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207281801.510ef9bb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131630

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>You use way yonder too much bandwidth,repeating yourself.

So anything you don't agree with cannot be repeated. But if you agree with it (the freeband is unused, illegal CB is trivial, etc) it's OK to repeat it?

>
>Or spamming the group with bogus snake oil.

Like "swang mods"?

>
>Eat me.

No thanks. Try landshark.

-A

2602. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 02:08:13 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207281808.46658a64@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131631

> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >You use way yonder too much bandwidth,repeating yourself.
>

You didn't answer my question:

So anything you don't agree with cannot be repeated. But if you agree with it (the freeband is unused, illegal CB is trivial, swang is good, etc) it's OK to repeat it?

-A

2603. Re: CB Enters "Dark Ages"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 02:14:52 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207281814.5266c736@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131632

ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote in message
news:<20020728173331.23920.00001265@mb-fh.aol.com>...
> Nice troll asswipe. Hey Aaron, by your reasoning all cars with a >speedometer that reads above the maximum legally posted
>limit where they are operated should be confiscated.

No, dopey, there isn't a law against selling 120 mph cars. But there IS a law against selling amps that operate within 11 meters and non-type accepted CB radios that cover 10 meters.

>Asswipe, it's operating them above the speed limit (freq
> for radios) that causes the violations.

No, selling them is against the law. See above. Would you like me to post the FCC rules again? I really ought to, it's clear than none of you really read them.

>But noone, and I mean Noone i know of, ever wanted to operate
>one of these export/hybrid radios above 28 MHZ without a
> license.

Who are those "no ones" I hear on 10 meters with ther roger beeps saying they're southbound in the hammer lane?

> Again you prove what a shortsighted, arrogant, self-gratifying
>IDIOT you are! PS My car will do at least 120 MPH. Come try and
>take my keys, but be sure to have your prepaid funeral arrangements
>in place!

I don't want your car or your keys. But there are a lot of people in this NG that if they see you aren't using something, feel it's OK to take it. How do you feel about that?

-A

2604. Re: STOP feeding Aaron the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 02:29:06 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <SL119.337186$Bt1.17294232@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131633

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020728220653.054$PA@newsreader.com...
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
> >>Wow great post
> > > Get a life.
> > >
> > Back to top posting your sixth grade education,boy george?

Back to Trolling my Posts with your 4th grade education Douche bag

2605. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 02:30:20 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <0N119.337189$Bt1.17295234@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131634

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020728220233.887$zY@newsreader.com...
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020728213725.104$8g@newsreader.com...
> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> > > >>That's why there are several formerly-licensed hams in prison right
> > > > now for jamming repeaters and police comms.!
> > > >
> > > Now we don't expect the ham wanna be's(aaron)to report that here.
> >
> >>If it is true post it otherwise it is BS
> >
> BS? From a name changing wart,like you?
>
> You should learn from your spankings,boy george.

Eat Shit and Die Steve Parks.

2606. Microphone forsale, get it before its gone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 02:51:21 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020728225121.06232.00002010@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 131635

I have a PDC-60 hand held echo mic forsale. It has built in talkback, echo level, reverb and echo length adjust inside, has 5 noise toys, uses a 9volt battery. I'll take $20 plus $5 shipping....thanks......email me if your interested...... thatzmejp@aol.com

Thatz-me-JP

2607. Re: Radios& amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 02:56:23 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207281856.1f512e18@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131636

dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com (dr_sinister) wrote in message
news:<57ce2c1e.0207280422.540ed6b4@posting.google.com>...
> drivel snipped

So what's so "sinister" about you? All I see is an ignorant redneck
poser. Pathetic maybe - but certainly not sinister.

-Aaron-

2608. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 03:17:06 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <Ss219.419508$vq.23064748@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131637

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020728223510.594$W5@newsreader.com...
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
> >>Eat Shit and Die Steve Parks.
> > >
> Can you cross the steet on your own?

Are you gonna be on the otherside?

2609. Re: Scanner frequencies??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 04:01:57 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D44BE35.4ACD853C@qnet.com>
Source record: 131638

Hello Power House;

Yes a exeleent reply on alt.radio.scanner,

Thanks a million.

Jay in the Mojave

Power House wrote:
>
> See reply on A.R.S...
>
> PH
>
> "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> news:3D44367C.9E96EA1D@qnet.com...
> > Hello All:
> >
> > My scanner frequecy book is a few years old, whats the hot ticket on CD
> > or in a book for scanner frequencies.
> >
> > Maybe web sites?
> >
> > I am located in the Southern California Mojave Desert, thanks for any
> > help.
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave

2610. Re: Scanner frequencies??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 04:21:57 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D44C2E5.1E13C81@qnet.com>
Source record: 131639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Hello Moparholic:

Thanks a million for the help, I have gotin a bunch of e-mail replies on all kinds of different web sites, and even local frequency sources.

And one scanner nut type ah guy just north of me that has run down all kinds of intresting scanner frequencies. Most of the frequencies are in the books but ya's needs to know which ones are actually used. You could hear all kinds of diffeent scanners makin noise in the background while talkin to the guy on the phone.

I found a local Search and Resuce group on the VHF Low Band, and my 6 Meter Interceptor 10K brings them in much better than the store bought scanner antennas do. Oustanding..... I have a scanner antenna in the works called the Flat Top.

Oh by the way, your boy there #40 Mr. Sterling Marin, and his Pit Crew, and Spotters, are on the following frequencies: (MHz)
462.1
461.375
463.9375
451.325

I was at the Winston West 200 (Irinwindale Speedway) last night (had a blast) doing a little work with the boys with some radio problems.

I have never seen so many Honey's woundering around, with so few clothes.....TILT!!!!! No wounder theres are so many crashs.

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from the fillin station.

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:
> >>Hello All:
> >
> > My scanner frequecy book is a few years old, whats the hot ticket on CD
> > or in a book for scanner frequencies.
> >
> > Maybe web sites?
> >
> > I am located in the Southern California Mojave Desert, thanks for any
> > help.
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave

> >
> I took the liberty of cross posting it,to the good people on the scanner
> group,Jay. ;)
>
> --
> Go # 40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

2611. Re: STOP feeding Aaron the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 04:29:47 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020729002947.27985.00001888@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 131640

>From: "Mad Dog" lgsast@strato.net
>Why can't u people get the hint and stop >feeding the troll.
Sorry Mad Dog..I'm guilty but I will make a serious attempt to remember this.....
He's just so much fun to SLAMMMMMM!

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

2612. Re: help with transmit problems on old cb radio!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 06:39:52 UTC
From: agoodridge@bigpond.com.au
Message-ID: <6d8faad.0207282239.5fa482a6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131641

piecheech@aol.comnojunk (Joe) wrote in message
news:<20020728055624.21857.00001323@mb-ff.aol.com>...
> I'd check the coax and the antenna. Sounds like a weak connection somewhere.
> Joe PieCheech

Hey everyone

I checked again last night and it now is transmitting on a.m.but no
audio.

Maybe a problem in the modulation area of the radio.

Some screwdriver king has modified it (not me I only blow them
up!!!!!). Whoever had it has replaced the 2sc1306 and 1307 finals with
a lot bigger finals!

Thanks again for all the help guys

73s from 351 Sydney Australia!

2613. Re: STOP feeding Aaron the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 06:40:30 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207282240.36608da9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131642

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:<ai20t8$tq70d$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>...
> Why can't u people get the hint and stop feeding the troll.
> You seem intent on wasting bandspace by replying to this jackass,
> the idiot does'nt like us so stop replying to him and he will go away.
> His purpose is to distract us from what we came here to discuss........
> Block sender , delete his posts, create message rules, do whatever it takes
> to wipe his punk ass off the board and lets get on with discussing CB.
> I work 11meter DX and i
> vote.....

Reprinted from a Sparky post:

Aaron will never be killfiled by many of the keyclowns because he speaks the truth, and truth is what most of them fear. IOW, anyone sitting on the fence is reading both sides of any argument, and can tell the difference between keyclown BS and the truth. That's why the keyclowns must keep shoveling more and more BS to try and cover up the truth as revealed by Voobner (and others).

And why would they do that? The obvious reason is that illegal operators depend upon each other to validate their illegal activities. Just like any other form of organized crime (or religion), it is social support that justifies their behavior. Things such as "logic" and "facts" diminish the persuasive effects of such anti-social reinforcement, and must therefore be contradicted.

Another reason is that some of them make a lot of money scamming people by convincing them to buy illegal amps and "export" radios. Opposition to these people has led to many, many threats of violence against Voobner, myself, and other defenders of honorable intentions. But many of us don't scare very easily, and will continue to educate people about the reality of radio communication (life is not an RF drag race).

Killfile Voobner? Ain't gonna happen. Deal with it.

2614. Re: New State-of-the-art CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 11:38:38 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D452942.694D4E@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131643
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Troll!

Frank Todd wrote:
>
> Name: CBradio.jpe
> CBradio.jpe Type: JPEG Image (image/jpeg)
> Encoding: x-uuencode

2615. Re: cb/10m radio --it gets worse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 11:49:38 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D452BD2.F06@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 131645
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
>
> In <3D413FCB.5049@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
> <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
>
> >yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
> >>
> >> In <3D3FE704.76D5@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
> >> <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> <snip>
> >> >All true. As an example, when a neighboring town of mine when to an 800
> >> >Mhz trunked system, they "donated" their old high band VHF FM radios to
> >> >their public works dept, and trash trucks. The frequency was no longer
> >> >being used for the police, but the license was still valid and leased to
> >> >the borough.
> >>
> >> They would have had to change their freqs -- the Safety Pool freqs are
> >> assigned at the federal level and are not used for garbage trucks.
> >
> >I wondered about that myself. But nevertheless it happened. I think that
> >this particular frequency (155.115) is in a "shared" area of the band.
>
> 155.115 MHz is in the PSP and designated "PX" according to the
> following list:
>
> PF--Fire Coordinator
> PH--Highway Maintenance Coordinator
> PM--Emergency Medical Coordinator
> PO--Forestry-Conservation Coordinator
> PP--Police Coordinator
> PS--Special Emergency Coordinator
> PX--Any Public Safety Coordinator, except the Special Emergency
> Coordinator
>
> So if the freq was used for police it can be changed to fire, highway,
> forestry, etc.

Which probably explains why the old police radios ended up in borough
maintenance trucks, and no one got upset about it.

>
> <snip>
> >> >No arguement there. But if the frequency truly IS dead, then who would

> >> >be around to notice or care?
> >>
> >> People that monitor their police scanners.
> >
> >Why would people monitor a supposed "dead" frequency?
>
> To see if it's really dead. Some of these scanner 'enthusiasts' aren't
> satisfied with the FCC's listings so they constantly scan for 'secret'
> freqs. Sometimes they get lucky and sometimes they get freeloaders.

I do "band scans" with my scanner from time to time. I don't, as a rule, program dead frequencies into it though. But I guess there are those that do. But your point does underscore the fact that no matter how clever you think you are, when you create your own "private" frequency on the PSB, the more your transmit, the greater the risk that someone will eventually hear you. What happens after that is a crap shoot.

> It's too easy to reprogram many of the older rigs. I think I had an
> old Regency that was already modified with the programming switch
> wired to the front panel, all you had to do was enter your ten freqs
> in the keypad. It even scanned the programmed channels. Come to think
> of it I still have that radio, but it's in pieces right now. Anyway,
> all that was required was to solder one wire to an existing switch.
> That's all. (And before anyone emails me an offer, it only covered 138
> to 151 MHz, no police bands.)

I have a Kenwood UHF handheld that is programmed though the MIC jack via a programmer. The frequency range of that radio is something like 420 - 470 Mhz. I ended up using it on the 440 ham band.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2616. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 12:07:23 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D452FFB.1F28@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 131646

Brainbuster wrote:
>
>
> There must be some great ways to wind them up when you know one is
> listening. Police listening to CB never caught on over here. It is
> possible that they would not have been allowed to fit them in their cars,
> but there would not have been enough to gain from it anyway. The most you
> get from the truckers is foul language and reports of the women in cars...
> every female driver is playing with herself and they all do it just to
> please the truck dirvers, or so they think.

That doesn't sound much different than the "traffic" over on this side
of the pond.

>
> CB is so quiet over here now, even from my perch on this hill, I hear very
> little now. There is talk of sales picking up in one of the main UK CB
> shops, but I doubt if it will be as it was in the 80s.
> Now and then a CB will appear on a "car boot" sale stall, but I do
> wonder where all the rest are and how many of the old CBers still have
> their equipment in a cupboard somewhere.

This normally happens at the end of a "skip cycle". It happened last
cycle too. There are many CBer's (myself included), who really don't
care for DX talking, or the resultant noise that occurs during high skip
cycles. Many of these people eventually tire of it and move on to other
things, or just put it away for a few years. Things have usually picked
up again in a couple of years following the end of the cycle. Don't know
if the internet and other technologies will divert more attention away
from CB as a hobby this time around though. At some point, CB will
become passe, and old fashioned. Newer technologies like video cell
phones, will make CB as primative as beating on drums. Only those of us
that have a bit of nostalgia, will remain, if only as a reminder of what
it used to be like.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2617. Re: Original Text of Frank "Sparky" Aaron's SWR web page.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 12:14:12 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D453194.6132@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 131647

chessecutter wrote:
>
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D4140FA.6365@worldlynx.net>...
> > Coax Length Police wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <3d2abc7d.1601667@news.cet.com>,
> > > yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
> > >
> > > > In <anylength-E9D06F.02035709072002@news5.ptd.net>, Coax Length Police
> > > > <anylength@willdo.com> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >[One of Sparky's nonsensical responses isolated for closer scrutiny]
> > > > >
> > > > <snip>
> > > > >Ha! Double-humped waves!!!??? There is no such thing in this context.
> > > > >The current at a current null is zero, nada, nothing! You cannot
> > > > >measure it with an inductive probe. It is a sine wave with
> > > > >amplitude zero. it is not even relevant if it is a function "over"
> > > > >time or "of" time -- I'm not even going to get into this nonsense.
> > > > >
> > > > It is VERY relevant if it is a function 'over' time, since it is the
> > > > function 'over' time that you are measuring. If you want to measure
> > > > the function 'of' time you need a pretty fast scope.
> > > > >
> > > > >Furthermore, I challenged you in the last response to show how the
> > > > >sum of two sine waves of the same frequency produces a "double-humped"
> > > > >wave. I am really looking forward to your answer.
> > > > >
> > > > No, you "challenged" me to "Just try to add two sine waves at the same
> > > > frequency with ANY phase difference and see what you get. show that
> > > > mixing two signals..." blah, blah, blah. Anybody can do that. You
> > > > didn't even know what the hell you were talking about, or you woudn't
> > > > have even given me the challenge. The "challenge" has been met:
> > > > >
> > > > Pucker up, "dumbass"!!!
> > > >
> > > Hee hee! Sparky, you fail. You cannot support your own claims.
> > > Let's analyze your answer: "blah, blah, blah. Anybody can do that."
> > >
> > > This is clearly a non-answer.
> > >
> > > You were half right about the challenge. You are to add two sine waves
> > > at the same frequency with ANY phase difference. The other half of the

> > > challenge was to show that this created, as you claimed, a distorted
> > > sine wave.
> > >
> > > You cannot do this. We can see your answer ("blah, blah, blah").
> > > You spew total bullshit as facts. Give it up, dumbass. You are forever
> > > the rec.radio.cb dumbass.
> > >
> > > > Let's try this from a different angle: What are the first five words
> > > > on page 146?
> > >
> > > Who cares? The releveant question is: where does the book IN ANY WAY
> > > support what YOU CLAIMED IT SAID. I never misrepresented the book; you
> > > did. I don't have to lie about my references as you do.
> > >
> > > Once again, it is the Sparky/yeti@cet.com standard operating procedure:
> > > post fiction/lies/bullshit and do a dance when you get caught in your
> > > lies.
> >
> >
> > My God! Are you still harping away at this? Give it a rest will ya.
> > Sheesh!
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
>
> Aw come on Dave. Isn't it only fair that Frank have some fan club members?

I guess. But I thought this thread died a month ago. All of a sudden
it's back from the dead, like so many other zombies......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2618. Re: CB endorsed by PennDOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 12:24:39 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D453407.5CB0@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 131648

Kenneth wrote:
>
> WHat does this mean? Lately PennDOT has been setting up transmitters with an
> automatic message usually with travel advisories. Basically along the lines
> of "constuction at exits 55 to 57 along rt 283 slow down, slow down NOW".
> This is always on ch19 and seems to be replacing the old system of
> advisories on 530am or 1640am.
> While not an ACTUAL endorsement it is recognition by a state government
> that CB ch19 is the de-facto medium for travel and IMHO constitutes an
> official recognition by spending money for auto-transmitters. CB is hear to
> stay.
> Also I'd bet dollars to donuts there not 4 watt units. These advisories
> blanket a large area and step on everybody.
> What does this mean for cb? These warnings are not on FMS or MURS or any
> Ham bands. CB is hear to stay.

Hmmm..... I live in Pa., and I have yet to hear anything like this. I
still hear the Turnpike advisories on 1640 Khz, when I drive near one of
the interchanges.

I see a fundamental legality issue with this on CB. CB is not authorized
to transmit one-way broadcasts, which these "advisories" surely are.
While many citizens have no problem breaking the rules, a governmental
agency would be under far greater pressure to behave itself.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2619. Re: New Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 12:35:15 UTC
From: stevejfranklin <stevejfranklin@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3D45363F.F568EA9F@attbi.com>
Source record: 131644

492/ mhz = feet not 468

Scott Sixnine wrote:

> Redneck wrote:
> >
> > I think you'll find this site interesting....
> >
> > http://65.202.69.136/
>
> I like this reference:
>
> http://www.rainbowsend.net/et/cb%20radio/information/SWRPROBM.WRI
>
> "
> G. Improper cable length. To calculate as close as possible what length should be used,
> formula 468 divided by the frequency (in MHz) will give 1/2 of a wave length (in feet);
> thus as an example, 468 divided by 27.205MHz (chan 20) gives 17.2 feet for a 1/2 wave
> length of coax. (Divide again by 2 for 1/4 wave length).
> "
>
> 1- Coax length does NOT matter.
>
> 2- The formula fails to account for velocity factor.
>
> 3- Thanks for NOT perpetuating these rumors.

2620. Scanner Freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 14:05:01 UTC
From: Redneck <fredthered@mail1.ftpayne.com>
Message-ID: <hYb19.25476$fH5.25811687@kent.svc.tds.net>
Source record: 131649

Try this link.... it's kept fairly up-to-date.....

http://www.cityfreq.com:81/

2621. Re: OT- tonsilectomy and more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 14:44:28 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <ovc19.5017$pv6.523534@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 131650

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020728214430.114$tZ@newsreader.com...
> Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote:
> >
> <snip>
> Did you get my adress?
>
> You didn't email me back.
>
> --
> Go # 40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

Sorry, I have been busy this weekend, with the weather we've been having. I
got your email and I will be sending the tickets shortly.

73 de Keith

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red
Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

2622. FS: Bristol Wrench Sets

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 15:26:16 UTC
From: jonweiner@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020729112616.02982.00000085@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 131651

10 PIECE BRISTOL SPLINE WRENCH SETS FOR COLLINS & HALLICRAFTERS. I have for sale the original hard to find 10 piece Bristol spline wrench sets. These are "L" shaped, made in the USA, and come in a fitted vinyl pouch. Includes all necessary sizes for Collins (even the tiny size for the 75A-4 main tuning knob), Hallicrafters, Johnson and others. Price is $20. per set postpaid USA, $22. postpaid
World-Wide.

2623. Re: CB Enters "Dark Ages"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 15:53:01 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0207290753.171d9826@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131652

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0207281223.658d7081@posting.google.com>...
> I am noticing a dramatic increase in CB's being offered for sale that
> include coverage above 28 mHz. It's both sad and disturbing that a
> once fine service has apparently been abandoned by law abiding
> operators and has embarked on a new, completely lawless era.

Aaron, is this news to you?! My first export rig, the Galaxy 2100,
went to 28.3150 MHz...and that was back in 1986! Stop placing the
blame on the radios and put it where it belongs, the operator. Having
said that, I will agree that the drop in prices along with the
availability of AM/FM only rigs to non-hobbyists (read Truckers) has
certainly not helped the situation. In the mid-80s, everybody knew 28
MHz was strictly verboten. So yes, I AM agreeing with you (sorta)...it
IS kinda sad and disturbing.

> PS: unlicensed "CB" operation above 28 mHz is illegal - in the UK and
> anywhere else in the world.

P.S. Unlicensed operation above 28 MHz is not "CB." :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI
HC2?? (My paperwork is currently being processed. Greetings from HC
land or div. 61 for all you 11-meter folks.) BTW, you can get all
yhese rigs at Billï¿½s 2Way. Tell emï¿½ Doug from Popular Wireless
Magazine sent ya. (Sorry, couldnï¿½t resist. <ggg>)

> -Aaron-
>
> ----
> Re: ï¿½FS: RCI-2980 w/ D104 M6
> From: Darrin
> > RCI-2980 Base Station.
> > Frequency range
> > is 25.615 to 28.315 MHz.
>
> Re: ï¿½F/T **SSB radio's for Trade***
> From: John
> > new President George
> > Coverage is from 26 to 30 Mhz.......
> >
> > Ranger RCI-2960F
> > all-mode 26 to 29.700
> >
> > Also have a Cobra 148 GTL-DX MKII,

> > (alpha channels etc)
> >
> > President Washington
> >26 to 28.500MHz
> >

2624. FS:Good 3CX3000A7 Pull & New Filament Transformer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 17:10:25 UTC
From: thetubeman@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <5Ge19.5199$SH3.4146@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 131653

I have a like new 3CX3000A7 tube and a new filament transformer for thee
same tube:

$460-tube
$175-transformer
or
$600-both
+ shipping

2625. Re: H & Y ELECTRONICS BEST PRICES ON THE INTERNET AMPS RADIOS AND MORE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 17:23:53 UTC
From: websterfla@aol.com
Message-ID: <208bdd4a.0207290923.326df26e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131654

cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter) wrote in message
news:<fef386d5.0207280641.4e850611@posting.google.com>...
> websterfla@aol.com (BIG JOE) wrote in message
news:<208bdd4a.0207251630.4af834de@posting.google.com>...
> > FOR YOUR CB NEEDS CHECK OUT THE WEB SITE WWW.HYELECTRONICS.COM
> > THIS COMPANY CARRIES ALL YOUR CB ACCESSORYS.THEY WILL NOT BE UNDER
> > SOLD.I RECIEVE ALL MY CB STUFF FROM THEM . I TRYED ALL THE REST NOW I
> > RUN WITH THE VERY BEST. THANKS FOR YOUR TIME YOUR FRIEND JOE WITH THE
> > BIG RADIO SHOW.PLEASE CHECK OUT THERE WEB SITE YOU WONT BE SORRY 10-4
> > ...ONE MORE THING IF YOUR NOT BUYING FROM H Y YOUR PAYING TO MUCH...
>
> Why am I not surprised that Joe with the Big Radio is buying his stuff
> from H &Y ? Hey Joe, still get on 26.915 and agitate every morning,
> while those legal drugs take effect?

I DO NOT TAKE DRUGS ANYMORE...I DONT GET SKIP ANYMORE...WHEN THE
WINTER COMES BACK I WILL BE ON DRUGS AGAIN...YOUR FRIEND JOE WITH THE
BIG RADIO RODY DODY COWBOY

2626. Re: CB endorsed by PennDOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 17:32:16 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <A_e19.9359$pg2.781300@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131655

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D453407.5CB0@worldlynx.net...
> Kenneth wrote:
> >
> > WHat does this mean? Lately PennDOT has been setting up transmitters
with an
> > automatic message usually with travel advisories. Basically along the
lines
> > of "constuction at exits 55 to 57 along rt 283 slow down, slow down
NOW".
> > This is always on ch19 and seems to be replacing the old system of
> > advisories on 530am or 1640am.
> > While not an ACTUAL endorsement it is recognition by a state
government
> > that CB ch19 is the de-facto medium for travel and IMHO constitutes an
> > official recognition by spending money for auto-transmitters. CB is
hear to
> > stay.
> > Also I'd bet dollars to donuts there not 4 watt units. These
advisories
> > blanket a large area and step on everybody.
> > What does this mean for cb? These warnings are not on FMS or MURS or
any
> > Ham bands. CB is hear to stay.
>
>
> Hmmm..... I live in Pa., and I have yet to hear anything like this. I
> still hear the Turnpike advisories on 1640 Khz, when I drive near one of
> the interchanges.
>
> I see a fundamental legality issue with this on CB. CB is not authorized
> to transmit one-way broadcasts, which these "advisories" surely are.
> While many citizens have no problem breaking the rules, a governmental
> agency would be under far greater pressure to behave itself.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

Oh? Would you believe Ohio applied for authorization to jam CB?

2627. Re: New Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 17:35:08 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <g1f19.9363$pg2.780976@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131656

Right you are, Steve, the 468 is for cutting dipoles and includes a 5% foreshortening recognizing that the high voltages at the tips tend to include a bit of the surrounding atmosphere.

But as the whole concept is assinine, what is one more stupidity in the zoo?

"stevejfranklin" <stevejfranklin@attbi.com> wrote in message news:3D45363F.F568EA9F@attbi.com...
> 492/ mhz = feet not 468
>
>
> Scott Sixnine wrote:
>
> > Redneck wrote:
> > >
> > > I think you'll find this site interesting....
> > >
> > > http://65.202.69.136/
> >
> > I like this reference:
> >
> > http://www.rainbowsend.net/et/cb%20radio/information/SWRPROBM.WRI
> >
> > "
> > G. Improper cable length. To calculate as close as possible what length should be used,
> > formula 468 divided by the frequency (in MHz) will give 1/2 of a wave length (in feet);
> > thus as an example, 468 divided by 27.205MHz (chan 20) gives 17.2 feet for a 1/2 wave
> > length of coax. (Divide again by 2 for 1/4 wave length).
> > "
> >
> > 1- Coax length does NOT matter.
> >
> > 2- The formula fails to account for velocity factor.
> >
> > 3- Thanks for NOT perpetuating these rumors.
>

2628. Re: FS:Good 3CX3000A7 Pull & New Filament Transformer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 17:36:37 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <F2f19.9366$pg2.781259@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131657

<thetubeman@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:5Ge19.5199$SH3.4146@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I have a like new 3CX3000A7 tube and a new filament transformer for thee
> same tube:
>
> $460-tube
> $175-transformer
> or
> $600-both
> + shipping
>
>

Plus what for the socket and chimney??

2629. F/S AC MOBILEEE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 19:14:48 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com1iwilly
Message-ID: <20020729151448.27672.00000042@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 131658

HELLO TO ALL i have a 1986 chevy astro van with a 3cx3000a7
turn key and go fully loaded ac p/s p/w cruise control p/dlock and alarm
1 165 ac alt 1 dc165 alt asking price $5500 enjoy 1iwilly sandpile
jvillafane

2630. Re: VHFradiobuff sagenda

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 19:44:42 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <KWg19.349045$iB1.17771313@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131659

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020728231845.601$cf@newsreader.com...

> >>Are you gonna be on the otherside?

> If you knew I was,you wouldn't cross.

So you say!

2631. Re: STOP feeding Aaron the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 20:13:01 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D45A1D1.9A60F0F@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131660

Tom wrote:
>
> "plonk"

Is that the sound a golf ball makes when it drops in the hole?

2632. Re: Scanner frequencies??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 20:33:49 UTC
From: me <me@none.com>
Message-ID: <NEh19.40748$Fq6.3750195@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 131661
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Did that scanner nut guy have a name?

I know a guy up in Rosamond that is a walking scanner encyclopedia -
complete with freqs for fun things out at Edwards that "don't exist".

-me
"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3D44C2E5.1E13C81@qnet.com...
> Hello Moparholic:
>
> Thanks a million for the help, I have gotin a bunch of e-mail replies on
> all kinds of different web sites, and even local frequency sources.
>
> And one scanner nut type ah guy just north of me that has run down all
> kinds of intresting scanner frequencies. Most of the frequencies are in
> the books but ya's needs to know which ones are actually used. You could
> hear all kinds of diffeent scanners makin noise in the background while
> talkin to the guy on the phone.
>
> I found a local Search and Resuce group on the VHF Low Band, and my 6
> Meter Interceptor 10K brings them in much better than the store bought
> scanner antennas do. Oustanding..... I have a scanner antenna in the
> works called the Flat Top.
>
> Oh by the way, your boy there #40 Mr. Sterling Marin, and his Pit Crew,
> and Spotters, are on the following frequencies: (MHz)
> 462.1
> 461.375
> 463.9375
> 451.325
>
> I was at the Winston West 200 (Irinwindale Speedway) last night (had a
> blast) doing a little work with the boys with some radio problems.
>
> I have never seen so many Honey's woundering around, with so few
> clothes.....TILT!!!!! No wounder theres are so many crashs.
>
> Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from
> the fillin station.
>
>
>
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >

> > Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:
> > >>Hello All:
> > >
> > > My scanner frequecy book is a few years old, whats the hot ticket on
CD
> > > or in a book for scanner frequencies.
> > >
> > > Maybe web sites?
> > >
> > > I am located in the Southern California Mojave Desert, thanks for any
> > > help.
> > >
> > > Jay in the Mojave
> > >
> > I took the liberty of cross posting it,to the good people on the scanner
> > group,Jay. ;)
> >
> > --
> > Go # 40
> > ---------- http://NewsReader.Com/ ----------
> > Usenet Newsgroup Service

2633. any good ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 20:51:55 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D45AAEB.28E87FEF@hotmail.com>
Source record: 131662

Hi All

I just bought a Uniden pc68xl cb, mint shape not a scratch on it
.....for 75 bucks canadain ......
is this a good deal ....
and how old would the cb be ..i'm tring to guess how old it is ......
and is Uniden a good cb for a beginner ....like my self.....

thanks for your help

Zeek

2634. swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 20:58:34 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D45AC7A.D07BA98C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 131663

Hi All

I just was checking my swr's on my cb (uniden pc68xl)....I have two whip 36' fibrglass ..I got the swr's about 1.1 to 1.4 from channel 10 up to channel 40 (the higher the channel the lower the swr's are) ...my question is how do i get the swr's lower on the channels from 9 to channel 1 ....when I check them they are about 2.2 and go to 3.0 with the lower channel i use the higher the swr's get....

thanks

Zeek

2635. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 21:01:14 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <u2i19.350052$iB1.17835387@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131664

What are SWR's?
<zeek198@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3D45AC7A.D07BA98C@hotmail.com...
> Hi All
>
> I just was checking my swr's on my cb (uniden pc68xl)....I have two whip
> 36' fibrglass ..I got the swr's about 1.1 to 1.4 from channel 10 up to
> channel 40 (the higher the channel the lower the swr's are) ...my
> question is how do i get the swr's lower on the channels from 9 to
> channel 1 ....when I check them they are about 2.2 and go to 3.0 with
> the lower channel i use the higher the swr's get....
>
> thanks
>
> Zeek
>
>

2636. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 21:29:20 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D45B3B0.EC0E0A5C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 131665

I'm not sure what they are called but I was checking either the input or the out
of my antenna's with this meter connected to my cb and antenna's

zeek

Me wrote:

> What are SWR's?
> <zeek198@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3D45AC7A.D07BA98C@hotmail.com...
> > Hi All
> >
> > I just was checking my swr's on my cb (uniden pc68xl)....I have two whip
> > 36' fibrglass ..I got the swr's about 1.1 to 1.4 from channel 10 up to
> > channel 40 (the higher the channel the lower the swr's are) ...my
> > question is how do i get the swr's lower on the channels from 9 to
> > channel 1 ....when I check them they are about 2.2 and go to 3.0 with
> > the lower channel i use the higher the swr's get....
> >
> > thanks
> >
> > Zeek
> >
> >

2637. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 22:01:47 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <fXi19.9758$pg2.803849@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131666

<zeek198@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D45AC7A.D07BA98C@hotmail.com...
> Hi All
>
> I just was checking my swr's on my cb (uniden pc68xl)....I have two whip
> 36' fibrglass ..I got the swr's about 1.1 to 1.4 from channel 10 up to
> channel 40 (the higher the channel the lower the swr's are) ...my
> question is how do i get the swr's lower on the channels from 9 to
> channel 1 ....when I check them they are about 2.2 and go to 3.0 with
> the lower channel i use the higher the swr's get....
>
> thanks
>
> Zeek
>
>

Zeek, you have a bad case of 'too short antenna' which might be caused by a lot of things.

But I strongly doubt you are bopping around with twin 36-foot fiberglass whips; if you are, you won't be for long.

My guess is that you have 2-102 inch whips which if mounted without a spring will do what you describe because resonance is closer to 108". You have, however, learned one thing that will serve you well when the BS starts flowing: Efficient radiators are not especially broad.

Run the description by again. And pay no attention to George (me in the current incarnation); while he is a fellow Amateur, he is insane.

Dick NBK

2638. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 22:08:19 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D45BCD3.AAB972B4@hotmail.com>
Source record: 131667

Thanks Dick it help's alot when I can get some real input ....not bad input
from a guy like "ME" ..and my antenna's are 36 inches long

Richard McCollum wrote:

> <zeek198@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3D45AC7A.D07BA98C@hotmail.com...
> > Hi All
> >
> > I just was checking my swr's on my cb (uniden pc68xl)....I have two whip
> > 36' fibrglass ..I got the swr's about 1.1 to 1.4 from channel 10 up to
> > channel 40 (the higher the channel the lower the swr's are) ...my
> > question is how do i get the swr's lower on the channels from 9 to
> > channel 1 ....when I check them they are about 2.2 and go to 3.0 with
> > the lower channel i use the higher the swr's get....
> >
> > thanks
> >
> > Zeek
> >
> >
>
> Zeek, you have a bad case of 'too short antenna' which might be caused by
> a lot of things.
>
> But I strongly doubt you are bopping around with twin 36-foot fiberglass
> whips; if you are, you won't be for long.
>
> My guess is that you have 2-102 inch whips which if mounted without a
> spring will do what you describe because resonance is closer to 108". You
> have, however, learned one thing that will serve you well when the BS
> starts flowing: Efficient radiators are not especially broad.
>
> Run the description by again. And pay no attention to George (me in the
> current incarnation); while he is a fellow Amateur, he is insane.
>
> Dick NØBK

2639. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 22:33:32 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d45c28c.388319@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131669

In <3D45AC7A.D07BA98C@hotmail.com>, zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:

>Hi All
>
>I just was checking my swr's on my cb (uniden pc68xl)....I have two whip
>36' fibrglass ..I got the swr's about 1.1 to 1.4 from channel 10 up to
>channel 40 (the higher the channel the lower the swr's are) ...my
>question is how do i get the swr's lower on the channels from 9 to
>channel 1 ....when I check them they are about 2.2 and go to 3.0 with
>the lower channel i use the higher the swr's get....
>
>thanks
>
>Zeek

Question #1: Are you using both antennas at the same time?

Question #2: Are you using a spring at the bottom?

2640. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 22:38:15 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D45C3D7.B9983411@hotmail.com>
Source record: 131671

question 1 ....is yes

question 2.....is no mounted to mirror arm

zeek

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:

> In <3D45AC7A.D07BA98C@hotmail.com>, zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >Hi All
> >
> >I just was checking my swr's on my cb (uniden pc68xl)....I have two whip
> >36' fibrglass ..I got the swr's about 1.1 to 1.4 from channel 10 up to
> >channel 40 (the higher the channel the lower the swr's are) ...my
> >question is how do i get the swr's lower on the channels from 9 to
> >channel 1 ....when I check them they are about 2.2 and go to 3.0 with
> >the lower channel i use the higher the swr's get....
> >
> >thanks
> >
> >Zeek
>
> Question #1: Are you using both antennas at the same time?
>
> Question #2: Are you using a spring at the bottom?

2641. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 22:38:22 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3D45C411.D7974862@attbi.com>
Source record: 131670

Whether they are 36 inches or 36 feet, they are still a little short, perhaps by an inch or so. Make certain that the antennas are grounded well and check it again, inside the vehicle, with the doors shut. It makes a difference. I presume that the antennas are new and haven't been trimmed by someone, and there should be some adjustment to lengthen them a bit. BTW, you only have one swr at any given frequency. Swr's sounds a bit silly.

zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:

> Thanks Dick it help's alot when I can get some real input ....not bad input
> from a guy like "ME" ..and my antenna's are 36 inches long
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> > <zeek198@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D45AC7A.D07BA98C@hotmail.com...
> > > Hi All
> > >
> > > I just was checking my swr's on my cb (uniden pc68xl)....I have two whip
> > > 36' fibrglass ..I got the swr's about 1.1 to 1.4 from channel 10 up to
> > > channel 40 (the higher the channel the lower the swr's are) ...my
> > > question is how do i get the swr's lower on the channels from 9 to
> > > channel 1 ....when I check them they are about 2.2 and go to 3.0 with
> > > the lower channel i use the higher the swr's get....
> > >
> > > thanks
> > >
> > > Zeek
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > Zeek, you have a bad case of 'too short antenna' which might be caused by
> > a lot of things.
> >
> > But I strongly doubt you are bopping around with twin 36-foot fiberglass
> > whips; if you are, you won't be for long.
> >
> > My guess is that you have 2-102 inch whips which if mounted without a
> > spring will do what you describe because resonance is closer to 108". You
> > have, however, learned one thing that will serve you well when the BS
> > starts flowing: Efficient radiators are not especially broad.
> >
> > Run the description by again. And pay no attention to George (me in the
> > current incarnation); while he is a fellow Amateur, he is insane.
> >

> > Dick Nï¿½BK

2642. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 22:39:53 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D45C439.C17B0247@hotmail.com>
Source record: 131672

i did the swr check with each antenna single not at the same time ...checked
1 antenna and then checked the other antenna by itself

zeek

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:

> In <3D45AC7A.D07BA98C@hotmail.com>, zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >Hi All
> >
> >I just was checking my swr's on my cb (uniden pc68xl)....I have two whip
> >36' fibrglass ..I got the swr's about 1.1 to 1.4 from channel 10 up to
> >channel 40 (the higher the channel the lower the swr's are) ...my
> >question is how do i get the swr's lower on the channels from 9 to
> >channel 1 ....when I check them they are about 2.2 and go to 3.0 with
> >the lower channel i use the higher the swr's get....
> >
> >thanks
> >
> >Zeek
>
> Question #1: Are you using both antennas at the same time?
>
> Question #2: Are you using a spring at the bottom?

2643. Re: any good ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 22:45:30 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D45C58A.96E7A378@hotmail.com>
Source record: 131673

Hey Toscano

I heard that the radio was good thats why I bought it .....I just wanted more opinions to see if I was right ......

thanks

zeek

Toscano wrote:

> Wouldn't it make sense for you to ask how good a radio is BEFORE you buy
> it???
>
> To answer your other question, you bought a great radio, it will outlast
> many others out there. I love Unidens but also own a Mirage that has treated
> me well.

2644. Re: FS:Good 3CX3000A7 Pull & New Filament Transformer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 22:48:44 UTC
From: thetubeman@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <gDj19.5901$SH3.1676@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 131674

Sorry but I do not have the socket and chimney

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:F2f19.9366$pg2.781259@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> <thetubeman@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:5Ge19.5199$SH3.4146@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > I have a like new 3CX3000A7 tube and a new filament transformer for thee
> > same tube:
> >
> > $460-tube
> > $175-transformer
> > or
> > $600-both
> > + shipping
> >
> >
>
> Plus what for the socket and chimney??
>
>
>

2645. Re: STOP feeding Aaron the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 22:51:01 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207291451.1f6cc9fc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131675

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0207282240.36608da9@posting.google.com>...
> "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:<ai20t8$tq70d$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>...
> > Why can't u people get the hint and stop feeding the troll.
> > You seem intent on wasting bandspace by replying to this jackass,
> > the idiot does'nt like us so stop replying to him and he will go away.
> > His purpose is to distract us from what we came here to discuss........
> > Block sender , delete his posts, create message rules, do whatever it takes
> > to wipe his punk ass off the board and lets get on with discussing CB.
> > I work 11meter DX and i
> > vote...
>
> Reprinted from a Sparky post:
>
> Aaron will never be killfiled by many of the keyclowns because he
> speaks the truth, and truth is what most of them fear. IOW, anyone
> sitting on the fence is reading both sides of any argument, and can
> tell the difference between keyclown BS and the truth. That's why the
> keyclowns must keep shoveling more and more BS to try and cover up the
> truth as revealed by Voobner (and others).
>
> And why would they do that? The obvious reason is that illegal
> operators depend upon each other to validate their illegal activities.
> Just like any other form of organized crime (or religion), it is
> social support that justifies their behavior. Things such as "logic"
> and "facts" diminish the persuasive effects of such anti-social
> reinforcement, and must therefore be contradicted.
>
> Another reason is that some of them make a lot of money scamming
> people by convincing them to buy illegal amps and "export" radios.
> Opposition to these people has led to many, many threats of violence
> against Voobner, myself, and other defenders of honorable intentions.
> But many of us don't scare very easily, and will continue to educate
> people about the reality of radio communication (life is not an RF
> drag race).
>
> Killfile Voobner? Ain't gonna happen. Deal with it.

Quite an ego you have there, Aaryan Aaron!

2646. Re: CB endorsed by PennDOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 22:52:09 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ukbhomsp8967fb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131676

It's by Harrisberg where I283 S turns into route 283 south 2 exits down.
Others have been on I81 south near Harrisberg.
"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D453407.5CB0@worldlynx.net...
> Kenneth wrote:
> >
> > WHat does this mean? Lately PennDOT has been setting up transmitters
with an
> > automatic message usually with travel advisories. Basically along the
lines
> > of "constuction at exits 55 to 57 along rt 283 slow down, slow down
NOW".
> > This is always on ch19 and seems to be replacing the old system of
> > advisories on 530am or 1640am.
> > While not an ACTUAL endorsement it is recognition by a state
government
> > that CB ch19 is the de-facto medium for travel and IMHO constitutes an
> > official recognition by spending money for auto-transmitters. CB is hear
to
> > stay.
> > Also I'd bet dollars to donuts there not 4 watt units. These
advisories
> > blanket a large area and step on everybody.
> > What does this mean for cb? These warnings are not on FMS or MURS or
any
> > Ham bands. CB is hear to stay.
>
>
> Hmmm..... I live in Pa., and I have yet to hear anything like this. I
> still hear the Turnpike advisories on 1640 Khz, when I drive near one of
> the interchanges.
>
> I see a fundamental legality issue with this on CB. CB is not authorized
> to transmit one-way broadcasts, which these "advisories" surely are.
> While many citizens have no problem breaking the rules, a governmental
> agency would be under far greater pressure to behave itself.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

2647. Re: CB Enters "Dark Ages"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 22:56:28 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020729185628.08566.00000081@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 131677

And alot of licensed operators in my area 27.6050 and above.

2648. Re: Radios & amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 22:59:11 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207291459.41f844e9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131678

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0207281542.c0c5db7@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0207280910.48295c5@posting.google.com>...
> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0207272050.57f1aa8f@posting.google.com>...
> > > ricconak@netscape.net (ric conak) wrote in message
news:<7a636118.0207271253.5fe6a6b7@posting.google.com>...
> > > > I have the following for sale.
> > > > Cobra 148 gtl, phillipines model, peaked, swing kit, channels, 9 out
> > > > of 10. $90
> > >
> > > Illegal.
> >
> > Stuck record.
> >
> > > > Cobra 29 ltd, peaked, big final, nice shape. $65
> > >
> > > Illegal.
> >
> > Stuck record.
> >
> > > > Ranger 2950, converted, swing kit, 8 out of 10, does 50 watts. $125
> > >
> > > Illegal.
> >
> > Stuck record. And just plain wrong; it CAN be used on 10 metres.
>
> It is illegal to sell if it is not type accepted for CB and does
> transmit on CB.
>
> > > > Ranger 2970, converted, swing kit, 8 out of 10, does 250 watts. $225
> > >
> > > Illegal.
> >
> > Stuck record. And just plain wrong; it CAN be used on 10 metres.
>
> It is illegal to sell if it is not type accepted for CB and is able to
> transmit on CB.
>
> > > > Galaxy DX88, converted, peaked, 10 out of 10. $175
> > >
> > > Illegal.
> >

> > Stuck record.
> >
> > > > Galaxy DX99v, converted, peaked, 9.5 out of 10. $200
> > >
> > > Illegal.
> >
> > Stuck record.
> >
> > > > Texas Star dx350 $125
> > >
> > > Illegal.
> >
> > Stuck record.
> >
> > > > Texas Star dx 667 $225
> > >
> > > Illegal.
> >
> > Stuck record.
> >
> > > > Texas Star dx1600 $500 add $75 for fan kit
> > >
> > > Illegal.
> >
> > Stuck record.
> >
> > > > Heathkit SB-220, tubes have about 20 hours on them, wired for 220,
> > > > does 2K, 7.5 out of 10, not all beat up. $500
> > >
> > > Illegal for use on CB.
> >
> > True, but it's perfectly legal for use elsewhere. You think hams don't
> > read this group? Your point?
>
> FCC rule 2.815(b): After APR 27, 1978, no person shall manufacture,
> sell or lease, offer for sale or lease (including advertising for sale
> or lease), or import, ship, or distribute for the purpose of selling
> or leasing or offering for sale or lease, any external radio frequency
> amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any frequency or
> frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz.

Sorry, but those amplifiers are not illegal for amateur operators to
own, use, OR sell. Idiot! Try reading something informative, like
Popular Communications, instead of the FCC Rules.

> > Someone should whack you upside the head with a 2x4;
> > might knock some
> > common sense into you (doubtful)!
>
> Sure, what's one more illegal act to you? The fact that you threaten
> to physically harm someone who mentions that CB is illegal speaks

> volumes about you, chum.

You feel "threatened"? HAR DE HAR! Not a threat, a suggestion. If you're worried about it, stop posting total crap and unnecessary repeated Bovine Excrement in the newsgroup. I'm sure I'm not the only person who would applaud someone applying the Board of Education to your empty noggin.

> > Everybody knows the Rules, Aaryan
> > Aaron; a copy is packed with every radio.
>
> Really...FCC rules are packed with phoney "10 meter radios"? LOL. I
> doubt it. Even if they were, that doesn't mean you can prohibit me
> from posting the truth.

You wouldn't know the TRUTH if it hit you in the head. The TRUTH is that 10 metre radios are designed and built for just that - 10 metres. Nothing "phoney" about that. If you don't like what you hear on CB (or anywhere else, for that matter) you have the ability (I hope) to turn off your radio and/or do something else. Something PRODUCTIVE, for a change. That would show some maturity! You're not changing anything by acting like a 2-year-old.

> > BTW: What's your FCC badge number? Your amateur
> >callsign? Put up or
> > shut up. PLEEZE!
>
> Who wants to know?
>
> -A

I do, for one. What are you afraid of? Tell us and we'll all kneel at your feet. <snicker>

2649. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 23:00:10 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D45AA8F.576C30E5@mailcity.net>
Source record: 131679

zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> i did the swr check with each antenna single not at the same time ...checked
> 1 antenna and then checked the other antenna by itself
>
> zeek

The antennas inter react so you need to check the swr (singular,
not plural) with both antennas connected. Don't really know why
you want to feed two antennas, mounted on the mirror arms they are
not spaced far enough apart to give any advantage over one, in
fact, one will probably perform better than the two.

2650. Re: Aaron's trolling ILLEGAL LIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 23:01:14 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207291501.25047a80@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

"Ed G." <Spectrumhog@_REMOVE_StarBand.net> wrote in message
news:<Xns925982FA2F3DFFrog207Junocom@148.78.248.76>...
> >
> > What crisis? I don't see no stinkin' crisis. Or do you mean the one
> > conjured up by the current Republican regime to scare hell out of the
> > citizens and take away our constitutional freedoms?
> >
>
> Sure glad your kind weren't in power when the Japanese struck Pearl
> Harbor!
>
>
>
> Ed

"My kind"? There was advance warning of an attack on Pearl Harbor;
even the Admiral in charge of the Harbor warned about it. He was
replaced for his efforts. Maybe you should read up on history before
you make dumb comments?

2651. Re: any good ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 23:04:05 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D45C9E8.DC83A1D2@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131681

zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>
> I heard that the radio was good thats why I bought it

It would be ever better with sideband. Now you just get to listen
to Charlie Brown's teacher on 36 through 40.

2652. 28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 23:08:21 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ukbin346saok4a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131682

I have notice that 28.085 is becoming crowded. Usually it is just my fellow truckers jawin and I can usually find a clear channel on the f band (6 band radio). The most annoying are these idiots playing with there roger beeps above 28mhz! Whats that about? they don't even talk just beep bepbepbep beep its annoying. Also I think its at 28.200mhz someone had been dead keying his radio for about a year straight! I guess I'l have to upgrade to either an 8 band radio eventually they way our great hobby has been expanding. To all you Hams I'd like to thank you for being gracious enough to leave this slice fallow for us cber's:)
Sincerly
Ken

2653. Re: STOP feeding Aaron the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 23:10:59 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ukbis0srq2h079@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131683

Well said Mad Dog
Slowpoke waving a hand:)
Hammer DOOoowwwnnnnnn!
Sincerely
Ken
PS I also write my congressman and 2 senators informing them of our need for more bandwidth.
"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message news:ai20t8$tq70d$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de...
> Why can't u people get the hint and stop feeding the troll.
> You seem intent on wasting bandspace by replying to this jackass,
> the idiot does'nt like us so stop replying to him and he will go away.
> His purpose is to distract us from what we came here to discuss........
> Block sender , delete his posts, create message rules, do whatever it takes
> to wipe his punk ass off the board and lets get on with discussing CB.
> I work 11meter DX and i
> vote.....
>
> --
> KG4LBD
> 714 Sandpile,
> The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
>
>

2654. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 23:13:56 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D45CC34.CBB4D1F@hotmail.com>
Source record: 131684

thanks for the tip Gary ....

zeek

Gary Danaher wrote:

> Whether they are 36 inches or 36 feet, they are still a little short, perhaps by
> an inch or so. Make certain that the antennas are grounded well and check it
> again, inside the vehicle, with the doors shut. It makes a difference. I presume
> that the antennas are new and haven't been trimmed by someone, and there should
> be some adjustment to lengthen them a bit. BTW, you only have one swr at any
> given frequency. Swr's sounds a bit silly.
>
> zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> > Thanks Dick it help's alot when I can get some real input ....not bad input
> > from a guy like "ME" ..and my antenna's are 36 inches long
> >
> > Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > > <zeek198@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3D45AC7A.D07BA98C@hotmail.com...
> > > > Hi All
> > > >
> > > > I just was checking my swr's on my cb (uniden pc68xl)....I have two whip
> > > > 36' fibrglass ..I got the swr's about 1.1 to 1.4 from channel 10 up to
> > > > channel 40 (the higher the channel the lower the swr's are) ...my
> > > > question is how do i get the swr's lower on the channels from 9 to
> > > > channel 1 ....when I check them they are about 2.2 and go to 3.0 with
> > > > the lower channel i use the higher the swr's get....
> > > >
> > > > thanks
> > > >
> > > > Zeek
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > Zeek, you have a bad case of 'too short antenna' which might be caused by
> > > a lot of things.
> > >
> > > But I strongly doubt you are bopping around with twin 36-foot fiberglass
> > > whips; if you are, you won't be for long.
> > >
> > > My guess is that you have 2-102 inch whips which if mounted without a
> > > spring will do what you describe because resonance is closer to 108". You

> > > have, however, learned one thing that will serve you well when the BS
> > > starts flowing: Efficient radiators are not especially broad.
> > >
> > > Run the description by again. And pay no attention to George (me in the
> > > current incarnation); while he is a fellow Amateur, he is insane.
> > >
> > > Dick NØBK

2655. Re: Scanner frequencies??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 23:23:25 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D45CE6D.A4751BF0@qnet.com>
Source record: 131685
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Hello me:

Who's me?

You mean Ivin or Gary? I talked to one of them on the phone, but can't
remember which one?

Gary is on channel, 12 AM sometimes.

Jay in the Mojave

me wrote:
>
> Did that scanner nut guy have a name?
>
> I know a guy up in Rosamond that is a walking scanner encyclopedia -
> complete with freqs for fun things out at Edwards that "don't exist".
>
> -me
> "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> news:3D44C2E5.1E13C81@qnet.com...
> > Hello Moparholic:
> >
> > Thanks a million for the help, I have gotin a bunch of e-mail replies on
> > all kinds of different web sites, and even local frequency sources.
> >
> > And one scanner nut type ah guy just north of me that has run down all
> > kinds of intresting scanner frequencies. Most of the frequencies are in
> > the books but ya's needs to know which ones are actually used. You could
> > hear all kinds of diffeent scanners makin noise in the background while
> > talkin to the guy on the phone.
> >
> > I found a local Search and Resuce group on the VHF Low Band, and my 6
> > Meter Interceptor 10K brings them in much better than the store bought
> > scanner antennas do. Oustanding..... I have a scanner antenna in the
> > works called the Flat Top.
> >
> > Oh by the way, your boy there #40 Mr. Sterling Marin, and his Pit Crew,
> > and Spotters, are on the following frequencies: (MHz)
> > 462.1
> > 461.375
> > 463.9375
> > 451.325
> >
> > I was at the Winston West 200 (Irinwindale Speedway) last night (had a

> > blast) doing a little work with the boys with some radio problems.
> >
> > I have never seen so many Honey's woundering around, with so few
> > clothes.....TILT!!!!! No wounder theres are so many crashs.
> >
> > Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from
> > the fillin station.
> >
> >
> >
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:
> > > >>Hello All:
> > > > >
> > > > > My scanner frequecy book is a few years old, whats the hot ticket on
> CD
> > > > > or in a book for scanner frequencies.
> > > > >
> > > > > Maybe web sites?
> > > > >
> > > > > I am located in the Southern California Mojave Desert, thanks for any
> > > > > help.
> > > > >
> > > > > Jay in the Mojave
> > > > >
> > > > I took the liberty of cross posting it,to the good people on the scanner
> > > > group,Jay. ;)
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Go # 40
> > > > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > > > Usenet Newsgroup Service

2656. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 23:35:30 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <6jk19.1818$cm.116680@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131686

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:fXi19.9758
> Zeek, you have a bad case of 'too short antenna' which might be caused by
> a lot of things.
> Run the description by again. And pay no attention to George (me in the
> current incarnation); while he is a fellow Amateur, he is insane.
>
> Dick N&#65533;BK

Ok Dick, maybe I missed this on the extra exam what is a SWR's?

2657. Re: 28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 23:44:46 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D45D361.979A8C8C@icok.net>
Source record: 131687

Kenneth,
Maybe a '6', but a weak one...
'Doc

2658. Dear anonymous remailer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-29 23:54:15 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ai4kp2$11iif0$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 131688

```
You are a coward, i hope you enjoy your remailing service while it lasts
coward.
I choose not to post the the contents of your cowardly e-mail for moral
reasons.
You are a lowlife just like the CB rambos that hide behind the sleeper
curtain
with their 9ft. mic. cord.
I feel remorse for your parents knowing what a gutless coward u are.
BTW you don't own jack.....................
--
714 Sandpile,
The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
```

2659. Re: 28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 00:04:40 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <pelbkuspsvpm47kvc8kaig63ahf3n00stn@4ax.com>
Source record: 131689

On Mon, 29 Jul 2002 19:08:21 -0400, "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
wrote:

>I have notice that 28.085 is becoming crowded. Usually it is just my fellow
>truckers jawin and I can usually find a clear channel on the f band (6 band
>radio). The most annoying are these idiots playing with there roger beeps
>above 28mhz! Whats that about? they don't even talk just beep bepbepbep beep
>its annoying. Also I think its at 28.200mhz someone had been dead keying his
>radio for about a year straight! I guess I'l have to upgrade to either an 8
>band radio eventually they way our great hobby has been expanding. To all
>you Hams I'd like to thank you for being gracious enough to leave this slice
>fallow for us cber's:)
>Sincerly
>Ken

Careful. Going from a six band radio to a eight band radio may be to
large of a jump. Just add one more band and then wait for it to fill
in. Once it gets crowded then, and only then add the eighth band.

You never want to over expand. Be patient. Otherwise coverage will
be full of gaps.

2660. Thanx for your support

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 00:04:55 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ai4ld3$11k7ib$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 131690

Thanx 2 everyone for your support, i am deeply gratified.
God Bless and Goodbye.....................

--
KG4LBD
714 Sandpile,
The Mad Dog wavin' good bye

2661. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 00:20:43 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d45dac5.3296928@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131691

In <3D45C3D7.B9983411@hotmail.com>, zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:

>question 1 ....is yes

I never saw the advantage of running dualies, but to each his own.
Anyway, like JJ said, you have to measure the SWR with both connected.

>question 2.....is no mounted to mirror arm

A spring will probably give you the extra length you need. It will
also prevent the antenna from breaking if it hits something.

2662. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 01:06:15 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <48pbkugc1isj6q4d3er9fkg39i3qisrvjp@4ax.com>
Source record: 131692

On Mon, 29 Jul 2002 16:58:34 -0400, zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:

>Hi All
>
>I just was checking my swr's on my cb (uniden pc68xl)....I have two whip
>36' fibrglass ..I got the swr's about 1.1 to 1.4 from channel 10 up to
>channel 40 (the higher the channel the lower the swr's are) ...my
>question is how do i get the swr's lower on the channels from 9 to
>channel 1 ....when I check them they are about 2.2 and go to 3.0 with
>the lower channel i use the higher the swr's get....
>
>thanks
>
>Zeek

If the stock antennas and harness assembly only match well at the
higher frequencies then most likely you need to establish a better
ground.

A better ground can be had by establishing a larger, surer contact to
the automobiles sheet metal.

2663. Re: any good ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 01:08:56 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <ai4en5$8fu$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 131668

Wouldn't it make sense for you to ask how good a radio is BEFORE you buy it???

To answer your other question, you bought a great radio, it will outlast many others out there. I love Unidens but also own a Mirage that has treated me well.

2664. Re: 28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 01:19:47 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ukbqcbc5i47r14@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131694

Yep, and a 19 year old (well, he's 28 years old now) kid that lived next door would sure like to thank you truckers. He got hit by a truck (he was on a motorcycle). Seems the trucker didn't have a license (gee, just your mentality). This is a true story. Thanks to a trucker, the kid lives in a new house in a suburb with a new vehicle and a BIG bank account. I don't know what happened to the trucker or the company, however.

Thanks!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
(ps, he sure is a lot better now than when I saw him in the hospital. Money sure helps too!)

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:ukbin346saok4a@corp.supernews.com...
> I have notice that 28.085 is becoming crowded. Usually it is just my fellow
> truckers jawin and I can usually find a clear channel on the f band (6 band
> radio). The most annoying are these idiots playing with there roger beeps
> above 28mhz! Whats that about? they don't even talk just beep bepbepbep beep
> its annoying. Also I think its at 28.200mhz someone had been dead keying his
> radio for about a year straight! I guess I'l have to upgrade to either an 8
> band radio eventually they way our great hobby has been expanding. To all
> you Hams I'd like to thank you for being gracious enough to leave this slice
> fallow for us cber's:)
> Sincerly
> Ken
>
>

2665. Re: Radios& amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 01:20:00 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207291720.1bae66f7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131693

Richard Cranium wrote:
>You wouldn't know the TRUTH if it hit you in the head.
>The TRUTH is that 10 metre radios are designed and
>built for just that - 10 metres.

Now I know you're completely insane.

PS: They spell it "meter", not "metre" here in the States, craniumbuster.

-A

2666. Re: CB endorsed by PennDOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 01:27:34 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207291727.2c20f08b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131695

"Richard McCollum" <rmcollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<A_e19.9359$pg2.781300@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3D453407.5CB0@worldlynx.net...
> > Kenneth wrote:
> > >
> > > WHat does this mean? Lately PennDOT has been setting up transmitters
> with an
> > > automatic message usually with travel advisories. Basically along the
> lines
> > > of "constuction at exits 55 to 57 along rt 283 slow down, slow down
> NOW".
> > > This is always on ch19 and seems to be replacing the old system of
> > > advisories on 530am or 1640am.
> > > While not an ACTUAL endorsement it is recognition by a state
> government
> > > that CB ch19 is the de-facto medium for travel and IMHO constitutes an
> > > official recognition by spending money for auto-transmitters. CB is
> hear to
> > > stay.
> > > Also I'd bet dollars to donuts there not 4 watt units. These
> advisories
> > > blanket a large area and step on everybody.
> > > What does this mean for cb? These warnings are not on FMS or MURS or
> any
> > > Ham bands. CB is hear to stay.
> >
> >
> > Hmmm..... I live in Pa., and I have yet to hear anything like this. I
> > still hear the Turnpike advisories on 1640 Khz, when I drive near one of
> > the interchanges.
> >
> > I see a fundamental legality issue with this on CB. CB is not authorized
> > to transmit one-way broadcasts, which these "advisories" surely are.
> > While many citizens have no problem breaking the rules, a governmental
> > agency would be under far greater pressure to behave itself.
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> >
>
> Oh? Would you believe Ohio applied for authorization to jam CB?

Point is moot. Kenny The Troll made it all up.

-A

2667. Re: help with transmit problems on old cb radio!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 01:29:41 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207291729.5cd68da7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131696

agoodridge@bigpond.com.au (Max) wrote in message
news:<6d8faad.0207282239.5fa482a6@posting.google.com>...
> Some screwdriver king has modified it (not me I only blow them
> up!!!!!). Whoever had it has replaced the 2sc1306 and 1307 finals with
> a lot bigger finals!

Told ya.

-A

2668. Re: Please someone advice on CB radio for newbie. I narrowed it down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 01:31:53 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207291731.52ec0878@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131697

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0207281548.5907f9c5@posting.google.com>...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0207272119.50489830@posting.google.com>...
> > "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:<ahtn3o$989$9@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> > > "whatever" <xxx@nospam.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1hljjuke3r605ejhsma0ufrll0qt542mt7@4ax.com...
> > > > I was leaning that direction.
> > > ==
> > >
> > > You may want to consider re-wording that, with some people on here ;~)
> > > lol.
> > >
> > > Regards,
> > >
> > > Peter.
> >
> > Yes, poor Peter, always watching his words. It must be horrible having
> > to carefully compose your posts so they manage to express
> > encouragement of illegal CB without actually appearing to do so. And
> > to think, all you have to do is be honest and law abiding and things
> > would be so much simpler.
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> Aanother helpful post from Aaryan Aaron, the radio Nazi!! But
> remember, he encouraged a guy to get his linear amp modified for 11
> metres! Pay him no mind.

Can't hold your own in a debate so you make up a sock puppet account
to jeer at me with? Pathetic.

-A

2669. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 02:28:20 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D45F9C4.C504B3DE@hotmail.com>
Source record: 131698

Wow Mad Dog who u mad at ??????

zeek

Mad Dog wrote:

> You are a coward, i hope you enjoy your remailing service while it lasts
> coward.
> I choose not to post the the contents of your cowardly e-mail for moral
> reasons.
> You are a lowlife just like the CB rambos that hide behind the sleeper
> curtain
> with their 9ft. mic. cord.
> I feel remorse for your parents knowing what a gutless coward u are.
> BTW you don't own jack.....................
> --
> 714 Sandpile,
> The Mad Dog wavin' good bye

2670. Re: CB endorsed by PennDOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 03:17:23 UTC
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3D460543.6B5D@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 131699

800mhz wrote:
>
> Well I heard those back in May on my trip out to Indy, and although it is a
> great idea, and you wonder why they never did it in the past.
> You also have to ask, do the FCC rules allow for broadcasting? Which is what
> they are doing.
> It is a one way transmission, which makes it a broadcast,and not a
> communication. They are also as you mentioned, stepping on other CBr's for
> lack of better word.
> So if there's any emergency in the area of the construction and this
> broadcast keeps coming up, that means no one can hear a real call for help.
> So are they also monitoring Ch. 9?
> Just some thoughts I have on the subject.
> I've had my Amateur license for over 10 yrs now, but still throw the CB in
> the vehicle for any long trips.
> So they still do have a purpose after all these years.
> Great post.....................
> Lou
YUP,
It is permissable (that is "allowed" for you all north of the Ohio
River) to
use CB as a Road Hazard Warning System.

Cajun

2671. Re: 28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 03:27:18 UTC
From: sean ingram <s_ingram@direcway.com>
Message-ID: <uJn19.8152$u7.643160@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 131700

Stay Off The 10 meter Amateur Radio Band!
That is CW.....morse code or RTTY or other non-voice communications--NOT ROGER BEEPS!!

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:ukbin346saok4a@corp.supernews.com...
> I have notice that 28.085 is becoming crowded. Usually it is just my fellow
> truckers jawin and I can usually find a clear channel on the f band (6 band
> radio). The most annoying are these idiots playing with there roger beeps
> above 28mhz! Whats that about? they don't even talk just beep bepbepbep beep
> its annoying. Also I think its at 28.200mhz someone had been dead keying his
> radio for about a year straight! I guess I'l have to upgrade to either an 8
> band radio eventually they way our great hobby has been expanding. To all
> you Hams I'd like to thank you for being gracious enough to leave this slice
> fallow for us cber's:)
> Sincerly
> Ken
>
>

2672. Re: 28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 03:45:17 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D460BD0.A2722562@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131701

sean ingram wrote:
>
> Stay Off The 10 meter Amateur Radio Band!
> That is CW.....morse code or RTTY or other non-voice communications--NOT
> ROGER BEEPS!!
>
"Kenneth", you got a bite! Reel 'em on in...

2673. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 03:46:03 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D460BFF.4A3E0B44@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131702

zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> Wow Mad Dog who u mad at ??????

If you ask the AKC real nice, they may send you one.

2674. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 03:50:35 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D460D0F.D5A1FD76@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131703

For a sample, see my post:
Subject: You ain't my friend...
Date: Wed, 24 Jul 2002 11:28:35 GMT

2675. Re: New State-of-the-art CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 03:54:19 UTC
From: Frank Todd <k3eko@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <L5o19.216065$uw.115896@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 131704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Fuck You
Fuck You
Fuck You
Fuck You
Fuck You
Fuck You
Fuck You
Fuck You
Fuck You
Fuck You
Fuck You
Fuck You
Fuck You
Fuck You
Fuck You
Fuck You
Fuck You
Fuck You
Fuck You
Fuck You
Fuck You
"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D452942.694D4E@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> Troll!
>
> Frank Todd wrote:
> >
> > Name: CBradio.jpe
> > CBradio.jpe Type: JPEG Image (image/jpeg)
> > Encoding: x-uuencode

2676. Re: New State-of-the-art CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 04:22:50 UTC
From: "r.r.c.b.auto-responder" <usenet@autoresponder.kom>
Message-ID: <ukc4osib00gib2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Frank Todd" <k3eko@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:L5o19.216065$uw.115896@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You

troll...

2677. Re: New State-of-the-art CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 04:41:23 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4618F6.70FC67D7@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Did I strike a nerve? <g>

Frank Todd wrote:
>
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D452942.694D4E@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > Troll!
> >
> > Frank Todd wrote:
> > >
> > > Name: CBradio.jpe
> > > CBradio.jpe Type: JPEG Image (image/jpeg)
> > > Encoding: x-uuencode

2678. Re: New State-of-the-art CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 04:44:54 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4619C9.39F2565B@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Although it was a troll post, if YOU took the time to make
this picture, it's pretty good. It's a prime example of why
I don't use AM on my bone stock PC-122.

If YOU didn't make this picture and you stole it from somebody,
reread the below phrases until it is perfectly clear in your mind.

Frank Todd wrote:
>
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> Fuck You
> "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D452942.694D4E@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > Troll!
> >
> > Frank Todd wrote:
> > >
> > > Name: CBradio.jpe
> > > CBradio.jpe Type: JPEG Image (image/jpeg)
> > > Encoding: x-uuencode

2679. cobra 2000 gtl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 05:02:10 UTC
From: bigredman747@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730010210.07952.00000237@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 131708

i got a cobra 2000gtl got exa channels and rbeep rf power it comes with a echo max2000 asking $250 email me at bigredman747@aol.com

2680. Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 06:20:15 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns925AED8669C3Asubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 131709

Hey guys, I'm new to the group. I've been reading posts for a while and have to say that verbal warfare aside, I love it. I've found many usefull nuggets of information here.

I've been having a wonderful time learning as much as I can about radio and electronics in general. I went down and purchased the 2002 edition of the ARRL Handbook and have been "hibernating in my cave" (as my wife puts it), absorbing as much knowledge as I can. I'm addicted. I own all sorts of basic electronics books, but this one stands out. What a fantastic resource! Anyhow, I've been tinkering with CB radio for years, and am looking to take my relatively decent knowledge to the next level. After much investigation, I have concluded that an oscilloscope would aid my troubleshooting attempts to a certain degree. I would also like to one day be knowledgable enough to perfrom transceiver alignments, for which a scope (among other things) would come in handy.

What kinds of features should I look for when purchasing an oscilloscope suitable for CB repair and (someday) transceiver alignment? Tektronics recommends purchasing a scope with 5 times the bandwidth of the highest frequency you excpect to measure. At ~27MHz that's 135MHz. Those scopes are a little on the expensive side, even used (Tektroniks or otherwise). Will I find many reasons to measure a 27MHz carrier on a scope? Or will filtering the carrier out and observing modulation be more common? I'm not sure. I will spend the money if it is warranted, but I'm not too crazy about purchasing a scope full of features of little use to a CB repair technician. Surely, between the abolute cheapest and expensive scopes lies a happy medium. I am fairly certain that I don't need a digital scope, analog will suffice, I'm sure. I am also sure that I won't be purchasing a brand new one, so tips on purchasing used ones would be appreciated.

It's funny, when I see a scope for sale on Ebay, I wish to God I had the ability to walk the seller through at least REASONABLY verifying proper operation. I am amazed at the number of items with a description like "I have no idea how to use this thing. It turns on, and is sold as-is". I've often wondered if one of these was a $60 "Buy it Now" jem, waiting to be placed into the proper hands (not in any way suggesting that MY hands are the proper ones <grin>). Sometimes I think it might worth the gamble, even if I end up with a $60 paperweight, plus shipping =)

Thoughts?

Alan S.
Central California

2681. Re: Please someone advice on CB radio for newbie. I narrowed it down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 09:30:06 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207300130.2d8e66e4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131710

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0207281548.5907f9c5@posting.google.com>...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0207272119.50489830@posting.google.com>...
> > "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:<ahtn3o$989$9@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> > > "whatever" <xxx@nospam.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1hljjuke3r605ejhsma0ufrll0qt542mt7@4ax.com...
> > > > I was leaning that direction.
> > > ==
> > >
> > > You may want to consider re-wording that, with some people on here ;~)
> > > lol.
> > >
> > > Regards,
> > >
> > > Peter.
> >
> > Yes, poor Peter, always watching his words. It must be horrible having
> > to carefully compose your posts so they manage to express
> > encouragement of illegal CB without actually appearing to do so. And
> > to think, all you have to do is be honest and law abiding and things
> > would be so much simpler.
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> Aanother helpful post from Aaryan Aaron, the radio Nazi!! But
> remember, he encouraged a guy to get his linear amp modified for 11
> metres! Pay him no mind.

Aryan Aaron?Funny,I always pictured him as a communist millitant homosexual.:>

2682. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 09:42:29 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d46526f.349982@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131711

In <Xns925AED8669C3Asubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>, "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Hey guys, I'm new to the group. I've been reading posts for a while and >have to say that verbal warfare aside, I love it. I've found many usefull >nuggets of information here.

There are plenty of 'nuggets' for sure :-0

>I've been having a wonderful time learning as much as I can about radio >and electronics in general. I went down and purchased the 2002 edition of >the ARRL Handbook and have been "hibernating in my cave" (as my wife puts >it), absorbing as much knowledge as I can. I'm addicted. I own all sorts >of basic electronics books, but this one stands out. What a fantastic >resource! Anyhow, I've been tinkering with CB radio for years, and am >looking to take my relatively decent knowledge to the next level. After >much investigation, I have concluded that an oscilloscope would aid my >troubleshooting attempts to a certain degree.

An O-scope has three fundamental purposes: To measure voltage and frequency, and to observe the waveform. Frequency measurement is usually done with a freq counter these days, and voltage can be measured very accurately with a demod probe and a multimeter. Because almost everything else can be measured more accurately with other equipment, about the only thing a scope is good for is to see the waveform.

> I would also like to one >day be knowledgable enough to perfrom transceiver alignments, for which a >scope (among other things) would come in handy.

Actually, a scope really won't help you much. Assuming you already have a variable power supply and a reasonably good digital multimeter (or VTVM), the first things you need are an accurate RF signal generator, a demod probe and a freq counter. For RF work you need a dummy load, a field strength meter and a grid-dip oscillator. I have found that a capacitor tester and an impedance bridge are almost indispensable. An audio signal generator would be next on the list, and an audio distortion analyzer would be nice, too. None of this equipment needs to be high-end, and even the old tube-type stuff will work just fine (as it has done for several decades). And THEN get an O-scope to finish off the shop. Of course a spectrum analyzer would be REALLY nice, but they are quite expensive. Because of the price, some people have built their own as an accessory for their O-scope. I can provide a link or two if you are interested.

>What kinds of features should I look for when purchasing an oscilloscope
>suitable for CB repair and (someday) transceiver alignment? Tektronics
>recommends purchasing a scope with 5 times the bandwidth of the highest
>frequency you excpect to measure. At ~27MHz that's 135MHz. Those scopes
>are a little on the expensive side, even used (Tektroniks or otherwise).
>Will I find many reasons to measure a 27MHz carrier on a scope? Or will
>filtering the carrier out and observing modulation be more common? I'm
>not sure. I will spend the money if it is warranted, but I'm not too
>crazy about purchasing a scope full of features of little use to a CB
>repair technician. Surely, between the abolute cheapest and expensive
>scopes lies a happy medium. I am fairly certain that I don't need a
>digital scope, analog will suffice, I'm sure. I am also sure that I won't
>be purchasing a brand new one, so tips on purchasing used ones would be
>appreciated.

You will probably never need to directly observe the RF. What you will see most often in a CB, and one of the things you will need a scope in order to see, is a sawtooth on a PLL loop. But you can see that with any old scope. I have three scopes (four if you count the one that doesn't work) and the one I use almost exclusively is a slow, small, analog, single-trace Heathkit. Why? Because it's quick and easy to use, small and lightweight to carry, and I don't have to worry about an expensive repair bill if I drop it or overload the input.

>It's funny, when I see a scope for sale on Ebay, I wish to God I had the
>ability to walk the seller through at least REASONABLY verifying proper
>operation. I am amazed at the number of items with a description like "I
>have no idea how to use this thing. It turns on, and is sold as-is". I've
>often wondered if one of these was a $60 "Buy it Now" jem, waiting to be
>placed into the proper hands (not in any way suggesting that MY hands are
>the proper ones <grin>). Sometimes I think it might worth the gamble,
>even if I end up with a $60 paperweight, plus shipping =)

My most sincere suggestion is not to buy any scope that is going to be shipped, even if it's brand new. There are too many things that can happen during shipping, like it being dropped, kicked, bounced down a conveyer belt, or stacked next to a speaker with a really big magnet. If you want a new one, buy it at the local distributor. If you want a used scope, keep reading the want-ads and check out a few auction houses.

BTW, I'm not trying to talk you out of a scope: If you are learning the basics of electronics, or if you are designing your own circuits, a scope is absolutely essential. But for a practical repair shop it is not used nearly as often as you might think. So consider what it is that you want to do and go from there.

2683. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 10:00:32 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4tt19.14467$KI6.883768@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131712

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns925AED8669C3Asubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> Hey guys, I'm new to the group. I've been reading posts for a while and
> have to say that verbal warfare aside, I love it. I've found many
usefull
> nuggets of information here.
>
>
> I've been having a wonderful time learning as much as I can about radio
> and electronics in general. I went down and purchased the 2002 edition
of
> the ARRL Handbook and have been "hibernating in my cave" (as my wife
puts
> it), absorbing as much knowledge as I can. I'm addicted. I own all sorts
> of basic electronics books, but this one stands out. What a fantastic
> resource! Anyhow, I've been tinkering with CB radio for years, and am
> looking to take my relatively decent knowledge to the next level. After
> much investigation, I have concluded that an oscilloscope would aid my
> troubleshooting attempts to a certain degree. I would also like to one
> day be knowledgable enough to perfrom transceiver alignments, for which
a
> scope (among other things) would come in handy.
>
> What kinds of features should I look for when purchasing an oscilloscope
> suitable for CB repair and (someday) transceiver alignment? Tektronics
> recommends purchasing a scope with 5 times the bandwidth of the highest
> frequency you excpect to measure. At ~27MHz that's 135MHz. Those scopes
> are a little on the expensive side, even used (Tektroniks or otherwise).
> Will I find many reasons to measure a 27MHz carrier on a scope? Or will
> filtering the carrier out and observing modulation be more common? I'm
> not sure. I will spend the money if it is warranted, but I'm not too
> crazy about purchasing a scope full of features of little use to a CB
> repair technician. Surely, between the abolute cheapest and expensive
> scopes lies a happy medium. I am fairly certain that I don't need a
> digital scope, analog will suffice, I'm sure. I am also sure that I
won't
> be purchasing a brand new one, so tips on purchasing used ones would be
> appreciated.
>
> It's funny, when I see a scope for sale on Ebay, I wish to God I had the
> ability to walk the seller through at least REASONABLY verifying proper
> operation. I am amazed at the number of items with a description like "I
> have no idea how to use this thing. It turns on, and is sold as-is".
I've

> often wondered if one of these was a $60 "Buy it Now" jem, waiting to be
> placed into the proper hands (not in any way suggesting that MY hands
are
> the proper ones <grin>). Sometimes I think it might worth the gamble,
> even if I end up with a $60 paperweight, plus shipping =)
>
> Thoughts?
>
> Alan S.
> Central California
>

Might suggest if you can to pick up one of the vintage, say 1955-1960, Handbooks under Tests and Measurements. You will learn more about Envelope and Trapezoid patterns than you wanted to know from the days when scopes did not go that hi. In the process you will also learn more about Antique Modulation than sane people care to know.

Alignments are more a case of the sig generator really and not busting i.f. xfmr slugs. The hard part about scopes is keeping the crud out. Don't tell your wife but a good o-scope is kind of like owning a Shopsmith. You start collecting accessories and pretty soon you have Norm Abrams' workshop.

Dick NBK

2684. Re: CB endorsed by PennDOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 12:02:47 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D468067.358@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 131713

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3D453407.5CB0@worldlynx.net...
> > Kenneth wrote:
> > >
> > > WHat does this mean? Lately PennDOT has been setting up transmitters
> with an
> > > automatic message usually with travel advisories. Basically along the
> lines
> > > of "constuction at exits 55 to 57 along rt 283 slow down, slow down
> NOW".
> > > This is always on ch19 and seems to be replacing the old system of
> > > advisories on 530am or 1640am.
> > > While not an ACTUAL endorsement it is recognition by a state
> government
> > > that CB ch19 is the de-facto medium for travel and IMHO constitutes an
> > > official recognition by spending money for auto-transmitters. CB is
> hear to
> > > stay.
> > > Also I'd bet dollars to donuts there not 4 watt units. These
> advisories
> > > blanket a large area and step on everybody.
> > > What does this mean for cb? These warnings are not on FMS or MURS or
> any
> > > Ham bands. CB is hear to stay.
> >
> >
> > Hmmm..... I live in Pa., and I have yet to hear anything like this. I
> > still hear the Turnpike advisories on 1640 Khz, when I drive near one of
> > the interchanges.
> >
> > I see a fundamental legality issue with this on CB. CB is not authorized
> > to transmit one-way broadcasts, which these "advisories" surely are.
> > While many citizens have no problem breaking the rules, a governmental
> > agency would be under far greater pressure to behave itself.
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> >
>
> Oh? Would you believe Ohio applied for authorization to jam CB?

I'd be real interested to hear the particulars of THAT situation. I don't believe that there are ANY provisions, in FCC rules, that would allow legal jamming on any frequency band used by the citizens of this country (including ham and CB).

I'd also like to hear Ohio's justification for it.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2685. Re: New State-of-the-art CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 12:04:48 UTC
From: AB8MQ <kc8jbo@alt.net>
Message-ID: <ai608g$10s$6@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 131714
Cross-posted to:
alt.pedophile.john-kimball,rec.radio.amateur.misc,alt.fuckhead.stagger.lee,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.cb

"Fuckup Shemale Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
news:ai5nbo$dd2$0@pita.alt.net...
> On Tue, 30 Jul 2002 03:54:19 GMT, Frank Todd <k3eko@netscape.net> wrote:
> : Fuck You
> : Fuck You
> : Fuck You
> [drivelsnip]
>
> Hey Woger!

Hey, Dumbass! You ever figure out that Marshall County, Alabama is not the same as
Marshall County, West Virginia yet?
Or do you still have your head up your ass?

> Why not teach him your favorite words "child molester" next?

Aww, did I strike a nerve, kiddie-diddler Fuckup Shemale Lee? Looks like you
answered the "head up your ass" question above.
But you altready proved that with the Marshall County ARES FUCKUP.
--
"FUCKUP Lee" <SLee@houston-hunks.com> geography idiot and imbecile fucks up
as usual when he thinks Marshall County, Alabama
and Marshall County, West Virginia are the same place in message
<afvsc2$6aa$1@pita.alt.net>:
" Then what is at http://www.geocities.com/skywarncanwarn/ doodle brain?
It sure looks like the Marshall County ARES, and it even has a place to
send email."

2686. Re: New State-of-the-art CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 12:42:56 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020730084256.02063.00000234@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 131715

somebody should go on your local repeater and reread this post to you 1,000
times.

>
>Fuck You
>Fuck You
>Fuck You
>Fuck You
>Fuck You
>Fuck You
>Fuck You
>Fuck You
>Fuck You
>Fuck You
>Fuck You
>Fuck You
>Fuck You
>Fuck You
>Fuck You
>Fuck You
>Fuck You
>Fuck You
>Fuck You
>Fuck You
>"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
>news:3D452942.694D4E@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>> Troll!
>>
>> Frank Todd wrote:
>> >
>> > Name: CBradio.jpe
>> > CBradio.jpe Type: JPEG Image (image/jpeg)
>> > Encoding: x-uuencode
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

2687. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 14:00:31 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10629-3D469BFF-153@storefull-2258.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 131716

If you are going to get serious abt. service work,I suggest a communications service monitor.All the "goodies" in one instrument.Not cheap new but reasonable used.In the past I have used Singer and IFR brands,I'm sure there are more brands out there.My $.02...Mark

2688. Re: New State-of-the-art CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 15:38:15 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D469474.1D3468D4@mailcity.net>
Source record: 131717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

```
Scott Sixnine wrote:
>
> Although it was a troll post, if YOU took the time to make
> this picture, it's pretty good. It's a prime example of why
> I don't use AM on my bone stock PC-122.
>
> If YOU didn't make this picture and you stole it from somebody,
> reread the below phrases until it is perfectly clear in your mind.
>
> Frank Todd wrote:
> >
> > Fuck You
> > Fuck You
> > Fuck You
> > Fuck You
> > Fuck You
> > Fuck You
> > Fuck You
> > Fuck You
> > Fuck You
> > Fuck You
> > Fuck You
> > Fuck You
> > Fuck You
> > Fuck You
> > Fuck You
> > Fuck You
> > Fuck You
> > Fuck You
> > Fuck You
> > Fuck You
> > Fuck You
> > Fuck You

I thought it was a pretty good picture, just the type of radio
that fits the typical cber.
```

2689. Re: 28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 16:01:19 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ukde16aa946d3a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131718

Aw Scott, this is too much fun to miss on my daze off! Gotta bite at some
of 'em!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
-ps on my 4 days off ... fireman's schedule (not a fireman, however).

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D460BD0.A2722562@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> sean ingram wrote:
> >
> > Stay Off The 10 meter Amateur Radio Band!
> > That is CW.....morse code or RTTY or other non-voice
communications--NOT
> > ROGER BEEPS!!
> >
> "Kenneth", you got a bite! Reel 'em on in...

2690. FS: Uniden Madison

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 17:36:26 UTC
From: slo100bw1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730133626.27017.00000491@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 131719

Rig is excellent operating physical condition. Has slight rubbing on the face around the channel selector. This is the digital clock version. Comes with original box, paperwork and hand mike. Asking $150 + shipping.

2691. FS: Browning MK III

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 17:38:14 UTC
From: slo100bw1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730133814.27017.00000492@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 131720

Browning Mk III in 9 out of 10 condition. Operates great. Comes with Siltronix #80 Vfo, stock Astatic D104, and original manual. Asking $300 + shipping or BO.

2692. Fs: Tram D201

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 17:39:12 UTC
From: slo100bw1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730133912.27017.00000493@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 131721

Rig in excellent operating a physical condition. Comes with stock handmike. Has been modded. Asking $275 + shipping.

2693. Re: CB endorsed by PennDOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 18:04:27 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <LyA19.14877$Kl6.913581@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131722

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D468067.358@worldlynx.net...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D453407.5CB0@worldlynx.net...
> > > Kenneth wrote:
> > > >
> > > > WHat does this mean? Lately PennDOT has been setting up
transmitters
> > with an
> > > > automatic message usually with travel advisories. Basically along
the
> > lines
> > > > of "constuction at exits 55 to 57 along rt 283 slow down, slow
down
> > NOW".
> > > > This is always on ch19 and seems to be replacing the old system of
> > > > advisories on 530am or 1640am.
> > > > While not an ACTUAL endorsement it is recognition by a state
> > government
> > > > that CB ch19 is the de-facto medium for travel and IMHO
constitutes an
> > > > official recognition by spending money for auto-transmitters. CB
is
> > hear to
> > > > stay.
> > > > Also I'd bet dollars to donuts there not 4 watt units. These
> > advisories
> > > > blanket a large area and step on everybody.
> > > > What does this mean for cb? These warnings are not on FMS or
MURS or
> > any
> > > > Ham bands. CB is hear to stay.
> > >
> > >
> > > Hmmm..... I live in Pa., and I have yet to hear anything like this.
I
> > > still hear the Turnpike advisories on 1640 Khz, when I drive near
one of
> > > the interchanges.
> > >
> > > I see a fundamental legality issue with this on CB. CB is not
authorized

> > > to transmit one-way broadcasts, which these "advisories" surely are.
> > > While many citizens have no problem breaking the rules, a
governmental
> > > agency would be under far greater pressure to behave itself.
> > >
> > > Dave
> > > "Sandbagger"
> > >
> >
> > Oh? Would you believe Ohio applied for authorization to jam CB?
>
> I'd be real interested to hear the particulars of THAT situation. I
> don't believe that there are ANY provisions, in FCC rules, that would
> allow legal jamming on any frequency band used by the citizens of this
> country (including ham and CB).
>
> I'd also like to hear Ohio's justification for it.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>

The justification was smokey reports and the FCC said 'Droppen Sie Dead'. Ohio earns its rep as the nation's most chicken hiway patrol on a regular basis. Wagner Brake in St. Louis once applied to run all kinds of footwarmers etc because their anti-lock brakes were very rf prone at the time and truckers with amps made them do everything short of the half-fast waltz. You might be surprised at the requests Fat Cousin Charlie gets.

2694. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 19:07:39 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns925B7B7DFE0E5subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 131724

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in
news:3d46526f.349982@news.cet.com:

> Actually, a scope really won't help you much. Assuming you already
> have a variable power supply and a reasonably good digital multimeter
> (or VTVM), the first things you need are an accurate RF signal
> generator, a demod probe and a freq counter. For RF work you need a
> dummy load, a field strength meter and a grid-dip oscillator. I have
> found that a capacitor tester and an impedance bridge are almost
> indispensable. An audio signal generator would be next on the list,
> and an audio distortion analyzer would be nice, too. None of this
> equipment needs to be high-end, and even the old tube-type stuff will
> work just fine (as it has done for several decades). And THEN get an
> O-scope to finish off the shop. Of course a spectrum analyzer would be
> REALLY nice, but they are quite expensive. Because of the price, some
> people have built their own as an accessory for their O-scope. I can
> provide a link or two if you are interested.
>

Thank you VERY much. That was an EXTREMELY helpful reply. It's nice to be able to encounter somebody who has actually done serious RF work. I come across more than enough chop-shop, screwdriver turning, "tweak and peak" techs in my activities.

I'm with you so far on the equipment needed, and I've been researching this alot. Except I have two questions - What is a demod probe, and what is a grid-dip oscillator?

And on the RF signal generator, you mentioned accuracy. That's a desirable quality =) How could I verify accuracy? Checking the frequency and voltage is easy, but what if I need to KNOW that a a signal is modulated at say, 30%?

Almost done: Any recommendations on accurately measureing transmitter RMS power and PEP? I know that there are alot of wattmeters available, but somehow I can't help but believe that a $30 truck-stop unit is subpar - I could be wrong. I've heard that the old Bird Thruline wattmeters are great. A similar question: Can modulation percentage accurately be measured by a meter? I've always seen examples of over and under modulation in textbooks represented on a scope. I somehow assumed that a scope was the only accurate way to measure modulation. If I was wrong, and a meter can do it, wonderful. I'm also curious as to how accurate the readily available and cheap meters of this type are.

You have been a fantastic help! Thank you.

2695. Re: Please someone advice on CB radio for newbie. I narrowed it down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 19:09:20 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <AvB19.16468$nm.700621@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131723

"dr_sinister" <dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> Aryan Aaron?Funny,I always pictured him as a communist millitant
homosexual.:>

No landshark is the homosexual of this NG.

2696. Re: Fs: Tram D201

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 19:28:22 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20020730152822.01243.00000203@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 131725

Tram D-201's NEVER came with a "stock" hand mic.
Train

>Subject: Fs: Tram D201
>From: slo100bw1@aol.com (Slo100bw1)
>Date: 7/30/02 1:39 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20020730133912.27017.00000493@mb-fi.aol.com>
>
>Rig in excellent operating a physical condition. Comes with stock handmike.
>Has
>been modded. Asking $275 + shipping.
>
>
>
>
>
>

2697. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.-Public Warning to you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 20:12:36 UTC
From: lightlady <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ai6s5i$11sgr5$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 131726

well, it seems the unknown idjit wants to play hardball...think you can handle the stress?? you thought you were just dealing with mad-dog, LOL, well, now you've p*ssed me off enough to post here, and give you one public warning ..

stay out of my email, or you will suffer the consequences (ooops, that may be toooo Big of a word for you, so to put it simply, you will be in trouble, and the remail service will be implicated in your continued harassment in my email, and a court order can make them start tracking all remailed items to stop this harassment, or at the very least, they will withdraw service to the general public, because of the legal ramifications and legal costs that can and will be incurred)

and a note about remailers, you are doing a dis-service to them by using a tool that was intended to protect the innocent from harrassment, not hide the guilty and beligerent sycophants.

so come on Boy, can you fight like a man?? or do you have to hide behind that sleeper curtain forever...dang Napoleon syndrome, aka short man complex...what are you 4'9" or maybe 5' tall??

oh well, Karma's gonna get ya, anyway..

--
lynn

"Time is Fun, when you're having Flies"...Kermit the Frog

opinions mine based on my own experience & research

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:ai4kp2$11iif0$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de...
> You are a coward, i hope you enjoy your remailing service while it lasts
> coward.
> I choose not to post the the contents of your cowardly e-mail for moral
> reasons.
> You are a lowlife just like the CB rambos that hide behind the sleeper
> curtain
> with their 9ft. mic. cord.
> I feel remorse for your parents knowing what a gutless coward u are.
> BTW you don't own jack.....................
> --
> 714 Sandpile,
> The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
>
>

2698. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 20:28:57 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d46f691.949818@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131727

In <10629-3D469BFF-153@storefull-2258.public.lawson.webtv.net>,
SHITPANTS@webtv.net (Mark Roehlke) wrote:

>If you are going to get serious abt. service work,I suggest a
>communications service monitor.All the "goodies" in one instrument.Not
>cheap new but reasonable used.In the past I have used Singer and IFR
>brands,I'm sure there are more brands out there.My $.02...Mark

My IFR put me out $14K, and that was ten or twelve years ago. I agree,
it's not exactly what you want to shell out for a start-up shop.

2699. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 20:43:47 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207301243.54e50473@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131728

SHITPANTS@webtv.net (Mark Roehlke) wrote in message
news:<10629-3D469BFF-153@storefull-2258.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> If you are going to get serious abt. service work,I suggest a
> communications service monitor.All the "goodies" in one instrument.Not
> cheap new but reasonable used.In the past I have used Singer and IFR
> brands,I'm sure there are more brands out there.My $.02...Mark

Wow, a pretty serious investment, Mark. Illegal CB mods and illegal
CB amps must be keeping you busy. I sure hope the business doesn't
come to an end abruptly due to FCC intervention. I hear they
confiscate gear.

-A

2700. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 20:49:01 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207301249.7b1f2181@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131729

SHITPANTS@webtv.net (Mark Roehlke) wrote in message
news:<10629-3D469BFF-153@storefull-2258.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> If you are going to get serious abt. service work,I suggest a
> communications service monitor.All the "goodies" in one instrument.Not
> cheap new but reasonable used.In the past I have used Singer and IFR
> brands,I'm sure there are more brands out there.My $.02...Mark

Hm, wait a minute. How does he go from ignorant to an expert in 3
years?
-A

---
rec.radio.cb - 17 May 1999 by Mark Roehlke
Re: Henry 2K question
Hey Toll, do I need an o-scope to adjust for linearity and minimum
splatter? tnx again Mark

2701. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 21:14:01 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d470047.3435174@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131730

In <Xns925B7B7DFE0E5subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>, "Alan S."
<subnet@hotmail.com> wrote:

>yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in
>news:3d46526f.349982@news.cet.com:
>
>> Actually, a scope really won't help you much. Assuming you already
>> have a variable power supply and a reasonably good digital multimeter
>> (or VTVM), the first things you need are an accurate RF signal
>> generator, a demod probe and a freq counter. For RF work you need a
>> dummy load, a field strength meter and a grid-dip oscillator. I have
>> found that a capacitor tester and an impedance bridge are almost
>> indispensable. An audio signal generator would be next on the list,
>> and an audio distortion analyzer would be nice, too. None of this
>> equipment needs to be high-end, and even the old tube-type stuff will
>> work just fine (as it has done for several decades). And THEN get an
>> O-scope to finish off the shop. Of course a spectrum analyzer would be
>> REALLY nice, but they are quite expensive. Because of the price, some
>> people have built their own as an accessory for their O-scope. I can
>> provide a link or two if you are interested.
>>
>
>Thank you VERY much. That was an EXTREMELY helpful reply. It's nice to be
>able to encounter somebody who has actually done serious RF work. I come
>across more than enough chop-shop, screwdriver turning, "tweak and peak"
>techs in my activities.
>
>I'm with you so far on the equipment needed, and I've been researching
>this alot. Except I have two questions - What is a demod probe, and what
>is a grid-dip oscillator?

A 'demod', or 'demodulation' probe is basically a probe with a diode
and cap built into the probe. It will give you peak RF voltage, and
will swing with any modulation. You can even hook it up to an amp and
hear the audio.

A grid-dip oscillator (or gate-dip oscillator, depending on how it's
built) is just a high-impedance variable-frequency oscillator with a
meter that will 'dip' whenever the coil is held near a circuit that is
resonant at the same frequency of the oscillator. It's useful for
tuning antennas and tanks, as a signal injector, and a whole lot of
other stuff. A GDO is something you really need to learn to use from
someone else and not just from a book.

>And on the RF signal generator, you mentioned accuracy. That's a
>desirable quality =) How could I verify accuracy? Checking the frequency
>and voltage is easy, but what if I need to KNOW that a a signal is
>modulated at say, 30%?

You can check freq with the freq counter and measure the output with
your demod probe. Stability is most important because you don't want
to retune it every five minutes. Most sig-gens (even the cheap ones)
will have an internal modulator (AM) of 400 and/or 1000 Hz, plus an
external modulation input so you can hook up an audio sig-gen. A
better sig-gen will have a modulation and output level meter, plus AM
and FM modes.

BTW, use 400 Hz whenever possible, because 1000 Hz on a regular basis
will leave your ears ringing for months. That, and if the volume is
high when your signal kicks in it will hurt!

>Almost done: Any recommendations on accurately measureing transmitter RMS
>power and PEP? I know that there are alot of wattmeters available, but
>somehow I can't help but believe that a $30 truck-stop unit is subpar - I
>could be wrong. I've heard that the old Bird Thruline wattmeters are
>great.

Hype. If you want to spend the money, get a Bird. If you want to get
close enough for government work then just get something that works.
You can always verify by measuring your RF voltage, and if you are
hooked up to a 50 ohm dummy load with 1:1 SWR (as most dummy loads
will show) then you know your current, and therefore your power.

And don't get hung up on power, it's not as important as many people
seem to think. What is going to be important is the audio frequency
response. If your IF cans are too sharply tuned you will get a very
poor audio response, and it will sound either very muddy or very
bright. You also need to make sure the audio doesn't exceed the 3 kHz
limit or it will start bleeding on other channels. A nice, flat audio
response with a good mic is much better than tweaking the radio for a
couple extra watts, simply because the audio is much more intelligible
than voice run through a 500 kHz 6th-order bandpass filter.

Just to demonstrate, if you have an equalizer on your stereo, tune to
an AM talk station and drop all sliders above 3 KHz and level the
rest. Sounds ok. Now spike the response for any freq below 3 KHz.
Sounds like crap. That's what's important. You can check audio
response with an audio sig-gen: change the frequencies while keeping
the output level the same, then measure modulation percentage on the
output. If the response is flat, the modulation level should stay
relatively steady.

> A similar question: Can modulation percentage accurately be
>measured by a meter? I've always seen examples of over and under
>modulation in textbooks represented on a scope. I somehow assumed that a
>scope was the only accurate way to measure modulation. If I was wrong,
>and a meter can do it, wonderful. I'm also curious as to how accurate the

>readily available and cheap meters of this type are.

Accurate enough as long as your modulation input level can be held steady (another use for an audio sig-gen). But I would suggest you spend a few extra bucks and get a power/modulation meter with a peak-and-hold function. Be warned that this is not the same as a 'peak-reading' meter -- a 'peak-and-hold' meter will keep the needle at the highest point until it's reset.

I highly suggest that you take Dick's advice and pick up a couple old radio/TV repair books from the 50's or 60's. The newer books just don't seem to have much info on test setups, or they are way too simplistic to be useful. Coyne made a set of very good books and I highly recommend them if you can find them.

2702. Re: CB endorsed by PennDOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 21:18:31 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4702AB.B66D1CCA@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131731

Richard McCollum wrote:

> Wagner Brake in St. Louis once applied to run all kinds of
> footwarmers etc because their anti-lock brakes were very rf prone at the
> time and truckers with amps made them do everything short of the half-fast
> waltz. You might be surprised at the requests Fat Cousin Charlie gets.

These would be for EMC testing purposes only. <:

One must be sure their products operate correctly in the user's environment.

2703. Re: Interference from Channel 6 and 15 in SoBo_Virginia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 21:25:30 UTC
From: Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <uke13rtmbb10e3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131732

Did you even try to knock on the door and explain the interference in a polite manner? Or are you one of people that wanted to be a cop but couldnt hack it. So you decide that stalking them would be better than intelligently informing them of the problem. If you are to chicken shit to knock on the door than maybe a nice polite letter. You obviously know the address.

I hope you get your woody out of all of this.

"240_ROBERT" <s_ingram@directway.com> wrote in message news:3_T09.8074$u7.631121@news.direcpc.com...
> Been wondering where that high powered activity been comin' from?
> It is on Channel 6 in SoBo/Halifax County, Virginia
>
> I know this to be true. My relatives notify me by phone and e-mail whenever
> they see those lines on their TV and hearing the audio coming over their
> telephones -even with the RF filters that installed the first time that that
> mess happened.
>
> I have conducted RDF Ops. on these individuals and have sent their locations
> in Lat/Lon to FCC officials.
>
> Yes, I have driven by their houses late at night and in broad daylight (You
> Guess Which Nights/Days) using RDF equiment for RD emissions in the 27 MHz
> Band as well as voice recorders.
>
> So, the individuals who get this message either directly or "by any means
> necessary" can keep running their amplifiers all they want. Someone may come
> knocking on your door, and, or serving a search warrant and confiscate your
> "precious equipment" (and or maybe cutting your coax at nighttime...You
> Guess What Nights!)
>
> This mess WILL come to an end.
>
> You are not only causing interference to others but to government and
> civilian frequencies as well as hospital equipment such as PCA pumps and
> EKGs and Heart Monitors.
>
> So Keep Talking Your Mess!

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2704. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 21:50:29 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <FSD19.402808$lm2.20634072@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131733

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns925AED8669C3Asubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
>
> What kinds of features should I look for when purchasing an oscilloscope
> suitable for CB repair and (someday) transceiver alignment?

I read the other posts in this thread about scope recommendations. First I would say that once you get started that you will find that you will be using your equipment for more that just servicing CB radios. I would say get the best scope you can afford. What the others have not made much mention of is that a scope can make many of the measurements that a dedicated instrument can do, and about as well too.

For example a scope connected across a known 50 ohm load, and measuring the voltage one can simply compute the RMS power output, thus saving the cost of a wattmeter. A simple two tone generator circuit connected to the input of a SSB rig, with the above setup, you can calculate PEP power as well.

For another example some of the newer digital scopes have a built-in FFT function. This lets you use the scope as a substitute for an expensive spectrum analyzer.

Also simple dedicated meter type instruments can't show you when the biasing is set correctly for a power stage to eliminate cross over distortion in a class "B" push-pull audio circuit, or when the output RF stage in a SSB rig is operating class "C" due to a malfunctioning bias circuit.

You will also need a scope to catch intermittent instabilities in the PLL reference oscillator, logic glitches in frequency divider chains etc.

While a good dedicated meter type instrument maybe more handy to use, but if you don't have it on hand then it maybe possable to use a scope to do the job with a little bit of thinking.

In all of the electronic flea markets I have gone too, the first things that seem to sell are the good quality high frequency scopes, if they are priced reasonably. I have seen a lot of the 50 MHz to 100 MHz analog only scopes sell quick for around $400 to $600.

A good scope for the money right now seems to be the Tektronix model TDS-1012. This is a fully digital storage scope, duel trace, with built-in measurement functions like RMS, Pk to Pk, FFT etc. All for $1295 for the 100MHz scope, and $995 for the 60 MHz model TDS-1002.

http://www.tek.com/Measurement/cgi-bin/framed.pl?Document=/Measurement/scopes/home.html&FrameSet=oscilloscopes

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"It is difficult to make a man miserable while
he feels worthy of himself and claims
kindred to the great God who made
him."

Abraham Lincoln

2705. cb mailing lists / reflectors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 22:15:04 UTC
From: RONBO-1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22390-3D470FE8-198@storefull-2193.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 131735

Is anyone aware of any mailing lists / reflectors for cb related subjects? I am looking for such things as cb,11 meter or ssb radio.Thanks in advance.Ron

2706. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 22:39:33 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ai74p3$11tbq2$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 131734

Hey Zeek,
I am responding to a individual who used a remailing service to send me
a very disturbing and profane e-mail.
I can not repost what the contents of the letter contained but i can tell
you
it was very rude and un-called for.
It is a response resulting from my post urging people to stop replying to
Aaron Voobner.
The xganon remailing service was created for crime victims and others who
have a legally valid reason to remain anonymous, however the said individual
has chosen this service to harass people.
The mistake he made was invading my e-mail with his filth.
Alas both he and the remailing service will soon face legal ramifications
of great proportion and i will show no mercy.
What floors me is this person claims to be a anti-keyclown, isn't he the pot
calling the kettle black.

--
714 Sandpile,
The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
<zeek198@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3D45F9C4.C504B3DE@hotmail.com...
> Wow Mad Dog who u mad at ??????
>
> zeek
>
>
> Mad Dog wrote:
>
> > You are a coward, i hope you enjoy your remailing service while it lasts
> > coward.
> > I choose not to post the the contents of your cowardly e-mail for moral
> > reasons.
> > You are a lowlife just like the CB rambos that hide behind the sleeper
> > curtain
> > with their 9ft. mic. cord.
> > I feel remorse for your parents knowing what a gutless coward u are.
> > BTW you don't own jack.....................
> > --
> > 714 Sandpile,
> > The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
>

2707. Don't swim in my toilet....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 22:46:11 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ai755h$11f7gn$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 131736

And i won't pee in your pool, again i urge all respectable persons who value this NG to claim what is yours and eliminate the rifraff.
Trust me when the BS is gone you wont miss it at all.
God Bless and Goodbye.....

--
KG4LBD
714 Sandpile,
The Mad Dog wavin' good bye

2708. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 22:56:17 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207301456.580a9b1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131737

Mad Dog wrote:
>It is a response resulting from my post urging people
>to stop replying to Aaron Voobner.

Mad Dog. Don't even TRY and connect me with your anonymous fans. It isn't my fault people get upset at your posts. That's Usenet. Heck, I have an entire COLLECTION of threats, profane emails, harassing letters, etc. All because I post my opinions about illegal CB. The difference is, I don't come into the NG and cry about it. You're such a big baby.

-Aaron-

2709. Aaron Xganon Goobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 22:57:52 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207301457.589e93f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131738

Damn Goobner,I knew you wanted my body,but did you have to get all freaky in me e-mail?I pity your silly ass when Mad Dog gets through with you,but if it makes you feel better you can send me all the E-mail threats you want.

2710. Re: CB Enters "Dark Ages"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 22:58:37 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207301458.7a73ae4f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131739

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0207281814.5266c736@posting.google.com>...
> ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote in message
news:<20020728173331.23920.00001265@mb-fh.aol.com>...
> > Nice troll asswipe. Hey Aaron, by your reasoning all cars with a >speedometer that
reads above the maximum legally posted
> >limit where they are operated should be confiscated.
>
> No, dopey, there isn't a law against selling 120 mph cars. But there
> IS a law against selling amps that operate within 11 meters and
> non-type accepted CB radios that cover 10 meters.

But there IS a law about driving them at that speed, isn't there? And
you never did tell us whether you speed. TROLL.

> >Asswipe, it's operating them above the speed limit (freq
> > for radios) that causes the violations.
>
> No, selling them is against the law. See above. Would you like me to
> post the FCC rules again? I really ought to, it's clear than none of
> you really read them.

No. It's USING them illegally that's against the law. TROLL.

> >But noone, and I mean Noone i know of, ever wanted to operate
> >one of these export/hybrid radios above 28 MHZ without a
> > license.
>
> Who are those "no ones" I hear on 10 meters with ther roger beeps
> saying they're southbound in the hammer lane?

Let's say: "Noone with intelligence..." I never did, MOST people
don't. You may be the exception, Aaryan Aaron. TROLL.

> > Again you prove what a shortsighted, arrogant, self-gratifying
> >IDIOT you are! PS My car will do at least 120 MPH. Come try and
> >take my keys, but be sure to have your prepaid funeral arrangements
> >in place!
>
> I don't want your car or your keys. But there are a lot of people in
> this NG that if they see you aren't using something, feel it's OK to
> take it. How do you feel about that?
>
> -A

If it doesn't hurt anyone (like speeding can) then who cares? RADIO HAS NEVER KILLED ANYONE. Bad driving does. Since you are NOT a licensed amateur, what difference does it make to YOU? TROLL.

2711. Re: CB Enters "Dark Ages"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 23:02:21 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207301502.2dbd3996@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131740

allsteel77@aol.com (ALLSTEEL77) wrote in message
news:<20020729185628.08566.00000081@mb-fx.aol.com>...
> And alot of licensed operators in my area 27.6050 and above.

How far above? The CB band ends at 27.405.

And ALL CB operators are "unlicensed". Your point?

Which make another point for me. The FCC "claims" that they cannot
permot unlicensed operations below 30 MHz "because of ITU
regulations". But CB is NOT licensed, it is below 30 MHz, and there is
no such thing as a "blanket license". Hmmmmm! Who's in violation of
what??

2712. Re: CB Enters "Dark Ages"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 23:04:58 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207301504.24dd8e8c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131741

wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) wrote in message
news:<b7d1465c.0207290753.171d9826@posting.google.com>...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0207281223.658d7081@posting.google.com>...
> > I am noticing a dramatic increase in CB's being offered for sale that
> > include coverage above 28 mHz. It's both sad and disturbing that a
> > once fine service has apparently been abandoned by law abiding
> > operators and has embarked on a new, completely lawless era.
>
> Aaron, is this news to you?! My first export rig, the Galaxy 2100,
> went to 28.3150 MHz...and that was back in 1986!

One of my first, too. GOOD radio!

Stop placing the
> blame on the radios and put it where it belongs, the operator.

Precisely. But he'll never admit that.

Having
> said that, I will agree that the drop in prices along with the
> availability of AM/FM only rigs to non-hobbyists (read Truckers) has
> certainly not helped the situation. In the mid-80s, everybody knew 28
> MHz was strictly verboten. So yes, I AM agreeing with you (sorta)...it
> IS kinda sad and disturbing.
>
> > PS: unlicensed "CB" operation above 28 mHz is illegal - in the UK and
> > anywhere else in the world.

> P.S. Unlicensed operation above 28 MHz is not "CB." :-)

Actually, "unlicensed operation" above 27.405 is not CB. Between there
and 28.0 MHz is "freeband".

> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> HC2?? (My paperwork is currently being processed. Greetings from HC
> land or div. 61 for all you 11-meter folks.) BTW, you can get all
> yhese rigs at Billï¿½s 2Way. Tell emï¿½ Doug from Popular Wireless
> Magazine sent ya. (Sorry, couldnï¿½t resist. <ggg>)

2713. Re: Fs: Tram D201

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 23:07:16 UTC
From: slo100bw1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730190716.26954.00000722@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 131742

I am aware of that. But throwing one in out of good faith.

2714. NICE BIG PLATE TRANSFORMER AND CAPS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 23:14:27 UTC
From: NI4L <ni4l@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3D471DD3.8000705@charter.net>
Source record: 131743

This is a nice big plate transformer 14" tall x 11 3/4" wide x 7 1/2"
deep
120/220 primaries 3000v @ 3 amps
this baby is pretty heavy i'd say about 100 lbs or close to it.
I don't really think it would be cost effective to ship. But if you
want i can check. I heard they are still shipping thru the bus lines
which if you live close to a bus station it would be pretty cheap probably.
Asking 400.00
Make me an offer, Looking for some Vaccum Variables 1000-1200 at least 5kv

I have several oil caps for sale all in great shape. Have the mounting
holders for some of them.
Need to sell or trade for some Screw on types.
here is a list of the ones i have.
1-25mf @3000vdc
1-35mf @ 2500 vdc
1-10mf@1500vdc
1- 2mf@5000vdc
4-1mf@2000vdc
2-1mf@3600vdc
2-1mf@600vdc
what i need is 16 of the screw on types min req. 300mf @ 450vdc
anybody that can help it would be greatly appreciated.
chris NI4L

--
NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
Made with the same components used by our military forces today
Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/

2715. Re: STOP feeding Aaron the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 23:17:32 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <g8F19.35943$AX2.122118431@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131744

"Tom" <nospam@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:gamaku0hpqikhimthjtt87t126efecrdm8@4ax.com...
> On 28 Jul 2002 23:40:30 -0700, ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
> while trolling wrote:
>
>
> >Killfile Voobner? Ain't gonna happen. Deal with it.
>
>
> "plonk"

Like a couple of others, he's changing his screen nic
some three to four times in the past month or so.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

2716. Re: Radios& amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 23:17:33 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <h8F19.35944$AX2.122118431@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131745

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:fvF09.6569$pg2.552690@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D431314.46EA13BA@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > I like the way ric did ONE post and not TEN separate posts.
> >
> > Good luck and f*ck Aaron and Yeti. (figuratively not literally)
> >
>
> I would agree about the posting but the swing kits make them worth scrap
> value only.
>
>
>

I'll agree with Richard, now that everything inside was
probably clipped, makes the units virtually useless.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

2717. Re: FCC question?Landshark proves them wrong again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 23:17:33 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <h8F19.35945$AX2.122118431@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131746

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:ahtn3p$989$10@news5.svr.pol.co.uk...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:gxo_8.2913$ab5.159051995@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > state the specific code where it is
> > against the law to use a cb to inform others
> > that a cop is using radar, otherwise, everything else is
> > just what you are posting (wasted bandwidth)
> ==
>
> Hi Mark.
>
> I missed the original, as I have Georgina blocked.
> In the UK, not only do CBers warn each other, but some drivers will flash
> their lights to oncoming traffic to warn that there is a speed trap ahead.
> With mobile telephones also being used by so many drivers, it is not long
> before everyone is aware of the trap.
> The police were once asked what their position is on this and they said
that
> they were pleased. Their aim is to slow down traffic to within the limit
> and that is what was happening, for several hours after they move on to
> another area.
>
> They are now getting more of the cameras fitted as that frees up officers
> for other work. Everyone knows where the cameras are, but they still
catch
> some people speeding. The money obtained from fines will be used to pay
for
> more cameras.
>
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Peter.
>
>
>

That was the point, but Kevin stated that it was against
State & Federal law to inform others of a speed trap. Then
George came in with a paint brush so wide, you could paint
an entire road with one swipe (obstruction of justice).

I asked for the state & federal statute, to where it was illegal to inform others via a cb was illegal, all the come back with interfering with an officer. Which the same could be said by just waving at people when you drive by, LMAO!!!!

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

2718. Re: Best base cb/10m radio on market right now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 23:17:33 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <h8F19.35946$AX2.122118431@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131747

> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:

> >
> "Aaron is an asshole" -Steve.
>
> --
> Go # 40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service
>

Hey Mopar, notice Aaron has another account, that
make's three in less than a month.....LOL!!

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

2719. X FORCE HD60012 and Astatic700 Power Scanner For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 23:29:32 UTC
From: gcarojr@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <fd1931a1.0207301529.5c7d63ef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131748

Ihave an X Force HD60012 and Astatic 700 Power meter for sale. Both of these units are in 100% mint condition. If interested follow the link below. Thanks for your interest.

http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/68515397?aucview=0x70

2720. Re: 28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-30 23:36:50 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uke8oepe9673d3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131749

He wasn't a trucker anymore then I can be a Ham with no liscense.
He was a 4 wheeler behind the wheel of a truck.

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ukbqcbc5i47r14@corp.supernews.com...
> Yep, and a 19 year old (well, he's 28 years old now) kid that lived next
> door would sure like to thank you truckers. He got hit by a truck (he was
> on a motorcycle). Seems the trucker didn't have a license (gee, just your
> mentality). This is a true story. Thanks to a trucker, the kid lives in
a
> new house in a suburb with a new vehicle and a BIG bank account. I don't
> know what happened to the trucker or the company, however.
>
> Thanks!
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
> (ps, he sure is a lot better now than when I saw him in the hospital.
Money
> sure helps too!)
>
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:ukbin346saok4a@corp.supernews.com...
> > I have notice that 28.085 is becoming crowded. Usually it is just my
> fellow
> > truckers jawin and I can usually find a clear channel on the f band (6
> band
> > radio). The most annoying are these idiots playing with there roger
beeps
> > above 28mhz! Whats that about? they don't even talk just beep bepbepbep
> beep
> > its annoying. Also I think its at 28.200mhz someone had been dead keying
> his
> > radio for about a year straight! I guess I'l have to upgrade to either
an
> 8
> > band radio eventually they way our great hobby has been expanding. To
all
> > you Hams I'd like to thank you for being gracious enough to leave this
> slice
> > fallow for us cber's:)
> > Sincerly
> > Ken
> >

> >
>
>

2721. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 00:00:41 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ukea56gnqo5hb0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131750

The lowlife sent me one and I sent it to the abuse address on the proberties
tab. The guy messed up big time.
Sincerely,
Ken
"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:ai4kp2$11iif0$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de...
> You are a coward, i hope you enjoy your remailing service while it lasts
> coward.
> I choose not to post the the contents of your cowardly e-mail for moral
> reasons.
> You are a lowlife just like the CB rambos that hide behind the sleeper
> curtain
> with their 9ft. mic. cord.
> I feel remorse for your parents knowing what a gutless coward u are.
> BTW you don't own jack.....................
> --
> 714 Sandpile,
> The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
>
>

2722. Re: 28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 00:04:57 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ukead5n6jg9g04@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131751

Man that was funny.
What did surprise me was that no-one mentioned what the tone was at 28.200
was.
Heck he swollowed the hook! Now what do I do?
Ken

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ukde16aa946d3a@corp.supernews.com...
> Aw Scott, this is too much fun to miss on my daze off! Gotta bite at some
> of 'em!
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
> -ps on my 4 days off ... fireman's schedule (not a fireman, however).
>
>
> "Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D460BD0.A2722562@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> >
> >
> > sean ingram wrote:
> > >
> > > Stay Off The 10 meter Amateur Radio Band!
> > > That is CW.....morse code or RTTY or other non-voice
> communications--NOT
> > > ROGER BEEPS!!
> > >
> > "Kenneth", you got a bite! Reel 'em on in...
>
>

2723. Re: 28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 00:08:21 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ukeajhesee6j85@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131752

The trucking company is toast.
The states got tired of these companies hiring any warm body and saying " we didn't know when someone gets killed" Finally The states start putting the owners in jail where they belong.
Oh and if you kill someone when talking on a cb or mobile ham rig guess what?
You may not go to jail but you deserve the poltergiest haunting your butt.
Ken
"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message news:ukbqcbc5i47r14@corp.supernews.com...
> Yep, and a 19 year old (well, he's 28 years old now) kid that lived next
> door would sure like to thank you truckers. He got hit by a truck (he was
> on a motorcycle). Seems the trucker didn't have a license (gee, just your
> mentality). This is a true story. Thanks to a trucker, the kid lives in a
> new house in a suburb with a new vehicle and a BIG bank account. I don't
> know what happened to the trucker or the company, however.
>
> Thanks!
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
> (ps, he sure is a lot better now than when I saw him in the hospital. Money
> sure helps too!)
>
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:ukbin346saok4a@corp.supernews.com...
> > I have notice that 28.085 is becoming crowded. Usually it is just my
> fellow
> > truckers jawin and I can usually find a clear channel on the f band (6
> band
> > radio). The most annoying are these idiots playing with there roger beeps
> > above 28mhz! Whats that about? they don't even talk just beep bepbepbep
> beep
> > its annoying. Also I think its at 28.200mhz someone had been dead keying
> his
> > radio for about a year straight! I guess I'l have to upgrade to either an
> 8
> > band radio eventually they way our great hobby has been expanding. To all
> > you Hams I'd like to thank you for being gracious enough to leave this

> slice
> > fallow for us cber's:)
> > Sincerly
> > Ken
> >
> >
>
>

2724. Re: STOP feeding Kenneth the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 00:15:29 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207301615.5bcfe6c9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131753

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrotee:
"Landshark" wrote:
>>Like a couple of others, he's changing his screen nic
>>some three to four times in the past month or so.
>>
>> Landshark
>
>Ironic that voob gets popped for breaking the rules.

Wrong. Never broke rules, never got "popped". Keep shoveling, Mope.

-A

"Anyone sitting on the fence is reading both sides of any argument,
and can tell the difference between keyclown BS and the truth. That's
why the
keyclowns must keep shoveling more and more BS to try and cover up the
truth as revealed by Voobner (and others)." --from a Sparky post.

2725. Re: F/S AC MOBILEEE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 00:19:12 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207301619.6d363eb8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131754

jvillafane@aol.com1iwilly (jose) wrote in message
news:<20020729151448.27672.00000042@mb-mw.aol.com>...
> HELLO TO ALL i have a 1986 chevy astro van with a 3cx3000a7
> turn key and go fully loaded ac p/s p/w cruise control p/dlock and alarm
> 1 165 ac alt 1 dc165 alt asking price $5500 enjoy 1iwilly sandpile
> jvillafane

FCC rule 2.815(b): After APR 27, 1978, no person shall manufacture,
sell or lease, offer for sale or lease (including advertising for sale
or lease), or import, ship, or distribute for the purpose of selling
or leasing or offering for sale or lease, any external radio frequency
amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any frequency or
frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz.

2726. Re: STOP feeding Aaron the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 00:21:15 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <%3G19.35957$7e3.123213965@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131755

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020730195949.726$4K@newsreader.com...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
> Landshark
> >
> <Snip>
>
> Hey Shark,only 5 lines to a sig file. ;)
>
> --
> Go # 40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

Take a hike, go mow a lawn, better yet
kick back on the porch with a cold one an relaxxxxxxxxxxx.
;^) See yaaaaa.....

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

2727. Re: X FORCE HD60012 and Astatic700 Power Scanner For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 00:21:15 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <%3G19.35956$7e3.123213965@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131756

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020730193317.563$Fh@newsreader.com...
> gcarojr@hotmail.com (Jersey G) wrote:
> >>Ihave an X Force HD60012 and Astatic 700 Power meter for sale. Both of
> > these units are in 100% mint condition. If interested follow the link
> > below. Thanks for your interest.
> >
> > http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/68515397?aucview=0x70
> >
> Is that a base x force,or a portabe? just curious.
>
> --
> Go # 40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

It's a base unit, priced a little high, but someone
will probably pay that.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

2728. Kenwood TS-570S (G) and 25A Power Supply

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 00:22:39 UTC
From: gcarojr@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <fd1931a1.0207301622.3cce2f5a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131757

This is the Kenwood TS-570S(G) All Mode Multiband Transceiver and 25A power supply. This radio is in 100% mint condition and has seen very little use. It has the MARS/CAP mod to include 100% full coverage including 11 meters. If interested please follow the link below. Thanks.

http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/68516365?aucview=user

2729. Re: CB Enters "Dark Ages"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 00:25:20 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207301625.4f1569d3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131758

Richard Cranium wrote:
> >No, selling them is against the law. See above. Would you like
>>me to post the FCC rules again?
>
>No. It's USING them illegally that's against the law.

Wrong. See below. This time, READ it.

>TROLL.

Posting accurate info is a "troll"? Since when?

Here's the straight dope from the FCC:

In a May 13 Public Notice, the FCC points out that it's a violation of FCC regulations to import or market a transmitter designed or intended to operate outside the amateur bands unless the equipment has been issued a grant of equipment authorization for the radio services it's capable of operating. The FCC says it wanted to clarify its rules on equipment that's "intended to operate in various radio services in the high frequency radio spectrum, including '10-meter' Amateur Radio Service (ARS) equipment."

-A

2730. Re: FCC question?Landshark proves them wrong again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 00:30:50 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020730203050.08543.00000484@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 131759

For laughs go to rec.radio.amateur.policy.and read all the BS Jerry Ox. and AAron are spreading about cber's .Pretty funny! What a bunch of loser's.No wonder so many jackboot oo's or want a be Riley's have been stopping by.Get a life !

2731. Re: FCC question?Landshark proves them wrong again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 00:41:44 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <cnG19.15391$Kl6.949171@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131760

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020730203050.08543.00000484@mb-fx.aol.com...
> For laughs go to rec.radio.amateur.policy.and read all the BS Jerry Ox.
and
> AAron are spreading about cber's .Pretty funny! What a bunch of
loser's.No
> wonder so many jackboot oo's or want a be Riley's have been stopping
by.Get a
> life !

If you do note how little reaction they arouse.

Dick N&#65533;BK

2732. Re: STOP feeding KENNETH the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 00:55:39 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207301655.372b6fa7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131761

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>Why do you re-arrange your addy then?

For reasons other than you ASSume.

Keep shovelin', Mope.

-A

2733. Re: X FORCE HD60012 and Astatic700 Power Scanner For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 01:19:42 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <OWG19.15443$Kl6.956151@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131762

"Jersey G" <gcarojr@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:fd1931a1.0207301529.5c7d63ef@posting.google.com...
> Ihave an X Force HD60012 and Astatic 700 Power meter for sale. Both of
> these units are in 100% mint condition. If interested follow the link
> below. Thanks for your interest.
>
> http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/68515397?aucview=0x70

Well, well. Claims 550 rms real water-boiling watts and 1500 peak which means if I pump in a 100% modulated Antique Modulation signal it will return 15/22 or 65% on a good day. My, my.

Improvement on the 400 watt model that would cut it to 50%; my, my.

Another wonder of CB Science?

Dick N�BK

2734. President Grant "Classic" For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 01:29:05 UTC
From: gcarojr@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <fd1931a1.0207301729.55011af5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131763

This is the President Grant "Classic" Non-US model AM/FM SSB Transceiver. This model has dual finals, covers standard 40 CB channels plus 40 above and 40 below via a band switch on the face of the radio. Functions in FM/AM/SSB. It also has roger beep and unlocked clarifier all from factory. This radio is in mint condition, not a scratch, and has all original paperwork and box. Shipping for this unit is $10 UPS Ground. Radio is guaranteed not to be DOA. If interested please follow the link below. Thanks.

http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/68517173?aucview=0x70

2735. Interesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 01:29:57 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207301729.6df81104@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131764

Look what someone sent me,not very friendly I say.

From: "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com
To: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com

ANTIKEYCLOWNS OWN REC.RADIO.CB AND YOU CANNOT DO SHIT ABOUT IT!!!

hey faggotass, you quit sucking nigger dick yet?

A yes or no answer will do.

YOU SUCK DICK AND EAT JIZZ YOU FAGGOT!

Guess I made Goobner mad,oh well.

2736. Astatic Night "K" Eagle MINT! For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 01:49:43 UTC
From: gcarojr@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <fd1931a1.0207301749.4a4688a5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131765

The TUP9-D104NKE Night K Eagle is a version of the original D104 microphone. This microphone features a unique End of Transmission Signal (ETS) with two different and distinctive sounds. The first is a Morse code "K" which is the universal telegraph abbreviation for "over". The second switch selectable sound is a multi-tone burst. The TUP9 stand has a built-in two stage silicon transistor amplifier having high impedance input. The output impedance is suitable for the inputs of all commercial transmitters or transceivers. The TUP9 features grip-to-talk and press-to-talk bars. See the link below. Thank you.

http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/68517981?aucview=0x70

2737. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 01:59:43 UTC
From: "r.r.c.b.auto-responder" <usenet@autoresponder.kom>
Message-ID: <ukegodhu9q3j3c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131766

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> while wringing his
filthy little hands, in a fit of ugly trollish chutzpah, babbled in
message...
news:c9ceae5b.0207301243.54e50473@posting.google.com...

> SHITPANTS@webtv.net (Mark Roehlke) wrote in message
news:<10629-3D469BFF-153@storefull-2258.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> > If you are going to get serious abt. service work,I suggest a
> > communications service monitor.All the "goodies" in one instrument.Not
> > cheap new but reasonable used.In the past I have used Singer and IFR
> > brands,I'm sure there are more brands out there.My $.02...Mark

Is there is something about this guys post that you find offensive , Aaron?

> Wow, a pretty serious investment, Mark. Illegal CB mods and illegal
> CB amps must be keeping you busy. I sure hope the business doesn't
> come to an end abruptly due to FCC intervention. I hear they
> confiscate gear.
>
> -A

You sure are one special *weak little viking*, aren't you Aaron!?

2738. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 02:17:22 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <SMH19.11829$pg2.948888@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131767

"r.r.c.b.auto-responder" <usenet@autoresponder.kom> wrote in message
news:ukegodhu9q3j3c@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> while wringing his
> filthy little hands, in a fit of ugly trollish chutzpah, babbled in
> message...
> news:c9ceae5b.0207301243.54e50473@posting.google.com...
>
>
>
> > SHITPANTS@webtv.net (Mark Roehlke) wrote in message
> news:<10629-3D469BFF-153@storefull-2258.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> > > If you are going to get serious abt. service work,I suggest a
> > > communications service monitor.All the "goodies" in one
instrument.Not
> > > cheap new but reasonable used.In the past I have used Singer and IFR
> > > brands,I'm sure there are more brands out there.My $.02...Mark
>
>
> Is there is something about this guys post that you find offensive ,
Aaron?
>
>
> > Wow, a pretty serious investment, Mark. Illegal CB mods and illegal
> > CB amps must be keeping you busy. I sure hope the business doesn't
> > come to an end abruptly due to FCC intervention. I hear they
> > confiscate gear.
> >
> > -A
>
> You sure are one special *weak little viking*, aren't you Aaron!?
>

Agreed, this was definitely not one of Voobsie's better efforts.

2739. Buy/sell here!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 02:18:32 UTC
From: americaneagle352@*iwon.com
Message-ID: <ai7hdouno1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 131775

ALL radio op's are welcome here!
http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle

A.E. 352
27.395 LSB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

2740. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 02:20:21 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D474964.CF643224@hotmail.com>
Source record: 131768

Hi Yeti

I just have one question I am thinking on running dual antenna's ....how do I check the antenna's for swr reading if both are connected at the same time ..??? Say for example my swr reading are very high ...how do I know which anntenna needs to be adjusted to bring the swr reading up or down..?? If the swr reading is high do I just cut off alittle bit offf each antenna....?? makeing sure each antenna is the exact same length....??
\

THANKS ZEEK

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:

> In <3D45C3D7.B9983411@hotmail.com>, zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >question 1 ....is yes
>
> I never saw the advantage of running dualies, but to each his own.
> Anyway, like JJ said, you have to measure the SWR with both connected.
>
> >question 2.....is no mounted to mirror arm
>
> A spring will probably give you the extra length you need. It will
> also prevent the antenna from breaking if it hits something.

2741. Re: Tram D201

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 02:22:16 UTC
From: DRY <youngd@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3SH19.64875$qn5.2553824@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 131770

one dollar
"Slo100bw1" <slo100bw1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020730133912.27017.00000493@mb-fi.aol.com...
> Rig in excellent operating a physical condition. Comes with stock
handmike. Has
> been modded. Asking $275 + shipping.

2742. Re: Don't swim in my toilet....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 02:22:50 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207301822.635a06e2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131769

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:<ai755h$11f7gn$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>...
> And i won't pee in your pool, again i urge all respectable
> persons who value
> this NG to claim what is yours and eliminate the rifraff.
> Trust me when the BS is gone you wont miss it at all.
> God Bless and Goodbye.....................

"rifraff"? Does that include bad citizens, federal lawbreakers, etc?

-A

2743. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 02:23:16 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D474A14.4B3AD5D1@hotmail.com>
Source record: 131772

Hi

Right now the anteena's are mounted to my mirrors on a 89 ford 150 ....do u
think this is not a good enought ground for the whip's...?????

thanks Zeek

tnom@mucks.net wrote:

> On Mon, 29 Jul 2002 16:58:34 -0400, zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >Hi All
> >
> >I just was checking my swr's on my cb (uniden pc68xl)....I have two whip
> >36' fibrglass ..I got the swr's about 1.1 to 1.4 from channel 10 up to
> >channel 40 (the higher the channel the lower the swr's are) ...my
> >question is how do i get the swr's lower on the channels from 9 to
> >channel 1 ....when I check them they are about 2.2 and go to 3.0 with
> >the lower channel i use the higher the swr's get....
> >
> >thanks
> >
> >Zeek
>
> If the stock antennas and harness assembly only match well at the
> higher frequencies then most likely you need to establish a better
> ground.
>
> A better ground can be had by establishing a larger, surer contact to
> the automobiles sheet metal.

2744. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 02:26:12 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <8VH19.20595$cm.917388@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131771

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020730201437.759$Qx@newsreader.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
> > "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message =
> > news:Xns925AED8669C3Asubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> > >=20
> > > What kinds of features should I look for when purchasing an =
> > oscilloscope=20
> > >
> > Abraham Lincoln
> >
> Can you PLEASE turn on your word wrap,Lee?
>
> You're going off the moniter.

Perhaps you need to make aN ADJUSTMENT HIS tEXT LOOKS FINE ON THIS END.

2745. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 02:27:26 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D474B0E.EA7CC5A6@hotmail.com>
Source record: 131773

Hey Mad

Thanks for the reply .....glad I'm not envolved ....

Zeek

Mad Dog wrote:

> Hey Zeek,
> I am responding to a individual who used a remailing service to send me
> a very disturbing and profane e-mail.
> I can not repost what the contents of the letter contained but i can tell
> you
> it was very rude and un-called for.
> It is a response resulting from my post urging people to stop replying to
> Aaron Voobner.
> The xganon remailing service was created for crime victims and others who
> have a legally valid reason to remain anonymous, however the said individual
> has chosen this service to harass people.
> The mistake he made was invading my e-mail with his filth.
> Alas both he and the remailing service will soon face legal ramifications
> of great proportion and i will show no mercy.
> What floors me is this person claims to be a anti-keyclown, isn't he the pot
> calling the kettle black.
>
> --
> 714 Sandpile,
> The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
> <zeek198@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3D45F9C4.C504B3DE@hotmail.com...
> > Wow Mad Dog who u mad at ??????
> >
> > zeek
> >
> >
> > Mad Dog wrote:
> >
> > > You are a coward, i hope you enjoy your remailing service while it lasts
> > > coward.
> > > I choose not to post the the contents of your cowardly e-mail for moral
> > > reasons.
> > > You are a lowlife just like the CB rambos that hide behind the sleeper
> > > curtain
> > > with their 9ft. mic. cord.
> > > I feel remorse for your parents knowing what a gutless coward u are.
> > > BTW you don't own jack.....................

> > > --
> > > 714 Sandpile,
> > > The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
> >

2746. Re: Radios & amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 02:29:39 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207301829.68f53a66@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131774

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> > > Someone should whack you upside the head with a 2x4;
> > > might knock some
> > > common sense into you (doubtful)!
>I'm sure I'm not the only
> person who would applaud someone
>applying the Board of Education to
> your empty noggin.

Yawn. Your threats don't bother me. Besides, in the unlikely event some illegal CB thug made his move, all I'd have to do is hold up a copy of FCC rules and they'd shrivel like a vampire exposed to daylight

:)

-A

2747. Re: FCC question?Landshark proves them wrong again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 02:33:14 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <K%H19.381466$iB1.18837247@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131776

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020730194626.558$Ly@newsreader.com...
> Rock on Shark,notice both of those jackass's shut up too?

Yes, when your talking to a idiot why waste your breath. I stated my point
if there is a speed trap and your caught by a policeman flashing your
headlights and he thinks your warning others you can get a ticket. It
happens all over this country. Eat Shit Mopar, then Die.

> I don't like anti Cber's,in a CB group.

We don't like Illegal Cbers in a Cb NG

2748. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 02:35:38 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ai7ijs$1272ic$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 131777

Yes, but the fact remains it resulted from my efforts to delete you from the NG.
You have nothing to add here but but your legal/illegal mumbo-jumbo, i am sure you spend every waking moment researching rules and regulations that
the average CB'r really does'nt give a crap about.
I feel that your only purpose here is to confuse the issue and distract people from
gaining the knowledge that they so desire.
If you're not with us you are against us.
It is not illegal to have great knowledge and wisdom, how that wisdom is used
is left to the discretion of the operator.
There are bad apples in every crowd and we have our share in the trucking industry. I operate a modest system that satisfies my needs w/o the use of a external RF amplifier because i have no need to talk to people i can't hear .
A custom DSP gives me the extra punch to break thru the pile-ups.
Almost every newbie thinks he needs a amp until he learns a little about RF propagation and gains enough patience to wait until the freq. is clear before
they lay the key down.
Your time would be better spent convincing people to study for their no-code at which point they would catch the HAM bug and begin to have respect for the priviledge of using the airwaves for communication.
I find people have no respect for things they did'nt earn (CB) but that changes with amateur recognition.
I feel you have a little growing up to do judging from the last sentence but thanx for the reply.
Goodbye.....

--
714 Sandpile,
The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:c9ceae5b.0207301456.580a9b1@posting.google.com...
> Mad Dog wrote:
> >It is a response resulting from my post urging people
> >to stop replying to Aaron Voobner.
>
> Mad Dog. Don't even TRY and connect me with your anonymous fans. It
> isn't my fault people get upset at your posts. That's Usenet. Heck, I
> have an entire COLLECTION of threats, profane emails, harassing
> letters, etc. All because I post my opinions about illegal CB. The

> difference is, I don't come into the NG and cry about it. You're such
> a big baby.
>
> -Aaron-

2749. Re: New State-of-the-art CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 02:54:58 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D473301.971FEEAC@mailcity.net>
Source record: 131778
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> >>I thought it was a pretty good picture, just the type of radio
> > that fits the typical cber.
> >
> You think so?

Absolutely.
>
> Is that what the typical CBer has?
>

If it was available they would, especially if the "Good Buddy
Electronics" was changed to "Big Radio" and the specs included
"lots of swang".

2750. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 02:58:24 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <r6kekuo0jrsrovbhc4ubctbv64drrnjaee@4ax.com>
Source record: 131779

On Mon, 29 Jul 2002 18:39:53 -0400, zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:

>i did the swr check with each antenna single not at the same time ...checked
>1 antenna and then checked the other antenna by itself
>
Huh?...................

Your original post said two antennas.

This should mean that you are co-phasing the two with a proper
harness and you'll end up with one swr reading. Not two.

Obviously you are not doing the above. Instead you just own two
antennas.

I own about a dozen. My Swr's vary also...........

2751. Re: Interference from Channel 6 and 15 in SoBo_Virginia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 03:03:28 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4sI19.728436$cQ3.112867@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 131780

"Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:uke13rtmbb10e3@corp.supernews.com...
> Did you even try to knock on the door and explain the interference in a
> polite manner? Or are you one of people that wanted to be a cop but
couldnt
> hack it. So you decide that stalking them would be better than
> intelligently informing them of the problem. If you are to chicken shit
to
> knock on the door than maybe a nice polite letter. You obviously know the
> address.
>
> I hope you get your woody out of all of this.

Once he has the information to forward to the FCC it is not his job to play cop. If all he wants is the interference to stop and he wants to do it legally then he did the right thing by not contacting the offender himself. Not a bright idea to knock on someone's door and say:

"I'm sorry but your causing interference blah blah blah."

These days your likely to catch a real good ass whipping if your lucky. If your unlucky they'll shoot you. If your really lucky you'll have a decent conversation with them and just maybe they'll do something about it.

Dave

2752. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 03:14:55 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D4737AC.B32B4A3C@mailcity.net>
Source record: 131781

Mad Dog wrote:

> i am sure you spend every waking moment researching rules and regulations
> that
> the average CB'r really does'nt give a crap about.

Which is why the cb band is the sewer pit of radio communications.

2753. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 03:53:23 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d475d1a.17630@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131782

In <FSD19.402808$Im2.20634072@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>, "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

>
>"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message =
>news:Xns925AED8669C3Asubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
>>=20
>> What kinds of features should I look for when purchasing an =
>oscilloscope=20
>> suitable for CB repair and (someday) transceiver alignment?
>
>I read the other posts in this thread about scope recommendations. First =
>I would say that once you get started that you will find that you will =
>be using your equipment for more that just servicing CB radios. I would =
>say get the best scope you can afford. What the others have not made =
>much mention of is that a scope can make many of the measurements that a =
>dedicated instrument can do, and about as well too.

Well, it won't read frequencies very accurately, that is unless it has a built-in frequency counter. And voltage measurements are not too accurate in comparison with modern digital voltmeters, mainly because you are looking at a trace on CRT superimposed on a grid instead of a number with 4 or 5 digit accuracy. A scope also requires constant alignment to maintain the accuracy it has. For rough and general measurements that's fine, but for the accuracy that's needed with some of these modern radios it's just not enough.

>For example a scope connected across a known 50 ohm load, and measuring =
>the voltage one can simply compute the RMS power output, thus saving the =
>cost of a wattmeter. A simple two tone generator circuit connected to =
>the input of a SSB rig, with the above setup, you can calculate PEP =
>power as well.=20

As I stated before, you don't need a scope to read RF voltages.

>For another example some of the newer digital scopes have a built-in FFT =
>function. This lets you use the scope as a substitute for an expensive =
>spectrum analyzer.

I may be mistaken because I'm not up-to-date on state-of-the-art, but aren't those FFT functions only for low frequencies? You can do that with your computer and a sound card.

>Also simple dedicated meter type instruments can't show you when the =
>biasing is set correctly for a power stage to eliminate cross over =
>distortion in a class "B" push-pull audio circuit,

Of course you can. Depending on the circuit, all you need to do is measure the quiescent collector (or grid) current. And with an audio distortion analyzer you can check for crossover distortion plus any other distortion and/or nonlinearity, and with MUCH more accuracy than looking at a trace on a scope. For example, if your distortion level suddenly jumps as you reduce the bias, then the bias is too low. Or if it jumps while you increase the input then you are clipping. It will also tell you something that you can't see on a scope, and that's the optimum bias for lowest distortion.

> or when the output RF =
>stage in a SSB rig is operating class "C" due to a malfunctioning bias =
>circuit.=20

That's -too- easy: Just reduce the modulation gradually, and if the power drops off before your modulation hits zero then you are operating class C. Or if RF power doesn't drop to zero when modulation input is zero, then you have some residual carrier, probably from a fault in the balanced mixer.

>You will also need a scope to catch intermittent instabilities in the =
>PLL reference oscillator, logic glitches in frequency divider chains =
>etc.=20

True, and that is what I was talking about before. But you don't need a super-charged, multi-trace, digital scope to see it, just a good trigger.

>While a good dedicated meter type instrument maybe more handy to use, =
>but if you don't have it on hand then it maybe possable to use a scope =
>to do the job with a little bit of thinking.

A scope is probably the most versatile instrument in the shop, but like I said before, the accuracy isn't quite what you can get from dedicated instruments. The cost of dedicated test equipment has dropped over the years while the accuracy has increased by magnitudes. You can have two or three of just about every other instrument in the shop and it still won't cost as much as one of those top-of-the-line O-scopes, the best of which are just beginning to approach an accuracy that is usable for modern electronics.

>In all of the electronic flea markets I have gone too, the first things =
>that seem to sell are the good quality high frequency scopes, if they =
>are priced reasonably. I have seen a lot of the 50 MHz to 100 MHz analog =
>only scopes sell quick for around $400 to $600.=20
>
>A good scope for the money right now seems to be the Tektronix model =
>TDS-1012. This is a fully digital storage scope, duel trace, with =
>built-in measurement functions like RMS, Pk to Pk, FFT etc. All for =
>$1295 for the 100MHz scope, and $995 for the 60 MHz model TDS-1002.
>
>http://www.tek.com/Measurement/cgi-bin/framed.pl?Document=3D/Measurement/=
>scopes/home.html&FrameSet=3Doscilloscopes

For a person just starting out I wouldn't recommend anything over a couple hundred bucks for a scope, maybe even less. If he learns how to use a scope without all the bells and whistles he will have a much better understanding of how it works and how it can be used. Later, if he decides to continue with electronics, he will have a better idea of just how many buttons and knobs he wants to play with and get the best scope for his application.

It's obvious that we have different opinions about this. I'm sure he will get many other opinions.

2754. Re: Interesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 03:55:53 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ukent068d735cd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131783

We may all differ in opinions, but that is sick. I would get the headers and report it. Sorry guys, but we can kid each other to a point, but that goes beyond what is reasonable.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

2755. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 03:58:52 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d475ff1.744453@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131784

In <3D474964.CF643224@hotmail.com>, zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:

>Hi Yeti
>
>I just have one question I am thinking on running dual antenna's ....how
>do I check the antenna's for swr reading if both are connected at the same
>time ..??? Say for example my swr reading are very high ...how do I know
>which anntenna needs to be adjusted to bring the swr reading up or
>down..?? If the swr reading is high do I just cut off alittle bit offf
>each antenna....?? makeing sure each antenna is the exact same
>length....??
>\
>
>THANKS ZEEK

Maybe someone else can point you in the right direction for some good
info on dual antennas. I don't even mess with them.

2756. Re: 28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 04:01:24 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ukeo7be60gqt63@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131785

True, but the symptom is the same. Who needs a license? Granted, there may be a difference, but as I mentioned in a post, it is similar to tapping into a telephone line. That telephone is likely to be unused 23 3/4 hours out of 24. So does that make it ok to tap into that telephone and use it? No one gets hurt, right? Seriously, no one gets hurt. Of course, if you are the guy paying for that telephone line and 20 other people start using it, you just might start getting a wee bit upset. Now I'd like to see you argue that line of thinking. It is quite similar to what I am seeing in the newsgroups. Think about it (if you are capable of rational thought, that is).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uke8oepe9673d3@corp.supernews.com...
> He wasn't a trucker anymore then I can be a Ham with no liscense.
> He was a 4 wheeler behind the wheel of a truck.
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> news:ukbqcbc5i47r14@corp.supernews.com...
> > Yep, and a 19 year old (well, he's 28 years old now) kid that lived next
> > door would sure like to thank you truckers. He got hit by a truck (he
was
> > on a motorcycle). Seems the trucker didn't have a license (gee, just
your
> > mentality). This is a true story. Thanks to a trucker, the kid lives
in
> a
> > new house in a suburb with a new vehicle and a BIG bank account. I
don't
> > know what happened to the trucker or the company, however.
> >
> > Thanks!
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> > (ps, he sure is a lot better now than when I saw him in the hospital.
> Money
> > sure helps too!)
> >
> > "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> > news:ukbin346saok4a@corp.supernews.com...
> > > I have notice that 28.085 is becoming crowded. Usually it is just my
> > fellow

> > > truckers jawin and I can usually find a clear channel on the f band (6
> > band
> > > radio). The most annoying are these idiots playing with there roger
> beeps
> > > above 28mhz! Whats that about? they don't even talk just beep
bepbepbep
> > beep
> > > its annoying. Also I think its at 28.200mhz someone had been dead
keying
> > his
> > > radio for about a year straight! I guess I'l have to upgrade to either
> an
> > 8
> > > band radio eventually they way our great hobby has been expanding. To
> all
> > > you Hams I'd like to thank you for being gracious enough to leave this
> > slice
> > > fallow for us cber's:)
> > > Sincerly
> > > Ken
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2757. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 04:09:42 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4760ec.995515@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131787

In <ai7ijs$1272ic$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>, "Mad Dog"
<lgsast@strato.net> wrote:

>Yes, but the fact remains it resulted from my efforts to delete you from the
>NG.
>You have nothing to add here yada, yada, yada.

You whine on the newsgroup about an email that you won't post, then
imply without proof that the guilty party was Voobner, then whine some
more when he defends against your 'implication' for an offence that
you haven't shown actually happened.

If you are going to take legal action against the person responsible,
fine. But any lawyer in the world will tell you not to incite further
abuse or harassment or you jeopordize your standing. If you are
looking to the newsgroup for sympathy, you might even get some from
unexpected sources if you prove that you actually received such an
email. Hell, just post the headers if nothing else. Or email me a
copy. Otherwise, and as far I'm concerned, your are just posting some
BS story to stir up trouble.

2758. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 04:10:03 UTC
From: Craig Petersen <n7uqa@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <ukeomqhc468233@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131786

Alan S. wrote:

> Hey guys, I'm new to the group. I've been reading posts for a while and
> have to say that verbal warfare aside, I love it. I've found many usefull
> nuggets of information here.
>
>
> I've been having a wonderful time learning as much as I can about radio
> and electronics in general. I went down and purchased the 2002 edition of
> the ARRL Handbook and have been "hibernating in my cave" (as my wife puts
> it), absorbing as much knowledge as I can. I'm addicted. I own all sorts
> of basic electronics books, but this one stands out. What a fantastic
> resource! Anyhow, I've been tinkering with CB radio for years, and am
> looking to take my relatively decent knowledge to the next level. After
> much investigation, I have concluded that an oscilloscope would aid my
> troubleshooting attempts to a certain degree. I would also like to one
> day be knowledgable enough to perfrom transceiver alignments, for which a
> scope (among other things) would come in handy.
>
> What kinds of features should I look for when purchasing an oscilloscope
> suitable for CB repair and (someday) transceiver alignment? Tektronics
> recommends purchasing a scope with 5 times the bandwidth of the highest
> frequency you excpect to measure. At ~27MHz that's 135MHz. Those scopes
> are a little on the expensive side, even used (Tektroniks or otherwise).
> Will I find many reasons to measure a 27MHz carrier on a scope? Or will
> filtering the carrier out and observing modulation be more common? I'm
> not sure. I will spend the money if it is warranted, but I'm not too
> crazy about purchasing a scope full of features of little use to a CB
> repair technician. Surely, between the abolute cheapest and expensive
> scopes lies a happy medium. I am fairly certain that I don't need a
> digital scope, analog will suffice, I'm sure. I am also sure that I won't
> be purchasing a brand new one, so tips on purchasing used ones would be
> appreciated.
>
> It's funny, when I see a scope for sale on Ebay, I wish to God I had the
> ability to walk the seller through at least REASONABLY verifying proper
> operation. I am amazed at the number of items with a description like "I
> have no idea how to use this thing. It turns on, and is sold as-is". I've
> often wondered if one of these was a $60 "Buy it Now" jem, waiting to be
> placed into the proper hands (not in any way suggesting that MY hands are
> the proper ones <grin>). Sometimes I think it might worth the gamble,
> even if I end up with a $60 paperweight, plus shipping =)
>
> Thoughts?

>
> Alan S.
> Central California

Look on ebay in the test and measurement section. There are other test and measurement houses to find by doing a google search. I recently aquired a Tektronix 2465 300 MHz four trace oscilliscope for $600 on ebay last month.Other Tektronix scopes to look for are the 465 and 475a series. Stay away from most of the "sold as is I don't know how to test it" stuff. Usually you'll just wind up buying someone elses problem child. If you are just going to use it for CB and or HF work get something with at least 100 MHz of bandwidth

As far as communication analyzers are concerned don't expect to find a decent one for less than $2000 dollars. Some good com analyzers to look for are the Tek 492 series, HP 8590 series spectrum analyzers and Motorola 20xx series communication analyzers..

73

--
Linux Powered...

Craig - N7UQA

2759. Re: Buy/sell here!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 04:15:22 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d476429.1824938@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131788

In <ai7hdouno1@news.netmar.com>, americaneagle352@*iwon.com wrote:

>ALL radio op's are welcome here!

Legal and illegal alike!

>http://members3.boardhost.com/antiamericanvulture

2760. Re: Interesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 04:20:39 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4764f6.2029808@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131789

In <ukent068d735cd@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote:

>We may all differ in opinions, but that is sick. I would get the headers
>and report it.

Why do you think he posted it in the newsgroup without the headers?
It's a troll post. Go back and read some of Dr. Spinster's other troll
posts and you will see the light.

2761. Re: 28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 04:41:29 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731004129.26949.00000118@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 131790

In article <ukbin346saok4a@corp.supernews.com>, "Kenneth"
<pwrguy@intergrafix.net> writes:

>I have notice that 28.085 is becoming crowded. Usually it is just my fellow
>truckers jawin

Definitely an S-9 on the 'ol TROLL 'O METER!!

2762. Re: STOP feeding Kenneth the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 04:44:46 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <2XJ19.58597$9S3.234461208@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131797

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207301615.5bcfe6c9@posting.google.com...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrotee:
> "Landshark" wrote:
> >>Like a couple of others, he's changing his screen nic
> >>some three to four times in the past month or so.
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >
> >Ironic that voob gets popped for breaking the rules.
>
> Wrong. Never broke rules, never got "popped". Keep shoveling, Mope.
>
> -A

LMAO!!!
What happened to Aaronvoobner@yahoo.com, Avoobner
@yahoo.com, Aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com, Aaron H. Voobnernospam@newsranger.com,
voobner_a@yahoo.com,
aaron_voobner@yahoo.com, aaron_h_voobner@yahoo.com,
voobner_aaron@yahoo.com, that one got yanked because
of the spamming the newsgroup. His latest ahvoobner@yahoo.com.

Nine in less than a year, just like someone else, he keeps
getting them yanked and has to apply for news alias.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

2763. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 04:54:38 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <i4K19.58600$oV3.234659195@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131796

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d4760ec.995515@news.cet.com...
> In <ai7ijs$1272ic$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>, "Mad Dog"
> <lgsast@strato.net> wrote:
>
> >Yes, but the fact remains it resulted from my efforts to delete you from
the
> >NG.
> >You have nothing to add here yada, yada, yada.
>
> You whine on the newsgroup about an email that you won't post, then
> imply without proof that the guilty party was Voobner, then whine some
> more when he defends against your 'implication' for an offence that
> you haven't shown actually happened.
>
> If you are going to take legal action against the person responsible,
> fine. But any lawyer in the world will tell you not to incite further
> abuse or harassment or you jeopordize your standing. If you are
> looking to the newsgroup for sympathy, you might even get some from
> unexpected sources if you prove that you actually received such an
> email. Hell, just post the headers if nothing else. Or email me a
> copy. Otherwise, and as far I'm concerned, your are just posting some
> BS story to stir up trouble.
>

Question Frank..... What does it matter
to you about who he accuses?

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

2764. Re: STOP feeding Kenneth the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 04:58:16 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <I7K19.150522$88.2921546@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131791

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207301615.5bcfe6c9@posting.google.com...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrotee:
> "Landshark" wrote:
> >>Like a couple of others, he's changing his screen nic
> >>some three to four times in the past month or so.
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >
> >Ironic that voob gets popped for breaking the rules.
>
> Wrong. Never broke rules, never got "popped". Keep shoveling, Mope.
>
> -A
>
> "Anyone sitting on the fence is reading both sides of any argument,
> and can tell the difference between keyclown BS and the truth. That's
> why the
> keyclowns must keep shoveling more and more BS to try and cover up the
> truth as revealed by Voobner (and others)." --from a Sparky post.

Nah, not really. The truth is the truth, and everyone knows that. You've
revealed nothing but your obsessive-compulsive desire to troll. That's all,
nothing more.

Please don't quote yourself. It does not make you look more substantial.

2765. Re: STOP feeding KENNETH the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 05:00:28 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <M9K19.150523$88.2921747@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131792

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207301655.372b6fa7@posting.google.com...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >Why do you re-arrange your addy then?
>
> For reasons other than you ASSume.
>
> Keep shovelin', Mope.
>
> -A

Maybe because he's been subscribed to every email spammer on Earth?

Why would that happen?

-R-

2766. Re: CB Enters "Dark Ages"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 05:01:03 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <jaK19.150524$88.2921863@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131793

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207301625.4f1569d3@posting.google.com...
> Richard Cranium wrote:
> > >No, selling them is against the law. See above. Would you like
> >>me to post the FCC rules again?
> >
> >No. It's USING them illegally that's against the law.
>
> Wrong. See below. This time, READ it.
>
> >TROLL.
>
> Posting accurate info is a "troll"? Since when?
>
> Here's the straight dope from the FCC:
>
> In a May 13 Public Notice, the FCC points out that it's a violation of
> FCC regulations to import or market a transmitter designed or intended
> to operate outside the amateur bands unless the equipment has been
> issued a grant of equipment authorization for the radio services it's
> capable of operating. The FCC says it wanted to clarify its rules on
> equipment that's "intended to operate in various radio services in the
> high frequency radio spectrum, including '10-meter' Amateur Radio
> Service (ARS) equipment."
>
> -A

Yawn.........

2767. Re: Radios & amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 05:02:05 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hbK19.150525$88.2921956@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131794

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207301829.68f53a66@posting.google.com...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> > > > > Someone should whack you upside the head with a 2x4;
> > > > > might knock some
> > > > > common sense into you (doubtful)!
> >I'm sure I'm not the only
> > > person who would applaud someone
> >applying the Board of Education to
> > > your empty noggin.
>
> Yawn. Your threats don't bother me. Besides, in the unlikely event
> some illegal CB thug made his move, all I'd have to do is hold up a
> copy of FCC rules and they'd shrivel like a vampire exposed to
> daylight
>
> :)
>
> -A

Speaking of yawning.....yawn.

2768. Re: Don't swim in my toilet....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 05:03:14 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <mcK19.150526$88.2921793@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131795

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207301822.635a06e2@posting.google.com...
> "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:<ai755h$11f7gn$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>...
> > And i won't pee in your pool, again i urge all respectable
> > persons who value
> > this NG to claim what is yours and eliminate the rifraff.
> > Trust me when the BS is gone you wont miss it at all.
> > God Bless and Goodbye.....................
>
> "rifraff"? Does that include bad citizens, federal lawbreakers, etc?
>
> -A

Yawn........

2769. Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual--2nd Edition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 05:16:45 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <tnseku0il8ra07r937icsf0pc17urriosf@4ax.com>
Source record: 131798

Introducing the updated Galaxy-Ranger service manual. The new SECOND EDITION covers the EPT3600, EPT0696, and EPT6900 chassis types. That includes MANY brands such as Galaxy, Ranger/RCI, Superstar, Texas Ranger, Connex, etc. Complete details on this specific book are on our Web site at:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

Check out all our CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

* *
In case you didn't already know...

Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

If you have any problems getting the files, or need additional details on what we offer, please email us directly at:

info@cbcintl.com

73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980

www.cbcintl.com

Email: info@cbcintl.com

2770. Re: STOP feeding Kenneth the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 05:26:27 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207302126.1e9a41d7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131799

ï¿½Landshark wrote:
"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207301615.5bcfe6c9@posting.google.com...
> >Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >"Landshark" wrote:
> >>>Like a couple of others, he's changing his screen nic
> >>>some three to four times in the past month or so.
> >>>
> >>> Landshark
> > >
> > >Ironic that voob gets popped for breaking the rules.
> >
> >Wrong. Never broke rules, never got "popped". Keep shoveling, Mope.
> >
> >-A
>
>LMAO!!!
>What happened to Aaronvoobner@yahoo.com, Avoobner
>@yahoo.com, Aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com, Aaron H.
>Voobnernospam@newsranger.com,
>voobner_a@yahoo.com,
>aaron_voobner@yahoo.com, aaron_h_voobner
>@yahoo.com, voobner_aaron@yahoo.com,
>that one got yanked because
>of the spamming the newsgroup. His latest
>ahvoobner@yahoo.com.
>
>Nine in less than a year, just like someone else, he keeps
>getting them yanked and has to apply for news alias.

Um, I am curious what exactly you feel would be the nature of a
complaint that would get me "yanked"? Maybe something liker this?....

"Dear Google. Whenever anyone advertises an illegal CB amp in
violation of FCC rule 2.815 Voobner says that it is illegal. We think
this is harassment and we want you to terminate his account"

LOL! Or how about...

"Dear Google. Whenever we use profanity and threats to Voobner he
posts something nasty in response. We want you to terminate his
account for this"

ROTFLMAO! Or maybe...

"Dear Google. Voobner disagreed with me in a NG and when I insulted him and told him to shut up he said something unkind to me. I insist you yank his account for this behavior"

HAHAHAHA! Or perhaps....

"Dear Google. It's OK if someone else advertises in the NG but we don't want Voobner doing it. Please yank his account for this"

OMG, can't you see how RIDICULOUS it is to suggest you could get me "popped" for posting FCC information? Or opinions that disagree with yours? Or a FOR SALE ad that is ON TOPIC for this newsgroup?

In one post, you espouse "free speech"...and in another you're trying to unilaterally deny mine because you don't like my opinions.

>Landshark
>
>"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

Landshark, your degree of hypocrisy is nothing short of.....ASTOUNDING.

-Aaron-

2771. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 05:30:20 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <bftekucpver11e5rft2fhaj9ul03ktpk5j@4ax.com>
Source record: 131800

Alan--
The following is from my book, "Understanding & Repairing CB Radios."
May help with your 'scope decision. BTW a 30MHz 'scope is all you'll
ever need for CB work, unless you're planning to work on VHF gear.
Save yourself some money.

If you find a good 'scope just make sure it has its BOOK included!
Also there are a couple books on how to use scopes & other test equip.
offered from SAMS, TAB Books, etc. Check the Web...

Book details are at: www.cbcintl.com/urbook.htm

Good luck,
Lou
****

OSCILLOSCOPE: No CB bench would be complete
without this extremely useful and versatile instrument. This is your
biggest investment, so consider the choice carefully. An audio type
service 'scope just won't do the job; you need one that's capable of
viewing the 27 MHz signal directly, and with accurate p-p
measurements. In this price range the 'scopes generally come with
dual-trace capability, triggered sweep, delayed sweep, and other
useful features too.

You can't conveniently adjust AM modulation to the required levels
unless you can view the actual waveform. It's possible to connect a
simple audio type 'scope to use the trapezoidal measurement method,
and we'll demonstrate this later. However you still need a high
frequency response for things like transmitter signal tracing. When I
was starting out and couldn't afford a 'scope, I did a lot of signal
tracing with a VOM and RF probe. This method works, but without a
'scope you can't analyze the more subtle problems like noise and
distortion. A good 'scope is actually cheaper today than when I was
starting, due to Far East competition and improved production methods.
You don't need a Tektronix, even though everybody wants one; excellent
instruments are now made by B&K, Hitachi, Kikusui, Hickok, Leader, and
others.
****

On Tue, 30 Jul 2002 06:20:15 -0000, you wrote:

>Hey guys, I'm new to the group. I've been reading posts for a while and
>have to say that verbal warfare aside, I love it. I've found many usefull
>nuggets of information here.
>

>Thoughts?
>
>Alan S.
>Central California

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

2772. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 05:32:57 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207302132.120385fd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131801

Landshark wrote:
> Question Frank..... What does it matter
>to you about who he accuses?
>
> Landshark

Question Mark.....What does it matter to you if someone posts an opinion that happens to agree with mine?

-Aaron-

2773. Re: STOP feeding Aaron the troll multi accounts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 05:43:33 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <8OK19.58617$4g4.236030170@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131802

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207302126.1e9a41d7@posting.google.com...
> Landshark wrote:
> "Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0207301615.5bcfe6c9@posting.google.com...
> > >Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >"Landshark" wrote:
> > >>>Like a couple of others, he's changing his screen nic
> > >>>some three to four times in the past month or so.
> > >>>
> > >>> Landshark
> > > >
> > > >Ironic that voob gets popped for breaking the rules.
> > >
> > >Wrong. Never broke rules, never got "popped". Keep shoveling, Mope.
> > >
> > >-A
> >
> >LMAO!!!
> >What happened to Aaronvoobner@yahoo.com, Avoobner
> >@yahoo.com, Aaronhvoobner@yahoo.com, Aaron H.
> >Voobnernospam@newsranger.com,
> >voobner_a@yahoo.com,
> >aaron_voobner@yahoo.com, aaron_h_voobner
> >@yahoo.com, voobner_aaron@yahoo.com,
> >that one got yanked because
> >of the spamming the newsgroup. His latest
> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com.
> >
> >Nine in less than a year, just like someone else, he keeps
> >getting them yanked and has to apply for news alias.
>
> Um, I am curious what exactly you feel would be the nature of a
> complaint that would get me "yanked"?

It doesn't matter, the proof is why you have had so
many different screen nics, obviously you had those accounts
canceled, so try to overt attention away from yourself again.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this

freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

2774. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 05:44:59 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <vPK19.58618$Yi4.236204358@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131803

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207302132.120385fd@posting.google.com...
> Landshark wrote:
> > Question Frank..... What does it matter
> >to you about who he accuses?
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Question Mark.....What does it matter to you if someone
> posts an opinion that happens to agree with mine?
>
> -Aaron-

Just wondering why he's defending you with such
vigor, does that bother you? Figured not.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

2775. Re: need mic 4-pin connector wiring for SBE Key/Comm 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 05:47:10 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <9buekuojq23af2sj8ieo4hj4eao35l9oln@4ax.com>
Source record: 131804

This one is in Sams CB Fotofacts #212. (54CB, Key/Com 1000)

Mike Wiring (relay-switched)
1 = Ground
2 = Mike Audio
3 = TX
4 = n/c

The Ch. keypad is complicated and you need the whole schematic. That's
parts of the Sams. Try to order a photocopy from them at:
800-428-7267

73,
Lou
****

"Sean Ingram" <singram@direcway.com> wrote:

>I am looking for the wiring schematic for the 4-pin standard mic connector
>on an
>
>SBE Key/Comm Xcvr (AM)[CB] {40 Channels)
>
>as well as the function of the key pad on the left-hand side of the channel
>display.
>
>Tnx. :)
>
>"240-Robert"
>
>

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227

Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

2776. Re: Radio Shack ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 05:55:50 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <coueku02b2jhbtto23g1ptgbvrgtevgad4@4ax.com>
Source record: 131805

Sounds like the old TRC480 (21-1563) This was the mobile version of
the TRC459, a big all-microproc. base model. Thus no Ch. switch. It
was black and used PB controls.

I seem to have every R/S CB manual ever made, EXCEPT that one. It is
covered in the Sams CB Fotofacts #278 but the picture is very small
and would not lend itself to scanning.

73,
Lou
****

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote:

>Hi All
>
>
> I have owned many radios over the past 30 years and have found pictures
>of all of them on the net except 1. I can't remember the Radio Shack model
>number but I would know it to see it. It was a 40 Ch SSB mobile with an all
>black face. It was all push button, (no rotary CH selector). It had 40 Ch
>scan and channel change on the microphone. It only ran for about 1 year in
>1980 or 1981. It had many problems and was not a good radio. Every time you
>turned it off it went back to Ch-1 and had a bad frequency drift so I only
>had it for about 2 months and traded it in for an other model. If any of you
>can remember the radio and come up with a model number it would be
>appreciate. I check this NG regularly so if you could just post it here.
>Thanks
>

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

2777. Re: START laughing at Landshark the hypocrite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 05:56:10 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207302156.73909f3a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131806

Landshark wrote:
> "Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
> >news:c9ceae5b.0207301615.5bcfe6c9@posting.google.com...
> >Um, I am curious what exactly you feel would be the nature of a
> >complaint that would get me "yanked"?
>
>It doesn't matter

Of course you avoid answering, because there ISN'T any legitimate complaint you could use to get my account "yanked".

Your whining is ridiculous, Mark. You and your "bad citizens" can disagree, cry, scream, call me names, etc, and I don't try and get your accounts yanked. Why? Because its all free speech. (Only once did I have to terminate someone's account, and that was only because he was encouraging readers to "shoot me in the head".) But you overgrown babies think you can get someone's account cancelled for "spam" because you don't like what they post? Get real.

-Aaron-

2778. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 05:59:37 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d477cc8.2020141@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131809

In <i4K19.58600$oV3.234659195@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d4760ec.995515@news.cet.com...
>> In <ai7ijs$1272ic$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>, "Mad Dog"
>> <lgsast@strato.net> wrote:
>>
>> >Yes, but the fact remains it resulted from my efforts to delete you from
>the
>> >NG.
>> >You have nothing to add here yada, yada, yada.
>>
>> You whine on the newsgroup about an email that you won't post, then
>> imply without proof that the guilty party was Voobner, then whine some
>> more when he defends against your 'implication' for an offence that
>> you haven't shown actually happened.
>>
>> If you are going to take legal action against the person responsible,
>> fine. But any lawyer in the world will tell you not to incite further
>> abuse or harassment or you jeopordize your standing. If you are
>> looking to the newsgroup for sympathy, you might even get some from
>> unexpected sources if you prove that you actually received such an
>> email. Hell, just post the headers if nothing else. Or email me a
>> copy. Otherwise, and as far I'm concerned, your are just posting some
>> BS story to stir up trouble.
>>
>
> Question Frank..... What does it matter
>to you about who he accuses?
>
> Landshark

Because some idiots in here prefer to think that I'm Voobner, which I
am not. But if he thinks that, then I'm the next person to be accused.
And if I'm going to be accused of something he damn well better have
something to accuse me with, not just some bs story about an email.
Then he needs to prove his case, which of course he can't because even
if he did get an email like he claims it wasn't from me. So you might
call it a pre-emptive defense based on probable certainty.

Now I have to ask why you would ask that question? Have you jumped on
the keyclown propaganda bandwagon and think that I'm Voobner, too? Or
have you seperated yourself from the lemmings and decided that you can
think for yourself?

2779. Re: Mic Wireing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 06:00:30 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <l6vekuoak2c999u5h253kfvp50358j61qk@4ax.com>
Source record: 131807

D104-M:
White = audio
Red = TX
Black = RX
Shield = Ground

SS3900 mike socket:
1 = Ground
2 = Audio
3 = TX
4 = RX

Now just match 'em up!
73,
Lou
****

"T.W." <wells_t@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Anyone happen to know the color code to wire a d104 hand mic to a superstar
>3900.
>
>Thanks in advance
>
>iggy@attbi.com
>
>
>

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

2780. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 06:00:55 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207302200.290be67d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131808

Landshark wrote:
> > >Question Frank..... What does it matter
> > >to you about who he accuses?
> > >
> > > Landshark
> >
> >Question Mark.....What does it matter to you if someone
> >posts an opinion that happens to agree with mine?
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
>Just wondering why he's defending you with such
>vigor, does that bother you?

Don't bother me. But I can see it bothers you. A lot.

-A

2781. Re: need mic 4-pin connector wiring for SBE Key/Comm 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 06:02:02 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <sdvekuo5spgbddl0vf48fmu99vvlhmr0gv@4ax.com>
Source record: 131810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,singram@direcway.com

This one is in Sams CB Fotofacts #212. (54CB, Key/Com 1000)

Mike Wiring (relay-switched)
1 = Ground
2 = Mike Audio
3 = TX
4 = n/c

The Ch. keypad is complicated and you need the whole schematic. That's
parts of the Sams. Try to order a photocopy from them at:
800-428-7267

73,
Lou
****

"Sean Ingram" <singram@direcway.com> wrote:

>I am looking for the wiring schematic for the 4-pin standard mic connector
>on an
>
>SBE Key/Comm Xcvr (AM)[CB] {40 Channels)
>
>as well as the function of the key pad on the left-hand side of the channel
>display.
>
>Tnx. :)
>
>"240-Robert"
>
>

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

2782. Re: Radio Shack ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 06:02:26 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <eevekugagufu6lfgo2erkp57a3m5vpb8hg@4ax.com>
Source record: 131811
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,nojunk@mail.com

Sounds like the old TRC480 (21-1563) This was the mobile version of
the TRC459, a big all-microproc. base model. Thus no Ch. switch. It
was black and used PB controls.

I seem to have every R/S CB manual ever made, EXCEPT that one. It is
covered in the Sams CB Fotofacts #278 but the picture is very small
and would not lend itself to scanning.

73,
Lou
****

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote:

>Hi All
>
>
> I have owned many radios over the past 30 years and have found pictures
>of all of them on the net except 1. I can't remember the Radio Shack model
>number but I would know it to see it. It was a 40 Ch SSB mobile with an all
>black face. It was all push button, (no rotary CH selector). It had 40 Ch
>scan and channel change on the microphone. It only ran for about 1 year in
>1980 or 1981. It had many problems and was not a good radio. Every time you
>turned it off it went back to Ch-1 and had a bad frequency drift so I only
>had it for about 2 months and traded it in for an other model. If any of you
>can remember the radio and come up with a model number it would be
>appreciate. I check this NG regularly so if you could just post it here.
>Thanks
>

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227

Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

2783. Re: Mic Wireing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 06:02:46 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <7fvekuksd3l80dr5k2n3o2a2f2ppg2oqok@4ax.com>
Source record: 131812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,wells_t@hotmail.com

D104-M:
White = audio
Red = TX
Black = RX
Shield = Ground

SS3900 mike socket:
1 = Ground
2 = Audio
3 = TX
4 = RX

Now just match 'em up!
73,
Lou
****

"T.W." <wells_t@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Anyone happen to know the color code to wire a d104 hand mic to a superstar
>3900.
>
>Thanks in advance
>
>iggy@attbi.com
>
>
>

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

2784. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 06:29:15 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d477d76.2194748@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131813

I have to disagree with a couple things here:

In <bftekucpver11e5rft2fhaj9ul03ktpk5j@4ax.com>, Lou Franklin
<lou@cbcintl.com> wrote:

<snip>
>You can't conveniently adjust AM modulation to the required levels
>unless you can view the actual waveform.

For starters, the 'modulation' adjustment is either an audio gain control or a limiter adjustment. If it's audio gain, you adjust it for specs with an audio (sine) signal generator and either a AC voltage measurement on the modulator output or on the modulation meter. If it's a limiter adjustment, crank the audio up until the modulation meter stops. If it stops above 100%, turn down the limiter. If it stops well below 100%, turn it up. This ain't rocket science...

> It's possible to connect a
>simple audio type 'scope to use the trapezoidal measurement method,
>and we'll demonstrate this later. However you still need a high
>frequency response for things like transmitter signal tracing.

A sig-gen works better because it can be used as a signal injector at each stage, and it's much easier to track down a problem by working backwards from the final. A scope doesn't always work because not all stages have voltage gain, and if you are not paying attention to the schematic you might end up wasting time and money replacing by good components without cause. I learned that one by experience...

> When I
>was starting out and couldn't afford a 'scope, I did a lot of signal
>tracing with a VOM and RF probe. This method works, but without a
>'scope you can't analyze the more subtle problems like noise and
>distortion.

We're talking AM here. Noise and distortion usually occurs in the audio stages, the modulating stage, or the final. If the source of the noise turns out to be from the RF input to the final, it's almost always because the PLL/VCO has gone haywire, and you can see that on a freq counter even before the scope has had a chance to warm up.

2785. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 06:32:44 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d47844c.3944768@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131814

In <vPK19.58618$Yi4.236204358@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

> Just wondering why he's defending you with such
>vigor, does that bother you? Figured not.
>
> Landshark

Get real, sharkie. I would defend you the same way if you had a point
worth defending. But you don't.

2786. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 06:43:19 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ai81f7udd1@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 131815

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207271508.7eb86703@posting.google.com...
> "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:<ahtn3r$989$12@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> >
> > People need
> > to be aware that running a legal setup does not guarantee that you
> > will not intefere.
>
> True,
==

Glad we agree on something.

==
> and it's not an excuse to run illegally.
==

True.

==
> All the more reason NOT to run illegally. If a LEGAL CB rig can
> interfere, adding a "kicker" or operating "out of band" can only make
> things WORSE, don't you agree?
==

The more power you run, the greater the chance that it will break
through somewhere. But, power alone is not the cause and cure.
I have known people interfere with around 1/2 Watt of power. Reducing
their power from 4 Watts had not stopped it as they had expected.

The more lottery tickets you get, the greater the chance you will win :~)
But nothing is guaranteed.

==
> I believe that within your first few posts to me you resorted
> to an insulting header.
==

What... acting like a cornered rat?
Meaning that you came out fighting, is that an insult?

OK, maybe I should have said "like a ferret"... once they bite, they
do not let go. Rather like a Voobner who has commited himself
to battle... huh.

==
> Now I've heard it all.
==

I doubt it. There is always more... someone will surprise you
with something.

==
> As Sparky said, that would be animism.
==

Yep, 37 minutes before your post... so it would not have even
been available on Google, like so many others you respond
to (out of thread).
So, where did you see his post... what is your usual newsreader?

Brainbuster.

2787. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 06:43:27 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ai81f8udd2@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 131816

"Z26915" <z26915@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020724222611.05572.00000565@mb-fl.aol.com...
> your e-mail is bouncing
===

I see that some have made guesses at what happened.

Actually, Twistedhed changed his e-mail some months back, due to the scumbags that keep sending hate mail to the users of this newsgroup. He gave the new addy out to all who he knew could be trusted to behave themselves. If you had not e-mailed him recently when he changed, then he may have missed you out.

Maybe that is why Frank doesn't have the details... maybe Twistedhed just forgot to include him. I guess that means he may not be on his Christmas list either.

I e-mailed Twistedhed a couple of weeks back, and no bounce message.

Regards,

Brainbuster.

2788. Re: You ain't my friend...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 06:43:38 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ai81faudd3@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 131817

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D3E8F66.78D05CE0@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> Yet another anonymous troll emailed me:
>
> X-Apparently-To: scott_unit_69@yahoo.com via -40.-120.-127.-64; 23 Jul
2002 21:43:51 -0700 (PDT)
>
> Return-Path: <anon@www.xganon.com>
>
> scott dont tell but randy is plotting to get george dropped from his
> isp thats right they are BOTH trolls.
> AFriend
==

The usual "A Friend" crud.

Try this one:
ACCEPTABLE USE POLICY
1. E-MAIL
(B) Users may not send email to any person who does not wish
to receive it.

ANON.XG.NU requests that anyone who believes that there is
a violation of this AUP direct the information to abuse@www.xganon.com

www.xganon.com may take any one or more of the following actions
in response to complaints:
block a users IP address
bill the user for administrative costs and/or reactivating charges
bring legal action to enjoin violations and/or to collect
damages, if any, caused by violations.

I have xganon addresses blocked for news and e-mail. Although they do state
an abuse policy, they are clearly just a route for junk and hate mail. The
only reasons to hide your identity in an e-mail are all against their "tos".
My filter deletes spam on the server, before I use OE to download the
remaining mail.
My delete log shows that two "xganon" posts were deleted, so they are
trying... but failing miserably.

Regards,

Peter.

2789. Re: STOP feeding Aaron the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 06:43:40 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ai81faudd4@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 131818

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020728220653.054$PA@newsreader.com...
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
> >>Wow great post
> > > Get a life.
> > >
> > Back to top posting your sixth grade education,boy george?
==

And changing his e-mail header again.

Plonk... again

Regards,

Peter.

2790. Re: STOP feeding Aaron the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 06:43:42 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ai81fcudd5@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 131819

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:ai20t8$tq70d$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de...
> Why can't u people get the hint and stop feeding the troll.
> You seem intent on wasting bandspace by replying to this jackass,
> the idiot does'nt like us so stop replying to him and he will go away.
> His purpose is to distract us from what we came here to discuss........
> Block sender , delete his posts, create message rules, do whatever it
> takes to wipe his punk ass off the board and lets get on with
> discussing CB.
==

Yeah, sure... I'll go along with that.
Aaron and I have just agreed on one or two points, so it has to be a good point to block him.

One thing I did find amusing was this quote from Aaron:

> > Reprinted from a Sparky post:
> >
> > anyone sitting on the fence is reading
> > both sides of any argument, and can tell
> > the difference between keyclown BS and the truth.

Now that is funny. From what I have seen and experienced in the last year, the "antis" make every attempt possible to shove people off the fence. Even those who are using legal equipment are harassed, insulted, called "keyclowns" and subjected to abuse sent direct to their e-mail addresses.

While the "antis" claim that legal CBers are subjected to abuse from "keyclowns", the truth is that the abuse comes from the "anti" side of the fence, even to those running legal setups. The content of the e-mails suggests that they are school age (at least in mind) and see the whole thing as nothing more than a joke.

What really happens is that those "on the fence" either land on the other side, just block the "antis" or get p**s*d off and leave the group.

Well, I'm going nowhere so, lets do it... bring just a bit more peace to the newsgroup.

Regards,

Peter.

2791. Re: Please someone advice on CB radio for newbie. I narrowed it down.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 06:43:43 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ai81feudd6@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 131820

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207272119.50489830@posting.google.com...
> "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:<ahtn3o$989$9@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> > "whatever" <xxx@nospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:1hljjuke3r605ejhsma0ufrll0qt542mt7@4ax.com...
> > > I was leaning that direction.
> >
> > You may want to consider re-wording that, with some people on here ;~)
> > lol.
> >
>
> Yes, poor Peter, always watching his words. It must be horrible having
> to carefully compose your posts so they manage to express
> encouragement of illegal CB without actually appearing to do so.
==

Hi Aagent Aaron.

You really need to get out more, you spend so much time worrying about
illegal CB that you see every post on this group as being "illegal".
If you stop long enough to think, you will realise that I am NOT encouraging
anything. Maybe the reference to "leaning that way" was a Brit joke
that you just didn't get.
Think of balls of meat with herbs and spices... or the Brit slang for
cigarettes.

Get it?
Leaning that way... limp wrist?

Oh, never mind. Jokes are never quite as funny when you have to
have them explained to you. I expect Georgina got it without an
explanation.

==
> And to think, all you have to do is be honest and law abiding and
> things would be so much simpler.
==

There you go again... please provide proof that I am breaking ANY Brit.
CB laws. No, wait a minute... I remember your idea of proof, something
like a two word reply. Wasn't it "s*d off".
Good thing that the REAL law requires real proof.

As I said, you really need to get out more... you are seeing
things that are not there.

==
> -Aagent Aaron-
==

BTW: As mentioned in another thread, I have dropped you back in
the killfile. Unlike someone else on this group, when I say "plonk",
the person really is plonked... at that very time.
Consider it my bit towards peace in the newsgroup.

Plonk.

Brainbuster.

2792. Re: CB Enters "Dark Ages"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 06:43:46 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ai81fiudd7@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 131821

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207281223.658d7081@posting.google.com...
>
> PS: unlicensed "CB" operation above 28 mHz is illegal - in the UK
==

True.

==
there isn't a law against selling 120 mph cars.
==

True, rather unfair though. While the law forces CB manufacturers
to make products that are compatible with the law, car manufacturers
can continue to encourage law breaking with fast cars.
Maybe something should be done... like make speed limiters a legal
requirement for all new on-road vehicles. In the UK all cars have to
be tested once a year, and drivers are often stopped at the side of
the road for a spot check. A speed limiter could easily become
part of the usual tests.

PLEASE NOTE: This is NOT to say that rules placed on CB
manufacturers is wrong neither should they be removed ... but
that car manufacturers should have limits placed on the abilities
of their products in much the same way.
Of course, the police cars would have to be unresticted so they could
still catch offenders... until some "PedalClown" designs a "linear"
to stick up the exhaust to give you extra speed ;~)

Having agreed on one or two things and, maybe, gave you a laugh at the
idea of someone sticking a linear up their exhaust (steady on, I meant their
car exhaust), I am now putting you back in my "sin bin" in the hope of
bringing a little bit of peace to the group.

Brainbuster.

2793. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 06:43:47 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ai81fkudd8@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 131822

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d3b9264.25179981@news.cet.com...
> In <3d36853d.21417564@news.cet.com>, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
> (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >>How about looking back at the original question and seeing if great
> >>mathematical formulae was asked for?
> >
> >Maybe you can look at the original reply and see if great mathematical
> >formulae was provided.
>
> I'm still waiting for an answer for that one, too.
==

I can just imagine you sat there, watching the monitor, desperately waiting for the responses to your posts. You'll just have to get used to waiting, some of us have lives off the Net.
You act like everyone on the group has to answer to you... you post a question, then throw a "paddy" if you do not get an instant reply.

What makes you believe that anyone on this group has to answer to you? You have no automatic right to a reply, I have no obligation to respond in any set time, or at all. Considering how desperate you seem for responses, and how little "life" you have to fill your time with, you really should be grateful that we take the time to even read your posts.

Why don't you look at where the quest for figures started, easy enough to do, no Google searching needed...

> > <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
> > news:3d3415cd.33607344@news.cet.com...
> >
> > Yes it does, but by how much?
> >
> > By how much?
> >
> > By how much?

So, we can see who wanted to turn this into a p*ss*ing contest with figures. That was also the post where figures started coming into it.

So, the question has to be... Why did you ask for figures?
You often boast about your qualifications and experience, so it cannot be that you really wanted them. The original poster didn't ask for exact figures, so it wasn't for him. What we are left with is that you just want

an argument, you feel a need to make yourself feel better than everyone else.

You prove this with your responses... If I answer you, I am "trying to educate you" and you act offended, if I ignore you then I am "running away".

So, you don't want a reply and you can't stand to be ignored? I get it, you just want people to bow down and worship every word you type. Oh, and we must all join the "antis"... being legal is not enough, we must all act like assholes or we will get abuse from the "antis".
Unless we go on the "Pirate" groups, you don't really care that people break laws... as long as they do not do it on "your" newsgroup.

Is your killfile still not working, still unable to click that mouse? Admit it, you never intended to "plonk" me in a killfile, you never even use one, you love what we all have to say.
You will rush to reply to this message, then sit on the edge of your seat, waiting for the next post from me or one of the others you call "keyclowns" just because they will not join the "antis".

Brainbuster.

2794. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 06:43:49 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ai81fmudd9@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 131823

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:WRkY8.101946$UT.6568193@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> But he does not live anywhere near Birmingham:-)

Go ahead, Dick... twist the knife :~)

Regards,

Peter.

2795. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 06:43:51 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ai81fnudd10@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 131824

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d42768c.1514337@news.cet.com...
> In <ahtn3r$989$12@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
> <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:
>
> Name one legal CBer that thinks just because he is running
> legally that he can't be causing interference? Or was that just one
> of your "facts"?
==

Why, do you know every british CBer?
Then what good would naming them on here do?
Exactly... none.

I have seen the "not my fault" attitude towards inteference. The crazy
thing was that, back in the 80s, a totally legal UK system was more
likely to intefere than on who used an illegal antenna mounted above
the height limit.

==
> You sure as hell weren't thinking for yourself when you tried to
> educate me
==

Wait a minute, YOU asked me questions.
If I ignore you, then I am running away... if I reply, then I am "trying to
educate you".

==
> I noticed you didn't respond to that post --
==

See what I mean... damned if I do, damned if I don't.

I have reponded to several posts.
Have you also noticed that I do not get muct time on the newsgroup?
Have you considered that, when it comes to setting priorities, you do
not rate very high?
Oh yes, I already explained that to you... memory failing, or just
selective?

As I said, you would love me to help you fill this newsgroup with some
all night arguments... but it just isn't going to happen.
You want an argument so deperately that you are spreading it to other
threads on the group.

Your killfile still not working... huh. But then you could still see
Randy's posts when he was supposed to be in your killfile.

Yep, so desperate to "plonk" me that you are now replying to me
in other threads.

Brainbuster.

2796. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 07:29:48 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns925C52D3C5F1subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 131825

zeek198@hotmail.com wrote in news:3D474A14.4B3AD5D1@hotmail.com:

> Hi
>
> Right now the anteena's are mounted to my mirrors on a 89 ford 150
> ....do u think this is not a good enought ground for the
> whip's...?????
>
> thanks Zeek
>
> tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>
>> On Mon, 29 Jul 2002 16:58:34 -0400, zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
>>
>> >Hi All
>> >
>> >I just was checking my swr's on my cb (uniden pc68xl)....I have two
>> >whip 36' fibrglass ..I got the swr's about 1.1 to 1.4 from channel
>> >10 up to channel 40 (the higher the channel the lower the swr's are)
>> >...my question is how do i get the swr's lower on the channels from
>> >9 to channel 1 ....when I check them they are about 2.2 and go to
>> >3.0 with the lower channel i use the higher the swr's get....
>> >
>> >thanks
>> >
>> >Zeek
>>
>> If the stock antennas and harness assembly only match well at the
>> higher frequencies then most likely you need to establish a better
>> ground.
>>
>> A better ground can be had by establishing a larger, surer contact to
>> the automobiles sheet metal.
>

I'm not sure if this is exactly the answer you're looking for, but I'm reasonably certain that your dual antenna setup will never perform admirably because your antennas are not spaced far enough apart. They should be about 102" apart. While this doesn't explain why your SWR jumps from 1.4 on channel 10 to 2.2 on channel 9, it's something to consider. Also, assumming that your antennas are tuned correctly, spaced far enough apart, and fed in phase with each other, this will create a slightly unidirectional radiation pattern. Most texts I've read suggest that signals from the sides of the vehicle will be reduced (great on the highway, not too great elsewhere), while signals in front and behind the

vehicle will be increased SLIGHTLY. One book I've read suggests that 2-3db gain can be realized, but this assumes that EVERYRHING (phase, ground plane, spacing, etc) is as it should be. On an 89 Ford F150, even with mirrors that extend well to the sides of the vehicle, a 102" space between antennas will not be realized. A long time ago, I ran two 4' whips on my '68 F100 because I thought it looked cool. Problem was, they were too close together. I never could get SWR below 2:1. Man was I suprised at how much better a single antenna mounted on top of the cab performed. Like night and day.

Still, if you insist on running dual antennas on that truck, you won't get any grief from me. Performace problems notwithstanding, I still think they look cool. =)

Now, as to your specific problem, why don't you try this: Test each antenna individually, but with a single piece of coax. Don't disconnect an antenna while using your phased coaxial cable harness (assumming of course that is what you are using). Also, remember to re-calibrate your SWR meter when you test on a different channel. If your SWR continues to make a big jump between channels 10 and 9 as you descried, I would almost suspect the radio. This is strange, that's why I said to make sure that the meter is calibrated correctly. Anyway, try it with ONE known good antenna, and a single piece of coax. Oh, and grind the paint off the mirrors under the mirror mounts. The ground is better that way.

2797. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 08:02:28 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d479833.4005899@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131826

In <ai81fkudd8@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
<brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:

<snip long-winded excuse about not having much time>

Right. Whatever.

2798. Re: twisted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 08:03:58 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4799d8.4427174@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131827

In <ai81f8udd2@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
<brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:

>"Z26915" <z26915@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020724222611.05572.00000565@mb-fl.aol.com...
>> your e-mail is bouncing
>===
>
>I see that some have made guesses at what happened.
>
>Actually, Twistedhed changed his e-mail some months back, due to the
>scumbags that keep sending hate mail to the users of this newsgroup.
>He gave the new addy out to all who he knew could be trusted
>to behave themselves. If you had not e-mailed him recently when
>he changed, then he may have missed you out.
>
>Maybe that is why Frank doesn't have the details... maybe Twistedhed
>just forgot to include him. I guess that means he may not be on his
>Christmas list either.
>
>I e-mailed Twistedhed a couple of weeks back, and no bounce message.
>
>
>Regards,
>
>Brainbuster.

Any friend of Twisty...

2799. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 08:25:35 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d479a1b.4493853@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131828

In <ai81fnudd10@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
<brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:

><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d42768c.1514337@news.cet.com...
>> In <ahtn3r$989$12@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
>> <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:
>>
>> Name one legal CBer that thinks just because he is running
>> legally that he can't be causing interference? Or was that just one
>> of your "facts"?
>==
>
>Why, do you know every british CBer?
>Then what good would naming them on here do?
> Exactly... none.

Gee, what a suprise.

>I have seen the "not my fault" attitude towards inteference. The crazy
>thing was that, back in the 80s, a totally legal UK system was more
>likely to intefere than on who used an illegal antenna mounted above
>the height limit.

I agree, and I have seen the same attitude, too. It's not just
confined to CBers, and not all CBers have that affliction. But you are
still avoiding the fact that running a legal rig greatly reduced the
likelyhood that you are causing interference.

>==
>> You sure as hell weren't thinking for yourself when you tried to
>> educate me
>==
>
>Wait a minute, YOU asked me questions.
>If I ignore you, then I am running away... if I reply, then I am "trying to
>educate you".

Call it what you want, you are still avoiding the issues.

>==
>> I noticed you didn't respond to that post --
>==
>
>See what I mean... damned if I do, damned if I don't.

That's because I called you on your BS, and now your choices are
limited to admitting that you didn't know what you were talking about

or run away. I see that you choose to run away by complaining a lot.

>I have reponded to several posts.
>Have you also noticed that I do not get muct time on the newsgroup?
>Have you considered that, when it comes to setting priorities, you do
>not rate very high?
>Oh yes, I already explained that to you... memory failing, or just
>selective?

You have explained it so well that I wonder where you found the time
to explain it!

>As I said, you would love me to help you fill this newsgroup with some
>all night arguments... but it just isn't going to happen.
>You want an argument so deperately that you are spreading it to other
>threads on the group.

I don't care if you post once a week, once a month, or once a year.
The posts are in the archives so I can just live my life until you
decide to face the issues. No sweat off my ass.

>Your killfile still not working... huh. But then you could still see
>Randy's posts when he was supposed to be in your killfile.
>Yep, so desperate to "plonk" me that you are now replying to me
>in other threads.

Don't flatter yourself: I goofed with the killfile. Got any more
elaborate excuses that you don't have the time to write? or maybe a
few more contorted diversionary tactics? Are you getting some coaching
from Twisty? It sure sounds like it.

2800. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 11:13:27 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D47C65B.17B16C2B@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131829

Brainbuster wrote:

> The more lottery tickets you get, the greater the chance you will win :~)
> But nothing is guaranteed.

1- The lottery is a tax on people that don't understand statistics.

2801. Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 11:14:26 UTC
From: k8cpachuck@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d47c510.65325903@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 131830

Hi people...

Just for the record, Yes, I did have a hard drive crash, No it wasn't caused by the "anti-keyclowns", if anyone is to blame, it is Bill Gates for writing such a crappy Operating System. Like 95, 98, and ME... For the record, I was running Zone Alarm, Norton System Works 2001, and I had the latest updates to the virus protection files..., so, there was no virus on my system, if fact, my computer was off, and had been for like 8 hours and when I turned it back on, Hard Drive started acting crazy. and system crashed. Only thing I can think off is, we had some thunderstorms in the area, and possibly some EMF zapped the system... it never was very stable... it finally did me a favor and crashed.

I finally switched to a REAL OS. and a stable one!

73 to all

-Chuck

"Windows NT Server 4.0 powered"

2802. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 11:19:05 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D47C7AD.5DBAD07C@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131831

Brainbuster wrote:

> You prove this with your responses... If I answer you, I am "trying to
> educate you" and you act offended, if I ignore you then I am "running away".

Damned if you do, damned if you don't...

2803. Re: they just don't get it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 11:24:56 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D47C90C.47F96EAA@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131832

> Any friend of Twisty...

...is NOT an AKC'er.

2804. Re: Radios& amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 11:25:59 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D47C94B.A082A1EE@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131833

>
> > I would agree about the posting but the swing kits make them worth scrap
> > value only.
> >
> >
> >
>
> I'll agree with Richard, now that everything inside was
> probably clipped, makes the units virtually useless.

If he wants to put them back to stock, someone might buy them.

2805. Re: CB Enters "Dark Ages"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 11:32:06 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D47CAB9.C294DD7C@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131834

"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:
>
> In a May 13 Public Notice,

May 13, what year?

Incomplete, as usual. Staying up 24/7 is getting to you.

2806. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 11:33:44 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D47CB18.19D9@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 131841

yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
>
> I have to disagree with a couple things here:
>
> In <bftekucpver11e5rft2fhaj9ul03ktpk5j@4ax.com>, Lou Franklin
> <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >You can't conveniently adjust AM modulation to the required levels
> >unless you can view the actual waveform.
>
> For starters, the 'modulation' adjustment is either an audio gain
> control or a limiter adjustment. If it's audio gain, you adjust it for
> specs with an audio (sine) signal generator and either a AC voltage
> measurement on the modulator output or on the modulation meter. If
> it's a limiter adjustment, crank the audio up until the modulation
> meter stops. If it stops above 100%, turn down the limiter. If it
> stops well below 100%, turn it up. This ain't rocket science...

You're placing alot of faith in a modulation "meter". Something that I
wouldn't do. A meter will not display distortions of the waveform, only
their relative combined value.
A scope can let you "see" the modulation, such that you can set the
percentage, while ensuring that the waveform is correct.

>
> > It's possible to connect a
> >simple audio type 'scope to use the trapezoidal measurement method,
> >and we'll demonstrate this later. However you still need a high
> >frequency response for things like transmitter signal tracing.
>
> A sig-gen works better because it can be used as a signal injector at
> each stage, and it's much easier to track down a problem by working
> backwards from the final. A scope doesn't always work because not all
> stages have voltage gain, and if you are not paying attention to the
> schematic you might end up wasting time and money replacing by good
> components without cause. I learned that one by experience...

Which is why you should never depend on any one instrument to do all of
your work. A scope is useful for looking at modulation, or any other
voltage. It is, afterall, nothing more than a voltmeter, that displays
in the time domain. I use my scope sometimes just to measure voltages in
the power supply. The added benefit is that I can see the amount of
ripple present.

>
> > When I
> >was starting out and couldn't afford a 'scope, I did a lot of signal
> >tracing with a VOM and RF probe. This method works, but without a
> >'scope you can't analyze the more subtle problems like noise and
> >distortion.
>
> We're talking AM here. Noise and distortion usually occurs in the
> audio stages, the modulating stage, or the final. If the source of the
> noise turns out to be from the RF input to the final, it's almost
> always because the PLL/VCO has gone haywire, and you can see that on a
> freq counter even before the scope has had a chance to warm up.

Not always. I've run across cases of incidental FM'ing of the VCO, that makes the audio sound raspy, but you can't see it on either a scope, or a counter, since the amount of FM is too small for the counter to sample. In this case, the only thing to use is a spectrum analyzer. But a scope can show distortions in the RF area, as "fuzziness" on the modulation waveform. Again, only a spectrum analyzer can quantify the distortion, but a scope can alert you to its presence.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2807. Re: Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 11:46:30 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns925C30B2787D5subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 131835

k8cpachuck@yahoo.com wrote in news:3d47c510.65325903
@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com:

> Hi people...
>
> Just for the record, Yes, I did have a hard drive crash, No it wasn't
> caused by the "anti-keyclowns", if anyone is to blame, it is Bill
> Gates for writing such a crappy Operating System. Like 95, 98, and
> ME... For the record, I was running Zone Alarm, Norton System Works
> 2001, and I had the latest updates to the virus protection files...,
> so, there was no virus on my system, if fact, my computer was off, and
> had been for like 8 hours and when I turned it back on, Hard Drive
> started acting crazy. and system crashed. Only thing I can think off
> is, we had some thunderstorms in the area, and possibly some EMF
> zapped the system... it never was very stable... it finally did me a
> favor and crashed.
>
> I finally switched to a REAL OS. and a stable one!
>
> 73 to all
>
> -Chuck
>
> "Windows NT Server 4.0 powered"
>
>
>

Though I'm not a big fan of Win9x and am glad to see you move over to NT (ever considered 2000?), it won't help if you get a power surge due to thunderstorms. If the head in your hard drive makes contact with a platter - a true crash - you will still be out of luck. =)

Still if it WAS caused by a nasty spike, it would have no doubt rendered your hard drive useless (or at least badly damaged). Installing another operating system wouldn't cure it.

I made the move from Win9x to Win2k a while ago. I encourage my customers to do the same. Using Win9x machines frustrates me now. Hey, I've even convinced my REALLY cool customers to move over to Linux =)

Enjoy your new and improved computer

-Alan S. A+, CCNA, CNE, MCSE
"Penguin Powered"

2808. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 11:48:34 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3D47CE9B.EE57BBBB@attbi.com>
Source record: 131836

Dual antennas should be of the same type/model, should have equal lengths of coax, and should be of equal lengths. If you need to make an adjustment, you are going to adjust both equally.

zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:

> Hi Yeti
>
> I just have one question I am thinking on running dual antenna's ....how
> do I check the antenna's for swr reading if both are connected at the same
> time ..??? Say for example my swr reading are very high ...how do I know
> which anntenna needs to be adjusted to bring the swr reading up or
> down..?? If the swr reading is high do I just cut off alittle bit offf
> each antenna....?? makeing sure each antenna is the exact same
> length....??
> \
>
> THANKS ZEEK
>
> "yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
> > In <3D45C3D7.B9983411@hotmail.com>, zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
> > >
> > > >question 1 ....is yes
> > >
> > > I never saw the advantage of running dualies, but to each his own.
> > > Anyway, like JJ said, you have to measure the SWR with both connected.
> > >
> > > >question 2.....is no mounted to mirror arm
> > >
> > > A spring will probably give you the extra length you need. It will
> > > also prevent the antenna from breaking if it hits something.

2809. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 12:06:55 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D47D2DF.EE2@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 131842

Alan S. wrote:
>
> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in
> news:3d46526f.349982@news.cet.com:
>
> > Actually, a scope really won't help you much. Assuming you already
> > have a variable power supply and a reasonably good digital multimeter
> > (or VTVM), the first things you need are an accurate RF signal
> > generator, a demod probe and a freq counter. For RF work you need a
> > dummy load, a field strength meter and a grid-dip oscillator. I have
> > found that a capacitor tester and an impedance bridge are almost
> > indispensable. An audio signal generator would be next on the list,
> > and an audio distortion analyzer would be nice, too. None of this
> > equipment needs to be high-end, and even the old tube-type stuff will
> > work just fine (as it has done for several decades). And THEN get an
> > O-scope to finish off the shop. Of course a spectrum analyzer would be
> > REALLY nice, but they are quite expensive. Because of the price, some
> > people have built their own as an accessory for their O-scope. I can
> > provide a link or two if you are interested.
> >
>
> Thank you VERY much. That was an EXTREMELY helpful reply. It's nice to be
> able to encounter somebody who has actually done serious RF work. I come
> across more than enough chop-shop, screwdriver turning, "tweak and peak"
> techs in my activities.

For most of my beginning years in radio work, I had nothing more than a Radio Shack VOM, a fairly decent wattmeter, and an old heathkit signal generator. It's surprising what you can learn to do with crude instruments and a good ear. Now, I have more modern equipment, including a decent scope (Tek 2445), a Fluke counter, an H.P. Spectrum analyzer, H.P. signal generator, Tek audio signal generator, and DVM, and my still trusty Radio Shack VOM. I wonder now how I ever did anything before. There are so many things that you cannot see without the right gear. I guess most CBers are not overly concerned with accuracy, or spectral purity, so it's usually not an issue.

> And on the RF signal generator, you mentioned accuracy. That's a
> desirable quality =) How could I verify accuracy? Checking the frequency
> and voltage is easy, but what if I need to KNOW that a a signal is
> modulated at say, 30%?

You need a scope!

>
> Almost done: Any recommendations on accurately measureing transmitter RMS
> power and PEP? I know that there are alot of wattmeters available, but
> somehow I can't help but believe that a $30 truck-stop unit is subpar - I
> could be wrong.

You are not wrong. Almost all wattmeters are affected by impedence mismatch, some way more than others. Most are only accurate on a good dummy load. Also, "peak" reading wattmeters, usually do not respond fast enough to accurately show modulation peaks. Again, the scope is the best tool for the job. Absolute accuracy is not even required. You just set your carrier to be 2 divisions high, then add modulation. If the peak is 4 divisions, you're at 100% and a peak power of 4 times what the carrier power was (2X voltage = 4X power). Plus you can look at modulation symmetry.

> I've heard that the old Bird Thruline wattmeters are
> great.

They are one of the most trusted and accurate meters out there. So much so, that a whole generation of CBers has created a unit of measure based on the Bird meter, the infamous "Bird Watts".

> A similar question: Can modulation percentage accurately be
> measured by a meter?

Not if you want the whole picture. A severely distorted transmitter can still show 100% on the meter, but the waveform symmetry is downright awful. Only a scope can show you what the waveform looks like.

> I've always seen examples of over and under
> modulation in textbooks represented on a scope. I somehow assumed that a
> scope was the only accurate way to measure modulation. If I was wrong,
> and a meter can do it, wonderful. I'm also curious as to how accurate the
> readily available and cheap meters of this type are.

Meters are cheap alternatives. They can accurately measure modulation percentage, but only if the waveform is symmetrical, and usually only with a steady tone. To really see what is going on, a scope is the only answer. A scope can display the modualtion such that you can determine whether the waveform is symmetrical (equal + and - curves), or to what degree it is distorted.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2810. Re: CB endorsed by PennDOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 12:11:18 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D47D3E6.6FFF@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 131843

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3D468067.358@worldlynx.net...
> > Richard McCollum wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3D453407.5CB0@worldlynx.net...
> > > > Kenneth wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > WHat does this mean? Lately PennDOT has been setting up
> transmitters
> > > with an
> > > > > automatic message usually with travel advisories. Basically along
> the
> > > lines
> > > > > of "constuction at exits 55 to 57 along rt 283 slow down, slow
> down
> > > NOW".
> > > > > This is always on ch19 and seems to be replacing the old system of
> > > > > advisories on 530am or 1640am.
> > > > > While not an ACTUAL endorsement it is recognition by a state
> > > government
> > > > > that CB ch19 is the de-facto medium for travel and IMHO
> constitutes an
> > > > > official recognition by spending money for auto-transmitters. CB
> is
> > > hear to
> > > > > stay.
> > > > > Also I'd bet dollars to donuts there not 4 watt units. These
> > > advisories
> > > > > blanket a large area and step on everybody.
> > > > > What does this mean for cb? These warnings are not on FMS or
> MURS or
> > > any
> > > > > Ham bands. CB is hear to stay.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Hmmm..... I live in Pa., and I have yet to hear anything like this.
> I
> > > > still hear the Turnpike advisories on 1640 Khz, when I drive near
> one of
> > > > the interchanges.
> > > >

> > > > I see a fundamental legality issue with this on CB. CB is not
> authorized
> > > > to transmit one-way broadcasts, which these "advisories" surely are.
> > > > While many citizens have no problem breaking the rules, a
> governmental
> > > > agency would be under far greater pressure to behave itself.
> > > >
> > > > Dave
> > > > "Sandbagger"
> > > >
> > >
> > > Oh? Would you believe Ohio applied for authorization to jam CB?
> >
> > I'd be real interested to hear the particulars of THAT situation. I
> > don't believe that there are ANY provisions, in FCC rules, that would
> > allow legal jamming on any frequency band used by the citizens of this
> > country (including ham and CB).
> >
> > I'd also like to hear Ohio's justification for it.
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> >
>
> The justification was smokey reports and the FCC said 'Droppen Sie Dead'.
> Ohio earns its rep as the nation's most chicken hiway patrol on a regular
> basis. Wagner Brake in St. Louis once applied to run all kinds of
> footwarmers etc because their anti-lock brakes were very rf prone at the
> time and truckers with amps made them do everything short of the half-fast
> waltz. You might be surprised at the requests Fat Cousin Charlie gets.

I probably would. But I'm reasonably sure the FCC just laughed in their
proverbial faces.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2811. Re: Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 12:14:53 UTC
From: k8cpachuck@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d47d20d.68633740@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 131837

On Wed, 31 Jul 2002 11:46:30 -0000, "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>
>Though I'm not a big fan of Win9x and am glad to see you move over to NT
>(ever considered 2000?), it won't help if you get a power surge due to
>thunderstorms. If the head in your hard drive makes contact with a
>platter - a true crash - you will still be out of luck. =)
>

This is true... I also tend to believe it was a curruption of files
like system.ini and others.... I've thought about Windows 2000
Server... Might pick it up, if I can find it new. of course, you don't
think I bought Windows NT do you? <wink wink>

>Still if it WAS caused by a nasty spike, it would have no doubt rendered
>your hard drive useless (or at least badly damaged). Installing another
>operating system wouldn't cure it.
>

See above.

what happened was, the computer was off, due to me being asleep and
there were some storms in the area. and so, I shut down... Well, when
I turned it back on... I got a message from Norton saying my config
files were not found... and I thought, Oh great, norton's screwing up
again, and I turn it off and back, because it quit responding... and
so, when I did that I started getting all these error messages...
well, I ended up getting a windows ME disk from my Mom and I booted
and I ran Scanreg, fixed one problem, but when I ran scan disk, that's
when all the troubles were found... and that's when I decided to
format and start all over... I learned alot! Believe you me. Iearned
that a Windows ME Partition (or maybe a DOS partition?) and a Windows
NT Partition are two different animals and do not like one another at
all!

>I made the move from Win9x to Win2k a while ago. I encourage my customers
>to do the same. Using Win9x machines frustrates me now. Hey, I've even
>convinced my REALLY cool customers to move over to Linux =)
>

I'd like to play with Linux sometime down the road... just have to
learn this OS first... although, except for the network stuff and some
other minor stuff, this ain't much different than Win 9x, as far as
the GUI goes...

>Enjoy your new and improved computer
>

Thanks.

>-Alan S. A+, CCNA, CNE, MCSE

-Chuck
>"Penguin Powered"

LOL! I see this now... --- Waddle Waddle Waddle

73!

2812. Re: 28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 12:31:38 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020731083138.20353.00000405@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 131838

even though this analogy really sucks a normal sane person wouldnt care what happened during those 23 and a half hours he isnt using it as long as he gets his 30 minutes a day he needs and his phone bill doesnt rise. but if he did mind and it was a problem then he would simply get a new phone line that wasnt so crowded and be done with it just as the services that are legal for 27 megs have done. jim you used to be a cool guy but now you've turned into nothing more than a parrot repeating how wrong it is to have amps and use the freeband. why the change in your attitude on this group? i'd rather hear old stories about 500 megawatt stations running phone patches and the like than i would you complain everyday.....

>True, but the symptom is the same. Who needs a license? Granted, there may
>be a difference, but as I mentioned in a post, it is similar to tapping into
>a telephone line. That telephone is likely to be unused 23 3/4 hours out of
>24. So does that make it ok to tap into that telephone and use it? No one
>gets hurt, right? Seriously, no one gets hurt. Of course, if you are the
>guy paying for that telephone line and 20 other people start using it, you
>just might start getting a wee bit upset. Now I'd like to see you argue
>that line of thinking. It is quite similar to what I am seeing in the
>newsgroups. Think about it (if you are capable of rational thought, that
>is).
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

2813. Re: 28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 12:32:26 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020731083226.20353.00000406@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 131839

explain why it matters and i might stop it lol

>Put him on your mantle?
>
>And stop top posting. ;)

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

2814. Re: X FORCE HD60012 and Astatic700 Power Scanner For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 12:35:50 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020731083550.20353.00000407@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 131840

dick, instead of ridiculing and poking fun why not try to encourage the user to lower his dead key to 375 watts and correct the problem? would that be too much like advice to a cb'er?

>
>Well, well. Claims 550 rms real water-boiling watts and 1500 peak which
>means if I pump in a 100% modulated Antique Modulation signal it will
>return 15/22 or 65% on a good day. My, my.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

2815. Re: Interesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 12:38:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020731083823.20353.00000408@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 131844

frank you probably sent them yourself. since he didnt post the headers from the letter he got i guess i'll post the one i got.

Subj:
Date: 7/30/02 8:26:23 AM Central Daylight Time
From: remailer@xganon.com (xganon)
To: timnebo@aol.com

NEBO DWEEBO SUCKS NIGGER DICK!

You are a faggotboy, i KNOW you enjoy your dick licking while it lasts, before you croak from AIDS, faggot.

I choose not to email you cuz you are a faggotboy who I enjoy reminding of his craving for penis.
You are a dick sucker just like the other ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS that go behind the sleeper curtain to be
with a 9in. nigger dick
I feel remorse for your parents knowing what a faggot ass piece of shit u are.
BTW anti boys own the NG and you and you sperm lickin buddies cannot do shit about it--
NEBO DWEEBO SUCKS NIGGER DICK!!!

---------- Headers ----------
Return-Path: <anon@www.xganon.com>
Received: from rly-ye05.mx.aol.com (rly-ye05.mail.aol.com [172.18.151.202]) by air-ye05.mail.aol.com (v86_r1.16) with ESMTP id MAILINYE53-0730092623; Tue, 30 Jul 2002 09:26:23 2000
Received: from www.xganon.com (www.xganon.com [207.136.42.147]) by rly-ye05.mx.aol.com (v87.16) with ESMTP id MAILRELAYINYE52-0730092611; Tue, 30 Jul 2002 09:26:11 -0400
Received: (from anon@localhost)
by www.xganon.com (8.10.1/pre1.0-MySQL/8.9.3) id g6UDRSW12897;
Tue, 30 Jul 2002 08:27:28 -0500
Date: Tue, 30 Jul 2002 08:27:28 -0500
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
It was remailed automatically by anonymizing remailer software.
Please report problems or inappropriate use to the
remailer administrator at <abuse@xganon.com>.
To: timnebo@aol.com
Message-ID: <deddb2e74dd4916f5746fc1b13135e71@xganon.com>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

2816. Re: Interference from Channel 6 and 15 in SoBo_Virginia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 12:40:39 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020731084039.20353.00000409@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 131845

dave, does your pair of glasses on your face have a little piece of duct tape holding them together in the middle??? you seem like a real p*ssy if your scared to go talk to another man about a problem your having with him. your the type of man nobody respects because you never deserve it.

>
>Once he has the information to forward to the FCC it is not his job to play
>cop. If all he wants is the interference to stop and he wants to do it
>legally then he did the right thing by not contacting the offender himself.
>Not a bright idea to knock on someone's door and say:
>
>"I'm sorry but your causing interference blah blah blah."
>
>These days your likely to catch a real good ass whipping if your lucky. If
>your unlucky they'll shoot you. If your really lucky you'll have a decent
>conversation with them and just maybe they'll do something about it.
>
>
>Dave
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

2817. Re: Interference from Channel 6 and 15 in SoBo_Virginia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 12:41:07 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020731084107.20353.00000410@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 131846

if you dont like top posting why do you middle post? lol

>
>"Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote:
>> "Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
>> news:uke13rtmbb10e3@corp.supernews.com...
>> > Did you even try to knock on the door and explain the interference in a
>> > polite manner? Or are you one of people that wanted to be a cop but
>> couldnt
>> > hack it. So you decide that stalking them would be better than
>> > intelligently informing them of the problem. If you are to chicken
>> > shit
>> to
>> > knock on the door than maybe a nice polite letter. You obviously know
>> > the address.
>> >
>> > I hope you get your woody out of all of this.
>>
>>>Once he has the information to forward to the FCC it is not his job to
>> play cop. If all he wants is the interference to stop and he wants to do
>> it legally then he did the right thing by not contacting the offender
>> himself. Not a bright idea to knock on someone's door and say:
>>
>The bottom line is if you're causing the neighbors electronic gear to go
>nuts,you're gonna eventually get popped.
>
>If you know your neighbors are pissed,you best stop.
>> "I'm sorry but your causing interference blah blah blah."
>>
>> These days your likely to catch a real good ass whipping if your lucky.
>> If your unlucky they'll shoot you. If your really lucky you'll have a
>> decent conversation with them and just maybe they'll do something about
>> it.
>>
>> Dave
>
>--
>Go # 40
>------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service
>
>
>
>

>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

2818. WARNING Re: Newbie question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 13:56:41 UTC
From: tw&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; <.tw>, <>, yahoomsnATTBI&#65533;.org.com
Message-ID: <t0S19.101855$uh7.16469@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 131847

Do not reply to these posts. This person has made it that when a person replies to his or her
messages, it replies them to alt.bad.clams, not the group the post was seen in. If you need to
reply, make sure that the "newsgroups" header has the right newsgroup in it.

"Brian" <jsshak112@aol.com> wrote in message news:925c.8c3b.4c@YHBT...
| Hi! nice newsgroup. any rules I should know before I start posting?
| is there an faq?
| Thanks!
|

2819. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.-Public Warning to you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 13:58:15 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0207310558.180e0e27@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131848

"lightlady" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:<ai6s5i$11sgr5$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>...
> well, it seems the unknown idjit wants to play hardball...think you can
> handle the stress?? you thought you were just dealing with mad-dog, LOL,
> well, now you've p*ssed me off enough to post here, and give you one public
> warning ..
>
> stay out of my email, or you will suffer the consequences (ooops, that may
> be toooo Big of a word for you, so to put it simply, you will be in trouble,
> and the remail service will be implicated in your continued harassment in my
> email, and a court order can make them start tracking all remailed items to
> stop this harassment, or at the very least, they will withdraw service to
> the general public, because of the legal ramifications and legal costs that
> can and will be incurred)
>
> and a note about remailers, you are doing a dis-service to them by using a
> tool that was intended to protect the innocent from harrassment, not hide
> the guilty and beligerent sycophants.
>
> so come on Boy, can you fight like a man?? or do you have to hide behind
> that sleeper curtain forever...dang Napoleon syndrome, aka short man
> complex...what are you 4'9" or maybe 5' tall??
>
> oh well, Karma's gonna get ya, anyway..
>
> --
> lynn
>
> "Time is Fun, when you're having Flies"...Kermit the Frog
>
> opinions mine based on my own experience & research
>
> "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
> news:ai4kp2$11iif0$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de...
> > You are a coward, i hope you enjoy your remailing service while it lasts
> > coward.
> > I choose not to post the the contents of your cowardly e-mail for moral
> > reasons.
> > You are a lowlife just like the CB rambos that hide behind the sleeper
> > curtain
> > with their 9ft. mic. cord.
> > I feel remorse for your parents knowing what a gutless coward u are.
> > BTW you don't own jack.....................
> > --

> > 714 Sandpile,
> > The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
> >
> >

Oh why don't you cut the crap? You....are going to get a court order because someone is pissing you off, using a remailer....Please. Don't flatter yourself with this kind of talk. Do you really think that type of banter scares anyone? I hardly think so. Besides where have you been? Those anonymous remailers have been and continue to be used for the exact purpose you are whining about. Give it a break already. You aren't going to do anything about it, and nothing is going to happen. You know why. You ain't got the stroke. Besides you probably live in some po dunk trailer park anyways. Hell, relax and enjoy it.

2820. Re: FS: Browning MK III

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 14:00:34 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0207310600.3bec862c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131850

slo100bw1@aol.com (Slo100bw1) wrote in message
news:<20020730133814.27017.00000492@mb-fi.aol.com>...
> Browning Mk III in 9 out of 10 condition. Operates great. Comes with Siltronix
> #80 Vfo, stock Astatic D104, and original manual. Asking $300 + shipping or BO.

Hell man, if it is in great condition, sell it on ebay. You are bound
to get some chump willing to pay big bucks for all that squeal.

2821. Astatic Mobile Max

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 14:01:48 UTC
From: Moonlite Productions <cynthia@moonlite.us>
Message-ID: <ukfr7amov5th00@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131849

Fellow CBers,

I have a friend of mine who is looking for an Astatic Mobile Max but don't want to pay $80.00+ for a brand new one. Does anyone know where I might find a used one. I saw one on ebay a while back, but I was not quick enough with the bid. I bid 35.00, it went for 36.50! I am willing to pay $50 or lower, depending on the condition of the unit.

Thank you!
Michigan Moonlite

2822. WTB: GALAXY DX 11B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 14:12:47 UTC
From: JEEPMON <JEEPMON@HOTMAIL.COM>
Message-ID: <zfS19.733957$cQ3.113753@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 131851

[No readable article body recovered]

2823. Re: aaron's cornered again, starts insulting to avoid the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 14:26:02 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <_rS19.36102$z37.137274623@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131852

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207302156.73909f3a@posting.google.com...
> Landshark wrote:
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
> > >news:c9ceae5b.0207301615.5bcfe6c9@posting.google.com...
> > >Um, I am curious what exactly you feel would be the nature of a
> > >complaint that would get me "yanked"?
> >
> >It doesn't matter
>
> Of course you avoid answering, because there ISN'T any legitimate
> complaint you could use to get my account "yanked".
>
> Your whining is ridiculous, Mark. You and your "bad citizens" can
> disagree, cry, scream, call me names, etc, and I don't try and get
> your accounts yanked. Why? Because its all free speech. (Only once did
> I have to terminate someone's account, and that was only because he
> was encouraging readers to "shoot me in the head".) But you overgrown
> babies think you can get someone's account cancelled for "spam"
> because you don't like what they post? Get real.
>
> -Aaron-

If those 9 plus accounts didn't get canceled, then what is your
excuse as to why you aren't using them?
You can't, so please continue on your crusade of windmills,
every time you start the hypocrite thing, you are reverting
back to when you were attacking me daily with the same
comments.

Landshark

Just for you:

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

2824. Re: Radios& amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 14:26:02 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <_rS19.36101$z37.137274623@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131853

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D47C94B.A082A1EE@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> >
> > > I would agree about the posting but the swing kits make them worth
scrap
> > > value only.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > I'll agree with Richard, now that everything inside was
> > probably clipped, makes the units virtually useless.
>
> If he wants to put them back to stock, someone might buy them.

True Scott, but it make's you wonder what else "technical"
he made have done or had done to them.

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

2825. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 14:26:02 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <_rS19.36104$z37.137274623@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131854

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d47844c.3944768@news.cet.com...
> In <vPK19.58618$Yi4.236204358@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
> <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
>
>
> > Just wondering why he's defending you with such
> >vigor, does that bother you? Figured not.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Get real, sharkie. I would defend you the same way if you had a point
> worth defending. But you don't.
>

Oh, ok, right Frank.

Have fun,

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

2826. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 14:26:02 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <_rS19.36103$z37.137274623@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131855

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d477cc8.2020141@news.cet.com...
> > Question Frank..... What does it matter
> >to you about who he accuses?
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Because some idiots in here prefer to think that I'm Voobner, which I
> am not. But if he thinks that, then I'm the next person to be accused.
> And if I'm going to be accused of something he damn well better have
> something to accuse me with, not just some bs story about an email.
> Then he needs to prove his case, which of course he can't because even
> if he did get an email like he claims it wasn't from me. So you might
> call it a pre-emptive defense based on probable certainty.
>
> Now I have to ask why you would ask that question? Have you jumped on
> the keyclown propaganda bandwagon and think that I'm Voobner, too? Or
> have you seperated yourself from the lemmings and decided that you can
> think for yourself?
>

Well I don't think you're him, but that doesn't matter.
I was just curious why you seem to come to his defense a
lot lately, but you answered the question.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

2827. Re: Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 15:25:09 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <pjT19.5595$pv6.550782@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 131857

<k8cpachuck@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3d47d20d.68633740@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com...
> On Wed, 31 Jul 2002 11:46:30 -0000, "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > >Though I'm not a big fan of Win9x and am glad to see you move over to NT
> > >(ever considered 2000?), it won't help if you get a power surge due to
> > >thunderstorms. If the head in your hard drive makes contact with a
> > >platter - a true crash - you will still be out of luck. =)
> > >
>
> This is true... I also tend to believe it was a curruption of files
> like system.ini and others.... I've thought about Windows 2000
> Server... Might pick it up, if I can find it new. of course, you don't
> think I bought Windows NT do you? <wink wink>
>
> >Still if it WAS caused by a nasty spike, it would have no doubt rendered
> >your hard drive useless (or at least badly damaged). Installing another
> >operating system wouldn't cure it.
> >
>
> See above.
>
> what happened was, the computer was off, due to me being asleep and
> there were some storms in the area. and so, I shut down... Well, when
> I turned it back on... I got a message from Norton saying my config
> files were not found... and I thought, Oh great, norton's screwing up
> again, and I turn it off and back, because it quit responding... and
> so, when I did that I started getting all these error messages...
> well, I ended up getting a windows ME disk from my Mom and I booted
> and I ran Scanreg, fixed one problem, but when I ran scan disk, that's
> when all the troubles were found... and that's when I decided to
> format and start all over... I learned alot! Believe you me. Iearned
> that a Windows ME Partition (or maybe a DOS partition?) and a Windows
> NT Partition are two different animals and do not like one another at
> all!
>
> >I made the move from Win9x to Win2k a while ago. I encourage my customers
> >to do the same. Using Win9x machines frustrates me now. Hey, I've even
> >convinced my REALLY cool customers to move over to Linux =)
> >
>

> I'd like to play with Linux sometime down the road... just have to
> learn this OS first... although, except for the network stuff and some
> other minor stuff, this ain't much different than Win 9x, as far as
> the GUI goes...
>
>
> >Enjoy your new and improved computer
> >
>
> Thanks.
>
> >-Alan S. A+, CCNA, CNE, MCSE
>
> -Chuck
> >"Penguin Powered"
>
> LOL! I see this now... --- Waddle Waddle Waddle
>
> 73!
>
>

Linux rocks, I run strictly linux on my pwersonal machine at home, but at thje office I am stuck with winblows.

73 de Keith

2828. Re: Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 15:38:49 UTC
From: k8cpachuck@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <368c5fae.2048735@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 131858

On Wed, 31 Jul 2002 10:19:28 -0700, "Dave Clemence"
<*******davesradio@alltel.net***********> wrote:

>poor chuckie boy..... its always someone else's fault isn't it

Go hack yer cbs wanna be tech.

2829. FS TEABERRY MODEL 'T' 40CH BASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 16:44:03 UTC
From: pka9uda@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731124403.01643.00000823@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 131859

a real clean unit and works great
has original hand mic and manual and even comes with
orginal packing and shipping materials
60 dollars plus shipping conus
please reply to my email address
thank you
roger

2830. FS COURIER 'GLADIATOR' 40CH SSB RIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 16:46:07 UTC
From: pka9uda@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731124607.01643.00000826@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 131860

nice shape and works great comes w/mic but no manual
vintage 70's ssb rig
100 dollars plus shipping conus
please reply to my email address
thank you
roger

2831. Re: Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 17:19:28 UTC
From: Dave Clemence <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********>
Message-ID: <ai8v0entk1@iac5.navix.net>
Source record: 131856

poor chuckie boy..... its always someone else's fault isn't it

drc

<k8cpachuck@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3d47c510.65325903@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com...
> Hi people...
>
> Just for the record, Yes, I did have a hard drive crash, No it wasn't
> caused by the "anti-keyclowns", if anyone is to blame, it is Bill
> Gates for writing such a crappy Operating System. Like 95, 98, and
> ME... For the record, I was running Zone Alarm, Norton System Works
> 2001, and I had the latest updates to the virus protection files...,
> so, there was no virus on my system, if fact, my computer was off, and
> had been for like 8 hours and when I turned it back on, Hard Drive
> started acting crazy. and system crashed. Only thing I can think off
> is, we had some thunderstorms in the area, and possibly some EMF
> zapped the system... it never was very stable... it finally did me a
> favor and crashed.
>
> I finally switched to a REAL OS. and a stable one!
>
> 73 to all
>
> -Chuck
>
> "Windows NT Server 4.0 powered"
>
>

2832. OT- How the Ancient Israelites really discovered the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 17:39:16 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <7hV19.5598$pv6.551060@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 131861

In ancient Israel, it came to pass that a trader by the name of Abraham Com did take unto himself a young wife by the name of Dot. And Dot Com was a comely woman, broad of shoulder and long of leg. Indeed, she had been called Amazon Dot Com.

She said unto Abraham, her husband, "Why doth thou travel far from town to town with thy goods when thou can trade without ever leaving thy tent?"

And Abraham did look at her as though she were several saddle bags short of a camel load, but simply said, "How, Dear?"

And Dot replied, "I will place drums in all the towns and drums in between to send messages saying what you have for sale and they will reply telling you which hath the best price. And the sale can be made on the drums and delivery made by Uriah's Pony Stable (UPS)."

Abraham thought long and decided he would let Dot have her way with the drums. The drums rang out and were an immediate success. Abraham sold all the goods he had at the top price, without ever moving from his tent.

But this success did arouse envy. A man named Maccabia did secret himself inside Abraham's drum and was accused of insider trading. And the young men did take to Dot Com's trading as doth the greedy horsefly take to camel dung. They were called Nomadic Ecclesiastical Rich Dominican Siderites, or NERDS for short.

And lo, the land was so feverish with joy at the new riches and the deafening sound of drums that no one noticed that the real riches were going to the drum maker, one Brother William of Gates, who bought up every drum company in the land. And indeed did insist on making drums that would work only with Brother Gates' drumheads and drumsticks.

Dot did say, "Oh, Abraham, what we have started is being taken over by others."

And as Abraham looked out over the Bay of Ezekiel, or as it came to be known "eBay" he said, "We need a name that reflects what we are,"

and Dot replied, "Young Ambitious Hebrew Owner Operators."

"YAHOO", said Abraham.

And that is how it all began.

It wasn't Al Gore after all.

--
KC8TCQ

American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

2833. Re: FS TEABERRY MODEL 'T' 40CH BASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 18:30:59 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020731143059.02109.00000714@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 131862

chocolate jay all over again. how many aol screen names will you use to try and sell shit you dont even have??

>Subject: FS TEABERRY MODEL 'T' 40CH BASE
>Path: lobby!ngtf-m01.news.aol.com!audrey04.news.aol.com!not-for-mail
>Lines: 8
>X-Admin: news@aol.com
>From: pka9uda@aol.com (Pka9uda)
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>Date: 31 Jul 2002 16:44:03 GMT
>Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
>Message-ID: <20020731124403.01643.00000823@mb-ml.aol.com>
>
>
>
>a real clean unit and works great
>has original hand mic and manual and even comes with
>orginal packing and shipping materials
>60 dollars plus shipping conus
>please reply to my email address
>thank you
>roger
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

2834. FS RCI 2950 with extra's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 19:27:58 UTC
From: Jagzilla <jagulator@hotmailsucks.com>
Message-ID: <2TW19.80055$f05.3846951@news1.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 131863

Has extra "channel guard" filter installed, to help prevent cross freq. interference. Also, installed is "AAA" battery system to replace onboard memory battery-no more hassles there when the battery croaks.Radio is in perfect working order, and appearance. Comes with original mic, owners manual, and the original box. Will ship anywhere in the world, buyer pays shipping costs. $170.00 US, or best offer.Please feel free to write me at jagzilla@stones.com for more info.

2835. Re...28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 19:41:29 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207311141.17c118d8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131864

timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote in message
news:<20020731083226.20353.00000406@mb-fd.aol.com>...
>jim you used to be a cool guy but now you've turned into
>nothing more than a parrot repeating how wrong it is to
>have amps and use the freeband.
>why the change in your attitude on this group?
>i'd rather hear old stories
>about 500 megawatt stations running
>phone patches

Poor Tim. Sounds like the kind of adult role model you crave is
someone who doesn't care if you steal or break the law and tells cool
stories about how they "got down" back in the day.

-A

2836. Re: STOP feeding Aaron the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 19:49:36 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <kbX19.551$vg.79983@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131866

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:ai81faudd4@news6.svr.pol.co.uk...
> Plonk... again
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Peter.

Eat Shit Peter Player

2837. Re...X FORCE HD60012 and Astatic700 Power Scanner For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 19:52:19 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207311152.1c7c8b8b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131867

timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote in message
news:<20020731083550.20353.00000407@mb-fd.aol.com>...
> dick, instead of ridiculing and poking fun why not try to
>encourage the user to
> lower his dead key to 375 watts and correct the
>problem? would that be too much
> like advice to a cb'er?

Yeah Tim, this is a horrible trend, eh? Dick is disrespecting
high-power CB and Jerry O. won't tell stories of hams running
megawatts anymore. You better get these guys back in line quick, LOL.

-A

2838. Re: X FORCE HD60012 and Astatic700 Power Scanner For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 19:52:33 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5eX19.12796$pg2.1035122@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131868

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020731083550.20353.00000407@mb-fd.aol.com...
> dick, instead of ridiculing and poking fun why not try to encourage the
user to
> lower his dead key to 375 watts and correct the problem? would that be
too much
> like advice to a cb'er?
>
> >
> >Well, well. Claims 550 rms real water-boiling watts and 1500 peak
which
> >means if I pump in a 100% modulated Antique Modulation signal it will
> >return 15/22 or 65% on a good day. My, my.
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>

You might have a point but I think it is better taken to X-Farce; it's
their numbers not mine.
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

2839. Re: WARNING Re: Newbie question:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 19:54:04 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <wfX19.12797$pg2.1035697@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131869

Thanx, I was wondering just what this was about.

"tw���" <.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBI�.org.com> wrote in message
news:t0S19.101855$uh7.16469@sccrnsc03...
> Do not reply to these posts. This person has made it that when a person
replies to his or her
> messages, it replies them to alt.bad.clams, not the group the post was
seen in. If you need to
> reply, make sure that the "newsgroups" header has the right newsgroup in
it.
>
>
> "Brian" <jsshak112@aol.com> wrote in message news:925c.8c3b.4c@YHBT...
> | Hi! nice newsgroup. any rules I should know before I start posting?
> | is there an faq?
> | Thanks!
> |
>
>

2840. Los Angeles Area Stores?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 19:54:12 UTC
From: Burnt Eddy <burnteddy@nothere.com>
Message-ID: <ai9f0k$123fam$1@ID-84462.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 131865

Any good radio stores in LA area? LA and north actually. Want to walk in a buy something. Looking for 10 meter stuff. I need to impulse buy something. I'm going through gadget withdrawl!!!

BurntEddy

2841. Landshark & his Bad Citizen Squad Ride Again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 20:15:29 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207311215.eec4255@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131870

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<_rS19.36102$z37.137274623@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0207302156.73909f3a@posting.google.com...
> > Landshark wrote:
> > > "Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
> > > >news:c9ceae5b.0207301615.5bcfe6c9@posting.google.com...
> > > > >Um, I am curious what exactly you feel would be the nature of a
> > > > >complaint that would get me "yanked"?
> > > >
> > > >It doesn't matter
> > >
> > > Of course you avoid answering, because there ISN'T any legitimate
> > > complaint you could use to get my account "yanked".
> > >
> > > Your whining is ridiculous, Mark. You and your "bad citizens" can
> > > disagree, cry, scream, call me names, etc, and I don't try and get
> > > your accounts yanked. Why? Because its all free speech. (Only once did
> > > I have to terminate someone's account, and that was only because he
> > > was encouraging readers to "shoot me in the head".) But you overgrown
> > > babies think you can get someone's account cancelled for "spam"
> > > because you don't like what they post? Get real.
> > >
> > > -Aaron-
> >
> If those 9 plus accounts didn't get canceled, then what is your
> excuse as to why you aren't using them?

They didn't get cancelled, the mailboxes mysteriously got onto dozens
of mailing lists (likely by some illegal CB enthusiast from the NG).
Maybe it was you, Landshark. Who knows? Point is, maliciously jamming
my mailbox does you no good, because I'm still posting here - and will
ALWAYS be posting here. If you can't drive me off after 9 tries,
you'll NEVER drive me off.

> You can't, so please continue on your crusade of windmills,

Don't try and quote literary references, you'll embarass yourself.

> every time you start the hypocrite thing, you are reverting
> back to when you were attacking me daily with the same
> comments.

Too bad the archives don't show that. Instead, they show YOU as one of
the more foul-mouthed, bad-attitude troublemakers in the NG, telling
people to "f-off" etc.

>"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN"...etc.

Is this what you're teaching your child?

-A

2842. Brain-busted does not know what truth is.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 20:40:14 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207311240.3c4088b9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131871

Brainbuster wrote:
> >As Sparky said, that would be animism.
>==
>
>Yep, 37 minutes before your post... so it would not have even
>been available on Google, like so many others you respond
>to (out of thread).
>So, where did you see his post... what is your usual
>newsreader?
>
>Brainbuster.

LOL, back here trolling again, huh Peter? You trump up some ridiculous accusation - and suddenly I'm supposed to submit to your Court Of Inquiry? I don't think so....

-A

2843. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 20:54:19 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d48499c.2974298@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131872

In <3D47CB18.19D9@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
<nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

>yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
>>
>> I have to disagree with a couple things here:
>>
>> In <bftekucpver11e5rft2fhaj9ul03ktpk5j@4ax.com>, Lou Franklin
>> <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >You can't conveniently adjust AM modulation to the required levels
>> >unless you can view the actual waveform.
>>
>> For starters, the 'modulation' adjustment is either an audio gain
>> control or a limiter adjustment. If it's audio gain, you adjust it for
>> specs with an audio (sine) signal generator and either a AC voltage
>> measurement on the modulator output or on the modulation meter. If
>> it's a limiter adjustment, crank the audio up until the modulation
>> meter stops. If it stops above 100%, turn down the limiter. If it
>> stops well below 100%, turn it up. This ain't rocket science...
>
>You're placing alot of faith in a modulation "meter". Something that I
>wouldn't do. A meter will not display distortions of the waveform, only
>their relative combined value.
>A scope can let you "see" the modulation, such that you can set the
>percentage, while ensuring that the waveform is correct.

Well, a scope will let you see the modulation envelope but the eye
can't tell if the waveform is a sine or a psuedo-sine. If you want to
get serious about of distortion, linearity and modulation it's best to
set up a trapezoid test and verify with the spectrum analyzer. But
it's a safe bet that if the audio going into the final is clean, the
RF is solid, and the final is working ok, then the only distortion
will be caused by a detuned filter network. This usually happens
because the screwdriver fairy made a visit to the radio in the middle
of the night, but it's easy to fix with a sig-gen and an RF voltmeter.
In fact, it's easier to fix that way than with a scope.

>> > It's possible to connect a
>> >simple audio type 'scope to use the trapezoidal measurement method,
>> >and we'll demonstrate this later. However you still need a high
>> >frequency response for things like transmitter signal tracing.
>>
>> A sig-gen works better because it can be used as a signal injector at

>> each stage, and it's much easier to track down a problem by working
>> backwards from the final. A scope doesn't always work because not all
>> stages have voltage gain, and if you are not paying attention to the
>> schematic you might end up wasting time and money replacing by good
>> components without cause. I learned that one by experience...
>
>Which is why you should never depend on any one instrument to do all of
>your work. A scope is useful for looking at modulation, or any other
>voltage. It is, afterall, nothing more than a voltmeter, that displays
>in the time domain. I use my scope sometimes just to measure voltages in
>the power supply. The added benefit is that I can see the amount of
>ripple present.

You bet, that's one of the best reasons to get a scope. But you don't
need a 100 MHz scope to see power-supply ripple. And don't forget that
a digital voltmeter can measure that ripple down to a few milivolts.

>> > When I
>> >was starting out and couldn't afford a 'scope, I did a lot of signal
>> >tracing with a VOM and RF probe. This method works, but without a
>> >'scope you can't analyze the more subtle problems like noise and
>> >distortion.
>>
>> We're talking AM here. Noise and distortion usually occurs in the
>> audio stages, the modulating stage, or the final. If the source of the
>> noise turns out to be from the RF input to the final, it's almost
>> always because the PLL/VCO has gone haywire, and you can see that on a
>> freq counter even before the scope has had a chance to warm up.
>
>Not always. I've run across cases of incidental FM'ing of the VCO, that
>makes the audio sound raspy, but you can't see it on either a scope, or
>a counter, since the amount of FM is too small for the counter to
>sample. In this case, the only thing to use is a spectrum analyzer. But
>a scope can show distortions in the RF area, as "fuzziness" on the
>modulation waveform. Again, only a spectrum analyzer can quantify the
>distortion, but a scope can alert you to its presence.

I have seen that problem too, but a spectrum analyzer is not the only
way to see it. It shows up in the PLL loop as an audio signal. One of
the first things I do now is to put a scope on that feedback loop and
watch it under normal operating conditions.

Also, 'fuzziness' can happen on a scope even when there is no problem
at all because of some strong signal nearby. I live in the middle of a
node from a Class A AM broadcast station, and believe me it's annoying
as hell!

2844. hr2510 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 21:06:27 UTC
From: pdrunen@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731170627.26982.00001097@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 131873

Hi Group,

Picked up a hr2510, very nice rig.

One problem I have having is that the knob to change frequencies sometimes will stop working. That is, moving the knob has no effect on frequency.

Any help?

Many thanks!

2845. Re: Interesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 21:09:24 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d484f2d.4399108@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131874

In <20020731083823.20353.00000408@mb-fd.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote:

>frank you probably sent them yourself. since he didnt post the headers from the >letter he got i guess i'll post the one i got.

I'll concede that you received the email. But as far as I can tell it could have been -you- that sent all those emails just to stir up hatred against the supporters of legal CB. If you want to pin the blame on somebody, pin it on yourself -- you don't have to use your aol address, you don't have to post in the newsgroups, you know that kind of abuse exists, and you know what you can do about it. And considering you host your own porn site, you really don't have any justification to complain about anything offensive on the net. Now hop on your bicycle, ride down to your local red-light district and pick up some new whores for your website.

2846. Re: 28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 21:50:10 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ukgmrb5bs42174@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131875

True the phone bill doesn't rise, but my guess is that he is going to get a bit upset that others are using that which he paid for. For the most part, I don't care. I do get a bit upset once that crosses 28.000 since a number of us HAVE paid for the service in terms of study to pass the exams as well as equipment. You will also note that the FM broadcasters really get their panties in a knot when someone takes up pirate FM broadcasting - even if it is on a channel not being used locally. You see, the broadcasters pay quite a bit for their licenses as well as equipment, taxes, payroll, etc for the use of the assigned frequency in an attempt to make money through advertising. Heck, if someone wants to use my phone and asks, no problem. It is when people not only don't ask, they start using it constantly that would get me upset. In any event, I am sure I swallowed a troll, hook, line, and sinker. Don't take me too seriously! ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - again about the phone: as with the telephone if it occured once in a great while, I don't think anyone would likely get all that upset (well, maybe a few). Unfortunately, it appears to be headed towards a real free-for-all.

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message news:20020731083138.20353.00000405@mb-fd.aol.com...
> even though this analogy really sucks a normal sane person wouldnt care what
> happened during those 23 and a half hours he isnt using it as long as he gets
> his 30 minutes a day he needs and his phone bill doesnt rise. but if he did
> mind and it was a problem then he would simply get a new phone line that wasnt
> so crowded and be done with it just as the services that are legal for 27 megs
> have done. jim you used to be a cool guy but now you've turned into nothing
> more than a parrot repeating how wrong it is to have amps and use the freeband.
> why the change in your attitude on this group? i'd rather hear old stories
> about 500 megawatt stations running phone patches and the like than i would you
> complain everyday.....
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
> >True, but the symptom is the same. Who needs a license? Granted, there
may
> >be a difference, but as I mentioned in a post, it is similar to tapping
into
> >a telephone line. That telephone is likely to be unused 23 3/4 hours out
of
> >24. So does that make it ok to tap into that telephone and use it? No
one
> >gets hurt, right? Seriously, no one gets hurt. Of course, if you are
the
> >guy paying for that telephone line and 20 other people start using it,
you
> >just might start getting a wee bit upset. Now I'd like to see you argue
> >that line of thinking. It is quite similar to what I am seeing in the
> >newsgroups. Think about it (if you are capable of rational thought, that
> >is).
> >
> >73 from Rochester, NY
> >Jim
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

2847. Re: CB Enters "Dark Ages"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 22:22:02 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <QfZ19.93868$Og3.23414443@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 131876

Richard Cranium <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0207301502.2dbd3996@posting.google.com...
> allsteel77@aol.com (ALLSTEEL77) wrote in message
news:<20020729185628.08566.00000081@mb-fx.aol.com>...
> > And alot of licensed operators in my area 27.6050 and above.
>
> How far above? The CB band ends at 27.405.
>
> And ALL CB operators are "unlicensed". Your point?
>
> Which make another point for me. The FCC "claims" that they cannot
> permot unlicensed operations below 30 MHz "because of ITU
> regulations". But CB is NOT licensed, it is below 30 MHz, and there is
> no such thing as a "blanket license". Hmmmmm! Who's in violation of
> what??

CB radio DOES operate under a 'blanket authority" which is outlined by Part
95
of the rules. Those rules plainly state that "by operating this
transmitter, you agree
to read and abide by the rules of the service". Then those rules continue
to cover
various aspects of CB Radio--basically outlining what is legal and what is
not. It
was, BTW, those ITU regulations and agreements that dictated that CB is
limited to 4 watts output, cannot be used to contact stations over 155 miles
away. Sure,
you CAN hear "skip", but you don't HAVE to talk to those faraway stations.
It
wasn't ALL the mean, tightwad FCC that crafted those rules (Part 95); they
HAD
to set up the rules the way they are in order to get a CB Service by ITU to
begin
with. They never DREAMED that people would actually defy the law some 30-40
years hence. They even walked away from it, amazingly, expecting CBers to
pay attention to the rules. Look what happened. Privately, many FCC bigwigs
will tell you they wish CB would wither and die (not likely), but not out
loud for
fear that such a view would be unpopular. They hoped that cellphones, MURS,
FRS, etc. would draw users away from 27 MHZ. And, if the abuses on 11
meters continue, there could be some unpleasant consequences, not because
it would be popular, but because it would be essential to comply with ITU.

73

Jerry

2848. Re: Los Angeles Area Stores?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 22:24:32 UTC
From: jd86@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14119-3D4863A0-26@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 131880

try juns. hes north of lax. www. juns.com

2849. Re: CB Enters "Dark Ages"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 22:24:45 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <niZ19.93879$Og3.23414834@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 131877

Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:jaK19.150524$88.2921863@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0207301625.4f1569d3@posting.google.com...
> > Richard Cranium wrote:
> > > >No, selling them is against the law. See above. Would you like
> > >>me to post the FCC rules again?
> > >
> > >No. It's USING them illegally that's against the law.
> >
> > Wrong. See below. This time, READ it.
> >
> > >TROLL.
> >
> > Posting accurate info is a "troll"? Since when?
> >
> > Here's the straight dope from the FCC:
> >
> > In a May 13 Public Notice, the FCC points out that it's a violation of
> > FCC regulations to import or market a transmitter designed or intended
> > to operate outside the amateur bands unless the equipment has been
> > issued a grant of equipment authorization for the radio services it's
> > capable of operating. The FCC says it wanted to clarify its rules on
> > equipment that's "intended to operate in various radio services in the
> > high frequency radio spectrum, including '10-meter' Amateur Radio
> > Service (ARS) equipment."
> >
> > -A
>
> Yawn.........
>

Not that I entirely agree with your tactics, Aaron, but I DO notice that
when acurate information is posted, those whose activities are not within
the law are the
ones who either attempt to ignore it (hence, the Yawn.....) or become highly
upset.

Jerry
>

2850. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 22:33:38 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D4865C2.27A1C371@hotmail.com>
Source record: 131878

yes tom i am running two antenna's at the same time

tnom@mucks.net wrote:

> On Mon, 29 Jul 2002 18:39:53 -0400, zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >i did the swr check with each antenna single not at the same time ...checked
> >1 antenna and then checked the other antenna by itself
> >
> Huh?.....................
>
> Your original post said two antennas.
>
> This should mean that you are co-phasing the two with a proper
> harness and you'll end up with one swr reading. Not two.
>
> Obviously you are not doing the above. Instead you just own two
> antennas.
>
> I own about a dozen. My Swr's vary also...........

2851. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 22:38:22 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D4866DE.D93730F9@hotmail.com>
Source record: 131879

Hey Alan

Thanks for the input it was a great help ....but I like the look of the duel whips but i know it hurts the performance of the radio....I guess i want my cake and eat it to....I'm undecided on what I what ...put thanks for the help
\
Zeek

"Alan S." wrote:

> zeek198@hotmail.com wrote in news:3D474A14.4B3AD5D1@hotmail.com:
>
> > Hi
> >
> > Right now the anteena's are mounted to my mirrors on a 89 ford 150
> > ....do u think this is not a good enought ground for the
> > whip's...?????
> >
> > thanks Zeek
> >
> > tnom@mucks.net wrote:
> >
> >> On Mon, 29 Jul 2002 16:58:34 -0400, zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
> >>
> >> >Hi All
> >> >
> >> >I just was checking my swr's on my cb (uniden pc68xl)....I have two
> >> >whip 36' fibrglass ..I got the swr's about 1.1 to 1.4 from channel
> >> >10 up to channel 40 (the higher the channel the lower the swr's are)
> >> >...my question is how do i get the swr's lower on the channels from
> >> >9 to channel 1 ....when I check them they are about 2.2 and go to
> >> >3.0 with the lower channel i use the higher the swr's get....
> >> >
> >> >thanks
> >> >
> >> >Zeek
> >>
> >> If the stock antennas and harness assembly only match well at the
> >> higher frequencies then most likely you need to establish a better
> >> ground.
> >>
> >> A better ground can be had by establishing a larger, surer contact to
> >> the automobiles sheet metal.
> >

>
> I'm not sure if this is exactly the answer you're looking for, but I'm
> reasonably certain that your dual antenna setup will never perform
> admirably because your antennas are not spaced far enough apart. They
> should be about 102" apart. While this doesn't explain why your SWR jumps
> from 1.4 on channel 10 to 2.2 on channel 9, it's something to consider.
> Also, assumming that your antennas are tuned correctly, spaced far enough
> apart, and fed in phase with each other, this will create a slightly
> unidirectional radiation pattern. Most texts I've read suggest that
> signals from the sides of the vehicle will be reduced (great on the
> highway, not too great elsewhere), while signals in front and behind the
> vehicle will be increased SLIGHTLY. One book I've read suggests that 2-
> 3db gain can be realized, but this assumes that EVERYRHING (phase, ground
> plane, spacing, etc) is as it should be. On an 89 Ford F150, even with
> mirrors that extend well to the sides of the vehicle, a 102" space
> between antennas will not be realized. A long time ago, I ran two 4'
> whips on my '68 F100 because I thought it looked cool. Problem was, they
> were too close together. I never could get SWR below 2:1. Man was I
> suprised at how much better a single antenna mounted on top of the cab
> performed. Like night and day.
>
> Still, if you insist on running dual antennas on that truck, you won't
> get any grief from me. Performace problems notwithstanding, I still think
> they look cool. =)
>
> Now, as to your specific problem, why don't you try this: Test each
> antenna individually, but with a single piece of coax. Don't disconnect
> an antenna while using your phased coaxial cable harness (assumming of
> course that is what you are using). Also, remember to re-calibrate your
> SWR meter when you test on a different channel. If your SWR continues to
> make a big jump between channels 10 and 9 as you descried, I would almost
> suspect the radio. This is strange, that's why I said to make sure that
> the meter is calibrated correctly. Anyway, try it with ONE known good
> antenna, and a single piece of coax. Oh, and grind the paint off the
> mirrors under the mirror mounts. The ground is better that way.

2852. Re: CB Enters "Dark Ages"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 22:46:13 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <VMZ19.153549$88.3027883@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131881

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:niZ19.93879$Og3.23414834@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:jaK19.150524$88.2921863@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:c9ceae5b.0207301625.4f1569d3@posting.google.com...
> > > Richard Cranium wrote:
> > > > >No, selling them is against the law. See above. Would you like
> > > > >>me to post the FCC rules again?
> > > > >
> > > > >No. It's USING them illegally that's against the law.
> > > >
> > > > Wrong. See below. This time, READ it.
> > > >
> > > > >TROLL.
> > > >
> > > > Posting accurate info is a "troll"? Since when?
> > > >
> > > > Here's the straight dope from the FCC:
> > > >
> > > > In a May 13 Public Notice, the FCC points out that it's a violation of
> > > > FCC regulations to import or market a transmitter designed or intended
> > > > to operate outside the amateur bands unless the equipment has been
> > > > issued a grant of equipment authorization for the radio services it's
> > > > capable of operating. The FCC says it wanted to clarify its rules on
> > > > equipment that's "intended to operate in various radio services in the
> > > > high frequency radio spectrum, including '10-meter' Amateur Radio
> > > > Service (ARS) equipment."
> > > >
> > > > -A
> > >
> > > Yawn.........
> > >
> >
> Not that I entirely agree with your tactics, Aaron, but I DO notice that
> when acurate information is posted, those whose activities are not within
> the law are the
> ones who either attempt to ignore it (hence, the Yawn.....) or become
highly
> upset.
>
>

> Jerry
> >
>

Jerry, you are an idiot. You don't have a clue. The yawn is because it's been posted and reposted. Don't make ignorant statements about those whom you know nothing of.

2853. Re: Don't swim in my toilet....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 23:26:24 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ai9rsu$12p0dr$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 131882

Judge not , lest ye be judged.....

--
714 Sandpile,
The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207301822.635a06e2@posting.google.com...
> "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:<ai755h$11f7gn$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>...
> > And i won't pee in your pool, again i urge all respectable
> > persons who value
> > this NG to claim what is yours and eliminate the rifraff.
> > Trust me when the BS is gone you wont miss it at all.
> > God Bless and Goodbye.....
>
> "rifraff"? Does that include bad citizens, federal lawbreakers, etc?
>
> -A

2854. Re: CB Enters "Jerrys World"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-31 23:43:04 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020731194304.07999.00000639@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 131883

Jerry, after reading all your BS on rec.radio.amateur.policy I see what you are trying to do.You are sturring the pot between cber's and normal amateur radio operators.You and AAron are one in the same.Shame on you Jerry.I guess hard edged amateur radio operators like youself don't mellow with age but sour like a bad grape.Your no better then the lids you read about on the rainreport.Cheers..

2855. Re: aaron's cornered again, starts insulting to avoid the truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 00:00:18 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207311600.55b3032d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131884

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<_rS19.36102$z37.137274623@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0207302156.73909f3a@posting.google.com...
> > Landshark wrote:
> > > "Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
> > > >news:c9ceae5b.0207301615.5bcfe6c9@posting.google.com...
> > > > >Um, I am curious what exactly you feel would be the nature of a
> > > > >complaint that would get me "yanked"?
> > > >
> > > >It doesn't matter
> > >
> > > Of course you avoid answering, because there ISN'T any legitimate
> > > complaint you could use to get my account "yanked".
> > >
> > > Your whining is ridiculous, Mark. You and your "bad citizens" can
> > > disagree, cry, scream, call me names, etc, and I don't try and get
> > > your accounts yanked. Why? Because its all free speech. (Only once did
> > > I have to terminate someone's account, and that was only because he
> > > was encouraging readers to "shoot me in the head".) But you overgrown
> > > babies think you can get someone's account cancelled for "spam"
> > > because you don't like what they post? Get real.
> > >
> > > -Aaron-
> >
> If those 9 plus accounts didn't get canceled, then what is your
> excuse as to why you aren't using them?
> You can't, so please continue on your crusade of windmills,
> every time you start the hypocrite thing, you are reverting
> back to when you were attacking me daily with the same
> comments.
>
> Landshark
>
> Just for you:

Mr.Shark,looks like Anal Goobner is slowly sliding down the path to
the funny farm,he is getting to where he can't hold his temper when
someone makes him look like the fool he is.Soon Goobner will be
sending racist,sexually explicit anonymous e-mails to people,oops he
already has.OK Goobner,step and and run your man pleaser.

2856. Re: hr2510 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 00:04:10 UTC
From: Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <ukgupi94vstmfb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131886

Common problem. I just replaced mine but you may be able to clean it.

"PDRUNEN" <pdrunen@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020731170627.26982.00001097@mb-fi.aol.com...
> Hi Group,
>
> Picked up a hr2510, very nice rig.
>
> One problem I have having is that the knob to change frequencies sometimes
will
> stop working. That is, moving the knob has no effect on frequency.
>
> Any help?
>
> Many thanks!
>
>

2857. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 00:04:25 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D487B09.5661BB7E@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131885

Forget the duals. Just run one 5 foot or larger Firestik. Make sure the the base of the antenna has a good RF ground. Hinges and mirrors are not good RF grounds. Run a piece of braid from the base to a solid point on the vehicle. Don't use RG-58. Use RG-8M or 8X. Use coax that has a stranded center. Solid wire has a tendency to break quickly.

Don't forget to run the power wire direct to the battery with a fuse in the positive lead as close as possible to the battery (in case of short).

Better yet, never use solid wire in a mobile or high vibration environment.

2858. Re: 28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 00:10:31 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D487C7A.8520AF09@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131887

"www.TimNebo.com" wrote:
>
> jim you used to be a cool guy but now you've turned into nothing
> more than a parrot repeating how wrong it is to have amps and use the freeband.
> why the change in your attitude on this group? i'd rather hear old stories
> about 500 megawatt stations running phone patches and the like than i would you
> complain everyday.....

Hey, you forget the part with the six double A batteries ad nauseam...

2859. Call the naafccp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 00:11:35 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207311611.3730a63b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131888

Voobner is a racist,he sends racist e-mail to people.Voobner's
favorite book is Mein Kampf,and he threatens to burn crosses in black
folks yards.Evil man,pure evil.

2860. Re: Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 00:11:41 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0207311611.6e347e3d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131889

k8cpachuck@yahoo.com wrote in message
news:<368c5fae.2048735@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>...
> On Wed, 31 Jul 2002 10:19:28 -0700, "Dave Clemence"
> <*******davesradio@alltel.net***********> wrote:
>
> >poor chuckie boy..... its always someone else's fault isn't it
>
>
> Go hack yer cbs wanna be tech.

Hey chuckie....nobody missed your sorry ass, so why the update? Go
update the hambos, your little buddies with the letters by their
names....good buddy.

2861. Re: Don't swim in my toilet....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 00:13:36 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Q2%19.17155$Kl6.1071199@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131890

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:ai9rsu$12p0dr$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de...
> Judge not , lest ye be judged.........
>
> --
> 714 Sandpile,
> The Mad Dog wavin' good bye

I will agree that pretending to know the mind of God is not bright. But I have never believed that 'discriminating' is a bad idea nor that it is wise to pretend class does not exist.

Dick N�BK

2862. Re: Don't swim in my toilet....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 00:16:00 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207311616.5ff709df@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131891

"Mad Dog" lgsast@strato.net wrote:
>Judge not , lest ye be judged.....

I do not respect bootleggers and RF pirates, especially when they brag in Usenet newsgroups that they don't care about the law. Yes, I judge them. I judge them to be unworthy of respect. You judge people, too. You previously wrote:

> > i urge all respectable
> > persons who value
> > this NG to claim what is yours
> > and eliminate the rifraff.

Wouldn't dividing people into "respectable" and "rifraff" be considered "judging"?

Telling someone not to do something right after you've done so yourself is called hypocrisy. And using a Bible quote to do it is astoundingly ignorant.....

-Aaron-

2863. Re: Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 00:26:22 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207311626.417c8525@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131892

cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter) wrote:
>Hey chuckie....nobody missed your sorry ass, so why
>the update? Go update the hambos, your little buddies
>with the letters by their names....good buddy.

Why do I get a feeling Pinhead/CuriousDan/Anthrax/SDJones is back?

-A

2864. Call the exterminator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 00:30:06 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207311630.1c373e41@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131893

dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com (dr_sinister) wrote
>Voobner is a racist,he sends racist e-mail to people.
>Voobner's favorite book is Mein Kampf,and he
>threatens to burn crosses in black
>folks yards.Evil man,pure evil.

Present tangible proof of your accusations - or crawl back under the baseboard.

-Aaron-

2865. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.-Public Warning to you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 00:43:42 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207311643.776b66d7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131894

cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter) wrote in message
news:<fef386d5.0207310558.180e0e27@posting.google.com>...
> "lightlady" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:<ai6s5i$11sgr5$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>...
> > well, it seems the unknown idjit wants to play hardball...think you can
> > handle the stress?? you thought you were just dealing with mad-dog, LOL,
> > well, now you've p*ssed me off enough to post here, and give you one public
> > warning ..
> >
> > stay out of my email, or you will suffer the consequences (ooops, that may
> > be toooo Big of a word for you, so to put it simply, you will be in trouble,
> > and the remail service will be implicated in your continued harassment in my
> > email, and a court order can make them start tracking all remailed items to
> > stop this harassment, or at the very least, they will withdraw service to
> > the general public, because of the legal ramifications and legal costs that
> > can and will be incurred)
> >
> > and a note about remailers, you are doing a dis-service to them by using a
> > tool that was intended to protect the innocent from harrassment, not hide
> > the guilty and beligerent sycophants.
> >
> > so come on Boy, can you fight like a man?? or do you have to hide behind
> > that sleeper curtain forever...dang Napoleon syndrome, aka short man
> > complex...what are you 4'9" or maybe 5' tall??
> >
> > oh well, Karma's gonna get ya, anyway..
> >
> > --
> > lynn
> >
> > "Time is Fun, when you're having Flies"...Kermit the Frog
> >
> > opinions mine based on my own experience & research
> >
> > "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
> > news:ai4kp2$11iif0$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de...
> > > You are a coward, i hope you enjoy your remailing service while it lasts
> > > coward.
> > > I choose not to post the the contents of your cowardly e-mail for moral
> > > reasons.
> > > You are a lowlife just like the CB rambos that hide behind the sleeper
> > > curtain
> > > with their 9ft. mic. cord.
> > > I feel remorse for your parents knowing what a gutless coward u are.

> > > BTW you don't own jack.....................
> > > --
> > > 714 Sandpile,
> > > The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
> > >
> > >
>
> Oh why don't you cut the crap? You....are going to get a court order
> because someone is pissing you off, using a remailer....Please. Don't
> flatter yourself with this kind of talk. Do you really think that type
> of banter scares anyone? I hardly think so. Besides where have you
> been? Those anonymous remailers have been and continue to be used for
> the exact purpose you are whining about. Give it a break already. You
> aren't going to do anything about it, and nothing is going to happen.
> You know why. You ain't got the stroke. Besides you probably live in
> some po dunk trailer park anyways. Hell, relax and enjoy it.

Shut your manpleaser before i shut it for you.

2866. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 00:58:22 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns925CB6F3CBCEsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 131896

zeek198@hotmail.com wrote in news:3D4866DE.D93730F9@hotmail.com:

> Hey Alan
>
> Thanks for the input it was a great help ....but I like the look
> of the duel whips but i know it hurts the performance of the
> radio....I guess i want my cake and eat it to....I'm undecided on what
> I what ...put thanks for the help

Any time =)

2867. Re: Radios& amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 00:58:27 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207311658.7550335a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131895

"Frank Todd" <k3eko@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:<dxL09.192324$uw.102287@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>...
> Kenneth,
>
> What would you do if the FCC actually caught you in the act and you had to
> face an ALJ in a hearing at FCC headquarters in Washington, D.C.?
> Furthermore, would you have the money to get to Washington and be able to
> defend yourself on whatever actions the FCC was taking against you???
>
> -Frank

But that ain't the way it works, Frank. They swoop in, steal all your
equipment (even that which is not illegal), and then send you a letter
(Notice of Apparent Liability) which threatens you with a monetary
"forfieture" (fine). You then have 30 days to respond to the letter.

Nobody has to go to DC and appear in court. Not on a first offense, at
least. In fact, I've never heard of it at any offense level. At worst,
you'd be expected to appear in the regional US District Court, not DC.

Where do you get your information?

2868. Re: CB Enters "Dark Ages"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 01:00:33 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4887fc.273306@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131899

In <VMZ19.153549$88.3027883@twister.austin.rr.com>, "Randy"
<rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote:

<snip>
>Jerry, you are an idiot. You don't have a clue. The yawn is because it's
>been posted and reposted. Don't make ignorant statements about those whom
>you know nothing of.

<<-- re-plonk -->>

2869. see what I mean?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 01:02:15 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207311702.115794cb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131897

Present tangible proof of your accusations - or crawl back under the baseboard.

-Aaron-

As soon as you present tangible proof that your not a pathetic shut-in loser stuck in a rascal wheelchair.

2870. Re: range of `non-communist' cb frequences?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 01:03:14 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ukh26ffkiddq58@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131900

When your I-81 south bound and the fog is thick as soup CB is the MOST IMPORTANT RADIO ON THE PLANET!
You between the devil and the deep blue, You slow down you get rear ended and you go to fast you rear end someone and you want to pull over but you can't even see the shoulder. Thankfully other cb'ers show restraint and seriousness that most hams would never experience. You hear theres a slow one in the granny lane and you move to the hammer lane. After some tense moments u break clear, your sweating despite the ac but cb came through again.
CB saves more lives in one day then ALL hams EVERYWHERE since the begining of TIME!!!!!
Ken
and it is
> a FACT--that CB occupies the
> least important rung on the ladder of radio needs,

2871. Re: Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 01:03:50 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207311703.1339d153@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131898

k8cpachuck@yahoo.com wrote in message
news:<3d47c510.65325903@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>...
> Hi people...
>
> Just for the record, Yes, I did have a hard drive crash, No it wasn't
> caused by the "anti-keyclowns", if anyone is to blame, it is Bill
> Gates for writing such a crappy Operating System. Like 95, 98, and
> ME... For the record, I was running Zone Alarm, Norton System Works
> 2001, and I had the latest updates to the virus protection files...,
> so, there was no virus on my system, if fact, my computer was off, and
> had been for like 8 hours and when I turned it back on, Hard Drive
> started acting crazy. and system crashed. Only thing I can think off
> is, we had some thunderstorms in the area, and possibly some EMF
> zapped the system... it never was very stable... it finally did me a
> favor and crashed.
>
> I finally switched to a REAL OS. and a stable one!
>
> 73 to all
>
> -Chuck
>
> "Windows NT Server 4.0 powered"

You do realize, I hope, that the hackers refer to NT as "Nice Try"?
It's just as buggy ans full of security holes as consumer grade
Windoze.

BTW, Win98SE is a perfectly stable system, too; IF you know what
you're doing.

And I bet you left your modem connected to the phone line (causing the
crash). I had to rebuild my old Apple several times before I learned
to unplug Ma Bell!
The EMF (even from a lightning strike several miles away) induced on
the phone lines will zap chips like you can't believe.

2872. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 01:05:26 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d488882.407708@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131903

In <3D4866DE.D93730F9@hotmail.com>, zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:

>Hey Alan
>
>Thanks for the input it was a great help .....but I like the look of the
>duel whips but i know it hurts the performance of the radio....I guess i want
>my cake and eat it to....I'm undecided on what I what ...put thanks for the
>help
>\
>Zeek

Well, you could put up both antennas but just connect one of them. You
probably won't notice any difference between running one antenna or
two. I never have.

2873. Re: Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 01:06:46 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207311706.ac7de9e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131901

"Dave Clemence" <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********> wrote in message
news:<ai8v0entk1@iac5.navix.net>...
> poor chuckie boy..... its always someone else's fault isn't it
>
> drc

In this case, it probably is. MicroShaft has a nasty habit of putting
out half-baked, non-debugged, un-compiled Operating Systems and
expecting the consumer to do their beta testing for them.

In any event, this is definitely off-topic in rec.radio.cb, isn't it?

> <k8cpachuck@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3d47c510.65325903@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com...
> > Hi people...
> >
> > Just for the record, Yes, I did have a hard drive crash, No it wasn't
> > caused by the "anti-keyclowns", if anyone is to blame, it is Bill
> > Gates for writing such a crappy Operating System. Like 95, 98, and
> > ME... For the record, I was running Zone Alarm, Norton System Works
> > 2001, and I had the latest updates to the virus protection files...,
> > so, there was no virus on my system, if fact, my computer was off, and
> > had been for like 8 hours and when I turned it back on, Hard Drive
> > started acting crazy. and system crashed. Only thing I can think off
> > is, we had some thunderstorms in the area, and possibly some EMF
> > zapped the system... it never was very stable... it finally did me a
> > favor and crashed.
> >
> > I finally switched to a REAL OS. and a stable one!
> >
> > 73 to all
> >
> > -Chuck
> >
> > "Windows NT Server 4.0 powered"
> >
> >

2874. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 01:08:39 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0207311708.3da26548@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131904

Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:<3D47C65B.17B16C2B@yaSPAMhoo.com>...
> Brainbuster wrote:
>
> > The more lottery tickets you get, the greater the chance you will win :~)
> > But nothing is guaranteed.
>
>
> 1- The lottery is a tax on people that don't understand statistics.

OR computer systems!

2875. Re: Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 01:09:45 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4889f7.780374@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131905

In <c9ceae5b.0207311626.417c8525@posting.google.com>,
ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:

>cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter) wrote:
>>Hey chuckie....nobody missed your sorry ass, so why
>>the update? Go update the hambos, your little buddies
>>with the letters by their names....good buddy.
>
>Why do I get a feeling Pinhead/CuriousDan/Anthrax/SDJones is back?

Thanks for reminding me:

<<-- plonk -->>
<<-- plonk -->>
<<-- plonk -->>
<<-- plonk -->>

Man, this killfile thing is fun!

2876. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 01:11:00 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns925CB9188A73Dsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 131906

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in
news:3d488882.407708@news.cet.com:

> In <3D4866DE.D93730F9@hotmail.com>, zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
>
>>Hey Alan
>>
>>Thanks for the input it was a great help ....but I like the look
>>of the duel whips but i know it hurts the performance of the
>>radio....I guess i want my cake and eat it to....I'm undecided on what
>>I what ...put thanks for the help
>>\
>>Zeek
>
> Well, you could put up both antennas but just connect one of them. You
> probably won't notice any difference between running one antenna or
> two. I never have.
>
>

Now there's an idea I hadn't thought of. Now where did I put that extra
antenna....

2877. Re: Call the naafccp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 01:11:12 UTC
From: "r.r.c.b.auto-responder" <usenet@autoresponder.kom>
Message-ID: <ukh29cka4rl199@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131902

"dr_sinister" <dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:57ce2c1e.0207311611.3730a63b@posting.google.com...
> Voobner is a racist,he sends racist e-mail to people.Voobner's
> favorite book is Mein Kampf,and he threatens to burn crosses in black
> folks yards.Evil man,pure evil.

Don't you think you're giving the little weak viking, way to much credit?!
jeeze..

2878. Re: Aaron again, squirms under the preasure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 01:15:14 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <CY%19.34748$911.40511751@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131908

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207311215.eec4255@posting.google.com...
>> They didn't get cancelled, the mailboxes mysteriously got onto dozens
> of mailing lists (likely by some illegal CB enthusiast from the NG).
> Maybe it was you, Landshark.

Yeah, sure

> Who knows? Point is, maliciously jamming
> my mailbox does you no good, because I'm still posting here - and will
> ALWAYS be posting here.

I haven't sent you a thing, so stop trying to accuse me to
advert attention away from you, on why you have had
9 different addys.

> If you can't drive me off after 9 tries,
> you'll NEVER drive me off.
>

I haven't done a thing to you, again you're trying
to advert attention from why you had 9 accounts
pulled out from under you.

> > You can't, so please continue on your crusade of windmills,
>
> Don't try and quote literary references, you'll embarass >yourself.

LOL! yeah, ok, whatever you say.

>
> > every time you start the hypocrite thing, you are reverting
> > back to when you were attacking me daily with the same
> > comments.
>
> Too bad the archives don't show that. Instead, they show YOU as one of
> the more foul-mouthed, bad-attitude troublemakers in the NG, telling
> people to "f-off" etc.
>

Again, trying to advert attention away from why your accounts
have been pulled.

> >"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN"...etc.
>
> Is this what you're teaching your child?
>

> -A

Oh no, not in anyway. I teach them about made up people that
act like nazi's and do nothing but make tattle tale threats and
be repetitive as hell. I teach them that is not what life is all
about.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

2879. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 01:15:39 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D488BBF.6026B6B5@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131907

Mad Dog wrote:
>
> Hey Zeek,
> I am responding to a individual who used a remailing service to send me
> a very disturbing and profane e-mail.
> I can not repost what the contents of the letter contained but i can tell
> you
> it was very rude and un-called for.

Aw, come on, let it all hang out <-; (keep reading, there's more)

> It is a response resulting from my post urging people to stop replying to
> Aaron Voobner.
> The xganon remailing service was created for crime victims and others who
> have a legally valid reason to remain anonymous, however the said individual
> has chosen this service to harass people.
> The mistake he made was invading my e-mail with his filth.
> Alas both he and the remailing service will soon face legal ramifications
> of great proportion and i will show no mercy.
> What floors me is this person claims to be a anti-keyclown, isn't he the pot
> calling the kettle black.

I put remailer@xganon.com in the block sender list after these two today:

*** 1 of 2 ***

X-Apparently-To: scott_unit_69@yahoo.com via -40.-120.-83.-44; 30 Jul 2002 00:05:33 -0700
(PDT)

Return-Path: <anon@www.xganon.com>

Received: from 207.136.42.147 (EHLO www.xganon.com) (207.136.42.147) by
mta416.mail.yahoo.com
with SMTP; 30 Jul 2002 00:05:32 -0700 (PDT)

Received: (from anon@localhost) by www.xganon.com (8.10.1/pre1.0-MySQL/8.9.3) id
g6U76mU30852;
Tue, 30 Jul 2002 02:06:48 -0500

Date: Tue, 30 Jul 2002 02:06:48 -0500

From: "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com>

Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address above. It was remailed
automatically by anonymizing remailer software. Please report problems or inappropriate
use to
the remailer administrator at <abuse@xganon.com>.

To: scott_unit_69@yahoo.com

Message-ID: <dd1047d82c2bfa9b16379772c56c4f8d@xganon.com>

Content-Length: 194

scott dont you are spoiling it for us amp sellers dont say anything we
must stay underground we are the anti-akcers and we shal take the
newsgroup back soon. for instructions ask train
AFriend

*** 2 of 2 *** (WARNING -VILE CONTENT!)

X-Apparently-To: scott_unit_69@yahoo.com via -40.-120.-83.-45; 30 Jul 2002 07:30:19 -0700
(PDT)

Return-Path: <anon@www.xganon.com>

Received: from 207.136.42.147 (EHLO www.xganon.com) (207.136.42.147) by
mta430.mail.yahoo.com
with SMTP; 30 Jul 2002 07:30:18 -0700 (PDT)

Received: (from anon@localhost) by www.xganon.com (8.10.1/pre1.0-MySQL/8.9.3) id
g6UEVak20214;
Tue, 30 Jul 2002 09:31:36 -0500

Date: Tue, 30 Jul 2002 09:31:36 -0500

From: "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> | Block Address

Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address above. It was remailed
automatically by anonymizing remailer software. Please report problems or inappropriate
use to
the remailer administrator at <abuse@xganon.com>.

To: scott_unit_69@yahoo.com

Message-ID: <514e5dcf4c29b9f11c2dd4d131a6e9ec@xganon.com>

Content-Length: 560

You are a faggotboy, i KNOW you enjoy your dick licking while it lasts,
before you croak from AIDS, faggot.

I choose not to email you cuz you are a faggotboy who I enjoy reminding
of his craving for penis.
You are a dick sucker just like the other ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS that
go behind the sleeper curtain to be
with a 9in. nigger dick
I feel remorse for your parents knowing what a faggot ass piece of shit
u are.
BTW anti boys own the NG and you and you sperm lickin buddies cannot do
shit about it--
Gay Scottiekins,ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWN
Sperm craver

2880. Re: Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 01:22:36 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns925CBB0EE5AD3subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 131909

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in
news:c5ecbb69.0207311706.ac7de9e@posting.google.com:

> "Dave Clemence" <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********> wrote in
> message news:<ai8v0entk1@iac5.navix.net>...
>> poor chuckie boy..... its always someone else's fault isn't it
>>
>> drc
>
> In this case, it probably is. MicroShaft has a nasty habit of putting
> out half-baked, non-debugged, un-compiled Operating Systems and
> expecting the consumer to do their beta testing for them.
>
> In any event, this is definitely off-topic in rec.radio.cb, isn't it?
>

I don't want to get all computer geeky in a CB related newsgroup, and it
REALLY isn't all THAT important, BUT...un-compiled? Surely you didn't mean
that. I'll chalk it up to a slip of the fingers =)

Good numbers,
-Alan S.

2881. Re: CB Enters "Dark Ages"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 01:27:53 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <t8029.23306$Yd.975782@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131910

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d4887fc.273306@news.cet.com...
> In <VMZ19.153549$88.3027883@twister.austin.rr.com>, "Randy"
> <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >Jerry, you are an idiot. You don't have a clue. The yawn is because it's
> >been posted and reposted. Don't make ignorant statements about those whom
> >you know nothing of.
>
> <<-- re-plonk -->>
>

Oh no! Please! Tell me you didn't killfile me Frank! Please! Please! Please!

2882. Re: range of `non-communist' cb frequences?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 01:35:03 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d489029.2366333@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131911

In <ukh26ffkiddq58@corp.supernews.com>, "Kenneth"
<pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote:

>When your I-81 south bound and the fog is thick as soup CB is the MOST
>IMPORTANT RADIO ON THE PLANET!
> You between the devil and the deep blue, You slow down you get rear ended
>and you go to fast you rear end someone and you want to pull over but you
>can't even see the shoulder. Thankfully other cb'ers show restraint and
>seriousness that most hams would never experience. You hear theres a slow
>one in the granny lane and you move to the hammer lane. After some tense
>moments u break clear, your sweating despite the ac but cb came through
>again.
>CB saves more lives in one day then ALL hams EVERYWHERE since the begining
>of TIME!!!!!
>Ken

That's a pretty stupid thing to do, driving in those conditions! Yeah,
we get plenty of fog here too, as well as white-out conditions in the
winter. Here's a hint: slow down and keep edging to the right -- you
will find the shoulder eventually. If you think your vehicle might get
hit then get out of the vehicle and stand a safe distance away. Better
yet, make your way to the nearest exit or crossroad and wait. Even
better, when you know a road is fogged in, find another route. But
driving in those conditions is absolutely ignorant, and you know why:
several times a year there are big pileups on the freeways just
because people don't have enough common sense to drive according to
the conditions. People die in those pileups, and I'll bet you that
most if not all of those pileups included truckers with CB radios. If
you think CB radio saves lives because it lets you plow through the
fog then you are dumber than dumb!

2883. Re: Aaron again, squirms under the preasure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 01:38:20 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d48906c.2432957@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131912

In <CY%19.34748$911.40511751@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

<snip>
>I haven't sent you a thing, so stop trying to accuse me to
>advert attention away from you, on why you have had
>9 different addys.

You have had a few yourself over the years, sharkie. Maybe I can find
a few of them in the archives.... oh, that's right, I already did! Now
in what newsgroup did I find those addresses...hmmm...

2884. Re: Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 01:50:28 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4893E8.5914494D@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131913

"Alan S." wrote:

> I made the move from Win9x to Win2k a while ago. I encourage my customers
> to do the same. Using Win9x machines frustrates me now. Hey, I've even
> convinced my REALLY cool customers to move over to Linux =)

2000 has been great. Almost as stable as my FreeBSD machine.

2885. Re: Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 01:55:20 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D48950B.8681B9F4@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131914

k8cpachuck@yahoo.com wrote:

> I learned alot! Believe you me. Iearned
> that a Windows ME Partition (or maybe a DOS partition?) and a Windows
> NT Partition are two different animals and do not like one another at
> all!

An NTFS partition is not readable by Win98. A FAT32 partition is
not readable by Win95a. You can go the other way, though. The newer
systems can read the old file systems.

> I'd like to play with Linux sometime down the road... just have to
> learn this OS first... although, except for the network stuff and some
> other minor stuff, this ain't much different than Win 9x, as far as
> the GUI goes...

The look is similar, the operation and settings are not in NT40. Win2K
is much more like Win98 in settings and operation, however, there are
many things different between the two. Have fun learning.

2886. Goobner is.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 01:56:28 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207311756.67f5e70a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131915

A GOODBUDDY,come on back!!

2887. Goobner is....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 01:57:14 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207311757.1337187@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131916

A SIDEWALK SISSY,no doubt about it!!

2888. Goobner also is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 01:59:01 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207311759.6bc076a0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131917

A BACK ROW HEEERO,SHEEEEEEWWWWWWW!!

2889. Re: Aaron again, squirms under the preasure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 02:03:03 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <rF029.34759$vi1.41518290@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131918

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d48906c.2432957@news.cet.com...
> In <CY%19.34748$911.40511751@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
> <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >I haven't sent you a thing, so stop trying to accuse me to
> >advert attention away from you, on why you have had
> >9 different addys.
>
> You have had a few yourself over the years, sharkie. Maybe I can find
> a few of them in the archives.... oh, that's right, I already did! Now
> in what newsgroup did I find those addresses...hmmm...
>
>

Whatever you want to do Frank, your call.
It too hasn't made me go away, so whatever floats
your boat. Again, coming to Aaron's defense, just
because I asked why he had 9 different accounts pulled
in a years time.
My main account (to which you have received email) is
the same its been (with the exception that SBC took
over PacBell) for years.

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

2890. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 02:05:26 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D48976A.32F353B0@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131919

"Alan S." wrote:
>
> Now there's an idea I hadn't thought of. Now where did I put that extra
> antenna....

It will work better laying in the bed of the truck.

2891. Re: Landshark

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 02:11:59 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207311811.72e0f3fc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131920

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote
"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207311215.eec4255@posting.google.com...
>> They didn't get cancelled, the mailboxes mysteriously got onto
dozens
> >of mailing lists (likely by some illegal CB enthusiast from the NG).
> >Maybe it was you, Landshark.
>
>Yeah, sure
>

Could have been you. You sure fantasize about my accounts getting
pulled a lot.

>
> >Who knows? Point is, maliciously jamming
> >my mailbox does you no good, because I'm still posting
>>here - and will ALWAYS be posting here.
>
>I haven't sent you a thing, so stop trying to accuse me to
>advert attention away from you, on why you have had
>9 different addys.

Well open your eyes and read this: my account has never been pulled.
Ever. For no reason.

> >If you can't drive me off after 9 tries,
> >you'll NEVER drive me off.
>
>
>I haven't done a thing to you, again you're trying
>to advert attention from why you had 9 accounts
>pulled out from under you.

I just told you my account has never been pulled, cancelled, yanked,
or limited in any way. If you wish to fantasize the opposite, go
ahead. But it doesn't make it real.
>
<snip>
>Again, trying to advert attention away from why your
>accounts have been pulled.

They have never been pulled so there's nothing to "advert".
>
>> >"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN"...etc.
> >>

>> Is this what you're teaching your child?
> >
> >-A
>
>Oh no, not in anyway. I teach them about made up
>people that act like nazi's and do nothing but make
>tattle tale threats and be repetitive as hell. I teach
>them that is not what life is all about.
>
>Landshark

Sigh. When you espouse lawbreaking on a NG and someone (like me)
opposes you, I guess about all you can do is call them a nazi and a
tattletale and try and drum up hatred against them. Somehow I expected
a more intelligent response though. Instead all I get is your Beavis &
Butthead version of Constitutional Rights: "It's a free country, see,
and that means I can do whatever I want! Huhhuhuhuh!"

>Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of
>assembly and petition - this set of guarantees, protected
>by the First Amendment, comprises what we
>refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme
>Court has written that this freedom is "the matrix,
>the indispensable condition of nearly every
>other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental
>rights, like the right to vote, would wither and die

Where does the Constitution say anything about your right to disobey
FCC law?

-Aaron-

ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½

2892. Voobner and Sparky

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 02:17:50 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <iT029.235654$q53.6152352@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 131921

I think someone needs a break.

<g>

2893. Re: range of `non-communist' cb frequences?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 02:20:31 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ukh6mcd2rm23b3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131922

Take it with a grain of salt; he was most likely just trolling for
attention.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d489029.2366333@news.cet.com...
> In <ukh26ffkiddq58@corp.supernews.com>, "Kenneth"
> <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote:
>
> >When your I-81 south bound and the fog is thick as soup CB is the MOST
> >IMPORTANT RADIO ON THE PLANET!
> > You between the devil and the deep blue, You slow down you get rear
ended
> >and you go to fast you rear end someone and you want to pull over but you
> >can't even see the shoulder. Thankfully other cb'ers show restraint and
> >seriousness that most hams would never experience. You hear theres a slow
> >one in the granny lane and you move to the hammer lane. After some tense
> >moments u break clear, your sweating despite the ac but cb came through
> >again.
> >CB saves more lives in one day then ALL hams EVERYWHERE since the
begining
> >of TIME!!!!!
> >Ken
>
> That's a pretty stupid thing to do, driving in those conditions! Yeah,
> we get plenty of fog here too, as well as white-out conditions in the
> winter. Here's a hint: slow down and keep edging to the right -- you
> will find the shoulder eventually. If you think your vehicle might get
> hit then get out of the vehicle and stand a safe distance away. Better
> yet, make your way to the nearest exit or crossroad and wait. Even
> better, when you know a road is fogged in, find another route. But
> driving in those conditions is absolutely ignorant, and you know why:
> several times a year there are big pileups on the freeways just
> because people don't have enough common sense to drive according to
> the conditions. People die in those pileups, and I'll bet you that
> most if not all of those pileups included truckers with CB radios. If
> you think CB radio saves lives because it lets you plow through the
> fog then you are dumber than dumb!
>

2894. Re: Los Angeles Area Stores?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 02:28:55 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207311828.3ebbcc1c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131923

"Burnt Eddy" <burnteddy@nothere.com> wrote in message
news:<ai9f0k$123fam$1@ID-84462.news.dfncis.de>...
> Any good radio stores in LA area? LA and north actually. Want to walk in a
> buy something. Looking for 10 meter stuff. I need to impulse buy something.
> I'm going through gadget withdrawl!!!
>
> BurntEddy

FYI: 10 meters is not CB, Eddy.

-A

2895. When NOT to quote the rules and regulations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 02:44:17 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ukh82ug65nuee5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131924

For everyone's information, what happened on Guam was that the EXECUTIVE OFFICER wanted a phone patch. When the ham shack didn't offer enough signal (we were received, but partly buried in noise in the US), he asked if we could listen if we transmitted somewhere else. When answered in the affirmative, he called up the transmitter site and ordered a 40 KW military transmitter on a rhombic antenna pointed stateside. In the absence of the commanding officer, the XO WAS the law. There are times when it is not in one's best interest to start quoting the law. That was one of them. He got his patch.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207311141.17c118d8@posting.google.com...
> timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote in message
news:<20020731083226.20353.00000406@mb-fd.aol.com>...
> >jim you used to be a cool guy but now you've turned into
> >nothing more than a parrot repeating how wrong it is to
> >have amps and use the freeband.
> >why the change in your attitude on this group?
> >i'd rather hear old stories
> >about 500 megawatt stations running
> >phone patches
>
> Poor Tim. Sounds like the kind of adult role model you crave is
> someone who doesn't care if you steal or break the law and tells cool
> stories about how they "got down" back in the day.
>
> -A

2896. Re: range of `non-communist' cb frequences?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 02:49:47 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <fl129.677$%6.34258@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 131925

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d489029.2366333@news.cet.com...
> In <ukh26ffkiddq58@corp.supernews.com>, "Kenneth"
> <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote:
>
> >When your I-81 south bound and the fog is thick as soup CB is the MOST
> >IMPORTANT RADIO ON THE PLANET!
> > You between the devil and the deep blue, You slow down you get rear
ended
> >and you go to fast you rear end someone and you want to pull over but you
> >can't even see the shoulder. Thankfully other cb'ers show restraint and
> >seriousness that most hams would never experience. You hear theres a slow
> >one in the granny lane and you move to the hammer lane. After some tense
> >moments u break clear, your sweating despite the ac but cb came through
> >again.
> >CB saves more lives in one day then ALL hams EVERYWHERE since the
begining
> >of TIME!!!!!
> >Ken
>
> That's a pretty stupid thing to do, driving in those conditions! Yeah,
> we get plenty of fog here too, as well as white-out conditions in the
> winter. Here's a hint: slow down and keep edging to the right -- you
> will find the shoulder eventually. If you think your vehicle might get
> hit then get out of the vehicle and stand a safe distance away. Better
> yet, make your way to the nearest exit or crossroad and wait. Even
> better, when you know a road is fogged in, find another route. But
> driving in those conditions is absolutely ignorant, and you know why:
> several times a year there are big pileups on the freeways just
> because people don't have enough common sense to drive according to
> the conditions. People die in those pileups, and I'll bet you that
> most if not all of those pileups included truckers with CB radios. If
> you think CB radio saves lives because it lets you plow through the
> fog then you are dumber than dumb!
>

I must agree with this post. As a professional driver you should be taut as
I was to avoid those conditions and when you are in them from your own
stupidity you keep 100% of your attention on the road NOT on your CB or
cell-phone or stereo. You slow down, put your 4 way flashers on and don't
over drive what you can see and stop in time for! A post like this is an
embarrassment to all of us that have pro class drivers licenses.

2897. Re: hr2510 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 03:38:01 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020731233801.10764.00000717@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 131926

>One problem I have having is that the >knob to change frequencies sometimes
>will stop working.
Try some spray on contact cleaner..and
be sure to power down the radio! Spray into the switching mechanism, spin the
knob. Dont turn it back on for a
while and maybe a little warm air from a blow drier. Careful the stuff will eat
plastic especially the clear from window. I think all the knob controls is a
5VDC switch going up/down so a replacement wont be real hard to locate.

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

2898. Re: Los Angeles Area Stores?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 03:44:22 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020731234422.10764.00000718@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 131927

>From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. >Voobner
>FYI: 10 meters is not CB, Eddy.
He's a fuckin genius folks......(applause in background).........Here's
Aaron(eous)!
Nobody mentioned this so try....
http://www.fgbestcommunications.com

"If it aint broke, don't fix it"

2899. Re: Re...28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 04:13:54 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207312013.24a2c47@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131928

Jim Hampton wrote:
>For everyone's information, what happened on
>Guam was that the EXECUTIVE OFFICER wanted
>a phone patch. When the ham shack didn't offer
>enough signal (we were received, but partly buried
>in noise in the US), he asked if we
>could listen if we transmitted somewhere else.
>When answered in the affirmative, he called up
>the transmitter site and ordered a 40 KW military
>transmitter on a rhombic antenna pointed stateside.
>In the absence of the commanding officer, the XO
>WAS the law. There are times when it is not in
>one's best interest to start quoting the law. That
>was one of them. He got his patch.
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim

I agree that it wouldn't have been an appropriate situation to quote
the law. What law would one have quoted, anyway? I've never seen any
federal regulations governing power levels, modes, and frequencies
used by the military.

I just thought it was funny that Tim took your 40 KW story as
affirming "keyclown brotherhood".

-A

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0207311141.17c118d8@posting.google.com>...
> timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote in message
news:<20020731083226.20353.00000406@mb-fd.aol.com>...
> >jim you used to be a cool guy but now you've turned into
> >nothing more than a parrot repeating how wrong it is to
> >have amps and use the freeband.
> >why the change in your attitude on this group?
> >i'd rather hear old stories
> >about 500 megawatt stations running
> >phone patches
>
> Poor Tim. Sounds like the kind of adult role model you crave is
> someone who doesn't care if you steal or break the law and tells cool
> stories about how they "got down" back in the day.
>
> -A

2900. FA: Cobra 139 SSB Base & SolarCon A-99 Antenna One Day Left!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 04:48:57 UTC
From: doidy1@juno.com
Message-ID: <3a26ea1.0207312048.14dd44f1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

To view auction go here:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1368504984

thanks,
LP

2901. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 05:26:09 UTC
From: BobC <123@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ukirpv5hb4qc7e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131954

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d490261.5374960@news.cet.com...
> I should add that, since the beginning of radio, engineers have been
> designing radios at frequencies that exceeded the capabilities of any
> oscilliscope at the time, and that is true even today. Engineers,
> technicians, repairmen and hobbyists have been working with RF all
> these years mostly without the ability to directly observe the RF
> signal. They did a fine job with the equipment they had -- plus a
> little understanding and ingenuity.
>
> My point is that you don't need to see the signal on a screen to know
> what it looks like, and there are much better ways to measure the
> characteristics of an RF signal that to look at it's picture on a CRT.
>

It's time for me to add my 2 cents.

You want a scope. Period.

The radio world does not only exist in the AM only realm. It has a counterpart in the SSB area as well.

When aligning an AM rig you'll want to see the waveform and adjust as necessary. Using a single tone from a tone generator is ok but you won't see what a complex voice is doing to the limiters.

During a SSB alignment you'll want to make sure the bias and alc is set not just for factory specs but to see if the rig requires more or less than factory spec.

If you're putting an amp in line for some ssb use you'll want to see the pattern so that you can adjust the alc for best linearity from the amp.

There are more accurate measurement methods but the scope affords an an equitable trade-off between speed/ease of use and accuracy.

To a trained eye, the scope can easily alert you to the prescence of harmonics, noise and other disturbances in the signal. It may not be the best device to quantify these anomalies but you can sure use it to get rid of the errors.

In todays economy there are several companies offering quality scopes at excellent prices. You dont have to have a 100mhz scope but there's barely a difference in price between a 100mhz used scope compared to a new 30 mhz scope.

If your bent also includes digital then you'd be best advised to invest in a 100mhz or higher speed scope because digital presents problems that a 30mhz

scope wont see.

2902. Re: range of `non-communist' cb frequences?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 05:40:13 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D48C997.E6563DDE@icok.net>
Source record: 131930

Kenneth,
Still a weak '6'. See if you can't exaggerate
a bit more, that might help. The fog thing brought
your troll rating way down. Put a bit more emphasis
on mom, kids, and the flag, that always helps too...
'Doc

2903. Aaron's trying to advert attention on why his acounts are closed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 05:43:50 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <qU329.34810$rA2.46344406@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131931

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207311811.72e0f3fc@posting.google.com...
> >> They didn't get cancelled, the mailboxes mysteriously got onto
> dozens
> > >of mailing lists (likely by some illegal CB enthusiast from the NG).
> > >Maybe it was you, Landshark.
> >
> >Yeah, sure
> >

Again, trying to advert attention away from why you don't
post from the other 8 accounts.

>
> Could have been you. You sure fantasize about my accounts getting
> pulled a lot.
>
> >

Not at all, I just did a deja search on your name, and low &
behold you had some 2500 + posts and 9 different accounts in
a one year period. All on rec.radio.cb, policy, pirate blah blah
blah.

> > >Who knows? Point is, maliciously jamming
> > >my mailbox does you no good, because I'm still posting
> >>here - and will ALWAYS be posting here.
> >
> >I haven't sent you a thing, so stop trying to accuse me to
> >advert attention away from you, on why you have had
> >9 different addys.
>
> Well open your eyes and read this: my account has never been pulled.
> Ever. For no reason.
>

Then why don't you post from those accounts, you don't have to
even use those accounts to post from google, do you? So why
can't you post from them? Because they got pulled, that's why.

> > >If you can't drive me off after 9 tries,
> > >you'll NEVER drive me off.
> >
> >
> >I haven't done a thing to you, again you're trying
> >to advert attention from why you had 9 accounts

> >pulled out from under you.
>
> I just told you my account has never been pulled, cancelled, yanked,
> or limited in any way. If you wish to fantasize the opposite, go
> ahead. But it doesn't make it real.
> >

Same thing some one else love's to say, they we're canceled, but you just
can't admit it, can you?

> <snip>
> >Again, trying to advert attention away from why your
> >accounts have been pulled.
>
> They have never been pulled so there's nothing to "advert".
> >

Yeah, right.

> >> >"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN"...etc.
> > >>
> >> Is this what you're teaching your child?
> > >
> > >-A
> >
> >Oh no, not in anyway. I teach them about made up
> >people that act like nazi's and do nothing but make
> >tattle tale threats and be repetitive as hell. I teach
> >them that is not what life is all about.
> >
> >Landshark
>
> Sigh. When you espouse lawbreaking on a NG and someone (like me)

Nothing more than what people say, so you're so called heroics
are nothing more than a smoke screen about trolling.

> opposes you, I guess about all you can do is call them a nazi and a
> tattletale and try and drum up hatred against them.

Really, so when people complained about the Nazi's, they were
just drumming up hatred, OK.

> Somehow I expected
> a more intelligent response though. Instead all I get is your Beavis &
> Butthead version of Constitutional Rights: "It's a free country, see,
> and that means I can do whatever I want! Huhhuhuhuh!"
>

Some how I expected exactly what you said, you are trying
to blame me for your lose of use of those accounts, ok. LMAO!

> >Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of
> >assembly and petition - this set of guarantees, protected
> >by the First Amendment, comprises what we
> >refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme
> >Court has written that this freedom is "the matrix,
> >the indispensable condition of nearly every
> >other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental
> >rights, like the right to vote, would wither and die
>
> Where does the Constitution say anything about your right to disobey
> FCC law?
>
> -Aaron-
>

It doesn't, but where does it say that you can't use your cb
to inform others of a cop using a radar gun?

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

2904. Re: Landshark: Dumb As A Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 05:55:02 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0207312155.14ca1b89@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131932

Landshark wrote:
"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0207311811.72e0f3fc@posting.google.com...
> >> They didn't get cancelled, the mailboxes mysteriously got onto
> >>dozens of mailing lists (likely by some illegal CB enthusiast
> >>from the NG).
> > >Maybe it was you, Landshark.
> >
> >Yeah, sure
> >
>
>Again, trying to advert attention away from why you don't
>post from the other 8 accounts.

Whew. If you are so convinced that my other accounts got terminated
then go ahead, terminate this one.

-A

2905. Re: Interference from Channel 6 and 15 in SoBo_Virginia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 05:59:48 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <o7429.242957$uw.140651@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 131933

TimNebo wrote:

"dave, does your pair of glasses on your face have a little piece of duct tape holding them together in the middle??? you seem like a real p*ssy if your scared to go talk to another man about a problem your having with him. your the type of man nobody respects because you never deserve it."

Tim,

If I wore glasses they would definitely not have tape holding them together. I firmly believe in keeping my things in good working order. As to being scared to talk to another man; There is a difference between talking to someone about a problem and creating a problem. If talking was all that needed to be done then wouldn't gettin' on the mic and telling the offender how you felt be enough? Hell, tell the guy some details about his house and let him know he's causing interference. Doesn't often work. When you step on to someone's property you better be prepared. I don't like people near my property or other worldly goods. So I afford others the respect I ask of them. Don't come knocking on my door and I won't come knocking on yours. I don't care about this whole legal vs. illegal crap that's eating up this news group. People are free to do as they wish. If I feel a person is doing something I can not overlook then I get involved. I just know how to pick and chose my battles. I also know interference on some electronic devices is not worth knocking on a strangers door over, that's what the FCC is for.

If you want to have a pissing match we can. I just really don't see it being worth it. I'll save you the trouble of insulting me further and tell you the out come of a pissing match between us:

Lots of trading insults and ideas, blah blah blah. In the end I would throw my hands up in frustration and give up. You would get the championship cup and our lives would go on. Sounds like a waste of my fucking time.

Dave

2906. Re: Interesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 06:07:09 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207312207.79f6ef7b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131934

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d484f2d.4399108@news.cet.com>...
> In <20020731083823.20353.00000408@mb-fd.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
> (www.TimNebo.com) wrote:
>
> >frank you probably sent them yourself. since he didnt post the headers from the
> >letter he got i guess i'll post the one i got.
>
> I'll concede that you received the email. But as far as I can tell it
> could have been -you- that sent all those emails just to stir up
> hatred against the supporters of legal CB. If you want to pin the
> blame on somebody, pin it on yourself -- you don't have to use your
> aol address, you don't have to post in the newsgroups, you know that
> kind of abuse exists, and you know what you can do about it. And
> considering you host your own porn site, you really don't have any
> justification to complain about anything offensive on the net. Now hop
> on your bicycle, ride down to your local red-light district and pick
> up some new whores for your website.

Ok Sparkles,you wanted head,I mean headers,here it is.Guess you didnt
count on your buddies work being posted.

-Apparently-To: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com via -40.-120.-82.75; 29 Jul
2002 08:25:39 -0700 (PDT)
Return-Path: <anon@www.xganon.com>
Received: from 207.136.42.147 (EHLO www.xganon.com) (207.136.42.147)
by mta555.mail.yahoo.com with SMTP; 29 Jul 2002 08:25:39 -0700 (PDT)
Received: (from anon@localhost) by www.xganon.com
(8.10.1/pre1.0-MySQL/8.9.3) id g6TFQnT18137; Mon, 29 Jul 2002 10:26:49
-0500
Date: Mon, 29 Jul 2002 10:26:49 -0500
From: "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> | This is Spam | Add to Address
Book
Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address
above. It was remailed automatically by anonymizing remailer software.
Please report problems or inappropriate use to the remailer
administrator at <abuse@xganon.com>.
To: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <76e0cec7c8dc43b5d33d5e8a8b43b763@xganon.com>
Content-Length: 186

ANTIKEYCLOWNS OWN REC.RADIO.CB AND YOU CANNOT DO SHIT ABOUT IT!!!

hey faggotass, you quit sucking nigger dick yet?

A yes or no answer will do.

YOU SUCK DICK AND EAT JIZZ YOU FAGGOT!

2907. Re: hr2510 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 06:22:56 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <4t429.2699$0S2.287@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 131935

Hay there ,ok on the stem of the control just above the nut goes on is a small like washer and it is worn and trying to replace it is tuff if you want I may be able to replace it let me know if I can help
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"PDRUNEN" <pdrunen@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020731170627.26982.00001097@mb-fi.aol.com...
> Hi Group,
>
> Picked up a hr2510, very nice rig.
>
> One problem I have having is that the knob to change frequencies sometimes will
> stop working. That is, moving the knob has no effect on frequency.
>
> Any help?
>
> Many thanks!
>
>

2908. Re: range of `non-communist' cb frequences?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 06:35:33 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0207312235.659d9064@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131936

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:<ukh26ffkiddq58@corp.supernews.com>...
> When your I-81 south bound and the fog is thick as soup CB is the MOST
> IMPORTANT RADIO ON THE PLANET!
> You between the devil and the deep blue, You slow down you get rear ended
> and you go to fast you rear end someone and you want to pull over but you
> can't even see the shoulder. Thankfully other cb'ers show restraint and
> seriousness that most hams would never experience. You hear theres a slow
> one in the granny lane and you move to the hammer lane. After some tense
> moments u break clear, your sweating despite the ac but cb came through
> again.
> CB saves more lives in one day then ALL hams EVERYWHERE since the begining
> of TIME!!!!!
> Ken
> and it is
> > a FACT--that CB occupies the
> > least important rung on the ladder of radio needs,

This post sounds kinds like a red sovine song.<g>

2909. Re: help with transmit problems on old cb radio!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 08:22:26 UTC
From: BobC <123@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ukj66tp9f7rob0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131959

"Max" <agoodridge@bigpond.com.au> wrote in message
news:6d8faad.0207282239.5fa482a6@posting.google.com...
> piecheech@aol.comnojunk (Joe) wrote in message
news:<20020728055624.21857.00001323@mb-ff.aol.com>...
> > I'd check the coax and the antenna. Sounds like a weak connection
somewhere.
> > Joe PieCheech
>
> Hey everyone
>
> I checked again last night and it now is transmitting on a.m.but no
> audio.
>
> Maybe a problem in the modulation area of the radio.
>
> Some screwdriver king has modified it (not me I only blow them
> up!!!!!). Whoever had it has replaced the 2sc1306 and 1307 finals with
> a lot bigger finals!
>
> Thanks again for all the help guys
>
>
> 73s from 351 Sydney Australia!

I seem to recall that the 4740 was the same as the Tram D64. It was a pretty neat radio and had an excellent rcvr. You could get a lot of audio out of that radio. Am I wrong in the model? I remember it had a pll brd located inside a shield canister.

If this is the same radio as I think it is, check the pll section. The pll had a tuneable filter section. If this section was mistuned you would see a low output and unstable power output that changed with temperature and frequency. I also remember this pll was capable of going spurious. The pll IC was noted for going bad/intermittant due to static electricity from a finger touching the pins. I seem to recall an antenna relay in their as well. If the relay is dirty you will have the same result. The PLL brd had a series of jumpers from the main brd to a second pll brd that were very brittle and would break if the brds were manipulated too many times.

I just remembered that they dropped the soft wax onto that pll brd to prevent microphonics from vibration. You may need to melt that wax off and check for bad solder connections on the output brd. I seem to recall that radio had a problem with poorly soldered connections around the pll IC.

The more I think about it the more convinced I am that it's poor solder connections around that pll brd causing your problem. Be very carefull around that brd and use a grounded iron to resolder those connections.

good luck

2910. Pyramid Power Supplies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 08:35:22 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns925D104AA4C31subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 131937

Gentlemen,

I've noticed that Pyramid power supplies can be had for a reasonable price (compared to say, an Astron). I've read varying opinions on the quality of these units. There seems to be a question as to just how long a 20A Astron (for example) will perform under a full 20A load. So I wonder, how would a CB that will probably never pull more than 3A or so perform?

Note that I don't like to skimp on quality, however I don't like to aquire equipment that is over built for my needs (mostly CB related). I mean, how ripple free does the voltage REALLY have to be? And if the voltage drops to say, 13.7v, how serious of a problem is that? Ideally we want absolute perfection, but in reality, I'm not sure I need to fork over 300 clams for a power supply.

Thoughts, wise men?

-Alan S.

P.S. The O-Scope suggestions were absolutely invaluable. I couldn't have asked for more information. I sincerely hope that I am able to contribute knowledge as valuable as yours to the group someday. Thank you.

2911. Re: Pyramid Power Supplies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 09:01:42 UTC
From: BobC <123@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ukj8h5h8lj4s0d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131964

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D2098D6.6ADF145D@mailcity.net...
> "Alan S." wrote:
> >
> > Gentlemen,
> >
> > I've noticed that Pyramid power supplies can be had for a reasonable
price
> > (compared to say, an Astron). I've read varying opinions on the quality
of
> > these units. There seems to be a question as to just how long a 20A
Astron
> > (for example) will perform under a full 20A load.

Just to add a couple cents. The Astrons are rated with the full load for 5 min's on and 10 or 15 mins off. The Astrons will perform well at rated output and can be abused to a small degree. A fan would be a good idea if you plan on running the supply at or near full output for extended periods of time.

2912. Re: Aaron again, squirms under the preasure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 09:14:27 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d48fb4d.3563534@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131938

In <rF029.34759$vi1.41518290@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d48906c.2432957@news.cet.com...
>> In <CY%19.34748$911.40511751@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
>> <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >I haven't sent you a thing, so stop trying to accuse me to
>> >advert attention away from you, on why you have had
>> >9 different addys.
>>
>> You have had a few yourself over the years, sharkie. Maybe I can find
>> a few of them in the archives.... oh, that's right, I already did! Now
>> in what newsgroup did I find those addresses...hmmm...
>>
>>
>
> Whatever you want to do Frank, your call.
>It too hasn't made me go away, so whatever floats
>your boat. Again, coming to Aaron's defense, just
>because I asked why he had 9 different accounts pulled
>in a years time.
> My main account (to which you have received email) is
>the same its been (with the exception that SBC took
>over PacBell) for years.
>
> Landshark

Well let's see, for starters:

s3buell@pacbell.net
lndshark@ix.netcom.com
Landshark@TheLandshark.com
s3sharkman@hotmail.com

I can even post headers if you want. How many more have you used,
sharkie? And don't forget that time when I caught one of your aliases
right away!

BTW, is SBC part of Prodigy? I ask because of the message ID of your
last post, as you can see in the top line of this post as well as your
header.

But that's right, you had an excuse for all those posts, didn't you? You still insist that it wasn't you that posted in those transvestite and transexual newsgroups, don't you? You want people to take you at your word when -you- post an excuse, but you won't take anyone else at their word? Don't forget that you don't have any proof that Aaron's accounts got yanked, but the archive is full of proof that you like to yank other men. Are you beginning to see the light yet? Or do I have to spell it out for you?

2913. Re: Los Angeles Area Stores?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 09:38:37 UTC
From: piecheech@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020801053837.24252.00000686@mb-ff.aol.com>
Source record: 131939

Try H.R.O. ----Ham Radio Outlet. There's one here in San Diego. I think they have two others. One in Orange County (near Disney Land - Anaheim) and another somewhere in Los Angeles. I don't know if Henry Radio is still around. Check the yellow pages...
Joe PieCheech

2914. Re: Pyramid Power Supplies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 09:40:00 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d48fc9a.3896379@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131940

In <Xns925D104AA4C31subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>, "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Gentlemen,
>
>I've noticed that Pyramid power supplies can be had for a reasonable price >(compared to say, an Astron). I've read varying opinions on the quality of >these units. There seems to be a question as to just how long a 20A Astron >(for example) will perform under a full 20A load. So I wonder, how would a >CB that will probably never pull more than 3A or so perform?

No different than if you ran it off a power supply rated for 3 Amps.

>Note that I don't like to skimp on quality, however I don't like to aquire >equipment that is over built for my needs (mostly CB related). I mean, how >ripple free does the voltage REALLY have to be? And if the voltage drops to >say, 13.7v, how serious of a problem is that? Ideally we want absolute >perfection, but in reality, I'm not sure I need to fork over 300 clams for >a power supply.

No, you don't need to spend gobs of money. I have a couple of RS's 12 volt regulated power supplies (13.8 volts nominal) and I have never had a problem running any CB from them (except for that Johnson Messenger II -- a tube-type CB). If a power supply is well-regulated, then the voltage isn't going to change more than a few millivolts from no-load to full-load, and with almost no ripple. Since your CB is going to be pulling 3 Amps only during transmit, you can even get by with a power supply that is rated for a lower continuous current, but it must be rated for at least 3 Amps intermittent duty. The duty cycle is usually determined by the heat dissipation of the regulator, not by the amount of voltage drop or ripple.

Even if you want to buy a 20 Amp or larger supply, watch what you buy, because a lot of these "regulated" power supplies have very poor regulation. Many of them are just a few parallel 2N3055's (or other power transistors) controlled by a zener, which has no better regulation than a wall-wart.

2915. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 09:56:51 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d490261.5374960@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131941

I should add that, since the beginning of radio, engineers have been designing radios at frequencies that exceeded the capabilities of any oscilliscope at the time, and that is true even today. Engineers, technicians, repairmen and hobbyists have been working with RF all these years mostly without the ability to directly observe the RF signal. They did a fine job with the equipment they had -- plus a little understanding and ingenuity.

My point is that you don't need to see the signal on a screen to know what it looks like, and there are much better ways to measure the characteristics of an RF signal that to look at it's picture on a CRT.

2916. Re: Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 10:59:51 UTC
From: k8cpachuck@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d491249.3113466@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 131942

On 31 Jul 2002 18:03:50 -0700, richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>
>You do realize, I hope, that the hackers refer to NT as "Nice Try"?
>It's just as buggy ans full of security holes as consumer grade
>Windoze.
>

yeah sure, if you don't download the updates and the service packs and
don't run a firewall... allof which I do.

>BTW, Win98SE is a perfectly stable system, too; IF you know what
>you're doing.
>

Tell that to this AMD 300Mhz which would crash with blue screen almost
on a daily basis. and as to your feable attempt to slam me, fuck you.

>And I bet you left your modem connected to the phone line (causing the
>crash). I had to rebuild my old Apple several times before I learned
>to unplug Ma Bell!

Well, being I am running a cable modem, it would be kinda hard to
unplug it. although, I think I will be unplugging the nic card cable
going to the modem.

>The EMF (even from a lightning strike several miles away) induced on
>the phone lines will zap chips like you can't believe.

This part is true, I used to run a 33.6 USR modem and we took a nearby
strike. and it blew a SMT on the board. needless to say, that modem
was TOAST. also messed up a motherboard. Nowdays when the t-storms are
nearby, I shut down EVERYTHING, even the cable modem... and the radio
(kenwood) and unplug the coax from the back... these people that just
leave they're coaxes hooked up and say, "oh, it's grounded..." must be
absolutley nucking futs! I unplug... I know it's a pain, but I'd much
rather have to do that, then send in this 950sdx to kenwood service
and it's been out of warranty for like 6 years now... I could only
figure how much the service bill would be... not me! There's an old
saying, something to the effect of "A little ounce of protection"... I
don't remember the rest. anyhow, I think you get my point...

73 to all

2917. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 11:01:57 UTC
From: BobC <123@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ukjfj7dhotj2ca@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131972

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d498506.1328855@news.cet.com...
> In <ukirpv5hb4qc7e@corp.supernews.com>, "BobC" <123@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d490261.5374960@news.cet.com...
> >>
> >> My point is that you don't need to see the signal on a screen to know
> >> what it looks like, and there are much better ways to measure the
> >> characteristics of an RF signal that to look at it's picture on a CRT.
* While undoubtedly there are more precise ways to measure these waveforms
there are few that are more easily and quickly employed.

> Most people can't look at an RF signal on a scope and see the wave
> being compressed.

* Why can't you see a compressed waveform? Anytime you're looking at a radio
with the limiters intact you're seeing a compressed audio pattern. Run the
input up past where the limiting action begins and you are looking at
compressed audio. Disable the limiters and run the input up again and you'll
see where the output is overmodulating and compressing. Tune the final
output for it best peak output on the scope while watching your current
meter and you'll be very close to the correct conjugate match for the peak
power level you're running. Perhaps I'm not understanding your vision of a
compressed waveform.

> You can observe a basic symmetry, but unless you
> hold up a stencil to the screen you can't say for sure just how
> symmetrical it is.

* I'm curious as to what you call symmetry. Are you expecting to find a high
fidelity output that allows a square wave to be processed correctly? I cant
think of one radio that doesn't band limit or otherwise introduce some group
delay in it's modulation section. You can see all the symmetry you need if
you know how to interpret the scopes representation.

> You can't determine linearity just by looking at a
> modulated RF waveform.

* To an acceptable degree you most certainly can. Ever since scopes came
out, hams and broadcaster's have relied upon what they are seeing as a
suitable guide for linearity. Remember, your trapezoid patterns are on a
scope as well.

> You can't see audio distortion that may be
> caused by the final.

* Really? What distortion are you refering to that may be caused by the final? Flatopping? IM? Harmonics? Crossover distortion? All of these errors introduce artifacts into the viewed waveform if you're paying attention. While not the very best way to monitor these errors, the scope still remains usefull in quickly determining the prescence of undue amounts of each.

> You can't see symmetry of the audio component in
> an RF envelope because the ground is for the RF, not the audio.

* I'm not really sure what you're getting at here. What has the ground got to do with it? Just demodulate the audio with a good probe and viola' you have an excellent view of whatever symmetry you're looking for.

> You can't tune a final with a scope because you will only be peaking the
> voltage, not the impedance. In fact, you can't tell much by looking at
> the envelope except that it "looks good", and the only thing you an
> quantify is the voltage.

*Wouldn't the voltage and current also be hand in hand with the impedance? Keep an eye on the scope and a current meter and you'll be very close when tuning for conjugate match at whatever power level you deem important.

> A scope is a basic intrument, but no matter
> how much you pay for one it is not going to be good enough to replace
> any test equipment designed for specific RF and AF measurements.

* For years now, a scope sure seemed to satisfy many regulatory agencies for rf and audio measurmenets. Naturally a scope won't replace a spectrum analyzer or perhaps a distortion meter but in lieu of a fat bank account it will do for most cases.

> > Using a single tone from a tone generator is ok but you won't see
> >what a complex voice is doing to the limiters.
>
> That's why they invented the two-tone test, and white (and pink) noise
> generators.

* I'm curious as to what instrument you use to qualify your two tone or gaussian noise tests. I'm betting the device you use costs a bit more new than a scope.

> >During a SSB alignment you'll want to make sure the bias and alc is set
not
> >just for factory specs but to see if the rig requires more or less than
> >factory spec.
>
> There is an interesting analogy here: If the only way to tune a car
> was to put it on the rollers, many of us would still be using the
> horse and buggy. But for auto work they have compression guages,

> timing lights, voltmeters, etc, and they work just fine for getting
> your car in excellent running condition. And they work better than
> sitting under the hood and making adjustments at 50 mph.

* I don't have a clue..not a friggin clue what you're analogy is here. But what better way to ensure you've managed to coordinate all you're combined test/alignment procedures into the desired result than to put it on the rollers? You advocate not final testing the outcome of you're "tweaking" by full power testing? What happens if there's a problem that only occurs at or near full output?

> SSB is easier to adjust than AM. The final is class AB, so bias isn't
> a big issue (if it's running Class C then something is bad, and the
> audio will tell you if that's happening).

* And you would trust your ears listening to a monitor for something before you trust your eyes on a scope? Your ears must be pretty good then. I think it's a safe bet that a scope will show the distortion well before your ears and monitor will.

> The ALC, if the radio has
> one, is set with a steady input and a power meter, and as long as you
> are are not trying to peak it above specs then you don't have to worry
> about clipping. Even if it does clip you will hear it in the monitor
> and you will see it on the audio distortion analyzer. In either case,
> you will detect a problem sooner than you will with a scope.

* The onset of clipping will not likely be heard on the monitor and is best checked for with a different device.

> >If you're putting an amp in line for some ssb use you'll want to see the
> >pattern so that you can adjust the alc for best linearity from the amp.
>
> The amp should be linear to begin with. Regardless, any amp should be
> tested independently for linearity, and you can't do it by simply
> looking at an envelope.

* The scope may not be the perfect device for checking linearity of an amp but it sure is a fast and reasonably accurate way of seeing if there is a problem requiring further investigation with more precise instruments.

> At the very least you need a trap test, but
> even using just a sig-gen and a power meter will give you a better
> idea of linearity than by looking at the wave on a scope.

* Isn't your trap test viewed on a scope? And no, your wattmeter will not give you a better representation than the scope will.

> You can even use that method to quantify the linearity by plotting the
output to
> input and calculating your deviation, something no scope is capable of

> doing.

* Not capable? First off, the test you describe is not really one of linearity as it is a test of gain and P1db. Linearity in my terms means a waveform lacking artifacts not found in the original driving signal. Secondly, a dual trace scope will easily provide a more precise representation of the input/output ratio especially if it has a dvm and math functions built in.

> >There are more accurate measurement methods but the scope affords an an
> >equitable trade-off between speed/ease of use and accuracy.
>
> Not if you know what you are doing and have an understanding of how
> the radio works.
>
> >To a trained eye, the scope can easily alert you to the prescence of
> >harmonics, noise and other disturbances in the signal.
>
> Now that's just plain hogwash! There can be distortions in an RF
> signal that -nobody- can see on a scope!
> Motorboating, * Why can't you see a low freq. tone impressed on a faster waveform?

> RF compression, *As I said, I may not be understanding of your term here. You can see, on a scope, when youre reaching the P1db point.

> class AB2 distortion, * You'll see the crossover distortion on a scope easily enough. It may not be as precise as say a audio distortion meter or a spectrum analyzer but you'll see it before it reaches undue levels.

> asymmetrical modulation, * This is easy to spot on a scope.

> and even some high-freq harmonic content won't be visible * depends on the scope and you'd need to define hi freq. As I said the scope isn't a replacement for a spectrum analyzer but with a decent scope you'll spot most errors produced by appreciable levels of harmonics. It will provide you with a reason to suspect there are hi levels of harmoinc energy at the very least.

>I don't care how well-trained your eye happens to be. Mine has been
> trained for more than 20 years on lots of different types of radios at
> freqs from DC to microwaves, and there is stuff that even *I* can't
> see on a scope just by looking at the wave.

> If the O-scope was such a do-it-all piece of equipment, then why have
> engineers and technicians even bothered to invent all this other test
> equipment? equipment that is used more often than the scope?

* The choice of test equipment a tech uses first is up to personal preference and defined moreso by the DUT's characteristics. Taken a step further, why was the scope even developed in the first place and before all the fancier gadgetry? Ive never postulated it was the end all-be all of test

devices. I simply stand up to say don't discount it as a usefull first line device that requires minimum investment (as equip goes) and setup/use time in determining whether more elaborate devices are required.

2918. Re: Radio Shack ???---one on Ebay now...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 11:43:28 UTC
From: Rastus <jfayard@ivwnet.com>
Message-ID: <aib6t2$5ip$1@news.chatlink.com>
Source record: 131943

For whoever was interested, here is the link to see one.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1369919677

I might even bid it myself.....I haven't ever seen one until now.

Have A Great Day,
Ken

"Lou Franklin" <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
news:coueku02b2jhbtto23g1ptgbvrgtevgad4@4ax.com...
> Sounds like the old TRC480 (21-1563) This was the mobile version of
> the TRC459, a big all-microproc. base model. Thus no Ch. switch. It
> was black and used PB controls.
>
> I seem to have every R/S CB manual ever made, EXCEPT that one. It is
> covered in the Sams CB Fotofacts #278 but the picture is very small
> and would not lend itself to scanning.
>
> 73,
> Lou
> ********************
>
> "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote:
>
> >Hi All
> >
> >
> > I have owned many radios over the past 30 years and have found
pictures
> >of all of them on the net except 1. I can't remember the Radio Shack
model
> >number but I would know it to see it. It was a 40 Ch SSB mobile with an
all
> >black face. It was all push button, (no rotary CH selector). It had 40 Ch
> >scan and channel change on the microphone. It only ran for about 1 year
in
> >1980 or 1981. It had many problems and was not a good radio. Every time
you
> >turned it off it went back to Ch-1 and had a bad frequency drift so I
only
> >had it for about 2 months and traded it in for an other model. If any of
you
> >can remember the radio and come up with a model number it would be
> >appreciate. I check this NG regularly so if you could just post it here.

> >Thanks
> >
>
>
>
>
****
> Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
> technical information & high-performance CB accessories!
>
> DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
> https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
> NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!
>
> Email: lou@cbcintl.com
> Web: www.cbcintl.com
> ----------
> CBC INTERNATIONAL
> P.O. Box 30655
> Tucson AZ 85751 USA
> Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
> Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980
>

2919. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 12:29:24 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D4929A4.26FC@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 131946

yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
>
>
> >
> >You're placing alot of faith in a modulation "meter". Something that I
> >wouldn't do. A meter will not display distortions of the waveform, only
> >their relative combined value.
> >A scope can let you "see" the modulation, such that you can set the
> >percentage, while ensuring that the waveform is correct.
>
> Well, a scope will let you see the modulation envelope but the eye
> can't tell if the waveform is a sine or a psuedo-sine.

If you're injecting a sinewave from an audio signal generator, it is
fairly easy to determine waveform symmetry. My eyes are not that bad
yet....;)

> If you want to
> get serious about of distortion, linearity and modulation it's best to
> set up a trapezoid test and verify with the spectrum analyzer.

Well, yeah. That just adds credibility to the point that you need more
than one instrument to do a complete job.

> it's a safe bet that if the audio going into the final is clean, the
> RF is solid, and the final is working ok, then the only distortion
> will be caused by a detuned filter network. This usually happens
> because the screwdriver fairy made a visit to the radio in the middle
> of the night, but it's easy to fix with a sig-gen and an RF voltmeter.
> In fact, it's easier to fix that way than with a scope.

I don't know about that. You can't easily determine if the audio going
into the final is clean without a scope to view it. I guess it's all
what you're used to using. I've already tuned up a radio using just the
scope as the RF voltmeter. Tune for the widest trace. At the right input
voltage setting, they are pretty sensitive and just as precise as a
meter. The meter will give you a better absolute reading value, but the
scope is fine if you are only interested in relative readings. The other
advantage is that during the tune up procedure, if any RF stage has any
instabilities, that would affect modulation distortion, it will
immediately show up.

>
> >> > It's possible to connect a
> >> >simple audio type 'scope to use the trapezoidal measurement method,
> >> >and we'll demonstrate this later. However you still need a high

> >> >frequency response for things like transmitter signal tracing.
> >>
> >> A sig-gen works better because it can be used as a signal injector at
> >> each stage, and it's much easier to track down a problem by working
> >> backwards from the final. A scope doesn't always work because not all
> >> stages have voltage gain, and if you are not paying attention to the
> >> schematic you might end up wasting time and money replacing by good
> >> components without cause. I learned that one by experience...
> >
> >Which is why you should never depend on any one instrument to do all of
> >your work. A scope is useful for looking at modulation, or any other
> >voltage. It is, afterall, nothing more than a voltmeter, that displays
> >in the time domain. I use my scope sometimes just to measure voltages in
> >the power supply. The added benefit is that I can see the amount of
> >ripple present.
>
> You bet, that's one of the best reasons to get a scope. But you don't
> need a 100 MHz scope to see power-supply ripple. And don't forget that
> a digital voltmeter can measure that ripple down to a few milivolts.

If you are going to be working on a variety of radios up to VHF, then it
is helpful to get the best scope that you can afford. A 35 Mhz scope is
probably ok if CB is the only band you'll ever be working in.

>
> >> > When I
> >> >was starting out and couldn't afford a 'scope, I did a lot of signal
> >> >tracing with a VOM and RF probe. This method works, but without a
> >> >'scope you can't analyze the more subtle problems like noise and
> >> >distortion.
> >>
> >> We're talking AM here. Noise and distortion usually occurs in the
> >> audio stages, the modulating stage, or the final. If the source of the
> >> noise turns out to be from the RF input to the final, it's almost
> >> always because the PLL/VCO has gone haywire, and you can see that on a
> >> freq counter even before the scope has had a chance to warm up.
> >
> >Not always. I've run across cases of incidental FM'ing of the VCO, that
> >makes the audio sound raspy, but you can't see it on either a scope, or
> >a counter, since the amount of FM is too small for the counter to
> >sample. In this case, the only thing to use is a spectrum analyzer. But
> >a scope can show distortions in the RF area, as "fuzziness" on the
> >modulation waveform. Again, only a spectrum analyzer can quantify the
> >distortion, but a scope can alert you to its presence.
>
> I have seen that problem too, but a spectrum analyzer is not the only
> way to see it. It shows up in the PLL loop as an audio signal. One of
> the first things I do now is to put a scope on that feedback loop and
> watch it under normal operating conditions.

Another reason to have a scope. But the probe better be a high impedence probe, or you stand the chance of loading down the VCO. Also, in many of those "FM'ing" cases, the problem disappears when the cover is removed, making troubleshooting much more difficult. In this case, the spectrum analyzer or communications monitor is the only way to see it.

>
> Also, 'fuzziness' can happen on a scope even when there is no problem
> at all because of some strong signal nearby. I live in the middle of a
> node from a Class A AM broadcast station, and believe me it's annoying
> as hell!

Lucky for me, I have no such interference....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2920. Re: Radios& amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 12:43:32 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020801084332.09681.00000570@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 131944

he makes it up as he goes

>Where do you get your information?

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

2921. Re: range of `non-communist' cb frequences?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 12:47:28 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020801084728.09681.00000571@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 131945

hi frenk, what are you to do when its the gulf coast and the fog can swoop down and surround you from no where? you can be on a perfectly clear road and then be immersed in fog 5 minutes later thats so thick you cant see your hood from the drivers seat and you never know when or where it will be. not all places have the same wx conditions as you star man

>
>
>That's a pretty stupid thing to do, driving in those conditions! Yeah,
>we get plenty of fog here too, as well as white-out conditions in the
>winter. Here's a hint: slow down and keep edging to the right -- you
>will find the shoulder eventually. If you think your vehicle might get
>hit then get out of the vehicle and stand a safe distance away. Better
>yet, make your way to the nearest exit or crossroad and wait. Even
>better, when you know a road is fogged in, find another route. But
>driving in those conditions is absolutely ignorant, and you know why:
>several times a year there are big pileups on the freeways just
>because people don't have enough common sense to drive according to
>the conditions. People die in those pileups, and I'll bet you that
>most if not all of those pileups included truckers with CB radios. If
>you think CB radio saves lives because it lets you plow through the
>fog then you are dumber than dumb!
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

2922. Re: Interference from Channel 6 and 15 in SoBo_Virginia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 13:01:42 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020801090142.09681.00000576@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 131947

if i have a problem with you i would come knock on your door and tell you and if you have a problem with me i'd like to know before you started taping, spying, and reporting me to the authorities. guess thats just the type of guy i am. i see no reason for all the sneaky shit. your like one of those guys that the teacher would leave in charge of class to make sure everybody was silent while she left the room. you'd never be brave enough to tell us all to stop talking yourself but when the teacher comes back you shure have a list of names for her to tell. you say that debating something on usenet is a waste of your time yet you enjoy tracking down and turning in cb'ers who bleed over your clock radio hoping the fcc will spends thousands of dollars and lots of man hours investigating and doing something about the problem you could have just solved by letting the guy know yourself. now surely your right, if you go to a mans door and act like a self righteous dick head towards cb'ers in his face like you do on this newsgroup then i can see why your scared to meet him. the way 99% of the grown men act on this board would never be brought to the real world because y'all enjoy being anonymous cowards and would be too embarressed to act ths way and have people know who you really are. here you suggest riding by a guys house, telling him details about it, then threatning him to stop his operations. well i dont know where your from but down here in the deep south riding by and scoping out peoples homes and then threatning them with the information is not taken lightly nor as a friendly suggestion so i guess after alot of thought it is best for you to keep doing what you do from afar or a nice cb'er in your town will likely make sure you need some tape on your glasses next time.

>
>Tim,
>
>If I wore glasses they would definitely not have tape holding them together.
>I firmly believe in keeping my things in good working order. As to being
>scared to talk to another man; There is a difference between talking to
>someone about a problem and creating a problem. If talking was all that
>needed to be done then wouldn't gettin' on the mic and telling the offender
>how you felt be enough? Hell, tell the guy some details about his house and
>let him know he's causing interference. Doesn't often work. When you step on
>to someone's property you better be prepared. I don't like people near my
>property or other worldly goods. So I afford others the respect I ask of
>them. Don't come knocking on my door and I won't come knocking on yours. I
>don't care about this whole legal vs. illegal crap that's eating up this
>news group. People are free to do as they wish. If I feel a person is doing
>something I can not overlook then I get involved. I just know how to pick
>and chose my battles. I also know interference on some electronic devices is
>not worth knocking on a strangers door over, that's what the FCC is for.
>

>If you want to have a pissing match we can. I just really don't see it being
>worth it. I'll save you the trouble of insulting me further and tell you the
>out come of a pissing match between us:
>
>Lots of trading insults and ideas, blah blah blah. In the end I would throw
>my hands up in frustration and give up. You would get the championship cup
>and our lives would go on. Sounds like a waste of my fucking time.
>
>
>Dave
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

2923. Re: Dear anonymous remailer.-Public Warning to you

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 13:11:24 UTC
From: lightlady <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <aibc7p$12mrtn$1@ID-71746.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 131948

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0207310558.180e0e27@posting.google.com...
>
> Oh why don't you cut the crap? You....are going to get a court order
> because someone is pissing you off, using a remailer....Please. Don't
> flatter yourself with this kind of talk. Do you really think that type
> of banter scares anyone? I hardly think so. Besides where have you
> been? Those anonymous remailers have been and continue to be used for
> the exact purpose you are whining about. Give it a break already. You
> aren't going to do anything about it, and nothing is going to happen.
> You know why. You ain't got the stroke. Besides you probably live in
> some po dunk trailer park anyways. Hell, relax and enjoy it.

if you are afraid to stand up for your rights, that's your problem. i am not afraid to speak out about blatant abuse and harassment. and as a courtesy and legality, i do give warning of my reactions to someones actions. and in regards to remailers, just because they are being abused, doesn't mean they can't change.

i'm wondering, if you happen to see someone or maybe an animal being abused, do you let it continue or do you try to make it stop?? if an elderly woman gets her purse snatched right in front of you, do you try to catch or stop the crook?? a persons actions against injustice says tons about moral character and integrity, but this is JMHO.

--
lynn,

If Life is a stage, I would like better lighting

2924. Re: Aaron again, squirms under the preasure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 14:46:37 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <hRb29.36383$Zj5.159789189@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131949

> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d48906c.2432957@news.cet.com...
> >> In <CY%19.34748
> >> You have had a few yourself over the years, sharkie. Maybe I can find
> >> a few of them in the archives.... oh, that's right, I already did! Now
> >> in what newsgroup did I find those addresses...hmmm...
> >>
> >>
> >
> > Whatever you want to do Frank, your call.
> >It too hasn't made me go away, so whatever floats
> >your boat. Again, coming to Aaron's defense, just
> >because I asked why he had 9 different accounts pulled
> >in a years time.
> > My main account (to which you have received email) is
> >the same its been (with the exception that SBC took
> >over PacBell) for years.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Well let's see, for starters:
>
> s3buell@pacbell.net

Hummmm, SBC took them over and assigned me as
my present ip.

> lndshark@ix.netcom.com

I had for years until I found out (Thanks to you, supposedly)
I'd been hacked.

> Landshark@TheLandshark.com

Still own that domain, want to by it?

> s3sharkman@hotmail.com

Same one that Netcom was compromised, so closed
that one too.
>
> I can even post headers if you want. How many more have you used,
> sharkie?

Golly Ghee Frank, you're a regular Sam Spade. I've had four
main screen nics since 1990.

> And don't forget that time when I caught one of your aliases
> right away!
>
> BTW, is SBC part of Prodigy? I ask because of the message ID of your
> last post, as you can see in the top line of this post as well as your
> header.

Why yes it is, but being the internet detective, you knew that, right?

>
> But that's right, you had an excuse for all those posts, didn't you?
> You still insist that it wasn't you that posted in those transvestite
> and transexual newsgroups, don't you?

Yes Frank, or is this Aaron? No that's right your not him.
How do I know that this was Frank who typed that message?

>You want people to take you at
> your word when -you- post an excuse, but you won't take anyone else at
> their word?

Sure, it depends on the situation, doesn't it. 9 accounts in only
one year. 2500 + posts in one year for Aaron. I've had only two accounts,
meaning Netcom and PacBell/SBC and those screen nics and a few others, but
we're looking a 12 year period.

>Don't forget that you don't have any proof that Aaron's
> accounts got yanked, but the archive is full of proof that you like to
> yank other men. Are you beginning to see the light yet?

Sure Frank, I see where your going with this, you just don't understand that
it doesn't bother me because my conscience is clear.

> Or do I have
> to spell it out for you?
>
As I said before, whatever you want to do, you seem to already started
haven't you?

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

2925. Re: Los Angeles Area Stores?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 14:53:27 UTC
From: Burnt Eddy <burnteddy@nothere.com>
Message-ID: <aibhom$12rp5q$1@ID-84462.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 131950

Thanks for your help guys. And thanks for clearing that up for me Mr. Voobner.

BurntEddy KARS9212

"Joe" <piecheech@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message news:20020801053837.24252.00000686@mb-ff.aol.com...
> Try H.R.O. ----Ham Radio Outlet. There's one here in San Diego. I think they
> have two others. One in Orange County (near Disney Land - Anaheim) and another
> somewhere in Los Angeles. I don't know if Henry Radio is still around. Check
> the yellow pages...
> Joe PieCheech

2926. Re: Pyramid Power Supplies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 15:08:37 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <V9c29.3146$IH2.2517@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 131951

Hello Alan,if you had A hr2510 I would think 15-20amp would be enough ,but if you only need A little pick up A 12amp pyramid supply you can get in the states for chump change ,but keep in mind if you are going to upgrade to A more demanding unit that is going to need more then that can output and I have found that the pyramid overrate there units so if it seez it is 12amp it really only about 7-8 amp . Astron is A better supply but like everything else in this would it is being made cheaper to try contacting A.E.S. you should like the prices . Point of interest A friend of mine has A 2990 and the internal supply crapped out so I repaired it and found him an astron 50 amp and it uses about have of that at about 175watts see if H+Y they will have the cheaper units available .
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

to be? And if the voltage drops to
> say, 13.7v, how serious of a problem is that? Ideally we want absolute
> perfection, but in reality, I'm not sure I need to fork over 300 clams for
> a power supply.
>
> Thoughts, wise men?
>
> -Alan S.
>
> P.S. The O-Scope suggestions were absolutely invaluable. I couldn't have
> asked for more information. I sincerely hope that I am able to contribute
> knowledge as valuable as yours to the group someday. Thank you.

2927. Re: Don't swim in my toilet....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 16:56:12 UTC
From: Redfox <redfoxBlock@SPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ukiq1cn3igeg0c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131952

on Thu, 01 Aug 2002 00:16:00 GMT Aaron H. Voobner , wrote:

> "Mad Dog" lgsast@strato.net wrote:
>>Judge not , lest ye be judged.....
>
> I do not respect bootleggers and RF pirates, especially when they brag
> in Usenet newsgroups that they don't care about the law. Yes, I judge
> them. I judge them to be unworthy of respect. You judge people, too.
> You previously wrote:
>
>> > i urge all respectable
>> > persons who value
>> > this NG to claim what is yours
>> > and eliminate the rifraff.
>
> Wouldn't dividing people into "respectable" and "rifraff" be
> considered "judging"?
>
> Telling someone not to do something right after you've done so
> yourself is called hypocrisy. And using a Bible quote to do it is
> astoundingly ignorant.....
>
> -Aaron-

Umm.. hmm.. <plonk!>

2928. Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 17:08:42 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D496B1A.BCD4EC4@citlink.net>
Source record: 131953

This post was prompted by someong asking why Ebutt allows a 2510 to be listed in the CB catergory.....
Hell...I got one of their cute little "Can't list this" notes.They dont know legal from shit and as far as bowing down to the fcc.I do not beleive they ever got such a notice.
If they did it had no real legal bearing.
My "nasty gram" was prompted by listing a Ranger 6900F TB.I know why I got the notice.The ad was up for 4 days and I had bids
THEN some dickhead "Kilocycle cop"sent me a mail asking about the frequencies and power making like he was interested.
He was so damn obvious.......and I told him so.Then he sent back a mail ranting about rules etc.
Now then,as far as a 2510.Do me a favor all of you descriminated against citizens.Do what I did ...go thru all the cb ads find all the shit that is "according to Ebutt"inappropriate and then go to the section where you notify them and do so.(I found 183 ads for "illegal to use on 11 meter items"at the time I got my ad cancellation.Send a letter like I did stateing that they dont know the first damn thing about what is legal or not.In fact their action in deleting these ads is illegal and descriminitory.In fact if you have more than one email do it with all of them.
I would make the list and post it in this ng and every damn CB forum I could find to save others that are concerned the trouble of having to search the ads complain to Ebutt also.
After they get flooded about 10,000 mails complaining that until they put someone in charge of deciding what gets deleted that knows what is "legal" to use on 11 meters or not that their action is DESCIMINITORY.
Then after they send their cute little "we'll look into it"BS.Hammer them again.
Anyone interested helping with this can get in touch with me.Unlike others...I post with my real email....Arthur

2929. Moonraker 4...discontinued

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 17:34:17 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D497119.6C540F96@citlink.net>
Source record: 131955

If you want a Moonraker 4 ....I have them "brand new" for Two
fifty nine plus shipping.Volume discounts.
Discontinued and there aren't many left.
You see them go for $300+ on Ebutt but I refuse to list there

2930. Re: Los Angeles Area Stores?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 18:43:04 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208011043.22426ff0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131956

"Burnt Eddy" <burnteddy@nothere.com> wrote in message
news:<aibhom$12rp5q$1@ID-84462.news.dfncis.de>...
> Thanks for your help guys. And thanks for clearing that up for me Mr.
> Voobner.
>
> BurntEddy KARS9212

You're welcome, if you go into an HRO, be sure to tell them you are
looking for a "10 meter radio with roger beep".

-A

2931. Re: Pyramid Power Supplies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 20:11:36 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D2098D6.6ADF145D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 131957

"Alan S." wrote:
>
> Gentlemen,
>
> I've noticed that Pyramid power supplies can be had for a reasonable price
> (compared to say, an Astron). I've read varying opinions on the quality of
> these units. There seems to be a question as to just how long a 20A Astron
> (for example) will perform under a full 20A load. So I wonder, how would a
> CB that will probably never pull more than 3A or so perform?

As long as the power supply can supply the current required by the radio that is adequate. A radio that draws 3 amps will not perform any better on a 20 amp supply than on a 3 amp supply. The radio draws whatever current is required. Having a supply that will supply more current is OK, but it doesn't make the radio perform any better. If the radio draws 3 amps and the supply is rated at 3 amps, then the supply is going to work pretty hard unless it has been well designed to run at 3 amps continuously. A 5 amp supply would run cooler.

2932. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 20:18:22 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d498506.1328855@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131958

In <ukirpv5hb4qc7e@corp.supernews.com>, "BobC" <123@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d490261.5374960@news.cet.com...
>> I should add that, since the beginning of radio, engineers have been
>> designing radios at frequencies that exceeded the capabilities of any
>> oscilliscope at the time, and that is true even today. Engineers,
>> technicians, repairmen and hobbyists have been working with RF all
>> these years mostly without the ability to directly observe the RF
>> signal. They did a fine job with the equipment they had -- plus a
>> little understanding and ingenuity.
>>
>> My point is that you don't need to see the signal on a screen to know
>> what it looks like, and there are much better ways to measure the
>> characteristics of an RF signal that to look at it's picture on a CRT.
>>
>
>It's time for me to add my 2 cents.
>
>You want a scope. Period.

I agree.

>The radio world does not only exist in the AM only realm. It has a
>counterpart in the SSB area as well.
>
>When aligning an AM rig you'll want to see the waveform and adjust as
>necessary.

Most people can't look at an RF signal on a scope and see the wave
being compressed. You can observe a basic symmetry, but unless you
hold up a stencil to the screen you can't say for sure just how
symmetrical it is. You can't determine linearity just by looking at a
modulated RF waveform. You can't see audio distortion that may be
caused by the final. You can't see symmetry of the audio component in
an RF envelope because the ground is for the RF, not the audio. You
can't tune a final with a scope because you will only be peaking the
voltage, not the impedance. In fact, you can't tell much by looking at
the envelope except that it "looks good", and the only thing you an
quantify is the voltage. A scope is a basic intrument, but no matter
how much you pay for one it is not going to be good enough to replace
any test equipment designed for specific RF and AF measurements.

> Using a single tone from a tone generator is ok but you won't see
>what a complex voice is doing to the limiters.

That's why they invented the two-tone test, and white (and pink) noise generators.

>During a SSB alignment you'll want to make sure the bias and alc is set not
>just for factory specs but to see if the rig requires more or less than
>factory spec.

There is an interesting analogy here: If the only way to tune a car was to put it on the rollers, many of us would still be using the horse and buggy. But for auto work they have compression guages, timing lights, voltmeters, etc, and they work just fine for getting your car in excellent running condition. And they work better than sitting under the hood and making adjustments at 50 mph.

SSB is easier to adjust than AM. The final is class AB, so bias isn't a big issue (if it's running Class C then something is bad, and the audio will tell you if that's happening). The ALC, if the radio has one, is set with a steady input and a power meter, and as long as you are are not trying to peak it above specs then you don't have to worry about clipping. Even if it does clip you will hear it in the monitor and you will see it on the audio distortion analyzer. In either case, you will detect a problem sooner than you will with a scope.

>If you're putting an amp in line for some ssb use you'll want to see the
>pattern so that you can adjust the alc for best linearity from the amp.

The amp should be linear to begin with. Regardless, any amp should be tested independently for linearity, and you can't do it by simply looking at an envelope. At the very least you need a trap test, but even using just a sig-gen and a power meter will give you a better idea of linearity than by looking at the wave on a scope. You can even use that method to quantify the linearity by plotting the output to input and calculating your deviation, something no scope is capable of doing.

>There are more accurate measurement methods but the scope affords an an
>equitable trade-off between speed/ease of use and accuracy.

Not if you know what you are doing and have an understanding of how the radio works.

>To a trained eye, the scope can easily alert you to the prescence of
>harmonics, noise and other disturbances in the signal.

Now that's just plain hogwash! There can be distortions in an RF signal that -nobody- can see on a scope! Motorboating, RF compression, class AB2 distortion, asymmetrical modulation, and even some high-freq harmonic content won't be visible just by looking at an RF envelope, I don't care how well-trained your eye happens to be. Mine has been trained for more than 20 years on lots of different types of radios at freqs from DC to microwaves, and there is stuff that even *I* can't see on a scope just by looking at the wave.

If the O-scope was such a do-it-all piece of equipment, then why have engineers and technicians even bothered to invent all this other test equipment? equipment that is used more often than the scope? Was the freq counter developed to be a piece of backup equipment? Are RF voltmeters and distortion analyzers currently being manufactured because some technicians can't see a thin green line? No. The O-scope is your backup equipment, not your primary tool. Back in the early days of radio the o-scope was an instrument reserved for well-funded laboratories. Techs were able to get the radios working without them back then. Why? Because they are not needed.

> It may not be the
>best device to quantify these anomalies but you can sure use it to get rid
>of the errors.
>
>In todays economy there are several companies offering quality scopes at
>excellent prices. You dont have to have a 100mhz scope but there's barely a
>difference in price between a 100mhz used scope compared to a new 30 mhz
>scope.
>
>If your bent also includes digital then you'd be best advised to invest in a
>100mhz or higher speed scope because digital presents problems that a 30mhz
>scope wont see.

I'll even go out on a limb here and say that you can't find a CB radio with a problem that I can't fix without a scope. And I don't mean just a high-frequency scope, but ANY scope, spectrum analyzer included! Not only that, but I can tune it closer to specs! And I can do it with equipment that, in total, costs less than half of the price of a brand new 30 MHz scope!

Like I said before, the oscilliscope is a piece of equipment that you really want to have in the shop, but don't overrate it.

2933. Re: range of `non-communist' cb frequences?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 20:28:14 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4998c7.6386019@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131960

In <20020801084728.09681.00000571@mb-me.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote:

>hi frenk, what are you to do when its the gulf coast and the fog can swoop down
>and surround you from no where? you can be on a perfectly clear road and then
>be immersed in fog 5 minutes later thats so thick you cant see your hood from
>the drivers seat and you never know when or where it will be. not all places
>have the same wx conditions as you

So you just keep plowing through the fog at 65 mph? What an idiot. Hey Timmy, I've driven on both coasts, in the mountains, on the plains, and in several foreign countries. Don't tell ME that there are times when it's safe to drive when you can't see the road in front of you. Twit.

> star man

I see you are still under the delusion that I'm N7VCF. Quit feeding off of twistie's delusions and get a brain of your own.

2934. Re: Aaron again, squirms under the preasure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 20:32:26 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d499a99.6851674@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131961

In <hRb29.36383$Zj5.159789189@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

<snip>
>Sure Frank, I see where your going with this, you just don't understand that
>it doesn't bother me because my conscience is clear.

That's because you have no conscience, as evidenced by your sig:

>"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

2935. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 20:44:17 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d499d7a.7588657@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131962

In <3D4929A4.26FC@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
<nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

>yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
>>
>>
>> >
>> >You're placing alot of faith in a modulation "meter". Something that I
>> >wouldn't do. A meter will not display distortions of the waveform, only
>> >their relative combined value.
>> >A scope can let you "see" the modulation, such that you can set the
>> >percentage, while ensuring that the waveform is correct.
>>
>> Well, a scope will let you see the modulation envelope but the eye
>> can't tell if the waveform is a sine or a psuedo-sine.
>
>If you're injecting a sinewave from an audio signal generator, it is
>fairly easy to determine waveform symmetry. My eyes are not that bad
>yet....;)

Symmetry to a point, distortion no.

>> If you want to
>> get serious about of distortion, linearity and modulation it's best to
>> set up a trapezoid test and verify with the spectrum analyzer.
>
>Well, yeah. That just adds credibility to the point that you need more
>than one instrument to do a complete job.

I agree there.

>> it's a safe bet that if the audio going into the final is clean, the
>> RF is solid, and the final is working ok, then the only distortion
>> will be caused by a detuned filter network. This usually happens
>> because the screwdriver fairy made a visit to the radio in the middle
>> of the night, but it's easy to fix with a sig-gen and an RF voltmeter.
>> In fact, it's easier to fix that way than with a scope.
>
>I don't know about that. You can't easily determine if the audio going
>into the final is clean without a scope to view it.

Sure you can, just hook up your audio distortion analyzer to the
modulation output. That's a standard procedure. A scope is not very
accurate for measuring distortion, but will work as a backup.

> I guess it's all
>what you're used to using. I've already tuned up a radio using just the
>scope as the RF voltmeter. Tune for the widest trace. At the right input
>voltage setting, they are pretty sensitive and just as precise as a
>meter. The meter will give you a better absolute reading value, but the
>scope is fine if you are only interested in relative readings.

I'll agree with that, too.

> The other
>advantage is that during the tune up procedure, if any RF stage has any
>instabilities, that would affect modulation distortion, it will
>immediately show up.

But that's true with or without the scope. And what a scope may not
show you (while watching an RF envelope) is a slow sawtooth on the PLL
loop -- the scope will trigger out the drift.

>> >> > It's possible to connect a
>> >> >simple audio type 'scope to use the trapezoidal measurement method,
>> >> >and we'll demonstrate this later. However you still need a high
>> >> >frequency response for things like transmitter signal tracing.
>> >>
>> >> A sig-gen works better because it can be used as a signal injector at
>> >> each stage, and it's much easier to track down a problem by working
>> >> backwards from the final. A scope doesn't always work because not all
>> >> stages have voltage gain, and if you are not paying attention to the
>> >> schematic you might end up wasting time and money replacing by good
>> >> components without cause. I learned that one by experience...
>> >
>> >Which is why you should never depend on any one instrument to do all of
>> >your work. A scope is useful for looking at modulation, or any other
>> >voltage. It is, afterall, nothing more than a voltmeter, that displays
>> >in the time domain. I use my scope sometimes just to measure voltages in
>> >the power supply. The added benefit is that I can see the amount of
>> >ripple present.
>>
>> You bet, that's one of the best reasons to get a scope. But you don't
>> need a 100 MHz scope to see power-supply ripple. And don't forget that
>> a digital voltmeter can measure that ripple down to a few milivolts.
>
>If you are going to be working on a variety of radios up to VHF, then it
>is helpful to get the best scope that you can afford. A 35 Mhz scope is
>probably ok if CB is the only band you'll ever be working in.

I don't agree, but to each his own. My first choice is the comm
analyzer (obviously), but I put more faith in the test equipment
designed specifically for the jobs than in a do-it-all-but-not-as-well
device such as the o-scope. The scope has it's place as a fundamental
and versatile instrument, but even with all the bells and whistles it
is still just that: fundamental. IMO.

>> >> > When I
>> >> >was starting out and couldn't afford a 'scope, I did a lot of signal
>> >> >tracing with a VOM and RF probe. This method works, but without a
>> >> >'scope you can't analyze the more subtle problems like noise and
>> >> >distortion.
>> >>
>> >> We're talking AM here. Noise and distortion usually occurs in the
>> >> audio stages, the modulating stage, or the final. If the source of the
>> >> noise turns out to be from the RF input to the final, it's almost
>> >> always because the PLL/VCO has gone haywire, and you can see that on a
>> >> freq counter even before the scope has had a chance to warm up.
>> >
>> >Not always. I've run across cases of incidental FM'ing of the VCO, that
>> >makes the audio sound raspy, but you can't see it on either a scope, or
>> >a counter, since the amount of FM is too small for the counter to
>> >sample. In this case, the only thing to use is a spectrum analyzer. But
>> >a scope can show distortions in the RF area, as "fuzziness" on the
>> >modulation waveform. Again, only a spectrum analyzer can quantify the
>> >distortion, but a scope can alert you to its presence.
>>
>> I have seen that problem too, but a spectrum analyzer is not the only
>> way to see it. It shows up in the PLL loop as an audio signal. One of
>> the first things I do now is to put a scope on that feedback loop and
>> watch it under normal operating conditions.
>
>Another reason to have a scope. But the probe better be a high impedence
>probe, or you stand the chance of loading down the VCO.

10 meg is standard. Many times that sawtooth is slow enough to see on
a VTVM.

> Also, in many of
>those "FM'ing" cases, the problem disappears when the cover is removed,
>making troubleshooting much more difficult. In this case, the spectrum
>analyzer or communications monitor is the only way to see it.

I have rarely found this to be the case. The problem isn't very
common, and most VCO's are located in a seperate shielded box, but the
loopback signal can still be measured outside that box. Sometimes the
lid has already been removed (for reasons I can only guess), and in
that case I replace it. (For the young, budding repair technician,
here's some good advice: always keep a stock of tin and copper sheet
handy for purposes you won't realize until you need it.)

>> Also, 'fuzziness' can happen on a scope even when there is no problem
>> at all because of some strong signal nearby. I live in the middle of a
>> node from a Class A AM broadcast station, and believe me it's annoying
>> as hell!
>
>Lucky for me, I have no such interference....

2936. Re: Los Angeles Area Stores?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 20:56:13 UTC
From: Burnt Eddy <burnteddy@nothere.com>
Message-ID: <aic70s$12jbi0$1@ID-84462.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 131963

Why would I want roger-beep on a 10M. Isn't roger-beep a CB thing? Oh, I see, I've been posting to the rec.radio.cb group by mistake. Meant to post to rec.radio.ameteur.equipment. Didn't mean to get in your CB group ahvoobner...sorry. Now I see where your dry sense of humor is coming from. I have nothing against CB, I use it from time to time....

Later, BurntEddy KARS9212

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:c9ceae5b.0208011043.22426ff0@posting.google.com...
> "Burnt Eddy" <burnteddy@nothere.com> wrote in message news:<aibhom$12rp5q$1@ID-84462.news.dfncis.de>...
> > Thanks for your help guys. And thanks for clearing that up for me Mr.
> > Voobner.
> >
> > BurntEddy KARS9212
>
> You're welcome, if you go into an HRO, be sure to tell them you are
> looking for a "10 meter radio with roger beep".
>
> -A

2937. Re: Landshark: Dumb As A Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 21:13:15 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <Lvh29.47331$nm.1834264@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 131965

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> Whew. If you are so convinced that my other accounts got terminated
> then go ahead, terminate this one.
>
> -A

Maybe he can get Arnold Voobner to terminate you... Ill be back.

2938. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 21:57:49 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D49AEDD.15B5B1D8@hotmail.com>
Source record: 131966

Hey Scott

Thanks for the info...couple of questions tho....a piece of braid ..?? are you talking a coax wire or just a wire to work as the ground wire ...to ground the antenna ...Right now all my coax cable is RG-59....is this good coax ???

Zeek

Scott Sixnine wrote:

> Forget the duals. Just run one 5 foot or larger Firestik. Make sure
> the the base of the antenna has a good RF ground. Hinges and mirrors
> are not good RF grounds. Run a piece of braid from the base to a solid
> point on the vehicle. Don't use RG-58. Use RG-8M or 8X. Use coax that
> has a stranded center. Solid wire has a tendency to break quickly.
>
> Don't forget to run the power wire direct to the battery with a fuse in
> the positive lead as close as possible to the battery (in case of short).
>
> Better yet, never use solid wire in a mobile or high vibration environment.

2939. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 22:00:38 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D49AF86.3B08E819@hotmail.com>
Source record: 131968

Hey Yeti

Thats a good idea ...run two antenna's put only have one connected ....I like that idea ......
thanks again

Zeek

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:

> In <3D4866DE.D93730F9@hotmail.com>, zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >Hey Alan
> >
> >Thanks for the input it was a great help ....but I like the look of the
> >duel whips but i know it hurts the performance of the radio....I guess i want
> >my cake and eat it to....I'm undecided on what I what ...put thanks for the
> >help
> >\
> >Zeek
>
> Well, you could put up both antennas but just connect one of them. You
> probably won't notice any difference between running one antenna or
> two. I never have.

2940. Re: Don't swim in my toilet....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 22:03:37 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D49B03D.F0C37737@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131967

Redfox wrote:
>
> Umm.. hmm.. <plonk!>

Another good putt in rec.radio.golf...

2941. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 22:12:16 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D49B243.81EDF507@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131969

zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> Hey Scott
>
> Thanks for the info...couple of questions tho....a piece of braid ..?? are you
> talking a coax wire or just a wire to work as the ground wire ...to ground the
> antenna ...Right now all my coax cable is RG-59....is this good coax ???

RG-59 is no longer used by cable companies. They use RG-6 now. Strip some
of the 59 and use the braid from that to ground the mount. Copper erodes
quickly in air, so crimp some terminals on the ends and solder the crimps.

2942. Re: Los Angeles Area Stores?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 23:00:38 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208011500.2d5dfc20@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131970

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208011043.22426ff0@posting.google.com>...
> "Burnt Eddy" <burnteddy@nothere.com> wrote in message
news:<aibhom$12rp5q$1@ID-84462.news.dfncis.de>...
> > Thanks for your help guys. And thanks for clearing that up for me Mr.
> > Voobner.
> >
> > BurntEddy KARS9212
>
> You're welcome, if you go into an HRO, be sure to tell them you are
> looking for a "10 meter radio with roger beep".
>
> -A

Is that what you have?

Remember, folks: Aanal Aaron encouraged a guy to get his new linear
amp modified for 11 metres. He has NO credibility.

BTW, Aanal Aaron: You never answered my questions. You never speed?
You never break any laws? What's your amateur callsign? What's your
FCC badge number? You are a TROLL. Nothing more.

2943. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 23:05:19 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208011505.bf8fe1b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131971

"Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D496B1A.BCD4EC4@citlink.net>...
> This post was prompted by someong asking why Ebutt allows a 2510 to be
> listed in the CB catergory.....
> Hell...I got one of their cute little "Can't list this" notes.They dont
> know legal from shit and as far as bowing down to the fcc.I do not
> beleive they ever got such a notice.
> If they did it had no real legal bearing.
> My "nasty gram" was prompted by listing a Ranger 6900F TB.I know why I
> got the notice.The ad was up for 4 days and I had bids
> THEN some dickhead "Kilocycle cop"sent me a mail asking about the
> frequencies and power making like he was interested.
> He was so damn obvious.......and I told him so.Then he sent back a mail
> ranting about rules etc.

Geez; I wonder who that was? Aanal Aaron, or Tim? Or were they such
cowards that they used yet another Aalias?

> Now then,as far as a 2510.Do me a favor all of you descriminated
> against citizens.Do what I did ...go thru all the cb ads find all the
> shit that is "according to Ebutt"inappropriate and then go to the
> section where you notify them and do so.(I found 183 ads for "illegal to
> use on 11 meter items"at the time I got my ad cancellation.Send a letter
> like I did stateing that they dont know the first damn thing about what
> is legal or not.In fact their action in deleting these ads is illegal
> and descriminitory.In fact if you have more than one email do it with
> all of them.

There is nothing illegal about a Uniden HR2510 - IF it is listed unter
amateur radio. It IS illegal for CB use. But since when has that ever
mattered to Aanyone other than Aanal Aaron? Who isn't a licensed
Aamateur and can't use 10 metres Aanyhow?

> I would make the list and post it in this ng and every damn CB forum I
> could find to save others that are concerned the trouble of having to
> search the ads complain to Ebutt also.
> After they get flooded about 10,000 mails complaining that until they
> put someone in charge of deciding what gets deleted that knows what is
> "legal" to use on 11 meters or not that their action is DESCIMINITORY.
> Then after they send their cute little "we'll look into it"BS.Hammer
> them again.
> Anyone interested helping with this can get in touch with me.Unlike
> others...I post with my real email....Arthur

Good idea. Maybe they'll ban Aanal Aaron for total Bovine Excrement
emails! He is definitely cutting into their profits.

The HR2510 is perfectly legal for 10 metre use. Aanal Aaron is not
legal on ANY band!

2944. Re: Re...28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 23:08:55 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208011508.bec1f48@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131973

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0207312013.24a2c47@posting.google.com>...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> >For everyone's information, what happened on
> >Guam was that the EXECUTIVE OFFICER wanted
> >a phone patch. When the ham shack didn't offer
> >enough signal (we were received, but partly buried
> >in noise in the US), he asked if we
> >could listen if we transmitted somewhere else.
> >When answered in the affirmative, he called up
> >the transmitter site and ordered a 40 KW military
> >transmitter on a rhombic antenna pointed stateside.
> >In the absence of the commanding officer, the XO
> >WAS the law. There are times when it is not in
> >one's best interest to start quoting the law. That
> >was one of them. He got his patch.
> >
> >73 from Rochester, NY
> >Jim
>
> I agree that it wouldn't have been an appropriate situation to quote
> the law. What law would one have quoted, anyway? I've never seen any
> federal regulations governing power levels, modes, and frequencies
> used by the military.
>
> I just thought it was funny that Tim took your 40 KW story as
> affirming "keyclown brotherhood".
>
> -A
>
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0207311141.17c118d8@posting.google.com>...
> > timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote in message
news:<20020731083226.20353.00000406@mb-fd.aol.com>...
> > >jim you used to be a cool guy but now you've turned into
> > >nothing more than a parrot repeating how wrong it is to
> > >have amps and use the freeband.
> > >why the change in your attitude on this group?
> > >i'd rather hear old stories
> > >about 500 megawatt stations running
> > >phone patches
> >
> > Poor Tim. Sounds like the kind of adult role model you crave is
> > someone who doesn't care if you steal or break the law and tells cool

> > stories about how they "got down" back in the day.
> >
> > -A

Talking to yourself Aagain, Aanal Aaron?

BTW, you've never responded to my questions: You never speed? You never break ANY law? What's your amateur callsign? Your FCC badge number? Inquiring minds want to know! TROLL!

2945. Re: Radios& amps for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 23:13:38 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208011513.7c49b2bb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131974

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0207291720.1bae66f7@posting.google.com>...
> Richard Cranium wrote:
> >You wouldn't know the TRUTH if it hit you in the head.
> >The TRUTH is that 10 metre radios are designed and
> >built for just that - 10 metres.
>
> Now I know you're completely insane.

Really? What do you base this on? Are you a psychiatrist? Hell no,
you're a total nutcase. Who are you to make this proclamation? You
don't even have a ham license!!

> PS: They spell it "meter", not "metre" here in the States, craniumbuster.
>
> -A

A "meter" has a glass or plastic face and a needle that reads against
a scale of some sort. Metres are a measure of wavelength. You really
don't know diddly squat, do you?

BTW, you never answered my questions: What's your amateur callsign?
What's your FCC badge number? TROLL!

And my name is Aanal Aaronbuster to you, bub.

2946. Re: Aaron's trying to advert attention on why his acounts are closed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 23:21:09 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208011521.4cd6a9eb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131975

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<qU329.34810$rA2.46344406@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0207311811.72e0f3fc@posting.google.com...
> > >> They didn't get cancelled, the mailboxes mysteriously got onto
> dozens
> > > >of mailing lists (likely by some illegal CB enthusiast from the NG).
> > > >Maybe it was you, Landshark.
> > >
> > >Yeah, sure
> > >
>
> Again, trying to advert attention away from why you don't
> post from the other 8 accounts.

Don't expect any sort of a logical answer from Aanal Aaron.

> >
> > Could have been you. You sure fantasize about my accounts getting
> > pulled a lot.

Like I fantasize about someone whacking you up-side the head with a
2x4. So?

> > >
>
> Not at all, I just did a deja search on your name, and low &
> behold you had some 2500 + posts and 9 different accounts in
> a one year period. All on rec.radio.cb, policy, pirate blah blah
> blah.

Definitely a SPAMMER. And a TROLL.

> > > >Who knows? Point is, maliciously jamming
> > > >my mailbox does you no good, because I'm still posting
> > >>here - and will ALWAYS be posting here.

Dream on. ALWAYS is a very long time. You cannot live that long.
TROLL.

> > >I haven't sent you a thing, so stop trying to accuse me to
> > >advert attention away from you, on why you have had
> > >9 different addys.
> >
> > Well open your eyes and read this: my account has never been pulled.
> > Ever. For no reason.

LIAR! Just like you've never broken any law. Never speeded. Never kept
left whan you should have kept right except to pass. Bovine Excrement.
You are no better than those you put down and insult. TROLL.

>
> Then why don't you post from those accounts, you don't have to
> even use those accounts to post from google, do you? So why
> can't you post from them? Because they got pulled, that's why.
>
> > > >If you can't drive me off after 9 tries,
> > > >you'll NEVER drive me off.
> > >
> > >
> > >I haven't done a thing to you, again you're trying
> > >to advert attention from why you had 9 accounts
> > >pulled out from under you.
> >
> > I just told you my account has never been pulled, cancelled, yanked,
> > or limited in any way. If you wish to fantasize the opposite, go
> > ahead. But it doesn't make it real.

Then why NINE accounts, mostly at yahoo.com? TROLL.

>
> Same thing some one else love's to say, they we're canceled, but you just
> can't admit it, can you?
>
> > <snip>
> > >Again, trying to advert attention away from why your
> > >accounts have been pulled.

(the word is "divert". And he IS guilty of it)

> > They have never been pulled so there's nothing to "advert".
> > >
>
> Yeah, right.
>
> > >> >"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN"...etc.
> > > >>
> > >> Is this what you're teaching your child?
> > > > >
> > > > >-A
> > > >
> > >Oh no, not in anyway. I teach them about made up
> > >people that act like nazi's and do nothing but make
> > >tattle tale threats and be repetitive as hell. I teach
> > >them that is not what life is all about.
> > >
> > >Landshark
> >
> > Sigh. When you espouse lawbreaking on a NG and someone (like me)

Nobody has "espouse(d) breaking the law". And who the hell are YOU?

> Nothing more than what people say, so you're so called heroics
> are nothing more than a smoke screen about trolling.
>
> > opposes you, I guess about all you can do is call them a nazi and a
> > tattletale and try and drum up hatred against them.
>
> Really, so when people complained about the Nazi's, they were
> just drumming up hatred, OK.
>
> > Somehow I expected
> > a more intelligent response though. Instead all I get is your Beavis &
> > Butthead version of Constitutional Rights: "It's a free country, see,
> > and that means I can do whatever I want! Huhhuhuhuh!"

You're saying it is NOT a free country? For the record, Aanal Aaron,
cars can kill people. Radios? I highly doubt it. Try doing something
worthwhile for a change.

>
> Some how I expected exactly what you said, you are trying
> to blame me for your lose of use of those accounts, ok. LMAO!
>
> > >Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of
> > >assembly and petition - this set of guarantees, protected
> > >by the First Amendment, comprises what we
> > >refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme
> > >Court has written that this freedom is "the matrix,
> > >the indispensable condition of nearly every
> > >other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental
> > >rights, like the right to vote, would wither and die
> >
> > Where does the Constitution say anything about your right to disobey
> > FCC law?

"FCC law?" WHAT? They're called the Rules and Regulations, and they
are NOT "law". TROLL!

> > -Aaron-
> >
>
> It doesn't, but where does it say that you can't use your cb
> to inform others of a cop using a radar gun?
>
> Landshark

It doesn't. But Aanal Aaron sez it, so it MUST be true. TROLL!

2947. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 23:21:33 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208011521.4511bbf8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131976

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>There is nothing illegal about a Uniden HR2510
>- IF it is listed unter amateur radio.

Wrong. See below.

>It IS illegal for CB use. But since when has that ever
>mattered to Aanyone other than Aanal Aaron?
>Who isn't a licensed Aamateur and can't use 10 metres
>Aanyhow?

Irrelevant.

>The HR2510 is perfectly legal for 10 metre use.

Wrong again. See below.

>Aanal Aaron is not legal on ANY band!

You sound like Dave & Debbie now.

-Aaron-

ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

The FCC's Office of Engineer and Technology (OET) has evaluated the devices listed below and has concluded that these devices are not only amateur transceivers but can easily be altered for use as Citizens Band (CB) transceivers as well. As such, OET has further concluded that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within the United States for the reasons discussed below. Further, the FCC General Council has issued a decision in a specific case involving one manufacturer and has concluded that dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue may not be approved under the Commission's rules and are in violation of several rules including the RF power level limits of 47 CFR 95.639. (letter from Christopher J. Wright, FCC-OGC to John F. Atwood, US Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999).

Transceivers used in the Amateur Radio Service below 30 MHz do not require FCC authorization prior to being imported into or marketed within the United States, but transceivers for other services, including the CB Radio Service (CB), do require Commission approval. The transceivers listed herein and other similar models operate in the amateur "10-meter band" and are often referred to as "10-meter" radios or "export" radios. The amateur 10-meter band uses frequencies that are very close to the channels set aside for use in the CB service.

Some of the transceivers that manufacturers call "10-meter" radios either operate on CB frequencies as manufactured and imported or are designed such that internal circuits can readily be activated by a user, a service technician or a dealer to operate on CB frequencies. According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's rules, a CB transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate at a station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.603(c). The Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar models at issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those in the amateur service because they have built-in capability to operate on CB frequencies. This capability can be readily activated by moving or removing a jumper plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other simple means. Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.603(c). A CB transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or importation. 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ï¿½ 95.603(c); 2.803.

Moreover, the dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue here may not be certified under the Commission's rules. Section 95.655(a) states: "ï¿½.([CB] Transmitters with frequency capability for the Amateur Radio Services ï¿½ will not be certificated.)" See also Amendment of Part 95, Subpart E, Technical Regulations in the Personal Radio Services Rules, FCC 88-256, 1888 WL 488084 (August 17, 1988). This clarification was added to explicitly foreclose the possibility of certification of dual use CB and amateur radios, see id, and thereby deter use by CB operators of frequencies allocated for amateur radio use.

In addition, the Commission's equipment authorization experts have determined that these devices violate or appear to violate a number of the rules governing CB devices. For example, they may use emission types not permitted, or emit RF power at a level in excess of the levels permitted in the CB radio service. See 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.639.

In view of the foregoing, the following "10-meter" transceivers are not acceptable for importation or marketing into/within the United States. Importation and marketing of these units is illegal pursuant to Section 302(b) of the Communications Act and Section 2.803 of the rules. Willful violations of the Rules and the Act may subject the violator to a monetary forfeiture of not more than $11,000 for each violation or each day of a continuing violation. The Commission continues to review this type of equipment, and additional makes and models may be added to this list in the future.

LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET

GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V, DX 77HML, DX 88HL, DX99V and Saturn Turbo

CONNEX - models: 3300, 3300HP-ZX, 3300 PLUS and CX-3800

MIRAGE - models: 44, Galaxy 88, 9900 and 2950EX

NORTH STAR - models: NS-3000 and NS-9000

PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln

PRO STAR - model: 240

RANGER - models: AR-3500 and RCI-2950

TEK - model: HR-3950

UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600

SUPER STAR - model: 121

For further information concerning the listed transceivers or similar models, contact Ray LaForge or Gary Hendrickson at the FCC Laboratory, 7435 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046, (301) 362-3041 or (301) 362-3043 respectively, or E-mail: rlaforge@fcc.gov and ghendric@fcc.gov

2948. Re: Los Angeles Area Stores?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 23:28:26 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020801192826.06355.00000803@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 131977

yes, then show them the cash and watch how fast they pull out the magnums from the back......

.>
>You're welcome, if you go into an HRO, be sure to tell them you are
>looking for a "10 meter radio with roger beep".
>
>-A
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

2949. Re: Los Angeles Area Stores?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 23:29:25 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020801192925.06355.00000804@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 131978

he has been for over two years now. just now realizing it????

>
>BTW, Aanal Aaron: You never answered my questions. You never speed?
>You never break any laws? What's your amateur callsign? What's your
>FCC badge number? You are a TROLL. Nothing more.
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

2950. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 00:15:53 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ukjjoft1j7pgab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131979

Aaron, about the Ranger model RCI-2950 ... isn't that pictured in the ad on page 128 of the August 2002 QST?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - somewhere I saw a post that the ARRL only advertises those radios that are not easily modified for out of amateur band use.

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:c9ceae5b.0208011521.4511bbf8@posting.google.com...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> >There is nothing illegal about a Uniden HR2510
> >- IF it is listed unter amateur radio.
>
> Wrong. See below.
>
> >It IS illegal for CB use. But since when has that ever
> >mattered to Aanyone other than Aanal Aaron?
> >Who isn't a licensed Aamateur and can't use 10 metres
> >Aanyhow?
>
> Irrelevant.
>
> >The HR2510 is perfectly legal for 10 metre use.
>
> Wrong again. See below.
>
> >Aanal Aaron is not legal on ANY band!
>
> You sound like Dave & Debbie now.
>
> -Aaron-
>
> ----
>
> ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST
>
> The FCC's Office of Engineer and Technology (OET) has evaluated the
> devices listed below and has concluded that these devices are not only
> amateur transceivers but can easily be altered for use as Citizens
> Band (CB) transceivers as well. As such, OET has further concluded
> that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within the
> United States for the reasons discussed below. Further, the FCC
> General Council has issued a decision in a specific case involving one

> manufacturer and has concluded that dual use CB and amateur radios of
> the kind at issue may not be approved under the Commission's rules and
> are in violation of several rules including the RF power level limits
> of 47 CFR 95.639. (letter from Christopher J. Wright, FCC-OGC to John
> F. Atwood, US Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999).
>
> Transceivers used in the Amateur Radio Service below 30 MHz do not
> require FCC authorization prior to being imported into or marketed
> within the United States, but transceivers for other services,
> including the CB Radio Service (CB), do require Commission approval.
> The transceivers listed herein and other similar models operate in the
> amateur "10-meter band" and are often referred to as "10-meter" radios
> or "export" radios. The amateur 10-meter band uses frequencies that
> are very close to the channels set aside for use in the CB service.
> Some of the transceivers that manufacturers call "10-meter" radios
> either operate on CB frequencies as manufactured and imported or are
> designed such that internal circuits can readily be activated by a
> user, a service technician or a dealer to operate on CB frequencies.
> According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's rules, a CB
> transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate
> at a station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. § 95.603(c).
> The Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory
> have determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar
> models at issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as
> well as those in the amateur service because they have built-in
> capability to operate on CB frequencies. This capability can be
> readily activated by moving or removing a jumper plug, cutting or
> splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other simple means.
> Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall
> within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. § 95.603(c).
> A CB transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or
> importation. 47 C.F.R. §§ 95.603(c); 2.803.
>
> Moreover, the dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue here
> may not be certified under the Commission's rules. Section 95.655(a)
> states: "..([CB] Transmitters with frequency capability for the
> Amateur Radio Services . will not be certificated.)" See also
> Amendment of Part 95, Subpart E, Technical Regulations in the Personal
> Radio Services Rules, FCC 88-256, 1888 WL 488084 (August 17, 1988).
> This clarification was added to explicitly foreclose the possibility
> of certification of dual use CB and amateur radios, see id, and
> thereby deter use by CB operators of frequencies allocated for amateur
> radio use.
>
> In addition, the Commission's equipment authorization experts have
> determined that these devices violate or appear to violate a number of
> the rules governing CB devices. For example, they may use emission
> types not permitted, or emit RF power at a level in excess of the
> levels permitted in the CB radio service. See 47 C.F.R. § 95.639.

>
> In view of the foregoing, the following "10-meter" transceivers are
> not acceptable for importation or marketing into/within the United
> States. Importation and marketing of these units is illegal pursuant
> to Section 302(b) of the Communications Act and Section 2.803 of the
> rules. Willful violations of the Rules and the Act may subject the
> violator to a monetary forfeiture of not more than $11,000 for each
> violation or each day of a continuing violation. The Commission
> continues to review this type of equipment, and additional makes and
> models may be added to this list in the future.
>
>
>
> LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
> ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET
>
>
> GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V, DX 77HML, DX
> 88HL, DX99V and Saturn Turbo
>
> CONNEX - models: 3300, 3300HP-ZX, 3300 PLUS and CX-3800
>
> MIRAGE - models: 44, Galaxy 88, 9900 and 2950EX
>
> NORTH STAR - models: NS-3000 and NS-9000
>
> PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln
>
> PRO STAR - model: 240
>
> RANGER - models: AR-3500 and RCI-2950
>
> TEK - model: HR-3950
>
> UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600
>
> SUPER STAR - model: 121
>
>
> For further information concerning the listed transceivers or similar
> models, contact Ray LaForge or Gary Hendrickson at the FCC Laboratory,
> 7435 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046, (301) 362-3041 or (301)
> 362-3043 respectively, or E-mail: rlaforge@fcc.gov and
> ghendric@fcc.gov

2951. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 00:39:56 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <wxk29.18955$Kl6.1194115@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131980

<zeek198@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D49AEDD.15B5B1D8@hotmail.com...
> Hey Scott
>
> Thanks for the info...couple of questions tho....a piece of braid ..??
are you
> talking a coax wire or just a wire to work as the ground wire ...to
ground the
> antenna ...Right now all my coax cable is RG-59....is this good coax ???
>
> Zeek
>
>

Zeek

Now it is my turn to tell you more than you really want to know.

You apparently inherited or bought a dual antenna system which uses 72-ohm coax (the characteristic impedence of RG-59) to pull a little cute to match the 50-ohm load expected by a CB and most other transmitters. It is called a Q-section and is a staple on the ham bands. This is really not the place to go into it but I will if you want.

SWR (Standing Wave Ratio) is basically a measurement of the amount of the transmitter output that is accepted by the antenna, actually usually by measuring the amount 'reflected' back to the transmitter. It is certainly of interest but an issue over which an almost religious fetishism has developed in CB circles for no particularly good reason.

There are in fact two components that determine SWR. The first is the match between the transmitter, feed line, and antenna. Give yourself a big cigar for having the good sense to do the measurement at the antenna although with duals it can potentially be misleading in this area. But this is not the real problem.

The second is resonance, the frequency to which the antenna is tuned and it is here where the problem can get cute. It is apparent that for some reason, your antennas are short. Now they can be short because of the length of the stinger portion, the proximity to another radiating antenna, or more likely the counterpoise - the 'ground' if you will. Did these come off a big rig with larger mirrors??

Keep the two components of the SWR separated and you will eventually blunder your way to success; no matter what the technical smugitude, that is how most of us get it done.

I would agree, BTW, with the proponents of single antennas however uncool they may be. But for these, the coax is RG-58, the 50-ohm version. Given that your antennas would more than likely explode when fed power there is no good reason for using anything bigger.

Dick N&#65533;BK

2952. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 00:41:34 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ukjl8lkpp56c0f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131981

Aaron, there also exists the possibility that the radio offered is, indeed, a new model and is acceptable. I re-read the ad and it is for the Ranger RCI-2950DX. Note the 'DX' added at the end of the model number. Perhaps it has been reworked so it is legal now?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:c9ceae5b.0208011521.4511bbf8@posting.google.com...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> >There is nothing illegal about a Uniden HR2510
> >- IF it is listed unter amateur radio.
>
> Wrong. See below.
>
> >It IS illegal for CB use. But since when has that ever
> >mattered to Aanyone other than Aanal Aaron?
> >Who isn't a licensed Aamateur and can't use 10 metres
> >Aanyhow?
>
> Irrelevant.
>
> >The HR2510 is perfectly legal for 10 metre use.
>
> Wrong again. See below.
>
> >Aanal Aaron is not legal on ANY band!
>
> You sound like Dave & Debbie now.
>
> -Aaron-
>
> ----
>
> ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST
>
> The FCC's Office of Engineer and Technology (OET) has evaluated the
> devices listed below and has concluded that these devices are not only
> amateur transceivers but can easily be altered for use as Citizens
> Band (CB) transceivers as well. As such, OET has further concluded
> that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within the
> United States for the reasons discussed below. Further, the FCC
> General Council has issued a decision in a specific case involving one

> manufacturer and has concluded that dual use CB and amateur radios of
> the kind at issue may not be approved under the Commission's rules and
> are in violation of several rules including the RF power level limits
> of 47 CFR 95.639. (letter from Christopher J. Wright, FCC-OGC to John
> F. Atwood, US Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999).
>
> Transceivers used in the Amateur Radio Service below 30 MHz do not
> require FCC authorization prior to being imported into or marketed
> within the United States, but transceivers for other services,
> including the CB Radio Service (CB), do require Commission approval.
> The transceivers listed herein and other similar models operate in the
> amateur "10-meter band" and are often referred to as "10-meter" radios
> or "export" radios. The amateur 10-meter band uses frequencies that
> are very close to the channels set aside for use in the CB service.
> Some of the transceivers that manufacturers call "10-meter" radios
> either operate on CB frequencies as manufactured and imported or are
> designed such that internal circuits can readily be activated by a
> user, a service technician or a dealer to operate on CB frequencies.
> According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's rules, a CB
> transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate
> at a station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. § 95.603(c).
> The Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory
> have determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar
> models at issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as
> well as those in the amateur service because they have built-in
> capability to operate on CB frequencies. This capability can be
> readily activated by moving or removing a jumper plug, cutting or
> splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other simple means.
> Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall
> within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. § 95.603(c).
> A CB transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or
> importation. 47 C.F.R. §§ 95.603(c); 2.803.
>
> Moreover, the dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue here
> may not be certified under the Commission's rules. Section 95.655(a)
> states: "..([CB] Transmitters with frequency capability for the
> Amateur Radio Services . will not be certificated.)" See also
> Amendment of Part 95, Subpart E, Technical Regulations in the Personal
> Radio Services Rules, FCC 88-256, 1888 WL 488084 (August 17, 1988).
> This clarification was added to explicitly foreclose the possibility
> of certification of dual use CB and amateur radios, see id, and
> thereby deter use by CB operators of frequencies allocated for amateur
> radio use.
>
> In addition, the Commission's equipment authorization experts have
> determined that these devices violate or appear to violate a number of
> the rules governing CB devices. For example, they may use emission
> types not permitted, or emit RF power at a level in excess of the
> levels permitted in the CB radio service. See 47 C.F.R. § 95.639.

>
> In view of the foregoing, the following "10-meter" transceivers are
> not acceptable for importation or marketing into/within the United
> States. Importation and marketing of these units is illegal pursuant
> to Section 302(b) of the Communications Act and Section 2.803 of the
> rules. Willful violations of the Rules and the Act may subject the
> violator to a monetary forfeiture of not more than $11,000 for each
> violation or each day of a continuing violation. The Commission
> continues to review this type of equipment, and additional makes and
> models may be added to this list in the future.
>
>
>
> LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
> ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET
>
>
> GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V, DX 77HML, DX
> 88HL, DX99V and Saturn Turbo
>
> CONNEX - models: 3300, 3300HP-ZX, 3300 PLUS and CX-3800
>
> MIRAGE - models: 44, Galaxy 88, 9900 and 2950EX
>
> NORTH STAR - models: NS-3000 and NS-9000
>
> PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln
>
> PRO STAR - model: 240
>
> RANGER - models: AR-3500 and RCI-2950
>
> TEK - model: HR-3950
>
> UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600
>
> SUPER STAR - model: 121
>
>
> For further information concerning the listed transceivers or similar
> models, contact Ray LaForge or Gary Hendrickson at the FCC Laboratory,
> 7435 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046, (301) 362-3041 or (301)
> 362-3043 respectively, or E-mail: rlaforge@fcc.gov and
> ghendric@fcc.gov

2953. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 00:53:30 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <eKk29.18979$Kl6.1194463@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131982

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ukjjoft1j7pgab@corp.supernews.com...
> Aaron, about the Ranger model RCI-2950 ... isn't that pictured in the ad
on
> page 128 of the August 2002 QST?
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
> ps - somewhere I saw a post that the ARRL only advertises those radios
that
> are not easily modified for out of amateur band use.
>

Their ad kind of alludes to that. The extensive shielding and improved stability may well include a bit of sheet metal over the PLL pins. Given the legendary quality of their soldering in the PLL area this might not be the best idea in the last 50 years but it does help keep the receiver from interfering with itself.

Speaking of which I wonder if the redesigned front end has a 3rd order intercept that is any improvement over the average pre-war Zenith.

It is not too hard to see what is happening here and to some extent I wish them luck. China has built the world's commodity electronics for some years and is trying to 'get couthy' in the higher end of things. This is very similar to what Toyota and Nissan did 40 years ago with autos; not too many remember the Datsun Bluebird and Toyota Toyopet Tiara Mark II with very good reason in both cases.

So I wish them luck but I am not sure I want to participate in the beta test.

Dick NBK

2954. Re: Aaron again, squirms under the preasure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 01:22:59 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <T9l29.35045$am.67334367@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131984

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d499a99.6851674@news.cet.com...
> In <hRb29.36383$Zj5.159789189@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
> <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >Sure Frank, I see where your going with this, you just don't understand
that
> >it doesn't bother me because my conscience is clear.
>
> That's because you have no conscience, as evidenced by your sig:
>
> >"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
>

Why Frank, going with your logic, you must
believe in the abominable snowman, do you?

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

2955. Re: Aaron's trying to advert attention on why his acounts are closed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 01:23:00 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <U9l29.35046$am.67334367@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131983

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208011521.4cd6a9eb@posting.google.com...
>> > > >Again, trying to advert attention away from why your
> > > >accounts have been pulled.
>
> (the word is "divert". And he IS guilty of it)

Ops, my bad, forgive me.

> > > Where does the Constitution say anything about your right to disobey
> > > FCC law?
>
> "FCC law?" WHAT? They're called the Rules and Regulations, and they
> are NOT "law". TROLL!
>

Ah Oh, here we go again on "Laws" Verse "Rules"
Though I agree with you.

> > > -Aaron-
> It doesn't. But Aanal Aaron sez it, so it MUST be true. TROLL!

Landshark

--
"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young

2956. Re: Landshark: Dumb As A Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 01:23:00 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <U9l29.35047$am.67334367@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 131985

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:Lvh29.47331$nm.1834264@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > Whew. If you are so convinced that my other accounts got terminated
> > then go ahead, terminate this one.
> >
> > -A
>
> Maybe he can get Arnold Voobner to terminate you... Ill be back.
>
>

Now George that was pretty good, that even got a
chuckle out of me. See, you can be a nice gut if you try.

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

2957. Newbie alert! Question about Realistic TRC430

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 01:34:52 UTC
From: Aqualung <aqualung@mini316.com>
Message-ID: <0Il29.83939$Ag2.4058084@news2.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 131986

Breaker ;-)

Been a while since I was on the CB. About 8 years ago in Liverpool, UK in fact. Still have my Rotel 240 sat in the closet in pristine condition too!

Anyway, I acquired a TRC430 from a garage sale. Looks almost new. I opened it up to see if the output had been turned up, but it's still stock. I can't remember which one it is I need to fiddle with to turn up the wattage. Can anyone help me?

Thanks!
Aqualung
Surrey, BC

2958. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 01:38:12 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ukjoiqsqtuff42@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 131987

Well, as far a poor reliability goes, it is hard to beat (or at least back in the 60s - early 70s) British Leyland Motors. My dad had a Rover TC-2000 back around 1966. He finally went to dump the thing (everything kept breaking, you kept your eye on the instrument gauges as snap on clips on your radiator hoses would unsnap at any instant). A friend of his really wanted it. My father didn't want to sell it to him as he was a friend. His friend insisted, so my dad showed him the receipt for 4 new tires and sold the car to his friend for the cost of the tires. His friend was elated. For about a week. One trip from Rochester to Ashland, Ohio (picking up a girl friend going to college there) netted one popped off radiator hose (those stupid clips) and a differential that completely died. The shop said there was no oil in it. Likely leaked out on the trip. Sigh ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - another friend had an MG-B. That thing was as reliable as the Rover. BTW, the Rover was rated the safest car that year. Yeah, it's hard to get hurt in an automobile that spends 11 months of the year in the shop!

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:eKk29.18979$Kl6.1194463@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> news:ukjjoft1j7pgab@corp.supernews.com...
> > Aaron, about the Ranger model RCI-2950 ... isn't that pictured in the ad
> on
> > page 128 of the August 2002 QST?
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> > ps - somewhere I saw a post that the ARRL only advertises those radios
> that
> > are not easily modified for out of amateur band use.
> >
>
> Their ad kind of alludes to that. The extensive shielding and improved
> stability may well include a bit of sheet metal over the PLL pins. Given
> the legendary quality of their soldering in the PLL area this might not be
> the best idea in the last 50 years but it does help keep the receiver from
> interfering with itself.
>
> Speaking of which I wonder if the redesigned front end has a 3rd order
> intercept that is any improvement over the average pre-war Zenith.
>

> It is not too hard to see what is happening here and to some extent I wish
> them luck. China has built the world's commodity electronics for some
> years and is trying to 'get couthy' in the higher end of things. This is
> very similar to what Toyota and Nissan did 40 years ago with autos; not
> too many remember the Datsun Bluebird and Toyota Toyopet Tiara Mark II
> with very good reason in both cases.
>
> So I wish them luck but I am not sure I want to participate in the beta
> test.
>
> Dick N&#65533;BK
>
>

2959. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 02:13:04 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <QUl29.14830$pg2.1186091@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131988

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ukjoiqsqtuff42@corp.supernews.com...
> Well, as far a poor reliability goes, it is hard to beat (or at least
back
> in the 60s - early 70s) British Leyland Motors. My dad had a Rover
TC-2000
> back around 1966. He finally went to dump the thing (everything kept
> breaking, you kept your eye on the instrument gauges as snap on clips on
> your radiator hoses would unsnap at any instant). A friend of his
really
> wanted it. My father didn't want to sell it to him as he was a friend.
His
> friend insisted, so my dad showed him the receipt for 4 new tires and
sold
> the car to his friend for the cost of the tires. His friend was elated.
> For about a week. One trip from Rochester to Ashland, Ohio (picking up
a
> girl friend going to college there) netted one popped off radiator hose
> (those stupid clips) and a differential that completely died. The shop
said
> there was no oil in it. Likely leaked out on the trip. Sigh ...
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
> ps - another friend had an MG-B. That thing was as reliable as the
Rover.
> BTW, the Rover was rated the safest car that year. Yeah, it's hard to
get
> hurt in an automobile that spends 11 months of the year in the shop!
>
>
>

In my college days I made a few centavos rewiring MG-A dashboards that caught fire when the grommet on the add-on American license plate holder wore out and shorted the light wire to the body.

You could steal one with 8 inches of wire. Seems there were 2 30-amp fuses in the whole system, one for that which worked on the key and one for that which did not. So you popped the cover, wound the wire around the fuse terminals, pulled the mechanical starter, and drove away.

As was said of the Britmobiles of the era, 'There will always be an England; when they turn off the key, the engine will run on and on and on.'

Dick, N&#65533;BK

2960. Re: Los Angeles Area Stores?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 05:57:25 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020802015725.11736.00000302@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 131989

In article <ai9f0k$123fam$1@ID-84462.news.dfncis.de>, "Burnt Eddy"
<burnteddy@nothere.com> writes:

>Any good radio stores in LA area? LA and north actually. Want to walk in a
>buy something. Looking for 10 meter stuff. I need to impulse buy something.
>I'm going through gadget withdrawl!!!

I wouldn't buy ANYTHING from an LA store. 8.5% sales tax??!! No, thanks.

Use the store to locate and test the items you want. Then, order via Internet
from out-of-state. You'll save some cash, plus the politicians will have a few
bucks less to waste on their welfare programs.

2961. Re: Newbie alert! Question about Realistic TRC430

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 09:09:33 UTC
From: sir.oblivion@public.com
Message-ID: <3d4a4c4e$1_2@nopics.sjc>
Source record: 131990

"Aqualung" <aqualung@mini316.com> wrote in message
news:0ll29.83939$Ag2.4058084@news2.calgary.shaw.ca...

> Anyway, I acquired a TRC430 from a garage sale. Looks almost new. I opened
> it up to see if the output had been turned up, but it's still stock. I
can't
> remember which one it is I need to fiddle with to turn up the wattage. Can
> anyone help me?

TRC-430

Modulation: RV104
RF Power Output: L306/L307
RF Meter adjustment: RV106
Receive Meter adjustment: RV next to C132
Squelch Adjustment RV next to Q208
Frequency alignment: CT201 (not recommended)

2962. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 09:31:28 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4a516c.13877570@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131991

In <ukjfj7dhotj2ca@corp.supernews.com>, "BobC" <123@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d498506.1328855@news.cet.com...
>> In <ukirpv5hb4qc7e@corp.supernews.com>, "BobC" <123@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
>news:3d490261.5374960@news.cet.com...
>> >>
>> >> My point is that you don't need to see the signal on a screen to know
>> >> what it looks like, and there are much better ways to measure the
>> >> characteristics of an RF signal that to look at it's picture on a CRT.
>* While undoubtedly there are more precise ways to measure these waveforms
>there are few that are more easily and quickly employed.

But with less than spectacular results. The accuracy just isn't there.
And it can't be as easy as plugging a demod probe into a voltmeter.

>_____________________________
>> Most people can't look at an RF signal on a scope and see the wave
>> being compressed.
>
>* Why can't you see a compressed waveform? Anytime you're looking at a radio
>with the limiters intact you're seeing a compressed audio pattern. Run the
>input up past where the limiting action begins and you are looking at
>compressed audio. Disable the limiters and run the input up again and you'll
>see where the output is overmodulating and compressing. Tune the final
>output for it best peak output on the scope while watching your current
>meter and you'll be very close to the correct conjugate match for the peak
>power level you're running. Perhaps I'm not understanding your vision of a
>compressed waveform.

Most beginners can't tell a sine wave from a rounded trangle wave from
a filtered square wave. Even most experienced technicians usually
can't tell if a sine is marginally compressed just by looking at it.
And unless you are familiar with the scope and the frequency response
of the probe, you might just be looking at a 27 MHz square wave and
think it's a perfectly good sine wave.

>_____________________________
>> You can observe a basic symmetry, but unless you
>> hold up a stencil to the screen you can't say for sure just how
>> symmetrical it is.

>
>* I'm curious as to what you call symmetry. Are you expecting to find a high
>fidelity output that allows a square wave to be processed correctly? I cant
>think of one radio that doesn't band limit or otherwise introduce some group
>delay in it's modulation section. You can see all the symmetry you need if
>you know how to interpret the scopes representation.

There is symmetry of opposite-sign quadrants (top to bottom) and of
same-sign quadrants (left to right) of the sine. The fact is that the
mind plays funny games with shapes and lines. Ever see those brain
teasers where they ask you which line is longer? then you find out
that even though one line looks longer they are both the same length?
When absolute measurements are required, you can't trust your eyes to
give you absolute measurements from non-specific shapes. And I'm
absolutely certain that if you were to look at what appears to be the
bottom half of a sine wave you couldn't tell if it was created by a
function of a sine, a parabola or an ellipse; not unless you had an
example to compare it against. And even then it might be tough because
at certain levels of amplitude they all appear very similar.

>_______________________________
>> You can't determine linearity just by looking at a
>> modulated RF waveform.
>
>* To an acceptable degree you most certainly can.

You need to vary the input and compare with the output. You would need
to run dual-trace on the RF input and the output, and then superimpose
one upon the other. But then you won't be able to define the line
between acceptable and non-acceptable linearity. Your dual-trace setup
is complicated further if you are watching SSB... yikes!

> Ever since scopes came
>out, hams and broadcaster's have relied upon what they are seeing as a
>suitable guide for linearity. Remember, your trapezoid patterns are on a
>scope as well.

Yes they are. And a modulation scope is a neat device to have around
for general use. Too bad more hams don't use one. Most keyclowns would
avoid them. But when linearity is an issue, even a modulation scope
can't replace a proper point-by-point plot. If linearity isn't
critical it can be done with a scope or any other means available, but
it doesn't require a scope.

>_______________________________
>> You can't see audio distortion that may be
>> caused by the final.
>
>* Really? What distortion are you refering to that may be caused by the
>final? Flatopping? IM? Harmonics? Crossover distortion? All of these errors
>introduce artifacts into the viewed waveform if you're paying attention.
>While not the very best way to monitor these errors, the scope still remains

>usefull in quickly determining the prescence of undue amounts of each.

As I said before, RF compression (i.e, "flatopping") can happen to some degree and not be noticed (unless you have a sine-wave stencil to hold up to the screen).

Intermodulation distortion (i.e, "splatter") is easily heard on the monitor and seen on the audio distortion analyzer.

You can see harmonics to a certain point on a scope, but if your signal is much larger than the harmonics, or if the harmonics are much higher than the 5th or 6th harmonic, then you won't see squat while looking at the fundamental. The only way to verify the existance of harmonics is with a spectrum analyzer. But if the LP filter is properly tuned and everything else is working according to specs, that shouldn't even be an issue.

Crossover distortion results in a harmonic content all it's own, and can be very high in frequency if the crossover is hard or very short. You might be able to see a very narrow spike, but the chances are that it will be filtered out by the probe capacitance. Even then, unless you are running some power, it won't make a lick of difference past the LP filter.

>_____
>> You can't see symmetry of the audio component in
>> an RF envelope because the ground is for the RF, not the audio.
>
>* I'm not really sure what you're getting at here. What has the ground got
>to do with it? Just demodulate the audio with a good probe and viola' you
>have an excellent view of whatever symmetry you're looking for.

Any output should be DC isolated coming out of the radio. If that cap shorts, or for some other reason there is DC on the line, one might think that the RF is asymmetrical. Or if there is DC on the line and you leave the scope in AC mode, then you will probably spend many futile hours trying to tune the final because you didn't think to look for that loose sliver of metal left over from assembly that is mucking up the works (had an example of that just last week).

>_____
>> You can't tune a final with a scope because you will only be peaking the
>> voltage, not the impedance. In fact, you can't tell much by looking at
>> the envelope except that it "looks good", and the only thing you an
>> quantify is the voltage.
>
>*Wouldn't the voltage and current also be hand in hand with the impedance?
>Keep an eye on the scope and a current meter and you'll be very close when
>tuning for conjugate match at whatever power level you deem important.

Yes, but you are forgetting about frequency response of the filter. That's why the filter is there, not to create a conjugate match with the load. Regardless, no matter what you use on the output you still

need the sig-gen on the input. And why bother with a scope and current
meter (or even watching the current on a second trace) when all you
need is an RF voltmeter? Seems like a hassle to me.

>_______________________________
>> A scope is a basic intrument, but no matter
>> how much you pay for one it is not going to be good enough to replace
>> any test equipment designed for specific RF and AF measurements.
>
>* For years now, a scope sure seemed to satisfy many regulatory agencies for
>rf and audio measurmenets. Naturally a scope won't replace a spectrum
>analyzer or perhaps a distortion meter but in lieu of a fat bank account it
>will do for most cases.

See other comments below.

>_______________________________
>> > Using a single tone from a tone generator is ok but you won't see
>> >what a complex voice is doing to the limiters.
>>
>> That's why they invented the two-tone test, and white (and pink) noise
>> generators.
>
>* I'm curious as to what instrument you use to qualify your two tone or
>gaussian noise tests. I'm betting the device you use costs a bit more new
>than a scope.

I explained how to set the limiters in another post. All you need is a
wattmeter (or RF voltmeter and dummy load) and a noise source such as
an audio signal generator. You can buy an old Heathkit audio sig-gen
for about $10-20 on ebay, and you probably already have a wattmeter. I
think a scope would cost a bit more than that.

>_______________________________
>> >During a SSB alignment you'll want to make sure the bias and alc is set
>not
>> >just for factory specs but to see if the rig requires more or less than
>> >factory spec.
>>
>> There is an interesting analogy here: If the only way to tune a car
>> was to put it on the rollers, many of us would still be using the
>> horse and buggy. But for auto work they have compression guages,
>> timing lights, voltmeters, etc, and they work just fine for getting
>> your car in excellent running condition. And they work better than
>> sitting under the hood and making adjustments at 50 mph.
>
>* I don't have a clue..not a friggin clue what you're analogy is here. But
>what better way to ensure you've managed to coordinate all you're combined
>test/alignment procedures into the desired result than to put it on the
>rollers? You advocate not final testing the outcome of you're "tweaking" by
>full power testing? What happens if there's a problem that only occurs at or
>near full output?

Not what I'm saying at all. What I -am- saying is that you don't need
to tweak the carb and twist the distributor while sitting in the
engine compartment at 50 mph, you can use a vaccuum guage and a timing
light. These devices were invented because it is either too expensive
or too impractical for the average Joe to make the adjustments any
other way. For example, do you use your scope as a wattmeter or do you
just use a wattmeter? Do you use your scope to check the voltage of a
battery or do you use a voltmeter? Most of the equipment I have
described is much smaller, much less inexpensive, and much easier to
use than an oscilliscope. The two possible exceptions (disregarding
any tube-type equipment) would be the spectrum analyzer and maybe the
audio distortion analyzer. But the rest you can build in your own shop
from junk-box parts, or even from a kit!

Come to think of it, an audio distortion analyzer isn't that difficult
either, it's just an analog comparator. Mine was kind of expensive,
though, but I do a lot of work on professional audio equipment so the
cost was justified.

>_____
>> SSB is easier to adjust than AM. The final is class AB, so bias isn't
>> a big issue (if it's running Class C then something is bad, and the
>> audio will tell you if that's happening).
>
>* And you would trust your ears listening to a monitor for something before
>you trust your eyes on a scope? Your ears must be pretty good then. I think
>it's a safe bet that a scope will show the distortion well before your ears
>and monitor will.

Not usually. Many times you will hear what you can't see on a scope.
For example, I can hear IMD before I can see it on a scope, but I -do-
need a distortion analyzer to determine the amount of any distortion
that I can't hear. Using your logic, we would all be tuning our BFO's
and adjusting our tone controls with an oscilliscope!

>_____
>> The ALC, if the radio has
>> one, is set with a steady input and a power meter, and as long as you
>> are are not trying to peak it above specs then you don't have to worry
>> about clipping. Even if it does clip you will hear it in the monitor
>> and you will see it on the audio distortion analyzer. In either case,
>> you will detect a problem sooner than you will with a scope.
>
>* The onset of clipping will not likely be heard on the monitor and is best
>checked for with a different device.

Yes, a distortion analyzer. A scope won't see it if you can't hear it.

>_____
>> >If you're putting an amp in line for some ssb use you'll want to see the
>> >pattern so that you can adjust the alc for best linearity from the amp.
>>
>> The amp should be linear to begin with. Regardless, any amp should be

>> tested independently for linearity, and you can't do it by simply
>> looking at an envelope.
>
>* The scope may not be the perfect device for checking linearity of an amp
>but it sure is a fast and reasonably accurate way of seeing if there is a
>problem requiring further investigation with more precise instruments.

I agree that a dedicated modulation scope is a much better choice than
a modulation meter. But if there is a linearity problem worth further
scrutiny you will know it long before you hook up the scope. Amps
don't just go a 'little' off-linear; if it changes from specs it will
do so in a very obvious manner!

>_____________________________________
>> At the very least you need a trap test, but
>> even using just a sig-gen and a power meter will give you a better
>> idea of linearity than by looking at the wave on a scope.
>
>* Isn't your trap test viewed on a scope?

Yes it is. I didn't say a scope is not useful, I said it is not
required for a routine CB repair shop.

> And no, your wattmeter will not
>give you a better representation than the scope will.

You pump in 1 watt and out comes 10. Put in 2 watts and out comes 20.
Put in 3 watts and out comes 30. That's linear. The accuracy depends
on the accuracy and measurable gradient of the wattmeter. An RF
voltmeter (with a dummy load, of course) would be even better! And
certainly more accurate than a scope. If you wanted to get that kind
of accuracy from a scope you would need to constantly switch ranges
and shift the vertical position. And that's still not as accurate, nor
as easy, as reading four digits from a $10 meter and an RF probe that
you built with the other half of that $20 bill.

>_____________________________________
>
>> You can even use that method to quantify the linearity by plotting the
>output to
>> input and calculating your deviation, something no scope is capable of
>> doing.
>
>* Not capable? First off, the test you describe is not really one of
>linearity as it is a test of gain and P1db. Linearity in my terms means a
>waveform lacking artifacts not found in the original driving signal.

Look up the definition of 'linear'. It means like I described above:
any input level is amplified to a proportional output level. It is, as
you said, a measure of gain, but not just gain: it is a measure of
gain through the entire range of the input, and that gain shouldn't
change from min to max, or to any point inbetween. It's called

'linear' because when you graph the input vs. the output (like I described before) you have a straight 'line'. If you want to operate a transistor or tube in the 'linear' region you must bias it so the signal lands on the straightest, most 'linear' part of the transconductance curve.

>Secondly, a dual trace scope will easily provide a more precise
>representation of the input/output ratio especially if it has a dvm and math
>functions built in.

Holy cow! Why not just buy a spectrum analyzer? And you still need a varible signal source for the input. Why use a dual-trace scope with "dvm and math functions built in" when all you need is an RF voltmeter, a piece of paper and a pencil? Maybe we are getting to the root of this recent increase in dependence upon high-technology...

>_____
>> >There are more accurate measurement methods but the scope affords an an
>> >equitable trade-off between speed/ease of use and accuracy.
>>
>> Not if you know what you are doing and have an understanding of how
>> the radio works.
>>
>> >To a trained eye, the scope can easily alert you to the prescence of
>> >harmonics, noise and other disturbances in the signal.
>>
>> Now that's just plain hogwash! There can be distortions in an RF
>> signal that -nobody- can see on a scope!
>> Motorboating,
>* Why can't you see a low freq. tone impressed on a faster
>waveform?

You can if you switch down the time scale so you are not triggering faster than the low-frequency disturbance, then increase the voltage scale and shift up or down to a peak. Do you do that as a regular practice?

>> RF compression,
> *As I said, I may not be understanding of your term here.
>You can see, on a scope, when youre reaching the P1db point.

You can measure it on an audio distortion analyzer, and sometimes even hear it, long before you can see it on a scope.

>> class AB2 distortion,
> * You'll see the crossover distortion on a scope
>easily enough. It may not be as precise as say a audio distortion meter or a
>spectrum analyzer but you'll see it before it reaches undue levels.

I am assuming, of course, that you are talking about a push-pull amplifier, which is not usually found in the final of a CB, but commonly found in external power amplifiers, devices where the voltages should be checked on all the leads of all power components as

a normal service procedure. In that case, crossover distortion can be very important because LP filters are rarely found inside (or outside) of those types of amplifiers. But you will also find that most of those amplifiers are not properly biased in the first place, which makes the whole crossover distortion issue moot.

But like I said before, if everything else is working according to specs (meaning the final works and the bias is set correctly), then crossover distortion won't be a problem. And since it should be regular practice to check the bias on the final, why bother with the scope? Not only that, but crossover distortion will appear as distortion in the audio of the received signal, so a distortion analyzer will see it as well, probably before you see it on the scope.

>> asymmetrical modulation,
> * This is easy to spot on a scope.

Not always. It depends on the severity, and the accuracy of your sine-wave stencil.

>> and even some high-freq harmonic content won't be visible
> * depends on the
>scope and you'd need to define hi freq. As I said the scope isn't a
>replacement for a spectrum analyzer but with a decent scope you'll spot most
>errors produced by appreciable levels of harmonics. It will provide you with
>a reason to suspect there are hi levels of harmoinc energy at the very
>least.

With an audio distortion analyzer you can spot -any- distortion in the received signal. All you need to do is hook it up to the modulation input to determine if the distortion is AF or RF. It's also cheaper and easier to operate.

>_____
>>I don't care how well-trained your eye happens to be. Mine has been
>> trained for more than 20 years on lots of different types of radios at
>> freqs from DC to microwaves, and there is stuff that even *I* can't
>> see on a scope just by looking at the wave.
>
>> If the O-scope was such a do-it-all piece of equipment, then why have
>> engineers and technicians even bothered to invent all this other test
>> equipment? equipment that is used more often than the scope?
>
>* The choice of test equipment a tech uses first is up to personal
>preference and defined moreso by the DUT's characteristics. Taken a step
>further, why was the scope even developed in the first place and before all
>the fancier gadgetry?

I think you missed something here. The 'gadgetry' I use is far from fancy. It is basic equipment that every tech should know how to use and why. Most of my 'gadgets' are very simple circuits. Did you forget the lesson on bridges? It, and all it's variations, are VERY accurate

circuits for making measurements that even digital multimeters can't make. Like measuring resistances down to milliohms (try that with your super-duper O-scope), as well as inductive and capacitive reactance. With a homebrew impedance bridge you can measure reactances at frequencies into the UHF, and all you need is about a dozen common components and a meter. You can build a demod probe with a 1N34, a small cap and a couple resistors, and then you can measure RF all the way into the microwave spectrum. Add a few more components to that demod probe and you can measure those microwaves down to a few millivolts, and even much lower if you use a bridge to match the diodes. And like I said before, an audio distortion analyzer is nothing more than an analog comparator -- another type of bridge circuit. A sig-gen is just an oscillator adjustable for frequency and amplitude, certainly nothing to write home about. A GDO is an oscillator with plug-in coils for different frequency ranges. These are all very simple circuits, and they can be built by a tech with only moderate experience (even less experience is required these days because of chips like the MAX038). Some home-built equipment can be made more accurate (for what they are intended to measure) than any top-of-the-line oscilliscope.

> Ive never postulated it was the end all-be all of test
>devices. I simply stand up to say don't discount it as a usefull first line
>device that requires minimum investment (as equip goes) and setup/use time
>in determining whether more elaborate devices are required.
>_____

It is the oscilliscope that is the 'elaborate' device. The others are practical examples of rudimentary electronics.

2963. Re: Aaron again, squirms under the preasure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 09:31:43 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4a5176.13886797@news.cet.com>
Source record: 131992

In <T9l29.35045$am.67334367@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d499a99.6851674@news.cet.com...
>> In <hRb29.36383$Zj5.159789189@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
>> <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >Sure Frank, I see where your going with this, you just don't understand
>that
>> >it doesn't bother me because my conscience is clear.
>>
>> That's because you have no conscience, as evidenced by your sig:
>>
>> >"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
>>
>
> Why Frank, going with your logic, you must
>believe in the abominable snowman, do you?

Don't EVEN try to pull 'logic' on me -- you will lose every time!

2964. Re: Newbie alert! Question about Realistic TRC430

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 10:54:02 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4A64CE.9629B813@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 131993

sir.oblivion@public.com wrote:
>
> "Aqualung" <aqualung@mini316.com> wrote in message
> news:0ll29.83939$Ag2.4058084@news2.calgary.shaw.ca...
>
> > Anyway, I acquired a TRC430 from a garage sale. Looks almost new. I opened
> > it up to see if the output had been turned up, but it's still stock. I
> can't
> > remember which one it is I need to fiddle with to turn up the wattage. Can
> > anyone help me?
>
> TRC-430
>
> Modulation: RV104
> RF Power Output: L306/L307

Make sure and use a plastic tuning tool that fits properly.

> RF Meter adjustment: RV106
> Receive Meter adjustment: RV next to C132
> Squelch Adjustment RV next to Q208
> Frequency alignment: CT201 (not recommended)

2965. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 11:44:40 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208020344.18a26c72@posting.google.com>
Source record: 131994

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208011521.4511bbf8@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> >There is nothing illegal about a Uniden HR2510
> >- IF it is listed unter amateur radio.
>
> Wrong. See below.

On the contrary. They are legal for ten metre use.

> >It IS illegal for CB use. But since when has that ever
> >mattered to Aanyone other than Aanal Aaron?
> >Who isn't a licensed Aamateur and can't use 10 metres
> >Aanyhow?
>
> Irrelevant.

Finally something I can agree with. Yes, YOU are irrelevant! My
statement was not irrelevant. You still haven't answered my questions:
YOU never break any law(s)? You never speed? What's your amateur
callsign? What's your FCC badge number?

> >The HR2510 is perfectly legal for 10 metre use.
>
> Wrong again. See below.

Yup - you're wrong again. It's only illegal to IMPORT or MARKET as
new. See below.

> >Aanal Aaron is not legal on ANY band!
>
> You sound like Dave & Debbie now.

Who? You mean Dave OR Debby, don't you? Never can get your facts
straight!

> -Aaron-
>
> ----
>
> ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST
>
> The FCC's Office of Engineer and Technology (OET) has evaluated the
> devices listed below and has concluded that these devices are not only
> amateur transceivers but can easily be altered for use as Citizens
> Band (CB) transceivers as well. As such, OET has further concluded
> that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within the

> United States for the reasons discussed below. Further, the FCC
> General Council has issued a decision in a specific case involving one
> manufacturer and has concluded that dual use CB and amateur radios of
> the kind at issue may not be approved under the Commission's rules and
> are in violation of several rules including the RF power level limits
> of 47 CFR 95.639. (letter from Christopher J. Wright, FCC-OGC to John
> F. Atwood, US Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999).

All that says is that they can no longer be imported or marketed NEW.
Like the old cellular-restorable scanners. Sales of existing ones is
NOT illegal, Aanal Aaron. You can still get PRO2006 scanners if you
want to. You just can't import them anymore.

They CAN still be bought and sold.

And anyone who thinks it "easy" to chop up a perfectly good radio to
mod it for 11m is a sap. I'm a tech and I still cringe whenever I do
something like that.

Besides that, the HR2600 is impossible to mod for 11m without a CPU
transplant. Same with the later HR2510s. That is NOT easy. Period.

Too bad you don't know what you're talking about. Get a life!

2966. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 13:17:57 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D4A8685.3C3E@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 131995

yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:

> But with less than spectacular results. The accuracy just isn't there.
> And it can't be as easy as plugging a demod probe into a voltmeter.
>
> >_______________________________
> >> Most people can't look at an RF signal on a scope and see the wave
> >> being compressed.
> > >
> > >* Why can't you see a compressed waveform? Anytime you're looking at a radio
> > >with the limiters intact you're seeing a compressed audio pattern. Run the
> > >input up past where the limiting action begins and you are looking at
> > >compressed audio. Disable the limiters and run the input up again and you'll
> > >see where the output is overmodulating and compressing. Tune the final
> > >output for it best peak output on the scope while watching your current
> > >meter and you'll be very close to the correct conjugate match for the peak
> > >power level you're running. Perhaps I'm not understanding your vision of a
> > >compressed waveform.
>
> Most beginners can't tell a sine wave from a rounded trangle wave from
> a filtered square wave. Even most experienced technicians usually
> can't tell if a sine is marginally compressed just by looking at it.
> And unless you are familiar with the scope and the frequency response
> of the probe, you might just be looking at a 27 MHz square wave and
> think it's a perfectly good sine wave.

It's not the fault of the instrument if the operator cannot interpret
the results.

>
> >_______________________________
> >> You can observe a basic symmetry, but unless you
> >> hold up a stencil to the screen you can't say for sure just how
> >> symmetrical it is.
> > >
> > >* I'm curious as to what you call symmetry. Are you expecting to find a high
> > >fidelity output that allows a square wave to be processed correctly? I cant
> > >think of one radio that doesn't band limit or otherwise introduce some group
> > >delay in it's modulation section. You can see all the symmetry you need if
> > >you know how to interpret the scopes representation.
>
> There is symmetry of opposite-sign quadrants (top to bottom) and of
> same-sign quadrants (left to right) of the sine. The fact is that the
> mind plays funny games with shapes and lines. Ever see those brain
> teasers where they ask you which line is longer? then you find out

> that even though one line looks longer they are both the same length?
> When absolute measurements are required, you can't trust your eyes to
> give you absolute measurements from non-specific shapes. And I'm
> absolutely certain that if you were to look at what appears to be the
> bottom half of a sine wave you couldn't tell if it was created by a
> function of a sine, a parabola or an ellipse; not unless you had an
> example to compare it against. And even then it might be tough because
> at certain levels of amplitude they all appear very similar.

That's why you use a dual trace scope. On one trace, your modulated RF, the other trace is the raw audio. If you superimpose the raw audio over the RF envelope, the symmetry should be the same, and it will be very obvious when it isn't.

>
> >_______________________________
> >> You can't determine linearity just by looking at a
> >> modulated RF waveform.
> > >
> > >* To an acceptable degree you most certainly can.
>
> You need to vary the input and compare with the output. You would need
> to run dual-trace on the RF input and the output, and then superimpose
> one upon the other. But then you won't be able to define the line
> between acceptable and non-acceptable linearity. Your dual-trace setup
> is complicated further if you are watching SSB... yikes!

Linearity is not that precise, that you have to measure to the level that you're implying. A well designed amplifier is linear until it reaches its compression point. At that point it should be obvious. A dual trace can help you compare and establish that point, and tune accordingly.

>
> > Ever since scopes came
> >out, hams and broadcaster's have relied upon what they are seeing as a
> >suitable guide for linearity. Remember, your trapezoid patterns are on a
> >scope as well.
>
> Yes they are. And a modulation scope is a neat device to have around
> for general use. Too bad more hams don't use one. Most keyclowns would
> avoid them. But when linearity is an issue, even a modulation scope
> can't replace a proper point-by-point plot. If linearity isn't
> critical it can be done with a scope or any other means available, but
> it doesn't require a scope.

You make the case that running a dual trace scope, looking at the input and output is cumbersome, yet the alternative (a point by point plot) seems even more tedious. I agree that if you are looking to plot the characteristics of a particular amp, point by point plotting would be the best way to quantify it. But for a quick tune up or to establish the point of compression, a scope is far easier, and quicker. And for most

shop guys, time is money.

> >_____
> >> You can't see audio distortion that may be
> >> caused by the final.
> >
> >* Really? What distortion are you refering to that may be caused by the
> >final? Flatopping? IM? Harmonics? Crossover distortion? All of these errors
> >introduce artifacts into the viewed waveform if you're paying attention.
> >While not the very best way to monitor these errors, the scope still remains
> >usefull in quickly determining the prescence of undue amounts of each.
>
> As I said before, RF compression (i.e, "flatopping") can happen to
> some degree and not be noticed (unless you have a sine-wave stencil to
> hold up to the screen).

Or the source audio to superimpose against it.

>
> Intermodulation distortion (i.e, "splatter") is easily heard on the
> monitor and seen on the audio distortion analyzer.

>
> You can see harmonics to a certain point on a scope, but if your
> signal is much larger than the harmonics, or if the harmonics are much
> higher than the 5th or 6th harmonic, then you won't see squat while
> looking at the fundamental. The only way to verify the existance of
> harmonics is with a spectrum analyzer. But if the LP filter is
> properly tuned and everything else is working according to specs, that
> shouldn't even be an issue.
>
> Crossover distortion results in a harmonic content all it's own, and
> can be very high in frequency if the crossover is hard or very short.
> You might be able to see a very narrow spike, but the chances are that
> it will be filtered out by the probe capacitance. Even then, unless
> you are running some power, it won't make a lick of difference past
> the LP filter.
>

A good audio distortion analyzer costs as much as a scope. It's one
thing to use the best tool for each particular job, but if cost is a
factor (and it usually is), and you have to limit your test equipment to
that which is the most versatile, then which would you choose? A scope
can do a multitude of jobs. It might not be the most accurate way to
measure distortion, or linearity, or power. But it can do all of them to
some degree. So from a value standpoint, a scope is a fairly cheap
investment.

> >_____
> >> You can't see symmetry of the audio component in
> >> an RF envelope because the ground is for the RF, not the audio.
> >

> >* I'm not really sure what you're getting at here. What has the ground got
> >to do with it? Just demodulate the audio with a good probe and viola' you
> >have an excellent view of whatever symmetry you're looking for.
>
> Any output should be DC isolated coming out of the radio. If that cap
> shorts, or for some other reason there is DC on the line, one might
> think that the RF is asymmetrical. Or if there is DC on the line and
> you leave the scope in AC mode, then you will probably spend many
> futile hours trying to tune the final because you didn't think to look
> for that loose sliver of metal left over from assembly that is mucking
> up the works (had an example of that just last week).
>
> >_____
> >> You can't tune a final with a scope because you will only be peaking the
> >> voltage, not the impedance. In fact, you can't tell much by looking at
> >> the envelope except that it "looks good", and the only thing you an
> >> quantify is the voltage.
> >
> >*Wouldn't the voltage and current also be hand in hand with the impedance?
> >Keep an eye on the scope and a current meter and you'll be very close when
> >tuning for conjugate match at whatever power level you deem important.
>
> Yes, but you are forgetting about frequency response of the filter.
> That's why the filter is there, not to create a conjugate match with
> the load. Regardless, no matter what you use on the output you still
> need the sig-gen on the input. And why bother with a scope and current
> meter (or even watching the current on a second trace) when all you
> need is an RF voltmeter? Seems like a hassle to me.

a scope *IS* an RF voltmeter! The added bonus is that you can 'see' the
waveform, not just the indicated magnitude of it.

>
> >_____
> >> A scope is a basic intrument, but no matter
> >> how much you pay for one it is not going to be good enough to replace
> >> any test equipment designed for specific RF and AF measurements.
> >
> >* For years now, a scope sure seemed to satisfy many regulatory agencies for
> >rf and audio measurmenets. Naturally a scope won't replace a spectrum
> >analyzer or perhaps a distortion meter but in lieu of a fat bank account it
> >will do for most cases.
>
> See other comments below.
>
> >_____
> >> > Using a single tone from a tone generator is ok but you won't see
> >> >what a complex voice is doing to the limiters.
> >>
> >> That's why they invented the two-tone test, and white (and pink) noise
> >> generators.

> >
> >* I'm curious as to what instrument you use to qualify your two tone or
> >gaussian noise tests. I'm betting the device you use costs a bit more new
> >than a scope.
>
> I explained how to set the limiters in another post. All you need is a
> wattmeter (or RF voltmeter and dummy load) and a noise source such as
> an audio signal generator. You can buy an old Heathkit audio sig-gen
> for about $10-20 on ebay, and you probably already have a wattmeter. I
> think a scope would cost a bit more than that.

How do you accurately set a modulation limiter with a wattmeter? Surely
you don't trust peak reading meters? And those peak reading meter cannot
tell you whether the modulation symmetry is unbalanced and consequently
whether the trough is clipping while you still have room to go on the
peak.

>
> >_______________________________
> >> >During a SSB alignment you'll want to make sure the bias and alc is set
> >not
> >> >just for factory specs but to see if the rig requires more or less than
> >> >factory spec.
> >>
> >> There is an interesting analogy here: If the only way to tune a car
> >> was to put it on the rollers, many of us would still be using the
> >> horse and buggy. But for auto work they have compression guages,
> >> timing lights, voltmeters, etc, and they work just fine for getting
> >> your car in excellent running condition. And they work better than
> >> sitting under the hood and making adjustments at 50 mph.
> >
> >* I don't have a clue..not a friggin clue what you're analogy is here. But
> >what better way to ensure you've managed to coordinate all you're combined
> >test/alignment procedures into the desired result than to put it on the
> >rollers? You advocate not final testing the outcome of you're "tweaking" by
> >full power testing? What happens if there's a problem that only occurs at or
> >near full output?
>
> Not what I'm saying at all. What I -am- saying is that you don't need
> to tweak the carb and twist the distributor while sitting in the
> engine compartment at 50 mph, you can use a vaccuum guage and a timing
> light.

Actually, I had a van once that I could make distributer adjustments
while driving under load. It was fantastic to see just how well you can
make the engine run under those conditions, without the trial and error
methods of setting, testing, resetting etc. Most of the time, the
factory specs do not reflect the max potential of the engine, but take
into account many other factors. By being able to tune as I went, I was
able to find the "sweet spot".

> These devices were invented because it is either too expensive
> or too impractical for the average Joe to make the adjustments any
> other way. For example, do you use your scope as a wattmeter or do you
> just use a wattmeter?

I use both. The wattmeter gives me the accurate answer, while the scope
allows me to 'see' the components that make up the signal. I would never
look at the output of any radio without running it though the meter,
scope and spectrum analyzer (they're all in line), so I can see the
state of health of the radio. Those three instruments tell me 98% of
anything I'd need to know. The rest is not important enough to care
about.

> Do you use your scope to check the voltage of a
> battery or do you use a voltmeter? Most of the equipment I have
> described is much smaller, much less inexpensive, and much easier to
> use than an oscilliscope.

True, so why not have both? That way you use the best tool for the job.

> The two possible exceptions (disregarding
> any tube-type equipment) would be the spectrum analyzer and maybe the
> audio distortion analyzer. But the rest you can build in your own shop
> from junk-box parts, or even from a kit!

If you have limited funds and you had a choice between buying a scope or
a distortion analyzer, which would you buy? Keeping in mind the fact
that a distrotion analyzer is only useful for one thing, while a scope
can do a multitude of measurements. If absolute accuracy is not
required, it's really a no-brainer.

>
> Come to think of it, an audio distortion analyzer isn't that difficult
> either, it's just an analog comparator. Mine was kind of expensive,
> though, but I do a lot of work on professional audio equipment so the
> cost was justified.
>
> >_________________________________
> >> SSB is easier to adjust than AM. The final is class AB, so bias isn't
> >> a big issue (if it's running Class C then something is bad, and the
> >> audio will tell you if that's happening).
> >
> >* And you would trust your ears listening to a monitor for something before
> >you trust your eyes on a scope? Your ears must be pretty good then. I think
> >it's a safe bet that a scope will show the distortion well before your ears
> >and monitor will.
>
> Not usually. Many times you will hear what you can't see on a scope.
> For example, I can hear IMD before I can see it on a scope, but I -do-
> need a distortion analyzer to determine the amount of any distortion
> that I can't hear. Using your logic, we would all be tuning our BFO's
> and adjusting our tone controls with an oscilliscope!

Well you could. Run the one frequency into the vertical input, and the
other frequency into the horizontal, and tune for a circle pattern ;)

>
> >_________________________________
> >> The ALC, if the radio has
> >> one, is set with a steady input and a power meter, and as long as you
> >> are are not trying to peak it above specs then you don't have to worry
> >> about clipping. Even if it does clip you will hear it in the monitor
> >> and you will see it on the audio distortion analyzer. In either case,
> >> you will detect a problem sooner than you will with a scope.
> >
> >* The onset of clipping will not likely be heard on the monitor and is best
> >checked for with a different device.
>
> Yes, a distortion analyzer. A scope won't see it if you can't hear it.

Yes it will. The human ear is very forgiving of distortion. Why do you
think so many "keyclowns" live with their overly distorted radios, and
then claim that they sound good?
A scope can see the modulation clip or flattop long before it is audibly
apparent. By the time you can hear it, you're already splattering badly.

>
> >_________________________________
> >> >If you're putting an amp in line for some ssb use you'll want to see the
> >> >pattern so that you can adjust the alc for best linearity from the amp.
> >>
> >> The amp should be linear to begin with. Regardless, any amp should be
> >> tested independently for linearity, and you can't do it by simply
> >> looking at an envelope.
> >
> >* The scope may not be the perfect device for checking linearity of an amp
> >but it sure is a fast and reasonably accurate way of seeing if there is a
> >problem requiring further investigation with more precise instruments.
>
> I agree that a dedicated modulation scope is a much better choice than
> a modulation meter. But if there is a linearity problem worth further
> scrutiny you will know it long before you hook up the scope. Amps
> don't just go a 'little' off-linear; if it changes from specs it will
> do so in a very obvious manner!

But until you put the monitor on it, you will not be ablew to determine
the extent of the distortion, and whether is is distorting due to non
linearity, instability, or some other factor.

>
> >_________________________________
> >> At the very least you need a trap test, but
> >> even using just a sig-gen and a power meter will give you a better
> >> idea of linearity than by looking at the wave on a scope.
> >
> >* Isn't your trap test viewed on a scope?

>
> Yes it is. I didn't say a scope is not useful, I said it is not
> required for a routine CB repair shop.

Hmmm.... I'd say that a scope is the next piece of equipment after an RF
signal generator.
My list of equipment in order of relative importance is:

A good soldering station
VOM
DVM
Wattmeter
Frequency counter
Signal generator
Scope
Spectrum analyzer
Distortion analyzer
Noise source

>
> > And no, your wattmeter will not
> >give you a better representation than the scope will.
>
> You pump in 1 watt and out comes 10. Put in 2 watts and out comes 20.
> Put in 3 watts and out comes 30. That's linear. The accuracy depends
> on the accuracy and measurable gradient of the wattmeter. An RF
> voltmeter (with a dummy load, of course) would be even better! And
> certainly more accurate than a scope.

How do you figure? A scope IS an RF voltmeter. Assuming that it's kept
calibrated, it can make very accurate voltage mesurements.

> If you wanted to get that kind
> of accuracy from a scope you would need to constantly switch ranges
> and shift the vertical position. And that's still not as accurate, nor
> as easy, as reading four digits from a $10 meter and an RF probe that
> you built with the other half of that $20 bill.

How accurate do you think a $10 meter is going to be? Don't confuse
accuracy with precision. A digital meter can give you a very precise
reading, but its accuracy is only as good as its design. And a $10 meter
is not going to be as accurate as a $400 meter or a comparible scope.

>
> >_____
> >
> >> You can even use that method to quantify the linearity by plotting the
> >output to
> >> input and calculating your deviation, something no scope is capable of
> >> doing.

>
> >Secondly, a dual trace scope will easily provide a more precise

> >representation of the input/output ratio especially if it has a dvm and math
> >functions built in.
>
> Holy cow! Why not just buy a spectrum analyzer? And you still need a
> varible signal source for the input. Why use a dual-trace scope with
> "dvm and math functions built in" when all you need is an RF
> voltmeter, a piece of paper and a pencil? Maybe we are getting to the
> root of this recent increase in dependence upon high-technology...

Back to that Time=Money equation again. It takes a lot of time to
manually plot the linearity of an amp using your method. A scope can
give you an instant snapshot of what you are looking at. It may not be
as precise, but it is, as they say, "good enough for government work".
Remember, we're talking about CB radios here, not high end audio
components.

>
> >_____
> >> >There are more accurate measurement methods but the scope affords an an
> >> >equitable trade-off between speed/ease of use and accuracy.
> >>
> >> Not if you know what you are doing and have an understanding of how
> >> the radio works.
> >>
> >> >To a trained eye, the scope can easily alert you to the prescence of
> >> >harmonics, noise and other disturbances in the signal.
> >>
> >> Now that's just plain hogwash! There can be distortions in an RF
> >> signal that -nobody- can see on a scope!
> >> Motorboating,
> >>* Why can't you see a low freq. tone impressed on a faster
> >waveform?
>
> You can if you switch down the time scale so you are not triggering
> faster than the low-frequency disturbance, then increase the voltage
> scale and shift up or down to a peak. Do you do that as a regular
> practice?

Sure, don't you? I switch time bases and voltage scales all the time.
That's called using your instrument to its fullest capability.

>
> >> RF compression,
> > *As I said, I may not be understanding of your term here.
> >You can see, on a scope, when youre reaching the P1db point.
>
> You can measure it on an audio distortion analyzer, and sometimes even
> hear it, long before you can see it on a scope.

But if you can't afford an audio distortion analyzer, the scope is
better than your ear.

> >> asymmetrical modulation,
> > * This is easy to spot on a scope.
>
> Not always. It depends on the severity, and the accuracy of your
> sine-wave stencil.

It's becoming apparent that you either don't utilize a scope
effectively, or you have one that is woefully out of date. Most modern
dual trace scopes make short work of determinning symmetry.

> >> and even some high-freq harmonic content won't be visible
> > * depends on the
> >scope and you'd need to define hi freq. As I said the scope isn't a
> >replacement for a spectrum analyzer but with a decent scope you'll spot most
> >errors produced by appreciable levels of harmonics. It will provide you with
> >a reason to suspect there are hi levels of harmoinc energy at the very
> >least.
>
> With an audio distortion analyzer you can spot -any- distortion in the
> received signal. All you need to do is hook it up to the modulation
> input to determine if the distortion is AF or RF. It's also cheaper
> and easier to operate.

A good distortion analyzer (like the H.P. unit I have at work) costs
many times what a decent scope costs. If you can afford a D.A., you can
afford a scope too.

I really don't want this to devolve into a pissing contest (The
keyclowns do that for us). The bottom line is that each tech uses what
he/she feels most comfortable with. But if you are a beginner, on a low
budget, a scope would be something to consider long before the more
fancy equipment such as a spectrum analyzer or distortion analyzer. Its
versatility is fairly obvious to those that take the time to understand
what its capabilities are. A scope can greatly enhance your ability to
see what is going on inside a radio.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

2967. 603 beam and tower 4sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 13:18:51 UTC
From: Jeff <j.dauphinais@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%Ev29.15309$pg2.1237863@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 131996

This beam can be used on 11 meters "CB" as well! I have for sale 1 full size "HY-Gain" beam 10 meters. fully assembled
for your inspection. 13' Boom and longest element is 22' $100.00 I also have a steel tower totaling 25'. this is in 3 sections 1 10 foot st8 and 1 5foot st8 and 1 10 foot top section. I don't not have a rotator. $50.00. pickup only from Nashua,NewHampshire. I am selling these because they are to big for my new QTH. This beam has spent a large portion of its life in storage. 73's Jeff AA1GR

2968. boost up modulation Tagra Pasific 3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 14:12:48 UTC
From: tonNo <tonno99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Arw29.91036$Ma.9580246@amsnews02.chello.com>
Source record: 131997

Hi,

does anybody know how to boost up the modulation from my cb-kit "Tagra Pasific III"?

thanks in advance

Ton

2969. Re: Newbie alert! Question about Realistic TRC430

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 14:29:06 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020802102906.12289.00000809@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 131998

how did you open it up and check if it had been turned up and you dont even know which pot it is you turn???

>
>
>Breaker ;-)
>
>Been a while since I was on the CB. About 8 years ago in Liverpool, UK in
>fact. Still have my Rotel 240 sat in the closet in pristine condition too!
>
>Anyway, I acquired a TRC430 from a garage sale. Looks almost new. I opened
>it up to see if the output had been turned up, but it's still stock. I can't
>remember which one it is I need to fiddle with to turn up the wattage. Can
>anyone help me?
>
>Thanks!
>Aqualung
>Surrey, BC
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

2970. Re: Los Angeles Area Stores?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 14:47:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020802104718.16232.00001148@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 131999

what is sales tax where your at? its 8.25% here

>
>I wouldn't buy ANYTHING from an LA store. 8.5% sales tax??!! No, thanks.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

2971. Re: Aaron again, squirms under the preasure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 14:48:07 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <HYw29.35154$Kj5.86413362@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132000

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d4a5176.13886797@news.cet.com...
> > Why Frank, going with your logic, you must
> >believe in the abominable snowman, do you?
>
> Don't EVEN try to pull 'logic' on me -- you will lose every time!
>

LMAO!!! That's right Frank, you are smarter than the
average bear, isn't that right Boo Boo. LOL!!! Your so
conceded Frank, it's a shame, you must drive away a
lot of people with the "I'm always right attitude"

Landshark

--
"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young

Semper Fi, We come as orphans, we depart as family

2972. Can you say Ouch???!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 14:53:57 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <42x29.5759$pv6.580149@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 132001

http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DA-02-1834A1.txt

Pages 1--2 from Microsoft Word - 20272.doc
Federal Communications Commission DA 02- 1834
Before the Federal Communications Commission
Washington, D. C. 20554

In the Matter of) File No.: EB- 01- TP- 399)
Joseph S. McCreary) NAL/ Acct. No. 200232700006)
Pensacola, Florida) FRN 0006- 9319- 84

FORFEITURE ORDER
Adopted: July 29, 2002 Released: August 1, 2002
By the Chief, Enforcement Bureau:
1. In this Forfeiture Order (" Order"), we issue a monetary forfeiture in the amount of five thousand dollars ($ 5, 000) to Joseph S. McCreary for willful violation of Section 95. 411 of the
Commission's Rules (" the Rules"). 1 The noted violation involves Mr. McCreary's usage of an external radio frequency power amplifier as part of his Citizens Band Radio station.

2. On May 7, 2002, the District Director of the Commission's Tampa, Florida Field Office issued a Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture (" NAL") 2 in the amount of $5,000 to Mr. McCreary.
Mr. McCreary has not filed a response to the NAL. Based on the information before us, we affirm the forfeiture.

3. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED THAT, pursuant to Section 503(b) of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (" the Act"), 3 and Sections 0.111, 0.311 and 1.80(f)(4) of the
Rules, 4 Mr. McCreary IS LIABLE FOR A MONETARY FORFEITURE in the amount of $5,000 for willfully violating Section 95.411 of the Rules.

4. Payment of the forfeiture shall be made in the manner provided for in Section 1. 80 of the Rules 5 within 30 days of the release of this Order. If the forfeiture is not paid within the period specified,
the case may be referred to the Department of Justice for collection pursuant to Section 504(a) of the Act. 6 Payment shall be made by mailing a check or similar instrument, payable to the order of the
"Federal Communications Commission," to the Federal Communications Commission, P. O. Box 73482, Chicago, Illinois 60673- 7482. The

payment should note NAL/ Acct. No. 200232700006, and FRN 0006-

1 47 C. F. R. § 95.411.
2 Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture, NAL/ Acct. No.
200232700006 (Enf. Bur., Tampa Field Office,
released May 7, 2002).

3 47 U. S. C. § 503(b).

4 47 C. F. R. §§ 0. 111, 0.311, 1.80(f)(4).
5 47 C. F. R. § 1.80.
6 47 U. S. C. § 504(a).
1
Federal Communications Commission DA 02- 1834
2
9319- 84. Requests for full payment under an installment plan
should be sent to: Chief, Revenue and Receivables Operations Group,
445 12th Street, S. W., Washington, D. C. 20554. 7
5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT this Order shall be sent by
regular mail and by certified mail, return receipt requested, to
Joseph S. McCreary, 3305 Bayou Boulevard, Pensacola,
Florida 32503.
FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

David H. Solomon Chief, Enforcement Bureau

7 See 47 C. F. R. § 1.1914.
2

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red
Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

2973. Re: Los Angeles Area Stores?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 15:47:51 UTC
From: Burnt Eddy <burnteddy@nothere.com>
Message-ID: <aie9am$13c5uc$1@ID-84462.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132002

Sales tax does add up, but so does shipping and handling from out of state. I guess its a question of the politicians getting the money or UPS, and you get to play with your new toy immediately if you get locally! 7.75% tax where I live.

BurntEddy KARS9212

P.S. Does FCC keep database of CB call letters they issued back in the 70's and before? I still use mine.....

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message news:20020802104718.16232.00001148@mb-fq.aol.com...
> what is sales tax where your at? its 8.25% here
>
> >
> >I wouldn't buy ANYTHING from an LA store. 8.5% sales tax??!! No, thanks.
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

2974. Re: Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 16:49:55 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208020849.13e379c8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132003

k8cpachuck@yahoo.com wrote in message
news:<3d491249.3113466@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>...
> On 31 Jul 2002 18:03:50 -0700, richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> >
> >You do realize, I hope, that the hackers refer to NT as "Nice Try"?
> >It's just as buggy ans full of security holes as consumer grade
> >Windoze.
> >
>
> yeah sure, if you don't download the updates and the service packs and
> don't run a firewall... allof which I do.

As do I with 98SE. And the more security "hole" they patch, the more
the hackers find to exploit.

> >BTW, Win98SE is a perfectly stable system, too; IF you know what
> >you're doing.
> >
> Tell that to this AMD 300Mhz which would crash with blue screen almost
> on a daily basis. and as to your feable attempt to slam me, fuck you.

Excuse me? I made no attempt (feable/feeble or otherwise) to "slam
you". I simply made a statement. A little touchy, aren't we?

I can't guess why your K6-300 Blue-screened so much (insufficient
ventilation is the first guess - those things run very hot), but
almost any OS from Win98 on up can be quite stable if it's set up
correctly. I can't remember the last time any of my computers (all
running 98SE) gave me the BSOD.

> >And I bet you left your modem connected to the phone line (causing the
> >crash). I had to rebuild my old Apple several times before I learned
> >to unplug Ma Bell!
>
> Well, being I am running a cable modem, it would be kinda hard to
> unplug it. although, I think I will be unplugging the nic card cable
> going to the modem.
>
> >The EMF (even from a lightning strike several miles away) induced on
> >the phone lines will zap chips like you can't believe.
>
> This part is true, I used to run a 33.6 USR modem and we took a nearby
> strike. and it blew a SMT on the board. needless to say, that modem
> was TOAST. also messed up a motherboard. Nowdays when the t-storms are
> nearby, I shut down EVERYTHING, even the cable modem... and the radio
> (kenwood) and unplug the coax from the back... these people that just

> leave they're coaxes hooked up and say, "oh, it's grounded..." must be
> absolutley nucking futs! I unplug... I know it's a pain, but I'd much
> rather have to do that, then send in this 950sdx to kenwood service
> and it's been out of warranty for like 6 years now... I could only
> figure how much the service bill would be... not me! There's an old
> saying, something to the effect of "A little ounce of protection"... I
> don't remember the rest. anyhow, I think you get my point...
>
> 73 to all

"An ounce of prevention is worth a Pound of cure." And the way you're doing it is the ONLY way to be sure you're safe!

I know people who will disconnect their antennas for a storm and put the PL259s in a jar. "Look at all the pretty sparks." LOL!! "Pretty" until a near enough strike shatters the jar and burns your house down!

2975. ARNewsline 1303 - August 2 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 16:50:53 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020802125053.15387.00001112@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 132005

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1303 August 2nd, 2002

==========

A NOTE TO THOSE WHO ONLY “READ” AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE(tm)

For those of you who only know of the Amateur Radio Newsline (tm) as a text newsletter we would like to invite you to listen to the audio version. This Amateur Radio Newsline (tm) script is actually written for the “spoken word.” It is recorded each by professional broadcasters and is available for private listening or rebroadcast over your repeater. Two methods of delivery are available: FTP MP3 or dial-in telephone. Please visit our website at http://www.arnewsline.org for a list of telephone numbers (you have to pay your own long distance charges) and links to audio servers providing our voice newscast. Free FTP Downloads are available at our site by clicking on the newscast number at the top of the website front page.

de
The Newsline Production Team

==========

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1303 with a release date of Friday, August 2nd 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T.

Ham radio may be headed to Mars. That’s right. We said the red planet Mars! Find out how on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1303 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: WE ARE GOING TO MARS

Ham radio could be headed to the Red Planet in a few years. This as the German ham radio space agency announces some ambitious plans for future Amateur Radio operations from outside the Earth’s atmosphere. CQ Magazine Editor Rich Moseson, W2VU has the details:

--

AMSAT-DL the German amateur radio satellite organization has announced plans to build two new amateur spacecraft, including one destined for orbit around Mars! Now, the AMSAT News Service, says that the so-called Phase 5-A satellite could be launched in the 2007 to 2009 timeframe and that it will include subpayloads that would be launched from the main satellite,

either to the planet's surface or into the Martian atmosphere.

These devices would transmit scientific data back to the "mother ship." It would then use a repeater to relay the information back to hams on Earth. AMSAT-DL officials say that the 2000 launch of AMSAT-OSCAR 40 showed that the basic satellite design was capable of a trip to Mars.

The AMSAT-DL board of directors has also given a green light to developing a Phase 3-E earth-orbiting satellite. This would be a more traditional amateur bird, offering two-way communication links on amateur bands between 145 MHz and 10 GHz. In addition, it will serve as a testbed for some of the technology needed for the Mars mission.

AMSAT-DL has been the lead AMSAT group on the past three large amateur satellites placed into orbit. This includes AMSAT-OSCAR-10 which is still operating, AMSAT-OSCAR-13 which is no longer in orbit and AMSAT-OSCAR-40.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Rich Moseson, W2VU.

--

No launch timetable has been announced for Phase 3 E, but it is expected to be several years before the anticipated launch of the mission to Mars.

information about both missions can be found at:
http://www.amsat-dl.org/p3e/towards-p3e.pdf and
http://www.amsat-dl.org/p5a/p5a-to-mars.pdf (ANS, CQ)

**

RESCUE RADIO: JULY 4th WATER RESCUE ACCOMPLISHED THROUGH HAM RADIO

Its ham radio to the rescue on Thursday, July 4th. This following a water accident involving a teenage girl on Utah’s Lake Powell. Roy Neal, K6DUE has the details:

--

STEVE WILLIAMS, K0SRW, REPORTS THAT A TEENAGER . WHO SHALL HERE GO UNNAMED BECAUSE OF HER AGE, WAS RIDING A TUBE BEHIND A RENTED SKI BOAT. THEY WERE GOING FAST UNTIL SHE HIT A WAVE AND SUDDENLY…UNEXPECTEDLY…WENT AIRBORNE. THAT’S USUALLY LOTS OF FUN FOR KIDS BUT THIS TIME THE YOUNG GIRL LANDED THE WRONG WAY. HER NECK HURT. SHE COULD HARDLY MOVE HER NECK AND BACK.

WILLIAMS WANTED TO CALL FOR HELP BUT THE ACCIDENT TOOK PLACE ON LAKE POWELL, WHICH IS A HUGE PLACE…186 MILES LONG WITH ALMOST 2 THOUSAND MILES OF SHORELINE. MAJOR CANYONS, 96 OF THEM, SOME 15 TO 20 MILES LONG, RISE UP BEHIND THE SHORE.

A MARINE RADIO CAME WITH THE HOUSEBOAT THAT WILLIAMS RENTED BUT THEY WERE TOO FAR OUT TO MAKE CONTACT. CELLULAR TELEPHONES ARE ALMOST NON EXISTENT IN THE TERRITORY. SO K0SRW TURNED TO HAM RADIO. WILLIAMS HAD A YAESU 900 AND OUTBACKER ANTENNA ALREADY SET UP. ON 20 METERS, HE HEARD W8VRT, LEN KERSCH, IN ANN ARBOR, MICHIGAN, SEVERAL HUNDRED MILES AWAY.

KERSCH WAS TAKING PART IN A NET, ON 14.178 Mhz. WILLIAMS BROKE IN, EXPLAINED THE SITUATION AND GAVE A PHONE NUMBER FOR THE BULLFROG MARINA, AT LAKE POWELL. KERSH CALLED THE MARINA. THEY CONNECTED HIM WITH THE NATIONAL PARK SERVICE. ANOTHER WILLIAMS…KARL WILLIAMS, KD5AW, IN OKLAHOMA CITY BROKE IN TO ASSIST BY RELAYING INFORMATION BETWEEN W8VRT AND K0SRW.

ABOUT 25 MINUTES AFTER THE INITIAL CONTACT, A MEDICAL HELICOPTER AND A NATIONAL PARK SERVICE BOAT ARRIVED. THEY DECIDED TO AIRLIFT THE GIRL BY HELICOPTER TO A HOSPITAL AT GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO. STRANGE TO RELATE, THE PILOT ALSO WAS A HAM…TOM CALDWELL, KC7BPK, WAS AT THE CONTROLS.

THERE WAS NO ROOM FOR THE PARENTS IN THE HELICOPTER. THEY TOOK OFF TO TRAVEL SOME 4 HOURS BY BOAT AND CAR. AS THEY DROVE AND GOT BACK INTO CELL PHONE RANGE, GOOD NEWS WAS WAITING FOR THE ANXIOUS PARENTS. X-RAYS SHOWED THEIR DAUGHTER WAS NORMAL…NO PERMANENT INJURIES. THIS HAM RADIO RESCUE STORY HAD A HAPPY ENDING.

FOR THE AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE, ROY NEAL, K6DUE

--

Williams says he is grateful to all of the hams who assisted in the rescue. Because of them an injured youngster quickly received medical aid in a rather remote part of the USA. (K0SRW)

**

RESCUE RADIO: HAMS READY FOR WASHINGTON TRAIN DERAILMENT

Ham radio was ready but not really needed at a July 29th train derailment just outside of Washington D-C. An AMTRAK liner was enroute from Chicago to Washington when many of the passenger cars left the track near Kensington, Maryland. About 90 people were taken to area hospitals, three reported in critical condition. One news report said that a ham radio communications team was on its way to supply assistance but with no real communications failure, their services were found to be unnecessary. The news story did not mention anyone by name or call sign. (ARNewsline™ from news reports)

**

RADIO LAW: QCWA FILES IN FAVOR OF NEW HAM BANDS BUT SOME MEMBERS SAY THEY SHOULD HAVE BEEN ASKED

The Quarter Century Wireless Association has filed in favor of the ARRL proposal to create new ham radio bands. While most members of the QCWA appear to agree with the FCC filing, there is one group that is taking the Board of Directors to task over how it was done.

Its not because they disagree with the content. Rather, calling themselves the “vocal minority,” these hams are using the QCWA electronic remailer to complain that the board did not send paper or electronic copies of the proposed filing to all members. Also, they say that the board did not individually poll the members for their opinions before writing the QCWA position and sending the paperwork to the FCC.

But outgoing QCWA President Gary Harrison, K0BC, says that the reason it was done this way is simple. It was a matter of time.

In a posting to the QCWA Reflector, Harrison says that there was a limited amount of time to file comments. He also notes that there are only about 300 QCWA members are on the groups electronic mail list. That would mean preparing a paper mailing, sending it out and waiting for members to write back.

Harrison uses QCWA election figures as an example. He says that it takes about two years to complete an election cycle and the organization receives only about 30% return of the ballot. The cost of mailing material out for this election is about $2,000.

What about the organization's magazine called the QCWA Journal? Harrison says that it takes two months to prepare and mail it from the deadline for submitting articles. And be it a paper ballot or an announcement in the Journal, the QCWA would have to allow several months to permit input from the membership. In this case waiting would mean that the QCWA could not file because the commentary cutoff date had passed. (ARNewsline™)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the Tri Town Amateur Radio Club repeater, W9VT, serving Calumet City Illinois.

(5 sec pause here)

**

CHANGING OF THE GUARD: STEVE MANSFIELD, N1MZA – S.K.

Some rather sad news to report – especially for us here at Newsline. It comes in word from the American Radio Relay League that its Legislative and Public Affairs Manager Steve Mansfield, N1MZA, has died of complications to brain cancer at age 55.

Steve Mansfield came to ARRL service in 1991 as an expert in the area of Public Relations. It did not take long for his bosses at League headquarters to realize his enormous potential as a people person. As a result, the hallowed halls of Washington D.C. soon became his beat and he spent the next eleven years as the point man between the ARRL and numerous political leaders.

ARRL President Emeritus George Wilson, W4OYI, had the opportunity to work with Mansfield. He tells Amateur Radio Newsline that he recalls one incident just before a major convention out in California. There were a lot of very controversial issues on which the League had taken considerable heat. Wilson gave Mansfield the job of searching out all issues and prepare a short "position paper" on each for W4OYI. The former ARRL president says that Mansfield did such an outstanding job that he had copies sent to all Directors and Vice Directors. The result was what

President Wilson called tons of favorable comments, and a real tribute to the dedication of N1MZA.

Mansfield was also seen at many hamfests and conventions. In most cases speaking at public relations sessions hosted either by the ARRL or by us here at Newsline. And that's really where we got to know him as a friend, a college and as an expert in the topic of relating to other people.

Steve Mansfield was first diagnosed with brain cancer in 2000 and had surgery to remove the malignancy. He was doing well until recently when he suffered a series of setbacks. He passed away on Monday July 29th. He is survived by his wife Ellyn daughters Ramona and Elizabeth and the friends he made in and out of ham radio, worldwide. (ARRL, W4OYI, ARNewsline™)

**

CHANGING OF THE GUARD: FATHER MIKE MULLEN, WB2GQW – S.K.

The Reverend Michael Mullen, WB2GQW, a past winner of the ARRL International Humanitarian Award, became a Silent Key in June. "Father Mike" was a professor and past chairman of the humanities department at St. John's University in New York. He was best known in amateur radio for his involvement with IMRA, the International Mission Radio Association. IMRA maintaines lines of communication using amateur radio for missionaries. It also inter-connects various humanitarian agencies over the air after disasters.

According to the New York Daily News, in addition to his ARRL International Humanitarian Award, Father Mike received the St. John's University Presidential Medal and was listed in the
international edition of "Who's Who in Education." He was 87 at the time
of
his death. (CQ, NY Daily News)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: A NEW BOOK BY N6NHG

Former computer hacker Kevin Mitnick, N6NHG, has turned author. Amateur Radio Newsline's Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, has the details:

--

Kevin Mitnick, N6NHG, Mitnick is the California ham whose license renewal application has been designated for hearing by the FCC. This, based on his conviction for hacking. And now according to news reports, he has written a soon to be released book on computer hacking and -- more important -- how to prevent being hacked.

According to the reports, Mitnick's book -- The Art of Deception -- is a novel that describes more than a dozen scenarios where hackers dupe computer network administrators into divulging passwords, encryption keys and other security details. Mitnick claims that its all fiction with any personal details having been kept out of the book which reportedly uses

fictitious names of hackers, victims and companies.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, in Los Angeles.

--

Earlier this year the FCC designated his application to renew his Amateur licenses for a public hearing. The FCC contends that Mitnick may no longer possess the requisite requirements to remain a Commission licensee. (Published reports)

**

CONVENTIONS: HUNTSVILLE HAMFEST

Two conventions on almost opposite sides of the country are taking place the weekend of the 17th and 18th of August. In Huntsville Alabama, the Huntsville Hamfest takes place at the Von Braun Center's South Hall right across the street from the Huntsville Hilton Hotel. Since the first Huntsville Hamfest in 1981, this event has grown to the point where the Amateur Radio manufacturers and dealers consider it to be one of the seven major hamfests in the country, pulling a gate of between 5000 to 6000 every year.

We at Amateur Radio Newsline have presented our own Young Ham of the Year Award at the Huntsville Hamfest since 1993. 2002 is no exception. So please join us at 2:00 P.M. Central time on Saturday August 17th as we, our corporate underwriters Vertex Standard and CQ Magazine along with the people of Huntsville honor 17 year of Josh Abramowicz, KB3GWY as the 2002 Amateur Radio Newsline Young Ham of the Year. More information about the Huntsville Hamfest is in cyberspace at www.hamfest.org. (Huntsville Hamfest)

**

CONVENTIONS: ARRL SW DIVISION IN SAN DIEGO

And August 16th to the 18th will se the ARRL Southwestern Division show at the California Center of the Arts in Escondido. The scheduled Banquet speaker will be Riley Hollingsworth K4ZDH. Also on hand will be ARRL President Jim Haynie W5JBP, Big Project Coordinator Jerry Hill, along with Division Director Art Goddard W6XD, and Vice Director Tuck Miller NZ6T. More information is on the convention web site at http://sd2002.hamcon.net. (HAM-CON)

**

CONVENTIONS: HUNTINGTON HAMFEST

And a week earlier on August 10th, the Tri State Amateur Radio Association will host the Huntington, West Virginia Hamfest. Its at the Veterans Memorial Field House, 2590 Fifth Avenue in Huntington from 8:30 AM to 2:30 P-M. Talk in on the 146.76 repeater and V-E administered ham radio exams will also be available. For further information contact Phil Beckett by e-mail- to ab8me@hotmail.com (N8TMW)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

HAMFESTS: TRW SWAP MEET FOR SEPTEMBER CANCELED

If you are planning to travel to Los Angeles this September to attend the famed TRW Swap Meet, choose some other month. This is because the giant swap-feast has been canceled because a corporate event will be held at the Space Park Facility on Saturday, September 28th.

Only September is canceled. There will be a TRW Swap Meet the last Saturday of the month in August and in October, November and December.

The TRW Swap Meet is famous and draws attendance from across the nation. For more information visit http://w6trw.com on the world wide web. (Via e-mail from TRW A.R.C.)

**

SCIENCE PAGE: THE MAGNETIC NORTH POLE IS ON THE MOVE

The Magnetic North Pole could soon abandon Canada, migrate north of Alaska and eventually wind up in Russia. So says one scientist. Amateur Radio Newsline's Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, reports on the future of the pole.

--

The e-newsletter Science Today tells of Larry Newitt. Newitt is a researcher with the Geological Survey of Canada. And Newitt says that the magnetic pole is on the move.

The researcher says that the pole, which has steadily drifted for decades, has picked up speed in recent years. He says that at its current speed, it could exit Canadian territory as soon as 2004. And, says Newitt, if the pole follows its present course, it will pass north of Alaska and arrive in Siberia in a half century.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, Los Angeles.

--

If you are worried that you may soon have to trade in your old compass for a G-P-S to know where you are, don't. Researcher Newitt cautions that such predictions could be wrong. (Science Today)

**

INTERNATIONAL - BRAZIL: A NEW LF BAND ON ITS WAY

According to Brazilian amateur PY3CRX the Brazilian licensing authority, ANATEL, has agreed that the 135.7 to 137.8kHz band can be allocated to radio amateurs in that nation on a secondary basis. No date was mentioned for the new band's introduction but PY3CRX adds that the band is, or will be, also available in Paraguay and Uruguay as well. (GB2RS)

**

INTERNATIONAL- CANADA: VY2BP NEW RAC AD

Radio Amateurs of Canada reports that Bill Perry, VY2BP, has been appointed as an Assistant Director for the R-A-C Atlantic Region. Perry will complete the unexpired term of Fred Burke, VY2FB, who recently resigned the position because of family commitments. (RAC)

**

INTERNATIONAL EAST TIMOR: NEW CALL SIGN BLOCK ANNOUNCED

The International Telecommunications Union says that the 4WA to 4WZ prefix block will go to the United Nations Territory Administration of East Timor for as long as it exists. United Nations stations within East Timor will be assigned 4U prefixes. The official U N Club station will get 4U1ET. All other amateurs will use the 4W6 prefix. Those residing in East Timor less than one year will sign 4W6 followed by their home call. People staying beyond one year will be assigned a permanent 4W6 call. And yes, CEPT licenses are accepted. (GB2RS, Q-News)

**

DX

In D-X, the UK-lead youngsters' DXpedition to the Maldives should be on the air as 8Q7ZZ until the 11th of August. The expedition is being lead by 18-year old Mark Haynes, M0DXR. Other operators include 15-year old Robert Morgan, M0TTT, as well as young amateurs from Germany and Spain. 8Q7ZZ will be running up to three stations simultaneously, all with beam antennas and up to 400 watts power out. (RSGB)

Meantime, four French operators are in Benin and active as TY7Z, TY9F, TY4DX and TY6FB until the 14th of August. If you make contact with either operation Q-S-L as directed on the air. (QRZ DX)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: A SPECIAL HAM RADIO PRESENTATION

And finally this week:
--
“Good afternoon to everybody here. We have a lot of Amateur Radio operators and we have a lot of guests aboard the Queen Mary. And we are here for a very short ceremony to commemorate the 23rd anniversary of W6RO.”

--

That was Bob Heil, K9EID, on Saturday, July 27th, talking to a crowd assembled in the Wireless Museum next door to the Queen Mary's radio room. A radio room that for the past 23 years has been the home of Amateur Radio Station W6RO. And to commemorate this milestone, Bob's company, Heil Sound, donated a half dozen custom made Classic series microphones adorned with the W6RO call sign to the station. These retro look microphones are very similar to the RCA studio mic's of the 1930's. Famed rock musician Joe Walsh, WB6ACU, had the honor of making the actual presentation:

--

Joe Walsh: "These Classic microphones are presented to the Queen Mary amateur Radio Station, W6RO, celebrating the 23rd year of continuous operation provided by the Associated Radio Amateurs of Long Beach California, present by Bob Heil, K9EID, and Joe Walsh, WB6ACU, on July 27, 2002. And we wish you continued success with the station and here you go."

Nate Brigtman: "Thank you very much."

--

Accepting the mics was Nate Brightman, K6OSC, who was the man who conceived the idea of a ham station on the Queen Mary. He was accompanied b the current president of the club, Ray Rittenhouse, KF6WZN. Rittenhouse has a surprise for K9EID:

--
Ray Rittenhouse: "We appreciate this very much Bob, and from our club to you is a certificate of our appreciation thanking you for those microphones we are now putting in W6RO"
--

Then it was Nate Brightman's turn. He told the crowd a bit about the operation of W-6-R-O, and what those who sit at the transmitters get as a token of appreciation for taking the time to operate:

--
Brightman here: ""Those amateurs who come by to visit W6RO aboard the Queen Mary, should they operate the station, we will mail to them a special certificate designating that they had the honor of operating W6RO aboard the Queen Mary."
--

And when it was all over Bob Heil ended the presentation with a bit of a poem:

--
Heil: Nate. Thanks for having us today. And Ray, we appreciate all of your efforts for the club. And we will be seeing you a little high, a little low. But we'll find you somewhere from W6RO. (Applause)
--

Attending the ceremony, were numerous local ham radio operators from the Los Angeles area. This included Vertex-Standard's Chip Margelli, K7JA and film maker Dave Bell W6AQ. The ARRL was represented by Los Angeles Section Manager Phineas Icenbice, W6BF. You can hear the complete presentation as

this weeks Newsline Extra. You will find it as an MP3 file on our F-T-P page only a click away at www.arnewsline.org. (ARNewsline™)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA, saying 73, and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

**

TERMINAL BREAK

**

RAIN PROMO

**

2976. Re: Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 16:51:47 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208020851.1950db87@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132004

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0207311626.417c8525@posting.google.com>...
> cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter) wrote:
> >Hey chuckie....nobody missed your sorry ass, so why
> >the update? Go update the hambos, your little buddies
> >with the letters by their names....good buddy.
>
> Why do I get a feeling Pinhead/CuriousDan/Anthrax/SDJones is back?
>
> -A

Well, for one thing, you just posted! That covers the "pinhead" part pretty well.

2977. FS: Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 16:56:42 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020802125642.22661.00001089@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 132006

I have a PDC-60 hand held echo mic forsale. It has built in talkback, echo level, reverb and echo length adjust inside, has 5 noise toys, uses a 9volt battery. I'll take $20 plus $5 shipping....thanks......email me if your interested..... thatzmejp@aol.com

Thatz-me-JP

2978. Re: Can you say Ouch???!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 17:17:26 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <z8z29.88719$ND5.8210480@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132007

As to the below, no, "they" won't say "ouch"! "They" will deny, obfuscate, and
continue to believe that the enforcement climate is not changing.

73

Jerry
Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:42x29.5759$pv6.580149@cletus.bright.net...
> http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DA-02-1834A1.txt
>
> *Pages 1--2 from Microsoft Word - 20272.doc*
> Federal Communications Commission DA 02- 1834
> Before the Federal Communications Commission
> Washington, D. C. 20554
>
>
> In the Matter of) File No.: EB- 01- TP- 399)
> Joseph S. McCreary) NAL/ Acct. No. 200232700006)
> Pensacola, Florida) FRN 0006- 9319- 84
>
>
> FORFEITURE ORDER
> Adopted: July 29, 2002 Released: August 1, 2002
> By the Chief, Enforcement Bureau:
> 1. In this Forfeiture Order (" Order"), we issue a monetary
> forfeiture in the amount of five thousand dollars ($ 5, 000) to
> Joseph S. McCreary for willful violation of Section 95. 411 of
> the
> Commission's Rules (" the Rules"). 1 The noted violation
involves
> Mr. McCreary's usage of an external radio frequency power
amplifier
> as part of his Citizens Band Radio station.
>
>
> 2. On May 7, 2002, the District Director of the Commission's
> Tampa, Florida Field Office issued a Notice of Apparent Liability
> for Forfeiture (" NAL") 2 in the amount of $5,000 to Mr.
> McCreary.
> Mr. McCreary has not filed a response to the NAL. Based on
the
> information before us, we affirm the forfeiture.
>

>
> 3. ACCORDINGLY, IT IS ORDERED THAT, pursuant to Section 503(b)
> of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended (" the Act"), 3
> and Sections 0.111, 0.311 and 1.80(f)(4) of the
> Rules, 4 Mr. McCreary IS LIABLE FOR A MONETARY FORFEITURE in
the
> amount of $5,000 for willfully violating Section 95.411 of the
> Rules.
>
>
> 4. Payment of the forfeiture shall be made in the manner
> provided for in Section 1. 80 of the Rules 5 within 30 days
of
> the release of this Order. If the forfeiture is not paid within
> the period specified,
> the case may be referred to the Department of Justice for
> collection pursuant to Section 504(a) of the Act. 6 Payment
> shall be made by mailing a check or similar instrument, payable
> to the order of the
> "Federal Communications Commission," to the Federal Communications
> Commission, P. O. Box 73482, Chicago, Illinois 60673- 7482. The
> payment should note NAL/ Acct. No. 200232700006, and FRN 0006-
>
>
> 1 47 C. F. R. &#65533; 95.411.
> 2 Notice of Apparent Liability for Forfeiture, NAL/ Acct. No.
> 200232700006 (Enf. Bur., Tampa Field Office,
> released May 7, 2002).
>
>
> 3 47 U. S. C. &#65533; 503(b).
>
>
> 4 47 C. F. R. &#65533;&#65533; 0. 111, 0.311, 1.80(f)(4).
> 5 47 C. F. R. &#65533; 1.80.
> 6 47 U. S. C. &#65533; 504(a).
> 1
> Federal Communications Commission DA 02- 1834
> 2
> 9319- 84. Requests for full payment under an installment plan
> should be sent to: Chief, Revenue and Receivables Operations
Group,
> 445 12th Street, S. W., Washington, D. C. 20554. 7
> 5. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT this Order shall be sent by
> regular mail and by certified mail, return receipt requested, to
> Joseph S. McCreary, 3305 Bayou Boulevard, Pensacola,
> Florida 32503.
> FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
>

>
> David H. Solomon Chief, Enforcement Bureau
>
>
> 7 See 47 C. F. R. &#65533; 1.1914.
> 2
>
>
>
> --
> KC8TCQ
> American Red Cross - Disaster Services
> Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
> Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red
> Cross
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
>
>

2979. Re: Newbie alert! Question about Realistic TRC430

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 17:29:46 UTC
From: Aqualung <aqualung@mini316.com>
Message-ID: <ekz29.82450$v53.4003239@news3.calgary.shaw.ca>
Source record: 132008

I've had prior experience doing this with Rotels, Cobras, Barracudas etc.
It's been about 8 years since I last did it - a tad rusty, you might say.
Thought I'd ask for help instead of trying and breaking it! :-)

Thanks for your help, Sir Oblivion and Scott!

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020802102906.12289.00000809@mb-fj.aol.com...
> how did you open it up and check if it had been turned up and you dont
even
> know which pot it is you turn???
>
> >
> >
> >Breaker ;-)
> >
> >Been a while since I was on the CB. About 8 years ago in Liverpool, UK in
> >fact. Still have my Rotel 240 sat in the closet in pristine condition
too!
> >
> >Anyway, I acquired a TRC430 from a garage sale. Looks almost new. I
opened
> >it up to see if the output had been turned up, but it's still stock. I
can't
> >remember which one it is I need to fiddle with to turn up the wattage.
Can
> >anyone help me?
> >
> >Thanks!
> >Aqualung
> >Surrey, BC
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo

>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

2980. Restart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 19:00:36 UTC
From: Peter Roovers <Roovers.P@leavemealone.com>
Message-ID: <tellkugr8hot61brlcdcvaavn4ioqusoos@4ax.com>
Source record: 132009

Hey all,

I switched my cb off some 20 years ago. Now I want to get started again. I'm getting a new rig. I need a mobile one so I can yell for help when I get in trouble 4x4'ing, and it helps whe we drive roadbooks with the club. Maybe I'll try my hand at some dx'ing. Question : what's the ultimate in mobiles these days ? President Licoln ? something else ? Lemme know !

Peter 'Land' R.

2981. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 19:36:55 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208021136.4796040d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132010

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208020344.18a26c72@posting.google.com>...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208011521.4511bbf8@posting.google.com>...
> > ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST
> >
> > The FCC's Office of Engineer and Technology (OET) has evaluated the
> > devices listed below and has concluded that these devices are not only
> > amateur transceivers but can easily be altered for use as Citizens
> > Band (CB) transceivers as well. As such, OET has further concluded
> > that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within the
> > United States for the reasons discussed below. Further, the FCC
> > General Council has issued a decision in a specific case involving one
> > manufacturer and has concluded that dual use CB and amateur radios of
> > the kind at issue may not be approved under the Commission's rules and
> > are in violation of several rules including the RF power level limits
> > of 47 CFR 95.639. (letter from Christopher J. Wright, FCC-OGC to John
> > F. Atwood, US Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999).
>
> All that says is that they can no longer be imported or marketed NEW.
> Like the old cellular-restorable scanners. Sales of existing ones is
> NOT illegal, Aanal Aaron. You can still get PRO2006 scanners if you
> want to. You just can't import them anymore.
>
> They CAN still be bought and sold.

It is not stated anywhere that they are only prohibited from sale if
"new". You have fabricated a false loophole and you are spreading
false information. This is how "CB rumors" start.

> And anyone who thinks it "easy" to chop up a perfectly good radio to
> mod it for 11m is a sap. I'm a tech and I still cringe whenever I do
> something like that.
>
> Besides that, the HR2600 is impossible to mod for 11m without a CPU
> transplant. Same with the later HR2510s. That is NOT easy. Period.

So in your opinion the FCC is wrong, therefore the law is wrong,
therefore you won't obey it? That's a typical excuse made by the
outlaws in this NG, but it doesn't change the law. And it won't save
you from being fined or charged if/when you get caught.

-Aaron-

2982. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 20:02:41 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208021202.15ce0ae0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132011

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:<ukjjoft1j7pgab@corp.supernews.com>...
> Aaron, about the Ranger model RCI-2950 ... isn't
>that pictured in the ad on
> page 128 of the August 2002 QST?
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim

>I hope this isn't too off subject, but, as you know, this group was
>formed to avoid flames. Aaron posted a list of illegal radios on the
>rec.radio.cb group. One radio was the Ranger RCI-2950. Now, on page
>128 of the August 2002 QST is an ad for the Ranger RCI-2950. Now, as
>I read Aarons post, it is illegal to offer said radio for sale.
>Should I gently enquire as to if he intends to blow the ARRL in?
>Couldn't resist this one ... just too funny.
> Jim

First, I honestly don't understand what's so "funny" about it, Jim. I mean, they're YOUR bands being abused as a result of this gear being targeted for sale to truckers and CBers. Both the band incursion problem and the manufacturing problem HAS gotten worse (i.e. "10 meter radios" featuring echo, rogerbeep, and rebel flag designs on the front panel) You may be an easygoing guy who is willing to share your bands with outlaws - but a lot of other people aren't.

Secondly, it doesn't matter if Riley himself appears on the cover of QST wearing a DAVEMADE T shirt and talking on a GALAXY 99. It will not change the law and it will not prevent violators from being fined large amounts of money. The beat goes on, and is getting stonger as we speak.

-Aaron-

2983. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 20:47:54 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <_dC29.20408$Kl6.1293030@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132012

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208021202.15ce0ae0@posting.google.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:<ukjjoft1j7pgab@corp.supernews.com>...
> > Aaron, about the Ranger model RCI-2950 ... isn't
> >that pictured in the ad on
> > page 128 of the August 2002 QST?
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
>
> ------
> >I hope this isn't too off subject, but, as you know, this group was
> >formed to avoid flames. Aaron posted a list of illegal radios on the
> >rec.radio.cb group. One radio was the Ranger RCI-2950. Now, on page
> >128 of the August 2002 QST is an ad for the Ranger RCI-2950. Now, as
> >I read Aarons post, it is illegal to offer said radio for sale.
> >Should I gently enquire as to if he intends to blow the ARRL in?
> >Couldn't resist this one ... just too funny.
> > Jim
> ----
>
> First, I honestly don't understand what's so "funny" about it, Jim. I
> mean, they're YOUR bands being abused as a result of this gear being
> targeted for sale to truckers and CBers. Both the band incursion
> problem and the manufacturing problem HAS gotten worse (i.e. "10 meter
> radios" featuring echo, rogerbeep, and rebel flag designs on the front
> panel) You may be an easygoing guy who is willing to share your bands
> with outlaws - but a lot of other people aren't.
>
> Secondly, it doesn't matter if Riley himself appears on the cover of
> QST wearing a DAVEMADE T shirt and talking on a GALAXY 99. It will not
> change the law and it will not prevent violators from being fined
> large amounts of money. The beat goes on, and is getting stonger as we
> speak.
>
> -Aaron-

(waltz time mit oompah und kraut)

We're coming, we're coming our brave little band
On the right side of temp'rance we do take our stand
We don't use tobacco because we do think
That people who use it are likely to drink

(Chorus)
Away, Away with Rum, by gum
Rum by gum, rum by gum
Away, Away with Rum by gum
It's the song of the Temperance Union.

We never drink water - they put it in gin
And one little sip and a man starts to grin
O, can you imagine a sorrier sight
Than a man drinking water and singing all nite

Chorus

We never eat corn flakes because they have malt
And we cannot imagine a much greater fault
O, can you imagine a sight that's more droll
Than a woman at breakfast slumped over her bowl

Chorus

We never drink milkshakes 'cause they're made with malt
And one little slurp makes your brain somersault
Oh can you imagine behavior so rash
As bar top gymnastics with a frothy mustache

Chorus

We never eat fruitcake because it has rum
And one tiny bite turns a man to a bum
Oh can you imagine the pitiful plight
Of a man eating fruitcake until he gets tight

Chorus

A man who eats fruitcake lives a terrible life
He's mean to his children and beats on his wife
A man who eats fruitcake dies a terrible death
With the odor of raisins and rum on his breath

Chorus

2984. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 21:25:43 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020802172543.19497.00001232@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 132013

you've never been on HF ham radio have you???

>I have to strongly disagree that ham radio is going down the road that CB
>is.. whatever road that is.
>Ever monitor Ch 19 lately?

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

2985. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 21:48:50 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208021348.5793788b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132014

"Richard McCollum" rmcollOMA@worldnet.att.net wrote:
>(waltz time mit oompah und kraut)
>
>We're coming, we're coming our brave little band
>
><snip>

Uncalled for, Dick.

-A

2986. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 22:12:20 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <8tD29.16064$pg2.1278052@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132015

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208021348.5793788b@posting.google.com...
> "Richard McCollum" rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net wrote:
> >(waltz time mit oompah und kraut)
> >
> >We're coming, we're coming our brave little band
> >
> ><snip>
>
> Uncalled for, Dick.
>
> -A

Damn well called for and every blessed time you put on your Carrie Nation
get up you will get it.

2987. OT: Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 22:22:39 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4B0632.6E1AA97C@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132016

Richard Cranium wrote:

>
> I can't guess why your K6-300 Blue-screened so much (insufficient
> ventilation is the first guess - those things run very hot), but
> almost any OS from Win98 on up can be quite stable if it's set up
> correctly. I can't remember the last time any of my computers (all
> running 98SE) gave me the BSOD.

All my systems are Intel processors & Intel chipsets. Windows works
with Intel stuff a lot better then with other chipsets & uP's.

Never have the strange lockups like one has with AMD, VIA, Rockwell
modems, or off the wall modems or sound cards. Add to the reliability
list, USRobotics (3COM), and Sound Blaster sound cards. You are on
your own as to video cards and all the strange revisions of offending
driver software that come with them and on the Mfg.'s website.

The hardest machines to work on are the cheap ones that folks
skimped a few $$ on and used the cheapest parts available.

I've installed 4 or 5 modems in one friend's machine. I told him
for years to buy a USR external modem. He's in a high RF area from
a 50KW AM station that's been there since around 1920. The cheapy
modems last about 6 months, then start to get garbage and retrain
every 30 seconds. Got a USR internal on special at Office Depot,
and with the rebate and coupon, it cost $5. I haven't heard a peep
from him yet! I also escort friends to the computer show so they
won't get burned with cheap shit 'puters.

Question for the group:
How many 16 bit expansion slots are in an XT?
(You won't find this in your A+ study guide. That test is a joke, like a ham exam.)

2988. Re: Los Angeles Area Stores?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 22:25:30 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4B06DE.DFA742D2@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132017

Burnt Eddy wrote:
>
>
> P.S. Does FCC keep database of CB call letters they issued back in the 70's
> and before? I still use mine.......

They claim not to have this info.

We pay Schaefer 5% in Maryland. Pronounced "merr-lin". <:

2989. Re: Legal? Who cares?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 22:29:17 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4B07C1.973DC6CD@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132018

> > Secondly, it doesn't matter if Riley himself appears on the cover of
> > QST wearing a DAVEMADE T shirt and talking on a GALAXY 99.

That would make a great picture. I'm sure someone can conjure up a
picture of someone wearing a DM T and talking on a G99 and put
ol' Riley's face in the place...

> (waltz time mit oompah und kraut)

Love the sound of that tuba!

2990. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 22:34:17 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4B08EC.E5B5D2D7@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132019

> "Richard McCollum" wrote:
> >(waltz time mit oompah und kraut)
> >
> >We're coming, we're coming our brave little band
> >
> ><snip>
"Aannoying Aaron" whined:
>
> Uncalled for, Dick.

Just like your repeated trolling posts.
It's Friday night, go out and breathe in some humidity for once.
Give the sitting sores on your butt a chance to heal.

2991. Re: Legal? Who cares?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 22:49:59 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ukm33doi025ja4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132020

Scott, you start taking me too seriously and I'm gonna come after ya with
those 6 double a alkaline batteries!! ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D4B07C1.973DC6CD@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > > Secondly, it doesn't matter if Riley himself appears on the cover of
> > > QST wearing a DAVEMADE T shirt and talking on a GALAXY 99.
>
> That would make a great picture. I'm sure someone can conjure up a
> picture of someone wearing a DM T and talking on a G99 and put
> ol' Riley's face in the place...
>
> > (waltz time mit oompah und kraut)
>
> Love the sound of that tuba!

2992. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 22:59:39 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D4B0EDB.9D29DF96@qnet.com>
Source record: 132022

Hello Dick:

The 147.435 Zoo repeater in Los Angles is a blast to listen too.....

But I turned it down to read all this, I am in tears of laughter.

Got a big ol BBQ to hit tonight up in Cal City, old girl friend will be there, maybe she has for gotten all my bad points? Yeee Haaaa!!!!

Jay in the Mojave

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0208021348.5793788b@posting.google.com...
> > "Richard McCollum" rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net wrote:
> > >(waltz time mit oompah und kraut)
> > >
> > >We're coming, we're coming our brave little band
> > >
> > ><snip>
> >
> > Uncalled for, Dick.
> >
> > -A
>
> Damn well called for and every blessed time you put on your Carrie Nation
> get up you will get it.

2993. Re: Can you say Ouch???!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 23:03:29 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ukm3so11v9o75c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132021

Rule number 1: never ignore the authorities, whether local, state, or federal. Play stupid if you must, but don't ignore them and NEVER EVER insult them.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:z8z29.88719$ND5.8210480@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> As to the below, no, "they" won't say "ouch"! "They" will deny, obfuscate,
> and
> continue to believe that the enforcement climate is not changing.
>
> 73
>
> Jerry

2994. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 23:14:52 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <MnE29.20569$Kl6.1307052@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132023

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3D4B0EDB.9D29DF96@qnet.com...
> Hello Dick:
>
> The 147.435 Zoo repeater in Los Angles is a blast to listen too.....
>
> But I turned it down to read all this, I am in tears of laughter.
>
> Got a big ol BBQ to hit tonight up in Cal City, old girl friend will be
> there, maybe she has for gotten all my bad points? Yeee Haaaa!!!!
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>

She has your bad points cataloged and cross-referenced. Your only hope is
create a cloud-cuckoo land fantasy that she will buy on the theory that
you are at least creative and fun while being a walking birth defect.

2995. Re: Legal? Who cares?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-02 23:19:46 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4B1395.9CE5D847@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132024

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> Scott, you start taking me too seriously and I'm gonna come after ya with
> those 6 double a alkaline batteries!! ;)

You pitch 'em, I'll swing at 'em, try to hit a home run out of Camden Yards.
I'll see if I can break a window on Camden Street.

2996. Re: Restart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 00:19:20 UTC
From: ^Hollywood^ <jwood0975@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <C5F29.112078$Og3.25896117@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132025

The Lincoln is for sure a damn fine radio...I have one as a back-up base. For a mobile however I like having channel presets which a stock Lincoln lacks. I have a Magnum 357 in my Ranger 4X4 and it is a great talker both on SSB and AM. The 257 is the lower power brother of this radio and another fine talker.

Of course you know there are going to be a few idiots that will warn you away from the HIGHLY ILLEGAL EXPORT radios, but if you have read any previous posts in the NG I am sure you already know who the idiots are.

--
^Hollywood^ (Jim)
CDX357

"Peter Roovers" <Roovers.P@leavemealone.com> wrote in message news:tellkugr8hot61brlcdcvaavn4ioqusoos@4ax.com...
> Hey all,
>
> I switched my cb off some 20 years ago. Now I want to get started
> again. I'm getting a new rig. I need a mobile one so I can yell for
> help when I get in trouble 4x4'ing, and it helps whe we drive
> roadbooks with the club. Maybe I'll try my hand at some dx'ing.
> Question : what's the ultimate in mobiles these days ? President
> Licoln ? something else ? Lemme know !
>
> Peter 'Land' R.

2997. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 02:01:05 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <BPG29.35372$6J1.103337211@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132026

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:_dC29.20408$Kl6.1293030@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > Secondly, it doesn't matter if Riley himself appears on the cover of
> > QST wearing a DAVEMADE T shirt and talking on a GALAXY 99. It will not
> > change the law and it will not prevent violators from being fined
> > large amounts of money. The beat goes on, and is getting stonger as we
> > speak.
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> (waltz time mit oompah und kraut)
>
> We're coming, we're coming our brave little band
> On the right side of temp'rance we do take our stand
> We don't use tobacco because we do think
> That people who use it are likely to drink
>
> (Chorus)
> Away, Away with Rum, by gum
> Rum by gum, rum by gum
> Away, Away with Rum by gum
> It's the song of the Temperance Union.
>
> We never drink water - they put it in gin
> And one little sip and a man starts to grin
> O, can you imagine a sorrier sight
> Than a man drinking water and singing all nite
>
> Chorus
>
> We never eat corn flakes because they have malt
> And we cannot imagine a much greater fault
> O, can you imagine a sight that's more droll
> Than a woman at breakfast slumped over her bowl
>
> Chorus
>
> We never drink milkshakes 'cause they're made with malt
> And one little slurp makes your brain somersault
> Oh can you imagine behavior so rash
> As bar top gymnastics with a frothy mustache
>

> Chorus
>
> We never eat fruitcake because it has rum
> And one tiny bite turns a man to a bum
> Oh can you imagine the pitiful plight
> Of a man eating fruitcake until he gets tight
>
> Chorus
>
> A man who eats fruitcake lives a terrible life
> He's mean to his children and beats on his wife
> A man who eats fruitcake dies a terrible death
> With the odor of raisins and rum on his breath
>
> Chorus
>
>

ROTFLMFAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
Oh man Richard that's to funny.....

Landshark

--
"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young

2998. SAMS Fotofacts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 02:30:56 UTC
From: duckyjr <duckyjr@attcanada.ca>
Message-ID: <fgH29.7734$H67.41040@tor-nn1.netcom.ca>
Source record: 132027

Does anyone know what the address of SAMS Fotofacts is? Or perhaps how I can get a hold of a schematic for a
Regency CR-142? I had one given to me from a garage sale. It would only work intermittently. Until one night when I saw smoke coming out of it. Turned out to be a resistor burned up. Bad enough that I can't read the color code on it. Thanks so much.

2999. OT: DOG THAT CAN'T HEAR!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 03:10:33 UTC
From: Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4B49AD.E75A626F@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132028

Subject: DOG THAT CAN'T HEAR!

My neighbor found out her dog could hardly hear so she took it to the veterinarian. He found the
problem was hair in its ears, cleaned both ears, and the dog could hear fine. The vet told the
lady if she wanted to keep this from recurring she should go to the store and get some "Nair"
hair remover and rub it in its ears once a month.

The lady bicycles down to the drug store and gets some "Nair" hair remover. At the register the
druggist tells her, "If you're going to use this under your arms, don't use deodorant for a few
days."

The lady says "I'm not using it under my arms."

The druggist says "If you're using it on your legs don't shave for a couple of days."

The lady says "I'm not using it on my legs either, and if you must know I'm using it on my schnauzer."

The druggist says "Stay off your bicycle for a week."

3000. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 03:19:01 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4ad34a.321805@news.cet.com>
Source record: 132029

In <3D4A8685.3C3E@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
<nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

<snip>
>It's not the fault of the instrument if the operator cannot interpret
>the results.

To a certain degree it is, because if the instrument isn't accurate
enough to display the differences then it's not the operator's fault.

<snip>
>That's why you use a dual trace scope. On one trace, your modulated RF,
>the other trace is the raw audio. If you superimpose the raw audio over
>the RF envelope, the symmetry should be the same, and it will be very
>obvious when it isn't.

And you can do the same thing with an audio distortion analyzer, which
is cheaper and easier to use, quicker to set up, and gives more
accurate readings. I am starting to sound like a parrot repeating
myself all the time on this issue...

<snip>
>Linearity is not that precise, that you have to measure to the level
>that you're implying. A well designed amplifier is linear until it
>reaches its compression point. At that point it should be obvious. A
>dual trace can help you compare and establish that point, and tune
>accordingly.

So can a distortion analyzer, or even an RF voltmeter, both of which
are cheaper and easier to use, more accurate, yada yada yada.

<snip>
>You make the case that running a dual trace scope, looking at the input
>and output is cumbersome, yet the alternative (a point by point plot)
>seems even more tedious.

Not much, it just takes a couple minutes. The difference is that you
have an objective, quantified result, not a subjective opinion
regarding a couple wavy lines on a screen.

> I agree that if you are looking to plot the
>characteristics of a particular amp, point by point plotting would be
>the best way to quantify it. But for a quick tune up or to establish the
>point of compression, a scope is far easier, and quicker. And for most
>shop guys, time is money.

The fastest way to determine a compression point is by the method I described but without the pencil and paper. The only difference is the instrument you put on the output -- it can either be a scope or a RF voltmeter. Which is easier? Which is cheaper? Which is more accurate? The RF voltmeter.

<snip>
>> As I said before, RF compression (i.e, "flatopping") can happen to
>> some degree and not be noticed (unless you have a sine-wave stencil to
>> hold up to the screen).
>
>Or the source audio to superimpose against it.

Which is exactly what an audio distortion analyzer does but is less expensive, more accurate, and quantifies the results.

<snip>
>A good audio distortion analyzer costs as much as a scope.

It could. I paid $400 for mine brand new, and that's only because I got all the bells and whistles. If you pay more than that then you are looking at some serious lab equipment. I have seen them on the net priced anywhere from $20 for a basic working meter to $800 for a brand new, fully-programmable HP with true RMS voltmeter and sig-gen. Heathkit offered one as a kit!

Just like an o-scope, how much you spend depends on what you want to use it for. If you are going to be testing equipment specs for industry standards or debugging a studio you would probably want the high-end equipment. Same deal with field strength meters. You can build one yourself for a few buck in brand new parts, or you can spend a couple grand on a CISPR-compatible receiver. Now if all you want to do in your shop is repair CB radios..., well, you get the idea. Or maybe you don't, since you seem to think that a high-end o-scope is necessary to service CBs.

<snip>
> It's one
>thing to use the best tool for each particular job, but if cost is a
>factor (and it usually is), and you have to limit your test equipment to
>that which is the most versatile, then which would you choose? A scope
>can do a multitude of jobs. It might not be the most accurate way to
>measure distortion, or linearity, or power. But it can do all of them to
>some degree. So from a value standpoint, a scope is a fairly cheap
>investment.

I'm repeating myself here again by saying that I would choose the way I have already chosen: a variety of equipment that is more accurate, easier to use, and the total cost is MUCH less than a good scope.

<snip>
>a scope *IS* an RF voltmeter! The added bonus is that you can 'see' the
>waveform, not just the indicated magnitude of it.

An RF voltmeter is less expensive, more accurate, and easier to use.
Them's the facts.

<snip>
>How do you accurately set a modulation limiter with a wattmeter? Surely
>you don't trust peak reading meters?

I do if I aligned the wattmeter myself. In fact, I built and
calibrated my favorite wattmeter/dummy load. I used an old VTVM meter
and a few other junk-box parts. The dummy load is twenty 1K 2-watt
carbon-comp resistors in a tin box filled with transformer wax. VSWR
is 1:1, and is good for 50 watts continuous or 200 watts intermittent.
Accuracy is 1/10 of a watt on the 10 watt range, less if you can read
between the marks. Later I added a peak-and-hold function. It's what I
used for several years working on commercial radios, and it still
works fine. The whole thing cost me about $10. And the time and labor
was offset by the learning experience. Much cheaper than an O-scope,
wouldn't you say?

> And those peak reading meter cannot
>tell you whether the modulation symmetry is unbalanced and consequently
>whether the trough is clipping while you still have room to go on the
>peak.

...audio analyzer...cheaper...more accurate...easier...squawk!

<snip>
>> These devices were invented because it is either too expensive
>> or too impractical for the average Joe to make the adjustments any
>> other way. For example, do you use your scope as a wattmeter or do you
>> just use a wattmeter?
>
>I use both. The wattmeter gives me the accurate answer,

Didn't you just get done saying that I shouldn't trust my wattmeter
for accuracy? Oh well...

> while the scope
>allows me to 'see' the components that make up the signal. I would never
>look at the output of any radio without running it though the meter,
>scope and spectrum analyzer (they're all in line), so I can see the
>state of health of the radio. Those three instruments tell me 98% of
>anything I'd need to know. The rest is not important enough to care
>about.

What I meant was if you would replace your wattmeter with a scope for
regular use. If you do, then I can make you a really good deal on some
swamp land in Arizona.

>> Do you use your scope to check the voltage of a
>> battery or do you use a voltmeter? Most of the equipment I have
>> described is much smaller, much less inexpensive, and much easier to
>> use than an oscilliscope.
>

>True, so why not have both? That way you use the best tool for the job.

You have the best equipment for the job even without the scope. The
scope is just an expensive piece of redundancy.

<snip>
>If you have limited funds and you had a choice between buying a scope or
>a distortion analyzer, which would you buy? Keeping in mind the fact
>that a distrotion analyzer is only useful for one thing, while a scope
>can do a multitude of measurements. If absolute accuracy is not
>required, it's really a no-brainer.

You are correct, it's a no-brainer -- the distortion analyzer. The DA
may be intended for one purpose, but it can tell you quite a bit more,
not just about the AF but about the RF as well. That's because when RF
is distorted it distorts the received AF. So just by hooking up the
audio sig-gen on the input of the DUT and a DA to the monitor, you can
determine if there is a problem. If there is, hook the DA to the
output of the modulator. If the problem is the same, it's in the audio
section. If not, it's in the RF. By adjusting the input you can adjust
limiting, modulation, bias (if it's adjustable), compression (if it's
adjustable), etc. Plus you will have enough money left to get a used
van plus a new set of tires and take your shop mobile!

<snip>
>> Not usually. Many times you will hear what you can't see on a scope.
>> For example, I can hear IMD before I can see it on a scope, but I -do-
>> need a distortion analyzer to determine the amount of any distortion
>> that I can't hear. Using your logic, we would all be tuning our BFO's
>> and adjusting our tone controls with an oscilliscope!
>
>Well you could. Run the one frequency into the vertical input, and the
>other frequency into the horizontal, and tune for a circle pattern ;)

But you don't need to do that, do you? Of course not.

<snip>
>> >* The onset of clipping will not likely be heard on the monitor and is best
>> >checked for with a different device.
>>
>> Yes, a distortion analyzer. A scope won't see it if you can't hear it.
>
>Yes it will. The human ear is very forgiving of distortion.

I don't think so. If that were true then distortion wouldn't be such
an issue with audio amps, stereos, tape and CD players, etc.

> Why do you
>think so many "keyclowns" live with their overly distorted radios, and
>then claim that they sound good?

Because they LIKE the sound of distortion -- to them it sounds like
POWER! Kinda like a good J.H. guitar rip but without the class!

>A scope can see the modulation clip or flattop long before it is audibly
>apparent. By the time you can hear it, you're already splattering badly.

Now that makes sense: "by the time you can hear it, you're already
splattering badly". Is that to mean you can't hear the splatter until
it's really bad? Or you can't hear thunder until lightning strikes
your house?

<snip>
>But until you put the monitor on it, you will not be ablew to determine
>the extent of the distortion, and whether is is distorting due to non
>linearity, instability, or some other factor.

If the amp goes kaput you obviously have a problem, and you don't want
to make it worse by running full power to test with a scope (you also
don't want to risk damaging the scope with a power RF amp!). Shut it
down, do a visual inspection and check resistances, because you might
have a shorted transistor or an open trace. If that checks out ok then
apply power and check no-signal DC potentials. By then you should have
found the problem with most amps. If you haven't, apply a small signal
to the input and check RF voltages on your collectors. If you can't
find the problem that way then you shouldn't be working on such
things.

BTW, 'instability' is an Eitner word for anything he can't explain,
but usually it's oscillation caused by feedback and/or excessive stage
gain. If the amp becomes 'unstable' and starts oscillating, it won't
continue for very long because something is going to burn up. The
problem is usually caused by failure of the neutralization device or
circuit, if it has one. And if there is any form of neutralization in
the amp it's probably in the form of a small resistor that can be
blown very easily. If it doesn't have -any- method of neutralization,
add one. You will also find that adding a little neutralization will
improve the linearity.

<snip>
>Hmmm.... I'd say that a scope is the next piece of equipment after an RF
>signal generator.
>My list of equipment in order of relative importance is:
>
>A good soldering station
>VOM
>DVM
>Wattmeter
>Frequency counter
>Signal generator
>Scope
>Spectrum analyzer
>Distortion analyzer
>Noise source

Here's my priority list for CB repair (test equipment only):

1. Comm analyzer is first choice, but in lieu of that:
2. Spectrum analyzer (ok, also too expensive);
3. RF voltmeter;
4. DVM or VTVM (preferably both);
5. demod probe;
6. RF signal generator
7. frequency counter;
8. audio distortion analyzer (mine includes an audio function
generator including white and pink noise, which would otherwise be
next on the list);
9. impedance bridge;
10. capacitance tester;
11. field strength meter;
12. GDO;
13. wattmeter;
...
88. Oscilliscope;
89. Freon;
90. Concrete floor (3-foot drop test).

>> > And no, your wattmeter will not
>> >give you a better representation than the scope will.
>>
>> You pump in 1 watt and out comes 10. Put in 2 watts and out comes 20.
>> Put in 3 watts and out comes 30. That's linear. The accuracy depends
>> on the accuracy and measurable gradient of the wattmeter. An RF
>> voltmeter (with a dummy load, of course) would be even better! And
>> certainly more accurate than a scope.
>
>How do you figure? A scope IS an RF voltmeter. Assuming that it's kept
>calibrated, it can make very accurate voltage mesurements.

Oh really? What is the accuracy of a scope reading of, say, a 14 volt
peak? One-hundredth of a volt? Not unless you have a really big CRT!
In fact, what is the accuracy rating on your scope? Is it a percent of
the signal range? What is that percent? A DVM is usually good for plus
or minus 0.1%, how does that compare with your scope? I don't care how
much you paid for it, the DVM with an RF probe blows your scope away!

And what about frequency? Most freq counters are good for 1-1/2 counts
per cycle with 8-digit resolution. How does that compare with your
scope? It doesn't even come close! Which is easier: counting peaks and
divisions and calculating your results, or reading it from a digital
display? THAT'S a no-brainer!

How do you measure distortion with your scope? Will it give you a
deviation ratio? Will it provide a distortion percentage? As far as I
know you just look at two different waveforms and make a subjective
judgment. Not very accurate, is it? The accuracy changes according to
how much sleep (or alcohol) the operator had the night before!

>> If you wanted to get that kind
>> of accuracy from a scope you would need to constantly switch ranges

>> and shift the vertical position. And that's still not as accurate, nor
>> as easy, as reading four digits from a $10 meter and an RF probe that
>> you built with the other half of that $20 bill.
>
>How accurate do you think a $10 meter is going to be? Don't confuse
>accuracy with precision. A digital meter can give you a very precise
>reading, but its accuracy is only as good as its design. And a $10 meter
>is not going to be as accurate as a $400 meter or a comparible scope.

LOL! That's funny! I have a few DVMs, and one of them is an ugly cheapie I found at a yard sale for $5.00. It is just as accurate as the others (except for that cheap little auto-ranging piece of crap from Radio Shaft). It is more accurate than my best VTVM, and MUCH more accurate than any scope I have ever seen! And with 4-digit resolution it beats a scope every time! The only thing I don't like about it is that it isn't very accurate with low resistances (about 30 ohms and below), but that's ok because I have four more DVMs, a resistance bridge, two impedance bridges, two R/C bridges, and three VTVMs, all of which will do the job. None of them cost me more than $20.

Now I'm sure you know how to measure resistance with an oscilliscope, don't you? First you need a DC source, then a precision resistor of known value. Put the two resistors in series and read the voltages. Then take the voltage ratio and transpose it to the resistance ratio and solve for the missing resistance. So after all that fuss, just how accurate do you think you can get with your o-scope? As accurate as a DMM? Even that RS cheapie? No, I don't think so!

<snip>
>Back to that Time=Money equation again. It takes a lot of time to
>manually plot the linearity of an amp using your method.

About 2 minutes for a rough plot, enough to get a solid line (or curve, as the case may be). You have to do the same thing using an o-scope, and it takes longer than that just to hook up for a trap test, so what's your point?

Time=money? You can cut corners by using a less-accurate piece of equipment that takes longer to set up and measure for each test; or you can use the right tool for the job, get the job done quicker, and know that you aren't missing something along the way. How much time does it take to measure resistance with an o-scope? Sure, there is another piece of equipement better suited to the job, and that's my point through all of this: There is all kinds of equipment that is better suited than an O-scope to do the job of testing a radio! And that equipment is less expensive and more accurate ... squawk!

> A scope can
>give you an instant snapshot of what you are looking at.

So can a camera. But what you are looking at isn't necessarily what
you need to see; it may even be -more- than you need to see.

> It may not be
>as precise, but it is, as they say, "good enough for government work".
>Remember, we're talking about CB radios here, not high end audio
>components.

I agree, it is not as precise. And yes, for CB work precision isn't
critical. Which brings up the question (for about the eleventh time)
of why buy an expensive oscilliscope when everything else is cheaper,
faster, and more accurate to boot? Because it's 'cool'? It's certainly
not because you don't have an understanding of radio, but I think you
might be lacking in the understanding of basic test equipment. Now if
we were talking TV repair, an oscilliscope is the most important tool
on the shelf. And if you are an engineer or lab tech then the scope
should be written off as a tax deduction. But we are talking CB radio.
The only thing I have ever used a scope for on a CB radio is to look
at the PLL loop. And I suppose even that could be tested with an audio
voltmeter if I had the gumption to build one, but it would certainly
be cheaper and easier to use than a scope!

<snip>
>> You can if you switch down the time scale so you are not triggering
>> faster than the low-frequency disturbance, then increase the voltage
>> scale and shift up or down to a peak. Do you do that as a regular
>> practice?
>
>Sure, don't you? I switch time bases and voltage scales all the time.
>That's called using your instrument to its fullest capability.

Gee, doesn't that take time? Remember, time=money, or did you forget?
Wouldn't it be much easier just to see if the needle is fluttering on
your distortion analyzer that is already hooked up? THAT'S called
"using your instrument to its fullest capability".

<snip>
>But if you can't afford an audio distortion analyzer, the scope is
>better than your ear.

How can you afford a scope and not a distortion analyzer? Well, if you
spent all your money on the scope, that would explain it...

>> >> asymmetrical modulation,
>> > * This is easy to spot on a scope.
>>
>> Not always. It depends on the severity, and the accuracy of your
>> sine-wave stencil.
>
>It's becoming apparent that you either don't utilize a scope
>effectively, or you have one that is woefully out of date. Most modern
>dual trace scopes make short work of determinning symmetry.

I know every feature of my HP 1722A, but I don't need it to work on CB radios. And to -me- it's becoming apparent that -you- are becoming so indulgent in the luxuries of state-of-the-art that you are forgetting the fundamentals.

Hmmm..., maybe that's the master business plan -- the evolution of 'techno-sapiens', a breed of humans that is incapable of survival without modern technology, creating an inelastic demand for a market that would otherwise be based on disposable income. It seems to be working for Microsquash, since there are people writing software with kludgework programming languages like VB for multi-megabyte operating systems based on maximum overhead and minimum operability, when the same programs could be written in assembler, C or Pascal that would be much faster, smaller, and reliable. All it takes is for the programmer to extend his learning curve a bit. So that must be it, people are buying their education! Paying money so they don't have to think for themselves! Geez, if the big corporations hear about this trend there's no telling how soon it will be before we don't need to think at all; just do what we are told, unable to consider any alternatives that could be better -- just follow the herd.

Whew, where did THAT come from...!

<snip>
>A good distortion analyzer (like the H.P. unit I have at work) costs
>many times what a decent scope costs. If you can afford a D.A., you can
>afford a scope too.

Already addressed, and more than once.

>I really don't want this to devolve into a pissing contest

It isn't a pissing contest. I keep repeating myself and giving different practical examples each time, but the points I'm making are being completely ignored.

> (The
>keyclowns do that for us). The bottom line is that each tech uses what
>he/she feels most comfortable with. But if you are a beginner, on a low
>budget, a scope would be something to consider long before the more
>fancy equipment such as a spectrum analyzer or distortion analyzer.

If the beginner is on a low budget, then forget the scope and get the less expensive, easier to use, and more accurate equipment (squawk!). If the budget isn't a concern, get what you want. But I still recommend getting a low-end scope to get some hands-on experience so you know what to look for in a high-end scope if and when the desire ever hits. And the beginner won't be intimidated with all the buttons, knobs and switches, or all the programming routines and functions he will probably never use. Just get the basics down first: V- and H-position, time, amplitude, triggering, sweep, etc. Hell, just getting a good ground so you don't get 100V of AC in your scope takes a bit of practice, too.

Here's something fun to try for a beginner: Take one of those inductive telephone pickups, or even an antenna loop from an AM stereo, hook it up and walk around the house. Try and identify all the signals you pick up. Hold it close to an alarm clock, a laser printer, and other appliances. Then do the same thing using a computer for an audio spectrum analyzer. Did you know that 60 Hz has sidebands? Or that some AC appliances will show freqs down to 40 Hz? When you look at the O-scope, can you see all the harmonics of AC that go up beyond 20 kHz? stepping every 60 and 120 Hz? I doubt it.

> Its
>versatility is fairly obvious to those that take the time to understand
>what its capabilities are.

And it's limitations are obvious to anyone that knows the capabilities of simpler, less expensive, and more specialized equipment.

> A scope can greatly enhance your ability to
>see what is going on inside a radio.

To that I will agree: It is a great learning tool.

3001. Re: Aaron again, squirms under the preasure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 03:25:58 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4b4bcc.31171036@news.cet.com>
Source record: 132030

In <HYw29.35154$Kj5.86413362@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d4a5176.13886797@news.cet.com...
>> > Why Frank, going with your logic, you must
>> >believe in the abominable snowman, do you?
>>
>> Don't EVEN try to pull 'logic' on me -- you will lose every time!
>>
>
> LMAO!!! That's right Frank, you are smarter than the
>average bear, isn't that right Boo Boo. LOL!!!

No, but I aced logic (Phil. 201), and even taught it for a semester.
So do you care to outline the premises which lead to your conclusion
that I must believe in the abominable snowman? I didn't think so.

> Your so
>conceded Frank, it's a shame, you must drive away a
>lot of people with the "I'm always right attitude"

It doesn't seem to have driven you away, has it? (damn it anyway!)

3002. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 04:44:13 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D4B5F9D.809E8975@hotmail.com>
Source record: 132031

Hey Rick

Thanks for the info..it is helpful..I don't know what the antenna's came off of ......but I would like to put them on my 89 ford 150 pickup (mounted to the big side mirrors)..right now I have Rg -59 coax running the radio and to the antenna's ...the antenna's are your stardard (500 watts) antenna's you would buy at a truck stop. I think the name brand is ,,,,,Bargin anteena's ......but ...I'm not sure ....The one antenna is messed up because when I did a swr check on it ...it was all out of wack and I couldn't set the swr on the antenna...so now I am looking at buying 2 more antenna's ...but this time..... the same model and lenght ..as the above ones....but (1000 watts) each instead of (500 watts) each I am running now....is this a good idea....or bad.....

should I get longer antenna's ..with the bigger watts....

thanks for your help

Zeek

Richard McCollum wrote:

> <zeek198@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3D49AEDD.15B5B1D8@hotmail.com...
> > Hey Scott
> >
> > Thanks for the info...couple of questions tho....a piece of braid ..??
> are you
> > talking a coax wire or just a wire to work as the ground wire ...to
> ground the
> > antenna ...Right now all my coax cable is RG-59....is this good coax ???
> >
> > Zeek
> >
> >
>
> Zeek
>
> Now it is my turn to tell you more than you really want to know.
>
> You apparently inherited or bought a dual antenna system which uses 72-ohm
> coax (the characteristic impedence of RG-59) to pull a little cute to
> match the 50-ohm load expected by a CB and most other transmitters. It is
> called a Q-section and is a staple on the ham bands. This is really not
> the place to go into it but I will if you want.
>

> SWR (Standing Wave Ratio) is basically a measurement of the amount of the
> transmitter output that is accepted by the antenna, actually usually by
> measuring the amount 'reflected' back to the transmitter. It is certainly
> of interest but an issue over which an almost religious fetishism has
> developed in CB circles for no particularly good reason.
>
> There are in fact two components that determine SWR. The first is the
> match between the transmitter, feed line, and antenna. Give yourself a
> big cigar for having the good sense to do the measurement at the antenna
> although with duals it can potentially be misleading in this area. But
> this is not the real problem.
>
> The second is resonance, the frequency to which the antenna is tuned and
> it is here where the problem can get cute. It is apparent that for some
> reason, your antennas are short. Now they can be short because of the
> length of the stinger portion, the proximity to another radiating antenna,
> or more likely the counterpoise - the 'ground' if you will. Did these
> come off a big rig with larger mirrors??
>
> Keep the two components of the SWR separated and you will eventually
> blunder your way to success; no matter what the technical smugitude, that
> is how most of us get it done.
>
> I would agree, BTW, with the proponents of single antennas however uncool
> they may be. But for these, the coax is RG-58, the 50-ohm version. Given
> that your antennas would more than likely explode when fed power there is
> no good reason for using anything bigger.
>
> Dick NØBK

3003. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 05:55:40 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D4B51A9.E292FEA6@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132032

zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> Hey Rick
>
> Thanks for the info..it is helpful..I don't know what the antenna's came off
> of ......but I would like to put them on my 89 ford 150 pickup (mounted to the
> big side mirrors)..right now I have Rg -59 coax running the radio and to the
> antenna's ...the antenna's are your stardard (500 watts) antenna's you would
> buy at a truck stop. I think the name brand is ,,,,,Bargin anteena's ......but
> ...I'm not sure ....The one antenna is messed up because when I did a swr
> check on it ...it was all out of wack and I couldn't set the swr on the
> antenna...so now I am looking at buying 2 more antenna's ...but this
> time..... the same model and lenght ..as the above ones....but (1000 watts)
> each instead of (500 watts) each I am running now....is this a good idea....or
> bad.....
>
> should I get longer antenna's ..with the bigger watts....
>
> thanks for your help
>
> Zeek
>

Well, if just looking cool, or you want to look like a big cber or
whatever it is, then go with two longer antennas, if you want
performance use one good antenna. Why do you think you need the
antennas capable of handling 1000 watts? Are you running that much
power? If you are running say 50 watts, and using antennas capable
of handling 500 watts going to antennas capable of 1000 watts does
not increase your signal. All you need is an antenna capable of
handling the power you are running. If you are running 1000 watts,
then I sure would not want to set in the cab with you when you
talk on the radio.

3004. Re: Restart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 06:18:28 UTC
From: Peter Roovers <Roovers.P@leavemealone.com>
Message-ID: <34tmkug8jmdjractod6pe6o4d1es5qvu4g@4ax.com>
Source record: 132033

On Fri, 2 Aug 2002 20:19:20 -0400, "^Hollywood^"
<jwood0975@hotmail.com> wrote:

> I am sure you already know who the idiots are.

Oh yeah. That took me all of two minutes. What's strange though is
this. I'm an avid cyclist, and there's a NG i frequent. There's been a
troll in theis NG for many years, always posting about how we damage
trails, access forests etc. Bizarly, these posts are just the same.
The subject's different, but the semantics, the ranting, the
compaining about belligerant replies, are word for word the same.

Anyway, my kill filter has been rapidly expanding since I came here.
Fortunately, there also seems to be an abundance of good folk here.

Regards,
Peter 'Land' R.

3005. Midland 150M Schematic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 08:43:19 UTC
From: Andres <aortiz@teleline.es>
Message-ID: <3D4B98C7.B7D04CF4@teleline.es>
Source record: 132034

Hi

I'm looking for Midland 150M schematics or service manual. Please,
if anyone have this, send me an email.

Thank you.

Andres.

3006. Re: boost up modulation Tagra Pasific 3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 12:11:21 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208030411.36a0af4a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132035

"tonNo" <tonno99@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<Arw29.91036$Ma.9580246@amsnews02.chello.com>...
> Hi,
>
> does anybody know how to boost up the modulation from my cb-kit "Tagra
> Pasific III"?
>
> thanks in advance
>
> Ton

Best way to do so without splattering all over is: Talk closer to the mic!

3007. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 12:32:06 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208030432.45bb42b0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132037

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208021136.4796040d@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208020344.18a26c72@posting.google.com>...
> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208011521.4511bbf8@posting.google.com>...
> > > ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST
> > >
> > > The FCC's Office of Engineer and Technology (OET) has evaluated the
> > > devices listed below and has concluded that these devices are not only
> > > amateur transceivers but can easily be altered for use as Citizens
> > > Band (CB) transceivers as well. As such, OET has further concluded
> > > that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within the
> > > United States for the reasons discussed below. Further, the FCC
> > > General Council has issued a decision in a specific case involving one
> > > manufacturer and has concluded that dual use CB and amateur radios of
> > > the kind at issue may not be approved under the Commission's rules and
> > > are in violation of several rules including the RF power level limits
> > > of 47 CFR 95.639. (letter from Christopher J. Wright, FCC-OGC to John
> > > F. Atwood, US Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999).
> >
> > All that says is that they can no longer be imported or marketed NEW.
> > Like the old cellular-restorable scanners. Sales of existing ones is
> > NOT illegal, Aanal Aaron. You can still get PRO2006 scanners if you
> > want to. You just can't import them anymore.
> >
> > They CAN still be bought and sold.
>
> It is not stated anywhere that they are only prohibited from sale if
> "new". You have fabricated a false loophole and you are spreading
> false information. This is how "CB rumors" start.

The false rumors are coming from you; I fabricated nothing. Re-read
the info that YOU posted: It clearly says (in one phrase) "imported
and marketed"; that means NEW radios AS OF THE DATE OF THE NOTICE.
Existing radios are "grandfathered" in, precisely as in the case of
those cell-capable scanners. Existing HR2510s and HR2600s, etc., CAN
still be bought, sold, and traded. Just like the PRO-2006 can still
be. Legally. Maybe you should check with the FCC before you display
your ignorance to the entire world? Nahhhh, you wouldn't do that;
you'd rather waste all sorts of bandwidth proclaiming your false
rumors and acting like you're a "somebody". Poor little man!

And please note that they also clearly say that these radios ARE
amateur transceivers. So they ARE legal for use on 10 and 12 metres if

they're modified. They simply are not legal for use as CB radios.
Don't you have any reading comprehension? If you don't understand
English, please ask someone for clarification.

> > And anyone who thinks it "easy" to chop up a perfectly good radio to
> > mod it for 11m is a sap. I'm a tech and I still cringe whenever I do
> > something like that.
> >
> > Besides that, the HR2600 is impossible to mod for 11m without a CPU
> > transplant. Same with the later HR2510s. That is NOT easy. Period.
>
> So in your opinion the FCC is wrong, therefore the law is wrong,
> therefore you won't obey it? That's a typical excuse made by the
> outlaws in this NG, but it doesn't change the law. And it won't save
> you from being fined or charged if/when you get caught.
>
> -Aaron-

It's not an opinion, it's a fact. Have you ever tried to unsolder a
40-pin IC from a flow-soldered PC board? Didn't think so. It is NOT
easy to modify those radios, although it can be done. The Ranger is a
different story; take off the top and change a jumper - but we're not
talking about them. And how about all the amateur xcvrs that are so
easy to mod (just clip a wire or a diode)? Why aren't you going after
them? Perhaps you are admitting that you haven't a clue?

"if/when (I) get caught"? Oh puleeze! The radios are legal for use on
ten and 12 metres. And I can LISTEN to any radio I want to listen to,
even if it isn't legal for transmitting. Dream on, TROLL!

I suggest you call your buddies at the FCC and ask for clarification
of the Notice. BTW, did you ever call their Interference Complaint
Line and get their message? Doubt it. You wouldn't do anything that
would prove yourself wrong. TROLL! And a LAZY TROLL at that! Get a
life.

3008. Re: SAMS Fotofacts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 12:35:29 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208030435.f1525a7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132038

"duckyjr" <duckyjr@attcanada.ca> wrote in message
news:<fgH29.7734$H67.41040@tor-nn1.netcom.ca>...
> Does anyone know what the address of SAMS Fotofacts is? Or perhaps how I can
> get a hold of a schematic for a
> Regency CR-142? I had one given to me from a garage sale. It would only work
> intermittently. Until one night when I saw smoke coming out of it. Turned
> out to be a resistor burned up. Bad enough that I can't read the color code
> on it. Thanks so much.

Sams is in Indianapolis, Indiana, last I knew, but I don't have a
complete address. You might be able to find they on-line by now. Try a
search for "SAMS Photofacts"?

3009. Re: Pyramid Power Supplies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 12:49:14 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1028379166.864713@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 132039

Pyramid ; JUNK JUNK JUNK JUNK.
Have You ever heard the saying " You pay peanuts You get the Monkey "? Well
this applies to the pyramid power supply's . If You want a good power supply
for Your need's get a radio shack or Astron or even a tripplite . Radio
shack will probably be the least expensive and hold up well But what ever
You do DON'T buy a Pyramid Or You will get a Monkey with high sex drive
"BobC" <123@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:ukj8h5h8lj4s0d@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3D2098D6.6ADF145D@mailcity.net...
> > "Alan S." wrote:
> > >
> > > Gentlemen,
> > >
> > > I've noticed that Pyramid power supplies can be had for a reasonable
> price
> > > (compared to say, an Astron). I've read varying opinions on the
quality
> of
> > > these units. There seems to be a question as to just how long a 20A
> Astron
> > > (for example) will perform under a full 20A load.
>
> Just to add a couple cents. The Astrons are rated with the full load for 5
> min's on and 10 or 15 mins off. The Astrons will perform well at rated
> output and can be abused to a small degree. A fan would be a good idea if
> you plan on running the supply at or near full output for extended periods
> of time.
>
>

3010. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 13:14:20 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D4BD72C.6A324683@hotmail.com>
Source record: 132040

Hey JJ

I thought if I ran with the bigger antenna's (1000watts) I would get a better signal ...and also recieve better because of the higher watts...when I looked at the antenna's at the local truck stop on the package it tells me the are rated for (500) watts or (1000) watts there are many there with different watts on then with different prices for each.....I am running just a stock radio...(Uniden pc68xl)

thanks

Zeek

JJ wrote:

> zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > Hey Rick
> >
> > Thanks for the info..it is helpful..I don't know what the antenna's came off
> > of ......but I would like to put them on my 89 ford 150 pickup (mounted to the
> > big side mirrors)..right now I have Rg -59 coax running the radio and to the
> > antenna's ...the antenna's are your stardard (500 watts) antenna's you would
> > buy at a truck stop. I think the name brand is ,,,,,Bargin anteena's ......but
> > ...I'm not sure ....The one antenna is messed up because when I did a swr
> > check on it ...it was all out of wack and I couldn't set the swr on the
> > antenna...so now I am looking at buying 2 more antenna's ...but this
> > time..... the same model and lenght ..as the above ones....but (1000 watts)
> > each instead of (500 watts) each I am running now....is this a good idea....or
> > bad.....
> >
> > should I get longer antenna's ..with the bigger watts....
> >
> > thanks for your help
> >
> > Zeek
> >
>
> Well, if just looking cool, or you want to look like a big cber or
> whatever it is, then go with two longer antennas, if you want
> performance use one good antenna. Why do you think you need the
> antennas capable of handling 1000 watts? Are you running that much
> power? If you are running say 50 watts, and using antennas capable
> of handling 500 watts going to antennas capable of 1000 watts does
> not increase your signal. All you need is an antenna capable of
> handling the power you are running. If you are running 1000 watts,
> then I sure would not want to set in the cab with you when you

> talk on the radio.

3011. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 13:31:17 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <FWQ29.172137$88.3530646@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 132041

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208021348.5793788b@posting.google.com...
> "Richard McCollum" rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net wrote:
> >(waltz time mit oompah und kraut)
> >
> >We're coming, we're coming our brave little band
> >
> ><snip>
>
> Uncalled for, Dick.
>
> -A

Aaron, you seem to have overestimated your importance in the grand scheme
of things.

3012. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 13:56:48 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D4BE120.4AD11503@qnet.com>
Source record: 132042

Hello Dick:

Ok the BBQ was a smashing success. Had piped in and out side Music, mostly Country and Western, and Oldie but Goodies type music. But after the BBQ Steak and Chicken, Corn on the cob, Mexican Ordevers, chips and dip, and of course some blue stuff that was out of this world, that I used my fingers to get the last morcells, dinner had a little 70's Disco music, had a blast!

Most everyone was gussied up pretty nice, but that didn't stop the guests from having to have a Twilight Dessert motor cycle run. The Hosts house is on the fringes of the desert, so most everyone had to have dirt bike run. I'll bring my Yamaha 250 next time, U bet! That thing has more horse power that the rider has IQ, its a blast! The Tow Trucks where mostly parked out back.

We even replaced a old Coax having a 1978 date code on it with new LMR400, wow what a difference good Coax makes. I didn't climb the 60 foot tower, the Host did, I think thats in the Etiquette Rule Book, under antenna repair and upkeep. I did bring my trusty 100 watt soldering Iron with the Bitchen machined round inside curve on the tip, for soldering PL-259 connectors, oh yeah this is a snap. But should have brought some solder. A emerengcy inspection of underneath everyones car seat and inside the trunks yielded a short length of solder, that did the job. Theres something to say for the good silver plated PL-259 connectors. Probably even found a few empties there also.

Ok I tried that technique, whats next? She may have the walking birth defect thing down Pat.

Jay in the Mojave

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> news:3D4B0EDB.9D29DF96@qnet.com...
> > Hello Dick:
> >
> > The 147.435 Zoo repeater in Los Angles is a blast to listen too.....
> >
> > But I turned it down to read all this, I am in tears of laughter.
> >
> > Got a big ol BBQ to hit tonight up in Cal City, old girl friend will be
> > there, maybe she has for gotten all my bad points? Yeee Haaaa!!!!
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave

> >
>
> She has your bad points cataloged and cross-referenced. Your only hope is
> create a cloud-cuckoo land fantasy that she will buy on the theory that
> you are at least creative and fun while being a walking birth defect.

3013. Re: Cows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 14:14:39 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3d4be536.66587538@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 132043

On 25 Jul 2002 16:10:36 -0700, ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
wrote:

>'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:<3D3F8B78.8263E861@icok.net>...
>> TRADITIONAL CAPITALISM:
>> You have two cows.
>> You sell one and buy a bull.
>> Your herd multiplies, and the economy grows.
>> You sell them and retire on the income.
>
>KEYCLOWN COMPANY
>
>You have one cow but you illegally modify it
>so it's the most powerful cow in the neighborhood.
>So powerful it drives away all the neighboring cows.
>Cows that are too dumb to be driven away are
>simply trampled and killed by your cow.
>Soon all that's left are modified cows like yours who
>fight amongst themselves.
>People can no longer have milk or dairy products
>because you've decided "it's all about competition".
>
>-A

As it shall remain...................

3014. dave??????????///

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 14:23:03 UTC
From: carl/dee <wesser@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <uknj0r9b7inh94@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132036

haven't been here in a while, where is dave & debby???

3015. FS:ASTATIC 575M6

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 14:42:39 UTC
From: mag0355@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020803104239.11761.00001924@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 132044

NEW IN THE BOX IT HAS TONE CONTROL AND VOLUME CONTROL.FOR TRANSMITT IT TAKES A
9 VOLT.$40+SHIPPING

3016. Re: swr's for fib glass ant

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 15:07:50 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <alS29.16915$pg2.1366748@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132045

<zeek198@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3D4BD72C.6A324683@hotmail.com...
> Hey JJ
>
> I thought if I ran with the bigger antenna's (1000watts) I would get a
better signal
> ...and also recieve better because of the higher watts...when I looked
at the
> antenna's at the local truck stop on the package it tells me the are
rated for (500)
> watts or (1000) watts there are many there with different watts on then
with
> different prices for each.....I am running just a stock radio...(Uniden
pc68xl)
>
>
> thanks
>
> Zeek
>

Actually you are close to right for the wrong reasons. Remember that an efficient radiator is not a broad one. Similarly, a 50 ohm resistor is broad as a barn door but is a really lousy radiator. So the antenna manufacturers find a way to incorporate some resistor in the mix to make it look decent on an SWR bridge. The techies call this 'Q' and develop a religious ritual over it.

The problem is that when fed with some power these resistors tend to get really warm and go poof and let out the magic smoke. The usual approach is to wind the loading coil with real small wire. So by increasing the wire size you do increase the heat capacity and in the bargain get a little better radiation but a little worse bandwidth. Some of the loading coils on competition (?) antennas look like the final tank coil from WLW but aren't much wider than Channel 6.

The people who really have this problem is the Government spooks using FHSS (frequency hopping spread spectrum, originally patented by Hedy LaMarr BTW) who are in no position to wait for a tuner to get it right so they settle for what amounts to a big folded dipole with a monumental carbon resistor opposite the feedpoint. Broad as a city bus with a 10-15% radiation efficiency at best. So they just run more power. If you walk down embassy row in DC you will see these and what are called log-periodic arrays that look like a big hairbrush and cost somewhere around $5-10k a copy spewing rf back to the motherland.

Like most things in life there ain't no magic and no free lunch.

Dick N&#65533;BK

3017. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 15:12:54 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <WpS29.16926$pg2.1366200@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132046

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3D4BE120.4AD11503@qnet.com...
>
> Hello Dick:
>
> Ok the BBQ was a smashing success. Had piped in and out side Music,
> mostly Country and Western, and Oldie but Goodies type music. But after
> the BBQ Steak and Chicken, Corn on the cob, Mexican Ordevers, chips and
> dip, and of course some blue stuff that was out of this world, that I
> used my fingers to get the last morcells, dinner had a little 70's Disco
> music, had a blast!
>
> Most everyone was gussied up pretty nice, but that didn't stop the
> guests from having to have a Twilight Dessert motor cycle run. The Hosts
> house is on the fringes of the desert, so most everyone had to have dirt
> bike run. I'll bring my Yamaha 250 next time, U bet! That thing has more
> horse power that the rider has IQ, its a blast! The Tow Trucks where
> mostly parked out back.
>
> We even replaced a old Coax having a 1978 date code on it with new
> LMR400, wow what a difference good Coax makes. I didn't climb the 60
> foot tower, the Host did, I think thats in the Etiquette Rule Book,
> under antenna repair and upkeep. I did bring my trusty 100 watt
> soldering Iron with the Bitchen machined round inside curve on the tip,
> for soldering PL-259 connectors, oh yeah this is a snap. But should have
> brought some solder. A emerengcy inspection of underneath everyones car
> seat and inside the trunks yielded a short length of solder, that did
> the job. Theres something to say for the good silver plated PL-259
> connectors. Probably even found a few empties there also.
>
> Ok I tried that technique, whats next? She may have the walking birth
> defect thing down Pat.
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>

Hey, you are male; you are a birth defect and the biologists agree with
them. The dear ladies are a lot more realistic about these things and a
lot more in control than we like to believe.

Enjoy life while she goes thru the list of 'Birth Defects I Have Known'
and figures out where you fit.

3018. Re: boost up modulation Tagra Pasific 3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 15:13:46 UTC
From: tonNo <tonno99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <KqS29.100158$Ma.10558072@amsnews02.chello.com>
Source record: 132047

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> schreef in bericht
news:c5ecbb69.0208030411.36a0af4a@posting.google.com...
> "tonNo" <tonno99@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<Arw29.91036$Ma.9580246@amsnews02.chello.com>...
> > Hi,
> >
> > does anybody know how to boost up the modulation from my cb-kit "Tagra
> > Pasific III"?
> >
> > thanks in advance
> >
> > Ton
>
> Best way to do so without splattering all over is: Talk closer to the mic!

Thanks for your reaction but i already do. If i use mij standaard mike i
have a very dull modulation, not a clearone.
When i use my Turner plus three-mike everything goes well, but he picks up
to much from the background and that can be very annoying in my
situation(yelling from children to my wife or vica versa;-).

3019. Re: Cows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 15:20:52 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <oxS29.9083$yc3.390736@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132048

Hey Ice Cold Long time no see ...

<chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3d4be536.66587538@news.optonline.net...
> On 25 Jul 2002 16:10:36 -0700, ...

3020. Re: boost up modulation Tagra Pasific 3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 15:38:57 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4BF914.AF318A31@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132049

tonNo wrote:
>
> > Best way to do so without splattering all over is: Talk closer to the mic!
>
> Thanks for your reaction but i already do. If i use mij standaard mike i
> have a very dull modulation, not a clearone.
> When i use my Turner plus three-mike everything goes well, but he picks up
> to much from the background and that can be very annoying in my
> situation(yelling from children to my wife or vica versa;-).

I used to use a D104 handheld, with the volume barely cracked open,
and I would speak right into it. This would give crisp, clear audio
with a little bass response. I now use an unmodified PC122 with a
stock mic. If your stock mic is muddy, try a different one. I have
swapped the elements in stock mics to change the sound. Also,
different radios have a different audio response. A mic will sound
different on another radio.

3021. MORON!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 16:01:24 UTC
From: John Medica - Listening-Post <medica@cyberspace.org>
Message-ID: <3D4BFE54.86C2C71E@cyberspace.org>
Source record: 132053

crossfire wrote:

> Pyramid ; JUNK JUNK JUNK JUNK.
> Have You ever heard the saying " You pay peanuts You get the Monkey "? Well
> this applies to the pyramid power supply's . If You want a good power supply
> for Your need's get a radio shack or Astron or even a tripplite .

Radio shack sucks the big one! GET REAL MORON!

> Radio
> shack will probably be the least expensive and hold up well But what ever
> You do DON'T buy a Pyramid Or You will get a Monkey with high sex drive
> "BobC" <123@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ukj8h5h8lj4s0d@corp.supernews.com..

DON' T FEED THE TROLL!!

3022. Re: Pyramid Power Supplies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 16:11:30 UTC
From: Darrin <darrin99@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <asvnkucvsou08qo0buetrlu0s57rdglj1h@4ax.com>
Source record: 132050

On Sat, 3 Aug 2002 07:49:14 -0500, "crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote:

>Pyramid ; JUNK JUNK JUNK JUNK.
>Have You ever heard the saying " You pay peanuts You get the Monkey "? Well
>this applies to the pyramid power supply's . If You want a good power supply
>for Your need's get a radio shack or Astron or even a tripplite . Radio
>shack will probably be the least expensive and hold up well But what ever
>You do DON'T buy a Pyramid Or You will get a Monkey with high sex drive

I have two Pyramids. A PS-14KX for my UHF/VHF radios, and a PS-26KX
for my ICOM-756. They get heavy use, and work fine for me. The only
complaint is the voltage meter isn't too accurate on the PS-26.
Considering that I bought these new on eBay and paid less than half
of what the others cost, I'm more than happy with them.

3023. Re: boost up modulation Tagra Pasific 3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 16:14:47 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020803121447.02908.00001196@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 132051

all turners are like that. you turn the gain way down and talk about 2-3 inches away from it and youll sound perfectly clear with no background noise.

>
>Thanks for your reaction but i already do. If i use mij standaard mike i
>have a very dull modulation, not a clearone.
>When i use my Turner plus three-mike everything goes well, but he picks up
>to much from the background and that can be very annoying in my
>situation(yelling from children to my wife or vica versa;-).
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3024. Re: range of `non-communist' cb frequences?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 16:44:48 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D4C0880.3010406@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132052

Obviously "yeti" has never had to deliver a hot load anywhere in his life..

yes... I know that can be taken multiple ways... I'll leave the meaning up
for you to decide.

-SSB

yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:

> That's a pretty stupid thing to do, driving in those conditions! Yeah,
> we get plenty of fog here too, as well as white-out conditions in the
> winter. Here's a hint: slow down and keep edging to the right -- you
> will find the shoulder eventually. If you think your vehicle might get
> hit then get out of the vehicle and stand a safe distance away. Better
> yet, make your way to the nearest exit or crossroad and wait. Even
> better, when you know a road is fogged in, find another route. But
> driving in those conditions is absolutely ignorant, and you know why:
> several times a year there are big pileups on the freeways just
> because people don't have enough common sense to drive according to
> the conditions. People die in those pileups, and I'll bet you that
> most if not all of those pileups included truckers with CB radios. If
> you think CB radio saves lives because it lets you plow through the
> fog then you are dumber than dumb!
>
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3025. Re: MORON!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 16:58:26 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4C0BB5.ECA569E6@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132056

John Medica - Listening-Post wrote:
>
> Radio shack sucks the big one! GET REAL MORON!

One thing I've found out about RS products, they are
adequate, but not exceptional. I've got RS stuff here
that has outlived it's usefulness, but still work great.

My Realistic dual cassette tape deck still works. My Teac,
(which sounded better) died a long time ago. The speed
changes after a few minutes. Anybody got schematics?
The motors always run when it's on. My brother left it on
for a week. That must have fried the speed chip or regulator.

3026. Re: New State-of-the-art CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 17:00:21 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4C0C29.6CCFEC1E@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132057

Coming via e-mail from upplus.

SideBand wrote:
>
> COuld someone repost the pic? I missed it.. ::smirk::

3027. Re: Landshark: Dumb As A Post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 17:03:49 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D4C0CF5.2000903@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132054

Would that be one of your posts, perhaps?

-SSB

Aaron H. Voobner wrote:

> Landshark wrote:
> "Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0207311811.72e0f3fc@posting.google.com...
>
>>>>They didn't get cancelled, the mailboxes mysteriously got onto
>>>>dozens of mailing lists (likely by some illegal CB enthusiast
>>>>
>>>>from the NG).
>>>
>>>>Maybe it was you, Landshark.
>>>>
>>>Yeah, sure
>>>
>>>
>>Again, trying to advert attention away from why you don't
>>post from the other 8 accounts.
>>
>
> Whew. If you are so convinced that my other accounts got terminated
> then go ahead, terminate this one.
>
> -A
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3028. Re: New State-of-the-art CB Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 17:05:32 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D4C0D5C.2090003@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132055
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

COuld someone repost the pic? I missed it.. ::smirk::

-SSB

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
>
>>>I thought it was a pretty good picture, just the type of radio
>>>
>>that fits the typical cber.
>>
>>
> You think so?
>
> Is that what the typical CBer has?
>
> Troll on.
>
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3029. Re: 28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 17:10:32 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D4C0E88.9010006@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132058

Hrm... Forgery?

Kenneth wrote:

> I have notice that 28.085 is becoming crowded. Usually it is just my fellow
> truckers jawin and I can usually find a clear channel on the f band (6 band
> radio). The most annoying are these idiots playing with there roger beeps
> above 28mhz! Whats that about? they don't even talk just beep bepbepbep beep
> its annoying. Also I think its at 28.200mhz someone had been dead keying his
> radio for about a year straight! I guess I'l have to upgrade to either an 8
> band radio eventually they way our great hobby has been expanding. To all
> you Hams I'd like to thank you for being gracious enough to leave this slice
> fallow for us cber's:)
> Sincerly
> Ken
>
>
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3030. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 17:18:11 UTC
From: radiosam@wongfaye.com
Message-ID: <823e3267.0208030918.77e4bed9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132059

Tim,

Your an idiot. But I have to admit that you have an interesting porn
home page. Obviously you like tits and asses, but you know nothing at
all about ham radio. Better keep your hands on your pecker (like that
one picture on your web site) and off of the microphone.

RadioSam

timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote in message
news:<20020802172543.19497.00001232@mb-mc.aol.com>...
> you've never been on HF ham radio have you???
>
> >I have to strongly disagree that ham radio is going down the road that CB
> >is.. whatever road that is.
> >Ever monitor Ch 19 lately?
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

3031. Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 17:42:56 UTC
From: Scott <scott@ovis.net>
Message-ID: <ACU29.137714$uh7.21934@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 132060

If I post questions about amps are you people in the group going to flame me
about how it is illegal to use an amp on 11 meters?
I am one of those so called truck drivers that is tired of people walking
all over my little radio!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Scott

3032. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 17:54:28 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4C18D8.3249100@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132061

The answer is to use your amp on low so it sounds clean and
doesn't splatter. Make sure to use an amp with bias. Comp
boxes have no bias and operate in class C. They will not be
clean. Do it right the first time, get a Texas Star 250 or 500.

Scott wrote:
>
> If I post questions about amps are you people in the group going to flame me
> about how it is illegal to use an amp on 11 meters?
> I am one of those so called truck drivers that is tired of people walking
> all over my little radio!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Scott

3033. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 18:49:35 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020803144935.00717.00001128@mb-mi.aol.com>
Source record: 132062

get a texas star 500 to go with your galaxy

> Do it right the first time, get a Texas Star 250 or 500.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3034. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 19:15:16 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4C2BC8.D102953F@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132064

"www.TimNebo.com" wrote:
>
> get a texas star 500 to go with your galaxy
>
> > Do it right the first time, get a Texas Star 250 or 500.

I talk just as far with my 2 pill as I did with my 4 pill 667V.

The 250 runs cooler and draws less juice. Just don't run more
then a 50 watt dead key from it, you'll sound great. BTW, one
minute of AM makes it hotter then 5 minutes of sideband. I don't
need a fan for SSB, but aim a fan at it for AM use.

3035. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 19:19:30 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <61W29.17227$pg2.1391392@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132063

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D4C18D8.3249100@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> The answer is to use your amp on low so it sounds clean and
> doesn't splatter. Make sure to use an amp with bias. Comp
> boxes have no bias and operate in class C. They will not be
> clean. Do it right the first time, get a Texas Star 250 or 500.
>
> Scott wrote:
> >
> > If I post questions about amps are you people in the group going to
flame me
> > about how it is illegal to use an amp on 11 meters?
> > I am one of those so called truck drivers that is tired of people
walking
> > all over my little radio!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > Scott

Scott69 is giving it to you straight, Scott. An AM linear is really a pretty touchy thing to build but there are some real blacksmiths in the biz. It takes some restraint on the part of both user and builder to get it right.

First off, because of the steady carrier an AM amp gets really warm so pushing it to the last inch just is not really bright. The second thing is that AM has FOUR times the peak power as it does with no audio so the amp does have to have some headroom to reproduce your sterling tones accurately and not sound like dogshit. If you push too hard for power you actually lose modulation percentage as well as sounding crappy.

Now you are going to be sold everything from 'peak and tune' service to 'swing kits' to who the hell knows. Keep the wallet in your jeans. When you start watching the bouncing meter and your head starts going up and down, padlock it to your shorts. There are some real bears in the CB woods. Be particularly wary of the swang artists; next time you hear one note that they sound louder but really do not compete all that well with the background noise. That is because what they do has zero to do with modulation and everything to do with faking out your receiver for an instant. These guys have more superstitions than a tent show revival to take your spare change. Keep in mind you came to communicate, not make meters wiggle, and you will generally come out ahead.

Scott69 alluded to Class C and bias. To accurately reproduce a signal, and that is in fact what linear means, a transistor must draw current over at least half of the cycle -- either the positive or negative side it

makes no diff. A transistor will not naturally do that by itself and requires a small kick in the butt to get it set up to do so, bias if you will. But this adds cost and complicates the set up so the blacksmiths tend to leave it out with less than stupendous results. In general, if it will not run SSB it won't run AM worth a damn either. In Europe where FM is the rule the amp need not be linear but you aint trucking down the M6. A lot of blacksmiths get their designs from VHF FM amps and scale them; Class C means that they do not draw on half the cycle.

Yes there are those here who attack amps like Carrie Nation attacked saloons. I do think you will be underwhelmed by what going from 5 to 200 really will do for you but it is your life. But if you must, do as U69 suggests and get a decent one and operate it like you had a functioning brain to go with the functioning testicles.

Dick NBK

3036. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 19:35:13 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208031135.727b93f2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132065

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<BPG29.35372$6J1.103337211@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>...
> ROTFLMFAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> Oh man Richard that's to funny.....................
>
> Landshark

Congratulations, Dick. You've won the retard vote.

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>I suggest you call your buddies at the FCC and ask for clarification
>of the Notice. BTW, did you ever call their Interference Complaint
>Line and get their message?

I suggest you actually read the information before you begin making up
lame excuses:

SCRIPT FOR F.C.C. CALL CENTER

1. C.B. LINEAR POWER AMPLIFIERS

Q. What is a "Linear Amplifier"?
A. This is a radio frequency (RF) power amplifier which increases the
output level of a 27 MHz C.B. radio transmitter above the level which
is permitted in the FCC rules.

Q. How much power are C.B. transmitters allowed to use?
A. Section 95.410 of the rules limits the output power of a C.B.
radio which is using Amplitude Modulation (AM) to a maximum power of 4
Watts. If the transmitter is using Single-Sideband, the maximum
permissible power is 12 Watts "Peak Envelope Power" (PEP).

Q. Is the use of a linear amplifier at a C.B. radio station legal?
A. No. Section 95.411 prohibits the use of any kind of power
amplifier at a C.B. radio station.

Q. Why do some C.B.'ers use power amplifiers?
A. Some C.B. radio operators use power amplifiers in order to
increase the range of their C.B. radio transmissions, or to over-ride
the signals from other C.B. stations on the same radio channel, thus
being able to monopolize the channel, in violation of Section
95.407(e) of the rules.

Q. Why is it illegal to use a power amplifier on my C.B. radio?
A. The C.B. Radio Service is intended as a strictly local
communications service, not as a substitute for the Amateur Radio
Service for communicating with stations hundreds, or thousands, of

miles away. So using more power than the rules permit serves no legitimate purpose. Since all 40 of the C.B. radio channels must be shared by all users (no user may "own" a particular channel), the use of excess power can have a detrimental effect on other users of the same, and adjacent, channels.
In addition, the use of excess power greatly increases the possibility for creating interference to neighboring consumer electronic devices such as radio and television receivers, hi-fi and stereo audio systems and telephones.

Q. Are there other names for these devices?
A. Yes. Linear Power amplifiers are also called "Linears", "Power Amps", "Heat", "Foot-warmers", "bi-linears", etc. Some transistorized models are referred to by the number of "Pills" in the unit (a "Pill" is a way of referring to the transistors, so-called because the transistors resemble an over-sized Asperin tablet).

Q. How much power do these amplifiers produce?
A. Typical amplifiers produce from 50 to 200 Watts of power. However, some manufacturers sell units which are capable of producing thousands of Watts of power.

Q. Are there any types of legal linear amplifiers?
A. Yes. Amplifiers which are manufactured and intended for use by licensed amateur radio stations, and certain other licensed radio services, may be legally manufactured and sold. However, there are certain limitations which these devices must adhere to. One of these limitations is that such amplifiers must not be capable of operating in the C.B. band.

Q. What are the limitations of legal amateur radio linear amplifiers?
A. Power amplifiers intended for use at licensed amateur radio stations must comply with the provisions of Sections 97.315 and 97.317 of the F.C.C. rules. Among other things, they must be approved by the F.C.C. In addition, any amplifiers intended for use on any amateur frequencies below 144 MHz shall exhibit no amplification capability on frequencies between 26 and 28 MHz.

Q. How do I know if an amplifier is legal or not?
A. All legal amplifiers must be issued an F.C.C. grant of equipment certification. Every such approved amplifier must have a sticker indicating the name of the manufacturer and the F.C.C. Identification number (FCC ID). This FCC ID number can be verified by checking the F.C.C./OET Equipment Authorization database on the F.C.C.'s Web Page. If an amplifier does not have such an FCC ID, it is not a legal amplifier.
The F.C.C. Laboratory can provide a list of manufacturers who have been granted equipment authorizations for their approved linear power amplifiers.
The F.C.C. Laboratory can also provide (an incomplete) list of known illegal amplifiers. This list keeps growing as additional makes and models become known to us.

Q. I have an F.C.C.-approved amateur radio linear amplifier. Is it OK for me to modify it to operate on the C.B. frequencies?
A. No.

Q. Can I sell my linear amplifier?
A. Only if it is an F.C.C.-approved amplifier. The importation, manufacturing, marketing, use or possession of non-approved amplifiers is illegal.

Q. If I can not sell it my illegal amplifier, what can I do with it?
A. Destroy it.

Q. I see linear amplifiers offered for sale on Internet sales sites. Is this legal?
A. Only if they are F.C.C.-approved amplifiers. F.C.C.-approved amateur radio amplifiers which have not been altered in any manner so as to operate on the C.B. radio frequencies, may legally be sold to other amateur radio licensees. The sale of any type of non-approved C.B. amplifier within the United States, under any form of disguise, to anybody, is illegal.

Q. I tried to sell my linear amplifier on an Internet sale site, but it was rejected by the administrator of the sale site. Is there anything I can do to prevent them from pulling my amplifier from their sales?
A. The F.C.C. has no direct control over Internet sales sites. The sale site administrators develop their own policies regarding the sale of potentially illegal devices or equipment. If the sale site administrator asks the F.C.C. about the legality of a particular type of device, we will explain our rules and policies. However, it is up to the sale site administrator to make the final decision as to whether to accept or reject any particular device for sale.

Q. I see various manufacturers and vendors advertising C.B. power amplifiers on their Web sites. Is this legal?
A. No. The importation, manufacture, sale or offer for sale, of non-approved amplifiers is illegal.

2. NON-APPROVED C.B. RADIOS

Q. What is a legal C.B. radio?
A. All radio transmitters and receivers which are intended to operate on the 27 MHz Citizen's Band (C.B.) channels must be approved by the F.C.C. before they can be imported, advertised, sold or used. The manufacturer must be issued an F.C.C. grant of equipment authorization, called "certification", as indicated by an FCC ID on each unit. If the radio has a label showing an FCC ID, and the ID can be verified by checking the Equipment Authorization database on the F.C.C./OET Web page, then the radio is legal.

Q. What kind of radios are illegal to use on the C.B. radio channels?
A. Any radio transmitter, receiver, or transceiver which is designed by the manufacturer to operate on the C.B. radio channels, but which

has not been granted an F.C.C. equipment authorization is illegal. These non-approved radios may appear in several different forms:
1. "10-Meter Amateur" radios, which have been designed so as to be readily alterable to operate on the C.B. radio channels, and/or on other non-amateur frequencies in the frequency range from about 24 to about 32 MHz;
2. "Export" or "full channel" radios, which are designed to operate over all, or a portion of, the radio spectrum from about 24 to about 32 MHz, including the 27 MHz C.B. radio channels; or
3. F.C.C.-approved C.B. radios which are designed so as to be readily alterable to operate on frequencies in the range from about 24 to about 32 MHz, which are not assigned to the 27 MHz C.B. Radio Service.

Q. What do you mean by "readily alterable"?
A. This means that the user of the radio can add, or change, its operating frequencies by simply flipping an internal switch, by clipping the leads of, or installing, a simple component such as a diode, resistor or jumper wire, by installing, moving, or removing, a jumper plug, by connecting or disconnecting a connector, by replacing a plug-in semiconductor chip, or by programming a semiconductor chip using special access codes or an external device, such as a personal computer.

Q. What are these other frequencies between 24 and 32 MHz used for?
A. These frequencies are assigned to many different licensed radio services, both in the United States, as well as in other countries. Authorized users include Maritime and Aeronautical radio stations, standard time and frequency stations, international broadcasting stations, amateur radio, and government stations. A portion of this spectrum is also allocated to radioastronomy, where scientists are attempting to listen to very weak radio signals coming from distant stars and galaxies. No radio transmitters of any kind are permitted within these radioastronomy bands, so any earth-bound transmitted signals can completely disrupt radioastronomy observations.

Q. I don't hear any other stations on "my" frequency, which is not one of the 40 allocated C.B. radio channels. So what is wrong with operating my radio station here?
A. You may unknowingly cause interference to legitimate communications. Many of these frequencies may appear, to the casual listener, to be unused. Some of the authorized users may be using emission types which can not be plainly detected. Or, their transmissions may be intermittent. You will not hear any legitimate signals within the designated radioastronomy bands. However, just because a frequency sounds clear, that does not mean that it can be used without proper authorization.

Q. Why do some people operate illegally on these frequencies?
A. Many of these frequencies may appear to be "free" of any legitimate users, so some people think they are "free for the taking".

The illegal radio operators are commonly referred to as "freebanders", and use their radios as a form of hobby, similar to Amateur Radio. But for many reasons, these people choose not to obtain an amateur radio license. They operate on these frequencies because under certain weather and radio propagation conditions, their signals can extend all the way around the world, enabling them to communicate with other similar unlicensed stations.

Q. What can happen if the F.C.C. catches me operating on an unauthorized frequency?
A. You are subject to severe penalties, including monetary forfeitures, confiscation of your radio equipment, and possibly even jail time.

Q. I've heard that some of the "big name" amateur radio equipment can also be altered to operate on non-amateur frequencies, including the C.B. radio channels. So why are these radios not considered to be "non-approved C.B. equipment"?
A. First, it must be emphasized that use of this type of amateur equipment on unauthorized frequencies, including using it on the C.B. radio channels or other non-amateur frequencies in the 24 to 32 MHz range, is illegal, and doing so will subject the user to the same penalties as mentioned above.
That being said, there are legitimate reasons for some amateur equipment to be used on certain non-amateur frequencies, such as on military M.A.R.S. (Military Affiliate Radio System) and C.A.P. (Civil Air Patrol) frequencies. MARS and CAP radio stations are only authorized to operate on specific assigned (non-amateur) frequencies. With just a few exceptions, most of the assigned MARS and CAP frequencies do not fall within the 24 to 32 MHz frequency range. Although some models of amateur equipment (manufactured by companies such as Alinco, Icom, Kenwood, Ten-Tec, Yaesu, etc.) may be capable of operating on the C.B. radio channels, they do not display the assigned C.B. channel number, nor are they designed to deliberately facilitate operation on the C.B. channels.

3037. Re: Restart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 19:39:42 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208031139.660b1afb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132066

Peter Roovers <Roovers.P@leavemealone.com> wrote in message
news:<34tmkug8jmdjractod6pe6o4d1es5qvu4g@4ax.com>...
> On Fri, 2 Aug 2002 20:19:20 -0400, "^Hollywood^"
> <jwood0975@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> > I am sure you already know who the idiots are.
>
> Oh yeah. That took me all of two minutes. What's strange though is
> this. I'm an avid cyclist, and there's a NG i frequent. There's been a
> troll in theis NG for many years, always posting about how we damage
> trails, access forests etc. Bizarly, these posts are just the same.
> The subject's different, but the semantics, the ranting, the
> compaining about belligerant replies, are word for word the same.

Really? I can't believe there is ANOTHER ng where people express
contempt for the rights of others, diss the government, mangle the
Constitution, and urge others to break the law (i.e. "bad citizens
have more fun because are more free"). This I gotta see....

-A

3038. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 19:43:41 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D4C326D.14333590@qnet.com>
Source record: 132067

Hello Dick:

YEAH! thats what I tough.......

Even after my third and fourth attempt to win her ways, I realized that I am a Bitchen guy. It doesn't matter when I fart! Just so that I feel better! It doesn't matter how many beers ya all have as along as the designated driver only has 8 drinks. It doesn't matter that that ya all ride motor cycles and shoot guns in the house, it matters that ya is happy, Ten-4.

Birth defects, the only birth defects is my safe or no sex personality!!!

I feel much better now! I am glad we had this little chat. I crack myself up!

Jay in the Mojave

Richard McCollum wrote:

> Hey, you are male; you are a birth defect and the biologists agree with
> them. The dear ladies are a lot more realistic about these things and a
> lot more in control than we like to believe.
>
> Enjoy life while she goes thru the list of 'Birth Defects I Have Known'
> and figures out where you fit.

3039. Storm Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 19:52:12 UTC
From: bigslugdogs@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17059-3D4C346C-628@storefull-2374.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132069

Does anyone have experience with the "Flatline" model?
How does it compare to the Wilson 2000, for example?
Any comments appreciated!

T. Delisle

3040. Re: Pyramid Power Supplies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 20:11:02 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns925F863C3E863subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 132068

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in
news:3d48fc9a.3896379@news.cet.com:

> In <Xns925D104AA4C31subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>, "Alan S."
> <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Gentlemen,
>>
>>I've noticed that Pyramid power supplies can be had for a reasonable
>>price (compared to say, an Astron). I've read varying opinions on the
>>quality of these units. There seems to be a question as to just how
>>long a 20A Astron (for example) will perform under a full 20A load. So
>>I wonder, how would a CB that will probably never pull more than 3A or
>>so perform?
>
> No different than if you ran it off a power supply rated for 3 Amps.
>
>>Note that I don't like to skimp on quality, however I don't like to
>>aquire equipment that is over built for my needs (mostly CB related).
>>I mean, how ripple free does the voltage REALLY have to be? And if the
>>voltage drops to say, 13.7v, how serious of a problem is that? Ideally
>>we want absolute perfection, but in reality, I'm not sure I need to
>>fork over 300 clams for a power supply.
>
> No, you don't need to spend gobs of money. I have a couple of RS's 12
> volt regulated power supplies (13.8 volts nominal) and I have never
> had a problem running any CB from them (except for that Johnson
> Messenger II -- a tube-type CB). If a power supply is well-regulated,
> then the voltage isn't going to change more than a few millivolts from
> no-load to full-load, and with almost no ripple. Since your CB is
> going to be pulling 3 Amps only during transmit, you can even get by
> with a power supply that is rated for a lower continuous current, but
> it must be rated for at least 3 Amps intermittent duty. The duty cycle
> is usually determined by the heat dissipation of the regulator, not by
> the amount of voltage drop or ripple.
>
> Even if you want to buy a 20 Amp or larger supply, watch what you buy,
> because a lot of these "regulated" power supplies have very poor
> regulation. Many of them are just a few parallel 2N3055's (or other
> power transistors) controlled by a zener, which has no better
> regulation than a wall-wart.
>

I should have clarified. I know that the radio is only going to draw as
much current as it requires, and having more current available won't make

it perform any better. It seems to me (and I could be wrong) that the problem with inexpensive power supplies is an inflating amperage rating by the manufacturer. You buy one rated 10A Continuous, draw 10A continuously, and the supply eventually fails (or the voltage drops, or whatever). To combat this problem and save a few bucks, I figured that while an inexpensive supply rated at 20A continuous may not actually supply 20A indefinetly, surely it will have enough current available to power my lowly CB - and without working the supply too hard. =)

As to voltage drop and ripple, I wanted to know how much was permissible because I will be servicing CB trancievers eventually (even if they are just mine). I know that incorrect supply voltage will cause me grief while testing circuits, but I don't know how much deviation from ideal is too much.

To summarize, my question could have been stated as "What types of tolerances are permissible in a supply suitable for CB radio service on the bench?" After reading the posts, I think I'm safe with a Radio Shack unit or similar - at least for now.

3041. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 20:22:55 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <zYW29.56727$eG2.1745212@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132070

Well Richard, you have done just about everything, including telling the guy to use an amp illegally on 11m. At this point you may just as well build the guy one, put a few bucks in your pocket, and put a smile on Pirate Tim's face.

The best thing you could have done is kept your mouth shut at least, and let him buy a cheap Class "C" splatter box. The more noise it makes the quicker he gets busted when the local neighbors complain to the FCC.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:61W29.17227$pg2.1391392@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D4C18D8.3249100@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > The answer is to use your amp on low so it sounds clean and
> > doesn't splatter. Make sure to use an amp with bias. Comp
> > boxes have no bias and operate in class C. They will not be
> > clean. Do it right the first time, get a Texas Star 250 or 500.
> >
> > Scott wrote:
> > >
> > > If I post questions about amps are you people in the group going to
> flame me
> > > about how it is illegal to use an amp on 11 meters?
> > > I am one of those so called truck drivers that is tired of people
> walking
> > > all over my little radio!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > Scott
>
> Scott69 is giving it to you straight, Scott. An AM linear is really a
> pretty touchy thing to build but there are some real blacksmiths in the
> biz. It takes some restraint on the part of both user and builder to get
> it right.

>
> First off, because of the steady carrier an AM amp gets really warm so
> pushing it to the last inch just is not really bright. The second thing
> is that AM has FOUR times the peak power as it does with no audio so the
> amp does have to have some headroom to reproduce your sterling tones
> accurately and not sound like dogshit. If you push too hard for power you
> actually lose modulation percentage as well as sounding crappy.
>
> Now you are going to be sold everything from 'peak and tune' service to
> 'swing kits' to who the hell knows. Keep the wallet in your jeans. When
> you start watching the bouncing meter and your head starts going up and
> down, padlock it to your shorts. There are some real bears in the CB
> woods. Be particularly wary of the swang artists; next time you hear one
> note that they sound louder but really do not compete all that well with
> the background noise. That is because what they do has zero to do with
> modulation and everything to do with faking out your receiver for an
> instant. These guys have more superstitions than a tent show revival to
> take your spare change. Keep in mind you came to communicate, not make
> meters wiggle, and you will generally come out ahead.
>
> Scott69 alluded to Class C and bias. To accurately reproduce a signal,
> and that is in fact what linear means, a transistor must draw current over
> at least half of the cycle -- either the positive or negative side it
> makes no diff. A transistor will not naturally do that by itself and
> requires a small kick in the butt to get it set up to do so, bias if you
> will. But this adds cost and complicates the set up so the blacksmiths
> tend to leave it out with less than stupendous results. In general, if it
> will not run SSB it won't run AM worth a damn either. In Europe where FM
> is the rule the amp need not be linear but you aint trucking down the M6.
> A lot of blacksmiths get their designs from VHF FM amps and scale them;
> Class C means that they do not draw on half the cycle.
>
> Yes there are those here who attack amps like Carrie Nation attacked
> saloons. I do think you will be underwhelmed by what going from 5 to 200
> really will do for you but it is your life. But if you must, do as U69
> suggests and get a decent one and operate it like you had a functioning
> brain to go with the functioning testicles.
>
> Dick NØBK
>
>

3042. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 20:27:31 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020803162731.06232.00001370@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 132071

this shows clearly that all you care about is giving cb a bad name, cb'ers grief, and not cleaning up the airwaves. a real ham should help anybody get a better cleaner station no matter what band

>
>The best thing you could have done is kept your mouth shut at least, and =
>let him buy a cheap Class "C" splatter box. The more noise it makes the =
>quicker he gets busted when the local neighbors complain to the FCC.=20

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3043. Re: OT: Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 21:20:31 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns925F9202E487Csubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 132073

Scott Sixnine <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in
news:3D4B0632.6E1AA97C@yaSPAMhoo.com:

>
>
> Richard Cranium wrote:
>
>>
>> I can't guess why your K6-300 Blue-screened so much (insufficient
>> ventilation is the first guess - those things run very hot), but
>> almost any OS from Win98 on up can be quite stable if it's set up
>> correctly. I can't remember the last time any of my computers (all
>> running 98SE) gave me the BSOD.
>
>
> All my systems are Intel processors & Intel chipsets. Windows works
> with Intel stuff a lot better then with other chipsets & uP's.
>
> Never have the strange lockups like one has with AMD, VIA, Rockwell
> modems, or off the wall modems or sound cards. Add to the reliability
> list, USRobotics (3COM), and Sound Blaster sound cards. You are on
> your own as to video cards and all the strange revisions of offending
> driver software that come with them and on the Mfg.'s website.
>
> The hardest machines to work on are the cheap ones that folks
> skimped a few $$ on and used the cheapest parts available.
>
> I've installed 4 or 5 modems in one friend's machine. I told him
> for years to buy a USR external modem. He's in a high RF area from
> a 50KW AM station that's been there since around 1920. The cheapy
> modems last about 6 months, then start to get garbage and retrain
> every 30 seconds. Got a USR internal on special at Office Depot,
> and with the rebate and coupon, it cost $5. I haven't heard a peep
> from him yet! I also escort friends to the computer show so they
> won't get burned with cheap shit 'puters.
>
> Question for the group:
> How many 16 bit expansion slots are in an XT?
> (You won't find this in your A+ study guide. That test is a joke, like
> a ham exam.)
>

Hey, I like this question.

I've held my A+ for a while now. I needed it when I worked in the service department as a technician for a local systems integrator. I had already been working as a tech for other companies for a few years, but the company mandated that all of their techs be A+ certified. Fine. I immediately went down to my local Prometric testing center and took the tests. Passed both in 7 minutes. Yes, the test is a bit of a joke, it proves little. Still, I occasionally encounter somebody who maintains that the test is easy, proves nothing and therefore is a waste of time. Fine, I agree. In one case a kid with a bit of a chip on his shoulder was spouing the above mentioned rationale for his not taking the test. I was trying to prove a point (namely, that he didn't know everrything and to quit being so cocky) so I offered to make him an appointment to take the test, all expenses paid. He declined. I felt I made my point.

I haven't done tech-type work in a while, as I am happily employed as a network engineer. I leave the workstation prolems to my customer's help desk personell, so that I can concentrate on other issues. What a joy! =) Also, everybody and their mother knows a little something about computers. It seems that everybody has a family member, or neighbor, or whoever that knows enough about computers to fix whatever problems they have. There really isn't any money in servicing PC's any more, so I don't waste my time doing it. My normal rate is $135/hr. My larger customers are more than happy to pay this for network design, implementation and maintainence. Asking Suzy homemaker to cough that up for fix her AOL problem is silly. Still, I fix computer problems for close friends and family, no charge. It keeps my skills honed, it's fun (which is why I got into computers in the first place) and it saves them some money. Since I love my friends and family, I would hate to see them pay good money for some know-it-all kid who doesn't know what he's doing.

To answer your question, my first computer was an IBM XT. 8088 @ 4.77 MHz and 256KB of RAM. I upgraded to 640KB of ram via 8-bit ISA expansion card. The 8088 processor has an address bus only wide enough to address 1MB of memory. 384K of it was used for BIOS, leaving the remaining 640K for everything else. Since the addressing width of the 8088 was a mere 8-bits wide, having 16-bit expansion slots is impossible. So the answer is "0" =) 16-bit ISA slots became available with the introduction of the AT, and 286 processor.

To be fair to you recently A+ certified techs - don't despair. You will probably never have to work on an old IBM XT =)

3044. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 21:23:19 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <bRX29.88885$cm.2896428@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132072

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d475d1a.17630@news.cet.com...
> Well, it won't read frequencies very accurately, that is unless it has
> a built-in frequency counter.

Some of the new digital scopes do well out to 4 or 5 digits. If you need more than that I agree a frequency counter would be preferred.

> And voltage measurements are not too
> accurate in comparison with modern digital voltmeters, mainly because
> you are looking at a trace on CRT superimposed on a grid instead of a
> number with 4 or 5 digit accuracy.

Try finding a digital meter that reads that accurate at RF frequencies! LOL. On many new scopes, both analog and digital, you can find cursor read-outs that takes the guess work out of reading a voltage level on the screen.

> A scope also requires constant
> alignment to maintain the accuracy it has.

So do meters. They have an internal DC reference that can drift and age just like anything you can find in a scope.

> For rough and general
> measurements that's fine, but for the accuracy that's needed with some
> of these modern radios it's just not enough.

Not to pick any bones here but the real question is just how accurate, and precise, do the measurements really need to be. Is 10 percent, 5 percent, 1 percent, or 0.01 percent good enough for the job at hand? In most cases the answer is 1 to 5 percent. Most modern scopes can do this well. For those cases where the above is not enough, again I would agree a dedicated instrument would be better.

>
> >For example a scope connected across a known 50 ohm load, and measuring =
> >the voltage one can simply compute the RMS power output, thus saving the =
> >cost of a wattmeter. A simple two tone generator circuit connected to =
> >the input of a SSB rig, with the above setup, you can calculate PEP =
> >power as well.=20
>
> As I stated before, you don't need a scope to read RF voltages.

No, but finding a good meter that will could be a problem. For example all of the neat looking HP true RMS reading lab grade analog meters you see kicking around many electronic fleamarkets are only good to about 10 MHz. You can't even use them for CB work or much else so I guess that's why you see so many selling so cheaply.

> >For another example some of the newer digital scopes have a built-in FFT =
> >function. This lets you use the scope as a substitute for an expensive =
> >spectrum analyzer.
>
> I may be mistaken because I'm not up-to-date on state-of-the-art, but
> aren't those FFT functions only for low frequencies? You can do that
> with your computer and a sound card.

Yes you can do FFT with the sound card, but the limiting factor is how fast can the sound card digitize the input. Most are limited to about 22KHz Max frequency. The Tek scope, I provided a link to in my last post, can digitize at a rate of 1 billion points per second, at the theoretical limit of at least two points at the highest frequency of interest, that works out to 500 MHz.

>
> >Also simple dedicated meter type instruments can't show you when the =
> >biasing is set correctly for a power stage to eliminate cross over =
> >distortion in a class "B" push-pull audio circuit,
>
> Of course you can. Depending on the circuit, all you need to do is
> measure the quiescent collector (or grid) current.

It can very from device to device. A single fixed value may work for one device, but not for another.

> And with an audio
> distortion analyzer you can check for crossover distortion plus any
> other distortion and/or nonlinearity, and with MUCH more accuracy than
> looking at a trace on a scope.

Well first I'm not convinced that an audio distortion analyzer works that well. Particularly after a friend of mine built a stereo Class "D" switching audio amp, at the 100 watt level, and one of those meters showed zero percent distortion when he ran it at full output. I can also show you a digital meter costing $200, with 0.1 percent RMS accurate reading on it's AC scales, that change their reading by 5 to 10 percent by simply switching the two leads on the test points with a signal at audio frequency on them. A really good electronics' tech will have learned after a lot of years that instruments can give false readings, and can fail in ways that don't make it look all that obvious there is a problem.

> For example, if your distortion level
> suddenly jumps as you reduce the bias, then the bias is too low. Or if
> it jumps while you increase the input then you are clipping. It will
> also tell you something that you can't see on a scope, and that's the
> optimum bias for lowest distortion.

What it can't tell you is what are the distortion products. Some are a lot less annoying than others. A scope, using the FFT function, not only lets you see how much distortion your getting, but also WHERE frequency wise.

> > or when the output RF =
> >stage in a SSB rig is operating class "C" due to a malfunctioning bias =
> >circuit.=20
>

> That's -too- easy: Just reduce the modulation gradually, and if the
> power drops off before your modulation hits zero then you are
> operating class C.

That's assuming the bias does not move around under modulation. That is exactly the problem with some simple diode bias circuits. The transistor can get starved for current under heavy modulation. In fact there was a very long thread on this news group some months back about this subject.

> >You will also need a scope to catch intermittent instabilities in the =
> >PLL reference oscillator, logic glitches in frequency divider chains =
> >etc.=20
>
> True, and that is what I was talking about before. But you don't need
> a super-charged, multi-trace, digital scope to see it, just a good
> trigger.

An regular analog scope may not show you what is happening, even with a good trigger. If for example you get an errand pulse once in a while, at even as low as few hundred Hz, the eye is not fast enough to catch it. What is used in this case is what is called a variable persistence scope. The old analog ones were very expensive, but the feature can be added to a digital scope relatively cheap.

> >While a good dedicated meter type instrument maybe more handy to use, =
> >but if you don't have it on hand then it maybe possable to use a scope =
> >to do the job with a little bit of thinking.
>
> A scope is probably the most versatile instrument in the shop, but
> like I said before, the accuracy isn't quite what you can get from
> dedicated instruments. The cost of dedicated test equipment has
> dropped over the years while the accuracy has increased by magnitudes.
> You can have two or three of just about every other instrument in the
> shop and it still won't cost as much as one of those top-of-the-line
> O-scopes, the best of which are just beginning to approach an accuracy
> that is usable for modern electronics.
>
> >In all of the electronic flea markets I have gone too, the first things =
> >that seem to sell are the good quality high frequency scopes, if they =
> >are priced reasonably. I have seen a lot of the 50 MHz to 100 MHz analog =
> >only scopes sell quick for around $400 to $600.=20
> >
> >A good scope for the money right now seems to be the Tektronix model =
> >TDS-1012. This is a fully digital storage scope, duel trace, with =
> >built-in measurement functions like RMS, Pk to Pk, FFT etc. All for =
> >$1295 for the 100MHz scope, and $995 for the 60 MHz model TDS-1002.
> >
> >http://www.tek.com/Measurement/cgi-bin/framed.pl?Document=3D/Measurement/=
> >scopes/home.html&FrameSet=3Doscilloscopes
>
> For a person just starting out I wouldn't recommend anything over a
> couple hundred bucks for a scope, maybe even less. If he learns how to

> use a scope without all the bells and whistles he will have a much
> better understanding of how it works and how it can be used. Later, if
> he decides to continue with electronics, he will have a better idea of
> just how many buttons and knobs he wants to play with and get the best
> scope for his application.

I would agree here with you. I think he should check with several already established radio repair shops and get a bit of a "hands-on" feel for what he may need.

> It's obvious that we have different opinions about this.

Yea, but it's better than arguing about Part 95 at times. 8-))

> I'm sure he will get many other opinions.

Which is a good thing too.

3045. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 21:51:25 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <xfY29.11886$yc3.596541@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132074

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020802172543.19497.00001232@mb-mc.aol.com...
>>I have to strongly disagree that ham radio is going down the road that CB
>>is.. whatever road that is.
>>Ever monitor Ch 19 lately?

> you've never been on HF ham radio have you???

After driving back from Indiana today, on a work related trip, and monitoring Ch 19, yeah, CB is going down hill fast, not Ham Radio. I had to sit back and laugh after one trucker commented that you can hear all about the mating habits of farm animals at any time of day on CB, but you just can't get a "bear report". Needless to say I switched over to 6m and enjoyed the band opening for the later part of the morning and early afternoon.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"I care about truth not for truth's sake
but for my own."

Samuel Butler

3046. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 22:04:32 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <QrY29.17426$pg2.1405624@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132075

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:zYW29.56727$eG2.1745212@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
Well Richard, you have done just about everything, including telling the guy to use an amp illegally on 11m. At this point you may just as well build the guy one, put a few bucks in your pocket, and put a smile on Pirate Tim's face.

The best thing you could have done is kept your mouth shut at least, and let him buy a cheap Class "C" splatter box. The more noise it makes the quicker he gets busted when the local neighbors complain to the FCC.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

All in your judgment about the probability of his getting busted at all. The thread began with advice to buy a decent amp which Texas Star qualifies as being, barely, but one hell of an improvement on the usual run of CB crap. I am not in the punishment biz, Leland.

What I hope to do is deprive Red the Tech down at Happy Larry's Truck Stop, Funeral Parlor, and Emporium of CB Delights of a bit of ill-gotten revenue by putting out some straight poop on the subject. With any luck it might find its way into the mainstream of discussion long enough to prevent both the traditional limiter-clipped, swing kit, Class C amp rig and save some trucker a few centavos in the process. As I earn my keep these days with a CDL-B I have a soft spot in my head for the guys pushing rigs down the super-slabs in spite of trying to nail them on 28.085 (Channel 19 two-up). Besides, it passes time.

Speaking of which when do you plan to get ticketed for 28.anything??

Might suggest you look up Myers-Briggs Personality Inventory to learn a bit about how humans react to this sort of thing; I am an ENTP where I would suggest you are probably an ESTJ. The battles between NPs and SJs are legendary, Picture Thinking Perceivers vs Line-Thinking Judgers. We are not likely to agree on much of anything in the moral arena or what to do about it if we did.

There are several places where this shows up: no one is particularly happy about abortion but one group is out setting rules and punishments where the other group recognizes this has gone on for 7,000 years or so in spite of rules and gets to be more interested in keeping hemmoraging ladies out of ERs. Opiate addiction is not new and where some are out mopping up low-level dealers others are training roommates etc in how to handle ODs. Actually neither group is capable of making much of a dent but would

rather spend more time pissing on each other than working together -- much like what is happening here.

In the long run of things, the BBC has a lot more credibility than VOA and it is issues like this that explain why.

Dick N&#65533;BK

3047. FS: D&A Hawk " Driver - Modulator Amp " Linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 22:38:19 UTC
From: k2000b@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <39e0bc30.0208031438.1fff20dd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132076

I have for sale a D&A Hawk Amp one tube amp was ment to be
used as small driver for the D&A Phantom 1kw amp also by D&A
transmits around 20watts am 11m serial # HKB 6028 also will include spare tube
with amp condition is very good,. asking 65.00 shipping/insurance
included, referances on ebay feedback from both buying and selling
also will take Pay Pal from pay pal account balance only,.
ebay referance form buying and selling under user ID: k2000a

3048. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-03 23:16:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020803191623.00687.00001254@mb-mi.aol.com>
Source record: 132077

at times it gets very difficult to tell the differences between 3.950, 7.295, 14.295, 14.313, 27.025, 27.185 without actually looking at the freq readout. these are all places where your very likely to run into foul language and over powered operators.

>
>After driving back from Indiana today, on a work related trip, and =
>monitoring Ch 19, yeah, CB is going down hill fast, not Ham Radio. I had =
>to sit back and laugh after one trucker commented that you can hear all =
>about the mating habits of farm animals at any time of day on CB, but =
>you just can't get a "bear report". Needless to say I switched over to =
>6m and enjoyed the band opening for the later part of the morning and =
>early afternoon.=20
>
>
>--=20
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3049. Re: OT: Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 00:15:24 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4C7220.B2C40C4C@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132078

"Alan S." wrote:

> To be fair to you recently A+ certified techs - don't despair. You will
> probably never have to work on an old IBM XT =)

You wouldn't believe the looks, or the answers I've gotten
to that question. I told one newbie that I've built more
machines that been disposed of then he'd ever worked on,
and proceeded to tell him about XT's and 640K and 360
floppies and 8087's and 20 meg Seagates ST225's...

My company made me take the test. I had never needed a test to
prove I'd been in this stuff since 1986 before. Some of the major
manufacturers (the ones behind the test) require that before you
can be certified on their brand.

3050. Re: OT: Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 00:37:36 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns925FB36EB4208subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 132079

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in
news:3D4C7220.B2C40C4C@yaSPAMhoo.com:

>
>
> "Alan S." wrote:
>
>> To be fair to you recently A+ certified techs - don't despair. You
>> will probably never have to work on an old IBM XT =)
>
> You wouldn't believe the looks, or the answers I've gotten
> to that question. I told one newbie that I've built more
> machines that been disposed of then he'd ever worked on,
> and proceeded to tell him about XT's and 640K and 360
> floppies and 8087's and 20 meg Seagates ST225's...
>
> My company made me take the test. I had never needed a test to
> prove I'd been in this stuff since 1986 before. Some of the major
> manufacturers (the ones behind the test) require that before you
> can be certified on their brand.
>

Heh, ya I know what you mean. Since we were an HP authorized service
center, I had to pick up alot of HP specific certs. What does this mean?
It means that I know (knew) how many DIMM slots various Netservers have
without popping the case <chuckle>.

Many of my customers won't let anyone who doesn't possess a CNE to touch
their Netware servers. So I'm a CNE. Ditto on the 2000 and NT servers,
so I'm an MCSE. Now this diseased line of thinking is affecting my
router work, so I need to get my CCNP as it seems that my CCNA stands
for "Cisco Certified Networking Amateur". Sigh. It never ends.

Not too long ago, I actually upgraded that old XT of mine (it still
works). I was at the flea market looking for parts radios, and came
accross this guy who sold old computers and such. I saw an 8087 math
coprocessor and asked him if it worked (it was in a static bag, which
was a minor comfort). He hadn't the slightest idea so I offered him 50
cents for it. He accepted, I took it home and installed it. It works. I
had an old 20 Meg Seagate in it for a while (the model escapes me at the
moment, probably an ST225). It died, and I can't for the life of me find
a replacement. Guess there's just not much of a market for MFM drives
anymore =)

3051. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 00:44:27 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns925FB49773EEAsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 132081

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in
news:xfY29.11886$yc3.596541@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com:

> After driving back from Indiana today, on a work related trip, and
> monitoring Ch 19, yeah, CB is going down hill fast, not Ham Radio. I
> had to sit back and laugh after one trucker commented that you can
> hear all about the mating habits of farm animals at any time of day on
> CB, but you just can't get a "bear report". Needless to say I switched
> over to 6m and enjoyed the band opening for the later part of the
> morning and early afternoon.
>

That trucker has a fantastic sense of humor. With all of the bad stuff you hear on the radio, the occasional one-liner and friendly banter is what keeps me listening. I take the bad stuff with a grain of salt. By focussing on the good, and not letting the bad get to me, I have been able to continue to enjoy CB radio.

3052. Re: OT: Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 00:53:38 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <qduoku0co83sjf7vp4l4mepf8cfq1nh4vv@4ax.com>
Source record: 132080

On Sat, 03 Aug 2002 21:20:31 -0000, "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
wrote:

<snipped for brevity>

>
>I've held my A+ for a while now. I needed it when I worked in the service
>department as a technician for a local systems integrator. I had already
>been working as a tech for other companies for a few years, but the
>company mandated that all of their techs be A+ certified. Fine. I
>immediately went down to my local Prometric testing center and took the
>tests. Passed both in 7 minutes. Yes, the test is a bit of a joke, it
>proves little. Still, I occasionally encounter somebody who maintains
>that the test is easy, proves nothing and therefore is a waste of time.
>Fine, I agree. In one case a kid with a bit of a chip on his shoulder was
>spouing the above mentioned rationale for his not taking the test. I was
>trying to prove a point (namely, that he didn't know everrything and to
>quit being so cocky) so I offered to make him an appointment to take the
>test, all expenses paid. He declined. I felt I made my point.
>
>I haven't done tech-type work in a while, as I am happily employed as a
>network engineer. I leave the workstation prolems to my customer's help
>desk personell, so that I can concentrate on other issues. What a joy! =)
>Also, everybody and their mother knows a little something about
>computers. It seems that everybody has a family member, or neighbor, or
>whoever that knows enough about computers to fix whatever problems they
>have. There really isn't any money in servicing PC's any more, so I don't
>waste my time doing it. My normal rate is $135/hr. My larger customers
>are more than happy to pay this for network design, implementation and
>maintainence. Asking Suzy homemaker to cough that up for fix her AOL
>problem is silly. Still, I fix computer problems for close friends and
>family, no charge. It keeps my skills honed, it's fun (which is why I got
>into computers in the first place) and it saves them some money. Since I
>love my friends and family, I would hate to see them pay good money for
>some know-it-all kid who doesn't know what he's doing.
>
>To answer your question, my first computer was an IBM XT. 8088 @ 4.77 MHz
>and 256KB of RAM. I upgraded to 640KB of ram via 8-bit ISA expansion
>card. The 8088 processor has an address bus only wide enough to address
>1MB of memory. 384K of it was used for BIOS, leaving the remaining 640K
>for everything else. Since the addressing width of the 8088 was a mere 8-
>bits wide, having 16-bit expansion slots is impossible. So the answer is
>"0" =) 16-bit ISA slots became available with the introduction of the AT,
>and 286 processor.
>

>To be fair to you recently A+ certified techs - don't despair. You will
>probably never have to work on an old IBM XT =)

Unfortunately, there are many people who know just enough about computrs to be dangerous. A while back a friend of mine called me at 3 AM and was screaming HELLLLLLLLP!!!!! in my ear. He said his computer would not start. I went over to see the problem, his startup files config.sys, himem.sys autoexec.bat ect. were all gone along with many other files and directories (I despise the term folder). It seems he had been downloading all kinds of MP3 files, and filled his HD. So to make room he started deleting files he figured were not important.

I told him that I was going home and going back to bed, and that I'd be back around noon the next day, and for him to have his credit card ready.

Next day I took him to Toledo and we bought him a second HD, and a CD-R/RW drive. This way he'd always have enough room and not have to do something this stupid again.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

3053. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 00:58:42 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <6%_29.48618$vg.1928615@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132083

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020803191623.00687.00001254@mb-mi.aol.com...
> at times it gets very difficult to tell the differences between 3.950,
7.295,
> 14.295, 14.313, 27.025, 27.185 without actually looking at the freq
readout.
> these are all places where your very likely to run into foul language and
over
> powered operators.

Thats funny Nebro, I have listened to most of the freq's you talk about and never once heard anything that resembles a cb radio, or foul language. Perhaps you can record some of this with the people giving there call signs so you are to be taken more seriously. And any indications that they are running over 1.5 kw

3054. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 00:58:47 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <b%_29.13647$Z5.588080@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 132082

Why buy an 11 meter amp??? Many companies make radios that will do 100 watts on CB. Yeasu FT-100 and the Icom 706 are good examples. Just remove a diode, reset the memory and you are off to the races. The other good thing with doing it that way is the radios will also scan the police bands and slide between channels on CB.

Just a funny story for all of you. A friend of mine got tired of CBers asking him to build amps for them back in the late 70s. So he decided to take a steel case put in a power supply and a watt meter that he had fixed up. An antenna in and out on the back and a bunch of lights. Also a couple of old tubes that would glow nicely. He was able to sell these for $500.00 a piece and everyone that got one swore that it was the best amp that they had ever used. These units were impressive to see but served no function except to make the operator look like a sucker. He has had one or two come back for repairs but no other complaints. Total cost to him in spare parts and time to build was about $50.00. I have even seen one on ebay. I guess that if you don't have the skill and knowledge to do it yourself then you shouldn't do it.

"Scott" <scott@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:ACU29.137714$uh7.21934@sccrnsc03...
> If I post questions about amps are you people in the group going to flame
me
> about how it is illegal to use an amp on 11 meters?
> I am one of those so called truck drivers that is tired of people walking
> all over my little radio!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Scott
>
>

3055. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 01:03:25 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <x3%29.13648$Z5.588165@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 132084

Just one question, would you want your wife and kids listening to the, "bad stuff" while in the car?

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:Xns925FB49773EEAsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in
> news:xfY29.11886$yc3.596541@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com:
>
> > After driving back from Indiana today, on a work related trip, and
> > monitoring Ch 19, yeah, CB is going down hill fast, not Ham Radio. I
> > had to sit back and laugh after one trucker commented that you can
> > hear all about the mating habits of farm animals at any time of day on
> > CB, but you just can't get a "bear report". Needless to say I switched
> > over to 6m and enjoyed the band opening for the later part of the
> > morning and early afternoon.
> >
>
> That trucker has a fantastic sense of humor. With all of the bad stuff you
> hear on the radio, the occasional one-liner and friendly banter is what
> keeps me listening. I take the bad stuff with a grain of salt. By focussing
> on the good, and not letting the bad get to me, I have been able to
> continue to enjoy CB radio.
>

3056. FS: Sunair GSB 900sc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 02:14:39 UTC
From: Steve Gale <stephengale@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <x5039.4530$sl2.1889966@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 132086

http://members.kingston.net/sgale/Sunair/gsb900sc.htm

by the way im in Kingston Ontario Canada

3057. Statisical chances of being busted?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 02:14:55 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ukp3gq120ale9a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132085

Since my PC only has one 1.3 gig p4 it is too slow to calculate how small of
a chance I have of being busted but here are some thing that have a greater
chance of happening before the fcc "gets me"
winning the lotery
winning the natonal spelling bee
convincing my wife that more then one wife is biblical and ok
voobmiester having sex(with a female)
""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""(with a female human)
""""""""""""""""""""""""""""""(with a female human thats still breathing)
being abducted by aliens
collecting social security
getting my moneys worth from taxes
Maybe someone else has a "BIG PC" that can figure out the odds
AAUUDDIIOOO!!!
Sincerly
Ken

3058. Re: OT: Just for the record...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 02:27:36 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4C911C.E40843CB@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132087

"Alan S." wrote:
>
> "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in
> news:3D4C7220.B2C40C4C@yaSPAMhoo.com:
>
> > >
> > >
> > > "Alan S." wrote:
> > >
> > >> To be fair to you recently A+ certified techs - don't despair. You
> > >> will probably never have to work on an old IBM XT =)
> > >
> > > You wouldn't believe the looks, or the answers I've gotten
> > > to that question. I told one newbie that I've built more
> > > machines that been disposed of then he'd ever worked on,
> > > and proceeded to tell him about XT's and 640K and 360
> > > floppies and 8087's and 20 meg Seagates ST225's...
> > >
> > > My company made me take the test. I had never needed a test to
> > > prove I'd been in this stuff since 1986 before. Some of the major
> > > manufacturers (the ones behind the test) require that before you
> > > can be certified on their brand.
> > >
>
> Heh, ya I know what you mean. Since we were an HP authorized service
> center, I had to pick up alot of HP specific certs. What does this mean?
> It means that I know (knew) how many DIMM slots various Netservers have
> without popping the case <chuckle>.
>
> Many of my customers won't let anyone who doesn't possess a CNE to touch
> their Netware servers. So I'm a CNE. Ditto on the 2000 and NT servers,
> so I'm an MCSE. Now this diseased line of thinking is affecting my
> router work, so I need to get my CCNP as it seems that my CCNA stands
> for "Cisco Certified Networking Amateur". Sigh. It never ends.
>
> Not too long ago, I actually upgraded that old XT of mine (it still
> works). I was at the flea market looking for parts radios, and came
> accross this guy who sold old computers and such. I saw an 8087 math
> coprocessor and asked him if it worked (it was in a static bag, which
> was a minor comfort). He hadn't the slightest idea so I offered him 50
> cents for it. He accepted, I took it home and installed it. It works. I
> had an old 20 Meg Seagate in it for a while (the model escapes me at the
> moment, probably an ST225). It died, and I can't for the life of me find
> a replacement. Guess there's just not much of a market for MFM drives

> anymore =)

I could probably scare up any part you need for an XT. I may still have some very large 140 MB maxtor full height drives. That may or may not be the RLL capacity. When I got done with touchy cables and external cases, I went and bought a 1.2GB IDE for my 386 for $250. My latest 40GB was $99. Now an 80 can be obtained for that.

3059. Re: Pyramid Power Supplies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 02:33:12 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4c9263.8579285@news.cet.com>
Source record: 132088

In <Xns925F863C3E863subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>, "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote:

<snip>
>I should have clarified. I know that the radio is only going to draw as >much current as it requires, and having more current available won't make >it perform any better. It seems to me (and I could be wrong) that the >problem with inexpensive power supplies is an inflating amperage rating >by the manufacturer. You buy one rated 10A Continuous, draw 10A >continuously, and the supply eventually fails (or the voltage drops, or >whatever). To combat this problem and save a few bucks, I figured that >while an inexpensive supply rated at 20A continuous may not actually >supply 20A indefinetly, surely it will have enough current available to >power my lowly CB - and without working the supply too hard. =)

If the voltage drops on a heavy load then either the regulation has failed or your fuse is too big. When you get a new supply, test it to see just how much clean juice you can provide. When I was a kid I hooked up a bunch of light bulb sockets in parallel on a board because I didn't have a variac. If you do the same with 12 volt light bulbs you can plug them in one by one until the breaker or fuse pops, or the regulation starts to fluctuate, which ever happens first. Then you know your true maximum rating is for the supply.

>As to voltage drop and ripple, I wanted to know how much was permissible >because I will be servicing CB trancievers eventually (even if they are >just mine). I know that incorrect supply voltage will cause me grief >while testing circuits, but I don't know how much deviation from ideal is >too much.

If you can hear AC hum in the speaker or the monitor then the ripple it too high. That's about it. You usually won't see enough ripple to worry about unless the regulator fails. The cheaper power supplies will show more ripple under heavy load because of poor regulation and/or too small of an output cap. The better supplies won't show much ripple even just below the point where the breaker pops.

>To summarize, my question could have been stated as "What types of >tolerances are permissible in a supply suitable for CB radio service on >the bench?" After reading the posts, I think I'm safe with a Radio Shack >unit or similar - at least for now.

Ripple is usually so low that you don't have to worry about it. You will probably get more ripple or other fluctuations from vehicle power. If you can hear it, then it's a problem.

BTW, I have seen some "20 Amp" power supplies with transformers that were rated for less than 100 VA (or 100 watts, effectively). The ratings were obviously for peak intermittant duty, i.e, 'Bird amps'.

3060. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 02:33:18 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4c926a.8586809@news.cet.com>
Source record: 132089

In <ACU29.137714$uh7.21934@sccrnsc03>, "Scott" <scott@ovis.net> wrote:

>If I post questions about amps are you people in the group going to flame me
>about how it is illegal to use an amp on 11 meters?
>I am one of those so called truck drivers that is tired of people walking
>all over my little radio!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>Scott

Turn up the squelch or change the channel.

3061. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 02:33:23 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4c9270.8592247@news.cet.com>
Source record: 132090

In <bRX29.88885$cm.2896428@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>, "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message =
>news:3d475d1a.17630@news.cet.com...
>> Well, it won't read frequencies very accurately, that is unless it has
>> a built-in frequency counter.
>
>Some of the new digital scopes do well out to 4 or 5 digits. If you need =
>more than that I agree a frequency counter would be preferred.

Yes, you need more than 4 or 5 digits. Frequency accuracy is critical for any transmitter, even CB. The reason is so that everyone is using the same freq, and so the sidebands are not drifting into another channel or band.

>> And voltage measurements are not too
>> accurate in comparison with modern digital voltmeters, mainly because
>> you are looking at a trace on CRT superimposed on a grid instead of a
>> number with 4 or 5 digit accuracy.
>
>Try finding a digital meter that reads that accurate at RF frequencies! =
>LOL.

Mine does, sort of. I built my RF last voltmeter to use a DVM as the meter. It's mighty accurate, and cost me less than $30. More about that later.

> On many new scopes, both analog and digital, you can find cursor =
>read-outs that takes the guess work out of reading a voltage level on =
>the screen.

True, but few will measure maximum peak, just the peaks that are triggered, and it can be triggered at any point on the wave. I also noticed on mine that if there is a low- or high-frequency signal mixed in ('fuzz'), it can either lock on the top or bottom of the fuzz, or it can go spastic. There are a lot of other things I don't like about these high-tech 'features', but I won't go into them here.

>> A scope also requires constant
>> alignment to maintain the accuracy it has.
>
>So do meters. They have an internal DC reference that can drift and age =
>just like anything you can find in a scope.

What I meant was that you need to re-calibrate just about every time
you use a scope. Most scopes will allow you to manually adjust the
horizontal and vertical gain, which is why the time and voltage
calibration adjustments are front-panel controls, as well as an
internal reference (like a 1 Vp-p, 60 Hz square wave).

>> For rough and general
>> measurements that's fine, but for the accuracy that's needed with some
>> of these modern radios it's just not enough.
>
>Not to pick any bones here but the real question is just how accurate, =
>and precise, do the measurements really need to be. Is 10 percent, 5 =
>percent, 1 percent, or 0.01 percent good enough for the job at hand? In =
>most cases the answer is 1 to 5 percent. Most modern scopes can do this =
>well. For those cases where the above is not enough, again I would agree =
>a dedicated instrument would be better.

I agree that, for applications that don't require precision, a scope
can do the job almost as well as dedicated instruments, and for some
functions even better. But for the same reason, I can't see the
justification for the expense of one of these turbo-charged scopes
when the other equipment is just as capable and less expensive. You
still need most of the other equipment anyway, such as a sig-gen,
voltmeter, impedance bridge, field-strength meter, etc. The only thing
a scope would replace would be the RF voltmeter and the distortion
analyzer. It doesn't make sense to me.

>>=20
>> >For example a scope connected across a known 50 ohm load, and =
>measuring =3D
>> >the voltage one can simply compute the RMS power output, thus saving =
>the =3D
>> >cost of a wattmeter. A simple two tone generator circuit connected to =
>=3D
>> >the input of a SSB rig, with the above setup, you can calculate PEP =
>=3D
>> >power as well.=3D20
>>=20
>> As I stated before, you don't need a scope to read RF voltages.
>
>No, but finding a good meter that will could be a problem. For example =
>all of the neat looking HP true RMS reading lab grade analog meters you =
>see kicking around many electronic fleamarkets are only good to about 10 =
>MHz. You can't even use them for CB work or much else so I guess that's =
>why you see so many selling so cheaply.=20

You can build a good and accurate RF voltmeter very easily. In fact,
in a post a couple weeks or so ago I quoted a TAB book that has a
pretty decent one on page 311, and that's one that I built myself many
years ago. It uses two 2N4360, two 2N3565, a few resistors and diodes,
and a 0-1 ma meter. That's all. The book says it's good to 200 MHz but
I found it can work much higher with better diodes (1N4148 instead of

1N914). It is also quoted for signals down to 30 mV, but again, with good matching of the diodes on a bridge I got mine down to well below 1 mV. The last RF voltmeter I built was a variation on this, but I used some rather expensive diodes and FETs, some precision resistors, and also eliminated the meter circuit for use with a DVM. It works even better, and still cost less than $30 (DVM & batteries not included).

Any test equipment, even an o-scope, can be made costly when the manufacturer adds all those cute little 'features', most of which never get used. You can buy a brand new car with PS, PB, PW, AC, DC, DCC, AFL-CIO, wet-bar and pool room; but if you just want to get from point A to point B then there are still quite a few Ford Fairmonts running around; they won't go as fast, they don't look as cool, and they won't help you get laid, but they will do the job for what which they were intended.

>> >For another example some of the newer digital scopes have a built-in =
>FFT =3D
>> >function. This lets you use the scope as a substitute for an =
>expensive =3D
>> >spectrum analyzer.
>>=20
>> I may be mistaken because I'm not up-to-date on state-of-the-art, but
>> aren't those FFT functions only for low frequencies? You can do that
>> with your computer and a sound card.
>
>Yes you can do FFT with the sound card, but the limiting factor is how =
>fast can the sound card digitize the input. Most are limited to about =
>22KHz Max frequency. The Tek scope, I provided a link to in my last =
>post, can digitize at a rate of 1 billion points per second, at the =
>theoretical limit of at least two points at the highest frequency of =
>interest, that works out to 500 MHz.

I wasn't sure about that. My HP will only perform FFT on low freqs and reads high freqs directly.

>>=20
>> >Also simple dedicated meter type instruments can't show you when the =
>=3D
>> >biasing is set correctly for a power stage to eliminate cross over =
>=3D
>> >distortion in a class "B" push-pull audio circuit,
>>=20
>> Of course you can. Depending on the circuit, all you need to do is
>> measure the quiescent collector (or grid) current.
>
>It can very from device to device. A single fixed value may work for one =
>device, but not for another.

Hopefully the transconductance of the transistors are matched in a push-pull amp. If they are, the quiescent current will be pretty close

to the same on both sides. If they are not, there is a problem.

>> And with an audio
>> distortion analyzer you can check for crossover distortion plus any
>> other distortion and/or nonlinearity, and with MUCH more accuracy than
>> looking at a trace on a scope.
>
>Well first I'm not convinced that an audio distortion analyzer works =
>that well. Particularly after a friend of mine built a stereo Class "D" =
>switching audio amp, at the 100 watt level, and one of those meters =
>showed zero percent distortion when he ran it at full output.

Nothing shows 0% distortion, especially a Class D PA, so something was
obviously wrong there.

> I can also =
>show you a digital meter costing $200, with 0.1 percent RMS accurate =
>reading on it's AC scales, that change their reading by 5 to 10 percent =
>by simply switching the two leads on the test points with a signal at =
>audio frequency on them. A really good electronics' tech will have =
>learned after a lot of years that instruments can give false readings, =
>and can fail in ways that don't make it look all that obvious there is a =
>problem.

Unless the meter had a differential (balanced) input, that will happen
whever the source is unbalanced, or one of the outputs is referenced
or bypassed to ground. The same thing happens with an o-scope.

>> For example, if your distortion level
>> suddenly jumps as you reduce the bias, then the bias is too low. Or if
>> it jumps while you increase the input then you are clipping. It will
>> also tell you something that you can't see on a scope, and that's the
>> optimum bias for lowest distortion.
>
>What it can't tell you is what are the distortion products. Some are a =
>lot less annoying than others. A scope, using the FFT function, not only =
>lets you see how much distortion your getting, but also WHERE frequency =
>wise.

It's pretty easy to tell splatter from compression and clipping, but
it takes a little practice to tell if it's from crossover distortion.
The easiest way to tell is to check the audio going to the final from
the modulator output and compare that with the distortion from the
monitor (via the RF). This I consider to be a basic procedure, even
with an o-scope.

>> > or when the output RF =3D
>> >stage in a SSB rig is operating class "C" due to a malfunctioning =
>bias =3D
>> >circuit.=3D20
>>=20
>> That's -too- easy: Just reduce the modulation gradually, and if the

>> power drops off before your modulation hits zero then you are
>> operating class C.
>
>That's assuming the bias does not move around under modulation. That is =
>exactly the problem with some simple diode bias circuits. The transistor =
>can get starved for current under heavy modulation. In fact there was a =
>very long thread on this news group some months back about this subject.

If you are talking amps, yes indeed that's what happens. I was talking
your typical final in an SSB CB radio. It is also a linear amp, but is
usually biased much better than a competition splatterbox. Regardless,
if bias sucks, it will show up as distortion.

>> >You will also need a scope to catch intermittent instabilities in the =
>=3D
>> >PLL reference oscillator, logic glitches in frequency divider chains =
>=3D
>> >etc.=3D20
>>=20
>> True, and that is what I was talking about before. But you don't need
>> a super-charged, multi-trace, digital scope to see it, just a good
>> trigger.
>
>An regular analog scope may not show you what is happening, even with a =
>good trigger. If for example you get an errand pulse once in a while, at =
>even as low as few hundred Hz, the eye is not fast enough to catch it. =
>What is used in this case is what is called a variable persistence =
>scope. The old analog ones were very expensive, but the feature can be =
>added to a digital scope relatively cheap.=20
>=20

You will notice even infrequent pulses or spikes by turning up your
trigger level to just above the signal. The trace will blank out, but
if there is a spike or pulse the trace will flash on the screen.
That's best done with the scope set for long time intervals (1 to 0.1
sec) so you can see the trace. And I also noticed that my HP doesn't
always catch or display a spike, for whatever reason.

<snip>
>> It's obvious that we have different opinions about this.
>
>Yea, but it's better than arguing about Part 95 at times. 8-))

No ****!

>> I'm sure he will get many other opinions.
>
>Which is a good thing too.

Always.

3062. Re: Statisical chances of being busted?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 02:57:19 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020803225719.10162.00001493@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 132091

LOL.....I hear all of the sheep have been removed with in a 100 mile radius of voobner!

3063. great cd?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 03:12:09 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dY039.22903$Kl6.1454494@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132092

i need one person (or more) to try out my new cd i've prepared, I need suggestions , commments, ect..
the tittle is..."more, more, more"-- how to's , make your own antennas, how to tune radios, modifiacation to hundreds of cb and ham radios..this cd has about 100mb of info. Want to mass produce and sell, Ive been in electronics for years (novice) and really got pissed off on a cd i purchased off of ebay pertaining to the same subject.
all i got was a cd with scannned pictures of old manuals..I know every cber would find tons of information and even to the "expert" you will be amazed at what you dont know...(or forgot)
Please respond by email if you like, Ive subscribed to this newsgroup...hope to be a blessing to all
Peace out....

3064. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 03:56:21 UTC
From: "Roger D. Cravens, Jr." <ke4yqz@kc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <FB139.4561$ua1.258427@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 132093
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

I just moved to Kansas City, Missouri from Atlanta, GA about two
years ago. Before departing, I bought a Uniden 148 mobile CB for my
P/U truck plus my Kenwood TH-742A Tri-Band (w/220MHz) went in
my P/U truck. And all the way they were both running as hard as my truck
was. I had a bit of a problem with my Uniden 148 recently so I took it into
R.S. to
get a repair, and while there I looked around and discovered a really nice
CB
rig with an attachment to tell me what direction I was going. That's really
nifty
because I rarely know what direction I'm going! Anyway, for a few $bucks
a bit over $100 I brought it home with me while waiting on my full-size
Uniden 48, SSB. I purchased the Uniden at a truck stop somewhere in
Arizona while I was riding around the country with my cousin in his rig.
It was a real gas to me. I can see why truckers all have radios in their
rigs. two main reasons I can see is to get local directions and keep
awake while driving their 14-plus hours a day.

Anyway, business if business. And if this is what R.S. has decided, it is
going to be an exceptional royal pain in everyone's electronics butt! And
you're most certainly right that when R.S. goes south on these items,
everyone may just as well give up most hobbies concerning radios or
electronics. You won't even be able to buy a resister or capacitor.

Roger D. Cravens, Jr., KE4YQZ
Kansas City, MO

----- Original Message -----
From: "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@sc.rr.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner
Sent: Thursday, August 01, 2002 2:19 AM
Subject: Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

> Bill Crocker wrote:
> >
> > Well...here are some thoughts that might begin
> . to explain the change:
> >
> > C.B. is out, for the most part. With cellphones,
> > who needs C.B.? FRS radios are very inexpensive,
> > small, and work great! No one wants to hack up
> > their cars with big ugly antennas anymore...it's
> > not cool, like it used to be.

>
>
> That is not the case in all parts of the country, Bill. According to
> Radio Shack, sales of CB radios has just recently went down again
> following renewed interest four or five years ago. Around here (the
> southeast), many cars have CB antennas, as does just about every truck,
> van, and SUV. I saw the same thing in the northwest. While cellphones
> are popular, I really don't expect them to kill off CB radio.
>
> After all, people use each for different purposes. For example, when
> traveling, CB is great for late night conversations to break the
> boredom. To do the same with a cellphone, it would cost a fortune in
> long distance charges if one didn't know someone in the area. Or, as
> another example, nothing beats CB when it comes to getting local
> directions. I cannot even guess how many times I've used CB to avoid
> traffic jams, find quicker routes, or bypass road construction.
>
> Finally, I find myself wondering why your argument ("With cellphones,
> who needs C.B.") doesn't apply to FRS radios? If cellphones are
> replacing radios, they should be replacing all similar radios - not just
> certain ones. FRS is gaining popularity. Ham radio is holding it's own
> just fine. CB just went through a period of renewed interest. Seems
> cellphones are doing a fairly poor job of killing off radio so far.
>
>
> > As for Ham radio...sad to say, it's going down the
> > same road C.B. did years ago. You either have
> > pirates, with no license, breaking all the rules,
> > with very little FCC enforcement, or you have the
> > neighborhood clubs, that think they own the
> > spectrum in their neighborhood, and don't like to
> > let the new guy in.
>
>
> My experience is very different. I've traveled all across the country
> over the last several years (from the south to the midwest to the west
> coast and back), and I've not seen widespread rule violations,
> widespread illegal operators, or widespread resistence to the "new guy."
>
> Yes, existing operators are more reserved when talking to the "new
> guy," but only because they don't know the stranger - not because of
> resistence to new operators. Perhaps new operators are expecting too
> much. Radio is no different than the "real" world. In the "real" world,
> people don't just walk up to strangers and jump into their conversations
> like long lost friends. Instead, people tend to be reserved around
> strangers until they get to know them better. And radio certainly isn't
> going to magically change that tendency.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
==========
"Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:20020731225611118-0400@news.det.sbcglobal.net...
> Well...here are some thoughts that might begin to explain the change:
>
> C.B. is out, for the most part. With cellphones, who needs C.B.? FRS
> radios are very inexpensive, small, and work great! No one wants to
> hack up their cars with big ugly antennas anymore...it's not cool, like
> it used to be.
>
> As for Ham radio...sad to say, it's going down the same road C.B. did
> years ago. You either have pirates, with no license, breaking all the
> rules, with very little FCC enforcement, or you have the neighborhood
> clubs, that think they own the spectrum in their neighborhood, and don't
> like to let the new guy in.
>
> With public safety going digital, Radio Shack has nothing to offer for a
> digital scanner.
>
> What I found interesting is that Comp-USA is selling MURS HT's! Go
> figure?
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
>
>
> In <t6129.670$%6.35624@news2.telusplanet.net> WG wrote:
> > Hi All
> >
> > I was just in my local Radio Shack store in Vancouver BC Canada.
> > The first thing I noticed was a big display of FRS radios. I then went
> > to the usual scanner CB and ham radio section. It was all gone. Not
> > one single scanner CB or ham radio in the place. Not even an 11 meter
> > HT. I then looked around the rest of the store. I did find 1 book on
> > ham radio, 1 in-door short-wave antenna and some CB accessories in the
> > mark down bin. I asked the sales people and they said that Radio Shack
> > is not selling scanners, CB and ham gear any more because of lack of
> > sales. I was looking for a 2 meter rig for my car and a duel band
> > antenna. That would have been over $300.00 in RS's pocket but no deal.
> > I wonder if they should change their name maybe Computer Shack or TV
> > Shack because they don't want to sell radios any more. They use to be
> > a good place to get accessories like antennas and such but no more. I
> > wonder what repairs on warrantee work will be like now that they don't
> > sell the stuff? What happened???
> >
> >

> >

3065. Re: Statisical chances of being busted?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 04:14:54 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <08apkucbiq5l7v7nj016kf57ctjcpd0ftu@4ax.com>
Source record: 132094

On Sat, 3 Aug 2002 22:14:55 -0400, "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
wrote:

>Since my PC only has one 1.3 gig p4 it is too slow to calculate how small of
>a chance I have of being busted but here are some thing that have a greater
>chance of happening before the fcc "gets me"
>winning the lotery
>winning the natonal spelling bee
>convincing my wife that more then one wife is biblical and ok
>voobmiester having sex(with a female)
>""""""""""""""""""""""""""""(with a female human)
>""""""""""""""""""""""""""""(with a female human thats still breathing)
>being abducted by aliens
>collecting social security
>getting my moneys worth from taxes
>Maybe someone else has a "BIG PC" that can figure out the odds
>AAUUDDIIOOO!!!
>Sincerly
>Ken

I'll have to agree.

Maybe a more challenging odds calculation would be the one about
the Voob? ...."voobmiester having sex(with a female)"

Now that number has to be just shy of nothing. No computer
could possibly contain enough power to calculate this. At least in
our lifetime.

3066. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 05:28:09 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208032128.3f533261@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132095

"Me" whocare@you.com wrote
<6%_29.48618$vg.1928615@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020803191623.00687.00001254@mb-mi.aol.com...
> >at times it gets very difficult to tell the differences
>>between 3.950, 7.295, 14.295, 14.313, 27.025, 27.185
>>without actually looking at the freq
>>readout.

Tim is an admitted HF bootlegger and pirate. Now we know what freqs to
listen for him on. So does the FCC.

>> these are all places where your very likely to run into
>>foul language and over powered operators.

>Thats funny Nebro, I have listened to most of the freq's
>you talk about and never once heard anything that
>resembles a cb radio, or foul language.
>Perhaps you can record some of this with the people
>giving there call signs so you are to be taken more
>seriously. And any indications that they are
>running over 1.5 kw.

Great idea. Until Nebo produces recently-recorded sound files with
call signs I'd certainly disregard his anti-ham propaganda.

-A

3067. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 05:30:40 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208032130.560453f4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132096

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<QrY29.17426$pg2.1405624@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net
> All in your judgment about the probability of his getting busted at all.
> The thread began with advice to buy a decent amp which Texas Star
> qualifies as being, barely, but one hell of an improvement on the usual
> run of CB crap. I am not in the punishment biz, Leland.
>
> Speaking of which when do you plan to get ticketed for 28.anything??

Wow. It's getting so it's hard to tell Dick's posts from the CB outlaws posts.

-A

3068. Re: FS: D&A Hawk " Driver - Modulator Amp " Linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 05:31:29 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208032131.54c99909@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132097

k2000b@hotmail.com (k2000) wrote in message
news:<39e0bc30.0208031438.1fff20dd@posting.google.com>...
> I have for sale a D&A Hawk Amp one tube amp was ment to be
> used as small driver for the D&A Phantom 1kw amp also by D&A
> transmits around 20watts am 11m serial # HKB 6028 also will include spare tube
> with amp condition is very good,. asking 65.00 shipping/insurance
> included, referances on ebay feedback from both buying and selling
> also will take Pay Pal from pay pal account balance only,.
> ebay referance form buying and selling under user ID: k2000a

FCC rule 2.815(b): After APR 27, 1978, no person shall manufacture,
sell or lease, offer for sale or lease (including advertising for sale
or lease), or import, ship, or distribute for the purpose of selling
or leasing or offering for sale or lease, any external radio frequency
amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any frequency or
frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz.

3069. Re: 28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 05:33:54 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208032133.6be2ac57@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132098

No, it's Kenneth trolling, with the group's approval. -A

SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message news:<3D4C0E88.9010006@csotbs.org>...
> Hrm... Forgery?
>
> Kenneth wrote:
>
> > I have notice that 28.085 is becoming crowded. Usually it is just my fellow
> > truckers jawin and I can usually find a clear channel on the f band (6 band
> > radio). The most annoying are these idiots playing with there roger beeps
> > above 28mhz! Whats that about? they don't even talk just beep bepbepbep beep
> > its annoying. Also I think its at 28.200mhz someone had been dead keying his
> > radio for about a year straight! I guess I'l have to upgrade to either an 8
> > band radio eventually they way our great hobby has been expanding. To all
> > you Hams I'd like to thank you for being gracious enough to leave this slice
> > fallow for us cber's:)
> > Sincerly
> > Ken
> >
> >
> >

3070. Re: Cows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 05:35:37 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208032135.476a4ec7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132099

chimbuba@optonline.net wrote in message news:<3d4be536.66587538@news.optonline.net>...
> On 25 Jul 2002 16:10:36 -0700, ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
> wrote:
>
> >'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:<3D3F8B78.8263E861@icok.net>...
> >> TRADITIONAL CAPITALISM:
> >> You have two cows.
> >> You sell one and buy a bull.
> >> Your herd multiplies, and the economy grows.
> >> You sell them and retire on the income.
> >
> >KEYCLOWN COMPANY
> >
> >You have one cow but you illegally modify it
> >so it's the most powerful cow in the neighborhood.
> >So powerful it drives away all the neighboring cows.
> >Cows that are too dumb to be driven away are
> >simply trampled and killed by your cow.
> >Soon all that's left are modified cows like yours who
> >fight amongst themselves.
> >People can no longer have milk or dairy products
> >because you've decided "it's all about competition".
> >
> >-A
>
> As it shall remain...................

Now that's a REAL keyclown for ya. He's actually proud of ruining channel 6

- A

3071. Re: FS: D&A Hawk " Driver - Modulator Amp " Linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 05:49:06 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0208032149.5601b008@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132100

k2000b@hotmail.com (k2000) wrote in message
news:<39e0bc30.0208031438.1fff20dd@posting.google.com>...
> I have for sale a D&A Hawk Amp one tube amp was ment to be
> used as small driver for the D&A Phantom 1kw amp also by D&A
> transmits around 20watts am 11m serial # HKB 6028 also will include spare tube
> with amp condition is very good,. asking 65.00 shipping/insurance
> included, referances on ebay feedback from both buying and selling
> also will take Pay Pal from pay pal account balance only,.
> ebay referance form buying and selling under user ID: k2000a

Goobner's stroking his dingy,gonna get off with some fcc rulz.

3072. I like freebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 06:00:14 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0208032200.168f3826@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132101

Just thought I would say it.:>

3073. Re: great cd?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 06:03:55 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns925FEAC112027subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 132102

"George Kinzer" <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net> wrote in
news:dY039.22903$Kl6.1454494@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net:

> i need one person (or more) to try out my new cd i've prepared, I need
> suggestions , commments, ect..
> the tittle is..."more, more, more"-- how to's , make your own
> antennas, how to tune radios, modifiacation to hundreds of cb and ham
> radios..this cd has about 100mb of info. Want to mass produce and
> sell, Ive been in electronics for years (novice) and really got pissed
> off on a cd i purchased off of ebay pertaining to the same subject.
> all i got was a cd with scannned pictures of old manuals..I know every
> cber would find tons of information and even to the "expert" you will
> be amazed at what you dont know...(or forgot)
> Please respond by email if you like, Ive subscribed to this
> newsgroup...hope to be a blessing to all
> Peace out....
>
>
>
>

Sure, assumming you will allow me to use the CD free of charge. Make an ISO
image of the CD and send me an email.

3074. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 06:10:19 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns925FEBD7455CDsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 132103

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in
news:x3%29.13648$Z5.588165@news1.telusplanet.net:

> Just one question, would you want your wife and kids listening to the,
> "bad stuff" while in the car?

Hmmm...point taken. The answer is no, depending on the content. And that goes for anybody in my vehicle that may be offended by the content. Common courtesy.

3075. Re: I like freebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 06:38:46 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <ouipkus7r6846e1c5nmkjvta3tkaopp4is@4ax.com>
Source record: 132104

I like freebasing.....just thought I would say it

On 3 Aug 2002 23:00:14 -0700, dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com (dr_sinister)
wrote:

>Just thought I would say it.:>

3076. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 06:41:11 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <q3jpku00dq9fngjfo1nusmlmtenupf93sc@4ax.com>
Source record: 132105

FCC rule 2.815(b): After APR 27, 1978, no person shall manufacture,
sell or lease, offer for sale or lease (including advertising for sale
or lease), or import, ship, or distribute for the purpose of selling
or leasing or offering for sale or lease, any external radio frequency
amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any frequency or
frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz.
On Sat, 03 Aug 2002 17:42:56 GMT, "Scott" <scott@ovis.net> wrote:

>If I post questions about amps are you people in the group going to flame me
>about how it is illegal to use an amp on 11 meters?
>I am one of those so called truck drivers that is tired of people walking
>all over my little radio!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>Scott
>

3077. Re: Interesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 07:13:29 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aiimi9emc1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 132107

"dr_sinister" <dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:57ce2c1e.0207301729.6df81104@posting.google.com...
>
> Guess I made Goobner mad,oh well.
==

Don't take it personal, those hate posts were sent to many of us. My filter wiped out two xganon posts on the server. He is probably using xganon because he got caught out before by his IP number.

Regards,

Peter.

3078. Re: hr2510 problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 07:13:34 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aiimibemc2@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 132108

"Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:ukgupi94vstmfb@corp.supernews.com...
> Common problem. I just replaced mine but you may be able to clean it.
==

Sure is common, also with the Lincoln.
On the Lincoln, it has also been known to cause sudden jumps in
frequency. It can also cause the display and PLL to go out of sync with
the display showing different to the real frequency.

I know that the Lincoln one could be taken apart (carefully) and cleaned.

Regards,

Peter.

3079. Re: CB Enters "Dark Ages"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 07:13:40 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aiimicemc3@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 132109

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:t8029.23306$Yd.975782@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> <snip>
==

LOL.

Regards,

Peter.

3080. Re: they just don't get it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 07:13:44 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aiimidemc4@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 132110

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D47C90C.47F96EAA@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > Any friend of Twisty...
>
> ...is NOT an AKC'er.
==

With the amount of e-mails flying about, I would say that most people on this group are on "friendly terms". The assholes are in the minority.

I e-mailed Twist again this week... no bounce message. So, his mailbox is still there.

Brainbuster.

3081. Re: STOP feeding Aaron the troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 07:13:49 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aiimieemc5@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 132111

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D45A1D1.9A60F0F@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
> Tom wrote:
> >
> > "plonk"
>
> Is that the sound a golf ball makes when it drops in the hole?
===

Not a golf ball... a Goofball.

Regards,

Peter.

3082. Re: Antikeyclown goes to jail(funny)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 07:13:58 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aiimigemc7@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 132112

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D452FFB.1F28@worldlynx.net...
>
> This normally happens at the end of a "skip cycle". It happened last
> cycle too. There are many CBer's (myself included), who really don't
> care for DX talking, or the resultant noise that occurs during high skip
> cycles.
==

Hi Dave.

I am not so sure that is it this time. People who have always put up
with noise and those who have enjoyed DXing seem to have disappeared.
It started shortly after legalisation, with children getting CBs for
christmas and filling the channels with music. Then the prices dropped and
everybody got one, even if they were not that interested. Foul language and
attitude joined the music, and many of the original CBers gave up.

I have not seen it this quiet since it was first legalised here, and only a
few had got them. The latest thing seems to be text messaging, although
those small charges soon add up. Others are now using the Net. Maybe
some will be back when the "text" craze slows down as people see what
it is costing, but I cannot imagine many returning.

Regards,

Peter.

3083. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 07:14:03 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aiimihemc8@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 132113

"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D47C7AD.5DBAD07C@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
> Brainbuster wrote:
>
> > You prove this with your responses... If I answer you, I am "trying to
> > educate you" and you act offended, if I ignore you then I am "running
> > away".
>
> Damned if you do, damned if you don't...
==

And condemned as a "keyclown" whether you use an illegal setup or not.

Regards,

Peter.

3084. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 07:14:08 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aiimijemc9@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 132114

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d479a1b.4493853@news.cet.com...
> In <ai81fnudd10@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
> <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:
> >
> >Why, do you know every british CBer?
> >Then what good would naming them on here do?
> > Exactly... none.
>
> Gee, what a suprise.
==

Shouldn't be... if I named a British CBer, you would not know them.
But wait a minute...

==
> I agree, and I have seen the same attitude, too.
==

What a Troll... not only do you ask for info that would mean
nothing to you or anyone else on this group, but then we find
that you actually agree that the attitude exists.

==
> But you are still avoiding the fact that running a legal rig greatly
> reduced the likelyhood that you are causing interference.
==

No, I answered that one. Try looking, maybe through my
replies to Aagent Aaron. But then the discussion was with
him in the first place.

==
> >Wait a minute, YOU asked me questions.
> >If I ignore you, then I am running away... if I reply, then I am "trying
> >to educate you".
>
> and now your choices are limited to
==

Replying, and having you whine that I "tried to educate you",
Or
Avoiding helping you to fill the newsgroup with arguments,
and have you whine that I am "running".

Like I say, whether I reply or not, you will whine. There is absolutely
no pleasing you. You do not want a reply, you do not want to be ignored
and you are unwilling to wait for the reply that you do not really want

anyway.

You will just twist the truth to make it suit your anger and hatred.

==
> You have explained it so well
==

So, I have replied... but I thought you said that I hadn't.

==
> I don't care if you post once a week, once a month, or once a year.
==

So you keep saying, but you still keep whining when I do not reply quick enough for you. You even post a message just to say that you are waiting. Next time you whine about "waiting for a reply" we can take that as just one of your lies... if you don't really care how long it is between my posts.

==
> Don't flatter yourself: I goofed with the killfile. Got any more
> elaborate excuses that you don't have the time to write?
==

No, just a life off this newsgroup. But I really shouldn't expect a bitter, angry, lonely old man to understand that. That would be expecting too much.

Got any more unbelievable excuses why you can still reply to people in your killfile? How about more excuses why you said that you had "plonked" me, yet you still haven't done it... huh?

==
>Are you getting some coaching from Twisty?
==

Actually, I was looking at how you and Aaron reply and assuming that to be acceptable to you. But then I was forgetting, one rule for you...

Brainbuster.

3085. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 07:14:12 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aiimilemc10@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 132115

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d3b8ed4.24267567@news.cet.com...
>
> You are the one that is getting all defensive because you can't back
> up your claims with facts.
==

Selective memory?
I quoted references, but what was your response?

> I don't have that particular tome

Don't you have libraries in America?
Yes Frank, that does mean getting up off your glutei.
No Frank, they do not deliver by bike... that's pizzas.

==
> That's called the ratio of AC to DC resistance, and it's actually
> 12.58 for 22 AWG copper at 27 MHz. But did you notice that for 16 AWG
> that ratio increases to 25.36? That's because the AC/DC resistance
> ratio increases with wire diameter!
==

So, the ratio has doubled... but the DC resistance is now just
1/4 of what it was.

==
> Sure, just change the length so it's no longer resonant. Are you
> suggesting that you can't tune an antenna if it has a wire diameter
> that is too large or too small?
==

That was taken from the reference I gave you and refers to radiation
resistance and length, not total impedance and diameter.

==
> You don't do justice to your reference.
==

Hey, I take the time to look at them... I don't sit moaning that I
do not have them sat around.
How do you know what "justice" was done to them, if you are
unwilling to do them the justice of reading the sections.

==
> And it's funny that you didn't mention this, but the wire
> diameter has an effect on bandwidth;
==

Shouldn't be that difficult to understand. You could have argued
that, as there are only 40 legal channels in America, bandwidth is
only a consideration to "freebanders" and "keyclowns".

==
> >Thought wrong then, nowt new there.
>
> Hablas Ingles?
==

Si, hablo Ingles.

Try a *real* English dictionary, the word is in my Collins
English Dictionary.

Collins Compact English Dictionary
New Edition
HarperCollins, 1994.

It is also in the World Book Millennium Edition, dictionary
section (English meaning).

==
> And because of that statement I have returned to demonstrate your
> willingness to obfuscate the facts.
==

You never killfiled me, just like you didn't have Randy in your killfile.
Putting me, Randy, Twistedhed, etc in your killfile would be like cutting
your heart out. You just couldn't manage without us to argue with.

But OK, let's look at some basic facts..

I said that the thickness of the wire affects efficiency.
After a bit of moaning you said:

> a whopping 1%!

Which does agree with my statement.
But, I then said that you failed to allow for skin effect in your
calculation.
So, after more whining, you revised your figure to :

> 6.2% in efficiency

Which agrees with both statements.

So it seems that we agree.

Brainbuster.

3086. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 07:18:51 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D4CD55B.8050006@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132106
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Um.. What's a Uniden 148? Did you mean Cobra 148 or Uniden Grant XL?

-SSB

Roger D. Cravens, Jr. wrote:

> I just moved to Kansas City, Missouri from Atlanta, GA about two
> years ago. Before departing, I bought a Uniden 148 mobile CB for my
> P/U truck plus my Kenwood TH-742A Tri-Band (w/220MHz) went in
> my P/U truck. And all the way they were both running as hard as my truck
> was. I had a bit of a problem with my Uniden 148 recently so I took it into
> R.S. to
> get a repair, and while there I looked around and discovered a really nice
> CB
> rig with an attachment to tell me what direction I was going. That's really
> nifty
> because I rarely know what direction I'm going! Anyway, for a few $bucks
> a bit over $100 I brought it home with me while waiting on my full-size
> Uniden 48, SSB. I purchased the Uniden at a truck stop somewhere in
> Arizona while I was riding around the country with my cousin in his rig.
> It was a real gas to me. I can see why truckers all have radios in their
> rigs. two main reasons I can see is to get local directions and keep
> awake while driving their 14-plus hours a day.
>
> Anyway, business if business. And if this is what R.S. has decided, it is
> going to be an exceptional royal pain in everyone's electronics butt! And
> you're most certainly right that when R.S. goes south on these items,
> everyone may just as well give up most hobbies concerning radios or
> electronics. You won't even be able to buy a resister or capacitor.
>
> Roger D. Cravens, Jr., KE4YQZ
> Kansas City, MO
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: "Dwight Stewart" <stewart@sc.rr.com>
> Newsgroups:
> alt.binaries.radio-scanner,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner
> Sent: Thursday, August 01, 2002 2:19 AM
> Subject: Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack
>
>
>
>>Bill Crocker wrote:
>>

>>>Well...here are some thoughts that might begin
>>>
>>. to explain the change:
>>
>>>C.B. is out, for the most part. With cellphones,
>>>who needs C.B.? FRS radios are very inexpensive,
>>>small, and work great! No one wants to hack up
>>>their cars with big ugly antennas anymore...it's
>>>not cool, like it used to be.
>>>
>>
>> That is not the case in all parts of the country, Bill. According to
>>Radio Shack, sales of CB radios has just recently went down again
>>following renewed interest four or five years ago. Around here (the
>>southeast), many cars have CB antennas, as does just about every truck,
>>van, and SUV. I saw the same thing in the northwest. While cellphones
>>are popular, I really don't expect them to kill off CB radio.
>>
>> After all, people use each for different purposes. For example, when
>>traveling, CB is great for late night conversations to break the
>>boredom. To do the same with a cellphone, it would cost a fortune in
>>long distance charges if one didn't know someone in the area. Or, as
>>another example, nothing beats CB when it comes to getting local
>>directions. I cannot even guess how many times I've used CB to avoid
>>traffic jams, find quicker routes, or bypass road construction.
>>
>> Finally, I find myself wondering why your argument ("With cellphones,
>>who needs C.B.") doesn't apply to FRS radios? If cellphones are
>>replacing radios, they should be replacing all similar radios - not just
>>certain ones. FRS is gaining popularity. Ham radio is holding it's own
>>just fine. CB just went through a period of renewed interest. Seems
>>cellphones are doing a fairly poor job of killing off radio so far.
>>
>>
>>
>>>As for Ham radio...sad to say, it's going down the
>>>same road C.B. did years ago. You either have
>>>pirates, with no license, breaking all the rules,
>>>with very little FCC enforcement, or you have the
>>>neighborhood clubs, that think they own the
>>>spectrum in their neighborhood, and don't like to
>>>let the new guy in.
>>>
>>
>> My experience is very different. I've traveled all across the country
>>over the last several years (from the south to the midwest to the west
>>coast and back), and I've not seen widespread rule violations,
>>widespread illegal operators, or widespread resistence to the "new guy."
>>
>> Yes, existing operators are more reserved when talking to the "new

>>guy," but only because they don't know the stranger - not because of
>>resistence to new operators. Perhaps new operators are expecting too
>>much. Radio is no different than the "real" world. In the "real" world,
>>people don't just walk up to strangers and jump into their conversations
>>like long lost friends. Instead, people tend to be reserved around
>>strangers until they get to know them better. And radio certainly isn't
>>going to magically change that tendency.
>>
>>
>>Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>>
>>http://www.qsl.net/w5net/
>>
> ==
> "Bill Crocker" <billcrocker@ameritech.net> wrote in message
> news:20020731225611118-0400@news.det.sbcglobal.net...
>
>>Well...here are some thoughts that might begin to explain the change:
>>
>>C.B. is out, for the most part. With cellphones, who needs C.B.? FRS
>>radios are very inexpensive, small, and work great! No one wants to
>>hack up their cars with big ugly antennas anymore...it's not cool, like
>>it used to be.
>>
>>As for Ham radio...sad to say, it's going down the same road C.B. did
>>years ago. You either have pirates, with no license, breaking all the
>>rules, with very little FCC enforcement, or you have the neighborhood
>>clubs, that think they own the spectrum in their neighborhood, and don't
>>like to let the new guy in.
>>
>>With public safety going digital, Radio Shack has nothing to offer for a
>>digital scanner.
>>
>>What I found interesting is that Comp-USA is selling MURS HT's! Go
>>figure?
>>
>>Bill Crocker
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>In <t6129.670$%6.35624@news2.telusplanet.net> WG wrote:
>>
>>>Hi All
>>>
>>> I was just in my local Radio Shack store in Vancouver BC Canada.
>>>The first thing I noticed was a big display of FRS radios. I then went
>>>to the usual scanner CB and ham radio section. It was all gone. Not
>>>one single scanner CB or ham radio in the place. Not even an 11 meter

>>>HT. I then looked around the rest of the store. I did find 1 book on
>>>ham radio, 1 in-door short-wave antenna and some CB accessories in the
>>>mark down bin. I asked the sales people and they said that Radio Shack
>>>is not selling scanners, CB and ham gear any more because of lack of
>>>sales. I was looking for a 2 meter rig for my car and a duel band
>>>antenna. That would have been over $300.00 in RS's pocket but no deal.
>>>I wonder if they should change their name maybe Computer Shack or TV
>>>Shack because they don't want to sell radios any more. They use to be
>>>a good place to get accessories like antennas and such but no more. I
>>>wonder what repairs on warrantee work will be like now that they don't
>>>sell the stuff? What happened???
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3087. Re: Restart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 08:14:50 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0208040014.328bbad9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132116

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208031139.660b1afb@posting.google.com>...
> Peter Roovers <Roovers.P@leavemealone.com> wrote in message
news:<34tmkug8jmdjractod6pe6o4d1es5qvu4g@4ax.com>...
> > On Fri, 2 Aug 2002 20:19:20 -0400, "^Hollywood^"
> > <jwood0975@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > > I am sure you already know who the idiots are.
> >
> > Oh yeah. That took me all of two minutes. What's strange though is
> > this. I'm an avid cyclist, and there's a NG i frequent. There's been a
> > troll in theis NG for many years, always posting about how we damage
> > trails, access forests etc. Bizarly, these posts are just the same.
> > The subject's different, but the semantics, the ranting, the
> > compaining about belligerant replies, are word for word the same.
>
>
> Really? I can't believe there is ANOTHER ng where people express
> contempt for the rights of others, diss the government, mangle the
> Constitution, and urge others to break the law (i.e. "bad citizens
> have more fun because are more free"). This I gotta see....
>
> -A

Yeah Goobner,why don't you prance on over there and check it out,let
someone else make fun of you for a while,loser.

There's a 5 dollar fine for whining....

3088. Re: Interesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 08:42:53 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D4CE90D.2040807@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132117

I feel kinda left out.. I didn't get one. ::pout::

NOT!

-SSB

Brainbuster wrote:

> "dr_sinister" <dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:57ce2c1e.0207301729.6df81104@posting.google.com...
>
>>Guess I made Goobner mad,oh well.
>>
> ==
>
> Don't take it personal, those hate posts were sent to many of us. My filter
> wiped out two xganon posts on the server. He is probably using xganon
> because he got caught out before by his IP number.
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Peter.
>
>
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3089. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 08:58:30 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D4CECA0.AA77DECE@icok.net>
Source record: 132118

Scott,
If you post honest questions someone will give you
an honest answer. It's also a very good idea to learn
how to use the 'filters' in your browser. Once you
figure out where most of the trash comes from, and then
filter them out, it gets easier and much more enjoyable.
'Doc

3090. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 09:56:19 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7T639.17988$pg2.1462717@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132119

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208032130.560453f4@posting.google.com...
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<QrY29.17426$pg2.1405624@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net
> > All in your judgment about the probability of his getting busted at
all.
> > The thread began with advice to buy a decent amp which Texas Star
> > qualifies as being, barely, but one hell of an improvement on the
usual
> > run of CB crap. I am not in the punishment biz, Leland.
> >
> > Speaking of which when do you plan to get ticketed for 28.anything??
>
> Wow. It's getting so it's hard to tell Dick's posts from the CB outlaws
posts.
>
> -A

(waltz time mit oompah und kraut)

We're coming, we're coming our brave little band
On the right side of temp'rance we do take our stand
We don't use tobacco because we do think
That people who use it are likely to drink

(Chorus)
Away, Away with Rum, by gum
Rum by gum, rum by gum
Away, Away with Rum by gum
It's the song of the Temperance Union.

We never drink water - they put it in gin
And one little sip and a man starts to grin
O, can you imagine a sorrier sight
Than a man drinking water and singing all nite

Chorus

We never eat corn flakes because they have malt
And we cannot imagine a much greater fault
O, can you imagine a sight that's more droll
Than a woman at breakfast slumped over her bowl

Chorus

We never drink milkshakes 'cause they're made with malt
And one little slurp makes your brain somersault

Oh can you imagine behavior so rash
As bar top gymnastics with a frothy mustache

Chorus

We never eat fruitcake because it has rum
And one tiny bite turns a man to a bum
Oh can you imagine the pitiful plight
Of a man eating fruitcake until he gets tight

Chorus

A man who eats fruitcake lives a terrible life
He's mean to his children and beats on his wife
A man who eats fruitcake dies a terrible death
With the odor of raisins and rum on his breath

Chorus

We never eat cookies because they have yeast
And one tiny bite turns a man to a beast
Oh can you imagine the utter disgrace
Of a man in the gutter with crumbs on his face.

Chorus

We never eat peaches because they ferment
And a peach will ferment at the least little dent
Oh, can you imagine a sight more obscene
Than a man getting tipsy on peaches and cream

Chorus

We never use Brylcream 'cause that's got bay rum
And too many rubbings can make your head numb
But if there's a thought that will leave you in fits
Just imagine the millions of paralyzed nits.

Chorus

3091. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 10:45:39 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804064539.10201.00001766@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 132120

>
>Well Richard, you have done just about everything, including telling the =
>guy to use an amp illegally on 11m. At this point you may just as well =
>build the guy one, put a few bucks in your pocket, and put a smile on =
>Pirate Tim's face.=20
>
>The best thing you could have done is kept your mouth shut at least, and =
>let him buy a cheap Class "C" splatter box. The more noise it makes the =
>quicker he gets busted when the local neighbors complain to the FCC.=20
>
>
>--=20
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>
It is people like you that make hams look like dickheads

3092. Re: Los Angeles Area Stores?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 10:50:41 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804065041.10201.00001767@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 132121

>what is sales tax where your at? its 8.25% here
>

5% in Iowa

3093. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 10:57:37 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4cfbd0.19314321@news.cet.com>
Source record: 132122

In <aiimilemc10@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
<brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:

><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d3b8ed4.24267567@news.cet.com...
>>
>> You are the one that is getting all defensive because you can't back
>> up your claims with facts.
>==
>
>Selective memory?
>I quoted references, but what was your response?

I took the slivers of info you provided, put them in proper context,
and mathmatically demonstrated a practical example why you are full of
shit.

>> I don't have that particular tome
>
>Don't you have libraries in America?
>Yes Frank, that does mean getting up off your glutei.
>No Frank, they do not deliver by bike... that's pizzas.

So what's wrong with you posting a quote? It's not so hard, brain.
I've done it myself lots of times.

>==
>> That's called the ratio of AC to DC resistance, and it's actually
>> 12.58 for 22 AWG copper at 27 MHz. But did you notice that for 16 AWG
>> that ratio increases to 25.36? That's because the AC/DC resistance
>> ratio increases with wire diameter!
>==
>
>So, the ratio has doubled... but the DC resistance is now just
>1/4 of what it was.

And I demonstated that all are insignificant for a CB antenna when
compared with the polarity losses of a helical dummy load. Are you
having problems reading the numbers? Don't worry, Timmy is having the
same problems. He can't remember if he's 22 or 42 years old.

>==
>> Sure, just change the length so it's no longer resonant. Are you
>> suggesting that you can't tune an antenna if it has a wire diameter
>> that is too large or too small?
>==
>

>That was taken from the reference I gave you and refers to radiation
>resistance and length, not total impedance and diameter.

Then post the quote and show how it relates to the discussion.

>==
>> You don't do justice to your reference.
>==
>
>Hey, I take the time to look at them... I don't sit moaning that I
>do not have them sat around.

...huh?

>How do you know what "justice" was done to them, if you are
>unwilling to do them the justice of reading the sections.

Oh, I'll read them eventually. In the meantime would it hurt your
position to quote your own reference?

>==
>> And it's funny that you didn't mention this, but the wire
>> diameter has an effect on bandwidth;
>==
>
>Shouldn't be that difficult to understand. You could have argued
>that, as there are only 40 legal channels in America, bandwidth is
>only a consideration to "freebanders" and "keyclowns".

Why? That isn't even related to the discussion. Regardless, you are
wrong again, brain. Bandwidth IS a consideration of legal CBers. Only
a very lossy antenna will get 1:1 SWR on all 40 channels. Usually you
tune it for the center of the band, but some tune it for the channel
they use most.

>==
>> >Thought wrong then, nowt new there.
>>
>> Hablas Ingles?
>==
>
>Si, hablo Ingles.
>
>Try a *real* English dictionary, the word is in my Collins
>English Dictionary.
>
>Collins Compact English Dictionary
> New Edition
> HarperCollins, 1994.
>
>It is also in the World Book Millennium Edition, dictionary
>section (English meaning).

'nowt'? That's interesting, it's a variation of 'nought' from a
dielect of English. So your line was really:

>Thought wrong then, nought new there.

Well, that make a -little- more sense. Maybe if we put it in context
it will become clear. I said:

>Not until you show the figures that demonstrate that skin effect makes
>a significant difference. Of course that will never happen, just like
>it will never happen that you will put up numbers showing a
>significant difference in the efficiency of these antennas due to the
>wire size, or due to polarity losses, dielectric losses, etc.
>.....
>...or maybe you can calculate the difference in the resistance of a
>wire at DC and 27MHz, and therefore show why it's significant, eh?
>Yeah, I didn't think so.

To which you effectively responded:

>Thought wrong then, nought new there.

Well, you never did "show the figures that demonstrate that skin
effect makes a significant difference" with the efficiency of a CB
antenna, and you never did "calculate the difference in the resistance
of a wire at DC and 27 MHz". So I DIDN'T think wrong, brain. You just
screwed up AGAIN!

>==
>> And because of that statement I have returned to demonstrate your
>> willingness to obfuscate the facts.
>==
>
>You never killfiled me, just like you didn't have Randy in your killfile.
>Putting me, Randy, Twistedhed, etc in your killfile would be like cutting
>your heart out. You just couldn't manage without us to argue with.

Like I said before, don't flatter yourself -- I goofed setting up the
killfile the first time.

>But OK, let's look at some basic facts..
>
>I said that the thickness of the wire affects efficiency.

No, you said, "And the wire up the centre is usuaully thin, which does
not help with the efficiency of the antenna." But the wire is -not-
"usually thin". The differences in sizes of wires in fiberglass
antennas have little or nothing to do with the efficiency, because as
I pointed out several times -- and you ignored each time -- is that
many fiberglass antennas use rather thick wire! Sometimes even 16 AWG!
Why do you think I used that figure in my calculations?

>After a bit of moaning you said:
>
> > a whopping 1%!

>Which does agree with my statement.
>But, I then said that you failed to allow for skin effect in your
>calculation.
>So, after more whining, you revised your figure to :
>
> > 6.2% in efficiency

Moaning? Whining? I went where you feared to tread: Mathematicland.
But you still ignored the point that this difference in efficiency
works -against- your argument just as much as it works in its favor.

>Which agrees with both statements.

Except for the part about the wire in a fiberglass antenna being 'thin
enough' to cause a reduced efficiency. Matter of fact, isn't that what
you said in the first place? I think is was! And I even think I quoted
it just a few lines above!

>So it seems that we agree.

Not even close, brain. You were talking BS and I pointed it out. You
can deny it all you want, bring up every excuse in the book (even
one's you refuse to quote), and snip the relavent parts from my posts,
but you were still wrong.

But I'm going to give you a chance to redeem yourself, brain. In case
you didn't notice, many CB antennas are not copper inside fiberglass,
but stainless steel whips. Now the skin resistance for stainless steel
is different than that of copper, so maybe your book can tell if it's
greater than or less than the skin resistance of copper at 27 MHz.
Then show the math that calculates the difference in skin resistance
of a 1/4 wave stainless steel whip compared to a 1/4 wave of 16 AWG
copper. It shouldn't be THAT hard because I have done half the work
for you already! And as you can see from my post, the math isn't
really that hard, even for a grade-schooler.

Give it a try, brain!

3094. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 11:05:51 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4d0963.22789261@news.cet.com>
Source record: 132123

In <aiimihemc8@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
<brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:

>"Scott Sixnine" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
>news:3D47C7AD.5DBAD07C@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>>
>> Brainbuster wrote:
>>
>> > You prove this with your responses... If I answer you, I am "trying to
>> > educate you" and you act offended, if I ignore you then I am "running
>> > away".
>>
>> Damned if you do, damned if you don't...
>==
>
>And condemned as a "keyclown" whether you use an illegal setup or not.

Yeah, that's right, you don't run amps, you just have a couple "room
heaters". Sure thing, brain. Keep making excuses.

From: Brainbuster (brain@buster.com)
Subject: Re: A nice warm glowing box or two
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-11-14 20:10:19 PST
>
>
>Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
>news:20285-3BEFE85E-756@storefull-126.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
>^ What better way to heat the radio room at night than with some
>^ warm tubes just a glowing and a throwing off some heat,
>---
>
>Absolutely, my small "shack" is kept warm by the heat from a couple of tubes.
>Great thing is that they even give off heat on standby, with no signal in.
>
>Of course, I wont say what they are used on... but they aint used for Ham
>Radio.
>
>
>
>Brainbuster.
>---

From: Brainbuster (brain@buster.com)
Subject: Re: A nice warm glowing box or two

Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-11-18 18:59:26 PST
>
>
>Mark Pearsal <radiohead11m@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20011116114951.26241.00000157@mb-ck.aol.com...
>> >Absolutely, my small "shack" is kept warm by the heat from a couple of
>> >tubes.
>> >Great thing is that they even give off heat on standby, with no signal in.
>> >
>> >Of course, I wont say what they are used on... but they aint used for Ham
>> >Radio.
>> >
>>
>> Oh Brainbuster,
>---
>
>Yes...
>
>---
>> Well, I've never...!!! ;-)
>---
>
>Wot, not even once?
>
>---
>> Hi Peter, haven't been on the newsgroup in a while. Nice to read your
>> posts...naughty lad you are. Take care and 73.
>---
>
>It's not really that naughty... they are only small, single tube units. It's
>not like I stole the crown jewels, or even worse... threw litter in the
>street.
>Usually, I have one in use, and one on standby, for the heat given off.
>
>They're electric room heaters, your honour.... works every time.
>
>
>Regards.
>
>Brainbuster.
>---
>

3095. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 11:16:35 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4d0c4b.23533879@news.cet.com>
Source record: 132124

In <aiimijemc9@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>, "Brainbuster"
<brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:

<snip>

He brain, didn't you say that YOU had ME in YOUR killfile? So what are
YOUR excuses?

Hypocrite!

3096. Re: D&A Hawk " Driver - Modulator Amp " Linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 11:43:23 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@charter.net>
Message-ID: <ukq4t4khshu011@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132125

Curious...is it the original Hawk.....hammertone grey color, or the 2nd generation, light tan, and brown??

"k2000" <k2000b@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:39e0bc30.0208031438.1fff20dd@posting.google.com...
> I have for sale a D&A Hawk Amp one tube amp was ment to be
> used as small driver for the D&A Phantom 1kw amp also by D&A
> transmits around 20watts am 11m serial # HKB 6028 also will include spare tube
> with amp condition is very good,. asking 65.00 shipping/insurance
> included, referances on ebay feedback from both buying and selling
> also will take Pay Pal from pay pal account balance only,.
> ebay referance form buying and selling under user ID: k2000a

3097. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 12:08:31 UTC
From: Scott <scott@ovis.net>
Message-ID: <3P839.46674$Ru5.16823@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 132126

Thanks for the good info hammers. My dad was into all of this stuff back in the 70's and if he were still with us today he would have had me all set up. However he is gone but not forgotten!
Now I just need to deside... Will it be the TexasStar 250 or the 500? And should I buy the "V" model or just go with the straight shooter with Low, Medium and High?

Again Thanks, Scott

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D4CECA0.AA77DECE@icok.net...
>
>
> Scott,
> If you post honest questions someone will give you
> an honest answer. It's also a very good idea to learn
> how to use the 'filters' in your browser. Once you
> figure out where most of the trash comes from, and then
> filter them out, it gets easier and much more enjoyable.
> 'Doc

3098. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 12:32:14 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <aij72h$14satq$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132127

I can understand that since u can't tell your ass from a hole in the ground.

--
714 Sandpile,
The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208032130.560453f4@posting.google.com...
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<QrY29.17426$pg2.1405624@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net
> > All in your judgment about the probability of his getting busted at all.
> > The thread began with advice to buy a decent amp which Texas Star
> > qualifies as being, barely, but one hell of an improvement on the usual
> > run of CB crap. I am not in the punishment biz, Leland.
> >
> > Speaking of which when do you plan to get ticketed for 28.anything??
>
> Wow. It's getting so it's hard to tell Dick's posts from the CB outlaws
posts.
>
> -A

3099. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 12:34:08 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <aij762$14gu0d$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132128

Kudos 2 u my friend , a valid response to a invalid statement.

--
714 Sandpile,
The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020803162731.06232.00001370@mb-cp.aol.com...
> this shows clearly that all you care about is giving cb a bad name, cb'ers
> grief, and not cleaning up the airwaves. a real ham should help anybody
get a
> better cleaner station no matter what band
>
> >
> >The best thing you could have done is kept your mouth shut at least, and
=
> >let him buy a cheap Class "C" splatter box. The more noise it makes the =
> >quicker he gets busted when the local neighbors complain to the FCC.=20
>
>
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

3100. Re: Statisical chances of being busted?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 13:11:28 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208040511.72d77f19@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132129

tnom@mucks.net wrote in message news:<08apkucbiq5l7v7nj016kf57ctjcpd0ftu@4ax.com>...
> On Sat, 3 Aug 2002 22:14:55 -0400, "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Since my PC only has one 1.3 gig p4 it is too slow to calculate how small of
> >a chance I have of being busted but here are some thing that have a greater
> >chance of happening before the fcc "gets me"
> >winning the lotery
> >winning the natonal spelling bee
> >convincing my wife that more then one wife is biblical and ok
> >voobmiester having sex(with a female)
> >""""""""""""""""""""""""""""(with a female human)
> >""""""""""""""""""""""""""""(with a female human thats still breathing)
> >being abducted by aliens
> >collecting social security
> >getting my moneys worth from taxes
> >Maybe someone else has a "BIG PC" that can figure out the odds
> >AAUUDDIIOOO!!!
> >Sincerly
> >Ken
>
> I'll have to agree.
>
> Maybe a more challenging odds calculation would be the one about
> the Voob? ...."voobmiester having sex(with a female)"
>
> Now that number has to be just shy of nothing. No computer
> could possibly contain enough power to calculate this. At least in
> our lifetime.

You've heard of the "seti" program? Maybe we could all pool the "free
time" all our computers have (when we're not using them for something
else) and share them to determine the odds? LOL ;)

3101. Royce Microphone pinout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 13:21:39 UTC
From: bcheeseman <bcheeseman@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <DT939.276129$WJf1.68739@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 132130

Does any-one know the pinout/colour code for a royce mic cb. They tell me
all
the royce models are the same. Thanks

3102. Re: Statisical chances of being busted?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 13:31:36 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@charter.net>
Message-ID: <ukqb821b900487@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132131

You forgot one......going to the dentist, and him not finding a cavity...as in $$$$$$$$$$ LOL

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:ukp3gq120ale9a@corp.supernews.com...
> Since my PC only has one 1.3 gig p4 it is too slow to calculate how small of
> a chance I have of being busted but here are some thing that have a greater
> chance of happening before the fcc "gets me"
> winning the lotery
> winning the natonal spelling bee
> convincing my wife that more then one wife is biblical and ok
> voobmiester having sex(with a female)
> """""""""""""""""""""""""""""(with a female human)
> """""""""""""""""""""""""""""(with a female human thats still breathing)
> being abducted by aliens
> collecting social security
> getting my moneys worth from taxes
> Maybe someone else has a "BIG PC" that can figure out the odds
> AAUUDDIIOOO!!!
> Sincerly
> Ken
>
>

3103. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 13:43:54 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ukqbsllq6r8ef0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132132

Thats easy! The hole in the ground doesn't smell:)

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:aij72h$14satq$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de...
> I can understand that since u can't tell your ass from a hole in the
ground.

3104. Thanks Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 13:53:17 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ukqcfbc09o9c03@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132133

Hey great response to allot of cb'ers here. Cause of you guys i decided to
go legit and keep it to just the 40 ch. I can't say ill go to just 4 watts
cause honestly 4 watts is totally useless especially in NYC and cb is a tool
i need to acomplish my job efficiantly. I have started studiing for my ham
liscense. Can't find now your talking yet.
Sincerely
Ken
PS None of the above applies to Vobb and his clones

3105. fine tuning ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 14:40:25 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D4D3CD9.1E35BE6C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 132134

Hi All

I have a Uniden pc68xl am radio .....I was wondering if there is a way
to turn the radio up....for more power ....and if there was a way ...I
am new at this .....is it something easy to do...or is it hard....
or should I just leave it alone ....

thanks

Zeek

3106. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 14:56:02 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <5gb39.35701$2Q6.150734965@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132135

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:7T639.17988$pg2.1462717@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> (waltz time mit oompah und kraut)
>
> We're coming, we're coming our brave little band
> On the right side of temp'rance we do take our stand
> We don't use tobacco because we do think
> That people who use it are likely to drink
>
> (Chorus)
> Away, Away with Rum, by gum
> Rum by gum, rum by gum
> Away, Away with Rum by gum
> It's the song of the Temperance Union.
>
> We never drink water - they put it in gin
> And one little sip and a man starts to grin
> O, can you imagine a sorrier sight
> Than a man drinking water and singing all nite
>
> Chorus
>
> We never eat corn flakes because they have malt
> And we cannot imagine a much greater fault
> O, can you imagine a sight that's more droll
> Than a woman at breakfast slumped over her bowl
>
> Chorus
>
> We never drink milkshakes 'cause they're made with malt
> And one little slurp makes your brain somersault
> Oh can you imagine behavior so rash
> As bar top gymnastics with a frothy mustache
>
> Chorus
>
> We never eat fruitcake because it has rum
> And one tiny bite turns a man to a bum
> Oh can you imagine the pitiful plight
> Of a man eating fruitcake until he gets tight
>
> Chorus
>

> A man who eats fruitcake lives a terrible life
> He's mean to his children and beats on his wife
> A man who eats fruitcake dies a terrible death
> With the odor of raisins and rum on his breath
>
> Chorus
>
>
>
>
> We never eat cookies because they have yeast
> And one tiny bite turns a man to a beast
> Oh can you imagine the utter disgrace
> Of a man in the gutter with crumbs on his face.
>
> Chorus
>
> We never eat peaches because they ferment
> And a peach will ferment at the least little dent
> Oh, can you imagine a sight more obscene
> Than a man getting tipsy on peaches and cream
>
> Chorus
>
> We never use Brylcream 'cause that's got bay rum
> And too many rubbings can make your head numb
> But if there's a thought that will leave you in fits
> Just imagine the millions of paralyzed nits.
>
> Chorus
>
>
>

LMAO!, too funny Richard.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

3107. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 15:40:27 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D4D4AEB.1030207@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132136

My opinion is this: If you're going to run an amp, please do so cleanly,
don't overdrive it, keep the modulation close to 100% without going over,
and keep it on "low".

The V model is kindof a waste, and I'd not spend the money on the 500...
The 250 should be plenty to get down the street and talk around the truck stop.

Of course, I don't run an amp, I don't need an amp, and I don't suggest
running one either... Work on your antenna system instead, get it more
efficient, and you'll see much more drastic gains.. Wilson Silver-Loads are
great antennas for the money. don't be fooled by the hype of the "oil can"
and "coil over" antennas.. they're really not worth the money.

-SSB

Scott wrote:

> Thanks for the good info hammers. My dad was into all of this stuff back in
> the 70's and if he were still with us today he would have had me all set up.
> However he is gone but not forgotten!
> Now I just need to deside... Will it be the TexasStar 250 or the 500? And
> should I buy the "V" model or just go with the straight shooter with Low,
> Medium and High?
>
> Again Thanks, Scott
>
>
>
> "'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D4CECA0.AA77DECE@icok.net...
>
>>
>>Scott,
>> If you post honest questions someone will give you
>>an honest answer. It's also a very good idea to learn
>>how to use the 'filters' in your browser. Once you
>>figure out where most of the trash comes from, and then
>>filter them out, it gets easier and much more enjoyable.
>> 'Doc
>>
>
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3108. Re: Thanks Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 15:45:59 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D4D4C37.8080106@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132137

Ken:

Go to Radio Shack. Get the Gordon West book. It's $8.95 last time I checked. Study the questions by going through the book the first time with a highlighter. Highlight EVERY question, ONLY the CORRECT answer for every question, and the explanation for every question.

Then read through the book again... reading ONLY the highlighted parts of every question. Don't worry about the incorrect answers, just read the correct ones, and the explanations of WHY they're correct.

You should be ready for a VE session in under a week if you study for 30 minutes every day.

If you can't find "Now You're Talking", this is a good solution. Good luck on the test, and let us know when you get your callsign. There's IRLP and ILinked repeaters in the NYC area that we could pop into and say howdy to ya.

73 de AB8LR, Chris

-SSB

Kenneth wrote:

> Hey great response to allot of cb'ers here. Cause of you guys i decided to
> go legit and keep it to just the 40 ch. I can't say ill go to just 4 watts
> cause honestly 4 watts is totally useless especially in NYC and cb is a tool
> i need to acomplish my job efficiantly. I have started studiing for my ham
> liscense. Can't find now your talking yet.
> Sincerely
> Ken
> PS None of the above applies to Vobb and his clones
>
>
>
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3109. Re: fine tuning ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 15:47:44 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D4D4CA0.8030006@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132138

Unless you're not putting out the 4 watts Uniden claims the radio will do, don't mess with it.. Just get a good mike and improve your antenna system (better coax, better antenna, etc), and you'll "get out" better.

Truckstop RG-8 mini isn't "good coax".. get the good stuff from a HAM radio store. DON'T buy the RG-8 from Radio Shack.. it REALLY sucks.

HTH

-SSB

zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:

> Hi All
>
> I have a Uniden pc68xl am radio .....I was wondering if there is a way
> to turn the radio up....for more power ....and if there was a way ...I
> am new at this .....is it something easy to do...or is it hard....
> or should I just leave it alone ....
>
> thanks
>
> Zeek
>
>
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3110. Re: Royce Microphone pinout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 16:03:01 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <Vec39.617$qJ5.352@nwrddc04.gnilink.net>
Source record: 132139

Hi there, yes they seem to be all the same the audio go's in on pin 1 shield
on pin2 the transmit is on pin 3 and the receive is on pin 4 if you can't
get it with this info I'll have to try something else ok?
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"bcheeseman" <bcheeseman@rogers.com> wrote in message
news:DT939.276129$WJf1.68739@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com...
> Does any-one know the pinout/colour code for a royce mic cb. They tell me
> all
> the royce models are the same. Thanks
>
>

3111. Re: Cows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 16:23:55 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3d4d5505.46878207@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 132140

On 3 Aug 2002 22:35:37 -0700, ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
wrote:

>chimbuba@optonline.net wrote in message news:<3d4be536.66587538@news.optonline.net>...
>> On 25 Jul 2002 16:10:36 -0700, ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
>> wrote:
>>
>> >'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:<3D3F8B78.8263E861@icok.net>...
>> >> TRADITIONAL CAPITALISM:
>> >> You have two cows.
>> >> You sell one and buy a bull.
>> >> Your herd multiplies, and the economy grows.
>> >> You sell them and retire on the income.
>> >
>> >KEYCLOWN COMPANY
>> >
>> >You have one cow but you illegally modify it
>> >so it's the most powerful cow in the neighborhood.
>> >So powerful it drives away all the neighboring cows.
>> >Cows that are too dumb to be driven away are
>> >simply trampled and killed by your cow.
>> >Soon all that's left are modified cows like yours who
>> >fight amongst themselves.
>> >People can no longer have milk or dairy products
>> >because you've decided "it's all about competition".
>> >
>> >-A
>>
>> As it shall remain...................
>
>Now that's a REAL keyclown for ya. He's actually proud of ruining channel 6
>
>- A
Thanks

3112. SAMS Fotofacts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 16:42:18 UTC
From: duckyjr <duckyjr@attcanada.ca>
Message-ID: <nQc39.7794$H67.41770@tor-nn1.netcom.ca>
Source record: 132141

I found their website! It's samswebsite.com
I feel kind of duh! for not thinking of this before. lol.

3113. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 17:27:16 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4D63F8.30BBF6B1@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132142

Richard McCollum played the #1 top ten song of the week:
>
>
> (waltz time mit oompah und kraut)
>
> We're coming, we're coming our brave little band
> On the right side of temp'rance we do take our stand
> We don't use tobacco because we do think
> That people who use it are likely to drink
>
> (Chorus)
> Away, Away with Rum, by gum
> Rum by gum, rum by gum
> Away, Away with Rum by gum
> It's the song of the Temperance Union.
>
> We never drink water - they put it in gin
> And one little sip and a man starts to grin
> O, can you imagine a sorrier sight
> Than a man drinking water and singing all nite
>
> Chorus
>
> We never eat corn flakes because they have malt
> And we cannot imagine a much greater fault
> O, can you imagine a sight that's more droll
> Than a woman at breakfast slumped over her bowl
>
> Chorus
>
> We never drink milkshakes 'cause they're made with malt
> And one little slurp makes your brain somersault
> Oh can you imagine behavior so rash
> As bar top gymnastics with a frothy mustache
>
> Chorus
>
> We never eat fruitcake because it has rum
> And one tiny bite turns a man to a bum
> Oh can you imagine the pitiful plight
> Of a man eating fruitcake until he gets tight
>
> Chorus
>

> A man who eats fruitcake lives a terrible life
> He's mean to his children and beats on his wife
> A man who eats fruitcake dies a terrible death
> With the odor of raisins and rum on his breath
>
> Chorus
>
> We never eat cookies because they have yeast
> And one tiny bite turns a man to a beast
> Oh can you imagine the utter disgrace
> Of a man in the gutter with crumbs on his face.
>
> Chorus
>
> We never eat peaches because they ferment
> And a peach will ferment at the least little dent
> Oh, can you imagine a sight more obscene
> Than a man getting tipsy on peaches and cream
>
> Chorus
>
> We never use Brylcream 'cause that's got bay rum
> And too many rubbings can make your head numb
> But if there's a thought that will leave you in fits
> Just imagine the millions of paralyzed nits.
>
> Chorus

Where can I download the .mp3?

3114. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 17:28:55 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4D645C.7452D52C@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132143

Aaron, YOU SLIPPED!!!

"rugerp85
>
> FCC rule 2.815(b): After APR 27, 1978, no person shall manufacture,
> sell or lease, offer for sale or lease (including advertising for sale
(...)

3115. Re: fine tuning ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 17:35:50 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4D65FA.AABF3D41@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132144

zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> Hi All
>
> I have a Uniden pc68xl am radio .....I was wondering if there is a way
> to turn the radio up....for more power ....and if there was a way ...I
> am new at this .....is it something easy to do...or is it hard....
> or should I just leave it alone ....

Turning up the radio will only be noticed by your watt meter. You will never get enough out for anyone else to notice. A properly adjusted radio into a small box will work better and be more reliable. Just remember that AM requires 4 times more power at full modulation then is required for a dead key. IOW, 25 watts dead key should show 100 watts on a peak meter. Competition boxes always sound bad.

3116. Squelch on newer Uniden Grant XL, Help...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 17:37:37 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804133737.04698.00001641@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 132145

Hello,
I have the above unit and when I got it second hand, the squelch was
dis-connected to put variable power in it's place.
I would like to put it back to stock, but can not figure out where to run the
wires for the squelch. This unit appears to be identicle to the 148GTL.
If someone can give me some direction on where to locate the wires.
I will need board locations and and squelch knob connections.
I know this is asking alot, but it drives me nuts with no squelch.
Please e-mail me direct at tramd300@aol.com

Thank you in advance...

** Don't shake my tree if you don't like what comes down**
Life is too short... Enjoy the ride...
{{:-})> <({-:}}

3117. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 17:41:32 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20020804134132.06355.00001416@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 132146

I saw this but wasn't going to say anything.....
Train

>Subject: Re: Amps ?
>From: "Scott (Unit 69)" scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com
>Date: 8/4/02 1:28 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3D4D645C.7452D52C@yaSPAMhoo.com>
>
>Aaron, YOU SLIPPED!!!
>
>"rugerp85
>>
>> FCC rule 2.815(b): After APR 27, 1978, no person shall manufacture,
>> sell or lease, offer for sale or lease (including advertising for sale
>(...)
>
>
>
>
>
>

3118. Re: Squelch on newer Uniden Grant XL, Help...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 17:43:59 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4D67E4.7F86CEF4@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132147

TRAMD300 wrote:

> I will need board locations and and squelch knob connections.
> I know this is asking alot, but it drives me nuts with no squelch.

You don't like to hear the weak mudducks? You only talk to locals?

3119. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 18:10:09 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <56e39.526$Gy.58089715@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132148

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D4D63F8.30BBF6B1@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Richard McCollum played the #1 top ten song of the week:
> >
> >
> > (waltz time mit oompah und kraut)
>
> Where can I download the .mp3?

Agreed, where is it available on CD?

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

3120. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 18:23:06 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <eie39.79145$eG2.2272950@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132149

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020803162731.06232.00001370@mb-cp.aol.com...
> this shows clearly that all you care about is giving cb a bad name,cb'ers grief,

No, just the illegal types such as yourself.

> and not cleaning up the airwaves.

You could do your part Tim junking all your radios and amps for a start.

> a real ham should help anybody get a
> better cleaner station no matter what band

Yeah, and with your kind of logic it would be OK to give a mugger advice as to which kind of hand gun to use to better commit his crimes. You have one perverted sense of values Tim.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
depends on our willingness to take a
chance on tomorrow by risking what
we have today."

3121. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 18:26:11 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <7le39.60618$vg.2365168@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132150

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208032130.560453f4@posting.google.com...
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<QrY29.17426$pg2.1405624@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net
> > All in your judgment about the probability of his getting busted at all.
> > The thread began with advice to buy a decent amp which Texas Star
> > qualifies as being, barely, but one hell of an improvement on the usual
> > run of CB crap. I am not in the punishment biz, Leland.
> >
> > Speaking of which when do you plan to get ticketed for 28.anything??
>
> Wow. It's getting so it's hard to tell Dick's posts from the CB outlaws posts.

Yeah, I've noticed that of late as well.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

3122. Re: OT- How the Ancient Israelites really discovered the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 18:29:31 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ukqskipqifg88a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132151

Is OT mean off topic or Old Testament?
"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:7hV19.5598$pv6.551060@cletus.bright.net...
> In ancient Israel, it came to pass that a trader by the name of Abraham
Com
> did take unto himself a young wife by the name of Dot. And Dot Com was a
> comely woman, broad of shoulder and long of leg. Indeed, she had been
called
> Amazon Dot Com.
>
> She said unto Abraham, her husband, "Why doth thou travel far from town to
> town with thy goods when thou can trade without ever leaving thy tent?"
>
> And Abraham did look at her as though she were several saddle bags short
of
> a camel load, but simply said, "How, Dear?"
>
> And Dot replied, "I will place drums in all the towns and drums in between
> to send messages saying what you have for sale and they will reply telling
> you which hath the best price. And the sale can be made on the drums and
> delivery made by Uriah's Pony Stable (UPS)."
>
> Abraham thought long and decided he would let Dot have her way with the
> drums. The drums rang out and were an immediate success. Abraham sold all
> the goods he had at the top price, without ever moving from his tent.
>
> But this success did arouse envy. A man named Maccabia did secret himself
> inside Abraham's drum and was accused of insider trading. And the young
men
> did take to Dot Com's trading as doth the greedy horsefly take to camel
> dung. They were called Nomadic Ecclesiastical Rich Dominican Siderites,
or
> NERDS for short.
>
> And lo, the land was so feverish with joy at the new riches and the
> deafening sound of drums that no one noticed that the real riches were
going
> to the drum maker, one Brother William of Gates, who bought up every drum
> company in the land. And indeed did insist on making drums that would work
> only with Brother Gates' drumheads and drumsticks.
>
> Dot did say, "Oh, Abraham, what we have started is being taken over by
> others."
>

> And as Abraham looked out over the Bay of Ezekiel, or as it came to be
known
> "eBay" he said, "We need a name that reflects what we are,"
>
> and Dot replied, "Young Ambitious Hebrew Owner Operators."
>
> "YAHOO", said Abraham.
>
> And that is how it all began.
>
> It wasn't Al Gore after all.
>
>
> --
> KC8TCQ
> American Red Cross - Disaster Services
> Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
> Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red
> Cross
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
>
>

3123. Re: 28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 18:31:24 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ukqso4p4614rb0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132152

Yea but my trolls bring smiles

Yours give gas

3124. Re: OT- How the Ancient Israelites really discovered the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 18:41:46 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4D756E.4A7B21B@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132153

Kenneth wrote:
>
> Is OT mean off topic or Old Testament?

Old Titties.

Old Timer.

3125. New Group please read

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 18:42:12 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ukqtcf9afmgh0e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132154

There is a new newsgroups
alt.flame.Voobner
Its right between Vermin and WasteWater.

3126. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 18:44:47 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <zCe39.24348$Kl6.1530988@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132155

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:5gb39.35701$2Q6.150734965@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:7T639.17988$pg2.1462717@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > (waltz time mit oompah und kraut)
> >
> > We're coming, we're coming our brave little band
> > On the right side of temp'rance we do take our stand
> > We don't use tobacco because we do think
> > That people who use it are likely to drink
> >
> > (Chorus)
> > Away, Away with Rum, by gum
> > Rum by gum, rum by gum
> > Away, Away with Rum by gum
> > It's the song of the Temperance Union.
> >
> > We never drink water - they put it in gin
> > And one little sip and a man starts to grin
> > O, can you imagine a sorrier sight
> > Than a man drinking water and singing all nite
> >
> > Chorus
> >
> > We never eat corn flakes because they have malt
> > And we cannot imagine a much greater fault
> > O, can you imagine a sight that's more droll
> > Than a woman at breakfast slumped over her bowl
> >
> > Chorus
> >
> > We never drink milkshakes 'cause they're made with malt
> > And one little slurp makes your brain somersault
> > Oh can you imagine behavior so rash
> > As bar top gymnastics with a frothy mustache
> >
> > Chorus
> >
> > We never eat fruitcake because it has rum
> > And one tiny bite turns a man to a bum
> > Oh can you imagine the pitiful plight
> > Of a man eating fruitcake until he gets tight

> >
> > Chorus
> >
> > A man who eats fruitcake lives a terrible life
> > He's mean to his children and beats on his wife
> > A man who eats fruitcake dies a terrible death
> > With the odor of raisins and rum on his breath
> >
> > Chorus
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > We never eat cookies because they have yeast
> > And one tiny bite turns a man to a beast
> > Oh can you imagine the utter disgrace
> > Of a man in the gutter with crumbs on his face.
> >
> > Chorus
> >
> > We never eat peaches because they ferment
> > And a peach will ferment at the least little dent
> > Oh, can you imagine a sight more obscene
> > Than a man getting tipsy on peaches and cream
> >
> > Chorus
> >
> > We never use Brylcream 'cause that's got bay rum
> > And too many rubbings can make your head numb
> > But if there's a thought that will leave you in fits
> > Just imagine the millions of paralyzed nits.
> >
> > Chorus
> >
> >
> >
>
> LMAO!, too funny Richard.
>
>
> Landshark
>

Wish I could claim it but it is an old college drinking song. see
http://shorty.mudcat.org for the Song of the Temperance Union.

3127. Re: re fcc question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 18:51:03 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ukqtt23i7aa6e0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132156

Hey Jarhead if your sooo smart tell me
Who is the Secretary of the Marine Corps!

How did the marines get there name?
when u through shit at a fan the sound it make is mmaarrriiiinnnnssssss!

Ken Mulderig
MM2 , USS Stein FF-1065 the finast frigate in the fleet
1985 1989

> Frank Gilliland
> USMC, 1981 - 1985
> 3/8, 2nd MarDiv, 1983 - 1985 (Fortuna Favet Fortibus)
> 24th MAU, 1983,4 (Multinational Peacekeeping Force, Beirut)
>
> Now, do you have something more you want to say before you get dropped
> into the killfile?
>

3128. Talk Back in a Uniden pc-122xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 18:57:38 UTC
From: Onyx <Drifter101ex@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ukquaen4evc991@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132157

Hi,
Can someone tell me how to put talk back in a uniden pc-122xl?

Thanks.

3129. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 19:21:01 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <x8f39.100829$cm.3376441@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132158

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:QrY29.17426$pg2.1405624@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> All in your judgment about the probability of his getting busted at all.

So don't deprive him of the chance to find out by screwing up.

>
> What I hope to do is deprive Red the Tech down at Happy Larry's Truck
> Stop, Funeral Parlor, and Emporium of CB Delights of a bit of ill-gotten
> revenue by putting out some straight poop on the subject.

All they will done is push one "brand" over the other is all. You won't be depriving them of one red cent Richard.

> With any luck
> it might find its way into the mainstream of discussion long enough to
> prevent both the traditional limiter-clipped, swing kit, Class C amp rig
> and save some trucker a few centavos in the process. As I earn my keep
> these days with a CDL-B I have a soft spot in my head for the guys pushing
> rigs down the super-slabs in spite of trying to nail them on 28.085
> (Channel 19 two-up). Besides, it passes time.

There are better ways to pass the time then handing out advice about how to better violate Part 95 with the right equipment.

>
> Speaking of which when do you plan to get ticketed for 28.anything??

So when are you going to collage to earn a 4 year degree just for the fun of it Dick?

>
> There are several places where this shows up: no one is particularly happy
> about abortion but one group is out setting rules and punishments where
> the other group recognizes this has gone on for 7,000 years or so in spite
> of rules and gets to be more interested in keeping hemmoraging ladies out
> of ERs. Opiate addiction is not new and where some are out mopping up
> low-level dealers others are training roommates etc in how to handle ODs.
> Actually neither group is capable of making much of a dent but would
> rather spend more time pissing on each other than working together -- much
> like what is happening here.

Sorry Dick, but illegal use of 11m amplifiers, and freebanding is not an addiction. And if it were there are plenty of 12 step programs that prove very effective at fixing the problem.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

3130. Re: Talk Back in a Uniden pc-122xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 19:23:54 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804152354.02086.00001690@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 132159

>Can someone tell me how to put talk back in a uniden pc-122xl

This worked on my older version...
Run a jumper wire from pin 2 (audio) to D-39 and cut the resistor to the rear of D-39, not sure of the resistor number, but if you would let me know the number so I can put it in my notes.
Let me know how it works out on your rig.
Hope this helps...

** Don't shake my tree if you don't like what comes down**
Life is too short... Enjoy the ride...
{{:-})> <({-:}}

3131. Re: Pyramid Power Supplies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 19:28:40 UTC
From: John Medica - Listening-Post <medica@cyberspace.org>
Message-ID: <3D4D8068.52871108@cyberspace.org>
Source record: 132175

Brian Griffey wrote:

> I'm afraid he's right... Pyramid is a very cheap supply.
>

Never had any problems. So stop putting down pyramid

John Medica
System Administrator
Listening-Post
www.listening-post.net
Toronto Ontario Canada

C o n t a c t I n f o r m a t i o n:
ï¿½ Personal: jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org
ï¿½ Work: medica@cyberspace.org

Moderator Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide
http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/oufg

3132. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 19:30:31 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4D80DC.A4AA6566@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132160

http://shorty.mudcat.org/!!-song99.cfm?stuff=fall99+D+11484055

THE SONG OF THE TEMPERANCE UNION

We're coming, we're coming, our brave little band
On the right side of temp'rance we do take our stand.
We don't use tobacco, because we do think
That the people who use it are likely to drink

Away, away, with rum, by gum,
Rum by gum, rum by gum
Away, away, with rum, by gum,
The song of the Temperance Union.

We never eat fruitcake because it has rum,
And one little slice puts a man on the bum.
Oh, can you imagine the pitiful plight
Of a man eating fruitcake until he gets tight?

A man who eats fruitcake lives a terrible life.
He's mean to his children and beats on his wife.
A man who eats fruitcake dies a terrible death,
With the odor of raisins and rum on his breath!

We never eat cookies because they have yeast,
And one little bite turns a man to a beast.
Oh, can you imagine the utter disgrace
Of a man in the gutter with crumbs on his face?

We never drink water -- they put it in gin,
And one little sip and a man starts to grin.
Oh, can you imagine a sorrier sight
Than a man drinking water and singing all night?

We never eat peaches because they ferment,
And a peach will ferment at the least little dent.
Oh, can you imagine a sight more obscene,
Than a man getting tipsy on peaches and cream!

Beware of plum pudding, the kind that they light.
They drench it in brandy so it will ignite.
The thought is revolting to temperate folk,
For people go blotto inhaling the smoke.

We never touch coffee; it makes our eyes gleam,
At least when they add Irish whiskey and cream.
Oh, can you imagine a fate more unkind

Than sluggin' down coffee and going stone blind?

We never drink milkshakes 'cause they're made with malt,
And one little slurp makes your brain somersalt.
Oh, can you imagine behavior so rash
As bartop gymnastics with a frothy mustache

We never play jumprope 'cause jumpers take hops,
And once they start hopping, they hops 'til they drops.
This vile degradation starts out as a game
And grammar school innocence turns into shame.

We never have backrubs because it's a crime,
And we will oppose them in song and in rhyme.
For an alcohol backrub is worse than straight gin
When you think of the liquor absorbed through the skin.

We never use Brylcream 'cause that's got bay rum,
And too many rubbings can turn your head numb,
But if there's a thought that'll leave you in fits,
Just imagine the millions of paralytic nits.

Now if you go hiking and get sores on your feet,
Don't use rubbing spirits as a means for to treat,
'Cause it seeps through the pores of your feet by osmosis,
And you end up by having ten drunk little toesis.

We never eat cornflakes because they have malt,
And we can't imagine a much greater fault.
Oh, can you imagine a sight that's more droll
Than a woman at breakfast slumped over her bowl!

We never dance Morris -- you have to drink ale,
And respectable people, who see us, turn pale.
Oh, can you imagine the staggering sight
Of a man who drinks ale, dancing ``Saturday Night?''

3133. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 19:33:57 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4D81A9.F1720491@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132161

More: (I typed "our brave little band" in the search box)

http://shorty.mudcat.org/!!-song99.cfm?stuff=fall99+D+562082

http://shorty.mudcat.org/!!-song99.cfm?stuff=fall99+D+559811

http://shorty.mudcat.org/!!-song99.cfm?stuff=fall99+D+570055

http://shorty.mudcat.org/!!-song99.cfm?stuff=fall99+D+3337602

3134. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 19:36:19 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4D8238.CB5314F8@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132162

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:

> there are plenty of 12 step programs that prove very effective at fixing the problem.

The only difference between a drunk and an alcoholic is those stupid meetings!

Monday - Cure for the weekend. 8-<

3135. Re: Pyramid Power Supplies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 19:41:01 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <hrf39.24398$Kl6.1533174@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132163

I'm afraid he's right... Pyramid is a very cheap supply.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
news:1028379166.864713@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net...
> Pyramid ; JUNK JUNK JUNK JUNK.

3136. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 19:42:46 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Wsf39.24401$Kl6.1536818@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132164

Aaron will be more than happy to help you...lol

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Scott" <scott@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:ACU29.137714$uh7.21934@sccrnsc03...
> If I post questions about amps are you people in the group going to flame
me
> about how it is illegal to use an amp on 11 meters?
> I am one of those so called truck drivers that is tired of people walking
> all over my little radio!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Scott
>
>

3137. Re: Talk Back in a Uniden pc-122xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 19:45:02 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4D8442.3FCAFBA2@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132165

Onyx wrote:
>
> Hi,
> Can someone tell me how to put talk back in a uniden pc-122xl?
>

Thanks. for ruining a perfectly good radio. What's next, turn
every pot to get 10 watts out of it. You'll lose your modulation
and wonder why it gets so hot...

...notes from a longtime 122 user. I'd abused mine in the late 80's.
Stuck it in a box for 10 years. Found a tech who could really fix it.
I have not removed the screws from it since. He put it back to stock,
(minus the 12V final jumper, too much current draw on AM for the reg.)
tuned and aligned it to factory specs. Run the radio like it should
and it will last and sound good. Butcher it and it will break. The
proper mods were on Handy Andy's website, which is gone now. I'm glad
I saved a copy of it on my hard drive.

(If you need talkback, you're probably using a stupid echo mike...)

3138. Re: re fcc question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 19:46:09 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4D8486.5F0E701F@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132166

Kenneth wrote:
>
> Hey Jarhead if your sooo smart tell me
> Who is the Secretary of the Marine Corps!
>
How did the marines get they're name? (contraction of "they are")
> when u through shit at a fan the sound it make is mmaarrriiiinnnnssssss!

3139. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 19:46:59 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Twf39.24405$Kl6.1535381@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132167

While a properly biased amplifier will be more "hamonic friendly", the bias has nothing to do with the cleaness of the audio that emerges. Proper drive has everything to do with it. Any amplifier that is operated in the "compression" area due to over driving will distort the audio content.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message news:3D4C18D8.3249100@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> The answer is to use your amp on low so it sounds clean and
> doesn't splatter. Make sure to use an amp with bias. Comp
> boxes have no bias and operate in class C. They will not be
> clean. Do it right the first time, get a Texas Star 250 or 500.

3140. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 19:48:58 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Kyf39.24407$Kl6.1534918@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132168

That's not very "politically correct" Aaron...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> Congratulations, Dick. You've won the retard vote.

3141. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 20:00:06 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4D87CA.283984B3@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132169

Brian Griffey wrote:
>
> While a properly biased amplifier will be more "harmonic friendly", the bias
> has nothing to do with the cleaness of the audio that emerges. Proper drive
> has everything to do with it. Any amplifier that is operated in the
> "compression" area due to over driving will distort the audio content.

That's why I suggested to use the amp on low. Bill E. will argue that the swamping resistance will keep the peak down as well as DK, but that keeps the signal in the linear portion of the devices. I still say that there is no real difference in range between a 2 pill and a 4 pill box.

(been there, done that, waiting for my t-shirt)

> --
> |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
> |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
> "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D4C18D8.3249100@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > The answer is to use your amp on low so it sounds clean and
> > doesn't splatter. Make sure to use an amp with bias. Comp
> > boxes have no bias and operate in class C. They will not be
> > clean. Do it right the first time, get a Texas Star 250 or 500.

3142. Re: correcting myself (duh!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 20:01:04 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4D8804.C19CF30E@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132170

"Scott (Unit 69)" wrote:
>
> Kenneth wrote:
> >
> > Hey Jarhead if your sooo smart tell me
> > Who is the Secretary of the Marine Corps!
> >
> How did the marines get their name?
> > when u through shit at a fan the sound it make is mmaarrriiiinnnnssssss!

3143. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 20:05:19 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4D8903.9B446D76@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132171

Brian Griffey wrote:
>
> That's not very "politically correct" Aaron...

He's not "mentally correct" either.

3144. Re: Thanks Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 20:06:34 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208041206.ca12bc2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132172

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:<ukqcfbc09o9c03@corp.supernews.com>...
> I can't say ill go to just 4 watts
> cause honestly 4 watts is totally
>useless especially in NYC and cb is a tool
> i need to acomplish my job efficiantly.
>I have started studiing for my ham
> liscense.

I don't think the hams are looking for people who "make up their
own rules as they go", Kenneth.

-Aaron-

3145. Custom Roger Beep for Grant XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 20:08:00 UTC
From: Freebander <freebander@nospam.cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <9l2rkuo57v3d9dhhbg5fq24b01a61i2jbi@4ax.com>
Source record: 132173

I am looking for suggestions for a roger beep board that can be modified for a very specific tone, 4800Hz , and for a specific length, 150ms ... Can someone make a recamendation, or get me some contact information on someone who can custom make a roger beep board for me that will do this? Any help you can provide will be greatly appeciated!

Thanks in advance,

747, Cocoa Beach FL

3146. Re: Interesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 20:15:12 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208041215.24d0811@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132174

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:<aiimi9emc1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> "dr_sinister" <dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:57ce2c1e.0207301729.6df81104@posting.google.com...
> >
> > Guess I made Goobner mad,oh well.
> ==
>
> Don't take it personal, those hate posts were sent to many of us. My filter
> wiped out two xganon posts on the server. He is probably using xganon
> because he got caught out before by his IP number.
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Peter.

I see the UK troll is trying to spread the disinfo that I send anon
posts. Got any proof? No? It figures. He spreads false rumors. It's
about all he can do.

-Aaron-

3147. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 20:20:29 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <h0g39.7645$X5.372643@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 132176

So that would mean that you would have to turn your CB off every time you have someone in your car and couldn't set up a base in any place in your house ware you could listen to it for incoming calls including emergencies while doing other things. A CB is of no use if it is not turned on.

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns925FEBD7455CDsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in
> news:x3%29.13648$Z5.588165@news1.telusplanet.net:
>
> > Just one question, would you want your wife and kids listening to the,
> > "bad stuff" while in the car?
>
> Hmmm...point taken. The answer is no, depending on the content. And that
> goes for anybody in my vehicle that may be offended by the content. Common
> courtesy.

3148. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 20:46:28 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208041246.2513c9d8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132177

Brian Griffey wrote:
>
> That's not very "politically correct" Aaron...

You're grasping at straws now.

-A

3149. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 20:53:22 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020804165322.02018.00001776@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 132178

why not answer the question? any plans at all of upgrading your ticket or is the entry level position still good enough? by your previous comment of working a "band opening" on 6 meters its obvious your interested in working the world via dx so why not just pass the very basic 5wpm test and enjoy it full blast? surely 5wpm isnt too hard for you. many children are beating this out on keys for merit badges everyday so it shouldnt be too much for an egineer like yourself

>> > Speaking of which when do you plan to get ticketed for 28.anything??
>>=20
>> Wow. It's getting so it's hard to tell Dick's posts from the CB =
>outlaws posts.
>
>Yeah, I've noticed that of late as well.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3150. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 20:55:29 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020804165529.02018.00001778@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 132179

the 250 is easier to over drive than the 500. go witht he 500.

>
>
>My opinion is this: If you're going to run an amp, please do so cleanly,
>don't overdrive it, keep the modulation close to 100% without going over,
>and keep it on "low".
>
>The V model is kindof a waste, and I'd not spend the money on the 500...
>The 250 should be plenty to get down the street and talk around the truck
>stop.
>
>Of course, I don't run an amp, I don't need an amp, and I don't suggest
>running one either... Work on your antenna system instead, get it more
>efficient, and you'll see much more drastic gains.. Wilson Silver-Loads are
>great antennas for the money. don't be fooled by the hype of the "oil can"
>and "coil over" antennas.. they're really not worth the money.
>
>-SSB
>
>Scott wrote:
>
>> Thanks for the good info hammers. My dad was into all of this stuff back in
>> the 70's and if he were still with us today he would have had me all set
>up.
>> However he is gone but not forgotten!
>> Now I just need to deside... Will it be the TexasStar 250 or the 500? And
>> should I buy the "V" model or just go with the straight shooter with Low,
>> Medium and High?
>>
>> Again Thanks, Scott
>>
>>
>>
>> "'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D4CECA0.AA77DECE@icok.net...
>>
>>>
>>>Scott,
>>> If you post honest questions someone will give you
>>>an honest answer. It's also a very good idea to learn
>>>how to use the 'filters' in your browser. Once you
>>>figure out where most of the trash comes from, and then
>>>filter them out, it gets easier and much more enjoyable.
>>> 'Doc

>>>
>>
>>
>
>
>--
>73 de AB8LR, Chris
>
>http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3151. PRIDE 100 10-80 METER MOBILE AMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 20:58:45 UTC
From: NI4L <ni4l@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3D4D9585.1070500@charter.net>
Source record: 132180

I have a mobile amp Pride 100 Bi Linear amplifier says 10-80 meters on
the front
has ssb/am preamp works fine asking 140.00 shipped

--
NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
Made with the same components used by our military forces today
Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/

3152. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 20:59:53 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020804165953.02018.00001781@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 132181

sure, i would suggest any mugger to use an electronic stun gun versus a . 44 handgun. which would you rather be mugged with? just like i'd want an outlaw cb'er in my neighborhood to use a clean class ab amp than i would a c splatter box. what if the guy who asked the question never was caught? then thanks to you he'd be tearing up the airwaves which you defend so frivolously. like i said your more interested in showing "how much better hams are than cb'ers" than you are helping solve the problem of rfi. its clear as day to everybody but yourself.

>> a real ham should help anybody get a
>> better cleaner station no matter what band
>
>Yeah, and with your kind of logic it would be OK to give a mugger advice =
>as to which kind of hand gun to use to better commit his crimes. You =
>have one perverted sense of values Tim.
>
>
>--=20
>Leland C. Scott
>KC8LDO
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3153. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 21:03:38 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020804170338.02018.00001785@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 132182

the same can be argued about a 2 pill amp and a dual final radio. myself i'd rather go from barefoot to 500 than i would barefoot to 250. a amp isnt needed all the time but if it is needed its good to have as much power as can be possibly available at the time

>That's why I suggested to use the amp on low. Bill E. will argue that the
>swamping resistance will keep the peak down as well as DK, but that keeps
>the signal in the linear portion of the devices. I still say that there is
>no real difference in range between a 2 pill and a 4 pill box.
>
>(been there, done that, waiting for my t-shirt)

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3154. Re: Pyramid Power Supplies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 21:06:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020804170618.02018.00001788@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 132183

shouldnt bird amps be like bird watts and be rms?

>BTW, I have seen some "20 Amp" power supplies with transformers that
>were rated for less than 100 VA (or 100 watts, effectively). The
>ratings were obviously for peak intermittant duty, i.e, 'Bird amps'.
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3155. Re: Pyramid Power Supplies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 21:06:49 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020804170649.02018.00001789@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 132184

your not the only user int he world....

>Never had any problems. So stop putting down pyramid

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3156. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 21:13:30 UTC
From: "r.r.c.b.auto*responder" <yusenet@autoresponder.kom>
Message-ID: <ukr5rekktj9ic6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132185

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208041246.2513c9d8@posting.google.com...
> Brian Griffey wrote:
> >
> > That's not very "politically correct" Aaron...
>
> You're grasping at straws now.
>
> -A

Aaron, you're newsreader skills are all messed up.
You replied to Jay's post, yet you quote Brian's, ...wierd!
Whats that all about, ..is Google to complicated for you?

3157. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 21:52:06 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4DA20A.FE0A8EF6@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132187

"r.r.c.b.auto*responder" wrote:
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0208041246.2513c9d8@posting.google.com...
> > Brian Griffey wrote:
> > >
> > > That's not very "politically correct" Aaron...
> > >
> > You're grasping at straws now.
> >
> > -A
>
> Aaron, you're newsreader skills are all messed up.
> You replied to Jay's post, yet you quote Brian's, ...wierd!
> Whats that all about, ..is Google to complicated for you?

It's very hard to find the exact post you're responding to when you do a copy,
then log out of one ISP to log on to another to paste the filth in the post.

3158. Re: 28.085 becoming crowded:) ch19 f band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 21:52:54 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D4DA236.7090808@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132186

I chuckled...

Of course the WWV reference was humorous...

-SSB

Kenneth wrote:

> Yea but my trolls bring smiles
>
> Yours give gas
>
>
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3159. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 22:04:47 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4da384.615269@news.cet.com>
Source record: 132188

In <Twf39.24405$Kl6.1535381@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>While a properly biased amplifier will be more "hamonic friendly", the bias
>has nothing to do with the cleaness of the audio that emerges.

ROTFLMAO! Ever hear an AM signal amplified by a Class C amp? The more
into Class C you get the worse it sounds! Geez, Brain, haven't you
learned that much yet? Or are you still hanging onto your voodoo
theory that "linearity is not required for AM"?

> Proper drive
>has everything to do with it. Any amplifier that is operated in the
>"compression" area due to over driving will distort the audio content.

That's called 'clipping', not 'compression', and it can be either
'hard' or 'soft'. Both will distort your audio, but hard clipping will
be much more noticable in both the audio and the harmonics.

3160. Re: Talk Back in a Uniden pc-122xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 22:18:55 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <jLh39.19052$pg2.1529125@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132189

Horsefly Enterprises

Talkback-

I have a little trick that you could add to your radios

.....it's to put talkback IN a radio....if you run a wire from the
positive (+) side of the speaker inside the radio
put a 100ohm resistor inline and solder it to the top
of the audio transformer (small transformer) it will give
you a pretty good sounding talkback....I've tested this in
lots of radios and it didn't work in an old crystal type radio that
used a relay...but it did work in the other bunch
...the resistor size may vary from radio to radio.... use varible with
100-150ohm
in line may give you a more persice measurement, (use ohm meter.)
insert resister that you read with the talk-back you like..even use o switch
on
radio (I like using the channel 19, or channel 9 quick switch)
be sure to put the wires for regular selection together, clip and tape off
the others,
usually three other wires...or the PA switch (who uses it anyways)
I always use the switch, Sometimes I don't want to announce to the world
what
I says man...10-4 ?
--
If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens

3161. Re: Squelch on newer Uniden Grant XL, Help...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 22:20:42 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <_Mh39.19054$pg2.1529619@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132190

man wants to put his squelch back in, stop being an asshole...simple

--
If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens

3162. Re: fine tuning ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 22:26:18 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4da646.1322154@news.cet.com>
Source record: 132191

In <3D4D3CD9.1E35BE6C@hotmail.com>, zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:

>Hi All
>
>I have a Uniden pc68xl am radio .....I was wondering if there is a way
>to turn the radio up....for more power ....and if there was a way ...I
>am new at this .....is it something easy to do...or is it hard....
>or should I just leave it alone ....
>
>thanks
>
>Zeek

If it's doing the legal max of 4 watts, leave it alone. Not just because it's illegal, but because you won't notice the difference. As pointed out in another post, it takes four times the power to double your range, and that's assuming you are talking across a wide-open field with no ground losses. But your radio isn't capable of quadrupling its power, you would be lucky if you could even double it.

Much better gain can be had using a better antenna. A 102" whip mounted on the roof is about the best you can get from a mobile, but you will be hitting a few bridges as you drive. Mount it on the bumper and you shouldn't have any problems.

If you still want more power then use the 12 watts available with SSB, which is kind of misleading because each watt of SSB is equal to about 6 watts of AM. But avoid the amps with your CB radio: not only are they illegal (that law is poorly enforced by the FCC, but the fines are typically several thousand dollars if you do get popped), but most of them are poorly designed and cause splatter on other channels and/or harmonics that can be heard on televisions and cordless phones. And most of these amps have been abused to the point to where they work worse than they did when they were brand new. If legal CB radio just isn't enough power for you, it's really easy to get a license for amateur radio.

3163. Re: fine tuning ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 22:35:55 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <6qarkusg5dbdmg44q70lrdfu5b6j4beq12@4ax.com>
Source record: 132192

On Sun, 04 Aug 2002 10:40:25 -0400, zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:

>Hi All
>
>I have a Uniden pc68xl am radio .....I was wondering if there is a way
>to turn the radio up....for more power ....and if there was a way ...I
>am new at this .....is it something easy to do...or is it hard....
>or should I just leave it alone ....
>
>thanks
>
>Zeek
>

Yes......the easiest way to tune that radio is by using a tx 1600
type mod or something similar. This mod requires no internal
adjustments and requires no special skills. It has worked successfully
for years and will continue to do so.

3164. Re: Talk Back in a Uniden pc-122xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-04 23:03:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020804190318.02012.00002081@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 132193

best advice yet and it works well. dont forget about the "talkback speakers"
that you can buy as well.

>
>
>Horsefly Enterprises
>
>Talkback-
>
> I have a little trick that you could add to your radios
>
>.....it's to put talkback IN a radio....if you run a wire from the
> positive (+) side of the speaker inside the radio
> put a 100ohm resistor inline and solder it to the top
> of the audio transformer (small transformer) it will give
> you a pretty good sounding talkback....I've tested this in
>lots of radios and it didn't work in an old crystal type radio that
>used a relay...but it did work in the other bunch
>...the resistor size may vary from radio to radio.... use varible with
>100-150ohm
>in line may give you a more persice measurement, (use ohm meter.)
>insert resister that you read with the talk-back you like..even use o switch
>on
>radio (I like using the channel 19, or channel 9 quick switch)
>be sure to put the wires for regular selection together, clip and tape off
>the others,
>usually three other wires...or the PA switch (who uses it anyways)
>I always use the switch, Sometimes I don't want to announce to the world
>what
>I says man...10-4 ?
>--
>If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3165. Re: Pyramid Power Supplies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 00:00:22 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <qej39.19159$pg2.1540058@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132195

Just because you never experienced any problems doesn't mean that the product is good. Pyramid has its own niche market as "budget" type equipment. They are not very reliable units as a rule. But this is not so surprising... you normally get what you pay for.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"John Medica - Listening-Post" <medica@cyberspace.org> wrote in message news:3D4D8068.52871108@cyberspace.org...
Brian Griffey wrote:
I'm afraid he's right... Pyramid is a very cheap supply.

Never had any problems. So stop putting down pyramid
John Medica
System Administrator
Listening-Post
www.listening-post.net
Toronto Ontario Canada
C o n t a c t I n f o r m a t i o n:
• Personal: jmedica@sdf.lonestar.org
• Work: medica@cyberspace.org
Moderator Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide
http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/oufg

3166. Re: Los Angeles Area Stores?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 00:04:56 UTC
From: Burnt Eddy <00002112@no-id.com>
Message-ID: <ukrfkonsmed743@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132194

I like Iowa. You ever listen to Market News on WOI (back when they were in Ames!)

"Frmboybuck" <frmboybuck@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020804065041.10201.00001767@mb-mg.aol.com...
> >what is sales tax where your at? its 8.25% here
> >
>
> 5% in Iowa

3167. Re: Thanks Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 00:06:06 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Ojj39.19167$pg2.1540912@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132196

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208041206.ca12bc2@posting.google.com...
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:<ukqcfbc09o9c03@corp.supernews.com>...
> > I can't say ill go to just 4 watts
> > cause honestly 4 watts is totally
> >useless especially in NYC and cb is a tool
> > i need to acomplish my job efficiantly.
> >I have started studiing for my ham
> > liscense.
>
> I don't think the hams are looking for people who "make up their
> own rules as they go", Kenneth.
>
> -Aaron-

For Aaronia: Doo-dah, Doo-dah

For Kenneth:

http://www.arrl.org for all sorts of good stuff

http://www.qrz.com for good sample exams

http://ah0a.org for Morse Academy an ancient honorable flexible and pretty
good CW trainer.

To be honest, I really think you are already licensed and are pulling a
lot of chains but WTH.

3168. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 00:12:04 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <opj39.19175$pg2.1541284@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132197

You sir are incorrect on all counts.
The fact remains that I can take a class "C" amplifier and with proper AM drive can get sparkly clean audio. Now the harmonics will probably not be up to your standards but you can't hear harmonics if you are receiving on the frequency you are transmitting on. As for the topic of "compression", this is a widely known concept in RF amplifiers. I suggest you refer to Radio Frequency Transistors - Principles and Practical Applications by Norm Dye and Helge Granberg. This text will certainly show you the error of your ways... unless you want to tell us that these Motorola authors are practicing voodoo witchdoctors in their spare time.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d4da384.615269@news.cet.com...
> In <Twf39.24405$Kl6.1535381@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >While a properly biased amplifier will be more "hamonic friendly", the
bias
> >has nothing to do with the cleaness of the audio that emerges.
>
> ROTFLMAO! Ever hear an AM signal amplified by a Class C amp? The more
> into Class C you get the worse it sounds! Geez, Brain, haven't you
> learned that much yet? Or are you still hanging onto your voodoo
> theory that "linearity is not required for AM"?
>
> > Proper drive
> >has everything to do with it. Any amplifier that is operated in the
> >"compression" area due to over driving will distort the audio content.
>
> That's called 'clipping', not 'compression', and it can be either
> 'hard' or 'soft'. Both will distort your audio, but hard clipping will
> be much more noticable in both the audio and the harmonics.
>
>

3169. Re: I like freebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 00:23:21 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020804202321.23293.00001682@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 132198

I like FREEzinggggggg

Thatz-me-JP

3170. Re: Amps and Aaronia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 00:28:20 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <EEj39.19196$pg2.1543678@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132199

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D4D81A9.F1720491@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> More: (I typed "our brave little band" in the search box)
>
> http://shorty.mudcat.org/!!-song99.cfm?stuff=fall99+D+562082
>
> http://shorty.mudcat.org/!!-song99.cfm?stuff=fall99+D+559811
>
> http://shorty.mudcat.org/!!-song99.cfm?stuff=fall99+D+570055
>
> http://shorty.mudcat.org/!!-song99.cfm?stuff=fall99+D+3337602

Yes, indeedy, friends and fans. There were a lot of verses to go. I did not know the Chad Mitchell Trio did it and Theodore Bickel did everything at least once, so go looking for old records. I would not be surprised if Oscar Brand did not do it; his was the only recording I know of of the Bastard King of England or why Kipling was not poet laureate til Vicky keeled over.

While we are reliving our lost youth, we are all familiar with Foghorn Leghorn (lifted from Senator Claghorn on the old Fred Allen Show before anyone's time) and doo-dah, doo-dah. In my younger days when someone would get a little noid over what is really a pretty obscure issue someone would punctuate his rant with 'Doo-dah, Doo-dah and deliver the gentle hint that the orator might (as Lincoln said of General Hooker) have his headquarters and hind quarters confused.

This led to all sorts of parodies on the pretentious and stuffy including the Doo-Dah parade in Pasadena with such wonderment as briefcase drill teams and folding chair bands. Hell of an improvement on the Tournament of Roses.

Take the hint, Aaronia, Doo-dah, Doo-dah!

The gung-ho shall inherit the earth; they deserve to.

Dick, NBK
aka the Velvet Codpiece, tell no little lies!

3171. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 00:52:32 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <k%j39.19239$pg2.1546207@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132200

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:opj39.19175$pg2.1541284@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> You sir are incorrect on all counts.
> The fact remains that I can take a class "C" amplifier and with proper
AM
> drive can get sparkly clean audio. Now the harmonics will probably not
be up
> to your standards but you can't hear harmonics if you are receiving on
the
> frequency you are transmitting on. As for the topic of "compression",
this
> is a widely known concept in RF amplifiers. I suggest you refer to Radio
> Frequency Transistors - Principles and Practical Applications by Norm
Dye
> and Helge Granberg. This text will certainly show you the error of your
> ways... unless you want to tell us that these Motorola authors are
> practicing voodoo witchdoctors in their spare time.
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>

The level of distortion depends largely on the device, where it is
operated on its curve, and how far into Class C it is run. With vacuum
tubes it also depends on whether one (properly) uses grid leak bias to
square up the input waveform in the interest of efficiency.

But no matter how clear the audio may appear to be, the resulting
modulation percentage will and has to be lower than the input signal and
rather substantially in the case of bipolar transistors.

If the object of the exercise is to transmit the sidebands that actually
do the work, then Class C is assinine. If the object is to do a
smoke-and-mirror job on some non-technical types and make meters bounce
then by all means use Class C.

And those harmonics on frequencies far removed from where you are
listening do wonders for meters and not a blessed thing for communication.
Hells Bells, overmodulate and splatter into the neighboring channels while
we are at it; that does not a blessed thing for communication either but
the meter readings are loverly.

Can we bury Class C 'linears' and call them the junk that they really
are???

Dick N&#65533;BK

3172. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 01:11:21 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4dc81d.181197@news.cet.com>
Source record: 132201

In <opj39.19175$pg2.1541284@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>You sir are incorrect on all counts.
>The fact remains that I can take a class "C" amplifier and with proper AM
>drive can get sparkly clean audio.

Bullshit. Have you totally forgotten about our little discussions of
what happens to the audio when the modulation pushes the signal below
cutoff? Or are you still claiming that your ground-biased Class C amps
are so close to Class B that you can't tell the difference? If so,
have you also forgotten the our discussions (and mathematical
demonstrations) about the impedance transformation on the base that
puts them higher into Class C than you claimed? Or are you still
claiming that the flywheel effect will take care of any distortion,
totally forgetting that the flywheel effect only works with a tuned
output network, which your Class 'whatever' amp doesn't have?

"Sparkly clean audio" my ass...

> Now the harmonics will probably not be up
>to your standards

They won't be up to my standards, industry standards, FCC standards,
or the standards of interference tolerated by your neighbors.

> but you can't hear harmonics if you are receiving on the
>frequency you are transmitting on.

So you found a method to limit the modulation so the output doesn't
drop below cutoff? Would that method be to turn down the modulation on
your radio to about 50%? Is that what you mean by "proper AM drive"?
That's just a kludge to compensate for piss-poor engineering.

> As for the topic of "compression", this
>is a widely known concept in RF amplifiers. I suggest you refer to Radio
>Frequency Transistors - Principles and Practical Applications by Norm Dye
>and Helge Granberg. This text will certainly show you the error of your
>ways... unless you want to tell us that these Motorola authors are
>practicing voodoo witchdoctors in their spare time.

Yes, the poorly chosen term 'compression' is a widely known topic in
the RF world, but you just can't read what's in front of your face.
Their definition of 'compression' is running the transistor into
saturation or near saturation. The result is a squaring of the sine
wave. It increases the efficiency of the amp, but it's not quite
linear, is it Brian? And this 'compression' to which you are referring

is ALWAYS tuned and filtered. For tube finals that's usually a tank, and for transistors it is typically a modified L network. The more non-linear the amp, the higher Q you need in your output network, and that means a very narrow bandwidth. That's how it's been done with Class C finals since they were invented. And you can't do it with a broadband transformer.

In other words, you can't get wideband linear response from a non-linear amplifier, and your references never make such a claim. Try reading them with a little more concentration next time.

3173. Re: fine tuning ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 01:25:04 UTC
From: zeek198@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3D4DD3F0.DA848771@hotmail.com>
Source record: 132202

Hi

what is a tx 1600 ....and what do I do to the radio.....and where do I get one of these things.....sorry I'm just a rookie at this ......The radio is putting out 4 watts had it checked.......
how much more watts would I put out with this tx thing .....

thanks

Zeek

tnom@mucks.net wrote:

> On Sun, 04 Aug 2002 10:40:25 -0400, zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> >Hi All
> >
> >I have a Uniden pc68xl am radio .....I was wondering if there is a way
> >to turn the radio up....for more power ....and if there was a way ...I
> >am new at this .....is it something easy to do...or is it hard....
> >or should I just leave it alone ....
> >
> >thanks
> >
> >Zeek
> >
> Yes......the easiest way to tune that radio is by using a tx 1600
> type mod or something similar. This mod requires no internal
> adjustments and requires no special skills. It has worked successfully
> for years and will continue to do so.

3174. H card and receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 01:45:13 UTC
From: NI4L <ni4l@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3D4DD8A9.3050101@charter.net>
Source record: 132203

RCA DRD222RD
with a "H" card. It is a subscription card never been hacked. Org.
owners had cablevision ran and put it away in the closet. been there
since 97
I do not have the remote for the rca unit. But the all purpose ones at
walmart work fine.
would like 250.00 OBO

--
NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
Made with the same components used by our military forces today
Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/

3175. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 01:57:48 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D4DBCE2.8A65964D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132204

Brian Griffey wrote:
>
> You sir are incorrect on all counts.
> The fact remains that I can take a class "C" amplifier and with proper AM
> drive can get sparkly clean audio. Now the harmonics will probably not be up
> to your standards but you can't hear harmonics if you are receiving on the
> frequency you are transmitting on. As for the topic of "compression", this
> is a widely known concept in RF amplifiers. I suggest you refer to Radio
> Frequency Transistors - Principles and Practical Applications by Norm Dye
> and Helge Granberg. This text will certainly show you the error of your
> ways... unless you want to tell us that these Motorola authors are
> practicing voodoo witchdoctors in their spare time.
>

You sir need to learn some more theory. A class "C" amplifier is
biased beyond cut off. Class "C" by definition is non-linear. The
output is NOT a replication of the input. The amp is NOT operating
over the linear portion of the curve. Feeding an AM signal into a
class "C" amp WILL give distortion on the output.

3176. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 03:00:57 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4DEA6C.CB84B92A@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132205

Brian Griffey wrote:
>
> The fact remains that I can take a class "C" amplifier and with proper AM
> drive can get sparkly clean audio. Now the harmonics will probably not be up
> to your standards but you can't hear harmonics if you are receiving on the
> frequency you are transmitting on.

The channel 6 mentality! I like the way they sound just as good on 36
as they do on 6. The S meter goes highest on 6. If they could only
imagine what they could accomplish if all those bird watts were on
one channel. What good is 3000 watts if your only putting 1000 on 6?

3177. Re: I like freebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 03:04:39 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4DEB4C.3F9AC731@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132206

UknowMeJP wrote:
>
> I like FREEzinggggggg

Move WAAAAYYYYY north.

3178. Re: fine tuning ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 03:11:12 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4DECD4.89EA1E56@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132207

zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
>
> Hi
>
> what is a tx 1600 ....

http://www.texasstar.com/CWmisc.html

Picture:
http://www.texasstar.com/1600xmtr.html

Be prepared for some HEAVY current draw with that model.

I would go with one of these:
http://www.texasstar.com/CW.html
There is not much difference in range or signal strength between the units
on this page, so using the 250 is easier on a stock electrical system.

> and what do I do to the radio.....and where do I get
> one of these things.....sorry I'm just a rookie at this ......The radio is
> putting out 4 watts had it checked.......
> how much more watts would I put out with this tx thing .....
>
> thanks
>
> Zeek
>
> tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>
> > On Sun, 04 Aug 2002 10:40:25 -0400, zeek198@hotmail.com wrote:
> >
> > >Hi All
> > >
> > >I have a Uniden pc68xl am radio .....I was wondering if there is a way
> > >to turn the radio up....for more power ....and if there was a way ...I
> > >am new at this .....is it something easy to do...or is it hard....
> > >or should I just leave it alone ....
> > >
> > >thanks
> > >
> > >Zeek
> > >
> > Yes......the easiest way to tune that radio is by using a tx 1600
> > type mod or something similar. This mod requires no internal
> > adjustments and requires no special skills. It has worked successfully
> > for years and will continue to do so.

3179. Re: Squelch on newer Uniden Grant XL, Help...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 03:13:14 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4DED4E.8C0975CC@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132208

George Kinzer wrote:
>
> man wants to put his squelch back in, stop being an asshole...simple

It must be a ham thing to only talk to stations you don't have to
strain to hear. BTW, watch out for that asshole that follows you around.

3180. Re: Squelch on newer Uniden Grant XL, Help...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 03:29:59 UTC
From: GMpartsguy <kb1cih@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <Xim39.253016$Wt3.251712@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 132209

It must be a nigger thing to eat fried chicken.
It must be a chink thing to eat rice.
It must be a spic thing to eat beans and rice.
It must suck looking through such narrow glasses.
Admit you are being pissy and get over it for christ sake.

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D4DED4E.8C0975CC@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> George Kinzer wrote:
> >
> > man wants to put his squelch back in, stop being an asshole...simple
>
> It must be a ham thing to only talk to stations you don't have to
> strain to hear. BTW, watch out for that asshole that follows you around.

3181. Re: Talk Back in a Uniden pc-122xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 04:19:56 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D4DFCE2.22DDAB58@icok.net>
Source record: 132210

Onyx,
I can't help with the 'talk back', and I'm not saying you
shouldn't do it. But I would like to ask you why you would
want it. I've never been able to figure out what good it
does.
'Doc

3182. Re: Interesting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 04:48:03 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0208042048.1975556c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132211

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208041215.24d0811@posting.google.com>...
> "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:<aiimi9emc1@newsg2.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> > "dr_sinister" <dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:57ce2c1e.0207301729.6df81104@posting.google.com...
> > >
> > > Guess I made Goobner mad,oh well.
> > ==
> >
> > Don't take it personal, those hate posts were sent to many of us. My filter
> > wiped out two xganon posts on the server. He is probably using xganon
> > because he got caught out before by his IP number.
> >
> >
> > Regards,
> >
> > Peter.
>
>
> I see the UK troll is trying to spread the disinfo that I send anon
> posts. Got any proof? No? It figures. He spreads false rumors. It's
> about all he can do.
>
> -Aaron-

Whoa goobner,i'm the one who said its you sending those threatening
e-mails,not Mr.Brainbuster,you want mess with somebody,come mess with
me boy,you know you want to.I bet your the one who sent the
E-mails,you can deny all you want.I also bet you ain't never had a
woman either,and I'm probaly right,virginboy.

3183. Re: SAMS Fotofacts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 05:10:37 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <on1skucv8d4rq1httu7042bo2fvh7l0gkg@4ax.com>
Source record: 132212

SAMS:

SAMS CB "FOTOFACTS"
HOWARD W. SAMS CO.
2647 Waterfront Parkway E. Dr.
Indianapolis IN 46214
TEL: (800) 428-7267, (317) 298-5400
www.samswebsite.com/photofacts.html

The CR142 is in Sams CB Fotofacts #78. If you can read the resistor
NUMBER next to it, I can give you the value and the likely cause...
73,
Lou
****

"duckyjr" <duckyjr@attcanada.ca> wrote:

>Does anyone know what the address of SAMS Fotofacts is? Or perhaps how I can
>get a hold of a schematic for a
>Regency CR-142? I had one given to me from a garage sale. It would only work
>intermittently. Until one night when I saw smoke coming out of it. Turned
>out to be a resistor burned up. Bad enough that I can't read the color code
>on it. Thanks so much.
>

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

3184. Re: Midland 150M Schematic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 05:38:49 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <nu3sku4t2ffgi0ena3pgb5o6egvfdsgdar@4ax.com>
Source record: 132213

Call Midland!!

MIDLAND RADIO CORPORATION
1120 Clay Street
North Kansas City MO 64116
TEL: 816-241-8500
FAX: 816-241-5713
www.midlandradio.com

Good luck,
Lou
****

Andres <aortiz@teleline.es> wrote:

>Hi
>
> I'm looking for Midland 150M schematics or service manual. Please,
>if anyone have this, send me an email.
>
>Thank you.
>
>Andres.
>

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

3185. Wilson 5000 Mobile Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 05:43:53 UTC
From: SharksTooth2@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15175-3D4E1099-689@storefull-2172.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132220

Is the Wilson 5000 magnetic mount mobile cb antenna the best one to buy for reception when placed on the roof of a car? Aren't all cbs the same as far as watts (4 watts I believe), so no 1 outperforms the other. If I'm wrong how do you add wattage power to the cb? Its all in the antenna right? I wan't the best in reception regardess of price. I live in a Delaware where it is very flat. Not a lot of highways in the area, so not too many 18 wheelers. I wan't to use it mostly in case of car trouble, so I can contact a cop for assistance. Are the cops on channel 9?

3186. Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual--2nd Edition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 05:44:00 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <094sku89eaqk8c5gp4n1v7u6e7lhrkm538@4ax.com>
Source record: 132214

Introducing the updated Galaxy-Ranger service manual. The new SECOND EDITION covers the EPT3600, EPT0696, and EPT6900 chassis types. That includes MANY brands such as Galaxy, Ranger/RCI, Superstar, Texas Ranger, Connex, etc. Complete details on this specific book are on our Web site at:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

Check out all our CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

* *
In case you didn't already know...

Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

If you have any problems getting the files, or need additional details on what we offer, please email us directly at:

info@cbcintl.com

73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980

www.cbcintl.com

Email: info@cbcintl.com

3187. Re: Royce Microphone pinout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 05:49:13 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <3g4skuoo3v9dnqs3g9r1nscsseetp30rak@4ax.com>
Source record: 132215

All the same except for the RX function, as follows:

Relay-switch T/R:
1 = Mike audio
2 = Ground
3 = TX
4 = no connection

Elec. Switched T/R:
1 = Mike audio
2 = Ground
3 = TX
4 = RX

Hope this helps!
73,
Lou
****

"bcheeseman" <bcheeseman@rogers.com> wrote:

>Does any-one know the pinout/colour code for a royce mic cb. They tell me
>all
>the royce models are the same. Thanks
>

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

3188. Re: Thanks Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 05:52:23 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <pm4skucvmej276eiikbmed8rrqo0pcfa6t@4ax.com>
Source record: 132216

You can find the book/audio at any Ham store. See our Supplier list
under "Amateur Radio" for a few big dealers:

www.cbcintl.com/suppliers.htm

Lou
****

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote:

>Hey great response to allot of cb'ers here. Cause of you guys i decided to
>go legit and keep it to just the 40 ch. I can't say ill go to just 4 watts
>cause honestly 4 watts is totally useless especially in NYC and cb is a tool
>i need to acomplish my job efficiantly. I have started studiing for my ham
>liscense. Can't find now your talking yet.

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

3189. Re: Custom Roger Beep for Grant XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 05:56:15 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <cr4sku0lf4ga5j0g1qd75qnpnu9iigkrll@4ax.com>
Source record: 132217

Gee, that's kinda hard, considering that all CBs have an audio
response roll-off of about 2500-3000 Hz. So your desired freq. would
never even get through the rig's audio chain; at best it would be
severely attenuated.

For a standard RB circuit, we have a freebie schematic you can print
at:

www.cbcintl.cob/rb_schem.htm

73,
Lou
****

Freebander <freebander@nospam.cfl.rr.com> wrote:

>I am looking for suggestions for a roger beep board that can be
>modified for a very specific tone, 4800Hz , and for a specific length,
>150ms ... Can someone make a recamendation, or get me some contact
>information on someone who can custom make a roger beep board for me
>that will do this? Any help you can provide will be greatly
>appeciated!
>
>Thanks in advance,
>
>747, Cocoa Beach FL

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

3190. Re: Talk Back in a Uniden pc-122xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 06:03:51 UTC
From: Onyx <onyx282002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <uks5d28kibjmae@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132218

Hi,

When i got the radio it came with a RF Limited TRB-1 Echo board and i have never been able to set it right. I don't use it much but if i do i would like to hear how it sounds. I have installed a switch on it so i can shut it off.

-Onyx-

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D4DFCE2.22DDAB58@icok.net...
>
>
> Onyx,
> I can't help with the 'talk back', and I'm not saying you
> shouldn't do it. But I would like to ask you why you would
> want it. I've never been able to figure out what good it
> does.
> 'Doc

3191. Re: Talk Back in a Uniden pc-122xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 06:07:54 UTC
From: Onyx <onyx282002@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <uks5j5n3spbp18@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132219

Thank you this worked great!

-Onyx-

"TRAMD300" <tramd300@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020804152354.02086.00001690@mb-fe.aol.com...
> >Can someone tell me how to put talk back in a uniden pc-122xl
>
> This worked on my older version...
> Run a jumper wire from pin 2 (audio) to D-39 and cut the resistor to the
rear
> of D-39, not sure of the resistor number, but if you would let me know the
> number so I can put it in my notes.
> Let me know how it works out on your rig.
> Hope this helps...
>
> ** Don't shake my tree if you don't like what comes down**
> **Life is too short... Enjoy the ride...**
> {{:-})> <({-:}}

3192. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 06:59:58 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92611D437EBsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 132221

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in
news:h0g39.7645$X5.372643@news2.telusplanet.net:

> So that would mean that you would have to turn your CB off every time
> you have someone in your car and couldn't set up a base in any place
> in your house ware you could listen to it for incoming calls including
> emergencies while doing other things. A CB is of no use if it is not
> turned on.
>

No...Look. I don't have to turn off my radio everytime somebody is in my car. However, if somebody is in my car that is offended by strong language I don't make them listen to it - simple as that. Hey, my wife doesn't like Jimi Hendrix so I don't listen to him while we're in the car together. I don't like Eminem, so she deosn't play that while I'm in the car either.

As to listening in my home, I don't have a base unit.

Listen, I know there's alot of garbage on the radio. I wish it wasn't there. Still, there is alot of good, too. Like that trucker mentioned earlier - he took a bad situation "...but you can't get a bear report" and made a funny joke about it. I myself can tolerate the language. If I grow tired of it, I just shut the radio off or change channels. I'm not going to quit listening to CB over it. Maybe others will, I don't know.

I hope that clarifies things. Do you see where I'm coming from?

> "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns925FEBD7455CDsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
>> "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in
>> news:x3%29.13648$Z5.588165@news1.telusplanet.net:
>>
>> > Just one question, would you want your wife and kids listening to
>> > the, "bad stuff" while in the car?
>>
>> Hmmm...point taken. The answer is no, depending on the content. And
>> that goes for anybody in my vehicle that may be offended by the
>> content. Common courtesy.
>
>
>

3193. Re: Squelch on newer Uniden Grant XL, Help...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 12:50:57 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020805085057.09616.00001278@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 132222

its true scott, thats the very same reason that the hams run away from ten meters as the sun starts to set while were hanging in there on 11 working pacific dx all hours of the evenings with alot of success and all the while ten is a ghost town. if its not a 5/9 copy most hams arent interested in working it.

>It must be a ham thing to only talk to stations you don't have to
>strain to hear. BTW, watch out for that asshole that follows you around.
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3194. Astatic Mobile max - please help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 13:03:11 UTC
From: Moonlite Productions <cynthia@moonlite.us>
Message-ID: <ukstlhghc80i6c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132223

Fellow CBers,

I posted this earlier but looks like for some reason it was deleted, telling me the message is no longer available. Anyhow here we go again! :)

I have a friend of mine who is looking for an Astatic Mobile Max but don't want to pay $80.00+ for a brand new one. Does anyone know where I might find a used one. I saw one on ebay a while back, but I was not quick enough with the bid. I bid 35.00, it went for 36.50! I am willing to pay $50 or lower, depending on the condition of the unit.

Thank you ever so much for your time!

Michigan Moonlite :)

3195. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 13:51:57 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D4E82FD.D3E@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 132224

yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
> <snipped>

It's obvious that you and I share different opinions on how to get the job done.

I can't, for the life of me, figure out how you could trust "indicators" over the ability to actually 'see' the waveform. I agree that for the most accurate measurement, there are dedicated pieces of gear that are better suited for certain tasks. But I just cannot fathom how you could set modulation percentage, for instance, on a wattmeter, and distortion analyzer, without actually seeing the waveform. You have no way of knowing what's going on other than a meter that tells you that "all is not right", but not what it is that's wrong. A distortion analyzer can tell you that you have X% distortion, but not why. The ability to see the waveform, will clue you in to the cause.

Other than frequency, there is really no need to have absolute precision or accuracy in the CB repair field. A scope can be your best friend when looking into "the innards". A meter can only show you the magnitude of a reading. It cannot show you symmetry.

I guess it all falls back on your background. I've been a Technician/Engineer for a fairly large company for close to 20 years now. I'm used to using high end test equipment to make the best measurements. I've used distortion analyzers, modulation analyzers, network analyzers, scopes, noise figure bridges, freq. counters, etc etc, most of which cost more than the home I live in. But yet, when it comes down to doing simple troubleshooting, I find the equipment easiest to use are VOM's and scopes. There is nothing radio related, that I can't diagnose with these two simple pieces of equipment. CB radios are relatively simple devices, that fail in a predictable fashion. It's rare that I would have a problem that would require the specialized functions of a distortion analyzer, of any of the other high end specialty equipment that I use in my day job.

I can count many times the signal tracing that sometimes needs to be done, to find a weak audio gain stage (Maybe a dried out cap?), that the scope pinpointed the exact place where the problem occured. Sure, you could find some old meter that reads AC voltage, and do a similar thing, but again, you're only looking at a meter, and not at the waveform itself, and many times that extra ability is helpful. I had a leaky cap once in an op amp circuit, where it drug the DC on the input down (It usually floats at half the B+) enough where the top half of the waveform clipped, but the bottom half was ok. Gain was ok at lower volume levels, but it noticably distorted at higher levels. A distortion meter would

have quantified the distortion, but not given a clue as to the cause. The scope told me right away what I was dealing with. It was only a matter of back tracing each stage until I found the bad one.

I never find changing voltage ranges or timebase settings to be cumbersome. It's all part of understanding your instrument. And while I would not try to use a scope to make the type of quantitative measurements better suited for specialized equipment, it does multi-task well enough for most applications, to at least clue you in to problems. It is versatile enough to justify the cost IMHO.

I think we both presented our sides to this issue. Let the person who is starting out decide which is the better instrument for him, and go from there....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3196. Re: Custom Roger Beep for Grant XL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 13:55:20 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D4E83C7.573F@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 132225

Freebander wrote:
>
> I am looking for suggestions for a roger beep board that can be
> modified for a very specific tone, 4800Hz , and for a specific length,
> 150ms ... Can someone make a recamendation, or get me some contact
> information on someone who can custom make a roger beep board for me
> that will do this? Any help you can provide will be greatly
> appeciated!
>

Let's see, I have a schematic here for a circuit that uses an oscillator to charge a capacitor to send a 4800V pulse to light up a zenon gas discharge bulb for 10 ms. That should really "light up" those audio circuits. Oh wait, you said 4800 Hz. Never mind.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3197. Re: Astatic Mobile max - please help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 13:58:47 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020805095847.00659.00001509@mb-mi.aol.com>
Source record: 132226

keep checking ebay.

>
>Fellow CBers,
>
>I posted this earlier but looks like for some reason it was deleted, telling
>me the message is no longer available. Anyhow here we go again! :)
>
>I have a friend of mine who is looking for an Astatic Mobile Max but don't
>want to pay $80.00+ for a brand new one. Does anyone know where I might find
>a used one. I saw one on ebay a while back, but I was not quick enough with
>the bid. I bid 35.00, it went for 36.50! I am willing to pay $50 or lower,
>depending on the condition of the unit.
>
>Thank you ever so much for your time!
>
>Michigan Moonlite :)
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3198. Re: Wilson 5000 Mobile Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 15:32:07 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805113207.25587.00001348@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 132227

Wilson Antenna's are the best in my opinion. I have a Wilson 500, not 5000, and i've talked skip all over. Not all cb's are the same...you've got the Galaxy radio's that can be tuned up to swing around 25 to 30 watts. But lets say u just want a little Uniden Pro510XL, thos u can get around 8 to 12 watts out of. The cops do NOT stand by on channel 9. but in some states Emergency stations monitor channel 9.....like FL....have a great day

Thatz-me-JP

3199. Re: PRIDE 100 10-80 METER MOBILE AMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 15:58:50 UTC
From: Skipp <skipp@pilotNOSPAMPLEASE.ucdavis.edu>
Message-ID: <aim7bqgcg2@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 132228

Probably one of the most dirty mobile amplifiers made. No low pass
filtering, biased wrong and a pretty poor circuit layout.

Pride made a lot of nice amps... but this ain't one of them.

cheers
skipp

: NI4L <ni4l@charter.net> wrote:
: I have a mobile amp Pride 100 Bi Linear amplifier says 10-80 meters on
: the front
: has ssb/am preamp works fine asking 140.00 shipped

3200. Anybody remember the Marco Amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 16:13:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020805121323.06276.00001823@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 132229

anybody remember these old boxes? it was either Marko or Marco, i cant remember right now but these boxes used to be everywhere. now i never see them anymore.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3201. Re: Anybody remember the Marco Amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 16:20:46 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@charter.net>
Message-ID: <ukt9h9k4fsoac1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132230

Yeah Tim.....Maco. I think there are still a few around, but the 8950 and 2057 tubes for them are outrageous in price!

Bill
Web Site: www.polkcounty.org/radiofun
ICQ: 11908449

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message news:20020805121323.06276.00001823@mb-cp.aol.com...
> anybody remember these old boxes? it was either Marko or Marco, i cant remember
> right now but these boxes used to be everywhere. now i never see them anymore.
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

3202. Re: Anybody remember the Marco Amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 16:53:37 UTC
From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <3D4EAD91.C6FDFEB5@lucent.com>
Source record: 132232

"www.TimNebo.com" wrote:

> anybody remember these old boxes? it was either Marko or Marco, i cant remember
> right now but these boxes used to be everywhere. now i never see them anymore.
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

MAjestic COmmunications, Memphis, Tn.

3203. Re: Anybody remember the Marco Amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 16:58:17 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020805125817.06222.00001562@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 132231

no bill, not Maco but marko. these where solid state mobile amps from the late 70's early 80's. but your right about the maco's and the high ube prices. i often wonder if all the people buying these on ebay ealize how much it cost to retube them.

>
>Yeah Tim.....Maco. I think there are still a few around, but the 8950 and
>2057 tubes for them are outrageous in price!
>
>Bill
>Web Site: www.polkcounty.org/radiofun
>ICQ: 11908449

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3204. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 17:27:45 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208050927.50545b2b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132233

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208021202.15ce0ae0@posting.google.com>...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:<ukjjoft1j7pgab@corp.supernews.com>...
> > Aaron, about the Ranger model RCI-2950 ... isn't
> >that pictured in the ad on
> > page 128 of the August 2002 QST?
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
>
> ------
> >I hope this isn't too off subject, but, as you know, this group was
> >formed to avoid flames. Aaron posted a list of illegal radios on the
> >rec.radio.cb group. One radio was the Ranger RCI-2950. Now, on page
> >128 of the August 2002 QST is an ad for the Ranger RCI-2950. Now, as
> >I read Aarons post, it is illegal to offer said radio for sale.
> >Should I gently enquire as to if he intends to blow the ARRL in?
> >Couldn't resist this one ... just too funny.
> > Jim
> ----
>
> First, I honestly don't understand what's so "funny" about it, Jim. I
> mean, they're YOUR bands being abused as a result of this gear being
> targeted for sale to truckers and CBers. Both the band incursion
> problem and the manufacturing problem HAS gotten worse (i.e. "10 meter
> radios" featuring echo, rogerbeep, and rebel flag designs on the front
> panel) You may be an easygoing guy who is willing to share your bands
> with outlaws - but a lot of other people aren't.

I'll tell you what's funny, Aanal Aaron: Jim is a licensed ham and
he's not making as much of a racket about things as YOU are. Yet
you're not even a ham and have no foudation for your "indignation".

> Secondly, it doesn't matter if Riley himself appears on the cover of
> QST wearing a DAVEMADE T shirt and talking on a GALAXY 99. It will not
> change the law and it will not prevent violators from being fined
> large amounts of money. The beat goes on, and is getting stonger as we
> speak.
>
> -Aaron-

So, now YOU make the "law" Aanal Aaron? I don't think so!

The Notice you posted simply states that further "importing and marketing" of new radios is prohibited. NOWHERE does it say that all the existing radios, brought in before the Notice, are suddenly "illegal". They couldn't (and didn't) do that with cell-capable scanners and they can't (and won't) do that with 10 metre radios. Simply put, they cannot make a change like that retroactive.

You lose. And I bet you won't bother to check with the FCC to see that you're wrong, will you? Of course not; you'd then have to admit that you don't have a clue.

As for your "beat", I always thought this was a family-oriented newsgroup; most of us don't care about your one-handed sex life!

3205. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 17:30:12 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208050930.5a4350a2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132234

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208031135.727b93f2@posting.google.com>...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<BPG29.35372$6J1.103337211@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>...
> > ROTFLMFAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > Oh man Richard that's to funny.....................
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Congratulations, Dick. You've won the retard vote.
>
>
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> >I suggest you call your buddies at the FCC and ask for clarification
> >of the Notice. BTW, did you ever call their Interference Complaint
> >Line and get their message?
>
> I suggest you actually read the information before you begin making up
> lame excuses:

I make no lame excuses. YOU should check your "facts" before making an
@$$ of yourself.

Offhand, I'd say YOU win the retard vote. At least in this newsgroup.
Go away Aanal Aaron. You don't know what you're talking about.

> SCRIPT FOR F.C.C. CALL CENTER

<remainder of commonly known and longwinded drivel snipped to save
bandwidth>

3206. Re: Los Angeles Area Stores

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 17:55:16 UTC
From: Burnt Eddy <burnteddy@nothere.com>
Message-ID: <aimdti$151rt7$1@ID-84462.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132235

>> Thanks for your help guys. And thanks for clearing that up for me Mr.
> >Voobner.
> >
> >BurntEddy KARS9212

>You're welcome, if you go into an HRO, be sure to tell them you are
>looking for a "10 meter radio with roger beep".

>-A

Actually, I didn't need to go to the Ham Radio Outlet Store. Their online catalog lists 10 meter radios with 'Roger Beep' capability. The catalog has RCI-2950DX and Magnum 257DX and 357DX.

Thanks for your help AV, I never thought of looking at HRO, I will be placing my order this week.

BurntEddy KARS9212

3207. Re: Thanks Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 18:00:06 UTC
From: jwissick@excite.com
Message-ID: <2c583a48.0208051000.189c25dc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132236

SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message news:<3D4D4C37.8080106@csotbs.org>...
> Go to Radio Shack. Get the Gordon West book. It's $8.95 last time I
> checked. Study the questions by going through the book the first time with
> a highlighter. Highlight EVERY question, ONLY the CORRECT answer for every
> question, and the explanation for every question.
> If you can't find "Now You're Talking", this is a good solution. Good luck
> on the test, and let us know when you get your callsign. There's IRLP and
> ILinked repeaters in the NYC area that we could pop into and say howdy to ya.

<snip>

I just did this method with NYT just last week and took the test Sat..
Passes with flying colors. Excelent advice...

3208. Re: Astatic Mobile max - please help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 18:24:38 UTC
From: Moonlite Productions <cynthia@moonlite.us>
Message-ID: <uktggckbm60o89@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132237

I go there just about every day, but have not been able to see another since. I emailed the person selling the unit and he said it was his only one. Just my luck, I guess... thank you for the response though

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message news:20020805095847.00659.00001509@mb-mi.aol.com...
> keep checking ebay.
>
> >
> >Fellow CBers,
> >
> >I posted this earlier but looks like for some reason it was deleted, telling
> >me the message is no longer available. Anyhow here we go again! :)
> >
> >I have a friend of mine who is looking for an Astatic Mobile Max but don't
> >want to pay $80.00+ for a brand new one. Does anyone know where I might find
> >a used one. I saw one on ebay a while back, but I was not quick enough with
> >the bid. I bid 35.00, it went for 36.50! I am willing to pay $50 or lower,
> >depending on the condition of the unit.
> >
> >Thank you ever so much for your time!
> >
> >Michigan Moonlite :)
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>

>

3209. Roger Fart EOT tone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 18:31:19 UTC
From: Moonlite Productions <cynthia@moonlite.us>
Message-ID: <uktgsv7hfj6140@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132238

Hello, me again...

Hope I'm not being a bother to y'all, but my boyfriend just sold his radio that has a roger fart in it. He is trying to find another one, but I have had no luck finding one online. I've searched ebay yahoo auctions as well as a few online cb stores. I think I saw one at Copper's site, but from a few posts I have seen here they are not the best to deal with. Any suggestions?

Thanks!
Michigan Moonlite

3210. Re: I like freebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 19:22:02 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020805152202.02437.00001592@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 132239

haha

Thatz-me-JP

3211. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 19:41:05 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <lxA39.111331$nm.4023590@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132240

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> The Notice you posted simply states that further "importing and
> marketing" of new radios is prohibited. NOWHERE does it say that all
> the existing radios, brought in before the Notice, are suddenly
> "illegal". They couldn't (and didn't) do that with cell-capable
> scanners and they can't (and won't) do that with 10 metre radios.

They sure as shit are illegal to operate on the CB band.

3212. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 19:45:54 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208051145.dd61ed0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132241

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>So, now YOU make the "law" Aanal Aaron? I don't think so!
>
>The Notice you posted simply states that further "importing and
>marketing" of new radios is prohibited. NOWHERE does it say
>that all the existing radios, brought in before the Notice, are
>suddenly "illegal". They couldn't (and didn't) do that with
>cell-capable scanners and they can't (and won't) do that with
>10 metre radios. Simply put, they cannot make a change like that
>retroactive.
>
>
>I make no lame excuses. YOU should check your "facts"
>before making an @$$ of yourself.

Richard, the phoney "10 meter radios" are illegal to sell, period. I have posted a number of actual FCC-issued documents to prove my point. You have posted nothing but ridiculous excuses and made-up loopholes with nothing at all to back them up. It's pretty clear to anyone reading this that you are a potential source of "CB myths".

-Aaron-

3213. Re: Anybody remember the Marco Amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 20:33:09 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22802-3D4EE105-209@storefull-2255.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132242

Hi Tim,I had the 2 trans. model Marko back in the late 70's.If these were the same,my guess is they all self destructed.I got mine from a Marko Distributing back then.Take care...Mark

3214. Re: Thanks Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 21:06:52 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <MNB39.20443$pg2.1645770@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132243

"Jim" <jwissick@excite.com> wrote in message
news:2c583a48.0208051000.189c25dc@posting.google.com...
> SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
news:<3D4D4C37.8080106@csotbs.org>...
> > Go to Radio Shack. Get the Gordon West book. It's $8.95 last time I
> > checked. Study the questions by going through the book the first time
with
> > a highlighter. Highlight EVERY question, ONLY the CORRECT answer for
every
> > question, and the explanation for every question.
> > If you can't find "Now You're Talking", this is a good solution. Good
luck
> > on the test, and let us know when you get your callsign. There's IRLP
and
> > ILinked repeaters in the NYC area that we could pop into and say howdy
to ya.
>
> <snip>
>
> I just did this method with NYT just last week and took the test Sat..
> Passed with flying colors. Excellent advice...

Up to a point, yes. Probably not a bad idea on the Tech, but later exams
are a bit different.

Normally I suggest doing the code in parallel with the writtens but I can
see where one might not wish to be the last casualty of the no-code wars.
There are a few problems with this approach, however:

a) While it sure looks like the definition of an Amateur will be changed
next year so as to be codeless, this is the third meeting where the issue
has come up and it was deferred twice before. The issue is considered so
unimportant that one objection will scuttle it again. (It is not a done
deal by any means.

b) It is part of the art of Amateur Radio and in more concrete terms is
about the only way to get close to 100 of the DXCC countries. It is not
going away anytime soon nor will the phone bands be expanded into
narrow-band territory for reasons having more to do with international
relations than the code wars.

c) Whether one considers the no-code a victory or defeat, you are going to
encounter people who are not the cuddly grandfather types you meet here.
You might well, depending on how it plays out, find it far better socially
to be the last of the dittyboppers rather than the first of the nocodes.
The view is different from the back side of the hill.

Use your own judgement as I am confident you will but watch your assumptions.

Good luck whatever.

Dick N&#65533;BK

3215. Re: Anybody remember the Marco Amps?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 21:15:48 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020805171548.12230.00001519@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 132244

hi dennis. i guess i shoulda made it clear from the beggining that i wasnt refering to Maco. thanks though.

>
>MAjestic COmmunications, Memphis, Tn.
>
>
>--------------037E47254396D661B3BC4DF6
>Content-Type: text/html; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
><!doctype html public "-//w3c//dtd html 4.0 transitional//en">
>
>"www.TimNebo.com" wrote:
><blockquote TYPE=CITE>anybody remember these old boxes? it was either Marko
>or Marco, i cant remember
>
>right now but these boxes used to be everywhere. now i never see themanymore.
>
>   Tim Nebo
>http://www.TimNebo.com</blockquote>
>
>MAjesticCOmmunications,Memphis, Tn.
>
>
>--------------037E47254396D661B3BC4DF6--
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3216. Van SWR can be lower?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 21:25:16 UTC
From: rcmiszuk@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <45c6ea5d.0208051325.60e2d03@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132245

I just installed a dome mount on my 15-passenger van on the roof. It's located in the center, a few inches back from the windshield. On it I have a 3ft Firestik.

I can only get SWR's of 2.0 on channels 1 and 40, and SWR of 1.3 on channel 19.

On the underside of the roof I sanded the paint away to give good metal contact to the mount. Checked the cable and such with a multimeter, there is continuity where there should be and no continuity where there shouldn't be.

Should I expect lower SWR's? I figured with that huge rooftop I'd have better SWR's.

Thanks.

Bob.

3217. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 21:25:38 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <m3C39.23331$Vj3.1351971@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 132246

I totally understand ware you are coming from but most people won't. That is the trouble. It reminds me of a trip I was on in about 1980. I had my mother in the cab of the truck and my sister in the camper. We got into a convoy of trucks and the comments about my mom and sister were so bad that my dad pulled the radio out of the truck at the next stop. I did get a new base out of it when we got home of course.

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns92611D437EBsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in
> news:h0g39.7645$X5.372643@news2.telusplanet.net:
>
> > So that would mean that you would have to turn your CB off every time
> > you have someone in your car and couldn't set up a base in any place
> > in your house ware you could listen to it for incoming calls including
> > emergencies while doing other things. A CB is of no use if it is not
> > turned on.
> >
>
> No...Look. I don't have to turn off my radio everytime somebody is in my
> car. However, if somebody is in my car that is offended by strong
> language I don't make them listen to it - simple as that. Hey, my wife
> doesn't like Jimi Hendrix so I don't listen to him while we're in the car
> together. I don't like Eminem, so she deosn't play that while I'm in the
> car either.
>
> As to listening in my home, I don't have a base unit.
>
> Listen, I know there's alot of garbage on the radio. I wish it wasn't
> there. Still, there is alot of good, too. Like that trucker mentioned
> earlier - he took a bad situation "...but you can't get a bear report"
> and made a funny joke about it. I myself can tolerate the language. If I
> grow tired of it, I just shut the radio off or change channels. I'm not
> going to quit listening to CB over it. Maybe others will, I don't know.
>
> I hope that clarifies things. Do you see where I'm coming from?
>
>
>
> > "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:Xns925FEBD7455CDsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> >> "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in
> >> news:x3%29.13648$Z5.588165@news1.telusplanet.net:
> >>

> >> > Just one question, would you want your wife and kids listening to
> >> > the, "bad stuff" while in the car?
> >>
> >> Hmmm...point taken. The answer is no, depending on the content. And
> >> that goes for anybody in my vehicle that may be offended by the
> >> content. Common courtesy.
> >
> >
> >
>

3218. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 21:35:01 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <8cC39.6481$6Z1.295017@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132297

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020804165953.02018.00001781@mb-bg.aol.com...
> sure, i would suggest any mugger to use an electronic stun gun versus a . 44
> handgun. which would you rather be mugged with?

I would rather not be mugged in the first place, and recommending a weapon to use does nothing other than encourage the perp to commit the crime.

> just like i'd want an outlaw
> cb'er in my neighborhood to use a clean class ab amp than i would a c splatter
> box.

Running a perfectly clean amp is no guarantee that the neighbors will not experience interference Tim. There are such things like front-end over-load, conducted RFI etc. that cause just as much of a problem.

> what if the guy who asked the question never was caught?

Think about that Bozo they took away in chains in Florida for his sins on 11m.

> then thanks to
> you he'd be tearing up the airwaves which you defend so frivolously. like i
> said your more interested in showing "how much better hams are than cb'ers"

What he will learn, when he gets busted and paying that $7500 fine, that the FCC is not the toothless tiger that many of you pirate CBer's make the FCC out to be, where enforcement issues are concerned. And getting busted for illegal equipment and operations is just that - getting busted for illegal equipment and operations, not a Ham v.s. CBer issue as you so dearly wish it to be. What you really hate is a few of us seem to have a few more morals than you do, and have no desire to do anything to further encourage illegal activities. If that includes NOT giving any advice, so be it.

> than you are helping solve the problem of rfi. its clear as day to everybody
> but yourself.

I have no more desire to help somebody to better break the law, whether it be Part 95, or an armed robber contemplating a felony mugging.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me

running
try to keep up "

3219. Re: Van SWR can be lower?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 21:37:27 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020805173727.12230.00001520@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 132298

what your seeing is perfectly ok and normal with a 3 foot antenna. you'd be better off in all ways with a wilson 1000 up there. a swr of 2.0 is very acceptable and wont cause any trouble.

>
>I just installed a dome mount on my 15-passenger van on the roof. It's
>located in the center, a few inches back from the windshield. On it I
>have a 3ft Firestik.
>
>I can only get SWR's of 2.0 on channels 1 and 40, and SWR of 1.3 on
>channel 19.
>
>On the underside of the roof I sanded the paint away to give good
>metal contact to the mount. Checked the cable and such with a
>multimeter, there is continuity where there should be and no
>continuity where there shouldn't be.
>
>Should I expect lower SWR's? I figured with that huge rooftop I'd have
>better SWR's.
>
>Thanks.
>
>Bob.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3220. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 21:44:21 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <VkC39.51845$yc3.1728885@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132299

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D4D8238.CB5314F8@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> "Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> > there are plenty of 12 step programs that prove very effective at fixing the problem.
>
> The only difference between a drunk and an alcoholic is those stupid meetings!

Those stupid meeting have saved the life of many people who had the courage to admit they had a problem, and were willing to do whatever was needed to save themselves. For the alcoholic and drug addicted there are only three out comes, sobriety, insanity, or death. And I have friends, with family members etc., that fit all of those later three categories.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

3221. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 21:47:41 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <1oC39.116952$cm.3982126@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132300

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020804165322.02018.00001776@mb-bg.aol.com...
> why not answer the question?

I have. You want the specifics then waste your time looking up my past posts in the archives. I'm not wasting my time repeating it here for your amusement.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--

--
--

73's de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction functions."

3222. Re: Van SWR can be lower?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 21:55:51 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4ef39c.6019999@news.cet.com>
Source record: 132301

In <45c6ea5d.0208051325.60e2d03@posting.google.com>,
rcmiszuk@yahoo.com (Bob) wrote:

>I just installed a dome mount on my 15-passenger van on the roof. It's
>located in the center, a few inches back from the windshield. On it I
>have a 3ft Firestik.
>
>I can only get SWR's of 2.0 on channels 1 and 40, and SWR of 1.3 on
>channel 19.
>
>On the underside of the roof I sanded the paint away to give good
>metal contact to the mount. Checked the cable and such with a
>multimeter, there is continuity where there should be and no
>continuity where there shouldn't be.
>
>Should I expect lower SWR's? I figured with that huge rooftop I'd have
>better SWR's.
>
>Thanks.
>
>Bob.

Your grounding is fine, but your ground-plane sounds lopsided. I'll
bet that if you had mounted the antenna closer to the center of the
van that your SWR would be much lower. But for all practical purposes
your SWR is still ok.

3223. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 21:57:17 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4ef46a.6226078@news.cet.com>
Source record: 132303

In <3D4E82FD.D3E@worldlynx.net>, Dave Hall
<nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:

>yeti@REMOVEcet.com wrote:
>> <snipped>
>
>It's obvious that you and I share different opinions on how to get the
>job done.

And come from two different worlds...

>I can't, for the life of me, figure out how you could trust "indicators"
>over the ability to actually 'see' the waveform. I agree that for the
>most accurate measurement, there are dedicated pieces of gear that are
>better suited for certain tasks. But I just cannot fathom how you could
>set modulation percentage, for instance, on a wattmeter, and distortion
>analyzer, without actually seeing the waveform. You have no way of
>knowing what's going on other than a meter that tells you that "all is
>not right", but not what it is that's wrong. A distortion analyzer can
>tell you that you have X% distortion, but not why. The ability to see
>the waveform, will clue you in to the cause.

I, on the other hand, can't fathom trusting measurements which amount
to little more than subjective interpretation. Most of the other
equipment I mentioned is needed anyway, so the only difference here is
using an RF voltmeter and distortion analyzer in place of an o-scope.
Of course there are advantages and disadvantages for each, but in my
shop the advantages of an oscilliscope are quickly outweighed by the
advantages of an RF voltmeter and a distortion analyzer.

As far as an o-scope 'cluing you in' to a cause of distortion, the
best clues can be found just by listening to the monitor.

>Other than frequency, there is really no need to have absolute precision
>or accuracy in the CB repair field. A scope can be your best friend when
>looking into "the innards". A meter can only show you the magnitude of a
>reading. It cannot show you symmetry.

Again, lack of symmetry = distortion. Symmetry is only important in
the audio stages and in the output signal, and any asymmetry will
manifest itself in the received audio. By simply testing the
distortion at the output of the modulator you can determine if the
problem is in the modulation stage or the audio. Matter of fact, since
most radios use the modulator for the received audio, if the
distortion is in the audio stages it will appear on receive. And like
you said, precision isn't that important with CB radio, so if you

can't hear it, a little bit of asymmetry doesn't really matter.

Regardless, an RF voltmeter can indeed show you asymmetry, because it measures only half of the wave. Flip the polarity switch and it reads the other half. You can read them for both peak and RMS, and you will have a pretty good idea of symmetry.

A perfect example is sitting on my bench right now. I'm rebiasing a preamp (6SL7 Class A push-pull). The best symmetry I can get with a scope leaves about 8% distortion. Using the RF voltmeter (which works just as well for audio) I can get it down to 1%, which is about the best this amp will do (actually, I got it down to 0.4% using the distortion analyzer).

>I guess it all falls back on your background. I've been a
>Technician/Engineer for a fairly large company for close to 20 years
>now. I'm used to using high end test equipment to make the best
>measurements. I've used distortion analyzers, modulation analyzers,
>network analyzers, scopes, noise figure bridges, freq. counters, etc
>etc, most of which cost more than the home I live in. But yet, when it
>comes down to doing simple troubleshooting, I find the equipment easiest
>to use are VOM's and scopes. There is nothing radio related, that I
>can't diagnose with these two simple pieces of equipment. CB radios are
>relatively simple devices, that fail in a predictable fashion. It's rare
>that I would have a problem that would require the specialized functions
>of a distortion analyzer, of any of the other high end specialty
>equipment that I use in my day job.

I have worked for a couple large companies for a few years, but mostly I have worked in small shops or on my own. I got into RF when I was very young, and didn't have the money for an o-scope. My mentor (an old man that repaired speakers out of his garage) taught me that you don't need a scope if you know how to use what you have available. He also helped me build my first pieces of test equipment. When I finally got my first o-scope (an Eico 460 that I still have), I thought that I wouldn't need any of that other equipment anymore. But I was very wrong. As it turned out, the only thing the scope was used for was for TV repair and to design better test equipment!

Nowdays I work at a couple radio stations as well as my own shop. I use high-end equipment when the need arises, and that happens a lot in a studio environment. I still use my comm monitor, my spectrum analyzer and my high-end o-scope. But I don't make money on CB radios, but I will fix them as a favor for a regular customer. And I'm not going to waste much time on them so I use the quickest, easiest way to get the job done. That way doesn't include the o-scope because it's much quicker and easier to use the equipment that's designed for the job.

>I can count many times the signal tracing that sometimes needs to be
>done, to find a weak audio gain stage (Maybe a dried out cap?), that the
>scope pinpointed the exact place where the problem occured. Sure, you

>could find some old meter that reads AC voltage, and do a similar thing,
>but again, you're only looking at a meter, and not at the waveform
>itself, and many times that extra ability is helpful. I had a leaky cap
>once in an op amp circuit, where it drug the DC on the input down (It
>usually floats at half the B+) enough where the top half of the waveform
>clipped, but the bottom half was ok. Gain was ok at lower volume levels,
>but it noticably distorted at higher levels. A distortion meter would
>have quantified the distortion, but not given a clue as to the cause.
>The scope told me right away what I was dealing with. It was only a
>matter of back tracing each stage until I found the bad one.

Backtracing is basic procedure with or without a scope. Listening to
the audio while tracing the stages with a signal injector would have
done the job just as well. And there are reasons they put DC voltage
potentials on schematic diagrams. If the schematic says the input to
an audio stage should be X.X volts, it's a fair bet that if it isn't
X.X volts then something is wrong.

>I never find changing voltage ranges or timebase settings to be
>cumbersome. It's all part of understanding your instrument. And while I
>would not try to use a scope to make the type of quantitative
>measurements better suited for specialized equipment, it does multi-task
>well enough for most applications, to at least clue you in to problems.
>It is versatile enough to justify the cost IMHO.

My opinion comes from a much different 20 years of experience than you
had. I learned, and was taught, to use the right tool for the job. If
you want to measure resistance, use an ohmmeter. If you want to
analyze audio distortion, use an audio distortion analyzer. If you
want to measure RF voltages, use an RF voltmeter. Etc, etc.

But to put things in perspective, radio isn't so complicated that you
need an o-scope to get a clue, not like looking at a television signal
with its multitude of components like luminance levels, chrominance
clusters, audio sub-carriers, and sync, blanking, equalizing and
colorburst pulses. You MUST see that pedestal with the front and back
porch! But with CB radio all you have is an RF sine wave and some
audio. BFD! You don't need a scope for THAT!

>I think we both presented our sides to this issue. Let the person who is
>starting out decide which is the better instrument for him, and go from
>there....

Yeah, well, experience can be a very good teacher but the student must
be willing to learn.

3224. Re: Van SWR can be lower?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 21:57:59 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D4EF4DA.C366CA03@icok.net>
Source record: 132302

Bob,
It sounds about 'normal' to me. Your right, you
do have a lot of 'roof', but you have to remember
you also have a small antenna. That usually averages
out to a fairly narrow band width, which you're seeing.
'Doc

3225. Re: Van SWR can be lower?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 22:12:00 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4ef7f5.7133494@news.cet.com>
Source record: 132304

In <3D4EF4DA.C366CA03@icok.net>, 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:

>
>
>Bob,
> It sounds about 'normal' to me. Your right, you
>do have a lot of 'roof', but you have to remember
>you also have a small antenna. That usually averages
>out to a fairly narrow band width, which you're seeing.
> 'Doc

A "small" antenna "averages out to a fairly narrow band width"? How do
you figure?

3226. Re: Van SWR can be lower?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 22:18:39 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3RC39.20533$pg2.1652596@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132305

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
news:3d4ef7f5.7133494@news.cet.com...
> In <3D4EF4DA.C366CA03@icok.net>, 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >Bob,
> > It sounds about 'normal' to me. Your right, you
> >do have a lot of 'roof', but you have to remember
> >you also have a small antenna. That usually averages
> >out to a fairly narrow band width, which you're seeing.
> > 'Doc
>
> A "small" antenna "averages out to a fairly narrow band width"? How do
> you figure?
>

Your turn, Doc.

3227. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 22:35:37 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <Z4D39.79426$vg.3071927@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132306

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d4c9270.8592247@news.cet.com...
> In <bRX29.88885$cm.2896428@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>, "Leland C.
> Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
> >
> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message =
> >news:3d475d1a.17630@news.cet.com...
> >> Well, it won't read frequencies very accurately, that is unless it has
> >> a built-in frequency counter.
> >
> >Some of the new digital scopes do well out to 4 or 5 digits. If you need =
> >more than that I agree a frequency counter would be preferred.
>
> Yes, you need more than 4 or 5 digits. Frequency accuracy is critical
> for any transmitter, even CB. The reason is so that everyone is using
> the same freq, and so the sidebands are not drifting into another
> channel or band.

Hum.... I think I did say that didn't I?

"If you need more than that I agree a frequency counter would be preferred."

> >Try finding a digital meter that reads that accurate at RF frequencies! =
> >LOL.
>
> Mine does, sort of.

Either it does or it doesn't. 8-))

> I built my RF last voltmeter to use a DVM as the
> meter. It's mighty accurate, and cost me less than $30. More about
> that later.

I read that part. Interesting, however that circuit does nothing more than measure the value of a "known waveform". If the RF waveform in question is not the assumed one for calibration purposes then the reading is totally useless. I mentioned a True RMS reading meter in my post. These meters use some form of thermal conversion technique - such as a thermal couple bonded to a heating element in a evacuated glass bulb, or performs the calculation using analog methods - such as using multiplier circuits to perform the squaring and square root operations, or in digital form - the waveform is digitized and then the RMS calculation is done in software.

I will admit if all that is required is the measurement of a known wavform, such as a sine wave, then your suggestion works.

>
> > On many new scopes, both analog and digital, you can find cursor =

> >read-outs that takes the guess work out of reading a voltage level on =
> >the screen.
>
> True, but few will measure maximum peak, just the peaks that are
> triggered, and it can be triggered at any point on the wave. I also
> noticed on mine that if there is a low- or high-frequency signal mixed
> in ('fuzz'), it can either lock on the top or bottom of the fuzz, or
> it can go spastic.

I think if you worked on your scope "technique" a bit you could find a way to solve the problem.

> There are a lot of other things I don't like about
> these high-tech 'features', but I won't go into them here.

I find that the tech's, where I work, that hate the high-tech "features" is due to lack of understanding how to use them PROPERLY. There is nothing worst then trying to use a function of any instrument for a measurement operation it was not designed to handle. However once they understand how the "feature" works many can't live without, and will complain when they can't use the scope with all the bells and whistles on it.

> >> A scope also requires constant
> >> alignment to maintain the accuracy it has.
> >
> >So do meters. They have an internal DC reference that can drift and age =
> >just like anything you can find in a scope.
>
> What I meant was that you need to re-calibrate just about every time
> you use a scope. Most scopes will allow you to manually adjust the
> horizontal and vertical gain, which is why the time and voltage
> calibration adjustments are front-panel controls, as well as an
> internal reference (like a 1 Vp-p, 60 Hz square wave).

You really have to be kidding me here! You have something against adjusting the vertical gain setting and horizontal time base? Its not any different than changing ranges on a DVM. As far as "compensating" the scope probe, which is not calibrating it so to speak, a good tech does the first thing when they flip on the scope. So long as the probes don't change on the scope its a few minute once a day chore. No big deal. Now if you got problems getting a waveform to display on the screen many new scopes have a button normally labeled "setup", which when pressed automatically adjusts the vertical gain and horizontal time base for you. It takes all of a second or two. Then you can fine tune what you see with a quick change of either control.

>
> >> For rough and general
> >> measurements that's fine, but for the accuracy that's needed with some
> >> of these modern radios it's just not enough.
> >
> >Not to pick any bones here but the real question is just how accurate, =
> >and precise, do the measurements really need to be. Is 10 percent, 5 =
> >percent, 1 percent, or 0.01 percent good enough for the job at hand? In =
> >most cases the answer is 1 to 5 percent. Most modern scopes can do this =

> >well. For those cases where the above is not enough, again I would agree =
> >a dedicated instrument would be better.
>
> I agree that, for applications that don't require precision, a scope
> can do the job almost as well as dedicated instruments, and for some
> functions even better. But for the same reason, I can't see the
> justification for the expense of one of these turbo-charged scopes
> when the other equipment is just as capable and less expensive.

As some of the other posters have pointed out the "other equipment" may not be so cheap compaired to a good scope.

> You
> still need most of the other equipment anyway, such as a sig-gen,
> voltmeter, impedance bridge, field-strength meter, etc. The only thing
> a scope would replace would be the RF voltmeter and the distortion
> analyzer. It doesn't make sense to me.

Well that's up to you. Personally the more I use a scope the more ways I find to use it. When I have to work on some equipment in-house, or out in the field, I consider it completely stupid to make the attempt unless I had a good scope available for use. I don't know how many times I have had tech's, from our sister companies, call me up for help and they don't even have a scope with them to make measurements with, and to look at waveforms. Many times that single instrument can make or break getting a customer up and running again.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

3228. Re: Wilson 5000 Mobile Antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 22:44:22 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <adD39.86417$A35.16445630@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 132307

Wilson is a pretty good line of antennas, I know there are those who willl disagree, but that's what makes horse races! They are a bit pricey, though, and you could probably end up with the same overall results with a 500 or 1000, for less money. The radio question is kind of a coin toss as well, most store bought rigs are 4 watts, and can be peaked to a bit better, and yes, there are amplifiers and "export" rigs with more power for more money. The thing I am questioning is your intended use... There are very few areas with law enforcement monitoring CB any more, not like the old days. And if you were to holler help, the odds are that you would end up with either no response, or the possibility that the guy that DOES show up ain't exactly what you were hoping for, especially if you are alone at night. Not everyone on CB is a nice guy, although there are lots who are. All in all a rsky option. Get a cell phone, even one of those pay as you go TracFone deals will work fine, and join the AAA for road service. Hell, even any old cell phone that works, without any sort of contract at all for making regular calls, has to be able to access 911. Ask around, a lot of people have old phones from previous contracts, or from an upgrade, especially those big old bag type phones that worked great,, plug it in and you can hit 911. CB's are fun if there are a lot of locals to BS with while you are driving around, but some areas are just plain dead anymore, very few base stations on the lower 40 to ragchew with.

Feeding Frenzy wrote in message
<15175-3D4E1099-689@storefull-2172.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
Is the Wilson 5000 magnetic mount mobile cb antenna the best one to buy for reception when placed on the roof of a car? Aren't all cbs the same as far as watts (4 watts I believe), so no 1 outperforms the other. If I'm wrong how do you add wattage power to the cb? Its all in the antenna right? I wan't the best in reception regardess of price. I live in a Delaware where it is very flat. Not a lot of highways in the area, so not too many 18 wheelers. I wan't to use it mostly in case of car trouble, so I can contact a cop for assistance. Are the cops on channel 9?

3229. Re: Van SWR can be lower?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 22:46:01 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@charter.net>
Message-ID: <uku03lc3p5i40b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132308

Bob........3' is a tremendous compromise at 27 Mhz! Try something longer. I guess you wouldn't want a 1/4 wave unloaded whip? It would hit everything, but man would it perform.

Bill

--
Web Site: www.polkcounty.org/radiofun
ICQ: 11908449

"Bob" <rcmiszuk@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:45c6ea5d.0208051325.60e2d03@posting.google.com...
> I just installed a dome mount on my 15-passenger van on the roof. It's
> located in the center, a few inches back from the windshield. On it I
> have a 3ft Firestik.
>
> I can only get SWR's of 2.0 on channels 1 and 40, and SWR of 1.3 on
> channel 19.
>
> On the underside of the roof I sanded the paint away to give good
> metal contact to the mount. Checked the cable and such with a
> multimeter, there is continuity where there should be and no
> continuity where there shouldn't be.
>
> Should I expect lower SWR's? I figured with that huge rooftop I'd have
> better SWR's.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Bob.

3230. Amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 22:52:02 UTC
From: TomHuffstetler@webtv.net
Message-ID: <17059-3D4F0192-1066@storefull-2374.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132309

I just purchased a Galaxy Saturn with a variable watt knob-----(0 to 20)----which would be the best amp to run? I'm looking for something around 300-400. BTW---keep your comments to yourself Vooby!!

3231. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 23:39:03 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <r0E39.20644$pg2.1660756@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132310

Certainly a class "C" amp bias deeply into cutoff will have severe distortion. But we are talking about an amp with perhaps 170+ degrees conduction angle. This level of distortion is not detectable to the human ear, unless you claim to be a maestro. I stand by my original statement that a "no bias" transistor amplifier can have great audio if driven from cutoff to below the compression area. The idea bandied around this group so often that a "no bias" bipolar amp always has badly distorted audio is pure rubbish...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D4DBCE2.8A65964D@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Brian Griffey wrote:
> >
> > You sir are incorrect on all counts.
> > The fact remains that I can take a class "C" amplifier and with proper
AM
> > drive can get sparkly clean audio. Now the harmonics will probably not
be up
> > to your standards but you can't hear harmonics if you are receiving on
the
> > frequency you are transmitting on. As for the topic of "compression",
this
> > is a widely known concept in RF amplifiers. I suggest you refer to Radio
> > Frequency Transistors - Principles and Practical Applications by Norm
Dye
> > and Helge Granberg. This text will certainly show you the error of your
> > ways... unless you want to tell us that these Motorola authors are
> > practicing voodoo witchdoctors in their spare time.
> >
>
> You sir need to learn some more theory. A class "C" amplifier is
> biased beyond cut off. Class "C" by definition is non-linear. The
> output is NOT a replication of the input. The amp is NOT operating
> over the linear portion of the curve. Feeding an AM signal into a
> class "C" amp WILL give distortion on the output.

3232. Re: PRIDE 100 10-80 METER MOBILE AMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 23:41:01 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208051541.281981d0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132311

NI4L <ni4l@charter.net> wrote in message news:<3D4D9585.1070500@charter.net>...
> I have a mobile amp Pride 100 Bi Linear amplifier says 10-80 meters on
> the front
> has ssb/am preamp works fine asking 140.00 shipped

Another illegal CB businessman with a ham license. Riley will be interested...

-A

3233. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 23:45:18 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <i6E39.20663$pg2.1660987@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132312

Well, the channel sixers do what they do for a reason. The reason is that running at zero or negative bias will give you "the most bang for your buck". Now mind you that is not the most neighborly type of transmission, but it does take advantage of most of the available DC power from a source. They also drive the thing to the moon... which moves them deep into the non-linear compression area. This is what makes them sound so fuzzy. They are evidently willing to put up with the fuzz to get absolute maximum carrier power on the air. To each his own...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message news:3D4DEA6C.CB84B92A@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Brian Griffey wrote:
> >
> > The fact remains that I can take a class "C" amplifier and with proper AM
> > drive can get sparkly clean audio. Now the harmonics will probably not be up
> > to your standards but you can't hear harmonics if you are receiving on the
> > frequency you are transmitting on.
>
> The channel 6 mentality! I like the way they sound just as good on 36
> as they do on 6. The S meter goes highest on 6. If they could only
> imagine what they could accomplish if all those bird watts were on
> one channel. What good is 3000 watts if your only putting 1000 on 6?

3234. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-05 23:59:51 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <XjE39.117663$cm.4049137@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132313

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d4c9270.8592247@news.cet.com...

> Any test equipment, even an o-scope, can be made costly when the
> manufacturer adds all those cute little 'features', most of which
> never get used.

I use just about every feature on the scopes I use.

> I wasn't sure about that. My HP will only perform FFT on low freqs and
> reads high freqs directly.

What model HP scope are you using by the way? My favorite at work is the HP56400. I also have my own personal Tek TDS-1012, which I just got, at home along with an old B&K 15 MHz dual trace analog scope.

>
> >>=20
> >> >Also simple dedicated meter type instruments can't show you when the =
> >=3D
> >> >biasing is set correctly for a power stage to eliminate cross over =
> >=3D
> >> >distortion in a class "B" push-pull audio circuit,
> >>=20
> >> Of course you can. Depending on the circuit, all you need to do is
> >> measure the quiescent collector (or grid) current.
> >
> >It can very from device to device. A single fixed value may work for one =
> >device, but not for another.
>
> Hopefully the transconductance of the transistors are matched in a
> push-pull amp. If they are, the quiescent current will be pretty close
> to the same on both sides. If they are not, there is a problem.

It doesn't help when you can't get a matching device anymore. I remember fixing a guy's 200 watt Hatachi stereo receiver, when I was in my very early twenties and earning my EE degree, which he blew out one of the channels on. It turned out I couldn't get replacement output transistors that matched the ones still good. There was a colored dot on the case which showed what gain range the device was sorted in to. As a result I had to order a complete set of matched output transistors, four in total, to fix his radio. Since the new batch of transistors had a different gain I had to use a scope to reset the bias for the lot of them to eliminate crossover problems.

> >Well first I'm not convinced that an audio distortion analyzer works =
> >that well. Particularly after a friend of mine built a stereo Class "D" =
> >switching audio amp, at the 100 watt level, and one of those meters =
> >showed zero percent distortion when he ran it at full output.

>
> Nothing shows 0% distortion, especially a Class D PA, so something was
> obviously wrong there.

Just another good reason to have another instrument, of a different type, on hand that you can use as a back up just in cases where you can't trust the readings from one. I've gotten burned more than one trusting a single insterment where the reading seem out of wack and noth checking using something else. This is something I try to impress any new tech, or engineer fresh out of school, we get.

The tech schools and collages don't seem to teach a natural suspicion of instrument readings. They almost always assume that what they see is right. I get questions from new guys asking me to come over and look at a strange scope waveform, and ask how come it looks that way. Then I disconnect the probe from the scope. Tie a big fat knot in the cord, and proceed to throw it in to the nearest trash barrel. After connecting a new probe, and a good waveform on the scope screen appears, that's when I get those looks. Then I have to ask them if any body at their school ever told them that scope probes do fail from time to time, meters can be out of calibration, etc. If something doesn't look right then find at least one other way to check your measurement. That simple idea has saved a lot of wasted time of people screwing around with broken or out of calibration equipment at our place.

>
> > I can also =
> >show you a digital meter costing $200, with 0.1 percent RMS accurate =
> >reading on it's AC scales, that change their reading by 5 to 10 percent =
> >by simply switching the two leads on the test points with a signal at =
> >audio frequency on them. A really good electronics' tech will have =
> >learned after a lot of years that instruments can give false readings, =
> >and can fail in ways that don't make it look all that obvious there is a =
> >problem.
>
> Unless the meter had a differential (balanced) input, that will happen
> whever the source is unbalanced, or one of the outputs is referenced
> or bypassed to ground. The same thing happens with an o-scope.

True, but I have seen it happen even with differential inputs. Some of the fields generated by our equipment has resulted in some weird readings meters, and scope displays. We have sent many a meter and scope salesmen walking with a new appreciation when we tell them that with many new meters and sopes the internal shielding sucks as well as noise immunity from line conducted RFI.

>
> >> For example, if your distortion level
> >> suddenly jumps as you reduce the bias, then the bias is too low. Or if
> >> it jumps while you increase the input then you are clipping. It will
> >> also tell you something that you can't see on a scope, and that's the
> >> optimum bias for lowest distortion.
> >
> >What it can't tell you is what are the distortion products. Some are a =
> >lot less annoying than others. A scope, using the FFT function, not only =
> >lets you see how much distortion your getting, but also WHERE frequency =
> >wise.

>
> It's pretty easy to tell splatter from compression and clipping,

You get splatter from compression and clipping. Much more so from clipping. Any hard whacking off of the waveform peaks generates additional high frequency components that were not originally present in the audio. These additional high frequency components appear in the sidebands of the modulated RF signal, thus causing the modulated signal to occupy much more bandwidth than necessary. What you call "splatter" are the high frequency ends of each sideband, on AM, appears so far below and above the normal channel width they appear in the pass band of the adjacent channels. Compression in the power amp section can do the same thing, however the flat topping, i.e. clipping, is not as severe, thus splatter is less common.

>but it takes a little practice to tell if it's from crossover distortion.

Unless the harmonics generated by the two are very different I would suspect anyone would be hard pressed to tell the difference if at all.

> The easiest way to tell is to check the audio going to the final from
> the modulator output and compare that with the distortion from the
> monitor (via the RF). This I consider to be a basic procedure, even
> with an o-scope.

With a scope you can tell if its clipping, output stage compression, or cross over without any guess work.

>
> >> > or when the output RF =3D
> >> >stage in a SSB rig is operating class "C" due to a malfunctioning =
> >bias =3D
> >> >circuit.=3D20
> >>=20
> >> That's -too- easy: Just reduce the modulation gradually, and if the
> >> power drops off before your modulation hits zero then you are
> >> operating class C.
> >
> >That's assuming the bias does not move around under modulation. That is =
> >exactly the problem with some simple diode bias circuits. The transistor =
> >can get starved for current under heavy modulation. In fact there was a =
> >very long thread on this news group some months back about this subject.
>
> If you are talking amps, yes indeed that's what happens. I was talking
> your typical final in an SSB CB radio. It is also a linear amp, but is
> usually biased much better than a competition splatterbox. Regardless,
> if bias sucks, it will show up as distortion.

OK.

>
> >> >You will also need a scope to catch intermittent instabilities in the =
> >=3D
> >> >PLL reference oscillator, logic glitches in frequency divider chains =
> >=3D

> >> >etc.=3D20
> >>=20
> >> True, and that is what I was talking about before. But you don't need
> >> a super-charged, multi-trace, digital scope to see it, just a good
> >> trigger.
> >
> >An regular analog scope may not show you what is happening, even with a =
> >good trigger. If for example you get an errand pulse once in a while, at =
> >even as low as few hundred Hz, the eye is not fast enough to catch it. =
> >What is used in this case is what is called a variable persistence =
> >scope. The old analog ones were very expensive, but the feature can be =
> >added to a digital scope relatively cheap.=20
> >=20
>
> You will notice even infrequent pulses or spikes by turning up your
> trigger level to just above the signal. The trace will blank out, but
> if there is a spike or pulse the trace will flash on the screen.
> That's best done with the scope set for long time intervals (1 to 0.1
> sec) so you can see the trace.

You idea doesn't work if all the pulses are of the same amplitude, such as working on logic circuits. Believe it or not even some of your basic logic IC's DON'T work as documented. I had to find a problem with a CD4098 timer chip once on a new design. Had the thing wired in the non-retriggerable mode, but it still retrigged when a pulse was applied to it before the one shot timed out, thus resetting the one shot. The documentaion said that can't happen, but it did, and only for a narrow range of pulse widths too. We even tested several chips from several manufactures. All had the same problem. Finally gave up and used a CD4528 instead, which didn't show the problem. It took a good digital storage scope, good test techniques, and a couple of days to find this bug.

> And I also noticed that my HP doesn't
> always catch or display a spike, for whatever reason.

Check you trigger settings. On some scopes there are options for high and low pass filtering on the trigger signal. It may be labeled like "noise filter" etc. If you have that turned on by error then you will have mucho problems trying to trigger on spikes. Finally I found on some scopes the trigger function sucks no matter how you set it.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

3235. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-06 00:01:54 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <SIE39.25992$KI6.1681404@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132314

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:r0E39.20644$pg2.1660756@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Certainly a class "C" amp bias deeply into cutoff will have severe
> distortion. But we are talking about an amp with perhaps 170+ degrees
> conduction angle. This level of distortion is not detectable to the
human
> ear, unless you claim to be a maestro. I stand by my original statement
that
> a "no bias" transistor amplifier can have great audio if driven from
cutoff
> to below the compression area. The idea bandied around this group so
often
> that a "no bias" bipolar amp always has badly distorted audio is pure
> rubbish...
>
> --
> |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/StopSafe_Manual.doc
> |||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>

The problem as Frank got me to realize is that it is virtually impossible
given the impedences to get 170 degrees or anywhere near it while
overcoming the 0.7volt junction drop. The idea may work but implementing
it is a real bitchkitty. So you are going to have a rather large 'hole'
right thru the modulating waveform which still will cause a fairly large
reduction in modulation percentage even if, by some minor miracle, it
comes out more or less OK.

3236. Re: Van SWR can be lower?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-06 00:03:05 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D4F1239.1C64F325@qnet.com>
Source record: 132316

Heah Moe, Heah Larry, wooop wooop wooop!

Jay in the Mojave

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> <yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message
> news:3d4ef7f5.7133494@news.cet.com...
> > In <3D4EF4DA.C366CA03@icok.net>, 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >
> > >Bob,
> > > It sounds about 'normal' to me. Your right, you
> > >do have a lot of 'roof', but you have to remember
> > >you also have a small antenna. That usually averages
> > >out to a fairly narrow band width, which you're seeing.
> > > 'Doc
> >
> > A "small" antenna "averages out to a fairly narrow band width"? How do
> > you figure?
> >
>
> Your turn, Doc.

3237. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-06 00:09:22 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <SsE39.26007$Kl6.1683612@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132315

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D4DEA6C.CB84B92A@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Brian Griffey wrote:
> >
> > The fact remains that I can take a class "C" amplifier and with proper
AM
> > drive can get sparkly clean audio. Now the harmonics will probably not
be up
> > to your standards but you can't hear harmonics if you are receiving on
the
> > frequency you are transmitting on.
>
> The channel 6 mentality! I like the way they sound just as good on 36
> as they do on 6. The S meter goes highest on 6. If they could only
> imagine what they could accomplish if all those bird watts were on
> one channel. What good is 3000 watts if your only putting 1000 on 6?

What's worse, Scott, is that pretty soon someone is going to figure out
that you can put out a few hundred watts of DSB and win a keydown using
the nincompoop's own carrier to demodulate you. SSB would be better but
with DSB you could point to all the swang and look stupid before anyone
figured it out.

3238. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-06 00:39:34 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4f06e8.10960448@news.cet.com>
Source record: 132317

In <Z4D39.79426$vg.3071927@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>, "Leland C.
Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message =
>news:3d4c9270.8592247@news.cet.com...
>> In <bRX29.88885$cm.2896428@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>, "Leland C.
>> Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>>=20
>> >
>> ><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message =3D
>> >news:3d475d1a.17630@news.cet.com...
>> >> Well, it won't read frequencies very accurately, that is unless it =
>has
>> >> a built-in frequency counter.
>> >
>> >Some of the new digital scopes do well out to 4 or 5 digits. If you =
>need =3D
>> >more than that I agree a frequency counter would be preferred.
>>=20
>> Yes, you need more than 4 or 5 digits. Frequency accuracy is critical
>> for any transmitter, even CB. The reason is so that everyone is using
>> the same freq, and so the sidebands are not drifting into another
>> channel or band.
>
>Hum.... I think I did say that didn't I?=20
>
>"If you need more than that I agree a frequency counter would be =
>preferred."

And I agreed with you. What's your point?

>> >Try finding a digital meter that reads that accurate at RF =
>frequencies! =3D
>> >LOL.
>>=20
>> Mine does, sort of.
>
>Either it does or it doesn't. 8-))

The meter itself (the DVM proper, if you will) isn't intended for RF
freqs, but put it behind a circuit designed to read RF and it is very
accurate.

>> I built my RF last voltmeter to use a DVM as the
>> meter. It's mighty accurate, and cost me less than $30. More about

>> that later.
>
>I read that part. Interesting, however that circuit does nothing more =
>than measure the value of a "known waveform". If the RF waveform in =
>question is not the assumed one for calibration purposes then the =
>reading is totally useless. I mentioned a True RMS reading meter in my =
>post. These meters use some form of thermal conversion technique - such =
>as a thermal couple bonded to a heating element in a evacuated glass =
>bulb, or performs the calculation using analog methods - such as using =
>multiplier circuits to perform the squaring and square root operations, =
>or in digital form - the waveform is digitized and then the RMS =
>calculation is done in software.
>
>I will admit if all that is required is the measurement of a known =
>wavform, such as a sine wave, then your suggestion works.

That's all we are reading here, right? You are just using it for power
measurements or for setting the limiter. If your distortion meter
shows low, your freq counter is right on the money, and everything
sounds fine on the receiver, what more do you need?

>>=20
>> > On many new scopes, both analog and digital, you can find cursor =3D
>> >read-outs that takes the guess work out of reading a voltage level on =
>=3D
>> >the screen.
>>=20
>> True, but few will measure maximum peak, just the peaks that are
>> triggered, and it can be triggered at any point on the wave. I also
>> noticed on mine that if there is a low- or high-frequency signal mixed
>> in ('fuzz'), it can either lock on the top or bottom of the fuzz, or
>> it can go spastic.
>
>I think if you worked on your scope "technique" a bit you could find a =
>way to solve the problem.

My "technique" is fine, and the problem doesn't happen on an analog
scope because I can put the trigger where I want. Those digital scopes
seem to be rather fussy about making adjustments -- they just don't
seem to have that smooth analog response. But I still don't see the
point when I can detect the problem with something that was
specifically designed for the purpose.

>> There are a lot of other things I don't like about
>> these high-tech 'features', but I won't go into them here.
>
>I find that the tech's, where I work, that hate the high-tech =
>"features" is due to lack of understanding how to use them PROPERLY. =
>There is nothing worst then trying to use a function of any instrument =
>for a measurement operation it was not designed to handle. However once =
>they understand how the "feature" works many can't live without, and =

>will complain when they can't use the scope with all the bells and =
>whistles on it.

That's their hang-up, not mine. Like I said, I have plenty of
experience working with digital scopes, and I even own one. I know how
it works and how to work it. It has many uses for which I wouldn't use
anything else. But for CB radio and most any other radio work it's
just plain overkill.

>> >> A scope also requires constant
>> >> alignment to maintain the accuracy it has.
>> >
>> >So do meters. They have an internal DC reference that can drift and =
>age =3D
>> >just like anything you can find in a scope.
>>=20
>> What I meant was that you need to re-calibrate just about every time
>> you use a scope. Most scopes will allow you to manually adjust the
>> horizontal and vertical gain, which is why the time and voltage
>> calibration adjustments are front-panel controls, as well as an
>> internal reference (like a 1 Vp-p, 60 Hz square wave).
>
>You really have to be kidding me here! You have something against =
>adjusting the vertical gain setting and horizontal time base? Its not =
>any different than changing ranges on a DVM.

I'm talking about the pot, not the range switch.

> As far as "compensating" =
>the scope probe, which is not calibrating it so to speak, a good tech =
>does the first thing when they flip on the scope. So long as the probes =
>don't change on the scope its a few minute once a day chore. No big =
>deal.

Yes, it's no big deal if you use the scope every day. But it's not
even necessary if you don't use it at all.

> Now if you got problems getting a waveform to display on the =
>screen many new scopes have a button normally labeled "setup", which =
>when pressed automatically adjusts the vertical gain and horizontal time =
>base for you. It takes all of a second or two. Then you can fine tune =
>what you see with a quick change of either control.=20

I know how to use a digital scope. It's still not as simple, accurate,
or inexpensive as an RF voltmeter.

>>=20
>> >> For rough and general
>> >> measurements that's fine, but for the accuracy that's needed with =
>some
>> >> of these modern radios it's just not enough.
>> >
>> >Not to pick any bones here but the real question is just how =

>accurate, =3D
>> >and precise, do the measurements really need to be. Is 10 percent, 5 =
>=3D
>> >percent, 1 percent, or 0.01 percent good enough for the job at hand? =
>In =3D
>> >most cases the answer is 1 to 5 percent. Most modern scopes can do =
>this =3D
>> >well. For those cases where the above is not enough, again I would =
>agree =3D
>> >a dedicated instrument would be better.
>>=20
>> I agree that, for applications that don't require precision, a scope
>> can do the job almost as well as dedicated instruments, and for some
>> functions even better. But for the same reason, I can't see the
>> justification for the expense of one of these turbo-charged scopes
>> when the other equipment is just as capable and less expensive.
>
>As some of the other posters have pointed out the "other equipment" may =
>not be so cheap compaired to a good scope.

I did a quick search on the net. It was really easy to find a brand
new HP 8903B for $3500. I looked a little further and here's what else
I found: a Krohn-Hite 6880 for $200.00; an HP 332A for $150; and there
was an HP 334A that recently went for $67 on ebay. I mentioned that
Heathkit might have made a kit, and I was right: AA-1, HO-10, HD-1,
IM-12, IM-48 and IM-58. Or if you want a homebrew distortion analyzer
try this link:

http://www.ethanwiner.com/distort.html

And if you can't find an RF voltmeter for less that $50 then you
aren't looking.

>> You
>> still need most of the other equipment anyway, such as a sig-gen,
>> voltmeter, impedance bridge, field-strength meter, etc. The only thing
>> a scope would replace would be the RF voltmeter and the distortion
>> analyzer. It doesn't make sense to me.
>
>Well that's up to you. Personally the more I use a scope the more ways I =
>find to use it.

How did you get it to work as a signal generator? Or an impedance
bridge? Or a capacitor tester? You haven't done that yet? Well, when
you do let me know.

> When I have to work on some equipment in-house, or out =
>in the field, I consider it completely stupid to make the attempt unless =
>I had a good scope available for use. I don't know how many times I have =
>had tech's, from our sister companies, call me up for help and they =
>don't even have a scope with them to make measurements with, and to look =
>at waveforms. Many times that single instrument can make or break =

>getting a customer up and running again.

In over twenty years I have never worked on a CB radio where an
o-scope made a difference.

3239. Re: Amps ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-06 00:50:09 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4f1ba2.16265819@news.cet.com>
Source record: 132318

In <r0E39.20644$pg2.1660756@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Certainly a class "C" amp bias deeply into cutoff will have severe
>distortion. But we are talking about an amp with perhaps 170+ degrees
>conduction angle.

Which you are not getting.

> This level of distortion is not detectable to the human
>ear, unless you claim to be a maestro.

It is very detectable if you are not on the same frequency. It is also
detectable at harmonics of your fundamental.

> I stand by my original statement that
>a "no bias" transistor amplifier can have great audio if driven from cutoff
>to below the compression area. The idea bandied around this group so often
>that a "no bias" bipolar amp always has badly distorted audio is pure
>rubbish...

Oh how quickly we forget lessons learned. Do I have to go though the
math once again? I will if I have to. Better yet, take a lesson from
that Pride 100 recently advertised in this newsgroup. A pair of
MRF-450's, ground biased, and no tuned output. Yep, you will be heard
quite nicely for several miles on the intended channel plus a couple
others, and your audio will come in nice and clean through every TV
set for several blocks -- even farther if they don't have cable! Don't
believe me? Ask anyone that has ever used one.

3240. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-06 01:03:34 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208051703.6e795cd5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132319

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208032128.3f533261@posting.google.com>...
> "Me" whocare@you.com wrote
> <6%_29.48618$vg.1928615@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
> "www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
> news:20020803191623.00687.00001254@mb-mi.aol.com...
> > >at times it gets very difficult to tell the differences
> >>between 3.950, 7.295, 14.295, 14.313, 27.025, 27.185
> >>without actually looking at the freq
> >>readout.
>
> Tim is an admitted HF bootlegger and pirate. Now we know what freqs to
> listen for him on. So does the FCC.

In reality, Aanal Aaron, you know nothing more than you did before.
You ASSUME an awful lot, and that makes you a total @$$.

> >> these are all places where your very likely to run into
> >>foul language and over powered operators.

Don't forget the 2 metre repeaters in almost any big metro area!

> >Thats funny Nebro, I have listened to most of the freq's
> >you talk about and never once heard anything that
> >resembles a cb radio, or foul language.

So, you're stone deaf? Or just stupid?

> >Perhaps you can record some of this with the people
> >giving there call signs so you are to be taken more
> >seriously. And any indications that they are
> >running over 1.5 kw.

And then you'd want him to post them -- where? Maybe send them to you
by email? BWAAAAHAHAHAHA!

> Great idea. Until Nebo produces recently-recorded sound files with
> call signs I'd certainly disregard his anti-ham propaganda.
>
> -A

You certainly disregard the facts all the time, Aanal Aaron. Since
you're not a licensed ham, you have nothing to say about it. Try
tuning the frequencies listed above and see for yourself. You've
already proven that you won't do anything constructive if it will
prove you wrong Aanal Aaron; have you called the FCC interference
complaint line to see what they have to say yet? Didn't think so. Have

you call the FCC for clarification on the alleged illegality of 10 metre radios yet? Didn't think so. And you won't.

Please stop wasting all the bandwidth, Aanal Aaron. Get a life. You're nothing better than a TROLL! And a mental midget, as well.

3241. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-06 01:05:15 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208051705.3c887226@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132320

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:<x3%29.13648$Z5.588165@news1.telusplanet.net>...
> Just one question, would you want your wife and kids listening to the, "bad
> stuff" while in the car?

An excellent reason not to have a ham radio in the car, either!

3242. Re: PRIDE 100 10-80 METER MOBILE AMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-06 01:14:11 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D4F22E8.8207D177@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132321

"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:

> Another illegal CB businessman with a ham license. Riley will be interested...
>
> -A

Oompah Oompah!
Let's have a sip.

3243. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-06 01:17:29 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208051717.328c1ec0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132322

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0207271508.7eb86703@posting.google.com>...
> "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:<ahtn3r$989$12@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner_aaron@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:22a5e694.0207201252.394c1060@posting.google.com...
> > > "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
> news:<ahb6qdocg8@news6.svr.pol.co.uk>...
> > > >
> > > > Legal equipment can still intefere, just as illegal equipment does not
> > > > always cause inteference.
> > >
> > > Does that make it any less illegal?
> > ==
> >
> > I Never said it did, I was just stating a couple of facts. People need
> > to be aware that running a legal setup does not guarantee that you
> > will not intefere.
>
> True, and it's not an excuse to run illegally.

He never said it was, dumn@$$.

> > Such ideas lead to legal CBers being unwilling to even consider
> > sorting out inteference problems as it cannot be their system at
> > fault... or so they think.
>
> All the more reason NOT to run illegally. If a LEGAL CB rig can
> interfere, adding a "kicker" or operating "out of band" can only make
> things WORSE, don't you agree?

No, I don't. Amplifiers, MAYBE, if operated improperly. But out of
band? There's simply no correlation. If a legal signal in the "legal
40" doesn't cause interference, why would it outside the "legal 40"?
You make no sense, Aanal Aaron. But that's exactly what we expect from
you.

> > Just like you are unwilling to debate without resorting to insults in
> > the subject header, because someone states facts that do not suit
> > you.
>
> I believe that within your first few posts to me you resorted to an
> insulting header. Now you want what you started to stop. What a big
> baby you turned out to be.
>

> > You may call it "acting like a single celled amoeba", I call it
> > thinking for myself... something your mob do not like people doing.
>
> My "mob" (?) wants to stop people thinking for themselves? Now I've
> heard it all.

Doubtful. And "your mob" consists of two so far: Aanal Aaron and Yeti.
Some mob. I'm so scared! Neither of you has the cojones to post your
amateur callsign (maybe because neither of you has one?). Ever think
that if you did have one and posted it, maybe people would take you
more seriously? Didn't think so. Probably because neither of you knows
how to think.

> > You would like us to believe that all electronic equipment knows the
> > law and will get inteference as soon as a radio is operated illegally...
> > but not while it is operated legally.
>
> I said or implied nothing of the sort. As Sparky said, that would be
> animism.
>
> -A

You implied exactly that; see above. (A CB rig operated in the legal
40 won't, but if you go out of band it will?) Double-talking @$$; you
must really be the mindless "W" in not-so-clever disguise!

Where's the guy with the 2x4? WHAP!

3244. Re: PRIDE 100 10-80 METER MOBILE AMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-06 01:28:40 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <cDF39.26137$Kl6.1693046@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132323

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208051541.281981d0@posting.google.com...
> NI4L <ni4l@charter.net> wrote in message
news:<3D4D9585.1070500@charter.net>...
> > I have a mobile amp Pride 100 Bi Linear amplifier says 10-80 meters on
> > the front
> > has ssb/am preamp works fine asking 140.00 shipped
>
> Another illegal CB businessman with a ham license. Riley will be
interested...
>
> -A

Doo-Dah, Doo-Dah

3245. Re: Oscilloscope Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-06 01:36:17 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4f1d9a.16770415@news.cet.com>
Source record: 132324

In <XjE39.117663$cm.4049137@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>, "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:

>
><yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message =
>news:3d4c9270.8592247@news.cet.com...
>
>> Any test equipment, even an o-scope, can be made costly when the
>> manufacturer adds all those cute little 'features', most of which
>> never get used.
>
>I use just about every feature on the scopes I use.

Then you are not 'most' people.

>> I wasn't sure about that. My HP will only perform FFT on low freqs and
>> reads high freqs directly.
>
>What model HP scope are you using by the way? My favorite at work is the =
>HP56400. I also have my own personal Tek TDS-1012, which I just got, at =
>home along with an old B&K 15 MHz dual trace analog scope.

1722A. There are better and there are worse, but it works for what I need it for.

>>=20
>> >>=3D20
>> >> >Also simple dedicated meter type instruments can't show you when =
>the =3D
>> >=3D3D
>> >> >biasing is set correctly for a power stage to eliminate cross over =
>=3D
>> >=3D3D
>> >> >distortion in a class "B" push-pull audio circuit,
>> >>=3D20
>> >> Of course you can. Depending on the circuit, all you need to do is
>> >> measure the quiescent collector (or grid) current.
>> >
>> >It can very from device to device. A single fixed value may work for =
>one =3D
>> >device, but not for another.
>>=20
>> Hopefully the transconductance of the transistors are matched in a
>> push-pull amp. If they are, the quiescent current will be pretty close
>> to the same on both sides. If they are not, there is a problem.
>

>It doesn't help when you can't get a matching device anymore. I remember =
>fixing a guy's 200 watt Hatachi stereo receiver, when I was in my very =
>early twenties and earning my EE degree, which he blew out one of the =
>channels on. It turned out I couldn't get replacement output transistors =
>that matched the ones still good. There was a colored dot on the case =
>which showed what gain range the device was sorted in to. As a result I =
>had to order a complete set of matched output transistors, four in =
>total, to fix his radio. Since the new batch of transistors had a =
>different gain I had to use a scope to reset the bias for the lot of =
>them to eliminate crossover problems.

hehe, try one of those Peavey's -- what a pain in the ass! And what
they don't tell you on the schematic is that the diode between the
base busses is actually a dual-diode with twice the voltage drop. Put
a single diode in there and you blow it up -- the protection triac
just smokes a trace while a whole bank of transistors blows open and
pulls 2000 watts to the rail!

>> >Well first I'm not convinced that an audio distortion analyzer works =
>=3D
>> >that well. Particularly after a friend of mine built a stereo Class =
>"D" =3D
>> >switching audio amp, at the 100 watt level, and one of those meters =
>=3D
>> >showed zero percent distortion when he ran it at full output.
>>=20
>> Nothing shows 0% distortion, especially a Class D PA, so something was
>> obviously wrong there.
>
>Just another good reason to have another instrument, of a different =
>type, on hand that you can use as a back up just in cases where you =
>can't trust the readings from one. I've gotten burned more than one =
>trusting a single insterment where the reading seem out of wack and noth =
>checking using something else. This is something I try to impress any =
>new tech, or engineer fresh out of school, we get.=20

We have all done that, some of us more often than others :-(

>The tech schools and collages don't seem to teach a natural suspicion of =
>instrument readings. They almost always assume that what they see is =
>right. I get questions from new guys asking me to come over and look at =
>a strange scope waveform, and ask how come it looks that way. Then I =
>disconnect the probe from the scope. Tie a big fat knot in the cord, and =
>proceed to throw it in to the nearest trash barrel. After connecting a =
>new probe, and a good waveform on the scope screen appears, that's when =
>I get those looks. Then I have to ask them if any body at their school =
>ever told them that scope probes do fail from time to time, meters can =
>be out of calibration, etc. If something doesn't look right then find at =
>least one other way to check your measurement. That simple idea has =
>saved a lot of wasted time of people screwing around with broken or out =
>of calibration equipment at our place.

I have seen the same thing, and the serious students usually learn by
experience. My biggest beef is with the educational system in general,
because it seems they don't teach kids how to think anymore. Sure,
they show them how they can type on a computer or punch buttons on a
calculator, but most of the time the students are incapable of solving
a problem that they haven't been taught specifically how to solve.

Just a pet peeve...

>>=20
>> > I can also =3D
>> >show you a digital meter costing $200, with 0.1 percent RMS accurate =
>=3D
>> >reading on it's AC scales, that change their reading by 5 to 10 =
>percent =3D
>> >by simply switching the two leads on the test points with a signal at =
>=3D
>> >audio frequency on them. A really good electronics' tech will have =
>=3D
>> >learned after a lot of years that instruments can give false =
>readings, =3D
>> >and can fail in ways that don't make it look all that obvious there =
>is a =3D
>> >problem.
>>=20
>> Unless the meter had a differential (balanced) input, that will happen
>> whever the source is unbalanced, or one of the outputs is referenced
>> or bypassed to ground. The same thing happens with an o-scope.
>
>True, but I have seen it happen even with differential inputs. Some of =
>the fields generated by our equipment has resulted in some weird =
>readings meters, and scope displays. We have sent many a meter and scope =
>salesmen walking with a new appreciation when we tell them that with =
>many new meters and sopes the internal shielding sucks as well as noise =
>immunity from line conducted RFI.

Welcome to age of plastic.

>>=20
>> >> For example, if your distortion level
>> >> suddenly jumps as you reduce the bias, then the bias is too low. Or =
>if
>> >> it jumps while you increase the input then you are clipping. It =
>will
>> >> also tell you something that you can't see on a scope, and that's =
>the
>> >> optimum bias for lowest distortion.
>> >
>> >What it can't tell you is what are the distortion products. Some are =
>a =3D
>> >lot less annoying than others. A scope, using the FFT function, not =
>only =3D

>> >lets you see how much distortion your getting, but also WHERE =
>frequency =3D
>> >wise.
>>=20
>> It's pretty easy to tell splatter from compression and clipping,
>
>You get splatter from compression and clipping. Much more so from =
>clipping.

Yes, but you can tell the difference between the cause and the effect.
Splatter can also be caused from a grid-current transistion, but you
can't hear that very well, either.

> Any hard whacking off of the waveform peaks generates =
>additional high frequency components that were not originally present in =
>the audio. These additional high frequency components appear in the =
>sidebands of the modulated RF signal, thus causing the modulated signal =
>to occupy much more bandwidth than necessary. What you call "splatter" =
>are the high frequency ends of each sideband, on AM, appears so far =
>below and above the normal channel width they appear in the pass band of =
>the adjacent channels. Compression in the power amp section can do the =
>same thing, however the flat topping, i.e. clipping, is not as severe, =
>thus splatter is less common.

Thanks for the refresher...

>>but it takes a little practice to tell if it's from crossover =
>distortion.
>
>Unless the harmonics generated by the two are very different I would =
>suspect anyone would be hard pressed to tell the difference if at all.

It just takes a little practice.

>> The easiest way to tell is to check the audio going to the final from
>> the modulator output and compare that with the distortion from the
>> monitor (via the RF). This I consider to be a basic procedure, even
>> with an o-scope.
>
>With a scope you can tell if its clipping, output stage compression, or =
>cross over without any guess work.

As I said before, the distortion is not always visible or obvious on
the scope, even a high-end digital number (which is why I do my audio
testing with a distortion analyzer where -any- distortion is very
obvious). And even if you can't tell the specific nature of the
problem, you still need to track down the responsible party and fix
it. Since the fault won't change depending upon the type of test
equipment you use, I see no difference between using a scope and a
distortion analyzer except for the ease of use and the price.

<snip>
>> You will notice even infrequent pulses or spikes by turning up your

>> trigger level to just above the signal. The trace will blank out, but
>> if there is a spike or pulse the trace will flash on the screen.
>> That's best done with the scope set for long time intervals (1 to 0.1
>> sec) so you can see the trace.
>
>You idea doesn't work if all the pulses are of the same amplitude, such =
>as working on logic circuits.

I thought we were talking about sine waves in a CB radio? Regardless,
you can set your scope to strobe even with digital circuits.

> Believe it or not even some of your basic =
>logic IC's DON'T work as documented. I had to find a problem with a =
>CD4098 timer chip once on a new design. Had the thing wired in the =
>non-retriggerable mode, but it still retrigged when a pulse was applied =
>to it before the one shot timed out, thus resetting the one shot. The =
>documentaion said that can't happen, but it did, and only for a narrow =
>range of pulse widths too. We even tested several chips from several =
>manufactures. All had the same problem. Finally gave up and used a =
>CD4528 instead, which didn't show the problem. It took a good digital =
>storage scope, good test techniques, and a couple of days to find this =
>bug.=20

Yes, I'm familiar with quite a bit of TTL, ECL and other 'logic'
designs. I'm also famailar with the occasional tantalum bypass that
slipped through quality control, and the occasional lockup of CMOS
devices. Also the problems of common-mode rejection with analog
interfacing devices, substandard fan-out specs, and data-bus
cross-talk. Why do you think I got the HP? It's great for that stuff!

But if all you are looking for is an occasional spike on an analog
line, all you need to do is to set the trigger to strobe the scope.

>> And I also noticed that my HP doesn't
>> always catch or display a spike, for whatever reason.
>
>Check you trigger settings. On some scopes there are options for high =
>and low pass filtering on the trigger signal. It may be labeled like =
>"noise filter" etc. If you have that turned on by error then you will =
>have mucho problems trying to trigger on spikes. Finally I found on some =
>scopes the trigger function sucks no matter how you set it.

I think you nailed it there.

3246. Re: PRIDE 100 10-80 METER MOBILE AMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-06 01:38:03 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <%LF39.52662$yc3.1853639@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132325

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:cDF39.26137$Kl6.1693046@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

> Doo-Dah, Doo-Dah

Yes Dick McCollum is playing with his doo-dah

3247. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-06 01:53:52 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d4f28f7.19679407@news.cet.com>
Source record: 132326

In <c5ecbb69.0208051717.328c1ec0@posting.google.com>,
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

<snip>
>> > Such ideas lead to legal CBers being unwilling to even consider
>> > sorting out inteference problems as it cannot be their system at
>> > fault... or so they think.
>>
>> All the more reason NOT to run illegally. If a LEGAL CB rig can
>> interfere, adding a "kicker" or operating "out of band" can only make
>> things WORSE, don't you agree?
>
>No, I don't. Amplifiers, MAYBE, if operated improperly. But out of
>band? There's simply no correlation. If a legal signal in the "legal
>40" doesn't cause interference, why would it outside the "legal 40"?
>You make no sense, Aanal Aaron. But that's exactly what we expect from
>you.

It's called 'harmonics'. If your radio is creating them and you are
operating on the freeloader band, part of your signal will land right
in the middle of a TV station.

<snip>
>> My "mob" (?) wants to stop people thinking for themselves? Now I've
>> heard it all.
>
>Doubtful. And "your mob" consists of two so far: Aanal Aaron and Yeti.
>Some mob. I'm so scared!

In case you didn't notice, 'mob' was brainbuster's word choice.

> Neither of you has the cojones to post your
>amateur callsign (maybe because neither of you has one?).

I don't, but I will soon. And it will be posted here when I get it.

> Ever think
>that if you did have one and posted it, maybe people would take you
>more seriously?

Why should he think that? I use my real name yet you don't take -me-
seriously, do you?

> Didn't think so. Probably because neither of you knows
>how to think.

At least I can think for myself, contrary to others in this newsgroup
that jump on the keyclown bandwagone whenever someone suggests that
I'm Voobner, or N7VCF, or Glenn Sparks, or whatever happens to be the
rumour of the week.

>> > You would like us to believe that all electronic equipment knows the
>> > law and will get inteference as soon as a radio is operated illegally...
>> > but not while it is operated legally.
>>
>> I said or implied nothing of the sort. As Sparky said, that would be
>> animism.
>>
>> -A
>
>You implied exactly that; see above. (A CB rig operated in the legal
>40 won't, but if you go out of band it will?) Double-talking @$$; you
>must really be the mindless "W" in not-so-clever disguise!

Well, since you don't understand the basics of harmonic interference
and band allocations, it appears that even if he -was- implying that
radios are capable of rational thought, they are certainly more
capable at it than you.

>Where's the guy with the 2x4? WHAP!

Gee, a violent reaction to frustration. I hope you don't have kids.

3248. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-06 01:56:19 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <71G39.115647$nm.4201938@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132327

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> Doubtful. And "your mob" consists of two so far: Aanal Aaron and Yeti.
> Some mob. I'm so scared! Neither of you has the cojones to post your
> amateur callsign (maybe because neither of you has one?).

Jackass Did you ever hear Sparky say he has one?

Ever think
> that if you did have one and posted it, maybe people would take you
> more seriously?

Ok so what is your call sign so perhaps we can take you alittle more serious

3249. Re: Van SWR can be lower?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-06 02:20:58 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D4F327C.56391B79@icok.net>
Source record: 132328

A three foot Firestick antenna is a helically wound antenna with variable winding pitch. As such, it has a fairly high 'Q' (efficiency). The higher the 'Q', the narrower the 2:1 SWR bandwidth of an antenna. For an antenna that has been shortened almost 66%, a 2:1 SWR bandwidth of almost a Mhz isn't bad at all, and is very common. Simple physics...
'Doc

3250. Re: Van SWR can be lower?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-06 02:24:30 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D4F3351.B5CC17B1@icok.net>
Source record: 132329

Richard,
I didn't realize we were 'taking turns'. If you
think I'm wrong, why don't you tell how I'm wrong.
If you don't think I'm wrong, then what's your point?
'Doc

3251. SSB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-06 02:26:22 UTC
From: SharksTooth2@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25326-3D4F33CE-788@storefull-2174.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132332

What is SSB on a cb radio, and what does it do for me?

3252. F/S AC MOBILE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-06 02:33:13 UTC
From: jvillafane@aol.com1iwilly
Message-ID: <20020805223313.28414.00001751@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 132330

HELLO TO ALL
I HAVE A 1986 CHEVY ASTRO VAN FULLY LOADED
WITH A 3CX3000A7 AC BOX. TURN KEY AND GO. SELLING TO BUY
ANOTHER MOBILEE TURN KEY AND GO WITH A PAIR OF 6000.
ASKING PRICE $5000
jvillafane

3253. Re: Van SWR can be lower?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-06 02:40:35 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <DGG39.26267$Kl6.1702271@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132331

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D4F3351.B5CC17B1@icok.net...
>
>
> Richard,
> I didn't realize we were 'taking turns'. If you
> think I'm wrong, why don't you tell how I'm wrong.
> If you don't think I'm wrong, then what's your point?
> 'Doc

Far as I know you are 100% correct. The 2:1 SWR appears to be about 500 kHz on 27 MHz or about 2 percent which is actually wider than I would have expected a 1/3 size helix to be without a bit of swamping resistance in the system.

Remember the infamous DDRR where the radiation resistance got so low and the Q so hi it would not pass an SSB signal on some freqs without some clipping?

Given debates with Frank tend to go long and deep into the night sometimes although they are generally quite cordial, I was just clearing the path for you; been a while since you got into one of these.

Bionic Klutz

3254. Re: SSB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-06 02:55:40 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <MUG39.26305$Kl6.1702701@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132333

"Feeding Frenzy" <SharksTooth2@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25326-3D4F33CE-788@storefull-2174.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> What is SSB on a cb radio, and what does it do for me?
>

SSB is basically an AM signal with the carrier and one sideband removed.
On a standard AM signal, no more than one watt will be in each sideband
and it is these sidebands that actually carry the communication audio.
What is called a carrier does not in fact carry anything and it is along
for the ride and to make it easy on the receiver. So SSB has a lot more
talk power at the expense of being tougher to tune.

With AM-only rigs being cheapened as we speak it is a fair bet that a rig
with SSB will do a better job on AM, particularly the receiver, at the
expense of a higher price tag.

As far as what it does for you, it allows you to communicate with a better
grade of derelict than you meet on AM.

3255. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 03:09:31 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ul90ve2r7lqs71@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132334

Thanks Lou,
Your always welcome here despite the flames.
Sincerely
Ken

3256. Re: 2 PILL WITH BUILT IN PS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 03:12:56 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ul915otdeam3e9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132335

ROFLMAO
Thanks man ..responses like that make this group enjoyable

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20020808001502.10018.00001876@mb-cn.aol.com...
> >From: "Me" whocare@you.com
> >>AKA Aaron(eous) the jackass
> >On what band does it operate?
> Why the Rock N Roll band, dick cheese!
>
>
>
> "Got RF?"

3257. Re: Does illegally high powered CBs hurt anyone? It seems they do.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 03:26:25 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ul91ur49n6f1d9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132336

Ok I admit it.
I had an 18 pill hooked up and was down in florida to do some freebanding.
I was just outside the Cape and after the shuttle launch I keyed up and when
I said"baba-BOEEYYY" on 28.085 it happened!
There was a flash and the space shuttle challenger was no more.:(
Luckily Hollinsworth fell for that stupid O-ring explainaton or I'd be
toast:)
Big Radios may kill but my big rig has it's own space defense intiative
going on and if world war 3 happens my big ole 18 pill foot warmer could
save millions of lives by knocking soviet MIRV's out before they hit.
The needs of the many out weight the needs of the few...or the one(Ham)
Big Radios save lives by preventing nuclear winter
Sincerely
Ken
"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:nf049.70057$yc3.2559275@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
Does illegally high powered CBs hurt anyone? It seems they do. I just picked
up the August issue of "Scanning USA" and read the article on page 22 by Ian
A. Murphy. The interesting part I quoted below.

"The second problem, being more unknown and deadly, consisted of radio
frequency interference stemming from a number of sources. One such source
was found as a common citizens band radio with major illegal power output.
Another incident of the same type of nature was discovered when one of the
helicopters flew too close to a commercial radio stations transmissions
towers. Both times the flight ended in fatalities for the crews."

The above, if true, certainly blows the argument that illegal CB radio
operations don't hurt anybody. I guess they don't hurt, they in fact killed
somebody.

It would prove even more interesting if somebody wanted to contact the
author, Mr. Murphy, to get any further details of the incident(s).

Granted this sort of thing could happen with Ham equipment as well. The
point being that the pirate CBers on this group steadfastly refuse to
acknowledge that their operations can hurt somebody, and they exercise
little to zero thought concerning the consequences their operations cause.

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

Saw this on a Tee-shirt:

"I am a
bomb
technician
if you see me
running
try to keep up "

3258. Re: The "Screwdrivers Experts" Guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 03:28:11 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ul9227drkmtg21@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132338

Actually if you read the book it tells one how to solder and to practice
soldering
ken
"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:ait0gs$s6h$6@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk...
> "duckyjr" <duckyjr@attcanada.ca> wrote in message
> news:W3049.7950$H67.42745@tor-nn1.netcom.ca...
> > The "Screwdriver Experts" Guide to CB Repairs and Modifications.
> > This book makes a heck of a lot of sense about C.B. repairs, SWR, etc.
> > And in plain language!
> ==
>
> Fine, if you don't want to be anything more than a "screwdriver
> expert", if you never want to learn how to fault find without
> needing contant instuctions...
>
> Unit dead: Check fuse.
> No reception: Check antenna is plugged in.
> No transmit audio: Check microphone is plugged in.
>
> ==
> > Thanks Lou Franklin (Author)
> ==
>
> Yep, thanks for all the "screwdriver experts" out there destroying
people's
> equipment because they have not even learned how to solder correctly...
> lou told them the do not need knowledge, just an iron and a screwdriver.
> What's even worse is that those "screwdriver experts" then think that
> they are "qualified" to fix anything... and start on Videos and TVs.
>
> But then, if you learn too much, you will be able to work things out
> for yourself and will not need to keep giving him your money.
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Peter.
>
>

3259. FS Like New Bird 43 with 3 elements

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 03:28:59 UTC
From: dclark506@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020809232859.22126.00002358@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 132337

Like new Bird 43 with both UHF and N type connectors. Also incuede are 3 new elements 100H watt 2-30MHZ, 1000H watt 2-30MHZ, 2500H watt 2-30MHZ
Package retails for about $675, asking $450

3260. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 03:31:09 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020809233109.26172.00003460@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 132339

> Those damned truckers and their
>"friendly" CB shops around the corner selling the crap that allows them to
>go onto the turf I E A R N E D can go to hell.

Man you didnt earn shit......Anybody can pass the exam. They might as well give you your numbers before the test. I am in the process of becoming a ham because I enjoy most of the people. Then there are dickheads like you that give hams a bad rap. Maybe if you had better things to do besides being a LOT LIZARD you would lighten up a bit

3261. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 03:31:18 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <GY%49.23013$lP6.1605665@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132342

Frmboybuck <frmboybuck@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020809233109.26172.00003460@mb-ct.aol.com...
> > Those damned truckers and their
> >"friendly" CB shops around the corner selling the crap that allows them
to
> >go onto the turf I E A R N E D can go to hell.
>
> Man you didnt earn shit......Anybody can pass the exam. They might as well
give
> you your numbers before the test. I am in the process of becoming a ham
because
> I enjoy most of the people. Then there are dickheads like you that give
hams a
> bad rap. Maybe if you had better things to do besides being a LOT LIZARD
you
> would lighten up a bit

I took my tests before they were "dumbed" down. Maybe "anybody" can pass
the exam these
days, but they used to be pretty rough-especially the Advanced.

J

3262. FS Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 03:34:28 UTC
From: dclark506@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020809233428.22126.00002361@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 132340

No Name 5lb maul with auto ssb, rec amp and external jacks for power and rec amp. Like new condition 1 driving 4 pill. Asking $300

Texas Star V-plus Mod 1 pill $70

Thunderbolt 305 Base Amp 1 driving 3 6LQ6's Excellent condition. Puts out 400-500 watts peak. Asking $350

3263. Re: Auction Will Be Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 03:34:40 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Q%%49.23018$IP6.1606675@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132343

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020809231146.106$U8@newsreader.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
> > news:aj1f8c$17lge1$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de...
> > > So-called illegal ops can go go into any truck stop and buy those
> > > Galaxys all day long.....
> >
> >>For the time being, they can. Trust me, there are things in the works,
> > boys. Look to read/hear more about Flying J and Pilot Truck stops in
the
> > coming months. I got it straight from the correct source.
> >
> Think you should of posted this warning? Unless you don't want them to get
> caught.

/Why not? Most folks don't believe it anyway. All the more surprise when
the knock comes.

J
>
> --
> Go # 40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

3264. Re: WANTED BASE GALAXY SATURN FOR FRANCE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 03:39:24 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <rc19lusf9dhfhtjqc3lc58glvgu70heflf@4ax.com>
Source record: 132341

dechevaux@aol.com (Dechevaux) wrote:

>WANTED BASE GALAXY SATURN FOR FRANCE

Try the following dealer; he's a long-time personal CB friend. He
either sell these or knows who does over there!
73,
Lou
****

Attn: PIET EVERS/Owner
AVERA Electronics
Hazeldonk 1311
4836 LG Breda, Netherlands
Mail address: P.O. Box 9538, NL-4801 LM Breda, Netherlands
Tel: +31 + (76) 596-3820
FAX: +31 + (76) 596-3833
Email: info@avera.nl

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

3265. Re: Auction Will Be Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 04:04:22 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ul944sipft2vfd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132344

Kinda like the time I almost had this kid suckered into a bet. He had a big Kawasaki and enjoyed blowing everyone's doors off when we got out of work. He was bragging about that stupid bike in the cafeteria one day, saying he could blow anyone's doors off. I offered him a bet for $200.00 that he couldn't beat a good friend of mine. He started with his smoke and asked 'do you have any idea what I can do a quarter mile in?' .... Like an idiot, I answered 'probably 11 or 12 seconds'. Dum de dumb dumb .... He started 'yeah, I can do .. a ..... quarter .... ummmm ... what'll that car do?'. Stupid move on my part. I blew it, so I told him. The guy had a Ford model A. I didn't know what it would do in the quarter mile, but it would do 1/8 mile in 6 seconds in the mid 120s. It had a modified 454 pushing 550 horsepower measured at the rear wheels (after all transmission and differential losses). Needless to say, there was no bet.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020809231146.106$U8@newsreader.com...

> Think you should of posted this warning? Unless you don't want them to get
> caught.
>
> --
> Go # 40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

3266. Re: Does illegally high powered CBs hurt anyone? It seems they do.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 04:06:10 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Si059.3531$eK6.222733@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 132345

You are one sick puppy! The Challenger incident was not funny then, and is
not now. You're probably one of the assholes that made jokes about it.

Fucking Moron!!!!!

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ul91ur49n6f1d9@corp.supernews.com...
> Ok I admit it.
> I had an 18 pill hooked up and was down in florida to do some freebanding.
> I was just outside the Cape and after the shuttle launch I keyed up and
when
> I said"baba-BOEEYYY" on 28.085 it happened!
> There was a flash and the space shuttle challenger was no more.:(
> Luckily Hollinsworth fell for that stupid O-ring explainaton or I'd be
> toast:)
> Big Radios may kill but my big rig has it's own space defense intiative
> going on and if world war 3 happens my big ole 18 pill foot warmer could
> save millions of lives by knocking soviet MIRV's out before they hit.
> The needs of the many out weight the needs of the few...or the one(Ham)
> Big Radios save lives by preventing nuclear winter
> Sincerely
> Ken
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
> news:nf049.70057$yc3.2559275@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> Does illegally high powered CBs hurt anyone? It seems they do. I just
picked
> up the August issue of "Scanning USA" and read the article on page 22 by
Ian
> A. Murphy. The interesting part I quoted below.
>
> "The second problem, being more unknown and deadly, consisted of radio
> frequency interference stemming from a number of sources. One such source
> was found as a common citizens band radio with major illegal power output.
> Another incident of the same type of nature was discovered when one of the
> helicopters flew too close to a commercial radio stations transmissions
> towers. Both times the flight ended in fatalities for the crews."
>
> The above, if true, certainly blows the argument that illegal CB radio
> operations don't hurt anybody. I guess they don't hurt, they in fact
killed
> somebody.
>
> It would prove even more interesting if somebody wanted to contact the
> author, Mr. Murphy, to get any further details of the incident(s).
>

> Granted this sort of thing could happen with Ham equipment as well. The
> point being that the pirate CBers on this group steadfastly refuse to
> acknowledge that their operations can hurt somebody, and they exercise
> little to zero thought concerning the consequences their operations cause.
>
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician
> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "
>
>
>
>
>

3267. Re: Does illegally high powered CBs hurt anyone? It seems they do.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 04:16:58 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_s059.3539$eK6.225525@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 132346

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020810001122.072$A7@newsreader.com...
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote:
> >>You are one sick puppy! The Challenger incident was not funny then, and
> > is not now. You're probably one of the assholes that made jokes about
it.
> >
> > Fucking Moron!!!!!
> >
> NASA made that joke.
>
> --
> Go # 40

Mopar, you really don't think it was a joke do you? My flame was aimed at "Kenneth", who made the comment about the 18 "pill". Ya know, seven people lost their lives in one hell of a fiery mess. To make light of that is kinda like making jokes at YOUR mother or father's funeral. Would you do that?

3268. Re: CB Expanded channel guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 04:20:42 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <l359lu86jjj30jms2u0i8g2nb7butvj44h@4ax.com>
Source record: 132247

On Fri, 9 Aug 2002 12:25:22 -0400, you wrote:

>Since when did the CB band get ``expanded" to 28.755MHz??? Your
>frequency chart is wrong...This is also being forwarded to the FCC.
>
>File is attached.
****

Geez, give it a rest! CBs are used ALL OVER the world, and freqs.
often vary by country. Our chart could apply to MANY other
situations.

If you're so offended why don't you focus on all the U.S. radio
dealers that are getting RICH giving people exactly what they want?
We don't sell radios here, just INFORMATION and a few parts whose
function could be interpreted in many different ways.

Last time I checked we still had free speech in America. Sorta.
Aren't your priorities a bit confused?

Have a nice day anyway...
Lou K6NH
****

3269. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 04:40:18 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D547A67.5345E74B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132248

Frmboybuck wrote:

> Man you didnt earn shit......Anybody can pass the exam. They might as well give
> you your numbers before the test.

They don't give you "numbers", if you pass the tests you get a
call sign.

3270. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 04:42:01 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D547ACF.38AC7AD1@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132249

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> ALLSTEEL77 <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020809210828.01345.00002770@mb-cr.aol.com...
> > Boy that pulled old Jerry out of the closet.LOL.Watch the old blood
> pressure
> > Jer!Better start doing some more cross posting to rec.radio.amateur.policy
> to
> > stir the pot.Since you are in NC why don't you head over to the camp in
> the
> > spring and watch the boys throw it down on 11meters ! Funny,the town and
> the
> > camp welcomes them every year.You and AAron should get a room
> together.Have a
> > great weekend:)
>
> I don't care if the keydowners' eyeballs light on fire as long as they don't
> interfere with me.
> It ain't any of my business. But the Amateur bands ARE my business because I
> did that little
> thing the government required of me: obtained the license that those beeping
> and squeaking
> SOBs did not. And I will do whatever I legally can to protect what I worked
> for. That's the
> bottom line!
>
> J

Go Jer!!

3271. Re: strange swr meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 04:50:11 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D547CB8.FADC3883@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132250

xganon wrote:
>
> I have a old Lcheapo swr meter that suddenly is reading swr in cal and cal in swr position other than that no change in readings. What made my meter do this all by itself to make it do this and how?
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---

have you changed the connections? If you get the input and output
reversed it will do that.

3272. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 04:51:03 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208092051.376d7fec@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132251
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

ï¿½Lou Franklin wrote:
On Fri, 9 Aug 2002 12:25:22 -0400, you wrote:
>>Since when did the CB band get ``expanded" to 28.755MHz??? Your
>>frequency chart is wrong...This is also being forwarded to the FCC.
>>
>>File is attached.
>**************************
>
>Geez, give it a rest! CBs are used ALL OVER the world, and freqs.
>often vary by country. Our chart could apply to MANY other
>situations.

In what country or situation is CB operation permitted above 28.000
mHz?

>If you're so offended why don't you focus on all the U.S. radio
>dealers that are getting RICH giving people exactly what they want?

What is it that people want?

>We don't sell radios here, just INFORMATION and a few parts whose
>function could be interpreted in many different ways.

Wow, that certainly sounds slippery and evasive. I'm sure you didn't
mean to come off that way.

>
>Last time I checked we still had free speech in America. Sorta.
>Aren't your priorities a bit confused?
>
>Have a nice day anyway...
>Lou K6NH

Since when is accuracy an undesirable priority? The CB channel chart
you distributed in a CB newsgroup lists frequencies from 28.000 to
28.755 as "expanded CB channels". If this is a sample of the kind of
"information" your company offers, you are doing your customers a
grave disservice.

-Aaron-

3273. ebay auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 05:03:50 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D547FEA.9DD356FD@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132252

item 1372009868 Galaxy DX99V 10 meter CB radio

Gone!!

3274. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 05:10:19 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208092110.3032c98@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132253

> Galaxy DX99V 320 Channel 10 meter CB Radio
> Item # 1372009868 Consumer Electronics:Radio Equipment:CB
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1372009868

Invalid Item. Auction cancelled.

-A

3275. Cobra 19 LTD II Classic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 05:41:38 UTC
From: Joshua DeShon <joshd@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <mI159.5719$FI.388534@nnrp1.ptd.net>
Source record: 132254

anyone know of any modifications for the Cobra 19 LTD II Classic? I put a swing kit in it and turned up the modulation, need a little more power out of it
thanks alot

3276. radio's for and amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 06:18:55 UTC
From: bigredman747@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810021855.03303.00003500@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 132255

cobra 148gtl with up and down ch $175
new one week use d104 dask mic$ 30
radio shaek trc 493 $30
t star 200 $85 thay all work good email at bigredman747@aol.com

3277. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 06:42:13 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0208092242.3b53401b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132256

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:<ul8mh8e4osje52@corp.supernews.com>...
> The radio in question goes up to 28.755. 28.000 to 29.700 IS an amateur
> allocation worldwide (international agreement). I note, however, with
> thanks, the fact that you pointed out that this was cross-posted. I deleted
> the irrelevant groups.
>
Hee! Idiots.

w3rv

3278. Re: Cobra 19 LTD II Classic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 06:56:57 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9265F3C114A6subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 132257

"Joshua DeShon" <joshd@ptd.net> wrote in
news:mI159.5719$Fl.388534@nnrp1.ptd.net:

> anyone know of any modifications for the Cobra 19 LTD II Classic? I
> put a swing kit in it and turned up the modulation, need a little more
> power out of it
> thanks alot
>
>
>
>

**** Cobra 19 LTD II Classic Power Mod ****
1.) Find the screw labeled "swang" and turn it up until you can hear yourself on your TV. If you can't find a screw labeled "swang", guess. It's bound to be in there somewhere.

2.) Insider Bonus Tip: Disconnect the antenna and key the radio for a couple of minutes. This will ferret out any weak components that may fail later.

3.) After you've replaced the weak components with strong new ones, cut any resistor you see. As everybody knows, resistors limit current flow (read: power and modulation). These "limiters" are put in by engineers who don't know any better. Sure it looks good on paper, but do "limiters" perform in real-world conditions? We don't think so.

At 10-9 Communications, we take pride in our service. We've scoured the truck stops of America looking for the finest techs in the western hemisphere and brought them together in one place. Still, even with all the talent on staff, we urge you to read our disclamer:

DISCLAIMER: We have no friggin' idea what we're doing. Christ, we suck. For the love of God, take your radio somewhere else.

Good luck,
-Alan S.

3279. Why are "Final" transistors called PLL's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 09:28:29 UTC
From: piecheech@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020810052829.03973.00003635@mb-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 132258

This might sound like an ignorant question but aren't PLL's stand for "Phase Lock Loops" ? These are integrated circuits or microprocessors. When did "Final Transistors" became Phase Lock Loops? Is this a misnomer or some "trade name". To me it sounds like some wanna-be tech started playing around with radios and started calling things whatever name out of ignorance. I notice the words pills or PLLs always being used loosely. Like the more pills, the more better or more powerful. When I heard of all the plls, in my mind I'm thinking of Phase Locked loop chips and at the amount being used, you would need a case for your radio the size of a briefcase. To me a Final transistor, a valve, NPN or PNP will amplify power and a Pll don't amplify power. I guess one of us is confused or maybe some of us.

3280. Just Wondering ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 10:12:14 UTC
From: vendettis@zoominternet.net
Message-ID: <ca98f239.0208100212.6a82af94@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132259

I was into CB's years ago and Had a Cobra 2000 .
Do they still make them ? If they do what do they sale for ?
I was talking to a guy at work that talks on a CB and now I wish I
wouldn't have sold it .Thanks .
Pat

3281. Re: Just Wondering ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 10:29:17 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@charter.net>
Message-ID: <ul9qqbserrn906@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132260

The 2000 was discontinued years ago, and the 2010 was introduced. Not sure if it is still in production or not. You might check Cobra's web page.

"vendetti" <vendettis@zoominternet.net> wrote in message news:ca98f239.0208100212.6a82af94@posting.google.com...
> I was into CB's years ago and Had a Cobra 2000 .
> Do they still make them ? If they do what do they sale for ?
> I was talking to a guy at work that talks on a CB and now I wish I
> wouldn't have sold it .Thanks .
> Pat

3282. Re: Why are "Final" transistors called PLL's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 11:32:25 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810073225.10096.00002986@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 132261

Man you need to learn a little bit about electronics.....YOU ARE CLUELESS

3283. Re: Why are "Final" transistors called PLL's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 11:35:43 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <8gu9luoeki526i0b106q5fqqot0jmgm8tj@4ax.com>
Source record: 132262

On 10 Aug 2002 09:28:29 GMT, piecheech@aol.comnojunk (Joe) wrote:

>This might sound like an ignorant question but aren't PLL's stand for "Phase
>Lock Loops" ? These are integrated circuits or microprocessors. When did "Final
>Transistors" became Phase Lock Loops? Is this a misnomer or some "trade name".
>To me it sounds like some wanna-be tech started playing around with radios and
>started calling things whatever name out of ignorance. I notice the words pills
>or PLLs always being used loosely. Like the more pills, the more better or more
>powerful. When I heard of all the plls, in my mind I'm thinking of Phase Locked
>loop chips and at the amount being used, you would need a case for your radio
>the size of a briefcase. To me a Final transistor, a valve, NPN or PNP will
>amplify power and a Pll don't amplify power. I guess one of us is confused or
>maybe some of us.

Finals are not known as PLL's. They are unfortunately known as PILLS.

Probably because some ignoramus couldn't understand what a 454 was
and said "that damn thing looks like a pill"

3284. Re: CB Expanded channel guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 11:36:12 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810073612.10096.00002987@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 132263

Its not illegal to listen to those freq..

3285. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 11:38:18 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <KW659.6454$Ep6.454226@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132264

Bam Bam...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208091440.619c0186@posting.google.com...
> I would say unless he was "Toll Free", you're OK. But you might want
> to ask the FCC that question to verify. That is, if you're concerned.
> :)

3286. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 11:39:03 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <glu9lu025r0k8n3n8o7hi60m0opa55gcmn@4ax.com>
Source record: 132266

On Sat, 10 Aug 2002 07:11:06 -0400, Tom <nospam@yahoo.com> wrote:

>On 9 Aug 2002 22:10:19 -0700, ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
>wrote:
>
>>> Galaxy DX99V 320 Channel 10 meter CB Radio
>>> Item # 1372009868 Consumer Electronics:Radio Equipment:CB
>>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1372009868
>>
>>Invalid Item. Auction cancelled.
>>
>>-A
>
>
>Wow.....Did your load hit the monitor, or just dribble down you little
>dick when you saw this?

He has a connective transparent whirly straw attached to his weenie.
He loves to watch the flow before he consumes his self made glory.

3287. Re: Why are "Final" transistors called PLL's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 11:39:16 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <EX659.6455$Ep6.454704@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132265

They are not... you mean pills.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

3288. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 11:45:32 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <w1759.6462$Ep6.454694@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132267

Is Aaron now working for Ebay?
Congratulations! Being unemployed for all those years must have been
tough...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208092110.3032c98@posting.google.com...
> > Galaxy DX99V 320 Channel 10 meter CB Radio
> > Item # 1372009868 Consumer Electronics:Radio Equipment:CB
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1372009868
>
> Invalid Item. Auction cancelled.
>
> -A

3289. Re: CB Expanded channel guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 11:48:49 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <B4759.6463$Ep6.455393@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132268

Lou,
I give you credit... anyone who would/could put up with the majority of the
CBers mentality for so many years deserves it.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Lou Franklin" <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
news:l359lu86jjj30jms2u0i8g2nb7butvj44h@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 9 Aug 2002 12:25:22 -0400, you wrote:
>
> >Since when did the CB band get ``expanded" to 28.755MHz??? Your
> >frequency chart is wrong...This is also being forwarded to the FCC.
> >
> >File is attached.
> **************************
>
> Geez, give it a rest! CBs are used ALL OVER the world, and freqs.
> often vary by country. Our chart could apply to MANY other
> situations.
>
> If you're so offended why don't you focus on all the U.S. radio
> dealers that are getting RICH giving people exactly what they want?
> We don't sell radios here, just INFORMATION and a few parts whose
> function could be interpreted in many different ways.
>
> Last time I checked we still had free speech in America. Sorta.
> Aren't your priorities a bit confused?
>
> Have a nice day anyway...
> Lou K6NH
> **********************
>
>

3290. 603 beam and tower 4sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 11:49:15 UTC
From: Jeff <j.dauphinais@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%4759.5763$Ke2.477983@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I have for sale 1 full size "HY-Gain" beam 10 meters. fully assembled for your inspection. 13' Boom and longest element is 22' $100.00 I also have a steel tower totaling 25'. this is in 3 sections 1 10 foot st8 and 1 5foot st8 and 1 10 foot top section. I don't not have a rotator. $50.00. pickup only from Nashua,NewHampshire. I am selling these because they are to big for my new QTH. This beam has spent a large portion of its life in storage. 73's Jeff AA1GR

3291. Re: FS Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 11:50:47 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <r6759.6465$Ep6.455271@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132270

Aaron... we're waiting.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Dclark506" <dclark506@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020809233428.22126.00002361@mb-dd.aol.com...
> No Name 5lb maul with auto ssb, rec amp and external jacks for power and
rec
> amp. Like new condition 1 driving 4 pill. Asking $300
>
> Texas Star V-plus Mod 1 pill $70
>
> Thunderbolt 305 Base Amp 1 driving 3 6LQ6's Excellent condition. Puts out
> 400-500 watts peak. Asking $350

3292. Re: Why are "Final" transistors called PLL's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 12:06:12 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ula0ejdcq8ci01@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132271

Ok I'm like still a newbie with no formal electronics training but I'll give it a shot.
I think the PLL is the ic's or chips that have replaced cyrstals in modern sets and just set the freq. They are totally unrelated to pills.
The finals are the big transistors located on the back and are about the size (very roughly) of a vitamin (or voobs brain) hence the name pills since some radios have 2 frinals there called dual finals and when there is a bunch of them in a linier it is called an 18 pill etc. The above is mostly infered by me and can be called conjecture so take it with a grain of salt.
"Joe" <piecheech@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message news:20020810052829.03973.00003635@mb-cd.aol.com...
> This might sound like an ignorant question but aren't PLL's stand for "Phase
> Lock Loops" ? These are integrated circuits or microprocessors. When did "Final
> Transistors" became Phase Lock Loops? Is this a misnomer or some "trade name".
> To me it sounds like some wanna-be tech started playing around with radios and
> started calling things whatever name out of ignorance. I notice the words pills
> or PLLs always being used loosely. Like the more pills, the more better or more
> powerful. When I heard of all the plls, in my mind I'm thinking of Phase Locked
> loop chips and at the amount being used, you would need a case for your radio
> the size of a briefcase. To me a Final transistor, a valve, NPN or PNP will
> amplify power and a Pll don't amplify power. I guess one of us is confused or
> maybe some of us.

3293. BLJ-500A Amp Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 12:20:31 UTC
From: Chaotic Evil <webdevil@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <jy759.8252$M4.663762@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 132272

I have an old DJ Electronics BLJ-500A amp that uses nine 23JS6's. Does anyone have a schematic for this joker.

Thanks

3294. Re: newbe questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 12:24:22 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ula1is6icd1c93@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132273

"Mike" <mdickman@remove.bevcomm.net> wrote in message
news:3D54788F.B30A714E@remove.bevcomm.net...
> "s.d.seegers" wrote:
>
> > Also someone I ran into a few years ago told me that this radio can be
> > configured to put out more power than 5w. It has two screws on the back
> > " load" and " plate" power.
>
> turn these screws clock-wise with the biggest screwdriver you got, that
way
> you get more power--maybe 8 watts at least.
>

Sounds like someone already turned yours... You have way too much wattage
coming out of your mouth, now, as it is.... Please tell the local little
boys to leave your screws alone...

3295. Re: ebay auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 12:29:26 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <GG759.5932$eK6.283687@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 132274

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D547FEA.9DD356FD@mailcity.net...
> item 1372009868 Galaxy DX99V 10 meter CB radio
>
> Gone!!

Yeah, and you probably clicked the link hundreds of times waiting for it to
happen.

3296. Re: Just Wondering ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 12:31:42 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ula1trc2ft7e5a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132276

Ask him what channel he talks on and if he uses sideband. CB has really grown up and expanded to the point where it is Ham radio for the politically incorrect!
Many sub -communities have sprang up within the cb community. There are the freebanders that have put to good use unused bandwidth and are talking worldwide bringing goodwill worldwide. There is the loud crowd on 6 and 11 pushing back the technological frontier of amplifier design. Sidebanders are using stock radios to shoot skip and make contacts hundreds of miles away. While some activities are not legal like freebanding and etc. enforcement is so sporadic as to be anomalous. Second hand smoke is more regulated then cb radio emisions!
There is a wealth of info both here and on the web. There is also some great guys and some great humor here too!
Happy DXing
Ken
"vendetti" <vendettis@zoominternet.net> wrote in message news:ca98f239.0208100212.6a82af94@posting.google.com...
> I was into CB's years ago and Had a Cobra 2000 .
> Do they still make them ? If they do what do they sale for ?
> I was talking to a guy at work that talks on a CB and now I wish I
> wouldn't have sold it .Thanks .
> Pat

3297. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 12:32:21 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <pJ759.5974$eK6.284145@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 132275

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208092110.3032c98@posting.google.com...
> > Galaxy DX99V 320 Channel 10 meter CB Radio
> > Item # 1372009868 Consumer Electronics:Radio Equipment:CB
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1372009868
>
> Invalid Item. Auction cancelled.
>
> -A

Took long enough from the original post.

3298. Re: newbe questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 12:35:40 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <s22aluoo86gm06d3ecg7e78khsga7tc0g5@4ax.com>
Source record: 132277

On Tue, 06 Aug 2002 20:54:08 -0700, "s.d.seegers"
<deeseeREMOVE@pinn.net> wrote:

>Hi all, It's been since the mid seventies that i had my cb hot. So I
>guess I'm starting over. Anyway, I've got a Lafayette comstat 35 tube
>set that ran great at home and in the van till I packed it away 25 years
>ago. The other day I got it out of the attic and I'd like to hook it up
>again. The only antenna I have is the old 102" whip that was on the
>van. The radio works (receives), The relay clicks when I transmit, but
>I don't get a modulation light when talking. Something seems to be
>wrong. The meter doesn't move when transmit or receive. I might not
>be hooking up the antenna correctly. (could use some hints.) Does it
>need to be grounded ect.? It could be that some tubes are bad. (could
>use a good source.) If someone could direct me to some web sites or
>offer help?
>Also someone I ran into a few years ago told me that this radio can be
>configured to put out more power than 5w. It has two screws on the back
>" load" and " plate" power. I'm afraid I know just enough to get
>myself in trouble. any help would be great.
>Oh yeah, what got me restarted was a friend gave me a Navaho trc 440.
>I couldn't get anything out of it either.
>dee

It's a collectors radio. Keep it stock. It's not of much use other
than that.

3299. Re: Cobra 19 LTD II Classic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 12:36:52 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <EN759.6037$eK6.284629@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 132278

"Joshua DeShon" <joshd@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:mI159.5719$Fl.388534@nnrp1.ptd.net...
> anyone know of any modifications for the Cobra 19 LTD II Classic? I put a
> swing kit in it and turned up the modulation, need a little more power out
> of it
> thanks alot
>
>
>

You might want to check with Aaron H. Voobner. He's an excellent tech that
often frequents this NG. Drop him a line, I'm sure he'll be glad to provide
the info you seek.

3300. Re: BLJ-500A Amp Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 12:39:44 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ula2cr34psg778@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132279

If it is in the black book of amps you can find it at www.crbbooks.com
Ken
"Chaotic Evil" <webdevil@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:jy759.8252$M4.663762@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I have an old DJ Electronics BLJ-500A amp that uses nine 23JS6's. Does
> anyone have a schematic for this joker.
>
> Thanks
>
>
>

3301. Re: Cobra 19 LTD II Classic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 12:45:48 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ula2r2210hbb89@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132280

"Joshua DeShon" <joshd@ptd.net> wrote in message
news:mI159.5719$Fl.388534@nnrp1.ptd.net...
> anyone know of any modifications for the Cobra 19 LTD II Classic? I put a
> swing kit in it and turned up the modulation, need a little more power out
> of it
> thanks alot
>

My best piece of advice... Get your money back... Then invest in a radio,
not a toy...

PH

3302. Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 12:53:30 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D550CCA.6D386D92@qnet.com>
Source record: 132281

Hello All:

I have a Linear Amplifier that was a home brew unit I bought from the TRW Swap Meet. It needed a few small parts and such. The hole Pi network and switching relay, has taken quit some time to run down. But has a way over kill power supply and a regulated screen supply, and a high SWR warning light, with a Duel Slug Bird Wattmeter Cavity, and the Bird Watt Meter right on the face of the amp, well worth a the effort it was to lug home. This has taken about a year to complete, mostly finding the parts that will fit.

I have adjusted the bias voltage to -80VDC, and the output signal looks good and is linear, sounds great.

But I need the actual Eimac 4CX350A class AB1 bias voltage.

Thanks.

Jay in the Mojave

3303. Re: Why are "Final" transistors called PLL's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 12:59:59 UTC
From: Peter Völpel <qro1750@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <3D550E4F.8650FFB6@compuserve.de>
Source record: 132282

Hi Joe,

you are right PLL means phase locked loop.

Pill is a word CBers (and nobody else) use for a power-transistor
in the final of the rf amplifier.

Peter

Joe wrote:
>
> This might sound like an ignorant question but aren't PLL's stand for "Phase
> Lock Loops" ? These are integrated circuits or microprocessors. When did "Final
> Transistors" became Phase Lock Loops? Is this a misnomer or some "trade name".
> To me it sounds like some wanna-be tech started playing around with radios and
> started calling things whatever name out of ignorance. I notice the words pills
> or PLLs always being used loosely. Like the more pills, the more better or more
> powerful. When I heard of all the plls, in my mind I'm thinking of Phase Locked
> loop chips and at the amount being used, you would need a case for your radio
> the size of a briefcase. To me a Final transistor, a valve, NPN or PNP will
> amplify power and a Pll don't amplify power. I guess one of us is confused or
> maybe some of us.

3304. Re: Why are "Final" transistors called PLL's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 13:01:20 UTC
From: Peter Völpel <qro1750@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <3D550EA0.2DBFAA36@compuserve.de>
Source record: 132283

you need that too, otherwise you could have anwered his question...

Frmboybuck wrote:
>
> Man you need to learn a little bit about electronics.....YOU ARE CLUELESS

3305. Re: VHF public safety / business radios for sale or trade for 10/11 meter equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 13:08:54 UTC
From: w8ems@juno.com
Message-ID: <59af69d5.0208100508.2c94d0e9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132284

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:<ul8vqhje67q006@corp.supernews.com>...
> There is no such thing as a legal dual use 10/11 meter rig. What gets scary
> is the OTC sales of marine/vhf/uhf radios. The FCC has checked their
> records of licenses against sales of such radios and admits that most are
> not being licensed. There are reasons for the licensing as we all shall
> find out in the future. Kinda like lines in the roadways and rules (like
> you drive on the right side of the street, not the left) which, if followed,
> allow us all to safely share the resources. Besides, I rather suspect you
> know that anyways. Care to share your callsign with us?
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim

Actually, my call sign, W8EMS, is clealy posted as it's also part of
my e-mail address. I buy and sell a lot of used VHF/UHF commerical
equipment, mostly to volunteer firemen and EMS workers. These people
are authorized to operate the radios through there service's station
license. I would assume that anyone interested in the radios I have
for sale or trade would have an actual legal use for the equipment.

While you are correct that there is no such thing as a "legal dual use
10/11 meter rig", my personal interest, at this time, is operating in
the 10 meter band, which the last time I checked, is legal for a
person holding a general class amateur license.

In reality, we both know that the average person reading these posts
in a CB news group, owning such equipment, would most likely consider
this type of radio a 10/11 meter rig. Please forgive me if my use of
this term has somehow offended you, but I wanted to convey the type of
equipment I am interested in, which is not an "export" CB, but radios
that were sold as 10 meter ham rigs that may have been converted to
cover CB. I would suppose that there are a lot more of these being
used on the citizens band than on the 10 meter band.

I hope this has clarified my post for you and you will sleep better
tonight knowing that I have a legal use for this equipment.

73 de Jim Wardrip, W8EMS/9
Jeffersonville, IN.

3306. Re: Why are "Final" transistors called PLL's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 13:12:58 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <uj859.2183$uO4.683@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 132285

Hay Joe, no pill just means the part in the amp's look like little round pills ok?
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"Joe" <piecheech@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message news:20020810052829.03973.00003635@mb-cd.aol.com...
> This might sound like an ignorant question but aren't PLL's stand for "Phase
> Lock Loops" ? These are integrated circuits or microprocessors. When did "Final
> Transistors" became Phase Lock Loops? Is this a misnomer or some "trade name".
> To me it sounds like some wanna-be tech started playing around with radios and
> started calling things whatever name out of ignorance. I notice the words pills
> or PLLs always being used loosely. Like the more pills, the more better or more
> powerful. When I heard of all the plls, in my mind I'm thinking of Phase Locked
> loop chips and at the amount being used, you would need a case for your radio
> the size of a briefcase. To me a Final transistor, a valve, NPN or PNP will
> amplify power and a Pll don't amplify power. I guess one of us is confused or
> maybe some of us.

3307. Predator 10K Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 14:06:24 UTC
From: John Smith <someone@microsoft.com>
Message-ID: <3d551deb@server2.pacer.com>
Source record: 132286

Anyone have any experience with Predator 10K Antenna? Performance etc.

3308. Predator 10K Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 14:10:17 UTC
From: John Smith <christmastp@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3d551ed3@server2.pacer.com>
Source record: 132287

Anyone have any experience with Predator 10K Antenna? Performance etc.

3309. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 14:27:33 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208100627.184c5f37@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132288

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208092110.3032c98@posting.google.com>...
> > Galaxy DX99V 320 Channel 10 meter CB Radio
> > Item # 1372009868 Consumer Electronics:Radio Equipment:CB
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1372009868
>
> Invalid Item. Auction cancelled.
>
> -A

That is just fine Frank. Now go jack off you idiot. How long are you going to hang around here trying to stir up stuff and pestering people about what you perceive to be illegal radio operations? Man get some help if you can and get a freekin life for cryin out loud.

3310. Re: strange swr meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 14:37:23 UTC
From: Hillbilly3302 <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********>
Message-ID: <Dy959.126$Xu3.25929@news2>
Source record: 132289

you have your coax's reversed..... change them and it will read
right...

drc
"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:1d27af121cc780647cb755a01872e239@xganon.com...
> I have a old Lcheapo swr meter that suddenly is reading swr in cal and cal
in swr position other than that no change in readings. What made my meter do
this all by itself to make it do this and how?
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

3311. Garage sale mistake

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 15:05:43 UTC
From: MrMustangJoe@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27986-3D552BC7-171@storefull-2236.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132290

I just recently picked up a radio at a garage sale for $10.00. I hooked
it up and put it on a freq counter it goes from 28.535 to 28.975 mhz.
First of all I am not a cb tech, but what band is this radio operating
in and could a cb shop bring it back down to the right freq. It has been
modified to operate outside is intented use . Thanks guys
A NEWBIE

3312. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 15:18:07 UTC
From: Peter Völpel <qro1750@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <3D552EAF.6B6CFE7B@compuserve.de>
Source record: 132291

Jay, I would adjust for 60 to 100mA anode-resting current, depending
on your anode and screen-voltage.
About 200W at the anode is OK for AB1

Peter

Jay in the Mojave wrote:
>
> Hello All:
>
> I have a Linear Amplifier that was a home brew unit I bought from the
> TRW Swap Meet. It needed a few small parts and such. The hole Pi network
> and switching relay, has taken quit some time to run down. But has a way
> over kill power supply and a regulated screen supply, and a high SWR
> warning light, with a Duel Slug Bird Wattmeter Cavity, and the Bird Watt
> Meter right on the face of the amp, well worth a the effort it was to
> lug home. This has taken about a year to complete, mostly finding the
> parts that will fit.
>
> I have adjusted the bias voltage to -80VDC, and the output signal looks
> good and is linear, sounds great.
>
> But I need the actual Eimac 4CX350A class AB1 bias voltage.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jay in the Mojave

3313. Re: Predator 10K Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 16:07:16 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <USa59.9404$Ce.461576@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132292

Yes rather pricey
"John Smith" <christmastp@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3d551ed3@server2.pacer.com...
> Anyone have any experience with Predator 10K Antenna? Performance etc.
>
>
>

3314. Re: Garage sale mistake

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 16:07:53 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <tTa59.6720$Ep6.478210@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132293

"Joseph Attard" <MrMustangJoe@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:27986-3D552BC7-171@storefull-2236.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I just recently picked up a radio at a garage sale for $10.00. I hooked
> it up and put it on a freq counter it goes from 28.535 to 28.975 mhz.
> First of all I am not a cb tech, but what band is this radio operating
> in and could a cb shop bring it back down to the right freq. It has been
> modified to operate outside is intented use . Thanks guys
> A NEWBIE
>

It is operating in the 10 meter Amateur band and the freqs would lead me to believe it was modded years ago although it would be pretty useful now if you had a General Class Amateur ticket. I am going to assume it is SSB-capable because it would have been pretty useless if it was Ancient Modulation only. I would also suspect the 'clarifier' was modded at the same time because Amateur bands are not channelized on HF anyway.

Back conversion depends a lot on what radio it is and how it was converted. Might suggest that you provide the groupies some info. You should be aware that the back conversion is illegal although the original conversion was quite legal.

Were I in your shoes I would contact the nearest Amateur club and sell it to someone licensed to use it and get your $10 back.

If you choose to get licensed I might suggest the following websites.

http://www.arrl.org which among a lot of other things has a club listing and contains virtually everything you would need to know about licensing.

http://www.qrz.com for sample exams. You are interested in Element 2, the Technician, and Element 3, the General.

http://ah0a.org for Morse Academy. The General requires a 5wpm code test.

Dick NBK

3315. Re: Garage sale mistake

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 16:08:34 UTC
From: DummyLoad@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23814-3D553A82-141@storefull-2391.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132296

What you have is a 10 meter ☐Ham☐ radio. 28.000mhz thru 29.699mhz is the 10 meter Amateur radio band. It is most probably possible to have the unit converted for 11 meter Cb use, but that would be a violation of Fcc law, and so would not be recommended, though it is a very common thing to do so. Best of luck.

3316. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 16:11:27 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <PWa59.185636$nm.7298819@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132294

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> That is just fine Frank. Now go jack off you idiot. How long are you
> going to hang around here trying to stir up stuff and pestering people
> about what you perceive to be illegal radio operations? Man get some
> help if you can and get a freekin life for cryin out loud.

LOL selling illegal radios is not a percieved thing it is reality,
I guess you call people sticking up for the right thing pestering
go sit in the corner with your Dunce Cap on for 1 hour.

3317. Re: 2 PILL WITH BUILT IN PS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 16:12:19 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <DXa59.122456$yc3.5142488@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132295

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ul915otdeam3e9@corp.supernews.com...
> ROFLMAO
> Thanks man ..responses like that make this group enjoyable

Ok hows this one did your mother have anything that lived?

3318. Re: Garage sale mistake

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 16:19:04 UTC
From: Peter Völpel <qro1750@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <3D553CF8.38913AAF@compuserve.de>
Source record: 132347

Hi Joseph,

The frequency band is 10m amateur-radio.
I think it is necessary to swap a xtal to get it down in freq again.
Should be no problem for a cb shop.
Alternatively sell it to a ham and buy something else.

Peter

Peter

Joseph Attard wrote:
>
> I just recently picked up a radio at a garage sale for $10.00. I hooked
> it up and put it on a freq counter it goes from 28.535 to 28.975 mhz.
> First of all I am not a cb tech, but what band is this radio operating
> in and could a cb shop bring it back down to the right freq. It has been
> modified to operate outside is intented use . Thanks guys
> A NEWBIE

3319. ARNewsline 1304 - August 9 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 16:23:06 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810122306.14962.00003466@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 132348

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1304 August 9th, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1304 with a release date of Friday, August 9th 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a QST. U.K. hams take to 5 MHz in a big experiment. Find out the details on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1304 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

ON THE AIR: BIG UK 5MHZ EXPERIMENT

If you tune around 5 MHz and hear what sounds like ham radio QSO's, your ears are not playing tricks. A major study of 5 MHz propagation has begun in Great Britain and some hams are already on the air. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, reports from Nottingham in the U.K.:

--

The 5 Mhz experiment has begun. The Radio Society of Great Britain has announced that permission has been granted by the Ministry of Defence and the Radiocommunications Agency for the allocation of five spot frequencies in the range of 5250 to 5450kHz. The frequencies will be made avbailable in the form of a 3 kilohertz bandwidth channel by way of a Notice of Variation to the UK Amateur License. Because it is a controlled experiment applicants are required to report their findings and results to the RSGB.

The purpose of the experiment is to carry out propagation and antenna investigations aimed at improving the understanding of Near Zenithal Radiation or NVIS - Near Vertical-Incidence Skywave - communications via the ionosphere. The frequencies assigned are 5260, 5280, 5290, 5400 and 5405kHz. It's expected that this experiment will run for about 4 years.

Jeramy Boot, G4NJH.

--

Here in the United States, Charles Harpole, K4VUD, one of the permitted operators of the American WA2XSY 5 MHz-band test stations invites U-K hams to contact him to set up a sked. The 5 point 260 MHz channel would be the best frequency for him. He is available for all times and days until the 20th of August and his e-mail is k4vud@hotmail.com (GB2RS)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FIVE LICENSES CANCELED BY FCC

Five hams who participated in a September 2001 testing session in Yucaipa California and who declined to be retested have lost their ham radio licenses. The FCC's Riley Hollingsworth says that in this case it was the VEC who brought the matter to his agency:

--
Hollingsworth: "Now the W5YI-VEC really did its homework on this one. They picked up some comments and complaints on an Internet News Group about the examination session; did a little homework and further research and found some very good reasons to refer the matter to us."
--

On April 30, the FCC wrote all five requiring them to re-take their Amateur Examinations before June 30th. All five failed to appear. Again the FCC's Riley Hollingsworth:

--
Hollingsworth: "Those licensees were Eddie Bartolome - Extra Class N6YAG of Los Angeles; Camito Lopez KG6GJC a Technician of Los Angeles; Marcial Rivas - General Class KG6HCP of Salem Oregon; Manuel M. Vega, KG6GSP - General class of Anaheim California and Marcelino Llanes, KG6HBR of Oxnard California."
--

Hollingsworth adds that the FCC is continuing its investigation of other examinations given by the Volunteer Examination team involved in the September 1st 2001 session in Yucaipa. Also that his office really appreciates the vigilance of the W5YI-VEC. (FCC, RAIN)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC MAY RETURN FORMER KV4FZ TO THE AIRWAVES

An ex-ham from the US Virgin Islands who was forced off the air a year and a half ago by the FCC may soon be back on, courtesy of the FCC. This as the agency indicates that it may act to reinstate the amateur license of Herbert L. Schoenbohm, the former KV4FZ. Amateur Radio Newsline's David Black, KB4KCH, has been following this story and has the latest:

--
Herbert L. Schoenbohm's name shows up a lot in FCC records. He's the former ham who used to hold the callsign KV4FZ. That was before he lost his license.

In December, 1992, Schoenbohm was convicted of fraudulently using a counterfeit access device to obtain long distance telephone service. He was sentenced to two months of house arrest, two years probation and fined $5000. That left the FCC feeling that a felony conviction disqualified Schoenbohm from continuing as a Commission Licensee.

Two years later, Schoenbohm filed with the Commission to renew his amateur radio license. The Commission answered -- but not with what he wanted to hear; instead, the FCC designated his application for a hearing. Two encounters took place--one in 1995, the other in '97. At that point, the Commission told Schoenbohm he should no longer be allowed to have an FCC license.

He was allowed to operate until he exhausted all avenues of appeal. That happened in October of 2000. In January, 2001, Schoenbohm ceased on-air operations.

Before leaving the airwaves, 'though, Schoenbohm vowed that he would one day return to the airwaves as a licensed radio amateur. That day may be soon--based on findings presented at an FCC Administrative Law hearing earlier this year.

The hearing was held to determine if Schoenbohm now meets the requirements to once again become a Commission license holder in the Amateur Radio Service. The finding is complex and covers nearly two dozen pages. But it appears that the government may be ready to give Schoenbohm a second chance as a licensed ham.

The Commission says in part there is sufficient evidence in the record to support a finding that Schoenbohm has rehabilitated himself. The Commission notes that -- based on the evidence --it appears unlikely he would engage in any future misconduct. The Commission's Enforcement Bureau Investigations and Hearings Division endorses Schoenbohm's return to the amateur radio airwaves.

Schoenbohm took and passed a new exam in March, 2001. But the Commission again threw in a delay, designating his application for a hearing on the basis of character issues. This is the application that an Administrative Law Judge has been reviewing. Now that the recommendations are in, the Administrative law judge could issue a final opinion and recommendation within the next few months. That means Herbert Schoenbohm could possible be on the air again sometime this year.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m David Black, KB4KCH.
--

In the end, it is going to be up to the Commission to make a final resolution as to whether or not the former KV4FZ returns to ham radio. Nobody can predict what that decision will be. And if he is permitted to return, will it be with his old KV4FZ call sign? (ARNewsline™ from FCC Publiic Files)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the Antelope Valley Amateur Radio Club repeater, WB6VVV, serving Lancaster California.

(5 sec pause here)

**

RESCUE RADIO: COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY HELPS SAVE KIDNAPPED GIRLS

Two kidnapped California teenagers are alive thanks in part to a newly developed law enforcement communications technology. Mert Garlick, N6AWE, has the details:

--
It happened on Thursday August 1st when two teenage girls from the Quartz Hill

area of Southern California were abducted at gunpoint. Using the newly established AMBER child abduction alert system, the names of the teens and a description of the car they were in was immediately distributed to law enforcement agencies and broadcast outlets statewide. Shortly thereafter the California Transportation Authority was displaying information on it’s 316 electronic signs on freeways.

Sheriff's deputies in Kern County soon received several calls from people who saw the white Ford S-U-V. A sheriff's helicopter crew used the information to direct a ground unit that made the felony arrest stop. When he resisted and brandished a weapon, deputies shot and killed Roy Dean Ratliff and freed the girls.

The rescue took place only six days after Governor Gray Davis directed the installation of the AMBER system. AMBER uses a combination of two-way radio, fax, e-mail and other communications technology to quickly spread word when a child is abducted. It is based on a program developed by Dallas-area broadcasters and named in memory of nine year-old Amber Hagerman who was kidnapped and murdered in 1996.

In this latest case it took less than 4 hours to get the AMBER alert on the two missing girls circulated to the road signs. California’s Governor Davis says that his states AMBER system will now be refined to make it react more swiftly.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, Mert Garlick, N6AWE.
--

Today, there are forty-one AMBER Alert programs across the country. The system is credited with safely recovering at least seventeen children since 1997. (Published news reports)

**

ENFORCEMENT: PLEASE FIX THE INTERFERENCE

A pair of utility companies have received letters from the FCC regarding unresolved interference complaints from area amateurs. According to the W5YI Report Lakeland Electric Company in Florida and Commonwealth Edison in Illinois both were sent notes reminding them of their responsibilities to prevent interference to radio stations including radio amateurs. The letters gave the electric companies 90 days to either resolve the problems or contact the FCC with a specific timetable for making the fix. (FCC from W5YI Report)

**

HAM RADIO POLITICS: NEW DIRECTORS FOR THE NFCC

Turning to the ham radio political arena, word that Ken Chilton, KA1TIH; Alex Harvilchuck, N3NMN and Vincent Bardsley, KB3OM have been elected to the Board of Directors of the National Frequency Coordination Council. They replace outgoing Directors Dick Isely, W9GIG, Owen Wormser, K6LEW, and Dave Baughn, KX4I, who helped form the NFCC at a meeting in St. Charles Missouri back in 1995.

Chilton, Havilchuck and Bardsley also join Nels Harvey, WA9JOB and Clay Freinwald, K7CR as the duly constituted National Frequency Coordinators' Board. This for the twelve month period starting on September 1st. (ARNewsline™)

**

HAM RADIO POLITICS: NEW SACRAMENTO SM IS OLD SACRAMENTO SM

California’s former Sacramento Valley ARRL Section Manager has become the new Sacramento Valley Section Manager following the resignation of the current Sacramento Valley Section Manager. Confused? Well, here’s what happened.

According to an ARRL Bulletin, Jettie Hill, W6RFF, of Roseville, California, has been appointed to succeed Jerry Boyd, K6BZ, as the Sacramento Valley Section Manager. This is because Boyd is moving out of the section.

Boyd was appointed in 2000 to replace Hill. Hill continued to serve the section as the regions Affiliated Club Coordinator and as an Official Relay Station. W6RFF previously served as Santa Clara Valley Section Communications Manager from 1978 until 1982 and as ARRL Pacific Division Vice Director in 1982 and 1983. The appointment of Hill, who had previously served for more than 10 years as Sacramento Valley S-M became effective on August 1st. Got that? (ARRL)

**

FUTURE TECHNOLOGY: HIGH TECH WORKERS NEEDED

Still in California, word that lack of qualified workers is costing Silicon Valley billions of dollars annually. The management consulting firm A.T. Kearney says that this is threatening the regions position as a global high technology center. The region's shortage of high-tech workers means that one in three jobs requires special recruitment efforts, or goes unfilled, leading to a loss of over $3 billion a year. (ProPeople.com)

**

RADIO REGULATIONS: PERMANENT CELL NUMBERS ON HOLD

Mobile phone users who want to keep their numbers after switching wireless companies will have to wait until late next year for that option. This after the Federal Communications Commission gives cellular phone companies until November of 2003 to offer customers the choice.

The new date, one year later than the previous deadline to implement the service. It is the third extension granted by the FCC. Most wireless companies oppose the idea, arguing it would cost billions of dollars and drain resources needed to improve and lower the cost of wireless services. (FCC)

**

WITH THE HANDI-HAMS: EXTRA CLASS MANUAL IN AUDIO

The Handi-Hams have announced that the latest ARRL Extra Class License Manual is now available to it’s blind members in adapted audio format. At the present time Handi Hams only digital media available but the audio is being

re-mastered onto tape. When the cassette version is available Handi Hams will let us know so that we can let you know. Meantime keep an eye at Book and Tape Catalog area at www.handiham.org for updates. (Handi Hams)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

THE SUPPORT FUND REPORT WITH ANDY JAREMA, N6TCQ

Its time for a report from the Amateur Radio Newsline Support Fund. Here's our Administrator -- Andy Jarema, N6TCQ:

--
There are two items to cover this week. I'll start with our poll regarding credit cards. Three weeks ago we asked those interested in supporting Amateur radio Newsline using a credit card to write the single word YES in the subject line of an e-mail and send it to us. We have tallied the results and there were only 17 who said yes. And of the 17, all but one said that only a Pay Pal account was acceptable to them.

Well, statistically speaking, you don't have to know how to do linear regression to know that 17 people out of the 10's of thousands who listen in each week is not a very good response. What it says is that 17 people have Pay Pal access and would use it to help support Amateur Radio Newsline. Even so, we looked into creating a Pay Pal service for those who wanted to use it. Without wasting a lot of time, suffice it to say that the costs involved versus the return would not warrant the expenditure. So at present the credit card issue is dead. For now at least, the Support Fund will remain as it always has. Just the P.O. Box where donations can be sent. Get a pencil and paper ready. I'll give it in a few moments.

The other item I want to touch on briefly is the current state of the checking account. Thanks to your generosity, we made it through June and July. What that means is the bills from May were paid in early June and those from June went out on schedule in July. Now it's early August. The July bills have come due, unfortunately at the same time that our folks are off to Huntsville, Alabama to present the 2002 Young Ham of the Year Award. So that you get a better understanding of the costs involved, I've done a rough breakdown.

First of all, our corporate underwriters Vertex Standard and C-Q Magazine cover almost all the costs of the young person selected for the award. They pay their airfare. They pay their lodging. Vertex-Standard provides an additional gift of Yaesu brand ham radio gear. C-Q treats the winner to a week at Spacecamp. When you add that up, it's probably a gift package with a retail price tag of close to $5000.

Obviously, they do their part and we have to do ours. It costs about $300 a year for a round trip ticket to get one of our people from Los Angeles to Huntsville. Actually, we have our people fly to Birmingham and drive up to Huntsville because this saves quite a bit of money. Yes, it takes them out of their way and adds a few hours of travel time. But the savings in airfare make this added time worthwhile.

The wonderful folks at the Huntsville Hamfest provide us with a free room. We do have to pay our people's expenses like food, hotel, telephone service and a rental car when necessary. We also pay for the plaque presented to the winner and for the mini-banquet we hold for him or her on the Friday night prior to the actual Saturday presentation. The total this year will be somewhere between $1000 to $1100. So we need to raise that in addition to our normal $800 to $1000 it costs monthly to keep the Amateur Radio Newsline newscasts coming your way. And that's where you come in.

Amateur Radio Newsline is a federal 501 (C) (3) not for profit corporation. Donations to it are tax deductible. The address – you've heard it before - is Post Office Box 660937, Arcadia California. Our Zipcode is 91066.

OK. 'Nuf said. But I'll be back next week with the latest thank-you list. For now, from Arcadia, in sunny Southern California's San Gabriel Valley, I'm Andy Jarema, N6TCQ.
--

If you missed the address given by Andy it will repeated at the end of this weeks newscast. (Newsline Support Fund)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: KD5MDT REPLACES KC5FVF ON ISS EXPEDITION 6 CREW

Astronaut Don Pettit, KD5MDT, has been named to replace Don Thomas, KC5FVF, as a member of the Expedition 6 International Space Station crew. According to the ARRL Letter, Pettit had been training as backup flight engineer for the increment six team and was ready to step in.

NASA said the reassignment resulted from a medical issue that affects Thomas' long-duration space flight qualifications. According to Astronaut Office Chief Charlie Precourt, KB5YSQ, the demanding nature of long-term space flight requires a conservative approach to crew health issues, especially this early in the program. Pettit will join Expedition 6 Commander Kenneth Bowersox, KD5JBP, and Russian cosmonaut Nikolai Budarin, RV3DB. (ARRL Letter)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: THE METEORS ARE COMING

Weak Signal operators -- listen up. The Perseid meteors are on their way.

Lee Wical, KH6BZF, says over the QCWA remailer that from midnight to dawn, on Monday August 12th and Tuesday August 13th about 60 to 70 meteors per hour will enter Earth's atmosphere. This particular meteor shower is created by the Earth passing through the debris field left from the Swift-Tuttle comet. The grain-like particles enter Earth's atmosphere, burn up and create what most

people call shooting stars.

The Perseid Meteor shower is not expected to be as spectacular as the Leonid Meteor shower that occurs each November. But, if viewing is good, people will not be disappointed. And if the ionized tails are good, ham radio meteor scatter D-Xers and WSJT users will be happy as well. (KH6BZF)

**

INTERNATIONAL - UK: LONG WAVE GOODBYE

As predicted by Media Network, the loss-making sports station TEAMtalk 252, which broadcast to the UK on 252 kHz Long Wave from a transmitter in Ireland, has closed down. This, after less than 6 months on the air. The station ceased broadcasting at 1300 UTC on July 31st. (Media Network)

**

INTERNATIONAL-CANADA: NEW RAC QUEBEC SM

Claude Paquet, VE2OCP has been elected as Radio Amateurs of Canada Section Manager for Quebec. This, for a two year term that will begin on November 1st. Paquet ran unopposed, eliminating the need for a balloted election. He has also agreed to complete the term of Richard Desaulniers, VE2DX who resigned for personal and professional reasons on August 1st. (RAC)

**

DX

In D-X, G0TQJ he has now gone QRT from Afghanistan where he operate slash YA. During his say in Kabul Chris made 1950 QSOs on SSB and another 850 on RTTY. He is getting his QSL cards printed. He has also sent his documentation to the ARRL DXCC desk for approval. (GB2RS)

And the FISTS newsletter reports on the apparent pirating of callsign ZD7CW. The pirate is using CW and not very active. In fact, he was last heard in November of 2001. But the real ZD7CW has been inactive for several years. (Q-News)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: A TRUE CAPTIVE AUDIENCE!

And finally this week the story of an interesting call sign operating from a rather unusual place in Ireland. Here’s Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB;

--
From our files, the story of a captive audience. A permanent amateur Radio Station with the call sign EI0CRM -- you heard right -- C-R-M -- “crim” -- EI0CRM is being set up in of all places the radio museum of the Cork City jail.

Cork City jail opened for business in 1824 and was used as a prison until 1923. When Radio Erin was expanding in the mid 1920’s, it was located in this jail. Its call sign was 6CK.

The broadcasting studio is now fully restored and a fine display of radio artifacts are on permanent display. Have a listen out for EI0CRM
--

Talk about a QSL card that you may want to keep under lock and key. (Q-News)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB, the W5YI Report and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Jim Damron, N8TMW, reporting from Charleston West Virginia. 73, and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

3320. Re: ebay auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 16:29:19 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <zbb59.9611$Ce.479117@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132349

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D547FEA.9DD356FD@mailcity.net...
> item 1372009868 Galaxy DX99V 10 meter CB radio
>
> Gone!!

Yes!
Another one bites the Dust ...
Doomp Doomp Doomp
Another one Bites the Dust!

And another one gone and another one gone
another one bites the Dust
Hey Voobner will get your's too
Another Illegal CB bites the Dust!

3321. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 16:33:53 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <Rfb59.155596$vg.6379088@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132350

"Frmboybuck" <frmboybuck@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020809233109.26172.00003460@mb-ct.aol.com...
> Man you didnt earn shit......

How do you know he didn't ?

>Anybody can pass the exam.

Can you? As easy as they are hell they give you the answers.

>They might as well give you your numbers before the test.

What numbers?

> I am in the process of becoming a ham

Do us a favor

> Then there are dickheads like you that give hams a bad rap.

And the same will be said about you if you get a ham license

>Maybe if you had better things to do besides being a LOT LIZARD you
> would lighten up a bit

Maybe if you didnt condone or participate in illegal activitys you wouldnt
have anything to say.
I am sure your real familiar with Lot Lizards.

3322. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 16:35:15 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <7hb59.185923$nm.7313371@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132351

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:pJ759.5974$eK6.284145@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0208092110.3032c98@posting.google.com...
> > > Galaxy DX99V 320 Channel 10 meter CB Radio
> > > Item # 1372009868 Consumer Electronics:Radio Equipment:CB
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1372009868
> >
> > Invalid Item. Auction cancelled.
> >
> > -A
>
> Took long enough from the original post.

End Result! GONE!

3323. Re: Auction Was Stopped ROFLMAO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 16:35:43 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <zhb59.84820$6Z1.3524680@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132352

```
Invalid Item
The item you requested ( 1372009868 ) is invalid or no longer in our
database. Please check the number and try again. If this message persists,
the item has expired and is no longer available.

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:pJ759.5974$eK6.284145@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0208092110.3032c98@posting.google.com...
> > > Galaxy DX99V 320 Channel 10 meter CB Radio
> > > Item # 1372009868 Consumer Electronics:Radio Equipment:CB
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1372009868
> >
> > Invalid Item. Auction cancelled.
> >
> > -A
>
> Took long enough from the original post.
>
>
```

3324. Re: FCC to raid truck stops!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 16:38:18 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <jwb59.28858$lP6.1821487@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132354

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020809235913.365$sM_-_@newsreader.com...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020809231146.106$U8@newsreader.com...
> > > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> > > > > Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:aj1f8c$17lge1$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de...
> > > > > > So-called illegal ops can go go into any truck stop and buy
those
> > > > > > Galaxys all day long.....
> > > > >
> > > > >>For the time being, they can. Trust me, there are things in the
> > > > >>works,
> > > > > boys. Look to read/hear more about Flying J and Pilot Truck stops
> > > > > in
> > > the
> > > > > coming months. I got it straight from the correct source.
> > > > >
> > > > Think you should of posted this warning? Unless you don't want them
> > > > to get caught.
> > > >
> > >>/Why not? Most folks don't believe it anyway. All the more surprise
> > > when the knock comes.
> > >
> >>Not sure your source would like you posting it to Usenet,is my point.
> >
> With this header? <g>

I got it from Riley Hollingsworth himself at 717-338-2502. I called him
yesterday. Suffice it to
say we are acquaintances--not close friends, but we have met and talked a
number of times.

Jerry
.
>
> --
> Go # 40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

3325. Re: Why are "Final" transistors called PLL's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 16:52:52 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208100852.4f860011@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132353

piecheech@aol.comnojunk (Joe) wrote in message
news:<20020810052829.03973.00003635@mb-cd.aol.com>...
> This might sound like an ignorant question but aren't PLL's stand for "Phase
> Lock Loops" ? These are integrated circuits or microprocessors. When did "Final
> Transistors" became Phase Lock Loops? Is this a misnomer or some "trade name".
> To me it sounds like some wanna-be tech started playing around with radios and
> started calling things whatever name out of ignorance. I notice the words pills
> or PLLs always being used loosely. Like the more pills, the more better or more
> powerful. When I heard of all the plls, in my mind I'm thinking of Phase Locked
> loop chips and at the amount being used, you would need a case for your radio
> the size of a briefcase. To me a Final transistor, a valve, NPN or PNP will
> amplify power and a Pll don't amplify power. I guess one of us is confused or
> maybe some of us.

They don't call them PLLs, and you are correct about PLLs. They call
them "pills" because that's what they look like when they're soldered
onto the PC board.

3326. Re: Troll Posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 16:57:04 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208100857.2b6c6198@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132355

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:<aA_49.78166$6Z1.3044304@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0208091455.522f77e@posting.google.com...
> > "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> news:<oCE49.58237$6Z1.2282864@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > Since you don't even have a ham license, you have a lot of nerve
> > > > calling anyone a "keyclown"!
> > >
> > > You have alot of nerve saying anyone doesn't have a call sign.
> >
> > You have a lot of nerve. Period. COWARD!
>
> How am I the coward you bragged about how you have nothing to hide and that
> you have a call sign. So far you are full of shit. You post thru google You
> my friend are a full fledged Troll and a Coward.

I post thru what? You can send me email; I can't send you any. You are
the coward. And sorry, I had a very good BM this morning, thanks for
asking.

>
> >
> > Aaron is simply misinformed. Jerry Ox is a follower. You are a total
> TROLL.
>
> Yeah I am a troll your chasing aaron around like a puppy chases his tail LOL
> I am only holding you to your same questions you asked aaron and Frank. LOL
> you are pathetic and not very good at this.

Good at what? Coming out from under a rock with pointless comments?
You must be looking in a mirror. I have tried to correct Aanal Aaron's
misinterpretation of an alleged FCC notice. You have.... Well,
nothing. Goodbye.

3327. Re: Troll Posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 16:58:22 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208100858.44086f29@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132356

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208071352.2e9d4269@posting.google.com>...
> "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:<3D5102C9.5862DB97@yaSPAMhoo.com>...
> > "Aaronia H. Voobner" wrote:
> > >
> > > If you want to see who a troll is, all you need do is look to who
> > > started this thread.
> >
> > Well I followed back to the first reference in your post. Uh oh,
> > it was you. If you had posted to the original thread, it might
> > point to someone else.
> >
>
> I see Mopar was so ashamed of it, he took it out of the archive. You
> keyclowns are so defeated you are trying anything these days.....

Funny; I found it without any trouble.

> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > Aaron IS a Troll
> > >
> > > Vote yes or no.
> > >
> > > --

3328. Re: Aaron IS a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 17:01:52 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208100901.428b567d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132357

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:<BBE49.123636$vg.5133594@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > > Bullshit they know exactly what they are doing. Now all of a sudden your
> > > saying Cbers are stupid. But if someone else says it there just pickin
> on
> > > Cbers. Get the phuqq out of here you Troll
> >
> > You obviously can't read; I didn't say anything about "CBers" at all.
> > I said TRUCKERS. Go back to second grade and learn to read. Oh, that's
> > right; you are in second grade. I can tell by the language you use.
>
> Oh so your saying truckers who talk on the Cb aren't Cbers? Ill bet alot of
> the truckers Cbers in this group would beg to doffer

"Doffer"?? I thought that was a bad golfer! You really are in second
grade. Does daddy know you're bootlegging his computer?

>
> > > > I'm a ham. And I think Jerry is a trouble-maker. You got a problem
> > > > with that?
> >
> >
> >
> > > Your a Ham whats the Call Sign Jim? You want us to take you seriously
> > > don't you? I have a problem with that Whats the Call sign?
> >
> > Are you Aanal Aaron or Jerry Ox in not-so-clever disguise? TROLL!
> >
> No I am neither so why avoid the same question you asked aaron and Frank
> whats the call OM?

Tell you what, Aanal Aaron; you post your callsign. Then I'll decide
whether it's hearsay or not and decide whether I choose to post mine.

And nobody takes you seriously.

Who's "Jim"??

3329. Re: Aaron IS a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 17:03:51 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208100903.6ac1bcb9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132358

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208072041.77f403aa@posting.google.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > I'm a ham. And I think Jerry is a trouble-maker. You got a problem
> > with that?
>
> Yes. What's your call sign? You really need the Wouff Hong.
>
> -A

What's yours? You don't even know that Novices and Tech +s can use
voice on ten meters and YOU are some kind of expert? You get cornered
and start posting thru an anonymous mail server? You really think
anyone takes you seriously?

You have no clue what a "wouff hong" is.

3330. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 17:45:33 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3D55513D.1BB7D6AA@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 132360

"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:

> > FREE DOWNLOAD, 8-band expanded CB frequency chart shows 4 bands down,
> > 3 up, + FCC 40. Find where you are, 25.165 Ch.1 lowest band to 28.755
> > MHz Ch.40 highest band. Includes the skips too.

WOW, Military, Broadcast, Ham and just about everyone elses frequencies.

I guess you don't care where you trespass.

3331. Re: FCC to raid truck stops!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 17:49:24 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Emc59.6053$Ke2.512705@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132359

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:jwb59.28858$lP6.1821487@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20020809235913.365$sM_-_@newsreader.com...

There is an interesting tidbit from Phil Kane, retired FCC honcho in San Fran and practicing communications attorney.

Seems that a location has to be formally warned, kind of the 'first bite is free' theory, before the big bux raids can begin. These are recorded in the FCCs Daily Digest and not generally in the Enforcement Bureau's stuff which is what gets advertised.

Jerry has pointed out here that the Enforcement Bureau has only recently got the funding and manning to carry on much of a program and this sort of rule definitely slows down the victory march. But at some point the i's get dotted and the t's get crossed and the fun begins.

As I have noted before, undermanned operations tend to perform crucifixions and leave the body hanging; tends to get the attention of the masses in one hell of a hurry. One is really not in that much danger but being the crucifixee is not a lot of fun.

Dick NBK

3332. Re: Aaron IS a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 18:19:30 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <SOc59.10909$Ce.547454@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132362

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208100901.428b567d@posting.google.com...
> > Oh so your saying truckers who talk on the Cb aren't Cbers? Ill bet alot
of
> > the truckers Cbers in this group would beg to doffer
>
> "Doffer"?? I thought that was a bad golfer! You really are in second
> grade. Does daddy know you're bootlegging his computer?

You know exactly the word I meant this is typical when a troll can't answer the question the y look for keystroke errors. LOL Yes Dick Head you are a Classic Troll. Does your Daddy know your sniffing his underwear after he leaves for work?

> Tell you what, Aanal Aaron; you post your callsign. Then I'll decide
> whether it's hearsay or not and decide whether I choose to post mine.

Dickhead you said you have nothing to hide so far you have provided a bogus name and no call sign. Do I need to quote where you said you have nothing to hide? If you have nothing to hide and are indeed a ham why won't you post yours?
I will tell you why because your nothing more than a Troll and a coward yet you ask others to do the same . LOL your a Pathetic Putz! Go back to your CB because your not a ham nor are you a man. LOL What a jerk off you are

> And nobody takes you seriously.

Like you have any credibility in this NG, you are a nobody I drop bigger terds than you down my toilet.

> Who's "Jim"??

3333. Re: Predator 10K Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 18:21:00 UTC
From: Jesse Stanley <superstar@frognet.net>
Message-ID: <RDc59.6545$pv6.675997@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 132361

Yes there one of the best antenna made. They are very light weight and well made.
John Smith wrote in message <3d551deb@server2.pacer.com>...
>Anyone have any experience with Predator 10K Antenna? Performance etc.
>
>

3334. Re: Cobra 19 LTD II Classic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 18:24:54 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <WTc59.6092$Ke2.516172@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132363

yes, buy my cd listed in post

--
If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens

3335. Re: Garage sale mistake

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 18:25:52 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <QUc59.6094$Ke2.516609@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132364

yes, buy my cd....posted

--
If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens

3336. Re: Troll Posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 18:27:11 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <3Wc59.186871$nm.7374269@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132365

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208100857.2b6c6198@posting.google.com...
> I post thru what? You can send me email; I can't send you any. You are
> the coward. And sorry, I had a very good BM this morning, thanks for
> asking.

Did you catch the part that says Google, I don't want to send you email, you sure could send me email I get them all the time from people who know me. Point remains Klondike your a Troll, who says "he has nothing to hide" except his real name and callsign if he even has one, then he asked another poster to post his yet won't follow his own statements. Yes, Dick Head is a good name for you.

> >
> > >
> > > Aaron is simply misinformed. Jerry Ox is a follower. You are a total
> > TROLL.
> >
> > Yeah I am a troll your chasing aaron around like a puppy chases his tail
LOL
> > I am only holding you to your same questions you asked aaron and Frank.
LOL
> > you are pathetic and not very good at this.

> Good at what?

Trolling

>Coming out from under a rock with pointless comments?

Ah so you did know what I meant LOL TROLL

> You must be looking in a mirror.

So If I said I was a troll would you admit that you are one?

>I have tried to correct Aanal Aaron's misinterpretation of an alleged FCC
notice.

What are your credentials you have only given your opinion I see nothing backing up what you say TROLL

>You have....

My balls on your chin

3337. More, More, More....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 18:28:40 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <sXc59.6099$Ke2.516905@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132366

ive just completed a very nice, easy to follow cd with plans to build 11 meter (CB) antennas, with MORE info on tune-ups, convertions, mic wiring, you name it...this thing is loaded with useful info on doing your own repairs and modifications. Explains how toos, and pdf file on home-kits and MORE...pictures are high quality, formulas, and do-nots that will get you up and sounding great...want to install your own talk-back, echo board, want to make your own swing-kit? well theres More, More, More (title of my cd) anyone interested, email me. Many hours and weekends compiling all this info in an easy to read format...Ive decided NOT to copyright this, as friends and 'Masters' have help write this/ you'll be amazed at how much you'll learn..
.'Golden Screwdriver' is a drop in the
bucket.... email me at horseflygk@att.net I'm 10-7, later

--
If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens

3338. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 18:38:23 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14752-3D555D9F-216@storefull-2257.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132368

Hi Jay,All I found in a quick search was -65V for AB1 with a 4CX250.Idle current sounds like the way to go.Where's yeti?.....Mark

3339. Re: Why are "Final" transistors called PLL's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 18:41:10 UTC
From: piecheech@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020810144110.03963.00003578@mb-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 132367

Thank you guys for clearing that up for me.
Joe PieCheech

3340. Re: More, More, More....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 19:18:03 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D5566F0.852646D5@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132369

Here is a spelling corrected version:

George Kinzer wrote:
>
> I've just completed a very nice, easy to follow CD with plans to build 11
> meter (CB) antennas, with MORE info on tune-ups, conversions, mic wiring,
> you name it...this thing is loaded with useful info on doing your own
> repairs and modifications. Explains how to's, and .pdf file on home-kits and
> MORE...pictures are high quality, formulas, and do-nots that will get you up
> and sounding great...want to install your own talk-back, echo board, want to
> make your own swing-kit? well theres More, More, More (title of my CD)
> anyone interested, email me. Many hours and weekends compiling all this info
> in an easy to read format...Ive decided NOT to copyright this, as friends
> and 'Masters' have help write this/ you'll be amazed at how much you'll
> learn..
> .'Golden Screwdriver' is a drop in the
> bucket.... email me at horseflygk@att.net I'm 10-7, later
>
> --
> If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

3341. Re: ebay auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 19:24:28 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D554997.182CB6C1@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132370

Tom wrote:
>
> On Sat, 10 Aug 2002 05:03:50 GMT, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
>
> >item 1372009868 Galaxy DX99V 10 meter CB radio
> >
> >Gone!!
>
> The things that excite some people. Unbelievable. Just shoot yourself.

Really chaps your butt when you see another one smacked doesn't
it.

3342. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 19:30:54 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D554B17.7D41191A@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132371

Me wrote:
>
> "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> > That is just fine Frank. Now go jack off you idiot. How long are you
> > going to hang around here trying to stir up stuff and pestering people
> > about what you perceive to be illegal radio operations? Man get some
> > help if you can and get a freekin life for cryin out loud.
>
> LOL selling illegal radios is not a percieved thing it is reality,
> I guess you call people sticking up for the right thing pestering
> go sit in the corner with your Dunce Cap on for 1 hour.

Here is another one advertised as "Ham radio CB amplifier" that
just got smacked.

Invalid Item

The item you requested (1850085620) is invalid or no longer in
our database. Please check the number and try again. If this
message persists, the item has expired and is no longer available.

3343. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 19:45:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D554E8E.A40D8861@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132372

Me wrote:
>
> "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> > That is just fine Frank. Now go jack off you idiot. How long are you
> > going to hang around here trying to stir up stuff and pestering people
> > about what you perceive to be illegal radio operations? Man get some
> > help if you can and get a freekin life for cryin out loud.
>
> LOL selling illegal radios is not a percieved thing it is reality,
> I guess you call people sticking up for the right thing pestering
> go sit in the corner with your Dunce Cap on for 1 hour.

And here is another one going to get smacked.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1372639956

3344. Re: More, More, More....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 19:50:59 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns926682D8F3141subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 132375

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in
news:3D5566F0.852646D5@yaSPAMhoo.com:

> Here is a spelling corrected version:
>
> George Kinzer wrote:
>>
>> I've just completed a very nice, easy to follow CD with plans to
>> build 11 meter (CB) antennas, with MORE info on tune-ups,
>> conversions, mic wiring, you name it...this thing is loaded with
>> useful info on doing your own repairs and modifications. Explains how
>> to's, and .pdf file on home-kits and MORE...pictures are high
>> quality, formulas, and do-nots that will get you up and sounding
>> great...want to install your own talk-back, echo board, want to make
>> your own swing-kit? well theres More, More, More (title of my CD)
>> anyone interested, email me. Many hours and weekends compiling all
>> this info in an easy to read format...Ive decided NOT to copyright
>> this, as friends and 'Masters' have help write this/ you'll be amazed
>> at how much you'll learn..
>> .'Golden Screwdriver' is a drop in the
>> bucket.... email me at horseflygk@att.net I'm 10-7, later
>>
>> --
>> If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what
>> happens

Yes, but what about the grammar corrected version? "Explains how to's, and
.pdf file on home-kits and MORE...pictures are high quality, formulas, and
do-nots that will get you up and sounding great". Huh? Perhaps we can word
it as:

"This CD contains HOWTO styled instructions, as well as pdf formatted
documents of kits you can build at home. These documents are complimented
by clear, high resolution pictures. With our included formulas and list of
"do-nots", you will gain the knowledge required to get that radio on the
air and sounding great."

Damn, I should've been in marketing.

-Alan S.

3345. Re: Why are "Final" transistors called PLL's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 19:51:15 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D554FDB.2A001AE5@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132373

Frmboybuck wrote:
>
> Man you need to learn a little bit about electronics.....YOU ARE CLUELESS

And if you think PLLs are final transistors then you are the one
who is clueless.

3346. Re: Why are "Final" transistors called PLL's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 19:52:28 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D555025.8D741D4@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132374

Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> piecheech@aol.comnojunk (Joe) wrote in message
news:<20020810052829.03973.00003635@mb-cd.aol.com>...
> > This might sound like an ignorant question but aren't PLL's stand for "Phase
> > Lock Loops" ? These are integrated circuits or microprocessors. When did "Final
> > Transistors" became Phase Lock Loops? Is this a misnomer or some "trade name".
> > To me it sounds like some wanna-be tech started playing around with radios and
> > started calling things whatever name out of ignorance. I notice the words pills
> > or PLLs always being used loosely. Like the more pills, the more better or more
> > powerful. When I heard of all the plls, in my mind I'm thinking of Phase Locked
> > loop chips and at the amount being used, you would need a case for your radio
> > the size of a briefcase. To me a Final transistor, a valve, NPN or PNP will
> > amplify power and a Pll don't amplify power. I guess one of us is confused or
> > maybe some of us.
>
> They don't call them PLLs, and you are correct about PLLs. They call
> them "pills" because that's what they look like when they're soldered
> onto the PC board.

The ones I have seen all looked like transistors.

3347. Re: Garage sale mistake

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 19:58:58 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D5551AA.4BCE2BBD@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132376

Joseph Attard wrote:
>
> I just recently picked up a radio at a garage sale for $10.00. I hooked
> it up and put it on a freq counter it goes from 28.535 to 28.975 mhz.
> First of all I am not a cb tech, but what band is this radio operating
> in and could a cb shop bring it back down to the right freq. It has been
> modified to operate outside is intented use . Thanks guys
> A NEWBIE

This radio is illegal for you to use unless you hold an FCC
Amateur Radio (ham) license.

3348. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 20:05:54 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208101205.22f72f50@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132377

Jay this is a CB newsgroup, using an amplifier on CB is not only
illegal it's kind of antisocial, doncha think? BTW, if you are a ham
looking for advice on ham gear you'd get much better advice in a ham
newsgroup. You could even post your callsign there. -A

Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message news:<3D550CCA.6D386D92@qnet.com>...
> Hello All:
>
> I have a Linear Amplifier that was a home brew unit I bought from the
> TRW Swap Meet. It needed a few small parts and such. The hole Pi network
> and switching relay, has taken quit some time to run down. But has a way
> over kill power supply and a regulated screen supply, and a high SWR
> warning light, with a Duel Slug Bird Wattmeter Cavity, and the Bird Watt
> Meter right on the face of the amp, well worth a the effort it was to
> lug home. This has taken about a year to complete, mostly finding the
> parts that will fit.
>
> I have adjusted the bias voltage to -80VDC, and the output signal looks
> good and is linear, sounds great.
>
> But I need the actual Eimac 4CX350A class AB1 bias voltage.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jay in the Mojave

3349. Re: Just Wondering ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 20:31:08 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208101231.2454892@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132378

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:<ula1trc2ft7e5a@corp.supernews.com>...
> Ask him what channel he talks on and if he uses sideband. CB has really
> grown up and expanded to the point where it is Ham radio for the politically
> incorrect!
> Many sub -communities have sprang up within the cb community. There are
> the freebanders that have put to good use unused bandwidth and are talking
> worldwide bringing goodwill worldwide. There is the loud crowd on 6 and 11
> pushing back the technological frontier of amplifier design. Sidebanders are
> using stock radios to shoot skip and make contacts hundreds of miles away.
> While some activities are not legal like freebanding and etc. enforcement is
> so sporadic as to be anomalous. Second hand smoke is more regulated then cb
> radio emisions!
> There is a wealth of info both here and on the web. There is also some
> great guys and some great humor here too!
> Happy DXing
> Ken

Unfortunately, the "growing" and "springing up" examples that Kenneth
mentions are mostly activities of illegal outlaw operators.

A radio hobby based on blatant disregard for laws is NOT a
"politically incorrect" version of ham radio. It is an illegal
version of CB.

Here's a bit more "growth" that Kenneth failed to mention. In recent
years, illegal high-power CB has gotten so widespread and so
detrimental to citizens electronic devices that Pres. Clinton signed
HR2346, a bill allowing local communities to enforce CB laws and
prosecute offenders. And due to illegal imports of contraband radios
aimed at the CB market, more and more freebanders and outbanders have
trespassed on amateur radio frequencies, forcing the FCC to new levels
of enforcement.

Hopefully some of the more responsible CB'ers in this ng will step up
to the plate and offer a legal alternative to Ken's view of what the
CB hobby is all about.

-Aaron-

3350. Re: Predator 10K Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 20:52:05 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <V1f59.88261$6Z1.3685189@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132379

It is a copy of a Penetrator 500 with a beefed up matching section, you will need to take out a loan to buy one.

"Jesse Stanley" <superstar@frognet.net> wrote in message news:RDc59.6545$pv6.675997@cletus.bright.net...
> Yes there one of the best antenna made. They are very light weight and well
> made.
> John Smith wrote in message <3d551deb@server2.pacer.com>...
> >Anyone have any experience with Predator 10K Antenna? Performance etc.
> >
> >
>
>

3351. Re: Auction Was Stopped ROFLMAO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 20:53:21 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <53f59.12402$Ce.635713@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132380

Said if your the snapperhead who had his auction terminated

"Tom" <nospam@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:v1oaluo82vhovlbv62k389m1lespdg71bk@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 10 Aug 2002 16:35:43 GMT, "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
>
> >Invalid Item
> >The item you requested (1372009868) is invalid or no longer in our
> >database. Please check the number and try again. If this message
persists,
> >the item has expired and is no longer available.
> >
>
> Boy it doesn't take much to entertain these idiots. Voobner spends his
> Friday nights scoping out eBay radio auctions, and his lemmings spend
> Saturday getting off on it. Now this is LMAO funny. .....or sad
> depending on how you look at it.

3352. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 21:05:48 UTC
From: yougottabekidding@nothardly.com
Message-ID: <3d558002.62343735@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 132381

On 10 Aug 2002 07:27:33 -0700, cheesecutter@webhideout.com
(chessecutter) wrote:

>That is just fine Frank. Now go jack off you idiot. How long are you
>going to hang around here trying to stir up stuff and pestering people
>about what you perceive to be illegal radio operations? Man get some
>help if you can and get a freekin life for cryin out loud.

well said. Guy has no life at all. look at his sorry ass pic on his
website... what a waste of sperm.

3353. Re: Garage sale mistake

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 21:31:19 UTC
From: Don Mc <11112112@no-id.com>
Message-ID: <ulb0s4qlv82989@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132382

Is it illegal to use, or just illegal to transmit on? Can't he listen to it?
Newbie just wondering.....

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D5551AA.4BCE2BBD@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Joseph Attard wrote:
> >
> > I just recently picked up a radio at a garage sale for $10.00. I hooked
> > it up and put it on a freq counter it goes from 28.535 to 28.975 mhz.
> > First of all I am not a cb tech, but what band is this radio operating
> > in and could a cb shop bring it back down to the right freq. It has been
> > modified to operate outside is intented use . Thanks guys
> > A NEWBIE
>
> This radio is illegal for you to use unless you hold an FCC
> Amateur Radio (ham) license.

3354. Re: Just Wondering ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 21:31:58 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <iDf59.7094$Ep6.508896@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132383

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ula1trc2ft7e5a@corp.supernews.com...
> Many sub -communities have sprang up within the cb community. There
are
> the freebanders that have put to good use unused bandwidth and are
talking
> worldwide bringing goodwill worldwide. There is the loud crowd on 6 and
11
> pushing back the technological frontier of amplifier design. Sidebanders
are
> using stock radios to shoot skip and make contacts hundreds of miles
away.
> While some activities are not legal like freebanding and etc.
enforcement is
> so sporadic as to be anomalous. Second hand smoke is more regulated then
cb
> radio emisions!
> There is a wealth of info both here and on the web. There is also
some
> great guys and some great humor here too!
> Happy DXing
> Ken

If the Amps pushed as 'competition' around here qualify as 'pushing back the technological frontier of amp design' I am Heinrich Schnibble and the frontier is the dirt mound around the landfill.

Want to see frontiers pushed back go down to your local Broadcast station. The local 50kw loudenboomer bought a new transmitter, one of the 91% efficient Class E switchers some time ago. The engineering staff in December wondered what that noise and smell were; it was the furnace coming on for the first time in many years. The only thing the competitors can do is buy things and there are any number of charlatans ready to take their money.

A keydown is a CB version of the loud stereo contest that has pretty well died out along with the participants' hearing. And the same junk attitude applies as the thumpty-thump, thumpity-thumb bass you hear every now and again when you can hear the mismatch, cross-phasing, and/or bust-up from the IR drop in a totally inadequate power supply. While I will admit that some of the alternator arrangements are sex on a popsicle stick (and some are spectacular failures) the amps themselves are theme and variation on Motorola Micor boxes and not nearly so well built. There is not an idea in any of them that was not in the appnotes in 1975. The X-Farce website is unintentionally hilarious to anyone who knows beans from blueberries

and the copycat Naughty Dog site is simply revolting.

But sometime before I die I want to see a 128 pill cascading failure and the look on the owner's face when he prices 128 2879s, sits down with his vat of heat-sink grease, and procedes to replace them. For the bill, there is mastercard, the rest is priceless.

Dick NBK

3355. Re: Garage sale mistake

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 21:32:52 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020810173252.03391.00003264@mb-bk.news.cs.com>
Source record: 132384

>Is it illegal to use, or just illegal to transmit on?

Just to transmit on. (Unless he gets his General or Extra ticket, that is.)

>Can't he listen to it?

Absolutely.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3356. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 21:36:52 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <UHf59.7099$Ep6.510177@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132385

"Yeahrightman" <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com> wrote in message
news:3d558002.62343735@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com...
> On 10 Aug 2002 07:27:33 -0700, cheesecutter@webhideout.com
> (chessecutter) wrote:
>
>
> >That is just fine Frank. Now go jack off you idiot. How long are you
> >going to hang around here trying to stir up stuff and pestering people
> >about what you perceive to be illegal radio operations? Man get some
> >help if you can and get a freekin life for cryin out loud.
>
>
> well said. Guy has no life at all. look at his sorry ass pic on his
> website... what a waste of sperm.
>
>

While I have no particular use for the Carrie Nation mentality which Frank gets close to at times, I am even more amazed by the manhood problems shown by the detractors. Sheez, haven't seen so many non-functional testicles since the last car salesman convention.

The SINBAD Syndrome. Single Income, No Babe, Absolutely Desperate.

Dick NBK

3357. Re: 2 PILL WITH BUILT IN PS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 21:37:12 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020810173712.22633.00002651@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 132386

>From: "Me" whocare@you.com
>Ok hows this one did your mother have >anything that lived?
Listen to the whining to bit piece of shit now!!!!!!!!!!!!
"Me"whodontgiveashitaboutyou@yourfathershouldvejerkedoffthenightyouwerecon
ceived.com
You suck!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Got RF?"

3358. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 21:41:23 UTC
From: Packrat<unk> <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <ulb2442gg3dvab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132387

You missed one:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1371141112

The least you can do is be efficient about it.

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D554E8E.A40D8861@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Me wrote:
> >
> > "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> > > That is just fine Frank. Now go jack off you idiot. How long are you
> > > going to hang around here trying to stir up stuff and pestering people
> > > about what you perceive to be illegal radio operations? Man get some
> > > help if you can and get a freekin life for cryin out loud.
> >
> > LOL selling illegal radios is not a percieved thing it is reality,
> > I guess you call people sticking up for the right thing pestering
> > go sit in the corner with your Dunce Cap on for 1 hour.
>
> And here is another one going to get smacked.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1372639956

3359. Re: Garage sale mistake

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 21:42:22 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020810174222.22633.00002655@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 132388

>From: JJ radiobuff0@mailcity.net
>This radio is illegal for you to use unless >you hold an FCC
>Amateur Radio (ham) license.
Shut up JJ.....no fukin duh! If the guy hooked it up to an antenna thinking it was a cb he would be lucky if he didnt toast a set of finals. Go quote some laws to your family...we know them here so shut up already.
To the original poster, Joseph, what is the name and model # on the unit, so those of us who wish to help you, may do so, without the dickheads jumping your thread.

"Got RF?"

3360. Re: Garage sale mistake

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 21:43:23 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%Nf59.7110$Ep6.510706@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132389

"Don Mc" <11112112@no-id.com> wrote in message
news:ulb0s4qlv82989@corp.supernews.com...
> Is it illegal to use, or just illegal to transmit on? Can't he listen to
it?
> Newbie just wondering.....
>

Listening is virtually always legal. And in fact any number of SWLs buy a good ham transceiver for short-wave listening and simply put the mike in the drawer for reasons of price believe it or not. He would find a lot of people out to fix his little red wagon were he to become a 'slim'.

Amateur rules do not permit contact with unlicensed stations nor do they offer the pirate any protection from interference. With any number of data bases available for instant look ups the odds of getting away with it for long are slim and none.

Dick NBK

3361. Re: Predator 10K Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 21:47:16 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020810174716.22633.00002658@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 132390

Maybe pricey but Dr Pepper shoots some damn good skip with them not mention local talking. He uses both vertical and horizontal Predators, alternating to what he feels is the best for the conditions. I know the Horizontal unit may be hard to tune on a vehicle as the close proximity to the ground seems to make them hard to tune. If you want to speak with some folks who use them and have contacts to buy them reasonable try logging on to Paltalk and look in the MISC category for CB realted rooms. Catch you there or on the air.....333
Springfield MO

"Got RF?"

3362. Re: Predator 10K Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 21:48:41 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020810174841.22633.00002659@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 132391

>From: "Me" whocare@you.com
> you will need to take out a loan to buy >one.
More BS from the King of BS Land....oh holly one!!!!!!!!!! You jerk(off)!

"Got RF?"

3363. Re: Just Wondering ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 21:52:45 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020810175245.22633.00002660@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 132392

>From: "Kenneth" pwrguy@intergrafix.net
>There are the freebanders that have put to >good use unused bandwidth and are
>talking worldwide bringing goodwill >worldwide..etc.....There is a wealth of
info >both here and on the web. There is also >some great guys and some great
humor >here too!
Whooorah!!!!!!!!!!!!

"Got RF?"

3364. Re: Why are "Final" transistors called PLL's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 21:57:45 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020810175745.22633.00002661@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 132393

>From: piecheech@aol.comnojunk (Joe) > When did "Final Transistors" became
>Phase Lock Loops
You pretty much got the drift with all the answers you see posted. PLL is the chip that controls what frequency the radio is on. The Finals are simply power transistors now referred to as Pills. Sorry the dickheads tore your post to shreds.
333 Springfield MO

"Got RF?"

3365. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 22:01:14 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020810180114.22633.00002663@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 132394

```
>From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
>Invalid Item.
Invalid Item=ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Nuf said!

"Got RF?"
```

3366. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 22:13:42 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aj4357$16a3io$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132395

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208071329.21f10b89@posting.google.com...
>
> If you're trying to make a case that these phoney 10 meter radios are
> being widely used as CW rigs by Novices and Tech Pluses, that is
> unproveable and highly unlikely. And everyone reading this (even the
> keyclowns) know it.

I used a Uniden 2510 mobile on 10 meters for a while. I even hooked up my key to operate CW. Who are you to say who gets to operate what radio where? I would still have that radio if I hadn't sold it to a ham friend of mine a few years back. Sometimes I wish I still had it. If I wanted to buy aother one via ebay or anywhere else, I should have that right.

> The law states that phoney 10 meter radios are illegal. There is
> evidence that they are being used illegally. They will continue to be
> banned from eBay, and I and others will continue to support
> enforcement of the law.

Nowhere does it say that hams can't use those radios for 10 meter use. There is no requirement for type-acceptance of amateur radio gear. We hams can use whatever radio equipment we want to, providing we are using it within the limits of our license (frequencies, power limit, etc.) We can use old military, business band, maritime gear, etc. provided it's been modified or otherwise able to operate on the ham bands. We can even build our own equipment. If I built a 10/11 meter radio from scratch, I could operate it on 10 meters legally.

If you tell ebay to remove auctions of these radios, you could be interfering with a valid sale of amateur radio equipment from one ham to another.

Tom

3367. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 22:16:06 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aj439l$18kbag$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132396

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208091519.1ec71c18@posting.google.com...

> Meanwhile,
> I'll go pop a few more illegal eBay auctions of HR2510's.

And you may be interfering with a sale of legal ham equipment between hams.

Tom

3368. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 22:20:32 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aj43i0$17unfe$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132397

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208090833.66a6803a@posting.google.com...

> I suppose, theoretically, if a licensed ham found one of these radios
> discarded in a TRASH PILE he could fix it up and use it on 10 meters.
> He would sound like crap and be "channelized", but he most definitely
> could theoretically use it to operate within the bounds of his license
> privileges.

I've used these kind of radios on 10 meters and they didn't sound like crap.
They are very good radios. And there's no law that says you can't sell one
to another ham, or even a CB'er.

You seem to think that these radios are manufactured as 10/11 meter
transceivers. What you don't seem to realize is that the 10 meter radios
have to be modified to operate on CB freqs. True, it usually is an easy
mod, but they come from the factory operating only on 10 meters.

Tom

3369. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 22:23:02 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810182302.03492.00002929@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 132398

>How do you know he didn't ?

Well you said it yourself...They practicaly give you the answers>

Then there are dickheads like you that give hams a bad rap.

I dont look down on cb'rs like many hams....I havent forgot, thats where we all started

>Maybe if you didnt condone or participate in illegal activitys you wouldnt
>have anything to say.

As a matter of fact I have never been out of band OR ran any extra power....BUT I see no harm in using a little extra power when needed or freebanding.

Further more I am not going to lower myself and get in a little girly yelling match with you like everyone else on here. I believe atleast 40% of hams are dickheads that think they are better than everyone else, especially those who operate on cb. I am getting my ticket so I can have acess to the 10 meter band and talk to some of my freebanding friends

3370. Re: Auction Was Stopped ROFLMAO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 22:26:42 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208101426.5b40f4cd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132399

```
"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:<zhb59.84820$6Z1.3524680@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> Invalid Item
> The item you requested ( 1372009868 ) is invalid or no longer in our
> database. Please check the number and try again. If this message persists,
> the item has expired and is no longer available.

Does that somehow make up for you obvious mental condition, Aanal Aaron?

> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:pJ759.5974$eK6.284145@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:c9ceae5b.0208092110.3032c98@posting.google.com...
> > > > Galaxy DX99V 320 Channel 10 meter CB Radio
> > > > Item # 1372009868 Consumer Electronics:Radio Equipment:CB
> > > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1372009868
> > >
> > > Invalid Item. Auction cancelled.
> > >
> > > -A
> >
> > Took long enough from the original post.
> >
> >
```

3371. Re: More, More, More....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 22:32:28 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D559482.737A17A5@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132400

"Alan S." wrote:
>
> "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in
> news:3D5566F0.852646D5@yaSPAMhoo.com:
>
> > Here is a spelling corrected version:
> >
> > George Kinzer wrote:
> >>
> >> I've just completed a very nice, easy to follow CD with plans to
> >> build 11 meter (CB) antennas, with MORE info on tune-ups,
> >> conversions, mic wiring, you name it...this thing is loaded with
> >> useful info on doing your own repairs and modifications. Explains how
> >> to's, and .pdf file on home-kits and MORE...pictures are high
> >> quality, formulas, and do-nots that will get you up and sounding
> >> great...want to install your own talk-back, echo board, want to make
> >> your own swing-kit? well theres More, More, More (title of my CD)
> >> anyone interested, email me. Many hours and weekends compiling all
> >> this info in an easy to read format...Ive decided NOT to copyright
> >> this, as friends and 'Masters' have help write this/ you'll be amazed
> >> at how much you'll learn..
> >> .'Golden Screwdriver' is a drop in the
> >> bucket.... email me at horseflygk@att.net I'm 10-7, later
> >>
> >> --
> >> If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what
> >> happens
>
> Yes, but what about the grammar corrected version? "Explains how to's, and
> .pdf file on home-kits and MORE...pictures are high quality, formulas, and
> do-nots that will get you up and sounding great". Huh? Perhaps we can word
> it as:
>
> "This CD contains HOWTO styled instructions, as well as pdf formatted
> documents of kits you can build at home. These documents are complimented
> by clear, high resolution pictures. With our included formulas and list of
> "do-nots", you will gain the knowledge required to get that radio on the
> air and sounding great."
>
> Damn, I should've been in marketing.
>
> -Alan S.

OK. George K: You have our permission to spam with this version! <;

3372. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 22:34:51 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D559511.B49BE1AB@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132401

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> And you may be interfering with a sale of legal ham equipment between hams.
>

Wouldn't that be interfering with interstate commerce?

3373. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 22:40:02 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020810184002.03391.00003279@mb-bk.news.cs.com>
Source record: 132402

>I've used these kind of radios on 10 meters and they didn't sound like crap.
>They are very good radios.

Indeed, you are correct. I get great signal reports using a Lincoln. (HR-2510)
Heck, I just received one a few minutes ago from an LU1 (Argentina) station.

>And there's no law that says you can't sell one
>to another ham

Absolutely.

>, or even a CB'er.

As long as the CBer doesn't transmit...this one can get a little messy, but the
onus is on the seller here. The actual use is still on the buyer though.

>You seem to think that these radios are manufactured as 10/11 meter
>transceivers. What you don't seem to realize is that the 10 meter radios
>have to be modified to operate on CB freqs.

They're still legal for use by hams, even if they're modified for full
10/11-meter coverage. However, the onus is still on the user to use the radio
within the limits of his/her license. That is:

28.1000 thru 28.29999 MHz for CW & 28.3000 thru 28.4999 MHz for phone for
holders of a Novice or Tech "+" (Tech "plus" Element 1 credit.) at 200 watts
max output.

The full monty (28.0000 thru 29.6999 MHz.) at a gallon and a half (1500 watts)
for Generals and Extras. (Dick, Jim, Doc...kindly correct any errors.)

NO transmitting anywhere else on 11-meters, although it's fine and dandy to
monitor to ones heart's content.

>True, it usually is an easy
>mod, but they come from the factory operating only on 10 meters.

Correct, but then they all are...Yaesu, Kenwood, and Icom included.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3374. Re: Garage sale mistake

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 22:41:28 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D5577BF.DD987D3F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132403

Don Mc wrote:
>
> Is it illegal to use, or just illegal to transmit on? Can't he listen to it?
> Newbie just wondering.....

Good question, I should have been more clear, it is illegal to
transmit with this unit unless the operator holds an FCC Amateur
Radio Operators license. He can listen all he wants.

3375. Re: Garage sale mistake

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 22:43:29 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D557838.E9E3B34D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132404

OZARK333 wrote:
>..we know them here so shut up already.

Couldn't tell by your actions.

> To the original poster, Joseph, what is the name and model # on the unit, so
> those of us who wish to help you, may do so, without the dickheads jumping your
> thread.
>
>

-plonk!-

3376. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 22:53:31 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9266A1CB4B1D2subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 132405

"Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in
news:ulb2442gg3dvab@corp.supernews.com:

> You missed one:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1371141112
>
> The least you can do is be efficient about it.
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3D554E8E.A40D8861@mailcity.net...
>>
>>
>> Me wrote:
>> >
>> > "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
>> > > That is just fine Frank. Now go jack off you idiot. How long are
>> > > you going to hang around here trying to stir up stuff and
>> > > pestering people about what you perceive to be illegal radio
>> > > operations? Man get some help if you can and get a freekin life
>> > > for cryin out loud.
>> >
>> > LOL selling illegal radios is not a percieved thing it is reality,
>> > I guess you call people sticking up for the right thing pestering
>> > go sit in the corner with your Dunce Cap on for 1 hour.
>>
>> And here is another one going to get smacked.
>>
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1372639956
>
>

I'm not really a legal expert in these sorts of things (or anything, if I was I'd be a rich lawyer <g>) but is there anything wrong with selling a purpose-built 10 meter amplifier? The seller makes no reference to CB, purchased the item from a ham, and seems to be marketing it to other amateurs. I do know that many CBers use Palomar amplifiers on CB, however. I'm not a ham, so I'm not real clear on regulations. I did find this, though (Title 47, Chapter I, Subpart D, Sec. 97.315 Certification of external RF power amplifiers)

http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/get-cfr.cgi?TITLE=47&PART=97
&SECTION=315&YEAR=2001&TYPE=TEXT

After looking over it, it seems that RF amplifiers that operate below 144MHz *not* constructed by an amateur need to have a grant of

certification from the FCC. This would seem to include this amp. However, there's a little clause in there that reads:

*****
(b) Any external RF power amplifier or external RF power amplifier kit (see Sec. 2.815 of the FCC Rules), manufactured, imported or modified for use in a station or attached at any station must be certificated for use in the amateur service in accordance with subpart J of part 2 of the FCC Rules. This requirement does not apply if one or more of the following conditions are met:

...(5) The amplifier is purchased in used condition by an equipment dealer from an amateur operator and the amplifier is further sold to another amateur operator for use at that operator's station.
*****

It would seem that the amplifier sale in question is legit...I think. That exception states "...purchased in used condition by an equipment dealer". Can the seller in this auction be considered an equipment dealer? Just what constitutes an equipment dealer, anyway? I dunno. So now I'm confused. Is this sale legit or not? My gut tells me that if a licensed amateur buys it, he's alright. I could be wrong.

Thoughts?
-Alan S.

3377. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 23:22:51 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3D55816F.BF75A0BB@mailcity.com>
Source record: 132406

Frmboybuck wrote:
>
> >How do you know he didn't ?
>
> Well you said it yourself...They practicaly give you the answers>
>
> Then there are dickheads like you that give hams a bad rap.
>
> I dont look down on cb'rs like many hams....I havent forgot, thats where we all
> started

Speak for yourself, I did not start out on cb.

3378. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 23:37:48 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208101537.74a879e0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132407

"Tom Sevart" n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com wrote:
>If you tell ebay to remove auctions of these radios, you could be
>interfering with a valid sale of amateur radio equipment from
>one ham to another.
>
>Tom

Tom,

I doubt it. I honestly think the majority of sales of these radios are to "freebanders" and not to hams. When you look at the features of these radios (roger beep, channelized, echo, noise toys, talkback, etc) and their marketing outlets (Copper, Flying J Truck Stop, CB Shak, CB Shop, etc.) it becomes even more evident that hams are not the actual or intended customers.

My guess is that legitimate ham to ham sales are a tiny portion of total sales. And don't forget there are a number of licensed hams "gone bad" who, for whatever reason (whether it's chafing against the limitations of their no code license, or simply an antisocial aberration) operate illegally in the so-called "freeband" and encourage others to do so.

But if you feel there is a danger that hams rights are being threatened, you may want to take your concerns up with Riley Hollingsworth. He is presently opening cases against several truck stops that are selling the gear you are describing. And he recently created a liason between his office and eBay to interdict the sales of such equipment.

Cordially,
-Aaron-

3379. AMPLIFIER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-10 23:42:26 UTC
From: nukem <nukem@gotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Cxh59.237989$XH.5921779@twister.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 132409

Check out this amp.

View Here:

http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/68659137?aucview=user

3380. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 00:00:01 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208101600.58754d8f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132408

>So now I'm confused. Is this sale legit or not?
>My gut tells me that if a licensed amateur buys it,
>he's alright. I could be wrong.
>
>Thoughts?
>-Alan S.

It is pretty confusing. What makes it even more confusing is that there are dishonest people that will use technicalities of the law for the purpose of subverting it.

In such cases, I believe you have to be guided by the spirit and intention of the law. In this case, the law's intention and spirit is to prevent CB'ers obtaining and using RF amplifiers for which they are not authorized.

The fact that this amp is capable of 11 meter use and that it is being advertised as a "CB Ham Amplifier" isn't a good indication that it's going to be used and sold legitimately.

-Aaron-

3381. Re: Garage sale mistake

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 00:01:02 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <2Ph59.127415$yc3.5412941@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132410

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20020810174222.22633.00002655@mb-fw.aol.com...
> >From: JJ radiobuff0@mailcity.net
> >This radio is illegal for you to use unless >you hold an FCC
> >Amateur Radio (ham) license.
> Shut up JJ.....no fukin duh! If the guy hooked it up to an antenna
thinking it
> was a cb he would be lucky if he didnt toast a set of finals. Go quote
some
> laws to your family...we know them here so shut up already.
> To the original poster, Joseph, what is the name and model # on the unit,
so
> those of us who wish to help you, may do so, without the dickheads jumping
your
> thread.

Dick with ears there are many newbies who post here as evident by this thread. If you don't like what JJ has to say don't read it, the original poster doesnt need your help your a day late and a dollar short.

Got Brains!

3382. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 00:03:55 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <LRh59.160794$vg.6653132@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132411

"Yeahrightman" <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com> wrote in message
news:3d558002.62343735@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com...

> well said. Guy has no life at all. look at his sorry ass pic on his
> website... what a waste of sperm.

Yeah you would rather drink his sperm huh Chuckie.

3383. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 00:04:36 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <oSh59.14102$Ce.739993@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132412

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20020810180114.22633.00002663@mb-fw.aol.com...
> >From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
> >Invalid Item.
> Invalid Item=ahvoobner@yahoo.com
> Nuf said!
>
>
> "Got RF?"

Invalid Brain=OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Snapperhead=OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk

3384. Re: 2 PILL WITH BUILT IN PS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 00:05:24 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <8Th59.90385$6Z1.3808812@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132413

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20020810173712.22633.00002651@mb-fw.aol.com...
> >From: "Me" whocare@you.com
> >Ok hows this one did your mother have >anything that lived?
> Listen to the whining to bit piece of shit now!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> "Me"whodontgiveashitaboutyou@yourfathershouldvejerkedoffthenightyouwerecon
> ceived.com
> You suck!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Oh great comeback you sidewalk sissy.

3385. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 00:08:07 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <HVh59.14144$Ce.741281@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132414

Tom do you talk on 11 meters?
"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aj4357$16a3io$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0208071329.21f10b89@posting.google.com...
> >
> > If you're trying to make a case that these phoney 10 meter radios are
> > being widely used as CW rigs by Novices and Tech Pluses, that is
> > unproveable and highly unlikely. And everyone reading this (even the
> > keyclowns) know it.
>
> I used a Uniden 2510 mobile on 10 meters for a while. I even hooked up my
> key to operate CW. Who are you to say who gets to operate what radio
where?
> I would still have that radio if I hadn't sold it to a ham friend of mine
a
> few years back. Sometimes I wish I still had it. If I wanted to buy
aother
> one via ebay or anywhere else, I should have that right.
>
> > The law states that phoney 10 meter radios are illegal. There is
> > evidence that they are being used illegally. They will continue to be
> > banned from eBay, and I and others will continue to support
> > enforcement of the law.
>
> Nowhere does it say that hams can't use those radios for 10 meter use.
> There is no requirement for type-acceptance of amateur radio gear. We
hams
> can use whatever radio equipment we want to, providing we are using it
> within the limits of our license (frequencies, power limit, etc.) We can
> use old military, business band, maritime gear, etc. provided it's been
> modified or otherwise able to operate on the ham bands. We can even build
> our own equipment. If I built a 10/11 meter radio from scratch, I could
> operate it on 10 meters legally.
>
> If you tell ebay to remove auctions of these radios, you could be
> interfering with a valid sale of amateur radio equipment from one ham to
> another.
>
> Tom
>
>

3386. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 00:24:30 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208101624.10e86499@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132415

Scott (Unit 69) wrote
>Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> And you may be interfering with a sale of legal ham equipment
>between hams.
>
>
>Wouldn't that be interfering with interstate commerce?

LOL, Scott. Nice try. What Tom *actually* wrote was:

>If you tell ebay to remove auctions of these radios, you could be
>interfering with a valid sale of amateur radio equipment from
>one ham to another.

Please note that I don't "tell ebay to remove auctions of these
radios". I simply report auctions that appear to be in violation of
FCC law regarding sales of equipment commonly abused by outlaw Cb'ers.

Ebay makes the decision. Ebay removes the auctions.

-Aaron-

3387. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 00:50:33 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9266B5A2CA66Bsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 132416

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in
news:c9ceae5b.0208101600.58754d8f@posting.google.com:

>>So now I'm confused. Is this sale legit or not?
>>My gut tells me that if a licensed amateur buys it,
>>he's alright. I could be wrong.
>>
>>Thoughts?
>>-Alan S.
>
> It is pretty confusing. What makes it even more confusing is that
> there are dishonest people that will use technicalities of the law for
> the purpose of subverting it.
>
> In such cases, I believe you have to be guided by the spirit and
> intention of the law. In this case, the law's intention and spirit is
> to prevent CB'ers obtaining and using RF amplifiers for which they are
> not authorized.
>
> The fact that this amp is capable of 11 meter use and that it is being
> advertised as a "CB Ham Amplifier" isn't a good indication that it's
> going to be used and sold legitimately.
>
> -Aaron-

Gotcha. And I agree. But the item I was referring to (still there)
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1371141112

was posted by Packrat. He posted that in response to JJ, saying "You missed
one". This is what sparked my curiosity as to where the law stood. This
particular item is not advertised as a "CB Ham Amplifier". I believe your
referring to the other one advertised (now killed by Ebay).

-Alan S.

3388. Re: Why are "Final" transistors called PLL's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 01:02:15 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <rIi59.6503$Ke2.551323@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132417

You have it all right... espicially the part about Aaron's brain.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ula0ejdcq8ci01@corp.supernews.com...
> Ok I'm like still a newbie with no formal electronics training but I'll
give
> it a shot.
> I think the PLL is the ic's or chips that have replaced cyrstals in
modern
> sets and just set the freq. They are totally unrelated to pills.
> The finals are the big transistors located on the back and are about
the
> size (very roughly) of a vitamin (or voobs brain) hence the name pills
since
> some radios have 2 frinals there called dual finals and when there is a
> bunch of them in a linier it is called an 18 pill etc. The above is mostly
> infered by me and can be called conjecture so take it with a grain of
salt.

3389. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 01:04:22 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aj4d54$17qt07$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132418

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D559511.B49BE1AB@yaSPAMhoo.com...

> Wouldn't that be interfering with interstate commerce?

I don't know, but I'd sure as hell be mad if someone prevented me from
buying or selling a 10 meter radio from/to another ham.

Tom

3390. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 01:06:59 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aj4da1$188daj$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132419

"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020810184002.03391.00003279@mb-bk.news.cs.com...
>
> >, or even a CB'er.
>
> As long as the CBer doesn't transmit...this one can get a little messy,
but the
> onus is on the seller here. The actual use is still on the buyer though.
>

You are right, because if I had a 10/11 meter radio for sale and I knew the CB'er would use it on 10 meters, I wouldn't sell it to him. But I wouldn't have any problem selling it to another ham.

Tom

3391. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 01:10:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aj4dfm$18fk65$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132420

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:HVh59.14144$Ce.741281@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> Tom do you talk on 11 meters?

No, but I used to around 10 years ago. I had some friends who were CB'ers, but I moved and the town I live in now has almost no CB activity. Plus, I just kind of lost interest in it since I had all the different ham bands to use. It just wasn't that exciting. It was actually more fun to operate on 10 meters while mobile.

Tom

3392. Re: Cobra 19 LTD II Classic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 01:12:07 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <HRi59.6515$Ke2.552287@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132421

I think your modulation boost is a good idea... but don't know if you understand that turning up your power a couple Watts is really not worth the effort. What antenna are you using? You would be much better off increasing your ERP(effective radiated power) by improving your antenna system before any attempt at a RF power boost.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> > anyone know of any modifications for the Cobra 19 LTD II Classic? I put a
> > swing kit in it and turned up the modulation, need a little more power out
> > of it
> > thanks alot

3393. Re: strange swr meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 01:15:35 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <XUi59.6517$Ke2.552572@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132422

Yes, it appears he has the antenna port hooked to the radio... and vice
versa.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Hillbilly3302" <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********> wrote in message
news:Dy959.126$Xu3.25929@news2...
> you have your coax's reversed..... change them and it will read
> right...
>
> drc
> "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:1d27af121cc780647cb755a01872e239@xganon.com...
> > I have a old Lcheapo swr meter that suddenly is reading swr in cal and
cal
> in swr position other than that no change in readings. What made my meter
do
> this all by itself to make it do this and how?
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > ---
> >
>
>

3394. Re: Cobra 19 LTD II Classic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 01:24:43 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <v1j59.7348$Ep6.528743@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132423

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:HRi59.6515$Ke2.552287@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I think your modulation boost is a good idea... but don't know if you
> understand that turning up your power a couple Watts is really not worth
the
> effort. What antenna are you using? You would be much better off
increasing
> your ERP(effective radiated power) by improving your antenna system
before
> any attempt at a RF power boost.
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey

Someday, Prof, we are going to have to have a bit of a discussion about AM. I can take my usual position that swing is bullshit, it is not possible to modulate beyond 100% by simple means and efforts to do so are stupeed and generally counter-productive as well as antisocial, and limiter cutting is insanity on a stick.

You apparently have other views which I would love to discuss.

3395. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 01:24:55 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <H1j59.6526$Ke2.552668@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132424

I don't know why you bother.
Those HR2510's weren't very good radios in my book and with their feeble
modulation, they will have a tough time competing on 11M...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0208091519.1ec71c18@posting.google.com...
>
> > Meanwhile,
> > I'll go pop a few more illegal eBay auctions of HR2510's.

3396. Re: More, More, More....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 01:26:09 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <R2j59.7350$Ep6.526860@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132425

AS you can tell, I didnt fair well is english grammar, but damn, it's good to be 100% American...God Bless

--

If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens

3397. Re: Cobra 19 LTD II Classic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 01:47:19 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Hmj59.6539$Ke2.555080@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132426

"Swing" is not the panacea of AM. However, it does have several things going for it. It allows for the transmission of the maximum intelligence (some CB operators not withstanding) to be conveyed from the AM signal. While I certainly agree that modulation levels over 100% are counterproductive, if the "swing thing" is done correctly, that should not be a problem. You see, that if the carrier rises and falls at the audio rate, the modulation will not exceed the limit at any given instant. It also has a benefit of not tying up a frequency with a strong carrier when no voice is present. This advantage can clearly be seen in SSB transmissions. This is definately not a sytem for "carrier throwers"...lol

In your defense, the swing does have a negative. This is evident on a very noisy channel where the noise is heard clearly between words. With a heavy carrier, it will have the tendancy to "blot" out that background channel hash.

My preference is to have both, or better yet, a variable carrier. This allows swing for excellent audio "punch" for quiet conditions and a high carrier for when the channel becomes noisy...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:v1j59.7348$Ep6.528743@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Someday, Prof, we are going to have to have a bit of a discussion about
> AM. I can take my usual position that swing is bullshit, it is not
> possible to modulate beyond 100% by simple means and efforts to do so are
> stupeed and generally counter-productive as well as antisocial, and
> limiter cutting is insanity on a stick.
>
> You apparently have other views which I would love to discuss.

3398. Re: Cobra 19 LTD II Classic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 01:50:51 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9266BFDC15884subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 132428

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in news:v1j59.7348
$Ep6.528743@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net:

>
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:HRi59.6515$Ke2.552287@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> I think your modulation boost is a good idea... but don't know if you
>> understand that turning up your power a couple Watts is really not
worth
> the
>> effort. What antenna are you using? You would be much better off
> increasing
>> your ERP(effective radiated power) by improving your antenna system
> before
>> any attempt at a RF power boost.
>>
>> --
>> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>> Brian Griffey
>
> Someday, Prof, we are going to have to have a bit of a discussion about
> AM. I can take my usual position that swing is bullshit, it is not
> possible to modulate beyond 100% by simple means and efforts to do so
are
> stupeed and generally counter-productive as well as antisocial, and
> limiter cutting is insanity on a stick.
>
> You apparently have other views which I would love to discuss.
>
>

You know, I for one think that a discussion in this area would be a good
thing. Too many people are hung up on this "swing-kit" thing and probably
don't understand the concept of modulation. Shoot, I could stand to learn
more myself. I believe that too many people assume that swing kits,
limiter cutting, etc are a good thing. A sincere and polite discussion of
the matter just might get somebody out there to reexamine what they once
thought to be true and correct. In fact, I'm sure it can be discussed
without bringing legality into it. Perhaps many feel that "cranking up
the modulation" is only illegal because it lets them "get out" further.
Explain how it has the reverse effect, and maybe they will see the light.
Who knows, they may take this new found knowledge and educate their
fellow CB'ers. This would be a good thing.

-Alan S.

3399. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 01:51:45 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810215145.04913.00002220@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 132427

>Speak for yourself, I did not start out on cb.

Sorry about that I forgot about your sisters barbie walkie talkies

3400. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 01:54:01 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208101754.125357a2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132429

Alan S. wrote:
>Gotcha. And I agree. But the item I was referring to (still there)
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1371141112

Ahh. Sorry. Didn't see that. Not familiar with that model. Maybe some of the keyclowns in the group can fill us in, they are authorities on makes and models normally used on CB. In any case, bidding is closed for this item. KB3DRF is the winner. Let's hope he puts it to legit use.

-A

3401. George Washington n freebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 02:01:03 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ulbhbbj9jr9701@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132431

General George Washington broke the law. He in effect said(with all the founding fathers) the law is not right and this land is our land. He rebelled against the lawfull government.
Freebanders break the law. Freebanders say the RF spectrum belong to the people, not to the FCC to sell off to the highest bidder to make the government rich.
Luckily for the United States Voobs ancestors where in Norway chasing sheep in 1776.
We hold these truths to be self evident. And we pledge our lives, our fortunes and our sacred linier amps!!!
The FCC is illigal and NO_WHERE in the contitution is the FCC allowed. Any area not specifically covered by the constitution is under the athority of state government. A healthy distrust of government is the hallmark of a free thinking individual. Slavish devotion to the FCC is the mark of drone.
Sincerely
Ken

3402. Re: Why are "Final" transistors called PLL's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 02:01:13 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020810220113.04913.00002222@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 132430

And if you think PLLs are final transistors then you are the one
who is clueless.

I never said they were JJ......Maybe you need to pull your head out of voobners
ass ans clear the shit from your eyes so you can read what i wrote:)

3403. Re: Just Wondering ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 02:05:05 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ulbhiofef39376@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132432

A keydown is a "persuit of happiness" and covered under the Declaration of Independance. It is the only AMERICAN rf compitition and is a national treasure. As long as people enjoy this persuit the governments job is to protect it.

3404. Re: Cobra 19 LTD II Classic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 02:09:57 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208101809.3e94a442@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132433

Alan S. wrote:
>You know, I for one think that a discussion in this area
>would be a good thing. Too many people are hung up
>on this "swing-kit" thing and probably don't understand
>the concept of modulation. Shoot, I could stand to learn
>more myself. I believe that too many people assume
>that swing kits, limiter cutting, etc are a good thing.
>A sincere and polite discussion of the matter just
>might get somebody out there to reexamine what they
>once thought to be true and correct. In fact, I'm sure it
>can be discussed without bringing legality into it. Perhaps
>many feel that "cranking up the modulation" is only illegal
>because it lets them "get out" further.

Actually the legality issue is about type-acceptance. CB'ers are not
permitted to modify their radios, period. You can understand the
reasons for this. Clipping the limiter may just make you sound
obnoxious, but clipping the harmonic traps will allow the transmitter
to radiate on other bands and interfere with other services. Since CB
is license-free it must be asumed the user has no techical knowledge,
therefore the FCC's solution was to ban users from playing inside
their radios altogether.

>Explain how it has the reverse effect, and maybe they will
>see the light. Who knows, they may take this new found
>knowledge and educate their fellow CB'ers. This would
>be a good thing.
>
>-Alan S.

I agree. An honest discussion about swing kits and limiter cutting
would be welcome.

-A

3405. Re: George Washington n freebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 02:14:39 UTC
From: jim and steph <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3D55C88F.63E931E9@optonline.net>
Source record: 132435

troll with a broken spell checker.....

Kenneth wrote:

> General George Washington broke the law. He in effect said(with all the
> founding fathers) the law is not right and this land is our land. He
> rebelled against the lawfull government.
> Freebanders break the law. Freebanders say the RF spectrum belong to the
> people, not to the FCC to sell off to the highest bidder to make the
> government rich.
> Luckily for the United States Voobs ancestors where in Norway chasing
> sheep in 1776.
> We hold these truths to be self evident. And we pledge our lives, our
> fortunes and our sacred linier amps!!!
> The FCC is illigal and NO_WHERE in the contitution is the FCC allowed. Any
> area not specifically covered by the constitution is under the athority of
> state government. A healthy distrust of government is the hallmark of a free
> thinking individual. Slavish devotion to the FCC is the mark of drone.
> Sincerely
> Ken

3406. Re: Does illegally high powered CBs hurt anyone? It seems they do.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 02:14:44 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ulbi4ic8r72v4a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132434

NASA
Need Another Set of Astronauts

Last thing McAuliffe told her husband
Honey you watch the kids and I'll feed the fish.

one two three WWAAAAAHHHHHH!!!

Ken

3407. Re: FCC to raid truck stops!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 02:21:47 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <AYj59.73692$Z7.5752729@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132436

Richard McCollum <rmcollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:Emc59.6053$Ke2.512705@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

>
> Seems that a location has to be formally warned, kind of the 'first bite
> is free' theory, before the big bux raids can begin. These are recorded
> in the FCCs Daily Digest and not generally in the Enforcement Bureau's
> stuff which is what gets advertised.
>
Lotsa snipping>>
>
> Dick N�BK

Dick,

Flying J and Pilot has alread had their warning shot fired across their
bows. Flying J, at least, doesn't seem to think thar's bullets in the
cannon. Some of their locations are still selling the illegal
radios--like the one at Exit 70, I-20 in Columbia, SC. Yes, I dropped the
dime along with a few
others, and if the illegal truckers don't like it, they can KMA!

It's not what the illegals do on the regular 40 that's gonna cause the
manure to hit the fan; it's the ones that are roaming out of band that's
finally gonna start the war. We'll see who's laughin' pretty
soon.

Jerry
>
>

3408. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 02:34:25 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <r8k59.73780$Z7.5767300@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132437

Alan S. <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9266A1CB4B1D2subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> "Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in
> news:ulb2442gg3dvab@corp.supernews.com:
>
> > You missed one:
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1371141112
> >
> > The least you can do is be efficient about it.
> >
> > "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D554E8E.A40D8861@mailcity.net...
> >>
> >>
> >> Me wrote:
> >> >
> >> > "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> >> > > That is just fine Frank. Now go jack off you idiot. How long are
> >> > > you going to hang around here trying to stir up stuff and
> >> > > pestering people about what you perceive to be illegal radio
> >> > > operations? Man get some help if you can and get a freekin life
> >> > > for cryin out loud.
> >> >
> >> > LOL selling illegal radios is not a percieved thing it is reality,
> >> > I guess you call people sticking up for the right thing pestering
> >> > go sit in the corner with your Dunce Cap on for 1 hour.
> >>
> >> And here is another one going to get smacked.
> >>
> >> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1372639956
> >
> >
>
> I'm not really a legal expert in these sorts of things (or anything, if I
> was I'd be a rich lawyer <g>) but is there anything wrong with selling a
> purpose-built 10 meter amplifier? The seller makes no reference to CB,
> purchased the item from a ham, and seems to be marketing it to other
> amateurs. I do know that many CBers use Palomar amplifiers on CB,
> however. I'm not a ham, so I'm not real clear on regulations. I did find
> this, though (Title 47, Chapter I, Subpart D, Sec. 97.315 Certification
> of external RF power amplifiers)
>
> http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/get-cfr.cgi?TITLE=47&PART=97

> &SECTION=315&YEAR=2001&TYPE=TEXT
>
> After looking over it, it seems that RF amplifiers that operate below
> 144MHz *not* constructed by an amateur need to have a grant of
> certification from the FCC. This would seem to include this amp. However,
> there's a little clause in there that reads:
>
> *****
> (b) Any external RF power amplifier or external RF power amplifier
> kit (see Sec. 2.815 of the FCC Rules), manufactured, imported or
> modified for use in a station or attached at any station must be
> certificated for use in the amateur service in accordance with subpart J
> of part 2 of the FCC Rules. This requirement does not apply if one or
> more of the following conditions are met:
>
> ...(5) The amplifier is purchased in used condition by an equipment
> dealer from an amateur operator and the amplifier is further sold to
> another amateur operator for use at that operator's station.
> *****
>
> It would seem that the amplifier sale in question is legit...I think.
> That exception states "...purchased in used condition by an equipment
> dealer". Can the seller in this auction be considered an equipment
> dealer? Just what constitutes an equipment dealer, anyway? I dunno. So
> now I'm confused. Is this sale legit or not? My gut tells me that if a
> licensed amateur buys it, he's alright. I could be wrong.
>
> Thoughts?
> -Alan S.

Alan,

In plain dress, here's the real scoop. No amplifier is to be built, sold,
or imported that operates between 24-35 MHZ out of the box. What that
means, as it applies to this case, is that no one
can manufacture purpose-built, single band, 10 meter amplifiers, or sell
them in the USA. Amplifiers built by registered manufacturers must be
certified by FCC for Amateur, or commercial
use, and *may* be modified by the end user for 10 meters upon proof of
proper license. Hams
may build amps for their own use and may sell no more than one (or is it 2?)
amp per year. Therefore those Palomars, Texas Stars, so-called
'modulators', etc are completely illegal. Again,
the hams have the illegal 11 meter people to thank for this little
inconvenience.

73

Jerry

3409. Re: Cobra 19 LTD II Classic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 03:20:34 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6Kk59.6673$Ke2.564223@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132438

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9266BFDC15884subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in
news:v1j59.7348
> $Ep6.528743@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net:
>
> >
> > "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:HRi59.6515$Ke2.552287@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >> I think your modulation boost is a good idea... but don't know if you
> >> understand that turning up your power a couple Watts is really not
> worth
> > the
> >> effort. What antenna are you using? You would be much better off
> > increasing
> >> your ERP(effective radiated power) by improving your antenna system
> > before
> >> any attempt at a RF power boost.
> >>
> >> --
> >> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >> Brian Griffey
> >
> > Someday, Prof, we are going to have to have a bit of a discussion
about
> > AM. I can take my usual position that swing is bullshit, it is not
> > possible to modulate beyond 100% by simple means and efforts to do so
> are
> > stupeed and generally counter-productive as well as antisocial, and
> > limiter cutting is insanity on a stick.
> >
> > You apparently have other views which I would love to discuss.
> >
> >
>
> You know, I for one think that a discussion in this area would be a good
> thing. Too many people are hung up on this "swing-kit" thing and
probably
> don't understand the concept of modulation. Shoot, I could stand to
learn
> more myself. I believe that too many people assume that swing kits,
> limiter cutting, etc are a good thing. A sincere and polite discussion
of
> the matter just might get somebody out there to reexamine what they once

> thought to be true and correct. In fact, I'm sure it can be discussed
> without bringing legality into it. Perhaps many feel that "cranking up
> the modulation" is only illegal because it lets them "get out" further.
> Explain how it has the reverse effect, and maybe they will see the
light.
> Who knows, they may take this new found knowledge and educate their
> fellow CB'ers. This would be a good thing.
>
> -Alan S.

In a post above Prof makes some points that may well have some validity. My experience with it is from broadcast where 'controlled carrier' had a following about the time I was born and a short life during the death of AM on the ham bands. This is not a new idea by any means. But CB is a mobile service and neither broadcast nor HF amateur was in this era and really is not now.

I will contemplate at length but at the moment I am more interested in catching some snores.

Dick NBK

3410. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 03:42:41 UTC
From: yougottabekidding@nothardly.com
Message-ID: <3d55dd15.86170556@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 132439

On Sun, 11 Aug 2002 00:03:55 GMT, "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:

>
>"Yeahrightman" <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com> wrote in message
>news:3d558002.62343735@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com...
>
>> well said. Guy has no life at all. look at his sorry ass pic on his
>> website... what a waste of sperm.
>
>
>Yeah you would rather drink his sperm huh Chuckie.
>
>
>

I dunno who "chuckie" is... but, nah... that's your job.

3411. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 04:11:43 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D55E3FF.46882A6E@qnet.com>
Source record: 132442

Hello Peter:

The amp uses 4 each of the Eimac 4CX350A tubes, but uses only one bias voltage control, and a resistor network to have equal voltages at the grids.

I am concerned that the tubes may need a slightly different bias voltage as compared to each other, to get "equal" no signal plate current.

And a single source of bias voltage may not allow each tube to be dead nuts on the same no signal plate current. I have searched the stores and Surplus stores from Pasadena to Sun Valley, today, and found a box of 5 watt Pots (I bought the hole box) that will allow each 4CX350A tube to have its own individually bias voltage adjusted for equal no signal plate currents.

Tomorrow I'll mount the 4 each pots and adjust each tube for equal no signal plate current. And see.

Comments, suggestions..........

Jay in the Mojave

Peter Vï¿½lpel wrote:
>
> Jay, I would adjust for 60 to 100mA anode-resting current, depending
> on your anode and screen-voltage.
> About 200W at the anode is OK for AB1
>
> Peter
>
> Jay in the Mojave wrote:
> >
> > Hello All:
> >
> > I have a Linear Amplifier that was a home brew unit I bought from the
> > TRW Swap Meet. It needed a few small parts and such. The hole Pi network
> > and switching relay, has taken quit some time to run down. But has a way
> > over kill power supply and a regulated screen supply, and a high SWR
> > warning light, with a Duel Slug Bird Wattmeter Cavity, and the Bird Watt
> > Meter right on the face of the amp, well worth a the effort it was to
> > lug home. This has taken about a year to complete, mostly finding the
> > parts that will fit.
> >
> > I have adjusted the bias voltage to -80VDC, and the output signal looks
> > good and is linear, sounds great.

> >
> > But I need the actual Eimac 4CX350A class AB1 bias voltage.
> >
> > Thanks.
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave

3412. Re: Does illegally high powered CBs hurt anyone? It seems they do.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 04:13:47 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@gbronline.com>
Message-ID: <3D55C58A.E45E831@gbronline.com>
Source record: 132440

Kenneth wrote:
>
> NASA
> Need Another Set of Astronauts
>
> Last thing McAuliffe told her husband
> Honey you watch the kids and I'll feed the fish.
>
> one two three WWAAAAAHHHHHH!!!
>
> Ken

How does it feel to be a first class SOB?

3413. Re: Does illegally high powered CBs hurt anyone? It seems they do.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 04:18:46 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <GAl59.74315$Yd.3310885@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 132441

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ulbi4ic8r72v4a@corp.supernews.com...
> NASA
> Need Another Set of Astronauts
>
> Last thing McAuliffe told her husband
> Honey you watch the kids and I'll feed the fish.
>
> one two three WWAAAAAHHHHHH!!!
>
> Ken
>
>

You are a moron. Hopefully, someone will make a joke at one of your loved ones funeral. Then, and just maybe then, you'll see what a worthless jackass you really are.

3414. how are hand held cbs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 04:42:23 UTC
From: Certuche_13@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11006-3D55EB2F-217@storefull-2216.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132444

how are hanld held cbs

3415. New Uniden UH013 UHF CB and Scanner in One- REVIEWED ON Newcastle Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 05:10:21 UTC
From: djmickyp@d2.net.au
Message-ID: <3d55f1de$1@news.comindico.com.au>
Source record: 132443
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Hello fellow hobbyists!!

For a real review on the new all in one UHF CB and Scanner, head over to Newcastle Scan
http://newcastlescan.tripod.com There's other info and freqs NO pop ups just a real
EZINE style website...

We must thank Peter From Newcastle Pro Sound
(http://www.newcastleprosound.com.au and I guess new web site coming soon)
for opportunity to review this little beauty
and uniden for expanding their vision (about time for an 2 in 1).

If you want to sell an old radio or scanner send an email and we put it on
our classifieds page

any amateurs who have written a basic introduction to amateur radio we
really need it for our site, of course including freqs with Newcastle in
mind:) (well we got the HMAS Nottingham!!!)

Cheers and best wishes
Mike and Garry

3416. Re: VHF public safety / business radios for sale or trade for 10/11 meter equipment

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 05:20:41 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ulbsvrhp45v0cf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132445

Gawd ... I'll sleep better! ;)

You didn't offend me. I don't check the properties of the messages as many of them have been 'anonomized'. (how the heck ya spell that?)

Hope you sleep better!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Jim Wardrip" <w8ems@juno.com> wrote in message news:59af69d5.0208100508.2c94d0e9@posting.google.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message news:<ul8vqhje67q006@corp.supernews.com>...
> > There is no such thing as a legal dual use 10/11 meter rig. What gets scary
> > is the OTC sales of marine/vhf/uhf radios. The FCC has checked their
> > records of licenses against sales of such radios and admits that most are
> > not being licensed. There are reasons for the licensing as we all shall
> > find out in the future. Kinda like lines in the roadways and rules (like
> > you drive on the right side of the street, not the left) which, if followed,
> > allow us all to safely share the resources. Besides, I rather suspect you
> > know that anyways. Care to share your callsign with us?
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
>
> Actually, my call sign, W8EMS, is clealy posted as it's also part of
> my e-mail address. I buy and sell a lot of used VHF/UHF commerical
> equipment, mostly to volunteer firemen and EMS workers. These people
> are authorized to operate the radios through there service's station
> license. I would assume that anyone interested in the radios I have
> for sale or trade would have an actual legal use for the equipment.
>
> While you are correct that there is no such thing as a "legal dual use
> 10/11 meter rig", my personal interest, at this time, is operating in
> the 10 meter band, which the last time I checked, is legal for a
> person holding a general class amateur license.
>

> In reality, we both know that the average person reading these posts
> in a CB news group, owning such equipment, would most likely consider
> this type of radio a 10/11 meter rig. Please forgive me if my use of
> this term has somehow offended you, but I wanted to convey the type of
> equipment I am interested in, which is not an "export" CB, but radios
> that were sold as 10 meter ham rigs that may have been converted to
> cover CB. I would suppose that there are a lot more of these being
> used on the citizens band than on the 10 meter band.
>
> I hope this has clarified my post for you and you will sleep better
> tonight knowing that I have a legal use for this equipment.
>
> 73 de Jim Wardrip, W8EMS/9
> Jeffersonville, IN.

3417. Re: Why are "Final" transistors called PLL's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 05:24:20 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ulbt6mmrmsdo37@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132446

They aren't. PLLs are phase locked loops. Pills has, apparently, become the shorthand for 'transistor'. 'Valve' is a British term for vacuum tube. That said, some wannabe tech will put all kinds of technobabble in his advertising so he can charge you about $75.00 per hour for labour that isn't worth 75 cents.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Joe" <piecheech@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20020810052829.03973.00003635@mb-cd.aol.com...
> This might sound like an ignorant question but aren't PLL's stand for
"Phase
> Lock Loops" ? These are integrated circuits or microprocessors. When did
"Final
> Transistors" became Phase Lock Loops? Is this a misnomer or some "trade
name".
> To me it sounds like some wanna-be tech started playing around with radios
and
> started calling things whatever name out of ignorance. I notice the words
pills
> or PLLs always being used loosely. Like the more pills, the more better or
more
> powerful. When I heard of all the plls, in my mind I'm thinking of Phase
Locked
> loop chips and at the amount being used, you would need a case for your
radio
> the size of a briefcase. To me a Final transistor, a valve, NPN or PNP
will
> amplify power and a Pll don't amplify power. I guess one of us is confused
or
> maybe some of us.

3418. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 05:45:49 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ulbujjt11r4kf8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132498

I still like mine better.... :)

PH

3419. Re: KV4FZ set to return to 20 Meters!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 05:47:32 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208102147.75c88499@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com (Brother Lloyd) wrote in message
news:<27e38977.0208091225.2b368fc5@posting.google.com>...
> "moanfaggotsmoan" <iluvblbheiscute@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<eVC49.5256$M4.408404@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> >> > THIS IS WONDERFULL NEWS BROTHER LLOYD!!
> > >
> > > DO YOU KNOW WHY IT IS WONDERFULL NEWS ?
> > >
> > > BECAUSE EVER SINCE HERBIE-BABY DISSAPEARED
> > > FROM 14.313 HAM RADIO HAS BECOME COMPLETELY
> > > BORING. THERE IS NO DOUBT WHATSOEVER IN MY
> > > MIND THAT WITHIN 3 HOURS OF KV4FZ APPEARING
> > > ONCE AGAIN ON 14.313, YOU WILL SEE A RESTART
> > > OF THE "10-YEARS BOOER-WARS" ON THE RADIO
> > > THAT HASN'T BEEN SEEN IN MANY MANY YEARS.
> > >
> > > AT THAT MOMENT, HAM RADIO WILL HAVE "IT'S
> > > ANSWER" TO COMEDY CENTRAL CABLE TV NETWORKS
> > > AND IT WILL BE TEN-TIMES BETTER THAN THE FIRST
> > > GO AROUND - MABEY EVEN BETTER THAN THE
> > > INFAMOUS "MIKE AND JUDY SHOW".
> > >
> > > SHORTWAVE LISTENERS REJOICE!!!!!
> > >
> > > HAM RADIO IS ABOUT TO GET MUCH MORE AMUSING
> > > IN THE NEAR FUTURE - GRIN-GRIN-GRIN-GRIN
> > >
> > > WHO NEEDS BROTHER STAIR AND HAL TURNIP WHEN
> > > WE HAVE **LIVE INTERACTIVE** RADIO ENTERTAINMENT
> > > BACK ON THE **MOTHER OF ALL FREQUENCIES**
> > > THE ONE AND ONLY *** 14.313 ***
> > >
> > > WHOOOOOPPPIIEEEEEEEEEEE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Yes, it will be a GREAT DAY! We will have the Roll Calls back too. We can
> have police reports read over the air. We can have all sorts of wild music,
> cat calls and people playing .wav files all day and night!
>
> 3950 will become "The most exciting frequency in Ham Radio" once again too.
> The "Netters" and the "Jammers" will again bring life to boring Saturday
> nights on the radio.
>
> And Mr. Hollingsworth will be powerless to stop it. You can take that to

> the bank!

Gad, sounds like cb radio...And I have to get a license for that???
Geez!!!! But really , isn't it ok for people to let off steam once in
a while instead of being old sticks in the mud? You have to admit. It
is great third rate entertainment, and all you have to do is roll over
and turn it on, and turn it off....Good day.

3420. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 05:52:55 UTC
From: Desert Eagle <You.bet@no.way>
Message-ID: <XYm59.60236$7n5.9848@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 132499

Yes, you could post your call sign there...why don't you do that "Aaron"?

On the other hand, I see no reason at all to post a call sign in such a public forum. Particularly if you are using a real name and e-mail addy. By posting with your call (oh yes, but you don't have one of those, do you "Aaron"?) a person can have, in just a couple of minutes time, your real name, a street address, an e-mail address, and if you are in the book a phone number.

Since you have no callsign to post "Aaron", why don't you just tag all that stuff to the bottom of each of your NG post instead?

By the way, I have never posted to this group under any name before, but I have been lurking, reading the mail for a couple of months. Your attitude sucks "Aaron H. Voobner". I bet you drive a Trans Am or something like that, cause you sure act like you are compensating for something in here.

Before you ask/accuse, I run no illegal CB gear, nor do I own any. All of my gear is legal/original and it is ALL tube.

Desert Eagle

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:c9ceae5b.0208101205.22f72f50@posting.google.com...
> Jay this is a CB newsgroup, using an amplifier on CB is not only
> illegal it's kind of antisocial, doncha think? BTW, if you are a ham
> looking for advice on ham gear you'd get much better advice in a ham
> newsgroup. You could even post your callsign there. -A

3421. Re: Auction Will Be Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 05:56:36 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208102156.5b4cfd8a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132500

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:<ul944sipft2vfd@corp.supernews.com>...
> Kinda like the time I almost had this kid suckered into a bet. He had a big
> Kawasaki and enjoyed blowing everyone's doors off when we got out of work.
> He was bragging about that stupid bike in the cafeteria one day, saying he
> could blow anyone's doors off. I offered him a bet for $200.00 that he
> couldn't beat a good friend of mine. He started with his smoke and asked
> 'do you have any idea what I can do a quarter mile in?' .... Like an idiot,
> I answered 'probably 11 or 12 seconds'. Dum de dumb dumb .... He started
> 'yeah, I can do .. a ..... quarter .... ummmm ... what'll that car do?'.
> Stupid move on my part. I blew it, so I told him. The guy had a Ford model
> A. I didn't know what it would do in the quarter mile, but it would do 1/8
> mile in 6 seconds in the mid 120s. It had a modified 454 pushing 550
> horsepower measured at the rear wheels (after all transmission and
> differential losses). Needless to say, there was no bet.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20020809231146.106$U8@newsreader.com...
>
> > Think you should of posted this warning? Unless you don't want them to get
> > caught.
> >
> > --
> > Go # 40
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Usenet Newsgroup Service

Oh come on Jim. What does that 1/8 mile stuff have to do with
anything. The fact is the nip bike would take him off the line and
take him in the straight away in the quarter mile. You can't really
judge anything with 1/8 mile stuff. If that car hits 120 in 1/8 mile,
then it is pretty close to topping out and that bike can, with a good
rider, go over 150 mph. Give me a break already. You just did a
number on that guy's head, and if he knew what he was doing , he
should have taken that bet and your money, piece of cake....Isn't it
time for your milk and cookies?

3422. Re: BLJ-500A Amp Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 05:59:32 UTC
From: Desert Eagle <You.bet@no.way>
Message-ID: <83n59.60272$7n5.9864@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 132501

How hard are those 23JS6's to come by these days?

Desert Eagle

"Chaotic Evil" <webdevil@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:jy759.8252$M4.663762@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I have an old DJ Electronics BLJ-500A amp that uses nine 23JS6's. Does
> anyone have a schematic for this joker.
>
> Thanks
>
>
>

3423. Re: how are hand held cbs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 06:02:52 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <g6n59.6789$Ke2.578941@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132502

i prefer the ones with long antennas....better range

--
If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens

3424. Re: New Uniden UH013 UHF CB and Scanner in One- REVIEWED ON Newcastle Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 06:06:31 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ulbvqe8nr7chb8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

That's a pretty cool unit... Now all they have to do is come up with
something like that for the US... UHF CB won't do much good here...

PH

<djmickyp@d2.net.au> wrote in message
news:3d55f1de$1@news.comindico.com.au...
> Hello fellow hobbyists!!
>
> For a real review on the new all in one UHF CB and Scanner, head over to
> Newcastle Scan
> http://newcastlescan.tripod.com There's other info and freqs NO pop ups
just
> a real
> EZINE style website...
>
> We must thank Peter From Newcastle Pro Sound
> (http://www.newcastleprosound.com.au and I guess new web site coming soon)
> for opportunity to review this little beauty
> and uniden for expanding their vision (about time for an 2 in 1).
>
> If you want to sell an old radio or scanner send an email and we put it on
> our classifieds page
>
> any amateurs who have written a basic introduction to amateur radio we
> really need it for our site, of course including freqs with Newcastle in
> mind:) (well we got the HMAS Nottingham!!!)
>
>
> Cheers and best wishes
> Mike and Garry
>
>

3425. Re: New Uniden UH013 UHF CB and Scanner in One- REVIEWED ON Newcastle Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 07:31:43 UTC
From: "D. K. Bartlett" <dkbart@idx.com.au>
Message-ID: <3d561876$1@news1.idx.com.au>
Source record: 132504
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

try HMS Nottingham it's a pommy ship not aussie.
<djmickyp@d2.net.au> wrote in message
news:3d55f1de$1@news.comindico.com.au...
> Hello fellow hobbyists!!
>
> For a real review on the new all in one UHF CB and Scanner, head over to
> Newcastle Scan
> http://newcastlescan.tripod.com There's other info and freqs NO pop ups
just
> a real
> EZINE style website...
>
> We must thank Peter From Newcastle Pro Sound
> (http://www.newcastleprosound.com.au and I guess new web site coming soon)
> for opportunity to review this little beauty
> and uniden for expanding their vision (about time for an 2 in 1).
>
> If you want to sell an old radio or scanner send an email and we put it on
> our classifieds page
>
> any amateurs who have written a basic introduction to amateur radio we
> really need it for our site, of course including freqs with Newcastle in
> mind:) (well we got the HMAS Nottingham!!!)
>
>
> Cheers and best wishes
> Mike and Garry
>
>

3426. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 08:15:24 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208110015.7f0d8587@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132505

"Desert Eagle" You.bet@no.way wrote:
>Yes, you could post your call sign there...why don't you do that
>"Aaron"?
>
>On the other hand, I see no reason at all to post a call sign in
>such a public forum. Particularly if you are using a real name
>and e-mail addy. By posting with your call (oh yes, but you
>don't have one of those, do you "Aaron"?) a person can
>have, in just a couple of minutes time, your real
>name, a street address, an e-mail address, and if
>you are in the book a phone number.
>
>Since you have no callsign to post "Aaron", why don't you
>just tag all that stuff to the bottom of each of your NG post
>instead?
>
>By the way, I have never posted to this group under any
>name before, but I have been lurking, reading the mail
>for a couple of months.
>Your attitude sucks "Aaron H. Voobner". I bet you
>drive a Trans Am or something like
>that, cause you sure act like you are compensating
>for something in here.
>
>Before you ask/accuse, I run no illegal CB gear,
>nor do I own any. All of
>my gear is legal/original
>and it is ALL tube.
>
>Desert Eagle

Chill out, Eagle. Check the archives. Do a search on "jay" and
"aaron". You will see that Jay has been posting love letters to me for
a long time now. The reason I threw in that crack about his callsign
is because typically Jay will offer the excuse that he's asking CB'ers
for advice on amplifiers because he's a ham. But whenever I ask him
for his call sign, he gets real quiet and stops answering. Oh well.

-Aaron-

PS: What's so bad about my attitude?

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208101205.22f72f50@posting.google.com...
> Jay this is a CB newsgroup, using an amplifier on CB is not only

> illegal it's kind of antisocial, doncha think? BTW, if you are a ham
> looking for advice on ham gear you'd get much better advice in a ham
> newsgroup. You could even post your callsign there. -A

3427. Re: KV4FZ set to return to 20 Meters!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 08:20:51 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208110020.207a837e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132506

brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com (Brother Lloyd) wrote in message
news:<27e38977.0208091225.2b368fc5@posting.google.com>...
> "moanfaggotsmoan" <iluvblbheiscute@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<eVC49.5256$M4.408404@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> >> > THIS IS WONDERFULL NEWS BROTHER LLOYD!!
> > >
> > > DO YOU KNOW WHY IT IS WONDERFULL NEWS ?
> > >
> > > BECAUSE EVER SINCE HERBIE-BABY DISSAPEARED
> > > FROM 14.313 HAM RADIO HAS BECOME COMPLETELY
> > > BORING. THERE IS NO DOUBT WHATSOEVER IN MY
> > > MIND THAT WITHIN 3 HOURS OF KV4FZ APPEARING
> > > ONCE AGAIN ON 14.313, YOU WILL SEE A RESTART
> > > OF THE "10-YEARS BOOER-WARS" ON THE RADIO
> > > THAT HASN'T BEEN SEEN IN MANY MANY YEARS.
> > >
> > > AT THAT MOMENT, HAM RADIO WILL HAVE "IT'S
> > > ANSWER" TO COMEDY CENTRAL CABLE TV NETWORKS
> > > AND IT WILL BE TEN-TIMES BETTER THAN THE FIRST
> > > GO AROUND - MABEY EVEN BETTER THAN THE
> > > INFAMOUS "MIKE AND JUDY SHOW".
> > >
> > > SHORTWAVE LISTENERS REJOICE!!!!!
> > >
> > > HAM RADIO IS ABOUT TO GET MUCH MORE AMUSING
> > > IN THE NEAR FUTURE - GRIN-GRIN-GRIN-GRIN
> > >
> > > WHO NEEDS BROTHER STAIR AND HAL TURNIP WHEN
> > > WE HAVE **LIVE INTERACTIVE** RADIO ENTERTAINMENT
> > > BACK ON THE **MOTHER OF ALL FREQUENCIES**
> > > THE ONE AND ONLY *** 14.313 ***
> > >
> > > WHOOOOOPPPIIEEEEEEEEEE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Yes, it will be a GREAT DAY! We will have the Roll Calls back too. We can
> have police reports read over the air. We can have all sorts of wild music,
> cat calls and people playing .wav files all day and night!
>
> 3950 will become "The most exciting frequency in Ham Radio" once again too.
> The "Netters" and the "Jammers" will again bring life to boring Saturday
> nights on the radio.
>
> And Mr. Hollingsworth will be powerless to stop it. You can take that to
> the bank!

I don't hear anything at all on those frequencies anymore except
normal conversation since a bunch of hams got their licenses revoked
by the FCC.

-Aaron-

3428. Re: More, More, More....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 08:22:28 UTC
From: "Peter R." <bfh@leavemealone.net>
Message-ID: <pl7clugeucf0kfsoat9822dh8vett54qi2@4ax.com>
Source record: 132507

On Sat, 10 Aug 2002 19:50:59 -0000, "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in
>news:3D5566F0.852646D5@yaSPAMhoo.com:
>
>> Here is a spelling corrected version:
>>
>> George Kinzer wrote:
>>>
>>> I've just completed a very nice, easy to follow CD with plans to
>>> build 11 meter (CB) antennas, with MORE info on tune-ups,
>>> conversions, mic wiring, you name it...this thing is loaded with
>>> useful info on doing your own repairs and modifications. Explains how
>>> to's, and .pdf file on home-kits and MORE...pictures are high
>>> quality, formulas, and do-nots that will get you up and sounding
>>> great...want to install your own talk-back, echo board, want to make
>>> your own swing-kit? well theres More, More, More (title of my CD)
>>> anyone interested, email me. Many hours and weekends compiling all
>>> this info in an easy to read format...Ive decided NOT to copyright
>>> this, as friends and 'Masters' have help write this/ you'll be amazed
>>> at how much you'll learn..
>>> .'Golden Screwdriver' is a drop in the
>>> bucket.... email me at horseflygk@att.net I'm 10-7, later
>>>
>>> --
>>> If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what
>>> happens
>
>Yes, but what about the grammar corrected version? "Explains how to's, and
>.pdf file on home-kits and MORE...pictures are high quality, formulas, and
>do-nots that will get you up and sounding great". Huh? Perhaps we can word
>it as:
>
>"This CD contains HOWTO styled instructions, as well as pdf formatted
>documents of kits you can build at home. These documents are complimented
>by clear, high resolution pictures. With our included formulas and list of
>"do-nots", you will gain the knowledge required to get that radio on the
>air and sounding great."
>
>Damn, I should've been in marketing.
>
>-Alan S.

That's formulae, chaps.

Peter 'Land' R.

3429. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 08:27:08 UTC
From: Peter Völpel <qro1750@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <3D561FDC.67ED57DB@compuserve.de>
Source record: 132508

Hi Jay,

Usually it should be no problem to have the bias of 4 parallel tubes
the same value.
If the tubes differ in idle current, you adjust them on the same no
signal current with 4 different pots, but dynamically driven, they
differ anyway.
I once built an amp with 3 tubes parallel and that did very very nice,
found little higher EHT better for improved linearity (2500V)

Good luck with your modification.
You were lucky to find 5W pots already...

Peter

Jay in the Mojave wrote:
>
> Hello Peter:
>
> The amp uses 4 each of the Eimac 4CX350A tubes, but uses only one bias
> voltage control, and a resistor network to have equal voltages at the
> grids.
>
> I am concerned that the tubes may need a slightly different bias voltage
> as compared to each other, to get "equal" no signal plate current.
>
> And a single source of bias voltage may not allow each tube to be dead
> nuts on the same no signal plate current. I have searched the stores and
> Surplus stores from Pasadena to Sun Valley, today, and found a box of 5
> watt Pots (I bought the hole box) that will allow each 4CX350A tube to
> have its own individually bias voltage adjusted for equal no signal
> plate currents.
>
> Tomorrow I'll mount the 4 each pots and adjust each tube for equal no
> signal plate current. And see.
>
> Comments, suggestions...........
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
> Peter Vï¿½lpel wrote:
> >
> > Jay, I would adjust for 60 to 100mA anode-resting current, depending
> > on your anode and screen-voltage.
> > About 200W at the anode is OK for AB1

> >
> > Peter
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave wrote:
> > >
> > > Hello All:
> > >
> > > I have a Linear Amplifier that was a home brew unit I bought from the
> > > TRW Swap Meet. It needed a few small parts and such. The hole Pi network
> > > and switching relay, has taken quit some time to run down. But has a way
> > > over kill power supply and a regulated screen supply, and a high SWR
> > > warning light, with a Duel Slug Bird Wattmeter Cavity, and the Bird Watt
> > > Meter right on the face of the amp, well worth a the effort it was to
> > > lug home. This has taken about a year to complete, mostly finding the
> > > parts that will fit.
> > >
> > > I have adjusted the bias voltage to -80VDC, and the output signal looks
> > > good and is linear, sounds great.
> > >
> > > But I need the actual Eimac 4CX350A class AB1 bias voltage.
> > >
> > > Thanks.
> > >
> > > Jay in the Mojave

3430. Re: AMPLIFIER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 08:41:03 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208110041.3c40e56a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132509

"nukem" <nukem@gotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<Cxh59.237989$XH.5921779@twister.tampabay.rr.com>...
> Check out this amp.
>
>
> View Here:
>
> http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/68659137?aucview=user

That's odd. It says it's a "ham radio amplifier" but it has only two
modes, AM and SSB. And it says it covers 80-10 meters but there's no
band switching at all.

-Aaron-

3431. Re: BLJ-500A Amp Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 08:43:56 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208110043.3c7ca5de@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132510

"Chaotic Evil" <webdevil@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<jy759.8252$M4.663762@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> I have an old DJ Electronics BLJ-500A amp that uses nine
>23JS6's. Does anyone have a schematic for this joker.
>
> Thanks

"Chaotic Evil"? That's doesn't sound very friendly.

-A

3432. Re: CB Expanded channel guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 08:46:26 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208110046.2beade89@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132511

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<B4759.6463$Ep6.455393@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>..
> Lou,
> I give you credit... anyone who would/could put up with the majority of the
> CBers mentality for so many years deserves it.

Brian it doesn't sound like you to be dissing your customers that way.
How do you expect to ever sell your illegal amps if you insult people?

-A

3433. Re: New Uniden UH013 UHF CB and Scanner in One- REVIEWED ON Newcastle Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 09:51:40 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Msq59.7823$Ep6.569243@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Not to mention that being in the 476-477 MHz range, the local operators of TV Channels 14&15 in the smaller areas where it is not used for P/S biz use as the 'S' Band will scream and holler and wave their arms and that is not a pretty sight.

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message news:ulbvqe8nr7chb8@corp.supernews.com...
> That's a pretty cool unit... Now all they have to do is come up with
> something like that for the US... UHF CB won't do much good here...
>
> PH
>
>
> <djmickyp@d2.net.au> wrote in message
> news:3d55f1de$1@news.comindico.com.au...
> > Hello fellow hobbyists!!
> >
> > For a real review on the new all in one UHF CB and Scanner, head over to
> > Newcastle Scan
> > http://newcastlescan.tripod.com There's other info and freqs NO pop ups
> just
> > a real
> > EZINE style website...
> >
> > We must thank Peter From Newcastle Pro Sound
> > (http://www.newcastleprosound.com.au and I guess new web site coming soon)
> > for opportunity to review this little beauty
> > and uniden for expanding their vision (about time for an 2 in 1).
> >
> > If you want to sell an old radio or scanner send an email and we put it on
> > our classifieds page
> >
> > any amateurs who have written a basic introduction to amateur radio we
> > really need it for our site, of course including freqs with Newcastle in
> > mind:) (well we got the HMAS Nottingham!!!)
> >
> >

> > Cheers and best wishes
> > Mike and Garry
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

3434. Re: More, More, More....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 09:58:25 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5zq59.7825$Ep6.568957@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132513

"Peter R." <bfh@leavemealone.net> wrote in message
news:pl7clugeucf0kfsoat9822dh8vett54qi2@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 10 Aug 2002 19:50:59 -0000, "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in
> >news:3D5566F0.852646D5@yaSPAMhoo.com
> >Damn, I should've been in marketing.
> >
> >-Alan S.
>
> That's formulae, chaps.
>
> Peter 'Land' R.

Well we just found out who won the sixth form Latin medal, perhaps the
high point of his life.
And now we see him devoting a lifetime to attempting to impose Latin rules
on a Germanic-based language.

No Peter, the plural of Sheriff is Sheriffim, stewardess gets stewardii,
and pretty soon you will admonish us about ending sentences with
prepositions. That, sir, is the sort of nonsense up with which I will not
put.

Dick

3435. Re: CB Expanded channel guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 09:59:50 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <qAq59.7826$Ep6.570102@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132514

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208110046.2beade89@posting.google.com...
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<B4759.6463$Ep6.455393@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>..
> > Lou,
> > I give you credit... anyone who would/could put up with the majority
of the
> > CBers mentality for so many years deserves it.
>
> Brian it doesn't sound like you to be dissing your customers that way.
> How do you expect to ever sell your illegal amps if you insult people?
>
> -A

Rush Limbaugh and Howard Stern do it regularly.

3436. Re: More, More, More....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 10:17:12 UTC
From: "Peter R." <bfh@leavemealone.net>
Message-ID: <aceclu4ee2jded4t2fvv45a5ut3hmcpu92@4ax.com>
Source record: 132515

On Sun, 11 Aug 2002 09:58:25 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>"Peter R." <bfh@leavemealone.net> wrote in message
>news:pl7clugeucf0kfsoat9822dh8vett54qi2@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 10 Aug 2002 19:50:59 -0000, "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in
>> >news:3D5566F0.852646D5@yaSPAMhoo.com
>> >Damn, I should've been in marketing.
>> >
>> >-Alan S.
>>
>> That's formulae, chaps.
>>
>> Peter 'Land' R.
>
>Well we just found out who won the sixth form Latin medal, perhaps the
>high point of his life.
>And now we see him devoting a lifetime to attempting to impose Latin rules
>on a Germanic-based language.
>
>No Peter, the plural of Sheriff is Sheriffim, stewardess gets stewardii,
>and pretty soon you will admonish us about ending sentences with
>prepositions. That, sir, is the sort of nonsense up with which I will not
>put.
>
>Dick
>

Which Germanic based language is that ? English ?

Oh dear !

Plonk

Peter R.

3437. What is decent AM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 11:07:27 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Pzr59.7845$Ep6.573604@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132516

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:Hmj59.6539$Ke2.555080@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> "Swing" is not the panacea of AM. However, it does have several things
going
> for it. It allows for the transmission of the maximum intelligence (some
CB
> operators not withstanding) to be conveyed from the AM signal. While I
> certainly agree that modulation levels over 100% are counterproductive,
if
> the "swing thing" is done correctly, that should not be a problem. You
see,
> that if the carrier rises and falls at the audio rate, the modulation
will
> not exceed the limit at any given instant. It also has a benefit of not
> tying up a frequency with a strong carrier when no voice is present.
This
> advantage can clearly be seen in SSB transmissions. This is definately
not a
> sytem for "carrier throwers"...lol
>
> In your defense, the swing does have a negative. This is evident on a
very
> noisy channel where the noise is heard clearly between words. With a
heavy
> carrier, it will have the tendancy to "blot" out that background channel
> hash.
>
> My preference is to have both, or better yet, a variable carrier. This
> allows swing for excellent audio "punch" for quiet conditions and a high
> carrier for when the channel becomes noisy...
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

Fair enough. It would seem then that we have a discussion in two parts:
We seem to agree about gimcrack attempts to be 'louder' by means of
cranking audio. We seem, for the moment, to disagree about what makes a
good AM signal.

So the issue appears to be just where varying carrier level fits into the
scheme of things. I will admit to wondering about needing 'punch' when
things are quiet but WTH.

Traditionally, AM was more a case of elimininating negatives more than accentuating the positives. So one did things like:

1. Frequency processing. Below 300 Hz, the audio lends virtually nothing to intelligence and sucks up a lot of audio power for no really good reason. Above 2500-3000 Hz one gets bandwidth and 'presence' but no real improvement in communication. So the first step in both Communication AM and FM (the filter does this on SSB) was to ruthlessly eliminate these freqs from the audio. Coupling caps zap bass and RC roll-offs can do a treble whacking job. The old carbon mikes of telephones and Motorola coffin boxes did the job on this bit of communication hardware.

2. Frequency discriminatation. There was and is no requirement that communication audio be hi-fidelity and the first time a woman ate the lunch of her male counterparts in a DX pile-up it was discovered that a response curve peaking at about 1200 Hz is not the world's worst idea.

This whole area of audio processing seems to be foreign to CB as apparently is mike impedence matching. Gangaroonies, an old crystal/ceramic D104 which takes about 250k input sounds like dogshit in a rig designed for 600 ohm dynamics; no one's fault, they just never were designed to work together. We need the CB equivalent of Bob Heil K9EID.

3. Clipping, Compression, Limiting (there, I said it again). Simple problem to state, the human voice has a peak-to-average ratio of about 4-5 to 1 which means that on average an unprocessed AM sig is 20-25% modulated with the peaks at 100. Same problem in broadcast and other services. The solutions are variations on the same basic scheme. Compression can be viewed by watching your preacher on Sunday as he wanders around waving his arms and never changes audio level. Done in the broadcast biz about the time I was starting to shave with a Gizmo called the Gates Sta-level that let the rock jock on WINO (Wonderful wino) cue records, bay at the moon, and scratch his nuts without the audience noticing. Sometimes called Soft Limiting.

If you ever wondered why commercials are loud, they use a limiter that is the essence of hard limiting or clipping and is in fact usually called a Brick Wall Limiter. Let the signal bounce off the limiter, filter the harmonics, and blast away until the peak-average is about 1.5 to 1 and literally triples audio punch with the same Disembodied Spirit effect. Most CB rigs do this as a matter of course although not to that degree. So limiter clipping is stupeed!

So I guess the question, Brian, is where swing fits into the traditional scheme to improve AM communication capability. How about a touch of reverb :-)

3438. Re: New Uniden UH013 UHF CB and Scanner in One- REVIEWED ON Newcastle Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 11:12:26 UTC
From: spamyourself@nospam.com
Message-ID: <3d564673.267262893@news.internode.on.net>
Source record: 132517
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 11 Aug 2002 15:10:21 +1000, <djmickyp@d2.net.au> wrote:

>Hello fellow hobbyists!!
>
>For a real review on the new all in one UHF CB and Scanner, head over to
>Newcastle Scan
>http://newcastlescan.tripod.com There's other info and freqs NO pop ups just
>a real
>EZINE style website...

great review guys, but no pop ups???? You must have your pop up killer
on when you visit your own site. :)

Davo

>
>We must thank Peter From Newcastle Pro Sound
>(http://www.newcastleprosound.com.au and I guess new web site coming soon)
>for opportunity to review this little beauty
>and uniden for expanding their vision (about time for an 2 in 1).
>
>If you want to sell an old radio or scanner send an email and we put it on
>our classifieds page
>
>any amateurs who have written a basic introduction to amateur radio we
>really need it for our site, of course including freqs with Newcastle in
>mind:) (well we got the HMAS Nottingham!!!)
>
>
>Cheers and best wishes
>Mike and Garry
>
>

3439. Re: What is decent AM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 11:56:12 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <whs59.6905$Ke2.600071@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132518

I'm with you 100%... I have always condoned speech processing and have built my own processor several years ago. I had posted information about it back then. It works well when adjusted properly. It's based around the Analog Devices #SSM2166.
http://products.analog.com/products/info.asp?product=SSM2166

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:Pzr59.7845$Ep6.573604@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Fair enough. It would seem then that we have a discussion in two parts:
> We seem to agree about gimcrack attempts to be 'louder' by means of
> cranking audio. We seem, for the moment, to disagree about what makes a
> good AM signal.
>
> So the issue appears to be just where varying carrier level fits into the
> scheme of things. I will admit to wondering about needing 'punch' when
> things are quiet but WTH.
>
> Traditionally, AM was more a case of elimininating negatives more than
> accentuating the positives. So one did things like:
>
> 1. Frequency processing. Below 300 Hz, the audio lends virtually nothing
> to intelligence and sucks up a lot of audio power for no really good
> reason. Above 2500-3000 Hz one gets bandwidth and 'presence' but no real
> improvement in communication. So the first step in both Communication AM
> and FM (the filter does this on SSB) was to ruthlessly eliminate these
> freqs from the audio. Coupling caps zap bass and RC roll-offs can do a
> treble whacking job. The old carbon mikes of telephones and Motorola
> coffin boxes did the job on this bit of communication hardware.
>
> 2. Frequency discriminatation. There was and is no requirement that
> communication audio be hi-fidelity and the first time a woman ate the
> lunch of her male counterparts in a DX pile-up it was discovered that a
> response curve peaking at about 1200 Hz is not the world's worst idea.
>
> This whole area of audio processing seems to be foreign to CB as
> apparently is mike impedence matching. Gangaroonies, an old
> crystal/ceramic D104 which takes about 250k input sounds like dogshit in a
> rig designed for 600 ohm dynamics; no one's fault, they just never were
> designed to work together. We need the CB equivalent of Bob Heil K9EID.

>
> 3. Clipping, Compression, Limiting (there, I said it again). Simple
> problem to state, the human voice has a peak-to-average ratio of about 4-5
> to 1 which means that on average an unprocessed AM sig is 20-25% modulated
> with the peaks at 100. Same problem in broadcast and other services. The
> solutions are variations on the same basic scheme. Compression can be
> viewed by watching your preacher on Sunday as he wanders around waving his
> arms and never changes audio level. Done in the broadcast biz about the
> time I was starting to shave with a Gizmo called the Gates Sta-level that
> let the rock jock on WINO (Wonderful wino) cue records, bay at the moon,
> and scratch his nuts without the audience noticing. Sometimes called Soft
> Limiting.
>
> If you ever wondered why commercials are loud, they use a limiter that is
> the essence of hard limiting or clipping and is in fact usually called a
> Brick Wall Limiter. Let the signal bounce off the limiter, filter the
> harmonics, and blast away until the peak-average is about 1.5 to 1 and
> literally triples audio punch with the same Disembodied Spirit effect.
> Most CB rigs do this as a matter of course although not to that degree.
> So limiter clipping is stupeed!
>
> So I guess the question, Brian, is where swing fits into the traditional
> scheme to improve AM communication capability. How about a touch of
> reverb :-)

3440. Re: New Uniden UH013 UHF CB and Scanner in One- REVIEWED ON Newcastle Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 12:34:22 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ulcmhmevodk438@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

"NoSpamers" <spamyourself@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:3d564673.267262893@news.internode.on.net...

> great review guys, but no pop ups???? You must have your pop up killer
> on when you visit your own site. :)
>

I received no pop-ups... Your not running some sort of ad driven browser
are you? :)

PH

3441. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 12:40:23 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020811084023.21247.00002639@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 132520

Funny thing is most of the illegal amp companys were founded by amateur radio operators.

3442. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 12:47:14 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208110447.a39afda@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132521

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208101205.22f72f50@posting.google.com>...
> Jay this is a CB newsgroup, using an amplifier on CB is not only
> illegal it's kind of antisocial, doncha think? BTW, if you are a ham
> looking for advice on ham gear you'd get much better advice in a ham
> newsgroup. You could even post your callsign there. -A

Good old Aanal Aaron. Never posts an on-topic answer! People can ask
technical questions whereever they like. And why not post YOUR
callsign?? (snicker!)

> Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:<3D550CCA.6D386D92@qnet.com>...
> > Hello All:
> >
> > I have a Linear Amplifier that was a home brew unit I bought from the
> > TRW Swap Meet. It needed a few small parts and such. The hole Pi network
> > and switching relay, has taken quit some time to run down. But has a way
> > over kill power supply and a regulated screen supply, and a high SWR
> > warning light, with a Duel Slug Bird Wattmeter Cavity, and the Bird Watt
> > Meter right on the face of the amp, well worth a the effort it was to
> > lug home. This has taken about a year to complete, mostly finding the
> > parts that will fit.
> >
> > I have adjusted the bias voltage to -80VDC, and the output signal looks
> > good and is linear, sounds great.
> >
> > But I need the actual Eimac 4CX350A class AB1 bias voltage.
> >
> > Thanks.
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave

3443. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 12:50:19 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D565D90.3F810E05@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132522

Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> Good old Aanal Aaron. Never posts an on-topic answer! People can ask
> technical questions whereever they like. And why not post YOUR
> callsign?? (snicker!)

I told you, it's L ï¿½ S 3 R.

3444. Re: Does illegally high powered CBs hurt anyone? It seems they do.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 13:07:55 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ulcod3n6mk466b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132523

If your still crying about an event 20 years ago you need profesional help.
The grief process should be 2 years MAX. People die all the time. I suggest
you start dealing with it. Life goes on, I suggest you get on board.
Ken

3445. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 13:15:05 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ulcoqhnn1tgk1c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132524

Jay,
This is TOTALLY out of my league but good luck with it
Sincerely
Ken

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3D55E3FF.46882A6E@qnet.com...
> Hello Peter:
>
> The amp uses 4 each of the Eimac 4CX350A tubes, but uses only one bias
> voltage control, and a resistor network to have equal voltages at the
> grids.
>
> I am concerned that the tubes may need a slightly different bias voltage
> as compared to each other, to get "equal" no signal plate current.
>
> And a single source of bias voltage may not allow each tube to be dead
> nuts on the same no signal plate current. I have searched the stores and
> Surplus stores from Pasadena to Sun Valley, today, and found a box of 5
> watt Pots (I bought the hole box) that will allow each 4CX350A tube to
> have its own individually bias voltage adjusted for equal no signal
> plate currents.
>
> Tomorrow I'll mount the 4 each pots and adjust each tube for equal no
> signal plate current. And see.
>
> Comments, suggestions.....
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
> Peter V�lpel wrote:
> >
> > Jay, I would adjust for 60 to 100mA anode-resting current, depending
> > on your anode and screen-voltage.
> > About 200W at the anode is OK for AB1
> >
> > Peter
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave wrote:
> > >
> > > Hello All:
> > >
> > > I have a Linear Amplifier that was a home brew unit I bought from the
> > > TRW Swap Meet. It needed a few small parts and such. The hole Pi

network
> > > and switching relay, has taken quit some time to run down. But has a
way
> > > over kill power supply and a regulated screen supply, and a high SWR
> > > warning light, with a Duel Slug Bird Wattmeter Cavity, and the Bird
Watt
> > > Meter right on the face of the amp, well worth a the effort it was to
> > > lug home. This has taken about a year to complete, mostly finding the
> > > parts that will fit.
> > >
> > > I have adjusted the bias voltage to -80VDC, and the output signal
looks
> > > good and is linear, sounds great.
> > >
> > > But I need the actual Eimac 4CX350A class AB1 bias voltage.
> > >
> > > Thanks.
> > >
> > > Jay in the Mojave

3446. Re: AMPLIFIER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 14:03:12 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020811100312.22110.00002904@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 132525

AAron,shows how much you really know about amps.Are you that stupid?

3447. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 14:22:13 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <pqu59.167824$vg.7096638@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132526

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208110447.a39afda@posting.google.com...

> Good old Aanal Aaron. Never posts an on-topic answer! People can ask
> technical questions whereever they like. And why not post YOUR
> callsign?? (snicker!)

DickHead were still waiting for yours remember you said you have nothing to
hide and your a Ham, I don't buy either one of your excuses.

3448. Re: CB Expanded channel guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 14:26:23 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <juu59.167844$vg.7099313@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132527

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:qAq59.7826$Ep6.570102@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

> Rush Limbaugh and Howard Stern do it regularly.

Really Richard what is Rush and Howard selling? They are there for
entertainment I guess Professor is the same we just laugh at him.

3449. Re: AMPLIFIER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 14:30:16 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20020811103016.01700.00002915@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 132529

Would you have it that it is in need of a FM switch for that mode also,
dickhead?
Train

>Subject: Re: AMPLIFIER
>From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
>Date: 8/11/02 4:41 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <c9ceae5b.0208110041.3c40e56a@posting.google.com>
>
>"nukem" <nukem@gotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:<Cxh59.237989$XH.5921779@twister.tampabay.rr.com>...
>> Check out this amp.
>>
>>
>> View Here:
>>
>> http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/68659137?aucview=user
>
>That's odd. It says it's a "ham radio amplifier" but it has only two
>modes, AM and SSB. And it says it covers 80-10 meters but there's no
>band switching at all.
>
>-Aaron-
>
>
>
>
>
>

3450. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 14:30:43 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <nyu59.198627$nm.7961350@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132528

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020811084023.21247.00002639@mb-cv.aol.com...
> Funny thing is most of the illegal amp companys were founded by amateur
> radio operators.

They were?

I looked up the owner of Xforce he is not a Ham, I looked up the owner of Davemade he is not a Ham, I looked up the owner of Noname he is not a ham, I looked up the owner of Telstar amps he is not a ham, I looked up the owner of Cookie made amps, he is not a ham, I looked up the owner of joker amps and he is not a Ham so perhaps you can help us out and inform us of all these illegal map companies that are owned buy hams. .

3451. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 14:31:23 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D56753B.467C96ED@qnet.com>
Source record: 132531

Hello Peter:

Thanks for the info and advice there.

I have the 4 each pots mounted, and now I am wiring them in. This will allow the separate Potentiometer to adjust the bias voltage a few volts either way, allowing for the no signal plate current to be the same, in all 4 tubes.

This home brew amp is a real beauty, it has a killer over designed power supply, which is rated at 2200 volts at 3 amps, I wired the transformer for 220 VAC operation. It has a Grid Voltage and matching Plate Current Meters along with the Bird Watt meter "Meter" that is round, mounted next to the square Grid and Plate Meters. The Forward watt meter slug is accessed from the front, but the reverse slug has to be changed by removing the bottom chassie plate. And the guy even had small peanut lights installed in the meters as back lighting, with a lighting control pot on the face of the amp. The band switching "Switch" is a new heavy duty Silver Plated Ceramic type switch, and is shaft coupled to the (RF isolated) input tuning tank circuits. Very cleaver.

The switch over or PTT antenna switching is all down with brand new Dowl type coax relays, wonder what those cost?????

It has a two power level inputs, 10 and 100 watts, that is selected from a switch on the front of the amp, don't know if I like that?

The Amp Chassie is painted in Bright Crinkle Green paint and looks great, especially with the meters back lights on. Somebody spent a lot of time and money an this amp, and it was only a hundred dollars, plus the luxury of physically hauling it to the car with a large grin on my face. Its taken about a year to get all the parts that will fit. The power supply filter capacitors had to be replaced. The last part was the Pi Network Tuning Capacitor, that a Vacuum Variable fit in nicely, but left me down in funds for a few months, ... ouch! But this completed the missing parts, and allowed me to fire her up.

Thanks for all the inputs and suggestions, and e-mails. Sure is nice to get accurate technical info.

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from the fillin station.

111 Degree's F yesterday.....

Peter Vï¿½lpel wrote:
>

> Hi Jay,
>
> Usually it should be no problem to have the bias of 4 parallel tubes
> the same value.
> If the tubes differ in idle current, you adjust them on the same no
> signal current with 4 different pots, but dynamically driven, they
> differ anyway.
> I once built an amp with 3 tubes parallel and that did very very nice,
> found little higher EHT better for improved linearity (2500V)
>
> Good luck with your modification.
> You were lucky to find 5W pots already...
>
> Peter
>
> Jay in the Mojave wrote:
> >
> > Hello Peter:
> >
> > The amp uses 4 each of the Eimac 4CX350A tubes, but uses only one bias
> > voltage control, and a resistor network to have equal voltages at the
> > grids.
> >
> > I am concerned that the tubes may need a slightly different bias voltage
> > as compared to each other, to get "equal" no signal plate current.
> >
> > And a single source of bias voltage may not allow each tube to be dead
> > nuts on the same no signal plate current. I have searched the stores and
> > Surplus stores from Pasadena to Sun Valley, today, and found a box of 5
> > watt Pots (I bought the hole box) that will allow each 4CX350A tube to
> > have its own individually bias voltage adjusted for equal no signal
> > plate currents.
> >
> > Tomorrow I'll mount the 4 each pots and adjust each tube for equal no
> > signal plate current. And see.
> >
> > Comments, suggestions...........
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave
> >
> > Peter Vï¿½lpel wrote:
> > >
> > > Jay, I would adjust for 60 to 100mA anode-resting current, depending
> > > on your anode and screen-voltage.
> > > About 200W at the anode is OK for AB1
> > >
> > > Peter
> > >
> > > Jay in the Mojave wrote:
> > > >

> > > > Hello All:
> > > >
> > > > I have a Linear Amplifier that was a home brew unit I bought from the
> > > > TRW Swap Meet. It needed a few small parts and such. The hole Pi network
> > > > and switching relay, has taken quit some time to run down. But has a way
> > > > over kill power supply and a regulated screen supply, and a high SWR
> > > > warning light, with a Duel Slug Bird Wattmeter Cavity, and the Bird Watt
> > > > Meter right on the face of the amp, well worth a the effort it was to
> > > > lug home. This has taken about a year to complete, mostly finding the
> > > > parts that will fit.
> > > >
> > > > I have adjusted the bias voltage to -80VDC, and the output signal looks
> > > > good and is linear, sounds great.
> > > >
> > > > But I need the actual Eimac 4CX350A class AB1 bias voltage.
> > > >
> > > > Thanks.
> > > >
> > > > Jay in the Mojave

3452. Re: George Washington n freebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 14:33:16 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20020811103316.01700.00002917@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 132530

Hey Ken,
This is NOT a slam, but in reading what you posted here I'd have to say you are a G.Gordon Liddy fan. Wish I could get his show up here in Jersey.
Train

>Subject: George Washington n freebanding
>From: "Kenneth" pwrguy@intergrafix.net
>Date: 8/10/02 10:01 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <ulbhbbj9jr9701@corp.supernews.com>
>
> General George Washington broke the law. He in effect said(with all the
>founding fathers) the law is not right and this land is our land. He
>rebelled against the lawfull government.
> Freebanders break the law. Freebanders say the RF spectrum belong to the
>people, not to the FCC to sell off to the highest bidder to make the
>government rich.
> Luckily for the United States Voobs ancestors where in Norway chasing
>sheep in 1776.
> We hold these truths to be self evident. And we pledge our lives, our
>fortunes and our sacred linier amps!!!
> The FCC is illigal and NO_WHERE in the contitution is the FCC allowed. Any
>area not specifically covered by the constitution is under the athority of
>state government. A healthy distrust of government is the hallmark of a free
>thinking individual. Slavish devotion to the FCC is the mark of drone.
>Sincerely
>Ken
>

3453. Re: Predator 10K Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 14:41:30 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D56779A.47FB4E60@qnet.com>
Source record: 132533

Hello Ozarks333:

Hor are ya doin there?

Yeah old Geogre gets his wires crossed thinking the Predator 10K is base station antenna.

Darn fine mobile antenna. Very funny.

Jay in the Mojave

OZARK333 wrote:
>
> >From: "Me" whocare@you.com
> > you will need to take out a loan to buy >one.
> More BS from the King of BS Land....oh holly one!!!!!!!!!! You jerk(off)!
>
> "Got RF?"

3454. Re: New Uniden UH013 UHF CB and Scanner in One- REVIEWED ON Newcastle Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 14:48:29 UTC
From: kevcat <kevcat@dodo.com.au>
Message-ID: <3D56793D.D7EC536D@dodo.com.au>
Source record: 132532
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

How about changing the menu/links at the top from that beige to another
colour....very hard to read from my normal postion
and no matter what you say if you have a web page with Tripod you will
always get popups

Kev

djmickyp@d2.net.au wrote:
>
> Hello fellow hobbyists!!
>
> For a real review on the new all in one UHF CB and Scanner, head over to
> Newcastle Scan
> http://newcastlescan.tripod.com There's other info and freqs NO pop ups just
> a real
> EZINE style website...
>
> We must thank Peter From Newcastle Pro Sound
> (http://www.newcastleprosound.com.au and I guess new web site coming soon)
> for opportunity to review this little beauty
> and uniden for expanding their vision (about time for an 2 in 1).
>
> If you want to sell an old radio or scanner send an email and we put it on
> our classifieds page
>
> any amateurs who have written a basic introduction to amateur radio we
> really need it for our site, of course including freqs with Newcastle in
> mind:) (well we got the HMAS Nottingham!!!)
>
> Cheers and best wishes
> Mike and Garry

3455. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 15:07:37 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <2cvcluou0l1a802fd2cganr20e7649d4j0@4ax.com>
Source record: 132534

On Sun, 11 Aug 2002 14:30:43 GMT, "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:

>
>"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020811084023.21247.00002639@mb-cv.aol.com...
>> Funny thing is most of the illegal amp companys were founded by amateur
>> radio operators.
>
>They were?
>
>I looked up the owner of Xforce he is not a Ham, I looked up the owner of
>Davemade he is not a Ham, I looked up the owner of Noname he is not a ham, I
>looked up the owner of Telstar amps he is not a ham, I looked up the owner
>of Cookie made amps, he is not a ham, I looked up the owner of joker amps
>and he is not a Ham so perhaps you can help us out and inform us of all
>these illegal map companies that are owned buy hams. .
>
You looked.....but you couldn't find. You don't have a clue as to
who owns this and that. (other than Telstar)

3456. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 15:15:01 UTC
From: A_CBer and Ham <A_CBer@yourtower.com>
Message-ID: <Ocv59.9032$u7.718993@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 132535

What you cryin' bout Frmboybuck?

I Earned my HAM License!

You jus' squealin' like a piggy! You been watchin' "Deliverance" too many times!!

So..Don't Be Telling Others that they "didn't Earn Shit"!
Why don't you smell what you shoveling!
Or go suck yo momma's cock like you been doin'!

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message news:ulbujjt11r4kf8@corp.supernews.com...
> I still like mine better.... :)
>
> PH
>
>
>

3457. hey toll free!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 15:19:11 UTC
From: yougottabekidding@nothardly.com
Message-ID: <3d568062.127975258@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 132536

read yer e-mail!

3458. Re: George Washington n freebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 15:29:50 UTC
From: A_CBer and Ham <A_CBer@yourtower.com>
Message-ID: <Iqv59.9033$u7.719062@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 132537

"No Sheep Is Safe Tonight."
(Danny DeVito) "Jewel Of The Nile"
"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ulbhbbj9jr9701@corp.supernews.com...
> General George Washington broke the law. He in effect said(with all the
> founding fathers) the law is not right and this land is our land. He
> rebelled against the lawfull government.
> Freebanders break the law. Freebanders say the RF spectrum belong to
the
> people, not to the FCC to sell off to the highest bidder to make the
> government rich.
> Luckily for the United States Voobs ancestors where in Norway chasing
> sheep in 1776.
> We hold these truths to be self evident. And we pledge our lives, our
> fortunes and our sacred linier amps!!!
> The FCC is illigal and NO_WHERE in the contitution is the FCC allowed.
Any
> area not specifically covered by the constitution is under the athority of
> state government. A healthy distrust of government is the hallmark of a
free
> thinking individual. Slavish devotion to the FCC is the mark of drone.
> Sincerely
> Ken
>
>

3459. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 15:33:33 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <htv59.22642$Ce.1120739@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132538

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:2cvcluou0l1a802fd2cganr20e7649d4j0@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 11 Aug 2002 14:30:43 GMT, "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:

> >I looked up the owner of Xforce he is not a Ham, I looked up the owner of
> >Davemade he is not a Ham, I looked up the owner of Noname he is not a
ham, I
> >looked up the owner of Telstar amps he is not a ham, I looked up the
owner
> >of Cookie made amps, he is not a ham, I looked up the owner of joker amps
> >and he is not a Ham so perhaps you can help us out and inform us of all
> >these illegal map companies that are owned buy hams. .
> >
> You looked.....but you couldn't find. You don't have a clue as to
> who owns this and that. (other than Telstar)

You think so tnom how about I give you some name would you know who they
are?

3460. Re: hey toll free!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 15:35:31 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <7vv59.99033$6Z1.4208620@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132539

ok chuckles

"Yeahrightman" <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com> wrote in message
news:3d568062.127975258@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com...
> read yer e-mail!

3461. Re: hey toll free!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 15:41:20 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <AAv59.22720$Ce.1126023@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132540

"Yeahrightman" <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com> wrote in message
news:3d568062.127975258@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com...
> read yer e-mail!

LOL Yeah ok your notChuckie ...LOL

Subj: [rec-radio-cb] For you Johnson fans
Date: 8/11/2002 11:27:15 AM Eastern Daylight Time
From: tollfree@bigradios.com
Reply-to: rec-radio-cb@yahoogroups.com
To: rec-radio-cb@yahoogroups.com
Sent from the Internet (Details)

Chuckles sent me this (yeah, I thought the same thing)

http://kj9t.150m.com/Skokies_Toy_Box/Skokies_Toy_Box.html

Nice pictures of a nice Johnson.

Toll_Free

3462. Re: Does illegally high powered CBs hurt anyone? It seems they do.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 16:26:56 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <kfw59.9062$eK6.565267@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 132541

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ulcod3n6mk466b@corp.supernews.com...
> If your still crying about an event 20 years ago you need profesional
help.
> The grief process should be 2 years MAX. People die all the time. I
suggest
> you start dealing with it. Life goes on, I suggest you get on board.
> Ken
>
>

OK, Doc. Anyway, you're(<--note the spelling) still a moron.

3463. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 16:31:43 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020811123143.04902.00002077@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 132542

I aint crying about nothing.....Im just telling you how it is....MOST HAMS ARE DICKHEADS... and you are a prime example:)

3464. Re: CB Expanded channel guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 16:56:01 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <BGw59.7395$Ke2.627350@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132543

I'm glad you had a good chuckle... maybe now you'll post something that
would be worthwhile here.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:juu59.167844$vg.7099313@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>Professor is the same we just laugh at him.

3465. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 17:01:44 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <fu5dlu4k8gpgm9al7k717ebs53lpg0ditm@4ax.com>
Source record: 132544

snip

>> You looked.....but you couldn't find. You don't have a clue as to
>> who owns this and that. (other than Telstar)
>
>
>You think so tnom how about I give you some name would you know who they
>are?
>
>
That's not the question. I don't care who they are. You obviously do.
So let's hear it. Or are you just another Voobalike?

3466. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 17:14:33 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <YXw59.100495$6Z1.4274587@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132545

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:fu5dlu4k8gpgm9al7k717ebs53lpg0ditm@4ax.com...
> snip
>
> >> You looked.....but you couldn't find. You don't have a clue as to
> >> who owns this and that. (other than Telstar)
> >
> >
> >You think so tnom how about I give you some name would you know who they
> >are?
> >
> >
> That's not the question. I don't care who they are. You obviously do.
> So let's hear it. Or are you just another Voobalike?

There was no question asked from you Tnom, you made a statement that I don't
have a clue who owns this and that.
I replied "You think so tnom how about I give you some name would you know
who they are? Well
If you don't care who they are why do you want to hear who they are, or are
you just like the guy who said hams all started illegal amp companys.

3467. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 17:22:02 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <_2x59.137176$yc3.5897580@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132546

"Yeahrightman" <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com> wrote in message > well said. Guy has no life at all. look at his sorry ass pic on his
> website... what a waste of sperm.

Chuckie you have a face only a mother could love.

3468. cbs and helicopters etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 17:31:28 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020811133128.20193.00003031@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 132447

Well, got tired of my" high powered cb" only knocking 2-3 helicopters a week out of the sky, so i thought of something better. I just finished building a 10 ft tall tesla coil in my garage. I cant wait to fire the sucker up. With all the broad spectrum noise and harmonics it will generate, i should be able to easily knock 10 to 15 helicopters out of the sky. I want to thank all the hambos out there that gave me this great idea,because of their assertion that "harmonics " are capable of downing aircraft. Cool deal ! Hell, might even get a few high performance aircraft while im at it!!!! :) gunslinger
(some of you guys should go into the business of writing childrens fairy tales,youll make a mint)

3469. Re: OT- How the Ancient Israelites really discovered the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 17:32:33 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aj67fp$647$1@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 132448

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D4D756E.4A7B21B@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
> Kenneth wrote:
> >
> > Is OT mean off topic or Old Testament?
>
> Old Titties.
==

No, you're wrong...
It's Old Tart.

Regards,

Peter.

3470. Re: SSB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 17:32:37 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aj67fq$647$2@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 132449

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20020807221630.10057.00001817@mb-cn.aol.com...
>
> How about DSB (Double Side Band)?
==

DSB is any signal which carries both sidebands... including AM and FM, so bandwidth is twice that of SSB.
You can have Suppressed Carrier DSB, but the bandwidth is still the same as for AM.
I cannot see the point in SC DSB for communications such as CB etc. As you would still have to re-insert the carrier, you may as well go for the more efficient SSB.

Regards,

Peter.

3471. Re: Aluminum or Fiberglass?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 17:32:38 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aj67fr$647$3@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 132450

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:Erv49.660$P74.76320961@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> I understand. My office at work has the server, plus
> my terminal, so it gets quite warm in there. Summer it sucks,
> but the winter it's nice.
==

A few years back, when I was working in a small workshop with very few windows, it was a hot summer and the heat from the 'scopes, IFR, sig gens, etc just added to the heat. What made it worse was when I had to work in the cage to limit inteference from a local transmitter.

Working in a kitchen or other place where extreme heat is part of the process, during a hot summer, must really suck.

Regards,

Peter.

3472. Re: Garage sale mistake

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 17:41:27 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <blx59.1289$M66.811@nwrddc04.gnilink.net>
Source record: 132452

Hay Joe , they are upset they didn't find it first and I think the hammies should go back to there side of reality , I would like to know what the unit is that has these hambones up tight pretty soon there won't be ham's please let me know .
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"Joseph Attard" <MrMustangJoe@webtv.net> wrote in message news:27986-3D552BC7-171@storefull-2236.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I just recently picked up a radio at a garage sale for $10.00. I hooked
> it up and put it on a freq counter it goes from 28.535 to 28.975 mhz.
> First of all I am not a cb tech, but what band is this radio operating
> in and could a cb shop bring it back down to the right freq. It has been
> modified to operate outside is intented use . Thanks guys
> A NEWBIE
>

3473. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 17:48:19 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D56A363.8060408@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132451

Why's that funny? I post my call every time I post. What's the big deal
about it? If you have a callsign, how does that take away from your
enjoyment of the CB hobby?

Really.. Grow the fuck up.

-SSB

Me wrote:

> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20020806222844.335$6D@newsreader.com...
>
>>"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
>>
>>>>Ok so what is your call sign so perhaps we can take you alittle more
>>>>
>>>serious
>>>
>>>
>>That shit's funny!
>>
>
> Your right asking, it is funny he is asking someone to post there call in a
> CB NG.
>
>
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3474. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 18:10:42 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ulda87ne328s1a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132454

You replied to the wrong post dip shit... I didn't say any of what you quoted! Get a clue!

PH

"A_CBer and Ham" <A_CBer@yourtower.com> wrote in message news:Ocv59.9032$u7.718993@news.direcpc.com...
> What you cryin' bout Frmboybuck?
>
> I Earned my HAM License!
>
> You jus' squealin' like a piggy! You been watchin' "Deliverance" too many
> times!!
>
> So..Don't Be Telling Others that they "didn't Earn Shit"!
> Why don't you smell what you shoveling!
> Or go suck yo momma's cock like you been doin'!
>
>
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:ulbujjt11r4kf8@corp.supernews.com...
> > I still like mine better.... :)
> >
> > PH
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3475. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 18:15:47 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D56A9D3.9090009@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132453

Wrong...

Novices and tech plusses are permitted voice privileges, as well as CW, on
10 meters, and CW only on 15, 40, and 80.

Furthermore, "No-Code" techs (the new techs), after passing a morse exam,
who haven't passed the General Theory yet, are permitted the same
privileges while their code certificate is valid, a period of one year.

Get your story straight.. Check out
http://www.icomamerica.com/downloads/bandchar.pdf before you look silly
again...

-SSB

Aaron H. Voobner wrote:

> Novices and Tech Pluses are not permitted voice communications on ten meters.
>
> -A
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3476. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 18:28:41 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <t1y59.7520$Ke2.636551@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132455

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020811084023.21247.00002639@mb-cv.aol.com...
> Funny thing is most of the illegal amp companys were founded by
amateur
> radio operators.

Be damned surprised if this were not the case. Virtually everyone who for whatever stupid reason develops some couth in electronics gets one somewhere along the line.

It's a license, man, it isn't Holy Orders.

Dick N&#65533;BK

3477. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 18:30:43 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <n3y59.7522$Ke2.636509@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132456

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D565D90.3F810E05@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Richard Cranium wrote:
> >
> > Good old Aanal Aaron. Never posts an on-topic answer! People can ask
> > technical questions whereever they like. And why not post YOUR
> > callsign?? (snicker!)
>
> I told you, it's L S 3 R.

Doesn't fit the treaty. It would have to be LO5ER, Argentina

3478. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 18:30:57 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <B3y59.138308$yc3.5937515@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132457

"SideBand" <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
news:3D56A363.8060408@csotbs.org...
> Why's that funny? I post my call every time I post. What's the big deal
> about it? If you have a callsign, how does that take away from your
> enjoyment of the CB hobby?
>
> Really.. Grow the fuck up.
>
> -SSB

Are you talking to Mopar or Me? Really though what is the purpose of
posting your call though in any NG other than a amatuer NG. whats the
point really?

> Me wrote:
>
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020806222844.335$6D@newsreader.com...
> >
> >>"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>>>Ok so what is your call sign so perhaps we can take you alittle more
> >>>>
> >>>serious
> >>>
> >>>
> >>That shit's funny!
> >>
> >
> > Your right asking, it is funny he is asking someone to post there call
in a
> > CB NG.
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> --
> 73 de AB8LR, Chris
>
> http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.
>

3479. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 18:32:37 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <95y59.199968$nm.8115711@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132458

"SideBand" <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
news:3D56A9D3.9090009@csotbs.org...
> Wrong...
>
> Novices and tech plusses are permitted voice privileges, as well as CW, on
> 10 meters, and CW only on 15, 40, and 80.
>
> Furthermore, "No-Code" techs (the new techs), after passing a morse exam,
> who haven't passed the General Theory yet, are permitted the same
> privileges while their code certificate is valid, a period of one year.
>
> Get your story straight.. Check out
> http://www.icomamerica.com/downloads/bandchar.pdf before you look silly
> again...
>
> -SSB

Wow way to jump right in there Chris I guess you missed the other 5 guys
posts who tell him the same thing about a week ago LOL way to make yourself
look silly

3480. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 18:34:25 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <R6y59.7529$Ke2.637327@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132459

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3D56753B.467C96ED@qnet.com...
>
> It has a two power level inputs, 10 and 100 watts, that is selected from
> a switch on the front of the amp, don't know if I like that?
>

These tubes have a control grid dissipation rating of 0 per Eimac. I
would strongly suggest some way to monitor (the lack of) grid current or
it could get expensive in a big hurry.

Dick

3481. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 18:41:27 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <rdy59.7537$Ke2.637861@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132460

"SideBand" <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
news:3D56A9D3.9090009@csotbs.org...
> Wrong...
>
> Novices and tech plusses are permitted voice privileges, as well as CW,
on
> 10 meters, and CW only on 15, 40, and 80.
>
> Furthermore, "No-Code" techs (the new techs), after passing a morse
exam,
> who haven't passed the General Theory yet, are permitted the same
> privileges while their code certificate is valid, a period of one year.
>
> -SSB
>
> Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
>

Actually the license and the cert are good for Novice privleges forever.
It is just that the cert is no good for the code test after 365 days. If
you have an old Novice and a new Tech they are good forever. The post 15
April 00 rules don't need a lawyer, they need a seance.

3482. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 18:42:26 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <afbdlu4at17o94ogtj5qu6kgs0off9p7h7@4ax.com>
Source record: 132461

On Sun, 11 Aug 2002 17:14:33 GMT, "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:

>
><tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
>news:fu5dlu4k8gpgm9al7k717ebs53lpg0ditm@4ax.com...
>> snip
>>
>> >> You looked.....but you couldn't find. You don't have a clue as to
>> >> who owns this and that. (other than Telstar)
>> >
>> >
>> >You think so tnom how about I give you some name would you know who they
>> >are?
>> >
>> >
>> That's not the question. I don't care who they are. You obviously do.
>> So let's hear it. Or are you just another Voobalike?
>
>
>There was no question asked from you Tnom, you made a statement that I don't
>have a clue who owns this and that.

And you don't. Otherwise you would expanded upon your original
statement/brag.

>I replied "You think so tnom how about I give you some name would you know
>who they are? Well if you don't care who they are why do you want to hear who
>they are, or are you just like the guy who said hams all started illegal amp companys.\

I personally couldn't care less who they are, but from the standpoint
of exposing you as a braggart it might get interesting. The point is
that you can't back up your original statement with some names.
You are a braggart. Prove me wrong.

3483. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 18:45:12 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208111045.6f949af8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132462

SideBand abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org wrote:
>
>Wrong...

Yes, I already acknowledged correction, but feel free to to pretend
you didn't see Bert's post if you're in need of a little boost. The
Novice/Tech/Tech Plus deal is easily confused, especially since at one
time Novices only had voice privileges on 2 meters. -A

3484. Re: AMPLIFIER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 18:49:25 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208111049.640b4e6c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132463

tra1n@aol.commmmmm (TRA1N) wrote:
>Would you have it that it is in need of a FM switch for
>that mode also, dickhead?
>Train

Would you have it that this is a legitimate amateur radio amp?

-Aaron-

PS: Not even a "Hello how ya been Aaron?". Jeez! Talk about a sourpuss!

3485. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 18:56:27 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020811145627.08599.00002788@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 132464

George,The list is to big but let me give you a couple.Hygain ,D&A,Firebird,Texas star etc... old companys but they were all hams.Now go wheel your self back in you corner.

3486. Re: Van SWR can be lower?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 19:22:05 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D56B95D.9050100@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132465

Examples of "loaded" 5/8 wave antennas:

Some of the Firesticks
Wilson SilverLoad antennas.

The coils in these helically wound fiberglass supported antennas do indeed radiate, but not as well as a 22' whip with the proper L/C matching circuitry at the feedpoint.

But, Dick, you knew that already.

-SSB

Richard McCollum wrote:

> "'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D51B8ED.8A3CBCB2@icok.net...
>
> This is one of those things that is going to have to be explained to me
> slowly and in small bites.
> How in hell does one *get* a 5/8 loaded antenna?
>
> The great and largely mythic 5/8 sheep run is based on the idea that the
> 5/8 offers the lowest take-off angle of radiation. The radiator, stinger
> if you will, is 5/8 wavelength long or 22 feet at 27 mHz as Doc correctly
> states it. It is the radiator that makes it a 5/8 so it would seem that a
> loaded 5/8 is something of an oxymoron once you get by the
> loading/matching coil that makes it resonant and gives a 50 ohm match.
>
> I guess I can envision a helical dummy load that by some sort of
> definition might have 5/8 of wire in it but it sure as hell is not a 5/8
> wave antenna.
>
> Why not a 3/4 over 3/4 colinear while we are about it? Wouldn't that be
> sex on a popsicle stick.
>
> Dick Nï¿½BK
>
>
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3487. Re: Question to Aaron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 19:34:46 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D56BC56.4070800@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132466

Oh, good luck getting straight answers to this...

-SSB

Alan S. wrote:

> This is intended to be a friendly questionaire, no malice intended:
>
> I've noticed that you spend alot of time discussing the legality of various
> aspects of CB radio as they are practiced today. It's clear that you
> disapprove of illegal operating practices. With that, I have a number of
> questions that I hope you will take the time to answer. Hopefully I (and
> maybe a few others) will better understand where you are coming from:
>
> 1.) With the overwhelming number of people commiting illegal acts of
> various sorts, why did you decide to focus on CB?
>
> 2.) In your view, what qualities would characterize an ideal CB radio
> service in the US?
>
> 3.) How long have you been involved in CB radio? What got you started?
>
> 4.) How often do you use it? What do you use it for?
>
> 5.) What do you like the most about CB radio?
>
> 6.) (And this is a fun one) If you could have any base or mobile rig you
> wanted, what would it be? Antennas and all. I'd like to know what your
> dream setup would look like.
>
> I've been reading your posts for a while, and have noticed that posts from
> you or about you seem to be very negative in nature. While I'm sure that my
> post will generate many negative comments in your direction (I can't help
> that) I still feel that this is an opportunity for me, and maybe a few
> others, to see a good natured side of you. I'm anxious to hear your
> response to these six questions.
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3488. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 19:40:31 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D569ED6.98897E15@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132467

ALLSTEEL77 wrote:
>
> Funny thing is most of the illegal amp companys were founded by amateur
> radio operators.

Care to give names and callsigns?

3489. Re: cbs and helicopters etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 19:43:18 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020811154318.08599.00002798@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 132468

To funny! LOL.Lets see what other BS the shut in Riley want a be's(OO's) come up with.Jerry's on the phone with Riley trying to bust those bad old truck drivers.I know thats top on his list.Arron watching in all those auctions on ebay .Boy they are busy little beavers:)Pretty soon they be busting kids(lids) on family radio for illegal ops.

3490. Re: Does illegally high powered CBs hurt anyone? It seems they do.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 19:44:35 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D569FCB.10791CBB@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132469

Kenneth wrote:
>
> If your still crying about an event 20 years ago you need profesional help.
> The grief process should be 2 years MAX. People die all the time. I suggest
> you start dealing with it. Life goes on, I suggest you get on board.
> Ken

Go to NYC this September 11 and crack a few dumb jokes about dead
loved ones to the families of those who lost loved ones in the WTC
attack, and to some of the firemen and police and see how long you
last. I ask again, how does it feel to be a first class SOB?

3491. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 20:09:27 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D56C477.6020804@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132470

That's a little misleading...

All those frequencies higher than 28.000 aren't CB, they're HAM 10M... Best
to stay away from those..
As for the rest of the non-regular 40, use them at your own risk.

-SSB

Lou Franklin wrote:

> FREE DOWNLOAD, 8-band expanded CB frequency chart shows 4 bands down,
> 3 up, + FCC 40. Find where you are, 25.165 Ch.1 lowest band to 28.755
> MHz Ch.40 highest band. Includes the skips too.
>
> Download this (13Kb, 1-page PDF format/landscape layout) at:
>
> www.cbcintl.com/docs/channels.pdf
>
> We'll be adding more freebies as time permits. For links to those
> freebies we already have up, go to:
>
> www.cbcintl.com/freebies.htm
>
> Due to high demand, look for the xtal-mixing charts & block mixing
> diagrams covering about 95% of the most common AM and AM/SSB CB radios
> here. Lots of guys asking to "fill in the blanks" in order to replace
> a bad xtal or convert/expand these older rigs to new freqs.
>
> Many thanks (again!) for all your great support these last 26 years!
> 73,
> Lou
> **********
>
>
> **********
> Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio
> technical information & high-performance CB accessories!
>
> DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
> https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
> NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!
>
> Email: lou@cbcintl.com
> Web: www.cbcintl.com
> ----------

> CBC INTERNATIONAL
> P.O. Box 30655
> Tucson AZ 85751 USA
> Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
> Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980
>
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3492. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 20:13:33 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D56C56D.2080600@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132471

Hrm.. If Anybody can pass the test, then why haven't you?

I know people who had to take the Tech test more than once.. it's not THAT
easy for them.

-SSB

Frmboybuck wrote:

>>Those damned truckers and their
>>"friendly" CB shops around the corner selling the crap that allows them to
>>go onto the turf I E A R N E D can go to hell.
>>
>
> Man you didnt earn shit......Anybody can pass the exam. They might as well give
> you your numbers before the test. I am in the process of becoming a ham because
> I enjoy most of the people. Then there are dickheads like you that give hams a
> bad rap. Maybe if you had better things to do besides being a LOT LIZARD you
> would lighten up a bit
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3493. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 20:16:19 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D56C613.1080107@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132472

Getting a HAM license doesn't give you the right to talk on the "freeband",
nor does it give you the right to talk to unlicensed operators on the 10 or
12 meter bands...

You'd best study a little harder, and get rid of these ill conceived
notions you have..

-SSB

Frmboybuck wrote:

>>How do you know he didn't ?
>>
>
> Well you said it yourself...They practicaly give you the answers>
>
> Then there are dickheads like you that give hams a bad rap.
>
> I dont look down on cb'rs like many hams....I havent forgot, thats where we all
> started
>
>
>>Maybe if you didnt condone or participate in illegal activitys you wouldnt
>>have anything to say.
>>
>
> As a matter of fact I have never been out of band OR ran any extra power....BUT
> I see no harm in using a little extra power when needed or freebanding.
>
> Further more I am not going to lower myself and get in a little girly yelling
> match with you like everyone else on here. I believe atleast 40% of hams are
> dickheads that think they are better than everyone else, especially those who
> operate on cb. I am getting my ticket so I can have acess to the 10 meter band
> and talk to some of my freebanding friends
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3494. Re: Van SWR can be lower?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 20:20:37 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <pGz59.7656$Ke2.647493@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132474

"SideBand" <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
news:3D56B95D.9050100@csotbs.org...
> Examples of "loaded" 5/8 wave antennas:
>
> Some of the Firesticks
> Wilson SilverLoad antennas.
>
> The coils in these helically wound fiberglass supported antennas do
indeed
> radiate, but not as well as a 22' whip with the proper L/C matching
> circuitry at the feedpoint.
>
> But, Dick, you knew that already.
>
> -SSB
>

Of course, but when you have a 5' or so stick of fibreglass and wrap it artistically with wire just what makes it a 5/8, a 1/4 wave, or 3/4 over 3/4 colinear? Damfino

3495. Re: Why are "Final" transistors called PLL's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 20:23:06 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D56C7AA.5080607@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132473

They're not...

Finals are sometimes called PILLS, with an "I" in there...

-SSB

Joe wrote:

> This might sound like an ignorant question but aren't PLL's stand for "Phase
> Lock Loops" ? These are integrated circuits or microprocessors. When did "Final
> Transistors" became Phase Lock Loops? Is this a misnomer or some "trade name".
> To me it sounds like some wanna-be tech started playing around with radios and
> started calling things whatever name out of ignorance. I notice the words pills
> or PLLs always being used loosely. Like the more pills, the more better or more
> powerful. When I heard of all the plls, in my mind I'm thinking of Phase Locked
> loop chips and at the amount being used, you would need a case for your radio
> the size of a briefcase. To me a Final transistor, a valve, NPN or PNP will
> amplify power and a Pll don't amplify power. I guess one of us is confused or
> maybe some of us.
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3496. Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 20:27:53 UTC
From: Michael <triple7@tb.rr.com>
Message-ID: <klhdlu8o025n31tm3091qt6d7grmgo83p4@4ax.com>
Source record: 132477

Just to piss off the trolls, look what I got on eBay. ICOM-756.
130 watts PEP, 55 watts deadkey AM. 1000's of "channels".
Guess you guys with no life will have to go after all the HF rigs, not
just the 10M junk.

3497. Re: Does illegally high powered CBs hurt anyone? It seems they do.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 20:32:28 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uldif0i8nk424e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132480

You dont rate a spellcheck

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:kfw59.9062$eK6.565267@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:ulcod3n6mk466b@corp.supernews.com...
> > If your still crying about an event 20 years ago you need profesional
> help.
> > The grief process should be 2 years MAX. People die all the time. I
> suggest
> > you start dealing with it. Life goes on, I suggest you get on board.
> > Ken
> >
> >
>
> OK, Doc. Anyway, you're(<--note the spelling) still a moron.
>
>

3498. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 20:34:19 UTC
From: ***** <no_flamers@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <qUz59.9045$u7.720856@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 132481

You Read MY post WRONG!!
GET OVER IT!!
I ain't never mentioned yo' name to begin with!!
SO, GET OVER IT!!!

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:ulda87ne328s1a@corp.supernews.com...
> You replied to the wrong post dip shit... I didn't say any of what you
> quoted! Get a clue!
>
> PH
>
>
> "A_CBer and Ham" <A_CBer@yourtower.com> wrote in message
> news:Ocv59.9032$u7.718993@news.direcpc.com...
> > What you cryin' bout Frmboybuck?
> >
> > I Earned my HAM License!
> >
> > You jus' squealin' like a piggy! You been watchin' "Deliverance" too
many
> > times!!
> >
> > So..Don't Be Telling Others that they "didn't Earn Shit"!
> > Why don't you smell what you shoveling!
> > Or go suck yo momma's cock like you been doin'!
> >
> >
> > "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> > news:ulbujjt11r4kf8@corp.supernews.com...
> > > I still like mine better.... :)
> > >
> > > PH
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3499. Re: Does illegally high powered CBs hurt anyone? It seems they do.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 20:35:17 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uldijufq9pif8e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132483

Already did, Luckily for me they used up their ammunition alotment shooting
black people 41 times each and the feds took away all the NYPD broomsticks
Ken
"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D569FCB.10791CBB@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Kenneth wrote:
> >
> > If your still crying about an event 20 years ago you need profesional
help.
> > The grief process should be 2 years MAX. People die all the time. I
suggest
> > you start dealing with it. Life goes on, I suggest you get on board.
> > Ken
>
> Go to NYC this September 11 and crack a few dumb jokes about dead
> loved ones to the families of those who lost loved ones in the WTC
> attack, and to some of the firemen and police and see how long you
> last. I ask again, how does it feel to be a first class SOB?

3500. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 20:35:25 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D56CA8D.5000307@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132475

Actually, "Aaron", I just got home from work, been gone a week, and I'm
just now reading through the groups, and answering the posts I feel need to
be answered in the order I read them...

But you go on living in your little egocentric world. Whatever.

You still need to do your research before you open your suck.

-SSB

Aaron H. Voobner wrote:

> SideBand abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org wrote:
>
>>Wrong...
>>
>
> Yes, I already acknowledged correction, but feel free to to pretend
> you didn't see Bert's post if you're in need of a little boost. The
> Novice/Tech/Tech Plus deal is easily confused, especially since at one
> time Novices only had voice privileges on 2 meters. -A
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3501. Re: cbs and helicopters etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 20:36:11 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uldilpb1efcva9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132482

Uh Oh..Randy and JJ are gonna start cying again
Ken
"LilyV7" <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020811133128.20193.00003031@mb-fr.aol.com...
> Well, got tired of my" high powered cb" only knocking 2-3 helicopters a
week
> out of the sky, so i thought of something better. I just finished building
a 10
> ft tall tesla coil in my garage. I cant wait to fire the sucker up. With
all
> the broad spectrum noise and harmonics it will generate, i should be able
to
> easily knock 10 to 15 helicopters out of the sky. I want to thank all the
> hambos out there that gave me this great idea,because of their assertion
that
> "harmonics " are capable of downing aircraft. Cool deal ! Hell, might
even
> get a few high performance aircraft while im at it!!!! :)
gunslinger
> (some of you guys should go into the business of writing childrens fairy
> tales,youll make a mint)
>

3502. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 20:36:42 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D56CADA.2010603@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132476

Hadn't read them yet.. I read the posts in the order they were posted, and
I've been gone all week.

Way to open YOUR cockholdster and let us know how ignorant you are.

-SSB

Me wrote:

> "SideBand" <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
> news:3D56A9D3.9090009@csotbs.org...
>
>>Wrong...
>>
>>Novices and tech plusses are permitted voice privileges, as well as CW, on
>>10 meters, and CW only on 15, 40, and 80.
>>
>>Furthermore, "No-Code" techs (the new techs), after passing a morse exam,
>>who haven't passed the General Theory yet, are permitted the same
>>privileges while their code certificate is valid, a period of one year.
>>
>>Get your story straight.. Check out
>>http://www.icomamerica.com/downloads/bandchar.pdf before you look silly
>>again...
>>
>>-SSB
>>
>
>
> Wow way to jump right in there Chris I guess you missed the other 5 guys
> posts who tell him the same thing about a week ago LOL way to make yourself
> look silly
>
>
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3503. Re: George Washington n freebanding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 20:39:01 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uldiqv4bqkk5f7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132485

G Gordon is awesome! Only time I get to listen to him if I go to Washington
or Baltimore. If your in south jersey you may be able to pick him up.
Sincerely
Ken
"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
news:20020811103316.01700.00002917@mb-ml.aol.com...
> Hey Ken,
> This is NOT a slam, but in reading what you posted here I'd have to say
you
> are a G.Gordon Liddy fan. Wish I could get his show up here in Jersey.
> Train
>
> >Subject: George Washington n freebanding
> >From: "Kenneth" pwrguy@intergrafix.net
> >Date: 8/10/02 10:01 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <ulbhbbj9jr9701@corp.supernews.com>
> >
> > General George Washington broke the law. He in effect said(with all the
> >founding fathers) the law is not right and this land is our land. He
> >rebelled against the lawfull government.
> > Freebanders break the law. Freebanders say the RF spectrum belong to
the
> >people, not to the FCC to sell off to the highest bidder to make the
> >government rich.
> > Luckily for the United States Voobs ancestors where in Norway chasing
> >sheep in 1776.
> > We hold these truths to be self evident. And we pledge our lives, our
> >fortunes and our sacred linier amps!!!
> > The FCC is illigal and NO_WHERE in the contitution is the FCC allowed.
Any
> >area not specifically covered by the constitution is under the athority
of
> >state government. A healthy distrust of government is the hallmark of a
free
> >thinking individual. Slavish devotion to the FCC is the mark of drone.
> >Sincerely
> >Ken
> >
>

3504. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 20:39:46 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D56CB92.2050200@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132478

Richard:

True..

Old novices who get the new "no code" tech license still retain their privileges on the HF bands.

New "no-code" techs who have not held a license previously, who have passed the code test but not the General Theory, have the old novice priv's on HF for a period of one year (the duration of the validity of their CW test cert), or so it has been explained to me. I could have been misinformed, however.

73

-SSB

Richard McCollum wrote:

> "SideBand" <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
> news:3D56A9D3.9090009@csotbs.org...
>
>>Wrong...
>>
>>Novices and tech plusses are permitted voice privileges, as well as CW,
>>
> on
>
>>10 meters, and CW only on 15, 40, and 80.
>>
>>Furthermore, "No-Code" techs (the new techs), after passing a morse
>>
> exam,
>
>>who haven't passed the General Theory yet, are permitted the same
>>privileges while their code certificate is valid, a period of one year.
>>
>>-SSB
>>
>>Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
>>
>>
>
> Actually the license and the cert are good for Novice privleges forever.
> It is just that the cert is no good for the code test after 365 days. If
> you have an old Novice and a new Tech they are good forever. The post 15

> April 00 rules don't need a lawyer, they need a seance.
>
>
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3505. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 20:40:36 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <8Zz59.7692$Ke2.649884@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132484

"Michael" <triple7@tb.rr.com> wrote in message
news:klhdlu8o025n31tm3091qt6d7grmgo83p4@4ax.com...
> Just to piss off the trolls, look what I got on eBay. ICOM-756.
> 130 watts PEP, 55 watts deadkey AM. 1000's of "channels".
> Guess you guys with no life will have to go after all the HF rigs, not
> just the 10M junk.
>
>

Why should anyone get pissed off? You bought a legal upfront piece of
gear.
You are, of course, a classic moron -- that is not a rig you refer to with
deadkey AM -- so it is a fair bet you will have it burned to a crisp in no
time. I will assume it has been opened up for 11 meters for that purpose.

So now you have a rig capable of all sorts of things, no license to use it
where the action is or will be in a few years when the spots go down and
an attitude that would flatten a rhino.

Pin a rose on your nose.

Dick N&#65533;BK

3506. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 20:40:46 UTC
From: ***** <no_flamers@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <r_z59.9046$u7.720796@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 132486

Sounds like you been cryin'!

I must have missed "60 Minutes", What is your point?

How am I a prime Example?

Who Isn't a prime Example?

I Proudly Standby the First Amendment Of The Constitution Of The United States Of America when dealing with bleeding-heart-liberals who bitch and compain without choosing to ACCEPT what is happening and Leaving it alone! You Just Diggin the hole deeper for yourself while others are stepping out of your destructive circle.

"Frmboybuck" <frmboybuck@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020811123143.04902.00002077@mb-cb.aol.com...
> I aint crying about nothing.....Im just telling you how it is....MOST HAMS ARE
> DICKHEADS... and you are a prime example:)

3507. Re: Van SWR can be lower?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 20:41:40 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D56CC04.6050200@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132479

Well, I think it's the length of the wire that's wrapped around the whip,
but I could be wrong there.

-SSB

Richard McCollum wrote:

> "SideBand" <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
> news:3D56B95D.9050100@csotbs.org...
>
>>Examples of "loaded" 5/8 wave antennas:
>>
>>Some of the Firesticks
>>Wilson SilverLoad antennas.
>>
>>The coils in these helically wound fiberglass supported antennas do
>>
> indeed
>
>>radiate, but not as well as a 22' whip with the proper L/C matching
>>circuitry at the feedpoint.
>>
>>But, Dick, you knew that already.
>>
>>-SSB
>>
>>
>
> Of course, but when you have a 5' or so stick of fibreglass and wrap it
> artistically with wire just what makes it a 5/8, a 1/4 wave, or 3/4 over
> 3/4 colinear? Damfino
>
>
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3508. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 20:47:39 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208111247.5b935b2f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132487

SideBand abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org wrote:
>
>That's a little misleading...
>
>All those frequencies higher than 28.000 aren't CB, they're
>HAM 10M... Best to stay away from those..
>
>As for the rest of the non-regular 40, use them at your own
>risk.

That's a little misleading. Both "ham 10M" and "the non-regular 40" are illegal for unlicensed use. So why the double standard? Why should he ignore one law and obey another? If he can use the freeband at his own risk, why shouldn't he use 10M at own his risk? (rhetorically speaking)

-Aaron-

3509. Re: KV4FZ set to return to 20 Meters!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 20:59:48 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <KpA59.79881$Z7.8362913@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132493
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

chessecutter <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0208102147.75c88499@posting.google.com...
> brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com (Brother Lloyd) wrote in message
news:<27e38977.0208091225.2b368fc5@posting.google.com>...
> > "moanfaggotsmoan" <iluvblbheiscute@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<eVC49.5256$M4.408404@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > >> > THIS IS WONDERFULL NEWS BROTHER LLOYD!!
> > > >
> > > > DO YOU KNOW WHY IT IS WONDERFULL NEWS ?
> > > >
> > > > BECAUSE EVER SINCE HERBIE-BABY DISSAPEARED
> > > > FROM 14.313 HAM RADIO HAS BECOME COMPLETELY
> > > > BORING. THERE IS NO DOUBT WHATSOEVER IN MY
> > > > MIND THAT WITHIN 3 HOURS OF KV4FZ APPEARING
> > > > ONCE AGAIN ON 14.313, YOU WILL SEE A RESTART
> > > > OF THE "10-YEARS BOOER-WARS" ON THE RADIO
> > > > THAT HASN'T BEEN SEEN IN MANY MANY YEARS.
> > > >
> > > > AT THAT MOMENT, HAM RADIO WILL HAVE "IT'S
> > > > ANSWER" TO COMEDY CENTRAL CABLE TV NETWORKS
> > > > AND IT WILL BE TEN-TIMES BETTER THAN THE FIRST
> > > > GO AROUND - MABEY EVEN BETTER THAN THE
> > > > INFAMOUS "MIKE AND JUDY SHOW".
> > > >
> > > > SHORTWAVE LISTENERS REJOICE!!!!!
> > > >
> > > > HAM RADIO IS ABOUT TO GET MUCH MORE AMUSING
> > > > IN THE NEAR FUTURE - GRIN-GRIN-GRIN-GRIN
> > > >
> > > > WHO NEEDS BROTHER STAIR AND HAL TURNIP WHEN
> > > > WE HAVE **LIVE INTERACTIVE** RADIO ENTERTAINMENT
> > > > BACK ON THE **MOTHER OF ALL FREQUENCIES**
> > > > THE ONE AND ONLY *** 14.313 ***
> > > >
> > > > WHOOOOOPPPIIEEEEEEEEEEE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > Yes, it will be a GREAT DAY! We will have the Roll Calls back too. We
can
> > have police reports read over the air. We can have all sorts of wild
music,
> > cat calls and people playing .wav files all day and night!
> >
> > 3950 will become "The most exciting frequency in Ham Radio" once again

too.
> > The "Netters" and the "Jammers" will again bring life to boring
Saturday
> > nights on the radio.
> >
> > And Mr. Hollingsworth will be powerless to stop it. You can take that
to
> > the bank!

Don't count on it. Mr. "Herbie's" return to the air is predicated on his
(as he put it) "learning the
hard way", and that "being off the air was the supreme punishment". When
he gets back on the
air, he'd better behave himself. The Amateur community begged for years for
strict enforcement and the weeding out of those who would make another
lawless "CB" band out of ham radio. Mr
Shoenbaum (sic?) was a a product of the days when FCC didn't really care
about ham and CB.
I would be willing to bet that, if those who were part of that "old" gang
are waiting with bated breath for the "old" days, they are going to be in
for a surprise. Not only Mr. Hollingsworth won't
tolerate such shenanagins again, but the Amateur community as a whole won't
have it either. Things
are changing, and clowns are going to be painting on sad faces in the coming
months.

J

3510. Re: Van SWR can be lower?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 21:09:41 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D56D299.6C51149@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132488

My .02: I have run Firestik KW-7's for years after trying what was
around back then (mid '80's). My RS magmount gave way to a K-40
magmount, which I traded an extra one for a KW-7. I put that
thang on my bumper with an RS dual-chain bumper mount. It was
about that time I got my PC-122. Skip cycle on the rise, I was
a DXing foole! Then I got a New Mosquito. It was a 1969 in a
small case about the size of a pack of smokes. One red pushbutton.
That was enough at the time to make a difference. Sounded like
sh!t on AM, kicked on SSB...

(Time warp to present)

I have since tried other antennas, grounding the mount, braid
to lid to metal, ground bypass on coax six feet from end, and
no antenna has performed as well with an acceptable (1.5:1 or less),
except a KW-7. I'll stick with what works, on 3 different vehicles.

SideBand wrote:
>
> Well, I think it's the length of the wire that's wrapped around the whip,
> but I could be wrong there.
>
> -SSB
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> > "SideBand" <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
> > news:3D56B95D.9050100@csotbs.org...
> >
> >>Examples of "loaded" 5/8 wave antennas:
> >>
> >>Some of the Firesticks
> >>Wilson SilverLoad antennas.
> >>
> >>The coils in these helically wound fiberglass supported antennas do
> >>
> > indeed
> >
> >>radiate, but not as well as a 22' whip with the proper L/C matching
> >>circuitry at the feedpoint.
> >>
> >>But, Dick, you knew that already.
> >>
> >>-SSB
> >>

> >>
> >
> > Of course, but when you have a 5' or so stick of fibreglass and wrap it
> > artistically with wire just what makes it a 5/8, a 1/4 wave, or 3/4 over
> > 3/4 colinear? Damfino
> >
> >
> >
>
> --
> 73 de AB8LR, Chris
>
> http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3511. Texas Star DX1600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 21:12:34 UTC
From: Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <uldkq4ehdgig4b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132489

Well it's been fun but its time to let it go. Texas Star DX1600 $550 plus shipping costs.

Thanks,
Mike
spam@zonenet.net

3512. Re: Auction Will Be Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 21:17:27 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uldl1q1aptajbb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132490

I must miss your point. The bike could do the quarter mile in the 11 - 12 second range. Assume you just did 1/8 mile and were going 120 miles per hour. Guess what? If you take the pedal off the metal and simply keep it at 120, you are going to turn in a NINE second quarter mile. The guy ended up having to sell the car because he was driving in his model A with his wife. A Harley tried to take him from a stop light, he opened it up a bit too much, pulled a wheelie, and his wife suffered whiplash. They showed up at a party that I was at. She was in a neckbrace and he offered to sell me the car for ten grand. I couldn't do it (besides, I would have had domestic problems from that transaction myself). Do the math. 6 seconds have elapsed. You are doing 120 miles per hour. That is 2 miles per 60 seconds (1 minute), 1 mile per 30 seconds, 1/2 mile per 15 seconds, 1/4 miles per 7.5 seconds, and 1/8 mile in 3.75 seconds. Add 3.75 seconds to the 6 seconds (ok, close to 10 seconds). My guess is that if he or you wanted to bet by the foot, he'd keep the pedal to the metal and you'd likely see him doing quite a bit more than 120 miles per hour and you'd likely see that 1/4 mile in less the 9 seconds. The whole thing is weight vs horsepower (oh, and with the unlimiteds a LONG wheel base to develope something to counter the tremendous torque at the rear wheels). I don't know what the car weighed; it had no hood and no doors. No cab. It was essentially a 600 horsepower engine on wheels (ok, 550 horsepower measured at the rear wheels). It was used for serious racing as well as show. BTW, all the Harley saw was smoke, burning rubber, and tail lights. Ron's wife gave him the choice; either that car went, or he went and she'd keep the house, the boat, etc.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

ps - why don't you take that nip bike and show the rails how to do it at the races?

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message news:fef386d5.0208102156.5b4cfd8a@posting.google.com...
> Oh come on Jim. What does that 1/8 mile stuff have to do with
> anything. The fact is the nip bike would take him off the line and
> take him in the straight away in the quarter mile. You can't really
> judge anything with 1/8 mile stuff. If that car hits 120 in 1/8 mile,
> then it is pretty close to topping out and that bike can, with a good
> rider, go over 150 mph. Give me a break already. You just did a
> number on that guy's head, and if he knew what he was doing , he
> should have taken that bet and your money, piece of cake....Isn't it
> time for your milk and cookies?

3513. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 21:20:53 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D56D53A.E9B8E854@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132491

SideBand wrote:
>
> That's a little misleading...
>
> All those frequencies higher than 28.000 aren't CB, they're HAM 10M... Best
> to stay away from those..
> As for the rest of the non-regular 40, use them at your own risk.
>
> -SSB
>

Lou, you should note on your chart what the other
assignments are for the frequencies listed. Things
like ham, CAP, broadcast remote and such. There are
things that are usable, one just must search.

3514. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 21:21:22 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uldl96cnt2un27@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132492

Geeze - besides which, who uses am anyways? That went the way of the dinosaurs back in the 60s.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:8Zz59.7692$Ke2.649884@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Michael" <triple7@tb.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:klhdlu8o025n31tm3091qt6d7grmgo83p4@4ax.com...
> > Just to piss off the trolls, look what I got on eBay. ICOM-756.
> > 130 watts PEP, 55 watts deadkey AM. 1000's of "channels".
> > Guess you guys with no life will have to go after all the HF rigs, not
> > just the 10M junk.
> >
> >
>
> Why should anyone get pissed off? You bought a legal upfront piece of
> gear.
> You are, of course, a classic moron -- that is not a rig you refer to with
> deadkey AM -- so it is a fair bet you will have it burned to a crisp in no
> time. I will assume it has been opened up for 11 meters for that purpose.
>
> So now you have a rig capable of all sorts of things, no license to use it
> where the action is or will be in a few years when the spots go down and
> an attitude that would flatten a rhino.
>
> Pin a rose on your nose.
>
> Dick NBK
>
>

3515. Re: 2950 Microphone Help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 21:30:14 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <aj6lfhhnu1@newsg4.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 132494

"Don Mc" <DonMc@nothere.com> wrote in message
news:aj0ink$17bpsm$1@ID-84462.news.dfncis.de...
> I thought I had heard of miswired mike's causing problems, thanks for the
> explanation. I found out the mike had been rewired to work correctly.
> However I did replace the 25 amp fuse with a 7 amp!
==

Phew.
Well spotted, I knew someone who had a big Yaesu which kept blowing
the mains fuse. He decided that the way to stop it happening was a bigger
fuse. It cooked the transformer... Ouch.

Regards,

Peter.

3516. Re: Auction Will Be Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 22:27:28 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208111427.6f380bda@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132495

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208091450.760deb51@posting.google.com>...
> Mad Dog wrote:
> >So-called illegal ops can go go into any truck stop and buy those
> >Galaxys all day long.............
>
> If they are running 25 watts instead of 4, or above and below channel
> 40, they are "illegal ops".
>
> -A

Not if they're licensed hams and in 10 meters they're not. But what
would YOU know about it?

3517. Re: CB Expanded channel guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 22:31:15 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208111431.69dbaaa8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132496

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<BGw59.7395$Ke2.627350@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> I'm glad you had a good chuckle... maybe now you'll post something that
> would be worthwhile here.

That'll be the day; he only crawls out from under his rock to turn up
the flames! He's never made a worthwhile post in his pathetic life.
LOL!!

> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> news:juu59.167844$vg.7099313@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >Professor is the same we just laugh at him.

3518. Re: SSB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 22:32:42 UTC
From: Peter Völpel <qro1750@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <3D56E60A.24DAE116@compuserve.de>
Source record: 132549

Hi Brainbuster,

DSB is a signal which includes 2 SB signals, usually with supressed carrier, the lower sideband and the upper sideband being used at the same
time but with different audiocontent, for reception you need to have both sidebandfilters and to generate the carrier as usual.
The signal contains no AM no FM, the bandwith is twice one sidebands width.

Peter

Brainbuster wrote:
>
> "OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
> news:20020807221630.10057.00001817@mb-cn.aol.com...
> >
> > How about DSB (Double Side Band)?
> ==
>
> DSB is any signal which carries both sidebands... including AM and FM, so
> bandwidth is twice that of SSB.
> You can have Suppressed Carrier DSB, but the bandwidth is still the same as
> for AM.
> I cannot see the point in SC DSB for communications such as CB etc. As you
> would still have to re-insert the carrier, you may as well go for the more
> efficient SSB.
>
> Regards,
>
> Peter.

3519. Re: KV4FZ set to return to 20 Meters!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 22:32:54 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208111432.5d8e7df2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132547

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208110020.207a837e@posting.google.com>...
> brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com (Brother Lloyd) wrote in message
news:<27e38977.0208091225.2b368fc5@posting.google.com>...
> > "moanfaggotsmoan" <iluvblbheiscute@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<eVC49.5256$M4.408404@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > >> > THIS IS WONDERFULL NEWS BROTHER LLOYD!!
> > > >
> > > > DO YOU KNOW WHY IT IS WONDERFULL NEWS ?
> > > >
> > > > BECAUSE EVER SINCE HERBIE-BABY DISSAPEARED
> > > > FROM 14.313 HAM RADIO HAS BECOME COMPLETELY
> > > > BORING. THERE IS NO DOUBT WHATSOEVER IN MY
> > > > MIND THAT WITHIN 3 HOURS OF KV4FZ APPEARING
> > > > ONCE AGAIN ON 14.313, YOU WILL SEE A RESTART
> > > > OF THE "10-YEARS BOOER-WARS" ON THE RADIO
> > > > THAT HASN'T BEEN SEEN IN MANY MANY YEARS.
> > > >
> > > > AT THAT MOMENT, HAM RADIO WILL HAVE "IT'S
> > > > ANSWER" TO COMEDY CENTRAL CABLE TV NETWORKS
> > > > AND IT WILL BE TEN-TIMES BETTER THAN THE FIRST
> > > > GO AROUND - MABEY EVEN BETTER THAN THE
> > > > INFAMOUS "MIKE AND JUDY SHOW".
> > > >
> > > > SHORTWAVE LISTENERS REJOICE!!!!!
> > > >
> > > > HAM RADIO IS ABOUT TO GET MUCH MORE AMUSING
> > > > IN THE NEAR FUTURE - GRIN-GRIN-GRIN-GRIN
> > > >
> > > > WHO NEEDS BROTHER STAIR AND HAL TURNIP WHEN
> > > > WE HAVE **LIVE INTERACTIVE** RADIO ENTERTAINMENT
> > > > BACK ON THE **MOTHER OF ALL FREQUENCIES**
> > > > THE ONE AND ONLY *** 14.313 ***
> > > >
> > > > WHOOOOOPPPIIEEEEEEEEEEE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > Yes, it will be a GREAT DAY! We will have the Roll Calls back too. We can
> > have police reports read over the air. We can have all sorts of wild music,
> > cat calls and people playing .wav files all day and night!
> >
> > 3950 will become "The most exciting frequency in Ham Radio" once again too.
> > The "Netters" and the "Jammers" will again bring life to boring Saturday
> > nights on the radio.
> >

> > And Mr. Hollingsworth will be powerless to stop it. You can take that to
> > the bank!
>
> I don't hear anything at all on those frequencies anymore except
> normal conversation since a bunch of hams got their licenses revoked
> by the FCC.
>
> -Aaron-

Then you haven't been listening. Of course, you can't when you spend
all day TROLLing the UseNet and eBay for so-called "illegal" radios!

3520. Re: BLJ-500A Amp Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 22:33:38 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208111433.34b74283@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132548

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208110043.3c7ca5de@posting.google.com>...
> "Chaotic Evil" <webdevil@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<jy759.8252$M4.663762@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > I have an old DJ Electronics BLJ-500A amp that uses nine
> >23JS6's. Does anyone have a schematic for this joker.
> >
> > Thanks
>
> "Chaotic Evil"? That's doesn't sound very friendly.
>
> -A

Your point?

3521. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 22:37:54 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208111437.30ffd560@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132550

tnom@mucks.net wrote in message news:<fu5dlu4k8gpgm9al7k717ebs53lpg0ditm@4ax.com>...
> snip
>
> >> You looked.....but you couldn't find. You don't have a clue as to
> >> who owns this and that. (other than Telstar)
> > >
> > >
> > >You think so tnom how about I give you some name would you know who they
> > >are?
> > >
> > >
> > That's not the question. I don't care who they are. You obviously do.
> > So let's hear it. Or are you just another Voobalike?

He IS Voobie in not-so-clever disquise. When Aanal Aaron gets cornered
spewing his lies, ME shows up to defend him. Strange, isn't it?

3522. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 22:46:18 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3D56E93A.9CE93B9B@optonline.net>
Source record: 132551
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Why do you???

Lone Seeker wrote:
>
> On Fri, 09 Aug 2002 12:43:27 -0400, Mark Russo <mrrusso@optonline.net> and
> friends scribbled:
>
> WHY do you jerks KEEP including alt.BINARIES.radio-scanner
> in the list of groups you're posting this DICUSSION to??
>
> To reply, post a message IN the group, I read here daily...

3523. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 22:58:37 UTC
From: Peter Völpel <qro1750@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <3D56EC1D.3F393FC3@compuserve.de>
Source record: 132552

Hi Jay,

Well, you did a very good deal with that amp for shure,
the Bird Wattmeter with 2 slugs and the dowkey coaxrelais being very
expensive already and as I understand everything else is first class !
Very good also with the power supply rating, so the 2200V are there
with full load.
For the 100W drive input there will be an attenuator switched in, this
is quite useful when using a standard transceiver of the 100W class.
Please watch grid current while driving with low power, there may me NO
CURRENT at all !
The tubes have Zero Watts grid dissipation and would burn out soon at
their grids !
I understand vacuum variable capacitors are sometimes more expensive in
the states as overhere in germany, but I bought also some on ebay USA.

Nice temperature overthere, just 85 here and tons of rain...

Peter

Jay in the Mojave wrote:
>
> Hello Peter:
>
> Thanks for the info and advice there.
>
> I have the 4 each pots mounted, and now I am wiring them in. This will
> allow the separate Potentiometer to adjust the bias voltage a few volts
> either way, allowing for the no signal plate current to be the same, in
> all 4 tubes.
>
> This home brew amp is a real beauty, it has a killer over designed power
> supply, which is rated at 2200 volts at 3 amps, I wired the transformer
> for 220 VAC operation. It has a Grid Voltage and matching Plate Current
> Meters along with the Bird Watt meter "Meter" that is round, mounted
> next to the square Grid and Plate Meters. The Forward watt meter slug is
> accessed from the front, but the reverse slug has to be changed by
> removing the bottom chassie plate. And the guy even had small peanut
> lights installed in the meters as back lighting, with a lighting control
> pot on the face of the amp. The band switching "Switch" is a new heavy
> duty Silver Plated Ceramic type switch, and is shaft coupled to the (RF
> isolated) input tuning tank circuits. Very cleaver.
>
> The switch over or PTT antenna switching is all down with brand new Dowl
> type coax relays, wonder what those cost?????

>
> It has a two power level inputs, 10 and 100 watts, that is selected from
> a switch on the front of the amp, don't know if I like that?
>
> The Amp Chassie is painted in Bright Crinkle Green paint and looks
> great, especially with the meters back lights on. Somebody spent a lot
> of time and money an this amp, and it was only a hundred dollars, plus
> the luxury of physically hauling it to the car with a large grin on my
> face. Its taken about a year to get all the parts that will fit. The
> power supply filter capacitors had to be replaced. The last part was the
> Pi Network Tuning Capacitor, that a Vacuum Variable fit in nicely, but
> left me down in funds for a few months, ... ouch! But this completed the
> missing parts, and allowed me to fire her up.
>
> Thanks for all the inputs and suggestions, and e-mails. Sure is nice to
> get accurate technical info.
>
> Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from
> the fillin station.
>
> 111 Degree's F yesterday.............
>
> Peter Vï¿½lpel wrote:
> >
> > Hi Jay,
> >
> > Usually it should be no problem to have the bias of 4 parallel tubes
> > the same value.
> > If the tubes differ in idle current, you adjust them on the same no
> > signal current with 4 different pots, but dynamically driven, they
> > differ anyway.
> > I once built an amp with 3 tubes parallel and that did very very nice,
> > found little higher EHT better for improved linearity (2500V)
> >
> > Good luck with your modification.
> > You were lucky to find 5W pots already...
> >
> > Peter
> >
> > Jay in the Mojave wrote:
> > >
> > > Hello Peter:
> > >
> > > The amp uses 4 each of the Eimac 4CX350A tubes, but uses only one bias
> > > voltage control, and a resistor network to have equal voltages at the
> > > grids.
> > >
> > > I am concerned that the tubes may need a slightly different bias voltage
> > > as compared to each other, to get "equal" no signal plate current.
> > >

> > > And a single source of bias voltage may not allow each tube to be dead
> > > nuts on the same no signal plate current. I have searched the stores and
> > > Surplus stores from Pasadena to Sun Valley, today, and found a box of 5
> > > watt Pots (I bought the hole box) that will allow each 4CX350A tube to
> > > have its own individually bias voltage adjusted for equal no signal
> > > plate currents.
> > >
> > > Tomorrow I'll mount the 4 each pots and adjust each tube for equal no
> > > signal plate current. And see.
> > >
> > > Comments, suggestions...........
> > >
> > > Jay in the Mojave
> > >
> > > Peter Vï¿½lpel wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Jay, I would adjust for 60 to 100mA anode-resting current, depending
> > > > on your anode and screen-voltage.
> > > > About 200W at the anode is OK for AB1
> > > >
> > > > Peter
> > > >
> > > > Jay in the Mojave wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Hello All:
> > > > >
> > > > > I have a Linear Amplifier that was a home brew unit I bought from the
> > > > > TRW Swap Meet. It needed a few small parts and such. The hole Pi network
> > > > > and switching relay, has taken quit some time to run down. But has a way
> > > > > over kill power supply and a regulated screen supply, and a high SWR
> > > > > warning light, with a Duel Slug Bird Wattmeter Cavity, and the Bird Watt
> > > > > Meter right on the face of the amp, well worth a the effort it was to
> > > > > lug home. This has taken about a year to complete, mostly finding the
> > > > > parts that will fit.
> > > > >
> > > > > I have adjusted the bias voltage to -80VDC, and the output signal looks
> > > > > good and is linear, sounds great.
> > > > >
> > > > > But I need the actual Eimac 4CX350A class AB1 bias voltage.
> > > > >
> > > > > Thanks.
> > > > >
> > > > > Jay in the Mojave

3524. Mobile Amp Forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 23:10:32 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020811191032.10018.00002636@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 132553

Has High, MED, LOW, REC, SSB, works fine, its nice and compact....i'll take 50 bucks for it, email me if your interested

yall have a nice one!

Thatz-me-JP

3525. Re: KV4FZ set to return to 20 Meters!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 23:14:50 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208111514.42bfd13b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132554

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208110020.207a837e@posting.google.com>...
> >I don't hear anything at all on those frequencies anymore except
> >normal conversation since a bunch of hams got their licenses revoked
> >by the FCC.
> >
>> -Aaron-
>
>Then you haven't been listening.

OK, give us callsigns of the violators you hear and the approximate
times you hear them.

-A

3526. Re: BLJ-500A Amp Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 23:16:55 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208111516.2df6ae10@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132555

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208110043.3c7ca5de@posting.google.com>...
> >"Chaotic Evil" <webdevil@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<jy759.8252$M4.663762@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > >I have an old DJ Electronics BLJ-500A amp that uses nine
> >>23JS6's. Does anyone have a schematic for this joker.
> > >
> > >Thanks
>
>> "Chaotic Evil"? That's doesn't sound very friendly.
> >
>> -A
>
>Your point?

That "chaotic evil" doesn't sound very friendly.

-A

3527. Re: Auction Will Be Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 23:22:32 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208111522.37633958@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132556

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208091450.760deb51@posting.google.com>...
> >Mad Dog wrote:
> >>So-called illegal ops can go go into any truck stop and buy those
> >>Galaxys all day long.....
> >
> >If they are running 25 watts instead of 4, or above and below the legal
> >40, they are "illegal ops".
> >
> >-A
>
>Not if they're licensed hams and in 10 meters they're not.

If they are licensed hams on 10 meters complying with Part 97, then
they are not bootlegging on unauthorized frequencies. Your point?

-A

3528. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 23:23:03 UTC
From: Michael <triple7@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <iesdlus3urf9e2hm14ru8ocd9gs6r04dmt@4ax.com>
Source record: 132557

On Sun, 11 Aug 2002 20:40:36 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>"Michael" <triple7@tb.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:klhdlu8o025n31tm3091qt6d7grmgo83p4@4ax.com...
>> Just to piss off the trolls, look what I got on eBay. ICOM-756.
>> 130 watts PEP, 55 watts deadkey AM. 1000's of "channels".
>> Guess you guys with no life will have to go after all the HF rigs, not
>> just the 10M junk.
>>
>>
>
>Why should anyone get pissed off? You bought a legal upfront piece of
>gear.
>You are, of course, a classic moron -- that is not a rig you refer to with
>deadkey AM -- so it is a fair bet you will have it burned to a crisp in no
>time. I will assume it has been opened up for 11 meters for that purpose.
>
>So now you have a rig capable of all sorts of things, no license to use it
>where the action is or will be in a few years when the spots go down and
>an attitude that would flatten a rhino.
>
>Pin a rose on your nose.
>
>Dick Nï¿½BK
>

FWIW, I am licensed amateur. The gist of the post was not meant for
anyone with a brain to take literally. It was meant for the dolts that
hang out in here, and on eBay.

3529. Re: New Uniden UH013 UHF CB and Scanner in One- REVIEWED ON Newcastle Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 23:24:36 UTC
From: Carsten Bauer <numloxxZZZ@ZZZiinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.08.12.07.24.26.815034.5529@ZZZiinet.net.au>
Source record: 132558
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

A creature known as djmickyp was heard to screech:

> Hello fellow hobbyists!!
>
> For a real review on the new all in one UHF CB and Scanner, head over to
> Newcastle Scan
> http://newcastlescan.tripod.com There's other info and freqs NO pop ups
> just a real
> EZINE style website...

Bullshit theres no popups...
First one was a Tripod members advert banner.

--
I'm not crazy, I just do what the Rice Crispies tell me to do
Anti-Spam: Remove all the Z's from email address to reply
PGP Key: www.ii.net/~numloxx/pgpkey.asc

3530. Re: Garage sale radio 10 meter conversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 23:26:17 UTC
From: MrMustangJoe@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8973-3D56F299-404@storefull-2234.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132561

Thanks for all the replies to my posting. I found out Saturday after taking the radio to a CB repair shop here in Mich that the radio was a 10 meter conversion. They told me whoever did the job knew what the hell he was doing. They installed a freq board and tuned it for the 10 meter band. The tech was impressed that the radio looked brand new and said it was in perfect working condition. I could care less, I have no desire to become a Ham operator. I thought I was getting a good deal on a 40 ch ssb radio, I should of knew better, when the girl told me all the stuff at the sale was from her departed fathers home who used to be a HAM. Well I have no use for this type of radio. Any
Trades? Looking for a 40 ch ssb radio that works. The radio I have is 40 ch ROADTALKER/RAIDER SIDEBANDER. The cb shop said it is based on the Sears Roadtalker models.

3531. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 23:31:16 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@charter.net>
Message-ID: <uldt0oe598ib81@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132559

Nice rig Michael.....I have had several here on the bench. Also the Pro and Pro II. If you use it on AM, give it some "breathing room". Roll it back to around 25-35 watts. It should peak around 60 or so with audio. I would not push it in any of the 100% duty cycle modes! Good luck.

Bill

--
Web Site: www.polkcounty.org/radiofun
ICQ: 11908449
Yahoo Mess: Hitradio93Q

"Michael" <triple7@tb.rr.com> wrote in message
news:klhdlu8o025n31tm3091qt6d7grmgo83p4@4ax.com...
> Just to piss off the trolls, look what I got on eBay. ICOM-756.
> 130 watts PEP, 55 watts deadkey AM. 1000's of "channels".
> Guess you guys with no life will have to go after all the HF rigs, not
> just the 10M junk.
>
>

3532. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 23:43:22 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <rYC59.37101$lP6.2352531@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132562

Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:uldl96cnt2un27@corp.supernews.com...
> Geeze - besides which, who uses am anyways? That went the way of the
> dinosaurs back in the 60s.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY

Waaaaaaal, Jim,

Actually, there are still a few AMers around on 3895, 7290-95, and 29.000.
I am not a regular
AMer, but I join in with the fellas from time to time. It is something
different, and is actually fun.

Jerry

> Jim
>
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:8Zz59.7692$Ke2.649884@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "Michael" <triple7@tb.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:klhdlu8o025n31tm3091qt6d7grmgo83p4@4ax.com...
> > > Just to piss off the trolls, look what I got on eBay. ICOM-756.
> > > 130 watts PEP, 55 watts deadkey AM. 1000's of "channels".
> > > Guess you guys with no life will have to go after all the HF rigs, not
> > > just the 10M junk.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Why should anyone get pissed off? You bought a legal upfront piece of
> > gear.
> > You are, of course, a classic moron -- that is not a rig you refer to
with
> > deadkey AM -- so it is a fair bet you will have it burned to a crisp in
no
> > time. I will assume it has been opened up for 11 meters for that
purpose.
> >
> > So now you have a rig capable of all sorts of things, no license to use
it
> > where the action is or will be in a few years when the spots go down and
> > an attitude that would flatten a rhino.
> >

> > Pin a rose on your nose.
> >
> > Dick N&#65533;BK
> >
> >
>
>

3533. Poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-11 23:43:42 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208111543.7afe20d3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132560

Flame away if you like, but I've been wondering lately if CB'ers abandon the bad habits learned from CB when they get ham licenses, or if they merely extend them into the ham radio hobby. So here's a question, and this one's a multiple choice. Complete the following sentence using either A, B, C, or D.

If I got a ham license, I would:

A) Obey the rules, as long as it didn't inconvenience me.

B) Obey only the rules I agree with.

C) Obey the rules, because they are the rules.

D) Obey the rules because it helps make the radio hobby a better experience for everyone.

-A

3534. Re: SSB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 00:21:16 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <0cD59.8584$Ep6.645675@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132564

"Peter V�lpel" <qro1750@compuserve.de> wrote in message
news:3D56E60A.24DAE116@compuserve.de...
> Hi Brainbuster,
>
> DSB is a signal which includes 2 SB signals, usually with supressed
> carrier, the lower sideband and the upper sideband being used at the
> same
> time but with different audiocontent, for reception you need to have
> both sidebandfilters and to generate the carrier as usual.
> The signal contains no AM no FM, the bandwith is twice one sidebands
> width.
>
> Peter

Hi Peter.

What you describe as DSB was called ISB (Independent Sideband) last I looked.

When Art Collins was selling his SSB system to the US Air Force Strategic Air Command, General Electric was selling a system of DSB which was just as described, AM with a suppressed carrier, which they proposed to use with synchronous detection to allow, among a lot of other things, channel spacing at 2 kHz. Yes, channels were overlapped but as the story ran the output would be that identical to both sides so interference was ignored. So it was not the bandwidth hog one might expect. It really did not work with vacuum-tube technology.

Because DSB is so easy to generate it was used during the dying days of AM on the ham bands. One tunes it like SSB but it is more critical.

Surprisingly, because there is really no reason for it, the Stereo and SAP (second audio program) subcarriers on U.S. analog television signals are DSB rather than FM as one would reasonably expect. And there is some interstitial DSB being used in the VHF region between the FM channels, again with synchronous detection and for the moment only a partially suppressed carrier.

This is one of those terminology issues for which electronics is famous.

Dick N�BK

3535. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 00:27:44 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D570100.30307@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132563

If he uses 10M frequencies, he'll piss off alot of HAMs in his area, and
will get caught.

If he freebands, he may or may not get caught.

Personally, I don't care if he freebands, that's his choice. However, if he
decides to wander into territory that others (myself included) have earned
the liberty to transmit on, he's just begging for trouble.

Besides, Voob... You are still misleading people... don't try to push that
onus off on someone else. You're not clever enough to win that particular
debate.

-SSB

Aaron H. Voobner wrote:

> SideBand abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org wrote:
>
>>That's a little misleading...
>>
>>All those frequencies higher than 28.000 aren't CB, they're
>>HAM 10M... Best to stay away from those..
>>
>>As for the rest of the non-regular 40, use them at your own
>>risk.
>>
>
> That's a little misleading. Both "ham 10M" and "the non-regular 40"
> are illegal for unlicensed use. So why the double standard? Why should
> he ignore one law and obey another? If he can use the freeband at his
> own risk, why shouldn't he use 10M at own his risk? (rhetorically
> speaking)
>
> -Aaron-
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3536. Re: Poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 00:31:05 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9267B25582610subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 132565

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in
news:c9ceae5b.0208111543.7afe20d3@posting.google.com:

> Flame away if you like, but I've been wondering lately if CB'ers
> abandon the bad habits learned from CB when they get ham licenses, or
> if they merely extend them into the ham radio hobby. So here's a
> question, and this one's a multiple choice. Complete the following
> sentence using either A, B, C, or D.
>
> If I got a ham license, I would:
>
> A) Obey the rules, as long as it didn't inconvenience me.
>
> B) Obey only the rules I agree with.
>
> C) Obey the rules, because they are the rules.
>
> D) Obey the rules because it helps make the radio hobby a better
> experience for everyone.
>
> -A
>

D. The FCC doesn't make rules for rules sake. If followed, they really do make the radio more enjoyable for everyobody. Taken down to their essence, they simply state "Be good to your neighbor".

The guy who built my motorcycle has a set of shop rules. The first one reads: "Rule number one - Rules are for idiots". If people, by their good nature operated in a responsible manner, there would be no need for rules. When idiots do stupid things because "the rules don't say I can't", then a new rule gets created. Before the FCC even existed, early amateurs toyed around with spark gap transmitters. These consumed enormous amounts of bandwidth, and caused alot of interference. Now, I doubt these amateurs were deliberately causing interference. They probably didn't know any better. That brings up another cause for rules: Ignorance. That's not a put-down, either. Not everybody knows everything. Many rules exist to protect the ignorant from themselves, and they can protect others from the ignorant. In a way, this is a good thing. Later on, when you develop in your understanding of how certain things work, you will say "Ah! THAT'S why that rule is there!"

Perhaps after you have achieved a great deal of knowledge in a certain area, you still don't see the reason for a particular rule. Do you disobey the rule? No! You seek to change it. An example of this that I've

just recently become aware of is the new amateur code requirements. I was astonished to learn that today, you can hold an Amateur Extra class license, and only have to demonstrate a mere 5wpm. Wow! Before this rule change, I think it was like 20wpm. Now, what if it still was? And what if people said "the hell with it" and used frequencies only available to Extra Class holders? Isn't it better that a group of people saw a rule they thought was silly, and got it changed? THAT'S the way is should work.

(Side note: Personally, I think 5wpm is kind of silly. Still, I'm not complaining. It WILL make getting an Extra Class ticket much easier. It just won't feel like as much of an accomplishment, that's all. And I tip my hat to the old-timers who REALLY earned that distinction.)

If you can't get a rule changed, and feel VERY strongly about your position you can disregard the rule. BUT and I mean a *BIG* BUT - you also *have* to be willing to accept the consequences for breaking the rule. Our founding fathers disregarded some rules, but there is a difference: The issues at hand to them were so fundamental (freedom, etc) that they were willing to die for what they believed in. They were willing to suffer the consequences for breaking the rules. Do I wish I could run a linear on CB? Yes. Am I willing to fork over $7,000 in penalties for my wish? Hell no. So rather than BREAK the rules, I have decided to work WITH them. The rules say that if I become a licensed Amateur, I can legally do many of the things that I want to (like use more power, frequencies, etc). Is that too much to ask? I would be a real mental pussy if I said "It's too hard to get a license". Saying that is akin to saying "I'm too stupid to learn something new". Please.

I can't wait.

-Alan S.

3537. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 00:47:55 UTC
From: chuck & shirley hawks <s_hawks@bellsouth.com>
Message-ID: <EoD59.81648$Z7.9299999@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132566
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Probably because someone had alt.binaries.radio-scanner in the original newsgroups posting and most are just replying to group and that means alt.binaries.radio.-scanner also.

Chuck

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@optonline.net> wrote in message news:3D56E93A.9CE93B9B@optonline.net...
> Why do you???
>
> Lone Seeker wrote:
> >
> > On Fri, 09 Aug 2002 12:43:27 -0400, Mark Russo <mrrusso@optonline.net> and
> > friends scribbled:
> >
> > WHY do you jerks KEEP including alt.BINARIES.radio-scanner
> > in the list of groups you're posting this DICUSSION to??
> >
> > To reply, post a message IN the group, I read here daily...

3538. Re: Poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 00:54:34 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ule1rjmuctct60@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132567

None of the above.
or
E: Obey the rulz cause allot of ham's worship richefurher Hollingsworthless and are rat finks.

F: Obey the rulz cause Hams get busted while CB'ers get DOWWNNN:)

G: Obey the rulz and regs but twist the knife on legal behavior that drives Hams nuts ie. "Baba Boeey", "Man before I got my letters I had the BADDEST 32 Pill you eversaw!" 10-4 Good Buddy, Call Ever Ham "good buddy" and STILL use my cb Handle.

H: Obey the rulz cause there is no FCC detector.

I: Obey the rulz cause in my big rig I still have that Galaxy and it aint barefoot...Hammer DOOWWNNNNNN.

J: I'd always be myself and screw the morons who will ALLWAYS hate cause I used a cb once.

Sincerely
Ken

3539. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 00:59:25 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ule236js0rp99c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132569

I thought there was an active group of Hams that still use "ancient
modulation"
Heck there is an active groups that still use the IBM PC 5150, I very active
group that use the old PS/2's from IBM and swear they are the best PC ever
made.
Some people still grind telescope optics by hand.
Sincerely
Ken
"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:uldl96cnt2un27@corp.supernews.com...
> Geeze - besides which, who uses am anyways? That went the way of the
> dinosaurs back in the 60s.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:8Zz59.7692$Ke2.649884@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "Michael" <triple7@tb.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:klhdlu8o025n31tm3091qt6d7grmgo83p4@4ax.com...
> > > Just to piss off the trolls, look what I got on eBay. ICOM-756.
> > > 130 watts PEP, 55 watts deadkey AM. 1000's of "channels".
> > > Guess you guys with no life will have to go after all the HF rigs, not
> > > just the 10M junk.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > Why should anyone get pissed off? You bought a legal upfront piece of
> > gear.
> > You are, of course, a classic moron -- that is not a rig you refer to
with
> > deadkey AM -- so it is a fair bet you will have it burned to a crisp in
no
> > time. I will assume it has been opened up for 11 meters for that
purpose.
> >
> > So now you have a rig capable of all sorts of things, no license to use
it
> > where the action is or will be in a few years when the spots go down and
> > an attitude that would flatten a rhino.
> >

> > Pin a rose on your nose.
> >
> > Dick N&#65533;BK
> >
> >
>
>

3540. Re: Poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 00:59:45 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5MD59.8632$Ep6.649446@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132568

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9267B25582610subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in
> news:c9ceae5b.0208111543.7afe20d3@posting.google.com:
>
> > Flame away if you like, but I've been wondering lately if CB'ers
> > abandon the bad habits learned from CB when they get ham licenses, or
> > if they merely extend them into the ham radio hobby. So here's a
> > question, and this one's a multiple choice. Complete the following
> > sentence using either A, B, C, or D.
> >
> > If I got a ham license, I would:
> >
> > A) Obey the rules, as long as it didn't inconvenience me.
> >
> > B) Obey only the rules I agree with.
> >
> > C) Obey the rules, because they are the rules.
> >
> > D) Obey the rules because it helps make the radio hobby a better
> > experience for everyone.
> >
> > -A
> >
>
> D. The FCC doesn't make rules for rules sake. If followed, they really
do
> make the radio more enjoyable for everyobody. Taken down to their
> essence, they simply state "Be good to your neighbor".
>
> The guy who built my motorcycle has a set of shop rules. The first one
> reads: "Rule number one - Rules are for idiots". If people, by their
good
> nature operated in a responsible manner, there would be no need for
> rules. When idiots do stupid things because "the rules don't say I
> can't", then a new rule gets created. Before the FCC even existed, early
> amateurs toyed around with spark gap transmitters. These consumed
> enormous amounts of bandwidth, and caused alot of interference. Now, I
> doubt these amateurs were deliberately causing interference. They
> probably didn't know any better. That brings up another cause for rules:
> Ignorance. That's not a put-down, either. Not everybody knows
everything.
> Many rules exist to protect the ignorant from themselves, and they can
> protect others from the ignorant. In a way, this is a good thing. Later

> on, when you develop in your understanding of how certain things work,
> you will say "Ah! THAT'S why that rule is there!"
>
> Perhaps after you have achieved a great deal of knowledge in a certain
> area, you still don't see the reason for a particular rule. Do you
> disobey the rule? No! You seek to change it. An example of this that
I've
> just recently become aware of is the new amateur code requirements. I
was
> astonished to learn that today, you can hold an Amateur Extra class
> license, and only have to demonstrate a mere 5wpm. Wow! Before this rule
> change, I think it was like 20wpm. Now, what if it still was? And what
if
> people said "the hell with it" and used frequencies only available to
> Extra Class holders? Isn't it better that a group of people saw a rule
> they thought was silly, and got it changed? THAT'S the way is should
> work.
>
> (Side note: Personally, I think 5wpm is kind of silly. Still, I'm not
> complaining. It WILL make getting an Extra Class ticket much easier. It
> just won't feel like as much of an accomplishment, that's all. And I tip
> my hat to the old-timers who REALLY earned that distinction.)
>
> If you can't get a rule changed, and feel VERY strongly about your
> position you can disregard the rule. BUT and I mean a *BIG* BUT - you
> also *have* to be willing to accept the consequences for breaking the
> rule. Our founding fathers disregarded some rules, but there is a
> difference: The issues at hand to them were so fundamental (freedom,
etc)
> that they were willing to die for what they believed in. They were
> willing to suffer the consequences for breaking the rules. Do I wish I
> could run a linear on CB? Yes. Am I willing to fork over $7,000 in
> penalties for my wish? Hell no. So rather than BREAK the rules, I have
> decided to work WITH them. The rules say that if I become a licensed
> Amateur, I can legally do many of the things that I want to (like use
> more power, frequencies, etc). Is that too much to ask? I would be a
real
> mental pussy if I said "It's too hard to get a license". Saying that is
> akin to saying "I'm too stupid to learn something new". Please.
>
> I can't wait.
>
> -Alan S.
>

1. Thanks for the complement but 20 wpm at the time and place I did it was
not the grim scene it might appear to be today. But those blizzards as we
walked and crawled on our knees to the FCC office uphill in both
directions...

2. I am willing to help anyone but the reality is that I am both older and farther away from the scene than some others. The guy you want to waylay is Bert WA2SI (Watusi) one of the many groupies who has done the full monty recently.

3. I also think the 5wpm is silly but the international treaty governing radio DEFINES an amateur as coded so until the Gnomes of Geneva get together to fix it in 2003 - and it is far from a done deal, it has been postponed twice before -- the code will be with us.

Dick N&#65533;BK

3541. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 01:03:44 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ule2b9f0h4l1fd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132571

Hey Mike,
Right now voob is dying to respond......You know he is probably losing
sleep over this..maybe even an ulcer:)
Ken
"Michael" <triple7@tb.rr.com> wrote in message
news:klhdlu8o025n31tm3091qt6d7grmgo83p4@4ax.com...
> Just to piss off the trolls, look what I got on eBay. ICOM-756.
> 130 watts PEP, 55 watts deadkey AM. 1000's of "channels".
> Guess you guys with no life will have to go after all the HF rigs, not
> just the 10M junk.
>
>

3542. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 01:03:48 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208111703.486ca7a4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132570

SideBand abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org wrote:
>
>If he uses 10M frequencies, he'll piss off alot of HAMs in
>his area, and will get caught.
>
>If he freebands, he may or may not get caught.

So you are advising him to obey the law in places where there is a high chance of getting caught, but to take a risk in places where there is a low chance of getting caught. Do you agree with that?

>Personally, I don't care if he freebands, that's his choice.
>However, if he decides to wander into territory that others
>(myself included) have earned the liberty to transmit on,
>he's just begging for trouble.

A number of Fixed/Mobile,Government, Research, and Commercial users also have been assigned "territory" that they have "earned". They have the liberty to transmit on their assigned frequencies at 26.1-26.965 and 27.405-28.0. Or do you disagree with that?

-Aaron-

3543. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 01:13:27 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020811211327.00659.00002840@mb-mi.aol.com>
Source record: 132572

leave it at 30 watts dead key or itll sound like shit and be too hot

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3544. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 01:25:22 UTC
From: Michael <triple7@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <ne3elukik37hbti3niv1salslg37nni4m1@4ax.com>
Source record: 132573

On Sun, 11 Aug 2002 21:03:44 -0400, "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
wrote:

>Hey Mike,
> Right now voob is dying to respond......You know he is probably losing
>sleep over this..maybe even an ulcer:)
>Ken

He's probably over on mods.dk making a list and checking it twice
before he heads on over to eBay and masturbates to SafeHarbor.

3545. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 01:33:44 UTC
From: Michael <triple7@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <ek3elug034l1g5hsog3tl7g0bqmd6emqkm@4ax.com>
Source record: 132574

On 12 Aug 2002 01:13:27 GMT, timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com)
wrote:

>leave it at 30 watts dead key or itll sound like shit and be too hot
>

I was talking to some guy down in the Caribbean for an hour earlier
on 50.4 MHz AM, using the radio's spec max of 40 watts. The radio was
cool, and we heard each other pretty good considering I was using a
Comet GP-15 vertical antenna. (and this one is for you Voobner) When I
go down to 11 meters I only use about 10 watts. It's enough to get out
with my old Solarcon-99 60' in the air.

3546. Re: BLJ-500A Amp Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 01:39:46 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <CIE59.173611$vg.7552848@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132576

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208111433.34b74283@posting.google.com...
> Your point?

Your point in replying ? Just to Troll?

3547. Re: CB Expanded channel guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 01:41:58 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <GnE59.26942$Ce.1483428@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132578

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208111431.69dbaaa8@posting.google.com...

> That'll be the day; he only crawls out from under his rock to turn up
> the flames! He's never made a worthwhile post in his pathetic life.
> LOL!!

Kinda like you LOL

3548. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 01:42:07 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208111742.1ef4b6d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132577

SideBand wrote:
>If they're going to freeband, they're going to freeband,
>there's nothing I can do about that, save reporting
>incursions into the 10M band when I come
>across them. I'm not going to try to play "den mother"
>as you are here. That's not my job.

OK, but you said:

>>Personally, I don't care if he freebands, that's his choice.
>>However, if he decides to wander into territory that others
>>(myself included) have earned the liberty to transmit on,
>>he's just begging for trouble.

And I pointed out that the so-called "freeband" is ALSO territory
likewise owned by legitimate users that have ALSO earned the liberty
to transmit there. Just like you did. Same FCC that granted you a
license to use your frequencies granted these people a license to use
their frequencies. Knowing this, why do you advise him to risk
trespassing on the neighbors territory - but not yours?

-Aaron-

3549. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 01:42:10 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D571272.8090303@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132575

No, voob..

again (while posting in response to a post other than the one you're quoting), you choose to "spin" what someone says...

If they're going to freeband, they're going to freeband, there's nothing I can do about that, save reporting incursions into the 10M band when I come across them. I'm not going to try to play "den mother" as you are here. That's not my job.

SO go crawl back under your rock, and learn to use a newsreader properly.

-SSB

Aaron H. Voobner wrote:

> SideBand abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org wrote:
>
>>If he uses 10M frequencies, he'll piss off alot of HAMs in
>>his area, and will get caught.
>>
>>If he freebands, he may or may not get caught.
>>
>
> So you are advising him to obey the law in places where there is a
> high chance of getting caught, but to take a risk in places where
> there is a low chance of getting caught. Do you agree with that?
>
>
>>Personally, I don't care if he freebands, that's his choice.
>>However, if he decides to wander into territory that others
>>(myself included) have earned the liberty to transmit on,
>>he's just begging for trouble.
>>
>
> A number of Fixed/Mobile,Government, Research, and Commercial users
> also have been assigned "territory" that they have "earned". They have
> the liberty to transmit on their assigned frequencies at 26.1-26.965
> and 27.405-28.0. Or do you disagree with that?
>
> -Aaron-
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3550. Re: KV4FZ set to return to 20 Meters!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 01:43:36 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <cpE59.202726$nm.8377035@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132579

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208111432.5d8e7df2@posting.google.com...
> Then you haven't been listening. Of course, you can't when you spend
> all day TROLLing the UseNet and eBay for so-called "illegal" radios!

And you spend all day Trolling Aaron's posts so I guess your even HUH Dick
Head?

3551. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 01:57:33 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <hCE59.108723$6Z1.4579912@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132580

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:afbdlu4at17o94ogtj5qu6kgs0off9p7h7@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 11 Aug 2002 17:14:33 GMT,

> And you don't. Otherwise you would expanded upon your original
> statement/brag.

No brag Tnom, oh its true I will put them on the NG when I want to, besides it isn't like the names haven't been posted out here before are you that stupid tnom. I will post them at my disgression and not your request.

> I personally couldn't care less who they are, but from the standpoint
> of exposing you as a braggart it might get interesting. The point is
> that you can't back up your original statement with some names.
> You are a braggart. Prove me wrong.

Oh you must care to keep replying beggging for names As noted in the above post I will give them at my disgresion the names are out there in the archives as well get off your lazy ass or pay me for the info it is that simple. I have nothing to prove I know what the truth is it is you that doesn't want to accept it LOL so unless there is a financial stake which will show how serious I am lets see how serious you are I will prove you wrong for $500 plain and simple, we can do it thru paypal. Remember it's not bragging when it is the truth. Ready to be proven wrong?

3552. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 01:58:34 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <eDE59.202831$nm.8385174@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132582

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020811145627.08599.00002788@mb-fx.aol.com...
> George,The list is to big but let me give you a couple.Hygain
> ,D&A,Firebird,Texas star etc... old companys but they were all hams.Now go
> wheel your self back in you corner.

Aren't these 10 meter amps?
Now get off your hands and knees I know when I am licked.

3553. Re: Poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 02:03:59 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <jIE59.108831$6Z1.4583403@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132583

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ule1rjmuctct60@corp.supernews.com...
> None of the above.
> or
> E: Obey the rulz cause allot of ham's worship richefurher
Hollingsworthless
> and are rat finks.
>
> F: Obey the rulz cause Hams get busted while CB'ers get DOWWNNN:)
>
> G: Obey the rulz and regs but twist the knife on legal behavior that
drives
> Hams nuts ie. "Baba Boeey", "Man before I got my letters I had the BADDEST
> 32 Pill you eversaw!" 10-4 Good Buddy, Call Ever Ham "good buddy" and
STILL
> use my cb Handle.

> H: Obey the rulz cause there is no FCC detector.
>
> I: Obey the rulz cause in my big rig I still have that Galaxy and it aint
> barefoot...Hammer DOOWWNNNNNN.

> J: I'd always be myself and screw the morons who will ALLWAYS hate cause I
> used a cb once.

> Sincerely
> Ken

Like anyone expected a legitimate answer from this moron.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

3554. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 02:04:27 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <LIE59.202918$nm.8388052@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132584

And your whacking your joystick around the room.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ule2b9f0h4l1fd@corp.supernews.com...
> Hey Mike,
> Right now voob is dying to respond......You know he is probably losing
> sleep over this..maybe even an ulcer:)
> Ken
> "Michael" <triple7@tb.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:klhdlu8o025n31tm3091qt6d7grmgo83p4@4ax.com...
> > Just to piss off the trolls, look what I got on eBay. ICOM-756.
> > 130 watts PEP, 55 watts deadkey AM. 1000's of "channels".
> > Guess you guys with no life will have to go after all the HF rigs, not
> > just the 10M junk.
> >
> >
>
>

3555. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 02:05:52 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D571800.8070807@csotbs.org>
Source record: 132581

So I'm supposed to police my territory and others'? Let them file
complaints to the FCC if it's bothering them. That's their job.

It's my job to police my own, and that's all I'm going to do.

Sorry, Den Mother, you're not going to catch me on this one. You're right.
I don't care if they freeband, so long as they stay out of the 10 and 12M
HAM bands.

-SSB

Aaron H. Voobner wrote:

> SideBand wrote:
>
>>If they're going to freeband, they're going to freeband,
>>there's nothing I can do about that, save reporting
>>incursions into the 10M band when I come
>>across them. I'm not going to try to play "den mother"
>>as you are here. That's not my job.
>>
>
> OK, but you said:
>
>
>>>Personally, I don't care if he freebands, that's his choice.
>>>However, if he decides to wander into territory that others
>>>(myself included) have earned the liberty to transmit on,
>>>he's just begging for trouble.
>>>
>
> And I pointed out that the so-called "freeband" is ALSO territory
> likewise owned by legitimate users that have ALSO earned the liberty
> to transmit there. Just like you did. Same FCC that granted you a
> license to use your frequencies granted these people a license to use
> their frequencies. Knowing this, why do you advise him to risk
> trespassing on the neighbors territory - but not yours?
>
> -Aaron-
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3556. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 02:07:34 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208111807.261c046d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132585

SideBand wrote:
>So I'm supposed to police my territory and others'?
>Let them file complaints to the FCC if it's bothering them.
>That's their job.
>
>It's my job to police my own, and that's all I'm going to do.
>

No problem, Chris. Some people are good neighbors. Others aren't.
Thanks for your opinions.

Sincerely,
-Aaron-

3557. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 02:13:13 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D56FADF.78FCCCBF@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132586

Richard McCollum wrote:

>
> Why should anyone get pissed off? You bought a legal upfront piece of
> gear.
> You are, of course, a classic moron -- that is not a rig you refer to with
> deadkey AM -- so it is a fair bet you will have it burned to a crisp in no
> time. I will assume it has been opened up for 11 meters for that purpose.

And it doesn't have 1000's of channels either. The ham bands are
not channalized.

3558. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 02:15:30 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6TE59.8720$Ep6.658219@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132587

"Michael" <triple7@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:ek3elug034l1g5hsog3tl7g0bqmd6emqkm@4ax.com...
> On 12 Aug 2002 01:13:27 GMT, timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com)
> wrote:
>
> >leave it at 30 watts dead key or itll sound like shit and be too hot
> >
>
> I was talking to some guy down in the Caribbean for an hour earlier
> on 50.4 MHz AM, using the radio's spec max of 40 watts. The radio was
> cool, and we heard each other pretty good considering I was using a
> Comet GP-15 vertical antenna. (and this one is for you Voobner) When I
> go down to 11 meters I only use about 10 watts. It's enough to get out
> with my old Solarcon-99 60' in the air.

Still sounds like a vast effort to avoid a half-vast code test.

3559. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 02:33:39 UTC
From: Michael <triple7@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <ka7elu8vcr1cj6l555edlrckcah4nuqqm4@4ax.com>
Source record: 132588

On Mon, 12 Aug 2002 02:15:30 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>"Michael" <triple7@nospam.com> wrote in message
>news:ek3elug034l1g5hsog3tl7g0bqmd6emqkm@4ax.com...
>> On 12 Aug 2002 01:13:27 GMT, timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com)
>> wrote:
>>
>> >leave it at 30 watts dead key or itll sound like shit and be too hot
>> >
>>
>> I was talking to some guy down in the Caribbean for an hour earlier
>> on 50.4 MHz AM, using the radio's spec max of 40 watts. The radio was
>> cool, and we heard each other pretty good considering I was using a
>> Comet GP-15 vertical antenna. (and this one is for you Voobner) When I
>> go down to 11 meters I only use about 10 watts. It's enough to get out
>> with my old Solarcon-99 60' in the air.
>
>Still sounds like a vast effort to avoid a half-vast code test.
>

I got my tech license in March 2001 and upgraded to "general" in July
2001. I've been on 11 meters for 20 years since I was a teenager, and
didn't give it up just because I have a license now.

3560. Re: SSB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 02:40:41 UTC
From: Peter Völpel <qro1750@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <3D572029.4A41F28A@compuserve.de>
Source record: 132589

Hi Dick,

You are right, as I described it, it is ISB which in fact is DSB but
with independant audio in the upper / lower sideband.
With DSB you get supressed carrier and the same modulation in both
sidebands. So with that if one sideband is covered by qrm you still have
the other one to copy.

Here in Germany analog television generally is AM, interesting what you
write about the SAP and stereo subcarriers. I do not know too much about
our commercial systems but maybe it is likewise, I will find out

73

Peter, DF3KV

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Peter Vi¿½lpel" <qro1750@compuserve.de> wrote in message
> news:3D56E60A.24DAE116@compuserve.de...
> > Hi Brainbuster,
> >
> > DSB is a signal which includes 2 SB signals, usually with supressed
> > carrier, the lower sideband and the upper sideband being used at the
> > same
> > time but with different audiocontent, for reception you need to have
> > both sidebandfilters and to generate the carrier as usual.
> > The signal contains no AM no FM, the bandwith is twice one sidebands
> > width.
> >
> > Peter
>
> Hi Peter.
>
> What you describe as DSB was called ISB (Independent Sideband) last I
> looked.
>
> When Art Collins was selling his SSB system to the US Air Force Strategic
> Air Command, General Electric was selling a system of DSB which was just
> as described, AM with a suppressed carrier, which they proposed to use
> with synchronous detection to allow, among a lot of other things, channel
> spacing at 2 kHz. Yes, channels were overlapped but as the story ran the
> output would be that identical to both sides so interference was ignored.
> So it was not the bandwidth hog one might expect. It really did not work
> with vacuum-tube technology.
>

> Because DSB is so easy to generate it was used during the dying days of AM
> on the ham bands. One tunes it like SSB but it is more critical.
>
> Surprisingly, because there is really no reason for it, the Stereo and SAP
> (second audio program) subcarriers on U.S. analog television signals are
> DSB rather than FM as one would reasonably expect. And there is some
> interstitial DSB being used in the VHF region between the FM channels,
> again with synchronous detection and for the moment only a partially
> suppressed carrier.
>
> This is one of those terminology issues for which electronics is famous.
>
> Dick Nï¿½BK

3561. Re: SSB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 02:44:29 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D570233.BE1B4BD4@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132590

Peter Vï¿½lpel wrote:
>
> Hi Brainbuster,
>
> DSB is a signal which includes 2 SB signals, usually with supressed
> carrier, the lower sideband and the upper sideband being used at the
> same
> time but with different audiocontent, for reception you need to have
> both sidebandfilters and to generate the carrier as usual.
> The signal contains no AM no FM, the bandwith is twice one sidebands
> width.
>

In DSB the audio content of both sidebands is the same. You may be
thinking of ISB.

3562. Re: SSB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 03:04:41 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dBF59.8767$Ep6.663307@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132591

"Peter V�lpel" <qro1750@compuserve.de> wrote in message
news:3D572029.4A41F28A@compuserve.de...
> Hi Dick,
>
> You are right, as I described it, it is ISB which in fact is DSB but
> with independant audio in the upper / lower sideband.
> With DSB you get supressed carrier and the same modulation in both
> sidebands. So with that if one sideband is covered by qrm you still have
> the other one to copy.
>
> Here in Germany analog television generally is AM, interesting what you
> write about the SAP and stereo subcarriers. I do not know too much about
> our commercial systems but maybe it is likewise, I will find out
>
> 73
>
> Peter, DF3KV

In U.S. and I believe most FM broadcast systems the four subcarriers are FM, the stereo, what we call the SCA, a third one that wasn't used a lot and the new fourth one that I am not all that familiar with that tells one what he is tuned to and what is playing which is some sort of digital.

The Japanese, who also use our NTSC analog system (which really does not stand for Not The Same Color:-)) put the stereo etc on their TV long before we did and they used the same standard for TV which made a lot of sense given that they really start out identically. But for some unknown reason, perhaps to help what at that time was a dying industry, the US FCC in its infinite wisdom made the TV subcarriers DSB. I would doubt that anyone else followed suit.

Dick

3563. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 03:18:51 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <vOF59.4062$cp3.164845791@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132592

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:HVh59.14144$Ce.741281@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> Tom do you talk on 11 meters?
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:aj4357$16a3io$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> >
> > I used a Uniden 2510 mobile on 10 meters for a while. I even hooked up
my
> > key to operate CW. Who are you to say who gets to operate what radio
> where?
> >

Right there he said "10 meters", nothing about 11.
You are acting just like Aaron and trying to put something
into it that isn't there.

Landshark

--
"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young

3564. Re: Troll Posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 03:18:51 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <vOF59.4061$cp3.164845791@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132594

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020809222312.595$bz@newsreader.com...
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
> >>You post thru
> > > google You my friend are a full fledged Troll and a Coward.
> > >
> Um..George,what does that say about Aaron,same thing right?
>
> --
> Go # 40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

LOL!, Notice how he's answering all of
R. Craniums posts to Aaron, Pretty funny.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

3565. Re: Auction Will Be Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 03:18:52 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <wOF59.4065$cp3.164845791@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132593

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:2%Y49.76513$6Z1.2965382@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> news:ul8lf763jcp261@corp.supernews.com...
> > Uh, which posts? The ones where I relate that I have a good 70 mile
plus
> > coverage 24/7? True. I have also worked over 100 miles to a repeater
and
> > then to another HT. I run 1.5 watts on low and 3.5 to 4.0 watts on high
> > power. FM. 440 MHz. 6 aa alkaline batteries (Sorry Scott - I was
> asked -
> > kinda). My post was questioning if Aaron was stopping the auction
because
> > of false and misleading information (the 10 meter CB radio bit) or under
> > section D which is something about violating law. Fact is, I have
talked
> to
> > Australia running all of 1.5 watts - courtesy of a 100 watt erp 10 meter
> > repeater. All legal. And I want that 10 meter repeater to go on being
> > usable. Yep, we had some kid jamming it a few months back. Not too
> > difficult to DF. He's gone now (but not blown in to the FCC - geeze, we
> all
> > had our pranks when we were kids; fortunately, most outgrow that stage).
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> > ps - it isn't the size of the ship, it's the motion through the ocean.
>
> Jim thats all well and good what do you run on 11 meters?
> I have seen you slumming around many Cb boards and
> most all deal with amps and illegal cb equipment and mods.
> Your not a saint it seems, or you just condone illegal operators.
>
>

Yes, this said from someone that assumed a
name of a person that used a big amp, right Prozac.
Also has claimed many times to know all the amp
builders, but yet they are still in business. Also has
claimed to run the very said amps, quite a testimonial.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

3566. Re: Auction Will Be Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 03:18:52 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <wOF59.4064$cp3.164845791@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132595

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ul8vh0ihije183@corp.supernews.com...
> I don't run on 11 meters. There are a lot of nice folks in CB. I was on
CB
> back in 1970. I was an amateur extra in 1966. I had my first ticket
> (novice) in 1962. 1st phone in 1966. Commercial telegraph in 1966. My
> first experience outside of the local group was in the service in 1967.
> Most interesting. 6 dour faced guys handed me a list of rules for 'their'
> shack (military). Ok. As I headed back to the barracks, I saw a sign
which
> simply stated '73' with an arrow to the back of the building. As I
rounded
> the building, there was a large group of people with a barbeque going.
> Turned out it was the CB club. I was made to feel most welcome, but you
had
> to have a license for membership (yes, cb was a licensed service back
then).
> No problem, they had the forms. No problem, I pulled out my 1st class
> radiotelephone ticket. Instant membership plus 3 rigs to fix (you needed
a
> 2nd class phone ticket, minimum, at the time to work on 'em). 3 fixed
rigs,
> some ribs, and several beers later, care to guess which club I joined?
> There are a lot of good folks on cb. Period. I don't care for 10 meter
> incursions, but few (percentage) cbers do this. Even Twistedhed will tell
> folks to avoid ten (despite being the butt of many jabs at him by some
> hams). UJ (Uncle Jim of the UJ forum) is now a licensed amateur as are a
> number of folks on his board. Some of us have discovered that life is too
> short to go around doing little else than to try and start flames. We are
> radio enthusiasts. There are many legal operators and I'm not a cop. It
> isn't my job to try and sort out who is who. As far as slumming, I'm not
> sure that would be defined as the amateurs who get onto the cb boards and
> newsgroups or the cbers who get into the amateur groups. Go take a look
at
> some of the posts on rec.radio.amateur.policy (or, better yet, try
> rec.radio.amateur.misc). That misc group throws more 4 letter stuff
around
> in 30 seconds than I heard in any week during my 4 years in the Navy!
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
> ps - hold onto your shorts - Herbie is going to get back into amateur
radio.
> This WILL be interesting. Check out rec.radio.amateur.policy and see some

> of the comments.
>
>
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> news:2%Y49.76513$6Z1.2965382@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >
> > Jim thats all well and good what do you run on 11 meters?
> > I have seen you slumming around many Cb boards and
> > most all deal with amps and illegal cb equipment and mods.
> > Your not a saint it seems, or you just condone illegal operators.
> >
> >
>
>

Very well said Jim!!

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

3567. Re: For Alan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 03:18:52 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <wOF59.4063$cp3.164845791@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132596

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:wx_49.113441$yc3.4667547@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20020809183326.139$Kk@newsreader.com...
>
> > "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
> > >>Oh keep trolling Dick Head LOL, you consider Comcast an anon server?
> > > I have posted from @ home and comcast my whole time in this NG.
Nothing
> > > anon about that.
> > >
> > That's some funny shit,boy george.
>
>
> What the bs he is trying to sell? Like I said I have posted from 2 ISP's
> since I arrived in this NG It would have been only 1 but we all know what
> happened to @home
>
>

You forgot about your AOL account George.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

3568. Re: Auction Will Be Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 03:30:53 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <NZF59.204233$nm.8435988@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132597

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:wOF59.4065$cp3.164845791@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...

> Yes, this said from someone that assumed a
> name of a person that used a big amp, right Prozac.
> Also has claimed many times to know all the amp
> builders, but yet they are still in business. Also has
> claimed to run the very said amps, quite a testimonial.
>
> Landshark

The only person who is assuming is you Landshark, I don't know all the amp builders I know there names they have been posted in this NG and a very handy Book i got with all keyclown names in it. LOL, I also never said I ran "any amps" my radio puts out a little over 100 watts which is more than enough the bands I talk on so go ahead with your bad self make up some more lies.

3569. Re: For Alan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 03:32:09 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <Z_F59.110398$6Z1.4638703@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132598

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:wOF59.4063$cp3.164845791@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> You forgot about your AOL account George.
>
> Landshark

Sorry Landshark I don't have one like you LOL
still on that kick huh

--

Try me I'll Make you Famous

3570. Re: Troll Posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 03:34:06 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <N0G59.145525$yc3.6268085@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132599

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:vOF59.4061$cp3.164845791@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...

> LOL!, Notice how he's answering all of
> R. Craniums posts to Aaron, Pretty funny.
>
> Landshark

Hey Panty Queen, DickHead(r.cranium) is a hypocrite and I am just pointing
out his ways He calls aaron a troll but is one himself just as you are

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

3571. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 03:36:22 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <W2G59.145559$yc3.6268967@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132600

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:vOF59.4062$cp3.164845791@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> news:HVh59.14144$Ce.741281@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > Tom do you talk on 11 meters?

> > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:aj4357$16a3io$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> > >
> > > I used a Uniden 2510 mobile on 10 meters for a while. I even hooked
up
> my
> > > key to operate CW. Who are you to say who gets to operate what radio
> > where?

>
> Right there he said "10 meters", nothing about 11.
> You are acting just like Aaron and trying to put something
> into it that isn't there.
>
> Landshark

Panty Queen, I never said he said he talked on 11 meters I was asking him a
question did you notice the question mark?, get the dick out of your eye so
you can either read the post or mind your own business instead of trolling
my posts.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
```

3572. Re: Poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 03:54:43 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <7kG59.77418$Yd.3504671@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 132601

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:jIE59.108831$6Z1.4583403@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
>
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:ule1rjmuctct60@corp.supernews.com...
> > None of the above.
> > or
> > E: Obey the rulz cause allot of ham's worship richefurher
> Hollingsworthless
> > and are rat finks.
> >
> > F: Obey the rulz cause Hams get busted while CB'ers get DOWWNNN:)
> >
> > G: Obey the rulz and regs but twist the knife on legal behavior that
> drives
> > Hams nuts ie. "Baba Boeey", "Man before I got my letters I had the
BADDEST
> > 32 Pill you eversaw!" 10-4 Good Buddy, Call Ever Ham "good buddy" and
> STILL
> > use my cb Handle.
>
> > H: Obey the rulz cause there is no FCC detector.
> >
> > I: Obey the rulz cause in my big rig I still have that Galaxy and it
aint
> > barefoot...Hammer DOOWWNNNNNN.
>
> > J: I'd always be myself and screw the morons who will ALLWAYS hate cause
I
> > used a cb once.
>
> > Sincerely
> > Ken
>
>
> Like anyone expected a legitimate answer from this moron.
>
>
George, I don't agree with you much, but you've got this one pegged.

3573. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 03:56:35 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D5731F3.20916FB3@qnet.com>
Source record: 132602

Hello Dick: (Beer Keg)

Yes good call there I have found my book written by Eimac, "The Care and Feeding of Power Grid Tubes" well worth the price of admission.

I think I want to install some clamping diodes in the grid circuit that will not allow the input voltage to drive the Control Grids positive, but I am not familiar with the type of diodes that will do this. And I want the diodes to conduct before the voltage goes positive, and I want the world on a platter. So I am scanning the ARRL and Orr's books as we speak.

And a I want a latch circuit to turn off the input signal should a positive voltage be detected. I am open to suggestions ect. I am sure I need a fast reaction time diodes. I do not believe the diodes will add any spurious signals as they will not be conduction, and when they do, the amp will Baron Automatically shut down.

The tubes came new in the boxes here and I agree, ... I surly don't want to call the boys at RF Gain & Incredo Big Tubes R Us / Big Buck$ Inc. and order up a batch of em!

Delays Delays.....

Jay in the Mojave

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> news:3D56753B.467C96ED@qnet.com...
> >
> > It has a two power level inputs, 10 and 100 watts, that is selected from
> > a switch on the front of the amp, don't know if I like that?
> >
>
> These tubes have a control grid dissipation rating of 0 per Eimac. I
> would strongly suggest some way to monitor (the lack of) grid current or
> it could get expensive in a big hurry.
>
> Dick

3574. Re: Predator 10K Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 04:16:27 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020812001627.28414.00002973@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 132603

>Hello Ozarks333:
>Hor are ya doin there?
Hey Jay! Well I'm louder and prouder
than ever. Catch you in the DX....
333 Springfield MO

"Got RF?"

3575. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 04:21:45 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208112021.4562b848@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132604

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<UHf59.7099$Ep6.510177@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> "Yeahrightman" <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com> wrote in message
> news:3d558002.62343735@news.mi.comcast.giganews.com...
> > On 10 Aug 2002 07:27:33 -0700, cheesecutter@webhideout.com
> > (chessecutter) wrote:
> >
> >
> > >That is just fine Frank. Now go jack off you idiot. How long are you
> > >going to hang around here trying to stir up stuff and pestering people
> > >about what you perceive to be illegal radio operations? Man get some
> > >help if you can and get a freekin life for cryin out loud.
> >
> >
> > well said. Guy has no life at all. look at his sorry ass pic on his
> > website... what a waste of sperm.
> >
> >
>
> While I have no particular use for the Carrie Nation mentality which Frank
> gets close to at times, I am even more amazed by the manhood problems
> shown by the detractors. Sheez, haven't seen so many non-functional
> testicles since the last car salesman convention.
>
> The SINBAD Syndrome. Single Income, No Babe, Absolutely Desperate.
>
>
> Dick Nï¿½BK

oh yeh. well I don't understand that silly comment, but do you care to
explain your syndrome. I mean really. 900 posts and counting. Geez, is
married life that boring for you dick?

3576. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 04:22:04 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D5737EC.ECBB5FD7@qnet.com>
Source record: 132605

Hello JJ:

Stay in there dude, one of these days you'll get one right. Very funny.

Jay in the Mojave

JJ wrote:
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> >
> > Why should anyone get pissed off? You bought a legal upfront piece of
> > gear.
> > You are, of course, a classic moron -- that is not a rig you refer to with
> > deadkey AM -- so it is a fair bet you will have it burned to a crisp in no
> > time. I will assume it has been opened up for 11 meters for that purpose.
>
> And it doesn't have 1000's of channels either. The ham bands are
> not channalized.

3577. Re: KV4FZ set to return to 20 Meters!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 04:33:36 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208112033.4f77c386@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132606
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.cb

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<KpA59.79881$Z7.8362913@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> chessecutter <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> news:fef386d5.0208102147.75c88499@posting.google.com...
> > brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com (Brother Lloyd) wrote in message
> news:<27e38977.0208091225.2b368fc5@posting.google.com>...
> > > "moanfaggotsmoan" <iluvblbheiscute@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:<eVC49.5256$M4.408404@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > > >> > THIS IS WONDERFULL NEWS BROTHER LLOYD!!
> > > > >
> > > > > DO YOU KNOW WHY IT IS WONDERFULL NEWS ?
> > > > >
> > > > > BECAUSE EVER SINCE HERBIE-BABY DISSAPEARED
> > > > > FROM 14.313 HAM RADIO HAS BECOME COMPLETELY
> > > > > BORING. THERE IS NO DOUBT WHATSOEVER IN MY
> > > > > MIND THAT WITHIN 3 HOURS OF KV4FZ APPEARING
> > > > > ONCE AGAIN ON 14.313, YOU WILL SEE A RESTART
> > > > > OF THE "10-YEARS BOOER-WARS" ON THE RADIO
> > > > > THAT HASN'T BEEN SEEN IN MANY MANY YEARS.
> > > > >
> > > > > AT THAT MOMENT, HAM RADIO WILL HAVE "IT'S
> > > > > ANSWER" TO COMEDY CENTRAL CABLE TV NETWORKS
> > > > > AND IT WILL BE TEN-TIMES BETTER THAN THE FIRST
> > > > > GO AROUND - MABEY EVEN BETTER THAN THE
> > > > > INFAMOUS "MIKE AND JUDY SHOW".
> > > > >
> > > > > SHORTWAVE LISTENERS REJOICE!!!!!
> > > > >
> > > > > HAM RADIO IS ABOUT TO GET MUCH MORE AMUSING
> > > > > IN THE NEAR FUTURE - GRIN-GRIN-GRIN-GRIN
> > > > >
> > > > > WHO NEEDS BROTHER STAIR AND HAL TURNIP WHEN
> > > > > WE HAVE **LIVE INTERACTIVE** RADIO ENTERTAINMENT
> > > > > BACK ON THE **MOTHER OF ALL FREQUENCIES**
> > > > > THE ONE AND ONLY *** 14.313 ***
> > > > >
> > > > > WHOOOOOPPPIIEEEEEEEEEE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > > >
> > > Yes, it will be a GREAT DAY! We will have the Roll Calls back too. We
> can
> > > have police reports read over the air. We can have all sorts of wild
> music,
> > > cat calls and people playing .wav files all day and night!

> > >
> > > 3950 will become "The most exciting frequency in Ham Radio" once again
> too.
> > > The "Netters" and the "Jammers" will again bring life to boring
> Saturday
> > > nights on the radio.
> > >
> > > And Mr. Hollingsworth will be powerless to stop it. You can take that
> to
> > > the bank!
>
> Don't count on it. Mr. "Herbie's" return to the air is predicated on his
> (as he put it) "learning the
> hard way", and that "being off the air was the supreme punishment". When
> he gets back on the
> air, he'd better behave himself. The Amateur community begged for years for
> strict enforcement and the weeding out of those who would make another
> lawless "CB" band out of ham radio. Mr
> Shoenbaum (sic?) was a a product of the days when FCC didn't really care
> about ham and CB.
> I would be willing to bet that, if those who were part of that "old" gang
> are waiting with bated breath for the "old" days, they are going to be in
> for a surprise. Not only Mr. Hollingsworth won't
> tolerate such shenanagins again, but the Amateur community as a whole won't
> have it either. Things
> are changing, and clowns are going to be painting on sad faces in the coming
> months.
>
> J

Jerry. Do you like sex? If you do, I think you need some. Your one
track mind is misguided at best. Are you a member of the citizen's
auxillary police?

3578. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 04:34:12 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <8VG59.8318$Ke2.702848@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132607

There's lots of ways to do it including a bridge with an op amp across it
that does something violent if it is overdriven. Lots of beam tubes have
gone to the landfill because of grid current from overdrive. 6146's
subjected to too much grid current make admirable shotgun targets.

Look up simple grid current metering circuits and let your fertile brain
wander. Depending on what you are using to drive it, you might consider
ALC.

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3D5731F3.20916FB3@qnet.com...
> Hello Dick: (Beer Keg)
>
> Yes good call there I have found my book written by Eimac, "The Care and
> Feeding of Power Grid Tubes" well worth the price of admission.
>
> I think I want to install some clamping diodes in the grid circuit that
> will not allow the input voltage to drive the Control Grids positive,
> but I am not familiar with the type of diodes that will do this. And I
> want the diodes to conduct before the voltage goes positive, and I want
> the world on a platter. So I am scanning the ARRL and Orr's books as we
> speak.
>
> And a I want a latch circuit to turn off the input signal should a
> positive voltage be detected. I am open to suggestions ect. I am sure I
> need a fast reaction time diodes. I do not believe the diodes will add
> any spurious signals as they will not be conduction, and when they do,
> the amp will Baron Automatically shut down.
>
> The tubes came new in the boxes here and I agree, ... I surly don't
> want to call the boys at RF Gain & Incredo Big Tubes R Us / Big Buck$
> Inc. and order up a batch of em!
>
> Delays Delays.........
>
>
> Jay in the Mojave
>
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> > "Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D56753B.467C96ED@qnet.com...
> > >
> > > It has a two power level inputs, 10 and 100 watts, that is selected

from
> > > a switch on the front of the amp, don't know if I like that?
> > >
> >
> > These tubes have a control grid dissipation rating of 0 per Eimac. I
> > would strongly suggest some way to monitor (the lack of) grid current
or
> > it could get expensive in a big hurry.
> >
> > Dick

3579. Re: KV4FZ set to return to 20 Meters!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 04:35:18 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208112035.40f605b6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132608

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208111432.5d8e7df2@posting.google.com>...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208110020.207a837e@posting.google.com>...
> > brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com (Brother Lloyd) wrote in message
news:<27e38977.0208091225.2b368fc5@posting.google.com>...
> > > "moanfaggotsmoan" <iluvblbheiscute@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<eVC49.5256$M4.408404@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > > >> > THIS IS WONDERFULL NEWS BROTHER LLOYD!!
> > > > >
> > > > > DO YOU KNOW WHY IT IS WONDERFULL NEWS ?
> > > > >
> > > > > BECAUSE EVER SINCE HERBIE-BABY DISSAPEARED
> > > > > FROM 14.313 HAM RADIO HAS BECOME COMPLETELY
> > > > > BORING. THERE IS NO DOUBT WHATSOEVER IN MY
> > > > > MIND THAT WITHIN 3 HOURS OF KV4FZ APPEARING
> > > > > ONCE AGAIN ON 14.313, YOU WILL SEE A RESTART
> > > > > OF THE "10-YEARS BOOER-WARS" ON THE RADIO
> > > > > THAT HASN'T BEEN SEEN IN MANY MANY YEARS.
> > > > >
> > > > > AT THAT MOMENT, HAM RADIO WILL HAVE "IT'S
> > > > > ANSWER" TO COMEDY CENTRAL CABLE TV NETWORKS
> > > > > AND IT WILL BE TEN-TIMES BETTER THAN THE FIRST
> > > > > GO AROUND - MABEY EVEN BETTER THAN THE
> > > > > INFAMOUS "MIKE AND JUDY SHOW".
> > > > >
> > > > > SHORTWAVE LISTENERS REJOICE!!!!!
> > > > >
> > > > > HAM RADIO IS ABOUT TO GET MUCH MORE AMUSING
> > > > > IN THE NEAR FUTURE - GRIN-GRIN-GRIN-GRIN
> > > > >
> > > > > WHO NEEDS BROTHER STAIR AND HAL TURNIP WHEN
> > > > > WE HAVE **LIVE INTERACTIVE** RADIO ENTERTAINMENT
> > > > > BACK ON THE **MOTHER OF ALL FREQUENCIES**
> > > > > THE ONE AND ONLY *** 14.313 ***
> > > > >
> > > > > WHOOOOOPPPIIEEEEEEEEEE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > Yes, it will be a GREAT DAY! We will have the Roll Calls back too. We can
> > > have police reports read over the air. We can have all sorts of wild music,
> > > cat calls and people playing .wav files all day and night!
> > >
> > > 3950 will become "The most exciting frequency in Ham Radio" once again too.
> > > The "Netters" and the "Jammers" will again bring life to boring Saturday

> > > nights on the radio.
> > >
> > > And Mr. Hollingsworth will be powerless to stop it. You can take that to
> > > the bank!
> > >
> > I don't hear anything at all on those frequencies anymore except
> > normal conversation since a bunch of hams got their licenses revoked
> > by the FCC.
> > >
> > -Aaron-
>
> Then you haven't been listening. Of course, you can't when you spend
> all day TROLLing the UseNet and eBay for so-called "illegal" radios!

Yeh that's funny. He says he isn't a ham and owns no radio equipment.
Imagine that. To all of his other accomplishments, he can add the
title of congenital liar.

3580. Re: BLJ-500A Amp Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 04:50:45 UTC
From: Chaotic Evil <webdevil@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <F8H59.11704$M4.989651@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 132609

Just lucked up on a bunch of 'em (23JS6's) in good condition! It's not like
I really need it as an only amp. I just like to tinker with 'em!
"Desert Eagle" <You.bet@no.way> wrote in message
news:83n59.60272$7n5.9864@sccrnsc01...
> How hard are those 23JS6's to come by these days?
>
> Desert Eagle
>
> "Chaotic Evil" <webdevil@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:jy759.8252$M4.663762@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > I have an old DJ Electronics BLJ-500A amp that uses nine 23JS6's. Does
> > anyone have a schematic for this joker.
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

3581. Re: BLJ-500A Amp Schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 04:53:16 UTC
From: Chaotic Evil <webdevil@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0bH59.11709$M4.988382@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 132610

Point? What point?
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208111433.34b74283@posting.google.com...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208110043.3c7ca5de@posting.google.com>...
> > "Chaotic Evil" <webdevil@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<jy759.8252$M4.663762@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > > I have an old DJ Electronics BLJ-500A amp that uses nine
> > >23JS6's. Does anyone have a schematic for this joker.
> > >
> > > Thanks
> >
> > "Chaotic Evil"? That's doesn't sound very friendly.
> >
> > -A
>
> Your point?
>

3582. Swap For DX-88 or Ranger 2970

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 05:56:23 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <9fjelu8d07gvonjkkvghrnq3f51iv2ekqj@4ax.com>
Source record: 132611

http://users.adelphia.net/~sky444/album.htm

3583. RCI 6900F TB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 06:43:25 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D57590D.A96FF2D9@citlink.net>
Source record: 132612

Radio is working fine and I hate to part with it but the astronomy bug
has bitten so my interests have changed.
$275 obo or trades for astronomy items......

3584. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 06:47:01 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D5759E5.E657CF70@citlink.net>
Source record: 132613

55 watts AM...LOL yeh right...for about 5 minutes..Too bad you didnt get a real radio...but for CB I guess it is ok....what are you going to do when the sunspot cycle dies...just piss everybody off for 20 miles around...

Michael wrote:

> Just to piss off the trolls, look what I got on eBay. ICOM-756.
> 130 watts PEP, 55 watts deadkey AM. 1000's of "channels".
> Guess you guys with no life will have to go after all the HF rigs, not
> just the 10M junk.

3585. Re: Predator 10K Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 06:51:32 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D575AF4.9170728D@citlink.net>
Source record: 132614

Yeh...a 1/4 wave whip will blow it away

John Smith wrote:

> Anyone have any experience with Predator 10K Antenna? Performance etc.

3586. Bye BYe Moonraker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 06:54:47 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D575BB7.C8009C93@citlink.net>
Source record: 132615

I cant beleive they have discontinued this antenna.But guess what I bought 8 of them right after they discontinued them.You see em on the Bay going for 300+...I have them brand new in the box for $240 + shipping

3587. Re: Swap For DX-88 or Ranger 2970

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 07:19:32 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D576184.5E39B3CE@citlink.net>
Source record: 132616

Your email adress bounces

"rugerp85

> http://users.adelphia.net/~sky444/album.htm

3588. Re: FS or TRade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 07:23:19 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D576267.B2A92F8F@citlink.net>
Source record: 132617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Man I have not heard of one of these in awhile...I have 2 of the 3-5825A mobiles....still ticking.....

N1RSP wrote:

> I have a nice GE SuperBase model 3-5875A base radio that has the dual
> antenna ports on rear.This comes with the Turner Expander 500 desk mike.All
> is in great condition.I am looking for a nice dual band or tri band mobile
> radio.Please no junk.Email me with what you may have or call 978-281-3359
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.342 / Virus Database: 189 - Release Date: 3/14/2002

3589. Mint Gonset G-11 and G-14

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 07:30:41 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D576421.B9C6D340@citlink.net>
Source record: 132618

Radios are absolutely mint and were stored in an attic carefully packed
for about the last 40 years.They work fine and I have 2 new working
vibrators for them.
Best offers before they go to Ebutt
G-11 has ch-11 G-14 has 11,7,23 and 1

3590. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 08:36:05 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <qpseluk3b9bpienlhb992qoqaqfjpk93f3@4ax.com>
Source record: 132619

On Mon, 12 Aug 2002 01:57:33 GMT, "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:

>
><tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
>news:afbdlu4at17o94ogtj5qu6kgs0off9p7h7@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 11 Aug 2002 17:14:33 GMT,
>
>
>> And you don't. Otherwise you would expanded upon your original
>> statement/brag.
>
>No brag Tnom, oh its true I will put them on the NG when I want to, besides
>it isn't like the names haven't been posted out here before are you that
>stupid tnom. I will post them at my disgression and not your request.
>
>
>> I personally couldn't care less who they are, but from the standpoint
>> of exposing you as a braggart it might get interesting. The point is
>> that you can't back up your original statement with some names.
>> You are a braggart. Prove me wrong.
>
>Oh you must care to keep replying beggging for names As noted in the above
>post I will give them at my disgresion the names are out there in the
>archives as well get off your lazy ass or pay me for the info it is that
>simple. I have nothing to prove I know what the truth is it is you that
>doesn't want to accept it LOL so unless there is a financial stake which
>will show how serious I am lets see how serious you are I will prove you
>wrong for $500 plain and simple, we can do it thru paypal. Remember it's not
>bragging when it is the truth. Ready to be proven wrong?
>
Squirming a little? Just as I stated. You can't prove me wrong.

3591. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 08:48:26 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uletm031osp81a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132620

I read your post just fine... YOU replied to MY post, whether you
referenced ME in it or not, it was still MY post....

It is not, I, who is reading wrong, it is YOU who are posting wrong...
Learn how to post a proper reply, and I _will_ "get over it"...

PH

"*****" <no_flamers@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:qUz59.9045$u7.720856@news.direcpc.com...
> You Read MY post WRONG!!
> GET OVER IT!!
> I ain't never mentioned yo' name to begin with!!
> SO, GET OVER IT!!!
>
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:ulda87ne328s1a@corp.supernews.com...
> > You replied to the wrong post dip shit... I didn't say any of what you
> > quoted! Get a clue!
> >
> > PH
> >
> >
> > "A_CBer and Ham" <A_CBer@yourtower.com> wrote in message
> > news:Ocv59.9032$u7.718993@news.direcpc.com...
> > > What you cryin' bout Frmboybuck?
> > >
> > > I Earned my HAM License!
> > >
> > > You jus' squealin' like a piggy! You been watchin' "Deliverance" too
> many
> > > times!!
> > >
> > > So..Don't Be Telling Others that they "didn't Earn Shit"!
> > > Why don't you smell what you shoveling!
> > > Or go suck yo momma's cock like you been doin'!
> > >
> > >
> > > "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> > > news:ulbujjt11r4kf8@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > I still like mine better.... :)
> > > >
> > > > PH
> > > >
> > > >

> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3592. Re: Poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 10:23:32 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ulf34sht18sb1a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132621

Shut up Stupid!

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:jIE59.108831$6Z1.4583403@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> Like anyone expected a legitimate answer from this moron.
>
>
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>
>

3593. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 10:25:22 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ulf38bh2301h5e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132622

Everybody knows that moron

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D56FADF.78FCCCBF@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> >
> > Why should anyone get pissed off? You bought a legal upfront piece of
> > gear.
> > You are, of course, a classic moron -- that is not a rig you refer to
with
> > deadkey AM -- so it is a fair bet you will have it burned to a crisp in
no
> > time. I will assume it has been opened up for 11 meters for that
purpose.
>
> And it doesn't have 1000's of channels either. The ham bands are
> not channalized.

3594. Re: Auction Will Be Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 10:57:00 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3d579436.61564544@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 132623

On Mon, 12 Aug 2002 03:30:53 GMT, "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:

>
>
>
>"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
>news:wOF59.4065$cp3.164845791@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
>> Yes, this said from someone that assumed a
>> name of a person that used a big amp, right Prozac.
>> Also has claimed many times to know all the amp
>> builders, but yet they are still in business. Also has
>> claimed to run the very said amps, quite a testimonial.
>>
>> Landshark
>
>
>The only person who is assuming is you Landshark, I don't know all the amp
>builders I know there names they have been posted in this NG and a very
>handy Book i got with all keyclown names in it. LOL, I also never said I ran
>"any amps" my radio puts out a little over 100 watts which is more than
>enough the bands I talk on so go ahead with your bad self make up some
>more lies.
>
>
>
>
>
3 other folks emailed me and said that this was prozac from nj. Now I
understand his obsession with mr icecold. thanks landshark

3595. EXCELLENT YAESU FT-ONE TRANSCEIVER on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 13:32:44 UTC
From: k3vr@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <4bfc7bb.0208120532.5ac6ad52@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132624

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1373008977
Excellent looking General Coverage Transceiver... 1.5~30 megahertz
continuous coverage. Output is 100 watts on FM and SSB, 25 watts on
AM, Digital Readout, Built-in AC power supply (or use 13.8 volts DC) A
BIG RADIO at 41 pounds, Cost was $2,900 not including options in 1982.
All solid-state, no tubes, no tune-up, reserve is only $250... Use
"Buy it Now" and I'll throw in a D-104 FREE... Just add an antenna...
great for short wave listening and/or the perfect radio for that new
ham license you have been thinking about getting. Thanks for looking!

3596. Re: cbs and helicopters etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 14:15:36 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020812101536.22243.00003420@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 132625

thanx for the replies, "all steel" and "kenneth". just couldnt resist taking a
pot shot at the morons....i mean jeeeeesus,the
sh?t gets deep around here some times.
have a good one gunslinger :)

3597. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 14:35:45 UTC
From: Michael <triple7@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <n5hflukj10p4kj8v0o57biodkphb7ohgbm@4ax.com>
Source record: 132626

On Mon, 12 Aug 2002 01:47:01 -0500, "Arthur R. Howard"
<whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote:

>55 watts AM...LOL yeh right...for about 5 minutes..Too bad you didnt get a
>real radio...but for CB I guess it is ok....what are you going to do when
>the sunspot cycle dies...just piss everybody off for 20 miles around...
>

.....Coming from the same person that owns a RCI-6900, and has been
trying to sell or trade it for a linear?? LMAO!

3598. Re: Troll Posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 14:35:54 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <eJP59.4342$4J4.167218478@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132627

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:N0G59.145525$yc3.6268085@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:vOF59.4061$cp3.164845791@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> > LOL!, Notice how he's answering all of
> > R. Craniums posts to Aaron, Pretty funny.
> >
> > Landshark
>
>
> Hey Panty Queen, DickHead(r.cranium) is a hypocrite and I am just
pointing
> out his ways He calls aaron a troll but is one himself just as you are
>

Kettle=skillet

But the only ones are you and Aaron complaining,
coincidental isn't it. Still can't be civil, can you.

> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous

"Ask me, I'll tell you how"

LMAO!!!!!

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

3599. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 14:35:54 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <eJP59.4341$4J4.167218478@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132629

> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:vOF59.4062$cp3.164845791@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> > news:HVh59.14144$Ce.741281@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > > Tom do you talk on 11 meters?
>
> > > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:aj4357$16a3io$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> > > >
> > > > I used a Uniden 2510 mobile on 10 meters for a while. I even hooked
> up
> > my
> > > > key to operate CW. Who are you to say who gets to operate what
radio
> > > where?
>
>
> >
> > Right there he said "10 meters", nothing about 11.
> > You are acting just like Aaron and trying to put something
> > into it that isn't there.
> >
> > Landshark
>
>
> Panty Queen, I never said he said he talked on 11 meters I was asking him
a
> question did you notice the question mark?, get the dick out of your eye
so
> you can either read the post or mind your own business instead of trolling
> my posts.
>

I'm not trolling your posts George, you asked a question
with intent on trying to infuse operating on 11 meters. He
explained it was a stock 2510 and how he used it, but like
Aaron you were trolling and trying to infer something other
than what was typed.
So who's the troll George?
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>
"Ask me, and I'll show you how"

Too funny. You just can never hold a civil debate, every time
you get cornered you come back with some sort of insult
or sexual innuendo, no matter who it is.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

3600. Re: For Alan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 14:35:54 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <eJP59.4343$4J4.167218478@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132630

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:Z_F59.110398$6Z1.4638703@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:wOF59.4063$cp3.164845791@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> > You forgot about your AOL account George.
> >
> > Landshark
>
>
> Sorry Landshark I don't have one like you LOL
> still on that kick huh
>
>
> --
>
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>
>

LOL!!!!!! Yeah, ok, sure whatever you say ;^)

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

3601. Re: Auction Will Be Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 14:35:55 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <fJP59.4344$4J4.167218478@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132628

<chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:3d579436.61564544@news.optonline.net...
> On Mon, 12 Aug 2002 03:30:53 GMT, "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >
> >"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> >news:wOF59.4065$cp3.164845791@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> >The only person who is assuming is you Landshark, I don't know all the
amp
> >builders I know there names they have been posted in this NG and a very
> >handy Book i got with all keyclown names in it. LOL, I also never said I
ran
> >"any amps" my radio puts out a little over 100 watts which is more than
> >enough the bands I talk on so go ahead with your bad self make up some
> >more lies.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> 3 other folks emailed me and said that this was prozac from nj. Now I
> understand his obsession with mr icecold. thanks landshark
>

It's not Prozac though, he, as always, only assumed
that name. It's George WA3MOJ, so don't worry about that,
I would think "Boy gone bad" might be his better name.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

3602. Re: Van SWR can be lower?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 14:36:49 UTC
From: Ken <Dallastopcat@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d57c916.3927447@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 132631

On Sun, 11 Aug 2002 20:20:37 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>"SideBand" <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
>news:3D56B95D.9050100@csotbs.org...
>> Examples of "loaded" 5/8 wave antennas:
>>
>> Some of the Firesticks
>> Wilson SilverLoad antennas.
>>
>> The coils in these helically wound fiberglass supported antennas do
>indeed
>> radiate, but not as well as a 22' whip with the proper L/C matching
>> circuitry at the feedpoint.
>>
>> But, Dick, you knew that already.
>>
>> -SSB
>>
>
>Of course, but when you have a 5' or so stick of fibreglass and wrap it
>artistically with wire just what makes it a 5/8, a 1/4 wave, or 3/4 over
>3/4 colinear? Damfino
>

It will have an electrical length of 1/4, a 5' or so stick will have
the radiation pattern of a 5' or so stick.

3603. Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 14:58:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9184-3D57CD09-390@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132632

Well, I must again conclude with JerryO's ascertations to a certain extent. Hollingsworth is personable and very easy to get along with, but let's get to the meat of the matter. It is not he alone that decides what enforcement will be, or where. Matter of fact, he has way less authority than is attributed him. The best word to describe his actual day-to-day function is "liason."
He also agrees that with the suncycle on a downward shift, cb complaints will remain basically what they have always been...a non-issue save for safety and severe repeated interference complaints and those drop with the skip conditions.
An anticipated return to what he believes is the loftiest goal before the FCC concerning hobby radio service, enforcement of amateur related activities, is his primary concern. They have more potential to do harm and have always done so when compared to cb.
Concerning the truckstops selling cb, Jerry, I don't know where you received your information but Mr. Hollingsworth claimed that even IF the money was there to mount a campaign for what you claim, he would do his best to find a better use for it...such as an EDUCATION blitz. The MANDATORY initial certified warnings that are sent out to offenders are paid for from the "educational" fund. Any step beyond that is an entire different ballgame and is only one of many reasons for forfeiture and fines...an attempt to recoup the dollar spent.
Cb has never been the concern to the FCC you have been putting forth, Jerry. Hollingsworth actually spoke of the overzealousness that self-consumes way too many hammies, and literally stated much of their behavior is WORSE than the cb "problem" they are presenting to report.
He also has a sense of humor, shown by his statement that if the frustration level of many hammies who bother the FCC with trivial complaints concerning cb could be bottled and sold, the gases would be toxic.
One last note...Jerry, you claimed a rise in enforcement "pretty soon."..............
If you know something he doesnt, give him a call and fill him in. Unless a private large windfall of a donation is dropped in their lap earmarked specifically for cb enforcement, the cash is not there for any raid-type commando operations on truck stops nation wide. On the matter of public record for disbursements
(that doesn't cost a plump nickel if you know where to look), the money for the duration of Bush' first and last term is already accounted for and if you would be so kind as to call your associate he would be more than happy to confirm what I just relayed to you, including clueing you in that not only are funds not available for a sole enforcement cb campaign, but NOTHING warrants it, especially a handful of hammies that pretend to be an angry mob of John Q. Public. Deceit has never worked

but the truth will set you free. There is even a deficit in the FCC's budget through the end of the lil' shrub's one term. Beyond that, the knights in shining armor that you hailed as the almighty saviors are looking for greener pastures, as they know their positions are dust come next presidential election.
My favorite verbatim quote: "Maybe if some of these amateurs (and I use the term loosely) were billed for the unproductive time spent by the FCC responding to their petty complaints some of the foolishness would stop."
Hint: When he spoke of foolishness, he wasn't referring to cbers.
Now if you'll excuse me, I have a driver to warm up and a DX call to make to the coal country.

3604. Re: KV4FZ set to return to 20 Meters!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 15:45:05 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020812114505.06343.00003233@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 132633

why? not everybody is a snitch or radio cop like yourself. some of us enjoy listening to th mess rather than reporting it. just goes to show the ham bands are filled with regular people just like any other band

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3605. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 16:02:47 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D57BD4B.41B6154C@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132634

Kenneth wrote:
>
> Everybody knows that moron

Everybody knows which moron. You?

3606. Need Source for Under Hood PA Speaker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 16:04:58 UTC
From: BillS <BillS@austin.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3D57DCAA.71384A3C@austin.rr.com>
Source record: 132635

Just wondering where one can find a metal PA speaker that is weather resistant and able to handle about 4-5 watts of Audio. I am not having much luck searching, maybe I'm using the wrong terminology. I keep getting hits on indoor PA stuff.
BillS

3607. Re: cbs and helicopters etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 16:40:18 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ulfp6458b11282@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132636

Heh heh ... you should have been at the company I work at several years ago. They had this industrial RF heating device. Boy, the sparks flew when that puppy fired up. Then one day the Friendly Candy Company showed up. Seems they WERE causing interference. The airport is only about 4 miles away. BTW, the Friendly Candy Company was neither selling candy, nor particularly friendly.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
- ps, cute story though
"LilyV7" <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020811133128.20193.00003031@mb-fr.aol.com...
> Well, got tired of my" high powered cb" only knocking 2-3 helicopters a
week
> out of the sky, so i thought of something better. I just finished building
a 10
> ft tall tesla coil in my garage. I cant wait to fire the sucker up. With
all
> the broad spectrum noise and harmonics it will generate, i should be able
to
> easily knock 10 to 15 helicopters out of the sky. I want to thank all the
> hambos out there that gave me this great idea,because of their assertion
that
> "harmonics " are capable of downing aircraft. Cool deal ! Hell, might
even
> get a few high performance aircraft while im at it!!!! :)
gunslinger
> (some of you guys should go into the business of writing childrens fairy
> tales,youll make a mint)
>

3608. Re: New Uniden UH013 UHF CB and Scanner in One- REVIEWED ON Newcastle Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 16:53:10 UTC
From: djmickyp@d2.net.au
Message-ID: <3d57e820$1@news.comindico.com.au>
Source record: 132637
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

thanks for having a look, I had missed the tripod pop up sorry:)
I hope you enjoyed the review..... and today there is an online scanner now,
when available
sorry, the colour scheme is set

wishing you all the best
Mike and Garry

P.S. yes HMS Nottingham..... I seem to type mostly in the wee hours and make
many mistakes
......take, my soon to be ex-wife....Please!!!

<djmickyp@d2.net.au> wrote in message
news:3d55f1de$1@news.comindico.com.au...
> Hello fellow hobbyists!!
>
> For a real review on the new all in one UHF CB and Scanner, head over to
> Newcastle Scan
> http://newcastlescan.tripod.com There's other info and freqs NO pop ups
just
> a real
> EZINE style website...
>
> We must thank Peter From Newcastle Pro Sound
> (http://www.newcastleprosound.com.au and I guess new web site coming soon)
> for opportunity to review this little beauty
> and uniden for expanding their vision (about time for an 2 in 1).
>
> If you want to sell an old radio or scanner send an email and we put it on
> our classifieds page
>
> any amateurs who have written a basic introduction to amateur radio we
> really need it for our site, of course including freqs with Newcastle in
> mind:) (well we got the HMAS Nottingham!!!)
>
>
> Cheers and best wishes
> Mike and Garry
>
>

3609. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 17:25:15 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aj8r01$18sbip$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132638

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:W2G59.145559$yc3.6268967@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...

> Panty Queen, I never said he said he talked on 11 meters I was asking him
a
> question did you notice the question mark?, get the dick out of your eye
so
> you can either read the post or mind your own business instead of trolling
> my posts.

And by the way, I used a stock Cobra 148 and a Uniden PC-244 (I think?) SSB radios on 11m. I think the FCC rule against VFO's are stupid, since not having an unlocked clarifier was a pain in the butt.

But, that's all in the past. I don't have those radios any more, and don't have any interest in CB. However, I think it would be fun to find another 2510 and operate on 10 meters mobile again.

Tom

3610. Re: Swap For DX-88 or Ranger 2970

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 17:27:03 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <strflu487o7cl2vvc8k7fnutbi07v379eb@4ax.com>
Source record: 132639

remove the <remove>

On Mon, 12 Aug 2002 02:19:32 -0500, "Arthur R. Howard"
<whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote:

>Your email adress bounces
>
>"rugerp85
>
>> http://users.adelphia.net/~sky444/album.htm

3611. Re...Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 17:30:46 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208120930.1dd78c14@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132640

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>Well, I must again conclude with JerryO's ascertations to a
>certain extent. Hollingsworth is personable and very easy to
>get along with, but let's get to the meat of the matter.
>It is not he alone that decides what enforcement will be,
>or where. Matter of fact, he has way less
>authority than is attributed him. The best word to
>describe his actual day-to-day function is "liason."
>He also agrees that with the suncycle on a downward
>shift, cb complaints will remain basically what they have
>always been...a non-issue save for safety and severe
>repeated interference complaints and those drop with
>the skip conditions.

<snip>

Sounds like a nice guy. Did you ask him if he felt CB'ers should use
high powered RF amplifiers or transmit in the freeband?

-Aaron-

3612. Re: Need Source for Under Hood PA Speaker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 17:35:42 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <CIS59.46045$lP6.2671996@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132645

Radio Shack used to have them.

Jerry
BillS <BillS@austin.rr.com> wrote in message
news:3D57DCAA.71384A3C@austin.rr.com...
> Just wondering where one can find a metal PA speaker that is weather
> resistant and able to handle about 4-5 watts of Audio. I am not having
> much luck searching, maybe I'm using the wrong terminology. I keep
> getting hits on indoor PA stuff.
> BillS
>

3613. does anyone use cb's anymore?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 17:37:21 UTC
From: sactownie@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <b9eb229a.0208120937.51ddc62a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132641

I plugged in my old forgoten cobra base today after 4years in hibrenation and did not hear a thing on any channels but a little static.Is the c.b. officially dead now?

3614. Re: Need Source for Under Hood PA Speaker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 17:38:56 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <QoS59.8806$Ke2.755645@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132642

"BillS" <BillS@austin.rr.com> wrote in message
news:3D57DCAA.71384A3C@austin.rr.com...
> Just wondering where one can find a metal PA speaker that is weather
> resistant and able to handle about 4-5 watts of Audio. I am not having
> much luck searching, maybe I'm using the wrong terminology. I keep
> getting hits on indoor PA stuff.
> BillS
>

Try a Marine dealer and tell him you need a new speaker for your power
hailer. Bring lots of money.

3615. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 17:48:03 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ulft5560s9n9d4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132643

Twist, I think that a few of the amateurs have also been nervous about crying to the FCC without darn good information. That wastes FCC time and money (OUR money). Reality check - the FCC is another part of big government which is generally run by special interests. Aside from that, I think the important deal is going to be in educating people. I get nervous when I see a coworker trying his marine radio (while the boat sits on it's trailer in his driveway!) to see who he can talk to. Fact is, the average consumer is totally clueless about communications - they are only interested in using whatever toy they can buy (hoola hoops one decade, pet rocks another, now scanners and radios). Interesting post.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

3616. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 17:51:23 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ulftbc4r4af77c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132644

True, but it is a small group and is concerned with am as a 'cute mode' not unlike cw enthusiasts (which, although very dated at least does not consume a ton of bandwidth). Then again, there are some uses for cw (like high speed cw for meteor burst work - hundreds of words per minute).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ule236js0rp99c@corp.supernews.com...
> I thought there was an active group of Hams that still use "ancient
> modulation"
> Heck there is an active groups that still use the IBM PC 5150, I very
active
> group that use the old PS/2's from IBM and swear they are the best PC ever
> made.
> Some people still grind telescope optics by hand.
> Sincerely
> Ken
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> news:uldl96cnt2un27@corp.supernews.com...
> > Geeze - besides which, who uses am anyways? That went the way of the
> > dinosaurs back in the 60s.
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> >
> >
> > "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:8Zz59.7692$Ke2.649884@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > >
> > > "Michael" <triple7@tb.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:klhdlu8o025n31tm3091qt6d7grmgo83p4@4ax.com...
> > > > Just to piss off the trolls, look what I got on eBay. ICOM-756.
> > > > 130 watts PEP, 55 watts deadkey AM. 1000's of "channels".
> > > > Guess you guys with no life will have to go after all the HF rigs,
not
> > > > just the 10M junk.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > Why should anyone get pissed off? You bought a legal upfront piece of
> > > gear.
> > > You are, of course, a classic moron -- that is not a rig you refer to

> with
> > > deadkey AM -- so it is a fair bet you will have it burned to a crisp
in
> no
> > > time. I will assume it has been opened up for 11 meters for that
> purpose.
> > >
> > > So now you have a rig capable of all sorts of things, no license to
use
> it
> > > where the action is or will be in a few years when the spots go down
and
> > > an attitude that would flatten a rhino.
> > >
> > > Pin a rose on your nose.
> > >
> > > Dick N&#65533;BK
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3617. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 18:34:50 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <eAT59.46097$IP6.2689507@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132647

I just emailed Riley about Twisted's alleged call, if, indeed, he did call. The following is Riley's
answer. Note that there is NO "education" fund, which, Twisted, makes me believe you never
actually talked with him. The initial actions against Flying J and Pilot (and some others) are part
of FCC's public records which can be read by typing in "Field Enforcement Actions". So this
is already been done. It is quite obvious that they don't believe that they can be fined for selling
the sh**, so according to MY conversation with the "man", further actions are planned against
them. And others are to follow. I am now merely going to sit back and watch. Time WILL tell
who is actually right.

Jerry

The accuracy of that is about 10%. What will probably happen is this: the CB freebanders ones overpower will keep it up and cause so much public outcry and interference that they'll draw the wrath of enforcement. Then they'll be bitching and moaning about government interference, when they brought it on themselves. Other notes: there is no "education fund". And, there has to be spectrum rules just as we have to have road rules. CB could contribute a lot to public communications needs instead of shooting itself in the foot all the time and being a laughing stock.
Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:9184-3D57CD09-390@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Well, I must again conclude with JerryO's ascertations to a certain
> extent. Hollingsworth is personable and very easy to get along with, but
> let's get to the meat of the matter. It is not he alone that decides
> what enforcement will be, or where. Matter of fact, he has way less
> authority than is attributed him. The best word to describe his actual
> day-to-day function is "liason."
> He also agrees that with the suncycle on a downward shift, cb complaints
> will remain basically what they have always been...a non-issue save for
> safety and severe repeated interference complaints and those drop with
> the skip conditions.
> An anticipated return to what he believes is the loftiest goal before
> the FCC concerning hobby radio service, enforcement of amateur related
> activities, is his primary concern. They have more potential to do harm
> and have always done so when compared to cb.
> Concerning the truckstops selling cb, Jerry, I don't know where you
> received your information but Mr. Hollingsworth claimed that even IF the
> money was there to mount a campaign for what you claim, he would do his

> best to find a better use for it...such as an EDUCATION blitz. The
> MANDATORY initial certified warnings that are sent out to offenders are
> paid for from the "educational" fund. Any step beyond that is an entire
> different ballgame and is only one of many reasons for forfeiture and
> fines...an attempt to recoup the dollar spent.
> Cb has never been the concern to the FCC you have been putting forth,
> Jerry. Hollingsworth actually spoke of the overzealousness that
> self-consumes way too many hammies, and literally stated much of their
> behavior is WORSE than the cb "problem" they are presenting to report.
> He also has a sense of humor, shown by his statement that if the
> frustration level of many hammies who bother the FCC with trivial
> complaints concerning cb could be bottled and sold, the gases would be
> toxic.
> One last note...Jerry, you claimed a rise in enforcement "pretty
> soon."................
> If you know something he doesnt, give him a call and fill him in. Unless
> a private large windfall of a donation is dropped in their lap earmarked
> specifically for cb enforcement, the cash is not there for any raid-type
> commando operations on truck stops nation wide. On the matter of public
> record for disbursements
> (that doesn't cost a plump nickel if you know where to look), the money
> for the duration of Bush' first and last term is already accounted for
> and if you would be so kind as to call your associate he would be more
> than happy to confirm what I just relayed to you, including clueing you
> in that not only are funds not available for a sole enforcement cb
> campaign, but NOTHING warrants it, especially a handful of hammies that
> pretend to be an angry mob of John Q. Public. Deceit has never worked
> but the truth will set you free. There is even a deficit in the FCC's
> budget through the end of the lil' shrub's one term. Beyond that, the
> knights in shining armor that you hailed as the almighty saviors are
> looking for greener pastures, as they know their positions are dust come
> next presidential election.
> My favorite verbatim quote: "Maybe if some of these amateurs (and I use
> the term loosely) were billed for the unproductive time spent by the FCC
> responding to their petty complaints some of the foolishness would
> stop."
> Hint: When he spoke of foolishness, he wasn't referring to cbers.
> Now if you'll excuse me, I have a driver to warm up and a DX call to
> make to the coal country.
>
>

3618. Re: does anyone use cb's anymore?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 18:43:19 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ulg0cot9nhk912@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132646

No, it's likely that your radio is officially dead. ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Mo" <sactownie@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:b9eb229a.0208120937.51ddc62a@posting.google.com...
> I plugged in my old forgoten cobra base today after 4years in
> hibrenation and did not hear a thing on any channels but a little
> static.Is the c.b. officially dead now?

3619. Re: AMPLIFIER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 19:07:22 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ulg1psnv1u8b3e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132648

Aaron, it is labeled 'amateur' amplifier. Which means it is legal to sell, IF it is certified. I note it can be drivin with low power. For your perusal:

Sec. 97.317 Standards for certification of external RF power amplifiers.

(a) To receive a grant of certification, the amplifier must satisfy the spurious emission standards of Sec. 97.307(d) or (e) of this part, as applicable, when the amplifier is:
(1) Operated at its full output power;
(2) Placed in the ``standby'' or ``off'' positions, but still connected to the transmitter; and
(3) Driven with at least 50 W mean RF input power (unless higher drive level is specified.)
(b) To receive a grant of certification, the amplifier must not be capable of operation on any frequency or frequencies between 24 MHz and 35

So, care to venture a guess as to its' status as being certified?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:c9ceae5b.0208110041.3c40e56a@posting.google.com...
> "nukem" <nukem@gotmail.com> wrote in message news:<Cxh59.237989$XH.5921779@twister.tampabay.rr.com>...
> > Check out this amp.
> >
> >
> > View Here:
> >
> > http://page.auctions.shopping.yahoo.com/auction/68659137?aucview=user
>
> That's odd. It says it's a "ham radio amplifier" but it has only two
> modes, AM and SSB. And it says it covers 80-10 meters but there's no
> band switching at all.
>
> -Aaron-

3620. Re: does anyone use cb's anymore?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 19:16:37 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92687D04BB302subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 132649

sactownie@hotmail.com (Mo) wrote in
news:b9eb229a.0208120937.51ddc62a@posting.google.com:

> I plugged in my old forgoten cobra base today after 4years in
> hibrenation and did not hear a thing on any channels but a little
> static.Is the c.b. officially dead now?

Not around here (central California), that's for sure. Channel 17 is absolutely over crowded, and you can hear the local base stations rag-chewing on 28 all day long.

This may sound kinda silly, but what kind of antenna did you hook up to that Cobra base of yours? I dunno. Maybe it will explain why you heard so little.

-Alan S.

3621. Re: Need Source for Under Hood PA Speaker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 19:26:14 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D580BD2.B63BFEE6@icok.net>
Source record: 132650

You might try "Bill Story", a company that
carries several types of 'loud speakers'.
'Doc

3622. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 19:54:11 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D57F389.F980564C@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132651

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> I just emailed Riley about Twisted's alleged call, if, indeed, he did call.
> The following is Riley's
> answer. Note that there is NO "education" fund, which, Twisted, makes me
> believe you never
> actually talked with him. The initial actions against Flying J and Pilot
> (and some others) are part
> of FCC's public records which can be read by typing in "Field Enforcement
> Actions". So this
> is already been done. It is quite obvious that they don't believe that they
> can be fined for selling
> the sh**, so according to MY conversation with the "man", further actions
> are planned against
> them. And others are to follow. I am now merely going to sit back and
> watch. Time WILL tell
> who is actually right.
>
> Jerry
>
> The accuracy of that is about 10%. What will probably happen is this: the
> CB freebanders ones overpower will keep it up and cause so much public
> outcry and interference that they'll draw the wrath of enforcement. Then
> they'll be bitching and moaning about government interference, when they
> brought it on themselves. Other notes: there is no "education fund". And,
> there has to be spectrum rules just as we have to have road rules. CB could
> contribute a lot to public communications needs instead of shooting itself
> in the foot all the time and being a laughing stock.

Now you know why his is called twistedhead, he gets everything
twisted up.

3623. Beacon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 20:18:17 UTC
From: John Medica - Listening-Post <medica@cyberspace.org>
Message-ID: <3D581809.849B645D@cyberspace.org>
Source record: 132655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.cb.skip

26.6950 USB - sounds like a beacon some sort.

regards
369 - Toronto Ontario, Canada

3624. Re: Need Source for Under Hood PA Speaker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 20:22:07 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9268881F9F2D2subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 132652

BillS <BillS@austin.rr.com> wrote in
news:3D57DCAA.71384A3C@austin.rr.com:

> Just wondering where one can find a metal PA speaker that is weather
> resistant and able to handle about 4-5 watts of Audio. I am not having
> much luck searching, maybe I'm using the wrong terminology. I keep
> getting hits on indoor PA stuff.
> BillS
>

Alan's handy-dandy super-duper searching secrets:

******
1.) Use Google. It is, hands down, the finest search engine in existence.
2.) Imagine what words you would expect to find on the page containing
the information you need. Put these words in your query.
3.) If you are almost certain that a particular phrase would likely
appear on a page, then quote the phrase, i.e. "pa speaker".
4.) Google supports Boolean queries. Use them. The AND operator is
assumed. The NOT operator is designated with a "-" before the word. So
for example, if I don't want results that have the word "cobra" in them,
I use -cobra.
******

For your problem, I structured my query exactly as follows:
external "pa speaker" weatherproof -cobra

This could have also been structured as:
external +"pa speaker" +weatherproof -cobra

Tranlsated into plain English, this query reads:
Show me pages that contain the word "external" AND the phrase "pa
speaker" AND the word "weatherproof" that do NOT have the word "cobra".

Boolean logic rocks =)

Like I said before, the AND operator "+" is assumed, so you don't really
need to use it.

My query gave me 12 results, one of which was:
http://www.procommproducts.com/speakers.html (This should be what your
looking for.)

Check out http://www.google.com/help/ for more options.

Hope this helps you next time you need to search for something!

-Alan S.

3625. Re: AMPLIFIER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 20:33:41 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92688A156290subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 132653

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in
news:ulg1psnv1u8b3e@corp.supernews.com:

> Aaron, it is labeled 'amateur' amplifier. Which means it is legal to
> sell, IF it is certified. I note it can be drivin with low power.
> For your perusal:
>
> Sec. 97.317 Standards for certification of external RF power
> amplifiers.
>
> (a) To receive a grant of certification, the amplifier must
> satisfy
> the spurious emission standards of Sec. 97.307(d) or (e) of this part,
> as applicable, when the amplifier is:
> (1) Operated at its full output power;
> (2) Placed in the ``standby'' or ``off'' positions, but still
> connected to the transmitter; and
> (3) Driven with at least 50 W mean RF input power (unless higher
> drive level is specified.)
> (b) To receive a grant of certification, the amplifier must not be
> capable of operation on any frequency or frequencies between 24 MHz
> and 35
>
> So, care to venture a guess as to its' status as being certified?
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
Hey Jim, earlier I found a couple of exceptions to the certification
requirement: (Section 97.315)
http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/get-cfr.cgi?TITLE=47&PART=97
&SECTION=315&YEAR=2001&TYPE=TEXT

I'm not sure they apply to this amp or not, however.

-Alan S.

3626. Re: Poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 20:49:49 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aj96vh$19k5gc$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132654

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ule1rjmuctct60@corp.supernews.com...
>
> G: Obey the rulz and regs but twist the knife on legal behavior that
drives
> Hams nuts ie. "Baba Boeey", "Man before I got my letters I had the BADDEST
> 32 Pill you eversaw!" 10-4 Good Buddy, Call Ever Ham "good buddy" and
STILL
> use my cb Handle.
>

I've already seen this done. Don't be surprised when you give your call and
get a lot of dead silence in return. Ham radio is no fun by yourself.

Tom

3627. Re: does anyone use cb's anymore?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 21:09:52 UTC
From: yeah_right@noway.com
Message-ID: <3d582498.25146455@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 132656

On 12 Aug 2002 10:37:21 -0700, sactownie@hotmail.com (Mo) wrote:

>I plugged in my old forgoten cobra base today after 4years in
>hibrenation and did not hear a thing on any channels but a little
>static.Is the c.b. officially dead now?

It's not dead, but it's really quiet where I live up north of Seattle. I got into CB in about 1992, and it was a lot more active than it is now. The fact is that you can hardly find any retail stores that carry CB's any more, so there aren't as many new people getting into CB. Instead they are using cell phones and FRS radios.

Personally, I hear a lot more chatter on my FRS handheld than I do on my CB. That's pretty sad considering that the range on FRS is about 1 mile on a good day.

Scott

3628. Astatic Road devil conductive paint.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 21:17:56 UTC
From: IBM233@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11333-3D582604-762@storefull-2277.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132658

I just bought a new Road Devil which has a golden thin layer of conductive paint on the inside. My five year old Road Devil has a more thicker dark gray conductive paint. Which is better to keep the mic from squealing, the old grey or new gold coating? Thanks. By the way, I have never had a Road Devil squeal but there might be a a day...

3629. FS: 3CX3000A7 tube & filament transformer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 21:35:57 UTC
From: The Tube Man <thetubeman@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1TV59.806$wF2.86562@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 132657

```
FOR SALE
1-GOOD USED 95% 3CX3000A7-$475
1-NEW FILAMENT TRANSFORMER
FOR 3CX3000A7-$160
```

3630. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 21:53:02 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020812175302.28396.00003529@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 132659

I agree with you moparman JJ needs to be in alook down your nose group rather than a cb group.....JJ is a prime example of Another Ham Dickhead

3631. Re: does anyone use cb's anymore?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 22:00:11 UTC
From: yeah_right@noway.com
Message-ID: <3d582f2c.27855084@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 132660

On 12 Aug 2002 21:37:47 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

>yeah_right@noway.com (Scott Keller) wrote:
>>>Personally, I hear a lot more chatter on my FRS handheld than I do on
>> my CB. That's pretty sad considering that the range on FRS is about 1
>> mile on a good day.
>>
>> Scott
>>
>You may need an antenna,Scott.

No, I've got an OK mobile antenna. It's not a 1/4 wave whip or a
Wilson 1000, but it's a real decent mag mount. I live in an
apartment, so I don't have a base setup right now, but I used to pick
up stations all over the place on my mobile. I do hear some
activity, but not what I used to hear.

I really enjoyed CB back when I first go into it in the early 90's
when I was in high school. There were a lot of locals....got togther
for coffee breaks and fox hunts....it was a lot of fun. It gave me
the itch to get iinto amateur radio, but after investing a lot of time
and money it just bores me. All I hear are a bunch of local senior
citizens with their "geritol" roundtable nets talking about their
latest medical treatments, or whether or not they had a good BM this
morning. It's just pathetic.

Scott

3632. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 22:07:02 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020812180702.28385.00003719@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 132661

I agree moparman. Most hams are good poeple...But there is that select,MY SHIT DONT STINK, group that has always bothered me. They arent any better than you or me

3633. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 22:14:09 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D581457.A12AC4A2@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132662

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> >>Now you know why his is called twistedhead, he gets everything
> > > twisted up.
> > >
> Fuck you,jj.
>
> You have never used CB(you claim),yet you post here in a CBer's group,more
> than any other group.
>
> Try again,chump!

Please show me any newsgroup rule that says I must use cb to post
to a cb newsgroup, chump.

Up yours too.

3634. Re: Mobile Amp Forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 22:15:34 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <asW59.9087$Ke2.780399@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132663

Aaron... (whisle whisle), here boy.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020811191032.10018.00002636@mb-cn.aol.com...
> Has High, MED, LOW, REC, SSB, works fine, its nice and compact....i'll
take 50
> bucks for it, email me if your interested
>
> yall have a nice one!
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

3635. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 22:16:26 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D5814E0.D8034781@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132664

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> frmboybuck@aol.com (Frmboybuck) wrote:
> >>I agree with you moparman JJ needs to be in alook down your nose group
> > > rather than a cb group.....JJ is a prime example of Another Ham Dickhead
> > >
> > Ironic,he doesn't represent the ham boys. Most are very sociable when it
> > comes to radio.
> >
> > He's jealous of me running my Collins,with voice. ;)

Why should I be jealous of you, I have a 32S-1, 75S-1 and a KWM-2.
I have never operated illegally, can you say that?

3636. Re: Astatic Road devil conductive paint.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 22:17:12 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ItW59.9089$Ke2.780290@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132665

Both are conductive shields... I don't know that one is better than the
other.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Chris" <IBM233@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11333-3D582604-762@storefull-2277.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> I just bought a new Road Devil which has a golden thin layer of
> conductive paint on the inside. My five year old Road Devil has a more
> thicker dark gray conductive paint. Which is better to keep the mic from
> squealing, the old grey or new gold coating? Thanks. By the way, I have
> never had a Road Devil squeal but there might be a a day...
>

3637. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 22:18:36 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D581562.5CA0354B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132666

Frmboybuck wrote:
>
> I agree moparman. Most hams are good poeple...But there is that select,MY SHIT
> DONT STINK, group that has always bothered me. They arent any better than you
> or me

I have never operated illegally, can you say that?

3638. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 22:25:14 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020812182514.28365.00003963@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 132667

I will gladly answer that for you..NO I have never operated outside of the 40 or ran extre power

3639. Re: Re...Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 22:28:22 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020812182822.28365.00003965@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 132668

I dont care if people freeband or use amps.Ive just never had the need to do that

3640. Re: Re...Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 22:31:20 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020812183120.28365.00003966@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 132669

But i dont look down on people that do...I will also not say that I never will run extra power or freeband....If the need arises I'll be right in there with the rest of them

3641. Re: Beacon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 22:46:18 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@charter.net>
Message-ID: <ulgeocoj8esc1d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.cb.skip

Sounds like pactor...wefax...or another digital mode.

--
Web Site: www.polkcounty.org/radiofun
ICQ: 11908449
Yahoo Mess: Hitradio93Q

"John Medica - Listening-Post" <medica@cyberspace.org> wrote in message
news:3D581809.849B645D@cyberspace.org...
> 26.6950 USB - sounds like a beacon some sort.
>
> regards
> 369 - Toronto Ontario, Canada
>
>
>
>
>

3642. Re: does anyone use cb's anymore?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 23:09:07 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D584019.BF43ED91@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132671

Scott Keller wrote:
>
> No, I've got an OK mobile antenna. It's not a 1/4 wave whip or a
> Wilson 1000, but it's a real decent mag mount. I live in an
> apartment, so I don't have a base setup right now, but I used to pick
> up stations all over the place on my mobile. I do hear some
> activity, but not what I used to hear.

A mobile antenna only works on a mobile. The thing you can hold
in your hand is only half the antenna. The car is the other half.
Try putting it on the 'fridge, an air duct, or a railing. It will
work fine upside down if you keep the coax away from the whip.

3643. Re: Astatic Road devil conductive paint.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 23:18:57 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20020812191857.03804.00002788@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 132672

You might just be one of the fortunate few!
Train

>Subject: Astatic Road devil conductive paint.
>From: IBM233@webtv.net (Chris)
>Date: 8/12/02 5:17 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <11333-3D582604-762@storefull-2277.public.lawson.webtv.net>
>
>I just bought a new Road Devil which has a golden thin layer of
>conductive paint on the inside. My five year old Road Devil has a more
>thicker dark gray conductive paint. Which is better to keep the mic from
>squealing, the old grey or new gold coating? Thanks. By the way, I have
>never had a Road Devil squeal but there might be a a day...
>

3644. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 23:20:53 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208121520.5356ec47@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132673

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<9184-3D57CD09-390@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> Well, I must again conclude with JerryO's ascertations to a certain
> extent. Hollingsworth is personable and very easy to get along with, but
> let's get to the meat of the matter. It is not he alone that decides
> what enforcement will be, or where. Matter of fact, he has way less
> authority than is attributed him. The best word to describe his actual
> day-to-day function is "liason."
> He also agrees that with the suncycle on a downward shift, cb complaints
> will remain basically what they have always been...a non-issue save for
> safety and severe repeated interference complaints and those drop with
> the skip conditions.
> An anticipated return to what he believes is the loftiest goal before
> the FCC concerning hobby radio service, enforcement of amateur related
> activities, is his primary concern. They have more potential to do harm
> and have always done so when compared to cb.
> Concerning the truckstops selling cb, Jerry, I don't know where you
> received your information but Mr. Hollingsworth claimed that even IF the
> money was there to mount a campaign for what you claim, he would do his
> best to find a better use for it...such as an EDUCATION blitz. The
> MANDATORY initial certified warnings that are sent out to offenders are
> paid for from the "educational" fund. Any step beyond that is an entire
> different ballgame and is only one of many reasons for forfeiture and
> fines...an attempt to recoup the dollar spent.
> Cb has never been the concern to the FCC you have been putting forth,
> Jerry. Hollingsworth actually spoke of the overzealousness that
> self-consumes way too many hammies, and literally stated much of their
> behavior is WORSE than the cb "problem" they are presenting to report.
> He also has a sense of humor, shown by his statement that if the
> frustration level of many hammies who bother the FCC with trivial
> complaints concerning cb could be bottled and sold, the gases would be
> toxic.
> One last note...Jerry, you claimed a rise in enforcement "pretty
> soon."................
> If you know something he doesnt, give him a call and fill him in. Unless
> a private large windfall of a donation is dropped in their lap earmarked
> specifically for cb enforcement, the cash is not there for any raid-type
> commando operations on truck stops nation wide. On the matter of public
> record for disbursements
> (that doesn't cost a plump nickel if you know where to look), the money
> for the duration of Bush' first and last term is already accounted for
> and if you would be so kind as to call your associate he would be more
> than happy to confirm what I just relayed to you, including clueing you
> in that not only are funds not available for a sole enforcement cb

> campaign, but NOTHING warrants it, especially a handful of hammies that
> pretend to be an angry mob of John Q. Public. Deceit has never worked
> but the truth will set you free. There is even a deficit in the FCC's
> budget through the end of the lil' shrub's one term. Beyond that, the
> knights in shining armor that you hailed as the almighty saviors are
> looking for greener pastures, as they know their positions are dust come
> next presidential election.
> My favorite verbatim quote: "Maybe if some of these amateurs (and I use
> the term loosely) were billed for the unproductive time spent by the FCC
> responding to their petty complaints some of the foolishness would
> stop."
> Hint: When he spoke of foolishness, he wasn't referring to cbers.
> Now if you'll excuse me, I have a driver to warm up and a DX call to
> make to the coal country.

Jerry Oxendine wrote; Riley's response:
>The accuracy of that is about 10%.

ROTFLMAO, thanks for the legwork, Jerry. If Twisty thought nobody
would bother to check facts, he'd be encouraged to pull this crap even
MORE often. They don't call him "Twistedhed" for nothing!

-Aaron-

3645. Re: Poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 23:24:27 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <LsX59.157156$yc3.6808623@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132674

Another Sparkling Gem form kenny

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ulf34sht18sb1a@corp.supernews.com...
> Shut up Stupid!

3646. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 23:32:14 UTC
From: Skipp <skipp@pilotNOSPAMPLEASE.ucdavis.edu>
Message-ID: <aj9ghu$c5s$1@woodrow.ucdavis.edu>
Source record: 132675

Hi Jay,

Remember, the general 4cx250b type amplifier layout should be constructed for AB1 or AB2 operation. Although it is possible to do both with one amplifier, it's better practice to construct and layout the circuit for only one operating point. I'm talking grid vs cathode drive layouts.

So you would assume linear AB1 operation is your goal... you have four tubes in parallel with no-load 2200 vdc anode potentials. You need to describe your drive/grid circuit layout for me. Adjust your control grid bias for the proper drive and zero signal anode currents with variations on the shunt (or active) regulated screen potential. Recomended zsac values are in many older ARRL Handbooks.

Dealing with four parallel tubes requires device (tube) matching and or some unbypassed cathode lead degeneration. AB1 operation requires a low impedance grid circuit... some pick 50 or 200 ohms depending on drive power. Other values are possible...

The heaters should be at 6.0 volts Operation at 6.3 volts is bad news.

The tank impedance is going to be very low, you will need to cool the tank with forced air, the coil diameter should be quite large.

If done properly, I've seen a 4 hole version output the "legal amateur limit" with ease. AB2 operation is a much better idea...

cheers
skipp
http://sonic.ucdavis.edu

3647. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 23:47:40 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16378-3D58491C-390@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132678

>From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine) I just emailed Riley about Twisted's alleged call, if, indeed, he did call. The following is Riley's
answer. Note that there is NO "education" fund, which, Twisted, makes me believe you never
actually talked with him. <<

Your beliefs aren't what makes things true or false, but your opinion is noted.

>The initial actions against Flying J and Pilot (and some others) are part
of FCC's public records which can be read by typing in "Field Enforcement Actions".
<<

Exactly, and one doesn't have to pay for the information.
Ok, we can go into a semantic debate here or speak of the topic..IE: enforcement.
Granted, there is no "education fund", I had "enforcement" and "education" on the brain and and on the tip of the tongue when I absent-mindedly typed "education".instead of enforcement....but he most certainly
stated he would, if left to his sole discretion, choose an educational campaign as opposed to the reign of terror you would like unleashed upon cbers that do anything you do not agree with.
It is not your job to enforce the law, especially where it doesn't concern you. You are not a LEO in any way, shape or form.

>So this
is already been done. It is quite obvious that they don't believe that they can be fined for selling
the sh**, so according to MY conversation with the "man", further actions are planned against
them. And others are to follow. I am now merely going to sit back and watch. Time WILL tell
who is actually right.
Jerry
<<

When does it begin?
Your cited instances are merely business as usual, the way things have always been done.

"The accuracy of that is about 10%. What will probably happen is this: the CB freebanders ones overpower will keep it up and cause so

much public outcry and interference that they'll draw the wrath of enforcement. Then they'll be bitching and moaning about government interference, when they brought it on themselves."

Most freebanders do not use gobs and gobs of not needed power.

" Other notes: there is no "education fund".

See above.

"And, there has to be spectrum rules just as we have to have road rules. CB could contribute a lot to public communications needs instead of shooting itself in the foot all the time and being a laughing stock."

CB does contribute plenty to public communications. Take a road trip, you'll see exactly what you do not know.

3648. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 23:57:11 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16378-3D584B57-395@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132677

>From: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote: snip
Hint: When he spoke of foolishness, he wasn't referring to cbers.
<<

Now if you'll excuse me, I have a driver to warm up and a DX call to make to the coal country.

>Let me get this straight,the goverment doesn't have the funds to chase CBer's?
WOW! lol
--
<<

Ask Jerry what their (FCC) biggest problem is concerning hobby radio operators. He talks to the guy himself fairly regularly so I know he knows what time it is and I find it a bit odd he hasn;t mentioned it once. It's not cbers and the math ain;t that difficult. Special interests definitely control this puppy and unless Tauzin and some other cronies get some more cash dumped in their muzzles by some rich aristocrat that keeps hearing "Breaker Babooey" over and over through their hearing aid, cb ain't an issue to anyone but the clowns that make it one, and they have no grease. In other words, they're impotent. Rock on!

3649. Re: Re...Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-12 23:59:29 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208121559.2652f941@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132676

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208120930.1dd78c14@posting.google.com>...
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
> >Well, I must again conclude with JerryO's ascertations to a
> >certain extent. Hollingsworth is personable and very easy to
> >get along with, but let's get to the meat of the matter.
> >It is not he alone that decides what enforcement will be,
> >or where. Matter of fact, he has way less
> >authority than is attributed him. The best word to
> >describe his actual day-to-day function is "liason."
> >He also agrees that with the suncycle on a downward
> >shift, cb complaints will remain basically what they have
> >always been...a non-issue save for safety and severe
> >repeated interference complaints and those drop with
> >the skip conditions.
>
> <snip>
>
> Sounds like a nice guy. Did you ask him if he felt CB'ers should use
> high powered RF amplifiers or transmit in the freeband?
>
> -Aaron-

But Aaron, that's against the Rules. And it always has been. Are you
now encouraging it? Like you encouraged a guy to get his big linear
modified for 11m?

The point was: Stop wasting his time. Get a clue.

I notice you dropped out of the "eButt" thread as soon as someone
collaborated what I had been trying to tell you. Pretty lame. But
that's precisely what we expect from you, Aanal Aaron!

3650. Re: Re...Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 00:01:52 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16378-3D584C70-397@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132679

From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
Well, I must again conclude with JerryO's ascertations to a certain
extent. Hollingsworth is personable and very easy to get along with, but
let's get to the meat of the matter. It is not he alone that decides
what enforcement will be, or where. Matter of fact, he has way less
authority than is attributed him. The best word to describe his actual
day-to-day function is "liason." He also agrees that with the suncycle
on a downward shift, cb complaints will remain basically what they have
always been...a non-issue save for safety and severe repeated
interference complaints and those drop with the skip conditions.
<snip>

>Sounds like a nice guy. Did you ask him if he felt CB'ers should use
high powered RF amplifiers or transmit in the freeband?
-Aaron-
<<

I already know how he feels toward those subjects so there was no need
to inquire.
Even if he thinks that slightly exceeding power limits is ok, he can't
verbalize it due his present position.

3651. For Sale GMRS Portables

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 00:19:07 UTC
From: sales <sales@lssons.com>
Message-ID: <3D58507B.2030705@lssons.com>
Source record: 132680

http://www.2wayradios.us

3652. Re: Beacon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 00:30:11 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@charter.net>
Message-ID: <ulgkrnol4kume8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.cb.skip

Sounds like pactor...wefax...or another digital mode.

"John Medica - Listening-Post" <medica@cyberspace.org> wrote in message
news:3D581809.849B645D@cyberspace.org...
> 26.6950 USB - sounds like a beacon some sort.
>
> regards
> 369 - Toronto Ontario, Canada
>
>
>
>
>

3653. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 01:30:43 UTC
From: Sean <singram@direcway.com>
Message-ID: <pjZ59.9131$u7.726701@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 132682

How do you conclude that I would have referenced your name even in the
message that is directed to someone else.
That doesn't make sense.
My message was directed to another user ----YOU have NOT Accepted That For
the Truth..
you have only forseen someone was after you. When in REALITY--it was just a
small mistake :) Smile! You'll Be Alright.

Guy, we make small mistakes in our lives -whether you have accepted it or
not- don't call others "dip-shit"!
When have you EVER made a mistake?
When have you NOT EVER made a mistake?

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:uletm031osp81a@corp.supernews.com...
> I read your post just fine... YOU replied to MY post, whether you
> referenced ME in it or not, it was still MY post....
>
> It is not, I, who is reading wrong, it is YOU who are posting wrong...
> Learn how to post a proper reply, and I _will_ "get over it"...
>
> PH
>
>
> "*****" <no_flamers@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:qUz59.9045$u7.720856@news.direcpc.com...
> > You Read MY post WRONG!!
> > GET OVER IT!!
> > I ain't never mentioned yo' name to begin with!!
> > SO, GET OVER IT!!!
> >
> > "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> > news:ulda87ne328s1a@corp.supernews.com...
> > > You replied to the wrong post dip shit... I didn't say any of what
you
> > > quoted! Get a clue!
> > >
> > > PH
> > >
> > >
> > > "A_CBer and Ham" <A_CBer@yourtower.com> wrote in message
> > > news:Ocv59.9032$u7.718993@news.direcpc.com...
> > > > What you cryin' bout Frmboybuck?
> > > >
> > > > I Earned my HAM License!

> > > >
> > > > You jus' squealin' like a piggy! You been watchin' "Deliverance" too
> > many
> > > > times!!
> > > >
> > > > So..Don't Be Telling Others that they "didn't Earn Shit"!
> > > > Why don't you smell what you shoveling!
> > > > Or go suck yo momma's cock like you been doin'!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:ulbujjt11r4kf8@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > I still like mine better.... :)
> > > > >
> > > > > PH
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

3654. Re: Mobile Amp Forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 01:33:10 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <qlZ59.158324$yc3.6898791@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132683

Your the Dog Griffey, just take a look at one of your amps.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:asW59.9087$Ke2.780399@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Aaron... (whisle whisle), here boy.
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020811191032.10018.00002636@mb-cn.aol.com...
> > Has High, MED, LOW, REC, SSB, works fine, its nice and compact....i'll
> take 50
> > bucks for it, email me if your interested
> >
> > yall have a nice one!
> >
> >
> > Thatz-me-JP
>
>

3655. Re: Re...Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 01:33:46 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208121733.2d7ac3d6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132684

Twistedhed wrote:
>Even if he thinks that slightly exceeding power limits
>is ok, he can't verbalize it due his present position.

Yeah, right. And there is a government flying saucer base at the north pole, too. ;)

-Aaron-

3656. Re: Aaron is a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 01:41:55 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <DtZ59.81799$Yd.3660004@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 132685

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020812201150.982$zx@newsreader.com...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >>Vote yes or no.
> >
> "6 days on the vote and Aaron's still waiting for a no vote,toniiight".
> >
> ($1 dollar to Steve Earle)
>
> --
> The chrome and steel I ride,
> collide with the very air I breathe.<g>
> Go#40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

Imagine that. I vote YES as well.

3657. Cryin' over a Frequency Chart?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 02:01:09 UTC
From: ***** <noflaming@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <VLZ59.9132$u7.726896@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 132686

What in da hell?
Cryin' over a frequency chart?! Nigga-PLEASE!!
That's what you all sound like ---a bunch of niggers!!
The word Nigger is NOT about White nor Black ---It how one acts----IGNORANT!

Now that the mutual greeting has been taken care of....on with da show!!

Lou Franklin is COOL!
I like his catalogs -even if I have never bought anything.

I still don't see what that chart is doing to you!
You all acting like it was Kryptonite to Superman or saying that "Myxylplyk"
dude's name backwards!

Anyway, someone got their feelings hurt because they thought someone
directed a message to them when all it was..was just an error in the posting
sequence. that's all.

That "sean" dude was directing it to another post when "Power House" just
lost it all.
GEEZ!!

Anyway Quit crying over a CB frequency chart!
wasting bandwidth and taking up the whole newsgroup over what -----a CB
frequency chart.

3658. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 02:04:11 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <O1_59.3265$g47.184391@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132689

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:16378-3D58491C-390@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine) I just emailed Riley
about Twisted's alleged call, if, indeed, he did call. The following is
Riley's
answer. Note that there is NO "education" fund, which, Twisted, makes me
believe you never
actually talked with him. <<

Your beliefs aren't what makes things true or false, but your opinion is
noted.

>The initial actions against Flying J and Pilot (and some others) are
part
of FCC's public records which can be read by typing in "Field
Enforcement Actions".
<<

Exactly, and one doesn't have to pay for the information.
Ok, we can go into a semantic debate here or speak of the topic..IE:
enforcement.
Granted, there is no "education fund", I had "enforcement" and
"education" on the brain and and on the tip of the tongue when I
absent-mindedly typed "education".instead of enforcement....but he most
certainly
stated he would, if left to his sole discretion, choose an educational
campaign as opposed to the reign of terror you would like unleashed upon
cbers that do anything you do not agree with.
It is not your job to enforce the law, especially where it doesn't
concern you. You are not a LEO in any way, shape or form.

>So this
is already been done. It is quite obvious that they don't believe that
they can be fined for selling
the sh**, so according to MY conversation with the "man", further
actions are planned against
them. And others are to follow. I am now merely going to sit back and
watch. Time WILL tell
who is actually right.
Jerry
<<

When does it begin?
Your cited instances are merely business as usual, the way things have
always been done.

"The accuracy of that is about 10%. What will probably happen is this: the CB freebanders ones overpower will keep it up and cause so much public outcry and interference that they'll draw the wrath of enforcement. Then they'll be bitching and moaning about government interference, when they brought it on themselves."

Most freebanders do not use gobs and gobs of not needed power.

" Other notes: there is no "education fund".

See above.

"And, there has to be spectrum rules just as we have to have road rules. CB could contribute a lot to public communications needs instead of shooting itself in the foot all the time and being a laughing stock."

CB does contribute plenty to public communications. Take a road trip, you'll see exactly what you do not know.

That line about "shooting itself in the foot and being a laughingstock" came from Riley himself. I merely cut and pasted it here. You would have to take Mr. H to task for that comment; I did not
make it.

I have NEVER said I was a LEO; all I have done is state what I have heard from Hollingsworth
in person. Just the other day, I had phoned him about "certain" issues where he informed me that
Flying J and Pilot are, in fact, about to receive more legal actions over their sales of so called
"10 Meter" radios. He just hit Roper Electronics and also a Mr McCreary over his use of an amplifier. I had nothing to do with that. All I can do and WILL do is document and report instances of illegal operations on the Amateur bands and the sales of illegal equipment at truck stops
and other outlets. Yes, I WILL take "breaks" while traveling at truck stops, and if I find those Galaxy and Connex "radios", I will send said evidence to the proper authorities whose job it IS
to do something about it.

We are NOT talking about what happens on the regular 40; my interest is the intrusion of unlicensed operators onto bands where they have no business. Y'all can do what you want to down on the 40--fry each others eyeballs with those BIG amps; whatever. I am doing only what
other hams are doing--even Richard McCollum with his picture machine; protecting what I worked
for from those who would just TAKE what they want. Whatever else happens as a result is just gravy. And as I said in my reply to your original post on the subject of RH, I am just going to sit
back and see what happens. I KNOW what Riley told me. I KNOW what I see on ARRL.org
and hear on RainReport. The focus is on the out of banders, the 10/12 meter bandits, not on what
happens on the regular 40. In fact,. WRT the regular 40 and the usual freebanders above 40 and

below 28.000, I figure those guys are pretty safe for now--and I've so stated. But those that operate on the ham bands without license, we will see.....we will see.....

Have a happy, happy!

Jerry

3659. Re: does anyone use cb's anymore?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 02:15:17 UTC
From: ***** <noflaming@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <fZZ59.9134$u7.727144@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 132687

You'll hear plenty of Key-downs on channel 6. And on Channel 22 in Richmond,VA.

I live in Virginia and unable to use Channel 9 to contact VASP.

"Mo" <sactownie@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:b9eb229a.0208120937.51ddc62a@posting.google.com...
> I plugged in my old forgoten cobra base today after 4years in
> hibrenation and did not hear a thing on any channels but a little
> static.Is the c.b. officially dead now?

3660. Re: does anyone use cb's anymore?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 02:32:14 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Oc_59.4329$wm2.191222001@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132688

"Mo" <sactownie@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:b9eb229a.0208120937.51ddc62a@posting.google.com...
> I plugged in my old forgoten cobra base today after 4years in
> hibrenation and did not hear a thing on any channels but a little
> static.Is the c.b. officially dead now?

NO.
Did you check that your cb was operating properly?

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

3661. repost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 02:33:16 UTC
From: dweebospanka@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020812223316.07337.00004745@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 132690

"Dweebo Spanka" <dweebospanka@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020504165624.10631.00010623@mb-fo.aol.com...
> The whole story, up to now..
>
>
>
> (Knock at the door) Aaron opens door wearing his skimpiest thong underwear
>
> Aaron: Hi Sparky! How are you!
>
> Sparky: I'm good Aaron. Is dinner ready?
>
> Aaron: Almost. In the meantime, you can snack on me, but we need to hurry
> before George gets here.
>
> Sparky:(screaming) Aaron! Will you shut up with the advances! I already told
> you I've started to like women now! Now leave me alone!
>
> Aaron: OK, OK! I just thought we could be like the old days again.
>
> Sparky: No, I'm really going to stick it out this time.
>
> Aaron: (sniffling) Ok Sparky, have it your way. I'll respect your wishes.
>
> Sparky: Where's George? Do we have to wait for him to eat?
>
> Aaron: Yes, he's bringing the salad.
>
> Sparky: OK. Got any snacks?
>
> Aaron: I think so, let me check.
>
> (knock at the door)Aaron answers the door.
>
> Aaron:(exclaiming) George! My God! What happened to you?
>
> George:(crying) I was just out minding my own business, following this little
> girl, and all the sudden, wham! Her Dad must have seen me and punched me. I
had
> just asked if she wanted some candy too. I think I could have had her. Then
the
> Dad pulled out of the window of my Pacer, and beat me some more. I think he
> dislocated my shoulder too.
>

> Sparky: Can we eat now?
>
> Aaron: Sparky, can't you see George is hurt? Go get one of the steaks for
> George's black eyes. And while you're in the kitchen Sparky, get some ice for
> his broken nose and busted lip too.
>
> Sparky: OK, but I ain't eating the steak that you use on George's eyes!
>
> Aaron: OK, whatever!
>
> (Sparky spends fully 5 minutes trying to figure out which steak is the
> smallest, so he can have the biggest.then finally he returns)
>
> Aaron: God Sparky! Why did you take a bite out of it? It's raw, for Christ's
> sake!
>
> Sparky:(unintelligible mumbling caused by mouthful of raw steak)
>
> Aaron:(grabs steak from Sparky and applies it to George's eyes) There now
> George. Is that better? Aaron knows how to make you feel better, doesn't he?
>
> George: Yes Aaron, it's much better now. Thanks, you know I bruise easily.
>
> Aaron: Hey Sparky, light the grill.
>
> Sparky: Hey, those steaks ain't half bad raw! Do we really need to cook them?
>
> Aaron: My God Sparky! Sometimes you act like a Neanderthal! Light the damn
> grill!
>
> (Sparky goes out back, turns on the gas, clicks the igniter repeatedly,
nothing
> happens. Goes back inside for matches and returns)
>
> Aaron:(just as Sparky lights the match) NO! STOP!
>
> (loud explosion)
>
> Sparky: (screaming) I'm on fire! Somebody help me!
>
> (Sparky runs back through the house with his beard on fire, screaming for
help.
> Aaron and George race behind him with teacups filled with water)
>
>
> Finally, Aaron and George manage to catch Sparky and beat out the fire in
> Sparky's beard with the teacups.
>
> Sparky: Thanks guys! That was close! I saw my life flash before my eyes.
>

> Aaron: No prob Sparky. You know we love you.
>
> George: Speak for yourself, Aaron! Faggot!
>
> Sparky: Can we eat now?
>
> George: Well, we could if you hadn't blown up the grill!
>
> Aaron: It's OK Sparky. We'll just grill the steaks on the stove.
>
> Sparky: I don't wanna wait! I'm getting dizzy. My cholesterol must have
dropped
> below 300.
>
> George: Here, drink this.
>
> Sparky: What is it?
>
> George: Bacon drippings. It will bring the cholesterol level back up in your
> blood.
>
> Sparky:(drinks greedily) Burp! Thanks George.
>
> George: No problem. Hey Aaron, you still have that "Little Girls Gone Wild"
> video?
>
> Aaron: I think so.check over there in the gay porn by the VCR.
>
> George: Faggot! (looks for the tape)
>
> Sparky: I'm hungry! Let's eat.
>
> Aaron: Just hang on a minute. Let me get these damn steaks cooked. (throws
the
> steaks in the pan)
>
> George: (tearing his eyes off the video) Sparky, get the salad and dressing
> ready, will ya? I'm busy now.
>
> Sparky: OK, George. (Sparky sets the table)
>
> Aaron: How do you guys like your steaks?
>
> Sparky: Raw is fine with me.
>
> George: (rubbing his crotch while watching the little girl video) I don't
care.
> Yeah, raw is fine with me too.
>
> Aaron: (mumbles) Damn weirdo's.

>
> Aaron: (grease splatters on Aaron's hairless chest) Ouch!
>
> George: Aaron, do you mind if I take this video home with me?
>
> Aaron: Sure, take it. You know I don't like females.
>
> George: (under his breath) Faggot!
>
> Sparky: Can we eat now?
>
> Aaron: Yep! Steaks are done. (Aaron places them on the table)
>
> Sparky: I'm first George! That's my steak!
>
> George: Whatever Sparky! Hell, I'm just gonna leave now. (grabs the video and
> heads for the door)
>
> Aaron: Where you going, George?
>
> George: Um.uh.I'm not really hungry now. I think I'll just watch this tape
> at home.
>
> Sparky: Good! Gimme his steak.
>
> Aaron: OK George, see ya later.
>
> George: Bye
>
>
> Scene: Much later in the evening after George has gone home to watch his
kiddy
> porn, we return to find Aaron and Sparky enmeshed in each other's arms.
>
> Aaron: Sparky, I knew you would come around.
>
> Sparky: Well Aaron, I kind of feel guilty.almost as if I'm slipping back into
> my old self. I've really tried to kick this man habit, but I can't seem to
stop
> having feelings for you.
>
> Aaron: Well Sparky, I always knew we were meant for each other.
>
> Sparky: I only ask one thing of you, Aaron.
>
> Aaron: What might that be, Sparky?
>
> Sparky: All I ask is that you don't breath a word of this encounter to
George.
>

> Aaron: Fair enough. I know he's a bit of a bigot.
>
> Sparky: Yes he is, but he's still my only real friend. You know, I can't
count
> the times that he's tried to save me when I've gotten in over my head on the
> newsgroup.
>
> Aaron: I know Sparky, and he's done the same for me. I really love him, but I
> can't let him know that.
>
> Sparky: So, we're sworn to secrecy, right Aaron?
>
> Aaron: Absolutely! Remember, we did take the ACK pledge.
>
> Sparky:(chuckles quietly)
>
> Sparky: (tentatively) OK Aaron, I'm all yours.
>
> Scene: Fade to black.
>
>
> To be continued.
>
>

Hmmm...quite interesting header info. From AOL, only different. Wonder what
that means?

3662. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 03:27:04 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D585DAF.6D83FC14@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132691

Frmboybuck wrote:
>
> I will gladly answer that for you..NO I have never operated outside of the 40
> or ran extre power

Good for you!

3663. Re: does anyone use cb's anymore?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 03:39:42 UTC
From: nyceguy@jps.net
Message-ID: <eaf4749a.0208121939.384f71a2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132692

yeah_right@noway.com (Scott Keller) wrote in message
news:<3d582f2c.27855084@news.verizon.net>...
> On 12 Aug 2002 21:37:47 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> >yeah_right@noway.com (Scott Keller) wrote:
> >>>Personally, I hear a lot more chatter on my FRS handheld than I do on
> >> my CB. That's pretty sad considering that the range on FRS is about 1
> >> mile on a good day.
> >>
> >> Scott
> >>
> >You may need an antenna,Scott.
>
> No, I've got an OK mobile antenna. It's not a 1/4 wave whip or a
> Wilson 1000, but it's a real decent mag mount. I live in an
> apartment, so I don't have a base setup right now, but I used to pick
> up stations all over the place on my mobile. I do hear some
> activity, but not what I used to hear.
>
> I really enjoyed CB back when I first go into it in the early 90's
> when I was in high school. There were a lot of locals....got togther
> for coffee breaks and fox hunts....it was a lot of fun. It gave me
> the itch to get iinto amateur radio, but after investing a lot of time
> and money it just bores me. All I hear are a bunch of local senior
> citizens with their "geritol" roundtable nets talking about their
> latest medical treatments, or whether or not they had a good BM this
> morning. It's just pathetic.
>
> Scott

Well, the internet kinda had a BIG affect on the CB. I live in
Sacramento, CA. Back in the 70's, 80, and early 90's, it was insane.
On any given night channel 12, 14, 15, 17, 22, and 27 would be crowded
with locals! Now, you may hear some truck drivers on 17 and a few
locals on Channel 27 and 8.

3664. Re: does anyone use cb's anymore?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 03:53:10 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Go%59.1783$MN1.80717069@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132693

"Kevin G" <nyceguy@jps.net> wrote in message
news:eaf4749a.0208121939.384f71a2@posting.google.com...
> yeah_right@noway.com (Scott Keller) wrote in message
news:<3d582f2c.27855084@news.verizon.net>...
> > On 12 Aug 2002 21:37:47 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > >yeah_right@noway.com (Scott Keller) wrote:
> > >>>Personally, I hear a lot more chatter on my FRS handheld than I do on
> > >> my CB. That's pretty sad considering that the range on FRS is about
1
> > >> mile on a good day.
> > >>
> > >> Scott
> > >>
> > >You may need an antenna,Scott.
> >
> > No, I've got an OK mobile antenna. It's not a 1/4 wave whip or a
> > Wilson 1000, but it's a real decent mag mount. I live in an
> > apartment, so I don't have a base setup right now, but I used to pick
> > up stations all over the place on my mobile. I do hear some
> > activity, but not what I used to hear.
> >
> > I really enjoyed CB back when I first go into it in the early 90's
> > when I was in high school. There were a lot of locals....got togther
> > for coffee breaks and fox hunts....it was a lot of fun. It gave me
> > the itch to get iinto amateur radio, but after investing a lot of time
> > and money it just bores me. All I hear are a bunch of local senior
> > citizens with their "geritol" roundtable nets talking about their
> > latest medical treatments, or whether or not they had a good BM this
> > morning. It's just pathetic.
> >
> > Scott
>
>
> Well, the internet kinda had a BIG affect on the CB. I live in
> Sacramento, CA. Back in the 70's, 80, and early 90's, it was insane.
> On any given night channel 12, 14, 15, 17, 22, and 27 would be crowded
> with locals! Now, you may hear some truck drivers on 17 and a few
> locals on Channel 27 and 8.

Yeah those were the channels, what happened to
40, used to be a lot of locals there. It was pretty active
this weekend on 17 & 19 when I rolled through.
Hey is Cobra still around?

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

3665. antennas and more...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 03:53:14 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Ko%59.10110$Ep6.790824@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132694

ive just completed a very nice, easy to follow cd with plans to build 11 meter (CB) antennas, with MORE info on tune-ups, convertions, mic wiring, you name it...this thing is loaded with useful info on doing your own repairs and modifications. Explains how toos, and pdf file on home-kits and MORE...pictures are high quality, formulas, and do-nots that will get you up and sounding great...want to install your own talk-back, echo board, want to make your own swing-kit? well theres More, More, More (title of my cd) anyone interested, email me. Many hours and weekends compiling all this info in an easy to read format...Ive decided NOT to copyright this, as friends and 'Masters' have help write this/ you'll be amazed at how much you'll learn..
.'Golden Screwdriver' is a drop in the
bucket.... email me at horseflygk@att.net I'm 10-7, later

--
If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens

3666. Re: does anyone use cb's anymore?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 07:16:07 UTC
From: piecheech@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020813031607.02422.00004097@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 132695

Depends on where you live. Here in San Diego, the locals are on 19 all the time along with the truckers driving through. The other channels are alive mostly with Mexico and South America coming in Spanish speaking. On lower side band, any channel from 35 through 40, mostly 38 you get during the day the whole country come in as well with Canada, Puerto Rico, Costa Rica and in the evenings you get Hawaii, Australia, Tazmania and other Pacific islands. Earlier this year the spots were probably at their highest, and lately it's been getting weird and sporadic.
Joe PieCheech

3667. Re: Beacon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 10:00:47 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208130200.4fa1cd6a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.cb.skip

Another possibility? Sounds like you may have found a legit user of a
"freeband" frequency. Weather services perhaps?

73 de Bert
WA2SI

"radiofun" <radiofun@charter.net> wrote in message
news:<ulgeocoj8esc1d@corp.supernews.com>...
> Sounds like pactor...wefax...or another digital mode.
>
> --
> Web Site: www.polkcounty.org/radiofun
> ICQ: 11908449
> Yahoo Mess: Hitradio93Q
>
>
>
> "John Medica - Listening-Post" <medica@cyberspace.org> wrote in message
> news:3D581809.849B645D@cyberspace.org...
> > 26.6950 USB - sounds like a beacon some sort.
> >
> > regards
> > 369 - Toronto Ontario, Canada
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

3668. Re: Poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 11:12:54 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ulhqde52ordsb0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132697

I thought that was the purpose of Ham...To Talk with people when your alone by your rig
Ken

3669. Personal Call with nature

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 11:16:13 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D58EA84.53724293@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132698

"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:

> Yeah, right. And there is a government flying saucer base at the north
> pole, too. ;)

It's right next to Santa's stables.

3670. Re: cbs and helicopters etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 11:17:06 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ulhqla8qcf26ff@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132699

You should see how ole JJ and Randy went loony when I said my big radio blew up the space shuttle..It was a hoot:)
Sincerely
Ken
"LilyV7" <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020812101536.22243.00003420@mb-bj.aol.com...
> thanx for the replies, "all steel" and "kenneth". just couldnt resist taking a
> pot shot at the morons....i mean jeeeeesus,the
> sh?t gets deep around here some times.
> have a good one gunslinger :)

3671. Re: cbs and helicopters etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 11:23:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D58EC1A.5F65EF22@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132700

Kenneth wrote:
>
> You should see how ole JJ and Randy went loony when I said my big radio blew
> up the space shuttle..It was a hoot:)

Didn't it also cause those two jets to fly into the WTC?

3672. Is xgannon a Ham only thing? Voob? JJ? Randy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 11:34:51 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ulhrmka59b3b27@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132701

Just wondering if xgannon is a Ham only thing. It seems the high and mighty wannabe Hams are so pure they have to use anonymous remailers to insult people.
The idea the someone could operate totally legal on any band yet disregard basic internet rulz of behavior is ludicrous. There are allot of Hams that contribute greatly to this newsgroup. But a small minority just hate cb in all it's forms.
Ken

3673. Re: does anyone use cb's anymore?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 11:39:00 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ulhruc5hj91m85@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132702

Best way to check your radio..Just say"baba boeey" about 3 times and repeat
a few times on ch19. If you hear cussing your radio works!
Sincerely
Ken
"Mo" <sactownie@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:b9eb229a.0208120937.51ddc62a@posting.google.com...
> I plugged in my old forgoten cobra base today after 4years in
> hibrenation and did not hear a thing on any channels but a little
> static.Is the c.b. officially dead now?

3674. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 11:41:38 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <ulhs3a9dgnnoc3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132703

Liar
If you're here bothering CB'ers you operate illegally on Ham bands
Ken

3675. Re: cbs and helicopters etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 11:52:48 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <kq669.82315$Yd.3739427@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 132704

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ulhqla8qcf26ff@corp.supernews.com...
> You should see how ole JJ and Randy went loony when I said my big radio
blew
> up the space shuttle..It was a hoot:)
> Sincerely
> Ken

No. It wasn't that. It WAS your callous and ignorant moronic statements that caused the reaction you received. But that's fine. In the interim you've proven that to be your M.O. Go figure.

3676. Re: does anyone use cb's anymore?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 11:57:06 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D58F412.2A83@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 132706

Mo wrote:
>
> I plugged in my old forgoten cobra base today after 4years in
> hibrenation and did not hear a thing on any channels but a little
> static.Is the c.b. officially dead now?

Depends on what part of the country you live in. Some areas are still booming. Others, are well, not so booming (Unless the skip is in).

It's also possible that during the 4 years that your radio (and antenna) were in "hibernation", that something "broke". Radio parts drift with age, and particularly with non-use. Your antenna may have been subject to physical damage, or a lightning strike, or squirrels could've chewed the coax cable.

A quick test would be to turn to channel 19. If you live anywhere near a major highway, it's a fair bet that you should hear trucker traffic. If all you still hear is static, I'd suspect that your setup has problems. At the very least, check the SWR.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3677. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 12:05:46 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208130405.30606e9e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132705

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208111703.486ca7a4@posting.google.com>...
> SideBand abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org wrote:
> >
> >If he uses 10M frequencies, he'll piss off alot of HAMs in
> >his area, and will get caught.
> >
> >If he freebands, he may or may not get caught.
>
> So you are advising him to obey the law in places where there is a
> high chance of getting caught, but to take a risk in places where
> there is a low chance of getting caught. Do you agree with that?

Was Chris "advising" anybody to do anything here, Aaron? I see where
you're coming from but I'd hardly call *tellin' it like it is*
"advising."

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3678. Re: FS: 3CX3000A7 tube & filament transformer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 13:24:54 UTC
From: Peter Völpel <qro1750@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <3D5908A6.57B64385@compuserve.de>
Source record: 132707

This is a CB newsgroup, there is no need for such tube :-)
Make another offer a bit cheaper for me...

Peter, DF3KV

> The Tube Man schrieb:
>
> FOR SALE
> 1-GOOD USED 95% 3CX3000A7-$475
> 1-NEW FILAMENT TRANSFORMER
> FOR 3CX3000A7-$160

3679. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 14:09:20 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uli4rpivgseu26@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132708

I'm not going to sit here and argue with you (whoever you are; how many aliases do you have anyway??). I was simply stating that your reply was to one of my postings. Had you not been replying to me, then you should not have replied to my posting, plain and simple. It has nothing to do with who is or is not referenced in it.

(replies within quote below as well)

"Sean" <singram@direcway.com> wrote in message news:pjZ59.9131$u7.726701@news.direcpc.com...
> How do you conclude that I would have referenced your name even in the
> message that is directed to someone else.
> That doesn't make sense.

Makes perfect sense. If you are reply (and quote within your reply) my posting, then it references me and my post, no matter what names you throw around in the reply.

> My message was directed to another user ----YOU have NOT Accepted That For
> the Truth..

Textually, yes, it was directed at another, but because of what I stated, it was also directed at me.

> you have only forseen someone was after you. When in REALITY--it was just
a
> small mistake :) Smile! You'll Be Alright.

No one is after me, nor did I think that way.

>
> Guy, we make small mistakes in our lives -whether you have accepted it or
> not- don't call others "dip-shit"!
> When have you EVER made a mistake?
> When have you NOT EVER made a mistake?

Anybody who replies to (and quotes within that reply) someones posting, yet references a totally different individual, as well as a totally different post, deserves to be called a dip-shit. Actually, a better term might just be dumb-shit, but I won't get into that in this post.

A mistake? How can you reply to someone elses post in mistake? Obviously you are reading the post at that moment, so why would you totally jump to another thought and just hit the reply button out of the blue? Don't you even read what you reply to? Perhaps you have some sort of disorder that you don't actually comprehend a post until you are two or three post beyond it, at which time you just decide to hit reply where ever you may be? No

wonder half the damn posts in this group don't make any sense, and have replies that have absolutely nothing to do with the text that is quoted within it.

PH

>
>
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:uletm031osp81a@corp.supernews.com...
> > I read your post just fine... YOU replied to MY post, whether you
> > referenced ME in it or not, it was still MY post....
> >
> > It is not, I, who is reading wrong, it is YOU who are posting wrong...
> > Learn how to post a proper reply, and I _will_ "get over it"...
> >
> > PH
> >
> >
> > "*****" <no_flamers@nospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:qUz59.9045$u7.720856@news.direcpc.com...
> > > You Read MY post WRONG!!
> > > GET OVER IT!!
> > > I ain't never mentioned yo' name to begin with!!
> > > SO, GET OVER IT!!!
> > >
> > > "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> > > news:ulda87ne328s1a@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > You replied to the wrong post dip shit... I didn't say any of what
> you
> > > > quoted! Get a clue!
> > > >
> > > > PH
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "A_CBer and Ham" <A_CBer@yourtower.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:Ocv59.9032$u7.718993@news.direcpc.com...
> > > > > What you cryin' bout Frmboybuck?
> > > > >
> > > > > I Earned my HAM License!
> > > > >
> > > > > You jus' squealin' like a piggy! You been watchin' "Deliverance"
too
> > > many
> > > > > times!!
> > > > >
> > > > > So..Don't Be Telling Others that they "didn't Earn Shit"!
> > > > > Why don't you smell what you shoveling!
> > > > > Or go suck yo momma's cock like you been doin'!
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > > > "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:ulbujjt11r4kf8@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > > I still like mine better.... :)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > PH
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>

3680. Re: Cryin' over a Frequency Chart?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 14:16:38 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <uli59ep8fh0066@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132709

"*****" <noflaming@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:VLZ59.9132$u7.726896@news.direcpc.com...
>
> Anyway, someone got their feelings hurt because they thought someone
> directed a message to them when all it was..was just an error in the
posting
> sequence. that's all.
>
> That "sean" dude was directing it to another post when "Power House" just
> lost it all.
> GEEZ!!
>

The only one who lost it around here is you... I stand by my opinion. If
you aren't replying to the poster of the message you are currently on,
refrain from hitting the reply button. A delayed intelligence is no excuse!
Go back to the message that you finally comprehended 4 messages later, and
reply to it. Don't just hit reply where ever the hell you are at...

PH

3681. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 14:25:50 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <OF869.5564$783.191144175@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132710

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:b7d1465c.0208130405.30606e9e@posting.google.com...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208111703.486ca7a4@posting.google.com>...
> > SideBand abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org wrote:
> > >
> > >If he uses 10M frequencies, he'll piss off alot of HAMs in
> > >his area, and will get caught.
> > >
> > >If he freebands, he may or may not get caught.
> >
> > So you are advising him to obey the law in places where there is a
> > high chance of getting caught, but to take a risk in places where
> > there is a low chance of getting caught. Do you agree with that?
>
> Was Chris "advising" anybody to do anything here, Aaron? I see where
> you're coming from but I'd hardly call *tellin' it like it is*
> "advising."
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

LOL!, typical Aaron, trying to put something there,
that isn't.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

3682. Re: Is xgannon a Ham only thing? Voob? JJ? Randy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 14:25:50 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <OF869.5565$783.191144175@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132711

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ulhrmka59b3b27@corp.supernews.com...
> Just wondering if xgannon is a Ham only thing. It seems the high and
mighty
> wannabe Hams are so pure they have to use anonymous remailers to insult
> people.
> The idea the someone could operate totally legal on any band yet
> disregard basic internet rulz of behavior is ludicrous. There are allot of
> Hams that contribute greatly to this newsgroup. But a small minority just
> hate cb in all it's forms.
> Ken
>
>

Well in your trolling way your correct. Here they
can do almost anything they want without getting there
license taken away. Take George for example, do you
think he says the same words over the Ham Bands?
Doubt it. Do you think they would let Aaron Spam the
airwaves with that nonsense that got his last account yanked?
Not a chance.
I don't think they hate cb's, they are just troll's, kind of
like you do, but a more aggressive style of foul language,
sexual innuendos, spamming, flooding the newsgroup.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

3683. Re: does anyone use cb's anymore?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 14:32:37 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208130632.60c5faad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132712

sactownie@hotmail.com (Mo) wrote in message
news:<b9eb229a.0208120937.51ddc62a@posting.google.com>...
> I plugged in my old forgoten cobra base today after 4years in
> hibrenation and did not hear a thing on any channels but a little
> static.Is the c.b. officially dead now?

check it mo. cbs are still being used. we use them to talk to each other while driving our trucks down the superslab. and if we (God forbid) venture into the 10 meter ham areas. All I can say is, I am reaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaallllllllllll sorry about that. And also we use our cbs to agitate punks like Frank, Jerry, Dick and the other joe smoes who seem to like to hang out here. Also sometimes we fire up our big radios and challenge each other to see who is king of the mountain. Can you smell what cheese is cookin?

3684. Re: does anyone use cb's anymore?(ABSOLUTELY!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 15:01:24 UTC
From: dgose@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24410-3D591F44-597@storefull-2217.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132713

I wouldn't do without mine. I carry my cell phone always, but CB is still my preferred mode of communication, even over my home phone! Only talk to one person at a time? How boring! I'm lucky enough to live in an area where CB'ing has taken off like I haven't seen it since the early 80's!

3685. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 15:16:29 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16380-3D5922CD-56@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132715

>JerryO wrote:
"I have NEVER said I was a LEO; all I have done is state what I have heard from Hollingsworth
in person. Just the other day, I had phoned him about "certain" issues where he informed me that
Flying J and Pilot are, in fact, about to receive more legal actions over their sales of so called
"10 Meter" radios. He just hit Roper Electronics and also a Mr McCreary over his use of an amplifier. I had nothing to do with that. All I can do and WILL do is document and report instances of illegal operations on the Amateur bands and the sales of illegal equipment at truck stops and other outlets."
<<

No problem there, Jer!
Each year the feds hit a certain amount of turnkey mod shops and fly-by night dealers. The bottom line is the fines aren't large enough to put the larger places (like Flying J) out of business. You think that coincidental?

>Yes, I WILL take "breaks" while traveling at truck stops, and if I find those Galaxy and Connex "radios", I will send said evidence to the proper authorities whose job it IS to do something about it. We are NOT talking about what happens on the regular 40; my interest is the intrusion of unlicensed operators onto bands where they have no business. Y'all can do what you want to down on the 40--fry each others eyeballs with those BIG amps; whatever.
<<

I'm not into BIG amps and never was. My posts reflect such except the part where I told one of you angry hammies you would get squashed if you acted like that on 11 meter, and it was in direct response to the sheer malice directed toward the board by his single angry post.
In the past, Jerry, you have claimed to defend your territory vigorously with no problems from any cbers present. As a matter of FACT and of RECORD (which can be verified through the archives) you have NEVER been opposed, nor has any other on this board, for taking a stance against illegal intrusion into the hammie bands, yet that seems to be your repeated catalyst of choice for assailing exports and you continue to bring it up, blaming cbers and radios instead of those individuals responsible.
You claim the hammies need to follow the rules, but your one sided arguments presented leaves the question of why you fail to "hunt"down amateurs operating out of band, such as on the cb band the way you attempt to hunt cbers......going by what your associate Riley said, they

are way higher on the priority ladder than cbers...go on...ask him, since you have now stated that you are doubting what I say, get it from the horses mouth. Methinks you don't like the answers he gave you, but I know you were told the same thing I was. Your failing to even address it shows a severe reluctance on your part to acknowledge it and present a clear and concise view of the enforcement picture. Certainly some trucksops may get hit, but you are not presenting AT ALL what their main focus is concerning hobby radio operators, and that is because it isn't cb at all, it's ham operators. You have avoided it like the plague attempting to imply or convey the message to the masses that cb amps and freebanding is a priority for the feds. Nothing is further from the truth. Hammie ops are light years ahead in the trouble ausing department when compared to cbers..if you're taking issue with that statement, your issue is with your associate at the FCC, not me. Once again, just ask him.
Also, It is your about face you have done lately that has me perplexed. In a recent thread you included freebanders in your targets. This is looking for trouble, nothing more.
Your excuse that "they MAY wander into "your" territory" is bullshit, you know it, and so does the rest of the world which is why you have received a rash of dung lately on this board. It took me a while to catch up on over 3 weeks of posts, but when I saw your posts in a hammie forum, the poster was right, you are out doing your damndest to denigrate cb. You did the same thing on a truckers forum and I figured you were blowing off steam, but you appear to have went whole hog into fantasy island's realm lately and it appears you are battling for fifedom with the other 2 pipers

>I am doing only what other hams are doing--even Richard McCollum with his picture machine; protecting what I worked for from those who would just TAKE what they want.
<<

What another does is irelevant.
But since you mention it, Dick isn't chasing freebanders or amp users, merely defending his territory and that which affects him. He's not balled up over "what if" scenarios that MAY affect him and IF he went chasing rainbows.
On a pertinent note, you have nothing to do with the freeband where most of us "lawbreakers" ragchew and DX and you are not a LEO, yet you concern yourself with something which you have no control over. You didn't work for jack in the freeband, so it has nothing to do with what "you worked for. If you would have left it at where you used to leave it, I wouldn't say a word, but ask 'ol Riley what plans, if any, are for 27.555 and make absolutely sure you share his response with us.
Somehow I don't think you will share the response, as it isn't what you want to hear and you appear to only post when it confirms a negative concerning cb.

>Whatever else happens as a result is just gravy. And as I said in my reply to your original post on the subject of RH, I am just going to sit

back and see what happens. I KNOW what Riley told me.
<<

And I never doubted you, Jerry.
Plus, I know what Riley told me, which is why you adamantly refuse to ask him and report back to us what his number one goal is as liason to amateur radio.
I do however, feel he is giving superb lip service to his constituents that seek it. After all, there is a certain amount, albeit miniscule, of a psuedo-political voice from within the amateur radio patch wearing, title-hugging members and they must feel they have SOME representation so they don't realize they are being screwed by their own....it;s the same thing we see on this forum.

>I KNOW what I see on ARRL.org
<<

See above.

>and hear on RainReport. The focus is on the out of banders, the 10/12 meter bandits, not on what
happens on the regular 40.
<<

Another disagreement of interpretations. I don't agree with the way you see it all. As a matter of fact, you are dead wrong.
Count the violation notices. The focus is on hammies, Jer, not out of banders, not cbers. If it was on out of banders, they could sweep 555 and cook a boatload instead of a minnow fry now and then. The focus is, as always, on amateurs, as they present a much larger problem to the FCC than cbers.

>In fact,. WRT the regular 40 and the usual freebanders above 40 and below 28.000, I figure those guys are pretty safe for now--and I've so stated. But those that operate on the ham bands without license, we will see.....we will see.....
Have a happy, happy!
Jerry
<<

And as I have stated, I support you 100% in all your endeavors concerning illegal intruders on the hammie bands, but you claim you are hunting freebanders in another thread.
Anyways, I can almost sympathize, after all, you aren't getting much help from uncle Sam or anyone else.

3686. Re: does anyone use cb's anymore?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 15:41:57 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020813114157.09704.00000036@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 132714

it does that every summer.

>Earlier
>this year the spots were probably at their highest, and lately it's been
>getting weird and sporadic.
>Joe PieCheech

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3687. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 15:45:33 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020813114533.09704.00000037@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 132716

wow, if that makes you nervous what would you do if you were riding with somebody and they started to speed? i bet you'd have a heart attack if somebody smoked pot around you too. lighten up man. lmao

>I get nervous
>when I see a coworker trying his marine radio (while the boat sits on it's
>trailer in his driveway!) to see who he can talk to

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3688. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 15:47:15 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020813114715.09704.00000038@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 132717

but he's not even a real ham, he just pretends to be one on here

>.JJ is a prime example of Another Ham Dickhead

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3689. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 15:49:29 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020813114929.09704.00000039@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 132718

i'm sure there was at least once you forgot to ID every ten minutes

>
>I have never operated illegally, can you say that?
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3690. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 16:29:43 UTC
From: ***** <noflaming@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <kua69.9182$u7.730115@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 132719

"Had I not been replying to you, then I should not have replied to your postings?"
(Explain how that makes any damn sense as to what you just wrote.)

If the reply was towards YOU...your "screen name" would have been inserted in that posting.
It Was NOT!! That is the issue/point that YOU need to ACCEPT and Ignore that posting that does not have a thing to do with YOU.

You CHOSE to respond to a reply that was for someone else---NOT You!!
WHY do you CHOOSE to answer to Posts that are NOT directed at / to you?

So, Ignore further posts that DO NOT have your "screen name" in the messages and you will not get lectured like you are now!!
Now go get yourself together and collect your thoughts. You'll be Alright!
Don't Sweat it, guy.
Humans make mistakes. I make mistakes. I accepted it.
You..have not accepted it!
My aliases don't concern you.
Why are you so interested in my aliases
You can create your own.
I'm not bitching about your alias.
I create mine to prevent spam and other unwelcome messages.

So, There!!

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message news:uli4rpivgseu26@corp.supernews.com...
> I'm not going to sit here and argue with you (whoever you are; how many
> aliases do you have anyway??). I was simply stating that your reply was to
> one of my postings. Had you not been replying to me, then you should not
> have replied to my posting, plain and simple. It has nothing to do with who
> is or is not referenced in it.
>
> (replies within quote below as well)
>
> "Sean" <singram@direcway.com> wrote in message
> news:pjZ59.9131$u7.726701@news.direcpc.com...
> > How do you conclude that I would have referenced your name even in the
> > message that is directed to someone else.
> > That doesn't make sense.
>
> Makes perfect sense. If you are reply (and quote within your reply) my
> posting, then it references me and my post, no matter what names you throw

> around in the reply.
>
> > My message was directed to another user ----YOU have NOT Accepted That
For
> > the Truth..
>
> Textually, yes, it was directed at another, but because of what I stated,
it
> was also directed at me.
>
> > you have only forseen someone was after you. When in REALITY--it was
just
> a
> > small mistake :) Smile! You'll Be Alright.
>
> No one is after me, nor did I think that way.
>
> >
> > Guy, we make small mistakes in our lives -whether you have accepted it
or
> > not- don't call others "dip-shit"!
> > When have you EVER made a mistake?
> > When have you NOT EVER made a mistake?
>
> Anybody who replies to (and quotes within that reply) someones posting,
yet
> references a totally different individual, as well as a totally different
> post, deserves to be called a dip-shit. Actually, a better term might
just
> be dumb-shit, but I won't get into that in this post.
>
> A mistake? How can you reply to someone elses post in mistake? Obviously
> you are reading the post at that moment, so why would you totally jump to
> another thought and just hit the reply button out of the blue? Don't you
> even read what you reply to? Perhaps you have some sort of disorder that
> you don't actually comprehend a post until you are two or three post
beyond
> it, at which time you just decide to hit reply where ever you may be? No
> wonder half the damn posts in this group don't make any sense, and have
> replies that have absolutely nothing to do with the text that is quoted
> within it.
>
> PH
>
> >
> >
> > "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> > news:uletm031osp81a@corp.supernews.com...
> > > I read your post just fine... YOU replied to MY post, whether you
> > > referenced ME in it or not, it was still MY post....

> > >
> > > It is not, I, who is reading wrong, it is YOU who are posting wrong...
> > > Learn how to post a proper reply, and I _will_ "get over it"...
> > >
> > > PH
> > >
> > >
> > > "*****" <no_flamers@nospam.com> wrote in message
> > > news:qUz59.9045$u7.720856@news.direcpc.com...
> > > > You Read MY post WRONG!!
> > > > GET OVER IT!!
> > > > I ain't never mentioned yo' name to begin with!!
> > > > SO, GET OVER IT!!!
> > > >
> > > > "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:ulda87ne328s1a@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > You replied to the wrong post dip shit... I didn't say any of
what
> > you
> > > > > quoted! Get a clue!
> > > > >
> > > > > PH
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "A_CBer and Ham" <A_CBer@yourtower.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:Ocv59.9032$u7.718993@news.direcpc.com...
> > > > > > What you cryin' bout Frmboybuck?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I Earned my HAM License!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You jus' squealin' like a piggy! You been watchin' "Deliverance"
> too
> > > > many
> > > > > > times!!
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So..Don't Be Telling Others that they "didn't Earn Shit"!
> > > > > > Why don't you smell what you shoveling!
> > > > > > Or go suck yo momma's cock like you been doin'!
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:ulbujjt11r4kf8@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > > > I still like mine better.... :)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > PH
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >

> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3691. Re: Cryin' over a Frequency Chart?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 16:43:40 UTC
From: ***** <noflaming@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <mHa69.9184$u7.730013@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 132720

NOW I am replying to YOU!

See the difference?
Since you responded to this post....I am responding to YOU, now!!

I stand by "The Constitution Of The United States of America/ 1st
Amendment"!

There's this button on your computer that says On/Off.....use it more often
when you don't like others posting on this newsgroup! You "Cry" Too Much
about little issues that affect you in some form.

I'll post any new posts that I feel!
You can do the same to!

Don't be so jealous of others!!

You must have issues about people with disorders!
You are violating my constitutional rights as a disabled American!!
But I won't argue with a steel wall!!! I just laugh at your weak attempts to
break me down, buddy boy!!

The more replies you post the more Lectures You will Get!!
So, Whatever, guy!!

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:uli59ep8fh0066@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "*****" <noflaming@nospam.com> wrote in message
> news:VLZ59.9132$u7.726896@news.direcpc.com...
> >
> > Anyway, someone got their feelings hurt because they thought someone
> > directed a message to them when all it was..was just an error in the
> posting
> > sequence. that's all.
> >
> > That "sean" dude was directing it to another post when "Power House"
just
> > lost it all.
> > GEEZ!!
> >
>
> The only one who lost it around here is you... I stand by my opinion. If
> you aren't replying to the poster of the message you are currently on,
> refrain from hitting the reply button. A delayed intelligence is no
excuse!
> Go back to the message that you finally comprehended 4 messages later, and

> reply to it. Don't just hit reply where ever the hell you are at...
>
> PH
>
>
>

3692. Re: cbs and helicopters etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 16:47:48 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020813124748.13177.00000068@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 132721

Hey,got a few more for you,besides the "fact" that cbs down aircraft.
1) the earth is flat
2) the sun revolves around the earth
3) some guy named atlas holds the earth up on his shoulders
4) atlas is standing on a turtle
5)even though the fossile record proves that green house gasses were 400% higher than they are now,and that life flourished under those conditions.....some idots still claim that it means the "end of the world"

guess we are still living in the middle ages!

see yah in the funny papers, gunslinger :)

3693. Re: does anyone use cb's anymore?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 17:57:27 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208130957.227d702c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132722

piecheech@aol.comnojunk (Joe) wrote in message
news:<20020813031607.02422.00004097@mb-fm.aol.com>...
> Depends on where you live. Here in San Diego, the locals are on 19 all the time
> along with the truckers driving through. The other channels are alive mostly
> with Mexico and South America coming in Spanish speaking. On lower side band,
> any channel from 35 through 40, mostly 38 you get during the day the whole
> country come in as well with Canada, Puerto Rico, Costa Rica and in the
> evenings you get Hawaii, Australia, Tazmania and other Pacific islands. Earlier
> this year the spots were probably at their highest, and lately it's been
> getting weird and sporadic.
> Joe PieCheech

I'll throw in my $0.02 from the east coast. Here in Long Island, NY,
channels 36 through 40 are fairly active with SSB DX. Channel 38 LSB
has kinda become a calling frequency of sorts. Yeah, channel 19 still
belongs to the truckers travelling on the L.I.E. or route 495. We too
get many Central and South American stations who have their
directional antennas oriented so as to work the northerly stations.
Some who don't speak spanish might erroneously consider many spanish
QSO's QRM. Most are actually very professional sounding operators
engaging in some very well mannered QSO's. Occasionally we get
European stations as well, but the Carribean basin as well as the
Americas seem to have our number.

So to answer your question, there are plenty of folks on the CB. And
since it's but a microcosm of society as a whole, you'll find the full
spectrum of people there ranging from very nice to not so nice. Get
your rig checked out (Probably just needs a good alignment.) and
enjoy. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3694. Re: Does illegally high powered CBs hurt anyone? It seems they do.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 18:30:09 UTC
From: jim and steph <3carroll@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3D595031.3B224EA1@optonline.net>
Source record: 132723

Kenneth, you wouldn't last a NY minute here...

Kenneth wrote:

> Already did, Luckily for me they used up their ammunition alotment shooting
> black people 41 times each and the feds took away all the NYPD broomsticks
> Ken
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3D569FCB.10791CBB@mailcity.net...
> >
> >
> > Kenneth wrote:
> > >
> > > If your still crying about an event 20 years ago you need profesional
> help.
> > > The grief process should be 2 years MAX. People die all the time. I
> suggest
> > > you start dealing with it. Life goes on, I suggest you get on board.
> > > Ken
> >
> > Go to NYC this September 11 and crack a few dumb jokes about dead
> > loved ones to the families of those who lost loved ones in the WTC
> > attack, and to some of the firemen and police and see how long you
> > last. I ask again, how does it feel to be a first class SOB?

3695. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 18:32:07 UTC
From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <3D5950A7.1F403856@lucent.com>
Source record: 132724

Jim Hampton wrote:

> True, but it is a small group and is concerned with am as a 'cute mode' not
> unlike cw enthusiasts (which, although very dated at least does not consume
> a ton of bandwidth). Then again, there are some uses for cw (like high
> speed cw for meteor burst work - hundreds of words per minute).
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim

Jim,

Please keep in mind that the amateur bands' only justification for existence
are that they are there to provide emergency communications services for this
country.

A CW transmitter is the easiest type of transmitter to construct, and the
simplest of receivers can detect it.
You may not have any sidetone, but you should be able to distinguish the dots
from the dashes. An excellent reason to keep at least a BASIC code requirement
for any license, and one I would support as a requirement to getting ANY radio
license, including a commercial one.

An FM transmitter is the next easiest to construct, but the receiver is much
more complicated and less likely to by successfully built from any available
parts.

An AM transmitter is the next easiest to construct and can be received by the
most basic of receivers. And any receiver capable of receiving CW ahould also
be able to receive AM.

An SSB transmitter is the hardest to construct and the SSB receiver is also
the hardest to construct, so it would be the least likely mode of operation to
be used in an emergency.

Due to the huge volume of CB radios that exist today, and the fact that many
of the older tube type radios are still being used on the band, the best chance
for successful communications after the bomb drops would be a CW transmission
made on CB channel 19, with the next most likely candidate being an
AM transmission on that same frequency.

Those are all parametrics that Riley H. needs to consider whenever he
considers any enforcement actions and/or any re-allocation of spectrum
resources between the licensed HAM operators and the unlicensed CB radio
operators.

If he considers emergency communications to be a priority, there should always be a CB band.

Where it will reside, and how much bandwidth it will be allocated, should be determined by what would be in the best interests of our National (homeland) defense, and not much else.

Just my opinion,

Dennis O.

3696. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 18:44:45 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ulil059hl26ff1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132725

Mixed. Reply...

"*****" <noflaming@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:kua69.9182$u7.730115@news.direcpc.com...
> "Had I not been replying to you, then I should not have replied to your
> postings?"
> (Explain how that makes any damn sense as to what you just wrote.)

It makes perfect sense... Why press the reply button ON MY POSTING, quoting MY POSTING, and then write a message to someone totally different? (See original message quote below) That is what does not "make any damn sense".

> If the reply was towards YOU...your "screen name" would have been inserted
> in that posting.
> It Was NOT!! That is the issue/point that YOU need to ACCEPT and Ignore
> that posting that does not have a thing to do with YOU.
>

Oh, but it WAS!

Here's a nice quote for you to refresh your short-term memory...
******
What you cryin' bout Frmboybuck?

I Earned my HAM License!

You jus' squealin' like a piggy! You been watchin' "Deliverance" too many times!!

So..Don't Be Telling Others that they "didn't Earn Shit"!
Why don't you smell what you shoveling!
Or go suck yo momma's cock like you been doin'!

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:ulbujjt11r4kf8@corp.supernews.com...
> I still like mine better.... :)
>
> PH
******

Perhaps you DON'T see my screen name there? I see it, along with my email address and my posting. The one YOU REPLIED TO!

> You CHOSE to respond to a reply that was for someone else---NOT You!!
> WHY do you CHOOSE to answer to Posts that are NOT directed at / to you?
>
Because you CHOSE to reply to my post... It's a pretty simple concept; what can't you understand about it? You seem to be doing a good job at it now,

why couldn't you get your shit straight when you posted to my original?

> So, Ignore further posts that DO NOT have your "screen name" in the
messages
> and you will not get lectured like you are now!!

I do ingore those that don't have my screen name, however as ou can see
above, it DID have it.

> Now go get yourself together and collect your thoughts. You'll be Alright!
> Don't Sweat it, guy.
> Humans make mistakes. I make mistakes. I accepted it.
> You..have not accepted it!
> My aliases don't concern you.
> Why are you so interested in my aliases
> You can create your own.
> I'm not bitching about your alias.
> I create mine to prevent spam and other unwelcome messages.

All 6 of them, or however many you have? Three for sure... I think one is
sufficient for spam protection, but hey, tweaks your Johnson...

PH

> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:uli4rpivgseu26@corp.supernews.com...
> > I'm not going to sit here and argue with you (whoever you are; how many
> > aliases do you have anyway??). I was simply stating that your reply was
> to
> > one of my postings. Had you not been replying to me, then you should
not
> > have replied to my posting, plain and simple. It has nothing to do with
> who
> > is or is not referenced in it.
> >
> > (replies within quote below as well)
> >
> > "Sean" <singram@direcway.com> wrote in message
> > news:pjZ59.9131$u7.726701@news.direcpc.com...
> > > How do you conclude that I would have referenced your name even in the
> > > message that is directed to someone else.
> > > That doesn't make sense.
> >
> > Makes perfect sense. If you are reply (and quote within your reply) my
> > posting, then it references me and my post, no matter what names you
throw
> > around in the reply.
> >
> > > My message was directed to another user ----YOU have NOT Accepted That
> For
> > > the Truth..
> >

> > Textually, yes, it was directed at another, but because of what I
stated,
> it
> > was also directed at me.
> >
> > > you have only forseen someone was after you. When in REALITY--it was
> just
> > a
> > > small mistake :) Smile! You'll Be Alright.
> >
> > No one is after me, nor did I think that way.
> >
> > >
> > > Guy, we make small mistakes in our lives -whether you have accepted it
> or
> > > not- don't call others "dip-shit"!
> > > When have you EVER made a mistake?
> > > When have you NOT EVER made a mistake?
> >
> > Anybody who replies to (and quotes within that reply) someones posting,
> yet
> > references a totally different individual, as well as a totally
different
> > post, deserves to be called a dip-shit. Actually, a better term might
> just
> > be dumb-shit, but I won't get into that in this post.
> >
> > A mistake? How can you reply to someone elses post in mistake?
Obviously
> > you are reading the post at that moment, so why would you totally jump
to
> > another thought and just hit the reply button out of the blue? Don't
you
> > even read what you reply to? Perhaps you have some sort of disorder
that
> > you don't actually comprehend a post until you are two or three post
> beyond
> > it, at which time you just decide to hit reply where ever you may be?
No
> > wonder half the damn posts in this group don't make any sense, and have
> > replies that have absolutely nothing to do with the text that is quoted
> > within it.
> >
> > PH
> >

3697. Re: Cryin' over a Frequency Chart?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 18:50:02 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ulila3ffo62p96@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132726

Mixed...

"*****" <noflaming@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:mHa69.9184$u7.730013@news.direcpc.com...
> NOW I am replying to YOU!
>
> See the difference?

Nope. It's the same thing you did in the first place...

> Since you responded to this post....I am responding to YOU, now!!
>
> I stand by "The Constitution Of The United States of America/ 1st
> Amendment"!
>
> There's this button on your computer that says On/Off.....use it more
often
> when you don't like others posting on this newsgroup! You "Cry" Too Much
> about little issues that affect you in some form.
>
> I'll post any new posts that I feel!
> You can do the same to!
>
> Don't be so jealous of others!!
>
> You must have issues about people with disorders!
> You are violating my constitutional rights as a disabled American!!
> But I won't argue with a steel wall!!! I just laugh at your weak attempts
to
> break me down, buddy boy!!
>
> The more replies you post the more Lectures You will Get!!
> So, Whatever, guy!!
>
Sounds good to me... You are arguing for no reason. All you have to do is
look at your original post, and open your eyes. It was a reply to me with
my posting quoted in it. So you were talking to someone else, who cares.
You still replied to my post with a bunch of crap that had nothing to do
with me or what I typed in the particular post you replied to. If only you
knew how to read correctly, you would realise your are the one on the
loosing side of the fence in this argument...

PH

> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:uli59ep8fh0066@corp.supernews.com...

> >
> > "*****" <noflaming@nospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:VLZ59.9132$u7.726896@news.direcpc.com...
> > >
> > > Anyway, someone got their feelings hurt because they thought someone
> > > directed a message to them when all it was..was just an error in the
> > posting
> > > sequence. that's all.
> > >
> > > That "sean" dude was directing it to another post when "Power House"
> just
> > > lost it all.
> > > GEEZ!!
> > >
> >
> > The only one who lost it around here is you... I stand by my opinion.
If
> > you aren't replying to the poster of the message you are currently on,
> > refrain from hitting the reply button. A delayed intelligence is no
> excuse!
> > Go back to the message that you finally comprehended 4 messages later,
and
> > reply to it. Don't just hit reply where ever the hell you are at...
> >
> > PH
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3698. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 19:28:19 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208131128.21412922@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132727

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<16378-3D584B57-395@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
<snip BS>

No one ever said Riley's primary job focus was "CB Enforcement".

But Riley did say that Twistedhed's post was "10% accurate". That
means 90% of it was falsehood.

Uh oh. Time for the TWISTEDHED DEBATE GUIDE (originally written by
Dave Hall).

His typical M.O. for any "debate" (and I do use that term VERY
loosely) that he may choose to enter into. He is skilled at
obfuscation,
and changing meanings. Even when one clearly expresses the point,
twisty
will instead focus on the nuances, rather than the substance of a
point.

One of his biggest hangups during one of our earlier altercations, was
to continually attempt to steer the focus of the debate from illegal
CB
operations to USENET ettiquette. I assume he was attempting to make
the
point that "people in glass houses shouldn't throw rocks". In any case
his comparison was irrelevant to the debate, but he continued to make
an
issue out of it anyway.

One cannot make a comment regarding CB operation, without
twisty countering that "hammies are guilty of XYZ" as well. Two wrongs
still don't make a right, and neither does a counterpoint diminish the
truth of the original point.

Twisty has no real debate skills. He is incapable of staying on topic,
he seems to have trouble comprehending simple points and analogies,
while accusing the other party of exactly the same thing. His
"stradegy", if you could call it that, is to twist meanings, obfuscate
points, and then accuse others of "lieing", to put them on the
defensive, while never offering up any bits of factual information to
prove his side. He claims to speak for the majority of people, yet I
have never seen anyone jump to his defense during any of his
"debates".
He also has an over inflated ego, and cannot possibly comprehend how

so
many people can find fault with him. To counter that, he claims that each and every person on the other side is really just one person posting under numerous accounts. He probably thinks that you, me, Aaron,
Frank etc, are all the same person.

He then claims victory when the other side simply becomes frustrated or
loses interest in pursuing further exchanges. He is as predictable as PMS, you can almost tell exactly what posts he will respond to, and almost exactly what he will say in response. I've baited him a few times
just to prove my theory. He fell, hook, line and sinker.

He reminds me of that old Monty Python skit where the guy pays for an arguement, but gets only rote contradiction. Twisty will counter anything you say, but can never back up any of it with fact or even a good theory.

Which is why he is refered to as the newsgroup punch clown. He's good to
lash out at if you want to get something off of your chest, or just want
to do the intellectual equivilent of bitch slapping. String him along until you tire of him, and then let him babble to himself.
But he's not worth the effort to take seriously.

-A

3699. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 19:30:43 UTC
From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <3D595E63.28602C82@lucent.com>
Source record: 132728

Skipp wrote:

> Hi Jay,
>
> Remember, the general 4cx250b type amplifier layout should be constructed
> for AB1 or AB2 operation. Although it is possible to do both with one
> amplifier, it's better practice to construct and layout the circuit for
> only one operating point. I'm talking grid vs cathode drive layouts.
>
> So you would assume linear AB1 operation is your goal... you have four
> tubes in parallel with no-load 2200 vdc anode potentials. You need to
> describe your drive/grid circuit layout for me. Adjust your control grid
> bias for the proper drive and zero signal anode currents with variations
> on the shunt (or active) regulated screen potential. Recomended zsac
> values are in many older ARRL Handbooks.
>
> Dealing with four parallel tubes requires device (tube) matching and or
> some unbypassed cathode lead degeneration. AB1 operation requires a low
> impedance grid circuit... some pick 50 or 200 ohms depending on drive
> power. Other values are possible...
>

Jay and Skipp,

I have a trick that has worked quite well for me over the years.

It allows me to have as much as a 3 volt variation in bias voltages between different tubes and still get balanced quiescent plate currents from them. (Usually the variation in 'unmatched' tubes is less than a volt from the factory.)

I put a 10 ohm, 1 watt ,'flameproof', non-inductive resistor between each cathode and ground.

I bypass each resistor with a 330 ufd. @ 6 VDC (or higher voltage) miniature axial electrolytic capacitor, a .001 ufd. cap., a .01 ufd. cap, and a .1 ufd cap..

The small value caps are usually disc ceramic rated at 100 volts or more so their size will allow them to pass adequate RF current without much heating of the cap itself. Multiple bypass cap. values are used to eliminate any self resonance possibility.

The small caps are for RF bypass, and the large cap is only there to hold the self bias voltages relatively constant down to AM modulation frequencies as low as 300 HZ.

Any tube that conducts harder than the others with the same amount of external grid bias applied will develop more negative cathode self bias than the others, causing it to conduct less, and the currents between the tubes should automatically 'equalize' unless there was some prior grid damage that had been done to the tubes, in which case it should be replaced anyway.

The 10 ohm cathode resistor also limits the maximum average plate current through each tube to 316 ma. or less because at 316 ma. the resistor is dissipating it's rated 1 watt.
Using 'flameproof' film type resistors that can open circuit quickly with an overload has saved many a tube from overcurrent damage caused by improper output tuning and loading, and/or improper operation in general.

If your source has adequate drive to overcome some cathode circuit degeneration, you can leave the resistors completely unbypassed, (no 330 ufd. electrolytic and no ceramic bypass caps.), and get the same results.

Regards,

Dennis O.

3700. Re: Poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 19:54:05 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajbo2t$1a6he4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132729

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:ulhqde52ordsb0@corp.supernews.com...
> I thought that was the purpose of Ham...To Talk with people when your
alone
> by your rig
> Ken

Right... to talk to people... but if you use that "10-4" stuff on the ham
bands all the time, not very many people will be talking to you.

Tom

3701. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 19:56:18 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ulip1ldk173i79@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132730

Tim, you may have taken this wrong. Problem is he knew nothing about it. What channel did he hit? The emergency channel? I know this treading in the middle can collect flack from both sides, but methinks that Twist might have a good answer on all sides. Certain things one should avoid - setting up a broadcast station on FM is one - the broadcast industry has deep pockets. The FCC will react quite quickly for them. Police frequencies. Emergency / public safety comms will get you in trouble pronto. Marine radios are for marine use. There is a safety factor involved. As I've stated, I'm not a cop nor a wanna be cop. Just think a bit as to where you're transmitting. As far as speed, I did manage to catch an interesting ride one time in a Ford model A running 550 horsepower (around town, too). Just like taking off in a jet plane (but a lot more noise with no hood, no cab, no trunk, nothing but two seats and a 454 cubic inch engine screaming to be fed more!). That thing could do zero to 120 in 6 seconds (I did start hoping the brakes were equally capable). Can you believe the guy had it insured as an antique? Yeah, right - all chrome and gold anodized. As to pot, I spent a year in Vietnam. I won't lie like some and claim I never inhaled.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020813114533.09704.00000037@mb-mc.aol.com...
> wow, if that makes you nervous what would you do if you were riding with
> somebody and they started to speed? i bet you'd have a heart attack if
somebody
> smoked pot around you too. lighten up man. lmao
>
> >I get nervous
> >when I see a coworker trying his marine radio (while the boat sits on
it's
> >trailer in his driveway!) to see who he can talk to
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

3702. Re: Is xgannon a Ham only thing? Voob? JJ? Randy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 20:01:00 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ulipaefoemus1a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132731

Runs both ways, but largely as you suggest. Annonymous remailers are the coward's way out. Heck, anyone wanting to be taken seriously should at LEAST put a valid e-mail (if not their primary) on the post and let folks know with whom they are dealing.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:ulhrmka59b3b27@corp.supernews.com...
> Just wondering if xgannon is a Ham only thing. It seems the high and mighty
> wannabe Hams are so pure they have to use anonymous remailers to insult
> people.
> The idea the someone could operate totally legal on any band yet
> disregard basic internet rulz of behavior is ludicrous. There are allot of
> Hams that contribute greatly to this newsgroup. But a small minority just
> hate cb in all it's forms.
> Ken
>
>

3703. Re: Is xgannon a Ham only thing? Voob? JJ? Randy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 20:30:29 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <5mqiluor50pd89m3dt6311drvsb3nt83kk@4ax.com>
Source record: 132732

snip
> Heck, anyone wanting to be taken seriously should at
>LEAST put a valid e-mail (if not their primary) on the post and let folks
>know with whom they are dealing.
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim

Why is that? You can't have a legitimate conversation without
your identity being displayed. Do you also believe that people
with unlisted phone numbers are also less worthy than listed
ones?

The worthiness in a post has nothing to do with whether you chose
to identify yourself. Rather it has to do with the content of the
post. Anonymity in itself is not sinister.

3704. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 21:18:19 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D5958BE.E1458A4F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132733

Kenneth wrote:
>
> Liar
> If you're here bothering CB'ers you operate illegally on Ham bands
> Ken
Just how do you rationalize that. I do not operate illegally.

3705. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 21:19:14 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D5958F5.E9A740D2@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132734

"www.TimNebo.com" wrote:
>
> but he's not even a real ham, he just pretends to be one on here
>
> >.JJ is a prime example of Another Ham Dickhead
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

Hate to dissappoint you Timmy boy, but I was a ham probably before
you were born.

3706. Re: Is xgannon a Ham only thing? Voob? JJ? Randy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 21:34:55 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020813173455.03947.00000099@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 132735

>The worthiness in a post has nothing to >do with whether you chose
>to identify yourself. Rather it has to do >with the content of the
>post. Anonymity in itself is not sinister.
Yeah but some asswipe is using Xgannon remailer to send vulgarity to newsgroup users. Very inflamitory. I reported the abuse to Xgannon.

"Got RF?"

3707. Re: Is xgannon a Ham only thing? Voob? JJ? Randy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 21:42:45 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <p3f69.53164$Ce.2696720@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132736

Jim a email address are a dime a dozen do you really think they lend credibility?

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message news:ulipaefoemus1a@corp.supernews.com...
> Runs both ways, but largely as you suggest. Annonymous remailers are the
> coward's way out. Heck, anyone wanting to be taken seriously should at
> LEAST put a valid e-mail (if not their primary) on the post and let folks
> know with whom they are dealing.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:ulhrmka59b3b27@corp.supernews.com...
> > Just wondering if xgannon is a Ham only thing. It seems the high and
> mighty
> > wannabe Hams are so pure they have to use anonymous remailers to insult
> > people.
> > The idea the someone could operate totally legal on any band yet
> > disregard basic internet rulz of behavior is ludicrous. There are allot
of
> > Hams that contribute greatly to this newsgroup. But a small minority
just
> > hate cb in all it's forms.
> > Ken
> >
> >
>
>

3708. Re: Is xgannon a Ham only thing? Voob? JJ? Randy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 21:48:26 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <K8f69.10333$Ke2.898273@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132737

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:5mqiluor50pd89m3dt6311drvsb3nt83kk@4ax.com...
> snip
> > Heck, anyone wanting to be taken seriously should at
> >LEAST put a valid e-mail (if not their primary) on the post and let
folks
> >know with whom they are dealing.
> >
> >73 from Rochester, NY
> >Jim
>
> Why is that? You can't have a legitimate conversation without
> your identity being displayed. Do you also believe that people
> with unlisted phone numbers are also less worthy than listed
> ones?
>
> The worthiness in a post has nothing to do with whether you chose
> to identify yourself. Rather it has to do with the content of the
> post. Anonymity in itself is not sinister.
>
>

No but what would start at 100% credibility at the beginning if identified
starts at 0% anonymous. Depends to some extent on the Group, the subject,
and the post itself but the answer in general is: Yes, unidentified posts
have no reason to be read at all.

Part of it is a generational thing, part of it is a radio thing. N&#65533;BK is
as much my name in some contexts as Richard and I will display both with
pride; I am not afraid of anyone that might be offended and as a result I
have no particular use for what a priori is simpering cowardice.

Some are worse than others: xgannon is a public urinal and I block it on
sight as I do with some others.

Anonymity is not sinister, it is merely a drivel warning.

Dick N&#65533;BK

3709. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 22:43:20 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ulj2qp372aa159@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132738

Nice try, but .... a receiver for ssb could receive CW. An am receiver ... well, I don't know how well I'd do without a tone. If the bomb drops, I don't know about you, but I'll likely tuck my head between my legs and kiss my a** goodbye! ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

ps - the answer as to communications is how many radios survive. Amateur towers are usually smaller than commercial towers and in a real nasty blow some are likely to survive. CB exists in (likely) the millions and many are likely to survive. Go figure. It might make sense to pool resources rather than spend time flaming each other (?). Constructing a set might be easy for a few of us, but will require components, solder, and a working soldering iron. My main concern just might be food and water.

"Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote in message news:3D5950A7.1F403856@lucent.com...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> > True, but it is a small group and is concerned with am as a 'cute mode' not
> > unlike cw enthusiasts (which, although very dated at least does not consume
> > a ton of bandwidth). Then again, there are some uses for cw (like high
> > speed cw for meteor burst work - hundreds of words per minute).
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
>
> Jim,
>
> Please keep in mind that the amateur bands' only justification for existence
> are that they are there to provide emergency communications services for this
> country.
>
> A CW transmitter is the easiest type of transmitter to construct, and the
> simplest of receivers can detect it.
> You may not have any sidetone, but you should be able to distinguish the dots
> from the dashes. An excellent reason to keep at least a BASIC code requirement
> for any license, and one I would support as a requirement to getting ANY

radio
> license, including a commercial one.
>
> An FM transmitter is the next easiest to construct, but the receiver is
much
> more complicated and less likely to by successfully built from any
available
> parts.
>
> An AM transmitter is the next easiest to construct and can be received by
the
> most basic of receivers. And any receiver capable of receiving CW ahould
also
> be able to receive AM.
>
> An SSB transmitter is the hardest to construct and the SSB receiver is
also
> the hardest to construct, so it would be the least likely mode of
operation to
> be used in an emergency.
>
> Due to the huge volume of CB radios that exist today, and the fact that
many
> of the older tube type radios are still being used on the band, the best
chance
> for successful communications after the bomb drops would be a CW
transmission
> made on CB channel 19, with the next most likely candidate being an
> AM transmission on that same frequency.
>
> Those are all parametrics that Riley H. needs to consider whenever he
> considers any enforcement actions and/or any re-allocation of spectrum
> resources between the licensed HAM operators and the unlicensed CB radio
> operators.
>
> If he considers emergency communications to be a priority, there should
always
> be a CB band.
>
> Where it will reside, and how much bandwidth it will be allocated, should
be
> determined by what would be in the best interests of our National
(homeland)
> defense, and not much else.
>
> Just my opinion,
>
> Dennis O.
>

3710. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 22:50:23 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ulj3804905f012@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132739

Hey Morpaholic! Whatcha' running? Ran Collins gear on Guam in 1967 ... 75S3 rx, I forget the tx, and a Henry 2K amp in position #1, a KWM-2 in position #2, and #3 was some Heathkit and some other stuff for RTTY. Had a ball, but it was quite a long time ago ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - TH6-DX tribander up 102 feet over salt water (except for the time we pumped 40 KW into the rhombic up 200 feet)

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20020812180143.523$f4@newsreader.com...
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > frmboybuck@aol.com (Frmboybuck) wrote:
> > >>I agree with you moparman JJ needs to be in alook down your nose group
> > > rather than a cb group.....JJ is a prime example of Another Ham
> > > Dickhead
> > >
> > Ironic,he doesn't represent the ham boys. Most are very sociable when it
> > comes to radio.
> >
> > He's jealous of me running my Collins,with voice. ;)
> >
> And it's a 1961! lol
>
> --
> The crome and steel I ride,collide with the very air I breathe!
> Go#40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

3711. Re: antennas and more...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 22:53:12 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ulj3d8i34f8p69@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132740

All one needs is the prints of the radio and a fair knowlege of electronics.
And the smarts to know when to stop.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"George Kinzer" <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:Ko%59.10110$Ep6.790824@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> ive just completed a very nice, easy to follow cd with plans to build 11
> meter (CB) antennas, with MORE info on tune-ups, convertions, mic wiring,
> you name it...this thing is loaded with useful info on doing your own
> repairs and modifications. Explains how toos, and pdf file on home-kits
and
> MORE...pictures are high quality, formulas, and do-nots that will get you
up
> and sounding great...want to install your own talk-back, echo board, want
to
> make your own swing-kit? well theres More, More, More (title of my cd)
> anyone interested, email me. Many hours and weekends compiling all this
info
> in an easy to read format...Ive decided NOT to copyright this, as friends
> and 'Masters' have help write this/ you'll be amazed at how much you'll
> learn..
> .'Golden Screwdriver' is a drop in the
> bucket.... email me at horseflygk@att.net I'm 10-7, later
>
>
>
> --
> If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens
>
>

3712. Put pride n the ride

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 22:57:29 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ajc332$1a22s7$1@ID-20128.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132741

I just installed a old Pride 100 bi-linear amplifier in the semi and i am very pleased with it.
The pills are made by Pride but near as i can tell they are similar to a mrf455.
The recieve amp works great and the unit is very clean sounding,
the rf power is cut back to provide a 1.75 watt dead-key giving the pills plenty of headroom.
Take Pride n your ride,if u can find one..........

--
714 Sandpile,
The Mad Dog wavin' good bye

3713. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 23:07:30 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208131507.559ecc63@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132742

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<a7%49.22380$lP6.1575738@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>...
> Frmboybuck <frmboybuck@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020809135553.27927.00002542@mb-mb.aol.com...
> > Great....another loser that idolizes goober
>
> Bud,
>
> I don't idolize ANY damn body! I'm speaking for myself. The fellas can do
> whatever they like
> so long as they stay on their own turf. Those damned truckers and their
> "friendly" CB shops around the corner selling the crap that allows them to
> go onto the turf I E A R N E D can go to hell. I say it for, by, and of
> my own free will: Anytime I hear a truck on 10 meters, if I am nearby, I
> will record, photograph, and document then report it. If I stop at a Flying
> J on a trip and see a Galaxy for sale, I'll drop a dime on 'em in a second.
> You can yell, flame, call names, and spit nails
> for all I care. Stay on the 40 channels, you'll never hear a word from me.
> But I've got a flash for
> ya: you might think it ain't nothing to worry about, you might think those
> bandits on 10 meters isn't
> important enough for FCC to go after. But I talked with a certain gentleman
> today up in Gettys-burg, PA who strongly disagrees with you. AND, he is the
> one with the soft voice and big stick.
> It is going to get interesting in the next weeks and months. Just ask Mr.
> McCreary about his $5,000 NAL; I wonder if he thinks FCC don't "care" now!
> I promise you, they DO indeed intend
> to protect licensed bands against intrusion.
>
> J

You earned exactly... WHAT? The right to operate, not the Right to
Bitch. Stick to what you (might) know, Jerry. You're a licensed
amateur. What are you doing in rec.radio.cb?

3714. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 23:10:23 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208131510.466d458c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132743

allsteel77@aol.com (ALLSTEEL77) wrote in message
news:<20020809210828.01345.00002770@mb-cr.aol.com>...
> Boy that pulled old Jerry out of the closet.LOL.Watch the old blood pressure
> Jer!Better start doing some more cross posting to rec.radio.amateur.policy to
> stir the pot.Since you are in NC why don't you head over to the camp in the
> spring and watch the boys throw it down on 11meters ! Funny,the town and the
> camp welcomes them every year.You and AAron should get a room together.Have a
> great weekend:)

Hell, if they got a room together you'd never see them!!! LOL!

3715. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 23:21:09 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208131521.3ee77d6e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132744

"A_CBer and Ham" <A_CBer@yourtower.com> wrote in message
news:<Ocv59.9032$u7.718993@news.direcpc.com>...
> What you cryin' bout Frmboybuck?
>
> I Earned my HAM License!

Whatcha do, bend over?

> You jus' squealin' like a piggy! You been watchin' "Deliverance" too many
> times!!
>
> So..Don't Be Telling Others that they "didn't Earn Shit"!
> Why don't you smell what you shoveling!
> Or go suck yo momma's cock like you been doin'!

Now I know you're "ME"/Aaron in not-so-clever disguise. You can't get
into a discussion without sexual innuendo, faggot!

3716. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 23:25:40 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208131525.2dff4825@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132745

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208111703.486ca7a4@posting.google.com>...
> SideBand abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org wrote:
> >
> >If he uses 10M frequencies, he'll piss off alot of HAMs in
> >his area, and will get caught.
> >
> >If he freebands, he may or may not get caught.
>
> So you are advising him to obey the law in places where there is a
> high chance of getting caught, but to take a risk in places where
> there is a low chance of getting caught. Do you agree with that?

You're saying you never speed if there's little chance you'll get
caught? Remember, autmobiles can KILL people. Be honest now.

> >Personally, I don't care if he freebands, that's his choice.
> >However, if he decides to wander into territory that others
> >(myself included) have earned the liberty to transmit on,
> >he's just begging for trouble.
>
> A number of Fixed/Mobile,Government, Research, and Commercial users
> also have been assigned "territory" that they have "earned". They have
> the liberty to transmit on their assigned frequencies at 26.1-26.965
> and 27.405-28.0. Or do you disagree with that?
>
> -Aaron-

How did they EARN anything? They bought the frequencies from the FCC.
Earn? I don't think so. As usual you mix metaphors to suit yourself.
Try thinking, Aanal Aaron. I know it's difficult and might make your
head ache, but try it anyhow. Just once!

3717. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 23:27:19 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208131527.19c7e9ad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132746

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208111742.1ef4b6d2@posting.google.com>...
> SideBand wrote:
> >If they're going to freeband, they're going to freeband,
> >there's nothing I can do about that, save reporting
> >incursions into the 10M band when I come
> >across them. I'm not going to try to play "den mother"
> >as you are here. That's not my job.
>
> OK, but you said:
>
> >>Personally, I don't care if he freebands, that's his choice.
> >>However, if he decides to wander into territory that others
> >>(myself included) have earned the liberty to transmit on,
> >>he's just begging for trouble.
>
> And I pointed out that the so-called "freeband" is ALSO territory
> likewise owned by legitimate users that have ALSO earned the liberty
> to transmit there.

BULLCRAP. They didn't "earn" anything, Aanal Aaron. They *bought* it.

Just like you did. Same FCC that granted you a
> license to use your frequencies granted these people a license to use
> their frequencies. Knowing this, why do you advise him to risk
> trespassing on the neighbors territory - but not yours?
>
> -Aaron-

Try understanding what people say before you hit "Post without Preview" Aanal Aaron.

3718. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 23:30:46 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208131530.4dcc851b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132747

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:<3D56D53A.E9B8E854@yaSPAMhoo.com>...
> SideBand wrote:
> >
> > That's a little misleading...
> >
> > All those frequencies higher than 28.000 aren't CB, they're HAM 10M... Best
> > to stay away from those..
> > As for the rest of the non-regular 40, use them at your own risk.
> >
> > -SSB
> >
>
> Lou, you should note on your chart what the other
> assignments are for the frequencies listed. Things
> like ham, CAP, broadcast remote and such. There are
> things that are usable, one just must search.

Used to be (not sure if it is still this way) that the New Zealand CB
band was One MHz lower than the usual CB band. Occasionally one might
wander into the so-called "legal 40", but usually if you wanted to
talk to them you had to go one megahertz lower to do it. Wasn't
anything else there. So why not?

3719. Re: Re...Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-13 23:32:21 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208131532.397f3d9a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132748

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208121733.2d7ac3d6@posting.google.com>...
> Twistedhed wrote:
> >Even if he thinks that slightly exceeding power limits
> >is ok, he can't verbalize it due his present position.
>
> Yeah, right. And there is a government flying saucer base at the north
> pole, too. ;)
>
> -Aaron-

You have conclusive proof of this? About as much proof as you had
about 10 meter radios being completely "illegal". Bwahahahahahahaha!!!

3720. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 00:05:35 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <j9h69.10466$Ke2.911800@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132749

"Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote in message
news:3D595E63.28602C82@lucent.com...
> Skipp wrote:
>
> > Hi Jay,
> >
> > Remember, the general 4cx250b type amplifier layout should be
constructed
> > for AB1 or AB2 operation. Although it is possible to do both with one
> > amplifier, it's better practice to construct and layout the circuit
for
> > only one operating point. I'm talking grid vs cathode drive layouts.
> >
> > So you would assume linear AB1 operation is your goal... you have four
> > tubes in parallel with no-load 2200 vdc anode potentials. You need to
> > describe your drive/grid circuit layout for me. Adjust your control
grid
> > bias for the proper drive and zero signal anode currents with
variations
> > on the shunt (or active) regulated screen potential. Recomended zsac
> > values are in many older ARRL Handbooks.
> >
> > Dealing with four parallel tubes requires device (tube) matching and
or
> > some unbypassed cathode lead degeneration. AB1 operation requires a
low
> > impedance grid circuit... some pick 50 or 200 ohms depending on drive
> > power. Other values are possible...
> >
>
> Jay and Skipp,
>
> I have a trick that has worked quite well for me over the years.
>
> It allows me to have as much as a 3 volt variation in bias voltages
between
> different tubes and still get balanced quiescent plate currents from
them.
> (Usually the variation in 'unmatched' tubes is less than a volt from the
> factory.)
>
> I put a 10 ohm, 1 watt ,'flameproof', non-inductive resistor between
each
> cathode and ground.

>
> I bypass each resistor with a 330 ufd. @ 6 VDC (or higher voltage)
miniature
> axial electrolytic capacitor, a .001 ufd. cap., a .01 ufd. cap, and a .1
ufd
> cap..
>
> The small value caps are usually disc ceramic rated at 100 volts or
more so
> their size will allow them to pass adequate RF current without much
heating
> of the cap itself. Multiple bypass cap. values are used to eliminate
any
> self resonance possibility.
>
> The small caps are for RF bypass, and the large cap is only there to
hold
> the self bias voltages relatively constant down to AM modulation
frequencies
> as low as 300 HZ.
>
> Any tube that conducts harder than the others with the same amount of
> external grid bias applied will develop more negative cathode self bias
than
> the others, causing it to conduct less, and the currents between the
tubes
> should automatically 'equalize' unless there was some prior grid damage
that
> had been done to the tubes, in which case it should be replaced anyway.
>
> The 10 ohm cathode resistor also limits the maximum average plate
current
> through each tube to 316 ma. or less because at 316 ma. the resistor is
> dissipating it's rated 1 watt.
> Using 'flameproof' film type resistors that can open circuit quickly
with an
> overload has saved many a tube from overcurrent damage caused by
improper
> output tuning and loading, and/or improper operation in general.
>
> If your source has adequate drive to overcome some cathode circuit
> degeneration, you can leave the resistors completely unbypassed, (no 330
ufd.
> electrolytic and no ceramic bypass caps.), and get the same results.
>
> Regards,
>
> Dennis O.
>

All true but at the risk of some amazing parasitic problems. There are reasons why tubes like the 6146 have multiple cathode pins, all the better to bolt the cathode firmly to chassis ground. Similarly the 3-500Z has four grid pins for the same reason. I have never seen an Eimac tube in commercial service run above ground. But then they do not generally run tubes in parallel either so it is a horse apiece.

All in all, for SSB usage I think I might prefer the unbypassed version to keep the bias nailed firmly somewhere rather than having it wander under use. Granted 10 ohms is never going to do much more than 4 volts and may help the linearity a tad or hurt it by not much given that the AB1 bias should be about -80 in any case. But 5% is five percent regulation which sort of defeats having bias regulated to begin with.

I kind of sense we are making mountains out of molehills.

Dick N&#65533;BK

3721. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 00:16:03 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7jh69.10479$Ke2.912737@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132750

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:j9h69.10466$Ke2.911800@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

These tubes have directly-heated cathodes. How can you operate the
cathode above DC ground?

Should learn after all these years to listen to little voices telling me
something is weird.

3722. Re: Cryin' over a Frequency Chart?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 00:22:11 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Toh69.1626$983.2854@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 132752

***** wrote:

> I stand by "The Constitution Of The United States of America/ 1st
> Amendment"!
<snip>
> You are violating my constitutional rights as a disabled American!!
<snip>

The First Amendment doesn't apply here. Never has and never will. Why do so many people hide behind the Constitution and the Bill of Rights? Why do so many people do so in error?

Dave

3723. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 00:30:21 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <939jluk083ggpoikhsnrhfijkret48fud7@4ax.com>
Source record: 132751

On Sun, 11 Aug 2002 18:30:57 GMT, "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:

>
>"SideBand" <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
>news:3D56A363.8060408@csotbs.org...
>> Why's that funny? I post my call every time I post. What's the big deal
>> about it? If you have a callsign, how does that take away from your
>> enjoyment of the CB hobby?
>>
>> Really.. Grow the fuck up.
>>
>> -SSB
>
>Are you talking to Mopar or Me? Really though what is the purpose of
>posting your call though in any NG other than a amatuer NG. whats the
>point really?
>

well in my case, I have my call in my sig, and I am not going to
change the darn thing because I post in another group.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

3724. PLATE TRANSFORMER 3000V@4 AMPS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 00:52:50 UTC
From: NI4L <ni4l@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3D59A9E2.4090607@charter.net>
Source record: 132753

I have a nice 3000v @ 4 amp plate transformer 120/220 primaries.
it is heavy. I can ship but would rather you pick up locally.
asking 300.00 OBO

--
NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
Made with the same components used by our military forces today
Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/

3725. Re: cbs and helicopters etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 01:18:34 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uljbv1b8dd9s27@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132754

Ill try to me more sensitive and get in touch with my feminine side.
Hows this
"I feel your pain"
Naaaaaaa aint me ..I like this better
What was the last thing on princess Di's mind?

The radiator

get it:))))
ROFLMAO
Ken
"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:kq669.82315$Yd.3739427@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:ulhqla8qcf26ff@corp.supernews.com...
> > You should see how ole JJ and Randy went loony when I said my big radio
> blew
> > up the space shuttle..It was a hoot:)
> > Sincerely
> > Ken
>
> No. It wasn't that. It WAS your callous and ignorant moronic statements
that
> caused the reaction you received. But that's fine. In the interim you've
> proven that to be your M.O. Go figure.
>
>

3726. Re: does anyone use cb's anymore?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 01:25:08 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uljcbf1tacvu67@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132755

Yeah, channel 19 still
> belongs to the truckers travelling on the L.I.E. or route 495.

You forgot the truckers hammered down on the southern and northern state parkway. Usually it's "hey im lost and OH #$&%!" followed by the distinctive sound of a 13'6" trailer hitting a 10'6" bridge:)
Sincerely
Ken

3727. Re: does anyone use cb's anymore?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 01:37:15 UTC
From: Packrat<redacted> <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <uljdnlhuiij85@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132757

Point that beam towards tacoma. But only if you wanna be digusted :)
"Scott Keller" <yeah_right@noway.com> wrote in message
news:3d582498.25146455@news.verizon.net...
> On 12 Aug 2002 10:37:21 -0700, sactownie@hotmail.com (Mo) wrote:
>
> >I plugged in my old forgoten cobra base today after 4years in
> >hibrenation and did not hear a thing on any channels but a little
> >static.Is the c.b. officially dead now?
>
> It's not dead, but it's really quiet where I live up north of Seattle.
> I got into CB in about 1992, and it was a lot more active than it is
> now. The fact is that you can hardly find any retail stores that
> carry CB's any more, so there aren't as many new people getting into
> CB. Instead they are using cell phones and FRS radios.
>
> Personally, I hear a lot more chatter on my FRS handheld than I do on
> my CB. That's pretty sad considering that the range on FRS is about 1
> mile on a good day.
>
> Scott

3728. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 01:47:28 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <QEi69.177014$yc3.7667118@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132756

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> You earned exactly... WHAT? The right to operate, not the Right to Bitch.
Stick to what you (might) know, Jerry. >You're a licensed amateur. What are
you doing in rec.radio.cb?

2 Questions for you DickHead

1) you said you were a Ham I don't believe it since no call was produced,
but if this is rec.radio.cb why are you here?

2) His right to bitch starts where your bitching ends get it, what gives you
any right to bitch about his bitchin?
Go lay down you smacked ass troll

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

3729. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 02:09:04 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <4Zi69.138430$6Z1.6078416@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132758

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208131521.3ee77d6e@posting.google.com...
> "A_CBer and Ham" <A_CBer@yourtower.com> wrote in message
news:<Ocv59.9032$u7.718993@news.direcpc.com>...
> > What you cryin' bout Frmboybuck?

> > I Earned my HAM License!
>
> Whatcha do, bend over?
>
> > You jus' squealin' like a piggy! You been watchin' "Deliverance" too
many
> > times!!
> >
> > So..Don't Be Telling Others that they "didn't Earn Shit"!
> > Why don't you smell what you shoveling!
> > Or go suck yo momma's cock like you been doin'!
>
> Now I know you're "ME"/Aaron in not-so-clever disguise. You can't get
> into a discussion without sexual innuendo, faggot!

LOL your a First Class troll

3730. Re: Does illegally high powered CBs hurt anyone? It seems they do.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 02:41:00 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <0rj69.282736$q53.8988168@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 132759

I can think of lots of places he wouldn't last...but then again, he wouldn't have the guts to spew the same crap in person that he does behind the keyboard.

"jim and steph" <3carroll@optonline.net> wrote in message news:3D595031.3B224EA1@optonline.net...
> Kenneth, you wouldn't last a NY minute here...
>
> Kenneth wrote:
>
> > Already did, Luckily for me they used up their ammunition alotment shooting
> > black people 41 times each and the feds took away all the NYPD broomsticks
> > Ken
> > "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D569FCB.10791CBB@mailcity.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > Kenneth wrote:
> > > >
> > > > If your still crying about an event 20 years ago you need profesional
> > help.
> > > > The grief process should be 2 years MAX. People die all the time. I
> > suggest
> > > > you start dealing with it. Life goes on, I suggest you get on board.
> > > > Ken
> > >
> > > Go to NYC this September 11 and crack a few dumb jokes about dead
> > > loved ones to the families of those who lost loved ones in the WTC
> > > attack, and to some of the firemen and police and see how long you
> > > last. I ask again, how does it feel to be a first class SOB?
>

3731. Re: Put pride n the ride

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 03:08:53 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020813230853.22378.00000139@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 132760

there was a thread not too long ago about how shitty and dirty these little amps are

>
>
>I just installed a old Pride 100 bi-linear amplifier in the semi and i am
>very pleased with it.
>The pills are made by Pride but near as i can tell they are similar to a
>mrf455.
>The recieve amp works great and the unit is very clean sounding,
>the rf power is cut back to provide a 1.75 watt dead-key giving the pills
>plenty of headroom.
>Take Pride n your ride,if u can find one.....
>
>--
>714 Sandpile,
>The Mad Dog wavin' good bye
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3732. FS: Texas Star DX1600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 03:19:42 UTC
From: Packrat&#65533; <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <uljj2mqaq49f13@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132761

```
Price drop. Unit is now $495 plus shipping

pictures: http://www.n7uic.net/pictures/dx1600_index.html
```

"Packrat&#65533;" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:uldkq4ehdgig4b@corp.supernews.com...
> Well it's been fun but its time to let it go. Texas Star DX1600 $550 plus
> shipping costs.
>
> Thanks,
> Mike
> spam@zonenet.net
>
>
>

3733. Re: AMPLIFIER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 03:38:37 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uljk4e382lhee0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132762

Point well taken.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020812175001.165$gw@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote:
> >>Aaron, it is labeled 'amateur' amplifier. Which means it is legal to
> > sell, IF it is certified.
> >
> Mihgt as well throw it at teflon,Jim.
>
> --
> Go # 40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

3734. Re: Is xgannon a Ham only thing? Voob? JJ? Randy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 04:02:02 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_Ck69.22561$eK6.1234193@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 132763

Kenneth:

I'll answer you in a top post, since this NG thingy appears rather new to you. Voob and JJ are on their own mission. George(Me), well, he has no real mission...such is the way with insanity. My mission is to live and let live...unless you happen to be an imbecile and make REALLY stupid post that make no sense whatsoever. Hell, I can even be helpful if the fancy strikes me. But be forewarned, and I think you might know this by now as I have made comments about some of your posts...I do have a low tolerance for stupidity.

-R- (Damn! I love mocking Aaron's sig!)

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:ulhrmka59b3b27@corp.supernews.com...
> Just wondering if xgannon is a Ham only thing. It seems the high and mighty
> wannabe Hams are so pure they have to use anonymous remailers to insult
> people.
> The idea the someone could operate totally legal on any band yet
> disregard basic internet rulz of behavior is ludicrous. There are allot of
> Hams that contribute greatly to this newsgroup. But a small minority just
> hate cb in all it's forms.
> Ken
>
>

3735. FS: Comstat35

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 04:54:20 UTC
From: Joe <joe.amp@NOSPAMverizon.net>
Message-ID: <0ol69.22417$Ue7.447@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 132764

Lafatette Comstat 35 works tested $25..... no mic
(Long Island)
Remove NOSPAM
-Joe

3736. more stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 04:58:09 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Brl69.10901$Ke2.945043@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132765

ive just completed a very nice, easy to follow cd with plans to build 11 meter (CB) antennas, with MORE info on tune-ups, convertions, mic wiring, you name it...this thing is loaded with useful info on doing your own repairs and modifications. Explains how toos, and pdf file on home-kits and MORE...pictures are high quality, formulas, and do-nots that will get you up and sounding great...want to install your own talk-back, echo board, want to make your own swing-kit? well theres More, More, More (title of my cd) anyone interested, email me. Many hours and weekends compiling all this info in an easy to read format...Ive decided NOT to copyright this, as friends and 'Masters' have help write this/ you'll be amazed at how much you'll learn..
.'Golden Screwdriver' is a drop in the
bucket.... email me at horseflygk@att.net I'm 10-7, later

--
If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens

3737. wwww.timnebo.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 05:45:53 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <aa5efb9fc76c95131fbb6907dc3a12b1@xganon.com>
Source record: 132766

```
NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.
-------------------------------------------------------

test

73 de Tim

www.TimNebo.com

---
This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
---
```

3738. Re: Bye BYe Moonraker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 05:53:29 UTC
From: AL_IR033 <acidbreaker@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tfm69.208051$Jj7.4735734@news1.tin.it>
Source record: 132767

May be Moonraker4 has been discontinued but other companies (i.e. Ma.Co, www.majestic-comc.com) are producing same stuffs, less expansive than Avanti and with better gain.

I have one (Shooting Star) that allow me to intercept any Dxspedition around the globe.

73s,

1IR033, Alberto

"Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> ha scritto nel messaggio news:3D575BB7.C8009C93@citlink.net...
> I cant beleive they have discontinued this antenna.But guess what I
> bought 8 of them right after they discontinued them.You see em on the
> Bay going for 300+...I have them brand new in the box for $240 +
> shipping
>

3739. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 07:41:24 UTC
From: n2uhc@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3442abbf.0208132341.23a1833b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132768

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message news:<3D56D53A.E9B8E854@yaSPAMhoo.com>...

> Lou, you should note on your chart what the other
> assignments are for the frequencies listed. Things
> like ham, CAP, broadcast remote and such. There are
> things that are usable, one just must search.

The Confidential Frequency Guide might be a good place to check. It lists some freqs in the "freeband" that are in use by various agencies.

It might be a good idea for freebanders to stay away from 27870 USB. This is a USAF Scope Command ALE freq. And it is active.

Tom

3740. Re: Beacon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 07:45:27 UTC
From: n2uhc@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3442abbf.0208132345.114a10a7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,alt.radio.cb.skip

wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) wrote in message
news:<b7d1465c.0208130200.4fa1cd6a@posting.google.com>...
> Another possibility? Sounds like you may have found a legit user of a
> "freeband" frequency. Weather services perhaps?
>

Could be, possibly even maritime. I don't remember what the
allocation is for that freq.

If you go down to the upper end of 25 MHZ, you'll find feeders for
broadcast stations in FM.

Tom

3741. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 08:08:45 UTC
From: Peter Völpel <qro1750@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <3D5A100D.46FAF5C0@compuserve.de>
Source record: 132772

The ITT AM-6154 is using those safety resistors, they use 3 ea.
The NVA KN-1E uses 5x 4CX250B in parallel and use cathode-resistors
for current equalization.
Why should this measure cause risk of parasitic?
The reason for multiple pins is current distribution, not using
only one small pin.

Peter

Richard McCollum wrote:

> All true but at the risk of some amazing parasitic problems. There are
> reasons why tubes like the 6146 have multiple cathode pins, all the > better
> to bolt the cathode firmly to chassis ground. Similarly the 3-500Z has
> four grid pins for the same reason. I have never seen an Eimac tube in
> commercial service run above ground. But then they do not generally run
> tubes in parallel either so it is a horse apiece.

3742. Re: more stuff - SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 10:40:41 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D5A33A0.CB4E8BE4@icok.net>
Source record: 132770

More spam George, just more spam.
'Doc

3743. Re: Texas Star DX1600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 10:53:11 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@charter.net>
Message-ID: <ulkdn94ll13r39@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132771

Hey Mike........I like the way you have your page set up. Where did you find the nice buttons?

Bill

--
Web Site: www.polkcounty.org/radiofun
ICQ: 11908449
Yahoo Mess: Hitradio93Q

"Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message news:uljj2mqaq49f13@corp.supernews.com...
> Price drop. Unit is now $495 plus shipping
>
> pictures: http://www.n7uic.net/pictures/dx1600_index.html
>
>
> "Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
> news:uldkq4ehdgig4b@corp.supernews.com...
> > Well it's been fun but its time to let it go. Texas Star DX1600 $550 plus
> > shipping costs.
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Mike
> > spam@zonenet.net
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3744. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 11:09:41 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D5A3A75.5DFC@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 132774

Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
>
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<16378-3D584B57-395@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> <snip BS>
>
> No one ever said Riley's primary job focus was "CB Enforcement".
>
> But Riley did say that Twistedhed's post was "10% accurate". That
> means 90% of it was falsehood.
>
> Uh oh. Time for the TWISTEDHED DEBATE GUIDE (originally written by
> Dave Hall).
>
> --------
> His typical M.O. for any "debate" (and I do use that term VERY
> loosely) that he may choose to enter into. He is skilled at
> obfuscation,
> and changing meanings. Even when one clearly expresses the point,
> twisty
> will instead focus on the nuances, rather than the substance of a
> point.
>
> One of his biggest hangups during one of our earlier altercations, was
> to continually attempt to steer the focus of the debate from illegal
> CB
> operations to USENET ettiquette. I assume he was attempting to make
> the
> point that "people in glass houses shouldn't throw rocks". In any case
> his comparison was irrelevant to the debate, but he continued to make
> an
> issue out of it anyway.
>
> One cannot make a comment regarding CB operation, without
> twisty countering that "hammies are guilty of XYZ" as well. Two wrongs
> still don't make a right, and neither does a counterpoint diminish the
> truth of the original point.
>
> Twisty has no real debate skills. He is incapable of staying on topic,
> he seems to have trouble comprehending simple points and analogies,
> while accusing the other party of exactly the same thing. His
> "stradegy", if you could call it that, is to twist meanings, obfuscate
> points, and then accuse others of "lieing", to put them on the
> defensive, while never offering up any bits of factual information to

> prove his side. He claims to speak for the majority of people, yet I
> have never seen anyone jump to his defense during any of his
> "debates".
> He also has an over inflated ego, and cannot possibly comprehend how
> so
> many people can find fault with him. To counter that, he claims that
> each and every person on the other side is really just one person
> posting under numerous accounts. He probably thinks that you, me,
> Aaron,
> Frank etc, are all the same person.
>
> He then claims victory when the other side simply becomes frustrated
> or
> loses interest in pursuing further exchanges. He is as predictable as
> PMS, you can almost tell exactly what posts he will respond to, and
> almost exactly what he will say in response. I've baited him a few
> times
> just to prove my theory. He fell, hook, line and sinker.
>
> He reminds me of that old Monty Python skit where the guy pays for an
> arguement, but gets only rote contradiction. Twisty will counter
> anything you say, but can never back up any of it with fact or even a
> good theory.
>
> Which is why he is refered to as the newsgroup punch clown. He's good
> to
> lash out at if you want to get something off of your chest, or just
> want
> to do the intellectual equivilent of bitch slapping. String him along
> until you tire of him, and then let him babble to himself.
> But he's not worth the effort to take seriously.
>

Geeeze, if I had thought that this would become immortalized, I would
have put some REAL effort into the psychological analysis......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3745. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 11:16:39 UTC
From: Peter Völpel <qro1750@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <3D5A3C17.6CED2F2D@compuserve.de>
Source record: 132773

Tubes of the 4CX250 family are indirectly heated.
Even with drect heated tubes it would be no problem, as long as the
heater winding of the transformer is not grounded on one side, but
floating

Peter

Richard McCollum schrieb:
>
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:j9h69.10466$Ke2.911800@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> These tubes have directly-heated cathodes. How can you operate the
> cathode above DC ground?
>
> Should learn after all these years to listen to little voices telling me
> something is weird.

3746. Re: wwww.timnebo.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 13:06:06 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020814090606.03682.00000273@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 132775

well if your going to act like me and promote my site for me at least get it right http://www.TimNebo.com

>Subject: wwww.timnebo.com
>Return-Path: <anon@xganon.com>
>Date: Wed, 14 Aug 2002 00:45:53 -0500
>From: xganon remailer@xganon.com
>Comments: This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
> It was remailed automatically by anonymizing remailer software.
> Please report problems or inappropriate use to the
> remailer administrator at <abuse@xganon.com>.
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>Message-ID: <aa5efb9fc76c95131fbb6907dc3a12b1@xganon.com>
>X-Loop: mail2news@freedom.gmsociety.com
>Mail-To-News-Contact: mail2news-abuse@freedom.gmsociety.org
>Organization: mail2news@freedom.gmsociety.org
>Path:
>lobby!ngtf-m01.news.aol.com!ngpeer.news.aol.com!news.cis.ohio-state.edu!n
ews.maxwell.syr.edu!newsfeed.icl.net!newsfeed.fjserv.net!news.itconsult.ne
t!freedom.gmsociety.org!usenet-gateway
>Lines: 16
>
>
>
>NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
>No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.
>---
>
>test
>
>73 de Tim
>
>www.TimNebo.com
>
>
>
>---
>This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
>---
>
>
>
>
>

>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3747. Re: cbs and helicopters etc.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 13:30:03 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020814093003.19260.00000387@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 132776

kenneth........right on dude! " F-em", if they cant take a joke. If i learned one thing in the military,its....."lifes a bitch,and then youre dead"
gunslinger :) :) :)

have a nice day

3748. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 13:33:51 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <721-3D5A5C3F-10@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132777

>
Hall wrote:
Geeeze, if I had thought that this would become immortalized, I would
have put some REAL effort into the psychological analysis......
Dave
"Sandbagger"
<<

Dont worry, you were (ahem) impressed among our Hall of laughter long
ago. Immortalized? (Chuckle) Maybe so, as there ain't many left that
resort to insult as a second nature as a sole means of pleasuring
themselves and you're about as well received as he is.
Personally, I think your friend is about ready to crack as all his posts
are being ignored...makes for another impotent day in your life. Too
much stress for you, I guess.
Have a good day, Hall, and try not to be such a sourpuss, but he's still
being ignored, no matter how many times you try to share your water bowl
with him.

3749. Re: more stuff - SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 14:12:03 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Tyt69.5810$nl1.214148134@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132778

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D5A33A0.CB4E8BE4@icok.net...
>
> More spam George, just more spam.
> 'Doc

I was going to say that Doc, but
thanks anyway.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

3750. Re: wwww.timnebo.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 14:12:03 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Tyt69.5809$nl1.214148134@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132779

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020814090606.03682.00000273@mb-fq.aol.com...
> well if your going to act like me and promote my site for me at least get
it
> right http://www.TimNebo.com
>
> >Subject: wwww.timnebo.com
> >Return-Path: <anon@xganon.com>
> >Date: Wed, 14 Aug 2002 00:45:53 -0500
> >From: xganon remailer@xganon.com

Well they figure by adding the extra "W" it's ok to
forge your name, but it's not. Make sure that you
send a copy of this to Xganon from your WWW
account, pointing out that someone is using their
service to impersonate you.

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

3751. Re: Texas Star DX1600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 14:18:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5468-3D5A66C9-2@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132785

>From: radiofun@charter.net (radiofun)
Hey Mike.....I like the way you have your page set up. Where did you
find the nice buttons?
Bill
--
Web Site: www.polkcounty.org/radiofun
ICQ: 11908449
Yahoo Mess: Hitradio93Q<<

Heh heh!! Check out the pirate page. Coolest link on the page!

3752. Re: Texas Star DX1600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 14:23:20 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5464-3D5A67D8-38@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132786

Now that I checked my logs, that station has been DXed several times.
Too bad I don't collect cards anymore...oh well, there' is always next
cycle <g>!

Would it be a cardinal sin to assign the word "sked" to a cb
connotation?

3753. FS Lafatette telstat W/ Digiscan..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 14:32:16 UTC
From: Steve <SteveJTA@SWBell.net>
Message-ID: <QRt69.523$k91.225652848@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132780

Its pretty clean.. If it were not for the SSN engraved on the face it would be a 9.5 of 10... Works 100%... I would take the digiscan off and put it on ebay BUT WHAT A WASTE!! Make offers..

Thanks

Steve

3754. President GEORGE FS...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 14:36:14 UTC
From: Steve <SteveJTA@SWBell.net>
Message-ID: <yVt69.524$YH.225065096@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132781

Clean.. With BOX AND PAPERS... $325

Thanks

Steve

3755. President Madison.. With 2 x speakers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 14:39:02 UTC
From: Steve <SteveJTA@SWBell.net>
Message-ID: <aYt69.525$Kq1.225953901@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132782

Very Clean.. I am picky and I would call it a 9 of 10 condition. It has 2 matching speakers with it.. This one is the RED LED Clock.. Later model.. Has Channel kit in it professionally done 40 up and 40 down L Kit. $325 and you will not find a nicer one..THANKS!!

Steve

3756. Re: Is xgannon a Ham only thing? Voob? JJ? Randy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 14:42:00 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Y_t69.3016$Al3.116205770@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132783

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:5mqiluor50pd89m3dt6311drvsb3nt83kk@4ax.com...
> snip
> > Heck, anyone wanting to be taken seriously should at
> >LEAST put a valid e-mail (if not their primary) on the post and let folks
> >know with whom they are dealing.
> >
> >73 from Rochester, NY
> >Jim
>
> Why is that? You can't have a legitimate conversation without
> your identity being displayed. Do you also believe that people
> with unlisted phone numbers are also less worthy than listed
> ones?
>
> The worthiness in a post has nothing to do with whether you chose
> to identify yourself. Rather it has to do with the content of the
> post. Anonymity in itself is not sinister.
>
>

While what you have said is valid, so is the
fact that most people that use it's services are doing
so as troll or harassing, why else would they want to
stay anonymous.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

3757. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 14:42:00 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Y_t69.3017$Al3.116205770@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132784

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208131525.2dff4825@posting.google.com...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208111703.486ca7a4@posting.google.com>...
> > >Personally, I don't care if he freebands, that's his choice.
> > >However, if he decides to wander into territory that others
> > >(myself included) have earned the liberty to transmit on,
> > >he's just begging for trouble.
> >
> > A number of Fixed/Mobile,Government, Research, and Commercial users
> > also have been assigned "territory" that they have "earned". They have
> > the liberty to transmit on their assigned frequencies at 26.1-26.965
> > and 27.405-28.0. Or do you disagree with that?
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> How did they EARN anything? They bought the frequencies from the FCC.
> Earn? I don't think so. As usual you mix metaphors to suit yourself.
> Try thinking, Aanal Aaron. I know it's difficult and might make your
> head ache, but try it anyhow. Just once!

I'll have to agree with Richard on this. When your
a Ham, you have earned the right to transmit on your assigned
bands. The freebands are in most part purchased and assigned
to certain agency's, not a ham operator how took a test to
talk on his frequency

3758. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 16:04:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3Jv69.550$EP2.57714@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132788

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:16380-3D5922CD-56@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...

<<snipped for brevity>>
>
> And as I have stated, I support you 100% in all your endeavors
> concerning illegal intruders on the hammie bands, but you claim you are
> hunting freebanders in another thread.
> Anyways, I can almost sympathize, after all, you aren't getting much
> help from uncle Sam or anyone else.

Twistedhed,

It IS a fact that Riley's primary function is, as Legal Counsel for the
Enforcement Bureau, primarily
concerned with the protection and the cleaning up of the Amateur Bands.
Prior to his appointment,
it had become extremely difficult to find a place where there weren't
whistlers, playing music, extremely racist remarks, filthy language, and
every form of lawbreaker imaginable (sound familiar?). For years ARRL and
individual hams had been begging FCC to come back to enforce-
ment. It was this urging, finally heard loudly and clearly, that brought
Mr. Hollingsworth to the fore-
front. There will always be a few who will test the limits, Amateur and
CBer, just to see what they
can get away with, but I no longer hear the extremes I was hearing 3 years
ago. Even then, these
clucks were in the minority. Taken on the whole, if a "survey" could be
taken (which is impossible)
on the relative numbers of CBers and hams that break the rules governing the
respective services,
those on CB would "win" in terms of numbers of people who "stretch" the
rules. Given, hams have more leeway on amps and frequencies, but, again,
the relative numbers of lawbreakers on the ham
bands would pale in comparison to those of the CB service.

I can also show you where I stated in various threads and newsgroups that
CBers using illegal equipment are relatively safe while using it on the
regular 40 channels. Who's going to measure or
worry about a 40 watt radio being run cleanly on channel 19? BUT! When
those same people run
those radios behind linears and/or on the 10 meter band, that in itself
brings immediate attention to
their operations and animosity from those who are licensed for that band.
Since I can name a couple of CBers who've been hit with fines for amps

fairly recently (they were running in the regular
40), I can't say what *other* people (Mr. Solomon?) have in mind WRT CB enforcement. I DO
know, in spite of your protests to the contrary, that enforcement HAS increased WRT to truckers
and even to CBers on the 40 CH; all you gotta do is spend a bit of time on the FCC and ARRL
site to see it.

Finally, another thing that hasn't been mentioned is that there are initiatives thru other avenues
to combat the outlaw operators. ARRL, for example, (I'm told) is working thru their Congressional
laison (sic) on several bills favorable to Amateur Radio; again, there are 'bugs" being put in con-gressional ears about the sales and use of those illegal radios. I know that individual hams are writing their Representatives (including me) asking for help in this matter. Such actions could include the following: (bear in mind that these are just ideas being promoted, not actual pending
actions)

1) Amend the Local Enforcement Law to allow for DOT officers to inspect for illegal radios at
state weigh stations (truckers). Yup, you'd get a howl of protest from the truckers!

2) Increase funding, if only temporarily, to FCC to permit the aggressive abatement of illegal oper-
ation on the Amateur bands--especially the intruders; RH already goes after the unruly "ham-
mies".

3) Bring back, or even create, a CB enforcement task force similar to that of Amateur Radio
headed up by a "Special Counsel" similar to the position held by Hollingsworth.

4) Perhaps the "sunsetting" of 27 MHZ CB in 5-8 years. Some are arguing that CB on 27 MHZ
has outlived its usefulness because, a) it can't expand where it is, b) technology has outstripped
CB where it is, c) because of the restrictions on it, said technologies can't be applied to it, d)
encouraging the use of MURS and FRS in its place would greatly abate the interference to ad-
jacent services as well as to its own users. This is the least politically "easy" alternative.

There are ways to get FCC to aggressively enforce its own rules. That is thru Congress itself. It is
how the Local Enforcement thing got done, although, typical of Congress, they did it "bass ackwards". With the right guidance (ARRL), they could be

persuaded to enable their own agency
whose job it is to start with to make the Amateur bands free from rampant
interference.

73

Jerry

>

3759. OT- DOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 16:16:00 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <_ov69.6759$pv6.720271@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 132787

Adam and Eve said, "Lord, when we were in the garden, you walked with us every day. Now we do not see you any more. We are lonesome here, and it is difficult for us to remember how much you love us."

And God said, "No problem! I will create a companion for you that will be with you forever and who will be a reflection of my love for you, so that you will love me even when you cannot see me. Regardless of how selfish or childish or unlovable you may be, this new companion will accept you as you are and will love you as I do, in spite of yourselves."

And God created a new animal to be a companion for Adam and Eve. And it was a good animal. And God was pleased. And the new animal was pleased to be with Adam and Eve and he wagged his tail.

And Adam said, "Lord, I have already named all the animals in the Kingdom and I cannot think of a name for this new animal."

And God said, "No problem. Because I have created this new animal to be a reflection of my love for you, his name will be a reflection of my own name, and you will call him DOG."

And Dog lived with Adam and Eve and was a companion to them and loved them. And they were comforted. And God was pleased. And Dog was content and wagged his tail.

After a while, it came to pass that an angel came to the Lord and said, "Lord, Adam and Eve have become filled with pride. They strut and preen like peacocks and they believe they are worthy of adoration. Dog has indeed taught them that they are loved, but perhaps too well."

And God said, "No problem! I will create for them a companion who will be with them forever and who will see them as they are. The companion will remind them of their limitations, so they will know that they are not always worthy of adoration."

And God created CAT to be a companion to Adam and Eve.

And Cat would not obey them. And when Adam and Eve gazed into Cat's eyes, they were reminded that they were not the supreme beings.

And Adam and Eve learned humility. And they were greatly improved.

And God was pleased.

And Dog was happy.

And Cat didn't give a shit one way or the other.

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

3760. Re: Texas Star DX1600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 16:55:38 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns926A651DD4F38subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 132789

"radiofun" <radiofun@charter.net> wrote in
news:ulkdn94ll13r39@corp.supernews.com:

> Hey Mike.....I like the way you have your page set up. Where did
> you find the nice buttons?
>
> Bill
>
> --
> Web Site: www.polkcounty.org/radiofun
> ICQ: 11908449
> Yahoo Mess: Hitradio93Q
>
>
>
> "Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
> news:uljj2mqaq49f13@corp.supernews.com...
>> Price drop. Unit is now $495 plus shipping
>>
>> pictures: http://www.n7uic.net/pictures/dx1600_index.html
>>
>>
>> "Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
>> news:uldkq4ehdgig4b@corp.supernews.com...
>> > Well it's been fun but its time to let it go. Texas Star DX1600
>> > $550
> plus
>> > shipping costs.
>> >
>> > Thanks,
>> > Mike
>> > spam@zonenet.net
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

Ya, the site is pretty nifty. Once correction though: The pictures
labeled Galaxy DX959 and DX949 are reversed. =)

You're welcome,
Alan S.

3761. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 17:45:05 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <l3x69.3973$dr.52417@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132792

I'm gonna top post this simply to make the point that there are, indeed,
certain "10 Meter" radios that are illegal to import. Period. See other
comments interspersed.
Richard Cranium <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208070351.3cdc9abf@posting.google.com...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208061158.5e77ac5e@posting.google.com>...
> > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208060449.1df53a44@posting.google.com>...
> > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208051145.dd61ed0@posting.google.com>...
> > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)

> > > > >
> > > > >The Notice you posted simply states that further "importing and
> > > > >marketing" of new radios is prohibited. NOWHERE does it say
> > > > >that all the existing radios, brought in before the Notice, are
> > > > >suddenly "illegal". They couldn't (and didn't) do that with
> > > > >cell-capable scanners and they can't (and won't) do that with
> > > > >10 metre radios. Simply put, they cannot make a change like that
> > > > >retroactive.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Sorry, but you still must have your brain on the chair as a hemeroid
> > > cushion. You have posted a FCC Notice which you do not
> > > understand, and

> > > Existing ten meter radios are perfectly legal to own, use, and
> > > even sell to another party.

But they have been made illegal current importation.

> >
> > Wrong. There's something called "type acceptance" for CB equipment.
> > The phoney 10 meter radios (old or new) are not type accepted for use
> > on CB. That means they are illegal for use.
>
> Cute little tap dance, Aanal Aaron, but your tu-tu is ripped in the
> backside. What you call "phoney 10 meter radios" are not "phoney" at
> all; they are perfectly legal for use on (take a deep breath): 10
> meters. And, if modified, on 12 meters as well. Why do you think
> they're called 10 meter radios?
>

ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

The FCC's Office of Engineer and Technology (OET) has evaluated the devices listed below and has concluded that these devices are not only amateur transceivers but can easily be altered for use as Citizens Band (CB) transceivers as well. As such, OET has further concluded that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within the United States for the reasons discussed below. Further, the FCC General Council has issued a decision in a specific case involving one manufacturer and has concluded that dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue may not be approved under the Commission's rules and are in violation of several rules including the RF power level limits of 47 CFR 95.639. (letter from Christopher J. Wright, FCC-OGC to John F. Atwood, US Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999).

Transceivers used in the Amateur Radio Service below 30 MHz do not require FCC authorization prior to being imported into or marketed within the United States, but transceivers for other services, including the CB Radio Service (CB), do require Commission approval. The transceivers listed herein and other similar models operate in the amateur "10-meter band" and are often referred to as "10-meter" radios or "export" radios. The amateur 10-meter band uses frequencies that are very close to the channels set aside for use in the CB service. Some of the transceivers that manufacturers call "10-meter" radios either operate on CB frequencies as manufactured and imported or are designed such that internal circuits can readily be activated by a user, a service technician or a dealer to operate on CB frequencies. According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's rules, a CB transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate at a station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. § 95.603(c). The Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar models at issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those in the amateur service because they have built-in capability to operate on CB frequencies. This capability can be readily activated by moving or removing a jumper plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other simple means. Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. § 95.603(c). A CB transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or importation. 47 C.F.R. §§ 95.603(c); 2.803.

Moreover, the dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue here may not be certified under the Commission's rules. Section 95.655(a) states: "..([CB] Transmitters with frequency capability for the Amateur Radio Services . will not be certificated.)" See also Amendment of Part 95, Subpart E, Technical Regulations in the Personal Radio Services Rules, FCC 88-256, 1888 WL 488084 (August 17, 1988). This clarification was added to explicitly foreclose the possibility of certification of dual use CB and amateur radios, see id, and

thereby deter use by CB operators of frequencies allocated for amateur radio use.

In addition, the Commission's equipment authorization experts have determined that these devices violate or appear to violate a number of the rules governing CB devices. For example, they may use emission types not permitted, or emit RF power at a level in excess of the levels permitted in the CB radio service. See 47 C.F.R. § 95.639.

In view of the foregoing, the following "10-meter" transceivers are not acceptable for importation or marketing into/within the United States. Importation and marketing of these units is illegal pursuant to Section 302(b) of the Communications Act and Section 2.803 of the rules. Willful violations of the Rules and the Act may subject the violator to a monetary forfeiture of not more than $11,000 for each violation or each day of a continuing violation. The Commission continues to review this type of equipment, and additional makes and models may be added to this list in the future.

LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET

GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V, DX 77HML, DX 88HL, DX99V and Saturn Turbo

CONNEX - models: 3300, 3300HP-ZX, 3300 PLUS and CX-3800

MIRAGE - models: 44, Galaxy 88, 9900 and 2950EX

NORTH STAR - models: NS-3000 and NS-9000

PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln

PRO STAR - model: 240

RANGER - models: AR-3500 and RCI-2950

TEK - model: HR-3950

UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600

SUPER STAR - model: 121

For further information concerning the listed transceivers or similar models, contact Ray LaForge or Gary Hendrickson at the FCC Laboratory, 7435 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046, (301) 362-3041 or (301) 362-3043 respectively, or E-mail: rlaforge@fcc.gov and ghendric@fcc.gov

>

> >
> > Then post your proof. A statute. A rule. A notice. But you haven't.
> > All you have done is to speculate that, "the law doesn't say anything
> > about X, so X is OK".

>
> Precisely correct; laws have to be specific. If it ain't stated, it
> ain't in the law and the law does not apply. Ask any cop about that.

See the above. I ain't a rocket scientist, but it seems pretty cut and
dried to me.
> >

>
> Hold it, Aanal Aaron; I never said that. I said (and since you have
> never grasped the thought I'll type it very slowly once more just for
> you): 10 meter radios are perfectly legal for use on ten meters and,
> if modified, on 12 meters. You can't just make it up as you go along,
> Aanal Aaron

I would still would have to say Aaron is correct WRT those radios on the
list.
> >

>
> > They would not be posted if they were inaccurate. If you have an
> > official statement (or even an unofficial one) from the FCC that
> > contradicts anything I've posted, then by all means show it. Otherwise
> > you're wasting your breath with tales of what you imagine you hear on
> > the phone.
>

>
> > > Again, where is your righteous indignation about all those amateur HF
> > > rigs that can be "widebanded" so easily? Every one of them can be
> > > modified for 11 meters, too. No comment? Loser!

But, they are NOT on the list for reasons that should be obvious. It is
those single band radios
that are quite obviously being imported for other than Amateur use. The law
is designed to, and
targeted towards, the hindering of illegal operations on both CB and ham
> >
> > First, it must be emphasized that use of this type of amateur
> > equipment on unauthorized frequencies, including using it on the C.B.
> > radio channels or other non-amateur frequencies in the 24 to 32 MHz
> > range, is illegal, and doing so will subject the user to the same
> > penalties as mentioned above.
> > That being said, there are legitimate reasons for some amateur
> > equipment to be used on certain non-amateur frequencies, such as on
> > military M.A.R.S. (Military Affiliate Radio System) and C.A.P. (Civil
> > Air Patrol) frequencies. MARS and CAP radio stations are only
> > authorized to operate on specific assigned (non-amateur) frequencies.
> > With just a few exceptions, most of the assigned MARS and CAP
> > frequencies do not fall within the 24 to 32 MHz frequency range.
> > Although some models of amateur equipment (manufactured by
> > companies such as Alinco, Icom, Kenwood, Ten-Tec, Yaesu, etc.) may be

> > capable of operating on the C.B. radio channels, they do not display
> > the assigned C.B. channel number, nor are they designed to
> > deliberately facilitate operation on the C.B. channels.
>
> But now you contradict yourself: This bunch of radios is illegal
> because they can be modified to operate on CB, but this group of
> radios is OK even though they can also be modified for use on CB. Talk
> about nit-picking! And I bet, if there was a way to find out, that
> many of the 10m interlopers are using modified amateur equipment. Keep
> on tap dancing; maybe someone will stuff a dollar into your tu-tu so
> you can buy a clue.

Simple. Those multi-band HF radios are not on the list. Those "bad" radios
are the target of the rule, not radios approved for sale to Amateurs in the
USA,
nor CB radios that meet the criteria of Part 95.
>
> Probably the most commonly used CAP frequency is 26.620 MHz, only 75
> kHz below channel 1.

That's only one of them. And N O N E of those so-called "10 meter" radios
is
legal for use on THOSE frequencies, either. CAP equipment must meet NTIA
criteria for
frequency stability, and many of the ham HF radios with high stability
options offered by the
mfrs do meet said criteria.
>
Jerry

3762. Re: Texas Star DX1600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 17:50:11 UTC
From: Packrat&#65533; <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <ull62tmptneu54@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132790

Made them using The Gimp

"radiofun" <radiofun@charter.net> wrote in message
news:ulkdn94ll13r39@corp.supernews.com...
> Hey Mike.....I like the way you have your page set up. Where did you
find
> the nice buttons?
>
> Bill
>
> --
> Web Site: www.polkcounty.org/radiofun
> ICQ: 11908449
> Yahoo Mess: Hitradio93Q
>
>
>
> "Packrat&#65533;" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
> news:uljj2mqaq49f13@corp.supernews.com...
> > Price drop. Unit is now $495 plus shipping
> >
> > pictures: http://www.n7uic.net/pictures/dx1600_index.html
> >
> >
> > "Packrat&#65533;" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
> > news:uldkq4ehdgig4b@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Well it's been fun but its time to let it go. Texas Star DX1600 $550
> plus
> > > shipping costs.
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > > Mike
> > > spam@zonenet.net
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3763. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 18:18:26 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208141018.1ce78847@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132791

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d4f28f7.19679407@news.cet.com>...
> In <c5ecbb69.0208051717.328c1ec0@posting.google.com>,
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >> > Such ideas lead to legal CBers being unwilling to even consider
> >> > sorting out inteference problems as it cannot be their system at
> >> > fault... or so they think.
> >>
> >> All the more reason NOT to run illegally. If a LEGAL CB rig can
> >> interfere, adding a "kicker" or operating "out of band" can only make
> >> things WORSE, don't you agree?
> >
> >No, I don't. Amplifiers, MAYBE, if operated improperly. But out of
> >band? There's simply no correlation. If a legal signal in the "legal
> >40" doesn't cause interference, why would it outside the "legal 40"?
> >You make no sense, Aanal Aaron. But that's exactly what we expect from
> >you.
>
> It's called 'harmonics'. If your radio is creating them and you are
> operating on the freeloader band, part of your signal will land right
> in the middle of a TV station.

If the rig is putting out 'harmonics', it wouldn't matter whether you
were out-of-band or on the "legal 40"; it would still put out
harmonics. Your point?

> <snip>
> >> My "mob" (?) wants to stop people thinking for themselves? Now I've
> >> heard it all.
> >
> >Doubtful. And "your mob" consists of two so far: Aanal Aaron and Yeti.
> >Some mob. I'm so scared!
>
> In case you didn't notice, 'mob' was brainbuster's word choice.
>
> > Neither of you has the cojones to post your
> >amateur callsign (maybe because neither of you has one?).
>
> I don't, but I will soon. And it will be posted here when I get it.
>
> > Ever think
> >that if you did have one and posted it, maybe people would take you
> >more seriously?

>
> Why should he think that? I use my real name yet you don't take -me-
> seriously, do you?

Your name is "Yeti"? Highly doubtful. But you're correct; I don't take you seriously. Any more than I take Aanal Aaron seriously; he's just plain wrong most of the time.

>
> Well, since you don't understand the basics of harmonic interference
> and band allocations, it appears that even if he -was- implying that
> radios are capable of rational thought, they are certainly more
> capable at it than you.

See above.

> >Where's the guy with the 2x4? WHAP!
>
> Gee, a violent reaction to frustration. I hope you don't have kids.

I'm not frustrated, but Aanal Aaron must be. He keeps getting shot down on his "crusade" against so-called illegal 10-meter radios! Must be tough being a moron, don't ya think?

3764. Re: SSB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 19:09:15 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ajed1pbcg2@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 132793

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:z2V39.521$Ke2.36300@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
> news:ukvved5j6t0q04@corp.supernews.com...
> > Yet if it was a properly operating SSB then two conversations could be
> > occuring on the same channel. One on USB and one on LSB.
> >
> > So technically if no one was on AM on that channel you at least doubled
> > your availble space. Unfortunately most CB's arent setup that way.
> >
>
> You are thinking of ISB.
==

I think you misunderstood Packrat.
I don't believe that he meant one person using both sidebands at once...
while
he uses USB on 27.405, another group of people could be using LSB on that
frequency.
That way you get 80 conversations or groups on the 40 channels.

Regards,

Peter.

3765. Re: SSB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 19:09:17 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ajed1qbcg3@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 132794

"Peter V�lpel" <qro1750@compuserve.de> wrote in message
news:3D572029.4A41F28A@compuserve.de...
> Hi Dick,
>
> Here in Germany analog television generally is AM, interesting what you
> write about the SAP and stereo subcarriers. I do not know too much about
> our commercial systems but maybe it is likewise, I will find out
==

Here in the UK, we use AM for picture and FM for sound.
The colour system is PAL, I am sure you will tell us where that system
originated :~)

Our stereo radio system is intersting, with quite a mix...
The mono (left + right) signal is put on the carrier using FM, the
difference
(left - right) is then put through a balanced modulator giving a DSB signal
which is then frequency modulated onto the carrier.

Regards,

Peter.

3766. Re: more spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 19:09:20 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ajed1sbcg4@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 132795

"George Kinzer" <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:Brl69.10901$Ke2.945043@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> <snip>
==

Enough already. All the other copies of this are still on the servers.
To keep posting it just puts multiple copies on the servers, wasting
bandwidth, p*ss*ng people off and getting you killfiled.

It would be far better to post it once then wait a month before re-posting
it. That way would be replacing it as it disappears off some servers.

Regards,

Peter.

3767. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 20:06:35 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208141206.65eb7093@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132796

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<Y_t69.3017$Al3.116205770@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0208131525.2dff4825@posting.google.com...
> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
> news:<c9ceae5b.0208111703.486ca7a4@posting.google.com>...
> > > >Personally, I don't care if he freebands, that's his choice.
> > > >However, if he decides to wander into territory that others
> > > >(myself included) have earned the liberty to transmit on,
> > > >he's just begging for trouble.
> > >
> > > A number of Fixed/Mobile,Government, Research, and Commercial users
> > > also have been assigned "territory" that they have "earned". They have
> > > the liberty to transmit on their assigned frequencies at 26.1-26.965
> > > and 27.405-28.0. Or do you disagree with that?
> > >
> > > -Aaron-
> >
> > How did they EARN anything? They bought the frequencies from the FCC.
> > Earn? I don't think so. As usual you mix metaphors to suit yourself.
> > Try thinking, Aanal Aaron. I know it's difficult and might make your
> > head ache, but try it anyhow. Just once!
>
> I'll have to agree with Richard on this. When your
> a Ham, you have earned the right to transmit on your assigned
> bands. The freebands are in most part purchased and assigned
> to certain agency's, not a ham operator how took a test to
> talk on his frequency

OK, see what you're saying. You prefer to bootleg on the territory of
people who "bought" frequencies rather than "earned" them. But why is
that?

-Aaron-

3768. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 20:26:14 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208141226.577ad7c0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132797

"Jerry Oxendine" joxendi@bellsouth.net wrote:
>I'm gonna top post this simply to make the point that there
>are, indeed, certain "10 Meter" radios that are illegal to
>import. Period.

Hi Jerry, be aware of the intentional confusion being generated by certain individuals who point out the fact that a ham *could, under certain circumstances* legally transmit in accordance with Part 97 using one of these illegal "10 meter CB" radios.

-Aaron-

3769. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 20:43:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20791-3D5AC10C-37@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132799

JerryO wroe:
>Twistedhed,
It IS a fact that Riley's primary function is, as Legal Counsel for the Enforcement Bureau, primarily
concerned with the protection and the cleaning up of the Amateur Bands. Prior to his appointment,
it had become extremely difficult to find a place where there weren't whistlers, playing music, extremely racist remarks, filthy language, and every form of lawbreaker imaginable (sound familiar?). For years ARRL and individual hams had been begging FCC to come back to enforce- ment. It was this urging, finally heard loudly and clearly, that brought Mr. Hollingsworth to the fore-
front. There will always be a few who will test the limits, Amateur and CBer, just to see what they
can get away with, but I no longer hear the extremes I was hearing 3 years ago. <<

No disagreement with any of that as I don't really concern myself with amateur radio that much. I can sit here and "war story" as some prefer the olden days but I don't see the relation to cb.
Nevertheless, I am happy for you that enforcement is taking place where it should and that your bands are being cleaned up of all the problems you say existed there.

>Even then, these
clucks were in the minority. Taken on the whole, if a "survey" could be taken (which is impossible)
on the relative numbers of CBers and hams that break the rules governing the respective services,
those on CB would "win" in terms of numbers of people who "stretch" the rules.<

An opinion based on personal observations is always refreshing, as long as the speaker recognizes it as such.

> Given, hams have more leeway on amps and frequencies, but, again, the relative numbers of lawbreakers on the ham bands would pale in
comparison to those of the CB service. <<

You are speaking of stretching the rules... even giving you the benefit of the doubt with this particular subject, which I wouldn't wager on if I were a gambling man, the hammies apparently are presenting a much greater danger, if that word should be used at all, to WHATEVER mayhem you are trying to pin on cbers and absolve hammies of...long before cbers enter the picture,,,otherwise we would have a special liason to the FCC for cb enforcement. Ain't gonna happen, wouldn't be

prudent...priorities I tell ya'!

>I can also show you where I stated in various threads and newsgroups that CBers using illegal equipment are relatively safe while using it on the regular 40 channels.
<<

I've seen them.

>Who's going to measure or worry about a 40 watt radio being run cleanly on channel 19?<<

A licensed hammie who still enjoys the hell outta cb.
Your valiant efforts vanish when it comes to one of your own. When you speak of illegal equipment being used reasonably and responsibly (save the "oxymoron" call, Frank) and some non-enlightened no-code, or worse advanced hammie comes along and cries crocodile tears saying it can't be done or isn't right, you remain silent. It would appear to me that another hammie should know better, unlike the lowly and ignorant cber, and that when such behavior is exhibited and recognized by another hammie concerned with such things as pride and legality through knowledge, would assist the no/code or non-knowing hammie in his ignorant bliss.

> BUT! When those same people run
those radios behind linears and/or on the 10 meter band, that in itself brings immediate attention to
their operations and animosity from those who are licensed for that band. <<

Misdirected anger.
It ain't the cb regs here you seek or we wouldn't be still doing our thing with all this strict enforcement..

>Since I can name a couple of CBers who've been hit with fines for amps fairly recently (they were running in the regular 40), I can't say what *other* people (Mr. Solomon?) have in mind WRT CB enforcement. <<

And we can go back and forth and tit for tat, with ten or twenty to one, maybe even more, of enforcement actions against hammies.

>I DO
know, in spite of your protests to the contrary, that enforcement HAS increased WRT to truckers
and even to CBers on the 40 CH; all you gotta do is spend a bit of time on the FCC and ARRL
site to see it. <<

I never said it was nonexistent, Jerry, but it damn near ain't escalated and I still say if you run a reasonably clean station, don't be a jerk, stay out of the hammie bands and know what you're doing, you're pretty safe. Do some feel it worth the chance? Apparently millions (no facts, just a guess, but at the least..THOUSANDS) do on a daily basis, and you

must admit, it is to the extreme chagrin of certain hammies. Some are so pissed off at themseles for getting licenses 'cause they didn't research what it was before doing it and were extremely unhappy with the state of affairs and results they found among the hammie "brotherhood" (read: cliques) that they have nowhere to vent their anger excet at us that do successfully, what they only dream of..See, take the basic nocode for example. They can't enjoy the type DX we do the way we do it and they can't do it on cb or the freeband 'cause they have a license now and (gasp) their voice may be recognized and they may be turned in by one those self-appointed police patrols of the hammie bands that made them so damn jaded in the first place. See, it's so circular, but for some reasons, some of you hammies, such as yourself, fail, or are unable to separate hammie radio from cb in this forum. Check the archives and see who continually feels the need for attention, merit for their service, brings up hammmie radio, compares it, etc., etc.,....hammies.
It ain't cbers bringing up hammie radio in here and the cbers that are here aren't responsible for your hammie band intrusions, otherwise Hollingsworth's mighty sword would have slayed some dragons instead of lizards.

>Finally, another thing that hasn't been mentioned is that there are initiatives thru other avenues
to combat the outlaw operators. ARRL, for example, (I'm told) is working thru their Congressional
laison (sic) on several bills favorable to Amateur Radio; again, there are 'bugs" being put in con-gressional ears about the sales and use of those illegal radios. I know that individual hams are writing their Representatives (including me) asking for help in this matter.
<<

If you ain't been invited to a fundraiser dinner your scribe went in the trash and you received a token reply with a stamped sig. to put with your patches IF you were lucky.
In other words, unless you are a major player (contributor) your letter don't mean squat. It's not a democracy anymore Jer, they don't do things that way anymore. It's a money thing and it's not getting younger, we're getting older.

> Such actions could include the following: (bear in mind that these are just ideas being promoted, not actual pending
actions)
1) Amend the Local Enforcement Law to allow for DOT officers to inspect for illegal radios at
          state weigh stations (truckers). Yup,
you'd get a howl of protest from
the truckers!
<<

Not just the truckers. Where are the indiviual states gonna get the funds to open all the weigh stations they can't even afford to eep open now? Hell, it was only a few months ago that Arkansas shut down the

entire government becasue they were so damn broke. ARRL gonna hold a cookie sale? There is no "new" money, so in order for this to take place, the money must be taken from another group that it was earmarked for. Now THEY are gonna howl and you now have to convince the contingency your reasons for checking big trucks for illegal radios outweigh the new proposed public health center Senator Scumbag promised for his sister's illigitimate son's lover that is deathly ill with no health insurance in their hometown.

>2) Increase funding, if only temporarily, to FCC to permit the aggressive abatement of illegal oper-
ation on the Amateur bands--especially the intruders; RH already goes after the unruly "ham-
mies". <<

Again, there is no "new" money without raising taxes. Your only solution is to take it from another earmarked faction or fundraise or donations.

>3) Bring back, or even create, a CB enforcement task force similar to that of Amateur Radio
headed up by a "Special Counsel" similar to the position held by Hollingsworth. <<

$$$$
And dont forget..... the hammies that feel cb is a detriment to THEIR hobby are in the mionority..even among hammies.
Now put that scale against the rest of the world and no one gives a damn about cb, except a few hammies with too much time on their hands and those, such as yourself, that have good reason to act vigilant WHEN it affects you.

>4) Perhaps the "sunsetting" of 27 MHZ CB in 5-8 years. Some are arguing that CB on 27 MHZ
has outlived its usefulness because, a) it can't expand where it is, b) technology has outstripped
CB where it is, c) because of the restrictions on it, said technologies can't be applied to it, d)
encouraging the use of MURS and FRS in its place would greatly abate the interference to ad-
jacent services as well as to its own users. <<

Funny. It really has outlived it's usefulness, but so has hammie radio. today's technology really does make them oth hobby services nothing more...yea I know all about the"powers gone, all we have left are hammies" scenarios. These are exceptions. not rules.
Same could be said for react.

>This is the least politically "easy" alternative.
There are ways to get FCC to aggressively enforce its own rules. That is thru Congress itself. <<

Bingo!

>It is
how the Local Enforcement thing got done, although, typical of Congress,
they did it "bass ackwards". With the right guidance (ARRL), they could
be persuaded to enable their own agency
whose job it is to start with to make the Amateur bands free from
rampant interference.
73
Jerry<<

Free from interference would be nice, but I'd prefer they (the feds)
concentrate on the dirty criminal ways of the phone companies rather
than piss with radio hobbyists. After all, it's your dime, and mine,
that the phone, cable and radio companies are stealing and getting away
with.

3770. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 20:50:49 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020814165049.19432.00000349@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 132798

Thanks for the tipTom, I will have to try that freq. tonight.Your the best Tom!

3771. Re: SSB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 21:30:50 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <e_z69.12380$Ep6.982772@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132800

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:ajed1pbcg2@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk...
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:z2V39.521$Ke2.36300@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
> > news:ukvved5j6t0q04@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Yet if it was a properly operating SSB then two conversations could
be
> > > occuring on the same channel. One on USB and one on LSB.
> > >
> > > So technically if no one was on AM on that channel you at least
doubled
> > > your availble space. Unfortunately most CB's arent setup that way.
> > >
> >
> > You are thinking of ISB.
> ==
>
> I think you misunderstood Packrat.
> I don't believe that he meant one person using both sidebands at once...
> while
> he uses USB on 27.405, another group of people could be using LSB on
that
> frequency.
> That way you get 80 conversations or groups on the 40 channels.
>
>
>
> Regards,
>
> Peter.
>
>

While it is theoretically possible to do that given that most SSB filters will start chopping at about 300 Hz so the two sidebands are 0.6 kHz apart, I am not sure that having a second signal that close will not have some really bad effects on the usual run of CB SSB receivers. Seems to me to make more sense to simply slide up 5kHz. And suppressed does not mean eliminated.

Even the military does not have that much courage.

3772. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 21:32:19 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <D%z69.12383$Ep6.982961@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132801

It appears I should ignore the voices late at nite. You are correct

"Peter V�lpel" <qro1750@compuserve.de> wrote in message
news:3D5A3C17.6CED2F2D@compuserve.de...
> Tubes of the 4CX250 family are indirectly heated.
> Even with drect heated tubes it would be no problem, as long as the
> heater winding of the transformer is not grounded on one side, but
> floating
>
> Peter
>
> Richard McCollum schrieb:
> >
> > "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:j9h69.10466$Ke2.911800@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > These tubes have directly-heated cathodes. How can you operate the
> > cathode above DC ground?
> >
> > Should learn after all these years to listen to little voices telling
me
> > something is weird.

3773. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 21:46:01 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <tcA69.12412$Ep6.984013@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132802

Anyone old enough to have seen the horizontal sweep tubes unsolder themselves from the pin would agree about current distribution. One of the reasons octal sockets disappeared.

Perhaps this too is a generational thing but operating a beam tetrode above chassis ground both DC and RF is asking for instability, not so much in AB1 but where grid current is drawn, been there, done that. And the books of the period advised against it so I did pretty well what I was told and lived to regret it when I did not.

I will assume that the units you mention are broadcast. I will take your word for it but paralleling tubes was not the usual practice in this part of the planet. Were I to do so I would probably try to keep the failures from being cascading and catastrophic and go this route as well but I might have not slept well at nite. Certainly the safety resistors are useful when it does take off for the trees.

Most of the Eimac tubes I dealt with were not all that skittish and were easy to tame. I still would not do it.

"Peter V�lpel" <qro1750@compuserve.de> wrote in message news:3D5A100D.46FAF5C0@compuserve.de...
> The ITT AM-6154 is using those safety resistors, they use 3 ea.
> The NVA KN-1E uses 5x 4CX250B in parallel and use cathode-resistors
> for current equalization.
> Why should this measure cause risk of parasitic?
> The reason for multiple pins is current distribution, not using
> only one small pin.
>
> Peter
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
>
>
> > All true but at the risk of some amazing parasitic problems. There
are
> > reasons why tubes like the 6146 have multiple cathode pins, all the >
better
> > to bolt the cathode firmly to chassis ground. Similarly the 3-500Z
has
> > four grid pins for the same reason. I have never seen an Eimac tube
in
> > commercial service run above ground. But then they do not generally
run
> > tubes in parallel either so it is a horse apiece.

>

3774. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 21:46:39 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <3dA69.2986$m91.93542@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132803

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:Y_t69.3017$Al3.116205770@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...

>The freebands are in most part purchased and assigned
>to certain agency's

So those that use it are stealing from people who have paid for it's use. if
thats not a criminal what is?

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

3775. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 21:50:41 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <RgA69.3042$m91.96876@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132804

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208141018.1ce78847@posting.google.com...
> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d4f28f7.19679407@news.cet.com>...
> > In <c5ecbb69.0208051717.328c1ec0@posting.google.com>,
> > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> >
>> > It's called 'harmonics'. If your radio is creating them and you are
> > operating on the freeloader band, part of your signal will land right
> > in the middle of a TV station.

> If the rig is putting out 'harmonics', it wouldn't matter whether you
> were out-of-band or on the "legal 40"; it would still put out
> harmonics. Your point?

He said "part of your signal will land right in the middle of a TV
station." To me that is his point need a clearer explanation?
If you are on the regular 40 it wouldn't fall smack dab in the middle of a
TV station was the point being made.
```

3776. Channel 2 (1st harmonic of 27 Mhz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 22:11:57 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <NAA69.84090$Yd.3985453@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 132805

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:RgA69.3042$m91.96876@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0208141018.1ce78847@posting.google.com...
> > yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
> news:<3d4f28f7.19679407@news.cet.com>...
> > > In <c5ecbb69.0208051717.328c1ec0@posting.google.com>,
> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> > >
> >> > It's called 'harmonics'. If your radio is creating them and you are
> > > operating on the freeloader band, part of your signal will land right
> > > in the middle of a TV station.
>
> > If the rig is putting out 'harmonics', it wouldn't matter whether you
> > were out-of-band or on the "legal 40"; it would still put out
> > harmonics. Your point?
>
>
> He said "part of your signal will land right in the middle of a TV
> station." To me that is his point need a clearer explanation?
> If you are on the regular 40 it wouldn't fall smack dab in the middle of a
> TV station was the point being made.
>
>
>
>

For channel 2:
The frequency of the video carrier is 1.25 MHz above the lower edge, so for channel 2 the video is at 55.25 MHz.

The color carrier is approx. 3.58 MHz above the _video_ carrier (N.B. not the lower edge), so for ch 2 it is 58.83 MHz.

The audio carrier is 4.5 MHz above the _video_ carrier, so for ch 2 it is 59.75 MHz.

3777. Re: SSB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 22:13:36 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <kCA69.12458$Ep6.986322@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132806

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:e_z69.12380$Ep6.982772@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
> news:ajed1pbcg2@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk...
> > "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:z2V39.521$Ke2.36300@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > >
> > > "Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
> > > news:ukvved5j6t0q04@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Yet if it was a properly operating SSB then two conversations
could
> be
> > > > occuring on the same channel. One on USB and one on LSB.
> > > >
> > > > So technically if no one was on AM on that channel you at least
> doubled
> > > > your availble space. Unfortunately most CB's arent setup that
way.
> > > >
> > >
> > > You are thinking of ISB.
> > ==
> >
> > I think you misunderstood Packrat.
> > I don't believe that he meant one person using both sidebands at
once...
> > while
> > he uses USB on 27.405, another group of people could be using LSB on
> that
> > frequency.
> > That way you get 80 conversations or groups on the 40 channels.
> >
> >
> >
> > Regards,
> >
> > Peter.

A post from the shortwave group I found informative.

*****
No amount of tuning accuracy will fix that problem, because carrier
re-instertion frequency isn't the problem. With SSB, there is no
information avaliable to correctly establish the phase relationship

between the carrier and the sidebands. This is the reason a Synch detector is attractive. It locks onto what is left of the carrier, so the phase relationship is preserved.

Some level of phase distortion is inherent in SSB reception. There just isn't any way to avoid it.

There are SSB schemes to avoid the problem. The Phone company used to use to do it , which is to put different conversations on upper and lower sidebands, and generate them with two carriers at the same frequency, but at quardarture. It then becomes possible to determine the phase relationship because effectively you have two signals at quadrature, so the time average product of the two when the carrier is reinserted at the correct phase is ZERO. The integral of sin(theta) x cos(theta) is always ZERO.

3778. Re: Bias Voltage for 4CX350A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 22:18:46 UTC
From: Peter Völpel <qro1750@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <3D5AD746.E9AC2DA3@compuserve.de>
Source record: 132807

Right Richard,

What I remember most with my homebrew sweeptube linears (PL519)
are the flying anodecaps...

With 3-500Z a common fault is desoldering of the heaters.

With cathode resistors I do not see the point for instability, it is
also some negative feedback which in fact lowers gain.
On multiple parallel tubes this safety resistors is also fine for
measuring cathode-current of the individuals.

The amplifiers I mentioned in my last mail were commercial.
The ITT one for UHF/VHF and the NVA for the Army in GDR.
There was also a swedish made 4-holer in use for the marine.
Checked also the manual I have from a Telefunken amplifier
with 3x 4CX5000A for point to point HF communications, they also
have small resistors from the heater/katode to ground for
measurement-purpose

Peter

Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> Anyone old enough to have seen the horizontal sweep tubes unsolder
> themselves from the pin would agree about current distribution. One of
> the reasons octal sockets disappeared.
>
> Perhaps this too is a generational thing but operating a beam tetrode
> above chassis ground both DC and RF is asking for instability, not so much
> in AB1 but where grid current is drawn, been there, done that. And the
> books of the period advised against it so I did pretty well what I was
> told and lived to regret it when I did not.
>
> I will assume that the units you mention are broadcast. I will take your
> word for it but paralleling tubes was not the usual practice in this part
> of the planet. Were I to do so I would probably try to keep the failures
> from being cascading and catastrophic and go this route as well but I
> might have not slept well at nite. Certainly the safety resistors are
> useful when it does take off for the trees.
>
> Most of the Eimac tubes I dealt with were not all that skittish and were
> easy to tame. I still would not do it.
>
> "Peter Vï¿½lpel" <qro1750@compuserve.de> wrote in message
> news:3D5A100D.46FAF5C0@compuserve.de...

> > The ITT AM-6154 is using those safety resistors, they use 3 ea.
> > The NVA KN-1E uses 5x 4CX250B in parallel and use cathode-resistors
> > for current equalization.
> > Why should this measure cause risk of parasitic?
> > The reason for multiple pins is current distribution, not using
> > only one small pin.
> >
> > Peter
> >
> > Richard McCollum wrote:
> >
> >
> > > All true but at the risk of some amazing parasitic problems. There
> are
> > > reasons why tubes like the 6146 have multiple cathode pins, all the >
> better
> > > to bolt the cathode firmly to chassis ground. Similarly the 3-500Z
> has
> > > four grid pins for the same reason. I have never seen an Eimac tube
> in
> > > commercial service run above ground. But then they do not generally
> run
> > > tubes in parallel either so it is a horse apiece.
> >

3779. Re: Channel 2 (1st harmonic of 27 Mhz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 22:40:38 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <G%A69.2891$2p2.126329@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132808

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:NAA69.84090$Yd.3985453@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> news:RgA69.3042$m91.96876@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >
> >
> > --
> > Try me I'll Make you Famous
> > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:c5ecbb69.0208141018.1ce78847@posting.google.com...
> > > yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
> > news:<3d4f28f7.19679407@news.cet.com>...
> > > > > In <c5ecbb69.0208051717.328c1ec0@posting.google.com>,
> > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> > > > >
> > >> > It's called 'harmonics'. If your radio is creating them and you are
> > > > > operating on the freeloader band, part of your signal will land
right
> > > > > in the middle of a TV station.
> > >
> > > > If the rig is putting out 'harmonics', it wouldn't matter whether you
> > > > were out-of-band or on the "legal 40"; it would still put out
> > > > harmonics. Your point?
> > >
> > >
> > > He said "part of your signal will land right in the middle of a TV
> > > station." To me that is his point need a clearer explanation?
> > > If you are on the regular 40 it wouldn't fall smack dab in the middle of
a
> > > TV station was the point being made.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
> For channel 2:
> The frequency of the video carrier is 1.25 MHz above the lower edge, so
for
> channel 2 the video is at 55.25 MHz.
>
> The color carrier is approx. 3.58 MHz above the _video_ carrier (N.B. not
```

> the lower edge), so for ch 2 it is 58.83 MHz.
>
> The audio carrier is 4.5 MHz above the _video_ carrier, so for ch 2 it is
> 59.75 MHz.

Thanks Randy your post just proves the point. 55.25 Mhz /2 = 27.625 smack in the middle of freeband.
109.25 Mhz /4 = 27.3125 Mhz 83.25 / 3 = 27.750 Mhz

Chan Mhz Mhz Mhz Band

CATV 2 02 55.25 55.25 54.00 LO
AIR 6 83.25 VHF-LO
CATV A-2 98 109.25 108.00 109.25 MID

In the US, a TV channel is a 6 MHz wide chunk of bandspace. The bottom edge of the over-the-air channel 2 is 54 MHz and the upper edge is 60 MHz. Within this 6 MHz space is a video carrier, a color carrier, and an audio carrier.CATV has channels with frequencies below channel 2.

3780. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 22:43:44 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208141443.8314f94@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132809

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:<B3y59.138308$yc3.5937515@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "SideBand" <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
> news:3D56A363.8060408@csotbs.org...
> > Why's that funny? I post my call every time I post. What's the big deal
> > about it? If you have a callsign, how does that take away from your
> > enjoyment of the CB hobby?
> >
> > Really.. Grow the fuck up.
> >
> > -SSB
>
> Are you talking to Mopar or Me? Really though what is the purpose of
> posting your call though in any NG other than a amatuer NG. whats the
> point really?

It's "amateur", dumb@$$ Don't you know anything??

>
> > Me wrote:
> >
> > > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020806222844.335$6D@newsreader.com...
> > >
> > >>"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
> > >>
> > >>>>Ok so what is your call sign so perhaps we can take you alittle more
> > >>>>
> > >>>serious
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>That shit's funny!
> > >>
> > >
> > > Your right asking, it is funny he is asking someone to post there call
> in a
> > > CB NG.

What, you never had a CB license? I did, now I have a ham license.

What do YOU have? Nothing!

3781. ROTOR FOR SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 22:45:46 UTC
From: Michael Caplan <crabcake11@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <u4B69.3615$m91.134646@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132810

Ham-3 rotor, in mint condition, barely used, with control box, cable, quik connect installed, rotor plate from a Rohn-25g tower, extra bulbs for control box lite, plus a Workman 5kw swr-mod-power meter (3 meters), plus a 3 position coax switch, all in mint condition, shipped overnite to your door for $300. all less than 2 yrs old, clean & working perfectly. rotor was purchased from the Rotor Doctor a year and a half ago, still have receipt. email me for questions, etc. crabcake11@comcast.net

3782. Re: Channel 2 (1st harmonic of 27 Mhz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 22:49:10 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <G7B69.84096$Yd.3991494@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 132811

```
"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:G%A69.2891$2p2.126329@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:NAA69.84090$Yd.3985453@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> > news:RgA69.3042$m91.96876@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Try me I'll Make you Famous
> > > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:c5ecbb69.0208141018.1ce78847@posting.google.com...
> > > > yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
> > > news:<3d4f28f7.19679407@news.cet.com>...
> > > > > In <c5ecbb69.0208051717.328c1ec0@posting.google.com>,
> > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> > > > >
> > > >> > It's called 'harmonics'. If your radio is creating them and you
are
> > > > > operating on the freeloader band, part of your signal will land
> right
> > > > > in the middle of a TV station.
> > >
> > > > If the rig is putting out 'harmonics', it wouldn't matter whether
you
> > > > were out-of-band or on the "legal 40"; it would still put out
> > > > harmonics. Your point?
> > >
> > >
> > > He said "part of your signal will land right in the middle of a TV
> > > station." To me that is his point need a clearer explanation?
> > > If you are on the regular 40 it wouldn't fall smack dab in the middle
of
> a
> > > TV station was the point being made.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
```

> >
> > For channel 2:
> > The frequency of the video carrier is 1.25 MHz above the lower edge, so
> for
> > channel 2 the video is at 55.25 MHz.
> >
> > The color carrier is approx. 3.58 MHz above the _video_ carrier (N.B.
not
> > the lower edge), so for ch 2 it is 58.83 MHz.
> >
> > The audio carrier is 4.5 MHz above the _video_ carrier, so for ch 2 it
is
> > 59.75 MHz.
>
>
>
> Thanks Randy your post just proves the point. 55.25 Mhz /2 = 27.625 smack
in
> the middle of freeband.
> 109.25 Mhz /4 = 27.3125 Mhz 83.25 / 3 = 27.750 Mhz
>
> Chan Mhz Mhz Mhz Band
>
> CATV 2 02 55.25 55.25 54.00 LO
> AIR 6 83.25 VHF-LO
> CATV A-2 98 109.25 108.00 109.25 MID
>
>
>
> In the US, a TV channel is a 6 MHz wide chunk of bandspace. The bottom
edge
> of the over-the-air channel 2 is 54 MHz and the upper edge is 60 MHz.
Within
> this 6 MHz space is a video carrier, a color carrier, and an audio
> carrier.CATV has channels with frequencies below channel 2.
>
>

It's just info George, nothing more or less. The only real problem I see with the thread concerns amplifiers. One that amplifies at 27 MHz most likely wont do squat at 55.

3783. UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 22:51:49 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <9aB69.8834$SS.178754@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132812

FCC CONSUMER ALERT
Federal Communications Commission
Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau
445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554
1-888-CALL-FCC (voice)
1-888-835-5322 (TTY)
www.fcc.gov/cgb

UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

The Federal Communications Commission receives hundreds of complaints about alleged illegal (non-FCC certified) equipment being offered for sale on the Internet or in publications. The Commission is monitoring this situation carefully and requests your assistance. If you have any information about illegal or uncertified equipment being offered for sale, please forward it to the FCC. Useful information for the FCC includes:

* An original advertisement or Web address where the illegal equipment is being advertised or offered for sale with the types of equipment about which the allegations are being made, highlighted.

* If it is a Web-auction we will need the name of the auction site, the exact item number, and auction opening and closing dates.

* Name and address of the individual or business offering the item(s) for sale.

* Complainant's name and telephone number in the event follow-up is necessary. This information can remain confidential, if requested.

* Specifically, why you think the equipment is not in compliance with FCC rules.

* Number of pieces or types of equipment about which the allegations are being made (one piece, five pieces, how many models, etc.).

Information can be mailed to the FCC at the address below or e-mailed to fccinfo@fcc.gov.

###
To receive information on this and other FCC consumer topics through the Commission's electronic subscriber service, click on
www.fcc.gov/cgb/emailservice.html

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

3784. Re: Channel 2 (1st harmonic of 27 Mhz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 23:10:33 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D5AE36D.CFF2FEC6@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132813

> In the US, a TV channel is a 6 MHz wide chunk of bandspace. The bottom edge
> of the over-the-air channel 2 is 54 MHz and the upper edge is 60 MHz. Within
> this 6 MHz space is a video carrier, a color carrier, and an audio
> carrier.CATV has channels with frequencies below channel 2.

And 146.76 will land you right in the middle of CATV 18. I've heard many
hams come down to CB and complain that their neighbor is bitching about
their voice coming through ESPN.

3785. Re: Channel 2 (1st harmonic of 27 Mhz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-14 23:45:06 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6YB69.12561$Ep6.995945@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132814

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:G7B69.84096$Yd.3991494@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> It's just info George, nothing more or less. The only real problem I see
> with the thread concerns amplifiers. One that amplifies at 27 MHz most
> likely wont do squat at 55.
>
>

It most certainly will! There is no such thing as a perfect linear amp and a goodly number are non-linear by design, accident, or stupidity.

Back when dinosaurs roamed the earth, a Frenchman named Fourier (foy-ea) proved that every waveform could be expressed as a series composed of a sine curve and its harmonics in varying proportions. The backside of this is that any distortion of a sine wave in an amp WILL generate harmonics, get used to it.

These can be suppressed by a number of techniques. The pi-section beloved of amp builders is a low pass filter, it tends to whack freqs above its tuned freq. In days of old, an air transformer (usually a link in mid-tank coil) was the usual approach and were often tuned. Where broadband transformers are used, the usual approach is a trap set which is generally non-existent in CB amps and are clipped out of the exciter to get more meter power. Lots of other approaches to suppress the inevitable.

This is incidentally why the Marine and Ham bands were set up in harmonic relationships; kept the crap at home. This has been modified over the years.

It is not necessary for the harmonic of the carrier to be on a TV freq. The AM sidebands go out to a good part of the channel and in fact the lower sideband is sawed off to fit. And even by being near, it will end up in the TV receiver passband with unpredictable results.

Dick N&#65533;BK

3786. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 00:00:05 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020814200005.14983.00000336@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 132815

No matter what you do the radio's and amps will always be there.If there is a demand there will be a supply.It's the american way.You got to love america!Freedom of choice!

3787. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 00:01:58 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <WbC69.3458$2p2.177961@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132816

US Customs

US Customs

27.575 Surveillance (low-power)
27.585 Surveillance (low-power)

27.4300 27.5300 NFM 20.000 Business Radio Service

CHANNELS REPORTED IN USE BY FEMA

27.6500 HF (LOW)
27.8500 HF (LOW)
27.9000 HF (LOW)

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208131525.2dff4825@posting.google.com...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208111703.486ca7a4@posting.google.com>...
> > SideBand abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org wrote:
> > >
> > >If he uses 10M frequencies, he'll piss off alot of HAMs in
> > >his area, and will get caught.
> > >
> > >If he freebands, he may or may not get caught.
> >
> > So you are advising him to obey the law in places where there is a
> > high chance of getting caught, but to take a risk in places where
> > there is a low chance of getting caught. Do you agree with that?
>
> You're saying you never speed if there's little chance you'll get
> caught? Remember, autmobiles can KILL people. Be honest now.
>
> > >Personally, I don't care if he freebands, that's his choice.
> > >However, if he decides to wander into territory that others
> > >(myself included) have earned the liberty to transmit on,
> > >he's just begging for trouble.
> >
> > A number of Fixed/Mobile,Government, Research, and Commercial users
> > also have been assigned "territory" that they have "earned". They have

> > the liberty to transmit on their assigned frequencies at 26.1-26.965
> > and 27.405-28.0. Or do you disagree with that?
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> How did they EARN anything? They bought the frequencies from the FCC.
> Earn? I don't think so. As usual you mix metaphors to suit yourself.
> Try thinking, Aanal Aaron. I know it's difficult and might make your
> head ache, but try it anyhow. Just once!

3788. Re: SSB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 00:10:05 UTC
From: BobC <123@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ulls9s8rfh1k61@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132817

I imagine that simultaneous conversations are possible between USB and LSB centered on the same channel.

The problem being that there will be interference between the stronger stations due to phase noises and spectral width of each station.

I believe there are now digital filters that could remove such artifacts as long as they are really outside each "channel". If the phase noise and voice spectrum greatly occupy the next "channel" then no amount of filtering will eliminate it. There is a however to this. If you're willing to sacrifice some fidelity in the process then you can narrow or shift one side of the filters' response and regain communication.

3789. Re: UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 00:25:09 UTC
From: CIPA <Fecal>
Message-ID: <ullshm9h93uf07@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132818

Here's another FACTOID - Maybe 'SOMEONE' can pick up on this cause also.

The Children's Internet Protection Act (CIPA) is a federal law enacted by Congress in December 2000 to address concerns about access in schools and libraries to the Internet and other information. In early 2001, Federal Communications Commission (FCC) issued rules to ensure that CIPA is carried out.

What CIPA Requires

1.. Under CIPA, schools and libraries do not receive the discounts offered by the "E-Rate" program (telephone discounts that make access to the Internet affordable to schools and libraries) unless they certify that they have certain Internet safety measures in place. These include: measures to block or filter pictures that (a) are obscene, (b) contain child pornography, or (c) when computers with Internet access are used by minors, harmful to minors.

2.. CIPA requires schools to adopt a policy to monitor online activities of minors; and

3.. CIPA requires schools and libraries to adopt a policy addressing: (a) access by minors to inappropriate matter on the Internet and World Wide Web; (b) the safety and security of minors when using electronic mail, chat rooms, and other forms of direct electronic communications; (c) unauthorized access, including so-called "hacking," and other unlawful activities by minors online; (d) unauthorized disclosure, use, and dissemination of personal information regarding minors; and (e) restricting minors' access to materials harmful to them. CIPA does not require the tracking of Internet use by minors or adults.

For the school year July 2001 through June 2002, schools and libraries must certify that they have their safety policies and technology in place, or that they are taking the necessary actions to put them in place before receiving E-rate funding for the next school year. After that, participating schools and libraries must have filtering in place.

For further information on CIPA or to apply for Universal Service, contact the Universal Service Administrative Company (USAC) Schools and Libraries Division (SLD) at www.sl.universalservice.org. SLD also operates a client service bureau to answer questions at 1-888-203-8100.

To receive information on this and other FCC consumer topics through the Commission's electronic subscriber service, click on www.fcc.gov/cgb/emailservice.html.

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:9aB69.8834$SS.178754@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> FCC CONSUMER ALERT
> Federal Communications Commission
> Consumer and Governmental Affairs Bureau
> 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554
> 1-888-CALL-FCC (voice)
> 1-888-835-5322 (TTY)
> www.fcc.gov/cgb
>
> UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS
>
> The Federal Communications Commission receives hundreds of complaints
about
> alleged illegal (non-FCC certified) equipment being offered for sale on
the
> Internet or in publications. The Commission is monitoring this situation
> carefully and requests your assistance. If you have any information about
> illegal or uncertified equipment being offered for sale, please forward it
> to the FCC. Useful information for the FCC includes:
>
> * An original advertisement or Web address where the illegal equipment is
> being advertised or offered for sale with the types of equipment about
which
> the allegations are being made, highlighted.
>
> * If it is a Web-auction we will need the name of the auction site, the
> exact item number, and auction opening and closing dates.
>
> * Name and address of the individual or business offering the item(s) for
> sale.
>
> * Complainant's name and telephone number in the event follow-up is
> necessary. This information can remain confidential, if requested.
>
> * Specifically, why you think the equipment is not in compliance with FCC
> rules.
>
> * Number of pieces or types of equipment about which the allegations are
> being made (one piece, five pieces, how many models, etc.).
>
> Information can be mailed to the FCC at the address below or e-mailed to
> fccinfo@fcc.gov.
>
>
> ###
> To receive information on this and other FCC consumer topics through the
> Commission's electronic subscriber service, click on
> www.fcc.gov/cgb/emailservice.html
>

>
>
>
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>
>

3790. Re: Channel 2 (1st harmonic of 27 Mhz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 00:31:12 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <kDC69.84129$Yd.4010699@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 132819

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:6YB69.12561$Ep6.995945@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:G7B69.84096$Yd.3991494@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > It's just info George, nothing more or less. The only real problem I see
> > with the thread concerns amplifiers. One that amplifies at 27 MHz most
> > likely wont do squat at 55.
> >
> >
>
> It most certainly will! There is no such thing as a perfect linear amp and
> a goodly number are non-linear by design, accident, or stupidity.
>
> Back when dinosaurs roamed the earth, a Frenchman named Fourier (foy-ea)
> proved that every waveform could be expressed as a series composed of a
> sine curve and its harmonics in varying proportions. The backside of this
> is that any distortion of a sine wave in an amp WILL generate harmonics,
> get used to it.
>
> These can be suppressed by a number of techniques. The pi-section beloved
> of amp builders is a low pass filter, it tends to whack freqs above its
> tuned freq. In days of old, an air transformer (usually a link in
> mid-tank coil) was the usual approach and were often tuned. Where
> broadband transformers are used, the usual approach is a trap set which is
> generally non-existent in CB amps and are clipped out of the exciter to
> get more meter power. Lots of other approaches to suppress the
> inevitable.
>
> This is incidentally why the Marine and Ham bands were set up in harmonic
> relationships; kept the crap at home. This has been modified over the
> years.
>
> It is not necessary for the harmonic of the carrier to be on a TV freq.
> The AM sidebands go out to a good part of the channel and in fact the
> lower sideband is sawed off to fit. And even by being near, it will end
> up in the TV receiver passband with unpredictable results.
>
> Dick NBK
>
>

OK Dick. I was not talking about generating harmonics, I was talking about amplifying them. Two different animals.

3791. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 00:33:12 UTC
From: BobC <123@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ulltl8e9h85922@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132820

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:WbC69.3458$2p2.177961@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> US Customs
>
> ----------
--
> ----
>
> US Customs
> ----------
>
> 27.575 Surveillance (low-power)
> 27.585 Surveillance (low-power)
>
>
>
> 27.4300 27.5300 NFM 20.000 Business Radio Service
>
> CHANNELS REPORTED IN USE BY FEMA
>
> 27.6500 HF (LOW)
> 27.8500 HF (LOW)
> 27.9000 HF (LOW)
>
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>
I haven't seen a customs agent use these frequencies in this country yet.
They don't have any equipment in their vehicles or bases for these freqs.
Must be a very old legacy allotment thing that has fallen by the wayside.

The FEMA freqs may or may not be in use. If they are in use its only because
their radios are programmed with these in a suitable channel search which
uses everything they were ever allotted at HF.

I've heard what I believe is a mix or a harmonic thing from an STL on the
27.430-27.530 freqs.but I don't believe they're using the freq. on purpose.

3792. Re: Cryin' over a Frequency Chart?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 00:41:16 UTC
From: ***** <noflaming@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <iNC69.9297$u7.737573@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 132821

I Stand Beside!!
What in the hell is your BEEF!!!

IT APPLIES ANYWHERE I AM!!
GET OVER IT , Commie Bastard!!!!
"Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Toh69.1626$983.2854@rwcrnsc53...
>
> ***** wrote:
>
> > I stand by "The Constitution Of The United States of America/ 1st
> > Amendment"!
> <snip>
> > You are violating my constitutional rights as a disabled American!!
> <snip>
>
>
> The First Amendment doesn't apply here. Never has and never will. Why do
so
> many people hide behind the Constitution and the Bill of Rights? Why do so
> many people do so in error?
>
>
> Dave
>
>

3793. Re: Cryin' over a Frequency Chart?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 00:46:18 UTC
From: ***** <noflaming@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <0SC69.9298$u7.737617@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 132822

Everyone HAS the RIGHT to FREE SPEECH!!!
What's gonna happen should this newsgroup get shut down?
You all gonna cryin because someone violated your rights as an American!!

I stand in front, behind and beside my Constitutional Rights!!

You must be one of those commie militias starting a front!!
Your groups make me barf on each and every one of you!!

Leave this country and take your communist attitude ELSEWHERE!!
AMERICA RULES!!!
Your kind drools.....like a baby!!!

"Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Toh69.1626$983.2854@rwcrnsc53...
>
> ***** wrote:
>
> > I stand by "The Constitution Of The United States of America/ 1st
> > Amendment"!
> <snip>
> > You are violating my constitutional rights as a disabled American!!
> <snip>
>
>
> The First Amendment doesn't apply here. Never has and never will. Why do
so
> many people hide behind the Constitution and the Bill of Rights? Why do so
> many people do so in error?
>
>
> Dave
>
>

3794. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 00:58:07 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208141658.43f81840@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132823

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<l3x69.3973$dr.52417@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> I'm gonna top post this simply to make the point that there are, indeed,
> certain "10 Meter" radios that are illegal to import. Period. See other
> comments interspersed.

Which is what I tried to convince Aanal Aaron of. Those existing
already are legal to use, sell and buy. IF you're licensed for their
use on ten meters. It has ALWAYS been "illegal" to modify them for use
on CB. That's OLD NEWS. So what?

> Richard Cranium <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0208070351.3cdc9abf@posting.google.com...
> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
> news:<c9ceae5b.0208061158.5e77ac5e@posting.google.com>...
> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
> news:<c5ecbb69.0208060449.1df53a44@posting.google.com>...
> > > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
> news:<c9ceae5b.0208051145.dd61ed0@posting.google.com>...
> > > > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>
> > > > > >
> > > > > >The Notice you posted simply states that further "importing and
> > > > > >marketing" of new radios is prohibited. NOWHERE does it say
> > > > > >that all the existing radios, brought in before the Notice, are
> > > > > >suddenly "illegal". They couldn't (and didn't) do that with
> > > > > >cell-capable scanners and they can't (and won't) do that with
> > > > > >10 metre radios. Simply put, they cannot make a change like that
> > > > > >retroactive.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Sorry, but you still must have your brain on the chair as a hemeroid
> > > > cushion. You have posted a FCC Notice which you do not
> > > > understand, and
>
> > > > Existing ten meter radios are perfectly legal to own, use, and
> > > > even sell to another party.
>
> But they have been made illegal current importation.

That does NOT make existing radios somehow "illegal", as Aanal Aaron
has stated.

> > >
> > > Wrong. There's something called "type acceptance" for CB equipment.
> > > The phoney 10 meter radios (old or new) are not type accepted for use
> > > on CB. That means they are illegal for use.
> >
> > Cute little tap dance, Aanal Aaron, but your tu-tu is ripped in the
> > backside. What you call "phoney 10 meter radios" are not "phoney" at
> > all; they are perfectly legal for use on (take a deep breath): 10
> > meters. And, if modified, on 12 meters as well. Why do you think
> > they're called 10 meter radios?

3795. Re: running my own cable on a volvo tractor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 01:06:35 UTC
From: Carl <vortec02@msn.com>
Message-ID: <#yE$ZEMRCHA.1872@cpimsnntpa03>
Source record: 132981

"John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:ajhg9l031bi@enews4.newsguy.com...
> I drive a 95 white gmc volvo. I was thinking of running my own cable out
to
> the antennas. Primarily due to the inherent fact that factory cabling
sucks.
> Instead of doing the micky mouse wiring job they do, which you can't
> duplicate anyway, I was thinking of running the cable through the rubber
> boot where the steering mechanism goes through the firewall.
> Single line from the radio through the wall, then a T-connector outside
the
> wall.
>
> Any comments on the idea?
>
> yes the truck has to be black in color not white!>
>

3796. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 01:10:02 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208141710.5e9a32d8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132824

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<3Jv69.550$EP2.57714@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>...
> Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:16380-3D5922CD-56@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>
> <<snipped for brevity>>
> >
> > And as I have stated, I support you 100% in all your endeavors
> > concerning illegal intruders on the hammie bands, but you claim you are
> > hunting freebanders in another thread.
> > Anyways, I can almost sympathize, after all, you aren't getting much
> > help from uncle Sam or anyone else.
>
>
> Twistedhed,
>
> It IS a fact that Riley's primary function is, as Legal Counsel for the
> Enforcement Bureau, primarily
> concerned with the protection and the cleaning up of the Amateur Bands.
> Prior to his appointment,
> it had become extremely difficult to find a place where there weren't
> whistlers, playing music, extremely racist remarks, filthy language, and
> every form of lawbreaker imaginable (sound familiar?). For years ARRL and
> individual hams had been begging FCC to come back to enforce-
> ment. It was this urging, finally heard loudly and clearly, that brought
> Mr. Hollingsworth to the fore-
> front. There will always be a few who will test the limits, Amateur and
> CBer, just to see what they
> can get away with, but I no longer hear the extremes I was hearing 3 years
> ago. Even then, these
> clucks were in the minority. Taken on the whole, if a "survey" could be
> taken (which is impossible)
> on the relative numbers of CBers and hams that break the rules governing the
> respective services,
> those on CB would "win" in terms of numbers of people who "stretch" the
> rules. Given, hams have more leeway on amps and frequencies, but, again,
> the relative numbers of lawbreakers on the ham
> bands would pale in comparison to those of the CB service.

They would in any event, since there are many more CBers than there
are licensed amateur operators. Geez, it don't take rocket science to
figger that out!

> I can also show you where I stated in various threads and newsgroups that
> CBers using illegal equipment are relatively safe while using it on the

> regular 40 channels. Who's going to measure or
> worry about a 40 watt radio being run cleanly on channel 19? BUT! When
> those same people run
> those radios behind linears and/or on the 10 meter band, that in itself
> brings immediate attention to
> their operations and animosity from those who are licensed for that band.
> Since I can name a couple of CBers who've been hit with fines for amps
> fairly recently (they were running in the regular
> 40), I can't say what *other* people (Mr. Solomon?) have in mind WRT CB
> enforcement. I DO
> know, in spite of your protests to the contrary, that enforcement HAS
> increased WRT to truckers
> and even to CBers on the 40 CH; all you gotta do is spend a bit of time on
> the FCC and ARRL
> site to see it.

What does the ARRL have to say about CB? Nothing that's important.
Same as you and Aanal Aaron.

> Finally, another thing that hasn't been mentioned is that there are
> initiatives thru other avenues
> to combat the outlaw operators. ARRL, for example, (I'm told) is working
> thru their Congressional
> laison (sic) on several bills favorable to Amateur Radio; again, there are
> 'bugs" being put in con-gressional ears about the sales and use of those
> illegal radios. I know that individual hams are writing their
> Representatives (including me) asking for help in this matter. Such actions
> could include the following: (bear in mind that these are just ideas being
> promoted, not actual pending
> actions)
>
> 1) Amend the Local Enforcement Law to allow for DOT officers to inspect for
> illegal radios at
> state weigh stations (truckers). Yup, you'd get a howl of protest from
> the truckers!

DOT has no authority to inspect radios.

> 2) Increase funding, if only temporarily, to FCC to permit the aggressive
> abatement of illegal oper-
> ation on the Amateur bands--especially the intruders; RH already goes
> after the unruly "ham-
> mies".

Sorry, Hollingsworth has already stated that the FCC has spent their
allotment for the next year. There is no justifiable reason to
increase their waste of money.

> 3) Bring back, or even create, a CB enforcement task force similar to that
> of Amateur Radio
> headed up by a "Special Counsel" similar to the position held by
> Hollingsworth.

He's been pretty ineffective so far; one or two BIG PR busts, and
that's it. What would change? Or do YOU want the job?

> 4) Perhaps the "sunsetting" of 27 MHZ CB in 5-8 years. Some are arguing
> that CB on 27 MHZ
> has outlived its usefulness because, a) it can't expand where it is,

But it can! Nobody is using the allocations above and below CB;
re-allocate those frequencies to CB.

b)
> technology has outstripped
> CB where it is, c) because of the restrictions on it, said technologies
> can't be applied to it,

So change the law; allow FM and packet. That's so easy it's almost
stupid!

d)
> encouraging the use of MURS and FRS in its place would greatly abate
> the interference to ad-
> jacent services as well as to its own users. This is the least
> politically "easy" alternative.

NEVER HAPPEN. How do you take away 30 million radios?

> There are ways to get FCC to aggressively enforce its own rules. That is
> thru Congress itself. It is
> how the Local Enforcement thing got done, although, typical of Congress,
> they did it "bass ackwards". With the right guidance (ARRL),

Oh yeah; the ARRL epresents less than 1/4th of all licensed amateurs
and they can't even keep the ham bands clean. THEY'RE gonna clean up
CB? You gotta be kidding!!!

they could be
> persuaded to enable their own agency
> whose job it is to start with to make the Amateur bands free from rampant
> interference.

Hasn't happened yet; what makes you think it ever will? They don't
even "police" the ham bands, with all the music being played and all
that. How about cleaning up your own bands before you worry about
something as innoculous as CB?

3797. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 01:12:02 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208141712.71cc8974@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132825

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208121520.5356ec47@posting.google.com>...
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<9184-3D57CD09-390@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> > Well, I must again conclude with JerryO's ascertations to a certain
> > extent. Hollingsworth is personable and very easy to get along with, but
> > let's get to the meat of the matter. It is not he alone that decides
> > what enforcement will be, or where. Matter of fact, he has way less
> > authority than is attributed him. The best word to describe his actual
> > day-to-day function is "liason."
> > He also agrees that with the suncycle on a downward shift, cb complaints
> > will remain basically what they have always been...a non-issue save for
> > safety and severe repeated interference complaints and those drop with
> > the skip conditions.
> > An anticipated return to what he believes is the loftiest goal before
> > the FCC concerning hobby radio service, enforcement of amateur related
> > activities, is his primary concern. They have more potential to do harm
> > and have always done so when compared to cb.
> > Concerning the truckstops selling cb, Jerry, I don't know where you
> > received your information but Mr. Hollingsworth claimed that even IF the
> > money was there to mount a campaign for what you claim, he would do his
> > best to find a better use for it...such as an EDUCATION blitz. The
> > MANDATORY initial certified warnings that are sent out to offenders are
> > paid for from the "educational" fund. Any step beyond that is an entire
> > different ballgame and is only one of many reasons for forfeiture and
> > fines...an attempt to recoup the dollar spent.
> > Cb has never been the concern to the FCC you have been putting forth,
> > Jerry. Hollingsworth actually spoke of the overzealousness that
> > self-consumes way too many hammies, and literally stated much of their
> > behavior is WORSE than the cb "problem" they are presenting to report.
> > He also has a sense of humor, shown by his statement that if the
> > frustration level of many hammies who bother the FCC with trivial
> > complaints concerning cb could be bottled and sold, the gases would be
> > toxic.
> > One last note...Jerry, you claimed a rise in enforcement "pretty
> > soon."................
> > If you know something he doesnt, give him a call and fill him in. Unless
> > a private large windfall of a donation is dropped in their lap earmarked
> > specifically for cb enforcement, the cash is not there for any raid-type
> > commando operations on truck stops nation wide. On the matter of public
> > record for disbursements
> > (that doesn't cost a plump nickel if you know where to look), the money
> > for the duration of Bush' first and last term is already accounted for
> > and if you would be so kind as to call your associate he would be more

> > than happy to confirm what I just relayed to you, including clueing you
> > in that not only are funds not available for a sole enforcement cb
> > campaign, but NOTHING warrants it, especially a handful of hammies that
> > pretend to be an angry mob of John Q. Public. Deceit has never worked
> > but the truth will set you free. There is even a deficit in the FCC's
> > budget through the end of the lil' shrub's one term. Beyond that, the
> > knights in shining armor that you hailed as the almighty saviors are
> > looking for greener pastures, as they know their positions are dust come
> > next presidential election.
> > My favorite verbatim quote: "Maybe if some of these amateurs (and I use
> > the term loosely) were billed for the unproductive time spent by the FCC
> > responding to their petty complaints some of the foolishness would
> > stop."
> > Hint: When he spoke of foolishness, he wasn't referring to cbers.
> > Now if you'll excuse me, I have a driver to warm up and a DX call to
> > make to the coal country.
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote; Riley's response:
> >The accuracy of that is about 10%.
>
>
> ROTFLMAO, thanks for the legwork, Jerry. If Twisty thought nobody
> would bother to check facts, he'd be encouraged to pull this crap even
> MORE often. They don't call him "Twistedhed" for nothing!
>
> -Aaron-

You're quick to jump in when someone makes a claim. But you haven't
the foggiest idea of what ir Right and what is Wrong. Check your
thread about those so-called "illegal" 10-meter radios. When others
weighed in on my side you cut and ran like the chicken side-stepper
you are. TROLL!

3798. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 01:13:31 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208141713.72c35dc7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132826

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208131128.21412922@posting.google.com>...
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<16378-3D584B57-395@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> <snip BS>
>
> No one ever said Riley's primary job focus was "CB Enforcement".
>
> But Riley did say that Twistedhed's post was "10% accurate". That
> means 90% of it was falsehood.

Riley didn't say that; Jerry Ox did. LIAR!

3799. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 02:04:43 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208141804.569f3573@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132827

Richard Cranium (Richcranus@yahoo.com) wrote:
> >But Riley did say that Twistedhed's post was "10% accurate". That
> >means 90% of it was falsehood.
>
>Riley didn't say that; Jerry Ox did. LIAR!

Let's find out what is the truth.

Jerry: could you please re-post the actual text of Riley's email?

-Aaron-

3800. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 02:19:45 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208141819.350fda1e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132828

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
"Jerry Oxendine" wrote in message news:...
> >I'm gonna top post this simply to make the point that there are, indeed,
> >certain "10 Meter" radios that are illegal to import. Period. See other
> >comments interspersed.
>
>Those existing already are legal to use, sell and buy. IF you're
>licensed for their use on ten meters.
>

Please show an FCC or Part 95 cite stating that radios on the FCC's
BANNED LIST existing in a distributors warehouse are legal to sell and
buy if you're
licensed for ten meters.

-Aaron-

3801. Re: Channel 2 (1st harmonic of 27 Mhz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 02:33:25 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <VpE69.6362$Fw3.334801@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132829

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D5AE36D.CFF2FEC6@yaSPAMhoo.com...

> And 146.76 will land you right in the middle of CATV 18. I've heard many
> hams come down to CB and complain that their neighbor is bitching about
> their voice coming through ESPN.

CATV E 18 145.25 145.25 144.00 MID

3802. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 02:36:31 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <PsE69.5859$m91.299416@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132830

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208141443.8314f94@posting.google.com...
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:<B3y59.138308$yc3.5937515@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > "SideBand" <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
> > news:3D56A363.8060408@csotbs.org...
> > > Why's that funny? I post my call every time I post. What's the big
deal
> > > about it? If you have a callsign, how does that take away from your
> > > enjoyment of the CB hobby?
> > >
> > > Really.. Grow the fuck up.
> > >
> > > -SSB
> >
> > Are you talking to Mopar or Me? Really though what is the purpose of
> > posting your call though in any NG other than a amatuer NG. whats the
> > point really?
>
> It's "amateur", dumb@$$ Don't you know anything??
>
> >
> > > Me wrote:
> > >
> > > > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20020806222844.335$6D@newsreader.com...
> > > >
> > > > >>"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
> > > > >>
> > > > >>>>Ok so what is your call sign so perhaps we can take you alittle
more
> > > > >>>>
> > > > >>>serious
> > > > >>>
> > > > >>>
> > > > >>That shit's funny!
> > > > >>
> > > > >
> > > > > Your right asking, it is funny he is asking someone to post there
call
> > in a
> > > > > CB NG.
>
> What, you never had a CB license? I did, now I have a ham license.

> What do YOU have? Nothing!

Snapper Head you asked for his amateur call sign , Is your name twisted since your now trying to change what you said. Oh you have a ham license whats the Call? You said you have nothing to hide and even went so far as to ask Aaron for his, remember so he can be taken more seriously as you put it. So whats the call OM?
I think you are a wannabe with no license your a swler.

3803. Re: OT- DOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 03:29:36 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <AeF69.24456$Ue7.18540@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 132831

Hay my wife is surprised that you described her cat to the letter and we
both enjoyed your story if you say it as a preacher and the results can be
most interesting thankyou and keep it up with these .
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:_ov69.6759$pv6.720271@cletus.bright.net...
> Adam and Eve said, "Lord, when we were in the garden, you walked
> with us every day. Now we do not see you any more. We are lonesome
> here, and it is difficult for us to remember how much you love us."
>
> And God said, "No problem! I will create a companion for you that
> will be with you forever and who will be a reflection of my love for
> you, so that you will love me even when you cannot see me. Regardless of
> how selfish or childish or unlovable you may be, this new companion will
> accept you as you are and will love you as I do, in spite of yourselves."
>
> And God created a new animal to be a companion for Adam and Eve. And it
> was a good animal. And God was pleased. And the new animal was pleased
> to be with Adam and Eve and he wagged his tail.
>
> And Adam said, "Lord, I have already named all the animals in the
> Kingdom and I cannot think of a name for this new animal."
>
> And God said, "No problem. Because I have created this new animal to
> be a reflection of my love for you, his name will be a reflection of my
> own name, and you will call him DOG."
>
> And Dog lived with Adam and Eve and was a companion to them and loved
> them. And they were comforted. And God was pleased. And Dog was
> content and wagged his tail.
>
> After a while, it came to pass that an angel came to the Lord and
> said, "Lord, Adam and Eve have become filled with pride. They strut and
> preen like peacocks and they believe they are worthy of adoration. Dog
> has indeed taught them that they are loved, but perhaps too well."
>
> And God said, "No problem! I will create for them a companion who
> will be with them forever and who will see them as they are. The
> companion will remind them of their limitations, so they will know that
> they are not always worthy of adoration."

>
> And God created CAT to be a companion to Adam and Eve.
>
> And Cat would not obey them. And when Adam and Eve gazed into Cat's
> eyes, they were reminded that they were not the supreme beings.
>
> And Adam and Eve learned humility. And they were greatly improved.
>
> And God was pleased.
>
> And Dog was happy.
>
> And Cat didn't give a shit one way or the other.
>
>
> --
> KC8TCQ
> American Red Cross - Disaster Services
> Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
> Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red
> Cross
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
>
>

3804. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 03:32:08 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <YgF69.6729$jB5.230087743@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132832

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208141226.577ad7c0@posting.google.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" joxendi@bellsouth.net wrote:
> >I'm gonna top post this simply to make the point that there
> >are, indeed, certain "10 Meter" radios that are illegal to
> >import. Period.
>
> Hi Jerry, be aware of the intentional confusion being generated by
> certain individuals who point out the fact that a ham *could, under
> certain circumstances* legally transmit in accordance with Part 97
> using one of these illegal "10 meter CB" radios.
>
> -Aaron-

Wrong Aaron, any ham can legally transmit on
the ten meter band with those radios, it's you trying
to confuse people.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

3805. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 03:32:08 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <YgF69.6730$jB5.230087743@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132833

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:3dA69.2986$m91.93542@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
if
> thats not a criminal what is?
>
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>
>
>

You.

Landshark

"Ask me, I'll tell you how"

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

3806. Re: UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 03:32:08 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <YgF69.6728$jB5.230087743@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132835

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:9aB69.8834$SS.178754@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> FCC CONSUMER ALERT

Troll post.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

3807. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 03:32:09 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <ZgF69.6731$jB5.230087743@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132834

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:WbC69.3458$2p2.177961@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> US Customs
>
> ---
--
> ----
>
> US Customs
> ----------
>
> 27.575 Surveillance (low-power)
> 27.585 Surveillance (low-power)
>

Really small radio's, usually the sound of a repeater in
back ground, yup, that sounds like those freqs being
used.

>
>
> 27.4300 27.5300 NFM 20.000 Business Radio Service
>

Ok

> CHANNELS REPORTED IN USE BY FEMA
>
> 27.6500 HF (LOW)
> 27.8500 HF (LOW)
> 27.9000 HF (LOW)
>

Lets see, when the earthquake hit in 1989, not a whisper, then on the ham
bands, all the info I needed from a guy in Michigan.

> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous

Landshark

"Ask me. I'll tell you how"

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than

the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

3808. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 04:00:04 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208142000.318a29b3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132836

Landshark wrote:
> >Hi Jerry, be aware of the intentional confusion being generated by
> >certain individuals who point out the fact that a ham *could, under
> >certain circumstances* legally transmit in accordance with Part 97
> >using one of these illegal "10 meter CB" radios.
> >
> >-Aaron-
>
> Wrong Aaron, any ham can legally transmit on
>the ten meter band with those radios.

That is essentially what I said above: under certain circumstances a ham could legally transmit using them in accordance with Part 97. Some individuals take this as an indication that hams may for example, buy radios on the FCC's BANNED LIST from Copper or Pacetronics just by flashing their license. Don't forget that the radios on the FCC's BANNED LIST are still illegal to advertise, distribute, and purchase.

-Aaron-

3809. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 04:56:21 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D5B1591.DA66CFA4@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132837

Richard Cranium wrote:
>
>
> What does the ARRL have to say about CB? Nothing that's important.
> Same as you and Aanal Aaron.

There is nothing of importance that can be said of cb.

>
>
> Hasn't happened yet; what makes you think it ever will? They don't
> even "police" the ham bands, with all the music being played and all
> that. How about cleaning up your own bands before you worry about
> something as innoculous as CB?

Your mean something as obnoxious as cb.

3810. Re: more spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 05:01:10 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <qAG69.12957$Ep6.1035150@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132838

what some cheeze?

--
If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens

3811. Re: antennas and more...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 05:02:18 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <uBG69.12962$Ep6.1034500@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132839

do you have prints to a thousand radios? if so , how much?

--
If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens

3812. Re: Cryin' over a Frequency Chart?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 05:38:04 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <07H69.36159$me6.5643@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 132840

*****, here is my response to both of your posts with a few more thoughts tacked on at the end.

Reply to your first post:

>>> I Stand Beside!! <<<
I'm Glad you don't hide behind it. Bravo for you.

>>> What in the hell is your BEEF!!! <<<
I have no beef. I suppose I should have been more tactful in my statement.

>>> IT APPLIES ANYWHERE I AM!! <<<
Unfortunately it does not apply everywhere you go, in the real world or digitally. I wonder what life would be like if it did?

>>> GET OVER IT <<<
Get over what?

>>> , Commie Bastard!!!! <<<
I do not nor have I ever supported communism. I firmly believe that the United States chosen form of government (Democratic Republic) when run well is a very good form of government.

Reply to your second post:

>>> Everyone HAS the RIGHT to FREE SPEECH!!! <<<
I agree. Unfortunately the governments of many other countries do not agree with us. It is very sad that in some countries the government has chosen to censor what people may say. It must be horrible to have to look over your shoulder before talking about a subject the government considers taboo or a no-no.

>>> What's gonna happen should this newsgroup get shut down? <<<
I guess if the news group is shut down I'll have to move on.

>>> You all gonna crying because someone violated your rights as an American!! <<<
No, if the news group is shut down then NONE of the rights granted to me as a citizen of the United States of America will have been violated by that simple act.

>>> I stand in front, behind and beside my Constitutional Rights!! <<<
Again, I am glad you believe in the Constitution and love our country. Kudos to you!

>>> You must be one of those commie militias starting a front!! <<<
No I am not.

>>> Your groups make me barf on each and every one of you!! <<<
I don't particularly like extremist groups, but while in the United States of America they have the right to say and do as they wish as long as they violate no laws. In fact I would stand up fight and die to protect their rights as Americans even though I whole heartedly disagree with their views. A very wise man once said "Freedom of speech is not protection for the speech you love but protection for the speech you hate" I will not even try to explain what he meant. I am sure you can figure it out for your self. I just wish I could remember who said this so that I might give him his due credit.

>>> Leave this country and take your communist attitude ELSEWHERE!! <<<
I will never leave this country. Maybe for work or vacation but I love our country and will stand with her until the day I die. Even with all her faults she is still beautiful to me.

>>> AMERICA RULES!!! <<<
Very true.

>>> Your kind drools.....like a baby!!! <<<
Hmmm, I have no response to that.

The cold hard facts:

This news group is not protected by the first amendment. All of the things in the physical word that make up this new group are privately owned, and as such can censor anything they wish. You have the freedom of speech in America, but this is tendered by the right of a property owner to control what is said and done on his property. This applies to message board, new groups ect. If you go into a gay bar and spout off about the evils of homosexuality they can have you removed and this is not a violation of your rights. If you join a HAM group and continually spew views that they disagree with they have the right to kick you out and this is not a violation of your rights. A news paper has every right to censor that which it prints. Just because your write a letter to the ED doesn't mean they wont edit it or refuse to print it.

Dave

3813. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 05:41:12 UTC
From: n2uhc@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3442abbf.0208142141.7a635faf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132841

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208141226.577ad7c0@posting.google.com>...

> Hi Jerry, be aware of the intentional confusion being generated by
> certain individuals who point out the fact that a ham *could, under
> certain circumstances* legally transmit in accordance with Part 97
> using one of these illegal "10 meter CB" radios.

There are no "certain circumstances" about it. As long as a ham has a
valid license for HF, they can use a 10 meter radio to operate there.
They can also use modified CB's. They can also use a bunch of scrap
electronic parts wired together to make a transmitter.

You seem to be confused about this. There is no type-acceptance
requirement for amateur radio gear. These kind of radio transceivers
are not illegal. They are only illegal to use to transmit outside the
ham bands.

Tom

3814. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 05:49:48 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208142149.326e4bb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132842

n2uhc@yahoo.com (Tom Sevart) wrote:
> >Hi Jerry, be aware of the intentional confusion being generated by
> >certain individuals who point out the fact that a ham *could, under
> >certain circumstances* legally transmit in accordance with Part 97
> >using one of these illegal "10 meter CB" radios.
>
>There are no "certain circumstances" about it.

Here are two certain circumstances. FCC Part 97 must be abided by. FCC Part 95 must also be abided by. That means a ham cannot legally use that radio to transmit both on 10 meters and also on CB or the so-called "freeband".

-Aaron-

3815. Re: UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 06:57:39 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D5B50D6.866BB47D@icok.net>
Source record: 132843

Do you object to what CIPA requires? If
so, why?
'Doc

3816. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 07:15:16 UTC
From: n2uhc@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3442abbf.0208142315.626fe54e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132844

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208141819.350fda1e@posting.google.com>...

> Please show an FCC or Part 95 cite stating that radios on the FCC's
> BANNED LIST existing in a distributors warehouse are legal to sell and
> buy if you're
> licensed for ten meters.

My amateur radio license allows me to use a 10 meter radio, even those on the FCC's list, on 10 meters, all nice & legal. If I want to sell a radio on the list to another ham, then that's all nice & legal.

As far as the existing stock in the distributors' & manufacturers' wharehouses, that's their problem. They can't sell them in the US, but they could export them if they wanted.

The difference between the distributors/manufacturers and me is that the FCC notice states that they cannot "import or market" any of the listed radios. It's obvious to me that the notice refers to commercial sales, not private person-to-person sales. The term "marketing" refers to commercial sales & promotion, including advertising. I doubt private sales of used gear fall under this category.

And, as I've stated before, they are completely legal for hams to use on 10 meters, modified or not. If you were a ham you would understand that there is no type-acceptance requirement for amateur radio gear.

I have to wonder why you are so hell-bent on this crusade to get rid of these radios when you yourself are not a ham? It has to be more than keeping intruders out of the 10 meter band.

Tom

3817. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 08:53:15 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208150053.521e297b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132845

n2uhc@yahoo.com (Tom Sevart) wrote:
>ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote
> >Please show an FCC or Part 95 cite stating that radios on the FCC's
> >BANNED LIST existing in a distributors warehouse are legal to sell and
> >buy if you're
> >licensed for ten meters.
>
>My amateur radio license allows me to use a 10 meter radio,
>even those on the FCC's list, on 10 meters, all nice & legal.
>If I want to sell a radio on the list to another ham, then
>that's all nice & legal.

You are correct that amateur equipment does not require type
acceptance. The FCC has defined the radios on the FCC BANNED LIST are
not legitimate amateur equipment. They are instead defined as being
"CB transmitters".

--
Some devices that manufacturers call "10-meter" radios either operate
on the CB frequencies as manufactured and imported or are designed
such that they can readily be activated by a user, a service
technician or a dealer to operate on CB frequencies. According to
Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's rules, a CB transmitter is a
transmitter that operates or is intended to operate at a station
authorized for the CB service. 47 C.F.R. Section 95.603(c). The
Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory
have determined that the Mirage 2950 and other similar models at issue
here are intended for use in the CB frequencies as well as in the
amateur service because they have built-in capability to operate on CB
frequencies and can easily be altered to activate that capability,
such as by moving or removing a jumper plug or cutting a single wire.
Thus, they fall within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47
C.F.R. Section 95.603(c).

--

Also thanks for confirming that hams may not legally purchase radios
on the FCC's BANNED LIST from distributors, or themselves act as
commercial distributors.

-Aaron-

3818. Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 09:27:11 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns926B1915A9923subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 132846

The "Legal issues with Ebutt" thread is getting pretty long, so I figured I'd weigh in on the Illegal 10 Meter Radio discussion by starting a new one.

There seems to be a great deal of disagreement regarding the legality of certain 10 meter radios. Some say they are legal, but only on Amateur frequencies, others say they are illegal to use on any frequency, and still some others have taken a middle of the road position stating that existing radios sold before the new rules went into effect are exempted. This discussion began in earnest after an FCC notice on the subject was posted. Before I address the issues point by point, I'd like to say that there would be little confusion if the FCC had addressed these concerns more directly. Still, I think the notice and the existing rules are sufficient to determine the FCC's position on the matter.

To begin, I will need make certain assumptions. First, the issue at hand is whether or not certain radios are legal or illegal, and under what circumstances. Second, it is my intention to interpret the FCC's position on the matter. Whether or not I agree with them is best left to another thread. Third, operating a radio in compliance with FCC rules is doing so legally. Operating a radio in violation of FCC rules is doing so illegally. That being said, only those concerned with operating in a legal manner will find this post relevant. Determining legality is of no consequence to those who don't intend to operate legally. I'm not here to pass judgement. This post is intended only to state what I believe to be the FCC's position. It's only an opinion.

The notice that started alot of this discussion is not actually a notice, it's a letter from Christopher J. Wright to John F. Atwood of the US Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999. In this letter, Mr Wright cites existing FCC rules in determining whether or not certain radios can be imported or marketed in the United States. Understanding this is crucial. At no point are new laws proposed. Existing laws are referenced by the Office of Engineering and Technology to show the Customs Service that the importing and marketing of certain radios is illegal. Here is a copy of the letter for reference:

http://swap.qth.com/fcc/fcc-cbtable2.htm

I don't feel the need to address whether or not these radios are legal for use on CB. It is my feeling that everyone involved in this issue acknowledges that they are in fact not legal for CB use. So, I will simply conclude that the FCC has determined that the radios listed are not legal for use on CB.

As to the issue of their legality for use on Amateur frequencies, the letter states:

"Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. &#65533; 95.603(c). A CB transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or importation. 47 C.F.R. &#65533;&#65533; 95.603(c); 2.803."

Because the FCC has determined that the radios in question are in fact CB radios, they must be certificated. Since they are not certificated, they are not legal for marketing or importation. The language is very clear. It is important to note that the FCC made this determination by citing an existing law. The FCC has the authority to determine what is and what isn't a CB radio. The determining factors are listed in part 95.603. In the letter, the FCC determines that these radios are intended to operate on CB:

"According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission&#65533;s rules, a CB transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate at a station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. &#65533; 95.603(c). The Commission&#65533;s equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar models at issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those in the amateur service because they have built-in capability to operate on CB frequencies. This capability can be readily activated by moving or removing a jumper plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other simple means."

One may argue that modifying these radios for use on CB is not as trivial a matter as FCC engineers think it is. That person may be right, but the FCC disagrees. Remember, the FCC's position on this matter is what we're after. It's also important to remember that the FCC has the authority to make the call. Disagreeing with them doesn't make using the radio on Amateur frequencies legal.

One may also ask, "OK the radios listed are illegal, but what about those 'similar models'?" If the radio is to be used on CB, then the answer is simple - It must be type accepted. If the radio is to be used on Amateur frequencies and ANY doubt exists, then you should contact one of the persons listed at the bottom of the letter. Remember, the FCC determines what is and what isn't a CB (right or wrong). If they determine that your radio is in fact a CB simply because they feel it is intended to operate on CB frequencies, then the radio is illegal. Unfortunately (and I mean this, it's sad) what you think doesn't matter. Is it worth the risk of an $11,000 fine? That's up to you.

To summarize: I conclude that existing FCC laws make the radios listed illegal to use on Amateur frequencies.

As to the question of whether or not these statements only apply to radios manufactured before a certain date: It is clear that no new laws were inacted making these radios illegal. Existing laws show that these radios have been illegal for quite some time. In short: They've been

illegal as long as part 95.603(c) has been around. Are radios manufactured and sold before that legal? I honestly don't know.

Take this for what it's worth, which isn't much. =) I honestly believe that I've correctly stated how the FCC feels about this. I'm no spokesman, so my opinion really doesn't matter. If you agree with me, great. If you don't, that's okay too. Ultimately, the decision to operate legally lies within the individual. You may not agree with my interpretation of the FCC's position. For your sake, I hope you're right.

-Alan S.

3819. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 10:14:29 UTC
From: n2uhc@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3442abbf.0208150214.2af56074@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132847

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208142149.326e4bb@posting.google.com>...
> n2uhc@yahoo.com (Tom Sevart) wrote:
> > >Hi Jerry, be aware of the intentional confusion being generated by
> > >certain individuals who point out the fact that a ham *could, under
> > >certain circumstances* legally transmit in accordance with Part 97
> > >using one of these illegal "10 meter CB" radios.
> >
> >There are no "certain circumstances" about it.
>
> Here are two certain circumstances. FCC Part 97 must be abided by. FCC
> Part 95 must also be abided by. That means a ham cannot legally use
> that radio to transmit both on 10 meters and also on CB or the
> so-called "freeband".
>
> -Aaron-

No shit. I didn't say anything about transmitting on the CB freqs or
what's known as the freeband. I was referring to 10 meters only. I
said, "As long as a ham has a valid license for HF, they can use a 10
meter radio to operate there." "There" meaning the 10 meter band.

Tom

3820. Find That Ch Blocker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 10:51:18 UTC
From: MR BIG <HAHA_GOT_YA@FUCKEDUP.net.au>
Message-ID: <4BL69.6777$g9.23563@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 132848

Any One fin him yet ?????

Stay posted Will find him Then DeNut Him and his Family
The post Names Adresses Phone Numbers Ect Right Here so you to can Go Get
Them

3821. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 11:54:51 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208150354.1bea6176@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132849

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208142149.326e4bb@posting.google.com>...
> n2uhc@yahoo.com (Tom Sevart) wrote:
> > >Hi Jerry, be aware of the intentional confusion being generated by
> > >certain individuals who point out the fact that a ham *could, under
> > >certain circumstances* legally transmit in accordance with Part 97
> > >using one of these illegal "10 meter CB" radios.
> >
> >There are no "certain circumstances" about it.
>
> Here are two certain circumstances. FCC Part 97 must be abided by. FCC
> Part 95 must also be abided by. That means a ham cannot legally use
> that radio to transmit both on 10 meters and also on CB or the
> so-called "freeband".
>
> -Aaron-

He never said that it could be, Aanal Aaron! However, you have made a "blanket" statement that those 10-meter only radios are somehow "illegal", something you've never proven. He (along with several others, including myself) have said that YOU'RE WRONG; they ARE legal for use on the ten (and 12) meter amateur bands. They have NEVER been legal for use on CB.

Part 95 says absolutely nothing about amateur radio. Hams do not need to be concerned about Part 95 at all.

No "certain circumstances" about it. You are terribly confused and you've got no case.

3822. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 12:01:28 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208150401.614cdadc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132850

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208142000.318a29b3@posting.google.com>...
> Landshark wrote:
> > >Hi Jerry, be aware of the intentional confusion being generated by
> > >certain individuals who point out the fact that a ham *could, under
> > >certain circumstances* legally transmit in accordance with Part 97
> > >using one of these illegal "10 meter CB" radios.
> > >
> > >-Aaron-
> >
> > Wrong Aaron, any ham can legally transmit on
> >the ten meter band with those radios.
>
> That is essentially what I said above: under certain circumstances a
> ham could legally transmit using them in accordance with Part 97. Some
> individuals take this as an indication that hams may for example, buy
> radios on the FCC's BANNED LIST from Copper or Pacetronics just by
> flashing their license. Don't forget that the radios on the FCC's
> BANNED LIST are still illegal to advertise, distribute, and purchase.
>
> -Aaron-

Here we go folks: Aanal Aaron's famous "chicken side-step"! (strike up
the uumpah and kraut)

You never made that statement!! In fact, what YOU said was: These
radios "are illegal". Period. Now you're back-pedalling because you've
been proven wrong.

The radios are now prohibited from being "imported and marketed" new.
Existing radios can still be used, traded, sold, or even (gasp) bought
by properly licensed amateurs. And if someone with a ham license
wanted to risk their money at Copper to buy one from their remaining
stock, they most certainly can.

It is YOU who is generating the so-called "intentional confusion",
Aanal Aaron. Nobody else.

3823. So can anyone tell me who the "RATS" are around here ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 12:21:09 UTC
From: Iowa883 <Iowa883@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ajg6q9$pv1$1@ins22.netins.net>
Source record: 132851

Ok guys,
I have been on and off this newgroup for the past 3 1/2 years. I am thinking of buying a new radio and asking some questions. Well, I skipped down through some of the postings and some people are constantly posting comments like " your posting is being forwarded to the FCC ". Don't these people have anything better to do than sit on here and threaten people ? You can bet your A$$ that these are the guys running more watts than anyone else and just want to keep Uncle Charlie off their butts. Why can't these guys keep to themselves and let the individual do as much bench testing as they like ?
Is there a message board that is monitored that I can join so that posers like these guys get banned when they make comments like this ?
Just wanting a list of these "rats", so I know to ignore their postings and comments.
Anyone help ?

Thanks,
Iowa883

3824. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 12:55:47 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uln952kvso11fb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132852

Ahh, more memories! Yep, position #2 was the KWM-2 with the 30L-1 linear on Guam. Lotsa fun.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20020814073837.272$xo@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote:
> >>Hey Morpaholic! Whatcha' running? Ran Collins gear on Guam in 1967 ...
> > 75S3 rx, I forget the tx, and a Henry 2K amp in position #1, a KWM-2 in
> > position #2, and #3 was some Heathkit and some other stuff for RTTY. Had
> > a ball, but it was quite a long time ago ...
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> > ps - TH6-DX tribander up 102 feet over salt water (except for the time we
> > pumped 40 KW into the rhombic up 200 feet)
> >
> Hello,Jim. That had to be a really fun rig to operate!
>
> I have a 32S-1 trans,75S-1 rec,and the 30L-1 amp,along with the phone patch
> and an unamplified D-104.
>
> It really sounds crisp and clean.
>
> Here's a link to what it looks like,except the amp is different.
>
> http://www.hamradiousa.com/col03px.html
>
> --
> Go#40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

3825. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 13:12:12 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajg991$1b916b$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132853

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208150053.521e297b@posting.google.com...
>
> You are correct that amateur equipment does not require type
> acceptance. The FCC has defined the radios on the FCC BANNED LIST are
> not legitimate amateur equipment. They are instead defined as being
> "CB transmitters".

If I use it on 10 meters, it is legitimate amateur equipment, not a "CB transmitter." And what's wrong with me selling it to another ham for use on 10 meters?

Tom

3826. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 13:33:56 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D5BADC4.2DB1@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 132855

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> >
> Hall wrote:
> Geeeze, if I had thought that this would become immortalized, I would
> have put some REAL effort into the psychological analysis......
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
> <<
>
> Dont worry, you were (ahem) impressed among our Hall of laughter long
> ago. Immortalized? (Chuckle) Maybe so, as there ain't many left that
> resort to insult as a second nature as a sole means of pleasuring
> themselves and you're about as well received as he is.
> Personally, I think your friend is about ready to crack as all his posts
> are being ignored...makes for another impotent day in your life. Too
> much stress for you, I guess.
> Have a good day, Hall, and try not to be such a sourpuss, but he's still
> being ignored, no matter how many times you try to share your water bowl
> with him.

Ha Ha Ha! Still as predictable as ever.....

Dave
"Sandbagger"

3827. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 13:39:09 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajgari$1b5dgd$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132854

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns926B1915A9923subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...

> As to the issue of their legality for use on Amateur frequencies, the
> letter states:
>
> "Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall within
> the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. § 95.603(c). A CB
> transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or
> importation. 47 C.F.R. §§ 95.603(c); 2.803."

This says nothing about amateur radio. It states that the radios in question cannot be imported or marketed due to the fact that they either operate or can be easily modified to operate on the CB frequencies. In other words, you can't import them or sell them commercially.

> "According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's rules, a CB
> transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate at a
> station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. § 95.603(c). The
> Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have
> determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar models
> at issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those
> in the amateur service because they have built-in capability to operate
> on CB frequencies. This capability can be readily activated by moving or
> removing a jumper plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a
> connector, or other simple means."

Then the FCC might as well ban the importation & marketing of most HF amateur radio transcievers, since most can easily be modified by moving a jumper, cutting a wire, etc. Does this make them illegal for operation on amateur frequencies? No. But they could be considered "CB transmitters" by the above definition. Of course, amateur HF gear is marketed as such, and not really intended to be operated on CB frequencies. But occasionally it happens.

> One may also ask, "OK the radios listed are illegal, but what about those
> 'similar models'?" If the radio is to be used on CB, then the answer is
> simple - It must be type accepted. If the radio is to be used on Amateur
> frequencies and ANY doubt exists, then you should contact one of the
> persons listed at the bottom of the letter. Remember, the FCC determines
> what is and what isn't a CB (right or wrong). If they determine that your
> radio is in fact a CB simply because they feel it is intended to operate
> on CB frequencies, then the radio is illegal. Unfortunately (and I mean
> this, it's sad) what you think doesn't matter. Is it worth the risk of an
> $11,000 fine? That's up to you.

Once again, there is no type-acceptance requirement for amateur radio gear. As long as you have a license and use the radio within the limits of your license, there's no such thing as an "illegal radio" for amateur use. Hams use modified commercial gear (business band, maritime, etc) and CB's on the ham bands. There's no law that says we can't as long as (a) it is used correctly on the amateur freqs, and (b) it doesn't exceed power limitations. Just about anything is acceptable, except for spark-gap transmitters. Even transcievers built totally from scratch are acceptable. If I built a 10 meter transceiver that just happened to cover the 11 meter band, too, it is perfectly legal to use it on 10 meters.

> To summarize: I conclude that existing FCC laws make the radios listed
> illegal to use on Amateur frequencies.

I conclude that you are wrong. It is perfectly legal to use these type radios on the ham bands, it is just illegal to import and market them, according to the FCC notice.

I am quite certain that I am right on this issue, but I will contact the FCC to confirm it.

Tom

3828. Re: more spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 14:02:32 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <YvO69.6862$jb.239367182@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132856

"George Kinzer" <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:qAG69.12957$Ep6.1035150@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> what some cheeze?
>
> --
> If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens
>
>

Lets see 8/3/02 Great CD, 8/6/02 New Cd for
sale, 8/08/02 antennas, mod, conversions, 8/12/02
antennas & more, 8/14/02 more stuff.
No whine, just Spam.

Landshark

--
"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young

3829. WTB: 8873 TUBES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 14:20:18 UTC
From: The Tube Man <thetubeman@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <CMO69.3574$LO1.313776@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 132857

I am looking to purchase #8873 ceramic tubes -New or full output pulls.

Please Email me with details

3830. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 14:22:54 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208150622.5638567@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132858

Excellent post, Alan.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<Xns926B1915A9923subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>...
> The "Legal issues with Ebutt" thread is getting pretty long, so I figured
> I'd weigh in on the Illegal 10 Meter Radio discussion by starting a new
> one.
>
> There seems to be a great deal of disagreement regarding the legality of
> certain 10 meter radios. Some say they are legal, but only on Amateur
> frequencies, others say they are illegal to use on any frequency, and
> still some others have taken a middle of the road position stating that
> existing radios sold before the new rules went into effect are exempted.
> This discussion began in earnest after an FCC notice on the subject was
> posted. Before I address the issues point by point, I'd like to say that
> there would be little confusion if the FCC had addressed these concerns
> more directly. Still, I think the notice and the existing rules are
> sufficient to determine the FCC's position on the matter.
>
> To begin, I will need make certain assumptions. First, the issue at hand
> is whether or not certain radios are legal or illegal, and under what
> circumstances. Second, it is my intention to interpret the FCC's position
> on the matter. Whether or not I agree with them is best left to another
> thread. Third, operating a radio in compliance with FCC rules is doing so
> legally. Operating a radio in violation of FCC rules is doing so
> illegally. That being said, only those concerned with operating in a
> legal manner will find this post relevant. Determining legality is of no
> consequence to those who don't intend to operate legally. I'm not here
> to pass judgement. This post is intended only to state what I believe to
> be the FCC's position. It's only an opinion.
>
> The notice that started alot of this discussion is not actually a notice,
> it's a letter from Christopher J. Wright to John F. Atwood of the US
> Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999. In this letter, Mr Wright cites
> existing FCC rules in determining whether or not certain radios can be
> imported or marketed in the United States. Understanding this is crucial.
> At no point are new laws proposed. Existing laws are referenced by the
> Office of Engineering and Technology to show the Customs Service that the
> importing and marketing of certain radios is illegal. Here is a copy of
> the letter for reference:
>
> http://swap.qth.com/fcc/fcc-cbtable2.htm

>
> I don't feel the need to address whether or not these radios are legal
> for use on CB. It is my feeling that everyone involved in this issue
> acknowledges that they are in fact not legal for CB use. So, I will
> simply conclude that the FCC has determined that the radios listed are
> not legal for use on CB.
>
> As to the issue of their legality for use on Amateur frequencies, the
> letter states:
>
> "Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall within
> the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.603(c). A CB
> transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or
> importation. 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ï¿½ 95.603(c); 2.803."
>
> Because the FCC has determined that the radios in question are in fact CB
> radios, they must be certificated. Since they are not certificated, they
> are not legal for marketing or importation. The language is very clear.
> It is important to note that the FCC made this determination by citing an
> existing law. The FCC has the authority to determine what is and what
> isn't a CB radio. The determining factors are listed in part 95.603. In
> the letter, the FCC determines that these radios are intended to operate
> on CB:
>
> "According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission’s rules, a CB
> transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate at a
> station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.603(c). The
> Commission’s equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have
> determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar models
> at issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those
> in the amateur service because they have built-in capability to operate
> on CB frequencies. This capability can be readily activated by moving or
> removing a jumper plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a
> connector, or other simple means."
>
> One may argue that modifying these radios for use on CB is not as trivial
> a matter as FCC engineers think it is. That person may be right, but the
> FCC disagrees. Remember, the FCC's position on this matter is what we're
> after. It's also important to remember that the FCC has the authority to
> make the call. Disagreeing with them doesn't make using the radio on
> Amateur frequencies legal.
>
> One may also ask, "OK the radios listed are illegal, but what about those
> 'similar models'?" If the radio is to be used on CB, then the answer is
> simple - It must be type accepted. If the radio is to be used on Amateur
> frequencies and ANY doubt exists, then you should contact one of the
> persons listed at the bottom of the letter. Remember, the FCC determines
> what is and what isn't a CB (right or wrong). If they determine that your
> radio is in fact a CB simply because they feel it is intended to operate
> on CB frequencies, then the radio is illegal. Unfortunately (and I mean

> this, it's sad) what you think doesn't matter. Is it worth the risk of an
> $11,000 fine? That's up to you.
>
> To summarize: I conclude that existing FCC laws make the radios listed
> illegal to use on Amateur frequencies.
>
> As to the question of whether or not these statements only apply to
> radios manufactured before a certain date: It is clear that no new laws
> were inacted making these radios illegal. Existing laws show that these
> radios have been illegal for quite some time. In short: They've been
> illegal as long as part 95.603(c) has been around. Are radios
> manufactured and sold before that legal? I honestly don't know.
>
> Take this for what it's worth, which isn't much. =) I honestly believe
> that I've correctly stated how the FCC feels about this. I'm no
> spokesman, so my opinion really doesn't matter. If you agree with me,
> great. If you don't, that's okay too. Ultimately, the decision to operate
> legally lies within the individual. You may not agree with my
> interpretation of the FCC's position. For your sake, I hope you're right.
>
> -Alan S.

3831. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 14:29:30 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020815102930.10125.00000676@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 132859

jerry, youve been consumed by your hate for outlaw cb'ers. its amazing to watch a former normal guy like yourself turn into a voobner type over these last two years or so you've been here. i guess doug adair's plan has done some good because he's recruited the likes of you to protest for him. i simply say this jerry, since your so sure that cb is filled with law breakers and stretchers and it bothers you so much then why be in this news group at all? your not helping technically, not being friendly, and there may be two truckers at the most that read your tirades about them on ten meters, it seem your just trolling these days and nothing more. i guess you selling me that messenger is out of the question now too, huh? lol you woulda did it two years ago before you became ham radio's internet holy warrior. i guess my whole point of this is its very sad to see you grown men so consumed with anything in your life much less outlaw cb'ers and there newsgroup. after all this time seeing alot of people come and go thru here its amazing to actually watch the regulars and notice how what they say and how they say it has changed so much thru out the years. to be honest i always thought it would be Jim Hampton to join with the No Code radio cops and not you jerry. you seemed a little cooler than that but since you admit chasing truckers, raiding truckstops with polaroids and pencils, and writing letters to your congressmen i think you've not only lost a few screws but the whole damn tool box. did your wife leave you or is there another reason ten meter intruders have become your most important thing in life?

>
>
>Twistedhed,
>
>It IS a fact that Riley's primary function is, as Legal Counsel for the
>Enforcement Bureau, primarily
>concerned with the protection and the cleaning up of the Amateur Bands.
>Prior to his appointment,
>it had become extremely difficult to find a place where there weren't
>whistlers, playing music, extremely racist remarks, filthy language, and
>every form of lawbreaker imaginable (sound familiar?). For years ARRL and
>individual hams had been begging FCC to come back to enforce-
>ment. It was this urging, finally heard loudly and clearly, that brought
>Mr. Hollingsworth to the fore-
>front. There will always be a few who will test the limits, Amateur and
>CBer, just to see what they
>can get away with, but I no longer hear the extremes I was hearing 3 years
>ago. Even then, these
>clucks were in the minority. Taken on the whole, if a "survey" could be
>taken (which is impossible)
>on the relative numbers of CBers and hams that break the rules governing the

>respective services,
>those on CB would "win" in terms of numbers of people who "stretch" the
>rules. Given, hams have more leeway on amps and frequencies, but, again,
>the relative numbers of lawbreakers on the ham
>bands would pale in comparison to those of the CB service.
>
>I can also show you where I stated in various threads and newsgroups that
>CBers using illegal equipment are relatively safe while using it on the
>regular 40 channels. Who's going to measure or
>worry about a 40 watt radio being run cleanly on channel 19? BUT! When
>those same people run
>those radios behind linears and/or on the 10 meter band, that in itself
>brings immediate attention to
>their operations and animosity from those who are licensed for that band.
>Since I can name a couple of CBers who've been hit with fines for amps
>fairly recently (they were running in the regular
>40), I can't say what *other* people (Mr. Solomon?) have in mind WRT CB
>enforcement. I DO
>know, in spite of your protests to the contrary, that enforcement HAS
>increased WRT to truckers
>and even to CBers on the 40 CH; all you gotta do is spend a bit of time on
>the FCC and ARRL
>site to see it.
>
>Finally, another thing that hasn't been mentioned is that there are
>initiatives thru other avenues
>to combat the outlaw operators. ARRL, for example, (I'm told) is working
>thru their Congressional
>laison (sic) on several bills favorable to Amateur Radio; again, there are
>'bugs" being put in con-gressional ears about the sales and use of those
>illegal radios. I know that individual hams are writing their
>Representatives (including me) asking for help in this matter. Such actions
>could include the following: (bear in mind that these are just ideas being
>promoted, not actual pending
>actions)
>
>1) Amend the Local Enforcement Law to allow for DOT officers to inspect for
>illegal radios at
> state weigh stations (truckers). Yup, you'd get a howl of protest from
>the truckers!
>
>2) Increase funding, if only temporarily, to FCC to permit the aggressive
>abatement of illegal oper-
> ation on the Amateur bands--especially the intruders; RH already goes
>after the unruly "ham-
> mies".
>
>3) Bring back, or even create, a CB enforcement task force similar to that
>of Amateur Radio
> headed up by a "Special Counsel" similar to the position held by

>Hollingsworth.
>
>4) Perhaps the "sunsetting" of 27 MHZ CB in 5-8 years. Some are arguing
>that CB on 27 MHZ
> has outlived its usefulness because, a) it can't expand where it is, b)
>technology has outstripped
> CB where it is, c) because of the restrictions on it, said technologies
>can't be applied to it, d)
> encouraging the use of MURS and FRS in its place would greatly abate
>the interference to ad-
> jacent services as well as to its own users. This is the least
>politically "easy" alternative.
>
>There are ways to get FCC to aggressively enforce its own rules. That is
>thru Congress itself. It is
>how the Local Enforcement thing got done, although, typical of Congress,
>they did it "bass ackwards". With the right guidance (ARRL), they could be
>persuaded to enable their own agency
>whose job it is to start with to make the Amateur bands free from rampant
>interference.
>
>73
>
>Jerry
>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3832. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 14:30:42 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajh7iv$1as8a6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132950

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ajgari$1b5dgd$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> I am quite certain that I am right on this issue, but I will contact the
FCC
> to confirm it.

OK, I called the FCC (1-888-CALL-FCC) to see if anyone there could settle the issue, but the lady I finally got through to didn't seem to know much about this issue or radio in general. Basically she said there shouldn't be any problem with those radios if they're operated legally on the ham bands. She referred me to Part 97 rules.

Undaunted, I decided to contact Mr. LaForge at rlaforge@fcc.gov. Here's a copy of the email I sent:

Hello,

Recently I have been reading a debate on the internet about the list of transceivers deemed illegal for import or marketing as CB transceivers. The list is as follows:

LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET

GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V, DX 77HML, DX 88HL, DX99V and Saturn Turbo

CONNEX - models: 3300, 3300HP-ZX, 3300 PLUS and CX-3800

MIRAGE - models: 44, Galaxy 88, 9900 and 2950EX

NORTH STAR - models: NS-3000 and NS-9000

PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln

PRO STAR - model: 240

RANGER - models: AR-3500 and RCI-2950

TEK - model: HR-3950

UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600

SUPER STAR - model: 121

I have two questions about these transceivers (and any others like them).
1. Are they legal for use (modified or not) on the amateur radio frequencies by licensed amateurs?

2. Are private sales of these transceivers (from one amateur operator to another) legal?

I appreciate you taking the time to answer my questions.

Tom

As soon as I get a reply I'll post it here.

Tom

3833. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 14:33:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4027-3D5BBBB7-55@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132863

Bert wrote:
"Excellent post.

And it was, but the gist of it is wrong, and here is why.

Alan wrote his interpretation as:
"Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall within the definition of a CB transmitter. "

If the feds say they are non-type accepted cb's. then they are. That being said, they are not type certified (which they need not be for amateurs) and perfectly legal to operate on ten meter by authorized amateurs.

3834. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 14:36:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4027-3D5BBC62-57@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132862

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Richard Cranium wrote:
What does the ARRL have to say about CB?
Nothing that's important. Same as you and Aanal Aaron.

>There is nothing of importance that can be said of cb. <

It has the power and allure to keep you in line following us cbers.

Hasn't happened yet; what makes you think it ever will? They don't even
"police" the ham bands, with all the music being played and all that.
How about cleaning up your own bands before you worry about something as
innoculous as CB?

>Your mean something as obnoxious as cb.<

Read it again but this time fail to put words in another's mouth.

3835. Re: wwww.timnebo.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 14:41:09 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020815104109.10125.00000680@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 132860

thanks shark, i already did. i learned along time ago with doug that its best to report the idiots and let them be dealt with. the TOS people at places are very slow but effective when they get to it.

>
> Well they figure by adding the extra "W" it's ok to
>forge your name, but it's not. Make sure that you
>send a copy of this to Xganon from your WWW
>account, pointing out that someone is using their
>service to impersonate you.
>
> Landshark
>
>
>--
>"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
>he who defends everything defends
>nothing."
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3836. Re: FS Lafatette telstat W/ Digiscan..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 14:42:05 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020815104205.10125.00000681@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 132861

no idea how much you want for this? i need a radio but dont have alot of cash.
let me know what others off.

>Subject: FS Lafatette telstat W/ Digiscan..

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3837. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 14:43:29 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4027-3D5BBE11-58@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132867

>Ha Ha Ha! Still as predictable as ever.....
Dave
"Sandbagger"<

Gee, Hall, you're a regular Swami. You set forth the effort to fill a page about me, and act like you predictably accomplished something when you receive a reply as if anyone ever gave a damn what you thought. Priceless. Now be a good pup and do try not to let me drive you underground to your usual anonymous cowardly posts. Try cb again, I promise not to squash you like a bug this time.

3838. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 14:45:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4026-3D5BBEA4-130@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132866

You seem to be confused about this. There is no type-acceptance requirement for amateur radio gear. These kind of radio transceivers are not illegal. They are only illegal to use to transmit outside the ham bands.
Tom

He isn't confused, just deliberately spreading disinformation. It's called ignorance due to impotence. Check the archives, this guys has never been correct, about anything.

3839. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 14:49:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4027-3D5BBF74-59@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132869

I have to wonder why you are so hell-bent on this crusade to get rid of these radios when you yourself are not a ham? It has to be more than keeping intruders out of the 10 meter band.
Tom

It is, Tom. Check google for "Voobner" and you will see the sheer amount of access sites he had pulled for (gasp) violating usenet AUP's. He has had many incarnations of "Voobner", all pulled for violations. His aim is harassment, nothing less. He's a nocode.

3840. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 14:52:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <4026-3D5BC018-133@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132868

No shit. I didn't say anything about transmitting on the CB freqs or what's known as the freeband. I was referring to 10 meters only. I said, "As long as a ham has a valid license for HF, they can use a 10 meter radio to operate there." "There" meaning the 10 meter band.
Tom

You're talking to an involuntary impotent individual that is unable to separate the two services.

3841. moparholic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 14:55:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020815105518.10125.00000684@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 132865

any place that sells/makes windows for old classic cars that you know of?

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3842. Re: UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 15:04:48 UTC
From: CIPA <Fecal>
Message-ID: <ulng2vi0f4ep20@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132864

On the contrary, it seems to be a much more worthwhile cause then some of the badgering going on here. Do a search on Voobner - I got over 9000 hits. Seems like the bandwidth could go to a better cause. The trolls on this group are not going to change anybody, just piss them off. In fact, if it weren't for the trolls I would not have seen the list of PROHIBITED EQUIPMENT which I then used for a shopping list to acquire my first 11 meter radio. These guys peaked my interest. I gave up on CB in the 70's, but with all this hoopla I wanted to see what 11 meters would do.Oh, and Voobner responded to someone about going to Ham Radio Outlet and ask for a radio with roger beep. Well, I did just that and they had several models (RCI 2950 included). Trolls have also lead me to Copper.com and other online sources. (Who is going to turn in HRO....Me?)

So, I must say THANKS FOR THE TROLLS who have furthered my hobby, conviently provided a shopping list of desirable radios, places to shop, and expanded me into the realm of 11 meter.

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D5B50D6.866BB47D@icok.net...
> Do you object to what CIPA requires? If
> so, why?
> 'Doc

3843. Re: So can anyone tell me who the "RATS" are around here ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 16:21:12 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020815122112.21929.00000366@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 132870

Where you at in Iowa? I live just north of Marion

3844. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 16:45:43 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <UuR69.7454$EP2.600814@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132874

www.TimNebo.com <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020815102930.10125.00000676@mb-fe.aol.com...
> jerry, youve been consumed by your hate for outlaw cb'ers. its amazing to
watch
> a former normal guy like yourself turn into a voobner type over these last
two
> years or so you've been here. i guess doug adair's plan has done some good
> because he's recruited the likes of you to protest for him. i simply say
this
> jerry, since your so sure that cb is filled with law breakers and
stretchers
> and it bothers you so much then why be in this news group at all? your not
> helping technically, not being friendly, and there may be two truckers at
the
> most that read your tirades about them on ten meters, it seem your just
> trolling these days and nothing more. i guess you selling me that
messenger is
> out of the question now too, huh? lol you woulda did it two years ago
before
> you became ham radio's internet holy warrior. i guess my whole point of
this is
> its very sad to see you grown men so consumed with anything in your life
much
> less outlaw cb'ers and there newsgroup. after all this time seeing alot of
> people come and go thru here its amazing to actually watch the regulars
and
> notice how what they say and how they say it has changed so much thru out
the
> years. to be honest i always thought it would be Jim Hampton to join with
the
> No Code radio cops and not you jerry. you seemed a little cooler than that
but
> since you admit chasing truckers, raiding truckstops with polaroids and
> pencils, and writing letters to your congressmen i think you've not only
lost a
> few screws but the whole damn tool box. did your wife leave you or is
there
> another reason ten meter intruders have become your most important thing
in
> life?
>
> >
> >
> >Twistedhed,

> >
> >It IS a fact that Riley's primary function is, as Legal Counsel for the
> >Enforcement Bureau, primarily
> >concerned with the protection and the cleaning up of the Amateur Bands.
> >Prior to his appointment,
> >it had become extremely difficult to find a place where there weren't
> >whistlers, playing music, extremely racist remarks, filthy language, and
> >every form of lawbreaker imaginable (sound familiar?). For years ARRL
and
> >individual hams had been begging FCC to come back to enforce-
> >ment. It was this urging, finally heard loudly and clearly, that brought
> >Mr. Hollingsworth to the fore-
> >front. There will always be a few who will test the limits, Amateur and
> >CBer, just to see what they
> >can get away with, but I no longer hear the extremes I was hearing 3
years
> >ago. Even then, these
> >clucks were in the minority. Taken on the whole, if a "survey" could be
> >taken (which is impossible)
> >on the relative numbers of CBers and hams that break the rules governing
the
> >respective services,
> >those on CB would "win" in terms of numbers of people who "stretch" the
> >rules. Given, hams have more leeway on amps and frequencies, but, again,
> >the relative numbers of lawbreakers on the ham
> >bands would pale in comparison to those of the CB service.
> >
> >I can also show you where I stated in various threads and newsgroups that
> >CBers using illegal equipment are relatively safe while using it on the
> >regular 40 channels. Who's going to measure or
> >worry about a 40 watt radio being run cleanly on channel 19? BUT! When
> >those same people run
> >those radios behind linears and/or on the 10 meter band, that in itself
> >brings immediate attention to
> >their operations and animosity from those who are licensed for that band.
> >Since I can name a couple of CBers who've been hit with fines for amps
> >fairly recently (they were running in the regular
> >40), I can't say what *other* people (Mr. Solomon?) have in mind WRT CB
> >enforcement. I DO
> >know, in spite of your protests to the contrary, that enforcement HAS
> >increased WRT to truckers
> >and even to CBers on the 40 CH; all you gotta do is spend a bit of time
on
> >the FCC and ARRL
> >site to see it.
> >
> >Finally, another thing that hasn't been mentioned is that there are
> >initiatives thru other avenues
> >to combat the outlaw operators. ARRL, for example, (I'm told) is working
> >thru their Congressional

> >laison (sic) on several bills favorable to Amateur Radio; again, there
are
> >'bugs" being put in con-gressional ears about the sales and use of those
> >illegal radios. I know that individual hams are writing their
> >Representatives (including me) asking for help in this matter. Such
actions
> >could include the following: (bear in mind that these are just ideas
being
> >promoted, not actual pending
> >actions)
> >
> >1) Amend the Local Enforcement Law to allow for DOT officers to inspect
for
> >illegal radios at
> > state weigh stations (truckers). Yup, you'd get a howl of protest
from
> >the truckers!
> >
> >2) Increase funding, if only temporarily, to FCC to permit the
aggressive
> >abatement of illegal oper-
> > ation on the Amateur bands--especially the intruders; RH already
goes
> >after the unruly "ham-
> > mies".
> >
> >3) Bring back, or even create, a CB enforcement task force similar to
that
> >of Amateur Radio
> > headed up by a "Special Counsel" similar to the position held by
> >Hollingsworth.
> >
> >4) Perhaps the "sunsetting" of 27 MHZ CB in 5-8 years. Some are arguing
> >that CB on 27 MHZ
> > has outlived its usefulness because, a) it can't expand where it is,
b)
> >technology has outstripped
> > CB where it is, c) because of the restrictions on it, said
technologies
> >can't be applied to it, d)
> > encouraging the use of MURS and FRS in its place would greatly abate
> >the interference to ad-
> > jacent services as well as to its own users. This is the least
> >politically "easy" alternative.
> >
> >There are ways to get FCC to aggressively enforce its own rules. That is
> >thru Congress itself. It is
> >how the Local Enforcement thing got done, although, typical of Congress,
> >they did it "bass ackwards". With the right guidance (ARRL), they could
be

> >persuaded to enable their own agency
> >whose job it is to start with to make the Amateur bands free from rampant
> >interference.
> >
> >73
> >
> >Jerry
> >
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

Until I became aware of how badly the bandits had proliferated the ham bands
I didn't bother with it. As I said, the outlaws can fry each others'
eyeballs with huge, arcing amps for all I care--until they start beeping and
squeaking in "my" pasture. It's simple, really; if the SOBs would stay in
their
own "yard", I wouldn't care. But since they are "tracking mud" in my house,
so to speak, then I will
do everything I can to have them removed, forceably if need be. And, yes,
that includes listening to
28.085, taking rest breaks at truck stops and checking to see if they have
any of the illegal radios,
recording, photographing, and reporting what I see and hear. Those who
remain where they are
supposed to be have nothing to fear from me; I don't care. Meantime, I will
be doing the above,
and joining my fellow hams in writing our Congressmen, some of whom are
hams, to try to get something done to abate the illegal intrusions onto the
bands. We WILL defend our "turf", no more, no less. Riley said to keep
those reports and websites coming.

Jerry
>
>

3845. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 16:49:41 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ByR69.7480$EP2.601861@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132875

Aaron H. Voobner <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208141804.569f3573@posting.google.com...
> Richard Cranium (Richcranus@yahoo.com) wrote:
> > >But Riley did say that Twistedhed's post was "10% accurate". That
> > >means 90% of it was falsehood.
> >
> >Riley didn't say that; Jerry Ox did. LIAR!
>
> Let's find out what is the truth.
>
> Jerry: could you please re-post the actual text of Riley's email?
>
> -Aaron-.

Jerry

Well, here it is although those who have a vested interest in defending the
status quo will say I
just made it up. They are Riley's word verbatim.

Jerry

The accuracy of that is about 10%. What will probably happen is this: the
CB freebanders ones overpower will keep it up and cause so much public
outcry and interference that they'll draw the wrath of enforcement. Then
they'll be bitching and moaning about government interference, when they
brought it on themselves. Other notes: there is no "education fund". And,
there has to be spectrum rules just as we have to have road rules. CB could
contribute a lot to public communications needs instead of shooting itself
in the foot all the time and being a laughing stock.

Riley
N4ZDH

3846. Re: UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 17:07:40 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D5BDFCD.FAAEDC29@icok.net>
Source record: 132871

'CIPA',
Oh, I don't need to know any more than I already do
about 'Voobner' or any of the other trolls on this NG.
I just didn't understand what "CIPA", or the 'Children's
Internet Protection Act' had to do with CB radio, and I
still don't.
'Doc

3847. Re: UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 17:27:39 UTC
From: Burnt Eddy <00002112@no-id.com>
Message-ID: <ulnoepjho81be3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132872

I think the intent of the CIPA thing was that this also was published by the FCC.....there is a whole list of causes at the location of the original 'troll' post...some better then others...

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D5BDFCD.FAAEDC29@icok.net...
>
>
> 'CIPA',
> Oh, I don't need to know any more than I already do
> about 'Voobner' or any of the other trolls on this NG.
> I just didn't understand what "CIPA", or the 'Children's
> Internet Protection Act' had to do with CB radio, and I
> still don't.
> 'Doc

3848. Re: So can anyone tell me who the "RATS" are around here ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 17:36:19 UTC
From: Burnt Eddy <00002112@no-id.com>
Message-ID: <ulnov1bofgk32a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132873

Hey, I grew up in Ames....transplanted to Calif - Land of fruits and nuts.

"Frmboybuck" <frmboybuck@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020815122112.21929.00000366@mb-cb.aol.com...
> Where you at in Iowa? I live just north of Marion

3849. Ground Plane Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 17:57:47 UTC
From: Burnt Eddy <00002112@no-id.com>
Message-ID: <ulnq79t2i5puda@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132876

I plan on installing a Firestik on a hood mount to a Ford F150. I know the roof would be better, but just can't bring myself to drill the big hole! My question is: What is my groundplane going to consist of with this configuration? Will the hood act as the plane or the fender, or just the mount? Any constructive suggestions would be appreciate - like whats the best way to get the feed to the radio.

Just wondering......

Burnt Eddy
KARS9212

3850. Re: moparholic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 18:25:53 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020815142553.24864.00000475@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 132878

try "safelite auto glass" if you have one in your area. they may also be able to help you locate the felt strips and rubber seals for the windows. if they are unable to help you another good company is "windshields of america"
gunslinger

3851. Re: Auction Will Be Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 18:26:06 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3d5bf20c.52903462@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 132877

On Mon, 12 Aug 2002 14:35:55 GMT, "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.>
wrote:

>
><chimbuba@optonline.net> wrote in message
>news:3d579436.61564544@news.optonline.net...
>> On Mon, 12 Aug 2002 03:30:53 GMT, "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
>> >news:wOF59.4065$cp3.164845791@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>> >The only person who is assuming is you Landshark, I don't know all the
>amp
>> >builders I know there names they have been posted in this NG and a very
>> >handy Book i got with all keyclown names in it. LOL, I also never said I
>ran
>> >"any amps" my radio puts out a little over 100 watts which is more than
>> >enough the bands I talk on so go ahead with your bad self make up some
>> >more lies.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> 3 other folks emailed me and said that this was prozac from nj. Now I
>> understand his obsession with mr icecold. thanks landshark
>>
>
> It's not Prozac though, he, as always, only assumed
>that name. It's George WA3MOJ, so don't worry about that,
>I would think "Boy gone bad" might be his better name.
>
> Landshark
>
Wow, that is pretty sad. I am actually sorry to hear that. That was
one of the davemade guys.

3852. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 18:35:10 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208151035.5cc6fda2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132879

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<4027-3D5BBBB7-55@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> Bert wrote:
> "Excellent post.
>
>
>
>
>
> And it was, but the gist of it is wrong, and here is why.
>
> Alan wrote his interpretation as:
> "Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall within
> the definition of a CB transmitter. "
>
>
>
> If the feds say they are non-type accepted cb's. then they are. That
> being said, they are not type certified (which they need not be for
> amateurs) and perfectly legal to operate on ten meter by authorized
> amateurs.

That kinda went without saying. Particularly with the rigs that were
actually evaluated OTA and reviewed by QST. If there was ever an
organization that steered well right of the FCC, it's the ARRL.

Uniden President HR2510 10-Meter Transceiver (May 1989 [1286 kB])
http://www.arrl.org/members-only/prodrev/pdf/pr8905.pdf

Ranger Communications RCI-2950 10-Meter Mobile Transceiver (February
1992 [1810 kB])
http://www.arrl.org/members-only/prodrev/pdf/pr9202.pdf

Ranger Communications RCI-2970DX 10/12-Meter Transceiver (October 2001
[170 kB])
http://www.arrl.org/members-only/prodrev/pdf/pr0110.pdf

Clear Channel Communications AR-3300 Ranger 10-M All-Mode Transceiver
(June 1987 [825 kB])
http://www.arrl.org/members-only/prodrev/pdf/pr8706.pdf

I don't know if the links will work, so I posted the description
directly above each link. I thought Alan's post was well reasoned
nonetheless.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3853. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 18:40:52 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208151040.7e7f159e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132881

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208141819.350fda1e@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> "Jerry Oxendine" wrote in message news:...
> > >I'm gonna top post this simply to make the point that there are, indeed,
> > >certain "10 Meter" radios that are illegal to import. Period. See other
> > >comments interspersed.
> >
> >Those existing already are legal to use, sell and buy. IF you're
> >licensed for their use on ten meters.
> >
>
> Please show an FCC or Part 95 cite stating that radios on the FCC's
> BANNED LIST existing in a distributors warehouse are legal to sell and
> buy if you're
> licensed for ten meters.
>
> -Aaron-

Please show where Part 95 has anything to do with amateur radio.

And, if you bother to read the notice that YOU posted, it says that
the radios are (as of the date of the notice) illegal to "import and
market". Existing stock may (of course) be sold, since it was imported
prior to the Notice, and to do otherwise would place a huge burden
(and loss) on some businesses.

Thus, IMHO, Tandy Corp blew it big-time by not filling their
warehouses with PRO-2006 scanners (which were cell-recoverable) since
they could actually have raised the prices and continued to sell them
until the warehouses were emptied. Same thing with ten meter radios.

3854. Re: Ground Plane Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 18:41:46 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <5ctnluo5vn2t812o1ep9d64k3rt3ngai0l@4ax.com>
Source record: 132880

On Thu, 15 Aug 2002 10:57:47 -0700, "Burnt Eddy" <00002112@no-id.com>
wrote:

>I plan on installing a Firestik on a hood mount to a Ford F150. I know the
>roof would be better, but just can't bring myself to drill the big hole! My
>question is: What is my groundplane going to consist of with this
>configuration? Will the hood act as the plane or the fender, or just the
>mount? Any constructive suggestions would be appreciate - like whats the
>best way to get the feed to the radio.
>
>Just wondering......
>
>Burnt Eddy
>KARS9212
>

Burnem up Eddy:
Put on Passenger side of truck for more range on the BIG road! I have
a mount for you for this vehicle. E mail me for details!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3855. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 18:43:38 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208151043.68246e8b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132883

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208150053.521e297b@posting.google.com>...
> n2uhc@yahoo.com (Tom Sevart) wrote:
> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote
> > >Please show an FCC or Part 95 cite stating that radios on the FCC's
> > >BANNED LIST existing in a distributors warehouse are legal to sell and
> > >buy if you're
> > >licensed for ten meters.
> >
> >My amateur radio license allows me to use a 10 meter radio,
> >even those on the FCC's list, on 10 meters, all nice & legal.
> >If I want to sell a radio on the list to another ham, then
> >that's all nice & legal.
>
> You are correct that amateur equipment does not require type
> acceptance. The FCC has defined the radios on the FCC BANNED LIST are
> not legitimate amateur equipment. They are instead defined as being
> "CB transmitters".

Funny: The original box with my Uniden HR2600 plainly states that it
is a Ten Meter All Mode Amateur Transceiver. Doesn't say anything
about CB on the box or in the manual anywhere.

3856. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack is dead CUSTOMERS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 18:44:41 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <7itnluc0un4ilr8llugdf0aa5i9q5idsi4@4ax.com>
Source record: 132882

On Thu, 01 Aug 2002 13:10:00 GMT, "mark shaw" <mshaw5@tampabay.rr.com>
wrote:

>they should change the name from Radio shack to Radio Shag !!!
> "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message news:t6129.670$%6.35624@news2.telusplanet.net...
> Hi All
>
> I was just in my local Radio Shack store in Vancouver BC Canada. The
> first thing I noticed was a big display of FRS radios. I then went to the
> usual scanner CB and ham radio section. It was all gone. Not one single
> scanner CB or ham radio in the place. Not even an 11 meter HT. I then looked
> around the rest of the store. I did find 1 book on ham radio, 1 in-door
> short-wave antenna and some CB accessories in the mark down bin. I asked the
> sales people and they said that Radio Shack is not selling scanners, CB and
> ham gear any more because of lack of sales. I was looking for a 2 meter rig
> for my car and a duel band antenna. That would have been over $300.00 in
> RS's pocket but no deal. I wonder if they should change their name maybe
> Computer Shack or TV Shack because they don't want to sell radios any more.
> They use to be a good place to get accessories like antennas and such but no
> more. I wonder what repairs on warrantee work will be like now that they
> don't sell the stuff? What happened???

Dey went MORE communist ! You should of NOT bought from them in
the 1st place!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free by AVG Soft
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.380 / Virus Database: 213 - Release Date: 7/24/02

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3857. Re: more spam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 18:46:58 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ajgt35$l7b$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 132884

"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
news:ajed1sbcg4@newsg3.svr.pol.co.uk...
>
> Enough already. All the other copies of this are still on the servers.
> To keep posting it just puts multiple copies on the servers, wasting
> bandwidth, p*ss*ng people off and getting you killfiled.
>
> It would be far better to post it once then wait a month before re-posting
> it. That way would be replacing it as it disappears off some servers.

"George Kinzer" <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:qAG69.12957$Ep6.1035150@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> what some cheeze?
==

Do you really believe that it is better to spam the group constantly for
one month, than to post one message then just keep it up to date?
Well, if you cannot stand a little helpful advice...

Plonk.

Brainbuster.

3858. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 19:00:36 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208151100.7030262a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132885

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D5B1591.DA66CFA4@mailcity.net>...
> Richard Cranium wrote:
> >
> >
> > What does the ARRL have to say about CB? Nothing that's important.
> > Same as you and Aanal Aaron.
>
> There is nothing of importance that can be said of cb.

If that's true, why are you saying so much about it? Because you are
of no importance?

> > Hasn't happened yet; what makes you think it ever will? They don't
> > even "police" the ham bands, with all the music being played and all
> > that. How about cleaning up your own bands before you worry about
> > something as innoculous as CB?
>
> Your mean something as obnoxious as cb.

No. I meant precisely what I typed. Best to take care of your own
backyard before worrying about anyone else's. Or are you one of the
music-players on 75 meters? One of the jammers on 14.313?

3859. Re: UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 19:06:19 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208151106.6acaffd9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132886

"CIPA" <Fecal> wrote in message news:<ulng2vi0f4ep20@corp.supernews.com>...
> On the contrary, it seems to be a much more worthwhile cause then some of
> the badgering going on here. Do a search on Voobner - I got over 9000 hits.
> Seems like the bandwidth could go to a better cause. The trolls on this
> group are not going to change anybody, just piss them off. In fact, if it
> weren't for the trolls I would not have seen the list of PROHIBITED
> EQUIPMENT which I then used for a shopping list to acquire my first 11 meter
> radio. These guys peaked my interest. I gave up on CB in the 70's, but with
> all this hoopla I wanted to see what 11 meters would do.Oh, and Voobner
> responded to someone about going to Ham Radio Outlet and ask for a radio
> with roger beep. Well, I did just that and they had several models (RCI 2950
> included). Trolls have also lead me to Copper.com and other online sources.
> (Who is going to turn in HRO....Me?)

I would recommend that you stay as far away from Copper Electronics as
you possibly can. But don't just take my word for it: Do a search and
see what others say.

3860. Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 19:29:29 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tiT69.4107$LO1.351309@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 132887

I bought something at a garage sale. It says "Palomar Voice Controller, mod VC300". What is it? I paid $5.00 . Is it legal?

3861. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 19:43:32 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <EvT69.157691$6Z1.7423691@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132888

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:4027-3D5BBBB7-55@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Bert wrote:
> "Excellent post.
>
>
>
>
>
> And it was, but the gist of it is wrong, and here is why.
>
> Alan wrote his interpretation as:
> "Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall within
> the definition of a CB transmitter. "

This was the FCC's interpretation not Alan's read it and weep
```

" The Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar models at issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those in the amateur service because they have built-in capability to operate on CB frequencies. Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. § 95.603(c). A CB transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or importation. 47 C.F.R. §§ 95.603(c); 2.803.

```
> If the feds say they are non-type accepted cb's. then they are. That
> being said, they are not type certified (which they need not be for
> amateurs) and perfectly legal to operate on ten meter by authorized
> amateurs.

Twisty then what is your take on this if it is illegal to import and
Illegal to sell, then how in the world you get your hands on one.
In view of the foregoing, the following "10-meter" transceivers are not
acceptable for importation or marketing into/within the United States.
Importation and marketing of these units is illegal pursuant to Section
302(b) of the Communications Act and Section 2.803 of the rules. Willful
violations of the Rules and the Act may subject the violator to a monetary
forfeiture of not more than $11,000 for each violation or each day of a
continuing violation. The Commission continues to review this type of
equipment, and additional makes and models may be added to this list in the
future.
```

LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS

ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET

GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V, DX 77HML, DX 88HL, DX99V and Saturn Turbo

CONNEX - models: 3300, 3300HP-ZX, 3300 PLUS and CX-3800

MIRAGE - models: 44, Galaxy 88, 9900 and 2950EX

NORTH STAR - models: NS-3000 and NS-9000

PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson, Lincoln, HR-2510 and HR-2600

PRO STAR - model: 240

RANGER - models: AR-3500, RCI-2950 and RCI-2970

TEK - model: HR-3950

UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600

SUPER STAR - model: 121

3862. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 19:44:40 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <HwT69.16082$2p2.790530@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132889

LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS

ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET

GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V, DX 77HML, DX 88HL,
DX99V and Saturn Turbo

CONNEX - models: 3300, 3300HP-ZX, 3300 PLUS and CX-3800

MIRAGE - models: 44, Galaxy 88, 9900 and 2950EX

NORTH STAR - models: NS-3000 and NS-9000

PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson, Lincoln, HR-2510 and HR-2600

PRO STAR - model: 240

RANGER - models: AR-3500, RCI-2950 and RCI-2970

TEK - model: HR-3950

UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600

SUPER STAR - model: 121

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:b7d1465c.0208151035.5cc6fda2@posting.google.com...
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<4027-3D5BBBB7-55@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> > Bert wrote:
> > "Excellent post.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > And it was, but the gist of it is wrong, and here is why.
> >
> > Alan wrote his interpretation as:
> > "Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall within
> > the definition of a CB transmitter. "
> >
> >
> >
> > If the feds say they are non-type accepted cb's. then they are. That
> > being said, they are not type certified (which they need not be for

> > amateurs) and perfectly legal to operate on ten meter by authorized
> > amateurs.
>
> That kinda went without saying. Particularly with the rigs that were
> actually evaluated OTA and reviewed by QST. If there was ever an
> organization that steered well right of the FCC, it's the ARRL.
>
> Uniden President HR2510 10-Meter Transceiver (May 1989 [1286 kB])
> http://www.arrl.org/members-only/prodrev/pdf/pr8905.pdf
>
> Ranger Communications RCI-2950 10-Meter Mobile Transceiver (February
> 1992 [1810 kB])
> http://www.arrl.org/members-only/prodrev/pdf/pr9202.pdf
>
> Ranger Communications RCI-2970DX 10/12-Meter Transceiver (October 2001
> [170 kB])
> http://www.arrl.org/members-only/prodrev/pdf/pr0110.pdf
>
> Clear Channel Communications AR-3300 Ranger 10-M All-Mode Transceiver
> (June 1987 [825 kB])
> http://www.arrl.org/members-only/prodrev/pdf/pr8706.pdf
>
> I don't know if the links will work, so I posted the description
> directly above each link. I thought Alan's post was well reasoned
> nonetheless.
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

3863. Re: UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 19:47:41 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <xzT69.19160$SS.803932@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132890

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:YgF69.6728$jB5.230087743@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> news:9aB69.8834$SS.178754@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >
> > FCC CONSUMER ALERT
>
>
> Troll post.
>
> Landshark

Yes Landwhale anything that goes against Illegal CB is a troll post, funny part is your post was a waste of bandwidth and a troll Post. LOL Go back to alt.sex.ts and make some more posts you Panty Queen

3864. Re: UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 19:48:11 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <%zT69.16149$2p2.791996@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132891

LOL turn me in roflmao 2x

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:YgF69.6728$jB5.230087743@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> news:9aB69.8834$SS.178754@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >
> > FCC CONSUMER ALERT
>
>
> Troll post.
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> If you are implying that people, who
> hold ham licenses, should be somehow
> held to a higher moral standard than
> the "common man", then that would
> be faulty logic. A ham license is only
> a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
> "badge of morality".
>
>

3865. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 19:54:16 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <IFT69.157779$6Z1.7428772@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132892

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020815102930.10125.00000676@mb-fe.aol.com...
. i simply say this
> jerry, since your so sure that cb is filled with law breakers and
stretchers
> and it bothers you so much then why be in this news group at all?

Because he has every right to be in this group just as much as your pot
smokin stoned ass does, learn to live with it your
Bullying tactics are not making anyone go anywhere anytime soon.

3866. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 19:57:50 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <2JT69.19261$SS.809519@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132893

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:YgF69.6730
> You.
>
> Landshark

Really how do you figure or is this another TROLL Post by you?

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

3867. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 20:00:06 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <ia1olukqs6dro4u6kotdgol8io17jeejos@4ax.com>
Source record: 132894

Does it really matter if they are illegal to import or sell?
If one was to tell the whole story about these radios
then the subject line would read .....

"Not entirely illegal 10 meter radios".

The truth is that these radios are not illegal to own, so individual
CB'ers have no real reason to have any interest on illegal imports.

3868. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 20:05:01 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <NPT69.13882$m91.775594@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132895

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:eJP59.4341$4J4.167218478@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> > Panty Queen, I never said he said he talked on 11 meters I was asking
him
> > question did you notice the question mark?, get the dick out of your eye
so
> > you can either read the post or mind your own business instead of
trolling
> > my posts.

> I'm not trolling your posts George, you asked a question
> with intent on trying to infuse operating on 11 meters. He
> explained it was a stock 2510 and how he used it, but like
> Aaron you were trolling and trying to infer something other
> than what was typed.
> So who's the troll George?

I asked a simple question do you talk on 11 meters
Now all of a sudden Landshark is Carnac the Magnificent and are going to
tell me why I asked the question, and what my intent was. You are still the
troll Landwhale. keep searching the archives I see your still enamoured
with me LOL

> > --
> > Try me I'll Make you Famous
> >
> "Ask me, and I'll show you how"
>
> Too funny. You just can never hold a civil debate, every time
> you get cornered you come back with some sort of insult
> or sexual innuendo, no matter who it is.
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> If you are implying that people, who
> hold ham licenses, should be somehow
> held to a higher moral standard than
> the "common man", then that would
> be faulty logic. A ham license is only
> a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
> "badge of morality".
>
>

3869. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 20:14:06 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208151214.2cbd2bad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132896

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<Xns926B1915A9923subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>...
> The "Legal issues with Ebutt" thread is getting pretty long, so I figured
> I'd weigh in on the Illegal 10 Meter Radio discussion by starting a new
> one.
>
> There seems to be a great deal of disagreement regarding the legality of
> certain 10 meter radios. Some say they are legal, but only on Amateur
> frequencies, others say they are illegal to use on any frequency, and
> still some others have taken a middle of the road position stating that
> existing radios sold before the new rules went into effect are exempted.
> This discussion began in earnest after an FCC notice on the subject was
> posted. Before I address the issues point by point, I'd like to say that
> there would be little confusion if the FCC had addressed these concerns
> more directly. Still, I think the notice and the existing rules are
> sufficient to determine the FCC's position on the matter.
>
> To begin, I will need make certain assumptions. First, the issue at hand
> is whether or not certain radios are legal or illegal, and under what
> circumstances. Second, it is my intention to interpret the FCC's position
> on the matter. Whether or not I agree with them is best left to another
> thread. Third, operating a radio in compliance with FCC rules is doing so
> legally. Operating a radio in violation of FCC rules is doing so
> illegally. That being said, only those concerned with operating in a
> legal manner will find this post relevant. Determining legality is of no
> consequence to those who don't intend to operate legally. I'm not here
> to pass judgement. This post is intended only to state what I believe to
> be the FCC's position. It's only an opinion.
>
> The notice that started alot of this discussion is not actually a notice,
> it's a letter from Christopher J. Wright to John F. Atwood of the US
> Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999. In this letter, Mr Wright cites
> existing FCC rules in determining whether or not certain radios can be
> imported or marketed in the United States. Understanding this is crucial.
> At no point are new laws proposed. Existing laws are referenced by the
> Office of Engineering and Technology to show the Customs Service that the
> importing and marketing of certain radios is illegal. Here is a copy of
> the letter for reference:
>
> http://swap.qth.com/fcc/fcc-cbtable2.htm
>
> I don't feel the need to address whether or not these radios are legal
> for use on CB. It is my feeling that everyone involved in this issue
> acknowledges that they are in fact not legal for CB use. So, I will

> simply conclude that the FCC has determined that the radios listed are
> not legal for use on CB.
>
> As to the issue of their legality for use on Amateur frequencies, the
> letter states:
>
> "Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall within
> the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.603(c). A CB
> transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or
> importation. 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ï¿½ 95.603(c); 2.803."
>
> Because the FCC has determined that the radios in question are in fact CB
> radios, they must be certificated. Since they are not certificated, they
> are not legal for marketing or importation. The language is very clear.
> It is important to note that the FCC made this determination by citing an
> existing law. The FCC has the authority to determine what is and what
> isn't a CB radio. The determining factors are listed in part 95.603. In
> the letter, the FCC determines that these radios are intended to operate
> on CB:
>
> "According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's rules, a CB
> transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate at a
> station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.603(c). The
> Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have
> determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar models
> at issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those
> in the amateur service because they have built-in capability to operate
> on CB frequencies. This capability can be readily activated by moving or
> removing a jumper plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a
> connector, or other simple means."
>
> One may argue that modifying these radios for use on CB is not as trivial
> a matter as FCC engineers think it is. That person may be right, but the
> FCC disagrees. Remember, the FCC's position on this matter is what we're
> after. It's also important to remember that the FCC has the authority to
> make the call. Disagreeing with them doesn't make using the radio on
> Amateur frequencies legal.
>
> One may also ask, "OK the radios listed are illegal, but what about those
> 'similar models'?" If the radio is to be used on CB, then the answer is
> simple - It must be type accepted. If the radio is to be used on Amateur
> frequencies and ANY doubt exists, then you should contact one of the
> persons listed at the bottom of the letter. Remember, the FCC determines
> what is and what isn't a CB (right or wrong). If they determine that your
> radio is in fact a CB simply because they feel it is intended to operate
> on CB frequencies, then the radio is illegal. Unfortunately (and I mean
> this, it's sad) what you think doesn't matter. Is it worth the risk of an
> $11,000 fine? That's up to you.
>
> To summarize: I conclude that existing FCC laws make the radios listed

> illegal to use on Amateur frequencies.
>
> As to the question of whether or not these statements only apply to
> radios manufactured before a certain date: It is clear that no new laws
> were inacted making these radios illegal. Existing laws show that these
> radios have been illegal for quite some time. In short: They've been
> illegal as long as part 95.603(c) has been around. Are radios
> manufactured and sold before that legal? I honestly don't know.
>
> Take this for what it's worth, which isn't much. =) I honestly believe
> that I've correctly stated how the FCC feels about this. I'm no
> spokesman, so my opinion really doesn't matter. If you agree with me,
> great. If you don't, that's okay too. Ultimately, the decision to operate
> legally lies within the individual. You may not agree with my
> interpretation of the FCC's position. For your sake, I hope you're right.
>
> -Alan S.

Excellent, even-handed analysis.

I especially thought this was relevant and well-said:

"Remember, the FCC's position on this matter is what we're after. It's
also important to remember that the FCC has the authority to make the
call. Disagreeing with them doesn't make using the radio on Amateur
frequencies legal"

-Aaron-

3870. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 20:27:22 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208151227.3e6cda70@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132947

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<ajg991$1b916b$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0208150053.521e297b@posting.google.com...
> >
> > You are correct that amateur equipment does not require type
> > acceptance. The FCC has defined the radios on the FCC BANNED LIST are
> > not legitimate amateur equipment. They are instead defined as being
> > "CB transmitters".
>
> If I use it on 10 meters, it is legitimate amateur equipment, not a "CB
> transmitter."

True, but if you use it, it must have come into your posession by some
means, perhaps legal, perhaps not. Your "potential use" of it does not
change the FCC's definition of it as a CB transceiver. If it is on the
banned list, it is "illegal to offer for sale" as defined in the FCC
notice.

> And what's wrong with me selling it to another ham for use on
> 10 meters?
>
> Tom

Good question. Private exchanges of these items (don't ask me where
you'd get a banned transceiver from) would appear to be no problem, as
long as you are not seeking a loophole for distributing these things
commercially. You might want to check with the FCC on it.

-Aaron-

3871. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 20:43:18 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208151243.6c898b01@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132948

"Jerry Oxendine" joxendi@bellsouth.net wrote:
>Aaron H. Voobner <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208141804.569f3573@posting.google.com...
> >>Richard Cranium (Richcranus@yahoo.com) wrote:
> > >>But Riley did say that Twistedhed's post was "10% accurate".
> > >>That means 90% of it was falsehood.
> > >
> > >Riley didn't say that; Jerry Ox did. LIAR!
> >
> >Let's find out what is the truth.
> >
> >Jerry: could you please re-post the actual text of Riley's email?
> >
> >-Aaron-.
>
>
>
>
>
>Well, here it is although those who have a vested interest in
>defending the status quo will say I just made it up. They are
>Riley's word verbatim.
>
>Jerry
>
>
>
>The accuracy of that is about 10%. What will probably happen
>is this: the CB freebanders ones overpower will keep it up and
>cause so much public outcry and interference that they'll draw
>the wrath of enforcement. Then they'll be bitching and moaning
>about government interference, when they brought it on
>themselves. Other notes: there is no "education fund".
>And, there has to be spectrum rules just as we have to have
>road rules. CB could contribute a lot to public communications
>needs instead of shooting itself in the foot all the time and
>being a laughing stock.
>
>Riley
>N4ZDH

Thanks, Jerry, I was right. Riley said Twistedhed's post was 10%
accurate. That leaves 90% being inaccurate. i.e. false.

-Aaron-

3872. Re: Ground Plane Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 21:44:51 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@charter.net>
Message-ID: <ulo89beoq7jo18@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132949

The hood, fenders, and roof will act as the groundplane/counterpoise. I wouldn't place it too close(back) near the roofline. The roofline will greatly effect your radiation pattern. I would just bite the bullet, and put it in the center of the roof. Get a Wilson 1000 if you do that.

--
Web Site: www.polkcounty.org/radiofun
ICQ: 11908449
Yahoo Mess: Hitradio93Q

"Burnt Eddy" <00002112@no-id.com> wrote in message news:ulnq79t2i5puda@corp.supernews.com...
> I plan on installing a Firestik on a hood mount to a Ford F150. I know the
> roof would be better, but just can't bring myself to drill the big hole!
My
> question is: What is my groundplane going to consist of with this
> configuration? Will the hood act as the plane or the fender, or just the
> mount? Any constructive suggestions would be appreciate - like whats the
> best way to get the feed to the radio.
>
> Just wondering......
>
> Burnt Eddy
> KARS9212
>
>
>
>

3873. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 21:59:38 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajh85t$1bbla3$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132951

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:EvT69.157691$6Z1.7423691@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> Twisty then what is your take on this if it is illegal to import and
> Illegal to sell, then how in the world you get your hands on one.

Well, duh, there are plenty of used ones floating around out there. How
else are they showing up on ebay?

Tom

3874. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 22:01:53 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajh8a5$1bb4q5$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132952

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208151214.2cbd2bad@posting.google.com...
>
> "Remember, the FCC's position on this matter is what we're after. It's
> also important to remember that the FCC has the authority to make the
> call. Disagreeing with them doesn't make using the radio on Amateur
> frequencies legal"
>
> -Aaron-

But there's absolutely no FCC rule that states you cannot use them legally on ham frequencies. I wish you could understand this. There is no disagreement with the FCC. It is simply illegal to import or market them, not use them on 10 meters.

Tom

3875. Re: Ground Plane Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 22:06:47 UTC
From: Hillbilly3302 <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********>
Message-ID: <XBV69.384$9T4.463633@news2>
Source record: 132956

your best direction will be where it has the most metal in front of it

drc

"Burnt Eddy" <00002112@no-id.com> wrote in message
news:ulnq79t2i5puda@corp.supernews.com...
> I plan on installing a Firestik on a hood mount to a Ford F150. I know the
> roof would be better, but just can't bring myself to drill the big hole!
My
> question is: What is my groundplane going to consist of with this
> configuration? Will the hood act as the plane or the fender, or just the
> mount? Any constructive suggestions would be appreciate - like whats the
> best way to get the feed to the radio.
>
> Just wondering......
>
> Burnt Eddy
> KARS9212
>
>
>
>

3876. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 22:06:51 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajh8je$1bjdpc$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132953

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:UuR69.7454$EP2.600814@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> And, yes,
> that includes listening to
> 28.085, taking rest breaks at truck stops and checking to see if they have
> any of the illegal radios,
> recording, photographing, and reporting what I see and hear.

Man, you sure have a set of cajones! Most truckers I know wouldn't take
very kindly to this.

Tom

3877. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 22:07:39 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D5C2630.8D093CAD@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132954

LOL!!! And I might add that AA got someone all bent out of shape, talking to
a brick wall. Ignore the trolls. If you don't feed them, they won't rummage
in your trash can.

"www.TimNebo.com" wrote:
>
> jerry, youve been consumed by your hate for outlaw cb'ers. its amazing to watch
> a former normal guy like yourself turn into a voobner type over these last two
> years or so you've been here. i guess doug adair's plan has done some good
> because he's recruited the likes of you to protest for him. i simply say this
> jerry, since your so sure that cb is filled with law breakers and stretchers
> and it bothers you so much then why be in this news group at all? your not
> helping technically, not being friendly, and there may be two truckers at the
> most that read your tirades about them on ten meters, it seem your just
> trolling these days and nothing more. i guess you selling me that messenger is
> out of the question now too, huh? lol you woulda did it two years ago before
> you became ham radio's internet holy warrior. i guess my whole point of this is
> its very sad to see you grown men so consumed with anything in your life much
> less outlaw cb'ers and there newsgroup. after all this time seeing alot of
> people come and go thru here its amazing to actually watch the regulars and
> notice how what they say and how they say it has changed so much thru out the
> years. to be honest i always thought it would be Jim Hampton to join with the
> No Code radio cops and not you jerry. you seemed a little cooler than that but
> since you admit chasing truckers, raiding truckstops with polaroids and
> pencils, and writing letters to your congressmen i think you've not only lost a
> few screws but the whole damn tool box. did your wife leave you or is there
> another reason ten meter intruders have become your most important thing in
> life?
>

3878. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 22:09:34 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajh8oh$1bp4it$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132955

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:4027-3D5BBF74-59@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...

> It is, Tom. Check google for "Voobner" and you will see the sheer amount
> of access sites he had pulled for (gasp) violating usenet AUP's. He has
> had many incarnations of "Voobner", all pulled for violations. His aim
> is harassment, nothing less. He's a nocode.
>

I did a search for "Voobner" on www.qrz.com, and it came back with 0
matches. If he's a ham, it's under a different name than he gives here.

Tom

3879. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 22:13:45 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajh90c$1arjl6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132957

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208151227.3e6cda70@posting.google.com...
> If it is on the
> banned list, it is "illegal to offer for sale" as defined in the FCC
> notice.
>

No, it's illegal to market, not "offer for sale." There is a difference.

> > And what's wrong with me selling it to another ham for use on
> > 10 meters?
> >
> > Tom
>
> Good question. Private exchanges of these items (don't ask me where
> you'd get a banned transceiver from) would appear to be no problem, as
> long as you are not seeking a loophole for distributing these things
> commercially. You might want to check with the FCC on it.

I have. I'm still waiting on the reply.

As far as "where you'd get a banned transceiver from," there are literally
hundereds if not thousands of them floating around out there, all of them
imported & marketed before the FCC notice was issued. Why is this so hard
to understand?

Tom

3880. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 22:21:59 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20020815182159.23530.00000901@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 132958

How many times is this pile of shit going to be reposted?
Train

>Subject: Illegal 10 Meter Radios
>From: "Alan S." subnet@hotmail.com
>Date: 8/15/02 5:27 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <Xns926B1915A9923subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
>
>The "Legal issues with Ebutt" thread is getting pretty long, so I figured
>I'd weigh in on the Illegal 10 Meter Radio discussion by starting a new
>one.
>
>There seems to be a great deal of disagreement regarding the legality of
>certain 10 meter radios. Some say they are legal, but only on Amateur
>frequencies, others say they are illegal to use on any frequency, and
>still some others have taken a middle of the road position stating that
>existing radios sold before the new rules went into effect are exempted.
>This discussion began in earnest after an FCC notice on the subject was
>posted. Before I address the issues point by point, I'd like to say that
>there would be little confusion if the FCC had addressed these concerns
>more directly. Still, I think the notice and the existing rules are
>sufficient to determine the FCC's position on the matter.
>
>To begin, I will need make certain assumptions. First, the issue at hand
>is whether or not certain radios are legal or illegal, and under what
>circumstances. Second, it is my intention to interpret the FCC's position
>on the matter. Whether or not I agree with them is best left to another
>thread. Third, operating a radio in compliance with FCC rules is doing so
>legally. Operating a radio in violation of FCC rules is doing so
>illegally. That being said, only those concerned with operating in a
>legal manner will find this post relevant. Determining legality is of no
>consequence to those who don't intend to operate legally. I'm not here
>to pass judgement. This post is intended only to state what I believe to
>be the FCC's position. It's only an opinion.
>
>The notice that started alot of this discussion is not actually a notice,
>it's a letter from Christopher J. Wright to John F. Atwood of the US
>Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999. In this letter, Mr Wright cites
>existing FCC rules in determining whether or not certain radios can be
>imported or marketed in the United States. Understanding this is crucial.
>At no point are new laws proposed. Existing laws are referenced by the
>Office of Engineering and Technology to show the Customs Service that the
>importing and marketing of certain radios is illegal. Here is a copy of
>the letter for reference:

>
>http://swap.qth.com/fcc/fcc-cbtable2.htm
>
>I don't feel the need to address whether or not these radios are legal
>for use on CB. It is my feeling that everyone involved in this issue
>acknowledges that they are in fact not legal for CB use. So, I will
>simply conclude that the FCC has determined that the radios listed are
>not legal for use on CB.
>
>As to the issue of their legality for use on Amateur frequencies, the
>letter states:
>
>"Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall within
>the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.603(c). A CB
>transmitter must be certificated by the FCC

Snip

3881. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 22:24:34 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20020815182434.23530.00000904@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 132959

These are a few of my favorite things.....

>LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
>
>ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET
>
>
>
>GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V, DX 77HML, DX 88HL,
>DX99V and Saturn Turbo
>
>CONNEX - models: 3300, 3300HP-ZX, 3300 PLUS and CX-3800
>
>MIRAGE - models: 44, Galaxy 88, 9900 and 2950EX
>
>NORTH STAR - models: NS-3000 and NS-9000
>
>PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson, Lincoln, HR-2510 and HR-2600
>
>PRO STAR - model: 240
>
>RANGER - models: AR-3500, RCI-2950 and RCI-2970
>
>TEK - model: HR-3950
>
>UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600
>
>SUPER STAR - model: 121

3882. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 22:24:45 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24200-3D5C2A2D-65@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132964

Hey ya' Jer! Ya need to clarify a few things for your newest cheerleader. He needs spoonfed. Regarding the 10% claim you set forth.....

>Well, I must again conclude with JerryO's ascertations to a certain extent. <<

I agree with your OPINION here. So if MY opinion is wrong here, it in sync with yours, which apparently may not be Riley's. Let's move on.

>Hollingsworth is personable and very easy to get along with,<<

Hollingsorth ISN"T easy to get along with? Ok, if he insists this is inaccurate, fine by me. Again, it is an opinion, and not one person, including Riley, may validate anothers.

> but let's get to the meat of the matter. It is not he alone that decides what enforcement will be, or where. <<

Ok, does he disagree with this statement?

>Matter of fact, he has way less authority than is attributed him. The best word to describe his actual day-to-day function is "liason."<<

Ok, maybe that title offended him. I'll concede he has a choice among choices. If he takes that as inaccurate, so be it.

>He also agrees that with the suncycle on a downward shift, cb complaints will remain basically what they have always been...a non-issue save for safety and severe repeated interference complaints and those drop with the skip conditions. <

Appears Riley is getting forgetful while on his soapbox lipservicing all you who bother the hell out of him with mundane matter. He may be giving so many of you pissed off hammies so much lip service that he's forgetting what he says. He has not only claimed cb complaints will continue to decrease, but has even revisited the issue and claimed they have decreased.
Of course, I don't expect to be believed or taken seriously, as I am just a low-life cber that was fortunate enough to stumble across an entire contingency of licensed individuals that frequent our cb playground on the internet and allow my uncanny ability to drive them (especially nocodes) underground and over the edge of sanity, and Riley is really a holdout from J. Edgar Hoover who has voice prints and digital directional imprints of me getting down on 555 with Germany last night.

> An anticipated return to what he believes is the loftiest goal before the FCC concerning hobby radio service, enforcement of amateur related activities, is his primary concern. <<

I have no doubt in my mind that he did not deny this statement. Ask him plain out what his primary concern is and if he claims anything other than amateur radio, I'll email him again with quotes, ask him when and why he changed his mind, and post the results. Fair enough?

>They have more potential to do harm and have always done so when compared to cb. <

This was opinion, accurate, but nevertheless conjecture. Riley (or you) gonna now call personal opinion inaccurate? Oh yea, he (or you) already did that to us once, huh?

>Concerning the truckstops selling cb, Jerry, I don't know where you received your information but Mr. Hollingsworth claimed that even IF the money was there to mount a campaign for what you claim, he would do his best to find a better use for it...such as an EDUCATION blitz. The MANDATORY initial certified warnings that are sent out to offenders are paid for from the "educational" fund. Any step beyond that is an entire different ballgame and is only one of many reasons for forfeiture and fines...an attempt to recoup the dollar spent.<<

Another easy one. If it isn't to simply recoup and have something to show for their "show of shows", ask the esteemed gent why they don't have the teeth to really fine these suckers and be done with it. You won't like the answer, and if you're putting forth any type truth concerning Riley's comments, it's obvious he probably won't tell you anyway. No one wants to recognize their own immortality and everyone is now aware Bush is a one termer and they are rightfully concerned with their own azz..Riley included.

> Cb has never been the concern to the FCC you have been putting forth, Jerry. Hollingsworth actually spoke of the overzealousness that self-consumes way too many hammies, and literally stated much of their behavior is WORSE than the cb "problem" they are presenting to report. <<

THIS, was in a rainreport last year and also in a speech. If you can't remember it, ask him, he will.

>He also has a sense of humor, shown by his statement that if the frustration level of many hammies who bother the FCC with trivial complaints concerning cb could be bottled and sold, the gases would be toxic.<<

He said something about hot air or hot gases in a speech concerning hammies policing of the airwaves when they can't even police themselves. Verbatim isn't important.

>One last note...Jerry, you claimed a rise in enforcement "pretty soon."..... <<

If the FCC is planning an upstart in cb enforcement while the feds are creating a massive buildup in Kuwait, let us know Jerry, 'cause the media was told something very different recently.

>If you know something he doesnt, give him a call and fill him in. Unless a private large windfall of a donation is dropped in their lap earmarked specifically for cb enforcement, the cash is not there for any raid-type commando operations on truck stops nation wide. <<

That's as accurate as yesterday's news.
He doesn't have the cash for this and he knows it.

>On the matter of public record for disbursements
(that doesn't cost a plump nickel if you know where to look), the money for the duration of Bush' first and last term is already accounted for<<

Bad choice of word dereliction on my behalf.
The FCC has their disbursement funds, but must JUSTIFY the use. He's too smart a man to even attempt a presentation for approval of a "cb only" enforcement campaign. Many hammies could learn from this guy.

> and if you would be so kind as to call your associate he would be more than happy to confirm what I just relayed to you, including clueing you in that not only are funds not available for a sole enforcement cb campaign, but NOTHING warrants it, especially a handful of hammies that pretend to be an angry mob of John Q. Public. Deceit has never worked but the truth will set you free. There is even a deficit in the FCC's budget through the end of the lil' shrub's one term.<<

If Riley would deny a deficit, he wouldn't have been APPOINTED to the position he was. Part of his job is ...oh hell, he's your buddy, you ask him word for word.

> Beyond that, the knights in shining armor that you hailed as the almighty saviors are looking for greener pastures, as they know their positions are dust come next presidential election.<<

Ask Mr. Riley what his plan is after the 'lil shrub contaminates more of our GI gene pool and gets tossed next election. Betcha he already has a private position lined up, but I'm not a gambling man. If he's honest, he'll tell you. If not, well, like you said, we'll just wait and see, now, hmmmm?

>My favorite verbatim quote: "Maybe if some of these amateurs (and I use the term loosely) were billed for the unproductive time spent by the FCC responding to their petty complaints some of the foolishness would stop."
Hint: When he spoke of foolishness, he wasn't referring to cbers. <<

The above I plagiarized shamelessly. Mr. Riley knows exactly where it came from.

>Now if you'll excuse me, I have a driver to warm up and a DX call to make to the coal country.<<

Hell, 'ol Riley probably keys up and says hello on triple 5 just like the rest of the hammies that say they don't. Notice they're selling the hell out of those voice changers lately? Probably the same lot that changes their identity on usenet on a regular basis..haha!

3883. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 22:27:04 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24198-3D5C2AB8-169@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132965

From JerryO via Riley:
>What will probably happen is this: the CB freebanders ones overpower will keep it up and cause so much public outcry and interference that they'll draw the wrath of enforcement.<<

I've been saying this since I arrived here.

3884. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 22:30:12 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24198-3D5C2B74-170@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132962

>Tom wrote:
I did a search for "Voobner" on www.qrz.com, and it came back with 0
matches. If he's a ham, it's under a different name than he gives here.
Tom<<

He was driven underground. The cartoon voob character emerged when his
real identity was discredited beyond repair.

3885. Re: Auction Will Be Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 22:30:59 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208151430.188e6d49@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132960

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208111522.37633958@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208091450.760deb51@posting.google.com>...
> > >Mad Dog wrote:
> > >>So-called illegal ops can go go into any truck stop and buy those
> > >>Galaxys all day long.....
>
> > >If they are running 25 watts instead of 4, or above and below the legal
> > >40, they are "illegal ops".
> > >
> > >-A
> >
> >Not if they're licensed hams and in 10 meters they're not.
>
> If they are licensed hams on 10 meters complying with Part 97, then
> they are not bootlegging on unauthorized frequencies. Your point?
>
> -A

Another leap by our favorite "chicken side-step" guy. That is correct,
actuallt. If they are licensed and are running where they are supposed
to be, they're not illegal. That includes the so-called "illegal"
10-meter radios you're so obscessed with.

Your point? You don't have one.

3886. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 22:35:26 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24198-3D5C2CAE-171@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 132963

From: n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com (Tom Sevart)
"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:EvT69.157691$6Z1.7423691@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...

>Twisty then what is your take on this if it is illegal to import and
Illegal to sell, then how in the world you get your hands on one. <

"Well, duh, there are plenty of used ones floating around out there. How
else are they showing up on ebay?
Tom"

Also, as these things (in question) are manufactured in another country,
they are quasi-inspected and tariffs are paid when they enter our
shores, thus making them abide by federal import regulations. How
illegal can they actually be? For everyone but amateurs and dealers.

3887. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 22:44:18 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <69W69.13946$Ep6.1108836@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132961

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ajh8je$1bjdpc$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:UuR69.7454$EP2.600814@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > And, yes,
> > that includes listening to
> > 28.085, taking rest breaks at truck stops and checking to see if they
have
> > any of the illegal radios,
> > recording, photographing, and reporting what I see and hear.
>
> Man, you sure have a set of cajones! Most truckers I know wouldn't take
> very kindly to this.
>
> Tom
>
>

You got that right. We have had two homocides at the same rest stop this
year over Ch 19 arguments.

Dick, where's Harry

3888. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 22:49:05 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D5C10F5.9C23D983@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132966

Richard Cranium wrote:

>
> He never said that it could be, Aanal Aaron! However, you have made a
> "blanket" statement that those 10-meter only radios are somehow
> "illegal", something you've never proven. He (along with several
> others, including myself) have said that YOU'RE WRONG; they ARE legal
> for use on the ten (and 12) meter amateur bands. They have NEVER been
> legal for use on CB.
>
> Part 95 says absolutely nothing about amateur radio. Hams do not need
> to be concerned about Part 95 at all.
>
> No "certain circumstances" about it. You are terribly confused and
> you've got no case.

How do you get around this?

LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS

ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET

(list deleted, we've all seen it)

It does not say illegal to import or market for cb but OK for
amateur radio. It say "illegal to import or market" period.
That means that if they are imported and marketed in the US they
are illegal. But for those that have already been imported and
fall into the hands of hams and are used on 10 meters, I doubt the
FCC will say anything. So the question is, in the hands of a ham
using it on 10 meters is the radio still considered to be illegal?
Inquiring minds want to know.

3889. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 22:55:14 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <bhW69.10889$EP2.700266@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132968

Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ajh8je$1bjdpc$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:UuR69.7454$EP2.600814@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > And, yes,
> > that includes listening to
> > 28.085, taking rest breaks at truck stops and checking to see if they
have
> > any of the illegal radios,
> > recording, photographing, and reporting what I see and hear.
>
> Man, you sure have a set of cajones! Most truckers I know wouldn't take
> very kindly to this.
>
> Tom

I don't HAVE to come into contact with them. All I have to do is document
what I hear. I don't take kindly to their asinine beeping and squeaking up
on 10 Meters, either.

73

J
>

3890. Re: Ground Plane Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 22:56:46 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <OkW69.13959$Ep6.1110112@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132967

"Hillbilly3302" <*******davesradio@alltel.net**********> wrote in message
news:XBV69.384$9T4.463633@news2...
> your best direction will be where it has the most metal in front of it
>
>
> drc
>

That's pretty well the size of it. The hood is indeed part of the body;
with Ford having been within days of being shut down in Canada in the mid
70's for ignition noise, you can depend on it.

Wish I could give you some good advice on running the coax; seen a lot of
hood installations and have yet to see one that was worth a damn.
Generally cut the coax, leak into the cab, or do some other stupidity.

Good as in luck.

Mc**2

3891. Re: Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 23:03:55 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020815190355.09186.00000571@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 132969

Yep sure is...100%

3892. Re: So can anyone tell me who the "RATS" are around here ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 23:12:54 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020815191254.09186.00000575@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 132970

lol yea been there a few times....lots of veggies too. Lots of rats on this NG. ive got most of the dickweeds killfiled but just when you think you have them all...one of them develops a split personality!

3893. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 23:17:31 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <fEW69.13976$Ep6.1112058@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132971

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ajh8a5$1bb4q5$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0208151214.2cbd2bad@posting.google.com...
> >
> > "Remember, the FCC's position on this matter is what we're after. It's
> > also important to remember that the FCC has the authority to make the
> > call. Disagreeing with them doesn't make using the radio on Amateur
> > frequencies legal"
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> But there's absolutely no FCC rule that states you cannot use them
legally
> on ham frequencies. I wish you could understand this. There is no
> disagreement with the FCC. It is simply illegal to import or market
them,
> not use them on 10 meters.
>
> Tom
>
>

He's absolutely correct, Voobsie. Not only may I have Radio Moscow's old megawatt loudenboomer in my basement (and no doubt several other floors) I can put it on the air so long as I can meet the tech specs and keep it below 1500 Peak Envelope Power on the line. There is no rule. I can convert the rf scapel my dentist owns, and a stolen bug zapper if I can get it to work within the specs. And if I can lay my grubby hands on one of the listed rigs and feel like making it work on 28.6, no hoo hoo.

The list is used to warn and ultimately zap the dealers about selling rigs prior to certification, or type acceptance in the old parlance. These rigs will never be certified for CB or any other commercial use. By and large the receivers are not up to standard but that is the owner's problem not the FCCs.

The reality is that the Amateur Service has been inconvenienced enough by Outlaws from CB. As a practical matter, no amateur is about to be hassled for legal operation of this stuff even if it were illegal for us to own which it is not.

You are hereby convicted of straw-clutching, gnat-straining, and camel-swallowing. You are sentenced to be horse-whipped as soon as I can

get a horse.

Dick N&#65533;BK

3894. Re: does anyone use cb's anymore?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 23:29:18 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uloe8uohsokjf3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132972

Funny, I keep seeing these type posts on the internet, but have yet to hear those "geritol" roundtable nets. There are a few folks I talk with considerably - and those conversations usually involve IRLP, local repeater linking, antennas, computers, and - get this - even a discussion on theoretical physics. I even got a call from a guy on the radio asking me if I could provide a ride (seems I monitor 444.850 quite a bit and his radio was closer than the telephone) - he got his ride. I keep hearing those rumors but have yet to hear them on the air. Are you absolutely sure about what you said? (BTW, you have to discount 14.313 and some of the 75 meter trash. Those folks are refugees from Bellevue)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Scott Keller" <yeah_right@noway.com> wrote in message
news:3d582f2c.27855084@news.verizon.net...
> On 12 Aug 2002 21:37:47 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> >yeah_right@noway.com (Scott Keller) wrote:
> >>>Personally, I hear a lot more chatter on my FRS handheld than I do on
> >> my CB. That's pretty sad considering that the range on FRS is about 1
> >> mile on a good day.
> >>
> >> Scott
> >>
> >You may need an antenna,Scott.
>
> No, I've got an OK mobile antenna. It's not a 1/4 wave whip or a
> Wilson 1000, but it's a real decent mag mount. I live in an
> apartment, so I don't have a base setup right now, but I used to pick
> up stations all over the place on my mobile. I do hear some
> activity, but not what I used to hear.
>
> I really enjoyed CB back when I first go into it in the early 90's
> when I was in high school. There were a lot of locals....got togther
> for coffee breaks and fox hunts....it was a lot of fun. It gave me
> the itch to get iinto amateur radio, but after investing a lot of time
> and money it just bores me. All I hear are a bunch of local senior
> citizens with their "geritol" roundtable nets talking about their
> latest medical treatments, or whether or not they had a good BM this
> morning. It's just pathetic.
>
> Scott
>
>

>
>

3895. Re: antennas and more...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 23:35:55 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <uloelbh2bcrr0a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132973

I don't. I worked in a VHF/UHF/trunking radio shop. They had all the prints they needed. Obviously, one does need the prints. At that point, assuming one has a good understanding of electronics, you don't need someone else's 'clip this' information. Eventually, you'll find most radios are well designed within the very tight budget the engineer was given. Making it better will likely require quite a bit of effort (not to mention addition components). Much of the 'clip this diode and change that resistor' do no good, and the person trying to follow said information will often make a mistake - which may well be followed by the release of a not inconsiderable amount of smoke.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"George Kinzer" <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:uBG69.12962$Ep6.1034500@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> do you have prints to a thousand radios? if so , how much?
>
> --
> If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens
>
>

3896. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 23:41:49 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajhe5f$1avabp$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132974

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:fEW69.13976$Ep6.1112058@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

> He's absolutely correct, Voobsie. Not only may I have Radio Moscow's old
> megawatt loudenboomer in my basement (and no doubt several other floors) I
> can put it on the air so long as I can meet the tech specs and keep it
> below 1500 Peak Envelope Power on the line. There is no rule. I can
> convert the rf scapel my dentist owns, and a stolen bug zapper if I can
> get it to work within the specs. And if I can lay my grubby hands on one
> of the listed rigs and feel like making it work on 28.6, no hoo hoo.

I just checked the FCC Rule Book (part 97, amateur rules) I own, and the
ONLY type-acceptance requirement for any amateur gear is for amplifiers that
operate below the 2 meter band. And this is only for manufacturers. If I
built an amplifier from scratch, I would not need to get it certified.

There is no such thing as an "illegal radio" for amateur use.

Tom

3897. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 23:48:13 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ulofcepitfkr97@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132975

I don't know, but if anyone is going to hazard a guess, please use scientific notation (floating point); i.e. 2.2 E +35

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message news:20020815182159.23530.00000901@mb-ma.aol.com...
> How many times is this pile of shit going to be reposted?
> Train
>
> >Subject: Illegal 10 Meter Radios
> >From: "Alan S." subnet@hotmail.com
> >Date: 8/15/02 5:27 AM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <Xns926B1915A9923subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
> >
> >The "Legal issues with Ebutt" thread is getting pretty long, so I figured
> >I'd weigh in on the Illegal 10 Meter Radio discussion by starting a new
> >one.
> >
> >There seems to be a great deal of disagreement regarding the legality of
> >certain 10 meter radios. Some say they are legal, but only on Amateur
> >frequencies, others say they are illegal to use on any frequency, and
> >still some others have taken a middle of the road position stating that
> >existing radios sold before the new rules went into effect are exempted.
> >This discussion began in earnest after an FCC notice on the subject was
> >posted. Before I address the issues point by point, I'd like to say that
> >there would be little confusion if the FCC had addressed these concerns
> >more directly. Still, I think the notice and the existing rules are
> >sufficient to determine the FCC's position on the matter.
> >
> >To begin, I will need make certain assumptions. First, the issue at hand
> >is whether or not certain radios are legal or illegal, and under what
> >circumstances. Second, it is my intention to interpret the FCC's position
> >on the matter. Whether or not I agree with them is best left to another
> >thread. Third, operating a radio in compliance with FCC rules is doing so
> >legally. Operating a radio in violation of FCC rules is doing so
> >illegally. That being said, only those concerned with operating in a
> >legal manner will find this post relevant. Determining legality is of no
> >consequence to those who don't intend to operate legally. I'm not here
> >to pass judgement. This post is intended only to state what I believe to
> >be the FCC's position. It's only an opinion.
> >
> >The notice that started alot of this discussion is not actually a notice,

> >it's a letter from Christopher J. Wright to John F. Atwood of the US
> >Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999. In this letter, Mr Wright cites
> >existing FCC rules in determining whether or not certain radios can be
> >imported or marketed in the United States. Understanding this is crucial.
> >At no point are new laws proposed. Existing laws are referenced by the
> >Office of Engineering and Technology to show the Customs Service that the
> >importing and marketing of certain radios is illegal. Here is a copy of
> >the letter for reference:
> >
> >http://swap.qth.com/fcc/fcc-cbtable2.htm
> >
> >I don't feel the need to address whether or not these radios are legal
> >for use on CB. It is my feeling that everyone involved in this issue
> >acknowledges that they are in fact not legal for CB use. So, I will
> >simply conclude that the FCC has determined that the radios listed are
> >not legal for use on CB.
> >
> >As to the issue of their legality for use on Amateur frequencies, the
> >letter states:
> >
> >"Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall within
> >the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. 95.603(c). A CB
> >transmitter must be certificated by the FCC
>
> *Snip*

3898. Re: Eenie meenie miney moe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 00:10:52 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020815201052.24361.00000539@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 132976

The galaxy melaka is probably the best radio you can buy for the price...You can check it out at www.copper.com.

3899. Re: Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 00:12:50 UTC
From: Nobody Important <webmaster@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ulogre2jk15eb2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132977

I believe it is one of those things that will make you sound like a southern white trash truck driver. You know...
overmodulated...echo....reverb....etc.
It will make your CB sound like shit..... kinda like most truckers CB's.
"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:tiT69.4107$LO1.351309@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I bought something at a garage sale. It says "Palomar Voice Controller, mod
> VC300". What is it? I paid $5.00 . Is it legal?
>
>

3900. running my own cable on a volvo tractor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 00:16:08 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ajhg9l031bi@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 132979

I drive a 95 white gmc volvo. I was thinking of running my own cable out to the antennas. Primarily due to the inherent fact that factory cabling sucks. Instead of doing the micky mouse wiring job they do, which you can't duplicate anyway, I was thinking of running the cable through the rubber boot where the steering mechanism goes through the firewall.
Single line from the radio through the wall, then a T-connector outside the wall.

Any comments on the idea?

3901. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 00:24:03 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <vAX69.12282$EP2.736011@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132978

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24200-3D5C2A2D-65@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Hey ya' Jer! Ya need to clarify a few things for your newest
cheerleader. He needs spoonfed. Regarding the 10% claim you set
forth.....

>Well, I must again conclude with JerryO's ascertations to a certain
extent. <<

I agree with your OPINION here. So if MY opinion is wrong here, it in
sync with yours, which apparently may not be Riley's. Let's move on.

It's not opinion; I cut and pasted his very words.

>Hollingsworth is personable and very easy to get along with,<<

Hollingsorth ISN"T easy to get along with? Ok, if he insists this is
inaccurate, fine by me. Again, it is an opinion, and not one person,
including Riley, may validate anothers.

???????Not sure of the gist of the above

> but let's get to the meat of the matter. It is not he alone that
decides what enforcement will be, or where. <<

So you don't think that the agents in the enforcement bureau pay attention
to the Legal Counsels
or those who are tasked with various aspects of enforcement? If not, then
why HAVE the position? His job is Special Legal Counsel to the Enforcement
Bureau for Amateur Radio. Duties
include enforcement of AMATEUR radio regulations which was certainly needed
prior to his appointment. It cannot be denied that the bands are MUCH
cleaner since he came onto the scene.
There will always be those who will test the limits. He outlined his plan
for accomplishing the tasks
back in 1999: 1) Rid the airwaves of the music-playing, foul-mouthed, trash
that infested certain
frequencies. 2) Encourage repeater owners to work out coordination issues.
3) Restore Amateur
Radio to the respect and ambassadorial status it should have. And, 4) Deal
with the intruders on
the 10 and 12 meter Amateur bands. That is EXACTLY what he has been doing.
I don't know
about your "figures" about funds that are influenced by your complete denial
and your vested interest in having the enforcement status quo maintained. I
don't recall speaking of a wholesale
sweeping down upon CBers by FCC agents in some sort of massive "witch

hunt", but, if you
look at the ARRL page, ARNewsline, and the FCC website, you will see where enforcement
activities have, indeed, increased WRT the users and sellers of those illegal "10 Meter" radios.
To wit, Derek's Trucking of Creedmoor, NC, Copper, EEI/ExportsRUS (were they the same?),
L & N Design, of Boise, Idaho, NYC Taxis, The Two Way Shop, Kennewick, WA, Yoshie
Nakamura, Palo Alto, CA, Truck N Travel Centers, Coburg, OR, Gary Gribble Trucking, Rock-
wood, PA, Mr. Trip Williams, Travelers' Rest, SC, Mr. Michael Kinney, Sherman Oaks, CA,
Mr. George Cairns (trucker), Waldron, AR, Mr. Carl Vincent, Lake Elsinore, CA, Mr. Mark Hanson, Wausau, WI, David Merrill, Wichita Falls, TX, Jerry Smith, Claymont, DE, Mr. McCreary, of a recent posting, Roper Electronics, of Tomah, WI, Flying J Travel Plazas, and Pilot
Truck Stops--nearly ALL of which are connected with the 10 meter issue. This is in contrast to
the way it was BEFORE Mr. Hollingsworth, and there were almost NO actions against CBers.
I would say that, while it still isn't enough and won't be until we are rid of the 10 meter roaches, it
sure looks like he is doing something

Ok, does he disagree with this statement?

>Matter of fact, he has way less authority than is attributed him. The best word to describe his actual day-to-day function is "liason."<<

Ok, maybe that title offended him. I'll concede he has a choice among choices. If he takes that as inaccurate, so be it.

His title is Legal Counsel for Amateur Enforcement

>He also agrees that with the suncycle on a downward shift, cb
complaints will remain basically what they have always been...a
non-issue save for safety and severe repeated interference complaints
and those drop with the skip conditions.

As far as distance is concerned, but it does not deal with the criminal intrusions
onto the Amateur bands, and I still predict that RH will continue to pursue the sellers
and users of illegal equipment. The internet isn't affected by "skip". Remember the
guy who was proudly displaying his 10,000 watt amp on his webpage for "squashing
mudducks"? It doesn't take much expense to browse the internet and mail a warning
letter. The legions are pretty safe within the confines of the 40 channels.......for now.

Appears Riley is getting forgetful while on his soapbox lipservicing all you who bother the hell out of him with mundane matter. He may be giving so many of you pissed off hammies so much lip service that he's forgetting what he says. He has not only claimed cb complaints will continue to decrease, but has even revisited the issue and claimed they have decreased.

Then why are there MORE actions against CBers than there were 4 years ago before RH came
onto the scene? Sounds to me like he is doing exactly what he said he'd do. So when did he make
these "claims"?

Of course, I don't expect to be believed or taken seriously, as I am just a low-life cber that was fortunate enough to stumble across an entire contingency of licensed individuals that frequent our cb playground on the internet and allow my uncanny ability to drive them (especially nocodes) underground and over the edge of sanity, and Riley is really a holdout from J. Edgar Hoover who has voice prints and digital directional imprints of me getting down on 555 with Germany last night.

> An anticipated return to what he believes is the loftiest goal before the FCC concerning hobby radio service, enforcement of amateur related activities, is his primary concern. <<

I have no quarrel with this.

that he did not deny this statement. Ask him
plain out what his primary concern is and if he claims anything other than amateur radio, I'll email him again with quotes, ask him when and why he changed his mind, and post the results. Fair enough?

I have never SAID his emphasis WAS anything other than Amateur Radio. But his duties include the PROTECTION of same from unlicensed intruders.

gonna now call personal opinion inaccurate? Oh yea, he (or you) already did that to us once, huh?

>Concerning the truckstops selling cb, Jerry, I don't know where you received your information but Mr. Hollingsworth claimed that even IF the money was there to mount a campaign for what you claim, he would do his best to find a better use for it...such as an EDUCATION blitz. The MANDATORY initial certified warnings that are sent out to offenders are paid for from the "educational" fund. Any step beyond that is an entire different ballgame and is only one of many reasons for forfeiture and fines...an attempt to recoup the dollar spent.<<

GOTCHA!! Fines received from forfeitures DO NOT go to FCC!!!! They go to the US
Treasury General Fund.

ne. If it isn't to simply recoup and have something to
show for their "show of shows", ask the esteemed gent why they don't

have the teeth to really fine these suckers and be done with it. You won't like the answer, and if you're putting forth any type truth concerning Riley's comments, it's obvious he probably won't tell you anyway. No one wants to recognize their own immortality and everyone is now aware Bush is a one termer and they are rightfully concerned with their own azz..Riley included.

You seem to be the only one privy to this info! Riley is not an appointed employee like the
commissioners. He was there WAY before Clinton, and even if Mr. Bush were not to be
re-elected (Not Likely), he will still be there. Remember Phil Kane, who was a career FCC
employee and retired from there? Try harder

>He also has a sense of humor, shown by his statement that if the frustration level of many hammies who bother the FCC with trivial complaints concerning cb could be bottled and sold, the gases would be toxic.<<

He said something about hot air or hot gases in a speech concerning hammies policing of the airwaves when they can't even police themselves. Verbatim isn't important.

>One last note...Jerry, you claimed a rise in enforcement "pretty soon."..... <<

OK. I was referring to Flying J Travel Plazas. According to Riley, in a previous conversation,
there is more action pending against them--along with Pilot Truck Stops.

If the FCC is planning an upstart in cb enforcement while the feds are creating a massive buildup in Kuwait, let us know Jerry, 'cause the media was told something very different recently.

>If you know something he doesnt, give him a call and fill him in. Unless a private large windfall of a donation is dropped in their lap earmarked specifically for cb enforcement, the cash is not there for any raid-type commando operations on truck stops nation wide. <<

It has already begun. See above.

>On the matter of public record for disbursements
(that doesn't cost a plump nickel if you know where to look), the money for the duration of Bush' first and last term is already accounted for<<

You've buried Mr. Bush way too soon. I'd take him over another wimpy, el-pinko,
weak-kneed liberal like Clinton or Al Gore ANY day.

a presentation for approval of a "cb only"
enforcement campaign. Many hammies could learn from this guy.

That was NEVER the intent--only to remove those stinkin' SOB's from the ham bands.

you would be so kind as to call your associate he would be more
than happy to confirm what I just relayed to you, including clueing you
in that not only are funds not available for a sole enforcement cb
campaign, but NOTHING warrants it, especially a handful of hammies that
pretend to be an angry mob of John Q. Public. Deceit has never worked
but the truth will set you free. There is even a deficit in the FCC's
budget through the end of the lil' shrub's one term.<<

What WARRANTS enforcement is the patently illegal, unscrupulous and just
plain wrong
intrusions of unlicensed persons "stealing" frequencies at will. And the
"l'il shrub", as you call
him, has more courage in his little toe than those leftist, pinko,
yellow-bellied cowards that
stank up the White House for the previous 2 terms.

If Riley would deny a deficit, he wouldn't have been APPOINTED to the
position he was. Part of his job is ...oh hell, he's your buddy, you ask
him word for word.

He wasn't "appointed" in the same way the incumbent administrations appoint
FCC Commissioners; He was/is a career employee.

are dust come next presidential election.<<

Bullshit.

y what his plan is after the 'lil shrub contaminates more of
our GI gene pool and gets tossed next election. Betcha he already has a
private position lined up, but I'm not a gambling man. If he's honest,
he'll tell you. If not, well, like you said, we'll just wait and see,
now, hmmmm?

>My favorite verbatim quote: "Maybe if some of these amateurs (and I use
the term loosely) were billed for the unproductive time spent by the FCC
responding to their petty complaints some of the foolishness would
stop."
Hint: When he spoke of foolishness, he wasn't referring to cbers. <<

You haven't heard how he REALLY feels about the CB service!!

here it
came from.

>Now if you'll excuse me, I have a driver to warm up and a DX call to
make to the coal country.<<

Hell, 'ol Riley probably keys up and says hello on triple 5 just like
the rest of the hammies that say they don't. Notice they're selling the
hell out of those voice changers lately? Probably the same lot that
changes their identity on usenet on a regular basis..haha!

Now you must admit you are truly stretching for a point. For my part,
I wouldn't be caught dead taking on 27.555--I don't need to; I have
thousands of
frequencies to choose from--all without worry about "perhaps" getting one of
those
letters.

Jerry

3902. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 00:41:29 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <PQX69.12546$EP2.741374@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132980

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24198-3D5C2AB8-169@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> From JerryO via Riley:
> >What will probably happen is this: the CB freebanders ones overpower
> will keep it up and cause so much public outcry and interference that
> they'll draw the wrath of enforcement.<<
>
>
> I've been saying this since I arrived here.
>

That's really what I am saying. If the intrusions are not stopped and
enforcement were not forth-
coming, then those who feel it is OK to operate out of band would have no
qualms about moving
onto 33 MHZ, then to 53 MHZ, then to 21 MHZ, and so on----until these
intrusions cause ser-ious interference to some service, not necessarily the
ham bands. Ultimately, something WOULD
have to be done to prevent the use of radio spectrum from being set back 100
years--and at a much higher cost. This is where "some" draconian measures
like I have cited might come into play
IF CB radio became known to the general public as a nuisance. Other radio
users have just as much "right" (for lack of a better term for comparison)
to unmolested access to radio spectrum as
do CBers, and, therefore, a reasonable expectation of protection from such
interference as caused
by those who would flaunt the law. Sheer numbers of users (I would wonder,
tho, if cellphone users now outnumber CBers) do not make illegal operations
right.

J

3903. Re: Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 01:11:13 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D5C5129.68F0D6AA@icok.net>
Source record: 132982

tools wrote:
>
> I bought something at a garage sale. It says "Palomar Voice Controller, mod
> VC300". What is it? I paid $5.00 . Is it legal?

Sounds like some kind of audio processor. What kind of
connectors does it have on it?
'Doc

3904. Re: Texas Star DX1600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 02:08:50 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <S8Z69.49038$me6.6573@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 132983

"Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:ull62tmptneu54@corp.supernews.com...
> Made them using The Gimp

Windows version or Linux version? If your running a Unix spin off what's your favorite distribution? Nevermind - I guess by visiting your site I answered my own question. I'll assume you are a fan of Linux based on the Linux links, ect. How bout some advice for a guy who misses command line computing and wants to get back in?

Your site is well done by the way.

Dave

3905. Re: Ground Plane Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 02:27:19 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020815222719.24475.00000627@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 132984

>From: "Burnt Eddy" 00002112@no-id.com
>I plan on installing a Firestik on a hood >mount to a Ford F150.
Try a triple magnet mount up on top. Run the coax down the back window between the bed and cab. Come up thru one of the grommets underneath into the back seat or back of cab. Alot of people dont like magnet mounts. I was one till I got the F-150 supercab and found it's works good enough.
Good luck Joe 333 Springfield MO

"Got RF?"

3906. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 02:48:38 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajhp3o$1b7hjn$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132985

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:PQX69.12546$EP2.741374@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...

> That's really what I am saying. If the intrusions are not stopped and
> enforcement were not forth-
> coming, then those who feel it is OK to operate out of band would have no
> qualms about moving
> onto 33 MHZ, then to 53 MHZ, then to 21 MHZ, and so on----until these
> intrusions cause ser-ious interference to some service, not necessarily
the
> ham bands.

That goes on today anyway. Not necessarily to the effect that so much serious interference is occuring, but outbanders are all over the HF and low-VHF bands. It's not just CB'ers, either. Fishing boat operators are all over the HF bands using frequencies they're not licensed for. There's an illegal net of outbanders on 6990 LSB nightly. Personally I don't have much of a problem with it as long as they're not in the ham bands or interfering with other services. If the FCC wasn't so impotent they could take care of the problems, but enforcement is almost nil.

Tom

Tom

3907. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 02:52:44 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajhpbd$1b37kg$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132986

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:bhW69.10889$EP2.700266@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...

> I don't HAVE to come into contact with them. All I have to do is document
> what I hear. I don't take kindly to their asinine beeping and squeaking
up
> on 10 Meters, either.

Well, unless you actually see them using a radio illegal for CB use, there's
really no way to tell which truck at a truck stop or rest area is the
violator unless you're using DF techniques. Just be careful taking pictures
of trucker's CB's.

I appreciate your defense of the 10 meter band, but I really don't care what
happens on the CB band or freeband.

Tom

3908. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 02:54:24 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajhpel$1bav5s$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132987

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24198-3D5C2B74-170@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...

> He was driven underground. The cartoon voob character emerged when his
> real identity was discredited beyond repair.

Well if he were really a ham, he'd realize that there is no type-acceptance
requirement for amateur radio transceivers.

Tom

3909. Re: running my own cable on a volvo tractor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 02:57:33 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <xSZ69.14257$Ep6.1136182@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132988

"John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:ajhg9l031bi@enews4.newsguy.com...
> I drive a 95 white gmc volvo. I was thinking of running my own cable out
to
> the antennas. Primarily due to the inherent fact that factory cabling
sucks.
> Instead of doing the micky mouse wiring job they do, which you can't
> duplicate anyway, I was thinking of running the cable through the rubber
> boot where the steering mechanism goes through the firewall.
> Single line from the radio through the wall, then a T-connector outside
the
> wall.
>
> Any comments on the idea?
>
>
>
>

Try not to create a safety problem with things around the steering shaft.

Coax fittings are not really weathertite as you will discover. Think this one out. Can be done but it will take a bit more than Red the Tech's patented super-sludge to keep the crap out of the insides of the coax. The outside you write off in the first 50 miles.

The T fitting implies that you are going to dual antennas which is fine but realize that the coax length between the T and the antenna is fairly critical and they do have to be equal. On most installations these are 75 ohm coax, too.

Use lots of tie downs and cable clamps. It it can get in the way of something it will; if it can flap in the wind it will.

Good Luck

3910. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 03:00:59 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajhpqs$1bdb0e$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 132989

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D5C10F5.9C23D983@mailcity.net...

> It does not say illegal to import or market for cb but OK for
> amateur radio. It say "illegal to import or market" period.
> That means that if they are imported and marketed in the US they
> are illegal. But for those that have already been imported and
> fall into the hands of hams and are used on 10 meters, I doubt the
> FCC will say anything. So the question is, in the hands of a ham
> using it on 10 meters is the radio still considered to be illegal?
> Inquiring minds want to know.

Once again, no. And for the umpteenth time: THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS AN "ILLEGAL" AMATEUR RADIO TRANSCEIVER. The idea of an "illegal" radio is obviously a CB'er thing.

There is absolutely no type-acceptance (certification) requirement for amateur radio transceivers. Any and all radios are completely legal to use on the amateur radio frequencies as long as the person using it has a license for that band, and the transmitter does not exceed any power limitations for that band. All the radios listed have a power output way under the 1500 Watt limit for 10 meters.

And the FCC notice does not make the radios "illegal." Nobody can confiscate them just because you own them (unless you're operating one in an illegal manner). The FCC notice states that it is illegal to "import or market" those radios. Existing 10 meter transceivers are legal to own and operate (on 10 meters by licensed hams).

Man, it's like talking to a brick wall sometimes. I can't wait to get the reply from the FCC to show it to you all.

Tom

3911. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 03:08:11 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <v0_69.14273$Ep6.1137423@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132990

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ajhpqs$1bdb0e$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3D5C10F5.9C23D983@mailcity.net...
>
> > It does not say illegal to import or market for cb but OK for
> > amateur radio. It say "illegal to import or market" period.
> > That means that if they are imported and marketed in the US they
> > are illegal. But for those that have already been imported and
> > fall into the hands of hams and are used on 10 meters, I doubt the
> > FCC will say anything. So the question is, in the hands of a ham
> > using it on 10 meters is the radio still considered to be illegal?
> > Inquiring minds want to know.
>
> Once again, no. And for the umpteenth time: THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS
AN
> "ILLEGAL" AMATEUR RADIO TRANSCEIVER. The idea of an "illegal" radio is
> obviously a CB'er thing.
>
> There is absolutely no type-acceptance (certification) requirement for
> amateur radio transceivers. Any and all radios are completely legal to
use
> on the amateur radio frequencies as long as the person using it has a
> license for that band, and the transmitter does not exceed any power
> limitations for that band. All the radios listed have a power output
way
> under the 1500 Watt limit for 10 meters.
>
> And the FCC notice does not make the radios "illegal." Nobody can
> confiscate them just because you own them (unless you're operating one
in an
> illegal manner). The FCC notice states that it is illegal to "import or
> market" those radios. Existing 10 meter transceivers are legal to own
and
> operate (on 10 meters by licensed hams).
>
> Man, it's like talking to a brick wall sometimes. I can't wait to get
the
> reply from the FCC to show it to you all.
>
> Tom
>
>

JJ is one of the dipsticks that follow someone named Roger around; he may well be licensed and in fact I suspect he is one of my contemporaries. One of the characteristics of the Roger Brigade from the alt-ghetto is that none of them are particularly bright.

You will get used to the 'I Talk to the Trees' Syndrome eventually.

Dick N&#65533;BK

3912. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 03:21:31 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <%c_69.7079$BW3.253596030@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132991

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:NPT69.13882$m91.775594@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:eJP59.4341$4J4.167218478@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> > > Panty Queen, I never said he said he talked on 11 meters I was asking
> him
> > > question did you notice the question mark?, get the dick out of your
eye
> so
> > > you can either read the post or mind your own business instead of
> trolling
> > > my posts.
>
>
> > I'm not trolling your posts George, you asked a question
> > with intent on trying to infuse operating on 11 meters. He
> > explained it was a stock 2510 and how he used it, but like
> > Aaron you were trolling and trying to infer something other
> > than what was typed.
> > So who's the troll George?
>
>
> I asked a simple question do you talk on 11 meters
> Now all of a sudden Landshark is Carnac the Magnificent and are going to
> tell me why I asked the question, and what my intent was.

You have proved by your harrasing, sexual filled inuendo posts
that you are doing nothing more than troll, I don't have
to be a mind reader like you're trying to infer.

>You are still the
> troll Landwhale. keep searching the archives I see your still enamoured
> with me LOL
>
>

Really, what have I posted about you from the
past lately?
>
>
>
>
> > > --
> > > Try me I'll Make you Famous

> > >
> > "Ask me, and I'll show you how"
> >
> > Too funny. You just can never hold a civil debate, every time
> > you get cornered you come back with some sort of insult
> > or sexual innuendo, no matter who it is.
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> >
> > --
> > If you are implying that people, who
> > hold ham licenses, should be somehow
> > held to a higher moral standard than
> > the "common man", then that would
> > be faulty logic. A ham license is only
> > a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
> > "badge of morality".
> >
> >
>
>

Selling Herbal life now George? Is that the meaning of
your new sig file?

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

3913. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 03:21:31 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <%c_69.7078$BW3.253596030@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132993

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ajh7iv$1as8a6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:ajgari$1b5dgd$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> >
> > I am quite certain that I am right on this issue, but I will contact the
> FCC
> > to confirm it.
>
> OK, I called the FCC (1-888-CALL-FCC) to see if anyone there could settle
> the issue, but the lady I finally got through to didn't seem to know much
> about this issue or radio in general. Basically she said there shouldn't
be
> any problem with those radios if they're operated legally on the ham
bands.
> She referred me to Part 97 rules.
>
> Undaunted, I decided to contact Mr. LaForge at rlaforge@fcc.gov. Here's a
> copy of the email I sent:
>
> Hello,
>
> Recently I have been reading a debate on the internet about the list of
> transceivers deemed illegal for import or marketing as CB transceivers.
The
> list is as follows:
> As soon as I get a reply I'll post it here.
>
> Tom
>
>

Well good for you Tom, good luck on
convincing our imaginary friend "A"

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

3914. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 03:21:32 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <0d_69.7080$BW3.253596030@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132992

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ajh8oh$1bp4it$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:4027-3D5BBF74-59@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>
> > It is, Tom. Check google for "Voobner" and you will see the sheer amount
> > of access sites he had pulled for (gasp) violating usenet AUP's. He has
> > had many incarnations of "Voobner", all pulled for violations. His aim
> > is harassment, nothing less. He's a nocode.
> >
>
> I did a search for "Voobner" on www.qrz.com, and it came back with 0
> matches. If he's a ham, it's under a different name than he gives here.
>
> Tom
>
>

Why no Tom, you won't find our
imaginary friend. "He's" claimed not to be
a ham, but seems more concerned with
what goes on the cb by cross posting
items to this group and the ham groups.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

3915. Re: UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 03:21:32 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <0d_69.7082$BW3.253596030@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132994

```
"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:%zT69.16149$2p2.791996@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> LOL turn me in roflmao 2x
>
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:YgF69.6728$jB5.230087743@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> > news:9aB69.8834$SS.178754@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > >
> > > FCC CONSUMER ALERT
> >
> >
> > Troll post.
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> >
> > --
> > If you are implying that people, who
> > hold ham licenses, should be somehow
> > held to a higher moral standard than
> > the "common man", then that would
> > be faulty logic. A ham license is only
> > a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
> > "badge of morality".
> >
> >
>
>

Huh? You mean the sig file? Not me,
Dave Hall used that paragraph, I just use
it like the alter ego "A" does others.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
```

3916. Re: George's troll post of UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 03:21:32 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <0d_69.7083$BW3.253596030@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132995

```
"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:xzT69.19160$SS.803932@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:YgF69.6728$jB5.230087743@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> > news:9aB69.8834$SS.178754@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > >
> > > FCC CONSUMER ALERT
> >
> >
> > Troll post.
> >
> > Landshark
>
>
> Yes Landwhale anything that goes against Illegal CB is a troll post, funny
> part is your post was a waste of bandwidth and a troll Post. LOL Go back
to
> alt.sex.ts and make some more posts you Panty Queen
>
>

Yes George, your right, always, you are always
right, no matter what.............LMAO!
Lets put this in prospective, you post this drivel,
knowing darn well that it would cause some people
to flame. If you go on to a car group and tell people
that all headers are illegal, high powered head lights
are illegal, performance chips are illegal, you will be
called a troll. Same thing here, you did this purposely
to inflame others, so don't act like this was a useful
post.
You still can't hold a civil conversation without
the troll comments "Panty Queen" "Landwhale"
and whatever sort of other sexual, harassing innuendos,
can you.

Landshark
```

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

3917. Re: Expanded CB Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 03:21:32 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <0d_69.7081$BW3.253596030@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132996

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:2JT69.19261$SS.809519@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:YgF69.6730
> > You.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Really how do you figure or is this another TROLL Post by you?
>
>
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>
>
>
>

Nope:

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:3dA69.2986$m91.93542@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
if
> thats not a criminal what is?
>
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>
>
>

And I said "you", pretty simple right?

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

3918. Hey SIDEBANDERS....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 03:42:34 UTC
From: AE352@iwon.com
Message-ID: <ajhsba$f3a$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 132997

Take a peek:
http://american-eagle.tripod.com

http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/americaneaglessbcbersclub

A.E. 352
27.395 LSB

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

3919. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 04:18:37 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D5C5E31.C0BCDB6B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132998

Richard McCollum wrote:

>
> JJ is one of the dipsticks that follow someone named Roger around; he may
> well be licensed and in fact I suspect he is one of my contemporaries.
> One of the characteristics of the Roger Brigade from the alt-ghetto is
> that none of them are particularly bright.
>
> You will get used to the 'I Talk to the Trees' Syndrome eventually.
>
> Dick Nï¿½BK

Sorry to disappoint you but I don't follow anyone around.

3920. Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual--2nd Edition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 04:27:21 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <lpvolu4b02dp901bo1cmig971717ivcqus@4ax.com>
Source record: 132999

In stock-the NEW updated Galaxy-Ranger service manual. This SECOND EDITION covers the EPT3600, EPT0696, and EPT6900 chassis types. That includes MANY brands such as Galaxy, Ranger/RCI, Superstar, Texas Ranger, Connex, etc. Complete details on this specific book are on our Web site at:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

Check out all our CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

* *
In case you didn't already know...

Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

If you have any problems getting the files, or need additional details on what we offer, please email us directly at:

info@cbcintl.com

73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980

www.cbcintl.com

Email: info@cbcintl.com

3921. Re: running my own cable on a volvo tractor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 05:44:27 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D5C9132.8FD93C6D@icok.net>
Source record: 133000

John,
As long as you make sure that the cable isn't rubbing
against anything I don't see whay it wouldn't work.
'Doc

3922. www.TimNebo.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 05:47:07 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <2535cf212f85061ddf4a8a2ac08f6091@xganon.com>
Source record: 133001

test

73 de Tim

-
www.TimNebo.com

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

3923. Re: running my own cable on a volvo tractor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 05:54:52 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <aji43p0u2k@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133003

You ain't gonna believe this but on Fri, 16 Aug 2002 02:57:33 GMT
Richard! said this:

RM> "John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
RM> news:ajhg9l031bi@enews4.newsguy.com...
>> I drive a 95 white gmc volvo. I was thinking of running my own cable
>> out
RM> to
>> the antennas. Primarily due to the inherent fact that factory cabling
RM> sucks.
>> Instead of doing the micky mouse wiring job they do, which you can't
>> duplicate anyway, I was thinking of running the cable through the
>> rubber boot where the steering mechanism goes through the firewall.
>> Single line from the radio through the wall, then a T-connector
>> outside
RM> the
>> wall.

>> Any comments on the idea?

RM> Try not to create a safety problem with things around the steering
RM> shaft.

RM> Coax fittings are not really weathertite as you will discover.
RM> Think this one out. Can be done but it will take a bit more than
RM> Red the Tech's patented super-sludge to keep the crap out of the
RM> insides of the coax.
RM> The outside you write off in the first 50 miles.

RM> The T fitting implies that you are going to dual antennas which is
RM> fine but realize that the coax length between the T and the antenna
RM> is fairly critical and they do have to be equal. On most
RM> installations these are 75 ohm coax, too.

RM> Use lots of tie downs and cable clamps. It it can get in the way of
RM> something it will; if it can flap in the wind it will.

RM> Good Luck

I'm no dummy when it comes to cb stuff. Been around it for over 30 years.
75 ohm coax on a cb? You must work for a trucking manufacturer.
It's 52 ohms from the radio to the antenna or you get a mismatch.
75 ohm is for tv.

My other consideration was to pop a hole out the back side of the sleeper in
a convenient place.
At least there, the hole is easily fixed when the cable is removed and not

noticeable.

Working with dual antenna systems is no problem for me. I just cut both cables at the same point at the same time.
Fit the plugs and go.

--
"Write the bad things that are done to you in sand, but write the good things that happen to you on a piece of marble."

3924. Re: Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 06:02:27 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <aji4hu0uj5@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133002

You ain't gonna believe this but on Thu, 15 Aug 2002 19:29:29 GMT tools!
said this:

t> I bought something at a garage sale. It says "Palomar Voice
t> Controller, mod
t> VC300". What is it? I paid $5.00 . Is it legal?

Could be a VOX box. Just talk into the mike and it switches the radio to
transmit for you.

--
"Write the bad things that are done to you in sand, but write the good
things that happen to you on a piece of marble."

3925. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 07:57:02 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208152357.39b111ef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133004

Tom Sevart wrote:
>Well if he were really a ham, he'd realize that there is no
type-acceptance
>requirement for amateur radio transceivers.
>
>Tom

Hi Tom. Amateur's dispensation from type acceptance is not being disputed by me, and never has been (see below). The phoney "10 meter radios" being sold to non-hams at venues such as truck stops (e.g. the soon-to-be busted "Flying J") are the issue. Any reasonably perceptive person can see that the distributors and manufacturers of phoney 10 meter radios (and CB amplifiers) are using amateur equipment's freedom from type acceptance as a loophole to get it on the market. Luckily the FCC recognizes that merely renaming poorly-disguised CB radios "amateur gear" is an obvious (and dishonest) ploy to exploit booming sales to truckers and "freebanders". Oddly, I have noticed that there are certain hams posting in CB newsgroups who would prefer that sales of this equipment to unlicensed individuals continue unmolested.

-Aaron-

--

From: Aaron H. Voobner (voobner_aaron@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: Re...10M rigs, Customs, legals-The Real Deal...
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19
19:46:22 PST

vhfradiobuff@aol.com (vhfradiobuff)wrote:
voobner_aaron@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:
> >There is a difference. Since the 1930's virtually all commercial ham
> >gear has come off the shelf capable of transmitting out of band. A
> >twist of the VFO or a substitution of a crystal and suddenly you're on
> >(for example) a military frequency. However they were not disguised as
> >license-free hobby radios or marketed as something other than what
> >they were. Even today, the big three's (Icom, Yaesu, Kenwood) gear is
> >not intentionally designed and marketed for the sole purpose of being
> >used illegally -- unlike the phoney "10 meter export" radios -- the
> >majority of which are marketed to, sold, and used by CB'ers in the
> >USA.
> >
> >-A
>
>

>So the fact that a "real" HF ham rig can be modified just as easily
>(if not easier) as these "export/10 meter" radios doesn't bother you?

No. Amateur gear does not require type-acceptance and never has. CB gear does. Hams are allowed to build, modify and operate their own equipment. CBers are not. By and large, hams have not abused their privileges. CBers have.

>There's lots of "Freebanders" running high priced ham rigs. Do you
>really think they just bought them to talk on the "Freeband" or do
you
>think they might be hams that talk on ham freqs as well?

If they are hams, they risk losing their licenses and paying large fines. A look at the RAIN report shows that enforcement action against these type of people is happening now more often. Apparently a number of hams whose licenses allow them vhf-only privileges figure they can get on HF by "freebanding". Pretty dumb, I'd say. (You don't know anyone who fits that description, do you?)

>Why haven't the companies done anything to keep hams from being able
>to modify these radios? Rat Shack did it with their last 10 meter rig
>.. they did
>it with their 2m and 70cm HT's and mobiles... why can't Icom, Yaesu
>and Kenwood do it?

If $2000 Yaesu FT1000MP's and Icom 756 Pro's start selling like hotcakes in truckstops, then perhaps the companies would be compelled to do something. But both you and I know it's the phoney '10 meter' radios that are aimed at the CB market being pushed by unscrupulous retailers that are at the root of the problem.

I could be wrong but it almost sounds as if you are trying to scare/blackmail law-abiding hams into silence by insinuating that if illegal clip-and-transmit '10-meter export' CB radios are unable to be manufactured, then it will somehow trigger the FCC to enact type-acceptance rules for real, legitimate ham gear.

Ain't gonna happen.

-A

3926. Re: running my own cable on a volvo tractor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 10:07:06 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <e9479.14101$Ke2.1204086@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133005

"John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:aji43p0u2k@enews4.newsguy.com...
> You ain't gonna believe this but on Fri, 16 Aug 2002 02:57:33 GMT
>
>
> >
> RM> The T fitting implies that you are going to dual antennas which is
> RM> fine but realize that the coax length between the T and the antenna
> RM> is fairly critical and they do have to be equal. On most
> RM> installations these are 75 ohm coax, too.
>
>
> I'm no dummy when it comes to cb stuff. Been around it for over 30
years.
> 75 ohm coax on a cb? You must work for a trucking manufacturer.
> It's 52 ohms from the radio to the antenna or you get a mismatch.
> 75 ohm is for tv.

Most times you are right, this time you are not. If you simply put two 50 ohm loads on a T, you see 25 ohms and that is 2:1 at best and looks down on its load which can get a little tough on transistors.

So the trick is what for some reason I do not know is called a Q section. If you put an electrical (!) quarter wave of 75 between the antenna and the T you get about 100 ohms. Two of these in parallel give you 50 and everyone marchs arm in arm into the sunset.

Actually a pretty common stunt. The trick is the electrical quarter-wave.

3927. Re: Ground Plane Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 10:52:39 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <XP479.14197$Ke2.1206772@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133006

Roof would be much better... use a magnetic mount and your drilling worry is
over.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Burnt Eddy" <00002112@no-id.com> wrote in message
news:ulnq79t2i5puda@corp.supernews.com...
> I plan on installing a Firestik on a hood mount to a Ford F150. I know the
> roof would be better, but just can't bring myself to drill the big hole!
My
> question is: What is my groundplane going to consist of with this
> configuration? Will the hood act as the plane or the fender, or just the
> mount? Any constructive suggestions would be appreciate - like whats the
> best way to get the feed to the radio.
>
> Just wondering......
>
> Burnt Eddy
> KARS9212
>
>
>
>

3928. Re: Eenie meenie miney moe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 11:02:15 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D5CDBBD.A8E4C3F3@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133007

Frmboybuck wrote:
>
> The galaxy melaka is probably the best radio you can buy for the price...You
> can check it out at www.copper.com.

And buy it someplace else. Copper sux!

3929. Re: www.TimNebo.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 11:06:27 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D5CDCB9.8B1AE2B6@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133008

Bullshit! Tim doesn't have to hide behind a reflector. Too bad xganon.com is blocked from my mailbox. You'll have to find a new way to harass the masses. Asshole.

xganon wrote:
>
> test
>
> 73 de Tim
>
> -
> www.TimNebo.com
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---

3930. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 11:09:52 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D5CDD86.B55DE345@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133009

Tom Sevart wrote:

> Man, it's like talking to a brick wall sometimes. I can't wait to get the
> reply from the FCC to show it to you all.

You are talking to a trolling brick wall. Ignore Aaron/JJ/whatever and
stop entertaining them. They/it is pulling your strings, Mr. Puppet.

3931. Re: George's troll post of UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 11:28:44 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D5CE1F3.F2475F8B@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133010

Landshark wrote:
> Yes George, your right, always, you are always
> right, no matter what.............LMAO!
> Lets put this in prospective, you post this drivel,
> knowing darn well that it would cause some people
> to flame. If you go on to a car group and tell people
> that all headers are illegal, high powered head lights
> are illegal, performance chips are illegal, you will be
> called a troll. Same thing here, you did this purposely
> to inflame others, so don't act like this was a useful
> post.
> You still can't hold a civil conversation without
> the troll comments "Panty Queen" "Landwhale"
> and whatever sort of other sexual, harassing innuendos,
> can you.

If you ignore him/it he will go away. Yes, it'll try to bring
you out of the bushes, just ignore it.

3932. Re: Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 11:49:12 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <YE579.6085$I6.500560@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 133011

Oh goody, always wanted to sound like a truck driver!

3933. Re: Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 11:50:05 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <NF579.6086$I6.501713@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 133012

Why thank you john, you have been VERY helpful.

3934. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 12:39:58 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208160439.5b537274@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133013

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208151227.3e6cda70@posting.google.com>...
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<ajg991$1b916b$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:c9ceae5b.0208150053.521e297b@posting.google.com...
> > >
> > > You are correct that amateur equipment does not require type
> > > acceptance. The FCC has defined the radios on the FCC BANNED LIST are
> > > not legitimate amateur equipment. They are instead defined as being
> > > "CB transmitters".
> > >
> > If I use it on 10 meters, it is legitimate amateur equipment, not a "CB
> > transmitter."
>
> True, but if you use it, it must have come into your posession by some
> means, perhaps legal, perhaps not. Your "potential use" of it does not
> change the FCC's definition of it as a CB transceiver. If it is on the
> banned list, it is "illegal to offer for sale" as defined in the FCC
> notice.

Ermmm; Aanal Aaron, the Notice does NOT say "illegal to offer for
sale". It states that it is no longer legal to "import and market".
There is a huge difference in terminology. Somehow that continues to
evade your understanding.

BTW, what was the Number and Date of the Notice? You never gave us the
facts.

> > And what's wrong with me selling it to another ham for use on
> > 10 meters?
> >
> > Tom
>
> Good question. Private exchanges of these items (don't ask me where
> you'd get a banned transceiver from) would appear to be no problem, as
> long as you are not seeking a loophole for distributing these things
> commercially. You might want to check with the FCC on it.
>
> -Aaron-

Another of your famous "chicken side-steps"!! All along you've been
saying that individual sales were "illegal". Now you're changing your
tune again. Which is it?

BTW, you can get those "banned transceivers" almost anywhere; I see them at hamfests all the time. Another poster said he saw them at HRO. I bet Copper still has some in stock, too. You really need to get out more often! However, they are not "banned"; they have been prohibited from "import and market". That doesn't even imply what you're trying to twist it into.

3935. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 12:42:24 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208160442.7c9379e2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133014

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<ajhpel$1bav5s$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:24198-3D5C2B74-170@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>
> > He was driven underground. The cartoon voob character emerged when his
> > real identity was discredited beyond repair.
>
> Well if he were really a ham, he'd realize that there is no type-acceptance
> requirement for amateur radio transceivers.
>
> Tom

He isn't. He once (allegedly) stated that he was studying for his
license exam. Given the amount of time he wastes here and trolling on
eBay looking for "illegal" auctions, he isn't spending much time
studying! ;)

3936. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 12:43:43 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208160443.2dba7184@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133015

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<24198-3D5C2B74-170@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> >Tom wrote:
> I did a search for "Voobner" on www.qrz.com, and it came back with 0
> matches. If he's a ham, it's under a different name than he gives here.
> Tom<<
>
> He was driven underground. The cartoon voob character emerged when his
> real identity was discredited beyond repair.

And that identity is? Inquiring minds need to know! (just for future
reference, you understand)

3937. Re: Eenie meenie miney moe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 12:52:19 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020816085219.28599.00000731@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 133016

I ve spent thousands with copper and never had any problems whatsoever. here techs can tune anything you buy and they do it well....and no i do not work for copper and never have. its just a great place to do bussiness. JMOH

3938. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 13:59:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15656-3D5D0526-18@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133017

From: richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<24198-3D5C2B74-170@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
>Tom wrote:
I did a search for "Voobner" on www.qrz.com, and it came back with 0
matches. If he's a ham, it's under a different name than he gives here.
Tom<<

He was driven underground. The cartoon voob character emerged when his
real identity was discredited beyond repair.

>And that identity is? Inquiring minds need to know! (just for future
reference, you understand) <<

I really don't concern myself with "who"., that's how these guys achieve
sticky palms.
There are several on here who have traced and pinged him down to the
second he posts.
Maybe you'll get an email from the one who did the legwork, it's quite
impressive and leaves no doubt. I can give you two known facts...more
than one has posted as "Aaron" here in the past (Frank was replying to
his own posts on google prior to them even showing up) and only one
other person has lost as MANY emails and access to the group for
violating rules as Aaron...KC8LDO. Look him up, he attributes his
popularity to cbers bootlegging him..... Hell, if cbers were gonna
bootleg a call, we'd use a movie star or Riley's or someone worthy.
One can always tell when the google account is shared..Lelnad can't mask
his personal anger as well as Frank. Frank CAN be pleasant if the mood
so strikes him, so can George, but George isn't full of malice (it's
vinegar) and says what he wants out in the open.
Aaron is a nocode.

3939. Re: Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 14:04:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15656-3D5D0673-19@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133019

>From: medtools@earthlink.net (tools)
Oh goody, always wanted to sound like a truck driver!<

Crosspost it as you usually do so the rest of the hammies know.

3940. Re: UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 14:06:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15656-3D5D06E3-20@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133018

>Huh? You mean the sig file? Not me,
Dave Hall used that paragraph, I just use it like the alter ego "A" does
others.
Landshark<<

Yea, but they have double standards, shark.

3941. Re: running my own cable on a volvo tractor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 14:21:36 UTC
From: BillS <BillS@austin.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3D5D0A70.8E27082A@austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133021

John Smith wrote:

>
>
> I'm no dummy when it comes to cb stuff. Been around it for over 30 years.
> 75 ohm coax on a cb? You must work for a trucking manufacturer.
> It's 52 ohms from the radio to the antenna or you get a mismatch.
> 75 ohm is for tv.
>

When he said 75 Ohm, he was referring to using dual antennas. In such instances, you need a phasing harness (Y Cable) made withg 75 ohm to get the proper match. We're all assuming dual antennas because he talked about a T connector just inside the firewall.
BillS

3942. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 14:44:17 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020816104417.08873.00000548@mb-mw.aol.com>
Source record: 133020

george is wierd for sure but at least he's a decent guy and isnt out of touch with reality. lelnad and sparky are just fcked up individuals period, so i'm not really sure whats wrong with those two, but hell i've even posted as voob a few times myself in the days........

>
>I really don't concern myself with "who"., that's how these guys achieve
>sticky palms.
>There are several on here who have traced and pinged him down to the
>second he posts.
>Maybe you'll get an email from the one who did the legwork, it's quite
>impressive and leaves no doubt. I can give you two known facts...more
>than one has posted as "Aaron" here in the past (Frank was replying to
>his own posts on google prior to them even showing up) and only one
>other person has lost as MANY emails and access to the group for
>violating rules as Aaron...KC8LDO. Look him up, he attributes his
>popularity to cbers bootlegging him..... Hell, if cbers were gonna
>bootleg a call, we'd use a movie star or Riley's or someone worthy.
>One can always tell when the google account is shared..Lelnad can't mask
>his personal anger as well as Frank. Frank CAN be pleasant if the mood
>so strikes him, so can George, but George isn't full of malice (it's
>vinegar) and says what he wants out in the open.
>Aaron is a nocode.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3943. Re: George's troll post of UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 14:47:08 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Mf879.7209$an6.262534316@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133023

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D5CE1F3.F2475F8B@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Landshark wrote:
> > Yes George, your right, always, you are always
> > right, no matter what.....LMAO!
> > Lets put this in prospective, you post this drivel,
> > knowing darn well that it would cause some people
> > to flame. If you go on to a car group and tell people
> > that all headers are illegal, high powered head lights
> > are illegal, performance chips are illegal, you will be
> > called a troll. Same thing here, you did this purposely
> > to inflame others, so don't act like this was a useful
> > post.
> > You still can't hold a civil conversation without
> > the troll comments "Panty Queen" "Landwhale"
> > and whatever sort of other sexual, harassing innuendos,
> > can you.
>
> If you ignore him/it he will go away. Yes, it'll try to bring
> you out of the bushes, just ignore it.

Darn you Scott, to damn logical. Your right.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

3944. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 14:47:09 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Nf879.7210$an6.262534316@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133022

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208152357.39b111ef@posting.google.com...
>
> Hi Tom. Amateur's dispensation from type acceptance is not being
> disputed by me, and never has been (see below). The phoney "10 meter
> radios" being sold to non-hams at venues such as truck stops (e.g. the
> soon-to-be busted "Flying J") are the issue. Any reasonably perceptive
> person can see that the distributors and manufacturers of phoney 10
> meter radios (and CB amplifiers) are using amateur equipment's freedom
> from type acceptance as a loophole to get it on the market. Luckily
> the FCC recognizes that merely renaming poorly-disguised CB radios
> "amateur gear" is an obvious (and dishonest) ploy to exploit booming
> sales to truckers and "freebanders". Oddly, I have noticed that there
> are certain hams posting in CB newsgroups who would prefer that sales
> of this equipment to unlicensed individuals continue unmolested.
>
> -Aaron-
-

Yes Tom, look at another troll post that Aaron
did a short while back (Note the newsgroup he made it in)

From: "AVoobner" <voobner@cotse.com>
Sender: "AVoobner" <voobner@cotse.com>
Reply-To: "AVoobner" <voobner@cotse.com>
Subject: HELP US STOP CB INTERFERENCE
Newsgroups: law.school.legal-prof
X-User-Info: 205.188.199.47 205.188.199.47
NNTP-Posting-Host: 206.53.132.39
Message-ID: <3ad8ae78$1@news2.mibx.net>
Date: 14 Apr 2001 21:09:28 GMT
X-Trace: 14 Apr 2001 21:09:28 GMT, 206.53.132.39
Lines: 48

If you have ever had your TV interfered with, or heard loud voices
swearing
on your stereo or computer speakers, you have been the victim of a
"CBer"
using an illegal amplifier. CB radios are permitted only 5 watts of
power
output by the FCC.

Yet many CBers use illegal RF amplifiers in defiance of the law.
These
"outlaw CB" guys think they can get away with it and laugh in the

publics face.
Here is one of the worst, (below) a fellow who makes a living from
selling
these things and promoting their use.

If you want to help, send a short note to INFO@FCC.GOV and perhaps
copy this
email as reference.

Thank you,
A. Voobner

Here's a post where he reported a ham selling an amp at
a ham fest.

Newsgroups: rec.radio.swap
From: Aaron H. Voobner < Aaron H. <nospam@newsranger.com>
References: <9nc1vj$p5l@dispatch.concentric.net>
Subject: Re: FS: MLA-2500 with NO tubes going to Findlay, OH Hamfest
Lines: 14
Message-ID: <ITjm7.199$%u4.206@www.newsranger.com>
X-Abuse-Info: When contacting newsranger.com regarding abuse please
X-Abuse-Info: forward the entire news article including headers or
X-Abuse-Info: else we will not be able to process your request
X-Complaints-To: abuse@newsranger.com
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 08 Sep 2001 03:36:08 EDT
Organization: http://www.newsranger.com
Date: Sat, 08 Sep 2001 07:36:08 GMT

In article <9nc1vj$p5l@dispatch.concentric.net>, John Goller, k9uwa says...
>
>
>Nice looking MLA-2500 minus the tubes.......$225 obo.......
>
>Modify it with your own tube or tubes........
>
>John k9uwa
>

reported to fccinfo.gov

You make the call,

Landshark

Aaron H. Voobner <voobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:fbc7a2.0109201011.78a7e2e0@posting.google.com...
>
> 'Helmut' and 'Badabing' posted pro-illegal opinions. It could have
> very well been you masquerading under another name, Sharkie. That
> makes more sense. But, heck, that's just the way I see it.

But the numbers tell a different story. One of a parasitic troll, who lives not under a bridge - but on rec.radio.cb

MIBX postings numbers (dynamically assigned):
152.163.197.67, 205.188.200.33,
205.188.198.163, 205.188.198.188

"AKC" group numbers (dynamically assigned):
64.12.102.49, 64.12.105.29,
64.12.105.34, 152.163.213.59,
152.163.213.82, 152.163.213.178,
205.188.193.152, 205.188.193.176

Aarons numbers (also dynamically assigned):
64.12.103.48 64.12.103.54
64.12.105.23 64.12.105.29
64.12.105.33 64.12.105.39
152.163.195.206 152.163.201.194
152.163.201.209 152.163.201.213
152.163.207.52 152.163.207.62
152.163.213.58 152.163.213.184
152.163.213.197 205.188.196.26
205.188.196.44 205.188.198.178
205.188.199.167 205.188.200.31
205.188.201.197 205.188.201.203

Conclusions...
1. Aaron posted the crap, but is happy to pass the blame.
2. Aaron is a parasite. He creates hostility, anger, and conflict - then feeds off it.

3945. Re: Need Source for Under Hood PA Speaker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 16:05:11 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D5D22B7.4050906@csotbs.org>
Source record: 133024

Try Radio Shack.

-SSB

BillS wrote:

> Just wondering where one can find a metal PA speaker that is weather
> resistant and able to handle about 4-5 watts of Audio. I am not having
> much luck searching, maybe I'm using the wrong terminology. I keep
> getting hits on indoor PA stuff.
> BillS
>
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

3946. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 17:18:05 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <sra79.22329$EP2.1050509@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133025

Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ajhpbd$1b37kg$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:bhW69.10889$EP2.700266@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> > I don't HAVE to come into contact with them. All I have to do is
document
> > what I hear. I don't take kindly to their asinine beeping and squeaking
> up
> > on 10 Meters, either.
>
> Well, unless you actually see them using a radio illegal for CB use,
there's
> really no way to tell which truck at a truck stop or rest area is the
> violator unless you're using DF techniques. Just be careful taking
pictures
> of trucker's CB's.
>
> I appreciate your defense of the 10 meter band, but I really don't care
what
> happens on the CB band or freeband.
>
> Tom

Niether do I unless they come creeping and slithering onto the ham bands.
If we don't protect
what we have, our bands will become ever more polluted with intruders, and,
after all, if they are
so bold as to "explore" the 10 and 12 meter "rooms" and find no resistance,
they will continue to
expand and grow in numbers like weeds going on to pollute other services as
well. It's like voting:
we cannot sit back and let "somebody else" do it. If we don't act to
protect and preserve our bands, nobody else is going to, either. Those
actions can include recording, photographing the
offending trucks, writing down tag #s, visiting truck stops and reporting
the sale of illegal radios,
AND writing your Congresspersons. The sponsors of the bill to exempt hams
from CC & R
covenants, at least two of them, are hams. We have pretty good press right
now with Congress
and State legislatures. Now is a good time to mount a letter writing
campaign to get something

done about this crap. If we sit back and do nothing, we are assured to LOSE the use of the bands
(interference) AND possibly the bands themselves to commercial interests because the bands aren't
being used in the manner for which they wre intended. So, Tom, get your pen and start writing!

73

Jerry
>
>

3947. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 17:22:35 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Gva79.22339$EP2.1051115@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133026

Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ajhp3o$1b7hjn$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>snip<
If the FCC wasn't so impotent they could
> take care of the problems, but enforcement is almost nil.
>
> Tom
>
And THAT can be changed if those affected adversely by interference write
their Representatives
and the sponsors of the current CC & R Bill and ask that something be done.
If enough of us demand that something be done, then Congress would act to
enable FCC to do their jobs. It IS
ludicrous to charge an agency with a task and then imasculate it so that its
efforts are hampered.

Jerry
>
>

3948. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 17:45:56 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ERa79.22435$EP2.1056660@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133027

Landshark <mailto:S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
news:%c_69.7078$BW3.253596030@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...

>

>
>
> --
> Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and
petition -
> this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what
we
> refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
> freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
> other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
> like the right to vote, would wither and die

Landshark,

I notice you use the above reference to constitutional freedoms in
comparison to radio, but I am
baffled as to the connection with radio. Like driving an automobile, the
use of a transmitter, even in some cases, the use of a receiver, are
PRIVILEGES granted by the government. There is no "right" to drive a car;
your license can be revoked for cause. Likewise, the use of a radio is by
permission, and that privilege can be suspended or revoked as well. By
requiring a license, or
denying a person the use of certain segments of radio spectrum, it is our
government that retains
full control of its citizens' use of radio. That control HAS been
challenged on a number of cases
(where idealistic and overly zealous lawyers mis-interpreted the same phrase
you have quoted) and
been struck down by the courts. The reasons for central control of this
particular issue were made
clear and the attending needs for regulation were established long before
you and I were born.

Some people do idealistically believe that they have a "right" to use a CB
radio that can't be inter-
fered with. Some, not all, believe this means that CB = Deutchland uber
alles, or a rough comparison would be "CB Over ALL", and no one else but
them have the same privileges. The
almost "cultish" aura and folk attraction, coupled with their ignorance of
the hows and whys, then
emboldens them to move into the bands allocated to others. That "Ah've got

mah 'r'ahts' attitude
coupled with the absence of enforcement for over 10 years further aggravates
the condition. And
they compare this non-existent "right" with the phrase you quoted.

The truth is, radio of all kinds and uses has NO relationship to the above
phrase. Radio wasn't even
thought of when that was first written; Ben Franklin was out in his yard
trying to get shocked with a
kite. Radio neither restricts nor bears upon Freedom of Speech and is a
totally different issue.

Not trying to be smarty, but why do you keep quoting the phrase unless you,
too, believe in the
unrestricted overrunning of radio by unregulated operators?

Jerry

>
>

3949. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 17:55:22 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2928-3D5D3C8A-59@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133028

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24200-3D5C2A2D-65@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net... Hey ya'
Jer! Ya need to clarify a few things for your newest cheerleader. He
needs spoonfed. Regarding the 10% claim you set forth.....
Well, I must again conclude with JerryO's ascertations to a certain
extent. <<
I agree with your OPINION here. So if MY opinion is wrong here, it in
sync with yours, which apparently may not be Riley's. Let's move on.

>It's not opinion; I cut and pasted his very words. <<

Let's start over. I was agreeing with many of your ascertations. If
Riley disagrees with MY opinions there, he disagrees with yours as well,
as I was AGREEING with you with certain aspects we were discussing.
Such as:
Hollingsworth is personable and very easy to get along with

Hollingsorth ISN"T easy to get along with? Ok, if he insists this is
inaccurate, fine by me. Again, it is an opinion, and not one person,
including Riley, may validate anothers.
???????Not sure of the gist of the above
but let's get to the meat of the matter. It is not he alone that
decides what enforcement will be, or where. <<

>So you don't think that the agents in the enforcement bureau pay
attention to the Legal Counsels
or those who are tasked with various aspects of enforcement?<<

Try calling a field office with some pertinent questions that are basic
for any fed employed by the FCC and let me know if you think they are
capable of managing your grocery listt alone what you have in mind.

> If not, then why HAVE the position? <<

Figurehead.

>His job is Special Legal Counsel to the Enforcement Bureau for Amateur
Radio. Duties
include enforcement of AMATEUR radio regulations which was certainly
needed prior to his appointment. It cannot be denied that the bands are
MUCH cleaner since he came onto the scene. <<

I also agreed here with you, as I have no reason to doubt you as I don't
concern myself all that much anymore with hammie radio.

>There will always be those who will test the limits. He outlined his plan for accomplishing the tasks
back in 1999: 1) Rid the airwaves of the music-playing, foul-mouthed, trash that infested certain
frequencies. 2) Encourage repeater owners to work out coordination issues.
3) Restore Amateur
Radio to the respect and ambassadorial status it should have. And, 4) Deal with the intruders on
the 10 and 12 meter Amateur bands. That is EXACTLY what he has been doing. <<

Yea, but your tune has changed now Jer. WE were talking of cb enforcement and you now are focusing on amateur.....not related.

>I don't know
about your "figures" about funds that are influenced by your complete denial and your vested interest in having the enforcement status quo maintained. I don't recall speaking of a wholesale
sweeping down upon CBers by FCC agents in some sort of massive "witch hunt", but, if you
look at the ARRL page, ARNewsline, and the FCC website, you will see where enforcement
activities have, indeed, increased WRT the users and sellers of those illegal "10 Meter" radios.<<

I don't see that at all. I mean, no matter what the hammies are telling eachother in print, the fact remains they are still there, always have been, and at no less avail.

>To wit, Derek's Trucking of Creedmoor, NC, Copper, EEI/ExportsRUS (were they the same?),
L & N Design, of Boise, Idaho, NYC Taxis, The Two Way Shop, Kennewick, WA, Yoshie
Nakamura, Palo Alto, CA, Truck N Travel Centers, Coburg, OR, Gary Gribble Trucking, Rock-
wood, PA, Mr. Trip Williams, Travelers' Rest, SC, Mr. Michael Kinney, Sherman Oaks, CA,
Mr. George Cairns (trucker), Waldron, AR, Mr. Carl Vincent, Lake Elsinore, CA, Mr. Mark Hanson, Wausau, WI, David Merrill, Wichita Falls, TX, Jerry Smith, Claymont, DE, Mr. McCreary, of a recent posting, Roper Electronics, of Tomah, WI, Flying J Travel Plazas, and Pilot Truck Stops--nearly ALL of which are connected with the 10 meter issue.
<<

You haven't actually compared actions before the last year or so, but that's ok because you were probably weren't aware of the quactions due to the lack of coverage since hammies equate publicity of this activity as an increase.

>This is in contrast to
the way it was BEFORE Mr. Hollingsworth, and there were almost NO actions against CBers. <<

There has always been actions against cbers. They just weren't
publicized to the extent now.

>I would say that, while it still isn't enough and won't be until we are
rid of the 10 meter roaches, it
sure looks like he is doing something <<

Ok, does he disagree with this statement?
Matter of fact, he has way less authority than is attributed him. The
best word to describe his actual day-to-day function is "liason."
Ok, maybe that title offended him. I'll concede he has a choice among
choices. If he takes that as inaccurate, so be it.

>His title is Legal Counsel for Amateur Enforcement <<

But I bet he don't have a patch that says it.

He also agrees that with the suncycle on a downward shift, cb
complaints will remain basically what they have always been...a
non-issue save for safety and severe repeated interference complaints
and those drop with the skip conditions.

> As far as distance is concerned, but it does not deal with the
criminal intrusions
onto the Amateur bands, and I still predict that RH will continue to
pursue the sellers
and users of illegal equipment. <<

And the rule violators will wane with the decrease of skip.

>The internet isn't affected by "skip". Remember the
guy who was proudly displaying his 10,000 watt amp on his webpage for
"squashing
mudducks"? It doesn't take much expense to browse the internet and mail
a
warning
letter. The legions are pretty safe within the confines of the 40
channels.......for now. <<

Also said that if you make noise, someone will answer your call.

Appears Riley is getting forgetful while on his soapbox lipservicing all
you who bother the hell out of him with mundane matter. He may be giving
so many of you pissed off hammies so much lip service that he's
forgetting what he says. He has not only claimed cb complaints will
continue to decrease, but has even revisited the issue and claimed they
have decreased.

>Then why are there MORE actions against CBers than there were 4 years
ago before RH came
onto the scene? Sounds to me like he is doing exactly what he said he'd
do. So when did he make
these "claims"?<<

Happens each cycle.

Of course, I don't expect to be believed or taken seriously, as I am just a low-life cber that was fortunate enough to stumble across an entire contingency of licensed individuals that frequent our cb playground on the internet and allow my uncanny ability to drive them (especially nocodes) underground and over the edge of sanity, and Riley is really a holdout from J. Edgar Hoover who has voice prints and digital directional imprints of me getting down on 555 with Germany last night.
An anticipated return to what he believes is the loftiest goal before the FCC concerning hobby radio service, enforcement of amateur related activities, is his primary concern.

>I have no quarrel with this. <<

that he did not deny this statement. Ask him plain out what his primary concern is and if he claims anything other than amateur radio, I'll email him again with quotes, ask him when and why he changed his mind, and post the results. Fair enough?

>I have never SAID his emphasis WAS anything other than Amateur Radio. But his duties include the PROTECTION of same from unlicensed intruders. <<

gonna now call personal opinion inaccurate? Oh yea, he (or you) already did that to us once, huh?
Concerning the truckstops selling cb, Jerry, I don't know where you received your information but Mr. Hollingsworth claimed that even IF the money was there to mount a campaign for what you claim, he would do his best to find a better use for it...such as an EDUCATION blitz. The MANDATORY initial certified warnings that are sent out to offenders are paid for from the "educational" fund. Any step beyond that is an entire different ballgame and is only one of many reasons for forfeiture and fines...an attempt to recoup the dollar spent.

>GOTCHA!! Fines received from forfeitures DO NOT go to FCC!!!! They go to the
US
Treasury General Fund.
ne.<<

I never claimed they went to the FCC, just that they needed to explain where the money went and something to show for it....cash is always the best, even above confiscation of equipment.
Where the money goes is irrelevant for the moment.

If it isn't to simply recoup and have something to show for their "show of shows", ask the esteemed gent why they don't have the teeth to really fine these suckers and be done with it. You won't like the answer, and if you're putting forth any type truth concerning Riley's comments, it's obvious he probably won't tell you anyway. No one wants to recognize their own immortality and everyone is now aware Bush is a one termer and they are rightfully concerned with their own azz..Riley included.

>You seem to be the only one privy to this info! <<

Nah! Not really. The Bush administration has been denying the ailments caused by the Persian Gulf and is getting ready to contaminate more of our boys. The country ain't that stupid...he's outta here after this term.

>Riley is not an appointed employee like the
commissioners. He was there WAY before Clinton, and even if Mr. Bush were not to be
re-elected (Not Likely), he will still be there. Remember Phil Kane, who was a career FCC
employee and retired from there? Try harder <<

He was appointed, Jerry, not voted or proxied.

He also has a sense of humor, shown by his statement that if the frustration level of many hammies who bother the FCC with trivial complaints concerning cb could be bottled and sold, the gases would be toxic.

He said something about hot air or hot gases in a speech concerning hammies policing of the airwaves when they can't even police themselves. Verbatim isn't important.
One last note...Jerry, you claimed a rise in enforcement "pretty soon."..... <<

>OK. I was referring to Flying J Travel Plazas. According to Riley, in a previous conversation,
there is more action pending against them--along with Pilot Truck Stops. <<

Hokey doke!
Hey, let's check something out. Let's see if any of them truckstops that were popped in the past have any "questionable" gear for sale. If a business grosses, (hypothetically of course, as we all know there is no money to made from amps) say, $150,000 smackaroos, and the cost of a fine is 17 grand...do the math, Jer. It's chalked up to a business expense, and probably even wrote off on the taxes so as to recruit some of the "necessary" expenses, as they do with advertising.

If the FCC is planning an upstart in cb enforcement while the feds are creating a massive buildup in Kuwait, let us know Jerry, 'cause the media was told something very different recently.
If you know something he doesnt, give him a call and fill him in.
Unless a private large windfall of a donation is dropped in their lap earmarked specifically for cb enforcement, the cash is not there for any raid-type commando operations on truck stops nation wide.

>It has already begun. See above.<<

K.

On the matter of public record for disbursements
(that doesn't cost a plump nickel if you know where to look), the money for the duration of Bush' first and last term is already accounted for<<

>You've buried Mr. Bush way too soon. I'd take him over another wimpy, el-pinko,
weak-kneed liberal like Clinton or Al Gore ANY day. <<

So would many others. No matter, he's gone at election time.

a presentation for approval of a "cb only" enforcement campaign. Many hammies could learn from this guy.

>That was NEVER the intent--only to remove those stinkin' SOB's from the ham bands.<

And again, you, nor anyone else has ever taken it on the chin for your position on such. That is a great thing, but when you start patrolling for cbers that have nothing to do with that, you're causing a problem by trying to act as an "inspector" for illegal activities, even if none exist.

you would be so kind as to call your associate he would be more than happy to confirm what I just relayed to you, including clueing you in that not only are funds not available for a sole enforcement cb campaign, but NOTHING warrants it, especially a handful of hammies that pretend to be an angry mob of John Q. Public. Deceit has never worked but the truth will set you free. There is even a deficit in the FCC's budget through the end of the lil' shrub's one term.

>What WARRANTS enforcement is the patently illegal, unscrupulous and just plain wrong
intrusions of unlicensed persons "stealing" frequencies at will. <<

Agreed. But in the same breath, so does hammies intruding on cb, which, I may venture to guess, is plenty more frequent than cbers intruding on hammie bands. But somehow, you don't see this as "stealing" the bands as you do cbers, even though, they have NO right to be there with their hammie radios. What's good for the thief cber is good for the thief hammie.

>And the "l'il shrub", as you call
him, has more courage in his little toe than those leftist, pinko, yellow-bellied cowards that
stank up the White House for the previous 2 terms.<<

Don't get me started. Because of the
likes of Starr and HIS commie pinkos, the Russians and Chinese were stealing and robbing us blind because the republicans were too busy worried about Bill's pee-pee instead of national security. Hell, even McCain warned back in 98 that national attention needs to be on national security. But how could the republicans focus on that when those "yellow bellied cowards" intentionally diverted all that attention away from security by doing what every president (except Reagan) has done....have sex in the White House.
Drudging up the most sexual voyeuristic republican party ever known that professes morality is declining is a hoot., They have the bull by the horn and are leading the way to Babylon.

If Riley would deny a deficit, he wouldn't have been APPOINTED to the position he was. Part of his job is ...oh hell, he's your buddy, you ask him word for word.

>He wasn't "appointed" in the same way the incumbent administrations appoint FCC Commissioners; He was/is a career employee.
are dust come next presidential election.<<

what his plan is after the 'lil shrub contaminates more of our GI gene pool and gets tossed next election. Betcha he already has a private position lined up, but I'm not a gambling man. If he's honest, he'll tell you. If not, well, like you said, we'll just wait and see, now, hmmmm?
My favorite verbatim quote: "Maybe if some of these amateurs (and I use the term loosely) were billed for the unproductive time spent by the FCC responding to their petty complaints some of the foolishness would stop."
Hint: When he spoke of foolishness, he wasn't referring to cbers.

>You haven't heard how he REALLY feels about the CB service!! <<

It can't be any worse than what he has said about many hammies. Adding to that, cbers don't give a rat's tail what he thinks. He is of no consequence to most of us...nada!

Hell, 'ol Riley probably keys up and says hello on triple 5 just like the rest of the hammies that say they don't. Notice they're selling the hell out of those voice changers lately? Probably the same lot that changes their identity on usenet on a regular basis..haha!

>Now you must admit you are truly stretching for a point. <<

Absolutely.
I don't take it serious, Jer. It's not that big a part of my world, which is why I have had nothing to fear all hese years. The part about Riley keying on 555 was a stretch...te part about hammies keying dow , wasn't. I already found one poor slob in Michigan trying to key on me, and when he couldn't, he came out here and started trouble...only place he may be heard, as his hammie equipment didn't have the ass for where he was trying to key.

>For my part, I wouldn't be caught dead taking on 27.555--I don't need to;<<

You know Jerry, I still believe that of you so far, but a few of your contemporaries say the same thing and are notorious liars. See, they DO key up there.

> I have thousands of
frequencies to choose from--all without worry about "perhaps" getting one of those
letters.
Jerry<<

And I wish you well on those freqs. However, patrolling them for one of the same voices that appear on triple 5 desn't hold the same appeal for me as it does for you.
And since the early 70's, I have never worried aout "perhaps" or "maybe" gettng any type letter. In all fairness, it was you that made me aware of certain freqs to steer clear of. I hae never used those freqs anyways, but since that time I have listened for activity there and ust didn't find any.
Look, I know Riley is trying to do what he promised, and you had me admit a certain stretch concerning RILEY on 555, now I would like to ask you something similar. Just looking at this group and the other hammie groups, do you honestly feel these no/good/code
hammies that are filling the boards with shit suddenly change their ways on the air?
You ought start with your own kind, Jer, as it ain't cbers that are messing up your service anywhere near the magnitude hammies are messing it up for themselves.

3950. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 18:00:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2928-3D5D3DD7-60@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133030

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24198-3D5C2AB8-169@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From JerryO via Riley:
What will probably happen is this: the CB freebanders ones overpower
will keep it up and cause so much public outcry and interference that
they'll draw the wrath of enforcement.<<

I've been saying this since I arrived here.

>That's really what I am saying. If the intrusions are not stopped and
enforcement were not forth-
coming, then those who feel it is OK to operate out of band would have
no qualms about moving
onto 33 MHZ, then to 53 MHZ, then to 21 MHZ, and so on----until these
intrusions cause ser-ious interference to some service, not necessarily
the ham bands. Ultimately, something WOULD
have to be done to prevent the use of radio spectrum from being set back
100 years--and at a much higher cost. This is where "some" draconian
measures like I have cited might come into play
IF CB radio became known to the general public as a nuisance. Other
radio users have just as much "right" (for lack of a better term for
comparison) to unmolested access to radio spectrum as
do CBers, and, therefore, a reasonable expectation of protection from
such interference as caused
by those who would flaunt the law. Sheer numbers of users (I would
wonder, tho, if cellphone users now outnumber CBers) do not make illegal
operations right.
J<<

Agreed...almost. It appears as you use the terms
"freebanders" and
"ten meter intruders" interchangeably.
Don't even get me started on cell phones. Society has been intentionally
dumbing down and walking and chewing gum is regarded as some type
accomplishment, such as some getting the nocode entry tcket as such.
Neither is the case, so the only solution is to ban cell phones while
driving.

3951. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 18:05:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2928-3D5D3EFD-61@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133029

JerryO wrote:
Niether do I unless they come creeping and slithering onto the ham bands. If we don't protect
what we have, our bands will become ever more polluted with intruders, and, after all, if they are
so bold as to "explore" the 10 and 12 meter "rooms" and find no resistance, they will continue to
expand and grow in numbers like weeds going on to pollute other services as well. It's like voting:
we cannot sit back and let "somebody else" do it. If we don't act to protect and preserve our bands, nobody else is going to, either. Those actions can include recording, photographing the offending trucks, writing down tag #s, visiting truck stops and reporting the sale of illegal radios,
AND writing your Congresspersons. The sponsors of the bill to exempt hams from CC & R
covenants, at least two of them, are hams. We have pretty good press right now with Congress
and State legislatures. Now is a good time to mount a letter writing campaign to get something
done about this crap. If we sit back and do nothing, we are assured to LOSE the use of the bands
(interference) AND possibly the bands themselves to commercial interests because the bands aren't
being used in the manner for which they wre intended. So, Tom, get your pen and start writing!
73
Jerry<<

Hey, this one got me to thinking.....hammies more than likley started encroaching on the cb band ith their hammie radios after 27 was given to cbers. Wouldn't that make the hammies that drew first blood and broke fedral law?
Difference is, us cbersare a friendlier lot. We really don'tgive a rat's azz if a hammie talks on cb,,,we'lll talk to him anyways...probably why they come on down in the first place,,,so they can escape the frequency fuzz and just......enjoy radio.

3952. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 18:39:56 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <hEb79.22698$EP2.1070391@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133031

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:2928-3D5D3DD7-60@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...

Neither is the case, so the only solution is to ban cell phones while
driving.

Now HERE's something we can agree on! Neither CB or ham operators have
caused as much
havoc and mayhem on the streets as that caused by Mary Q. Public while
driving AND in Lala land
on their cell. I often remark that there are so many people are using
cellphones that young girls now
believe that they have to have a cellphone to their ear before their car
will start; that's the first thing
they do when they get in--cellphone to ear, key in switch, put in gear, then
drive off.

I was actually put onto the sidewalk by a lady in a van on a cell. I was in
the curb lane at 35 MPH,
she was in the CENTER lane just a half car length ahead of me. Because she
was so out in space
on her phone, she suddenly realized that she had missed her turn into a
shopping center and cut across in front of me and into the shopping center!
SCREEEEEEEEECH! I swerved to keep from T-boning her and her little kids,
and found my self traveling down the sidewalk narrowly missing a concrete
light pole base (by mere inches), and sliding to a stop. I then drove down
to the
next driveway and followed the lady into the center who never offered to
stop when she ran me out
of the road. I rolled down my window, "Lady, did you even SEE what you just
did?" "Waaaal, I did after I turned" "Yeah, and you could've severely
injured your kids, me, and my 88 year old Dad"! " Well, I SAID I was sorry
(sarcastic tone)". I was so mad that I was afraid I might get into
trouble by arguing with her, so I drove away. Cellphones and driving don't
mix. Don't know why
they don't, and I don't know why talking on a radio doesn't take away one's
attention as badly, but
it just does.

73

Jerry

3953. Re: Ground Plane Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 18:47:54 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D5D48D3.83707B0E@icok.net>
Source record: 133032

To answer the original question...

The 'groundplane' of an antenna for 27 Mhz for a
mobile consists of all the vehicle's body panels that
make good electrical contact. That includes the roof,
hood, fenders, and trunk lid. It also includes the
frame, and undercarriage of the vehicle, the axles and
wheels, plus the earth under the vehicle by capacitive
coupling. This 'groundplane' is the same no matter if
the antenna is mounted on the vehicle's hood, or roof,
provided that all component parts of the vehicle make
good electrical contact. If a mag-mount antenna is used,
the capacitive coupling of the magnets also has to be
taken into account. Moving the antenna from the hood to
the roof doesn't change what the groundplane consists of,
it only changes the groundplane's distribution in relation
to the antenna. This change in distribution doesn't
change the groundplane's effectiveness, but it does change
the antenna's radiation pattern and characteristics.
'Doc

3954. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 18:49:34 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208161049.1b4b514a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133033

Just to set the record straight.

"Landshark" S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. wrote:
>Yes Tom, look at another troll post that Aaron
>did a short while back (Note the newsgroup he made it in)
>
>From: "AVoobner" <voobner@cotse.com>

Forgery.

>From: Aaron H. Voobner < Aaron H. <nospam@newsranger.com>

Forgery

>the numbers tell a different story.

The numbers lack message references. If you are going to try and frame
me you need to work a bit harder creating your evidence.

-Aaron-

3955. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 18:59:05 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D5D2C89.864D9D29@mailcity.net>
Source record: 133034

Twistedhed wrote:

> Neither is the case, so the only solution is to ban cell phones while
> driving.

Amen to that, I had rather drive down the road with a ham or cb op
on the mobil radio any day than these idiots who attempt to drive
and talk on the cell phone.

3956. Re: running my own cable on a volvo tractor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 19:03:55 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D5D4C95.F6620005@icok.net>
Source record: 133035

John,
I'm afraid you will have to use two sections
of 75 ohm coax for proper impedance matching, if
you're going to use 'dual' antennas. When these
75 ohm sections are combined in the correct manner,
they result in an impedance of about 36 ohms at the
"T". When that 36 ohms is combined with the 50 ohm
coax from the "T" to the radio, the resulting
impedance is about 43 ohms, which is 'close enough'
for your radio do be 'happy' with the match (some-
thing close to 1.2:1 SWR). This whole mess is called
a "conjugate-match", and is common when phasing 'dual'
antennas.
'Doc

PS - Actually, using two matching sections of 93 ohms
coax would be even better. The problem with that
is that 93 ohm coax isn't that common.

3957. Re: running my own cable on a volvo tractor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 19:05:12 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ajjidi0128u@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133042

"BillS" <BillS@austin.rr.com> wrote in message
news:3D5D0A70.8E27082A@austin.rr.com...
>
>
> John Smith wrote:
>
> >
> >
> > I'm no dummy when it comes to cb stuff. Been around it for over 30
years.
> > 75 ohm coax on a cb? You must work for a trucking manufacturer.
> > It's 52 ohms from the radio to the antenna or you get a mismatch.
> > 75 ohm is for tv.
> >
>
> When he said 75 Ohm, he was referring to using dual antennas. In such
> instances, you need a phasing harness (Y Cable) made withg 75 ohm to get
the
> proper match. We're all assuming dual antennas because he talked about a
T
> connector just inside the firewall.
> BillS

Interesting concept. In the past, I've always used standard 52 ohm for both.
In cars, when i check the swr, it's always so close it's pathetic.
Either way, the one thing you don't want to do, is use two different types
of antennas.

3958. Re: Eenie meenie miney moe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 19:05:17 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <N1c79.28784$Fw3.1524301@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133036

Funny part is you guys buy illegal devices from these places and expect them to be on the up and up. LOL It is like getting ripped of by a drug dealer who ya gonna call the cops. LOL

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

"Frmboybuck" <frmboybuck@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020816085219.28599.00000731@mb-mr.aol.com...
> I ve spent thousands with copper and never had any problems whatsoever. here
> techs can tune anything you buy and they do it well....and no i do not work for
> copper and never have. its just a great place to do bussiness. JMOH

3959. Re: UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 19:08:31 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <P4c79.33506$SS.1488578@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133037

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in

> Huh? You mean the sig file? Not me,
> Dave Hall used that paragraph, I just use
> it like the alter ego "A" does others.
>
> Landshark

What the phuqq are you babbling about Landpussy? You are really demented I
never once said anything about a sig file yet true to form you make up some
bs. LOL What a friggin LOSER!
```

3960. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 19:11:48 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <T7c79.28822$Fw3.1528327@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133038

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> > Well if he were really a ham, he'd realize that there is no
type-acceptance
> > requirement for amateur radio transceivers.

> He isn't. He once (allegedly) stated that he was studying for his
> license exam.
And neither are you Dick Head . Whats the call or are you to much of a Dick
with Ears

3961. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 19:13:38 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <C9c79.24741$m91.1445487@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133040

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in > george is wierd for sure but at least he's a decent guy and isnt out of touch
> with reality.

Why Thank You Tim, I take that as a compliment.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

3962. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 19:13:44 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208161113.2fa1508b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133039

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<ajhpbd$1b37kg$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> I appreciate your defense of the 10 meter band, but I
> really don't care what
> happens on the CB band or freeband.
>
> Tom

You have made your point: you don't care if people hijack or misuse
the radio spectrum (unless it happens to be your allocation).

-Aaron-

3963. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 19:22:14 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208161122.11eb720f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133041

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<ajhp3o$1b7hjn$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:PQX69.12546$EP2.741374@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> > That's really what I am saying. If the intrusions are not stopped and
> > enforcement were not forth-
> > coming, then those who feel it is OK to operate out of band would have no
> > qualms about moving
> > onto 33 MHZ, then to 53 MHZ, then to 21 MHZ, and so on----until these
> > intrusions cause ser-ious interference to some service, not necessarily
> the
> > ham bands.
>
> That goes on today anyway. Not necessarily to the effect that so much
> serious interference is occuring, but outbanders are all over the HF and
> low-VHF bands. It's not just CB'ers, either. Fishing boat operators are
> all over the HF bands using frequencies they're not licensed for. There's
> an illegal net of outbanders on 6990 LSB nightly. Personally I don't have
> much of a problem with it as long as they're not in the ham bands or
> interfering with other services. If the FCC wasn't so impotent they could
> take care of the problems, but enforcement is almost nil.
>
> Tom
>
> Tom

--

Again, you have made your point: you don't care about illegal
operation or misuse of the radio spectrum (unless it happens to be
your allocation). So I'm not surprised at your encouragement of
pirate radio operators.

-Aaron-

--

Message 11 in threadFrom: "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com (no.spam)
Subject: Re: Slim Jim design help wanted
Newsgroups: alt.radio.pirate
View this article onlyDate: 1999/05/13

If you would like plans for a Super-J pole (which is similar to a Slim
Jim
except that instead of being folded over, the top part sticks straight

up),
I would be happy to email a .bmp file. Just respond to my email
address
with a message. I built one for 2 meter ham but ended up using it
with my
scanner because of the gain it has. It is, in my opinion, one heck of
a
performer of an antenna.

Tom

Search Result 11From: Tom Sevart (n2uhc@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: New pirate station - Bent Fork Radio?
Newsgroups: rec.radio.shortwave
View: Complete Thread (7 articles) | Original FormatDate: 2001-09-02
07:06:33 PST

"soliloquist" <soliloquist@soliloquist.net> wrote in message
news:tp2tegidhk7t13@corp.supernews.com...
> Seriously, the FCC has limited resources. If a pirate station were to operate
> infrequently (say 1 weekend per month), offering programming not considered
> offensive to most, and to have been reasonably careful so that the frequency
> that they operate on is not causing interference to the Broadcast
> Stations or well used HAM frequencies, what is the odds that the FCC will
> dedicate the time necessary to apprehend the offenders?

Probably pretty low unless for some reason they got a complaint
against the station. The FCC normally doesn't take action against
pirates due to
probability that there's no money in it. Normally they go after big
corporate offenders with deep pockets.

Tom

Groups ï¿½Advancedï¿½Groupsï¿½Searchï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Preferencesï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Groups Helpï¿½
ï¿½Groups search result 17 for n2uhc pirateï¿½
Search Result 17From: Tom Sevart (n2uhc@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: SSB
Newsgroups: rec.radio.shortwave
View: Complete Thread (100 articles) | Original FormatDate: 2000-12-30
06:38:36 PST

>
> "Radio Station WGTG" <wgtg@ellijay.com> wrote in message
> news:92jrne0of7@enews1.newsguy.com...
> > we note with humor that some on this board seem not to like our SSB signal
> > just a few weeks ago the FCC was here with LAB GRADE test equipment we did a
> > complete proof of performance test .... our transmitters test out perfect
> > well within all standards. we think that the problem if any is the toy

> > radios that most hobbyist use or they are not competent with ssb receiving
> > techniques.

I was a professional radio operator in the USAF for four years. I've
been
an SWL for 10 years specializing in utility stations which primarily
use
USB. I think this qualifies me to be competent with SSB receiving
techniques. My radios may be considered to be my "toys" by my wife,
but I
wouldn't say that an Icom R71A or Kenwood TS140 ham transceiver are
"toy
radios."

> > who listens to shortwave that IS NOT a swl hobbyists / masochist aka some
> > individual with no life listening to some weak static filled signal trying
> > to hear radio scumbag on the other side of the globe running 50 watts all
> > to get a post card[qsl]

Your attitude toward radio hobbyists is telling. Yes, I have a life.
That's why I listen to shortwave, to relax and get away from life for
a
while.

> our
> hard
> > signal can be corrected by a separate satellite feed with no
> > processing...raw audio from the distribution amps

Then do it! Don't blame your lousy signal on the listeners. This
morning I
listened to KIPM, a pirate station running 1/nth the power you're
using, yet
he comes in s9+20 with a totally clear signal. In fact, most pirates
have a
better signal than yours.

--
Regards,
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE
and swl hobbyist / masochist aka some individual with no life
listening to
some weak static filled signal trying to hear radio scumbag on the
other
side of the globe running 50 watts
all to get a post card[qsl]

Googleï¿½Home - Advertiseï¿½withï¿½Us - Searchï¿½Solutions - News and
Resources - Language Tools - Jobs,ï¿½Press,ï¿½Coolï¿½Stuff...

ï¿½2002 Google

3964. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 19:49:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2928-3D5D574F-70@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133045

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:2928-3D5D3DD7-60@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Neither is the case, so the only solution is to ban cell phones while
driving.

>Now HERE's something we can agree on! Neither CB or ham operators have
caused as much
havoc and mayhem on the streets as that caused by Mary Q. Public while
driving AND in Lala land
on their cell. I often remark that there are so many people are using
cellphones that young girls now
believe that they have to have a cellphone to their ear before their car
will start; that's the first thing
they do when they get in--cellphone to ear, key in switch, put in gear,
then drive off.
<<

Man, ain't that the God's honest truth.I see more young gals on the
phone while driving and it's quite scary.
We have this one in the neighborhood that drives her dad's SUV at a rate
of
about 45-50 mph on a residential street that is posted at 25 mph, 15 in
other places, and she's always on the damn cell. We have 3 cops living
in the 3 block area and if I had little ones out playing (as many here
do) I would be the loudest, most vocal parent in the 'hood and (shudder)
I may even have broke out the ld video camera.

>I was actually put onto the sidewalk by a lady in a van on a cell. I
was in the curb lane at 35 MPH,
she was in the CENTER lane just a half car length ahead of me. Because
she was so out in space
on her phone, she suddenly realized that she had missed her turn into a
shopping center and cut across in front of me and into the shopping
center! SCREEEEEEEEEECH! I swerved to keep from T-boning her and her
little kids, and found my self traveling down the sidewalk narrowly
missing a concrete light pole base (by mere inches), and sliding to a
stop. I then drove down to the
next driveway and followed the lady into the center who never offered to
stop when she ran me out
of the road. I rolled down my window, "Lady, did you even SEE what you
just did?" "Waaaal, I did after I turned" "Yeah, and you could've
severely injured your kids, me, and my 88 year old Dad"! " Well, I SAID
I was sorry (sarcastic tone)". I was so mad that I was afraid I might
get into trouble by arguing with her, so I drove away. <<

HEEHEEE! I'm not laughing at you Jerry, I'm laughing 'cause I know exactly what you feel.
When I have the dog in the car, traffic violators that jag me off are usually pretty cool about it. Without the dog, it's amazing how many feel they have to pass you when you're pulling a boat or trailer...NO MATTER HOW FAST YOU'RE GOING! Never could figure that out. Cruise control on, happily listening to channel 19 on the cb, and some yahoo has to not only cruise next to me for 5 or 10 minutes, all the while falling back and forth, back and forth, but finally decides to pass me and get in front of me and.....SLOW DOWN! OFF goes my cruise control, I pull out in the hammer lane to pass them, and they floor it. I hear ya' brother,I hear ya'! Seen a bumper sticker..said "Hang up and drive." Amen.

>Cellphones and driving don't mix. Don't know why
they don't, and I don't know why talking on a radio doesn't take away one's attention as badly, but
it just does.
73
Jerry<<

I've wondered that myself and even discussed it before. Just a thought, and it may be unrelated, but whattya wanna bet that many of them that have a hard time driving and talking on a cell couldn't drive a stick if their life depended on it?
I mean, how many of us learned to not only drive on a stick shift, but could actually eat a burger and have a drink at the same time, maybe even a smoke, and hold the prom date's hand at the same time while popping the clutch and shifting gears and keep driving with no problems? What the hell happened?

3965. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 19:51:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2928-3D5D57CA-71@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133044

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:

Neither is the case, so the only solution is to ban cell phones while driving.

>Amen to that, I had rather drive down the road with a ham or cb op on the mobil radio any day than these idiots who attempt to drive and talk on the cell phone. <<

They already did it in some places...Ohio for one...they even have billboards there that say "Pull over...cell call zone lane" or something like that. Betcha we see it outlawed more and more.

3966. Re: New Uniden UH013 UHF CB and Scanner in One- REVIEWED ON Newcastle Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 20:01:26 UTC
From: Dick <dicki_knee@hot.mail.com>
Message-ID: <3d5d59e7$0$8017@echo-01.iinet.net.au>
Source record: 133043
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Hello fellow hobbyists and Companies that give Alpine stereo gear away for nothing!!!!!

You gotta love a company that gives stuff away. Someone might like to suggest to Peter at Newcastle Pro Sound, that when a company advertises Alpine items for $0 AUD inc. GST, that it might not be a good idea for making money.

Sorry, I looked at both the web pages that you mentioned below. Have Uniden improved their receive problems with this little radio?

Cheers
Dick

<djmickyp@d2.net.au> wrote in message
news:3d55f1de$1@news.comindico.com.au...
> Hello fellow hobbyists!!
>
> For a real review on the new all in one UHF CB and Scanner, head over to
> Newcastle Scan
> http://newcastlescan.tripod.com There's other info and freqs NO pop ups
just
> a real
> EZINE style website...
>
> We must thank Peter From Newcastle Pro Sound
> (http://www.newcastleprosound.com.au and I guess new web site coming soon)
> for opportunity to review this little beauty
> and uniden for expanding their vision (about time for an 2 in 1).
>
> If you want to sell an old radio or scanner send an email and we put it on
> our classifieds page
>
> any amateurs who have written a basic introduction to amateur radio we
> really need it for our site, of course including freqs with Newcastle in
> mind:) (well we got the HMAS Nottingham!!!)
>
>
> Cheers and best wishes
> Mike and Garry
>
>

3967. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 20:15:53 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020816161553.03548.00000822@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 133046

jerry heres an honest question for you. since the biggest problem of ham band intruders are really the south americans running LSB on the 12 meter band why is it you are not concerned with these people as well? surely you are writing letters to the south american embassies we have here arent you? i mean if every trucker in the entire world stopped using radios with ten meters it would still only be a small percentage of the intruders off the air.

>
>Niether do I unless they come creeping and slithering onto the ham bands.
>If we don't protect
>what we have, our bands will become ever more polluted with intruders, and,
>after all, if they are
>so bold as to "explore" the 10 and 12 meter "rooms" and find no resistance,
>they will continue to
>expand and grow in numbers like weeds going on to pollute other services as
>well. It's like voting:
>we cannot sit back and let "somebody else" do it. If we don't act to
>protect and preserve our bands, nobody else is going to, either. Those
>actions can include recording, photographing the
>offending trucks, writing down tag #s, visiting truck stops and reporting
>the sale of illegal radios,
>AND writing your Congresspersons. The sponsors of the bill to exempt hams
>from CC & R
>covenants, at least two of them, are hams. We have pretty good press right
>now with Congress
>and State legislatures. Now is a good time to mount a letter writing
>campaign to get something
>done about this crap. If we sit back and do nothing, we are assured to LOSE
>the use of the bands
>(interference) AND possibly the bands themselves to commercial interests
>because the bands aren't
>being used in the manner for which they wre intended. So, Tom, get your pen
>and start writing!
>
>73
>
>Jerry
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3968. Re: running my own cable on a volvo tractor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 20:36:57 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <Jnd79.177897$6Z1.8126348@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132897

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D5D4C95.F6620005@icok.net...
>
>
> John,
> I'm afraid you will have to use two sections
> of 75 ohm coax for proper impedance matching, if
> you're going to use 'dual' antennas. When these
> 75 ohm sections are combined in the correct manner,
> they result in an impedance of about 36 ohms at the
> "T". When that 36 ohms is combined with the 50 ohm
> coax from the "T" to the radio, the resulting
> impedance is about 43 ohms, which is 'close enough'
> for your radio do be 'happy' with the match (some-
> thing close to 1.2:1 SWR). This whole mess is called
> a "conjugate-match", and is common when phasing 'dual'
> antennas.
> 'Doc
>
> PS - Actually, using two matching sections of 93 ohms
> coax would be even better. The problem with that
> is that 93 ohm coax isn't that common.

How about 100 ohm coax?
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

3969. Re: Eenie meenie miney moe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 20:49:52 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020816164952.18273.00000897@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 132898

George,thats why he should buy a nice ham radio and convert it for full band.You could also buy a nice old FT 101ZD with the am board and filter and have a real nice cb radio with 2x 6146 finals.The early 101 series even has a 11meter mode!Go old days!

3970. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 21:04:18 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020816170418.18273.00000905@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 132899

Jerry,you should just move to China! You believe the government should do all the thinking for a person.America(and amateur radio) was found by free thinking people.Not by closed minded sour grape amateur operators like you!

3971. Re: Eenie meenie miney moe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 22:02:56 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <kEe79.34935$SS.1577691@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132900

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020816164952.18273.00000897@mb-mk.aol.com...
> George,thats why he should buy a nice ham radio and convert it for full
> band.You could also buy a nice old FT 101ZD with the am board and filter
and
> have a real nice cb radio with 2x 6146 finals.The early 101 series even
has a
> 11meter mode!Go old days!

I have on the shelf a Yazoo FT-101zd Mark III, with the matching FL 2100B amplifier, matching speaker and phone patch... Any Offers.

3972. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 22:11:38 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <uMe79.25634$m91.1543590@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132901

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020816170418.18273.00000905@mb-mk.aol.com...
> Jerry,you should just move to China! You believe the government should do
all
> the thinking for a person.America(and amateur radio) was found by free
thinking
> people.Not by closed minded sour grape amateur operators like you!

It was founded by them but things were oh so different back then they had no Laws to follow they made them up as they went along. They formed a government, and since you weren't born in the 1700's you have to follow the laws that the Government founded by these free thinkers created. Do you think they would have wanted a lawless society one where rules are made up on the fly, one where people obeyed what laws they wanted and shunned the others they didn't like?
The government doesn't think for you, they just tell you what you can or cannot do. If you don't like it Afghanistan is only a few hour plane ride away

3973. Re: SSB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 22:31:26 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ulqv8gni6q614b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132902

My question is why we would assume the carriers are on the same frequency to begin with? Most likely, one will be a KHz off or so; then it's a 50/50 crapshot if the two sidebands will overlap a KHz or two or not.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"BobC" <123@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:ulls9s8rfh1k61@corp.supernews.com...
> I imagine that simultaneous conversations are possible between USB and LSB
> centered on the same channel.
>
> The problem being that there will be interference between the stronger
> stations due to phase noises and spectral width of each station.
>
> I believe there are now digital filters that could remove such artifacts
as
> long as they are really outside each "channel". If the phase noise and
voice
> spectrum greatly occupy the next "channel" then no amount of filtering
will
> eliminate it. There is a however to this. If you're willing to sacrifice
> some fidelity in the process then you can narrow or shift one side of the
> filters' response and regain communication.
>
>

3974. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 22:35:24 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ulqvfu2ek1cdbb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132903

Just for grins and chuckles, about a year ago I did an extensive search of the white pages for the WHOLE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. Couldn't find any Voobner listed (no aunts, uncles, inlaws, or outlaws).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:ajh8oh$1bp4it$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:4027-3D5BBF74-59@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>
> > It is, Tom. Check google for "Voobner" and you will see the sheer amount
> > of access sites he had pulled for (gasp) violating usenet AUP's. He has
> > had many incarnations of "Voobner", all pulled for violations. His aim
> > is harassment, nothing less. He's a nocode.
> >
>
> I did a search for "Voobner" on www.qrz.com, and it came back with 0
> matches. If he's a ham, it's under a different name than he gives here.
>
> Tom
>
>

3975. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 22:40:02 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ulqvok5rbjl158@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132904

WOW! Twist, I did the look up and can't believe over 7,000 lookups on that callsign!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:15656-3D5D0526-18@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message news:<24198-3D5C2B74-170@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
>Tom wrote:
I did a search for "Voobner" on www.qrz.com, and it came back with 0 matches. If he's a ham, it's under a different name than he gives here.
Tom<<

He was driven underground. The cartoon voob character emerged when his real identity was discredited beyond repair.

>And that identity is? Inquiring minds need to know! (just for future reference, you understand) <<

I really don't concern myself with "who"., that's how these guys achieve sticky palms.
There are several on here who have traced and pinged him down to the second he posts.
Maybe you'll get an email from the one who did the legwork, it's quite impressive and leaves no doubt. I can give you two known facts...more than one has posted as "Aaron" here in the past (Frank was replying to his own posts on google prior to them even showing up) and only one other person has lost as MANY emails and access to the group for violating rules as Aaron...KC8LDO. Look him up, he attributes his popularity to cbers bootlegging him..... Hell, if cbers were gonna bootleg a call, we'd use a movie star or Riley's or someone worthy.
One can always tell when the google account is shared..Lelnad can't mask his personal anger as well as Frank. Frank CAN be pleasant if the mood so strikes him, so can George, but George isn't full of malice (it's vinegar) and says what he wants out in the open.
Aaron is a nocode.

3976. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 23:09:07 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208161509.301b7245@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132905

tnom@mucks.net wrote in message news:<ia1olukqs6dro4u6kotdgol8io17jeejos@4ax.com>...
> Does it really matter if they are illegal to import or sell?
> If one was to tell the whole story about these radios
> then the subject line would read ....
>
> "Not entirely illegal 10 meter radios".
>
> The truth is that these radios are not illegal to own, so individual
> CB'ers have no real reason to have any interest on illegal imports.

Once again, you're mixing apples and oranges. These radios are illegal for use ON CB. They are not, and cannot be, illegal for use on 10 meters since there is no Type Acceptance required for that use. They never have been legal for use transmitting on CB frequencies (not that it ever stopped anyone).

And a licensed amateur can certainly still sell one to another licensed amateur. Something that Aanal Aaron (and apparently Jerry Ox) just cannot grasp.

3977. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 23:11:38 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208161511.30ee57eb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132906

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<ajh8a5$1bb4q5$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0208151214.2cbd2bad@posting.google.com...
> >
> > "Remember, the FCC's position on this matter is what we're after. It's
> > also important to remember that the FCC has the authority to make the
> > call. Disagreeing with them doesn't make using the radio on Amateur
> > frequencies legal"
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> But there's absolutely no FCC rule that states you cannot use them legally
> on ham frequencies. I wish you could understand this. There is no
> disagreement with the FCC. It is simply illegal to import or market them,
> not use them on 10 meters.
>
> Tom

That is precisely correct! Voobie-baby doesn't have a clue, and Jerry
Ox is right behind him.

Look guys, here's a quarter - go buy a clue!

3978. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 23:14:16 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208161514.171e6a3d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132907

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<fEW69.13976$Ep6.1112058@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:ajh8a5$1bb4q5$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> >
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:c9ceae5b.0208151214.2cbd2bad@posting.google.com...
> > >
> > > "Remember, the FCC's position on this matter is what we're after. It's
> > > also important to remember that the FCC has the authority to make the
> > > call. Disagreeing with them doesn't make using the radio on Amateur
> > > frequencies legal"
> > >
> > > -Aaron-
> >
> > But there's absolutely no FCC rule that states you cannot use them
> legally
> > on ham frequencies. I wish you could understand this. There is no
> > disagreement with the FCC. It is simply illegal to import or market
> them,
> > not use them on 10 meters.
> >
> > Tom
> >
> >
>
> He's absolutely correct, Voobsie. Not only may I have Radio Moscow's old
> megawatt loudenboomer in my basement (and no doubt several other floors) I
> can put it on the air so long as I can meet the tech specs and keep it
> below 1500 Peak Envelope Power on the line. There is no rule. I can
> convert the rf scapel my dentist owns, and a stolen bug zapper if I can
> get it to work within the specs. And if I can lay my grubby hands on one
> of the listed rigs and feel like making it work on 28.6, no hoo hoo.
>
> The list is used to warn and ultimately zap the dealers about selling rigs
> prior to certification, or type acceptance in the old parlance. These
> rigs will never be certified for CB or any other commercial use. By and
> large the receivers are not up to standard but that is the owner's problem
> not the FCCs.

Not entirely true; the Uniden HR2510 and HR2600 have excellent
receivers. As to the others, your guess is as good as mine.

> The reality is that the Amateur Service has been inconvenienced enough by
> Outlaws from CB. As a practical matter, no amateur is about to be hassled

> for legal operation of this stuff even if it were illegal for us to own
> which it is not.
>
> You are hereby convicted of straw-clutching, gnat-straining, and
> camel-swallowing. You are sentenced to be horse-whipped as soon as I can
> get a horse.
>
> Dick Nï¿½BK

Not to mention making a mountain out of a molehill, exaggerating, and misinterpreting FCC Notices.

Which brings me to ask again: What is the number and date of the Notice Aanal Aaron allegedly quoted?

3979. Re: running my own cable on a volvo tractor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 23:14:29 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D5DB171.1B7569D2@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132908

'Doc wrote:

> PS - Actually, using two matching sections of 93 ohms
> coax would be even better. The problem with that
> is that 93 ohm coax isn't that common.

Go pull some old Arcnet cable out of some older offices.

3980. Re: So can anyone tell me who the "RATS" are around here ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 23:16:00 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208161516.5213625d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132909

"Iowa883" <Iowa883@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:<ajg6q9$pv1$1@ins22.netins.net>...
> Ok guys,
> I have been on and off this newgroup for the past 3 1/2 years. I am
> thinking of buying a new radio and asking some questions. Well, I skipped
> down through some of the postings and some people are constantly posting
> comments like " your posting is being forwarded to the FCC ". Don't these
> people have anything better to do than sit on here and threaten people ? You
> can bet your A$$ that these are the guys running more watts than anyone else
> and just want to keep Uncle Charlie off their butts. Why can't these guys
> keep to themselves and let the individual do as much bench testing as they
> like ?
> Is there a message board that is monitored that I can join so that posers
> like these guys get banned when they make comments like this ?
> Just wanting a list of these "rats", so I know to ignore their postings and
> comments.
> Anyone help ?
>
> Thanks,
> Iowa883

Just read for a while; it will all become clear to you.

3981. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 23:20:18 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D5DB2CD.607042DC@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 132910

Tom Sevart wrote:

Tom, ignore the troll. Stop banging your head on the wall. As long as you continue to answer, "it" will keep it up. Stop. For your Sanity, not mine. We grin.

3982. Re: running my own cable on a volvo tractor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 23:24:23 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20020816192423.09168.00000960@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 132911

Not even going to bother quoting this idiot. You need to run 75 ohm coax in dual antenna situation rather than 52. The place where oyu might want to use 52 ohm IS when you are using DIFFERENT types of antennas on a vehicle! You have it all backwards. All the splitter is going to do is screw you up even more.
Train

3983. legitimate users of the 25-30mhz spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 23:34:51 UTC
From: ld751@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d12c96e.0208161534.4ca0fb6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132912

```
FREQ CH USE

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

25.000 TIME
25.005 TIME
25.010 TIME
25.015
25.020 PETROLEUM
25.025
25.030
25.035
25.040 PETROLEUM
25.045
25.050
25.055
25.060 PETROLEUM
25.065
25.070 25-01 MARITIME(SHIP)
25.075 MARITIME
25.080 PETROLEUM
25.085 MARITIME
25.090 MARITIME
25.095 MARITIME
25.100 PETROLEUM/EXP
25.105 MARITIME
25.110 MARITIME
25.115 MARITIME
25.120 PETROLEUM
25.125 MARITIME
25.130 USGOV
25.135 MARITIME
25.140 PETROLEUM
25.145 MARITIME
25.150 MARITIME
25.155 MARITIME
25.160 PETROLEUM/USGOV
25.165 MARITIME
25.170 MARITIME
25.175 MARITIME
25.180 PETROLEUM
25.185 MARITIME
25.190 MARITIME
25.195 MARITIME
25.200 PETROLEUM/USGOV
```

25.205 MARITIME
25.210 MARITIME
25.215
25.220 PETROLEUM
25.225 USGOV
25.230 USGOV/EXP
25.235
25.240 PETROLEUM
25.245 USGOV
25.250
25.255
25.260 PETROLEUM
25.265
25.270
25.275
25.280 PETROLEUM
25.285
25.290
25.295
25.300 PETROLEUM
25.305
25.310
25.315
25.320 PETROLEUM
25.325
25.330
25.335 USGOV
25.340 EXP/USGOV
25.345 EXPERIMENTAL
25.350 USGOV
25.355
25.360 USGOV
25.365
25.370 USGOV
25.375
25.380 USGOV
25.385
25.390 USGOV
25.395
25.400 USGOV
25.405
25.410 USGOV
25.415
25.420
25.425 USGOV
25.430 USGOV
25.435 USGOV
25.440 USGOV
25.445 USGOV
25.450 USGOV

25.455
25.460 USGOV
25.465
25.470
25.475 USGOV
25.480 USGOV
25.485
25.490 USGOV
25.495
25.500 USGOV
25.505
25.510
25.515 USGOV
25.520 USGOV
25.525 USGOV
25.530
25.535 USGOV
25.540 USGOV
25.545 USGOV
25.550 USGOV
25.555
25.560 USGOV
25.565
25.570
25.575 USGOV
25.580 USGOV
25.585
25.590 USGOV
25.595 USGOV
25.600 EXPERIMENTAL
25.605
25.610 USGOV
25.615 USGOV
25.620 BROADCAST
25.625
25.630
25.635
25.640
25.645
25.650 USGOV
25.655
25.660
25.665
25.670 BROADCAST
25.675 BROADCAST
25.680 BROADCAST
25.685 BROADCAST
25.690 BROADCAST
25.695 BROADCAST
25.700 USGOV

25.705 BROADCAST
25.710 BROADCAST
25.715 BROADCAST
25.720 BROADCAST
25.725 BROADCAST
25.730 BROADCAST
25.735 BROADCAST
25.740 BROADCAST
25.745 BROADCAST
25.750 BROADCAST
25.755 BROADCAST
25.760 BROADCAST
25.765 BROADCAST
25.770 BROADCAST
25.775 USGOV
25.780 BROADCAST
25.785 BROADCAST
25.790 BROADCAST
25.795 BROADCAST
25.800 EXP / BDCST
25.805 BROADCAST
25.810 USGOV
25.815 BROADCAST
25.820 BROADCAST
25.825 USGOV
25.830 BROADCAST
25.835 BROADCAST
25.840 BROADCAST
25.845 USGOV
25.850 BROADCAST
25.855 BROADCAST
25.860 BROADCAST
25.865 BROADCAST
25.870 BROADCAST
25.875 BROADCAST
25.880 BROADCAST
25.885 BROADCAST
25.890 BROADCAST
25.895 BROADCAST
25.900 BROADCAST
25.905 BROADCAST
25.910 BROADCAST
25.915 BROADCAST
25.920 BROADCAST
25.925 BROADCAST
25.930 BROADCAST
25.935 USGOV
25.940 BROADCAST
25.945 BROADCAST
25.950 BROADCAST

25.955 BROADCAST
25.960 BROADCAST
25.965 BROADCAST
25.970 BROADCAST
25.975 BROADCAST
25.980 BROADCAST
25.985 BROADCAST
25.990 BROADCAST
25.995 BROADCAST
26.000 BROADCAST
26.005 BROADCAST
26.010 BROADCAST
26.015 BROADCAST
26.020 BROADCAST
26.025 BROADCAST
26.030 BROADCAST
26.035 BROADCAST
26.040 BROADCAST
26.045 BROADCAST
26.050 BROADCAST
26.055 BROADCAST
26.060 BROADCAST
26.065 BROADCAST
26.070 BROADCAST
26.075 BROADCAST
26.080 BROADCAST
26.085 BROADCAST
26.090 BROADCAST
26.095 BROADCAST
26.100 MARITIME
26.105 MARITIME
26.110 BROADCAST
26.115 MARITIME
26.120 MARITIME
26.125 MARITIME
26.130 BROADCAST
26.135 MARITIME
26.140 MARITIME
26.145 25-01 MARITIME(COAST)
26.150 BROADCAST
26.155 MARITIME
26.160 MARITIME
26.165 MARITIME
26.170 BROADCAST
26.175
26.180
26.185
26.190 BROADCAST
26.195
26.200

26.205
26.210 BROADCAST
26.215
26.220
26.225
26.230 BROADCAST
26.235 USGOV
26.240
26.245
26.250 BROADCAST
26.255
26.260
26.265
27.270 BROADCAST
26.275
26.280
26.285
26.290 BROADCAST
26.295
26.300
26.305
26.310 BROADCAST
26.315
26.320
26.325
26.330 1 NZ CB/BDCST
26.335 MARS
26.340 2 NZ CB
26.345
26.350 3 NZ CB/BDCST
26.355 USGOV
26.360 3A NZ CB
26.365
26.370 4 NZ CB/BDCST
26.375
26.380 5 NZ CB
26.385
26.390 6 NZ CB/BDCST
26.395
26.400 7 NZ CB / USGOV
26.405
26.410 7A NZ CB/BDCST
26.415
26.420 8 NZ CB
26.425
26.430 9 NZ CB/BDCST
26.435
26.440 10 NZ CB
26.445
26.450 11 NZ CB/BDCST

26.455
26.460 11A NZ CB
26.465
26.470 12 NZ CB/BDCST
26.475
26.480 13 NZ CB
26.485 USGOV
26.490 14 NZ CB
26.495
26.500 15 NZ CB / US GOVT
26.505
26.510 USGOV
26.515 USGOV
26.520 16 NZ CB
26.525 USGOV
26.530 17 NZ CB
26.535 USGOV
26.540 18 NZ CB / USGOV
26.545
26.550 19 NZ CB / US GOV
26.555
26.560 19A NZ CB / USGOV
26.565 BROADCAST
26.570 20 NZ CB
26.575 USGOV
26.580 21 NZ CB
26.585
26.590 22 NZ CB / USGOV
26.595
26.600 24 NZ CB / USGOV
26.605
26.610 25 NZ CB / USGOV
26.615 USGOV
26.620 23 NZ CB / CAP
26.625
26.630 26 NZ CB / USGOV
26.635 USGOV
26.640 27 NZ CB
26.645
25.650 28 NZ CB / USGOV
26.655 USGOV
26.660 29 NZ CB / USGOV
26.665 USGOV
26.670 30 NZ CB
26.675
26.680 31 NZ CB
26.685 USGOV
26.690 32 NZ CB / USGOV
26.695
26.700 33 NZ CB / USGOV

```
26.705 USGOV
26.710 34 NZ CB / USGOV
26.715
26.720 35 NZ CB / USGOV
26.725 USGOV
26.730 36 NZ CB
26.735
26.740 37 NZ CB / USGOV
26.745
26.750 38 NZ CB / US GOV
26.755
26.760 39 NZ CB / USGOV
26.765 BROADCAST
26.770 40 NZ CB / USGOV
26.775 USGOV
26.780
26.785
26.790
26.795
26.800 USGOV
26.805
26.810 USGOV
26.815
26.820 USGOV
26.825 USGOV
26.830 USGOV
26.835 USGOV
26.840
26.845
26.850 USGOV
26.855
26.860
26.865 USGOV
26.870 MARS
26.875 USGOV
26.880 MARS
26.885
26.890
26.895
26.900 USGOV
26.905 USGOV
26.910 MARS
26.915
26.920
26.925 USGOV
26.930 MARS
26.935
26.940
26.945 USGOV
26.950
```

```
26.955
26.960 USGOV
26.965 1 CB
26.970
26.975 2 CB
26.980
26.985 3 CB
26.990
26.995 3A CC
27.000
27.005 4 CB
27.010
27.015 5 CB
27.020
27.025 6 CB
27.030
27.035 7 CB
27.040
27.045 7A CC
27.050
27.055 8 CB
27.060
27.065 9 CB
27.070
27.075 10 CB
27.080
27.085 11 CB
27.090
27.095 11A CC
27.100
27.105 12 CB
27.110
27.115 13 CB
27.120 ISM
27.125 14 CB
27.130
27.135 15 CB
27.140
27.145 15A CC
27.150
27.155 16 CB
27.160
27.165 17 CB
27.170
27.175 18 CB
27.180
27.185 19 CB
27.190
27.195 19A CC
27.200
```

```
27.205 20 CB
27.210
27.215 21 CB
27.220
27.225 22 CB
27.230
27.235 24 CB
27.240
27.245 25 CB
27.250
27.255 23 CB
27.260
27.265 26 CB
27.270
27.275 27 CB
27.280
27.285 28 CB
27.290
27.295 29 CB
27.300
27.305 30 CB
27.310
27.315 31 CB
27.320
27.325 32 CB
27.330
27.335 33 CB
27.340
27.345 34 CB
27.350
27.355 35 CB
27.360
27.365 36 CB
27.370
27.375 37 CB
27.380
27.385 38 CB
27.390
27.395 39 CB
27.400
27.405 40 CB
27.410 EXPERIMENTAL
27.415
27.420 USGOV
27.425
27.430 BUSINESS
27.435
27.440 EXPERIMENTAL
27.445
27.450 BUSINESS
```

27.455
27.460
27.465
27.470 BUSINESS
27.475
27.480
27.485
27.490 BIS (ITINERANT)
27.495
27.500
27.505
27.510 BIS (LOW POWER)
27.515
27.520 USGOV
27.525
27.530 BIS (LOW POWER)
27.535
27.540 USGOV
27.545 USGOV
27.550 USGOV
27.555 USGOV
27.560
27.565 USGOV
27.570 USGOV
27.575 USGOV (ITINERANT)
27.580
27.585 USGOV (ITINERANT)
27.590 USGOV
27.595 USGOV
27.600 USGOV
27.605 USGOV
27.610 USGOV
27.615 USGOV
27.620 MARS
27.625 USGOV
27.630 USGOV
27.635 USGOV
27.640
27.645 USGOV
27.650 USGOV
27.655 USGOV
27.660
27.665 USGOV
27.670
27.675 USGOV
27.680 68 AU MARITIME
27.685
27.690
27.695 USGOV
27.700 USGOV

27.705 USGOV
27.710
27.715 USGOV
27.720 72 AU MARITIME/USGOV
27.725 USGOV
27.730
27.735 MARS
27.740 USGOV
27.745 EXPERIMENTAL
27.750 USGOV
27.755
27.760
27.765
27.770
27.775 USGOV
27.780 USGOV
27.785 USGOV
27.790 USGOV
27.795
27.800 USGOV
27.805
27.810 USGOV
27.815
27.820 82 AU MARITIME
27.825
27.830 MARS
27.835
27.840 84 PNG MARITIME
27.845
27.850 USGOV
27.855
27.860 86 AU MARITIME
27.865
27.870 USGOV
27.875 MARS
27.880 88 AU MARITIME/USGOV
27.885
27.890 USGOV
27.895
27.900 90 AU MARITIME/USGOV
27.905
27.910 91 AU MARITIME
27.915
27.920 92 PNG MARITIME
27.925 USGOV
27.930
27.935 USGOV
27.940 94 AU MARITIME
27.945
27.950 95 PNG MARITIME/USGOV

27.955
27.960 96 AU MARITIME/USGOV
27.965 MARS
27.970 97 PNG MARITIME
27.975 MARS
27.980 98 AU SAR/MARS
27.985 MARS
27.990 MARS
27.995 MARS
28.000 - 29.700 RADIO AMATEUR
29.705
29.710 FOREST PRODUCTS
29.715
29.720 EXP
29.725
29.730 FOREST PRODUCTS
29.735
29.740
29.745
29.750 FOREST PRODUCTS
29.755
29.760
29.765
29.770 FOREST PRODUCTS
29.775
29.780
29.785
29.790 FOREST PRODUCTS
29.795
29.800
29.805
29.810
29.815
29.820
29.825
29.830
29.835
29.840
29.845
29.850
29.855
29.860
29.865
29.870
29.875
29.880
29.885
29.890 USGOV
29.895 USGOV
29.900 USGOV

```
29.905 USGOV
29.910 USGOV
29.915
29.920 USGOV
29.925
29.930 USGOV
29.935 USGOV
29.940
29.945
29.950
29.955
29.960
29.965
29.970
29.975
29.980
29.985
29.990
29.995 USGOV
```

3984. Freeband users in the 25-30mhz range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 23:37:13 UTC
From: ld751@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d12c96e.0208161537.256fc73@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132913

```
Freq;;; User;;; CODES;

--------------------------------------------------------------------------------

26.000;;;;;;;;; AM; =; AMPLITUDE MODULATION;
26.005;;;;;;;;; FM; =; FREQUENCY MODULATION;
26.010;;;;;;;;; LSB; =; LOWER SIDEBAND;
26.015;;;;;;;;; PKT; =; PACKET;
26.020;;;;;;;;; SSB = SINGLE SIDEBAND;
26.025;;;;;;;;; USB; =; UPPER SIDEBAND;
26.030;
26.035;;;;;;;;; EE; =; ENGLISH;
26.040;;;;;;;;; FF; =; FRENCH;
26.045;;;;;;;;; GG; =; GERMAN;
26.050;;;;;;;;; SS; =; SPANISH;
26.055;
26.060;
26.065;
26.070;
26.075;
26.080;
26.085;
26.090;
26.095;
26.100;
26.105;
26.110;
26.115;
26.120;
26.125;
26.130;
26.135;
26.140;
26.145;
26.150;
26.155;
26.160;
26.165;
26.170;
26.175;
26.180;
26.185;
26.190;
26.195;
26.200;
```

```
26.205;
26.210;
26.215;
26.220;
26.225;
26.230;
26.235;
26.240;
26.245;
26.250;
26.255;
26.260;
26.265;
26.270;
26.275;
26.280;
26.285;; CALLING - EUROPE;
26.290;
26.295;
26.300;
26.305;
26.310;
26.315;
26.320;
26.325;; SS/AM;
26.330;; EE/USB;
26.335;
26.340;
26.345;
26.350;
26.355;
26.360;
26.365;
26.370;
26.375;; SS/AM;
26.380;
26.385;
26.390;
26.395;
26.400;
26.405;
26.410;
26.415;
26.420;
26.425;
26.430;
26.435;
26.440;
26.445;
26.450;
```

```
26.455;
26.460;
26.465;
26.470;
26.475;
26.480;
26.485;
26.490;
26.495;
26.500;
26.505;
26.510;
26.515;
26.520;
26.525;
26.530;
26.535;
26.540;
26.545;
26.550;
26.555;
26.560;
26.565;
26.570;
26.575;; SS/AM
26.580;
26.585;; SS/AM
26.590;
26.595;
26.600;
26.605;
26.610;
26.615;
26.620;
26.625;
26.630;
26.635;
26.640;
26.645;
26.650;
26.655;
26.660;
26.665;
26.670;
26.675;
26.680;
26.685;
26.690;
26.695;
26.700;
```

```
26.705;
26.710;
26.715;
26.720;
26.725;
26.730;
26.735;
26.740;
26.745;
26.750;
26.755;
26.760;
26.765;
26.770;
26.775;
26.780;
26.785;; EE/AM
26.790;
26.795;
26.800;
26.805;; EE/AM
26.810;
26.815; " ORLANDO, FL"
26.820;
26.825;
26.830;
26.835;; EE/AM
26.840;
26.845;
26.850;
26.855;
26.860;
26.865;
26.870;
26.875;
26.880;
26.885;
26.890;
26.895;
26.900;
26.905;
26.910;
26.915;
26.920;
26.925;
26.930;
26.935;
26.940;
26.945;
26.950;
```

26.955;
26.960;
26.965 - 27.405; US CB Band;
27.410;; SS/USB
27.415;; EE/SS/LSB
27.420;; EE/LSB; SS/USB
27.425;; EE/LSB
27.430;
27.435;; EE/LSB
27.440;
27.445;; SS/USB
27.450;; EE/LSB
27.455;; EE/USB; SS/USB
27.460;; EE/LSB
27.465;; EE/LSB
27.470;
27.475;; EE/LSB;;; SS/USB
27.480;; EE/LSB
27.485;; EE/LSB
27.490;
27.495;; EE/LSB
27.500;; PC GROUP ITALY
27.505;; EE/SS/LSB
27.510;
27.515;; EE/LSB /; KNIGHT PATROL (USB)
27.520;; SS/USB
27.525;; EE/USB
27.530;; EE/SS/USB;;; 3AT295 SAN PALO BRAZIL
27.535;; EE/LSB
27.540;; EE/LSB
27.545;
27.550;
27.555;; INT'L CALLING; /; AT DX GROUP
27.560;
27.565;; EE/LSB/; WD GROUP ITALY; /; KUWAIT ARABIA INTL DX GROUP
27.570;; EE/LSB
27.575;; EE/LSB; /; AMERICAN MODULATORS SOUTH OHIO (LSB)
27.580;
27.585;; EE/LSB
27.590;; EE/LSB
27.595;
27.600;; EE/USB; /; KUWAIT ARABIA INTL DX GROUP
27.605;
27.610;; EE/USB
27.615;; EE/LSB
27.620;
27.625;; EE/LSB
27.630;
27.635;
27.640;

```
27.645;; SS/LSB/USB
27.650;; EE/LSB
27.655;
27.660;; EE/LSB
27.665;; SS/USB
27.670;
27.675;; EE/LSB;;; SS/USB
27.680;
27.685;; SS/LSB
27.690;
27.695;; SS/USB/LSB
27.700;
27.705;; SS/LSB
27.710;; SS/LSB
27.715;; SS/USB/LSB
27.720;
27.725;; SS/LSB/USB
27.730;; EE/LSB
27.735;
27.740;
27.745;; SS/USB/LSB
27.750;
27.755;; SS/USB
27.760;
27.765;; SS/LSB
27.770;
27.775;; SS/USB
27.780;
27.785;
27.790;
27.795;; SS/USB
27.800;
27.805;; SS/USB
27.810;
27.815;
27.820;
27.825;; SS/USB
27.830;
27.835;; SS/LSB
27.840;
27.845;; SS/USB
27.850;
27.855;
27.860;
27.865;
27.870;
27.875;
27.880;
27.885;; SS/LSB
27.890;
```

```
27.895;
27.900;
27.905;
27.910;
27.915;
27.920;
27.925;; SS/LSB
27.930;
27.935;
27.940;
27.945;; SS/LSB
27.950;
27.955;
27.960;
27.965;
27.970;
27.975;
27.980;; KUWAIT CB CLUB
27.985;
27.990;
;
29.705;
29.710;
29.715;
29.720;
29.725;
29.730;
29.735;
29.740;
29.745;
29.750;
29.755;
29.760;
29.765;
29.770;
29.775;
29.780;
29.785;
29.790;
29.795;
29.800;
29.805;
29.810;
29.815;
29.820;
29.825;
29.830;
29.835;
29.840;
29.845;
```

29.850;
29.855;
29.860;
29.865;
29.870;
29.875;
29.880;
28.885;
29.890;
29.895;
29.900;
29.905;
29.910;
29.915;
29.920;
29.925;
29.930;
29.935;
29.940;
29.945;
29.950;
29.955;
29.960;
29.965;
29.970;
29.975;
29.980;
29.985;
29.990;
29.995;

3985. Re: Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 23:44:14 UTC
From: Packrat<unk> <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <ulr3iqlavja574@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132916

Its an echo/reverb box with digital recorder built in. Some roger beeps too.

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:tiT69.4107$LO1.351309@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I bought something at a garage sale. It says "Palomar Voice Controller, mod
> VC300". What is it? I paid $5.00 . Is it legal?
>
>

3986. Re: SSB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 23:44:34 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020816194434.03613.00000676@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 132915

beacuase were talking about cb with channels

>My question is why we would assume the carriers are on the same frequency to
>begin with? Most likely, one will be a KHz off or so; then it's a 50/50
>crapshot if the two sidebands will overlap a KHz or two or not.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

3987. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-16 23:45:01 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <08g79.178998$6Z1.8249118@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 132914

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208161511.30ee57eb@posting.google.com...
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<ajh8a5$1bb4q5$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:c9ceae5b.0208151214.2cbd2bad@posting.google.com...
> > >
> > > "Remember, the FCC's position on this matter is what we're after. It's
> > > also important to remember that the FCC has the authority to make the
> > > call. Disagreeing with them doesn't make using the radio on Amateur
> > > frequencies legal"
> > >
> > > -Aaron-
> >
> > But there's absolutely no FCC rule that states you cannot use them
legally
> > on ham frequencies. I wish you could understand this. There is no
> > disagreement with the FCC. It is simply illegal to import or market
them,
> > not use them on 10 meters.
> >
> > Tom
>
> That is precisely correct! Voobie-baby doesn't have a clue, and Jerry
> Ox is right behind him.
>
> Look guys, here's a quarter - go buy a clue!

Here's .50 cents go buy a life. LOL
```

3988. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 00:12:01 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <avg79.24388$EP2.1183046@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132918

www.TimNebo.com <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020816161553.03548.00000822@mb-co.aol.com...
> jerry heres an honest question for you. since the biggest problem of ham
band
> intruders are really the south americans running LSB on the 12 meter band
why
> is it you are not concerned with these people as well? surely you are
writing
> letters to the south american embassies we have here arent you? i mean if
every
> trucker in the entire world stopped using radios with ten meters it would
still
> only be a small percentage of the intruders off the air.

Because one has to start somewhere, and it is easier to work within the laws
of one's own
country. The problem of domestic truckers on the ham bands is greater than
you think--and
that is understandable from your point of view. It is no flame, but from
the point of view of
a "freebander", this view is one that parallels one's view of freebanding
itself. The domestic
truckers ARE operating on 28.085, unscrupulous vendors are selling the crap
that facilitates it,
many hams are doing what we can to stop it, and we WILL stop it. I can go
out onto the local
interstate and hear "Tan-fer thar, Drivah, I h'yeered that, ah did,
SQUEAK!!" After the domestic
intruders are convinced that their operations are more costly than they are
willing to pay, THEN
the government can deal with the foreign interference thru diplomatic
channels. Meantime, I can
listen, discreetly photograph, record and send in reports of what I hear. I
can visit truck stops
and travel centers and "browse" the CB cases to see if I see any of the
non-certified radios, and
I can email RH with the info. I can write my Congresspersons,
Telecommunications Committee
members, and whoever else I can think of asking for help. I can "park" on
28.085 and call CQ
CQ CQ DE K4*** on CW right over the top of the SOBs. I am tempted to
install my 600 watt
AMP and start using it on 10M CW right on top of 'em! And you know what? It
ain't interference,

because intruders have NO protection from transmissions made by the rightful users. I *could*
borrow a certain transmitter that weights 5000 lbs and does 10 KW and key down on top of them
using the same mentality and tactics of *some* of the "sooper bowl" guys. NAH, that ain't legal, so
I won't stoop that low. But I sure will send high speed code everytime I hear their stupid sh**.

Each problem in due time.

Jerry

>
> >
> >Niether do I unless they come creeping and slithering onto the ham bands.
> >If we don't protect
> >what we have, our bands will become ever more polluted with intruders, and,
> >after all, if they are
> >so bold as to "explore" the 10 and 12 meter "rooms" and find no resistance,
> >they will continue to
> >expand and grow in numbers like weeds going on to pollute other services as
> >well. It's like voting:
> >we cannot sit back and let "somebody else" do it. If we don't act to
> >protect and preserve our bands, nobody else is going to, either. Those
> >actions can include recording, photographing the
> >offending trucks, writing down tag #s, visiting truck stops and reporting
> >the sale of illegal radios,
> >AND writing your Congresspersons. The sponsors of the bill to exempt hams
> >from CC & R
> >covenants, at least two of them, are hams. We have pretty good press right
> >now with Congress
> >and State legislatures. Now is a good time to mount a letter writing
> >campaign to get something
> >done about this crap. If we sit back and do nothing, we are assured to LOSE
> >the use of the bands
> >(interference) AND possibly the bands themselves to commercial interests
> >because the bands aren't
> >being used in the manner for which they wre intended. So, Tom, get your pen
> >and start writing!
> >
> >73
> >
> >Jerry

> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

3989. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 00:19:47 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <tCg79.24415$EP2.1185454@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132919

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:2928-3D5D574F-70@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:2928-3D5D3DD7-60@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
Neither is the case, so the only solution is to ban cell phones while
driving.

>Now HERE's something we can agree on! Neither CB or ham operators have
caused as much
havoc and mayhem on the streets as that caused by Mary Q. Public while
driving AND in Lala land
on their cell. I often remark that there are so many people are using
cellphones that young girls now
believe that they have to have a cellphone to their ear before their car
will start; that's the first thing
they do when they get in--cellphone to ear, key in switch, put in gear,
then drive off.
<<

Man, ain't that the God's honest truth.I see more young gals on the
phone while driving and it's quite scary.
We have this one in the neighborhood that drives her dad's SUV at a rate
of
about 45-50 mph on a residential street that is posted at 25 mph, 15 in
other places, and she's always on the damn cell. We have 3 cops living
in the 3 block area and if I had little ones out playing (as many here
do) I would be the loudest, most vocal parent in the 'hood and (shudder)
I may even have broke out the ld video camera.

>I was actually put onto the sidewalk by a lady in a van on a cell. I
was in the curb lane at 35 MPH,
she was in the CENTER lane just a half car length ahead of me. Because
she was so out in space
on her phone, she suddenly realized that she had missed her turn into a
shopping center and cut across in front of me and into the shopping
center! SCREEEEEEEEEECH! I swerved to keep from T-boning her and her
little kids, and found my self traveling down the sidewalk narrowly
missing a concrete light pole base (by mere inches), and sliding to a
stop. I then drove down to the
next driveway and followed the lady into the center who never offered to
stop when she ran me out
of the road. I rolled down my window, "Lady, did you even SEE what you
just did?" "Waaaal, I did after I turned" "Yeah, and you could've
severely injured your kids, me, and my 88 year old Dad"! " Well, I SAID

I was sorry (sarcastic tone)". I was so mad that I was afraid I might get into trouble by arguing with her, so I drove away. <<

HEEHEEE! I'm not laughing at you Jerry, I'm laughing 'cause I know exactly what you feel.
When I have the dog in the car, traffic violators that jag me off are usually pretty cool about it. Without the dog, it's amazing how many feel they have to pass you when you're pulling a boat or trailer...NO MATTER HOW FAST YOU'RE GOING! Never could figure that out. Cruise control on, happily listening to channel 19 on the cb, and some yahoo has to not only cruise next to me for 5 or 10 minutes, all the while falling back and forth, back and forth, but finally decides to pass me and get in front of me and.....SLOW DOWN! OFF goes my cruise control, I pull out in the hammer lane to pass them, and they floor it. I hear ya' brother,I hear ya'! Seen a bumper sticker..said "Hang up and drive." Amen.

>Cellphones and driving don't mix. Don't know why
they don't, and I don't know why talking on a radio doesn't take away
one's attention as badly, but
it just does.
73
Jerry<<

I've wondered that myself and even discussed it before. Just a thought, and it may be unrelated, but whattya wanna bet that many of them that have a hard time driving and talking on a cell couldn't drive a stick if their life depended on it?
I mean, how many of us learned to not only drive on a stick shift, but could actually eat a burger and have a drink at the same time, maybe even a smoke, and hold the prom date's hand at the same time while popping the clutch and shifting gears and keep driving with no problems? What the hell happened?

.....and deal with one or more radio mikes in the process! I wonder what the
Valley Girls would do if they didn't have air conditioning, no cell phones, party line
telephones, or walked to school (I did in elementary school)? AND our car was
a 3-on-the-tree. I drove a pickup truck recently with a 5 speed, and my 15 y/o
daughter LAUGHED because she thought it was "quaint" and that Pop looked funny
shifting gears! Seemed normal to me! ???????????????? Hehe!

Jerry

3990. Re: Ground Plane Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 00:20:24 UTC
From: Burnt Eddy <00002112@no-id.com>
Message-ID: <ulr50khm3t6kc4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132917

Great answer!

Thanks

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D5D48D3.83707B0E@icok.net...
> To answer the original question...
>
> The 'groundplane' of an antenna for 27 Mhz for a
> mobile consists of all the vehicle's body panels that
> make good electrical contact. That includes the roof,
> hood, fenders, and trunk lid. It also includes the
> frame, and undercarriage of the vehicle, the axles and
> wheels, plus the earth under the vehicle by capacitive
> coupling. This 'groundplane' is the same no matter if
> the antenna is mounted on the vehicle's hood, or roof,
> provided that all component parts of the vehicle make
> good electrical contact. If a mag-mount antenna is used,
> the capacitive coupling of the magnets also has to be
> taken into account. Moving the antenna from the hood to
> the roof doesn't change what the groundplane consists of,
> it only changes the groundplane's distribution in relation
> to the antenna. This change in distribution doesn't
> change the groundplane's effectiveness, but it does change
> the antenna's radiation pattern and characteristics.
> 'Doc

3991. Re: running my own cable on a volvo tractor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 00:30:03 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208161630.2c811758@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132920

"John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:<aji43p0u2k@enews4.newsguy.com>...
> You ain't gonna believe this but on Fri, 16 Aug 2002 02:57:33 GMT
> Richard! said this:
>
>
> RM> "John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> RM> news:ajhg9l031bi@enews4.newsguy.com...
> >> I drive a 95 white gmc volvo. I was thinking of running my own cable
> >> out
> RM> to
> >> the antennas. Primarily due to the inherent fact that factory cabling
> RM> sucks.
> >> Instead of doing the micky mouse wiring job they do, which you can't
> >> duplicate anyway, I was thinking of running the cable through the
> >> rubber boot where the steering mechanism goes through the firewall.
> >> Single line from the radio through the wall, then a T-connector
> >> outside
> RM> the
> >> wall.
>
> >> Any comments on the idea?
>
>
>
>
>
> RM> Try not to create a safety problem with things around the steering
> RM> shaft.
>
> RM> Coax fittings are not really weathertite as you will discover.
> RM> Think this one out. Can be done but it will take a bit more than
> RM> Red the Tech's patented super-sludge to keep the crap out of the
> RM> insides of the coax.
> RM> The outside you write off in the first 50 miles.
>
> RM> The T fitting implies that you are going to dual antennas which is
> RM> fine but realize that the coax length between the T and the antenna
> RM> is fairly critical and they do have to be equal. On most
> RM> installations these are 75 ohm coax, too.
>
> RM> Use lots of tie downs and cable clamps. It it can get in the way of
> RM> something it will; if it can flap in the wind it will.
>

> RM> Good Luck
>
> I'm no dummy when it comes to cb stuff. Been around it for over 30 years.
> 75 ohm coax on a cb? You must work for a trucking manufacturer.
> It's 52 ohms from the radio to the antenna or you get a mismatch.
> 75 ohm is for tv.

Actually, for twin antennas you should use RG62 from the "T" connector
to each antenna. RG62 is 93 ohms which, when paralleled for twin
antennas, will be real close to the nominal 50-ohm impedance at the
back of the radio.

> My other consideration was to pop a hole out the back side of the sleeper in
> a convenient place.
> At least there, the hole is easily fixed when the cable is removed and not
> noticeable.

Probably a better idea then running the co-ax down the steering
column.

> Working with dual antenna systems is no problem for me. I just cut both
> cables at the same point at the same time.
> Fit the plugs and go.

And use coax-seal on anything outside in the weather.

3992. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 00:31:50 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <LNg79.24561$EP2.1191123@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132922

ALLSTEEL77 <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020816170418.18273.00000905@mb-mk.aol.com...
> Jerry,you should just move to China! You believe the government should do
all
> the thinking for a person.America(and amateur radio) was found by free
thinking
> people.Not by closed minded sour grape amateur operators like you!

Bud, I was stating EXACTLY the way it is, the laws of the US DO control
radio spectrum
(Title 47), we do NOT have a right to use a radio, and if the "freedom' you
so proudly proclaim
extended to radio, then NOBODY would be able to use it. That was already
proven before we
were born. What about those OTHER "free thinking" people who would like to
use a radio, too--
such as aircraft, police, fire, ambulance, military, and so on? Hmmmm? If
YOU had your way, radio would be as useless as tits on a boar hog!!! AND,
the rules ARE set up in a MUCH freer
way than many other countries, and we do (luckily) have a great deal of
freedom in that respect.
But then *some* people only know "Tarn that' ere reddio all th' way tuh th'
r'aht, turn all them knobs over as fer as they'll go, put 'far' in th' war'
thar, pedal to th' metal, treetop tall thar, git
back 'ere"---their knowledge is reflected by their syntax! IF you actually
got what you would want,
you'd wish it were back the way it was.

J

3993. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 00:31:58 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208161631.7a9e9881@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132921

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<9184-3D57CD09-390@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> Well, I must again conclude with JerryO's ascertations to a certain
> extent. Hollingsworth is personable and very easy to get along with, but
> let's get to the meat of the matter. It is not he alone that decides
> what enforcement will be, or where. Matter of fact, he has way less
> authority than is attributed him. The best word to describe his actual
> day-to-day function is "liason."
> He also agrees that with the suncycle on a downward shift, cb complaints
> will remain basically what they have always been...a non-issue save for
> safety and severe repeated interference complaints and those drop with
> the skip conditions.
> An anticipated return to what he believes is the loftiest goal before
> the FCC concerning hobby radio service, enforcement of amateur related
> activities, is his primary concern. They have more potential to do harm
> and have always done so when compared to cb.
> Concerning the truckstops selling cb, Jerry, I don't know where you
> received your information but Mr. Hollingsworth claimed that even IF the
> money was there to mount a campaign for what you claim, he would do his
> best to find a better use for it...such as an EDUCATION blitz. The
> MANDATORY initial certified warnings that are sent out to offenders are
> paid for from the "educational" fund. Any step beyond that is an entire
> different ballgame and is only one of many reasons for forfeiture and
> fines...an attempt to recoup the dollar spent.
> Cb has never been the concern to the FCC you have been putting forth,
> Jerry. Hollingsworth actually spoke of the overzealousness that
> self-consumes way too many hammies, and literally stated much of their
> behavior is WORSE than the cb "problem" they are presenting to report.
> He also has a sense of humor, shown by his statement that if the
> frustration level of many hammies who bother the FCC with trivial
> complaints concerning cb could be bottled and sold, the gases would be
> toxic.
> One last note...Jerry, you claimed a rise in enforcement "pretty
> soon."................
> If you know something he doesnt, give him a call and fill him in. Unless
> a private large windfall of a donation is dropped in their lap earmarked
> specifically for cb enforcement, the cash is not there for any raid-type
> commando operations on truck stops nation wide. On the matter of public
> record for disbursements
> (that doesn't cost a plump nickel if you know where to look), the money
> for the duration of Bush' first and last term is already accounted for
> and if you would be so kind as to call your associate he would be more
> than happy to confirm what I just relayed to you, including clueing you
> in that not only are funds not available for a sole enforcement cb

> campaign, but NOTHING warrants it, especially a handful of hammies that
> pretend to be an angry mob of John Q. Public. Deceit has never worked
> but the truth will set you free. There is even a deficit in the FCC's
> budget through the end of the lil' shrub's one term. Beyond that, the
> knights in shining armor that you hailed as the almighty saviors are
> looking for greener pastures, as they know their positions are dust come
> next presidential election.
> My favorite verbatim quote: "Maybe if some of these amateurs (and I use
> the term loosely) were billed for the unproductive time spent by the FCC
> responding to their petty complaints some of the foolishness would
> stop."
> Hint: When he spoke of foolishness, he wasn't referring to cbers.
> Now if you'll excuse me, I have a driver to warm up and a DX call to
> make to the coal country.

Mind you, this is all just "hearsay" according to Voobie Baby! Don't
have it in print, it ain't the real Mc Coy.

3994. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack is dead CUSTOMERS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 00:34:33 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208161634.4be24140@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132923

Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com> wrote in message
news:<7itnluc0un4ilr8llugdf0aa5i9q5idsi4@4ax.com>...
> On Thu, 01 Aug 2002 13:10:00 GMT, "mark shaw" <mshaw5@tampabay.rr.com>
> wrote:
>
> >they should change the name from Radio shack to Radio Shag !!!
> > "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:t6129.670$%6.35624@news2.telusplanet.net...
> > Hi All
> >
> > I was just in my local Radio Shack store in Vancouver BC Canada. The
> > first thing I noticed was a big display of FRS radios. I then went to the
> > usual scanner CB and ham radio section. It was all gone. Not one single
> > scanner CB or ham radio in the place. Not even an 11 meter HT. I then looked
> > around the rest of the store. I did find 1 book on ham radio, 1 in-door
> > short-wave antenna and some CB accessories in the mark down bin. I asked the
> > sales people and they said that Radio Shack is not selling scanners, CB and
> > ham gear any more because of lack of sales. I was looking for a 2 meter rig
> > for my car and a duel band antenna. That would have been over $300.00 in
> > RS's pocket but no deal. I wonder if they should change their name maybe
> > Computer Shack or TV Shack because they don't want to sell radios any more.
> > They use to be a good place to get accessories like antennas and such but no
> > more. I wonder what repairs on warrantee work will be like now that they
> > don't sell the stuff? What happened???
>
>
> Dey went MORE communist ! You should of NOT bought from them in
> the 1st place!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

In reality, they went more capitalist (greedy - they can make more
money with cell phones and satellite TV systems).

And obviously, he didn't buy from them!

3995. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 00:34:35 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <jQg79.24588$EP2.1192387@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132924

Richard Cranium <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208161509.301b7245@posting.google.com...
> tnom@mucks.net wrote in message
news:<ia1olukqs6dro4u6kotdgol8io17jeejos@4ax.com>...
> > Does it really matter if they are illegal to import or sell?
> > If one was to tell the whole story about these radios
> > then the subject line would read .....
> >
> > "Not entirely illegal 10 meter radios".
> >
> > The truth is that these radios are not illegal to own, so individual
> > CB'ers have no real reason to have any interest on illegal imports.
>
> Once again, you're mixing apples and oranges. These radios are illegal
> for use ON CB. They are not, and cannot be, illegal for use on 10
> meters since there is no Type Acceptance required for that use. They
> never have been legal for use transmitting on CB frequencies (not that
> it ever stopped anyone).
>
> And a licensed amateur can certainly still sell one to another
> licensed amateur. Something that Aanal Aaron (and apparently Jerry Ox)
> just cannot grasp.

I have not dealt with that particular issue because I honestly don't know.
I won't be using one,
so it doesn't matter. What IS apparent is that they are illegal to IMPORT
and MARKET.

J

3996. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 00:51:36 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208161651.499f099@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132926

Richard Cranium wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208161509.301b7245@posting.google.com...
> tnom@mucks.net wrote in message
news:...
> > Does it really matter if they are illegal to import or sell?
> > If one was to tell the whole story about these radios
> > then the subject line would read .....
> >
> > "Not entirely illegal 10 meter radios".
> >
> > The truth is that these radios are not illegal to own, so individual
> > CB'ers have no real reason to have any interest on illegal imports.
>
> Once again, you're mixing apples and oranges. These radios are illegal
> for use ON CB. They are not, and cannot be, illegal for use on 10
> meters since there is no Type Acceptance required for that use. They
> never have been legal for use transmitting on CB frequencies (not that
> it ever stopped anyone).

Amateur's dispensation from type acceptance is not being disputed by
me, and never has been. The phoney "10 meter radios" being sold to
non-hams at venues such as truck stops (e.g. the soon-to-be busted
"Flying J") is what is at issue here.

Anyone can see that the distributors and manufacturers of phoney "10
meter radios" (and CB amplifiers) use amateur equipment's lack of type
acceptance as a "loophole" to get their crap to market. For example,
this is not legitimate "amateur gear" by any stretch of the
imagination:

http://hotspotcb.com/cbshop/pop/connex33HPc.html

Luckily the FCC recognizes that calling poorly-disguised CB radios
"amateur gear" is an obvious (and dishonest) ploy to facilitate sales
to truckers and "freebanders".

-Aaron-

3997. CLEANING THE CLOSET.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 00:52:37 UTC
From: NI4L <ni4l@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3D5D9E55.7050707@charter.net>
Source record: 132925

HV PLATE TRANSFORMER
It is 3000v @ 4 amps 120/220 primaries. It is a heavy rascal though
about 100lbs. I have been trying to sell it have it listed been asking
300.00 for it OBO
time you filtered and rectified should do over 3500v 14" tall x 11 3/4"
wide x 7 1/2" deep
I know it can be shipped probably around 60.00 or so
I just had a transformer sent to me from texas it weighed 110lbs and
shipping was 65.00

Several nice Big Air variables Not really sure about the actual cap of
them but they are big and beefy.

Oil Caps

1-10mf@1500vdc
1- 2mf@5000vdc
4-1mf@2000vdc
2-1mf@3600vdc
2-1mf@600vdc
4- 250mf@450vdc screw in caps computer grade

I have a mobile amp Pride 100 Bi Linear amplifier says 10-80 meters on
the front
has ssb/am preamp

Also Have a 10 METER 2 pill driver amp About 60-75 watts out with a 10
amp 13.8 vdc power supply built in so you could run a mobile in the
house on it.

Collins 300G plate Transformer

part # 672-1360-00
Pr) 0-105-110-115-120-125 vac 60 hz 1 ph
S1)1200 vct @ 0.283 ccs
DM) Ht= 7.000 Wt = 7.500 Dt = 6.500
weight aprox 45 lbs

All of the above items are for trade or sale. Looking for Pieces for a homebrew amp i am
working on GU-84B
Thanks Chris

--
NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
Made with the same components used by our military forces today
Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation

Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/

3998. Re: running my own cable on a volvo tractor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 00:53:28 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ulr7irklvlcgaa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 132927

Yep, it's a matching section. You use odd quarter wavelengths of coax of a different impedance to transform one impedance to another. Believe it or not, if you feed your radio into an open piece of coax 1/4 electrical wavelength long, your radio will see close to ZERO ohms. On open coax. Two 50 ohm loads (those antennas) in parallel will give you 25 ohms. You want to change that to 50 ohms. That will call for coax of a different charateristic impedance. BTW coax is made in different impedances and much of the 75 ohm stuff is much heavier than what you see used for cable television. 30 years in CB? Why do I believe you?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:aji43p0u2k@enews4.newsguy.com...
> You ain't gonna believe this but on Fri, 16 Aug 2002 02:57:33 GMT
> Richard! said this:
(snip)
> I'm no dummy when it comes to cb stuff. Been around it for over 30 years.
> 75 ohm coax on a cb? You must work for a trucking manufacturer.
> It's 52 ohms from the radio to the antenna or you get a mismatch.
> 75 ohm is for tv.
>

3999. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 01:35:46 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <SLh79.519$wa6.50330934@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132930

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:ERa79.22435$EP2.1056660@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> Landshark <mailto:S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
> news:%c_69.7078$BW3.253596030@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> >
>
> >
> >
> > --
> > Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and
> petition -
> > this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what
> we
> > refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that
this
> > freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
> > other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
> > like the right to vote, would wither and die
>
>
> Landshark,
>
> I notice you use the above reference to constitutional freedoms in
> comparison to radio, but I am
> baffled as to the connection with radio. Like driving an automobile, the
> use of a transmitter, even in some cases, the use of a receiver, are
> PRIVILEGES granted by the government. There is no "right" to drive a car;
> your license can be revoked for cause. Likewise, the use of a radio is by
> permission, and that privilege can be suspended or revoked as well. By
> requiring a license, or
> denying a person the use of certain segments of radio spectrum, it is our
> government that retains
> full control of its citizens' use of radio. That control HAS been
> challenged on a number of cases
> (where idealistic and overly zealous lawyers mis-interpreted the same
phrase
> you have quoted) and
> been struck down by the courts. The reasons for central control of this
> particular issue were made
> clear and the attending needs for regulation were established long before
> you and I were born.
>

> Some people do idealistically believe that they have a "right" to use a CB
> radio that can't be inter-
> fered with. Some, not all, believe this means that CB = Deutchland uber
> alles, or a rough comparison would be "CB Over ALL", and no one else but
> them have the same privileges. The
> almost "cultish" aura and folk attraction, coupled with their ignorance
of
> the hows and whys, then
> emboldens them to move into the bands allocated to others. That "Ah've
got
> mah 'r'ahts' attitude
> coupled with the absence of enforcement for over 10 years further
aggravates
> the condition. And
> they compare this non-existent "right" with the phrase you quoted.
>
> The truth is, radio of all kinds and uses has NO relationship to the above
> phrase. Radio wasn't even
> thought of when that was first written; Ben Franklin was out in his yard
> trying to get shocked with a
> kite. Radio neither restricts nor bears upon Freedom of Speech and is a
> totally different issue.
>
> Not trying to be smarty, but why do you keep quoting the phrase unless
you,
> too, believe in the
> unrestricted overrunning of radio by unregulated operators?
>
> Jerry
>
>
>
>
> >
> >
>
>
>

Hi Jerry, how's things going?
The answer to your question is simple, nothing to do
with radio, except that certain people have brought it
upon themselves to determine what can be said and
what not in this forum. Their have been some that say
you can't even discuss linears, export radios, keydowns
etc etc. That is the purpose of the sig file, not what you can
use and what not.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

4000. Re: UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 01:35:47 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <TLh79.520$wa6.50330934@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132929

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:P4c79.33506$SS.1488578@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in
>
> > Huh? You mean the sig file? Not me,
> > Dave Hall used that paragraph, I just use
> > it like the alter ego "A" does others.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> What the phuqq are you babbling about Landpussy? You are really demented I
> never once said anything about a sig file yet true to form you make up
some
> bs. LOL What a friggin LOSER!
>
>

Damn George, your losing it:
You wrote:

LOL turn me in roflmao 2x

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:YgF69.6728$jB5.230087743@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> news:9aB69.8834$SS.178754@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >
> > FCC CONSUMER ALERT
>
>
> Troll post.
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --

> If you are implying that people, who
> hold ham licenses, should be somehow
> held to a higher moral standard than
> the "common man", then that would
> be faulty logic. A ham license is only
> a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
> "badge of morality".
>
>

So by the post above, I have to figure you were talking
about my sig file, what else. But just like you're alter ego
you try to divert attention away from your mistakes.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

4001. Re:Aaron's problems with "CB" listing with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 01:35:47 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <TLh79.110$bf5.9158981@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132931

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208161049.1b4b514a@posting.google.com...
> Just to set the record straight.
>

Lets!

> "Landshark" S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. wrote:
> >Yes Tom, look at another troll post that Aaron
> >did a short while back (Note the newsgroup he made it in)
> >
> >From: "AVoobner" <voobner@cotse.com>
>
> Forgery.
>

LOL! Yeah right.

> >From: Aaron H. Voobner < Aaron H. <nospam@newsranger.com>
>
> Forgery

LMAO! See above

>
> >the numbers tell a different story.
>
> The numbers lack message references. If you are going to try and frame
> me you need to work a bit harder creating your evidence.
>
> -Aaron-

ROTFLMFAO! See above again

Too funny, kettle=skillet

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

4002. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 01:39:44 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <APh79.112$815.8323101@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132932

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ulqvok5rbjl158@corp.supernews.com...
> WOW! Twist, I did the look up and can't believe over 7,000 lookups on
that
> callsign!
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>

Don't you feel left out Jim? <Grin>

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

4003. Re: UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 01:39:44 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <APh79.111$815.8323101@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132933

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:P4c79.33506$SS.1488578@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in
>
> > Huh? You mean the sig file? Not me,
> > Dave Hall used that paragraph, I just use
> > it like the alter ego "A" does others.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> What the phuqq are you babbling about Landpussy? You are really demented I
> never once said anything about a sig file yet true to form you make up
some
> bs. LOL What a friggin LOSER!
>
>

Oh one more thing, still can't type without
being a troll, I feel sorry for Nancy, she's probably
a nice person.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

4004. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 02:03:56 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <g%h79.21042$dr.4412869@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 132934

Gotcha! As hard as I would fight against intrusions onto the ham bands by
unlicensed persons,
I would fight equally as hard for your right to discuss any topic in a
reasonable, civil, and free
manner

73

Jerry

Landshark <mailto:S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
news:SLh79.519$wa6.50330934@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:ERa79.22435$EP2.1056660@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Landshark <mailto:S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
> > news:%c_69.7078$BW3.253596030@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > > >
> > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and
> > petition -
> > > > this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises
what
> > we
> > > > refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that
> this
> > > > freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
> > > > other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
> > > > like the right to vote, would wither and die
> >
> >
> > Landshark,
> >
> > I notice you use the above reference to constitutional freedoms in
> > comparison to radio, but I am
> > baffled as to the connection with radio. Like driving an automobile,
the
> > use of a transmitter, even in some cases, the use of a receiver, are
> > PRIVILEGES granted by the government. There is no "right" to drive a
car;

> > your license can be revoked for cause. Likewise, the use of a radio is
by
> > permission, and that privilege can be suspended or revoked as well. By
> > requiring a license, or
> > denying a person the use of certain segments of radio spectrum, it is
our
> > government that retains
> > full control of its citizens' use of radio. That control HAS been
> > challenged on a number of cases
> > (where idealistic and overly zealous lawyers mis-interpreted the same
> phrase
> > you have quoted) and
> > been struck down by the courts. The reasons for central control of this
> > particular issue were made
> > clear and the attending needs for regulation were established long
before
> > you and I were born.
> >
> > Some people do idealistically believe that they have a "right" to use a
CB
> > radio that can't be inter-
> > fered with. Some, not all, believe this means that CB = Deutchland
uber
> > alles, or a rough comparison would be "CB Over ALL", and no one else but
> > them have the same privileges. The
> > almost "cultish" aura and folk attraction, coupled with their ignorance
> of
> > the hows and whys, then
> > emboldens them to move into the bands allocated to others. That "Ah've
> got
> > mah 'r'ahts' attitude
> > coupled with the absence of enforcement for over 10 years further
> aggravates
> > the condition. And
> > they compare this non-existent "right" with the phrase you quoted.
> >
> > The truth is, radio of all kinds and uses has NO relationship to the
above
> > phrase. Radio wasn't even
> > thought of when that was first written; Ben Franklin was out in his yard
> > trying to get shocked with a
> > kite. Radio neither restricts nor bears upon Freedom of Speech and is a
> > totally different issue.
> >
> > Not trying to be smarty, but why do you keep quoting the phrase unless
> you,
> > too, believe in the
> > unrestricted overrunning of radio by unregulated operators?
> >
> > Jerry

> >
> >
> >
> >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
> Hi Jerry, how's things going?
> The answer to your question is simple, nothing to do
> with radio, except that certain people have brought it
> upon themselves to determine what can be said and
> what not in this forum. Their have been some that say
> you can't even discuss linears, export radios, keydowns
> etc etc. That is the purpose of the sig file, not what you can
> use and what not.
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> If you are implying that people, who
> hold ham licenses, should be somehow
> held to a higher moral standard than
> the "common man", then that would
> be faulty logic. A ham license is only
> a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
> "badge of morality".
>
>

4005. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 02:14:54 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020816221454.00259.00001026@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 132935

awe shit, jerry has turned into a real voobner clone now. just look at the sig

>
>I have not dealt with that particular issue because I honestly don't know.
>I won't be using one,
>so it doesn't matter. What IS apparent is that they are illegal to IMPORT
>and MARKET.
>
>J
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4006. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack is dead CUSTOMERS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 02:17:55 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <nni79.820$1L4.94168@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 132936

I can't believe that this one is still going. I can remember buying a 3 element CB beam for $25.00 new. $35.00 for a 1/2 wave. They were a great place for mating and guy-wires but no more up here. They also were a great place for cheep antennas and mounts but no more. Also good entry level scanners and most of us wouldn't have gotten into CB if it wasn't for them, first CB Hand Held and so on but again no more. I do agree that they went more American, greedy and if it is junk and you can make a big profit then sell it. Isn't that the American way? I never did like R/S but they were a good place to go in a rush. I can remember one time we were putting up a 40 foot pop-up mast and we had a wind gust that broke it in the middle before we could get it guyed. I drove up to the local R/S store and picked up a new one in minutes while I still had the help to put it up. Now I would have to order one in and pay over $100.00 instead of under $40.00. They were convenient but no more.

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208161634.4be24140@posting.google.com...
> Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com> wrote in message
news:<7itnluc0un4ilr8llugdf0aa5i9q5idsi4@4ax.com>...
> > On Thu, 01 Aug 2002 13:10:00 GMT, "mark shaw" <mshaw5@tampabay.rr.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >they should change the name from Radio shack to Radio Shag !!!
> > > "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:t6129.670$%6.35624@news2.telusplanet.net...
> > > Hi All
> > >
> > > I was just in my local Radio Shack store in Vancouver BC Canada.
The
> > > first thing I noticed was a big display of FRS radios. I then went to
the
> > > usual scanner CB and ham radio section. It was all gone. Not one
single
> > > scanner CB or ham radio in the place. Not even an 11 meter HT. I then
looked
> > > around the rest of the store. I did find 1 book on ham radio, 1
in-door
> > > short-wave antenna and some CB accessories in the mark down bin. I
asked the
> > > sales people and they said that Radio Shack is not selling scanners,
CB and
> > > ham gear any more because of lack of sales. I was looking for a 2
meter rig
> > > for my car and a duel band antenna. That would have been over $300.00

in
> > > RS's pocket but no deal. I wonder if they should change their name
maybe
> > > Computer Shack or TV Shack because they don't want to sell radios any
more.
> > > They use to be a good place to get accessories like antennas and such
but no
> > > more. I wonder what repairs on warrantee work will be like now that
they
> > > don't sell the stuff? What happened???
> >
> >
> > Dey went MORE communist ! You should of NOT bought from them in
> > the 1st place!
> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> In reality, they went more capitalist (greedy - they can make more
> money with cell phones and satellite TV systems).
>
> And obviously, he didn't buy from them!

4007. TUBES WITH SOCKETS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 02:36:19 UTC
From: NI4L <ni4l@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3D5DB6A3.1010905@charter.net>
Source record: 132937

I have 4 of the 4-250A tubes with Johnson ceramic sockets in excellent shape.
would like to either sell them or trade them for some thing i could use.
Here are some of the things i am looking for a H/B GU-84B amp
Such as a 6 pos heavy duty band switch at least 5kw rated
A 400 volt or a little above is fine, transformer say 3-6 amps would work
a 0 centered min. 140 volt dc meter - + higher dc voltage is fine.
Need 2 air variables around 850 and the other around 1250 rated at least 5k
Gonna have to come up with some stuff for the tank circuit looking for something heavy duty for it.
I have all sorts of stuff laying around to trade on if your looking for something specfic i will look.
Chris NI4L

--
NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
Made with the same components used by our military forces today
Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/

4008. MORE>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 03:34:47 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <rvj79.16096$Ep6.1258457@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 132938

--
If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens

4009. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 03:45:25 UTC
From: N2UHC@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <95a98f7f.0208161945.14a25dd2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132939

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208152357.39b111ef@posting.google.com>...

>
> Hi Tom. Amateur's dispensation from type acceptance is not being
> disputed by me, and never has been (see below).

Then you should understand that there is no such thing as an "illegal"
amateur radio transceiver.

> The phoney "10 meter
> radios" being sold to non-hams at venues such as truck stops (e.g. the
> soon-to-be busted "Flying J") are the issue.

There's nothing "phoney (sic)" about them. They operate on 10 meters,
so are 10 meter radios. They come from the factory with only 28.000 -
29.700 MHZ coverage. It's only after they are modified to operate
outside this area that the CBer's will use them. They don't come from
the factory this way. True, it isn't hard to modify most of them,
which is why the FCC put out the notice that they should not be
marketed or imported. But when you get right down to it, they are
still 10 meter amateur radio transceivers. If I modified a Cobra 148
to operate on 10 meters, does that make it a "phony CB?"

> Any reasonably perceptive
> person can see that the distributors and manufacturers of phoney 10
> meter radios (and CB amplifiers) are using amateur equipment's freedom
> from type acceptance as a loophole to get it on the market.

That's your assumption, which may not be entirely false.

> Luckily
> the FCC recognizes that merely renaming poorly-disguised CB radios
> "amateur gear" is an obvious (and dishonest) ploy to exploit booming
> sales to truckers and "freebanders".

It's only the truck stops & CB shops that are doing the selling of
modified 10 meter radios. They are not "poorly-disguised CB radios."
They are manufactured and marketed as either amateur radio
transceivers or "export" CB's, meaning they are designed for use
outside the US where the CB rules are different. They are, however,
still CB radios. They're just not legal for use here.

> Oddly, I have noticed that there
> are certain hams posting in CB newsgroups who would prefer that sales
> of this equipment to unlicensed individuals continue unmolested.

I don't want intruders on the 10 meter band, but I also don't want restrictions placed upon the types of radio equipment I can purchase and operate in a legal manner. If I want to buy a modified 10 meter radio to use on 10 meters, I should have that right. I have a license and can operate on 10 meters. This still is America, and we still do have a few freedoms left. I believe it's up to the individual to operate the radio equipment in a legal manner, not up the the government to ban the radios outright.

How long before they go after amateur radio HF transceivers because they can be modified to operate outside the ham bands?

Tom

4010. Re: running my own cable on a volvo tractor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 04:36:55 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ajkjtg0tq9@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 132942

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ulr7irklvlcgaa@corp.supernews.com...
> Yep, it's a matching section. You use odd quarter wavelengths of coax of
a
> different impedance to transform one impedance to another. Believe it or
> not, if you feed your radio into an open piece of coax 1/4 electrical
> wavelength long, your radio will see close to ZERO ohms. On open coax.
Two
> 50 ohm loads (those antennas) in parallel will give you 25 ohms. You want
> to change that to 50 ohms. That will call for coax of a different
> charateristic impedance. BTW coax is made in different impedances and
much
> of the 75 ohm stuff is much heavier than what you see used for cable
> television. 30 years in CB? Why do I believe you?

I don't care what you believe about me. That's your problem.
KCS3191 was my CB callsign way back when.
The way we used to do it back then was, use the regular cb cable for two
antennas, then trim the antennas as needed.
I never had anything over a 1.25:1 match on any of my radios.

>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
> "John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> news:aji43p0u2k@enews4.newsguy.com...
> > You ain't gonna believe this but on Fri, 16 Aug 2002 02:57:33 GMT
> > Richard! said this:
> ***(snip)***
> > I'm no dummy when it comes to cb stuff. Been around it for over 30
years.
> > 75 ohm coax on a cb? You must work for a trucking manufacturer.
> > It's 52 ohms from the radio to the antenna or you get a mismatch.
> > 75 ohm is for tv.
> >
>
>
>

4011. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 04:58:52 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D5DB90C.A1A92A54@mailcity.net>
Source record: 132941

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>
> >Cellphones and driving don't mix. Don't know why
> they don't, and I don't know why talking on a radio doesn't take away
> one's attention as badly, but
> it just does.
> 73
> Jerry<<

I suspect that when cb and ham mobile ops are using the radio that they are chatting with buddies and enjoying the conversation. The cell phone user, who many have trouble walking and chewing gum at the same time, are on the phone shouting at their lawyer, spouse, boss, ex-wife/husband/boy/girlfriend/lover ect., ect., ect. getting their blood pressure and frustration level over the top, thus paying little attention to what they should be doing and that is controlling the vehicle. I am reminded of what my flight instructor said about the aircraft radio, "your first priority is to fly the plane, so forget the radio if you have to".

4012. Help - Low Transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 05:05:56 UTC
From: pfurbert@ibl.bm
Message-ID: <3d5dd78b.15295747@news.ibl.bm>
Source record: 132940

I am trying to work out what is happening with my DX2517. The radio has recently been dropping wattage soon after first use. ie. Starts around 5W (half throttle) and then drops off to just under 2W (full throttle) and doesn't return. I pulled the card thingy across the TX check points and measure 4.9V on TP7 (far right)[Schematic or PCB labelled incorrectly as TP9. Same side as collector of 2SB754 - 4.9V . I put an ammeter between this TP and the middle one (Driver) and didn't measure any current at all on AM TX. I flipped the radio, unsoldered the 2SC2166 and both the 2SC2312 finals and checked them on my meter. All three tested good. I am open to any suggestions.

Weak Station.

4013. Re: Help - Low Transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 05:06:39 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <zRk79.499$P36.14@nwrddc04.gnilink.net>
Source record: 132943

Hay weak what is going on is that the volts in the TX mode is fading when
you check the current on the driver and finals you have to key the mike ,
put the little board back in key the mike read the voltage there when cold
then when it warms up then you will have an idea whets going on.
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

<pfurbert@ibl.bm> wrote in message news:3d5dd78b.15295747@news.ibl.bm...
> I am trying to work out what is happening with my DX2517. The radio
> has recently been dropping wattage soon after first use. ie. Starts
> around 5W (half throttle) and then drops off to just under 2W (full
> throttle) and doesn't return. I pulled the card thingy across the TX
> check points and measure 4.9V on TP7 (far right)[Schematic or PCB
> labelled incorrectly as TP9. Same side as collector of 2SB754 - 4.9V .
> I put an ammeter between this TP and the middle one (Driver) and
> didn't measure any current at all on AM TX. I flipped the radio,
> unsoldered the 2SC2166 and both the 2SC2312 finals and checked them on
> my meter. All three tested good. I am open to any suggestions.
>
> Weak Station.
>

4014. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 05:17:36 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Q%k79.539$bX2.12914790@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 132944

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:g%h79.21042$dr.4412869@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Gotcha! As hard as I would fight against intrusions onto the ham bands by
> unlicensed persons,
> I would fight equally as hard for your right to discuss any topic in a
> reasonable, civil, and free
> manner
>
> 73
>
> Jerry
>

I don't think you got me, but each to his own.
I have never complained about your 10 meter intrusions
complaint. At the same time I've never seen you say anything
to the contrary about discussion, so have a good one.
I've got a 7:30 tee off time, so time to get some rest.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

4015. Re: Help - Low Transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 05:48:06 UTC
From: pfurbert@ibl.bm
Message-ID: <3d5de362.18327320@news.ibl.bm>
Source record: 132945

With the card in, I measure 4.9V RX and 4.73V TX - any ideas?

On Sat, 17 Aug 2002 05:06:39 GMT, "Tom Bridge - Doc"
<t.bridge@verizon.net> wrote:

>Hay weak what is going on is that the volts in the TX mode is fading when
>you check the current on the driver and finals you have to key the mike ,
>put the little board back in key the mike read the voltage there when cold
>then when it warms up then you will have an idea whets going on.
>--
>Best Regards!
>- Doc -
>http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/
>
><pfurbert@ibl.bm> wrote in message news:3d5dd78b.15295747@news.ibl.bm...
>> I am trying to work out what is happening with my DX2517. The radio
>> has recently been dropping wattage soon after first use. ie. Starts
>> around 5W (half throttle) and then drops off to just under 2W (full
>> throttle) and doesn't return. I pulled the card thingy across the TX
>> check points and measure 4.9V on TP7 (far right)[Schematic or PCB
>> labelled incorrectly as TP9. Same side as collector of 2SB754 - 4.9V .
>> I put an ammeter between this TP and the middle one (Driver) and
>> didn't measure any current at all on AM TX. I flipped the radio,
>> unsoldered the 2SC2166 and both the 2SC2312 finals and checked them on
>> my meter. All three tested good. I am open to any suggestions.
>>
>> Weak Station.
>>
>
>

4016. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 06:49:50 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208162249.5e60ebd4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 132946

N2UHC@yahoo.com (Tom Sevart) wrote:
>ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208152357.39b111ef@posting.google.com>...
>
>>
>> Hi Tom. Amateur's dispensation from type acceptance is not being
>> disputed by me, and never has been (see below).
>
>Then you should understand that there is no such thing as an
"illegal"
>amateur radio transceiver.
>
>> The phoney "10 meter
>> radios" being sold to non-hams at venues such as truck stops (e.g.
the
>> soon-to-be busted "Flying J") are the issue.
>
>There's nothing "phoney (sic)" about them. They operate on 10
meters,
>so are 10 meter radios. They come from the factory with only 28.000
-
>29.700 MHZ coverage.

http://hotspotcb.com/cbshop/connex.html
These only go to 28.305. Not a very appealing to a ham are they?

>It's only after they are modified to operate
>outside this area that the CBer's will use them. They don't come
from
>the factory this way. True, it isn't hard to modify most of them,
>which is why the FCC put out the notice that they should not be
>marketed or imported.
> But when you get right down to it, they are
>still 10 meter amateur radio transceivers. If I modified a Cobra 148
>to operate on 10 meters, does that make it a "phony CB?"

LOL. I don't think even you can tell me (with a straight face) that
this is "a ham radio":

http://hotspotcb.com/cbshop/pop/connex33HPc.html

It's an illegal CB that's being called a "10 meter radio" in order to
be sold to truckers. Hence, a "phoney 10 meter radio".

>
>> Any reasonably perceptive

>> person can see that the distributors and manufacturers of phoney 10
>> meter radios (and CB amplifiers) are using amateur equipment's
freedom
>> from type acceptance as a loophole to get it on the market.
>
>That's your assumption, which may not be entirely false.

http://server42.hypermart.net/pinklightning/

Don't take my word for it, do a search of the phrase "10 meter radios"
on the web. Dozens and dozens of shops like "Pink Lightning BigRig
Truck Chrome and Accessories" offer phoney 10 meter radios to
truckers. They only call them "10 meter radios" as a sleazy loophole
to sidestep the law. In other words, they have hijacked the name
"amateur radio" in order to produce and market a CB that transmits out
of band in addition to the legal 40.

>> Luckily
>> the FCC recognizes that merely renaming poorly-disguised CB radios
>> "amateur gear" is an obvious (and dishonest) ploy to exploit
booming
>> sales to truckers and "freebanders".
>
>It's only the truck stops & CB shops that are doing the selling of
>modified 10 meter radios. They are not "poorly-disguised CB radios."
>They are manufactured and marketed as either amateur radio
>transceivers or "export" CB's, meaning they are designed for use
>outside the US where the CB rules are different.

These radios go well above 28 mHz, often to 29 and beyond. What
country outside the US allows unlicensed CB-type operation above 28
mHz?

>They are, however,
>still CB radios. They're just not legal for use here.

As stated above they're not legal for unlicensed use anywhere. Unless
there is a country that allows unlicensed CB-type operation above 28
mHz.

>> Oddly, I have noticed that there
>> are certain hams posting in CB newsgroups who would prefer that
sales
>> of this equipment to unlicensed individuals continue unmolested.
>
>I don't want intruders on the 10 meter band, but I also don't want
>restrictions placed upon the types of radio equipment I can purchase
>and operate in a legal manner. If I want to buy a modified 10 meter
>radio to use on 10 meters, I should have that right. I have a
license
>and can operate on 10 meters. This still is America, and we still do
>have a few freedoms left. I believe it's up to the individual to

>operate the radio equipment in a legal manner, not up the the
>government to ban the radios outright.

It has nothing to do with American freedoms or individual rights. The RF spectrum is an international resource shared by all and must have rules just as public roads must have rules. The sale of RF linear amps to licensed amateurs have already been restricted -- due to abuse by outlaw CBers. If the casual attitude toward piracy of amateur bands by CBers continues (fueled by unscrupulous manufacturers and distributors) then there is no reason to think that 10 meter amateur equipment would not face similar restrictions to those placed on RF amplifiers. I certainly would not like to see the sale of legitimate amateur gear restricted. But due to abuse by outlaws who "don't care about laws", it may come to that. And again, licensed amateurs will have the outlaw CBers to thank for such restrictions.

>How long before they go after amateur radio HF transceivers because
>they can be modified to operate outside the ham bands?
>
>Tom

If you cheerfully allow companies to hijack the name of "amateur radio" in order to pump so-called "export" and "10 meter" radios into the booming outlaw CB market -- it won't be very long at all.

-Aaron-

4017. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack is dead CUSTOMERS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 08:02:59 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D5E032A.BC3DCFE5@icok.net>
Source record: 133047

WG,
Yep, you remember all that the next time you need
health care and don't want to wait for 6 months. Or,
the next time you wonder why ~your~ dollar is only
worth 50 cents, even in ~your~ country. Or, the next
time you start looking for the 'wealthier' Canadians,
try Florida. Yep, you're sure right, the 'bad old'
USA has sure taken a stick to you poor Canadians...
I feel so ashamed. NOT!
'Doc

4018. Pilot truck stops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 09:31:30 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <uls5vjd54bla7d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133048

There was a comment here how the FCC came down on Pilot truck stops.
As with all the other FCC stuff this is completly bogus. Pilot still sells
the entire line of 10 meter radios. The FCC went wimpering back to busting
Hams. Truck stop chains have legal departments.
Ken

4019. SWR is high

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 10:23:36 UTC
From: Kevin Johnson <KJohnson125@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <lup79.8487$I6.683204@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 133049

Hello,
I'm new to this group, and I'm sure my question has been answered a thousand times. If so, I apologize in advance, but here goes. I recently installed my Uniden CB in my Jeep. I have a 4 ft. fiberglass (pretuned) whip antenna which is mounted directly to my bumper with a 'stud mount.' I then installed 18 ft. of antenna cable (100% shielded) along the frame under the jeep and through the firewall to the radio. Power comes from the fuse box. When I use the radio's SWR meter, my reading is very far in the red area, a four I believe. I'm just wondering what my problem may be and what I can do to reduce the SWR.

Thanks for any help you can give,
Kevin

4020. Re: running my own cable on a volvo tractor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 10:34:06 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D5E2695.D48D259C@icok.net>
Source record: 133050

John,
You may never had had an SWR over 1.25:1, but the problem
is that the antenna system was reactive, meaning that is wasn't
a 'resistive' load as it should be. Power is only developed in
a resistance, never in a reactance. That means that if your
antenna system is reactive it isn't producing the power that a
purely resistive antenna will. If it can't produce the power,
it certainly can't radiate it. That doesn't mean that a reactive
antenna system won't work, it does mean that it won't work as
well as a resistive antenna system will. It's very easy to 'fool'
an SWR meter, a good example of that is the 'magic' lengths of
feed lines. That's basically what was happening with the way
you 'used' to do it. Sure, it worked, but it didn't work as well
as it could have. This is all just simple physics, like it or
not.
'Doc

4021. Re: running my own cable on a volvo tractor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 11:36:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Ayq79.16408$Ep6.1293368@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133051

"John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:ajkjtg0tq9@enews3.newsguy.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> news:ulr7irklvlcgaa@corp.supernews.com...
> > Yep, it's a matching section. You use odd quarter wavelengths of coax
of
> a
> > different impedance to transform one impedance to another. Believe it
or
> > not, if you feed your radio into an open piece of coax 1/4 electrical
> > wavelength long, your radio will see close to ZERO ohms. On open
coax.
> Two
> > 50 ohm loads (those antennas) in parallel will give you 25 ohms. You
want
> > to change that to 50 ohms. That will call for coax of a different
> > charateristic impedance. BTW coax is made in different impedances and
> much
> > of the 75 ohm stuff is much heavier than what you see used for cable
> > television. 30 years in CB? Why do I believe you?
>
> I don't care what you believe about me. That's your problem.
> KCS3191 was my CB callsign way back when.
> The way we used to do it back then was, use the regular cb cable for two
> antennas, then trim the antennas as needed.
> I never had anything over a 1.25:1 match on any of my radios.
>
>
>

Jim, this might actually work if the antennas were not 50 ohms. Fifty ohm
cable will transform a 25 ohm load to 100 as well. Yes, I believe too.

4022. Re: SWR is high

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 12:35:52 UTC
From: glapierre@REMOVEaccesswave.ca
Message-ID: <3d5e423c.3820962@news>
Source record: 133052

Kevin,

The antenna has to be mounted away from metal objects. The
antenna you mentioned is designed to mount on a mirror. If it is on
your bumper then it is possibly next to the metal body. Some peple
mount them on the rear spare tire mount.

Homer

"Kevin Johnson" <KJohnson125@earthlink.net> wrote:

>Hello,
>I'm new to this group, and I'm sure my question has been answered a thousand
>times. If so, I apologize in advance, but here goes. I recently installed
>my Uniden CB in my Jeep. I have a 4 ft. fiberglass (pretuned) whip antenna
>which is mounted directly to my bumper with a 'stud mount.' I then
>installed 18 ft. of antenna cable (100% shielded) along the frame under the
>jeep and through the firewall to the radio. Power comes from the fuse box.
>When I use the radio's SWR meter, my reading is very far in the red area, a
>four I believe. I'm just wondering what my problem may be and what I can do
>to reduce the SWR.
>
>Thanks for any help you can give,
>Kevin
>
>

4023. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 12:41:20 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <Qvr79.187465$6Z1.8627408@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133053

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:SLh79.519$wa6.50330934@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> Hi Jerry, how's things going?
> The answer to your question is simple, nothing to do
> with radio, except that certain people have brought it
> upon themselves to determine what can be said and
> what not in this forum. Their have been some that say
> you can't even discuss linears, export radios, keydowns
> etc etc. That is the purpose of the sig file, not what you can
> use and what not.
>
> Landshark

Yes, you have tried for a long time to squelch one way or another what aaron and others have to say you have complained to ISP's to trying to silence those you don't agree with.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

4024. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 12:47:57 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208170447.21765f0f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133054

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208161049.1b4b514a@posting.google.com>...
> Just to set the record straight.
>
> "Landshark" S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net. wrote:
> >Yes Tom, look at another troll post that Aaron
> >did a short while back (Note the newsgroup he made it in)
> >
> >From: "AVoobner" <voobner@cotse.com>
>
> Forgery.

Can you prove it?

> >From: Aaron H. Voobner < Aaron H. <nospam@newsranger.com>
>
> Forgery

Can you prove it?

> >the numbers tell a different story.
>
> The numbers lack message references. If you are going to try and frame
> me you need to work a bit harder creating your evidence.
>
> -Aaron-

Likewise the alleged FCC Notice you posted; where is the Notice number
and the Date of Notice?

Must be a forgery!

4025. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 13:08:24 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208170508.4fab6f0d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133055

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208161651.499f099@posting.google.com>...
> Richard Cranium wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0208161509.301b7245@posting.google.com...
> > tnom@mucks.net wrote in message
> news:...
> > > Does it really matter if they are illegal to import or sell?
> > > If one was to tell the whole story about these radios
> > > then the subject line would read ....
> > >
> > > "Not entirely illegal 10 meter radios".
> > >
> > > The truth is that these radios are not illegal to own, so individual
> > > CB'ers have no real reason to have any interest on illegal imports.
> >
> > Once again, you're mixing apples and oranges. These radios are illegal
> > for use ON CB. They are not, and cannot be, illegal for use on 10
> > meters since there is no Type Acceptance required for that use. They
> > never have been legal for use transmitting on CB frequencies (not that
> > it ever stopped anyone).
>
> Amateur's dispensation from type acceptance is not being disputed by
> me, and never has been. The phoney "10 meter radios" being sold to
> non-hams at venues such as truck stops (e.g. the soon-to-be busted
> "Flying J") is what is at issue here.

Can't you understand a simple fact? There is nothing "phoney" about a
ten meter radio. It is meant for use on 10 meters by licensed
amateurs. The fact that SOME people abuse that fact should not keep me
or any other licensed ham from obtaining and using one if we wish to,
even if we buy it at a truck-stop!

> Anyone can see that the distributors and manufacturers of phoney "10
> meter radios" (and CB amplifiers) use amateur equipment's lack of type
> acceptance as a "loophole" to get their crap to market. For example,
> this is not legitimate "amateur gear" by any stretch of the
> imagination:
>
> http://hotspotcb.com/cbshop/pop/connex33HPc.html

Nice picture. Looks like a CB to me. And since it's being sold by a CB
shop I would expect it is. I also note that there is nothing
indicating that 10 meter frequencies are covered by the radio. That is
called an "export radio"; NOT a "phoney" 10 meter rig. There is a huge
difference. "Export radios" have never been legal for use on CB

frequencies. So you're going around the same circle again.

> Luckily the FCC recognizes that calling poorly-disguised CB radios
> "amateur gear" is an obvious (and dishonest) ploy to facilitate sales
> to truckers and "freebanders".
>
> -Aaron-

However, you're doing the amateur radio community a tremendous disservice by limiting the choice of radios they have to operate on ten and twelve meters. As others have pointed out, it's not the radio IT'S THE OPERATOR (!!!) that violates the law. What you should be working on is a way to eliminate bad Operators.

4026. Re: legitimate users of the 25-30mhz spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 13:16:01 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208170516.d9b7b55@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133056

ld751@yahoo.com (2LD751) wrote in message
news:<3d12c96e.0208161534.4ca0fb6@posting.google.com>...
> FREQ CH USE
>

Didn't NZ change their CB band to the standard 40 a few (maybe ten)
years back?

They used to be one megahertz lower and couldn't use beam antennas,
but I seem to recall all that got changed.

Anybody?

4027. Re: CLEANING THE CLOSET.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 13:20:12 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D5E4D8C.29144229@qnet.com>
Source record: 132928

Hello NI4L:

Bitchen......

Jay in the Mojave

NI4L wrote:
>
> Part 1.1 Type: Plain Text (text/plain)
> Encoding: 7bit

4028. Re:George's idea of free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 13:26:06 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <O9s79.699$%p1.62062713@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133057

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:Qvr79.187465$6Z1.8627408@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:SLh79.519$wa6.50330934@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > Hi Jerry, how's things going?
> > The answer to your question is simple, nothing to do
> > with radio, except that certain people have brought it
> > upon themselves to determine what can be said and
> > what not in this forum. Their have been some that say
> > you can't even discuss linears, export radios, keydowns
> > etc etc. That is the purpose of the sig file, not what you can
> > use and what not.
> >
> > Landshark
>
>
> Yes, you have tried for a long time to squelch one way or another what
aaron
> and others have to say you have complained to ISP's to trying to silence
> those you don't agree with.
>
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>
>

Why George, again your trying to type in
something that isn't there. By your own word you wrote:

"--- Landshark <S3Buell@SBCglobal.net> wrote:
> So it's must be inappriotae to
> discuss the sale of radar detectors in a car group,
> or Chemo therapy on home medics group, or
> How to make your car go 160 mph on a yugo
> group. Unless you want to start a moderated group,
> you can't stop the discussion of anything

I can't stop any discussion unless you let me, dinky.
Hey, you know and I know that illegal CB is wrong.
Everything else is superfluous."

So please don't say "I'm" the one, you and everyone
else know damn well that's not true. You on the other hand
have tried you're dandiest to forge, Spam the group with hate filled,
harassing, sexual innuendo type posts, so who's the
person trying to squelch free speech?

TTFN, I have a 7:30 tee of time, so have fun pounding
away on the keyboard.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

4029. Re: UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 13:47:52 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <bus79.39466$Fw3.2120091@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133058

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:TLh79.520$wa6.50330934@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> So by the post above, I have to figure you were talking
> about my sig file, what else. But just like you're alter ego
> you try to divert attention away from your mistakes.
>
> Landshark

How do you figure that I said to turn me in 2x what does that have to do with your sig file?
Your the one who has made a mistake don't blame your inadequacies on me. I am not like you I have only 1 personality, another mistake or misconception on your part but then agin your full of em.

4030. Re: Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 13:49:32 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Mvs79.8601$I6.705316@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 133059

Thanks, T

4031. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 13:50:04 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <gws79.188474$6Z1.8660646@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133060

"Tom Sevart" <N2UHC@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:95a98f7f.0208161945.14a25dd2@posting.google.com...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208152357.39b111ef@posting.google.com>...
>
> >
> > Hi Tom. Amateur's dispensation from type acceptance is not being
> > disputed by me, and never has been (see below).
>
> Then you should understand that there is no such thing as an "illegal"
> amateur radio transceiver.
>
> > The phoney "10 meter
> > radios" being sold to non-hams at venues such as truck stops (e.g. the
> > soon-to-be busted "Flying J") are the issue.
>
> There's nothing "phoney (sic)" about them. They operate on 10 meters,
> so are 10 meter radios. They come from the factory with only 28.000 -
> 29.700 MHZ coverage. It's only after they are modified to operate
> outside this area that the CBer's will use them. They don't come from
> the factory this way.

They don't? Galaxy's so called 10 meter radios dx33hml has 6 bands and 240
channels out of the box, DX44 8 bands 360 channels out of the box, DX 66v
360 channels 8 bands , DX73V 360 channels 8 bands echo reverb, robot voice
changer, etc etc Connex same story. Why arent any of these so called Ham
radios advertised in QST or 73 magazine or even qrz's website if they are
geared towards hams?

> But when you get right down to it, they are
> still 10 meter amateur radio transceivers.

No that is what they are being called by the likes of you Ham trancievers
don't have roger beeps echo boards and robot voice changers. They may
operate on 10 meters but they are in no way amateur radios.

> If I modified a Cobra 148
> to operate on 10 meters, does that make it a "phony CB?"

No it makes it a illegal CB

> > Any reasonably perceptive
> > person can see that the distributors and manufacturers of phoney 10
> > meter radios (and CB amplifiers) are using amateur equipment's freedom
> > from type acceptance as a loophole to get it on the market.
>

> That's your assumption, which may not be entirely false.
>
> > Luckily
> > the FCC recognizes that merely renaming poorly-disguised CB radios
> > "amateur gear" is an obvious (and dishonest) ploy to exploit booming
> > sales to truckers and "freebanders".
>
> It's only the truck stops & CB shops that are doing the selling of
> modified 10 meter radios. They are not "poorly-disguised CB radios."
> They are manufactured and marketed as either amateur radio
> transceivers or "export" CB's, meaning they are designed for use
> outside the US where the CB rules are different. They are, however,
> still CB radios. They're just not legal for use here.
>
> > Oddly, I have noticed that there
> > are certain hams posting in CB newsgroups who would prefer that sales
> > of this equipment to unlicensed individuals continue unmolested.
>
> I don't want intruders on the 10 meter band, but I also don't want
> restrictions placed upon the types of radio equipment I can purchase
> and operate in a legal manner. If I want to buy a modified 10 meter
> radio to use on 10 meters, I should have that right. I have a license
> and can operate on 10 meters. This still is America, and we still do
> have a few freedoms left. I believe it's up to the individual to
> operate the radio equipment in a legal manner, not up the the
> government to ban the radios outright.
>
> How long before they go after amateur radio HF transceivers because
> they can be modified to operate outside the ham bands?
>
> Tom

4032. Re: Re:George's idea of free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 13:55:46 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <CBs79.45424$SS.2052239@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133061

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:O9s79.699$%p1.62062713@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> Why George, again your trying to type in
> something that isn't there. By your own word you wrote:

Sorry Landwhale, another attempt at you to try and put words in others
mouth. Please quote what you say I wrote and post the headers Again your a
demented person who borders on Twisty like symptoms. You are the one typing
something that isnt there .

> "--- Landshark <S3Buell@SBCglobal.net> wrote:
> > So it's must be inappriotae to
> > discuss the sale of radar detectors in a car group,
> > or Chemo therapy on home medics group, or
> > How to make your car go 160 mph on a yugo
> > group. Unless you want to start a moderated group,
> > you can't stop the discussion of anything
>
> I can't stop any discussion unless you let me, dinky.
> Hey, you know and I know that illegal CB is wrong.
> Everything else is superfluous."
>
> So please don't say "I'm" the one, you and everyone
> else know damn well that's not true. You on the other hand
> have tried you're dandiest to forge, Spam the group with hate filled,
> harassing, sexual innuendo type posts, so who's the
> person trying to squelch free speech?
>
> TTFN, I have a 7:30 tee of time, so have fun pounding
> away on the keyboard.
>
> Landshark

Have fun pounding your pud, If I had a 7:30 T time I certainly wouldnt be on
the NG like you LOL now run along.
```

4033. Tram D201 FA VERY CLEAN...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 14:05:30 UTC
From: Steve <SteveJTA@SWBell.net>
Message-ID: <KKs79.1138$fw.566421653@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133062

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1373313548

4034. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 14:19:51 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <bYs79.188730$6Z1.8674473@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133063

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> Can't you understand a simple fact? There is nothing "phoney" about a
> ten meter radio. It is meant for use on 10 meters by licensed
> amateurs. The fact that SOME people abuse that fact should not keep me
> or any other licensed ham from obtaining and using one if we wish to,
> even if we buy it at a truck-stop!

Roger Beeps, Echo and Robot Voice changer and all? Funny If these radio were meant for licensed amateurs why arent they advertised in Ham publications and Ham web sites? You will only find them on the seedy Illegal CB Web pages calling them something they are not Ham radios. Lot of them are AM/FM only radios what happened to SSB. If they are true Amateur transcievers you would think you might see a CW mode as well.

> Nice picture. Looks like a CB to me. And since it's being sold by a CB
> shop I would expect it is. I also note that there is nothing
> indicating that 10 meter frequencies are covered by the radio. That is
> called an "export radio"; NOT a "phoney" 10 meter rig. There is a huge
> difference. "Export radios" have never been legal for use on CB
> frequencies. So you're going around the same circle again.

Looks like a Cb to you? What CB uses 6 band switches to go from Channel 1-40? How about the Connex 4400 says it has 360 channels I thought CB's only had 40? What do you do with the other 320?

Lets take a good look at some of the Ads for these Radios. Calling them ham radios when they dont even operate the full 10 meter band. Oh btw there is your Connex with the Confederate flag it is listed as a 10 meter radio

Connex Amateur Radios
Connex 3300/3300 High Power Amateur Radios

Galaxey Exclusives: Large, easy-to-read meter with 4 scales:
a.. AM & FM Modes
b.. PA Speaker Ready
c.. 6 Bands
d.. Echo/Reverb
e.. High/Low Power Control
f.. Mic Gain & RF Controls
g.. ANL/NB Noise Filtering.

Product Number : CONNEX3300
$199.95

Product Number : CONNEX3300HP
$229.95

Product Number : CONNEX3300HPCF
$239.95
(Exactly the same as the Connex3300HP with the face of radio printed
with confederate flag.)

CONNEX 3300 TURBO ZX HIGH POWER
10 METER HAM MOBILE

MODE: AM/FM
FREQUENCY RANGE: 28.065-28.505 MHZ -UNMODIFIED

****
****

DX88-

10 METER HAM RADIO

SPECS ARE THE SAME FOR THE MIRAGE 88 EXCEPT ALL BLACK
MODE: PA/AM/FM/USB/LSB
FREQUENCY RANGE: 28.315-28.755- UNMODIFIED

4035. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 14:23:04 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <c%s79.188757$6Z1.8676753@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133064

Here Train operating as Big Radio.Biz lists Davemades, Xforce, Cookie amps
etc as 10 meter amps in an effort to swindle the public and falsely
advertise the true use of these amps. http://www.bigradio.biz/amps.html

10 Meter Amps

DAVE MADE*

X-FORCE*

AUDIO BY COOKIE*

TEXAS STAR*

PALOMAR*

COBRA*

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208170508.4fab6f0d@posting.google.com...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208161651.499f099@posting.google.com>...
> > Richard Cranium wrote in message
> > news:c5ecbb69.0208161509.301b7245@posting.google.com...
> > > tnom@mucks.net wrote in message
> > news:...
> > > > Does it really matter if they are illegal to import or sell?
> > > > If one was to tell the whole story about these radios
> > > > then the subject line would read ....
> > > >
> > > > "Not entirely illegal 10 meter radios".
> > > >
> > > > The truth is that these radios are not illegal to own, so individual
> > > > CB'ers have no real reason to have any interest on illegal imports.
> > >
> > > Once again, you're mixing apples and oranges. These radios are illegal
> > > for use ON CB. They are not, and cannot be, illegal for use on 10
> > > meters since there is no Type Acceptance required for that use. They
> > > never have been legal for use transmitting on CB frequencies (not that
> > > it ever stopped anyone).
> >
> > Amateur's dispensation from type acceptance is not being disputed by
> > me, and never has been. The phoney "10 meter radios" being sold to
> > non-hams at venues such as truck stops (e.g. the soon-to-be busted
> > "Flying J") is what is at issue here.

>
> Can't you understand a simple fact? There is nothing "phoney" about a
> ten meter radio. It is meant for use on 10 meters by licensed
> amateurs. The fact that SOME people abuse that fact should not keep me
> or any other licensed ham from obtaining and using one if we wish to,
> even if we buy it at a truck-stop!
>
> > Anyone can see that the distributors and manufacturers of phoney "10
> > meter radios" (and CB amplifiers) use amateur equipment's lack of type
> > acceptance as a "loophole" to get their crap to market. For example,
> > this is not legitimate "amateur gear" by any stretch of the
> > imagination:
> >
> > http://hotspotcb.com/cbshop/pop/connex33HPc.html
>
> Nice picture. Looks like a CB to me. And since it's being sold by a CB
> shop I would expect it is. I also note that there is nothing
> indicating that 10 meter frequencies are covered by the radio. That is
> called an "export radio"; NOT a "phoney" 10 meter rig. There is a huge
> difference. "Export radios" have never been legal for use on CB
> frequencies. So you're going around the same circle again.
>
> > Luckily the FCC recognizes that calling poorly-disguised CB radios
> > "amateur gear" is an obvious (and dishonest) ploy to facilitate sales
> > to truckers and "freebanders".
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> However, you're doing the amateur radio community a tremendous
> disservice by limiting the choice of radios they have to operate on
> ten and twelve meters. As others have pointed out, it's not the radio
> IT'S THE OPERATOR (!!!) that violates the law. What you should be
> working on is a way to eliminate bad Operators.

4036. The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 14:27:55 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <L3t79.45710$SS.2068914@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133065

The Power Wars Continue
By Stan Wells

The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios

Back in 1975 the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) decided to add more channels to the Citizens Band (CB) frequencies. It went from 23 to 40 channels. The FCC also lowered the power limit in these frequencies from 5 watts to 3.5 watts. Shortly thereafter, the power wars began. It was soon discovered that the 10-meter Amateur radio was virtually unregulated. This gave rise to the Connex and Galaxy revolution. These radios were easy to convert to 11-meters which is the CB band. Additionally, they had 25 watts of power. They eventually captured nearly half of the sales in the CB marketplace with the addition of many other brand names to the field--some now offering as much as 250 watts of power.

The current top seller is the General Lee. This radio is a copy of the Connex 3300 HP with the dual finals. This allows the radio to run both finals at the same time, giving nearly double the power output of the single final radio.

At a Peak Envelope Performance (PEP) of nearly 50 watts, the General Lee sports a prominent Rebel Flag on the front panel. This radio prompted a new round of power wars. Enter a company with a long and respected history. RF Limited, located in Issaquah, Washington, is long known for quality microphones and innovative ideas. They began importing magnum radios, Delta Force and Alpha Force as well as Millennium radios. The Delta Forces being the most powerful at a PEP of over 50 watts.

Unfortunately, the quality was less than adequate. They were plagued with problems from cold solder joints on the board to surface mount parts so small that most CB shop couldn't fix them. Since plagued with many problems they have dropped the whole line of magnum radios. Now RF Limited is about to release the replacement for these radios under the Maverick nameplate, to be designated A24.

The Maverick A24 will be a dual final Connex-type radio with a twist. The dual finals are linear, or more simply, one final feeds the other. More power out and cleaner signal on transmit. The board construction on this new radio seems to be far better than the Magnums. It loads a standard 4-pin Uniden/Cobra wired microphone on the front panel. The echo board on these units can be modified as well. By changing one resistor, it moves from mildly annoying echo to turbo echo that repeats a word almost endlessly. It also uses a standard CB power cord for ease of replacement if necessary, which you may choose to do as the power cord provided is a bit small for the amount of current that this radio draws. An upgraded cord is highly

recommended. The best for the job is the CB3AXX from Workman Electronic Products, Inc.

Your Road King RK56 or Astatic 636L noise-canceling microphone will work very well on this radio and keep that "big" radio sound. The conversion to CB channels is extremely simple. Anyone with limited knowledge can do it. We still recommend you take it to a competent technician to have this performed. Our only complaint was that the controls on the faceplate are still of low quality. If the band switch and other variables were upgraded, this would be a formidable contender for the position now held by the General Lee. Should a truck driver buy this radio? You should recognize that all of these radios are illegal in the CB frequencies. If you are willing to try a new product, this will definitely make you one of the louder voices on the radio.

Lastly, I would be remiss if I didn't tell you this. To be in possession of a modified radio is a federal felony. At present the FCC does not appear to be enforcing these rules and regulations. But I just received an order for a Cobra radio from a customer in Alabama who had his Galaxy confiscated at a DOT stop. As always, I recommend you buy a real CB radio like a Cobra, Uniden, Midland or Cherokee.

Enjoy your equipment and stay safe.
Robinhood

This article is provided by Truckstop Electronics, Inc. Stan Wells has been involved with repairing and selling CB radios for over 30 years. He's also involved in product development and testing.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

4037. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 14:29:10 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D5E87D1.69E7995F@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133066

>
> > If I modified a Cobra 148
> > to operate on 10 meters, does that make it a "phony CB?"
>
> No it makes it a illegal CB

Tom, stop banging your head against that brick wall. You keep tossing food morsels out under the bridge. Same pattern as AA.

4038. Re: SWR is high

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 14:43:25 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020817104325.24420.00000907@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 133067

>When I use the radio's SWR meter, my >reading is very far in the red.
Internal SWR meters are known for inaccurate readings. Get a cheap ($10-15) SWR meter from Radio Shack (sorry but everybody knows where they are!). You will need a short jumper to use it so make one or buy one. Now check it. Also, many whips require a small spring. This adds length to the actual antenna and effects SWR. Close proximity to the vehicle (on the bumper) commonly raises SWR as signals reflect right back at the antenna. Lastly, 18ft of coax is BS. The important thing is that the coax have an ohm reading of as close to 52 ohms as possible. That is the coax only, no antenna connected, no radio connected, just the coax. Without buying an expensive antenna analyzer go with this. If your coax says RG-58 or RG-8X try about 14.5 ft. Good luck
Joe 333 Springfield MO

"Got RF?"

4039. Re: SWR is high

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 14:57:02 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D5E6433.ACDC0EBC@icok.net>
Source record: 133068

Kevin,
You can't 'pre-tune' an antenna for all installations.
An antenna has to be tuned each time it's installed. I'd
suggest that doing so will cure your SWR problem.
'Doc

4040. Re: SWR is high

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 15:21:16 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <MRt79.16240$Ke2.1354004@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133069

with swr reading that high, id say it is not properly installed, or connections not soldered...as far as tunning your antenna, i seriosly doubt you can get close to 1.5 with the reading you are now having...swrs need to be around 2 before you can "tune" that antenna, and tune on channel 1 and 40, if swr higher on 1, increase tip lenght, if its higher on 40 than channel 1, shorten the length. channel 19 should be the closses to zero you can get...if on your bumper is the only place to mount, use a 104" steel whip...good luck

--
If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens

4041. Re: SWR is high

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 15:23:45 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5Ut79.16246$Ke2.1351247@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133070

doc, he said four...you cant "tune" that antenna to get even down to
three....I suggest you re-think your answer..

--
If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens

4042. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 15:55:35 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D5E5303.3E41742B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 133071

Richard Cranium wrote:

>
> However, you're doing the amateur radio community a tremendous
> disservice by limiting the choice of radios they have to operate on
> ten and twelve meters.

What!! Give me a break, do you think if these radios were not
available that the hams would not radios to use on 10 meters?
There are plenty of choices of radios meant for ham use by the
well known makers for hams to choose from.

4043. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 15:58:18 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D5E53A7.12EE881E@mailcity.net>
Source record: 133072

Me wrote:

>
> Roger Beeps, Echo and Robot Voice changer and all? Funny If these radio were
> meant for licensed amateurs why arent they advertised in Ham publications
> and Ham web sites? You will only find them on the seedy Illegal CB Web pages
> calling them something they are not Ham radios. Lot of them are AM/FM only
> radios what happened to SSB. If they are true Amateur transcievers you would
> think you might see a CW mode as well.

Richard refuses to see the truth. None of these radios are meant
for the ham market, they are marketed to the cb crowd to use so
they have all these extra channels which include the 10 meter ham
band. The hams care nothing for these radios, they have plenty of
legitimate ham rigs by the well know manufactures of amateur radio
equipment to choose from.

4044. Roper Electronics...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 17:04:42 UTC
From: Dino <dinod@deltanet.com>
Message-ID: <Kmv79.8902$I6.730512@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 133073

From Amateur Radio Newsline Report 1305 August 16th, 2002

ENFORCEMENT: DONT ADVERTISE BANNED GEAR ON THE WWW

The CQ website reports some unofficial advice from the FCC: If you're going to sell non FCC approved amateur and CB equipment, don't advertise it on the World Wide Web!

Case in point. A company in Wisconsin we have reported on before. Roper Electronics which was asked by the Commission back on June 18th to explain why it was alleged web advertising non-certified CB transceivers and HF linear amplifiers on its website. The company was also ordered to submit a list of all High Frequency transceivers and amplifiers sold within the US or offered for sale during 2002, along with the FCC certification number for each model.

The FCC's Riley Hollingsworth says that his office has received a answer to the inquiry from Randi Owen. Owen is the owner of Roper Electronics. Hollingsworth says that his office and the local FCC field office is reviewing Owen's reply. (CQ)

4045. Re: SWR is high

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 17:20:16 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <kBv79.48720$SS.2188300@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133074

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Kevin Johnson" <KJohnson125@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Iup79.8487$I6.683204@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Hello,
> I'm new to this group, and I'm sure my question has been answered a
thousand
> times. If so, I apologize in advance, but here goes. I recently
installed
> my Uniden CB in my Jeep. I have a 4 ft. fiberglass (pretuned) whip
antenna
> which is mounted directly to my bumper with a 'stud mount.' I then
> installed 18 ft. of antenna cable (100% shielded) along the frame under
the
> jeep and through the firewall to the radio. Power comes from the fuse
box.

For starters use a SWR Meter to check your swr. If you don't need 18' use a
different length of coax from antenna to radio. Make sure mount is grounded
to body.

4046. Re: The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 17:35:14 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D5E8949.37319065@icok.net>
Source record: 133075

Peak Envelope Performance?
'Doc

4047. Re: SWR is high

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 17:36:58 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D5E89B1.4DFA28E9@icok.net>
Source record: 133076

Georgie,
You can 'tune' any antenna. I would have
thought you'd have picked that up from your CD.
'Doc

4048. Re: SWR is high

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 17:38:02 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D5E89F1.EF297C0C@icok.net>
Source record: 133077

By the way, there are 2 'c's in succeed.
'Doc

4049. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 17:38:51 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208170938.474d3f55@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133078

Richard Cranium wrote:
>As others have pointed out, it's not the radio
>IT'S THE OPERATOR (!!!) that violates the law.
>What you should be working on is a way to
>eliminate bad Operators.

The FCC has already restricted the sales of external RF linear amplifiers, thanks to abuse by outlaw CB operators. They've also restricted sales of phony 10 meter radios. Even though the outlaw operator is the abuser, the equipment is what gets restricted. Keep abusing, keep creating more restrictions.

-Aaron-

4050. RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 17:54:38 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208170954.5134b881@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133079

shitblaster@volcanomail.com (Blaster) wrote:
>TRUTH: Violating FCC regulations isn't ANY sort of Felony, State,
>federal or otherwise. Neither is Jay walking, Running a red lite or a
>hundred other infractions. Look Up the legal definition of felony.

This sure sounds a lot more serious than jay walking:

If a federal court finds that you have WILLFULLY and KNOWINGLY
violated any FCC Rule, you may be fined up to $500 for each day you
committed the violation. If a federal court finds that you have
WILLFULLY and KNOWINGLY violated any provision of the Communications
Act, you may be fined up to $10,000 or may be imprisoned for one year,
or both.

...but don't take my word for it, get the PDF file of Part 95, CB
Rules, from the FCC site at:

http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Engineering_Technology/Documents/cfr/1998/47cfr95.pdf

-Aaron-

4051. Re: SSB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 18:03:04 UTC
From: BobC <123@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ult3roivrajs5e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133080

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ulqv8gni6q614b@corp.supernews.com...
> My question is why we would assume the carriers are on the same frequency
to
> begin with? Most likely, one will be a KHz off or so; then it's a 50/50
> crapshot if the two sidebands will overlap a KHz or two or not.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim

You're exactly correct. Communication between stations operated in this way must assume an on frequency condition amongst all "channel" users. Only in this way can interference be minimized and the whole concept viable.

Should large scale operation of this type be contemplated I think a pilot carrier such as used in ACSB would suffice as means to ensure continued on frequency operation. The pilot carriers' frequency would be far enough removed from the information passband(voice) that it won't be heard and this allows the receivers and transmitters to "lock" to each other. Of course this goes back to the initial problem of keeping a good reference timebase in the radio.

Timebase references are relatively inexpensive anymore and even the cheap ones will provide suitable accuracy.

Another area of concern would be those persons who defeat the "lock" circuits in favor of a manually tuneable transmit/receive capability..ie..unlocked clarifier, vfo etc. This will, in many cases, prove counterproductive to the whole concept and any gains in interference reduction will be negated.

It's a given that many stations will find need to overide the "locking" capability for one reason or another so the whole point is moot.

But..It can be done..... with everyones co-operation.

>
>
> "BobC" <123@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:ulls9s8rfh1k61@corp.supernews.com...
> > I imagine that simultaneous conversations are possible between USB and
LSB
> > centered on the same channel.
> >
> > The problem being that there will be interference between the stronger
> > stations due to phase noises and spectral width of each station.

> >
> > I believe there are now digital filters that could remove such artifacts
> as
> > long as they are really outside each "channel". If the phase noise and
> voice
> > spectrum greatly occupy the next "channel" then no amount of filtering
> will
> > eliminate it. There is a however to this. If you're willing to sacrifice
> > some fidelity in the process then you can narrow or shift one side of
the
> > filters' response and regain communication.
> >

4052. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 18:43:35 UTC
From: BobC <123@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ult67npbe57j6f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133081

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D5DB90C.A1A92A54@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> >
> > >Cellphones and driving don't mix. Don't know why
> > they don't, and I don't know why talking on a radio doesn't take away
> > one's attention as badly, but
> > it just does.
> > 73
> > Jerry<<
>
> I suspect that when cb and ham mobile ops are using the radio that
> they are chatting with buddies and enjoying the conversation. The
> cell phone user, who many have trouble walking and chewing gum at
> the same time, are on the phone shouting at their lawyer, spouse,
> boss, ex-wife/husband/boy/girlfriend/lover ect., ect., ect.
> getting their blood pressure and frustration level over the top,
> thus paying little attention to what they should be doing and that
> is controlling the vehicle. I am reminded of what my flight
> instructor said about the aircraft radio, "your first priority is
> to fly the plane, so forget the radio if you have to".

Humans have just so much concentration and multi-tasking skills available.
Some more than others.

I suspect it's the fact that first and second generation phones had to be
held to the ear by hand and couldn't be removed lest we miss out on the
conversation. Our concentration was then split between only one hand
available to drive, shift, rifle thru folders, drink coffee and holding the
phone.

Because we are only using a mic and the receiver can be heard from a mounted
spkr elsewhere, our both hands are still available to steer, shift, rifle
thru folders etc without loosing the conversation or using up valuable
concentration in holding something up to our ears.

While this type of multi-tasking can easily be learned, it's evident by
today's news that most folks either aren't up to the task or won't bother
becoming proficient in this regard. I evidence so many drivers, women in
particular, that cut you off without realizing it nor having any concept of
the impending doom that 80,000lbs of truck can bestow upon the unwitted.

This style of mindless driving seems to be the norm for this generation of " I have to be in front no matter what" drivers. These are usually the same people you will see in court saying things like " I didn't cause the accident because according to the drivers log book he should have been there and gone 15 minutes ago. It had nothing to do with the fact that I was too busy looking for that next open hole in the next lane that I could abruptly and without warning jump into that I wasn't able/willing to pay as much attention to my surroundings on the road as I was in "diss'n" someone on the phone.

Have you ever heard the mindless babblings of young adults on a phone in general? Makes me wonder why they even learned to speak in the first place.

Thank engineers that they've finally incorporated inexpensive means to provide hands free operation although this is not a panacea. These people probably wont use it because it still limits their ability to jump and run while maintaining a call. Oh heaven forbid I might loose this important call for a few seconds while I grab my phone from the power cradle. I can't be held up even for a second when I arrive at my house/ convenience store job etc.

Ok I'm done..I feel better now.

4053. Can I convert my old Mobile CB to a Base CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 19:20:49 UTC
From: jhonnyxero@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <79f40c25.0208171120.1eafbfca@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133083

I found my old GE 40 channel cb and was wondering if I could convert it (Cheaply) to be used in the house? On the back where the cig lighter plugs into the CB it says -13.8VDC+. I found online something that says it is a 12volt dc converter. All it is is a 2 prong plug on one end and a female cig lighter on the other. It says its 1 amp. Would this work? Would my mobile antenna work inside. Thanks in advance.

4054. Re: Can I convert my old Mobile CB to a Base CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 19:52:15 UTC
From: Yeahrightman <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com>
Message-ID: <f9atlugbuq83jf2ksnklqbm8paeokd8tdr@4ax.com>
Source record: 133084

On 17 Aug 2002 12:20:49 -0700, jhonnyxero@yahoo.com (Jhonny Xero)
wrote:

>I found my old GE 40 channel cb and was wondering if I could convert
>it (Cheaply) to be used in the house? On the back where the cig
>lighter plugs into the CB it says -13.8VDC+. I found online something
>that says it is a 12volt dc converter. All it is is a 2 prong plug on
>one end and a female cig lighter on the other. It says its 1 amp.
>Would this work? Would my mobile antenna work inside. Thanks in
>advance.

Yes... I recommend a Astron 25 Amp power supply.

hook red wire to red post and black wire to black post and your good
to go!

Good Luck.

4055. Re: learn something new every day.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 20:08:43 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <f3y79.16670$Ke2.1382889@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133085

i tell you what, you have a swr reading of 4, you try to tune a 4ft antenna with what? 3 inches of tip ? what a joke.
Get real guys, you seriously think YOU can tune it? I doubt that antenna being mounted on the bumper (the way it is explained) will EVER get below 2. He is having a connection problem somewhere, then perhaps 1.6 or something may be obtainable. Im learning how stupid some of you really are. CHECK THE CONNECTIONS WITH OHM METER< DO CHECK WITH EXTERNAL SWR METER first to insure there are no open circuts. Grounding is very important. I have a 5ft antenna on my pick up with 12 feet coax, 1.0 on ch 19-, 1.1 on ch1, 1.1 on ch 40
If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens

4056. learn something new every day.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 20:10:11 UTC
From: Wesser <wesser@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <ult8ak2kk2qq2b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133082

"George Kinzer said"
as far as tunning your antenna, i seriosly doubt
> you can get close to 1.5 with the reading you are now having. say what
.??????????????????

.swrs need to be around 2 before you can "tune" that antenna, SINCE
WHEN?????????
((

4057. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 20:12:26 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <K6y79.53784$eK6.1998652@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133086

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D5E87D1.69E7995F@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> >
> > > If I modified a Cobra 148
> > > to operate on 10 meters, does that make it a "phony CB?"
> >
> > No it makes it a illegal CB
>
> Tom, stop banging your head against that brick wall. You keep
> tossing food morsels out under the bridge. Same pattern as AA.

But not quite as bright. <g>

4058. Re: Can I convert my old Mobile CB to a Base CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 20:17:08 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ajmb0e030s2@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133088

"Jhonny Xero" <jhonnyxero@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:79f40c25.0208171120.1eafbfca@posting.google.com...
> I found my old GE 40 channel cb and was wondering if I could convert
> it (Cheaply) to be used in the house? On the back where the cig
> lighter plugs into the CB it says -13.8VDC+. I found online something
> that says it is a 12volt dc converter. All it is is a 2 prong plug on
> one end and a female cig lighter on the other. It says its 1 amp.
> Would this work? Would my mobile antenna work inside. Thanks in
> advance.

That's the purpose of the power supply.
Although you should get one that is rated better than your fuse.
Like a 5 amp for a 3 amp fuse.
1 amp should not be used on a cb.

As for the antenna inside the house, well, that's kind of an iffy situation.
You'll be able to hear without any problems, but for talking power, you're
not going to get much due to the fact you have no metal to act as a ground
plane.
All you can do is experiment and see what happens.
Depending on where you live, you might want to look into what's called a
"Trik stik".
It's designed to mount on window sills outside of the house, or apartment
building.
It's a two part anteanna with one part upright as usual, and the other part
pointing down.

4059. Re: SWR is high

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 20:18:28 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ocy79.16699$Ke2.1384407@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133087

there is one f in fuck you..

--
If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens

4060. Re: SWR is high

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 20:39:18 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Wvy79.16719$Ke2.1385817@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133089

"George Kinzer" <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:ocy79.16699$Ke2.1384407@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> there is one f in fuck you..
>
> --
> If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens
>
>

Well, George. With that you have earned Bozo*Flush. Byeeeee.

4061. KDK FM-6033 Six meter rig

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 20:57:55 UTC
From: NI4L <ni4l@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3D5EB8D3.5080409@charter.net>
Source record: 133090

I have a nice KDK FM-6033 Six meter rig has tones, Memories 10 i
think It scans -/+ off sets,VFO, call channel.
5/25 watts works perfect looks good. Have mic, power cord and mounting
bracket for it.
175.00+shipping OBO

--
NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
Made with the same components used by our military forces today
Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/

4062. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 21:05:15 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D5EE4A6.AD695C67@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133091

Randy wrote:
>
> "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D5E87D1.69E7995F@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > >
> > > > If I modified a Cobra 148
> > > > to operate on 10 meters, does that make it a "phony CB?"
> > >
> > > No it makes it a illegal CB
> >
> > Tom, stop banging your head against that brick wall. You keep
> > tossing food morsels out under the bridge. Same pattern as AA.
>
> But not quite as bright. <g>

And I would like to add:

http://t0xic.com/pics/argue.jpg

4063. Re: SWR is high

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 21:12:04 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D5EE63F.327B27B7@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133092

Richard McCollum wrote:

> > If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens
> >
> >
>
> Well, George. With that you have earned Bozo*Flush. Byeeeee.

I told him about succeed last week. He didn't pick up on it.

He keeps trying, though, unsuccessfully.

4064. Re: SWR is high

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 21:14:52 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <g1z79.16759$Ke2.1389284@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133093

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D5EE63F.327B27B7@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
>
>
> > > If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what
happens
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Well, George. With that you have earned Bozo*Flush. Byeeeee.
>
> I told him about succeed last week. He didn't pick up on it.
>
> He keeps trying, though, unsuccessfully.

One can be a mis-spelling pain in the drain for quite a while. Hauling
out the profanity earns the flush.

4065. Re: learn something new every day.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 21:17:14 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D5EE774.B2A0CDA0@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133094

George Kinzer wrote:
>

> If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens

He did it again, and it failed. The only way for him to SUCCEED,
is not to listen to you. How many SWR's do you have? My system
has an SWR of about 1.3:1 with a resistance of 70 ohms. That is
a seven foot Firestik on the back bumper of a Wrangler. I have
since put another ground on it to improve performance. Works
great. Talks far. An American made antenna on an American made
vehicle for an American.

4066. Re: learn something new every day.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 21:25:47 UTC
From: Kevin Johnson <KJohnson125@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <vbz79.9351$I6.770602@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 133095

Scott,
Looks like I opened a can of worms here. Anyway, back to the point of the post. I read your response and am now wondering if I need a longer antenna instead of the 4 ft. firestick I have now. Mine is not tunable, should I have gotten a tuneable one? I haven't found a way to mount the antenna on the spare tire mount yet, but I'll keep trying. What kind of mount did you use on your bumper? How did you add "another ground?" Do you think moving it to the spare tire mount will improve things? All the connections are secure and I don't see a way to improve on the mounting or location of the cable. Any ideas? By the way, what's the most common channel for listening into radio traffic?

Thanks,
Kevin

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message news:3D5EE774.B2A0CDA0@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> George Kinzer wrote:
> >
>
>
> > If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens
>
> He did it again, and it failed. The only way for him to SUCCEED,
> is not to listen to you. How many SWR's do you have? My system
> has an SWR of about 1.3:1 with a resistance of 70 ohms. That is
> a seven foot Firestik on the back bumper of a Wrangler. I have
> since put another ground on it to improve performance. Works
> great. Talks far. An American made antenna on an American made
> vehicle for an American.
>

4067. Re: KDK FM-6033 Six meter rig

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 21:28:20 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <Udz79.44893$Fw3.2432536@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133096

Take this Spam to the appropriate group rec.radio.swap or any other amateur group.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"NI4L" <ni4l@charter.net> wrote in message
news:3D5EB8D3.5080409@charter.net...
> I have a nice KDK FM-6033 Six meter rig has tones, Memories 10 i
> think It scans -/+ off sets,VFO, call channel.
> 5/25 watts works perfect looks good. Have mic, power cord and mounting
> bracket for it.
> 175.00+shipping OBO
>
> --
> NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
> LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
> Made with the same components used by our military forces today
> Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
> Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
> Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/
>

4068. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 21:31:06 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <ugz79.36271$m91.2259924@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133097

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:K6y79.53784$eK6.1998652@twister.austin.rr.com...
> But not quite as bright. <g>

Yeah your real bright Randy, at least you got off the crack pipe. How is the white Monster treating you these days and relapses?

4069. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 21:40:38 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ajmft00942@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133100

"Blaster" <shitblaster@volcanomail.com> wrote in message
news:90a278e1.0208170908.47e490e7@posting.google.com...
> x-no-archive:yes
>
> Whoever "Stan Wells"is should go back to sleep & stop spreading
> misinformation!!
>
> Quotes from "Stan Wells" (addressed individually)
>
> "The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios
> Back in 1975 the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) decided to
> add more
> channels to the Citizens Band (CB) frequencies. It went from 23 to 40
> channels. The FCC also lowered the power limit in these frequencies
> from 5
> watts to 3.5 watts...."
> TRUTH: The CB band went from 23 to 40 channels in January 1977.
> There has never been a 3.5w power limit. CB has Always been 4w output,
> although in the 60's & early 70's Makers were allowed to list "input
> power" of 5w, which was still 4w output max. Next....

They could say whatever they wanted to on the box. The actual output is alll
that matters.
If I'm not mistaken, it's 4 watts PEAK power.

>
> "......Shortly thereafter, the power wars began. It was soon
> discovered that the 10-meter Amateur radio was virtually unregulated.
> This
> gave rise to the Connex and Galaxy revolution. These radios were easy
> to
> convert to 11-meters which is the CB band. Additionally, they had 25
> watts
> of power. They eventually captured nearly half of the sales in the CB
> marketplace with the addition of many other brand names to the
> field--some
> now offering as much as 250 watts of power....."
> TRUTH: Galaxy & Connex didn't show up 'till more than a decade
> after Cybernet, Uniden/President ClearChannel & many others were
> already selling "Export" type rigs, the "revolution was over before
> they got there....NEXT

Galaxy 1011DX was already on the market well before that time.
It only took a simple solder jumper to convert to cb.

>
> "The current top seller is the General Lee. This radio is a copy of
> the"
> At a Peak Envelope Performance (PEP) of nearly 50 watts, the General
> Lee
> sports a prominent Rebel Flag on the front panel. This radio prompted
> a new
> round of power wars...."
> TRUTH: Gee, where does this crap come from?? The General Lee is not
> any Top seller, doesn't sell as many as any single Galaxy model, &
> uses the same old board already in use in countless radios with the
> same power. This meaningless radio did not start any "power
> wars"!!..........NEXT.......

Actually, the entire "president" line has never been a true presence
anywhere.
It's a wonder they're still in business.

> "Enter a company with a long and respected history. RF
> Limited, located in Issaquah, Washington, is long known for quality
> microphones and innovative ideas. They began importing magnum radios,
> Delta
> Force and Alpha Force as well as Millennium radios. The Delta Forces
> being
> the most powerful at a PEP of over 50 watts."
> TRUTH: Wrong again, Mr. Know-it-all wiseguy. First, RF limited
> imported the AR-3500 & AR-3300 25 & 100w rigs back in the early 80's,
> long before Galaxy or Connex. Second, The Magnums were all being
> imported & sold by them long before any stupid "General" radios ever
> existed. THIRD, The Delta force was NOT their most powerful at 50w,
> Their Magnum 357 & 457 were 250 to 400w Radios....NEXT
>
> "Now RF Limited is about
> to release the replacement for these radios under the Maverick
> nameplate, to
> be designated A24."
> TRUTH: This radio has been out for over a year, & there are old
> reviews on the internet about it!...NEXT...
>
> "Lastly, I would be remiss if I didn't tell you this. To be in
> possession of
> a modified radio is a federal felony..."
> TRUTH: Violating FCC regulations isn't ANY sort of Felony, State,
> federal or otherwise. Neither is Jay walking, Running a red lite or a
> hundred other infractions. Look Up the legal definition of felony, Mr.
> Shitting Bull.....Finally...

No it's not a felony. But you'll be fined $10,000 just the same.

>
> "This article is provided by Truckstop Electronics, Inc. Stan Wells
> has been

> involved with repairing and selling CB radios for over 30 years. Try
> me I'll make you famous."
> YOU're Kiddig, right?? You'll make us all famous bullshitters
> with false made-up information & half truths?? You think this Post
> HELPED your Business!!??!

I wonder just how many times Mr. Wells has been zapped with a fine?
If not, perhaps Mr. Hollingsworth would like to accomadate the man.
Then he can personally relate to us that possession is not illegal.
Everyone knows, you ain't gonna $400 for a radio and not use it right?

4070. Re: Can I convert my old Mobile CB to a Base CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 22:20:13 UTC
From: DummyLoad@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10862-3D5ECC1D-179@storefull-2394.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133102

Hey, yougottabekidding, why the fake, forged user name, isp, etc...in your post? What you afraid of?

4071. Re: legitimate users of the 25-30mhz spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 22:40:15 UTC
From: w8ems@juno.com
Message-ID: <59af69d5.0208171440.302620bb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133101

ld751@yahoo.com (2LD751) wrote in message
news:<3d12c96e.0208161534.4ca0fb6@posting.google.com>...
> FREQ CH USE
>
>
>
> ---
>
> 25.000 TIME

(snip to save bandwith)

> 27.995 USGOVT

You can add to that the new CEPT/UK CB Channels that start at 27.60125
through 29.99125, at 4 Watts FM.

73 de Jim W8EMS/9 2KA150

4072. Re: C-SPAN: D.C. Reparations Rally

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 23:00:11 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <%zA79.36794$m91.2307668@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133103

That was some funny shit
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

"wdoda" <wdoda@bigmailbox.net> wrote in message
news:ajmien$1c9lde$1@ID-80662.news.dfncis.de...
> Holy Shit!!!!
>
> When did C-SPAN start showing comedies? That had to be the funniest shit
I
> have seen on the tube in a looooong time.
> Too bad the networks can't come up with anything that funny.
> The WB should get the rights to it for a reality show.
>
> "We don't want no hand outs"
> "We want our mon-ey"
>
> This thing had to be a work.

4073. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 23:04:25 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208171504.b7b718a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133104

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208131128.21412922@posting.google.com>...
> twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<16378-3D584B57-395@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> <snip BS>
>
> No one ever said Riley's primary job focus was "CB Enforcement".
>
> But Riley did say that Twistedhed's post was "10% accurate". That
> means 90% of it was falsehood.

That's only "hearsay", Aanal Aaron!

4074. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 23:07:59 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208171507.1ed225d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133105

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<Gva79.22339$EP2.1051115@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>...
> Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:ajhp3o$1b7hjn$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> >snip<
> If the FCC wasn't so impotent they could
> > take care of the problems, but enforcement is almost nil.
> >
> > Tom
> >
> And THAT can be changed if those affected adversely by interference write
> their Representatives
> and the sponsors of the current CC & R Bill and ask that something be done.
> If enough of us demand that something be done, then Congress would act to
> enable FCC to do their jobs. It IS
> ludicrous to charge an agency with a task and then imasculate it so that its
> efforts are hampered.
>
> Jerry

But you are *assuming* that "interference" is occurring, aren't you?
And nobody but you and Aanal Aaron are making any noise about things,
so you will continue to be ignored. By the FCC as well as by the bulk
of the CBers.

4075. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 23:10:08 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <kJA79.193931$6Z1.9018678@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133106

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208171504.b7b718a@posting.google.com...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208131128.21412922@posting.google.com>...
> > twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<16378-3D584B57-395@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> > <snip BS>
> >
> > No one ever said Riley's primary job focus was "CB Enforcement".
> >
> > But Riley did say that Twistedhed's post was "10% accurate". That
> > means 90% of it was falsehood.
>
> That's only "hearsay", Aanal Aaron!

And what Twithead said is hearsay too.

--
Things
are changing, and clowns are going to be painting on sad faces in the coming
months.
```

4076. Re: Morse Code stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 23:14:42 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <CNA79.17284$Ep6.1353524@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133107

"Jay in the Mojave" <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote in message
news:3D5F6EC7.828ADA2D@qnet.com...
> Hello Dick:
>
> What where those CW web sites you have mentioned before.
>
> Thanks for any help there.
>
> Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from the
> fillin station.
>
> Credentials:
> CB Radio Operator
> Owner 1966 Ford F250 4x4, with the Loudest P.A. System this side of the
> Mississippi
> Rock n Roll Fan, also like Both kinds of music, Country & Western (Dixy
> Checks Yaa Hooo!)
> Darn near made it out of High School, Los Angles City Schoools
> Graduate of State of California Drivers Education Class, recently, only
> $300.oo dollars.

Try http://ah0a.org for Morse Academy. This is a DOS based program that will not run on the new Gil Bates Windows version but does on the older ones. Infinitely adjustable, I use it to learn to copy on a keyboard which I never learned to do. Came out of the military but was used by everyone with good success.

Bert, WA2SI, likes the ARRL cd at http://www.arrl.org. If you have a receiver, you might want to try the W1AW code practice transmissions to get a feel for copying off the air.

Use the e-mail (he he) if you want to kick the bull in the butt some more.

Dick, November Zero Beer Keg

4077. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack is dead CUSTOMERS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 23:42:15 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <rbB79.32122$X5.5919620@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 133108

I don't know what you are talking about 6 months bull. I was treated for cancer the day after it was discovered. When I was diagnosed as a T-1 diabetic I was in the training class in 2 weeks and when I broke my hand at work I was treated including surgery immediately and non of it has cost me a penny including my insulin. All paid for. I have never seen our dollar worth 50 cents. We do keep our dollar artificially low. I don't mind I make $40,000.00 per year working 25 hours a week in a grocery store. Then why are all of your rich US actors moving to Canada and buying up condos in my neighborhood? And if you are looking for the largest oil reserve in the world, larger then all the oil in the middle east then you should be looking too Canada. 25% of all the gas in your car comes from us. You should be ashamed Doc.

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D5E032A.BC3DCFE5@icok.net...
> WG,
> Yep, you remember all that the next time you need
> health care and don't want to wait for 6 months. Or,
> the next time you wonder why ~your~ dollar is only
> worth 50 cents, even in ~your~ country. Or, the next
> time you start looking for the 'wealthier' Canadians,
> try Florida. Yep, you're sure right, the 'bad old'
> USA has sure taken a stick to you poor Canadians...
> I feel so ashamed. NOT!
> 'Doc

4078. Re: Can I convert my old Mobile CB to a Base CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-17 23:53:23 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <TIB79.8621$I62.7770@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 133109

Hay look at that plug if it is three pin its backwards from the cobra plugs
and you will kill that radio and you need a powersupply , about 5-7amp at
13.8volts goodluck
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"Jhonny Xero" <jhonnyxero@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:79f40c25.0208171120.1eafbfca@posting.google.com...
> I found my old GE 40 channel cb and was wondering if I could convert
> it (Cheaply) to be used in the house? On the back where the cig
> lighter plugs into the CB it says -13.8VDC+. I found online something
> that says it is a 12volt dc converter. All it is is a 2 prong plug on
> one end and a female cig lighter on the other. It says its 1 amp.
> Would this work? Would my mobile antenna work inside. Thanks in
> advance.

4079. Let's get the dukes back on the AIR!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 00:35:23 UTC
From: Yeahrightman <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com>
Message-ID: <msqtlu0fhh0p4fpkp5mkfa4d3ptnndak2d@4ax.com>
Source record: 133110

Dear Friends,

I have just read and signed the online petition:

"Dukes of Hazzard back on Television!"

hosted on the web by PetitionOnline.com, the free online petition service, at:

http://www.PetitionOnline.com/dukestnn/

I personally agree with what this petition says, and I think you might agree, too. If you can spare a moment, please take a look, and consider
signing yourself.

Best wishes...

4080. Re: Roper Electronics...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 00:38:28 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <c_B79.33834$EP2.1702169@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133116

Dino <dinod@deltanet.com> wrote in message
news:Kmv79.8902$I6.730512@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> From Amateur Radio Newsline Report 1305 August 16th, 2002
>
>
>
> ENFORCEMENT: DONT ADVERTISE BANNED GEAR ON THE WWW
>
>
>
> The CQ website reports some unofficial advice from the FCC: If you're
> going to sell non FCC approved amateur and CB equipment, don't advertise
> it on the World Wide Web!
>
>
>
> Case in point. A company in Wisconsin we have reported on before.
> Roper Electronics which was asked by the Commission back on June 18th to
> explain why it was alleged web advertising non-certified CB transceivers
> and HF linear amplifiers on its website. The company was also ordered
> to submit a list of all High Frequency transceivers and amplifiers sold
> within the US or offered for sale during 2002, along with the FCC
> certification number for each model.
>
>
> The FCC's Riley Hollingsworth says that his office has received a answer
> to the inquiry from Randi Owen. Owen is the owner of Roper Electronics.
> Hollingsworth says that his office and the local FCC field office is
> reviewing Owen's reply. (CQ)

Burn 'im! BURN 'im!!!

Jerry
>

4081. Re: UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 00:38:37 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <h0C79.928$DL4.74923200@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133111

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:bus79.39466$Fw3.2120091@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:TLh79.520$wa6.50330934@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> > So by the post above, I have to figure you were talking
> > about my sig file, what else. But just like you're alter ego
> > you try to divert attention away from your mistakes.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> How do you figure that I said to turn me in 2x what does that have to do
> with your sig file?
> Your the one who has made a mistake don't blame your inadequacies on me. I
> am not like you I have only 1 personality, another mistake or
misconception
> on your part but then agin your full of em.
>
>

Oh, ok..... LMAO!

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

4082. Re: Re:George's idea of free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 00:38:37 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <h0C79.927$DL4.74923200@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133112

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:CBs79.45424$SS.2052239@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...

>
> Sorry Landwhale, another attempt at you to try and put words in others
> mouth. Please quote what you say I wrote and post the headers Again your a
> demented person who borders on Twisty like symptoms. You are the one
typing
> something that isnt there .
>
>
>
> > "--- Landshark <S3Buell@SBCglobal.net> wrote:
> > > So it's must be inappriotae to
> > > discuss the sale of radar detectors in a car group,
> > > or Chemo therapy on home medics group, or
> > > How to make your car go 160 mph on a yugo
> > > group. Unless you want to start a moderated group,
> > > you can't stop the discussion of anything
> >
> > I can't stop any discussion unless you let me, dinky.
> > Hey, you know and I know that illegal CB is wrong.
> > Everything else is superfluous."
> >
> > So please don't say "I'm" the one, you and everyone
> > else know damn well that's not true. You on the other hand
> > have tried you're dandiest to forge, Spam the group with hate filled,
> > harassing, sexual innuendo type posts, so who's the
> > person trying to squelch free speech?
> >
> > TTFN, I have a 7:30 tee of time, so have fun pounding
> > away on the keyboard.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Have fun pounding your pud, If I had a 7:30 T time I certainly wouldnt be
on
> the NG like you LOL now run along.
>
>

You just hate it when your proved wrong, that's
why you are always posting rude, harassing, sexual
innuendo filled posts, to bad.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

4083. Re: SWR is high

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 00:39:41 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D5EECC2.97D83B4B@icok.net>
Source record: 133113

George,
Congratulations! You can now spell one
four letter word correctly.
'Doc

4084. Re: learn something new every day.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 00:41:13 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D5EED1F.1FEFE396@icok.net>
Source record: 133114

George,
Yes, I know I can tune it. We also know
that you can't.
'Doc

4085. Re: C-SPAN: D.C. Reparations Rally

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 00:45:12 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <s6C79.930$fN4.75018412@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133115

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:%zA79.36794$m91.2307668@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> That was some funny shit
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>
>
> "wdoda" <wdoda@bigmailbox.net> wrote in message
> news:ajmien$1c9lde$1@ID-80662.news.dfncis.de...
> > Holy Shit!!!!
> >
> > When did C-SPAN start showing comedies? That had to be the funniest
shit
> I
> > have seen on the tube in a looooong time.
> > Too bad the networks can't come up with anything that funny.
> > The WB should get the rights to it for a reality show.
> >
> > "We don't want no hand outs"
> > "We want our mon-ey"
> >
> > This thing had to be a work.
>
>
>

Well let's see George, were your family
members forced to do menial labor? Were
your family members forced to work in fields,
forcibly moved from their home, killed innocently,
etc etc, and you think that's funny shit? No wonder
you have no sense of reality.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

4086. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 00:53:35 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ncC79.33868$EP2.1705374@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133118

Richard Cranium <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208171507.1ed225d2@posting.google.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<Gva79.22339$EP2.1051115@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>...
> > Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:ajhp3o$1b7hjn$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> > >snip<
> > If the FCC wasn't so impotent they could
> > > take care of the problems, but enforcement is almost nil.
> > >
> > > Tom
> > >
> > And THAT can be changed if those affected adversely by interference
write
> > their Representatives
> > and the sponsors of the current CC & R Bill and ask that something be
done.
> > If enough of us demand that something be done, then Congress would act
to
> > enable FCC to do their jobs. It IS
> > ludicrous to charge an agency with a task and then imasculate it so that
its
> > efforts are hampered.
> >
> > Jerry
>
> But you are *assuming* that "interference" is occurring, aren't you?
> And nobody but you and Aanal Aaron are making any noise about things,
> so you will continue to be ignored. By the FCC as well as by the bulk
> of the CBers.

No, I am not assuming. All I have to do is listen to 28.085 any day of the
week and hear truckers
with their asinine beeping and squeaking. Their very presence is
interference. What you don't know is I am NOT the only one complaining.
And you don't know whether FCC ignores anyone
or not. We shall see what takes place in the coming months.

J

4087. Re: legitimate users of the 25-30mhz spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 00:58:03 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ults7bf712jm09@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133117

Jim, just curious. Was that last frequency (29.99125) a typo? Or is there
a skip from 28.0 to 29.7?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Jim Wardrip" <w8ems@juno.com> wrote in message
news:59af69d5.0208171440.302620bb@posting.google.com...
> ld751@yahoo.com (2LD751) wrote in message
news:<3d12c96e.0208161534.4ca0fb6@posting.google.com>...
> > FREQ CH USE
> >
> >
> >
>
> ---

> >
> > 25.000 TIME
>
> (snip to save bandwith)
>
> > 27.995 USGOVT
>
> You can add to that the new CEPT/UK CB Channels that start at 27.60125
> through 29.99125, at 4 Watts FM.
>
> 73 de Jim W8EMS/9 2KA150

4088. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 01:01:20 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <KoC79.33899$EP2.1709478@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133123

Landshark <mailto:S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
news:Q%k79.539$bX2.12914790@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:g%h79.21042$dr.4412869@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > Gotcha! As hard as I would fight against intrusions onto the ham bands
by
> > unlicensed persons,
> > I would fight equally as hard for your right to discuss any topic in a
> > reasonable, civil, and free
> > manner
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Jerry
> >
>
>
> I don't think you got me, but each to his own.
> I have never complained about your 10 meter intrusions
> complaint. At the same time I've never seen you say anything
> to the contrary about discussion, so have a good one.
> I've got a 7:30 tee off time, so time to get some rest.
>
> Landshark\\

Naw, I meant that I understood what you were saying as in "got what you
said". LOL!

73

Jerry
>
>
> --
> If you are implying that people, who
> hold ham licenses, should be somehow
> held to a higher moral standard than
> the "common man", then that would
> be faulty logic. A ham license is only
> a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
> "badge of morality".
>
>

4089. Re: Let's get the dukes back on the AIR!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 01:03:10 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ajmroo0rta@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133125

"Yeahrightman" <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com> wrote in message
news:msqtlu0fhh0p4fpkp5mkfa4d3ptnndak2d@4ax.com...
> Dear Friends,
>
> I have just read and signed the online petition:
>
> "Dukes of Hazzard back on Television!"
>
> hosted on the web by PetitionOnline.com, the free online petition
> service, at:
>
> http://www.PetitionOnline.com/dukestnn/
>
> I personally agree with what this petition says, and I think you might
> agree, too. If you can spare a moment, please take a look, and
> consider
> signing yourself.
>
> Best wishes...

Let's not. Dukes are so over run on reruns it's pathetic.
TNN had them on awhile back and had a damn marathon even.
It was interesting to see how many times they used the same story line over
and over.

4090. Re: SWR is high

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 01:06:22 UTC
From: Kevin Johnson <KJohnson125@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <iqC79.9732$I6.800574@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 133119

I will. Thank you. Do you mean any length other than 18 feet, or just 'no longer than needed?'

Kevin

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message news:kBv79.48720$SS.2188300@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
> "Kevin Johnson" <KJohnson125@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:Iup79.8487$I6.683204@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Hello,
> > I'm new to this group, and I'm sure my question has been answered a
> thousand
> > times. If so, I apologize in advance, but here goes. I recently
> installed
> > my Uniden CB in my Jeep. I have a 4 ft. fiberglass (pretuned) whip
> antenna
> > which is mounted directly to my bumper with a 'stud mount.' I then
> > installed 18 ft. of antenna cable (100% shielded) along the frame under
> the
> > jeep and through the firewall to the radio. Power comes from the fuse
> box.
>
> For starters use a SWR Meter to check your swr. If you don't need 18' use
a
> different length of coax from antenna to radio. Make sure mount is
grounded
> to body.
>
>
>

4091. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 01:06:47 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <6xC79.33919$EP2.1709478@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133126

www.TimNebo.com <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020816221454.00259.00001026@mb-fj.aol.com...
> awe shit, jerry has turned into a real voobner clone now. just look at the
sig

HUH??????????????????????

J
>
> >
> >I have not dealt with that particular issue because I honestly don't
know.
> >I won't be using one,
> >so it doesn't matter. What IS apparent is that they are illegal to
IMPORT
> >and MARKET.
> >
> >J
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

4092. Re: SWR is high

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 01:13:45 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dxC79.17040$Ke2.1410804@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133120

"Kevin Johnson" <KJohnson125@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:iqC79.9732$I6.800574@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I will. Thank you. Do you mean any length other than 18 feet, or just
'no
> longer than needed?'
>
> Kevin
>

George will be back but what he is trying to say is there is no 'magic'
length of coax so use what is comfortable. The 18 foot thing is
mythology.

4093. Re: learn something new every day.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 01:15:19 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ultt7n9sdumqc8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133122

Kevin, just a quick thought. On any antenna (whether full quarter wave whip or a shortened vertical), the maximum radiation occurs. A bumper mount will put that maximum radiation into the metal of the vehicle. My guess (and it is a guess - hopefully we can get some honest input from a few folks) is that a longer antenna will raise that up higher and put more radiation away from the metal of the vehicle and you may not experience as many problems. That said, be sure and verify that bumper is well bonded to the frame of the vehicle; perhaps some copper braid right from the mounting point taken back and securely mounted to the frame will help; possibly not, but you might try something as stupid simple as using a set of jumper cables clipped right onto the bumper next to the mount point and run under the vehicle straight towards the far end and clamped to the frame. Just to see if it makes a difference in the swr. It costs nothing and might point you towards a possible cure. Ain't antennas wonderful ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - you might try shaking the antenna a bit and see if the swr changes; if so, suspect a bad solder joint and/or bad ground.

"Kevin Johnson" <KJohnson125@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:vbz79.9351$I6.770602@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Scott,
> Looks like I opened a can of worms here. Anyway, back to the point of the
> post. I read your response and am now wondering if I need a longer
antenna
> instead of the 4 ft. firestick I have now. Mine is not tunable, should I
> have gotten a tuneable one? I haven't found a way to mount the antenna on
> the spare tire mount yet, but I'll keep trying. What kind of mount did
you
> use on your bumper? How did you add "another ground?" Do you think
moving
> it to the spare tire mount will improve things? All the connections are
> secure and I don't see a way to improve on the mounting or location of the
> cable. Any ideas? By the way, what's the most common channel for
listening
> into radio traffic?
>
> Thanks,
> Kevin
>
>
> "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D5EE774.B2A0CDA0@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> >

> >
> > George Kinzer wrote:
> > >
> >
> >
> > > If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens
> >
> > He did it again, and it failed. The only way for him to SUCCEED,
> > is not to listen to you. How many SWR's do you have? My system
> > has an SWR of about 1.3:1 with a resistance of 70 ohms. That is
> > a seven foot Firestik on the back bumper of a Wrangler. I have
> > since put another ground on it to improve performance. Works
> > great. Talks far. An American made antenna on an American made
> > vehicle for an American.
> >
>
>

4094. Re: learn something new every day.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 01:20:53 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <VDC79.17055$Ke2.1411553@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133124

does the foot hurt, its stuck in your mouth....HE CAN NOT TUNE THE ANTENNA...opps...still re-thinking your answer? But you can tune ANY antenna?

--
If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens

4095. Re: Channel 2 (1st harmonic of 27 Mhz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 01:28:31 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <30utlu81uoiqb2o81gq4a2fvcahp6m34md@4ax.com>
Source record: 133121

On Wed, 14 Aug 2002 23:10:33 GMT, "Scott (Unit 69)"
<scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote:

>
>> In the US, a TV channel is a 6 MHz wide chunk of bandspace. The bottom edge
>> of the over-the-air channel 2 is 54 MHz and the upper edge is 60 MHz. Within
>> this 6 MHz space is a video carrier, a color carrier, and an audio
>> carrier.CATV has channels with frequencies below channel 2.
>
>And 146.76 will land you right in the middle of CATV 18. I've heard many
>hams come down to CB and complain that their neighbor is bitching about
>their voice coming through ESPN.

And if either the cable company installer, or the owner bothered to
tighten the connections or use better quality cable that most likely
would not be a problem.

73 de Keith
KC8TCQ
Vice President: REACT of Henry County
Executive Board Member: Henry County Chapter - American Red Cross
American Red Cross Disaster Services Logistics Specialist

4096. Re: C-SPAN: D.C. Reparations Rally

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 01:30:16 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <IMC79.47169$Fw3.2569978@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133127

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:s6C79.930$fN4.75018412@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> news:%zA79.36794$m91.2307668@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >
> > That was some funny shit
> > --
> > Try me I'll Make you Famous
> >
> >
> > "wdoda" <wdoda@bigmailbox.net> wrote in message
> > news:ajmien$1c9lde$1@ID-80662.news.dfncis.de...
> > > Holy Shit!!!!
> > >
> > > When did C-SPAN start showing comedies? That had to be the funniest
> shit
> > I
> > > have seen on the tube in a looooong time.
> > > Too bad the networks can't come up with anything that funny.
> > > The WB should get the rights to it for a reality show.
> > >
> > > "We don't want no hand outs"
> > > "We want our mon-ey"
> > >
> > > This thing had to be a work.
> >
> >
> >
>
> Well let's see George, were your family
> members forced to do menial labor? Were
> your family members forced to work in fields,
> forcibly moved from their home, killed innocently,
> etc etc, and you think that's funny shit? No wonder
> you have no sense of reality.
>
> Landshark

So you agree that they should be paid by our government?

4097. Re: C-SPAN: D.C. Reparations Rally

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 01:33:34 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <OPC79.38027$m91.2383758@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133128

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:s6C79.930$fN4.75018412@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> Well let's see George, were your family
> members forced to do menial labor? Were
> your family members forced to work in fields,
> forcibly moved from their home, killed innocently,
> etc etc, and you think that's funny shit? No wonder
> you have no sense of reality.
>
> Landshark

No they were burned by Hitler whats your point?
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

4098. Re: Re:George's idea of free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 01:38:23 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <jUC79.47259$Fw3.2574333@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133129

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
>
> You just hate it when your proved wrong, that's
> why you are always posting rude, harassing, sexual
> innuendo filled posts, to bad.
>
> Landshark

Where do you think you have proven me wrong? LOL I see nothing from you that is remotely close to what you first attributed to me. I see you wil stoop to anything to get my attention, making up things is not very clever indeed. So please prove me wrong or go sit in the corner with the dunce cap on for 2 hours.

4099. Re: UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 01:41:04 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <glutlu0ib7rmdu3j11pq89o6hmf5tdv38o@4ax.com>
Source record: 133130

On Thu, 15 Aug 2002 06:57:39 GMT, 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:

> Do you object to what CIPA requires? If
>so, why?
> 'Doc

THEY should be MORE concerned with all of the SMUT and TRASH that IS
IN the LIBRARY instead, and PROTECT everyone from it by BURNING IT
rather thatr making another BIG Broham govt. agency or alleged "law" !
Dave!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4100. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 01:41:53 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <BXC79.38101$m91.2389127@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133131

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:ncC79.33868$EP2.1705374@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>

> No, I am not assuming. All I have to do is listen to 28.085 any day of
the
> week and hear truckers
> with their asinine beeping and squeaking. Their very presence is
> interference. What you don't know is I am NOT the only one complaining.
> And you don't know whether FCC ignores anyone
> or not. We shall see what takes place in the coming months.
>
> J

You got that shot right Jerry.

--
Things are changing, and clowns are going to be painting on sad faces in
the coming months.
(Jerry O)

4101. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 01:44:48 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020817214448.21904.00000735@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 133133

>From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. >Voobner) Keep abusing, keep creating
>more restrictions.
Voobner, or whoever you really are, it is obvious that you are an anal, pencil pushing, desk driving piece of shit. Do
you really believe, in that empty hole you call your mind, that you are really making a difference? Think again, Fool! You just keep jacking your jaw, dumbass! What a loser you are!!!!!!!!!!!1

"Got RF?"

4102. Re: SWR is high

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 01:44:54 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <q_C79.17086$Ke2.1412266@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133132

A glance at the different mobile antennas for sale results in extreme buying confusion.
First and foremost, the mounting location is the most important aspect to performance.
You must get as much of the antenna over the top of the vehicle as you can.
Roof mounting is most desirable, followed by trunk deck / hood mounting then lastly bumper mounting
Most of us do not have room to use a 102" whip on our vehicle. Plenty of manufactures
make a shortened antenna for our use. How do they do that? Simple, somewhere on the
antenna (the base, center, top or entire antenna) they wind a coil to compensate for the
length that they are shortening the antenna from 102". So if your mobile antenna is 54
inches, the manufacture has made a coil to compensate for the 48" inches they are short
from 102" (102 - 54 = 48). When a coil is added to an antenna it does three things. One,
it cuts down on the antenna's efficiency. Second, it cuts down on the bandwidth.
Third it cuts down the antennas impedance (it lowers it even more than 40 Ohms).
The shorter the antenna is made, the more these three factors are reduced.
How many of you change the length of your coax to tune your antenna?
One of my good friends said to me, "I think changing the length of the coax is the same as moving the gamma rod adjustment on my Moonraker 4".
Sorry to say, this is not true. As most people will find, varying the length of coax
to the antenna will vary the SWR that the SWR meter is reporting. Actually, SWR
should remain relatively constant no matter how long the coax is or where it is
placed on the line (if its 5 feet down the coax from the radio or 50 feet down the
coax from the radio). In most cases, the cause of inconsistant SWR meter readings
is from poor SWR meter design or component aging / failure. For the SWR meter to
read consistant SWR readings on the coax, the meter has to have an impedance
itself of exactly 50 Ohms. Any deviation of the SWR meter's self impedance (from 50 Ohms)
from poor design or component aging / error / failure will cause slightly

inconsistant SWR readings when the SWR meters position on the coax or length
of the coax is varied. In practice, generally you will find varying the coax length
seemingly effects the SWR reading. Most SWR meters (built into radio and external
type meters) and impedance "humps" in coax lines and connectors will cause minor
variations in SWR as jumpers and coax length are varied. In reality, the mismatch at
the antenna's feedpoint / coax junction is unchanged. Therefore - the actual SWR is
unchanged.

Another reason SWR could vary is from the situation where the coax is acting as
part of the antenna. Not a favorable or normal situation. The signal is traveling back
down the outside of the of the coax braid (note power should only be traveling
on the inside on the coax braid). Therefore, the coax is part of antenna system and
changing the coax length will change the SWR. This situation is more likely to
occur in mobile installations. You can try to eliminate this situation
(called "Common mode currents") by winding an "RF Choke".
Wind about 6ft of RG-213 or RG-8 into a coil (6 to 8 turns). For RG-58 use 4ft
with 6 to 8 turns. Wind the coax up, placing each turn right next to one another.
Use electrical tape to secure turns together. You should place these as close
to the antenna as possible. Right at the antenna coax connection point being
optimum. Most times, you can verify that you have common mode currents flowing
back down the coax by grabbing hold of the coax while transmitting and moving
the coax around. You can watch the SWR waver by moving the coax while
transmitting (don't speak into mic!). You have to do this with all the doors
closed from inside the vehicle. SWR should waver, if you notice that SWR jumps
rapidily between two values, you might have a intermitant (bad) connection in the
connectors (PL-259s) on the coax. In most cases of "common mode currents", just
grabbing the coax will cause the SWR to change.

The "RF choke" described above stops the signal from traveling back down the
outside of the coax. The signal inside the coax is * u n a f f e c t e d *

by the
choke (contrary to what you may have heard about coiling up excess coax).
Common mode current kills antenna efficieny. You could have a decent SWR
and not realize half your signal is being broadcast into you car (result
very
poor antenna performance). If your linear amplifier causes serious problems
with your car's computer, lights, etc....you may have common mode currents.
If moving the coax around the vehicle results in SWR change, this is a good
indicator you have common mode currents flowing back down the coax line.

This doesn't happen often with base station antennas. Most base antennas
have
some type of device that will decouple the antenna from the feedline
(gamma match, balun, etc.). Make sure you run your feedling (coax)
straight down from the antenna, taking care not to run close to antenna
to prevent "common mode" currents which could still occur if coax is
oriented
in a way to pick up strong antenna signal.

Coax Length Issues Simplified

Question: What is the "correct" length of coax?
Answer: The shortest length that makes it from the radio to the antenna.

Question: Are there any exceptions to the above rule?
Answer: 75 Ohm harnesses for Co-phasing is the only exception.

Question: Why do most mobile antenna makers recommend 18 feet of coax?
Answer: You got me, they claim you should use 1/2 wavelength multiples of
coax.
18 feet isn't even close to being a 1/2 wavelength in any 50 Ohm coax you
will find.
Check some commonly used coax using the above formulas. RG-58, the most
commonly
used mobile antenna coax length would have to be 12 feet to be a 1/2
wavelength
. RG-8X would need to be 14 feet.

Question: Ok, seriously nerd, when I trim my coax it changes my SWR.
You can't tell me it's not good to lower my SWR from 1.5 to 1.2 by taking
off a few extra
feet of coax.
Answer: Hey, I'm not a nerd! Go ahead, change your coax length.
If you change coax length and it affects your SWR in minute amounts,
everything is working fine. If your SWR was 2.5:1 and putting in a 4 foot
jumper
brought it down to 1.3:1, this large change indicates you have real
problems...i.e
common mode currents (see above). Really, you should be changing the
antennas
length to alter SWR. NO special length of 50 ohm coax is going to fix or
lower your

SWR signficantly and/or boost performance. Period.

Question: I notice when I change coax length, my "modulation" needle jumps more / harder / faster when I talk. I get more watts out of the radio (verified by a watt meter)
with certain lengths of coax. Is there a certain length that will allow my radio to put
out the most power?
Answer: No, there isn't a magic certain length that will do this.
Certain lengths of coax will allow your radio to "see" a load that it can couple with
better which results in more power out of the radio / amp. Unfortunately, there isn't a
good way to determine what length you need to allow the radio to put out the max
wattage its capable of. I am only refering to newer solid state radios with transistors.
Old tube radios usaually have devices built into them to tune the radios finals
impedance to match that of the input end of the coax. If you want to accomplish
the same effect with your solid state radio, pick up a device known as a "antenna tuner".
The term antenna tuner is misleading because it doesn't actually tune the antenna -
or take the place of tuning the antenna - it simply lets the radio couple to the
antenna system with better efficiency. Other more appropriate names for the antenna
tuner are the transmatch or feedline flattener. If your SWR is low (below 2:1),
don't expect to notice a (performance) difference from using an antenna tuner.

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

4103. Re: running my own cable on a volvo tractor

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 02:00:34 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6dD79.17107$Ke2.1414748@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133134

isn't whay spelled w-h-y? oh, foot in mouth again I see....
John,
As long as you make sure that the cable isn't rubbing
against anything I don't see whay it wouldn't work.
'Doc
--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

4104. Re: Ground Plane Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 02:03:04 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <sfD79.17110$Ke2.1413300@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133135

This change in distribution doesn't
change the groundplane's effectiveness, but it does change
the antenna's radiation pattern and characteristics.

SO DOC, does this not change its effectiveness?

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

4105. Re: Pilot truck stops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 02:28:21 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208171828.486e9fca@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133136

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:<uls5vjd54bla7d@corp.supernews.com>...
> There was a comment here how the FCC came down on Pilot truck stops.
> As with all the other FCC stuff this is completly bogus. Pilot still sells
> the entire line of 10 meter radios. The FCC went wimpering back to busting
> Hams. Truck stop chains have legal departments.
> Ken

Gee Ken. Does that make Jerry a congenital liar and a dreamer who needs some pussy?

4106. Re: Let's get the dukes back on the AIR!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 02:46:25 UTC
From: nrogers81BOGUS@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <s11ulusjerf8kl0om4s227k3d9dqm02lm9@4ax.com>
Source record: 133137

Aw, c'mon....the show had a FEW good points.
The car wrecks, the inpossible stunts, and that
SWEET -sounding and -looking '69 Charger.

Oh, and let's not forget Cathy Bach's incredible legs.

>Let's not. Dukes are so over run on reruns it's pathetic. TNN
>had them on awhile back and had a damn marathon even. It
>was interesting to see how many times they used the same
>story line over and over.

Well, that's true of just about ANY TV show, isn't it?

X

To reply via email, please follow both of these steps:

1. Reply to 999npotzrebie2@hotmail.com. You will
need to remove 999 from the email address or it will
bounce.

2. Place USENET at the beginning of the subject line,
like so:

USENET RE: YOUR POST

These are anti-spam measures that I regret to say
have become necessary.

4107. Re: Let's get the dukes back on the AIR!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 02:55:52 UTC
From: GMpartsguy <kb1cih@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <X0E79.157786$sA3.233546@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 133138

watch them reruns closer. You will see the door jambs and inside of trunk lids that are painted quite differently than the outside orange job. Hey, some of those Chargers are changers !!!
My fav was always the frame-bending 75ft creek jumps that never seemed to affect them Duke boys' car. :)
<nrogers81BOGUS@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:s11ulusjerf8kl0om4s227k3d9dqm02lm9@4ax.com...
> Aw, c'mon....the show had a FEW good points.
> The car wrecks, the inpossible stunts, and that
> SWEET -sounding and -looking '69 Charger.
>
> Oh, and let's not forget Cathy Bach's incredible legs.
>
> >Let's not. Dukes are so over run on reruns it's pathetic. TNN
> >had them on awhile back and had a damn marathon even. It
> >was interesting to see how many times they used the same
> >story line over and over.
>
> Well, that's true of just about ANY TV show, isn't it?
>
> X
>
>
>
> To reply via email, please follow both of these steps:
>
> 1. Reply to 999npotzrebie2@hotmail.com. You will
> need to remove 999 from the email address or it will
> bounce.
>
> 2. Place USENET at the beginning of the subject line,
> like so:
>
> USENET RE: YOUR POST
>
> These are anti-spam measures that I regret to say
> have become necessary.

4108. Re: SWR is high

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 03:02:40 UTC
From: Kevin Johnson <KJohnson125@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <k7E79.9907$I6.816894@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 133139

George,
Thanks for all the information. I will have a handfull of ideas to try tomorrow. I let you all know how it turns out.
Thanks again,
Kevin

"George Kinzer" <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:q_C79.17086$Ke2.1412266@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> A glance at the different mobile antennas for sale results in extreme buying
> confusion.
> First and foremost, the mounting location is the most important aspect to
> performance.
> You must get as much of the antenna over the top of the vehicle as you can.
> Roof mounting is most desirable, followed by trunk deck / hood mounting then
> lastly bumper mounting
> Most of us do not have room to use a 102" whip on our vehicle. Plenty of
> manufactures
> make a shortened antenna for our use. How do they do that? Simple,
> somewhere on the
> antenna (the base, center, top or entire antenna) they wind a coil to
> compensate for the
> length that they are shortening the antenna from 102". So if your mobile
> antenna is 54
> inches, the manufacture has made a coil to compensate for the 48" inches
> they are short
> from 102" (102 - 54 = 48). When a coil is added to an antenna it does three
> things. One,
> it cuts down on the antenna's efficiency. Second, it cuts down on the
> bandwidth.
> Third it cuts down the antennas impedance (it lowers it even more than 40
> Ohms).
> The shorter the antenna is made, the more these three factors are reduced.
> How many of you change the length of your coax to tune your antenna?
> One of my good friends said to me, "I think changing the length of the coax
> is the same as moving the gamma rod adjustment on my Moonraker 4".
> Sorry to say, this is not true. As most people will find, varying the
> length of coax
> to the antenna will vary the SWR that the SWR meter is reporting.

Actually,
> SWR
> should remain relatively constant no matter how long the coax is or where
> it is
> placed on the line (if its 5 feet down the coax from the radio or 50 feet
> down the
> coax from the radio). In most cases, the cause of inconsistant SWR meter
> readings
> is from poor SWR meter design or component aging / failure. For the SWR
> meter to
> read consistant SWR readings on the coax, the meter has to have an
> impedance
> itself of exactly 50 Ohms. Any deviation of the SWR meter's self
impedance
> (from 50 Ohms)
> from poor design or component aging / error / failure will cause slightly
> inconsistant SWR readings when the SWR meters position on the coax or
> length
> of the coax is varied. In practice, generally you will find varying the
> coax length
> seemingly effects the SWR reading. Most SWR meters (built into radio and
> external
> type meters) and impedance "humps" in coax lines and connectors will
cause
> minor
> variations in SWR as jumpers and coax length are varied. In reality, the
> mismatch at
> the antenna's feedpoint / coax junction is unchanged. Therefore - the
actual
> SWR is
> unchanged.
>
> Another reason SWR could vary is from the situation where the coax is
acting
> as
> part of the antenna. Not a favorable or normal situation. The signal is
> traveling back
> down the outside of the of the coax braid (note power should only be
> traveling
> on the inside on the coax braid). Therefore, the coax is part of antenna
> system and
> changing the coax length will change the SWR. This situation is more
likely
> to
> occur in mobile installations. You can try to eliminate this situation
> (called "Common mode currents") by winding an "RF Choke".
> Wind about 6ft of RG-213 or RG-8 into a coil (6 to 8 turns). For RG-58 use
> 4ft
> with 6 to 8 turns. Wind the coax up, placing each turn right next to one
> another.

> Use electrical tape to secure turns together. You should place these as
> close
> to the antenna as possible. Right at the antenna coax connection point
> being
> optimum. Most times, you can verify that you have common mode currents
> flowing
> back down the coax by grabbing hold of the coax while transmitting and
> moving
> the coax around. You can watch the SWR waver by moving the coax while
> transmitting (don't speak into mic!). You have to do this with all the
> doors
> closed from inside the vehicle. SWR should waver, if you notice that SWR
> jumps
> rapidily between two values, you might have a intermitant (bad)
connection
> in the
> connectors (PL-259s) on the coax. In most cases of "common mode
currents",
> just
> grabbing the coax will cause the SWR to change.
>
> The "RF choke" described above stops the signal from traveling back down
the
> outside of the coax. The signal inside the coax is * u n a f f e c t e d
*
> by the
> choke (contrary to what you may have heard about coiling up excess coax).
> Common mode current kills antenna efficieny. You could have a decent SWR
> and not realize half your signal is being broadcast into you car (result
> very
> poor antenna performance). If your linear amplifier causes serious
problems
> with your car's computer, lights, etc....you may have common mode
currents.
> If moving the coax around the vehicle results in SWR change, this is a
good
> indicator you have common mode currents flowing back down the coax line.
>
> This doesn't happen often with base station antennas. Most base antennas
> have
> some type of device that will decouple the antenna from the feedline
> (gamma match, balun, etc.). Make sure you run your feedling (coax)
> straight down from the antenna, taking care not to run close to antenna
> to prevent "common mode" currents which could still occur if coax is
> oriented
> in a way to pick up strong antenna signal.
>
> Coax Length Issues Simplified
>
> Question: What is the "correct" length of coax?

> Answer: The shortest length that makes it from the radio to the antenna.
>
>
> Question: Are there any exceptions to the above rule?
> Answer: 75 Ohm harnesses for Co-phasing is the only exception.
>
>
> Question: Why do most mobile antenna makers recommend 18 feet of coax?
> Answer: You got me, they claim you should use 1/2 wavelength multiples of
> coax.
> 18 feet isn't even close to being a 1/2 wavelength in any 50 Ohm coax you
> will find.
> Check some commonly used coax using the above formulas. RG-58, the most
> commonly
> used mobile antenna coax length would have to be 12 feet to be a 1/2
> wavelength
> . RG-8X would need to be 14 feet.
>
>
> Question: Ok, seriously nerd, when I trim my coax it changes my SWR.
> You can't tell me it's not good to lower my SWR from 1.5 to 1.2 by taking
> off a few extra
> feet of coax.
> Answer: Hey, I'm not a nerd! Go ahead, change your coax length.
> If you change coax length and it affects your SWR in minute amounts,
> everything is working fine. If your SWR was 2.5:1 and putting in a 4 foot
> jumper
> brought it down to 1.3:1, this large change indicates you have real
> problems...i.e
> common mode currents (see above). Really, you should be changing the
> antennas
> length to alter SWR. NO special length of 50 ohm coax is going to fix or
> lower your
> SWR signficantly and/or boost performance. Period.
>
> Question: I notice when I change coax length, my "modulation" needle jumps
> more / harder / faster when I talk. I get more watts out of the radio
> (verified by a watt meter)
> with certain lengths of coax. Is there a certain length that will allow
my
> radio to put
> out the most power?
> Answer: No, there isn't a magic certain length that will do this.
> Certain lengths of coax will allow your radio to "see" a load that it can
> couple with
> better which results in more power out of the radio / amp. Unfortunately,
> there isn't a
> good way to determine what length you need to allow the radio to put out
> the max
> wattage its capable of. I am only refering to newer solid state radios

with
> transistors.
> Old tube radios usaually have devices built into them to tune the radios
> finals
> impedance to match that of the input end of the coax. If you want to
> accomplish
> the same effect with your solid state radio, pick up a device known as a
> "antenna tuner".
> The term antenna tuner is misleading because it doesn't actually tune the
> antenna -
> or take the place of tuning the antenna - it simply lets the radio couple
> to the
> antenna system with better efficiency. Other more appropriate names for
the
> antenna
> tuner are the transmatch or feedline flattener. If your SWR is low (below
> 2:1),
> don't expect to notice a (performance) difference from using an antenna
> tuner.
>
>
>
> --
> If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens
>
>

4109. Re: learn something new every day.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 03:03:37 UTC
From: Kevin Johnson <KJohnson125@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <d8E79.9908$I6.817066@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 133140

Thanks, Jim. Finally some things I can begin to do to fix my problem.
Kevin

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:ultt7n9sdumqc8@corp.supernews.com...
> Kevin, just a quick thought. On any antenna (whether full quarter wave
whip
> or a shortened vertical), the maximum radiation occurs. A bumper mount
will
> put that maximum radiation into the metal of the vehicle. My guess (and
it
> is a guess - hopefully we can get some honest input from a few folks) is
> that a longer antenna will raise that up higher and put more radiation
away
> from the metal of the vehicle and you may not experience as many problems.
> That said, be sure and verify that bumper is well bonded to the frame of
the
> vehicle; perhaps some copper braid right from the mounting point taken
back
> and securely mounted to the frame will help; possibly not, but you might
try
> something as stupid simple as using a set of jumper cables clipped right
> onto the bumper next to the mount point and run under the vehicle
straight
> towards the far end and clamped to the frame. Just to see if it makes a
> difference in the swr. It costs nothing and might point you towards a
> possible cure. Ain't antennas wonderful ;)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
> ps - you might try shaking the antenna a bit and see if the swr changes;
if
> so, suspect a bad solder joint and/or bad ground.
>
>
> "Kevin Johnson" <KJohnson125@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:vbz79.9351$I6.770602@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Scott,
> > Looks like I opened a can of worms here. Anyway, back to the point of
the
> > post. I read your response and am now wondering if I need a longer
> antenna
> > instead of the 4 ft. firestick I have now. Mine is not tunable, should
I

> > have gotten a tuneable one? I haven't found a way to mount the antenna
on
> > the spare tire mount yet, but I'll keep trying. What kind of mount did
> you
> > use on your bumper? How did you add "another ground?" Do you think
> moving
> > it to the spare tire mount will improve things? All the connections are
> > secure and I don't see a way to improve on the mounting or location of
the
> > cable. Any ideas? By the way, what's the most common channel for
> listening
> > into radio traffic?
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Kevin
> >
> >
> > "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:3D5EE774.B2A0CDA0@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > George Kinzer wrote:
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what
happens
> > >
> > > He did it again, and it failed. The only way for him to SUCCEED,
> > > is not to listen to you. How many SWR's do you have? My system
> > > has an SWR of about 1.3:1 with a resistance of 70 ohms. That is
> > > a seven foot Firestik on the back bumper of a Wrangler. I have
> > > since put another ground on it to improve performance. Works
> > > great. Talks far. An American made antenna on an American made
> > > vehicle for an American.
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

4110. Re: KDK FM-6033 Six meter rig

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 03:09:45 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020817230945.13274.00000552@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 133141

>Take this Spam to the appropriate group rec.radio.swap or any other amateur
>group.

Well you idiots seem to talk alot of 10 meter bullshit on this NG so Id say he is just as welcome as you. Why dont you take your 10 meter shit elsewhere also

4111. Re: learn something new every day.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 03:32:21 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <ulu58mef3clicd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133142

Strictly tongue in cheek, but I'd send for some Holy Water as well ... ;)
Seriously, have at it; good luck - but I think you'll get it figured out.
Antennas can be exasperating. They don't call SWR 'swear' for nothing!
BTW, I left out a word or two about the maximum radiation. The maximum
current (and radiation) of a whip is right at the feed point and declines to
a node (zero point) at the unterminated (far) end of the whip. This puts
maximum radiation at the base of the dumb thing - right into your metal
vehicle. This, of course, can cause all kinds of neat things to happen to
your pattern, SWR, and vocabulary as well ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Kevin Johnson" <KJohnson125@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:d8E79.9908$I6.817066@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Thanks, Jim. Finally some things I can begin to do to fix my problem.
> Kevin
>
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> news:ultt7n9sdumqc8@corp.supernews.com...
> > Kevin, just a quick thought. On any antenna (whether full quarter wave
> whip
> > or a shortened vertical), the maximum radiation occurs. A bumper mount
> will
> > put that maximum radiation into the metal of the vehicle. My guess (and
> it
> > is a guess - hopefully we can get some honest input from a few folks) is
> > that a longer antenna will raise that up higher and put more radiation
> away
> > from the metal of the vehicle and you may not experience as many
problems.
> > That said, be sure and verify that bumper is well bonded to the frame of
> the
> > vehicle; perhaps some copper braid right from the mounting point taken
> back
> > and securely mounted to the frame will help; possibly not, but you might
> try
> > something as stupid simple as using a set of jumper cables clipped right
> > onto the bumper next to the mount point and run under the vehicle
> straight
> > towards the far end and clamped to the frame. Just to see if it makes a
> > difference in the swr. It costs nothing and might point you towards a
> > possible cure. Ain't antennas wonderful ;)
> >

> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> > ps - you might try shaking the antenna a bit and see if the swr changes;
> if
> > so, suspect a bad solder joint and/or bad ground.
> >
> >
> > "Kevin Johnson" <KJohnson125@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:vbz79.9351$I6.770602@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > Scott,
> > > Looks like I opened a can of worms here. Anyway, back to the point of
> the
> > > post. I read your response and am now wondering if I need a longer
> > antenna
> > > instead of the 4 ft. firestick I have now. Mine is not tunable,
should
> I
> > > have gotten a tuneable one? I haven't found a way to mount the
antenna
> on
> > > the spare tire mount yet, but I'll keep trying. What kind of mount
did
> > you
> > > use on your bumper? How did you add "another ground?" Do you think
> > moving
> > > it to the spare tire mount will improve things? All the connections
are
> > > secure and I don't see a way to improve on the mounting or location of
> the
> > > cable. Any ideas? By the way, what's the most common channel for
> > listening
> > > into radio traffic?
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > > Kevin
> > >
> > >
> > > "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3D5EE774.B2A0CDA0@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > George Kinzer wrote:
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > > If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what
> happens
> > > >
> > > > He did it again, and it failed. The only way for him to SUCCEED,
> > > > is not to listen to you. How many SWR's do you have? My system

> > > > has an SWR of about 1.3:1 with a resistance of 70 ohms. That is
> > > > a seven foot Firestik on the back bumper of a Wrangler. I have
> > > > since put another ground on it to improve performance. Works
> > > > great. Talks far. An American made antenna on an American made
> > > > vehicle for an American.
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4112. Re: Re:George's idea of free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 03:44:51 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <TKE79.1315$sg.32566418@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133144

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:jUC79.47259$Fw3.2574333@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...

>
> Where do you think you have proven me wrong? LOL I see nothing from you
that
> is remotely close to what you first attributed to me. I see you wil stoop
to
> anything to get my attention, making up things is not very clever indeed.
So
> please prove me wrong or go sit in the corner with the dunce cap on for 2
> hours.
>
>

Here we go again,
You type:

<LOL turn me in roflmao 2x

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:YgF69.6728$jB5.230087743@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> news:9aB69.8834$SS.178754@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >
> > FCC CONSUMER ALERT
>
>
> Troll post.
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> If you are implying that people, who
> hold ham licenses, should be somehow
> held to a higher moral standard than
> the "common man", then that would
> be faulty logic. A ham license is only
> a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
> "badge of morality".
>

>

I said:

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:%zT69.16149$2p2.791996@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> LOL turn me in roflmao 2x
>
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:YgF69.6728$jB5.230087743@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> > news:9aB69.8834$SS.178754@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > >
> > > FCC CONSUMER ALERT
> >
> >
> > Troll post.
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> >
> > --
> > If you are implying that people, who
> > hold ham licenses, should be somehow
> > held to a higher moral standard than
> > the "common man", then that would
> > be faulty logic. A ham license is only
> > a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
> > "badge of morality".
> >
> >
>
>

Huh? You mean the sig file? Not me,
Dave Hall used that paragraph, I just use
it like the alter ego "A" does others.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

You then have some sort of short term memory lose
and type:

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in

> Huh? You mean the sig file? Not me,
> Dave Hall used that paragraph, I just use
> it like the alter ego "A" does others.
>
> Landshark

What the phuqq are you babbling about Landpussy? You are really demented I never once said anything about a sig file yet true to form you make up some bs. LOL What a friggin LOSER!

So please, you have been proven wrong.
I do appreciate the fact that you didn't type some
sort of harassing, sexual innuendo filled post, it may
prove that you can be civil.

4113. Re: C-SPAN: D.C. Reparations Rally

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 03:44:52 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <UKE79.1316$sg.32566418@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133143

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:OPC79.38027$m91.2383758@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:s6C79.930$fN4.75018412@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > Well let's see George, were your family
> > members forced to do menial labor? Were
> > your family members forced to work in fields,
> > forcibly moved from their home, killed innocently,
> > etc etc, and you think that's funny shit? No wonder
> > you have no sense of reality.
> >
> > Landshark
>
>
> No they were burned by Hitler whats your point?
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>
>

You're Jewish?

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

4114. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 03:49:09 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <VOE79.57609$eK6.2079067@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133145

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:ncC79.33868$EP2.1705374@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> Richard Cranium <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0208171507.1ed225d2@posting.google.com...
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:<Gva79.22339$EP2.1051115@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>...
> > > Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:ajhp3o$1b7hjn$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> > > >snip<
> > > If the FCC wasn't so impotent they could
> > > > take care of the problems, but enforcement is almost nil.
> > > >
> > > > Tom
> > > >
> > > And THAT can be changed if those affected adversely by interference
> write
> > > their Representatives
> > > and the sponsors of the current CC & R Bill and ask that something be
> done.
> > > If enough of us demand that something be done, then Congress would act
> to
> > > enable FCC to do their jobs. It IS
> > > ludicrous to charge an agency with a task and then imasculate it so
that
> its
> > > efforts are hampered.
> > >
> > > Jerry
> >
> > But you are *assuming* that "interference" is occurring, aren't you?
> > And nobody but you and Aanal Aaron are making any noise about things,
> > so you will continue to be ignored. By the FCC as well as by the bulk
> > of the CBers.
>
> No, I am not assuming. All I have to do is listen to 28.085 any day of
the
> week and hear truckers
> with their asinine beeping and squeaking. Their very presence is
> interference. What you don't know is I am NOT the only one complaining.
> And you don't know whether FCC ignores anyone
> or not. We shall see what takes place in the coming months.
>
> J

>
>
>

Ooh, ah, truckers in a useless piece of spectrum! Pretty much line of sight
now and getting more so by the day, and in a CW section of the band. BFD!!

4115. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 03:50:32 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cQE79.57611$eK6.2079122@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133146

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:ugz79.36271$m91.2259924@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:K6y79.53784$eK6.1998652@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > But not quite as bright. <g>
>
>
> Yeah your real bright Randy, at least you got off the crack pipe. How is
the
> white Monster treating you these days and relapses?
>
>

George, WTF are you talking about?

4116. Re: Let's get the dukes back on the AIR!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 04:05:16 UTC
From: nrogers81BOGUS@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <hf5ulu46d4phtu1tccjhk1531thqkb26f6@4ax.com>
Source record: 133147

On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 02:55:52 GMT, "GMpartsguy" <kb1cih@attbi.com>
wrote:

>watch them reruns closer. You will see the door jambs and inside of trunk
>lids that are painted quite differently than the outside orange job. Hey,
>some of those Chargers are changers !!!

> My fav was always the frame-bending 75ft creek jumps that never seemed to
>affect them Duke boys' car. :)

Yeah, I did catch the mismatched paint once. The inside skinny
was that they had like twelve or fifteen "ringers" and only one or
two for the "beauty shots," which were 440 powered. The jumpers
were usually wimpy ol' 318 cars. Usually the "beauty shots" were
better painted. It's a crying shame that so many of those cars were
destroyed to make that show, by doing those 75-foot creek jumps
(and worse).

X

To reply via email, please follow both of these steps:

1. Reply to 999npotzrebie2@hotmail.com. You will
need to remove 999 from the email address or it will
bounce.

2. Place USENET at the beginning of the subject line,
like so:

USENET RE: YOUR POST

These are anti-spam measures that I regret to say
have become necessary.

4117. QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 04:26:16 UTC
From: nrogers81BOGUS@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <408uluoe9q1f5fdecbbjvuuktapkqijndq@4ax.com>
Source record: 133148

I'm going to be getting back into CB after being out of the loop for about 20 years. My old rig, along with the truck it was in, is long gone, but it was capable of about ten-to-fifteen mile ranges fairly reliably, sometimes more under good conditions. I'd like to outfit my current truck with a rig capable of similar performance. I'm not worried about skip or linears or any of that - just a solid rig that doesn't cost me my first born. The old truck had an 8' whip what I'd just as soon avoid today. Any suggestions?

X

To reply via email, please follow both of these steps:

1. Reply to 999npotzrebie2@hotmail.com. You will need to remove 999 from the email address or it will bounce.

2. Place USENET at the beginning of the subject line, like so:

USENET RE: YOUR POST

These are anti-spam measures that I regret to say have become necessary.

4118. Re: Let's get the dukes back on the AIR!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 04:28:10 UTC
From: GMpartsguy <kb1cih@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <tnF79.63845$983.74831@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 133149

I wasnt much of a Mopar guy, so im not sure what the "grandma" version of the General Lee was.(a Satellite maybe) But they sure did lay waste to a whole plie of em. And "worse" was the scenes where they chased the Dukes up a river bed, pounding rocks and ruts the whole way.
I shoulda quit school and became a stunt driver....

> Yeah, I did catch the mismatched paint once. The inside skinny
> was that they had like twelve or fifteen "ringers" and only one or
> two for the "beauty shots," which were 440 powered. The jumpers
> were usually wimpy ol' 318 cars. Usually the "beauty shots" were
> better painted. It's a crying shame that so many of those cars were
> destroyed to make that show, by doing those 75-foot creek jumps
> (and worse).
>
> X
>
>
> To reply via email, please follow both of these steps:
>
> 1. Reply to 999npotzrebie2@hotmail.com. You will
> need to remove 999 from the email address or it will
> bounce.
>
> 2. Place USENET at the beginning of the subject line,
> like so:
>
> USENET RE: YOUR POST
>
> These are anti-spam measures that I regret to say
> have become necessary.

4119. Re: QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 04:49:47 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ajn91m01hdm@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133153

<nrogers81BOGUS@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:408uluoe9q1f5fdecbbjvuuktapkqijndq@4ax.com...
> I'm going to be getting back into CB after being out of the loop
> for about 20 years. My old rig, along with the truck it was in, is
> long gone, but it was capable of about ten-to-fifteen mile ranges
> fairly reliably, sometimes more under good conditions. I'd like to
> outfit my current truck with a rig capable of similar performance.
> I'm not worried about skip or linears or any of that - just a solid
> rig that doesn't cost me my first born. The old truck had an 8'
> whip what I'd just as soon avoid today. Any suggestions?
>
> X
>

Cobra makes a good line of stuff. Nothing gawdy, just gets the job done.
Depends on how many knobs you want as to what you'll pay.
Mileage is still probably the same but with more interference depending on
channel used.
Also look around in wal-mart for some good buys.
I had a GE a few years back that I loved. Had it peaked and tweaked and that
sucker talked.
For antennas, about any decent fiberglass one will work just the same.

4120. Re: Can I convert my old Mobile CB to a Base CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 04:54:53 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ajn9b901hqs@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133154

"Damon Breeden" <DummyLoad@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:10862-3D5ECC1D-179@storefull-2394.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Hey, yougottabekidding, why the fake, forged user name, isp, etc...in
> your post? What you afraid of?

It's done that way because those of us with real computers and e-mail, wind
up with spam in our mail.
You cheapskates who can't afford a real computer wouldn't know that.

4121. Re: Let's get the dukes back on the AIR!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 05:03:13 UTC
From: nrogers81BOGUS@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <9u9uluosq50mh48q7o9kulsbje0k94gntb@4ax.com>
Source record: 133150

On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 04:28:10 GMT, "GMpartsguy" <kb1cih@attbi.com>
wrote:

>I wasnt much of a Mopar guy, so im not sure what the "grandma" version of
>the General Lee was.(a Satellite maybe) But they sure did lay waste to a
>whole plie of em. And "worse" was the scenes where they chased the Dukes up
>a river bed, pounding rocks and ruts the whole way.

The General Lee was a '69 Charger (S/E model). The Charger was
just a Coronet fancied up with some performance goodies. I'm not
much of a Mopar guy, either, but each of the US automakers (AMC
included) used to build some neat stuff in the late sixties and early
seventies. I used to be a hardcore Ford guy, but any more, it's all
about the same to me. Still kind of prefer Fords but GM makes
pretty darned good stuff too.

> I shoulda quit school and became a stunt driver....

Heh. Yeah, me too. "What do you do for a living, Mark?" "You
know all that stuff you're not supposed to do when you're driving?
You know, like getting the car airborn and driving it like you stole
it? Well, that's what I do."

I reckon we're due for the "off topic" admonishment here soon, ya
think?

To reply via email, please follow both of these steps:

1. Reply to 999npotzrebie2@hotmail.com. You will
need to remove 999 from the email address or it will
bounce.

2. Place USENET at the beginning of the subject line,
like so:

USENET RE: YOUR POST

These are anti-spam measures that I regret to say
have become necessary.

4122. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 05:08:58 UTC
From: Yeahrightman <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com>
Message-ID: <2qauluopt7pcbg91fat8fbsvqrdl7legoo@4ax.com>
Source record: 133151

On Sat, 17 Aug 2002 16:40:38 -0500, "John Smith"
<spamwanted@127.0.0.1> was blabbling:

...I wonder just how many times Mr. Wells has been zapped with a fine?
...If not, perhaps Mr. Hollingsworth would like to accomadate the man.
...Then he can personally relate to us that possession is not illegal.
...Everyone knows, you ain't gonna $400 for a radio and not use it
right?
...
...

good lord almighty...will you stupid morons got it right, Riley
Hollingsworth is NOT, I REPEAT NOT, AN ENFORCEMENT AGENT FOR THE CB
BAND! HE IS AN ENFORCEMENT AGENT FOR THE AMATEUR RADIO PEOPLE...ALL
CASES FOR CB ARE DEFERRED TO THE LOCAL FCC BRANCH IN THAT STATE...
I.E. FIELD AGENT...

thank you!

Me.

4123. Re: Let's get the dukes back on the AIR!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 05:15:04 UTC
From: Yeahrightman <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com>
Message-ID: <m3bulu0js9h587m6djo2a59o1r024r0als@4ax.com>
Source record: 133152

On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 04:28:10 GMT, "GMpartsguy" <kb1cih@attbi.com> was
blabbling:

...I wasnt much of a Mopar guy, so im not sure what the "grandma"
version of
...the General Lee was.(a Satellite maybe) But they sure did lay
waste to a
...whole plie of em. And "worse" was the scenes where they chased the
Dukes up
...a river bed, pounding rocks and ruts the whole way.
... I shoulda quit school and became a stunt driver....
...
...
...> Yeah, I did catch the mismatched paint once. The inside skinny
...> was that they had like twelve or fifteen "ringers" and only one
or
...> two for the "beauty shots," which were 440 powered. The jumpers
...> were usually wimpy ol' 318 cars. Usually the "beauty shots"
were
...> better painted. It's a crying shame that so many of those cars
were
...> destroyed to make that show, by doing those 75-foot creek jumps
...> (and worse).
...>

You know the amazing part is, there's a whole lot of people that love
that show.... I know it was very "unbelievable" at times, but it was
wholesome family entertainment... No Sex, No Violence... except if you
consider a fist fight between, bo and luke, there was no gore and in
the end the good guys always won... alot of that is missing today...
sometime or another do a google search on "the general lee" and see
what comes up... it's AMAZING!

"Just a good ol' boys...never meaning no harm..."

4124. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 05:35:15 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <nmG79.50951$2p2.2588834@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133155

Thanks Chuckie

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Yeahrightman" <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com> wrote in message
news:2qauluopt7pcbg91fat8fbsvqrdl7legoo@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 17 Aug 2002 16:40:38 -0500, "John Smith"
> <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> was blabbling:
>
>
> ...I wonder just how many times Mr. Wells has been zapped with a fine?
> ...If not, perhaps Mr. Hollingsworth would like to accomadate the man.
> ...Then he can personally relate to us that possession is not illegal.
> ...Everyone knows, you ain't gonna $400 for a radio and not use it
> right?
> ...
> ...
>
>
> good lord almighty...will you stupid morons got it right, Riley
> Hollingsworth is NOT, I REPEAT NOT, AN ENFORCEMENT AGENT FOR THE CB
> BAND! HE IS AN ENFORCEMENT AGENT FOR THE AMATEUR RADIO PEOPLE...ALL
> CASES FOR CB ARE DEFERRED TO THE LOCAL FCC BRANCH IN THAT STATE...
> I.E. FIELD AGENT...
>
>
> thank you!
>
> Me.
>
>

4125. Re: Re:George's idea of free speech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 05:37:19 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <joG79.41953$m91.2526653@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133156

Landndshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:TKE79.1315$sg.32566418@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
>
> So please, you have been proven wrong.
> I do appreciate the fact that you didn't type some
> sort of harassing, sexual innuendo filled post, it may
> prove that you can be civil.

At what point did you prove me wrong?

4126. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 05:38:50 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <KpG79.50069$Fw3.2697246@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133157

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:cQE79.57611$eK6.2079122@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> news:ugz79.36271$m91.2259924@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:K6y79.53784$eK6.1998652@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > But not quite as bright. <g>
> >
> >
> > Yeah your real bright Randy, at least you got off the crack pipe. How is
> the
> > white Monster treating you these days and relapses?
> >
> >
>
> George, WTF are you talking about?

You know what I am talking about Randy, don't plsay dumb

4127. Re: learn something new every day.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 05:46:20 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MwG79.77906$me6.10445@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 133158

Jim Hampton wrote:

> Strictly tongue in cheek, but I'd send for some Holy Water as well ... ;)
> Seriously, have at it; good luck - but I think you'll get it figured out.
> Antennas can be exasperating. They don't call SWR 'swear' for nothing!
> BTW, I left out a word or two about the maximum radiation. The maximum
> current (and radiation) of a whip is right at the feed point and declines
to
> a node (zero point) at the unterminated (far) end of the whip. This puts
> maximum radiation at the base of the dumb thing - right into your metal
> vehicle. This, of course, can cause all kinds of neat things to happen to
> your pattern, SWR, and vocabulary as well ;)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim

Wait a sec Jim. I thought the maximum radiation of an antenna was at the top two thirds of the antenna. Is this not true? Or does it only apply to certain types of whips?

Dave

4128. Re: C-SPAN: D.C. Reparations Rally

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 06:42:25 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <lIH79.57958$eK6.2106767@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133159

```
"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:OPC79.38027$m91.2383758@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:s6C79.930$fN4.75018412@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > Well let's see George, were your family
> > members forced to do menial labor? Were
> > your family members forced to work in fields,
> > forcibly moved from their home, killed innocently,
> > etc etc, and you think that's funny shit? No wonder
> > you have no sense of reality.
> >
> > Landshark
>
>
> No they were burned by Hitler whats your point?
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>
>

Gee. Can't imagine why.
```

4129. lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 07:46:26 UTC
From: Axl <no@idontthinkso.com>
Message-ID: <mhl79.28581$N9.3594071@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 133160

I went to measure with the SWR today and noticed it's VERY high.....and also inconsistant....on the same channel, within 1 minute it can go from a 1.2 to a 4....the only thing I can think of is that the jumper coax I'm using is 6 or 8 feet long.....I thought maybe if this was moved around it could cause problems or inconsistant readings....are accurate readings produces with a 12" or shorter jumper or does it not matter?

4130. Uniden Sundowner UH-088 40-channel UHF CB (Australian) question/help...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 09:32:58 UTC
From: Rob C <robbyc90@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ajnpjtdab1@arachne.labyrinth.net.au>
Source record: 133161

Howdy all,

Just wondering if there is any way to remove that annoying "beep" from
button pressings on this radio... Any help/info/mods greatly appreciated.

Cheers.
Rob C. (Australia)

4131. Morse Code stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 09:54:15 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D5F6EC7.828ADA2D@qnet.com>
Source record: 133098

Hello Dick:

What where those CW web sites you have mentioned before.

Thanks for any help there.

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from the fillin station.

Credentials:
CB Radio Operator
Owner 1966 Ford F250 4x4, with the Loudest P.A. System this side of the Mississippi
Rock n Roll Fan, also like Both kinds of music, Country & Western (Dixy Checks Yaa Hooo!)
Darn near made it out of High School, Los Angles City Schoools
Graduate of State of California Drivers Education Class, recently, only $300.oo dollars.

4132. Re: KDK FM-6033 Six meter rig

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 09:56:22 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D5F6F46.EC89B677@qnet.com>
Source record: 133099

Hello NI4L:

Does this radio have SSB?

Jay in the Mojave

NI4L wrote:
>
> I have a nice KDK FM-6033 Six meter rig has tones, Memories 10 i
> think It scans -/+ off sets,VFO, call channel.
> 5/25 watts works perfect looks good. Have mic, power cord and mounting
> bracket for it.
> 175.00+shipping OBO
>
> --
> NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
> LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
> Made with the same components used by our military forces today
> Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
> Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
> Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/

4133. Re: QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 10:08:30 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <ulusk8kdjchu3a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133162

<nrogers81BOGUS@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:408uluoe9q1f5fdecbbjvuuktapkqijndq@4ax.com...
> I'm going to be getting back into CB after being out of the loop
> for about 20 years. My old rig, along with the truck it was in, is
> long gone, but it was capable of about ten-to-fifteen mile ranges
> fairly reliably, sometimes more under good conditions. I'd like to
> outfit my current truck with a rig capable of similar performance.
> I'm not worried about skip or linears or any of that - just a solid
> rig that doesn't cost me my first born. The old truck had an 8'
> whip what I'd just as soon avoid today. Any suggestions?
>
> X

Welcome back...

Unfortunately I have a little bad news for you. We are currently near the top of the second peak of the 11-year solar cycle (though it's on the downfall side now). Unfortunately this means skip is BAD. During the day, you will never get the distance you are looking for because of the skip conditions. Even with a decent setup, you will likely not get more that few miles at best. During the late evening and night (once the skip dies sown), it wouldn't be a problem at all.

As far as antenna, the old saying goes, the longer the better (generally speaking). If you aren't interested in the full 1/4-wave whip like you had before, might I suggest a Wilson 1000, or perhaps a K40. I'd go with the Wilson over the K40, but that's just me. Others will have other suggestions for you I am sure, but I am just recommending what I have used. I've been quite happy with it's performance, so it suites me fine.

Radio... I guess if your looking for a "legal" CB, I would suggest something made by Uniden if you are buying new. If looking for used, then I'd also add in the older models of Cobra radios; just be sure the mike connector is mounted on the SIDE of the radio. The newer units that weren't manufactured for Cobra by Uniden have the mike jacks on the front.

My 2-cents. Take it as you will...

PH

4134. Re: learn something new every day.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 10:19:50 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <axK79.17594$Ke2.1456832@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133163

"Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:MwG79.77906$me6.10445@sccrnsc01...
>
> Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> > Strictly tongue in cheek, but I'd send for some Holy Water as well ...
;)
> > Seriously, have at it; good luck - but I think you'll get it figured
out.
> > Antennas can be exasperating. They don't call SWR 'swear' for
nothing!
> > BTW, I left out a word or two about the maximum radiation. The
maximum
> > current (and radiation) of a whip is right at the feed point and
declines
> to
> > a node (zero point) at the unterminated (far) end of the whip. This
puts
> > maximum radiation at the base of the dumb thing - right into your
metal
> > vehicle. This, of course, can cause all kinds of neat things to
happen to
> > your pattern, SWR, and vocabulary as well ;)
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
>
> Wait a sec Jim. I thought the maximum radiation of an antenna was at the
top
> two thirds of the antenna. Is this not true? Or does it only apply to
> certain types of whips?
>
> Dave
>
>

He is right, Dave. The magnetic field is the whole caper, the mag field
depends on current, and the current is highest in the lower part of the
quarter-wave.

Makes one wonder how bumper mounts worked at all. It is also why
center-loaded whips are somewhat more efficient than base loading but take
twice the inductance.

Dick N&#65533;BK

4135. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 10:49:30 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <_YK79.17633$Ke2.1458500@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133164

"Axl" <no@idontthinkso.com> wrote in message
news:mhI79.28581$N9.3594071@twister.neo.rr.com...
> I went to measure with the SWR today and noticed it's VERY high.....and
also
> inconsistant....on the same channel, within 1 minute it can go from a
1.2 to
> a 4....the only thing I can think of is that the jumper coax I'm using
is 6
> or 8 feet long.....I thought maybe if this was moved around it could
cause
> problems or inconsistant readings....are accurate readings produces with
a
> 12" or shorter jumper or does it not matter?
>
>

The length of the jumper makes no diff but it kind of sounds like some
resoldering might be in order.

4136. Re: Let's get the dukes back on the AIR!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 10:52:02 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <m%K79.17637$Ke2.1458846@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133165

<nrogers81BOGUS@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:9u9uluosq50mh48q7o9kulsbje0k94gntb@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 04:28:10 GMT, "GMpartsguy" <kb1cih@attbi.com>
> wrote:
>
> 1. Reply to 999npotzrebie2@hotmail.com. You will
> need to remove 999 from the email address or it will
> bounce.
>

With this id I am sorely tempted to ask if it is really crackers to slip a
rozzer the dropsy in snide or challenge you to a game of 23-man Squamish

4137. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 10:59:04 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D5F7DE2.360D8AF4@icok.net>
Source record: 133166

Axl,
It isn't the length of a jumper that's causing
problems, otherwise it would be a constant thing
instead of intermitant. I would suggest it's a
loose connection, possibly a bad PL-259, or even
a 'loose' antenna. 'Wiggle' things and see what
happens, it may be easy to find.
'Doc

4138. Re: learn something new every day.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 11:13:31 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D5F814E.50B34851@icok.net>
Source record: 133167

It's all in knowing 'how', Georgie. Some
people do know how to do it, and some people
don't. There's nothing wrong in not knowing
how Georgie, but you shouldn't 'make fun' of
those who do. Instead, why not learn 'how'?
'Doc
PS - Then you could put it on your CD.

4139. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack is dead CUSTOMERS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 11:26:17 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D5F844C.25B85F27@icok.net>
Source record: 133168

!! Canada !!
Leading the world in being just north of the USA.

'Doc

4140. Re: Ground Plane Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 11:34:03 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D5F861D.B1423446@icok.net>
Source record: 133169

George,
No, it doesn't. You have to remember what we're
talking about here, the 'groundplane'. The effectiveness
of the groundplane doesn't change. The effectiveness of
the antenna ~may~ change if the radiation pattern is shifted
in a direction away from the station you want to hear. This
'shift' in radiation pattern is also not as 'big' a deal as
most people think.
'Doc

4141. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 11:50:21 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <NKL79.41967$nE1.18594@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133170

If your runnin barefoot the coax length is unimportant,however the antenna
should be tuned using a analyzer,when in doubt use 18ft.
You have got a loose ground somewhere causing the fluctuation.
Coaxial lengths cannot change the swr, however they can fool the swr meter
and
make you think the swr changed.
Life is like a box of chocolates,you dont know what youre gonna get.
--
714Sandpile
The "Mad Dog" wavin goodbye
"Axl" <no@idontthinkso.com> wrote in message
news:mhI79.28581$N9.3594071@twister.neo.rr.com...
> I went to measure with the SWR today and noticed it's VERY high.....and
also
> inconsistant....on the same channel, within 1 minute it can go from a 1.2
to
> a 4....the only thing I can think of is that the jumper coax I'm using is
6
> or 8 feet long.....I thought maybe if this was moved around it could cause
> problems or inconsistant readings....are accurate readings produces with a
> 12" or shorter jumper or does it not matter?
>
>

4142. Re: Let's get the dukes back on the AIR!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 11:51:11 UTC
From: nrogers81BOGUS@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <pq0vlusam2ahl9cmsce272v0tt42oojtiv@4ax.com>
Source record: 133171

On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 10:52:02 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>> 1. Reply to 999npotzrebie2@hotmail.com. You will
>> need to remove 999 from the email address or it will
>> bounce.
>>
>
>With this id I am sorely tempted to ask if it is really crackers to slip a
>rozzer the dropsy in snide or challenge you to a game of 23-man Squamish

Ummm....

Uh.....

What?

X

To reply via email, please follow both of these steps:

1. Reply to 999npotzrebie2@hotmail.com. You will
need to remove 999 from the email address or it will
bounce.

2. Place USENET at the beginning of the subject line,
like so:

USENET RE: YOUR POST

These are anti-spam measures that I regret to say
have become necessary.

4143. Re: QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 12:00:29 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <lUL79.42190$nE1.12332@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133172

A Cobra 29ltd will work fine with a Firestik FSII, try to avoid the Wilson
and K40
line. A top-loaded antenna has less attennuation than a center or
base-loaded antenna
and costs 1/3 as much.

<nrogers81BOGUS@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:408uluoe9q1f5fdecbbjvuuktapkqijndq@4ax.com...
> I'm going to be getting back into CB after being out of the loop
> for about 20 years. My old rig, along with the truck it was in, is
> long gone, but it was capable of about ten-to-fifteen mile ranges
> fairly reliably, sometimes more under good conditions. I'd like to
> outfit my current truck with a rig capable of similar performance.
> I'm not worried about skip or linears or any of that - just a solid
> rig that doesn't cost me my first born. The old truck had an 8'
> whip what I'd just as soon avoid today. Any suggestions?
>
> X
>
>
> To reply via email, please follow both of these steps:
>
> 1. Reply to 999npotzrebie2@hotmail.com. You will
> need to remove 999 from the email address or it will
> bounce.
>
> 2. Place USENET at the beginning of the subject line,
> like so:
>
> USENET RE: YOUR POST
>
> These are anti-spam measures that I regret to say
> have become necessary.

4144. Re: C-SPAN: D.C. Reparations Rally

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 12:15:06 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D5F8FBC.308964C@icok.net>
Source record: 133174

Seems like if you want something for nothing,
all you need is an emotional excuse.
'Doc

4145. Re: SWR is high

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 12:15:24 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <c6M79.42625$nE1.8225@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133173

I have to agree with the "Doc", you can tune a piano but you cant tuna fish.
--
Mad Dog
"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D5E89B1.4DFA28E9@icok.net...
>
>
> Georgie,
> You can 'tune' any antenna. I would have
> thought you'd have picked that up from your CD.
> 'Doc

4146. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 12:46:01 UTC
From: Yeahrightman <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com>
Message-ID: <fn5vlu4893ef148075om3o4nbiqonrunem@4ax.com>
Source record: 133177

On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 05:35:15 GMT, "Me" <whocare@you.com> was
blabbling:

...Thanks Chuckie

who's chuckie?

4147. Re: Can I convert my old Mobile CB to a Base CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 12:47:53 UTC
From: Yeahrightman <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com>
Message-ID: <ap5vlug36j91cvcdu9vv862o8t2mhghrfu@4ax.com>
Source record: 133178

On Sat, 17 Aug 2002 23:54:53 -0500, "John Smith"
<spamwanted@127.0.0.1> was blabbling:

...
..."Damon Breeden" <DummyLoad@webtv.net> wrote in message
...news:10862-3D5ECC1D-179@storefull-2394.public.lawson.webtv.net...
...> Hey, yougottabekidding, why the fake, forged user name, isp,
etc...in
...> your post? What you afraid of?
...
...It's done that way because those of us with real computers and
e-mail, wind
...up with spam in our mail.
...You cheapskates who can't afford a real computer wouldn't know
that.
...
...

I ain't afriad of nothing.... I just got tired of being fucked with by
people in this newsgroup, viruses, SPAM and other such bullshit.

4148. Re: Let's get the dukes back on the AIR!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 12:50:04 UTC
From: Yeahrightman <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com>
Message-ID: <3v5vluknm1fsf6qoud2omqc2e0cltpcgo2@4ax.com>
Source record: 133179

On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 17:35:26 -0700, Jay in the Mojave
<jay123a@qnet.com> was blabbling:

...Hello Yeahrightman:
...
...Sounds good to me.
...
...I keept calling Kathy Bach on my CB, and nerver got an
answer?!??!?!?
...
...Whats with that?!?!?!?!
...
...Jay in the Mojave

hehehehehehehehehehehehe.....

4149. FS: ROYCE classic base - $35

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 12:55:05 UTC
From: WA2BAH@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13679-3D5F9929-173@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133182

Royce model T-28 classic CB base station in good condition - works well
- 23 channel unit - comes with microphone - I have a picture available -
$35 plus shipping from Schenectady, New York

LIST OF MY ITEMS FOR SALE: (revised 8/14/02)

http://sdstudio.freeyellow.com/page3.html

(sorry about the 'pop-up' ads :-)

4150. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 12:57:17 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <NQM79.8760$Dw2.2006@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 133180

Hay what is the antenna are you using ?,normally I would use is 3feet ,your meter is important look into A BIRD 43 works for me check the coax you may have something wrong with it to
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"Axl" <no@idontthinkso.com> wrote in message news:mhI79.28581$N9.3594071@twister.neo.rr.com...
> I went to measure with the SWR today and noticed it's VERY high.....and also
> inconsistant....on the same channel, within 1 minute it can go from a 1.2 to
> a 4....the only thing I can think of is that the jumper coax I'm using is 6
> or 8 feet long.....I thought maybe if this was moved around it could cause
> problems or inconsistant readings....are accurate readings produces with a
> 12" or shorter jumper or does it not matter?
>
>

4151. Re: Roper Electronics...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 13:05:05 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020818090505.10528.00001062@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 133181

Jerry,they are still selling 10 meter radios.I guess your dime didn't work?

4152. Re: KDK FM-6033 Six meter rig

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 13:34:19 UTC
From: NI4L <ni4l@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3D5FA25B.6070302@charter.net>
Source record: 133183

Hello Jay
no sorry to say it is only FM. It is a great little radio though.
chris

Jay in the Mojave wrote:

>Hello NI4L:
>
>Does this radio have SSB?
>
>Jay in the Mojave
>
>NI4L wrote:
>
>>I have a nice KDK FM-6033 Six meter rig has tones, Memories 10 i
>>think It scans -/+ off sets,VFO, call channel.
>>5/25 watts works perfect looks good. Have mic, power cord and mounting
>>bracket for it.
>>175.00+shipping OBO
>>
>>--
>>NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
>>LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
>>Made with the same components used by our military forces today
>>Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
>>Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
>>Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/
>>

--
NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
Made with the same components used by our military forces today
Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/

4153. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 13:44:06 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D5FA49A.FF1A97BD@icok.net>
Source record: 133184

Mad Dog,
What significance does 18 feet have?
'Doc

4154. Re: QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 14:28:42 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020818102842.28577.00001264@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 133185

>A Cobra 29ltd will work fine with a Firestik FSII, try to avoid the Wilson
>and K40
>line. A top-loaded antenna has less attennuation than a center or
>base-loaded antenna
>and costs 1/3 as much.

You will be alot happier with a wilson 1000 mag mount than any firestick you can buy!! If you really want to be happy stay with the 102" whip....Best antenna out there!

4155. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 14:32:40 UTC
From: frmboybuck@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020818103240.28577.00001265@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 133186

>Mad Dog,
> What significance does 18 feet have?
> 'Doc

18' is 1/2 of a wavelength.....36/2=18

4156. Re: Can I convert my old Mobile CB to a Base CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 14:55:34 UTC
From: ld751@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d12c96e.0208180655.48ea6246@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133187

jhonnyxero@yahoo.com (Jhonny Xero) wrote in message
news:<79f40c25.0208171120.1eafbfca@posting.google.com>...
> I found my old GE 40 channel cb and was wondering if I could convert
> it (Cheaply) to be used in the house? On the back where the cig
> lighter plugs into the CB it says -13.8VDC+. I found online something
> that says it is a 12volt dc converter. All it is is a 2 prong plug on
> one end and a female cig lighter on the other. It says its 1 amp.
> Would this work? Would my mobile antenna work inside. Thanks in
> advance.

You can get a cheap 3 amp power supply from Radio Shack and it will work fine for your CB as long as the power output hasn't been turned up too much. I wouldn't recommend using the mobile antenna inside. Besides performance problems, you have to worry about exposure to RF, plus the increased chance of interference on your electronics. If you insist on using a mobile antenna on your base radio, put it outside with the coax running in through a window or similar opening and make sure the antenna is on something metal, such as a window air conditioner. You'd be better off getting a base antenna.

If you don't want some huge monstrostity bolted to the side of your house, there are plenty of "no ground plane" base antennas, some as small as 3 feet tall. While these are a comprimise as far as performance goes, they will get you on the air while still keeping an asthetically appealing look to your home. I wouldn't expect a range of more than a few miles with an antenna like this on AM on a typical day, but if you work any sideband or when conditions are enhanced during the day, you may work some good distances. One thing that will make a world of difference in your signal is if you can mount your antenna someplace that it is clear all the way around, preferably above your roof level.

My neighbors lovingly refer to my Imax 2000 antenna as my "Rocket Launcher" - I suppose it's because of it's 24 foot height, strapped to the roof of my house, making it almost 45 feet from the ground to the top of the antenna. :)

Here's a "no ground plane" antenna being sold on Ebay right now:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1373762928

He has an Ebay store, so you might be able to find others as well. The one for auction is only 39" long. If you have any local CB shops, they should also be able to help you out with an antenna, although their price will probably be a bit higher than the internet. Hope this helps!

4157. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 15:12:23 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <rPO79.55950$Fw3.2883214@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133188

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D5FA49A.FF1A97BD@icok.net...
>
>
> Mad Dog,
> What significance does 18 feet have?
> 'Doc

Oh you didn't know Doc, that is the prescribed CB Myth Length.

4158. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 15:13:19 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <jQO79.205378$6Z1.9515301@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133189

"Frmboybuck" <frmboybuck@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020818103240.28577.00001265@mb-mr.aol.com...
> >Mad Dog,
> > What significance does 18 feet have?
> > 'Doc
>
> 18' is 1/2 of a wavelength.....36/2=18

Not where coax is concerned. Better hit the books

4159. Re: Can I convert my old Mobile CB to a Base CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 15:14:43 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <DRO79.47707$m91.2749134@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133190

"Yeahrightman" <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com> wrote in message
> I ain't afriad of nothing.... I just got tired of being fucked with by
> people in this newsgroup, viruses, SPAM and other such bullshit.

Oh Chuck your such a tough Guy

4160. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 15:15:20 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <cSO79.57491$2p2.2798092@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133191

"Yeahrightman" <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com> wrote in message
> who's chuckie?

you asshole

4161. Opie and Anthony's sex for Sam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 15:18:57 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20020818111857.08272.00001287@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 133192

Did anyone catch the show on Thursday? There was a couple arrested for haveing sex inside St. Patricks Cathedral in NYC. Other than the obvious objections, it had to be one of the funniest few minutes in radio history listening in on the spotter for these 2 arguing with a church security guard and the police who arrived a little later. Last I heard they couple where still incarcerated.
O & A broadcast is originated here in the New York marked and syndicated all over the country.
This could easily have gone head to head with ANY of the classic Howard Stern bits from his WNBC days in NYC when he was actually funny.
Train

4162. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 15:21:22 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <SXO79.1404$fy3.41265354@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133193

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:KoC79.33899$EP2.1709478@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> Landshark <mailto:S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
> news:Q%k79.539$bX2.12914790@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:g%h79.21042$dr.4412869@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > Gotcha! As hard as I would fight against intrusions onto the ham
bands
> by
> > > unlicensed persons,
> > > I would fight equally as hard for your right to discuss any topic in a
> > > reasonable, civil, and free
> > > manner
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Jerry
> > >
> >
> >
> > I don't think you got me, but each to his own.
> > I have never complained about your 10 meter intrusions
> > complaint. At the same time I've never seen you say anything
> > to the contrary about discussion, so have a good one.
> > I've got a 7:30 tee off time, so time to get some rest.
> >
> > Landshark\\
>
> Naw, I meant that I understood what you were saying as in "got what you
> said". LOL!
>
> 73
>
> Jerry
> >
> >
> > --
> > If you are implying that people, who
> > hold ham licenses, should be somehow
> > held to a higher moral standard than
> > the "common man", then that would
> > be faulty logic. A ham license is only

> > a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
> > "badge of morality".
> >
> >
>
>
>

All right then, get some rest on
that porch of yours, drink Lynchburg
Lemonade <G>

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

4163. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 15:31:08 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <05P79.18000$Ke2.1478916@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133194

He's that little doll... in "Child's Play"...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Yeahrightman" <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com> wrote in message
news:fn5vlu4893ef148075om3o4nbiqonrunem@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 05:35:15 GMT, "Me" <whocare@you.com> was
> blabbling:
>
> ...Thanks Chuckie
>
>
> who's chuckie?
>
>

4164. OT: Re: Opie and Anthony's sex for Sam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 15:46:54 UTC
From: Darrin <darrin99@nj.rr.com>
Message-ID: <a7gvlu8lgb28m812r01nkpg77ialp2q4hq@4ax.com>
Source record: 133195

On 18 Aug 2002 15:18:57 GMT, tra1n@aol.commmmmm (TRA1N) wrote:

>Did anyone catch the show on Thursday? There was a couple arrested for haveing
>sex inside St. Patricks Cathedral in NYC. Other than the obvious objections, it
>had to be one of the funniest few minutes in radio history listening in on the
>spotter for these 2 arguing with a church security guard and the police who
>arrived a little later. Last I heard they couple where still incarcerated.
>O & A broadcast is originated here in the New York marked and syndicated all
>over the country.
>This could easily have gone head to head with ANY of the classic Howard Stern
>bits from his WNBC days in NYC when he was actually funny.
>Train

Yes, it was the funniest thing on radio since Stern's early 90's shows
with Billy West. I really thought they would be fired the next day.

4165. Re: Re: Opie and Anthony's sex for Sam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 15:51:32 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <8oP79.56397$Fw3.2900500@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133196

This has what to do about CB?

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

tra1n@aol.commmmmm (TRA1N) wrote:
>
> >Did anyone catch the show on Thursday? There was a couple arrested for
haveing
> >sex inside St. Patricks Cathedral in NYC. Other than the obvious
objections, it
> >had to be one of the funniest few minutes in radio history listening in
on the
> >spotter for these 2 arguing with a church security guard and the police
who
> >arrived a little later. Last I heard they couple where still
incarcerated.
> >O & A broadcast is originated here in the New York marked and syndicated
all
> >over the country.
> >This could easily have gone head to head with ANY of the classic Howard
Stern
> >bits from his WNBC days in NYC when he was actually funny.
> >Train
>
> Yes, it was the funniest thing on radio since Stern's early 90's shows
> with Billy West. I really thought they would be fired the next day.

4166. Re: Let's get the dukes back on the AIR!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 16:09:54 UTC
From: yeahrightman <notonyourbestday@yougottobekiddingme.com>
Message-ID: <bmhvlusg4kag5tj3g1ns9cjol5u3h2usmm@4ax.com>
Source record: 133197

On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 05:15:04 GMT, Yeahrightman
<yougottabekidding@nothardly.com> wrote:

>You know the amazing part is, there's a whole lot of people that love
>that show.... I know it was very "unbelievable" at times, but it was
>wholesome family entertainment... No Sex, No Violence... except if you
>consider a fist fight between, bo and luke, there was no gore and in
>the end the good guys always won... alot of that is missing today...
>sometime or another do a google search on "the general lee" and see
>what comes up... it's AMAZING!
>
>"Just a good ol' boys...never meaning no harm..."
>

4167. Re: Roper Electronics...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 16:28:49 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26349-3D5FCB41-351@storefull-2258.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133200

Maybe Jerry wants to get rid of all the export rigs so he and his fellow hams can get top dollar for all those old hf rigs they have stored away?Just speculation!....Mark

4168. Re: Channel 2 (1st harmonic of 27 Mhz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 16:40:56 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <39jvluoeaaee343l6tiu2f1mmo8lqrjhbo@4ax.com>
Source record: 133198

On Wed, 14 Aug 2002 23:45:06 GMT, "Richard McCollum"
<rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
>"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:G7B69.84096$Yd.3991494@twister.austin.rr.com...
>>
>> It's just info George, nothing more or less. The only real problem I see
>> with the thread concerns amplifiers. One that amplifies at 27 MHz most
>> likely wont do squat at 55.
>>
>>
>
>It most certainly will! There is no such thing as a perfect linear amp and
>a goodly number are non-linear by design, accident, or stupidity.
>
>Back when dinosaurs roamed the earth, a Frenchman named Fourier (foy-ea)
>proved that every waveform could be expressed as a series composed of a
>sine curve and its harmonics in varying proportions. The backside of this
>is that any distortion of a sine wave in an amp WILL generate harmonics,
>get used to it.
>
>These can be suppressed by a number of techniques. The pi-section beloved
>of amp builders is a low pass filter, it tends to whack freqs above its
>tuned freq. In days of old, an air transformer (usually a link in
>mid-tank coil) was the usual approach and were often tuned. Where
>broadband transformers are used, the usual approach is a trap set which is
>generally non-existent in CB amps and are clipped out of the exciter to
>get more meter power. Lots of other approaches to suppress the
>inevitable.
>
>This is incidentally why the Marine and Ham bands were set up in harmonic
>relationships; kept the crap at home. This has been modified over the
>years.
>
>It is not necessary for the harmonic of the carrier to be on a TV freq.
>The AM sidebands go out to a good part of the channel and in fact the
>lower sideband is sawed off to fit. And even by being near, it will end
>up in the TV receiver passband with unpredictable results.
>
>Dick Nï¿½BK
>

YOU tell them Dick! Whether someone is running POWER or not, JAMMING
of the TOILET BAND from 52-88mc WILL happen! I just wish I could JAM

dem commies up on UHF & Hi VHF TV too! Maybe if CB jammed better
those TV freqs. in da old days, we wouldn't have the TRASH that is on
TY today!
Dave!!
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4169. Re: moparholic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 16:44:14 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <bkjvlusv4kqahv25d6lpumfq951vjsmpoh@4ax.com>
Source record: 133199

On 15 Aug 2002 15:29:47 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

```
>timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote:
>>>any place that sells/makes windows for old classic cars that you know of?
>>
>> Tim Nebo
>>
>> http://www.TimNebo.com
>>
>Here's a couple links,Tim.
>
>http://www.classicautoglass.com/
>
>http://yearone.com/welcomepagecomponents/welcome.html
>
>What are you looking for?
```

What about da JUNK YARDS? THERE are some OLD CAR junk yards across da
nation that havew this stuff. Anyone know of any old CB junk yards?
I have one--inside!
Dave!!
!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4170. Re: FS Lafatette telstat W/ Digiscan..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 16:49:13 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <avjvlu4nvnajldoidip0sd7cm1br6u43q5@4ax.com>
Source record: 133201

On 15 Aug 2002 14:42:05 GMT, timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com)
wrote:

>no idea how much you want for this? i need a radio but dont have alot of cash.
>let me know what others off.
>
>>Subject: FS Lafatette telstat W/ Digiscan..
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
>http://www.TimNebo.com
>

Don't have a lot of cash? Is SIN expensive or what? !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4171. Re: more stuff - SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 16:51:47 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <o1kvlushkbkku1p51rg5qr421lumouubpb@4ax.com>
Source record: 133202

On Wed, 14 Aug 2002 14:12:03 GMT, "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.>
wrote:

>
>"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D5A33A0.CB4E8BE4@icok.net...
>>
>> More spam George, just more spam.
>> 'Doc
>
> I was going to say that Doc, but
>thanks anyway.
>
> Landshark

BAD citizens are BIGGER SLAVES. The MORE you SIN, the MORE you are
ENSLAVED. That's right HONKEY--you IS a slave today! Read Deut. 28
and 2 Chron. 7:14 to find out more!
Dave!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4172. Re: Astatic Road devil conductive paint.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 16:55:51 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <dakvlu40pv59qb1cve1pf98kammlosunpv@4ax.com>
Source record: 133203

On Mon, 12 Aug 2002 17:17:56 -0400 (EDT), IBM233@webtv.net (Chris)
wrote:

>I just bought a new Road Devil which has a golden thin layer of
>conductive paint on the inside. My five year old Road Devil has a more
>thicker dark gray conductive paint. Which is better to keep the mic from
>squealing, the old grey or new gold coating? Thanks. By the way, I have
>never had a Road Devil squeal but there might be a a day...

Don't buy ANYTHING that says DEVIL on it! SQUEAL has NOTHING to do
with the PAINT on da mic!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4173. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 17:15:31 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020818131531.12380.00001701@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 133204

>are accurate readings produces with a
>12" or shorter jumper or does it not >matter?
OK here we go again. If you are using CB channels and RG-58 or RG-8X (called mini) coax go with a coax length of about 14.5 ft. That's what will get your coax to about 52 ohms...seems like a familiar number right?
It's also the 1/2 wavelength 714 refers to multiplied by the velocity factor of the coax (or close to it).
Now you have a good piece of coax, solder the PL-259 on the radio end and most antennas use a regular bolt thru connector crimp on (to the wire) connector. Be sure to solder this too. Connect the antenna being sure not to have a short (direct connection between the center and the shield) in the setup. Check the SWR now. If Channel 1's SWR is higher than Channel 40 this means your antenna is too short. Solder a small piece of wire to the end or add a spring. I found some aluminum washers that are 3/8 inch thick that add just a little. Remember it's not just the 3/8 length but the full round of the washer playing in. RF at HF travels on the outside of the conductor. If SWR is higher on Ch 40 than on 1 you need to shorten the length. Snip a 1/4 inch off at a time or if you bought the right antenna you will have an Allen wrench adjuster set screw. Check it again. A NOTE....Be sure to close all vehicle doors when checking SWR. It does come into play! Most people try to get the best SWR reading (lowest) on channel 20 so that you are centered out on the channel range. Good luck....Joe 333 Springfield MO....
Yeah Dan...Running a Davemade coil whip

"Got RF?"

4174. Re: C-SPAN: D.C. Reparations Rally

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 17:20:21 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020818132021.05195.00001171@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 133205

right on doc, just another "scam" to run on the federal government. aint nobody from the last 3 or 4 generations suffered any of that. why should i pay for something i didnt do,and why should i pay it to someone who never suffered it????? what a crock of shit. just another way for the black leadership to keep alive the "black/ white thing" . its kinda like the governments problem,how to keep military spending alive in a post cold war envirionment. in this day and age its getting harder and harder to find examples of discrimination,so their leadership has to grasp at straws to keep the "movement going" and unfortunatly,keep the hate going at the same time. gunslinger

4175. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 17:30:39 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <3RQ79.64620$SS.2885899@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133206

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20020818131531.12380.00001701@mb-fm.aol.com...

> OK here we go again. If you are using CB channels and RG-58 or RG-8X
(called
> mini) coax go with a coax length of about 14.5 ft. That's what will get
your
> coax to about 52 ohms...seems like a familiar number right?

Yes here we go again the coax is 50-52 ohms no matter what the length is
jackwad. Another CB Myth is shot down

4176. Re: learn something new every day.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 17:41:13 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Z_Q79.86778$me6.11132@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 133207

Richard McCollum wrote:
> He is right, Dave. The magnetic field is the whole caper, the mag field
> depends on current, and the current is highest in the lower part of the
> quarter-wave.
>
> Makes one wonder how bumper mounts worked at all. It is also why
> center-loaded whips are somewhat more efficient than base loading but take
> twice the inductance.
>
> Dick N�BK

I'll take your word for it until I get a chance to look up the information myself. I suppose It does make sense though. My knowledge about radio communications is very limited, still learning. Is this similar to why a device that is dependent on an exact voltage will operate more efficiently when the power feed isn't a 300' run? Is this like voltage drop for antennas? Or is it more like: An antenna is a radiating device and as soon as the signal reaches the antenna it begins to radiate and so the majority of the signal is radiated at the bottom as this is where the flow starts?

Dave

4177. Re: Astatic Road devil conductive paint.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 17:41:37 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <I%Q79.306229$q53.9870377@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133208

"Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> wrote in message
news:dakvlu40pv59qb1cve1pf98kammlosunpv@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 12 Aug 2002 17:17:56 -0400 (EDT), IBM233@webtv.net (Chris)
> wrote:
>
> >I just bought a new Road Devil which has a golden thin layer of
> >conductive paint on the inside. My five year old Road Devil has a more
> >thicker dark gray conductive paint. Which is better to keep the mic from
> >squealing, the old grey or new gold coating? Thanks. By the way, I have
> >never had a Road Devil squeal but there might be a a day...
>
> Don't buy ANYTHING that says DEVIL on it! SQUEAL has NOTHING to do
> with the PAINT on da mic!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>

And just what passage in the Bible can we find proof of this, Dave?

4178. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 17:48:14 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ajomlc017ir@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133210

"Frmboybuck" <frmboybuck@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020818103240.28577.00001265@mb-mr.aol.com...
> >Mad Dog,
> > What significance does 18 feet have?
> > 'Doc
>
> 18' is 1/2 of a wavelength.....36/2=18

Wouldn't that be 1/8 wave? As 1/4 wave antennas are 37.5 ft long yes?

4179. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 17:52:18 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ajomss01831@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133214

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:rPO79.55950$Fw3.2883214@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
>
> "'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D5FA49A.FF1A97BD@icok.net...
> >
> >
> > Mad Dog,
> > What significance does 18 feet have?
> > 'Doc
>
>
> Oh you didn't know Doc, that is the prescribed CB Myth Length.
>

Precisely. On a single antenna length has no meaning.
The length myth comes from the fact that manufacturer's make coax, with
connectors, in prefixed lengths of 3' increments.
A 52ohm resistance cable is still 52 ohms regardless of length.
It may drop a little over a mile or so though.

4180. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 18:01:26 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <h3ovlu8olfbdnigavkad50j2qaifhr57g6@4ax.com>
Source record: 133209

On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 15:12:23 GMT, "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:

```
>
>
>
>"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D5FA49A.FF1A97BD@icok.net...
>>
>>
>> Mad Dog,
>> What significance does 18 feet have?
>> 'Doc
>
>
>Oh you didn't know Doc, that is the prescribed CB Myth Length.
>
>
NO, it is the CB DRUG length of those who are on 18 FOOT COAX DRUGS !
Dey is on DRUGS, and can't measure anything other than 18' ! There
are MANY kinds of CB drugs out here--this one being the CRACK COKE
CAIN of CB DRUGS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! (18' IS their imaginary savior!)
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
!!!!
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4181. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 18:01:45 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ajonei019m7@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133215

"OZARK333" <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20020818131531.12380.00001701@mb-fm.aol.com...
> >are accurate readings produces with a
> >12" or shorter jumper or does it not >matter?
> OK here we go again. If you are using CB channels and RG-58 or RG-8X
(called
> mini) coax go with a coax length of about 14.5 ft. That's what will get
your
> coax to about 52 ohms...seems like a familiar number right?
> It's also the 1/2 wavelength 714 refers to multiplied by the velocity
factor of
> the coax (or close to it).

Bullshit. What you're referring to is the dialectric constant of the makeup
of the coax itself.
Which aids in determining the actual impedance.
Such as 52ohm or 75 ohm.

The velocity factor is defined as the ratio of the speed of the signal to
travel a certain distance on the cable to the its speed to travel the same
distance in a vacuum. If we define the constant as the speed of the signal
in the vacuum then the velocity factor will be:

http://www.ece.wpi.edu/courses/ee535/hwk97/hwk2cd97/husain/node5.html

http://www.ece.wpi.edu/courses/ee535/hwk97/hwk2cd97/husain/husain.html

The wavelength would be critical if you intend on making a coaxial antenna.
Where each section is tiered onto the next section by alternating the solid
inner conductor, to the outer braided conductor.

4182. Re: ROYCE classic base - $35

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 18:04:52 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ajonke01a84@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133217

"Stan WA2BAH" <WA2BAH@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13679-3D5F9929-173@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Royce model T-28 classic CB base station in good condition - works well
> - 23 channel unit - comes with microphone - I have a picture available -
> $35 plus shipping from Schenectady, New York
>
> LIST OF MY ITEMS FOR SALE: (revised 8/14/02)
>
> http://sdstudio.freeyellow.com/page3.html
>
> (sorry about the 'pop-up' ads :-)

Good item for collectors of antiques. According to the regs, those ancient
radios are not to be used.
Although getting caught with one would be as likely as being struck with
lightning.
Try selling it for $10 and you might have a customer somewhere.

4183. Re: The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 18:08:26 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <1iovluoda1hff68gdo87q19rnv1k8v2bje@4ax.com>
Source record: 133211

On Sat, 17 Aug 2002 17:35:14 GMT, 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:

>Peak Envelope Performance?
> 'Doc
Doc:
There are a LOT more HOLES in this article than just that one! I bin
a CB shop for over 23 years now and ONLY a DUMMY ROOKIE would of said
so many lies! I will clear up the lies on the above listing!
Dave!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4184. Re: QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 18:17:37 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5xR79.18201$Ke2.1495449@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133212

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:lUL79.42190$nE1.12332@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> A Cobra 29ltd will work fine with a Firestik FSII, try to avoid the
Wilson
> and K40
> line. A top-loaded antenna has less attennuation than a center or
> base-loaded antenna
> and costs 1/3 as much.
>

Correct except what CB Science calls top-loading isn't

4185. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 18:19:07 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <vyR79.18204$Ke2.1496724@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133213

"Frmboybuck" <frmboybuck@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020818103240.28577.00001265@mb-mr.aol.com...
> >Mad Dog,
> > What significance does 18 feet have?
> > 'Doc
>
> 18' is 1/2 of a wavelength.....36/2=18

Not in coax it isn't. CB Science strikes again.

4186. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 18:19:46 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ajoogc01dth@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133219

```
"Dave or Debby" <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:h3ovlu8olfbdnigavkad50j2qaifhr57g6@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 15:12:23 GMT, "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >
> >"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message
news:3D5FA49A.FF1A97BD@icok.net...
> >>
> >>
> >> Mad Dog,
> >> What significance does 18 feet have?
> >> 'Doc
> >
> >
> >Oh you didn't know Doc, that is the prescribed CB Myth Length.
> >
> >
> NO, it is the CB DRUG length of those who are on 18 FOOT COAX DRUGS !
> Dey is on DRUGS, and can't measure anything other than 18' ! There
> are MANY kinds of CB drugs out here--this one being the CRACK COKE
> CAIN of CB DRUGS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! (18' IS their imaginary savior!)
>
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

Besides that, they make more money selling more cable to idiots who don't
know any better.
```

4187. Re: learn something new every day.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 18:32:36 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <8LR79.18227$Ke2.1498646@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133216

"Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Z_Q79.86778$me6.11132@sccrnsc01...
> Richard McCollum wrote:
> > He is right, Dave. The magnetic field is the whole caper, the mag
field
> > depends on current, and the current is highest in the lower part of
the
> > quarter-wave.
> >
> > Makes one wonder how bumper mounts worked at all. It is also why
> > center-loaded whips are somewhat more efficient than base loading but
take
> > twice the inductance.
> >
> > Dick N�BK
>
>
> I'll take your word for it until I get a chance to look up the
information
> myself. I suppose It does make sense though. My knowledge about radio
> communications is very limited, still learning. Is this similar to why a
> device that is dependent on an exact voltage will operate more
efficiently
> when the power feed isn't a 300' run? Is this like voltage drop for
> antennas? Or is it more like: An antenna is a radiating device and as
soon
> as the signal reaches the antenna it begins to radiate and so the
majority
> of the signal is radiated at the bottom as this is where the flow
starts?
>
> Dave
>
>

I think of it as a subway. When the door opens, it is easy to get on if the train is empty and as it loads you have to stumble over more and more people.

The first electron goes right to the tip of the antenna but starts to repel ones coming later (building a pretty remarkable tip voltage BTW) until it is all the last one can do to get on.

A moving electron, says one James Clerk Maxwell, produces both a static and magnetic field; it is in fact not possible to have one of those items without having the other two. It is really the mag field that does the heavy lifting. The lower end of the antenna just gets more traffic cause it is nearer the door.

The 300 foot run gets into the act as well because an antenna at resonance resolves to a set of series resistances: ground loss, voltage drop (the run problem) in feedlines, etc, and the equivalent radiation resistance of the antenna. So the antenna will radiate RadRes/TotRes and the rest heats the planet.

You pretty well have it figured.

4188. Skippin around

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 18:44:02 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ajoptt01isq@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133220

Whilst cruising about the country side of cincinnati, in the fall, I was checking out the goodies at the local ham fest.
Upon leaving I turned on the old cb and who did I hear banging out a +9 signal? Candy Girl, in Los Angeles.
"Hey candy girl you copy this little old barefoot buckeye mobile?"
Expecting no response of course.
"Yeah, I copy you buckeye mobile. Where you at?"
holy shit. I couldn't believe she actually heard me.
She ran probably one of the top notch stations in the country. Moonraker beams and plenty of power.
She got nailed by uncle charlie several times.

Remember the old "Chips" and "Emergency" Tv shows?
One summer afternoon I've got the police scanner going.
"924"
"924 go ahead."
"924 we have an accident with injuries, fire department dispatched. Galbriath and Pippin Roads.".
"924. Excuse me? I don't believe we have those two roads in this county."
"What county are you in sir?"
"Los Angeles county".
"LA County unit 924 disregard all. This is Hamilton County Ohio."
"924 Hamilton County Ohio clear on all and responding. LA County 924 thanks for the assistance, but we don't need you that bad."

That was all on low band [47mhz] . Shortly after that unit call signs were changed to avoid such things.
And a new tv show was born. 1-adam-12.

Years ago I was part of a search and rescue team in cincinnati.
We had put up at my house a low band (47mhz) base station with 100 watts out and a 110ft antnenna.
One summer afternoon skip was royally bad. I was hearing units from florida to california.
Just to see who was hearing me, as I had several units out that day, I keyed down the mike and gave out the call sign with the location.
"Christ. Did you hear that cincinnati station? He sounded like he's right here in LA."
Got out my call sign book, looked up who was in LA. Schaffer's ambulance service huh?
They supplied the ambulances to the tv shows like chips and that.
So just for kicks I keyed down and called them directly.
Their dispatch answered.
"Attention all stations. Due to the nature of today's weather conditions, it may be difficult for some vehicles to reach their own base stations. If you

can hear any unit having problems, feel free to assist."

After that week, it seemed like everyone, except red cross, went on private line. Just to avoid those problems.

Any other skip stories?

4189. Re: The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 18:44:17 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <9lovlugtvtb4hv8qahi97uvl3fq23e429r@4ax.com>
Source record: 133218

SO many FALSE facts below that I have to answer EACH ONE below each
point to comment on the NON-FACTS with the TRUTH ! So sit back and
get ready to ROLL !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On Sat, 17 Aug 2002 14:27:55 GMT, "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:

>The Power Wars Continue
>By Stan Wells

IS he related to Mary Wells, of Gilligan's island fame, or the
Mary Wells singer?!

>
>The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios

\ YOU mean the "SAM COOKE" (Don't know NUTHIN' about History)
story!
>
>Back in 1975 the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) decided to add more
>channels to the Citizens Band (CB) frequencies. It went from 23 to 40

I believe it was '76 because of the BOOM and the CROWDED 23
channel band. 40 Channels started in Jan. of '77, I believe!

>channels. The FCC also lowered the power limit in these frequencies from 5

No they didn't! AThey "lowered" the MODULATION from 80-100% to
60% !

>watts to 3.5 watts. Shortly thereafter, the power wars began. It was soon

No, it wasn't "shorty thereafter." WHICH power wars ARE you
referring to, the ones started by SJ and the BROS in da HOOD, OR the
power wars that the truck drivers started, by copying da BROS? !!!!

>discovered that the 10-meter Amateur radio was virtually unregulated. This

What you are saying here is that the 6th plank which Govt.
control of the 10 meter Hams regulated them WAS un-regulated? DOUBLE
SPEAK!!!!!!!!!

>gave rise to the Connex and Galaxy revolution. These radios were easy to

Revolution? Truck drivers CB's having a revolution? I
thought commies have dem!

>convert to 11-meters which is the CB band. Additionally, they had 25 watts

NO they don't. SHow me one that gives 25 DEAD KEY BIRD watts
and I WILL eat it, a knob @ a time!

>of power. They eventually captured nearly half of the sales in the CB
>marketplace with the addition of many other brand names to the field--some

In the TRUCK driver marketplace; NOT the car or home CB market
place !

>now offering as much as 250 watts of power.

MORE LIES. I WILL EAT the radio IF it does 250 watts dead
key BIRD watts. IF not, YOU EAT IT or quit lying!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>
>The current top seller is the General Lee. This radio is a copy of the

That's cause we need Gen. Lee to fight da new world order
geeks!

>Connex 3300 HP with the dual finals. This allows the radio to run both

It is NOT a "copy." A COPY is the SAME EXACT thing. They are
a little different, IF you cared to KNOW what da features are!

>finals at the same time, giving nearly double the power output of the single
>final radio.

MORE LIES ! I WILL EAT the BIRD METER that you don't have IF
you can get double the power out of one over the other! MY BIRD
virgin meter says 4 AM watts more. That's right DRUG HEADS--4 watts
MAXIMUM more. Maybe 6.5 tops. That is it. But since you MODULATION
KIT installing IDIOTS can't get the radio above 5 watts anyway, I can
see WHY you say it will do DOUBLE the power (to 10 wats)!!!!

>
>At a Peak Envelope Performance (PEP) of nearly 50 watts, the General Lee

You mean on THE SIDEBAND METER it goes to 50 watts. THe other
poster is right too----Peak Envelope Performance---TO state that
NONSENCE means that you know NUTHIN' about CB's or meters or Bird
meters or average power or peak power OR THE TRUTH ! WHY give reading
on a SSB meter, when the radio ain't got no stinkin' SSB on it! TO
DECEIVE, that's WHY you do it !

>sports a prominent Rebel Flag on the front panel. This radio prompted a new

Prominent? It is a little DINKY itty biddy SMALL flag emblem
on it! I guess with little puny minds, even that little emblem is
PROMINENT ! Only commies hate dat flag!

>round of power wars. Enter a company with a long and respected history. RF

HOW did it (start new power wars) since by your own words it was
a COPY of the Connex HP, which has been out for around 15 years? You
seem kinda CORN FUSED, HIPPY !!!!!!

>Limited, located in Issaquah, Washington, is long known for quality
>microphones and innovative ideas. They began importing magnum radios, Delta
>Force and Alpha Force as well as Millennium radios. The Delta Forces being
>the most powerful at a PEP of over 50 watts.

Delta farce is RED CHINA JUNK and NOT the "most powerful" !
What AM watt reading do you get out of dem?!

>
>Unfortunately, the quality was less than adequate. They were plagued with

That's because dey was made in RED CHINA, OUR enemies!

>problems from cold solder joints on the board to surface mount parts so
>small that most CB shop couldn't fix them. Since plagued with many problems

MOST CB shops are very INCOMPETANT and are thieves. What you
are saying that IT WAS WORK to fix them, which YOU weren't willing to
do, or couldn't hack it!

>they have dropped the whole line of magnum radios. Now RF Limited is about

GOOD--more RED CHINA JUNK bitting da DUST !

>to release the replacement for these radios under the Maverick nameplate, to
>be designated A24.

Maverick means ROOKIE and you must be one!

>
>The Maverick A24 will be a dual final Connex-type radio with a twist. The
>dual finals are linear, or more simply, one final feeds the other. More

Isn't that how ALL of the prior ones work too?

>power out and cleaner signal on transmit. The board construction on this new
>radio seems to be far better than the Magnums.

You mean it's NOT made in RED CHINA ?

It loads a standard 4-pin
>Uniden/Cobra wired microphone on the front panel.

Don't a LOT of radios have this too?!

The echo board on these
>units can be modified as well. By changing one resistor, it moves from

Don't other boards do this too? !

>mildly annoying echo to turbo echo that repeats a word almost endlessly. It
>also uses a standard CB power cord for ease of replacement if necessary,
>which you may choose to do as the power cord provided is a bit small for the

Are YOU on drugs or what? Do they use a 2 amp cord or a 4
amp one?!

>amount of current that this radio draws. An upgraded cord is highly
>recommended. The best for the job is the CB3AXX from Workman Electronic
>Products, Inc.
>
>Your Road King RK56 or Astatic 636L noise-canceling microphone will work
>very well on this radio and keep that "big" radio sound. The conversion to
>CB channels is extremely simple. Anyone with limited knowledge can do it. We

Except you, of course!

>still recommend you take it to a competent technician to have this

You mean that one who makes the ERRORS that you do?!

>performed. Our only complaint was that the controls on the faceplate are
>still of low quality. If the band switch and other variables were upgraded,
>this would be a formidable contender for the position now held by the
>General Lee. Should a truck driver buy this radio? You should recognize that
>all of these radios are illegal in the CB frequencies. If you are willing to
>try a new product, this will definitely make you one of the louder voices on
>the radio.

Louder but NO more power, right? !

>
>Lastly, I would be remiss if I didn't tell you this. To be in possession of
>a modified radio is a federal felony. At present the FCC does not appear to
>be enforcing these rules and regulations. But I just received an order for a

You mean you stiull sell JUNK Cobra CHINESE CB's !

>Cobra radio from a customer in Alabama who had his Galaxy confiscated at a

that's not the "Sweet Home Alabama" that I've always heard about!

>DOT stop. As always, I recommend you buy a real CB radio like a Cobra,
>Uniden, Midland or Cherokee.

ANYTHING that transmits on 11 meters IS a REAL CB !

Cherokee is RED CHINA JUNK and I have always said that they can
take MY CB when they pry it from my cold dead hands!
>
>Enjoy your equipment and stay safe.

You mean your commie made JUNK from China and HEIL Stalin! How
can we stay "safe" with a BAD article like that? SAFETY IS of the
LORD Jesus Christ!

>Robinhood
>
>
>This article is provided by Truckstop Electronics, Inc. Stan Wells has been
>involved with repairing and selling CB radios for over 30 years. He's also
>involved in product development and testing.

IF he is then WE are in trouble! HE IS AN incompetant!
Dave!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4190. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 19:10:36 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208181110.7badda16@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133221

tra1n@aol.commmmmm (TRA1N) wrote in message
news:<20020815182159.23530.00000901@mb-ma.aol.com>...
> How many times is this pile of shit going to be reposted?
> Train
>
> >Subject: Illegal 10 Meter Radios
> >From: "Alan S." subnet@hotmail.com
> >Date: 8/15/02 5:27 AM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <Xns926B1915A9923subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
> >
> >The "Legal issues with Ebutt" thread is getting pretty long, so I figured
> >I'd weigh in on the Illegal 10 Meter Radio discussion by starting a new
> >one.
> >
> >The notice that started alot of this discussion is not actually a notice,
> >it's a letter from Christopher J. Wright to John F. Atwood of the US
> >Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999. In this letter, Mr Wright cites
> >existing FCC rules in determining whether or not certain radios can be
> >imported or marketed in the United States. Understanding this is crucial.
> >At no point are new laws proposed. Existing laws are referenced by the
> >Office of Engineering and Technology to show the Customs Service that the
> >importing and marketing of certain radios is illegal. Here is a copy of
> >the letter for reference:
> >
> >http://swap.qth.com/fcc/fcc-cbtable2.htm

Well, I finally figured it out. Aanal Aaron posted this strictly to start another flame war.

First off, it is NOT a FCC Notice; it was a letter sent by the FCC to the US Customs Service. Since it was not sent to Voobie-baby, his posting of it is strictly "hearsay" and should be disregarded as moot.

Secondly, he added his own personal mis-interpretation to it to make certain there would be a flame war, using such phrases as "phoney 10 meter radios" and the like, when there is nothing "phoney" about a ten meter radio and the so-called "export CBs" have never been legal for use in the USA. Again, nothing new or different and, again, moot.

Finally, when several knowledgable people started showing him that he was wrong, he started posting through an anonymous mailserver with the usual sexual innuendo guaranteed to elicit even more flames. This kind of crap is the last resort of a truly deperate and useless human being, and should be ignored as moot.

So, the entire thread is moot. Congrats to The Most Professional TROLL, Aanal Aaron H. Voobner and his followers for a truly collossal waste of space.

PS to Tom: You may never hear back from the FCC on your question (in a pinch, call one of the numbers listed at the bottom of the original letter and talk straight to the men who know the truth), but if you do I hope you'll put it up here in a new thread.

4191. Re: The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 19:14:57 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208181114.4ea516f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133222

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:<L3t79.45710$SS.2068914@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> The Power Wars Continue
> By Stan Wells
>
> The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios
>
> Back in 1975 the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) decided to add more
> channels to the Citizens Band (CB) frequencies. It went from 23 to 40
> channels. The FCC also lowered the power limit in these frequencies from 5
> watts to 3.5 watts.

BS. CB power is FOUR watts in AM mode and 12 watts PEP. It was never
reduced to 3.5 watts.

4192. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 19:19:52 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <srS79.58570$Fw3.3019506@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133223

"John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:ajomlc017ir@enews4.newsguy.com...
>
> "Frmboybuck" <frmboybuck@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020818103240.28577.00001265@mb-mr.aol.com...
> > >Mad Dog,
> > > What significance does 18 feet have?
> > > 'Doc
> >
> > 18' is 1/2 of a wavelength.....36/2=18
>
> Wouldn't that be 1/8 wave? As 1/4 wave antennas are 37.5 ft long yes?

No! a full wave at 11 meters is around 36' divide from there.

--
Things
are changing, and clowns are going to be painting on sad faces in the coming
months.

4193. Re: Van SWR can be lower?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 19:40:18 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ajovq1njr3@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 133240

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D56D299.6C51149@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
> Then I got a New Mosquito.

They also appear over here.

> It was a 1969 in a small case about the size of a pack of smokes.

That's how they often end up... smoke.

> One red pushbutton.
> That was enough at the time to make a difference. Sounded like
> sh!t on AM, kicked on SSB...
==

Hey, surely you didn't use one with SSB, tell me you didn't do
that... please?
Are they not a class C amp... they must sound like sh*t on SSB.

Regards,

Peter.

4194. Re: legitimate users of the 25-30mhz spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 19:40:22 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ajovq4njr5@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 133241

"2LD751" <ld751@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3d12c96e.0208161534.4ca0fb6@posting.google.com...
> FREQ CH USE
>
> --

> 27.600 USGOV

27.60125 UK CB 1

> 27.605 USGOV
> 27.610 USGOV

27.61125 UK CB 2

> 27.615 USGOV
> 27.620 MARS

27.62125 UK CB 3

> 27.625 USGOV
> 27.630 USGOV

27.63125 UK CB 4

> 27.635 USGOV

27.64125 UK CB 5

> 27.645 USGOV
> 27.650 USGOV

27.65125 UK CB 6

> 27.655 USGOV
> 27.660

27.66125 UK CB 7

> 27.665 USGOV
> 27.670

27.67125 UK CB 8

> 27.675 USGOV
> 27.680 68 AU MARITIME

27.68125 UK CB 9

> 27.685
> 27.690

27.69125 UK CB 10

> 27.695 USGOV
> 27.700 USGOV

27.70125 UK CB 11

> 27.705 USGOV
> 27.710

27.71125 UK CB 12

> 27.715 USGOV
> 27.720 72 AU MARITIME/USGOV

27.72125 UK CB 13

> 27.725 USGOV
> 27.730

27.73125 UK CB 14

> 27.735 MARS
> 27.740 USGOV

27.74125 UK CB 15

> 27.745 EXPERIMENTAL
> 27.750 USGOV

27.75125 UK CB 16

> 27.755
> 27.760

27.76125 UK CB 17

> 27.765
> 27.770

27.77125 UK CB 18

> 27.775 USGOV
> 27.780 USGOV

27.78125 UK CB 19

> 27.785 USGOV
> 27.790 USGOV

27.79125 UK CB 20

> 27.795
> 27.800 USGOV

27.80125 UK CB 21

> 27.805
> 27.810 USGOV

27.81125 UK CB 22

> 27.815
> 27.820 82 AU MARITIME

27.82125 UK CB 23

> 27.825
> 27.830 MARS

27.83125 UK CB 24

> 27.835
> 27.840 84 PNG MARITIME

27.84125 UK CB 25

> 27.845
> 27.850 USGOV

27.85125 UK CB 26

> 27.855
> 27.860 86 AU MARITIME

27.86125 UK CB 27

> 27.865
> 27.870 USGOV

27.87125 UK CB 28

> 27.875 MARS
> 27.880 88 AU MARITIME/USGOV

27.88125 UK CB 29

> 27.885
> 27.890 USGOV

27.89125 UK CB 30

> 27.895
> 27.900 90 AU MARITIME/USGOV

27.90125 UK CB 31

> 27.905
> 27.910 91 AU MARITIME

27.91125 UK CB 32

> 27.915
> 27.920 92 PNG MARITIME

27.92125 UK CB 33

> 27.925 USGOV
> 27.930

27.93125 UK CB 34

> 27.935 USGOV
> 27.940 94 AU MARITIME

27.94125 UK CB 35

> 27.945
> 27.950 95 PNG MARITIME/USGOV

27.95125 UK CB 36

> 27.955
> 27.960 96 AU MARITIME/USGOV

27.96125 UK CB 37

> 27.965 MARS
> 27.970 97 PNG MARITIME

27.97125 UK CB 38

> 27.975 MARS
> 27.980 98 AU SAR/MARS

27.98125 UK CB 39

> 27.985 MARS
> 27.990 MARS

27.99125 UK CB 40

> 27.995 MARS
> 28.000 - 29.700 RADIO AMATEUR

Regards,

Peter.

4195. Re: What's wrong with pinko commies Radio Shack!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 19:40:23 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ajovq5njr6@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 133242

"Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> wrote in message
news:7itnluc0un4ilr8llugdf0aa5i9q5idsi4@4ax.com...
>
> Dey went MORE communist ! You should of NOT bought from them in
> the 1st place!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Hi Dave.

Nice to see you back, it's been a while.

Regards,

Peter.

4196. Re: learn something new every day.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 19:54:38 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <fpuvluclmhgvomrnsm4hqmcr1n1u6j7c1o@4ax.com>
Source record: 133224

On Sat, 17 Aug 2002 14:10:11 -0600, "Wesser" <wesser@hiwaay.net>
wrote:

>
>"George Kinzer said"
>as far as tunning your antenna, i seriosly doubt
>> you can get close to 1.5 with the reading you are now having. say what
>.??????????????????
>
>.swrs need to be around 2 before you can "tune" that antenna, SINCE
>WHEN?????????
>((
>
>
>
YOU tell them Mr. Wesser! These "rookies" will probably never see a
1.1:1 like WE do every day!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4197. Re: C-SPAN: D.C. Reparations Rally

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:01:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <kvuvlugqagnc0qfq8v9fj73vmhfrm37ldq@4ax.com>
Source record: 133225

On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 00:45:12 GMT, "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.>
wrote:

>
>"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
>news:%zA79.36794$m91.2307668@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>>
>> That was some funny shit
>> --
>> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>>
>>
>> "wdoda" <wdoda@bigmailbox.net> wrote in message
>> news:ajmien$1c9lde$1@ID-80662.news.dfncis.de...
>> > Holy Shit!!!!
>> >
>> > When did C-SPAN start showing comedies? That had to be the funniest
>shit
>> I
>> > have seen on the tube in a looooong time.
>> > Too bad the networks can't come up with anything that funny.
>> > The WB should get the rights to it for a reality show.
>> >
>> > "We don't want no hand outs"
>> > "We want our mon-ey"
>> >
>> > This thing had to be a work.
>>
>>
>>
>
> Well let's see George, were your family
>members forced to do menial labor? Were
>your family members forced to work in fields,
>forcibly moved from their home, killed innocently,
>etc etc, and you think that's funny shit? No wonder
>you have no sense of reality.
>
> Landshark

YOU NY Commies don't even KNOW what really happened back then. WE is
in da biggest slave system NOW since ancient Egypt, put here by GOD
because of our SINS. It was SO bad for the southern slave system that
MOST of da BROS STAYED ON the plantation when they were free to go,
because they had such GOOD MASSAS ! How good is da "massa" today? A

lot more WICKED den them palntation owners, thats fow shore! I say
GIVE DEM da reparations, so dey can buy more N8 McVoob LINEARS & CB's
!!
Dave!!!
Dave!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4198. Re: ROYCE classic base - $35

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:03:10 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <pavvluotrisscsin1hv9vam2rj51c7pae1@4ax.com>
Source record: 133226

CAN a base be a CLASSIC, IF it is a piece of JUNK, IS my question?
Dave!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4199. Re: Skippin around

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:08:07 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <vfvvlu8uen2hdklg6h6f5h9c7satll58td@4ax.com>
Source record: 133227

On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 13:44:02 -0500, "John Smith"
<spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote:

>Whilst cruising about the country side of cincinnati, in the fall, I was
>checking out the goodies at the local ham fest.
>Upon leaving I turned on the old cb and who did I hear banging out a +9
>signal? Candy Girl, in Los Angeles.
>"Hey candy girl you copy this little old barefoot buckeye mobile?"
>Expecting no response of course.
>"Yeah, I copy you buckeye mobile. Where you at?"
>holy shit. I couldn't believe she actually heard me.
>She ran probably one of the top notch stations in the country. Moonraker
>beams and plenty of power.
>She got nailed by uncle charlie several times.
>
>Remember the old "Chips" and "Emergency" Tv shows?
>One summer afternoon I've got the police scanner going.
>"924"
>"924 go ahead."
>"924 we have an accident with injuries, fire department dispatched.
>Galbriath and Pippin Roads.".
>"924. Excuse me? I don't believe we have those two roads in this county."
>"What county are you in sir?"
>"Los Angeles county".
>"LA County unit 924 disregard all. This is Hamilton County Ohio."
>"924 Hamilton County Ohio clear on all and responding. LA County 924 thanks
>for the assistance, but we don't need you that bad."
>
>That was all on low band [47mhz] . Shortly after that unit call signs were
>changed to avoid such things.
>And a new tv show was born. 1-adam-12.
>
>
>Years ago I was part of a search and rescue team in cincinnati.
>We had put up at my house a low band (47mhz) base station with 100 watts out
>and a 110ft antnenna.
>One summer afternoon skip was royally bad. I was hearing units from florida
>to california.
>Just to see who was hearing me, as I had several units out that day, I keyed
>down the mike and gave out the call sign with the location.
>"Christ. Did you hear that cincinnati station? He sounded like he's right
>here in LA."
>Got out my call sign book, looked up who was in LA. Schaffer's ambulance
>service huh?

>They supplied the ambulances to the tv shows like chips and that.
>So just for kicks I keyed down and called them directly.
>Their dispatch answered.
>"Attention all stations. Due to the nature of today's weather conditions, it
>may be difficult for some vehicles to reach their own base stations. If you
>can hear any unit having problems, feel free to assist."
>
>After that week, it seemed like everyone, except red cross, went on private
>line. Just to avoid those problems.
>
>Any other skip stories?

There are so many SKIP Stories that this could become the longest
thread! SKIP (not N8) made DA GOOD OLD DAYS. Can you imagine these
IDIOTS that went digital with their police freqs.? Digital skip !
Aren't you afraid of what the commies may think of your FM skip
stories?!
Dave!!
>
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4200. Re: legitimate users of the 25-30mhz spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:08:52 UTC
From: w8ems@juno.com
Message-ID: <59af69d5.0208181208.76aff840@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133228

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:<ults7bf712jm09@corp.supernews.com>...
> Jim, just curious. Was that last frequency (29.99125) a typo? Or is there
> a skip from 28.0 to 29.7?
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim

Yes, Jim, it was a typo. Happens when one gets in a hurry. Should
have read 27.99125. There are 40 new channels available in the UK,
making 80 total. While 40 share the same frequencies as the ones here
in the States all are FM in the UK.

73 de Jim, W8EMS/9

4201. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:09:54 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208181209.6a0c0d3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133229

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in
Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0208181110.7badda16@posting.google.com>
>tra1n@aol.commmmmm (TRA1N) wrote in message
news:<20020815182159.23530.00000901@mb-ma.aol.com>...
>> How many times is this pile of shit going to be reposted?
>> Train
>>
>> >Subject: Illegal 10 Meter Radios
>> >From: "Alan S." subnet@hotmail.com
>> >Date: 8/15/02 5:27 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>> >Message-id: <Xns926B1915A9923subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
>> >
>> >The "Legal issues with Ebutt" thread is getting pretty long, so I
figured
>
>> >I'd weigh in on the Illegal 10 Meter Radio discussion by starting
a new
>
>> >one.
>> >
>> >The notice that started alot of this discussion is not actually a
notice,
>
>> >it's a letter from Christopher J. Wright to John F. Atwood of the
US
>
>> >Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999. In this letter, Mr Wright
cites
>
>> >existing FCC rules in determining whether or not certain radios
can be
>
>> >imported or marketed in the United States. Understanding this is
crucial.
>
>> >At no point are new laws proposed. Existing laws are referenced by
the
>
>> >Office of Engineering and Technology to show the Customs Service
that
>the
>> >importing and marketing of certain radios is illegal. Here is a
copy
>of

>> >the letter for reference:
>> >
>> >http://swap.qth.com/fcc/fcc-cbtable2.htm
>
>Well, I finally figured it out. Aanal Aaron posted this strictly to
>start another flame war.

Wrong. I posted it to support the discussion started by:

Message 1 in thread From: Arthur R. Howard (whtmtnman@citlink.net)
Subject: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 10:10:14 PST

...and continued in a new thread by:

Message 1 in thread From: Alan S. (subnet@hotmail.com)
Subject: Illegal 10 Meter Radios
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-15 02:30:41 PST

>First off, it is NOT a FCC Notice; it was a letter sent by the FCC to
>the US Customs Service.
> Since it was not sent to Voobie-baby, his
>posting of it is strictly "hearsay" and should be disregarded as
moot.

A number of people who have been busted by the FCC for selling
non-type-accepted CB radios (like Roper Electronics on June 18th)
probably disregarded it too. In any case, I doubt Roper will be using
your rather "unique" interpretation of the facts as a legal defense.

>Secondly, he added his own personal mis-interpretation to it to make
>certain there would be a flame war, using such phrases as "phoney 10
>meter radios" and the like, when there is nothing "phoney" about a
ten
>meter radio and the so-called "export CBs" have never been legal for
>use in the USA. Again, nothing new or different and, again, moot.

The phrase "10 meter radio" and "amateur radio" has been hijacked by
unscrupulous CB dealers in order to sell CB-type gear that is intended
to be used illegally. For example, a radio featuring a roger beep,
echo, and 6 bands of 40 channels that end at 28.300 mhz is not a
legitimate ham radio or even a "10 meter radio". Hence, they are
phoney 10 meter radios.

Anyone who has been to a truck stop lately or done a search of CB
dealers on the web can easily find out the facts for themselves.

With regard to so-called "export radios", please note that they
typically cover from 25-32 mHz which is not legal for unlicensed CB
use in any country. Since there is no country outside of the USA they
could be legally used on CB in, they are not "export" radios. Hence

their reason for being manufactured is not "export". Since they are illegal for CB use and they are widely sold in truck stops and web sites catering to CB'ers, they are virtually exclusively intended for illegal use.

I urge people to check all facts for themselves, especially the legal ones. Don't rely on anything posted by anyone in a CB newsgroup. It's just common sense.

>Finally, when several knowledgable people started showing him that he
>was wrong, he started posting through an anonymous mailserver with
the
>usual sexual innuendo guaranteed to elicit even more flames. This
kind
>of crap is the last resort of a truly deperate and useless human
>being, and should be ignored as moot.

Since I did not post or send anything through any anonymous server, your latest propaganda is false. As usual.

-Aaron-

4202. Re: Roper Electronics...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:17:02 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <k400muolsi6vm7gfr4lsj2r1658gii4c9v@4ax.com>
Source record: 133230

On Sat, 17 Aug 2002 20:38:28 -0400, "Jerry Oxendine"
<joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>
>Dino <dinod@deltanet.com> wrote in message
>news:Kmv79.8902$I6.730512@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>> From Amateur Radio Newsline Report 1305 August 16th, 2002
>>
>>
>>
>> ENFORCEMENT: DONT ADVERTISE BANNED GEAR ON THE WWW
>>
>>
>>
>> The CQ website reports some unofficial advice from the FCC: If you're
>> going to sell non FCC approved amateur and CB equipment, don't advertise
>> it on the World Wide Web!
>>
>>
>>
>> Case in point. A company in Wisconsin we have reported on before.
>> Roper Electronics which was asked by the Commission back on June 18th to
>> explain why it was alleged web advertising non-certified CB transceivers
>> and HF linear amplifiers on its website. The company was also ordered
>> to submit a list of all High Frequency transceivers and amplifiers sold
>> within the US or offered for sale during 2002, along with the FCC
>> certification number for each model.
>>
>>
>> The FCC's Riley Hollingsworth says that his office has received a answer
>> to the inquiry from Randi Owen. Owen is the owner of Roper Electronics.
>> Hollingsworth says that his office and the local FCC field office is
>> reviewing Owen's reply. (CQ)
>
>
>Burn 'im! BURN 'im!!!
>
>Jerry
>>

YOU commies are the ones who will BURN if you don't repent of your 6th
plank pushings!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4203. CB with SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:18:00 UTC
From: Scott <scott@ovis.net>
Message-ID: <YhT79.72407$983.81388@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 133233

I would like to hear some options on buying a CB with SSB. I don't really want to get anything like the Ranger or Galaxys with 10 meters. I don't need the extra channels that I am not allowed to use anyways!However I do want echo and talkback along with the ever annoying roger beep. And last but not least it needs to be a real talker! So option anyone? And I do just mean options and not flames and the rest of the dumb shit that goes along with it! Idiots need not reply!!!!!!!!!!!

Thanks, Scott

4204. Re: Roper Electronics...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:18:37 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <e700muoam9a72gmq5hjrvdj9155d6j1s8p@4ax.com>
Source record: 133231

On 18 Aug 2002 13:05:05 GMT, allsteel77@aol.com (ALLSTEEL77) wrote:

>Jerry,they are still selling 10 meter radios.I guess your dime didn't work?

He don't even HAMVE a dime, cause he has no job, probably! What dime
are you talking about?!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4205. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:19:19 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D600139.A0E8FC91@icok.net>
Source record: 133235

Frmboybuck,
Nope, sorry, not even close. You forgot
the velocity factor of the coax used.
'Doc

4206. Re: Tram D201 FA VERY CLEAN...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:19:36 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <g900mug3skro6ue73d3o97hgva1304ips1@4ax.com>
Source record: 133232

On Sat, 17 Aug 2002 14:05:30 GMT, "Steve" <SteveJTA@SWBell.net> wrote:

>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1373313548
>

I have one, very DIRTY & of freq. for $200. Actually it isn't dirty,
but used.
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4207. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:20:41 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D60018B.31C51D73@icok.net>
Source record: 133236

Ah ha! Dang, I forgot that.
'Doc

4208. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:22:39 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <3fT79.48291$nE1.12390@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133234

2 words.............RF feedback, using less can possibly the transmit side
of the amp. to latch on.
Please keep in mind that i was describing a possible scenario.
"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D5FA49A.FF1A97BD@icok.net...
>
>
> Mad Dog,
> What significance does 18 feet have?
> 'Doc

4209. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:23:24 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D60022F.50478B41@icok.net>
Source record: 133239

It's true. A little knowledge is dangerous...
'Doc

4210. Re: legitimate users of the 25-30mhz spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:23:35 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <2h00mu4978pk0o9o44v2oj72rl2vh6esl3@4ax.com>
Source record: 133238

There is 666 lines below! GET IT---666 !
Dave!!!

On 16 Aug 2002 16:34:51 -0700, ld751@yahoo.com (2LD751) wrote:

>FREQ CH USE
>
>
>
>--
>
>25.000 TIME
>25.005 TIME
>25.010 TIME
>25.015
>25.020 PETROLEUM
>25.025
>25.030
>25.035
>25.040 PETROLEUM
>25.045
>25.050
>25.055
>25.060 PETROLEUM
>25.065
>25.070 25-01 MARITIME(SHIP)
>25.075 MARITIME
>25.080 PETROLEUM
>25.085 MARITIME
>25.090 MARITIME
>25.095 MARITIME
>25.100 PETROLEUM/EXP
>25.105 MARITIME
>25.110 MARITIME
>25.115 MARITIME
>25.120 PETROLEUM
>25.125 MARITIME
>25.130 USGOV
>25.135 MARITIME
>25.140 PETROLEUM
>25.145 MARITIME
>25.150 MARITIME
>25.155 MARITIME
>25.160 PETROLEUM/USGOV
>25.165 MARITIME

>25.170 MARITIME
>25.175 MARITIME
>25.180 PETROLEUM
>25.185 MARITIME
>25.190 MARITIME
>25.195 MARITIME
>25.200 PETROLEUM/USGOV
>25.205 MARITIME
>25.210 MARITIME
>25.215
>25.220 PETROLEUM
>25.225 USGOV
>25.230 USGOV/EXP
>25.235
>25.240 PETROLEUM
>25.245 USGOV
>25.250
>25.255
>25.260 PETROLEUM
>25.265
>25.270
>25.275
>25.280 PETROLEUM
>25.285
>25.290
>25.295
>25.300 PETROLEUM
>25.305
>25.310
>25.315
>25.320 PETROLEUM
>25.325
>25.330
>25.335 USGOV
>25.340 EXP/USGOV
>25.345 EXPERIMENTAL
>25.350 USGOV
>25.355
>25.360 USGOV
>25.365
>25.370 USGOV
>25.375
>25.380 USGOV
>25.385
>25.390 USGOV
>25.395
>25.400 USGOV
>25.405
>25.410 USGOV
>25.415

>25.420
>25.425 USGOV
>25.430 USGOV
>25.435 USGOV
>25.440 USGOV
>25.445 USGOV
>25.450 USGOV
>25.455
>25.460 USGOV
>25.465
>25.470
>25.475 USGOV
>25.480 USGOV
>25.485
>25.490 USGOV
>25.495
>25.500 USGOV
>25.505
>25.510
>25.515 USGOV
>25.520 USGOV
>25.525 USGOV
>25.530
>25.535 USGOV
>25.540 USGOV
>25.545 USGOV
>25.550 USGOV
>25.555
>25.560 USGOV
>25.565
>25.570
>25.575 USGOV
>25.580 USGOV
>25.585
>25.590 USGOV
>25.595 USGOV
>25.600 EXPERIMENTAL
>25.605
>25.610 USGOV
>25.615 USGOV
>25.620 BROADCAST
>25.625
>25.630
>25.635
>25.640
>25.645
>25.650 USGOV
>25.655
>25.660
>25.665

>25.670 BROADCAST
>25.675 BROADCAST
>25.680 BROADCAST
>25.685 BROADCAST
>25.690 BROADCAST
>25.695 BROADCAST
>25.700 USGOV
>25.705 BROADCAST
>25.710 BROADCAST
>25.715 BROADCAST
>25.720 BROADCAST
>25.725 BROADCAST
>25.730 BROADCAST
>25.735 BROADCAST
>25.740 BROADCAST
>25.745 BROADCAST
>25.750 BROADCAST
>25.755 BROADCAST
>25.760 BROADCAST
>25.765 BROADCAST
>25.770 BROADCAST
>25.775 USGOV
>25.780 BROADCAST
>25.785 BROADCAST
>25.790 BROADCAST
>25.795 BROADCAST
>25.800 EXP / BDCST
>25.805 BROADCAST
>25.810 USGOV
>25.815 BROADCAST
>25.820 BROADCAST
>25.825 USGOV
>25.830 BROADCAST
>25.835 BROADCAST
>25.840 BROADCAST
>25.845 USGOV
>25.850 BROADCAST
>25.855 BROADCAST
>25.860 BROADCAST
>25.865 BROADCAST
>25.870 BROADCAST
>25.875 BROADCAST
>25.880 BROADCAST
>25.885 BROADCAST
>25.890 BROADCAST
>25.895 BROADCAST
>25.900 BROADCAST
>25.905 BROADCAST
>25.910 BROADCAST
>25.915 BROADCAST

>25.920 BROADCAST
>25.925 BROADCAST
>25.930 BROADCAST
>25.935 USGOV
>25.940 BROADCAST
>25.945 BROADCAST
>25.950 BROADCAST
>25.955 BROADCAST
>25.960 BROADCAST
>25.965 BROADCAST
>25.970 BROADCAST
>25.975 BROADCAST
>25.980 BROADCAST
>25.985 BROADCAST
>25.990 BROADCAST
>25.995 BROADCAST
>26.000 BROADCAST
>26.005 BROADCAST
>26.010 BROADCAST
>26.015 BROADCAST
>26.020 BROADCAST
>26.025 BROADCAST
>26.030 BROADCAST
>26.035 BROADCAST
>26.040 BROADCAST
>26.045 BROADCAST
>26.050 BROADCAST
>26.055 BROADCAST
>26.060 BROADCAST
>26.065 BROADCAST
>26.070 BROADCAST
>26.075 BROADCAST
>26.080 BROADCAST
>26.085 BROADCAST
>26.090 BROADCAST
>26.095 BROADCAST
>26.100 MARITIME
>26.105 MARITIME
>26.110 BROADCAST
>26.115 MARITIME
>26.120 MARITIME
>26.125 MARITIME
>26.130 BROADCAST
>26.135 MARITIME
>26.140 MARITIME
>26.145 25-01 MARITIME(COAST)
>26.150 BROADCAST
>26.155 MARITIME
>26.160 MARITIME
>26.165 MARITIME

>26.170 BROADCAST
>26.175
>26.180
>26.185
>26.190 BROADCAST
>26.195
>26.200
>26.205
>26.210 BROADCAST
>26.215
>26.220
>26.225
>26.230 BROADCAST
>26.235 USGOV
>26.240
>26.245
>26.250 BROADCAST
>26.255
>26.260
>26.265
>27.270 BROADCAST
>26.275
>26.280
>26.285
>26.290 BROADCAST
>26.295
>26.300
>26.305
>26.310 BROADCAST
>26.315
>26.320
>26.325
>26.330 1 NZ CB/BDCST
>26.335 MARS
>26.340 2 NZ CB
>26.345
>26.350 3 NZ CB/BDCST
>26.355 USGOV
>26.360 3A NZ CB
>26.365
>26.370 4 NZ CB/BDCST
>26.375
>26.380 5 NZ CB
>26.385
>26.390 6 NZ CB/BDCST
>26.395
>26.400 7 NZ CB / USGOV
>26.405
>26.410 7A NZ CB/BDCST
>26.415

>26.420 8 NZ CB
>26.425
>26.430 9 NZ CB/BDCST
>26.435
>26.440 10 NZ CB
>26.445
>26.450 11 NZ CB/BDCST
>26.455
>26.460 11A NZ CB
>26.465
>26.470 12 NZ CB/BDCST
>26.475
>26.480 13 NZ CB
>26.485 USGOV
>26.490 14 NZ CB
>26.495
>26.500 15 NZ CB / US GOVT
>26.505
>26.510 USGOV
>26.515 USGOV
>26.520 16 NZ CB
>26.525 USGOV
>26.530 17 NZ CB
>26.535 USGOV
>26.540 18 NZ CB / USGOV
>26.545
>26.550 19 NZ CB / US GOV
>26.555
>26.560 19A NZ CB / USGOV
>26.565 BROADCAST
>26.570 20 NZ CB
>26.575 USGOV
>26.580 21 NZ CB
>26.585
>26.590 22 NZ CB / USGOV
>26.595
>26.600 24 NZ CB / USGOV
>26.605
>26.610 25 NZ CB / USGOV
>26.615 USGOV
>26.620 23 NZ CB / CAP
>26.625
>26.630 26 NZ CB / USGOV
>26.635 USGOV
>26.640 27 NZ CB
>26.645
>25.650 28 NZ CB / USGOV
>26.655 USGOV
>26.660 29 NZ CB / USGOV
>26.665 USGOV

>26.670 30 NZ CB
>26.675
>26.680 31 NZ CB
>26.685 USGOV
>26.690 32 NZ CB / USGOV
>26.695
>26.700 33 NZ CB / USGOV
>26.705 USGOV
>26.710 34 NZ CB / USGOV
>26.715
>26.720 35 NZ CB / USGOV
>26.725 USGOV
>26.730 36 NZ CB
>26.735
>26.740 37 NZ CB / USGOV
>26.745
>26.750 38 NZ CB / US GOV
>26.755
>26.760 39 NZ CB / USGOV
>26.765 BROADCAST
>26.770 40 NZ CB / USGOV
>26.775 USGOV
>26.780
>26.785
>26.790
>26.795
>26.800 USGOV
>26.805
>26.810 USGOV
>26.815
>26.820 USGOV
>26.825 USGOV
>26.830 USGOV
>26.835 USGOV
>26.840
>26.845
>26.850 USGOV
>26.855
>26.860
>26.865 USGOV
>26.870 MARS
>26.875 USGOV
>26.880 MARS
>26.885
>26.890
>26.895
>26.900 USGOV
>26.905 USGOV
>26.910 MARS
>26.915

>26.920
>26.925 USGOV
>26.930 MARS
>26.935
>26.940
>26.945 USGOV
>26.950
>26.955
>26.960 USGOV
>26.965 1 CB
>26.970
>26.975 2 CB
>26.980
>26.985 3 CB
>26.990
>26.995 3A CC
>27.000
>27.005 4 CB
>27.010
>27.015 5 CB
>27.020
>27.025 6 CB
>27.030
>27.035 7 CB
>27.040
>27.045 7A CC
>27.050
>27.055 8 CB
>27.060
>27.065 9 CB
>27.070
>27.075 10 CB
>27.080
>27.085 11 CB
>27.090
>27.095 11A CC
>27.100
>27.105 12 CB
>27.110
>27.115 13 CB
>27.120 ISM
>27.125 14 CB
>27.130
>27.135 15 CB
>27.140
>27.145 15A CC
>27.150
>27.155 16 CB
>27.160
>27.165 17 CB

>27.170
>27.175 18 CB
>27.180
>27.185 19 CB
>27.190
>27.195 19A CC
>27.200
>27.205 20 CB
>27.210
>27.215 21 CB
>27.220
>27.225 22 CB
>27.230
>27.235 24 CB
>27.240
>27.245 25 CB
>27.250
>27.255 23 CB
>27.260
>27.265 26 CB
>27.270
>27.275 27 CB
>27.280
>27.285 28 CB
>27.290
>27.295 29 CB
>27.300
>27.305 30 CB
>27.310
>27.315 31 CB
>27.320
>27.325 32 CB
>27.330
>27.335 33 CB
>27.340
>27.345 34 CB
>27.350
>27.355 35 CB
>27.360
>27.365 36 CB
>27.370
>27.375 37 CB
>27.380
>27.385 38 CB
>27.390
>27.395 39 CB
>27.400
>27.405 40 CB
>27.410 EXPERIMENTAL
>27.415

>27.420 USGOV
>27.425
>27.430 BUSINESS
>27.435
>27.440 EXPERIMENTAL
>27.445
>27.450 BUSINESS
>27.455
>27.460
>27.465
>27.470 BUSINESS
>27.475
>27.480
>27.485
>27.490 BIS (ITINERANT)
>27.495
>27.500
>27.505
>27.510 BIS (LOW POWER)
>27.515
>27.520 USGOV
>27.525
>27.530 BIS (LOW POWER)
>27.535
>27.540 USGOV
>27.545 USGOV
>27.550 USGOV
>27.555 USGOV
>27.560
>27.565 USGOV
>27.570 USGOV
>27.575 USGOV (ITINERANT)
>27.580
>27.585 USGOV (ITINERANT)
>27.590 USGOV
>27.595 USGOV
>27.600 USGOV
>27.605 USGOV
>27.610 USGOV
>27.615 USGOV
>27.620 MARS
>27.625 USGOV
>27.630 USGOV
>27.635 USGOV
>27.640
>27.645 USGOV
>27.650 USGOV
>27.655 USGOV
>27.660
>27.665 USGOV

>27.670
>27.675 USGOV
>27.680 68 AU MARITIME
>27.685
>27.690
>27.695 USGOV
>27.700 USGOV
>27.705 USGOV
>27.710
>27.715 USGOV
>27.720 72 AU MARITIME/USGOV
>27.725 USGOV
>27.730
>27.735 MARS
>27.740 USGOV
>27.745 EXPERIMENTAL
>27.750 USGOV
>27.755
>27.760
>27.765
>27.770
>27.775 USGOV
>27.780 USGOV
>27.785 USGOV
>27.790 USGOV
>27.795
>27.800 USGOV
>27.805
>27.810 USGOV
>27.815
>27.820 82 AU MARITIME
>27.825
>27.830 MARS
>27.835
>27.840 84 PNG MARITIME
>27.845
>27.850 USGOV
>27.855
>27.860 86 AU MARITIME
>27.865
>27.870 USGOV
>27.875 MARS
>27.880 88 AU MARITIME/USGOV
>27.885
>27.890 USGOV
>27.895
>27.900 90 AU MARITIME/USGOV
>27.905
>27.910 91 AU MARITIME
>27.915

>27.920 92 PNG MARITIME
>27.925 USGOV
>27.930
>27.935 USGOV
>27.940 94 AU MARITIME
>27.945
>27.950 95 PNG MARITIME/USGOV
>27.955
>27.960 96 AU MARITIME/USGOV
>27.965 MARS
>27.970 97 PNG MARITIME
>27.975 MARS
>27.980 98 AU SAR/MARS
>27.985 MARS
>27.990 MARS
>27.995 MARS
>28.000 - 29.700 RADIO AMATEUR
>29.705
>29.710 FOREST PRODUCTS
>29.715
>29.720 EXP
>29.725
>29.730 FOREST PRODUCTS
>29.735
>29.740
>29.745
>29.750 FOREST PRODUCTS
>29.755
>29.760
>29.765
>29.770 FOREST PRODUCTS
>29.775
>29.780
>29.785
>29.790 FOREST PRODUCTS
>29.795
>29.800
>29.805
>29.810
>29.815
>29.820
>29.825
>29.830
>29.835
>29.840
>29.845
>29.850
>29.855
>29.860
>29.865

>29.870
>29.875
>29.880
>29.885
>29.890 USGOV
>29.895 USGOV
>29.900 USGOV
>29.905 USGOV
>29.910 USGOV
>29.915
>29.920 USGOV
>29.925
>29.930 USGOV
>29.935 USGOV
>29.940
>29.945
>29.950
>29.955
>29.960
>29.965
>29.970
>29.975
>29.980
>29.985
>29.990
>29.995 USGOV

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4211. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:26:00 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <9iT79.48333$nE1.45811@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133237

That one earned you the royal flush,goodbye.........
"Dave or Debby" <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:h3ovlu8olfbdnigavkad50j2qaifhr57g6@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 15:12:23 GMT, "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >
> >"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message
news:3D5FA49A.FF1A97BD@icok.net...
> >>
> >>
> >> Mad Dog,
> >> What significance does 18 feet have?
> >> 'Doc
> >
> >
> >Oh you didn't know Doc, that is the prescribed CB Myth Length.
> >
> >
> NO, it is the CB DRUG length of those who are on 18 FOOT COAX DRUGS !
> Dey is on DRUGS, and can't measure anything other than 18' ! There
> are MANY kinds of CB drugs out here--this one being the CRACK COKE
> CAIN of CB DRUGS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! (18' IS their imaginary savior!)
>
Dave!!
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4212. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:27:40 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <0rT79.33733$X5.6202454@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 133243

Sounds more like a bad connector or water in the base of the antenna if a base vertical. On CB the length of coax doesn't make that much of a difference like it would in UHF.
"Axl" <no@idontthinkso.com> wrote in message news:mhI79.28581$N9.3594071@twister.neo.rr.com...
> I went to measure with the SWR today and noticed it's VERY high.....and also
> inconsistant....on the same channel, within 1 minute it can go from a 1.2 to
> a 4....the only thing I can think of is that the jumper coax I'm using is 6
> or 8 feet long.....I thought maybe if this was moved around it could cause
> problems or inconsistant readings....are accurate readings produces with a
> 12" or shorter jumper or does it not matter?
>
>

4213. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack is dead CUSTOMERS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:34:18 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <u510muods6b54a08bsegt44lfvekolb737@4ax.com>
Source record: 133244

On Thu, 01 Aug 2002 13:10:00 GMT, "mark shaw" <mshaw5@tampabay.rr.com>
wrote:

>they should change the name from Radio shack to Radio Shag !!!
> "WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message news:t6129.670$%6.35624@news2.telusplanet.net...
> Hi All
>
> I was just in my local Radio Shack store in Vancouver BC Canada. The
> first thing I noticed was a big display of FRS radios. I then went to the
> usual scanner CB and ham radio section. It was all gone. Not one single
> scanner CB or ham radio in the place. Not even an 11 meter HT. I then looked
> around the rest of the store. I did find 1 book on ham radio, 1 in-door
> short-wave antenna and some CB accessories in the mark down bin. I asked the
> sales people and they said that Radio Shack is not selling scanners, CB and
> ham gear any more because of lack of sales. I was looking for a 2 meter rig
> for my car and a duel band antenna. That would have been over $300.00 in
> RS's pocket but no deal. I wonder if they should change their name maybe
> Computer Shack or TV Shack because they don't want to sell radios any more.
> They use to be a good place to get accessories like antennas and such but no
> more. I wonder what repairs on warrantee work will be like now that they
> don't sell the stuff? What happened???

Dey went MORE communist ! You should of NOT bought from them in
the 1st place!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free by AVG Soft
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.380 / Virus Database: 213 - Release Date: 7/24/02

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4214. Re: more stuff - SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:34:40 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns926E8A41842FFsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 133246

Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com> wrote in
news:o1kvlushkbkku1p51rg5qr421lumouubpb@4ax.com:

>
> On Wed, 14 Aug 2002 14:12:03 GMT, "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.>
> wrote:
>
>>
>>"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message
>>news:3D5A33A0.CB4E8BE4@icok.net...
>>>
>>> More spam George, just more spam.
>>> 'Doc
>>
>> I was going to say that Doc, but
>>thanks anyway.
>>
>> Landshark
>
> BAD citizens are BIGGER SLAVES. The MORE you SIN, the MORE you are
> ENSLAVED. That's right HONKEY--you IS a slave today! Read Deut. 28
> and 2 Chron. 7:14 to find out more!
> Dave!!
>

Honkey?

4215. sell yeasu FT 817

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:34:53 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6004ED.A304A9D5@citlink.net>
Source record: 133247

I have an FT817...hf,vhf,uhf all mode with Mahdol antenna set 10-40M and
a brand new MaHa
Battery pack - 2nd battery pack plus the AA battery pack and manual.
I also have the 12V cord and the wall wart charger. Has been modified
for wide band transmit capabilities This unit works
great and looks very nice as well.You want to know more about the radio
specs,do a search..... Best offer

4216. HARADA antenna co. goes BYE BYE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:36:31 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <6s00mu8n47uhjtp9dqvrom8ibrr75hd6l3@4ax.com>
Source record: 133245

Yep, The aftermarket AM/FM and AM/FM/CB antenna company have quit making replacement antennas and will only make antennas for the car manufacturers instead.

They made motorized AM/FM/ CB antennas for years, as well as non-motorized versions and car AM/FM antennas, which a lot of us CB shops put in for years. I guess the putting on of car antennas by the factory, as compared to the 1970's and after window am/fm antennas which THREW a lot of us into the car antenna installation & sales business, is just about over now. It is SAD to see this happen, because when stock dries up, it is hard to find replacments. Maybe it's another victim of the depression we are in NOW!
Dave!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4217. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack is dead CUSTOMERS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:38:13 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <VAT79.33738$X5.6206508@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 133250

Can't come up with anything better to say??? Let's face it we are bigger then you and we are on top, if we were in a US prison the US would be our bitch.

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D5F844C.25B85F27@icok.net...
>
>
> !! Canada !!
> Leading the world in being just north of the USA.
>
> 'Doc

4218. Re: Opie and Anthony's sex for Sam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:38:45 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <ad10mu0qi3ckt4qt9k2koqkgr98pbq5idq@4ax.com>
Source record: 133248

On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 15:51:32 GMT, "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:

>This has what to do about CB?

A lot. People copy what they see on the TV potty band and practice it
on the CB or the pooter. Any other questions?
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4219. Let me rephrase that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:42:11 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <lxT79.48519$nE1.39315@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133249

Coaxial length between a transceiver and antenna are not important,
however using less than 18ft. between a amplifier and antenna can generate
enough rf feedback to possibly cause the transmit side of the amp. to
latch-on.
Be sure to read the fine print this time.
BTW i am no longer reading Dave or Debby mail since they chose to bring
drugs into the NG.............
My name is Mad Dog and i am a addict!
God give me the serenity to accept the things i cannot change ,
And the courage to change the things i can.
Amen and God Bless...................
--
Mad Dog

4220. Re: CB with SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:50:54 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <wFT79.48622$nE1.6636@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133251

I have heard a lot of people praising the the Uniden Grant for it's SSB properties,
this may work well with a Astatic mobile-max supplying your r/b and echo.
And a Cobra XL450 with it's class AB1 biasing will make a fine SSB kicker if you so desire,there are several paths you can go by,but their is always time to change the road your on.....
"Scott" <scott@ovis.net> wrote in message news:YhT79.72407$983.81388@rwcrnsc53...
> I would like to hear some options on buying a CB with SSB. I don't really
> want to get anything like the Ranger or Galaxys with 10 meters. I don't need
> the extra channels that I am not allowed to use anyways!However I do want
> echo and talkback along with the ever annoying roger beep. And last but not
> least it needs to be a real talker! So option anyone? And I do just mean
> options and not flames and the rest of the dumb shit that goes along with
> it! Idiots need not reply!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Thanks, Scott
>
>

4221. Re: Re: Opie and Anthony's sex for Sam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 20:55:36 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <YJT79.48676$nE1.38471@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133252

Lighten up neighbor, it's off-topic and funny.
Besides TRA1N is good people and he's been here a lot longer than
you.............
Blood's thicker than water.
"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:8oP79.56397$Fw3.2900500@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> This has what to do about CB?
>
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>
> tra1n@aol.commmmmm (TRA1N) wrote:
> >
> > >Did anyone catch the show on Thursday? There was a couple arrested for
> haveing
> > > >sex inside St. Patricks Cathedral in NYC. Other than the obvious
> objections, it
> > > >had to be one of the funniest few minutes in radio history listening in
> on the
> > > >spotter for these 2 arguing with a church security guard and the police
> who
> > > >arrived a little later. Last I heard they couple where still
> incarcerated.
> > > >O & A broadcast is originated here in the New York marked and
syndicated
> all
> > > >over the country.
> > > >This could easily have gone head to head with ANY of the classic Howard
> Stern
> > > >bits from his WNBC days in NYC when he was actually funny.
> > > >Train
> >
> > Yes, it was the funniest thing on radio since Stern's early 90's shows
> > with Billy West. I really thought they would be fired the next day.
>
>

4222. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 21:09:59 UTC
From: Axl <no@idontthinkso.com>
Message-ID: <H2U79.29873$N9.4068225@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 133253

I'm using this antenna
(http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=C
TLG%5F001%5F002%5F004%5F000&product%5Fid=21%2D989)

or go to radioshack.com and type in 21-989 in the search.

It's adjustable, so changing the whip length is easy enough..but when I
first got it, I had my av. swr reading down to like... 1 - 1.2 and I just
checked it out of curiosity 2 days ago and noticed the readings were
generally MUCH higher...I suppose since it's adjustable it may have slipped
length or something...?

"Tom Bridge - Doc" <t.bridge@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:NQM79.8760$Dw2.2006@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> Hay what is the antenna are you using ?,normally I would use is 3feet
,your
> meter is important look into A BIRD 43 works for me check the coax you may
> have something wrong with it to
> --
> Best Regards!
> - Doc -
> http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/
>
> "Axl" <no@idontthinkso.com> wrote in message
> news:mhI79.28581$N9.3594071@twister.neo.rr.com...
> > I went to measure with the SWR today and noticed it's VERY high.....and
> also
> > inconsistant....on the same channel, within 1 minute it can go from a
1.2
> to
> > a 4....the only thing I can think of is that the jumper coax I'm using
is
> 6
> > or 8 feet long.....I thought maybe if this was moved around it could
cause
> > problems or inconsistant readings....are accurate readings produces with
a
> > 12" or shorter jumper or does it not matter?
> >
> >
>
>

4223. New antenna? Which?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 21:13:54 UTC
From: Axl <no@idontthinkso.com>
Message-ID: <m6U79.29875$N9.4070243@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 133254

The reason I got the antenna I did (see previous post) was because it was magnet mount, fairly tall w/o being TOO obtrusive, and it was easily adjustable w/o cutting....I hear some can be adjusted w/o cutting using an allen wrench...is there a better antenna that meets this criteria I could get?

I think there also may be a lack of places to get them at around here......

4224. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 21:22:52 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D5FF126.9FC21D18@mailcity.net>
Source record: 133255

OZARK333 wrote:
>
> >are accurate readings produces with a
> >12" or shorter jumper or does it not >matter?
> OK here we go again. If you are using CB channels and RG-58 or RG-8X (called
> mini) coax go with a coax length of about 14.5 ft. That's what will get your
> coax to about 52 ohms...seems like a familiar number right?

Cutting to a certain length does not determine the impedance of
the coax. That is fixed for any length of the coax. Three feet of
RG-58 is 52 ohms as is 10 feet, 10.5 feet or 50 feet.

4225. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 21:23:40 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D5FF157.E52C7BC6@mailcity.net>
Source record: 133256

'Doc wrote:
>
> It's true. A little knowledge is dangerous...
> 'Doc

And ozark333 has very little knowledge.

4226. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 21:28:42 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208181328.162a0e56@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133257

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208170954.5134b881@posting.google.com>...
> shitblaster@volcanomail.com (Blaster) wrote:
> >TRUTH: Violating FCC regulations isn't ANY sort of Felony, State,
> >federal or otherwise. Neither is Jay walking, Running a red lite or a
> >hundred other infractions. Look Up the legal definition of felony.
>
> This sure sounds a lot more serious than jay walking:
>
> If a federal court finds that you have WILLFULLY and KNOWINGLY
> violated any FCC Rule, you may be fined up to $500 for each day you
> committed the violation. If a federal court finds that you have
> WILLFULLY and KNOWINGLY violated any provision of the Communications
> Act, you may be fined up to $10,000 or may be imprisoned for one year,
> or both.
>
> ...but don't take my word for it, get the PDF file of Part 95, CB
> Rules, from the FCC site at:
>
> http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Engineering_Technology/Documents/cfr/1998/47cfr95.pdf
>
> -Aaron-

Geez, you dipstick, don't you know anything?

Those are MAXIMUM possible penalties. A first offense is usually
treated as a misdemeanor. Only REPEATED offenses are ever treated as
felonies.

But don't take my word for it, ask those who have been "visited".
TROLL!

4227. Re: Let me rephrase that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 21:28:54 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D5FF291.BEA037E8@mailcity.net>
Source record: 133258

Mad Dog wrote:
>
> Coaxial length between a transceiver and antenna are not important,
> however using less than 18ft. between a amplifier and antenna can generate
> enough rf feedback to possibly cause the transmit side of the amp. to
> latch-on.

"latch on" to what?

4228. Re: Roper Electronics...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 21:30:03 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <WjU79.18533$%P3.532073@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133260

ALLSTEEL77 <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020818090505.10528.00001062@mb-cr.aol.com...
> Jerry,they are still selling 10 meter radios.I guess your dime didn't
work?

I didn't turn this one in. I wouldn't be surprised if he DID get a dime
dropped on him by someone
else, tho. Y'all can deny, ridicule, mock, bury your heads in the sand,
whatever, but this kind of
stuff HAS to end somewhere. As Riley wrote to me (which I posted), they're
gonna keep on and
keep on until the bandits and slims (actually that should "slimes") bring
down the wrath of Congress
and the Feds and they only have themselves to blame. Freedom has a price
and it has responsibil-
ities. Those who cannot recognize either with will loose it.

J

4229. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 21:30:27 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208181330.6a771ac3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133259

"John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:<ajmft00942@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> "Blaster" <shitblaster@volcanomail.com> wrote in message
> news:90a278e1.0208170908.47e490e7@posting.google.com...
> > x-no-archive:yes
> >
> > Whoever "Stan Wells"is should go back to sleep & stop spreading
> > misinformation!!
> >
> > Quotes from "Stan Wells" (addressed individually)
> >
> > "The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios
> > Back in 1975 the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) decided to
> > add more
> > channels to the Citizens Band (CB) frequencies. It went from 23 to 40
> > channels. The FCC also lowered the power limit in these frequencies
> > from 5
> > watts to 3.5 watts...."
> > TRUTH: The CB band went from 23 to 40 channels in January 1977.
> > There has never been a 3.5w power limit. CB has Always been 4w output,
> > although in the 60's & early 70's Makers were allowed to list "input
> > power" of 5w, which was still 4w output max. Next....
>
> They could say whatever they wanted to on the box. The actual output is alll
> that matters.
> If I'm not mistaken, it's 4 watts PEAK power.

NO! That's 4 watts output in AM mode. Peak effective power has always
been 12 watts (that's sideband for you uneducated ones - and you know
who you are!).

4230. Re: Roper Electronics...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 21:31:33 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <klU79.18541$%P3.532291@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133262

Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:e700muoam9a72gmq5hjrvdj9155d6j1s8p@4ax.com...
> On 18 Aug 2002 13:05:05 GMT, allsteel77@aol.com (ALLSTEEL77) wrote:
>
> >Jerry,they are still selling 10 meter radios.I guess your dime didn't
work?
>
> He don't even HAMVE a dime, cause he has no job, probably! What dime
> are you talking about?!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Let me tell you a secret, Mr. Dave. I am retired (aged 54) on a larger
pension than most average
Americans GROSS in a month!

J
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4231. Re: UNAUTHORIZED EQUIPMENT SOLD ON INTERNET AND IN PUBLICATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 21:32:56 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208181332.609dd45f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133261

Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com> wrote in message
news:<glutlu0ib7rmdu3j11pq89o6hmf5tdv38o@4ax.com>...
> On Thu, 15 Aug 2002 06:57:39 GMT, 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:
>
> > Do you object to what CIPA requires? If
> >so, why?
> > 'Doc
>
>
> THEY should be MORE concerned with all of the SMUT and TRASH that IS
> IN the LIBRARY instead, and PROTECT everyone from it by BURNING IT
> rather thatr making another BIG Broham govt. agency or alleged "law" !
> Dave!!

You're worried about "communists" and yet you encourage book burning?
You ARE a communist!

4232. Re: CB with SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 21:41:51 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns926E95A5D8FF9subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 133263

"Scott" <scott@ovis.net> wrote in news:YhT79.72407$983.81388@rwcrnsc53:

> I would like to hear some options on buying a CB with SSB. I don't
> really want to get anything like the Ranger or Galaxys with 10 meters.
> I don't need the extra channels that I am not allowed to use
> anyways!However I do want echo and talkback along with the ever
> annoying roger beep. And last but not least it needs to be a real
> talker! So option anyone? And I do just mean options and not flames
> and the rest of the dumb shit that goes along with it! Idiots need not
> reply!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Thanks, Scott
>
>
>

I've got a Galaxy DX959. It's type accepted, no extra channels :) I paid about $160 for it new, but I think they usually retail for around $180. It's got all of the features you listed except for echo. I suppose one could add it without much trouble. Here are my thoughts on the radio:

For as much as people talk these radios up, I'm underwhelmed. Fisrt, I've just replaced it under warranty after having it a mere two weeks. It was horribly misaligned - Waaaay off frequency on LSB, and badly off on USB (TX and RX). AM Modulation out of the box was a mere 50% with a loud whistle. Granted, I didn't check it with a 'scope, only a meter. Still, it was apparent that I didn't have anything to write home about. I finally brought the radio back after the volume pot no longer "clicked" off. I couldn't shut the radio off.

Well, I've got the new one now. All of the shafts on the pots (except for the clarifier) have play in them. Even my old one did this. I don't mean play of the kind that could be fixed by tightening the nut holding the pot to the chassis, either. I mean the shaft rotates a little bit before the wiper in the pot moves. Cheap components I guess. Other than that, the radio works fine.

I do like the large S/RF meter. I have the radio mounted low in my dash near the floor, and the meter is still easy to see. This is definetly the radio for people who like gadgets. It's chock-full of nobs and switches, most of them useless (sure looks neat though). Here's something strange: You can adjust the tone of the RX audio by selecting "hi", "med", or "lo" (I never find the need to touch it). I think a potentiometer would have been a better idea. The "dimmer" control is a pot, not a switch. The radio isn't all that bright in the first place, so I just leave it up all the time. The display doesn't dim slowly as you turn the knob counter-

clockwise, anyway. It stays the same until the knob is fully counter clock-wise. A switch would've been a better idea. The audio amplifier section seems weak, as it saturates very early (about 50% volume). Hey, sometimes I need to hear the thing with my windows rolled down. It's too distorted to be of any use above 75% volume (even with a large external speaker) - and I still can't hear the darn thing.

Some other features:

You can adjust the TX power. Using this, you can lower the TX power to 1 watt. Ummm...OK. That one usually stays put. I originally wrote this off as completely useless feature, but I have now found that it's handy when you're talking to somebody in a nearby vehicle and don't want to bother anybody else on the channel. Why use the power if you don't need it, eh? Anyway...

"Galaxy Noise Filter". Similar to Cobra's SoundTracker, I think. I've read a circuit description of how this thing works. Whatever. I swear, it doesn't sound any different than using a tone control to roll off the high frequencies. It's useless. It muffles the audio so bad, I can't understand a damn thing.

Built-in Frequency Counter. It isn't accurate. I've calibrated it (thanks for the service manual Lou) a few times against an 8 digit 10Hz to 2.4GHz bench counter, and it doesn't remain accurate.

One thing that is pretty neat: You don't have to calibrate the built-in SWR meter. Sure internal SWR meters aren't REALLY accurate anyway, but this one checked close enough compared to my external one. Shoot, as long as my SWR is below 2:1 and the meter shows this semi-accurately, I don't really care. It's handy not having to calibrate the thing. I monitor it from time to time.

All in all, the radio works pretty well. It could be better, it could be worse. Perhaps I'm just to damn picky. Nah, whatever. My Royce 40 Channel sideband rig kicks it's ass :) It just doesn't look as cool mounted in the dash.

-Alan S.

4233. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 21:43:56 UTC
From: w8ems@juno.com
Message-ID: <59af69d5.0208181343.187a4759@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133264

frmboybuck@aol.com (Frmboybuck) wrote in message
news:<20020818103240.28577.00001265@mb-mr.aol.com>...
> >Mad Dog,
> > What significance does 18 feet have?
> > 'Doc
>
> 18' is 1/2 of a wavelength.....36/2=18

Ever hear of the velocity factor? 18 foot may be a half wave
somewhere near 27 MHz in free space, but not in coax.

73 de Jim, W8EMS/9

4234. Re: Let me rephrase that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 21:47:19 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D6015D9.C0EBE22C@icok.net>
Source record: 133265

Mad Dog,
Not always, and usually only if you have problems
in the amplifier or antenna.
'Doc

4235. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 21:51:33 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D6016D8.9DA91E20@icok.net>
Source record: 133266

Mad Dog,
In most mobile installations that's just not
true. In base installations, in most cases you'd
have to use more than 18 feet to even reach the
antenna.
'Doc

4236. Re: C-SPAN: D.C. Reparations Rally

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 21:53:42 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns926E97A82AAE3subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 133267

> YOU NY Commies don't even KNOW what really happened back then. WE is
> in da biggest slave system NOW since ancient Egypt, put here by GOD
> because of our SINS. It was SO bad for the southern slave system that
> MOST of da BROS STAYED ON the plantation when they were free to go,
> because they had such GOOD MASSAS ! How good is da "massa" today? A
> lot more WICKED den them palntation owners, thats fow shore! I say
> GIVE DEM da reparations, so dey can buy more N8 McVoob LINEARS & CB's
> !!
> !!!
> Dave!!!
> Dave!!!
>

You've got to be kidding me.

4237. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 21:55:32 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D6017C6.63B2C653@icok.net>
Source record: 133268

```
It's still not "Peak effective power", but
'peak envelope power'...
'Doc
```

4238. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 21:58:06 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D601860.7996FB86@icok.net>
Source record: 133269

Mad Dog,
In most mobile installations that's just not
true. In base installations, in most cases you'd
have to use more than 18 feet to even reach the
antenna, so it's still not true.
'Doc

4239. Re: Roper Electronics...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 22:50:53 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.com
Message-ID: <5e5fccab.0208181450.b9ef94d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133270

Dino <dinod@deltanet.com> wrote in message
news:<Kmv79.8902$I6.730512@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...

> Case in point. A company in Wisconsin we have reported on before.
> Roper Electronics which was asked by the Commission back on June 18th to
> explain why it was alleged web advertising non-certified CB transceivers
> and HF linear amplifiers on its website. The company was also ordered

Hmm... curious URL for Roper Electronics too:
http://www.tomah.com/n9uom/ Notice the N9UOM in there?

4240. Re: Skippin around

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 23:09:50 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <um0a8d5m2cvk13@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133271

This is why the general move for various local services has been higher in frequency (UHF or even above). Still, interesting things happen even on UHF. At various times here in Rochester, NY, a repeater can be heard (and worked) from (geeze, is it Utica or Albany? I'm really getting old and forgetful) ... well, hundreds of miles away. Even with my handheld at ground level, there are times I can get into Canada on 3.5 watts. At 144 MHz, California to Hawaii has been spanned a number of times. Low band VHF (47 MHz) really isn't a whole lot higher than 11 meters. If the skip is really rollin', 47 MHz may well also be rolling. 6 meter amateur opens up worldwide at times during the peak of the sunspot cycle (50 MHz). It will be interesting to see the length of THIS thread!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:ajoptt01isq@enews4.newsguy.com...
> Whilst cruising about the country side of cincinnati, in the fall, I was
> checking out the goodies at the local ham fest.
> Upon leaving I turned on the old cb and who did I hear banging out a +9
> signal? Candy Girl, in Los Angeles.
> "Hey candy girl you copy this little old barefoot buckeye mobile?"
> Expecting no response of course.
> "Yeah, I copy you buckeye mobile. Where you at?"
> holy shit. I couldn't believe she actually heard me.
> She ran probably one of the top notch stations in the country. Moonraker
> beams and plenty of power.
> She got nailed by uncle charlie several times.
>
> Remember the old "Chips" and "Emergency" Tv shows?
> One summer afternoon I've got the police scanner going.
> "924"
> "924 go ahead."
> "924 we have an accident with injuries, fire department dispatched.
> Galbriath and Pippin Roads.".
> "924. Excuse me? I don't believe we have those two roads in this county."
> "What county are you in sir?"
> "Los Angeles county".
> "LA County unit 924 disregard all. This is Hamilton County Ohio."
> "924 Hamilton County Ohio clear on all and responding. LA County 924
thanks
> for the assistance, but we don't need you that bad."
>
> That was all on low band [47mhz] . Shortly after that unit call signs
were

> changed to avoid such things.
> And a new tv show was born. 1-adam-12.
>
>
> Years ago I was part of a search and rescue team in cincinnati.
> We had put up at my house a low band (47mhz) base station with 100 watts
out
> and a 110ft antnenna.
> One summer afternoon skip was royally bad. I was hearing units from
florida
> to california.
> Just to see who was hearing me, as I had several units out that day, I
keyed
> down the mike and gave out the call sign with the location.
> "Christ. Did you hear that cincinnati station? He sounded like he's right
> here in LA."
> Got out my call sign book, looked up who was in LA. Schaffer's ambulance
> service huh?
> They supplied the ambulances to the tv shows like chips and that.
> So just for kicks I keyed down and called them directly.
> Their dispatch answered.
> "Attention all stations. Due to the nature of today's weather conditions,
it
> may be difficult for some vehicles to reach their own base stations. If
you
> can hear any unit having problems, feel free to assist."
>
> After that week, it seemed like everyone, except red cross, went on
private
> line. Just to avoid those problems.
>
> Any other skip stories?
>
>
>
>
>

4241. Re: CB with SSB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 23:13:18 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020818191318.17105.00001661@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 133273

>I've got a Galaxy DX959. It's type accepted, no extra channels :) I paid
>about $160 for it new, but I think they usually retail for around $180.

I'll add my two cents here. I too own the Galaxy DX959 and it worked just fine straight from the box. Perhaps you received a assy. line lemon? I have to go along with a previous poster's recommendation though. You really cant beat a Uniden Grant. I've heard Bert's XL in action and the receive is easily on par with some ham rigs (The real ones, Aaron.) I've heard. So if you can find a Uniden Grant XL, or more likely a LT, grab it. Good luck. Take care and 73.

Mark

4242. Re: What's wrong with pinko commies Radio Shack!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 23:15:11 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <1ia0mu4u0ns7hfgm2l81qnhos88h967mf7@4ax.com>
Source record: 133272

"THANK YOU for your continuing support", like the old liquor ad once
said!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 20:40:23 +0100, "Brainbuster"
<brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote:

>"Dave or Debby" <truth@planetc.com> wrote in message
>news:7itnluc0un4ilr8llugdf0aa5i9q5idsi4@4ax.com...
>>
>> Dey went MORE communist ! You should of NOT bought from them in
>> the 1st place!
>> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>Hi Dave.
>
>Nice to see you back, it's been a while.
>
>
>Regards,
>
>Peter.
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4243. Re: Let me rephrase that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 23:17:56 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <gna0mu0lgamneoko7c7fk9q6vtcb9i91e1@4ax.com>
Source record: 133274

On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 16:42:11 -0400, "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net>
wrote:

>Coaxial length between a transceiver and antenna are not important,
>however using less than 18ft. between a amplifier and antenna can generate
>enough rf feedback to possibly cause the transmit side of the amp. to
>latch-on.
>Be sure to read the fine print this time.
>BTW i am no longer reading Dave or Debby mail since they chose to bring
>drugs into the NG.............
>My name is Mad Dog and i am a addict!
>God give me the serenity to accept the things i cannot change ,
>And the courage to change the things i can.
>Amen and God Bless...................

I thought you were a DOT approved drug addict driver!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4244. Re: Let me rephrase that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 23:18:48 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <8qa0muggsvc8ntrltk2ilgkequtoa1j41f@4ax.com>
Source record: 133275

YOU tell them DOC !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 21:47:19 GMT, 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:

>
>
>Mad Dog,
> Not always, and usually only if you have problems
>in the amplifier or antenna.
> 'Doc

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4245. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 23:24:08 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <23b0mugfffbevfltuhr9ivkii6ikjt9m9g@4ax.com>
Source record: 133276

It is always VERY interesting to see the communists point of view.
VERY Interesting BUT STUPID as Sgt. Shultz use to say!!!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On 17 Aug 2002 10:54:38 -0700, ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
wrote:

>shitblaster@volcanomail.com (Blaster) wrote:
>>TRUTH: Violating FCC regulations isn't ANY sort of Felony, State,
>>federal or otherwise. Neither is Jay walking, Running a red lite or a
>>hundred other infractions. Look Up the legal definition of felony.
>
>This sure sounds a lot more serious than jay walking:
>
>If a federal court finds that you have WILLFULLY and KNOWINGLY
>violated any FCC Rule, you may be fined up to $500 for each day you
>committed the violation. If a federal court finds that you have
>WILLFULLY and KNOWINGLY violated any provision of the Communications
>Act, you may be fined up to $10,000 or may be imprisoned for one year,
>or both.
>
>...but don't take my word for it, get the PDF file of Part 95, CB
>Rules, from the FCC site at:
>
>http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Engineering_Technology/Documents/cfr/1998/47cfr95.pdf
>
>-Aaron-

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4246. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 23:25:32 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <05b0musfhc5nafe8urt4rfb523ogv1rc5f@4ax.com>
Source record: 133277

YOU BLAST him Blaster! What did I start in this thread? ! What year
did Connex or Galaxy really start--1982 or was it sooner?!
Dave!

On 17 Aug 2002 10:08:28 -0700, shitblaster@volcanomail.com (Blaster)
wrote:

>x-no-archive:yes
>
>Whoever "Stan Wells"is should go back to sleep & stop spreading
>misinformation!!
>
>Quotes from "Stan Wells" (addressed individually)
>
>"The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios
>Back in 1975 the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) decided to
>add more
>channels to the Citizens Band (CB) frequencies. It went from 23 to 40
>channels. The FCC also lowered the power limit in these frequencies
>from 5
>watts to 3.5 watts...."
> TRUTH: The CB band went from 23 to 40 channels in January 1977.
>There has never been a 3.5w power limit. CB has Always been 4w output,
>although in the 60's & early 70's Makers were allowed to list "input
>power" of 5w, which was still 4w output max. Next....
>
> "......Shortly thereafter, the power wars began. It was soon
>discovered that the 10-meter Amateur radio was virtually unregulated.
>This
>gave rise to the Connex and Galaxy revolution. These radios were easy
>to
>convert to 11-meters which is the CB band. Additionally, they had 25
>watts
>of power. They eventually captured nearly half of the sales in the CB
>marketplace with the addition of many other brand names to the
>field--some
>now offering as much as 250 watts of power....."
> TRUTH: Galaxy & Connex didn't show up 'till more than a decade
>after Cybernet, Uniden/President ClearChannel & many others were
>already selling "Export" type rigs, the "revolution was over before
>they got there....NEXT
>
>"The current top seller is the General Lee. This radio is a copy of
>the"
>At a Peak Envelope Performance (PEP) of nearly 50 watts, the General

>Lee
>sports a prominent Rebel Flag on the front panel. This radio prompted
>a new
>round of power wars...."
> TRUTH: Gee, where does this crap come from?? The General Lee is not
>any Top seller, doesn't sell as many as any single Galaxy model, &
>uses the same old board already in use in countless radios with the
>same power. This meaningless radio did not start any "power
>wars"!!..........NEXT.......
>
> "Enter a company with a long and respected history. RF
>Limited, located in Issaquah, Washington, is long known for quality
>microphones and innovative ideas. They began importing magnum radios,
>Delta
>Force and Alpha Force as well as Millennium radios. The Delta Forces
>being
>the most powerful at a PEP of over 50 watts."
> TRUTH: Wrong again, Mr. Know-it-all wiseguy. First, RF limited
>imported the AR-3500 & AR-3300 25 & 100w rigs back in the early 80's,
>long before Galaxy or Connex. Second, The Magnums were all being
>imported & sold by them long before any stupid "General" radios ever
>existed. THIRD, The Delta force was NOT their most powerful at 50w,
>Their Magnum 357 & 457 were 250 to 400w Radios....NEXT
>
> "Now RF Limited is about
>to release the replacement for these radios under the Maverick
>nameplate, to
>be designated A24."
> TRUTH: This radio has been out for over a year, & there are old
>reviews on the internet about it!...NEXT...
>
> "Lastly, I would be remiss if I didn't tell you this. To be in
>possession of
>a modified radio is a federal felony..."
> TRUTH: Violating FCC regulations isn't ANY sort of Felony, State,
>federal or otherwise. Neither is Jay walking, Running a red lite or a
>hundred other infractions. Look Up the legal definition of felony, Mr.
>Shitting Bull.....Finally...
>
>"This article is provided by Truckstop Electronics, Inc. Stan Wells
>has been
>involved with repairing and selling CB radios for over 30 years. Try
>me I'll make you famous."
> YOU're Kiddig, right?? You'll make us all famous bullshitters
>with false made-up information & half truths?? You think this Post
>HELPED your Business!!??!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4247. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 23:27:58 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020818192758.17105.00001663@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 133278

>Because the FCC has determined that the radios in question are in fact CB
>radios, they must be certificated. Since they are not certificated, they
>are not legal for marketing or importation. The language is very clear.
>It is important to note that the FCC made this determination by citing an
>existing law. The FCC has the authority to determine what is and what
>isn't a CB radio. The determining factors are listed in part 95.603. In
>the letter, the FCC determines that these radios are intended to operate
>on CB:
>
>"According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission’s rules, a CB
>transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate at a
>station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. § 95.603(c). The
>Commission’s equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have
>determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar models
>at issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those
>in the amateur service because they have built-in capability to operate
>on CB frequencies. This capability can be readily activated by moving or
>removing a jumper plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a
>connector, or other simple means."

This seems reasonable enough, but I agree with Dick, N0BK. Even if they are classified as CB's in the FCC's opinion, as long as a licensed ham radio operator can lay his or her hands on it...they can use it on amateur freqs, as long as it meets specs. Hams have always been able to convert CB for use on the 10 meter band. Of course once they did, the transmitter was no longer type certified. Take care and 73.

Mark

4248. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 23:31:20 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <c7W79.199175$uj.288846@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 133279

Hey Richard, normally I would not defend Aaron but he did say "UP TO" which implies he was quoting the maximum. I don't think Aaron was claming it was a felony but just pointing out the penalties *can* be mildly irritating or worse.

Dave

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:c5ecbb69.0208181328.162a0e56@posting.google.com...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message news:<c9ceae5b.0208170954.5134b881@posting.google.com>...
> > shitblaster@volcanomail.com (Blaster) wrote:
> > >TRUTH: Violating FCC regulations isn't ANY sort of Felony, State,
> > >federal or otherwise. Neither is Jay walking, Running a red lite or a
> > >hundred other infractions. Look Up the legal definition of felony.
> >
> > This sure sounds a lot more serious than jay walking:
> >
> > If a federal court finds that you have WILLFULLY and KNOWINGLY
> > violated any FCC Rule, you may be fined up to $500 for each day you
> > committed the violation. If a federal court finds that you have
> > WILLFULLY and KNOWINGLY violated any provision of the Communications
> > Act, you may be fined up to $10,000 or may be imprisoned for one year,
> > or both.
> >
> > ...but don't take my word for it, get the PDF file of Part 95, CB
> > Rules, from the FCC site at:
> >
> >
http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Engineering_Technology/Documents/cfr/1998/47cfr95.pdf
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> Geez, you dipstick, don't you know anything?
>
> Those are MAXIMUM possible penalties. A first offense is usually
> treated as a misdemeanor. Only REPEATED offenses are ever treated as
> felonies.
>
> But don't take my word for it, ask those who have been "visited".
> TROLL!

4249. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 23:31:52 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208181531.3ded5166@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133280

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>Date: Sun, Aug 18, 2002 2:28 PM
>Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0208181328.162a0e56@posting.google.com>
>
>ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208170954.5134b881@posting.google.com>...
>> shitblaster@volcanomail.com (Blaster) wrote:
>> >TRUTH: Violating FCC regulations isn't ANY sort of Felony, State,
>> >federal or otherwise. Neither is Jay walking, Running a red lite
or a
>> >hundred other infractions. Look Up the legal definition of felony.
>>
>> This sure sounds a lot more serious than jay walking:
>>
>> If a federal court finds that you have WILLFULLY and KNOWINGLY
>> violated any FCC Rule, you may be fined up to $500 for each day you
>> committed the violation. If a federal court finds that you have
>> WILLFULLY and KNOWINGLY violated any provision of the
Communications
>> Act, you may be fined up to $10,000 or may be imprisoned for one
year,
>> or both.
>>
>> ...but don't take my word for it, get the PDF file of Part 95, CB
>> Rules, from the FCC site at:
>>
>> http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Engineering_Technology/Documents/cfr/1998/47cfr95.pdf
>>
>> -Aaron-
>
>Those are MAXIMUM possible penalties. A first offense is usually
>treated as a misdemeanor. Only REPEATED offenses are ever treated as
>felonies.
>
>But don't take my word for it, ask those who have been "visited".

Better yet, ask a communications attorney, a federal judge, or an FCC
legal counsel if first offender penalties are a good reason to operate
illegally.

Least reliable would be the word of the offenders themselves, having
already proven themselves dishonest by virtue of their crime.

-Aaron-

4250. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 23:34:12 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <8ib0muci9e11avjerobfu1bvjn9gqrtinv@4ax.com>
Source record: 133281

You ARE mistaken---DON'T use the word "PEAK" ! It ain't 4 watts
"peak" power. You mean Maximum, right?
Dave!

On Sat, 17 Aug 2002 16:40:38 -0500, "John Smith"
<spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote:

>
>"Blaster" <shitblaster@volcanomail.com> wrote in message
>news:90a278e1.0208170908.47e490e7@posting.google.com...
>> x-no-archive:yes
>>
>> Whoever "Stan Wells"is should go back to sleep & stop spreading
>> misinformation!!
>>
>> Quotes from "Stan Wells" (addressed individually)
>>
>> "The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios
>> Back in 1975 the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) decided to
>> add more
>> channels to the Citizens Band (CB) . It went from 23 to 40
>> channels. The FCC also lowered the power limit in these frequencies
>> from 5
>> watts to 3.5 watts...."
>> TRUTH: The CB band went from 23 to 40 channels in January 1977.
>> There has never been a 3.5w power . CB has Always been 4w output,
>> although in the 60's & early 70's Makers were allowed to list "input
>> power" of 5w, which was still 4w output max. Next....
>
frequencies

>They could say whatever they wanted to on the box. The actual output is alll
>that matters.
>If I'm not mistaken, it's 4 watts PEAK power.
>

>
>
>>
>> "......Shortly thereafter, the power wars began. It was soon
>> discovered that the 10-meter Amateur radio was virtually unregulated.
>> This
>> gave rise to the Connex and Galaxy revolution. These radios were easy
>> to
>> convert to 11-meters which is the CB band. Additionally, they had 25

>>
>> of power. They eventually captured nearly half of the sales in the CB
>> marketplace with the addition of many other brand names to the
>> field--some
>> now offering as much as 250 watts of power....."
>> TRUTH: Galaxy & Connex didn't show up 'till more than a decade
>> after Cybernet, Uniden/President ClearChannel & many others were
>> already selling "Export" type rigs, the "revolution was over before
>> they got there....NEXT
>
>Galaxy 1011DX was already on the market well before that time.
>It only took a simple solder jumper to convert to cb.
>
>>
>> "The current top seller is the General Lee. This radio is a copy of
>> the"
>> At a Peak Envelope Performance (PEP) of nearly 50 watts, the General
>> Lee
>> sports a prominent Rebel Flag on the front panel. This radio prompted
>> a new
>> round of power wars...."
>> TRUTH: Gee, where does this crap come from?? The General Lee is not
>> any Top seller, doesn't sell as many as any single Galaxy model, &
>> uses the same old board already in use in countless radios with the
>> same power. This meaningless radio did not start any "power
>> wars"!!.....NEXT.......
>
>Actually, the entire "president" line has never been a true presence
>anywhere.
>It's a wonder they're still in business.
>
>
>> "Enter a company with a long and respected history. RF
>> Limited, located in Issaquah, Washington, is long known for quality
>> microphones and innovative ideas. They began importing magnum radios,
>> Delta
>> Force and Alpha Force as well as Millennium radios. The Delta Forces
>> being
>> the most powerful at a PEP of over 50 watts."
>> TRUTH: Wrong again, Mr. Know-it-all wiseguy. First, RF limited
>> imported the AR-3500 & AR-3300 25 & 100w rigs back in the early 80's,
>> long before Galaxy or Connex. Second, The Magnums were all being
>> imported & sold by them long before any stupid "General" radios ever
>> existed. THIRD, The Delta force was NOT their most powerful at 50w,
>> Their Magnum 357 & 457 were 250 to 400w Radios....NEXT
>>
>> "Now RF Limited is about
>> to release the replacement for these radios under the Maverick
>> nameplate, to
>> be designated A24."

>> TRUTH: This radio has been out for over a year, & there are old
>> reviews on the internet about it!...NEXT...
>>
>> "Lastly, I would be remiss if I didn't tell you this. To be in
>> possession of
>> a modified radio is a federal felony..."
>> TRUTH: Violating FCC regulations isn't ANY sort of Felony, State,
>> federal or otherwise. Neither is Jay walking, Running a red lite or a
>> hundred other infractions. Look Up the legal definition of felony, Mr.
>> Shitting Bull.....Finally...
>
>No it's not a felony. But you'll be fined $10,000 just the same.
>
>
>>
>> "This article is provided by Truckstop Electronics, Inc. Stan Wells
>> has been
>> involved with repairing and selling CB radios for over 30 years. Try
>> me I'll make you famous."
>> YOU're Kiddig, right?? You'll make us all famous bullshitters
>> with false made-up information & half truths?? You think this Post
>> HELPED your Business!!??!
>
>I wonder just how many times Mr. Wells has been zapped with a fine?
>If not, perhaps Mr. Hollingsworth would like to accomadate the man.
>Then he can personally relate to us that possession is not illegal.
>Everyone knows, you ain't gonna $400 for a radio and not use it right?
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4251. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 23:36:31 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <cob0mu0pots3hrp93mm6c9mmj4cdn47mqs@4ax.com>
Source record: 133282

On 18 Aug 2002 14:30:27 -0700, richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
wrote:

>"John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:<ajmft00942@enews2.newsguy.com>...
>> "Blaster" <shitblaster@volcanomail.com> wrote in message
>> news:90a278e1.0208170908.47e490e7@posting.google.com...
>> > x-no-archive:yes
>> >utput max. Next....
>>
>> They could say whatever they wanted to on the box. The actual output is alll
>> that matters.
>> If I'm not mistaken, it's 4 watts PEAK power.
>

>NO! That's 4 watts output in AM mode. Peak effective power has always
>been 12 watts (that's sideband for you uneducated ones - and you know
>who you are!).

You mean dem modulation kit installing IDIOTS that use a peak meter
instead of an RMS meter to measure AM power? !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4252. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-18 23:42:56 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <s5c0muocepcb96ujipe05hdsee68t30k89@4ax.com>
Source record: 133283

The definition of "crime" is A DAMAGE, so where, mr. commy is da
damage? "WE WILL BURY YOU," uncle Kruschev said!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On 18 Aug 2002 16:31:52 -0700, ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
wrote:

>richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>>Date: Sun, Aug 18, 2002 2:28 PM
>>Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0208181328.162a0e56@posting.google.com>
>>
>>ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208170954.5134b881@posting.google.com>...
>>> shitblaster@volcanomail.com (Blaster) wrote:
>>> >TRUTH: Violating FCC regulations isn't ANY sort of Felony, State,
>>> >federal or otherwise. Neither is Jay walking, Running a red lite
>or a
>>> >hundred other infractions. Look Up the legal definition of felony.
>>>
>>> This sure sounds a lot more serious than jay walking:
>>>
>>> If a federal court finds that you have WILLFULLY and KNOWINGLY
>>> violated any FCC Rule, you may be fined up to $500 for each day you
>>> committed the violation. If a federal court finds that you have
>>> WILLFULLY and KNOWINGLY violated any provision of the
>Communications
>>> Act, you may be fined up to $10,000 or may be imprisoned for one
>year,
>>> or both.
>>>
>>> ...but don't take my word for it, get the PDF file of Part 95, CB
>>> Rules, from the FCC site at:
>>>
>>> http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Engineering_Technology/Documents/cfr/1998/47cfr95.pdf
>>>
>>> -Aaron-
>>
>>Those are MAXIMUM possible penalties. A first offense is usually
>>treated as a misdemeanor. Only REPEATED offenses are ever treated as
>>felonies.
>>
>>But don't take my word for it, ask those who have been "visited".
>
>Better yet, ask a communications attorney, a federal judge, or an FCC
>legal counsel if first offender penalties are a good reason to operate

>illegally.
>
>Least reliable would be the word of the offenders themselves, having
>already proven themselves dishonest by virtue of their crime.
>
>-Aaron-

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4253. Re: does anyone use cb's anymore?(ABSOLUTELY!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:00:08 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <87d0mus1por82br7236a1ffuh8i86c9bn2@4ax.com>
Source record: 133284

On Tue, 13 Aug 2002 11:01:24 -0400 (EDT), dgose@webtv.net (Dale)
wrote:

>I wouldn't do without mine. I carry my cell phone always, but CB is
>still my preferred mode of communication, even over my home phone! Only
>talk to one person at a time? How boring! I'm lucky enough to live in
>an area where CB'ing has taken off like I haven't seen it since the
>early 80's!

Which area is that?
Dave

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4254. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:01:30 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208181601.62356640@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133285

Dave or Debby truth@HATEspamplanetc.com wrote:
>
>The definition of "crime" is A DAMAGE, so where, mr. commy is da
>damage? "WE WILL BURY YOU," uncle Kruschev said!
>Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>

A crime is a wrongdoing classified by the state or Congress as a
felony or misdemeanor.

http://www.lectlaw.com/def/c330.htm

-Aaron-

4255. Re: how are hand held cbs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:05:10 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <jgd0mu8tnmb3mok22lgp9gt77hqdv4t609@4ax.com>
Source record: 133286

On Sat, 10 Aug 2002 23:42:23 -0500 (CDT), Certuche_13@webtv.net (Joel)
wrote:

>how are hanld held cbs

ONLY purpose is for having CB ON FOOT. IF yo not on foot, DO NOT get
one.
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4256. Where is SJ, da MAN ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:07:24 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <vjd0mu4qtg5g5utmeam2u26r7p8pmqg46i@4ax.com>
Source record: 133287

Has SJ da MAN been around?

What have you bin doing? !
Dave!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4257. Re: Aaron IS a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:14:39 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <c2e0mu4c8hjh097747cqahi7v6tsvrtvt5@4ax.com>
Source record: 133288

Let's SHUT down 52-890 mc Potty band! JAM IT !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!

On Tue, 6 Aug 2002 22:50:35 -0400, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote:

>Go ahead. I, for one, want the unlicensed stuff off 10 meters just as much
>as you don't want someone using the TV channel of your favorite TV station.
>Or AM/FM radio station. 10 meters is allocated worldwide for licensed
>amateur stations. Similarly, the various broadcast frequencies (am/fm/tv)
>are also allocated (albeit differently in different countries) for a
>specific use and a specific licensee in various areas. This, however, is no
>reason to shut down 11 meters.
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>
>
>"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
>news:3D5063FE.11788E7A@mailcity.net...
>>
>>
>> ALLSTEEL77 wrote:
>> >
>> > Go to rec.radio.amateur.policy and read what Jerry Ox and Aaron are
>> > spreading.They want to shut down the whole cb service.LOL.Both are shut
>in
>> > losers.Most of the hams on that newsgroup think they are
>troublemakers.So much
>> > for bridging the gap between hams and cber's.
>>
>> How about naming some of the hams on that group that think Jerry
>> is a troublemaker. From what I read, most agree with him, they
>> want to get the unlicensed crap out of 10 meters.
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4258. Re: Good Cheap CB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:16:43 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <q5e0mu8o2l9cu8u6bqf6r1229pv7oc3ge1@4ax.com>
Source record: 133289

On Wed, 07 Aug 2002 03:37:28 GMT, "George Kinzer"
<horseflygk@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Cobra, 148gtl, period

NOPE, the 148 is JUNK made in CHINA. Uniden Grant same thing not made
in China--better quality. There is NO such thing as a CHEAP SSB CB !
Dave~!!!!!!!!!!!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4259. Re: The "Screwdrivers Experts" Guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:18:50 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <r9e0mu8aat6ocjmba1hrg90bcvqkcd2dhg@4ax.com>
Source record: 133291

Now THAT's FUNNY ! It is an OLD book, though. It's where McVooble
got started!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On Fri, 9 Aug 2002 23:28:11 -0400, "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
wrote:

>Actually if you read the book it tells one how to solder and to practice
>soldering
>ken
>"Brainbuster" <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net> wrote in message
>news:ait0gs$s6h$6@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk...
>> "duckyjr" <duckyjr@attcanada.ca> wrote in message
>> news:W3049.7950$H67.42745@tor-nn1.netcom.ca...
>> > The "Screwdriver Experts" Guide to CB Repairs and Modifications.
>> > This book makes a heck of a lot of sense about C.B. repairs, SWR, etc.
>> > And in plain language!
>> ==
>>
>> Fine, if you don't want to be anything more than a "screwdriver
>> expert", if you never want to learn how to fault find without
>> needing contant instuctions...
>>
>> Unit dead: Check fuse.
>> No reception: Check antenna is plugged in.
>> No transmit audio: Check microphone is plugged in.
>>
>> ==
>> > Thanks Lou Franklin (Author)
>> ==
>>
>> Yep, thanks for all the "screwdriver experts" out there destroying
>people's
>> equipment because they have not even learned how to solder correctly...
>> lou told them the do not need knowledge, just an iron and a screwdriver.
>> What's even worse is that those "screwdriver experts" then think that
>> they are "qualified" to fix anything... and start on Videos and TVs.
>>
>> But then, if you learn too much, you will be able to work things out
>> for yourself and will not need to keep giving him your money.
>>
>>
>> Regards,
>>
>> Peter.

>>
>>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4260. Re: Let me rephrase that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:20:28 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <ZJW79.49684$nE1.36695@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133290

That is why i said "possibly".
Doc i never have a problem with anything u post on here because i feel that you know what you're talking about,i admire you and respect you for your knowledge.
"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D6015D9.C0EBE22C@icok.net...
>
>
> Mad Dog,
> Not always, and usually only if you have problems
> in the amplifier or antenna.
> 'Doc

4261. Davemade M-80

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:20:41 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020818202041.09163.00001694@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 133293

Brand NEW in the Bubble wrapped box, Works very nicely....i'll take $165 for it, that includes shipping costs.....thanks

Thatz-me-JP

4262. swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:23:47 UTC
From: emccleese@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020818202347.28710.00001347@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 133295

Why is it that a linear should be driven with low wattage, as in a dead key of 2 or 3 watts , due to damage to the amp at higher wattage, plus worse sound, but it is ok to have a dead key of 2 watts swing to 35 watts? Just wondering because I honestly don't know. Thanks

4263. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:24:18 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <sKW79.53953$dr.6884346@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133296

Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:s5c0muocepcb96ujipe05hdsee68t30k89@4ax.com...
> The definition of "crime" is A DAMAGE, so where, mr. commy is da
> damage? "WE WILL BURY YOU," uncle Kruschev said!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

It is a damage because the laws are there to protect ALL radio operators.
The fact remains
that it IS illegal to import or market these crappy imitation "10 Meter"
radios, use them on CB,
or operate them on the ham bands without a license...again to protect those
who DO hold the
required license. Dave, you often quote hell-fire, damnation Pentacostal ,
Bible passages. What
happened to "Render unto Ceasar the things that are Ceasar's, and to God,
the things that are
God's"? Hmmmmmm? How can you ignore the laws of the land, while
righteously spouting
Scripture and calling people "communists"? Why is it OK for people to
operate radios on
frequencies they (and you, too) know are illegal and WRONG, and then you
yell "sixth
plank" at anyone who happens to be the victim of such intrusion? Why is it
OK for a Bible-
thumping denizen such as you to promote the breaking of the law while
criticizing anyone who
objects to being interfered with on bands they are licensed for. I hate to
say it, but.....
let me see.....what is that word...it won't come to
me.....hyp.....hypo.....hippopotamus?...
.....no, that isn't it. Hypocrite? YEAH!!!! THAT'S IT! Think about it.

J

4264. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:24:38 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <2OW79.49700$nE1.35973@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133292

i thought this conversation started out as a mobile rig problem.....
"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D6016D8.9DA91E20@icok.net...
>
>
> Mad Dog,
> In most mobile installations that's just not
> true. In base installations, in most cases you'd
> have to use more than 18 feet to even reach the
> antenna.
> 'Doc

4265. Re: The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:25:02 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <6me0mu0i1s2tnglrtna2i8cdomcb1snt5q@4ax.com>
Source record: 133294

Don't tell Voob that!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On Sun, 18 Aug 2002 20:03:38 GMT, Earl <earlebyrd@sun.up> wrote:

>>>Back in 1975 the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) decided to add more
>>>channels to the Citizens Band (CB) frequencies. It went from 23 to 40
>> I believe it was '76 because of the BOOM and the CROWDED 23
>> channel band. 40 Channels started in Jan. of '77, I believe!
>
>Nope! I bought a 40 channel Cobra in the spring of 1976 and they had
>already been available a few months before that.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4266. Good Mobile CB for 100 bucks?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:27:05 UTC
From: TSH <jimbob@world.com>
Message-ID: <tXW79.18784$Ke2.1535765@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133297

Can I get a good CB for around a hundred bucks? I was looking at used ones, but I'm a little leery to buy used, can't tell if they're worth a damn. Any suggestions?

4267. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:29:06 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D603BD2.68712CAD@qnet.com>
Source record: 133175

Hello Axl:

I wish I had a Nickel for every antenna that has been fixed by properly soldering the PL-259 connectors, on jumpers or antenna lengths of coax.

It seems that the shield solder connections in the PL-259 connectors are rearly soldered, causing a intermittent connection, causing a intermittent SWR condition.

Suggest you look over the coax PL-259 connectors, and connection to the antenna.

Jay in the Mojave

Axl wrote:
>
> I went to measure with the SWR today and noticed it's VERY high.....and also
> inconsistant....on the same channel, within 1 minute it can go from a 1.2 to
> a 4....the only thing I can think of is that the jumper coax I'm using is 6
> or 8 feet long.....I thought maybe if this was moved around it could cause
> problems or inconsistant readings....are accurate readings produces with a
> 12" or shorter jumper or does it not matter?

4268. There are over 100,000 NewsGroups !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:29:34 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <bqe0muk8e2r1qnh2ert6lpofgeqo7s80dc@4ax.com>
Source record: 133298

Can U believe it? My server had to change NG settings or whatever and
I had to download them all, and it was OVER 100,000 NewsGroups, with
just ONE for CB !

Look how many for da Hamboz!

Maybe we should have more of dem?

Then again, maybe we shouldn't !

4-10 ?
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4269. Re: Good Mobile CB for 100 bucks?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:31:02 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <31f0muorh21c92plo4qs8709u3037f8i87@4ax.com>
Source record: 133300

On Mon, 19 Aug 2002 00:27:05 GMT, "TSH" <jimbob@world.com> wrote:

>Can I get a good CB for around a hundred bucks? I was looking at used ones,
>but I'm a little leery to buy used, can't tell if they're worth a damn. Any
>suggestions?
>

2 Suggestions. A PC-68 Uniden or a PC-78 Uniden. Best for the $$$$$.
Dave!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4270. Re: QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:32:20 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <6VW79.49726$nE1.43950@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133299

Well, i can't argue the classic bridge banger being the ultimate sacrifice,
but how can you say that a antenna using inductive coupling for a ground
plane is better than any firestik.....careful young
whippersnapper.
"Frmboybuck" <frmboybuck@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020818102842.28577.00001264@mb-mr.aol.com...
> >A Cobra 29ltd will work fine with a Firestik FSII, try to avoid the
Wilson
> >and K40
> >line. A top-loaded antenna has less attennuation than a center or
> >base-loaded antenna
> >and costs 1/3 as much.
>
> You will be alot happier with a wilson 1000 mag mount than any firestick
you
> can buy!! If you really want to be happy stay with the 102" whip....Best
> antenna out there!

4271. Re: QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:34:06 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <LWW79.49728$nE1.40183@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133301

```
True that,but a great marketing strategy....
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:5xR79.18201$Ke2.1495449@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
> news:lUL79.42190$nE1.12332@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> > A Cobra 29ltd will work fine with a Firestik FSII, try to avoid the
> Wilson
> > and K40
> > line. A top-loaded antenna has less attennuation than a center or
> > base-loaded antenna
> > and costs 1/3 as much.
> >
>
> Correct except what CB Science calls top-loading isn't
>
>
```

4272. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:34:21 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <03f0mukm190f91n8jucqc8kt14plju98e4@4ax.com>
Source record: 133302

On 19 Aug 2002 00:23:47 GMT, emccleese@aol.com (EMccleese) wrote:

>Why is it that a linear should be driven with low wattage, as in a dead key of
>2 or 3 watts , due to damage to the amp at higher wattage, plus worse sound,
>but it is ok to have a dead key of 2 watts swing to 35 watts? Just wondering
>because I honestly don't know. Thanks

GOOD question. Most amps take 4 or 5 watts. Forget this SWING
NONSENCE. Swing is what MONKEYS, HIPPIES & evelutionists do. The
WRONG meter "swings." Use an Average power meter (BIRD) and you won't
have to SWING with the IDIOTS !
Dave!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4273. Re: Let's get the dukes back on the AIR!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:35:26 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D603D4E.1E5E869@qnet.com>
Source record: 133176

Hello Yeahrightman:

Sounds good to me.

I keept calling Kathy Bach on my CB, and nerver got an answer?!??!?!?

Whats with that?!?!?!?!

Jay in the Mojave

4274. Re: Pilot truck stops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:36:41 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <4WW79.53963$dr.6890664@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133303

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uls5vjd54bla7d@corp.supernews.com...
> There was a comment here how the FCC came down on Pilot truck stops.
> As with all the other FCC stuff this is completly bogus. Pilot still sells
> the entire line of 10 meter radios. The FCC went wimpering back to busting
> Hams. Truck stop chains have legal departments.
> Ken

Sorry,

Try again. the sale of radios that have no FCc approval is verboten. They
DID get warned. Trust
me, keep your eyes open. There's more in the works for Pilot and Flying J.
Many people ignore
their first or second warnings thinking that FCC cannot do anything or
doesn't have the cajones to
act---(just like Rabbit Ears). What happens in such cases is, they ratchet
up the pressure and impose fines. If that doesn't work, then they take them
to Federal Court. According to Mr. Hol-
lingsworth in a personal phone call with me, this is about to happen. So,
stay tuned.

Those "legal departments" don't have a prayer; the law is clear, and they
won't win. I am sure that
William Flippo, Mr. McCreary, and Randi Owen of Roper Electronics thought
FCC was "winper-
ing", too. You realy ought to stick to something you know.......driving a
truck.

J
>

4275. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:40:37 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <98X79.19091$Ep6.1480518@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133304

a word used is "saturation" or in laymans term "drive"

there is a min and max to the applied wattage for each amplifier
not enough wattage results in not being able to activate the relay(s)
too much wattage causes the transistors (or tubes) to be overdriven
and dangerous for parts as they will overheat
--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

4276. Re: Pilot truck stops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:41:20 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <r_W79.53968$dr.6892816@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133305

Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:uls5vjd54bla7d@corp.supernews.com...
> There was a comment here how the FCC came down on Pilot truck stops.
> As with all the other FCC stuff this is completly bogus. Pilot still sells
> the entire line of 10 meter radios. The FCC went wimpering back to busting
> Hams. Truck stop chains have legal departments.
> Ken

All of these people have received warnings or fines recently. I don't call
that "wimpering"
If they wish to ignore the agents, then they can join Rabbit Ears--in
JAIL!!!!!!!!!! Let them
see how those leg irons and chains feel

J

To wit, Derek's Trucking of Creedmoor, NC, Copper, EEI/ExportsRUS (were they
the same?),
L & N Design, of Boise, Idaho, NYC Taxis, The Two Way Shop, Kennewick, WA,
Yoshie
Nakamura, Palo Alto, CA, Truck N Travel Centers, Coburg, OR, Gary Gribble
Trucking, Rock-
wood, PA, Mr. Trip Williams, Travelers' Rest, SC, Mr. Michael Kinney,
Sherman Oaks, CA,
Mr. George Cairns (trucker), Waldron, AR, Mr. Carl Vincent, Lake Elsinore,
CA, Mr. Mark Hanson, Wausau, WI, David Merrill, Wichita Falls, TX, Jerry
Smith, Claymont, DE, Mr. McCreary, of a recent posting, Roper Electronics,
of Tomah, WI, Flying J Travel Plazas, and Pilot
Truck Stops--nearly ALL of which are connected with the 10 meter issue.

>
>

4277. Re: Uniden Sundowner UH-088 40-channel UHF CB (Australian) question/help...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:46:33 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <JdX79.19102$Ep6.1480328@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133306

unsolder black wire to speaker, tape it, put screws back in...

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

4278. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:49:34 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <96X79.53975$dr.6895770@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133307

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:2928-3D5D3EFD-61@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
<<snip>>
>
>
>
> Hey, this one got me to thinking.....hammies more than likley started
> encroaching on the cb band ith their hammie radios after 27 was given to
> cbers. Wouldn't that make the hammies that drew first blood and broke
> fedral law?

Well, not if he used the proper radio. I monitor Ch 19 sometimes with my
IC706, but I don't talk there--not even by turning the power down to 4
watts.
I could probably get away with that, but I don't want to risk it. Besides,
once
I find out which lane to get in to get around a wreck, I turn it back to
28.085 to
listen for slims, or some other band. If I had to listen to that racket for
more than
30 minutes, I'd have to pull off and settle my nerves.

Jerry

>

4279. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 00:57:33 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <EdX79.53995$dr.6897842@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133308

Frmboybuck <frmboybuck@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020818103240.28577.00001265@mb-mr.aol.com...
> >Mad Dog,
> > What significance does 18 feet have?
> > 'Doc
>
> 18' is 1/2 of a wavelength.....36/2=18

And it makes absolutely NO difference how long your coax cable is. If is is kept as short as possible, all connections are intact, and the cable itself is of high quality with no cuts or damage,
you should never worry about coax length. This is not a flame (let's take a time-out from the
10 Meter quarrel), but CBers are mostly the ones that worry about this when it is not of concern
in other services (usually). The only time length counts is in phased systems. You should tune
the ANTENNA, not the cable. Honestly, I can prove, if you will listen to me, that it doesn't matter.
What would you do if you HAD to have your radio in a certain place, and the antenna was 44 feet
away. Really, I'm not kidding--it don't matter!

73

Jerry

4280. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 01:04:14 UTC
From: emccleese@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020818210414.28720.00001262@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 133309

So what you are telling me is that the max a radio is putting out is the dead key? there is no variation? Is there ever a time that the wattage would be higher than this? and if so why does it not hurt the amp. I am really not trying to act stupid, just trying to understand.

4281. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 01:10:31 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <PpX79.54140$dr.6919690@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133310

John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:ajomss01831@enews4.newsguy.com...
>
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> news:rPO79.55950$Fw3.2883214@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >
> >
> >
> > "'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message
news:3D5FA49A.FF1A97BD@icok.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > Mad Dog,
> > > What significance does 18 feet have?
> > > 'Doc
> >
> >
> > Oh you didn't know Doc, that is the prescribed CB Myth Length.
> >
>
> Precisely. On a single antenna length has no meaning.
> The length myth comes from the fact that manufacturer's make coax, with
> connectors, in prefixed lengths of 3' increments.
> A 52ohm resistance cable is still 52 ohms regardless of length.
> It may drop a little over a mile or so though.
>

And do you know why the mfrs packed coax in 18 foot lengths--the REAL
reason? Simply because they didn't know how much a user would need, or
where, exactly, the radio would be
installed. The average length on cars of the 50s and 60s to the dash from
the bumper was 18
feet!! That's ALL! Somebody mistakenly picked up on the fact that there is
a coincidental re-
lationship between 18' and wavelength in a 27 MHZ radiating element.
Besides, by adding some
mumbo-jumbo that sounds impressive, a CB shop owner could become an
electronics "hero" to
his customers. And he could teach this worthless theory to his customers
and friends to make them
think they are now an antenna guru, too! It doesn't do any harm, but it
doesn't help anything, either.

The moral of the story is: the correct length of your coax is that length
which enables you to easily

connect your radio to the antenna with a little left over in case you want
to re-arrange your shack!
Just try to keep it as short as possible.
Heehee!

Jerry
>
>

4282. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 01:19:25 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <xIX79.19139$Ep6.1484339@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133311

dead key is mic pushed, but zero audio into mic (mic gain off)
"swing" is when the audio is applied then the output wattage increases
--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

4283. FS: 3CX3000A7 tube, socket & filament transformer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 01:21:26 UTC
From: The Tube Man <thetubeman@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qKX79.11538$I6.983550@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 133313

FOR SALE:

1)Full power 3CX3000A7
2)Socket, grid ring, chimney assembly
3)New filament transformer for 3CX3000A7

$750 + shipping

4284. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 01:21:34 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <yKX79.18853$Ke2.1540259@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133312

> Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:2928-3D5D3EFD-61@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> <<snip>>
> >
> >
> >
> > Hey, this one got me to thinking.....hammies more than likley started
> > encroaching on the cb band ith their hammie radios after 27 was given
to
> > cbers. Wouldn't that make the hammies that drew first blood and broke
> > fedral law?

Judas Priest, Twist. This was 1958, the middle of the all time sun spot peak when six was open for days on end worldwide and you could have DXCC in a weekend on 10 with a Zippo. No sane human being with 10 meter privleges would have been caught dead on 11; why do you think it became CB??

4285. FS: Slugs for Bird Meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 01:28:44 UTC
From: The Tube Man <thetubeman@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gRX79.11552$I6.985582@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 133314

I have the following NEW slugs that will work in either the BIRD 43 or the Coaxial Dynamic 83000
2-30 Mhz
250 watt-$124
5KW-$148
10KW-$158
25KW-$168

1KW USED-$70

4286. Dennis O. Re: Marko Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 01:42:07 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020818214207.28577.00001420@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 133315

i found this on ebay today. add a new one to the list of amps you've heard of.

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374505953

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4287. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 01:48:24 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <I7Y79.18905$Ke2.1544597@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133316

"EMccleese" <emccleese@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020818202347.28710.00001347@mb-fr.aol.com...
> Why is it that a linear should be driven with low wattage, as in a dead
key of
> 2 or 3 watts , due to damage to the amp at higher wattage, plus worse
sound,
> but it is ok to have a dead key of 2 watts swing to 35 watts? Just
wondering
> because I honestly don't know. Thanks

Hey, don't feel bad. There are few, and the swingsters aren't among them, who have a clue.

AM is a bitch to amplify decently and tends to be a bit tough on the hardware owing to the steady carrier. And a 100% modulated AM sig has a peak-to-deadkey ratio of 4 to 1. The term 'linear', along a line, simply means that the output looks like the input only bigger. So to properly amplify AM you cannot start with a deadkey greater than 1/4 of the peak capability of the amp. And it does tend to keep the heat within reason as well. Not that big a problem.

Back before I was born and dinosaurs roamed the earth, AM was never run thru linears but was almost always done in the finals, some of which in broadcast got really big with audio amps to match. Some bright soul in the 30s said, "Hey let's cut back on heat by backing off the carrier when things are quiet." And so Controlled Carrier was invented and enjoyed something of a following from the pushers of a certain brand of tube. It actually had more of a following among shortwave broadcasters who needed to keep down the power bill with a transmitter in East Nowhere. It kind of died but was revived in the dying days of AM on the ham bands in the 60s. Its usual purpose was to get a bit more out of some tubes without blowing them up. As you know, transistors are far less forgiving.

This has gotten out of hand with swang. You can do this because you are not doing it long enough to build up the heat that a steady carrier would give you. But the same rules apply about the 4:1 ratio because all swang is is standard AM with the carrier bouncing around with the audio. In your 35 watt example 24 of it is carrier and those who simply take the deadkey from the peak and call it audio are bugling with their tonsils. This is one reason swang generally sounds like dogshit but such exercises as limiter clipping (really, really, dumb) are usually more of a reason.

Incidentally, with AM detectors in a receiver a certain amount of the incoming signal is used to reduce the gain on stronger signals. Swang works by faking this out; listen to the background racket and you will see really quickly that swang is bullshit.

Dick N&#65533;BK

4288. Re: Dennis O. Re: Marko Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 02:06:32 UTC
From: Packrat&#65533; <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <um0kla4a8fka6f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133317

Whoa hes here in my back yard
wonder if he is building them

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020818214207.28577.00001420@mb-mr.aol.com...
> i found this on ebay today. add a new one to the list of amps you've heard
of.
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374505953
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

4289. Re: Dennis O. Re: Marko Amps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 03:04:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020818230400.00767.00001262@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 133318

there from the 70's

>
>Whoa hes here in my back yard
>wonder if he is building them

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4290. Re: Good Mobile CB for 100 bucks?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 03:26:10 UTC
From: Todd <ktm@lanset.com>
Message-ID: <3d60654e@monitor.lanset.com>
Source record: 133319

I'll second that!

"Dave or Debby" <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:31f0muorh21c92plo4qs8709u3037f8i87@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 19 Aug 2002 00:27:05 GMT, "TSH" <jimbob@world.com> wrote:
>
> >Can I get a good CB for around a hundred bucks? I was looking at used
ones,
> >but I'm a little leery to buy used, can't tell if they're worth a damn.
Any
> >suggestions?
> >
>
> 2 Suggestions. A PC-68 Uniden or a PC-78 Uniden. Best for the $$$$$.
> Dave!
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4291. Re: Good Mobile CB for 100 bucks?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 03:27:01 UTC
From: Todd <ktm@lanset.com>
Message-ID: <3d60657f@monitor.lanset.com>
Source record: 133320

Buy them from www.copper.com

"Dave or Debby" <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:31f0muorh21c92plo4qs8709u3037f8i87@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 19 Aug 2002 00:27:05 GMT, "TSH" <jimbob@world.com> wrote:
>
> >Can I get a good CB for around a hundred bucks? I was looking at used
ones,
> >but I'm a little leery to buy used, can't tell if they're worth a damn.
Any
> >suggestions?
> >
>
> 2 Suggestions. A PC-68 Uniden or a PC-78 Uniden. Best for the $$$$$.
> Dave!
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4292. Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 03:36:16 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020818233616.00767.00001269@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 133321

aaron you and jerry need to take a look at the thread intitled "3868 LSB" that is going on in rec.radio.shortwave right now discussing renegade ham's that are breaking the laws and causing havoc on the hf bands. maybe jerry should write a letter to riley himself about this.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4293. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 03:48:47 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <zUZ79.4024$1L4.299318@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 133322

After reading all of the posts I must agree that coax length makes no difference to swr. I think this myth started by CBers trying to be Hams. When feeding an antenna with open wire or 300 ohm TV twin lead as many hams do then the length of feeder plays a roll but not in coax except for line loss. I too heard this myth back in the late 70s when I started in this hobby.

"Axl" <no@idontthinkso.com> wrote in message
news:mhI79.28581$N9.3594071@twister.neo.rr.com...
> I went to measure with the SWR today and noticed it's VERY high.....and
also
> inconsistant....on the same channel, within 1 minute it can go from a 1.2
to
> a 4....the only thing I can think of is that the jumper coax I'm using is
6
> or 8 feet long.....I thought maybe if this was moved around it could cause
> problems or inconsistant readings....are accurate readings produces with a
> 12" or shorter jumper or does it not matter?
>
>

4294. Re: C-SPAN: D.C. Reparations Rally

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 03:56:44 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <00_79.2760$Wl4.95213745@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133323

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns926E97A82AAE3subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> > YOU NY Commies don't even KNOW what really happened back then. WE is
> > in da biggest slave system NOW since ancient Egypt, put here by GOD
> > because of our SINS. It was SO bad for the southern slave system that
> > MOST of da BROS STAYED ON the plantation when they were free to go,
> > because they had such GOOD MASSAS ! How good is da "massa" today? A
> > lot more WICKED den them palntation owners, thats fow shore! I say
> > GIVE DEM da reparations, so dey can buy more N8 McVoob LINEARS & CB's
> > !!!
> > !!!
> > Dave!!!
> > Dave!!
> >
>
> You've got to be kidding me.

Nope, he's as serious as a heart attack.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

4295. Re: more stuff - SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 03:56:44 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <00_79.2761$Wl4.95213745@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133324

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns926E8A41842FFsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com> wrote in
> news:o1kvlushkbkku1p51rg5qr421lumouubpb@4ax.com:
>
> Honkey?

You'll get used to him, he kind a grows on you.

Landshark

--
"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young

4296. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 04:02:13 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D604EBB.CB680827@mailcity.net>
Source record: 133325

Dave or Debby wrote:
>
> It is always VERY interesting to see the communists point of view.
> VERY Interesting BUT STUPID as Sgt. Shultz use to say!!!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

I guess anyone who does not subscribe to your religious views is a
communist.

4297. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 04:03:40 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D604F12.95A8AE92@mailcity.net>
Source record: 133326

Richard Cranium wrote:

>
> NO! That's 4 watts output in AM mode. Peak effective power has always
> been 12 watts (that's sideband for you uneducated ones - and you know
> who you are!).

PEP = Peak Envelope Power

4298. Re: more stuff - SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 04:50:40 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <AO_79.19203$Ke2.1562791@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133327

get used to it, if others can post items for sale...well so can I
Perhaps you perfer the porn spam
or perhaps you just dont have manners?

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

4299. Re: SSB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 05:26:55 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <um10beol7nrb68@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133328

For simple reception, a reduced carrier would be sufficient; for independant rigs (can't lock to each other), a simple remedy would be a reasonably accurate master oscillator in the rig (not totally cheap, however). 1 ppm is pretty easy to achieve and would assure both transmitters were within 28 Hz of each other (56 Hz maximum from each other). That would likely allow reasonable independant communications on each sideband IF (BIG if) the transceivers had good sideband supression. Don't expect anything for under $100.00. Don't expect anything decent (even a single band rig) for under a few hundred. Of course, with digital signal processing, we may see DARN good rigs in the near future using phasing techniques that may well be in the $200.00 range. Of course, the whole point is rendered moot if someone is on the channel with an older rig that is a KHz off or so ... not to mention am users. Oh well, it was a pleasant thought for a moment or so ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"BobC" <123@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:ult3roivrajs5e@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
> news:ulqv8gni6q614b@corp.supernews.com...
> > My question is why we would assume the carriers are on the same
frequency
> to
> > begin with? Most likely, one will be a KHz off or so; then it's a 50/50
> > crapshot if the two sidebands will overlap a KHz or two or not.
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
>
> You're exactly correct. Communication between stations operated in this
way
> must assume an on frequency condition amongst all "channel" users. Only in
> this way can interference be minimized and the whole concept viable.
>
> Should large scale operation of this type be contemplated I think a pilot
> carrier such as used in ACSB would suffice as means to ensure continued on
> frequency operation. The pilot carriers' frequency would be far enough
> removed from the information passband(voice) that it won't be heard and
this
> allows the receivers and transmitters to "lock" to each other. Of course
> this goes back to the initial problem of keeping a good reference timebase
> in the radio.
>

> Timebase references are relatively inexpensive anymore and even the cheap
> ones will provide suitable accuracy.
>
> Another area of concern would be those persons who defeat the "lock"
> circuits in favor of a manually tuneable transmit/receive
> capability..ie..unlocked clarifier, vfo etc. This will, in many cases,
prove
> counterproductive to the whole concept and any gains in interference
> reduction will be negated.
>
> It's a given that many stations will find need to overide the "locking"
> capability for one reason or another so the whole point is moot.
>
> But..It can be done..... with everyones co-operation.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >
> >
> > "BobC" <123@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:ulls9s8rfh1k61@corp.supernews.com...
> > > I imagine that simultaneous conversations are possible between USB and
> LSB
> > > centered on the same channel.
> > >
> > > The problem being that there will be interference between the stronger
> > > stations due to phase noises and spectral width of each station.
> > >
> > > I believe there are now digital filters that could remove such
artifacts
> > as
> > > long as they are really outside each "channel". If the phase noise and
> > voice
> > > spectrum greatly occupy the next "channel" then no amount of filtering
> > will
> > > eliminate it. There is a however to this. If you're willing to
sacrifice
> > > some fidelity in the process then you can narrow or shift one side of
> the
> > > filters' response and regain communication.
> > >
>
>
>

4300. Re: legitimate users of the 25-30mhz spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 05:29:08 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <um10fnkuudufc1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133329

I presume you prefer 777?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

```
"Dave or Debby" <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:2h00mu4978pk0o9o44v2oj72rl2vh6esl3@4ax.com...
> There is 666 lines below! GET IT---666 !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>
> On 16 Aug 2002 16:34:51 -0700, ld751@yahoo.com (2LD751) wrote:
>
> >FREQ CH USE
> >
> >
> >
>
>---------------------------------------------------------------------------
-----
> >
> >25.000 TIME
> >25.005 TIME
> >25.010 TIME
> >25.015
> >25.020 PETROLEUM
> >25.025
> >25.030
> >25.035
> >25.040 PETROLEUM
> >25.045
> >25.050
> >25.055
> >25.060 PETROLEUM
> >25.065
> >25.070 25-01 MARITIME(SHIP)
> >25.075 MARITIME
> >25.080 PETROLEUM
> >25.085 MARITIME
> >25.090 MARITIME
> >25.095 MARITIME
> >25.100 PETROLEUM/EXP
> >25.105 MARITIME
> >25.110 MARITIME
```

> >25.115 MARITIME
> >25.120 PETROLEUM
> >25.125 MARITIME
> >25.130 USGOV
> >25.135 MARITIME
> >25.140 PETROLEUM
> >25.145 MARITIME
> >25.150 MARITIME
> >25.155 MARITIME
> >25.160 PETROLEUM/USGOV
> >25.165 MARITIME
> >25.170 MARITIME
> >25.175 MARITIME
> >25.180 PETROLEUM
> >25.185 MARITIME
> >25.190 MARITIME
> >25.195 MARITIME
> >25.200 PETROLEUM/USGOV
> >25.205 MARITIME
> >25.210 MARITIME
> >25.215
> >25.220 PETROLEUM
> >25.225 USGOV
> >25.230 USGOV/EXP
> >25.235
> >25.240 PETROLEUM
> >25.245 USGOV
> >25.250
> >25.255
> >25.260 PETROLEUM
> >25.265
> >25.270
> >25.275
> >25.280 PETROLEUM
> >25.285
> >25.290
> >25.295
> >25.300 PETROLEUM
> >25.305
> >25.310
> >25.315
> >25.320 PETROLEUM
> >25.325
> >25.330
> >25.335 USGOV
> >25.340 EXP/USGOV
> >25.345 EXPERIMENTAL
> >25.350 USGOV
> >25.355
> >25.360 USGOV

> >25.365
> >25.370 USGOV
> >25.375
> >25.380 USGOV
> >25.385
> >25.390 USGOV
> >25.395
> >25.400 USGOV
> >25.405
> >25.410 USGOV
> >25.415
> >25.420
> >25.425 USGOV
> >25.430 USGOV
> >25.435 USGOV
> >25.440 USGOV
> >25.445 USGOV
> >25.450 USGOV
> >25.455
> >25.460 USGOV
> >25.465
> >25.470
> >25.475 USGOV
> >25.480 USGOV
> >25.485
> >25.490 USGOV
> >25.495
> >25.500 USGOV
> >25.505
> >25.510
> >25.515 USGOV
> >25.520 USGOV
> >25.525 USGOV
> >25.530
> >25.535 USGOV
> >25.540 USGOV
> >25.545 USGOV
> >25.550 USGOV
> >25.555
> >25.560 USGOV
> >25.565
> >25.570
> >25.575 USGOV
> >25.580 USGOV
> >25.585
> >25.590 USGOV
> >25.595 USGOV
> >25.600 EXPERIMENTAL
> >25.605
> >25.610 USGOV

> >25.615 USGOV
> >25.620 BROADCAST
> >25.625
> >25.630
> >25.635
> >25.640
> >25.645
> >25.650 USGOV
> >25.655
> >25.660
> >25.665
> >25.670 BROADCAST
> >25.675 BROADCAST
> >25.680 BROADCAST
> >25.685 BROADCAST
> >25.690 BROADCAST
> >25.695 BROADCAST
> >25.700 USGOV
> >25.705 BROADCAST
> >25.710 BROADCAST
> >25.715 BROADCAST
> >25.720 BROADCAST
> >25.725 BROADCAST
> >25.730 BROADCAST
> >25.735 BROADCAST
> >25.740 BROADCAST
> >25.745 BROADCAST
> >25.750 BROADCAST
> >25.755 BROADCAST
> >25.760 BROADCAST
> >25.765 BROADCAST
> >25.770 BROADCAST
> >25.775 USGOV
> >25.780 BROADCAST
> >25.785 BROADCAST
> >25.790 BROADCAST
> >25.795 BROADCAST
> >25.800 EXP / BDCST
> >25.805 BROADCAST
> >25.810 USGOV
> >25.815 BROADCAST
> >25.820 BROADCAST
> >25.825 USGOV
> >25.830 BROADCAST
> >25.835 BROADCAST
> >25.840 BROADCAST
> >25.845 USGOV
> >25.850 BROADCAST
> >25.855 BROADCAST
> >25.860 BROADCAST

> >25.865 BROADCAST
> >25.870 BROADCAST
> >25.875 BROADCAST
> >25.880 BROADCAST
> >25.885 BROADCAST
> >25.890 BROADCAST
> >25.895 BROADCAST
> >25.900 BROADCAST
> >25.905 BROADCAST
> >25.910 BROADCAST
> >25.915 BROADCAST
> >25.920 BROADCAST
> >25.925 BROADCAST
> >25.930 BROADCAST
> >25.935 USGOV
> >25.940 BROADCAST
> >25.945 BROADCAST
> >25.950 BROADCAST
> >25.955 BROADCAST
> >25.960 BROADCAST
> >25.965 BROADCAST
> >25.970 BROADCAST
> >25.975 BROADCAST
> >25.980 BROADCAST
> >25.985 BROADCAST
> >25.990 BROADCAST
> >25.995 BROADCAST
> >26.000 BROADCAST
> >26.005 BROADCAST
> >26.010 BROADCAST
> >26.015 BROADCAST
> >26.020 BROADCAST
> >26.025 BROADCAST
> >26.030 BROADCAST
> >26.035 BROADCAST
> >26.040 BROADCAST
> >26.045 BROADCAST
> >26.050 BROADCAST
> >26.055 BROADCAST
> >26.060 BROADCAST
> >26.065 BROADCAST
> >26.070 BROADCAST
> >26.075 BROADCAST
> >26.080 BROADCAST
> >26.085 BROADCAST
> >26.090 BROADCAST
> >26.095 BROADCAST
> >26.100 MARITIME
> >26.105 MARITIME
> >26.110 BROADCAST

> >26.115 MARITIME
> >26.120 MARITIME
> >26.125 MARITIME
> >26.130 BROADCAST
> >26.135 MARITIME
> >26.140 MARITIME
> >26.145 25-01 MARITIME(COAST)
> >26.150 BROADCAST
> >26.155 MARITIME
> >26.160 MARITIME
> >26.165 MARITIME
> >26.170 BROADCAST
> >26.175
> >26.180
> >26.185
> >26.190 BROADCAST
> >26.195
> >26.200
> >26.205
> >26.210 BROADCAST
> >26.215
> >26.220
> >26.225
> >26.230 BROADCAST
> >26.235 USGOV
> >26.240
> >26.245
> >26.250 BROADCAST
> >26.255
> >26.260
> >26.265
> >27.270 BROADCAST
> >26.275
> >26.280
> >26.285
> >26.290 BROADCAST
> >26.295
> >26.300
> >26.305
> >26.310 BROADCAST
> >26.315
> >26.320
> >26.325
> >26.330 1 NZ CB/BDCST
> >26.335 MARS
> >26.340 2 NZ CB
> >26.345
> >26.350 3 NZ CB/BDCST
> >26.355 USGOV
> >26.360 3A NZ CB

> >26.365
> >26.370 4 NZ CB/BDCST
> >26.375
> >26.380 5 NZ CB
> >26.385
> >26.390 6 NZ CB/BDCST
> >26.395
> >26.400 7 NZ CB / USGOV
> >26.405
> >26.410 7A NZ CB/BDCST
> >26.415
> >26.420 8 NZ CB
> >26.425
> >26.430 9 NZ CB/BDCST
> >26.435
> >26.440 10 NZ CB
> >26.445
> >26.450 11 NZ CB/BDCST
> >26.455
> >26.460 11A NZ CB
> >26.465
> >26.470 12 NZ CB/BDCST
> >26.475
> >26.480 13 NZ CB
> >26.485 USGOV
> >26.490 14 NZ CB
> >26.495
> >26.500 15 NZ CB / US GOVT
> >26.505
> >26.510 USGOV
> >26.515 USGOV
> >26.520 16 NZ CB
> >26.525 USGOV
> >26.530 17 NZ CB
> >26.535 USGOV
> >26.540 18 NZ CB / USGOV
> >26.545
> >26.550 19 NZ CB / US GOV
> >26.555
> >26.560 19A NZ CB / USGOV
> >26.565 BROADCAST
> >26.570 20 NZ CB
> >26.575 USGOV
> >26.580 21 NZ CB
> >26.585
> >26.590 22 NZ CB / USGOV
> >26.595
> >26.600 24 NZ CB / USGOV
> >26.605
> >26.610 25 NZ CB / USGOV

> >26.615 USGOV
> >26.620 23 NZ CB / CAP
> >26.625
> >26.630 26 NZ CB / USGOV
> >26.635 USGOV
> >26.640 27 NZ CB
> >26.645
> >25.650 28 NZ CB / USGOV
> >26.655 USGOV
> >26.660 29 NZ CB / USGOV
> >26.665 USGOV
> >26.670 30 NZ CB
> >26.675
> >26.680 31 NZ CB
> >26.685 USGOV
> >26.690 32 NZ CB / USGOV
> >26.695
> >26.700 33 NZ CB / USGOV
> >26.705 USGOV
> >26.710 34 NZ CB / USGOV
> >26.715
> >26.720 35 NZ CB / USGOV
> >26.725 USGOV
> >26.730 36 NZ CB
> >26.735
> >26.740 37 NZ CB / USGOV
> >26.745
> >26.750 38 NZ CB / US GOV
> >26.755
> >26.760 39 NZ CB / USGOV
> >26.765 BROADCAST
> >26.770 40 NZ CB / USGOV
> >26.775 USGOV
> >26.780
> >26.785
> >26.790
> >26.795
> >26.800 USGOV
> >26.805
> >26.810 USGOV
> >26.815
> >26.820 USGOV
> >26.825 USGOV
> >26.830 USGOV
> >26.835 USGOV
> >26.840
> >26.845
> >26.850 USGOV
> >26.855
> >26.860

> >26.865 USGOV
> >26.870 MARS
> >26.875 USGOV
> >26.880 MARS
> >26.885
> >26.890
> >26.895
> >26.900 USGOV
> >26.905 USGOV
> >26.910 MARS
> >26.915
> >26.920
> >26.925 USGOV
> >26.930 MARS
> >26.935
> >26.940
> >26.945 USGOV
> >26.950
> >26.955
> >26.960 USGOV
> >26.965 1 CB
> >26.970
> >26.975 2 CB
> >26.980
> >26.985 3 CB
> >26.990
> >26.995 3A CC
> >27.000
> >27.005 4 CB
> >27.010
> >27.015 5 CB
> >27.020
> >27.025 6 CB
> >27.030
> >27.035 7 CB
> >27.040
> >27.045 7A CC
> >27.050
> >27.055 8 CB
> >27.060
> >27.065 9 CB
> >27.070
> >27.075 10 CB
> >27.080
> >27.085 11 CB
> >27.090
> >27.095 11A CC
> >27.100
> >27.105 12 CB
> >27.110

> >27.115 13 CB
> >27.120 ISM
> >27.125 14 CB
> >27.130
> >27.135 15 CB
> >27.140
> >27.145 15A CC
> >27.150
> >27.155 16 CB
> >27.160
> >27.165 17 CB
> >27.170
> >27.175 18 CB
> >27.180
> >27.185 19 CB
> >27.190
> >27.195 19A CC
> >27.200
> >27.205 20 CB
> >27.210
> >27.215 21 CB
> >27.220
> >27.225 22 CB
> >27.230
> >27.235 24 CB
> >27.240
> >27.245 25 CB
> >27.250
> >27.255 23 CB
> >27.260
> >27.265 26 CB
> >27.270
> >27.275 27 CB
> >27.280
> >27.285 28 CB
> >27.290
> >27.295 29 CB
> >27.300
> >27.305 30 CB
> >27.310
> >27.315 31 CB
> >27.320
> >27.325 32 CB
> >27.330
> >27.335 33 CB
> >27.340
> >27.345 34 CB
> >27.350
> >27.355 35 CB
> >27.360

> >27.365 36 CB
> >27.370
> >27.375 37 CB
> >27.380
> >27.385 38 CB
> >27.390
> >27.395 39 CB
> >27.400
> >27.405 40 CB
> >27.410 EXPERIMENTAL
> >27.415
> >27.420 USGOV
> >27.425
> >27.430 BUSINESS
> >27.435
> >27.440 EXPERIMENTAL
> >27.445
> >27.450 BUSINESS
> >27.455
> >27.460
> >27.465
> >27.470 BUSINESS
> >27.475
> >27.480
> >27.485
> >27.490 BIS (ITINERANT)
> >27.495
> >27.500
> >27.505
> >27.510 BIS (LOW POWER)
> >27.515
> >27.520 USGOV
> >27.525
> >27.530 BIS (LOW POWER)
> >27.535
> >27.540 USGOV
> >27.545 USGOV
> >27.550 USGOV
> >27.555 USGOV
> >27.560
> >27.565 USGOV
> >27.570 USGOV
> >27.575 USGOV (ITINERANT)
> >27.580
> >27.585 USGOV (ITINERANT)
> >27.590 USGOV
> >27.595 USGOV
> >27.600 USGOV
> >27.605 USGOV
> >27.610 USGOV

> >27.615 USGOV
> >27.620 MARS
> >27.625 USGOV
> >27.630 USGOV
> >27.635 USGOV
> >27.640
> >27.645 USGOV
> >27.650 USGOV
> >27.655 USGOV
> >27.660
> >27.665 USGOV
> >27.670
> >27.675 USGOV
> >27.680 68 AU MARITIME
> >27.685
> >27.690
> >27.695 USGOV
> >27.700 USGOV
> >27.705 USGOV
> >27.710
> >27.715 USGOV
> >27.720 72 AU MARITIME/USGOV
> >27.725 USGOV
> >27.730
> >27.735 MARS
> >27.740 USGOV
> >27.745 EXPERIMENTAL
> >27.750 USGOV
> >27.755
> >27.760
> >27.765
> >27.770
> >27.775 USGOV
> >27.780 USGOV
> >27.785 USGOV
> >27.790 USGOV
> >27.795
> >27.800 USGOV
> >27.805
> >27.810 USGOV
> >27.815
> >27.820 82 AU MARITIME
> >27.825
> >27.830 MARS
> >27.835
> >27.840 84 PNG MARITIME
> >27.845
> >27.850 USGOV
> >27.855
> >27.860 86 AU MARITIME

> >27.865
> >27.870 USGOV
> >27.875 MARS
> >27.880 88 AU MARITIME/USGOV
> >27.885
> >27.890 USGOV
> >27.895
> >27.900 90 AU MARITIME/USGOV
> >27.905
> >27.910 91 AU MARITIME
> >27.915
> >27.920 92 PNG MARITIME
> >27.925 USGOV
> >27.930
> >27.935 USGOV
> >27.940 94 AU MARITIME
> >27.945
> >27.950 95 PNG MARITIME/USGOV
> >27.955
> >27.960 96 AU MARITIME/USGOV
> >27.965 MARS
> >27.970 97 PNG MARITIME
> >27.975 MARS
> >27.980 98 AU SAR/MARS
> >27.985 MARS
> >27.990 MARS
> >27.995 MARS
> >28.000 - 29.700 RADIO AMATEUR
> >29.705
> >29.710 FOREST PRODUCTS
> >29.715
> >29.720 EXP
> >29.725
> >29.730 FOREST PRODUCTS
> >29.735
> >29.740
> >29.745
> >29.750 FOREST PRODUCTS
> >29.755
> >29.760
> >29.765
> >29.770 FOREST PRODUCTS
> >29.775
> >29.780
> >29.785
> >29.790 FOREST PRODUCTS
> >29.795
> >29.800
> >29.805
> >29.810

> >29.815
> >29.820
> >29.825
> >29.830
> >29.835
> >29.840
> >29.845
> >29.850
> >29.855
> >29.860
> >29.865
> >29.870
> >29.875
> >29.880
> >29.885
> >29.890 USGOV
> >29.895 USGOV
> >29.900 USGOV
> >29.905 USGOV
> >29.910 USGOV
> >29.915
> >29.920 USGOV
> >29.925
> >29.930 USGOV
> >29.935 USGOV
> >29.940
> >29.945
> >29.950
> >29.955
> >29.960
> >29.965
> >29.970
> >29.975
> >29.980
> >29.985
> >29.990
> >29.995 USGOV
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4301. Is This A Bootleg Texas Star?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 05:30:01 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020819013001.00221.00001396@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 133330

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374687049

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4302. Re: QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 05:35:17 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <um10r4mkv019b8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133331

Dick, top loading is a fact. I've been doing it tonight with some tall,
cool 807s ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:5xR79.18201$Ke2.1495449@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
> news:lUL79.42190$nE1.12332@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> > A Cobra 29ltd will work fine with a Firestik FSII, try to avoid the
> Wilson
> > and K40
> > line. A top-loaded antenna has less attennuation than a center or
> > base-loaded antenna
> > and costs 1/3 as much.
> >
>
> Correct except what CB Science calls top-loading isn't
>
>

4303. Re: C-SPAN: D.C. Reparations Rally

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 05:42:46 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns926EE72EF2FDCsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 133332

```
"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in
news:00_79.2760$Wl4.95213745@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:

>
> "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns926E97A82AAE3subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
>> > YOU NY Commies don't even KNOW what really happened back then. WE
>> > is
>> > in da biggest slave system NOW since ancient Egypt, put here by GOD
>> > because of our SINS. It was SO bad for the southern slave system
>> > that MOST of da BROS STAYED ON the plantation when they were free
>> > to go, because they had such GOOD MASSAS ! How good is da "massa"
>> > today? A lot more WICKED den them palntation owners, thats fow
>> > shore! I say GIVE DEM da reparations, so dey can buy more N8
>> > McVoob LINEARS & CB's
>> > !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>> > !!! !!!
>> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>> > ! Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>> >
>>
>> You've got to be kidding me.
>
> Nope, he's as serious as a heart attack.
>
> Landshark
>

Disturbing.

Alan S.
```

4304. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 05:46:18 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns926EE7C81EE46subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 133334

Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in
news:s5c0muocepcb96ujipe05hdsee68t30k89@4ax.com:

> The definition of "crime" is A DAMAGE, so where, mr. commy is da
> damage? "WE WILL BURY YOU," uncle Kruschev said!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
The definition of "crime" is a damage? Uh, no it isnt. Where in the world
did you hear that? Unbelievable...

Alan S.

4305. Re: Aaron IS a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 05:47:05 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <um11h8p46bf81b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133333

Well, there go the cell phones....and the ambulance ... and the fire department ... and FM broadcasting as well as VHF and UHF television ... and the pizza delivery guys ... and FRS, MURS, and a whole lot of other stuff. Actually, I'd kind of enjoy it. At least I'd enjoy the reaction.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dave or Debby" <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message news:c2e0mu4c8hjh097747cqahi7v6tsvrtvt5@4ax.com...
> Let's SHUT down 52-890 mc Potty band! JAM IT !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> On Tue, 6 Aug 2002 22:50:35 -0400, "Jim Hampton"
> <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote:
>
> >Go ahead. I, for one, want the unlicensed stuff off 10 meters just as much
> >as you don't want someone using the TV channel of your favorite TV station.
> >Or AM/FM radio station. 10 meters is allocated worldwide for licensed
> >amateur stations. Similarly, the various broadcast frequencies (am/fm/tv)
> >are also allocated (albeit differently in different countries) for a
> >specific use and a specific licensee in various areas. This, however, is no
> >reason to shut down 11 meters.
> >
> >73 from Rochester, NY
> >Jim
> >>
> >>
> >>"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> >>news:3D5063FE.11788E7A@mailcity.net...
> >>>
> >>>
> >> ALLSTEEL77 wrote:
> >> >
> >> > Go to rec.radio.amateur.policy and read what Jerry Ox and Aaron are
> >> > spreading.They want to shut down the whole cb service.LOL.Both are shut
> >in
> >> > losers.Most of the hams on that newsgroup think they are
> >troublemakers.So much

> >> > for bridging the gap between hams and cber's.
> >>
> >> How about naming some of the hams on that group that think Jerry
> >> is a troublemaker. From what I read, most agree with him, they
> >> want to get the unlicensed crap out of 10 meters.
> >
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4306. Re: legitimate users of the 25-30mhz spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 05:50:31 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns926EE87EC8608subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 133335

Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in
news:2h00mu4978pk0o9o44v2oj72rl2vh6esl3@4ax.com:

> There is 666 lines below! GET IT---666 !
> Dave!!
>
>
Dude, you have lost your ever loving mind.

Alan S.

4307. Re: There are over 100,000 NewsGroups !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 05:51:28 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <um11pf78pe5ia2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133336

You are welcome to rec.radio.amateur.misc. More four letter words are uttered there in 30 seconds than I ever heard in a week during my 4 years in the Navy.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dave or Debby" <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message news:bqe0muk8e2r1qnh2ert6lpofgeqo7s80dc@4ax.com...
> Can U believe it? My server had to change NG settings or whatever and
> I had to download them all, and it was OVER 100,000 NewsGroups, with
> just ONE for CB !
>
> Look how many for da Hamboz!
>
> Maybe we should have more of dem?
>
> Then again, maybe we shouldn't !
>
> 4-10 ?
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4308. Re: more stuff - SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 05:53:00 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns926EE8EABCE3Dsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 133338

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in news:00_79.2761$Wl4.95213745
@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:

>
> "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns926E8A41842FFsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
>> Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com> wrote in
>> news:o1kvlushkbkku1p51rg5qr421lumouubpb@4ax.com:
>>
>> Honkey?
>
> You'll get used to him, he kind a grows on you.
>
> Landshark
>
>

I suppose so, but sheesh.

Alan S.

4309. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 05:53:06 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <um11siiii04id2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133337

Tim, sanity is not on the test for an amateur radio license. However, from some of the posts in this newsgroup, I think you already knew that ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message news:20020818233616.00767.00001269@mb-fl.aol.com...
> aaron you and jerry need to take a look at the thread intitled "3868 LSB" that
> is going on in rec.radio.shortwave right now discussing renegade ham's that are
> breaking the laws and causing havoc on the hf bands. maybe jerry should write a
> letter to riley himself about this.
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

4310. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 06:31:36 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ajq3qenam1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 133339

"John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:ajomlc017ir@enews4.newsguy.com...
>
> "Frmboybuck" <frmboybuck@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020818103240.28577.00001265@mb-mr.aol.com...
> >
> > 18' is 1/2 of a wavelength.....36/2=18
>
> Wouldn't that be 1/8 wave? As 1/4 wave antennas are 37.5 ft long yes?

No, where did you get that from?
37.5 ft is 1/4 wave at around 6.5MHz

The wavelength is equal to the speed of light divided by the frequency...
300,000,000 (metres per second) / 27,000,000 (Hz)
= 300 / 27
= 11.1111 Metres.

There are 3.28 feet in a Metre...
11.1111 x 3.28 = 36' 5"

As someone else pointed out, for coax, you have to take the
velocity factor of that coax into account. That can reduce
a 1/2 wave length to around 12 feet.
But, going back to the original question, coax length is NOT
important. If the length of coax makes a difference to your
reading, there is a problem somewhere that needs to be sorted first.

Regards,

Peter

4311. Re: SSB?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 06:31:41 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ajq3qinam2@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 133340

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:e_z69.12380$Ep6.982772@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> While it is theoretically possible to do that given that most SSB filters
> will start chopping at about 300 Hz so the two sidebands are 0.6 kHz
> apart, I am not sure that having a second signal that close will not have
> some really bad effects on the usual run of CB SSB receivers. Seems to me
> to make more sense to simply slide up 5kHz.

Setting the channels 5KHz apart and using one sideband would put all
conversations at equal distances, but the present system does seem to work
well enough for CBers. I cannot see the FCC changing things just to tidy it
up a bit when they will not even drop that crazy DX rule.

> And suppressed does not mean eliminated.

True, but the amount of carrier getting through should be extremely low.
National Semiconductor state a typical figure of 50dB suppression at
10MHz and 65dB at 500KHz carrier frequency.
You have to be within spitting distance to hear it, unless some idiot has
messed with the carrier balance in the radio.

> Even the military does not have that much courage.

Maybe they should recruit more CBers... CBers show no fear.

Yea, though I walk through the valley of knackered radios, I
will fear no splatter: for the "scwerdriver experts guide" art
with me; thy mods and thy stuff they comfort me.

Regards,

Peter.

4312. Re: how are hand held cbs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 07:08:43 UTC
From: Joe <joe.amp@NOSPAMverizon.net>
Message-ID: <%P089.13962$Dw2.5328@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 133341

> >how are hand held cbs

Horrible, the antenna loss 1/24th wave is tremendous.
The only ones that worked well were the large metal one's with the 6 foot antenna's in the 70's.
Lafayette Dyna Coms I believe.

-Joe

4313. Re: Is This A Bootleg Texas Star?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 09:13:34 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <um1dp5583qp8fa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133342

It honestly looks like a Texas Star (a very old one), however I've never seen this unit before, so who knows... I would have to think that it would have the Texas Star name on it somewhere, but it has BI-LATERAL in the location that most modern TS amps have their name...

Oh well... Maybe someone else can answer your question better...

PH

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message news:20020819013001.00221.00001396@mb-fj.aol.com...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374687049
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

4314. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 09:31:06 UTC
From: BobC <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <um2oma11iibf5e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133404

"Dave or Debby" <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:m252mucuueahoi7u40d0k9m5et8v5o3itf@4ax.com...
>
> Answers below!
> Dave!
>
> On 19 Aug 2002 01:04:14 GMT, emccleese@aol.com (EMccleese) wrote:
>
> >So what you are telling me is that the max a radio is putting out is the
dead
>
>
> The DEAD KEY IS the ONLY thing that will "hurt" the linear. On an
> AM Average power meter (bird) when you whistle into it @ 100%
> modulation, the watts USUALLY will go 1-2 watts higher. It WON'T
> hurt the amp! On the PEAK meter (ssb meter) it goes to 20-50 watts
> or more. BUT you are NOT on SSB--right? SO it is the WRONG meter to
> use, Unless you are UNRIGHTOUS and WANT to DSECEIVE people into
> thinking they are doing 50 watts, when dey is only doin' 5 !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
_______snipped__________
> It is HARD to understand BECAUSE of ALL the LIES going out here.
> Thank GOD there is a few others like me that are into THE TRUTH !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

I disagree. High peak driving power will damage the amplifier transistors
just as easily as the high dead key.

Under high drive conditions(hi peak power) the transistors experience
voltages that can damage the thin layers of semiconductor and insulator
materials inside.

Under hi heat and overdrive conditions the transistors can easily suffer
metal migration which slowly degrades the operation of the transistor.
You'll notice this as a gradual need for higher drive to maintain the usual
output and ultimately the transistor dies.

The notion that hi carrier inputs is the only thing that destroys a
transistor is incorrect. Hi input carriers are only part of the mechanism
which damages the transistors.

A way has been previously described to determine the safe carrier/peak power
inputs to an amp. It requires a setup with variable levels of carrier/peak
power, a amp meter and a wattmeter. Additionally you may want to add a
scope.

Find the 1db compression point of the transistors/amp and limit your peak power to this or less. This usually ensures that a known good amp isn't subject to the perils of overdrive/overheat conditions.

4315. Re: Is This A Bootleg Texas Star?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 10:45:53 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020819064553.10561.00001282@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 133343

Yes they did make a DX600 but I think it had 2879 finals not 3795's.

4316. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 11:27:42 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D60D61D.165A7D73@icok.net>
Source record: 133344

Georgie,
I hope this isn't an example of the
pseudoscientific sewage on your CD.
'Doc

4317. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack is dead CUSTOMERS !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 11:52:12 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D60DBDB.F5CD7E11@icok.net>
Source record: 133345

WG,
Is it the 'best' I can do? Not really,
but it's all that's needed.
I know some very nice people in Canada.
I think they would be an asset no matter
where they lived. But, just like in the
USA, there are those who will always be a
'brown spot' no matter where they live.
I also am a firm believer in reality. A
little fantasy is entertaining and in most
cases harmless. Being proud of your country
is a natural human characteristic, and is
also harmless in most cases. The problem is
when a person starts to believe that fantasy
is reality. I think it's time you had your
'meter-stick' measured...
'Doc

4318. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 12:04:05 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <V8589.19633$Ep6.1533490@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133346

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020818233616.00767.00001269@mb-fl.aol.com...
> aaron you and jerry need to take a look at the thread intitled "3868
LSB" that
> is going on in rec.radio.shortwave right now discussing renegade ham's
that are
> breaking the laws and causing havoc on the hf bands. maybe jerry should
write a
> letter to riley himself about this.
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

So what is your point, Tim? I can think of several.

1) They do it so I can, too. Seems silly but you have pushed this before.

2) Get off my case 'cause you're making me feel bad.

3) Give a guy a mike and some will act like bozos.

Let us hear the philosophy ring forth.

Dick NBK

4319. Re: Is This A Bootleg Texas Star?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 12:44:19 UTC
From: emccleese@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020819084419.11510.00001287@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 133347

A DX 600 is a texas star and has 4 2879's and thats all. so it was a pre-667 without the built in driver.

4320. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 13:48:15 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15226-3D60F71F-14@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133349

From: rmcollOMA@worldnet.att.net (Richard McCollum)
Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:2928-3D5D3EFD-61@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net... <<snip>>
Hey, this one got me to thinking.....hammies more than likley started
encroaching on the cb band ith their hammie radios after 27 was given to
cbers. Wouldn't that make the hammies that drew first blood and broke
fedral law?

>
Judas Priest, Twist. This was 1958, the middle of the all time sun
spot peak when six was open for days on end worldwide and you could have
DXCC in a weekend on 10 with a Zippo.<<<

But some of us like that stuff. Low power and long distance on a dead
quiet freq.,..what can I say? I dig it!!

>
No sane human being with 10 meter privleges would have been caught dead
on 11; why do you think it became CB??<<

Well, somewhere, SOMEONE started tweaking hammie radios to receive and
transmit on cb, and someone spread the information of how to do it as a
buncha hammies probably told a buncha screwdriving tekknishunz how to do
it???????? Some of these tekknishunz went and.....GOT 1/2 a license and
say they now are
teknicians. A little inspiration from "The 'lil Engine that Could" and
many more cbers would become radio technicians or hammies with full
honors.
Musta been a deepthroat at a hammie radio manufacturing corporation
leaking secrets....,,<g>
It was too damn long ago to worry about.....the Hatfield and McCoy thing
can only play for so long. Both are amusing. Both are fun. Both offer
good talk and banter. Just gotta poke around!

4321. Re: Pilot truck stops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 13:59:21 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15223-3D60F9B9-406@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133350

From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter)
"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:<uls5vjd54bla7d@corp.supernews.com>... There was a comment here how
the FCC came down on Pilot truck stops. As with all the other FCC stuff
this is completly bogus. Pilot still sells the entire line of 10 meter
radios. The FCC went wimpering back to busting Hams. Truck stop chains
have legal departments. Ken

>Gee Ken. Does that make Jerry a congenital liar and a dreamer who needs
some pussy?<<

I believe JerryO is relaying to us what he was told. I have heard (or
read) Riley say he is doing similar things or going do this or that.
The only real changes as opposed to the pre-Riley years, is he
(Hollingsworth) is a higher profile type and is media savvy. In fact, he
enjoys it and is quite comfortable with it. Gonna watch them Pilots
myself, as if they get harassed and no others, I think they pissed off
the wrong people and it sure as hell wasn't because they sold a radio
device that can throw a deadkey and a roger beep on 10 meter.
There are many, Pilot stops......each store can have it's own charges if
it violates said rule, no? That said, it would take a DEA-type
coordinated pre-dawn raid all over the country at the same time <g>.

4322. Re: SWR is high

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 14:03:42 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15228-3D60FABE-2@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133348

>From: lgsast@strato.net (Mad Dog)
I have to agree with the "Doc", you can tune a piano but you cant tuna
fish.
--
Mad Dog<<

My old vinyl agrees. OLD REO Speedwagon ROCKED before they became a
sappy love ballald band (Pre-"Hi Infidelity") Riding the Storm Out,
Flying Turkey Trot, Riverside Avenue, Only the Strong Survive.....it
goes on...thanks for the memories, MD!

4323. Re: Is This A Bootleg Texas Star?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 14:29:43 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020819102943.07968.00001202@mb-mi.aol.com>
Source record: 133351

i always thought that was a Texas Star 500

>A DX 600 is a texas star and has 4 2879's and thats all. so it was a
>pre-667
>without the built in driver.
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4324. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 14:35:38 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020819103538.07968.00001205@mb-mi.aol.com>
Source record: 133352

my point is why only bother the cb'ers? i dont see jerry, aaron, frank or anybody else tirading about the amatuer bands and on there newsgroups complaining and these problems are even more directly related to the service there fighting for. i just wanted to point out the hypocrisy in what there doing in here and also it was because aaron keeps denying the fact that there is any problems on the hf bands at all and has demanded several times lately that one of us cb'ers prove that it actaully happening. well here is his proof directly from rec.radio.shortwave

>
>So what is your point, Tim? I can think of several.
>
>1) They do it so I can, too. Seems silly but you have pushed this before.
>
>2) Get off my case 'cause you're making me feel bad.
>
>3) Give a guy a mike and some will act like bozos.
>
>Let us hear the philosophy ring forth.
>
>Dick Nï¿½BK
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4325. Re: more stuff - SPAM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 14:43:00 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Ut789.3378$l53.121640181@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133353

"George Kinzer" <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:AO_79.19203$Ke2.1562791@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> get used to it, if others can post items for sale...well so can I
> Perhaps you perfer the porn spam
> or perhaps you just dont have manners?
>
> --
> If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens
>
>

I report the porn spammer just as much, so don't
feel flattered George. Actually I and I'm sure others don't
have a problem with you posting items for sale. It's just
you don't need to post it everyday for a week. Once every couple of weeks
would be fine, I'm sure other's would agree
too.
You might want to read your TOS, you have
already violated it by making those posts, so please
be careful on how you post your ad's.

http://www.att.net/general-info/termscond.html

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

4326. Re: C-SPAN: D.C. Reparations Rally

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 14:43:00 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Ut789.3379$l53.121640181@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133354

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns926EE72EF2FDCsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in
> news:00_79.2760$Wl4.95213745@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:
>
> >
> > "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:Xns926E97A82AAE3subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> >> > YOU NY Commies don't even KNOW what really happened back then. WE
> >> > is
> >> > in da biggest slave system NOW since ancient Egypt, put here by GOD
> >> > because of our SINS. It was SO bad for the southern slave system
> >> > that MOST of da BROS STAYED ON the plantation when they were free
> >> > to go, because they had such GOOD MASSAS ! How good is da "massa"
> >> > today? A lot more WICKED den them palntation owners, thats fow
> >> > shore! I say GIVE DEM da reparations, so dey can buy more N8
> >> > McVoob LINEARS & CB's
> >> > !!
> >> > !!! !!!
> >> > Dave!!
> >> > ! Dave!!
> >> >
> >>
> >> You've got to be kidding me.
> >
> > Nope, he's as serious as a heart attack.
> >
> > Landshark
> >
>
> Disturbing.
>
> Alan S.
>

Well Mopar meet him a while back and said
he wasn't that bad of a person . Could be one of
those Jekel & Hyde type, who knows.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

4327. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 15:53:57 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D611495.9030502@csotbs.org>
Source record: 133355

Ok.. This is funny..

Two posts ago, "Me" posts that there is no reason to post an amateur call
in this newsgroup, and now he's asking RC to post it, for "proof"...

What a hypocrite. What an ignoramus. What a fool.

Really.

I've said it before, and now I'll say it again..

Grow the fuck up.

-SSB

Me wrote:

> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0208141443.8314f94@posting.google.com...

>>What, you never had a CB license? I did, now I have a ham license.
>>
>
>
>>What do YOU have? Nothing!
>>
>
> Snapper Head you asked for his amateur call sign , Is your name twisted
> since your now trying to change what you said. Oh you have a ham license
> whats the Call? You said you have nothing to hide and even went so far as
> to ask Aaron for his, remember so he can be taken more seriously as you put
> it. So whats the call OM?
> I think you are a wannabe with no license your a swler.
>
>
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

4328. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 15:57:36 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <m252mucuueahoi7u40d0k9m5et8v5o3itf@4ax.com>
Source record: 133357

Answers below!
Dave!

On 19 Aug 2002 01:04:14 GMT, emccleese@aol.com (EMccleese) wrote:

>So what you are telling me is that the max a radio is putting out is the dead

The DEAD KEY IS the ONLY thing that will "hurt" the linear. On an
AM Average power meter (bird) when you whistle into it @ 100%
modulation, the watts USUALLY will go 1-2 watts higher. It WON'T
hurt the amp! On the PEAK meter (ssb meter) it goes to 20-50 watts
or more. BUT you are NOT on SSB--right? SO it is the WRONG meter to
use, Unless you are UNRIGHTOUS and WANT to DSECEIVE people into
thinking they are doing 50 watts, when dey is only doin' 5 !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

>key? there is no variation? Is there ever a time that the wattage would be
>higher than this? and if so why does it not hurt the amp. I am really not
>trying to act stupid, just trying to understand.

It is HARD to understand BECAUSE of ALL the LIES going out here.
Thank GOD there is a few others like me that are into THE TRUTH !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4329. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 15:59:16 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D6115D4.1020302@csotbs.org>
Source record: 133356

"Me" must be a moron...

Although.... nah.. I won't even give "it" that much credit.

-SSB

Me wrote:

> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
>
>>>Well if he were really a ham, he'd realize that there is no
>>>
> type-acceptance
>
>>>requirement for amateur radio transceivers.
>>>
>
>>He isn't. He once (allegedly) stated that he was studying for his
>>license exam.
>>
> And neither are you Dick Head . Whats the call or are you to much of a Dick
> with Ears
>
>
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

4330. Re: Is This A Bootleg Texas Star?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 16:25:12 UTC
From: Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <um26v9pfqggvde@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133359

umm they call that a DX500

"EMccleese" <emccleese@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020819084419.11510.00001287@mb-mm.aol.com...
> A DX 600 is a texas star and has 4 2879's and thats all. so it was a
pre-667
> without the built in driver.

4331. Re: legitimate users of the 25-30mhz spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 16:27:07 UTC
From: Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <um272sdp2klk06@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133362

Umm we all knew this about 2 years ago.

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns926EE87EC8608subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in
> news:2h00mu4978pk0o9o44v2oj72rl2vh6esl3@4ax.com:
>
> > There is 666 lines below! GET IT---666 !
> > Dave!!
> >
> >
> Dude, you have lost your ever loving mind.
>
> Alan S.

4332. Re: Is This A Bootleg Texas Star?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 16:27:38 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020819122738.27594.00001255@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 133360

thanks jesse

>
>That is a outcom before they started using the name Texas Star

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4333. Results are in! A-99(+ mods) vs Imax-2000(+ mods) vs 827 vs dipole vs cheap 5/8 and 1/2 wave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 16:28:04 UTC
From: Simon Bussey <s.j.bussey@GOAWAYSPAMhw.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <o0989.4492$of.27993383@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 133361

I've tested the above antennas with each other over the course of a few months, the imax-2000 with GPK-1 just today. I've given a quick summary of each antenna and a table showing marks out of 5 for various aspects of the antennas.

First the operating conditions
Radio = President Lincoln, all tests @ 10w
Antenna = I have 2 poles about 20ft high separated by about 30ft there are no large objects surrounding the poles. I have two poles so I can compare two antennas side by side.
coax= H100 low loss, aprox 40-50 ft from each pole

Antennas!

A-99 Orginal
1/2 wave fiberglass antenna
�50

A-99 with GPK-1 mod
1/2 wave fibreglass with 4 large grounplanes
�80

A-99 with firestick upgrade top section mod
5/8 wave top loaded coil fiberglass
�70

Imax-2000 original
0.64 wavelength (5/8=0.625) Fibreglass antenna
�70?

Imax-2000 with GPK-1 mod
0.64 wavelength (5/8=0.625) Fibreglass with 4 large grounplanes
�100

Sirio 827
5/8 Base loaded with 8 Horizontal grounplanes
�70
---
cheap 5/8
5/8 metal basic
�20
---

cheap 1/2
1/2 metal basic
€18

1/2 homemade dipole
wire 1/2 dipole inverted V config
€1
---
performance/value/ease of construction/durability/Bandwith/overall
size-uglyness(lower=more ugly!)
*= exceptional

```
A99 1/1.5/5/4/3/5
A99+gpk1 2/1.5/4/4/3/3
A99+fup 2.5/2/4.5/4/4/4.5
imax 4/3/5/4/4/4.5
imax+gpk1 4.5/3/4/4/4/3
827 5*/5/2/4.5/5*/2
5/8 2/5*/3/3/3/3
1/2 1/5/3/3/3/4
dipole 3/5**/0/0/1/5
```

Conclusion, the Sirio 827 is the best performing omni directional antenna I have tested so far, the only drawback is that it is large and clumbersome and quite an eyesore when its up! If you want something a little more discrete that can be mounted where an antenna with grounplaneds can't (i.e against a wall I would suggest the imax-2000) If you are on a budget a cheap 5/8 will perform very well for €20.
One thing I have found is that manufactures stated gains means f all.. the a-99 doesn't have a gain of 9.9dbi! and the 827 doesn't have a gain of 7.2dbd however stated gains from the same manufacture can be used to show the performance of one antenna against another, for example looking at a sirio catalogue you can compare their antennas performace against each other and see that the 827 is their best performing antenna but you can't compare these gain figures to show how it will perform with another manufactures antenna.

4334. Re: Is This A Bootleg Texas Star?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 16:37:56 UTC
From: Jesse Stanley <superstar@frognet.net>
Message-ID: <RY889.7067$pv6.768739@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 133358

That is a outcom before they started using the name Texas Star
www.TimNebo.com wrote in message
<20020819013001.00221.00001396@mb-fj.aol.com>...
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374687049
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
>http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

4335. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 16:42:35 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13679-3D611FFB-332@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133365

>From: nojunk@mail.com (WG)
After reading all of the posts I must agree

(Snip)

What you agree or disagree with matters to no one.

>I think this myth<<

What you think has never been of any consequence among these pages.

> started by CBers trying to be Hams. <<

Hehhehe! Those that want to be hammies, are.

>When feeding an antenna with open wire or 300 ohm TV twin lead as many hams do then the length of feeder plays a roll but not in coax except for line loss. I too heard this myth back in the late 70s when I started in this hobby. <<

Back in the day, huh?

4336. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 16:53:40 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D612294.3010607@csotbs.org>
Source record: 133363

That's got to be the first accurate statement "Aaron Voobner" has made in a long time, if ever.

-SSB

Aaron H. Voobner wrote:

> FCC Part 97 must be abided by. FCC
> Part 95 must also be abided by. That means a ham cannot legally use
> that radio to transmit both on 10 meters and also on CB or the
> so-called "freeband".
>
> -Aaron-
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

4337. Re: C-SPAN: D.C. Reparations Rally

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 16:54:52 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns926F64FD8CDBAsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 133364

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote in
news:20020819105046.068$3C@newsreader.com:

> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
>> "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:Xns926EE72EF2FDCsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
>> > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in
>> > news:00_79.2760$Wl4.95213745@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:
>> >
>> > >
>> > > "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> > > news:Xns926E97A82AAE3subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
>> > >> > YOU NY Commies don't even KNOW what really happened back
>> > >> > then. WE is
>> > >> > in da biggest slave system NOW since ancient Egypt, put here
>> > >> > by GOD because of our SINS. It was SO bad for the southern
>> > >> > slave system that MOST of da BROS STAYED ON the plantation
>> > >> > when they were free to go, because they had such GOOD MASSAS !
>> > >> > How good is da "massa" today? A lot more WICKED den them
>> > >> > palntation owners, thats fow shore! I say GIVE DEM da
>> > >> > reparations, so dey can buy more N8 McVoob LINEARS & CB's
>> > >> > !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>> > >> > !!! !! !!! !!!
>> > >> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>> > >> > !!! !! !
>> > >> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>> > >> >
>> > >>
>> > >> You've got to be kidding me.
>> > >
>> > > Nope, he's as serious as a heart attack.
>> > >
>> > > Landshark
>> > >
>> >
>> > Disturbing.
>> >
>> > Alan S.
>> >
>>
>>> Well Mopar meet him a while back and said
>> he wasn't that bad of a person . Could be one of
>> those Jekel & Hyde type, who knows.
>>
```

>> Landshark
>>
> I think he laughs as much as I do,about the content of his posts.
>

Oh! Well, then. I'll start laughing too :)

Alan S.

4338. Re: Results are in! A-99(+ mods) vs Imax-2000(+ mods) vs 827 vs dipole vs cheap 5/8 and 1/2 wave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 17:32:26 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@charter.net>
Message-ID: <um2b07mh2ch1ce@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133366

Simon.....I'm curious. How did you test those.....with another station(groundwave) at about 12--15 miles? That would be about the most accurate. Skywave contacts aren't much of a barometer.

"Simon Bussey" <s.j.bussey@GOAWAYSPAMhw.ac.uk> wrote in message news:o0989.4492$of.27993383@news-text.cableinet.net...
> I've tested the above antennas with each other over the course of a few
> months, the imax-2000 with GPK-1 just today. I've given a quick summary of
> each antenna and a table showing marks out of 5 for various aspects of the
> antennas.
>
> First the operating conditions
> Radio = President Lincoln, all tests @ 10w
> Antenna = I have 2 poles about 20ft high separated by about 30ft there are
> no large objects surrounding the poles. I have two poles so I can compare
> two antennas side by side.
> coax= H100 low loss, aprox 40-50 ft from each pole
>
> Antennas!
> ----------
> ----------
> A-99 Orginal
> 1/2 wave fiberglass antenna
> £50
> ----------
> A-99 with GPK-1 mod
> 1/2 wave fibreglass with 4 large grounplanes
> £80
> ----------
> A-99 with firestick upgrade top section mod
> 5/8 wave top loaded coil fiberglass
> £70
> ----------
> Imax-2000 original
> 0.64 wavelength (5/8=0.625) Fibreglass antenna
> £70?
> ----------
> Imax-2000 with GPK-1 mod
> 0.64 wavelength (5/8=0.625) Fibreglass with 4 large grounplanes
> £100
> ----------
> Sirio 827

> 5/8 Base loaded with 8 Horizontal grounplanes
> ?70
> ----------
> cheap 5/8
> 5/8 metal basic
> ?20
> ----------
> cheap 1/2
> 1/2 metal basic
> ?18
> ----------
> 1/2 homemade dipole
> wire 1/2 dipole inverted V config
> ?1
> ----------
> performance/value/ease of construction/durability/Bandwith/overall
> size-uglyness(lower=more ugly!)
> *= exceptional
>
> A99 1/1.5/5/4/3/5
> A99+gpk1 2/1.5/4/4/3/3
> A99+fup 2.5/2/4.5/4/4/4.5
> imax 4/3/5/4/4/4.5
> imax+gpk1 4.5/3/4/4/4/3
> 827 5*/5/2/4.5/5*/2
> 5/8 2/5*/3/3/3/3
> 1/2 1/5/3/3/3/4
> dipole 3/5**/0/0/1/5
>
> Conclusion, the Sirio 827 is the best performing omni directional antenna
I
> have tested so far, the only drawback is that it is large and clumbersome
> and quite an eyesore when its up! If you want something a little more
> discrete that can be mounted where an antenna with grounplaneds can't (i.e
> against a wall I would suggest the imax-2000) If you are on a budget a
cheap
> 5/8 will perform very well for ?20.
> One thing I have found is that manufactures stated gains means f all.. the
> a-99 doesn't have a gain of 9.9dbi! and the 827 doesn't have a gain of
> 7.2dbd however stated gains from the same manufacture can be used to show
> the performance of one antenna against another, for example looking at a
> sirio catalogue you can compare their antennas performace against each
other
> and see that the 827 is their best performing antenna but you can't
compare
> these gain figures to show how it will perform with another manufactures
> antenna.
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4339. Re: Results are in! A-99(+ mods) vs Imax-2000(+ mods) vs 827 vs dipole vs cheap 5/8 and 1/2 wave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 17:55:24 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D6130F2.D07C93DB@icok.net>
Source record: 133367

Simon,
Interesting. There are a couple of things about your testing
that I'd like to know.
1. What did you use for a signal 'source'? Just signals on
the band, in general, a particular station(s)?
2. How did you 'normalize' propagation affects?
3. Since your two poles are still close enough together that
the two antennas being tested could affect each other, how did
you compensate for that affect?

I agree, manufacturer's gain figures are more figments of
their imaginations than fact.
'Doc

4340. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 18:16:27 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208191016.6575aec7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133368

"Richard McCollum" rmcollOMA@worldnet.att.net
Date: Mon, Aug 19, 2002 5:04 AM
Message-id: <V8589.19633$Ep6.1533490@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020818233616.00767.00001269@mb-fl.aol.com...
> aaron you and jerry need to take a look at the thread intitled "3868
LSB" that
> is going on in rec.radio.shortwave right now discussing renegade ham's
that are
> breaking the laws and causing havoc on the hf bands. maybe jerry should
write a
> letter to riley himself about this.
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

So what is your point, Tim? I can think of several.

1) They do it so I can, too. Seems silly but you have pushed this before.

2) Get off my case 'cause you're making me feel bad.

3) Give a guy a mike and some will act like bozos.

Fair analysis, Dick.

-Aaron-

4341. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 18:22:45 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020819142245.22296.00001792@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 133369

i was just showing how odd it is that with all the troubles on the world wide amatuer bands you religate yourself to "policing" the cb freqs only when there is so much more going on. after all the guys on the ham bands are making our entire nation look like fools to the whole world, yet your biggest concern is still truckers with small amps driving up an down the highway. aaron you asked for proof of "current torubles on the hf bands" and here it is. dont try to wiesel out of it now. be a man and admitt it really is going on and theres nothing you can do about any of it.

>So what is your point, Tim? I can think of several.

>-Aaron-

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4342. Re: Pilot truck stops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 18:26:12 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208191026.5dfb6366@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133370

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote:
>From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter)
>"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
>news:<uls5vjd54bla7d@corp.supernews.com>... There was a comment here
how
>the FCC came down on Pilot truck stops. As with all the other FCC
stuff
>this is completly bogus. Pilot still sells the entire line of 10
meter
>radios. The FCC went wimpering back to busting Hams. Truck stop
chains
>have legal departments. Ken
>
>
>>Gee Ken. Does that make Jerry a congenital liar and a dreamer who
needs
>
>some pussy?<<
>
>
>I believe JerryO is relaying to us what he was told. I have heard (or
>read) Riley say he is doing similar things or going do this or that.
> The only real changes as opposed to the pre-Riley years, is he
>(Hollingsworth) is a higher profile type and is media savvy. In fact,
he
>enjoys it and is quite comfortable with it. Gonna watch them Pilots
>myself, as if they get harassed and no others, I think they pissed
off
>the wrong people and it sure as hell wasn't because they sold a radio
>device that can throw a deadkey and a roger beep on 10 meter.

I suppose this is how CB myths and rumors get started:

TRUCKER A: That Pilot Truck stop sells them exports over on the
interstate got busted by the FCC.
TRUCKER B: Yeah, I heard on the Internet that the guvamint don't give
a hoot if we use illegal radios and ham frequencies and kickers and
all....'cept they can't come right out an' say that in public.
TRUCKER A: Yeah but then how come Pilot got busted?
TRUCKER A: Ohhh wal, they pissed off the wrong people y'know....it's
all parta the big guvamint conspiracy to take freedoms from the common
man.

-Aaron-

4343. Re: Pilot truck stops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 18:28:49 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208191028.49d1aa39@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133371

Oops, sorry. Typo corrected version.

TRUCKER A: That Pilot Truck stop sells them exports over on the interstate got busted by the FCC.
TRUCKER B: Yeah, I heard on the Internet that the guvamint don't give a hoot if we use illegal radios and ham frequencies and kickers and all....'cept they can't come right out an' say that in public.
TRUCKER A: Yeah but then how come Pilot got busted?
TRUCKER B: Ohhh wal, they pissed off the wrong people y'know....it's all parta the big guvamint conspiracy to take freedoms from the common man.

-Aaron-

4344. Re: Pilot truck stops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 18:41:29 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns926F77117F7FAsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 133372

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in
news:c9ceae5b.0208191028.49d1aa39@posting.google.com:

> Oops, sorry. Typo corrected version.
>
> TRUCKER A: That Pilot Truck stop sells them exports over on the
> interstate got busted by the FCC.
> TRUCKER B: Yeah, I heard on the Internet that the guvamint don't give
> a hoot if we use illegal radios and ham frequencies and kickers and
> all....'cept they can't come right out an' say that in public.
> TRUCKER A: Yeah but then how come Pilot got busted?
> TRUCKER B: Ohhh wal, they pissed off the wrong people y'know....it's
> all parta the big guvamint conspiracy to take freedoms from the common
> man.
>
> -Aaron-
>

Heh, that's too funny. Kinda makes ya wonder...

4345. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 18:53:32 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208191053.4eef83b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133373

> timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com)
>Date: Mon, Aug 19, 2002 11:22 AM
>Message-id: <20020819142245.22296.00001792@mb-cl.aol.com>
>
>i was just showing how odd it is that with all the troubles on the
world
>wide
>amatuer bands you religate yourself to "policing" the cb freqs only
when
>there
>is so much more going on. after all the guys on the ham bands are
making
>our
>entire nation look like fools to the whole world, yet your biggest
concern
>is
>still truckers with small amps driving up an down the highway. aaron
you
>asked
>for proof of "current torubles on the hf bands" and here it is. dont
try
>to
>wiesel out of it now. be a man and admitt it really is going on and
theres
>nothing you can do about any of it.

First of all, Tim, I never said there weren't any problems on the ham bands. I asked for proof that a certain frequency on 20 meters was currently being abused. It wasn't. That's because the violators who abused it in the past got penalized by the FCC. If you read the FCC enforcement logs you will see that problems do get dealt with. Often it takes time, but it happens, and it has nothing to do with me or my posting in this NG. It happens because the majority of hams don't want their bands to become an unusable mess. BTW, I notice you seem to be a "fan" of HF trouble spots, i.e. you know just what frequencies to be on. Interesting...

-Aaron-

4346. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 18:58:05 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajrf87$1ddi5c$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133374

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:sra79.22329$EP2.1050509@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...

> Now is a good time to mount a letter writing
> campaign to get something
> done about this crap. If we sit back and do nothing, we are assured to
LOSE
> the use of the bands
> (interference) AND possibly the bands themselves to commercial interests
> because the bands aren't
> being used in the manner for which they wre intended. So, Tom, get your
pen
> and start writing!

I hate to say it, but a letter-writing campaign won't do any good. Congress really doesn't care because there won't be any $$$ in the lobby effort. Even if they did care, there's not much they can do because the rules are already on the books. It's up to the understaffed & underbugeted FCC to enforce the rules. Unless they get more funding, which is unlikely at this time, they aren't going to mount any large-scale enforcement efforts. They usually don't even go after individual offenders unless there's an interference complaint.

Tom

4347. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 19:01:58 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajrf8b$1ddi5c$2@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133375

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208161113.2fa1508b@posting.google.com...

> You have made your point: you don't care if people hijack or misuse
> the radio spectrum (unless it happens to be your allocation).
>
> -Aaron-

Not necessarily. I said I don't care what happens on the CB band or
"freeband". Now if someone was interfering with aircraft communications,
the local PD, or something like that, then that's different. But there's
hardly any way to get all the freebanders off the "freeband." There's just
so many illegal operators out there that if the FCC decided to go after
every illegal CB'er, they'd be kept busy for years.

Tom

4348. Re: The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 19:09:38 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208191109.138b65f9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133376

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:<L3t79.45710$SS.2068914@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> The Power Wars Continue
> By Stan Wells
>
> The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios

One glaring error: EVERY radio mentioned in the article is an "export
CB"; not one of them is a true ten-meter radio. And as everybody
knows, "export CBs" have never been legal for use within the USA.

4349. Re: Personal Call with the man

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 19:13:28 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajrftj$1db9o6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133377

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208161122.11eb720f@posting.google.com...

> Again, you have made your point: you don't care about illegal
> operation or misuse of the radio spectrum (unless it happens to be
> your allocation). So I'm not surprised at your encouragement of
> pirate radio operators.
>

I enjoy listening to pirate radio stations on shortwave. They provide a hell of a lot better and more entertaining programming than any other shortwave stations. If someone is willing to take the chance of broadcasting a pirate station, then I'm willing to listen. There's no law that says you can't listen.

Tom

4350. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 19:18:01 UTC
From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <3D614469.581A997F@lucent.com>
Source record: 133378

Jim Hampton wrote:

> Nice try, but .... a receiver for ssb could receive CW.

True, but it is much harder to construct an SSB receiver out of scrap parts!

An AM receiver can be constructed out of almost nothing!

(Just ask the guys with the Gillette Blue Blade detectors during WWII)

And CW can be received on any AM receiver, just no sidetone.....

> An am receiver ...
> well, I don't know how well I'd do without a tone.

You would do what you had to do.

Just like anything else, you could get used to it after a while.

The original telegraph operators in railroad stations and telegraph offices in the 1800's did not have any sidetone, and they did just fine!

> If the bomb drops, I
> don't know about you, but I'll likely tuck my head between my legs and kiss
> my a** goodbye! ;)

You, living in NY, and I, living within 50 Miles of downtown Chicago, would both be in deep doo doo; but there will be folks in Wyoming, Iowa, Arizona, etc. who will survive.

>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
> ps - the answer as to communications is how many radios survive.

My point exactly!

> Amateur
> towers are usually smaller than commercial towers and in a real nasty blow
> some are likely to survive.

Not if they are solid state and connected to any type of a metal tower!

If they are tube units, then maybe.......

> CB exists in (likely) the millions and many are
> likely to survive.

My original point again!

> Go figure. It might make sense to pool resources rather
> than spend time flaming each other (?).

And both the FCC and the ARRL should be encouraging that type of activity.

Take away your Phones, Cell phones, and Repeaters, and about all you will have left available to the average American to communicate with will be those few CB radios that somehow survived the EMP.

If only 1/2 of 1% of the existing CB radios survive, that's still a very large number of CB radios!

I don't know of any new (last 5 years) HAM transceiver made today that would survive an air burst unless it was disconnected from any antenna, and stored deep underground!

(While an old Drake TR4 series, or Hallicrafters SX series tube unit would probably work fine!)

The old 23 channel solid state CB's that are still out there in great numbers use discrete transistors and have no large scale integration, making them much more likely to survive the EMP; and MOST of the old tube CB sets should also survive.

> Constructing a set might be easy
> for a few of us, but will require components, solder, and a working
> soldering iron.

I would need some components, but could construct a working AM or CW transceiver without solder or iron.

It would take a little lot longer, but it's possible.

> My main concern just might be food and water.

If I didn't have my own supply, I would desperately be trying to communicate to find out where I could get some...

And the first thing I would look for would be a working AM receiver.

Regards,

Dennis O.

4351. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 19:22:44 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajrgev$1dndul$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133379

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:gws79.188474$6Z1.8660646@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> They don't? Galaxy's so called 10 meter radios dx33hml has 6 bands and 240
> channels out of the box, DX44 8 bands 360 channels out of the box, DX 66v
> 360 channels 8 bands , DX73V 360 channels 8 bands echo reverb, robot voice
> changer, etc etc Connex same story. Why arent any of these so called Ham
> radios advertised in QST or 73 magazine or even qrz's website if they are
> geared towards hams?

No, those are "export CB's" designed for use in other countries. In case you didn't realize it, other countries don't rely on the standard 40 channels from 26.965-27.405. For whatever reason these CB's designed for export ended up on the market here.

However, other radios such as the Uniden 2510 & 2600 were designed for 10 meter use and must be modified out of the box for use outside the 10 meter band.

Tom

4352. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 19:23:36 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajrggj$1dkibj$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133380

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:gws79.188474$6Z1.8660646@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> > If I modified a Cobra 148
> > to operate on 10 meters, does that make it a "phony CB?"
>
> No it makes it a illegal CB

Illegal for use on CB frequencies but not on 10 meters.

Tom

4353. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 19:25:05 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajrgjd$1cu478$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133381

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D5EE4A6.AD695C67@yaSPAMhoo.com...

> And I would like to add:
>
> http://t0xic.com/pics/argue.jpg

Yeah, it's starting to feel that way.

Tom

4354. Re: Uniden Sundowner UH-088 40-channel UHF CB (Australian) question/help...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 19:36:56 UTC
From: Rob C <robbyc90@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ajrhd8$2ta1$1@arachne.labyrinth.net.au>
Source record: 133384

Why do I even bother with this newsgroup?... Yeah right George, thanks for the helpful hint.

"Rob C" <robbyc90@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:ajnpjtdab1@arachne.labyrinth.net.au...
> Howdy all,
>
> Just wondering if there is any way to remove that annoying "beep" from
> button pressings on this radio... Any help/info/mods greatly appreciated.
>
> Cheers.
> Rob C. (Australia)
>
>

4355. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 19:37:40 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajrhav$163r66$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133382

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208162249.5e60ebd4@posting.google.com...
>
> http://hotspotcb.com/cbshop/connex.html
> These only go to 28.305. Not a very appealing to a ham are they?

They could probably be modified to operate from 28.000 - 29.700. Even if it wasn't, it could still be used as a CW transceiver, or even a beacon transmitter. If I had one, that's what I'd use it for.

>
> LOL. I don't think even you can tell me (with a straight face) that
> this is "a ham radio":
>

If a ham is using it on the 10 meter band, then it's a ham radio. Regardless, you still don't seem to get my point, so I'm going to spell it out for the last time.

There is no such thing as a radio that is illegal for amateur radio use, provided the user uses it within the limits of his/her license (frequency, power output, etc). There is no such thing as a type-acceptance requirement for amateur radio transceivers.

10 meter radios that have been modified for use on the CB band or freeband are still legal for use on 10 meters by a licensed ham, provided he/she only uses it on 10 meters.

I don't deny that many radios are being sold to CB'ers because they have "extra channels" and transmit at higher power levels. But it's the responsibility of the radio owner to use it in a legal and responsible matter. Because many people don't isn't the radio's fault.

Tom

4356. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 19:38:47 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajrhd2$1dk6p9$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133383

"SideBand" <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
news:3D612294.3010607@csotbs.org...
> That's got to be the first accurate statement "Aaron Voobner" has made in
a
> long time, if ever.

Yeah, but he just can't get it that a ham can use one of those radios on 10
meters.

Tom

4357. FS: DaveMade M-80

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 19:43:44 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020819154344.05704.00001136@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 133385

Brand NEW in the Bubble wrapped box, Works very nicely....i'll take $165 for it, that includes shipping costs.....thanks

Thatz-me-JP

4358. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 19:45:05 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <5Vb89.78753$Fw3.3720373@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133387

"SideBand" <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
news:3D6115D4.1020302@csotbs.org...
> "Me" must be a moron...
>
> Although.... nah.. I won't even give "it" that much credit.
>
> -SSB

Better than being a jackass like yourself.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

4359. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 19:46:53 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajrhs9$1dt1tn$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133386

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:bYs79.188730$6Z1.8674473@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...

> If they are true Amateur transcievers you would
> think you might see a CW mode as well.

The Uniden 2510 and 2600 do have CW mode.

Tom

4360. Re: Roper Electronics...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 19:47:51 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020819154751.05704.00001138@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 133389

GOSH roper has some VERY VERY high prices on there stuff!!! whoa!! lol

Thatz-me-JP

4361. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 19:49:47 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajri1m$1dddp1$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133388

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208170938.474d3f55@posting.google.com...

> The FCC has already restricted the sales of external RF linear
> amplifiers, thanks to abuse by outlaw CB operators.

Linear amplifiers are still available for the ham bands. Do you think they
should be illegal too, even though some of them will operate on the 11 meter
band?

Tom

4362. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 19:51:45 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <I%b89.78830$Fw3.3724210@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133391

"SideBand" <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
news:3D611495.9030502@csotbs.org...
> Ok.. This is funny..
>
> Two posts ago, "Me" posts that there is no reason to post an amateur call
> in this newsgroup, and now he's asking RC to post it, for "proof"...

Exactly there is no reason to post it in this NG. Yet Dick Head asked Aaron to post his callsign and he even went as far as saying that he is a ham and has no reason to hide. So of course I asked him to post his call just as he asked aaron to post his If you can't keep up with the thread and who said what. You dyslexic piece of shit mind your own business or go troll somewhere else

> What a hypocrite. What an ignoramus. What a fool.

Yes they describe you perfectly

> Really. I've said it before, and now I'll say it again..
> Grow the fuck up.

I will when I am older.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

4363. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 19:51:50 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajri5h$1dkqak$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133390

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208181110.7badda16@posting.google.com...
>
> PS to Tom: You may never hear back from the FCC on your question (in a
> pinch, call one of the numbers listed at the bottom of the original
> letter and talk straight to the men who know the truth), but if you do
> I hope you'll put it up here in a new thread.

I'm still waiting for a reply. I feel I may have to call them again.

Tom

4364. Re: Is This A Bootleg Texas Star?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 19:55:53 UTC
From: emccleese@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020819155553.26376.00001339@mb-bd.aol.com>
Source record: 133392

It is now. Guess I should have said pre 500. I don't know if there was a DX 500 or not. Just know someone who has a DX 600.

4365. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 20:03:32 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <nac89.79000$Fw3.3728456@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133394

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13679-3D611FFB-332@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >From: nojunk@mail.com (WG)
> After reading all of the posts I must agree
>
> (Snip)
>
> What you agree or disagree with matters to no one.

Likewise your opinion to him means nothing to anyone as well so why did you
waste your time saying his opinion matters and then act like all of a sudden
your does?

> >I think this myth<<

> What you think has never been of any consequence among these pages.

Again likewise, neither has anything you have said why do you feel what
your telling this man is of any consequence?

4366. Re: The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 20:05:02 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajriua$1cv9di$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133393

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:L3t79.45710$SS.2068914@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...

> Lastly, I would be remiss if I didn't tell you this. To be in possession
of
> a modified radio is a federal felony.

I find this very hard to believe. A felony? Hardly. To be in possession
isn't against the FCC rules, but transmitting with one is. But it's just a
violation of FCC rules, not a felony. It's not even a legal crime. The FCC
can fine you and confiscate your equipment, but they can't throw you in
jail.

Tom

4367. Re: FS: Slugs for Bird Meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 20:06:38 UTC
From: Peter Völpel <qro1750@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <3D614FCE.B32B5F0F@compuserve.de>
Source record: 133395

> The Tube Man wrote:
>
> I have the following NEW slugs that will work in either the BIRD 43 or
> the Coaxial Dynamic 83000
> 2-30 Mhz
> 250 watt-$124
> 5KW-$148
> 10KW-$158
> 25KW-$168
>
> 1KW USED-$70

Are you shure that the 25KW slug works with the BIRD 43(30ï¿½A meter)?
Usually above 10KW the slugs go with the 100ï¿½A meter.

Peter

4368. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 20:13:30 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajrje6$1dfv2l$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133396

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208170954.5134b881@posting.google.com...
> shitblaster@volcanomail.com (Blaster) wrote:
> >TRUTH: Violating FCC regulations isn't ANY sort of Felony, State,
> >federal or otherwise. Neither is Jay walking, Running a red lite or a
> >hundred other infractions. Look Up the legal definition of felony.
>
> This sure sounds a lot more serious than jay walking:
>
> If a federal court finds that you have WILLFULLY and KNOWINGLY
> violated any FCC Rule, you may be fined up to $500 for each day you
> committed the violation. If a federal court finds that you have
> WILLFULLY and KNOWINGLY violated any provision of the Communications
> Act, you may be fined up to $10,000 or may be imprisoned for one year,
> or both.

Right. These are maximum fines for violating FCC rules. But owning a CB
that's illegal for use is not a felony, or any kind of crime whatsoever.
Even using one isn't a crime, just an FCC rules violation. They can fine
you, but can't arrest you.

Tom

4369. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 20:25:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1150-3D615454-41@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133397

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:13679-3D611FFB-332@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: nojunk@mail.com (WG)
"After reading all of the posts I must agree
(Snip)

What you agree or disagree with matters to no one.

>Likewise your opinion to him means nothing to anyone as well so why did you waste your time saying his opinion matters and then act like all of a sudden your does? <<

Merely to point out his inaccuracy concerning his comment about cbers wanting to be hammies. It's a redundant cry among an idiot that just can't seem to get over himself and grasp that he is in the minority. Telusplanet indeed.

"I think this myth"

What you think has never been of any consequence among these pages.

> Again likewise, neither has anything you have said why do you feel what your telling this man is of any consequence?<<

What made you think I did? The homing pigeon?

4370. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 20:30:15 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1150-3D615557-42@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133398

>From: timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com)
i was just showing how odd it is that with all the troubles on the world wide amatuer bands you religate yourself to "policing" the cb freqs only when there is so much more going on. after all the guys on the ham bands are making our entire nation look like fools to the whole world, yet your biggest concern is still truckers with small amps driving up an down the highway. aaron you asked for proof of "current torubles on the hf bands" and here it is. dont try to wiesel out of it now. be a man and admitt it really is going on and theres nothing you can do about any of it.<
<<

Accurate analysis, Tim.

4371. Re: Mobile Amp Forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 20:43:47 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2928-3D615883-456@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133400

>From: whocare@you.com (Me)
Your the Dog Griffey, just take a look at one of your amps.<<

Dear now-careful comcast comedian,
What exactly is it about being attracted to canines that offends you
concerning the amp?

4372. Re: Results are in! A-99(+ mods) vs Imax-2000(+ mods) vs 827 vs dipole vs cheap 5/8 and 1/2 wave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 20:59:10 UTC
From: Simon Bussey <s.j.bussey@GOAWAYSPAMhw.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <y_c89.10$sw7.9317427@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 133399

The way they were tested performance wise by talking to local and medium distance stations I talk to regualy, upto about 50miles. Each antenna was up for a couple of weeks so I could get a 'feel' for the antenna and get signal reports from my local stations. I also had the two antenna on a switch box so I could get a report from each quicky under the same conditions. For example the sirio 827 gave about 2-3 s points stongger than the a-99 in direct comparsion depending on who i was talking to, to be honest i found the a-99 a much overrated antenna! a £20 5/8 out performed it. But anyway its more a reviw of the 'feeling' I got from each antenna rather than a precise technical assesment!
As for the two antenna next to each other I didn't take that into account and they could possibly have made a differnence but the the swr was always a near 1:1 perfect on the channels I used for testing.

"Simon Bussey" <s.j.bussey@GOAWAYSPAMhw.ac.uk> wrote in message news:o0989.4492$of.27993383@news-text.cableinet.net...
> I've tested the above antennas with each other over the course of a few
> months, the imax-2000 with GPK-1 just today. I've given a quick summary of
> each antenna and a table showing marks out of 5 for various aspects of the
> antennas.
>
> First the operating conditions
> Radio = President Lincoln, all tests @ 10w
> Antenna = I have 2 poles about 20ft high separated by about 30ft there are
> no large objects surrounding the poles. I have two poles so I can compare
> two antennas side by side.
> coax= H100 low loss, aprox 40-50 ft from each pole
>
> Antennas!
> ----------
> ----------
> A-99 Orginal
> 1/2 wave fiberglass antenna
> £50
> ----------
> A-99 with GPK-1 mod
> 1/2 wave fibreglass with 4 large grounplanes
> £80
> ----------
> A-99 with firestick upgrade top section mod
> 5/8 wave top loaded coil fiberglass
> £70

> ------------------------------
> Imax-2000 original
> 0.64 wavelength (5/8=0.625) Fibreglass antenna
> €70?
> ---------------------------------
> Imax-2000 with GPK-1 mod
> 0.64 wavelength (5/8=0.625) Fibreglass with 4 large grounplanes
> €100
> ---------------------------------
> Sirio 827
> 5/8 Base loaded with 8 Horizontal grounplanes
> €70
> ---------------------------------
> cheap 5/8
> 5/8 metal basic
> €20
> ---------------------------------
> cheap 1/2
> 1/2 metal basic
> €18
> ---------------------------------
> 1/2 homemade dipole
> wire 1/2 dipole inverted V config
> €1
> ---------------------------------
> performance/value/ease of construction/durability/Bandwith/overall
> size-uglyness(lower=more ugly!)
> *= exceptional
>
> A99 1/1.5/5/4/3/5
> A99+gpk1 2/1.5/4/4/3/3
> A99+fup 2.5/2/4.5/4/4/4.5
> imax 4/3/5/4/4/4.5
> imax+gpk1 4.5/3/4/4/4/3
> 827 5*/5/2/4.5/5*/2
> 5/8 2/5*/3/3/3/3
> 1/2 1/5/3/3/3/4
> dipole 3/5**/0/0/1/5
>
> Conclusion, the Sirio 827 is the best performing omni directional antenna
I
> have tested so far, the only drawback is that it is large and clumbersome
> and quite an eyesore when its up! If you want something a little more
> discrete that can be mounted where an antenna with grounplaneds can't (i.e
> against a wall I would suggest the imax-2000) If you are on a budget a
cheap
> 5/8 will perform very well for €20.
> One thing I have found is that manufactures stated gains means f all.. the
> a-99 doesn't have a gain of 9.9dbi! and the 827 doesn't have a gain of

> 7.2dbd however stated gains from the same manufacture can be used to show
> the performance of one antenna against another, for example looking at a
> sirio catalogue you can compare their antennas performace against each
other
> and see that the 827 is their best performing antenna but you can't
compare
> these gain figures to show how it will perform with another manufactures
> antenna.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4373. Re: Legal 10 Meter Radios for 10m use

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 21:22:20 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D618BA6.B4AB288D@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> news:bYs79.188730$6Z1.8674473@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> > If they are true Amateur transcievers you would
> > think you might see a CW mode as well.
>
> The Uniden 2510 and 2600 do have CW mode.
>
> Tom

And so does a 2950.

4374. Re: C-SPAN: D.C. Reparations Rally

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 21:25:35 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D618C69.D969C55E@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133402

"Alan S." wrote:
>
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote in
> news:20020819105046.068$3C@newsreader.com:
>
> > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
> >> "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:Xns926EE72EF2FDCsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> >> > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in
> >> > news:00_79.2760$Wl4.95213745@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:
> >> >
> >> > >
> >> > > "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> > > news:Xns926E97A82AAE3subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> >> > >> > YOU NY Commies don't even KNOW what really happened back
> >> > >> > then. WE is
> >> > >> > in da biggest slave system NOW since ancient Egypt, put here
> >> > >> > by GOD because of our SINS. It was SO bad for the southern
> >> > >> > slave system that MOST of da BROS STAYED ON the plantation
> >> > >> > when they were free to go, because they had such GOOD MASSAS !
> >> > >> > How good is da "massa" today? A lot more WICKED den them
> >> > >> > palntation owners, thats fow shore! I say GIVE DEM da
> >> > >> > reparations, so dey can buy more N8 McVoob LINEARS & CB's
> >> > >> > !!!
> >> > >> > !!! !! !!! !!!
> >> > >> > Dave!!!
> >> > >> > !!! !! !
> >> > >> > Dave!!
> >> > >> >
> >> > >>
> >> > >> You've got to be kidding me.
> >> > >
> >> > > Nope, he's as serious as a heart attack.
> >> > >
> >> > > Landshark
> >> > >
> >> >
> >> > Disturbing.
> >> >
> >> > Alan S.
> >> >
> >>
> >>> Well Mopar meet him a while back and said
> >> he wasn't that bad of a person . Could be one of

> >> those Jekel & Hyde type, who knows.
> >>
> >> Landshark
> >>
> > I think he laughs as much as I do,about the content of his posts.
> >
>
> Oh! Well, then. I'll start laughing too :)
>
> Alan S.

And it beats AA & "me" by five miles!

4375. COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 21:27:35 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D618CE1.CF89A786@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133403

COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!

Thank you.

Mad Dog wrote:
>
> If your runnin barefoot the coax length is unimportant,however the antenna
> should be tuned using a analyzer,when in doubt use 18ft.
> You have got a loose ground somewhere causing the fluctuation.
> Coaxial lengths cannot change the swr, however they can fool the swr meter
> and
> make you think the swr changed.
> Life is like a box of chocolates,you dont know what youre gonna get.
> --
> 714Sandpile
> The "Mad Dog" wavin goodbye
> "Axl" <no@idontthinkso.com> wrote in message
> news:mhI79.28581$N9.3594071@twister.neo.rr.com...
> > I went to measure with the SWR today and noticed it's VERY high.....and
> also
> > inconsistant....on the same channel, within 1 minute it can go from a 1.2
> to
> > a 4....the only thing I can think of is that the jumper coax I'm using is
> 6
> > or 8 feet long.....I thought maybe if this was moved around it could cause
> > problems or inconsistant readings....are accurate readings produces with a
> > 12" or shorter jumper or does it not matter?
> >
> >

4376. Re: Skippin around

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 21:43:10 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D619088.D97AC25F@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133405

John Smith wrote:
>
>
> Any other skip stories?

I've heard Oklahoma answer one Baltimore County dispatcher
one morning, and another BC dispatcher answer a car from
California. I was listening in a mobile with an RS mag-mount
antenna on the trunk. 39 Mhz action.

4377. Re: COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 22:00:49 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns926F98DD01A0Esubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 133406

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in
news:3D618CE1.CF89A786@yaSPAMhoo.com:

> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
>
> Thank you.
>
LOL. I'm with you on this. I say this cautiously, however. It DOES NOT affect SWR, though a length of coax say - 4ft - MAY cause erroneous SWR readings. Try it (not you Scott, somebody else). Grab 4' of coax and hook the SWR meter up near the base of the antenna. Now hook it up near the radio and notice the difference. Which reading it right? I always thought it was the one near the antenna (I think I'mright on this, but maybe not). I suppose one could say that a certain length of coax may or may not give you an accurate SWR measurement. Still, it has no effect on the actual SWR.

Perfect SWR is for pussies anyway =) (HA HA HA)

Just kidding.
-Alan S.

4378. Re: Legal 10 Meter Radios for 10m use

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 22:03:51 UTC
From: Mike Yetsko <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <um2qv0fopevo5a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D618BA6.B4AB288D@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Tom Sevart wrote:
> >
> > "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> > news:bYs79.188730$6Z1.8674473@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >
> > > If they are true Amateur transcievers you would
> > > think you might see a CW mode as well.
> >
> > The Uniden 2510 and 2600 do have CW mode.
> >
> > Tom
>
> And so does a 2950.

I've been told by some CW people I'd trust that the CW mode on some
of the Unidens, with the exception of the HTX-100 variant from RS,
wasn't worth squat. Being there was only one step to worthless,
according to them.

The latest RS 10M rig that does SSB and FM doesn't do CW...
And it's a fine little 10M rig!

Mike

4379. Re: Pilot truck stops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 22:25:23 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <36e89.63201$dr.8132930@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133408

<<snip>>
Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:15223-3D60F9B9-406@storefull-> There are many, Pilot stops......each
store can have it's own charges if
> it violates said rule, no? That said, it would take a DEA-type
> coordinated pre-dawn raid all over the country at the same time <g>.

Truly, I believe they would deal with the company headquarters. There's a
Pilot north of here on
I-85 selling the crap. I dropped one of my "worthless" dimes on him, too.

Jerry
>

4380. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 22:33:43 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020819183343.16108.00001683@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 133409

not tue aaron the 20 meter freq in question is 14.313 and it has not changed!
thats just another lie by you.

>First of all, Tim, I never said there weren't any problems on the ham
>bands. I asked for proof that a certain frequency on 20 meters was
>currently being abused. It wasn't. That's because the violators who
>abused it in the past got penalized by the FCC.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4381. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 22:35:20 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020819183520.16108.00001685@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 133410

sure am, all i have to do is read rec.radio.shortwave and the trash misc ham group to be right up to date on all the hot spots like the am'ers on 75 meters out on the west coast that keep jamming the ssb'ers. its alot of fun to hear the guys go at it.

>
>their bands to become an unusable mess. BTW, I notice you seem to be
>a "fan" of HF trouble spots, i.e. you know just what frequencies to be
>on. Interesting...
>
>-Aaron-
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4382. Re: Pilot truck stops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 22:57:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <747-3D6177E1-120@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133412

<<snip>>
Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:15223-3D60F9B9-406@storefull-> There are many, Pilot
stops......each
store can have it's own charges if
it violates said rule, no? That said, it would take a DEA-type
coordinated pre-dawn raid all over the country at the same time <g>.

>Truly, I believe they would deal with the company headquarters. There's
a Pilot north of here on
I-85 selling the crap. I dropped one of my "worthless" dimes on him,
too.
Jerry<<

You're probably correct, they WOULD deal with headquarters.
Heads up..you snipped in a fashion so as to respond to me, quoted me,
but I get the feeling you are somehow insinuating it was I that said
something concerning dropping "worthless dimes", otherwise, why in hell
include it in a response to me. Drop all the dimes you want, Jer, but
leave the innuendos and insertions of what isn't there to the undeground
society, would ya'? Hammie radio needs your good will and assistance far
more than it needs your enthusiasm for patrolling cbers.

4383. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 22:59:38 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208191459.5e9ff576@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133411

timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com)
>Date: Mon, Aug 19, 2002 3:33 PM
>Message-id: <20020819183343.16108.00001683@mb-bg.aol.com>
>
>not true aaron the 20 meter freq in question is 14.313 and it has not
changed!

Give us some callsigns of who you hear, Tim, it might make your case
more believable.

-A

>>First of all, Tim, I never said there weren't any problems on the
ham
>>bands. I asked for proof that a certain frequency on 20 meters was
>>currently being abused. It wasn't. That's because the violators who
>>abused it in the past got penalized by the FCC.
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
>http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4384. Brand new in box Yupiteru MVT-7100E

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 23:21:41 UTC
From: "Oleg M." <omhome@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <94f89.25154$sa1.350@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 133413

Brand new in box Yupiteru MVT-7100E radio
scanner(s).
Come with Antenna, Car connector, Ni-Cad batteries, Hand strap, Belt clip,
Earphone and English owner's manual.
$270 US.

MI1OL@ICQMAIL.COM

4385. Re: Results are in! A-99(+ mods) vs Imax-2000(+ mods) vs 827 vs dipole vs cheap 5/8 and 1/2 wave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 23:38:44 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020819193844.16108.00001696@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 133416

HERE A A99 IS MUCH CHEAPER THAN A 5/8 ANTENNA. FOR SOME REASON I GET THE FEELING ITS THE OTHER WAY AROUND THERE IN THE UK?

>
>
>The way they were tested performance wise by talking to local and medium
>distance stations I talk to regualy, upto about 50miles. Each antenna was up
>for a couple of weeks so I could get a 'feel' for the antenna and get signal
>reports from my local stations. I also had the two antenna on a switch box
>so I could get a report from each quicky under the same conditions. For
>example the sirio 827 gave about 2-3 s points stongger than the a-99 in
>direct comparsion depending on who i was talking to, to be honest i found
>the a-99 a much overrated antenna! a ï¿½20 5/8 out performed it. But anyway
>its more a reviw of the 'feeling' I got from each antenna rather than a
>precise technical assesment!
>As for the two antenna next to each other I didn't take that into account
>and they could possibly have made a differnence but the the swr was always a
>near 1:1 perfect on the channels I used for testing.
>
>
>"Simon Bussey" <s.j.bussey@GOAWAYSPAMhw.ac.uk> wrote in message
>news:o0989.4492$of.27993383@news-text.cableinet.net...
>> I've tested the above antennas with each other over the course of a few
>> months, the imax-2000 with GPK-1 just today. I've given a quick summary of
>> each antenna and a table showing marks out of 5 for various aspects of the
>> antennas.
>>
>> First the operating conditions
>> Radio = President Lincoln, all tests @ 10w
>> Antenna = I have 2 poles about 20ft high separated by about 30ft there are
>> no large objects surrounding the poles. I have two poles so I can compare
>> two antennas side by side.
>> coax= H100 low loss, aprox 40-50 ft from each pole
>>
>> Antennas!
>> ----------
>> ----------
>> A-99 Orginal
>> 1/2 wave fiberglass antenna
>> ï¿½50
>> ----------
>> A-99 with GPK-1 mod
>> 1/2 wave fibreglass with 4 large grounplanes

>> ï¿½80
>> ----------
>> A-99 with firestick upgrade top section mod
>> 5/8 wave top loaded coil fiberglass
>> ï¿½70
>> ----------
>> Imax-2000 original
>> 0.64 wavelength (5/8=0.625) Fibreglass antenna
>> ï¿½70?
>> ----------
>> Imax-2000 with GPK-1 mod
>> 0.64 wavelength (5/8=0.625) Fibreglass with 4 large grounplanes
>> ï¿½100
>> ----------
>> Sirio 827
>> 5/8 Base loaded with 8 Horizontal grounplanes
>> ï¿½70
>> ----------
>> cheap 5/8
>> 5/8 metal basic
>> ï¿½20
>> ----------
>> cheap 1/2
>> 1/2 metal basic
>> ï¿½18
>> ----------
>> 1/2 homemade dipole
>> wire 1/2 dipole inverted V config
>> ï¿½1
>> ----------
>> performance/value/ease of construction/durability/Bandwith/overall
>> size-uglyness(lower=more ugly!)
>> *= exceptional
>>
>> A99 1/1.5/5/4/3/5
>> A99+gpk1 2/1.5/4/4/3/3
>> A99+fup 2.5/2/4.5/4/4/4.5
>> imax 4/3/5/4/4/4.5
>> imax+gpk1 4.5/3/4/4/4/3
>> 827 5*/5/2/4.5/5*/2
>> 5/8 2/5*/3/3/3/3
>> 1/2 1/5/3/3/3/4
>> dipole 3/5**/0/0/1/5
>>
>> Conclusion, the Sirio 827 is the best performing omni directional antenna
>I
>> have tested so far, the only drawback is that it is large and clumbersome
>> and quite an eyesore when its up! If you want something a little more
>> discrete that can be mounted where an antenna with grounplaneds can't (i.e
>> against a wall I would suggest the imax-2000) If you are on a budget a

>cheap
>> 5/8 will perform very well for ï¿½20.
>> One thing I have found is that manufactures stated gains means f all.. the
>> a-99 doesn't have a gain of 9.9dbi! and the 827 doesn't have a gain of
>> 7.2dbd however stated gains from the same manufacture can be used to show
>> the performance of one antenna against another, for example looking at a
>> sirio catalogue you can compare their antennas performace against each
>other
>> and see that the 827 is their best performing antenna but you can't
>compare
>> these gain figures to show how it will perform with another manufactures
>> antenna.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4386. Re: Pilot truck stops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 23:40:23 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020819194023.16108.00001697@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 133414

good now if somebody would drop a brick on you things would be just fine

>
>Truly, I believe they would deal with the company headquarters. There's a
>Pilot north of here on
>I-85 selling the crap. I dropped one of my "worthless" dimes on him, too.
>
>
>Jerry
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4387. Re: Is This A Bootleg Texas Star?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 23:41:53 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5nf89.20409$Ke2.1658200@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133415

there was a DX, three stages, l-m-h, with pre amp...
had one around 1995

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

4388. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 23:45:55 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020819194555.16108.00001699@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 133417

believable to who aaron? everybody in the entire radio active world knows it is going on but you! how clueless can you be? please tell me your just being difficult for being difficults sake and not that your so out of touch with reality that you dont even know whats happening

>Give us some callsigns of who you hear, Tim, it might make your case
>more believable.
>
>-A

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4389. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-19 23:56:00 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208191556.ceb665d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133418

>timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote
>
>everybody in the entire radio active world knows
>it is going on but you!

If you are radio active on 14.313, do let us know times (UTC) when you're on. As Tom Sevart says, there's no law against listening to (and making recordings of) pirates....

-Aaron-

4390. Re: Pilot truck stops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 00:02:00 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <YFf89.712$NZ.40884@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133419

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020819194023.16108.00001697@mb-bg.aol.com...

> >
> >Truly, I believe they would deal with the company headquarters.
There's a
> >Pilot north of here on
> >I-85 selling the crap. I dropped one of my "worthless" dimes on him,
too.
> >
> >
> >Jerry
> >>
> >
> >

> good now if somebody would drop a brick on you things would be just fine
> Tim Nebo

My my, Tim. And why might this be? Pilot may or may not be a decent truckstop but it would appear they are engaged in some activity that might be considered dubious at best. Bitching is the ancient right of the enlisted man and Jerry is doing so.

Is the problem doing it, saying it, saying it with vigor, saying it too often, what?? I seem to have been spared the wrath of Tim and yet we put a good stack of pix in the mail this AM. Got ourselves another naked bus driver that the troops do not have a clue what to do with. Is there something in the bandbus rules that says one has to be driving in the altogether or close to it to be on 28.085?

4391. Amps For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 00:04:34 UTC
From: dclark506@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020819200434.17976.00001522@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 133420

Thunderbolt 305 Base Tube Amp 1 Driving 3 6LQ6's In excellent shape $350

Texas Star V Plus Mod Good 1 pill amp $70

No Name 5 LB Maul with auto SSB, Remote jacks for power on/off and rec pre amp
1 driving 4. like new $300

4392. Re: QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 00:06:37 UTC
From: nrogers81BOGUS@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <0s13mu8rlumqqe7qjrl963qe2pm08oroi6@4ax.com>
Source record: 133421

To all who replied: Thanks for your help.
I have a little more information to go on now
when I buy my new rig next week.
To reply via email, please follow both of these steps:

1. Reply to 999npotzrebie2@hotmail.com. You will
need to remove 999 from the email address or it will
bounce.

2. Place USENET at the beginning of the subject line,
like so:

USENET RE: YOUR POST

These are anti-spam measures that I regret to say
have become necessary.

4393. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 00:35:45 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <B9g89.84095$Fw3.3914163@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133422

Tim a couple of quick questions, were these hams IDing
every 10 minutes as required? And if so did you catch any callsigns?

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020819142245.22296.00001792@mb-cl.aol.com...
> i was just showing how odd it is that with all the troubles on the world
wide
> amatuer bands you religate yourself to "policing" the cb freqs only when
there
> is so much more going on. after all the guys on the ham bands are making
our
> entire nation look like fools to the whole world, yet your biggest concern
is
> still truckers with small amps driving up an down the highway. aaron you
asked
> for proof of "current torubles on the hf bands" and here it is. dont try
to
> wiesel out of it now. be a man and admitt it really is going on and theres
> nothing you can do about any of it.
>
>
>
> >So what is your point, Tim? I can think of several.
>
> >-Aaron-
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

4394. Re: FS: Slugs for Bird Meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 00:39:05 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <lcg89.85078$2p2.3879069@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133423

"Peter V�lpel" <qro1750@compuserve.de> wrote in message
news:3D614FCE.B32B5F0F@compuserve.de...
>
>
> > The Tube Man wrote:
> >
> > I have the following NEW slugs that will work in either the BIRD 43 or
> > the Coaxial Dynamic 83000
> > 2-30 Mhz
> > 250 watt-$124
> > 5KW-$148
> > 10KW-$158
> > 25KW-$168
> >
> > 1KW USED-$70
>
>
> Are you shure that the 25KW slug works with the BIRD 43(30�A meter)?
> Usually above 10KW the slugs go with the 100�A meter.
>
> Peter

LOL, Peter I was thinking the same thing since the inch and 5 eights line section used the 30ua meter and they are supposed to only be good for 10 kw. But they do make 25kw slugs that work with a 30 micro amp meter.

4395. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 00:42:45 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <9gg89.84035$SS.3888026@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133424

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:1150-3D615454-41@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...

What made you think I did? The homing pigeon?

I read your post that was my first clue. LOL The homing pigeon arrived late
so we called No Joy on the mission.

4396. Re: Roper Electronics...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 00:43:55 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <fhg89.84047$SS.3889336@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133425

"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020819154751.05704.00001138@mb-fw.aol.com...
> GOSH roper has some VERY VERY high prices on there stuff!!! whoa!! lol

Yes almost as high as your amp price

4397. Re: Davemade M-80

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 00:44:39 UTC
From: Mr 937 <tj1072@covad.net>
Message-ID: <3D6190F7.6040006@covad.net>
Source record: 133426

I would take 165.00 for it too!!!!! Heck a brand new Xforce X-80 is
around 150.00.

UknowMeJP wrote:
> Brand NEW in the Bubble wrapped box, Works very nicely....i'll take $165 for
> it, that includes shipping costs.....thanks
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

4398. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 00:47:05 UTC
From: "r.r.c.b.auto*responder-V3.1" <yusenet@autoresponder.kom>
Message-ID: <um34aa5einfq70@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133427

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208191556.ceb665d@posting.google.com...
> >timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote
> >
> >everybody in the entire radio active world knows
> >it is going on but you!
>
> If you are radio active on 14.313, do let us know times (UTC) when
> you're on. As Tom Sevart says, there's no law against listening to
> (and making recordings of) pirates....
>
> -Aaron-

Wringing you're filthy little troll hands, in anticipation there Voob?
Got your filthy troll finger poised on the REC. Button?
Hee Hee Hee Hah!

4399. Re: Davemade M-80

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 00:47:48 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Ukg89.20569$Ke2.1666473@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133428

"Mr 937" <tj1072@covad.net> wrote in message
news:3D6190F7.6040006@covad.net...
> I would take 165.00 for it too!!!!! Heck a brand new Xforce X-80 is
> around 150.00.
>
> UknowMeJP wrote:
> > Brand NEW in the Bubble wrapped box, Works very nicely....i'll take
$165 for
> > it, that includes shipping costs.....thanks
> >
> >
> > Thatz-me-JP
>

Yes, and X-Farce's website is hilarious.

4400. Re: Mobile Amp Forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 00:48:07 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <blg89.85196$2p2.3887230@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133429

The design and output of his amp twisty remind me of a canine.. Hope that clarifys my position.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:2928-3D615883-456@storefull-2312.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >From: whocare@you.com (Me)
> Your the Dog Griffey, just take a look at one of your amps.<<
>
>
>
>
>
> Dear now-careful comcast comedian,
> What exactly is it about being attracted to canines that offends you
> concerning the amp?
>

4401. Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual--2nd Edition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 01:05:10 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <ae53muo5oej5ic1c7jb10kpjvggdhv9c8t@4ax.com>
Source record: 133430

In stock-the NEW updated Galaxy-Ranger service manual. This SECOND EDITION covers the EPT3600, EPT0696, and EPT6900 chassis types. That includes MANY brands such as Galaxy, Ranger/RCI, Superstar, Texas Ranger, Connex, etc. Complete details on this specific book are on our Web site at:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

Check out all our CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

* *
In case you didn't already know...

Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

If you have any problems getting the files, or need additional details on what we offer, please email us directly at:

info@cbcintl.com

73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980

www.cbcintl.com

Email: info@cbcintl.com

4402. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 01:48:36 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020819214836.10125.00001773@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 133431

i listen off and on thru out the entire day

>
>
>>timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote
>>
>>everybody in the entire radio active world knows
>>it is going on but you!
>
>If you are radio active on 14.313, do let us know times (UTC) when
>you're on. As Tom Sevart says, there's no law against listening to
>(and making recordings of) pirates....
>
>-Aaron-
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4403. Re: Pilot truck stops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 01:51:41 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020819215141.10125.00001776@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 133432

wow dick calm down. i know i'm a powerful young man but comparing me to a deity? damn.....

>I seem to have been spared the wrath of Tim

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4404. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 01:55:31 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020819215531.10125.00001778@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 133433

no most of the times the guys playing music and belching on the air dont actually ID themselves as you already know but surely THEY MUST BE HAMS because they are using modified ham gear just as you guys assume and claim all the voices on 10 meters are cb'ers since there using modified cb radios. the same logic is valid. is it not?

>Tim a couple of quick questions, were these hams IDing
>every 10 minutes as required? And if so did you catch any callsigns?

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4405. Re: DaveMade M-80

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 01:58:45 UTC
From: brian <none@no.com>
Message-ID: <pnh89.4333$aU3.946823@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 133434

http://www.hyelectronics.com/x80m.htm

"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020819154344.05704.00001136@mb-fw.aol.com...
> Brand NEW in the Bubble wrapped box, Works very nicely....i'll take $165
for
> it, that includes shipping costs.....thanks
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

4406. Re: Pilot truck stops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 01:59:30 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208191759.516503ba@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133435

```
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<r_W79.53968$dr.6892816@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> news:uls5vjd54bla7d@corp.supernews.com...
> > There was a comment here how the FCC came down on Pilot truck stops.
> > As with all the other FCC stuff this is completly bogus. Pilot still sells
> > the entire line of 10 meter radios. The FCC went wimpering back to busting
> > Hams. Truck stop chains have legal departments.
> > Ken
>
>
> All of these people have received warnings or fines recently. I don't call
> that "wimpering"
> If they wish to ignore the agents, then they can join Rabbit Ears--in
> JAIL!!!!!!!!!! Let them
> see how those leg irons and chains feel
>
>
> J
>
> To wit, Derek's Trucking of Creedmoor, NC, Copper, EEI/ExportsRUS (were they
> the same?),
> L & N Design, of Boise, Idaho, NYC Taxis, The Two Way Shop, Kennewick, WA,
> Yoshie
> Nakamura, Palo Alto, CA, Truck N Travel Centers, Coburg, OR, Gary Gribble
> Trucking, Rock-
> wood, PA, Mr. Trip Williams, Travelers' Rest, SC, Mr. Michael Kinney,
> Sherman Oaks, CA,
> Mr. George Cairns (trucker), Waldron, AR, Mr. Carl Vincent, Lake Elsinore,
> CA, Mr. Mark Hanson, Wausau, WI, David Merrill, Wichita Falls, TX, Jerry
> Smith, Claymont, DE, Mr. McCreary, of a recent posting, Roper Electronics,
> of Tomah, WI, Flying J Travel Plazas, and Pilot
> Truck Stops--nearly ALL of which are connected with the 10 meter issue.
>
>
> >
> >

Yeh right Jerry. These damn illegal radio ops need to be in that there
ole prison , no doubt about it, along with those damn ole bank robbers
and rapist. Man what bad people. Of all the things in this country to
be put in prison for. Good grief. Sounds to me like there is a big
imbalance here.
```

4407. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 02:08:32 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208191808.63fb252a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133436

timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com)
>Date: Mon, Aug 19, 2002 6:48 PM
>Message-id: <20020819214836.10125.00001773@mb-fe.aol.com>
>
>i listen off and on thru out the entire day

What antenna system are you using for 75/40/20 meters, Tim?

-Aaron-

4408. Re: QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 02:24:36 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D61A851.A3BF0D0E@icok.net>
Source record: 133438

Mad Dog,
Not inductive, it's capacitive, and works about as
well as any other including a Firestick.
'Doc

4409. Re: Results are in! A-99(+ mods) vs Imax-2000(+ mods) vs 827 vs dipole vs cheap 5/8 and 1/2 wave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 02:26:11 UTC
From: ld751@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d12c96e.0208191826.2c0778f0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133439

'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:<3D6130F2.D07C93DB@icok.net>...

> 3. Since your two poles are still close enough together that
> the two antennas being tested could affect each other, how did
> you compensate for that affect?

He said the two antennas were 30 feet apart - more than the 1/2 wave
required to keep them from having any possible interaction.

4410. i need help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 02:28:14 UTC
From: david <dmazure@tsixroads.com>
Message-ID: <um3dqcl5fgqr06@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133450

is ther a way to modefi a galaxy cb radio to the fire department, plice, and ablulence my frinks are 25 to 28 mz is there a way to remodafy the cb radio pleas email me back @ dmazure68@tmasil.com

4411. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 02:28:47 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3D61A97D.E3139FCC@attbi.com>
Source record: 133440

The original question concerned wildly varying swr readings.
1. Buy new pl259 connectors for everything and solder them properly. If you don't know how and it isn't a crime, get some help from someone who does know how.
2. If that didn't fix it, check for the attachment to the vehicle to verify that is is grounded properly. This is a magnet mount antenna so this might not be an issue.
3. Check to see if the coax connection in the base of the antenna is oxidized. You might need to disassemble the thing.
4. MY VOTE: If the preceeding fails to solve the problem, buy another 20 dollar antenna.

Axl wrote:

> I'm using this antenna
> (http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=C
> TLG%5F001%5F002%5F004%5F000&product%5Fid=21%2D989)
>
> or go to radioshack.com and type in 21-989 in the search.
>
> It's adjustable, so changing the whip length is easy enough..but when I
> first got it, I had my av. swr reading down to like... 1 - 1.2 and I just
> checked it out of curiosity 2 days ago and noticed the readings were
> generally MUCH higher...I suppose since it's adjustable it may have slipped
> length or something...?
>
> "Tom Bridge - Doc" <t.bridge@verizon.net> wrote in message
> news:NQM79.8760$Dw2.2006@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> > Hay what is the antenna are you using ?,normally I would use is 3feet
> ,your
> > meter is important look into A BIRD 43 works for me check the coax you may
> > have something wrong with it to
> > --
> > Best Regards!
> > - Doc -
> > http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/
> >
> > "Axl" <no@idontthinkso.com> wrote in message
> > news:mhI79.28581$N9.3594071@twister.neo.rr.com...
> > > I went to measure with the SWR today and noticed it's VERY high.....and
> > also
> > > inconsistant....on the same channel, within 1 minute it can go from a
> 1.2
> > to
> > > a 4....the only thing I can think of is that the jumper coax I'm using

> is
> > 6
> > > or 8 feet long.....I thought maybe if this was moved around it could
> cause
> > > problems or inconsistant readings....are accurate readings produces with
> a
> > > 12" or shorter jumper or does it not matter?
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

4412. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 02:30:27 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208191830.1ca019f9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133441

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<ajhpqs$1bdb0e$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3D5C10F5.9C23D983@mailcity.net...
>
> > It does not say illegal to import or market for cb but OK for
> > amateur radio. It say "illegal to import or market" period.
> > That means that if they are imported and marketed in the US they
> > are illegal. But for those that have already been imported and
> > fall into the hands of hams and are used on 10 meters, I doubt the
> > FCC will say anything. So the question is, in the hands of a ham
> > using it on 10 meters is the radio still considered to be illegal?
> > Inquiring minds want to know.
>
> Once again, no. And for the umpteenth time: THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS AN
> "ILLEGAL" AMATEUR RADIO TRANSCEIVER. The idea of an "illegal" radio is
> obviously a CB'er thing.
>
> There is absolutely no type-acceptance (certification) requirement for
> amateur radio transceivers. Any and all radios are completely legal to use
> on the amateur radio frequencies as long as the person using it has a
> license for that band, and the transmitter does not exceed any power
> limitations for that band. All the radios listed have a power output way
> under the 1500 Watt limit for 10 meters.

Licensed amateurs freedom from type acceptance was never disputed by
me, and continues to be a non-issue, Tom. The real issue is the
proliferation of fake 10 meter radios and the hijacking of the name
"amateur radio" used for the purpose of selling illegal CB gear.

> And the FCC notice does not make the radios "illegal." Nobody can
> confiscate them just because you own them (unless you're operating one in an
> illegal manner). The FCC notice states that it is illegal to "import or
> market" those radios. Existing 10 meter transceivers are legal to own and
> operate (on 10 meters by licensed hams).

The FCC says the banned radios are "illegal to import and market" and
"illegal for use on CB" but has stopped short of saying they are
illegal to own. We assume this was done to avoid limiting legitimate
licensed hams ability to operate any piece of gear provided said said
gear is operated on amateur frequencies within spectral standards and
power limits.

But, as you know, the CB outlaw ops, dishonest distributors and sleazy
manufacturers found a way to exploit the laws to achieve dishonest

purposes. They fraudulently claim their pirate CB stuff falls under a privilege (freedom from type acceptance) enjoyed by legitimate ham equipment. The loophole/scam they came up with is advertising this outlaw gear as "10 meter amateur radios".

If they don't call this trash something other than what it is (11 meter bootlegger radios) then they cannot sell it without attracting lots of attention and complaints. That's why they must *desperately* try and maintain the farce that an 8 band 40 channel, echo-enabled, roger-beeped, rebel flagged, CB-type radio is a piece of "amateur gear".

-Aaron-

4413. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 02:33:01 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208191833.7221609f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133442

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<ajhe5f$1avabp$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:fEW69.13976$Ep6.1112058@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> > He's absolutely correct, Voobsie. Not only may I have Radio Moscow's old
> > megawatt loudenboomer in my basement (and no doubt several other floors) I
> > can put it on the air so long as I can meet the tech specs and keep it
> > below 1500 Peak Envelope Power on the line. There is no rule. I can
> > convert the rf scapel my dentist owns, and a stolen bug zapper if I can
> > get it to work within the specs. And if I can lay my grubby hands on one
> > of the listed rigs and feel like making it work on 28.6, no hoo hoo.
>
> I just checked the FCC Rule Book (part 97, amateur rules) I own, and the
> ONLY type-acceptance requirement for any amateur gear is for amplifiers that
> operate below the 2 meter band. And this is only for manufacturers. If I
> built an amplifier from scratch, I would not need to get it certified.
>
> There is no such thing as an "illegal radio" for amateur use.
>
> Tom

Once again, Tom, a licensed amateurs freedom from type-acceptance was
never disputed by me, and continues to be a non-issue. The real issue
is the proliferation of fake 10 meter radios and the hijacking of the
name "amateur radio" used for the purpose of fraudulently selling
illegal CB gear.

-Aaron-

4414. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 02:34:29 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3D61AAD3.C6F1C977@attbi.com>
Source record: 133443

Well, I do have to admit that a few extra feet of coax has its advantages. If you loop 5-6 turns of coax into a 6 inch bundle and tie it up, it makes a pretty good choke. I do this to keep rf out of the alarm system and sprinkler system.

Me wrote:

> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:1150-3D615454-41@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>
> What made you think I did? The homing pigeon?
>
> I read your post that was my first clue. LOL The homing pigeon arrived late
> so we called No Joy on the mission.

4415. Re: Results are in! A-99(+ mods) vs Imax-2000(+ mods) vs 827 vs dipole vs cheap 5/8 and 1/2 wave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 02:41:38 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <C%h89.116060$Yd.5011259@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133444

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020819193844.16108.00001696@mb-bg.aol.com...
> HERE A A99 IS MUCH CHEAPER THAN A 5/8 ANTENNA. FOR SOME REASON I GET THE
> FEELING ITS THE OTHER WAY AROUND THERE IN THE UK?
>

Probably so Tim. I suspect they can still legally buy metal antennas for CB.
Here, they enacted laws to protect idiots from themselves. Since that time,
better idiots have been made.

4416. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 02:46:53 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208191846.2e4e501a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133445

ï¿½Tom Sevart wrote
>Yeah, but he just can't get it that a ham can use
>one of those radios on 10 meters.
>
>Tom

Hi Tom. Once again, licensed amateurs freedom from type acceptance was never disputed by me, and continues to be a non-issue. The real issues are the proliferation of fake "export" radios that are not legal for CB in any known country (hence they have no where to export to), and the hijacking of the name "10 meter amateur radio" used for the purpose of selling illegal CB gear.

-Aaron-

4417. Looking for KFO3255 QSL card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 02:49:32 UTC
From: "G.T. TYSON" <gtyson@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3D61AE0A.8F6ADF1B@nc.rr.com>
Source record: 133447

If anyone in the readership of this newsgroup has a shoebox full of old CB QSL cards, check through them and see if you can locate one from a KFO3255 in Greenville. That was my base station back in 1971. Like a dumbass I let all my copies get away. If you come across a copy of my old QSL card, a scan would be OK, or contact me and I will supply my postal address. Thanks in advance.

G.T. Tyson
The Last Radio Station
http://home.nc.rr.com/gttyson/lastradio.html
http://24.25.7.17:8000/ (direct connect)
gtyson@nc.rr.com

4418. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 02:58:30 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208191858.5ce392d0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133446

Tom Sevart wrote
>those are "export CB's" designed for use in other countries.
>In case you didn't realize it, other countries don't rely on the
>standard 40 channels from 26.965-27.405. For whatever
>reason these CB's designed for export ended up on the market
>here.

Tom, there is no country on the face of the earth that authorizes unlicensed Citizens Band type operation above 28 mHz. Since every one of these "export" radios are designed to be modified to transmit well above 28 mHz, where might they be exported to? There is no legal, legitimate export market for them.

>However, other radios such as the Uniden 2510 & 2600
>were designed for 10 meter use and must be modified
>out of the box for use outside the 10 meter band.

Not familiar with those models, but if they also operate on 11 meters and are sold in truck stops next to 148GTL Cobras, then three guesses as to what they are going to be used for the majority of the time they are sold.

-Aaron-

4419. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 03:03:53 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208191903.6d2372d6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133448

ï¿½Tom Sevart wrote:
"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208162249.5e60ebd4@posting.google.com...
> >
>> http://hotspotcb.com/cbshop/connex.html
> >These only go to 28.305. Not a very appealing to a ham are they?
>
>They could probably be modified to operate from 28.000 - 29.700.

Do you think this is their intended market?

>Even if it wasn't, it could still be used as a CW transceiver,
>or even a beacon transmitter. If I had one, that's what I'd use
>it for.

I don't think the "General Grant" has a CW key jack, Tom. :)

> >LOL. I don't think even you can tell me (with a straight face) that
> >this is "a ham radio":

>If a ham is using it on the 10 meter band, then it's a ham radio.
>Regardless, you still don't seem to get my point

Again, licensed amateurs freedom from type acceptance was never
disputed by me, and continues to be a non-issue. The real issue is the
proliferation of fake 10 meter radios, export radios with no export
destination, and the hijacking of the name "amateur radio" used for
the purpose of selling illegal CB gear.

-Aaron-

4420. HELL NO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 03:09:26 UTC
From: Wesser <wesser@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <um39ks1vn1c0e2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133437

go to a local shop......
damn, i get customers fom the following states every week,
alabama,mississippi,tennessee, georgia, kentucky...
is this because i am good looking? don't think so..
its because we are FAIR!!!!!!!
support your local shop,150 miles is not a long way to drive for a good
shop ,if you can't afford $10-15 gas money,get a life and a job......
PRICE IS NOT EVERYTHING!!!!!!

"Todd" <ktm@lanset.com> wrote in message

> Buy them from www.copper.com

4421. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 03:22:52 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020819232252.03624.00001351@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 133449

an alpha delta sloper, yourself?

>
>What antenna system are you using for 75/40/20 meters, Tim?
>
>-Aaron-
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4422. Re: OT..funny rawhide parody mp3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 03:38:44 UTC
From: Chaotic Evil <webdevil@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <8Ri89.14214$I6.1190371@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 133451

GREAT PARODY!!!! GREAT SOLUTION!!!
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020726084315.283$oT@newsreader.com...
> http://www.imnsho.com/p_killfile.htm
>
> --
> Go #40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service
>

4423. Re: Pilot truck stops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 03:41:54 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <9Li89.66813$dr.8691666@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133452

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:747-3D6177E1-120@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> <<snip>>
> Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:15223-3D60F9B9-406@storefull-> There are many, Pilot
> stops......each
> store can have it's own charges if
> it violates said rule, no? That said, it would take a DEA-type
> coordinated pre-dawn raid all over the country at the same time <g>.
>
> >Truly, I believe they would deal with the company headquarters. There's
> a Pilot north of here on
> I-85 selling the crap. I dropped one of my "worthless" dimes on him,
> too.
> Jerry<<
>
>
> You're probably correct, they WOULD deal with headquarters.
> Heads up..you snipped in a fashion so as to respond to me, quoted me,
> but I get the feeling you are somehow insinuating it was I that said
> something concerning dropping "worthless dimes", otherwise, why in hell
> include it in a response to me. Drop all the dimes you want, Jer, but
> leave the innuendos and insertions of what isn't there to the undeground
> society, would ya'? Hammie radio needs your good will and assistance far
> more than it needs your enthusiasm for patrolling cbers.

That was an accident. I only was trying to keep the post brief. Sometimes
they get
extremely long. At some point, somebody posted about "worthless" dimes. I
just had
that phrase on my mind when I posted it.

Jerry
>

4424. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 03:53:08 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208191953.3253dc40@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133453

timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote
>Aaron Voobner wrote
>>What antenna system are you using for 75/40/20 meters, Tim?
>
>an alpha delta sloper, yourself?

Yep, that'd load up on 14.313 and 3.950. I was wondering what the
unlicensed bootleggers used on HF, and now I know.

-Aaron-

4425. Re: Pilot truck stops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 04:01:09 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <d1j89.66997$dr.8698905@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133454

chessecutter <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0208191759.516503ba@posting.google.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<r_W79.53968$dr.6892816@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> > Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> > news:uls5vjd54bla7d@corp.supernews.com...
> > > There was a comment here how the FCC came down on Pilot truck stops.
> > > As with all the other FCC stuff this is completly bogus. Pilot still
sells
> > > the entire line of 10 meter radios. The FCC went wimpering back to
busting
> > > Hams. Truck stop chains have legal departments.
> > > Ken
> >
> >
> > All of these people have received warnings or fines recently. I don't
call
> > that "wimpering"
> > If they wish to ignore the agents, then they can join Rabbit Ears--in
> > JAIL!!!!!!!!!! Let them
> > see how those leg irons and chains feel
> >
> >
> > J
> >
> > To wit, Derek's Trucking of Creedmoor, NC, Copper, EEI/ExportsRUS (were
they
> > the same?),
> > L & N Design, of Boise, Idaho, NYC Taxis, The Two Way Shop, Kennewick,
WA,
> > Yoshie
> > Nakamura, Palo Alto, CA, Truck N Travel Centers, Coburg, OR, Gary
Gribble
> > Trucking, Rock-
> > wood, PA, Mr. Trip Williams, Travelers' Rest, SC, Mr. Michael Kinney,
> > Sherman Oaks, CA,
> > Mr. George Cairns (trucker), Waldron, AR, Mr. Carl Vincent, Lake
Elsinore,
> > CA, Mr. Mark Hanson, Wausau, WI, David Merrill, Wichita Falls, TX, Jerry
> > Smith, Claymont, DE, Mr. McCreary, of a recent posting, Roper
Electronics,
> > of Tomah, WI, Flying J Travel Plazas, and Pilot
> > Truck Stops--nearly ALL of which are connected with the 10 meter issue.
> >

> >
> > >
> > >
>
>
> Yeh right Jerry. These damn illegal radio ops need to be in that there
> ole prison , no doubt about it, along with those damn ole bank robbers
> and rapist. Man what bad people. Of all the things in this country to
> be put in prison for. Good grief. Sounds to me like there is a big
> imbalance here.

That's what Rabbit Ears did. It takes a little moxie to ignore the letters, but he
was of the opinion that FCC was powerless to do anything, so he kept up his "activities". They fined him; he ignored that. Finally, they came and carted him off
to jail. There's no imbalance. ALL users have the privilege of using a radio, and a reasonable
expectation of being able to do so without being interfered with. That privilege extends to others
besides CBers which so many of the defenders of the illegal stuff so conveniently forget. Most
actions consist of just a warning. If that stops the infractions, then all's well that ends well. If not,
it will eventually get very costly either in money or one's freedom.

Communications is a very important tool, and a valuable asset if used correctly. It just happens
to be that it has limits, and must be regulated, its operators *should* know a little about what they
are doing in order to take advantage of it. Rampant interference only degrades its effectiveness,
and that's what the rules are for. Just as you should be able to use your CB without somebody
"throwing a carrier" on you, so should other users in other services be able to do the same without
interference or intrusions from those who are not licensed. Sounds pretty reasonable to me.

J

4426. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 04:20:37 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <tjj89.67289$dr.8704546@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133455

www.TimNebo.com <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020819215531.10125.00001778@mb-fe.aol.com...
> no most of the times the guys playing music and belching on the air dont
> actually ID themselves as you already know but surely THEY MUST BE HAMS
because
> they are using modified ham gear just as you guys assume and claim all the
> voices on 10 meters are cb'ers since there using modified cb radios. the
same
> logic is valid. is it not?
>
> 1) Identifying the voices on 10 meters is pretty easy since voice is not
allowed even to hams
on 28.085.

2) Most ham radios don't "squeak" when the mike is keyed.

3) Most ham radios have no echo.

4) AM is allowed on 10 Meters only up around 29.000 MHZ

5) When you hear "Tan-fer thar, Driver! I 'hy'eered that 'ere, ah
did", it's a pretty good
assumption that it is a Trucker. When it talks like a trucker,
echos like a trucker, squeaks
like a trucker, it must be
a.....trucker!!!

Jerry
>
> >Tim a couple of quick questions, were these hams IDing
> >every 10 minutes as required? And if so did you catch any callsigns?
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

4427. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 04:26:04 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Aoj89.67359$dr.8706885@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133456

www.TimNebo.com <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020819183520.16108.00001685@mb-bg.aol.com...
> sure am, all i have to do is read rec.radio.shortwave and the trash misc
ham
> group to be right up to date on all the hot spots like the am'ers on 75
meters
> out on the west coast that keep jamming the ssb'ers. its alot of fun to
hear
> the guys go at it.

Those are "turf" wars that don't need to go on. It is caused by immature,
fire-hydrant-pissing
mental midgets that think that "their" frequency should be populated only by
them. It is a simply
matter for the SSBers to move down a few KCs. The AMers only have a few
places to operate on that mode. Control freaks abound everywhere, and the
SSBers could simply move a ways.
But NOOOOOOOO. Everybody's gotta defend their "turf"/

Jerry

>
> >
> >their bands to become an unusable mess. BTW, I notice you seem to be
> >a "fan" of HF trouble spots, i.e. you know just what frequencies to be
> >on. Interesting...
> >
> >-Aaron-
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

4428. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 04:33:52 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020820003352.16075.00001667@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 133457

it will? i doubt it. get one yourself and see :)

>Yep, that'd load up on 14.313 and 3.950. I was wondering what the
>unlicensed bootleggers used on HF, and now I know.
>
>-Aaron-

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4429. Re: does anyone use cb's anymore?(ABSOLUTELY!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 05:13:29 UTC
From: dgose@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12517-3D61CFF9-504@storefull-2217.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133464

On Sun, Aug 18, 2002, 8:00pm truth@HATEspamplanetc.com (Dave) asked:

What area would that be?

That would be the central Ohio area, specifically between Columbus and Lancaster area.

Dale

4430. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 05:21:59 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D61B2D8.6B96D567@mailcity.net>
Source record: 133458

Jerry Oxendine wrote:

>
> 4) AM is allowed on 10 Meters only up around 29.000 MHZ

Actually AM is allowed below 29 Mhz, it is just that the AMers usually stay at 29 and above, I have head them, but rarely, below 29. However if you hear AM signals below 29 Mhz the chances are good it is a cber operating out of band. You will know as soon as he opens his mouth or unkeys and you hear the roger beeps, fart noises, rooster crowing, and other noises they like.

4431. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 05:23:41 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D61FC7A.94A5FA5E@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133459

Gary Danaher wrote:
>
> Well, I do have to admit that a few extra feet of coax has its advantages. If
> you loop 5-6 turns of coax into a 6 inch bundle and tie it up, it makes a
> pretty good choke. I do this to keep rf out of the alarm system and sprinkler
> system.

You should buy one of Jay's groundplanes,
and toss that fiberglass bleedstick dipole. <g>

4432. Re: Let me rephrase that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 05:30:10 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D61FDFC.1ABD6674@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133460

Mad Dog wrote:
>
> Coaxial length between a transceiver and antenna are not important,
> however using less than 18ft. between a amplifier and antenna can generate
> enough rf feedback to possibly cause the transmit side of the amp. to
> latch-on.
> Be sure to read the fine print this time.

<fine print>
The only time I have ever seen a box latch on, was if the unit has
high gain (beta) parts in it and there is no reverse feedback loop
in the unit.
</fine print>

> BTW i am no longer reading Dave or Debby mail since they chose to bring
> drugs into the NG.............

Oh, please... Take it as entertainment.

> My name is Mad Dog and i am a addict!
> God give me the serenity to accept the things i cannot change ,
> And the courage to change the things i can.

Good deal. White powders always kill.

4433. Re: Let me rephrase that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 05:31:09 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D61FE3A.4C8C9626@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133461

Dave or Debby wrote:
>
>
> I thought you were a DOT approved drug addict driver!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Would you pour gas on a burning man?

4434. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 05:38:45 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D620000.89B1FD35@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133462

EMccleese wrote:
>
> Why is it that a linear should be driven with low wattage, as in a dead key of
> 2 or 3 watts , due to damage to the amp at higher wattage, plus worse sound,
> but it is ok to have a dead key of 2 watts swing to 35 watts? Just wondering
> because I honestly don't know. Thanks

To determine the proper dead key level, you need to find out the
maximum output of the amp. For a properly modulated AM signal,
the modulation peaks are four times the carrier or deadkey value.

This means if the box tops out at 150 watts, the proper amount
of dead key would be the amount to make the box deadkey 37.5 watts.

4435. Re: Good Mobile CB for 100 bucks?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 05:43:35 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D620124.71B0A597@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133463

Todd meant to write:
>
> DON'T Buy them from www.copper.com

4436. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 08:35:33 UTC
From: tolstoi <tolieo@yahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <ajsuu2$c4j$1@bunyip.cc.uq.edu.au>
Source record: 133465

When bidding on alledged non compliant equipment, ALWAYS send the seller an email through the link on eBay. This way if they cancel the auction you can still get in touch with the seller and probably strike up a deal most often much cheaper than originally listed because the big savings on auction listing and sale fees.
This way the idiot complaining actually did you a favour...!

I hate those self proclaimed eBay police wannabees...If you wanna be a cop, join the job..

FROM RUSSIA WITH LOVE

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:pJ759.5974$eK6.284145@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0208092110.3032c98@posting.google.com...
> > > Galaxy DX99V 320 Channel 10 meter CB Radio
> > > Item # 1372009868 Consumer Electronics:Radio Equipment:CB
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1372009868
> >
> > Invalid Item. Auction cancelled.
> >
> > -A
>
> Took long enough from the original post.
>
>

4437. Re: HELL NO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 09:31:24 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns927019CE2B895subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 133466

"Wesser" <wesser@hiwaay.net> wrote in news:um39ks1vn1c0e2
@corp.supernews.com:

> go to a local shop......
> damn, i get customers fom the following states every week,
> alabama,mississippi,tennessee, georgia, kentucky...
> is this because i am good looking? don't think so..
> its because we are FAIR!!!!!!!
> support your local shop,150 miles is not a long way to drive for a good
> shop ,if you can't afford $10-15 gas money,get a life and a job......
> PRICE IS NOT EVERYTHING!!!!!!
>
> "Todd" <ktm@lanset.com> wrote in message
>
>> Buy them from www.copper.com
>
>
>

I'm not supporting Copper or anything, but dang! 150 miles is a bit of a
hike. :) Thank God I've got a local shop 10 miles up the road.

Alan S.

4438. Re: Legal 10 Meter Radios for 10m use

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 10:17:13 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208200217.3e4a1101@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote in message news:<um2qv0fopevo5a@corp.supernews.com>...
> "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D618BA6.B4AB288D@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> >
> >
> > Tom Sevart wrote:
> > >
> > > "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> > > news:bYs79.188730$6Z1.8674473@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > >
> > > > If they are true Amateur transcievers you would
> > > > think you might see a CW mode as well.
> > >
> > > The Uniden 2510 and 2600 do have CW mode.
> > >
> > > Tom
> >
> > And so does a 2950.
>
> I've been told by some CW people I'd trust that the CW mode on some
> of the Unidens, with the exception of the HTX-100 variant from RS,
> wasn't worth squat. Being there was only one step to worthless,
> according to them.

I'd have to disagree with that statement, Mike. You might want to check out the QST product reviews for both the Uniden/President HR-2510 and the Radio Shack HTX-100. The RCI-2950's also reviewed in the same issue as the HTX-100. It got an unfavorable report re. its CW capability. (Then again, Ranger wasn't an advertiser back then...but both Uniden and Radio Shack were. I know, ouch.)

Uniden President HR2510 10-Meter Transceiver (May 1989 [1286 kB])
http://www.arrl.org/members-only/prodrev/pdf/pr8905.pdf

Radio Shack HTX-100 10-Meter Mobile Transceiver (February 1992 [1810 kB])
http://www.arrl.org/members-only/prodrev/pdf/pr9202.pdf

> The latest RS 10M rig that does SSB and FM doesn't do CW...
> And it's a fine little 10M rig!

Indeed it is. This is my current mobile.

> Mike

73 de Bert
WA2SI

4439. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 10:42:41 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns927025E3EE85Fsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 133468

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in
news:c9ceae5b.0208191830.1ca019f9@posting.google.com:

<snip>

> If they don't call this trash something other than what it is (11
> meter bootlegger radios) then they cannot sell it without attracting
> lots of attention and complaints. That's why they must *desperately*
> try and maintain the farce that an 8 band 40 channel, echo-enabled,
> roger-beeped, rebel flagged, CB-type radio is a piece of "amateur
> gear".
>
> -Aaron-

You know, I just recently saw the box for a Galaxy DX99V at my local radio shop. "Big Rig Series". I got a nice chuckle out of it. Amateur transceiver? Sure. It had everything a ham looks for, like robot voice, a channel selector and a chrome case. I still wasn't convinced, but then I saw that it said 10-meter right on the box. This was proof positive this was no CB. At that point it was clear to me that this wasn't marketed to truckers. No sir, Galaxy seems to be after that lucrative "Hams who want a Big Rig" market. Big rig means big radio, not truck. God was *I* a dumbass.

I asked the owner about it and he quickly admitted that his ham customers (both of them) don't even glance at the thing. "They head straight for the Kenwoods" he said (I didn't see much else). I did notice a trucker gazing at the mighty Galaxy. It's optional chrome-plated case made his longing eyes dazzle. He seemed reverent. This was holy ground, indeed. Soberly, he utters "Boy if I had the money..." Ah, the money. The $330 price tag was all that stood between this humble man and his swang. I walked over to the trucker and said, "Nice radio huh?" He replied, "Sure is boy, I tell you what...these suckers can tawk!" What a remarkable statement that was. "These suckers can tawk". Absolutely beautiful. His words echoed through the canyons of my soul like a jake - groaning as it slows it's driver down a steep grade. I nodded knowingly, and said "You know, it's cool to meet people who are into radio. What's your callsign?"

"My what?"

And that about sums it up. Still, the box says "10 meter" so I guess it is. I mean if Galaxy says it is, then it is. 10-4?

Alan S.

4440. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 12:07:51 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208200407.7a1687b7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133470

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208191833.7221609f@posting.google.com>...
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<ajhe5f$1avabp$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> > "Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:fEW69.13976$Ep6.1112058@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > > He's absolutely correct, Voobsie. Not only may I have Radio Moscow's old
> > > megawatt loudenboomer in my basement (and no doubt several other floors) I
> > > can put it on the air so long as I can meet the tech specs and keep it
> > > below 1500 Peak Envelope Power on the line. There is no rule. I can
> > > convert the rf scapel my dentist owns, and a stolen bug zapper if I can
> > > get it to work within the specs. And if I can lay my grubby hands on one
> > > of the listed rigs and feel like making it work on 28.6, no hoo hoo.
> >
> > I just checked the FCC Rule Book (part 97, amateur rules) I own, and the
> > ONLY type-acceptance requirement for any amateur gear is for amplifiers that
> > operate below the 2 meter band. And this is only for manufacturers. If I
> > built an amplifier from scratch, I would not need to get it certified.
> >
> > There is no such thing as an "illegal radio" for amateur use.
> >
> > Tom
>
> Once again, Tom, a licensed amateurs freedom from type-acceptance was
> never disputed by me, and continues to be a non-issue.

But you're changing your story again, Aanal Aaron - you made the flat,
all-inclusive statement that the radios were totally "illegal"; you
never exempted amateur use. In fact you told me I was wrong when I
told you the radios could be used on ten meters. Go back and read what
you posted.

The real issue
> is the proliferation of fake 10 meter radios and the hijacking of the
> name "amateur radio" used for the purpose of fraudulently selling
> illegal CB gear.
>
> -Aaron-

Once again, there is no such thing as a "fake" or "phoney" ten meter
radio. What you and Jerry keep bring up are called "export CBs"; they
are NOT 10 meter radios, never have been, and never will be (unless a
licensed ham converts them for use on the 10 meter band, at which
point they are no longer CBs and cannot be operated there). "Export

CBs" have never been legal for use on CB in the USA.

4441. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 12:25:42 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D623546.3966@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 133476

www.TimNebo.com wrote:
>
> i was just showing how odd it is that with all the troubles on the world wide
> amatuer bands you religate yourself to "policing" the cb freqs only when there
> is so much more going on.

The amount of problem on the ham bands are but a small minority of
people. Compared to the CB band where breaking the law is the "rule"
rather than the exception.

> after all the guys on the ham bands are making our
> entire nation look like fools to the whole world, yet your biggest concern is
> still truckers with small amps driving up an down the highway.

After the last skip cycle, the whole world got a taste of USA CB. I'm
sure that that was not an image that I would want the world to picture
us as.

> aaron you asked
> for proof of "current torubles on the hf bands" and here it is. dont try to
> wiesel out of it now. be a man and admitt it really is going on and theres
> nothing you can do about any of it.

That's what Riley H. is being tasked to do. It's HIS job to clean up the
ham bands. Why? Because he was ASKED to by hams who are fed up with
people who can't play by the rules. He has already been effective in
many cases. Hopefully, with enough noise about misuses on the CB band
being funneled to the FCC, they may assign the equivilent of Riley H. to
do the same thing here.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

4442. Re: Results are in! A-99(+ mods) vs Imax-2000(+ mods) vs 827 vs dipole vs cheap 5/8 and 1/2 wave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 12:52:16 UTC
From: Simon Bussey <s.j.bussey@GOAWAYSPAMhw.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <4Yq89.781$hq6.31747201@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 133471

?? Are you saying you can't buy metal antennas for cb?!?!?!?

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:C%h89.116060$Yd.5011259@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
> news:20020819193844.16108.00001696@mb-bg.aol.com...
> > HERE A A99 IS MUCH CHEAPER THAN A 5/8 ANTENNA. FOR SOME REASON I GET THE
> > FEELING ITS THE OTHER WAY AROUND THERE IN THE UK?
> >
>
> Probably so Tim. I suspect they can still legally buy metal antennas for
CB.
> Here, they enacted laws to protect idiots from themselves. Since that
time,
> better idiots have been made.
>
>

4443. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 13:16:09 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <tir89.14692$I6.1255061@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 133472

Like you

4444. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 13:20:46 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Omr89.14698$I6.1255419@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 133473

Seems to me that the best answer to all this wa wa hoopla, would be to make 10 meter part of the CB freqs. Perhaps the truckers could lobby for it. Or even better the hams could lobby for it.

4445. Re: DaveMade M-80

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 13:22:49 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208200522.4875ede2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133474

"brian" <none@no.com> wrote in message news:<pnh89.4333$aU3.946823@typhoon.kc.rr.com>...
> http://www.hyelectronics.com/x80m.htm
>
> "UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020819154344.05704.00001136@mb-fw.aol.com...
> > Brand NEW in the Bubble wrapped box, Works very nicely....i'll take $165
> for
> > it, that includes shipping costs.....thanks
> >
> >
> > Thatz-me-JP

Hey look Jerry. Copper is selling illegal amps. Are you going to drop
a dime on Copper to Riley?

4446. Re: Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual--2nd Edition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 13:25:33 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208200525.13ea590a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133475

Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
news:<ae53muo5oej5ic1c7jb10kpjvggdhv9c8t@4ax.com>...
> In stock-the NEW updated Galaxy-Ranger service manual. This SECOND
> EDITION covers the EPT3600, EPT0696, and EPT6900 chassis types. That
> includes MANY brands such as Galaxy, Ranger/RCI, Superstar, Texas
> Ranger, Connex, etc. Complete details on this specific book are on our
> Web site at:
>
> www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm
>
> Check out all our CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance
> accessories at:
>
> www.cbcintl.com
>
> *
> In case you didn't already know...
>
> Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books,
> plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and
> modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters,
> bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to
> increase your power, range, and privacy.
>
> If you have any problems getting the files, or need additional details
> on what we offer, please email us directly at:
>
> info@cbcintl.com
>
>
> 73,
> Lou Franklin--President
> K6NH / "SuperSparks"
>
> CBC International
> P.O. Box 30655
> Tucson AZ 85751 USA
>
> TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
> 888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
> Local: (520) 298-7980
>
> www.cbcintl.com
>

> Email: info@cbcintl.com

Hmm. Lou is starting to advertize a lot. I guess those ssi payments
don't go as far as they used to in Arizona.

4447. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 14:18:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15223-3D624FB3-497@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133480

>From: medtools@earthlink.net (tools)
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 1029849646
63.52.169.199 (Tue, 20 Aug 2002 06:20:46 PDT) wrote:
Seems to me that the best answer to all this wa wa hoopla, would be to
make 10 meter part of the CB freqs. Perhaps the truckers could lobby for
it. Or even better the hams could lobby for it<<

There isn't any "hoopla" to speak of. Just the same 2 or 3 angry hammies
claiming it's there when it's really not. Isolated incidents do not an
epidemic make.

4448. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 14:24:20 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15223-3D625114-498@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133479

>From: tolieo@yahoo.com.au (tolstoi)
When bidding on alledged non compliant equipment, ALWAYS send the seller an email through the link on eBay. This way if they cancel the auction you can still get in touch with the seller and probably strike up a deal most often much cheaper than originally listed because the big savings on auction listing and sale fees.
This way the idiot complaining actually did you a favour...!
I hate those self proclaimed eBay police wannabees...If you wanna be a cop, join the job..<<

This is excellent advice and it works quite well. This was mentioned one before, although nort in those exact words. I'm glad it's becoming more commonplace..maybe a reminder is needed every time an auction is mentioned <g>!

4449. Re: The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 14:42:37 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <xzs89.3646$3c5.193820854@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133477

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ajriua$1cv9di$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> news:L3t79.45710$SS.2068914@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> > Lastly, I would be remiss if I didn't tell you this. To be in possession
> of
> > a modified radio is a federal felony.
>
> I find this very hard to believe. A felony? Hardly. To be in possession
> isn't against the FCC rules, but transmitting with one is. But it's just
a
> violation of FCC rules, not a felony. It's not even a legal crime. The
FCC
> can fine you and confiscate your equipment, but they can't throw you in
> jail.
>
> Tom
>
>

Good luck Tom, I tried to explain that
a while back.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

4450. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 14:42:37 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <xzs89.3645$3c5.193820854@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133478

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ajrje6$1dfv2l$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0208170954.5134b881@posting.google.com...
> > shitblaster@volcanomail.com (Blaster) wrote:
> > >TRUTH: Violating FCC regulations isn't ANY sort of Felony, State,
> > >federal or otherwise. Neither is Jay walking, Running a red lite or a
> > >hundred other infractions. Look Up the legal definition of felony.
> >
> > This sure sounds a lot more serious than jay walking:
> >
> > If a federal court finds that you have WILLFULLY and KNOWINGLY
> > violated any FCC Rule, you may be fined up to $500 for each day you
> > committed the violation. If a federal court finds that you have
> > WILLFULLY and KNOWINGLY violated any provision of the Communications
> > Act, you may be fined up to $10,000 or may be imprisoned for one year,
> > or both.
>
> Right. These are maximum fines for violating FCC rules. But owning a CB
> that's illegal for use is not a felony, or any kind of crime whatsoever.
> Even using one isn't a crime, just an FCC rules violation. They can fine
> you, but can't arrest you.
>
> Tom
>
>

As explained on another post.....Good luck.
They will argue with you just for the sake of arguing,
so again, good luck.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

4451. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 15:03:17 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D625A35.5E72@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 133481

Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> Once again, there is no such thing as a "fake" or "phoney" ten meter
> radio. What you and Jerry keep bring up are called "export CBs"; they
> are NOT 10 meter radios, never have been, and never will be (unless a
> licensed ham converts them for use on the 10 meter band, at which
> point they are no longer CBs and cannot be operated there). "Export
> CBs" have never been legal for use on CB in the USA.

Dick,

"Export" Cb radios have been around for a number of years (20+ IIRC).
THey have never been legal in import into the US, as they do not meet
type acceptance requirements for class D CB operation.

BUT, The "export" manufacturers, in an effort to remove the bottleneck
and allow increased sales into the US, have latched upon a loophole.
That loophole is the "10 meter radio". Since ham radios do not require
any type acceptance, these radios are legal to use in the ten meter ham
band by licenses hams. The problem is that they aren't REALLY intended
to be 10 meter ham radios, they only state so to attempt to "get around"
import restrictions on non type accepted CB radios. The intended market
for these radios is not 10 meter hams (Why would any 10 meter radio have
40 channelized frequencies, roger beeps, echo, and instant channel 19
switches?, and cover frequencies below the 10 meter band).

A few of the radios (Uniden HR 2510, RCI 2950 etc) do not have
channelized indicators, and tune in a more "traditional" ham manner of
KHz and Hz granularity. These radios make for a better case for intended
10 meter ham markets, but they can be easily modified to cover 11
meters. The FCC has finally ruled that even those radios are not
marketed in the spirit of ham radio, and are merely exploiting a
loophole in import regulations. This latest "clarification" is the FCC's
attempt at closing the loophole.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

4452. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 15:17:44 UTC
From: Joe Blow <joeblow@xmission.com>
Message-ID: <ajtmil$fb3$1@terabinaries.xmission.com>
Source record: 133482

My question on legality is simple.."WHO FUCKING GIVES A SHIT?"

I'm only concerned with getting a message on the air to the largest # of people, with the least complex equipment, to the farthest distance possible. If the FCC, for all intents and purposes, cannot enforce existing laws, and really make no effort to do so, it stands to reason that I should make use of better equipment, especially in emergency situations, and the law be damned. Perhaps one day I will end up in CB Hell.

Joe Fucking Blow

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns926B1915A9923subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> The "Legal issues with Ebutt" thread is getting pretty long, so I figured
> I'd weigh in on the Illegal 10 Meter Radio discussion by starting a new
> one.

4453. Re: i need help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 15:25:01 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ajtn0o0jf5@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133487

"david" <dmazure@tsixroads.com> wrote in message
news:um3dqcl5fgqr06@corp.supernews.com...
> is ther a way to modefi a galaxy cb radio to the fire department, plice,
and
> ablulence my frinks are 25 to 28 mz is there a way to remodafy the cb
radio
> pleas email me back @ dmazure68@tmasil.com
>

Not bloody likely. Most departments use FM and CB is AM for one.
Many departments use either high band (150-170mhz) or UHF (450-470mhz).
And some may still use the 30-50mhz low band freqs.

If you want to talk to them on radio, forget it. It's not a frequency open
to the general public.
Many states have laws making it illegal for unauthorized use. These are not
ham freqs so those rules don't apply.
So your choice is to listen. Spend a $100 or so and buy a scanner.

Years ago, a radio tech I know who was a chief engineer at a tv station,
made up a little gadget for his car radio.
On the seat was a box with five buttons on it. Press a button, he got a
specific radio station.
Nice idea, but he said it cost him a mere $200 to do it.

4454. Re: Results are in! A-99(+ mods) vs Imax-2000(+ mods) vs 827 vs dipole vs cheap 5/8 and 1/2 wave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 15:26:54 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <2dt89.78098$eK6.2557590@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133483

"Simon Bussey" <s.j.bussey@GOAWAYSPAMhw.ac.uk> wrote in message
news:4Yq89.781$hq6.31747201@news-text.cableinet.net...
> ?? Are you saying you can't buy metal antennas for cb?!?!?!?
>
>
Yes. At least not legally. CB antennas sold in the US must meet certain
dielectric criteria (non-conductive to a certain voltage). Hence the lack of
metal "CB" antennas available on the market. That being said, you can buy
"10 meter" or "commercial" antennas that are nothing more than CB antennas
that are being marketed under the guise of being something else. This was
done because too many Bozo's got them into high voltage power lines and
smoked themselves.

4455. Re: Results are in! A-99(+ mods) vs Imax-2000(+ mods) vs 827 vs dipole vs cheap 5/8 and 1/2 wave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 15:29:47 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Lft89.78099$eK6.2557939@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133484

"Simon Bussey" <s.j.bussey@GOAWAYSPAMhw.ac.uk> wrote in message
news:4Yq89.781$hq6.31747201@news-text.cableinet.net...
> ?? Are you saying you can't buy metal antennas for cb?!?!?!?
>

Yes. The metal antennas you can buy cannot be advertised as "CB" antennas in the US. They can be sold under the guise of being "10 meter" or "commercial" antennas though.

4456. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 15:34:30 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <akt89.78110$eK6.2557809@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133485

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D625A35.5E72@worldlynx.net...
> Richard Cranium wrote:
> >
> > Once again, there is no such thing as a "fake" or "phoney" ten meter
> > radio. What you and Jerry keep bring up are called "export CBs"; they
> > are NOT 10 meter radios, never have been, and never will be (unless a
> > licensed ham converts them for use on the 10 meter band, at which
> > point they are no longer CBs and cannot be operated there). "Export
> > CBs" have never been legal for use on CB in the USA.
>
>
> Dick,
>
> "Export" Cb radios have been around for a number of years (20+ IIRC).
> THey have never been legal in import into the US, as they do not meet
> type acceptance requirements for class D CB operation.
>
> BUT, The "export" manufacturers, in an effort to remove the bottleneck
> and allow increased sales into the US, have latched upon a loophole.
> That loophole is the "10 meter radio". Since ham radios do not require
> any type acceptance, these radios are legal to use in the ten meter ham
> band by licenses hams. The problem is that they aren't REALLY intended
> to be 10 meter ham radios, they only state so to attempt to "get around"
> import restrictions on non type accepted CB radios. The intended market
> for these radios is not 10 meter hams (Why would any 10 meter radio have
> 40 channelized frequencies, roger beeps, echo, and instant channel 19
> switches?, and cover frequencies below the 10 meter band).
>
> A few of the radios (Uniden HR 2510, RCI 2950 etc) do not have
> channelized indicators, and tune in a more "traditional" ham manner of
> KHz and Hz granularity. These radios make for a better case for intended
> 10 meter ham markets, but they can be easily modified to cover 11
> meters. The FCC has finally ruled that even those radios are not
> marketed in the spirit of ham radio, and are merely exploiting a
> loophole in import regulations. This latest "clarification" is the FCC's
> attempt at closing the loophole.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"
>
>

You have a point Dave, but it's doubtful if the FCC has the power to stop any imports. The Chinese have friends in Washington.

4457. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 15:34:39 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <8it89.103$GI.25312@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133486

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:Omr89.14698$I6.1255419@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Seems to me that the best answer to all this wa wa hoopla, would be to
make
> 10 meter part of the CB freqs. Perhaps the truckers could lobby for it. Or
> even better the hams could lobby for it.

Dream on, little dreamer dream on..... Ten meters is
an international allocation.

J

>
>

4458. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 15:52:15 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Eyt89.144$Gl.30105@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133488

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:15223-3D624FB3-497@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>From: medtools@earthlink.net (tools)
X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 1029849646
63.52.169.199 (Tue, 20 Aug 2002 06:20:46 PDT) wrote:
Seems to me that the best answer to all this wa wa hoopla, would be to
make 10 meter part of the CB freqs. Perhaps the truckers could lobby for
it. Or even better the hams could lobby for it<<

There isn't any "hoopla" to speak of. Just the same 2 or 3 angry hammies
claiming it's there when it's really not. Isolated incidents do not an
epidemic make

Isolated?????? Isolated when I can tune in 28.085 at home and hear a steady
stream of trucks
"Tan-fer thar, Drah'vuh, Ah h'yeered that, ah did---SQUEAK!" Phooey! IF it
were "isolated"
that list I quoted in another thread wouldn't exist, and those importation
bans wouldn't exist. The
wheel that "SQUEAKS" (pun intended) gets the grease. If it were as
infrequent as you would like
to believe, it wouldn't be an issue with me, the other people that are
complaining and snapping photos a la Richard McCollum, or FCC.

The more I think about it, the more tempted I am to install my amplifier in
the car so I can call
high power CQ right on top of them. And ya know what? The trucks have no
rights against
it! If I succeed in preventing them from communicating, GREAT! Illegals
have NO protection
because they aren't even supposed to be there.

OTH, I don't know if you live near an interstate, but I do. And the
truckers have taken a great
liking to 10 Meters.

Jerry

4459. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 15:55:01 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020820115501.03553.00001792@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 133489

then whos job is it to police the cb band? surely not jerry o and aaron h, but its hard to tell from there post here, if you went by there attitude alone you'd think they made 100k a year being cb cops

>That's what Riley H. is being tasked to do. It's HIS job to clean up the
>ham bands.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4460. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 15:55:35 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <NBt89.154$GI.30897@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133490

Joe Blow <joeblow@xmission.com> wrote in message
news:ajtmil$fb3$1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
> My question on legality is simple.."WHO FUCKING GIVES A SHIT?"
>
> I'm only concerned with getting a message on the air to the largest # of
> people, with the least complex equipment, to the farthest distance
possible.
> If the FCC, for all intents and purposes, cannot enforce existing laws,
and
> really make no effort to do so, it stands to reason that I should make use
> of better equipment, especially in emergency situations, and the law be
> damned. Perhaps one day I will end up in CB Hell.
>
> Joe Fucking Blow

The lack of understanding and ignorance of the above post basically
explains the attitude
of most of the illegals. If the shoe were on the other foot and HE were the
one being interferred
with, it would be different....

Jerry
>
>
> "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns926B1915A9923subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> > The "Legal issues with Ebutt" thread is getting pretty long, so I
figured
> > I'd weigh in on the Illegal 10 Meter Radio discussion by starting a new
> > one.
>
>
>

4461. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 16:06:56 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020820120656.03553.00001796@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 133491

i live within sight of I-45 which is the only highway connecting the port of houston and the port of galveston and i just dont hear all the stuff you claim you do. right now its 11:07 central time and i've been on 28.085 for about 20 minutes now since i started reading new messages in this group and i havent heard a trucker yet. but hey if you think your scrawny little messenger is "high power" then you really wont have any chance of causing them grief. most of them are running at lest that and within couple of miles from each other.

>The more I think about it, the more tempted I am to install my amplifier in
>the car so I can call
>high power CQ right on top of them.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4462. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 16:07:37 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020820120737.03553.00001797@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 133492

jerry the truckers wont mind a bit. it'll just make 19 "2 up" a little more like home. you dont think there used to being jammed daily? its part of the job.

>The more I think about it, the more tempted I am to install my amplifier in >the car so I can call
>high power CQ right on top of them. And ya know what? The trucks have no >rights against

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4463. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 16:09:37 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020820120937.03553.00001798@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 133493

jerry we come from CB, there is no other service thats more interefered with.
dont think we're used to it by now? tell riley to clean up the hams who intrude
on 555 and cause us trouble

>
>The lack of understanding and ignorance of the above post basically
>explains the attitude
>of most of the illegals. If the shoe were on the other foot and HE were the
>one being interferred
>with, it would be different....
>
>
>Jerry
>>
>>
>> "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>> news:Xns926B1915A9923subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
>> > The "Legal issues with Ebutt" thread is getting pretty long, so I
>figured
>> > I'd weigh in on the Illegal 10 Meter Radio discussion by starting a new
>> > one.
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4464. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 16:13:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15224-3D626ABC-187@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133495

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
www.TimNebo.com wrote:
i was just showing how odd it is that with all the troubles on the world wide amatuer bands you religate yourself to "policing" the cb freqs only when there is so much more going on.

>The amount of problem on the ham bands are but a small minority of people. Compared to the CB band where breaking the law is the "rule" rather than the exception.<<

Opinions are like ...., this one stinks and shows you can not only not mask your disdain for cbers but go out of your way to antagonize and let your hate be known...repeatedly. Seek within and you will find the source.

Tim continued with: "after all the guys on the ham bands are making our entire nation look like fools to the whole world, yet your biggest concern is still truckers with small amps driving up an down the highway. "

>After the last skip cycle, the whole world got a taste of USA CB. I'm sure that that was not an image that I would want the world to picture us as. <<

(Sigh) The whole world has had not only a taste but a plateful of an image not aesthetically pleasing long before this last skip cycle, and it was brought to them courtesy of Hammie USA,,..yourself a fine example of what I speak...prejudice for no apparent reason and anxious to share it with whoever will lend an ear.
Sharing the knowledge that you recently became aware of what a high magnitude of a skip cycle can do, especially the present one, doesn't mean the rest of the world shared your recent revelation at the same time you experienced it. Many of us have experienced several cycles. Judging by your astonishment concerning the skip cycle, you probably won;'t find the next one as fascinating as your first, but you can still have fun with it.

Tim related: "aaron you asked
for proof of "current torubles on the hf bands" and here it is. dont try to wiesel out of it now. be a man and admitt it really is going on and theres nothing you can do about any of it."

Dave
"Sandbagger"

4465. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 16:30:32 UTC
From: Joe Blow <joeblow@xmission.com>
Message-ID: <ajtqr4$hh6$1@terabinaries.xmission.com>
Source record: 133494

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:NBt89.154$Gl.30897@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> Joe Blow <joeblow@xmission.com> wrote in message
> news:ajtmil$fb3$1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
> > My question on legality is simple.."WHO FUCKING GIVES A SHIT?"
> >
> > I'm only concerned with getting a message on the air to the largest # of
> > people, with the least complex equipment, to the farthest distance
> possible.
> > If the FCC, for all intents and purposes, cannot enforce existing laws,
> and
> > really make no effort to do so, it stands to reason that I should make
use
> > of better equipment, especially in emergency situations, and the law be
> > damned. Perhaps one day I will end up in CB Hell.
> >
> > Joe Fucking Blow
>
>
> The lack of understanding and ignorance of the above post basically
> explains the attitude
> of most of the illegals. If the shoe were on the other foot and HE were
the
> one being interferred
> with, it would be different....
>
>
> Jerry
> >
> >
You can play the victimized martyr all you want. The chances of my crossing paths with you on the airwaves is quite remote, so quit whining. These archaic bandwidths are relics from the cold war era, and should be abandoned. There surely isn't enough "hams" in the world to make these bandwidths exclusive playgrounds for you "chatterboxes" to be concerned with any longer. I'm all for throwing these rules out the window, so I'm doing my part to make sure it happens.

Joe Fucking Blow

4466. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 16:53:00 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208200853.47eae184@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133496

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208191846.2e4e501a@posting.google.com>...
> ï¿½Tom Sevart wrote
> >Yeah, but he just can't get it that a ham can use
> >one of those radios on 10 meters.
> >
> >Tom
>
> Hi Tom. Once again, licensed amateurs freedom from type acceptance was
> never disputed by me, and continues to be a non-issue.

Keep On Back-Pedaling (to the tune of The Gentrys "Keep On Dancing").
It most certainly WAS disputed by you. You claimed that all those
"phoney 10 meter radios" were completely and totally "illegal". Now
that Tom, Dick and a few others (maybe Harry?) have mentioned
amateur's freedom from type acceptance, you're changing your tune.
Just as we expected, BTW.

The real issues
> are the proliferation of fake "export" radios that are not legal for
> CB in any known country (hence they have no where to export to), and
> the hijacking of the name "10 meter amateur radio" used for the
> purpose of selling illegal CB gear.
>
> -Aaron-

And YOU are an expert on the CB band in every country on earth? I
highly doubt it. I also think you missed a post (in this newsgroup)
that states that the UK has an extra 40 channels that go right up to
27.995 MHz. Thus these "export radios" could be legal over there.
(note: I'm not saying that they ARE, but they could be) Also, New
Zealand's CB band used to be 1 MHz lower than the "standard" 40
channels; again, these radios could've been usable there. All we know
for sure is that they have never been legal for use in the USA.

Oh, and BTW: They're NOT "fake export radios". They ARE export radios.

4467. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 16:59:48 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208200859.558a7546@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133497

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:<gws79.188474$6Z1.8660646@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Tom Sevart" <N2UHC@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:95a98f7f.0208161945.14a25dd2@posting.google.com...
> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
> news:<c9ceae5b.0208152357.39b111ef@posting.google.com>...
> >
> > >
> > > Hi Tom. Amateur's dispensation from type acceptance is not being
> > > disputed by me, and never has been (see below).
> >
> > Then you should understand that there is no such thing as an "illegal"
> > amateur radio transceiver.
> >
> > > The phoney "10 meter
> > > radios" being sold to non-hams at venues such as truck stops (e.g. the
> > > soon-to-be busted "Flying J") are the issue.
> >
> > There's nothing "phoney (sic)" about them. They operate on 10 meters,
> > so are 10 meter radios. They come from the factory with only 28.000 -
> > 29.700 MHZ coverage. It's only after they are modified to operate
> > outside this area that the CBer's will use them. They don't come from
> > the factory this way.
>
> They don't? Galaxy's so called 10 meter radios dx33hml has 6 bands and 240
> channels out of the box, DX44 8 bands 360 channels out of the box, DX 66v
> 360 channels 8 bands , DX73V 360 channels 8 bands echo reverb, robot voice
> changer, etc etc Connex same story. Why arent any of these so called Ham
> radios advertised in QST or 73 magazine or even qrz's website if they are
> geared towards hams?

The radios you mention are NOT 10 meter radios; they are "export CB
radios", which have never been legal for use in the USA. Do not pass
GO, do not collect $200.

> > But when you get right down to it, they are
> > still 10 meter amateur radio transceivers.
>
> No that is what they are being called by the likes of you Ham trancievers
> don't have roger beeps echo boards and robot voice changers. They may
> operate on 10 meters but they are in no way amateur radios.

They are if a licensed ham uses one there.

Since when do YOU define what is or is not a ham radio?

I can agree that an echo isn't particularly worthwhile, but the "Beep" can be a useful DXing tool. Additionally, all these things can be turned OFF if you don't like them. Try again.

4468. Everyone needs a hobby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 17:04:41 UTC
From: Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <um4tlacoagpl0a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133498

Check out all these neato amplifiers that will work for CB!!!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374119655

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374519437

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374752306

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374437180 And yet another

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374421378 10m mentioned in the listing

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374627476 Has 28 MHz Capabilities

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374658873 CB amplifier

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374674961 10m mentioned in the listing

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1373247723 10m mentioned in the listing

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1373263834 Ready for 10m is in the listing description

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1373762461 10m mentioned in the listing

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374236152 160 through 10-meters (10-meter conversion is installed)

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374696643 10m band on the dial - seen in picture

4469. Cigarette Adapter on Uniden PC68LTW??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 17:11:45 UTC
From: TSH <jimbob@world.com>
Message-ID: <lLu89.2922$NZ.117736@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133499

I know, I know, this isn't the best way to provide juice to the box, but I drive two different vehicles, Suburban and Ford Pickup quite a bit. I would like to be able to move the radio from vehicle to vehicle when necessary.
Can I put a cigarette adapter on the power cord?
What will performance issues be?
Thanks.

4470. Re: Legal 10 Meter Radios for 10m use

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 18:02:41 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aju04n$1dslju$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133500
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Mike Yetsko" <joe@user.com> wrote in message
news:um2qv0fopevo5a@corp.supernews.com...
>
> I've been told by some CW people I'd trust that the CW mode on some
> of the Unidens, with the exception of the HTX-100 variant from RS,
> wasn't worth squat. Being there was only one step to worthless,
> according to them.

I used a 2510 on CW (while mobile, even) and it seemed to do a pretty good job. If I remember right, it put out 25 watts of CW which is more than enough for the 10 meter band when condx are good.

Tom

4471. Re: The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 18:05:33 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aju0a2$1dv4r4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133501

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:xzs89.3646$3c5.193820854@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...

> Good luck Tom, I tried to explain that
> a while back.
>

I guess they can throw you in jail if you ignore the FCC warnings & refuse to pay the NAL's, but that's a crime similar to not showing up for court on or paying a speeding ticket. It's not a "crime" to own a modified CB.

Tom

4472. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 18:10:27 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aju0j9$1e9gcv$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133502

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D625A35.5E72@worldlynx.net...

> A few of the radios (Uniden HR 2510, RCI 2950 etc) do not have
> channelized indicators, and tune in a more "traditional" ham manner of
> KHz and Hz granularity. These radios make for a better case for intended
> 10 meter ham markets, but they can be easily modified to cover 11
> meters. The FCC has finally ruled that even those radios are not
> marketed in the spirit of ham radio, and are merely exploiting a
> loophole in import regulations. This latest "clarification" is the FCC's
> attempt at closing the loophole.

They should do what the scanner manufacturers did after the FCC blocked
certification on scanners easily modifiable to receiver cellular signals.
They should make them next to impossible to modify for frequencies outside
the 10 meter band.

Tom

4473. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 18:12:37 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aju0na$1dvgpp$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133503

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020820120937.03553.00001798@mb-co.aol.com...
> jerry we come from CB, there is no other service thats more interefered
with.
> dont think we're used to it by now? tell riley to clean up the hams who
intrude
> on 555 and cause us trouble
>

Like you have a right to use 27.555? The FCC would be shutting you down as
well. I don't think anyone operating there (with the exception of
legitimate users) has the right to complain about interference.

Tom

4474. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 18:13:08 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D626791.E81FBF0B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 133504

there = their

"www.TimNebo.com" wrote:
>
> then whos job is it to police the cb band? surely not jerry o and aaron h, but
> its hard to tell from there post here, if you went by there attitude alone
> you'd think they made 100k a year being cb cops
>
> >That's what Riley H. is being tasked to do. It's HIS job to clean up the
> >ham bands.
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

4475. Re: Does illegally high powered CBs hurt anyone? It seems they do.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 18:14:22 UTC
From: James Alexander <james-m-alexander@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <3D628727.B3827FE7@rogers.com>
Source record: 133684

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:

> Does illegally high powered CBs hurt anyone? It seems they do. I just picked up the August issue of "Scanning USA" and read the article on page 22 by Ian A. Murphy. The interesting part I quoted below.
>
> "The second problem, being more unknown and deadly, consisted of radio frequency interference stemming from a number of sources. One such source was found as a common citizens band radio with major illegal power output. Another incident of the same type of nature was discovered when one of the helicopters flew too close to a commercial radio stations transmissions towers. Both times the flight ended in fatalities for the crews."
>
> The above, if true, certainly blows the argument that illegal CB radio operations don't hurt anybody. I guess they don't hurt, they in fact killed somebody.
>
> It would prove even more interesting if somebody wanted to contact the author, Mr. Murphy, to get any further details of the incident(s).
>
> Granted this sort of thing could happen with Ham equipment as well. The point being that the pirate CBers on this group steadfastly refuse to acknowledge that their operations can hurt somebody, and they exercise little to zero thought concerning the consequences their operations cause.
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO
>
> Saw this on a Tee-shirt:
>
> "I am a
> bomb
> technician
> if you see me
> running
> try to keep up "

Hi Leland,

I just noticed this thread today and it reminded me of a story I heard years ago. It was told to me by a then, communications canada radio inspector who was administering some amateur radio liscencing exams. He told us (the radio club) a story of how they'd found one person using a CB with a badly designed 1 kilowatt linear amplifier. The "perp" was easily found since he was bleeding harmonics all over the spectrum. in fact one harmonic landed right in the aircraft band (and was less than 5 miles from an airport) and that harmonic measured a full 4 watts (which is the legal limit here in canada). After the

court case and the fine was paid, the inspectors and police were willing to let the person reclaim his legal cb gear (minus the highpowered amplifiers), but he never did so, fearing another fine as soon as he went back on the air.

On another off topic note. That bomb technician t-shirt was, from what I know, worn by soviet nuclear weapon technicians during the later part of the 80's onwards.

4476. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 18:14:46 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208201014.7585e967@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133505

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:<nyu59.198627$nm.7961350@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020811084023.21247.00002639@mb-cv.aol.com...
> > Funny thing is most of the illegal amp companys were founded by amateur
> > radio operators.
>
> They were?
>
> I looked up the owner of Xforce he is not a Ham, I looked up the owner of
> Davemade he is not a Ham, I looked up the owner of Noname he is not a ham, I
> looked up the owner of Telstar amps he is not a ham, I looked up the owner
> of Cookie made amps, he is not a ham, I looked up the owner of joker amps
> and he is not a Ham so perhaps you can help us out and inform us of all
> these illegal map companies that are owned buy hams. .

You definitely need a girl friend. Way too much time on your hands.

4477. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 18:17:24 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D626890.8C131B46@mailcity.net>
Source record: 133507

Who cares what the idiots do on the cb band do as long as they do not interfere with other services. The whole argument here is that it is not confined to the cb band. The overdriven, overpowered, splatter boxes cause other interference, and the encroachment into other frequencies by unlicensed operators, such as the 10 meter band with their imported "10 meter" radios, which we all know are aimed at the cb crowd, is the issue. That is what we want cleaned up.

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> www.TimNebo.com wrote:
> i was just showing how odd it is that with all the troubles on the world
> wide amatuer bands you religate yourself to "policing" the cb freqs only
> when there is so much more going on.
>
> >The amount of problem on the ham bands are but a small minority of
> people. Compared to the CB band where breaking the law is the "rule"
> rather than the exception.<<
>
> Opinions are like ...., this one stinks and shows you can not only
> not mask your disdain for cbers but go out of your way to antagonize and
> let your hate be known...repeatedly. Seek within and you will find the
> source.
>
>
> Tim continued with: "after all the guys on the ham bands are making our
> entire nation look like fools to the whole world, yet your biggest
> concern is still truckers with small amps driving up an down the
> highway. "
>
> >After the last skip cycle, the whole world got a taste of USA CB. I'm
> sure that that was not an image that I would want the world to picture
> us as. <<
>
> (Sigh) The whole world has had not only a taste but a plateful of an
> image not aesthetically pleasing long before this last skip cycle, and
> it was brought to them courtesy of Hammie USA,,..yourself a fine example
> of what I speak...prejudice for no apparent reason and anxious to share
> it with whoever will lend an ear.
> Sharing the knowledge that you recently became aware of what a high
> magnitude of a skip cycle can do, especially the present one, doesn't
> mean the rest of the world shared your recent revelation at the same

> time you experienced it. Many of us have experienced several cycles.
> Judging by your astonishment concerning the skip cycle, you probably
> won;'t find the next one as fascinating as your first, but you can still
> have fun with it.
>
> Tim related: "aaron you asked
> for proof of "current torubles on the hf bands" and here it is. dont try
> to wiesel out of it now. be a man and admitt it really is going on and
> theres nothing you can do about any of it."
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

4478. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 18:19:16 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aju13q$1dnahq$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133506

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208191830.1ca019f9@posting.google.com...

> > Once again, no. And for the umpteenth time: THERE IS NO SUCH THING AS
AN
> > "ILLEGAL" AMATEUR RADIO TRANSCEIVER.

Man, is Google lagged that much? I made this post way before the weekend
started.

> But, as you know, the CB outlaw ops, dishonest distributors and sleazy
> manufacturers found a way to exploit the laws to achieve dishonest
> purposes. They fraudulently claim their pirate CB stuff falls under a
> privilege (freedom from type acceptance) enjoyed by legitimate ham
> equipment. The loophole/scam they came up with is advertising this
> outlaw gear as "10 meter amateur radios".

No, the gear is marketed as amateur radio gear, and comes from the factory
operating only on 28.000 to 29.700. At least the Unidens did. They had to
be modified to operate on CB frequencies. True, they were easily modified.

> That's why they must *desperately*
> try and maintain the farce that an 8 band 40 channel, echo-enabled,
> roger-beeped, rebel flagged, CB-type radio is a piece of "amateur
> gear".

Once again, you're talking about supposed "export" CB's which were never
intended to be sold as amateur gear. I don't care if those kind of radios
are banned, but I take issue with everyone trying to ban legal amateur radio
transceivers. I also don't take issue with anyone objecting to sale of this
equipment at truck stops & CB shops, since it is obvious that they are being
marketed to CB'ers. However, when you shut down all auctions of legal 10
meter radios on ebay saying that they're intended for CB use, you're
claiming to know who the winning bidder will be and what they're going to
use the radio for.

Tom

4479. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 18:20:08 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aju15c$1cr0n6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133508

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208191846.2e4e501a@posting.google.com...
> Tom Sevart wrote
> >Yeah, but he just can't get it that a ham can use
> >one of those radios on 10 meters.
> >
> >Tom
>
> Hi Tom. Once again, licensed amateurs freedom from type acceptance was
> never disputed by me, and continues to be a non-issue. The real issues
> are the proliferation of fake "export" radios that are not legal for
> CB in any known country (hence they have no where to export to), and
> the hijacking of the name "10 meter amateur radio" used for the
> purpose of selling illegal CB gear.
>
> -Aaron-

Then why in the past did you state that these "phoney 10 meter radios" are
illegal?

Tom

4480. Re: Does illegally high powered CBs hurt anyone? It seems they do.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 18:20:22 UTC
From: James Alexander <james-m-alexander@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <3D62888F.2FEDC41C@rogers.com>
Source record: 133685

"Peter R." wrote:

> On Wed, 07 Aug 2002 03:13:23 GMT, "Leland C. Scott"
> <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
> >snip<
>
> Electronics 101 : what kind of cb power are we tailking about that
> could bring down a helicopter ? Go on, wise guy .
>
> I've been lurking in this ng for a while, and it seems here t's the
> same problem as anywhere else. HAM people have bands to choose from,
> and power to burn, but still they're trying their darnest to take away
> the few channels and piss ant power us cb'ers have. So what are you
> saying ? 100 watts at 26 MHz is no problem, as long as you're
> licenced, but 20 watts in 27 MHz will make jets crash into the capitol
> ?
>
> Peter 'confuzed' R.

Hams who abuse their priveliges also get nailed, lets not forget this. You also forget about harmonics and the problems those can cause. As I've already mentioned, having a powerful cb with piss poor harmonic supression will splatter rf noise up the spectrum well into the aircraft band.

Also your spurious emissons about hams trying to take away the CB frequencies from CB users is at best, totally inane. Hams have a fair amount of spectrum in HF, not all of which is fully utilized. Hams also have quite a bit of spectrum in the VHF UHF regioun (all the way up to microwave). Just the 70cm band alone in many countries is either 10 or 20 mhz (depending on what country you live in). Much of the UHF and above spectrum that hams have is unused. Why on earth would they want to take CB? it makes no sense at all.

4481. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 18:21:14 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D626977.5FAB494@mailcity.net>
Source record: 133509

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> >From: medtools@earthlink.net (tools)
> X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 1029849646
> 63.52.169.199 (Tue, 20 Aug 2002 06:20:46 PDT) wrote:
> Seems to me that the best answer to all this wa wa hoopla, would be to
> make 10 meter part of the CB freqs. Perhaps the truckers could lobby for
> it. Or even better the hams could lobby for it<<
>
> There isn't any "hoopla" to speak of. Just the same 2 or 3 angry hammies
> claiming it's there when it's really not. Isolated incidents do not an
> epidemic make.

Again twistie shows his ignorance. Illegal operation on the 10
meter band by truckers and other cbers is not an isolated
incident. They are there every day, all you have to do is listen.

4482. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 18:23:51 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208201023.451641d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133511

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<Xns927025E3EE85Fsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in
> news:c9ceae5b.0208191830.1ca019f9@posting.google.com:
>
> <snip>
>
> > If they don't call this trash something other than what it is (11
> > meter bootlegger radios) then they cannot sell it without attracting
> > lots of attention and complaints. That's why they must *desperately*
> > try and maintain the farce that an 8 band 40 channel, echo-enabled,
> > roger-beeped, rebel flagged, CB-type radio is a piece of "amateur
> > gear".
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> You know, I just recently saw the box for a Galaxy DX99V at my local
> radio shop. "Big Rig Series". I got a nice chuckle out of it. Amateur
> transceiver? Sure. It had everything a ham looks for, like robot voice, a
> channel selector and a chrome case. I still wasn't convinced, but then I
> saw that it said 10-meter right on the box. This was proof positive this
> was no CB. At that point it was clear to me that this wasn't marketed to
> truckers. No sir, Galaxy seems to be after that lucrative "Hams who want
> a Big Rig" market. Big rig means big radio, not truck. God was *I* a
> dumbass.
>
> I asked the owner about it and he quickly admitted that his ham customers
> (both of them) don't even glance at the thing. "They head straight for
> the Kenwoods" he said (I didn't see much else). I did notice a trucker
> gazing at the mighty Galaxy. It's optional chrome-plated case made his
> longing eyes dazzle. He seemed reverent. This was holy ground, indeed.
> Soberly, he utters "Boy if I had the money..." Ah, the money. The $330
> price tag was all that stood between this humble man and his swang. I
> walked over to the trucker and said, "Nice radio huh?" He replied, "Sure
> is boy, I tell you what...these suckers can tawk!" What a remarkable
> statement that was. "These suckers can tawk". Absolutely beautiful. His
> words echoed through the canyons of my soul like a jake - groaning as it
> slows it's driver down a steep grade. I nodded knowingly, and said "You
> know, it's cool to meet people who are into radio. What's your callsign?"
>
> "My what?"
>
> And that about sums it up. Still, the box says "10 meter" so I guess it
> is. I mean if Galaxy says it is, then it is. 10-4?

>
> Alan S.

ROTFLMAO! Good post.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

4483. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 18:24:58 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aju1eg$1e44gp$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133510

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208191903.6d2372d6@posting.google.com...
> >
> >They could probably be modified to operate from 28.000 - 29.700.
>
> Do you think this is their intended market?

Of course not, but they are not being marketed as amateur gear. They are
sold as "export CB's."

>
> >Even if it wasn't, it could still be used as a CW transceiver,
> >or even a beacon transmitter. If I had one, that's what I'd use
> >it for.
>
> I don't think the "General Grant" has a CW key jack, Tom. :)

That's what modifications are for.

Tom

4484. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 18:25:40 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D626A80.8D1E3D48@mailcity.net>
Source record: 133512

"Alan S." wrote:
>
>
> You know, I just recently saw the box for a Galaxy DX99V at my local
> radio shop. "Big Rig Series". I got a nice chuckle out of it. Amateur
> transceiver? Sure. It had everything a ham looks for, like robot voice, a
> channel selector and a chrome case. I still wasn't convinced, but then I
> saw that it said 10-meter right on the box. This was proof positive this
> was no CB. At that point it was clear to me that this wasn't marketed to
> truckers. No sir, Galaxy seems to be after that lucrative "Hams who want
> a Big Rig" market. Big rig means big radio, not truck. God was *I* a
> dumbass.
>
> I asked the owner about it and he quickly admitted that his ham customers
> (both of them) don't even glance at the thing. "They head straight for
> the Kenwoods" he said (I didn't see much else). I did notice a trucker
> gazing at the mighty Galaxy. It's optional chrome-plated case made his
> longing eyes dazzle. He seemed reverent. This was holy ground, indeed.
> Soberly, he utters "Boy if I had the money..." Ah, the money. The $330
> price tag was all that stood between this humble man and his swang. I
> walked over to the trucker and said, "Nice radio huh?" He replied, "Sure
> is boy, I tell you what...these suckers can tawk!" What a remarkable
> statement that was. "These suckers can tawk". Absolutely beautiful. His
> words echoed through the canyons of my soul like a jake - groaning as it
> slows it's driver down a steep grade. I nodded knowingly, and said "You
> know, it's cool to meet people who are into radio. What's your callsign?"
>
> "My what?"

I am surpassed he didn't say, "you mean my numbers?, hell I don't
need no numbers".

4485. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 18:31:55 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aju1rj$1ecnl4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133513

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aju15c$1cr0n6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> Then why in the past did you state that these "phoney 10 meter radios" are
> illegal?
>

And why were you taking such delight in blocking auctions of these radios on
ebay?

Tom

4486. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 18:51:18 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Gcw89.119386$Yd.5194322@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133514

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D626890.8C131B46@mailcity.net...
> Who cares what the idiots do on the cb band do as long as they do
> not interfere with other services. The whole argument here is that
> it is not confined to the cb band. The overdriven, overpowered,
> splatter boxes cause other interference, and the encroachment into
> other frequencies by unlicensed operators, such as the 10 meter
> band with their imported "10 meter" radios, which we all know are
> aimed at the cb crowd, is the issue. That is what we want cleaned
> up.

JJ, after 9/11/01, I think you are wishing upon a star here. That, coupled with the "tax cut", and imminent problems with Iraq, make your wish seem rather shortsighted. Please don't hold your breath.

4487. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 18:51:29 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020820145129.03553.00001832@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 133515

i am willing to bet you dont know daves real name, cookies real name, or anybody elses except maybe carls and brians

>> I looked up the owner of Xforce he is not a Ham, I looked up the owner of
>> Davemade he is not a Ham, I looked up the owner of Noname he is not a ham,
>I
>> looked up the owner of Telstar amps he is not a ham, I looked up the owner
>> of Cookie made amps, he is not a ham, I looked up the owner of joker amps
>> and he is not a Ham so perhaps you can help us out and inform us of all
>> these illegal map companies that are owned buy hams. .
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4488. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 18:54:49 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208201054.7543ca30@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133516

>Tom Sevart" n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com
>Date: Tue, Aug 20, 2002 11:20 AM
>Message-id: <aju15c$1cr0n6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
>
>
>"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:c9ceae5b.0208191846.2e4e501a@posting.google.com...
>> Tom Sevart wrote
>> >Yeah, but he just can't get it that a ham can use
>> >one of those radios on 10 meters.
>> >
>> >Tom
>>
>> Hi Tom. Once again, licensed amateurs freedom from type acceptance
was
>> never disputed by me, and continues to be a non-issue. The real
issues
>> are the proliferation of fake "export" radios that are not legal
for
>> CB in any known country (hence they have no where to export to),
and
>> the hijacking of the name "10 meter amateur radio" used for the
>> purpose of selling illegal CB gear.
>>
>> -Aaron-
>
>Then why in the past did you state that these "phoney 10 meter
radios" are
>illegal?
>
>Tom
>

Because - for the umpteenth time - the FCC has defined what a "dual purpose" radio is and stated clearly that they are illegal to import and market. The FCC specifies that in the majority of instances what is being called "amateur radio gear" is indeed not amateur radio gear but CB gear intended to be operated on frequencies outside the CB service.

How this could possibly threaten hams freedom from equipment type acceptance, I don't know. Most hams realize that the FCC ruling is not intended to stop them from legitimate use of equipment on the amateur bands. It IS intended to stop the illegal use of unauthorized gear among unlicensed individuals.

There are legitimate amateur "10 meter transceivers" on the market - but they are few and far between. The RS-HTX model comes to mind, it is not popular with CBers and bootleggers because of the difficulty of modification. However the vast majority of what today are being called "10 meter amateur radios" are only being called that to disguise them from the law and enable illegal sale to unlicensed individuals. Individuals who intend to use them illegally. It doesn't matter if you agree with the laws or feel they are trivial, these are the facts.

Bottom line, Tom. If you'd like to lobby the FCC and try and convince them that the "exports" and the phoney "10 meter" gear sold in truck stops and on internet CB shop sites are an important resource to hams and must not be disturbed, be my guest. But I think you might have a hard time making a case.

-Aaron-

4489. Re: Results are in! A-99(+ mods) vs Imax-2000(+ mods) vs 827 vs dipole vs cheap 5/8 and 1/2 wave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 19:06:08 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208201106.5b800e78@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133517

"Randy" rchapman8@houston.rr.com wrote
>"Simon Bussey" <s.j.bussey@GOAWAYSPAMhw.ac.uk> wrote in message
>news:4Yq89.781$hq6.31747201@news-text.cableinet.net...
>> ?? Are you saying you can't buy metal antennas for cb?!?!?!?
>>
>
>Yes. The metal antennas you can buy cannot be advertised as "CB"
antennas
>in
>the US. They can be sold under the guise of being "10 meter" or
"commercial"
>antennas though.

Calling something "10 meter" seems to be the loophole for selling
illegal gear these days.

-Aaron-

4490. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 19:22:09 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aju4pn$1eghmf$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133518

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208201054.7543ca30@posting.google.com...

> Because - for the umpteenth time - the FCC has defined what a "dual
> purpose" radio is and stated clearly that they are illegal to import
> and market. The FCC specifies that in the majority of instances what
> is being called "amateur radio gear" is indeed not amateur radio gear
> but CB gear intended to be operated on frequencies outside the CB
> service.

That is not what has been claimed here. Some posters have been claiming
that these radios are "illegal," period. Meaning nobody can own them or use
them. You yourself have helped stop auctions of 10 meter transceivers on
ebay.

> Bottom line, Tom. If you'd like to lobby the FCC and try and convince
> them that the "exports" and the phoney "10 meter" gear sold in truck
> stops and on internet CB shop sites are an important resource to hams
> and must not be disturbed, be my guest. But I think you might have a
> hard time making a case.

You obviously haven't read all my posts. I don't care if "export" CB's are
banned. I don't want legitimate 10 meter radios banned.

Tom

4491. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 19:27:09 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020820152709.03553.00001838@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 133519

do you see me making post daily about the problem? not at all. i choose to qsy to another freq and ignore that one. unlike jerry who chooses to use 28.085 just so he will be able to complain i tend to stay away from the trouble spots like that if i can unless its just for pure entertainment

>
>Like you have a right to use 27.555? The FCC would be shutting you down as
>well. I don't think anyone operating there (with the exception of
>legitimate users) has the right to complain about interference.
>
>Tom
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4492. Re: Results are in! A-99(+ mods) vs Imax-2000(+ mods) vs 827 vs dipole vs cheap 5/8 and 1/2 wave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 19:59:09 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D629F78.6F6ED696@icok.net>
Source record: 133520

Randy,
I'm afraid that just isn't true. There does
have to be a warning on the antenna, but there's
no law against selling them in the USA.
'Doc

4493. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 20:01:43 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D62A011.693B6706@icok.net>
Source record: 133521

Jerry,
'AM' is allowed anywhere in the voice portions of
all amateur bands, subject to band edge qualifications.
'Doc

PS - I wish it weren't, but it is.

4494. I got another GOLDMINE of NOS CB stuff AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 20:20:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <6m75mu4jv6n4vg08ho9rbk5rctq4pq1j09@4ax.com>
Source record: 133522

Twice within the last few years, I have been blessed BY GOD to get a bunch of NOS CB items from the past from stores that did CB, TV, car stereo and whatever else they worked on. CB was NOT their MAIN "bread & butter" business, so they tended to keep OLD items longer without sale. One place was in biz over 50 years, and the recent one I got last pm was in biz since @ least 1976 from what I can tell on the age of the items.

SO if anyone wants any OLD NOS CB items from the past 26 years, I MAY have it ! I don't have a lot, but I have some. I will list a few items here quickly that I'm looking to sell to re-coop $$ that I paid for to get these items. E mail me back and remove HATEspam from the address or truth@planetc.com and put CB somewhere in the subject line.

The 1st item is a NOS brand new in the box & BAG--a Turner hand power mic M +2/U ! Brownish mic wiring book with it (to tell it's age). The curly cord goes right to the mic head, which would lead me to believe that it is used, but it appears to be brand new in da box, since it has the origional black stopper between the mic key and the mic! Looks NEW too! Did these come with a battery? I better look inside to remove it!

A NOS TUG9-D104 base mic. "Conneaut Technologies" on warr. card. I'd have to open bottom to see mfg. date, but I guess 15-20 years old NOS. I Also have a NOS GOLD D104 Bicentennial edition from '76 that the company says is worth over $500 too for sale.

I got a bunch of those CDE alternator filters that go under the hood that I haven't seen in years. Also got some different "flip mounts" than the ones I already have, the Valor ones. Got a bunch of Valor 101HD (it's really a 101cpw mount) for OLD ford pick up truck mirrors.

CB BAY is now open. E mail me the highest price you will pay for the above items and IF you want something from the past that I didn't mention, E MAIL ME and ASK ME if I have it. I have amassed an incredible variety of CB items over my 23 years and more of being a 1 man operation CB shop. Let me know what you are looking for!
Dave!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4495. nitro1500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 20:54:08 UTC
From: boweevel <boweevel@_NOSPAM_attbi.com>
Message-ID: <Q%x89.113379$me6.15696@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 133523

selling Nitro 1500 watt amp (10 0r 11) meters. Highdrive driving it with 6
watts pegs my 1000 watt meter like new ,no dents or scratches paid $1250,
will take $700 workks perfect.If intreseted contact boweevel@att.bi
John

4496. Re: C-SPAN: D.C. Reparations Rally

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 21:10:05 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Ney89.3214$NZ.136399@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133524

The problem is... he isn't kidding.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns926E97A82AAE3subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> > YOU NY Commies don't even KNOW what really happened back then. WE is
> > in da biggest slave system NOW since ancient Egypt, put here by GOD
> > because of our SINS. It was SO bad for the southern slave system that
> > MOST of da BROS STAYED ON the plantation when they were free to go,
> > because they had such GOOD MASSAS ! How good is da "massa" today? A
> > lot more WICKED den them palntation owners, thats fow shore! I say
> > GIVE DEM da reparations, so dey can buy more N8 McVoob LINEARS & CB's
> > !!!
> > !!!
> > Dave!!!
> > Dave!!
> >
>
> You've got to be kidding me.

4497. 2 Jennings Vacuum Variable Capacitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 21:38:18 UTC
From: NI4L <ni4l@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3D62B6CA.9000404@charter.net>
Source record: 133525

FS 2 Jennings Vacuum Variable Capacitors
CVCJ-1000-5S - 7-1000pf, 5KV. ceramic
UCSL-500-5D2639 - 9-500pf, 5KV glass
Both work fine.
100.00 each + shipping OBO

--

--
NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
Made with the same components used by our military forces today
Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/

4498. Francis Whips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 21:44:41 UTC
From: Steve McQueen <madmac51@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <dLy89.3888$pM5.1114356892@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133526

Is there any difference between the Francis CB28 Wheeler Dealer and the CB50 Amazer other than color? I'm going to go with a corner bumper mount on my Tahoe for now. Not brave enough to drill the roof, yet.

Thanks,

Steve

4499. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 22:01:56 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208201401.1dce1c2e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133527

Tom Sevart wrote
>"Tom Sevart" wrote in message
news:aju15c$1cr0n6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> >Then why in the past did you state that these "phoney 10 meter
> >radios" are illegal?
>
>
>And why were you taking such delight in blocking auctions of
>these radios on ebay?
>
>Tom

1) The auctions were "blocked" as a result of eBay's policy on
prohibited items.

2) eBay's policies are the result of FCC advisories concerning
non-type accepted CB's disguised as 10 meter radios.

3) The FCC advisories are the result of existing CB rules which are
contained in Part 95.

If you have a problem with eBay or FCC policies I suggest you take it
up with them.

-Aaron-

4500. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 22:16:14 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <Ocz89.99090$2p2.4625609@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133528

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D61FC7A.94A5FA5E@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Gary Danaher wrote:
> >
> > Well, I do have to admit that a few extra feet of coax has its
advantages. If
> > you loop 5-6 turns of coax into a 6 inch bundle and tie it up, it makes
a
> > pretty good choke. I do this to keep rf out of the alarm system and
sprinkler
> > system.
>
> You should buy one of Jay's groundplanes,
> and toss that fiberglass bleedstick dipole. <g>

Be aware you will need a second mortgage for it.

4501. Re: Francis Whips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 22:17:33 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20020820181733.20213.00001588@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 133529

The Gray one (Amazer) will handle much more power.
Train

4502. Re: The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 22:18:04 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <wez89.101916$SS.4607598@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133530

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aju0a2$1dv4r4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:xzs89.3646$3c5.193820854@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> > Good luck Tom, I tried to explain that
> > a while back.
> >
>
> I guess they can throw you in jail if you ignore the FCC warnings & refuse
> to pay the NAL's, but that's a crime similar to not showing up for court
on
> or paying a speeding ticket. It's not a "crime" to own a modified CB.
>
> Tom

Tom tell it to the guy who wrote the article he has been in CB for 30 years.

4503. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 22:26:38 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Kkz89.2937$Gl.132720@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133531

www.TimNebo.com <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020820120656.03553.00001796@mb-co.aol.com...
> i live within sight of I-45 which is the only highway connecting the port
of
> houston and the port of galveston and i just dont hear all the stuff you
claim
> you do. right now its 11:07 central time and i've been on 28.085 for about
20
> minutes now since i started reading new messages in this group and i
havent
> heard a trucker yet. but hey if you think your scrawny little messenger is
> "high power" then you really wont have any chance of causing them grief.
most
> of them are running at lest that and within couple of miles from each
other.
>
> >The more I think about it, the more tempted I am to install my amplifier
in
> >the car so I can call
> >high power CQ right on top of them.
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

AH, but CW sent at high speed would be enough to cause them quite a bit of
grief.

Jerry
>
>

4504. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 22:31:32 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <kpz89.2940$GI.134969@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133533

Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aju0j9$1e9gcv$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3D625A35.5E72@worldlynx.net...
>
> > A few of the radios (Uniden HR 2510, RCI 2950 etc) do not have
> > channelized indicators, and tune in a more "traditional" ham manner of
> > KHz and Hz granularity. These radios make for a better case for intended
> > 10 meter ham markets, but they can be easily modified to cover 11
> > meters. The FCC has finally ruled that even those radios are not
> > marketed in the spirit of ham radio, and are merely exploiting a
> > loophole in import regulations. This latest "clarification" is the FCC's
> > attempt at closing the loophole.
>
> They should do what the scanner manufacturers did after the FCC blocked
> certification on scanners easily modifiable to receiver cellular signals.
> They should make them next to impossible to modify for frequencies outside
> the 10 meter band.
>
> Tom

Agreed. Radio Shack did it with the HTX10. But the mfrs' are not trying to
market to
hams; to make them unmodifiable would take away a big part of their customer
base.

Jerry
>
>

4505. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 22:33:27 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ajug0d$1dfrpm$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133532

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020820152709.03553.00001838@mb-co.aol.com...
> do you see me making post daily about the problem? not at all. i choose to
qsy
> to another freq and ignore that one. unlike jerry who chooses to use
28.085
> just so he will be able to complain i tend to stay away from the trouble
spots
> like that if i can unless its just for pure entertainment

No, it's just the way you worded it. One of the funniest things I've heard
on 27.555 is the Alpha Tangoes and the anti-Alpha Tangoes arguing over who
is the rightful user of that freq, when none of them are.

Tom

4506. Re: Francis Whips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 22:33:27 UTC
From: Steve McQueen <madmac51@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <Xsz89.3897$rv7.1121526849@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133534

I'm planning on running my Grant barefoot, but they are the same price.
I've got an old orange one out in the garage someplace, but it was pretty
ratty looking, glass hairs frizzing all over, the last time I saw it. I'll
probably get a new gray one.

Thanks,

Steve
"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
news:20020820181733.20213.00001588@mb-ms.aol.com...
> The Gray one (Amazer) will handle much more power.
> Train
>

4507. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 22:34:05 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <xtz89.99364$2p2.4639841@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133535

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020820120656.03553.00001796@mb-co.aol.com...
> i live within sight of I-45 which is the only highway connecting the port
of
> houston and the port of galveston and i just dont hear all the stuff you
claim
> you do. right now its 11:07 central time and i've been on 28.085 for about
20
> minutes now since i started reading new messages in this group and i
havent
> heard a trucker yet.

Tim I have monitored the Ham freqs you listed and have never heard anything
either what does that tell you

4508. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 22:39:26 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <yyz89.99431$2p2.4644103@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133536

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020820145129.03553.00001832@mb-co.aol.com...
> i am willing to bet you dont know daves real name, cookies real name, or
> anybody elses except maybe carls and brians

Nebo funny things can be found in a DX directory.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

4509. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 22:45:59 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <UCz89.2959$Gl.140831@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133537

www.TimNebo.com <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020820120937.03553.00001798@mb-co.aol.com...
> jerry we come from CB, there is no other service thats more interefered
with.
> dont think we're used to it by now? tell riley to clean up the hams who
intrude
> on 555 and cause us trouble

The interference created on the "freeband" is self-generated. *Most* CB
users have
no real communications training and, therefore, the understanding of how
their operations
affect others as well as themselves. There are no guidelines nor
discipline. And those
persons who happen to have a ham ticket and operate on illegal frequencies
are no more
and no less than the "freebanders", and those who would ask for "protection"
by having FCC target one group of illegals as opposed to another so "they"
can continue their illegal activities
are particularly stretching the limits of credibility. Anyone who operates
illegally should accept the
risks and the responsibility (something seemingly absent from many of the
ops) of their actions.
That goes for so-called "hams", too. If a licensed ham does this, then I
don't want to associate with
him.

Jerry

> >>
> >>
> >> "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:Xns926B1915A9923subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> >> > The "Legal issues with Ebutt" thread is getting pretty long, so I
> >figured
> >> > I'd weigh in on the Illegal 10 Meter Radio discussion by starting a
new
> >> > one.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

4510. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 22:48:26 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208201448.17e174cd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133538

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D625A35.5E72@worldlynx.net>...
> Richard Cranium wrote:
> >
> > Once again, there is no such thing as a "fake" or "phoney" ten meter
> > radio. What you and Jerry keep bring up are called "export CBs"; they
> > are NOT 10 meter radios, never have been, and never will be (unless a
> > licensed ham converts them for use on the 10 meter band, at which
> > point they are no longer CBs and cannot be operated there). "Export
> > CBs" have never been legal for use on CB in the USA.
>
>
> Dick,
>
> "Export" Cb radios have been around for a number of years (20+ IIRC).
> THey have never been legal in import into the US, as they do not meet
> type acceptance requirements for class D CB operation.
>
> BUT, The "export" manufacturers, in an effort to remove the bottleneck
> and allow increased sales into the US, have latched upon a loophole.
> That loophole is the "10 meter radio". Since ham radios do not require
> any type acceptance, these radios are legal to use in the ten meter ham
> band by licenses hams. The problem is that they aren't REALLY intended
> to be 10 meter ham radios, they only state so to attempt to "get around"
> import restrictions on non type accepted CB radios. The intended market
> for these radios is not 10 meter hams (Why would any 10 meter radio have
> 40 channelized frequencies, roger beeps, echo, and instant channel 19
> switches?, and cover frequencies below the 10 meter band).
>
> A few of the radios (Uniden HR 2510, RCI 2950 etc) do not have
> channelized indicators, and tune in a more "traditional" ham manner of
> KHz and Hz granularity. These radios make for a better case for intended
> 10 meter ham markets, but they can be easily modified to cover 11
> meters. The FCC has finally ruled that even those radios are not
> marketed in the spirit of ham radio, and are merely exploiting a
> loophole in import regulations. This latest "clarification" is the FCC's
> attempt at closing the loophole.

The original HR2510 (s/n 830xxxx) was capable of being easily modified
for CB with a 10K resistor and cutting two traces on the PCB. Later
versions of the HR2510 and the HR2600 could NOT be converted to CB
without a microprocessor transplant. One would think that the FCC
engineers would know this as well as the techs do. This one wonders
why they don't?

4511. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 22:50:18 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208201450.72a7698b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133539

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D626977.5FAB494@mailcity.net>...
> Twistedhed wrote:
> >
> > >From: medtools@earthlink.net (tools)
> > X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 1029849646
> > 63.52.169.199 (Tue, 20 Aug 2002 06:20:46 PDT) wrote:
> > Seems to me that the best answer to all this wa wa hoopla, would be to
> > make 10 meter part of the CB freqs. Perhaps the truckers could lobby for
> > it. Or even better the hams could lobby for it<<
> >
> > There isn't any "hoopla" to speak of. Just the same 2 or 3 angry hammies
> > claiming it's there when it's really not. Isolated incidents do not an
> > epidemic make.
>
> Again twistie shows his ignorance. Illegal operation on the 10
> meter band by truckers and other cbers is not an isolated
> incident. They are there every day, all you have to do is listen.

Seems you and Aanal Aaron have the time for that. Most people do not.
I think Twistedhed has it right. What's your excuse, JJ? No DX on
better bands?

4512. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 22:53:35 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208201453.182f7da0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133540

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<Omr89.14698$I6.1255419@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> Seems to me that the best answer to all this wa wa hoopla, would be to make
> 10 meter part of the CB freqs. Perhaps the truckers could lobby for it. Or
> even better the hams could lobby for it.

Or make 11m part of the ten meter band? But, of course, that would
shut CB down completely. And that ain't gonna happen.

One has to wonder why a no-code Tech would be so concerned about
10-meter QRM in the first place. Any comments, Voobie Baby (to the
tune of Dion "Ruby Baby") since he can't operate there anyhow.
Apparently he thinks the hams can't deal with it. Believe me, they
can!

4513. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 22:55:56 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208201455.2260080d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133541

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<6xC79.33919$EP2.1709478@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>...
> www.TimNebo.com <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
> news:20020816221454.00259.00001026@mb-fj.aol.com...
> > awe shit, jerry has turned into a real voobner clone now. just look at the
> sig
>
>
> HUH??????????????????????

Geez, stop sitting on your brain!

> J
> >
> > >
> > >I have not dealt with that particular issue because I honestly don't
> know.
> > >I won't be using one,
> > >so it doesn't matter.

Then exactly what is you problem?

What IS apparent is that they are illegal to
> IMPORT
> > >and MARKET.

No question. Your point?

> > >J
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > Tim Nebo
> >
> > http://www.TimNebo.com

4514. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 23:00:32 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208201500.53211246@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133542

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<aju1rj$1ecnl4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:aju15c$1cr0n6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> >
> > Then why in the past did you state that these "phoney 10 meter radios" are
> > illegal?
> >
>
> And why were you taking such delight in blocking auctions of these radios on
> ebay?
>
> Tom

You really expect a rational reply to these questions, Tom? He's changed his story several times already, and will do so again in an instant if it makes him look more correct. He's nothing more than a TROLL with followers. Two followers (Jerry and George). No more.

4515. Re: Cigarette Adapter on Uniden PC68LTW??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 23:02:27 UTC
From: GMpartsguy <kb1cih@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <7Uz89.178968$UU1.31151@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 133543

Depending on the age of the truck, most "cigarette lighter" sockets are rated at 20 amps these days for phones, computers, etc.
By "box" im guessing you mean a CB. If'n yer running some heat, dont expect to run more that a 50 watt "mosquito" amp through that circuit, Fords catch fire easily :)
I ran that setup on vacation once and had no problems.

4516. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 23:08:11 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <vZz89.109730$Fw3.4663191@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133544

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208200859.558a7546@posting.google.com...
> > They don't? Galaxy's so called 10 meter radios dx33hml has 6 bands and
240
> > channels out of the box, DX44 8 bands 360 channels out of the box, DX
66v
> > 360 channels 8 bands , DX73V 360 channels 8 bands echo reverb, robot
voice
> > changer, etc etc Connex same story. Why arent any of these so called
Ham
> > radios advertised in QST or 73 magazine or even qrz's website if they
are
> > geared towards hams?
>
> The radios you mention are NOT 10 meter radios; they are "export CB
> radios", which have never been legal for use in the USA. Do not pass
> GO, do not collect $200.

They sure are being called Amateur Radios you don't even have a game piece
let alone worry about collecting $200

Galaxy DX44V 10 Meter Mobile Radio -- $250.00

CONNEX 3300 240 Channel AM/FM 10 Meter Amateur Radio

10 Meter Mobile Radios DX99
DX88
DX77
DX73
DX66
DX55
DX44
DX33

Frequency Counter (with "ON/OFF" switch) YES
YES

YES
YES
YES

Pre-wired for FC 347 Frequency Counter ---

YES

```
---
---
---
YES
YES

Dimmer Control variable
---
---
variable
variable
variable
2-stage
---

Meter Size LARGE
small
small
LARGE
LARGE
LARGE
small
small

SWR Scale ---
---
---
YES
---
---
---
---

Red/Green Transmit LED ---
YES
YES
---
---
---
YES
YES

Meter glows red during Transmit YES
---
---
YES
YES
YES
---
---
```

Roger Beep (with "ON/OFF" switch) YES
YES
YES
YES
YES
YES
YES

Noise Blanker/ Automatic Noise Limiter YES
YES
YES
YES
YES
YES
YES
YES

PA Features: DX99
DX88
DX77
DX73
DX66
DX55
DX44
DX33

Echo Board with Dual Controls YES
YES
YES
YES
YES

YES
YES

Voice Changer with Pitch Control YES

YES

Robot Sound (four-position) ---

YES

Robot Sound (one-position) YES

Talk Back "ON" during Echo, VC or Robot YES
YES
YES
switched
YES

YES
YES

Talk Back "ON/OFF" Switch ---

YES

DX99
DX88
DX77
DX73
DX66
DX55
DX44
DX33

SSB in addition to AM/FM/PA YES
YES
YES

Number of Output Levels variable
2
3
variable
variable
variable
variable
3

Maximum Power Output - AM 10
10
10
8
8
8
8
8

Maximum Power Output - SSB 25
25
25

1.5 KHz Clarifier for Receive Only YES
YES
YES

5.0 KHz Clarifier for Receive and Transmit YES
YES
YES
YES
YES
YES

TWO-YEAR FACTORY WARRANTY YES
YES
YES
YES
YES
YES
YES
YES
YES

DX99
DX88
DX77
DX73
DX66
DX55
DX44
DX33

> Since when do YOU define what is or is not a ham radio?

I didn't the FCC has done it for me.

> I can agree that an echo isn't particularly worthwhile, but the "Beep"
> can be a useful DXing tool. Additionally, all these things can be
> turned OFF if you don't like them. Try again.

Yes and they can be turned on and if it is a Ham only radio why would they have these devices on them I haven't seen any of the new Trancievers Yaseu, Kenwood, Icom with all the bells and whistles have a robot voice changer on it or roger beep or even echo Why not they are $3,000 and up radios.

4517. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 23:09:14 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <u_z89.102421$SS.4642267@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133545

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aju1rj$1ecnl4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:aju15c$1cr0n6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> >
> > Then why in the past did you state that these "phoney 10 meter radios"
are
> > illegal?
> >
>
> And why were you taking such delight in blocking auctions of these radios
on
> ebay?
>
> Tom

Probably because they are Illegal to sell.
```

4518. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 23:13:40 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <E2A89.89902$m91.4422503@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133547

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aju1eg$1e44gp$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0208191903.6d2372d6@posting.google.com...
> > >
> > >They could probably be modified to operate from 28.000 - 29.700.
> >
> > Do you think this is their intended market?
>
> Of course not, but they are not being marketed as amateur gear. They are
> sold as "export CB's."

Really check out the links below.
Connex 3300 240 Channel AM/FM 10 Meter Amateur Radio

http://www.rrcom.com/sales/ham.html

http://members.aol.com/pacificcb/radios.htm
```

4519. ABF on 26.735

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 23:14:18 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <HZz89.53$aL6.29@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133546
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

While cruising the boulevard towards Miami this morning i noticed bleedover
from another channel so i turned the dial and could'nt locate the
transmitted freq. on 11meter, damn i guess their downstairs so i finally
locked in on 26.735 and
briefly monitored 3 ABF trucks using this freq. for standard communications.
I can't bitch because i use the basment for DX'n sometimes but using them
for regular 2-way is absurd.
--
Mad Dog

4520. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 23:16:24 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208201516.4a5e9445@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133548

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<Xns927025E3EE85Fsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in
> news:c9ceae5b.0208191830.1ca019f9@posting.google.com:
>
> <snip>
>
> > If they don't call this trash something other than what it is (11
> > meter bootlegger radios) then they cannot sell it without attracting
> > lots of attention and complaints. That's why they must *desperately*
> > try and maintain the farce that an 8 band 40 channel, echo-enabled,
> > roger-beeped, rebel flagged, CB-type radio is a piece of "amateur
> > gear".
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> You know, I just recently saw the box for a Galaxy DX99V at my local
> radio shop. "Big Rig Series". I got a nice chuckle out of it. Amateur
> transceiver? Sure. It had everything a ham looks for, like robot voice, a
> channel selector and a chrome case. I still wasn't convinced, but then I
> saw that it said 10-meter right on the box. This was proof positive this
> was no CB. At that point it was clear to me that this wasn't marketed to
> truckers. No sir, Galaxy seems to be after that lucrative "Hams who want
> a Big Rig" market. Big rig means big radio, not truck. God was *I* a
> dumbass.
>
> I asked the owner about it and he quickly admitted that his ham customers
> (both of them) don't even glance at the thing. "They head straight for
> the Kenwoods" he said (I didn't see much else). I did notice a trucker
> gazing at the mighty Galaxy. It's optional chrome-plated case made his
> longing eyes dazzle. He seemed reverent. This was holy ground, indeed.
> Soberly, he utters "Boy if I had the money..." Ah, the money. The $330
> price tag was all that stood between this humble man and his swang. I
> walked over to the trucker and said, "Nice radio huh?" He replied, "Sure
> is boy, I tell you what...these suckers can tawk!" What a remarkable
> statement that was. "These suckers can tawk". Absolutely beautiful. His
> words echoed through the canyons of my soul like a jake - groaning as it
> slows it's driver down a steep grade. I nodded knowingly, and said "You
> know, it's cool to meet people who are into radio. What's your callsign?"
>
> "My what?"
>
> And that about sums it up. Still, the box says "10 meter" so I guess it
> is. I mean if Galaxy says it is, then it is. 10-4?

>
> Alan S.

I went into the same store a week later. The Galaxy was still there, unsold. Next to it on the glass display case was a small vial of white powder. Above this was a sign that indicated it was "MEDICAL COCAINE" . Below this, in smaller type, the disclaimer, "for use by licensed physicians". It seemed odd and out of place. How many physicians bought their medications in a radio shop? And what was to prevent its misuse? Curious, I asked the owner about it. Did he check customers credentials to ensure they were physicians?

"It's their responsibility to get the license, not mine," he shrugged, "how they use it is up to whoever buys it". I asked him if he thought that any of the people who bought it were not doctors. "Who knows?" he said. It's no big deal he assured me, lots of this stuff is sold to non-doctors on the streets every day. "And besides," he winked, "chances of gettin caught are pretty slim".

Next to the cocaine, I noticed a rack of magazines with titles like CHICKENHAWK and NAMBLA PARADE. "What about this stuff?" I pointed at them, "it's obviously kiddie porn, isn't it?". The owner swiftly called my attention to his red crayon-scrawled disclaimer: "FOR EDUCATIONAL USE ONLY". I stood by while he sold a couple of the magazines to a chubby, acne-faced man whose pockets were bulging with penny candy. After the man left the shop I asked the owner whether or not he thought educational purposes were served by this particular sale.

He seemed particularly exasperated by my inquiry. His voice rose several octaves and took on a raspy, defensive edge. "Look dude, I'm just giving people what they want" he said, "And...and...just because some people break laws, that's no reason to ban stuff anyways! Penalize the offenders, not the products, that's what I say. Hell, what is this, Nazi Germany? Ever heard of the Constitution? I got my RIGHTS!". To emphasize this last point, he slammed his bulging cash register drawer shut.

KA-CHING!

-Aaron-

4521. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 23:16:35 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <n5A89.109889$Fw3.4668707@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133549

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208200859.558a7546@posting.google.com...
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:<gws79.188474$6Z1.8660646@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > "Tom Sevart" <N2UHC@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:95a98f7f.0208161945.14a25dd2@posting.google.com...
> > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
> > news:<c9ceae5b.0208152357.39b111ef@posting.google.com>...
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Hi Tom. Amateur's dispensation from type acceptance is not being
> > > > disputed by me, and never has been (see below).
> > >
> > > Then you should understand that there is no such thing as an "illegal"
> > > amateur radio transceiver.
> > >
> > > > The phoney "10 meter
> > > > radios" being sold to non-hams at venues such as truck stops (e.g.
the
> > > > soon-to-be busted "Flying J") are the issue.
> > >
> > > There's nothing "phoney (sic)" about them. They operate on 10 meters,
> > > so are 10 meter radios. They come from the factory with only 28.000 -
> > > 29.700 MHZ coverage. It's only after they are modified to operate
> > > outside this area that the CBer's will use them. They don't come from
> > > the factory this way.
> >
> > They don't? Galaxy's so called 10 meter radios dx33hml has 6 bands and
240
> > channels out of the box, DX44 8 bands 360 channels out of the box, DX
66v
> > 360 channels 8 bands , DX73V 360 channels 8 bands echo reverb, robot
voice
> > changer, etc etc Connex same story. Why arent any of these so called
Ham
> > radios advertised in QST or 73 magazine or even qrz's website if they
are
> > geared towards hams?
>
> The radios you mention are NOT 10 meter radios; they are "export CB
> radios", which have never been legal for use in the USA. Do not pass
> GO, do not collect $200.
```

Connex Amateur Radios
Connex 3300/3300 High Power Amateur Radios

Take a good look at the box the connex comes in "Amateur Transciever"
clearly labeled on side.
http://www.rrcom.com/sales/radios/connex3300.html

> > > But when you get right down to it, they are
> > > still 10 meter amateur radio transceivers.
> >
> > No that is what they are being called by the likes of you Ham
trancievers
> > don't have roger beeps echo boards and robot voice changers. They may
> > operate on 10 meters but they are in no way amateur radios.
>
> They are if a licensed ham uses one there.
>
> Since when do YOU define what is or is not a ham radio?
>
> I can agree that an echo isn't particularly worthwhile, but the "Beep"
> can be a useful DXing tool. Additionally, all these things can be
> turned OFF if you don't like them. Try again.

4522. Channel 22 in Richmond, VA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 23:16:59 UTC
From: "SILKY (Da Black Pimp)" <noflaming@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <j6A89.9819$u7.770433@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 133550

Get on Channel 22 Am in Richmond, VA and just tune up on that channel.
That gets the crowd on that channel flappin they lips fo the rest of the day.

They also get in their mobiles and go searchin for whover does that.
First they must realize that THEY don't OWN the channel
Then they can cry all theys wants.

They yell, talk and flap their lips beyond the range of their mics so as to create distortion and their radio sounds like shit above it all.

I be makin a "List" of names who I hear on dat channel.
usin my Pro-200x series scanner. I just listen to handles being blurtted out over the air.

I be Scoping Them Out at ALL hours of da night while theys sittin behind that microphone while holding their good-buddys' hand talkin trash on the cb.

I gots me a list and I'm.....gettin names!!
Gonna shut you down and
Cut yo coax whens you be talkins on dat radio that you pays too much for.
What kinda job lets you sits at home on yo lazy ass and flap your lips.
Must be on Welfare.
I didn't knows you could waste foodstamps on a childrens' band radio. But I guess yous gots to have something to do while you "entertain yourself" or let your good-buddy suck your dick for ya!!
BWAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!

4523. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 23:17:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <746-3D62CE23-413@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133553

>From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Who cares what the idiots do on the cb band do as long as they do not interfere with other services. The whole argument here is that it is not confined to the cb band.
<<

First off, I don't see it as an argument. A point must first be raised for an argment to be presented. As you claim, as long as they don't interfere with the other services, "Who cares"? Some appear to be under the influence of the mistaken thought that those who do frequent this forum are hammie intruders and that raising an "argument" here and now will end your argument.

>The overdriven, overpowered, splatter boxes cause other interference, and the encroachment into other frequencies by unlicensed operators, <<

I'd be happy to discuss either, but you are blending 2 separate subjects with dire attempted inferences.

>such as the 10 meter band with their imported "10 meter" radios, which we all know are aimed at the cb crowd, is the issue. That is what we want cleaned up.<<

And no one has a problem with that, nor have I ever seen anyone on this group ever disagree with that..so why does it continue to be addressed here? Letting off steam....?....simply say so. S' cool! You agree with murder?
You want murder cleaned up?
Are you lobbying against guns? Knives? Baseball bats? How about drugs? You want drugs gone, or just illegal drugs gone? You think it's a coincidence heroin is enjoying such resurgence? It ain't civilians bringing it in. You lobbying against any of that? Excuse me if I make light of the alleged priorities of some of our cb detractors.

4524. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 23:24:13 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <MaA89.3006$Gl.178688@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133551

Joe Blow <joeblow@xmission.com> wrote in message
news:ajtqr4$hh6$1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:NBt89.154$Gl.30897@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Joe Blow <joeblow@xmission.com> wrote in message
> > news:ajtmil$fb3$1@terabinaries.xmission.com...
> > > My question on legality is simple.."WHO FUCKING GIVES A SHIT?"
> > >
> > > I'm only concerned with getting a message on the air to the largest #
of
> > > people, with the least complex equipment, to the farthest distance
> > possible.
> > > If the FCC, for all intents and purposes, cannot enforce existing
laws,
> > and
> > > really make no effort to do so, it stands to reason that I should make
> use
> > > of better equipment, especially in emergency situations, and the law
be
> > > damned. Perhaps one day I will end up in CB Hell.
> > >
> > > Joe Fucking Blow
> >
> >
> > The lack of understanding and ignorance of the above post basically
> > explains the attitude
> > of most of the illegals. If the shoe were on the other foot and HE were
> the
> > one being interferred
> > with, it would be different....
> >
> >
> > Jerry
> > >
> > >
> You can play the victimized martyr all you want. The chances of my
crossing
> paths with you on the airwaves is quite remote, so quit whining. These
> archaic bandwidths are relics from the cold war era, and should be
> abandoned. There surely isn't enough "hams" in the world to make these
> bandwidths exclusive playgrounds for you "chatterboxes" to be concerned
with

> any longer. I'm all for throwing these rules out the window, so I'm doing my
> part to make sure it happens.
>
> Joe Fucking Blow

The more you post, the more you show your pathetic lack of understanding of how radio
works. If you had your way, not only would radio become useless for others, but YOU would
be screaming for somebody to do something. Bud, the situation you vainly wish for has already
existed----------around 80 years ago. We are talking about treaties, nations getting along with
each other, and maximizing the ability of ALL people to have access to a very beneficial resource.
You can disagree and use foul names all you want to, but Amateur Radio worldwide has many,
many friends in high places because, time and time again, it has proven to be a valuable asset in
times of emergency, natural disaster--even in the aftermath of 9/11 when hams remained on station
for weeks and months to provide backup communications that were lost when the towers fell. In-
stead of "doing away with archaic spectrum", State legislatures, and Congress are, even now, passing laws to abate zoning restrictions (city and county), and homeowners' associations' rules against Amateur
antennas--hardly what you want to hear. The value of a given communications service has absolutely nothing to do with quantity, but quality. Amateur Radio offers thru various
related organizations training, discipline, understanding of message handling, and the characteristics
of particular bands and frequencies to facilitate the rapid movement of emergency traffic from one
point to another. Just because a "certain" radio group has lots of devotees doesn't make it the most
important thing around. If you really think that world governments, including ours, give respect to
such an unbridled group, more given to outlaw actions such as out-banding than any other, you are
extremely out of the loop!

J

>
>

4525. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 23:27:52 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <747-3D62D078-144@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133552

>From: n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com (Tom Sevart)
"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020820120937.03553.00001798@mb-co.aol.com... jerry we come from
CB, there is no other service thats more interefered with. dont think
we're used to it by now? tell riley to clean up the hams who intrude on
555 and cause us trouble <<

"Like you have a right to use 27.555? The FCC would be shutting you down
as well. I don't think anyone operating there (with the exception of
legitimate users) has the right to complain about interference. Tom"

Hiya, Tom! I think an observation isn't necessarily a complaint. 27.555
is jammed daily with worldwide stations, many from the states and has
been for years. Matter of fact, the Arizona boys claimed it as their own
about 2 and a half years ago and they pretty much have eminent domain on
it with the exception of the pile, the horn and Californication
stations. Feds can't care less. Give a listen.

>No, it's just the way you worded it. One of the funniest things I've
heard on 27.555 is the Alpha Tangoes and the anti-Alpha Tangoes arguing
over who is the rightful user of that freq, when none of them are.
Tom<<

The Alfa-Tango stations have squatted on that channel since the time of
Moses. AKA Bob and the gang just rattled some cages. The FCC musta given
'em their full blessings cause the Phoenix gang is still at it...like I
said, unlesss the 'pile, horn, or Californ-I-A drops it down,,,,,,I
agree, none are the rightful users of the freqs., but it IS abandoned
for it's intended and the feds don't care about small power DX there.
No matter,,,the AT's have an alternate channel, if not several.

4526. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 23:42:45 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <748-3D62D3F5-53@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133560

>From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
www.TimNebo.com <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020820120937.03553.00001798@mb-co.aol.com...

"jerry we come from CB, there is no other service thats more interefered
with. dont think we're used to it by now? tell riley to clean up the
hams who intrude on 555 and cause us trouble "

>The interference created on the "freeband" is self-generated. <<

Yea, usually by hammies with jacked-up overpowered rigs. <g>
Seriously, how can you even set forth such stuff? The same can be said
for all of the hammie freqs: "The interference created on the hammie
freqs. are all self-generated". Kinda loopy, eh?

>*Most* CB
users have
no real communications training and, therefore, the understanding of how
their operations
affect others as well as themselves. There are no guidelines nor
discipline.
<<

The guidelines are set forth in part 95 with the sale of all new cb
radios. If deemed necessary, the feds would do more than include it. It
isn't. They haven't.

>And those
persons who happen to have a ham ticket and operate on illegal
frequencies are no more
and no less than the "freebanders", and those who would ask for
"protection" by having FCC target one group of illegals as opposed to
another so "they" can continue their illegal activities
are particularly stretching the limits of credibility. Anyone who
operates illegally should accept the
risks and the responsibility (something seemingly absent from many of
the ops) of their actions.<<

Which ops? Cbers, hammies, or both?

>That goes for so-called "hams", too. If a licensed ham does this, then
I don't want to associate with
him.
Jerry<<

Well, I gotta admire your steadfastness. I just don't think these cbers
are the correct mark for your fire. Even though I never have operated on

the freq you informed me of way back when we both wore younger men's clothes, you can rest assured I never WILL operate on that freeband freq we discussed and it was because you chose to have an intelligent conversation with a freebanding, low power FM pirate. If you would have shut me out simply because I said I enjoyed DX and freeband a time or two, I suppose I could have been BSing with someone from triple 5 when they asked me to QSY to "THAT FREQ." and I may have done it. Now, since you have enlightened me on the error of my ways and that certain freq is to be avoided at all costs, you shouldn't take the "F*#@ You" attitude to all those who may bend the rules, or even blatantly disregard certain ones. It's lifers like you that can make a difference. You can kill your hobby, or pass it on to a new generation of thugs or elmers, after all, y'all are creating them.

4527. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 23:53:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <746-3D62D675-418@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133561

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
Joe Blow <joeblow@xmission.com> wrote in message
news:ajtmil$fb3$1@terabinaries.xmission.com... My question on legality
is simple.."WHO FUCKING GIVES A SHIT?" I'm only concerned with getting a
message on the air to the largest # of people, with the least complex
equipment, to the farthest distance possible. If the FCC, for all
intents and purposes, cannot enforce existing laws, and really make no
effort to do so, it stands to reason that I should make use of better
equipment, especially in emergency situations, and the law be damned.
Perhaps one day I will end up in CB Hell.
Joe Fucking Blow

>The lack of understanding and ignorance of the above post basically
explains the attitude
of most of the illegals. If the shoe were on the other foot and HE were
the one being interferred
with, it would be different....
Jerry<<

The shoe IS on the other foot and some of us know exactly how you feel.
Some of you lump ALL illegals in with what you consider shoddy behavior
not to be tolerated yet do not regard other more benign breaking of laws
as pertinent, such as a violation on eleven meters. A shining
illustration is you stating you care not what occurs on the legal 40.
  It is the lack of understanding and ignorance of a select few that
cannot separate the simple DXer on a legal rig, freebander DXer that
uses no power and the megawatt killa that is jamming 10 meters.

4528. NE ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-20 23:59:40 UTC
From: Robert <iweb@mac.com>
Message-ID: <3d62d7e5$0$3580$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 133554

Hey Group

anyone in the NE ohio area still peakn radios or doin tuneups ?

R

4529. Re: i need help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 00:02:31 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D62D897.55225830@qnet.com>
Source record: 133469

Hello David:

The modifications can be done, any thing can be done.

But it would cost $everal times more money than it would be to go buy a
100 dollar Radio Shack Scanner, or even a used cheaper one, that will
easily receive all those frequencies.

Besides its nice to chit chat on the radio while listening in on all the
Scanner radio traffic.

Jay in the Mojave

david wrote:
>
> is ther a way to modefi a galaxy cb radio to the fire department, plice, and
> ablulence my frinks are 25 to 28 mz is there a way to remodafy the cb radio
> pleas email me back @ dmazure68@tmasil.com

4530. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 00:03:16 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <8NA89.90632$m91.4455146@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133555

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208200859.558a7546@posting.google.com...
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:<gws79.188474$6Z1.8660646@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > "Tom Sevart" <N2UHC@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:95a98f7f.0208161945.14a25dd2@posting.google.com...
> > > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
> > news:<c9ceae5b.0208152357.39b111ef@posting.google.com>...
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Hi Tom. Amateur's dispensation from type acceptance is not being
> > > > disputed by me, and never has been (see below).
> > >
> > > Then you should understand that there is no such thing as an "illegal"
> > > amateur radio transceiver.
> > >
> > > > The phoney "10 meter
> > > > radios" being sold to non-hams at venues such as truck stops (e.g.
the
> > > > soon-to-be busted "Flying J") are the issue.
> > >
> > > There's nothing "phoney (sic)" about them. They operate on 10 meters,
> > > so are 10 meter radios. They come from the factory with only 28.000 -
> > > 29.700 MHZ coverage. It's only after they are modified to operate
> > > outside this area that the CBer's will use them. They don't come from
> > > the factory this way.
> >
> > They don't? Galaxy's so called 10 meter radios dx33hml has 6 bands and
240
> > channels out of the box, DX44 8 bands 360 channels out of the box, DX
66v
> > 360 channels 8 bands , DX73V 360 channels 8 bands echo reverb, robot
voice
> > changer, etc etc Connex same story. Why arent any of these so called
Ham
> > radios advertised in QST or 73 magazine or even qrz's website if they
are
> > geared towards hams?
>
> The radios you mention are NOT 10 meter radios; they are "export CB
> radios", which have never been legal for use in the USA. Do not pass
> GO, do not collect $200.
```

http://cbworldinformer.com/200110/general_lee_review.htm
http://www.dxland.com/prod02.htm
http://www.bigradio.biz/conss.html
http://www.maycomcomm.com/catalog/10meter.html
http://cbworldinformer.com/200108/magnum_a24_conversion.htm
http://swap.qth.com/fcc/fcc-cbtable2.htm

4531. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 00:03:27 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <748-3D62D8CF-55@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133558

Group: rec.radio.cb Date: Tue, Aug 20, 2002, 11:52am (EST+1) From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:15223-3D624FB3-497@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net... From: medtools@earthlink.net (tools) X-Trace: newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net 1029849646 63.52.169.199 (Tue, 20 Aug 2002 06:20:46 PDT) wrote: Seems to me that the best answer to all this wa wa hoopla, would be to make 10 meter part of the CB freqs. Perhaps the truckers could lobby for it. Or even better the hams could lobby for it<<

There isn't any "hoopla" to speak of. Just the same 2 or 3 angry hammies claiming it's there when it's really not. Isolated incidents do not an epidemic make.

>Isolated?????? Isolated when I can tune in 28.085 at home and hear a steady stream of trucks
"Tan-fer thar, Drah'vuh, Ah h'yeered that, ah did---SQUEAK!" Phooey!
<<

Heheh! Hear me, bud! I didn't say non-existent. But I did stay in Rock Hill at least once per year the last several years. I cruise through Charlotte at least once per year. Last year I was in Charlotte several times, This year, as at Christmas time, I checked out the hammie bands in question....nuthin'.....matter of fact , ever since this issue has been addressed, I have intentionally scanned on automatic mode certain freqs and only ONCE can I remember hearing something on 085. Granted, it isn't any scientific report, but we can ask the the regs in here to switch on over and and see what, if anything they hear. The lack of discussion of such activities does not preclude it from happening, but it does shed quite an accurate light upon the law of averages..

>IF it
were "isolated"
that list I quoted in another thread wouldn't exist, and those importation bans wouldn't exist.<<

Hmmm, another great topic.....I think we can run with this...remind me to come back to this.

>The
wheel that "SQUEAKS" (pun intended) gets the grease. If it were as infrequent as you would like to believe, it wouldn't be an issue with me, the other people that are complaining and snapping photos a la Richard McCollum, or FCC. <<

Actually, for the most part, I think that greasy single old wheel is full of a few rusty spokes and a few shot bearings as opposed to all hubs in need of attention and rebuild. There will always be a spoke or 2 that needs serious attention. When this occurs, we dispense with the problem, we don't keep squirting a flammable substance, such as grease on the wheel.

>The more I think about it, the more tempted I am to install my amplifier in the car so I can call high power CQ right on top of them.
<<

See above.

>>And ya know what? The trucks have no rights against
it! If I succeed in preventing them from communicating, GREAT! Illegals have NO protection
because they aren't even supposed to be there.<<

You come across as if that is irritating or bothersome to some of us "illegals."
Jerry, just because some of us shoot skip doesn't mean we freeband. Just because some of us freeband doesn't mean we use amps. Just because some of us use amps doesn't mean we talk on hammie bands. This has been attempted to be set forth in the most simplest of terms for years in here and a few desperados pining away for "Happy Days" are incapable of separating the facts.
OTH, I don't know if you live near an interstate, but I do. And the truckers have taken a great
liking to 10 Meters.
Jerry
<<

I-75, I-275, and I-4. Channel 19 is never dead....but the 085 in question is.

4532. Re: Channel 22 in Richmond, VA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 00:06:34 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@charter.net>
Message-ID: <um5mfk162fe112@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133556

I remember hearing that kind of stuff 30 years ago. "I know hes around here somewhere.....hes 20 over". LOL

"SILKY (Da Black Pimp)" <noflaming@nospam.com> wrote in message news:j6A89.9819$u7.770433@news.direcpc.com...
> Get on Channel 22 Am in Richmond, VA and just tune up on that channel.
> That gets the crowd on that channel flappin they lips fo the rest of the
> day.
>
> They also get in their mobiles and go searchin for whover does that.
> First they must realize that THEY don't OWN the channel
> Then they can cry all theys wants.
>
> They yell, talk and flap their lips beyond the range of their mics so as to
> create distortion and their radio sounds like shit above it all.
>
> I be makin a "List" of names who I hear on dat channel.
> usin my Pro-200x series scanner. I just listen to handles being blurtted out
> over the air.
>
> I be Scoping Them Out at ALL hours of da night while theys sittin behind
> that microphone while holding their good-buddys' hand talkin trash on the
> cb.
>
> I gots me a list and I'm.....gettin names!!
> Gonna shut you down and
> Cut yo coax whens you be talkins on dat radio that you pays too much for.
> What kinda job lets you sits at home on yo lazy ass and flap your lips.
> Must be on Welfare.
> I didn't knows you could waste foodstamps on a childrens' band radio. But I
> guess yous gots to have something to do while you "entertain yourself" or
> let your good-buddy suck your dick for ya!!
> BWAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA!!!
>
>
>
>

4533. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 00:08:05 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <746-3D62D9E5-420@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133559

>Those are "turf" wars that don't need to go on. It is caused by
immature, fire-hydrant-pissing
mental midgets that think that "their" frequency should be populated
only by them. It is a simply matter for the SSBers to move down a few
KCs. The AMers only have a few places to operate on that mode. Control
freaks abound everywhere, and the SSBers could simply move a ways.
But NOOOOOOOO. Everybody's gotta defend their "turf"/
Jerry<<

Are the SSBers legal there? If they are, then the right to bitch is
about as valid as it is on triple 5.

4534. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 00:11:26 UTC
From: Checkerboard Strangler <jhaas84@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <OUA89.95604$983.109275@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 133557

>>Incidentally, with AM detectors in a receiver a certain amount of the
>>incoming signal is used to reduce the gain on stronger signals. Swang
>>works by faking this out; listen to the background racket and you will see
>>really quickly that swang is bullshit.

Dick N�BK

****
Dick, I have to disagree with you on this one (nice ta meetcha, by the way).
"Swing", when properly applied to an AM transmitter, results in a fifty watt
radio
out-talking someone with ten times the power. CB radio isn't meant to have
studio
quality sound. A good, UN-amplified crystal mic and lots of swing will yield
a radio
that can talk right through someone with a lot of dead-key power. I have
heard it countless times when someone gets it in their head to "throw a
blanket" over a certain channel.
There's always that one brilliant character out there talking right through
the carrier......

There's also a lot less heterodyning going on when there isn't very much
carrier signal for the other guy to beat against.

Naturally there are people out there who take it to extremes with loads of
splatter on adjacent channels as a result........then, NOBODY talks, but the
first thing I try to do when I unpack a new radio is find out how far I can
turn down the dead-key power.
One only needs "enough carrier to connect the two radios", and the rest can
be modulation.
That's precisely why sideband is so great, and an AM radio with lots of
swing allows folks on AM to utilize all the benefits of sideband while still
staying in the mainstream of the AM channels. I want my radio to sound sharp
and crisp, the channels are only ten khz wide anyway.......we aren't
transmitting hi fidelity, we're transmitting speech, and that sharp,
piercing clarity is what makes it easy to understand through all the hash
and trash.

None of this carries technical explanations to satisfy the horde of
"Almighty God"
Advanced Extra Class operators who claim not to be out there, and it isn't
meant to.
It's a simple question of practicality, backed up by proof in-the-field.

My personal recommendation is to back the dead-key power down to about 1 and
a half watts.....maybe two, and let the audio drive the finals as far as

they will go.
I hate having to lug around anything bigger than a hundred watts, because of the extra wiring and radical power requirements involved. My car's alternator is already straining at the thought of just one more current hungry device, and I hate having to resort to anything bigger than those already ugly Firestick type antennas. Controlled Carrier is the absolute loudest thing walking the AM planet.

Regards,
JeffH
FCC 1st Phone ticket holder since 1979

4535. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 00:12:22 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <747-3D62DAE6-150@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133567

From: whocare@you.com (Me)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:1150-3D615454-41@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net... What
made you think I did? The homing pigeon?
I read your post that was my first clue. LOL The homing pigeon arrived
late so we called No Joy on the mission.<<

Heheh! Code it may be but Morse it ain't! I need to change out some coax
ends and soon, and since the coax itself is as old as the antenna, might
as well return back to the thread and see if I can learn how to trim the
coax to the proper length <g>.

4536. Re: Mobile Amp Forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 00:16:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <746-3D62DBE6-423@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133569

From: whocare@you.com (Me)
The design and output of his amp twisty remind me of a canine.. Hope
that clarifys my position.
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous<<

They got a mean bark? Heavy punch like a boxer to get through the
pile-ups? I dunno.
I never tried you and you still made me famous..with a little help from
my friends. Take it light!

4537. Re: Cigarette Adapter on Uniden PC68LTW??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 00:20:48 UTC
From: TSH <jimbob@world.com>
Message-ID: <A1B89.22592$Ke2.1785044@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133562

I would be using just the Uniden PC68LTW, no amp and the Ford is a 97 and
the Suburban is a 2002.
Thanks.
"GMpartsguy" <kb1cih@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:7Uz89.178968$UU1.31151@sccrnsc03...
> Depending on the age of the truck, most "cigarette lighter" sockets are
> rated at 20 amps these days for phones, computers, etc.
> By "box" im guessing you mean a CB. If'n yer running some heat, dont
expect
> to run more that a 50 watt "mosquito" amp through that circuit, Fords
catch
> fire easily :)
> I ran that setup on vacation once and had no problems.
>
>

4538. Re: ABF on 26.735

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 00:25:00 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <w5B89.91249$m91.4469154@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133563
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:HZz89.53$aL6.29@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> While cruising the boulevard towards Miami this morning i noticed
bleedover
> from another channel so i turned the dial and could'nt locate the
> transmitted freq. on 11meter, damn i guess their downstairs so i finally
> locked in on 26.735 and
> briefly monitored 3 ABF trucks using this freq. for standard
communications.
> I can't bitch because i use the basment for DX'n sometimes but using them
> for regular 2-way is absurd.
> --
> Mad Dog

Why is using the basement for regular 2 way absurd? Why is it any different
than Dx'ing there? Illegal is Illegal right.
```

4539. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 00:28:23 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <H8B89.111203$Fw3.4713752@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133564

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208201450.72a7698b@posting.google.com...
> What's your excuse, JJ? No DX on
> better bands?

You should know right arent you a Ham?
```

4540. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 00:33:57 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <VdB89.91500$m91.4472219@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133565

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208201500.53211246@posting.google.com...
> He's nothing more than a TROLL with followers. Two followers (Jerry and
George). No more.

And you have your followers too, Pied Piper and we all know what type of
things followed the piper.

4541. Re: Cigarette Adapter on Uniden PC68LTW??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 00:34:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <746-3D62E00B-429@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133568

Buddy just bought a Ford truck and the owners manual says to beware of anything over transmission of 5 watts in way of transceivers. Of course, he proceeded at his own risk and to date, no problems. He has the radio receiving juice through the power adaptor and the amp in the tool box powered by remote hooked to the battery. He's a gittin' it all over DX land. This weekends sampling of a big man in da' south......

Mr. No Name - Ky.
Jack Daniels - Fla
DOA 664
Godfather
Mr. USA (Was getting PLENTY of messages to 10-5 to this guy)
Lucky Shoot
3 legged hog came in a lookin'' for Stickman.
Executioner
459 Ga.
39 Ga.
69 GA.
Malcolm X Suburban
US MArshal
Lucky Star
Spiderman
Hookman
Blacksheep
Bakerman

Special honors this weekend go to Downtown Mississippi....whoever et them dgs out...you a bad man, indeed!
3's

4542. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 00:34:51 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D62BF58.F5F2AC0A@mailcity.net>
Source record: 133566

Checkerboard Strangler wrote:

> Dick, I have to disagree with you on this one (nice ta meetcha, by the way).
> "Swing", when properly applied to an AM transmitter, results in a fifty watt
> radio
> out-talking someone with ten times the power.

BS, all the "swing" does is make output and s meters wildly swing
which makes cbers think they have a "big signal". A properly high
level modulated class c final will put out just as much signal as
a radio with "swang", the only difference is the receiver s meter
will not show much if any swing when receiving such a signal. But
use a radio with "swang" and the meter bounces around and that
gives the illusion of a "big signal".

4543. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 00:52:54 UTC
From: BobC <123@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <um5oum6ebmlrbd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133570

"Checkerboard Strangler" <jhaas84@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:OUA89.95604$983.109275@rwcrnsc53...
> >>Incidentally, with AM detectors in a receiver a certain amount of the
> >>incoming signal is used to reduce the gain on stronger signals. Swang
> >>works by faking this out; listen to the background racket and you will
see
> >>really quickly that swang is bullshit.
>
> Dick N�BK
>
> **********
> Dick, I have to disagree with you on this one (nice ta meetcha, by the
way).
> "Swing", when properly applied to an AM transmitter, results in a fifty
watt
> radio
> out-talking someone with ten times the power. CB radio isn't meant to have
> studio
> quality sound. A good, UN-amplified crystal mic and lots of swing will
yield
> a radio
> that can talk right through someone with a lot of dead-key power. I have
> heard it countless times when someone gets it in their head to "throw a
> blanket" over a certain channel.
> There's always that one brilliant character out there talking right
through
> the carrier.....
>
> There's also a lot less heterodyning going on when there isn't very much
> carrier signal for the other guy to beat against.
>
> Naturally there are people out there who take it to extremes with loads of
> splatter on adjacent channels as a result.....then, NOBODY talks, but
the
> first thing I try to do when I unpack a new radio is find out how far I
can
> turn down the dead-key power.
> One only needs "enough carrier to connect the two radios", and the rest
can
> be modulation.
> That's precisely why sideband is so great, and an AM radio with lots of
> swing allows folks on AM to utilize all the benefits of sideband while
still

> staying in the mainstream of the AM channels. I want my radio to sound
sharp
> and crisp, the channels are only ten khz wide anyway.....we aren't
> transmitting hi fidelity, we're transmitting speech, and that sharp,
> piercing clarity is what makes it easy to understand through all the hash
> and trash.
>
> None of this carries technical explanations to satisfy the horde of
> "Almighty God"
> Advanced Extra Class operators who claim not to be out there, and it isn't
> meant to.
> It's a simple question of practicality, backed up by proof in-the-field.
>
> My personal recommendation is to back the dead-key power down to about 1
and
> a half watts.....maybe two, and let the audio drive the finals as far as
> they will go.
> I hate having to lug around anything bigger than a hundred watts, because
of
> the extra wiring and radical power requirements involved. My car's
> alternator is already straining at the thought of just one more current
> hungry device, and I hate having to resort to anything bigger than those
> already ugly Firestick type antennas. Controlled Carrier is the absolute
> loudest thing walking the AM planet.
>
> Regards,
> JeffH
> FCC 1st Phone ticket holder since 1979

I have to disagree on the whole issue of wild amounts of swing. I'm talking about turning the carrier down to that 1 or 2 watts for the sole purpose of gaining more swing.

Here's they way I see it. The only way you're gonna see that much swing is to overmodulate the radio. I'm sure you're familiar with the waveform of which I speak.

Within that overmodulated waveform is an area of no output during certain intervals. It's during those intervals that a signal, almost any signal, can sneak in and get through.

If the radios were the same and one was set for minimum carrier and the other was set more properly both radios would still be heard. In the same scenario, at a range where the weaker carrier isn't showing up, the radio with the least carrier would sound like a distorted ssb signal in the distance whereas the one with more carrier would still sound ok.

I've heard a bunch of these low dead key power radios in the distance. The only thing that really comes thru is the audio tones that drove the radio to full peak output. Mostly lows for guys and hi's for the ladies. It's a pain

in the ass to have to decipher what they're saying half the time.

4544. Re: Results are in! A-99(+ mods) vs Imax-2000(+ mods) vs 827 vs dipole vs cheap 5/8 and 1/2 wave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 01:01:19 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <zDB89.80681$eK6.2620219@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133571

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D629F78.6F6ED696@icok.net...
>
>
> Randy,
> I'm afraid that just isn't true. There does
> have to be a warning on the antenna, but there's
> no law against selling them in the USA.
> 'Doc

Damn Doc. You gonna make me go dig? <g>

4545. Re: Everyone needs a hobby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 01:28:23 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208201728.b920d8d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133572

"Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message news:<um4tlacoagpl0a@corp.supernews.com>...
> Check out all these neato amplifiers that will work for CB!!!
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374119655
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374519437
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374752306
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374437180 And yet
> another
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374421378 10m mentioned
> in the listing
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374627476 Has 28 MHz
> Capabilities
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374658873 CB amplifier
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374674961 10m mentioned
> in the listing
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1373247723 10m mentioned
> in the listing
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1373263834 Ready for 10m
> is in the listing description
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1373762461 10m mentioned
> in the listing
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374236152 160 through
> 10-meters (10-meter conversion is installed)
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374696643 10m band on
> the dial - seen in picture

Thanks for the update packrat. that should our little buddy busy for a
few hours finking out those people to the ebutt jack booted
crybabies.....

4546. Re: Results are in! A-99(+ mods) vs Imax-2000(+ mods) vs 827 vs dipole vs cheap 5/8 and 1/2 wave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 01:30:23 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <P2C89.119976$Yd.5289224@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133573

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:zDB89.80681$eK6.2620219@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D629F78.6F6ED696@icok.net...
> >
> >
> > Randy,
> > I'm afraid that just isn't true. There does
> > have to be a warning on the antenna, but there's
> > no law against selling them in the USA.
> > 'Doc
>
> Damn Doc. You gonna make me go dig? <g>

I did forget to mention it applies only to omnidirectionals.
>
>
http://www.cpsc.gov/CPSCPUB/PREREL/prhtml02/02151.html

4547. USA Truck Wash?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 01:37:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020820213718.10182.00002292@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 133574

exit 738 or 785? anybody know where this is? i'm not hearing any dx from anywhere on the band except channel 19 where this one station keeps advertising the USA Truck Wash. i'm thinking he must be running some monster ass station to be the absolutely last station anywhere on the band. he's lasted even longer than the guys on the superbowl.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4548. Re: NE ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 01:40:17 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <5cC89.92559$m91.4517654@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133575

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020820212257.976$Ag@newsreader.com...
> Robert <iweb@mac.com> wrote:
> >>Hey Group
> >
> > anyone in the NE ohio area still peakn radios or doin tuneups ?
> >
> > R
> >
> Why? You're not in NE Ohio.

How do you know where he is Steve? He may be a truck driver or live near NE
Ohio. Novel ideas huh

4549. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 01:46:39 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208201746.2285c23b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133576

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<Gcw89.119386$Yd.5194322@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3D626890.8C131B46@mailcity.net...
> > Who cares what the idiots do on the cb band do as long as they do
> > not interfere with other services. The whole argument here is that
> > it is not confined to the cb band. The overdriven, overpowered,
> > splatter boxes cause other interference, and the encroachment into
> > other frequencies by unlicensed operators, such as the 10 meter
> > band with their imported "10 meter" radios, which we all know are
> > aimed at the cb crowd, is the issue. That is what we want cleaned
> > up.
>
> JJ, after 9/11/01, I think you are wishing upon a star here. That, coupled
> with the "tax cut", and imminent problems with Iraq, make your wish seem
> rather shortsighted. Please don't hold your breath.

so mr chapman are you saying that uncle charlie is going to run out of
gas before he reaches the finish line????....aw , my heart is breaking
here...bureaucrats with no money and no mission.....man it is
enough to make you want to go get some autographed crying towels and
send them to our poor fcc bretheren.....

4550. Re: Results are in! A-99(+ mods) vs Imax-2000(+ mods) vs 827 vs dipole vs cheap 5/8 and 1/2 wave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 01:49:44 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <YkC89.119980$Yd.5294232@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133577

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:zDB89.80681$eK6.2620219@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D629F78.6F6ED696@icok.net...
> >
> >
> > Randy,
> > I'm afraid that just isn't true. There does
> > have to be a warning on the antenna, but there's
> > no law against selling them in the USA.
> > 'Doc
>
> Damn Doc. You gonna make me go dig? <g>
>
>

This is in PDF format Doc, but it's all there. You are mistaken.

http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_00/16cfr1204_00.html

4551. Re: Francis Whips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 01:52:35 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020820215235.22385.00002099@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 133578

if it still works good lightly sand it with high grit paper and paint it

>
>
>I'm planning on running my Grant barefoot, but they are the same price.
>I've got an old orange one out in the garage someplace, but it was pretty
>ratty looking, glass hairs frizzing all over, the last time I saw it. I'll
>probably get a new gray one.
>
>Thanks,
>
>Steve
>"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
>news:20020820181733.20213.00001588@mb-ms.aol.com...
>> The Gray one (Amazer) will handle much more power.
>> Train
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4552. Consumer Product Safety Commission regs on CB Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 01:57:27 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <bsC89.80691$eK6.2632402@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133579

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:YkC89.119980$Yd.5294232@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:zDB89.80681$eK6.2620219@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message
news:3D629F78.6F6ED696@icok.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > Randy,
> > > I'm afraid that just isn't true. There does
> > > have to be a warning on the antenna, but there's
> > > no law against selling them in the USA.
> > > 'Doc
> > >
> > Damn Doc. You gonna make me go dig? <g>
> >
> >
>
> This is in PDF format Doc, but it's all there. You are mistaken.
>
>
>
> http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_00/16cfr1204_00.html
>
>

239

Consumer Product Safety Commission ◆ 1204.1

PART 1204-SAFETY STANDARD

FOR OMNIDIRECTIONAL CITIZENS

BAND BASE STATION ANTENNAS

Subpart A-The Standard

Sec.

1204.1 Scope of the standard.

1204.2 Definitions.

1204.3 Requirements.

1204.4 Electric shock protection tests.

1204.5 Manufacturer's instructions.

1204.6 Findings.

Subpart B-Certification

1204.11 General.

1204.12 Definitions.

1204.13 Certificate of compliance.

1204.14 Certification tests.

1204.15 Qualification testing.

1204.16 Production testing.

1204.17 Records.

FIGURES 1 THROUGH 4

AUTHORITY: Secs. 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 14, 16, 19, 25,

Pub. L. 92-573, 86 Stat. 1207, 1208, 1211-17, 1220,

as amended Pub. L. 95-319, sec. 1, 92 Stat. 386,

Pub. L. 94-284, 90 Stat. 503; 15 U.S.C. 2051,

2052, 2054, 2056, 2058, 2063, 2065, 2068, 2074.

SOURCE: 47 FR 36201, Aug. 19, 1982, unless

otherwise noted.

Subpart A-The Standard

1204.1 Scope of the standard.

(a) General. This subpart A of part

1204 is a consumer product safety

standard which prescribes safety requirements

for Citizens Band

omnidirectional base station antennas.

The standard is intended to reduce the

risk of electrocution or serious injuries

occurring if the antenna contacts an

electric power line while the antenna is

being put up or taken down. One way

that this can be accomplished is to insulate
the antenna so that if it contacts
the power line, there is less of a
likelihood that a harmful electric current
will be transmitted from the
power line through the antenna and
mast and ultimately through a person
holding the antenna mast. Another
possible way to provide this protection
is to incorporate an insulating barrier
between the antenna and the mast or
other supporting structure, so that a
harmful electric current will not pass
from the antenna to a person in contact
with the mast. (If this alternative
were chosen, the feed cable from the
antenna would have to be insulated or
otherwise protected so that it would
not provide an electrical path to the
mast or a person touching the cable.)
(b) Description of the standard-(1) Performance
tests. The standard describes
two performance tests to determine if
the means chosen by the manufacturer
to protect against the shock hazard
will provide adequate protection.
(i) First, there is an Insulating Material
Effectiveness Test (§ 1204.4(d) of
this subpart) in which a high voltage
electrode or test rod is brought into
contact with the antenna at any point

within the protection zone established
by § 1204.2(k) of this subpart to ensure
that the insulation can withstand the
voltage for 5 minutes without transmitting
more than 5 milliamperes (mA)
root-mean-square (rms) of electric current.
(ii) The other test is an Antenna-
Mast System Test (§ 1204.4(e) of this
subpart) which is intended to determine
whether the means provided to
protect against electrocution will
withstand the stress imposed when an
antenna-mast system falls onto a
power line. This test consists of mounting
the antenna to be tested on a specified
mast and allowing the assembled
antenna and mast to fall onto a power
line of 14,500 volts rms phase to ground.
(2) Recommended materials. (i) Since a
substantial portion of the accidents addressed
by this standard occur when
the antenna is being taken down after
it has been installed in an outdoor environment
for a number of years, the
materials selected to provide protection
from shock should be weather resistant.
(ii) Although other materials may
also be suitable, materials meeting the
following criteria should be reasonably
weather resistant:
(A) Material composition includes an

ultraviolet stabilizer or screen.

(B) Heat resistance of 212 ?F (100 ?C)

without loss of elasticity (ANSI/ASTM

D 746-79).

(C) Moisture absorption of not more

than 0.2 percent (ANSI/ASTM D 570-77).

(D) For heat shrinkable sleeving,

temperature flexibility to 40 ?F (40

?C) with no cracks (Mil Spec. MIL-I-

23053C, 20 May 1976).

VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00239 Fmt 8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T

240

(3) Warning: Section 1204.5 of this

subpart requires a statement in the instructions

that the standard will not

protect in every instance against electrocution

caused by contact with

power lines. This is because the standard

is intended to provide protection

for power line voltages of up to 14,500

volts. Some power lines carry more

voltage than this. In addition, not all

portions of the antenna are required to

be insulated, and the antenna's mast is

not required to be insulated. If the

power line were to contact one of these

uninsulated areas, an electrocution

could occur. Furthermore, when the

antenna was manufactured it may not

in fact have complied with the standard, or the insulation may have deteriorated or been damaged since the antenna was manufactured. In addition, the insulation cannot withstand high voltages indefinitely, and, after a period of time, the current may penetrate the insulation. Therefore, even if a harmful amount of current is not transmitted immediately, the user should not attempt to remove an antenna that falls into electric power lines, since the insulation could break down while the antenna is being removed. For these reasons, persons handling these antennas should ensure that the antennas are kept away from power lines so that the antenna cannot contact the line while being transported, installed, or removed, even if the antenna is dropped. The Commission recommends that antennas be located at least twice the combined length of the antenna and mast from the nearest power line.

(c) Scope. (1) Except as noted below, the standard applies to all omnidirectional CB base station antennas that are consumer products and are manufactured or imported on or after May 24, 1983.

(2) The Commission may extend the effective date of the standard for as long as an additional 90 days for any firm which has 750 employees or fewer and, is not a subsidiary or division of a firm having more than 750 employees, and which manufactures or imports products subject to the standard, upon written application, addressed to the Associate Executive Director for Compliance and Administrative litigation, Consumer Product Safety Commission, Washington, D.C. 20207, received not later than January 17, 1983. An application for extension of the effective date shall:

(i) Identify the requesting firm as a manufacturer or importer of products subject to the standard.

(ii) State the total number of employees of the firm, including all employees of any subsidiary or division, and all employees of any firm of which the requesting firm is a subsidiary or division.

(iii) Request extension of the effective date to a specific date not later than May 27, 1983.

(iv) Explain why the requested extension of the effective date is needed.

(v) Describe all activities undertaken

by the requesting firm to achieve compliance with the requirements of the standard.

(vi) State that the requesting firm will market complying products after the extended effective date.

(3) The Associate Executive Director for Compliance and Administrative Litigation will evaluate each request for extension of the effective date. The following criteria will be used in determining whether to grant an application for extension of the effective date:

(i) Does the application demonstrate that the requesting firm cannot meet the general effective date,

(ii) Does the application demonstrate that the requesting firm has made a good faith effort to achieve compliance with the requirements of the standard by the general effective date.

(iii) Does the application demonstrate that the firm is likely to produce or market complying products if the requested extension is granted.

(4) The Associate Executive Director will advise each requesting firm in writing if the requested extension is granted or denied. If the Associate Executive Director for Compliance and Administrative Litigation denies a request

for extension of the effective

date, the firm may request the Commission

to reconsider the denial.

(5) Section 3(a)(1) of the Consumer

Product Safety Act (CPSA, 15 U.S.C.

2052(a)(1) defines the term consumer

product as an ''article, or component

part thereof, produced or distributed (i)

VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00240 Fmt
8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T

for sale to a consumer for use in or

around a permanent or temporary

household or residence, a school, in

recreation, or otherwise, or (ii) for the

personal use, consumption or enjoyment

of a consumer in or around a permanent

or temporary household or residence,

a school, in recreation, or otherwise.''

The term does not include

products that are not customarily produced

or distributed for sale to, or for

the use or consumption by, or enjoyment

of, a consumer. A limited exception

from coverage of the standard is

provided by section 18(a) of the CPSA,

15 U.S.C. 2067, for certain products intended

for export and meeting the requirements

of section 18(b) of the

CPSA.

(d) Prohibited acts. It is unlawful to manufacture for sale, offer for sale, distribute in commerce, or import into the United States any product subject to this standard that does not conform with the standard.

(Sec. 9(h), Pub. L. 92-573, 86 Stat. 1207, as amended, Pub. L. 95-319, 92 Stat. 386, Pub. L. 95-631, 92 Stat. 3742, Pub. L. 96-373, 94 Stat. 1366, Pub. L. 97-35, 95 Stat. 703, 15 U.S.C. 2058(h))

[47 FR 36201, Aug. 19, 1982, as amended at 48 FR 29683, June 28, 1983]

§ 1204.2 Definitions.

In addition to the definitions given in section 3 of the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2052), the following definitions apply for the purposes of this standard.

(a) Antenna system means a device for radiating and/or receiving radio waves. Where they are present, the antenna system includes active elements, ground plane elements, matching networks, element-connecting hardware, mounting hardware, feed cable, and other functional or non-functional elements.

(b) Antenna-mast system means the completed assembly of the antenna system and the mast.

(c) Base station means a transmitter

and/or receiver in a fixed location.

(d) Citizens Band (CB) means the frequency band allocated for citizen's band radio service.

(e) Current means the total rate at which electrical charge is transported through the antenna-mast system in response to the applied test voltage, including both capacitive and resistive components.

(f) Electrical breakdown means a failure of the insulating material used with the antenna, such that in the Antenna-Mast System Test of § 1204.4(e) of this subpart, the current flowing through the antenna-mast system is sufficient to actuate the automatic internal cut-off of the high voltage source or exceeds the current that can be measured by the current monitoring device.

(g) Feed cable means the electrical cable that connects the antenna system to the transmitter and/or receiver.

(h) Field joint means any joint between antenna system sections or parts, or between the antenna system and the mast, that is not assembled by the antenna manufacturer.

(i) Insulating material and insulation mean a material that has a very small

electric conductivity.

(j) Omnidirectional antenna means an antenna system designed or intended primarily to exhibit approximately equal signal transmission or reception capabilities in all horizontal directions simultaneously.

(k) Protection zone means that portion of an antenna system which can contact the test rod during the Insulating Material Effectiveness Test or can contact the power line during the Antenna-Mast System Test. This zone consists of those elements of the antenna system extending from the uppermost tip of an upright antenna downward to a point that is 12.0 inches (30.5 cm) above the top of the mast when the antenna system is mounted according to the manufacturer's instructions.

(l) Voltage, phase to ground, means that voltage which exists between a single phase of a three phase power system and ground.

§ 1204.3 Requirements.

All omnidirectional CB base station antennas are required to comply with the following requirements.

(a) Field joints. Parts or accessories intended to protect a field joint so that it will meet any other requirement of

this standard, and that must be put

into place by the person assembling the

VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00241 Fmt 8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T

242

16 CFR Ch. II (1-1-01 Edition) § 1204.4

antenna system, shall be integral with,

or not readily removable from, at least

one of the antenna sections or parts involved

in the joint or shall be necessary

in order to complete the joint.

(b) Feed cable. When compliance with

the requirements of this standard depends

on the insulating or other properties

of the feed cable, at least 50 feet

of the cable shall be supplied by the

manufacturer with the antenna system.

(c) Electrical protection. Antenna systems

shall be manufactured so that if

all points within the protection zone of

an antenna system were tested by the

Insulating Material Effectiveness Test

of § 1204.4(d) of this subpart, and the

Antenna-Mast System Test of § 1204.4(e)

of this subpart, the current measured

by the current monitoring device connected

to the mast would be no greater

than 5.0 milliamperes rms and no electrical

breakdown of the antenna system's

insulating material would occur.

§ 1204.4 Electric shock protection

tests.

(a) Safety precautions. For tests involving high voltage, the following recommended minimum safety precautions should be followed:

(1) At least one test operator and one test observer (preferably one with cardiopulmonary resusitation (CPR) training) should be present at every test.

(2) The test area (outdoors or indoors) should secure against accidental intrusion by other persons during tests.

(3) Test areas located indoors should be ventilated to avoid buildup of potentially hazardous concentrations of gaseous byproducts which may result from the tests.

(4) Fire extinguishers should be easily accessible in case materials on the test specimen ignite.

(5) ''High Voltage Test'' warning devices should be activated before start of a test.

(6) Emergency phone numbers should be posted.

(b) Test conditions. (1) Specimens. All specimens shall be tested as supplied by the manufacturer, following assembly in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions except as provided in

paragraph (e)(2) of this section.

(2) Temperature. Ambient temperature shall be in the range from 32 ?F (0 ?C) to 104 ?F (40 ?C)

(3) Relative humidity. Ambient relative humidity shall be in the range of from 10 to 90 percent.

(4) Voltage. Voltage, phase to ground, of the power line or test probe shall be 14.5 kilovolts rms, 60 hertz.

(5) Conditioning. Prior to testing, all specimens shall be exposed for at least 4 hours to the ambient test area environment.

(c) Test equipment. (1) High voltage source capable of delivering at least 15 mA rms at 14.5 kV rms, 60 Hz. The source should have an automatic internal cut-off actuated by a preset current level.

(2) Instrumentation to measure the rms voltage applied to the antenna system.

(3) Current monitoring device to indicate hazardous components of the total rms current flowing to ground through the mast. One configuration of the circuitry for the current monitoring device (shown in Figure 1) consists of three parallel branches as follows. One branch consists of a resistor in series with a true-rms milliammeter with a maximum error of 5% of the reading in

the frequency range of 50Hz to 10MHz

(the total of the resistor and the internal

resistance of the milliammeter is

to be 1000 ohms). A parallel branch consists

of a 1000 ohm resistor in series

with a 0.08 microfarad capacitor. Another

parallel branch should consist of

a spark gap rated at 50 to 100 volts as

a meter protection device. A different

current monitoring device may be used

if the measured value of the rms current

corresponds to that indicated by

the configuration described above.

(4) For the Insulating Material Effectiveness

Test:

(i) High voltage electrode or test rod

consisting of 1/4 in. (6.4 mm) diameter

aluminum rod.

(ii) Support jig, structure, or hanger

made of insulating material which is

capable of holding antenna system test

specimens electrically isolated from all

surrounding structures or ground.

(5) For the Antenna-Mast System

Test, a high voltage test facility, as

VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00242 Fmt
8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T

shown in Figures 2 and 3, which includes

a single power line spanning between

two poles 95 to 105 feet (29 to 32 meters) apart, a tensioning device to adjust the cable sag to from 9 to 12 inches (23 to 30 cm), and a pivot fixture (Figure 2), for holding the base of an antenna-mast system, which can be moved horizontally to adjust the distance to the cable. The cable consists of 1/4 in. diameter 7 by 19 galvanized steel aircraft cable. The low point of the cable shall be between 28 and 29 feet (8.5 to 8.8 meters) above a horizontal plane through the pivot axis of the pivot fixture.

(d) Insulating Material Effectiveness Test procedure. (1) A short piece of typical tubular mast shall be attached to the antenna system to be tested, in accordance with mounting instructions provided with the antenna system by the manufacturer.

(2) If a feed cable is provided with the antenna system, it shall be used in the test. If no cable is provided with the antenna system, a RG-213 cable shall be used in the test (Mil Spec. MIL-C-17/ 75C, 15 March 1977). In either case, the cable shall be connected to the antenna system, installed parallel to the mast, and secured by taping or similar means at one point on the mast. The side of

the bottom end of the cable also shall be secured to the mast.

(3) With the antenna system properly supported and isolated from ground and with the current monitoring device connected to the mast, the test rod shall be connected to the high voltage source and brought into contact with the antenna system at any point within the protection zone (see § 1204.2(k) of this subpart). For each contact point, the voltage shall be increased from 0 to 14.5 kV at a rate of at least 2 kV per second and held at 14.5 kV for 5.0 minutes. Current shall be monitored and the maximum recorded.

(e) Antenna-Mast System Test procedure.

(1) The antenna system to be tested shall be attached to a mast in accordance with mounting instructions provided by the manufacturer. The mast shall be assembled of commercially available 11/4 inch outside diameter 16 gauge tubular steel sections, commonly sold for antenna-mast installations in 5 and 10 feet lengths. The slip joints between the mast sections shall be secured (as with screws) to prohibit rotational or longitudinal movement at the joint. The length of the mast shall be such that when it is

mounted in the pivot fixture of the
high voltage test facility, the distance
from the pivot to the uppermost point
on the antenna system is 41.75 to 42.25
feet (12.7 to 12.9 meters).
(2) If a feed cable is provided with the
antenna system, it shall be used in the
test. If no cable is provided with the
antenna system, a RG-213 feed cable
shall be used in the test for specification
of an RG-213 cable see (Mil. Spec.
MIL-C-17/75C, 15 March 1977). In either
case, the cable shall be connected to
the antenna system, installed parallel
to the mast, and secured by taping or
similar means every two feet along the
length of the mast. The side of the bottom
end of the cable also shall be secured
to the mast.
(3) The antenna-mast system shall be
mounted in the pivot fixture. The pivot
fixture shall be adjusted so that the
point of impact between the antenna
and the power line takes place at any
desired point within the antenna's protection
zone. The antenna-mast system
shall then be erected to a position of up
to 5? from the vertical, leaning toward
the simulated power line (see Figure 4).
The antenna-mast system shall then be
released and allowed to fall against the

power line. The test may be performed with different test positions such that the antenna system flexes after impact and slides off the power line and or so that it remains in contact with the power line for 5.0 minutes. Current flow from the antenna-mast system to ground shall be monitored and recorded for each test.

(f) Interpretation of Results. An antenna shall pass the Insulating Material Effectiveness Test or the Antenna-Mast System Test if no electrical breakdown occurs and if no current reading exceeds 5 mA rms.

§ 1204.5 Manufacturer's instructions.

(a) For all antennas covered under this part 1204, the following statement shall be included in the manufacturer's instructions, in addition to the material required by 16 CFR 1402.4(a)(1)(ii):

VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00243 Fmt 8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T

Under some conditions, this antenna may not prevent electrocution. Users should keep antenna away from any overhead wires. If antenna contacts a power line, any initial protection could fail at any time. IF ANTENNA NEARS ANY OVERHEAD WIRES,

IMMEDIATELY LET GO, STAY AWAY, AND
CALL UTILITY COMPANY.
(b) This warning statement shall be
in a separate paragraph immediately
following the warning statement required
by 16 CFR 1402.4(a)(1)(ii)(A).
(c) This warning statement shall be
legible and conspicuous and shall be in
type that is at least as large as the
largest type used on the remainder of
the page, with the exception of the logo
and any identification of the manufacturer,
brand, model, or similar designations,
and that is preferably no smaller
than 10 point type.
• 1204.6 Findings.
As required by section 9 (b) and (c) of
the Consumer Product Safety Act, 15
U.S.C. 2058 (b) and (c), the Commission
makes the following findings:
(a) The degree and nature of the risk of
injury the rule is designed to reduce. (1)
The rule addresses the risk of injury or
death caused by electric shock
occuring when the antenna comes into
contact with electrical power lines
while the antenna is being put up or
taken down.
(2) About 175 fatalities were estimated
to be associated with
omnidirectional CB antennas in 1976.

The estimated number of fatalities declined to about 125 in 1977 and to about 55 in 1978. Since then, the number of fatalities appears to have leveled off at about 45-50 each year. In addition to the 45-50 deaths, it is estimated that a somewhat greater number of injuries occur annually and that about half of them are serious enough to require surgery, amputation, skin grafts, etc. It is common for multiple deaths or injuries to occur in a single accident.

(3) The Commission's staff has estimated that since 1979 about 20 percent of the accidents involved antennas less than a year old, resulting in about 8 deaths in 1980.

(4) Since a substantial portion of the accidents associated with these antennas occur when the antenna is being taken down after it has been installed in an outdoor environment for a number of years, the standard recommends that materials selected to provide protection from shock be weather resistant.

(5) The standard specifies that protection shall be provided against voltages of 14,500 volts phase-toground. Voltages of this level or less are involved in 98 percent of the accidents and 95 percent of the total circuit

mileage of distribution circuits.

(b) The approximate number of consumer products, or types or classes thereof, subject to the rule. (1) The standard applies to omnidirectional CB base station antennas. The Commission estimates that there were approximately 5 million omnidirectional base station antennas in use in 1981, and at that time as many as 75,000 of these antennas were expected to be sold each year for the next several years.

(2) [Reserved]

(c)(1) The need of the public for the consumer products subject to the rule. Omnidirectional CB base station antennas are used in non-mobile applications to obtain essentially uniform receiving and transmitting capabilities in all directions simultaneously. Although directional antennas can obtain greater reception and transmitting capabilities in one or more directions than can omnidirectionals, directionals are generally more expensive and must be oriented so that they point in the desired direction. Therefore, omnidirectional antennas are preferred by many base station operators, and they can also be used in conjunction with a directional antenna to locate

another station to which the directional

antenna can then be oriented.

(2) CB stations are used by individuals

as a communications device for

both practical and personal enjoyment

purposes. Some operators volunteer to

monitor the commonly used and/or

emergency channels for distress calls

and summon aid where appropriate,

relay messages, and aid local authorities

and motorists in monitoring traffic

conditions and accidents.

(3) Although operators can fabricate

their own antennas, and antennas

made for other purposes can be adapted

for CB use, for most operators there is

VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00244 Fmt
8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T

no adequate substitute for the commercial

CB base station antennas subject

to this rule.

(d) The probable effect of the rule upon

the utility, cost, and availability of the

product-(1) Utility. Tests performed for

the Commission have shown that an

external layer of insulation that will

enable the antenna to comply with this

standard can be provided that will have

no significant effect on the performance

of the antenna that cannot be compensated for by minor changes in the antenna. It is also likely that an insulated antenna's useful life would be somewhat longer than that of an uninsulated antenna. To the extent that manufacturers minimize the number of antenna elements in the protection zone, antennas should become less complex and bulky, and installation may also be eased. This may tend to make installation and removal of the antenna somewhat safer as well. If the isolation technique were used to comply with the standard, there should be no effect on the performance of the antenna.

(2) Cost. For the simpler designs of omnidirectional CB base station antennas, the manufacturers' production costs will be increased by approximately 20 percent, or $4 per antenna. For a few models, the production cost increase could be as much as 50 percent. Some models of antennas for which cost increases could be expected to be substantially greater will likely be discontinued. Some manufacturers already make antennas that either comply with the standard or can be made to do so with changes that involve no significant cost increases. The

average rise in retail prices due to the
standard is expected to be from 20 percent,
or about $10 per antenna.
(3) Availability. The 30 or more different
models of omnidirectional CB
base station antennas available to consumers
in 1981 are expected to be reduced
in number substantially, perhaps
by as much as half, after product line
changes are made to meet the standard.
The difference among some of the
models likely to be discontinued are
small (often relating only to primarily
cosmetic features that provide a certain
degree of product differentiation
but do not significantly affect performance).
Changes in product lines may be
discernible to some consumers, however,
since different brands and models
of antennas will tend to look more
alike (i.e., without upper radials,
''hats'' or other physical appendages
previously incorporated). The availability
of replacement components for
older antennas may also be restricted
somewhat if new, complying components
are not compatible with some
older models. Production of complying
antennas is expected to be sufficient to
satisfy demand; no overall ''shortage''
of antennas is anticipated as a result of

the standard. Sales will, instead, shift from relatively low levels for each of many models to relatively higher levels for fewer models.

(e) Means of achieving the objective of the order while minimizing adverse effects on competition or disruption or dislocation of manufacturing and other commercial practices consistent with the public health and safety. (1) The standard may have significant adverse effects on competition among antenna producers. The additional costs associated with the standard, coupled with the recent history of decreasing sales, may cause a number of manufacturers, including one or two of the major producers, to abandon production of omnidirectional CB base station antennas. The standard is likely to impact most heavily on smaller manufacturers, which may have smaller and fewer capital sources from which to draw funds for product design and production changes and for product testing.

(2) Concentration of sales among the two largest manufacturers will probably increase as a result of the standard. However, the shrinking size of the market itself may prompt some major firms to drop this product line. Companies

currently making antennas that

substantially comply with the standard

will probably gain a significant shortrun

competitive advantage over other

producers whose products do not already

comply with the standard's basic

provisions.

(3) Compliance with the standard

may be relatively more burdensome for

the smaller firms in the producing industry.

Several small firms which entered

the market in the early- and mid-

1970's have already left the market due

VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00245 Fmt 8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T

to the overall decrease in demand for

the product. Those that remain account

for less than 10 percent of annual

unit shipments. None of these small

firms is expected to go out of business

as a result of issuance of the standard

because most also produce directional

CB and other base and mobile communications

antennas and equipment.

However, the Commission anticipates

that most of these small firms will

probably discontinue omnidirectional

CB base station antenna production, at

least temporarily, until a supplier of

complying components is found, or
until a decision can be made about
long-term prospects.
(4) In order to minimize the adverse
effects on competition and manufacturing
and other commercial practices,
the standard is a performance standard
defined in terms of the factors the
Commission determined to be significant
for the protection of consumers.
Thus, manufacturers have a maximum
degree of flexibility in how to meet the
standard, since the standard does not
specify how the protection performance
is to be obtained.
(5) The Commission also considered
alternative technical approaches to reducing
or eliminating unreasonable
risks of injury associated with
omnidirectional CB base station antennas,
including incorporation of provisions
in the standard which would
allow the antenna to meet its requirements
by grounding. The Commission
rejected this approach because of the
absence of any practical means for a
consumer to ensure that the ground
system will be adequate to dissipate
the large amounts of power involved in
a powerline contact accident. Additionally,
the Commission considered the

possibility that the standard might require CB base station antennas to incorporate a device to sense the electromagnetic field of a powerline. The Commission rejected this alternative because of the cost involved in such an approach, and because consumers could install an antenna even though the presence of a powerline is indicated.

(6) The Commission considered making the provisions of the standard less stringent and eliminating requirements applicable to the antenna's feed cable, in order to lessen the adverse impact of the standard on competition and manufacturing practices. However, it was determined that such changes to the standard would reduce the effectiveness of the standard and thus were not consistent with the public health and safety. Furthermore, these changes would not significantly reduce the adverse effects on competition and manufacturing practices. The elimination of requirements applicable to the feed cable would, with known technology, result in almost completely negating the benefits of the standard and is thus not consistent with the public health and safety.

(7) The Commission also considered

the possibility of issuing the requirements of the standard as a voluntary test method rather than as a mandatory standard. The Commission estimated that if the provisions of the standard were issued as a voluntary test method, the total cost of such a voluntary test method to consumers during the first year after issuance would be about 30 percent of the total cost to consumers expected to result from promulgation of a mandatory standard. However, the Commission estimated that a voluntary test method would prevent only about 25 percent of the deaths and injuries which may be avoided by issuance of a mandatory standard. The Commission declined to issue the provisions of the standard as a voluntary test method because it concluded that such an approach would not only prevent fewer deaths and injuries each year than a mandatory standard, but would also have a less favorable ratio of benefits to costs than a mandatory standard.

(8) The Commission also considered the possibility of undertaking a joint effort with a trade association to inform all users of CB antennas of the dangers which can result from contact

with overhead powerlines as an alternative

to issuance of a mandatory

standard. The Commission observed

that this alternative would have a relatively

small economic impact on the

industry. The Commission also observed

that extensive efforts to promote

public awareness of the dangers

of contacting overhead powerlines have

been conducted in the past by the Commission,

antenna manufacturers, and

VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00246 Fmt 8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T

1 The Commission believes that, in the area

of consumer product safety, it is not generally

necessary or appropriate to assign a

specific monetary value to human life. However,

several studies on the costs of injuries

and deaths have been conducted in recent

years. Value-of-life estimates based on discounted

future earnings and the willingnessto-

pay approach range from about $200,000 to

about $3 million. The estimated costs of the

CB antenna standard per life saved fall below

or within the range suggested by these

value-of-life estimating methodologies.

utility companies, and that electrocutions

and serious injuries continue

to occur during installation and removal

of CB base station antennas. For
this reason, the Commission concluded
that a public information campaign
would prevent fewer deaths and injuries
than issuance of a mandatory
standard, and rejected such a campaign
as an alternative to issuance of the
standard.
(f) The rule, including its effective date,
is reasonably necessary to eliminate or reduce
an unreasonable risk of injury associated
with the product. (1) The provisions
of the standard constitute a related
system of performance parameters
which are needed as a group to
ensure that the performance of new antennas
will provide the degree of safety
which the Commission has determined
is reasonably necessary. Minor changes
in the value of each parameter would
not significantly reduce the costs of
the standard, although in some cases
they could substantially reduce the
standard's effectiveness.
(2) The Commission estimates that
increased retail prices due to the
standard will cost consumers up to
about $750,000 per year. The Commission
also estimates that the standard
will prevent approximately 8 deaths
and 8 or more injuries during the first

year the standard is in effect. Thus, if the standard saves 8 lives per year, the cost of the standard will be about $94,000 for each life saved. 1

(3) As to the benefits from reduced injuries, the Commission estimates that, if 8 injuries are prevented during the first year the standard is in effect, the actual costs saved by the accidents prevented by the standard will amount to up to $21,000 to $37,000, exclusive of pain, suffering, or disability. If a monetary factor for these less quantifiable components is included, annual injury reduction benefits could be about $288,000 to $1,680,000.

(4) The effective date of the standard was selected after balancing the increased costs to manufacturers and consumers that are associated with shorter effective dates against the benefits to the public that would be caused by having the effective date as soon as possible.

(5) The requirement for the cautionary statement in the instructions for the antenna is intended to ensure the effectiveness of the standard by discouraging any relaxation of present safety practices involving staying away from powerlines. Since instructions

for this product are already required by 16 CFR part 1402, the additional statement should have little or no adverse economic impact.

(6) After considering the costs and benefits associated with the standard, the Commission concludes that the standard, including its effective date, is reasonably necessary to eliminate or reduce an unreasonable risk of electric shock injury associated with omnidirectional CB base station antennas and that promulgation of the rule is in the public interest.

Subpart B-Certification

1204.11 General.

Section 14(a) of the Consumer Product Safety Act (''the act''), 15 U.S.C. 2063(a), requires each manufacturer, private labeler, or importer of a product which is subject to a Consumer Product Safety Standard and which is distributed in commerce to issue a certificate of compliance with the applicable standard and to base that certificate upon a test of each item or upon a reasonable testing program. The purpose of this subpart B of part 1204 is to establish requirements that manufacturers and importers must follow to certify that their products comply with

the Safety Standard for

Omnidirectional CB base Station Antennas

(16 CFR part 1204, subpart A).

Private labelers of CB antennas subject

to the standard need not issue a certificate

of compliance if they have been

furnished a certificate issued by the

VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00247 Fmt 8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T

manufacturer or importer of the antennas.

This subpart B describes the minimum

features of a reasonable testing

program and includes requirements for

recordkeeping.

§ 1204.12 Definitions.

In addition to the definitions set

forth in section 3 of the act, and in

§ 1204.2 of the standard, the following

definitions shall apply to this subpart

B of part 1204:

(a) Private labeler means an owner of

a brand or trademark which is used on

the label of a CB antenna subject to

the standard, which bears a private

label as defined in section 3(a)(7) of the

act, 15 U.S.C. 2052(a)(7).

(b) Production interval means a period

of time determined by the manufacturer

or importer that is appropriate

for conducting a test on one or more samples of the CB antennas produced during that period in order to provide a high degree of assurance that all of the products manufactured during that period meet the requirements of the standard. An appropriate production interval may vary depending on the construction of the antenna, the likelihood of variations in the production process, and the severity of the test that is used. The time period for a production interval shall be short enough to provide a high degree of assurance that if the samples selected for testing pass the test, all other CB antennas produced during the period will meet the standard.

§ 1204.13 Certificate of compliance.

(a) The manufacturer or importer of any product subject to the standard must issue the certificate of compliance required by section 14(a) of the act. If the testing required by this subpart B of part 1204 has been performed by or for the foreign manufacturer of a product, the importer may rely on such tests to support the certificate of compliance if the importer is a resident of the United States or has a resident agent in the U.S., and the records are

maintained in the U.S. The importer is
responsible for ensuring that the foreign
manufacturer's records show that
all testing used to support the certificate
of compliance has been performed
properly with passing or acceptable results
and that the records provide a
reasonable assurance that all antennas
imported comply with the standard.
(b) A certificate of compliance must
accompany each product or otherwise
be furnished to any distributor or retailer
to whom the product is delivered
by the manufacturer or importer.
(c) The certificate shall state:
(1) That the product ''complies with
all applicable consumer product safety
standards (16 CFR part 1204)'',
(2) The name and address of the manufacturer
or importer issuing the certificate,
and
(3) The date of manufacture and, if
different from the address in paragraph
(c)(2) of this section, the place of manufacture.

§ 1204.14 Certification tests.

(a) General. As explained in § 1204.11
of this subpart, certificates of compliance
required by section 14(a) of the
act must be based on either a test of
each item or on a reasonable testing
program.

(b) Tests of each item. If the certificate is based on tests of each item, the tests may be either those prescribed by the standard or any other test procedure that will determine that the item tested will comply with the standard.

(c) Reasonable testing programs-(1) Requirements. (i) A reasonable testing program for a particular model of CB antennas is one which demonstrates with a high degree of assurance that all the antennas of that model will meet all requirements of the standard. Manufacturers and importers shall determine the types and frequency of testing for their own reasonable testing programs. A reasonable testing program which does not test each item produced should be sufficiently stringent that any variations in production, etc., over the production interval would not cause any antenna to fail if tested according to the requirements of the standard.

(ii) All reasonable testing programs shall include qualification tests, which must be performed on one or more samples of the CB antennas representative of each model produced, or to be produced, to demonstrate that the product is capable of passing the tests prescribed

by the standard and shall also

VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00248 Fmt 8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T

249

Consumer Product Safety Commission § 1204.17

include production tests, which must

be performed during appropriate production

intervals as long as the product

is being manufactured.

(iii) Corrective action and/or additional

testing must be performed whenever

certification tests of samples of

the product give results that do not

provide a high degree of assurance that

all antennas manufactured during the

applicable production interval will pass

the tests of the standard.

(2) Testing by third parties. At the option

of the manufacturer or importer,

some or all of the testing of each item

or of the reasonable testing program

may be performed by a commercial

testing laboratory or other third party.

However, the manufacturer or importer

is responsible for ensuring that all certification

testing has been properly

performed with passing or acceptable

results and for maintaining all records

of such tests in accordance with

§ 1204.17 of this subpart.

§ 1204.15 Qualification testing.

(a) Testing. Before any manufacturer or importer of CB antennas which are subject to the standard distributes them in commerce, one or more samples of each model shall be tested to determine that all such antennas manufactured after the effective date of the standard will comply with the standard. The type of tests and the manner of selecting samples shall be determined by the manufacturer or importer to provide a reasonable assurance that all antennas subject to the standard will comply with the standard. Any or all of the qualification testing required by this paragraph may be performed before the effective date of the standard.

(b) Product modifications. If any changes are made to a product, after initial qualification testing, that could affect the ability of the product to meet the requirements of the standard, additional qualification tests must be made before the changed antennas are manufactured for sale or distributed in commerce.

§ 1204.16 Production testing.

(a) General. Manufacturers and importers shall test antennas subject to the standard periodically as they are manufactured, to demonstrate that the

antennas meet the requirements of the standard.

(b) Types and frequency of testing. Manufacturers and importers shall determine the types of tests for production testing. Each production test shall be conducted at a production interval short enough to provide a high degree of assurance that, if the samples selected for testing pass the production tests, all other antennas produced during the interval will meet the standard.

(c) Test failure-(1) Sale of antennas. If any test yields results which do not indicate that all antennas manufactured during the production interval will meet the standard, production must cease and the faulty manufacturing process or design must be corrected. In addition, products manufactured before the appropriate corrective action is taken may not be distributed in commerce unless they meet the standard. It may be necessary to modify the antennas or perform additional tests to ensure that only complying antennas are distributed in commerce. Antennas which are subject to the standard but do not comply with the requirements of the standard cannot be offered for sale, distributed in commerce, or imported

in the United States.

(2) Corrective actions. When any production test fails to provide a high degree of assurance that all antennas comply with the standard, corrective action must be taken. Corrective action may include changes in the manufacturing and/or assembly process, equipment adjustment, repair or replacement, or other action deemed appropriate by the manufacturer or importer to achieve passing production test results.

§ 1204.17 Records.

Each manufacturer or importer of CB antennas subject to the standard shall maintain the following records, which shall be maintained for 3 years after the creation of the records and shall be available to any designated officer or employee of the Commission in accordance with section 16(b) of the Consumer Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2065(b)):

(a) Records of the qualification and production testing required by this subpart B, including a description of

VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00249 Fmt 8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T

the types of tests conducted, the dates

and results of the tests, and the production

interval selected for the performance

of the production testing.

(b) Records of all corrective actions

taken, including the specific actions

taken to improve the design or manufacture

and to correct any noncomplying

antenna produced during the period,

the date the action was taken,

and the test failure which necessitated

the action.

(Information collection requirements contained

in paragraph (a) were approved by the

Office of Management and Budget under control

number 3041-0006)

VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00250 Fmt
8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T

VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00251 Fmt
8010 Sfmt 8006 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T

[47 FR 36201, Aug. 19, 1982; 48 FR 57125, Dec. 28, 1983]

VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00252 Fmt
8010 Sfmt 8006 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T

4553. Re: USA Truck Wash?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 02:02:11 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <DwC89.80694$eK6.2632843@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133580

Tim, that may be up on I-10.

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020820213718.10182.00002292@mb-fe.aol.com...
> exit 738 or 785? anybody know where this is? i'm not hearing any dx from
> anywhere on the band except channel 19 where this one station keeps
advertising
> the USA Truck Wash. i'm thinking he must be running some monster ass
station to
> be the absolutely last station anywhere on the band. he's lasted even
longer
> than the guys on the superbowl.
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

4554. Re: NE ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 02:03:22 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <KxC89.102804$2p2.4807422@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133581

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020820214358.470$Iv@newsreader.com...
> Huh is right. He's posting from CoreComm LTD - Chicago, IL .
>
> Not so novel.

He may be using them as his ISP, that doesnt mean thats where he is from, he very well maybe in chicagobut that is just the isp he uses, you did do a search of his IP addy to come to your conclusion right

4555. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 02:06:24 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <AAC89.80698$eK6.2633904@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133582

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0208201746.2285c23b@posting.google.com...
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<Gcw89.119386$Yd.5194322@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> > "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> > news:3D626890.8C131B46@mailcity.net...
> > > Who cares what the idiots do on the cb band do as long as they do
> > > not interfere with other services. The whole argument here is that
> > > it is not confined to the cb band. The overdriven, overpowered,
> > > splatter boxes cause other interference, and the encroachment into
> > > other frequencies by unlicensed operators, such as the 10 meter
> > > band with their imported "10 meter" radios, which we all know are
> > > aimed at the cb crowd, is the issue. That is what we want cleaned
> > > up.
> >
> > JJ, after 9/11/01, I think you are wishing upon a star here. That,
coupled
> > with the "tax cut", and imminent problems with Iraq, make your wish seem
> > rather shortsighted. Please don't hold your breath.
>
> so mr chapman are you saying that uncle charlie is going to run out of
> gas before he reaches the finish line????....aw , my heart is breaking
> here...bureaucrats with no money and no mission.........man it is
> enough to make you want to go get some autographed crying towels and
> send them to our poor fcc bretheren.....

LOL!!

4556. Re: USA Truck Wash?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 02:09:47 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ajuspn0lps@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133586

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020820213718.10182.00002292@mb-fe.aol.com...
> exit 738 or 785? anybody know where this is? i'm not hearing any dx from
> anywhere on the band except channel 19 where this one station keeps
advertising
> the USA Truck Wash. i'm thinking he must be running some monster ass
station to
> be the absolutely last station anywhere on the band. he's lasted even
longer
> than the guys on the superbowl.
>
>
>

According to the map, those milemarkers are just outside of houston texas on
I-10, on either side.
During the summer, you'll hear skip out of the south and west constantly.

4557. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 02:10:29 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <pEC89.80700$eK6.2634676@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133583

"BobC" <123@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:um5oum6ebmlrbd@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Checkerboard Strangler" <jhaas84@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:OUA89.95604$983.109275@rwcrnsc53...
> > >>Incidentally, with AM detectors in a receiver a certain amount of the
> > >>incoming signal is used to reduce the gain on stronger signals. Swang
> > >>works by faking this out; listen to the background racket and you will
> see
> > >>really quickly that swang is bullshit.
> >
> > Dick N&#65533;BK
> >
> > **********
> > Dick, I have to disagree with you on this one (nice ta meetcha, by the
> way).
> > "Swing", when properly applied to an AM transmitter, results in a fifty
> watt
> > radio
> > out-talking someone with ten times the power. CB radio isn't meant to
have
> > studio
> > quality sound. A good, UN-amplified crystal mic and lots of swing will
> yield
> > a radio
> > that can talk right through someone with a lot of dead-key power. I have
> > heard it countless times when someone gets it in their head to "throw a
> > blanket" over a certain channel.
> > There's always that one brilliant character out there talking right
> through
> > the carrier.....
> >
> > There's also a lot less heterodyning going on when there isn't very much
> > carrier signal for the other guy to beat against.
> >
> > Naturally there are people out there who take it to extremes with loads
of
> > splatter on adjacent channels as a result.....then, NOBODY talks, but
> the
> > first thing I try to do when I unpack a new radio is find out how far I
> can
> > turn down the dead-key power.
> > One only needs "enough carrier to connect the two radios", and the rest

> can
> > be modulation.
> > That's precisely why sideband is so great, and an AM radio with lots of
> > swing allows folks on AM to utilize all the benefits of sideband while
> still
> > staying in the mainstream of the AM channels. I want my radio to sound
> sharp
> > and crisp, the channels are only ten khz wide anyway.....we aren't
> > transmitting hi fidelity, we're transmitting speech, and that sharp,
> > piercing clarity is what makes it easy to understand through all the
hash
> > and trash.
> >
> > None of this carries technical explanations to satisfy the horde of
> > "Almighty God"
> > Advanced Extra Class operators who claim not to be out there, and it
isn't
> > meant to.
> > It's a simple question of practicality, backed up by proof in-the-field.
> >
> > My personal recommendation is to back the dead-key power down to about 1
> and
> > a half watts.....maybe two, and let the audio drive the finals as far as
> > they will go.
> > I hate having to lug around anything bigger than a hundred watts,
because
> of
> > the extra wiring and radical power requirements involved. My car's
> > alternator is already straining at the thought of just one more current
> > hungry device, and I hate having to resort to anything bigger than those
> > already ugly Firestick type antennas. Controlled Carrier is the absolute
> > loudest thing walking the AM planet.
> >
> > Regards,
> > JeffH
> > FCC 1st Phone ticket holder since 1979
> _____
>
> I have to disagree on the whole issue of wild amounts of swing. I'm
talking
> about turning the carrier down to that 1 or 2 watts for the sole purpose
of
> gaining more swing.
>
> Here's they way I see it. The only way you're gonna see that much swing is
> to overmodulate the radio. I'm sure you're familiar with the waveform of
> which I speak.
>
> Within that overmodulated waveform is an area of no output during certain

> intervals. It's during those intervals that a signal, almost any signal,
can
> sneak in and get through.
>
> If the radios were the same and one was set for minimum carrier and the
> other was set more properly both radios would still be heard. In the same
> scenario, at a range where the weaker carrier isn't showing up, the radio
> with the least carrier would sound like a distorted ssb signal in the
> distance whereas the one with more carrier would still sound ok.
>
> I've heard a bunch of these low dead key power radios in the distance. The
> only thing that really comes thru is the audio tones that drove the radio
to
> full peak output. Mostly lows for guys and hi's for the ladies. It's a
pain
> in the ass to have to decipher what they're saying half the time.
>
>

Right, but they don't really want to be heard, they just like watching the meter.

4558. Re: NE ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 02:15:24 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ajut470m9e@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133587

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020820214358.470$Iv@newsreader.com...
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
> > --
> > Try me I'll Make you Famous
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020820212257.976$Ag@newsreader.com...
> > > Robert <iweb@mac.com> wrote:
> > > >>Hey Group
> > > >
> > > > anyone in the NE ohio area still peakn radios or doin tuneups ?
> > > >
> > > > R
> > > >
> > > Why? You're not in NE Ohio.
> >
> >>How do you know where he is Steve? He may be a truck driver or live near
> > NE Ohio. Novel ideas huh
> >
> Huh is right. He's posting from CoreComm LTD - Chicago, IL .
>
> Not so novel.
>

So? I had a dialup connection with a company based out of chicago.
I live in wisconsin.
If you have an account with msn, aol, prodigy, or even supernews, would you
know which areaa they post from?
roadrunner makes it easy though.
You're posting through newsreader.com, so that means you are where they are
right?

4559. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 02:18:01 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <tLC89.80703$eK6.2634108@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133584

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:vZz89.109730$Fw3.4663191@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...

Snipped

> Yes and they can be turned on and if it is a Ham only radio why would they
> have these devices on them I haven't seen any of the new Trancievers
Yaseu,
> Kenwood, Icom with all the bells and whistles have a robot voice changer
on
> it or roger beep or even echo Why not they are $3,000 and up radios.
>
>

You what sux George? The Ranger 5054 6-meter rig has roger beep. Who the
hell knows why.

4560. Re: I got another GOLDMINE of NOS CB stuff AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 02:23:02 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ajutii0nl9@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133592

"Dave or Debby" <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:6m75mu4jv6n4vg08ho9rbk5rctq4pq1j09@4ax.com...
> Twice within the last few years, I have been blessed BY GOD to get a
> bunch of NOS CB items from the past from stores that did CB, TV, car
> stereo and whatever else they worked on. CB was NOT their MAIN "bread
> & butter" business, so they tended to keep OLD items longer without
> sale. One place was in biz over 50 years, and the recent one I got
> last pm was in biz since @ least 1976 from what I can tell on the age
> of the items.
>
> SO if anyone wants any OLD NOS CB items from the past 26 years, I MAY
> have it ! I don't have a lot, but I have some. I will list a few
> items here quickly that I'm looking to sell to re-coop $$ that I paid
> for to get these items. E mail me back and remove HATEspam from the
> address or truth@planetc.com and put CB somewhere in the subject line.
>
> The 1st item is a NOS brand new in the box & BAG--a Turner hand power
> mic M +2/U ! Brownish mic wiring book with it (to tell it's age).
> The curly cord goes right to the mic head, which would lead me to
> believe that it is used, but it appears to be brand new in da box,
> since it has the origional black stopper between the mic key and the
> mic! Looks NEW too! Did these come with a battery? I better look
> inside to remove it!

IIRC, the blue curly cord was standard on those mikes. had a couple of them.

>
> A NOS TUG9-D104 base mic. "Conneaut Technologies" on warr. card. I'd
> have to open bottom to see mfg. date, but I guess 15-20 years old NOS.
> I Also have a NOS GOLD D104 Bicentennial edition from '76 that the
> company says is worth over $500 too for sale.
>
> I got a bunch of those CDE alternator filters that go under the hood
> that I haven't seen in years. Also got some different "flip mounts"
> than the ones I already have, the Valor ones. Got a bunch of Valor
> 101HD (it's really a 101cpw mount) for OLD ford pick up truck mirrors.
>
> CB BAY is now open. E mail me the highest price you will pay for the
> above items and IF you want something from the past that I didn't
> mention, E MAIL ME and ASK ME if I have it. I have amassed an
> incredible variety of CB items over my 23 years and more of being a 1
> man operation CB shop. Let me know what you are looking for!
> Dave!
>

$1 for all! not a dime more!

4561. Re: Consumer Product Safety Commission regs on CB Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 02:26:40 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ATC89.80707$eK6.2638574@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133585

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020820220355.979$RX@newsreader.com...
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote:
> >>This is in PDF format Doc, but it's all there. You are mistaken.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_00/16cfr1204_00.html
> > >
> > >
> >
> > 239
> >
> > Consumer Product Safety Commission 1204.1
> >
> > PART 1204-SAFETY STANDARD
> >
> SNIP-X4
>
> Sheesh Randy,voob usually quotes that much text.
>
> --
> Go#40
> ---------- http://NewsReader.Com/ ----------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

Sorry man. Not sure if 'Doc could decipher it, so I did it for him. Now all
he has to do is read it. <g>

4562. Re: Cigarette Adapter on Uniden PC68LTW??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 02:26:52 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ajutpo0oen@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133593

"TSH" <jimbob@world.com> wrote in message
news:lLu89.2922$NZ.117736@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I know, I know, this isn't the best way to provide juice to the box, but I
> drive two different vehicles, Suburban and Ford Pickup quite a bit. I
would
> like to be able to move the radio from vehicle to vehicle when necessary.
> Can I put a cigarette adapter on the power cord?
> What will performance issues be?
> Thanks.
>

Wire up a relay in a small box and connect the relay to the power.
Use a switch on the ground side of the relay to cut power.
Then fuse the output side.

4563. Re: USA Truck Wash?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 02:39:07 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020820223907.22385.00002104@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 133588

sure is randy. i finally heard him say channelview. i thought it was dx but it is indeed some long distance groundwave. he's still going at it.

>Tim, that may be up on I-10.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4564. Re: NE ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 02:40:45 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <N4D89.705$qn.84@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 133589

Hay Robert, I'm in Buffalo if you want take A ride but call first please or ship it in UPS .
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"Robert" <iweb@mac.com> wrote in message news:3d62d7e5$0$3580$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net...
> Hey Group
>
> anyone in the NE ohio area still peakn radios or doin tuneups ?
>
> R
>

4565. Re: Everyone needs a hobby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 02:49:04 UTC
From: Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <um5vt2os7uqb98@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133591

Hey no problem - just doing my part to keep myself busy. I figure if I cant sell my equipment, I might as well apply my self to someone elses auctions to help them out. Of course ebay wont stop these auctions cause they have $$ in thier eyes.

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message news:fef386d5.0208201728.b920d8d@posting.google.com...
> "Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message news:<um4tlacoagpl0a@corp.supernews.com>...
> > Check out all these neato amplifiers that will work for CB!!!
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374119655
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374519437
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374752306
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374437180 And yet
> > another
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374421378 10m mentioned
> > in the listing
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374627476 Has 28 MHz
> > Capabilities
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374658873 CB amplifier
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374674961 10m mentioned
> > in the listing
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1373247723 10m mentioned
> > in the listing
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1373263834 Ready for 10m
> > is in the listing description
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1373762461 10m mentioned

> > in the listing
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374236152 160
through
> > 10-meters (10-meter conversion is installed)
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374696643 10m band
on
> > the dial - seen in picture
>
> Thanks for the update packrat. that should our little buddy busy for a
> few hours finking out those people to the ebutt jack booted
> crybabies.....

4566. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 02:49:18 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020820224918.14983.00001549@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 133590

Jeff,you right about turning down the deadkey(1 1/2-2watts) but you have to repeak the TX.Gives you that nice clean audio headroom.Don't listen to the no code hammies they will just flame you.They don't like AM .

4567. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 02:51:29 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ReD89.120047$Yd.5310940@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133594

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020820223756.952$H0@newsreader.com...
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> >>Who cares what the idiots do on the cb band do
> > >
> > You must,you post in this CB group,daily.
> >
> > --
> > Go#40
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Usenet Newsgroup Service

Touch

4568. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 02:55:39 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020820225539.22385.00002106@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 133595

never heard the liberty net george?

>Tim I have monitored the Ham freqs you listed and have never heard anything
>either what does that tell you

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4569. Re: Auction Was Stopped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 02:57:01 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020820225701.22385.00002107@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 133596

well i'll admitt thats true depending on whos version you got

>
>Nebo funny things can be found in a DX directory.
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4570. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 03:06:15 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <HsD89.113960$Fw3.4826871@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133597

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020820214621.913$07@newsreader.com...
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
> >>Tim I have monitored the Ham freqs you listed and have never heard
> > anything either what does that tell you
> >
> It's not likely you'd hear local Texas traffic,is it?

Sorry I wasn't more clearer the 14.313 and 3860 ham freqs

4571. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 03:15:26 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D62E144.A59914C3@mailcity.net>
Source record: 133598

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> >>there = their
> > >
> Thanks for the tip,fuck nut.

No problem, always glad to help someone who apparently does not know the proper word to use. It is simple English but seems to be beyond some people.

4572. Re: NE ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 03:20:10 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <KFD89.114194$Fw3.4838977@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133599

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020820220603.749$Os@newsreader.com...
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
>> >>he very well maybe in chicagobut that is just the isp he uses, you did
do
> > a search of his IP addy to come to your conclusion right
> >
> Why do -YOU- give a fuck

Why do you give a phuqq about what I give a phuqq about is more appropriate
question?
Or why did you give a fuck where the gentleman is posting from as you see he
is in Wisconsin not Chicago mr know it all. LOL He may be a trucker passing
thru NE Ohio and want a good cb shop in the area, perhaps he is moving to
that area no you had to troll him.

4573. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 03:22:37 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020820232237.22385.00002113@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 133602

i agree the locals are bad about that in there mobiles. once they get out in the distance they sound like shit. come to think of it they sound like shit close up too

>
>I've heard a bunch of these low dead key power radios in the distance. The
>only thing that really comes thru is the audio tones that drove the radio to
>full peak output. Mostly lows for guys and hi's for the ladies. It's a pain
>in the ass to have to decipher what they're saying half the time.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4574. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 03:22:56 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <kID89.103795$2p2.4868975@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133600

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> Thanks for the tip,fuck nut.

That would be "tip, fucknut!"

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

4575. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 03:23:26 UTC
From: BobC <123@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <um61p0gkh7je8d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133601

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020820224918.14983.00001549@mb-cq.aol.com...
> Jeff,you right about turning down the deadkey(1 1/2-2watts) but you have
to
> repeak the TX.Gives you that nice clean audio headroom.Don't listen to the
no
> code hammies they will just flame you.They don't like AM .

Look, ALLSTEEL77, I have no problem with AM, nor you but...
Not everyone who disagrees with yours and Jeff's idea of optimum radio
tuning is a no-coder or anti-AM'er.

4576. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 03:24:23 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <HJD89.107535$SS.4832508@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133603

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020820224918.14983.00001549@mb-cq.aol.com...
> Jeff,you right about turning down the deadkey(1 1/2-2watts) but you have
to
> repeak the TX.Gives you that nice clean audio headroom.Don't listen to the
no
> code hammies they will just flame you.They don't like AM .

Who is the No code who replied in this thread? besides yourself?

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

4577. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 03:27:31 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020820232731.22385.00002115@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 133604

surely your joking about 313? how long have you been a ham???

>
>
>Sorry I wasn't more clearer the 14.313 and 3860 ham freqs
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4578. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 03:33:46 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <uSD89.120084$Yd.5324870@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133605

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:kID89.103795$2p2.4868975@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > Thanks for the tip,fuck nut.
>
> That would be "tip, fucknut!"
>
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>
>

OMG! George takes a stab at punctuation! This IS some funny shit! I knew I liked this group for a reason.

4579. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 03:37:58 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <qWD89.104024$2p2.4880376@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133606

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020820232525.744$Gw@newsreader.com...

> > > Thanks for the tip,fuck nut.

> >>That would be "tip, fucknut!"

> I'm not talking about the tip that ticlkes your throat.

As your buddy Landshark would say you can't post without including some
sexual innuendos. Btw, Your the one who was thanking the guy for the tip is
that the same tip that tickles your throat? LOL

4580. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 03:39:39 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <%XD89.4665$CS7.236130650@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133607

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020820214621.913$07@newsreader.com...
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
> > --
> > Try me I'll Make you Famous
> > "www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
> > news:20020820120656.03553.00001796@mb-co.aol.com...
> > > i live within sight of I-45 which is the only highway connecting the
> > > port
> > of
> > > houston and the port of galveston and i just dont hear all the stuff
> > > you
> > claim
> > > you do. right now its 11:07 central time and i've been on 28.085 for
> > > about
> > 20
> > > minutes now since i started reading new messages in this group and i
> > havent
> > > heard a trucker yet.
> >
> >>Tim I have monitored the Ham freqs you listed and have never heard
> > anything either what does that tell you
> >
> It's not likely you'd hear local Texas traffic,is it?
>
> --
> Go#40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

Sure it is Mopar, he knows everything <G>

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

4581. Re: C-SPAN: D.C. Reparations Rally

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 03:39:39 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <%XD89.4666$CS7.236130650@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133608

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020819105046.068$3C@newsreader.com...
> >
> I think he laughs as much as I do,about the content of his posts.
>
> --
> Go#40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

Probably.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

4582. Re: Aagent Aaron does not like the truth.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 03:39:40 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <0YD89.4667$CS7.236130650@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133609

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:l%b89.78830$Fw3.3724210@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
. So of course I asked him to post his call just as he
> asked aaron to post his If you can't keep up with the thread and who said
> what. You dyslexic piece of shit mind your own business or go troll
> somewhere else
>
>
> > What a hypocrite. What an ignoramus. What a fool.
>
> Yes they describe you perfectly
>
>
> > Really. I've said it before, and now I'll say it again..
> > Grow the fuck up.
>
>
> I will when I am older.
>
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>
>
>

Your all class George.

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

4583. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 03:40:28 UTC
From: Me <whocare@you.com>
Message-ID: <MYD89.94811$m91.4608582@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133610

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187>

> surely your joking about 313? how long have you been a ham???

> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

A long time
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

4584. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 03:44:36 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020820234436.22385.00002117@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 133611

and you know nothing of 14.313 and the years worth of troubles? hell youve probably been licensed longer than ive been alive i know damn well you know about those guys

>
>A long time

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4585. Re: OT..Anyone make the Woodward dream cruise?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 03:49:58 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020820234958.28510.00001739@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 133612

Cant wait till the Nat's come to Springfield. I'll be down there burnin' up the street in my '69 Road Runner....383 4 speed Pistol Grip....391's and Caltracs.....Mickeys L60 Polyglass....headers.....Flowmasters.....
"Hey buddy, wanna run 'em?"

"Got RF?"

4586. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 03:50:13 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D63380E.D59FDF31@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133613

Either you're not bored yet, or you have a real hard head! <g>

..
>
> > Because - for the umpteenth time -

4587. Re: i need help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 03:55:10 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020820235510.28510.00001743@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 133614

>From: "John Smith" >spamwanted@127.0.0.1
>Not bloody likely. Most departments use >FM and CB is AM for one.
FYI John, the Galaxy is an AM/FM/SSB rig....Ok the freq switch is a little of a stretch to make.....Besides it was a troll post, No Doubt!

"Got RF?"

4588. Re: Looking for KFO3255 QSL card

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 03:56:15 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020820235615.28510.00001744@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 133615

>KFO3255 in Greenville
Greenvile WHERE????? North Carolina???
Eygpt? A little help man!

"Got RF?"

4589. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 04:01:31 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D62EB17.B33152BE@mailcity.net>
Source record: 133616

Me wrote:
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > Thanks for the tip,fuck nut.
>
> That would be "tip, fucknut!"

LOL!!

4590. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 04:06:22 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D633BD7.DD3D77EC@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133617

Damn, Twist, you hit his "on" button. You go!

4591. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 04:33:31 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D634234.23DDBF23@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133618

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> >>If you really think that world governments,
> > > including ours, give respect to
> > > such an unbridled group, more given to outlaw actions such as out-banding
> > > than any other, you are
> > > extremely out of the loop!
> > >
> It's a friggin CB group,Jerry.
>
> Take a deep breath.

I think Joe Blowed some o' dat ox-oh-gen on Twist's farr.

4592. Re: The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 04:35:09 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D634296.7BCBDFE1@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133619

> >
>
> Good luck Tom, I tried to explain that
> a while back.
>

These folks must be wearing football helmets as they
smack the wall with their heads.

4593. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 04:36:33 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6342EB.62F895E4@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133620

>
> As explained on another post..........Good luck.
> They will argue with you just for the sake of arguing,
> so again, good luck.

Very well padded and extra reinforced football helmets.

4594. length of coax for SWR not important

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 04:41:59 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D63442F.45085BDE@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133621

Twistedhed wrote:
> and see if I can learn how to trim the
> coax to the proper length <g>.

Connect coax to radio. Unspool enough to reach the antenna.
Unroll about 3 more feet. Connect to antenna. Adjust
antenna for SWR of 1.5:1 or about. When there on operating
frequency, pack tools and get off roof before you burn!

4595. Re: Consumer Product Safety Commission regs on CB Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 04:51:26 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9270DE7B9A167subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 133622

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in news:bsC89.80691$eK6.2632402
@twister.austin.rr.com:

>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:YkC89.119980$Yd.5294232@twister.austin.rr.com...
>>
>> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
>> news:zDB89.80681$eK6.2620219@twister.austin.rr.com...
>> >
>> > "'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message
> news:3D629F78.6F6ED696@icok.net...
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > Randy,
>> > > I'm afraid that just isn't true. There does
>> > > have to be a warning on the antenna, but there's
>> > > no law against selling them in the USA.
>> > > 'Doc
>> >
>> > Damn Doc. You gonna make me go dig? <g>
>> >
>> >
>>
>> This is in PDF format Doc, but it's all there. You are mistaken.
>>
>>
>>
>> http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_00/16cfr1204_00.html
>>
<Snip>

Christ, I'm glad I've got a cable modem. That post could've taken a while
to download :)

Alan S.

4596. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 05:03:11 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020821010311.28510.00001748@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 133623

>From: JJ radiobuff0@mailcity.net
>And ozark333 has very little knowledge.
First off, Kiss My Ass JJ.....
Second. Reactance is what trimming the coax will affect. I got a little carried away with the post, shame on me. However, LENGTH OF COAX DOES MATTER. Otherwise why not use 26 ft or 32 ft or or 27 a multiple of 3......
There are a number of factors that can lead you to the best antenna setup. And opinions on how to vary. My experience and info I have received says that on 27 MHZ using RG-8 mini or if you must RG-58 the correct length will be around 14.5 ft to obtain the best combo of reactance to make the radio and antenna match. Yes I'm no expert, I'm still learning and getting the science down. Even the so called experts have a variety of opinions on how the radio/coax/antenna setup relate. I even had a bigtime radio-tech tell me 14 ft from the radio to the amp then 14 ft from the amp to the antenna...OK...kinda had to wonder about that advice. If you dont believe the math, try it. See what happens. 15 ft of RG-8 mini is maybe $5.00......whoopie....see what your SWR looks like then come back and bitch. We all know Aaron(ious) has never connected a radio and or tuned an antenna so any comments from his peanut gallery carry no merit....so sorry for my error...the dilemma continues...
Miny Me....take a shut up break.

"Got RF?"

4597. Re: Consumer Product Safety Commission regs on CB Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 05:09:06 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D634A8C.748E845A@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133624

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> >
> I'd have to print it,and read it on the shit pot.lol

Government Warning:

Too much red tape can be hazardous to your health.

4598. The length of coax is very important.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 06:04:23 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <H3G89.317897$q53.10321263@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133625

But the formula is rather simle:

1.2 X the length to connect the transmitter and antenna. .900 X can also be used, but expect substandard results.

-R-

4599. Re: The length of coax is very important.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 06:09:07 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <78G89.120322$Yd.5367830@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133626

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:H3G89.317897$q53.10321263@twister.austin.rr.com...
> But the formula is rather simle:
>
>
> 1.2 X the length to connect the transmitter and antenna. .900 X can also
be
> used, but expect substandard results.
>
> -R-
>
>

Duh!!! SP... simple

4600. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 06:10:38 UTC
From: BobC <123@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <um6biiitc193d8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133627

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:HJD89.107535$SS.4832508@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
>
> "ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020820224918.14983.00001549@mb-cq.aol.com...
> > Jeff,you right about turning down the deadkey(1 1/2-2watts) but you have
> to
> > repeak the TX.Gives you that nice clean audio headroom.Don't listen to
the
> no
> > code hammies they will just flame you.They don't like AM .
>
> Who is the No code who replied in this thread? besides yourself?
>
And your point is???

4601. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 06:14:26 UTC
From: BobC <123@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <um6bpm6f190t66@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133628

"BobC" <123@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:um6biiitc193d8@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> news:HJD89.107535$SS.4832508@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >
> >
> >
> > "ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020820224918.14983.00001549@mb-cq.aol.com...
> > > Jeff,you right about turning down the deadkey(1 1/2-2watts) but you
have
> > to
> > > repeak the TX.Gives you that nice clean audio headroom.Don't listen to
> the
> > no
> > > code hammies they will just flame you.They don't like AM .
> >
> > Who is the No code who replied in this thread? besides yourself?
> >
> And your point is???

Uhh...Please ignore my last post. I somehow replied thinking I was being
attacked..sorry..I need to refrain from computer use while taking cold
remedies.
>
>

4602. Re: Results are in! A-99(+ mods) vs Imax-2000(+ mods) vs 827 vs dipole vs cheap 5/8 and 1/2 wave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 06:37:14 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <ajvco3$n5n$2@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 133629

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:C%h89.116060$Yd.5011259@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
> news:20020819193844.16108.00001696@mb-bg.aol.com...
> > HERE A A99 IS MUCH CHEAPER THAN A 5/8 ANTENNA. FOR SOME REASON I GET THE
> > FEELING ITS THE OTHER WAY AROUND THERE IN THE UK?
> >
>
> Probably so Tim. I suspect they can still legally buy metal antennas for
> CB.
> Here, they enacted laws to protect idiots from themselves. Since that
> time, better idiots have been made.

Yep, some of the cheapest homebase antennas are:
Dipole - about £10
1/2 wave - about £18
5/8 wave - about £20.

But, if you but one of those cheap 1/2 or 5/8 wave antennas you should not expect it to last long. The first strong breeze will bend it, and they soon break.
UK CBers have always seemed to want things as cheap as possible, although the dipoles seem last much better for a cheap antenna.

We can still get metal antennas and I have never heard any suggestion of any planned ban.

Regards,

Peter.

4603. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 12:08:53 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208210408.7d44e810@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133630

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:<u_z89.102421$SS.4642267@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:aju1rj$1ecnl4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> >
> > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:aju15c$1cr0n6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> > >
> > > Then why in the past did you state that these "phoney 10 meter radios"
> are
> > > illegal?
> > >
> >
> > And why were you taking such delight in blocking auctions of these radios
> on
> > ebay?
> >
> > Tom
>
> Probably because they are Illegal to sell.

Wrong answer. They are illegal to "import and market". That's a single
phrase. They are legally sold from private party to private party.

And George, why are you answering for Aanal Aaron?

4604. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 12:16:33 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208210416.7d05f78b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133631

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<747-3D62D078-144@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> >From: n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com (Tom?Sevart)
> "www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
> news:20020820120937.03553.00001798@mb-co.aol.com... jerry we come from
> CB, there is no other service thats more interefered with. dont think
> we're used to it by now? tell riley to clean up the hams who intrude on
> 555 and cause us trouble <<
>
>
>
>
> "Like you have a right to use 27.555? The FCC would be shutting you down
> as well. I don't think anyone operating there (with the exception of
> legitimate users) has the right to complain about interference. Tom"
>
>
>
> Hiya, Tom! I think an observation isn't necessarily a complaint. 27.555
> is jammed daily with worldwide stations, many from the states and has
> been for years. Matter of fact, the Arizona boys claimed it as their own
> about 2 and a half years ago and they pretty much have eminent domain on
> it with the exception of the pile, the horn and Californication
> stations. Feds can't care less. Give a listen.
>
>
>
>
> >No, it's just the way you worded it. One of the funniest things I've
> heard on 27.555 is the Alpha Tangoes and the anti-Alpha Tangoes arguing
> over who is the rightful user of that freq, when none of them are.
> Tom<<
>
>
>
>
> The Alfa-Tango stations have squatted on that channel since the time of
> Moses. AKA Bob and the gang just rattled some cages. The FCC musta given
> 'em their full blessings cause the Phoenix gang is still at it...like I
> said, unlesss the 'pile, horn, or Californ-I-A drops it down,,,,,,I
> agree, none are the rightful users of the freqs., but it IS abandoned
> for it's intended and the feds don't care about small power DX there.
> No matter,,,the AT's have an alternate channel, if not several.

27.555 is also home channel for the Old Timers, or at least used to be. I would like to believe they found another frequency to avoid all the jamming and music-playing but I don't know for sure.

4605. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 12:37:50 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <yQL89.285$yd1.33733@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 133632

Yes, do it. Let us know how it wοrks.

4606. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 12:45:08 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <oXL89.297$yd1.33248@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 133633

Buttwipe

4607. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 13:29:47 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020821092947.17966.00001996@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 133634

the old timers are 565 lsb if i'm not mistaken. those guys are worse than the senior citizens on 75 meters. you have to be a AARP member to join.

73 de Young Timer #1 :)))
ps boy do they get pissed when i use that qrz

>
>27.555 is also home channel for the Old Timers, or at least used to
>be. I would like to believe they found another frequency to avoid all
>the jamming and music-playing but I don't know for sure.
>

4608. 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 13:56:23 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ak062n$1eo9bb$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133635

Hi all,

I still hadn't gotten a response from Ray Laforge at the FCC lab to my email questioning whether or not certain 10 meter radios are legal for use & sale by hams, so I decided to call him this morning. Here's what he said.

10 meter radios are completely legal for use by licensed amateurs on the 10 meter band. They are only illegal for import and marketing.

He stated that he wouldn't put it in writing that a private sale of a 10 meter radio is legal, but if it's used by a licensed amateur operator on 10 meters, nobody is going to know and the FCC won't do anything about it .

The end.

If you want the truth, go to the source.

Tom

4609. Getting ready for Shelby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 14:17:07 UTC
From: NI4L <ni4l@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3D63A0E3.9050003@charter.net>
Source record: 133636

Collins 300G plate transformer
part # 672-1360-00
Pr) 0-105-110-115-120-125 vac 60 hz 1 ph
S1)1200 vct @ 0.283 ccs
DM) Ht= 7.000 Wt = 7.500 Dt = 6.500
weight aprox 45 lbs
checks out fine
75.00+ shipping

This is a nice big plate transformer 14" tall x 11 3/4" wide x 7 1/2"
deep
120/220 primaries 3000v @ 3 to 4 amps center tapped
this baby is pretty heavy i'd say about 100 lbs or close to it.
100.00 + shipping

2 Jennings Vacuum Variable Capacitor

CVCJ-1000-5S - 7-1000pf, 5KV. ceramic
UCSL-500-5D2639 - 9-500pf, 5KV glass
Both work fine.
75.00 each + shipping

I have a nice KDK FM-6033 Six meter rig has tones, Memories 10 i
think It scans -/+ off sets,VFO, call channel.
5/25 watts works perfect looks good. Have mic, power cord and mounting
bracket for it.
125.00+shipping

Oil Caps

1-10mf@1500vdc
1- 2mf@5000vdc
4-1mf@2000vdc
2-1mf@3600vdc
2-1mf@600vdc
4- 250mf@450vdc screw in caps computer grade
make me an offer on these

I have a mobile amp Pride 100 Bi Linear amplifier says 10-80 meters on
the front
has ssb/am preamp
75.00 + shipping

Also Have a 10 METER 2 pill amp About 60-75 watts output with a 10 amp
13.8 vdc power supply built in so you could run a mobile in the house on it.
100.00 + shipping

H card and rca receiver
RCA DRD222RD
with a "H" card. It is a subscription card never been hacked. Org.
owners had cablevision ran and put it away in the closet. been there
since 97
Have remote. Also have a dish if you need it .
would like 125.00 + shipping

Dentron Clipperton L
This amp is a 10!
new caps (8) 470@450v
New board for caps
New full wave HV-8 high voltage rectifiers (2)
New Plate Choke
New Plate cap resistors
New Doorknobs
New Band switch
New Omega electronics Tuned input board
New Powercoat on the cabinet
New Choke
New EQ Resistors
4 full output Taylor 572-B tubes
Have manual and paper work from omega for tuned input board.
This amp is better than new.
650.00 + shipping
thanks for reading
chris NI4L

--
NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
Made with the same components used by our military forces today
Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/

4610. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 14:30:27 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <7uN89.4744$Fj7.265630919@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133637

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:MYD89.94811$m91.4608582@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
> "www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187>
>
> > surely your joking about 313? how long have you been a ham???
>
> > Tim Nebo
> >
> > http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>
> A long time
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>
>
>

Since he's been a ham for a long time,
I'm surprised nobody's invited him for Easter
dinner.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

4611. Re: NE ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 14:30:27 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <7uN89.4743$Fj7.265630919@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133638

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:KFD89.114194$Fw3.4838977@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
He may be a trucker passing
> thru NE Ohio and want a good cb shop in the area, perhaps he is moving to
> that area no you had to troll him.
>
>

Spoken like a true expert on trolling.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

4612. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 14:30:27 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <7uN89.4745$Fj7.265630919@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133639

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020820234119.986$MG@newsreader.com...
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020820232525.744$Gw@newsreader.com...
> >
> > > > > > Thanks for the tip,fuck nut.
> >
> > > > >>That would be "tip, fucknut!"
> >
> > > > I'm not talking about the tip that ticlkes your throat.
> >
> > >>As your buddy Landshark
> >
> You're not my buddy,and you're not Landshark.
>
> --
> Go#40
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

As usual, George is talking about me, and I
never even said anything in this thread about him.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

4613. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 14:39:38 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <KCN89.2481$cp7.115758324@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133640

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ak062n$1eo9bb$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> Hi all,
>
> I still hadn't gotten a response from Ray Laforge at the FCC lab to my
email
> questioning whether or not certain 10 meter radios are legal for use &
sale
> by hams, so I decided to call him this morning. Here's what he said.
>
> 10 meter radios are completely legal for use by licensed amateurs on the
10
> meter band. They are only illegal for import and marketing.
>
> He stated that he wouldn't put it in writing that a private sale of a 10
> meter radio is legal, but if it's used by a licensed amateur operator on
10
> meters, nobody is going to know and the FCC won't do anything about it .
>
> The end.
>
> If you want the truth, go to the source.
>
> Tom
>

Well thank your for your work on
finding out the truth, but it was a lot of
work to just have them say "we never
said that" But again.......Thanks!!!!

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

4614. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 14:44:20 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ak090i01tml@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133641

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ak062n$1eo9bb$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> Hi all,
>
> I still hadn't gotten a response from Ray Laforge at the FCC lab to my
email
> questioning whether or not certain 10 meter radios are legal for use &
sale
> by hams, so I decided to call him this morning. Here's what he said.
>
> 10 meter radios are completely legal for use by licensed amateurs on the
10
> meter band. They are only illegal for import and marketing.
>
> He stated that he wouldn't put it in writing that a private sale of a 10
> meter radio is legal, but if it's used by a licensed amateur operator on
10
> meters, nobody is going to know and the FCC won't do anything about it .
>
> The end.
>
> If you want the truth, go to the source.
>
> Tom
>

The key in all of this is having that license. You can build, sell and trade
all the radios you want.
But if you do not have the proper authority to use it, you pay a fine.

Those illegal radios, the ones that are overpowered, and easily convertible
to 11 meters, are the ones that make use on 11 meters illegal.
Likewise, transmitting on an unauthorized frequency gets you in trouble.

At various ham fests, you'll find radios of all kinds for sale. Nothing
prevents you from having a radio capable of transmitting on police
frequencies. But if you do it, you'll soon find out just how illegal it is.

If you know radio electronics good enough, you can build your own radio. If
it transmits on ham frequencies, you still need a license to do so. And the
proper type of license for that frequency.
You can build your own CB radio if you want. But you couldn't market it
unless it was type acceptable.

Possesion of "illegal radios" is not so much illegal. It is how you use them
that makes them illegal.

Just like anything else, it is how you use it that makes it illegal.

4615. Re: length of coax for SWR not important

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 15:08:54 UTC
From: BillS <BillS@austin.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3D63AD06.4DDDE70A@austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133697

It won't affect the real operating SWR of the antenna/cable system, but
cable length will affect your ability to accurately measure the SWR.

http://www.wenzel.com/documents/swr.html

However, you are correct also. Once the system is tuned, keep the cable
as short as possible to minimize power loss UNLESS the cable is designed
to function as a counterpoise element for the antenna.

http://www.empusa.clara.net/radio/index.htm

BillS

"Scott (Unit 69)" wrote:

> Twistedhed wrote:
> > and see if I can learn how to trim the
> > coax to the proper length <g>.
>
> Connect coax to radio. Unspool enough to reach the antenna.
> Unroll about 3 more feet. Connect to antenna. Adjust
> antenna for SWR of 1.5:1 or about. When there on operating
> frequency, pack tools and get off roof before you burn!

4616. OT - The Handyman and the Rabbi

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 15:13:35 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <E4O89.7223$pv6.792771@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 133642

The Handyman and the Rabbi

A handyman working for a Shul in Allentown, PA
asked for a raise and was turned down.
He decided to quit and went out to look for work.

First he went to a Catholic church and was told
that in order to work there he would have to
answer one question. The priest asked,
"Where was Jesus born?" The man answered,
"Pittsburgh," and was thrown out.

He then went to a Baptist church. The minister
told him that in order to get a job there he would
have to answer a question. He was asked,
"Where was Jesus born?" The man answered,
"Philadelphia." He was tossed out.

Walking away he met the rabbi who was looking for
him.
The rabbi exclaimed, "The board approved your
raise. Please come back immediately."
The man said to the rabbi, "I will come back only
if you answer a question. "Where was Jesus born?"
The rabbi says, "Bethlehem."

"Darn," cries the man. "I knew it was somewhere in
Pennsylvania."

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red
Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

4617. OT- The Ugly Frog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 15:18:01 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <P8O89.7225$pv6.792942@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 133643

An older lady was somewhat lonely, and decided that she needed a pet to keep her company.

So off to the pet shop she went. Forlornly, she searched. Nothing seemed to catch her interest,
except this one ugly frog. As she walked by the barrel he was in, he looked up and winked at
her!

He whispered, "I'm lonely too, buy me and you won't be sorry."

The old Lady figured, what the heck, as she hadn't found anything else.

So, she bought the frog and went to her car.

Driving down the road the frog whispered to her, "Kiss me, you won't be sorry." So, the old
lady figured what the heck, and kissed the frog.

Immediately the frog turned into an absolutely gorgeous, sexy, handsome, young prince.

Then the prince kissed her back, and you know what the old lady turned into?

Come on, guess.....

The first motel she could find.

(She's old, not dead!)

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

4618. Re: I got another GOLDMINE of NOS CB stuff AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 15:31:54 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <9ic7mu8u77pm9t5ujps39on5c49rir1kb5@4ax.com>
Source record: 133644

$30 AND da Belvedere !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On 21 Aug 2002 02:12:28 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

>Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote:
>> Twice within the last few years, I have been blessed BY GOD to get a
>> bunch of NOS CB items from the past from stores that did CB, TV, car
>> stereo and whatever else they worked on. CB was NOT their MAIN "bread
>> & butter" business, so they tended to keep OLD items longer without
>> sale. One place was in biz over 50 years, and the recent one I got
>> last pm was in biz since @ least 1976 from what I can tell on the age
>> of the items.
>>
>> SO if anyone wants any OLD NOS CB items from the past 26 years, I MAY
>> have it ! I don't have a lot, but I have some. I will list a few
>> items here quickly that I'm looking to sell to re-coop $$ that I paid
>> for to get these items. E mail me back and remove HATEspam from the
>> address or truth@planetc.com and put CB somewhere in the subject line.
>>
>> The 1st item is a NOS brand new in the box & BAG--a Turner hand power
>> mic M +2/U ! Brownish mic wiring book with it (to tell it's age).
>> The curly cord goes right to the mic head, which would lead me to
>> believe that it is used, but it appears to be brand new in da box,
>> since it has the origional black stopper between the mic key and the
>> mic! Looks NEW too! Did these come with a battery? I better look
>> inside to remove it!
>>
>> A NOS TUG9-D104 base mic. "Conneaut Technologies" on warr. card. I'd
>> have to open bottom to see mfg. date, but I guess 15-20 years old NOS.
>> I Also have a NOS GOLD D104 Bicentennial edition from '76 that the
>> company says is worth over $500 too for sale.
>>
>> I got a bunch of those CDE alternator filters that go under the hood
>> that I haven't seen in years. Also got some different "flip mounts"
>> than the ones I already have, the Valor ones. Got a bunch of Valor
>> 101HD (it's really a 101cpw mount) for OLD ford pick up truck mirrors.
>>
>> CB BAY is now open. E mail me the highest price you will pay for the
>> above items and IF you want something from the past that I didn't
>> mention, E MAIL ME and ASK ME if I have it. I have amassed an
>> incredible variety of CB items over my 23 years and more of being a 1
>> man operation CB shop. Let me know what you are looking for!
>> Dave!
>>

>I'll give ya 30 bucks for the lot,you pay shipping.<g>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4619. Re: I got another GOLDMINE of NOS CB stuff AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 15:35:18 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <9lc7muomsddjncl7hitnbs74dcmclsaquo@4ax.com>
Source record: 133645

The curling part on the cord went right to the mic head--I thought
some straight cord should be @ the head like other bics, but this one
has the curl go right to the head of it.

I also have a Shakesphere 388 CB boat antenna in it too, NOS !

Get a JOB so you can afford more than $1 !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On Tue, 20 Aug 2002 21:23:02 -0500, "John Smith"
<spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote:

>
>"Dave or Debby" <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message
>news:6m75mu4jv6n4vg08ho9rbk5rctq4pq1j09@4ax.com...
>> Twice within the last few years, I have been blessed BY GOD to get a
>> bunch of NOS CB items from the past from stores that did CB, TV, car
>> stereo and whatever else they worked on. CB was NOT their MAIN "bread
>> & butter" business, so they tended to keep OLD items longer without
>> sale. One place was in biz over 50 years, and the recent one I got
>> last pm was in biz since @ least 1976 from what I can tell on the age
>> of the items.
>>
>> SO if anyone wants any OLD NOS CB items from the past 26 years, I MAY
>> have it ! I don't have a lot, but I have some. I will list a few
>> items here quickly that I'm looking to sell to re-coop $$ that I paid
>> for to get these items. E mail me back and remove HATEspam from the
>> address or truth@planetc.com and put CB somewhere in the subject line.
>>
>> The 1st item is a NOS brand new in the box & BAG--a Turner hand power
>> mic M +2/U ! Brownish mic wiring book with it (to tell it's age).
>> The curly cord goes right to the mic head, which would lead me to
>> believe that it is used, but it appears to be brand new in da box,
>> since it has the origional black stopper between the mic key and the
>> mic! Looks NEW too! Did these come with a battery? I better look
>> inside to remove it!
>
>IIRC, the blue curly cord was standard on those mikes. had a couple of them.
>
>
>
>>
>> A NOS TUG9-D104 base mic. "Conneaut Technologies" on warr. card. I'd
>> have to open bottom to see mfg. date, but I guess 15-20 years old NOS.
>> I Also have a NOS GOLD D104 Bicentennial edition from '76 that the

>> company says is worth over $500 too for sale.
>>
>> I got a bunch of those CDE alternator filters that go under the hood
>> that I haven't seen in years. Also got some different "flip mounts"
>> than the ones I already have, the Valor ones. Got a bunch of Valor
>> 101HD (it's really a 101cpw mount) for OLD ford pick up truck mirrors.
>>
>> CB BAY is now open. E mail me the highest price you will pay for the
>> above items and IF you want something from the past that I didn't
>> mention, E MAIL ME and ASK ME if I have it. I have amassed an
>> incredible variety of CB items over my 23 years and more of being a 1
>> man operation CB shop. Let me know what you are looking for!
>> Dave!
>>
>
>$1 for all! not a dime more!
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4620. Re: Francis Whips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 15:38:22 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <tsc7mu4vs29cge9qeg8acaf7p2ldm0jrj7@4ax.com>
Source record: 133646

ARE these both the same length? IF they are, then NO DIFFERENCE in
the antennas performance OR power handling capability, which should be
500 watts. THE COLOR does NOT determine what power it can handle!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On Tue, 20 Aug 2002 21:44:41 GMT, "Steve McQueen"
<madmac51@swbell.net> wrote:

>Is there any difference between the Francis CB28 Wheeler Dealer and the CB50
>Amazer other than color? I'm going to go with a corner bumper mount on my
>Tahoe for now. Not brave enough to drill the roof, yet.
>
>Thanks,
>
>Steve
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4621. Re: Consumer Product Safety Commission regs on CB Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 15:50:34 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3fd7mucs5irrdnchtd9p3nfbvt07n97sjd@4ax.com>
Source record: 133698

WHAT a bunch of bureaucratic insurance industry DRIVVEL ! What it
doesn't say is that THIS nonsence is bacause of Lawywers, Judges and
the insurance companies came up with BECAUSE of DRUNKS & DRUGGIES in
the 70's that WERE stoned whjen they put up their metal ground plane
CB antennas & got killed because of THEIR own SIN. Now we have to
suffer with OVER PRICED big stick JUNK ! (BIG STICK PRICES WENT UP A
lot MORE THAN $10 !
Dave!!

On Wed, 21 Aug 2002 01:57:27 GMT, "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
wrote:

>
>"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:YkC89.119980$Yd.5294232@twister.austin.rr.com...
>>
>> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
>> news:zDB89.80681$eK6.2620219@twister.austin.rr.com...
>> >
>> > "'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message
>news:3D629F78.6F6ED696@icok.net...
>> > >
>> > >
>> > > Randy,
>> > > I'm afraid that just isn't true. There does
>> > > have to be a warning on the antenna, but there's
>> > > no law against selling them in the USA.
>> > > 'Doc
>> >
>> > Damn Doc. You gonna make me go dig? <g>
>> >
>> >
>>
>> This is in PDF format Doc, but it's all there. You are mistaken.
>>
>>
>>
>> http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_00/16cfr1204_00.html
>>
>>
>
>
>239
>
>Consumer Product Safety Commission ï¿½ 1204.1

>
>PART 1204-SAFETY STANDARD
>
>FOR OMNIDIRECTIONAL CITIZENS
>
>BAND BASE STATION ANTENNAS
>
>Subpart A-The Standard
>
>Sec.
>
>1204.1 Scope of the standard.
>
>1204.2 Definitions.
>
>1204.3 Requirements.
>
>1204.4 Electric shock protection tests.
>
>1204.5 Manufacturer's instructions.
>
>1204.6 Findings.
>
>Subpart B-Certification
>
>1204.11 General.
>
>1204.12 Definitions.
>
>1204.13 Certificate of compliance.
>
>1204.14 Certification tests.
>
>1204.15 Qualification testing.
>
>1204.16 Production testing.
>
>1204.17 Records.
>
>FIGURES 1 THROUGH 4
>
>AUTHORITY: Secs. 2, 3, 5, 7, 9, 14, 16, 19, 25,
>
>Pub. L. 92-573, 86 Stat. 1207, 1208, 1211-17, 1220,
>
>as amended Pub. L. 95-319, sec. 1, 92 Stat. 386,
>
>Pub. L. 94-284, 90 Stat. 503; 15 U.S.C. 2051,
>
>2052, 2054, 2056, 2058, 2063, 2065, 2068, 2074.

>
>SOURCE: 47 FR 36201, Aug. 19, 1982, unless
>
>otherwise noted.
>
>Subpart A-The Standard
>
>ï¿½ 1204.1 Scope of the standard.
>
>(a) General. This subpart A of part
>
>1204 is a consumer product safety
>
>standard which prescribes safety requirements
>
>for Citizens Band
>
>omnidirectional base station antennas.
>
>The standard is intended to reduce the
>
>risk of electrocution or serious injuries
>
>occurring if the antenna contacts an
>
>electric power line while the antenna is
>
>being put up or taken down. One way
>
>that this can be accomplished is to insulate
>
>the antenna so that if it contacts
>
>the power line, there is less of a
>
>likelihood that a harmful electric current
>
>will be transmitted from the
>
>power line through the antenna and
>
>mast and ultimately through a person
>
>holding the antenna mast. Another
>
>possible way to provide this protection
>
>is to incorporate an insulating barrier
>
>between the antenna and the mast or

>
>other supporting structure, so that a
>
>harmful electric current will not pass
>
>from the antenna to a person in contact
>
>with the mast. (If this alternative
>
>were chosen, the feed cable from the
>
>antenna would have to be insulated or
>
>otherwise protected so that it would
>
>not provide an electrical path to the
>
>mast or a person touching the cable.)
>
>(b) Description of the standard-(1) Performance
>
>tests. The standard describes
>
>two performance tests to determine if
>
>the means chosen by the manufacturer
>
>to protect against the shock hazard
>
>will provide adequate protection.
>
>(i) First, there is an Insulating Material
>
>Effectiveness Test (ï¿½ 1204.4(d) of
>
>this subpart) in which a high voltage
>
>electrode or test rod is brought into
>
>contact with the antenna at any point
>
>within the protection zone established
>
>by ï¿½ 1204.2(k) of this subpart to ensure
>
>that the insulation can withstand the
>
>voltage for 5 minutes without transmitting
>
>more than 5 milliamperes (mA)

>
>root-mean-square (rms) of electric current.
>
>(ii) The other test is an Antenna-
>
>Mast System Test (ï¿½ 1204.4(e) of this
>
>subpart) which is intended to determine
>
>whether the means provided to
>
>protect against electrocution will
>
>withstand the stress imposed when an
>
>antenna-mast system falls onto a
>
>power line. This test consists of mounting
>
>the antenna to be tested on a specified
>
>mast and allowing the assembled
>
>antenna and mast to fall onto a power
>
>line of 14,500 volts rms phase to ground.
>
>(2) Recommended materials. (i) Since a
>
>substantial portion of the accidents addressed
>
>by this standard occur when
>
>the antenna is being taken down after
>
>it has been installed in an outdoor environment
>
>for a number of years, the
>
>materials selected to provide protection
>
>from shock should be weather resistant.
>
>(ii) Although other materials may
>
>also be suitable, materials meeting the
>
>following criteria should be reasonably
>
>weather resistant:

>
>(A) Material composition includes an
>
>ultraviolet stabilizer or screen.
>
>(B) Heat resistance of 212 ?F (100 ?C)
>
>without loss of elasticity (ANSI/ASTM
>
>D 746-79).
>
>(C) Moisture absorption of not more
>
>than 0.2 percent (ANSI/ASTM D 570-77).
>
>(D) For heat shrinkable sleeving,
>
>temperature flexibility to 40 ?F (40
>
>?C) with no cracks (Mil Spec. MIL-I-
>
>23053C, 20 May 1976).
>
>VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00239 Fmt
>8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T
>
>240
>
>16 CFR Ch. II (1-1-01 Edition) ï¿½ 1204.1
>
>(3) Warning: Section 1204.5 of this
>
>subpart requires a statement in the instructions
>
>that the standard will not
>
>protect in every instance against electrocution
>
>caused by contact with
>
>power lines. This is because the standard
>
>is intended to provide protection
>
>for power line voltages of up to 14,500
>
>volts. Some power lines carry more
>
>voltage than this. In addition, not all
>

>portions of the antenna are required to
>
>be insulated, and the antenna's mast is
>
>not required to be insulated. If the
>
>power line were to contact one of these
>
>uninsulated areas, an electrocution
>
>could occur. Furthermore, when the
>
>antenna was manufactured it may not
>
>in fact have complied with the standard,
>
>or the insulation may have deteriorated
>
>or been damaged since the antenna
>
>was manufactured. In addition,
>
>the insulation cannot withstand high
>
>voltages indefinitely, and, after a period
>
>of time, the current may penetrate
>
>the insulation. Therefore, even if a
>
>harmful amount of current is not
>
>transmitted immediately, the user
>
>should not attempt to remove an antenna
>
>that falls into electric power
>
>lines, since the insulation could break
>
>down while the antenna is being removed.
>
>For these reasons, persons handling
>
>these antennas should ensure
>
>that the antennas are kept away from
>
>power lines so that the antenna cannot
>

>contact the line while being transported,
>
>installed, or removed, even if
>
>the antenna is dropped. The Commission
>
>recommends that antennas be located
>
>at least twice the combined
>
>length of the antenna and mast from
>
>the nearest power line.
>
>(c) Scope. (1) Except as noted below,
>
>the standard applies to all
>
>omnidirectional CB base station antennas
>
>that are consumer products and are
>
>manufactured or imported on or after
>
>May 24, 1983.
>
>(2) The Commission may extend the
>
>effective date of the standard for as
>
>long as an additional 90 days for any
>
>firm which has 750 employees or fewer
>
>and, is not a subsidiary or division of a
>
>firm having more than 750 employees,
>
>and which manufactures or imports
>
>products subject to the standard, upon
>
>written application, addressed to the
>
>Associate Executive Director for Compliance
>
>and Administrative litigation,
>
>Consumer Product Safety Commission,
>

>Washington, D.C. 20207, received not
>
>later than January 17, 1983. An application
>
>for extension of the effective date
>
>shall:
>
>(i) Identify the requesting firm as a
>
>manufacturer or importer of products
>
>subject to the standard.
>
>(ii) State the total number of employees
>
>of the firm, including all employees
>
>of any subsidiary or division,
>
>and all employees of any firm of which
>
>the requesting firm is a subsidiary or
>
>division.
>
>(iii) Request extension of the effective
>
>date to a specific date not later
>
>than May 27, 1983.
>
>(iv) Explain why the requested extension
>
>of the effective date is needed.
>
>(v) Describe all activities undertaken
>
>by the requesting firm to achieve compliance
>
>with the requirements of the
>
>standard.
>
>(vi) State that the requesting firm
>
>will market complying products after
>
>the extended effective date.
>

>(3) The Associate Executive Director
>
>for Compliance and Administrative
>
>Litigation will evaluate each request
>
>for extension of the effective date. The
>
>following criteria will be used in determining
>
>whether to grant an application
>
>for extension of the effective date:
>
>(i) Does the application demonstrate
>
>that the requesting firm cannot meet
>
>the general effective date,
>
>(ii) Does the application demonstrate
>
>that the requesting firm has made a
>
>good faith effort to achieve compliance
>
>with the requirements of the standard
>
>by the general effective date.
>
>(iii) Does the application demonstrate
>
>that the firm is likely to
>
>produce or market complying products
>
>if the requested extension is granted.
>
>(4) The Associate Executive Director
>
>will advise each requesting firm in
>
>writing if the requested extension is
>
>granted or denied. If the Associate Executive
>
>Director for Compliance and
>
>Administrative Litigation denies a request
>

>for extension of the effective
>
>date, the firm may request the Commission
>
>to reconsider the denial.
>
>(5) Section 3(a)(1) of the Consumer
>
>Product Safety Act (CPSA, 15 U.S.C.
>
>2052(a)(1) defines the term consumer
>
>product as an ''article, or component
>
>part thereof, produced or distributed (i)
>
>VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00240 Fmt
>8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T
>
>241
>
>Consumer Product Safety Commission ï¿½ 1204.3
>
>for sale to a consumer for use in or
>
>around a permanent or temporary
>
>household or residence, a school, in
>
>recreation, or otherwise, or (ii) for the
>
>personal use, consumption or enjoyment
>
>of a consumer in or around a permanent
>
>or temporary household or residence,
>
>a school, in recreation, or otherwise.''
>
>The term does not include
>
>products that are not customarily produced
>
>or distributed for sale to, or for
>
>the use or consumption by, or enjoyment
>
>of, a consumer. A limited exception
>
>from coverage of the standard is

>
>provided by section 18(a) of the CPSA,
>
>15 U.S.C. 2067, for certain products intended
>
>for export and meeting the requirements
>
>of section 18(b) of the
>
>CPSA.
>
>(d) Prohibited acts. It is unlawful to
>
>manufacture for sale, offer for sale, distribute
>
>in commerce, or import into
>
>the United States any product subject
>
>to this standard that does not conform
>
>with the standard.
>
>(Sec. 9(h), Pub. L. 92-573, 86 Stat. 1207,
>
>as amended, Pub. L. 95-319, 92 Stat. 386,
>
>Pub. L. 95-631, 92 Stat. 3742, Pub. L. 96-
>
>373, 94 Stat. 1366, Pub. L. 97-35, 95 Stat.
>
>703, 15 U.S.C. 2058(h))
>
>[47 FR 36201, Aug. 19, 1982, as amended at 48
>
>FR 29683, June 28, 1983]
>
>ï¿½ 1204.2 Definitions.
>
>In addition to the definitions given in
>
>section 3 of the Consumer Product
>
>Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2052), the following
>
>definitions apply for the purposes
>
>of this standard.
>
>(a) Antenna system means a device for

>
>radiating and/or receiving radio waves.
>
>Where they are present, the antenna
>
>system includes active elements,
>
>ground plane elements, matching networks,
>
>element-connecting hardware,
>
>mounting hardware, feed cable, and
>
>other functional or non-functional elements.
>
>(b) Antenna-mast system means the
>
>completed assembly of the antenna
>
>system and the mast.
>
>(c) Base station means a transmitter
>
>and/or receiver in a fixed location.
>
>(d) Citizens Band (CB) means the frequency
>
>band allocated for citizen's
>
>band radio service.
>
>(e) Current means the total rate at
>
>which electrical charge is transported
>
>through the antenna-mast system in
>
>response to the applied test voltage, including
>
>both capacitive and resistive
>
>components.
>
>(f) Electrical breakdown means a failure
>
>of the insulating material used
>
>with the antenna, such that in the Antenna-
>
>Mast System Test of ï¿½ 1204.4(e) of

>
>this subpart, the current flowing
>
>through the antenna-mast system is
>
>sufficient to actuate the automatic internal
>
>cut-off of the high voltage
>
>source or exceeds the current that can
>
>be measured by the current monitoring
>
>device.
>
>(g) Feed cable means the electrical
>
>cable that connects the antenna system
>
>to the transmitter and/or receiver.
>
>(h) Field joint means any joint between
>
>antenna system sections or
>
>parts, or between the antenna system
>
>and the mast, that is not assembled by
>
>the antenna manufacturer.
>
>(i) Insulating material and insulation
>
>mean a material that has a very small
>
>electric conductivity.
>
>(j) Omnidirectional antenna means an
>
>antenna system designed or intended
>
>primarily to exhibit approximately
>
>equal signal transmission or reception
>
>capabilities in all horizontal directions
>
>simultaneously.
>
>(k) Protection zone means that portion

>
>of an antenna system which can
>
>contact the test rod during the Insulating
>
>Material Effectiveness Test or
>
>can contact the power line during the
>
>Antenna-Mast System Test. This zone
>
>consists of those elements of the antenna
>
>system extending from the uppermost
>
>tip of an upright antenna
>
>downward to a point that is 12.0 inches
>
>(30.5 cm) above the top of the mast
>
>when the antenna system is mounted
>
>according to the manufacturer's instructions.
>
>(l) Voltage, phase to ground, means
>
>that voltage which exists between a
>
>single phase of a three phase power system
>
>and ground.
>
>ï¿½ 1204.3 Requirements.
>
>All omnidirectional CB base station
>
>antennas are required to comply with
>
>the following requirements.
>
>(a) Field joints. Parts or accessories
>
>intended to protect a field joint so that
>
>it will meet any other requirement of
>
>this standard, and that must be put
>
>into place by the person assembling the

>
>VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00241 Fmt
>8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T
>
>242
>
>16 CFR Ch. II (1-1-01 Edition) ï¿½ 1204.4
>
>antenna system, shall be integral with,
>
>or not readily removable from, at least
>
>one of the antenna sections or parts involved
>
>in the joint or shall be necessary
>
>in order to complete the joint.
>
>(b) Feed cable. When compliance with
>
>the requirements of this standard depends
>
>on the insulating or other properties
>
>of the feed cable, at least 50 feet
>
>of the cable shall be supplied by the
>
>manufacturer with the antenna system.
>
>(c) Electrical protection. Antenna systems
>
>shall be manufactured so that if
>
>all points within the protection zone of
>
>an antenna system were tested by the
>
>Insulating Material Effectiveness Test
>
>of ï¿½ 1204.4(d) of this subpart, and the
>
>Antenna-Mast System Test of ï¿½ 1204.4(e)
>
>of this subpart, the current measured
>
>by the current monitoring device connected
>
>to the mast would be no greater
>

>than 5.0 milliamperes rms and no electrical
>
>breakdown of the antenna system's
>
>insulating material would occur.
>
>ï¿½ 1204.4 Electric shock protection
>
>tests.
>
>(a) Safety precautions. For tests involving
>
>high voltage, the following recommended
>
>minimum safety precautions
>
>should be followed:
>
>(1) At least one test operator and one
>
>test observer (preferably one with
>
>cardiopulmonary resusitation (CPR)
>
>training) should be present at every
>
>test.
>
>(2) The test area (outdoors or indoors)
>
>should secure against accidental
>
>intrusion by other persons during tests.
>
>(3) Test areas located indoors should
>
>be ventilated to avoid buildup of potentially
>
>hazardous concentrations of gaseous
>
>byproducts which may result from
>
>the tests.
>
>(4) Fire extinguishers should be easily
>
>accessible in case materials on the
>
>test specimen ignite.
>

>(5) ''High Voltage Test'' warning devices
>
>should be activated before start
>
>of a test.
>
>(6) Emergency phone numbers should
>
>be posted.
>
>(b) Test conditions. (1) Specimens. All
>
>specimens shall be tested as supplied
>
>by the manufacturer, following assembly
>
>in accordance with the manufacturer's
>
>instructions except as provided in
>
>paragraph (e)(2) of this section.
>
>(2) Temperature. Ambient temperature
>
>shall be in the range from 32 ?F (0
>
>?C) to 104 ?F (40 ?C)
>
>(3) Relative humidity. Ambient relative
>
>humidity shall be in the range of
>
>from 10 to 90 percent.
>
>(4) Voltage. Voltage, phase to ground,
>
>of the power line or test probe shall be
>
>14.5 kilovolts rms, 60 hertz.
>
>(5) Conditioning. Prior to testing, all
>
>specimens shall be exposed for at least
>
>4 hours to the ambient test area environment.
>
>(c) Test equipment. (1) High voltage
>
>source capable of delivering at least 15
>

>mA rms at 14.5 kV rms, 60 Hz. The
>
>source should have an automatic internal
>
>cut-off actuated by a preset current
>
>level.
>
>(2) Instrumentation to measure the
>
>rms voltage applied to the antenna system.
>
>(3) Current monitoring device to indicate
>
>hazardous components of the total
>
>rms current flowing to ground through
>
>the mast. One configuration of the circuitry
>
>for the current monitoring device
>
>(shown in Figure 1) consists of
>
>three parallel branches as follows. One
>
>branch consists of a resistor in series
>
>with a true-rms milliammeter with a
>
>maximum error of 5% of the reading in
>
>the frequency range of 50Hz to 10MHz
>
>(the total of the resistor and the internal
>
>resistance of the milliammeter is
>
>to be 1000 ohms). A parallel branch consists
>
>of a 1000 ohm resistor in series
>
>with a 0.08 microfarad capacitor. Another
>
>parallel branch should consist of
>
>a spark gap rated at 50 to 100 volts as
>
>a meter protection device. A different
>

>current monitoring device may be used
>
>if the measured value of the rms current
>
>corresponds to that indicated by
>
>the configuration described above.
>
>(4) For the Insulating Material Effectiveness
>
>Test:
>
>(i) High voltage electrode or test rod
>
>consisting of 1/4 in. (6.4 mm) diameter
>
>aluminum rod.
>
>(ii) Support jig, structure, or hanger
>
>made of insulating material which is
>
>capable of holding antenna system test
>
>specimens electrically isolated from all
>
>surrounding structures or ground.
>
>(5) For the Antenna-Mast System
>
>Test, a high voltage test facility, as
>
>VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00242 Fmt
>8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T
>
>243
>
>Consumer Product Safety Commission ï¿½ 1204.5
>
>shown in Figures 2 and 3, which includes
>
>a single power line spanning between
>
>two poles 95 to 105 feet (29 to 32
>
>meters) apart, a tensioning device to
>
>adjust the cable sag to from 9 to 12
>
>inches (23 to 30 cm), and a pivot fixture

>
>(Figure 2), for holding the base of an
>
>antenna-mast system, which can be
>
>moved horizontally to adjust the distance
>
>to the cable. The cable consists
>
>of 1/4 in. diameter 7 by 19 galvanized
>
>steel aircraft cable. The low point of
>
>the cable shall be between 28 and 29
>
>feet (8.5 to 8.8 meters) above a horizontal
>
>plane through the pivot axis of
>
>the pivot fixture.
>
>(d) Insulating Material Effectiveness
>
>Test procedure. (1) A short piece of typical
>
>tubular mast shall be attached to
>
>the antenna system to be tested, in accordance
>
>with mounting instructions
>
>provided with the antenna system by
>
>the manufacturer.
>
>(2) If a feed cable is provided with the
>
>antenna system, it shall be used in the
>
>test. If no cable is provided with the
>
>antenna system, a RG-213 cable shall
>
>be used in the test (Mil Spec. MIL-C-17/
>
>75C, 15 March 1977). In either case, the
>
>cable shall be connected to the antenna
>
>system, installed parallel to the mast,

>
>and secured by taping or similar means
>
>at one point on the mast. The side of
>
>the bottom end of the cable also shall
>
>be secured to the mast.
>
>(3) With the antenna system properly
>
>supported and isolated from ground
>
>and with the current monitoring device
>
>connected to the mast, the test rod
>
>shall be connected to the high voltage
>
>source and brought into contact with
>
>the antenna system at any point within
>
>the protection zone (see ï¿½ 1204.2(k) of
>
>this subpart). For each contact point,
>
>the voltage shall be increased from 0 to
>
>14.5 kV at a rate of at least 2 kV per
>
>second and held at 14.5 kV for 5.0 minutes.
>
>Current shall be monitored and
>
>the maximum recorded.
>
>(e) Antenna-Mast System Test procedure.
>
>(1) The antenna system to be tested
>
>shall be attached to a mast in accordance
>
>with mounting instructions
>
>provided by the manufacturer. The
>
>mast shall be assembled of commercially
>
>available 11/4 inch outside diameter

>
>16 gauge tubular steel sections,
>
>commonly sold for antenna-mast installations
>
>in 5 and 10 feet lengths. The
>
>slip joints between the mast sections
>
>shall be secured (as with screws) to
>
>prohibit rotational or longitudinal
>
>movement at the joint. The length of
>
>the mast shall be such that when it is
>
>mounted in the pivot fixture of the
>
>high voltage test facility, the distance
>
>from the pivot to the uppermost point
>
>on the antenna system is 41.75 to 42.25
>
>feet (12.7 to 12.9 meters).
>
>(2) If a feed cable is provided with the
>
>antenna system, it shall be used in the
>
>test. If no cable is provided with the
>
>antenna system, a RG-213 feed cable
>
>shall be used in the test for specification
>
>of an RG-213 cable see (Mil. Spec.
>
>MIL-C-17/75C, 15 March 1977). In either
>
>case, the cable shall be connected to
>
>the antenna system, installed parallel
>
>to the mast, and secured by taping or
>
>similar means every two feet along the
>
>length of the mast. The side of the bottom

>
>end of the cable also shall be secured
>
>to the mast.
>
>(3) The antenna-mast system shall be
>
>mounted in the pivot fixture. The pivot
>
>fixture shall be adjusted so that the
>
>point of impact between the antenna
>
>and the power line takes place at any
>
>desired point within the antenna's protection
>
>zone. The antenna-mast system
>
>shall then be erected to a position of up
>
>to 5? from the vertical, leaning toward
>
>the simulated power line (see Figure 4).
>
>The antenna-mast system shall then be
>
>released and allowed to fall against the
>
>power line. The test may be performed
>
>with different test positions such that
>
>the antenna system flexes after impact
>
>and slides off the power line and or so
>
>that it remains in contact with the
>
>power line for 5.0 minutes. Current flow
>
>from the antenna-mast system to
>
>ground shall be monitored and recorded
>
>for each test.
>
>(f) Interpretation of Results. An antenna
>
>shall pass the Insulating Material

>
>Effectiveness Test or the Antenna-
>
>Mast System Test if no electrical
>
>breakdown occurs and if no current
>
>reading exceeds 5 mA rms.
>
>ï¿½ 1204.5 Manufacturer's instructions.
>
>(a) For all antennas covered under
>
>this part 1204, the following statement
>
>shall be included in the manufacturer's
>
>instructions, in addition to the material
>
>required by 16 CFR 1402.4(a)(1)(ii):
>
>VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00243 Fmt
>8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T
>
>244
>
>16 CFR Ch. II (1-1-01 Edition) ï¿½ 1204.6
>
>Under some conditions, this antenna may
>
>not prevent electrocution. Users should keep
>
>antenna away from any overhead wires. If
>
>antenna contacts a power line, any initial
>
>protection could fail at any time. IF ANTENNA
>
>NEARS ANY OVERHEAD WIRES,
>
>IMMEDIATELY LET GO, STAY AWAY, AND
>
>CALL UTILITY COMPANY.
>
>(b) This warning statement shall be
>
>in a separate paragraph immediately
>
>following the warning statement required
>

>by 16 CFR 1402.4(a)(1)(ii)(A).
>
>(c) This warning statement shall be
>
>legible and conspicuous and shall be in
>
>type that is at least as large as the
>
>largest type used on the remainder of
>
>the page, with the exception of the logo
>
>and any identification of the manufacturer,
>
>brand, model, or similar designations,
>
>and that is preferably no smaller
>
>than 10 point type.
>
>ï¿½ 1204.6 Findings.
>
>As required by section 9 (b) and (c) of
>
>the Consumer Product Safety Act, 15
>
>U.S.C. 2058 (b) and (c), the Commission
>
>makes the following findings:
>
>(a) The degree and nature of the risk of
>
>injury the rule is designed to reduce. (1)
>
>The rule addresses the risk of injury or
>
>death caused by electric shock
>
>occuring when the antenna comes into
>
>contact with electrical power lines
>
>while the antenna is being put up or
>
>taken down.
>
>(2) About 175 fatalities were estimated
>
>to be associated with
>

>omnidirectional CB antennas in 1976.
>
>The estimated number of fatalities declined
>
>to about 125 in 1977 and to about
>
>55 in 1978. Since then, the number of fatalities
>
>appears to have leveled off at
>
>about 45-50 each year. In addition to
>
>the 45-50 deaths, it is estimated that a
>
>somewhat greater number of injuries
>
>occur annually and that about half of
>
>them are serious enough to require surgery,
>
>amputation, skin grafts, etc. It is
>
>common for multiple deaths or injuries
>
>to occur in a single accident.
>
>(3) The Commission's staff has estimated
>
>that since 1979 about 20 percent
>
>of the accidents involved antennas less
>
>than a year old, resulting in about 8
>
>deaths in 1980.
>
>(4) Since a substantial portion of the
>
>accidents associated with these antennas
>
>occur when the antenna is being
>
>taken down after it has been installed
>
>in an outdoor environment for a number
>
>of years, the standard recommends
>
>that materials selected to provide protection
>

>from shock be weather resistant.
>
>(5) The standard specifies that protection
>
>shall be provided against
>
>voltages of 14,500 volts phase-toground.
>
>Voltages of this level or less
>
>are involved in 98 percent of the accidents
>
>and 95 percent of the total circuit
>
>mileage of distribution circuits.
>
>(b) The approximate number of consumer
>
>products, or types or classes thereof,
>
>subject to the rule. (1) The standard
>
>applies to omnidirectional CB base station
>
>antennas. The Commission estimates
>
>that there were approximately 5
>
>million omnidirectional base station
>
>antennas in use in 1981, and at that
>
>time as many as 75,000 of these antennas
>
>were expected to be sold each year
>
>for the next several years.
>
>(2) [Reserved]
>
>(c)(1) The need of the public for the
>
>consumer products subject to the rule.
>
>Omnidirectional CB base station antennas
>
>are used in non-mobile applications
>
>to obtain essentially uniform receiving
>

>and transmitting capabilities
>
>in all directions simultaneously. Although
>
>directional antennas can obtain
>
>greater reception and transmitting capabilities
>
>in one or more directions
>
>than can omnidirectionals, directionals
>
>are generally more expensive and must
>
>be oriented so that they point in the
>
>desired direction. Therefore,
>
>omnidirectional antennas are preferred
>
>by many base station operators, and
>
>they can also be used in conjunction
>
>with a directional antenna to locate
>
>another station to which the directional
>
>antenna can then be oriented.
>
>(2) CB stations are used by individuals
>
>as a communications device for
>
>both practical and personal enjoyment
>
>purposes. Some operators volunteer to
>
>monitor the commonly used and/or
>
>emergency channels for distress calls
>
>and summon aid where appropriate,
>
>relay messages, and aid local authorities
>
>and motorists in monitoring traffic
>
>conditions and accidents.
>

>(3) Although operators can fabricate
>
>their own antennas, and antennas
>
>made for other purposes can be adapted
>
>for CB use, for most operators there is
>
>VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00244 Fmt
>8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T
>
>245
>
>Consumer Product Safety Commission ï¿½ 1204.6
>
>no adequate substitute for the commercial
>
>CB base station antennas subject
>
>to this rule.
>
>(d) The probable effect of the rule upon
>
>the utility, cost, and availability of the
>
>product-(1) Utility. Tests performed for
>
>the Commission have shown that an
>
>external layer of insulation that will
>
>enable the antenna to comply with this
>
>standard can be provided that will have
>
>no significant effect on the performance
>
>of the antenna that cannot be
>
>compensated for by minor changes in
>
>the antenna. It is also likely that an
>
>insulated antenna's useful life would be
>
>somewhat longer than that of an
>
>uninsulated antenna. To the extent
>
>that manufacturers minimize the number

>
>of antenna elements in the protection
>
>zone, antennas should become less
>
>complex and bulky, and installation
>
>may also be eased. This may tend to
>
>make installation and removal of the
>
>antenna somewhat safer as well. If the
>
>isolation technique were used to comply
>
>with the standard, there should be
>
>no effect on the performance of the antenna.
>
>(2) Cost. For the simpler designs of
>
>omnidirectional CB base station antennas,
>
>the manufacturers' production
>
>costs will be increased by approximately
>
>20 percent, or $4 per antenna.
>
>For a few models, the production cost
>
>increase could be as much as 50 percent.
>
>Some models of antennas for
>
>which cost increases could be expected
>
>to be substantially greater will likely
>
>be discontinued. Some manufacturers
>
>already make antennas that either
>
>comply with the standard or can be
>
>made to do so with changes that involve
>
>no significant cost increases. The
>
>average rise in retail prices due to the

>
>standard is expected to be from 20 percent,
>
>or about $10 per antenna.
>
>(3) Availability. The 30 or more different
>
>models of omnidirectional CB
>
>base station antennas available to consumers
>
>in 1981 are expected to be reduced
>
>in number substantially, perhaps
>
>by as much as half, after product line
>
>changes are made to meet the standard.
>
>The difference among some of the
>
>models likely to be discontinued are
>
>small (often relating only to primarily
>
>cosmetic features that provide a certain
>
>degree of product differentiation
>
>but do not significantly affect performance).
>
>Changes in product lines may be
>
>discernible to some consumers, however,
>
>since different brands and models
>
>of antennas will tend to look more
>
>alike (i.e., without upper radials,
>
>''hats'' or other physical appendages
>
>previously incorporated). The availability
>
>of replacement components for
>
>older antennas may also be restricted
>
>somewhat if new, complying components

>
>are not compatible with some
>
>older models. Production of complying
>
>antennas is expected to be sufficient to
>
>satisfy demand; no overall ''shortage''
>
>of antennas is anticipated as a result of
>
>the standard. Sales will, instead, shift
>
>from relatively low levels for each of
>
>many models to relatively higher levels
>
>for fewer models.
>
>(e) Means of achieving the objective of
>
>the order while minimizing adverse effects
>
>on competition or disruption or dislocation
>
>of manufacturing and other commercial
>
>practices consistent with the public
>
>health and safety. (1) The standard may
>
>have significant adverse effects on
>
>competition among antenna producers.
>
>The additional costs associated with
>
>the standard, coupled with the recent
>
>history of decreasing sales, may cause
>
>a number of manufacturers, including
>
>one or two of the major producers, to
>
>abandon production of omnidirectional
>
>CB base station antennas. The standard
>
>is likely to impact most heavily on

>
>smaller manufacturers, which may
>
>have smaller and fewer capital sources
>
>from which to draw funds for product
>
>design and production changes and for
>
>product testing.
>
>(2) Concentration of sales among the
>
>two largest manufacturers will probably
>
>increase as a result of the standard.
>
>However, the shrinking size of the
>
>market itself may prompt some major
>
>firms to drop this product line. Companies
>
>currently making antennas that
>
>substantially comply with the standard
>
>will probably gain a significant shortrun
>
>competitive advantage over other
>
>producers whose products do not already
>
>comply with the standard's basic
>
>provisions.
>
>(3) Compliance with the standard
>
>may be relatively more burdensome for
>
>the smaller firms in the producing industry.
>
>Several small firms which entered
>
>the market in the early- and mid-
>
>1970's have already left the market due
>
>VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00245 Fmt

>8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T
>
>246
>
>16 CFR Ch. II (1-1-01 Edition) ï¿½ 1204.6
>
>to the overall decrease in demand for
>
>the product. Those that remain account
>
>for less than 10 percent of annual
>
>unit shipments. None of these small
>
>firms is expected to go out of business
>
>as a result of issuance of the standard
>
>because most also produce directional
>
>CB and other base and mobile communications
>
>antennas and equipment.
>
>However, the Commission anticipates
>
>that most of these small firms will
>
>probably discontinue omnidirectional
>
>CB base station antenna production, at
>
>least temporarily, until a supplier of
>
>complying components is found, or
>
>until a decision can be made about
>
>long-term prospects.
>
>(4) In order to minimize the adverse
>
>effects on competition and manufacturing
>
>and other commercial practices,
>
>the standard is a performance standard
>
>defined in terms of the factors the
>

>Commission determined to be significant
>
>for the protection of consumers.
>
>Thus, manufacturers have a maximum
>
>degree of flexibility in how to meet the
>
>standard, since the standard does not
>
>specify how the protection performance
>
>is to be obtained.
>
>(5) The Commission also considered
>
>alternative technical approaches to reducing
>
>or eliminating unreasonable
>
>risks of injury associated with
>
>omnidirectional CB base station antennas,
>
>including incorporation of provisions
>
>in the standard which would
>
>allow the antenna to meet its requirements
>
>by grounding. The Commission
>
>rejected this approach because of the
>
>absence of any practical means for a
>
>consumer to ensure that the ground
>
>system will be adequate to dissipate
>
>the large amounts of power involved in
>
>a powerline contact accident. Additionally,
>
>the Commission considered the
>
>possibility that the standard might require
>
>CB base station antennas to incorporate
>

>a device to sense the electromagnetic
>
>field of a powerline. The
>
>Commission rejected this alternative
>
>because of the cost involved in such an
>
>approach, and because consumers could
>
>install an antenna even though the
>
>presence of a powerline is indicated.
>
>(6) The Commission considered making
>
>the provisions of the standard less
>
>stringent and eliminating requirements
>
>applicable to the antenna's feed
>
>cable, in order to lessen the adverse
>
>impact of the standard on competition
>
>and manufacturing practices. However,
>
>it was determined that such changes to
>
>the standard would reduce the effectiveness
>
>of the standard and thus were
>
>not consistent with the public health
>
>and safety. Furthermore, these changes
>
>would not significantly reduce the adverse
>
>effects on competition and manufacturing
>
>practices. The elimination of
>
>requirements applicable to the feed
>
>cable would, with known technology,
>
>result in almost completely negating
>

>the benefits of the standard and is thus
>
>not consistent with the public health
>
>and safety.
>
>(7) The Commission also considered
>
>the possibility of issuing the requirements
>
>of the standard as a voluntary
>
>test method rather than as a mandatory
>
>standard. The Commission estimated
>
>that if the provisions of the
>
>standard were issued as a voluntary
>
>test method, the total cost of such a
>
>voluntary test method to consumers
>
>during the first year after issuance
>
>would be about 30 percent of the total
>
>cost to consumers expected to result
>
>from promulgation of a mandatory
>
>standard. However, the Commission estimated
>
>that a voluntary test method
>
>would prevent only about 25 percent of
>
>the deaths and injuries which may be
>
>avoided by issuance of a mandatory
>
>standard. The Commission declined to
>
>issue the provisions of the standard as
>
>a voluntary test method because it
>
>concluded that such an approach would
>

>not only prevent fewer deaths and injuries
>
>each year than a mandatory standard,
>
>but would also have a less favorable
>
>ratio of benefits to costs than a
>
>mandatory standard.
>
>(8) The Commission also considered
>
>the possibility of undertaking a joint
>
>effort with a trade association to inform
>
>all users of CB antennas of the
>
>dangers which can result from contact
>
>with overhead powerlines as an alternative
>
>to issuance of a mandatory
>
>standard. The Commission observed
>
>that this alternative would have a relatively
>
>small economic impact on the
>
>industry. The Commission also observed
>
>that extensive efforts to promote
>
>public awareness of the dangers
>
>of contacting overhead powerlines have
>
>been conducted in the past by the Commission,
>
>antenna manufacturers, and
>
>VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00246 Fmt
>8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T
>
>247
>
>Consumer Product Safety Commission ï¿½ 1204.11
>
>1 The Commission believes that, in the area

>
>of consumer product safety, it is not generally
>
>necessary or appropriate to assign a
>
>specific monetary value to human life. However,
>
>several studies on the costs of injuries
>
>and deaths have been conducted in recent
>
>years. Value-of-life estimates based on discounted
>
>future earnings and the willingnessto-
>
>pay approach range from about $200,000 to
>
>about $3 million. The estimated costs of the
>
>CB antenna standard per life saved fall below
>
>or within the range suggested by these
>
>value-of-life estimating methodologies.
>
>utility companies, and that electrocutions
>
>and serious injuries continue
>
>to occur during installation and removal
>
>of CB base station antennas. For
>
>this reason, the Commission concluded
>
>that a public information campaign
>
>would prevent fewer deaths and injuries
>
>than issuance of a mandatory
>
>standard, and rejected such a campaign
>
>as an alternative to issuance of the
>
>standard.
>
>(f) The rule, including its effective date,
>
>is reasonably necessary to eliminate or reduce

>
>an unreasonable risk of injury associated
>
>with the product. (1) The provisions
>
>of the standard constitute a related
>
>system of performance parameters
>
>which are needed as a group to
>
>ensure that the performance of new antennas
>
>will provide the degree of safety
>
>which the Commission has determined
>
>is reasonably necessary. Minor changes
>
>in the value of each parameter would
>
>not significantly reduce the costs of
>
>the standard, although in some cases
>
>they could substantially reduce the
>
>standard's effectiveness.
>
>(2) The Commission estimates that
>
>increased retail prices due to the
>
>standard will cost consumers up to
>
>about $750,000 per year. The Commission
>
>also estimates that the standard
>
>will prevent approximately 8 deaths
>
>and 8 or more injuries during the first
>
>year the standard is in effect. Thus, if
>
>the standard saves 8 lives per year, the
>
>cost of the standard will be about
>
>$94,000 for each life saved. 1

>
>(3) As to the benefits from reduced
>
>injuries, the Commission estimates
>
>that, if 8 injuries are prevented during
>
>the first year the standard is in effect,
>
>the actual costs saved by the accidents
>
>prevented by the standard will amount
>
>to up to $21,000 to $37,000, exclusive of
>
>pain, suffering, or disability. If a monetary
>
>factor for these less quantifiable
>
>components is included, annual injury
>
>reduction benefits could be about
>
>$288,000 to $1,680,000.
>
>(4) The effective date of the standard
>
>was selected after balancing the increased
>
>costs to manufacturers and
>
>consumers that are associated with
>
>shorter effective dates against the benefits
>
>to the public that would be caused
>
>by having the effective date as soon as
>
>possible.
>
>(5) The requirement for the cautionary
>
>statement in the instructions
>
>for the antenna is intended to ensure
>
>the effectiveness of the standard by
>
>discouraging any relaxation of present

>
>safety practices involving staying
>
>away from powerlines. Since instructions
>
>for this product are already required
>
>by 16 CFR part 1402, the additional
>
>statement should have little or
>
>no adverse economic impact.
>
>(6) After considering the costs and
>
>benefits associated with the standard,
>
>the Commission concludes that the
>
>standard, including its effective date,
>
>is reasonably necessary to eliminate or
>
>reduce an unreasonable risk of electric
>
>shock injury associated with
>
>omnidirectional CB base station antennas
>
>and that promulgation of the rule
>
>is in the public interest.
>
>Subpart B-Certification
>
>ï¿½ 1204.11 General.
>
>Section 14(a) of the Consumer Product
>
>Safety Act (''the act''), 15 U.S.C.
>
>2063(a), requires each manufacturer,
>
>private labeler, or importer of a product
>
>which is subject to a Consumer
>
>Product Safety Standard and which is
>
>distributed in commerce to issue a certificate

>
>of compliance with the applicable
>
>standard and to base that certificate
>
>upon a test of each item or upon
>
>a reasonable testing program. The purpose
>
>of this subpart B of part 1204 is to
>
>establish requirements that manufacturers
>
>and importers must follow to
>
>certify that their products comply with
>
>the Safety Standard for
>
>Omnidirectional CB base Station Antennas
>
>(16 CFR part 1204, subpart A).
>
>Private labelers of CB antennas subject
>
>to the standard need not issue a certificate
>
>of compliance if they have been
>
>furnished a certificate issued by the
>
>VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00247 Fmt
>8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T
>
>248
>
>16 CFR Ch. II (1-1-01 Edition) ï¿½ 1204.12
>
>manufacturer or importer of the antennas.
>
>This subpart B describes the minimum
>
>features of a reasonable testing
>
>program and includes requirements for
>
>recordkeeping.
>
>ï¿½ 1204.12 Definitions.
>

>In addition to the definitions set
>
>forth in section 3 of the act, and in
>
>ï¿½ 1204.2 of the standard, the following
>
>definitions shall apply to this subpart
>
>B of part 1204:
>
>(a) Private labeler means an owner of
>
>a brand or trademark which is used on
>
>the label of a CB antenna subject to
>
>the standard, which bears a private
>
>label as defined in section 3(a)(7) of the
>
>act, 15 U.S.C. 2052(a)(7).
>
>(b) Production interval means a period
>
>of time determined by the manufacturer
>
>or importer that is appropriate
>
>for conducting a test on one or more
>
>samples of the CB antennas produced
>
>during that period in order to provide a
>
>high degree of assurance that all of the
>
>products manufactured during that period
>
>meet the requirements of the
>
>standard. An appropriate production
>
>interval may vary depending on the
>
>construction of the antenna, the likelihood
>
>of variations in the production
>
>process, and the severity of the test
>

>that is used. The time period for a production
>
>interval shall be short enough
>
>to provide a high degree of assurance
>
>that if the samples selected for testing
>
>pass the test, all other CB antennas
>
>produced during the period will meet
>
>the standard.
>
>ï¿½ 1204.13 Certificate of compliance.
>
>(a) The manufacturer or importer of
>
>any product subject to the standard
>
>must issue the certificate of compliance
>
>required by section 14(a) of the
>
>act. If the testing required by this subpart
>
>B of part 1204 has been performed
>
>by or for the foreign manufacturer of a
>
>product, the importer may rely on such
>
>tests to support the certificate of compliance
>
>if the importer is a resident of
>
>the United States or has a resident
>
>agent in the U.S., and the records are
>
>maintained in the U.S. The importer is
>
>responsible for ensuring that the foreign
>
>manufacturer's records show that
>
>all testing used to support the certificate
>
>of compliance has been performed
>

>properly with passing or acceptable results
>
>and that the records provide a
>
>reasonable assurance that all antennas
>
>imported comply with the standard.
>
>(b) A certificate of compliance must
>
>accompany each product or otherwise
>
>be furnished to any distributor or retailer
>
>to whom the product is delivered
>
>by the manufacturer or importer.
>
>(c) The certificate shall state:
>
>(1) That the product ''complies with
>
>all applicable consumer product safety
>
>standards (16 CFR part 1204)'',
>
>(2) The name and address of the manufacturer
>
>or importer issuing the certificate,
>
>and
>
>(3) The date of manufacture and, if
>
>different from the address in paragraph
>
>(c)(2) of this section, the place of manufacture.
>
>ï¿½ 1204.14 Certification tests.
>
>(a) General. As explained in ï¿½ 1204.11
>
>of this subpart, certificates of compliance
>
>required by section 14(a) of the
>
>act must be based on either a test of
>
>each item or on a reasonable testing
>

>program.
>
>(b) Tests of each item. If the certificate
>
>is based on tests of each item, the tests
>
>may be either those prescribed by the
>
>standard or any other test procedure
>
>that will determine that the item tested
>
>will comply with the standard.
>
>(c) Reasonable testing programs-(1)
>
>Requirements. (i) A reasonable testing
>
>program for a particular model of CB
>
>antennas is one which demonstrates
>
>with a high degree of assurance that all
>
>the antennas of that model will meet
>
>all requirements of the standard. Manufacturers
>
>and importers shall determine
>
>the types and frequency of testing
>
>for their own reasonable testing
>
>programs. A reasonable testing program
>
>which does not test each item
>
>produced should be sufficiently stringent
>
>that any variations in production,
>
>etc., over the production interval
>
>would not cause any antenna to fail if
>
>tested according to the requirements of
>
>the standard.
>

>(ii) All reasonable testing programs
>
>shall include qualification tests, which
>
>must be performed on one or more samples
>
>of the CB antennas representative
>
>of each model produced, or to be produced,
>
>to demonstrate that the product
>
>is capable of passing the tests prescribed
>
>by the standard and shall also
>
>VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00248 Fmt
>8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T
>
>249
>
>Consumer Product Safety Commission ï¿½ 1204.17
>
>include production tests, which must
>
>be performed during appropriate production
>
>intervals as long as the product
>
>is being manufactured.
>
>(iii) Corrective action and/or additional
>
>testing must be performed whenever
>
>certification tests of samples of
>
>the product give results that do not
>
>provide a high degree of assurance that
>
>all antennas manufactured during the
>
>applicable production interval will pass
>
>the tests of the standard.
>
>(2) Testing by third parties. At the option
>
>of the manufacturer or importer,

>
>some or all of the testing of each item
>
>or of the reasonable testing program
>
>may be performed by a commercial
>
>testing laboratory or other third party.
>
>However, the manufacturer or importer
>
>is responsible for ensuring that all certification
>
>testing has been properly
>
>performed with passing or acceptable
>
>results and for maintaining all records
>
>of such tests in accordance with
>
>ï¿½ 1204.17 of this subpart.
>
>ï¿½ 1204.15 Qualification testing.
>
>(a) Testing. Before any manufacturer
>
>or importer of CB antennas which are
>
>subject to the standard distributes
>
>them in commerce, one or more samples
>
>of each model shall be tested to
>
>determine that all such antennas manufactured
>
>after the effective date of the
>
>standard will comply with the standard.
>
>The type of tests and the manner
>
>of selecting samples shall be determined
>
>by the manufacturer or importer
>
>to provide a reasonable assurance that
>
>all antennas subject to the standard

>
>will comply with the standard. Any or
>
>all of the qualification testing required
>
>by this paragraph may be performed
>
>before the effective date of the standard.
>
>(b) Product modifications. If any
>
>changes are made to a product, after
>
>initial qualification testing, that could
>
>affect the ability of the product to
>
>meet the requirements of the standard,
>
>additional qualification tests must be
>
>made before the changed antennas are
>
>manufactured for sale or distributed in
>
>commerce.
>
>ï¿½ 1204.16 Production testing.
>
>(a) General. Manufacturers and importers
>
>shall test antennas subject to
>
>the standard periodically as they are
>
>manufactured, to demonstrate that the
>
>antennas meet the requirements of the
>
>standard.
>
>(b) Types and frequency of testing.
>
>Manufacturers and importers shall determine
>
>the types of tests for production
>
>testing. Each production test shall
>
>be conducted at a production interval

>
>short enough to provide a high degree
>
>of assurance that, if the samples selected
>
>for testing pass the production
>
>tests, all other antennas produced during
>
>the interval will meet the standard.
>
>(c) Test failure-(1) Sale of antennas. If
>
>any test yields results which do not indicate
>
>that all antennas manufactured
>
>during the production interval will
>
>meet the standard, production must
>
>cease and the faulty manufacturing
>
>process or design must be corrected. In
>
>addition, products manufactured before
>
>the appropriate corrective action is
>
>taken may not be distributed in commerce
>
>unless they meet the standard.
>
>It may be necessary to modify the antennas
>
>or perform additional tests to
>
>ensure that only complying antennas
>
>are distributed in commerce. Antennas
>
>which are subject to the standard but
>
>do not comply with the requirements
>
>of the standard cannot be offered for
>
>sale, distributed in commerce, or imported
>
>in the United States.

>
>(2) Corrective actions. When any production
>
>test fails to provide a high degree
>
>of assurance that all antennas
>
>comply with the standard, corrective
>
>action must be taken. Corrective action
>
>may include changes in the manufacturing
>
>and/or assembly process,
>
>equipment adjustment, repair or replacement,
>
>or other action deemed appropriate
>
>by the manufacturer or importer
>
>to achieve passing production
>
>test results.
>
>ï¿½ 1204.17 Records.
>
>Each manufacturer or importer of CB
>
>antennas subject to the standard shall
>
>maintain the following records, which
>
>shall be maintained for 3 years after
>
>the creation of the records and shall be
>
>available to any designated officer or
>
>employee of the Commission in accordance
>
>with section 16(b) of the Consumer
>
>Product Safety Act (15 U.S.C. 2065(b)):
>
>(a) Records of the qualification and
>
>production testing required by this
>
>subpart B, including a description of

>
>VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00249 Fmt
>8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T
>
>250
>
>16 CFR Ch. II (1-1-01 Edition) ï¿½ 1204.17
>
>the types of tests conducted, the dates
>
>and results of the tests, and the production
>
>interval selected for the performance
>
>of the production testing.
>
>(b) Records of all corrective actions
>
>taken, including the specific actions
>
>taken to improve the design or manufacture
>
>and to correct any noncomplying
>
>antenna produced during the period,
>
>the date the action was taken,
>
>and the test failure which necessitated
>
>the action.
>
>(Information collection requirements contained
>
>in paragraph (a) were approved by the
>
>Office of Management and Budget under control
>
>number 3041-0006)
>
>VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00250 Fmt
>8010 Sfmt 8010 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T
>
>251
>
>Consumer Product Safety Commission ï¿½ 1204.17
>
>VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00251 Fmt
>8010 Sfmt 8006 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T
>

>252
>
>16 CFR Ch. II (1-1-01 Edition) ï¿½ 1204.17
>
>[47 FR 36201, Aug. 19, 1982; 48 FR 57125, Dec. 28, 1983]
>
>VerDate 11<MAY>2000 12:11 Feb 07, 2001 Jkt 194049 PO 00000 Frm 00252 Fmt
>8010 Sfmt 8006 Y:\SGML\194049T.XXX pfrm11 PsN: 194049T
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4622. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 16:21:18 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18894-3D63BDFE-43@storefull-2253.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133700

So can an active legal cber construct a 1kw 27mhz am transmitter just for "testing purposes" and still be in compliance?If "the man" showed up would possession constitute usage?....Mark

4623. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 16:28:38 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <%aP89.7231$pv6.793309@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 133699

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020819103538.07968.00001205@mb-mi.aol.com...
> my point is why only bother the cb'ers? i dont see jerry, aaron, frank or
> anybody else tirading about the amatuer bands and on there newsgroups
> complaining and these problems are even more directly related to the
service
> there fighting for. i just wanted to point out the hypocrisy in what there
> doing in here and also it was because aaron keeps denying the fact that
there
> is any problems on the hf bands at all and has demanded several times
lately
> that one of us cb'ers prove that it actaully happening. well here is his
proof
> directly from rec.radio.shortwave
>

Tim I agree that there are problem areas on the amateur bands, take a look at the idiots involved in the flamwar on the amateur usenet groups, it is a continuation of what goes on on some HF freqs. There are good and bad operators in amateur, and on CB. That being said, that still doesn't justify either group doing wrong. For example all of these kidnapping incedents going on in the last few months, seeing these things happen, does that justify someone else doing it? Or the school shootings, does one make another one right?

A long time ago when I came to this group I saw the start of the batle between N8WWM and the rest start, I agree with Doug and his group about legal operations, but at the same time I said I disagreed with his tactics, spam and flooding usenet was disruptive. I have met Doug and talked to him in person several times, he's actually a really decent guy with alot of knowledge that he was willing to share with me regarding antenna design ect.

What I am saying is two wrongs do not make a right (but three lefts do (if you figure this one out let me know heheh)).

Nothing would make me happier than to see this group return to an actual discussion group where we can exchange information on radio operation, problem solving ect. without all the flamefests.

Yes I am a licensed ham, and yes I also enjoy talking on CB, I run legal equipment, when I am on CB I leave my ticket on the wall, when I am on my amateur radio I leave my cb language in the drawer.

I am not better than anyone else, I make mistakes, and will until the day I die. And if you see me make a mistake, let me know, so I don't make it again.

If any of you are ever in the area drop me a line and we can go have a coffee.

73 de Keith

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

4624. a review of the last year or so.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 17:06:38 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020821130638.29060.00002392@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 133701

Well ,its been about a year or so since i joined into the "fray". Its been educational, fun , and never boring here at old rec radio cb. In spite of all the rantings and bullsh-t , im happy to note that nothing has really changed. Voobner and the rest are still sticking to their guns ,as are the Key Klowns, of which im proud to count myself as one of the latter. Most suppliers of Key Klown equipment are still alive and well, and the manufacturers are still improving the hobby with newer,more powerfull radios than ever. Im looking forward with excitement to owning a new galaxy 93-t, and im thinking about upgrading to a texas star 1600 in my mobile unit. This should make for some exciting,and need i add "covert", night time skip shooting this fall ! Im going to be doing my best to give some of the old timers a run for their money. (watch out "MOD SQUAD",here i come) Im also looking forward to renewing my nightly conversations with my good friend "WALKIE TALKIE", down under. He runs a hellacious 7 element beam in Austrailia, and its allways a pleasure to work his station. Well, all we need is for the skip to return with the fall season!!! Its gonna be a blast.....
catch you all later , and looking forward to hearing you on the "band".
gunslinger

4625. Re: I got another GOLDMINE of NOS CB stuff AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 17:11:33 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <fPP89.7233$pv6.793549@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 133704

"Dave or Debby" <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:6m75mu4jv6n4vg08ho9rbk5rctq4pq1j09@4ax.com...
> Twice within the last few years, I have been blessed BY GOD to get a
> bunch of NOS CB items from the past from stores that did CB, TV, car
> stereo and whatever else they worked on. CB was NOT their MAIN "bread
> & butter" business, so they tended to keep OLD items longer without
> sale. One place was in biz over 50 years, and the recent one I got
> last pm was in biz since @ least 1976 from what I can tell on the age
> of the items.
>
> SO if anyone wants any OLD NOS CB items from the past 26 years, I MAY
> have it ! I don't have a lot, but I have some. I will list a few
> items here quickly that I'm looking to sell to re-coop $$ that I paid
> for to get these items. E mail me back and remove HATEspam from the
> address or truth@planetc.com and put CB somewhere in the subject line.
>
> The 1st item is a NOS brand new in the box & BAG--a Turner hand power
> mic M +2/U ! Brownish mic wiring book with it (to tell it's age).
> The curly cord goes right to the mic head, which would lead me to
> believe that it is used, but it appears to be brand new in da box,
> since it has the origional black stopper between the mic key and the
> mic! Looks NEW too! Did these come with a battery? I better look
> inside to remove it!
>
> A NOS TUG9-D104 base mic. "Conneaut Technologies" on warr. card. I'd
> have to open bottom to see mfg. date, but I guess 15-20 years old NOS.
> I Also have a NOS GOLD D104 Bicentennial edition from '76 that the
> company says is worth over $500 too for sale.
>
> I got a bunch of those CDE alternator filters that go under the hood
> that I haven't seen in years. Also got some different "flip mounts"
> than the ones I already have, the Valor ones. Got a bunch of Valor
> 101HD (it's really a 101cpw mount) for OLD ford pick up truck mirrors.
>
> CB BAY is now open. E mail me the highest price you will pay for the
> above items and IF you want something from the past that I didn't
> mention, E MAIL ME and ASK ME if I have it. I have amassed an
> incredible variety of CB items over my 23 years and more of being a 1
> man operation CB shop. Let me know what you are looking for!
> Dave!
>

hmmmmmmmm let me dig through my collection of old radios that I need parts
for and compile a list, maybe we can do some business.

73 de Keith

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

4626. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 17:13:03 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D63AAF6.F18E6EC1@mailcity.net>
Source record: 133702

OZARK333 wrote:
>
> >From: JJ radiobuff0@mailcity.net
> >And ozark333 has very little knowledge.
> First off, Kiss My Ass JJ.....
> Second. Reactance is what trimming the coax will affect. I got a little carried
> away with the post, shame on me. However, LENGTH OF COAX DOES MATTER. Otherwise
> why not use 26 ft or 32 ft or or 27 a multiple of 3......

Why not, the length does not matter. Use 26.24444 feet or 32.7985
feet or 27.66666 feet, or 67.574 feet, the length does not matter.

> There are a number of factors that can lead you to the best antenna setup. And
> opinions on how to vary. My experience and info I have received says that on 27
> MHZ using RG-8 mini or if you must RG-58 the correct length will be around 14.5
> ft to obtain the best combo of reactance to make the radio and antenna match.

Pure BS, coax length does not matter if you are connecting the
coax to an antenna that has a feedpoint that matches the impedance
of the coax. If the antenna feed point is 52 ohms then any length
of RG-58 will match as the impedance of the coax is 50-52 ohms and
cutting to certain lengths does not change that. Again, length
does not matter.

> Yes I'm no expert, I'm still learning and getting the science down. Even the so
> called experts have a variety of opinions on how the radio/coax/antenna setup
> relate. I even had a bigtime radio-tech tell me 14 ft from the radio to the amp
> then 14 ft from the amp to the antenna...OK...kinda had to wonder about that
> advice.

A big-time cb radio tech who propagates the cb myth about coax
length? Again, coax length does not matter, this has been a cb
myth for years.

> If you dont believe the math, try it. See what happens. 15 ft of RG-8
> mini is maybe $5.00......whoopie....see what your SWR looks like then come back
> and bitch.

I have installed lots of antennas and never considered coax length
other than using the amount necessary to get from the radio to the
antenna, and high swr was never a problem. You trim the antenna
for resonance, not the length of the coax. If you trim the coax
length to get a lower swr reading, you are only fooling the swr
meter, the actual swr on the transmission line does not change.
You are right when you say you're no expert.

Kiss your own a**, you're closer to it than I am.

4627. Re: Let me rephrase that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 17:15:34 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <_SP89.7234$pv6.793435@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 133703

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:lxT79.48519$nE1.39315@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> Coaxial length between a transceiver and antenna are not important,
> however using less than 18ft. between a amplifier and antenna can generate
> enough rf feedback to possibly cause the transmit side of the amp. to
> latch-on.
> Be sure to read the fine print this time.
> BTW i am no longer reading Dave or Debby mail since they chose to bring
> drugs into the NG.............
> My name is Mad Dog and i am a addict!
> God give me the serenity to accept the things i cannot change ,
> And the courage to change the things i can.
> Amen and God Bless...................
> --
> Mad Dog

Hang in there, while not exactly an alcoholic, I stopped drinking after my time in NYC, I decided I would rather face life with my faculties intact.

73 de Keith
--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

4628. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 17:39:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16932-3D63D064-8@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133705

>Tim I agree that there are problem areas on the amateur bands, take a look at the idiots involved in the flamwar on the amateur usenet groups, it is a continuation of what goes on on some HF freqs. There are good and bad operators in amateur, and on CB. That being said, that still doesn't justify either group doing wrong. For example all of these kidnapping incedents going on in the last few months, seeing these things happen, does that justify someone else doing it? Or the school shootings, does one make another one right?
A long time ago when I came to this group I saw the start of the batle between N8WWM and the rest start, I agree with Doug and his group about legal operations, but at the same time I said I disagreed with his tactics, spam and flooding usenet was disruptive. I have met Doug and talked to him in person several times, he's actually a really decent guy with alot of knowledge
<<

(snip)

First of all, with you being here from the beginning when Doug came in here and threatened many, accused many of the most perverted sexual things, talked disgustingly about sexual innuendo, spammed the hell out of the group, made almost every post about "fag" this and 'Suck this" and talked about peoples race, children, wives, all in lewd manners to those who have done nothing to him except correct his lies and teach him some net etiquette.....and to sit here and say you actually MET him, and that he is a nice guy.....well, Keith, you and I certailny have had disagreements before, certainly nothing we couldn't get past, but for someone to even go meet this guy after what he had done and said, certainly gives pause for the cause.
Defending N8.....I've seen it all now. I still have an email from you that you tried to mask when you first appeared (The "Cletus" ping screwed you). I kinda thought you were sympathetic to his assholeness at that time, but wasn't certain...now I am. Wanna see it reposted here so all can enjoy it? How about the one where you claimed you were no nowhere near the county I mentioned in my email when corresponding with city and county officials? I still have that one as well.
With all the shit you talked about morals and civility and decency on the air, would you enjoy your wife or kids getting a boatload of chickenhawk's email?
You yourself have used that analogy
conerning behavior on the air, but you apparently "got past it" wth Doug's antics that are preserved here in the archives for posterity for all to enjoy.
To actually go and meet this guy from what he posts here makes me wonder about you Keith, but that more than likely isn't the scenario,

now is it? The rest of the story doesn't even matter to me....the mere fact that you are here trying to charm us with relegations of whatta good guy N8 is, well,,,kinda speaks for itself.

> 73 de Keith
--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County<<

Good luck, Keith.
I hope your defense of this cancerous lesion to radio doesn't affect your judgement anymore than it already has. At best, when defending such bowel, you may wish to drop your sigs (just a suggestion), as your defense of this plague coupled with a few of his posts sent to the organizations you profess in your sig may damage your credibility a bit more than your simple post way back when you AND Doug were funning around on the board. Don't shoot the messenger, the AKC are the ones that began this tactic, remember? Sending shit to peoples employers, crying to ebay, anything they can to harass innocent cbers that made Doug look like the archaic, confused, eunich he became by his own hand. I believe in returning things t their rightful owners. You have a hell of a way of choosing friends, Keith. Anyone who would hang with this sick bastard I certainly wouldn't want to be depending on during a time of crisis. You wanna defend this slug, do it on a hammie board. He has no fans here, except the ones that were driven underground...plus one.

4629. ts440s $300.00 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 17:51:38 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1029952626.719290@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 133706

From: "crossfire" <noone@home.com>
Subject: Kenwood Ham radio $300.00 shipped
Date: Wednesday, August 21, 2002 10:56 AM

From: "crossfire" <noone@home.com>
Subject: FS: TS-440S $300.00 W/AT shipped
Date: Wednesday, August 21, 2002 9:48 AM

I have a TS-440S By Kenwood for sale .
Everything works fine with the exception of the ATT. I was told that it was
the relay on the bottom board .
The radio does a full 100 watts out , I have got real good audio reports on
this rig . Auto tuner works great.
Comes with power cord No mic.
$300.00 will get it to Your front door .
email Me at dogyham@hotmail.com

4630. Re: a review of the last year or so.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 17:55:52 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22140-3D63D428-31@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133708

Gunslinger, I had a killer day of DX over the weekend.....you're probably in my DX log, but let's do it again. 1600.....watch out, you're gnona have many-a 'pile stations calling your name where we communicate......I've also been thinking of having Tim teach me the finer merits of slow-scan and have been checking out some equipment...might as well show the world what they're already hearing...hehe...have a good one!

4631. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 18:08:35 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208211008.745b84ca@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133707

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208191953.3253dc40@posting.google.com>...
> timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote
> >Aaron Voobner wrote
> >>What antenna system are you using for 75/40/20 meters, Tim?
> >
> >an alpha delta sloper, yourself?
>
> Yep, that'd load up on 14.313 and 3.950. I was wondering what the
> unlicensed bootleggers used on HF, and now I know.
>
> -Aaron-

You don't know any more than you did before, Aanal Aaron. I suspect most "unlicensed bootleggers" (sic - that's redundant) use dipoles, same as most amateurs.

The Alpha Delta sloper is a trap antenna, tuned for the international broadcasting bands; it probably would not work very well on 14313 or 3950.

4632. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 18:15:07 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <xMQ89.4345$%v4.69228@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133709

OZARK333 <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20020821010311.28510.00001748@mb-mr.aol.com...
> >From: JJ radiobuff0@mailcity.net
> >And ozark333 has very little knowledge.
> First off, Kiss My Ass JJ.....
> Second. Reactance is what trimming the coax will affect. I got a little
carried
> away with the post, shame on me. However, LENGTH OF COAX DOES MATTER.
Otherwise
> why not use 26 ft or 32 ft or or 27 a multiple of 3......
> There are a number of factors that can lead you to the best antenna setup.
And
> opinions on how to vary. My experience and info I have received says that
on 27
> MHZ using RG-8 mini or if you must RG-58 the correct length will be around
14.5
> ft to obtain the best combo of reactance to make the radio and antenna
match.
> Yes I'm no expert, I'm still learning and getting the science down. Even
the so
> called experts have a variety of opinions on how the radio/coax/antenna
setup
> relate. I even had a bigtime radio-tech tell me 14 ft from the radio to
the amp
> then 14 ft from the amp to the antenna...OK...kinda had to wonder about
that
> advice. If you dont believe the math, try it. See what happens. 15 ft of
RG-8
> mini is maybe $5.00......whoopie....see what your SWR looks like then come
back
> and bitch. We all know Aaron(ious) has never connected a radio and or
tuned an
> antenna so any comments from his peanut gallery carry no merit....so sorry
for
> my error...the dilemma continues...
> Miny Me....take a shut up break.
>
>
> "Got RF?"

Ozark,

Let's forget our occasional jabs for a minute and permit me, if you will, to present compelling evidence that coax length does not matter. On my mobile, I operate a multi-band HF antenna

that resonates at around 1.2 : 1 SWR from 3.6 MHZ all the way up to 54 MHZ. This is done
mechanically with a motor inside a lower mast that moves the loading coil up or down as needed
within that mast to expose the correct number of coil turns for "tuning". Springy fingers contact
the coil at the top of the mast so that there is electrical and solid contact at all times. Therefore,
tuning is infinite with the press of a switch on the dash, and I can tune up on the extreme ends of
the antenna's range within about 30 seconds all while cruising down the pike. Antenna theory
and the formulas that govern same are the same thru out the spectrum, right? OK, IF coax length
WERE important, then I would have to have 12(??), or more, lengths of coax ranging from over
66 feet down to about 18 feet (according to your theory) in order to get the thing to work. It would get extremely unwieldy to have to have "bookoos" of different cables in a vehicle to operate on all the HF ham bands plus the military, Shares, and FEMA frequencies I am authorized for,
and since the antenna tunes fluidly onto any frequency without detents or indices, it would not work
IF what you say is true! Yet I operate on an infinite number of frequencies, and my coax cable is
about 7 feet long. In my old station wagon, the cables were about 4' long from radio to amp, and
15" from amp to antenna (entire system located in the rear of the car except for control head). In
35 years I have NEVER worried about coax length except if it becomes so long as to create a lossy system. The formula used is: Length= whatever it takes to get from radio to antenna.

The tuning of an antenna system should take place at the ANTENNA. Just for grins and giggles,
I attached a long piece of coax to my antenna, and used an MFJ 259 Analyzer to see if there were
any difference in the readings there and with a length of 4-5 feet. At 3.7, 4.4, 7.2, 7.6, 14.3, and
every band, the readings were the same no matter HOW long the coax was or if the reading was
taken right at the feedpoint. Length does not matter.

Honestly, there are a lot of myths used by unscrupulous dealers (I am not accusing you of this) to
sell unnecessary stuff to CBers, the majority of whom have no electronics experience. For example, High Efficiency (normally) equates to narrow-bandedness, or very sharp tuning. But a lot
of builders know this, too, and deliberately sacrifice a certain amount of efficiency in order to cover
a wide band of frequencies both in and outside of CB. While "some" of the Big Coils look VERY

impressive, they actually don't do what they COULD do without this tampering to force the antenna
to cover more than normal. Getting an antenna that LOOKS like it can squash anything is just as
important as actual performance sales-wise because the dealers know that electronics isn't the average CBers strong suit. That doesn't make CBers "stupid"; they just don't KNOW about such
things and have to rely on sellers' honesty.

This is getting too long already, so if you have rebuttal, I will reply later

73

Jerry

4633. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 18:19:30 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <DQQ89.4370$%v4.70465@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133711

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:yQL89.285$yd1.33733@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Yes, do it. Let us know how it works.

They sometimes fuss if I do it with a mealy 100 watts.

J
>
>

4634. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 18:22:42 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <YRQ89.7240$pv6.794310@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 133710

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:16932-3D63D064-8@storefull-2316.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> First of all, with you being here from the beginning when Doug came in
> here and threatened many, accused many of the most perverted sexual
> things, talked disgustingly about sexual innuendo, spammed the hell out
> of the group, made almost every post about "fag" this and 'Suck this"
> and talked about peoples race, children, wives, all in lewd manners to
> those who have done nothing to him except correct his lies and teach him
> some net etiquette.....and to sit here and say you actually MET him, and
> that he is a nice guy.........well, Keith, you and I certailny have had
> disagreements before, certainly nothing we couldn't get past, but for
> someone to even go meet this guy after what he had done and said,
> certainly gives pause for the cause.
> Defending N8.....I've seen it all now. I still have an email from you
> that you tried to mask when you first appeared (The "Cletus" ping
> screwed you). I kinda thought you were sympathetic to his assholeness at
> that time, but wasn't certain...now I am. Wanna see it reposted here so
> all can enjoy it? How about the one where you claimed you were no
> nowhere near the county I mentioned in my email when corresponding with
> city and county officials? I still have that one as well.
> With all the shit you talked about morals and civility and decency on
> the air, would you enjoy your wife or kids getting a boatload of
> chickenhawk's email?
> You yourself have used that analogy
> conerning behavior on the air, but you apparently "got past it" wth
> Doug's antics that are preserved here in the archives for posterity for
> all to enjoy.
> To actually go and meet this guy from what he posts here makes me
> wonder about you Keith, but that more than likely isn't the scenario,
> now is it? The rest of the story doesn't even matter to me....the mere
> fact that you are here trying to charm us with relegations of whatta
> good guy N8 is, well,,,kinda speaks for itself.
>
> Good luck, Keith.
> I hope your defense of this cancerous lesion to radio doesn't affect
> your judgement anymore than it already has. At best, when defending such
> bowel, you may wish to drop your sigs (just a suggestion), as your
> defense of this plague coupled with a few of his posts sent to the
> organizations you profess in your sig may damage your credibility a bit
> more than your simple post way back when you AND Doug were funning
> around on the board. Don't shoot the messenger, the AKC are the ones
> that began this tactic, remember? Sending shit to peoples employers,
> crying to ebay, anything they can to harass innocent cbers that made
> Doug look like the archaic, confused, eunich he became by his own hand.

> I believe in returning things t their rightful owners. You have a hell
> of a way of choosing friends, Keith. Anyone who would hang with this
> sick bastard I certainly wouldn't want to be depending on during a time
> of crisis. You wanna defend this slug, do it on a hammie board. He has
> no fans here, except the ones that were driven underground...plus one.
>

First of all I never partook of any of his actions, and his usenet actions upset me as much as anyone else. Look back my posts at that time said as much. I am not defending anyone, like I said people screw up they have to pay for it no matter who they are. If I make a mistake then I pay for it.

As for our email corerespondance, yes I have emailed you on a few occasions, and I remember what it was regarding, I have also emailed Mopar, and Dave. I have talked to others on the phone, I even asked ICECOLD if he would be interested in meeting for a coffee while I was in NYC. I try to treat people the way I would like to be treated, I try not to call anyone names. I will however stand up for my beliefs and my rights, as I feel everyone else should. I may not agree with everyone, like Dave on occasion, or others here, but I respect their rights and their opinions.

I have nothing to hide, thats why my call is there, if anyone wants to look me up and drop by they are welcome. As for what I do with my life and the organizations I volunteer with, again I am proud of what I do, and the wonderful people I have met across the country that enjoy doing the same things. Maybe if more people looked out for their neighbors, and looked to help each other, we wouldn't have the problems we have in this society today.

When I was speaking of Doug I was talking of our conversations regarding radio, not about this group. When he starts talking about the keyclown thing I tend to tune him out.

FYI one of the people you could have verified some of the information regarding the emergency radio interferrence thing died last week from complications from open heart surgery, he was a close friend who I have known since I was a kid, and he had known my family when my grandfather was fire chief in McClure. His name was Fred Reinbolt he was a Deputy Sherrif. I tried to give you contact names from the Departments in the county.

And I will say htis, hams are just as bad if not more when it comes to jamming, if they are not hams then they have ham radios and are jamming, a while back, on Memorial day weekend, SKYWARN was activated here, we were under condition Red, I was out there in it with golf ball sized hail bouncing off my head when I was terying to get in to set up Net Control station for Hnry County, the Lucas County SKYWARN net had to change repeaters 5 times because 2 idiots wanted to play games and jam the repeaters.

Oh and the topic of sending things to people and making phone calls ect. wasn't it chuck who made the threats to Doug? And what about the person/persons who made some calls or sent letters to Franks place of

employment making unfounded comments about him being a child molester that cost him his job?

So it looks to me like both sides of this conflict are guilty of pushing the issue.

Think of me what you want, I have always tried to be civil in my discussions. Unlike others who are on here, I have nothing to hide.

73 de Keith

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

4635. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 18:28:46 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208211028.975e6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133712

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D623546.3966@worldlynx.net>...
> www.TimNebo.com wrote:
> >
> > i was just showing how odd it is that with all the troubles on the world wide
> > amatuer bands you religate yourself to "policing" the cb freqs only when there
> > is so much more going on.
>
> The amount of problem on the ham bands are but a small minority of
> people. Compared to the CB band where breaking the law is the "rule"
> rather than the exception.

You can support the accuracy of that statement? I doubt it.

The vast majority of CB users are law-abiding people. A small fraction
of those people venture outside the "legal 40" into Freeband, and a
miniscule number stumble into ten meters. Most of the latter are
truckers who have no idea that they're intruding on an amateur band.

MOST Cbers don't use amplifiers; MOST CBers don't use echo boxes; MOST
CBers don't venture out-of-band!

Apparently you can only hear the over-powered, echo using ones. Is
that a bad receiver or really selective listening?

You, sir are woefully misinformed. But you expose your negativity
every time you post, so it comes as no surprise.

If you really want a place where "breaking the law is the rule rather
than the exception", try driving on almost any highway.

>
> > after all the guys on the ham bands are making our
> > entire nation look like fools to the whole world, yet your biggest concern is
> > still truckers with small amps driving up an down the highway.
>
> After the last skip cycle, the whole world got a taste of USA CB. I'm
> sure that that was not an image that I would want the world to picture
> us as.

I have to ask: If you're worried about our "image", why not go after
the high-power licensed broadcasters (like WWCR), who give the world a
wacked out view of America as a bunch of religious nutcases begging
for donations? I'm MUCH more concerned about that image than I am of a
few truckdrivers. Oh, I forgot; they aren't using an unused frequency
in the ten meter band, are they? How about this: What is a domestic US
shortwave broadcaster doing in the TROPICAL bands? This is not a

Tropical nation by any stretch of the definition! But they have a
license, so it's OK to violate ITU Regulations? Hmmmmmm?

4636. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 18:31:12 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <D%Q89.4437$%v4.72969@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133714

'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D62A011.693B6706@icok.net...
>
>
> Jerry,
> 'AM' is allowed anywhere in the voice portions of
> all amateur bands, subject to band edge qualifications.
> 'Doc
>
> PS - I wish it weren't, but it is.

Yes, but most AMers adhere to an unspoken gentlemen's agreement that they will occupy only a
small segment, usually near the upper limits of a given phone band. I didn't mean to imply that it
was mandatory.

73

Jerry

4637. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 18:32:46 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208211032.7b1b4ac7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133713

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208191556.ceb665d@posting.google.com>...
> >timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote
> >
> >everybody in the entire radio active world knows
> >it is going on but you!
>
> If you are radio active on 14.313, do let us know times (UTC) when
> you're on. As Tom Sevart says, there's no law against listening to
> (and making recordings of) pirates....
>
> -Aaron-

By all means, record away. And be sure to send your recordings to
Riley so action can be taken against those law-breaking amateurs!

4638. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 18:33:07 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <q1R89.4450$%v4.73157@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133716

www.TimNebo.com <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020820115501.03553.00001792@mb-co.aol.com...
> then whos job is it to police the cb band? surely not jerry o and aaron h,
but
> its hard to tell from there post here, if you went by there attitude alone
> you'd think they made 100k a year being cb cops

But, Tim, I don't CARE what CBers do on the 40 channels; they can get on
channel 6, run
a quad-zillion watts and burn out each other's eyeballs, for all I care. I
just want the intruders
off the ham bands. What's so unreasonable about that?

Jerry
>
>
>
>
>
> >That's what Riley H. is being tasked to do. It's HIS job to clean up the
> >ham bands.
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

4639. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 18:33:07 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <R%Q89.7242$pv6.794213@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 133719

----- Original Message -----
From: <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Sent: Wednesday, August 21, 2002 2:00 PM
Subject: Re: Ham Misbehavior

> >
> I agree 100 percent with Twistedhed,Doug Adair is a walking pile of shit!
>
> Do you plan on bringing that asshole to the ballgame?
>
> --
> Go#40

No I wasn't planning on it, I got 4 tickets I sent you two today, and the other two are for me and an old friend of mine, you'd like him, he likes trucks and loud radios, he has a 102" whip mounted dead center of the toolbox on the back of his truck. his name is Jerry, he works at the Cambell Soup company here in Napoleon and goes by "Country Boy", perhaps you might have heard some of my other friends on the radio in the past, such as "Undertaker, "BOB", Renegade", "JPT =Just Plain Tired", and perhaps you have heard me a time or two, I went by DrummerBoy on AM and ob SSB I was LDO218, LDO = Long Distance Opetators a now defunct SSB club that was running in N.W. Ohio.

I have no problems with you, and do not wish to start any.

73 de Keith

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

4640. Re: RE; The History Of 10M to CB.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 18:35:55 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208211035.528a2ab2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133715

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208181531.3ded5166@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> >Date: Sun, Aug 18, 2002 2:28 PM
> >Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0208181328.162a0e56@posting.google.com>
> >
> >ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208170954.5134b881@posting.google.com>...
> >> shitblaster@volcanomail.com (Blaster) wrote:
> >> >TRUTH: Violating FCC regulations isn't ANY sort of Felony, State,
> >> >federal or otherwise. Neither is Jay walking, Running a red lite
> or a
> >> >hundred other infractions. Look Up the legal definition of felony.
> >>
> >> This sure sounds a lot more serious than jay walking:
> >>
> >> If a federal court finds that you have WILLFULLY and KNOWINGLY
> >> violated any FCC Rule, you may be fined up to $500 for each day you
> >> committed the violation. If a federal court finds that you have
> >> WILLFULLY and KNOWINGLY violated any provision of the
> Communications
> >> Act, you may be fined up to $10,000 or may be imprisoned for one
> year,
> >> or both.
> >>
> >> ...but don't take my word for it, get the PDF file of Part 95, CB
> >> Rules, from the FCC site at:
> >>
> >> http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Engineering_Technology/Documents/cfr/1998/47cfr95.pdf
> >>
> >> -Aaron-
> >
> >Those are MAXIMUM possible penalties. A first offense is usually
> >treated as a misdemeanor. Only REPEATED offenses are ever treated as
> >felonies.
> >
> >But don't take my word for it, ask those who have been "visited".
>
> Better yet, ask a communications attorney, a federal judge, or an FCC
> legal counsel if first offender penalties are a good reason to operate
> illegally.
>
> Least reliable would be the word of the offenders themselves, having
> already proven themselves dishonest by virtue of their crime.

>
> -Aaron-

And you have proven yourself dishonest by virtue of sheer stupidity!

4641. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 18:41:08 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <_8R89.4495$%v4.75415@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133717

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:746-3D62D9E5-420@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >Those are "turf" wars that don't need to go on. It is caused by
> immature, fire-hydrant-pissing
> mental midgets that think that "their" frequency should be populated
> only by them. It is a simply matter for the SSBers to move down a few
> KCs. The AMers only have a few places to operate on that mode. Control
> freaks abound everywhere, and the SSBers could simply move a ways.
> But NOOOOOOOO. Everybody's gotta defend their "turf"/
> Jerry<<
>
>
>
> Are the SSBers legal there?

Yes, but I hear people arguing about who was there first, who "peed" on the
fire hydrant first, whose net was there first, yada, yada, yada when there's
no reason to argue. While these stupid,
3rd grader turf wars go on, the adjacent frequencies are totally quiet.
What is the BFD? There's
PLENTY OF room and all that needs to happen is for one group just to move a
few KC away.
SHEEEEESH!! Some of 'em whine about the AMers and deliberately get within
QRMing distance on purpose, and I have to ask why. Some 8 year olds act
more mature than those
clowns..... Bad eggs in every group.

Jerry

If they are, then the right to bitch is
> about as valid as it is on triple 5.
>

4642. Re: a review of the last year or so.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 18:50:47 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <0iR89.4547$%v4.76867@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133718

LilyV7 <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020821130638.29060.00002392@mb-fk.aol.com...
> Well ,its been about a year or so since i joined into the "fray". Its been
> educational, fun , and never boring here at old rec radio cb. In spite of
all
> the rantings and bullsh-t , im happy to note that nothing has really
changed.
> Voobner and the rest are still sticking to their guns ,as are the Key
Klowns,
> of which im proud to count myself as one of the latter. Most suppliers of
Key
> Klown equipment are still alive and well, and the manufacturers are still
> improving the hobby with newer,more powerfull radios than ever. Im looking
> forward with excitement to owning a new galaxy 93-t, and im thinking about
> upgrading to a texas star 1600 in my mobile unit. This should make for
some
> exciting,and need i add "covert", night time skip shooting this fall ! Im
> going to be doing my best to give some of the old timers a run for their
money.
> (watch out "MOD SQUAD",here i come) Im also looking forward to renewing
my
> nightly conversations with my good friend "WALKIE TALKIE", down under. He
runs
> a hellacious 7 element beam in Austrailia, and its allways a pleasure to
work
> his station. Well, all we need is for the skip to return with the fall
> season!!! Its gonna be a blast.....
> catch you all later , and looking forward to hearing you on the "band".

> gunslinger

But, as the cycle continues to decline, your "skip" prospects decline with
it. Amp won't help when
the cycle is at the bottom. Cheer up, tho. Things will improve in 2013.

J
>

4643. New Price- Davemade M80

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 19:07:09 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020821150709.10908.00002021@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 133720

Brand NEW in the Bubble wrapped box, Works very nicely....i'll take $125 for it, that includes shipping costs.....thanks

Thatz-me-JP

4644. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 19:11:10 UTC
From: BillS <BillS@austin.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3D63E5CE.3C953917@austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133722

JJ wrote:
<SNIP>

>
> I have installed lots of antennas and never considered coax length
> other than using the amount necessary to get from the radio to the
> antenna, and high swr was never a problem. You trim the antenna
> for resonance, not the length of the coax. If you trim the coax
> length to get a lower swr reading, you are only fooling the swr
> meter, the actual swr on the transmission line does not change.
> You are right when you say you're no expert.
>
> Kiss your own a**, you're closer to it than I am.

You're correct that coax length does not affect the SWR of the system. But length can certainly affect your ability to measure the SWR of the system accurately IF the swr meter is not located at the transmission point or at the feed point. IE, if you insert an inline SWR meter with coax jumpers, the length of those jumpers will change the SWR indicated on your meter. Use jumpes of 1/2 wavelength to measure SWR with an inline meter, tune your antenna for optimum, then use the shortes coax length possible to feed the antenna.

http://www.wenzel.com/documents/swr.html

BillS

4645. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 19:14:58 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208211114.311706de@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133721

Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Tom Sevart" n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com
>Date: Wed, Aug 21, 2002 6:56 AM
>Message-id: <ak062n$1eo9bb$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
>
>Hi all,
>
>I still hadn't gotten a response from Ray Laforge at the FCC lab to
my email
>questioning whether or not certain 10 meter radios are legal for use
& sale
>by hams, so I decided to call him this morning. Here's what he said
>
>
>10 meter radios are completely legal for use by licensed amateurs on
the
>10 meter band. They are only illegal for import and marketing.
>
>
>He stated that he wouldn't put it in writing that a private sale of a
10
>meter radio is legal, but if it's used by a licensed amateur operator
on
>10 meters, nobody is going to know and the FCC won't do anything
about it .

Good for you Tom, but hams freedom from type-acceptance rules was
never the issue. What you SHOULD have asked him was...

a) Are the majority of these phoney 10 meter radios being manufactured
and marketed for illegal purposes and used by non-hams? Are radios
like "The General Grant" intended for legitimate amateur use?

b) Haven't the majority of these phoney 10 meter radios actually been
DEFINED as "non-type-accepted CB's" and NOT "ham radios" by the
Commission?

b) Just because a ham could OPERATE a non-type accepted radio does
that mean he may also PURCHASE one from illegal importers and
marketers?

c) Just because a ham could OPERATE a non-type-accepted radio, does
that justify them being marketed to CB'ers at truck stops and in
internet CB Shops?

e) What about all the BS about "export radios"? Are they intended for CB in some other country? If so, what country is it where operation on ham bands is legal for CB'ers?

You and I raised these and other issues in our discussion, Tom. They are questions that deserve answers.

Yet you chose to ask Mr. LaForge an inocuous question --- a question that everyone already knew the answer to -- and no one disputed.

>The end.
>
>If you want the truth, go to the source.

More like "if you want to save face, avoid the difficult questions".

-Aaron-

4646. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 19:39:05 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208211139.224de71a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133723

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote...
ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208191556.ceb665d@posting.google.com>...
> >>timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote
> >>
> >>everybody in the entire radio active world knows
> >>it is going on but you!
>
> >If you are radio active on 14.313, do let us know times (UTC) when
> >you're on. As Tom Sevart says, there's no law against listening to
> >(and making recordings of) pirates....
> >
> >-Aaron-

>By all means, record away. And be sure to send your recordings to
>Riley so action can be taken against those law-breaking amateurs!

I usually ignore your trolling Richard, but this one made me LOL.
There are probably a half a dozen amateurs monitoring/recording those
frequencies. Amateurs want bad amateurs busted - just as much as
they'd want Tim busted if he was bootlegging on 14.313.

-Aaron-

4647. Re: NE ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 19:59:56 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <0jS89.2728$Aw4.205417@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133724

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:7uN89.4743$Fj7.265630919@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> news:KFD89.114194$Fw3.4838977@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> He may be a trucker passing
> > thru NE Ohio and want a good cb shop in the area, perhaps he is moving
to
> > that area no you had to troll him.
> >
> >
>
> Spoken like a true expert on trolling.
>
> Landshark

Yes you troll my posts so I have good first hand experiance.

4648. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 20:01:52 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <QkS89.2745$Aw4.206252@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133725

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020820234119.986$MG@newsreader.com...
> "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020820232525.744$Gw@newsreader.com...
> >
> > > > > > Thanks for the tip,fuck nut.
> >
> > > > >>That would be "tip, fucknut!"
> >
> > > > I'm not talking about the tip that ticlkes your throat.
> >
> >>As your buddy Landshark
> >
> You're not my buddy,and you're not Landshark.

Never ever said I was your budy dyslexia setting in? And trust me I never
said I was Landshark.
```

4649. Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 20:37:52 UTC
From: Spunk Monkey <webmaster@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <um7ug84qci7t13@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133726

Can someone enlighten me as to why truckers radios sound like shit?

I hear a lot of them that are way over-modulated, have echo, reverb, etc.
Do they knowingly do this?

What is the mentality of sounding like southern trailer trash on the radio?

4650. 27.458.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 20:58:19 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020821165819.18777.00001802@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 133727

anybody know anything about the oriental people that use this freq? it sounds like japanese to me but i cant be sure. there in a south westernly direction from me here in texas so it leads me to believe there part of some type of fishing fleet in the pacfic but i could be mistaken. i know i'm not the only person that hears these guys. they've been on this same freq since at least 95 that i know of personally but ive never heard anybody mention them or refer to them. any clues guys? there out there right now if you want to tune in and listen.

thanks

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4651. Re: ts440s $300.00 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 21:01:09 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020821170109.18777.00001803@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 133728

sounds too good to be true

>Subject: ts440s $300.00 shipped

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4652. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 21:07:48 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020821170748.18777.00001804@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 133729

unfortunatly it does and thats why these clowns get it confused. if you have a antenna system then it will be automatically assumed it was used. if theres no antenna system in the house/vehicle then you have a valid case

>If "the man" showed up
>would possession constitute usage?....Mark
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4653. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 21:10:30 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020821171030.18777.00001805@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 133730

>
>Yet you chose to ask Mr. LaForge an inocuous question --- a question
>that everyone already knew the answer to -- and no one disputed.

aaron every one of your questions below were ones we all know the answer too
except B. it was valid.

>a) Are the majority of these phoney 10 meter radios being manufactured
>and marketed for illegal purposes and used by non-hams? Are radios
>like "The General Grant" intended for legitimate amateur use?
>
>b) Haven't the majority of these phoney 10 meter radios actually been
>DEFINED as "non-type-accepted CB's" and NOT "ham radios" by the
>Commission?
>
>b) Just because a ham could OPERATE a non-type accepted radio does
>that mean he may also PURCHASE one from illegal importers and
>marketers?
>
>c) Just because a ham could OPERATE a non-type-accepted radio, does
>that justify them being marketed to CB'ers at truck stops and in
>internet CB Shops?
>
>e) What about all the BS about "export radios"? Are they intended for
>CB in some other country? If so, what country is it where operation on
>ham bands is legal for CB'ers?

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4654. Re: ABF on 26.735

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 21:12:49 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020821171249.18777.00001806@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 133732

actually no, 40 channels for millions of cb radios just isnt enough

>
>CB band is 26.965 - 27.405 isn't there enough fucking channels there to talk
>on
>without operating illegally? Why not buy a couple of those FRS radios for $39
>a
>pair? Those things have a range of a couple miles line of sight.
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4655. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 21:14:27 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ak0vo2$1ecipl$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133731

"John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:ak090i01tml@enews1.newsguy.com...
>
> Possesion of "illegal radios" is not so much illegal. It is how you use
them
> that makes them illegal.
> Just like anything else, it is how you use it that makes it illegal.

That was never in dispute. What was in dispute was that the radios listed on the FCC's "Illegal CB transceiver list" are illegal for use on 10 meters by licensed hams. Some even went so far as to state that it is illegal to merely possess them.

Tom

4656. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 21:16:40 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ak0vs6$1eda87$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133733

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020821170748.18777.00001804@mb-me.aol.com...
> unfortunatly it does and thats why these clowns get it confused. if you
have a
> antenna system then it will be automatically assumed it was used. if
theres no
> antenna system in the house/vehicle then you have a valid case

Yes, if it's connected to a power source, antenna, etc., it can reasonably be assumed that the radio has been in use. However, if it's up on a shelf in a bedroom closet, it's hard to make the case that it's being used illegally.

Tom

4657. Re: I got another GOLDMINE of NOS CB stuff AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 21:21:14 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net>
Message-ID: <um810oiav94n43@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133734

Dave, maybe you best try e-bay or something. Even honest stuff draws all kinds of interesting comments from rec.radio.flamefest - oops, I mean, rec.radio.cb .. ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dave or Debby" <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message news:6m75mu4jv6n4vg08ho9rbk5rctq4pq1j09@4ax.com...
> Twice within the last few years, I have been blessed BY GOD to get a
> bunch of NOS CB items from the past from stores that did CB, TV, car
> stereo and whatever else they worked on. CB was NOT their MAIN "bread
> & butter" business, so they tended to keep OLD items longer without
> sale. One place was in biz over 50 years, and the recent one I got
> last pm was in biz since @ least 1976 from what I can tell on the age
> of the items.
>
> SO if anyone wants any OLD NOS CB items from the past 26 years, I MAY
> have it ! I don't have a lot, but I have some. I will list a few
> items here quickly that I'm looking to sell to re-coop $$ that I paid
> for to get these items. E mail me back and remove HATEspam from the
> address or truth@planetc.com and put CB somewhere in the subject line.
>
> The 1st item is a NOS brand new in the box & BAG--a Turner hand power
> mic M +2/U ! Brownish mic wiring book with it (to tell it's age).
> The curly cord goes right to the mic head, which would lead me to
> believe that it is used, but it appears to be brand new in da box,
> since it has the origional black stopper between the mic key and the
> mic! Looks NEW too! Did these come with a battery? I better look
> inside to remove it!
>
> A NOS TUG9-D104 base mic. "Conneaut Technologies" on warr. card. I'd
> have to open bottom to see mfg. date, but I guess 15-20 years old NOS.
> I Also have a NOS GOLD D104 Bicentennial edition from '76 that the
> company says is worth over $500 too for sale.
>
> I got a bunch of those CDE alternator filters that go under the hood
> that I haven't seen in years. Also got some different "flip mounts"
> than the ones I already have, the Valor ones. Got a bunch of Valor
> 101HD (it's really a 101cpw mount) for OLD ford pick up truck mirrors.
>
> CB BAY is now open. E mail me the highest price you will pay for the
> above items and IF you want something from the past that I didn't
> mention, E MAIL ME and ASK ME if I have it. I have amassed an
> incredible variety of CB items over my 23 years and more of being a 1

> man operation CB shop. Let me know what you are looking for!
> Dave!
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4658. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 21:21:37 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@charter.net>
Message-ID: <um815th9uj3sa0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133735

VERY good question. I was involved in CB as far back as the 60s, and immediatly noticed this on their arrival. I think they like that "non-natural" AM sound, and the noise toys, echo, etc! You know........"how loud am I" stuff!

"Spunk Monkey" <webmaster@127.0.0.1> wrote in message news:um7ug84qci7t13@corp.supernews.com...
> Can someone enlighten me as to why truckers radios sound like shit?
>
> I hear a lot of them that are way over-modulated, have echo, reverb, etc.
> Do they knowingly do this?
>
> What is the mentality of sounding like southern trailer trash on the radio?
>
>

4659. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 21:22:06 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12731-3D64047E-50@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133745

>First of all I never partook of any of his actions, and his usenet actions upset me as much as anyone else. Look back my posts at that time said as much. I am not defending anyone, like I said people screw up they have to pay for it no matter who they are. If I make a mistake then I pay for it.
As for our email corerespondance, yes I have emailed you on a few occasions, and I remember what it was regarding, I have also emailed Mopar, and Dave.<<

Plenty of email gets exchanged among this board.

> I have talked to others on the phone, I even asked ICECOLD if he would be interested in meeting for a coffee while I was in NYC. I try to treat people the way I would like to be treated, I try not to call anyone names. <<

Dig it. I haven't seen Dave, Ice, OR Mopar pulling what Dogie did. Have you?

>I will however stand up for my beliefs and my rights, as I feel everyone else should. I may not agree with everyone, like Dave on occasion, or others here, but I respect their rights and their opinions. <<

And your belief that Dogie is a nice guy is
out there, despite the volume of filth he has posted.

>I have nothing to hide, thats why my call is there, if anyone wants to look me up and drop by they are welcome. <<

Cool, then answer the question: If he is such as nice guy, you would have no problem with
your wife or daughter receiving the shit he posted directed toward many of us, hey?,

>As for what I do with my life and the organizations I volunteer with, again I am proud of what I do, and the wonderful people I have met across the country that enjoy doing the same things. Maybe if more people looked out for their neighbors, and looked to help each other, we wouldn't have the problems we have in this society today. <<

Hey,, that's great,,really it is, and I have the utmost respect for React, but when you are using their sigs on your personal time to voice YOUR PERSONAL opinion, it reflects on the organization, whether you realize it or not.
None of the orgs in your sig would support this clown after reading his BS, let alone go to meet this sexual deviant. Bet on it.

>When I was speaking of Doug I was talking of our conversations regarding radio, not about this group. When he starts talking about the keyclown thing I tend to tune him out.
<<

The rest of the world tuned him out as soon as they saw his deviancy. You apparently befriended him.

>FYI one of the people you could have verified some of the information regarding the emergency radio interferrence thing died last week from complications from open heart surgery, he was a close friend who I have known since I was a kid, and he had known my family when my grandfather was fire chief in McClure. His name was Fred Reinbolt he was a Deputy Sherrif. I tried to give you contact names from the Departments in the county.
And I will say htis, hams are just as bad if not more when it comes to jamming, if they are not hams then they have ham radios and are jamming, a while back, on Memorial day weekend, SKYWARN was activated here, we were under condition Red, I was out there in it with golf ball sized hail bouncing off my head when I was terying to get in to set up Net Control station for Hnry County, the Lucas County SKYWARN net had to change repeaters 5 times because 2 idiots wanted to play games and jam the repeaters.
Oh and the topic of sending things to people and making phone calls ect. wasn't it chuck who made the threats to Doug? <<

Let's put in perspective, shall we Keith? You're all hammies in a cb room making THREATS. Let's not forget Lelnad man either, with shooting freebanders in the head and teling me I'm a "dead fish."
Bottom line: Hammie bullshit in a cb room!

>And what about the person/persons who made some calls or sent letters to Franks place of employment making unfounded comments about him being a child molester that cost him his job?<<

What about it? Dogie played that card all over the net, so did "nad. Seeing how you buddied with N8, I can see how you actualy believed Frank worked..... with kids, no less.

>So it looks to me like both sides of this conflict are guilty of pushing the issue. <<

Not even close.
You were here when N8 started his BS and it was returned. Certain hammies think they're big stuff and thought they'd come in here and dazzle us lowly ignorant cbers and we'd kiss their no-code licensed azz, only we didn't lay down and take their BS. Many of us ARE licensed hammies, way beyond these leeches. and corrected them on many issues,,,,,so many to the point they were driven madly underground.

>Think of me what you want, I have always tried to be civil in my discussions. Unlike others who are on here, I have nothing to hide. <<

How about a ton of N8's posts from your wife and daughter?

>73 de Keith
--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County <<

And you do stand for what you believe in Keith, and I respect the hell outta you for what you do....but acting as a Kissinger-type for someone like N8 is not the wisest choice you could have made.

4660. Re: a review of the last year or so.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 21:29:33 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12732-3D64063D-21@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133743

>But, as the cycle continues to decline, your "skip" prospects decline
with it. <<

Along with most of the trouble spots concerning cb.

>Amp won't help when
the cycle is at the bottom. Cheer up, tho. Things will improve in 2013.
J<<

It really will. Many malcontents will die off by then, but alas, I am
certain us young, middle-agers will be around for the new batch of
elmers or imbeciles. Remember, y'all are training them now.

4661. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 21:35:59 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3JT89.1118$yd1.100416@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 133736

I think you should drive a truck for a while.

4662. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 21:36:01 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <tq18muk396o2bfb2fval8rthc8lcc8reah@4ax.com>
Source record: 133737

On Wed, 21 Aug 2002 08:56:23 -0500, "Tom Sevart"
<n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Hi all,
>
>I still hadn't gotten a response from Ray Laforge at the FCC lab to my email
>questioning whether or not certain 10 meter radios are legal for use & sale
>by hams, so I decided to call him this morning. Here's what he said.
>
>10 meter radios are completely legal for use by licensed amateurs on the 10
>meter band. They are only illegal for import and marketing.
>
>He stated that he wouldn't put it in writing that a private sale of a 10
>meter radio is legal, but if it's used by a licensed amateur operator on 10
>meters, nobody is going to know and the FCC won't do anything about it .
>
>The end.
>
>If you want the truth, go to the source.
>
>Tom
>
Private sales of 2510s are no different than private sales of a 706's.
They are both legal regardless of having an amateur license or not.

4663. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 21:37:05 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5KT89.24293$Ke2.1883806@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133738

Jeff,
I agree fully with you. My experience has been that "higher average percentage" of modulation will be heard over a station with a high carrier and "average modulation". I have proved this many times in experimental key-downs with friends where the reciver is the same distance from both parties. Unless the "high carrier" station is employing some sort of speech processor that maintains the 100% modulation, it will usually be not be heard over a station that is swinging highly and already benefits from the "higher average percentage" of modulation. After all, it's the modulation that is heard on the air...

My mobile dead keys at 100mW and swings to 180W(with SkyWave amplifier) RMS...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Checkerboard Strangler" <jhaas84@attbi.com> wrote in message news:OUA89.95604$983.109275@rwcrnsc53...
> >>Incidentally, with AM detectors in a receiver a certain amount of the
> >>incoming signal is used to reduce the gain on stronger signals. Swang
> >>works by faking this out; listen to the background racket and you will see
> >>really quickly that swang is bullshit.
>
> Dick N&#65533;BK
>
> **********
> Dick, I have to disagree with you on this one (nice ta meetcha, by the way).
> "Swing", when properly applied to an AM transmitter, results in a fifty watt
> radio
> out-talking someone with ten times the power. CB radio isn't meant to have
> studio
> quality sound. A good, UN-amplified crystal mic and lots of swing will yield
> a radio
> that can talk right through someone with a lot of dead-key power. I have
> heard it countless times when someone gets it in their head to "throw a
> blanket" over a certain channel.

> There's always that one brilliant character out there talking right
through
> the carrier.....
>
> There's also a lot less heterodyning going on when there isn't very much
> carrier signal for the other guy to beat against.
>
> Naturally there are people out there who take it to extremes with loads of
> splatter on adjacent channels as a result.....then, NOBODY talks, but
the
> first thing I try to do when I unpack a new radio is find out how far I
can
> turn down the dead-key power.
> One only needs "enough carrier to connect the two radios", and the rest
can
> be modulation.
> That's precisely why sideband is so great, and an AM radio with lots of
> swing allows folks on AM to utilize all the benefits of sideband while
still
> staying in the mainstream of the AM channels. I want my radio to sound
sharp
> and crisp, the channels are only ten khz wide anyway.....we aren't
> transmitting hi fidelity, we're transmitting speech, and that sharp,
> piercing clarity is what makes it easy to understand through all the hash
> and trash.
>
> None of this carries technical explanations to satisfy the horde of
> "Almighty God"
> Advanced Extra Class operators who claim not to be out there, and it isn't
> meant to.
> It's a simple question of practicality, backed up by proof in-the-field.
>
> My personal recommendation is to back the dead-key power down to about 1
and
> a half watts.....maybe two, and let the audio drive the finals as far as
> they will go.
> I hate having to lug around anything bigger than a hundred watts, because
of
> the extra wiring and radical power requirements involved. My car's
> alternator is already straining at the thought of just one more current
> hungry device, and I hate having to resort to anything bigger than those
> already ugly Firestick type antennas. Controlled Carrier is the absolute
> loudest thing walking the AM planet.
>
> Regards,
> JeffH
> FCC 1st Phone ticket holder since 1979
>
>

4664. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 21:38:21 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <uv18muknnc22a5qbde1j9oopaf7c8hsg50@4ax.com>
Source record: 133739

On Wed, 21 Aug 2002 11:21:18 -0500 (CDT), SHITPANTS@webtv.net (Mark Roehlke) wrote:

>So can an active legal cber construct a 1kw 27mhz am transmitter just
>for "testing purposes" and still be in compliance?

Yes, if it's not used to transmit.

> If "the man" showed up
>would possession constitute usage?....Mark

No. Not without other evidence of it's use.

4665. Re: 27.458.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 21:38:28 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12732-3D640854-22@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133744

Can't hear them. The espanol from 455 is too damn loud! At the moment,
I'm beamless.

4666. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 21:39:51 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <d328mu87mutqlriofs8uriqd1k49cq0pht@4ax.com>
Source record: 133741

On 21 Aug 2002 21:07:48 GMT, timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com)
wrote:

>unfortunatly it does and thats why these clowns get it confused. if you have a
>antenna system then it will be automatically assumed it was used. if theres no
>antenna system in the house/vehicle then you have a valid case
>
I have to disagree. A antenna in itself is not other evidence of
transmitter use.
>
>>If "the man" showed up
>>would possession constitute usage?....Mark
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
>http://www.TimNebo.com
>

4667. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 21:40:55 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ak119m$1euve0$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133740

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208211114.311706de@posting.google.com...

> Good for you Tom, but hams freedom from type-acceptance rules was
> never the issue.

Yes it was, see below.

> What you SHOULD have asked him was...

If you're so concerned, you call & ask. This was my phone call, and I asked
the questions I wanted.

> a) Are the majority of these phoney 10 meter radios being manufactured
> and marketed for illegal purposes and used by non-hams? Are radios
> like "The General Grant" intended for legitimate amateur use?

I really don't give a rat's ass about the intended use. My contention was
that they are legal for use on amateur frequencies by licensed hams if they
want to use them there. And they are.

> b) Haven't the majority of these phoney 10 meter radios actually been
> DEFINED as "non-type-accepted CB's" and NOT "ham radios" by the
> Commission?

Once again, it doesn't matter. I can use a modified CB to operate on 10
meters if I wanted to. I can use whatever transceiver I want on the ham
frequencies, no matter what the FCC wants to call it.

> b) Just because a ham could OPERATE a non-type accepted radio does
> that mean he may also PURCHASE one from illegal importers and
> marketers?

No, but he could buy one from an idividual.

> c) Just because a ham could OPERATE a non-type-accepted radio, does
> that justify them being marketed to CB'ers at truck stops and in
> internet CB Shops?

I never said it did. I never disputed that they were illegal for use on CB
frequencies.

> e) What about all the BS about "export radios"? Are they intended for
> CB in some other country? If so, what country is it where operation on
> ham bands is legal for CB'ers?

I don't care about export CB's. My concern is over 10 meter radios. If
you're so concerned about what all the other countries' CB rules are, you do
the research.

> Yet you chose to ask Mr. LaForge an inocuous question --- a question
> that everyone already knew the answer to -- and no one disputed.

Those were the only two questions I cared about. No one disputed? Did you forget making this post?

>From: Aaron H. Voobner (ahvoobner@yahoo.com)
>Subject: Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>Date: 2002-08-01 16:21:34 PST
>
>
>>There is nothing illegal about a Uniden HR2510
>>- IF it is listed unter amateur radio.
>
>Wrong. See below.
>
>>It IS illegal for CB use. But since when has that ever
>>mattered to Aanyone other than Aanal Aaron?
>>Who isn't a licensed Aamateur and can't use 10 metres
>>Aanyhow?
>
>Irrelevant.
>
>>The HR2510 is perfectly legal for 10 metre use.
>
>Wrong again. See below.

<snip>

Apparently you disputed it. You were adamant that these radios were "illegal" for amateur use, and even went so far as to tell ebay to remove auctions of these kind of radios. Backpedal all you want, but you made the claim that certain 10 meter radios were illegal, period, which they are not.

This is the last I'm going to say on this. The bottom line is:

1. Any radio capable of operating on any ham band (including 10 meters) is legal for use by licensed hams as long as the ham uses it within the limits of his/her license.

2. The FCC won't state so much that a private sale of a 10 meter radio listed on the notice is legal, but as long as it's used legally by a licensed ham, the FCC doesn't care.

That's it. I'm taking the football helmet off now.

Tom

4668. Re: ABF on 26.735

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 21:44:28 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ak11ga$1f02b9$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133742
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

"Meat-->Plow" <Meat@hell-flame-wars.orq> wrote in message
news:ak078g$4in$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> CB band is 26.965 - 27.405 isn't there enough fucking channels there to
talk on
> without operating illegally? Why not buy a couple of those FRS radios for
$39 a
> pair? Those things have a range of a couple miles line of sight.

I know what you mean. Perhaps in larger cities the 40 CB channels are
crowded, but where I live they are empty. I can't understand why someone
would want to operate on illegal frequencies when the legal channels are
virtually empty.

FRS radios are handy, but the best effective range I've ever really gotten
out of them was about 1 mile.

Perhaps MURS will become a handy alternative.

Tom

4669. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 21:45:30 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <r728muc8f02p3bqtupi31be8udh8tag6dm@4ax.com>
Source record: 133746

On 21 Aug 2002 21:07:48 GMT, timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com)
wrote:

>unfortunatly it does and thats why these clowns get it confused. if you have a
>antenna system then it will be automatically assumed it was used. if theres no
>antenna system in the house/vehicle then you have a valid case
>
Remember auto searches from part 95 rules only apply to CB'ers. A
antenna does not make you a CB'er. Possession does not make you a
CB'er. If you have transmitting equipment in your house and you have
a antenna outside it still is not enough. Other evidence is needed.

>>If "the man" showed up
>>would possession constitute usage?....Mark
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
>http://www.TimNebo.com
>

4670. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 21:58:16 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208211358.6959f4ce@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133647

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<ak062n$1eo9bb$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> Hi all,
>
> I still hadn't gotten a response from Ray Laforge at the FCC lab to my email
> questioning whether or not certain 10 meter radios are legal for use & sale
> by hams, so I decided to call him this morning. Here's what he said.
>
> 10 meter radios are completely legal for use by licensed amateurs on the 10
> meter band. They are only illegal for import and marketing.
>
> He stated that he wouldn't put it in writing that a private sale of a 10
> meter radio is legal, but if it's used by a licensed amateur operator on 10
> meters, nobody is going to know and the FCC won't do anything about it .
>
> The end.
>
> If you want the truth, go to the source.
>
> Tom

thanks tom.....maybe frank will shut up for a while now and
behave himself on this newsgroup and start talking about electronic
stuff again like he used to, instead of going off the deep end on this
ten meter radio issue. just think about it. frank getting along with
the newsgroup members. commenting and being commented on, teaching,
helping and being a real all around good
guy.....NAAAAAAAAAAAAAWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWWW
W!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
AINT GOING TO HAPPEN.....

4671. Re: Francis Whips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 21:58:30 UTC
From: Steve McQueen <madmac51@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <a2U89.3746$ak5.1609670686@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133648

Are you "generalizing" or do you have specific knowledge that the model CB28 and the CB50 are the EXACT same antenna except for color? I just wonder why Francis decided to make the CB28 in 3 colors, but name the CB50 "Amazer" and only offer it in one color.

Seems to me there might be some difference, but it could all just be marketing.

Steve
"Dave or Debby" <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message news:tsc7mu4vs29cge9qeg8acaf7p2ldm0jrj7@4ax.com...
> ARE these both the same length? IF they are, then NO DIFFERENCE in
> the antennas performance OR power handling capability, which should be
> 500 watts. THE COLOR does NOT determine what power it can handle!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> On Tue, 20 Aug 2002 21:44:41 GMT, "Steve McQueen"
> <madmac51@swbell.net> wrote:
>
> >Is there any difference between the Francis CB28 Wheeler Dealer and the CB50
> >Amazer other than color? I'm going to go with a corner bumper mount on my
> >Tahoe for now. Not brave enough to drill the roof, yet.
> >
> >Thanks,
> >
> >Steve
> >
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4672. New coax length question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 21:58:31 UTC
From: Steve McQueen <madmac51@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <b2U89.3747$2i5.1609531459@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133649

The last thread was very informative. What about the Francis whips? They are not tunable. I saw something in their adds about using the correct length of coax. But it did not say how much. This setup will be a bumper mount on a 2 dr Tahoe.

Should I just run the least amount needed?

Thanks,

Steve

4673. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 22:10:21 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22140-3D640FCD-70@storefull-2311.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133656

From: tnom@mucks.net
On 21 Aug 2002 21:07:48 GMT, timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote:
unfortunatly it does and thats why these clowns get it confused. if you
have a antenna system then it will be automatically assumed it was used.
if theres no antenna system in the house/vehicle then you have a valid
case

>I have to disagree. A antenna in itself is not other evidence of
transmitter use.
<<

Hell, half the time there isn't even any transmitter in the house at
all, even with an antenna up there.

4674. Re: New coax length question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 22:14:44 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ChU89.6931$%v4.127613@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133650

Steve McQueen <madmac51@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:b2U89.3747$2i5.1609531459@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> The last thread was very informative. What about the Francis whips? They
> are not tunable. I saw something in their adds about using the correct
> length of coax. But it did not say how much. This setup will be a bumper
> mount on a 2 dr Tahoe.
>
> Should I just run the least amount needed?
>
> Thanks,

If the antenna manufacturer depends on trimming coax to "tune" (the more
acurate phrase would be
"resonate") the antenna, he is not honest at all. You *may* get some
different readings with different lengths, but I have never even given
length a second thought when installing radios. The
true and accepted way of setting up an antenna system (except in phased
systems) is to tune the
antenna itself by lengthening or shorting the radiating element.
Unfortunately, many manufacturers
know that an apparent majority of CBers have bought into the "coax length"
thing and have no way
of proving or disproving it. I have used an MFJ 259 Antenna Analyzer right
at the feedpoint of the
antenna, and then checked it again with a random length of coax--10 feet or
so. The readings were
exactly the same. I have heard that the Firesticks were pretty good, but
when I get hold of an antenna that wants a "certain" length of coax, I get
suspicious. Normally, you should tune the anTENna, not the coax.

73

Jerry
>
> Steve
>
>

4675. Re: ABF on 26.735

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 22:31:01 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <UwU89.7130$%v4.134629@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133652

www.TimNebo.com <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020821171249.18777.00001806@mb-me.aol.com...
> actually no, 40 channels for millions of cb radios just isnt enough

Tim,

That's the reason CB should be on VHF or UHF. We both know about the noise
and racket
that goes on on 27 MHZ. By moving to a segment of spectrum that does not
normally lend itself
to "skip" (tho it can be affected, just not as often or as long), you
effectively increase the number of
"channels" in that many, many more people can be on the air at the same
time, same frequency and
never bother each other.

Jerry

>
> >
> >CB band is 26.965 - 27.405 isn't there enough fucking channels there to
talk
> >on
> >without operating illegally? Why not buy a couple of those FRS radios for
$39
> >a
> >pair? Those things have a range of a couple miles line of sight.
> >
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

4676. Re: a review of the last year or so.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 22:32:41 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <syU89.7157$%v4.135821@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133653

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:12732-3D64063D-21@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >But, as the cycle continues to decline, your "skip" prospects decline
> with it. <<
>
>
>
> Along with most of the trouble spots concerning cb.

..............making it easier yet to pinpoint those truckers on 10 meters.

Jerry
>
>
> >Amp won't help when
> the cycle is at the bottom. Cheer up, tho. Things will improve in 2013.
> J<<
>
>
> It really will. Many malcontents will die off by then, but alas, I am
> certain us young, middle-agers will be around for the new batch of
> elmers or imbeciles. Remember, y'all are training them now.
>

4677. Stupid Question, Maybe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 22:35:24 UTC
From: "Dr. John (of Life)" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <QAU89.3529$dn2.25499@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 133651

Yes, well, I don't know much about this stuff, but is "10 Meter" the wavelength or is it something else?

John

4678. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 22:37:19 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <PCU89.7225$%v4.137272@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133655

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:d328mu87mutqlriofs8uriqd1k49cq0pht@4ax.com...
> On 21 Aug 2002 21:07:48 GMT, timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com)
> wrote:
>
> >unfortunatly it does and thats why these clowns get it confused. if you
have a
> >antenna system then it will be automatically assumed it was used. if
theres no
> >antenna system in the house/vehicle then you have a valid case
> >
> I have to disagree. A antenna in itself is not other evidence of
> transmitter use.

I'd have to agree, Tnom. You have to attract the agents' attention in some
other way, but when they found the uncertified transmitter that proved, upon
test, to be a 27 MHZ transmitter, you'd
have a problem. If you made one of those transmitters, tested it into a
cantenna, and set it on a
shelf, it would provide a home for a lot of spiders before it would cause
you any trouble.

Jerry
> >
> >>If "the man" showed up
> >>would possession constitute usage?....Mark
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
> > Tim Nebo
> >
> >http://www.TimNebo.com
> >
>
>

4679. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 22:43:11 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <LAU89.23755$aL6.5774@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133654

A lot of the new truckers had never heard of a CB radio until they graduated from T/D school, once they got on the big roads and listened to the old school they
want to sound like the large cars so they take their 29 to the local butcher where the AMC is disabled and a power mic.,connex board,T/B,RF amp.
and a Wilson 2000 is installed.
Hoot and Holler, i got a BIG radio now.
These people don't know the difference between Front End Overload and a hole in the ground.
After the newness wears off they begin to smarten up ,but it is our job to tell them when they are over-moddin and begin to educate them if they are willing to learn.
--
Mad Dog
"Spunk Monkey" <webmaster@127.0.0.1> wrote in message news:um7ug84qci7t13@corp.supernews.com...
> Can someone enlighten me as to why truckers radios sound like shit?
>
> I hear a lot of them that are way over-modulated, have echo, reverb, etc.
> Do they knowingly do this?
>
> What is the mentality of sounding like southern trailer trash on the radio?
>
>

4680. Re: Stupid Question, Maybe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 23:20:23 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D64010F.F3995540@mailcity.net>
Source record: 133657

"Dr. John (of Life)" wrote:
>
> Yes, well, I don't know much about this stuff, but is "10 Meter" the
> wavelength or is it something else?
>
> John

Not a stupid question at all, yes 10 meters refers to wavelength.
Wavelength is inversely propotional to frequency, the higher the
frequency, the shorter the wavelength, and vic versa.

4681. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 23:23:18 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D6420CB.6CF8C1F6@icok.net>
Source record: 133658

First, it the antenna's input is 50 ohms, the feed line
is 50 ohms, and the radio's output is 50 ohms, there is
no reactance present. There is also no SWR (1:1).

Second, if the radio is 50 ohms, the feed line is 50 ohms
but the antenna's input impedance is something other than
50 ohms, the problem is the antenna's input impedance. Why
not fix the problem where it occurs? Change the antenna's
input impedance to 50 ohms. Simple, isn't it?

Third, if the radio is 50 ohms, and the feed line is 50 ohms,
there is absolutely no way to match an antenna's 'non-50 ohms'
input impedance by varying the length of the 50 ohm feed line.
The mismatch between feed line and antenna does not change.

Forthly, your 'big time' tech doesn't know what he's talking
about, and unfortunately, neither do you conserning the length
of RG-8x and RG-58 to make an electrical 1/2 wave length. I
would suggest reading ~all~ of a good text on resonance,
inductance, capacitance, reactances, feed lines, antennas, and
standing waves, not just part of it. I do agree with you about
the 'so-called experts', most of them are 'so-called' because
ther are NOT experts. Radios, feed lines, and antennas do have
a specific relationship for best performance. That relationship
is well known and NOT in doubt. There is no magic to it, it's
all well documented. Those who try to make it mysterious do so
for a reason, they want what's in your pocket, (and I don't mean
your 'Old Timer').
'Doc

4682. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 23:40:46 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D644F14.412852B4@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133659

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
>
> That's it. I'm taking the football helmet off now.

LMFAO!!!!

Glad my message sunk in.

Now, back to our regularly scheduled lives...
...except those living in their own dream.

4683. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 23:41:36 UTC
From: Spunk Monkey <croch@spamorama.net>
Message-ID: <um898o7u01bjf5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133660

I am a truck driver.... I can still not understand the desire to run over-modulated, reverb, echo, roger-beeping trash. And I've been driving since 89'.

What is it with truck drivers wanting to sound like shit?

"tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:3JT89.1118$yd1.100416@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I think you should drive a truck for a while.
>
>

4684. Re: New coax length question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 23:49:08 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D64510E.205BFC85@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133661

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> I have heard that the Firesticks were pretty good, but
> when I get hold of an antenna that wants a "certain" length of coax, I get
> suspicious. Normally, you should tune the anTENna, not the coax.

The Firestik KW series is pre-tuned to 27.48 (or there abouts).

I've never had to tune one for CB (KW-7). YMMV

4685. Re: ts440s $300.00 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-21 23:59:22 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1029974700.401510@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 133662

Radio has been sold
"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020821170109.18777.00001803@mb-me.aol.com...
> sounds too good to be true
>
>
> >Subject: ts440s $300.00 shipped
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

4686. Re: 27.458.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 00:03:24 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1029974943.272388@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 133663

Their right there in Galveston. We also have problems with Them using 2 mtr simplex frequencies that are right in the band plan of coordinated duplex frequencies .
They are Vietnamese shrimpers
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:12732-3D640854-22@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Can't hear them. The espanol from 455 is too damn loud! At the moment,
> I'm beamless.
>

4687. Re: 27.458.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 00:23:07 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020821202307.19444.00001868@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 133664

Thanks but these guys are dx. Both my friend in San ANgelo and myself here in Galveston beam them best to the south west. the Vietnamese guys are easily recognizable by there sound and there language. I once had a friend who is from Vietnam who also speaks Chinese come try to decipher it for me since I suspected them same as you but he recognized it as Japanese.

>Their right there in Galveston. We also have problems with Them using 2 mtr
>simplex frequencies that are right in the band plan of coordinated duplex
>frequencies .
>They are Vietnamese shrimpers

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4688. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 00:23:46 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020821202346.18189.00002009@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 133665

Sorry Busch man you are an Extra that acts like a no coder.

4689. Re: ABF on 26.735

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 00:25:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020821202518.19444.00001869@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 133666

i agree but its not and probably never will be

>
>Tim,
>
>That's the reason CB should be on VHF or UHF. We both know about the noise
>and racket
>that goes on on 27 MHZ. By moving to a segment of spectrum that does not
>normally lend itself
>to "skip" (tho it can be affected, just not as often or as long), you
>effectively increase the number of
>"channels" in that many, many more people can be on the air at the same
>time, same frequency and
>never bother each other.
>
>
>
>Jerry

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4690. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 00:28:02 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6410E7.1D3F0CDB@mailcity.net>
Source record: 133667

'Doc wrote:
>
> First, it the antenna's input is 50 ohms, the feed line
> is 50 ohms, and the radio's output is 50 ohms, there is
> no reactance present. There is also no SWR (1:1).

Correct, the line is "flat" and the swr is the same at all points,
so inserting an swr meter at any point with any length jumpers
will give an accurate reading.

>
> Second, if the radio is 50 ohms, the feed line is 50 ohms
> but the antenna's input impedance is something other than
> 50 ohms, the problem is the antenna's input impedance. Why
> not fix the problem where it occurs? Change the antenna's
> input impedance to 50 ohms. Simple, isn't it?

Should be, but some insist on making it hard. It is just a popular
myth that trimming the coax to a certain length will give you a
better match and a bigger signal.

4691. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 00:31:24 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <whW89.3013$7g5.194183398@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133668

"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:QkS89.2745$Aw4.206252@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20020820234119.986$MG@newsreader.com...
> > "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
> > > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20020820232525.744$Gw@newsreader.com...
> > >
> > > > > > Thanks for the tip,fuck nut.
> > >
> > > > > >>That would be "tip, fucknut!"
> > >
> > > > > I'm not talking about the tip that ticlkes your throat.
> > >
> > >>As your buddy Landshark
> > >
> > You're not my buddy,and you're not Landshark.
>
> Never ever said I was your budy dyslexia setting in? And trust me I never
> said I was Landshark.
>
>

We know that your not me, just by your
trolling.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

4692. Re: NE ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 00:31:24 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <whW89.3012$7g5.194183398@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133669

"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:0jS89.2728$Aw4.205417@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:7uN89.4743$Fj7.265630919@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> > news:KFD89.114194$Fw3.4838977@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > He may be a trucker passing
> > > thru NE Ohio and want a good cb shop in the area, perhaps he is moving
> to
> > > that area no you had to troll him.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Spoken like a true expert on trolling.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Yes you troll my posts so I have good first hand experiance.
>
>

LMAO! yeah whatever you want to say
smelly socks, LOL!!!!!!

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

4693. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 00:31:25 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <xhW89.3015$7g5.194183398@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133670

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D644F14.412852B4@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Tom Sevart wrote:
> >
> >
> > That's it. I'm taking the football helmet off now.
>
> LMFAO!!!!
>
> Glad my message sunk in.
>
> Now, back to our regularly scheduled lives...
> ...except those living in their own dream.

Agreed, now all he has to do is kill file
him, and his head is safe for a while.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

4694. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 00:31:25 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <xhW89.3016$7g5.194183398@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133671

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208211114.311706de@posting.google.com...
> Good for you Tom, but hams freedom from type-acceptance rules was
> never the issue. What you SHOULD have asked him was...
>
> a) Are the majority of these phoney 10 meter radios being manufactured
> and marketed for illegal purposes and used by non-hams? Are radios
> like "The General Grant" intended for legitimate amateur use?
>
> b) Haven't the majority of these phoney 10 meter radios actually been
> DEFINED as "non-type-accepted CB's" and NOT "ham radios" by the
> Commission?
>
> b) Just because a ham could OPERATE a non-type accepted radio does
> that mean he may also PURCHASE one from illegal importers and
> marketers?
>
> c) Just because a ham could OPERATE a non-type-accepted radio, does
> that justify them being marketed to CB'ers at truck stops and in
> internet CB Shops?
>
> e) What about all the BS about "export radios"? Are they intended for
> CB in some other country? If so, what country is it where operation on
> ham bands is legal for CB'ers?
>
> You and I raised these and other issues in our discussion, Tom. They
> are questions that deserve answers.
>
> Yet you chose to ask Mr. LaForge an inocuous question --- a question
> that everyone already knew the answer to -- and no one disputed.
>
> >The end.
> >
> >If you want the truth, go to the source.
>
> More like "if you want to save face, avoid the difficult questions".
>
> -Aaron-

What did I tell you Tom, he doesn't
care about radio, he's only trolling.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

4695. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 00:31:25 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <xhW89.3014$7g5.194183398@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133672

"Keith Hosman" <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:%aP89.7231$pv6.793309@cletus.bright.net.
>
> Tim I agree that there are problem areas on the amateur bands, take a look
> at the idiots involved in the flamwar on the amateur usenet groups, it is
a
> continuation of what goes on on some HF freqs. There are good and bad
> operators in amateur, and on CB. That being said, that still doesn't
justify
> either group doing wrong. For example all of these kidnapping incedents
> going on in the last few months, seeing these things happen, does that
> justify someone else doing it? Or the school shootings, does one make
> another one right?
>
> A long time ago when I came to this group I saw the start of the batle
> between N8WWM and the rest start, I agree with Doug and his group about
> legal operations, but at the same time I said I disagreed with his
tactics,
> spam and flooding usenet was disruptive. I have met Doug and talked to him
> in person several times, he's actually a really decent guy with alot of
> knowledge that he was willing to share with me regarding antenna design
ect.
>
> What I am saying is two wrongs do not make a right (but three lefts do (if
> you figure this one out let me know heheh)).
>
> Nothing would make me happier than to see this group return to an actual
> discussion group where we can exchange information on radio operation,
> problem solving ect. without all the flamefests.
>
> Yes I am a licensed ham, and yes I also enjoy talking on CB, I run legal
> equipment, when I am on CB I leave my ticket on the wall, when I am on my
> amateur radio I leave my cb language in the drawer.
>
> I am not better than anyone else, I make mistakes, and will until the day
I
> die. And if you see me make a mistake, let me know, so I don't make it
> again.
>
> If any of you are ever in the area drop me a line and we can go have a
> coffee.
>
> 73 de Keith
>

> --
> KC8TCQ
> American Red Cross - Disaster Services
> Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
> Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red
> Cross
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
>
>

Well good luck Keith, I'm afraid too many trolls
have infected the group, so it's going to be an up hill
battle for discussions. As for Doug, well he and his clones
have left such a stain here, I doubt anyone here will forgive
him for what he has done. As long as you are happy about him,
it really doesn't matter at all what other people think about
him. It's a funny thing, I'd bet you hundred dollars that all
the big talk by the trolls wouldn't ever be said to your face
or on the ham bands, so go figure.

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

4696. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 00:34:42 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208211634.27243baa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133673

Tom wrote:
>Those were the only two questions I cared about. No one
>disputed? Did you forget making this post?
>
>From: Aaron H. Voobner (ahvoobner@yahoo.com)
>Subject: Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
>Date: 2002-08-01 16:21:34 PST
>
>
>>There is nothing illegal about a Uniden HR2510
>>- IF it is listed unter amateur radio.
>
>Wrong. See below.
>
>>It IS illegal for CB use. But since when has that ever
>>mattered to Aanyone other than Aanal Aaron?

Wow. Impressive argument.

>>Who isn't a licensed Aamateur and can't use 10 metres
>>Aanyhow?
>
>Irrelevant.
>
>>The HR2510 is perfectly legal for 10 metre use.
>
>Wrong again. See below.

Woah, Tom. You snipped off the rest of my post and the subsequent
posts I made. The HR2510 is legal for 10 meter use --- only under
certain conditions. One of them being that it is used by a licensed
ham, used within Part 95, etc. etc. Nice try tho.

>Apparently you disputed it. You were adamant that these radios were
>"illegal" for amateur use, and even went so far as to tell ebay to
>remove auctions of these kind of radios.

I did?? Gosh, I didn't know I controlled e-Bay auctions. Where did I
get that power? They do exactly what I say when I say it? No kidding.
How does that work exactly?

>Backpedal all you want, but you made the
>claim that certain 10 meter radios were illegal, period,
>which they are not.

Think about it. If I disputed or didn't understand amateur equipment exemption from type acceptance, how come I posted this a MONTH ago?

--
From: Aaron H. Voobner (voobner_aaron@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: 10M rigs, Customs, legals -The Real Deal...
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 20:36:22 PST
I wrote:
>Amateur gear does not require type-acceptance and never has. CB
>gear does.

I forgot that this was Usenet and folks will leap to correct any oversight, so I will correct myself. All RF gear must meet certain standards set by the FCC. This is known as "FCC Certification", and it was previously known as "Type Acceptance". Amateur gear is certified for use in the range of frequencies authorized for amateur operation, meaning, commercial amateur gear is not "certified" for use outside the amateur bands. This doesn't change the essential point of my previous post, since licensed amateurs may legally build,modify, and use equipment that is "capable" of out of band or illegal high power operation.....and CBers may not.

>This is the last I'm going to say on this. The bottom line is:
>
>1. Any radio capable of operating on any ham band (including
>10 meters) is legal for use by licensed hams as long as the ham
>uses it within the limits of his/her license.

How does this fact apply to eBay auctions of forbidden items and contraband equipment sales over the internet? If you want a "banned" radio to use legally, you'll need to find one via a private sale that someone already violated the law with by purchasing from a dealer.

>2. The FCC won't state so much that a private sale of a 10
>meter radio listed on the notice is legal, but as long as it's
>used legally by a
>licensed ham, the FCC doesn't care.

Hey Tom, any guesses what percentage of these "10 meter radios" are actually being used legally? Oh I forgot, unless it affects you directly, you don't care....

-Aaron-

4697. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 00:40:09 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208211640.2fdb8adf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133674

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote
>> Now, back to our regularly scheduled lives...
>> ...except those living in their own dream.
>
>Agreed, now all he has to do is kill file
>him, and his head is safe for a while.
>
>Landshark

Me, killfiled? I doubt it.

-Aaron-

--
sparky@cet.com (Sparky) wrote:

Aaron will never be killfiled by many of the keyclowns because he speaks the truth, and truth is what most of them fear. IOW, anyone sitting on the fence is reading both sides of any argument, and can tell the difference between keyclown BS and the truth. That's why the keyclowns must keep shoveling more and more BS to try and cover up the truth as revealed by Voobner (and others).

And why would they do that? The obvious reason is that illegal operators depend upon each other to validate their illegal activities. Just like any other form of organized crime (or religion), it is social support that justifies their behavior. Things such as "logic" and "facts" diminish the persuasive effects of such anti-social reinforcement, and must therefore be contradicted.

Another reason is that some of them make a lot of money scamming people by convincing them to buy illegal amps and "export" radios. Opposition to these people has led to many, many threats of violence against Voobner, myself, and other defenders of honorable intentions. But many of us don't scare very easily, and will continue to educate people about the reality of radio communication (life is not an RF drag race).

Killfile Voobner? Ain't gonna happen. Deal with it.

4698. Re: New coax length question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 00:47:09 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ak1cbt02b34@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133676

"Steve McQueen" <madmac51@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:b2U89.3747$2i5.1609531459@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> The last thread was very informative. What about the Francis whips? They
> are not tunable. I saw something in their adds about using the correct
> length of coax. But it did not say how much. This setup will be a bumper
> mount on a 2 dr Tahoe.
>
> Should I just run the least amount needed?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Steve

Length is only a role if you co-phase two antennas. In which case, both
lengths MUST be equal.
For a single antenna it makes no difference.
Stainless steel whips can be cut if needed.
Even fiberglass whips can be cut if needed.
To do that, you do it in very small steps, such as 1/4" at a time.
Then seal the top with some type of adhesive sealer. Clear would be better.

4699. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 00:56:47 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ak1csr02br4@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133678

"Mark Roehlke" <SHITPANTS@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:18894-3D63BDFE-43@storefull-2253.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> So can an active legal cber construct a 1kw 27mhz am transmitter just
> for "testing purposes" and still be in compliance?If "the man" showed up
> would possession constitute usage?....Mark

You should at least have some type of license for doing the work.
Unlike hams, a cber can't just whack away and build one on his own.
Unless he plans on marketing it.
Then, if you do build such an animal, you'd need to test it on a dummy load.
Once you put the radio on the air for normal conversations, then you're in
violation.
However, said radio can be legally used in emergency situations like in
earthquake relief, natural disasters and the like, not you every day
emergencies handled by the local police and fire departments.
As for possession, no it's not illegal to have it.
After all, it's nothing more than a paper weight if it's not hooked up and
powered.

4700. FA: Turner J-M +3 mobile mike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 00:59:44 UTC
From: celt <crogers@zoominternet.net>
Message-ID: <3d6433a4_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 133675

eBay auction ends Aug-26 14:18:57

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374836536

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4701. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 01:05:46 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ak1ddo02cl6@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133680

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ak0vo2$1ecipl$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> news:ak090i01tml@enews1.newsguy.com...
> >
> > Possesion of "illegal radios" is not so much illegal. It is how you use
> them
> > that makes them illegal.
> > Just like anything else, it is how you use it that makes it illegal.
>
> That was never in dispute. What was in dispute was that the radios listed
> on the FCC's "Illegal CB transceiver list" are illegal for use on 10
meters
> by licensed hams. Some even went so far as to state that it is illegal to
> merely possess them.
>
> Tom
>

It is illegal to impost and market the radios.
So perhaps in their way of thinking, possession of is also illegal.
But at what point does importaion end?
Once the radio is in the country, we no longer have imporation.
We have distrribution and marketing.
I buy the radio from Pilot. Pilot is marketing the radio. I am not.
However, if I buy numerous radios, then sell them, then I am marketing the
radios.
I think the possession angle would be a hard one to determine.

As for the hams, again, it is an importation/marketing issue.
Unless hte FCC federal laws specifically state that possession is a crime, I
don't see how having one would be punishable.

4702. Re: I got another GOLDMINE of NOS CB stuff AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 01:08:40 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ak1dj502d4q@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133679

"Dave or Debby" <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:9lc7muomsddjncl7hitnbs74dcmclsaquo@4ax.com...
> The curling part on the cord went right to the mic head--I thought
> some straight cord should be @ the head like other bics, but this one
> has the curl go right to the head of it.
>
> I also have a Shakesphere 388 CB boat antenna in it too, NOS !
>
> Get a JOB so you can afford more than $1 !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

Ok ok ok. You twisted my arm. two bucks.

4703. Re: Cigarette Adapter on Uniden PC68LTW??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 01:10:53 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ak1dna02dc4@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133681

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020820225528.395$NZ@newsreader.com...
> "John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote:
> > "TSH" <jimbob@world.com> wrote in message
> > news:lLu89.2922$NZ.117736@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > I know, I know, this isn't the best way to provide juice to the box,
> > > but I drive two different vehicles, Suburban and Ford Pickup quite a
> > > bit. I
> > would
> > > like to be able to move the radio from vehicle to vehicle when
> > > necessary. Can I put a cigarette adapter on the power cord?
> > > What will performance issues be?
> > > Thanks.
> > >
> >
> >>Wire up a relay in a small box and connect the relay to the power.
> > Use a switch on the ground side of the relay to cut power.
> > Then fuse the output side.
> >
> A relay?
>
> Disregard "john smith's" advice.

Yes a relay. Radio shack has them readily available.
A relay is nothing more than a switch.
Of course, you could just hard wire it all in and watch everything fry
later.

4704. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 01:11:36 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <bfe8musbbk0kioqt9726974pcvuqb1d00r@4ax.com>
Source record: 133677

>unfortunatly it does and thats why these clowns get it confused. if you
>have a antenna system then it will be automatically assumed it was used.
>if theres no antenna system in the house/vehicle then you have a valid
>case

Not the case. I forced the Fcc's hand on this one before. When push
comes to shove an antenna means nothing.

>>I have to disagree. A antenna in itself is not other evidence of
>transmitter use.
><<
>
>
>
>Hell, half the time there isn't even any transmitter in the house at
>all, even with an antenna up there.

4705. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 01:36:55 UTC
From: "SILKY (Da Black Pimp)" <noflaming@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <wfX89.9904$u7.777279@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 133682

It might be the "mating call" for their favorite "Lot Lizards"
Here Lizard, Lizard, Lizard!
BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
"Spunk Monkey" <webmaster@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:um7ug84qci7t13@corp.supernews.com...
> Can someone enlighten me as to why truckers radios sound like shit?
>
> I hear a lot of them that are way over-modulated, have echo, reverb, etc.
> Do they knowingly do this?
>
> What is the mentality of sounding like southern trailer trash on the
radio?
>
>

4706. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 01:39:28 UTC
From: BobC <123@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <um8g18bmdqqaa3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133683

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:5KT89.24293$Ke2.1883806@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Jeff,
> I agree fully with you. My experience has been that "higher average
> percentage" of modulation will be heard over a station with a high carrier
> and "average modulation". I have proved this many times in experimental
> key-downs with friends where the reciver is the same distance from both
> parties. Unless the "high carrier" station is employing some sort of
speech
> processor that maintains the 100% modulation, it will usually be not be
> heard over a station that is swinging highly and already benefits from the
> "higher average percentage" of modulation. After all, it's the modulation
> that is heard on the air...
>
> Brian Griffey

I personally don't believe the part about dead keying 180 mw. and sounding good in the distance. All you'd have out there is a double sideband signal. I figure about the only thing that saves you out there is if there's another carrier to beat against that's strong enough to replace the one you're not supplying. If your setup were the best I suspect every AM station in the country would be using it. Think of the savings in electrical power from the utilities.

Admittedly I'm not in a technical position anymore that would allow me the opportunity to simulate your system. However, I am in a position to hear others using your style of operation. Sounds like crap outside of where the carrier can be heard.
I also say you are incorrect that the "swinging" station will drowned out the one with a moderate carrier. At most, the swinging station will be heard because it's summing with the moderate carrier station but it's not going to drowned anyone out.

I would go as far as saying I think the swinging station might sound a bit louder close in because the agc doesn't know what to do with it.

4707. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 01:53:04 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <CIX89.17876$CD2.2385743@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133687

Richard Cranium <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208211032.7b1b4ac7@posting.google.com...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208191556.ceb665d@posting.google.com>...
> > >timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote
> > >
> > >everybody in the entire radio active world knows
> > >it is going on but you!
> >
> > If you are radio active on 14.313, do let us know times (UTC) when
> > you're on. As Tom Sevart says, there's no law against listening to
> > (and making recordings of) pirates....
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> By all means, record away. And be sure to send your recordings to
> Riley so action can be taken against those law-breaking amateurs!

I've done it before, and I'll do it again.

J

4708. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 01:54:28 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D644453.2C80549E@citlink.net>
Source record: 133686

I am a trucker also.Just a little reverb ads punch.Listen to your big
Commercial AM brodcasters.
Nope I dont like echo,overmodulation otr any of that other crap either.....Hmm
come to think of it I don't hang below ch 40 on Am anyway....always up at about
27.570 USB with my Alinco DX77T and 1200 watts rag chewing with the
world.Either that or trashing around the 555 circus.......Taildragger

Spunk Monkey wrote:

> Can someone enlighten me as to why truckers radios sound like shit?
>
> I hear a lot of them that are way over-modulated, have echo, reverb, etc.
> Do they knowingly do this?
>
> What is the mentality of sounding like southern trailer trash on the radio?

4709. Re: Does illegally high powered CBs hurt anyone? It seems they do.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 02:05:19 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <8xX89.18048$CD2.2396044@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133689

James Alexander <james-m-alexander@rogers.com> wrote in message
news:3D62888F.2FEDC41C@rogers.com...
> "Peter R." wrote:
>
> > On Wed, 07 Aug 2002 03:13:23 GMT, "Leland C. Scott"
> > <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
> >
> > >snip<
> >
> > Electronics 101 : what kind of cb power are we tailking about that
> > could bring down a helicopter ? Go on, wise guy .
> >
> > I've been lurking in this ng for a while, and it seems here t's the
> > same problem as anywhere else. HAM people have bands to choose from,
> > and power to burn, but still they're trying their darnest to take away
> > the few channels and piss ant power us cb'ers have.

No--you are very welcome to your "few channels" and "piss ant" power. All
we want you
to do is stop trying to take OURS!! Any initiative to take away your CB
band on 27 MHZ
will be caused by the ridiculously over-powered amps, and the ones who won't
stay where
they belong--not by hams.

\So what are you
> > saying ? 100 watts at 26 MHz is no problem, as long as you're
> > licenced, but 20 watts in 27 MHz will make jets crash into the capitol
> > ?
> >
> > Peter 'confuzed' R.

Incidentally, the air band is between 108 and 136 MHZ AM. That is
approximately the 3rd
harmonic (+ the fundamental) of 27 MHZ). The chances are remote, but with a
dirty, splattering
poorly, (or none at all) filtered amp, such IS possible.

Jerry
>
> Hams who abuse their priveliges also get nailed, lets not forget this.
You
> also forget about harmonics and the problems those can cause. As I've
> already mentioned, having a powerful cb with piss poor harmonic
supression

> will splatter rf noise up the spectrum well into the aircraft band.
>
> Also your spurious emissons about hams trying to take away the CB
frequencies
> from CB users is at best, totally inane. Hams have a fair amount of
spectrum
> in HF, not all of which is fully utilized. Hams also have quite a bit of
> spectrum in the VHF UHF regioun (all the way up to microwave). Just the
70cm
> band alone in many countries is either 10 or 20 mhz (depending on what
> country you live in). Much of the UHF and above spectrum that hams have
is
> unused. Why on earth would they want to take CB? it makes no sense at
all.
>
>

4710. Sheriff Bob or Purple Turtle??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 02:07:36 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D644768.FB80C6DE@citlink.net>
Source record: 133688

Hi
I am writing a book on CB'ers that have made great contributions to CB radio and would like to interview Sheriff Bob and or Purple Turtle from AZ.....ROTFLMAO
Radio Persona Non Grata

4711. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 02:33:08 UTC
From: Todd <ktm@lanset.com>
Message-ID: <3d644d5c@monitor.lanset.com>
Source record: 133690

Mating Call!

"Spunk Monkey" <webmaster@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:um7ug84qci7t13@corp.supernews.com...
> Can someone enlighten me as to why truckers radios sound like shit?
>
> I hear a lot of them that are way over-modulated, have echo, reverb, etc.
> Do they knowingly do this?
>
> What is the mentality of sounding like southern trailer trash on the
radio?
>

4712. Re: NE ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 03:10:24 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ACY89.84516$eK6.2824910@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133691

"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:0jS89.2728$Aw4.205417@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:7uN89.4743$Fj7.265630919@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> > news:KFD89.114194$Fw3.4838977@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > He may be a trucker passing
> > > thru NE Ohio and want a good cb shop in the area, perhaps he is moving
> to
> > > that area no you had to troll him.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Spoken like a true expert on trolling.
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Yes you troll my posts so I have good first hand experiance.
>
>

'Bout time for a new nic, George. Wondered why you had dropped out of the
"nic of the week" club.

4713. Re: New coax length question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 03:13:15 UTC
From: Steve McQueen <madmac51@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <fFY89.4090$4W3.1669391603@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133692

The Francis whip I have is an old "3/4 wave" which replaced the 1/4 wave whip in a Big Stick base antenna back when a Cobra 139 xlr was "state of the art". I see in the new adds for Francis that it is refered to as a "triple quarter wave". I never had to trim the Big Stick, swr was very flat. I am still gathering hardware to install my vintage Uniden Grant in my Z71 'hoe. I may wait until the temp drops to the low 90's. It's way too hot is South Texas right now.

Steve
"John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:ak1cbt02b34@enews4.newsguy.com...
>
> "Steve McQueen" <madmac51@swbell.net> wrote in message
> news:b2U89.3747$2i5.1609531459@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> > The last thread was very informative. What about the Francis whips?
They
> > are not tunable. I saw something in their adds about using the correct
> > length of coax. But it did not say how much. This setup will be a
bumper
> > mount on a 2 dr Tahoe.
> >
> > Should I just run the least amount needed?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Steve
>
> Length is only a role if you co-phase two antennas. In which case, both
> lengths MUST be equal.
> For a single antenna it makes no difference.
> Stainless steel whips can be cut if needed.
> Even fiberglass whips can be cut if needed.
> To do that, you do it in very small steps, such as 1/4" at a time.
> Then seal the top with some type of adhesive sealer. Clear would be
better.
>
>
>

4714. Re: Cigarette Adapter on Uniden PC68LTW??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 03:27:43 UTC
From: Steve McQueen <madmac51@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <PSY89.4093$CE4.1672423557@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133693

Driving lights need relays, CB radios no not.

"John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:ak1dna02dc4@enews4.newsguy.com...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20020820225528.395$NZ@newsreader.com...
> > "John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote:
> > > "TSH" <jimbob@world.com> wrote in message
> > > news:lLu89.2922$NZ.117736@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > I know, I know, this isn't the best way to provide juice to the box,
> > > > but I drive two different vehicles, Suburban and Ford Pickup quite a
> > > > bit. I
> > > would
> > > > like to be able to move the radio from vehicle to vehicle when
> > > > necessary. Can I put a cigarette adapter on the power cord?
> > > > What will performance issues be?
> > > > Thanks.
> > > >
> > >
> > >>Wire up a relay in a small box and connect the relay to the power.
> > > > Use a switch on the ground side of the relay to cut power.
> > > > Then fuse the output side.
> > >
> > > A relay?
> >
> > Disregard "john smith's" advice.
>
> Yes a relay. Radio shack has them readily available.
> A relay is nothing more than a switch.
> Of course, you could just hard wire it all in and watch everything fry
> later.
>
>
>

4715. Super Yagi Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 04:04:09 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6462B9.FCA3D9F1@citlink.net>
Source record: 133694

Being a truck driver gives one a lot of time to think about important economic and political world issues
Well, I got to thinking about what could be done to make a more efficient mobile antenna and realized that size is THE problem. How do you get a multiple figure gain antenna on a truck? Loading coils are the answer and the problem at the same time -- the antenna radiation resistance drops as reactance is substituted for length. High current loops develop and the power is dissipated in the antenna instead of being radiated. If only the antenna didn't dissipate the power. Hmmm....let's see, P=E2 /R; now if R were 0 then...

From my work, I have some contacts in research groups over at MIT in Boston. Better yet, I knew a Japanese CB'er that is a graduate student there. The thought running through my head was to build a super-conducting antenna. This requires cryogenics, i.e. temperatures around minus 279 degrees Centigrade. I was able get a couple of the electronics major outcasts interested in the project and we built a 11-meter dipole test silicon wafer. They put together a lot of serial coils by "re-work" on the wafer; they were able to connect them so we had a super-conducting yagi. I took my RCI 2950 transceiver down to the lab for the first tests, but before we could test it, actual measurements showed it was resonant on 3.126 MHz. It seems that the normal equations for inductance don't work with super-conducting materials -- you need a lot fewer turns to get the same results compared to room temperature.
Many measurements and trials later, we had a eleven-meter resonant wafer. This time we put a 40-element beam on each wafer and stacked 4 wafers in the same assembly. That made a 160-element array on 11-meters in less than a half-foot cube (15 cm3).

The first test didn't go too well. I connected my 2950 to the super-conducting wafer antenna and tuned it for 11 meters. At room temperature, we couldn't hear anything. Using a heat pump, the lab technicians started lowering the antenna's temperature toward the super-conducting region. I was really impressed by how small the equipment is, and started thinking it might all fit in the truck. Just then, the 2950 froze solid, which had a negative effect on its operating characteristics. This wouldn't be so easy after all; the coax connection would need some study!

They reworked the wafers to put inductive coupling on them, but I could find no way to efficiently couple to it from the conducting array. Fortunately the guys came up with a new ceramic material that passed RF but not heat/cold. Probably, something that Kyocera invented just for this use. I sent the 2950 to the Best CB shop in Ontario Ca.(where I buy

all my equipt.) and asked them to touch it up for me. Frank,one of the techs there, asked exactly how the paint had been peeled off around the coax connector -- lightning maybe? No, I assured him -- just low temperature exposure, without saying how low the temperatures were. The project had to stay secret and besides, Doctor Bill can repair anything!

Since it looked like it might be a while before the 2950 would be repaired, I brought out my Galaxy 88. I had already placed an order for a RCI 6900F TB anyway. After verifying that in the super-conducting range the antenna was resonant on 11-meters, we connected the 88. The ceramic material worked and the rig operated well as we began the cooling cycle. The band seemed dead even with the antenna at -150 degrees C. It took another 10 minutes to get to the super-conducting range -- then the 88 blew up. It seems our antenna had a bit more gain than the 88 front-end could take.
Later measurements showed 500 volts coming out of the coax. A little hard to believe, but then what do I know about cryogenic LSI antenna technology? The 88 was also returned to Dr. Bill, but he frowned a bit -- the front-end board did look like it had been hit by lightning. Not to worry, Dr Bill can repair anything!

The 6900F TB arrived just in time to be able to continue experiments. We built a QSK attenuator to protect the receiver. With the LSI wafer antenna still inside the lab, we decided to try to make a contact on 11-meters,27.555. What a shock when we got it working! The first thing we heard was a couple of guys in Phoenix AZ talking on 27.555(Sheriff Bob and Triple 6) and that was with 80 dB of attenuation. We had the antenna array on a rotatable mount; I moved it about a half-degree and the guys in AZ disappeared. What beam width! We tuned them in again, and they were just about to sign off, so we thought we would try to work them.
The rig was tuned up at 150 watts USB on a dummy load; we switched in the wafer antenna and gave the guys in AZ a call. The noise was unbelievable -- an ionized ray shot out from the wafers and hit the wall of the building. Before we knocked a hole in the band, we took a piece out of the lab wall! Ever wonder what an antenna pattern looks like in 3D? There was a oval hole in the wall of the lab -- about 1-cm high by 2-cm wide.
We cut power quickly. Sheriff Bob came back on frequency a few minutes later and said he was using his back-up rig; something had taken his main rig off the air. For some reason, the station he was talking to never came back, so we decided not to transmit again until we knew for sure what was going on.
Also this being several months after the test I have found out that hundreds,maybe even thousands of CB tranceivers were wiped out in a path from Boston and to the southwest as far away as Austrailia.
Personally I think CB's need more adjacent channel rejection.

As near as we can tell, the antenna array has 620-dB gain over a dipole, but with a beamwidth of 0.75 degrees using the 60-dB points. With 150 watts output, the effective radiated power is 55 quadrillion

watts at the center of the beam (5.5 with 13 zeroes).
As soon as the MIT big guys realized what the hell the flash and noise was on the south campus, the entire project and equiptment was taken away from us by a jerk named Hollingsworth from the FCC and turned over to Homeland Defense. CB "tinkering" has contributed to something, but I am not exactly sure what. I haven't the slightest idea what was in those wafers or how to build another set. Do you think someone may be interested in this idea for Star Wars/SDI?? What I'd give to use a much smaller setup in the next Super Bowl Contest!

A few months later, MIT contacted me and asked just how close I had been to the antenna when operating. As best as I can figure, I was in the null behind the array. From what has been said so far, it looks like a secondary use for our antenna may be as a mass sterilizer and they are considering useing it in Iraq but confirmation will have to await the results of our medical tests. If our antenna ever hits the market, it looks like remote operation may be desirable.So after all this work and BS we have to come up with some kind of super shielding for mobile use.

As I am writing this, I have been informed that my friend Dr Bill can't fix everything after all. He's written off the 2950 and 88, and
I just found out that before the FCC confiscated all the equiptment, the guys tried one more time with my 6900F TB, but without the 100-dB attenuator to protect the receiver.The guys are recovering in the hospital from what has been guessed to be a freak lightning strike and the 6900 now looks like a pea sized piece of black glass and it looks like it will be a while before I am on the air
again.....Taildragger aka AF28

4716. Re: Stupid Question, Maybe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 04:23:33 UTC
From: "Dr. John (of Life)" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <pHZ89.3604$dn2.27065@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 133695

Thanks for the clarification. I wonder if I was a Ham in a previous life.
Or if I just made a good educated guess.

So on the same topic, if you transmitted unidirectionally to your friend
standing less than 10 meters away (lets say 7M) with a receiver, how would
the wavelength travel and what side effect may result?

John

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D64010F.F3995540@mailcity.net...
>
>
> "Dr. John (of Life)" wrote:
> >
> > Yes, well, I don't know much about this stuff, but is "10 Meter" the
> > wavelength or is it something else?
> >
> > John
>
> Not a stupid question at all, yes 10 meters refers to wavelength.
> Wavelength is inversely propotional to frequency, the higher the
> frequency, the shorter the wavelength, and vic versa.

4717. Re: Stupid Question, Maybe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 05:36:35 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D645930.AF303541@mailcity.net>
Source record: 133696

"Dr. John (of Life)" wrote:
>
> Thanks for the clarification. I wonder if I was a Ham in a previous life.
> Or if I just made a good educated guess.
>
> So on the same topic, if you transmitted unidirectionally to your friend
> standing less than 10 meters away (lets say 7M) with a receiver, how would
> the wavelength travel and what side effect may result?
>
> John
>

Think of a radio wave like throwing a rock in a pond. The waves spread out in a circular pattern from where the rock hits, the wavelength is the distance between the crest of one wave and the next. It would not matter where you stood in the pond the waves still pass you. The strength of a radio waves reduces by the square of the distance, so if your friend with a receiver is 10 meters from the source there are no side effects other that he is receiving a strong signal (might over load his receiver). If he doubles his distance from the source the signal level is reduced by a factor of 4 and so on.

4718. Re: New coax length question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 05:52:16 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D647C10.5F6C3F83@citlink.net>
Source record: 133747

The answer is 18' of coass single or co-phased for a 8' Francis whip....I used to run a pair on a truck and you will get really good bandwidth.....

Steve McQueen wrote:

> The last thread was very informative. What about the Francis whips? They
> are not tunable. I saw something in their adds about using the correct
> length of coax. But it did not say how much. This setup will be a bumper
> mount on a 2 dr Tahoe.
>
> Should I just run the least amount needed?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Steve

4719. Re: USA Truck Wash?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 06:09:08 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <um8vvpiclbpncb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133748

Most states have gone to matching the exit numbers to the mile markers. Oa
did it a year ago.
That narrows the search somewhat since there are few states that can
have a 700 mile long interstate. I'm thinking Texas may be the only state
but I could be wrong.
Sincerely
Ken
"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020820213718.10182.00002292@mb-fe.aol.com...
> exit 738 or 785? anybody know where this is? i'm not hearing any dx from
> anywhere on the band except channel 19 where this one station keeps
advertising
> the USA Truck Wash. i'm thinking he must be running some monster ass
station to
> be the absolutely last station anywhere on the band. he's lasted even
longer
> than the guys on the superbowl.
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

4720. Re: There are over 100,000 NewsGroups !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 06:15:38 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <um90c0jij3v88@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133749

Yea but are theses 4 letter words said with the same "flair" as one would
get from a Master Chief or a grungy snipe?
Sincerely
Ken
"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:um11pf78pe5ia2@corp.supernews.com...
> You are welcome to rec.radio.amateur.misc. More four letter words are
> uttered there in 30 seconds than I ever heard in a week during my 4 years
in
> the Navy.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "Dave or Debby" <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message
> news:bqe0muk8e2r1qnh2ert6lpofgeqo7s80dc@4ax.com...
> > Can U believe it? My server had to change NG settings or whatever and
> > I had to download them all, and it was OVER 100,000 NewsGroups, with
> > just ONE for CB !
> >
> > Look how many for da Hamboz!
> >
> > Maybe we should have more of dem?
> >
> > Then again, maybe we shouldn't !
> >
> > 4-10 ?
> > Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>
>

4721. Re: ABF on 26.735

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 06:21:28 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <um90mtjabgso4e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133750
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

Let me see now...# men are busting there ass at work maybe helping eachother out and someone wants to complain. 40 channels is NOT enough and franky it doesnt matter if it is enough. There are retards that see an SUV and get upset since a Geo Metro is enough!
Ken
> CB band is 26.965 - 27.405 isn't there enough fucking channels there to talk on
> without operating illegally? Why not buy a couple of those FRS radios for $39 a
> pair? Those things have a range of a couple miles line of sight.

4722. Re: 27.458.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 06:24:42 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <um90t0s29qgobe@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133751

There boat people. They thought they left oppresive government behind when
they left communist Vietnam and came to the US. They havent meet Uncle
Charlie here .....yet.
"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020821165819.18777.00001802@mb-me.aol.com...
> anybody know anything about the oriental people that use this freq? it
sounds
> like japanese to me but i cant be sure. there in a south westernly
direction
> from me here in texas so it leads me to believe there part of some type of
> fishing fleet in the pacfic but i could be mistaken. i know i'm not the
only
> person that hears these guys. they've been on this same freq since at
least 95
> that i know of personally but ive never heard anybody mention them or
refer to
> them. any clues guys? there out there right now if you want to tune in and
> listen.
>
> thanks
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

4723. Re: Sheriff Bob or Purple Turtle??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 06:26:09 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <um90vn30facif2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133752

Actually you want to interview Stern for coming up with Baba Booeeey....The ad agency that came up with "can you here me now" and "wassupp"
Ken
"Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in message news:3D644768.FB80C6DE@citlink.net...
> Hi
> I am writing a book on CB'ers that have made great contributions to CB
> radio and would like to interview Sheriff Bob and or Purple Turtle from
> AZ.................ROTFLMAO
> Radio Persona Non Grata
>

4724. Re: Stupid Question, Maybe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 06:29:26 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <um915r5cmqlm5f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133753

Its not a dumb question at all and your right it is the wavelength. You see Hams when they want more bandwidth they need to go take a test. Cb'ers just need a 10 meter radio:) Its like expanded CB.
Sincerly
Ken
"Dr. John (of Life)" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message news:QAU89.3529$dn2.25499@eagle.america.net...
> Yes, well, I don't know much about this stuff, but is "10 Meter" the
> wavelength or is it something else?
>
> John
>
>

4725. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 06:32:53 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <um91canfqhnid6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133754

Because the truckers with good radios are up on 28.185 also known as ch19 up two bands. I'm up there and my radio sounds great!
Ken
"Spunk Monkey" <webmaster@127.0.0.1> wrote in message news:um7ug84qci7t13@corp.supernews.com...
> Can someone enlighten me as to why truckers radios sound like shit?
>
> I hear a lot of them that are way over-modulated, have echo, reverb, etc.
> Do they knowingly do this?
>
> What is the mentality of sounding like southern trailer trash on the radio?
>
>

4726. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 06:36:54 UTC
From: Kenneth <pwrguy@intergrafix.net>
Message-ID: <um91jv6e60fl68@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133755

Your full of it....CB radio and 10 meter BIG radios cause NO interference
ANYWHERE EVER.....Your like those morons driving a Yugo bitching about
SUVS killing the rainforest.

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D626890.8C131B46@mailcity.net...
> Who cares what the idiots do on the cb band do as long as they do
> not interfere with other services. The whole argument here is that
> it is not confined to the cb band. The overdriven, overpowered,
> splatter boxes cause other interference, and the encroachment into
> other frequencies by unlicensed operators, such as the 10 meter
> band with their imported "10 meter" radios, which we all know are
> aimed at the cb crowd, is the issue. That is what we want cleaned
> up.
>
> Twistedhed wrote:
> >
> > From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
> > www.TimNebo.com wrote:
> > i was just showing how odd it is that with all the troubles on the world
> > wide amatuer bands you religate yourself to "policing" the cb freqs only
> > when there is so much more going on.
> >
> > >The amount of problem on the ham bands are but a small minority of
> > people. Compared to the CB band where breaking the law is the "rule"
> > rather than the exception.<<
> >
> > Opinions are like ...., this one stinks and shows you can not only
> > not mask your disdain for cbers but go out of your way to antagonize and
> > let your hate be known...repeatedly. Seek within and you will find the
> > source.
> >
> >
> > Tim continued with: "after all the guys on the ham bands are making our
> > entire nation look like fools to the whole world, yet your biggest
> > concern is still truckers with small amps driving up an down the
> > highway. "
> >
> > >After the last skip cycle, the whole world got a taste of USA CB. I'm
> > sure that that was not an image that I would want the world to picture
> > us as. <<
> >
> > (Sigh) The whole world has had not only a taste but a plateful of an
> > image not aesthetically pleasing long before this last skip cycle, and

> > it was brought to them courtesy of Hammie USA,,..yourself a fine example
> > of what I speak...prejudice for no apparent reason and anxious to share
> > it with whoever will lend an ear.
> > Sharing the knowledge that you recently became aware of what a high
> > magnitude of a skip cycle can do, especially the present one, doesn't
> > mean the rest of the world shared your recent revelation at the same
> > time you experienced it. Many of us have experienced several cycles.
> > Judging by your astonishment concerning the skip cycle, you probably
> > won;'t find the next one as fascinating as your first, but you can still
> > have fun with it.
> >
> > Tim related: "aaron you asked
> > for proof of "current torubles on the hf bands" and here it is. dont try
> > to wiesel out of it now. be a man and admitt it really is going on and
> > theres nothing you can do about any of it."
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"

4727. Re: Stupid Question, Maybe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 06:51:49 UTC
From: n2uhc@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3442abbf.0208212251.3d24564c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133756

"Dr. John \(of Life\)" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:<QAU89.3529$dn2.25499@eagle.america.net>...
> Yes, well, I don't know much about this stuff, but is "10 Meter" the
> wavelength or is it something else?

Yes, the wavelength (roughly) of the amateur band from 28.000 to
29.700. This is usually referred to as the 10 meter band.

CB frequencies are usually referred to as the 11 meter band.

Tom

4728. Re: Super Yagi Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 07:58:29 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ak25jk01ov5@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133757

"Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D6462B9.FCA3D9F1@citlink.net...
> Being a truck driver gives one a lot of time to think about important
> economic and political world issues
> Well, I got to thinking about what could be done to make a more
> efficient mobile antenna and realized that size is THE problem. How do
> you get a multiple figure gain antenna on a truck? Loading coils are the
> answer and the problem at the same time -- the antenna radiation
> resistance drops as reactance is substituted for length. High current
> loops develop and the power is dissipated in the antenna instead of
> being radiated. If only the antenna didn't dissipate the power.
> Hmmm....let's see, P=E2 /R; now if R were 0 then...

"Divide by zero error" results.

You should have started with, "you ain't gonna believe this shit
but.....".
Do you even know what a yagi antenna is and does?
Look at your tv antenna on the roof of your house. That is a yagi antenna.
the yagi is a beam antenna. Depending on the number of elements, that beam
can get damn narrow.
a beam is a directional signal. A person at right angles to the antenna
could not signal it no how no way.

While I found your engineering marvel story entertaining, may I kindly
suggest you keep that sort of malarky in the truck stop where it belongs.

4729. 603 beam and tower 4sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 10:39:34 UTC
From: Jeff <j.dauphinais@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Gb399.5859$NZ.325220@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

I have for sale 1 full size "HY-Gain" beam 10 meters. fully assembled for your inspection. 13' Boom and longest element is 22' $100.00 I also have a steel tower totaling 25'. this is in 3 sections 1 10 foot st8 and 1 5foot st8 and 1 10 foot top section. I don't not have a rotator. $50.00. pickup only from Nashua,NewHampshire. I am selling these because they are to big for my new QTH. This beam has spent a large portion of its life in storage. 73's Jeff AA1GR

4730. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 10:39:42 UTC
From: n2uhc@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3442abbf.0208220239.78e8bc26@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133759

"John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:<ak1ddo02cl6@enews4.newsguy.com>...

> As for the hams, again, it is an importation/marketing issue.
> Unless hte FCC federal laws specifically state that possession is a crime, I
> don't see how having one would be punishable.

I've never seen any laws or rules that say that possession of those
radios is a crime, so I don't think there's anything to worry about.
Even if you're not a licensed ham.

I'm with you, though, what's most important is the responsibility of
the operators and how the radios are used.

Tom

4731. Re: New coax length question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 10:44:57 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ak2fbl0282v@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133760

Coass? Damn. Then I've been doing it wrong all these years.
Now just where do you get this coass stuff from?

For COAX, length is not important on a single antenna.
For co-pahsing, they must be equal lengths.
And you also don't know jack shit about bandwidth.
Bandwidth is determined by the radio itself, not the antenna.
Unless you're trying to say that the swr on all channels will be nearly the same.

"Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in message news:3D647C10.5F6C3F83@citlink.net...
> The answer is 18' of coass single or co-phased for a 8' Francis whip....I used
> to run a pair on a truck and you will get really good bandwidth.....
>
> Steve McQueen wrote:
>
> > The last thread was very informative. What about the Francis whips? They
> > are not tunable. I saw something in their adds about using the correct
> > length of coax. But it did not say how much. This setup will be a bumper
> > mount on a 2 dr Tahoe.
> >
> > Should I just run the least amount needed?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Steve
>

4732. Old coax length answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 11:13:52 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D64C777.C5301641@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133761

COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!

(Unless you're co-phasing-a waste of money.)

"Arthur R. Howard" wrote:
>
> The answer is 18' of coass single or co-phased for a 8' Francis whip....I used
> to run a pair on a truck and you will get really good bandwidth.....

4733. Re: Super Yagi Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 11:20:06 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D64C8ED.5BF1FA9F@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133762

"Arthur R. Howard" wrote:

<snipped for economy>

I read this before. Nice troll attempt.

4734. Re: Does illegally high powered CBs hurt anyone? It seems they do.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 12:58:56 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ak2n2r$1f5s80$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133763

"James Alexander" <james-m-alexander@rogers.com> wrote in message
news:3D62888F.2FEDC41C@rogers.com...

> Also your spurious emissons about hams trying to take away the CB
frequencies
> from CB users is at best, totally inane. Hams have a fair amount of
spectrum
> in HF, not all of which is fully utilized. Hams also have quite a bit of
> spectrum in the VHF UHF regioun (all the way up to microwave). Just the
70cm
> band alone in many countries is either 10 or 20 mhz (depending on what
> country you live in). Much of the UHF and above spectrum that hams have
is
> unused. Why on earth would they want to take CB? it makes no sense at
all.

I've never heard of hams wanting to take (back) the CB frequencies. Or maybe some would like to, but it'll never happen. The FCC isn't just going to take that spectrum and allocate it to the amateur service.

Even if it did happen, there are thousands upon thousands of CB's already out there. If all CB manufacturers stopped selling CB's today, there would still be an incredible number of them active on all 40 channels. I know that CB'ers wouldn't just voluntarily give up their radios because the band was given to the amateurs. And I don't think the amateurs would want it because it would be heavily populated with CB'ers.

CB'ers have nothing to worry about.

Tom

4735. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 12:59:02 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208220459.352cf355@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133764

"John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:<ak090i01tml@enews1.newsguy.com>...
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:ak062n$1eo9bb$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> > Hi all,
> >
> > I still hadn't gotten a response from Ray Laforge at the FCC lab to my
> email
> > questioning whether or not certain 10 meter radios are legal for use &
> sale
> > by hams, so I decided to call him this morning. Here's what he said.
> >
> > 10 meter radios are completely legal for use by licensed amateurs on the
> 10
> > meter band. They are only illegal for import and marketing.
> >
> > He stated that he wouldn't put it in writing that a private sale of a 10
> > meter radio is legal, but if it's used by a licensed amateur operator on
> 10
> > meters, nobody is going to know and the FCC won't do anything about it .
> >
> > The end.
> >
> > If you want the truth, go to the source.
> >
> > Tom
> >
>
> The key in all of this is having that license. You can build, sell and trade
> all the radios you want.
> But if you do not have the proper authority to use it, you pay a fine.
>
> Those illegal radios, the ones that are overpowered, and easily convertible
> to 11 meters, are the ones that make use on 11 meters illegal.
> Likewise, transmitting on an unauthorized frequency gets you in trouble.
>
> At various ham fests, you'll find radios of all kinds for sale. Nothing
> prevents you from having a radio capable of transmitting on police
> frequencies. But if you do it, you'll soon find out just how illegal it is.
>
> If you know radio electronics good enough, you can build your own radio. If
> it transmits on ham frequencies, you still need a license to do so. And the
> proper type of license for that frequency.
> You can build your own CB radio if you want. But you couldn't market it

> unless it was type acceptable.

Almost: You could build it, but you could NOT transmit with it on CB
frequencies. The transmitter has to be certified by the FCC.

> Possesion of "illegal radios" is not so much illegal. It is how you use them
> that makes them illegal.
> Just like anything else, it is how you use it that makes it illegal.

But ya know, if one was to run one of those radios with 25 watts PEP
on CB, the fact is that nobody would ever be able to tell! Conditions
change so much from minute to minute, and from day to day, that even a
skilled listener can't tell the difference between 12 watts and 25
watts, or even 100 watts most of the time.

That's why these threads are such a waste of hot air. We all know what
the law says. Most people obey it; a few don't and most people don't
even know it. It's the tiny number of people that run big amps and
echo boxes cranked up all the way that draw the attention, along with
those running "export CBs" and don't know they're into ten meters.

But to just lump all CBers together and say they're somehow all
law-breakers (as Jerry Ox has said) is wholly wrong-minded.

4736. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 13:00:09 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208220500.e967637@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133765

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<ak0vs6$1eda87$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> "www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
> news:20020821170748.18777.00001804@mb-me.aol.com...
> > unfortunatly it does and thats why these clowns get it confused. if you
> have a
> > antenna system then it will be automatically assumed it was used. if
> theres no
> > antenna system in the house/vehicle then you have a valid case
>
> Yes, if it's connected to a power source, antenna, etc., it can reasonably
> be assumed that the radio has been in use. However, if it's up on a shelf
> in a bedroom closet, it's hard to make the case that it's being used
> illegally.
>
> Tom

To the FCC, mere possession of an amplifier is evidence that you have
used it. UnAmerican? Yes, but that doesn't stop them.

4737. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 13:04:18 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ak2ncu$1etu1i$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133766

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D644F14.412852B4@yaSPAMhoo.com...

> > That's it. I'm taking the football helmet off now.
>
> LMFAO!!!!
>
> Glad my message sunk in.
>

Well I know he will come up with some other argument and try to keep beating
the dead horse, but any further discussion is pointless.

Tom

4738. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 13:05:45 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208220505.6a373ed1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133768

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208211634.27243baa@posting.google.com>...
> Tom wrote:
> >Those were the only two questions I cared about. No one
> >disputed? Did you forget making this post?
> >
> >From: Aaron H. Voobner (ahvoobner@yahoo.com)
> >Subject: Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt
> >Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> >Date: 2002-08-01 16:21:34 PST
> >
> >
> >>There is nothing illegal about a Uniden HR2510
> >>- IF it is listed unter amateur radio.
> >
> >Wrong. See below.
> >
> >>It IS illegal for CB use. But since when has that ever
> >>mattered to Aanyone other than Aanal Aaron?
>
>
> Wow. Impressive argument.
>
>
> >>Who isn't a licensed Aamateur and can't use 10 metres
> >>Aanyhow?
> >
> >Irrelevant.
> >
> >>The HR2510 is perfectly legal for 10 metre use.
> >
> >Wrong again. See below.
>
> Woah, Tom. You snipped off the rest of my post and the subsequent
> posts I made. The HR2510 is legal for 10 meter use --- only under
> certain conditions. One of them being that it is used by a licensed
> ham, used within Part 95, etc. etc. Nice try tho.

WRONG! Part 95 has nothing to do with amateur radio. And no
"conditions" apply to amateur use. The HR2510 and HR2600 are
completely legal 10 meter radios for use by licensed amateurs on the
ten meter band.

> >Apparently you disputed it. You were adamant that these radios were
> >"illegal" for amateur use, and even went so far as to tell ebay to

> >remove auctions of these kind of radios.
>
> I did?? Gosh, I didn't know I controlled e-Bay auctions. Where did I
> get that power? They do exactly what I say when I say it? No kidding.
> How does that work exactly?

You brag about it regularly: "The Auction Will Be Stopped" and all
that crap. Once again you've donned your torn tu-tu and are doing your
famous "Chicken side-step".

> >Backpedal all you want, but you made the
> >claim that certain 10 meter radios were illegal, period,
> >which they are not.
>
> Think about it. If I disputed or didn't understand amateur equipment
> exemption from type acceptance, how come I posted this a MONTH ago?
>
> --
> From: Aaron H. Voobner (voobner_aaron@yahoo.com)
> Subject: Re: 10M rigs, Customs, legals -The Real Deal...
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Date: 2002-07-19 20:36:22 PST
> I wrote:
> >Amateur gear does not require type-acceptance and never has. CB
> >gear does.
>
> I forgot that this was Usenet and folks will leap to correct any
> oversight, so I will correct myself. All RF gear must meet certain
> standards set by the FCC. This is known as "FCC Certification", and it
> was previously known as "Type Acceptance". Amateur gear is certified
> for use in the range of frequencies authorized for amateur operation,
> meaning, commercial amateur gear is not "certified" for use outside
> the amateur bands. This doesn't change the essential point of my
> previous post, since licensed amateurs may legally build,modify, and
> use equipment that is "capable" of out of band or illegal high power
> operation.....and CBers may not.
>
> ---
>
>
> >This is the last I'm going to say on this. The bottom line is:
> >
> >1. Any radio capable of operating on any ham band (including
> >10 meters) is legal for use by licensed hams as long as the ham
> >uses it within the limits of his/her license.
>
> How does this fact apply to eBay auctions of forbidden items and
> contraband equipment sales over the internet? If you want a "banned"
> radio to use legally, you'll need to find one via a private sale that
> someone already violated the law with by purchasing from a dealer.

If someone wants to auction off a ten meter radio to another licensed amateur, that is their Right.

> >2. The FCC won't state so much that a private sale of a 10
> >meter radio listed on the notice is legal, but as long as it's
> >used legally by a
> >licensed ham, the FCC doesn't care.
>
> Hey Tom, any guesses what percentage of these "10 meter radios" are
> actually being used legally? Oh I forgot, unless it affects you
> directly, you don't care....

My guess is "most of them" are being used properly and legally. Can you prove otherwise?

4739. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 13:06:51 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ak2nhm$1fnhv5$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133767

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208211634.27243baa@posting.google.com...

>
> I did?? Gosh, I didn't know I controlled e-Bay auctions. Where did I
> get that power? They do exactly what I say when I say it? No kidding.
> How does that work exactly?
>

Keep on beating that dead horse, it may get up and cross the finish line.

Tom

4740. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 13:14:28 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208220514.562f13fe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133769

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208211114.311706de@posting.google.com>...
> Re: 10 meter radios - the final word
>
> Tom Sevart" n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com
> >Date: Wed, Aug 21, 2002 6:56 AM
> >Message-id: <ak062n$1eo9bb$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
> >
> >Hi all,
> >
> >I still hadn't gotten a response from Ray Laforge at the FCC lab to
> my email
> >questioning whether or not certain 10 meter radios are legal for use
> & sale
> >by hams, so I decided to call him this morning. Here's what he said
> >
> >
> >10 meter radios are completely legal for use by licensed amateurs on
> the
> >10 meter band. They are only illegal for import and marketing.
> >
> >
> >He stated that he wouldn't put it in writing that a private sale of a
> 10
> >meter radio is legal, but if it's used by a licensed amateur operator
> on
> >10 meters, nobody is going to know and the FCC won't do anything
> about it .
>
> Good for you Tom, but hams freedom from type-acceptance rules was
> never the issue.

Unfortunately, it WAS an issue; YOU stated that those radios were
"illegal" and made no exemption for amateur use.

What you SHOULD have asked him was...
>
> a) Are the majority of these phoney 10 meter radios being manufactured
> and marketed for illegal purposes and used by non-hams? Are radios
> like "The General Grant" intended for legitimate amateur use?
>
> b) Haven't the majority of these phoney 10 meter radios actually been
> DEFINED as "non-type-accepted CB's" and NOT "ham radios" by the
> Commission?

There is NO SUCH THING as a "PHONEY TEN METER RADIO"; there are only illegal uses.

> b) Just because a ham could OPERATE a non-type accepted radio does
> that mean he may also PURCHASE one from illegal importers and
> marketers?

If the radio in question was imported prior to the date of the FCC's letter, yes, he can.

> c) Just because a ham could OPERATE a non-type-accepted radio, does
> that justify them being marketed to CB'ers at truck stops and in
> internet CB Shops?

If the truck driver has a valid ham license it makes perfect sense. The onus falls on the seller to make sure the purchaser is properly licensed.

> e) What about all the BS about "export radios"? Are they intended for
> CB in some other country? If so, what country is it where operation on
> ham bands is legal for CB'ers?

The FCC has no control over that in any event; their jurisdiction in the USA and possessions.

> You and I raised these and other issues in our discussion, Tom. They
> are questions that deserve answers.

They have been answered. You just won't accept that.

> Yet you chose to ask Mr. LaForge an inocuous question --- a question
> that everyone already knew the answer to -- and no one disputed.

Except YOU.

> >The end.
> >
> >If you want the truth, go to the source.
>
> More like "if you want to save face, avoid the difficult questions".
>
> -Aaron-

So, why don't YOU talk to him and ask those questions, Aanal Aaron? It would only cost you the price of a phone call, and I've offered to reimburse you that cost. Trying to save what's left of your face, are you?

4741. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 13:15:49 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208220515.7106eead@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133770

timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote in message
news:<20020821171030.18777.00001805@mb-me.aol.com>...
> >
> >Yet you chose to ask Mr. LaForge an inocuous question --- a question
> >that everyone already knew the answer to -- and no one disputed.
>
>
>
> aaron every one of your questions below were ones we all know the answer too
> except B. it was valid.
>

WHICH "b"?

>
> >a) Are the majority of these phoney 10 meter radios being manufactured
> >and marketed for illegal purposes and used by non-hams? Are radios
> >like "The General Grant" intended for legitimate amateur use?
> >
> >b) Haven't the majority of these phoney 10 meter radios actually been
> >DEFINED as "non-type-accepted CB's" and NOT "ham radios" by the
> >Commission?
> >
> >b) Just because a ham could OPERATE a non-type accepted radio does
> >that mean he may also PURCHASE one from illegal importers and
> >marketers?
> >
> >c) Just because a ham could OPERATE a non-type-accepted radio, does
> >that justify them being marketed to CB'ers at truck stops and in
> >internet CB Shops?
> >
> >e) What about all the BS about "export radios"? Are they intended for
> >CB in some other country? If so, what country is it where operation on
> >ham bands is legal for CB'ers?
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

4742. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 13:28:21 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208220528.4b4007fa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133771

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:<um91canfqhnid6@corp.supernews.com>...
> Because the truckers with good radios are up on 28.185 also known as ch19 up
> two bands. I'm up there and my radio sounds great!
> Ken
> "Spunk Monkey" <webmaster@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> news:um7ug84qci7t13@corp.supernews.com...
> > Can someone enlighten me as to why truckers radios sound like shit?
> >
> > I hear a lot of them that are way over-modulated, have echo, reverb, etc.
> > Do they knowingly do this?
> >
> > What is the mentality of sounding like southern trailer trash on the
> radio?
> >
> >
hmm. that area isn't being used by anyone else right now is it? so
the truckers found a new place to talk ....cool....

4743. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 13:33:10 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <vvp9mu0bn386k5t25reirpfsehi05q6fch@4ax.com>
Source record: 133772

YOU tell them Mad woofer!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On Wed, 21 Aug 2002 18:43:11 -0400, "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net>
wrote:

>
>
>A lot of the new truckers had never heard of a CB radio until they graduated
>from T/D school, once they got on the big roads and listened to the old
>school they
>want to sound like the large cars so they take their 29 to the local butcher
>where the AMC is disabled and a power mic.,connex board,T/B,RF amp.
>and a Wilson 2000 is installed.
>Hoot and Holler, i got a BIG radio now.
>These people don't know the difference between Front End Overload and a hole
>in the ground.
>After the newness wears off they begin to smarten up ,but it is our job to
>tell them when they are over-moddin and begin to educate them if they are
>willing to learn.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4744. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 13:33:15 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208220533.41638b76@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133773

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:<LAU89.23755$aL6.5774@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>...
> A lot of the new truckers had never heard of a CB radio until they graduated
> from T/D school, once they got on the big roads and listened to the old
> school they
> want to sound like the large cars so they take their 29 to the local butcher
> where the AMC is disabled and a power mic.,connex board,T/B,RF amp.
> and a Wilson 2000 is installed.
> Hoot and Holler, i got a BIG radio now.
> These people don't know the difference between Front End Overload and a hole
> in the ground.
> After the newness wears off they begin to smarten up ,but it is our job to
> tell them when they are over-moddin and begin to educate them if they are
> willing to learn.
> --
> Mad Dog
> "Spunk Monkey" <webmaster@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> news:um7ug84qci7t13@corp.supernews.com...
> > Can someone enlighten me as to why truckers radios sound like shit?
> >
> > I hear a lot of them that are way over-modulated, have echo, reverb, etc.
> > Do they knowingly do this?
> >
> > What is the mentality of sounding like southern trailer trash on the
> radio?
> >
> >

truck driving school.....man what a joke.....how can
someone safely learn to drive and operate a big rig in a week driving
around on a abandoned airplane runway, with no highway conditions
present. geez...a waste of the taxpayer's money. yes i said a waste of
the taxpayer's money. who else would fund such a silly venture. they
use governmental education funds, that way they charge those simply
outrageous amounts of money for these kinds of things. and of course
sooner or later, someone decides they don't want to make the payments
anymore, and guess who gets left holding the bag. all of these private
schools should be closed or else find their own funding methods and
leave the government out of it, just my two cents.....

4745. Re: USA Truck Wash?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 13:36:56 UTC
From: Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart <webmaster@salzberg.at>
Message-ID: <um9q6nthj8ac53@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133774

I-5 in California is close to 800 miles long (they are putting up mile based exit numbers)

"Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message news:um8vvpiclbpncb@corp.supernews.com...
> Most states have gone to matching the exit numbers to the mile markers. Oa
> did it a year ago.
> That narrows the search somewhat since there are few states that can
> have a 700 mile long interstate. I'm thinking Texas may be the only state
> but I could be wrong.
> Sincerely
> Ken
> "www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
> news:20020820213718.10182.00002292@mb-fe.aol.com...
> > exit 738 or 785? anybody know where this is? i'm not hearing any dx from
> > anywhere on the band except channel 19 where this one station keeps
> advertising
> > the USA Truck Wash. i'm thinking he must be running some monster ass
> station to
> > be the absolutely last station anywhere on the band. he's lasted even
> longer
> > than the guys on the superbowl.
> >
> >
> >
> > Tim Nebo
> >
> > http://www.TimNebo.com
> >
> >
>
>

4746. Re: 27.458.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 13:39:44 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208220539.7fd5a659@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133775

timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote in message
news:<20020821165819.18777.00001802@mb-me.aol.com>...
> anybody know anything about the oriental people that use this freq? it sounds
> like japanese to me but i cant be sure. there in a south westernly direction
> from me here in texas so it leads me to believe there part of some type of
> fishing fleet in the pacfic but i could be mistaken. i know i'm not the only
> person that hears these guys. they've been on this same freq since at least 95
> that i know of personally but ive never heard anybody mention them or refer to
> them. any clues guys? there out there right now if you want to tune in and
> listen.
>
> thanks
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

yes i hear them...maybe we should have frank swim out to where they
are, and inform those duck shuckers that they are talking in a
forbidden zone according of course to his precious fcc rules and
regulations....frank must bow down to riley 5 times a day or
something.....

4747. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 14:21:12 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <sr699.585$ob2.59389@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 133776

Damn! you do that like a true pro.

4748. Magnetic Loop Antennas for Shortwave...new Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 14:41:46 UTC
From: Andre Vaupel <avaupel@web.de>
Message-ID: <KK699.3679954$Bm3.624562@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 133777

Hi there!

we build up a new website wich is NON COMMERCIAL and without any ads.
The site contains information about Magnetic Loop Antennas for shortwave.
We would be happy if we can find some postings and exchange of experience
inside the webboard. We would be happy if you take a look and leave some
interesting question or infos on the board.

http://www.magnetic-loop.de

Thanks a lot!

Andre

4749. Re: Magnetic Loop Antennas for Shortwave...new Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 15:20:32 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ak2vgd0o2m@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133790

"Andre Vaupel" <avaupel@web.de> wrote in message
news:KK699.3679954$Bm3.624562@news.easynews.com...
> Hi there!
>
>
> we build up a new website wich is NON COMMERCIAL and without any ads.
> The site contains information about Magnetic Loop Antennas for shortwave.
> We would be happy if we can find some postings and exchange of experience
> inside the webboard. We would be happy if you take a look and leave some
> interesting question or infos on the board.
>
> http://www.magnetic-loop.de
>
> Thanks a lot!
>
> Andre
>

No sprechen sie deutch.
Be nice if you had an english version.

4750. Re: I got another GOLDMINE of NOS CB stuff AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 15:30:21 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208220730.32cabd11@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133778

This is commercial use of the NG posting, and a violation of NG TOS.
Duly reported.

Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:<6m75mu4jv6n4vg08ho9rbk5rctq4pq1j09@4ax.com>...
> Twice within the last few years, I have been blessed BY GOD to get a
> bunch of NOS CB items from the past from stores that did CB, TV, car
> stereo and whatever else they worked on. CB was NOT their MAIN "bread
> & butter" business, so they tended to keep OLD items longer without
> sale. One place was in biz over 50 years, and the recent one I got
> last pm was in biz since @ least 1976 from what I can tell on the age
> of the items.
>
> SO if anyone wants any OLD NOS CB items from the past 26 years, I MAY
> have it ! I don't have a lot, but I have some. I will list a few
> items here quickly that I'm looking to sell to re-coop $$ that I paid
> for to get these items. E mail me back and remove HATEspam from the
> address or truth@planetc.com and put CB somewhere in the subject line.
>
> The 1st item is a NOS brand new in the box & BAG--a Turner hand power
> mic M +2/U ! Brownish mic wiring book with it (to tell it's age).
> The curly cord goes right to the mic head, which would lead me to
> believe that it is used, but it appears to be brand new in da box,
> since it has the origional black stopper between the mic key and the
> mic! Looks NEW too! Did these come with a battery? I better look
> inside to remove it!
>
> A NOS TUG9-D104 base mic. "Conneaut Technologies" on warr. card. I'd
> have to open bottom to see mfg. date, but I guess 15-20 years old NOS.
> I Also have a NOS GOLD D104 Bicentennial edition from '76 that the
> company says is worth over $500 too for sale.
>
> I got a bunch of those CDE alternator filters that go under the hood
> that I haven't seen in years. Also got some different "flip mounts"
> than the ones I already have, the Valor ones. Got a bunch of Valor
> 101HD (it's really a 101cpw mount) for OLD ford pick up truck mirrors.
>
> CB BAY is now open. E mail me the highest price you will pay for the
> above items and IF you want something from the past that I didn't
> mention, E MAIL ME and ASK ME if I have it. I have amassed an
> incredible variety of CB items over my 23 years and more of being a 1
> man operation CB shop. Let me know what you are looking for!
> Dave!
>

>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4751. New Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 15:34:01 UTC
From: zyclnb@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020822113401.01521.00001594@mb-ff.aol.com>
Source record: 133779

The Vonbluvens SHow

http://www.racistaction.com

4752. Re: HELL NO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 15:38:00 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <381amukvr5tferadqi52euajgu1hv8hmvr@4ax.com>
Source record: 133780

YOU tell them Mr. Wesser! I have the same "good looking" problem that
you do. We is honest that's why we is fameous!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On Mon, 19 Aug 2002 21:09:26 -0600, "Wesser" <wesser@hiwaay.net>
wrote:

>go to a local shop......
>damn, i get customers fom the following states every week,
>alabama,mississippi,tennessee, georgia, kentucky...
> is this because i am good looking? don't think so..
> its because we are FAIR!!!!!!!
> support your local shop,150 miles is not a long way to drive for a good
>shop ,if you can't afford $10-15 gas money,get a life and a job......
> PRICE IS NOT EVERYTHING!!!!!!
>
>"Todd" <ktm@lanset.com> wrote in message
>
>> Buy them from www.copper.com
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4753. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 15:39:06 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D65057C.18D93AE6@icok.net>
Source record: 133782

John,
There's really not much doubt, as you implied.
If it's illegal to import something, then that
'something' is classified as contraband and it's
also illegal to possess it, just like Cuban cigars.
What's so hard to understand about that?
'Doc

4754. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 15:39:06 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208220739.7fae9a6c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133783

Careful SILKY...some of those "lot lizards" are in this very NG. Ya
don't wanna ruffle their little pink skirts, do ya?

"SILKY \(Da Black Pimp\)" <noflaming@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:<wfX89.9904$u7.777279@news.direcpc.com>...
> It might be the "mating call" for their favorite "Lot Lizards"
> Here Lizard, Lizard, Lizard!
> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

4755. Re: COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 15:40:49 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <5f1amu42bst08pa1kr7l3kes841rd6teg1@4ax.com>
Source record: 133781

```
YOU tell them drug filled 18' coax morons!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On Mon, 19 Aug 2002 21:27:35 GMT, "Scott (Unit 69)"
<scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote:

>COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
>COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
>COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
>COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
>COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
>COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
>COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
>COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!!!
>
>Thank you.
>
>Mad Dog wrote:
>>
>> If your runnin barefoot the coax length is unimportant,however the antenna
>> should be tuned using a analyzer,when in doubt use 18ft.
>> You have got a loose ground somewhere causing the fluctuation.
>> Coaxial lengths cannot change the swr, however they can fool the swr meter
>> and
>> make you think the swr changed.
>> Life is like a box of chocolates,you dont know what youre gonna get.
>> --
>> 714Sandpile
>> The "Mad Dog" wavin goodbye
>> "Axl" <no@idontthinkso.com> wrote in message
>> news:mhI79.28581$N9.3594071@twister.neo.rr.com...
>> > I went to measure with the SWR today and noticed it's VERY high.....and
>> also
>> > inconsistant....on the same channel, within 1 minute it can go from a 1.2
>> to
>> > a 4....the only thing I can think of is that the jumper coax I'm using is
>> 6
>> > or 8 feet long.....I thought maybe if this was moved around it could cause
>> > problems or inconsistant readings....are accurate readings produces with a
>> > 12" or shorter jumper or does it not matter?
>> >
>> >

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
```

------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4756. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 15:40:56 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208220740.3dde8afc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133784

Careful SILKY, most of the "lot Lizards" are here in the NG. You might
get the pink skirt wearing boys all upset..then they will beat you
with their purses.

"SILKY \(Da Black Pimp\)" <noflaming@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:<wfX89.9904$u7.777279@news.direcpc.com>...
> It might be the "mating call" for their favorite "Lot Lizards"
> Here Lizard, Lizard, Lizard!
> BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

4757. Re: ABF on 26.735

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 15:43:35 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208220743.23db64bd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133786
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

Mad Dork, here you are ADMITTING you are a criminal...We have your
call sign, we are gonna drop Riley a line.

KEYCLOWN BUSTERS RULE THE NG!

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:<HZz89.53$aL6.29@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>...
> While cruising the boulevard towards Miami this morning i noticed bleedover
> from another channel so i turned the dial and could'nt locate the
> transmitted freq. on 11meter, damn i guess their downstairs so i finally
> locked in on 26.735 and
> briefly monitored 3 ABF trucks using this freq. for standard communications.
> I can't bitch because i use the basment for DX'n sometimes but using them
> for regular 2-way is absurd.

4758. Re: Francis Whips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 15:43:51 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <ti1amusih4qn2h84tvh6q41e78ps46ravf@4ax.com>
Source record: 133785

Stevie:
They are both the same length & thickness, right?
Then they are THE SAME except for color. I'd have to call Francis,
but we can't since dey bin bought out by Barjan.
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On Wed, 21 Aug 2002 21:58:30 GMT, "Steve McQueen"
<madmac51@swbell.net> wrote:

>Are you "generalizing" or do you have specific knowledge that the model CB28
>and the CB50 are the EXACT same antenna except for color? I just wonder why
>Francis decided to make the CB28 in 3 colors, but name the CB50 "Amazer" and
>only offer it in one color.
>
>Seems to me there might be some difference, but it could all just be
>marketing.
>
>Steve
>"Dave or Debby" <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message
>news:tsc7mu4vs29cge9qeg8acaf7p2ldm0jrj7@4ax.com...
>> ARE these both the same length? IF they are, then NO DIFFERENCE in
>> the antennas performance OR power handling capability, which should be
>> 500 watts. THE COLOR does NOT determine what power it can handle!
>> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>
>> On Tue, 20 Aug 2002 21:44:41 GMT, "Steve McQueen"
>> <madmac51@swbell.net> wrote:
>>
>> >Is there any difference between the Francis CB28 Wheeler Dealer and the
>CB50
>> >Amazer other than color? I'm going to go with a corner bumper mount on
>my
>> >Tahoe for now. Not brave enough to drill the roof, yet.
>> >
>> >Thanks,
>> >
>> >Steve
>> >
>>
>>
>>
>> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4759. Re: ABF on 26.735

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 15:44:11 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208220744.ca35992@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133787
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

You should know, Chukkeesukkee

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:<w5B89.91249$m91.4469154@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
> "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
> news:HZz89.53$aL6.29@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> > While cruising the boulevard towards Miami this morning i noticed
> bleedover
> > from another channel so i turned the dial and could'nt locate the
> > transmitted freq. on 11meter, damn i guess their downstairs so i finally
> > locked in on 26.735 and
> > briefly monitored 3 ABF trucks using this freq. for standard
> communications.
> > I can't bitch because i use the basment for DX'n sometimes but using them
> > for regular 2-way is absurd.
> > --
> > Mad Dog
>
> Why is using the basement for regular 2 way absurd? Why is it any different
> than Dx'ing there? Illegal is Illegal right.

4760. Re: a review of the last year or so.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 15:46:58 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208220746.65d5a5b5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133788

AntiKeyclowns will never leave the NG, and you Keyclowns can't do
anything about it. Go on back to the truckstops and get to work.

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) femininely lisped in message
news:<12732-3D64063D-21@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> >But, as the cycle continues to decline, your "skip" prospects decline
> with it. <<
>
>
>
> Along with most of the trouble spots concerning cb.
>
>
> >Amp won't help when
> the cycle is at the bottom. Cheer up, tho. Things will improve in 2013.
> J<<
>
>
> It really will. Many malcontents will die off by then, but alas, I am
> certain us young, middle-agers will be around for the new batch of
> elmers or imbeciles. Remember, y'all are training them now.

4761. Re: New Price- Davemade M80

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 15:48:50 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208220748.73809996@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133789

Duly ratted out to abuse. Advertising illegal items for sale is a TOS violation. All illegal use of this service is now being reported.

thatzmejp@aol.com (UknowMeJP) wrote in message news:<20020821150709.10908.00002021@mb-fh.aol.com>...
> Brand NEW in the Bubble wrapped box, Works very nicely....i'll take $125 for
> it, that includes shipping costs.....thanks
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

4762. Re: New Price- Davemade M80

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 15:53:50 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208220753.1b41b714@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133791

Ratted to Google, AOL, and fcc...Hope yer day is better for this knowledge.

thatzmejp@aol.com (UknowMeJP) wrote in message
news:<20020821150709.10908.00002021@mb-fh.aol.com>...
> Brand NEW in the Bubble wrapped box, Works very nicely....i'll take $125 for
> it, that includes shipping costs.....thanks
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

4763. Re: a review of the last year or so.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 15:59:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12732-3D650A72-60@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133799

From: joxendi@bellsouth.net (Jerry Oxendine)
Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:12732-3D64063D-21@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net... But, as
the cycle continues to decline, your "skip" prospects decline with it.
<<

Along with most of the trouble spots concerning cb.

>..............making it easier yet to pinpoint those truckers on 10
meters.
Jerry<<

Yea, ya' know it would, except when the skip dies, my guess is most
truckers will return to 19, as the noise they are trying to get away
from will be gone!

4764. Re: Magnetic Loop Antennas for Shortwave...new Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 16:00:45 UTC
From: Andre Vaupel <avaupel@web.de>
Message-ID: <NU799.330889$hv6.2767312@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 133795

Hi!

You need only 3 words in ""german"" schreiben means write mail...antworten
means
answer..a.nd if you need a translation...don't hesitate to ask us...

we plan to set the whole board to english...but this will be done, till we
have our new provider.
because the board administration is not in our hand...we will move it to our
hand completly soon..

So sorry for the german facility...

Andre

"John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:ak2vgd0o2m@enews3.newsguy.com...
>
> "Andre Vaupel" <avaupel@web.de> wrote in message
> news:KK699.3679954$Bm3.624562@news.easynews.com...
> > Hi there!
> >
> >
> > we build up a new website wich is NON COMMERCIAL and without any ads.
> > The site contains information about Magnetic Loop Antennas for
shortwave.
> > We would be happy if we can find some postings and exchange of
experience
> > inside the webboard. We would be happy if you take a look and leave some
> > interesting question or infos on the board.
> >
> > http://www.magnetic-loop.de
> >
> > Thanks a lot!
> >
> > Andre
> >
>
> No sprechen sie deutch.
> Be nice if you had an english version.
>
>
>
>

4765. Re: Everyone needs a hobby

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 16:01:42 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208220801.4bf7bca3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133792

No, Packrat...they stopped several...Anti-Boys rule again.

"Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message news:<um5vt2os7uqb98@corp.supernews.com>...
> Hey no problem - just doing my part to keep myself busy. I figure if I cant
> sell my equipment, I might as well apply my self to someone elses auctions
> to help them out. Of course ebay wont stop these auctions cause they have
> $$ in thier eyes.
>
>
> "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> news:fef386d5.0208201728.b920d8d@posting.google.com...
> > "Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
> news:<um4tlacoagpl0a@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > Check out all these neato amplifiers that will work for CB!!!
> > >
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374119655
> > >
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374519437
> > >
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374752306
> > >
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374437180 And yet
> > > another
> > >
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374421378 10m
> mentioned
> > > in the listing
> > >
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374627476 Has 28 MHz
> > > Capabilities
> > >
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374658873 CB
> amplifier
> > >
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374674961 10m
> mentioned
> > > in the listing
> > >
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1373247723 10m
> mentioned
> > > in the listing
> > >
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1373263834 Ready for
> 10m

> > > is in the listing description
> > >
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1373762461 10m
> mentioned
> > > in the listing
> > >
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374236152 160
> through
> > > 10-meters (10-meter conversion is installed)
> > >
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374696643 10m band
> on
> > > the dial - seen in picture
> >
> > Thanks for the update packrat. that should our little buddy busy for a
> > few hours finking out those people to the ebutt jack booted
> > crybabies.....

4766. Re: Super Yagi Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 16:02:28 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D650AF6.E1E48BAA@icok.net>
Source record: 133793

John,
You are also making a false assumption. The 'beam width' of a yagi type directional antenna is very seldom narrow as you may think. An average beam width of 60 degrees is considered narrow on HF.
What would happen is that the 'front to back' ratio disapears and the antenna is bi-directional to some extent. The low angle radiation also is gone (or converted to higher angles of radiation).
So, a yagi mounted on a truck will work, just not very well at all. As to adding more elements to the yagi, the boom would end up longer than the trailer before you knew it.
Even in the UHF areas, to get a radiation pattern that would be narrower than about 60 degrees, you wouldn't use a yagi type beam anyway, you'd use a parabolic dish. Even then there are what is called 'side lobes', and the signal would be detectable from the sides of the antenna. That's why radar is detectable even if the receiver isn't in the radar's beam.
You did get the 'divide by zero' thing right.
'Doc

4767. Re: I got another GOLDMINE of NOS CB stuff AGAIN!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 16:02:41 UTC
From: "r.r.c.b.auto*responder-V3.1" <yusenet@autoresponder.kom>
Message-ID: <uma2mt85d6go2a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133794

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d1e9cf6a.0208220730.32cabd11@posting.google.com...
> This is commercial use of the NG posting, and a violation of NG TOS.
> Duly reported.

Ok Doug, you psychotic Nitwit, ...whatever!
<yawn...>

>
>
> Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:<6m75mu4jv6n4vg08ho9rbk5rctq4pq1j09@4ax.com>...
> > Twice within the last few years, I have been blessed BY GOD to get a
> > bunch of NOS CB items from the past from stores that did CB, TV, car
> > stereo and whatever else they worked on. CB was NOT their MAIN "bread
> > & butter" business, so they tended to keep OLD items longer without
> > sale. One place was in biz over 50 years, and the recent one I got
> > last pm was in biz since @ least 1976 from what I can tell on the age
> > of the items.
> >
> > SO if anyone wants any OLD NOS CB items from the past 26 years, I MAY
> > have it ! I don't have a lot, but I have some. I will list a few
> > items here quickly that I'm looking to sell to re-coop $$ that I paid
> > for to get these items. E mail me back and remove HATEspam from the
> > address or truth@planetc.com and put CB somewhere in the subject line.
> >
> > The 1st item is a NOS brand new in the box & BAG--a Turner hand power
> > mic M +2/U ! Brownish mic wiring book with it (to tell it's age).
> > The curly cord goes right to the mic head, which would lead me to
> > believe that it is used, but it appears to be brand new in da box,
> > since it has the origional black stopper between the mic key and the
> > mic! Looks NEW too! Did these come with a battery? I better look
> > inside to remove it!
> >
> > A NOS TUG9-D104 base mic. "Conneaut Technologies" on warr. card. I'd
> > have to open bottom to see mfg. date, but I guess 15-20 years old NOS.
> > I Also have a NOS GOLD D104 Bicentennial edition from '76 that the
> > company says is worth over $500 too for sale.
> >
> > I got a bunch of those CDE alternator filters that go under the hood
> > that I haven't seen in years. Also got some different "flip mounts"
> > than the ones I already have, the Valor ones. Got a bunch of Valor
> > 101HD (it's really a 101cpw mount) for OLD ford pick up truck mirrors.
> >
> > CB BAY is now open. E mail me the highest price you will pay for the

> > above items and IF you want something from the past that I didn't
> > mention, E MAIL ME and ASK ME if I have it. I have amassed an
> > incredible variety of CB items over my 23 years and more of being a 1
> > man operation CB shop. Let me know what you are looking for!
> > Dave!
> >
> >
> > -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> > http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> > -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4768. Re: New coax length question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 16:05:25 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D64EC9C.505CF2D3@mailcity.net>
Source record: 133796

John Smith wrote:

> Bandwidth is determined by the radio itself, not the antenna.

What???? Please explain this.

4769. It does not take long to rat out auctions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 16:11:31 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208220811.5fdf098e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133797

Hey Packrat,
We have form letters all composed, and the process is so easy you
could call it automated...thanks a lot for the listings. AntiKeyclowns
own this NG, and there is absolutely nothing you guys can do to stop
us.

"Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message news:<um5vt2os7uqb98@corp.supernews.com>...
> Hey no problem - just doing my part to keep myself busy. I figure if I cant
> sell my equipment, I might as well apply my self to someone elses auctions
> to help them out. Of course ebay wont stop these auctions cause they have
> $$ in thier eyes.
>

4770. Re: New coax length question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 16:15:06 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D650DEC.DE766618@icok.net>
Source record: 133798

Steve,
'Francis' antennas may not be tunable by varying
thier length, but the are tunable. Any antenna is
tunable, meaning that their length is adjustable for
resonance and their input impedance can be matched to
the feed line's characteristic impedance.
Using the feed line to 'tune' an antenna is a very
inefficient and silly way of doing things. The only
result is that the SWR meter is fooled into thinking
it sees an impedance match that it likes. The mismatch
between feed line and antenna is still there, along with
it's associated losses.
And yes, they can be tuned...
'Doc

4771. Re: New coax length question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 16:17:29 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D650E7C.3F3496F8@icok.net>
Source record: 133800

Steve,
The 'triple quarter wave' thingy is a sales gimmic,
a play on words.
'Doc

4772. Re: Old coax length answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 16:24:10 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92725FC9D7640subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 133801

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in
news:3D64C777.C5301641@yaSPAMhoo.com:

> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
>
> (Unless you're co-phasing-a waste of money.)
>

What he said.

Alan S.

4773. Re: New coax length question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 16:24:35 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D651025.21131D7C@icok.net>
Source record: 133802

Art,
If you want to use 18 feet of coax to feed an antenna
please go right ahead, but it isn't necessary. I does
keep the cable makers/sellers happy, though.
It's impossible to use a single impedance value coax
to properly feed 'dual' antennas. A fact which is proven
by your 'really good bandwidth'. Bandwidth is inversely
proportional to the efficiency of an antenna. The better
the efficiency, the narrower the bandwidth.
'Doc

4774. Re: New coax length question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 16:26:24 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D651093.314AB24D@icok.net>
Source record: 133803

John,
Take your own advice. The usable bandwidth of
an antenna is determined by the physical properties
of the antenna, not the radio.
'Doc

4775. Re: USA Truck Wash?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 17:06:28 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <oS899.125914$Yd.5756131@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133804

"Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart" <webmaster@salzberg.at> wrote in message
news:um9q6nthj8ac53@corp.supernews.com...
> I-5 in California is close to 800 miles long (they are putting up mile
based
> exit numbers)
>

And most likely the single most boring 800 mile stretch of road in the
country. The interior valley sucks, although NoCal around Shasta isn't bad.

4776. Re: orientals on my frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 17:09:11 UTC
From: Big Bopper <BigBopper@27910FreebandGroup.net>
Message-ID: <ak35rbcqb0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 133805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:66ed18db5c8149d86ebc6fc553c46fd0@xganon.com...
> I keep hearing oriental guys on my freeband calling frequency of 27.555.
Both my friend in San ANgelo and myself here in Galveston beam them best to
the south west. Anyway I wonder is there any way to call the FCC on them as
they are messing up my comms.
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>
Dial "FCC" to report this crime. FCC SWAT teams are on standby
in all major cities, and the perpetrators will be swiftly dealt with. The
crime of operating on 27555 will not be tolerated, and President Bush
has assured the American public that violators will be dealt with harshly!

Big Bopper
27955 Freeband Club
Portland, Oregon

4777. Re: Results are in! A-99(+ mods) vs Imax-2000(+ mods) vs 827 vs dipole vs cheap 5/8 and 1/2 wave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 17:19:04 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <c2999.125951$Yd.5758841@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133806

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D629F78.6F6ED696@icok.net...
>
>
> Randy,
> I'm afraid that just isn't true. There does
> have to be a warning on the antenna, but there's
> no law against selling them in the USA.
> 'Doc

What happened 'Doc? Replied to everything on the NG but this post? Anyway, here is proof. Direct from the CPSC website, section 1204 on omnidirectional CB antenna regulations and requirements.

Consumer Product Safety Commission ◆ 1204.3

for sale to a consumer for use in or

around a permanent or temporary

household or residence, a school, in

recreation, or otherwise, or (ii) for the

personal use, consumption or enjoyment

of a consumer in or around a permanent

or temporary household or residence,

a school, in recreation, or otherwise.''

The term does not include

products that are not customarily produced

or distributed for sale to, or for

the use or consumption by, or enjoyment

of, a consumer. A limited exception

from coverage of the standard is

provided by section 18(a) of the CPSA,

15 U.S.C. 2067, for certain products intended

for export and meeting the requirements

of section 18(b) of the

CPSA.

(d) Prohibited acts. It is unlawful to

manufacture for sale, offer for sale, distribute

in commerce, or import into

the United States any product subject

to this standard that does not conform

with the standard.

Clear enough?

4778. Re: Old coax length answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 17:27:12 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Cc999.13332$%v4.518596@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133807

Scott (Unit 69) <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D64C777.C5301641@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
> COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
>
> (Unless you're co-phasing-a waste of money.)

.....Which is another gimmick to get CBers' money. Think about it; by
convincing people that
"co-phasing" is better, you sell TWICE the number of antennas! The funniest
thing I see around
is two antennas on the mirrors of a Toyota truck! "Iffen ah 'putt' two
antenners on mah Toyeeyoter
hit's bound to git out better, shore 'nuff thar". >>snicker<<

Jerry

Jerry

>
> "Arthur R. Howard" wrote:
> >
> > The answer is 18' of coass single or co-phased for a 8' Francis
whip....I used
> > to run a pair on a truck and you will get really good bandwidth.....

4779. Re: New coax length question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 17:32:46 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Oh999.13364$%v4.519638@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133808

'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D651025.21131D7C@icok.net...
>snippo<

Bandwidth is inversely
> proportional to the efficiency of an antenna. The better
> the efficiency, the narrower the bandwidth.

> 'Doc

I'm glad you pointed that out. Folks get taken by this little sales gimmick
all the time-and, yes, it
sounds good and sells antennas when you can convince people that your cake
will stay the same size if you keep eating it! LOL! Truth is, the CB
antenna mfrs deliberately "dial in" a certain amount of sloppiness in those
BIG COIL antennas to cover more "bandwidth" and still make people believe
"Ah'm a-really a-gittin' out thar on that 'ere Predator Big Coil on all them
funny
channels thar!" <<g>>

Jerry

4780. Re: Super Yagi Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 17:43:14 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6522B1.29C5E120@citlink.net>
Source record: 133809

Is there any reason that you guys all "hide" behind alias return addresses?

"Arthur R. Howard" wrote:

> Being a truck driver gives one a lot of time to think about important
> economic and political world issues
> Well, I got to thinking about what could be done to make a more
> efficient mobile antenna and realized that size is THE problem. How do
> you get a multiple figure gain antenna on a truck? Loading coils are the
> answer and the problem at the same time -- the antenna radiation
> resistance drops as reactance is substituted for length. High current
> loops develop and the power is dissipated in the antenna instead of
> being radiated. If only the antenna didn't dissipate the power.
> Hmmm....let's see, P=E2 /R; now if R were 0 then...
>
> From my work, I have some contacts in research groups over at MIT in
> Boston. Better yet, I knew a Japanese CB'er that is a graduate student
> there. The thought running through my head was to build a
> super-conducting antenna. This requires cryogenics, i.e. temperatures
> around minus 279 degrees Centigrade. I was able get a couple of the
> electronics major outcasts interested in the project and we built a
> 11-meter dipole test silicon wafer. They put together a lot of serial
> coils by "re-work" on the wafer; they were able to connect them so we
> had a super-conducting yagi. I took my RCI 2950 transceiver down to the
> lab for the first tests, but before we could test it, actual
> measurements showed it was resonant on 3.126 MHz. It seems that the
> normal equations for inductance don't work with super-conducting
> materials -- you need a lot fewer turns to get the same results compared
> to room temperature.
> Many measurements and trials later, we had a eleven-meter resonant
> wafer. This time we put a 40-element beam on each wafer and stacked 4
> wafers in the same assembly. That made a 160-element array on 11-meters
> in less than a half-foot cube (15 cm3).
>
> The first test didn't go too well. I connected my 2950 to the
> super-conducting wafer antenna and tuned it for 11 meters. At room
> temperature, we couldn't hear anything. Using a heat pump, the lab
> technicians started lowering the antenna's temperature toward the
> super-conducting region. I was really impressed by how small the
> equipment is, and started thinking it might all fit in the truck. Just
> then, the 2950 froze solid, which had a negative effect on its operating
> characteristics. This wouldn't be so easy after all; the coax connection
> would need some study!
>
> They reworked the wafers to put inductive coupling on them, but I could

> find no way to efficiently couple to it from the conducting array.
> Fortunately the guys came up with a new ceramic material that passed RF
> but not heat/cold. Probably, something that Kyocera invented just for
> this use. I sent the 2950 to the Best CB shop in Ontario Ca.(where I buy
> all my equipt.) and asked them to touch it up for me. Frank,one of the
> techs there, asked exactly how the paint had been peeled off around the
> coax connector -- lightning maybe? No, I assured him -- just low
> temperature exposure, without saying how low the temperatures were. The
> project had to stay secret and besides, Doctor Bill can repair anything!
>
> Since it looked like it might be a while before the 2950 would be
> repaired, I brought out my Galaxy 88. I had already placed an order for
> a RCI 6900F TB anyway. After verifying that in the super-conducting
> range the antenna was resonant on 11-meters, we connected the 88. The
> ceramic material worked and the rig operated well as we began the
> cooling cycle. The band seemed dead even with the antenna at -150
> degrees C. It took another 10 minutes to get to the super-conducting
> range -- then the 88 blew up. It seems our antenna had a bit more gain
> than the 88 front-end could take.
> Later measurements showed 500 volts coming out of the coax. A little
> hard to believe, but then what do I know about cryogenic LSI antenna
> technology? The 88 was also returned to Dr. Bill, but he frowned a bit
> -- the front-end board did look like it had been hit by lightning. Not
> to worry, Dr Bill can repair anything!
>
> The 6900F TB arrived just in time to be able to continue experiments.
> We built a QSK attenuator to protect the receiver. With the LSI wafer
> antenna still inside the lab, we decided to try to make a contact on
> 11-meters,27.555. What a shock when we got it working! The first thing
> we heard was a couple of guys in Phoenix AZ talking on 27.555(Sheriff
> Bob and Triple 6) and that was with 80 dB of attenuation. We had the
> antenna array on a rotatable mount; I moved it about a half-degree and
> the guys in AZ disappeared. What beam width! We tuned them in again, and
> they were just about to sign off, so we thought we would try to work
> them.
> The rig was tuned up at 150 watts USB on a dummy load; we switched in
> the wafer antenna and gave the guys in AZ a call. The noise was
> unbelievable -- an ionized ray shot out from the wafers and hit the wall
> of the building. Before we knocked a hole in the band, we took a piece
> out of the lab wall! Ever wonder what an antenna pattern looks like in
> 3D? There was a oval hole in the wall of the lab -- about 1-cm high by
> 2-cm wide.
> We cut power quickly. Sheriff Bob came back on frequency a few minutes
> later and said he was using his back-up rig; something had taken his
> main rig off the air. For some reason, the station he was talking to
> never came back, so we decided not to transmit again until we knew for
> sure what was going on.
> Also this being several months after the test I have found out that
> hundreds,maybe even thousands of CB tranceivers were wiped out in a path
> from Boston and to the southwest as far away as Austrailia.

> Personally I think CB's need more adjacent channel rejection.
>
> As near as we can tell, the antenna array has 620-dB gain over a
> dipole, but with a beamwidth of 0.75 degrees using the 60-dB points.
> With 150 watts output, the effective radiated power is 55 quadrillion
> watts at the center of the beam (5.5 with 13 zeroes).
> As soon as the MIT big guys realized what the hell the flash and noise
> was on the south campus, the entire project and equiptment was taken
> away from us by a jerk named Hollingsworth from the FCC and turned over
> to Homeland Defense. CB "tinkering" has contributed to something, but I
> am not exactly sure what. I haven't the slightest idea what was in those
> wafers or how to build another set. Do you think someone may be
> interested in this idea for Star Wars/SDI?? What I'd give to use a much
> smaller setup in the next Super Bowl Contest!
>
> A few months later, MIT contacted me and asked just how close I had been
> to the antenna when operating. As best as I can figure, I was in the
> null behind the array. From what has been said so far, it looks like a
> secondary use for our antenna may be as a mass sterilizer and they are
> considering useing it in Iraq but confirmation will have to await the
> results of our medical tests. If our antenna ever hits the market, it
> looks like remote operation may be desirable.So after all this work and
> BS we have to come up with some kind of super shielding for mobile use.
>
> As I am writing this, I have been informed that my friend Dr Bill can't
> fix everything after all. He's written off the 2950 and 88, and
> I just found out that before the FCC confiscated all the equiptment,
> the guys tried one more time with my 6900F TB, but without the 100-dB
> attenuator to protect the receiver.The guys are recovering in the
> hospital from what has been guessed to be a freak lightning strike and
> the 6900 now looks like a pea sized piece of black glass and it looks
> like it will be a while before I am on the air
> again.....Taildragger aka AF28

4781. Re: a review of the last year or so.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 17:43:40 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020822134340.07924.00001953@mb-mi.aol.com>
Source record: 133810

lol so drastic sounding jerry. youll be happy to know during this last solar low we were still able to work South America in the day and the Pacific region in the evenings wihtout a problem. the only time the dx totally goes away during a solar low is the summer. the fall is still the best time. jerry you ham guys are the same ones who run away from 10 meters after dark because the signals drop below s9+30db while we 11 meter guys are still out there all night working the world to the west so its no suprise you guys would consider it to be "NO DX" at all

>
>
>But, as the cycle continues to decline, your "skip" prospects decline with
>it. Amp won't help when
>the cycle is at the bottom. Cheer up, tho. Things will improve in 2013.
>
>J

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4782. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 17:50:44 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Jy999.13455$%v4.523744@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133811

chessecutter <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0208220533.41638b76@posting.google.com...
> "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:<LAU89.23755$aL6.5774@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>...
> > A lot of the new truckers had never heard of a CB radio until they
graduated
> > from T/D school, once they got on the big roads and listened to the old
> > school they
> > want to sound like the large cars so they take their 29 to the local
butcher
> > where the AMC is disabled and a power mic.,connex board,T/B,RF amp.
> > and a Wilson 2000 is installed.
> > Hoot and Holler, i got a BIG radio now.
> > These people don't know the difference between Front End Overload and a
hole
> > in the ground.
> > After the newness wears off they begin to smarten up ,but it is our job
to
> > tell them when they are over-moddin and begin to educate them if they
are
> > willing to learn.
> > --
> > Mad Dog
> > "Spunk Monkey" <webmaster@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> > news:um7ug84qci7t13@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Can someone enlighten me as to why truckers radios sound like shit?
> > >
> > > I hear a lot of them that are way over-modulated, have echo, reverb,
etc.
> > > Do they knowingly do this?
> > >
> > > What is the mentality of sounding like southern trailer trash on the
> > radio?
> > >
> > >
>
> truck driving school.....man what a joke.....how can
> someone safely learn to drive and operate a big rig in a week driving
> around on a abandoned airplane runway, with no highway conditions
> present. geez...a waste of the taxpayer's money. yes i said a waste of
> the taxpayer's money. who else would fund such a silly venture. they
> use governmental education funds, that way they charge those simply
> outrageous amounts of money for these kinds of things. and of course
> sooner or later, someone decides they don't want to make the payments

> anymore, and guess who gets left holding the bag. all of these private
> schools should be closed or else find their own funding methods and
> leave the government out of it, just my two cents.....

Believe it or not, I drove truck in the 70s. You know how I learned it? I got in one
and just DROVE it. There weren't any truck schools that I knew of, and when my employer
said go get your "chauffeurs" license and drive the rig, I just did it. When I look back at it, it
IS a wonder I didn't get into trouble, but at least I did have experience with cabover straight
trucks with the same company rather than just hopping out of a car and doing it. I had backed
farm trailers before--even learned to back a 4-wheel wagon with a long tongue (there's a real
trick to that because it is the exact opposite of a regular trailer), so I had a little of something to
go on.

Jerry

4783. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 17:57:30 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <5F999.13487$%v4.524717@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133812

chessecutter <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0208220528.4b4007fa@posting.google.com...
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
> > >snip<<
> > >
> hmm. that area isn't being used by anyone else right now is it? so
> the truckers found a new place to talk ....cool....

That's what these guys thought.....

October 2, 2001

STI Towing & Repair
13066 Service Road
Walton, KY 41094

7670 Burlington Pike
Florence, KY 41042
ATTN: Mary Ann Neal

RE: Warning Notice--Unlicensed Radio Operation

Dear Ms. Neal:

It has come to our attention that tow trucks # 21, 27,52, 97, 99 and RR4 operated by your company have been equipped with unlicensed Amateur radio transmitters by one of your employees. These trucks are registered to Gelco Corporation, Sechrest Transport, and Jerry A. Neal.

This is a Warning Notice that operation of unlicensed radio transmitting equipment by you, your employees, contractors or agents is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301, and will subject the operators to fine or imprisonment. Fines are normally in the range to $7,500 to $10,000.

You are requested to respond to this letter within 20 days certifying that all unlicensed transmitters have been removed from vehicles operated by your company, its employees, contractors and agents.

Please call me at 717-338-2502 if you have any questions about this matter.

4784. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 18:04:53 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <%L999.13535$%v4.527001@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133815

chessecutter <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0208220528.4b4007fa@posting.google.com...

> > >
> > >
> hmm. that area isn't being used by anyone else right now is it? so
> the truckers found a new place to talk ....cool....

August 10, 2001

Derek's Trucking
3102 Rockspring Church Road
Creedmoor, NC 27522-1964

SUBJECT: Warning Notice--Unlicensed Radio Operation

Dear Sir:

Monitoring information before the Commission shows that you have been operating radio-transmitting equipment without a license on frequencies in the Ten Meter Amateur Radio Service band. Your truck bearing license plate ZB20189 was monitored transmitting on Ten Meter frequencies on July 19, 2001 at 10:30 a.m. on U.S. 1 near Cary, NC, at 2:50 p.m. on Kildaire Farm Road and from 3:00 to 3:30 p.m. from a landfill near the intersection of State Road 55 and Sunset lake Road. Re-transmissions of Nextel two-way communications were also sent from the truck on Ten Meter frequencies.

Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment without a license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301,and will subject you to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of any non-certified radio transmitting equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your jurisdiction. Monetary forfeitures normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

These guys thought so, too.

J

4785. Re: Super Yagi Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 18:07:56 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9272716207E35subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 133813

"Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in
news:3D6522B1.29C5E120@citlink.net:

> Is there any reason that you guys all "hide" behind alias return
> addresses?
>
Well personaly, I use a hotmail account for newsgroups and a few other
things because I don't like all the spam in my normal one. I don't see
what's wrong with a person using a junk mail account for various things.
They can always give people they know their real address at any time.

Alan S.

4786. Re: USA Truck Wash?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 18:09:58 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns927271B9F33EFsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 133814

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in
news:oS899.125914$Yd.5756131@twister.austin.rr.com:

>
> "Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart" <webmaster@salzberg.at> wrote in message
> news:um9q6nthj8ac53@corp.supernews.com...
>> I-5 in California is close to 800 miles long (they are putting up
>> mile
> based
>> exit numbers)
>>
>
> And most likely the single most boring 800 mile stretch of road in the
> country. The interior valley sucks, although NoCal around Shasta isn't
> bad.
>
>
>

I'll second that!

Hey, what about I-80 through like most of Nevada? Zzzzzzzzzz

Alan S.

4787. Re: a review of the last year or so.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 18:21:09 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <I%999.13639$%v4.528923@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133817

www.TimNebo.com <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020822134340.07924.00001953@mb-mi.aol.com...
> lol so drastic sounding jerry. youll be happy to know during this last
solar
> low we were still able to work South America in the day and the Pacific
region
> in the evenings wihtout a problem. the only time the dx totally goes away
> during a solar low is the summer. the fall is still the best time. jerry
you
> ham guys are the same ones who run away from 10 meters after dark because
the
> signals drop below s9+30db while we 11 meter guys are still out there all
night
> working the world to the west so its no suprise you guys would consider it
to
> be "NO DX" at all

Actually, there's a local group that meets on a certain 10 meter frequency
at night year round.
Sometimes, we have people join in from the West coast, etc. even overseas.
Ten isn't that much
different from 11 in characteristics or coverage. The funniest thing that
happened is we had an unlicensed fellow come up and "join" us. Other than
remarking that there seemed to be a slim o
the frequency, we ignored him--at which time, he cussed, threw carriers, and
played music. Upon
one of the regulars remarking that the unlicensed guy was asking for legal
trouble, he bragged that
"HAH, y'all can't find me cause yer too dumb, and the FCC can't do an
%^&*(#@ thang if they do!" THAT did it. I took a mag mount CB antenna and
stuck it to the door of my car, went to a
parking lot and drove in a circle until I got a null to the West. I checked
a couple spots, and deter-
mined it was on a certain street, then drove slowly along in a westerly
direction as the signal got louder and louder. Then I disconnected the
antenna and still had a signal. As I stopped for a light,
the signal, by now so loud it was humming, the carrier stopped! I looked to
the right and spied a
CB beam and an Antron. He must've seen me, because we haven't heard him
again. So much for
not being found.....LOL!

Jerry
> >
> >

> >But, as the cycle continues to decline, your "skip" prospects decline
with
> >it. Amp won't help when
> >the cycle is at the bottom. Cheer up, tho. Things will improve in 2013.
> >
> >J
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

4788. Re: Results are in! A-99(+ mods) vs Imax-2000(+ mods) vs 827 vs dipole vs cheap 5/8 and 1/2 wave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 18:25:46 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020822142546.29731.00000357@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 133816

doc is a old overweight dickhead. oh wait, thats 99% of this newsgroup not just doc!

>What happened 'Doc? Replied to everything on the NG but this post? Anyway,
>here is proof. Direct from the CPSC website, section 1204 on omnidirectional
>CB antenna regulations and requirements.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4789. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 18:38:27 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020822143827.29731.00000362@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 133818

>It might be the "mating call" for their favorite "Lot Lizards"
>Here Lizard, Lizard, Lizard!
>BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

ok lelnad

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4790. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 18:38:53 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020822143853.29731.00000363@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 133819

replying to yourself again? how lame

>
>Careful SILKY...some of those "lot lizards" are in this very NG. Ya
>don't wanna ruffle their little pink skirts, do ya?

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4791. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 18:40:31 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020822144031.29731.00000364@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 133820

whats your eskimoe numer?

>
>
> I am a trucker also.Just a little reverb ads punch.Listen to your big
>Commercial AM brodcasters.
> Nope I dont like echo,overmodulation otr any of that other crap
>either.....Hmm
>come to think of it I don't hang below ch 40 on Am anyway....always up at
>about
>27.570 USB with my Alinco DX77T and 1200 watts rag chewing with the
>world.Either that or trashing around the 555 circus.......Taildragger

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4792. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 18:44:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020822144418.29731.00000367@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 133821

oh hell, your one of those guys that sounds like shit when your 5 miles away or farther. when your too far out to detect your carrier you sound like muddy shit.

>My mobile dead keys at 100mW and swings to 180W(with SkyWave amplifier)
>RMS...
>
>--
>||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>Brian Griffey

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4793. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 18:45:54 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020822144554.29731.00000369@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 133822

sometimes these swinging stations like that actually sound quiter because they peak so fast the noise blankers try to blnk them like they would any other trash signal

>I would go as far as saying I think the swinging station might sound a bit
>louder close in because the agc doesn't know what to do with it.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4794. Re: a review of the last year or so.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 19:00:39 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020822150039.29731.00000374@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 133823

why did you let him in on the funniest part of all? i was hoping nobody would help him realize that. i knew he'd never figure it out himself.

>
>Yea, ya' know it would, except when the skip dies, my guess is most
>truckers will return to 19, as the noise they are trying to get away
>from will be gone!

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4795. Re: ABF on 26.735

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 19:29:35 UTC
From: ld751@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d12c96e.0208221129.3cde5f59@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133824
Cross-posted to: misc.transport.trucking,rec.radio.cb

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:<HZz89.53$aL6.29@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>...
> While cruising the boulevard towards Miami this morning i noticed bleedover
> from another channel so i turned the dial and could'nt locate the
> transmitted freq. on 11meter, damn i guess their downstairs so i finally
> locked in on 26.735 and
> briefly monitored 3 ABF trucks using this freq. for standard communications.
> I can't bitch because i use the basment for DX'n sometimes but using them
> for regular 2-way is absurd.

I have a ranch house... one floor, no basement. Does that mean I can
only talk on channels 1 - 40 since I can't get into the basement? ;)

4796. Re: 10 meter radios - not the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 19:39:08 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208221139.7638e57a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133825

"John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
news:<ak1ddo02cl6@enews4.newsguy.com>...
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:ak0vo2$1ecipl$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> >
> > "John Smith" <spamwanted@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> > news:ak090i01tml@enews1.newsguy.com...
> > >
> > > Possesion of "illegal radios" is not so much illegal. It is how you use
> them
> > > that makes them illegal.
> > > Just like anything else, it is how you use it that makes it illegal.
> >
> > That was never in dispute. What was in dispute was that the radios listed
> > on the FCC's "Illegal CB transceiver list" are illegal for use on 10
> meters
> > by licensed hams. Some even went so far as to state that it is illegal to
> > merely possess them.
> >
> > Tom
> >
>
> It is illegal to impost and market the radios.
> So perhaps in their way of thinking, possession of is also illegal.
> But at what point does importaion end?
> Once the radio is in the country, we no longer have imporation.
> We have distrribution and marketing.
> I buy the radio from Pilot. Pilot is marketing the radio. I am not.

In this example, by buying the radio, you have facilitated the illegal
act of marketing it. You probably won't go to jail for it, but it
isn't something you want to proudly brag to your grandkids about
either.

> However, if I buy numerous radios, then sell them, then I am marketing the
> radios.
> I think the possession angle would be a hard one to determine.
>
> As for the hams, again, it is an importation/marketing issue.
> Unless hte FCC federal laws specifically state that possession is a crime, I
> don't see how having one would be punishable.

Unfortunately, there is a lot more to the "10 meter radio" issue than
just whether or not sale and possession is a crime.

Hams are allowed freedom from type acceptance which means they are considered responsible enough to possess any type of gear provided they operate it within the law. CB'ers are not, and their equipment is restricted. In order to subvert the law and enable sale/marketing to unlicensed users, equipment intended for illegal use is called "10 meter amateur radio gear".

Most hams recognize the difference between the fake 10 meter gear and the real thing.

But a few hams are pirates themselves, and they want the illegal gear on the market.

Others don't care if outlaw gear is misused - unless it affects them personally.

Still others are stuck in the middle; they own a legit 10 meter rig and they use it legally. They realize that rigs similar to theirs are being abused by CBers. They also realize that CB dealers are selling another kind of gear - stuff that no ham would look at - and calling it "10 meter amateur radio gear".

But they are in a classic double-bind. If the legit hams complain, they may restrict sales of their own legitimate gear. If they don't complain, the sale and use of illegitimate outlaw gear proliferates.

-Aaron-

4797. Re: a review of the last year or so.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 19:50:45 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208221150.3aafdb88@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133826

Timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote
>why did you let him in on the funniest part of all?
>i was hoping nobody
>would
>help him realize that. i knew he'd never figure
>it out himself.
>
>>
>>Yea, ya' know it would, except when the skip dies, my guess is most
>>truckers will return to 19, as the noise they are trying to get away
>>from will be gone!

So when the next sunspot cycle hits they go back to 10 meters. What's
so funny about that?

-Aaron-

4798. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 20:07:02 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <vvgamu09f8v5aaeavs8uhljap5lci71711@4ax.com>
Source record: 133827

On Thu, 22 Aug 2002 15:39:06 GMT, 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:

>
>
>John,
> There's really not much doubt, as you implied.
>If it's illegal to import something, then that
>'something' is classified as contraband and it's
>also illegal to possess it, just like Cuban cigars.
>What's so hard to understand about that?
> 'Doc
Wrong. Possession of a 10 meter radio is not illegal.
Your reasoning is contraband and needs to be
exported.

4799. Re: USA Truck Wash?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 20:20:50 UTC
From: BobC <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <umbrsfg9u55b54@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133875

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:ak4295$c5h$1@quark.scn.rain.com...
> "www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
> news:20020820213718.10182.00002292@mb-fe.aol.com...
> > exit 738 or 785? anybody know where this is? i'm not hearing any dx from
>
> The only place that I know about with such high numbers is Ontario,
Canada.
>
> Neither Texas or California have numbers that high, unless they have
> changed their numbering recently.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

If you're referring to mile markers, both Texas and California have such
high numbers. Those same mm's in Texas puts you on I10 in or around Houston.
I don't think I20 thru Texas has those high numbers. I doubt there's a USA
truck wash in Canada.I would be more inclined to believe this is a Texas mm.

4800. Re: a review of the last year or so.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 20:22:39 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020822162239.00183.00002413@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 133828

because it will keep you sleepless until then wondering when and where it will begain again

>So when the next sunspot cycle hits they go back to 10 meters. What's
>so funny about that?
>
>-Aaron-

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4801. Re: Super Yagi Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 20:22:45 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ak3h7201ifs@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133831

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D650AF6.E1E48BAA@icok.net...
>
>
> John,
> You are also making a false assumption. The
> 'beam width' of a yagi type directional antenna
> is very seldom narrow as you may think. An average
> beam width of 60 degrees is considered narrow on
> HF.
> What would happen is that the 'front to back'
> ratio disapears and the antenna is bi-directional
> to some extent. The low angle radiation also is
> gone (or converted to higher angles of radiation).
> So, a yagi mounted on a truck will work, just not
> very well at all. As to adding more elements to
> the yagi, the boom would end up longer than the
> trailer before you knew it.
> Even in the UHF areas, to get a radiation pattern
> that would be narrower than about 60 degrees, you
> wouldn't use a yagi type beam anyway, you'd use a
> parabolic dish. Even then there are what is called
> 'side lobes', and the signal would be detectable
> from the sides of the antenna. That's why radar is
> detectable even if the receiver isn't in the radar's
> beam.
> You did get the 'divide by zero' thing right.
> 'Doc

I was just trying to point out that a beam antenna is not the best thing for
on a vehicle.
There have been a few attempts before, but nothing drastic like this stated
here.
It just sounded more like a fictiona thing you'd see in a movie.

As for radar, the gun merely sends the signal in one general direction.
Once the signal is out of the box, the signal goes everywhere up and down.
Stand on a lake shore, drop a heavy rock into the water and watch the
ripples.
That's your basic radar beam effect.

Take two detectors and stand 100 feet away from a "gun". Trigger the gun,
then move the detectors out at 90 degerees to the "gun".
You'll soon see just how wide that beam is.
When they don't chirp. Measure the angles. I'll bet it's more than 60
degrees.

```
"error detected. divide by zero. slam head against wall".
```

4802. Re: Super Yagi Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 20:23:49 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.0.1>
Message-ID: <ak3h9301ikk@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133830

Yes. It's to avoid having robot sniffers detect the addy's in the posts and
then sending spam to us.
You'd be wise to do the same.

"Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D6522B1.29C5E120@citlink.net...
> Is there any reason that you guys all "hide" behind alias return
addresses?
>
> "Arthur R. Howard" wrote:
>
> > Being a truck driver gives one a lot of time to think about important
> > economic and political world issues
> > Well, I got to thinking about what could be done to make a more
> > efficient mobile antenna and realized that size is THE problem. How do
> > you get a multiple figure gain antenna on a truck? Loading coils are the
> > answer and the problem at the same time -- the antenna radiation
> > resistance drops as reactance is substituted for length. High current
> > loops develop and the power is dissipated in the antenna instead of
> > being radiated. If only the antenna didn't dissipate the power.
> > Hmmm....let's see, P=E2 /R; now if R were 0 then...
> >
> > From my work, I have some contacts in research groups over at MIT in
> > Boston. Better yet, I knew a Japanese CB'er that is a graduate student
> > there. The thought running through my head was to build a
> > super-conducting antenna. This requires cryogenics, i.e. temperatures
> > around minus 279 degrees Centigrade. I was able get a couple of the
> > electronics major outcasts interested in the project and we built a
> > 11-meter dipole test silicon wafer. They put together a lot of serial
> > coils by "re-work" on the wafer; they were able to connect them so we
> > had a super-conducting yagi. I took my RCI 2950 transceiver down to the
> > lab for the first tests, but before we could test it, actual
> > measurements showed it was resonant on 3.126 MHz. It seems that the
> > normal equations for inductance don't work with super-conducting
> > materials -- you need a lot fewer turns to get the same results compared
> > to room temperature.
> > Many measurements and trials later, we had a eleven-meter resonant
> > wafer. This time we put a 40-element beam on each wafer and stacked 4
> > wafers in the same assembly. That made a 160-element array on 11-meters
> > in less than a half-foot cube (15 cm3).
> >
> > The first test didn't go too well. I connected my 2950 to the
> > super-conducting wafer antenna and tuned it for 11 meters. At room
> > temperature, we couldn't hear anything. Using a heat pump, the lab

> > technicians started lowering the antenna's temperature toward the
> > super-conducting region. I was really impressed by how small the
> > equipment is, and started thinking it might all fit in the truck. Just
> > then, the 2950 froze solid, which had a negative effect on its operating
> > characteristics. This wouldn't be so easy after all; the coax connection
> > would need some study!
> >
> > They reworked the wafers to put inductive coupling on them, but I could
> > find no way to efficiently couple to it from the conducting array.
> > Fortunately the guys came up with a new ceramic material that passed RF
> > but not heat/cold. Probably, something that Kyocera invented just for
> > this use. I sent the 2950 to the Best CB shop in Ontario Ca.(where I buy
> > all my equipt.) and asked them to touch it up for me. Frank,one of the
> > techs there, asked exactly how the paint had been peeled off around the
> > coax connector -- lightning maybe? No, I assured him -- just low
> > temperature exposure, without saying how low the temperatures were. The
> > project had to stay secret and besides, Doctor Bill can repair anything!
> >
> > Since it looked like it might be a while before the 2950 would be
> > repaired, I brought out my Galaxy 88. I had already placed an order for
> > a RCI 6900F TB anyway. After verifying that in the super-conducting
> > range the antenna was resonant on 11-meters, we connected the 88. The
> > ceramic material worked and the rig operated well as we began the
> > cooling cycle. The band seemed dead even with the antenna at -150
> > degrees C. It took another 10 minutes to get to the super-conducting
> > range -- then the 88 blew up. It seems our antenna had a bit more gain
> > than the 88 front-end could take.
> > Later measurements showed 500 volts coming out of the coax. A little
> > hard to believe, but then what do I know about cryogenic LSI antenna
> > technology? The 88 was also returned to Dr. Bill, but he frowned a bit
> > -- the front-end board did look like it had been hit by lightning. Not
> > to worry, Dr Bill can repair anything!
> >
> > The 6900F TB arrived just in time to be able to continue experiments.
> > We built a QSK attenuator to protect the receiver. With the LSI wafer
> > antenna still inside the lab, we decided to try to make a contact on
> > 11-meters,27.555. What a shock when we got it working! The first thing
> > we heard was a couple of guys in Phoenix AZ talking on 27.555(Sheriff
> > Bob and Triple 6) and that was with 80 dB of attenuation. We had the
> > antenna array on a rotatable mount; I moved it about a half-degree and
> > the guys in AZ disappeared. What beam width! We tuned them in again, and
> > they were just about to sign off, so we thought we would try to work
> > them.
> > The rig was tuned up at 150 watts USB on a dummy load; we switched in
> > the wafer antenna and gave the guys in AZ a call. The noise was
> > unbelievable -- an ionized ray shot out from the wafers and hit the wall
> > of the building. Before we knocked a hole in the band, we took a piece
> > out of the lab wall! Ever wonder what an antenna pattern looks like in
> > 3D? There was a oval hole in the wall of the lab -- about 1-cm high by
> > 2-cm wide.

> > We cut power quickly. Sheriff Bob came back on frequency a few minutes
> > later and said he was using his back-up rig; something had taken his
> > main rig off the air. For some reason, the station he was talking to
> > never came back, so we decided not to transmit again until we knew for
> > sure what was going on.
> > Also this being several months after the test I have found out that
> > hundreds,maybe even thousands of CB tranceivers were wiped out in a path
> > from Boston and to the southwest as far away as Austrailia.
> > Personally I think CB's need more adjacent channel rejection.
> >
> > As near as we can tell, the antenna array has 620-dB gain over a
> > dipole, but with a beamwidth of 0.75 degrees using the 60-dB points.
> > With 150 watts output, the effective radiated power is 55 quadrillion
> > watts at the center of the beam (5.5 with 13 zeroes).
> > As soon as the MIT big guys realized what the hell the flash and noise
> > was on the south campus, the entire project and equiptment was taken
> > away from us by a jerk named Hollingsworth from the FCC and turned over
> > to Homeland Defense. CB "tinkering" has contributed to something, but I
> > am not exactly sure what. I haven't the slightest idea what was in those
> > wafers or how to build another set. Do you think someone may be
> > interested in this idea for Star Wars/SDI?? What I'd give to use a much
> > smaller setup in the next Super Bowl Contest!
> >
> > A few months later, MIT contacted me and asked just how close I had been
> > to the antenna when operating. As best as I can figure, I was in the
> > null behind the array. From what has been said so far, it looks like a
> > secondary use for our antenna may be as a mass sterilizer and they are
> > considering useing it in Iraq but confirmation will have to await the
> > results of our medical tests. If our antenna ever hits the market, it
> > looks like remote operation may be desirable.So after all this work and
> > BS we have to come up with some kind of super shielding for mobile use.
> >
> > As I am writing this, I have been informed that my friend Dr Bill can't
> > fix everything after all. He's written off the 2950 and 88, and
> > I just found out that before the FCC confiscated all the equiptment,
> > the guys tried one more time with my 6900F TB, but without the 100-dB
> > attenuator to protect the receiver.The guys are recovering in the
> > hospital from what has been guessed to be a freak lightning strike and
> > the 6900 now looks like a pea sized piece of black glass and it looks
> > like it will be a while before I am on the air
> > again.....Taildragger aka AF28
>

4803. Re: ABF on 26.735

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 20:24:22 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020822162422.00183.00002414@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 133829

you can always go up on the roof where the good dx is anyways :)

>r regular 2-way is absurd.
>
>I have a ranch house... one floor, no basement. Does that mean I can
>only talk on channels 1 - 40 since I can't get into the basement? ;)
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4804. FS: SBE Sidebander Mobile SSB CB 23 Channel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 20:54:03 UTC
From: doidy1@juno.com
Message-ID: <3a26ea1.0208221254.7702d128@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

For Sale: SBE Sidebander Mobile 23 Channel CB radio. Looks to be in excellent shape with controls on front for CB/PA, NB/LOC, Squelch and volume knob, AM/USB/LSB switch, clarifier knob, and inputs on back for antenna, external speaker and PA speaker. Is untested but unit looks to be in real good shape. Plugs into cigarette lighter plug. Asking $20 obo. Please email me with questions or offers.

thanks,
LP

4805. Re: Super Yagi Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 21:07:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020822170700.22281.00002836@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 133833

as for driving around its not but they work well when parked and put on a small mast

>I was just trying to point out that a beam antenna is not the best thing for
>on a vehicle.
>There have been a few attempts before, but nothing drastic like this stated
>here.
>It just sounded more like a fictiona thing you'd see in a movie.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4806. Re: It does not take long to rat out auctions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 21:13:20 UTC
From: Yeahrightman <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com>
Message-ID: <2vkamuo0dk0ooerqa1gkfr7slk5fptghn4@4ax.com>
Source record: 133834

On 22 Aug 2002 09:11:31 -0700, keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) was blabbling:

...65.238.191.240

CAMPUS-VL4.GW.CMU.NET (128.2.4.1) 1.180 ms 0.846 ms 0.721 ms
2 CORE0-VL1000.GW.CMU.NET (128.2.0.12) 1.476 ms 1.855 ms 0.699 ms
3 HYPER-VL501.GW.CMU.NET (128.2.33.225) 0.891 ms 0.829 ms 0.763 ms
4 bar-cmu-g4-0-0-0.psc.net (192.88.115.181) 0.855 ms 0.722 ms 0.703 ms
5 killi-foo.psc.net (192.88.115.4) 1.136 ms 1.249 ms 0.969 ms
6 500.POS2-3.GW3.PIT1.ALTER.NET (65.194.72.113) 2.024 ms 1.815 ms 1.871 ms
7 518.at-3-0-0.XR1.TCO1.ALTER.NET (152.63.37.2) 9.952 ms 9.359 ms 9.591 ms
8 293.at-1-0-0.XL1.DCA8.ALTER.NET (146.188.162.233) 10.545 ms 10.324 ms 10.544 ms
9 0.so-2-0-0.TL1.DCA8.ALTER.NET (152.63.25.37) 9.052 ms 8.795 ms 8.877 ms
10 0.so-6-0-0.TL1.CHI2.ALTER.NET (152.63.13.21) 26.741 ms 26.786 ms 26.827 ms
11 0.so-1-0-0.XL1.CHI2.ALTER.NET (152.63.67.106) 26.721 ms 26.854 ms 27.022 ms
12 0.so-6-0-0.HR1.CHI2.ALTER.NET (152.63.73.33) 28.424 ms 28.446 ms 28.462 ms
13 140.ATM11-1-0.DR1.CLE9.ALTER.NET (152.63.66.81) 40.871 ms 34.972 ms 40.143 ms
14 tnt2.sylvania.oh.da.uu.net (65.238.0.67) 42.018 ms 97.848 ms 92.921 ms
15 * 1Cust48.tnt2.sylvania.oh.da.uu.net (65.238.191.240) 1033.620 ms 1311.252 ms

N8WWM

4807. Re: Super Yagi Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 21:15:31 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12732-3D655473-65@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133837

From: subnet@hotmail.com (Alan S.)
"Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in
news:3D6522B1.29C5E120@citlink.net:
Is there any reason that you guys all "hide" behind alias return
addresses?

>Well personaly, I use a hotmail account for newsgroups and a few other
things because I don't like all the spam in my normal one. I don't see
what's wrong with a person using a junk mail account for various things.
They can always give people they know their real address at any time.
Alan S. <<

In this group, the reason is most were driven underground after being
exposed as evolutionally-challenged primates,,,,,several whom are
no-codes.
Unless one frequently posts on usenet, and in several other groups under
the same real email addy, the spam excuse is BS. I have had the same
addy for years, have always posted it, and the spam problem is
practically non-existent.

4808. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 21:22:43 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12731-3D655623-173@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133836

From: "electro" :
Radio Shack sales people get extra commision to sell a cell phone. They
would rather sell one of those things than a $1.00 pack of resistors.

Please forgive me for not continuing the crossposting.
Around here they have independent Sprint representatives that man the
Shack stores.

4809. Re: NE ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 21:27:11 UTC
From: Robert <iweb@mac.com>
Message-ID: <3d65572b$0$1423$1dc6e903@news.corecomm.net>
Source record: 133835

Okay i'm on corecom big deal
doing a DNS search is tough
maybe I work for apple (.mac)
if this was 1976 then yes I might be the FCC but I have a piece o mobile and
wanted to know if anyone was still around the cleveland area doin hop ups

> "Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
> news:0jS89.2728$Aw4.205417@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >
> >
> > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> > news:7uN89.4743$Fj7.265630919@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
> > > news:KFD89.114194$Fw3.4838977@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > > He may be a trucker passing
> > > > thru NE Ohio and want a good cb shop in the area, perhaps he is moving
> > to
> > > > > that area no you had to troll him.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Spoken like a true expert on trolling.
> > > >
> > > > Landshark
> > >
> > Yes you troll my posts so I have good first hand experiance.
> > >
> > >
> >
> 'Bout time for a new nic, George. Wondered why you had dropped out of the
> "nic of the week" club.

4810. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 21:56:00 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D655DE9.C5E6AE06@icok.net>
Source record: 133838

tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>
> On Thu, 22 Aug 2002 15:39:06 GMT, 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > >John,
> > > There's really not much doubt, as you implied.
> > >If it's illegal to import something, then that
> > >'something' is classified as contraband and it's
> > >also illegal to possess it, just like Cuban cigars.
> > >What's so hard to understand about that?
> > > 'Doc
> Wrong. Possession of a 10 meter radio is not illegal.
> Your reasoning is contraband and needs to be
> exported.

Possession of ~a~ 10 meter radio may not be illegal,
but possession of ~specific~ 10 meter radios is illegal
since those ~specific~ 10 meter radios can not be imported
or sold legally.
Anyone can own a radio capable of operating on 10 meters.
Just anyone can not operate a radio on 10 meters. There are
specific radios that can not be imported, or owned legally
by anyone in this country. Those are all facts. Before we
argue about anything, lets make sure we're arguing about the
same thing. Is the radio your speaking of proscribed for
importation and sale in this counry?
'Doc

4811. Re: Results are in! A-99(+ mods) vs Imax-2000(+ mods) vs 827 vs dipole vs cheap 5/8 and 1/2 wave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 22:01:33 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D655F44.3E405324@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133839

"www.TimNebo.com" wrote:
>
> doc is a old overweight dickhead. oh wait, thats 99% of this newsgroup not just
> doc!

<sarcasm>
Would that make you a young overweight dickhead?
a young underweight dickhead?
a young ????? <g> <jk>
</sarcasm>

4812. Re: It does not take long to rat out auctions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 22:03:38 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D655FC1.E91C7B99@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133840

Yeahrightman wrote:
>
> On 22 Aug 2002 09:11:31 -0700, keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown
> Busters) was blabbling from Ohio:
>
> ...65.238.191.240

Did someone say "killfile"?

The sound of newsgroup golf...plonk!

4813. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 22:44:21 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020822184421.14922.00001850@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 133841

Hey Jerry, do the boys on Rt 85 make you so mad that you act like old Doug Adair.Hey I live near RT95 and no truckers run on lower 28 mhz here.Just the old WW2 code hams.

4814. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 22:45:37 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208221445.6cdd851a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133842

cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter) wrote in message
news:<fef386d5.0208220528.4b4007fa@posting.google.com>...
> "Kenneth" <pwrguy@intergrafix.net> wrote in message
news:<um91canfqhnid6@corp.supernews.com>...
> > Because the truckers with good radios are up on 28.185 also known as ch19 up
> > two bands. I'm up there and my radio sounds great!
> > Ken

And that makes you part of Aanal Aaron and Jerry Ox's problem.

> > "Spunk Monkey" <webmaster@127.0.0.1> wrote in message
> > news:um7ug84qci7t13@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Can someone enlighten me as to why truckers radios sound like shit?
> > >
> > > I hear a lot of them that are way over-modulated, have echo, reverb, etc.
> > > Do they knowingly do this?
> > >
> > > What is the mentality of sounding like southern trailer trash on the
> radio?
> > >
> > >
> hmm. that area isn't being used by anyone else right now is it? so
> the truckers found a new place to talk ....cool....

Actually, it is being used; it's part of the ten meter amateur band,
the CW part. You will either get jammed by VERY high powered hams or
reported to the FCC in no time flat. Stay below 28.000 and most of
them won't care.

4815. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 22:46:53 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208221446.4730caa0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133843

"Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D644453.2C80549E@citlink.net>...
> I am a trucker also.Just a little reverb ads punch.Listen to your big
> Commercial AM brodcasters.
> Nope I dont like echo,overmodulation otr any of that other crap either.....Hmm
> come to think of it I don't hang below ch 40 on Am anyway....always up at about
> 27.570 USB with my Alinco DX77T and 1200 watts rag chewing with the
> world.Either that or trashing around the 555 circus.......Taildragger

You really need 1200 watts to talk to another trucker? Very unlikely.

4816. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 22:52:28 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208221452.4109d0c7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133844

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<ClX89.17876$CD2.2385743@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> Richard Cranium <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0208211032.7b1b4ac7@posting.google.com...
> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
> news:<c9ceae5b.0208191556.ceb665d@posting.google.com>...
> > > >timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote
> > > >
> > > >everybody in the entire radio active world knows
> > > >it is going on but you!
> > >
> > > If you are radio active on 14.313, do let us know times (UTC) when
> > > you're on. As Tom Sevart says, there's no law against listening to
> > > (and making recordings of) pirates....
> > >
> > > -Aaron-
> >
> > By all means, record away. And be sure to send your recordings to
> > Riley so action can be taken against those law-breaking amateurs!
>
>
> I've done it before, and I'll do it again.
>
>
> J

Why are you answering for Aanal Aaron??

Nobody cares what you do, Jerry. You are as impotent as Aanal Aaron is.

4817. Re: 603 beam and tower 4sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 22:53:51 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208221453.2ed07659@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

"Jeff" <j.dauphinais@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<Gb399.5859$NZ.325220@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> I have for sale 1 full size "HY-Gain" beam 10 meters. fully assembled
> for your inspection. 13' Boom and longest element is 22' $100.00 I also
> have a steel tower totaling 25'. this is in 3 sections 1 10 foot st8 and 1
> 5foot st8 and 1 10 foot top section. I don't not have a rotator. $50.00.
> pickup only from Nashua,NewHampshire. I am selling these because they are to
> big for my new QTH. This beam has spent a large portion of its life in
> storage. 73's Jeff AA1GR

"don't not"? A double negative means you HAVE a rotator?

4818. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 22:54:02 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <eYd99.126280$Yd.5819881@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133846

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D655DE9.C5E6AE06@icok.net...
>
>
> tnom@mucks.net wrote:
> >
> > On Thu, 22 Aug 2002 15:39:06 GMT, 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:
> >
> > > >
> > > >John,
> > > > There's really not much doubt, as you implied.
> > > >If it's illegal to import something, then that
> > > >'something' is classified as contraband and it's
> > > >also illegal to possess it, just like Cuban cigars.
> > > >What's so hard to understand about that?
> > > > 'Doc
> > Wrong. Possession of a 10 meter radio is not illegal.
> > Your reasoning is contraband and needs to be
> > exported.
> ---------------------------------------
> Possession of ~a~ 10 meter radio may not be illegal,
> but possession of ~specific~ 10 meter radios is illegal
> since those ~specific~ 10 meter radios can not be imported
> or sold legally.
> Anyone can own a radio capable of operating on 10 meters.
> Just anyone can not operate a radio on 10 meters. There are
> specific radios that can not be imported, or owned legally
> by anyone in this country. Those are all facts. Before we
> argue about anything, lets make sure we're arguing about the
> same thing. Is the radio your speaking of proscribed for
> importation and sale in this counry?
> 'Doc

'Doc, are you spouting more untrue drivel?

4819. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 23:09:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <M4e99.30871$CD2.2686523@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133848

ALLSTEEL77 <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020822184421.14922.00001850@mb-cq.aol.com...
> Hey Jerry, do the boys on Rt 85 make you so mad that you act like old Doug
> Adair.Hey I live near RT95 and no truckers run on lower 28 mhz here.Just
the
> old WW2 code hams.

It doesn't really make me mad; when yer right, yer right. Now I DO listen
to the lower
end of 10 when I am out on the interstate, and I'll surely turn one of 'em
in in a Noo Yawk
minute. But, no, it doesn't make me run up my BP. I am confident that the
problem will take
care of itself. You see, those in authority have promised to do something
about it. I am certain
that those in the know realize that it is better to deal with it now rather
than ignore it and hope it
goes away. Tell me, do you really think that those who encroach on the ham
bands now will stop
in 10 or 12 meters? I don't think so, unless enforcement convinces them
that it isn't profitable. I
think they will continue to spread until they press the "wrong" button and
raise the ire of other users,
the public, and Congress. Either sooner, or later, something WILL be done
simply because it will
HAVE to. Unregulated radio will NOT work

Jerry

4820. Re: Super Yagi Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 23:09:20 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D656F26.584170DD@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 133847

> "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in
> Is there any reason that you guys all "hide" behind alias return
> addresses?

?- what is fake about this address?
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>

Would you prefer upplus@NOThotmail.com?
Most munges in emails are easy to detect. This slows down spam.

The other reason for using hotmail or yahoo is because of HTML mail.
These attachments can contain viruses or other harmful things. By
reading that mail on a webpage, it keeps your 'puter separate from it.

4821. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-22 23:19:48 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Uee99.30896$CD2.2689901@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133849

Richard Cranium <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> But to just lump all CBers together and say they're somehow all
> law-breakers (as Jerry Ox has said) is wholly wrong-minded.

Whoa!!!!! Show me where I said that?

Jerry

4822. Re: Super Yagi Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 00:31:06 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D658246.A5F4CC5D@icok.net>
Source record: 133850

John,
Ah, but remove the emitter from the housing, which
is basically what you'd have on HF with a yagi at the
height it would be mounted on a truck, and that directed
beam is no longer directed, but omnidirectional.
It also depends on what you call 'near'. At 27 Mhz,
'near' would be quite a few wave lengths.
'Doc

4823. Re: USA Truck Wash?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 01:14:14 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ak4295$c5h$1@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 133851

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020820213718.10182.00002292@mb-fe.aol.com...
> exit 738 or 785? anybody know where this is? i'm not hearing any dx from

The only place that I know about with such high numbers is Ontario, Canada.

Neither Texas or California have numbers that high, unless they have
changed their numbering recently.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4824. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 01:19:36 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ak42j8$c5h$2@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 133852

'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:

> There's really not much doubt, as you implied.
> If it's illegal to import something, then that
> 'something' is classified as contraband and it's
> also illegal to possess it, just like Cuban cigars.
> What's so hard to understand about that?

It is hard to understand, because it is not true.

There are a number of items that are illegal to import, or even to
sell commercially, that are completely legal to own.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4825. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 01:21:52 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ak42nf$c5h$3@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 133853

'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:

>> Wrong. Possession of a 10 meter radio is not illegal.
>> Your reasoning is contraband and needs to be
>> exported.
> ---------------------------------------
> Possession of ~a~ 10 meter radio may not be illegal,
> but possession of ~specific~ 10 meter radios is illegal
> since those ~specific~ 10 meter radios can not be imported
> or sold legally.

That is not correct. For example, it is illegal to import or
sell commecially scanners capable of receiving cellular frequencies.
But it is not illegal to own those scanners.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4826. MULTIBAND RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 01:40:03 UTC
From: "Oleg M." <omhome@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <Tng99.68382$8aG1.55449@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 133854
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

YUPITERU MVT-7100 MULTIBAND RECEIVER
Brand new in box
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1374237784&ssPageName=ADM
E:B:BN:US:1

4827. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 01:43:13 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020822214313.28874.00002384@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 133855

>From: richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard >Cranium)
>You really need 1200 watts to talk to >another trucker? Very unlikely.
But originally posted by >>allsteel77@aol.com (ALLSTEEL77)
>>up at about
>> 27.570 USB with my Alinco DX77T and >>1200 watts rag chewing with the
>> world
Are you a complete moron!? Yes your email address confirms it! Dick Head!
He said DXing around the world..he didnt say anything about talking to other
truckers.....you can't read moron...don't bother posting!

"Got RF?"

4828. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 01:58:13 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <h85bmu0m1p48kqrstpu9pi53f7olckge0p@4ax.com>
Source record: 133856

On Thu, 22 Aug 2002 21:56:00 GMT, 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:

>
>
>tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>>
>> On Thu, 22 Aug 2002 15:39:06 GMT, 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >
>> >John,
>> > There's really not much doubt, as you implied.
>> >If it's illegal to import something, then that
>> >'something' is classified as contraband and it's
>> >also illegal to possess it, just like Cuban cigars.
>> >What's so hard to understand about that?
>> > 'Doc
>> Wrong. Possession of a 10 meter radio is not illegal.
>> Your reasoning is contraband and needs to be
>> exported.
>---------------------------------------
> Possession of ~a~ 10 meter radio may not be illegal,
>but possession of ~specific~ 10 meter radios is illegal
>since those ~specific~ 10 meter radios can not be imported
>or sold legally.

Wrong. A AR3500 is one of those radios listed. I specifically
conferred with a FCC official about purchasing one of these radios
from an individual. I specifically said I was not licensed. He said it
is legal to own one of these and sent me the letter that acknowledges
that they are only illegal to import.

I even have a property release letter from the same FCC office for a
mistakenly confiscated AR3500.

FINAL WORD.....They are not illegal to own

4829. Re: Super Yagi Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 02:20:23 UTC
From: John Smith <spamwanted@127.0.1.1>
Message-ID: <ak465l02hbj@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133858

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D656F26.584170DD@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
> > "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in
> > Is there any reason that you guys all "hide" behind alias return
> > addresses?
>
>
> ?- what is fake about this address?
> From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
>
> Would you prefer upplus@NOThotmail.com?
> Most munges in emails are easy to detect. This slows down spam.
>
> The other reason for using hotmail or yahoo is because of HTML mail.
> These attachments can contain viruses or other harmful things. By
> reading that mail on a webpage, it keeps your 'puter separate from it.

Perhaps an easy way to munge would be to use @h.o.t.m.a.i.l.c.o.m
A human can see what to do, a robot sniffer can not.
even h.o.t.mail.com would work.
Before you go using any dot com addy, make sure it's not a registered domain
name.
If it is, you could lose your account for forgery.
Otherwise, just drop the dot com part.
So you'd have @hot.mail.

4830. Re: New Price- Davemade M80

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 02:41:54 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020822224154.05675.00001631@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 133857

Bull crap!!

Thatz-me-JP

4831. Re: Results are in! A-99(+ mods) vs Imax-2000(+ mods) vs 827 vs dipole vs cheap 5/8 and 1/2 wave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 02:56:57 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D65A477.649C9382@icok.net>
Source record: 133859

I have old Tim blocked so don't get to see his posts
too often. Now I remember why...
'Doc

4832. FS Bird 43 Like New

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 02:59:09 UTC
From: dclark506@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020822225909.15060.00001752@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 133860

Like new Bird 43 includes manual, box, both UHF and N connectors, 3 new elements with holders, 100H, 1000H, 2500H 2-30MHZ
Package retails $675 Asking $425

4833. Re: It does not take long to rat out auctions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 03:15:18 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208221915.50660855@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133861

keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
news:<d1e9cf6a.0208220811.5fdf098e@posting.google.com>...
> Hey Packrat,
> We have form letters all composed, and the process is so easy you
> could call it automated...thanks a lot for the listings. AntiKeyclowns
> own this NG, and there is absolutely nothing you guys can do to stop
> us.
>
>
> "Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message news:<um5vt2os7uqb98@corp.supernews.com>...
> > Hey no problem - just doing my part to keep myself busy. I figure if I cant
> > sell my equipment, I might as well apply my self to someone elses auctions
> > to help them out. Of course ebay wont stop these auctions cause they have
> > $$ in thier eyes.
> >

get a life you idiot.

4834. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 03:18:34 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208221918.1a01887a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133862

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208191053.4eef83b@posting.google.com>...
> > timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com)
> >Date: Mon, Aug 19, 2002 11:22 AM
> >Message-id: <20020819142245.22296.00001792@mb-cl.aol.com>
> >
> >i was just showing how odd it is that with all the troubles on the
> world
> >wide
> >amatuer bands you religate yourself to "policing" the cb freqs only
> when
> >there
> >is so much more going on. after all the guys on the ham bands are
> making
> >our
> >entire nation look like fools to the whole world, yet your biggest
> concern
> >is
> >still truckers with small amps driving up an down the highway. aaron
> you
> >asked
> >for proof of "current torubles on the hf bands" and here it is. dont
> try
> >to
> >wiesel out of it now. be a man and admitt it really is going on and
> theres
> >nothing you can do about any of it.
>
> First of all, Tim, I never said there weren't any problems on the ham
> bands. I asked for proof that a certain frequency on 20 meters was
> currently being abused. It wasn't. That's because the violators who
> abused it in the past got penalized by the FCC. If you read the FCC
> enforcement logs you will see that problems do get dealt with. Often
> it takes time, but it happens, and it has nothing to do with me or my
> posting in this NG. It happens because the majority of hams don't want
> their bands to become an unusable mess. BTW, I notice you seem to be
> a "fan" of HF trouble spots, i.e. you know just what frequencies to be
> on. Interesting...
>
> -Aaron-

What do you care about all of this anyways. you said yourself that you
do not now or ever have owned or operate any radio equipment. I really
see no reason for your whining. Hell you are not a ham and not a cber.

What the hell are you then?

4835. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 03:20:09 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208221920.648485d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133863

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<Aoj89.67359$dr.8706885@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> www.TimNebo.com <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
> news:20020819183520.16108.00001685@mb-bg.aol.com...
> > sure am, all i have to do is read rec.radio.shortwave and the trash misc
> ham
> > group to be right up to date on all the hot spots like the am'ers on 75
> meters
> > out on the west coast that keep jamming the ssb'ers. its alot of fun to
> hear
> > the guys go at it.
>
> Those are "turf" wars that don't need to go on. It is caused by immature,
> fire-hydrant-pissing
> mental midgets that think that "their" frequency should be populated only by
> them. It is a simply
> matter for the SSBers to move down a few KCs. The AMers only have a few
> places to operate on that mode. Control freaks abound everywhere, and the
> SSBers could simply move a ways.
> But NOOOOOOOO. Everybody's gotta defend their "turf"/
>
> Jerry
>
>
> >
> > >
> > >their bands to become an unusable mess. BTW, I notice you seem to be
> > >a "fan" of HF trouble spots, i.e. you know just what frequencies to be
> > >on. Interesting...
> > >
> > >-Aaron-
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > Tim Nebo
> >
> > http://www.TimNebo.com
> >

> >

hey jerry . are you saying that ham radio is inhabited by mental
midgets???? My , my...Well I never....

4836. Re: USA Truck Wash?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 04:09:56 UTC
From: n2uhc@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3442abbf.0208222009.60dc024a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133864

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<oS899.125914$Yd.5756131@twister.austin.rr.com>...

> And most likely the single most boring 800 mile stretch of road in the
> country.

Try I-29 through South Dakota. There is NOTHING along that road.

Tom

4837. Re: Old coax length answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 04:12:18 UTC
From: n2uhc@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3442abbf.0208222012.608c0335@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133865

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<Cc999.13332$%v4.518596@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>...

> The funniest
> thing I see around
> is two antennas on the mirrors of a Toyota truck! "Iffen ah 'putt' two
> antenners on mah Toyeeyoter
> hit's bound to git out better, shore 'nuff thar". >>snicker<<

I knew a guy who had twin 102" steel whips on each side of his Toyota pickup.

Tom

4838. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 04:23:07 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D65B8A6.52FD3020@icok.net>
Source record: 133866

Randy,
That's about like asking if you're still beating
your wife. Doesn't show much imagination, does it?
I don't know why, but I expected more from you than
that.
'Doc

4839. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 04:24:32 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D65B8FB.B78E0207@icok.net>
Source record: 133867

tnom,
So in other words, they are not on a proscribed
import list.
'Doc

4840. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 04:27:17 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D65B9A0.E819299F@icok.net>
Source record: 133868

Bill,
You lost me on that one. If you can't import it,
and it's illegal to sell in this country, then how did
you get possesion of it?
'Doc

4841. GET MORE CHANNELS, POWER, & RANGE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 05:24:23 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <umhbmu8r3s9f8f2jahscrmb95ep4nprrhm@4ax.com>
Source record: 133869

Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits
for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham
conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new
frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and
privacy.

Please visit our Web site, where you can download our unique catalog
of CB Radio Specialties, or check out our most popular schematics
(TriPower RF linear amp, Dynamic Speech Processor, FM3 circuit, Roger
Beep, etc.) and other CB technical articles. Please go to:

www.cbcintl.com

We also run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of
our most popular CB books.

****
73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980

www.cbcintl.com

Email: info@cbcintl.com

4842. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 05:25:51 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D65C75F.42C0E73A@citlink.net>
Source record: 133870

Hey Aron
what you need to do is come look in my basement and guess what I use the Gates 250GY for....BRUUUUHAHAHAHAHA

Richard Cranium wrote:

> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message news:<c9ceae5b.0208011521.4511bbf8@posting.google.com>...
> > -Aaron-
> >
> > ----
> >
> > ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST
> >
> > The FCC's Office of Engineer and Technology (OET) has evaluated the
> > devices listed below and has concluded that these devices are not only
> > amateur transceivers but can easily be altered for use as Citizens
> > Band (CB) transceivers as well. As such, OET has further concluded
> > that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within the
> > United States for the reasons discussed below. Further, the FCC
> > General Council has issued a decision in a specific case involving one
> > manufacturer and has concluded that dual use CB and amateur radios of
> > the kind at issue may not be approved under the Commission's rules and
> > are in violation of several rules including the RF power level limits
> > of 47 CFR 95.639. (letter from Christopher J. Wright, FCC-OGC to John
> > F. Atwood, US Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999).
> >
> > Transceivers used in the Amateur Radio Service below 30 MHz do not
> > require FCC authorization prior to being imported into or marketed
> > within the United States, but transceivers for other services,
> > including the CB Radio Service (CB), do require Commission approval.
> > The transceivers listed herein and other similar models operate in the
> > amateur "10-meter band" and are often referred to as "10-meter" radios
> > or "export" radios. The amateur 10-meter band uses frequencies that
> > are very close to the channels set aside for use in the CB service.
> > Some of the transceivers that manufacturers call "10-meter" radios
> > either operate on CB frequencies as manufactured and imported or are
> > designed such that internal circuits can readily be activated by a
> > user, a service technician or a dealer to operate on CB frequencies.
> > According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's rules, a CB
> > transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate
> > at a station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.603(c).
> > The Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory
> > have determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar
> > models at issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as

> > well as those in the amateur service because they have built-in
> > capability to operate on CB frequencies. This capability can be
> > readily activated by moving or removing a jumper plug, cutting or
> > splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other simple means.
> > Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall
> > within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.603(c).
> > A CB transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or
> > importation. 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ï¿½ 95.603(c); 2.803.
> >
> > Moreover, the dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue here
> > may not be certified under the Commission's rules. Section 95.655(a)
> > states: "ï¿½.([CB] Transmitters with frequency capability for the
> > Amateur Radio Services ï¿½ will not be certificated.)" See also
> > Amendment of Part 95, Subpart E, Technical Regulations in the Personal
> > Radio Services Rules, FCC 88-256, 1888 WL 488084 (August 17, 1988).
> > This clarification was added to explicitly foreclose the possibility
> > of certification of dual use CB and amateur radios, see id, and
> > thereby deter use by CB operators of frequencies allocated for amateur
> > radio use.
> >
> > In addition, the Commission's equipment authorization experts have
> > determined that these devices violate or appear to violate a number of
> > the rules governing CB devices. For example, they may use emission
> > types not permitted, or emit RF power at a level in excess of the
> > levels permitted in the CB radio service. See 47 C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.639.
> >
> > In view of the foregoing, the following "10-meter" transceivers are
> > not acceptable for importation or marketing into/within the United
> > States. Importation and marketing of these units is illegal pursuant
> > to Section 302(b) of the Communications Act and Section 2.803 of the
> > rules. Willful violations of the Rules and the Act may subject the
> > violator to a monetary forfeiture of not more than $11,000 for each
> > violation or each day of a continuing violation. The Commission
> > continues to review this type of equipment, and additional makes and
> > models may be added to this list in the future.
> >
> >
> >
> > LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS
> > ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET
> >
> >
> > GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V, DX 77HML, DX
> > 88HL, DX99V and Saturn Turbo
> >
> > CONNEX - models: 3300, 3300HP-ZX, 3300 PLUS and CX-3800
> >
> > MIRAGE - models: 44, Galaxy 88, 9900 and 2950EX
> >
> > NORTH STAR - models: NS-3000 and NS-9000

> >
> > PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln
> >
> > PRO STAR - model: 240
> >
> > RANGER - models: AR-3500 and RCI-2950
> >
> > TEK - model: HR-3950
> >
> > UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600
> >
> > SUPER STAR - model: 121
> >
> >
> > For further information concerning the listed transceivers or similar
> > models, contact Ray LaForge or Gary Hendrickson at the FCC Laboratory,
> > 7435 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046, (301) 362-3041 or (301)
> > 362-3043 respectively, or E-mail: rlaforge@fcc.gov and
> > ghendric@fcc.gov
>
> Aaron; I re-read the Notice above several times just in case I had
> missed something. However, I don't think I did, and I have some
> questions.
>
> 1. What is the FCC Notice number? And the date of the Notice? All FCC
> Notices have numbers and dates; if you can't provide them I have to
> assume this is a forge.
>
> 2. I do not see anywhere in the Notice where it says that existing ten
> meter radios have suddenly become illegal for licensed amateur
> operators to use in the ten (and 12) meter amateur bands. What it does
> say is that further "importing and marketing" is prohibited. Did I
> miss something?
>
> 3. The list of radios is hardly news; none of them has ever been legal
> for use on CB. Amateur radio does not require type-approved equipment,
> however, so until you can show me I'm wrong I still have to say that
> they are ALL perfectly legal for amateur use. The Notice does not
> mention this point; it only states that they are not legal for use on
> CB. Old news.
>
> 4. At the bottom of the Notice there are the names and direct phone
> numbers for the two FCC Engineers. Please call them and ask for
> clarification, and be sure to ask whether existing ten meter radios
> are still "legal for use by licensed amateurs on the amateur bands
> covered", not whether they're legal for use on CB. (We all know that
> they are NOT legal for use on CB.) It won't do any good for me to make
> the call, since you already tipped your hand calling it "hearsay" in
> advance.
>

> Tell ya what: You make the call and report back to us whether you're
> right or wrong. If, by some remote chance, you can prove me wrong,
> I'll reimburse you for the cost of the call. Fair enough?
>
> It's time to put this long-winded thread to rest, so put up or shut
> up.

4843. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 05:37:28 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208222137.d7f56e6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133871

Arthur R. Howard wrote...
>Hey Aron
>what you need to do is come look in my basement
>and guess what I use the Gates 250GY for....
>BRUUUUHAHAHAHAHA

Old saying: "water seeks its own level". Looks like you found yours.

-A

4844. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 07:28:45 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ak4o7dkcm1@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 133872

'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:

> Bill,
> You lost me on that one. If you can't import it,
> and it's illegal to sell in this country, then how did
> you get possesion of it?
> 'Doc

The operative term is "commercial sale". You also cannot import
or manufacture the items for commercial sale.

It is the same as with linear amplifiers. It is illegal to import
or manufacture for sale amplifiers that cover 10 meters. But once
an amateur (or other person) has modified that amp to cover 10 meters,
it is now legal to sell that amp - even to a non-licensed person. This
assumes that the person is not in the business of selling radio gear,
but is only selling his own personal property.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4845. Re: New coax length question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 07:45:46 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ak4p7akcm2@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 133873

'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:

> John,
> Take your own advice. The usable bandwidth of
> an antenna is determined by the physical properties
> of the antenna, not the radio.
> 'Doc

In a way, you are both right.

If the radio is particular about SWR, then it can limit the
useable bandwidth of the antenna system. Throw an antenna
matching network in line and you can now operate the antenna
over a much greater bandwidth.

On the other hand, some antenna designs have a greater bandwidth
between given SWR levels than other designs. For a given radio,
it is then the antenna that limits bandwidth of the system.

Keep in mind - In general, the greater the bandwidth of the
antenna, the poorer it is going to be as a radiating element.

The most broadbanded antenna does not radiate worth a darn.
It is better known as a dummy load.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4846. Re: Super Yagi Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 07:47:09 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92738222B2C7subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 133874

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in news:12732-3D655473-65
@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net:

> From: subnet@hotmail.com (Alan S.)
> "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in
> news:3D6522B1.29C5E120@citlink.net:
> Is there any reason that you guys all "hide" behind alias return
> addresses?
>
>>Well personaly, I use a hotmail account for newsgroups and a few other
> things because I don't like all the spam in my normal one. I don't see
> what's wrong with a person using a junk mail account for various things.
> They can always give people they know their real address at any time.
> Alan S. <<
>
>
> In this group, the reason is most were driven underground after being
> exposed as evolutionally-challenged primates,,,,,several whom are
> no-codes.
> Unless one frequently posts on usenet, and in several other groups under
> the same real email addy, the spam excuse is BS. I have had the same
> addy for years, have always posted it, and the spam problem is
> practically non-existent.
>

I don't know. I suppose spammers don't mine addys from the newsgroups as
much as they used to. Still, if I'm wrong, I'd rather have the crap sent to
my hotmail account. My account is almost spam free now. Thats kinda neat
considering that I've had it for like 3 years. Why chance going back to the
days when I got over 10 spam emails a day?

Alan S.

4847. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 08:46:26 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <lhtbmucqotq2s49l4i1icu38k69jvbrbi3@4ax.com>
Source record: 133876

On Fri, 23 Aug 2002 04:24:32 GMT, 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:

>
>
>tnom,
> So in other words, they are not on a proscribed
>import list.
> 'Doc

The are on a illegal import list but that doesn't make it illegal to
own.

4848. Re: BLACK PIRATE 5R BASE ANTENNA OWNERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 09:49:11 UTC
From: Jeff Cochrane <spammenot@aol.com>
Message-ID: <ak50ev$1f7ail$1@ID-135764.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133877
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,it.hobby.radio-cb,rec.radio.cb

Kerrist!
The sig is longer than the message!
Trim it man.....we dont need that shit.
Jeff C.

"Ivaro Rocha - CT01264/CQM9306" <"removenospamblackghostknife"@mail.telepac.pt>
wrote in message news:afrh74$nr9$1@venus.telepac.pt...
>
> I need to know more details about this base antenna, please ! (NOT
> TECHNICALS DETAILS, BUT PERSONAL EXPERIENCE!!!!!!!)
> Good for DX's ?
> RFI ????
> SWR ???????
> Works beter at the sea (nearly)????????
> Wind resisting ????????
> If you have this base antenna, please give me more info ou "secret details"
> about that !
> Many thanks for the help !!!!
>
> Best 73"
>
> Tony
> 31-AT-170
>
> Porto
> Portugal
>
> PLEASE REPLY TO : fernando.m.silva@sapo.pt
> --
> _____
> CT01264 - SWL
> CQM-9306
> QTH LOCATOR - IN51QD 41-09'-33" N 8-35'-41" W
> Prefix - CT Continent - EU ITU zone - 37 CQ zone / WAZ - 14
> ITU region - 1 GridSquares - IN
> 170 M ANTENNAS SEA LEVEL
>
> ALFA TANGO MEMBER # 170 SINCE 07/1995
>
> LDBC # 035
> REP # 0801
>
> ICQ/UIN # 15161256

>
>
> FORMER : 31-AR-001/CV-388-CT/31-SD-104/31-CDP-175/31-PAZ-341
>
> against use of linear amplifier's
>
> SETI@HOME MEMBER (we are not alone....)SETI@home is a scientific experiment
> that uses Internet-connected computers in the Search for Extraterrestrial
> Intelligence (SETI). You can participate by running a free program that
> downloads and analyzes radio telescope data.
>
> PORTO - PORTUGAL
>
> "ODERINT, DUM METUANT"
>
> "SI DEVS PRO NOBIS QVIS CONTRA NOS"
>
> c:-)
> INTERNET SECURITY + PC PROTECTION by :
> H+BEDV AntiVIR Personal Edition
> GUARD/9X
> Version 6
> www.hbedv.com
> _____
> _____
>
>

4849. Re: Super Yagi Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 14:31:52 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23593-3D664758-55@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133882

>I don't know. I suppose spammers don't mine addys from the newsgroups as much as they used to. Still, if I'm wrong, I'd rather have the crap sent to my hotmail account. My account is almost spam free now. Thats kinda neat considering that I've had it for like 3 years.<<

Hey cool! Me too! Have you posted under that email name for 3 years?

> Why chance going back to the days when I got over 10 spam emails a day?
Alan S.<<

Small fries. Why sweat the small stuff? Some people worry too much.

4850. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 14:35:01 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020823103501.10149.00002517@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 133878

if you notice he said working the world! he's one of the few good truckers we have on the band dx'ing with good clean gear on the freeband.

>
>You really need 1200 watts to talk to another trucker? Very unlikely.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4851. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 14:37:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23593-3D66488C-56@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133881

>
It doesn't really make me mad; when yer right, yer right. Now I DO
listen to the lower
end of 10 when I am out on the interstate, and I'll surely turn one of
'em in in a Noo Yawk
minute. But, no, it doesn't make me run up my BP. <<

Which is, I can truly say, probably why you are able to hold a debate,
get a point across, and usually even catch some flies.

>I am confident that the problem will take
care of itself. You see, those in authority have promised to do
something about it. I am certain
that those in the know realize that it is better to deal with it now
rather than ignore it and hope it goes away. Tell me, do you really
think that those who encroach on the ham bands now will stop in 10 or 12
meters?
<<

More about this in a second....

>I don't think so, unless enforcement convinces them that it isn't
profitable. I
think they will continue to spread until they press the "wrong" button
and raise the ire of other users,
the public, and Congress. Either sooner, or later, something WILL be
done simply because it will
HAVE to. Unregulated radio will NOT work Jerry<<

You're right...it won't....unless it is rendered useless......as in
"doesn't affect anyone that doesn't seek it." Technology is taking shape
that will make radio waves equal to the wheel in terms of sheer
progress. Think radio enthusiasts are a increasingly becoming a
minority? Wait. The best is yet to come.

4852. hey jerry!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 14:37:58 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020823103758.10149.00002518@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 133879

when your spending all this time on 28.085 listening for truckers to turn in doesnt it actually make you miss your hobby more than the inturders themselfs do? i mean is your hobby ratting out truckers or talking to other hams? it seems like it is ratting out truckers too me.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4853. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 14:39:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23593-3D66493C-57@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133883

There are specific radios that can not be imported, or owned legally by anyone in this country. Those are all facts. Before we argue about anything, lets make sure we're arguing about the same thing. Is the radio your speaking of proscribed for importation and sale in this counry?

'Doc<<

--

The "list" presented contained no clauses or references to grandfathering in said radios that already exist and are owned to be deemed illegal.

4854. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 14:43:26 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <iSr99.5187$gR4.415569162@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 133880

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ak5b66$1g4mp4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> X-No-archive: Yes
>
> Apparently he's someone who just likes to argue even though he's long ago
> lost the argument.
>
> Tom
>
>
>

Why that would make him a troll,
wouldn't it.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

4855. Re: Old coax length answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 14:44:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23593-3D664A67-58@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133885

From: n2uhc@yahoo.com (Tom Sevart)
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<Cc999.13332$%v4.518596@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>...
The funniest
thing I see around
is two antennas on the mirrors of a Toyota truck! "Iffen ah 'putt' two
antenners on mah Toyeeyoter
hit's bound to git out better, shore 'nuff thar". >>snicker<<

"I knew a guy who had twin 102" steel whips on each side of his Toyota
pickup.
Tom "

Jerry,

You drove big truck and you make mobile antennas. Surely you know the
direction (front and rear) is improved with cophased antennas on a big
rig but the Toyota isn' wide enough to space the antennas apart enough
to enjoy the achieved affect.

4856. Re: GET MORE CHANNELS, POWER, & RANGE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 14:48:02 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23593-3D664B22-59@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133884

From: lou@cbcintl.com (Lou Franklin)
Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits for
CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham
conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new
frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and
privacy.
Please visit our Web site, where you can download our unique catalog of
CB Radio Specialties, or check out our most popular schematics (TriPower
RF linear amp, Dynamic Speech Processor, FM3 circuit, Roger Beep, etc.)
and other CB technical articles. Please go to:
www.cbcintl.com
We also run EBAY auctions in which you can save up to HALF the cost of
our most popular CB books.
****
73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"
CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980
www.cbcintl.com
Email: info@cbcintl.com
--
Thanks, Frank. That's good to know. We have newbies checking in and
emailing the club every day. You carry a great source of radio-related
reading materials. Keep up the good work.
3s

4857. Re: OT..Anyone make the Woodward dream cruise?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 15:18:50 UTC
From: MrMustangJoe@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9559-3D66525A-69@storefull-2234.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133888

The WDC just keeps getting better and bigger ever year. I just wish we could keep the non classic cars off the strip. Sitting behind a mini-van while my temp gage creeps up to 205 degrees is no fun.

4858. Re: a review of the last year or so.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 15:38:56 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020823113856.19293.00002588@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 133886

Well, weve still got a few good years in the cycle left. And it never completely goes away anyway. Even at the lowest point in the cycle there are still a few good skip days each month. T his just makes it more enjoyable when you do have a few good days!! Either way, id never change. I love my "hopped up cb radio" !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
gunslinger

4859. Re: hey jerry!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 15:41:40 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <UIs99.1096$3V5.1061@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 133887

Hay Tim I think your right hay maybe he is A wannabe fed? those are the worst kind and the fcc are tired of hearing from him so they just dismiss it anyways ,all we have to do is wait A little while and ham radio will die and the feds will turn those frequencies over to us anyways that's what bugging him it's just a thought .
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message news:20020823103758.10149.00002518@mb-fe.aol.com...
> when your spending all this time on 28.085 listening for truckers to turn in
> doesnt it actually make you miss your hobby more than the inturders themselfs
> do? i mean is your hobby ratting out truckers or talking to other hams? it
> seems like it is ratting out truckers too me.
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

4860. Re: orientals on my frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 15:45:05 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020823114505.19293.00002589@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 133889

Thats right big bopper ! And i also have personal knowlege that the alien space craft have been enlisted by the f.c.c. to help in this most serious matter! Well nail those pesky little slope headed freebanders! Why the very idea of them operating in the freeband with out so much as an official "key clown" license is just awful!! gunslinger :) :) :)

4861. Cobra 29GTL Transistor Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 16:08:15 UTC
From: tw<illegible> <.tw>, <>, yahoomsnATTBI<illegible>.org.com
Message-ID: <P5t99.54498$aA.11269@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 133890

I need to know which transistor is the driver transistor in a Cobra 29GTL. Thanks.

4862. Antron99 idea for Quadplex dweller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 16:16:13 UTC
From: yeah_right@noway.com
Message-ID: <3d665e54.10795116@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 133891

I am a Quadplex dweller, which is basically like living in an apartment. I live in an upstairs unit, so I have good elevation. My lease doesn't restrict antennas, but I don't have the option of attaching anything to the roof permantly, or anything.

I have tried some mobile antennas out on the balcony(that is all-wood, not metal), but I can't seem to figure out a way to get a good ground plane for the mobile antennas to work. My SWR readings are all over the place, depending on how the feedline is positioned, which tells me that the feedline is radiating RF, right? Anyway, I have all but given up on using a mobile antenna. Also, a verticle dipole is simply too large. I need something that is free standing.

I have thought about getting an Antron99, and just putting it in corner of the balony, where I can strap the lower third of the antron to the balcony. It would be very stable. Again, I would not have a ground, but from what I have read about the the A99 is that it doesn't use/need a ground plane, right? It's a colinear antenna, from what I have read.

Anybody ever try this, to eseentially, free-stand an antron? My balcony is approximately 20 feet above the ground, and it would be strapped to the wooden corner post of the balcony. Any ideas on how this would work?

Lightning is not a problem in my area around puget sound in Washington. I have been here two years, and there has never been a thunderstorm. I also could easily take the antenna up and down as needed in bad weather.

i woud be running a completely stock legal radio, of course......and running modest modulation to keep the TVI/RFI to a minimum.

So, good idea, bad idea, totally impossible?

I've already almost spent enough money to buy an Antron with all the tricks I've tried so far, so I might as well try it if it would work. But it's an expensive experiment if it doesn't work. I had an Antron in years past and it worked great, but it was on a 30 foot mast assemply and properly grounded (I lived in the midwest....major lightning issues).

Thanks for your input.

Scott

4863. Two VHF-FM w/CTSS (Multi-Use Radio Service)Radios for swap:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 16:19:03 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <e0ocmug354f8gpfkd4sug7or4g7v2it8hi@4ax.com>
Source record: 133892

Two VHF-FM w/CTSS (Multi-Use Radio Service)Radios for swap:

I have TWO of these in MINT Condition,comes with the power
cords.mics,and a manual.

Looking to trade for a nice scanner or 10-meter rig HR2510/2600,Galaxy
DX-99,DX-88, etc.

VHF business 2-way radio has 38-tone CTCSS to cut interference from
others using the same frequency in your area. It comes pre-programmed
with 9 user-settable VHF business frequencies and can be programmed by
a RadioShack Service Center to nearly any VHF business frequency from
150.775 to 157.740MHz.

Product Features:

You can select 2-watt power for maximum range or 600mW power to
conserve the battery.
Has jacks for adding earphone or speaker/microphone and an alternate
antenna.
Size: 5ï¿½1/2x2ï¿½3/8x19/16 ".

For business users of VHF Business Band radios, the FCC has created
the MURS (Multi-Use Radio Service) for use with the following five
frequencies:

Operate license-free on the following frequencies:

151.820 MHz
151.880 MHz
151.940 MHz
154.570 MHz
154.600 MHz

FCC license required for the following frequencies:

151.625 MHz
151.700 MHz
151.760 MHz

Power is automatically reduced to 1 watt on channels specified by FCC.
Can be set by user to any two of these VHF color dot business
frequencies: 151.625, 151.700, 151.760, 151.820, 151.880, 151.940,
151.955, 154.570 or 154.600MHz.

Police/fire frequencies require a letter of authorization on the
letterhead from appropriate chief of police or fire department.

Set to 1 of 9 "color dot" frequencies or can be set by RadioShack
Service Center to almost any business frequency that you have a
license to use.

4864. Re: Antron99 idea for Quadplex dweller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 16:28:25 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19923-3D6662A9-42@storefull-2258.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133894

Go for it,but use the Imax 2000, its a little longer but well worth it.Should work nice!...Mark

4865. Re: Antron99 idea for Quadplex dweller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 16:31:59 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19923-3D66637F-43@storefull-2258.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133895

If the balcony railing and supports are metal the antenna must be above railng...Mark

4866. Re: Antron99 idea for Quadplex dweller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 16:42:27 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020823124227.08064.00001938@mb-mi.aol.com>
Source record: 133893

put it on a pole long enough to at least get the entire antenna over the roof
top. other than that youll be fine and sounds like a good plan

>
>I am a Quadplex dweller, which is basically like living in an
>apartment. I live in an upstairs unit, so I have good elevation. My
>lease doesn't restrict antennas, but I don't have the option of
>attaching anything to the roof permantly, or anything.
>
>I have tried some mobile antennas out on the balcony(that is all-wood,
>not metal), but I can't seem to figure out a way to get a good ground
>plane for the mobile antennas to work. My SWR readings are all over
>the place, depending on how the feedline is positioned, which tells me
>that the feedline is radiating RF, right? Anyway, I have all but
>given up on using a mobile antenna. Also, a verticle dipole is simply
>too large. I need something that is free standing.
>
>I have thought about getting an Antron99, and just putting it in
>corner of the balony, where I can strap the lower third of the antron
>to the balcony. It would be very stable. Again, I would not have a
>ground, but from what I have read about the the A99 is that it
>doesn't use/need a ground plane, right? It's a colinear antenna, from
>what I have read.
>
> Anybody ever try this, to eseentially, free-stand an antron? My
>balcony is approximately 20 feet above the ground, and it would be
>strapped to the wooden corner post of the balcony. Any ideas on how
>this would work?
>
>Lightning is not a problem in my area around puget sound in
>Washington. I have been here two years, and there has never been a
>thunderstorm. I also could easily take the antenna up and down as
>needed in bad weather.
>
>i woud be running a completely stock legal radio, of course......and
>running modest modulation to keep the TVI/RFI to a minimum.
>
>So, good idea, bad idea, totally impossible?
>
>I've already almost spent enough money to buy an Antron with all the
>tricks I've tried so far, so I might as well try it if it would work.
>But it's an expensive experiment if it doesn't work. I had an Antron
>in years past and it worked great, but it was on a 30 foot mast
>assemply and properly grounded (I lived in the midwest....major

>lightning issues).
>
>Thanks for your input.
>
>Scott
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4867. Re: Antron99 idea for Quadplex dweller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 16:49:51 UTC
From: yeah_right@noway.com
Message-ID: <3d6668fb.13522964@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 133896

On Fri, 23 Aug 2002 11:31:59 -0500 (CDT), SHITPANTS@webtv.net (Mark Roehlke) wrote:

>If the balcony railing and supports are metal the antenna must be above >railng...Mark
>

The only metal in the railings would be the screws/nails that hold it together, but the balcony is all wood.

Scott

4868. Re: Antron99 idea for Quadplex dweller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 16:53:12 UTC
From: yeah_right@noway.com
Message-ID: <3d6669de.13749833@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 133897

On 23 Aug 2002 16:42:27 GMT, timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com)
wrote:

>put it on a pole long enough to at least get the entire antenna over the roof
>top. other than that youll be fine and sounds like a good plan

That's not really an option. To support the antenna on a mast would
require a much more permanant and stronger mount. The only way i can
see this working is to strap it to the corner post of the balcony.
Again, the balcony is all wood.

The way I am thiking about doing it would cause only the top third of
the antenna being completely clear of the roofline.

Scott

4869. Re: Antron99 idea for Quadplex dweller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 17:01:19 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020823130119.08064.00001939@mb-mi.aol.com>
Source record: 133898

well if thats all you can do then do it but the signal radiates along the entire antenna so if it could all be clear that would be much better.

>
>That's not really an option. To support the antenna on a mast would
>require a much more permanant and stronger mount. The only way i can
>see this working is to strap it to the corner post of the balcony.
>Again, the balcony is all wood.
>
>The way I am thiking about doing it would cause only the top third of
>the antenna being completely clear of the roofline.
>
>Scott
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4870. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 17:03:44 UTC
From: Ted K <DallasFreebandClub@DallasFreebandersClub.org>
Message-ID: <ak5pt7$1bv$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 133899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Here in Dallas a General can be had for a crisp $50 bill.
Just get on 27585 and ask what test sessions have VECs
who give "special" tests.

Ted K

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:9b362532596f19916c3910cb2af86731@xganon.com...
> NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
> No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.
> --
>
> I can't wait for CW to go away!
>
> Know where I can buy a General ticket?
>
> I'll pay extra for an extra!
>

4871. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 17:11:41 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208230911.2fc926a9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133900

SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message news:<3D612294.3010607@csotbs.org>...
> That's got to be the first accurate statement "Aaron Voobner" has made in a
> long time, if ever.
>
> -SSB

And it's not even accurate! Amateurs don't have to comply with Part 95.

> Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
>
> > FCC Part 97 must be abided by. FCC
> > Part 95 must also be abided by. That means a ham cannot legally use
> > that radio to transmit both on 10 meters and also on CB or the
> > so-called "freeband".
> >
> > -Aaron-

4872. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 17:17:51 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208230917.44c289af@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133901

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208191858.5ce392d0@posting.google.com>...
> Tom Sevart wrote
> >those are "export CB's" designed for use in other countries.
> >In case you didn't realize it, other countries don't rely on the
> >standard 40 channels from 26.965-27.405. For whatever
> >reason these CB's designed for export ended up on the market
> >here.
>
> Tom, there is no country on the face of the earth that authorizes
> unlicensed Citizens Band type operation above 28 mHz. Since every one
> of these "export" radios are designed to be modified to transmit well
> above 28 mHz, where might they be exported to? There is no legal,
> legitimate export market for them.

Again, YOU are an authority on CB around the world? And I betcha only
SOME of those export radios are designed to transmit above 28 MHz, not
"every one". Like YOU would have any information on "every one", when
you aren't even familiar with the Uniden radios!

> >However, other radios such as the Uniden 2510 & 2600
> >were designed for 10 meter use and must be modified
> >out of the box for use outside the 10 meter band.
>
> Not familiar with those models, but if they also operate on 11 meters
> and are sold in truck stops next to 148GTL Cobras, then three guesses
> as to what they are going to be used for the majority of the time they
> are sold.

That's only your assumption!

> -Aaron-

"Not familiar with those models," he says; yet he's spending hours
getting auctions of those models pulled from eBay! What a freakin'
maroon!

As Tom pointed out, those models need to be modified to operate on
11m. And I can tell ya, it's not just clip a diode or move a jumper!
In other words, they are legitimate Tem Meter Amateur Radios.

4873. Re: It does not take long to rat out auctions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 17:26:12 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208230926.50e80789@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133902

keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message news:<d1e9cf6a.0208220811.5fdf098e@posting.google.com>...
> Hey Packrat,
> We have form letters all composed, and the process is so easy you
> could call it automated...thanks a lot for the listings. AntiKeyclowns
> own this NG, and there is absolutely nothing you guys can do to stop
> us.

And you're proud of being an @$$hole?

>
> "Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message news:<um5vt2os7uqb98@corp.supernews.com>...
> > Hey no problem - just doing my part to keep myself busy. I figure if I cant
> > sell my equipment, I might as well apply my self to someone elses auctions
> > to help them out. Of course ebay wont stop these auctions cause they have
> > $$ in thier eyes.
> >

4874. Re: Antron99 idea for Quadplex dweller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 17:40:44 UTC
From: yeah_right@noway.com
Message-ID: <3d667503.16602856@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 133903

On 23 Aug 2002 17:01:19 GMT, timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com)
wrote:

>well if thats all you can do then do it but the signal radiates along the
>entire antenna so if it could all be clear that would be much better.

Yeah, very true. I wish I could put up a tower. :)

Mostly, I need a way to get a decent antenna up that has a nice low
SWR, and the Antron seems to be the way to go in my case.

Thanks for the replies, everyone!

Scott

4875. Re: Old coax length answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 17:41:29 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Zzu99.22051$%v4.876050@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133906

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:23593-3D664A67-58@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: n2uhc@yahoo.com (Tom Sevart)
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<Cc999.13332$%v4.518596@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>...
The funniest
thing I see around
is two antennas on the mirrors of a Toyota truck! "Iffen ah 'putt' two
antenners on mah Toyeeyoter
hit's bound to git out better, shore 'nuff thar". >>snicker<<

"I knew a guy who had twin 102" steel whips on each side of his Toyota
pickup.
Tom "

Jerry,

You drove big truck and you make mobile antennas. Surely you know the
direction (front and rear) is improved with cophased antennas on a big
rig but the Toyota isn' wide enough to space the antennas apart enough
to enjoy the achieved affect.

That's what I was talking about!. But, the antenna mfrs have used the
twin-trucker thing
to sell more antennas to the regular Joe, often "forgetting" to tell the
customer that their
phasing won't work on a "Toyee-yoter". Hehe!

Jerry

4876. Re: New coax length question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 17:45:41 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D6674BF.C045188@icok.net>
Source record: 133904

Bill,
If we are speaking about the 'broadbandedness' of
an antenna, the only thing which can affect that
broadbandedness is the antenna it's self, it's physical
characteristics, how it's made. Even if you add a tuner
of some sort in-line, the antenna's usable bandwidth
doesn't change, but the antenna ~system's~ does. There
is a distinct difference there; the difference between
the antenna alone, and the antenna and feed line combined
(if the tuner is at the transmitter end of the feed line).
'Doc

4877. Re: Old coax length answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 17:47:00 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D667510.7D583ED8@icok.net>
Source record: 133905

Tom,
Almost as good as adding an "STP" sticker!
'Doc

4878. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 18:00:25 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D667833.B16E9927@icok.net>
Source record: 133907

Bill,
Okay, I can see that if an individual imports
one of these radios for his own use, then it would
be legall. But in all truthfulness, how many
individuals do that? Or, which is more likely, how
many of these radios have been imported illegally
for commercial sale in lots of more than one? I
suspect that happens more often than not.
'Doc

4879. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 18:15:40 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208231015.1313ae16@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133908

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message news:<3D612294.3010607@csotbs.org>...
>> That's got to be the first accurate statement "Aaron Voobner" has made
>in a
>> long time, if ever.
>>
>> -SSB
>
>And it's not even accurate! Amateurs don't have to comply with Part 95.

EVERYONE has to comply with Part 95 when they are operating a CB.

> > That means a ham cannot legally use
> > that radio to transmit both on 10 meters and also on CB or the
> > so-called "freeband".

-A

4880. Re: Antron99 idea for Quadplex dweller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 18:19:31 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020823141931.08071.00001940@mb-mi.aol.com>
Source record: 133909

10 fot mast is too much? that would likely get you over the roof if its a flat roof

>
>Yeah, very true. I wish I could put up a tower. :)
>
>Mostly, I need a way to get a decent antenna up that has a nice low
>SWR, and the Antron seems to be the way to go in my case.
>
>Thanks for the replies, everyone!
>
>Scott
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4881. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 18:21:28 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208231021.a58c15c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133910

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ak5b66$1g4mp4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> X-No-archive: Yes
>
> Apparently he's someone who just likes to argue even
>though he's long ago lost the argument.

I didn't lose anything. The banned radio auctions are still being
stopped by eBay....and a ham still cannot legally buy a banned radio
from a retailer.

-Aaron-

4882. Top-notch installers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 18:26:23 UTC
From: Scout's Dad <president@whitehouse.gov>
Message-ID: <sav99.5985$z16.3455@news.webusenet.com>
Source record: 133911

Hey All:

Could someone please help me find a quality shop that does custom installations. I'm wanting to install a high end CB radio in a new vehicle and want to find a shop that does custom work. Anywhere between Orange County (Southern Los Angeles) and Fresno, California would be just fine.

Preferably this shop would have a reasonable selection of late model CB's in stock, and know how to tune it once it's installed.

Mahalo,

Scout's Dad

4883. Re: hey jerry!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 18:32:26 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Qjv99.22572$%v4.889377@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133912

www.TimNebo.com <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020823103758.10149.00002518@mb-fe.aol.com...
> when your spending all this time on 28.085 listening for truckers to turn
in
> doesnt it actually make you miss your hobby more than the inturders
themselfs
> do? i mean is your hobby ratting out truckers or talking to other hams? it
> seems like it is ratting out truckers too me.
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

Nope! You forget; I'm retired. In the mornings, I check into several nets
(not ham), during the
day, both at home and out and about, I talk to my friends on 10 and 2
meters. In the afternoon,
I listen to the Maritime Net on 20 meters, Then I get back on 10. Or I'm
out in my workshop
tinkering. When I travel the Interstate, I listen to the lower end of 10
meters and send out a few
CQs on 28.085. IF there "happens" to be a trucker "a-tan-ferin'" on there,
I don't bother with it
unless he is close and going my way because, 1) I have to SEE him doing it,
2) I have to make
a positive ID and be certain that he is actually the one transmitting, and
3) I often see if he responds to my CW transmissions or comments on them
("hey, Driver, ya a-hearin' that 'beepin'' noise on here"). It isn't like I
spend all my time worrying about truckers, but I WILL protect what I earned-
and I did earn the privilege; they didn't. Recently I talked to a trucker
ham whose truck looked like
a porcupine it had so many antennas including a huge HF antenna on the front
bumper, so it's not
like I've got anything against truckers.

Now I know that we differ on our view of regulating radio. And I know
some CBers don't understand why we need rules. But we go by rules in all
parts of our society, and, from where I
stand, I cannot see how people obey some rules and ignore others because,
when they do, some-
one gets hurt in some way or another. It may not be pain or suffering, but
people get harmed, or
at least, inconvenienced. When anyone brings up this point, they usually
get hit with the question,
"Do you ever speed". No I don't speed which is borne out by the fact that I

haven't had a speeding
ticket or a moving violation in over 20 years. I try to drive safely, not
only because the law says I
must, but because I don't want to harm anyone. Likewise, I obey the
regulations governing my use
of radio because others have the same privilege I do, and while there is no
physical harm in operating illegally, there IS harm caused by it in
interference and/or prevention of use by my actions. It STILL equates to
the tools in my shop. If I have a saw hanging on the pegboard not
being used, it doesn't give a person the privilege (or right) to just walk
in and "borrow" my saw
simply because I am not using it. It is stealing, or using without
permission, and there are laws against people just helping themselves to
others' property--even if the intention is to return it after
they are finished. Even tho radio waves are intangible, they still "belong"
to others who have fulfilled
the government's requirements for its use. Even if they aren't using it at
the time, they are entitled to
expect that, should they choose, that frequency is open and available for
their exclusive use--not
filled with truckers beeping and squeaking away. Just as anyone would who
discovered that some-
one had absconded with his saw, I will look for the culprit that is stealing
my saw--or frequency.
If we obey the laws we choose and ignore the ones we don't like, society
would crumble.

To "Doc", I can say that Amateur Radio is NOT dying. And you are dreaming
if you think that
world governments are going to turn whole parcels of spectrum to CBers.
First of all, those
bands (most of them) are covered by international treaties. Our Fed can't
just say, "OK, we're
going to turn over huge amounts of spectrum to the CBers because it would
affect other areas of
trade and relations. Treaties are often bargaining chips to get concessions
from the member nations
and those countries are not going to sit by and just let one country
"pollute" the airwaves by just
opening it up to the barbarians, as it were. To do so would be foolhardy
because it would backfire.

Finally, if CB radio is so much deserving of all that spectrum as opposed to
Amateur Radio, let me
ask you this: Why do Amateurs now enjoy limited antenna protection from
zoning under PRB-1?
CBers do not. Why are there Bills moving thru State legislatures to expand
and codify those same
regulations to simplify and speed up the process of erecting antennas in
local jurisdictions? CBers
have no such protection except for the goodwill of the locals. Why was

money allocated from
Homeland Security to ARRL for the purpose of training HAMS to further assist in emergency comms? CBers receive no such recognition, nor any funds. Why is there now a Bill moving thru
Congress to exempt hams from restrictive covenants in neighborhoods? CBers will STILL have
no such protection. Why is that?

You are dreaming, simply dreaming. Sheer numbers of CBers? Numbers are no reason to fling open the gates of a beautiful city to the Mongols. And Mr. Hollingsworth told me personally to
"keep them cards and letters comin'"! That don't sound like he is "tired" yet! And you forget that
Riley is a ham as well: N4ZDH------look it up.

Jerry
>
>

4884. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 18:35:30 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Hmv99.22593$%v4.889954@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 133913

chessecutter <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0208221920.648485d2@posting.google.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<Aoj89.67359$dr.8706885@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> > www.TimNebo.com <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
> > news:20020819183520.16108.00001685@mb-bg.aol.com...
> > > sure am, all i have to do is read rec.radio.shortwave and the trash
misc
> > ham
> > > group to be right up to date on all the hot spots like the am'ers on
75
> > meters
> > > out on the west coast that keep jamming the ssb'ers. its alot of fun
to
> > hear
> > > the guys go at it.
> >
> > Those are "turf" wars that don't need to go on. It is caused by
immature,
> > fire-hydrant-pissing
> > mental midgets that think that "their" frequency should be populated
only by
> > them. It is a simply
> > matter for the SSBers to move down a few KCs. The AMers only have a few
> > places to operate on that mode. Control freaks abound everywhere, and
the
> > SSBers could simply move a ways.
> > But NOOOOOOOO. Everybody's gotta defend their "turf"/
> >
> > Jerry
> >
> >
> > >
> > > >
> > > >their bands to become an unusable mess. BTW, I notice you seem to be
> > > >a "fan" of HF trouble spots, i.e. you know just what frequencies to
be
> > > >on. Interesting...
> > > >
> > > >-Aaron-
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >

> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Tim Nebo
> > >
> > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > >
> > >
>
> hey jerry . are you saying that ham radio is inhabited by mental
> midgets???? My , my...Well I never....

Some of them are. Just like the BIG POWER guys, the PEAK and TUNE guys,
the outbanding
truckers. Sound familiar? I have NO use for adults that never grow
up--like that crap that goes on
on rec.radio.misc.

Jerry

4885. Re: GET MORE CHANNELS, POWER, & RANGE -- ILLEGALLY?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 18:56:46 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208231056.98d10ce@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133914

Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
news:<umhbmu8r3s9f8f2jahscrmb95ep4nprrhm@4ax.com>...

> 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters
> adding new frequencies, etc.

> (TriPower RF linear amp,

Illegal and inappropriate for CB'ers.

-Aaron-

4886. Re: Cobra 29GTL Transistor Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 19:36:18 UTC
From: Tony Cash <kd4k@ga.prestige.net>
Message-ID: <S8w99.18936$WJ3.3496566@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 133915

TR 15 it is a 2SC2028
Tony

"tw���" <.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBI�.org.com> wrote in message
news:P5t99.54498$aA.11269@sccrnsc02...
> I need to know which transistor is the driver transistor in a Cobra 29GTL.
Thanks.
>
>

4887. Re: hey jerry!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 19:42:36 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5557-3D66902C-30@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133918

>Nope! You forget; I'm retired.
<<

You got too much money and time on your damn hands. C'mon down, we'll go swampin' for bass the likes that'll make the yankees eyes bulge!

>In the mornings, I check into several nets (not ham), during the
day, both at home and out and about, I talk to my friends on 10 and 2
meters. In the afternoon,
I listen to the Maritime Net on 20 meters, Then I get back on 10. Or I'm
out in my workshop
tinkering. When I travel the Interstate, I listen to the lower end of 10
meters and send out a few
CQs on 28.085. IF there "happens" to be a trucker "a-tan-ferin'" on
there, I don't bother with it
unless he is close and going my way because, 1) I have to SEE him doing
it,
<<

Just a quick question here..why? How does you seeing him lend anymore or less credibility to what you report?

>2) I have to make
a positive ID and be certain that he is actually the one transmitting,
and
<<

I still don't see.....

>3) I often see if he responds to my CW transmissions or comments on
them ("hey, Driver, ya a-hearin' that 'beepin'' noise on here"). It
isn't like I spend all my time worrying about truckers, but I WILL
protect what I earned- and I did earn the privilege; they didn't.
<<

And here is where we agree.

>Recently I talked to a trucker ham whose truck looked like
a porcupine it had so many antennas including a huge HF antenna on the
front bumper, so it's not
like I've got anything against truckers. <<

Recently I talked to a hammie that thought my "'Q5 coming from "up there" (he had my coordinates) was nothing less than spectacular," but I have nothing against hammies.

>Now I know that we differ on our view of regulating radio. And I know *some* CBers don't understand why we need rules. <<

And I know some hammies can't accept change
nor have the courage to debate without insult publicly, though I must admit through experience on usenet, this usually emanates from the no-coders.

>But we go by rules in all parts of our society, and, from where I stand, I cannot see how people obey some rules and ignore others because, when they do, some-
one gets hurt in some way or another. It may not be pain or suffering, but people get harmed, or
at least, inconvenienced. <<

HUGE difference between harm and inconvenience. Shouting about being inconvenienced is something the liberals have always wanted government to fix.
Harm is another subject altogether. Comparing the two completely undermines the profound nature of an act resulting in harm.

>When anyone brings up this point, they usually get hit with the question,
"Do you ever speed". No I don't speed which is borne out by the fact that I haven't had a speeding
ticket or a moving violation in over 20 years. I try to drive safely, not only because the law says I
must, but because I don't want to harm anyone. Likewise, I obey the regulations governing my use of radio because others have the same privilege I do, and while there is no physical harm in operating illegally, there IS harm caused by it in interference and/or prevention of use by my actions. It STILL equates to the tools in my shop. If I have a saw hanging on the pegboard not being used, it doesn't give a person the privilege (or right) to just walk in and "borrow" my saw simply because I am not using it. It is stealing, or using without permission, and there are laws against people just helping themselves to others' property--even if the intention is to return it after they are finished. Even tho radio waves are intangible, they still "belong" to others who have fulfilled
the government's requirements for its use. Even if they aren't using it at the time, they are entitled to
expect that, should they choose, that frequency is open and available for their exclusive use--not
filled with truckers beeping and squeaking away. Just as anyone would who discovered that some-
one had absconded with his saw, I will look for the culprit that is stealing my saw--or frequency.<<

Thing is, too many hammies think it's "their" frequencies and forget that they are guests there..the privilege you spoke of.

>If we obey the laws we choose and ignore the ones we don't like, society would crumble. <<

No, that's kinda the way society is. Matter of arguable opinion, that's exactly how society is. If it weren't, we'd have utopia and no need for prisons, rules, snitches, intruders, etc.

>To "Doc", I can say that Amateur Radio is NOT dying. And you are dreaming if you think that world governments are going to turn whole parcels of spectrum to CBers. First of all, those bands (most of them) are covered by international treaties. Our Fed can't just say, "OK, we're going to turn over huge amounts of spectrum to the CBers because it would affect other areas of trade and relations. Treaties are often bargaining chips to get concessions from the member nations and those countries are not going to sit by and just let one country "pollute" the airwaves by just opening it up to the barbarians, as it were. To do so would be foolhardy because it would backfire. <<

CB already has backfired from it's original intent.
Hammie radio is following it's footsteps. History repeates itself.

>Finally, if CB radio is so much deserving of all that spectrum as opposed to Amateur Radio, let me ask you this: Why do Amateurs now enjoy limited antenna protection from zoning under PRB-1?
CBers do not. Why are there Bills moving thru State legislatures to expand and codify those same regulations to simplify and speed up the process of erecting antennas in local jurisdictions? CBers have no such protection except for the goodwill of the locals. Why was money allocated from Homeland Security to ARRL for the purpose of training HAMS to further assist in emergency comms? CBers receive no such recognition, nor any funds. Why is there now a Bill moving thru Congress to exempt hams from restrictive covenants in neighborhoods? CBers will STILL have no such protection. Why is that?
<<

Simple. Money. Cbers pay no fees.
Hammies pay their fees and in return become yet ANOTHER government issued number on microfilm.

>You are dreaming, simply dreaming. Sheer numbers of CBers? Numbers are no reason to fling open the gates of a beautiful city to the Mongols.<<

Babylonians.

> And Mr. Hollingsworth told me personally to "keep them cards and letters comin'"! That don't sound like he is "tired" yet! And you forget that Riley is a ham as well: N4ZDH------look it up.
Jerry<<

He's great with the media. Can you remember in all your years, another FCC hands-on enforcement employee that was so adept at media?

4888. Re: Antron99 idea for Quadplex dweller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 19:44:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5558-3D669088-10@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133919

Antron it and bungee it. I do it every weekend.

4889. Re: Old coax length answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 19:46:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5557-3D669130-31@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 133920

That's what I was talking about!. But, the antenna mfrs have used the
twin-trucker thing
to sell more antennas to the regular Joe, often "forgetting" to tell the
customer that their
phasing won't work on a "Toyee-yoter". Hehe!
Jerry
--

Ahh, hell,,,,I knew ya' knew that.......I musta missed part of the post.
TGIF! Have a good weekend!

4890. Re: Antron99 idea for Quadplex dweller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 19:53:17 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@charter.net>
Message-ID: <umd4oajghgjg7c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133916

Try the mobile antenna with 3 or more 9' counterpoise radials. Should do
"ok".

--
Web Site: www.polkcounty.org/radiofun
ICQ: 11908449
Yahoo Mess: Hitradio93Q

"Scott Keller" <yeah_right@noway.com> wrote in message
news:3d665e54.10795116@news.verizon.net...
> I am a Quadplex dweller, which is basically like living in an
> apartment. I live in an upstairs unit, so I have good elevation. My
> lease doesn't restrict antennas, but I don't have the option of
> attaching anything to the roof permantly, or anything.
>
> I have tried some mobile antennas out on the balcony(that is all-wood,
> not metal), but I can't seem to figure out a way to get a good ground
> plane for the mobile antennas to work. My SWR readings are all over
> the place, depending on how the feedline is positioned, which tells me
> that the feedline is radiating RF, right? Anyway, I have all but
> given up on using a mobile antenna. Also, a verticle dipole is simply
> too large. I need something that is free standing.
>
> I have thought about getting an Antron99, and just putting it in
> corner of the balony, where I can strap the lower third of the antron
> to the balcony. It would be very stable. Again, I would not have a
> ground, but from what I have read about the the A99 is that it
> doesn't use/need a ground plane, right? It's a colinear antenna, from
> what I have read.
>
> Anybody ever try this, to eseentially, free-stand an antron? My
> balcony is approximately 20 feet above the ground, and it would be
> strapped to the wooden corner post of the balcony. Any ideas on how
> this would work?
>
> Lightning is not a problem in my area around puget sound in
> Washington. I have been here two years, and there has never been a
> thunderstorm. I also could easily take the antenna up and down as
> needed in bad weather.
>
> i woud be running a completely stock legal radio, of course......and
> running modest modulation to keep the TVI/RFI to a minimum.
>
> So, good idea, bad idea, totally impossible?
>

> I've already almost spent enough money to buy an Antron with all the
> tricks I've tried so far, so I might as well try it if it would work.
> But it's an expensive experiment if it doesn't work. I had an Antron
> in years past and it worked great, but it was on a 30 foot mast
> assemply and properly grounded (I lived in the midwest....major
> lightning issues).
>
> Thanks for your input.
>
> Scott

4891. Re: Top-notch installers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 20:12:09 UTC
From: Stan <svs6920@home.com>
Message-ID: <tGw99.136767$983.208042@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 133917

This shop in Ontario, CA does good work. Bill Good is their top tech who has been working radios for 30+ plus years and the work he has done for me was great.

http://www.fgbestcommunications.com/

Stan KYA 4011
Mojave Desert So CA

"Scout's ith there workDad" <president@whitehouse.gov> wrote in message news:sav99.5985$z16.3455@news.webusenet.com...
> Hey All:
>
> Could someone please help me find a quality shop that does custom
> installations. I'm wanting to install a high end CB radio in a new vehicle
> and want to find a shop that does custom work. Anywhere between Orange
> County (Southern Los Angeles) and Fresno, California would be just fine.
>
> Preferably this shop would have a reasonable selection of late model CB's in
> stock, and know how to tune it once it's installed.
>
> Mahalo,
>
> Scout's Dad
>
>
>
>
>

4892. Re: Top-notch installers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 20:25:55 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D669A52.F038727B@citlink.net>
Source record: 133921

Yep...I will vouch for Dr Bill.....He used to be a design engineer for Ranger Communications if that says anything.He can work on anything.I have sent everything to him from 2950's to an FT817 for mods and always he exceeded what I expected.He's the only guy I know that can make a "real" noise blanker in an Alinco DX77T or the 817Also the max turn around on anything I sent was 2 weeks.

Stan wrote:

> This shop in Ontario, CA does good work. Bill Good is their top tech who
> has been working radios for 30+ plus years and the work he has done for me
> was great.
>
> http://www.fgbestcommunications.com/
>
> Stan KYA 4011
> Mojave Desert So CA
>
> "Scout's ith there workDad" <president@whitehouse.gov> wrote in message
> news:sav99.5985$z16.3455@news.webusenet.com...
> > Hey All:
> >
> > Could someone please help me find a quality shop that does custom
> > installations. I'm wanting to install a high end CB radio in a new
> vehicle
> > and want to find a shop that does custom work. Anywhere between Orange
> > County (Southern Los Angeles) and Fresno, California would be just fine.
> >
> > Preferably this shop would have a reasonable selection of late model CB's
> in
> > stock, and know how to tune it once it's installed.
> >
> > Mahalo,
> >
> > Scout's Dad
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

4893. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 21:15:32 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ak68lk$38i$4@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 133923

'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:

> Okay, I can see that if an individual imports
> one of these radios for his own use, then it would
> be legall. But in all truthfulness, how many
> individuals do that? Or, which is more likely, how
> many of these radios have been imported illegally
> for commercial sale in lots of more than one? I
> suspect that happens more often than not.

In practicality, just about all of them are imported illegally.
The custom agents do not know how to tell the different models
apart - so they pass all of them.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4894. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 21:15:59 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ak68ij$1fuq3b$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 133922

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D667833.B16E9927@icok.net...
>
>
> Bill,
> Okay, I can see that if an individual imports
> one of these radios for his own use, then it would
> be legall. But in all truthfulness, how many
> individuals do that? Or, which is more likely, how
> many of these radios have been imported illegally
> for commercial sale in lots of more than one? I
> suspect that happens more often than not.
> 'Doc

It could be, and according to the FCC that would be illegal. However, there is a metric buttload of 10 meter radios out there on the used market, each one of them completely legal to own and operate (by licensed hams on the ham bands).

Tom

4895. Re: 10 meter radios - the final word

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 21:20:10 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <th9dmu0mumm3gjkhsann4kqhb7hlfs6va3@4ax.com>
Source record: 133924

On Fri, 23 Aug 2002 16:15:59 -0500, "Tom Sevart"
<n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D667833.B16E9927@icok.net...
>>
>>
>> Bill,
>> Okay, I can see that if an individual imports
>> one of these radios for his own use, then it would
>> be legall. But in all truthfulness, how many
>> individuals do that? Or, which is more likely, how
>> many of these radios have been imported illegally
>> for commercial sale in lots of more than one? I
>> suspect that happens more often than not.
>> 'Doc
>
>It could be, and according to the FCC that would be illegal. However, there
>is a metric buttload of 10 meter radios out there on the used market, each
>one of them completely legal to own and operate (by licensed hams on the ham
>bands).
>
>Tom
>
Not only that but there are a metric buttload of 10 meter radios out
there on the used market, each one of them completely legal to own
and operate by unlicensed individuals as long as they don't transmit.
This is a common use for these radios. CB'ers do it all the time.

4896. Re: New coax length question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 21:27:35 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ak69c7$38i$5@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 133925

'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:

> If we are speaking about the 'broadbandedness' of
> an antenna, the only thing which can affect that
> broadbandedness is the antenna it's self, it's physical
> characteristics, how it's made. Even if you add a tuner
> of some sort in-line, the antenna's usable bandwidth
> doesn't change, but the antenna ~system's~ does. There
> is a distinct difference there; the difference between
> the antenna alone, and the antenna and feed line combined
> (if the tuner is at the transmitter end of the feed line).
> 'Doc

As I noted in my previous response, it is a matter of how you look at the matter.

A tuner really does not do anything to the antenna system. The standing waves on the transmission line do not change. All the tuner does is match the rig to the impedance it sees at the input to the transmission line.

So "broadbanded" depends on how much variation from ideal that you can tolerate. If I use a tube based transmitter, then I can usually tolerate up to about a 3:1 SWR. So I would consider the bandwidth of the antenna to be the bandwidth between the two 3:1 SWR points. If I use a transistor based transmitter, then the acceptable bandwidth will probably be 2:1 or less - using the same antenna.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4897. Re: hey jerry!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 21:39:28 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ak6a2g$38i$6@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 133926

Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net> wrote:
> Hay Tim I think your right hay maybe he is A wannabe fed? those are the
> worst kind and the fcc are tired of hearing from him so they just dismiss it
> anyways ,all we have to do is wait A little while and ham radio will die and
> the feds will turn those frequencies over to us anyways that's what bugging
> him it's just a thought .

Fat chance. If the FCC decides to expand the CB frequencies at all, it will be in the VHF or UHF range, or even higher. There is no way that they will assign any more HF frequencies - except possibly those between 27.405 and 27.999.

The FCC has wished for over 30 years that they had never created the CB band on 11 meters. When the intended purpose was local communications, it was a big mistake to do so.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4898. Re: Cobra 29GTL Transistor Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 21:57:05 UTC
From: tw��� <.tw>, <>, yahoomsnATTBI�.org.com
Message-ID: <Rcy99.57397$aA.11909@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 133927

Thanks Tony.

"Tony Cash" <kd4k@ga.prestige.net> wrote in message
news:S8w99.18936$WJ3.3496566@news1.news.adelphia.net...
| TR 15 it is a 2SC2028
| Tony
|
| "tw���" <.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBI�.org.com> wrote in message
| news:P5t99.54498$aA.11269@sccrnsc02...
| > I need to know which transistor is the driver transistor in a Cobra 29GTL.
| Thanks.
| >
| >
|
|

4899. Re: hey jerry!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 21:57:07 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020823175707.08123.00002237@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 133928

Jerry ,Riley is a politician first.We all know what politician do .He will tell you what you want to hear not what he going to do.Don't hold your breath.

4900. FS.Cobra 2000 GTL +D104 Base Power Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 21:58:45 UTC
From: henderson928@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020823175845.20175.00002656@mb-ms.news.cs.com>
Source record: 133929

Please look at my auction:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1375754678&ssPageName=ADME:B:LC:US:1

4901. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 21:59:43 UTC
From: "SILKY (Da Black Pimp)" <noflaming@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <Nfy99.10022$u7.786163@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 133930

They be alright.
no biggie about 'bout it.

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d1e9cf6a.0208220739.7fae9a6c@posting.google.com...
> Careful SILKY...some of those "lot lizards" are in this very NG. Ya
> don't wanna ruffle their little pink skirts, do ya?
>
>
> "SILKY \(Da Black Pimp\)" <noflaming@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:<wfX89.9904$u7.777279@news.direcpc.com>...
> > It might be the "mating call" for their favorite "Lot Lizards"
> > Here Lizard, Lizard, Lizard!
> > BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

4902. Re: What causes squeal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 22:05:13 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@charter.net>
Message-ID: <umdcfnkb29hia3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133931

Never run communications gear from the lighter! That thing "might" have a 16 gauge on the back of it. I wouldn't even use these DC jacks in the new cars..except maybe a cell phone.

Bill
Web Site: www.polkcounty.org/radiofun
ICQ: 11908449
Yahoo Mess: Hitradio93Q

<pismal@dismal.org> wrote in message
news:bu8dmu4k9nfrhmg03lg4fa1dh7dj8dmom0@4ax.com...
> I have a Uniden 520XL hooked to a Palomar 225 using an el-cheapo power
> mike with a 1.5v battery (my astatic croaked last year) on a Wilson
> 1000.
>
> Anyway, after the rig laying around for a year I just installed it in
> my car and people are telling me I am squealing.
>
> My SWR is very low according to the Ratshack meter I used.
> All cables look good.
>
> If I put the Palomar in standby the squeal goes away, at least
> according to the people l talk to.
>
> Any ideas?
>
> I never had this problem in the other car.
>
> I was wired direct to the battery , fused, in that car but am hooked
> to the lighter for power in this car.
>
> tia.
> Rich
>
>
>
>

4903. Re: hey jerry!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 22:12:05 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020823181205.00242.00002270@mb-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 133932

we understand. your no more intelligent than us and we do not need your explanation of things and how they should be. we just have different views. you'll never understand this because you have the mentality that hams are superior. you never have to address the subject for it to be apparent

>And I know
>*some* CBers don't understand why we need rules

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4904. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 22:17:07 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208231417.4840c828@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133933

ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote in message
news:<20020822214313.28874.00002384@mb-ci.aol.com>...
> >From: richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard >Cranium)
> >You really need 1200 watts to talk to >another trucker? Very unlikely.
> But originally posted by >>allsteel77@aol.com (ALLSTEEL77)
> >>up at about
> >> 27.570 USB with my Alinco DX77T and >>1200 watts rag chewing with the
> >> world
> Are you a complete moron!? Yes your email address confirms it! Dick Head!
> He said DXing around the world..he didnt say anything about talking to other
> truckers.....you can't read moron...don't bother posting!
>
>
>
> "Got RF?"

Screw you. Shows how little you know. I've DXed around the world and
NEVER needed 1200 watts. It's only lamers that need that much power. I
never needed more than about 50 watts to talk whereever I wanted.

"Yup."

4905. Amp forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 22:19:21 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020823181921.03903.00002444@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 133934

Brand NEW in the Bubble wrapped box, Works very nicely....i'll take $125 for it, that includes shipping costs.....thanks

Thatz-me-JP

4906. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 22:31:49 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6698A5.1F8ED4D9@mailcity.net>
Source record: 133935

Richard Cranium wrote:

>
> And it's not even accurate! Amateurs don't have to comply with Part 95.
>\

Explain?

4907. Re: FS.Cobra 2000 GTL +D104 Base Power Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 22:42:22 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <iTy99.335486$q53.10755522@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133936

"HENDERSON928" <henderson928@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20020823175845.20175.00002656@mb-ms.news.cs.com...
> Please look at my auction:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1375754678&ssPageName=A
> DME:B:LC:US:1

Buy it now for $195, not bad by itself, but with a D-104 to boot!

4908. FS: Vintage CB Radios in Amazing Condition! (SBE/Realistic/Johnson)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 23:00:12 UTC
From: N2VU@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <3ff75dd6.0208231500.17ae81e5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133937

Come see these great radio's:

SBE Trinidad 23 Channel Classic CB Radio - click below
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=2133888710

Realistic TRC-56 Vintage CB Radio Phone - click below
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1375672857

Johnson Messenger 250 CB Radio - 50th Anniver - click below
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1375677050

Realistic TRC-55 CB Radio w/ Power Mic - click below
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1375708910

Messenger 223 CB Radio with desk mic - click below
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1375723540

Thanks

4909. Re: hey jerry!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 23:07:15 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <Dez99.40650$Aw4.1823826@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133938

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020823170148.205$KK@newsreader.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
> >>Nope! You forget; I'm retired. In the mornings, I check into several
> > nets (not ham),
> >
> Fishing? ;)
```

He is doing his own brand of Fishing... Fishing for illegal op's. LOL

4910. Re: Vintage CB Radios in Amazing Condition! (SBE/Realistic/Johnson)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 23:08:17 UTC
From: "Dr. John (of Life)" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <0gz99.427$ij7.3344@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 133939

If you are auctioning something, it is proper netiquette to not put FS (For SALE), instead put FA (For AUCTION)!

John

"Donald James" <N2VU@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:3ff75dd6.0208231500.17ae81e5@posting.google.com...
> Come see these great radio's:
>
>
> SBE Trinidad 23 Channel Classic CB Radio - click below
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=2133888710
>
>
> Realistic TRC-56 Vintage CB Radio Phone - click below
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1375672857
>
>
> Johnson Messenger 250 CB Radio - 50th Anniver - click below
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1375677050
>
>
> Realistic TRC-55 CB Radio w/ Power Mic - click below
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1375708910
>
>
> Messenger 223 CB Radio with desk mic - click below
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1375723540
>
> Thanks

4911. Re: FS.Cobra 2000 GTL +D104 Base Power Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 23:09:29 UTC
From: "Dr. John (of Life)" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <8hz99.428$ij7.3208@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 133940

If you are auctioning something, it is proper netiquette to not put FS (For SALE), instead put FA (For AUCTION)!

John

"HENDERSON928" <henderson928@cs.com> wrote in message news:20020823175845.20175.00002656@mb-ms.news.cs.com...
> Please look at my auction:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1375754678&ssPageName=A
> DME:B:LC:US:1

4912. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 23:17:27 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020823191727.03682.00003016@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 133941

try doing it on a dalily basis from a mobile setup. the low power "novelty" wears off really quick when the guys on bases decide to talk over you. when dealing with a 4 foot antenna you need all the help you can get, dumbass!!!!

>
>Screw you. Shows how little you know. I've DXed around the world and
>NEVER needed 1200 watts. It's only lamers that need that much power. I
>never needed more than about 50 watts to talk whereever I wanted.
>
>"Yup."
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4913. Re: Super Yagi Design

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-23 23:34:56 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <AEz99.154531$2p2.6985347@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 133942

Twisty your email doesnt work why not ?

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:12732-3D655473-65@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: subnet@hotmail.com (Alan S.)
"Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in
news:3D6522B1.29C5E120@citlink.net:
Is there any reason that you guys all "hide" behind alias return
addresses?

>Well personaly, I use a hotmail account for newsgroups and a few other
things because I don't like all the spam in my normal one. I don't see
what's wrong with a person using a junk mail account for various things.
They can always give people they know their real address at any time.
Alan S. <<

In this group, the reason is most were driven underground after being
exposed as evolutionally-challenged primates,,,,,several whom are
no-codes.
Unless one frequently posts on usenet, and in several other groups under
the same real email addy, the spam excuse is BS. I have had the same
addy for years, have always posted it, and the spam problem is
practically non-existent.

4914. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 00:26:40 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208231626.4b28f13d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133943

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208191903.6d2372d6@posting.google.com>...
> ï¿½Tom Sevart wrote:
> "Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0208162249.5e60ebd4@posting.google.com...
> > >
> >> http://hotspotcb.com/cbshop/connex.html
> > >These only go to 28.305. Not a very appealing to a ham are they?
> >
> >They could probably be modified to operate from 28.000 - 29.700.
>
> Do you think this is their intended market?
>
> >Even if it wasn't, it could still be used as a CW transceiver,
> >or even a beacon transmitter. If I had one, that's what I'd use
> >it for.
>
> I don't think the "General Grant" has a CW key jack, Tom. :)
>
> > >LOL. I don't think even you can tell me (with a straight face) that
> > >this is "a ham radio":
>
> >If a ham is using it on the 10 meter band, then it's a ham radio.
> >Regardless, you still don't seem to get my point
>
> Again, licensed amateurs freedom from type acceptance was never
> disputed by me, and continues to be a non-issue.

Nonsense! That statement has already been disproven. You claimed that
the said radios were "illegal" and made no exemption for amateur use.
You even argued with me and Tom Sevart when we said otherwise. You
were wrong. Admit it. You really have no choice; the entire thread is
there for anyone to read, and if they do they will easily see your
turn-about in position.

The real issue is the
> proliferation of fake 10 meter radios, export radios with no export
> destination, and the hijacking of the name "amateur radio" used for
> the purpose of selling illegal CB gear.
>
> -Aaron-

There is NO SUCH thing as a "fake 10 meter radio", Aanal Aaron. A 10
meter radio is made for use on 10 meters and is completely legal for
use there by a licensed amateur. And you still haven't supported your

claim that export radios have NO destination. We all know that they aren't legal for use here.

Stop the tap-dancing. And stop the B.S. You have ZERO credibility.

4915. Re: Amp forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 00:33:47 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <ak6k9p010af@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133945

"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020823181921.03903.00002444@mb-fn.aol.com...
> Brand NEW in the Bubble wrapped box, Works very nicely....i'll take $125
for
> it, that includes shipping costs.....thanks
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

I've got some arizona ocean front property for trade.
a few details would be nice.

4916. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 00:51:44 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208231651.6c9c0a29@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133944

"Roger D. Cravens, Jr." <ke4yqz@kc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<FB139.4561$ua1.258427@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>...
> I just moved to Kansas City, Missouri from Atlanta, GA about two
> years ago. Before departing, I bought a Uniden 148 mobile CB for my
> P/U truck plus my Kenwood TH-742A Tri-Band (w/220MHz) went in
> my P/U truck. And all the way they were both running as hard as my truck
> was. I had a bit of a problem with my Uniden 148 recently so I took it into
> R.S. to
> get a repair, and while there I looked around and discovered a really nice
> CB
> rig with an attachment to tell me what direction I was going. That's really
> nifty
> because I rarely know what direction I'm going! Anyway, for a few $bucks
> a bit over $100 I brought it home with me while waiting on my full-size
> Uniden 48, SSB. I purchased the Uniden at a truck stop somewhere in
> Arizona while I was riding around the country with my cousin in his rig.
> It was a real gas to me. I can see why truckers all have radios in their
> rigs. two main reasons I can see is to get local directions and keep
> awake while driving their 14-plus hours a day.
>
> Anyway, business if business. And if this is what R.S. has decided, it is
> going to be an exceptional royal pain in everyone's electronics butt! And
> you're most certainly right that when R.S. goes south on these items,
> everyone may just as well give up most hobbies concerning radios or
> electronics. You won't even be able to buy a resister or capacitor.

Sure you will, but only by mail order. There's still Newark, Hosfelt,
All Electronics, Electronic Goldmine, and others. You won't be able to
run down to the corner store for the part(s) you need, but you'll
still be able to get them.

Of course, nowadays everything is that blasted microscopic
"surface-mount" crap so maybe the hobby is dead and gone anyhow?

4917. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 00:55:37 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208231655.998bd3d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133946

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:<iMj49.44472$6Z1.1668303@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Ken Finney"
> <kenneth.c.finney@boeing.com> wrote in
> message news:3D513EA9.2F6B@boeing.com...
> > My favorite place IN THE WORLD was
> Incredible Universe when they first
> > opened.
> >
> > Unfortunately, rather than tweak their
> processes to turn IU into a money
> > maker, they gutted the stores, and
> then closed them. Sad.
>
> I wish Lafayette and Olsen Electronics
> were still around. They at least looked
> like a electronics store, and not like
> an appliance store that RS seems to be
> turning in to. One of the few decent
> places left around the Detroit area for
> electronics needs is RS Electronics and
> Active Electronics.

In a way, Lafayette Radio is still around; Rat Crap bought them out
and absorbed them.

The one time I went into an Olsen store it looked much like today's
Radio Shack stores. Minus the computers, cell phones and direct TV, of
course!

Heck, remember when Radio Shack sold Hallicrafters and National
equipment? Back when they were a real "radio shack"?

4918. Re: What's wrong with Radio Shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 00:57:08 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208231657.17580b98@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133947

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:<ZPf49.79797$yc3.3030470@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D50B2F0.226AF590@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> >
> >
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > > I choke on kite string.
> >
> > You've heard of "Is it in yet?"
> >
> > This is "Can you see it yet? Here, take this comb and part my pubes."
>
> LOL Scott talks like he has parted a few guys pubes before . LOL

Real intelligent conversation. Good reason to toss the CB, the ham
radios AND the computer! Grow up, George.

4919. Re: Amp forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 01:04:30 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020823210430.00692.00002586@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 133948

ok smart ass that doesnt know much about amps......it will swing 300 plus watts with a 25 watt swing with 15 volts ran to it, has RF PWR controller and on and off switch, have a nice day

Thatz-me-JP

4920. FS: Four Heathkit GW-21 Citizen Band Walkie-Talkie Transceivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 02:24:41 UTC
From: mnowlen@comcast.net
Message-ID: <3d66eef4.171953886@news.va.comcast.giganews.com>
Source record: 133949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

See Pictures:
http://mywebpages.comcast.net/mnowlen/heath-gw-21-front.jpg
http://mywebpages.comcast.net/mnowlen/heath-gw-21-top.jpg

Four Heathkit GW-21 CB Walkie-Talkies from the 1960s. I had a similar set as a kid. I got these with the intention of restoring them but just don't have the time. Two look to be complete and fairly clean with all controls and whip antennas. The other 2 can be used for replacement or spare parts. Two sets of snap-on leather straps included. Sold as-is.

$40 for all
Plus actual shipping charges
Send Zip code for shipping costs

Please email if interested,

Mike
mnowlen@comcast.net

4921. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 02:39:00 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020823223900.28929.00002633@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 133950

I think some truck drivers sound good, but then you get around to some that talk sooo retarded and they have that sound like a truck driver reverb, boy that just makes me laugh my @$$ off.....lol yall have a good en

Thatz-me-JP

4922. Re: What causes squeal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 02:49:47 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <fvC99.684$1v6.527@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 133951

Hat there that squeal is caused by the rf getting back into it like feedback
get a stock mike and see if that helps also run the power line of the amp to
the battery to make sure you give it enough to make it happy also try
grounding the amp to the chassis of the car if these work please let me know
.
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

<pismal@dismal.org> wrote in message
news:bu8dmu4k9nfrhmg03lg4fa1dh7dj8dmom0@4ax.com...
> I have a Uniden 520XL hooked to a Palomar 225 using an el-cheapo power
> mike with a 1.5v battery (my astatic croaked last year) on a Wilson
> 1000.
>
> Anyway, after the rig laying around for a year I just installed it in
> my car and people are telling me I am squealing.
>
> My SWR is very low according to the Ratshack meter I used.
> All cables look good.
>
> If I put the Palomar in standby the squeal goes away, at least
> according to the people l talk to.
>
> Any ideas?
>
> I never had this problem in the other car.
>
> I was wired direct to the battery , fused, in that car but am hooked
> to the lighter for power in this car.
>
> tia.
> Rich
>
>
>
>

4923. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 03:00:21 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D66F6C5.A8828658@citlink.net>
Source record: 133952

```
YAAAAAWWWWWWWWWNNNNNNNNNNNN......ZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZZzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz
zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz

Twistedhed wrote:

> >
> It doesn't really make me mad; when yer right, yer right. Now I DO
> listen to the lower
> end of 10 when I am out on the interstate, and I'll surely turn one of
> 'em in in a Noo Yawk
> minute. But, no, it doesn't make me run up my BP. <<
>
> Which is, I can truly say, probably why you are able to hold a debate,
> get a point across, and usually even catch some flies.
>
> >I am confident that the problem will take
> care of itself. You see, those in authority have promised to do
> something about it. I am certain
> that those in the know realize that it is better to deal with it now
> rather than ignore it and hope it goes away. Tell me, do you really
> think that those who encroach on the ham bands now will stop in 10 or 12
> meters?
> <<
>
> More about this in a second....
>
> >I don't think so, unless enforcement convinces them that it isn't
> profitable. I
> think they will continue to spread until they press the "wrong" button
> and raise the ire of other users,
> the public, and Congress. Either sooner, or later, something WILL be
> done simply because it will
> HAVE to. Unregulated radio will NOT work Jerry<<
>
> You're right...it won't....unless it is rendered useless......as in
> "doesn't affect anyone that doesn't seek it." Technology is taking shape
> that will make radio waves equal to the wheel in terms of sheer
> progress. Think radio enthusiasts are a increasingly becoming a
> minority? Wait. The best is yet to come.
```

4924. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 03:08:41 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <ZMC99.755$1v6.255@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 133953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hello I have something to say about back 40/ 50 years ago you had allot more time on your hands lets face it all you did have is radio and books to keep you busy now look whets going on TV , computers, phones a wide selection of music and hobbies it seems so strange that they want more people to get into ham but they get join the 21st century being a radio teck and with ham radio in the house if you can't pickup a mike and say hello you take it to get it fixed so that you can. I know a lot of people that would make great hams but like me there isn't enough time in the day for that meanwhile ham radio is dyeing , well I have taken enough of your time chow.
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"Ted K" <DallasFreebandClub@DallasFreebandersClub.org> wrote in message news:ak5pt7$1bv$0@pita.alt.net...
> Here in Dallas a General can be had for a crisp $50 bill.
> Just get on 27585 and ask what test sessions have VECs
> who give "special" tests.
>
> Ted K
>
> "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:9b362532596f19916c3910cb2af86731@xganon.com...
> > NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
> > No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.
> > ----------
> >
> > I can't wait for CW to go away!
> >
> > Know where I can buy a General ticket?
> >
> > I'll pay extra for an extra!
> >
>
>

4925. Re: Antron99 idea for Quadplex dweller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 03:09:28 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ENC99.230602$sA3.356961@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 133954

Here's my $0.02

I just picked up an Antron 99 with the ground plane kit for my base set up. Haven't had time to set up a mast and haven't really figured out how. I live in a city that likes to bill it self as the city of trees. Just for temporary I clamped the Antron to the top of a 5 foot post that makes up part of my fence. The antenna is completely surrounded by 60 foot trees. Not wanting to cut my roll of coax until I get the mast mounted on the roof above the trees (never know how much you'll need) I just soldered ends on the 150' roll of coax and rolled up the excess under my desk. With this very *undesirable* setup I get great reception and transmission. I think if you strap the Antron to your balcony you'll do fine. Would be even better if you strapped that Antron to the top of a ten foot stick of rigid and strapped the rigid to your balcony I think. Can't wait to get mine set up right, if only I could get one weekend free I'd be done.

Dave

"Scott Keller" <yeah_right@noway.com> wrote in message
news:3d665e54.10795116@news.verizon.net...
> I am a Quadplex dweller, which is basically like living in an
> apartment. I live in an upstairs unit, so I have good elevation. My
> lease doesn't restrict antennas, but I don't have the option of
> attaching anything to the roof permantly, or anything.
>
> I have tried some mobile antennas out on the balcony(that is all-wood,
> not metal), but I can't seem to figure out a way to get a good ground
> plane for the mobile antennas to work. My SWR readings are all over
> the place, depending on how the feedline is positioned, which tells me
> that the feedline is radiating RF, right? Anyway, I have all but
> given up on using a mobile antenna. Also, a verticle dipole is simply
> too large. I need something that is free standing.
>
> I have thought about getting an Antron99, and just putting it in
> corner of the balony, where I can strap the lower third of the antron
> to the balcony. It would be very stable. Again, I would not have a
> ground, but from what I have read about the the A99 is that it
> doesn't use/need a ground plane, right? It's a colinear antenna, from
> what I have read.
>
> Anybody ever try this, to eseentially, free-stand an antron? My
> balcony is approximately 20 feet above the ground, and it would be
> strapped to the wooden corner post of the balcony. Any ideas on how
> this would work?
>

> Lightning is not a problem in my area around puget sound in
> Washington. I have been here two years, and there has never been a
> thunderstorm. I also could easily take the antenna up and down as
> needed in bad weather.
>
> i woud be running a completely stock legal radio, of course......and
> running modest modulation to keep the TVI/RFI to a minimum.
>
> So, good idea, bad idea, totally impossible?
>
> I've already almost spent enough money to buy an Antron with all the
> tricks I've tried so far, so I might as well try it if it would work.
> But it's an expensive experiment if it doesn't work. I had an Antron
> in years past and it worked great, but it was on a 30 foot mast
> assemply and properly grounded (I lived in the midwest....major
> lightning issues).
>
> Thanks for your input.
>
> Scott

4926. Re: Cobra 29GTL Transistor Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 03:36:00 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <AaD99.4984$3V5.40@nwrddc02.gnilink.net>
Source record: 133955

Hay go get A 2sc2314 it was a 2sc1957 but the first one is better and don't put it backwards goodluck!
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"tw���" <.tw,@nothomeexcite5,yahoomsnATTBI�.org.com> wrote in message news:P5t99.54498$aA.11269@sccrnsc02...
> I need to know which transistor is the driver transistor in a Cobra 29GTL.
Thanks.
>
>

4927. How do I ground my system?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 03:48:48 UTC
From: "Dennis B." <dennisandcarol@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <AmD99.141682$983.218119@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 133956

I just set up a home base cb and the antenna is mounted on a tv tower. I'm wondering if someone could tell me the best way to ground the antenna or direct me to a web site that has the information. Also does grounding affect the SWR or the signal strength?
Thanks.

4928. FS: BASE AMP, KICKER 500, SAME AS FIREBIRD 500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 03:49:05 UTC
From: reg1647@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020823234905.04322.00004116@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 133957

$175 PLUS SHIPPING, EMAIL IF INTERESTED

4929. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 04:13:46 UTC
From: Coax Length Police <anylength@willdo.com>
Message-ID: <anylength-D3F19D.00105624082002@news3.ha-net.ptd.net>
Source record: 133958

In article <3D63E5CE.3C953917@austin.rr.com>,
BillS <BillS@austin.rr.com> wrote:

> You're correct that coax length does not affect the SWR of the system. But
> length can certainly affect your ability to measure the SWR of the system
> accurately IF the swr meter is not located at the transmission point or at
> the feed point. IE, if you insert an inline SWR meter with coax jumpers,
> the length of those jumpers will change the SWR indicated on your meter.
> Use jumpes of 1/2 wavelength to measure SWR with an inline meter, tune your
> antenna for optimum, then use the shortes coax length possible to feed the
> antenna.
>
> http://www.wenzel.com/documents/swr.html
>
> BillS

Who says an SWR meter must be at half-wavelengths for an accurate
reading? I disagree. The article you reference says no such thing.
It does say the cable will transform the impedance but it does not
say the SWR will change.

You can accurately measure SWR at any length.

4930. Re: hey jerry!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 04:25:09 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208232025.34032c92@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133959

timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote in message
news:<20020823103758.10149.00002518@mb-fe.aol.com>...
> when your spending all this time on 28.085 listening for truckers to turn in
> doesnt it actually make you miss your hobby more than the inturders themselfs
> do? i mean is your hobby ratting out truckers or talking to other hams? it
> seems like it is ratting out truckers too me.
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

tim...jerry is a member of the citizen's auxillary
police.....they aren't real police, but have blue arm bands and
pith helments, and wingtip shoes and go around bugging people and
saying stuff like 'citizen's arrest, citizen's
arrest'.....i think he needs to get a life or
something.....

4931. Re: Top-notch installers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 04:26:15 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208232026.6395049f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133960

"Scout's Dad" <president@whitehouse.gov> wrote in message
news:<sav99.5985$z16.3455@news.webusenet.com>...
> Hey All:
>
> Could someone please help me find a quality shop that does custom
> installations. I'm wanting to install a high end CB radio in a new vehicle
> and want to find a shop that does custom work. Anywhere between Orange
> County (Southern Los Angeles) and Fresno, California would be just fine.
>
> Preferably this shop would have a reasonable selection of late model CB's in
> stock, and know how to tune it once it's installed.
>
> Mahalo,
>
> Scout's Dad

i know this is a stupid question...but why don't you do it
yourself......it really isn't that hard you know.....

4932. Re: Antron99 idea for Quadplex dweller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 04:58:58 UTC
From: Todd <ktm@lanset.com>
Message-ID: <3d671287@monitor.lanset.com>
Source record: 133961

"Scott Keller" <yeah_right@noway.com> wrote in message
news:3d665e54.10795116@news.verizon.net...
>
>
> i woud be running a completely stock legal radio, of course......and
> running modest modulation to keep the TVI/RFI to a minimum.

The imax has a better rep for keeping tvi/rfi to a minimum (better
construction).
An antron is more prone to cause tvi/rfi. Also useing a mast would further
remove
the antenna from the bldg & help avoid those problems.

> So, good idea, bad idea, totally impossible?
>

4933. Hey A.E. members!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 05:00:18 UTC
From: americaneagle352@*iwon.com
Message-ID: <ak73t2$9su$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 135158

YES....we FINALLY made it into Popular Communications magazine! In the October 2002 edition, there is a brief mention of the club (and website) on page 27. And to make things even 'sweeter', the Oct. 2002 edition is their 20th Anniversary edition. I reckon' we did good.

American Eagle 352
Internet Diplomat
27.395 LSB

PS: You shoulda' joined Sparky! ;)

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

4934. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 05:03:33 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ume4ts5l0th529@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Don't forget that everyone thinks all hams are old - think for a moment ... all were young at one time ... I got my license in 1962 and was active until 1970. What happens? Families happen. A lot of folks become inactive between their early 20s and perhaps 40 or more. The kids are gone .. one has more time .. and voilla! Back on the air. Yet, once one retires, there is even more time. My gut feeling (personal feeling only) is that this is what makes folks thinks all hams are old. Many are not; however, it is the retirees that have the time to become very RADIO ACTIVE.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net> wrote in message news:ZMC99.755$1v6.255@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> Hello I have something to say about back 40/ 50 years ago you had allot more
> time on your hands lets face it all you did have is radio and books to keep
> you busy now look whets going on TV , computers, phones a wide selection of
> music and hobbies it seems so strange that they want more people to get into
> ham but they get join the 21st century being a radio teck and with ham radio
> in the house if you can't pickup a mike and say hello you take it to get it
> fixed so that you can. I know a lot of people that would make great hams but
> like me there isn't enough time in the day for that meanwhile ham radio is
> dyeing , well I have taken enough of your time chow.
> --
> Best Regards!
> - Doc -
> http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/
>
> "Ted K" <DallasFreebandClub@DallasFreebandersClub.org> wrote in message
> news:ak5pt7$1bv$0@pita.alt.net...
> > Here in Dallas a General can be had for a crisp $50 bill.
> > Just get on 27585 and ask what test sessions have VECs
> > who give "special" tests.
> >
> > Ted K
> >
> > "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message

> > news:9b362532596f19916c3910cb2af86731@xganon.com...
> > > NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
> > > No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.
> > > --
> > >
> > > I can't wait for CW to go away!
> > >
> > > Know where I can buy a General ticket?
> > >
> > > I'll pay extra for an extra!
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

4935. Re: How do I ground my system?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 05:10:32 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ume5atpeqhb2e0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133963

Having been out of the HF business for many years (circa 1970), I would simply suggest hiring a licensed electrician that does that type of work. I used to use five ground rods, each 8 feet or more driven into the earth. There are better ways of doing it, but at this point in life, I'd hire someone that does this for a living (and not some upstart that puts an ad in the paper or on the internet).
Once I am ready to go on HF again (I'm close), I'll hire a personal friend that I have also worked for. He does street lighting, signal lights, and all kinds of power wiring (commercial - he got out of residential). He is a licensed master electrician and had worked on ground systems to boot. I trust him totally.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

Dennis B. <dennisandcarol@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:AmD99.141682$983.218119@rwcrnsc53...
> I just set up a home base cb and the antenna is mounted on a tv tower. I'm
> wondering if someone could tell me the best way to ground the antenna or
> direct me to a web site that has the information. Also does grounding
affect
> the SWR or the signal strength?
> Thanks.
>
>
>
>

4936. Re: hey jerry!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 05:13:23 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ume5gagde8pk9f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133964

Heck, Twist, you go on about us Yankees - go up to Canada for REAL fish!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

Twistedhed <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:5557-3D66902C-30@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>
> >Nope! You forget; I'm retired.
> <<
>
>
> You got too much money and time on your damn hands. C'mon down, we'll go
> swampin' for bass the likes that'll make the yankees eyes bulge!
>
>
> >In the mornings, I check into several nets (not ham), during the
> day, both at home and out and about, I talk to my friends on 10 and 2
> meters. In the afternoon,
> I listen to the Maritime Net on 20 meters, Then I get back on 10. Or I'm
> out in my workshop
> tinkering. When I travel the Interstate, I listen to the lower end of 10
> meters and send out a few
> CQs on 28.085. IF there "happens" to be a trucker "a-tan-ferin'" on
> there, I don't bother with it
> unless he is close and going my way because, 1) I have to SEE him doing
> it,
> <<
>
>
>
>
> Just a quick question here..why? How does you seeing him lend anymore or
> less credibility to what you report?
>
>
>
> >2) I have to make
> a positive ID and be certain that he is actually the one transmitting,
> and
> <<
>
>
> I still don't see.....
>

>
> >3) I often see if he responds to my CW transmissions or comments on
> them ("hey, Driver, ya a-hearin' that 'beepin'' noise on here"). It
> isn't like I spend all my time worrying about truckers, but I WILL
> protect what I earned- and I did earn the privilege; they didn't.
> <<
>
>
> And here is where we agree.
>
>
>
> >Recently I talked to a trucker ham whose truck looked like
> a porcupine it had so many antennas including a huge HF antenna on the
> front bumper, so it's not
> like I've got anything against truckers. <<
>
>
> Recently I talked to a hammie that thought my "'Q5 coming from "up
> there" (he had my coordinates) was nothing less than spectacular," but I
> have nothing against hammies.
>
>
> >Now I know that we differ on our view of regulating radio. And I know
> *some* CBers don't understand why we need rules. <<
>
>
>
> And I know some hammies can't accept change
> nor have the courage to debate without insult publicly, though I must
> admit through experience on usenet, this usually emanates from the
> no-coders.
>
>
>
> >But we go by rules in all parts of our society, and, from where I
> stand, I cannot see how people obey some rules and ignore others
> because, when they do, some-
> one gets hurt in some way or another. It may not be pain or suffering,
> but people get harmed, or
> at least, inconvenienced. <<
>
>
>
> HUGE difference between harm and inconvenience. Shouting about being
> inconvenienced is something the liberals have always wanted government
> to fix.
> Harm is another subject altogether. Comparing the two completely
> undermines the profound nature of an act resulting in harm.
>

>
>
> >When anyone brings up this point, they usually get hit with the
> question,
> "Do you ever speed". No I don't speed which is borne out by the fact
> that I haven't had a speeding
> ticket or a moving violation in over 20 years. I try to drive safely,
> not only because the law says I
> must, but because I don't want to harm anyone. Likewise, I obey the
> regulations governing my use of radio because others have the same
> privilege I do, and while there is no physical harm in operating
> illegally, there IS harm caused by it in interference and/or prevention
> of use by my actions. It STILL equates to the tools in my shop. If I
> have a saw hanging on the pegboard not being used, it doesn't give a
> person the privilege (or right) to just walk in and "borrow" my saw
> simply because I am not using it. It is stealing, or using without
> permission, and there are laws against people just helping themselves to
> others' property--even if the intention is to return it after they are
> finished. Even tho radio waves are intangible, they still "belong" to
> others who have fulfilled
> the government's requirements for its use. Even if they aren't using it
> at the time, they are entitled to
> expect that, should they choose, that frequency is open and available
> for their exclusive use--not
> filled with truckers beeping and squeaking away. Just as anyone would
> who discovered that some-
> one had absconded with his saw, I will look for the culprit that is
> stealing my saw--or frequency.<<
>
>
>
> Thing is, too many hammies think it's "their" frequencies and forget
> that they are guests there..the privilege you spoke of.
>
>
> >If we obey the laws we choose and ignore the ones we don't like,
> society would crumble. <<
>
>
>
> No, that's kinda the way society is. Matter of arguable opinion, that's
> exactly how society is. If it weren't, we'd have utopia and no need for
> prisons, rules, snitches, intruders, etc.
>
>
> >To "Doc", I can say that Amateur Radio is NOT dying. And you are
> dreaming if you think that world governments are going to turn whole
> parcels of spectrum to CBers. First of all, those
> bands (most of them) are covered by international treaties. Our Fed
> can't just say, "OK, we're

> going to turn over huge amounts of spectrum to the CBers because it
> would affect other areas of trade and relations. Treaties are often
> bargaining chips to get concessions from the member nations
> and those countries are not going to sit by and just let one country
> "pollute" the airwaves by just
> opening it up to the barbarians, as it were. To do so would be foolhardy
> because it would backfire. <<
>
> CB already has backfired from it's original intent.
> Hammie radio is following it's footsteps. History repeates itself.
>
>
> >Finally, if CB radio is so much deserving of all that spectrum as
> opposed to Amateur Radio, let me
> ask you this: Why do Amateurs now enjoy limited antenna protection from
> zoning under PRB-1?
> CBers do not. Why are there Bills moving thru State legislatures to
> expand and codify those same
> regulations to simplify and speed up the process of erecting antennas in
> local jurisdictions? CBers
> have no such protection except for the goodwill of the locals. Why was
> money allocated from
> Homeland Security to ARRL for the purpose of training HAMS to further
> assist in emergency comms? CBers receive no such recognition, nor any
> funds. Why is there now a Bill moving thru
> Congress to exempt hams from restrictive covenants in neighborhoods?
> CBers will STILL have
> no such protection. Why is that?
> <<
>
>
> Simple. Money. Cbers pay no fees.
> Hammies pay their fees and in return become yet ANOTHER government
> issued number on microfilm.
>
>
> >You are dreaming, simply dreaming. Sheer numbers of CBers? Numbers are
> no reason to fling open the gates of a beautiful city to the Mongols.<<
>
>
> Babylonians.
>
>
>
> > And Mr. Hollingsworth told me personally to
> "keep them cards and letters comin'"! That don't sound like he is
> "tired"
> yet! And you forget that
> Riley is a ham as well: N4ZDH------look it up.
> Jerry<<

>
>
> He's great with the media. Can you remember in all your years, another
> FCC hands-on enforcement employee that was so adept at media?
>

4937. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 05:21:24 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ume5vafmgj4a1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 133965

Thank you for the goofy post of the month. How do you figure that anyone is exempt from any part of the rules?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

Richard Cranium <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:c5ecbb69.0208230911.2fc926a9@posting.google.com...
> SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message news:<3D612294.3010607@csotbs.org>...
> > That's got to be the first accurate statement "Aaron Voobner" has made in a
> > long time, if ever.
> >
> > -SSB
>
> And it's not even accurate! Amateurs don't have to comply with Part 95.
>
> > Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
> >
> > > FCC Part 97 must be abided by. FCC
> > > Part 95 must also be abided by. That means a ham cannot legally use
> > > that radio to transmit both on 10 meters and also on CB or the
> > > so-called "freeband".
> > >
> > > -Aaron-

4938. WTB Telex Telethin (or equivalent) Earset Components

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 05:38:39 UTC
From: malibu64@gte.net
Message-ID: <e4fded69.0208232138.510dbc61@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.audio.pro,rec.radio.cb,alt.support.hearing-loss

Need advice where to buy Telex Telethin (or equivalent) Earset components. Specifically the Telex or equivalent: cord, CMT-95 (60013-073) 5' straight cord with sub min .097 plug.
It gauls me that Telex lists this item for $20.

4939. Re: Old coax length answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 06:25:11 UTC
From: n2uhc@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3442abbf.0208232225.1971c799@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133967

'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:<3D667510.7D583ED8@icok.net>...
> Tom,
> Almost as good as adding an "STP" sticker!
> 'Doc

I don't know why he did it, because he knew that they weren't far enough apart to get good directional coverage, and one whip would have sufficed. But that's what he wanted.

He told me that another CB'er wanted him to co-phase a Firestik-type antenna and two on-glass cellular-lookalike CB antennas. He told the guy that it wouldn't be worth it, but I think the guy went ahead and had him do it anyway. I'm sure it didn't work very well.

Tom

4940. Re: Eenie meenie miney moe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 07:16:25 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6732C9.53A16EDB@citlink.net>
Source record: 133968

Ya know for what most people end up paying for a "big"...(snicker)CB radio you can buy a pretty decent ham rig.I see alot of Yazoos mentioned but for about $400 you can get something like TS430,Alinco DX77T or many others.why pay that kind of money for something so limited?Limited as in you have more power,more stability and if you like you can listen to short wave and the other ham bands.If you move up in the radio world and get your ham license you wont have to buy another radio to be there.Just be aware that radios like the Yazoo 101 etc have ham band only coverage that is why I suggest the 430 or the like.They have continuous receive coverage and transmit with one or 2 simple mods........

Eli wrote:

> Hi, I'm planning on buying a base station CB radio with SSB and it
> seems the only ones available new are the Galaxy DX-2547, Ranger
> TR-696FSSB, and Cherokee CBS-2100. Are the Ranger and the
> Cherokee the same radio? Their front panel layout appears to be
> the same. Any pros and cons on these three radios? TIA
>
> Eli

4941. Re: How do I ground my system?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 07:18:32 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <ak7c07$qm9$2@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 133969

Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

> Once I am ready to go on HF again (I'm close), I'll hire a personal friend
> that I have also worked for. He does street lighting, signal lights, and
> all kinds of power wiring (commercial - he got out of residential). He is a
> licensed master electrician and had worked on ground systems to boot. I
> trust him totally.

Well, he will know the applicable electrical codes, and probably will
understand the grounding for house wiring quite well.

But that is no guarantee that he has the slightest idea about proper
RF grounding.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

4942. Re: legitimate users of the 25-30mhz spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 07:28:33 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6735A1.97FBA3A2@citlink.net>
Source record: 133970

Bet you dont have a clue what is on 29.995 do ya?Let me give you as clue.....It is big,has wings and a big blue round seal on the side.....

2LD751 wrote:

> FREQ CH USE
>
> ---
>
> 25.000 TIME
> 25.005 TIME
> 25.010 TIME
> 25.015
> 25.020 PETROLEUM
> 25.025
> 25.030
> 25.035
> 25.040 PETROLEUM
> 25.045
> 25.050
> 25.055
> 25.060 PETROLEUM
> 25.065
> 25.070 25-01 MARITIME(SHIP)
> 25.075 MARITIME
> 25.080 PETROLEUM
> 25.085 MARITIME
> 25.090 MARITIME
> 25.095 MARITIME
> 25.100 PETROLEUM/EXP
> 25.105 MARITIME
> 25.110 MARITIME
> 25.115 MARITIME
> 25.120 PETROLEUM
> 25.125 MARITIME
> 25.130 USGOV
> 25.135 MARITIME
> 25.140 PETROLEUM
> 25.145 MARITIME
> 25.150 MARITIME
> 25.155 MARITIME
> 25.160 PETROLEUM/USGOV
> 25.165 MARITIME
> 25.170 MARITIME
> 25.175 MARITIME

> 25.180 PETROLEUM
> 25.185 MARITIME
> 25.190 MARITIME
> 25.195 MARITIME
> 25.200 PETROLEUM/USGOV
> 25.205 MARITIME
> 25.210 MARITIME
> 25.215
> 25.220 PETROLEUM
> 25.225 USGOV
> 25.230 USGOV/EXP
> 25.235
> 25.240 PETROLEUM
> 25.245 USGOV
> 25.250
> 25.255
> 25.260 PETROLEUM
> 25.265
> 25.270
> 25.275
> 25.280 PETROLEUM
> 25.285
> 25.290
> 25.295
> 25.300 PETROLEUM
> 25.305
> 25.310
> 25.315
> 25.320 PETROLEUM
> 25.325
> 25.330
> 25.335 USGOV
> 25.340 EXP/USGOV
> 25.345 EXPERIMENTAL
> 25.350 USGOV
> 25.355
> 25.360 USGOV
> 25.365
> 25.370 USGOV
> 25.375
> 25.380 USGOV
> 25.385
> 25.390 USGOV
> 25.395
> 25.400 USGOV
> 25.405
> 25.410 USGOV
> 25.415
> 25.420
> 25.425 USGOV

> 25.430 USGOV
> 25.435 USGOV
> 25.440 USGOV
> 25.445 USGOV
> 25.450 USGOV
> 25.455
> 25.460 USGOV
> 25.465
> 25.470
> 25.475 USGOV
> 25.480 USGOV
> 25.485
> 25.490 USGOV
> 25.495
> 25.500 USGOV
> 25.505
> 25.510
> 25.515 USGOV
> 25.520 USGOV
> 25.525 USGOV
> 25.530
> 25.535 USGOV
> 25.540 USGOV
> 25.545 USGOV
> 25.550 USGOV
> 25.555
> 25.560 USGOV
> 25.565
> 25.570
> 25.575 USGOV
> 25.580 USGOV
> 25.585
> 25.590 USGOV
> 25.595 USGOV
> 25.600 EXPERIMENTAL
> 25.605
> 25.610 USGOV
> 25.615 USGOV
> 25.620 BROADCAST
> 25.625
> 25.630
> 25.635
> 25.640
> 25.645
> 25.650 USGOV
> 25.655
> 25.660
> 25.665
> 25.670 BROADCAST
> 25.675 BROADCAST

> 25.680 BROADCAST
> 25.685 BROADCAST
> 25.690 BROADCAST
> 25.695 BROADCAST
> 25.700 USGOV
> 25.705 BROADCAST
> 25.710 BROADCAST
> 25.715 BROADCAST
> 25.720 BROADCAST
> 25.725 BROADCAST
> 25.730 BROADCAST
> 25.735 BROADCAST
> 25.740 BROADCAST
> 25.745 BROADCAST
> 25.750 BROADCAST
> 25.755 BROADCAST
> 25.760 BROADCAST
> 25.765 BROADCAST
> 25.770 BROADCAST
> 25.775 USGOV
> 25.780 BROADCAST
> 25.785 BROADCAST
> 25.790 BROADCAST
> 25.795 BROADCAST
> 25.800 EXP / BDCST
> 25.805 BROADCAST
> 25.810 USGOV
> 25.815 BROADCAST
> 25.820 BROADCAST
> 25.825 USGOV
> 25.830 BROADCAST
> 25.835 BROADCAST
> 25.840 BROADCAST
> 25.845 USGOV
> 25.850 BROADCAST
> 25.855 BROADCAST
> 25.860 BROADCAST
> 25.865 BROADCAST
> 25.870 BROADCAST
> 25.875 BROADCAST
> 25.880 BROADCAST
> 25.885 BROADCAST
> 25.890 BROADCAST
> 25.895 BROADCAST
> 25.900 BROADCAST
> 25.905 BROADCAST
> 25.910 BROADCAST
> 25.915 BROADCAST
> 25.920 BROADCAST
> 25.925 BROADCAST

> 25.930 BROADCAST
> 25.935 USGOV
> 25.940 BROADCAST
> 25.945 BROADCAST
> 25.950 BROADCAST
> 25.955 BROADCAST
> 25.960 BROADCAST
> 25.965 BROADCAST
> 25.970 BROADCAST
> 25.975 BROADCAST
> 25.980 BROADCAST
> 25.985 BROADCAST
> 25.990 BROADCAST
> 25.995 BROADCAST
> 26.000 BROADCAST
> 26.005 BROADCAST
> 26.010 BROADCAST
> 26.015 BROADCAST
> 26.020 BROADCAST
> 26.025 BROADCAST
> 26.030 BROADCAST
> 26.035 BROADCAST
> 26.040 BROADCAST
> 26.045 BROADCAST
> 26.050 BROADCAST
> 26.055 BROADCAST
> 26.060 BROADCAST
> 26.065 BROADCAST
> 26.070 BROADCAST
> 26.075 BROADCAST
> 26.080 BROADCAST
> 26.085 BROADCAST
> 26.090 BROADCAST
> 26.095 BROADCAST
> 26.100 MARITIME
> 26.105 MARITIME
> 26.110 BROADCAST
> 26.115 MARITIME
> 26.120 MARITIME
> 26.125 MARITIME
> 26.130 BROADCAST
> 26.135 MARITIME
> 26.140 MARITIME
> 26.145 25-01 MARITIME(COAST)
> 26.150 BROADCAST
> 26.155 MARITIME
> 26.160 MARITIME
> 26.165 MARITIME
> 26.170 BROADCAST
> 26.175

> 26.180
> 26.185
> 26.190 BROADCAST
> 26.195
> 26.200
> 26.205
> 26.210 BROADCAST
> 26.215
> 26.220
> 26.225
> 26.230 BROADCAST
> 26.235 USGOV
> 26.240
> 26.245
> 26.250 BROADCAST
> 26.255
> 26.260
> 26.265
> 27.270 BROADCAST
> 26.275
> 26.280
> 26.285
> 26.290 BROADCAST
> 26.295
> 26.300
> 26.305
> 26.310 BROADCAST
> 26.315
> 26.320
> 26.325
> 26.330 1 NZ CB/BDCST
> 26.335 MARS
> 26.340 2 NZ CB
> 26.345
> 26.350 3 NZ CB/BDCST
> 26.355 USGOV
> 26.360 3A NZ CB
> 26.365
> 26.370 4 NZ CB/BDCST
> 26.375
> 26.380 5 NZ CB
> 26.385
> 26.390 6 NZ CB/BDCST
> 26.395
> 26.400 7 NZ CB / USGOV
> 26.405
> 26.410 7A NZ CB/BDCST
> 26.415
> 26.420 8 NZ CB
> 26.425

> 26.430 9 NZ CB/BDCST
> 26.435
> 26.440 10 NZ CB
> 26.445
> 26.450 11 NZ CB/BDCST
> 26.455
> 26.460 11A NZ CB
> 26.465
> 26.470 12 NZ CB/BDCST
> 26.475
> 26.480 13 NZ CB
> 26.485 USGOV
> 26.490 14 NZ CB
> 26.495
> 26.500 15 NZ CB / US GOVT
> 26.505
> 26.510 USGOV
> 26.515 USGOV
> 26.520 16 NZ CB
> 26.525 USGOV
> 26.530 17 NZ CB
> 26.535 USGOV
> 26.540 18 NZ CB / USGOV
> 26.545
> 26.550 19 NZ CB / US GOV
> 26.555
> 26.560 19A NZ CB / USGOV
> 26.565 BROADCAST
> 26.570 20 NZ CB
> 26.575 USGOV
> 26.580 21 NZ CB
> 26.585
> 26.590 22 NZ CB / USGOV
> 26.595
> 26.600 24 NZ CB / USGOV
> 26.605
> 26.610 25 NZ CB / USGOV
> 26.615 USGOV
> 26.620 23 NZ CB / CAP
> 26.625
> 26.630 26 NZ CB / USGOV
> 26.635 USGOV
> 26.640 27 NZ CB
> 26.645
> 25.650 28 NZ CB / USGOV
> 26.655 USGOV
> 26.660 29 NZ CB / USGOV
> 26.665 USGOV
> 26.670 30 NZ CB
> 26.675

> 26.680 31 NZ CB
> 26.685 USGOV
> 26.690 32 NZ CB / USGOV
> 26.695
> 26.700 33 NZ CB / USGOV
> 26.705 USGOV
> 26.710 34 NZ CB / USGOV
> 26.715
> 26.720 35 NZ CB / USGOV
> 26.725 USGOV
> 26.730 36 NZ CB
> 26.735
> 26.740 37 NZ CB / USGOV
> 26.745
> 26.750 38 NZ CB / US GOV
> 26.755
> 26.760 39 NZ CB / USGOV
> 26.765 BROADCAST
> 26.770 40 NZ CB / USGOV
> 26.775 USGOV
> 26.780
> 26.785
> 26.790
> 26.795
> 26.800 USGOV
> 26.805
> 26.810 USGOV
> 26.815
> 26.820 USGOV
> 26.825 USGOV
> 26.830 USGOV
> 26.835 USGOV
> 26.840
> 26.845
> 26.850 USGOV
> 26.855
> 26.860
> 26.865 USGOV
> 26.870 MARS
> 26.875 USGOV
> 26.880 MARS
> 26.885
> 26.890
> 26.895
> 26.900 USGOV
> 26.905 USGOV
> 26.910 MARS
> 26.915
> 26.920
> 26.925 USGOV

> 26.930 MARS
> 26.935
> 26.940
> 26.945 USGOV
> 26.950
> 26.955
> 26.960 USGOV
> 26.965 1 CB
> 26.970
> 26.975 2 CB
> 26.980
> 26.985 3 CB
> 26.990
> 26.995 3A CC
> 27.000
> 27.005 4 CB
> 27.010
> 27.015 5 CB
> 27.020
> 27.025 6 CB
> 27.030
> 27.035 7 CB
> 27.040
> 27.045 7A CC
> 27.050
> 27.055 8 CB
> 27.060
> 27.065 9 CB
> 27.070
> 27.075 10 CB
> 27.080
> 27.085 11 CB
> 27.090
> 27.095 11A CC
> 27.100
> 27.105 12 CB
> 27.110
> 27.115 13 CB
> 27.120 ISM
> 27.125 14 CB
> 27.130
> 27.135 15 CB
> 27.140
> 27.145 15A CC
> 27.150
> 27.155 16 CB
> 27.160
> 27.165 17 CB
> 27.170
> 27.175 18 CB

> 27.180
> 27.185 19 CB
> 27.190
> 27.195 19A CC
> 27.200
> 27.205 20 CB
> 27.210
> 27.215 21 CB
> 27.220
> 27.225 22 CB
> 27.230
> 27.235 24 CB
> 27.240
> 27.245 25 CB
> 27.250
> 27.255 23 CB
> 27.260
> 27.265 26 CB
> 27.270
> 27.275 27 CB
> 27.280
> 27.285 28 CB
> 27.290
> 27.295 29 CB
> 27.300
> 27.305 30 CB
> 27.310
> 27.315 31 CB
> 27.320
> 27.325 32 CB
> 27.330
> 27.335 33 CB
> 27.340
> 27.345 34 CB
> 27.350
> 27.355 35 CB
> 27.360
> 27.365 36 CB
> 27.370
> 27.375 37 CB
> 27.380
> 27.385 38 CB
> 27.390
> 27.395 39 CB
> 27.400
> 27.405 40 CB
> 27.410 EXPERIMENTAL
> 27.415
> 27.420 USGOV
> 27.425

> 27.430 BUSINESS
> 27.435
> 27.440 EXPERIMENTAL
> 27.445
> 27.450 BUSINESS
> 27.455
> 27.460
> 27.465
> 27.470 BUSINESS
> 27.475
> 27.480
> 27.485
> 27.490 BIS (ITINERANT)
> 27.495
> 27.500
> 27.505
> 27.510 BIS (LOW POWER)
> 27.515
> 27.520 USGOV
> 27.525
> 27.530 BIS (LOW POWER)
> 27.535
> 27.540 USGOV
> 27.545 USGOV
> 27.550 USGOV
> 27.555 USGOV
> 27.560
> 27.565 USGOV
> 27.570 USGOV
> 27.575 USGOV (ITINERANT)
> 27.580
> 27.585 USGOV (ITINERANT)
> 27.590 USGOV
> 27.595 USGOV
> 27.600 USGOV
> 27.605 USGOV
> 27.610 USGOV
> 27.615 USGOV
> 27.620 MARS
> 27.625 USGOV
> 27.630 USGOV
> 27.635 USGOV
> 27.640
> 27.645 USGOV
> 27.650 USGOV
> 27.655 USGOV
> 27.660
> 27.665 USGOV
> 27.670
> 27.675 USGOV

> 27.680 68 AU MARITIME
> 27.685
> 27.690
> 27.695 USGOV
> 27.700 USGOV
> 27.705 USGOV
> 27.710
> 27.715 USGOV
> 27.720 72 AU MARITIME/USGOV
> 27.725 USGOV
> 27.730
> 27.735 MARS
> 27.740 USGOV
> 27.745 EXPERIMENTAL
> 27.750 USGOV
> 27.755
> 27.760
> 27.765
> 27.770
> 27.775 USGOV
> 27.780 USGOV
> 27.785 USGOV
> 27.790 USGOV
> 27.795
> 27.800 USGOV
> 27.805
> 27.810 USGOV
> 27.815
> 27.820 82 AU MARITIME
> 27.825
> 27.830 MARS
> 27.835
> 27.840 84 PNG MARITIME
> 27.845
> 27.850 USGOV
> 27.855
> 27.860 86 AU MARITIME
> 27.865
> 27.870 USGOV
> 27.875 MARS
> 27.880 88 AU MARITIME/USGOV
> 27.885
> 27.890 USGOV
> 27.895
> 27.900 90 AU MARITIME/USGOV
> 27.905
> 27.910 91 AU MARITIME
> 27.915
> 27.920 92 PNG MARITIME
> 27.925 USGOV

> 27.930
> 27.935 USGOV
> 27.940 94 AU MARITIME
> 27.945
> 27.950 95 PNG MARITIME/USGOV
> 27.955
> 27.960 96 AU MARITIME/USGOV
> 27.965 MARS
> 27.970 97 PNG MARITIME
> 27.975 MARS
> 27.980 98 AU SAR/MARS
> 27.985 MARS
> 27.990 MARS
> 27.995 MARS
> 28.000 - 29.700 RADIO AMATEUR
> 29.705
> 29.710 FOREST PRODUCTS
> 29.715
> 29.720 EXP
> 29.725
> 29.730 FOREST PRODUCTS
> 29.735
> 29.740
> 29.745
> 29.750 FOREST PRODUCTS
> 29.755
> 29.760
> 29.765
> 29.770 FOREST PRODUCTS
> 29.775
> 29.780
> 29.785
> 29.790 FOREST PRODUCTS
> 29.795
> 29.800
> 29.805
> 29.810
> 29.815
> 29.820
> 29.825
> 29.830
> 29.835
> 29.840
> 29.845
> 29.850
> 29.855
> 29.860
> 29.865
> 29.870
> 29.875

> 29.880
> 29.885
> 29.890 USGOV
> 29.895 USGOV
> 29.900 USGOV
> 29.905 USGOV
> 29.910 USGOV
> 29.915
> 29.920 USGOV
> 29.925
> 29.930 USGOV
> 29.935 USGOV
> 29.940
> 29.945
> 29.950
> 29.955
> 29.960
> 29.965
> 29.970
> 29.975
> 29.980
> 29.985
> 29.990
> 29.995 USGOV

4943. Re: legitimate users of the 25-30mhz spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 07:30:13 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D673605.19E8373C@citlink.net>
Source record: 133971

Nope...they are still down below

Richard Cranium wrote:

> ld751@yahoo.com (2LD751) wrote in message
news:<3d12c96e.0208161534.4ca0fb6@posting.google.com>...
> > FREQ CH USE
> >
>
> Didn't NZ change their CB band to the standard 40 a few (maybe ten)
> years back?
>
> They used to be one megahertz lower and couldn't use beam antennas,
> but I seem to recall all that got changed.
>
> Anybody?

4944. Re: Pilot truck stops

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 07:38:00 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6737D8.E59BB974@citlink.net>
Source record: 133972

Hey Boobner
Wuz yer daddy a trucker?

"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:

> Oops, sorry. Typo corrected version.
>
> TRUCKER A: That Pilot Truck stop sells them exports over on the
> interstate got busted by the FCC.
> TRUCKER B: Yeah, I heard on the Internet that the guvamint don't give
> a hoot if we use illegal radios and ham frequencies and kickers and
> all....'cept they can't come right out an' say that in public.
> TRUCKER A: Yeah but then how come Pilot got busted?
> TRUCKER B: Ohhh wal, they pissed off the wrong people y'know....it's
> all parta the big guvamint conspiracy to take freedoms from the common
> man.
>
> -Aaron-

4945. Re: Francis Whips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 07:54:31 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D673BB7.BE60FB71@citlink.net>
Source record: 133973

Jes go down to the hardware store and get a 10' piece of heavy wall copper tubeing and make your own damn almost unlimited RF 1/4 wave mobile vertical...It's not like it is hard to do.And then you can solder on radials and top hats that do nothing but will impress the hell out of the big coil bunch...LOL..orrrr you could do as I have done...and I have been playing the mobile antenna game for a long tom...a very effective setup that I use is one of the folding Hustler masts with a wilson 1000 on top.............I know the theory guys are going to bust my @ss here but it does have advantages over a 1/4 wave whip.And it has been my experience that if you think antenna theory is etched in stone somewhere you have not been building enough antennas

Steve McQueen wrote:

> Is there any difference between the Francis CB28 Wheeler Dealer and the CB50
> Amazer other than color? I'm going to go with a corner bumper mount on my
> Tahoe for now. Not brave enough to drill the roof, yet.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Steve

4946. Re: Antron99 idea for Quadplex dweller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 07:55:57 UTC
From: yeah_right@noway.com
Message-ID: <3d673d73.1479131@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 133974

On Fri, 23 Aug 2002 15:53:17 -0400, "radiofun" <radiofun@charter.net>
wrote:

>Try the mobile antenna with 3 or more 9' counterpoise radials. Should do
>"ok".

I don't have that much room to work with.

Scott

4947. Re: What causes squeal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 07:56:51 UTC
From: n2uhc@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3442abbf.0208232356.2d94631b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133975

> <pismal@dismal.org> wrote in message
> news:bu8dmu4k9nfrhmg03lg4fa1dh7dj8dmom0@4ax.com...
> > I have a Uniden 520XL hooked to a Palomar 225 using an el-cheapo power
> > mike with a 1.5v battery (my astatic croaked last year) on a Wilson
> > 1000.
> >
> > Anyway, after the rig laying around for a year I just installed it in
> > my car and people are telling me I am squealing.

> > If I put the Palomar in standby the squeal goes away, at least
> > according to the people l talk to.

It's obvious that the extra power is causing some feedback, my guess would be into the microphone. Make sure the audio wire is well shielded, but you could be getting RF into the microphone itself. If that's the case, try another mike or do away with the linear amp. Of course they aren't legal to use, but you didn't need me to tell you that.

Tom

4948. Re: Antron99 idea for Quadplex dweller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 07:59:29 UTC
From: yeah_right@noway.com
Message-ID: <3d673e48.1691781@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 133976

On Fri, 23 Aug 2002 21:58:58 -0700, "Todd" <ktm@lanset.com> wrote:

>The imax has a better rep for keeping tvi/rfi to a minimum (better
>construction).
>An antron is more prone to cause tvi/rfi. Also useing a mast would further
>remove
>the antenna from the bldg & help avoid those problems.

Yeah, I agree wholeheartedly, I just can't use a mast with the
situation I'm in.

I'm going to run my transmitter as clean as possible(4 watts, no
over-modulation) to avoid RFI problems.....if it's possible.
Fortunately, I have friends next door who can tell me if I'm
schredding their telephones or TV. :)

Thanks...

Scott

4949. Re: Francis Whips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 08:00:57 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D673D39.453A44C4@citlink.net>
Source record: 133978

There is a difference in the power rateing of the gray whip.....if you cut one you will see whay...the gray one has flat ribbon copper in it not wire...I dont know exactly what the difference in rateing is but I have run a KW with a good match on the gray one and the other went up in smoke....I am talking at least 10 years back so I dont know about today...If Barjunk bought them out I wouldnt buy one..

Dave or Debby wrote:

> Stevie:
> They are both the same length & thickness, right?
> Then they are THE SAME except for color. I'd have to call Francis,
> but we can't since dey bin bought out by Barjan.
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> On Wed, 21 Aug 2002 21:58:30 GMT, "Steve McQueen"
> <madmac51@swbell.net> wrote:
>
> >Are you "generalizing" or do you have specific knowledge that the model CB28
> >and the CB50 are the EXACT same antenna except for color? I just wonder why
> >Francis decided to make the CB28 in 3 colors, but name the CB50 "Amazer" and
> >only offer it in one color.
> >
> >Seems to me there might be some difference, but it could all just be
> >marketing.
> >
> >Steve
> >"Dave or Debby" <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message
> >news:tsc7mu4vs29cge9qeg8acaf7p2ldm0jrj7@4ax.com...
> >> ARE these both the same length? IF they are, then NO DIFFERENCE in
> >> the antennas performance OR power handling capability, which should be
> >> 500 watts. THE COLOR does NOT determine what power it can handle!
> >> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >>
> >> On Tue, 20 Aug 2002 21:44:41 GMT, "Steve McQueen"
> >> <madmac51@swbell.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Is there any difference between the Francis CB28 Wheeler Dealer and the
> >CB50
> >> >Amazer other than color? I'm going to go with a corner bumper mount on
> >my
> >> >Tahoe for now. Not brave enough to drill the roof, yet.
> >> >
> >> >Thanks,
> >> >

> >> >Steve
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> >> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> >> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
> >
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4950. Re: Antron99 idea for Quadplex dweller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 08:01:45 UTC
From: yeah_right@noway.com
Message-ID: <3d673ecf.1827069@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 133977

On 23 Aug 2002 21:40:13 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

>Earl <earlebyrd@sun.up> wrote:
>> >I have thought about getting an Antron99, and just putting it in
>> >corner of the balony...
>>
>>>Make sure that balony is a very thick slice or it won't hold up
>> that stick at all :^)
>>
>Oh yea..thick fried balogna.

Has anyone been to the balogna festival in Yale, MI ? It is coming up
in a couple weeks. I had the best fried balogna sandwich at that
festival a few years ago.

Scott

4951. Re: Francis Whips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 08:09:13 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D673F29.4161799D@citlink.net>
Source record: 133979

I forgot to add that for height considerations useing heavy wall copper tubeing you can use 4 1/2 ' or what ever of 3/4" and solder on a 1/2" reducer and add a top portion of 1/2" that makes it handy for matching too.You use a hacksaw to split the reducer and then put a hose clamp around it to tighten and hold the 1/2" top portion of the ant,The tubeing being of larger diameter than a normal whip also give you better band width.

"Arthur R. Howard" wrote:

> Jes go down to the hardware store and get a 10' piece of heavy wall copper
> tubeing and make your own damn almost unlimited RF 1/4 wave mobile
> vertical...It's not like it is hard to do.And then you can solder on radials and
> top hats that do nothing but will impress the hell out of the big coil
> bunch...LOL..orrrr you could do as I have done...and I have been playing the
> mobile antenna game for a long tom...a very effective setup that I use is one of
> the folding Hustler masts with a wilson 1000 on top.............I know the
> theory guys are going to bust my @ss here but it does have advantages over a 1/4
> wave whip.And it has been my experience that if you think antenna theory is
> etched in stone somewhere you have not been building enough antennas
>
> Steve McQueen wrote:
>
> > Is there any difference between the Francis CB28 Wheeler Dealer and the CB50
> > Amazer other than color? I'm going to go with a corner bumper mount on my
> > Tahoe for now. Not brave enough to drill the roof, yet.
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Steve

4952. Re: Antron99 idea for Quadplex dweller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 08:22:31 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D674247.C794AACD@citlink.net>
Source record: 133980

9' radials?????Yo...read the guys lips ...he said "balcony" not "parking lot."..If it were me I would put up a big ol Maco groundplane in the corner of the balcony and position the radials more or less in line with the railing where they should be out of the way and you could hang yer laundry on em...LOL

radiofun wrote:

> Try the mobile antenna with 3 or more 9' counterpoise radials. Should do
> "ok".
>
> --
> Web Site: www.polkcounty.org/radiofun
> ICQ: 11908449
> Yahoo Mess: Hitradio93Q
>
> "Scott Keller" <yeah_right@noway.com> wrote in message
> news:3d665e54.10795116@news.verizon.net...
> > I am a Quadplex dweller, which is basically like living in an
> > apartment. I live in an upstairs unit, so I have good elevation. My
> > lease doesn't restrict antennas, but I don't have the option of
> > attaching anything to the roof permantly, or anything.
> >
> > I have tried some mobile antennas out on the balcony(that is all-wood,
> > not metal), but I can't seem to figure out a way to get a good ground
> > plane for the mobile antennas to work. My SWR readings are all over
> > the place, depending on how the feedline is positioned, which tells me
> > that the feedline is radiating RF, right? Anyway, I have all but
> > given up on using a mobile antenna. Also, a verticle dipole is simply
> > too large. I need something that is free standing.
> >
> > I have thought about getting an Antron99, and just putting it in
> > corner of the balony, where I can strap the lower third of the antron
> > to the balcony. It would be very stable. Again, I would not have a
> > ground, but from what I have read about the the A99 is that it
> > doesn't use/need a ground plane, right? It's a colinear antenna, from
> > what I have read.
> >
> > Anybody ever try this, to eseentially, free-stand an antron? My
> > balcony is approximately 20 feet above the ground, and it would be
> > strapped to the wooden corner post of the balcony. Any ideas on how
> > this would work?
> >
> > Lightning is not a problem in my area around puget sound in
> > Washington. I have been here two years, and there has never been a

> > thunderstorm. I also could easily take the antenna up and down as
> > needed in bad weather.
> >
> > i woud be running a completely stock legal radio, of course......and
> > running modest modulation to keep the TVI/RFI to a minimum.
> >
> > So, good idea, bad idea, totally impossible?
> >
> > I've already almost spent enough money to buy an Antron with all the
> > tricks I've tried so far, so I might as well try it if it would work.
> > But it's an expensive experiment if it doesn't work. I had an Antron
> > in years past and it worked great, but it was on a 30 foot mast
> > assemply and properly grounded (I lived in the midwest....major
> > lightning issues).
> >
> > Thanks for your input.
> >
> > Scott

4953. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 08:30:04 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D67440C.8BA434E4@citlink.net>
Source record: 133981

I'm impressed.......now how would you like a Henry 2KD5 to go with it??????????????????????/

Michael wrote:

> Just to piss off the trolls, look what I got on eBay. ICOM-756.
> 130 watts PEP, 55 watts deadkey AM. 1000's of "channels".
> Guess you guys with no life will have to go after all the HF rigs, not
> just the 10M junk.

4954. Re: Top-notch installers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 10:20:58 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92742236763CFsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 133982

cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter) wrote in
news:fef386d5.0208232026.6395049f@posting.google.com:

> "Scout's Dad" <president@whitehouse.gov> wrote in message
> news:<sav99.5985$z16.3455@news.webusenet.com>...
>> Hey All:
>>
>> Could someone please help me find a quality shop that does custom
>> installations. I'm wanting to install a high end CB radio in a new
>> vehicle and want to find a shop that does custom work. Anywhere
>> between Orange County (Southern Los Angeles) and Fresno, California
>> would be just fine.
>>
>> Preferably this shop would have a reasonable selection of late model
>> CB's in stock, and know how to tune it once it's installed.
>>
>> Mahalo,
>>
>> Scout's Dad
>
> i know this is a stupid question...but why don't you do it
> yourself......it really isn't that hard you know.....

I was having a heck of a time mounting my Galaxy in my car. I couldn't find a place for it. There just aren't that many places to put a radio in a 2000 Dodge Neon (any sympathizers out there?). Man I want to cuss those engineers, sometimes :) How I ended up owning such a pussy car is another post altogether. Hint: my wife had it first.

Anyway, I had the radio velcro'd to the top of the dash for a while. This was obviously pretty stupid, but it's all I could think of. The sun beat down on it and made the radio too hot to handle (rim shot). Also, it would've no doubt become a projectile in an accident.

I'm notoriously cheap. I needed a fresh perspective on how to go about mounting this thing, but I didn't want to fork over one red cent to have a shop do it. My brother in law is pretty inventive, but even he didn't have an idea. The tech at my local radio shop hadn't a clue. He went as far as to say it was impossible to mount most CB's in a Neon. (!!!) I took it to my motorcycle builder. I figured, "Hey. He worked in body shops for years, and builds snazzy custom bikes. He ought to have a good idea".

I was right. He pulled out a cubby that was mounted in the dash near the floor. He then carved up the dash a little to make room for the radio. He has the coolest tools for this sort of stuff. I probably would've used an

X-Acto or something and butchered everything. The best part is, he did it in such a way as to allow me to easily put the dash back the way it was - with the dash carving completely invisible - should I ever sell the car. I paid the going rate for a radio install by a custom motorcycle builder in the central valley of California: I bought him a twelve pack.

Next project: We're going to put a hole in the roof of the car to mount the Wilson 1000 up right. The mag mount has caused more damage than a hole would have. (I can hear some of you going "Well, duh!") I know, I know. I knew better than to use a mag mount the way I did, but I was to chicken to drill a hole in my new car. He is also going to fix the damage caused by the mag mount (small crease in the roof, and damge to the clear-coat). For this, I believe the standard fee is approximately two cases of beer.

See, who needs a shop? Just hit up people you know (and buy 'em beer). :)

Alan S.

4955. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 11:21:07 UTC
From: n2uhc@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3442abbf.0208240321.662b5d3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133983

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208231626.4b28f13d@posting.google.com>...

> Nonsense! That statement has already been disproven. You claimed that
> the said radios were "illegal" and made no exemption for amateur use.
> You even argued with me and Tom Sevart when we said otherwise. You
> were wrong. Admit it. You really have no choice; the entire thread is
> there for anyone to read, and if they do they will easily see your
> turn-about in position.

It was, in fact, the third post in this very thread:

From: Aaron H. Voobner (ahvoobner@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-01 16:21:34 PST

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>There is nothing illegal about a Uniden HR2510
>- IF it is listed unter amateur radio.

Wrong. See below.

>It IS illegal for CB use. But since when has that ever
>mattered to Aanyone other than Aanal Aaron?
>Who isn't a licensed Aamateur and can't use 10 metres
>Aanyhow?

Irrelevant.

>The HR2510 is perfectly legal for 10 metre use.

Wrong again. See below.

>Aanal Aaron is not legal on ANY band!

You sound like Dave & Debbie now.

-Aaron-

ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST

<snip>

4956. Re: Amp forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 11:25:04 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020824072504.00607.00002639@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 133984

well i think everyone knows by now what i'm selling if you've been on this newgroup since the past week

Thatz-me-JP

4957. Re: Amp forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 11:58:10 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <mxK99.2064$p%3.128892@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133985

JP seems to think we all know what he's talking about.
Let's humor him...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020824072504.00607.00002639@mb-cf.aol.com...
> well i think everyone knows by now what i'm selling if you've been on this
> newgroup since the past week
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

4958. Re: hey jerry!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 12:12:56 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208240412.75767812@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133986

Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:<ak6a2g$38i$6@quark.scn.rain.com>...
> Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net> wrote:
> > Hay Tim I think your right hay maybe he is A wannabe fed? those are the
> > worst kind and the fcc are tired of hearing from him so they just dismiss it
> > anyways ,all we have to do is wait A little while and ham radio will die and
> > the feds will turn those frequencies over to us anyways that's what bugging
> > him it's just a thought .
>
> Fat chance. If the FCC decides to expand the CB frequencies at all, it
> will be in the VHF or UHF range, or even higher. There is no way that they
> will assign any more HF frequencies - except possibly those between
> 27.405 and 27.999.

In a way, they've already done so. It's called GMRS and/or FRS. Nice,
quiet UHF frequencies (462 MHz). Few idiots and no idiot toys.

> The FCC has wished for over 30 years that they had never created the CB
> band on 11 meters. When the intended purpose was local communications, it
> was a big mistake to do so.

They made a huge blunder when they placed the Citizens Radio Service
on 11 meters, for sure. Then they showed their ignorance of physics by
making it "illegal" to talk more than 155.3 miles; on a band where
it's next to impossible NOT to do so most of the time. I'm sure they
have regreted the decision ever since. But hey, it's not MY mistake.
And they won't acknowledge it by amending (or deleting) the Rule.

Sorta like Aanal Aaron, who will not acknowledge the fact that he's
changed his tune several times in the thread about so-called "illegal"
ten meter radios!

4959. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 12:15:35 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208240415.1b87adfe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 133987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Ted K" <DallasFreebandClub@DallasFreebandersClub.org> wrote in message news:<ak5pt7$1bv$0@pita.alt.net>...
> Here in Dallas a General can be had for a crisp $50 bill.
> Just get on 27585 and ask what test sessions have VECs
> who give "special" tests.
>
> Ted K

That's too much money! For less than $10 you can take the no-code test. Then you can be just like Aanal Aaron!

4960. Re: What causes squeal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 12:18:04 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <0QK99.2079$p%3.132157@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 133988

He's right... there are a few things you can try:
1.) Cut the mic gain. This will have a tendancy to stabilize the amplifier
in the mic that is oscillating.
2.) Try bypass capacitors at strategic points within the microphone.
3.) Reduce the RF field that is entering the mic. That means cut your power
or move the radiating antenna away from the mic if possible.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_Amplifier.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> It's obvious that the extra power is causing some feedback, my guess
> would be into the microphone. Make sure the audio wire is well
> shielded, but you could be getting RF into the microphone itself. If
> that's the case, try another mike or do away with the linear amp. Of
> course they aren't legal to use, but you didn't need me to tell you
> that.
>
> Tom

4961. Re: Amp forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 13:16:00 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <ak80uu02b3e@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133994

"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020823181921.03903.00002444@mb-fn.aol.com...
> Brand NEW in the Bubble wrapped box, Works very nicely....i'll take $125
for
> it, that includes shipping costs.....thanks
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

According to what you've posted so far, all four posts have been precisely
the same.
All four lack the basic information required to make a sale.
Such as type of amp, linear or audio?
Made by whom? Model number is?
What's the power source 110 ac or 12v dc?
Input driving power required and output power is what?

He y wait a sec, let me call miss cleo.

4962. Re: Amp forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 13:16:15 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20020824091615.14960.00001849@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 133989

He is selling a Dave Made M-80 amp. It was in his original post and was WAY over priced. He dropped the price on it to $125 shipped.
Train

>Subject: Re: Amp forsale
>From: "Brian Griffey" briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
>Date: 8/24/02 7:58 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <mxK99.2064$p%3.128892@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
>
>JP seems to think we all know what he's talking about.
>Let's humor him...
>
>--
>|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>Brian Griffey
>http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_REV_ORG_BROCHURE.doc
>|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
>"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20020824072504.00607.00002639@mb-cf.aol.com...
>> well i think everyone knows by now what i'm selling if you've been on this
>> newgroup since the past week
>>
>>
>> Thatz-me-JP
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4963. Re: FS.Cobra 2000 GTL +D104 Base Power Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 13:19:02 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20020824091902.14960.00001850@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 133990

I like the Elkin 4 tuber you got sitting next to it!
Train

4964. Re: How do I ground my system?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 13:23:35 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <ak81d502c6a@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 133996

"Dennis B." <dennisandcarol@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:AmD99.141682$983.218119@rwcrnsc53...
> I just set up a home base cb and the antenna is mounted on a tv tower. I'm
> wondering if someone could tell me the best way to ground the antenna or
> direct me to a web site that has the information. Also does grounding
affect
> the SWR or the signal strength?
> Thanks.

I had the dubious distinction of installing a 110 ft rohn 25 tower in my
yard once.
We shoved a copper rod down into the ground right beside it.
Then ran an extra heavy duty welding cable from the tower to the rod.
Within an hour of it being completed, it got hit by lightning.
We checked the cable and the casing got split open so we know it worked.

For swr, I doubt that at level of power it would make much difference.
I never had any of mine earth grounded and still had a 1.2:1 swr or better.

4965. Re: How do I ground my system?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 13:29:12 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ISL99.338510$q53.10856148@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133992

"Dennis B." <dennisandcarol@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:AmD99.141682$983.218119@rwcrnsc53...
> I just set up a home base cb and the antenna is mounted on a tv tower. I'm
> wondering if someone could tell me the best way to ground the antenna or
> direct me to a web site that has the information. Also does grounding
affect
> the SWR or the signal strength?
> Thanks.
>
>
>
>
http://www.hard-core-dx.com/nordicdx/antenna/ground/ground1.html

4966. Re: Top-notch installers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 13:30:19 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <9g2fmuc222m2o2c9lm01e6f0h39odgrkv6@4ax.com>
Source record: 133991

It WAS a stupid question--he didn't want to do it himself--he wanted
it done right! Those of us who have installed them for years have a
BIG edge on those drunk buddies of yours that are just installers
wannaBeez!
Dave!!!

On 23 Aug 2002 21:26:15 -0700, cheesecutter@webhideout.com
(chessecutter) wrote:

>"Scout's Dad" <president@whitehouse.gov> wrote in message
news:<sav99.5985$z16.3455@news.webusenet.com>...
>> Hey All:
>>
>> Could someone please help me find a quality shop that does custom
>> installations. I'm wanting to install a high end CB radio in a new vehicle
>> and want to find a shop that does custom work. Anywhere between Orange
>> County (Southern Los Angeles) and Fresno, California would be just fine.
>>
>> Preferably this shop would have a reasonable selection of late model CB's in
>> stock, and know how to tune it once it's installed.
>>
>> Mahalo,
>>
>> Scout's Dad
>
>i know this is a stupid question...but why don't you do it
>yourself......it really isn't that hard you know.....

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4967. Re: FS.Cobra 2000 GTL +D104 Base Power Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 13:32:15 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <zVL99.338522$q53.10855881@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133993

It's also not proper to top-post.

BTW, the radio is also for sale.

"Dr. John (of Life)" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:8hz99.428$ij7.3208@eagle.america.net...
> If you are auctioning something, it is proper netiquette to not put FS
(For
> SALE), instead put FA (For AUCTION)!
>
> John
>
> "HENDERSON928" <henderson928@cs.com> wrote in message
> news:20020823175845.20175.00002656@mb-ms.news.cs.com...
> > Please look at my auction:
> >
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1375754678&ssPageName=A
> > DME:B:LC:US:1
>
>

4968. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 13:38:28 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <o%L99.338544$q53.10857147@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 133995

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208231626.4b28f13d@posting.google.com...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208191903.6d2372d6@posting.google.com>...
> > Tom Sevart wrote:
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
> > news:c9ceae5b.0208162249.5e60ebd4@posting.google.com...
> > > >
> > >> http://hotspotcb.com/cbshop/connex.html
> > > >These only go to 28.305. Not a very appealing to a ham are they?
> > >
> > >They could probably be modified to operate from 28.000 - 29.700.
> >
> > Do you think this is their intended market?
> >
> > >Even if it wasn't, it could still be used as a CW transceiver,
> > >or even a beacon transmitter. If I had one, that's what I'd use
> > >it for.
> >
> > I don't think the "General Grant" has a CW key jack, Tom. :)
> >
> > > >LOL. I don't think even you can tell me (with a straight face) that
> > > >this is "a ham radio":
> >
> > >If a ham is using it on the 10 meter band, then it's a ham radio.
> > >Regardless, you still don't seem to get my point
> >
> > Again, licensed amateurs freedom from type acceptance was never
> > disputed by me, and continues to be a non-issue.
>
> Nonsense! That statement has already been disproven. You claimed that
> the said radios were "illegal" and made no exemption for amateur use.
> You even argued with me and Tom Sevart when we said otherwise. You
> were wrong. Admit it. You really have no choice; the entire thread is
> there for anyone to read, and if they do they will easily see your
> turn-about in position.

Yup, yup, yup! It's there.

4969. Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 14:07:01 UTC
From: Scott <scott@ovis.net>
Message-ID: <9qM99.16519$_91.258@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 133997

I see that most amps are for 28.000 - 29.700, at least this is what the manufactures state. Now does this just mean that they seem to work better in this freq. range? If this does not hold true then any amp that you can buy will work on the AM side, right?

Scott

4970. Re: How do I ground my system?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 14:10:23 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D6793BB.8E0A8047@icok.net>
Source record: 133998

Dennis,
The primary reason for grounding is electrical safety,
RF grounding being secondary in most cases. Take a trip
to your local library to look at a copy of the NEC (National
Electrical Codes) about it. There's a lot more to grounding
than you might think, and the NEC will tell you almost every-
thing you need to know (I'm too lazy to type it all here).
'Doc

4971. Re: Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 14:20:12 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020824102012.20408.00002502@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 133999

>From: "Scott" scott@ovis.net
>I see that most amps are for 28.000 - >29.700, at least this is what the
>manufactures state. Now does this just >mean that they seem to work better in
>this freq. range?
Scott, they are called 10 meter amps and
they will usually work between 26-29 MHZ no problem. However some are more
sensitive to the frequency they were designed for, this is very rare. Most amps
will work fine on AM, SSB requires a delay.
PS. Be prepared for a dickhead name Aaron(ious) to flame your post...he's an
anal cheeswiz!!!!!!

"Got RF?"

4972. Re: How do I ground my system?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 14:41:21 UTC
From: Tom Bridge - Doc <t.bridge@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <lWM99.4647$1v6.4265@nwrddc01.gnilink.net>
Source record: 134000

Hay Dennis if the tower is grounded then the antenna is grounded , and the
swr shouldn't be a affected unless something is wrong with the coax.
--
Best Regards!
- Doc -
http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/

"Dennis B." <dennisandcarol@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:AmD99.141682$983.218119@rwcrnsc53...
> I just set up a home base cb and the antenna is mounted on a tv tower. I'm
> wondering if someone could tell me the best way to ground the antenna or
> direct me to a web site that has the information. Also does grounding
affect
> the SWR or the signal strength?
> Thanks.
>
>
>
>

4973. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 14:41:48 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D679B23.34753746@icok.net>
Source record: 134001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Tom,
I realize this is more troll than anything, but I'd
like to correct a few misconceptions you seem to have.
Back 40 or 50 years ago, people were as busy then as
they are now. There were different forms of entertainment,
and for the time, they were as well thought of (equaling
thinking with TV is sort of an oxymoron) as the forms
available now. Wait a few years, what's popular now will
be 'old' and quaint like what you think happened 'way back
then'.
The requirement to know Morse code is part of the licensing
process for privileges below 30 Mhz by international treaty.
Until those international treaties are changed, it's gonna
be there. The level of proficiency for the code has been
reduced to 'childs play' now. If you can't learn it in a week
or two, you are just not trying. It does require effort though,
and if your not willing to put out that little amount of effort,
well, you don't really want a license very much, and why should
it be given to you? Try it, you may be very suprized to find
out that it can be a lot of fun. If you have time for CB radio,
then you have time for ham radio.
I wonder where you got the idea that amateur radio is dyeing,
because it certainly isn't. The number of hams has never been
very large and considering that the radio spectrum is a limited
resource (they ain't making no more new frequencies), and that
the bands are crowded as is, who the devil needs a bunch of new
hams, where you gonna put them? As far as joining the 21st
century,
name one mode of communications that hams ~can't~ do if they want
to.
CW, all forms of voice communications, digital, TV, satellite
stuff,
and I don't know how much more, but you name it, they can do it.
So, if you want to find excuses for not getting a license,
that's
fine. If you want to believe amateur radio is dying, if that
makes
you feel better, then that's fine too. I have a suspicion that
it'll
be around a lot longer than you or I will be.
'Doc

4974. Re: Francis Whips

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 14:46:35 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <f%M99.129$uA2.14021867@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134002

"Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D673F29.4161799D@citlink.net...
> I forgot to add that for height considerations useing heavy wall copper
tubeing you
> can use 4 1/2 ' or what ever of 3/4" and solder on a 1/2" reducer and add
a top
> portion of 1/2" that makes it handy for matching too.You use a hacksaw to
split the
> reducer and then put a hose clamp around it to tighten and hold the 1/2"
top portion
> of the ant,The tubeing being of larger diameter than a normal whip also
give you
> better band width.
>
> "Arthur R. Howard" wrote:
>
> > Jes go down to the hardware store and get a 10' piece of heavy wall
copper
> > tubeing and make your own damn almost unlimited RF 1/4 wave mobile
> > vertical...It's not like it is hard to do.And then you can solder on
radials and
> > top hats that do nothing but will impress the hell out of the big coil
> > bunch...LOL..orrrr you could do as I have done...and I have been playing
the
> > mobile antenna game for a long tom...a very effective setup that I use
is one of
> > the folding Hustler masts with a wilson 1000 on top.............I know
the
> > theory guys are going to bust my @ss here but it does have advantages
over a 1/4
> > wave whip.And it has been my experience that if you think antenna theory
is
> > etched in stone somewhere you have not been building enough antennas

Interesting.

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

4975. Re: legitimate users of the 25-30mhz spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 14:46:36 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <g%M99.131$uA2.14021867@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134003

"Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D6735A1.97FBA3A2@citlink.net...
> Bet you dont have a clue what is on 29.995 do ya?Let me give you as
clue.......It is
> big,has wings and a big blue round seal on the
side.....................

Oh please! Like Airforce One uses anything
other than normal air traffic control freqs and
secure satellite uplink systems.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

4976. Re: Top-notch installers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 14:46:36 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <g%M99.130$uA2.14021867@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134004

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns92742236763CFsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> cheesecutter@webhideout.com (chessecutter) wrote in
> news:fef386d5.0208232026.6395049f@posting.google.com:
>
> > "Scout's Dad" <president@whitehouse.gov> wrote in message
> > news:<sav99.5985$z16.3455@news.webusenet.com>...
> >> Hey All:
> >>
> >> Could someone please help me find a quality shop that does custom
> >> installations. I'm wanting to install a high end CB radio in a new
> >> vehicle and want to find a shop that does custom work. Anywhere
> >> between Orange County (Southern Los Angeles) and Fresno, California
> >> would be just fine.
> >>
> >> Preferably this shop would have a reasonable selection of late model
> >> CB's in stock, and know how to tune it once it's installed.
> >>
> >> Mahalo,
> >>
> >> Scout's Dad
> >
> > i know this is a stupid question...but why don't you do it
> > yourself......it really isn't that hard you know.....
>
> I was having a heck of a time mounting my Galaxy in my car. I couldn't
> find a place for it. There just aren't that many places to put a radio in
> a 2000 Dodge Neon (any sympathizers out there?). Man I want to cuss those
> engineers, sometimes :) How I ended up owning such a pussy car is another
> post altogether. Hint: my wife had it first.
>
> Anyway, I had the radio velcro'd to the top of the dash for a while. This
> was obviously pretty stupid, but it's all I could think of. The sun beat
> down on it and made the radio too hot to handle (rim shot). Also, it
> would've no doubt become a projectile in an accident.
>
> I'm notoriously cheap. I needed a fresh perspective on how to go about
> mounting this thing, but I didn't want to fork over one red cent to have
> a shop do it. My brother in law is pretty inventive, but even he didn't
> have an idea. The tech at my local radio shop hadn't a clue. He went as
> far as to say it was impossible to mount most CB's in a Neon. (!!!) I
> took it to my motorcycle builder. I figured, "Hey. He worked in body
> shops for years, and builds snazzy custom bikes. He ought to have a good
> idea".

>
> I was right. He pulled out a cubby that was mounted in the dash near the
> floor. He then carved up the dash a little to make room for the radio. He
> has the coolest tools for this sort of stuff. I probably would've used an
> X-Acto or something and butchered everything. The best part is, he did it
> in such a way as to allow me to easily put the dash back the way it was -
> with the dash carving completely invisible - should I ever sell the car.
> I paid the going rate for a radio install by a custom motorcycle builder
> in the central valley of California: I bought him a twelve pack.
>
> Next project: We're going to put a hole in the roof of the car to mount
> the Wilson 1000 up right. The mag mount has caused more damage than a
> hole would have. (I can hear some of you going "Well, duh!") I know, I
> know. I knew better than to use a mag mount the way I did, but I was to
> chicken to drill a hole in my new car. He is also going to fix the damage
> caused by the mag mount (small crease in the roof, and damge to the
> clear-coat). For this, I believe the standard fee is approximately two
> cases of beer.
>
> See, who needs a shop? Just hit up people you know (and buy 'em beer). :)
>
> Alan S.

LOL! Pretty funny.

Landshark

--
"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young

4977. Re: How do I ground my system?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 14:57:38 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <C9N99.167720$2p2.7453909@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134005

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:ISL99.338510$q53.10856148@twister.austin.rr.com...
> http://www.hard-core-dx.com/nordicdx/antenna/ground/ground1.html

Randy, just like you that site sucks for grounding info.

4978. Two VHF-FM w/CTSS (Multi-Use Radio Service)Radios for swap:

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 15:03:16 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <d18fmu4bgcbb6ab3rhi2jm2tikomldndcv@4ax.com>
Source record: 134006

Two VHF-FM w/CTSS (Multi-Use Radio Service)Radios for swap:

I have TWO of these in MINT Condition,comes with the power
cords.mics,and a manual.

Looking to trade for a nice scanner or 10-meter rig HR2510/2600,Galaxy
DX-99,DX-88, etc.

VHF business 2-way radio has 38-tone CTCSS to cut interference from
others using the same frequency in your area. It comes pre-programmed
with 9 user-settable VHF business frequencies and can be programmed by
a RadioShack Service Center to nearly any VHF business frequency from
150.775 to 157.740MHz.

Product Features:

You can select 2-watt power for maximum range or 600mW power to
conserve the battery.
Has jacks for adding earphone or speaker/microphone and an alternate
antenna.
Size: 5ï¿½1/2x2ï¿½3/8x19/16 ".

For business users of VHF Business Band radios, the FCC has created
the MURS (Multi-Use Radio Service) for use with the following five
frequencies:

Operate license-free on the following frequencies:

151.820 MHz
151.880 MHz
151.940 MHz
154.570 MHz
154.600 MHz

FCC license required for the following frequencies:

151.625 MHz
151.700 MHz
151.760 MHz

Power is automatically reduced to 1 watt on channels specified by FCC.
Can be set by user to any two of these VHF color dot business
frequencies: 151.625, 151.700, 151.760, 151.820, 151.880, 151.940,
151.955, 154.570 or 154.600MHz.

Police/fire frequencies require a letter of authorization on the
letterhead from appropriate chief of police or fire department.

Set to 1 of 9 "color dot" frequencies or can be set by RadioShack
Service Center to almost any business frequency that you have a
license to use.

4979. Swap For DX-88 or Ranger 2970

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 15:03:42 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <t18fmukep65up8m7drepgigdtj0jdb64hr@4ax.com>
Source record: 134007

http://users.adelphia.net/~sky444/album.htm

4980. Re: What causes squeal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 15:26:07 UTC
From: punisha413fl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020824112607.08168.00002398@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 134008

SINCE YOU DIDNT USE THE MIC IN OVER A YEAR CHECK THE BATTERY IN IT...

4981. Richard Cranium Suxs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 15:46:02 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <d472150f22d83c3bb4d7b3cb57ac0cf5@xganon.com>
Source record: 134009

LOL He is a wanna be Ham and AA has put him in his place.

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

4982. Re: Top-notch installers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 15:46:05 UTC
From: Scout's Dad <president@whitehouse.gov>
Message-ID: <9WN99.6566$z16.4884@news.webusenet.com>
Source record: 134010

Thanks everyone for your input. I've been looking at "The Solder Joint", in Orange, CA., and may go there today if they're open on Saturdays.

http://www.thesolderjoint.com/index.html

They sound like they're very experienced, and they do custom auto installs @ $60/Hr.

I tried "FG Best Communications" in Ontario but when I called them they said they don't do installs. In answer to one persons question about why I don't do it myself, I guess it's because I haven't screwed around with CB's since 1975, and I've found that it's sometimes better to spend my time making money and using that money to pay a professional, than it is to shoot from the hip. I started out my career as an automotive mechanic, and I surely don't mind having my hand in, but in this case I believe that paying those guys for one or two hours to get it right the first time is going to be worth it. I think that I'm going to have them do a "temporary install" since I don't know where I really want to put it. I'll make sure that the wires are long enough to move around if need be, and then eventually I'll pay a visit to a dashboard fabrication specialist and have it dash installed. There's a good spot there to do it but I don't trust a CB shop to get that right.

I'm leaning towards a Cobra "148 NW ST - AM/SSB", and whatever antennae they suggest. It would need to be an antennae that could be removed easily. My Suburban already has enough trouble fitting under garages and things. I don't know if there's a good spot to mount on the roof anyway because the metal on these new 'Burbs is extremely thin. It saves weight for sure, but you have to be very careful how you stress it or it'll warp like foil. :(

Thanks All,

Scout's Dad

"Scout's Dad" <president@whitehouse.gov> wrote in message
news:sav99.5985$z16.3455@news.webusenet.com...
> Hey All:
>
> Could someone please help me find a quality shop that does custom
> installations. I'm wanting to install a high end CB radio in a new
vehicle
> and want to find a shop that does custom work. Anywhere between Orange
> County (Southern Los Angeles) and Fresno, California would be just fine.
>
> Preferably this shop would have a reasonable selection of late model CB's
in

> stock, and know how to tune it once it's installed.
>
> Mahalo,
>
> Scout's Dad
>
>
>
>
>

4983. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 15:53:51 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020824115351.03647.00002348@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 134011

makes him about even with a 100 watt base station! nothing more. he still cant hear too good.

>>
>1200 is a lot of help for a portable.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4984. Re: legitimate users of the 25-30mhz spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 15:58:49 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020824115849.03647.00002349@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 134012

sorry but i think your mistaken. in the evening times you can still hear them buzzing like bee's on 26.720lsb which is there channel 35.

>> Didn't NZ change their CB band to the standard 40 a few (maybe ten)
>> years back?
>>
>> They used to be one megahertz lower and couldn't use beam antennas,
>> but I seem to recall all that got changed.
>>
>> Anybody?
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4985. Re: Antron99 idea for Quadplex dweller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 16:00:17 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <l4O99.153083$983.229213@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 134013

To be honest I don't know how much difference it will make. I picked up both for less than 100 bucks plus a few other miscellaneous items. The only shop in my area that doesn't have a name like "Chicken Lips CB's and stuff" is going out of business so everything is on sale until they close their doors on Oct 4th. I'd be willing to bet that on the top of a 30 foot mast you won't care weather you have the kit or not. I finally decided to put mine on a 20 foot mast on the top of my roof. Just need to get it up and done before the neighbors see me or the antenna, he he.

Dave

"Earl" <earlebyrd@sun.up> wrote in message news:9buemucarnruume14ai1uuq7k767agp1fh@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 24 Aug 2002 03:09:28 GMT, "Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
> >I just picked up an Antron 99 with the ground plane kit for my base set up.
>
> I just bought one myself but w/o the ground plane kit. It cost as
> much as the antenna. Since this kit is an option, and thus not
> needed, what's the advantage of using it? Will it make that much
> difference? My antenna will be mounted on a 30 foot mast.
>

4986. Re: Antron99 idea for Quadplex dweller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 16:01:16 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020824120116.03647.00002353@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 134014

with 4 watts and you modulation limiter intact and not clipped you'll be fine!!!

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4987. Re: Top-notch installers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 16:03:33 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020824120333.03647.00002356@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 134015

get a grant xl, its a much better and sturdier version of the ole and most desirable 148's. the qaulity on the new ones has went way down since being made in malaysia

>
>I'm leaning towards a Cobra "148 NW ST - AM/SSB", and whatever antennae they
>suggest. It would need to be an antennae that could be removed easily

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

4988. Handheld CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 16:37:10 UTC
From: Intruder <MI_intruder@softhome.net>
Message-ID: <ak8cih$1ga338$1@ID-129195.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134016

I purchased a handheld CB to use while riding my motorcycle solo. I encounter engine static. Whenever the bike's rev increases , the static on the radio increases. Of course, when bike is not running, I receive clear reception. Anyone know what I can do to eliminate this ?

Thank you.

4989. (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 16:50:13 UTC
From: Niemand Nirgendwo <anonymous@cotsebay.cotse.net>
Message-ID: <KGZ1I28P37492.5348842593@anonymous.poster>
Source record: 134017

for not obeying the rules bwaaaaaaaaaaaaahaaaaaaaaahaaaaaaaaaaahaaaaaaaaaaaaahaaaaaaaaaa
aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaahaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaahaaaaaa
aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaahaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaahaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaahaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa
aaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

4990. Re: USA Truck Wash?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 17:00:05 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3D67BB98.88104B8B@attbi.com>
Source record: 134018

The correct answer is Texas. Mile 738 is located on I-10 on the Katy Freeway in west Houston. Mile 785 is an exit on the East Freeway, east of Houston. Nevada and South Dakota may seem large, but the highway would have to zigzag the state several times to account for that kind of highway distance! I-5 in Califolrnia runs into Oregon at 796, but there aren't exits or much of anything else at 738 or 785.

Kenneth wrote:

> Most states have gone to matching the exit numbers to the mile markers. Oa
> did it a year ago.
> That narrows the search somewhat since there are few states that can
> have a 700 mile long interstate. I'm thinking Texas may be the only state
> but I could be wrong.
> Sincerely
> Ken
> "www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
> news:20020820213718.10182.00002292@mb-fe.aol.com...
> > exit 738 or 785? anybody know where this is? i'm not hearing any dx from
> > anywhere on the band except channel 19 where this one station keeps
> advertising
> > the USA Truck Wash. i'm thinking he must be running some monster ass
> station to
> > be the absolutely last station anywhere on the band. he's lasted even
> longer
> > than the guys on the superbowl.
> >
> >
> >
> > Tim Nebo
> >
> > http://www.TimNebo.com
> >
> >

4991. FS. CD-Antennas and More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 17:06:52 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <M2P99.2329$p%3.159750@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134019

Plans on how to make your own base and mobile antennas, from quarter waves
to beams, quads, and MORE.
Understanding coax, swr's, line loss, co-phasing, grounding and MORE.
CD also includes tuning and modifications on just about every cb as well as
most 10 meter radios and MORE
Mic wiring to all popular cbs, D104, Sedelata, Turner, standard and of
course MORE.
PLL convertions, adding talk-back, swing kits, roger beeps and MORE
Packed with pictures, how toos, parts and MORE.
email for more info, over 100 megs of info in easy to understand format,
carefully organized for EASY reference.

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

4992. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 17:08:18 UTC
From: Gary Danaher <gdanaher@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3D67BD85.3E4780CB@attbi.com>
Source record: 134020

You want to measure the swr of the antenna. This can be done at the feed point of the antenna or at the end of the length of coax, generally without regard to its length. Coax should be long enough to attach the antenna to the transceiver without having to obstruct the drivers vision.

Coax Length Police wrote:

> In article <3D63E5CE.3C953917@austin.rr.com>,
> BillS <BillS@austin.rr.com> wrote:
>
> > You're correct that coax length does not affect the SWR of the system. But
> > length can certainly affect your ability to measure the SWR of the system
> > accurately IF the swr meter is not located at the transmission point or at
> > the feed point. IE, if you insert an inline SWR meter with coax jumpers,
> > the length of those jumpers will change the SWR indicated on your meter.
> > Use jumpes of 1/2 wavelength to measure SWR with an inline meter, tune your
> > antenna for optimum, then use the shortes coax length possible to feed the
> > antenna.
> >
> > http://www.wenzel.com/documents/swr.html
> >
> > BillS
>
> Who says an SWR meter must be at half-wavelengths for an accurate
> reading? I disagree. The article you reference says no such thing.
> It does say the cable will transform the impedance but it does not
> say the SWR will change.
>
> You can accurately measure SWR at any length.

4993. Re: Top-notch installers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 17:19:08 UTC
From: Scout's Dad <president@whitehouse.gov>
Message-ID: <ohP99.6667$z16.100@news.webusenet.com>
Source record: 134021

HI Guys:

I hate to see this cause a problem. Here's the math...

First, I make $125/Hr, and they charge me $60/Hr.

It takes them 1 hour to do it right.

In that 1 hour I've lost $125 wages, and paid $60 labor. I'm out $185.

If I do it myself it would take me *AT LEAST* two hours. So I'm out $250. 250 minus 185 is 65. That's how much I'll save letting them do it. And I get to watch and learn.

Obviously the equation's more complex than that. There's driving times, whether or not I'm billable, etc. But in the long run it usually pays to swallow my pride and let a professional do it. If I *WANT* to do it I do it. If it's not a big deal I let someone else do it.

I'll just stick to doing my rocket science..... and to one day at a time.

Scout's Dad

"Earl" <earlebyrd@sun.up> wrote in message
news:3ucfmugan5pr1qm7mmr1od8n38c0st9qg2@4ax.com...
> >It WAS a stupid question--he didn't want to do it himself--he wanted
> >it done right! Those of us who have installed them for years have a
> >BIG edge on those drunk buddies of yours that are just installers
> >wannaBeez!
>
> BS! It ain't rocket science. Just about *any* BIG dummy can do it
> and do it right...if they really want to, drunk or sober. There's no
> such thing as a "wannabee" installer.
>

4994. Re: Top-notch installers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 17:50:43 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <THP99.169944$2p2.7567309@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134022

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Scout's Dad" <president@whitehouse.gov> wrote in message
news:ohP99.6667$z16.100@news.webusenet.com...
> HI Guys:
>
> I hate to see this cause a problem. Here's the math...
>
> First, I make $125/Hr, and they charge me $60/Hr.
>
> It takes them 1 hour to do it right.
>
> In that 1 hour I've lost $125 wages, and paid $60 labor. I'm out $185.
>
> If I do it myself it would take me *AT LEAST* two hours. So I'm out
$250.
> 250 minus 185 is 65. That's how much I'll save letting them do it. And I
> get to watch and learn.

Are you an accountant or a lawyer ? I like the way you tried to use the
numbers why not do it off of work hours, or do you work every minute of
every day? It wouldn't cost you a thing, 2 hours at $0 = $0 the -$65 dollar
figure is only applicable if you want to do it during your billable hours
and figuring it would take you 2 hours. You said you used to be a mechanic
then you should have some skills with your hands you are only installing a
cb radio not anything requiring your rocket science degree.

4995. Re: Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 18:19:03 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umfjhbskusnb19@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134023

This is just semantics; the fact that 11 meter amps are illegal simply means that the manufactures state they are selling a 10 meter amp (where they are legal). Many solid state amps can cover around a 2:1 frequency range before problems set it. Now, please put on your asbestos long johns as flames are likely to erupt! ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

Scott <scott@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:9qM99.16519$_91.258@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> I see that most amps are for 28.000 - 29.700, at least this is what the
> manufactures state. Now does this just mean that they seem to work better
in
> this freq. range? If this does not hold true then any amp that you can buy
> will work on the AM side, right?
>
> Scott
>
>

4996. Re: How do I ground my system?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 18:20:36 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umfjk9a0lsig55@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134024

The big deal for a tower is proper lightning protection. Once the proper ground bond is in to protect from the big spark, one can lay radials for a good rf ground. The most important thing here is safety.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message news:ak7c07$qm9$2@quark.scn.rain.com...
> Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>
> > Once I am ready to go on HF again (I'm close), I'll hire a personal friend
> > that I have also worked for. He does street lighting, signal lights, and
> > all kinds of power wiring (commercial - he got out of residential). He is a
> > licensed master electrician and had worked on ground systems to boot. I
> > trust him totally.
>
> Well, he will know the applicable electrical codes, and probably will
> understand the grounding for house wiring quite well.
>
> But that is no guarantee that he has the slightest idea about proper
> RF grounding.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

4997. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 18:33:42 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208241033.42f65e77@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134025

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D6698A5.1F8ED4D9@mailcity.net>...
> Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> >
> > And it's not even accurate! Amateurs don't have to comply with Part 95.
> >\
>
> Explain?

Amateur radio operates under Part 97, and Part 95 is for Citizen's
Band radio. Thus it's obvious that if we're talking about hams on the
ham bands, they don't need to be concerned about Part 95.

4998. Re: Amp forsale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 18:41:39 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208241041.6ed4d4ce@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134026

thatzmejp@aol.com (UknowMeJP) wrote in message
news:<20020823210430.00692.00002586@mb-cf.aol.com>...
> ok smart ass that doesnt know much about amps......it will swing 300 plus watts
> with a 25 watt swing with 15 volts ran to it, has RF PWR controller and on and
> off switch, have a nice day
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

How is he a "smart ass that doesn't know much about amps"? Your original post didn't give any details at all, other than it was wrapped in bubble-pak. You'll get more offers if you give more details. Like: Make and Model (if any), is it a bi-amp (with receive pre-amp), what power levels does it have, and little things like that.

Otherwise, it makes you a dumb ass that doesn't know much about ads, IMHO.

4999. Re: Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 18:48:17 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D67D4E7.F505F2@icok.net>
Source record: 134027

Scott,
Amplifiers are labeled for 28-29.7Mhz, the 10 meter
amateur band, so that they can be sold. As you and everyone
else knows, you can't make or sell an 11 meter amplifier.
So, that's just an advertising gimmic, a 'get around'.
All amplifiers are not built equally. Meaning that some
might possibly work 'better' on 10 meters rather than on
11 meters, just depends on how they're built, but on the
average, if it'll work in one place it'll work in the other.
Most amplifier will work okay on AM. That depends on the
'class' of amplifier it is. Without getting into all the
'why's and 'wherefore's, not all amplifiers will work well
on SSB.
'Doc

5000. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 18:50:54 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208241050.fb56563@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134028

"Randy" rchapman8@houston.rr.com
>Date: Sat, Aug 24, 2002 6:38 AM
>Message-id: <o%L99.338544$q53.10857147@twister.austin.rr.com>
>
>
>"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:c5ecbb69.0208231626.4b28f13d@posting.google.com...
>> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
>news:<c9ceae5b.0208191903.6d2372d6@posting.google.com>...
>> > Tom Sevart wrote:
>> > "Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
>> > news:c9ceae5b.0208162249.5e60ebd4@posting.google.com...
>> > > >
>> > >> http://hotspotcb.com/cbshop/connex.html
>> > > >These only go to 28.305. Not a very appealing to a ham are they?
>> > > >
>> > >They could probably be modified to operate from 28.000 - 29.700.
>> >
>> > Do you think this is their intended market?
>> >
>> > >Even if it wasn't, it could still be used as a CW transceiver,
>> > >or even a beacon transmitter. If I had one, that's what I'd use
>> > >it for.
>> >
>> > I don't think the "General Grant" has a CW key jack, Tom. :)
>> >
>> > > >LOL. I don't think even you can tell me (with a straight face) that
>> > > >this is "a ham radio":
>> >
>> > >If a ham is using it on the 10 meter band, then it's a ham radio.
>> > >Regardless, you still don't seem to get my point
>> >
>> > Again, licensed amateurs freedom from type acceptance was never
>> > disputed by me, and continues to be a non-issue.
>>
>> Nonsense! That statement has already been disproven. You claimed that
>> the said radios were "illegal" and made no exemption for amateur use.
>> You even argued with me and Tom Sevart when we said otherwise. You
>> were wrong. Admit it. You really have no choice; the entire thread is
>> there for anyone to read, and if they do they will easily see your
>> turn-about in position.
>
>
>Yup, yup, yup! It's there.

>

Guys, a bit of advice: this just makes you come off as "desperate".

-Aaron-

5001. Re: CB stuff I saw at swap meet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 19:13:40 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D67DAE4.D40AC81E@citlink.net>
Source record: 134030

Hmmmmm this guy is good...You could do psyhc profiles for the FBI

Randy wrote:

> "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:fbc7a2.0203021609.3f3d53ae@posting.google.com...
> > witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message
> news:<3aed93cc.0203020831.5b921662@posting.google.com>...
>
> > Funny that your most treasured reminiscinces include jamming. I think
> > what keeps me hangin around here is the fact that a lot of you guys
> > have a uniquely warped view of the radio hobby.
> >
> > -A
>
> Nah Aaron, what keeps you around is the same motivation that the nerdy
> little hall monitor had in grade school.
>
> -R-

5002. Re: Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 19:14:46 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <lPQ99.68884$pW1.14873@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134029

No, some amps. have specially tuned tank circuits and are designed to
resonate only on 10 meter band.
Generally speaking if it works on SSB then it will work on AM,
Class AB1 biasing is best for modulated AM but overdriving can change the
biasing causiong audio distortion and damage to the amp.
--
Mad Dog
"Scott" <scott@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:9qM99.16519$_91.258@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
> I see that most amps are for 28.000 - 29.700, at least this is what the
> manufactures state. Now does this just mean that they seem to work better
in
> this freq. range? If this does not hold true then any amp that you can buy
> will work on the AM side, right?
>
> Scott
>
>

5003. Re: Amp forsale...Hey JP ya moron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 19:18:19 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020824151819.02262.00003131@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 134031

Is that all u do Anonymous, is bruhahahah, you are so retarded....u probably want this amp so bad u cant stand it. i'll give it to ya for 5 cents with 124.95 shipping....dang this newsgroup cant quit making fun of people, whats the world coming too?

Thatz-me-JP

5004. Re: Antron99 idea for Quadplex dweller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 19:23:15 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D67DD23.51F374E6@citlink.net>
Source record: 134032

The ground plane kit is a joke and totally ineffective.

Earl wrote:

> On Sat, 24 Aug 2002 16:00:17 GMT, "Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
> >To be honest I don't know how much difference it will make. I picked up both
> >for less than 100 bucks plus a few other miscellaneous items. The only shop
> >in my area that doesn't have a name like "Chicken Lips CB's and stuff" is
> >going out of business so everything is on sale until they close their doors
> >on Oct 4th. I'd be willing to bet that on the top of a 30 foot mast you
> >won't care weather you have the kit or not. I finally decided to put mine on
> >a 20 foot mast on the top of my roof. Just need to get it up and done before
> >the neighbors see me or the antenna, he he.
> >Dave
>
> Thanks for the reply, Dave. Just wanted to know before I installed
> it 'cause it's a pain getting that mast down and up and secured.
>
> >>"Earl" <earlebyrd@sun.up> wrote
> >> I just bought one myself but w/o the ground plane kit. It cost as
> >> much as the antenna. Since this kit is an option, and thus not
> >> needed, what's the advantage of using it? Will it make that much
> >> difference? My antenna will be mounted on a 30 foot mast.

5005. Re: Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 19:39:43 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <3iR99.142$fx1.125223@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 134033

I must question the logic of this post. In the 70s Yeasu made the FT-101 series with 11 meters built in from 27.000 MHz to 27.500 MHz at around 80 watts stock. I know because I have one and I still get parse about how good the signal is. Everyone I know that has ever had one from the factory has got it with 11 meters in it and no mods have had to be done. I am just looking at Yeasu's add for the FL-7000 Solid-State Linear Amplifier and it covers from 160 meters to the top of 10 meters at 1200 watts so what is the problem???
About your comment about most amps working better on AM, I don't think so LOL. With CB and Air band being the only users of AM below 150 MHz besides broadcast stations it is not profitable for the manufactures to do that. I have never run into an AM only amp except when I was servicing a 308 foot broadcast station tower. That amp was designed to run at 570 KHz and AM only at 1000 and 10,000 watts. Most ham amps will run AM at about 1/2 the power of SSB and run cleaner, (less spurs and a smaller bandwidth).

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D67D4E7.F505F2@icok.net...
> Scott,
> Amplifiers are labeled for 28-29.7Mhz, the 10 meter
> amateur band, so that they can be sold. As you and everyone
> else knows, you can't make or sell an 11 meter amplifier.
> So, that's just an advertising gimmic, a 'get around'.
> All amplifiers are not built equally. Meaning that some
> might possibly work 'better' on 10 meters rather than on
> 11 meters, just depends on how they're built, but on the
> average, if it'll work in one place it'll work in the other.
> Most amplifier will work okay on AM. That depends on the
> 'class' of amplifier it is. Without getting into all the
> 'why's and 'wherefore's, not all amplifiers will work well
> on SSB.
> 'Doc

5006. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 19:45:56 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <UnR99.136955$Yd.6227895@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134034

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208241050.fb56563@posting.google.com...
> "Randy" rchapman8@houston.rr.com
> >Date: Sat, Aug 24, 2002 6:38 AM
> >Message-id: <o%L99.338544$q53.10857147@twister.austin.rr.com>
> >
> >
> >"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >news:c5ecbb69.0208231626.4b28f13d@posting.google.com...
> >> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
> >news:<c9ceae5b.0208191903.6d2372d6@posting.google.com>...
> >> > Tom Sevart wrote:
> >> > "Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
> >> > news:c9ceae5b.0208162249.5e60ebd4@posting.google.com...
> >> > > >
> >> > >> http://hotspotcb.com/cbshop/connex.html
> >> > > >These only go to 28.305. Not a very appealing to a ham are they?
> >> > >
> >> > >They could probably be modified to operate from 28.000 - 29.700.
> >> >
> >> > Do you think this is their intended market?
> >> >
> >> > >Even if it wasn't, it could still be used as a CW transceiver,
> >> > >or even a beacon transmitter. If I had one, that's what I'd use
> >> > >it for.
> >> >
> >> > I don't think the "General Grant" has a CW key jack, Tom. :)
> >> >
> >> > > >LOL. I don't think even you can tell me (with a straight face)
that
> >> > > >this is "a ham radio":
> >> >
> >> > >If a ham is using it on the 10 meter band, then it's a ham radio.
> >> > >Regardless, you still don't seem to get my point
> >> >
> >> > Again, licensed amateurs freedom from type acceptance was never
> >> > disputed by me, and continues to be a non-issue.
> >>
> >> Nonsense! That statement has already been disproven. You claimed that
> >> the said radios were "illegal" and made no exemption for amateur use.
> >> You even argued with me and Tom Sevart when we said otherwise. You
> >> were wrong. Admit it. You really have no choice; the entire thread is
> >> there for anyone to read, and if they do they will easily see your
> >> turn-about in position.

> >
> >
> >Yup, yup, yup! It's there.
> >
>
> Guys, a bit of advice: this just makes you come off as "desperate".
>
> -Aaron-

Oh puhleeze!

5007. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 19:52:33 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <5uR99.172$fx1.131080@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 134035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

The Tec class license doesn't require a code ticket so what are you too lazy to do the Tec test or just making excuses??? Ham dieing while it is reporting a 1% per year growth in both Canada and the US. In many countries they have had to add more prefixes to accommodate the growth. CB is what is dieing with many countries getting rid of the service all together and selling parts of 11 meters to commercial interests.

"Tom Bridge - Doc" <t.bridge@verizon.net> wrote in message news:ZMC99.755$1v6.255@nwrddc01.gnilink.net...
> Hello I have something to say about back 40/ 50 years ago you had allot more
> time on your hands lets face it all you did have is radio and books to keep
> you busy now look whets going on TV , computers, phones a wide selection of
> music and hobbies it seems so strange that they want more people to get into
> ham but they get join the 21st century being a radio teck and with ham radio
> in the house if you can't pickup a mike and say hello you take it to get it
> fixed so that you can. I know a lot of people that would make great hams but
> like me there isn't enough time in the day for that meanwhile ham radio is
> dyeing , well I have taken enough of your time chow.
> --
> Best Regards!
> - Doc -
> http://hr2510.homeip.net:2510/
>
> "Ted K" <DallasFreebandClub@DallasFreebandersClub.org> wrote in message
> news:ak5pt7$1bv$0@pita.alt.net...
> > Here in Dallas a General can be had for a crisp $50 bill.
> > Just get on 27585 and ask what test sessions have VECs
> > who give "special" tests.
> >
> > Ted K
> >
> > "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > news:9b362532596f19916c3910cb2af86731@xganon.com...
> > > NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
> > > No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.
> > > ----------

> > >
> > > I can't wait for CW to go away!
> > >
> > > Know where I can buy a General ticket?
> > >
> > > I'll pay extra for an extra!
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

5008. Re: Antron99 idea for Quadplex dweller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 19:53:11 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <HuR99.32732$Ke2.2300256@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134036

forget the ground plane kit. just stick it up as high as you can, above roof...enjoy, if you want, spray with primer, spray lightly with sky blue, nobody'll see it...

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

5009. Re: FS: 3CX3000A7 tube, socket & filament transformer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 20:04:53 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D67E6E5.4F867AFE@citlink.net>
Source record: 134037

ya got a pole transformer for the HV???????????
This post should turn Voobner purple.....LOL

The Tube Man wrote:

> FOR SALE: 1)Full power 3CX3000A7 2)Socket, grid ring, chimney
> assembly 3)New filament transformer for 3CX3000A7 $750 + shipping

5010. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 20:06:20 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns927485748EE62subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 134038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in news:3D679B23.34753746@icok.net:

> Tom,
> I realize this is more troll than anything, but I'd
> like to correct a few misconceptions you seem to have.
> Back 40 or 50 years ago, people were as busy then as
> they are now. There were different forms of entertainment,
> and for the time, they were as well thought of (equaling
> thinking with TV is sort of an oxymoron) as the forms
> available now. Wait a few years, what's popular now will
> be 'old' and quaint like what you think happened 'way back
> then'.
> The requirement to know Morse code is part of the licensing
> process for privileges below 30 Mhz by international treaty.
> Until those international treaties are changed, it's gonna
> be there. The level of proficiency for the code has been
> reduced to 'childs play' now. If you can't learn it in a week
> or two, you are just not trying. It does require effort though,
> and if your not willing to put out that little amount of effort,
> well, you don't really want a license very much, and why should
> it be given to you? Try it, you may be very suprized to find
> out that it can be a lot of fun. If you have time for CB radio,
> then you have time for ham radio.
> I wonder where you got the idea that amateur radio is dyeing,
> because it certainly isn't. The number of hams has never been
> very large and considering that the radio spectrum is a limited
> resource (they ain't making no more new frequencies), and that
> the bands are crowded as is, who the devil needs a bunch of new
> hams, where you gonna put them? As far as joining the 21st
> century,
> name one mode of communications that hams ~can't~ do if they want
> to.
> CW, all forms of voice communications, digital, TV, satellite
> stuff,
> and I don't know how much more, but you name it, they can do it.
> So, if you want to find excuses for not getting a license,
> that's
> fine. If you want to believe amateur radio is dying, if that
> makes
> you feel better, then that's fine too. I have a suspicion that
> it'll
> be around a lot longer than you or I will be.
> 'Doc

Well said.

Alan S.

5011. Re: Top-notch installers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 20:10:01 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <tKR99.32767$Ke2.2304458@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134039

nothing wrong with someone else doing work. I paid to have a high quality fm stereo put in my LAWNMOWER, the way they mounted it was great, plus he threw in a pair of water resistant speakers, custom installers are that..custom. I install large flag poles, yes , most "intellegent" persons could do it, but it requires MANY tools and you get a warranty
and stuff. Ive put poles in some of the weirdest places- not just in the ground..on buildings, side of buildings, on hills, you name it.. Some things just need to be left to the professionals.

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

5012. Re: Top-notch installers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 20:17:01 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9274874429E47subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 134040

Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in
news:9g2fmuc222m2o2c9lm01e6f0h39odgrkv6@4ax.com:

> It WAS a stupid question--he didn't want to do it himself--he wanted
> it done right! Those of us who have installed them for years have a
> BIG edge on those drunk buddies of yours that are just installers
> wannaBeez!
> Dave!!
>
Hey, I don't know. My radio install was the first time my bike builder had
ever installed a CB radio in ANYTHING. Plus, i think he had like 5 beers in
him. Everybody that has seen the installation has gone "Wow, almost looks
like a factory option".

A little common sense, the right tools, some creativity (and a few cold
ones) go a long way.

Alan S.

5013. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 20:19:30 UTC
From: BobC <123@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <umfrnn3up6pe16@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134042

I don't think anyone has addressed this aspect of why truckers sound shitty.

How about the fact that there's so many radio shops out there that install echo brds without limiting the max volume of the echoes to about 30%.

There's so many shops that will sell a radio with echo installed without testing the radio and training the new owner on how far up the knob can be turned before it sounds like shit.

There's so many shops that promote the use of an echo brd as the way to get max range. As in "it really makes you loud and you'll get out further".

As drivers go, most are appliance operators and wish to remain that way. Most are just interested in the one setting that sounds good while adding a little "something" to help distinguish themselves from the rest, or the noise. You can hear these guys and gals trying to adjust their echo brds all the time. The biggest problem with that is they ask for radio checks from people that don't really know what it's supposed to sound like for best effectiveness. So, you end up creating an argument on the air.

While the effectiveness of adding echo is a subjective thing, there is an upper limit to the settings. I'd say about 80% of the drivers I hear are well above the levels for effectiveness. Some are beyond the levels of absurd.

In years past, there's been a few dissertations in broadcast tutorials that covered the topic of echo/reverb. The general consensus is a max level of about 25% - 30% modulation of the loudest echo is the most effective setting. More than this is just an attempt to achieve attention and adds nothing to the intelligeability. In fact, more actually detracts from the effectiveness.

So, as it's always been, it's up to the integrity of the individuals who install the brds or sell the radios with factory brds to see to it that the appliance op. gets the best value for his/her monies. I'm saying, go the extra distance and make it driver proof. It wont take but an extra couple of minutes to install a resistor on the echo volume control. Then you can feel good that this radio is going to sound good no matter what the driver does with that knob.

It's a good PR thing in the long run because that driver is going to get compliments on the road and he's going to want to say "such and such set my echo brd up and tuned my radio". What's that worth to you? certainly more than the 3 cents for a resistor and a couple minutes more of your time.

As a side note..I always prefered those little potted echo modules that just had a few wires sticking out. They sounded great and you had the ability to

make it driver proof with just a change in resistor value during the
install.

5014. Re: Antron99 idea for Quadplex dweller

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 20:36:59 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92748AA6F6B4Bsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 134041

"George Kinzer" <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net> wrote in
news:HuR99.32732$Ke2.2300256@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net:

> forget the ground plane kit. just stick it up as high as you can,
> above roof...enjoy, if you want, spray with primer, spray lightly
> with sky blue, nobody'll see it...
>
>
You know, I did something similar to that. I lived in this newer
subdivision once. People tend to get a little wierd when it comes to
throwing up a cb antenna on the side of the house. I wanted to be neighbor
friendly, so I put up a Shakespere Big Stick. Not the finest
omnidirectional antenna available by any stretch of the imagination, but it
was all white, pretty unassuming, and worked well enough. I then primered
and painted the mast kinda off-white. It didn't make the antenna invisible,
but I will say that alot of people never even noticed the thing.

Decent advice, George.

Alan S.

5015. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 20:43:00 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92748BABE74F9subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 134043

Gary Danaher <gdanaher@attbi.com> wrote in
news:3D67BD85.3E4780CB@attbi.com:

> You want to measure the swr of the antenna. This can be done at the
> feed point of the antenna or at the end of the length of coax,
> generally without regard to its length. Coax should be long enough to
> attach the antenna to the transceiver without having to obstruct the
> drivers vision.
>

I vouch for the antenna feed point. I have measured a difference in
"apparent" (I quote that for a reason) SWR at different ends ox the coax.
It doesn't mean that the SWR is different, it just means that the meter
shows it as different. It's my understanding that measuring SWR at the
antenna feed point is the most accurate, given an arbitrary length of coax.
If I can get it around 1.5:1 measured at the antenna, I button everything
up and call it a day.

I could be wrong,
Alan S.

5016. Re: What causes squeal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 20:54:38 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D67F295.B6ED7AD5@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 134044

Brian Griffey wrote:
>
> He's right... there are a few things you can try:
> 1.) Cut the mic gain. This will have a tendancy to stabilize the amplifier
> in the mic that is oscillating.
> 2.) Try bypass capacitors at strategic points within the microphone.
> 3.) Reduce the RF field that is entering the mic. That means cut your power
> or move the radiating antenna away from the mic if possible.

4.) Try a new battery in the mike. As batteries age, their internal
resistance rises, and no longer pass the AC to ground like they
do when they're young.

>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_Amplifier.doc
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
> > It's obvious that the extra power is causing some feedback, my guess
> > would be into the microphone. Make sure the audio wire is well
> > shielded, but you could be getting RF into the microphone itself. If
> > that's the case, try another mike or do away with the linear amp. Of
> > course they aren't legal to use, but you didn't need me to tell you
> > that.
> >
> > Tom

5017. Re: What causes squeal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 20:56:37 UTC
From: BobC <123@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <umfsg8cqckdtaf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134045

"Punisha413fl" <punisha413fl@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020824112607.08168.00002398@mb-df.aol.com...
> SINCE YOU DIDNT USE THE MIC IN OVER A YEAR CHECK THE BATTERY IN IT...

This is an excellent point.

I would go further in explaining that these mics were typically very poorly shielded nor well bypassed for rf.

Personally, I wouldn't worry about using that mic and would buy a better mic or at least use the stock mic.

Anytime you add power to a system you will begin to find the deficiencies in that system. Power mics of this caliber were not well suited for use in a higher than normal rf field. They would squeal with a basic radio and a slightly high swr.

According to what I've read about your installation, you have a few things needing to be done before you can expect the optimum performance.

Depending on the current draw of the amp, you can get away with using the fuse box of the vehicle for power. I'd say, as a rough estimate, that if the amp draws 20 amps or less at full output you can use the fuse panel. Normally you won't be drawing 20 amps. If however the amp is bigger than that you'll need a decent connection to the battery.

I would provide a very short ground strap from the case of the amp to a body panel that you know is welded to the remainder of the vehicle. A floor board, a firewall, a sidewall etc. these are usually connected to the remainder of the car thru welds and such.

I would also attach my power ground lead to this point as well although it can also be grounded for power at the battery. Personally, I like to use the body as my power grounding unless the negative battery connection to the body wont support that extra current. Even still, I would add an extra battery connection the the body just so I could continue to use the body for my power ground.

I don't recall what antenna you're using at the moment. If it's a mag mount on the roof just make sure there's no dirt and stuff under the mount to act as a dielectric. The mag mounts use capacitive coupling to the body and anything that gets between these two will prevent maximum coupling. When this happens, the antenna will try to use the coax as the ground and this will bring rf currents to the radio/drivers compartment on the outside of the cable and will couple to the internal car wiring as well.

If it's a trunk deck mount, try adding a couple short, heavy braided straps to the trunk hinges. You're trying to short out the hinges so the currents on the trunk deck will flow without restriction back to the body. Trunk hinges are usually made of nylon bushings and stuff that would make a poor and unreliable rf connection to the remainder of the body. You cannot trust a VOM to show a good rf ground as it only requires a miniscule contact area to show dc continuity. RF currents require a larger surface area to conduct properly.

A note about running the amps' power leads to the battery compartment is in order. ALWAYS fuse the power lead close to the point where you attach the positive lead. I'm not saying you need to put the fuse right on top of the battery but within a few inches of the power take off point. Sometimes there's a starter solenoid on the inner fender and that's where I would get my power. It isn't better current wise but lowers the incidence and effects of corrosion later on.

Without knowing more about the install, this is about as general as I can be.

Now you know as little as I do.

Good luck in your endeavors.

5018. Re: Amp forsale...Hey JP ya moron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 20:57:58 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <ak8s1302km3@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 134049

"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020824151819.02262.00003131@mb-cj.aol.com...
> Is that all u do Anonymous, is bruhahahah, you are so retarded....u
probably
> want this amp so bad u cant stand it. i'll give it to ya for 5 cents with
> 124.95 shipping....dang this newsgroup cant quit making fun of people,
whats
> the world coming too?
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

You'd make a hell of a used car salesman.
"Well lookee here y'all. I got this real creampuff of a car in the back. I know it's just perfect for you two. It's got everything you can think of and then some. Today's special, just for you $2,495 and not a dime more. You just pay for it now and you can drive it home."
"Well can we see it first?"
"See it? My word's not good enough for you? Ok. $2,395. Last and final offer."
As the couple quietly leave the lot in a hurry never to be seen again.

5019. Re: Handheld CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 21:04:38 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <ak8sdt02lh3@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 134050

"Intruder" <MI_intruder(no spam)@softhome.net> wrote in message
news:ak8cih$1ga338$1@ID-129195.news.dfncis.de...
> I purchased a handheld CB to use while riding my motorcycle solo. I
> encounter engine static. Whenever the bike's rev increases , the static on
> the radio increases. Of course, when bike is not running, I receive clear
> reception. Anyone know what I can do to eliminate this ?
>
> Thank you.

Filters on the engine.
As a motorcyle engine is out in the open, so are the wires.
The static is coming from the wires.
Even though it may be kind of cumbersome to do, try grounding the radio to
the bike.
I had a motorcyle on which I put a home made mount over the headlamp and
handle bars.
I mounted a full size radio onto that and had not one bit of RFI from the
bike.
I mounted the antenna on the back of the seat on another small mount.

But your noise is typical for hand helds.
For any radio that isn't properly grounded or filtered.
Ask your bike dealer if they have any special noise filters for your bike.

5020. Re: Amp forsale...Hey JP ya moron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 21:12:22 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <BxS99.71761$pW1.35387@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134046

-Don't let it bother ya JP,that's what the kill file is for.
If their anonymous then it's obvious their mail aint worth reading.
On the same note a little self-control on your part may be in order.
Relax,take a deep breath and exhale the negative energy.
Be cool in the stool neighbor.....
--
Mad Dog
"UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020824151819.02262.00003131@mb-cj.aol.com...
> Is that all u do Anonymous, is bruhahahah, you are so retarded....u
probably
> want this amp so bad u cant stand it. i'll give it to ya for 5 cents with
> 124.95 shipping....dang this newsgroup cant quit making fun of people,
whats
> the world coming too?
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

5021. Re: CB stuff I saw at swap meet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 21:18:01 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <VCS99.71864$pW1.36180@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134047

hahahahahahahahahahahahahaha....lol
He is quite the geek,isn't he Arthur.
I can see Aaron runnin to Dad and saying: Daddy-Daddy i caught sissy reading
my Playgirl.....
"Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D67DAE4.D40AC81E@citlink.net...
> Hmmmmm this guy is good...You could do psyhc profiles for the FBI
>
> Randy wrote:
>
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:fbc7a2.0203021609.3f3d53ae@posting.google.com...
> > > witchdoc@attbi.com (That Guy Again) wrote in message
> > news:<3aed93cc.0203020831.5b921662@posting.google.com>...
> >
> > > Funny that your most treasured reminiscinces include jamming. I think
> > > what keeps me hangin around here is the fact that a lot of you guys
> > > have a uniquely warped view of the radio hobby.
> > >
> > > -A
> >
> > Nah Aaron, what keeps you around is the same motivation that the nerdy
> > little hall monitor had in grade school.
> >
> > -R-
>

5022. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 21:19:05 UTC
From: JJj <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D67D91B.D723E7CE@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134048

Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D6698A5.1F8ED4D9@mailcity.net>...
> > Richard Cranium wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > And it's not even accurate! Amateurs don't have to comply with Part 95.
> > >\
> >
> > Explain?
>
> Amateur radio operates under Part 97, and Part 95 is for Citizen's
> Band radio. Thus it's obvious that if we're talking about hams on the
> ham bands, they don't need to be concerned about Part 95.

But if a ham is operating on the cb band, he must comply with part
95. He is still a ham even if he is on the cb band operating as a
cber.

5023. ARNewsline 1305 - aug 16 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 21:33:51 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020824173351.04941.00003669@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 134051

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1305 August 16th, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1305 with a release date of Friday, August 16th 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T.

Japan says no to putting communications over power lines and the NCVEC says YES to remote testing. These stories are first on amateur Radio Newsline report number 1305 coming your way right now!

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

SPECTRUM NEEDS: NO PLACE FOR PLT IN JAPAN

Proponents of using Power Line Transmission or PLT technology have suffered a major setback. Telecommunications regulators in Japan have said NO to its implementation after tests show that the system could interfere with other spectrum users including ham radio. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, has more:

--

The RSGB has learned from its contacts in Japan who have been working on problems of interference from Powerline Telecommunications Systems that, following extensive trials, the Ministry of Public Management, Home Affairs, Posts and Telecommunications has decided not to permit the roll-out of PLT systems operating in the range 2 to 30MHz in Japan. Japanese studies have shown that emissions from PLT are harmful to HF communications and all requests from PLT manufacturers to operate PLT systems have been refused. The RSGB has been told that the Japanese amateur society, JARL, has been actively working with the government, along with radio astronomers, broadcasters and others, to assess the impact of PLT systems on the radio spectrum. We understand that this decision has been given much publicity in the Japanese national press, which has highlighted concerns about interference to safety-of-life services.

--

What about Power Line Transmission systems being proposed elsewhere in the world? G4NJH says that in Europe, the Radio Society of Great Britain continues to press for tight limits on emissions from all wireline based telecommunications systems. Also that it is working with other High Frequency users to try to ensure that the spectrum remains uncontaminated by wideband noise. (GB2RS)

**

THE VE TESTING SYSTEM: NCVEC SAYS YES TO REMOTE TESTING

The National Conference of Volunteer Examiner Coordinators have endorsed experimental use of videoconferencing technology to conduct Amateur Radio testing in remote areas of Alaska. Newsline's Bruce Tennant, K6PZW reports:

--

An ARRL bulletin says that it all happened when the nations VEC's gathered with the FCC to discuss the all volunteer testing system and ways to inprove it. Meeting July 26th in Gettysburg, Pennsylvania, the National Conference of Volunteer Examiner Coordinators or NCVEC voted to back a one-year trial run to be conducted by the Anchorage Volunteer Examiner Coordinator in Alaska.

Jim Wiley, KL7CC, of the Anchorage group told his VEC colleagues that it's very expensive to provide Amateur Radio test sessions to the thousands of Alaska residents who live in remote areas. The vote followed discussion on whether having a VE team remotely monitor a test session while an unlicensed individual proctored the exams on site would comply with FCC Part 97 rules.

Section 97.509(c) of these rules says that three VE's must be present and observing the examinees during a test session. But Bill Cross, W3TN, of the FCC's Wireless Telecommunications Bureau, pointed out that the VEC and the VE's are responsible for the proper conduct of the exams. He also said that no rule changes appeared necessary because VEC's already have authority to determine the manner in which their VE teams conduct examination sessions. So the test of videoconferencing ham radio tests will go forward.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bruce Tennant, K6PZW.

--

The Anchorage VEC says that it will provide progress reports to the NCVEC on the videoconferencing experiment. (ARRL)

**

ENFORCEMENT: THOSE REPEATER WARS

Repeater wars continue among hams on both the east and west coasts. And the CQ Magazine website says that those involved are dragging the FCC into battles the agency would really rather the ham community settle on its own.

Two examples. In West Virginia, where an uncoordinated repeater was interfering with a coordinated repeater in Virginia, the FCC ordered the owners of the uncoordinated repeater last winter to resolve the problems. However, it appears that interference is continuing and the FCC is again looking to the owners of the West Virginia repeater to investigate and resolve the complaints.

In California, a strange one. Last March the FCC found no enforcement issues involving a repeater in Sonoma County following complaints from another repeater group. Now, users of the Sonoma repeater are complaining of interference from the repeater whose trustee originally complained to the Commission about their repeater. (CQ)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the W9SEH repeater serving Champaign Illinois.

(5 sec pause here)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FAX BROADCASTER FINED $5.4 MILLION FOR JUNK FAXES

The Federal Communications Commission issued a record fine of over five million dollars against a company for sending junk faxes to businesses and consumers. Amateur Radio Newsline's David Black, KB4KCH, at our South-East Bureau has the details.

--
The August 7th fine against fax.com of Aliso Viejo, California is the largest ever by the commission for violations of the Telephone Consumer Protection Act. It is also the first against a company known as a fax broadcaster.

According to an FCC release, fax.com was paid to send unsolicited advertisements and other messages on behalf of more than 100 businesses. And FCC Commissioner Kathleen Q. Abernathy says that despite repeated warnings from the commission and numerous consumer complaints, fax.com appears to have made no effort to mend its ways.

Commissioner Abernathy added that the company appears to have founded its business on the practice of sending unsolicited faxes in flagrant violation of that law. This has resulted in the fax.com being cited for close to 500 violations at about $11,000 per violation. Thats the maximum penalty and it adds up to $5.4 million due to Uncle Sam.

But a lawyer representing fax.com has another opinion. Attorney Mary Ann Wymore says that the company feels the rules on unsolicited advertising are an unconstitutional restriction of her clients freedom of speech. And Wymore indicates that fax.com is going to challenge it in the federal courts.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I',m David Black KB4KCH.

--

fax.com has been given only the customary 30 days to pay the fine or file an appeal. The FCC is also issuing citations to more than 100 businesses that used the services provided by fax.com. (Adapted from FCC release and published news reports)

**

ENFORCEMENT: DONT ADVERTISE BANNED GEAR ON THE WWW

The CQ website reports some unofficial advice from the FCC: If you're going to sell non FCC approved amateur and CB equipment, don't advertise it on the World Wide Web!

Case in point. A company in Wisconsin we have reported on before. Roper Electronics which was asked by the Commission back on June 18th to explain why it was alleged web advertising non-certified CB transceivers and HF linear amplifiers on its website. The company was also ordered to submit a list of all High Frequency transceivers and amplifiers sold within the US or offered for sale during 2002, along with the FCC certification number for each model.

The FCC's Riley Hollingsworth says that his office has received a answer to the inquiry from Randi Owen. Owen is the owner of Roper Electronics. Hollingsworth says that his office and the local FCC field office is reviewing Owen's reply. (CQ)

**

RADIO LAW: DIGITAL TUNERS TO BE REQUIRED FOR ALMOST ALL TV SETS

The FCC has decreed that nearly all television receivers made after July of 2007 must include the ability to receive and display digital transmissions. Digital tuners in some of the larger sets will be required by July 1, 2004. All new sets of 13" and larger must have digital receiving capability by July 1, 2007.

TV set manufacturers are opposed to the new law. They say that it will add at least $250 to the price of every receiver sold. Also, that there is not enough digital programming to watch.

The FCC has another view. It is convinced that forcing the public to buy sets equipped with digital tuners will help speed up the conversion to an all digital t-v broadcast system.

You can read the full details on line at http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-225221A1.doc (From FCC release)

**

PRESERVING THE PAST: HAM RADIO COMING TO THE USS HORNET

Tom Hale, KB6SSN, tells the Amateur Radio Newsline that the staff of the USS Hornet Museum in Alameda, California has agreed to a ham radio project. The managers of the Hornet will allow a group of Amateur Radio operators rebuild and restore the original radio systems aboard the ship.

This will be a multi-year project three phase plan that includes getting modern commercial ham gear up and running aboard the Hornet as soon as possible. The planners are looking to contact anyone who served aboard the USS Hornet. If you did or if you know someone who did please have them contact Tom Hale KB6SSN by e-mail to tom@halemail.com. (KB6SSN)

**

WITH THE HANDI HAMS: SUMMER RADIO CAMP IS FULL

The Handi Hams report that their Summer 2002 Minnesota Radio Camp session is full but the group will hold its Winter camp in Malibu, California from March 2 through 9, 2003. Those interested in attending the 2003 outing are requested

to e-mail hamradio@courage.org to request an application. Applications will be mailed out as soon as they are printed and available. (Handi Hams)

**

HAM RADIO IN THE MEDIA: MORE DONATIONS TO “THE HUMAN RACE”

Kenwood Corporation will be the supplier of hand held radios for the t-v show The Human Race. And the shows producer, Bill Desjardins, W1ZY, says that he is happy to have Kenwood on board:

--
W1ZY: “We are certainly thankful to Paul Middleton over at Kenwood for giving the go-ahead on this and we look forward to a close working relationship with Kenwood.”
--

Desjardins tells Amateur Radio Newsline that there was a specific reason that Kenwood was selected.

--
W1ZY: “We are going to go with Kenwood’s in the field. The racers and the production crews will be using D-7’s during the actual race. Now other companies make good radio’s and we looked at all of them. But Kenwood won out because it packs APRS tracking into a really rugged field package.”
--

Desjardins also says that another important item has just materialized. He says that VE7FM has donated a home-brew, handheld, two-band beam to The Human Race for use with the D-7 and VC-H1 recently supplied by Kenwood to track satellites and pursue I-S-S contacts. Desjardins adds that this particular donation brings more good karma to the project. (W1ZY)

More information on the TV show “The Human Race” is on the web at www.humanrace.fr.st.

**

HAM RADIO IN THE MEDIA: FREQUENCY -THE TV SHOW

New Line Cinema is considering spinning off the movie "Frequency" into a TV Series. “Frequency” is the film where the non-ham hero uses his dad’s old ham radio equipment to communicate with his now decease father.

The movie was a great public relations boon for Amateur Radio. If New Line Cinema proceeds, a t-v show could help put the words ‘ham radio’ in the mind of every man, woman and child in the U-S of A. (Via W6AQ from published reports)

**

NEW PRODUCTS: A MANUAL YOU CAN CARRY

Jovan Peric, KB9K, says that he is now producing fully laminated mini manuals for a number of the most popular Icom, Kenwood and Yaesu transceivers. For more

information and photos visit www.radioamater.com/kb9k on the world wide web. You can also write to Peric at 3425 West Ardmore Avenue, Chicago, IL 60659. (KB9K)

**

CONVENTIONS AND HAMFESTS: DUKE CITY HAMFEST

The 2002 Duke City Hamfest will take place Friday, August 23 through Saturday, August 24th at a the University of New Mexico Continuing Education Conference Center in Albuquerque Hew Mexico. Hours are 5:00 p.m. -to 9:00 p.m. on Friday and 7:00 am to 3:00 p.m. on Saturday. More information is in cyberspace at www.qsl.net/dchf (N5ZGT)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today’s radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

CHANGING OF THE GUARD: ERNIE HUDSON KI8O - S.K.

The ham who headed up the Montgomery Ohio ARES operation has died. Ernest Hudson, KI8O, passed away on August 2nd following a long battle with Cancer.

Hudson was 69 and had served as Emergency Coordinator for Montgomery County for over 20 Years. His organization with over 530 ARES members was one of the best in the nation. Hudson was also on the State of Ohio Council of Amateur Radio for the Montgomery - Greene County Local Emergency Response Council. And in 2001, KI8O was named as recipient of the Dayton Amateur Radio Association’s Distinguished Service Award.

Funeral services for Hudson were held on Tuesday August 6,2002 at the Parkview Church of the Nazarene, in Kettering, Ohio. (W8ILC)

**

RADIO BUSINESS: NEW MILITARY COMM SYSTEM BEING DEVELOPED

The Boeing Co. has been named prime contractor for a new U.S. Army communication system. The Joint Tactical Radio System is expected to be the foundation for all future Department of Defense tactical radios. (Published news report)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: N SYNC WITH THE ISS

Russia's space agency says that it might scrap plans for 'N Sync singer Lance Bass to join an October mission to the International Space Station. This is because the Russian’s say that he had failed to meet a payment deadline.

Bass, who is backed by a consortium of companies rounded up by a Hollywood producer, David Krieff, has signed a preliminary contract for the trip. But Bass, who is already training at Star City Cosmonaut Center near Moscow has yet to secure a final deal guaranteeing a seat aboard the Soyuz craft.

If he does go, don't hold your breath waiting for him to turn up on 2 meter FM. He will be doing a lot of commercial endorsement work. In fact, Bass's space suit will be emblazoned with the names of sponsors. These logos will be seen during the eight TV shows Bass expects to beam down from space. Bass is also expected to record audio tracks for a future 'N SYNC release. (Published news reports)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: THE AMSAT GLOBAL SATELLITE NET

Internet technology is allowing worldwide access to a new AMSAT net for beginning satellite operators. The AMSAT Global Satellite Net was recently started by Neil Hays, W6FOG, and may be accessed on VHF or UHF through repeaters linked via the Internet using the IRLP Internet Radio Linking Project network.

For those without IRLP access, but with HF equipment, the net may also be found on 14.250 MHz on 20 meters, every Wednesday between 0200 and 0330 UTC. I-R-L-P access is via radio only, but activity may be monitored by anyone with a computer, sound card and Internet connection. For more information on I-R-L-P, go to www.irlp net. For details on the AMSAT Global Satellite Net, see www.w6fog.net (W6FOG, AMSAT)

**

RADIO IN SPACE: SATELLITE RADIO VS. WIRELESS

XM Satellite Radio Holdings and Sirius Satellite Radio are reportedly in talks with wireless companies to resolve a dispute over interference with wireless communications services. The two sides have been bickering over the satellite services using equipment to extend their digital radio signals to hard-to-reach areas like metropolitan areas where skyscrapers can block satellite beams. Wireless companies fear that these translators will interfere with some wireless communications services. (Published reports)

**

DX

In DX F6FYD and F2VX are in Baku, Azerbaijan, until the 23rd of August. F6FYD will operate as 4J0FR- while F2VX will use the club call 4K7W. They will be active on all bands CW and SSB. (GB2RS)

And SM0CMH is active on 10 to 80 meters, including the WARC bands, on CW as SV5/SM8C from Kalymnos Island. He will be there until the 30th of August. For both these operations please QSL as directed on the air. (GB2RS)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: WATCHING TV OVER THE MOON

And finally this week, here is one of those rare stories where an individual has done something so outrageous as to earn a spot in the record books. It happened down-under as we hear from Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB:

--
Dr. Anthony Mann of the Physics department at the Western Australian University has created a "Receiving system" which allows him to tune in Television signals from the US.

Tony has been a DX, TV enthusiast for decades, using his scientific credentials to stretch the laws of physical limits in order to "See further and further, unaided by satellites or wire".
His latest accomplishment, apparently a first, is to work out the parameters for using the Moon as a satellite reflector for bouncing TV signals from the USA into VK6.

As regards Tony's gear, he uses an Icom R7000 receiver, a Jaycar 91 element UHF antenna fitted with GaAs-Fet 20db masthead amplifier.

So far there's nothing very special about that except that the antenna is mounted on a tripod 1.5 meters above ground with the ability to adjust both azimuth and elevation to track the Moon in his sky.

Fortunately a Moon setting in Oklahoma is well above the horizon in Perth WA.

The signal Tony receives is tiny, very tiny, and not enough to produce a picture he can watch or even sound he can listen to. At this stage, it's not about watching Buggs bunny cartoons, it's all a bit more scientific than that.

Knowing the transmitters frequency to within a few Hertz, yes that's right Hertz, is essential as what Tony is searching for is a "beat note" indication that a carrier signal is present on the Icom receiver.

By using web amateur "Moon bounce" web sites he was able to calculate the Doppler shift for a group of likely TV stations, and then got hold of a precise TV station's transmitting frequency down to the last two Hertz; ie: 501.248XXX, the X's being the Doppler shift migrated.

Results this year have included signals originating in Oklahoma and Indiana.

--

You can read more about Dr. Tony Mann’s television reception experiments at the cyberspace address that you will find in this at www.physics.uwa.edu.au/~agm/eme1.jp

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website

located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

One final note. As this newscast goes to air our staff is in Alabama at the Huntsville Hamfest presenting the 2002 Young Ham of the Year Award to Josh Abramowicz, KB3GWY, of Reading Pennsylvania. Josh is receiving the award for his work in promoting Amateur Radio through the Boy Scouts of America. Tune in next week for the sounds of this years presentation.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA, saying 73, and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

5024. Re: It does not take long to rat out auctions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 21:59:35 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208241359.5a7f914b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134052

Awwww...ChukkeeSukkee...yer so smart...let me know when you get to those nasty multiplication tables and I'll send one of the neighbor kids to help ya with the flash cards. On second thought...you and yer pink tennis shoes might get nasty ideas....

Yeahrightman <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com> wrote in message news:<2vkamuo0dk0ooerqa1gkfr7slk5fptghn4@4ax.com>...
> On 22 Aug 2002 09:11:31 -0700, keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown
> Busters) was blabbling:
>
> ...65.238.191.240
>
> CAMPUS-VL4.GW.CMU.NET (128.2.4.1) 1.180 ms 0.846 ms 0.721 ms
> 2 CORE0-VL1000.GW.CMU.NET (128.2.0.12) 1.476 ms 1.855 ms 0.699 ms
> 3 HYPER-VL501.GW.CMU.NET (128.2.33.225) 0.891 ms 0.829 ms 0.763
> ms
> 4 bar-cmu-g4-0-0-0.psc.net (192.88.115.181) 0.855 ms 0.722 ms
> 0.703 ms
> 5 killi-foo.psc.net (192.88.115.4) 1.136 ms 1.249 ms 0.969 ms
> 6 500.POS2-3.GW3.PIT1.ALTER.NET (65.194.72.113) 2.024 ms 1.815 ms
> 1.871 ms
> 7 518.at-3-0-0.XR1.TCO1.ALTER.NET (152.63.37.2) 9.952 ms 9.359 ms
> 9.591 ms
> 8 293.at-1-0-0.XL1.DCA8.ALTER.NET (146.188.162.233) 10.545 ms
> 10.324 ms 10.544 ms
> 9 0.so-2-0-0.TL1.DCA8.ALTER.NET (152.63.25.37) 9.052 ms 8.795 ms
> 8.877 ms
> 10 0.so-6-0-0.TL1.CHI2.ALTER.NET (152.63.13.21) 26.741 ms 26.786 ms
> 26.827 ms
> 11 0.so-1-0-0.XL1.CHI2.ALTER.NET (152.63.67.106) 26.721 ms 26.854
> ms 27.022 ms
> 12 0.so-6-0-0.HR1.CHI2.ALTER.NET (152.63.73.33) 28.424 ms 28.446 ms
> 28.462 ms
> 13 140.ATM11-1-0.DR1.CLE9.ALTER.NET (152.63.66.81) 40.871 ms 34.972
> ms 40.143 ms
> 14 tnt2.sylvania.oh.da.uu.net (65.238.0.67) 42.018 ms 97.848 ms
> 92.921 ms
> 15 * 1Cust48.tnt2.sylvania.oh.da.uu.net (65.238.191.240) 1033.620 ms
> 1311.252 ms
>
> N8WWM

5025. Re: ATTN: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 22:00:42 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns927498D82186Fsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 134053

Anonymous <remailer@remailer.xganon.com> wrote in
news:aed078159c9889283176707a94c4652c@remailer.xganon.com:

> Hi,
> Please excuse the intrusion but we here
> at"WWW.WeLuvSheep.innanet" have been informed by someone
> that you may be interested in putting in an advance order
> for your 2003 Sports Ill-lust-trated Sheep In Spandex
> calender.
> This collectors issue of the Sheep in Spandex calender
> features all the foreign and domestic sheep that you are
> used to seeing only in exclusive magazines such as "Can
> you say, Baaaa"featuring editorials and comment by Mr
> Rogers and "Why wool mittens"the all time best seller by
> Pee wee Hermon.
> WLS even has the addresses of where you can find some
> of these seductive beauties and this years calender will
> feature some of those very hard to find "shaved sheep" and
> sheep in latex .As a special offer we will throw in a CD
> with 3 cuts from the yet to be released "Save the
> Gerbils"by Elton John.
> This year WLS is asking that this year that if you
> can,.please make an extra contribution to WLS.This special
> fund will go to the trauma stricken sheep that were
> violated and abused when various outlaw biker gangs
> invaded Sturgis, South Dakota last year.
> Due to great demand this years calender will be 1/2
> ewes and 1/2 rams to keep those feminists that have sent
> all the hate mail bitching about equal rights
> happy.Actually we think it is a front to get our calender
> to people like Riley Hollingsworth and Aaron Boobner
> If you are not interested in our exclusive offer and
> wish to be removed from our list just reply to this mail
> and put"UP YOURS"in the subject line
> This SPAM brought to you by::
> Mike O Farad
>
>

Well, this post was intended to start a bunch of arguments. Somebody's
gonna point out some insignificant detail and start a big argument.

I wanna get in on the action early. Let's see, step 1: I need to pick out
something unrelated to the point being made in the post....Hey! I got it!

".innanet is not a valid top level domain. "

Step 2: It's customary to bore others in the NG with your credentials
"I've been in computers for along time, and hold many certifications"

Step 3: Be sure to prove your point by posting a link that nobody will follow
"For a list of valid TLD's, go to
http://www.norid.no/domenenavnbaser/domreg.html"

Step 4: Insult the original poster, and talk yourself up
"You suck. I know way more about top level domains than you do"

Step 5: Continue this prick-waving excercise by issuing a stupid challenge:
"You think you're so smart? Prove me wrong! I'll eat a video card chip-by-chip if you can prove me wrong!"

Let the games begin! :)

Alan S.

5026. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 22:11:15 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208241411.4bf807ea@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134054

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> whined like the little girl he is
in message news:<xhW89.3014$7g5.194183398@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>...

TOP POST PURPOSELY DONE TO MAKE LANDCARP WHINE SOME MORE!
Who ever said N8 needed or wanted forgiveness? Why would he? The
Antiboys have
overwhelmingly accomplished the task of making keyclowns whine and
moan. As for not saying stuff on the ham bands...that has no meaning
at all. We are not on the ham bands. Anything goes on CB...you
keyclowns have said that over and over. OK, so the Antiboys agree to
those terms and make you out as the whining cowards you really are.

More websites are on the way. More ratouts are coming daily. More
frustration and whining from keyclowns will then manifest itself here.
No one can stop the Antikeyclowns on our righteous path. There isn't a
thing you can do about us, so just sit there and take it. You have for
years and will continue to do so.

You can say anything you want, but Antikeyclowns are here to stay, and
you are completely without the power or intellect to stop us.

> Well good luck Keith, I'm afraid too many trolls
> have infected the group, so it's going to be an up hill
> battle for discussions. As for Doug, well he and his clones
> have left such a stain here, I doubt anyone here will forgive
> him for what he has done. As long as you are happy about him,
> it really doesn't matter at all what other people think about
> him. It's a funny thing, I'd bet you hundred dollars that all
> the big talk by the trolls wouldn't ever be said to your face
> or on the ham bands, so go figure.
>
> Landshark the sissyboy who wears pink diapers

5027. The beat goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 22:14:53 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208241414.9b0aac3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134055

This thread about "Ham misbehavior" is immaterial. The only place where it counts is if you are in violation of FCC rules. Anything goes in this NG, just like on your effeminate CB band. You sissies just can't take it, canya?

Scumbag keyclowns are overwhelmingly in violation every time they key the mike.

Your sissified posts about "hams this and hams that" are just attempts to confuse the truth. You are federal criminals, and you WISH it was not true, but that won't ever change.

ANTIKEYCLOWNS OWN REC RADIO CB AND YOU CANNOT DO ANYTHING ABOUT IT!!!!!

5028. Re: FS: DaveMade M-80

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 22:24:08 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208241424.102e776e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134056

Duly ratted to google, aol, and fccinfo@fcc.gov

thatzmejp@aol.com (UknowMeJP) wrote in message
news:<20020819154344.05704.00001136@mb-fw.aol.com>...
> Brand NEW in the Bubble wrapped box, Works very nicely....i'll take $165 for
> it, that includes shipping costs.....thanks
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

5029. Re: legitimate users of the 25-30mhz spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 22:28:37 UTC
From: toxic_waste@citizensband.com
Message-ID: <1f2adfc1.0208241428.5ca444f0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134057

w8ems@juno.com (Jim Wardrip) wrote in message
news:<59af69d5.0208181208.76aff840@posting.google.com>...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@FrontierNet.net> wrote in message
news:<ults7bf712jm09@corp.supernews.com>...
> > Jim, just curious. Was that last frequency (29.99125) a typo? Or is there
> > a skip from 28.0 to 29.7?
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
>
> Yes, Jim, it was a typo. Happens when one gets in a hurry. Should
> have read 27.99125. There are 40 new channels available in the UK,
> making 80 total.

> While 40 share the same frequencies as the ones here
> in the States all are FM in the UK.
>
Yep, and FM is now a total waste of time. We're supposed to be getting
ssb, however, any mention of this, and the hams start crying in their
beer!

tox

5030. Re: Is This A Bootleg Texas Star?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 22:45:01 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D680C6D.DDCCEDA4@citlink.net>
Source record: 134058

It is a real Star....an early one...I have the DX400 just like it except
for power

"www.TimNebo.com" wrote:

> thanks jesse
>
> >
> >That is a outcom before they started using the name Texas Star
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

5031. Re: Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 22:56:17 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208241456.107ec272@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134059

ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) drooled like a fat redneck broad in
message news:<20020824102012.20408.00002502@mb-fv.aol.com>...
they are called 10 meter amps and we keyclowns get wood over them...
> they will usually work between 26-29 MHZ no problem. However some are more
> sensitive to the frequency they were designed for, this is very rare. Most amps
> will work fine on AM, SSB requires a delay.
> PS. Be prepared for a dickhead name OZARK333 to offer you duct tape and hamsters...he's
an
> anal cheeswiz!!!!!!
>
>
>

5032. Re: The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 22:59:35 UTC
From: Chaotic Evil <webdevil@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <rdU99.5053$ld4.474395@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 134060

Gilligan's Island? MARY Wells? I think it's DAWN Wells!

"Dave or Debby" <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:9lovlugtvtb4hv8qahi97uvl3fq23e429r@4ax.com...
>
> SO many FALSE facts below that I have to answer EACH ONE below each
> point to comment on the NON-FACTS with the TRUTH ! So sit back and
> get ready to ROLL !
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> On Sat, 17 Aug 2002 14:27:55 GMT, "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:
>
> >The Power Wars Continue
> >By Stan Wells
>
> IS he related to Mary Wells, of Gilligan's island fame, or the
> Mary Wells singer?!
>
> >
> >The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios
>
> \ YOU mean the "SAM COOKE" (Don't know NUTHIN' about History)
> story!
> >
> >Back in 1975 the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) decided to add
more
> >channels to the Citizens Band (CB) frequencies. It went from 23 to 40
>
> I believe it was '76 because of the BOOM and the CROWDED 23
> channel band. 40 Channels started in Jan. of '77, I believe!
>
>
> >channels. The FCC also lowered the power limit in these frequencies from
5
>
>
> No they didn't! AThey "lowered" the MODULATION from 80-100% to
> 60% !
>
> >watts to 3.5 watts. Shortly thereafter, the power wars began. It was soon
>
>
> No, it wasn't "shorty thereafter." WHICH power wars ARE you
> referring to, the ones started by SJ and the BROS in da HOOD, OR the

> power wars that the truck drivers started, by copying da BROS? !!!!
>
> >discovered that the 10-meter Amateur radio was virtually unregulated.
This
>
>
> What you are saying here is that the 6th plank which Govt.
> control of the 10 meter Hams regulated them WAS un-regulated? DOUBLE
> SPEAK!!!!!!!!!
>
> >gave rise to the Connex and Galaxy revolution. These radios were easy to
>
>
> Revolution? Truck drivers CB's having a revolution? I
> thought commies have dem!
>
>
> >convert to 11-meters which is the CB band. Additionally, they had 25
watts
>
>
> NO they don't. SHow me one that gives 25 DEAD KEY BIRD watts
> and I WILL eat it, a knob @ a time!
>
>
> >of power. They eventually captured nearly half of the sales in the CB
> >marketplace with the addition of many other brand names to the
field--some
>
>
> In the TRUCK driver marketplace; NOT the car or home CB market
> place !
>
> >now offering as much as 250 watts of power.
>
>
> MORE LIES. I WILL EAT the radio IF it does 250 watts dead
> key BIRD watts. IF not, YOU EAT IT or quit lying!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> >
> >The current top seller is the General Lee. This radio is a copy of the
>
>
> That's cause we need Gen. Lee to fight da new world order
> geeks!
>
>
> >Connex 3300 HP with the dual finals. This allows the radio to run both
>
>

> It is NOT a "copy." A COPY is the SAME EXACT thing. They are
> a little different, IF you cared to KNOW what da features are!
>
>
> >finals at the same time, giving nearly double the power output of the
single
> >final radio.
>
> MORE LIES ! I WILL EAT the BIRD METER that you don't have IF
> you can get double the power out of one over the other! MY BIRD
> virgin meter says 4 AM watts more. That's right DRUG HEADS--4 watts
> MAXIMUM more. Maybe 6.5 tops. That is it. But since you MODULATION
> KIT installing IDIOTS can't get the radio above 5 watts anyway, I can
> see WHY you say it will do DOUBLE the power (to 10 wats)!!!!
>
>
> >
> >At a Peak Envelope Performance (PEP) of nearly 50 watts, the General Lee
>
>
> You mean on THE SIDEBAND METER it goes to 50 watts. THe other
> poster is right too----Peak Envelope Performance---TO state that
> NONSENCE means that you know NUTHIN' about CB's or meters or Bird
> meters or average power or peak power OR THE TRUTH ! WHY give reading
> on a SSB meter, when the radio ain't got no stinkin' SSB on it! TO
> DECEIVE, that's WHY you do it !
>
>
> >sports a prominent Rebel Flag on the front panel. This radio prompted a
new
>
>
> Prominent? It is a little DINKY itty biddy SMALL flag emblem
> on it! I guess with little puny minds, even that little emblem is
> PROMINENT ! Only commies hate dat flag!
>
>
> >round of power wars. Enter a company with a long and respected history.
RF
>
>
> HOW did it (start new power wars) since by your own words it was
> a COPY of the Connex HP, which has been out for around 15 years? You
> seem kinda CORN FUSED, HIPPY !!!!!!
>
>
> >Limited, located in Issaquah, Washington, is long known for quality
> >microphones and innovative ideas. They began importing magnum radios,
Delta
> >Force and Alpha Force as well as Millennium radios. The Delta Forces

being
> >the most powerful at a PEP of over 50 watts.
>
>
> Delta farce is RED CHINA JUNK and NOT the "most powerful" !
> What AM watt reading do you get out of dem?!
>
> >
> >Unfortunately, the quality was less than adequate. They were plagued with
>
>
> That's because dey was made in RED CHINA, OUR enemies!
>
>
> >problems from cold solder joints on the board to surface mount parts so
> >small that most CB shop couldn't fix them. Since plagued with many
problems
>
>
> MOST CB shops are very INCOMPETANT and are thieves. What you
> are saying that IT WAS WORK to fix them, which YOU weren't willing to
> do, or couldn't hack it!
>
>
> >they have dropped the whole line of magnum radios. Now RF Limited is
about
>
>
> GOOD--more RED CHINA JUNK bitting da DUST !
>
> >to release the replacement for these radios under the Maverick nameplate,
to
> >be designated A24.
>
>
> Maverick means ROOKIE and you must be one!
>
> >
> >The Maverick A24 will be a dual final Connex-type radio with a twist. The
> >dual finals are linear, or more simply, one final feeds the other. More
>
>
> Isn't that how ALL of the prior ones work too?
>
>
> >power out and cleaner signal on transmit. The board construction on this
new
> >radio seems to be far better than the Magnums.
>
> You mean it's NOT made in RED CHINA ?

>
>
> It loads a standard 4-pin
> >Uniden/Cobra wired microphone on the front panel.
>
>
> Don't a LOT of radios have this too?!
>
> The echo board on these
> >units can be modified as well. By changing one resistor, it moves from
>
>
> Don't other boards do this too? !
>
> >mildly annoying echo to turbo echo that repeats a word almost endlessly.
It
> >also uses a standard CB power cord for ease of replacement if necessary,
> >which you may choose to do as the power cord provided is a bit small for
the
>
>
> Are YOU on drugs or what? Do they use a 2 amp cord or a 4
> amp one?!
>
> >amount of current that this radio draws. An upgraded cord is highly
> >recommended. The best for the job is the CB3AXX from Workman Electronic
> >Products, Inc.
> >
> >Your Road King RK56 or Astatic 636L noise-canceling microphone will work
> >very well on this radio and keep that "big" radio sound. The conversion
to
> >CB channels is extremely simple. Anyone with limited knowledge can do it.
We
>
> Except you, of course!
>
>
> >still recommend you take it to a competent technician to have this
>
>
> You mean that one who makes the ERRORS that you do?!
>
> >performed. Our only complaint was that the controls on the faceplate are
> >still of low quality. If the band switch and other variables were
upgraded,
> >this would be a formidable contender for the position now held by the
> >General Lee. Should a truck driver buy this radio? You should recognize
that
> >all of these radios are illegal in the CB frequencies. If you are willing
to

> >try a new product, this will definitely make you one of the louder voices
on
> >the radio.
>
>
> Louder but NO more power, right? !
>
> >
> >Lastly, I would be remiss if I didn't tell you this. To be in possession
of
> >a modified radio is a federal felony. At present the FCC does not appear
to
> >be enforcing these rules and regulations. But I just received an order
for a
>
>
> You mean you stiull sell JUNK Cobra CHINESE CB's !
>
>
> >Cobra radio from a customer in Alabama who had his Galaxy confiscated at
a
>
>
> that's not the "Sweet Home Alabama" that I've always heard about!
>
>
> >DOT stop. As always, I recommend you buy a real CB radio like a Cobra,
> >Uniden, Midland or Cherokee.
>
>
> ANYTHING that transmits on 11 meters IS a REAL CB !
>
>
> Cherokee is RED CHINA JUNK and I have always said that they can
> take MY CB when they pry it from my cold dead hands!
> >
> >Enjoy your equipment and stay safe.
>
>
> You mean your commie made JUNK from China and HEIL Stalin! How
> can we stay "safe" with a BAD article like that? SAFETY IS of the
> LORD Jesus Christ!
>
> >Robinhood
> >
> >
> >This article is provided by Truckstop Electronics, Inc. Stan Wells has
been
> >involved with repairing and selling CB radios for over 30 years. He's
also

```
> >involved in product development and testing.
>
>
> IF he is then WE are in trouble! HE IS AN incompetant!
>
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

5033. FS: CB Mic/Preamp, CB Base station, CB Mobile unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 23:15:46 UTC
From: gdg <ai578@hwcn.org>
Message-ID: <xsU99.9478$xL1.1882283@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 134061

Courier Cardioid Dynamic Desk Mic Solid State Preamp. On its own stand. Model BTM-4. Press Talk and Talk Lock Feature. Sensitivity control. Made in Japan. $20.

Courier Conqueror CB Base Station. Is not getting power for some unknown reason. Best offer.
Like this one: http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1371655785
and this - http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1373041969

Also: High Gain I Mobile CB unit with mic 39 Channels. $10.

905-628-8528

5034. Re: FS.Cobra 2000 GTL +D104 Base Power Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 23:41:21 UTC
From: henderson928@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020824194121.05699.00002459@mb-fw.news.cs.com>
Source record: 134062

Thank you, for the Tip.

5035. Re: ATTN: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 23:41:46 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6819BA.6CFD4201@citlink.net>
Source record: 134064

ROTFLMAO...;-) ;-);-).............Exactly

"Alan S." wrote:

> Anonymous <remailer@remailer.xganon.com> wrote in
> news:aed078159c9889283176707a94c4652c@remailer.xganon.com:
>
> > Hi,
> > Please excuse the intrusion but we here
> > at"WWW.WeLuvSheep.innanet" have been informed by someone
> > that you may be interested in putting in an advance order
> > for your 2003 Sports Ill-lust-trated Sheep In Spandex
> > calender.
> > This collectors issue of the Sheep in Spandex calender
> > features all the foreign and domestic sheep that you are
> > used to seeing only in exclusive magazines such as "Can
> > you say, Baaaa"featuring editorials and comment by Mr
> > Rogers and "Why wool mittens"the all time best seller by
> > Pee wee Hermon.
> > WLS even has the addresses of where you can find some
> > of these seductive beauties and this years calender will
> > feature some of those very hard to find "shaved sheep" and
> > sheep in latex .As a special offer we will throw in a CD
> > with 3 cuts from the yet to be released "Save the
> > Gerbils"by Elton John.
> > This year WLS is asking that this year that if you
> > can,.please make an extra contribution to WLS.This special
> > fund will go to the trauma stricken sheep that were
> > violated and abused when various outlaw biker gangs
> > invaded Sturgis, South Dakota last year.
> > Due to great demand this years calender will be 1/2
> > ewes and 1/2 rams to keep those feminists that have sent
> > all the hate mail bitching about equal rights
> > happy.Actually we think it is a front to get our calender
> > to people like Riley Hollingsworth and Aaron Boobner
> > If you are not interested in our exclusive offer and
> > wish to be removed from our list just reply to this mail
> > and put"UP YOURS"in the subject line
> > This SPAM brought to you by::
> > Mike O Farad
> >
> >
>
> Well, this post was intended to start a bunch of arguments. Somebody's
> gonna point out some insignificant detail and start a big argument.

>
> I wanna get in on the action early. Let's see, step 1: I need to pick out
> something unrelated to the point being made in the post....Hey! I got it!
>
> ".innanet is not a valid top level domain. "
>
> Step 2: It's customary to bore others in the NG with your credentials
> "I've been in computers for along time, and hold many certifications"
>
> Step 3: Be sure to prove your point by posting a link that nobody will
> follow
> "For a list of valid TLD's, go to
> http://www.norid.no/domenenavnbaser/domreg.html"
>
> Step 4: Insult the original poster, and talk yourself up
> "You suck. I know way more about top level domains than you do"
>
> Step 5: Continue this prick-waving excercise by issuing a stupid
> challenge:
> "You think you're so smart? Prove me wrong! I'll eat a video card chip-
> by-chip if you can prove me wrong!"
>
> Let the games begin! :)
>
> Alan S.

5036. Re: FS.Cobra 2000 GTL +D104 Base Power Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-24 23:42:05 UTC
From: henderson928@cs.com
Message-ID: <20020824194205.05699.00002460@mb-fw.news.cs.com>
Source record: 134063

$350.00 you can get it.

5037. Re: ATTN: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 00:03:47 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <D9V99.158576$983.238749@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 134065

Alan S. Wrote:
<snip> Useless crap, completely and utterly void of facts or truth. </snip>

Alan you are sooooooooooooooo wrong on this one. I don't believe you have been in computers for a long time or else I would know. You can't eat a Video Card chip by chip cause real computer guys don't call them chips. What a cud chewing moron. Prove me wrong Alan, prove me wrong. You probably don't even own a slide rule. No code sissy.

Hmmmm, I don't see it. Nope, just don't see it. That drivel above is on the intelligence and maturity level of most flames we see here. I don't see how a person could type that and then think they are witty or king of the ring.

I give Alan points for style, first attack, and technique. Hell, I'll throw in an extra point or so cause Alan is a good guy. All in all Alan scores an 8.3

Dave

5038. Re: ATTN: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 00:27:46 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D682481.D3E3BBCF@citlink.net>
Source record: 134066

Dave
I think you need to reread Alans post...His was not an attack it was an analysis of upcomeing attacks..and it looks like he is 1 for 1........

Dave wrote:

> Alan S. Wrote:
> <snip> Useless crap, completely and utterly void of facts or truth. </snip>
>
> Alan you are sooooooooooooooo wrong on this one. I don't believe you have
> been in computers for a long time or else I would know. You can't eat a
> Video Card chip by chip cause real computer guys don't call them chips. What
> a cud chewing moron. Prove me wrong Alan, prove me wrong. You probably don't
> even own a slide rule. No code sissy.
>
> Hmmmm, I don't see it. Nope, just don't see it. That drivel above is on the
> intelligence and maturity level of most flames we see here. I don't see how
> a person could type that and then think they are witty or king of the ring.
>
> I give Alan points for style, first attack, and technique. Hell, I'll throw
> in an extra point or so cause Alan is a good guy. All in all Alan scores an
> 8.3
>
> Dave

5039. Re: The beat goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 00:51:51 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umgahrg30m6c66@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134068

And all of your flames only cause more problems.

Jim

Keyclown Busters <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d1e9cf6a.0208241414.9b0aac3@posting.google.com...
> This thread about "Ham misbehavior" is immaterial. The only place
> where it counts is if you are in violation of FCC rules. Anything goes
> in this NG, just like on your effeminate CB band. You sissies just
> can't take it, canya?
>
> Scumbag keyclowns are overwhelmingly in violation every time they key
> the mike.
>
> Your sissified posts about "hams this and hams that" are just attempts
> to confuse the truth. You are federal criminals, and you WISH it was
> not true, but that won't ever change.
>
> ANTIKEYCLOWNS OWN REC RADIO CB AND YOU CANNOT DO ANYTHING ABOUT
> IT!!!!!

5040. RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 00:53:32 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020824205332.17993.00002502@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 134067

To All Recipients:

You are receiving this message because your e-mail address appears in Richard McCollum's address book My intention in sending this message is to simply get information to those of you who are friends, acquaintances, or correspondents of Richard's. If you are receiving this message in error, please accept my most humble and sincere apologies. Thank you.

David W. McCollum

MCCOLLUM-Richard Charles. Age 59 years. Born Niobrara, Nebraska 12/04/1943. Passed away suddenly from a massive heart attack 08/23/2002.
Survived by son Michael Keith McCollum, Lincoln, Nebraska; parents Charles and
LaVerne McCollum of Omaha, Nebraska; sister Lea Blake of Omaha, Nebraska; and brother David and family of Omaha, Nebraska.

As per Richard's request, remains were cremated and no services performed.
Any memorials to the Salvation Army in his name.

I will accept queries for further information, but I respectfully request that you provide your identity and relationship to Richard. I reserve the right to reject information requests to anyone I deem has no need.

DWM

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5041. Re: Top-notch installers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 01:03:53 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020824210353.17993.00002507@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 134069

where you doing this before 9/11? ive noticed a entirely new industry with
installing flagpoles.

>
>nothing wrong with someone else doing work. I paid to have a high quality fm
>stereo put in my LAWNMOWER, the way they mounted it was great, plus he threw
>in a pair of water resistant speakers, custom installers are that..custom.
>I install large flag poles, yes , most "intellegent" persons could do it,
>but it requires MANY tools and you get a warranty
>and stuff. Ive put poles in some of the weirdest places- not just in the
>ground..on buildings, side of buildings, on hills, you name it.. Some things
>just need to be left to the professionals.
>
>--
>If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5042. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 01:08:04 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208241708.13e425f0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134070

Hey pink panties...
Why would this piss off an AntiKeyclown? It is juat more evidence of
federal criminality. We lile to see that. We sit back, search
archives, find out stuff on you, and then rat you out. Thanks for the
info. Your file is now open. \

Michael <triple7@tb.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<klhdlu8o025n31tm3091qt6d7grmgo83p4@4ax.com>...
> Just to piss off the trolls, look what I got on eBay. ICOM-756.
> 130 watts PEP, 55 watts deadkey AM. 1000's of "channels".
> Guess you guys with no life will have to go after all the HF rigs, not
> just the 10M junk.

5043. Re: Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 01:11:24 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D682EB0.657B18F9@icok.net>
Source record: 134071

WG,
An FT-101 isn't exactly an amplifier, is it, so I don't
see how that even applies in this thread.
The FL-7000 amplifier comes with the 10 meter band disabled,
otherwise it can't be sold in this country (as with all legitimate
amplifiers). They can be 'opened up' easily enough, and that's
usually what happens shortly after the original buyer gets it.
The 'problem' is that legitimate amplifiers (not CB amplifiers)
have to meet certain requirements to be sold in this country. One
of those requirements is that there has to be a 'block' for
frequencies above 25 Mhz. I don't remember the date this became
effective, but I would imagine it was in the late 70's, early
80's.
There were a bunch of transmitters/transcievers and amplifiers
made
prior to this frequency limitation.
If you'll take another look, you'll see that I did not say that
most amplifiers will work "better" on AM. I did say that most of
them will work "okay" with AM, big difference there.
'Doc

5044. Re: FS.Cobra 2000 GTL +D104 Base Power Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 01:18:40 UTC
From: "Dr. John (of Life)" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <igW99.1020$ij7.8518@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 134072

What is top-posting?!

John

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:zVL99.338522$q53.10855881@twister.austin.rr.com...
> It's also not proper to top-post.
>
> BTW, the radio is also for sale.
>
> "Dr. John (of Life)" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
> news:8hz99.428$ij7.3208@eagle.america.net...
> > If you are auctioning something, it is proper netiquette to not put FS
> (For
> > SALE), instead put FA (For AUCTION)!
> >
> > John
> >
> > "HENDERSON928" <henderson928@cs.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020823175845.20175.00002656@mb-ms.news.cs.com...
> > > Please look at my auction:
> > >
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1375754678&ssPageName=A
> > > DME:B:LC:US:1
> > >
> > >
>
>

5045. Re: FS.Cobra 2000 GTL +D104 Base Power Mic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 01:21:40 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <EiW99.341527$q53.10959385@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134073

"HENDERSON928" <henderson928@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20020824194121.05699.00002459@mb-fw.news.cs.com...
> Thank you, for the Tip.

It was not aimed at you, it was for Dr. John, who "knows" netiquette.

5046. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 01:24:34 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6831D9.500241B8@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 134074

That really stinks.

He WILL be missed.

"www.TimNebo.com" wrote:
>
> To All Recipients:
>
> You are receiving this message because your e-mail address appears in
> Richard McCollum's address book My intention in sending this message is
> to simply get information to those of you who are friends,
> acquaintances, or correspondents of Richard's. If you are receiving
> this message in error, please accept my most humble and sincere
> apologies. Thank you.
>
> David W. McCollum
>
> MCCOLLUM-Richard Charles. Age 59 years. Born Niobrara, Nebraska
> 12/04/1943. Passed away suddenly from a massive
> heart attack 08/23/2002.
> Survived by son Michael Keith McCollum, Lincoln, Nebraska; parents
> Charles and
> LaVerne McCollum of Omaha, Nebraska; sister Lea Blake of Omaha,
> Nebraska; and brother David and family of Omaha,
> Nebraska.
>
> As per Richard's request, remains were cremated and no services
> performed.
> Any memorials to the Salvation Army in his name.
>
> I will accept queries for further information, but I respectfully
> request that you provide your identity and relationship to Richard. I
> reserve the right to reject information requests to anyone I deem has no
> need.
>
> DWM
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

5047. RIP Dick McCollum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 01:25:13 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208241725.39eedc4a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134075

This is a shock. Despite some minor disagreements, I respected Dick for having the courage to speak his mind. His informational posts debunking "swing" and providing CW trainer links and encouragement to those seeking ham tickets added a lot to the NG. He contributed something positive, and he did it with humor and good will. I will miss him terribly.

-Aaron-

MCCOLLUM-Richard Charles. Age 59 years. Born Niobrara, Nebraska 12/04/1943. Passed away suddenly from a massive heart attack 08/23/2002.
Survived by son Michael Keith McCollum, Lincoln, Nebraska; parents Charles and
LaVerne McCollum of Omaha, Nebraska; sister Lea Blake of Omaha, Nebraska; and brother David and family of Omaha, Nebraska.

As per Richard's request, remains were cremated and no services performed. Any memorials to the Salvation Army in his name.

5048. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 01:28:55 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umgcnchdbvhv9e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134076

Thanks, Tim. I wasn't sure if I should post it but you have. His wit and wisdom will be missed by a lot of folks.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

www.TimNebo.com <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message news:20020824205332.17993.00002502@mb-cp.aol.com...
> To All Recipients:
>
> You are receiving this message because your e-mail address appears in
> Richard McCollum's address book My intention in sending this message is
> to simply get information to those of you who are friends,
> acquaintances, or correspondents of Richard's. If you are receiving
> this message in error, please accept my most humble and sincere
> apologies. Thank you.
>
> David W. McCollum
>
>
> MCCOLLUM-Richard Charles. Age 59 years. Born Niobrara, Nebraska
> 12/04/1943. Passed away suddenly from a massive
> heart attack 08/23/2002.
> Survived by son Michael Keith McCollum, Lincoln, Nebraska; parents
> Charles and
> LaVerne McCollum of Omaha, Nebraska; sister Lea Blake of Omaha,
> Nebraska; and brother David and family of Omaha,
> Nebraska.
>
> As per Richard's request, remains were cremated and no services
> performed.
> Any memorials to the Salvation Army in his name.
>
>
>
> I will accept queries for further information, but I respectfully
> request that you provide your identity and relationship to Richard. I
> reserve the right to reject information requests to anyone I deem has no
> need.
>
> DWM
>
>
>
>

> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

5049. Re: Ham Misbehavior Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 01:34:05 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <huW99.341639$q53.10961330@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134077

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d1e9cf6a.0208241411.4bf807ea@posting.google.com...

>
> TOP POST PURPOSELY DONE TO MAKE ME AN ASS!
> Who ever said N8 needed or wanted common sense? Why would he? The
> Antiboys have
> overwhelmingly accomplished the task of making mamas whine and
> moan. As for not saying stuff on the ham bands...that has no meaning
> at all. We are not on the ham bands. Anything goes on CB...you
> masters of us have said that over and over. OK, so the Antitwerps agree to
> those terms and make you out as the almighty masters of all the free time
I have.
>
> More pointless websites are on the way. More ignored ratouts are coming
daily. More
> frustration and whining from me will then manifest itself here.
> No one can stop the Antikeyclowns on our ridiculous path. There isn't a
> thing you care to do about us, so just sit there and ignore it. You have
for
> years and will continue to do so.
>
> You can say anything you want, but Antikeyclowns are impotent, and
> you are completely without the desire to help us.
>

5050. Re: a review of the last year or so.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 01:51:54 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umge2f997hdicb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134079

Ah, did you inform the folks about sporadic e - or are you gonna let 'em figure it out?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

LilyV7 <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote in message news:20020823113856.19293.00002588@mb-mg.aol.com...
> Well, weve still got a few good years in the cycle left. And it never
> completely goes away anyway. Even at the lowest point in the cycle there are
> still a few good skip days each month. T his just makes it more enjoyable when
> you do have a few good days!! Either way, id never change. I love my "hopped
> up cb radio" !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> gunslinger

5051. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 01:59:08 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020824215908.21783.00003775@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 134080

>His wit and
>wisdom will be missed by a lot of folks.

Sure will, Jim. I just opened the e-mail 5 minutes ago and I am still numb. Dick contributed more than most truly appreciate and his honest no-BS approach will be sorely missed. My deepest condolences to the entire McCollum family, both kin and friends.

Mark

5052. Commercial 10 Meter Amps Are Illegal Too.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 02:32:51 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020824223251.21796.00003748@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 134081

>I must question the logic of this post. In the 70s Yeasu made the FT-101
>series with 11 meters built in from 27.000 MHz to 27.500 MHz at around 80
>watts stock. I know because I have one and I still get parse about how good
>the signal is. Everyone I know that has ever had one from the factory has
>got it with 11 meters in it and no mods have had to be done. I am just
>looking at Yeasu's add for the FL-7000 Solid-State Linear Amplifier and it
>covers from 160 meters to the top of 10 meters at 1200 watts so what is the
>problem???
>About your comment about most amps working better on AM, I don't think so
>LOL. With CB and Air band being the only users of AM below 150 MHz besides
>broadcast stations it is not profitable for the manufactures to do that. I
>have never run into an AM only amp except when I was servicing a 308 foot
>broadcast station tower. That amp was designed to run at 570 KHz and AM only
>at 1000 and 10,000 watts. Most ham amps will run AM at about 1/2 the power
>of SSB and run cleaner, (less spurs and a smaller bandwidth).

Here's the reason even 10 meter amps are illegal.

http://www.tentec.com/Noamp.htm

Read it and weep. This is not to be confused with 10 meter radio issue, or I should say non issue. 10 meter rigs, even modified ones, are legal for appropriately licensed hams to use as long as they only transmit on frequencies for which they are licensed. Amps are quite a different story altogether. Take care and 73.

Mark

5053. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 02:52:21 UTC
From: Yeahrightman <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com>
Message-ID: <3hhgmucmoh63k99g3egnobm7kud6sruo7d@4ax.com>
Source record: 134082

Damn. Richard was a good ol' fellow... Rest in Peace, Rich.

Signed,

You know who.

On 25 Aug 2002 00:53:32 GMT, timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) was
blabbling:

...To All Recipients:
...
...You are receiving this message because your e-mail address appears
in
...Richard McCollum's address book My intention in sending this
message is
...to simply get information to those of you who are friends,
...acquaintances, or correspondents of Richard's. If you are
receiving
...this message in error, please accept my most humble and sincere
...apologies. Thank you.
...
...David W. McCollum
...
...
...MCCOLLUM-Richard Charles. Age 59 years. Born Niobrara, Nebraska
...12/04/1943. Passed away suddenly from a massive
...heart attack 08/23/2002.
...Survived by son Michael Keith McCollum, Lincoln, Nebraska; parents
...Charles and
...LaVerne McCollum of Omaha, Nebraska; sister Lea Blake of Omaha,
...Nebraska; and brother David and family of Omaha,
...Nebraska.
...
...As per Richard's request, remains were cremated and no services
...performed.
...Any memorials to the Salvation Army in his name.
...
...
...
...I will accept queries for further information, but I respectfully
...request that you provide your identity and relationship to Richard.
I
...reserve the right to reject information requests to anyone I deem
has no
...need.

...
...DWM
...
...
...
...
... Tim Nebo
...
...http://www.TimNebo.com
...

5054. Re: Amp forsale...Hey JP ya moron

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 02:55:58 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <ak9h0c0u33@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 134085

Two bottles of tequila with viagra always worked for me.

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:BxS99.71761$pW1.35387@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> -Don't let it bother ya JP,that's what the kill file is for.
> If their anonymous then it's obvious their mail aint worth reading.
> On the same note a little self-control on your part may be in order.
> Relax,take a deep breath and exhale the negative energy.
> Be cool in the stool neighbor.....
> --
> Mad Dog
> "UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020824151819.02262.00003131@mb-cj.aol.com...
> > Is that all u do Anonymous, is bruhahahah, you are so retarded....u
> probably
> > want this amp so bad u cant stand it. i'll give it to ya for 5 cents
with
> > 124.95 shipping....dang this newsgroup cant quit making fun of people,
> whats
> > the world coming too?
> >
> >
> > Thatz-me-JP
>
>
>

5055. Re: Top-notch installers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 03:07:49 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <9SX99.3046$p%3.220546@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134083

Answer is I have been doing this for a few years.

If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens.

5056. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 03:12:24 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <sWX99.298$2T5.26551365@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134084

Like wise Jim & Tim, I received the same thing,
so it is indeed a sad in the radio community.

Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:umgcnchdbvhv9e@corp.supernews.com...
> Thanks, Tim. I wasn't sure if I should post it but you have. His wit and
> wisdom will be missed by a lot of folks.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
> www.TimNebo.com <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
> news:20020824205332.17993.00002502@mb-cp.aol.com...
> > To All Recipients:
> >
> > You are receiving this message because your e-mail address appears in
> > Richard McCollum's address book My intention in sending this message is
> > to simply get information to those of you who are friends,
> > acquaintances, or correspondents of Richard's. If you are receiving
> > this message in error, please accept my most humble and sincere
> > apologies. Thank you.
> >
> > David W. McCollum
> >
> >
> > MCCOLLUM-Richard Charles. Age 59 years. Born Niobrara, Nebraska
> > 12/04/1943. Passed away suddenly from a massive
> > heart attack 08/23/2002.
> > Survived by son Michael Keith McCollum, Lincoln, Nebraska; parents
> > Charles and
> > LaVerne McCollum of Omaha, Nebraska; sister Lea Blake of Omaha,
> > Nebraska; and brother David and family of Omaha,
> > Nebraska.
> >
> > As per Richard's request, remains were cremated and no services
> > performed.
> > Any memorials to the Salvation Army in his name.
> >
> >

> >
> > I will accept queries for further information, but I respectfully
> > request that you provide your identity and relationship to Richard. I
> > reserve the right to reject information requests to anyone I deem has no
> > need.
> >
> > DWM
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Tim Nebo
> >
> > http://www.TimNebo.com
> >
> >
>
>

5057. Re: Ham Misbehavior Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 03:23:16 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <E4Y99.300$MN5.26258697@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134086

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:huW99.341639$q53.10961330@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:d1e9cf6a.0208241411.4bf807ea@posting.google.com...
>
> >
> > TOP POST PURPOSELY DONE TO MAKE ME AN ASS!
> > Who ever said N8 needed or wanted common sense? Why would he? The
> > Antiboys have
> > overwhelmingly accomplished the task of making mamas whine and
> > moan. As for not saying stuff on the ham bands...that has no meaning
> > at all. We are not on the ham bands. Anything goes on CB...you
> > masters of us have said that over and over. OK, so the Antitwerps agree
to
> > those terms and make you out as the almighty masters of all the free
time
> I have.
> >
> > More pointless websites are on the way. More ignored ratouts are coming
> daily. More
> > frustration and whining from me will then manifest itself here.
> > No one can stop the Antikeyclowns on our ridiculous path. There isn't a
> > thing you care to do about us, so just sit there and ignore it. You have
> for
> > years and will continue to do so.
> >
> > You can say anything you want, but Antikeyclowns are impotent, and
> > you are completely without the desire to help us.
> >
>
>
>

LOL!, pretty funny Randy, now
Doug's new account is going into the kill file.

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

5058. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 03:23:17 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <F4Y99.301$MN5.26258697@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134087

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d1e9cf6a.0208241708.13e425f0@posting.google.com...
> Hey pink panties...
> Why would this piss off an AntiKeyclown? It is juat more evidence of
> federal criminality. We lile to see that. We sit back, search
> archives, find out stuff on you, and then rat you out. Thanks for the
> info. Your file is now open. \
>
>
> Michael <triple7@tb.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<klhdlu8o025n31tm3091qt6d7grmgo83p4@4ax.com>...
> > Just to piss off the trolls, look what I got on eBay. ICOM-756.
> > 130 watts PEP, 55 watts deadkey AM. 1000's of "channels".
> > Guess you guys with no life will have to go after all the HF rigs, not
> > just the 10M junk.

Well it's Doug again, and another for the kill file.
And some people wonder why he is so hated?

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

5059. Re: Earl..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 03:29:35 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <zaY99.3061$p%3.222862@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134088

How many people can install a 40ft pole ? (this is 2 pieces, bout 325 lbs+) , dig a 30 " X 48" hole, mix @500 lbs cement, match pole sections together, install all hardware on pole,by the way, the wire haylard is internal on this model we are using for this model, put in sleeve, perfectly level it, Then leave as if you were not there? Do YOU know what tools are ALL needed for this task? And can you do this BY YOURSELF ? And do it in bout 3 hrs? Oh, and not contract any help. Im not talking bout your punny little 20 foot poles that you put in like a fence post. And no finger prints left by your sweaty hands? Or put in an average 30 foot pole...what are you going to haul this thing in? your pick up? Didnt think so...Next.

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

5060. Re: ATTN: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 03:33:46 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ueY99.170871$me6.21724@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 134089

I think you misunderstood me :-)

I was being a smart alec. We have to consider Alan's post an attack cause it fits into his analysis for it to be an "attack"

Oh well, jokes aren't funny if you have to explain them.

I give Myself a 1.5 for effort. hehe

Dave

"Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in message news:3D682481.D3E3BBCF@citlink.net...
> Dave
> I think you need to reread Alans post...His was not an attack it was an analysis
> of upcomeing attacks..and it looks like he is 1 for 1........
>
> Dave wrote:
>
> > Alan S. Wrote:
> > <snip> Useless crap, completely and utterly void of facts or truth. </snip>
> >
> > Alan you are sooooooooooooooo wrong on this one. I don't believe you have
> > been in computers for a long time or else I would know. You can't eat a
> > Video Card chip by chip cause real computer guys don't call them chips. What
> > a cud chewing moron. Prove me wrong Alan, prove me wrong. You probably don't
> > even own a slide rule. No code sissy.
> >
> > Hmmmm, I don't see it. Nope, just don't see it. That drivel above is on the
> > intelligence and maturity level of most flames we see here. I don't see how
> > a person could type that and then think they are witty or king of the ring.
> >
> > I give Alan points for style, first attack, and technique. Hell, I'll throw
> > in an extra point or so cause Alan is a good guy. All in all Alan scores an
> > 8.3
> >

> > Dave
>

5061. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 03:41:35 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <PIY99.342505$q53.10992745@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134090

Rest in peace Richard. You will be missed.

5062. Re: Ham Misbehavior Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 03:46:21 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hqY99.342521$q53.10994367@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134091

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:E4Y99.300$MN5.26258697@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:huW99.341639$q53.10961330@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:d1e9cf6a.0208241411.4bf807ea@posting.google.com...
> >
> > >
> > > TOP POST PURPOSELY DONE TO MAKE ME AN ASS!
> > > Who ever said N8 needed or wanted common sense? Why would he? The
> > > Antiboys have
> > > overwhelmingly accomplished the task of making mamas whine and
> > > moan. As for not saying stuff on the ham bands...that has no meaning
> > > at all. We are not on the ham bands. Anything goes on CB...you
> > > masters of us have said that over and over. OK, so the Antitwerps
agree
> to
> > > those terms and make you out as the almighty masters of all the free
> time
> > I have.
> > >
> > > More pointless websites are on the way. More ignored ratouts are
coming
> > daily. More
> > > frustration and whining from me will then manifest itself here.
> > > No one can stop the Antikeyclowns on our ridiculous path. There isn't
a
> > > thing you care to do about us, so just sit there and ignore it. You
have
> > for
> > > years and will continue to do so.
> > >
> > > You can say anything you want, but Antikeyclowns are impotent, and
> > > you are completely without the desire to help us.
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> LOL!, pretty funny Randy, now
> Doug's new account is going into the kill file.
>

>
> --
> There are no stupid questions, just stupid
> people.
>
> Mr. Garrison
>
>

Nuttin to it Shark. Just read what he wrote, and made the proper
corrections. <g>

Take care.

-R-

5063. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 04:14:29 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d68589b.3358224@news.cet.com>
Source record: 134092

In <Xns92748BABE74F9subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>, "Alan S."
<subnet@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Gary Danaher <gdanaher@attbi.com> wrote in
>news:3D67BD85.3E4780CB@attbi.com:
>
>> You want to measure the swr of the antenna. This can be done at the
>> feed point of the antenna or at the end of the length of coax,
>> generally without regard to its length. Coax should be long enough to
>> attach the antenna to the transceiver without having to obstruct the
>> drivers vision.
>>
>
>I vouch for the antenna feed point. I have measured a difference in
>"apparent" (I quote that for a reason) SWR at different ends ox the coax.

Whoa, don't tell CLP that! He won't believe it, because he thinks
there won't be any difference wherever SWR is measured, and he makes
all kinds of excuses why it 'might' be different. Funny thing though,
he doesn't seem to have much hands-on experience with this stuff. Can
you believe that he has never measured the characteristic impedance of
a coax?

>It doesn't mean that the SWR is different, it just means that the meter
>shows it as different.

Bingo!

> It's my understanding that measuring SWR at the
>antenna feed point is the most accurate, given an arbitrary length of coax.
>If I can get it around 1.5:1 measured at the antenna, I button everything
>up and call it a day.
>
>I could be wrong,

...but you're not.

5064. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 04:23:59 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d685b6a.4076643@news.cet.com>
Source record: 134093

In <20020819103538.07968.00001205@mb-mi.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote:

>my point is why only bother the cb'ers? i dont see jerry, aaron, frank or >anybody else tirading about the amatuer bands and on there newsgroups >complaining and these problems are even more directly related to the service >there fighting for. i just wanted to point out the hypocrisy in what there >doing in here...

I'm in here because I'm a CBer. I am not a ham (yet), and that's probably why I don't post much on ham newsgroups. That's not hypocrisy, that's integrity. Learn the difference.

5065. Re: Old coax length answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 04:35:42 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d685e7f.4866190@news.cet.com>
Source record: 134094

In <3D64C777.C5301641@yaSPAMhoo.com>, "Scott (Unit 69)"
<scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote:

>COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
>COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
>COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
>COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
>COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
>COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
>COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
>COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
>
>(Unless you're co-phasing-a waste of money.)

(or unless your coax is used as the counterpoise.)

5066. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 04:46:09 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d68608f.5394349@news.cet.com>
Source record: 134095

Farewell, 'Velvet Codpiece'.

5067. Re: What causes squeal?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 05:13:17 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208242113.39882d3c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134096

punisha413fl@aol.com (Punisha413fl) feminely mewled in message news:<20020824112607.08168.00002398@mb-df.aol.com>...
> SINCE YOU DIDNT USE THE MIC IN OVER A YEAR CHECK THE BATTERY IN IT...

yep, but he ,lie yoku, is an illegal keyclown...

ANTIBOYS OWN THE NG AND NOTHING YOU QUEENS DO WILL STOP US!!!!!!!!!!!!

5068. Anti boys own rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 05:16:02 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208242116.40b2b916@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134097

We rat out your illegal auctions...We close em down...

We collect your information so we can rat YOU out...

We tell on you to the FCC...

We are the anikeyclowns...an unstoppable force to see you whipped...

Trainpuppet cries his defiance...he will feel the pain...

Keydown contests have web sites...They will feel the pain....

And there is NOTHING YOU CAN DO ABOUT IT!

Queerboys, just wait and see...You will all be paying money...

To the FCC!!!!

5069. Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 05:22:25 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208242122.17140222@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134098

Can you tell us WHY your effeminate amp page CAN'T be displayed bt Att.net?

ALSO...BE HONEST FOR ONCE!!!

You got shut down bt the AKC!!!!

WE WILL ALSO SHUT YOU DOWN NEXT TIME!!!!

AKC OWNS FEDERAL CRIMINALS!!!!

5070. Re: Top-notch installers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 05:23:00 UTC
From: Scout's Dad <president@whitehouse.gov>
Message-ID: <%TZ99.7924$z16.5875@news.webusenet.com>
Source record: 134099

But I don't "Feel" like doing it. :) I'll change the brakes, oil, tires, batteries, alternator, power steering pump, etc.... but don't ask me to mess with the dashboard on a brand new vehicle. Gives me the willies!

Scout's Dad

"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message news:THP99.169944$2p2.7567309@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...

> Are you an accountant or a lawyer ? I like the way you tried to use the
> numbers why not do it off of work hours, or do you work every minute of
> every day? It wouldn't cost you a thing, 2 hours at $0 = $0 the -$65
dollar
> figure is only applicable if you want to do it during your billable hours
> and figuring it would take you 2 hours. You said you used to be a mechanic
> then you should have some skills with your hands you are only installing a
> cb radio not anything requiring your rocket science degree.
>
>

5071. Re: Old coax length answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 05:29:04 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208242129.13c8c039@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134100

Tom, proves AGAIN the stupidity of keyclowns!

n2uhc@yahoo.com (Tom Sevart) wrote in message
news:<3442abbf.0208232225.1971c799@posting.google.com>...
> 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:<3D667510.7D583ED8@icok.net>...
> > Tom,
> > Almost as good as adding an "STP" sticker!
> > 'Doc
>
> I don't know why he did it, because he knew that they weren't far
> enough apart to get good directional coverage, and one whip would have
> sufficed. But that's what he wanted.
>
> He told me that another CB'er wanted him to co-phase a Firestik-type
> antenna and two on-glass cellular-lookalike CB antennas. He told the
> guy that it wouldn't be worth it, but I think the guy went ahead and
> had him do it anyway. I'm sure it didn't work very well.
>
> Tom

5072. Re: ATTN: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 05:48:14 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9274E81CE315Bsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 134102

"Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:D9V99.158576$983.238749@rwcrnsc53:

> Alan S. Wrote:
> <snip> Useless crap, completely and utterly void of facts or truth.
> </snip>
>
>
>
> Alan you are sooooooooooooooo wrong on this one. I don't believe you
> have been in computers for a long time or else I would know. You can't
> eat a Video Card chip by chip cause real computer guys don't call them
> chips. What a cud chewing moron. Prove me wrong Alan, prove me wrong.
> You probably don't even own a slide rule. No code sissy.
>
>
> Hmmmm, I don't see it. Nope, just don't see it. That drivel above is
> on the intelligence and maturity level of most flames we see here. I
> don't see how a person could type that and then think they are witty
> or king of the ring.
>
>
> I give Alan points for style, first attack, and technique. Hell, I'll
> throw in an extra point or so cause Alan is a good guy. All in all
> Alan scores an 8.3
>
>
> Dave
>
>
>
>
>

Heh, cool! That's the kind of reply I like to see!

I'm laughing so hard right now, I can barely type. You're right! Computer guys don't call tbem chips. I'm not sure why I did. I must concede and hang my head in shame.

Get this, I was JUST given a slide rule that belonged to my grandfather. He was an elevator engineer. I have no idea how to use the friggin' thing. It came with an instructional book, and I had to put it down in frustration. "Calculators are so much easier!". Shows my age, I guess :) How did you guys USE those things regularly, anyway?

It gets worse. I haven't learned code yet. Dang, you really hit that one.
It must be really obvious! I AM working on it, though :)

I suck,
Alan S.

5073. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 05:48:47 UTC
From: n2uhc@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3442abbf.0208242148.4cdafe1f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134101

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208241050.fb56563@posting.google.com>...

> >Yup, yup, yup! It's there.
> >
>
> Guys, a bit of advice: this just makes you come off as "desperate".

Umm, no it doesn't. It's right there in black and white where you
stated that 10 meter radios are not legal for use on 10 meters. Now
you're saying you never said that. You're grasping at straws.

Just why are you so obsessed with illegal operators anyway? You don't
seem to be a ham, nor do you seem to be a CB'er, either. Is it just
because you found a topic on which people like to argue with you? If
you were a ham, I could see why you'd want to keep intruders out of
the 10 meter band. But you don't seem to have a ham license. If you
were a CB'er, I don't understand why you're so obsessed with freeband
operators. They're not interfering with the legal 40 channels because
they're simply not operating there. As far as I can tell, you're not
an FCC agent, either. So why the obsession?

If you are a ham or CB'er, what kind of radios/antennas do you use?

Tom

5074. Re: ATTN: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 05:50:58 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9274E8938BD99subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 134103

"Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in
news:3D6819BA.6CFD4201@citlink.net:

> ROTFLMAO...;-) ;-);-).............Exactly
>

Heh, you're welcome!

Alan S.

5075. Re: I hate code

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 06:25:20 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <kL_99.1358$fx1.379646@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 134104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

For once I must agree with Doc and Alan S.. Well said Doc. It is CB that needs to come off of the AM, (Ancient Modulation) and into the 21 century.

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:Xns927485748EE62subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in news:3D679B23.34753746@icok.net:
>
> > Tom,
> > I realize this is more troll than anything, but I'd
> > like to correct a few misconceptions you seem to have.
> > Back 40 or 50 years ago, people were as busy then as
> > they are now. There were different forms of entertainment,
> > and for the time, they were as well thought of (equaling
> > thinking with TV is sort of an oxymoron) as the forms
> > available now. Wait a few years, what's popular now will
> > be 'old' and quaint like what you think happened 'way back
> > then'.
> > The requirement to know Morse code is part of the licensing
> > process for privileges below 30 Mhz by international treaty.
> > Until those international treaties are changed, it's gonna
> > be there. The level of proficiency for the code has been
> > reduced to 'childs play' now. If you can't learn it in a week
> > or two, you are just not trying. It does require effort though,
> > and if your not willing to put out that little amount of effort,
> > well, you don't really want a license very much, and why should
> > it be given to you? Try it, you may be very suprized to find
> > out that it can be a lot of fun. If you have time for CB radio,
> > then you have time for ham radio.
> > I wonder where you got the idea that amateur radio is dyeing,
> > because it certainly isn't. The number of hams has never been
> > very large and considering that the radio spectrum is a limited
> > resource (they ain't making no more new frequencies), and that
> > the bands are crowded as is, who the devil needs a bunch of new
> > hams, where you gonna put them? As far as joining the 21st
> > century,
> > name one mode of communications that hams ~can't~ do if they want
> > to.
> > CW, all forms of voice communications, digital, TV, satellite
> > stuff,
> > and I don't know how much more, but you name it, they can do it.
> > So, if you want to find excuses for not getting a license,
> > that's
> > fine. If you want to believe amateur radio is dying, if that

> > makes
> > you feel better, then that's fine too. I have a suspicion that
> > it'll
> > be around a lot longer than you or I will be.
> > 'Doc
>
> Well said.
>
> Alan S.

5076. Re: Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 06:57:07 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <7d%99.1366$fx1.390702@news2.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 134105

I did realize that I was off topic a bit with the 101 but they were putting 11 meters in them until the late 70s from the factory.
About the date I do believe that it was closer to the mid 70s around 75 or 76 but has your FCC ever enforced it? It is one of the laws that is not enforced because that would mean that the inspectors would have to get off of there asses and track down the person selling the equipment. That is not as easy as sitting in an office and listening to the ham bands for illegal operators and sending them a letter.
What is to stop the average American from coming up to Canada or down to Mexico and buying an unblocked FL-7000 off the shelf? US customs are not the brightest light-bulbs on the tree when it comes to radios. They will open up the box and look for drugs but they don't look at the functionality of the equipment.
I do know that it is a real pain in the ass with all of the amps on CB but if they would just feed them with good radios they wouldn't splatter as much, (garbage in, garbage out).

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D682EB0.657B18F9@icok.net...
> WG,
> An FT-101 isn't exactly an amplifier, is it, so I don't
> see how that even applies in this thread.
> The FL-7000 amplifier comes with the 10 meter band disabled,
> otherwise it can't be sold in this country (as with all legitimate
> amplifiers). They can be 'opened up' easily enough, and that's
> usually what happens shortly after the original buyer gets it.
> The 'problem' is that legitimate amplifiers (not CB amplifiers)
> have to meet certain requirements to be sold in this country. One
> of those requirements is that there has to be a 'block' for
> frequencies above 25 Mhz. I don't remember the date this became
> effective, but I would imagine it was in the late 70's, early
> 80's.
> There were a bunch of transmitters/transcievers and amplifiers
> made
> prior to this frequency limitation.
> If you'll take another look, you'll see that I did not say that
> most amplifiers will work "better" on AM. I did say that most of
> them will work "okay" with AM, big difference there.
> 'Doc

5077. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 08:37:30 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208250037.4e00fa6d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134106

ï¿½n2uhc@yahoo.com (Tom Sevart) wrote:
ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message news:...
>
> >>Yup, yup, yup! It's there.
> > >
> >
> >Guys, a bit of advice: this just makes you come off as "desperate".
>
>Umm, no it doesn't. It's right there in black and white where you
>stated that 10 meter radios are not legal for use on 10 meters.

Tom. You're going from desperate to pathetic.

-A

From: Aaron H. Voobner (voobner_aaron@yahoo.com)
Subject: Re: 10M rigs, Customs, legals -The Real Deal...
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-07-19 20:36:22 PST

I wrote:
>Amateur gear does not require type-acceptance and never has. CB
>gear does.

I forgot that this was Usenet and folks will leap to correct any
oversight, so I will correct myself. All RF gear must meet certain
standards set by the FCC. This is known as "FCC Certification", and it
was previously known as "Type Acceptance". Amateur gear is certified
for use in the range of frequencies authorized for amateur operation,
meaning, commercial amateur gear is not "certified" for use outside
the amateur bands. This doesn't change the essential point of my
previous post, since licensed amateurs may legally build,modify, and
use equipment that is "capable" of out of band or illegal high power
operation.....and CBers may not.

5078. Re: Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 09:53:09 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D68A8F9.A5456F29@icok.net>
Source record: 134107

WG,
There's also such a thing as 'type acceptance'. Which
means to sell an amplifier commercially in the US, the
amplifier has to meet some minimum standards. The 11 meter
'block' is one of those minimum standards.
'Doc

5079. Re: The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 11:46:12 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <8s3a9.3620$p%3.259751@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134108

Yes, and she still don't look too bad today...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_Amplifier.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Chaotic Evil" <webdevil@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:rdU99.5053$ld4.474395@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Gilligan's Island? MARY Wells? I think it's DAWN Wells!

5080. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 11:56:42 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <_B3a9.3640$p%3.260789@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134109

The link seems to work fine... not sure what your problem is.
As for AKC, I don't know what that is????
Shut down what? You never shut anything down that I know of...
I'm taking this message as a personal threat and are forwarding to the
proper authorities.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_Amplifier.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d1e9cf6a.0208242122.17140222@posting.google.com...
> Can you tell us WHY your effeminate amp page CAN'T be displayed bt
Att.net?
>
>
> ALSO...BE HONEST FOR ONCE!!!
>
> You got shut down bt the AKC!!!!
>
>
> WE WILL ALSO SHUT YOU DOWN NEXT TIME!!!!
>
>
> AKC OWNS FEDERAL CRIMINALS!!!!

5081. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 12:00:52 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208250400.139e5740@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134110

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208241050.fb56563@posting.google.com>...
> "Randy" rchapman8@houston.rr.com
> >Date: Sat, Aug 24, 2002 6:38 AM
> >Message-id: <o%L99.338544$q53.10857147@twister.austin.rr.com>
> >
> >
> >"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >news:c5ecbb69.0208231626.4b28f13d@posting.google.com...
> >> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
> news:<c9ceae5b.0208191903.6d2372d6@posting.google.com>...
> >> > Tom Sevart wrote:
> >> > "Aaron H. Voobner" wrote in message
> >> > news:c9ceae5b.0208162249.5e60ebd4@posting.google.com...
> >> > > >
> >> > >> http://hotspotcb.com/cbshop/connex.html
> >> > > >These only go to 28.305. Not a very appealing to a ham are they?
> >> > >
> >> > >They could probably be modified to operate from 28.000 - 29.700.
> >> >
> >> > Do you think this is their intended market?
> >> >
> >> > >Even if it wasn't, it could still be used as a CW transceiver,
> >> > >or even a beacon transmitter. If I had one, that's what I'd use
> >> > >it for.
> >> >
> >> > I don't think the "General Grant" has a CW key jack, Tom. :)
> >> >
> >> > > >LOL. I don't think even you can tell me (with a straight face) that
> >> > > >this is "a ham radio":
>
> >> > >If a ham is using it on the 10 meter band, then it's a ham radio.
> >> > >Regardless, you still don't seem to get my point
> >> >
> >> > Again, licensed amateurs freedom from type acceptance was never
> >> > disputed by me, and continues to be a non-issue.
> >>
> >> Nonsense! That statement has already been disproven. You claimed that
> >> the said radios were "illegal" and made no exemption for amateur use.
> >> You even argued with me and Tom Sevart when we said otherwise. You
> >> were wrong. Admit it. You really have no choice; the entire thread is
> >> there for anyone to read, and if they do they will easily see your
> >> turn-about in position.
> >

> >
> >Yup, yup, yup! It's there.
> >
>
> Guys, a bit of advice: this just makes you come off as "desperate".
>
> -Aaron-

Aanal Aaron, a bit of advice: this just makes you come off as "dumb as a post".

You've changed your position so many times you don't know where you stand anymore.

We aren't desperate, but YOU certainly are. At least WE knew that no type-acceptance is required for amateurs; that's something that even a no-code newbie like you should have known. Weasel!

5082. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 12:02:46 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208250402.2fdb3df9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134111

JJj <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D67D91B.D723E7CE@mailcity.net>...
> Richard Cranium wrote:
> >
> > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D6698A5.1F8ED4D9@mailcity.net>...
> > > Richard Cranium wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > And it's not even accurate! Amateurs don't have to comply with Part 95.
> > > >\
> > >
> > > Explain?
> >
> > Amateur radio operates under Part 97, and Part 95 is for Citizen's
> > Band radio. Thus it's obvious that if we're talking about hams on the
> > ham bands, they don't need to be concerned about Part 95.
>
> But if a ham is operating on the cb band, he must comply with part
> 95. He is still a ham even if he is on the cb band operating as a
> cber.

At which point he doesn't need to be concerned about Part 97. Being a
ham has no meaning whatsoever if you're operating on CB. Apples and
grapefruit.

5083. Re: The beat goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 12:07:40 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208250407.4c4bcfa1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134112

keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
news:<d1e9cf6a.0208241414.9b0aac3@posting.google.com>...
> This thread about "Ham misbehavior" is immaterial. The only place
> where it counts is if you are in violation of FCC rules. Anything goes
> in this NG, just like on your effeminate CB band. You sissies just
> can't take it, canya?
>
> Scumbag keyclowns are overwhelmingly in violation every time they key
> the mike.
>
> Your sissified posts about "hams this and hams that" are just attempts
> to confuse the truth. You are federal criminals, and you WISH it was
> not true, but that won't ever change.
>
> ANTIKEYCLOWNS OWN REC RADIO CB AND YOU CANNOT DO ANYTHING ABOUT
> IT!!!!!

"The beat goes on"?

We still don't care about your one-handed sex life.

5084. Newcastle, Australia - Newcastle Repeater Group Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 12:22:50 UTC
From: Roderick <mail@newcastlecb.cjb.net>
Message-ID: <YS3a9.14530$g9.46521@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 134113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

Just leting you know a new UHF CB related website is now online....

www.newcastlecb.cjb.net

It contains information about UHF CB in Newcastle and the Hunter Valley.

It also contains general useful UHF CB information and links.

Thanks,
Roderick
Newcastle Repeater Group

5085. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 13:00:21 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020825090021.28710.00002822@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 134114

Rest in peace Richard. gunslinger

5086. Re: A little off topic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 13:32:28 UTC
From: "SILKY (da Black Pimp)" <noflaming@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <r05a9.10778$u7.794181@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 134115

You damn right it is!!
We don'ts kneed yo sorry-ass attempts to gets attention.
Quit yo "kross-postings" off here and goes to a hunting newsgroup where that shit belongs.
You "Mud-Duck"!!
GIT!!!

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message news:3f650d8ae3c528c454243b2402b80667@xganon.com...
> I know that this is a bit out of the group topic but I am
> a registered hunting guide and this fall am offering a new
> line of services for the upcoming duck season.Following is
> a description of my service and would like to know if
> anybody is interested.
> Also I would like to know what radio spectrum would have
> the most reliable radio control frequencies.
>
> Yes we are the people that gave "Ducks Unlimited" a
> whole new meaning."Unlimited" in that you are not limited
> to the conventional "inhumane" method of pursueing your
> ducks with a shotgun and many steel pellets often
> resulting in a maimed and not killed duck.
> Yes we at Ducks Unlimited Intnl. offer you the latest
> technology to assure that your quarry is 100% killed.We
> offer radio controlled 1/50 scale MIG 29 Fulcrums armed
> with miniature"infrared,no miss" missles.These state of
> the art heat seeking missles will home in on the hottest
> part of the duck which happens to be the rectum and will
> literally blow the "shit" out of them assuring a "clean"
> and humane kill every time.These MIGS are equipped with
> the latest multi targeting computer and radar,making those
> difficult double and triple kills mere childs play.
> Dont have a lot of time to spend in the field to get
> your limit??No problem!We now offer at a slight additional
> cost miniature tacticle "nukes"with which you can take out
> an entire raft of dozens or even hundreds of ducks with
> one shot.All this with controlled and minimal
> enviornmental impact
>
> There is an added benefit to useing this method in that
> if you are really hungry you can merely defeather and gut
> the duck and it is ready to eat being perfectly irradiated
> just as if you had done it in the microwave at
> home.(Secondary airburst of "orange sauce" offered as an

> option at no extra cost.)
> We regret to inform those of you that have participated
> in past hunts that the popular airburst "Cajun sauce" will
> no longer be used.It was found to be enviornmetally
> damaging after studies concluded that the sauce caused
> massive expulsions of methane gas and it interrupted the
> mateing ritual of the white assed flip beetle.
>
> Another use of the "mini-nuke" is for use on pesky
> conservation officers that insist on enforcing the
> traditional barbaric and inhumane methods of hunting
> ducks.While not lethal the "mini-nukes"when carefully set
> in a close proximity air burst configuration will give the
> pesky officer an immediate 3rd degree sunburn that will
> cause him to evacuate the serene and tranquil area around
> your chosen hunting spot
> A couple of cases of beer in the blind and good
> friends.What more could you ask for??
>
> ,,,
>
>

5087. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 13:40:49 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D68DE61.C08202CF@qnet.com>
Source record: 134078

Hello All:

Dick will be missed big time. I already miss him knowing he is gone.

I enjoyed his stands, humor, technical advice and suggestions.

They just don't come any better. I pray for Lord to ease the pain of his family, over loosing Dick.

Jay in the Mojave Desert

5088. Re: Anti boys own rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 13:44:51 UTC
From: "SILKY (da Black Pimp)" <noflaming@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1c5a9.10781$u7.793762@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 134116

NEXT!!!

Anti-boys?

Sound like a bunch of "sidewalk-sissies" who wannabe like that Vanilla Ice
or Eminem, Kid Crock, Papa COCK-roach!!
BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH

GIVE IT UP, YOU DUMB-ASS CRACKERS

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d1e9cf6a.0208242116.40b2b916@posting.google.com...
> We rat out your illegal auctions...We close em down...
>
> We collect your information so we can rat YOU out...
>
> We tell on you to the FCC...
>
> We are the anikeyclowns...an unstoppable force to see you whipped...
>
> Trainpuppet cries his defiance...he will feel the pain...
>
> Keydown contests have web sites...They will feel the pain....
>
> And there is NOTHING YOU CAN DO ABOUT IT!
>
> Queerboys, just wait and see...You will all be paying money...
>
> To the FCC!!!!

5089. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 13:48:55 UTC
From: "SILKY (da Black Pimp)" <noflaming@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <Sf5a9.10782$u7.794207@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 134117

They be alright.
no biggie about 'bout it.

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d1e9cf6a.0208220740.3dde8afc@posting.google.com...
> Careful SILKY, most of the "lot Lizards" are here in the NG. You might
> get the pink skirt wearing boys all upset..then they will beat you
> with their purses.
>
>
> "SILKY \(Da Black Pimp\)" <noflaming@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:<wfX89.9904$u7.777279@news.direcpc.com>...
> > It might be the "mating call" for their favorite "Lot Lizards"
> > Here Lizard, Lizard, Lizard!
> > BWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

5090. Re: ATTN: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 13:56:39 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <rm5a9.167465$983.247111@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 134118

> Heh, cool! That's the kind of reply I like to see!

Who cares what you like? No code youngster!

> I'm laughing so hard right now, I can barely type. You're right! Computer
> guys don't call them chips. I'm not sure why I did. I must concede and
> hang my head in shame.

It's ok Alan. You don't have to lie about your skills. We'll insult you no matter what you do!

> Get this, I was JUST given a slide rule that belonged to my grandfather.
> He was an elevator engineer. I have no idea how to use the friggin'
> thing. It came with an instructional book, and I had to put it down in
> frustration. "Calculators are so much easier!". Shows my age, I guess :)
> How did you guys USE those things regularly, anyway?

I don't even wanna talk about slide rules. You don't know how to use it? I can't fucking believe you Alan. I...I....well I'm just so hopping mad right now I can't even tell you what a loser you are.

> It gets worse. I haven't learned code yet. Dang, you really hit that one.

Big surprise.

> It must be really obvious! I AM working on it, though :)
>
> I suck,
> Alan S.

Alan, you are absolutely hilarious. I'm on my way to work, at least I get one moment of amusement before the drudgery of work.

Dave

5091. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 13:59:08 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <Lo5a9.199157$SS.7967319@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134119

"LilyV7" <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020825090021.28710.00002822@mb-fr.aol.com...
> Rest in peace Richard. gunslinger

RIP Richard Mc Collum Good Bless your family. We will miss you out here.

5092. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 14:14:19 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <akaooa0gfd@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 134121

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:_B3a9.3640$p%3.260789@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> The link seems to work fine... not sure what your problem is.
> As for AKC, I don't know what that is????
> Shut down what? You never shut anything down that I know of...
> I'm taking this message as a personal threat and are forwarding to the
> proper authorities.
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_Amplifier.doc
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

Dumbfuc. don't put MS OFfice documents on a website and link to them.
convert it to html.
I clicked on your link and got to told I had to install Office first.
If I don't want office, it ain't goin' on my machine.

5093. FS:6500 Watt Texas Star / Laser Guider

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 14:18:06 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <f7phmuol85578bj7oqav84sk1113sn72nv@4ax.com>
Source record: 134120

6500 Watts on AM and 3,000 on SSB
40 tubes with 8 fans
Nice custom on-top-of-the-roof mount
Custom RF Guided Laser signal for correct melting points
100% MINT with FCC operation manual.
oh yes least I forget it will take up to a 1,000 watt drive,have a
Matching 750 watt T.S. Modulator for the right buyer.You would have to
mount this on your hood or trunk.....

har har har he he he he he hahahhhahaha
aaaaaaaaaaahahhahahahahahahahaha LOL :O)

5094. Re: Just to piss of Voobner - ic-756.JPG (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 14:38:32 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208250638.db15a10@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134122

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> sissily drooled in message
news:<F4Y99.301$MN5.26258697@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>...

> Well it's Doug again, and another for the kill file.
> And some people wonder why he is so hated?
>
> Landshark

AntiKeyclowns are PROUD to be hated by pink muffin sissies like YOU,
Markie Girl.

5095. Re: FS:6500 Watt Texas Star / Laser Guider

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 14:40:45 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020825104045.03613.00002333@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 134123

>6500 Watts on AM and 3,000 on SSB
>40 tubes with 8 fans

these type of post are getting old. especially ones so technically incorrect
like this one.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5096. MACAW PRM-1 WATT METER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 14:48:36 UTC
From: NI4L <ni4l@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3D68EE44.8070707@charter.net>
Source record: 134124

MACAW PRM-1 Really nice peak and avg reading meter. also has Hold and follow on peak.20-200- 2000 watt meter uses 120 v for peak reading circuit. Also swr. Nice and BIG 8"wx4"hx6"d really works well. The case matches a Ham IV rotor box looks just like it. Meter is same size as the meter in the Ham IV control box. 1.8-60 MHZ. I HAVE MANUAL AND SCHEMATICS. 135.00+SHIPPING

--
NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
Made with the same components used by our military forces today
Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/

5097. Re: ATTN: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 15:21:41 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20020825112141.28375.00002721@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 134125

>Subject: Re: ATTN: Aaron Boobner
>From: "Dave" local_42remove@hotmail.com
>Date: 8/24/02 8:03 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <D9V99.158576$983.238749@rwcrnsc53>
>
>Alan S. Wrote:
><snip> Useless crap, completely and utterly void of facts or truth. </snip>
>
>
>
>Alan you are sooooooooooooooo wrong on this one. I don't believe you have
>been in computers for a long time or else I would know. You can't eat a
>Video Card chip by chip cause real computer guys don't call them chips. What
>a cud chewing moron. Prove me wrong Alan, prove me wrong.

I'm certainly NOT Alan, but I will tell you that you have it wrong! Older video cards used drop in chips to expand memory on them. These looked much like any larger sized (32 pins or so) IC chip. That would explain the eating of the card "chip by chip" and may give crediblity to his being around computers longer than you.
Train

5098. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 15:27:14 UTC
From: SHITPANTS@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1203-3D68F752-352@storefull-2255.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134126

In respect for Dick who was a great educator for me,and his awesome sense of humor, my American flag will be flown at "half vast".My condolences....Mark

5099. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 15:46:54 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <OZ6a9.499$zP1.37576001@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134127

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:_B3a9.3640$p%3.260789@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> The link seems to work fine... not sure what your problem is.
> As for AKC, I don't know what that is????
> Shut down what? You never shut anything down that I know of...
> I'm taking this message as a personal threat and are forwarding to the
> proper authorities.
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_Amplifier.doc
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:d1e9cf6a.0208242122.17140222@posting.google.com...
> Useless dribble snipped <

Sure you do Brian, it's the American Kennel Club.
Kill file him.

Landshark

5100. Re: The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 16:05:56 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <6uvhmugndvr8db8bvit1iha7oast4cbnan@4ax.com>
Source record: 134128

On Sat, 17 Aug 2002 14:27:55 GMT, "Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote:

>The Power Wars Continue
>By Stan Wells
>
>The history of 10-meter converted to CB radios
>
>Back in 1975 the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) decided to add more
>channels to the Citizens Band (CB) frequencies. It went from 23 to 40
>channels. The FCC also lowered the power limit in these frequencies from 5
>watts to 3.5 watts.

snip

How can you expect to be taken seriously if you can't even get your
facts straight.

1. The previous power limit was 5 watts input power.

2. The new power limit is 4 watts output.

5101. Re: Anti boys own rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 16:12:47 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3d6901ce.55861250@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 134129

On 24 Aug 2002 22:16:02 -0700, keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote:

>We rat out your illegal auctions...We close em down...
>
>We collect your information so we can rat YOU out...
>
>We tell on you to the FCC...
>
>We are the anikeyclowns...an unstoppable force to see you whipped...
>
>Trainpuppet cries his defiance...he will feel the pain...
>
>Keydown contests have web sites...They will feel the pain....
>
>And there is NOTHING YOU CAN DO ABOUT IT!
>
>Queerboys, just wait and see...You will all be paying money...
>
>To the FCC!!!!

And this makes you feel good?
How?
Why?
Are you doing anything about the many keydowners that NEVER come on the internet?

5102. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 16:31:18 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <qD7a9.201431$SS.8043362@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134130

Griffey is your amp a 10 meter amp? Or is that just a ploy to try and sell it? It is not an export model why the need for the Tom Foolery. Why do you call it a 10 meter amp? That is a fair question that should be addressed.

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:_B3a9.3640$p%3.260789@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> The link seems to work fine... not sure what your problem is.
> As for AKC, I don't know what that is????
> Shut down what? You never shut anything down that I know of...
> I'm taking this message as a personal threat and are forwarding to the
> proper authorities.
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_Amplifier.doc
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:d1e9cf6a.0208242122.17140222@posting.google.com...
> > Can you tell us WHY your effeminate amp page CAN'T be displayed bt
> Att.net?
> >
> >
> > ALSO...BE HONEST FOR ONCE!!!
> >
> > You got shut down bt the AKC!!!!
> >
> >
> > WE WILL ALSO SHUT YOU DOWN NEXT TIME!!!!
> >
> >
> > AKC OWNS FEDERAL CRIMINALS!!!!
>
>

5103. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 17:12:06 UTC
From: bigradiodotbiz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020825131206.24783.00002872@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 134131

>Subject: Re: Hey Brian Griffey
>From: "John Smith" kissmyass@127.1.1.1
>Date: 8/25/02 10:14 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <akaooa0gfd@enews2.newsguy.com>
>
>
>"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>news:_B3a9.3640$p%3.260789@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> The link seems to work fine... not sure what your problem is.
>> As for AKC, I don't know what that is????
>> Shut down what? You never shut anything down that I know of...
>> I'm taking this message as a personal threat and are forwarding to the
>> proper authorities.
>>
>> --
>> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>> Brian Griffey
>> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_Amplifier.doc
>> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>Dumbfuc. don't put MS OFfice documents on a website and link to them.
>convert it to html.
>

It's his website and he can do with it as he wants. If you have a problem with the layout of it, too friggin' bad! Don't go there!
I don't like "flash" enabled sites. As soon as i see a flash window loading on my screen, i just click out of the site entirely. I just feel that if a site wishes to waste my time loading that crap, I won't do any of my online shopping there if that is the reason for my going to that site. Whiney little douches like you have no say in how a website is constructed so shut the hell up and look elsewhere for what it is you might be looking for. I own websites also and get told that I "need " to include pictures on a site so those who are there to buy can see what it is they are getting. My reply is always the same......I set my sites up to be easy and quick to load. If you come to me looking for a product, you already know what it is you are looking to buy because i only carry a limited selection of high end equipment.
Train

5104. Re: Anti boys own rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 17:13:12 UTC
From: bigradiodotbiz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020825131312.24783.00002873@mb-ft.aol.com>
Source record: 134132

Keep dreaming!
Train

5105. SBE Console V FS/swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 17:20:57 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ZI8a9.126158$vg.21562588@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 134133

Picked up a good condition Console V AM/SSB 40 ch base rig as part of an estate, some very minor edge chipping on the wood cabinet along the bottom edges towards the back, the outer silver collar on the power switch stalk is gone but easy enough to find in the junk boxes, all in all cosmetically quite decent, no extra holes, no mods but easy enough for those who are so inclined, all functions and lights work (including scan!), no bad LED segments, operationally the volume, mode, etc., pots are very "scratchy" and could stand a good shot of contact cleaner, insides look clean with minimal dust and such, again no signs of ever being screwdrivered, but I have nothing up for an antenna, so unable to say anything one way or the other about TX/RX other than the relay keys when the mike is clicked, and there is low level audio present when the pots are fiddled with, I honestly think that all it wants is a good cleanup and tuning after long storage. For those unfamiliar with the rig this is a massively handsome base rig with a dark wood cabinet, bright dual multi function meters, scan feature, etc., etc., just a big impressive rig from back in the day! Includes stock little dinky SBE hand mike.

Haven't got the bench set up in the new place here, so really unable to get into going through it for the eBay crowd, figured I'd give as complete a description as I can and offer it up here first.

$75 and you ship it (it's heavy!!) or will consider swaps. Looking for 40CH SSB mobile in nice shape, used Superstar/Connex/etc. in good shape, or 25LTD in real nice condition, scanners, 2M mobile, whatcha got?? Will horsetrade up, down, or sideways. Any interest? eMail replies please.

5106. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 18:35:59 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <2n8imu86m6dtkg3t4vjkeqgvkun47iuk74@4ax.com>
Source record: 134134

A MEN. Anyone who debunks this SWING nonsence is a good guy that
loves THE TRUTH.
Now you know WHY it is important to FEAR GODF & KEEP HIS Commandments;
for THIS IS the DUTY of MAN !
Dave!

On Sun, 25 Aug 2002 06:40:49 -0700, Jay in the Mojave
<jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:

>Hello All:
>
>Dick will be missed big time. I already miss him knowing he is gone.
>
>I enjoyed his stands, humor, technical advice and suggestions.
>
>They just don't come any better. I pray for Lord to ease the pain of his
>family, over loosing Dick.
>
>Jay in the Mojave Desert

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5107. Wrongo, Doc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 18:44:14 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208251044.3b4b9946@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134135

'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> sucked up to criminal keyclowns in message
news:<3D67D4E7.F505F2@icok.net>...
> Scott,
> Amplifiers are labeled for 28-29.7Mhz, the 10 meter
> amateur band, so that they can be sold. As you and everyone
> else knows, you can't make or sell an 11 meter amplifier.
> So, that's just an advertising gimmic, a 'get around'.
> All amplifiers are not built equally. Meaning that some
> might possibly work 'better' on 10 meters rather than on
> 11 meters, just depends on how they're built, but on the
> average, if it'll work in one place it'll work in the other.
> Most amplifier will work okay on AM. That depends on the
> 'class' of amplifier it is. Without getting into all the
> 'why's and 'wherefore's, not all amplifiers will work well
> on SSB.
> 'Doc

They FANTASIZE THAT IT GETS EM AROUND SOMETHING! A 10 METER AMP IS
ILLEGAL! IF IT WORKS OUT OF THE BOX BETWEEN 24 and 35 MHZ IT IS
ILLEGAL, PERIOD.

5108. Richard McCollum Dead at 59!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 18:45:13 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <%l9a9.54958$%v4.1614085@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134137

I just received word that Richard McCollum, known here for his witty and sometimes sarcastic
posts died Friday of a massive heart attack. He was 59 years old.

Jerry

5109. Hey Mad Dork!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 18:46:48 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208251046.7335898e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134136

MAD DORK IT MUST REALLY SUCK TO BE A CRIMINAL ON THE CB AND A TRAITOR
TO HAM RADIO TOO...WHY DONT YOU AND TWITHED GET MARRIED AND LIVE
TOGETHER IN HIS TRAILER?

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.netCRIMINAL KEYCLOWN AND HAM TRAITOR> wrote in message
news:<BxS99.71761$pW1.35387@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>...
> -Don't let it bother ya JP,that's what the kill file is for.
> If their anonymous then it's obvious their mail aint worth reading.
> On the same note a little self-control on your part may be in order.
> Relax,take a deep breath and exhale the negative energy.
> Be cool in the stool neighbor.....................
> --
> Mad Dog
> "UknowMeJP" <thatzmejp@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020824151819.02262.00003131@mb-cj.aol.com...
> > Is that all u do Anonymous, is bruhahahah, you are so retarded....u
> probably
> > want this amp so bad u cant stand it. i'll give it to ya for 5 cents with
> > 124.95 shipping....dang this newsgroup cant quit making fun of people,
> whats
> > the world coming too?
> >
> >
> > Thatz-me-JP

5110. Chapwoman the ham traitor gets corrected

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 18:58:42 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208251058.3ed908af@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134138

Distortions do not change the truth. You can ignore all you want, but
you know you keyclowns are feeling the pinch from antikeyclown
activity...almost as much as you feel the pleasure from fondling each
other.

"Randy" <rchapwoman8@houston.rr.com.ILLEGAL KEYCLOWN> quiveringly and
femininely drooled in message
news:<hqY99.342521$q53.10994367@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Nutsakk.> whined like a sissy in message
> news:E4Y99.300$MN5.26258697@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Randy" <rchapwoman8@houston.rr.comILLEGAL KEYCLOWN> quiveringly and femininely
drooled in message
> > news:huW99.341639$q53.10961330@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > >
> > > "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:d1e9cf6a.0208241411.4bf807ea@posting.google.com...
> > >
> > > >
> > > > TOP POST PURPOSELY DONE TO MAKE ME AN ASS!
> > > > Who ever said N8 needed or wanted common sense? Why would he? The
> > > > Antiboys have
> > > > overwhelmingly accomplished the task of making mamas whine and
> > > > moan. As for not saying stuff on the ham bands...that has no meaning
> > > > at all. We are not on the ham bands. Anything goes on CB...you
> > > > masters of us have said that over and over. OK, so the Antitwerps
> agree
> to
> > > > those terms and make you out as the almighty masters of all the free
> time
> I have.
> > > >
> > > > More pointless websites are on the way. More ignored ratouts are
> coming
> daily. More
> > > > frustration and whining from me will then manifest itself here.
> > > > No one can stop the Antikeyclowns on our ridiculous path. There isn't
> a
> > > > thing you care to do about us, so just sit there and ignore it. You
> have
> for
> > > > years and will continue to do so.
> > > >
> > > > You can say anything you want, but Antikeyclowns are impotent, and

> > > > you are completely without the desire to help us.
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > LOL!, pretty funny Randy, now
> > Doug's new account is going into the kill file.
> >
> >
> > --
> > There are no stupid questions, just stupid
> > people like me.
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> >
>
> Nuttin in my hands just before I started to do it Shark, but I wished it was > with you... Just read what he wrote, quivered with fear, and made the proper > distortions to aid our group fantasy that our criminal behavior is
> acceptable to others. Hey, when I come out to CA will you play with me on
> the same bed where you and trained puppet necked? Please??? <gay>
>
> Take care.
>
> -RandyChapwomanILLEGAL KEYCLOWN-

5111. Re: Earl..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 19:00:02 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <SO9a9.4074$p%3.296479@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134139

dont change the subject, talking bout custom installations and leaving somethings to the pro's. I take it you are an individual that requires nothing from anyone and can do all things by yourself? If so, why are you here? curious...

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens.

5112. Coming Soon! Don't miss it!!! Chapwomans's web page!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 19:00:54 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208251100.27e8c637@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134140

Filled with pics of his feminine exploits, writings of his CRIMINAL activities, and the 100% TRUTH!!!

Don't miss it!!!

Chapwoman, the web page...coming soon!

5113. Dave or Debby SPANKED by ISP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 19:07:46 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208251107.998969b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134141

Watch, you will see what I mean in a few when his retardness comes back on the NG!

We rat out your auctions, we own the NG. And keyclowns cannot stop us.

5114. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 19:15:23 UTC
From: Yeahrightman <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com>
Message-ID: <m4bimu8icsmnfvu3fn5mfgn0gk0qeifgoi@4ax.com>
Source record: 134142

On Sun, 25 Aug 2002 14:35:59 -0400, Dave or Debby
<truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> was blabbling:

...A MEN. Anyone who debunks this SWING nonsence is a good guy that
...loves THE TRUTH.
...Now you know WHY it is important to FEAR GODF & KEEP HIS
Commandments;
...for THIS IS the DUTY of MAN !
...Dave!
.

Oh, shut up... damn religious Pharasee.

http://www.letusreason.org

5115. Re: How do I ground my system?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 19:18:06 UTC
From: BobC <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <umjlap1oim1079@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134160

> "Dennis B." <dennisandcarol@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:AmD99.141682$983.218119@rwcrnsc53...
> > I just set up a home base cb and the antenna is mounted on a tv tower.
I'm
> > wondering if someone could tell me the best way to ground the antenna or
> > direct me to a web site that has the information. Also does grounding
> affect
> > the SWR or the signal strength?
> > Thanks.

I haven't seen anyone put it all in writing yet and just a site or two mentioned.

I have a few minutes in which I can share a few pointers.

Grounding for lightning can be a bit different depending on the terrain and the soil conductvity in your part of the planet.

Ultimately, you're trying to minimize the voltage differences between the several points of the system to ground and between the various points to each other. It's the difference of voltage potential betwen various parts of the system which causes the biggest problems.

You're going to install a system that does two things. One: it will force the strike to follow your planned direction to ground(as well as possible) thereby removing it from the tower and cables at a point farthest away from the building and equipment. Two: You're going to ensure that the strike causes all the points in the system to rise in voltage at the same time so there won't be any real differences in potential between these various points.

In general, you want to tie in several ground rods to the base of the tower. Typically these will be 6-8' long. The length of the rods is not quite as important as the number of them. You place them as in the spokes of a wagon wheel outward from the tower legs. Spacing can be upwards of 20'-25' apart. You'll probably only need about 3 of them in each of 3 directions.

You will attach a buried cable of at least #6 wire(solid or stranded with a lean towards solid) from the tower to each rod in a manner that is mechanically sound and then sealed against the elements. The cable does not need to be bare copper although that won't hurt anything. Solder is not required nor suggested. Do Not use solder as the main mechanical/electrical connection to each rod.

Attach these cables to the tower legs with a good mechanical connection. Try to use hardware that does not allow copper and galvanize to meet each other directly. You can get some cheap clamps just for this at the store.

Attach these cables to the lowest point of the tower that won't be hit by the lawn mower. The closer to earth the better.

After this, you'll want to install a coax protection device. This device is mounted to the tower near the same place as the ground cables. The closer the better. This also means running the coax down the full length of the tower to the protector. Attach the coax to the tower with something that won't come apart in the weather. You're trying to keep the space between the coax and the tower leg to an absolute minimum in an effort to stop any flashovers between the two

If you've done this you're better than halfway done. Ideally, you would run the coax along, or just under, ground to the entry point at the house.

At the entry point you should install a second protector and a couple more ground rods. This can be a smaller device as it won't have near as much of the strike energy on it as the one on the tower. Attached to this second protector will be a run of ground wire going to one or two more ground rods outside the house. Ideally, you would tie these ground rods to the main ones with the shortest run of ground wire possible.

If you're using guy wires, you can tie these in at their earth entry points along with the ground rods. This will lower the total inductance of the tower and strip the lightning strike off the tower quicker. You can plan the ground rod placement to put a rod at the each of the guy wire points with a short, heavy, straight wire jumper between the guy wire and the rod.

It isn't necessary to connect each group of rods together from each run. In other words, it's not necessary to actually form the "wheel" part of the "wagon wheel". It serves no purpose other than to keep the wire manufacturers in business.

Your tower and coax are now grounded. You have stripped almost all of the lightning strike off the tower and coax before it reached the house and the second protector removed 90% of that remainder.

Feeling pretty good aren't ya? Well, you're not completely done. If you were to do it all the right way, you'd also arrange to tie the electrical service panel in with the grounds for the tower. This could be an issue depending on tower placement in relation to the service entrance panel. Doing so will help to make sure that a strike will raise the voltage on everything to the same potential thus minimizing any differences in potential between all points.

Now.... there are specialty companies that offer special hardware and devices that are more exact for this application. This stuff is pricey. I have given you the "will do ok 90% of the time" scenario if you've made good mechanical connections and sealed them well.

Sounds like work doesn't it? It is if you do it right. Any questions? I'll be here for awhile. Ask.

5116. Re: Wrongo, Doc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 19:45:06 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D6933B4.7B959F0D@icok.net>
Source record: 134143

Keyclown Busters,
Your partly right, if it works on 10 or 11 meters
'out of the box', then it's probably illegal. And you're
partly wrong. Nothing illegal about a 10 meter amplifier.
the use of a 10 meter amplifier by someone who isn't
licensed for it is illegal. So what else is new? How does
that differ from what I've said before?
'Doc
PS - By the way, I'm neither deaf or blind. Get rid of the
'caps'.

5117. PARTS FOR THE BUIDER IN YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 20:23:50 UTC
From: NI4L <ni4l@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3D693CD6.3060900@charter.net>
Source record: 134144

Hello All
I have aprox 40 lbs shipping weight of all sorts of really good parts.
Might be a little less or maybe more i have a bunch of hard to find
items and will fill the box up.
17 Ceramic bandswitches every one will handle legal limit + .
Some really Nice Air Varaibles big and small ones.
3 varaics
Tubes 3-813's, 1- 811, a bunch more tubes all transmitting tubes
5 panel mount meters
A nice collection of knobs
Aprox 12 lbs of ceramic and regular tube sockets
Plate choke with plate resistors and caps for 2-811
handfull of ceramic plate caps big and small
really to much to list individually. I have some pics if your interested.
There is alot here.
would like to sell it all in one shot, Make me an offer i can't refuse.
Chris NI4L

--
NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
Made with the same components used by our military forces today
Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/

5118. Re: Richard McCollum Dead at 59!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 21:17:15 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D694963.FE98F0C6@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 134145

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
>
> I just received word that Richard McCollum, known here for his witty and
> sometimes sarcastic
> posts died Friday of a massive heart attack. He was 59 years old.
>
> Jerry

Thanks, Jerry. Timmy posted the unfortunate news at 25 Aug 2002 20:53:32 CDT
in article: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

We all share in offers of condolences.

5119. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 21:36:35 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <b9iimusc3cavb0jcps12pls7hqqeiup37l@4ax.com>
Source record: 134146

I believe this is a wake up call to all of us that The Lord can take
any one of us out of here at any time, regardless of our age. Just
this week in town here a 37 year old died after hitting a ditch with
his car, and the car went furthur on level ground and appeared
abandoned for 7 hours until his girlfriend went looking for him, after
many people, including family members passed him by. There actually
has been 3 deaths around here locally within the past week. Jesus
SAVES us from our sin & punishment, punisha! Accept his salvation
that he took your place & pusnishment for sin, believe The Gospel
(good news) and keep his commandments, TODAY!
Dave!

On Sun, 25 Aug 2002 14:35:59 -0400, Dave or Debby
<truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote:

>A MEN. Anyone who debunks this SWING nonsence is a good guy that
>loves THE TRUTH.
>Now you know WHY it is important to FEAR GODF & KEEP HIS Commandments;
>for THIS IS the DUTY of MAN !
>Dave!
>
>On Sun, 25 Aug 2002 06:40:49 -0700, Jay in the Mojave
><jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:
>
>>Hello All:
>>
>>Dick will be missed big time. I already miss him knowing he is gone.
>>
>>I enjoyed his stands, humor, technical advice and suggestions.
>>
>>They just don't come any better. I pray for Lord to ease the pain of his
>>family, over loosing Dick.
>>
>>Jay in the Mojave Desert
>
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5120. Re: SBE Console V FS/swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 21:36:55 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D694DFF.D95F8A5E@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 134198

I've got a Cobra 25GTL in good condition just sitting here
collecting dust.

Bill wrote:
>
> Picked up a good condition Console V AM/SSB 40 ch base rig as part of an
> estate, some very minor edge chipping on the wood cabinet along the bottom
> edges towards the back, the outer silver collar on the power switch stalk is
> gone but easy enough to find in the junk boxes, all in all cosmetically
> quite decent, no extra holes, no mods but easy enough for those who are so
> inclined, all functions and lights work (including scan!), no bad LED
> segments, operationally the volume, mode, etc., pots are very "scratchy" and
> could stand a good shot of contact cleaner, insides look clean with minimal
> dust and such, again no signs of ever being screwdrivered, but I have
> nothing up for an antenna, so unable to say anything one way or the other
> about TX/RX other than the relay keys when the mike is clicked, and there is
> low level audio present when the pots are fiddled with, I honestly think
> that all it wants is a good cleanup and tuning after long storage. For those
> unfamiliar with the rig this is a massively handsome base rig with a dark
> wood cabinet, bright dual multi function meters, scan feature, etc., etc.,
> just a big impressive rig from back in the day! Includes stock little dinky
> SBE hand mike.
>
> Haven't got the bench set up in the new place here, so really unable to get
> into going through it for the eBay crowd, figured I'd give as complete a
> description as I can and offer it up here first.
>
> $75 and you ship it (it's heavy!!) or will consider swaps. Looking for 40CH
> SSB mobile in nice shape, used Superstar/Connex/etc. in good shape, or 25LTD
> in real nice condition, scanners, 2M mobile, whatcha got?? Will horsetrade
> up, down, or sideways. Any interest? eMail replies please.

5121. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 21:38:50 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <ogjimuggglpqvghpltorno64hp9o0etbf@4ax.com>
Source record: 134197

You don't even know what a Pharasee was! YOU better FEAR GOD, you reprobate yeahWRONGman! What makes you curse?
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On Sun, 25 Aug 2002 19:15:23 GMT, Yeahrightman <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com> wrote:

>On Sun, 25 Aug 2002 14:35:59 -0400, Dave or Debby
><truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> was blabbling:
>
>...A MEN. Anyone who debunks this SWING nonsence is a good guy that
>...loves THE TRUTH.
>...Now you know WHY it is important to FEAR GODF & KEEP HIS
>Commandments;
>...for THIS IS the DUTY of MAN !
>...Dave!
>.
>
>Oh, shut up... damn religious Pharasee.
>
>http://www.letusreason.org
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5122. More on Richard McCollum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 21:42:38 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D694F4E.A530AC40@qnet.com>
Source record: 134200

Hello All:

I e-mailed Dave McCollum, and asked what the address was of the
Salvation Army Post that Dick was apart of, so that donations could me
made in Richard McCollum name. I will be donating to the cause.

Beer Keg, you are missed.............

Jay in the Great Mojave Desert, ... just down the road ah ways from the
fillin station

Jay:

What a great idea! I thank you for being involved. Here is the info...

Salvation Army Churches/Social Service Centers Citadel
Corps
3738 Cuming St OMAHA, NE 68131-1953
(402) 553-5694

I've heard from a number of radio folks in the last day, and every one
of them
have been just fantastic. I know radio was one of Dick's loves, and he
certainly found a fine group to share that love with.

Thanks again, and God bless

Dave

5123. Re: Dave or Debby SPANKED by ISP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 21:46:11 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <epjimuoj2gmgdokmv9h8isc9f2bq428c1f@4ax.com>
Source record: 134199

Wait til GOD "spanks" you BIG TIME! I wasn't "spanked" @ all ! I've
been doing the SAME things I've been doing FOR YEARS now on the NG,
and I'm not going to stop because some anonymouse tattle tale
Un-American WIMP wants to try to stop me from selling my OLD CB stuff!
Just exactly WHAT KIND of a Communist are you? A Pinko or a RED ?
YOU better REPENT !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On 25 Aug 2002 12:07:46 -0700, keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown
Busters) wrote:

>Watch, you will see what I mean in a few when his retardness comes back on the NG!
>
>
>We rat out your auctions, we own the NG. And keyclowns cannot stop us.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5124. Re: Anti boys own rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 22:10:15 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208251410.218b6b3f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134201

An Metet anmetet@freedom.gmsociety.org
Message-id: <a2baefa989a48b22f709b8c6f5db943f@anonymous.poster>
>
> Ask Voob about the mail he
>got about the pirate that will be transmitting on 7.415
>and 6.955 on the holiday.I gave him the exact times.

Didn't even see it, my system deletes mail from "anonymous" addresses.
Not interested anyway. Try Tom Sevart, he's a pirate radio fan.

-Aaron-

5125. Re: Richard McCollum Dead at 59!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 22:37:30 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <K_ca9.207697$2p2.8464613@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134202

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:%I9a9.54958$%v4.1614085@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> I just received word that Richard McCollum, known here for his witty and
> sometimes sarcastic
> posts died Friday of a massive heart attack. He was 59 years old.
>
> Jerry

Thru all of our arguing amongst each other the little things that make life so precious seem to get swept away, and someone who probably none of us who use this NG daily and has ever met in person has passed away. I am deeply saddened by this loss as I feel he was a friend who we all bonded with on the NG and radio whether we agreed with Richard at times or not he was usually cordial and a good decent man one that we all would have been proud of to call a friend.

RIP Richard Mc Collum

5126. Re: Re: FS:6500 Watt Texas Star / Laser Guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 22:59:29 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020825185929.26253.00003040@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 134203

not this idiot again!

Thatz-me-JP

5127. Re: Dave or Debby SPANKED by ISP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 23:08:50 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <akbo2g02qod@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 134206

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d1e9cf6a.0208251107.998969b@posting.google.com...
> Watch, you will see what I mean in a few when his retardness comes back on
the NG!
>
>
> We rat out your auctions, we own the NG. And keyclowns cannot stop us.

No? You think that you're anonymous using google? Hardly. Your IP is posted
in the headers.
Which is used in tracking down posts and the person who sent them.

NNTP-Posting-Host: 65.238.191.223

UUNET Technologies, Inc. (NETBLK-UUNET97DU-2BLK)
3060 William Drive, Suite 601
Fairfax, VA 22031
US

Netname: UUNET97DU-2BLK
Netblock: 65.224.0.0 - 65.239.255.255
Maintainer: UUDA

Coordinator:
UUNet, Technologies (OA12-ARIN) help@uu.net
1-800-900-0241

5128. Re: Anti boys own rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 23:15:33 UTC
From: Steve McQueen <madmac51@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <pyda9.1780$pr1.357621892@newssvr30.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134204

Well, if there was ever a better candidate for my kill file, let me know.
Aaron is already there.

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d1e9cf6a.0208242116.40b2b916@posting.google.com...
> We rat out your illegal auctions...We close em down...
>
> We collect your information so we can rat YOU out...
>
> We tell on you to the FCC...
>
> We are the anikeyclowns...an unstoppable force to see you whipped...
>
> Trainpuppet cries his defiance...he will feel the pain...
>
> Keydown contests have web sites...They will feel the pain....
>
> And there is NOTHING YOU CAN DO ABOUT IT!
>
> Queerboys, just wait and see...You will all be paying money...
>
> To the FCC!!!!

5129. Re: A little off topic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-25 23:30:28 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <v2qimu8n2pgfstrgkj48lh017ujiccugc8@4ax.com>
Source record: 134205

RUBBER DUCK LET DEM TRUCKERS ROLL 10-4 !!!!!!!!!!
Dave!!!4-10?!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On Sun, 25 Aug 2002 09:32:28 -0400, "SILKY \(da Black Pimp\)"
<noflaming@nospam.com> wrote:

>You damn right it is!!
>We don'ts kneed yo sorry-ass attempts to gets attention.
>Quit yo "kross-postings" off here and goes to a hunting newsgroup where that
>shit belongs.
>You "Mud-Duck"!!
>GIT!!!
>
>
>"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
>news:3f650d8ae3c528c454243b2402b80667@xganon.com...
>> I know that this is a bit out of the group topic but I am
>> a registered hunting guide and this fall am offering a new
>> line of services for the upcoming duck season.Following is
>> a description of my service and would like to know if
>> anybody is interested.
>> Also I would like to know what radio spectrum would have
>> the most reliable radio control frequencies.
>>
>> Yes we are the people that gave "Ducks Unlimited" a
>> whole new meaning."Unlimited" in that you are not limited
>> to the conventional "inhumane" method of pursueing your
>> ducks with a shotgun and many steel pellets often
>> resulting in a maimed and not killed duck.
>> Yes we at Ducks Unlimited Intnl. offer you the latest
>> technology to assure that your quarry is 100% killed.We
>> offer radio controlled 1/50 scale MIG 29 Fulcrums armed
>> with miniature"infrared,no miss" missles.These state of
>> the art heat seeking missles will home in on the hottest
>> part of the duck which happens to be the rectum and will
>> literally blow the "shit" out of them assuring a "clean"
>> and humane kill every time.These MIGS are equipped with
>> the latest multi targeting computer and radar,making those
>> difficult double and triple kills mere childs play.
>> Dont have a lot of time to spend in the field to get
>> your limit??No problem!We now offer at a slight additional
>> cost miniature tacticle "nukes"with which you can take out
>> an entire raft of dozens or even hundreds of ducks with
>> one shot.All this with controlled and minimal
>> enviornmental impact

```
>>
>> There is an added benefit to useing this method in that
>> if you are really hungry you can merely defeather and gut
>> the duck and it is ready to eat being perfectly irradiated
>> just as if you had done it in the microwave at
>> home.(Secondary airburst of "orange sauce" offered as an
>> option at no extra cost.)
>> We regret to inform those of you that have participated
>> in past hunts that the popular airburst "Cajun sauce" will
>> no longer be used.It was found to be enviornmetally
>> damaging after studies concluded that the sauce caused
>> massive expulsions of methane gas and it interrupted the
>> mateing ritual of the white assed flip beetle.
>>
>> Another use of the "mini-nuke" is for use on pesky
>> conservation officers that insist on enforcing the
>> traditional barbaric and inhumane methods of hunting
>> ducks.While not lethal the "mini-nukes"when carefully set
>> in a close proximity air burst configuration will give the
>> pesky officer an immediate 3rd degree sunburn that will
>> cause him to evacuate the serene and tranquil area around
>> your chosen hunting spot
>> A couple of cases of beer in the blind and good
>> friends.What more could you ask for??
>>
>> ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
>>
>>
>
```

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5130. Re: Coming Soon! Don't miss it!!! Chapwomans's web page!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 00:03:57 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Nfea9.109937$eK6.3481654@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134207

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d1e9cf6a.0208251100.27e8c637@posting.google.com...
> Filled with pics of his feminine exploits, writings of his CRIMINAL
> activities, and the 100% TRUTH!!!
>
>
> Don't miss it!!!
>
> Chapwoman, the web page...coming soon!

Really?

What I know:

TraceRoute to 65.238.191.223 [1cust31.tnt2.sylvania.oh.da.uu.net]

Hop (ms) (ms) (ms) IP Address Host name
1 0 0 0 66.46.176.3 -
2 0 0 0 216.191.97.41 pos5-3.core1-mtl.bb.attcanada.ca
3 0 0 15 216.191.65.173 pos8-1.core2-tor.bb.attcanada.ca
4 16 31 15 216.191.65.244 srp2-0.gwy2-tor.bb.attcanada.ca
5 16 0 16 208.217.112.77 -
6 0 16 0 152.63.131.142 pos4-3.xr2.tor2.alter.net
7 0 15 0 152.63.2.77 0.so-0-0-0.tl2.tor2.alter.net
8 15 16 31 152.63.13.22 0.so-6-0-0.tl2.chi2.alter.net
9 32 15 16 152.63.67.122 0.so-1-0-0.xl2.chi2.alter.net
10 16 16 15 152.63.73.49 0.so-7-0-0.hr2.chi2.alter.net
11 31 31 32 152.63.64.109 160.atm11-1-0.dr1.cle9.alter.net
12 32 47 31 65.238.0.67 tnt2.sylvania.oh.da.uu.net
13 4234 813 234 65.238.191.223 1cust31.tnt2.sylvania.oh.da.uu.net

Trace complete

From Ohio, no less. Hmmm, could it be? No way. It couldn't be the famous
worm from Ohio we've all grown to love, could it?

-R-

P.S. IP logged and will be duly ratted out.

5131. Re: Richard McCollum Dead at 59!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 00:06:35 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <fiea9.109938$eK6.3482011@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134208

"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:K_ca9.207697$2p2.8464613@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:%I9a9.54958$%v4.1614085@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > I just received word that Richard McCollum, known here for his witty and
> > sometimes sarcastic
> > posts died Friday of a massive heart attack. He was 59 years old.
> >
> > Jerry
>
>
> Thru all of our arguing amongst each other the little things that make
life
> so precious seem to get swept away, and someone who probably none of us
who
> use this NG daily and has ever met in person has passed away. I am
deeply
> saddened by this loss as I feel he was a friend who we all bonded with on
> the NG and radio whether we agreed with Richard at times or not he was
> usually cordial and a good decent man one that we all would have been
proud
> of to call a friend.
>
> RIP Richard Mc Collum
>
>
>
>

George, it's really getting old...that makes twice this year that I agree
with you. <g>

Yes, Richard was indeed a rare individual.

-R-

5132. Re: Top-notch installers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 00:12:46 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208251612.264b1f8b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134209

Dave or Debby <truth@HATEspamplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:<9g2fmuc222m2o2c9lm01e6f0h39odgrkv6@4ax.com>...
> It WAS a stupid question--he didn't want to do it himself--he wanted
> it done right! Those of us who have installed them for years have a
> BIG edge on those drunk buddies of yours that are just installers
> wannaBeez!
> Dave!!!
>
> On 23 Aug 2002 21:26:15 -0700, cheesecutter@webhideout.com
> (chessecutter) wrote:
>
> >"Scout's Dad" <president@whitehouse.gov> wrote in message
news:<sav99.5985$z16.3455@news.webusenet.com>...
> >> Hey All:
> >>
> >> Could someone please help me find a quality shop that does custom
> >> installations. I'm wanting to install a high end CB radio in a new vehicle
> >> and want to find a shop that does custom work. Anywhere between Orange
> >> County (Southern Los Angeles) and Fresno, California would be just fine.
> >>
> >> Preferably this shop would have a reasonable selection of late model CB's in
> >> stock, and know how to tune it once it's installed.
> >>
> >> Mahalo,
> >>
> >> Scout's Dad
> >
> >i know this is a stupid question...but why don't you do it
> >yourself......it really isn't that hard you know.....
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

oh stop it dave. please. hey you did it for years. you are breakin my
heart over here, ok/????

5133. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 00:16:41 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Jrea9.34931$Ke2.2453233@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134210

Well, I can't help it if you're too cheap to have Office...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_Amplifier.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> Dumbfuc. don't put MS OFfice documents on a website and link to them.
> convert it to html.
> I clicked on your link and got to told I had to install Office first.
> If I don't want office, it ain't goin' on my machine.

5134. Oops, what he meant to say.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 00:18:24 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ktea9.109965$eK6.3483622@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134211

"Keyclown Lusters" <keyclown's-punk@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d1e9cf6a.0208251058.3ed908af@posting.google.com...
> Distortions do not change the truth. You can ignore all you want, but
> you know you keyclowns are feeling nothing from antikeyclown
> activity...almost as much as we feel the pleasure from fondling each
> other.
>

> > > > "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:d1e9cf6a.0208241411.4bf807ea@posting.google.com...
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > TOP POST PURPOSELY DONE TO MAKE ME AN ASS!
> > > > > Who ever said N8 needed or wanted common sense? Why would he? The
> > > > > Antiboys have
> > > > > overwhelmingly accomplished the task of making mamas whine and
> > > > > moan. As for not saying stuff on the ham bands...that has no
meaning
> > > > > at all. We are not on the ham bands. Anything goes on CB...you
> > > > > masters of us have said that over and over. OK, so the Antitwerps
> > agree
> > to
> > > > > those terms and make you out as the almighty masters of all the
free
> > time
> > I have.
> > > > >
> > > > > More pointless websites are on the way. More ignored ratouts are
> > coming
> > daily. More
> > > > > frustration and whining from me will then manifest itself here.
> > > > > No one can stop the Antikeyclowns on our ridiculous path. There
isn't
> > a
> > > > > thing you care to do about us, so just sit there and ignore it.
You
> > have
> > for
> > > > > years and will continue to do so.
> > > > >
> > > > > You can say anything you want, but Antikeyclowns are impotent, and
> > > > > you are completely without the desire to help us.
> > > > >
> > > >

> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > LOL!, pretty funny Randy, now
> > > Doug's new account is going into the kill file.
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > There are no stupid questions, just stupid
> > > people like me.
> > >
> > > Landshark
> > >
> > >
> >

5135. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 00:20:06 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Wuea9.34937$Ke2.2453721@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134212

American Kennel Club... unreal... lol
The minds of some some people here. I'm still trying to figure out what
planet they have come from.
Thanks for the translation.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_Amplifier.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:OZ6a9.499$zP1.37576001@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:_B3a9.3640$p%3.260789@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > The link seems to work fine... not sure what your problem is.
> > As for AKC, I don't know what that is????
> > Shut down what? You never shut anything down that I know of...
> > I'm taking this message as a personal threat and are forwarding to the
> > proper authorities.
> >
> > --
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > Brian Griffey
> > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_Amplifier.doc
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >
> >
> > "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:d1e9cf6a.0208242122.17140222@posting.google.com...
> > Useless dribble snipped <
>
> Sure you do Brian, it's the American Kennel Club.
> Kill file him.
>
> Landshark
>
>
>

5136. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 00:22:02 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Kwea9.34939$Ke2.2453970@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134213

If you had time to read the specs... you would see that it indeed has full
coverage of the 10 meter band.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_Amplifier.doc
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:qD7a9.201431$SS.8043362@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> Griffey is your amp a 10 meter amp? Or is that just a ploy to try and
sell
> it? It is not an export model why the need for the Tom Foolery. Why do you
> call it a 10 meter amp? That is a fair question that should be addressed.
>
>
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:_B3a9.3640$p%3.260789@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > The link seems to work fine... not sure what your problem is.
> > As for AKC, I don't know what that is????
> > Shut down what? You never shut anything down that I know of...
> > I'm taking this message as a personal threat and are forwarding to the
> > proper authorities.
> >
> > --
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > Brian Griffey
> > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_Amplifier.doc
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >
> >
> > "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:d1e9cf6a.0208242122.17140222@posting.google.com...
> > > Can you tell us WHY your effeminate amp page CAN'T be displayed bt
> > Att.net?
> > >
> > >
> > > ALSO...BE HONEST FOR ONCE!!!
> > >
> > > You got shut down bt the AKC!!!!
> > >
> > >
> > > WE WILL ALSO SHUT YOU DOWN NEXT TIME!!!!
> > >

> > >
> > > AKC OWNS FEDERAL CRIMINALS!!!!
> >
> >
>
>

5137. Re: Dave or Debby SPANKED by ISP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 00:46:15 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <4guimucjq074fuakoa5jld1bmsj8sr6foh@4ax.com>
Source record: 134214

snip
>No? You think that you're anonymous using google? Hardly. Your IP is posted
>in the headers.
>Which is used in tracking down posts and the person who sent them.
snip

Oh? A IP address tracks down individuals?
Prove it. Track me down.

5138. Re: Dave or Debby SPANKED by ISP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 00:51:27 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020825205127.28956.00002877@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 134215

>keyclownslamma@yahoo.com >(Keyclown Busters)
>Watch, you will see what I mean in a few >when his retardness comes back on
>the NG!
We all know YOU are the retarded one!
>We rat out your auctions, we own the NG. You THINK you do but we are much
smarter than you!
>And keyclowns cannot stop us.
Stop you? Why? We love cheap entertainment, you JACKASS!

"Got RF?"

5139. Re: FS:6500 Watt Texas Star / Laser Guider

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 00:58:15 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208251658.61c1f4b0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134216

Most of you dumb asses probably believe it too.

timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote in message
news:<20020825104045.03613.00002333@mb-co.aol.com>...
> >6500 Watts on AM and 3,000 on SSB
> >40 tubes with 8 fans
>
> these type of post are getting old. especially ones so technically incorrect
> like this one.
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

5140. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 01:00:50 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208251700.16f53b0d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134217

"John Smith" <kissmyass@127.1.1.1> wrote in message
news:<akaooa0gfd@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:_B3a9.3640$p%3.260789@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > The link seems to work fine... not sure what your problem is.
> > As for AKC, I don't know what that is????
> > Shut down what? You never shut anything down that I know of...
> > I'm taking this message as a personal threat and are forwarding to the
> > proper authorities.
> >
> > --
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > Brian Griffey
> > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_Amplifier.doc
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
> Dumbfuc. don't put MS OFfice documents on a website and link to them.
> convert it to html.
> I clicked on your link and got to told I had to install Office first.
> If I don't want office, it ain't goin' on my machine.

Exactly...the asswipe thinks he knows something. What a moron.
griffey, If I were you I would bend over, shave my ass, and learn to walk backwards.

5141. Re: FS:6500 Watt Texas Star / Laser Guider

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 01:08:10 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020825210810.28593.00002588@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 134218

yeah cuz a 40 tube amp would likely be a 4000 watts model not 6,500

>Most of you dumb asses probably believe it too

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5142. Re: Dave or Debby SPANKED by ISP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 01:08:31 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <akbv2t02k8@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 134222

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:4guimucjq074fuakoa5jld1bmsj8sr6foh@4ax.com...
> snip
> >No? You think that you're anonymous using google? Hardly. Your IP is
posted
> >in the headers.
> >Which is used in tracking down posts and the person who sent them.
> snip
>
> Oh? A IP address tracks down individuals?
> Prove it. Track me down.

They most certainly can.
Let's say you posted something illegal such as child pornography.
Google would use that IP to find out who your ISP is, as I did.
A court order requesting your ISP to divulge who the user was, at that
particular time, sending that particular message, would lead them to you.
Actually, the owner of the account would be held responsible.

In the headers, there is a line called "Message ID".
It's not random characters. There is a specific coding in it which
identifies YOUR computer.
Along with your IP, google could easily determine where the message came
from.

Here's your headers:

Path:
spln!rex!extra.newsguy.com!lotsanews.com!opentransit.net!newsfeed.news2me.co
m!fs01-sjc1.usenetserver.com!news.webusenet.com!pc01.webusenet.com!t01.sjc1.
webusenet.com.POSTED!not-for-mail

The last item in this line tells me you're posting from webusenet.com.

From: tnom@mucks.net

The mail addy you choose to use, correct or not, makes no difference. An
identifier.

Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Subject: Re: Dave or Debby SPANKED by ISP!!!
Organization: Group One News Network
Message-ID: <4guimucjq074fuakoa5jld1bmsj8sr6foh@4ax.com>

This line shows me you are using forte agent. The 4ax.com is forte's
signature.
The jumbled mess of letters identifies YOUR computer.

Noting other users of webusenet.com, you'll see some similarities in the line.

References: <d1e9cf6a.0208251107.998969b@posting.google.com>
<akbo2g02qod@enews1.newsguy.com>
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.92/32.572
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 10
X-Complaints-To: abuse@usenetserver.com

Also identifies the source of the post.

X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers
X-Abuse-Info: Otherwise we will be unable to process your complaint
properly.
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Aug 2002 20:49:52 EDT
Date: Sun, 25 Aug 2002 20:46:15 -0400
Xref: spln rec.radio.cb:289337

Xref identifies the actual post number. Every article in usenet is assigned a unique number for that group.

I may not be able to identify where you're posting from precisely, but LEA most assuredly can with court orders.

5143. Just soo Keyclowns understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 01:22:32 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208251722.df116dd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134219

Part 2.815 (a) and (c) External radio frequency power amplifiers

(a) as used in this part, an external radio frequency power amplifier is any device which, (1) when used in conjunction with a radio transmitter as a signal source is capable of amplification of that signal, and (2) is not an integral part of a radio transmitter as manufactured.

(c) no person shall manufacture, sell or lease, or offer for sale or lease (including advertising for sale or lease) or import, ship, or distribute for the purpose of selling or leasing or offering for sale or leas, any external radio frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any frequency or frequencies below 144 MHz unless the amplifier has received a grant of type acceptance in accordance with Subpart J of this part and Subpart C of Part 97 or other relevant parts of this chapter. No more than 10 external radio frequency power amplifiers or amplifier kits may be constructed for evaluation purposes in preparation for the submission of an application for a grant of type acceptance.

5144. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 01:23:50 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <Gqfa9.209682$2p2.8569000@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134220

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:Kwea9.34939$Ke2.2453970@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> If you had time to read the specs... you would see that it indeed has full
> coverage of the 10 meter band.
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey

Part 2.815 (a) and (c) External radio frequency power amplifiers

(a) as used in this part, an external radio frequency power amplifier
is any device which, (1) when used in conjunction with a radio
transmitter as a signal source is capable of amplification of that
signal, and (2) is not an integral part of a radio transmitter as
manufactured.

(c) no person shall manufacture, sell or lease, or offer for sale or
lease (including advertising for sale or lease) or import, ship, or
distribute for the purpose of selling or leasing or offering for sale
or leas, any external radio frequency power amplifier or amplifier kit
capable of operation on any frequency or frequencies below 144 MHz
unless the amplifier has received a grant of type acceptance in
accordance with Subpart J of this part and Subpart C of Part 97 or
other relevant parts of this chapter. No more than 10 external radio
frequency power amplifiers or amplifier kits may be constructed for
evaluation purposes in preparation for the submission of an
application for a grant of type acceptance.

5145. The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 01:26:48 UTC
From: audiotest3@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020825212648.28603.00002522@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 134221

The typical ham is:
older Male,
overweight,
overly concerned about "Ham politics",
is ritualistic about the way they "Ham",
obsessed with Ham Radio,
non-technical (except about selecting antennas for their "rigs"

Most Hams are old men. There are few females in Ham Radio. The few young people in Ham Radio are often related to older Hams.

Ham Radio today makes a person overweight. Otherwise, why would the great majority of Hams be overweight? "Contesting" is the essence of Ham Radio. Contesting is making as many contacts as you can within a certain time period. Contesting is a low movement activity. As a result of less physical activity, and lack of restraint in one's diet, one becomes overweight.

Go to a Hamfest or Ham Radio meeting and you will see that this is true. The great majority of Hams are overweight. Not just a little, but very overweight. True, the majority of Americans are overweight. But Hamfests show that a greater percentage of Hams are overweight.

Hams seem to be obsessed about the latest regulation related to their hobby. Their hobby seems to accomplish nothing. It is a meaningless activity to entertain oneself. Contesters make rapid-fire contacts. They only exchange call signs, log in their contact, and then move on to the next contact. Hams seem get a thrill by doing this.

Hams have alot of rituals they follow, secret societies, codeword jargon. And I haven't even mentioned Morse code yet. Hams tend not to be technical, except when it comes to their antennas. Some take great interest and become quite good with antennas. However, the expertise stops there. Antenna knowledge is only useful in that it helps them select the best antennas for their radios. The goal of Ham Radio, don't forget, is to contest - make as many contacts as possible.

Hams are very obsessive about their hobby. The obsession is amazing. Hams try to mention Ham radio whenever they can and try to recruit. Hams get vanity license plates with their call signs on their plates. Hams will take a day off work if the Hamfest starts on Friday. One Ham said he moved to a particular state because he was a Dxer and there were better propagation conditions in that state!

The sad thing is Hams tend to find their contests interesting. Ham Radio needs to include more perspectives if it is to attract more members. Ham radio could be more interesting. It could have a variety of people, do interesting

activities, have interesting conversations, interesting do more technical things with radio. However, this is not what Ham Radio is today.

Hams do not usually achieve much besides winning "contests", which makes them feel good. Outside of Ham Radio, this means nothing. Within Ham radio, it tends to be forgotten with the next contest. There are many contests and their scores are published regularly in Ham magazines. As a result of their obsession, Hams have little time to accomplish anything else, and therefore are less successful. Ham Radio is a pathetic hobby, and the typical Ham is pathetic.

5146. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 01:42:55 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <zIfa9.35011$Ke2.2461610@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134223

OK, the download time was pretty long so I have converted to a PDF instead.
This makes updating the site much easier than the HTML...
And the Adobe Acrobat Reader is free...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> >don't put MS OFfice documents on a website and link to them.
> >convert it to html.

5147. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 01:45:10 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6968F5.BC5C84FE@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134224

Audiotest3 wrote:
> One Ham said he moved to a particular
> state because he was a Dxer and there were better propagation conditions in that state!

Ok, back up some of this garbage, who is the ham that said he
moved to another state for better propagation?

>
> The sad thing is Hams tend to find their contests interesting. Ham Radio needs
> to include more perspectives if it is to attract more members. Ham radio could
> be more interesting. It could have a variety of people, do interesting
> activities, have interesting conversations, interesting do more technical
> things with radio. However, this is not what Ham Radio is today.
>
> Hams do not usually achieve much besides winning "contests", which makes them
> feel good. Outside of Ham Radio, this means nothing. Within Ham radio, it tends
> to be forgotten with the next contest. There are many contests and their scores
> are published regularly in Ham magazines. As a result of their obsession, Hams
> have little time to accomplish anything else, and therefore are less
> successful. Ham Radio is a pathetic hobby, and the typical Ham is pathetic.

And the best cbers can do is grab the mic and holler
"aaaaaaauuuuuuuddddddiiiiiiiiooooooooo!!!!!!" over and over.

5148. Re: Dave or Debby SPANKED by ISP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 01:47:28 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <3s1jmuoa5ca04ihf1edpf4iid9csqdfjpu@4ax.com>
Source record: 134225

snip
>> Oh? A IP address tracks down individuals?
>> Prove it. Track me down.
>
>They most certainly can.
>Let's say you posted something illegal such as child pornography.
>Google would use that IP to find out who your ISP is, as I did.
>A court order requesting your ISP to divulge who the user was, at that
>particular time, sending that particular message, would lead them to you.
>Actually, the owner of the account would be held responsible.

I'm glad you've come clean on just how difficult it is to identify an individual. So let me get this straight. You will/can get a court order against Dave and Debbie because they sell 23 channel radios?

snip

5149. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 01:53:27 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020825215327.18233.00002872@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 134226

i would love to move to florida. better dx, more bkinis, and again better dx.
but i agree for some reason most hams are older over eight type of guys.

>Ok, back up some of this garbage, who is the ham that said he
>moved to another state for better propagation?
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5150. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 02:00:25 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ZYfa9.35021$Ke2.2462074@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134227

Florida.... naaaah to much humidity, now California... that seems better.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020825215327.18233.00002872@mb-mk.aol.com...
> i would love to move to florida. better dx, more bkinis, and again better
dx.
> but i agree for some reason most hams are older over eight type of guys.
>
> >Ok, back up some of this garbage, who is the ham that said he
> >moved to another state for better propagation?

5151. Just so the AKC understands.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 02:01:13 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <JZfa9.345942$q53.11310305@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134228

Main Entry: 1li.bel
Pronunciation: 'lI-b&l
Function: noun
Etymology: Middle English, written declaration, from Middle French, from Latin libellus, diminutive of liber book
Date: 14th century
1 a : a written statement in which a plaintiff in certain courts sets forth the cause of action or the relief sought b archaic : a handbill especially attacking or defaming someone
2 a : a written or oral defamatory statement or representation that conveys an unjustly unfavorable impression b (1) : a statement or representation published without just cause and tending to expose another to public contempt (2) : defamation of a person by written or representational means (3) : the publication of blasphemous, treasonable, seditious, or obscene writings or pictures (4) : the act, tort, or crime of publishing such a libel

The sexual garbage is just that. Wanna keep it up? I'm sure certain ISP's would agree. It's old, childish, and pointless.

5152. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 02:02:10 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <akc27h067n@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 134235

"Audiotest3" <audiotest3@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020825212648.28603.00002522@mb-mn.aol.com...
> The typical ham is:
> older Male,
> overweight,
> overly concerned about "Ham politics",
> is ritualistic about the way they "Ham",
> obsessed with Ham Radio,
> non-technical (except about selecting antennas for their "rigs"

Pure bullshit.
An over abused sterotyping that's been around for years.
Like cb'ers, users are abundant in ALL age groups. Not just one.
And I am quite certain there are a multitude of women, of all ages who are
hams.
Repeaters are not established by dummies.
They are established by very knowledgable people.
Unlike a cb'er, a ham can build his own equipment. That requires
intelligence.
Unlike a cb'er, a ham is required to take a knowledge test, the license
granted based upon how much knowledge he has.
A cb'er only needs to buy a radio and put it on the air.
And that requires a ton of intelligence no ham possesses right?

KMA

5153. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 02:05:29 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020825220529.18189.00003093@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 134229

well growing up on the gulf coast with never any less than 50% humidity it really isnt much of a concern. i enjoy working the europeans too much to want to move to cali

>Florida.... naaaah to much humidity, now California... that seems better.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5154. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 02:11:11 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <37ga9.110297$eK6.3503977@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134230

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020825220529.18189.00003093@mb-mk.aol.com...
> well growing up on the gulf coast with never any less than 50% humidity it
> really isnt much of a concern. i enjoy working the europeans too much to
want
> to move to cali
>
> >Florida.... naaaah to much humidity, now California... that seems better.
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

Ever been to northern CA Tim? Talk about one awesome climate...well, at
least if you don't go too far east. Between the coastal range and I-5 is
about right.

5155. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 02:12:00 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <ebga9.58036$%v4.1818181@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134233

Audiotest3 <audiotest3@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020825212648.28603.00002522@mb-mn.aol.com...
> The typical ham is:
> older Male,
> overweight,
> overly concerned about "Ham politics",
> is ritualistic about the way they "Ham",
> obsessed with Ham Radio,
> non-technical (except about selecting antennas for their "rigs"
>
> Most Hams are old men. There are few females in Ham Radio. The few young
people
> in Ham Radio are often related to older Hams.
>
> Ham Radio today makes a person overweight. Otherwise, why would the great
> majority of Hams be overweight? "Contesting" is the essence of Ham Radio.
> Contesting is making as many contacts as you can within a certain time
period.
> Contesting is a low movement activity. As a result of less physical
activity,
> and lack of restraint in one's diet, one becomes overweight.
>
> Go to a Hamfest or Ham Radio meeting and you will see that this is true.
The
> great majority of Hams are overweight. Not just a little, but very
overweight.
> True, the majority of Americans are overweight. But Hamfests show that a
> greater percentage of Hams are overweight.
>
> Hams seem to be obsessed about the latest regulation related to their
hobby.
> Their hobby seems to accomplish nothing. It is a meaningless activity to
> entertain oneself. Contesters make rapid-fire contacts. They only exchange
call
> signs, log in their contact, and then move on to the next contact. Hams
seem
> get a thrill by doing this.
>
> Hams have alot of rituals they follow, secret societies, codeword jargon.
And I
> haven't even mentioned Morse code yet. Hams tend not to be technical,
except
> when it comes to their antennas. Some take great interest and become quite
good

> with antennas. However, the expertise stops there. Antenna knowledge is
only
> useful in that it helps them select the best antennas for their radios.
The
> goal of Ham Radio, don't forget, is to contest - make as many contacts as
> possible.
>
> Hams are very obsessive about their hobby. The obsession is amazing. Hams
try
> to mention Ham radio whenever they can and try to recruit. Hams get vanity
> license plates with their call signs on their plates. Hams will take a day
off
> work if the Hamfest starts on Friday. One Ham said he moved to a
particular
> state because he was a Dxer and there were better propagation conditions
in
> that state!
>
> The sad thing is Hams tend to find their contests interesting. Ham Radio
needs
> to include more perspectives if it is to attract more members. Ham radio
could
> be more interesting. It could have a variety of people, do interesting
> activities, have interesting conversations, interesting do more technical
> things with radio. However, this is not what Ham Radio is today.
>
> Hams do not usually achieve much besides winning "contests", which makes
them
> feel good. Outside of Ham Radio, this means nothing. Within Ham radio, it
tends
> to be forgotten with the next contest. There are many contests and their
scores
> are published regularly in Ham magazines. As a result of their obsession,
Hams
> have little time to accomplish anything else, and therefore are less
> successful. Ham Radio is a pathetic hobby, and the typical Ham is
pathetic.

Yeah, stupid. it's so pathetic it's getting money from Department of
Homeland Defense to
provide additional training for hams in emergency assistance. It's so
pathetic that it's got some
protection against local zoning laws that states that local gov't must make
reasonable accomo-
dations for hams to have antennas and support structures. Currently, there
are efforts, mostly
successful, in state legislatures to codify the Federal statute (PRB-1) into
state laws. And there
is a Bill moving thru Congress to provide relief from CC & R's imposed by
builders and home-

owners' associations, and it is likely to pass. If you, sir, are a CBer you will find that there are
no such initiatives on behalf of CBers indicating the relative importance placed upon the respect-
ive services amongst the authorities.

This ham doesn't do contests, bud. A lot of my DX efforts go towards testing equipment and
antennas that I have built. I spend more time learning about the mechanicals and theory of radio
than I do talking. Non-techical? Fella, I don't go out and buy 'them thar antenners'; I MAKE my
own.

If ham radio is less technical, it is because of the caliber of people that are coming into the ranks,
and is a reflection of US society itself. It is not only radio that is being "dumbed down" in order to
satisfy the politically correct scions who are afraid someone less bright may be "excluded", but all
facets of society.

As to hams being old, you are seeing what you WANT to see. I see PLENTY of women and
kids in the hobby. I will be at the Shelby Hamfest all this week, and I betcha I can verify my
statement. We ALL get old eventually. I've been involved with radio since I was 10 when I
listened to my Dad's old 1940 Philco shortwave radio. And ham radio is not my only hobby.
I enjoy flying, woodworking, traveling, reading, swimming, roller skating, computers, and vintage
cars--among others.

The goal of Amateur Radio is to foster understanding of radio, goodwill among nations, and to
provide emergency communications during emergencies--which it did most admirably in the after-
math of 9/11; hams were among the first to respond, and remained on the job until most all regular
communications had been restored. I haven't heard a single word about your "Tan-fer thar" boys.Try convincing the New York City Emergency Management people that Amateur Radio is
"meaningless".

No matter how much you attempt to demean Amateur Radio, you will never be able to escape the
fact that CB radio among the affluent populace is associated with trailer parks, ratty old cars with
dual "whup-whups" on the bumper going "fooomp-fooomp-fooomp-fooomp" because they are running on 5 cylinders instead of 8, goofy, meaningless
jargon--often sounding like some unedu-ated Southern bumpkin, and

"pickem-up" trucks with twin Radio Shack fiberglass antennas on
the mirrors.

As to "accomplishment", how come it is Amateur Radio that is called upon to
augment emergency
communications during disaster, not CBers? Hmmmmm? Why are Amateurs
allocated thousands
of frequencies in multiple bands while CB is restricted to 40 channels?

And before the rest of you flame me, remember this knothead started this
argument.

Jerry

5156. Re: The length of coax is very important.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 02:14:44 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <7ega9.58056$%v4.1824921@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134236

Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:78G89.120322$Yd.5367830@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:H3G89.317897$q53.10321263@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > But the formula is rather simle:
> >
> >
> > 1.2 X the length to connect the transmitter and antenna. .900 X can also
> be
> > used, but expect substandard results.
> >
> > -R-
> >
> >
>
> Duh!!! SP... simple

And it is absolute, utter, and complete NONSENSE! Particularly when dealing
with a single
antenna. The formula for your coax length is: A LENGTH THAT IS DIRECTLY
PROPOR-
TIONAL TO THE DISTANCE BETWEEN THE RADIO AND ANTENNA!!!!!!!!! The
rest is complete bullshit and I can PROVE it!!!

Jerry
>
>

5157. Re: ATTN: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 02:15:43 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jbga9.184808$me6.23867@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 134231

What do you know? I have a friend of a friend of a friend of a friend that went to school with Bill Gates. He plays golf with Steve Jobs on the weekends too. He tells me you are all morons and don't know what your talking about. I'm never wrong I know everything and everyone.

How do you want your Video Card served?

fried?
boiled?
baked?
BBQ?
With mustard?

Dave

Sunday work is the best. Minimum of 2 hours overtime pay even if it takes 30min to drive there, 10 min to do the job and 30min to drive home :-D If I'd a known it was going to be this easy I wouldn't have griped and moaned about it all evening!

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message news:20020825112141.28375.00002721@mb-ce.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ATTN: Aaron Boobner
> >From: "Dave" local_42remove@hotmail.com
> >Date: 8/24/02 8:03 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <D9V99.158576$983.238749@rwcrnsc53>
> >
> >Alan S. Wrote:
> ><snip> Useless crap, completely and utterly void of facts or truth.
</snip>
> >
> >
> >
> >Alan you are sooooooooooooooo wrong on this one. I don't believe you have
> >been in computers for a long time or else I would know. You can't eat a
> >Video Card chip by chip cause real computer guys don't call them chips.
What
> >a cud chewing moron. Prove me wrong Alan, prove me wrong.
>
> I'm certainly NOT Alan, but I will tell you that you have it wrong! Older
video
> cards used drop in chips to expand memory on them. These looked much like
any
> larger sized (32 pins or so) IC chip. That would explain the eating of the
card
> "chip by chip" and may give crediblity to his being around computers

longer
> than you.
> Train

5158. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 02:16:52 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020825221652.28619.00002463@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 134232

i heard they grow alot of top notch bud up there. maybe i need to go check i
out. :)

>Ever been to northern CA Tim? Talk about one awesome climate...well, at
>least if you don't go too far east. Between the coastal range and I-5 is
>about right.
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5159. I guess I haven't met the typical ham ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 02:17:19 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umj3u54vkb0i3f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134234

Maybe you can point me in the right direction. Personally, I'm 5' 9" (kinda short) and 163 pounds (not overweight and can still do a ton of pushups). I have NEVER contested. The guys I know personally and am in contact with on the air generally don't contest (come to think of it, only one is overweight and not by much). Conversations on the air run the gamut from 'what are the Rhinos doing (the local soccer team)?' to theoretical physics. I've chatted via teletype for hours on end with Brisbane, Australia, on HF. I've had a friend call me on the 444.850 repeater since his HT was much closer than the phone to ask if I could provide a ride (which I did, especially since I owe my amateur license to him - yes, the HT is usually on scan for a few hours in the afternoon and evening). I had a nasty crash on my computer which had both Linux and Windows partitions and the master boot record was kaput. I had stopped working on it and gave my friend a call on the air - a third station broke in (inside of 30 seconds) to advise me to boot the Linux and delete all Linux partitions with that and THEN use fdisk. It worked like a charm! You don't find that kind of help on channel 9. Most of the amateurs are quite knowlegeable (including the codeless techs). You find a number of techs, teachers, college professors, and, yes, garbage collectors; however, one thing is fairly common - a fairly broad knowlege of electronics - which extends far beyond radio. Of course, my activity is 440 FM; then again, like myself, many of these guys (and gals, although I'll admit there aren't nearly enough of them - you are correct there) have extra class tickets AND are on HF to boot. Methinks you are not only painting with too wide a brush, I think you've got the wrong paint as well. BTW a good number of them are involved in emergency communications - and many are in training for the same as well. What are you doing with your spare time?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

Audiotest3 <audiotest3@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020825212648.28603.00002522@mb-mn.aol.com...
> The typical ham is:
> older Male,
> overweight,
> overly concerned about "Ham politics",
> is ritualistic about the way they "Ham",
> obsessed with Ham Radio,
> non-technical (except about selecting antennas for their "rigs"
>
> Most Hams are old men. There are few females in Ham Radio. The few young
people
> in Ham Radio are often related to older Hams.
>
> Ham Radio today makes a person overweight. Otherwise, why would the great

> majority of Hams be overweight? "Contesting" is the essence of Ham Radio.
> Contesting is making as many contacts as you can within a certain time
period.
> Contesting is a low movement activity. As a result of less physical
activity,
> and lack of restraint in one's diet, one becomes overweight.
>
> Go to a Hamfest or Ham Radio meeting and you will see that this is true.
The
> great majority of Hams are overweight. Not just a little, but very
overweight.
> True, the majority of Americans are overweight. But Hamfests show that a
> greater percentage of Hams are overweight.
>
> Hams seem to be obsessed about the latest regulation related to their
hobby.
> Their hobby seems to accomplish nothing. It is a meaningless activity to
> entertain oneself. Contesters make rapid-fire contacts. They only exchange
call
> signs, log in their contact, and then move on to the next contact. Hams
seem
> get a thrill by doing this.
>
> Hams have alot of rituals they follow, secret societies, codeword jargon.
And I
> haven't even mentioned Morse code yet. Hams tend not to be technical,
except
> when it comes to their antennas. Some take great interest and become quite
good
> with antennas. However, the expertise stops there. Antenna knowledge is
only
> useful in that it helps them select the best antennas for their radios.
The
> goal of Ham Radio, don't forget, is to contest - make as many contacts as
> possible.
>
> Hams are very obsessive about their hobby. The obsession is amazing. Hams
try
> to mention Ham radio whenever they can and try to recruit. Hams get vanity
> license plates with their call signs on their plates. Hams will take a day
off
> work if the Hamfest starts on Friday. One Ham said he moved to a
particular
> state because he was a Dxer and there were better propagation conditions
in
> that state!
>
> The sad thing is Hams tend to find their contests interesting. Ham Radio
needs
> to include more perspectives if it is to attract more members. Ham radio

could
> be more interesting. It could have a variety of people, do interesting
> activities, have interesting conversations, interesting do more technical
> things with radio. However, this is not what Ham Radio is today.
>
> Hams do not usually achieve much besides winning "contests", which makes
them
> feel good. Outside of Ham Radio, this means nothing. Within Ham radio, it
tends
> to be forgotten with the next contest. There are many contests and their
scores
> are published regularly in Ham magazines. As a result of their obsession,
Hams
> have little time to accomplish anything else, and therefore are less
> successful. Ham Radio is a pathetic hobby, and the typical Ham is
pathetic.
>

5160. Somebody's online and his ports are open...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 02:23:37 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Jiga9.346095$q53.11317267@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134237

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d1e9cf6a.0208251100.27e8c637@posting.google.com...
> Filled with pics of his feminine exploits, writings of his CRIMINAL
> activities, and the 100% TRUTH!!!
>
>
> Don't miss it!!!
>
> Chapwoman, the web page...coming soon!

But he has a REALLY slow ping. Must be on a cheap dialup account. Anyone
else wanna try?

Give it a shot.

65.238.191.223

5161. Re: The length of coax is very important.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 02:31:16 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Upga9.142858$Yd.6480837@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134239

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:7ega9.58056$%v4.1824921@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:78G89.120322$Yd.5367830@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:H3G89.317897$q53.10321263@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > But the formula is rather simle:
> > >
> > >
> > > 1.2 X the length to connect the transmitter and antenna. .900 X can
also
> > be
> > > used, but expect substandard results.
> > >
> > > -R-
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > Duh!!! SP... simple
>
> And it is absolute, utter, and complete NONSENSE! Particularly when
dealing
> with a single
> antenna. The formula for your coax length is: A LENGTH THAT IS DIRECTLY
> PROPOR-
> TIONAL TO THE DISTANCE BETWEEN THE RADIO AND ANTENNA!!!!!!!!! The
> rest is complete bullshit and I can PROVE it!!!
>
> Jerry

OK Jerry, read it again, then prove your point. Coax that runs straight from
the radio to the antenna is seldom used. Usually gets in the way of
passengers in a vehicle.

5162. Re: PARTS FOR THE BUILDER IN YOU.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 02:33:01 UTC
From: NI4L <ni4l@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3D69935D.8030406@charter.net>
Source record: 134238

MANY THANKS SOLD........

NI4L wrote:

> Hello All
> I have aprox 40 lbs shipping weight of all sorts of really good parts.
> Might be a little less or maybe more i have a bunch of hard to find
> items and will fill the box up.
> 17 Ceramic bandswitches every one will handle legal limit + .
> Some really Nice Air Varaibles big and small ones.
> 3 varaics
> Tubes 3-813's, 1- 811, a bunch more tubes all transmitting tubes
> 5 panel mount meters
> A nice collection of knobs
> Aprox 12 lbs of ceramic and regular tube sockets
> Plate choke with plate resistors and caps for 2-811
> handfull of ceramic plate caps big and small
> really to much to list individually. I have some pics if your
> interested.
> There is alot here.
> would like to sell it all in one shot, Make me an offer i can't refuse.
> Chris NI4L
>

--
NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
Made with the same components used by our military forces today
Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/

5163. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 02:42:55 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020825224255.28619.00002469@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 134241

it takes two people to argue and you just swallowed it hook line and sinker. if you continue with the "tawn fo der good buddy" stereo types i don't see why we cant go on with the old man balding and overweight stereo types of ham radio. they are both based in some truth. and funny your technicalities listed by yourself was antenna building just like the troll said haha

>And before the rest of you flame me, remember this knothead started this
>argument.
>
>Jerry
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5164. DO NOT OPEN "you can all go to hell" letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 02:43:23 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <Utga9.1333$bC5.1268@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134240

Fortunately i have all the latest virus protection and i was not infected.
It is some sort of alt. config. virus that i was able to quarantine and
remove.
--
Mad Dog

5165. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 02:49:12 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020825224912.28619.00002471@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 134242

john smith, take a look at the wintransceiver built by cb'ers for cb'ers. just because we don't have to experiment and learn does not mean that we don't.

>
>Pure bullshit.
>An over abused sterotyping that's been around for years.
>Like cb'ers, users are abundant in ALL age groups. Not just one.
>And I am quite certain there are a multitude of women, of all ages who are
>hams.
>Repeaters are not established by dummies.
>They are established by very knowledgable people.
>Unlike a cb'er, a ham can build his own equipment. That requires
>intelligence.
>Unlike a cb'er, a ham is required to take a knowledge test, the license
>granted based upon how much knowledge he has.
>A cb'er only needs to buy a radio and put it on the air.
>And that requires a ton of intelligence no ham possesses right?
>
>KMA
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5166. Uh oh....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 02:53:45 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ZKga9.346209$q53.11325597@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134243

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Jiga9.346095$q53.11317267@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:d1e9cf6a.0208251100.27e8c637@posting.google.com...
> > Filled with pics of his feminine exploits, writings of his CRIMINAL
> > activities, and the 100% TRUTH!!!
> >
> >
> > Don't miss it!!!
> >
> > Chapwoman, the web page...coming soon!
>
> But he has a REALLY slow ping. Must be on a cheap dialup account. Anyone
> else wanna try?
>
> Give it a shot.
>
> 65.238.191.223
>
>

Status Address Round Trip Data Size IP Address
IP Timed out -541069759 262164 ms 0 bytes 65.238.191.223
Successfull -541069759 296 ms 4 bytes 65.238.191.223
IP Timed out -541069759 262164 ms 0 bytes 65.238.191.223
Successfull -541069759 281 ms 4 bytes 65.238.191.223
IP Timed out -541069759 262164 ms 0 bytes 65.238.191.223
Successfull -541069759 296 ms 4 bytes 65.238.191.223
IP Timed out -541069759 262164 ms 0 bytes 65.238.191.223
Successfull -541069759 343 ms 4 bytes 65.238.191.223
Successfull -541069759 297 ms 4 bytes 65.238.191.223
Successfull -541069759 313 ms 4 bytes 65.238.191.223

5167. Re: DO NOT OPEN "you can all go to hell" letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 02:54:57 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <5Mga9.346216$q53.11325928@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134244

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:Utga9.1333$bC5.1268@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> Fortunately i have all the latest virus protection and i was not infected.
> It is some sort of alt. config. virus that i was able to quarantine and
> remove.
> --
> Mad Dog
>
>
>

Use Win2000 or XP, and forget about most of it.

5168. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 02:57:33 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <xOga9.346226$q53.11326795@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134245

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:ebga9.58036$%v4.1818181@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> Audiotest3 <audiotest3@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020825212648.28603.00002522@mb-mn.aol.com...
> > The typical ham is:
> > older Male,
> > overweight,
> > overly concerned about "Ham politics",
> > is ritualistic about the way they "Ham",
> > obsessed with Ham Radio,
> > non-technical (except about selecting antennas for their "rigs"
> >
> > Most Hams are old men. There are few females in Ham Radio. The few young
> people
> > in Ham Radio are often related to older Hams.
> >
> > Ham Radio today makes a person overweight. Otherwise, why would the
great
> > majority of Hams be overweight? "Contesting" is the essence of Ham
Radio.
> > Contesting is making as many contacts as you can within a certain time
> period.
> > Contesting is a low movement activity. As a result of less physical
> activity,
> > and lack of restraint in one's diet, one becomes overweight.
> >
> > Go to a Hamfest or Ham Radio meeting and you will see that this is true.
> The
> > great majority of Hams are overweight. Not just a little, but very
> overweight.
> > True, the majority of Americans are overweight. But Hamfests show that a
> > greater percentage of Hams are overweight.
> >
> > Hams seem to be obsessed about the latest regulation related to their
> hobby.
> > Their hobby seems to accomplish nothing. It is a meaningless activity to
> > entertain oneself. Contesters make rapid-fire contacts. They only
exchange
> call
> > signs, log in their contact, and then move on to the next contact. Hams
> seem
> > get a thrill by doing this.
> >

> > Hams have alot of rituals they follow, secret societies, codeword
jargon.
> And I
> > haven't even mentioned Morse code yet. Hams tend not to be technical,
> except
> > when it comes to their antennas. Some take great interest and become
quite
> good
> > with antennas. However, the expertise stops there. Antenna knowledge is
> only
> > useful in that it helps them select the best antennas for their radios.
> The
> > goal of Ham Radio, don't forget, is to contest - make as many contacts
as
> > possible.
> >
> > Hams are very obsessive about their hobby. The obsession is amazing.
Hams
> try
> > to mention Ham radio whenever they can and try to recruit. Hams get
vanity
> > license plates with their call signs on their plates. Hams will take a
day
> off
> > work if the Hamfest starts on Friday. One Ham said he moved to a
> particular
> > state because he was a Dxer and there were better propagation conditions
> in
> > that state!
> >
> > The sad thing is Hams tend to find their contests interesting. Ham Radio
> needs
> > to include more perspectives if it is to attract more members. Ham radio
> could
> > be more interesting. It could have a variety of people, do interesting
> > activities, have interesting conversations, interesting do more
technical
> > things with radio. However, this is not what Ham Radio is today.
> >
> > Hams do not usually achieve much besides winning "contests", which makes
> them
> > feel good. Outside of Ham Radio, this means nothing. Within Ham radio,
it
> tends
> > to be forgotten with the next contest. There are many contests and their
> scores
> > are published regularly in Ham magazines. As a result of their
obsession,
> Hams
> > have little time to accomplish anything else, and therefore are less

> > successful. Ham Radio is a pathetic hobby, and the typical Ham is
> pathetic.
>
> Yeah, stupid. it's so pathetic it's getting money from Department of
> Homeland Defense to
> provide additional training for hams in emergency assistance. It's so
> pathetic that it's got some
> protection against local zoning laws that states that local gov't must
make
> reasonable accomo-
> dations for hams to have antennas and support structures. Currently,
there
> are efforts, mostly
> successful, in state legislatures to codify the Federal statute (PRB-1)
into
> state laws. And there
> is a Bill moving thru Congress to provide relief from CC & R's imposed by
> builders and home-
> owners' associations, and it is likely to pass. If you, sir, are a CBer
you
> will find that there are
> no such initiatives on behalf of CBers indicating the relative importance
> placed upon the respect-
> ive services amongst the authorities.
>
> This ham doesn't do contests, bud. A lot of my DX efforts go towards
> testing equipment and
> antennas that I have built. I spend more time learning about the
> mechanicals and theory of radio
> than I do talking. Non-techical? Fella, I don't go out and buy 'them thar
> antenners'; I MAKE my
> own.
>
> If ham radio is less technical, it is because of the caliber of people
that
> are coming into the ranks,
> and is a reflection of US society itself. It is not only radio that is
> being "dumbed down" in order to
> satisfy the politically correct scions who are afraid someone less bright
> may be "excluded", but all
> facets of society.
>
>
> As to hams being old, you are seeing what you WANT to see. I see PLENTY
of
> women and
> kids in the hobby. I will be at the Shelby Hamfest all this week, and I
> betcha I can verify my
> statement. We ALL get old eventually. I've been involved with radio
since

> I was 10 when I
> listened to my Dad's old 1940 Philco shortwave radio. And ham radio is
not
> my only hobby.
> I enjoy flying, woodworking, traveling, reading, swimming, roller skating,
> computers, and vintage
> cars--among others.
>
> The goal of Amateur Radio is to foster understanding of radio, goodwill
> among nations, and to
> provide emergency communications during emergencies--which it did most
> admirably in the after-
> math of 9/11; hams were among the first to respond, and remained on the
job
> until most all regular
> communications had been restored. I haven't heard a single word about
your
> "Tan-fer thar" boys.Try convincing the New York City Emergency Management
> people that Amateur Radio is
> "meaningless".
>
> No matter how much you attempt to demean Amateur Radio, you will never be
> able to escape the
> fact that CB radio among the affluent populace is associated with trailer
> parks, ratty old cars with
> dual "whup-whups" on the bumper going "fooomp-fooomp-fooomp-fooomp"
because
> they are running on 5 cylinders instead of 8, goofy, meaningless
> jargon--often sounding like some unedu-ated Southern bumpkin, and
> "pickem-up" trucks with twin Radio Shack fiberglass antennas on
> the mirrors.
>
> As to "accomplishment", how come it is Amateur Radio that is called upon
to
> augment emergency
> communications during disaster, not CBers? Hmmmmm? Why are Amateurs
> allocated thousands
> of frequencies in multiple bands while CB is restricted to 40 channels?
>
> And before the rest of you flame me, remember this knothead started this
> argument.
>
> Jerry
>
>
>

Jerry, you are one bigoted idiot.

5169. FS: KICKER 500 BASE AMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 03:12:16 UTC
From: reg1647@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020825231216.02347.00003721@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 134246

SAME AS THE FIREBIRD 500, $175

5170. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 03:22:20 UTC
From: audiotest3@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020825232220.28654.00002034@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 134147

From: JJ radiobuff0@mailcity.net
>Ok, back up some of this garbage, who is the ham that said he
>moved to another state for better propagation?

This was heard at the Boxboro, MA Hamfest (cant remember who said it). The speaker may have said this to include some humor in his speech. Also, there may have been other factors in his moving to MA. However, the speaker sounded sincere when he said this.

From: "Jerry Oxendine" joxendi@bellsouth.net

>Yeah, stupid. it's so pathetic it's getting money from Department of
>Homeland Defense to
>provide additional training for hams in emergency assistance.
True. But most Hams use their radios as toys.

>If ham radio is less technical, it is because of the caliber of people that
>are coming into the ranks,
>and is a reflection of US society itself.
Non-technical is fine if that's what you want to do with Amateur Radio. Some want to learn about the technical aspects and there are few avenues in Amateur Radio to do that. There are few mentors, technical seminars, etc. Amateur Radio clubs, at least in MA, do not emphasize the technical aspects.

>As to hams being old, you are seeing what you WANT to see. I see PLENTY of
>women and
>kids in the hobby.
There are Hams of various ages. Some clubs consist of mostly older members. There seem to be more on the older side than the younger side. The percentage of women is definitely low. As for being Hams being overweight, this is something I have seen at many Hamfests. At the Boxboro convention this weekend, so great percentages were overweight. I may have been seeing this, but I doubt others would not reach the same conclusion.

5171. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 03:44:38 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Guha9.5934$6s5.572921982@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134148

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020825220529.18189.00003093@mb-mk.aol.com...
> well growing up on the gulf coast with never any less than 50% humidity it
> really isnt much of a concern. i enjoy working the europeans too much to
want
> to move to cali
>
> >Florida.... naaaah to much humidity, now California... that seems better.
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

Yeah, but you get to work Hawaii, east coast,
Canada, Alaska, fishing boats working off shore and
best of all.............................. 8 to 25% humidity at
the most.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

5172. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 03:44:38 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Guha9.5935$6s5.572921982@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134149

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020825221652.28619.00002463@mb-mn.aol.com...
> i heard they grow alot of top notch bud up there. maybe i need to go check
i
> out. :)
>
>
>
> >Ever been to northern CA Tim? Talk about one awesome climate...well, at
> >least if you don't go too far east. Between the coastal range and I-5 is
> >about right.
> >
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

Humbolt county..... gave it
up in 77 so don't ask, but still know friends.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

5173. Re: Oops, what he meant to say.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 03:44:38 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <Guha9.5933$6s5.572921982@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134150

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:ktea9.109965$eK6.3483622@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Keyclown Lusters" <keyclown's-punk@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:d1e9cf6a.0208251058.3ed908af@posting.google.com...
> > Distortions do not change the truth. You can ignore all you want, but
> > you know you keyclowns are feeling nothing from antikeyclown
> > activity...almost as much as we feel the pleasure from fondling each
> > other.
> >
>
> > > > > > "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:d1e9cf6a.0208241411.4bf807ea@posting.google.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > TOP POST PURPOSELY DONE TO MAKE ME AN ASS!
> > > > > > > Who ever said N8 needed or wanted common sense? Why would he?
The
> > > > > > > Antiboys have
> > > > > > > overwhelmingly accomplished the task of making mamas whine and
> > > > > > > moan. As for not saying stuff on the ham bands...that has no
> meaning
> > > > > > > at all. We are not on the ham bands. Anything goes on CB...you
> > > > > > > masters of us have said that over and over. OK, so the
Antitwerps
> > > agree
> > > to
> > > > > > > those terms and make you out as the almighty masters of all the
> free
> > > time
> > > I have.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > More pointless websites are on the way. More ignored ratouts are
> > > coming
> > > daily. More
> > > > > > > frustration and whining from me will then manifest itself here.
> > > > > > > No one can stop the Antikeyclowns on our ridiculous path. There
> isn't
> > > a
> > > > > > > thing you care to do about us, so just sit there and ignore it.
> You
> > > have
> > > for
> > > > > > > years and will continue to do so.

> > > > > >
> > > > > > You can say anything you want, but Antikeyclowns are impotent,
and
> > > > > > you are completely without the desire to help us.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > LOL!, pretty funny Randy, now
> > > > > Doug's new account is going into the kill file.

Wasn't it you that said "Kill file him, you'll
feel a lot better" Do it , you'll feel better!.

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > There are no stupid questions, just stupid
> > > > people like me.
> > > >
> > > > Landshark
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
>
>
>

5174. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 03:47:45 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020825234745.28619.00002477@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 134151

ouch, i can feel the need for chapstick at just the mention of 8% humidity

>Yeah, but you get to work Hawaii, east coast,
>Canada, Alaska, fishing boats working off shore and
>best of all..... 8 to 25% humidity at
>the most.
>
> Landshark

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5175. Re: DO NOT OPEN "you can all go to hell" letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 03:57:54 UTC
From: Tad Danley <tdanley@nospamatearthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D69A749.F4C45BDE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 134152

Mad Dog wrote:

> Fortunately i have all the latest virus protection and i was not infected.
> It is some sort of alt. config. virus that i was able to quarantine and
> remove.

It is a fake - there is no such virus.

--
Tad Danley, K3TD
mailto:tdanley@earthlink.net

Caution!
Anti-SPAM e-mail return address in use.

5176. Re: Oops, what he meant to say.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 04:00:16 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <kJha9.346403$q53.11345249@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134153

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:Guha9.5933$6s5.572921982@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:ktea9.109965$eK6.3483622@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Keyclown Lusters" <keyclown's-punk@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:d1e9cf6a.0208251058.3ed908af@posting.google.com...
> > > Distortions do not change the truth. You can ignore all you want, but
> > > you know you keyclowns are feeling nothing from antikeyclown
> > > activity...almost as much as we feel the pleasure from fondling each
> > > other.
> > >
> >
> > > > > > "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:d1e9cf6a.0208241411.4bf807ea@posting.google.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > TOP POST PURPOSELY DONE TO MAKE ME AN ASS!
> > > > > > > Who ever said N8 needed or wanted common sense? Why would he?
> The
> > > > > > > Antiboys have
> > > > > > > overwhelmingly accomplished the task of making mamas whine and
> > > > > > > moan. As for not saying stuff on the ham bands...that has no
> > meaning
> > > > > > > at all. We are not on the ham bands. Anything goes on CB...you
> > > > > > > masters of us have said that over and over. OK, so the
> Antitwerps
> > > > > agree
> > > > > to
> > > > > > > those terms and make you out as the almighty masters of all
the
> > free
> > > > > time
> > > > > I have.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > More pointless websites are on the way. More ignored ratouts
are
> > > > > coming
> > > > > daily. More
> > > > > > > frustration and whining from me will then manifest itself
here.
> > > > > > > No one can stop the Antikeyclowns on our ridiculous path.
There

> > isn't
> > > > a
> > > > > > > thing you care to do about us, so just sit there and ignore
it.
> > You
> > > > have
> > > > for
> > > > > > > years and will continue to do so.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You can say anything you want, but Antikeyclowns are impotent,
> and
> > > > > > > you are completely without the desire to help us.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > LOL!, pretty funny Randy, now
> > > > > > Doug's new account is going into the kill file.
>
> Wasn't it you that said "Kill file him, you'll
> feel a lot better" Do it , you'll feel better!.
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> "I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
> he who defends everything defends
> nothing."
>
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > --
> > > > > > There are no stupid questions, just stupid
> > > > > > people like me.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Landshark
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

I don't think it was Shark. He's too much fun. I just wanna yank one more
ISP from him before I do that.

-R-

5177. Re: Let me rephrase that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 06:38:07 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <akciolmpl1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 134154

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D5FF291.BEA037E8@mailcity.net...
>
> Mad Dog wrote:
> >
> > Coaxial length between a transceiver and antenna are not important,
> > however using less than 18ft. between a amplifier and antenna can
> > generate enough rf feedback to possibly cause the transmit side of
> > the amp. to latch-on.
>
> "latch on" to what?

He is probably referring to when the TX latches on.

Under certain conditions, some radios will stick on tx after you let go of the mic key. In such cases, the only way to stop it is to switch the radio off and back on.
The problem occurs with certain (poorly designed) radios when operating into a badly tuned antenna. The reflected RF gets back into the radio and may either lock the switching on transmit or, more often, send the output into self oscillation.

We had some radios in the UK that had those problems with a high SWR,
One radio hated a high SWR so much that it would just let out one almighty farting sound through all the channels and the signal LEDs would flash to the beat of the fart.

Messing with coax length may mask the fault enough for the radio to behave itself, but is not the cause or cure.

Regards,

Peter.

5178. Re: Let me rephrase that

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 06:38:15 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <akcip2mpl2@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 134155

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D61FDFC.1ABD6674@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
> The only time I have ever seen a box latch on, was if the unit has
> high gain (beta) parts in it and there is no reverse feedback loop
> in the unit.

Would that be because you only buy decent radios?

I have seen radios where the tx would latch on due to feedback... but they were cheaper, porely designed ones. Even then, it only happened with an antenna fault with the RF getting back into the radio circuits.

I have also seen UK radios which, as you say, have too much gain. Once again, they were cheap radios where the output has too few stages with the final stages having excessive gain to get the allowed output. Any messing with the output would result in self oscillation.

I have also seen it in the receiver of an otherwise good radio. Every so often, the rx would go dead with the only cure being to switch off and back on. It turned out to be too much gain on one of the IF stages.

Regards,

Peter.

5179. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 06:38:32 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <akcip6mpl5@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 134156

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:sWX99.298$2T5.26551365@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> Like wise Jim & Tim, I received the same thing,
> so it is indeed a sad in the radio community.

He always spoke his mind, regardless of any apparent "side of the fence". His replies were always based on his technical knowledge, not the dogma that can rule some replies here.

I will certainly miss him on this newsgroup.

Regards,

Peter.

5180. Galaxy-Ranger Service Manual--2nd Edition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 06:48:05 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <npjjmugkip88hvdu3j82ccs53eb9fvs0jf@4ax.com>
Source record: 134157

In stock-the NEW updated Galaxy-Ranger service manual. This SECOND EDITION covers the EPT3600, EPT0696, and EPT6900 chassis types. That includes MANY brands such as Galaxy, Ranger/RCI, Superstar, Texas Ranger, Connex, etc. Complete details on this specific book are on our Web site at:

www.cbcintl.com/galaxy.htm

Check out all our CB specialty books, plans, kits, & high-performance accessories at:

www.cbcintl.com

* *
In case you didn't already know...

Who are we? Since 1976 we've been the leading supplier of books, plans, and kits just for CB radio. Do-it-yourself repairs and modifications, 10-Meter Ham conversions, amplifiers, FM converters, bleedover filters, adding new frequencies, etc. Secrets of how to increase your power, range, and privacy.

If you have any problems getting the files, or need additional details on what we offer, please email us directly at:

info@cbcintl.com

73,
Lou Franklin--President
K6NH / "SuperSparks"

CBC International
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA

TOLL-FREE TEL/FAX:
888-I-FIX-CBs (1-888-434-9227)
Local: (520) 298-7980

www.cbcintl.com

Email: info@cbcintl.com

5181. Expanded 8-Band Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 06:48:50 UTC
From: Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com>
Message-ID: <4qjjmugbrhj5k84ulhmiduhqh0dne8gs5m@4ax.com>
Source record: 134158

FREE DOWNLOAD, 8-band expanded CB frequency chart shows 4 bands down, 3 up, + CB 40. Find where you are, 25.165 Ch.1 lowest band to 28.755 MHz Ch.40 highest band. Includes the skips too.

Download this (13Kb, 1-page PDF format/portrait layout) at:

www.cbcintl.com/docs/channels.pdf

We'll be adding more freebies as time permits. For links to those freebies we already have up, go to:

www.cbcintl.com/freebies.htm

Due to high demand, look for the xtal-mixing charts & block mixing diagrams covering about 95% of the most common AM and AM/SSB CB radios here. Lots of guys asking to "fill in the blanks" in order to replace a bad xtal or convert/expand these older rigs to new freqs.

Many thanks (again!) for all your great support these last 26 years!
73,
Lou
****

****
Who are we? Since 1976, we've been THE source for CB Radio technical information & high-performance CB accessories!

DIRECT link to our secure Web Order Form:
https://www.cbcintl.com/secure.htm
NOTE: Include the "s" in http; otherwise it's not secure!

Email: lou@cbcintl.com
Web: www.cbcintl.com

CBC INTERNATIONAL
P.O. Box 30655
Tucson AZ 85751 USA
Toll-Free TEL. & FAX: (888) 434-9227
Local TEL. & FAX: (520) 298-7980

5182. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 07:12:31 UTC
From: AJ Sanderson <alphasand@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <akckcs$3sf$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 134159

> The typical ham is:
> older Male,

Well, not too old yet. I was licensed in 1990 at 15 years of age.

> overweight,

Yes, I'm overweight. Lost 65 pounds two years ago, but gained it back due to a new job where I didn't have time to exercise. I have taken care of this, however.

> overly concerned about "Ham politics",

I wouldn't say that in my case as I'm fairly inactive right now.

> is ritualistic about the way they "Ham",
> obsessed with Ham Radio,
> non-technical (except about selecting antennas for their "rigs"

Hmmm, definitely NOT obsessed with HR. I used to talk fairly technical at times, even when I was first licensed.

>
> Most Hams are old men. There are few females in Ham Radio. The few young people
> in Ham Radio are often related to older Hams.

Don't agree with your first statement, especially in my case. I do agree with the last two statements.

> Ham Radio today makes a person overweight. Otherwise, why would the great
> majority of Hams be overweight? "Contesting" is the essence of Ham Radio.
> Contesting is making as many contacts as you can within a certain time period.
> Contesting is a low movement activity. As a result of less physical activity,
> and lack of restraint in one's diet, one becomes overweight.

I think that's a good point. Contesting does restrict moving around for sure. I suppose that, over time, weight would be gained due to the lack of activity. Personally, I have never participated in a contest and I am unlikely to in the future.

> Go to a Hamfest or Ham Radio meeting and you will see that this is true. The
> great majority of Hams are overweight. Not just a little, but very overweight.
> True, the majority of Americans are overweight. But Hamfests show that a

> greater percentage of Hams are overweight.

I haven't been to enough Hamfests to draw this conclusion. What I have noticed at Hamfests, especially over the last couple of years, is a total lack of quality equipment being sold.... junk.

> Hams seem to be obsessed about the latest regulation related to their hobby.

Have you listened to the bands lately? 80 meters for example. "Freeband city" some nights. Hams should be concerned because I think deregulation is ruining the hobby.

> Their hobby seems to accomplish nothing. It is a meaningless activity to
> entertain oneself. Contesters make rapid-fire contacts. They only exchange call
> signs, log in their contact, and then move on to the next contact. Hams seem
> get a thrill by doing this.

Due to the chaotic state of the bands these days, it can seem like nothing is being accomplished. I'm not really up on contesters. I do know that many splatter all over the band they are on, but I might too in their situation.

> Hams have alot of rituals they follow, secret societies, codeword jargon. And I
> haven't even mentioned Morse code yet.

You sound like someone who was blackballed at one time. I won't say where blackballing occurs, but it is in a certain group. Too bad Hams can't blackball people they don't want licensed. However, if they could, there would probably be very little active Hams today.

Hams tend not to be technical, except
> when it comes to their antennas. Some take great interest and become quite good
> with antennas. However, the expertise stops there.

You're almost repeating yourself here. No comment.

Antenna knowledge is only
> useful in that it helps them select the best antennas for their radios. The
> goal of Ham Radio, don't forget, is to contest - make as many contacts as
> possible.

Another repeat. No comment.

>
> Hams are very obsessive about their hobby. The obsession is amazing.

Repeating again... hmmm. No comment.

Hams try
> to mention Ham radio whenever they can and try to recruit. Hams get vanity
> license plates with their call signs on their plates. Hams will take a day
off
> work if the Hamfest starts on Friday. One Ham said he moved to a
particular
> state because he was a Dxer and there were better propagation conditions
in
> that state!

They recruit because it's a dying hobby! I don't think Ham radio will survive another 50 years. Some Hams have become desperate. As to your other statements all I can say is that some people take their hobby very seriously.

>
> The sad thing is Hams tend to find their contests interesting. Ham Radio
needs
> to include more perspectives if it is to attract more members. Ham radio
could
> be more interesting. It could have a variety of people, do interesting
> activities, have interesting conversations, interesting do more technical
> things with radio.

What do you propose? Today's youth aren't interested in Ham radio. Most young people don't even know what a resistor is. The programs you mention cost money. With a dwindling number of Hams, how do we go about raising the funds?

However, this is not what Ham Radio is today.
>
> Hams do not usually achieve much besides winning "contests", which makes
them
> feel good. Outside of Ham Radio, this means nothing.

Of course not. Just like playing soccer means a lot more to the participator and a lot less to the spectator. If it's not your hobby, why should you care?

Within Ham radio, it tends
> to be forgotten with the next contest. There are many contests and their
scores
> are published regularly in Ham magazines. As a result of their obsession,
Hams
> have little time to accomplish anything else, and therefore are less
> successful. Ham Radio is a pathetic hobby, and the typical Ham is
pathetic.

Well, the average Ham makes about $60,000 a year. Pretty darn successful if you ask me. In fact, it is the higher than average salaries that keeps the market expensive, just like the astronomy hobby.

Just my two cents.

AJ Sanderson
>

5183. Re: Dave or Debby SPANKED by ISP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 07:40:57 UTC
From: John Smith <kissmyass@127.1.1.1>
Message-ID: <akcm2o012vb@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 134162

<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
news:3s1jmuoa5ca04ihf1edpf4iid9csqdfjpu@4ax.com...
> snip
> >> Oh? A IP address tracks down individuals?
> >> Prove it. Track me down.
> >
> >They most certainly can.
> >Let's say you posted something illegal such as child pornography.
> >Google would use that IP to find out who your ISP is, as I did.
> >A court order requesting your ISP to divulge who the user was, at that
> >particular time, sending that particular message, would lead them to you.
> >Actually, the owner of the account would be held responsible.
>
> I'm glad you've come clean on just how difficult it is to identify an
> individual. So let me get this straight. You will/can get a court
> order against Dave and Debbie because they sell 23 channel radios?
>

If you want to buy a paperweight that's your business.
23 channel rigs are illegal to use. Not own.
You can advertise anything you want.
It's whether or not the laws say it's illegal to distribute such
advertising.
Maybe some antique collector out there will buy the radios.
Do I care? Not one bit.

5184. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 07:57:04 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92769D01B8DEsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 134161

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in
news:Guha9.5934$6s5.572921982@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:

>
> "www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
> news:20020825220529.18189.00003093@mb-mk.aol.com...
>> well growing up on the gulf coast with never any less than 50%
>> humidity it really isnt much of a concern. i enjoy working the
>> europeans too much to
> want
>> to move to cali
>>
>> >Florida.... naaaah to much humidity, now California... that seems
>> >better.
>>
>>
>>
>> Tim Nebo
>>
>> http://www.TimNebo.com
>>
>>
>
> Yeah, but you get to work Hawaii, east coast,
> Canada, Alaska, fishing boats working off shore and
> best of all..... 8 to 25% humidity at
> the most.
>
> Landshark
>
>
Ya, my vote is for California. I mean c'mon, it's California! :)

Alan S.

5185. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 08:11:19 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9276C3AAC681subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 134163

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in
news:Guha9.5935$6s5.572921982@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:

>
> "www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
> news:20020825221652.28619.00002463@mb-mn.aol.com...
>> i heard they grow alot of top notch bud up there. maybe i need to go
>> check
> i
>> out. :)
>>
>>
>>
>> >Ever been to northern CA Tim? Talk about one awesome climate...well,
>> >at least if you don't go too far east. Between the coastal range and
>> >I-5 is about right.
>> >
>>
>>
>>
>> Tim Nebo
>>
>> http://www.TimNebo.com
>>
>>
>
> Humbolt county..... gave it
> up in 77 so don't ask, but still know friends.
>
> Landshark
>
>
And don't forget, we here in the Central Valley produce much of this
country's meth. Wow. What a state! When the wind is blowing jus right,
you can just smell those meth labs cookin'.

And like Landshark, I don't do the stuff - but everybody knows somebody
who does and where to get it. It's all over. When I worked in San
Francisco it was easier to find people who DIDN'T smoke a little bud. I
just don't get it. Up there, primo sticky green stuff sells for $60 an
eighth. Man thats a lotta dough! I don't know how so many afford it.
What's even funnier is that doen here in the Valley where people don't
make anywhere NEAR bay-area money, the same stuff is like $120 an eighth.
Must be like a supply and demand thing. In SF, you can stop just about
anybody you see walking in the Mission and find out where to score some

in a hurry. It's really strange. Hell, in the Mission district guys just walk down the street in broad daylight smoking away. THAT blew me away.

Alan S.

5186. Re: ATTN: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 08:17:22 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9276D418B067subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 134164

"Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in
news:jbga9.184808$me6.23867@sccrnsc01:

> What do you know? I have a friend of a friend of a friend of a friend
> that went to school with Bill Gates. He plays golf with Steve Jobs on
> the weekends too. He tells me you are all morons and don't know what
> your talking about. I'm never wrong I know everything and everyone.
>
> How do you want your Video Card served?
>
> fried?
> boiled?
> baked?
> BBQ?
> With mustard?
>
> Dave
>

Well, I just can't argue with that. You got me. I mean Bill Gates is like GOD, or something. You know, I hear he has a penguin voodoo doll. Imagine that.

Uh, I like my video cards over easy with a little solder flux.

Alan S.

5187. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 09:49:12 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208260149.21a8ef73@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134165

> MCCOLLUM-Richard Charles. Age 59 years. Born Niobrara, Nebraska
> 12/04/1943. Passed away suddenly from a massive
> heart attack 08/23/2002.

I have no words to describe my feeling over the loss of our friend and a true contributor to the newsgroup. I truly believe that Dick is in a better place now and I hope his family can take some comfort in this as well. May his soul rest in eternal peace.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

5188. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 10:33:15 UTC
From: skuld-chan <cherry-chan@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <Ltna9.88341$aA.18064@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 134166

Talk about generalizations...

I'm 25, maybe a bit overweight (stress can do that to you I guess) Extra class amateur radio operator (if you want to know more about me - like my call sign e-mail me at skuld@mail.ru). I've never been in a contest - mostly because I don't have the time really. Wouldn't mind trying at least one - just for fun. Its like K1TTT (he's a bad ass) said once - its like a video game, but involving whole world.

I am interested in ham politics - mostly because they sometimes affect me in a major way. Not to mention its hard not to. Spectrum is a limited commodity - politicians and corporations are very very interested in bandwidth (especially vhf/uhf and shf spectrum). Its hard not to be involved. I suppose cb operators don't give a riff since they would just use the spectrum anyhow if 11m went away one day - although as I recall on here and elsewhere everyone got upset when the fcc/congress turned over cb enforcement to local authorities.

I'm very very interested in antennas (since I'm space conscious). My dream setup is going to be a 180' tower with a multiband hf antenna, 6m/2m/70cm horizontal polarized antennas and a setup for satellites - probably build the satellite antennas, and tower myself. My favorite antenna is the ingenious arrow antenna - handheld satellite antenna. Worked my first dx contact with that - at 2 watts on uo14 - talked to a guy in san Francisco and Utah - and I have the qsl card to prove it.

On cber's though - I saw a video of a cb keydown - probably the closest thing to a amateur radio contest (except they are supposed to be illegal - keydowns that is). Lot of fat guys there, lot of mullets, lot of southern accents - even though it was in CA, and not much exercising (it really doesn't take a whole lot to rev your chevy suburban and shout audio into the mic). Most of those trucks had more antennas on them then I've ever seen on any amateur operators car. I could easily generalize that all cbers drive chevy suburbans or if not that full size vans made in the 80's.

sc

"Audiotest3" <audiotest3@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020825212648.28603.00002522@mb-mn.aol.com...
btw -->I used to work for AOL (a subsidiary company) - I wouldn't wish it on my worst enemy.

(bunch of rambeling deleted)

5189. Re: Dave or Debby SPANKED by ISP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 11:52:09 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <JDoa9.348655$q53.11425135@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134167

"John Smith" <kissmyass@127.1.1.1> wrote in message
news:akcm2o012vb@enews1.newsguy.com...
>
> <tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
> news:3s1jmuoa5ca04ihf1edpf4iid9csqdfjpu@4ax.com...
> > snip
> > >> Oh? A IP address tracks down individuals?
> > >> Prove it. Track me down.
> > >
> > >They most certainly can.
> > >Let's say you posted something illegal such as child pornography.
> > >Google would use that IP to find out who your ISP is, as I did.
> > >A court order requesting your ISP to divulge who the user was, at that
> > >particular time, sending that particular message, would lead them to
you.
> > >Actually, the owner of the account would be held responsible.
> >
> > I'm glad you've come clean on just how difficult it is to identify an
> > individual. So let me get this straight. You will/can get a court
> > order against Dave and Debbie because they sell 23 channel radios?
> >
>
> If you want to buy a paperweight that's your business.
> 23 channel rigs are illegal to use. Not own.
> You can advertise anything you want.
> It's whether or not the laws say it's illegal to distribute such
> advertising.
> Maybe some antique collector out there will buy the radios.
> Do I care? Not one bit.
>
>
>
>

When did 23 channel rigs become illegal to use?

5190. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 11:59:34 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208260359.4b17fb0c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134168

timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote in message
news:<20020825224255.28619.00002469@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> it takes two people to argue and you just swallowed it hook line and sinker. if
> you continue with the "tawn fo der good buddy" stereo types i don't see why we
> cant go on with the old man balding and overweight stereo types of ham radio.
> they are both based in some truth. and funny your technicalities listed by
> yourself was antenna building just like the troll said haha
>
> >And before the rest of you flame me, remember this knothead started this
> >argument.
> >
> >Jerry
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

Hate to say it, Jerry...but the man's got a point. As concerned as I
am about the 10-meter intrusion problem, I recognize that posting
"tain fahr" or "leen-yar" garbage on a CB/11-meter newsgroup will do
nothing to alleviate the problem. In fact, it may even have the
opposite effect. (Let's hope not.) Perhaps there are folks on this
board that CAN actually help out wrt the 10-meter intruder problem?
The vinegar just isn't working.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

5191. Re: I guess I haven't met the typical ham ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 12:05:26 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208260405.6752f93d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134169

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<umj3u54vkb0i3f@corp.supernews.com>...
> Maybe you can point me in the right direction.

I think you've pretty much summed it up below.

> Methinks you are not only painting
> with too wide a brush, I think you've got the wrong paint as well.

This seems to be the norm on both sides on this, and other,
radio-related newsgroups. Let's hope it gets better, not worse.

> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> Audiotest3 <audiotest3@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020825212648.28603.00002522@mb-mn.aol.com...
> > The typical ham is:
> > older Male,
> > overweight,
> > overly concerned about "Ham politics",
> > is ritualistic about the way they "Ham",
> > obsessed with Ham Radio,
> > non-technical (except about selecting antennas for their "rigs"
> >
> > Most Hams are old men. There are few females in Ham Radio. The few young
> people
> > in Ham Radio are often related to older Hams.
> >
> > Ham Radio today makes a person overweight. Otherwise, why would the great
> > majority of Hams be overweight? "Contesting" is the essence of Ham Radio.
> > Contesting is making as many contacts as you can within a certain time
> period.
> > Contesting is a low movement activity. As a result of less physical
> activity,
> > and lack of restraint in one's diet, one becomes overweight.
> >
> > Go to a Hamfest or Ham Radio meeting and you will see that this is true.
> The
> > great majority of Hams are overweight. Not just a little, but very
> overweight.
> > True, the majority of Americans are overweight. But Hamfests show that a
> > greater percentage of Hams are overweight.
> >

> > Hams seem to be obsessed about the latest regulation related to their
> hobby.
> > Their hobby seems to accomplish nothing. It is a meaningless activity to
> > entertain oneself. Contesters make rapid-fire contacts. They only exchange
> call
> > signs, log in their contact, and then move on to the next contact. Hams
> seem
> > get a thrill by doing this.
> >
> > Hams have alot of rituals they follow, secret societies, codeword jargon.
> And I
> > haven't even mentioned Morse code yet. Hams tend not to be technical,
> except
> > when it comes to their antennas. Some take great interest and become quite
> good
> > with antennas. However, the expertise stops there. Antenna knowledge is
> only
> > useful in that it helps them select the best antennas for their radios.
> The
> > goal of Ham Radio, don't forget, is to contest - make as many contacts as
> > possible.
> >
> > Hams are very obsessive about their hobby. The obsession is amazing. Hams
> try
> > to mention Ham radio whenever they can and try to recruit. Hams get vanity
> > license plates with their call signs on their plates. Hams will take a day
> off
> > work if the Hamfest starts on Friday. One Ham said he moved to a
> particular
> > state because he was a Dxer and there were better propagation conditions
> in
> > that state!
> >
> > The sad thing is Hams tend to find their contests interesting. Ham Radio
> needs
> > to include more perspectives if it is to attract more members. Ham radio
> could
> > be more interesting. It could have a variety of people, do interesting
> > activities, have interesting conversations, interesting do more technical
> > things with radio. However, this is not what Ham Radio is today.
> >
> > Hams do not usually achieve much besides winning "contests", which makes
> them
> > feel good. Outside of Ham Radio, this means nothing. Within Ham radio, it
> tends
> > to be forgotten with the next contest. There are many contests and their
> scores
> > are published regularly in Ham magazines. As a result of their obsession,
> Hams
> > have little time to accomplish anything else, and therefore are less

> > successful. Ham Radio is a pathetic hobby, and the typical Ham is
> pathetic.
> >

5192. Re: RIP Dick McCollum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 12:16:01 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6A1C01.124F@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 134180

Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
>
> This is a shock. Despite some minor disagreements, I respected Dick
> for having the courage to speak his mind. His informational posts
> debunking "swing" and providing CW trainer links and encouragement to
> those seeking ham tickets added a lot to the NG. He contributed
> something positive, and he did it with humor and good will. I will
> miss him terribly.

One more reminder of our own mortality. Any one of us could be next....

I will miss Dick's input as well. He was one of the good guys.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5193. Re: Just so the AKC understands.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 12:26:37 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208260426.4fa9a22a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134170

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<JZfa9.345942$q53.11310305@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> Main Entry: 1li.bel
> Pronunciation: 'lI-b&l
> Function: noun
> Etymology: Middle English, written declaration, from Middle French, from
> Latin libellus, diminutive of liber book
> Date: 14th century
> 1 a : a written statement in which a plaintiff in certain courts sets forth
> the cause of action or the relief sought b archaic : a handbill especially
> attacking or defaming someone
> 2 a : a written or oral defamatory statement or representation that conveys
> an unjustly unfavorable impression b (1) : a statement or representation
> published without just cause and tending to expose another to public
> contempt (2) : defamation of a person by written or representational means
> (3) : the publication of blasphemous, treasonable, seditious, or obscene
> writings or pictures (4) : the act, tort, or crime of publishing such a
> libel
>
>
>
> The sexual garbage is just that. Wanna keep it up? I'm sure certain ISP's
> would agree. It's old, childish, and pointless.

Do your worst, chapwoman...go ahead. You know you are talking garbage.
Sheeeeyut, you criminals can't even do a proper IP trace. Go replace
your kotex and try again, keyqueen.

5194. chapwoman strikes...antiboys chuckle...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 12:28:49 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208260428.7a920716@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134171

Would you KINDLY use a douche, deodorant, and mouthwash before you
post. sheesh...I bet people change tables when you go in a resturant.

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<ZKga9.346209$q53.11325597@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:Jiga9.346095$q53.11317267@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:d1e9cf6a.0208251100.27e8c637@posting.google.com...
> > > Filled with pics of his feminine exploits, writings of his CRIMINAL
> > > activities, and the 100% TRUTH!!!
> > >
> > >
> > > Don't miss it!!!
> > >
> > > Chapwoman, the web page...coming soon!
> >
> > But he has a REALLY slow ping. Must be on a cheap dialup account. Anyone
> > else wanna try?
> >
> > Give it a shot.
> >
> > 65.238.191.223
> >
> >
>
> Status Address Round Trip Data Size IP Address
> IP Timed out -541069759 262164 ms 0 bytes 65.238.191.223
> Successfull -541069759 296 ms 4 bytes 65.238.191.223
> IP Timed out -541069759 262164 ms 0 bytes 65.238.191.223
> Successfull -541069759 281 ms 4 bytes 65.238.191.223
> IP Timed out -541069759 262164 ms 0 bytes 65.238.191.223
> Successfull -541069759 296 ms 4 bytes 65.238.191.223
> IP Timed out -541069759 262164 ms 0 bytes 65.238.191.223
> Successfull -541069759 343 ms 4 bytes 65.238.191.223
> Successfull -541069759 297 ms 4 bytes 65.238.191.223
> Successfull -541069759 313 ms 4 bytes 65.238.191.223

5195. Re: Anti boys own rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 12:31:22 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208260431.790e7c8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134172

bigradiodotbiz@aol.com (BigRadioDotBiz) wrote in message
news:<20020825131312.24783.00002873@mb-ft.aol.com>...
> Keep dreaming!
> Train

No, trainpuppet the trainedpuppet...YOU KEEP DEEP THROATING!!!!

5196. UNITED GAY KEYCLOWNS OF AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 12:32:25 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208260432.57affba7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134173

Your web site will soon be up and running. Special section for chapwoman.

5197. Dave or Debby's god really is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 12:38:36 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208260438.213a36d7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134174

Throbbing dripping weenie...Like chukkeesukkee, Dave salivates over
the thought...

Dave or Debby <truth@loveweenieplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:<2n8imu86m6dtkg3t4vjkeqgvkun47iuk74@4ax.com>...
>I have A craving for MEN. Anyone who operates illegal CB nonsence is
a gay
>guy that loves men and thats THE TRUTH.
>Now you know WHY it is important to FEAR heterosexuality, like me &
KEEP all >the antikeyclown Commandments;
>for THIS IS the DUTY of feminine little weenies like me!
>Dave!

5198. RATTED OUT: FS: KICKER 500 BASE AMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 12:39:45 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208260439.42609c08@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134175

Illegal to own or sell...reported to AOL and Google.

reg1647@aol.com (Reg1647) wrote in message
news:<20020825231216.02347.00003721@mb-dh.aol.com>...
> SAME AS THE FIREBIRD 500, $175

5199. MAD DORK IS A RETARD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 12:44:49 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208260444.cd74a92@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134176

Hey Georgie keyqueen, hows it hangin in the he-she clubs in Sebring?

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:<Utga9.1333$bC5.1268@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>...
> Fortunately i have all the latest virus protection and i was not infected.
> It is some sort of alt. config. virus that i was able to quarantine and
> remove.

5200. Anti-Keyclowns OWN this NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 12:47:19 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208260447.23ccc5f0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134177

You can attempt complaining to ISP's

You can call us names

You can whine and cry

You can do anything you want

Nothing will change the fact that anti-keyclowns are in control of this NG and you are totally without the power or intellect to stop that.

5201. Keyclowns can't compete with truth

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 12:48:48 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208260448.4ba57ff7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134178

Whine to who you THINK are our ISP's. See what happens. Even if we
left the barn door open it would be easy to get a new barn...dummies.

5202. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 12:55:52 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6A2558.2B05@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 134181

Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D623546.3966@worldlynx.net>...
> > www.TimNebo.com wrote:
> > >
> > > i was just showing how odd it is that with all the troubles on the world wide
> > > amatuer bands you religate yourself to "policing" the cb freqs only when there
> > > is so much more going on.
> >
> > The amount of problem on the ham bands are but a small minority of
> > people. Compared to the CB band where breaking the law is the "rule"
> > rather than the exception.
>
> You can support the accuracy of that statement? I doubt it.

Support for that statement should be obvious to all but the most blind
and those in the river nile.
Listen to the CB band during a "band opening". On it you will hear
stations from 25 to 28 Mhz, ALL of whom are operating illegally. Tune to
the regular 40, and while observing that signal levels are higher than
what would be obtained from a legal station 2 miles away, it becomes
obvious that these stations are running excessive (illegal) power.
Further analysis would show that the modulation level and the amount of
audio distortion is further evidence that the radios have been modified
from their original (If the radio was ever legal) type accepted state.
Then when the band closes down again, I can spin the dial and hear
practically no one. Which would suggest that the "legal" users are not
using the band, even when the obviously illegal ones cannot. While this
evidence is empirical in nature, it is of sufficient quantity, and
occurs regularly enough to make for a compelling case. The evidence
supports my claim. I see no evidence that would support yours. All you
have said is that it doesn't happen. But that proves nothing.

>
> The vast majority of CB users are law-abiding people.

On what planet?

> A small fraction
> of those people venture outside the "legal 40" into Freeband, and a
> miniscule number stumble into ten meters. Most of the latter are
> truckers who have no idea that they're intruding on an amateur band.

Really? That small amount of people must really get around when the skip
is running.

>
> MOST Cbers don't use amplifiers; MOST CBers don't use echo boxes; MOST
> CBers don't venture out-of-band!

Really? Tell that to the cretons on channel 6, and the other mental
midgets that try to squeeze a 10KW transmitter into a Ford Escort. Oh,
and that "bottom-of-the-canyon" sound that is prevelent on channel 19
and many other channels is just room ambiance, and not an echo mike,
right?

>
> Apparently you can only hear the over-powered, echo using ones. Is
> that a bad receiver or really selective listening?

No, it's just the way it really is. Sorry that you either refuse to see
it or can't.

>
> You, sir are woefully misinformed. But you expose your negativity
> every time you post, so it comes as no surprise.

I am only reporting what my receiver tells me. I find it somewhat
curious that you vehemently deny what should be obvious.

> If you really want a place where "breaking the law is the rule rather
> than the exception", try driving on almost any highway.

Irrelevant.

> > > after all the guys on the ham bands are making our
> > > entire nation look like fools to the whole world, yet your biggest concern is
> > > still truckers with small amps driving up an down the highway.
> >
> > After the last skip cycle, the whole world got a taste of USA CB. I'm
> > sure that that was not an image that I would want the world to picture
> > us as.
>
> I have to ask: If you're worried about our "image", why not go after
> the high-power licensed broadcasters (like WWCR), who give the world a
> wacked out view of America as a bunch of religious nutcases begging
> for donations? I'm MUCH more concerned about that image than I am of a
> few truckdrivers. Oh, I forgot; they aren't using an unused frequency
> in the ten meter band, are they? How about this: What is a domestic US
> shortwave broadcaster doing in the TROPICAL bands? This is not a
> Tropical nation by any stretch of the definition! But they have a
> license, so it's OK to violate ITU Regulations? Hmmmmmm?

I'm not "worried" about our image. I was merely commenting on a previous
poster's concern that the statistically few "bad" hams were giving U.S.
hams a "bad" image. My comment was that if a few bad hams was bad for
our image, imagine what a few million "bad" CBers are doing to their
image, as their overmodulated, overpowered, and out of band signals were
being propagated throughout the world.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5203. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 13:10:07 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6A28AF.3A9E@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 134182

Randy wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3D625A35.5E72@worldlynx.net...
> > Richard Cranium wrote:
> > >
> > > Once again, there is no such thing as a "fake" or "phoney" ten meter
> > > radio. What you and Jerry keep bring up are called "export CBs"; they
> > > are NOT 10 meter radios, never have been, and never will be (unless a
> > > licensed ham converts them for use on the 10 meter band, at which
> > > point they are no longer CBs and cannot be operated there). "Export
> > > CBs" have never been legal for use on CB in the USA.
> >
> >
> > Dick,
> >
> > "Export" Cb radios have been around for a number of years (20+ IIRC).
> > THey have never been legal in import into the US, as they do not meet
> > type acceptance requirements for class D CB operation.
> >
> > BUT, The "export" manufacturers, in an effort to remove the bottleneck
> > and allow increased sales into the US, have latched upon a loophole.
> > That loophole is the "10 meter radio". Since ham radios do not require
> > any type acceptance, these radios are legal to use in the ten meter ham
> > band by licenses hams. The problem is that they aren't REALLY intended
> > to be 10 meter ham radios, they only state so to attempt to "get around"
> > import restrictions on non type accepted CB radios. The intended market
> > for these radios is not 10 meter hams (Why would any 10 meter radio have
> > 40 channelized frequencies, roger beeps, echo, and instant channel 19
> > switches?, and cover frequencies below the 10 meter band).
> >
> > A few of the radios (Uniden HR 2510, RCI 2950 etc) do not have
> > channelized indicators, and tune in a more "traditional" ham manner of
> > KHz and Hz granularity. These radios make for a better case for intended
> > 10 meter ham markets, but they can be easily modified to cover 11
> > meters. The FCC has finally ruled that even those radios are not
> > marketed in the spirit of ham radio, and are merely exploiting a
> > loophole in import regulations. This latest "clarification" is the FCC's
> > attempt at closing the loophole.
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
> >
> >

>
> You have a point Dave, but it's doubtful if the FCC has the power to stop
> any imports. The Chinese have friends in Washington.

Yea, but fortunately, they're no longer in the white house.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5204. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 13:16:09 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6A2A19.5ED4@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 134183

Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D625A35.5E72@worldlynx.net>...
> > Richard Cranium wrote:
> > >
> > > Once again, there is no such thing as a "fake" or "phoney" ten meter
> > > radio. What you and Jerry keep bring up are called "export CBs"; they
> > > are NOT 10 meter radios, never have been, and never will be (unless a
> > > licensed ham converts them for use on the 10 meter band, at which
> > > point they are no longer CBs and cannot be operated there). "Export
> > > CBs" have never been legal for use on CB in the USA.
> >
> >
> > Dick,
> >
> > "Export" Cb radios have been around for a number of years (20+ IIRC).
> > THey have never been legal in import into the US, as they do not meet
> > type acceptance requirements for class D CB operation.
> >
> > BUT, The "export" manufacturers, in an effort to remove the bottleneck
> > and allow increased sales into the US, have latched upon a loophole.
> > That loophole is the "10 meter radio". Since ham radios do not require
> > any type acceptance, these radios are legal to use in the ten meter ham
> > band by licenses hams. The problem is that they aren't REALLY intended
> > to be 10 meter ham radios, they only state so to attempt to "get around"
> > import restrictions on non type accepted CB radios. The intended market
> > for these radios is not 10 meter hams (Why would any 10 meter radio have
> > 40 channelized frequencies, roger beeps, echo, and instant channel 19
> > switches?, and cover frequencies below the 10 meter band).
> >
> > A few of the radios (Uniden HR 2510, RCI 2950 etc) do not have
> > channelized indicators, and tune in a more "traditional" ham manner of
> > KHz and Hz granularity. These radios make for a better case for intended
> > 10 meter ham markets, but they can be easily modified to cover 11
> > meters. The FCC has finally ruled that even those radios are not
> > marketed in the spirit of ham radio, and are merely exploiting a
> > loophole in import regulations. This latest "clarification" is the FCC's
> > attempt at closing the loophole.
>
> The original HR2510 (s/n 830xxxx) was capable of being easily modified
> for CB with a 10K resistor and cutting two traces on the PCB. Later
> versions of the HR2510 and the HR2600 could NOT be converted to CB
> without a microprocessor transplant. One would think that the FCC

> engineers would know this as well as the techs do. This one wonders
> why they don't?

You are correct WRT the HR 2600, but I've never seen an HR 2510, that could not be modified by the method you outlined previously. Uniden did some half-hearted attempt to appease the FCC, by covering the "mod" pins with rubber goop (that could be easily removed), and including printed "warning" sheets with the radio, outlining just how "bad" modifying the radio would be. I think even later versions had the pins cut, but if you were tenacious enough, you could tack solder a resistor lead to the pin "stubs".

I agree that the HR 2600 should not be included on the FCC's "hit list", since it required a chipswitch transplant before it it would do anything illegal.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5205. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 13:19:53 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6A2AF9.18A0@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 134184

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3D625A35.5E72@worldlynx.net...
>
> > A few of the radios (Uniden HR 2510, RCI 2950 etc) do not have
> > channelized indicators, and tune in a more "traditional" ham manner of
> > KHz and Hz granularity. These radios make for a better case for intended
> > 10 meter ham markets, but they can be easily modified to cover 11
> > meters. The FCC has finally ruled that even those radios are not
> > marketed in the spirit of ham radio, and are merely exploiting a
> > loophole in import regulations. This latest "clarification" is the FCC's
> > attempt at closing the loophole.
>
> They should do what the scanner manufacturers did after the FCC blocked
> certification on scanners easily modifiable to receiver cellular signals.
> They should make them next to impossible to modify for frequencies outside
> the 10 meter band.
>

That would seem to be the obvious answer. Except that it's all about
marketing and making a profit. The radio manufacturers really do not
want to make modifying too hard because they know that the illegal CB
market is their real money maker. Radios like the radio shack HTX 100
and the newer HTX 10 would bear that out as neither were very popular
with the illegal 11 meter crowd, and sales to hams alone do not seem to
justify its continued manufacture.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5206. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 13:20:48 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <QWpa9.5253$p%3.396604@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134179

We see evidence of this numerous times every day on this group...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> If you are implying that people, who
> hold ham licenses, should be somehow
> held to a higher moral standard than
> the "common man", then that would
> be faulty logic. A ham license is only
> a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
> "badge of morality".

5207. Re: UNITED GAY KEYCLOWNS OF AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 13:40:40 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020826094040.28630.00002366@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 134185

doug your website is still up and running. for the newbies that would like to undertstand wahts going on with "keyclownslamma" go here and see for yourself

http://www.geocities.com/pooroledougie

>From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters)

>
>
>Your web site will soon be up and running. Special section for chapwoman.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5208. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 13:46:31 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020826094631.28630.00002368@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 134186

this one statement shows your ignorance with 10/11 meter propagation. a qrp station has no problem giving a full s meter reading anywhere in this nation during a solid band opening

>Tune to
>the regular 40, and while observing that signal levels are higher than
>what would be obtained from a legal station 2 miles away, it becomes
>obvious that these stations are running excessive (illegal) power.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5209. KeyClownSlamma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 13:52:08 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020826095208.28630.00002369@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 134187

http://www.geocities.com/pooroledougie

this will explain it all to the newbies of this group

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5210. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 13:54:47 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0208260554.4b829072@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134188

timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote in message
news:<20020824205332.17993.00002502@mb-cp.aol.com>...
> To All Recipients:
>
> You are receiving this message because your e-mail address appears in
> Richard McCollum's address book My intention in sending this message is
> to simply get information to those of you who are friends,
> acquaintances, or correspondents of Richard's. If you are receiving
> this message in error, please accept my most humble and sincere
> apologies. Thank you.
>
> David W. McCollum
>
>
> MCCOLLUM-Richard Charles. Age 59 years. Born Niobrara, Nebraska
> 12/04/1943. Passed away suddenly from a massive
> heart attack 08/23/2002.
> Survived by son Michael Keith McCollum, Lincoln, Nebraska; parents
> Charles and
> LaVerne McCollum of Omaha, Nebraska; sister Lea Blake of Omaha,
> Nebraska; and brother David and family of Omaha,
> Nebraska.
>
> As per Richard's request, remains were cremated and no services
> performed.
> Any memorials to the Salvation Army in his name.
>
>
>
> I will accept queries for further information, but I respectfully
> request that you provide your identity and relationship to Richard. I
> reserve the right to reject information requests to anyone I deem has no
> need.
>
> DWM
>
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

Man,that really is a shame,59 is way to young for a man with as much intelligence and wisdom as Richard had, to leave in such a hurry.

R.I.P.

5211. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 14:38:37 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <262-3D6A3D6D-410@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134189

Lets face it, we are a tiny segment of a little segment of an even smaller segment that barely exists as far as the world is concerned..... to understand our world one must live in it, as most of you reading this do.
Whether you post once a day or spend all your time here posting, there has been a familiarity
among us caused by direct interactions with each other on a daily basis, some pleasant, others not.
Many are faceless and even more are clueless, Dick was not. He was as real a part of our everyday world as any. Like him or leave him, Dick was a presence on the board to not only be emulated, but to be remembered as true elmer. When Dick had something to say, he said it.
His patience was surpassed only by his skill and adepteness.
His comprehension of not only radio but of human nature was remarkable, as he knew what makes many of us tick. He laughed with us and sometimes we laughed at ourselves, and Dick was always to be counted on for a unique perspective view, one that was refreshing in it's own manner
Dick was a ligh in the room that will be sorely missed.
He didn't frequently remind of his educational status background, or accomplishments.
His many posts speak for themselves, drawing from the knowledge he had gained from years of experience dealing with radio and people, from the green newcomers to OT'ers opposed to...well.....anything.
He taught many of us many things with a zest for a willingnesss to help.
Fare thee well, Dick. Rest in Peace

5212. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 14:47:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <262-3D6A3F7D-412@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134193

Yeah, but you get to work Hawaii, <<

So does the 'pile and so does Texas. Frequently.

>east coast, Canada, <<

Almost Daily.

>Alaska, fishing boats working off shore and best of all.<<

Fishing boats with cb? <g>

>.............................. 8 to 25% humidity at the most.
Landshark
--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid people. Mr. Garrison<<

It definitely beats a hundred % almost year 'round, but I missed the sun when I lived there..... it comes out, but not nearly enough for my swamped integument.

5213. Re: KeyClownSlamma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 14:58:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <262-3D6A4211-416@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134192

This explains Dogie just perfect.
I had the following message for me intercepted and sent to me by MSN
warning me of a cyber-scum....

Forwarded message:

Date: Sat, Aug 24, 2002, 4:05pm X-HTTP-User-Agent:
Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 5.5; Windows 98; Win 9x 4.90)
X-Remote-Host: 1cust48.tnt3.sylvania.oh.da.uu.net
X-Remote-Address: 65.238.113.48 X-LB-Comment: LF
Subscription Welcome; complaints to abuse@LeadFactory.com X-Captured-By:
  emultisub from LeadFactory.com (v. 1.02) From:
nobody@LeadFactory.com To: twistedhed@webtv.net Subject:
THANK YOU for your subscription(s)!
Thank you for your recent subscription(s) to the following email
publication(s):
1HourWealth Hot Tips --

(snip)
-

I snipped it as the amount of spam newsletters he tried to sign me up
for was astronomical and would take a while to download. Anyways, look
at what this company is doing to combat internet abuse such as Dogie.
This was attached at the end:

>If you did not sign up for any of the above email publications, please
send an email to abuse@LeadFactory.com and include the information below
so we can track the source of abuse. Do NOT reply to this email directly
since nobody will see your reply.
Subscriber: twistedhed@webtv.net
Name: Twithed Limpwrist
IP Address: 65.238.113.48
Hostname: 1cust48.tnt3.sylvania.oh.da.uu.net
Date/Time: Sat Aug 24 20:05:34 GMT 2002
=== Subscriptions powered by LeadFactory...
http://LeadFactory.com/leads/?initsub
===
Turn Unused or Overlooked Space on Your Website Into Profits!
http://LeadFactory.com/pub/?lf_welc <<

When God was giving out brains, Dogie thought he said "trains" and said
"I already have a set."

5214. Re: hey jerry!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 15:05:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <262-3D6A43CD-418@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134194

From: aa2qa@frontiernet.net (Jim Hampton)
Heck, Twist, you go on about us Yankees - go up to Canada for REAL fish!
73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
<<

Got me there, Jim. I've acknowledged the great fishing once we all get above the stench of the northeast's industrialized zones..Steelheads, Muskie, Pike, etc..,,,,,,,but for freshwater...I'm a a bass fisherman. Over the weekend, a Tarpon (at least 5 ft.) was hooked from shore (on an island) by a member of our small band at approximately 1:30 AM. He was freebanding, er, ah.. I mean freelining a live greenback on 12 pound testline when the fish did his thing. A valiant battle was fought and at approximately 2: 30 AM, after an hour of battle with the Silver King when he was only about 10 yards from shore, the line popped, and the drag wasn't tight at all, being semi-loose from fighting this beast for over an hour. The fish was tired out, but when he felt the sand on the bottom against his belly, the silver monster gave one last effort for deeper water, and it worked...he came up out of the water, did his famous dance across the water while standing on his tail that Tarpons are known for and disappeared......he now has a number.
The northeast (parts of it) is beautiful.............in the summer. Come winter time, I think y'all are paying for some bad Karma or something.

5215. Re: Illegal 10 Meter Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 15:15:05 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D6A45F9.9050105@csotbs.org>
Source record: 134191

As the appetizer?

-SSB

Landshark wrote:

> Since he's been a ham for a long time,
> I'm surprised nobody's invited him for Easter
> dinner.
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> "BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"
>
>
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

5216. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 15:15:15 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D6A4603.7090006@csotbs.org>
Source record: 134190

Too true..

However... "Me" is really laying it on thick.. kindof like Voob was about a
year ago.. some of "Me"'s posts are really starting to sound N8 and "Voob
Last Year" like..

Hrm...

-SSB

Tom Sevart wrote:

> "SideBand" <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
> news:3D612294.3010607@csotbs.org...
>
>>That's got to be the first accurate statement "Aaron Voobner" has made in
>>
> a
>
>>long time, if ever.
>>
>
> Yeah, but he just can't get it that a ham can use one of those radios on 10
> meters.
>
> Tom
>
>
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

5217. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 15:20:24 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <262-3D6A4738-420@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134196

>Support for that statement should be obvious to all but the most blind
and those in the river nile. <<

Read: Anyone who doesnt see it your way.

>Listen to the CB band during a "band opening". On it you will hear
stations from 25 to 28 Mhz, ALL of whom are operating illegally. Tune to
the regular 40, and while observing that signal levels are higher than
what would be obtained from a legal station 2 miles away, it becomes
obvious <<

Again, it is "obvious".
Obvious only to yourself.
It's the little green man in your head.

>that these stations are running excessive (illegal) power. Further
analysis would show that the modulation level and the amount of audio
distortion is further evidence that the radios have been modified from
their original (If the radio was ever legal) type accepted state. <<

Your failed analysis was shared with us several times, such as when you
attempted to
spout off about this skip period in contrast to the last one with what
you obviously considered "scientific" comparisons.
Most recently it manifested when you began arguing with yourself over
the fact that the Belgium Observatory was inaccurate concerning sunspot
data simply because it was I that had to educate you on the matter.

>I'm not "worried" about our image. <<

Obsessed is the word that escaped you.

>I was merely commenting on a previous poster's concern that the
statistically few "bad" hams were giving U.S. hams a "bad" image. <<

They aren't "giving" as in a verb action word, it's the needle and the
damage done.

>My comment was that if a few bad hams was bad for our image, imagine
what a few million "bad" CBers are doing to their image, <<

Hehehe! No, YOU imagine it. It ilustrates well what you hammies do with
your spare time.

>as their overmodulated, overpowered, and out of band signals were being
propagated throughout the world.
Dave
"Sandbagger"<<

Sounds like you have another issue or personal problem that needs addressed, but you need inspect your behavior first, find what it exactly is that compels you to insult instead of discuss in most of your posts concerning your obvious and pious disregard for cb.

5218. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 15:32:04 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <262-3D6A49F4-421@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134195

Must be like a supply and demand thing. In SF, you can stop just about anybody you see walking in the Mission and find out where to score some in a hurry. It's really strange. Hell, in the Mission district guys just walk down the street in broad daylight smoking away. THAT blew me away.
Alan S.
--
San Francisco, the actual city government itself, wants to GIVE it away. They city wants to run their own "medical marijuana" clinic and the feds are going nuts over it on a coming ballot.

5219. Re: Anti-Keyclowns OWN this NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 16:50:58 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020826125058.28609.00003312@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 134247

Hell buddy, if you were in control of this news group,how come you cant make us go away? How come we can write anything we damn well please?
You know what they say..."thinking you have some thing under control is merely an illusion" Here, ill prove it to you.
"ANTI KEY CLOWNS SUCK ASS"
There now, control that !! heee heee heee
have a nice day! gunslinger :) :)

5220. Re: Anti-Keyclowns OWN this NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 16:59:15 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <D7ta9.5418$p%3.414067@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134248

lol...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"LilyV7" <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020826125058.28609.00003312@mb-fr.aol.com...
> Hell buddy, if you were in control of this news group,how come you cant
make us
> go away? How come we can write anything we damn well please?
> You know what they say..."thinking you have some thing under control is
merely
> an illusion" Here, ill prove it to you.
> "ANTI KEY CLOWNS SUCK ASS"
> There now, control that !! heee heee heee
> have a nice day! gunslinger :) :)

5221. Re: DO NOT OPEN "you can all go to hell" letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 17:53:56 UTC
From: "tw��.�" <.tw>, <>, ATTBI�.org.com
Message-ID: <UWta9.91646$aA.18360@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 134249
Cross-posted to: alt.config,rec.pets.cats.health+behav,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

The alt.config virus is fake. The sender did include a JS.Loop that will constantly show a Windows
"Warning" box. Here is the IP info of the sender.

Path:
sccrnsc01!sccrnsc03!attbi_slave01!attbi_master51!attbi_feed4!attbi.com!12.120.28.17!attla2!ip.att.ne
t!nntp-server.caltech.edu!news.uchicago.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!torn!nf1.bellglobal
.com!nf2.bellglobal.com!news20.bellglobal.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
From: "Mongrel Mind" <Mongrel_Mind@Yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <56670d0e.cb7a48d7@4ax.com>
Newsgroups:
alt.config,rec.pets.cats.health+behav,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
Subject: YOU CAN ALL GO TO HELL!
Content-Type: text/html; charset=us-ascii
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
Lines: 73
Date: Sun, 25 Aug 2002 20:01:43 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.230.76.71
X-Complaints-To: abuse@sympatico.ca
X-Trace: news20.bellglobal.com 1030313910 64.230.76.71 (Sun, 25 Aug 2002 18:18:30 EDT)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Aug 2002 18:18:30 EDT
Organization: Bell Sympatico
Xref: attbi_master51 alt.config:33540 rec.pets.cats.health+behav:37171 rec.radio.cb:34983
rec.radio.shortwave:49189 rec.radio.swap:27025
X-Received-Date: Sun, 25 Aug 2002 22:29:29 GMT (sccrnsc01)

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:Utga9.1333$bC5.1268@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
| Fortunately i have all the latest virus protection and i was not infected.
| It is some sort of alt. config. virus that i was able to quarantine and
| remove.
| --
| Mad Dog
|
|
|

5222. Re: DO NOT OPEN "you can all go to hell" letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 17:58:21 UTC
From: "tw��.�" <.tw>, <>, ATTBI�.org.com
Message-ID: <1%ta9.193199$me6.24410@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 134250
Cross-posted to: alt.config,rec.pets.cats.health+behav,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

```
Also, this IP is from Ottawa Canada.
```

"tw��.�" <.tw,@nothomeexcite,ATTBI�.org.com> wrote in message news:UWta9.91646$aA.18360@sccrnsc02...
| The alt.config virus is fake. The sender did include a JS.Loop that will constantly show a Windows
| "Warning" box. Here is the IP info of the sender.
|
| Path:
|
sccrnsc01!sccrnsc03!attbi_slave01!attbi_master51!attbi_feed4!attbi.com!12.120.28.17!attla2!ip.att.ne
|
t!nntp-server.caltech.edu!news.uchicago.edu!newsfeed.stanford.edu!cyclone.bc.net!torn!nf1.bellglobal
| .com!nf2.bellglobal.com!news20.bellglobal.com.POSTED!not-for-mail
| From: "Mongrel Mind" <Mongrel_Mind@Yahoo.com>
| Message-ID: <56670d0e.cb7a48d7@4ax.com>
| Newsgroups: alt.config,rec.pets.cats.health+behav,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
| Subject: YOU CAN ALL GO TO HELL!
| Content-Type: text/html; charset=us-ascii
| MIME-Version: 1.0
| Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
| Lines: 73
| Date: Sun, 25 Aug 2002 20:01:43 GMT
| NNTP-Posting-Host: 64.230.76.71
| X-Complaints-To: abuse@sympatico.ca
| X-Trace: news20.bellglobal.com 1030313910 64.230.76.71 (Sun, 25 Aug 2002 18:18:30 EDT)
| NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 25 Aug 2002 18:18:30 EDT
| Organization: Bell Sympatico
| Xref: attbi_master51 alt.config:33540 rec.pets.cats.health+behav:37171 rec.radio.cb:34983
| rec.radio.shortwave:49189 rec.radio.swap:27025
| X-Received-Date: Sun, 25 Aug 2002 22:29:29 GMT (sccrnsc01)
|
|
|
|
| "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:Utga9.1333$bC5.1268@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
| | Fortunately i have all the latest virus protection and i was not infected.
| | It is some sort of alt. config. virus that i was able to quarantine and
| | remove.
| | --
| | Mad Dog
| |
| |
| |

5223. Re: DO NOT OPEN "you can all go to hell" letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 18:20:57 UTC
From: Brad Clarke <bgclarke16@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0gskmugt7ob4jqovc5m4odjbno3bv58jqn@4ax.com>
Source record: 134251
Cross-posted to: alt.config,rec.pets.cats.health+behav,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 17:58:21 GMT, "twï¿½ï¿½.ï¿½"
<.tw,@nothomeexcite,ATTBIï¿½.org.com> wrote:

>>Also, this IP is from Ottawa Canada.
Nastygram sent to abuse@sympatico.ca

Brad

5224. Anti-Keyclowns are GAY!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 18:29:05 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <4a9736447f7803619f5616314e4b5af8@xganon.com>
Source record: 134253

The Anti-Keyclowns are a bunch of gay blade wanna be's... and they don't own SHIT!

We, the original posters are now taking back over!

Signed,

The Owners

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

5225. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 18:31:13 UTC
From: SideBand <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org>
Message-ID: <3D6A73F1.9030401@csotbs.org>
Source record: 134252

You say you're a truck driver...

Ok..

Who do you drive for?

How many sets of brakes are there on an 18-wheeler?

Define the difference between a range selector and a splitter.

Now, state again how "all" truck drivers like to run overmodulated,
roger-beeping splatterboxes.

-SSB

Spunk Monkey wrote:

> I am a truck driver.... I can still not understand the desire to run
> over-modulated, reverb, echo, roger-beeping trash. And I've been driving
> since 89'.
>
> What is it with truck drivers wanting to sound like shit?
>
> "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3JT89.1118$yd1.100416@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
>>I think you should drive a truck for a while.
>>
>>
>>
>
>

--
73 de AB8LR, Chris

http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.

5226. Re: KeyClownSlamma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 18:41:04 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <f0b590f7e41b8bc822bfe958f3b6ee68@xganon.com>
Source record: 134254

NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.

See what we mean with the spam why some keep anaonymous accounts. Broken blokes like enn ate are dangerous to everyone.

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

5227. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 18:43:21 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akdsns$1h8qad$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134255

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208250037.4e00fa6d@posting.google.com...

> >Umm, no it doesn't. It's right there in black and white where you
> >stated that 10 meter radios are not legal for use on 10 meters.
>
> Tom. You're going from desperate to pathetic.

Oh, so I guess it's pathetic to point out a fact. I'm so sorry. You stated that 10 meter radios are not legal for use on 10 meters, period, without any qualifiers. Excuse me if I point out your mistake.

Tom

5228. Test only

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 18:43:33 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <cbf41cc460a2edfa6ec7427075923a3d@xganon.com>
Source record: 134257

Ignore this.

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

5229. Re: Dave or Debby SPANKED by ISP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 18:45:05 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns927677ADF5715subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 134258

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in
news:JDoa9.348655$q53.11425135@twister.austin.rr.com:

>
> "John Smith" <kissmyass@127.1.1.1> wrote in message
> news:akcm2o012vb@enews1.newsguy.com...
>>
>> <tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
>> news:3s1jmuoa5ca04ihf1edpf4iid9csqdfjpu@4ax.com...
>> > snip
>> > >> Oh? A IP address tracks down individuals?
>> > >> Prove it. Track me down.
>> > >
>> > >They most certainly can.
>> > >Let's say you posted something illegal such as child pornography.
>> > >Google would use that IP to find out who your ISP is, as I did.
>> > >A court order requesting your ISP to divulge who the user was, at
>> > >that particular time, sending that particular message, would lead
>> > >them to
> you.
>> > >Actually, the owner of the account would be held responsible.
>> >
>> > I'm glad you've come clean on just how difficult it is to identify
>> > an individual. So let me get this straight. You will/can get a
>> > court order against Dave and Debbie because they sell 23 channel
>> > radios?
>> >
>>
>> If you want to buy a paperweight that's your business.
>> 23 channel rigs are illegal to use. Not own.
>> You can advertise anything you want.
>> It's whether or not the laws say it's illegal to distribute such
>> advertising.
>> Maybe some antique collector out there will buy the radios.
>> Do I care? Not one bit.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
> When did 23 channel rigs become illegal to use?
>
>
>

Ya, I was under the impression that they were legal. As a matter of fact,
I still reguarly use an old Royce. I love the thing. Interesting.

Alan S.

5230. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 18:45:08 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akdsr6$1i4vei$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134256

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208250400.139e5740@posting.google.com...

> We aren't desperate, but YOU certainly are. At least WE knew that no
> type-acceptance is required for amateurs; that's something that even a
> no-code newbie like you should have known. Weasel!

That's BS. I'm willing to wager that he doesn't have a ham license.

Tom

5231. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 18:47:45 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92767821E7D3Asubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 134259

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in news:262-3D6A3D6D-410@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net:

> Lets face it, we are a tiny segment of a little segment of an even
> smaller segment that barely exists as far as the world is
> concerned..... to understand our world one must live in it, as most of
> you reading this do.
> Whether you post once a day or spend all your time here posting, there
> has been a familiarity
> among us caused by direct interactions with each other on a daily basis,
> some pleasant, others not.
> Many are faceless and even more are clueless, Dick was not. He was as
> real a part of our everyday world as any. Like him or leave him, Dick
> was a presence on the board to not only be emulated, but to be
> remembered as true elmer. When Dick had something to say, he said it.
> His patience was surpassed only by his skill and adepteness.
> His comprehension of not only radio but of human nature was remarkable,
> as he knew what makes many of us tick. He laughed with us and sometimes
> we laughed at ourselves, and Dick was always to be counted on for a
> unique perspective view, one that was refreshing in it's own manner
> Dick was a ligh in the room that will be sorely missed.
> He didn't frequently remind of his educational status background, or
> accomplishments.
> His many posts speak for themselves, drawing from the knowledge he had
> gained from years of experience dealing with radio and people, from the
> green newcomers to OT'ers opposed to...well.....anything.
> He taught many of us many things with a zest for a willingnesss to help.
> Fare thee well, Dick. Rest in Peace
>
>
>
>

Couldn't have put it better myself, so I won't even try. I miss him.

Alan S.

5232. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 19:03:57 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akdtug$140emt$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134260

This makes one realize just how fragile life can be...

He will be missed.

Tom

5233. Re: Anti boys own rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 19:08:11 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akdu6e$1i4rk7$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134261

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208251410.218b6b3f@posting.google.com...

> Didn't even see it, my system deletes mail from "anonymous" addresses.
> Not interested anyway. Try Tom Sevart, he's a pirate radio fan.

Yes, I am! You can send me the email if you want. If I'll be home, I'll
try to hear your broadcast.

Tom

5234. Re: Anti-Keyclowns OWN this NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 19:09:02 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020826150902.26253.00003274@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 134263

right on brotha!!

Thatz-me-JP

5235. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 19:09:19 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akdu8i$1gp8qe$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134262

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d1e9cf6a.0208242122.17140222@posting.google.com...
> Can you tell us WHY your effeminate amp page CAN'T be displayed bt
Att.net?
>
>
> ALSO...BE HONEST FOR ONCE!!!
>
> You got shut down bt the AKC!!!!
>
>
> WE WILL ALSO SHUT YOU DOWN NEXT TIME!!!!
>
>
> AKC OWNS FEDERAL CRIMINALS!!!!

American Kennel Club? Since when do dog breeders care about CB's?

Tom

5236. Re: RATTED OUT: FS: KICKER 500 BASE AMP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 19:10:58 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020826151058.26253.00003276@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 134264

how are you going to report something to AOL or google when your too cheap to even have our AOL program?, get a life....the man is using yahoo, what a cheap skate!

Thatz-me-JP

5237. This is for Keyclownslamma to get.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 19:15:45 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020826151545.26253.00003278@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 134265

....his blood pressure again!! ....I've got a Davemade M 80 mobile linear forsale ...Brand NEW in the box and its bubble wrapped for safety, will do 300 watts with 15 volts and a nice swinging radio.... Works very nicely....i'll take $135 for
it, that includes shipping costs.....thanks

Thatz-me-JP

5238. This is for keydownslamma to get.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 19:18:00 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020826151800.26253.00003280@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 134266

.......his blood pressure wayyy UP again!! ....I've got a Davemade M 80 mobile linear forsale ...Brand NEW in the box and its bubble wrapped for safety, will do 300 watts with 15 volts and a nice swinging radio.... Works very nicely....i'll
take $135 for it, that includes shipping costs.....thanks

Thatz-me-JP

5239. Re: Dave or Debby SPANKED by ISP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 19:35:41 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <qn0lmus68n01gi41atpetobl9oj5ocufpu@4ax.com>
Source record: 134267

On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 18:45:05 -0000, "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in
>news:JDoa9.348655$q53.11425135@twister.austin.rr.com:
>
>>
>> "John Smith" <kissmyass@127.1.1.1> wrote in message
>> news:akcm2o012vb@enews1.newsguy.com...
>>>
>>> <tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
>>> news:3s1jmuoa5ca04ihf1edpf4iid9csqdfjpu@4ax.com...
>>> > snip
>>> > >> Oh? A IP address tracks down individuals?
>>> > >> Prove it. Track me down.
>>> > >
>>> > >They most certainly can.
>>> > >Let's say you posted something illegal such as child pornography.
>>> > >Google would use that IP to find out who your ISP is, as I did.
>>> > >A court order requesting your ISP to divulge who the user was, at
>>> > >that particular time, sending that particular message, would lead
>>> > >them to
>> you.
>>> > >Actually, the owner of the account would be held responsible.
>>> >
>>> > I'm glad you've come clean on just how difficult it is to identify
>>> > an individual. So let me get this straight. You will/can get a
>>> > court order against Dave and Debbie because they sell 23 channel
>>> > radios?
>>> >
>>>
>>> If you want to buy a paperweight that's your business.
>>> 23 channel rigs are illegal to use. Not own.
>>> You can advertise anything you want.
>>> It's whether or not the laws say it's illegal to distribute such
>>> advertising.
>>> Maybe some antique collector out there will buy the radios.
>>> Do I care? Not one bit.
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>> When did 23 channel rigs become illegal to use?

>>
>>
>>
>
>Ya, I was under the impression that they were legal. As a matter of fact,
>I still reguarly use an old Royce. I love the thing. Interesting.
>
>Alan S.

They are not illegal to use.

5240. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 19:44:28 UTC
From: Joe Oliveri <joliveri@dcstec.com>
Message-ID: <801lmu8kukakbanomg3aa5bsrb523vrtu4@4ax.com>
Source record: 134268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

On 26 Aug 2002 18:33:01 GMT, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

>roger-beeping

Roger beeping is commonly used by fags so it's easier for them to
identify each other when on the big road. That being said, the echo
disguises the individual fags voice, just enough so that straight
hetero friends of the fag driver don't recognize his voice.

Roger Beep= faggot beep
Echo = Nope, that wasn't me you heard the other day talking to the
other fags on the road.

5241. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 19:46:55 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <PAva9.829$%D6.78197@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 134270
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

Homo

5242. Re: UNITED GAY KEYCLOWNS OF AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 19:47:26 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208261147.1e94aa70@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134269

Yeah, thats the cool thing about geocities...they don't care what ya
do...
This one is yours, I believe...

http://www.geocities.com/aunteekeyklown/Keyclown_wedding.html

timnebo@aol.com187 (www.SemenLippedTimNebo.com) whined like the little
woman he is in message
news:<20020826094040.28630.00002366@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> my website is still up and running. for the newbies that would like to
> undertstand wahts going on with tim nebo go here and see for yourself
>

>
> >From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters)
>
> >
> >
> >Your web site will soon be up and running. Special section for chapwoman.
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

5243. Re: KeyClownSlamma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 19:48:43 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208261148.4f9dbbc7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134271

timnebodweebo@aol.com187 (www.SemenLippedTimNebo.com) whined like the woman he is in message news:<20020826095208.28630.00002369@mb-mn.aol.com>...

http://www.geocities.com/aunteekeyklown/Keyclown_wedding.html
>
> this will explain it all to the newbies of this group
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

5244. Re: Why Truckers Sound Shitty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 19:59:13 UTC
From: Joe Oliveri <joliveri@dcstec.com>
Message-ID: <512lmuk4n3auu8ho963mtangm0bjuqgfd6@4ax.com>
Source record: 134272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,misc.transport.trucking

Excuse me, but I prefer to be called a fag, rather than a "homo."

On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 19:46:55 GMT, "tools" <medtools@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Homo
>

5245. AntiKeyclowns TOTALLY own this NG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 20:03:35 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208261203.2173bb4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134273

I wanted to tell chapwoman something about how IMPRESSED I am with his feminine wiles. That IP trace is REALLY something...of course so is a pile of horse turds...for you they are likely a food supply. Chapwoman the ham traitor. All you keyclowns lift your limp wrists high in salute to him. He is unquestionably
the brains of your outfit.

We own it dudes! You cannot stop us. We shut down yer auctions, we reveal your feminine sides to the world, we get ya all pissed off...

But ya can't do anything about it.

3rd anniversary of the AKC is coming. We are still here. We challenge you to stop us repeatedly, but you cannot. Impotence, it's not just for old farts, it's for keyclowns too.

5246. Brian Griffey the drooling pansy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 20:08:19 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208261208.25a021c2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134274

"Brian Gaylord" <briangaylord@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<Kwea9.34939$Ke2.2453970@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> If you had time to read the specs... you would see that it indeed has full
> coverage of the 10 meter band.

Yes, and it is therefore illegal to manufacture or sell, which makes
you a FEDERAL CRIMINAL KEYCLOWN!
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Gaylord
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_Amplifier.doc

> "Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
> news:qD7a9.201431$SS.8043362@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > Griffey is your amp a 10 meter amp? Or is that just a ploy to try and
> sell
> > it? It is not an export model why the need for the Tom Foolery. Why do you
> > call it a 10 meter amp? That is a fair question that should be addressed.
> >
> >
> > "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:_B3a9.3640$p%3.260789@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > The link seems to work fine... not sure what your problem is.
> > > As for AKC, I don't know what that is????
> > > Shut down what? You never shut anything down that I know of...
> > > I'm taking this message as a personal threat and are forwarding to the
> > > proper authorities.
> > >
> > > --
> > > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > > Brian Griffey
> > > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_Amplifier.doc
> > > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > >
> > >
> > > "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:d1e9cf6a.0208242122.17140222@posting.google.com...
> > > > Can you tell us WHY your effeminate amp page CAN'T be displayed bt
> Att.net?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > ALSO...BE HONEST FOR ONCE!!!
> > > >
> > > > You got shut down bt the AKC!!!!
> > > >
> > > >

> > > > WE WILL ALSO SHUT YOU DOWN NEXT TIME!!!!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > AKC OWNS FEDERAL CRIMINALS!!!!
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

5247. Brian Griffey the whining sissie's web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 20:10:34 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208261210.43ae2caa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134275

ATT SEZ ITS NO LONGER THERE!!!! HAHAHAAHAHAHAHH!

5248. Chapwoman tries and fails

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 20:14:12 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208261214.62beca5c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134276

"Randy" <rchapwoman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<ZKga9.346209$q53.11325597@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "Randy" <rchapwoman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:Jiga9.346095$q53.11317267@twister.austin.rr.com...
Hey Clapwoman, I mean Chapwoman...So glad ya think yer gettin
somewhere...thanks for playing. TREMENDOUS EFFORT! Now, as a reward
for your hard work, call up dweebo and tell him his Masters said you
can have a freebie.

HARDDHARRHARRHARR!!! LIFE SUCKS WHEN YER A CLUELESS HAM TRAITOR
FEDERAL CRIMINAL KEYCLOWN!

> > "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:d1e9cf6a.0208251100.27e8c637@posting.google.com...
> > > Filled with pics of his feminine exploits, writings of his CRIMINAL
> > > activities, and the 100% TRUTH!!!
> > >
> > >
> > > Don't miss it!!!
> > >
> > > Chapwoman, the web page...coming soon!
> >
> > But he has a REALLY slow ping. Must be on a cheap dialup account. Anyone
> > else wanna try?
> >
> > Give it a shot.
> >
> > 65.238.191.223
> >
> >
>
> Status Address Round Trip Data Size IP Address
> IP Timed out -541069759 262164 ms 0 bytes 65.238.191.223
> Successfull -541069759 296 ms 4 bytes 65.238.191.223
> IP Timed out -541069759 262164 ms 0 bytes 65.238.191.223
> Successfull -541069759 281 ms 4 bytes 65.238.191.223
> IP Timed out -541069759 262164 ms 0 bytes 65.238.191.223
> Successfull -541069759 296 ms 4 bytes 65.238.191.223
> IP Timed out -541069759 262164 ms 0 bytes 65.238.191.223
> Successfull -541069759 343 ms 4 bytes 65.238.191.223
> Successfull -541069759 297 ms 4 bytes 65.238.191.223
> Successfull -541069759 313 ms 4 bytes 65.238.191.223

5249. The beat goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 20:21:15 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208261221.5e634bff@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134277

Hampton, I think RARA would love to know about your support of illegal radio operations in the various forums ya use...I got a buddy over in Webster that I can pass a few links to. Don't even try to act like the Anti-Boys
are the ones to blame. These federal CRIMINALS deserve everything they get, and more.

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:<umgahrg30m6c66@corp.supernews.com>...
> And all of your flames only cause more problems.
>
> Jim
>
>
> Keyclown Busters <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:d1e9cf6a.0208241414.9b0aac3@posting.google.com...
> > This thread about "Ham misbehavior" is immaterial. The only place
> > where it counts is if you are in violation of FCC rules. Anything goes
> > in this NG, just like on your effeminate CB band. You sissies just
> > can't take it, canya?
> >
> > Scumbag keyclowns are overwhelmingly in violation every time they key
> > the mike.
> >
> > Your sissified posts about "hams this and hams that" are just attempts
> > to confuse the truth. You are federal criminals, and you WISH it was
> > not true, but that won't ever change.
> >
> > ANTIKEYCLOWNS OWN REC RADIO CB AND YOU CANNOT DO ANYTHING ABOUT
> > IT!!!!!

5250. Re: Just soo Keyclowns understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 20:22:58 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20020826162258.09188.00003225@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 134278

No habla.....
Train

5251. Re: Wrongo, Doc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 20:23:48 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208261223.65bca797@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134279

> PS - By the way, I'm neither deaf or blind. Get rid of the
> 'caps'.

Maybe not, but you do support activity that is an insult to all
amateur licensee's, and is criminal.

5252. Delusional Keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 20:30:31 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208261230.bfb58b0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134280

NOTHING CAN STOP ANTI KEYCLOWNS!!!!

You posted his personal info...HE LAUGHED!

You made obscene web pages about him...HE LAUGHED!

You whined to AOL about him...HE LAUGHED!

You whined to deja about him...HE LAUGHED!

You threatened violence against him...HE LAUGHED!

You had Phoneyboy/1mangayboy call him names...HE LAUGHED!

You call him everything in the book...HE LAUGHED!

You keep trying......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!

You keep failing.........HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
You are outta your league with N8wwm.......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
He has sworn to never stop because of what you guys have done, he is
laughing at you keyclowns.......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
He won't ever stop, you won't ever win......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
You keyclowns have lost......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
See, ALL of you ganged up couldn't stop him......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
It has been the funniest thing many of us have seen to read your
delusional rantings. Keep it up ILLEGAL KEYCLOWNS!......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
Keyclown slappa said that......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!!
Making keyclowns cry is fun......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!!

5253. Re: RE: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 21:01:07 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <nGwa9.100047$Aw4.4288875@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134281

"Anonymous" <nobody@paranoici.org> wrote in message
news:9c191c4df6c8e0ab5d959fb2877c4e6d@paranoici.org...
> Hey Sneakers....And how long ago was that written/And has
> it stopped anything?Nooooooooooo..WHY??...Sorry pal but the
> validity of this ruleing has already failed in court so it
> is not a valid rule and The only rule that still stands
> that is even close to this concerns operation of said
> equiptment.... If you would take more time to research you
> wouldnt make stupid and irrelevant posts.You wannae
> kilocycle cops really break me up.BOO-Hoo sucks to be you
> BRRUUUHAHAHAHA
> g8KEePeR

Snapperheafd If you want me to address your post to me please quote the post
you are reffering to otherwise you sound like a horses ass.

5254. Re: Oops, what he meant to say.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 21:12:45 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <hRwa9.224177$2p2.9160415@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134282

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:kJha9.346403$q53.11345249@twister.austin.rr.com...
> I don't think it was Shark. He's too much fun. I just wanna yank one more
> ISP from him before I do that.
>
> -R-

You just wanna Yank his what?

5255. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 21:13:45 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <dSwa9.224195$2p2.9161052@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134283

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020826094631.28630.00002368@mb-mn.aol.com...
> this one statement shows your ignorance with 10/11 meter propagation. a
qrp
> station has no problem giving a full s meter reading anywhere in this
nation
> during a solid band opening

Tim how many watts do you consider qrp operation?

5256. Re: Delusional Keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 21:14:41 UTC
From: "r.r.c.b.auto*responder-V3.1" <yusenet@autoresponder.kom>
Message-ID: <uml6fk4s14mc5a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134284

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d1e9cf6a.0208261230.bfb58b0@posting.google.com...
> NOTHING CAN STOP ANTI KEYCLOWNS!!!!
>
> You posted his personal info...HE LAUGHED!
>
> You made obscene web pages about him...HE LAUGHED!
>
> You whined to AOL about him...HE LAUGHED!
>
> You whined to deja about him...HE LAUGHED!
>
> You threatened violence against him...HE LAUGHED!
>
> You had Phoneyboy/1mangayboy call him names...HE LAUGHED!
>
> You call him everything in the book...HE LAUGHED!
>
> You keep trying......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
>
> You keep failing.........HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
> You are outta your league with N8wwm.......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
> He has sworn to never stop because of what you guys have done, he is
> laughing at you keyclowns.......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
> He won't ever stop, you won't ever win......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
> You keyclowns have lost......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
> See, ALL of you ganged up couldn't stop him......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
> It has been the funniest thing many of us have seen to read your
> delusional rantings. Keep it up ILLEGAL KEYCLOWNS!......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
> Keyclown slappa said that......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!!
> Making keyclowns cry is fun......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!!

The psychotic Nitwit (Dougie) speaks...

BTW: What makes you think that you are such a big deal?

5257. Re: Brian Griffey the whining sissie's web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 21:17:44 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <YVwa9.5637$p%3.435245@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134285

I guess when you're unemployed, and have little hope of finding anything,
you become bitter and start hating everything and everybody...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d1e9cf6a.0208261210.43ae2caa@posting.google.com...
> ATT SEZ ITS NO LONGER THERE!!!! HAHAHAAHAHAHAHH!

5258. Could A Ham be that Bad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 21:32:20 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <E7xa9.5661$p%3.436618@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134286

Keyclown Buster,
Are you really an amateur with a licence?
I hope the answer is no... because if you are... you are disgracing all of ham radio with your badgering and misbehaving.
You're supposed to be the "elite" of the radio world and this is how you show guidance to CBers?
You are no better than the people you attack... in fact... you're much worse.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:d1e9cf6a.0208261223.65bca797@posting.google.com...
> Maybe not, but you do support activity that is an insult to all
> amateur licensee's, and is criminal.

5259. Re: Could A Ham be that Bad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 21:54:02 UTC
From: "r.r.c.b.auto*responder-V3.1" <yusenet@autoresponder.kom>
Message-ID: <uml8pe71vhmaa4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134287

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:E7xa9.5661$p%3.436618@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Keyclown Buster,
> Are you really an amateur with a licence?
> I hope the answer is no... because if you are... you are disgracing all of
> ham radio with your badgering and misbehaving.
> You're supposed to be the "elite" of the radio world and this is how you
> show guidance to CBers?
> You are no better than the people you attack... in fact... you're much
> worse.
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:d1e9cf6a.0208261223.65bca797@posting.google.com...
> > Maybe not, but you do support activity that is an insult to all
> > amateur licensee's, and is criminal.
>
>

Doug just ain't right, He's just a bad apple by any standard,.. period!

5260. WTB: ChipSwitch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 22:12:55 UTC
From: jkross255@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <a47f3907.0208261412.4cab7688@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134288

Does anyone have this item for sale? Or know anyone who has stock of them? I would be interested in a used chipswitch if the price was right, and it worked and wasnt some blown up hand off :)

Jeff

5261. Re: Brian Griffey the whining sissie's web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 22:17:57 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20020826181757.17768.00002225@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 134289

Brian,
The webpage works just fine here!
Train

5262. Re: Delusional Keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 22:27:36 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020826182736.25240.00003003@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 134290

Looks like Douglas just got out of the hospital.You would think by age 44 you would have grown up.You are still a no code techie thats pretty funny. Did they let you become a OO yet or are they sick of hearing from you crying wolf! http://qrz.com/callsign/N8WWN

5263. Re: Brian Griffey the whining sissie's web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 22:28:27 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <fYxa9.148621$Yd.6601817@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134291

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
news:20020826181757.17768.00002225@mb-fu.aol.com...
> Brian,
> The webpage works just fine here!
> Train

Works fine here too. Dogie must not know it takes Acrobat.

5264. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 22:35:42 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208261435.44661f48@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134292

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<akdsr6$1i4vei$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0208250400.139e5740@posting.google.com...
>
> > We aren't desperate, but YOU certainly are. At least WE knew that no
> > type-acceptance is required for amateurs; that's something that even a
> > no-code newbie like you should have known. Weasel!
>
> That's BS. I'm willing to wager that he doesn't have a ham license.
>
> Tom

Allegedly he has a no-code. I've seen no proof of that, however.

And have you noticed: Whenever he gets trapped in one thread, he
starts a new one as if nothing had ever happened? The guy is a psycho!

5265. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 22:36:42 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208261436.324c4169@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134293

n2uhc@yahoo.com (Tom Sevart) wrote in message
news:<3442abbf.0208242148.4cdafe1f@posting.google.com>...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208241050.fb56563@posting.google.com>...
>
> > >Yup, yup, yup! It's there.
> > >
> >
> > Guys, a bit of advice: this just makes you come off as "desperate".
>
> Umm, no it doesn't. It's right there in black and white where you
> stated that 10 meter radios are not legal for use on 10 meters. Now
> you're saying you never said that. You're grasping at straws.
>
> Just why are you so obsessed with illegal operators anyway? You don't
> seem to be a ham, nor do you seem to be a CB'er, either. Is it just
> because you found a topic on which people like to argue with you? If
> you were a ham, I could see why you'd want to keep intruders out of
> the 10 meter band. But you don't seem to have a ham license. If you
> were a CB'er, I don't understand why you're so obsessed with freeband
> operators. They're not interfering with the legal 40 channels because
> they're simply not operating there. As far as I can tell, you're not
> an FCC agent, either. So why the obsession?
>
> If you are a ham or CB'er, what kind of radios/antennas do you use?
>
> Tom

Oh geez, Tom - don't ask those "hard" questions! He won't answer them.
He doesn't KNOW the answers!

5266. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 22:39:10 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208261439.1e4e9a29@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134294

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208250037.4e00fa6d@posting.google.com>...
> ï¿½n2uhc@yahoo.com (Tom Sevart) wrote:
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message news:...
> >
> > >>Yup, yup, yup! It's there.
> > > >
> > >
> > > >Guys, a bit of advice: this just makes you come off as "desperate".
> > >
> > >Umm, no it doesn't. It's right there in black and white where you
> > >stated that 10 meter radios are not legal for use on 10 meters.
> >
> > Tom. You're going from desperate to pathetic.
> >
> > -A
> >
> >
> > -----
> > From: Aaron H. Voobner (voobner_aaron@yahoo.com)
> > Subject: Re: 10M rigs, Customs, legals -The Real Deal...
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> > Date: 2002-07-19 20:36:22 PST
> >
> > I wrote:
> > >Amateur gear does not require type-acceptance and never has. CB
> > >gear does.
> >
> > I forgot that this was Usenet and folks will leap to correct any
> > oversight, so I will correct myself. All RF gear must meet certain
> > standards set by the FCC. This is known as "FCC Certification", and it
> > was previously known as "Type Acceptance". Amateur gear is certified
> > for use in the range of frequencies authorized for amateur operation,
> > meaning, commercial amateur gear is not "certified" for use outside
> > the amateur bands. This doesn't change the essential point of my
> > previous post, since licensed amateurs may legally build,modify, and
> > use equipment that is "capable" of out of band or illegal high power
> > operation.....and CBers may not.
> > ----

Except that this post has only appeared as a "quote" in another
message from Aanal Aaron; never as an actual post.

Aaron stated several times that those 10-meter radios were "illegal".
Period. And he has been proven wrong and won't admit it. LOSER!

5267. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 22:40:41 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208261440.253003a2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134295

JJj <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D67D91B.D723E7CE@mailcity.net>...
> Richard Cranium wrote:
> >
> > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D6698A5.1F8ED4D9@mailcity.net>...
> > > Richard Cranium wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > And it's not even accurate! Amateurs don't have to comply with Part 95.
> > > >\
> > >
> > > Explain?
> >
> > Amateur radio operates under Part 97, and Part 95 is for Citizen's
> > Band radio. Thus it's obvious that if we're talking about hams on the
> > ham bands, they don't need to be concerned about Part 95.
>
> But if a ham is operating on the cb band, he must comply with part
> 95. He is still a ham even if he is on the cb band operating as a
> cber.

If a ham is operating on CB, he is subject to Part 95. He is NOT a ham
in this instance and need not be concerned with Part 97. He is a CBer.
What part of this don't you understand?

5268. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 22:43:44 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208261443.5569418e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134296

n2uhc@yahoo.com (Tom Sevart) wrote in message
news:<3442abbf.0208240321.662b5d3@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208231626.4b28f13d@posting.google.com>...
>
> > Nonsense! That statement has already been disproven. You claimed that
> > the said radios were "illegal" and made no exemption for amateur use.
> > You even argued with me and Tom Sevart when we said otherwise. You
> > were wrong. Admit it. You really have no choice; the entire thread is
> > there for anyone to read, and if they do they will easily see your
> > turn-about in position.
>
> It was, in fact, the third post in this very thread:
>
> From: Aaron H. Voobner (ahvoobner@yahoo.com)
> Subject: Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Date: 2002-08-01 16:21:34 PST
>
>
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> >There is nothing illegal about a Uniden HR2510
> >- IF it is listed unter amateur radio.
>
> Wrong. See below.
>
> >It IS illegal for CB use. But since when has that ever
> >mattered to Aanyone other than Aanal Aaron?
> >Who isn't a licensed Aamateur and can't use 10 metres
> >Aanyhow?
>
> Irrelevant.

NOT irrelevant. You are (allegedly) a no-code Technician and cannot operate on 10 meters anyhow. Why the obsession with so-called "intruders" on ten meters? Unless you bootleg there. And please answer the question this time.

> >The HR2510 is perfectly legal for 10 metre use.
>
> Wrong again. See below.

Note: He has stated that a 10-meter radio is "illegal" for use on ten meters. Which makes his later statements lies. You lose, Aanal Aaron!

5269. Re: KeyClownSlamma

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 22:46:28 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208261446.14a255b9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134297

xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:<f0b590f7e41b8bc822bfe958f3b6ee68@xganon.com>...
> NOTE: This message was sent thru a mail2news gateway.
> No effort was made to verify the identity of the sender.
> ----------
>
> See what we mean with the spam why some keep anaonymous accounts. Broken blokes like enn
ate are dangerous to everyone.
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---

And you are dangerous to everyone, too. Why did you try to SELL me
someone's identity? You think I'm stupid enough to give you $300 for
bogus information?

5270. Re: Delusional Keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 22:49:20 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Qfya9.115423$eK6.3633766@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134298

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d1e9cf6a.0208261230.bfb58b0@posting.google.com...

Delusional garbage snipped....leaving only accurate content:

Yawn....now then, doesn't it make much more sense now?

5271. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 22:51:02 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Ifya9.70625$%v4.2911246@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134299

www.TimNebo.com <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020825224255.28619.00002469@mb-mn.aol.com...
and funny your technicalities listed by
> yourself was antenna building just like the troll said haha

.....while people like him go to the CB store to buy their "antenners"
and listen to all the bullcrap
about coax length, how broadbandedness makes for a better antenna, why they
need their radio
"peaked and tuned" for "swang", and BELIEVE the junk!

I told you my comments were meant for him!

Jerry

5272. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 22:59:01 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208261459.1f68f4e8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134300

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208211139.224de71a@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208191556.ceb665d@posting.google.com>...
> > >>timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote
> > >>
> > >>everybody in the entire radio active world knows
> > >>it is going on but you!
>
> > >If you are radio active on 14.313, do let us know times (UTC) when
> > >you're on. As Tom Sevart says, there's no law against listening to
> > >(and making recordings of) pirates....
> > >
> > >-Aaron-
>
> >By all means, record away. And be sure to send your recordings to
> >Riley so action can be taken against those law-breaking amateurs!
>
> I usually ignore your trolling Richard, but this one made me LOL.
> There are probably a half a dozen amateurs monitoring/recording those
> frequencies. Amateurs want bad amateurs busted - just as much as
> they'd want Tim busted if he was bootlegging on 14.313.
>
> -Aaron-

I'm not the one "trolling", Aanal Aaron. And why would you ignore me?
Afraid of the truth?

Why not answer some of the "hard" questions that have been asked of
you recently, like "why the obsession with cleaning up ten meters"
when you're not licensed to operate there? And it's NOT irrelevant,
except to you.

5273. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 23:01:15 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208261501.6d2d2eb2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134301

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D626890.8C131B46@mailcity.net>...
> Who cares what the idiots do on the cb band do as long as they do
> not interfere with other services. The whole argument here is that
> it is not confined to the cb band. The overdriven, overpowered,
> splatter boxes cause other interference, and the encroachment into
> other frequencies by unlicensed operators, such as the 10 meter
> band with their imported "10 meter" radios, which we all know are
> aimed at the cb crowd, is the issue. That is what we want cleaned
> up.

But that's a tiny number of operators, Jerry. Why get all freaked out
about it? There are other frequencies you can use, if necessary.
Doesn't your radio have a Tuning knob?

5274. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 23:04:12 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Psya9.70675$%v4.2914831@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134303

Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:xOga9.346226$q53.11326795@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:ebga9.58036$%v4.1818181@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Audiotest3 <audiotest3@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020825212648.28603.00002522@mb-mn.aol.com...
> > > The typical ham is:
> > > older Male,
> > > overweight,
> > > overly concerned about "Ham politics",
> > > is ritualistic about the way they "Ham",
> > > obsessed with Ham Radio,
> > > non-technical (except about selecting antennas for their "rigs"
> > >
> > > Most Hams are old men. There are few females in Ham Radio. The few
young
> > people
> > > in Ham Radio are often related to older Hams.
> > >
> > > Ham Radio today makes a person overweight. Otherwise, why would the
> great
> > > majority of Hams be overweight? "Contesting" is the essence of Ham
> Radio.
> > > Contesting is making as many contacts as you can within a certain time
> > period.
> > > Contesting is a low movement activity. As a result of less physical
> > activity,
> > > and lack of restraint in one's diet, one becomes overweight.
> > >
> > > Go to a Hamfest or Ham Radio meeting and you will see that this is
true.
> > The
> > > great majority of Hams are overweight. Not just a little, but very
> > overweight.
> > > True, the majority of Americans are overweight. But Hamfests show that
a
> > > greater percentage of Hams are overweight.
> > >
> > > Hams seem to be obsessed about the latest regulation related to their
> > hobby.
> > > Their hobby seems to accomplish nothing. It is a meaningless activity
to

> > > entertain oneself. Contesters make rapid-fire contacts. They only
> exchange
> > call
> > > signs, log in their contact, and then move on to the next contact.
Hams
> > seem
> > > get a thrill by doing this.
> > >
> > > Hams have alot of rituals they follow, secret societies, codeword
> jargon.
> > And I
> > > haven't even mentioned Morse code yet. Hams tend not to be technical,
> > except
> > > when it comes to their antennas. Some take great interest and become
> quite
> > good
> > > with antennas. However, the expertise stops there. Antenna knowledge
is
> > only
> > > useful in that it helps them select the best antennas for their
radios.
> > The
> > > goal of Ham Radio, don't forget, is to contest - make as many contacts
> as
> > > possible.
> > >
> > > Hams are very obsessive about their hobby. The obsession is amazing.
> Hams
> > try
> > > to mention Ham radio whenever they can and try to recruit. Hams get
> vanity
> > > license plates with their call signs on their plates. Hams will take a
> day
> > off
> > > work if the Hamfest starts on Friday. One Ham said he moved to a
> > particular
> > > state because he was a Dxer and there were better propagation
conditions
> > in
> > > that state!
> > >
> > > The sad thing is Hams tend to find their contests interesting. Ham
Radio
> > needs
> > > to include more perspectives if it is to attract more members. Ham
radio
> > could
> > > be more interesting. It could have a variety of people, do interesting
> > > activities, have interesting conversations, interesting do more
> technical

> > > things with radio. However, this is not what Ham Radio is today.
> > >
> > > Hams do not usually achieve much besides winning "contests", which
makes
> > them
> > > feel good. Outside of Ham Radio, this means nothing. Within Ham radio,
> it
> > tends
> > > to be forgotten with the next contest. There are many contests and
their
> > scores
> > > are published regularly in Ham magazines. As a result of their
> obsession,
> > Hams
> > > have little time to accomplish anything else, and therefore are less
> > > successful. Ham Radio is a pathetic hobby, and the typical Ham is
> > pathetic.
> >
> > Yeah, stupid. it's so pathetic it's getting money from Department of
> > Homeland Defense to
> > provide additional training for hams in emergency assistance. It's so
> > pathetic that it's got some
> > protection against local zoning laws that states that local gov't must
> make
> > reasonable accomo-
> > dations for hams to have antennas and support structures. Currently,
> there
> > are efforts, mostly
> > successful, in state legislatures to codify the Federal statute (PRB-1)
> into
> > state laws. And there
> > is a Bill moving thru Congress to provide relief from CC & R's imposed
by
> > builders and home-
> > owners' associations, and it is likely to pass. If you, sir, are a CBer
> you
> > will find that there are
> > no such initiatives on behalf of CBers indicating the relative
importance
> > placed upon the respect-
> > ive services amongst the authorities.
> >
> > This ham doesn't do contests, bud. A lot of my DX efforts go towards
> > testing equipment and
> > antennas that I have built. I spend more time learning about the
> > mechanicals and theory of radio
> > than I do talking. Non-techical? Fella, I don't go out and buy 'them
thar
> > antenners'; I MAKE my
> > own.

> >
> > If ham radio is less technical, it is because of the caliber of people
> that
> > are coming into the ranks,
> > and is a reflection of US society itself. It is not only radio that is
> > being "dumbed down" in order to
> > satisfy the politically correct scions who are afraid someone less
bright
> > may be "excluded", but all
> > facets of society.
> >
> >
> > As to hams being old, you are seeing what you WANT to see. I see PLENTY
> of
> > women and
> > kids in the hobby. I will be at the Shelby Hamfest all this week, and I
> > betcha I can verify my
> > statement. We ALL get old eventually. I've been involved with radio
> since
> > I was 10 when I
> > listened to my Dad's old 1940 Philco shortwave radio. And ham radio is
> not
> > my only hobby.
> > I enjoy flying, woodworking, traveling, reading, swimming, roller
skating,
> > computers, and vintage
> > cars--among others.
> >
> > The goal of Amateur Radio is to foster understanding of radio, goodwill
> > among nations, and to
> > provide emergency communications during emergencies--which it did most
> > admirably in the after-
> > math of 9/11; hams were among the first to respond, and remained on the
> job
> > until most all regular
> > communications had been restored. I haven't heard a single word about
> your
> > "Tan-fer thar" boys.Try convincing the New York City Emergency
Management
> > people that Amateur Radio is
> > "meaningless".
> >
> > No matter how much you attempt to demean Amateur Radio, you will never
be
> > able to escape the
> > fact that CB radio among the affluent populace is associated with
trailer
> > parks, ratty old cars with
> > dual "whup-whups" on the bumper going "fooomp-fooomp-fooomp-fooomp"
> because

> > they are running on 5 cylinders instead of 8, goofy, meaningless
> > jargon--often sounding like some unedu-ated Southern bumpkin, and
> > "pickem-up" trucks with twin Radio Shack fiberglass antennas on
> > the mirrors.
> >
> > As to "accomplishment", how come it is Amateur Radio that is called upon
> to
> > augment emergency
> > communications during disaster, not CBers? Hmmmmm? Why are Amateurs
> > allocated thousands
> > of frequencies in multiple bands while CB is restricted to 40 channels?
> >
> > And before the rest of you flame me, remember this knothead started this
> > argument.
> >
> > Jerry
> >
> >
> >
>
> Jerry, you are one bigoted idiot.

OK, so it's fine for this person I was responding to to mock hams with HIS
view of hams, but not
OK for me to respond in kind? I responded in the same way he posted. There
are stereotypes to
be found in ANY group. Ever seen the stereotypes of computer "geeks"? How
about nerds with
thick glasses, heavy acne, pocket protectors, hmmmm? If he can say that
most hams are old,
fat, and obsessed with ham radio, then I can come back with the twin "whups"
and rusty old car
thing.

Jerry
>
>

5275. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 23:05:47 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208261505.7f799a39@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134302

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<Aoj89.67359$dr.8706885@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> www.TimNebo.com <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
> news:20020819183520.16108.00001685@mb-bg.aol.com...
> > sure am, all i have to do is read rec.radio.shortwave and the trash misc
> ham
> > group to be right up to date on all the hot spots like the am'ers on 75
> meters
> > out on the west coast that keep jamming the ssb'ers. its alot of fun to
> hear
> > the guys go at it.
>
> Those are "turf" wars that don't need to go on. It is caused by immature,
> fire-hydrant-pissing
> mental midgets that think that "their" frequency should be populated only by
> them. It is a simply
> matter for the SSBers to move down a few KCs. The AMers only have a few
> places to operate on that mode. Control freaks abound everywhere, and the
> SSBers could simply move a ways.
> But NOOOOOOOO. Everybody's gotta defend their "turf"/
>
> Jerry

And that's exactly what you and Aanal Aaron are doing in the wrong
newsgroup, isn't it? You're certainly not here to make friends; you're
defending your "turf". And you and Aanal Aaron are certainly "control
freaks"; that much is obvious from your posting. Why don't YOU just
move a few kHz?

5276. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 23:07:31 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208261507.1a2683f0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134304

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208231021.a58c15c@posting.google.com>...
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:ak5b66$1g4mp4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> > X-No-archive: Yes
> >
> > Apparently he's someone who just likes to argue even
> >though he's long ago lost the argument.
>
> I didn't lose anything. The banned radio auctions are still being
> stopped by eBay....and a ham still cannot legally buy a banned radio
> from a retailer.
>
> -Aaron-

He certainly can. The retailer just cannot "import" anymore. The
existing radios can still be sold. You cannot grasp the simplist
point, can you?

5277. Re: The length of coax is very important.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 23:10:08 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Fyya9.70694$%v4.2917221@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134305

>
> > Jerry
>
> OK Jerry, read it again, then prove your point. Coax that runs straight
from
> the radio to the antenna is seldom used. Usually gets in the way of
> passengers in a vehicle.

Any random length, going around seats, over obstacles, under carpet, under
door channels
will do. I posted the proof in the first thread which no one apparently
read. I have a meeting to go
to and I will prove that coax length is nonsense when I return in a couple
hours.

Jerry
>
>

5278. Re: WTB: ChipSwitch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 23:16:04 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020826191604.17953.00003009@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 134306

www.bills2way.com

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5279. Re: ATTN: Aaron Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 23:17:55 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <DGya9.285093$sA3.547237@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 134307

Consider it done.....

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9276D418B067subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...

> Uh, I like my video cards over easy with a little solder flux.
>
> Alan S.

5280. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 23:20:54 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020826192054.17953.00003011@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 134308

i've been pegged out by many 4 watt legal cb's from all across the country when the skip is in really strong

><timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
>news:20020826094631.28630.00002368@mb-mn.aol.com...
>> this one statement shows your ignorance with 10/11 meter propagation. a
>qrp
>> station has no problem giving a full s meter reading anywhere in this
>nation
>> during a solid band opening
>
>Tim how many watts do you consider qrp operation?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5281. Re: Expanded 8-Band Channel Chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 23:34:22 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208261534.3e983be6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134309

Lou Franklin <lou@cbcintl.com> wrote in message
news:<4qjjmugbrhj5k84ulhmiduhqh0dne8gs5m@4ax.com>...
> FREE DOWNLOAD, 8-band expanded CB frequency chart shows 4 bands down,
> 3 up, + CB 40. Find where you are, 25.165 Ch.1 lowest band to 28.755
> MHz Ch.40 highest band. Includes the skips too.
>
> Download this (13Kb, 1-page PDF format/portrait layout) at:
>
> www.cbcintl.com/docs/channels.pdf
>
> We'll be adding more freebies as time permits. For links to those
> freebies we already have up, go to:
>
> www.cbcintl.com/freebies.htm
>
> Due to high demand, look for the xtal-mixing charts & block mixing
> diagrams covering about 95% of the most common AM and AM/SSB CB radios
> here. Lots of guys asking to "fill in the blanks" in order to replace
> a bad xtal or convert/expand these older rigs to new freqs.

Lou,

28.755 falls within the amateur 10 meter band. It is not one of the
"expanded CB channels".

-Aaron-

5282. Re: The length of coax is very important.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 23:38:46 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6ABC0E.DF19C1F9@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 134310

Jerry Oxendine wrote:
> I have a meeting to go
> to and I will prove that coax length is nonsense when I return in a couple
> hours.

This oughta' be good. We get to hear the story about the
screwdriver antenna again that works from 1.8 to 30 MHz
with 7 feet of coax! <-;

5283. Re: The length of coax is very important.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 23:40:39 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <X%ya9.148688$Yd.6614360@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134311

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:Fyya9.70694$%v4.2917221@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> >
> > > Jerry
> >
> > OK Jerry, read it again, then prove your point. Coax that runs straight
> from
> > the radio to the antenna is seldom used. Usually gets in the way of
> > passengers in a vehicle.
>
> Any random length, going around seats, over obstacles, under carpet, under
> door channels
> will do. I posted the proof in the first thread which no one apparently
> read. I have a meeting to go
> to and I will prove that coax length is nonsense when I return in a couple
> hours.
>
> Jerry
> >
> >
>
>
>

Ya still don't get it, do ya Jerry? No wonder they think hams are geeks.
Read it again Jerry, this time real slow. It was not intended as a formula.

5284. Palamar 300A Base Amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 23:44:01 UTC
From: Donald Ferren <donaldf@ncia.net>
Message-ID: <53za9.4888$tl4.2352595@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 134312

I am selling this amp for 200 Dollars it is in very good condition I have been in the hospital and need the money if interested email me at donaldf@ncia.net thank you.

5285. Re: Palamar 300A Base Amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-26 23:59:03 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6AC0C7.176224FE@citlink.net>
Source record: 134313

Hey
Way up there in NH...I used to live in Errol

Donald Ferren wrote:

> I am selling this amp for 200 Dollars it is in very good condition I have
> been in the hospital and need the money if interested email me at
> donaldf@ncia.net thank you.

5286. Re: Delusional Keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 00:14:14 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qvza9.116075$eK6.3649066@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134314

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020826182736.25240.00003003@mb-cv.aol.com...
> Looks like Douglas just got out of the hospital.You would think by age 44
you
> would have grown up.You are still a no code techie thats pretty funny.
Did
> they let you become a OO yet or are they sick of hearing from you crying
wolf!
> http://qrz.com/callsign/N8WWN

This is the correct link:

http://199.245.125.13/callsign

5287. Re: Delusional Keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 00:18:35 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <vzza9.116112$eK6.3649905@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134315

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:qvza9.116075$eK6.3649066@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020826182736.25240.00003003@mb-cv.aol.com...
> > Looks like Douglas just got out of the hospital.You would think by age
44
> you
> > would have grown up.You are still a no code techie thats pretty funny.
> Did
> > they let you become a OO yet or are they sick of hearing from you crying
> wolf!
> > http://qrz.com/callsign/N8WWN
>
> This is the correct link:
>
> http://199.245.125.13/callsign
>
>

Oops, should have been:

http://199.245.125.13/detail/N8WWM

5288. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 00:26:46 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6AC74E.4642D090@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 134316

Richard Cranium wrote:
>
>
> He certainly can. The retailer just cannot "import" anymore. The
> existing radios can still be sold. You cannot grasp the simplist
> point, can you?

How's your football helmet holding up? Did you chip any bricks yet?

5289. Re: Brian Griffey the whining sissie's web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 00:43:42 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <2Xza9.36300$Ke2.2575592@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134317

Yes, the Word document was very slow to load, so I swapped it for a pdf that
seems better...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
news:20020826181757.17768.00002225@mb-fu.aol.com...
> Brian,
> The webpage works just fine here!
> Train

5290. Re: Brian Griffey the whining sissie's web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 00:44:29 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <NXza9.36302$Ke2.2575839@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134318

Is this Dougie really a ham?

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:fYxa9.148621$Yd.6601817@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
> news:20020826181757.17768.00002225@mb-fu.aol.com...
> > Brian,
> > The webpage works just fine here!
> > Train
>
> Works fine here too. Dogie must not know it takes Acrobat.
>
>

5291. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 01:25:59 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020826212559.18200.00003318@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 134319

a rusty car makes a better dx groundplane lol

> then I can come back with the twin "whups"
>and rusty old car
>thing.
>
>Jerry
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5292. very odd.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 01:33:25 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020826213325.18200.00003322@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 134320

i just heard a australian station calling on 27.555 usb with the qrz of N8WWM.
seems like doug has friends world wide.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5293. Re: Brian Griffey the whining sissie's web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 01:34:18 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020826213418.18200.00003324@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 134321

yes N8WWM

november eight weenie washing maggot

>Is this Dougie really a ham?
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5294. Re: Dave or Debby's god really is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 01:50:24 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@hatespamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <ngmlmusgmd3f18tmln1jpivkskg7uc6hg5@4ax.com>
Source record: 134322

YOU are one SICK PUPPY ! Jesus isn't happy about your NONSENCE, wickedness and bozo posts and I'm sure THE Judgment of GOD is just around the corner for you reprobates! Better REPENT before it's too late! The lake of FIRE awaits you sick perverted SODOMITES ! Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On 26 Aug 2002 05:38:36 -0700, keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote:

>...,

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5295. Re: Dave or Debby SPANKED by ISP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:01:45 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@hatespamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <ovmlmu0mhdtb1pm9rogccpq5ffijhl9nci@4ax.com>
Source record: 134323

```
That's right Tnom. They are NOT "illegal" to use. Just in 1977 after
a certain date they wanted to clear all the old 23's out so they put a
date saying that the shops THEN couldn't sell them after a certain
date--they wanted 40 channels CB's sold after that time.

IT's FUNNY--In my post and in my latest "goldmine" I got NO nor listed
any 23 channel CB's! And as far as the idiot "not caring," then WHY
did he complain to the NG head about me? He seems to have a DOUBLE
MIND ! What so offended you--that I would list to try to sell OLD CB
stuff that I obtained this week, like I have always listed in times
past, or do you have deeper HATE for any AMERICANS out here on the NG
that don't abide by the 6th plank? WHAT IS your problem? GOT LIFE ?
GET ONE!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 15:35:41 -0400, tnom@mucks.net wrote:

>On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 18:45:05 -0000, "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>
>>"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in
>>news:JDoa9.348655$q53.11425135@twister.austin.rr.com:
>>
>>>
>>> "John Smith" <kissmyass@127.1.1.1> wrote in message
>>> news:akcm2o012vb@enews1.newsguy.com...
>>>>
>>>> <tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
>>>> news:3s1jmuoa5ca04ihf1edpf4iid9csqdfjpu@4ax.com...
>>>> > snip
>>>> > >> Oh? A IP address tracks down individuals?
>>>> > >> Prove it. Track me down.
>>>> > >
>>>> > >They most certainly can.
>>>> > >Let's say you posted something illegal such as child pornography.
>>>> > >Google would use that IP to find out who your ISP is, as I did.
>>>> > >A court order requesting your ISP to divulge who the user was, at
>>>> > >that particular time, sending that particular message, would lead
>>>> > >them to
>>> you.
>>>> > >Actually, the owner of the account would be held responsible.
>>>> >
>>>> > I'm glad you've come clean on just how difficult it is to identify
>>>> > an individual. So let me get this straight. You will/can get a
```

>>>> > court order against Dave and Debbie because they sell 23 channel
>>>> > radios?
>>>> >
>>>>
>>>> If you want to buy a paperweight that's your business.
>>>> 23 channel rigs are illegal to use. Not own.
>>>> You can advertise anything you want.
>>>> It's whether or not the laws say it's illegal to distribute such
>>>> advertising.
>>>> Maybe some antique collector out there will buy the radios.
>>>> Do I care? Not one bit.
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>> When did 23 channel rigs become illegal to use?
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>
>>Ya, I was under the impression that they were legal. As a matter of fact,
>>I still reguarly use an old Royce. I love the thing. Interesting.
>>
>>Alan S.
>
>They are not illegal to use.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5296. Re: Palamar 300A Base Amp

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:06:05 UTC
From: Donald Ferren <donaldf@ncia.net>
Message-ID: <h8Ba9.3379$Hc6.2720810@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 134324

My father was from Errol.
"Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in message
news:3D6AC0C7.176224FE@citlink.net...
> Hey
> Way up there in NH...I used to live in Errol
>
> Donald Ferren wrote:
>
> > I am selling this amp for 200 Dollars it is in very good condition I
have
> > been in the hospital and need the money if interested email me at
> > donaldf@ncia.net thank you.
>

5297. Re: The beat goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:08:11 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umlnp12akkpvb6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134326

Question is this - how many of the many dozens of posters are freebanders? And how many are not, but put posts out just to flame the AKC (and why)? And who wins what with the flame bait? There are a lot of good folks out here that are legal - and I'm not a cop. Of course, there are a couple of hams that ARE (but not the federal kind). Hmmm ... who is it, W2COP in Fairport (I think - I'm not going to qrz.com to look it up)? Heck, send me some of the URLs. I don't think I've recommended modifications of radios (doubtful you'll wring much more out of 'em anyways since overdesign of the rig would result in excess cost - which would result in someone loosing their job); likely you'll end up with more rf - all in the wrong places. In any case, some of us aren't afraid to use our real name or real e-mails; however, just in case you're local (likely not), feel free to give me a call on the 444.850 machine - if I'm on the air, it's usually there. Oh, also the N2HJD machine - lots of ports, take your pick.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

BTW - I certainly do NOT agree with Rabbit Ears who deserves what he gets - but the biggest charge against him is not a felony (although he can get up to 8 years and $80,000 in fines, no small sum).

Keyclown Busters <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d1e9cf6a.0208261221.5e634bff@posting.google.com...
> Hampton, I think RARA would love to know about your support of illegal
> radio operations in the various forums ya use...I got a buddy over in
> Webster that I can pass a few links to. Don't even try to act like the
> Anti-Boys
> are the ones to blame. These federal CRIMINALS deserve everything they
> get, and more.
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<umgahrg30m6c66@corp.supernews.com>...
> > And all of your flames only cause more problems.
> >
> > Jim
> >
> >
> > Keyclown Busters <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:d1e9cf6a.0208241414.9b0aac3@posting.google.com...
> > > This thread about "Ham misbehavior" is immaterial. The only place
> > > where it counts is if you are in violation of FCC rules. Anything goes
> > > in this NG, just like on your effeminate CB band. You sissies just
> > > can't take it, canya?
> > >

> > > Scumbag keyclowns are overwhelmingly in violation every time they key
> > > the mike.
> > >
> > > Your sissified posts about "hams this and hams that" are just attempts
> > > to confuse the truth. You are federal criminals, and you WISH it was
> > > not true, but that won't ever change.
> > >
> > > ANTIKEYCLOWNS OWN REC RADIO CB AND YOU CANNOT DO ANYTHING ABOUT
> > > IT!!!!!

5298. Re: Brian Griffey the whining sissie's web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:08:50 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <bonlmu44m2sdoo3ueio657m6ku21dmllul@4ax.com>
Source record: 134325

Yep, people working for minimum wage @ least have a Life!
Dave!!!

On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 21:17:44 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
<briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>I guess when you're unemployed, and have little hope of finding anything,
>you become bitter and start hating everything and everybody...

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5299. Re: I guess I haven't met the typical ham ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:10:42 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umlntnpcaocle6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134327

Bert, you are right. Both sides are way out of line.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:b7d1465c.0208260405.6752f93d@posting.google.com...
> I think you've pretty much summed it up below.
>
> This seems to be the norm on both sides on this, and other,
> radio-related newsgroups. Let's hope it gets better, not worse.
>

5300. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:14:13 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umlo4apoeph21e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134330

Absolutely correct, Tim. Good Gawd, one of our flames threatened to report me to RARA (Rochester Amateur Radio Association). Geeze, maybe we could all declare a day of truce or something? I certainly don't blame any of the CB group for getting a trifle upset at some of the flame-bait being offered ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

www.TimNebo.com <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message news:20020825224255.28619.00002469@mb-mn.aol.com...
> it takes two people to argue and you just swallowed it hook line and sinker. if
> you continue with the "tawn fo der good buddy" stereo types i don't see why we
> cant go on with the old man balding and overweight stereo types of ham radio.
> they are both based in some truth. and funny your technicalities listed by
> yourself was antenna building just like the troll said haha
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> >And before the rest of you flame me, remember this knothead started this
> >argument.
> >
> >Jerry
> >
>
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

5301. Re: Delusional Keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:15:40 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <atnlmuocjj2paju0ld0f1dakjjs1r38jdl@4ax.com>
Source record: 134328

He "thinks" he is a "Big Deal" because he's a Digital Geek; a BIG
Brother type that thinks he can wield his nonsence at whoever he wants
to to get his results, which is to knock off all us good OLD TIMERS
off the NG, so that it will just have the ilk of his trashy kind on it
(old ladies crying about whatever suits THEIR fancy)! We should make
a RULE forbidding ALL HAMS on this NG, promoting anything other than
CB Radio!!! What da ya think?!
Dave!!!

On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 17:14:41 -0400, "r.r.c.b.auto*responder-V3.1"
<yusenet@autoresponder.kom> wrote:

>
>"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:d1e9cf6a.0208261230.bfb58b0@posting.google.com...
>> NOTHING CAN STOP ANTI KEYCLOWNS!!!!
>>
>> You posted his personal info...HE LAUGHED!
>>
>> You made obscene web pages about him...HE LAUGHED!
>>
>> You whined to AOL about him...HE LAUGHED!
>>
>> You whined to deja about him...HE LAUGHED!
>>
>> You threatened violence against him...HE LAUGHED!
>>
>> You had Phoneyboy/1mangayboy call him names...HE LAUGHED!
>>
>> You call him everything in the book...HE LAUGHED!
>>
>> You keep trying......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
>>
>> You keep failing.........HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
>> You are outta your league with N8wwm.......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
>> He has sworn to never stop because of what you guys have done, he is
>> laughing at you keyclowns.......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
>> He won't ever stop, you won't ever win......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
>> You keyclowns have lost......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
>> See, ALL of you ganged up couldn't stop him......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
>> It has been the funniest thing many of us have seen to read your
>> delusional rantings. Keep it up ILLEGAL KEYCLOWNS!......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!
>> Keyclown slappa said that......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!!
>> Making keyclowns cry is fun......HE KEEPS LAUGHING!!
>

>
>The psychotic Nitwit (Dougie) speaks...
>
>BTW: What makes you think that you are such a big deal?
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5302. Re: very odd.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:18:13 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <g9olmu42mglvlvg0cqvbbthggpg2hjofcm@4ax.com>
Source record: 134329

Aren't N8WIMP a CB call sign in da down under?
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On 27 Aug 2002 01:33:25 GMT, timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com)
wrote:

>i just heard a australian station calling on 27.555 usb with the qrz of N8WWM.
>seems like doug has friends world wide.
>
>
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
>http://www.TimNebo.com
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5303. Re: Hey Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:21:40 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <UmBa9.106761$Aw4.4583550@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134331

If you weren't an anonymous acolyte perhaps you would be taken more seriously.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

"Anonymous User" <anonymous@remailer.havenco.com> wrote in message news:8d97fb27d9a5a87ae677610a3c05aa36@remailer.havenco.com...
> Did you run to Riley with the holiday brodcast schedule
> yet?I hope so...I just want to prove how impotent these
> guys are at stopping pirates.....Also just as a special
> treat for you and the other "hall monitors"...we will be
> up on 6.955 at 0400UT for 1/2 hr tonight and just for
> shits and giggles maybe 27.555 tomorrow around 1600UT
> Mike O Farad..CEO...WART Radio
>

5304. Re: The beat goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:24:59 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <%pBa9.149887$Yd.6639161@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134332

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:umlnp12akkpvb6@corp.supernews.com...
> Question is this - how many of the many dozens of posters are freebanders?
> And how many are not, but put posts out just to flame the AKC (and why)?
> And who wins what with the flame bait? There are a lot of good folks out
> here that are legal - and I'm not a cop. Of course, there are a couple of
> hams that ARE (but not the federal kind). Hmmm ... who is it, W2COP in
> Fairport (I think - I'm not going to qrz.com to look it up)? Heck, send
me
> some of the URLs. I don't think I've recommended modifications of radios
> (doubtful you'll wring much more out of 'em anyways since overdesign of
the
> rig would result in excess cost - which would result in someone loosing
> their job); likely you'll end up with more rf - all in the wrong places.
In
> any case, some of us aren't afraid to use our real name or real e-mails;
> however, just in case you're local (likely not), feel free to give me a
call
> on the 444.850 machine - if I'm on the air, it's usually there. Oh, also
> the N2HJD machine - lots of ports, take your pick.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
> BTW - I certainly do NOT agree with Rabbit Ears who deserves what he
gets -
> but the biggest charge against him is not a felony (although he can get up
> to 8 years and $80,000 in fines, no small sum).
>

Agreed, Jim. For the most part, freebanders don't interfere with hams. I was one once. It's only the pure morons that have a real problem with someone that has no influence on what they or any family members do or pursue. They can see no shades of gray, only black and white. It's really sad for some of them that the FCC doesn't see it the same way. If they did, there would be no arguments here. The guilty know who they are.

-R-

5305. Re: Delusional Keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:26:22 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6AC408.1B9B9024@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134333

Dave or Debby wrote:
>
> He "thinks" he is a "Big Deal" because he's a Digital Geek; a BIG
> Brother type that thinks he can wield his nonsence at whoever he wants
> to to get his results, which is to knock off all us good OLD TIMERS
> off the NG, so that it will just have the ilk of his trashy kind on it
> (old ladies crying about whatever suits THEIR fancy)! We should make
> a RULE forbidding ALL HAMS on this NG, promoting anything other than
> CB Radio!!! What da ya think?!
> Dave!!!
>c

Just who is going to make such a rule and just how do you plan on
enforcing it? Even if you decide on such a rule it carries no
meaning whatsoever as you cannot just make up some rule you want
to apply to a Usenet newsgroup.

5306. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:27:33 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <psBa9.216785$m91.9029060@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134334

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020826212559.18200.00003318@mb-mk.aol.com...
> a rusty car makes a better dx groundplane lol

It does who said so?

5307. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:28:40 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6AC491.5606696D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134335

Richard Cranium wrote:

> If a ham is operating on CB, he is subject to Part 95. He is NOT a ham
> in this instance and need not be concerned with Part 97. He is a CBer.
> What part of this don't you understand?

If I should operate on CB, which I never have, I am still a ham, I
don't surrender my license just because I key up on 11 meters.
What part of that do you not understand.

5308. Re: Hey Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:30:05 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <NuBa9.149912$Yd.6639902@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134336

"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:UmBa9.106761$Aw4.4583550@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> If you weren't an anonymous acolyte perhaps you would be taken more
> seriously.
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>

You are kidding, right George?

5309. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:30:29 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <9vBa9.229008$2p2.9426959@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134337

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:Psya9.70675$%v4.2914831@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > Jerry, you are one bigoted idiot.
>
>
> OK, so it's fine for this person I was responding to to mock hams with HIS
> view of hams, but not
> OK for me to respond in kind? I responded in the same way he posted.
There
> are stereotypes to
> be found in ANY group. Ever seen the stereotypes of computer "geeks"?
How
> about nerds with
> thick glasses, heavy acne, pocket protectors, hmmmm? If he can say that
> *most* hams are old,
> fat, and obsessed with ham radio, then I can come back with the twin
"whups"
> and rusty old car
> thing.
>
> Jerry

Jerry, First rule in dealing with Keyclowns is it is ok for them, but not
for you,
this is there Creed that they pledge to be hypocrites.
```

5310. Re: Delusional Keyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:30:42 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <mvBa9.149915$Yd.6640103@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134338

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D6AC408.1B9B9024@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Dave or Debby wrote:
> >
> > He "thinks" he is a "Big Deal" because he's a Digital Geek; a BIG
> > Brother type that thinks he can wield his nonsence at whoever he wants
> > to to get his results, which is to knock off all us good OLD TIMERS
> > off the NG, so that it will just have the ilk of his trashy kind on it
> > (old ladies crying about whatever suits THEIR fancy)! We should make
> > a RULE forbidding ALL HAMS on this NG, promoting anything other than
> > CB Radio!!! What da ya think?!
> > Dave!!!
> >c
>
>
> Just who is going to make such a rule and just how do you plan on
> enforcing it? Even if you decide on such a rule it carries no
> meaning whatsoever as you cannot just make up some rule you want
> to apply to a Usenet newsgroup.

Did you try already, Frank?

5311. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:42:42 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <CGBa9.229113$2p2.9439906@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134339

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020826192054.17953.00003011@mb-fx.aol.com...
> i've been pegged out by many 4 watt legal cb's from all across the country
when
> the skip is in really strong

How do you know they were 4 watt radios? Guy just pulled it out of the box
or what ? I thought you talked on SSB that would be more than 4 watts right
?

5312. test..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:44:28 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@*iwon.com>
Message-ID: <gIBa9.256411$8M1.41277780@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 134348

test

5313. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:45:19 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <3JBa9.107152$Aw4.4609510@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134340

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> But that's a tiny number of operators, Jerry. Why get all freaked out
> about it? There are other frequencies you can use, if necessary.
> Doesn't your radio have a Tuning knob?

Yeah ok Dick Head and let them run Legal Hams off of frequencies they are legally allowed to use, what is next freebanders on 20 Meters? Go stick your head in the sand you Jackass. Better yet go sit in the corner with your thumb up your ass for 2 hours.

5314. Re: very odd.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:47:20 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020826224720.18200.00003354@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 134341

i dont know dave maybe it is but i know i heard N8WWM very clearly comming from down under.

>Aren't N8WIMP a CB call sign in da down under?
>Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5315. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:48:45 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020826224845.18200.00003358@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 134344

says millions of cb'ers blowing smoke in rust buckets.

>
>It does who said so?

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5316. Re: Newcastle, Australia - Newcastle Repeater Group Website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:48:53 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@*iwon.com>
Message-ID: <pMBa9.256443$8M1.41283167@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 134342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

1

"Roderick" <mail@newcastlecb.cjb.net> wrote in message
news:YS3a9.14530$g9.46521@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
> Just leting you know a new UHF CB related website is now online....
>
> www.newcastlecb.cjb.net
>
> It contains information about UHF CB in Newcastle and the Hunter Valley.
>
> It also contains general useful UHF CB information and links.
>
> Thanks,
> Roderick
> Newcastle Repeater Group
>
>
>

5317. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:49:13 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <JMBa9.229204$2p2.9447691@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134343

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208261505.7f799a39@posting.google.com...

> And that's exactly what you and Aanal Aaron are doing in the wrong
> newsgroup, isn't it? You're certainly not here to make friends; you're
> defending your "turf". And you and Aanal Aaron are certainly "control
> freaks"; that much is obvious from your posting. Why don't YOU just
> move a few kHz?

Why so a few illegals can use the frequencies I have a legal right to use.
Sorry Dick head perhaps your willing to compromise
yourself since your not a ham and have nothing to lose. Why don't you post
your call sign? Oh whats that your not a ham.

5318. Re: ChipSwitch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:50:31 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@*iwon.com>
Message-ID: <XNBa9.256455$8M1.41285123@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 134345

Try www.chipswitch.com

A.E. 352

"Jeff Kross" <jkross255@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:a47f3907.0208261412.4cab7688@posting.google.com...
> Does anyone have this item for sale? Or know anyone who has stock of
> them? I would be interested in a used chipswitch if the price was
> right, and it worked and wasnt some blown up hand off :)
>
> Jeff

5319. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:51:58 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <iPBa9.217093$m91.9054947@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134346

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208261439.1e4e9a29@posting.google.com...
> Aaron stated several times that those 10-meter radios were "illegal".
> Period. And he has been proven wrong and won't admit it. LOSER!

They certainly are illegal just depends on how it is quantified.

5320. Re: Brian Griffey the whining sissie's web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 02:55:50 UTC
From: Yeahrightman <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com>
Message-ID: <bgqlmucbekfvcht7hutsbunogf2ptv7eol@4ax.com>
Source record: 134347

On 27 Aug 2002 01:34:18 GMT, timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) was blabbling:

...yes N8WWM
...
...november eight weenie washing maggot

ROFLMAO!!

5321. George learns new word: quantified

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 03:00:54 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <GXBa9.150111$Yd.6645512@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134349

```
"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:iPBa9.217093$m91.9054947@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0208261439.1e4e9a29@posting.google.com...
> > Aaron stated several times that those 10-meter radios were "illegal".
> > Period. And he has been proven wrong and won't admit it. LOSER!
>
> They certainly are illegal just depends on how it is quantified.
>
>
```

5322. Re: The beat goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 03:04:09 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umlr22iug17f55@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134350

The real sad thing is that the constant flaming drives a wedge between the groups. I see no real problem with folks enjoying radio - be it amateur, cb, frs, or murs. Obviously, there are different needs. Some want the frs units between family members - perhaps at an amusement parks; others for the 'honey do' stuff - 'don't forget the bread' (cb, amateur fm, murs). Some people like DX. Methinks we should all quaff a tall cool 807 and take a chill pill.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:%pBa9.149887$Yd.6639161@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> Agreed, Jim. For the most part, freebanders don't interfere with hams. I
was
> one once. It's only the pure morons that have a real problem with someone
> that has no influence on what they or any family members do or pursue.
They
> can see no shades of gray, only black and white. It's really sad for some
of
> them that the FCC doesn't see it the same way. If they did, there would be
> no arguments here. The guilty know who they are.
>
> -R-
>
>

5323. Re: The beat goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 03:30:11 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <7nCa9.150379$Yd.6648736@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134351

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:umlr22iug17f55@corp.supernews.com...
> The real sad thing is that the constant flaming drives a wedge between the
> groups. I see no real problem with folks enjoying radio - be it amateur,
> cb, frs, or murs. Obviously, there are different needs. Some want the
frs
> units between family members - perhaps at an amusement parks; others for
the
> 'honey do' stuff - 'don't forget the bread' (cb, amateur fm, murs). Some
> people like DX. Methinks we should all quaff a tall cool 807 and take a
> chill pill.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>

Agreed, once again.

5324. Could A Keyclown be that STUPID?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 03:47:27 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208261947.39dcd354@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134352

You judge me as an amateur for what I say on here? That shows how
stupid you are. How hypocritical as well. Griffwad, you are a federal
criminal, and you had better understand well that The anti-boys will
never let you forget it.

If you think we are disgracing radio I suggest you find a mirror,
criminal.

I have proven my point again, about how keyclowns will say anything to
divert attention from the fact that they are ILLEGAL.

Your assistance is appreciated, Griffwad.

"Brian Gayboy" <briangayboyy@worldnet.att.net> femininely whined in
message news:<E7xa9.5661$p%3.436618@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> Keyclown Buster,
> Are you really an amateur with a licence?
> I hope the answer is no... because if you are... you are disgracing all of
> ham radio with your badgering and misbehaving.
> You're supposed to be the "elite" of the radio world and this is how you
> show guidance to CBers?
> You are no better than the people you attack... in fact... you're much
> worse.
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Gayboy
> http://home.att.net/~briangayboy/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:d1e9cf6a.0208261223.65bca797@posting.google.com...
> > Maybe not, but you do support activity that is an insult to all
> > amateur licensee's, and is criminal.

5325. allsqueal77 squeals impotently

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 03:50:24 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208261950.45faf0c4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134353

HAHAHAH...DUMMY!!! You can't even get N8's callsign right.

allsqueal77@aol.com (ALLSQUEAL77) femininely squealed in message
news:<20020826182736.25240.00003003@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> Looks like Douglas just got out of the hospital.You would think by age 44 you
> would have grown up.You are still a no code techie thats pretty funny. Did
> they let you become a OO yet or are they sick of hearing from you crying wolf!
> http://qrz.com/callsign/N8WWN

5326. Re: WTB: ChipSwitch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 03:51:05 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208261951.5f92affc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134354

Illegal for use on 11 meters.

jkross255@yahoo.com (Jeff Kross) wrote in message
news:<a47f3907.0208261412.4cab7688@posting.google.com>...
> Does anyone have this item for sale? Or know anyone who has stock of
> them? I would be interested in a used chipswitch if the price was
> right, and it worked and wasnt some blown up hand off :)
>
> Jeff

5327. A NEW DAY! AND ANTI-BOYS STILL OWN THE NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 03:53:18 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208261953.12cd2c45@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134355

Come on you keyqueens...send out someone who is a challenge. in almost
3 years nobody has stopped us...are you ALL that sissified?

5328. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 04:05:07 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <TTCa9.857$TI3.37336184@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134356

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:262-3D6A3F7D-412@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...

Yeah, but you get to work Hawaii, <<

So does the 'pile and so does Texas. Frequently.

But not on an Daily basis, even with the cycle on the low.

>east coast, Canada, <<

Almost Daily.

>Alaska, fishing boats working off shore and best of all.<<

Fishing boats with cb? <g>

You betcha, I know of two people that have nice set up's.
I remember one Sunday afternoon sitting in the garage, cleaning
with the radio on. I have it on scan the freebands and hear some
people talking really faint. I yell to them and low and behold
they are a small fishing fleet off the west coast of South
America, pretty cool I thought.

>.............................. 8 to 25% humidity at the most.
Landshark
--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid people. Mr. Garrison<<

It definitely beats a hundred % almost year 'round, but I missed the sun
when I lived there..... it comes out, but not nearly enough for my
swamped integument.

Wrong part, remember, I told you <G>

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

5329. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 04:05:07 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <TTCa9.858$TI3.37336184@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134357

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9276C3AAC681subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in
> news:Guha9.5935$6s5.572921982@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com:
>
> >
> > "www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
> > news:20020825221652.28619.00002463@mb-mn.aol.com...
> >> i heard they grow alot of top notch bud up there. maybe i need to go
> >> check
> > i
> >> out. :)
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> >Ever been to northern CA Tim? Talk about one awesome climate...well,
> >> >at least if you don't go too far east. Between the coastal range and
> >> >I-5 is about right.
> >> >
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Tim Nebo
> >>
> >> http://www.TimNebo.com
> >>
> >>
> >
> > Humbolt county..... gave it
> > up in 77 so don't ask, but still know friends.
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> >
> And don't forget, we here in the Central Valley produce much of this
> country's meth. Wow. What a state! When the wind is blowing jus right,
> you can just smell those meth labs cookin'.
>
> And like Landshark, I don't do the stuff - but everybody knows somebody
> who does and where to get it. It's all over. When I worked in San
> Francisco it was easier to find people who DIDN'T smoke a little bud. I
> just don't get it. Up there, primo sticky green stuff sells for $60 an
> eighth. Man thats a lotta dough! I don't know how so many afford it.
> What's even funnier is that doen here in the Valley where people don't

> make anywhere NEAR bay-area money, the same stuff is like $120 an eighth.
> Must be like a supply and demand thing. In SF, you can stop just about
> anybody you see walking in the Mission and find out where to score some
> in a hurry. It's really strange. Hell, in the Mission district guys just
> walk down the street in broad daylight smoking away. THAT blew me away.
>
> Alan S.

No doubt, I love the ad's on the radio stations
to turn people in for making Meth.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

5330. Re: The beat goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 04:05:08 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <UTCa9.860$TI3.37336184@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134361

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:umlnp12akkpvb6@corp.supernews.com...
> Question is this - how many of the many dozens of posters are freebanders?
> And how many are not, but put posts out just to flame the AKC (and why)?
> And who wins what with the flame bait? There are a lot of good folks out
> here that are legal - and I'm not a cop. Of course, there are a couple of
> hams that ARE (but not the federal kind). Hmmm ... who is it, W2COP in
> Fairport (I think - I'm not going to qrz.com to look it up)? Heck, send
me
> some of the URLs. I don't think I've recommended modifications of radios
> (doubtful you'll wring much more out of 'em anyways since overdesign of
the
> rig would result in excess cost - which would result in someone loosing
> their job); likely you'll end up with more rf - all in the wrong places.
In
> any case, some of us aren't afraid to use our real name or real e-mails;
> however, just in case you're local (likely not), feel free to give me a
call
> on the 444.850 machine - if I'm on the air, it's usually there. Oh, also
> the N2HJD machine - lots of ports, take your pick.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
> BTW - I certainly do NOT agree with Rabbit Ears who deserves what he
gets -
> but the biggest charge against him is not a felony (although he can get up
> to 8 years and $80,000 in fines, no small sum).
>
>
> Keyclown Busters <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com>sniveled in message
> news:d1e9cf6a.0208261221.5e634bff@posting.google.com...

Useless drivel snipped

Yes Jim, you have been busted by Doug Adair, self
appointed vigilante (next to Aaron) of Rec.radio.cb.
He's trolling, just like Aaron and a couple others, so
don't pay much attention to him and he'll get his latest ISp
yanked because of hate filled, innuendos type of posts.
He came out here trying to sell modified radio gear, got abused verbally
and feels because he's behind a keyboard,
he can type whatever fantasy comes to his mind.
KILL FILE HIM, it's the best thing for him.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

5331. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 04:05:08 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <TTCa9.859$TI3.37336184@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134362

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020825234745.28619.00002477@mb-mn.aol.com...
> ouch, i can feel the need for chapstick at just the mention of 8% humidity
>
> >Yeah, but you get to work Hawaii, east coast,
> >Canada, Alaska, fishing boats working off shore and
> >best of all.............................. 8 to 25% humidity at
> >the most.
> >
> > Landshark
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

That's on a very bad day, what they call a "Red Flag Warning' for
fire danger. Otherwise, around the teens and
low twenty's on average on where I'm at.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

5332. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 04:05:08 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <UTCa9.861$TI3.37336184@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134363

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:akdsns$1h8qad$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0208250037.4e00fa6d@posting.google.com...
>
> > >Umm, no it doesn't. It's right there in black and white where you
> > >stated that 10 meter radios are not legal for use on 10 meters.
> >
> > Tom. You're going from desperate to pathetic.
>
> Oh, so I guess it's pathetic to point out a fact. I'm so sorry. You
stated
> that 10 meter radios are not legal for use on 10 meters, period, without
any
> qualifiers. Excuse me if I point out your mistake.
>
> Tom
>
>

I see you have the helmet back on, eh Tom.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

5333. Re: Hey Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 04:05:09 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <VTCa9.864$TI3.37336184@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134358

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:NuBa9.149912$Yd.6639902@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
> news:UmBa9.106761$Aw4.4583550@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > If you weren't an anonymous acolyte perhaps you would be taken more
> > seriously.
> > Try me I'll Make you Famous
> >
>
> You are kidding, right George?
>
>

skillet=kettle

LOL!

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

5334. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 04:05:09 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <VTCa9.862$TI3.37336184@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134359

"SideBand" <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
news:3D6A4603.7090006@csotbs.org...
> Too true..
>
> However... "Me" is really laying it on thick.. kindof like Voob was about
a
> year ago.. some of "Me"'s posts are really starting to sound N8 and "Voob
> Last Year" like..
>
> Hrm...
>
> -SSB
>
> Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> > "SideBand" <abNO8SPAMlr@csotbs.org> wrote in message
> > news:3D612294.3010607@csotbs.org...
> >
> >>That's got to be the first accurate statement "Aaron Voobner" has made
in
> >>
> > a
> >
> >>long time, if ever.
> >>
> >
> > Yeah, but he just can't get it that a ham can use one of those radios on
10
> > meters.
> >
> > Tom
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> --
> 73 de AB8LR, Chris
>
> http://csotbs.shopiwon.com/ for great deals on computer products.
>

Oh no, George is exposed again.....LMAO!!!!

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

5335. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 04:05:09 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <VTCa9.863$TI3.37336184@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134360

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:akdu8i$1gp8qe$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:d1e9cf6a.0208242122.17140222@posting.google.com...
> > Can you tell us WHY your effeminate amp page CAN'T be displayed bt
> Att.net?
> >
> >
> > ALSO...BE HONEST FOR ONCE!!!
> >
> > You got shut down bt the AKC!!!!
> >
> >
> > WE WILL ALSO SHUT YOU DOWN NEXT TIME!!!!
> >
> >
> > AKC OWNS FEDERAL CRIMINALS!!!!
>
> American Kennel Club? Since when do dog breeders care about CB's?
>
> Tom
>
>

I say you should forward each one of
Doug's posts to the "AKC", let them know
he is using them without their permission.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

5336. COMING SOON! "Keyclown Madness!"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 04:10:48 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208262010.6d4132b0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134364

A new CD with various comedy skits that totally ridicule keyclowns!

Anti-Boys Productions will introduce this awesome CD by December, just in time for Christmas!

KEYCLOWNS LISTEN UP! don't give your light loafered he/she fellow keyclown something boring like a tube of anal ease or thong underwear AGAIN this Christmas! KEYCLOWN MADNESS will be the hit of any keyclown holiday gathering!

Proposed titles of skits:

1>Trainedpuppet discusses winning a gold dildo from Twithed.

2>Brian Griffey and Ozark333 talk about their childhood experiences.

3>Dweebo re-enacts his wedding night with twithed.

4>Secret recordings of twithed's childhood. Actual recordings of him beating
puppies, using kittens for fish bait, and playing hide the salami with male
relatives.

5>Landshark's childhood: The lunch money muggings, the beatings by his older sister, his fascination with telling on other kids.

It is all in the planning stage now, but your owners the Anti-boys do have great audio recording facilities, and do seriously intend to release a record about you all this year. By the way...All voice tracks will be recorded with a 1968 vintage UG8-D104! You can get this record for FREE! SERIOUSLY!! ALL YOU HAVE TO PAY IS A LOW S&H CHARGE!! We will also include some really cool classic keyclown music! TWITHED WENT UP TO JERSEY, TWITHED PUPPET, and 1 or 2 NEW RELEASES!

Your owners want you to have a happy holiday season...FREE from Anti-Boys records!!!

5337. Re: A NEW DAY! AND ANTI-BOYS STILL OWN THE NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 04:26:07 UTC
From: Dave <local_42remove@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <zbDa9.192018$983.343816@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 134365

Please stop this.

5338. Re: A NEW DAY! AND ANTI-BOYS STILL OWN THE NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 04:36:05 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <VkDa9.118858$eK6.3707885@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134366

"Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:zbDa9.192018$983.343816@rwcrnsc53...
> Please stop this.
>
>
Not gonna happen. You have a couple of mentally estranged punks that don't have a clue how to be human, dictating rules to the rest. Common sense is what they lack.

-R-

5339. Re: Just soo Keyclowns understand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 04:41:12 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <IpDa9.6281$p%3.482133@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134367

I hereby "wave" to you, YOU CANT SHUT ME DOWN..

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

5340. Re: Earl..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 04:58:13 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <FFDa9.6294$p%3.481559@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134368

IT ISN'T FREE

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

5341. Hey A. Melon!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 05:37:59 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208262137.10f89beb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134369

Ahh dummy...try harder...is that all you can do? Why doncha bring yer bad self by? Nope. never happen. Too sissified aincha?

A Keyqueen posting anonymously because he has no testicles at all said:
Subject: Hey..doggie
From: A.Melon <A HREF="mailto:juicy@melontraffickers.com">juicy@melontraffickers.com</A>
Date: Mon, Aug 26, 2002 9:34 PM
Message-id: <27cb70b0e200a27f14d30e460216c6eb@melontraffickers.com>
BEGINNING OF SUKKEE BOY'S RETARDED POST
Name:
DOUGLAS W ADAIR aka Keyclownsslamma,useless phat phuck unemployed dipshit
Addr1:
504 EUCLID AVE ......until the rent comes due
Addr2:
TOLEDO, OH 43605
Country:
USA
Effective:
19 May 2001 Expires: 16 Mar 2003....OH YEH,BIG TIME HAM RADIO OPERATOR....NOT!!!
You know this asshole is known very well on the cb band in the Toledo area...Thats right shithead....Got the goods on you from a CB shop at xit 5 off I-800...Your voice is just as recognizable on cb as that faggot ridden repeater you make an ass out of yourself on.....very soon I will have a list of all the the illegal equipt you own or have owned
You better clean house soon pal...the report is on you now.....You must be stupid not to think local hams dont listen to cb
END OF SUCKEE BOY'S RETARDED POST.

---

1. Doug has not talked on 11 meters and has not since the 1970's.

2. The CB shop at exit five of I-80 has been outta business a long time.

3. Doug does not own any illegal equipment.

4. Your post is so TOTALLY unoriginal. All that stuff has been said before.

5. Your bio is wonderful. We love it and laugh daily.

5342. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 05:46:05 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akf3ic$1ifn8p$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134370

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208261435.44661f48@posting.google.com...

> And have you noticed: Whenever he gets trapped in one thread, he
> starts a new one as if nothing had ever happened? The guy is a psycho!

And he still refuses to say why he's so obsessed with radio rulebreakers.
Nor will he state what kind of radio equipment he uses, leading me to think
he's never touched a CB or ham radio.

Tom

5343. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 05:49:57 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akf3pj$1i0qoe$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134371

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:UTCa9.861$TI3.37336184@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> I see you have the helmet back on, eh Tom.
>

I just want to know why Voobner is so obsessed with illegal CB operations, especially as it appears that he is neither a CB'er or amateur operator. If I were a psychologist, I would have one hell of a case study here.

Tom

5344. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 05:55:20 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akf43n$1h2od6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134372

"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:dSwa9.224195$2p2.9161052@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
> "www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
> news:20020826094631.28630.00002368@mb-mn.aol.com...
> > this one statement shows your ignorance with 10/11 meter propagation. a
> qrp
> > station has no problem giving a full s meter reading anywhere in this
> nation
> > during a solid band opening
>
> Tim how many watts do you consider qrp operation?

I won't speak for Tim but common convention is QRP = 5 watts or less.

Tom

5345. twistedhed's vacation journal-week 1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 06:01:25 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <ea4d7602d53c82e5a4151e903eca9bfc@xganon.com>
Source record: 134373

Twistyfag's vacation diary...

Day 1. The drive is hot and tiring. The only consolation so far being the cute truck driver who mounted me in the TA's bathroom...my ass still hurts a little from that. I sucked his dick after he slammed me, so I could get the last drop. Love that shitdick taste! After dark my FUGGLY kid made gas money for us by blowing 2 drivers in the TA parking lot. He came back and kissed me passionately so I could taste what he got to swallow. Yum Yum!

Day 2. On the road again! Pulled into Baltimore area! Yeeehah! the REAL fun started!! GayScottiekins was there to meet us at the gay bar! My FUGGLY kid blew him immediately! GayScottiekins had reserved the room downstairs, so after doing some drugs and getting drunk as hell we went there for a threesome! Some heavy doody double ended dildo action with Scottiekins...that boy is a first rate queer! My ass got so sore he licked it to soothe the piles.

Day 3. Woke up in the room under the gay bar. FUGGLY kid stil snoring away. Noticed the kotex he had in his shorts. Scottiekins musta still had some steam up after I passed out. Rolled a joint and hardly took a toke before the door burst open. All the no name amp guys were there! I blew and got buttfucked by Lowrider667. FUGGLY kid and Scottiekins took on the rest. What a party. Got fucked up outta our minds on crack and weed. Washed it down with several glugs of MD-20/20 and some hot jizz. A perfect day!

Day 4. New Jersey Bound! Just a quick stop here, as I had already lost a solid gold dildo in a sucking contest with Faggotboy trainpuppet the trained puppet last year. Couldn't resist the chance to have Dennis O. suck me off though! Trainpuppet the trained puppet showed up and gave me a good ass slammin too! FUGGLY kid went down on Dennis to complete the circle! A very exciting morning! Had to get on the road though, lotta fun coming up in Ohio! Dennis promises to come down to Florida and get blown next year! Awesome!!!!

Day 5. Pulled into Cleveland and was met at the Petro by PeePeeHolic. Went to his favorite gay bar, where we held an auction among the local Bowl guyz for FUGGLY kid's asshole. K8CPA was the winner! How exciting! Chukkeesukke drove in from Detroit just to bid on FUGGLY kid! How cool! Went to PeePeeHolics basement BDSM dungeon to tie FUGGLY kid on a rack so Chukkeesukkee could mount him. FUGGLY kid cried, said Chukkeesukkee is WAY to ugly to mount him. I pointed out the obvious, with my superb logic: a)We need the money. b)Chukkeesukkee has a little dick, so it won't hurt much. FUGGLY kid dried up the tears and snot and actually got into it. After Chukkeesukke finished, PeePeeHolic rimmed FUGGLY kid

and got the last drop. It was fun to watch, and I had a great time with PeePeeHolic's hamsters.

Day 6. On the road early, after a brief stop at the Urgent Care Center to get FUGGLY kid's anal fissures stitched. Turns out Chukkeesukkee had been using a penis pump, and had grown considerably from the last time I blew him. Heading to Chicago now...about a 6 hour drive ahead of us without any gay sex...gonna have to blow a truck driver in a rest stop or SOMETHING!

Later in the day: We arrive in Chicago!!! WHOOOOOOEEEE!!! Now Adam and the other faggot amp makers in the SW side are all over us! FUGGLY kid and me sucked off everybody at X-Force! What a great day. Since we did them all so good they gave me a 2879 to replace the blown one in my 1 pill. Bedding down tonight in a homeless shelter in Chicago Heights! I forsee a lotta opportunity to make a few bucks there, since there are a lotta dirty, grubby types that the average gay whore just wont blow.

Day 7. Halfway finished with our romantic trip! Now off to Missouri! That sexy pink muffin Ozark333 and me are gonna do some serious sexy horizontal mambo! He has offered a hundred bucks to take pictures of FUGGLY kid blowing his Rottweiler; we just have to stop and see 333. That 333 is kinda stupid, ya know? If he would have asked ME to blow the dog I woulda done it for free. FUGGLY kid gets all the fun stuff!

8:00PM: We arrive at 333's trailer park. How exciting! His trailer is a lot like mine, but you can see he is a lot wealthier than me. He has FOUR junk cars in the yard and THREE dead refrigerators on the front porch. MAN! Ozark met us at the driveway so he could guide us around all the piles of dogshit in the house. After brushing off the roaches from the bed, we leaped in for a threesome! FUGGLY kid rimmed Ozark and I gave him head! The Rottweiler was furiously scratching to be let in, so Ozark set up the lights and FUGGLY kid made his command performance! How exciting to know that FUGGLY is gonna be a star on the IRC porno channels! Ozark sez these pics are hot items!

Midnight: FUGGLY kid is snoring contentedly with the Rottweiler.
My asshole hurts so bad from Ozark using an inflatable plug
it just aint funny. Sleep now...on the road again bright and early.

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

5346. Want a jockstrap?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 06:18:21 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <a2a1d4d713006a42075a3ca1a8e5aa34@xganon.com>
Source record: 134374

dear keyclowns,
here is the opportunity of a lifetime. you may obtain a jockstrap worn by an actual AKC member for your very own. That's right, just think of yourself at home sniffing this jock that once held the sweaty balls of an AKC boy. We have satisfied customers. Just look at this:

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

5347. Re: ChipSwitch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 09:33:30 UTC
From: jkross255@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <a47f3907.0208270133.2133e760@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134375

Well they are out of stock, aparently there's a problem getting the materials to produce more. Bills 2 Way is out, Doc's out, everyone i know, wholesale and retail seem to be out. So again, i ask for anyone with used chips or anyone knwoing of someone with a supply still to contact me :)

Thanks A.E.,
Jeff

> Try www.chipswitch.com
>
> A.E. 352
>
>
> "Jeff Kross" <jkross255@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:a47f3907.0208261412.4cab7688@posting.google.com...
> > Does anyone have this item for sale? Or know anyone who has stock of
> > them? I would be interested in a used chipswitch if the price was
> > right, and it worked and wasnt some blown up hand off :)
> >
> > Jeff

5348. Re: allsqueal77 squeals impotently

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 10:44:33 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020827064433.17976.00002931@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 134376

Sorry Doug let me get it right.Hey Doug what does the W stand for
,Wimp.http://qrz.com/callsign/N8WWM

5349. Re: Hey..doggie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 10:51:36 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020827065136.17976.00002932@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 134377

44 years old and no job?Loser!

5350. Re: A NEW DAY! AND ANTI-BOYS STILL OWN THE NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 11:20:41 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6B608E.88A6708A@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 134378

Keyclown Busters wrote:
>
> Come on you keyqueens...send out someone who is a challenge. in almost
> 3 years nobody has stopped us...are you ALL that sissified?

I'm STILL waiting for YOU to take my Texas Stars away from ME!

5351. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 11:28:24 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6B6260.991964BE@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 134379

JJ wrote:

> If I should operate on CB, which I never have, I am still a ham, I
> don't surrender my license just because I key up on 11 meters.
> What part of that do you not understand.

If you are not a CB'er, what are you doing here besides trolling?

5352. Re: Brian Griffey the whining sissie's web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 12:16:28 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <w4Ka9.6498$p%3.508136@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134380

Unbelievable!
You would think the other hams would police their own and remove people like Dougie, Aaron and Chuckee from the ranks for totally disgracing the hobby by using badgering and filthy language to make their points...
Either the other hams are not aware of these rebels, or ham radio has deteriorated significantly from what I remember.
Either way, I can see why the interest in ham radio has eroded...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020826213418.18200.00003324@mb-mk.aol.com...
> yes N8WWM
>
> november eight weenie washing maggot
>
> >Is this Dougie really a ham?
> >
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

5353. Re: Could A Keyclown be that STUPID?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 12:23:17 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <VaKa9.6508$p%3.508939@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134381

I don't question your crusade... just your method of attaining it. As for judging you for what you say here... you bet I do. Why should this place be any different than any other? It appears that the "Anti-boys" are nothing more then a bunch of filthy mouthed ham radio operators that use any means to get to their end...
Shame on you... I know you know better.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:d1e9cf6a.0208261947.39dcd354@posting.google.com...
> You judge me as an amateur for what I say on here? That shows how
> stupid you are. How hypocritical as well. Griffwad, you are a federal
> criminal, and you had better understand well that The anti-boys will
> never let you forget it.
>
> If you think we are disgracing radio I suggest you find a mirror,
> criminal.
>
> I have proven my point again, about how keyclowns will say anything to
> divert attention from the fact that they are ILLEGAL.
>
> Your assistance is appreciated, Griffwad.

5354. Re: How do I ground my system?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 12:25:28 UTC
From: BobC <bob_c_89431@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <umo5t6d7lmpgcc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134509

"Dennis B" <dennisandcarol@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:XTSa9.101164$aA.21824@sccrnsc02...
> Thanks to everyone who took the time to answer my question. I had no idea
> there was so much to properly grounding a system. It looks like I have
some
> more work to do. Also why can't the copper wire come in contact with the
> galvanized metal, what would happen?
> Thanks again,
> Dennis
>
>

Electrolysis between the two metals would occur over time. You could coat the copper by tinning it and this will hold it for awhile. However, the tin would eventually leach away leaving only the lead. The lead makes a really crappy electrical connection and a pourus one at that.

Better you should use those inexpensive clamps from the hardware store.

5355. Re: test..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 12:59:01 UTC
From: "tw��.�" <.tw>, <>, ATTBI�.org.com
Message-ID: <%HKa9.98578$aA.20832@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 134382

Test over at news:alt.test not here. Thanks.

"A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@*iwon.com> wrote in message
news:gIBa9.256411$8M1.41277780@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
| test
|
|

5356. Re: Hey Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 13:14:08 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208270514.1eff49c9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134383

"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:<UmBa9.106761$Aw4.4583550@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> If you weren't an anonymous acolyte perhaps you would be taken more
> seriously.

You're a great one to talk, Georgie!

5357. Demco Modulator

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 13:46:44 UTC
From: Cliff <cliffn@dashlink.com>
Message-ID: <8pLa9.4943$tl4.2415226@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 134384

Anyone have a schematic for the Demco Modulator
this is the model 27AM2000 (2) tube modulator
use's a 12AQ5 and a 12AU6

Thanks Cliff

5358. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 13:46:46 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19902-3D6B82C6-198@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134388

Nah, we don't get the Squad car daily from the island, but we do get
it.
That's cool about you scanning......I take my AR everywhere.
A memorable contact of mine also was a fishing boat located in an area
that has always taken a bit more work than most other localities to DX.
The conditions held almost all night (over 4 hours).

5359. n8wwm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 13:47:58 UTC
From: theworldaround27mcs@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11153-3D6B830E-582@storefull-2254.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134389

worthless-whalelike-moron?
wears-womens-makeup?
"wrestles"-with-men?
any more I missed?.....IDX

5360. Re: Could A Ham be that Bad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 14:01:09 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <2g1nmu8ssgpmncqonqrppcfptsgdo6vrvd@4ax.com>
Source record: 134385

The question REALLY is: Can a Ham be a PORKER ? !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 21:32:20 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
<briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Keyclown Buster,
>Are you really an amateur with a licence?
>I hope the answer is no... because if you are... you are disgracing all of
>ham radio with your badgering and misbehaving.
>You're supposed to be the "elite" of the radio world and this is how you
>show guidance to CBers?
>You are no better than the people you attack... in fact... you're much
>worse.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5361. Re: Could A Keyclown be that STUPID?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 14:02:49 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <3j1nmu8gu8l6pge4nm47pevqt553npm306@4ax.com>
Source record: 134386

YOU tell them Mr. Griffey!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On Tue, 27 Aug 2002 12:23:17 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
<briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>I don't question your crusade... just your method of attaining it. As for
>judging you for what you say here... you bet I do. Why should this place be
>any different than any other? It appears that the "Anti-boys" are nothing
>more then a bunch of filthy mouthed ham radio operators that use any means
>to get to their end...
>Shame on you... I know you know better.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5362. Re: A NEW DAY! AND ANTI-BOYS STILL OWN THE NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 14:05:54 UTC
From: Just Joe <nospam@rr.com>
Message-ID: <fo1nmu86rp71lbesjtri6h8pdq0s8rkdec@4ax.com>
Source record: 134387

On 26 Aug 2002 20:53:18 -0700, keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote:

>Come on you keyqueens...send out someone who is a challenge. in almost >3 years nobody has stopped us...are you ALL that sissified?

I bet your dick is smaller than your radio.

5363. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 14:17:19 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020827101719.28515.00002877@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 134390

>Yeah, but you get to work Hawaii, <<
>So does the 'pile and so does Texas. Frequently.
>
>
>But not on an Daily basis, even with the cycle on the low.

shitttttttt, try on a daily basis now and at least since 1992 with the exception of about one year around 96 or 97 when it was a absolute low and then we were still able to talk to our friends in the carrieban and down south

>>east coast, Canada, <<

who would want to talk there? haha i get tired of heraing guys go "Eh" after evey syllable

>>Alaska, fishing boats working off shore and best of all.<<

sounds good. i work two Kp stations up there pretty often. one from a aeromobile more often than not so its alot of fun.

i think no mater what part of the world your in you'll have some good dx on 11 meters. its just that type of band. i do enjoy where i'm at though. in the early morning far east europe rolls in faintly and peaks around 9am and then starts to shift towards the western europe countries which peak around noon and by about 2pm were full blast witht he guys from south africa on the cb. by the time 5pm rolls around and all the dx has shifted out west were going strong into hawaii and the south pacfic area. i'm lucky enough to kinda get the entire world and all continents from here.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5364. Re: A NEW DAY! AND ANTI-BOYS STILL OWN THE NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 14:23:04 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <cXLa9.40$mv6.9810142@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134391

"Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:zbDa9.192018$983.343816@rwcrnsc53...
> Please stop this.
>
>

Try sending your complaints to these:

help@uu.net

abuse-mail@wcom.com

netadmin@ao.wcom.net

groups-abuse@google.com

abuse@yahoo.com
Consumer Affairs
WorldCom
1200 South Hayes Street, 11th fl
Arlington, VA 22202
800-695-4405

Just like the half a dozen other accounts, if they get enough
complaints they'll yank this account too.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

5365. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 14:23:04 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <cXLa9.41$mv6.9810142@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134392

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:akf3ic$1ifn8p$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0208261435.44661f48@posting.google.com...
>
> > And have you noticed: Whenever he gets trapped in one thread, he
> > starts a new one as if nothing had ever happened? The guy is a psycho!
>
> And he still refuses to say why he's so obsessed with radio rulebreakers.
> Nor will he state what kind of radio equipment he uses, leading me to
think
> he's never touched a CB or ham radio.
>
> Tom
>
>

Once again, one word for him........Troll!

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

5366. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 14:34:13 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020827103413.28515.00002881@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 134393

i talk where i want to talk and i know there four watt radios the same way you guys know that the same signal is over powered. i guess we are all magic around rec.radio.cb.

>How do you know they were 4 watt radios? Guy just pulled it out of the box
>or what ? I thought you talked on SSB that would be more than 4 watts right
>?

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5367. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 15:01:46 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020827110146.28515.00002890@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 134394

guess you didnt know that the french have been pirating on the upper part of 14 megs for ages now? its no big deal to hear guys from the french carribean islands working back to france or guys in the south pacific working back to the islands or vica versa. they call it 21 meters and of course dont forget about 49 meters. its a popular pirate hangout all over the world.

>
>Yeah ok Dick Head and let them run Legal Hams off of frequencies they are
>legally allowed to use, what is next freebanders on 20 Meters? Go stick your
>head in the sand you Jackass. Better yet go sit in the corner with your
>thumb up your ass for 2 hours.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5368. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 15:03:28 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020827110328.28515.00002891@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 134395

is your radio experience strictly limited to inside the ham bands? at times your so clueless

>Yeah ok Dick Head and let them run Legal Hams off of frequencies they are
>legally allowed to use, what is next freebanders on 20 Meters? Go stick your
>head in the sand you Jackass. Better yet go sit in the corner with your
>thumb up your ass for 2 hours.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5369. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 15:05:01 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020827110501.28515.00002892@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 134396

>I won't speak for Tim but common convention is QRP = 5 watts or less.
>
>Tom
>

he knows tom. he was just hoping i didnt.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5370. Re: ChipSwitch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 15:06:11 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020827110611.28515.00002893@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 134447

i think bill has them but only to install. he wont sell them seperatly

>Well they are out of stock, aparently there's a problem getting the
>materials to produce more. Bills 2 Way is out, Doc's out, everyone i
>know, wholesale and retail seem to be out. So again, i ask for anyone
>with used chips or anyone knwoing of someone with a supply still to
>contact me :)

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5371. Re: A NEW DAY! AND ANTI-BOYS STILL OWN THE NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 15:07:27 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020827110727.28515.00002894@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 134448

doug, i know your really fat but do you actually count you self as "us"?!?!?!?

>Come on you keyqueens...send out someone who is a challenge. in almost
>3 years nobody has stopped us...are you ALL that sissified?

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5372. Re: A NEW DAY! AND ANTI-BOYS STILL OWN THE NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 16:53:16 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020827125316.28449.00002503@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 134449

keyclown hasnt stopped me from anything yet and wont ever stop me either.....i still run power!!! peace out

Thatz-me-JP

5373. reply...anti key clowns "control" the n/g

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 17:07:06 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020827130706.01478.00002542@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 134450

Hell buddy, if you were in control of this news group,how come you cant make us go away? How come we can write anything we damn well please?
You know what they say..."thinking you have some thing under control is merely an illusion" Here, ill prove it to you.
"ANTI KEY CLOWNS SUCK ASS"
There now, control that !! heee heee heee
have a nice day! gunslinger :) :)

5374. AR1000xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 17:51:06 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6BBC0A.16565A52@citlink.net>
Source record: 134451

I had a bid on one of these scanners on Ebutt and the auction was cancelled by Ebutt.Does anyone have a clue as to why this would be cancelled under their guidelines?

5375. Do you need chokes or HV transformers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 18:17:05 UTC
From: arnoldg@c2i.net
Message-ID: <3d6bc24e.12572570@news1.c2i.net>
Source record: 134452

Hello,

We have now in stock an extensive range of high voltage transformers
for valve amplifiers and other valve equipment. 230V primary and
secondaries for both anode and heater circuits.
Also filter chokes of 10H 50mA, 100mA etc. up to 10H 400mA.

Pictures, technical data and price can be found at my web site -
www.demostenes.no

Best regards,

Arnold Goks&#65533;yr
DEMOSTENES - N6899 Balestrand, Norway
Tlf. +47 5769 1340 Fax +47 5769 1267
http://www.demostenes.no

Thousands of different valves in stock as well as
sockets,transformers,books,caps,resistors and
other components. Web site is updated every week.
You can order on-line.

5376. Re: Brian Griffey the whining sissie's web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 19:02:39 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208271102.6f90b750@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134453

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<w4Ka9.6498$p%3.508136@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> Unbelievable!
> You would think the other hams would police their own and remove people like
> Dougie, Aaron and Chuckee from the ranks for totally disgracing the hobby by
> using badgering and filthy language to make their points...

Problem is, reminding someone that posts illegal amps for sale that
they are illegal is not "badgering". You sound a bit grumpy to me,
Brian. Illegal CB amps not selling well this month?

-Aaron-

5377. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 19:11:16 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208271111.557f51ca@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134454

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<cXLa9.41$mv6.9810142@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:akf3ic$1ifn8p$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> >
> > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:c5ecbb69.0208261435.44661f48@posting.google.com...
> >
> > > And have you noticed: Whenever he gets trapped in one thread, he
> > > starts a new one as if nothing had ever happened? The guy is a psycho!
> >
> > And he still refuses to say why he's so obsessed with radio rulebreakers.
> > Nor will he state what kind of radio equipment he uses, leading me to
> think
> > he's never touched a CB or ham radio.
> >
> > Tom
> >
> >
>
> Once again, one word for him........Troll!
>
> Landshark

Aaaron, Aaron, Aaron. I am all you think about now. Hey, there's a
word for that condition. It's "obsessed".... ;)

-Aaron-

5378. Re: A NEW DAY! AND ANTI-BOYS STILL OWN THE NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 19:32:54 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208271132.c680675@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134455

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<VkDa9.118858$eK6.3707885@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "Dave" <local_42remove@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:zbDa9.192018$983.343816@rwcrnsc53...
> > Please stop this.
> >
> >
> Not gonna happen. You have a couple of mentally estranged punks that don't
> have a clue how to be human, dictating rules to the rest. Common sense is
> what they lack.
>
> -R-

Hey chapwoman...we are mentally estranged to YOUR morality, which supports federal criminality. Niether punks nor clueless, we observe that you are one of the biggest squeal babies on here. It is obvious that you do not like being reminded of the criminality of your actions. Thank you for showing even more that you are a keyclown.

5379. Re: Hey..doggie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 19:51:31 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <lulnmuohp9i9uqud05rah3ghrp49352k10@4ax.com>
Source record: 134456

On 27 Aug 2002 10:51:36 GMT, allsteel77@aol.com (ALLSTEEL77) wrote:

>44 years old and no job?Loser!

Someone should repost the original picture of dougie. The one he used on his own page. The one that stated he lost a lot of weight. The one that appeared to be an overweight loser.

5380. Re: Hey..doggie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 19:59:39 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020827155939.14960.00002353@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 134458

http://www.geocities.com/pooroledougie

>Someone should repost the original picture of dougie. The one he used
>on his own page. The one that stated he lost a lot of weight. The one
>that appeared to be an overweight loser.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5381. Re: Brian Griffey the whining sissie's web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 19:59:57 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <1TQa9.7006$p%3.539982@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134457

Yes, I'm afraid it is badgering Aaron... when you remind them countless
times!
You are certainly guilty of same...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208271102.6f90b750@posting.google.com...
> Problem is, reminding someone that posts illegal amps for sale that
> they are illegal is not "badgering". You sound a bit grumpy to me,
> Brian. Illegal CB amps not selling well this month?
>
> -Aaron-

5382. keyclown canceled maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 20:27:22 UTC
From: skuld-chan <cherry-chan@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <JgRa9.264032$UU1.44283@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 134459

I complained to groups.google.com - about the keyclown to groups-abuse@google.com - the proper procedure to complain to google (and they seem to take complaints seriously) is to forward the abusive message with headers to the above mentioned address. I think they take complaints seriously.

Not that I like keydown operators - I don't like the imd their amps generate, but this guy is getting annoying.

sc

5383. WANTED=>[VHF 10ch SP50's]<==Cash Availible

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 20:40:51 UTC
From: msisco9939@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020827164051.03124.00001621@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 134460

HI:

WANTED=>[VHF 10ch SP50's]<==Cash Availible

I am looking for up to (10) Motorola P93YQT20G2AA Motorola
10ch SP50's. These MUST be Factory 10ch SP50's and I have
lots of other Motorola stuff for trade, or Cash is availible for the
right pieces.

Monty

5384. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 21:42:01 UTC
From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <3D6BF229.E22BE4CA@lucent.com>
Source record: 134462

Twist,

You put it into words a lot better than I ever could!

We used to converse with each other via direct e-mail quite
often just to stay away from the bias of this newsgroup.

We have 'silent' keys in amateur radio, and now I have a
'silent' email address:

"Richard McCollum"
<rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>

which used to belong to someone I will truly miss.

Maybe we can all convince Bill Eitner to add a list of
'silent keyboards' to the FAQ for this newsgroup.

Regards,

Dennis O.

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> Lets face it, we are a tiny segment of a little segment of an even
> smaller segment that barely exists as far as the world is
> concerned..... to understand our world one must live in it, as most of
> you reading this do.
> Whether you post once a day or spend all your time here posting, there
> has been a familiarity
> among us caused by direct interactions with each other on a daily basis,
> some pleasant, others not.
> Many are faceless and even more are clueless, Dick was not. He was as
> real a part of our everyday world as any. Like him or leave him, Dick
> was a presence on the board to not only be emulated, but to be
> remembered as true elmer. When Dick had something to say, he said it.
> His patience was surpassed only by his skill and adepteness.
> His comprehension of not only radio but of human nature was remarkable,
> as he knew what makes many of us tick. He laughed with us and sometimes
> we laughed at ourselves, and Dick was always to be counted on for a
> unique perspective view, one that was refreshing in it's own manner
> Dick was a ligh in the room that will be sorely missed.
> He didn't frequently remind of his educational status background, or
> accomplishments.
> His many posts speak for themselves, drawing from the knowledge he had
> gained from years of experience dealing with radio and people, from the
> green newcomers to OT'ers opposed to...well.....anything.

> He taught many of us many things with a zest for a willingnesss to help.
> Fare thee well, Dick. Rest in Peace
>
>

5385. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 21:50:48 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208271350.70adcf0e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134461

"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:<3JBa9.107152$Aw4.4609510@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >
> > But that's a tiny number of operators, Jerry. Why get all freaked out
> > about it? There are other frequencies you can use, if necessary.
> > Doesn't your radio have a Tuning knob?
>
> Yeah ok Dick Head and let them run Legal Hams off of frequencies they are
> legally allowed to use, what is next freebanders on 20 Meters? Go stick your
> head in the sand you Jackass. Better yet go sit in the corner with your
> thumb up your ass for 2 hours.

There are already "freebanders" all over HF. So? What's your point?
That "only CBers" are to blame? You're more of an idiot than I
thought, George.

5386. Re: The beat goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 21:56:52 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208271356.4a7f7434@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134463

"Landqueen" <S3Buell@SBCGlobalgayboy.Net.> whined liek the feminine
little woosie he is in message
news:<UTCa9.860$TI3.37336184@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:umlnp12akkpvb6@corp.supernews.com...
> > Question is this - how many of the many dozens of posters are freebanders?
> > And how many are not, but put posts out just to flame the AKC (and why)?
> > And who wins what with the flame bait? There are a lot of good folks out
> > here that are legal - and I'm not a cop. Of course, there are a couple of
> > hams that ARE (but not the federal kind). Hmmm ... who is it, W2COP in
> > Fairport (I think - I'm not going to qrz.com to look it up)? Heck, send
> me
> > some of the URLs. I don't think I've recommended modifications of radios
> > (doubtful you'll wring much more out of 'em anyways since overdesign of
> the
> > rig would result in excess cost - which would result in someone loosing
> > their job); likely you'll end up with more rf - all in the wrong places.
> In
> > any case, some of us aren't afraid to use our real name or real e-mails;
> > however, just in case you're local (likely not), feel free to give me a
> call
> > on the 444.850 machine - if I'm on the air, it's usually there. Oh, also
> > the N2HJD machine - lots of ports, take your pick.
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> > BTW - I certainly do NOT agree with Rabbit Ears who deserves what he
> gets -
> > but the biggest charge against him is not a felony (although he can get up
> > to 8 years and $80,000 in fines, no small sum).
> >
> >
> > Keyclown Busters <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com>sniveled in message
> > news:d1e9cf6a.0208261221.5e634bff@posting.google.com...
>
> Useless drivel snipped
>
>
> Yes Jim, you have been busted by Doug Adair, OOOOOOOH MARKIE BOY...WE ARE IMPRESSED YOU
CAN SAY SOMEONE'S NAME ON HERE!!! OOOOOOOOHHHH WE ARE SCARED NOW MARKIE BOY!self
> appointed vigilante (next to Aaron) of Rec.radio.cb. NO JUST AGAINST ILLEGAL FEDERAL
CRIMINALS LIKE YOU!!!
> He's trolling, just like Aaron and a couple others, so

> don't pay much attention to him and he'll get his latest ISp
> yanked because of hate filled, innuendos type of posts.
> He came out here trying to sell modified radio gear,REALLY, WHEN DID N8 DO THAT, GAYBOY?
got abused verbally
> and feels because he's behind a keyboard,
> he can type whatever fantasy comes to his mind.
> KILL FILE HIM, it's the best thing for him.
>
> Landqueen

WHY DONT YOU DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT MARKIE BECKIE!!!! YOU CAN'T THATS
WHY. NO ONE HERE CAN STOP THE ANTI BOYS FROM GIVING YOU A DOSE OF YER
OWN MEDICINE.

5387. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:00:39 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208271400.14cebf8d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134464

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D6A2558.2B05@worldlynx.net>...
> Richard Cranium wrote:
> >
> > Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D623546.3966@worldlynx.net>...
> > > www.TimNebo.com wrote:
> > > >
> > > > i was just showing how odd it is that with all the troubles on the world wide
> > > > amatuer bands you religate yourself to "policing" the cb freqs only when there
> > > > is so much more going on.
> > > >
> > > The amount of problem on the ham bands are but a small minority of
> > > people. Compared to the CB band where breaking the law is the "rule"
> > > rather than the exception.
> > >
> > You can support the accuracy of that statement? I doubt it.
> >
> Support for that statement should be obvious to all but the most blind
> and those in the river nile.
> Listen to the CB band during a "band opening". On it you will hear
> stations from 25 to 28 Mhz, ALL of whom are operating illegally.

Prove it.

Tune to
> the regular 40, and while observing that signal levels are higher than
> what would be obtained from a legal station 2 miles away, it becomes
> obvious that these stations are running excessive (illegal) power.

Prove it. Propogation will call you a liar.

> Further analysis would show that the modulation level and the amount of
> audio distortion is further evidence that the radios have been modified
> from their original (If the radio was ever legal) type accepted state.

SOME radios. You cannot prove ALL radios have been modified. And it
simply is not the case.

> Then when the band closes down again, I can spin the dial and hear
> practically no one. Which would suggest that the "legal" users are not
> using the band, even when the obviously illegal ones cannot.

Which proves? Is this supposed to prove that every CBer is a criminal?
Sorry, it doesn't. Your point?

While this
> evidence is empirical in nature, it is of sufficient quantity, and
> occurs regularly enough to make for a compelling case. The evidence
> supports my claim. I see no evidence that would support yours. All you
> have said is that it doesn't happen. But that proves nothing.

And you have proved exactly the same.

>
> >
> > The vast majority of CB users are law-abiding people.
>
> On what planet?

Since CB only exists on this one, I think that's obvious.

> > A small fraction
> > of those people venture outside the "legal 40" into Freeband, and a
> > miniscule number stumble into ten meters. Most of the latter are
> > truckers who have no idea that they're intruding on an amateur band.
>
> Really? That small amount of people must really get around when the skip
> is running.

They do - if that's ALL you listen for. Apparently you're as obsessed
as Aanal Aaron, Jerry Ox, and the elusive "Dirty Sneakers/ME". Try
listening fulltime instead of citing only what supports your alleged
arguement.

> >
> > MOST Cbers don't use amplifiers; MOST CBers don't use echo boxes; MOST
> > CBers don't venture out-of-band!
>
> Really? Tell that to the cretons on channel 6, and the other mental
> midgets that try to squeeze a 10KW transmitter into a Ford Escort. Oh,
> and that "bottom-of-the-canyon" sound that is prevelent on channel 19
> and many other channels is just room ambiance, and not an echo mike,
> right?

Channel 6 is known for that. You still have not proven that the
majority of CBers are "criminals", and you cannot.

> > Apparently you can only hear the over-powered, echo using ones. Is
> > that a bad receiver or really selective listening?
>
> No, it's just the way it really is. Sorry that you either refuse to see
> it or can't.

Bullcrap. You haven't listened to 11 meters, have you?

> > You, sir are woefully misinformed. But you expose your negativity
> > every time you post, so it comes as no surprise.
>
> I am only reporting what my receiver tells me. I find it somewhat

> curious that you vehemently deny what should be obvious.

Likewise. You have extremely selective hearing.

> > If you really want a place where "breaking the law is the rule rather
> > than the exception", try driving on almost any highway.
>
> Irrelevant.

No, it isn't, but you'd like it to be. Just like Aanal Aaron saying
that the fact that he cannot operate on 10 meters is "irrelevant".
He's not licensed to operate on ten meters - and it's NOT irrelevant.

>
> > > > after all the guys on the ham bands are making our
> > > > entire nation look like fools to the whole world, yet your biggest concern is
> > > > still truckers with small amps driving up an down the highway.
> > >
> > > After the last skip cycle, the whole world got a taste of USA CB. I'm
> > > sure that that was not an image that I would want the world to picture
> > > us as.
> >
> > I have to ask: If you're worried about our "image", why not go after
> > the high-power licensed broadcasters (like WWCR), who give the world a
> > wacked out view of America as a bunch of religious nutcases begging
> > for donations? I'm MUCH more concerned about that image than I am of a
> > few truckdrivers. Oh, I forgot; they aren't using an unused frequency
> > in the ten meter band, are they? How about this: What is a domestic US
> > shortwave broadcaster doing in the TROPICAL bands? This is not a
> > Tropical nation by any stretch of the definition! But they have a
> > license, so it's OK to violate ITU Regulations? Hmmmmmm?
>
> I'm not "worried" about our image. I was merely commenting on a previous
> poster's concern that the statistically few "bad" hams were giving U.S.
> hams a "bad" image. My comment was that if a few bad hams was bad for
> our image, imagine what a few million "bad" CBers are doing to their
> image, as their overmodulated, overpowered, and out of band signals were
> being propagated throughout the world.

You're not worried? You should be!

The proportion is about the same whether amateur or CBer. There simply
happens to be 30 to 40 times as many CBers as there will ever be
licensed amateurs, so it SOUNDS like a bigger problem. That's all. Why
not try to enjoy your hobby instead of making someone else's hobby a
pain in your arse like Aanal Aaron and Jerry Ox do? And since 11
meters doesn't propogate all the time you have no reason to be
terribly concerned. You need a life! That's all.

5388. Re: Bye BYe Moonraker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:02:13 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6BF6E5.47124575@citlink.net>
Source record: 134465

Obviously you are way behind the curve here....Avanti has not produced the Moonraker for years and you are wrong about anything like it for less

AL_IR033 wrote:

> May be Moonraker4 has been discontinued but other companies (i.e. Ma.Co,
> www.majestic-comc.com) are producing same stuffs, less expansive than
> Avanti and with better gain.
>
> I have one (Shooting Star) that allow me to intercept any Dxspedition around
> the globe.
>
> 73s,
>
> 1IR033, Alberto
>
> "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> ha scritto nel messaggio
> news:3D575BB7.C8009C93@citlink.net...
> > I cant beleive they have discontinued this antenna.But guess what I
> > bought 8 of them right after they discontinued them.You see em on the
> > Bay going for 300+...I have them brand new in the box for $240 +
> > shipping
> >

5389. Landqueen cries some more!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:02:46 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208271402.135aa75b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134466

Markie Beckie whining and crying...yep Markie Beckie, yer right...Killfile is ALL you can do...

Hey Markie Beckie...Nebo is coming out to visit...tell him his Master said you can have a freebie...

Thanks for playing, Lanqueen Markie Beckie!

5390. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:03:21 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208271403.1c985566@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134467

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:<3D6AC74E.4642D090@yaSPAMhoo.com>...
> Richard Cranium wrote:
> >
> >
> > He certainly can. The retailer just cannot "import" anymore. The
> > existing radios can still be sold. You cannot grasp the simplist
> > point, can you?
>
> How's your football helmet holding up? Did you chip any bricks yet?

I don't need a football helmet. That was Tom. I know the truth; Aanal
Aaron will do his patented "chicken side-step" whenever he can.

But thanks for asking!

5391. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:04:06 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208271404.7c6866d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134468

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<Hmv99.22593$%v4.889954@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>...
> chessecutter <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> news:fef386d5.0208221920.648485d2@posting.google.com...
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:<Aoj89.67359$dr.8706885@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> > > www.TimNebo.com <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
> > > news:20020819183520.16108.00001685@mb-bg.aol.com...
> > > > sure am, all i have to do is read rec.radio.shortwave and the trash
> misc
> ham
> > > > group to be right up to date on all the hot spots like the am'ers on
> 75
> meters
> > > > out on the west coast that keep jamming the ssb'ers. its alot of fun
> to
> hear
> > > > the guys go at it.
> > >
> > > Those are "turf" wars that don't need to go on. It is caused by
> immature,
> > > fire-hydrant-pissing
> > > mental midgets that think that "their" frequency should be populated
> only by
> > > them. It is a simply
> > > matter for the SSBers to move down a few KCs. The AMers only have a few
> > > places to operate on that mode. Control freaks abound everywhere, and
> the
> > > SSBers could simply move a ways.
> > > But NOOOOOOOO. Everybody's gotta defend their "turf"/
> > >
> > > Jerry
> > >
> > >
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >their bands to become an unusable mess. BTW, I notice you seem to be
> > > > >a "fan" of HF trouble spots, i.e. you know just what frequencies to
> be
> > > > >on. Interesting...
> > > > >
> > > > >-Aaron-
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Tim Nebo
> > > >
> > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > > >
> > > >
> >
> > hey jerry . are you saying that ham radio is inhabited by mental
> > midgets???? My , my...Well I never....
>
>
> Some of them are. Just like the BIG POWER guys, the PEAK and TUNE guys,
> the outbanding
> truckers. Sound familiar? I have NO use for adults that never grow
> up--like that crap that goes on
> on rec.radio.misc.
>
> Jerry

Which is why you hang out in rec.radio.cb??

5392. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:05:04 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208271405.1a9e182a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134469

"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:<JMBa9.229204$2p2.9447691@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0208261505.7f799a39@posting.google.com...
>
> > And that's exactly what you and Aanal Aaron are doing in the wrong
> > newsgroup, isn't it? You're certainly not here to make friends; you're
> > defending your "turf". And you and Aanal Aaron are certainly "control
> > freaks"; that much is obvious from your posting. Why don't YOU just
> > move a few kHz?
>
> Why so a few illegals can use the frequencies I have a legal right to use.
> Sorry Dick head perhaps your willing to compromise
> yourself since your not a ham and have nothing to lose. Why don't you post
> your call sign? Oh whats that your not a ham.

And you're willing to compromise? Sure you are. I *am* a ham. What's
your callsign, smelly shoes?

5393. Re: Bye BYe Moonraker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:06:06 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6BF7CE.D37D0684@citlink.net>
Source record: 134470

AL_IR033 wrote:

> May be Moonraker4 has been discontinued but other companies (i.e. Ma.Co,
> www.majestic-comc.com) are producing same stuffs,""STUFFS? is this an antenna
> accessorie I dont know about?""" less expansive"""Yes exactly...less
> expansive..that is why they don't do as well,it's in the theory book..LOL"
> than
> Avanti and with better gain."I bet you beleive in the tooth fairy too when you
> beleive those numbers"
>
> I have one (Shooting Star) that allow me to intercept any Dxspedition around
> the globe.
>
> 73s,
>
> 1IR033, Alberto
>
> "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> ha scritto nel messaggio
> news:3D575BB7.C8009C93@citlink.net...
> > I cant beleive they have discontinued this antenna.But guess what I
> > bought 8 of them right after they discontinued them.You see em on the
> > Bay going for 300+...I have them brand new in the box for $240 +
> > shipping
> >

5394. Re: n8wwm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:07:24 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <wKSa9.251816$2p2.10069336@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134471

Nice Post Twitsy

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"I DX" <theworldaround27mcs@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11153-3D6B830E-582@storefull-2254.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> worthless-whalelike-moron?
> wears-womens-makeup?
> "wrestles"-with-men?
> any more I missed?........IDX
>
>

5395. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:12:47 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208271412.666847c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134472

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d685b6a.4076643@news.cet.com>...
> In <20020819103538.07968.00001205@mb-mi.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
> (www.TimNebo.com) wrote:
>
> >my point is why only bother the cb'ers? i dont see jerry, aaron, frank or
> >anybody else tirading about the amatuer bands and on their newsgroups
> >complaining and these problems are even more directly related to the service
> >they're fighting for. i just wanted to point out the hypocrisy in what they're
> >doing in here...
>
> I'm in here because I'm a CBer. I am not a ham (yet), and that's
> probably why I don't post much on ham newsgroups. That's not
> hypocrisy, that's integrity. Learn the difference.

I think Tim knows the difference; that's why he made the post. If the
alleged hambos worked as hard to clean up their own bands as they
claim to be working to clean up CB, the world would be a much better
place. And we wouldn't have to put up with their stupidity on this
newsgroup, at least. (I wouldn't miss them a bit, personally.) But
Jerry doesn't dare post a callsign, George can only post bullcrap
snipes, and Aanal Aaron can't possibly be studying for his "extra"
since he spends so much time trolling here and cruising eBay for
so-called "illegal" auctions.

5396. Re: A NEW DAY! AND ANTI-BOYS STILL OWN THE NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:14:00 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <IQSa9.234283$m91.9603873@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134473

"Just Joe" <nospam@rr.com> wrote in message
news:fo1nmu86rp71lbesjtri6h8pdq0s8rkdec@4ax.com...
> On 26 Aug 2002 20:53:18 -0700, keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown
> Busters) wrote:

> I bet your dick is smaller than your radio.

And if it is Ill bet that disappoints you.

5397. Re: How do I ground my system?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:17:27 UTC
From: Dennis B <dennisandcarol@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <XTSa9.101164$aA.21824@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 134474

Thanks to everyone who took the time to answer my question. I had no idea there was so much to properly grounding a system. It looks like I have some more work to do. Also why can't the copper wire come in contact with the galvanized metal, what would happen?
Thanks again,
Dennis

5398. Re: Hey Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:18:28 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <UUSa9.234374$m91.9606376@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134475

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208270514.1eff49c9@posting.google.com...
> "Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:<UmBa9.106761$Aw4.4583550@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > If you weren't an anonymous acolyte perhaps you would be taken more
> > seriously.
>
> You're a great one to talk, Georgie!

I sure am Dick Head whats your call sign everyone knows mine, everyone knows my name. I have never been anonymous and don't see the need I have a pair of balls to back up what I say, the only balls I see you have are the 2 on your chin.

5399. Re: more stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:19:25 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6BFAED.91EFCC0C@citlink.net>
Source record: 134478

Question is::why would anybody pay for this info? ...doesn't anybody know how to use a search engine?Or are they too lazy?...99% of this stuff is for free on the net and at leat 75% of the questions that get asked in here could be answered in the click of a mouse..I must be doing it the hard way...

George Kinzer wrote:

> ive just completed a very nice, easy to follow cd with plans to build 11
> meter (CB) antennas, with MORE info on tune-ups, convertions, mic wiring,
> you name it...this thing is loaded with useful info on doing your own
> repairs and modifications. Explains how toos, and pdf file on home-kits and
> MORE...pictures are high quality, formulas, and do-nots that will get you up
> and sounding great...want to install your own talk-back, echo board, want to
> make your own swing-kit? well theres More, More, More (title of my cd)
> anyone interested, email me. Many hours and weekends compiling all this info
> in an easy to read format...Ive decided NOT to copyright this, as friends
> and 'Masters' have help write this/ you'll be amazed at how much you'll
> learn..
> .'Golden Screwdriver' is a drop in the
> bucket.... email me at horseflygk@att.net I'm 10-7, later
>
> --
> If first you don't suceed, do the same thing again...see what happens

5400. Re: allsqueal77 squeals impotently

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:19:36 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <YVSa9.124742$Aw4.5241125@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134476

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020827064433.17976.00002931@mb-fx.aol.com...
> Sorry Doug let me get it right.Hey Doug what does the W stand for
> ,Wimp.http://qrz.com/callsign/N8WWM

LOL, are you in 8th grade sure sounds like it . LOL what a putz!
```

5401. Re: Hey Boobner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:21:18 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <yXSa9.245015$SS.9839130@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134477

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:NuBa9.149912$Yd.6639902@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
> news:UmBa9.106761$Aw4.4583550@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > If you weren't an anonymous acolyte perhaps you would be taken more
> > seriously.

> You are kidding, right George?

No Randy I am not kidding what gave you that impression?

5402. Re: The typical Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:25:24 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <o%Sa9.245097$SS.9840370@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134479

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020826224845.18200.00003358@mb-mk.aol.com...
> says millions of cb'ers blowing smoke in rust buckets.

So now there are millions of Cbers and they all have rust buckets amazing
Nebro, where do you come up with your numbers did you get them from Jay? Do
you have any proof that those in rust buckets wouldnt get out better with
out the rust? Do you have any technical evidance to stand behind your bogus
claims

5403. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:28:31 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <j2Ta9.245150$SS.9843093@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134480

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:VTCa9.863$TI3.37336184@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
I say you should forward each one of
> Doug's posts to the "AKC", let them know
> he is using them without their permission.
>
> Landshark

Landfag, you tried to pull that nonsense with me before and you were sent to bed early for being stupid. The American Kennel Club does not own the Letters AKC and doug or any one who uses those letters in this NG is not trying to say they are the American Kennel Club, nor are they insisnuating they are the Americn Kennel Club. So as any good dog would do I am going to lift my leg and piss all over you for being a Putz!

5404. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:30:41 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <k4Ta9.234538$m91.9612694@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134481

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote in message
news:3D6BF229.E22BE4CA@lucent.com...
> Twist,
>
> You put it into words a lot better than I ever could!
>
> We used to converse with each other via direct e-mail quite
> often just to stay away from the bias of this newsgroup.
>
> We have 'silent' keys in amateur radio, and now I have a
> 'silent' email address:
>
> "Richard McCollum"
> <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
>
> which used to belong to someone I will truly miss.
>
> Maybe we can all convince Bill Eitner to add a list of
> 'silent keyboards' to the FAQ for this newsgroup.
>
> Regards,
>
> Dennis O.

Sorry to say Dennis, Bill Eitner has nothing to do with this Newsgroup other
than him posting FAQ which anyone can do.

5405. Re: allsqueal77 squeals impotently

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:32:38 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208271432.7001968a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134482

allsteel77@aol.com (ALLSTEEL77) wrote in message
news:<20020827064433.17976.00002931@mb-fx.aol.com>...
> Sorry Doug let me get it right.Hey Doug what does the W stand for
> ,Wimp.http://qrz.com/callsign/N8WWM

N8 sez, thanx allsqueal77...he likes that hit counter to climb. As a
reward he sez you can have a freebie from his property, twistedhed.

5406. Re: The beat goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:33:10 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <G6Ta9.234569$m91.9613865@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134483

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:UTCa9.860$TI3.37336184@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> KILL FILE HIM, it's the best thing for him.
>
> Landshark

Yes Mark we know all about you and your imaginary Killfiles. LOL

--
Things are changing, and clowns are going to be painting on sad faces in
the coming months.

5407. Re: Brian Griffey the whining sissie's web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:35:57 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208271435.7b48a661@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134484

Gifffag,
you forget, we aint on the air, buttmunch. Now go get your french
maid's suit on and get in yer pink VW and get yer ass to the gay
bar...yer late for yer 1st show.

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<w4Ka9.6498$p%3.508136@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> Unbelievable!
> You would think the other hams would police their own and remove people like
> Dougie, Aaron and Chuckee from the ranks for totally disgracing the hobby by
> using badgering and filthy language to make their points...
> Either the other hams are not aware of these rebels, or ham radio has
> deteriorated significantly from what I remember.
> Either way, I can see why the interest in ham radio has eroded...
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
> news:20020826213418.18200.00003324@mb-mk.aol.com...
> > yes N8WWM
> >
> > november eight weenie washing maggot
> >
> > >Is this Dougie really a ham?
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> > Tim Nebo
> >
> > http://www.TimNebo.com
> >
> >

5408. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:39:52 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <YcTa9.245299$SS.9849785@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134485

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020827103413.28515.00002881@mb-mn.aol.com...
> i talk where i want to talk and i know there four watt radios the same way
you
> guys know that the same signal is over powered. i guess we are all magic
around
> rec.radio.cb.

No your not magic at all Tim you have no techincal skills thats why when pressed for any technical answer you allways give us your opinion or call it magic I guess. I have a scope hooked up and can tell an over modulated signal better than you can, when the person talkin says thay have a 3 pill or a 5 pill or 8 pill i would consider that signal over powered, now again how do you know what a 4 watt radio sounds like at over 1000 miles away on AM?

5409. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:41:31 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <veTa9.124996$Aw4.5256338@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134486

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020827110501.28515.00002892@mb-mn.aol.com...
> >I won't speak for Tim but common convention is QRP = 5 watts or less.
> >
> >Tom

You just did?
QRP is operating your station at less than 5 watts output on CW or 10 watts
peak power on SSB

5410. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:46:31 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <bjTa9.253056$2p2.10101879@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134487

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208271350.70adcf0e@posting.google.com...
> "Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:<3JBa9.107152$Aw4.4609510@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > >
> > > But that's a tiny number of operators, Jerry. Why get all freaked out
> > > about it? There are other frequencies you can use, if necessary.
> > > Doesn't your radio have a Tuning knob?

> There are already "freebanders" all over HF. So? What's your point?
> That "only CBers" are to blame? You're more of an idiot than I
> thought, George.

Yes I imagine there are Tim nebo rings a bell, since your not a ham I am sure your another freebander on the HF band.. btw My Point Dick Head is that your telling Jerry to just turn his dial if he hears freebanders why should legitimate users have to turn anything to escape a freebander infringing on our rights. As far as me being an idiot if you didnt try so hard to be a troll you would see what my point is regarding your Idiotic statement that a legitimate Ham should have to turn his dial to escape a Illegal operator . Your more of a Dickhead than I thought. Dick

5411. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:46:53 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <xjTa9.245394$SS.9853941@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134488

Whats the Call sign again OM?

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208271350.70adcf0e@posting.google.com...
> "Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:<3JBa9.107152$Aw4.4609510@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > >
> > > But that's a tiny number of operators, Jerry. Why get all freaked out
> > > about it? There are other frequencies you can use, if necessary.
> > > Doesn't your radio have a Tuning knob?
> >
> > Yeah ok Dick Head and let them run Legal Hams off of frequencies they
are
> > legally allowed to use, what is next freebanders on 20 Meters? Go stick
your
> > head in the sand you Jackass. Better yet go sit in the corner with your
> > thumb up your ass for 2 hours.
>
> There are already "freebanders" all over HF. So? What's your point?
> That "only CBers" are to blame? You're more of an idiot than I
> thought, George.

5412. Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:50:00 UTC
From: Scott <mr_scott_mckay@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1030488787.429082@teuthos>
Source record: 134489

I am an Australian. I have no illegal equipment (or any equipment at all), but I am just wondering why you people hate people that use illegal equipment so much?

Does it affect you?

Just wonderin.

.Scott.

5413. Re: orientals on my frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 22:59:42 UTC
From: glapierre@REMOVEaccesswave.ca
Message-ID: <3d6c03b7.12911621@news>
Source record: 134490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

You must be on drugs or something. You are operating illegally.
CB starts at 26.965 to 27.405, anything out of that is illegal. They
could call the FCC on you and you could go to jail.

Homer

"Big Bopper" <BigBopper@27910FreebandGroup.net> wrote:

>
>"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
>news:66ed18db5c8149d86ebc6fc553c46fd0@xganon.com...
>> I keep hearing oriental guys on my freeband calling frequency of 27.555.
>Both my friend in San ANgelo and myself here in Galveston beam them best to
>the south west. Anyway I wonder is there any way to call the FCC on them as
>they are messing up my comms.
>>
>> Tim Nebo
>>
>> http://www.TimNebo.com
>>
>>
> Dial "FCC" to report this crime. FCC SWAT teams are on standby
>in all major cities, and the perpetrators will be swiftly dealt with. The
>crime of operating on 27555 will not be tolerated, and President Bush
>has assured the American public that violators will be dealt with harshly!
>
>Big Bopper
>27955 Freeband Club
>Portland, Oregon
>
>
>
>

5414. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 23:01:17 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020827190117.08089.00003054@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 134491

the same way you can tell that a guy is using a 3 pill or a 5 pill or 8 pill. i take them at there word. a scope on your recieve audio is fine but take into account things like phase shifting on the dx signal, interference and just how many radios actually sound shitty str8 out of the back of them. look how many turbo tuned radios were sold.

>
>No your not magic at all Tim you have no techincal skills thats why when
>pressed for any technical answer you allways give us your opinion or call it
>magic I guess. I have a scope hooked up and can tell an over modulated
>signal better than you can, when the person talkin says thay have a 3 pill
>or a 5 pill or 8 pill i would consider that signal over powered, now again
>how do you know what a 4 watt radio sounds like at over 1000 miles away on
>AM?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5415. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 23:02:25 UTC
From: "r.r.c.b.auto*responder-V3.1" <yusenet@autoresponder.kom>
Message-ID: <umo15hqmaggefe@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134493

"Scott" <mr_scott_mckay@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1030488787.429082@teuthos...
> I am an Australian. I have no illegal equipment (or any equipment at
all),
> but I am just wondering why you people hate people that use illegal
> equipment so much?
>
> Does it affect you?
>
> Just wonderin.
>
> .Scott.
>
>

They are hams that have no credibility among their own kind, and they
come here to take out their angst on citizens band radio operators....
They are Losers, pure & simple.

5416. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 23:02:42 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6C051A.5087D34F@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 134492

"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:

>
> Aaaron, Aaron, Aaron. I am all I think about now. Hey, there's a
> word for that condition. It's "obsessed".... ;)
>

Gee, I liked the way you stuck that extra "a" in the first "Aaron"

But it's nice to see you have a handle on your condition.

Come and take my Texas Stars. I'm still waiting.

5417. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 23:12:58 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <_HTa9.125337$Aw4.5278722@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134494

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020827190117.08089.00003054@mb-df.aol.com...
> the same way you can tell that a guy is using a 3 pill or a 5 pill or 8
pill. i
> take them at there word. a scope on your recieve audio is fine but take
into
> account things like phase shifting on the dx signal, interference and just
how
> many radios actually sound shitty str8 out of the back of them. look how
many
> turbo tuned radios were sold.

Turbo Tuned Radios are illegal and put out more than 4 watts try agin Nebro. So your saying phase shifting accounts for splatter and a distorted over modulated signal? Interference does nothing to a show an over modualets clipping signal. As far as a radio out of the box normally they would sound fine since the limiter is still intact and they are probably under 100% modulation, and 4 watts .. Next

5418. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 23:17:10 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <WLTa9.235148$m91.9640074@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134495

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> But Jerry doesn't dare post a callsign,

LOL, you don't dare post a call sign because you don't have one but you know Jerry's Full name, and where he is from look him up same way you looked up N8WWM

> George can only post bullcrap snipes,

And what is your claim to posting in the NG Trollish posts? I have asked you for over 3 weeks now to post your callsign you hypocrite, you badgered aaron about not posting his then you even go as far to say that you have nothing to be afraid of. Things arent all what they seem in Rochester are they.

5419. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 23:18:02 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <KMTa9.235162$m91.9640761@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134497

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> Which is why you hang out in rec.radio.cb??

Why do you hang in rec.radio.cb?

--

"Sometimes being right means pissing people off."
--Colin Powell

5420. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 23:19:06 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <KNTa9.235174$m91.9641381@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134498

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> Aaron will do his patented "chicken side-step" whenever he can.

Sorta like you when asked to post your callsign, the same thing you
requested Aaron do and yet you still havent?

5421. Re: test..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 23:19:46 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6C091A.F5CC3F9A@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 134499

When were you appointed netcop of rec.radio.cb? Is that one
step below moderator. Now you want to be the newsgroup nazi?

"twï¿½ï¿½.ï¿½" wrote:
>
> Test over at news:alt.test not here. Thanks.
>
> "A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@*iwon.com> wrote in message
> news:gIBa9.256411$8M1.41277780@twister.nyroc.rr.com...
> | test
> |
> |

5422. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 23:20:32 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akh1bb$1ic02l$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134496

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208271111.557f51ca@posting.google.com...

> Aaaron, Aaron, Aaron. I am all you think about now. Hey, there's a
> word for that condition. It's "obsessed".... ;)
>
> -Aaron-

So why do you occupy yourself with illegal operators so much? And what kind
of radio/antenna setup do you have?

Just curious.

Tom

5423. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 23:25:03 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <jTTa9.125481$Aw4.5286661@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134500

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208271405.1a9e182a@posting.google.com...
>
> > Why so a few illegals can use the frequencies I have a legal right to
use.
> > Sorry Dick head perhaps your willing to compromise
> > yourself since your not a ham and have nothing to lose. Why don't you
post
> > your call sign? Oh whats that your not a ham.

> And you're willing to compromise?

No trouble with reading again Dick Head? Your the one who wants someone to
compromise something not me I stand up for what is right, you seems either
don't care or look the other way.

> I *am* a ham. What's your callsign, smelly shoes?

You are ? whats your callsign If I remember correcty you told aaron to post
his callsign since you didnt beleive he was a ham. I am asking you to do the
exact same thing as you requested. That is a far question Yes or No I mean
if you say no then you are another hypocrite to grace the rec.radio.cb NG.
btw you also said you have nothing to hide which is it you do or you don't
or are you just to much of a pussy. As far as mine look on google archives
or just ask one of the regulars I am sure you have seen it posted here many
times. So the question remains whats the callsing Good buddy

--

"Sometimes being right means pissing people off."
--Colin Powell

5424. Re: orientals on my frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 23:28:39 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6C0B30.61E64195@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 134501
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Homer wrote:
>
> You are operating illegally.
> CB starts at 26.965 to 27.405, anything out of that is illegal. They
> could call the FCC on you and you could go to jail.

WAAAAAAAA!

5425. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 23:31:40 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akh208$1j3s90$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134502

"Scott" <mr_scott_mckay@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1030488787.429082@teuthos...
> I am an Australian. I have no illegal equipment (or any equipment at
all),
> but I am just wondering why you people hate people that use illegal
> equipment so much?
>
> Does it affect you?
>
> Just wonderin.

That's a good question that I'd like to see answered. As a ham, I can understand those who want to keep illegal operators off the 10 or 12 meter ham bands. I have no problem with that. But I can't understand why some here are taking up a crusade to shut down every illegal operator, whether they're on the ham bands or not. Unless someone's running a kW next door to you and splattering their signal from DC to daylight, who cares? Who cares if someone operates on 27.555 with 100 watts? It's not bothering me any. I have found a few intruders to the 10m band, but all of the freebanders I used to know knew enough to stay below 28 MHZ.

Tom

5426. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 23:35:06 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <K0Ua9.7274$p%3.557971@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134503

They have to complain about something...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Scott" <mr_scott_mckay@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1030488787.429082@teuthos...
> I am an Australian. I have no illegal equipment (or any equipment at
all),
> but I am just wondering why you people hate people that use illegal
> equipment so much?
>
> Does it affect you?
>
> Just wonderin.
>
> .Scott.
>
>

5427. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 23:37:11 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <H2Ua9.7278$p%3.559038@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134504

It may be most difficult to post a number he doesn't have...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> Sorta like you when asked to post your callsign, the same thing you
> requested Aaron do and yet you still havent?

5428. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 23:42:47 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <X7Ua9.7290$p%3.559503@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134505

I agree... I certainly don't condone "bootlegging" on ham bands. I think
that is a violation that ham operators have a legitamite gripe with.
Although I normally run more power than allowed, I make it a point never to
do that. I also make every attempt to conduct myself in a acceptable manner
and do not monopolize any frequency when on the CB, unlike many others.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> That's a good question that I'd like to see answered. As a ham, I can
> understand those who want to keep illegal operators off the 10 or 12 meter
> ham bands. I have no problem with that. But I can't understand why some
> here are taking up a crusade to shut down every illegal operator, whether
> they're on the ham bands or not. Unless someone's running a kW next door
to
> you and splattering their signal from DC to daylight, who cares? Who
cares
> if someone operates on 27.555 with 100 watts? It's not bothering me any.
I
> have found a few intruders to the 10m band, but all of the freebanders I
> used to know knew enough to stay below 28 MHZ.

5429. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-27 23:46:45 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <FbUa9.123482$eK6.3806702@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134506

"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:jTTa9.125481$Aw4.5286661@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>

> No trouble with reading again Dick Head? Your the one who wants someone
to
> compromise something not me I stand up for what is right, you seems either
> don't care or look the other way.
>
> You are ? whats your callsign If I remember correcty you told aaron to
post
> his callsign since you didnt beleive he was a ham. I am asking you to do
the
> exact same thing as you requested. That is a far question Yes or No I mean
> if you say no then you are another hypocrite to grace the rec.radio.cb NG.
> btw you also said you have nothing to hide which is it you do or you don't
> or are you just to much of a pussy. As far as mine look on google archives
> or just ask one of the regulars I am sure you have seen it posted here
many
> times. So the question remains whats the callsing Good buddy

Good Lord, George! Please go take a remedial English class at your local
junior college. Your ranting and writings are a disgrace to hams everywhere.
Do you talk with a lisp? You damn sure type with one!

5430. A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 00:03:51 UTC
From: keyclown@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <602ef891.0208271603.43b9d4f3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134507
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

I've been a Windows XP Pirate ever since I downloaded XP Corporate Edition form Kazza and now my inner soul wants to settle the score and make things right.

I used to be a Linux user but after I tried my free copy of Windows XP there was no going back to Debian.

Linux was taking up more of my time than selling DaveMade Amps on the side.
You see I am just on the wrong side of the law and I want to come clean.

I've been a closet keyclown for a long time now.
I've flatulated all over the Ham bands using my pirated version of Windows XP.

To clear my name I am going to post my reg key so all can know I am truly a keyclown, cb'er good buddy.

fckgw-rhqq2-yxrkt-8tg6w-2b7q8

I'm going to switch to a McIntush so I can pay real money for legal software that works.

Don't even try Linux because it really sucks, worse than XP.,

Terry Porter

5431. This here's gone far 'nuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 00:06:49 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0208271606.6419c86f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134508

Got to the root of the problem---or at least what makes the antikeyclowns tick, anyway. We can print cusswords in books & papers, put them on albums & sell them... but deep in the American psyche, there is something that says "the airwaves are holy---thou shalt not defile them with cusswords, lest thou incur the wrath of God". This mentality probably originated in the prim-&-proper '40s, and most censor-minded individuals don't want to be identified as killjoys.

I think Aaron (or whatever name he's using this week) would agree with this assessment... albeit I happen to think those of us who really think of the airwaves as "holy" are off their rockers. (Yes, there really are old geezers who obsessively watch TV and pester the b'cast stations with phone calls every time they hear a cussword.)

AFAIC I wouldn't get any sudden chest pains from hearing Dan Rather say "fuck" on the 6 o'clock news, much less hearing Speedy say it on 27.035. What are the censors going to do when our fat old lawmakers have all died---and get replaced by today's foulmouthed keyclowns? Their success would be the best revenge.

Guy Richards
xxxxxxxxx

5432. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 00:24:23 UTC
From: Scott <scott@ovis.net>
Message-ID: <WKUa9.101725$aA.21337@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 134510

Just wondering........ How many of these cry babies speed while driving to or from work? Isn't that illegal also? But they do it anyways, right? And you don't see me or other folks out there calling Johnny Law when they speed on by and or cut someone off DO YA? I don't think so!!!!!! But that is just fine with me cause there is going to be a day when all these frequencies are going to be open to the public anyways. Just look at the cb, the FCC couldn't stop people from using the AM legally with a license so they drop the license. So what are they (Ham Operators) going to cry about when everyone will be allowed to use these so called licenses only frequencies to cause hate and discontent like is done on channel 19? Just think about that one for awhile.. It is only a matter of time!!!!!!!!
As it is now you folks are loosing frequencies cause no one is using them. Hell all of the old timers are dying off and none of the new generation care about radios. The new generation uses computers to communicate, just the same way you people are doing right now in this newsgroup! Just think about that for another minute or two!
So why don't all of you old time so called ham operators go back to your radios and carry on a conversation with other folks just like yourselves!!!!!!!!!!
Just look at the name of the newsgroup, I don't think the word ham is in it anywhere. Oh but I know your way of thinking (hams) and you are going to tell me the word radio means "hams" right? Well before you do that radio also means stereo all so. But I don't see anyone posting questions about there stereos in here, do you?

My 2 cents, Scott

"Scott" <mr_scott_mckay@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1030488787.429082@teuthos...
> I am an Australian. I have no illegal equipment (or any equipment at all),
> but I am just wondering why you people hate people that use illegal
> equipment so much?
>
> Does it affect you?
>
> Just wonderin.
>
> .Scott.
>
>

5433. Re: allsqueal77 squeals impotently

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 00:28:12 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020827202812.19412.00003560@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 134511

Hey ,George how many times are you going to change your screen name?Looks like the Prozac is running out .What a loser!!!

5434. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 00:30:43 UTC
From: m-marcelo <m-marcelo@earthlink.net.ignore.spam>
Message-ID: <TQUa9.3186$N%4.220725@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 134512
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

reply to troll...

XP doesn't run on my commodore 64
but i've slept in a Holiday Inn...

-a|ex

"Terry Porter" <keyclown@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:602ef891.0208271603.43b9d4f3@posting.google.com...
> I've been a Windows XP Pirate ever since I downloaded XP Corporate
> Edition form Kazza and now my inner soul wants to settle the score and
> make things right.
>
> I used to be a Linux user but after I tried my free copy of Windows XP
> there was no going back to Debian.
>
> Linux was taking up more of my time than selling DaveMade Amps on the
> side.
> You see I am just on the wrong side of the law and I want to come
> clean.
>
> I've been a closet keyclown for a long time now.
> I've flatulated all over the Ham bands using my pirated version of
> Windows XP.
>
> To clear my name I am going to post my reg key so all can know I am
> truly a keyclown, cb'er good buddy.
>
> fckgw-rhqq2-yxrkt-8tg6w-2b7q8
>
> I'm going to switch to a McIntush so I can pay real money for legal
> software that works.
>
> Don't even try Linux because it really sucks, worse than XP.,
>
>
> Terry Porter
>

5435. DENTRON CLIPPERTON L

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 01:29:38 UTC
From: NI4L <ni4l@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3D6C2782.90809@charter.net>
Source record: 134513

This amp is a 10!
new caps (8) 470@450v
New board for caps
New full wave HV-8 high voltage rectifiers (2)
New Plate Choke
New Plate cap resistors
New Doorknobs
New Band switch
New Omega electronics Tuned input board
New Powercoat on the cabinet not a scratch on the face.
New Choke
New Bleeder Resistors
4 full output Taylor 572-B tubes
Have manual and paper work from omega for tuned input board.
This amp is better than new.
600.00 + shipping
thanks for reading
chris NI4L

--
NI4L CUSTOM RESONANT DIPOLE
LEGAL LIMIT ++ CUSTOM MADE CENTER FED DIPOLE
Made with the same components used by our military forces today
Custom made to YOUR FREQUENCY of operation
Let me take the hassle out of making a RESONANT DIPOLE for you.
Click here for more info... http://www.angelfire.com/nb/ni4l/

5436. Re: Brian Griffey the whining sissie's web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 01:39:16 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020827213916.28418.00002930@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 134514

>From: "Brian Griffey" >briangriffey@worldnet.att.net
>hams would police their own and remove >people like Dougie, Aaron and Chuckee
>from the ranks for totally disgracing the >hobby
Brian, Problem is Aaron(eous) is not a
Ham. I also believe he has not the slightest knowledge of physically setting up
a radio station. He's nothing more than an anal, desk driving, useless, sorry
ass excuse of human being. Hell, he isn't really even Aaron Voobner. It's just
another BS name for a post-clown (hmmm new surname) in the newsgroup.
Basically, he's the corn texture in shit! Bud dah...Boom!

"Got RF?"

5437. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 01:43:24 UTC
From: skuld-chan <cherry-chan@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <0VVa9.6451$kp.35886@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 134515

I care - when I can some splattering amp from a guy on cb channel 6 as far
away as 12 meters several states over I think it is a problem.

Next time it happens I'll take photo's of the scope and record it.

sc

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:akh208$1j3s90$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Scott" <mr_scott_mckay@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1030488787.429082@teuthos...
> > I am an Australian. I have no illegal equipment (or any equipment at
> all),
> > but I am just wondering why you people hate people that use illegal
> > equipment so much?
> >
> > Does it affect you?
> >
> > Just wonderin.
>
> That's a good question that I'd like to see answered. As a ham, I can
> understand those who want to keep illegal operators off the 10 or 12 meter
> ham bands. I have no problem with that. But I can't understand why some
> here are taking up a crusade to shut down every illegal operator, whether
> they're on the ham bands or not. Unless someone's running a kW next door
to
> you and splattering their signal from DC to daylight, who cares? Who
cares
> if someone operates on 27.555 with 100 watts? It's not bothering me any.
I
> have found a few intruders to the 10m band, but all of the freebanders I
> used to know knew enough to stay below 28 MHZ.
>
> Tom
>
>
>

5438. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 01:48:17 UTC
From: skuld-chan <cherry-chan@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <BZVa9.203290$983.384360@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 134516

Its the amps they use - some of the cheaper ones suffer so badly from intermodulation distortion that their signals are sometimes several channels wide - to in extreme cases several mhz wide. I really have heard cber's who were on channel 6 way down in 12 meters - not just in my same town, but from the coversation I've gather several states over. These class C amps are made by people with the direct intention of being used on the citizens band.

cber's are famous for disabling audio limiters, turing up mic gain - stuff like that.

as a real amateur operator thats my beef with outlaw cber's (skuld@mail.ru)

sc

"Scott" <mr_scott_mckay@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1030488787.429082@teuthos...
> I am an Australian. I have no illegal equipment (or any equipment at all),
> but I am just wondering why you people hate people that use illegal
> equipment so much?
>
> Does it affect you?
>
> Just wonderin.
>
> .Scott.
>
>

5439. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 02:06:27 UTC
From: "Dr. John (of Life)" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <efWa9.2082$ij7.27190@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 134517
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

I have found that Windows is preferrable to Linux, but Macintosh is preferrable to Windows. I just don't have the time to deal with broken shit. Linux's brokeness is ok because of programmer types who can fix it, but Windows fucks up no matter how well you have it tuned. Current Macintosh computers has never screwed up under my use.

John

"Terry Porter" <keyclown@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:602ef891.0208271603.43b9d4f3@posting.google.com...
> I've been a Windows XP Pirate ever since I downloaded XP Corporate
> Edition form Kazza and now my inner soul wants to settle the score and
> make things right.
>
> I used to be a Linux user but after I tried my free copy of Windows XP
> there was no going back to Debian.
>
> Linux was taking up more of my time than selling DaveMade Amps on the
> side.
> You see I am just on the wrong side of the law and I want to come
> clean.
>
> I've been a closet keyclown for a long time now.
> I've flatulated all over the Ham bands using my pirated version of
> Windows XP.
>
> To clear my name I am going to post my reg key so all can know I am
> truly a keyclown, cb'er good buddy.
>
> fckgw-rhqq2-yxrkt-8tg6w-2b7q8
>
> I'm going to switch to a McIntush so I can pay real money for legal
> software that works.
>
> Don't even try Linux because it really sucks, worse than XP.,
>
>
> Terry Porter

5440. Re: more stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 02:09:18 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ihWa9.7467$p%3.574103@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134518

oh, I see, you can download over 600 folders, 2500 files for free? knock yourself out.

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

5441. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 02:20:24 UTC
From: Linonut <linonut@bone.com>
Message-ID: <HrWa9.255664$2p2.10290488@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134519
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

After takin' a swig o' grog, Terry Porter belched out this bit o' wisdom:

> I've been a Windows XP Pirate ever since I downloaded XP Corporate
> Edition form Kazza and now my inner soul wants to settle the score and
> make things right.
>
> I used to be a Linux user but after I tried my free copy of Windows XP
> there was no going back to Debian.
>
> Linux was taking up more of my time than selling DaveMade Amps on the
> side.
> You see I am just on the wrong side of the law and I want to come
> clean.
>
> I've been a closet keyclown for a long time now.
> I've flatulated all over the Ham bands using my pirated version of
> Windows XP.
>
> To clear my name I am going to post my reg key so all can know I am
> truly a keyclown, cb'er good buddy.
>
> fckgw-rhqq2-yxrkt-8tg6w-2b7q8
>
> I'm going to switch to a McIntush so I can pay real money for legal
> software that works.
>
> Don't even try Linux because it really sucks, worse than XP.,
>
> Terry Porter

You're not Terry Porter. Get outa town.

--

5442. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 02:26:54 UTC
From: GadaztchaWoman <Eat@theY.org.asm>
Message-ID: <OxWa9.255786$2p2.10296597@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134520
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

Dr. John (of Life) caused an invalid page fault while top posting as frellyou@privacy.net. The top post has been corrected. Core dump log follows:

> "Terry Porter" <keyclown@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:602ef891.0208271603.43b9d4f3@posting.google.com...

>> I've been a Windows XP Pirate ever since I downloaded XP Corporate
>> Edition form Kazza and now my inner soul wants to settle the score
>> and make things right.
>>
>> I used to be a Linux user but after I tried my free copy of Windows
>> XP there was no going back to Debian.
>>
>> Linux was taking up more of my time than selling DaveMade Amps on the
>> side.
>> You see I am just on the wrong side of the law and I want to come
>> clean.
>>
>> I've been a closet keyclown for a long time now.
>> I've flatulated all over the Ham bands using my pirated version of
>> Windows XP.
>>
>> To clear my name I am going to post my reg key so all can know I am
>> truly a keyclown, cb'er good buddy.
>>
>> fckgw-rhqq2-yxrkt-8tg6w-2b7q8
>>
>> I'm going to switch to a McIntush so I can pay real money for legal
>> software that works.
>>
>> Don't even try Linux because it really sucks, worse than XP.,
>>
>>
>> Terry Porter

> I have found that Windows is preferrable to Linux,

Yes.

> but Macintosh is
> preferrable to Windows.

No.

> I just don't have the time to deal with
> broken shit.

But you DO have the time to find useful Mac apps?

> Linux's brokeness is ok

No.

> because of programmer types who
> can fix it,

But only linufux even care to do so, so what's yer pernt?

> but Windows fucks up no matter how well you have it
> tuned.

No.

> Current Macintosh computers has never screwed up under my use.

Never?! BWAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!

BTW? YHBTrooled. (and not by me)

Now piss off.

HTH

5443. Re: Brian Griffey the whining sissie's web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 02:32:59 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208271832.6b9b93f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134521

```
Well, like we always say...Illegal federal criminal keyclowns will say
anything to de focus from their criminality. Whether they know what
they are talking about or not...

Especially that religious phony shit Dave or Debby spews. Tell me, is
it true that you call yourself Dave or Debby cuz some days you feel
like yer Dave, and some days like yer Debby? I bet it is true.

Dave or Debby <truth@NOspamplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:<bonlmu44m2sdoo3ueio657m6ku21dmllul@4ax.com>...
> Yep, people working for minimum wage @ least have a Life!
>
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 21:17:44 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
> <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >I guess when you're unemployed, and have little hope of finding anything,
> >you become bitter and start hating everything and everybody...
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----
```

5444. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 02:34:38 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6C36BE.3801A7E2@citlink.net>
Source record: 134523
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

Windoze is ok for the average user and the same with a mac...actually of the 2 systems I definately think mac has the edge,especially mac to mac...BUT learn Linux and there is no going back for many reasons

Terry Porter wrote:

> I've been a Windows XP Pirate ever since I downloaded XP Corporate
> Edition form Kazza and now my inner soul wants to settle the score and
> make things right.
>
> I used to be a Linux user but after I tried my free copy of Windows XP
> there was no going back to Debian.
>
> Linux was taking up more of my time than selling DaveMade Amps on the
> side.
> You see I am just on the wrong side of the law and I want to come
> clean.
>
> I've been a closet keyclown for a long time now.
> I've flatulated all over the Ham bands using my pirated version of
> Windows XP.
>
> To clear my name I am going to post my reg key so all can know I am
> truly a keyclown, cb'er good buddy.
>
> fckgw-rhqq2-yxrkt-8tg6w-2b7q8
>
> I'm going to switch to a McIntush so I can pay real money for legal
> software that works.
>
> Don't even try Linux because it really sucks, worse than XP.,
>
> Terry Porter

5445. Re: reply...anti key clowns "control" the n/g

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 02:34:53 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208271834.1aaeb4cd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134522

Anything to defocus from the fact that yer a federal criminal...right
turdslinger...or is it turdSLURPER?

lilyv7@aol.com (LilyV7) wrote in message
news:<20020827130706.01478.00002542@mb-ck.aol.com>...
> Hell buddy, if you were in control of this news group,how come you cant make us
> go away? How come we can write anything we damn well please?
> You know what they say..."thinking you have some thing under control is merely
> an illusion" Here, ill prove it to you.
> "ANTI KEY CLOWNS SUCK ASS"
> There now, control that !! heee heee heee
> have a nice day! gunslinger :) :)

5446. Re: keyclown canceled maybe?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 02:37:35 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208271837.67ce32a4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134524

Whoopie...anti-boys have PRE MADE UP new email accounts...pee in the
wind all ya want, moron. Then next day start doing it again.

"skuld-chan" <cherry-chan@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<JgRa9.264032$UU1.44283@sccrnsc03>...
> I complained to groups.google.com - about the keyclown to
> groups-abuse@google.com - the proper procedure to complain to google (and
> they seem to take complaints seriously) is to forward the abusive message
> with headers to the above mentioned address. I think they take complaints
> seriously.
>
> Not that I like keydown operators - I don't like the imd their amps
> generate, but this guy is getting annoying.
>
> sc

5447. Re: Bye BYe Moonraker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 02:39:06 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208271839.58b5da47@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134525

Seriously...way too much antenna for the boom...do the math. That boom needs to be a lot longer. A very much less than optimum performing antenna.

"Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in message news:<3D575BB7.C8009C93@citlink.net>...
> I cant beleive they have discontinued this antenna.But guess what I
> bought 8 of them right after they discontinued them.You see em on the
> Bay going for 300+...I have them brand new in the box for $240 +
> shipping

5448. Re: This here's gone far 'nuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 02:40:22 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1030502713.712195@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 134528

Has it ever occurred to You that when They cuss that They have simply ran
out of intelligent things to say ?
"That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3aed93cc.0208271606.6419c86f@posting.google.com...
> Got to the root of the problem---or at least what makes the
> antikeyclowns tick, anyway. We can print cusswords in books & papers,
> put them on albums & sell them... but deep in the American psyche,
> there is something that says "the airwaves are holy---thou shalt not
> defile them with cusswords, lest thou incur the wrath of God". This
> mentality probably originated in the prim-&-proper '40s, and most
> censor-minded individuals don't want to be identified as killjoys.
>
> I think Aaron (or whatever name he's using this week) would agree with
> this assessment... albeit I happen to think those of us who really
> think of the airwaves as "holy" are off their rockers. (Yes, there
> really are old geezers who obsessively watch TV and pester the b'cast
> stations with phone calls every time they hear a cussword.)
>
> AFAIC I wouldn't get any sudden chest pains from hearing Dan Rather
> say "fuck" on the 6 o'clock news, much less hearing Speedy say it on
> 27.035. What are the censors going to do when our fat old lawmakers
> have all died---and get replaced by today's foulmouthed keyclowns?
> Their success would be the best revenge.
>
> Guy Richards
> xxxxxxxxx

5449. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 02:42:18 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208271842.5c46dbd3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134526

"Scott" <mr_scott_mckay@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:<1030488787.429082@teuthos>...
> I am an Australian. I have no illegal equipment (or any equipment at all),
> but I am just wondering why you people hate people that use illegal
> equipment so much?
>
> Does it affect you?
>
> Just wonderin.
>
> .Scott.

No, we don't hate them. Yes it affects US amateur radio. BooKoo qrm
from export rigs on 10 and 12 meters. Also, high power RF on CB band
tears up whole neighborhood's
home entertainment devices. Also, operating on illegal freqs
interferes with other services who are legally entitled to be there.
This is all so obvious that I never thought anyone would ask the
questions.

5450. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 02:43:53 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208271843.74b4bba0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134527

richcranus,
Another attempt to divert from the reality of your own federal
criminal behavior. See...I am always right!

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208271412.666847c@posting.google.com>...
> yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d685b6a.4076643@news.cet.com>...
> > In <20020819103538.07968.00001205@mb-mi.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
> > (www.TimNebo.com) wrote:
> >
> > >my point is why only bother the cb'ers? i dont see jerry, aaron, frank or
> > >anybody else tirading about the amatuer bands and on their newsgroups
> > >complaining and these problems are even more directly related to the service
> > >they're fighting for. i just wanted to point out the hypocrisy in what they're
> > >doing in here...
> >
> > I'm in here because I'm a CBer. I am not a ham (yet), and that's
> > probably why I don't post much on ham newsgroups. That's not
> > hypocrisy, that's integrity. Learn the difference.
>
> I think Tim knows the difference; that's why he made the post. If the
> alleged hambos worked as hard to clean up their own bands as they
> claim to be working to clean up CB, the world would be a much better
> place. And we wouldn't have to put up with their stupidity on this
> newsgroup, at least. (I wouldn't miss them a bit, personally.) But
> Jerry doesn't dare post a callsign, George can only post bullcrap
> snipes, and Aanal Aaron can't possibly be studying for his "extra"
> since he spends so much time trolling here and cruising eBay for
> so-called "illegal" auctions.

5451. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 02:52:17 UTC
From: "Dr. John (of Life)" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <cWWa9.2090$ij7.27795@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 134529
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

I'll keep top-posting as long as I don't know what the frell it is, and as long as I see that it does not frelling harm to others.

Comments follow...

"GadaztchaWoman" <Eat@theY.org.asm> wrote in message news:OxWa9.255786$2p2.10296597@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> Dr. John (of Life) caused an invalid page fault while top posting as
> frellyou@privacy.net. The top post has been corrected. Core dump log
> follows:
>
> > "Terry Porter" <keyclown@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:602ef891.0208271603.43b9d4f3@posting.google.com...
>
> >> I've been a Windows XP Pirate ever since I downloaded XP Corporate
> >> Edition form Kazza and now my inner soul wants to settle the score
> >> and make things right.
> >>
> >> I used to be a Linux user but after I tried my free copy of Windows
> >> XP there was no going back to Debian.
> >>
> >> Linux was taking up more of my time than selling DaveMade Amps on the
> >> side.
> >> You see I am just on the wrong side of the law and I want to come
> >> clean.
> >>
> >> I've been a closet keyclown for a long time now.
> >> I've flatulated all over the Ham bands using my pirated version of
> >> Windows XP.
> >>
> >> To clear my name I am going to post my reg key so all can know I am
> >> truly a keyclown, cb'er good buddy.
> >>
> >> fckgw-rhqq2-yxrkt-8tg6w-2b7q8
> >>
> >> I'm going to switch to a McIntush so I can pay real money for legal
> >> software that works.
> >>
> >> Don't even try Linux because it really sucks, worse than XP.,
> >>
> >>
> >> Terry Porter
>
> > I have found that Windows is preferrable to Linux,

>
> Yes.
>
> > but Macintosh is
> > preferrable to Windows.
>
> No.

Another person brainwashed by the "cheaper and more widespread is better "
Microsoft subliminal programming.

>
> > I just don't have the time to deal with
> > broken shit.
>
> But you DO have the time to find useful Mac apps?

I am a damn good investigator. I have found all I need in a short amount of
time, thank you very much. At least Mac apps don't crash for me.

>
> > Linux's brokeness is ok
>
> No.

Best you could do? Well I'll do better. Linux is made for people who have
the time to learn how to use it (implying patching it up to their own
specifications, or PROGRAMMING. Linux should NOT be marketed to the average
Joe or Jane.) I tried every single major distro of Linux, and guess what, I
didn't have the time to get it working right. I needed a computer; not a
frelling project to work on for a couple of months.

>
> > because of programmer types who
> > can fix it,
>
> But only linufux even care to do so, so what's yer pernt?

Speak in standard english and I will respond.

>
> > but Windows fucks up no matter how well you have it
> > tuned.
>
> No.

Prove it. Oh wait. You can't. Even Bill Gates himself and his
demonstrator, at a Microsoft Expo, got a blue screen. (See
http://cnn.com/TECH/computing/9804/20/gates.comdex/gates.30.160.mov) You
cannot deny that; the movie is proof.

>
> > Current Macintosh computers has never screwed up under my use.

>
> Never?! BWAHAHAHAHAHAH!!!!!

I admit, I haven't used them nearly as often as the more prevalent Microshit
OS, but I swear that this is the truth.

>
> BTW? YHBTrooled. (and not by me)
>
> Now piss off.

Now STFU, or go to a deprogrammer to get out of your brainwashed state.

>
> HTH
>
>
>

5452. Re: Stupid Question, Maybe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 02:54:59 UTC
From: Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <umoeukduo3v45f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134530

You mean BAndWidth

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3442abbf.0208212251.3d24564c@posting.google.com...
> "Dr. John \(of Life\)" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:<QAU89.3529$dn2.25499@eagle.america.net>...
> > Yes, well, I don't know much about this stuff, but is "10 Meter" the
> > wavelength or is it something else?
>
> Yes, the wavelength (roughly) of the amateur band from 28.000 to
> 29.700. This is usually referred to as the 10 meter band.
>
> CB frequencies are usually referred to as the 11 meter band.
>
> Tom

5453. Re: It does not take long to rat out auctions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 02:58:38 UTC
From: Packrat<redacted> <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <umof5el50rgf0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134533

THere were only 3 on there that didnt close. You are slacking
"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d1e9cf6a.0208220811.5fdf098e@posting.google.com...
> Hey Packrat,
> We have form letters all composed, and the process is so easy you
> could call it automated...thanks a lot for the listings. AntiKeyclowns
> own this NG, and there is absolutely nothing you guys can do to stop
> us.
>
>
> "Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:<um5vt2os7uqb98@corp.supernews.com>...
> > Hey no problem - just doing my part to keep myself busy. I figure if I
cant
> > sell my equipment, I might as well apply my self to someone elses
auctions
> > to help them out. Of course ebay wont stop these auctions cause they
have
> > $$ in thier eyes.
> >

5454. Re: allsqueal77 squeals impotently

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 02:59:10 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <20Xa9.129984$Aw4.5467451@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134531

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020827202812.19412.00003560@mb-mf.aol.com...
> Hey ,George how many times are you going to change your screen name?Looks
like
> the Prozac is running out .What a loser!!!

Uhh Duh Good One LOL Yes you are a Loser

5455. Re: orientals on my frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 03:00:33 UTC
From: Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <umof90rt0nlk38@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134535

Slope headed? I thought that only Mormons were slope headed!

"LilyV7" <lilyv7@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020823114505.19293.00002589@mb-mg.aol.com...
> Thats right big bopper ! And i also have personal knowlege that the alien
> space craft have been enlisted by the f.c.c. to help in this most serious
> matter! Well nail those pesky little slope headed freebanders! Why the
very
> idea of them operating in the freeband with out so much as an official
"key
> clown" license is just awful!! gunslinger :) :) :)

5456. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 03:01:35 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <j2Xa9.238658$m91.9802547@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134532

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:H2Ua9.7278$p%3.559038@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> It may be most difficult to post a number he doesn't have...

Ya know what Brian, I think you may be right thats why DickHead hasn't
posted a callsign. he doesn't have one.
--

"Sometimes being right means pissing people off."
--Colin Powell

5457. Re: swing question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 03:02:06 UTC
From: Packrat<illegible> <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <umofbtdaas687b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134536

Other way around - sounds like shit up close and further away you cant hear
him cause the electric fence is blocking his signal.

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020822144418.29731.00000367@mb-mc.aol.com...
> oh hell, your one of those guys that sounds like shit when your 5 miles
away or
> farther. when your too far out to detect your carrier you sound like muddy
> shit.
>
> >My mobile dead keys at 100mW and swings to 180W(with SkyWave amplifier)
> >RMS...
> >
> >--
> >|||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >Brian Griffey
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

5458. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 03:02:50 UTC
From: "D o t a u, F e e l s L i k e A N a m e C h a n g e" <dotau.nospam.@tpg.dot.com.dot.au>
Message-ID: <3d6c3d88@dnews.tpgi.com.au>
Source record: 134537
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

As a dumb, blind consumer I love my borrowed version of xp pro with new
cd-key, beats Linux and I hate Macs
My self-built computer never crashes, runs at a million miles an hour and it
seems to run anything, even old Dos games.
And as for my 'inner soul, I can just buy a new pair of shoes with the money
I saved on xp.

--

D o t a u
--
Shit Shoveler: alt.os.windows-xp
------"Who Gives A Fuck"------

Outgoing messages altered with
Norton VirusInserter 2002, a virus
is added and sent on it's way.

5459. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 03:05:07 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208271905.28f1d484@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134534

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:akf3ic$1ifn8p$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>And he still refuses to say why he's so obsessed with radio
>rulebreakers.
> Nor will he state what kind of radio equipment he uses,
> leading me to think he's never touched a CB or ham radio.
>
>Tom

Ask some of the other former "Aaron stalkers", they can point you to
the relevant archives for the info you seek.

-Aaron-

5460. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 03:07:44 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <48Xa9.256339$2p2.10331774@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134538

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:FbUa9.123482$eK6.3806702@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Good Lord, George! Please go take a remedial English class at your local
> junior college. Your ranting and writings are a disgrace to hams
everywhere.
> Do you talk with a lisp? You damn sure type with one!

Randy, speak when spoken to your just looking for attention. Here is your
rattler now go run along and play with yourself.

--
Things are changing, and clowns are going to be painting on sad faces in
the coming
months.

5461. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 03:17:53 UTC
From: GadaztchaWoman <Eat@theY.org.asm>
Message-ID: <BhXa9.130351$Aw4.5481387@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134539
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

Dr. John (of Life) caused an invalid page fault while posting as
frellyou@privacy.net.
Core dump log follows:

> I'll keep top-posting as long as I don't know what the frell it is,

Nice admission of stupidity.

> and as long as I see that it does not frelling harm to others.

Harm? No. Make your posts hard to follow, YES! I read from top to
bottom and left to right. Most (but not all) people do. Sometimes I
(and many others) come in on the middle of a thread. If you include the
stuff I/we missed on TOP, it's mush easier to follow your reply. Are
you getting any of this, or are you stupid?
>
> Comments follow...

As if I care.

Idiot.

5462. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 03:28:13 UTC
From: Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <umogsu9qv76v86@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134540

Page URL Not Found!!

The requested page does not exist on this server. The URL you typed or
followed is either outdated or inaccurate.

Type your search term above instead or try to click here
to let us help you find web pages you are looking for!

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:_B3a9.3640$p%3.260789@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> The link seems to work fine... not sure what your problem is.
> As for AKC, I don't know what that is????
> Shut down what? You never shut anything down that I know of...
> I'm taking this message as a personal threat and are forwarding to the
> proper authorities.
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_Amplifier.doc
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:d1e9cf6a.0208242122.17140222@posting.google.com...
> > Can you tell us WHY your effeminate amp page CAN'T be displayed bt
> Att.net?
> >
> >
> > ALSO...BE HONEST FOR ONCE!!!
> >
> > You got shut down bt the AKC!!!!
> >
> >
> > WE WILL ALSO SHUT YOU DOWN NEXT TIME!!!!
> >
> >
> > AKC OWNS FEDERAL CRIMINALS!!!!
>
>

5463. Re: Dave or Debby SPANKED by ISP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 03:35:28 UTC
From: Packrat&#65533; <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <umohafh35dmva5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134541

I wonder if he spits when he talks?

"Dave or Debby" <truth@hatespamplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:ovmlmu0mhdtb1pm9rogccpq5ffijhl9nci@4ax.com...
> That's right Tnom. They are NOT "illegal" to use. Just in 1977 after
> a certain date they wanted to clear all the old 23's out so they put a
> date saying that the shops THEN couldn't sell them after a certain
> date--they wanted 40 channels CB's sold after that time.
>
> IT's FUNNY--In my post and in my latest "goldmine" I got NO nor listed
> any 23 channel CB's! And as far as the idiot "not caring," then WHY
> did he complain to the NG head about me? He seems to have a DOUBLE
> MIND ! What so offended you--that I would list to try to sell OLD CB
> stuff that I obtained this week, like I have always listed in times
> past, or do you have deeper HATE for any AMERICANS out here on the NG
> that don't abide by the 6th plank? WHAT IS your problem? GOT LIFE ?
> GET ONE!
>
Dave!!!

> >>>> > >that particular time, sending that particular message, would lead
> >>>> > >them to
> >>> you.
> >>>> > >Actually, the owner of the account would be held responsible.
> >>>> >
> >>>> > I'm glad you've come clean on just how difficult it is to identify
> >>>> > an individual. So let me get this straight. You will/can get a
> >>>> > court order against Dave and Debbie because they sell 23 channel
> >>>> > radios?
> >>>> >
> >>>>
> >>>> If you want to buy a paperweight that's your business.
> >>>> 23 channel rigs are illegal to use. Not own.
> >>>> You can advertise anything you want.
> >>>> It's whether or not the laws say it's illegal to distribute such
> >>>> advertising.
> >>>> Maybe some antique collector out there will buy the radios.
> >>>> Do I care? Not one bit.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>> When did 23 channel rigs become illegal to use?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>Ya, I was under the impression that they were legal. As a matter of
fact,
> >>I still reguarly use an old Royce. I love the thing. Interesting.
> >>
> >>Alan S.
> >
> >They are not illegal to use.
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5464. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 03:50:54 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <yMXa9.155917$Yd.6818433@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134542

"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:48Xa9.256339$2p2.10331774@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:FbUa9.123482$eK6.3806702@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > Good Lord, George! Please go take a remedial English class at your local
> > junior college. Your ranting and writings are a disgrace to hams
> everywhere.
> > Do you talk with a lisp? You damn sure type with one!
>
>
> Randy, speak when spoken to your just looking for attention. Here is your
> rattler now go run along and play with yourself.
>

George, please only post on newsgroups frequented by US citizens. The rest of the world has a much better grasp of English than you do. Please don't embarrass us.

Try 9th grade again, then try posting.

5465. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 03:53:17 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <NOXa9.155928$Yd.6818596@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134543

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208271905.28f1d484@posting.google.com...
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:akf3ic$1ifn8p$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> >And he still refuses to say why he's so obsessed with radio
> >rulebreakers.
> > Nor will he state what kind of radio equipment he uses,
> > leading me to think he's never touched a CB or ham radio.
> >
> >Tom
>
> Ask some of the other former "Aaron stalkers", they can point you to
> the relevant archives for the info you seek.
>
> -Aaron-

Insanity has no motives.

5466. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 03:56:56 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208271956.71f1e096@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134544

Earl earlebyrd@sun.up wrote
>On 27 Aug 2002 20:05:07 -0700, ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
>wrote:
>
>>>"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>>And he still refuses to say why he's so obsessed with radio
>>>rulebreakers.
>>>Nor will he state what kind of radio equipment he uses,
>>>leading me to think he's never touched a CB or ham radio.
>
>>Ask some of the other former "Aaron stalkers", they can point you to
>>the relevant archives for the info you seek.
>
>But why go to all that trouble when you should be able to fess up to
>those questions directly? The man's got some valid questions and
>I'm kinda curious myself. :^)
>

Earl, those questions were covered by me in this NG as recently as
July, so check the archives, or ask the other "Aaron Stalkers" for
pointers.

-Aaron-

5467. Antikeyclown creed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 04:07:09 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <N%Xa9.124358$eK6.3858227@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134545

Main Entry: in.san.i.ty
Pronunciation: in-'sa-n&-tE
Function: noun
Date: 1590
Inflected Form(s): plural -ties
1 a : a deranged state of the mind usually occurring as a specific disorder (as schizophrenia) and usually excluding such states as mental retardation, psychoneurosis, and various character disorders b : a mental disorder
2 : such unsoundness of mind or lack of understanding as prevents one from having the mental capacity required by law to enter into a particular relationship, status, or transaction or as removes one from criminal or civil responsibility
3 a : extreme folly or unreasonableness b : something utterly foolish or unreasonable

For them, it makes complete sense. In times past, they would have been good candidates for lobotomies. Today, due to political correctness, they are tolerated.

5468. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 04:30:23 UTC
From: "Dr. John (of Life)" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <cmYa9.2106$ij7.28773@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 134546
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

"GadaztchaWoman" <Eat@theY.org.asm> wrote in message
news:BhXa9.130351$Aw4.5481387@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> Dr. John (of Life) caused an invalid page fault while posting as
> frellyou@privacy.net.
> Core dump log follows:
>
> > I'll keep top-posting as long as I don't know what the frell it is,
>
> Nice admission of stupidity.

I say that because I have previously asked what it is, and was ignored. I'm bitter!

>
> > and as long as I see that it does not frelling harm to others.
>
> Harm? No. Make your posts hard to follow, YES! I read from top to
> bottom and left to right. Most (but not all) people do. Sometimes I
> (and many others) come in on the middle of a thread. If you include the
> stuff I/we missed on TOP, it's mush easier to follow your reply. Are
> you getting any of this, or are you stupid?

Hm. If you come in on the middle of a thread, then that means that you read from the middle to [x] location. Not top to bottom. Relative top, yes. Absolute top, no.

The way I see posts (IN A MICRO$OFT PRODUCT!) are in a directory-tree format. The replies to the original message are the branches, and then replies to those replies are then sub-branched. I reply in a newsgroup to the person I have a beef with. I don't reply to the original sender. I believe THAT makes my posts hard to follow. If I were a third party looking in, using this program, I would have no idea why replies were being set to the original post (that had nothing to do with my reply's argument.)

Any problems you have with my frelling posts should be directed to comments@microsoft.com . Please put Outlook Express 6.0 in the subject line and bitch to them about the way that they display newsgroup postings. Maybe they will change the way they do things. Then again, they probably won't. M$OE has been this way for as long as I've been around.

John

> >
> > Comments follow...
>

> As if I care.
>
> Idiot.
>
>

5469. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 04:40:17 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6C34E9.14C0556F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134397

Scott wrote:
>
> I am an Australian. I have no illegal equipment (or any equipment at all),
> but I am just wondering why you people hate people that use illegal
> equipment so much?
>
> Does it affect you?
>
> Just wonderin.
>
> .Scott.

When these illegal operators encroach into the ham bands, yes it
does have an effect. They have done nothing to earn the privilege
of operating on ham frequencies, the hams have.

5470. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 04:42:57 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6C358C.F37951B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134398

Scott wrote:
>
> Just wondering........ How many of these cry babies speed while driving to
> or from work? Isn't that illegal also? But they do it anyways, right? And
> you don't see me or other folks out there calling Johnny Law when they speed
> on by and or cut someone off DO YA? I don't think so!!!!!! But that is just
> fine with me cause there is going to be a day when all these frequencies are
> going to be open to the public anyways. Just look at the cb, the FCC
> couldn't stop people from using the AM legally with a license so they drop
> the license. So what are they (Ham Operators) going to cry about when
> everyone will be allowed to use these so called licenses only frequencies to
> cause hate and discontent like is done on channel 19? Just think about that
> one for awhile.. It is only a matter of time!!!!!!!!

In your dreams maybe.

> As it is now you folks are loosing frequencies cause no one is using them.

Oh really, look again, the hams are gaining frequencies.

5471. The passing of Richard McCollum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 04:43:03 UTC
From: Dave McCollum <dmccoll@radiks.net>
Message-ID: <3D6C55A4.AEFA5860@radiks.net>
Source record: 134399

To all who read this:

I am Richard's brother, David. I was entrusted with examining Dick's computer, looking for pertinent information to aid us with the disposition of him and his property. We found what we needed, along with a significantly large e-mail address book. As a family we decided to notify everyone in the address list of his demise. It made more sense to do that than to have his e-mail address suddenly fall silent, no one knowing why.

I now know that what I discovered on Dick's computer was more than addresses. It was a window onto a group of real people who have shown themselves to be the very best friends one could dream to have. I have received incredibly caring and thoughtful condolences from many of you. I have observed other outpouring of shock, dismay, concern, and caring from many others on this newsgroup. I have been told being a part of amateur radio entails upholding a pledge to aide those in need or in distress. Without any doubt, you on this board have done that above and beyond all expectations (save one).

Let it be known that the next issue of the American Radio Relay League publication QST will list Dick, N0BK, as a Silent Key.
Let it also be known that the sincere words, opinions, and anecdotes appearing in this newsgroup, in my mind, constitute quite possibly the finest memorial service Dick could have received.

Thank you all.

Now I must get real.....

To Dave or Debby....(is that a transvestite thing???) I do not believe I have met a professed Christian ever in my life who is so far away from the ideals of Christianity. HOW DARE YOU USE MY BROTHER'S DEATH AS A MEANS TO GET ON YOUR EVANGELICAL HIGH-HORSE!!! Your prayers now need to be centered on avoiding actually meeting me in person, as I will certainly send you to meet your maker!

5472. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 04:54:31 UTC
From: "r.r.c.b.auto_responder_V3.1" <yusenet@autoresponder.kom>
Message-ID: <umolpmpunuiiee@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134400

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208271905.28f1d484@posting.google.com...
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:akf3ic$1ifn8p$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> >And he still refuses to say why he's so obsessed with radio
> >rulebreakers.
> > Nor will he state what kind of radio equipment he uses,
> > leading me to think he's never touched a CB or ham radio.
> >
> >Tom
>
> Ask some of the other former "Aaron stalkers", they can point you to
> the relevant archives for the info you seek.
>
> -Aaron-

"Aaron stalkers" = AKC victimology propaganda, & other assorted
dillusions. Voobanoia reigns supreme.

5473. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 04:57:04 UTC
From: GadaztchaWoman <Eat@theY.org.asm>
Message-ID: <AKYa9.132246$Aw4.5562186@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134401
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

Dr. John (of Life) caused an invalid page fault while posing as
frellyou@privacy.net.
Core dump log follows:

> "GadaztchaWoman" <Eat@theY.org.asm> wrote in message
> news:BhXa9.130351$Aw4.5481387@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>> Dr. John (of Life) caused an invalid page fault while posting as
>> frellyou@privacy.net.
>> Core dump log follows:
>>
>>> I'll keep top-posting as long as I don't know what the frell it is,
>>
>> Nice admission of stupidity.
>
> I say that because I have previously asked what it is, and was
> ignored. I'm bitter!

Then I offer my most sincere apologies. (Really)

>>
>>> and as long as I see that it does not frelling harm to others.
>>
>> Harm? No. Make your posts hard to follow, YES! I read from top to
>> bottom and left to right. Most (but not all) people do. Sometimes I
>> (and many others) come in on the middle of a thread. If you include
>> the stuff I/we missed on TOP, it's mush easier to follow your reply.
>> Are you getting any of this, or are you stupid?
>
> Hm. If you come in on the middle of a thread, then that means that
> you read from the middle to [x] location. Not top to bottom.
> Relative top, yes. Absolute top, no.

No. "middle of the thread" means I came in about half-way through ALL
the complete posts. My (and your) servers may have dropped MANY, if not
all prior posts. If you don't quote and attribute what you're
responding to, how the heck am I (or others in my 'boat') supposed to
know what you're talking about, especially if you top post without
quotes or attributions?

> The way I see posts (IN A MICRO$OFT PRODUCT!) are in a directory-tree
> format. The replies to the original message are the branches, and
> then replies to those replies are then sub-branched. I reply in a
> newsgroup to the person I have a beef with. I don't reply to the
> original sender.

But NOBODY will see what you're responding to, if their servers have already expired the posts. YOU don't understand how Usenet works, and that's all the more reason why you should attribute, and quote (in the appropriate places like I'm doing here.) USENET is not a "bulletin board" anymore.

> I believe THAT makes my posts hard to follow.

No. What makes your, (and those of your ilk) posts hard to follow is stupidity.

> If I
> were a third party looking in, using this program, I would have no
> idea why replies were being set to the original post (that had
> nothing to do with my reply's argument.)

Then turn off your computer.

>
> Any problems you have with my frelling posts should be directed to

YOU! You, and all the other top posters are fucksticks. Deal with it. See my sig to LEARN.

Idiot
--
UNmoderator: alt.os.windows-xp
http://www.cs.tut.fi/~jkorpela/usenet/brox.html

5474. Re: more stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 04:58:23 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <PLYa9.38314$Ke2.2726520@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134402

I pay for the service,(dont like FREE web, do you?) Ive been working on this thing for close to a year now, Ive just started posting on this NG not even two months. Ive been tinkering with ham and cb for close to 25 years. And i didnt get it all free. I dont need the money. But BUYING knowledge got us through school, training for jobs and such. When I moved here a few years ago, I had manuals and stuff that I had obtained and wanted to do something with it all, I get asked tons of questions (through CB talk, neihbors, FRIENDS, and the internet) Ive helped install many an antenna, fixed many a radios, I like to teach, this is a teaching tool. Usually before one tackles a job, chore, project, it is advisable to get as much info needed to DO the thing right and SAFE. Yes you could go to the Library, some dont drive.YOU could probally get some of this info from the internet, but I dont have the time, you might. I want to HELP, not shit on ones works and efforts. Thats how we MAKE friends. Something it seems you lack. Be nice to others on the way up, selfishness will find you alone on the way down. nuff said. 73's

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

5475. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 05:14:18 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <2c489a2c327ac33cf19be1fdab422eb2@xganon.com>
Source record: 134403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

you ass JJ, all anyone has to do is ask Twistedhed. He TALKED to Rily on the phone and the man doesnt care about amps and freebanders but he cant come out and say it because of ARRL presure.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

5476. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 05:21:37 UTC
From: Bill <somewhere@this.com>
Message-ID: <B5Za9.15334$Ic7.1444396@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 134404
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

Wow, you're certainly a festering pile of dung, aren't you? What's the matter- someone jizz in your Wheaties this morning? Please be sure to reply and call me one of those witty names you've ripped off from the *other* trolling retards in this group.
--
-Bill
"GadaztchaWoman" <Eat@theY.org.asm> wrote in message
news:AKYa9.132246$Aw4.5562186@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> You, and all the other top posters are fucksticks. Deal with it.
> See my sig to LEARN how to be a bitch like me.

> UNmoderator: alt.os.windows-xp
> http://www.cs.tut.fi/~jkorpela/usenet/brox.html
>
>

5477. Re: twistedhed's vacation journal-week 1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 05:47:58 UTC
From: dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <57ce2c1e.0208272147.23d86adc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134405

xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:<ea4d7602d53c82e5a4151e903eca9bfc@xganon.com>...
> Twistyfag's vacation diary...
>
> Day 1. The drive is hot and tiring. The only consolation so far being
> the cute truck driver who mounted me in the TA's bathroom...my ass
> still hurts a little from that. I sucked his dick after he slammed
> me, so I could get the last drop. Love that shitdick taste! After
> dark my FUGGLY kid made gas money for us by blowing 2 drivers in the
> TA parking lot. He came back and kissed me passionately so I could
> taste what he got to swallow. Yum Yum!
>

<snip>>

Damn dogie,If'n it ain't in your mouth,it's on your mind.

5478. Re: more stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 05:48:30 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <OuZa9.38349$Ke2.2732005@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134406

a few enemies ???
you are in la la land again.
There is TRUTH and disagreements.

egotistical and arrogant attitude, dude??
There is TRUTH and disagreements.
Dont confuse yourself.

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

5479. Re: more stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 05:49:45 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ZvZa9.38351$Ke2.2732264@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134407

I haven't been here long enough to make any ????
you said it , not me.
--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

5480. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 05:57:19 UTC
From: GadaztchaWoman <Eat@theY.org.asm>
Message-ID: <3DZa9.133318$Aw4.5598024@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134408
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

Bill caused an invalid page fault while top posting as
somewhere@this.com.
Top post corrected. Core dump log follows:

> I wrote:

>> You, and all the other top posters are fucksticks. Deal with it.

> Wow, you're certainly a festering pile of dung, aren't you?

Yes. Why?

> What's
> the matter- someone jizz in your Wheaties this morning?

One could only have hoped. Alas, 'twas not to be. :-(

> Please be
> sure to reply and call me one of those witty names you've ripped off
> from the *other* trolling retards in this group.

What? Like below? Ya top posting idiot.

>> You, and all the other top posters are fucksticks. Deal with it.
>> See my sig to LEARN how to post properly.

>> UNmoderator: alt.os.windows-xp
>> http://www.cs.tut.fi/~jkorpela/usenet/brox.html

5481. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 06:07:22 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <uMZa9.6836$VP1.717690087@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134409

"Earl" <earlebyrd@sun.up> wrote in message
news:0pnomucivtnvtjknoudfnim8sfldnmisno@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 28 Aug 2002 03:50:54 GMT, "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
> wrote:
> >George, please only post on newsgroups frequented by US citizens. The
rest
> >of the world has a much better grasp of English than you do. Please don't
> >embarrass us.
> >Try 9th grade again, then try posting.
>
> Is this the same George that keeps wanting me to buy his CD so that I
> can learn all that shit he's got in his head?
>

Nope, George Busch WA3MOJ, one of
the Aaron alter ego trolls.
You can tell by the hate filled, sexual innuendo type
of responses he leaves.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

5482. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 06:22:06 UTC
From: Bill <somewhere@this.com>
Message-ID: <i_Za9.15458$lc7.1457134@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 134410
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

"GadaztchaWoman" <Eat@theY.org.asm> wrote in message
news:3DZa9.133318$Aw4.5598024@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> Bill caused an invalid page fault while top posting as
> somewhere@this.com.
> Top post corrected. Core dump log follows:
>
> > I wrote:
>
> > Wow, you're certainly a festering pile of dung, aren't you?
>
> Yes. Why?

Typical. You are what you eat, I suppose.
>
> > What's
> > the matter- someone jizz in your Wheaties this morning?
>
> One could only have hoped. Alas, 'twas not to be. :-(

Why does it not suprise me that you hope to eat jizz in your morning cereal?

> > Please be
> > sure to reply and call me one of those witty names you've ripped off
> > from the *other* trolling retards in this group.
>
> What? Like below? Ya top posting idiot.

That's not even close to a good reply. You shouldn't try to be witty, because it doesn't suit you, and you lack the capacity to pull anything out of that void you call a brain that could even begin to resemble intelligence.
Please don't quit your day job sucking cock. Your less-than-inspired, witless, unimaginative posts are boring to me and everyone else here. The same could be said about my posts, but so fucking what?

Oh- and learn how to post correctly, or forver be fucked... Not that you aren't already.

5483. Re: more stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 06:40:00 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6C7040.43E043E4@citlink.net>
Source record: 134411

Ther are only so many hours in a day and only so much you can accomplish...I think I could find enough info in 10 minutes for a weeks worth of projects

George Kinzer wrote:

> oh, I see, you can download over 600 folders, 2500 files for free? knock
> yourself out.
>
> --
> If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

5484. Re: more stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 06:41:06 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6C7082.E38B3A70@citlink.net>
Source record: 134412

Somebody please raise the flag

George Kinzer wrote:

> I pay for the service,(dont like FREE web, do you?) Ive been working on this
> thing for close to a year now, Ive just started posting on this NG not even
> two months. Ive been tinkering with ham and cb for close to 25 years. And i
> didnt get it all free. I dont need the money. But BUYING knowledge got us
> through school, training for jobs and such. When I moved here a few years
> ago, I had manuals and stuff that I had obtained and wanted to do something
> with it all, I get asked tons of questions (through CB talk, neihbors,
> FRIENDS, and the internet) Ive helped install many an antenna, fixed many a
> radios, I like to teach, this is a teaching tool. Usually before one tackles
> a job, chore, project, it is advisable to get as much info needed to DO the
> thing right and SAFE. Yes you could go to the Library, some dont drive.YOU
> could probally get some of this info from the internet, but I dont have the
> time, you might. I want to HELP, not shit on ones works and efforts. Thats
> how we MAKE friends. Something it seems you lack. Be nice to others on the
> way up, selfishness will find you alone on the way down. nuff said. 73's
>
> --
> If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

5485. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 06:44:05 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d6c6c05.3337902@news.cet.com>
Source record: 134414

In <c5ecbb69.0208271412.666847c@posting.google.com>,
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

>yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d685b6a.4076643@news.cet.com>...
>> In <20020819103538.07968.00001205@mb-mi.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
>> (www.TimNebo.com) wrote:
>>
>> >my point is why only bother the cb'ers? i dont see jerry, aaron, frank or
>> >anybody else tirading about the amatuer bands and on their newsgroups
>> >complaining and these problems are even more directly related to the service
>> >they're fighting for. i just wanted to point out the hypocrisy in what they're
>> >doing in here...
>>
>> I'm in here because I'm a CBer. I am not a ham (yet), and that's
>> probably why I don't post much on ham newsgroups. That's not
>> hypocrisy, that's integrity. Learn the difference.
>
>I think Tim knows the difference; that's why he made the post. If the
>alleged hambos worked as hard to clean up their own bands as they
>claim to be working to clean up CB, the world would be a much better
>place.

The fact is, they do work hard to keep their bands clean. Some choose
to go right to the root of the problem, the most heavily and blatantly
abused part of the spectrum -- the Citizen's Band.

> And we wouldn't have to put up with their stupidity on this
>newsgroup, at least. (I wouldn't miss them a bit, personally.)

The feeling is mutual.

> But
>Jerry doesn't dare post a callsign,

Jerry who? Oxendine? Haven't you heard of qrz.com? Or google.com? And
just so your complaint is properly filed, your callsign is...?

> George can only post bullcrap
>snipes,

Maybe we should dig a few of YOUR posts out of the archives.

> and Aanal Aaron can't possibly be studying for his "extra"
>since he spends so much time trolling here and cruising eBay for
>so-called "illegal" auctions.

I suppose you know just how everyone should spend their time, right? And why should that be any concern of yours?

But you still haven't addressed my point, and I'll simplify it for your benefit: Tim was whining about hams but I'm not a ham, I'm a CBer. I don't usually post on ham newsgroups because I'm not a ham. I post on the CB newsgroup because I'm a CBer. Was that simple enough for you to understand?

5486. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 06:44:52 UTC
From: GadaztchaWoman <Eat@theY.org.asm>
Message-ID: <Ej_a9.258948$2p2.10486018@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134413
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

Bill caused an invalid page fault while posing as somewhere@this.com.

Core dump log follows:

> "GadaztchaWoman" <Eat@theY.org.asm> wrote in message
> news:3DZa9.133318$Aw4.5598024@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...

>> Bill caused an invalid page fault while top posting as
>> somewhere@this.com.
>> Top post corrected. Core dump log follows:

>>
>>> I wrote:
>>
>>> Wow, you're certainly a festering pile of dung, aren't you?
>>
>> Yes. Why?
>
> Typical. You are what you eat, I suppose.

I'm a housefly, what else would you expect me to eat?

>>
>>> What's
>>> the matter- someone jizz in your Wheaties this morning?
>>
>> One could only have hoped. Alas, 'twas not to be. :-(
>
> Why does it not suprise me that you hope to eat jizz in your morning
> cereal?

Because you're an ass?
>
>>> Please be
>>> sure to reply and call me one of those witty names you've ripped off
>>> from the *other* trolling retards in this group.
>>
>> What? Like below? Ya top posting idiot.
>
> That's not even close to a good reply. You shouldn't try to be witty,
> because it doesn't suit you, and you lack the capacity to pull
> anything out of that void you call a brain that could even begin to
> resemble intelligence.

Oh-Oh~~~~ I've been flamed by the imbecile, Bill! However will I regain
my composure?

> Please don't quit your day job sucking cock.

I kinda like sucking cock.

Your less-than-inspired,

You're gramatically challanged. Figure it out, fuckstick.

> witless, unimaginative posts are boring to me and everyone else here.

So killfile me, asswipe.

> The same could be said about my posts, but so fucking what?

Nah. I'd never killfile you. I'm a sad little cocksucker who enjoys
hammering on 'tards like you.

--
UNmoderator: alt.os.windows-xp
Ordinary, clueless people quote all the article, or quotes the article
below their own posting/mail because it requires less time, because they
haven't thought much of the purpose of quoting, and because their
software are optimized for such use.
http://www.cs.tut.fi/~jkorpela/usenet/brox.html

5487. FS: 6U5 NOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 07:06:59 UTC
From: arnoldg@c2i.net
Message-ID: <3d6c7718.5335686@news1.c2i.net>
Source record: 134415
Cross-posted to: rec.audio.marketplace,rec.audio.tubes,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.cb

Hi,

I have some 6U5 magic eye valves in stock. They are unused and in original boxes. The label is Haltron, but I am pretty sure that they are rebranded US manufacture. These can replace EM 34/35 if you just change the socket and alter the resistors a bit.
The price is NOK 186.70 each - about US$ 23.65
You an look at them and order if you like, from my web site - www.demostenes.no

Best regards,

Arnold Goksyr

DEMOSTENES - N6899 Balestrand, Norway
Tlf. +47 5769 1340 Fax +47 5769 1267
http://www.demostenes.no

Thousands of different valves in stock as well as sockets,transformers,books,caps,resistors and other components. Web site is updated every week. You can order on-line.

5488. Re: The beat goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 07:38:44 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208272338.29de095e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134416

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208250407.4c4bcfa1@posting.google.com>...
> keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
news:<d1e9cf6a.0208241414.9b0aac3@posting.google.com>...
> > This thread about "Ham misbehavior" is immaterial. The only place
> > where it counts is if you are in violation of FCC rules. Anything goes
> > in this NG, just like on your effeminate CB band. You sissies just
> > can't take it, canya?
> >
> > Scumbag keyclowns are overwhelmingly in violation every time they key
> > the mike.
> >
> > Your sissified posts about "hams this and hams that" are just attempts
> > to confuse the truth. You are federal criminals, and you WISH it was
> > not true, but that won't ever change.
> >
> > ANTIKEYCLOWNS OWN REC RADIO CB AND YOU CANNOT DO ANYTHING ABOUT
> > IT!!!!!
>
> "The beat goes on"?
>
> We still don't care about your one-handed sex life.

Well, if yer sister wasnt an amputee it would be a 2 handed sex
life...I felt sorry for her...and she aint really too bad, in spite of
that birth defect...

5489. 8-28-02 ANTIBOYS STILL OWN IT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 07:39:31 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208272339.3dbd740e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134417

And NONE of you keyclowns can do anything about it...

5490. You keyqueens slowing down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 07:43:43 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208272343.35b33006@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134418

I just saw N8's qrz page..only 1733 hits...come on keyqueens...make ole N8 famous!

ANTIBOYS OWN THE NG AND YOU CANT DO ANYTHING ABOUT IT!!!

5491. Re: Hey..doggie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 07:46:51 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208272346.571abe30@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134419

http://www.geocities.com/aunteekeyklown/Keyclown_wedding.html

5492. Re: more stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 10:35:32 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <aki91j$j9c$5@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 134420

George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> through school, training for jobs and such. When I moved here a few years
> ago, I had manuals and stuff that I had obtained and wanted to do something

I assume that all the manuals etc that you have copied are all out of
copyright, as well as all the "free" files you have obtained off the web.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

5493. Re: New coax length question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 10:44:21 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <aki9i5$j9c$6@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 134421

'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:

> It's impossible to use a single impedance value coax
> to properly feed 'dual' antennas. A fact which is proven

That depends on the impedance of the antennas.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

5494. Re: Old coax length answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 10:47:10 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <aki9nd$j9c$7@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 134422

yeti@REMOVEcet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:

>>COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
>>COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
>>
>>(Unless you're co-phasing-a waste of money.)

> (or unless your coax is used as the counterpoise.)

Why would you want to do that? A counterpoise usually has a high voltage point at the end away from the antenna. I don't see any reason why a person would want to couple a high rf voltage to the case of their rig.

There is a further problem that, if a person does use the outside of the coax as a counterpoise - the case of the rig and probably the power wires also become part of that counterpoise. That might also include the battery and all of your electrical system.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

5495. Re: How do I ground my system?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 10:57:30 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <akiaaq$j9c$8@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 134423

Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> The big deal for a tower is proper lightning protection. Once the proper
> ground bond is in to protect from the big spark, one can lay radials for a
> good rf ground. The most important thing here is safety.

There are electrical code requirements for grounding an antenna to the
house electrical power ground - as well as when you don't make such a
ground connection.

The procedures for a proper rf ground to the house ground is different
than for a regular power line ground.

An electrician may not be familiar with either of the above.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

5496. Re: Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 11:07:38 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <akiatp$j9c$9@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 134424

OZARK333 <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote:

> Scott, they are called 10 meter amps and
> they will usually work between 26-29 MHZ no problem. However some are more
> sensitive to the frequency they were designed for, this is very rare. Most amps
> will work fine on AM, SSB requires a delay.
> PS. Be prepared for a dickhead name Aaron(ious) to flame your post...he's an
> anal cheeswiz!!!!!!

My amps don't require a delay for SSB. But then, they are properly designed
amps with a keying relay.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

5497. Re: Dave or Debby SPANKED by ISP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 11:30:07 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6CB43F.5A41@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 134434

tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>
> On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 18:45:05 -0000, "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in
> >news:JDoa9.348655$q53.11425135@twister.austin.rr.com:
> > >
> > >>
> > >> "John Smith" <kissmyass@127.1.1.1> wrote in message
> > >> news:akcm2o012vb@enews1.newsguy.com...
> > >>>
> > >>> <tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
> > >>> news:3s1jmuoa5ca04ihf1edpf4iid9csqdfjpu@4ax.com...
> > >>> > snip
> > >>> > >> Oh? A IP address tracks down individuals?
> > >>> > >> Prove it. Track me down.
> > >>> > >
> > >>> > >They most certainly can.
> > >>> > >Let's say you posted something illegal such as child pornography.
> > >>> > >Google would use that IP to find out who your ISP is, as I did.
> > >>> > >A court order requesting your ISP to divulge who the user was, at
> > >>> > >that particular time, sending that particular message, would lead
> > >>> > >them to
> > >> you.
> > >>> > >Actually, the owner of the account would be held responsible.
> > >>> >
> > >>> I'm glad you've come clean on just how difficult it is to identify
> > >>> an individual. So let me get this straight. You will/can get a
> > >>> court order against Dave and Debbie because they sell 23 channel
> > >>> radios?
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>> If you want to buy a paperweight that's your business.
> > >>> 23 channel rigs are illegal to use. Not own.
> > >>> You can advertise anything you want.
> > >>> It's whether or not the laws say it's illegal to distribute such
> > >>> advertising.
> > >>> Maybe some antique collector out there will buy the radios.
> > >>> Do I care? Not one bit.
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>
> > >>>

> >>
> >> When did 23 channel rigs become illegal to use?
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >Ya, I was under the impression that they were legal. As a matter of fact,
> >I still reguarly use an old Royce. I love the thing. Interesting.
> >
> >Alan S.
>
> They are not illegal to use.

That is correct. When 40 channel radios became legal in 1977, a new set
of tighter regulations for type acceptance also took affect. What it
boiled down to is that 23 channels radios could no longer be sold AS NEW
after a certain date (To give the manufacturers time to clear out any
old stock).
Existing 23 channels radios could continue to be operated, and you could
sell them as used radios to other people.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5498. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 11:50:13 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208280350.680328c5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134425

"skuld-chan" <cherry-chan@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<BZVa9.203290$983.384360@rwcrnsc53>...
> Its the amps they use - some of the cheaper ones suffer so badly from
> intermodulation distortion that their signals are sometimes several channels
> wide - to in extreme cases several mhz wide. I really have heard cber's who
> were on channel 6 way down in 12 meters - not just in my same town, but from
> the coversation I've gather several states over. These class C amps are made
> by people with the direct intention of being used on the citizens band.
>
> cber's are famous for disabling audio limiters, turing up mic gain - stuff
> like that.
>
> as a real amateur operator thats my beef with outlaw cber's (skuld@mail.ru)
>
> sc

And again, you're painting all CBers as outlaws when only a small handful think they need to run extra power or bother to peak & tweak. That's a hellova generalization. And you're wrong.

The correct statement is: SOME "cber's are famous for disabling audio limiters, turning up mic gain - stuff like that." Not all by any means.

How many hams run ONLY the power level you NEED to QSO? Dang few. Yet the Rules & Regs require it. Who's the "outlaw"?

5499. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 11:54:52 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208280354.12f6c12f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134426

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208271956.71f1e096@posting.google.com>...
> Earl earlebyrd@sun.up wrote
> >On 27 Aug 2002 20:05:07 -0700, ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner)
> >wrote:
> >
> >>>"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >>>And he still refuses to say why he's so obsessed with radio
> >>>rulebreakers.
> >>>Nor will he state what kind of radio equipment he uses,
> >>>leading me to think he's never touched a CB or ham radio.
> >
> >>Ask some of the other former "Aaron stalkers", they can point you to
> >>the relevant archives for the info you seek.
> >
> >But why go to all that trouble when you should be able to fess up to
> >those questions directly? The man's got some valid questions and
> >I'm kinda curious myself. :^)
> >
> >
> Earl, those questions were covered by me in this NG as recently as
> July, so check the archives, or ask the other "Aaron Stalkers" for
> pointers.
>
> -Aaron-

Aanal Aaron has continuously side-stepped any and all of the "hard"
questions since he began this thread with inaccurate information. As
usual, he does his patented "chicken side-step" again. Stop passing
the buck, Boobner; just answer the questions for a change.

5500. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 11:56:45 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208280356.48c45382@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134427

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208271111.557f51ca@posting.google.com>...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<cXLa9.41$mv6.9810142@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:akf3ic$1ifn8p$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> > >
> > > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:c5ecbb69.0208261435.44661f48@posting.google.com...
> > >
> > > > And have you noticed: Whenever he gets trapped in one thread, he
> > > > starts a new one as if nothing had ever happened? The guy is a psycho!
> > >
> > > And he still refuses to say why he's so obsessed with radio rulebreakers.
> > > Nor will he state what kind of radio equipment he uses, leading me to
> think
> > > he's never touched a CB or ham radio.
> > >
> > > Tom
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > Once again, one word for him........Troll!
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Aaaron, Aaron, Aaron. I am all you think about now. Hey, there's a
> word for that condition. It's "obsessed".... ;)
>
> -Aaron-

Yes, YOU are obsessed. But we all need a good laugh now and then and
you provide it. Think about you? Hardly.

Landshark had it right: TROLLMAN! Nuttin' but a trollman!

5501. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 12:04:42 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208280404.476db4a8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134428

"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:<WLTa9.235148$m91.9640074@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > But Jerry doesn't dare post a callsign,
>
> LOL, you don't dare post a call sign because you don't have one but you
> know Jerry's Full name, and where he is from look him up same way you looked
> up N8WWM

Pretty sure of yourself, aren't you? What's YOURS, Georgie?

>
>
> > George can only post bullcrap snipes,
>
> And what is your claim to posting in the NG Trollish posts? I have asked you
> for over 3 weeks now to post your callsign you hypocrite, you badgered aaron
> about not posting his then you even go as far to say that you have nothing
> to be afraid of. Things arent all what they seem in Rochester are they.

Where's Rochester? You don't know half what you think you know. Why
don't you go call CQ on one of the precious ham bands for a change,
Georgie? You might run an "illegal" trucker out of there if you did.
HAR!

What's your NIC gonna be next week?

5502. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 12:11:14 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6CBDE2.442D@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 134436

Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D6A2558.2B05@worldlynx.net>...
> > Richard Cranium wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D623546.3966@worldlynx.net>...
> > > > www.TimNebo.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > i was just showing how odd it is that with all the troubles on the world wide
> > > > > amatuer bands you religate yourself to "policing" the cb freqs only when there
> > > > > is so much more going on.
> > > > >
> > > > The amount of problem on the ham bands are but a small minority of
> > > > people. Compared to the CB band where breaking the law is the "rule"
> > > > rather than the exception.
> > >
> > > You can support the accuracy of that statement? I doubt it.
> >
> > Support for that statement should be obvious to all but the most blind
> > and those in the river nile.
> > Listen to the CB band during a "band opening". On it you will hear
> > stations from 25 to 28 Mhz, ALL of whom are operating illegally.
>
> Prove it.

Working stations over 150 miles is illegal period. Anyone working DX, is
operating illegally, whether they are running power or not. Anyone who
transmits outside of the legal 40 channels is operating illegally. By
merely receiving these transmissions is proof enough that they are
illegal in nature. That's all the proof that anyone should need.

>
> Tune to
> > the regular 40, and while observing that signal levels are higher than
> > what would be obtained from a legal station 2 miles away, it becomes
> > obvious that these stations are running excessive (illegal) power.
>
> Prove it. Propogation will call you a liar.

You don't understand propagation very well, since you haven't considered
path loss. Unless you are proposing that atmospheric propagation not
only improves a signal's path, but amplifies it as well (LOL!), there is
no way a legal 4 watt signal travelling over 1000 miles is going to give

me the same signal as a 4 watt station, line of sight, 2 miles away.
It's never happened in the 32 years that I've been a Cber, and it
doesn't happen now.

>
> > Further analysis would show that the modulation level and the amount of
> > audio distortion is further evidence that the radios have been modified
> > from their original (If the radio was ever legal) type accepted state.
>
> SOME radios. You cannot prove ALL radios have been modified. And it
> simply is not the case.

I never said they ALL were modified, only that the a great percentage of
them obviously,
have been, as evidenced by their signal (lack of) quality.

>
> > Then when the band closes down again, I can spin the dial and hear
> > practically no one. Which would suggest that the "legal" users are not
> > using the band, even when the obviously illegal ones cannot.
>
> Which proves? Is this supposed to prove that every CBer is a criminal?
> Sorry, it doesn't. Your point?

The point here is that the percentage of "CBers" who own illegally
modified equipment or operate contrary to part 95, is a greater
percentage than hams that are guilty of the same thing, on the ham
bands.

>
> While this
> > evidence is empirical in nature, it is of sufficient quantity, and
> > occurs regularly enough to make for a compelling case. The evidence
> > supports my claim. I see no evidence that would support yours. All you
> > have said is that it doesn't happen. But that proves nothing.
>
> And you have proved exactly the same.

Says you. My evidence speaks for itself. For you to deny it only shows
your ignorance, or you inability to accept it.

> > > The vast majority of CB users are law-abiding people.
> >
> > On what planet?
>
> Since CB only exists on this one, I think that's obvious.

Not after your original statement. In the last 10 years I don't think I
know of one CBer, who is 100% legal. The few that were at one time,
started off new in the hobby with a legal setup, but soon after caught
the "bug" and went for the amps, the expanded channels, and the other
accessories.
Most of the people that I knew in my area have either modified

"domestic" radios, or the bogus "10 meter" radios. Since I was the guy
who reparied all this equipment, I tend to think my perspective is
rather objective. After having contacts with other techs around the
country, most of whom make most of their money doing illegal "mods",
it's evident that my area in not unusual in this respect. Are you still
going to deny this?

>
> > > A small fraction
> > > of those people venture outside the "legal 40" into Freeband, and a
> > > miniscule number stumble into ten meters. Most of the latter are
> > > truckers who have no idea that they're intruding on an amateur band.
> > >
> > Really? That small amount of people must really get around when the skip
> > is running.
>
> They do - if that's ALL you listen for. Apparently you're as obsessed
> as Aanal Aaron, Jerry Ox, and the elusive "Dirty Sneakers/ME". Try
> listening fulltime instead of citing only what supports your alleged
> arguement.

I've "listened" to CB for the better part of 32 years now. I think I'm
well enough versed in the social and political makeup of the hobby to
make an accurate assessment.

>
> > > >
> > > MOST Cbers don't use amplifiers; MOST CBers don't use echo boxes; MOST
> > > CBers don't venture out-of-band!
> > >
> > Really? Tell that to the cretons on channel 6, and the other mental
> > midgets that try to squeeze a 10KW transmitter into a Ford Escort. Oh,
> > and that "bottom-of-the-canyon" sound that is prevelent on channel 19
> > and many other channels is just room ambiance, and not an echo mike,
> > right?
>
> Channel 6 is known for that. You still have not proven that the
> majority of CBers are "criminals", and you cannot.

It takes only 51% to make a majority. I want to know where the supposed
"legal" operators are. Propagation does not discriminate. I'm sure that
I'd hear some friendly chatter from around the country, with signals
that are not overly strong, and modulation that is not splattering, or
distorted, and not interested in long distance radio checks. But I
don't. I'm sure they're there, but the overwhelming majority of signals
that I hear are illegal in nature.

>
> > > Apparently you can only hear the over-powered, echo using ones. Is
> > > that a bad receiver or really selective listening?
> > >
> > No, it's just the way it really is. Sorry that you either refuse to see

> > it or can't.
>
> Bullcrap. You haven't listened to 11 meters, have you?

For the last 32 years.

>
> > > You, sir are woefully misinformed. But you expose your negativity
> > > every time you post, so it comes as no surprise.
> >
> > I am only reporting what my receiver tells me. I find it somewhat
> > curious that you vehemently deny what should be obvious.
>
> Likewise. You have extremely selective hearing.

I wish I did. I'd like nothing more than to remove the skip noise and
the distorted, overmodulated, splatterboxes that clog the airwaves and
make it difficult for relaxing local QSO's to occur.

>
> > > If you really want a place where "breaking the law is the rule rather
> > > than the exception", try driving on almost any highway.
> >
> > Irrelevant.
>
> No, it isn't, but you'd like it to be. Just like Aanal Aaron saying
> that the fact that he cannot operate on 10 meters is "irrelevant".
> He's not licensed to operate on ten meters - and it's NOT irrelevant.

You justify an irrelevant point with another irrelevant point? You're
becoming as off-the-rails as twistedhead.

We're not talking about highways, or ten meters here. We're talking
about the percantage of "Legal" vs. "illegal" CBers and how that
compares with the percantage of legal vs. illegal hams.

For some reason you don't want to accept any evidence that would support
the position that a great percentage of CBers are running illegally, yet
we're supposed to accept, at face value, that there are a great
percentage of hams who are running illegally? Where's this evidence?
>
> > > I have to ask: If you're worried about our "image", why not go after
> > > the high-power licensed broadcasters (like WWCR), who give the world a
> > > wacked out view of America as a bunch of religious nutcases begging
> > > for donations? I'm MUCH more concerned about that image than I am of a
> > > few truckdrivers. Oh, I forgot; they aren't using an unused frequency
> > > in the ten meter band, are they? How about this: What is a domestic US
> > > shortwave broadcaster doing in the TROPICAL bands? This is not a
> > > Tropical nation by any stretch of the definition! But they have a
> > > license, so it's OK to violate ITU Regulations? Hmmmmmm?
> >
> > I'm not "worried" about our image. I was merely commenting on a previous

> > poster's concern that the statistically few "bad" hams were giving U.S.
> > hams a "bad" image. My comment was that if a few bad hams was bad for
> > our image, imagine what a few million "bad" CBers are doing to their
> > image, as their overmodulated, overpowered, and out of band signals were
> > being propagated throughout the world.
>
> You're not worried? You should be!
>
> The proportion is about the same whether amateur or CBer. There simply
> happens to be 30 to 40 times as many CBers as there will ever be
> licensed amateurs, so it SOUNDS like a bigger problem. That's all. Why
> not try to enjoy your hobby instead of making someone else's hobby a
> pain in your arse like Aanal Aaron and Jerry Ox do? And since 11
> meters doesn't propogate all the time you have no reason to be
> terribly concerned. You need a life! That's all.

I just want you to answer me this: You are convinced that the ham "problem" is worse than the CB "problem". How do you know this? You do realize that by citing empirical cases, that you are opening yourself to the same rejection that you gave me when I presented my evidence. How do you propose to overcome this?

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5503. Re: Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 12:12:09 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3D6CBE19.C35F43C0@qnet.com>
Source record: 134430

Hello Bill:

My amps do have a SSB Delay circuit in them and there are properly
designed.

But then again I am a Bitchen type of guy.

Jay in the Mojave

Yeah I got RF!

Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> OZARK333 <ozark333@aol.comnojunk> wrote:
>
> > Scott, they are called 10 meter amps and
> > they will usually work between 26-29 MHZ no problem. However some are more
> > sensitive to the frequency they were designed for, this is very rare. Most amps
> > will work fine on AM, SSB requires a delay.
> > PS. Be prepared for a dickhead name Aaron(ious) to flame your post...he's an
> > anal cheeswiz!!!!!!
>
> My amps don't require a delay for SSB. But then, they are properly designed
> amps with a keying relay.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

5504. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 12:12:28 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208280412.7a09a924@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134429

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d6c6c05.3337902@news.cet.com>...
> In <c5ecbb69.0208271412.666847c@posting.google.com>,
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>
> >yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d685b6a.4076643@news.cet.com>...
> >> In <20020819103538.07968.00001205@mb-mi.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
> >> (www.TimNebo.com) wrote:
> >>
> >> >my point is why only bother the cb'ers? i dont see jerry, aaron, frank or
> >> >anybody else tirading about the amatuer bands and on their newsgroups
> >> >complaining and these problems are even more directly related to the service
> >> >they're fighting for. i just wanted to point out the hypocrisy in what they're
> >> >doing in here...
> >>
> >> I'm in here because I'm a CBer. I am not a ham (yet), and that's
> >> probably why I don't post much on ham newsgroups. That's not
> >> hypocrisy, that's integrity. Learn the difference.
> >
> >I think Tim knows the difference; that's why he made the post. If the
> >alleged hambos worked as hard to clean up their own bands as they
> >claim to be working to clean up CB, the world would be a much better
> >place.
>
> The fact is, they do work hard to keep their bands clean. Some choose
> to go right to the root of the problem, the most heavily and blatantly
> abused part of the spectrum -- the Citizen's Band.

An assumption. And presented to keep folks from looking harder at the
hams.

> > And we wouldn't have to put up with their stupidity on this
> >newsgroup, at least. (I wouldn't miss them a bit, personally.)
>
> The feeling is mutual.

So why are you here?

> > But
> >Jerry doesn't dare post a callsign,
>
> Jerry who? Oxendine? Haven't you heard of qrz.com? Or google.com? And
> just so your complaint is properly filed, your callsign is...?
>

> > George can only post bullcrap
> >snipes,
>
> Maybe we should dig a few of YOUR posts out of the archives.
>
> > and Aanal Aaron can't possibly be studying for his "extra"
> >since he spends so much time trolling here and cruising eBay for
> >so-called "illegal" auctions.
>
> I suppose you know just how everyone should spend their time, right?
> And why should that be any concern of yours?

It's perfectly obvious how they spend their time. Why does that concern me? Because they're QRMing the newsgroup with crap. Same logic as Aanal Aaron whining about CBers QRMing ten meters, which he cannot even use.

> But you still haven't addressed my point, and I'll simplify it for
> your benefit: Tim was whining about hams but I'm not a ham, I'm a
> CBer. I don't usually post on ham newsgroups because I'm not a ham. I
> post on the CB newsgroup because I'm a CBer. Was that simple enough
> for you to understand?

BFD. So why are all those other alleged hams posting here? Why aren't they in rec.radio.amateur.misc or some other ham newsgroup? They are accomplishing nothing by trolling here. And they will accomplish nothing as long as they choose to waste their time.

I don't believe Tim was "whining". I believe he was making a point, which you obviously cannot grasp.

5505. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 12:27:48 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6CC1C4.4073@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 134435

www.TimNebo.com wrote:
>
> this one statement shows your ignorance with 10/11 meter propagation. a qrp
> station has no problem giving a full s meter reading anywhere in this nation
> during a solid band opening

What drugs are you on? A "full s meter reading" on my radio is a +60db over S9 signal. A signal that is only obtained by a station that is within a block of my house (if legal power) or about a half mile if running high power. The highest signal I ever recorded from DX (on channel 6, which was definitely the result of high power) was about +30db over S9, which is roughly equivilent to a station a mile away, running legal power.

Now unless you want to tell me that atmospheric propagation also amplifies, as well as carries signals farther than normal line of sight, you have to take path loss into consideration. There is no way that a legal 4 watt signal is going to (due to the effects of propagation) arrive at my receiver with the same microvolts as a legal 4 watt signal, 2 miles away, in a direct line of sight. The path loss at ~1000 miles is going to be greater, DX conditions or not, than the path loss at 2 miles. So if there IS a signal from "DX-land" that is giving me a meter reading greater than what I would expect from a legally running local, I can be assured that the DX signal is running excessive power. How do you think the FCC determines that a particular station is running power, when they're receiving it from a distance? There are formulas for calculating path loss. So don't patronize me with more "CB science". It doesn't hold water, and never has, to those that truly understand RF.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5506. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 12:29:54 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6CC242.6CB3@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 134437

www.TimNebo.com wrote:
>
> i've been pegged out by many 4 watt legal cb's from all across the country when
> the skip is in really strong

How do you know they are legal? Last time I checked, most DX stations do
not make a point of advertizing their power status, unless it's to brag
about a particularly big amplifier that gives their ego a tremendous
boost.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5507. Re: Brian Griffey the whining sissie's web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 12:42:05 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <xy3b9.8302$p%3.620112@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134432

I'll certainly agree with that... you are the perfect example... badgering
and harassing many on this NG... and then not wanting to address that issue.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d1e9cf6a.0208271832.6b9b93f@posting.google.com...
> Well, like we always say...Illegal criminal keyclowns will say
> anything to de focus from their criminality. Whether they know what
> they are talking about or not...

5508. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 12:44:12 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akige5$1j5roe$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134431

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208271905.28f1d484@posting.google.com...

> Ask some of the other former "Aaron stalkers", they can point you to
> the relevant archives for the info you seek.
>
> -Aaron-

I'd rather get the info straight from the source, so there's no confusion.
I did search the archives, but didn't find any posts where you state why
you're on your crusade to rid the world of illegal CBer's.

I find your refusal to answer my questions interesting. It shouldn't be
difficult to simply state why you are against illegal CB operations.
Perhaps maybe the others here will understand you a little better.

And what kind of radio setup do you have? I'm curious to know because I'm a
radio junkie.

Tom

5509. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 12:46:10 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akighq$1ig2ab$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134433

"skuld-chan" <cherry-chan@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:0VVa9.6451$kp.35886@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
> I care - when I can some splattering amp from a guy on cb channel 6 as far
> away as 12 meters several states over I think it is a problem.
>
> Next time it happens I'll take photo's of the scope and record it.
>

Well that would be a legitimate gripe.

Tom

5510. Re: Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 12:49:51 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <PF3b9.8313$p%3.619818@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134438

But wait... aren't you also going to tell him that an amp like that needs some type of external signal (besides the RF) to key. That will require a modification to most radios to allow that to happen. My SkyWave amp allows for both a COR(carrier operated relay) or a multiplexed signal right on the RF signal for keying. If this multiplexed signal is used, the automatic SSB delay can be disabled and the relay will operate on SSB just like it does on AM or FM...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> My amps don't require a delay for SSB. But then, they are properly designed
> amps with a keying relay.
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

5511. Re: You keyqueens slowing down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 12:53:41 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <pJ3b9.8317$p%3.620915@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134440

Well now... that's a real accomplishment. Probably one of the biggest in
your life I would imagine.
Maybe now you could set your sites higher and actually add something of
interest to this NG...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d1e9cf6a.0208272343.35b33006@posting.google.com...
> ANTIBOYS OWN THE NG AND YOU CANT DO ANYTHING ABOUT IT!!!

5512. Re: Stupid Question, Maybe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 12:54:48 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akih21$1j4vui$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134439

"Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:umoeukduo3v45f@corp.supernews.com...
> You mean BAndWidth
>

No, I mean wavelength. The length it takes for the radio wave to make one cycle.

The wavelength of a 28.000 MHZ signal is 10.71 meters. A 29.700 signal's wavelength is 10.101 meters. So roughly, the 28.000-29.700 MHZ ham band is called the 10 meter band.

The wavelength for 26.965 = 11.125 meters. 27.405 has a wavelength of 10.95 meters, so CB is called the 11 meter band.

Tom

5513. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 12:59:19 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <HO3b9.8325$p%3.621208@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134441

Very nice rodent... what does it all mean?

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:umogsu9qv76v86@corp.supernews.com...

> Page URL Not Found!!
>
> The requested page does not exist on this server. The URL you typed
or
> followed is either outdated or inaccurate.
>
> Type your search term above instead or try to click here
> to let us help you find web pages you are looking for!

5514. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 13:03:31 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <DS3b9.8342$p%3.621633@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134442

Well, it's one of two things:

1.) He doesn't have the license.
2.) He's afraid that giving out his number because he knows how he conducts himself out here is wrong and other hams may take action against him.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:j2Xa9.238658$m91.9802547@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:H2Ua9.7278$p%3.559038@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > It may be most difficult to post a number he doesn't have...
>
> Ya know what Brian, I think you may be right thats why DickHead hasn't
> posted a callsign. he doesn't have one.
> --
>
> "Sometimes being right means pissing people off."
> --Colin Powell

5515. Re: orientals on my frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 13:04:38 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <GT3b9.8343$p%3.619144@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134443

Now that I get a good look at you... you're absolutely right.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
news:umof90rt0nlk38@corp.supernews.com...
> Slope headed? I thought that only Morons were slope headed!

5516. Re: orientals on my frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 13:06:26 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020828090626.19559.00003284@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 134444

hpomer, why is so far only hams have been stupid enough to fall for the anonymous forge post? guess you arent the brightest star in the sky, eh?

>
>You must be on drugs or something. You are operating illegally.
>CB starts at 26.965 to 27.405, anything out of that is illegal. They
>could call the FCC on you and you could go to jail.
>
>Homer

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5517. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 13:12:24 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020828091224.19559.00003285@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 134445

ignore the anally challenged that sweat the small stuff in life such as top posting

>I'll keep top-posting as long as I don't know what the frell it is, and as
>long as I see that it does not frelling harm to others.
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5518. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 13:31:34 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020828093134.19559.00003286@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 134446

dave, how about asking them when you hear them? the guys barefoot are just as proud as getting out as the ones running 5kw's

>How do you know they are legal? Last time I checked, most DX stations do
>not make a point of advertizing their power status, unless it's to brag
>about a particularly big amplifier that gives their ego a tremendous
>boost.
>
>Dave
>"Sandbagger"

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5519. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 13:36:01 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020828093601.19559.00003287@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 134547

thats a very broad pile of bullshit your laying out there dave. be carefull you dont step in the middle of it yourself. perhaps you need to talk to some fellow hams who are into the qrp side of things. 4watts am 12 watts ssb is plenty of power to give a full scale reading anywhere in this nation. i've had many personal experiences of this myself. have you had this same attitude towards cb'ers during your last 32 years as one?

>
>You don't understand propagation very well, since you haven't considered
>path loss. Unless you are proposing that atmospheric propagation not
>only improves a signal's path, but amplifies it as well (LOL!), there is
>no way a legal 4 watt signal travelling over 1000 miles is going to give
>me the same signal as a 4 watt station, line of sight, 2 miles away.
>It's never happened in the 32 years that I've been a Cber, and it
>doesn't happen now.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5520. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 13:37:11 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020828093711.19559.00003288@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 134548

why do you keep trying to force us into saying this? you must truely believe it yourself or you wouldnt be repeating it

>The highest signal I ever recorded from DX (on
>channel 6, which was definitely the result of high power) was about
>+30db over S9, which is roughly equivilent to a station a mile away,
>running legal power

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5521. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 13:40:32 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020828094032.19559.00003289@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 134549

well sorry dave, i have to say either your meter is way out of calibration, your antenna is a piece of shit that is not resonant, or your just plain lying. which one is it? or perhaps you just live in a canyon that's shitty for dx. i'm trying to give you the benefit of doubt here but your making it hard. is this the same radio you've been using for over 20 years that also gave you your own independant data about the past solor cycles based soley on its s meter?

>
>What drugs are you on? A "full s meter reading" on my radio is a +60db
>over S9 signal. A signal that is only obtained by a station that is
>within a block of my house (if legal power) or about a half mile if
>running high power. The highest signal I ever recorded from DX (on
>channel 6, which was definitely the result of high power) was about
>+30db over S9, which is roughly equivilent to a station a mile away,
>running legal power.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5522. Galaxy DX949?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 13:54:23 UTC
From: Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart <webmaster@salzberg.at>
Message-ID: <umplfg9leo7504@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134550

I am considering getting one of these radios from Pilot truckstops. Can these radios be easily modified to push more than 4 watts on cb channels? Are they worth the extra $$ versus like a Cobra 148?

5523. packrat your too funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 14:02:13 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020828100213.19559.00003291@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 134551

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1376444128

snitch on every other guys shit only to relist your own under a different name?
thats lame.....

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5524. Re: lenth of jumper coax for swr important?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 14:04:56 UTC
From: BillS <BillS@austin.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3D6CD888.FD51875@austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134552

"Alan S." wrote:

>
>
> I vouch for the antenna feed point. I have measured a difference in
> "apparent" (I quote that for a reason) SWR at different ends ox the coax.
> It doesn't mean that the SWR is different, it just means that the meter
> shows it as different. It's my understanding that measuring SWR at the
> antenna feed point is the most accurate, given an arbitrary length of coax.
> If I can get it around 1.5:1 measured at the antenna, I button everything
> up and call it a day.
>
> I could be wrong,
> Alan S.

This is exactly what I was trying to say in my post and in the article I referenced. The SWR doesn't change, but the meter indication will vary if you have the meter inserted with different lengths of Coax.
BilS

5525. Re: Old coax length answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 14:08:03 UTC
From: BillS <BillS@austin.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3D6CD943.68B0E8FE@austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134553

Bill Nelson wrote:

> yeti@REMOVEcet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
>
> >>COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
> >>COAX LENGTH DOES NOT MATTER!
> >>
> >>(Unless you're co-phasing-a waste of money.)
>
> > (or unless your coax is used as the counterpoise.)
>
> Why would you want to do that? A counterpoise usually has a high voltage
> point at the end away from the antenna. I don't see any reason why a
> person would want to couple a high rf voltage to the case of their rig.
>

Well, on a fiberglass motorhome for instance, an antenna with a counterpoise
works better than most other possible designs.
BillS

5526. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 14:30:51 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6275-3D6CDE9B-6@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134554

>Lying Frankie wrote:
Maybe we should dig a few of YOUR posts out of the archives. <<

You do it all the time and what doesn't fit your agenda, you lie about. Same with the other malcontents.
Especially funny that ever since Keith tried to run to the sex-perv N8's defense, you and N8 and Lelnad have been all over this board.

" and Aanal Aaron can't possibly be studying for his "extra" since he spends so much time trolling here and cruising eBay for so-called "illegal" auctions. "

>I suppose you know just how everyone should spend their time, right?<<

How you spend yours in no mystery.

>But you still haven't addressed my point, <<

You never have one. You are owed nothing, least of all, an explanaton.

>and I'll simplify it for your benefit: <<

If it makes you comprehend it better, write it on your forehead next to the scarlet "T"

>Tim was whining about hams but I'm not a ham, I'm a CBer. <<

Yea, yea, we know...and RF pirates affect your non-existent work....you threw that flub out there right after you claimed you were fired from working with kids due to some cbers
complaints and reports by those who know you best about the safety and wiseness of having you around minors.

>I don't usually post on ham newsgroups because I'm not a ham. I post on the CB newsgroup because I'm a CBer. Was that simple enough for you to understand?<<

Most are incapable of seeing themselves the way others do. You're a prime example, illustrated by your multitude of attempts of masquerading as another. Lelnad taught you poorly. Even he doesn't claim he's a cber,, he just posts daily to harass them..

5527. Re: n8wwm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 14:40:26 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6276-3D6CE0DA-3@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134559

From: dirtysneaks@keds.com (Dirty Sneakers)
Nice Post Twitsy
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"I DX" <theworldaround27mcs@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11153-3D6B830E-582@storefull-2254.public.lawson.webtv.net...
-
worthless-whalelike-moron?
wears-womens-makeup?
"wrestles"-with-men?
any more I missed?.....IDX

Hiya Geo! Just a question. How did I manage to get the "EDT - 1" in the
post you attribute to me, which you so conveniently snipped along with
the time posted?
Hahaha! WEbtv has no control over that...email 'em and ask 'em!
I thought you not that stupid. I was dead wrong, and readily admit that
it took you to convince me of such mistaken stereotypes I hold. See,
it's really not my fault, as Sparky and Lelnad and Angry Dave Hall were
driven underground and the majority of their posts are all attempted to
hide their true ID due the shame they caused the amateur service.
Now..about that time stamp.....ah, never mind, I don't want you
to hurt yourself.

5528. Re: n8wwm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 14:41:21 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6275-3D6CE111-9@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134562

Oh,From: dirtysneaks@keds.com (Dirty Sneakers)
Nice Post Twitsy
--
>Try me I'll Make you Famous<<

I already am famous.
-

5529. Re: packrat your too funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 14:45:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6276-3D6CE224-4@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134560

Yea, the Rat is another with several ID's that cause trouble.
SamSpade.org and Geektools.....12.229.160.36

5530. Re: The passing of Richard McCollum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 14:48:15 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0208280648.3448b57@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134555

Dave McCollum <dmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message news:<3D6C55A4.AEFA5860@radiks.net>...
> To all who read this:
>
> I am Richard's brother, David. I was entrusted with examining Dick's
> computer, looking for pertinent information to aid us with the
> disposition of him and his property. We found what we needed, along
> with a significantly large e-mail address book. As a family we decided
> to notify everyone in the address list of his demise. It made more
> sense to do that than to have his e-mail address suddenly fall silent,
> no one knowing why.
>
> I now know that what I discovered on Dick's computer was more than
> addresses. It was a window onto a group of real people who have shown
> themselves to be the very best friends one could dream to have. I have
> received incredibly caring and thoughtful condolences from many of you.
> I have observed other outpouring of shock, dismay, concern, and caring
> from many others on this newsgroup. I have been told being a part of
> amateur radio entails upholding a pledge to aide those in need or in
> distress. Without any doubt, you on this board have done that above and
> beyond all expectations (save one).
>
> Let it be known that the next issue of the American Radio Relay League
> publication QST will list Dick, N0BK, as a Silent Key.
> Let it also be known that the sincere words, opinions, and anecdotes
> appearing in this newsgroup, in my mind, constitute quite possibly the
> finest memorial service Dick could have received.
>
> Thank you all.

Dave,

I'd like to thank you for taking the time to update us all re.
Richard. He was indeed a very special individual with a special talent
for imparting his knowledge/wisdom in the most UN-condescending
manner. I suspect this particular knack is responsible for his being
so well received "across the board" by the full range of
personalities. He could also give one a verbal rap on the scull when
needed, but one never doubted that it was always delivered with the
most sincere and best intentions...NEVER maliciously.

Wrt Dick's generosity, he was especially so with his time and
patience. I must have fired off a few hundred e-mails to Dick,
(...from all three of my e-mail addresses.) asking a multitude of
questions. Not a one went unanswered...except sadly, the last.
Although I can only speak for myself, I'm sure I am not alone in

saying that I am a better person for having known Richard. Both, for the knowledge he imparted and for being exposed to a different approach toward life in general. I only hope that you and your family can take some comfort in knowing that Richard is in a much better place. (...and probably laughing at us poor slobs down here, while saving a spot for us up there. Thanks Dick.) Once again, thank you and take care.

Sincerely,

Bert

> Now I must get real.....
>
> To Dave or Debby....(is that a transvestite thing???) I do not
> believe I have met a professed Christian ever in my life who is so far
> away from the ideals of Christianity. HOW DARE YOU USE MY BROTHER'S
> DEATH AS A MEANS TO GET ON YOUR EVANGELICAL HIGH-HORSE!!! Your prayers
> now need to be centered on avoiding actually meeting me in person, as I
> will certainly send you to meet your maker!

!

5531. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 14:56:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6276-3D6CE4B0-5@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134561

>You justify an irrelevant point with another irrelevant point? You're becoming as off-the-rails as twistedhead.<<

The church is not accepting any new members at the moment, but you can look to Dogie for
lessons on grand exaltations of me.

>We're not talking about highways, or ten meters here. We're talking about the percantage of "Legal" vs. "illegal" CBers and how that compares with the percantage of legal vs. illegal hams.
For some reason you don't want to accept any evidence <<

Nah..that hasn't been said anywhere by anyone except a few malcontents. On the contrary, the angries wish us to accept what they say at face value and come unglued when we don't.....

>that would support the position <<

"Your" position is not "the" position.
"The" position would be indicative of a majority, which you certainly are not part of, as you so readily commented on in the past.

>that a great percentage of CBers are running illegally, yet we're supposed to accept, at face value, that there are a great percentage of hams who are running illegally? <<

Actually, cbers don't give a damn WHAT you accept. If we did, we'd be over in the hammie forums. Think about it.

>Where's this evidence?<<

According to the few angry hammies that puff out their chests and try to appear as a mob of intimidation to cbers, you ARE supposed to take it at face value unless one has positive proof indicating otherwise, or have you forgotten so soon?

5532. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 14:57:54 UTC
From: Bill <somewhere@this.com>
Message-ID: <Sx5b9.16841$lc7.1511882@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 134556
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

Sorry, you lose. I couldn't even be bothered to read your replies. I'm assuming they were retarded though, correct?
Have a nice day.

--
-Bill
"GadaztchaWoman" <Eat@theY.org.asm> wrote in message
news:Ej_a9.258948$2p2.10486018@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> Bill caused an invalid page fault while posing as somewhere@this.com.
>
> Core dump log follows:
>
> > "GadaztchaWoman" <Eat@theY.org.asm> wrote in message
> > news:3DZa9.133318$Aw4.5598024@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> >> Bill caused an invalid page fault while top posting as
> >> somewhere@this.com.
> >> Top post corrected. Core dump log follows:
>
> >>
> >>> I wrote:
> >>
> >>> Wow, you're certainly a festering pile of dung, aren't you?
> >>
> >> Yes. Why?
> >
> > Typical. You are what you eat, I suppose.
>
> I'm a housefly, what else would you expect me to eat?
>
> >>
> >>> What's
> >>> the matter- someone jizz in your Wheaties this morning?
> >>
> >> One could only have hoped. Alas, 'twas not to be. :-(
> >
> > Why does it not suprise me that you hope to eat jizz in your morning
> > cereal?
>
> Because you're an ass?
> >
> >>> Please be
> >>> sure to reply and call me one of those witty names you've ripped off

> >>> from the *other* trolling retards in this group.
> >>
> >> What? Like below? Ya top posting idiot.
> >
> > That's not even close to a good reply. You shouldn't try to be witty,
> > because it doesn't suit you, and you lack the capacity to pull
> > anything out of that void you call a brain that could even begin to
> > resemble intelligence.
>
> Oh-Oh~~~~ I've been flamed by the imbecile, Bill! However will I regain
> my composure?
>
> > Please don't quit your day job sucking cock.
>
> I kinda like sucking cock.
>
> Your less-than-inspired,
>
> You're gramatically challanged. Figure it out, fuckstick.
>
> > witless, unimaginative posts are boring to me and everyone else here.
>
> So killfile me, asswipe.
>
> > The same could be said about my posts, but so fucking what?
>
> Nah. I'd never killfile you. I'm a sad little cocksucker who enjoys
> hammering on 'tards like you.
>
>
> --
> UNmoderator: alt.os.windows-xp
> Ordinary, clueless people quote all the article, or quotes the article
> below their own posting/mail because it requires less time, because they
> haven't thought much of the purpose of quoting, and because their
> software are optimized for such use.
> http://www.cs.tut.fi/~jkorpela/usenet/brox.html
>
>

5533. Re: The beat goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 14:58:34 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208280658.1786da98@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134557

keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
news:<d1e9cf6a.0208272338.29de095e@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208250407.4c4bcfa1@posting.google.com>...
> > keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
news:<d1e9cf6a.0208241414.9b0aac3@posting.google.com>...
> > > This thread about "Ham misbehavior" is immaterial. The only place
> > > where it counts is if you are in violation of FCC rules. Anything goes
> > > in this NG, just like on your effeminate CB band. You sissies just
> > > can't take it, canya?
> > >
> > > Scumbag keyclowns are overwhelmingly in violation every time they key
> > > the mike.
> > >
> > > Your sissified posts about "hams this and hams that" are just attempts
> > > to confuse the truth. You are federal criminals, and you WISH it was
> > > not true, but that won't ever change.
> > >
> > > ANTIKEYCLOWNS OWN REC RADIO CB AND YOU CANNOT DO ANYTHING ABOUT
> > > IT!!!!!
> >
> > "The beat goes on"?
> >
> > We still don't care about your one-handed sex life.
>
> Well, if yer sister wasnt an amputee it would be a 2 handed sex
> life...I felt sorry for her...and she aint really too bad, in spite of
> that birth defect...

Geez, it took you THREE DAYS to come up with that drivel, Doggie?
Shows how truly retarded you are!

5534. Re: UNITED GAY KEYCLOWNS OF AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 15:07:11 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <umppsnbsqe678e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134558

That's beautiful... I wonder what GeoCities would say about your bestiality pic?

PH

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:d1e9cf6a.0208261147.1e94aa70@posting.google.com...
> Yeah, thats the cool thing about geocities...they don't care what ya
> do...
> This one is yours, I believe...
>
>
>
> http://www.geocities.com/aunteekeyklown/Keyclown_wedding.html
>
> timnebo@aol.com187 (www.SemenLippedTimNebo.com) whined like the little
> woman he is in message
> news:<20020826094040.28630.00002366@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> > my website is still up and running. for the newbies that would like to
> > undertstand wahts going on with tim nebo go here and see for yourself
> >
>
> >
> > >From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters)
> >
> > >
> > >
> > >Your web site will soon be up and running. Special section for chapwoman.
> >
> >
> >
> > Tim Nebo
> >
> > http://www.TimNebo.com

5535. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 15:16:26 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6275-3D6CE94A-20@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134570

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
www.TimNebo.com wrote:
this one statement shows your ignorance with 10/11 meter propagation. a qrp station has no problem giving a full s meter reading anywhere in this nation during a solid band opening

>What drugs are you on? <<

Why do you ask this question all the time to those that ever take another position other than the one you hold on an issue? One, it indicates you hold a predisposition for illicit and illegal narcotics while you bitch about radio rules. Two, if you are not interested in narcotics, you apparently feel it insultive (nothing new there for you, is it, Hall?) and hae begun to hurl it quite regularly, almost like second-nature.

>A "full s meter reading" on my radio is a +60db over S9 signal. A signal that is only obtained by a station that is within a block of my house (if legal power) or about a half mile if running high power. The highest signal I ever recorded from DX (on channel 6, which was definitely the result of high power) was about +30db over S9, which is roughly equivilent to a station a mile away, running legal power. <<

Did you do this this cycle or last cycle? It makes a difference you know. Since the yielding scientific experiment you have done with cb radios were a generation apart in technology and a separate skip cycle was utilized for data, well, who can argue with your position on propagation when you put forth such sound authenticated data such as the above?

>Now unless you want to tell me that atmospheric propagation also amplifies, as well as carries signals farther than normal line of sight, you have to take path loss into consideration. There is no way that a legal 4 watt signal is going to (due to the effects of propagation) arrive at my receiver with the same microvolts as a legal 4 watt signal, 2 miles away, in a direct line of sight. <<

These are the crowning jewel moments of my entertainment on this board. Not only can that separate radio arrive at your receiver with the same signal, it can SQUASH it. There are many circumstances where this can happen, Hall.

>The path loss at ~1000 miles is going to be greater, DX conditions or not,<<

That is one of the most ridiculous statements you have posted to date.

> than the path loss at 2 miles. So if there IS a signal from "DX-land" that is giving me a meter reading greater than what I would expect from a legally running local, I can be assured that the DX signal is running excessive power.<<

It doesn't take anything to "asssure" you. Just hook up the radio and your meter, take a few sample readings, do it again next cycle and call them equal.

> How do you think the FCC <<

You're not the FCC. And you have no clue how they do things. It's apparent by the things you say and the next line.....

>determines that a particular station is running power, when they're receiving it from a distance? There are formulas for calculating path loss.<<

And they are null today.
They can pinpoint you with amazing accuracy from Maryland, determine the power you're running, all from a keystroke.
The formula days are way gone.

> So don't patronize me with more "CB science". <<

Can't. You don't understand it well enough.

>It doesn't hold water, and never has, to those that truly understand RF.
Dave
"Sandbagger"<<

Or how your experiments prove the official sunspot observatory records in Belgium incorrect.

5536. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 15:17:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6275-3D6CE99E-21@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134571

From: nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net (Dave Hall)
www.TimNebo.com wrote:
i've been pegged out by many 4 watt legal cb's from all across the
country when the skip is in really strong

>How do you know they are legal? Last time I checked, most DX stations
do not make a point of advertizing their power status, unless it's to
brag about a particularly big amplifier that gives their ego a
tremendous boost.
Dave
"Sandbagger"<<

THAT I can understand coming from you. A perfect analogy would be those
insults peppered among your posts.

5537. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 15:21:41 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6276-3D6CEA85-8@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134573

From: timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com)
well sorry dave, i have to say either your meter is way out of
calibration, your antenna is a piece of shit that is not resonant, or
your just plain lying. which one is it?
-
The latter.

5538. RFC: Silent Keyboard List?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 15:28:39 UTC
From: "Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com>
Message-ID: <3D6CEC27.7061AB82@lucent.com>
Source record: 134569

Request For Comments:

Would it be possible for us, as a group, to do what I have described below?

When a Ham operator passes away they have a traditional term,
'silent key' that they use to describe the event in club papers and
sometimes even in national publications.

I think it's an excellent way to remember and publicize the passing of a
contributing member of the HAM community, or any other club or group.

With that concept in mind, I would like to propose a new addition
to the FAQ for this newsgroup:

A 'SILENT KEYBOARD' list which would act as a memorial to those
who have contributed significantly to this newsgroup and have
since passed on.

I would also like to propose that:

"Richard McCollum"<rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>

Be the first entry on that list.

Regards,

Dennis O.

PS.

There's a lot of fighting and feuding going on constantly in this
newsgroup, and yet Dick somehow managed to earn the respect
of participants on both sides of the battle. If that's not something
really special, I don't know what is.....

5539. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 15:30:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6275-3D6CECAA-22@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134572

>Oh really, look again, the hams are gaining frequencies. <<

While losing others.

5540. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 15:33:05 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <umprd8qoutk16a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134563
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

"GadaztchaWoman" <Eat@theY.org.asm> wrote in message
news:AKYa9.132246$Aw4.5562186@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...

> But NOBODY will see what you're responding to, if their servers have
> already expired the posts. YOU don't understand how Usenet works, and
> that's all the more reason why you should attribute, and quote (in the
> appropriate places like I'm doing here.) USENET is not a "bulletin
> board" anymore.
>

"NOBODY will see what your responding to??" What the hell are you talking about? If your news provider has that short of a retention, then I suggest you find another. I can go back and read posts that were posted over a year ago... personally, I have a filter set up that cuts them off at 6-months of age. Why bother having posts from that long ago hanging around taking up HD space? I doubt that ANY single thread has ever gone on longer than 6-months, let alone over a year. I truly recommend that you get a news provider with better retention, since that seems to be your problem from the get-go....

Your whole concept of not knowing what someone else is responding to means nothing to the rest of the world. We have modern news providers that are capable of storing posts from more than a week ago, and I suggest you find one too.

PH

5541. OT- Motorcycle cop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 15:34:57 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <w66b9.7749$pv6.859832@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 134564

I went to the store the other day, I was only in there for about 5
minutes and when I came out there was a damn motorcycle cop
writing a parking ticket.

I went to the store the other day, I was only in there for about 5
minutes and when I came out there was a damn motorcycle cop
writing a parking ticket.

So I went up to him and said, "Come on buddy, how about giving
a guy a break?" He ignored me and continued writing the ticket,
so I called him a pencil necked nazi.

He glared at me and started writing another ticket for having bald
tires!! So I called him a pile of horse manure.

He finished the second ticket and put it on the car with the first.

Then he started writing a third ticket!! This went on for about 20
minutes, the more I abused him, the more tickets he wrote.

I didn't give a damn,--- my car was parked around the corner,
this one belongs to my neighbor who lets his dog
poop in my yard every day!

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red
Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

5542. Re: Galaxy DX949?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 15:37:04 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <umprkoi7sl8n03@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134565

If your talking about a modern day Cobra 148, a couple of cans of corn and a string would be better...

"Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart" <webmaster@salzberg.at> wrote in message news:umplfg9leo7504@corp.supernews.com...
> I am considering getting one of these radios from Pilot truckstops. Can
> these radios be easily modified to push more than 4 watts on cb channels?
> Are they worth the extra $$ versus like a Cobra 148?
>
>

5543. Angry Hammies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 15:38:00 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6276-3D6CEE58-11@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134574

Hehhee...I haven't seen Frank, Lelnad, Hall, and N8 this mad all at once
in quite a while.
What did we miss, Keith?

5544. Re: n8wwm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 15:40:56 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d6ced29$0$306$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 134566

Typical, from an anonymous WebTV subscriber who can't handle real internet access and can't stand face to face with someone he is insulting.

IDX
Idiot, depraved, xenophobe
I Did Xavier
I Don't eXhale

Kevin, WB5RUE

"I DX" <theworldaround27mcs@webtv.net> wrote in message news:11153-3D6B830E-582@storefull-2254.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> worthless-whalelike-moron?
> wears-womens-makeup?
> "wrestles"-with-men?
> any more I missed?........IDX
>
>

5545. Grove Power Antenna II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 15:41:53 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <jprpmu0dfo753lqk4hoi448jh9tci4uthf@4ax.com>
Source record: 134567

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1375985389

5546. 2 RadioShack Cat.#: 19-1210 (MURS)(VHF-FM)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 15:42:26 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <6qrpmugi95p0ik1fo8mrs0p5sq8lt9bmm6@4ax.com>
Source record: 134568

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1376774938

5547. Re: Galaxy DX949?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 15:54:35 UTC
From: Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart <webmaster@salzberg.at>
Message-ID: <umpsphopk4fq67@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134575

Well then.... what is a real good modern day cb radio?

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:umprkoi7sl8n03@corp.supernews.com...
> If your talking about a modern day Cobra 148, a couple of cans of corn and
a
> string would be better...
>
>
> "Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart" <webmaster@salzberg.at> wrote in message
> news:umplfg9leo7504@corp.supernews.com...
> > I am considering getting one of these radios from Pilot truckstops. Can
> > these radios be easily modified to push more than 4 watts on cb
channels?
> > Are they worth the extra $$ versus like a Cobra 148?
> >
> >
>
>

5548. Best CB Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 15:59:15 UTC
From: Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart <webmaster@salzberg.at>
Message-ID: <umpsplona43a69@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134576

Can you guys give me some opinions on what is considered the best cb radio you can get for a trucker?

5549. Re: packrat your too funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 16:03:19 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <bv6b9.8577$p%3.633992@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134577

He is nothing...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:6276-3D6CE224-4@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Yea, the Rat is another with several ID's that cause trouble.
> SamSpade.org and Geektools.........12.229.160.36

5550. Re: n8wwm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 17:05:35 UTC
From: theworldaround27mcs@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15471-3D6D02DF-4@storefull-2253.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134578

Kevin,Ouch,That insulted me,lol.I wish cbers had them neato calls that others could make up silly sayings for!Ru tryin to tell me dogies not obese?Whatevr,IDX Who is lardass lloyd?

5551. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 17:16:45 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6CE63E.AFC257D9@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134579

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> >Oh really, look again, the hams are gaining frequencies. <<
>
> While losing others.

Keep trying timmyboy, but ham radio has played an important role for decades in emergency communications as well as other areas in communications. The U.S. Government recognizes the importance that ham radio has played and ham radio has gained many new frequencies over the years because of it. How many times have you seen news reports of ham radio coming to the aid with communication during a disaster? Many times. How many times have you seen a news report of cbers in the same situation? A few times...maybe. CB has done nothing and the FCC has been sorry ever since in came up with the idea of the 11 meter cb band. They, along with many others would be happy if it just disappeared. No other communications service has the sorry reputation as cb does with all the illegal operation with modded radios, splatter amps, and other nonsense that goes on on the cb band. If cb went away tomorrow no one but the idiots who somehow enjoy being illegal would miss it.
Even with the few rotten eggs we do have in ham radio, it still beats cb all over the place.

5552. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 17:21:43 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6CE768.30C9369D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

xganon wrote:
>
> you ass JJ, all anyone has to do is ask Twistedhed. He TALKED to Rily on the phone and the man doesnt care about amps and freebanders but he cant come out and say it because of ARRL presure.
>
> Tim Nebo

And Riley said that only about 10% of what timmy posted about his conversation was even true. So stick it agagnon.

5553. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 17:34:12 UTC
From: Prog611752@aol.com
Message-ID: <3d7407f6.16556086@news.lcix.net>
Source record: 134581
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

Go dude!

On Wed, 28 Aug 2002 13:02:50 +1000, "D o t a u, F e e l s L i k e A N
a m e C h a n g e" <dotau.nospam.@tpg.dot.com.dot.au> wrote:

>As a dumb, blind consumer I love my borrowed version of xp pro with new
>cd-key, beats Linux and I hate Macs
>My self-built computer never crashes, runs at a million miles an hour and it
>seems to run anything, even old Dos games.
>And as for my 'inner soul, I can just buy a new pair of shoes with the money
>I saved on xp.
>
>--
>
>D o t a u

5554. Re: Angry Hammies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 17:59:00 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <xd8b9.7759$pv6.859970@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 134582

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:6276-3D6CEE58-11@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Hehhee...I haven't seen Frank, Lelnad, Hall, and N8 this mad all at once
> in quite a while.
> What did we miss, Keith?
>

hellifiknow. Last time I spoke with Doug he was working on some antennas,
and was going to help me with a 6m beam. Other than that I have know idea.

--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red
Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

5555. Re: Silent Keyboard List?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 18:00:37 UTC
From: Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <3f8b9.7760$pv6.860491@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 134590

"Dennis W. Ostrowski" <dwostrowski@lucent.com> wrote in message
news:3D6CEC27.7061AB82@lucent.com...
> Request For Comments:
>
> Would it be possible for us, as a group, to do what I have
> described below?
> ---------------------------------------
>
> When a Ham operator passes away they have a traditional
> term,
> 'silent key' that they use to describe the event in club
> papers and
> sometimes even in national publications.
>
> I think it's an excellent way to remember and publicize the
> passing of a
> contributing member of the HAM community, or any other club
> or group.
>
> With that concept in mind, I would like to propose a new
> addition
> to the FAQ for this newsgroup:
>
> A 'SILENT KEYBOARD' list which would act as a memorial to
> those
> who have contributed significantly to this newsgroup and
> have
> since passed on.
>
> I would also like to propose that:
>
> "Richard McCollum"<rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
>
> Be the first entry on that list.
>
> Regards,
>
> Dennis O.
>
> PS.
>
> There's a lot of fighting and feuding going on constantly
> in this
> newsgroup, and yet Dick somehow managed to earn the respect

> of participants on both sides of the battle. If that's not
> something
> really special, I don't know what is........

I will support this idea, and Richard was one of a kind. I'll miss the Big Kahuna.

73 de Keith
--
KC8TCQ
American Red Cross - Disaster Services
Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
Vice President: REACT of Henry County

5556. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 18:27:26 UTC
From: DarkPoet <darkpoetfan@hotmale.com>
Message-ID: <iC8b9.66285$N9.10589473@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 134583
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

Hi Im darkpoet and im a pirate.....

(Is this the pirate anonymus support group? If so then lets all stand up and say what we have done for warez, I personally have had to eat a wonans pussy to get a copy of M$ publisher and it was.....)

darkpoet

5557. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 18:40:16 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <kO8b9.2520$On.156334@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134584

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> And again, you're painting all CBers as outlaws when only a small
> handful think they need to run extra power or bother to peak & tweak.
> That's a hellova generalization. And you're wrong.

Bullshit, there are far more illegal Cbers than not, you can talk all the shit you want all you need to do is turn on your radio and listen, read the NG's, read the cb radio forum. go to a truck stop up and down any interstate. It is easier to ask who doesn't have an amp than who does.

5558. Re: Best CB Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 18:40:34 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6D1922.346CA4C7@citlink.net>
Source record: 134585

I have run an RCI 2950 for 15 years in a truck and have had only 2 problems, a broken solder joint and the dial lamps burned out once
IMHO...If you are just interested in just 40 channel operation and AM then I think most would agree that the Cobra 29 is about the most depenable radio there is ,especially if you can find one of the older ones made in the phillipines with the thicker board.....

Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart wrote:

> Can you guys give me some opinions on what is considered the best cb radio
> you can get for a trucker?

5559. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 18:50:37 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208281050.3e324680@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134586

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<akige5$1j5roe$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0208271905.28f1d484@posting.google.com...
>
> > Ask some of the other former "Aaron stalkers", they can point you to
> > the relevant archives for the info you seek.
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> I'd rather get the info straight from the source, so there's no confusion.
> I did search the archives, but didn't find any posts where you state why
> you're on your crusade to rid the world of illegal CBer's.
>
> I find your refusal to answer my questions interesting. It shouldn't be
> difficult to simply state why you are against illegal CB operations.
> Perhaps maybe the others here will understand you a little better.
>
> And what kind of radio setup do you have? I'm curious to know because I'm a
> radio junkie.
>
> Tom

Your tenacious and persistent desire to delve into the details of my
personal life is most gratifying, especially since it is motivated
solely by your desire to "help others understand me a little better".
I must say that is extremely unselfish and generous. But be patient,
I'll be posting a very long file that'll answer all your questions
about illegal CB and a very personal Voobner family url for you soon.

-Aaron-

5560. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 18:52:38 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <WZ8b9.143539$Aw4.5953544@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134587

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:HO3b9.8325$p%3.621208@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Very nice rodent... what does it all mean?
>

Page URL Not Found!!

The requested page does not exist on this server. The URL you typed or followed is either outdated or inaccurate.

Type your search term above instead or try to click here
to let us help you find web pages you are looking for!

5561. Re: New coax length question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 18:53:53 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D6D1C18.513629BE@icok.net>
Source record: 134588

Bill,
Taking for granted that the output of a transmitter
is 50 ohms, and the feed line is also 50 ohms, then there
is only one instance when you are correct. That case is
when the combined impedances of 'dual' antennas is 50 ohms,
meaning that the antennas input impedances are 100 ohms.
How many of those antennas do you know of on the commercial
market?
'Doc

5562. Re: Old coax length answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 18:57:26 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D6D1CF7.CBB85C14@icok.net>
Source record: 134589

BillS,
You're right, but, the 'better' option is to provide a usable counterpoise. The feed line as 'part' of an antenna is not a good idea. And by the way, a 1/2 wave antenna does not need a counterpoise.
'Doc

5563. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 19:09:04 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28702-3D6D1FD0-1@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134593

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twistedhed wrote:
Oh really, look again, the hams are gaining frequencies. <<

While losing others.

>Keep trying timmyboy, but ham radio has played an important role for decades in emergency communications as well as other areas in communications. <<

WTF this have to do with losing frequencies?
Ah, I'll indulge you for the whimsical moment:

>The U.S. Government recognizes the importance that ham radio has played and ham radio has gained many new frequencies over the years because of it.<<

Actually, it's because of frequency reallocation. Digital businesses are burying you.

> How many times have you seen news reports of ham radio coming to the aid with communication during a disaster? Many times.<<

Would that have anything to do with
selfegos that certain patch-wearing media and attention-seeking hammies fracture?
Why, most hammie organizations have a
contact that goes as far as contacting the media. News reported by someone that had a hand in creating it is compromised integrity.

> How many times have you seen a news report of cbers in the same situation? A few times...maybe. <<

Turn on 19. Happens daily. All over the country.

>CB has done nothing <<

Except save countless lives. Ask Keith. He knows.

>and the FCC has been sorry ever since in came up with the idea of the 11 meter cb band. They, along with many others would be happy if it just disappeared. <<

Which may explain their lack of enforcement and lipservice the like of yours.

>No other communications service has the sorry reputation as cb does <<

What ignorance. American hammies are known world-wide for the western disrespect generally shown toward foreigners by Americans. You typify the scenario wiht your intolerance toward views that differ from your own.

>with all the illegal operation with modded radios, splatter amps, and other nonsense that goes on on the cb band. If cb went away tomorrow no one but the idiots who somehow enjoy being illegal would miss it. Even with the few rotten eggs we do have in ham radio, it still beats cb all over the place. <

Then why ain't you there instead of playing underground?

5564. Re: n8wwm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 19:11:18 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28700-3D6D2056-2@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134600

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Typical, from an anonymous WebTV subscriber who can't handle real
internet access and can't stand face to face with someone he is
insulting.
IDX
Idiot, depraved, xenophobe
I Did Xavier
I Don't eXhale
Kevin, WB5RUE
-
Would that be as typical as say, oh, what someone may think of you
defending Dogie, after taking your comments concerning morality and your
wife and daughter into consideration?

5565. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 19:12:40 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208281112.4048fd94@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134591

I peeked into this thread thinking it might be Tim confessing to years
of radio bootlegging. Imagine my disappointment!

-Aaron-

5566. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 19:15:10 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <1j9b9.143898$Aw4.5969449@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134592

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:6275-3D6CE94A-20@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...

>Now unless you want to tell me that atmospheric propagation also
amplifies, as well as carries signals farther than normal line of sight,
you have to take path loss into consideration. There is no way that a
legal 4 watt signal is going to (due to the effects of propagation)
arrive at my receiver with the same microvolts as a legal 4 watt signal,
2 miles away, in a direct line of sight. <<

These are the crowning jewel moments of my entertainment on this board.
Not only can that separate radio arrive at your receiver with the same
signal, it can SQUASH it. There are many circumstances where this can
happen, Hall.

Twitsy, do you think that a 4 watt signal that travels 1000+ miles picks up
strength because it is DX?

>>The path loss at ~1000 miles is going to be greater, DX conditions or
not,<<

>That is one of the most ridiculous statements you have posted to date.

Twisty, he is corrrect and you don't have a clue about path loss thats why
you think he is wrong.

> than the path loss at 2 miles. So if there IS a signal from "DX-land"
that is giving me a meter reading greater than what I would expect from
a legally running local, I can be assured that the DX signal is running
excessive power.<<

>You're not the FCC. And you have no clue how they do things........

And neither do you so how can you say he is wrong? If you are clueless
yourself.?

>determines that a particular station is running power, when they're
receiving it from a distance? There are formulas for calculating path
loss.<<

And they are null today.
They can pinpoint you with amazing accuracy from Maryland, determine the
power you're running, all from a keystroke.
The formula days are way gone.

Twitsy listen to yourself stammering. So the formulas are no longer good
since they use computers now to do the calculation?
I guess all math formulas are no good since the invention of the calculator.

LOL Good One twitsy. In fact I have a slide rule made by Motorola that does all the calculation for you and determines the "microvolt signal that should be read at the reciever.
Just because you don't understand it twisty doesn't make it not so.

> So don't patronize me with more "CB science". <<

Can't. You don't understand it well enough.

>It doesn't hold water, and never has, to those that truly understand RF.
Dave
"Sandbagger"<<

Or how your experiments prove the official sunspot observatory records in Belgium incorrect.

5567. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 19:17:31 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <fl9b9.3453$On.175873@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134594

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020828093601.19559.00003287@mb-mf.aol.com...
> thats a very broad pile of bullshit your laying out there dave. be
carefull you
> dont step in the middle of it yourself. perhaps you need to talk to some
fellow
> hams who are into the qrp side of things. 4watts am 12 watts ssb is plenty
of
> power to give a full scale reading anywhere in this nation. i've had many
> personal experiences of this myself. have you had this same attitude
towards
> cb'ers during your last 32 years as one?

Tim do you have any idea how many microvolts at your reciever that a 4 watt
signal should give at say 10 miles on HF?

5568. Re: Angry Hammies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 19:17:57 UTC
From: thatzmejp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020828151757.00656.00003643@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 134595

anonymous, i can run AND hide from you at the same time!!!

Thatz-me-JP

5569. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 19:20:07 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <Hn9b9.143970$Aw4.5971908@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134596

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:DS3b9.8342$p%3.621633@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Well, it's one of two things:
>
> 1.) He doesn't have the license.
> 2.) He's afraid that giving out his number because he knows how he
conducts
> himself out here is wrong and other hams may take action against him.

That's my point exactly why Richard Cranium aka Dick Head hasn't done this as of this date. Yet he proclaimed to not be afraid and had nothing to hide and also asked a fellow Ham to post his call sign because he didn't believe him. LOL It is kinda humorous though asking someone else to post there call then not posting there own when asked.

5570. Re: This here's gone far 'nuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 19:21:27 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208281121.4fba7139@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134597

"crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
news:<1030502713.712195@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>...
> I think Aaron (or whatever name he's using this week)

Sorry, but this is the only name I post with. There is a "CB myth"
that anyone who disagrees with bootlegging or illegal high power CB
operation is actually me in disguise. This is an urban legend, brought
to you by the same folks that gave you the 18-foot coax myth.

>would agree with this assessment...

I can't readily agree with your asessment since it did not make much
sense.

-Aaron-

5571. Re: Anti boys own rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 19:23:59 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3d6d233b.192813562@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 134598

On Sun, 25 Aug 2002 16:12:47 GMT, chimbuba@optonline.net wrote:

>On 24 Aug 2002 22:16:02 -0700, keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown
>Busters) wrote:
>
>>We rat out your illegal auctions...We close em down...
>>
>>We collect your information so we can rat YOU out...
>>
>>We tell on you to the FCC...
>>
>>We are the anikeyclowns...an unstoppable force to see you whipped...
>>
>>Trainpuppet cries his defiance...he will feel the pain...
>>
>>Keydown contests have web sites...They will feel the pain....
>>
>>And there is NOTHING YOU CAN DO ABOUT IT!
>>
>>Queerboys, just wait and see...You will all be paying money...
>>
>>To the FCC!!!!
>
>
>And this makes you feel good?
>How?
>Why?
>Are you doing anything about the many keydowners that NEVER come on
>the internet?

NUFF SAID!

5572. Re: A NEW DAY! AND ANTI-BOYS STILL OWN THE NG!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 19:45:31 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3d6d27ef.194017366@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 134599

On 27 Aug 2002 16:53:16 GMT, thatzmejp@aol.com (UknowMeJP) wrote:

>keyclown hasnt stopped me from anything yet and wont ever stop me either......i
>still run power!!! peace out
>
>
> Thatz-me-JP

I know what is all this bullcrap about being unstoppable???
I use my amps everyday and talk skip as well. I am quite sure a good
many others do since I talk to them on the radio. Question for the
unstoppable one.......
WHO ARE YOU STOPPING FROM KEYING DOWN ON THE RADIO???
Answer: NO ONE AT ALL.

5573. Re: You keyqueens slowing down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 19:51:20 UTC
From: chimbuba@optonline.net
Message-ID: <3d6d29a3.194453695@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 134601

On Wed, 28 Aug 2002 12:53:41 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
<briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Well now... that's a real accomplishment. Probably one of the biggest in
>your life I would imagine.
>Maybe now you could set your sites higher and actually add something of
>interest to this NG...
>
Imagine that?

5574. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 20:27:11 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akjbi6$1j9pb4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134602

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D6CBDE2.442D@worldlynx.net...

> Working stations over 150 miles is illegal period. Anyone working DX, is
> operating illegally, whether they are running power or not.

There is (or was) a proposed change in rulemaking before the FCC to do away with this stupid rule. Anyone know what the status of the proposed change is?

This has to be one of the stupidest rules the FCC ever came up with. How the heck do you know if someone is within the 150 mile limit or not without talking to them? For example, Many years ago when I was into CB, I got off work one night and driving home, I heard a couple of stations on Ch. 37 LSB, our local group's hangout channel. I thought the two guys were local because their signals were so good. I wasn't familiar with the two voices so I started talking to them and asked them where they were. They were in Louisiana, far over the 150 mile limit. OOPS! Broke that rule. How the heck is one supposed to know what distance another station is located? The conditions must have been good that night, but those were the only two stations I heard on the channel.

Anyway, this stupid rule needs to be abolished.

Tom

5575. Re: You keyqueens slowing down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 20:29:03 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208281229.2922172@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134604

Griffag,

Who cares what you keyclowns are interested in?

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<pJ3b9.8317$p%3.620915@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> Well now... that's a real accomplishment. Probably one of the biggest in
> your life I would imagine.
> Maybe now you could set your sites higher and actually add something of
> interest to this NG...
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:d1e9cf6a.0208272343.35b33006@posting.google.com...
> > ANTIBOYS OWN THE NG AND YOU CANT DO ANYTHING ABOUT IT!!!

5576. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 20:30:34 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akjbog$1iksub$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134603

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:DS3b9.8342$p%3.621633@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...

> 2.) He's afraid that giving out his number

It's a callsign, not a number.

Tom

5577. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 20:30:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23439-3D6D32FF-7@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134606

From: dirtysneaks@keds.com (Dirty Sneakers)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:6275-3D6CE94A-20@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
"Now unless you want to tell me that atmospheric propagation also
amplifies, as well as carries signals farther than normal line of sight,
you have to take path loss into consideration. There is no way that a
legal 4 watt signal is going to (due to the effects of propagation)
arrive at my receiver with the same microvolts as a legal 4 watt signal,
2 miles away, in a direct line of sight. <<
-
These are the crowning jewel moments of my entertainment on this board.
Not only can that separate radio arrive at your receiver with the same
signal, it can SQUASH it. There are many circumstances where this can
happen, Hall.
-
>Twitsy, do you think that a 4 watt signal that travels 1000+ miles
picks up strength because it is DX?
<

Man, whoever taught you that a DX signal picks up strength was wrong.

>The path loss at ~1000 miles is going to be greater, DX conditions or
not,<<

That is one of the most ridiculous statements you have posted to date.

>Twisty, he is corrrect and you don't have a clue about path loss thats
why you think he is wrong.<<

Let's see if I'm wrong.....if it's 1000 miles, it's DX.
He said "DX conditions or not."

>than the path loss at 2 miles. So if there IS a signal from "DX-land"
that is giving me a meter reading greater than what I would expect from
a legally running local, I can be assured that the DX signal is running
excessive power.<<

You're not the FCC. And you have no clue how they do things.....

>And neither do you so how can you say he is wrong?<<

If you really want to learn, start asking pertinent questions instead of
personal ones that you can't get over..

> If you are clueless yourself.? <<

Your world has always been based on "what if's".

>determines that a particular station is running power, when they're receiving it from a distance? There are formulas for calculating path loss.<<

And they are null today.
They can pinpoint you with amazing accuracy from Maryland, determine the power you're running, all from a keystroke.
The formula days are way gone.

>Twitsy listen to yourself stammering. So the formulas are no longer good since they use computers now to do the calculation? <<

Didn't say they were no good.
YOU did and entered it in the equation. The effectiveness of said formulas and calculatons are nort being questioned by anyone excepet yourself.

>I guess all math formulas are no good since the invention of the calculator.<<

No surprise to me. It's as accurate as the rest of your posts.

> LOL Good One twitsy. <<

Glad you're having fun and enjoying myself..
Self-entertainment can be a difficult achievement for the challenged.

>In fact I have a slide rule made by Motorola that does all the calculation for you and determines the "microvolt signal that should be read at the reciever.
Just because you don't understand it twisty doesn't make it not so.<<

1000 miles equals DX no matter how you slice it on cb.
A 4 watt legal radio in skipland can squash the hell out of another 4 watt radio across town from you, and a beam is only one of many factors that may take advantage of mother nature to accomplish what you have shared with the rest of the world you lack: Knowledge concerning cb propagation. No need to get hostile and hurl insults over it. You're getting as bad as Hall.

>So don't patronize me with more "CB science". <<

Can't. You don't understand it well enough.

>It doesn't hold water, and never has, to those that truly understand RF.
Dave
"Sandbagger"<<

Or how your experiments prove the official sunspot observatory records in Belgium incorrect.

5578. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 20:32:43 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akjbsi$1jgmdh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134605

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208281050.3e324680@posting.google.com...

> Your tenacious and persistent desire to delve into the details of my
> personal life

I don't care about your personal life. I'm just curious as to your
motivation. And what kind of radios & antennas you use.

Tom

5579. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 20:56:13 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92788DE9D95E8subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 134607

keyclown@yahoo.com (Terry Porter) wrote in
news:602ef891.0208271603.43b9d4f3@posting.google.com:

> Don't even try Linux because it really sucks, worse than XP.,
>
>
> Terry Porter

Sigh. More trolling. Well, everybody's got a hobby. I aim to please. I
wouldn't want to let the troll down.

Ahem.

"Long live the penguin!"

Thank You.

-Alan S.

5580. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 21:11:37 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6D1D45.E027126C@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134608

Twistieboy wrote:
>
> From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
> Twistedhed wrote:
> Oh really, look again, the hams are gaining frequencies. <<
>
> While losing others.
>
> >Keep trying timmyboy, but ham radio has played an important role for
> decades in emergency communications as well as other areas in
> communications. <<
>
> WTF this have to do with losing frequencies?
> Ah, I'll indulge you for the whimsical moment:
>
> >The U.S. Government recognizes the importance that ham radio has played
> and ham radio has gained many new frequencies over the years because of
> it.<<
>
> Actually, it's because of frequency reallocation. Digital businesses are
> burying you.

You only wish, keep saying it and maybe you will believe it.

> > How many times have you seen news reports of ham radio coming to the
> aid with communication during a disaster? Many times.<<
>
> Would that have anything to do with
> selfegos that certain patch-wearing media and attention-seeking hammies
> fracture?
> Why, most hammie organizations have a
> contact that goes as far as contacting the media. News reported by
> someone that had a hand in creating it is compromised integrity.

Pure BS. Who does the weather service rely on for storm spotter
reports in severe weather? They don't call the untrained cbers.
They call the RACES folks who undergo yearly storm spotter
training provided by the National Weather Service. I don't know of
any ham club that has someone who calls the media. Keep guessing,
maybe you will hit one right someday.

>
> > How many times have you seen a news report of cbers in the same
> situation? A few times...maybe. <<
>

> Turn on 19. Happens daily. All over the country.

Yea, lots of flat tire reports, fender benders, road rage...real emergencies. When reliable communications on many different frequencies are need in a real emergency where normal communications are down, it is the hams who step up and can be counted on. The officials don't want a bunch of idiot cb wanna play radio types around in the way. They want someone who knows how to communicate.

>
> >CB has done nothing <<
>
> Except save countless lives. Ask Keith. He knows.
>
> >and the FCC has been sorry ever since in came up with the idea of the
> 11 meter cb band. They, along with many others would be happy if it just
> disappeared. <<
>
> Which may explain their lack of enforcement and lipservice the like of
> yours.

It is because the cbers in their disregard for any rules made a sewer pit of communications out of the cb band and the FCC simply gave up.

> >No other communications service has the sorry reputation as cb does <<
>
> What ignorance. American hammies are known world-wide for the western
> disrespect generally shown toward foreigners by Americans. You typify
> the scenario wiht your intolerance toward views that differ from your
> own.

Sorry, but the cb band has a very sorry reputation. Keep telling yourself it doesn't and maybe you can convince yourself.

>
> >with all the illegal operation with modded radios, splatter amps, and
> other nonsense that goes on on the cb band. If cb went away tomorrow no
> one but the idiots who somehow enjoy being illegal would miss it. Even
> with the few rotten eggs we do have in ham radio, it still beats cb all
> over the place. <
>
> Then why ain't you there instead of playing underground?

I am there and that is why I have never operated on the children's band.

5581. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 21:16:27 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6D1E6B.15183401@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134609

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:DS3b9.8342$p%3.621633@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> > 2.) He's afraid that giving out his number
>
> It's a callsign, not a number.
>
> Tom

CBers like to call them numbers for some strange reason. Just like
SWRs instead of SWR.

5582. Re: Best CB Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 21:57:01 UTC
From: yeah_right@noway.com
Message-ID: <3d6d482b.28018967@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 134612

On Wed, 28 Aug 2002 13:40:34 -0500, "Arthur R. Howard"
<whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote:

>I have run an RCI 2950 for 15 years in a truck and have had only 2 problems, a
>broken solder joint and the dial lamps burned out once
>IMHO...If you are just interested in just 40 channel operation and AM then I
>think most would agree that the Cobra 29 is about the most depenable radio
>there is ,especially if you can find one of the older ones made in the
>phillipines with the thicker board.....

Get the Cobra 29, and make sure you DO NOT have one of the truckstop
butchers "supertune" it. Get a good powermic for it, though, because
the stock mics sound like poop on those things.

Don't bother with the RCI if you are just going to listen on 19.
Besides, it's not a CB.

Scott

5583. Re: Best CB Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:00:52 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <2Dbb9.43942$gw.17243@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134611

I second Arthur's opinion on the 2950, i am on my 2nd one since Bronco's tech killed the 1st one by flipping it over and letting it slam on the counter.
The 2950 does'nt appeal to idiots like the Galaxy does (good) but for the money (about $300) you will never have a need to upgrade once you learn how to use the 2950 to it's full potential.
If your pockets are alittle deeper check out RF Limited's Magnum 357DX, it pumps out 100 watts on AM and 150 on SSB but so does the Ranger 2970.
These are my favorites but as the man said you can't go wrong with a 29LTD or 148GTL.
Don't waste your money on the SoundTracker cause it's a pile of s__t.
Nuff said 4 now

--
Mad Dog
"Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in message news:3D6D1922.346CA4C7@citlink.net...
> I have run an RCI 2950 for 15 years in a truck and have had only 2 problems, a
> broken solder joint and the dial lamps burned out once
> IMHO...If you are just interested in just 40 channel operation and AM then I
> think most would agree that the Cobra 29 is about the most depenable radio
> there is ,especially if you can find one of the older ones made in the
> phillipines with the thicker board.....
>
> Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart wrote:
>
> > Can you guys give me some opinions on what is considered the best cb radio
> > you can get for a trucker?
>

5584. Packrat your'e too stupid

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:00:53 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208281400.109eb023@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134613

Promptly ratted out to ebay...thanks for bring it to our attention, Dweebo.

timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote in message
news:<20020828100213.19559.00003291@mb-mf.aol.com>...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1376444128
>
> snitch on every other guys shit only to relist your own under a different name?
> thats lame.....
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

5585. Re: twistedhed's vacation journal-week 1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:02:44 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208281402.75932bfe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134614

The Anti-boys aint the only group that thinks twithed is gay, I see.

dr_sinister5000@yahoo.com (dr_sinister) wrote in message
news:<57ce2c1e.0208272147.23d86adc@posting.google.com>...
> xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:<ea4d7602d53c82e5a4151e903eca9bfc@xganon.com>...
> > Twistyfag's vacation diary...
> >
> > Day 1. The drive is hot and tiring. The only consolation so far being
> > the cute truck driver who mounted me in the TA's bathroom...my ass
> > still hurts a little from that. I sucked his dick after he slammed
> > me, so I could get the last drop. Love that shitdick taste! After
> > dark my FUGGLY kid made gas money for us by blowing 2 drivers in the
> > TA parking lot. He came back and kissed me passionately so I could
> > taste what he got to swallow. Yum Yum!
> >
>
> <snip>>
>
> Damn dogie,If'n it ain't in your mouth,it's on your mind.

5586. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:05:43 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6D29F5.49367DE6@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134616

Twistieboy wrote:

> What ignorance. American hammies are known world-wide for the western
> disrespect generally shown toward foreigners by Americans. You typify
> the scenario wiht your intolerance toward views that differ from your
> own.

What ignorance. Do you think the rest of the world does not hear the American cbers with all their swang, high powered overdriven splater box "lenars", foul mouths, and "aaaaauuuuuuddddddiiiiiooooo, big tin four got yer ears on thar gud buddy" and other cb crap? American cbers are know world wide for their sewer mouths and overdriven splatter boxes making much of the 11 meter band useless for reasonable communications.

5587. Re: The passing of Richard McCollum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:09:17 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <aLbb9.44288$gw.101@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134615

Well said Dave, my deepest sympathy and heartfelt prayers go out to you
and your remaining family.
Dick may be gone but his memory will live on forever here and in this group.
Amen and God Bless
--
Mad Dog
"Dave McCollum" <dmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
news:3D6C55A4.AEFA5860@radiks.net...
> To all who read this:
>
> I am Richard's brother, David. I was entrusted with examining Dick's
> computer, looking for pertinent information to aid us with the
> disposition of him and his property. We found what we needed, along
> with a significantly large e-mail address book. As a family we decided
> to notify everyone in the address list of his demise. It made more
> sense to do that than to have his e-mail address suddenly fall silent,
> no one knowing why.
>
> I now know that what I discovered on Dick's computer was more than
> addresses. It was a window onto a group of real people who have shown
> themselves to be the very best friends one could dream to have. I have
> received incredibly caring and thoughtful condolences from many of you.
> I have observed other outpouring of shock, dismay, concern, and caring
> from many others on this newsgroup. I have been told being a part of
> amateur radio entails upholding a pledge to aide those in need or in
> distress. Without any doubt, you on this board have done that above and
> beyond all expectations (save one).
>
> Let it be known that the next issue of the American Radio Relay League
> publication QST will list Dick, N0BK, as a Silent Key.
> Let it also be known that the sincere words, opinions, and anecdotes
> appearing in this newsgroup, in my mind, constitute quite possibly the
> finest memorial service Dick could have received.
>
> Thank you all.
>
>
>
> Now I must get real.............
>
> To Dave or Debby....(is that a transvestite thing???) I do not
> believe I have met a professed Christian ever in my life who is so far
> away from the ideals of Christianity. HOW DARE YOU USE MY BROTHER'S
> DEATH AS A MEANS TO GET ON YOUR EVANGELICAL HIGH-HORSE!!! Your prayers

> now need to be centered on avoiding actually meeting me in person, as I
> will certainly send you to meet your maker!
>
>

5588. Re: Galaxy DX949?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:09:18 UTC
From: Wesser <wesser@hiwaay.net>
Message-ID: <umqfefa3gbn6b9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134610

#1 buy it from a real cb shop
2 they can be opened a little, and sound real clear, which most truck drivers don't want,
#3 if it isn't all muffled up and not able to understand they don't want it
4 hell yes they are better than cobra junk!!!!!!!!
#5 in most cases they cost less than a148.....

have a nice day
****
"

5589. Re: Brian Griffey the whining sissie's web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:12:51 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208281412.3eb2b02d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134617

Griffag,
Thats cuz it is not the issue. The issue is you are a federal criminal. All you do is disengenuously post stuff blaming others for the problems. We did not build that amp you are selling. That being true, YOU are the criminal here. If the AKC badgering is so illegal, why dont you keyqueens prosecute us?
ANSWER: You cannot do one single thing except slimily try to refocus the blame.
That is exactly why you keyqueens whine so much, you cannot stand to have it out here in the open that you are federal criminals. Never once have you denied that. The ONLY thing you do is say "well, this one does this and that one does that, so why can't I do the other?" That aint the way it works. As I am accountable for anything illegal I do, so you are. NEVER do you keyqueens admit that. Is is all about dissembling, refocusing, spin, and lies, as far as the entire keyqueen perspective goes. Look at twathed, I mean twithed...thats all he EVER does. That fella is the queen of blame others and focus on others. You are running a close second lately.

Oh well...gives us more grist for the Anti-boys gristmill....hehehehe

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:<xy3b9.8302$p%3.620112@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> I'll certainly agree with that... you are the perfect example... badgering
> and harassing many on this NG... and then not wanting to address that issue.
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:d1e9cf6a.0208271832.6b9b93f@posting.google.com...
> > Well, like we always say...Illegal criminal keyclowns will say
> > anything to de focus from their criminality. Whether they know what
> > they are talking about or not...

5590. Keyclown creed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:15:58 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208281415.4bbecd14@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134618

Main Entry: hyï¿½pocï¿½riï¿½sy
Pronunciation: hi-'pï¿½-krŁ-sE also hI-
Function: noun
Inflected Form(s): plural -sies
Etymology: Middle English ypocrisie, from Old French, from Late Latin hypocrisis, from Greek hypokrisis act of playing a part on the stage, hypocrisy, from hypokrinesthai to answer, act on the stage, from hypo- + krinein to decide -- more at CERTAIN
Date: 13th century
1 : a feigning to be what one is not or to believe what one does not; especially : the false assumption of an appearance of virtue or religion
2 : an act or instance of hypocrisy

5591. Re: Best CB Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:17:41 UTC
From: Garfield <dont@spam.me>
Message-ID: <akji5rrku1@news.chatlink.com>
Source record: 134619

I have been running a Cobra 29 LTD Classic for about 4 years now. I bought
it used and haven't had a problem.
Garfield
Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart <webmaster@salzberg.at> wrote in message
news:umpsplona43a69@corp.supernews.com...
> Can you guys give me some opinions on what is considered the best cb radio
> you can get for a trucker?
>
>

5592. Re: This here's gone far 'nuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:18:27 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208281418.255cc966@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134620

Ahh, more people weighing in trying to blame the anti-boys. The simple fact is you are all federal criminals. That is the sum and total of real issues here. the rest is a smokescreen keyqueens put out to attempt to hid that truth.

"crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message news:<1030502713.712195@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>...
> Has it ever occurred to You that when They cuss that They have simply ran
> out of intelligent things to say ?
> "That Guy Again" <witchdoc@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:3aed93cc.0208271606.6419c86f@posting.google.com...
> > Got to the root of the problem---or at least what makes the
> > antikeyclowns tick, anyway. We can print cusswords in books & papers,
> > put them on albums & sell them... but deep in the American psyche,
> > there is something that says "the airwaves are holy---thou shalt not
> > defile them with cusswords, lest thou incur the wrath of God". This
> > mentality probably originated in the prim-&-proper '40s, and most
> > censor-minded individuals don't want to be identified as killjoys.
> >
> > I think Aaron (or whatever name he's using this week) would agree with
> > this assessment... albeit I happen to think those of us who really
> > think of the airwaves as "holy" are off their rockers. (Yes, there
> > really are old geezers who obsessively watch TV and pester the b'cast
> > stations with phone calls every time they hear a cussword.)
> >
> > AFAIC I wouldn't get any sudden chest pains from hearing Dan Rather
> > say "fuck" on the 6 o'clock news, much less hearing Speedy say it on
> > 27.035. What are the censors going to do when our fat old lawmakers
> > have all died---and get replaced by today's foulmouthed keyclowns?
> > Their success would be the best revenge.
> >
> > Guy Richards
> > xxxxxxxxx

5593. Re: The beat goes on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:21:01 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208281421.d0c09e3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134621

naw, it took 3 days to read the post, 1 second to tell the truth, keyqueen.
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208280658.1786da98@posting.google.com>...
> keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
news:<d1e9cf6a.0208272338.29de095e@posting.google.com>...
> > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208250407.4c4bcfa1@posting.google.com>...
> > > keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
news:<d1e9cf6a.0208241414.9b0aac3@posting.google.com>...
> > > > This thread about "Ham misbehavior" is immaterial. The only place
> > > > where it counts is if you are in violation of FCC rules. Anything goes
> > > > in this NG, just like on your effeminate CB band. You sissies just
> > > > can't take it, canya?
> > > >
> > > > Scumbag keyclowns are overwhelmingly in violation every time they key
> > > > the mike.
> > > >
> > > > Your sissified posts about "hams this and hams that" are just attempts
> > > > to confuse the truth. You are federal criminals, and you WISH it was
> > > > not true, but that won't ever change.
> > > >
> > > > ANTIKEYCLOWNS OWN REC RADIO CB AND YOU CANNOT DO ANYTHING ABOUT
> > > > IT!!!!!
> > >
> > > "The beat goes on"?
> > >
> > > We still don't care about your one-handed sex life.
> >
> > Well, if yer sister wasnt an amputee it would be a 2 handed sex
> > life...I felt sorry for her...and she aint really too bad, in spite of
> > that birth defect...
>
> Geez, it took you THREE DAYS to come up with that drivel, Doggie?
> Shows how truly retarded you are!

5594. Re: packrat your too funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:21:53 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <52cb9.147311$Aw4.6107555@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134622

So who else does he post as Twits?

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:6276-3D6CE224-4@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Yea, the Rat is another with several ID's that cause trouble.
> SamSpade.org and Geektools.........12.229.160.36
>

5595. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:23:07 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <f3cb9.251912$m91.10329432@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Really perhaps I can forward the post to him and see what he thinks.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:2c489a2c327ac33cf19be1fdab422eb2@xganon.com...
> you ass JJ, all anyone has to do is ask Twistedhed. He TALKED to Rily on
the phone and the man doesnt care about amps and freebanders but he cant
come out and say it because of ARRL presure.
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

5596. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:23:34 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020828182334.25362.00003412@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 134624

ARRL members dig deep ! LOL. http://www.eham.net/articles/3882

5597. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:25:17 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <h5cb9.274080$2p2.11008906@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134625

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020828091224.19559.00003285@mb-mf.aol.com...
> ignore the anally challenged that sweat the small stuff in life such as
top
> posting

Tim are you willing to say anything to Mopedholic when he complains abou top
posters or will you just brush it off like he never said anything.

5598. civil protection Italy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:29:54 UTC
From: giordano de marchi <gdm@giordanodemarchi.com>
Message-ID: <akjimkdsb1@lacerta.tiscalinet.it>
Source record: 134626

www.uorprotezionecivile.org

5599. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:31:36 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208281431.73c77d9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134627

keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
news:<d1e9cf6a.0208271843.74b4bba0@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus,
> Another attempt to divert from the reality of your own federal
> criminal behavior. See...I am always right!

I am not a so-called federal criminal; I am a licensed amateur. And YOU Doggie?

> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208271412.666847c@posting.google.com>...
> > yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d685b6a.4076643@news.cet.com>...
> > > In <20020819103538.07968.00001205@mb-mi.aol.com>, timnebo@aol.com187
> > > (www.TimNebo.com) wrote:
> > >
> > > >my point is why only bother the cb'ers? i dont see jerry, aaron, frank or
> > > >anybody else tirading about the amatuer bands and on their newsgroups
> > > >complaining and these problems are even more directly related to the service
> > > >they're fighting for. i just wanted to point out the hypocrisy in what they're
> > > >doing in here...
> > >
> > > I'm in here because I'm a CBer. I am not a ham (yet), and that's
> > > probably why I don't post much on ham newsgroups. That's not
> > > hypocrisy, that's integrity. Learn the difference.

No, you're not. You're a TROLL.

> > I think Tim knows the difference; that's why he made the post. If the
> > alleged hambos worked as hard to clean up their own bands as they
> > claim to be working to clean up CB, the world would be a much better
> > place. And we wouldn't have to put up with their stupidity on this
> > newsgroup, at least. (I wouldn't miss them a bit, personally.) But
> > Jerry doesn't dare post a callsign, George can only post bullcrap
> > snipes, and Aanal Aaron can't possibly be studying for his "extra"
> > since he spends so much time trolling here and cruising eBay for
> > so-called "illegal" auctions.

5600. Re: Best CB Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:31:40 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020828183140.25362.00003413@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 134628

Any Uniden radio.New Cobra's are junk.

5601. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:35:50 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208281435.7f3f4b53@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134629

```
"John Smith" <kissmyass@127.1.1.1> wrote in message
news:<akaooa0gfd@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:_B3a9.3640$p%3.260789@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > The link seems to work fine... not sure what your problem is.
> > As for AKC, I don't know what that is????
> > Shut down what? You never shut anything down that I know of...
> > I'm taking this message as a personal threat and are forwarding to the
> > proper authorities.
> >
> > --
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > Brian Griffey
> > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_Amplifier.doc
> > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
> Dumbfuc. don't put MS OFfice documents on a website and link to them.
> convert it to html.
> I clicked on your link and got to told I had to install Office first.
> If I don't want office, it ain't goin' on my machine.

Then don't go to his website, dumbfuc!
```

5602. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:37:07 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208281437.365eade0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134630

keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
news:<d1e9cf6a.0208251700.16f53b0d@posting.google.com>...
> "John Smith" <kissmyass@127.1.1.1> wrote in message
news:<akaooa0gfd@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> > "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:_B3a9.3640$p%3.260789@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > The link seems to work fine... not sure what your problem is.
> > > As for AKC, I don't know what that is????
> > > Shut down what? You never shut anything down that I know of...
> > > I'm taking this message as a personal threat and are forwarding to the
> > > proper authorities.
> > >
> > > --
> > > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> > > Brian Griffey
> > > http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave_2879AB_Amplifier.doc
> > > ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> >
> > Dumbfuc. don't put MS OFfice documents on a website and link to them.
> > convert it to html.
> > I clicked on your link and got to told I had to install Office first.
> > If I don't want office, it ain't goin' on my machine.
>
> Exactly...the asswipe thinks he knows something. What a moron.

Yes, YOU are, Doggie!

> griffey, If I were you I would bend over, shave my ass, and learn to walk backwards.

5603. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:39:01 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208281439.393ba368@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134631

"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:<qD7a9.201431$SS.8043362@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> Griffey is your amp a 10 meter amp? Or is that just a ploy to try and sell
> it? It is not an export model why the need for the Tom Foolery. Why do you
> call it a 10 meter amp? That is a fair question that should be addressed.

Kiss off, Georgie! It's not a question at all. YOU are the question.
Why don't you USE ten meters instead of whining about "intruders"??

5604. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:41:09 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208281441.37c08a42@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134632

"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:<nGwa9.100047$Aw4.4288875@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Anonymous" <nobody@paranoici.org> wrote in message
> news:9c191c4df6c8e0ab5d959fb2877c4e6d@paranoici.org...
> > Hey Sneakers....And how long ago was that written/And has
> > it stopped anything?Nooooooooooo..WHY??...Sorry pal but the
> > validity of this ruleing has already failed in court so it
> > is not a valid rule and The only rule that still stands
> > that is even close to this concerns operation of said
> > equiptment.... If you would take more time to research you
> > wouldnt make stupid and irrelevant posts.You wannae
> > kilocycle cops really break me up.BOO-Hoo sucks to be you
> > BRRUUUHAHAHAHA
> > g8KEePeR
>
> Snapperheafd If you want me to address your post to me please quote the post
> you are reffering to otherwise you sound like a horses ass.

COP OUT!!! Chicken! Whazza matter, Georgie, shorts too tight?

5605. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:42:19 UTC
From: Scott <mr_scott_mckay@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1030574727.37223@teuthos>
Source record: 134633

But surely if your reasoning behind why hams is good is because it helps communicate during an emergency, then surely the illegal operators (with larger outputs and further distances), or having in free to anyone to use, therefore giving a bigger net of people to help, wouldnt that make more sense?
"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:3D6CE63E.AFC257D9@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Twistedhed wrote:
> >
> > >Oh really, look again, the hams are gaining frequencies. <<
> >
> > While losing others.
>
> Keep trying timmyboy, but ham radio has played an important role
> for decades in emergency communications as well as other areas in
> communications. The U.S. Government recognizes the importance that
> ham radio has played and ham radio has gained many new frequencies
> over the years because of it. How many times have you seen news
> reports of ham radio coming to the aid with communication during a
> disaster? Many times. How many times have you seen a news report
> of cbers in the same situation? A few times...maybe. CB has done
> nothing and the FCC has been sorry ever since in came up with the
> idea of the 11 meter cb band. They, along with many others would
> be happy if it just disappeared. No other communications service
> has the sorry reputation as cb does with all the illegal operation
> with modded radios, splatter amps, and other nonsense that goes on
> on the cb band. If cb went away tomorrow no one but the idiots who
> somehow enjoy being illegal would miss it.
> Even with the few rotten eggs we do have in ham radio, it still
> beats cb all over the place.

5606. AKC ARRL Members Dig Deep!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:44:27 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020828184427.25362.00003416@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 134634

http://www.eham.net/articles/3882 LOL!

5607. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:45:09 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208281445.6c492d0d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134635

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208281050.3e324680@posting.google.com>...
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<akige5$1j5roe$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:c9ceae5b.0208271905.28f1d484@posting.google.com...
> >
> > > Ask some of the other former "Aaron stalkers", they can point you to
> > > the relevant archives for the info you seek.
> > >
> > > -Aaron-
> >
> > I'd rather get the info straight from the source, so there's no confusion.
> > I did search the archives, but didn't find any posts where you state why
> > you're on your crusade to rid the world of illegal CBer's.
> >
> > I find your refusal to answer my questions interesting. It shouldn't be
> > difficult to simply state why you are against illegal CB operations.
> > Perhaps maybe the others here will understand you a little better.
> >
> > And what kind of radio setup do you have? I'm curious to know because I'm a
> > radio junkie.
> >
> > Tom
>
> Your tenacious and persistent desire to delve into the details of my
> personal life is most gratifying, especially since it is motivated
> solely by your desire to "help others understand me a little better".
> I must say that is extremely unselfish and generous. But be patient,
> I'll be posting a very long file that'll answer all your questions
> about illegal CB and a very personal Voobner family url for you soon.
>
> -Aaron-

SURE YOU WILL!!! Har de har har har har!! You're are still doing the
famous, patented "chicken side-step". Just answer the questions,
a-hole. Or just go away! As long as you're here posting nonsense, I'll
be here to correct your nonsense! And neither Jerry nor Georgie-girl
will chase me off. If the readers of this newsgroup "chose" you to
parrot the bullcrap, YOU chose me to correct your inaccuracies. TROLL!

5608. Re: Hey..doggie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:45:15 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9278A0660F19Esubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 134636

keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in
news:d1e9cf6a.0208272346.571abe30@posting.google.com:

> http://www.geocities.com/aunteekeyklown/Keyclown_wedding.html
>

You know, you lose alot of credibility and harm your cause when you post a url THAT disgusting. I mean really...was that really necessary?

For every post you make speaking out against keyclowns, a decent human being could dismiss it because your posts are so horribly pornographic.

If you want people to see things your way, maybe they would be better served by listening to your ideas instead of childish insults.

Imagine that you're a newcomer to the group reading these messages. They may be so horrified by what you've posted that they decide "keyclowns" aren't as wacky and may subsequently side with them. Remember, alot of people read posts in this newsgroup without actually posting anything. You're speaking to them as well. At this point, you look like the one who is out of line.

Food for thought (for what it's worth)

Alan S.

5609. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:49:26 UTC
From: Scott <mr_scott_mckay@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1030575153.158493@teuthos>
Source record: 134638

well you see, in australia the whole emergency services have moved to digital on different channels to us, and I know quite a few police and none of them have ever had a transmission blocked because of someone stuffing around, I also was on the CFS (our country fire department), and we didnt even use digital, and we never had a problem, maybe the US should get ahead a bit, and move their "important" services to a better system.
"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:d1e9cf6a.0208271842.5c46dbd3@posting.google.com...
> "Scott" <mr_scott_mckay@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:<1030488787.429082@teuthos>...
> > I am an Australian. I have no illegal equipment (or any equipment at all),
> > but I am just wondering why you people hate people that use illegal
> > equipment so much?
> >
> > Does it affect you?
> >
> > Just wonderin.
> >
> > .Scott.
>
> No, we don't hate them. Yes it affects US amateur radio. BooKoo qrm
> from export rigs on 10 and 12 meters. Also, high power RF on CB band
> tears up whole neighborhood's
> home entertainment devices. Also, operating on illegal freqs
> interferes with other services who are legally entitled to be there.
> This is all so obvious that I never thought anyone would ask the
> questions.

5610. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:49:36 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <cb91a19a36cc37d321279fa2e6c89b6c@xganon.com>
Source record: 134637
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

your anger is showing george, rily all but gave the green light to freebanders and you cant handle it beacause that would render your crusade meaningless. of course he is going to tell the hammies something diferent thats just politcs. but we know how he really feels.

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

5611. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:50:40 UTC
From: Scott <mr_scott_mckay@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1030575227.492113@teuthos>
Source record: 134639

But why does it need to be a privilege, and what do they have to do to earn it, just buy a license, how does this "earn" you anything?

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:3D6C34E9.14C0556F@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Scott wrote:
> >
> > I am an Australian. I have no illegal equipment (or any equipment at all),
> > but I am just wondering why you people hate people that use illegal
> > equipment so much?
> >
> > Does it affect you?
> >
> > Just wonderin.
> >
> > .Scott.
>
> When these illegal operators encroach into the ham bands, yes it
> does have an effect. They have done nothing to earn the privilege
> of operating on ham frequencies, the hams have.

5612. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:51:48 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <8ucb9.252391$m91.10351526@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134640

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:23439-3D6D32FF-7@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
-
>Twitsy, do you think that a 4 watt signal that travels 1000+ miles
>picks up strength because it is DX?

Man, whoever taught you that a DX signal picks up strength was wrong.
****
Sorry Twitsy I nor anyone who elmered me has ever thought that except you
Remember just because you say it doesn't make it so.

>The path loss at ~1000 miles is going to be greater, DX conditions or
not,<<

That is one of the most ridiculous statements you have posted to date.

>Twisty, he is corrrect and you don't have a clue about path loss thats
why you think he is wrong.<<

Let's see if I'm wrong.....if it's 1000 miles, it's DX.
He said "DX conditions or not."

Ok here again Twisty trys to change the subject and say how far DX is who
cares his point was 1000 miles or better there is Path loss regardless if
you want to call it DX or not 1000 miles might not be DX to those on HF, but
to a Cber such as yourself it is. That has nothing to do with the fact that
a signal at 1000 miles away in no way shape or form can overpower the same
wattage signal 2 miles away all radio equipment(antenna, coax loss/length)
being equal

>>If you really want to learn, start asking pertinent questions instead of
>>personal ones that you can't get over..
****

Ill ask again how do you know the FCC's procedure any better than anyone
else on this NG. Besides your opinion of course.

> If you are clueless yourself.? <<

Your world has always been based on "what if's".
****
And your is based on lies and distortions of the truth, and of course just
your opinion and never any technical evidance to back up your wild claims.

>determines that a particular station is running power, when they're
receiving it from a distance? There are formulas for calculating path
loss.<<

And they are null today.
They can pinpoint you with amazing accuracy from Maryland, determine the power you're running, all from a keystroke.
The formula days are way gone.

>Twitsy listen to yourself stammering. So the formulas are no longer good since they use computers now to do the calculation? <<

Didn't say they were no good.
YOU did and entered it in the equation. The effectiveness of said formulas and calculatons are nort being questioned by anyone excepet yourself.
****
Ok what does the word :Null and gone" mean in your vocabulary? I am not questioning the formulas it was you who said
they are gone and null not me again you Twistyed the post not me I know the formulas still work how do you think a computer figures it out ? Uhhh duhh it has the formula plugged in already ...

Glad you're having fun and enjoying myself..
Self-entertainment can be a difficult achievement for the challenged.
****
Oh I am sure you have first hand knowledge of being challenged you are living proof.

>In fact I have a slide rule made by Motorola that does all the calculation for you and determines the "microvolt signal that should be read at the reciever.
Just because you don't understand it twisty doesn't make it not so.<<

1000 miles equals DX no matter how you slice it on cb.
A 4 watt legal radio in skipland can squash the hell out of another 4 watt radio across town from you, and a beam is only one of many factors that may take advantage of mother nature to accomplish what you have shared with the rest of the world you lack: Knowledge concerning cb propagation. No need to get hostile and hurl insults over it. You're getting as bad as Hall.
****

Ok Twisty lets get a few things straight, where did I hurl insults at you in the previous post? Please clarify so I don't label you a liar again. Ok please tell us with all your technical jargon how a 4 watt skip signal 1000 miles away can produce a greater field strength than a 4 watt local station and squash it as you say LOL Cb propogation is no different than any amatuer band. Now If I run a 4 watt radio into a dummy load I am sure a 4 watt skip station will squash me, But AS USUAL TWISTY WANTS TO TWIST THE POST TO NOW SAY BEAM ANTENNA ETC. TWISTY ANY CB ROKIE SUCH AS YOURSELF SHOULD KNOW THAT A BEAM WILL PRODUCE A HIGHER FIELD STRENGTH THAN A DIPOLE OR EQUIVALENT ANTENNA NOW YOU WANT TO TRY AND QUANTIFY YOUR POST AND SAY A BEAM ANTENNA NO TWISTYS WE ARE TALKING SAME EQUIPMENT FOR BOTH STATIONS.

So I will ask you this Twisty do you know how to calculate what the path loss is for a station 1000 miles away running a 4 watt station on 27

megacycles, you pick the antenna they are using. because the 4 watt local station that you said your dx station will squash he is also running a 8 element beam so now how will your dx station with the beam squash anything?

Can't. You don't understand it well enough.
****
Twisty I forgot more than you know about Cb and radios in general

5613. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:54:57 UTC
From: Ann <annTAKEOUT@asarian-host.net>
Message-ID: <fukqmu4h9ioo5c2hahegous0r1sdekve12@4ax.com>
Source record: 134641
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

On Tue, 27 Aug 2002 21:30:23 -0700, "Dr. John \(of Life\)"
<frellyou@privacy.net> wrote:

>The way I see posts (IN A MICRO$OFT PRODUCT!) are in a directory-tree
>format. The replies to the original message are the branches, and then
>replies to those replies are then sub-branched. I reply in a newsgroup to
>the person I have a beef with. I don't reply to the original sender. I
>believe THAT makes my posts hard to follow. If I were a third party looking
>in, using this program, I would have no idea why replies were being set to
>the original post (that had nothing to do with my reply's argument.)

Not everybody reads in the same way. I download the posts, read them
and delete. So when I next download I don't have the original post
that you responded to. I don't care who you're replying to as long as
you quote a bit and say who wrote it then I can follow it.

Ann

5614. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:55:01 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <9xcb9.274601$2p2.11035555@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134642

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:akjbi6$1j9pb4$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3D6CBDE2.442D@worldlynx.net...
>
> > Working stations over 150 miles is illegal period. Anyone working DX, is
> > operating illegally, whether they are running power or not.
>
> There is (or was) a proposed change in rulemaking before the FCC to do
away
> with this stupid rule. Anyone know what the status of the proposed change
> is?

Of course it did not pass!

> This has to be one of the stupidest rules the FCC ever came up with. How
> the heck do you know if someone is within the 150 mile limit or not
without
> talking to them?

When they are saying they are from such and such a state usually you can
firgure it out, boy your a regular rocket scientist

For example, Many years ago when I was into CB, I got off
> work one night and driving home, I heard a couple of stations on Ch. 37
LSB,
> our local group's hangout channel. I thought the two guys were local
> because their signals were so good. I wasn't familiar with the two voices
> so I started talking to them and asked them where they were. They were in
> Louisiana, far over the 150 mile limit. OOPS! Broke that rule.

>How the heck is one supposed to know what distance another station is
located?

He did tell you he was from Louisiana didn't he? well you answered your own
question.

> The
> conditions must have been good that night, but those were the only two
> stations I heard on the channel.

were they running 4 watt stations.

> Anyway, this stupid rule needs to be abolished.

No cb in general needs to be abolished.

> Tom
>
>

5615. Re: You keyqueens slowing down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:55:45 UTC
From: Scott <mr_scott_mckay@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1030575532.785450@teuthos>
Source record: 134643

OK, another question, what is this keyclown business, I have never heard of it, it seems really pathetic, but before I make my mind up, can someone tell me what it is?
"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:d1e9cf6a.0208272343.35b33006@posting.google.com...
> I just saw N8's qrz page..only 1733 hits...come on keyqueens...make ole N8 famous!
>
> ANTIBOYS OWN THE NG AND YOU CANT DO ANYTHING ABOUT IT!!!

5616. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 22:57:18 UTC
From: Ann <annTAKEOUT@asarian-host.net>
Message-ID: <68lqmuklu8ie8ifku1p45he520h1oua1rq@4ax.com>
Source record: 134644
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

On Wed, 28 Aug 2002 10:33:05 -0500, "Power House"
<Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote:

>
>"GadaztchaWoman" <Eat@theY.org.asm> wrote in message
>news:AKYa9.132246$Aw4.5562186@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>> But NOBODY will see what you're responding to, if their servers have
>> already expired the posts. YOU don't understand how Usenet works, and
>> that's all the more reason why you should attribute, and quote (in the
>> appropriate places like I'm doing here.) USENET is not a "bulletin
>> board" anymore.
>>
>
>"NOBODY will see what your responding to??" What the hell are you talking
>about? If your news provider has that short of a retention, then I suggest
>you find another. I can go back and read posts that were posted over a year
>ago... personally, I have a filter set up that cuts them off at 6-months of
>age. Why bother having posts from that long ago hanging around taking up HD
>space? I doubt that ANY single thread has ever gone on longer than
>6-months, let alone over a year. I truly recommend that you get a news
>provider with better retention, since that seems to be your problem from the
>get-go....
>
>Your whole concept of not knowing what someone else is responding to means
>nothing to the rest of the world. We have modern news providers that are
>capable of storing posts from more than a week ago, and I suggest you find
>one too.

Do you mean you keep all the posts you've already read? How do you
judge when to delete them? I read and delete immediately. Can't be
doing with old posts lying around.

Ann

5617. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 23:00:23 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <bCcb9.252769$m91.10360621@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134645

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:uMZa9.6836$VP1.717690087@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> Nope, George Busch WA3MOJ, one of
> the Aaron alter ego trolls.
> You can tell by the hate filled, sexual innuendo type
> of responses he leaves.
>

Landshark are you still mad the only thing we know about you is that your a
blatant homo
who frequents the alt.sex.ts NG's? Your name is Mark Beck, your a cronic
liar, you cannot take responsibility for your own actions. And you have meet
up with a real life transexual. Did I miss anything.

--

"Sometimes being right means pissing people off."
Colin Powell

5618. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 23:01:40 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <oDcb9.147742$Aw4.6138245@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134646

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:yMXa9.155917$Yd.6818433@twister.austin.rr.com...
> George, please only post on newsgroups frequented by US citizens. The rest
> of the world has a much better grasp of English than you do. Please don't
> embarrass us.
>
> Try 9th grade again, then try posting.

Randy, When I want to hear from an asshole Ill fart,
otherwise keep your nose head out of the cocaine bag.

5619. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 23:03:19 UTC
From: Kadaitcha Man <hahahahaha@fooq.com>
Message-ID: <akjl1p$pi1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 134648
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

"Ann" <annTAKEOUT@asarian-host.net> wrote in message
news:fukqmu4h9ioo5c2hahegous0r1sdekve12@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 27 Aug 2002 21:30:23 -0700, "Dr. John \(of Life\)"
> <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote:
>
> >The way I see posts (IN A MICRO$OFT PRODUCT!) are in a directory-tree
> >format. The replies to the original message are the branches, and then
> >replies to those replies are then sub-branched. I reply in a newsgroup
to
> >the person I have a beef with. I don't reply to the original sender. I
> >believe THAT makes my posts hard to follow. If I were a third party
looking
> >in, using this program, I would have no idea why replies were being set
to
> >the original post (that had nothing to do with my reply's argument.)
>
> Not everybody reads in the same way.

Correction.

> Not everybody reads

There. Much more accurate.

--
Kadaitcha Man
Moderator: alt.os.windows-xp
Moderator: alt.support.shyness

5620. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 23:05:21 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <RGcb9.252953$m91.10365038@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134647

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> Aanal Aaron has continuously side-stepped any and all of the "hard"
> questions since he began this thread with inaccurate information. As
> usual, he does his patented "chicken side-step" again. Stop passing
> the buck, Boobner; just answer the questions for a change.

As You have Dick Head you have dodge one very important question one that
you keep ducking but yet keep asking others.

Whats the Call OM or are you just a wanna be or perhaps havent passed the
code test yet so your a terminal tech.
DickHead just answer the questions for a change. will Ya

"Sometimes being right means pissing people off."
--Colin Powell

5621. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 23:06:26 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <SHcb9.5460$On.314519@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134649

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > Aaaron, Aaron, Aaron. I am all you think about now. Hey, there's a
> > word for that condition. It's "obsessed".... ;)
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> Yes, YOU are obsessed. But we all need a good laugh now and then and
> you provide it. Think about you? Hardly.
>
> Landshark had it right: TROLLMAN! Nuttin' but a trollman!

Yes you are a Troll man you troll all of aarons post so that makes you WHAT?
Yes thats right a HYPOCRITE!

--
Things
are changing, and clowns are going to be painting on sad faces in the coming
months.

5622. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 23:12:24 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6D3996.FAFF0FEA@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134650

Scott wrote:
>
> But surely if your reasoning behind why hams is good is because it helps
> communicate during an emergency, then surely the illegal operators (with
> larger outputs and further distances), or having in free to anyone to use,
> therefore giving a bigger net of people to help, wouldnt that make more
> sense?

Having larger outputs does not always mean further distances. If
the propagation on 11 meters is dead the all the power in the
world won't get you signal out except for local communications.
When propagation is dead on 10, 15 or 20 meters hams can move to
other bands and still maintain reliable communications over
distances. The ham VHF/UHF bands with repeaters are much superior
for local communications that 11 meters.
Authorities do not want more people to help, they want trained
operators who know how to communicate and can operate on traffic
nets to pass traffic (there is a certain protocol for this it
isn't just "hey I just got a message from gud buddy so-and-so, who
said blah blah blah, pass it on"), not just a bunch of wannabe
radio heroes cluttering up the frequencies and getting in the way.

You are believing in the old cb myth that more power and louder is
better.

5623. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 23:17:13 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6D3AB7.76269C3@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134652

Scott wrote:
>
> But why does it need to be a privilege, and what do they have to do to earn
> it, just buy a license, how does this "earn" you anything?

You seem to imply that all ham just buy a ham license. Well, it doesn't work that way, sure there have been some cheating in the testing process, but that is such a very small minority. 99.99% of hams take the test legitimately and "earn" the privilege to operate on the ham bands. The cber earns nothing, it has been given to him and you see the results, chaos of 11 meters. A person tends to respect something he has to put forth a little effort to gain.

5624. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 23:21:12 UTC
From: DarkPoet <darkpoetfan@hotmale.com>
Message-ID: <IVcb9.66806$N9.10734882@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 134651
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

Big F-N

LOL

(I thought no one would join me in the support group)

darkpoet

5625. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 23:22:10 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <CWcb9.8964$p%3.664934@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134653

Now why didn't he think of that... lol

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

>
> Then don't go to his website, dumbfuc!

5626. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 23:33:25 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020828193325.25401.00003170@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 134654

JJ, I think 2 meters is getting worse then cb.In our busy area people are jamming the repeaters all the time.Most people just try to talk simplex.Turn over to the 11 meter band and it is nice and quiet.

5627. Re: Galaxy DX949?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-28 23:56:07 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9278AC699DA7Dsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 134655

"Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart" <webmaster@salzberg.at> wrote in
news:umplfg9leo7504@corp.supernews.com:

> I am considering getting one of these radios from Pilot truckstops. Can
> these radios be easily modified to push more than 4 watts on cb channels?
> Are they worth the extra $$ versus like a Cobra 148?
>
>

I've got a DX959. It cost me $160, after I talked the guy down from $180. I've done a warranty replacement on it. It happens. I still have a beef with the knobs having what I consider to be an unacceptable amount of "wiggle" and free-play in them. Every 959 I've ever seen has this problem (if you can call it a problem). Cheaper potentiometers, I suppose. Take this for what it's worth. My 959 has lots of neat knobs and switches that are alot of fun to play with, but do nothing to improve my ability to communicate. I just think it looks cool in the dash =) The larger meter is pretty darn cool.

Yes, they can be easily modified to run more than 4 watts. Lots of radios are easy to modify to increase carrier power. This is pointless (and illegal), however. Doubling the AM carrier from 4 to 8 watts only increases the signal strength at the receiving radio by half an s-unit. You would have to double it again (16 watts) to get another half an s-unit increase, and so on. It's silly. A 949 won't last long at 16 watts, so it's pointless. None of this does a damn thing for the receiver, either.

Case in point - my brother in law and I can talk to each other on sideband from our vehicles parked in our driveways reliably and regarless of conditions. It's 6 miles though neighborhoods, tall buildings and trees as the crow flies. We're completely barefoot. My father with his 160 watt linear cannot do the same. Ain't that somethin'? A good antenna mounted and grounded properly goes a very long way.

I'm not preaching, so do me a favor and don't take anything I've said the wrong way. It's just something to think about. You're probably going to want to run more power regardless of what anybody tells you. I can't help that. But at least you have an alternate view to consider, eh?

I hear alot of great things about Uniden radios. Give them a looksie.

Alan S.

5628. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 00:13:36 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D6D6714.9B018253@icok.net>
Source record: 134656

skuld-chan,
What would you do with the recording? It really
isn't usable for anything, doesn't tell who it is,
isn't concidered proof in a court. This is an honest
question. What would it be good for?
'Doc

5629. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 00:17:12 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <cKdb9.6401$On.375872@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

sorry Nebro I am not mad at all in fact it it the best day of my life I just took a shit and named it Tim Nebo.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message news:cb91a19a36cc37d321279fa2e6c89b6c@xganon.com...
> your anger is showing george, rily all but gave the green light to freebanders and you cant handle it beacause that would render your crusade meaningless. of course he is going to tell the hammies something diferent thats just politcs. but we know how he really feels.
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

5630. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 00:18:30 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <qLdb9.276188$2p2.11111796@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134658

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020828190430.574$fn@newsreader.com...
> Foot fungus still begging for my attention. Still use Desonex for lip
balm?

Moped you are one of the main crybabys regarding top postin I was just
wondering if Nebro had the Balls to say something to you or will he just
clam up and hide in the corner.

5631. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 00:20:44 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <wNdb9.148522$Aw4.6210869@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134659

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208281441.37c08a42@posting.google.com...

> > Snapperheafd If you want me to address your post to me please quote the
post
> > you are reffering to otherwise you sound like a horses ass.
>
> COP OUT!!! Chicken! Whazza matter, Georgie, shorts too tight?

Dick head I would be happy to reply to the douchbeag if he would clarify the
question since he is handicapped and cannot quote the text that is not my
fault. Cop out is you not giving us your cough cough call sign.

5632. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 00:21:34 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <hOdb9.255924$m91.10431069@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134660

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208281435.7f3f4b53@posting.google.com...
> Then don't go to his website, dumbfuc!

Google Troll Post Alert!

5633. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 00:23:30 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <6Qdb9.148547$Aw4.6212942@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134661

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208281439.393ba368@posting.google.com...
> "Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:<qD7a9.201431$SS.8043362@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > Griffey is your amp a 10 meter amp? Or is that just a ploy to try and
sell
> > it? It is not an export model why the need for the Tom Foolery. Why do
you
> > call it a 10 meter amp? That is a fair question that should be
addressed.
>
> Kiss off, Georgie! It's not a question at all. YOU are the question.
> Why don't you USE ten meters instead of whining about "intruders"??

The question posed to Griffey is surely legit, he is advertising a 10 meter amp as a ham amp where is his certification for this amp where is the type acceptance? btw, I use 10 meters when ever I feel like it wanna know why because I have a license something you only dream about.

5634. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 00:26:38 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <2Tdb9.148572$Aw4.6215117@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134662

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208281445.6c492d0d@posting.google.com...
> SURE YOU WILL!!! Har de har har har har!! You're are still doing the
> famous, patented "chicken side-step". Just answer the questions,
> a-hole. Or just go away! As long as you're here posting nonsense, I'll
> be here to correct your nonsense! And neither Jerry nor Georgie-girl
> will chase me off. If the readers of this newsgroup "chose" you to
> parrot the bullcrap, YOU chose me to correct your inaccuracies. TROLL!

DickHead Jerry nor I is trying to chase you off of anything. Just answer the same question you posed to Aaron, Otherwise your a troll from the word Go! It is really quite simple your a asshole and are trolling this NG. Accept your responsibility why lie about your intentions? Be a man about it admit your not a ham, and if you are by some stroke of luck post your call. These are questions you have asked and it is only fair that we get an answer from you what do you sauy Dick Head?

5635. Re: Angry Hammies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 00:32:02 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208281632.61fe3b4d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134663

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<6276-3D6CEE58-11@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> Hehhee...I haven't seen Frank, Lelnad, Hall, and N8 this mad all at once
> in quite a while.
> What did we miss, Keith?

Maybe that they got cornered in the "ebutt" threat and were exposed
for false statements?

Ya know, they really should be active on those precious hambo bands
instead of wasting so much time here in a CB newsgroup. If they were,
they might actually run some of those over-powered truckers out of ten
meters. Shows where their priorities lie, hey?

BTW, I've been listening to the low end of 10 meters the last few days
and I haven't heard anything remotely sounding like an out-of-band
CBer. In fact, I haven't heard anything at all. Which is odd since
there's still activity on 11 meters! But they're too busy TROLLing
rec.radio.CB! Losers!!

5636. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 00:45:46 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D6D6E9E.814546DC@icok.net>
Source record: 134664

Scott wrote:
>
> Just wondering..... How many of these cry babies speed while driving to
> or from work? Isn't that illegal also? But they do it anyways, right? And
> you don't see me or other folks out there calling Johnny Law when they speed
> on by and or cut someone off DO YA? I don't think so!!!!!!

I don't know about where you live, but if you don't 'stay up'
with
traffic here, they'll run over you. That means in most cases,
you
have to speed in self defence. That's not a justification, but
it's
the way it is.

But that is just
> fine with me cause there is going to be a day when all these frequencies are
> going to be open to the public anyways.

Everyone is entitled to their own opinion, but I don't think
either
of us will live to see that happen.

Just look at the cb, the FCC
> couldn't stop people from using the AM legally with a license so they drop
> the license. So what are they (Ham Operators) going to cry about when
> everyone will be allowed to use these so called licenses only frequencies to
> cause hate and discontent like is done on channel 19? Just think about that
> one for awhile.. It is only a matter of time!!!!!!!!

You might be right, but it's longer away than I'll ever have
to worry
about.

> As it is now you folks are loosing frequencies cause no one is using them.
> Hell all of the old timers are dying off and none of the new generation care
> about radios. The new generation uses computers to communicate, just the
> same way you people are doing right now in this newsgroup! Just think about
> that for another minute or two!

I also use a computer in conjunction with a radio. Do you
mean that
people can have only one hobby? Is it computers ~or~ radios?
Not both?
I really don't think communicating with a computer is anything
like talking

on the radio. Or maybe you could compare it with CB radio,
don't need
a license for either one, just money to buy one. Then again,
it's kinda
hard to hurt yourself with a computer, unless you drop it on
your foot or
something. Can't really say that about the high voltages
you're liable to
encounter with a lot of amateur radio gear. That's one reason
for that
test, you know. Trying to make sure you know enough NOT to
kill your self.

> So why don't all of you old time so called ham operators go back to your
> radios and carry on a conversation with other folks just like
> yourselves!!!!!!!!!!
> Just look at the name of the newsgroup, I don't think the word ham is in it
> anywhere. Oh but I know your way of thinking (hams) and you are going to
> tell me the word radio means "hams" right? Well before you do that radio
> also means stereo all so. But I don't see anyone posting questions about
> there stereos in here, do you?

Actually, I was going to ask why a person can't be both a
CB'er and
a ham? Just because you're one doesn't mean you can't be
both. I'd
have to guess that the majority of hams were at one time (if
not still)
CB'ers. I don't know where the idea that you couldn't have
both the
CB and amateur radio as hobbies ever came from.
And as far as the 'what's it gonna hurt to operate illegally'
thing is
concerned, it's the old story about 'getting something for
nothing'. It
hurts everyone when the rules (laws) are broken. That may be a
bit hard
to follow, but it's true.
'Doc

5637. UPDATE!!! ANOTHER HELICOPTER DOWNED....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 00:51:50 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020828205150.00844.00003783@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 134665

Whal gall darned it, now ahve gon an dun it. Thar i wuz,a settin in mah traler las nite,whin i keed up my ole trashy-klipped-terboh-tooned raydeeoh, jus az the lokal trooperz wasa flyin over mah house. Ah guezz tha it whar too much fer thet ole bell jet ranger to take, the "out of band harmoniks an all thet".an she jus plowed into mah korn feeld, blades justa whippen them ole stalks aroun. Whal it whar such a reeleef to see tha ole boy come a krawlin outa thet there copter,and i got ta thankin thet dem ole hamboys must be right bout aal them harmoniks messin roun with the lectronics on a copter. Good thang the space shuttle waznt flyin over thet nite, or i mighta been in some deep doo-doo with them nasa boys.
Whal thats it from traler trash korner. ps. whut happenz whin yew play a country song bakwerds ? yew get yore girl,yore truk.an yore dog back. :) :) :)

gunslinger

5638. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 01:07:09 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akjrv2$1jf4m7$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134666

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D6D1E6B.15183401@mailcity.net...

> CBers like to call them numbers for some strange reason. Just like
> SWRs instead of SWR.

I guess it's just one of those "thangs."

Tom

5639. Cb ...general question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 01:09:47 UTC
From: "Martin J. Croke" <huntemup@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <oveb9.8809$XV.224963@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 134668

I am thinking about putting a CB in my personal truck to use when traveling. Before I do...I was wondering...are they really still being used? (Especially in the North East?) Have they gotten away from "kids playing" all the time? And what about the 10 meter radios...are most truckers using them instead?

Thank You for all replys!

Marty

5640. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 01:11:16 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akjs6p$1jf0vh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134667

"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:9xcb9.274601$2p2.11035555@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...

>
> When they are saying they are from such and such a state usually you can
> firgure it out, boy your a regular rocket scientist

There's no reason to act like an asshole. Welcome to my killfile.

> >How the heck is one supposed to know what distance another station is
> located?
>
> He did tell you he was from Louisiana didn't he? well you answered your
own
> question.

Not until after I talked to him, you twit, in which the rule against
communicating with stations >150 mi. was already broken.

Tom

5641. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 01:20:11 UTC
From: oris@dirtball.com
Message-ID: <f7a9c6ac.0208281720.44817f2f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134669
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

"m-marcelo" <m-marcelo@earthlink.net.ignore.spam> wrote in message
news:<TQUa9.3186$N%4.220725@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> reply to troll...
>
> XP doesn't run on my commodore 64
> but i've slept in a Holiday Inn...
>
> -a|ex
>
> "Terry Porter" <keyclown@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:602ef891.0208271603.43b9d4f3@posting.google.com...
> > I've been a Windows XP Pirate ever since I downloaded XP Corporate
> > Edition form Kazza and now my inner soul wants to settle the score and
> > make things right.
> >
> > I used to be a Linux user but after I tried my free copy of Windows XP
> > there was no going back to Debian.
> >
> > Linux was taking up more of my time than selling DaveMade Amps on the
> > side.
> > You see I am just on the wrong side of the law and I want to come
> > clean.
> >
> > I've been a closet keyclown for a long time now.
> > I've flatulated all over the Ham bands using my pirated version of
> > Windows XP.
> >
> > To clear my name I am going to post my reg key so all can know I am
> > truly a keyclown, cb'er good buddy.
> >
> > fckgw-rhqq2-yxrkt-8tg6w-2b7q8
> >
> > I'm going to switch to a McIntush so I can pay real money for legal
> > software that works.
> >
> > Don't even try Linux because it really sucks, worse than XP.,
> >
> >
> > Terry Porter
> >
Terry
I have found in all the Microsoft operating systems that Windows 98
SE is about the best. I have a box on my network that runs Linux. It

is about the most user unfriendly Operating system. I use it in a Dell dimension 333. It serves as a router and a firewall for my network. I have 10 computers running on my network. I have 3 boxes on my network that run Windows NT 4.0 with all of the supplaments. They stop producing supplaments when 2000 came out. Alot of people swaer by 2000. I like Windows 98 SE myself. Anyway the NT boxes are DNS box homebrew 233 MHZ box, a print server another 500 MHZ box and a expermental 400 mhz box I am trying to get to work as a router (no luck yet). I also want to get rid of linux. Some day I hope to have DSL in here so I'll need a windows router instead of Linux. Nobody in the DSL world supports Linux.
I have a 733 and three 400's that all run Windows 98 SE.
Just in case you where interested.
73
Oris

5642. Re: UNITED GAY KEYCLOWNS OF AMERICA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 01:23:29 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208281723.5a2e8734@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134670

"Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
news:<umppsnbsqe678e@corp.supernews.com>...
> That's beautiful... I wonder what GeoCities would say about your bestiality
> pic?
>
> PH
Not "MY" pic...but why doncha post em a word up and see what they say?

>
>
> >
> > http://www.geocities.com/aunteekeyklown/Keyclown_wedding.html
> >
> > timnebo@aol.com187 (www.SemenLippedTimNebo.com) whined like the little
> > woman he is in message
> > news:<20020826094040.28630.00002366@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> > > my website is still up and running. for the newbies that would like to
> > > undertstand wahts going on with tim nebo go here and see for yourself
> > >
>
> > >
> > > >From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters)
>
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >Your web site will soon be up and running. Special section for
> chapwoman.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Tim Nebo
> > >
> > > http://www.TimNebo.com

5643. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 01:28:06 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208281728.7df86312@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134671
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

"DarkPoet" <darkpoetfan@hotmale.com> wrote in message
news:<iC8b9.66285$N9.10589473@twister.neo.rr.com>...
> Hi Im darkpoet and im a pirate.....
>
> (Is this the pirate anonymus support group? If so then lets all stand up and
> say what we have done for warez, I personally have had to eat a wonans pussy
> to get a copy of M$ publisher and it was.....)
>
> darkpoet

Must have been an awful experience, considering that lisping way you talk.

5644. Re: Cb ...general question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 01:28:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6D5986.2597DA09@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134672

"Martin J. Croke" wrote:
>
> I am thinking about putting a CB in my personal truck to use when traveling.
> Before I do...I was wondering...are they really still being used? (
> Especially in the North East?) Have they gotten away from "kids playing"
> all the time? And what about the 10 meter radios...are most truckers using
> them instead?
>
> Thank You for all replys!
>
> Marty

The 10 meter band is an amateur radio (ham) band. You must hold an
amateur radio operator's license to operate on that band. Yes the
truckers are using them illegally in the 10 meter band and being
caught.

5645. Re: Old coax length answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 01:42:49 UTC
From: rparz@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6a4c1ec2.0208281742.4a209ef9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134673

Where is Sean when we need him?

5646. Re: Best CB Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 01:50:23 UTC
From: rparz@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6a4c1ec2.0208281750.39869fb9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134674

"Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart" <webmaster@salzberg.at> wrote in message
news:<umpsplona43a69@corp.supernews.com>...
> Can you guys give me some opinions on what is considered the best cb radio
> you can get for a trucker?

As the other guy said, old cobras are good. (but you have to be
careful, of where they were made / manufactured by)

Uniden makes decent rigs.

Also, Texas Ranger makes a good product.

5647. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 02:02:20 UTC
From: DarkPoet <darkpoetfan@hotmale.com>
Message-ID: <Mgfb9.67207$N9.10836255@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 134675
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

Yawwwwwnnnnnn. . . . . .

5648. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 02:04:15 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <zifb9.133292$eK6.3999216@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134676

"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:oDcb9.147742$Aw4.6138245@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:yMXa9.155917$Yd.6818433@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > George, please only post on newsgroups frequented by US citizens. The
rest
> > of the world has a much better grasp of English than you do. Please
don't
> > embarrass us.
> >
> > Try 9th grade again, then try posting.
>
>
> Randy, When I want to hear from an asshole Ill fart,
> otherwise keep your nose head out of the cocaine bag.
>
>

Try 9th grade again, then try posting.

Nothing more needs be said.

5649. Re: Best CB Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 02:17:04 UTC
From: AJ Sanderson <alphasand@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <akk07b$l4p$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 134677

I always liked the Uniden Grant XL. I personally own four of them. One of these days, Uniden probably isn't going to be making any more of these fine radios and I just want to have some extras.

As to performance, it is excellent. The receive is good because of good adjacent channel rejection (much better than most of the exports and 10 meter rigs). Power output is standard, but the sideband is a plus.

A few years ago, I "dedicated" a Grant to a special single channel only (channel 24). By "dedicated", I mean I greatly narrowed the bandwidth of the receiver/ transmitter so that only channels 20-28 would be received (greatly increased the Q of the coils and filters). The results were dramatic and even someone running 100 watts just a few feet away on channel 29 wouldn't interfere with 24. It took a lot of work as most of the existing coils had to be replaced, but it was well worth it, especially for a single dedicated purpose like I had. The only drawback was that the transmitting SWR's would increase to 3:1 just one channel above or below ch. 24. Now that's what I call selective!

Good luck with your radio, whatever you choose.

Just my two cents....

AJ Sanderson

"Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart" <webmaster@salzberg.at> wrote in message news:umpsplona43a69@corp.supernews.com...
> Can you guys give me some opinions on what is considered the best cb radio
> you can get for a trucker?
>
>

5650. Re: Galaxy DX949?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 02:25:54 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <umr1la4fh8fld3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134680

My preference for a straight out SSB CB radio would be the Uniden Grant XL/LT. If you would also be considering used radios, then you could go with an older Cobra 148. My general rule of thumb is, if the Mike cord comes out the side of the unit, she's a keeper, and if it's hanging from the front (faceplate), she's a tosser (doorstop)...

I don't have any experience with the Galaxy line of CBs, so I can't really comment on them. I'll leave that up to others to do.

PH

"Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart" <webmaster@salzberg.at> wrote in message news:umpsphopk4fq67@corp.supernews.com...
> Well then.... what is a real good modern day cb radio?
>
> "Power House" <Power_House@PrivateBox.com> wrote in message
> news:umprkoi7sl8n03@corp.supernews.com...
> > If your talking about a modern day Cobra 148, a couple of cans of corn and
> a
> > string would be better...
> >
> >
> > "Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart" <webmaster@salzberg.at> wrote in message
> > news:umplfg9leo7504@corp.supernews.com...
> > > I am considering getting one of these radios from Pilot truckstops. Can
> > > these radios be easily modified to push more than 4 watts on cb
> channels?
> > > Are they worth the extra $$ versus like a Cobra 148?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

5651. Re: Bye BYe Moonraker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 02:26:24 UTC
From: jkross255@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <a47f3907.0208281826.6e153d76@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134678

"Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D575BB7.C8009C93@citlink.net>...
> I cant beleive they have discontinued this antenna.But guess what I
> bought 8 of them right after they discontinued them.You see em on the
> Bay going for 300+...I have them brand new in the box for $240 +
> shipping

Dude, why waste my money? I can get a brand new Moonraker 4 (Retail
mind you, i can get it less wholesale :P) for $169 so why would i pay
$240 for the same thing?

5652. Re: Bye BYe Moonraker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 02:28:17 UTC
From: jkross255@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <a47f3907.0208281828.7a04486c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134679

"Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D575BB7.C8009C93@citlink.net>...
> I cant beleive they have discontinued this antenna.But guess what I
> bought 8 of them right after they discontinued them.You see em on the
> Bay going for 300+...I have them brand new in the box for $240 +
> shipping

Oops, i correct myself, the retail price went up 30 bucks to 199, but still :)

5653. Re: Best CB Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 02:38:20 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6D891C.39B945B2@citlink.net>
Source record: 134681

Could you not give a person warning so they could run for their hip boots...sheeeesh

AJ Sanderson wrote:

> I always liked the Uniden Grant XL. I personally own four of them. One of
> these days, Uniden probably isn't going to be making any more of these fine
> radios and I just want to have some extras.
>
> As to performance, it is excellent. The receive is good because of good
> adjacent channel rejection (much better than most of the exports and 10
> meter rigs). Power output is standard, but the sideband is a plus.
>
> A few years ago, I "dedicated" a Grant to a special single channel only
> (channel 24). By "dedicated", I mean I greatly narrowed the bandwidth of
> the receiver/ transmitter so that only channels 20-28 would be received
> (greatly increased the Q of the coils and filters). The results were
> dramatic and even someone running 100 watts just a few feet away on channel
> 29 wouldn't interfere with 24. It took a lot of work as most of the
> existing coils had to be replaced, but it was well worth it, especially for
> a single dedicated purpose like I had. The only drawback was that the
> transmitting SWR's would increase to 3:1 just one channel above or below ch.
> 24. Now that's what I call selective!
>
> Good luck with your radio, whatever you choose.
>
> Just my two cents....
>
> AJ Sanderson
>
> "Wolfgang Amadeus Mozart" <webmaster@salzberg.at> wrote in message
> news:umpsplona43a69@corp.supernews.com...
> > Can you guys give me some opinions on what is considered the best cb radio
> > you can get for a trucker?
> >
> >

5654. Re: Silent Keyboard List?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 03:03:38 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <JGgb9.89077$%v4.4859624@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134698

Keith Hosman <arcweb@bnnorth.net> wrote in message
news:3f8b9.7760$pv6.860491@cletus.bright.net...

<snip>
> I will support this idea, and Richard was one of a kind. I'll miss the Big
> Kahuna.

.....not to mention The Velvet Cod Piece.

Jerry
>
>
> 73 de Keith
> --
> KC8TCQ
> American Red Cross - Disaster Services
> Board Member - Henry County Chapter American Red Cross
> Health and Safety Services Instructor - Henry County Chapter American Red
> Cross
> Vice President: REACT of Henry County
>
>

5655. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 03:06:22 UTC
From: Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <3D6D8FAE.C31A2C51@bnnorth.net>
Source record: 134687

Terry Porter wrote:
>
> I've been a Windows XP Pirate ever since I downloaded XP Corporate
> Edition form Kazza and now my inner soul wants to settle the score and
> make things right.
>
> I used to be a Linux user but after I tried my free copy of Windows XP
> there was no going back to Debian.
>
> Linux was taking up more of my time than selling DaveMade Amps on the
> side.
> You see I am just on the wrong side of the law and I want to come
> clean.
>
> I've been a closet keyclown for a long time now.
> I've flatulated all over the Ham bands using my pirated version of
> Windows XP.
>
> To clear my name I am going to post my reg key so all can know I am
> truly a keyclown, cb'er good buddy.
>
> fckgw-rhqq2-yxrkt-8tg6w-2b7q8
>
> I'm going to switch to a McIntush so I can pay real money for legal
> software that works.
>
> Don't even try Linux because it really sucks, worse than XP.,
>
> Terry Porter

I'll give you the fact that winblowz sucks, but linux rocks, it is much more stable, much LESS expensive, much less prone to being taken out by virii.

You just need to learn how to use it.

73 de Keith

5656. Re: Bye BYe Moonraker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 03:09:12 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6D9058.F5BE9063@citlink.net>
Source record: 134682

Sorry pal but I dont think you will get them for less from PALCO(thought you were a wizard didnt
you?...LOL) than I can and mine are already all gone(22 of em) so it is time to get some more.....
I wont even make a secret about it....Palco Electronics Southgate MI has Moonrakers for $199.....
There,do you think it will hurt my business???/NOT.I have even referred people to Palco when I sold
the ones I got
Have a joyus day

Jeff Kross wrote:

> "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3D575BB7.C8009C93@citlink.net>...
> > I cant beleive they have discontinued this antenna.But guess what I
> > bought 8 of them right after they discontinued them.You see em on the
> > Bay going for 300+...I have them brand new in the box for $240 +
> > shipping
>
> Dude, why waste my money? I can get a brand new Moonraker 4 (Retail
> mind you, i can get it less wholesale :P) for $169 so why would i pay
> $240 for the same thing?

5657. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 03:10:27 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d6d8784.1106354@news.cet.com>
Source record: 134684

In <c5ecbb69.0208280412.7a09a924@posting.google.com>,
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

<snip>
>> The fact is, they do work hard to keep their bands clean. Some choose
>> to go right to the root of the problem, the most heavily and blatantly
>> abused part of the spectrum -- the Citizen's Band.
>
>An assumption. And presented to keep folks from looking harder at the
>hams.

It's not an assumption, it's a documented fact. Hams are constantly
weeding their garden. Just take a look at rainreport, or FCC's NAL
list.

>> > And we wouldn't have to put up with their stupidity on this
>> >newsgroup, at least. (I wouldn't miss them a bit, personally.)
>>
>> The feeling is mutual.
>
>So why are you here?

Because I'm a CBer, I'm a legal CBer, and I'm a CBer that's concerned
about the future of CB radio. Why are YOU here?

>> > But
>> >Jerry doesn't dare post a callsign,
>>
>> Jerry who? Oxendine? Haven't you heard of qrz.com? Or google.com? And
>> just so your complaint is properly filed, your callsign is...?

Well?

>> > George can only post bullcrap
>> >snipes,
>>
>> Maybe we should dig a few of YOUR posts out of the archives.
>>
>> > and Aanal Aaron can't possibly be studying for his "extra"
>> >since he spends so much time trolling here and cruising eBay for
>> >so-called "illegal" auctions.
>>
>> I suppose you know just how everyone should spend their time, right?
>> And why should that be any concern of yours?
>
>It's perfectly obvious how they spend their time. Why does that

>concern me? Because they're QRMing the newsgroup with crap. Same logic
>as Aanal Aaron whining about CBers QRMing ten meters, which he cannot
>even use.

1. The "QRM" can be cleaned up by using a killfile, exercising some
self-control, or just 'changing the channel' or 'turning the damn
thing off'.

2. This newsgroup has been filled with "crap" since it first began.
Unfortunately, that's the nature of Usenet. As long as there are
people that can't control their urge to verbally defacate in public
places, you will just have to dodge the "crap" whenever you want to
take a walk.

3. Your example of "logic" is seriously flawed, since you don't know
who Aaron is, and you don't know if he can use HF or not. In fact, you
don't even know if he is a ham.

4. ANYONE has just as much right to voice his opinions in this forum
as you or I do (provided it isn't illegal, such as libel, threats,
advertising CB amps for sale, etc...).

5. If any of those facts are not to your liking to the point of
causing you grief, then you really need to get a life.

>> But you still haven't addressed my point, and I'll simplify it for
>> your benefit: Tim was whining about hams but I'm not a ham, I'm a
>> CBer. I don't usually post on ham newsgroups because I'm not a ham. I
>> post on the CB newsgroup because I'm a CBer. Was that simple enough
>> for you to understand?
>
>BFD.

I think it -is- a "BFD" since that was my point in the first place.

> So why are all those other alleged hams posting here? Why aren't
>they in rec.radio.amateur.misc or some other ham newsgroup?

Because they are able to post, because there is nothing preventing
them from posting, and because they have chosen to do so. For any
other reasons you will have to ask them.

> They are
>accomplishing nothing by trolling here. And they will accomplish
>nothing as long as they choose to waste their time.

Evidently they are accomplishing -something-, as you seem to be
offended by their posting. But you (and Timmy) seem to be similarly
offended by MY posting. And you have never voiced your disagreement
with the "QRM" from proponents of illegal operation. So clearly your
problem isn't with hams, but with something else. I recommend a
therapist to get to the root of your problem. Twisty claims to have a
good one, but he can't seem to recall a name. Maybe he will provide it
to you through email.

>I don't believe Tim was "whining". I believe he was making a point,
>which you obviously cannot grasp.

What -YOU- couldn't grasp was the point that -I- made in response to
Timmy's whining. And yes, Timmy was whining -- he whines constantly.
Maybe someday he will make a positive and constructive contribution to
this newsgroup, but I'm not holding my breath.

5658. Re: more stuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 03:12:51 UTC
From: George Kinzer <horseflygk@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Tigb9.39903$Ke2.2834041@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134683

well better use a half hour or so, a month of peace would be nice. later.

--
If first you don't succeed, do the same thing again...see what happens

5659. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 03:13:32 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <vQgb9.89133$%v4.4864301@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134699

Scott <scott@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:WKUa9.101725$aA.21337@sccrnsc02...
> Just wondering........ How many of these cry babies speed while driving to
> or from work? Isn't that illegal also? But they do it anyways, right? And
> you don't see me or other folks out there calling Johnny Law when they
speed
> on by and or cut someone off DO YA? I don't think so!!!!!! But that is
just
> fine with me cause there is going to be a day when all these frequencies
are
> going to be open to the public anyways. Just look at the cb, the FCC
> couldn't stop people from using the AM legally with a license so they drop
> the license. So what are they (Ham Operators) going to cry about when
> everyone will be allowed to use these so called licenses only frequencies
to
> cause hate and discontent like is done on channel 19? Just think about
that
> one for awhile.. It is only a matter of time!!!!!!!!
> As it is now you folks are loosing frequencies cause no one is using them.
> Hell all of the old timers are dying off and none of the new generation
care
> about radios. The new generation uses computers to communicate, just the
> same way you people are doing right now in this newsgroup! Just think
about
> that for another minute or two!
> So why don't all of you old time so called ham operators go back to your
> radios and carry on a conversation with other folks just like
> yourselves!!!!!!!!!!
> Just look at the name of the newsgroup, I don't think the word ham is in
it
> anywhere. Oh but I know your way of thinking (hams) and you are going to
> tell me the word radio means "hams" right? Well before you do that radio
> also means stereo all so. But I don't see anyone posting questions about
> there stereos in here, do you?
>
> My 2 cents, Scott

Well, hello, "XGANON", did you forget to disguise yourself before you posted
the same message
here that you posted in Amatuer Policy? No offense; just wonderin'.

Jerry

>
>

>
> "Scott" <mr_scott_mckay@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1030488787.429082@teuthos...
> > I am an Australian. I have no illegal equipment (or any equipment at
> all),
> > but I am just wondering why you people hate people that use illegal
> > equipment so much?
> >
> > Does it affect you?
> >
> > Just wonderin.
> >
> > .Scott.
> >
> >
>
>

5660. Re: The passing of Richard McCollum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 03:20:46 UTC
From: Keith Hosman KC8TCQ <arcweb@bnnorth.net>
Message-ID: <3D6D930E.C33409E8@bnnorth.net>
Source record: 134691

Dave McCollum wrote:
>
> To all who read this:
>
> I am Richard's brother, David. I was entrusted with examining Dick's
> computer, looking for pertinent information to aid us with the
> disposition of him and his property. We found what we needed, along
> with a significantly large e-mail address book. As a family we decided
> to notify everyone in the address list of his demise. It made more
> sense to do that than to have his e-mail address suddenly fall silent,
> no one knowing why.
>
> I now know that what I discovered on Dick's computer was more than
> addresses. It was a window onto a group of real people who have shown
> themselves to be the very best friends one could dream to have. I have
> received incredibly caring and thoughtful condolences from many of you.
> I have observed other outpouring of shock, dismay, concern, and caring
> from many others on this newsgroup. I have been told being a part of
> amateur radio entails upholding a pledge to aide those in need or in
> distress. Without any doubt, you on this board have done that above and
> beyond all expectations (save one).
>
> Let it be known that the next issue of the American Radio Relay League
> publication QST will list Dick, N0BK, as a Silent Key.
> Let it also be known that the sincere words, opinions, and anecdotes
> appearing in this newsgroup, in my mind, constitute quite possibly the
> finest memorial service Dick could have received.
>
> Thank you all.

The Big Kahuna will be missed by all, he was a wealth of knowledge and
was always willing to share it. It was his way of doing so that endeared
him to us, he stuck to his guns but did it with a mixture of humor,
strength, and wisdom.

God Bless, the members of the Fulton County Amateur Radio Club join with
me in offering condolences.

73 de Keith KC8TCQ

5661. Re: AKC ARRL Members Dig Deep!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 03:22:37 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208281922.9c27c64@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134685

allsteel77@aol.com (ALLSTEEL77) wrote in message
news:<20020828184427.25362.00003416@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> http://www.eham.net/articles/3882 LOL!

I think Jerry should contribute to this fund. Come on Jerry....Put
your money where your keyboard is.............

5662. Re: You keyqueens slowing down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 03:24:07 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208281924.6a0bf3d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134686

keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message news:<d1e9cf6a.0208272343.35b33006@posting.google.com>...
> I just saw N8's qrz page..only 1733 hits...come on keyqueens...make ole N8 famous!
>
> ANTIBOYS OWN THE NG AND YOU CANT DO ANYTHING ABOUT IT!!!

Hey Numbnutts......N8 isn't that important. And neither are you....Get your pimple zit infested fat face in a book and start studying you mudduck..........

5663. 10 meter Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 03:31:35 UTC
From: Garfield <dont@spam.me>
Message-ID: <akk4ih$bg3$1@news.chatlink.com>
Source record: 134688

If I wanted to legally operate one of those 10 meter radios you can by at the TA or a Pilot , lets say a Galaxy, what FCC license would I need?

Do you have to know code?

I know this isn't really a CB question but I do know there are quite a few hams on here.

Thanks

5664. Re: 10 meter Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 03:32:59 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Y6hb9.89302$%v4.4872924@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134702

Garfield <dont@spam.me> wrote in message
news:akk4ih$bg3$1@news.chatlink.com...
> If I wanted to legally operate one of those 10 meter radios you can by at
> the TA or a Pilot , lets say a Galaxy, what FCC license would I need?
>
> Do you have to know code?
>
> I know this isn't really a CB question but I do know there are quite a few
> hams on here.
>
> Thanks

You need the Amateur Technician + 5 WPM CW test element to operate on 10
meters. The easiest way to do this is to drop by Radio Shack and purchase
the technician level books by Gordon West. There are other sources on the
internet for Amateur testing/licenses as well. Take
the entry level test (Technician), then obtain the Morse Code tapes, again
from Radio Shack, a
ham swapmeet (advertised on various Amatuer sites--QRZ, QSL, Eham---). Test
sessions are
held all over the place, you just need to contact a local club, or member,
to find out when and where. Good Luck!

73

Jerry
>
>

5665. Re: Could A Keyclown be that STUPID?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 03:33:17 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208281933.24427b66@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134690

Dave or Debby <truth@NOspamplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:<3j1nmu8gu8l6pge4nm47pevqt553npm306@4ax.com>...
> YOU tell them Mr. Griffey!
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> On Tue, 27 Aug 2002 12:23:17 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
> <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >I don't question your crusade... just your method of attaining it. As for
> >judging you for what you say here... you bet I do. Why should this place be
> >any different than any other? It appears that the "Anti-boys" are nothing
> >more then a bunch of filthy mouthed ham radio operators that use any means
> >to get to their end...
> >Shame on you... I know you know better.
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

Geez Dave.......What did you do to piss off Dave?

5666. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 03:33:44 UTC
From: "Dr. John (of Life)" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <4Dgb9.522$7i3.7428@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 134689
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

I see the original post in every single one of my messages. It is put there automatically by MS OE 6. Why the hell can't anyone else see the damn quoted material?

Jeez louise.

John

"Ann" <annTAKEOUT@asarian-host.net> wrote in message news:fukqmu4h9ioo5c2hahegous0r1sdekve12@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 27 Aug 2002 21:30:23 -0700, "Dr. John \(of Life\)"
> <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote:
>
> >The way I see posts (IN A MICRO$OFT PRODUCT!) are in a directory-tree
> >format. The replies to the original message are the branches, and then
> >replies to those replies are then sub-branched. I reply in a newsgroup to
> >the person I have a beef with. I don't reply to the original sender. I
> >believe THAT makes my posts hard to follow. If I were a third party looking
> >in, using this program, I would have no idea why replies were being set to
> >the original post (that had nothing to do with my reply's argument.)
>
> Not everybody reads in the same way. I download the posts, read them
> and delete. So when I next download I don't have the original post
> that you responded to. I don't care who you're replying to as long as
> you quote a bit and say who wrote it then I can follow it.
>
> Ann

5667. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 03:34:47 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6D9657.A16A91E4@citlink.net>
Source record: 134692

"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:

> I peeked into this thread thinking it might be Tim confessing to years
> of radio bootlegging. Imagine my disappointment!
>
> -Aaron-

5668. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 03:35:36 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6D9687.BA2F8B76@citlink.net>
Source record: 134693

Yes I can imagine the disappointment of a SUPER TROLL with nothing to pick
on

"Aaron H. Voobner" wrote:

> I peeked into this thread thinking it might be Tim confessing to years
> of radio bootlegging. Imagine my disappointment!
>
> -Aaron-

5669. Re: Angry Hammies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 03:36:14 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d6d9332.4096306@news.cet.com>
Source record: 134694

In <c5ecbb69.0208281632.61fe3b4d@posting.google.com>,
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

>twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<6276-3D6CEE58-11@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
>> Hehhee...I haven't seen Frank, Lelnad, Hall, and N8 this mad all at once
>> in quite a while.
>> What did we miss, Keith?
>
>Maybe that they got cornered in the "ebutt" threat and were exposed
>for false statements?
>
>Ya know, they really should be active on those precious hambo bands
>instead of wasting so much time here in a CB newsgroup. If they were,
>they might actually run some of those over-powered truckers out of ten
>meters. Shows where their priorities lie, hey?
>
>BTW, I've been listening to the low end of 10 meters the last few days
>and I haven't heard anything remotely sounding like an out-of-band
>CBer. In fact, I haven't heard anything at all. Which is odd since
>there's still activity on 11 meters! But they're too busy TROLLing
>rec.radio.CB! Losers!!

For someone that whines way too much about how other people spend
their time, you sure spend quite a bit of time with your anti-ham
crusade, both on and off the newsgroup. Care to elaborate? Or would
you rather just repeat the same old BS about how the hams are ruining
RRCB? You know, there have been quite a few hams that have been
outstanding contributors to this newsgroup. You know what? Dick was by
far the most experienced, the most informative, and the most respected
regular in this group, and he was a HAM. On the other hand, YOU
haven't contributed squat. So why is it that YOU are complaining?

5670. Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 03:36:50 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Aahb9.89329$%v4.4874436@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134704

The following may be of interest!!

Jerry

August 28, 2002

CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Cheetah Transportation Company
378 Williamson Road
Mooresville, NC 28117-5935

SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)

Dear Sir:

Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a driver of the above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed radio transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South Carolina, on June 5, 2002.

Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment without a license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the individual to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio transmitting equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth
Special Counsel
Enforcement Bureau

CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director

5671. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 03:37:55 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6D9713.4426C01E@citlink.net>
Source record: 134696
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

Hell I miss 1/2 the posts in here unless I turn my BS filters off

GadaztchaWoman wrote:

> Dr. John (of Life) caused an invalid page fault while posing as
> frellyou@privacy.net.
> Core dump log follows:
>
> > "GadaztchaWoman" <Eat@theY.org.asm> wrote in message
> > news:BhXa9.130351$Aw4.5481387@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >> Dr. John (of Life) caused an invalid page fault while posting as
> >> frellyou@privacy.net.
> >> Core dump log follows:
> >>
> >>> I'll keep top-posting as long as I don't know what the frell it is,
> >>
> >> Nice admission of stupidity.
> >
> > I say that because I have previously asked what it is, and was
> > ignored. I'm bitter!
>
> Then I offer my most sincere apologies. (Really)
>
> >>
> >>> and as long as I see that it does not frelling harm to others.
> >>
> >> Harm? No. Make your posts hard to follow, YES! I read from top to
> >> bottom and left to right. Most (but not all) people do. Sometimes I
> >> (and many others) come in on the middle of a thread. If you include
> >> the stuff I/we missed on TOP, it's mush easier to follow your reply.
> >> Are you getting any of this, or are you stupid?
> >
> > Hm. If you come in on the middle of a thread, then that means that
> > you read from the middle to [x] location. Not top to bottom.
> > Relative top, yes. Absolute top, no.
>
> No. "middle of the thread" means I came in about half-way through ALL
> the complete posts. My (and your) servers may have dropped MANY, if not
> all prior posts. If you don't quote and attribute what you're
> responding to, how the heck am I (or others in my 'boat') supposed to
> know what you're talking about, especially if you top post without
> quotes or attributions?
>
> > The way I see posts (IN A MICRO$OFT PRODUCT!) are in a directory-tree

> > format. The replies to the original message are the branches, and
> > then replies to those replies are then sub-branched. I reply in a
> > newsgroup to the person I have a beef with. I don't reply to the
> > original sender.
>
> But NOBODY will see what you're responding to, if their servers have
> already expired the posts. YOU don't understand how Usenet works, and
> that's all the more reason why you should attribute, and quote (in the
> appropriate places like I'm doing here.) USENET is not a "bulletin
> board" anymore.
>
> > I believe THAT makes my posts hard to follow.
>
> No. What makes your, (and those of your ilk) posts hard to follow is
> stupidity.
>
> > If I
> > were a third party looking in, using this program, I would have no
> > idea why replies were being set to the original post (that had
> > nothing to do with my reply's argument.)
>
> Then turn off your computer.
>
> >
> > Any problems you have with my frelling posts should be directed to
>
> YOU! You, and all the other top posters are fucksticks. Deal with it.
> See my sig to LEARN.
>
> Idiot
> --
> UNmoderator: alt.os.windows-xp
> http://www.cs.tut.fi/~jkorpela/usenet/brox.html

5672. Re: Cb ...general question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 03:40:09 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6D7853.5334EBBD@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134695

Anonymous User wrote:
>
> Hey JJ you stupid anal sob!!!Did you flunk comprehension
> in 1st grade or what???

When small minds can't think of anything else, they start calling
others vulgar names.

> You get such a hard on eveytime a post like this goes up
> that all the blood rushes to your pecker and you dont even
> address the question...short time operating no code
> geek...oops, uh sorry 5WPM geek

Sorry to disappoint you but I was licensed long before no-code was
ever thought of and I hold an Advanced Class license. I was
probably operating when your mama was cleaning out your poppie
diapers.

>
> The answer would be YES a lot of truckers are useing
> quote 10 meter radios and I spend a lot of time on the
> road and am also a licensed ham and do a lot of mobile
> operation. Also listen to a lot of cw on the low end of 10
> meters when the band is open. and have yet to hear any
> truckers on 10 meters...

Then you need to get a receiver that works, try 28.085, that is a
regular trucker's frequency. I can listen on 10 any day and hear
truckers and other illegal stateside operators on 10 meters.
As far as the rest of your juvenile rant...PLONK!

5673. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 03:41:46 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6D78B5.59354223@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134697

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:

>
> Because I'm a CBer, I'm a legal CBer, and I'm a CBer that's concerned
> about the future of CB radio.

You are one in a million, hang in there.

5674. Re: Cb ...general question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 03:46:45 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Xjhb9.89385$%v4.4878272@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134705

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D6D5986.2597DA09@mailcity.net...
>
>
> "Martin J. Croke" wrote:
> >
> > I am thinking about putting a CB in my personal truck to use when
traveling.
> > Before I do...I was wondering...are they really still being used? (
> > Especially in the North East?) Have they gotten away from "kids
playing"
> > all the time? And what about the 10 meter radios...are most truckers
using
> > them instead?
> >
> > Thank You for all replys!
> >
> > Marty
>
> The 10 meter band is an amateur radio (ham) band. You must hold an
> amateur radio operator's license to operate on that band. Yes the
> truckers are using them illegally in the 10 meter band and being
> caught.

.....Like THIS one!!!! HeeheeHeehee!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

August 28, 2002

CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Cheetah Transportation Company
378 Williamson Road
Mooresville, NC 28117-5935

SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)

Dear Sir:

Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a driver of the
above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed radio
transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South Carolina,
on June 5, 2002.

Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment without a
license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as
amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the individual

to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio transmitting equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.

You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.

Sincerely,

W. Riley Hollingsworth
Special Counsel
Enforcement Bureau

CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director

73

Jerry

5675. Re: You keyqueens slowing down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 04:02:26 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <m1hb9.152055$Aw4.6416924@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134700

damn sd jones be nice...

-
Try me I'll Make you Famous

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0208281924.6a0bf3d@posting.google.com...
> keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
news:<d1e9cf6a.0208272343.35b33006@posting.google.com>...
> > I just saw N8's qrz page..only 1733 hits...come on keyqueens...make ole
N8 famous!
> >
> > ANTIBOYS OWN THE NG AND YOU CANT DO ANYTHING ABOUT IT!!!
>
> Hey Numbnutts......N8 isn't that important. And neither are you....Get
> your pimple zit infested fat face in a book and start studying you
> mudduck..........

5676. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 04:08:08 UTC
From: Dirty Sneakers <dirtysneaks@keds.com>
Message-ID: <I6hb9.280750$2p2.11327020@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134701

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020828190430.574$fn@newsreader.com...
> Foot fungus still begging for my attention. Still use Desonex for lip
balm?

btw, Nut Cheese don't flatter yourself I was not talking to you I was
talking about you. I could care less if you replied to the post it wasnt'
direct towards you. Yes, your wife says it kills the fungus of that yeast
infection she has.

5677. In Honor of Richard McCollum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 04:15:02 UTC
From: "Dr. John (of Life)" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <Pdhb9.529$7i3.7641@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 134703

How 'bout we don't name call in the form of "You dick!" or "You dickhead!" et al. Not that anyone was, but... it would be a insult to Dick's memory, while at the same time, could be interpreted as a compliment to the person it was directed at.

R.I.P. Dick.

John

5678. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 04:29:24 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <Eqhb9.261258$m91.10646941@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134706

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:akjs6p$1jf0vh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
> news:9xcb9.274601$2p2.11035555@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > When they are saying they are from such and such a state usually you can
> > firgure it out, boy your a regular rocket scientist
>
> There's no reason to act like an asshole. Welcome to my killfile.

Wow your mighty sensitive you get called a rocket scientist then fly off the handle who is the asshole here?

> > >How the heck is one supposed to know what distance another station is
> > located?
> >
> > He did tell you he was from Louisiana didn't he? well you answered your
> own
> > question.
>
> Not until after I talked to him, you twit, in which the rule against
> communicating with stations >150 mi. was already broken.

Well usually the first question that I always hear asked is where is your 10-20, location, hood, Casa, So of course when you didn't know where he is from your not breaking the law your actions are not intentional, but after he tells you he is in Louisiana and you continue to talk to him that's breaking the intent of the law.

5679. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 04:33:26 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9278DB6DCFF0Fsubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 134707

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in news:3D6D78B5.59354223@mailcity.net:

>
>
> "yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:
>
>>
>> Because I'm a CBer, I'm a legal CBer, and I'm a CBer that's concerned
>> about the future of CB radio.
>
> You are one in a million, hang in there.
>

Make it two in a million, I agree with him. :)

Alan S.

5680. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 04:35:46 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <Cwhb9.281517$2p2.11350064@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134708

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:zifb9.133292$eK6.3999216@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
> news:oDcb9.147742$Aw4.6138245@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >
> >
> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:yMXa9.155917$Yd.6818433@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > George, please only post on newsgroups frequented by US citizens. The
> rest
> > > of the world has a much better grasp of English than you do. Please
> don't
> > > embarrass us.
> > >
> > > Try 9th grade again, then try posting.
> >
> >
> > Randy, When I want to hear from an asshole Ill fart,
> > otherwise keep your nose head out of the cocaine bag.
> >
> >
>
> Try 9th grade again, then try posting.
>
> Nothing more needs be snorted.

Whats that you want your 9th line?

5681. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 04:58:36 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <%Rhb9.357$pl7.32854449@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134711

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:akjbsi$1jgmdh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0208281050.3e324680@posting.google.com...
>
> > Your tenacious and persistent desire to delve into the details of my
> > personal life
>
> I don't care about your personal life. I'm just curious as to your
> motivation. And what kind of radios & antennas you use.
>
> Tom
>
>

Good Luck.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

5682. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 04:58:37 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <0Shb9.358$pl7.32854449@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134709

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:akjs6p$1jf0vh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
> news:9xcb9.274601$2p2.11035555@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> >
> > When they are saying they are from such and such a state usually you can
> > firgure it out, boy your a regular rocket scientist
>
> There's no reason to act like an asshole. Welcome to my killfile.
>
> > >How the heck is one supposed to know what distance another station is
> > located?
> >
> > He did tell you he was from Louisiana didn't he? well you answered your
> own
> > question.
>
> Not until after I talked to him, you twit, in which the rule against
> communicating with stations >150 mi. was already broken.
>
> Tom
>
>

Welcome to George Busch WA3MOJ, one who
has trolled this group. along with Aaron for years.
As for the kill file, good luck he has some 200 +
\screen nics, he changes them more than you do
underwear.
Watch how he responds with some sort of
sexual filled innuendo.

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

5683. Re: Hey..doggie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 04:58:38 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <1Shb9.359$pl7.32854449@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134710

"Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Xns9278A0660F19Esubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40...
> keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in
> news:d1e9cf6a.0208272346.571abe30@posting.google.com:
>
> > > http://www.geocities.com/aunteekeyklown/Keyclown_wedding.html
> > >
>
> You know, you lose alot of credibility and harm your cause when you post a
> url THAT disgusting. I mean really...was that really necessary?
>
> For every post you make speaking out against keyclowns, a decent human
> being could dismiss it because your posts are so horribly pornographic.
>
> If you want people to see things your way, maybe they would be better
> served by listening to your ideas instead of childish insults.
>
> Imagine that you're a newcomer to the group reading these messages. They
> may be so horrified by what you've posted that they decide "keyclowns"
> aren't as wacky and may subsequently side with them. Remember, alot of
> people read posts in this newsgroup without actually posting anything.
> You're speaking to them as well. At this point, you look like the one who
> is out of line.
>
> Food for thought (for what it's worth)
>
> Alan S.

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

5684. Farewell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 05:01:35 UTC
From: "Dr. John (of Life)" <frellyou@privacy.net>
Message-ID: <rVhb9.534$7i3.8014@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 134712

I shall be leaving now and moving on to the scanner ng's. Maybe I'll see some of the nice people in this ng there as well.

After much research, I just don't think CB is right for me. I wish there were a perfect radio transceiver where you could have emergency communication with the authorities (along the lines of Ch. 9), and at the same time have a channel free of illegal radio traffic without having to pay too much to do so.

OK, top-posting complainers, you can now cheer very loudly.

Se la vi.

John

5685. 8-29-02 AntiKeyclowns STILL own rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 05:07:59 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208282107.2cc5cd06@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134714

Nothin you can do, keyqueens...nothin' at all.

5686. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 05:08:58 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <ec7ca6055bc84e3e0321c3a449381188@xganon.com>
Source record: 134713

TWITHED....QUICK, SHIFT THE SUBJECT TO HAM MISBEHAVOR!!!!!

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

5687. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 05:11:19 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <W1ib9.365$cF7.34066927@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134715

"BecauseUAsked4It" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:Eqhb9.261258$m91.10646941@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:akjs6p$1jf0vh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> >
> > "Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
> > news:9xcb9.274601$2p2.11035555@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > > When they are saying they are from such and such a state usually you
can
> > > firgure it out, boy your a regular rocket scientist
> >
> > There's no reason to act like an asshole. Welcome to my killfile.
>
>
>
> Wow your mighty sensitive you get called a rocket scientist then fly off
the
> handle who is the asshole here?
>
>
>
> > > >How the heck is one supposed to know what distance another station
is
> > > located?
> > >
> > > He did tell you he was from Louisiana didn't he? well you answered
your
> > own
> > > question.
> >
> > Not until after I talked to him, you twit, in which the rule against
> > communicating with stations >150 mi. was already broken.
>
>
> Well usually the first question that I always hear asked is where is your
> 10-20, location, hood, Casa, So of course when you didn't know where he is
> from your not breaking the law your actions are not intentional, but after
> he tells you he is in Louisiana and you continue to talk to him that's
> breaking the intent of the law.
>
>

Welcome to the ever changing screen nic of George
Busch WA3MOJ. He change's nic's more than you do
underwear. That is why he changed his screen nic, because
you said you were going to add him to your kill file.
In the future, just ad him, then you will notice that
a week or two after that, when you stopped responding,
he will change his nic again.
Now watch the rude, harassing, sexual filled response
he will leave.

Landshark.

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

5688. Re: Cb ...general question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 05:13:09 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <E3ib9.369$as7.33272132@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 134717

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:Xjhb9.89385$%v4.4878272@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3D6D5986.2597DA09@mailcity.net...
> >
> >
> > "Martin J. Croke" wrote:
> > >
> > > I am thinking about putting a CB in my personal truck to use when
> traveling.
> > > Before I do...I was wondering...are they really still being used? (
> > > Especially in the North East?) Have they gotten away from "kids
> playing"
> > > all the time? And what about the 10 meter radios...are most truckers
> using
> > > them instead?
> > >
> > > Thank You for all replys!
> > >
> > > Marty
> >
> > The 10 meter band is an amateur radio (ham) band. You must hold an
> > amateur radio operator's license to operate on that band. Yes the
> > truckers are using them illegally in the 10 meter band and being
> > caught.
>
>
>
> ....Like THIS one!!!! HeeheeHeehee!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> August 28, 2002

Jerry your being repetitive, is that your goal?

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

5689. TWISTEDHED RUNS BLOWJOB CENTRAL!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 05:14:48 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <9d0dc959b8a1469257fece4986b01dfe@xganon.com>
Source record: 134716

Want a blowjob from ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS?
ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS LOVE TO GIVE HEAD!!!

Keyclown BLOWJOB CENTRAL is operated by trainpuppet the trained puppet. EMAIL:
tra1n@aol.com
He prefers to suck off all ILLEGAL FAGGOT KEYCLOWNS himself!
If time or distance is a problem contact any of the BLOWJOB CENTRAL
Regional offices listed below:

ARKANSAS: davesradio@alltell.net

MISSOURI: Ozark333@aol.com

TENNESSEE: truth@planetc.com

DC/VA/MD: Lowrider667@aol.com, ls454ss@aol.com
OR Scott_unit_69@yahoo.com

FLORIDA-SOUTHERN USA: twistedhed@webtv.net

TEXAS: timnebo@aol.com

MICHIGAN AND MIDWEST USA: ab8lr@csotbs.org OR K8CPA@arrl.net

NE USA: tra1n@aol.com OR cbmods@optonline.com

WESTERN USA: S3Buell@SBCGlobal.com

ANY OF THESE FINE CBERS WILL GIVE YOU HEAD
THESE ARE JUST THE ONES THAT DO IT FOR FREE! MANY ILLEGAL FAGGOT
KEYCLOWNS WILL SUCK YA OFF FOR A SMALL FEE!!!

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

5690. Re: Dave or Debby SPANKED by ISP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 05:31:41 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208282131.54888b02@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134718

You whining fat tenessee false christian sissy boy...leave the
computer and go play with puppies...like you always do.

Dave or Debby <truth@hatespamplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:<ovmlmu0mhdtb1pm9rogccpq5ffijhl9nci@4ax.com>...
> That's right Tnom. They are NOT "illegal" to use. Just in 1977 after
> a certain date they wanted to clear all the old 23's out so they put a
> date saying that the shops THEN couldn't sell them after a certain
> date--they wanted 40 channels CB's sold after that time.
>
> IT's FUNNY--In my post and in my latest "goldmine" I got NO nor listed
> any 23 channel CB's! And as far as the idiot "not caring," then WHY
> did he complain to the NG head about me? He seems to have a DOUBLE
> MIND ! What so offended you--that I would list to try to sell OLD CB
> stuff that I obtained this week, like I have always listed in times
> past, or do you have deeper HATE for any AMERICANS out here on the NG
> that don't abide by the 6th plank? WHAT IS your problem? GOT LIFE ?
> GET ONE!
> Dave!!
!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>
> On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 15:35:41 -0400, tnom@mucks.net wrote:
>
> >On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 18:45:05 -0000, "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
> >wrote:
> >
> >>"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in
> >>news:JDoa9.348655$q53.11425135@twister.austin.rr.com:
> >>
> >>>
> >>> "John Smith" <kissmyass@127.1.1.1> wrote in message
> >>> news:akcm2o012vb@enews1.newsguy.com...
> >>>>
> >>>> <tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message
> >>>> news:3s1jmuoa5ca04ihf1edpf4iid9csqdfjpu@4ax.com...
> >>>> > snip
> >>>> > >> Oh? A IP address tracks down individuals?
> >>>> > >> Prove it. Track me down.
> >>>> > >
> >>>> > >They most certainly can.
> >>>> > >Let's say you posted something illegal such as child pornography.
> >>>> > >Google would use that IP to find out who your ISP is, as I did.
> >>>> > >A court order requesting your ISP to divulge who the user was, at

> >>>> > >that particular time, sending that particular message, would lead
> >>>> > >them to
> you.
> >>>> > >Actually, the owner of the account would be held responsible.
> >>>> >
> >>>> > I'm glad you've come clean on just how difficult it is to identify
> >>>> > an individual. So let me get this straight. You will/can get a
> >>>> > court order against Dave and Debbie because they sell 23 channel
> >>>> > radios?
> >>>> >
> >>>>
> >>>> If you want to buy a paperweight that's your business.
> >>>> 23 channel rigs are illegal to use. Not own.
> >>>> You can advertise anything you want.
> >>>> It's whether or not the laws say it's illegal to distribute such
> >>>> advertising.
> >>>> Maybe some antique collector out there will buy the radios.
> >>>> Do I care? Not one bit.
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>> When did 23 channel rigs become illegal to use?
> >>>
> >>>
> >>>
> >>
> >>Ya, I was under the impression that they were legal. As a matter of fact,
> >>I still reguarly use an old Royce. I love the thing. Interesting.
> >>
> >>Alan S.
> >
> >They are not illegal to use.
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5691. Re: Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 05:32:57 UTC
From: Packrat&#65533; <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <umrcgb6hu5s580@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134719

Right. If you have the keying circuit from the radio to the amp, you don't have to worry about the delay because the radio holds that relay shut until you let go of the mic. Geez what a concept. What I don't understand is why there is a rule about amps not being RF activated. It's just as easy if not easier to build the circuit into a radio than it is to put a keyin circuit in an amp. More money for the radio shop selling the amps behind the navy blue curtain. :)

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:PF3b9.8313$p%3.619818@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> But wait... aren't you also going to tell him that an amp like that needs
> some type of external signal (besides the RF) to key. That will require a
> modification to most radios to allow that to happen. My SkyWave amp allows
> for both a COR(carrier operated relay) or a multiplexed signal right on
the
> RF signal for keying. If this multiplexed signal is used, the automatic
SSB
> delay can be disabled and the relay will operate on SSB just like it does
on
> AM or FM...
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> > My amps don't require a delay for SSB. But then, they are properly
> designed
> > amps with a keying relay.
> > Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>
>
>

5692. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 05:33:59 UTC
From: Packrat&#65533; <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <umrcicfo0jbtb0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134720

That you had pulled the doc and changed it to a pdf. Of course didn't see
that until after I went to look :)
"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:HO3b9.8325$p%3.621208@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Very nice rodent... what does it all mean?
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Packrat&#65533;" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
> news:umogsu9qv76v86@corp.supernews.com...
>
> > Page URL Not Found!!
> >
> > The requested page does not exist on this server. The URL you
typed
> or
> > followed is either outdated or inaccurate.
> >
> > Type your search term above instead or try to click here
> > to let us help you find web pages you are looking for!
>
>
>

5693. Re: orientals on my frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 05:36:08 UTC
From: Packrat&#65533; <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <umrcmafm9jp513@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134721

wow I typo'd that in a horrible way

Slope headed? I thought that only Mormons were slope headed!

Seriously take a look at the older ones!

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:GT3b9.8343$p%3.619144@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Now that I get a good look at you... you're absolutely right.
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Packrat&#65533;" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote in message
> news:umof90rt0nlk38@corp.supernews.com...
> > Slope headed? I thought that only Morons were slope headed!
>
>
>

5694. Re: packrat your too funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 05:38:19 UTC
From: Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <umrcqdparge672@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134722

hehehe No I was advertising for the other guys to help them out.
"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020828100213.19559.00003291@mb-mf.aol.com...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1376444128
>
> snitch on every other guys shit only to relist your own under a different
name?
> thats lame.....
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

5695. Re: Old coax length answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 05:52:39 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <akkcr6$368$4@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 134723

BillS <BillS@austin.rr.com> wrote:

>> > (or unless your coax is used as the counterpoise.)
>>
>> Why would you want to do that? A counterpoise usually has a high voltage
>> point at the end away from the antenna. I don't see any reason why a
>> person would want to couple a high rf voltage to the case of their rig.

> Well, on a fiberglass motorhome for instance, an antenna with a counterpoise
> works better than most other possible designs.
> BillS

So, use a proper counterpoise. Don't try to use your transmission line in
a function for which it is not well suited.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

5696. Re: New coax length question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 05:59:39 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <akkd8b$368$5@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 134724

'Doc <w5lz@icok.net> wrote:

> Bill,
> Taking for granted that the output of a transmitter
> is 50 ohms, and the feed line is also 50 ohms, then there
> is only one instance when you are correct. That case is
> when the combined impedances of 'dual' antennas is 50 ohms,
> meaning that the antennas input impedances are 100 ohms.
> How many of those antennas do you know of on the commercial
> market?
> 'Doc

That single instance shows that it is not "impossible", which is what was originally claimed. Whether there are commercial antennas out there that fit the requirements is immaterial.

I don't know why a person would want to do so, but it is also possible to hook up two antennas in series. This provides another instance.

Then there is the option where the transmitter output is 50 ohms but the coax being used is 70-75 ohm.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

5697. Re: Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 06:02:34 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <akkddq$368$6@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 134725

Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com> wrote:
> Hello Bill:

> My amps do have a SSB Delay circuit in them and there are properly
> designed.

Why do you need a delay circuit? With a proper amp, the simple pressing of the mike button also keys the amplifier. It stays keyed until you stop pressing the mike button.

Of course, that requires that the transmitter has an external keying circuit, which I have never seen on any CB radio.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

5698. Re: Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 06:04:19 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <akkdh3$368$7@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 134726

Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> But wait... aren't you also going to tell him that an amp like that needs
> some type of external signal (besides the RF) to key. That will require a
> modification to most radios to allow that to happen. My SkyWave amp allows
> for both a COR(carrier operated relay) or a multiplexed signal right on the
> RF signal for keying. If this multiplexed signal is used, the automatic SSB
> delay can be disabled and the relay will operate on SSB just like it does on
> AM or FM...

Sure, it needs a simple DC operated keying circuit - as does the transmitter.
No need for COR or multiplexing.

Such modifications are trivial.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

5699. Re: Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 06:08:53 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <akkdpk$368$8@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 134727

"Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote:
> Right. If you have the keying circuit from the radio to the amp, you don't
> have to worry about the delay because the radio holds that relay shut until
> you let go of the mic. Geez what a concept. What I don't understand is why
> there is a rule about amps not being RF activated. It's just as easy if not
> easier to build the circuit into a radio than it is to put a keyin circuit
> in an amp. More money for the radio shop selling the amps behind the navy
> blue curtain. :)

The problem with rf activated amps is that you are momentarily feeding power
from the transmitter into an open circuit. That cannot be good for the
transmitter and may or may not harm the amp in the long run.

Another problem with COR circuits is that they sometimes latch up if the
SWR gets a bit high. So the transmitter keys, but will not unkey. This is
a potential problem on AM and FM , but not SSB.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

5700. Re: DO NOT OPEN "you can all go to hell" letter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 06:09:55 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <akkeq7$r80$2@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 134732

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:Utga9.1333$bC5.1268@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> Fortunately i have all the latest virus protection and i was not infected.
> It is some sort of alt. config. virus that i was able to quarantine and
> remove.

Never got it here.

If it was from ahk@chinet.com or ahk@chinet.chinet.com , then I already have the a$$h0le blocked. Sounds like his trick with the script that puts a message on your screen saying that "Windows has detected the alt.config virus". Every time you click the "ok" button, it re-appears. The only way out is the "three fingered death grip"... with the risk of data loss on the hard drive.

Switch off scripts for newsgroup messages in Outlook Express.

Regards,

Peter.

5701. Re: Help - Low Transmit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 06:09:56 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <akkeq9$r80$3@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 134733

<pfurbert@ibl.bm> wrote in message news:3d5de362.18327320@news.ibl.bm...
> With the card in, I measure 4.9V RX and 4.73V TX - any ideas?

Surely 4.9 volts is rather low to begin with, I would expect higher
than that. What are you getting on SSB TX (no audio).

If your power is dropping after a short time, it could be the output or
driver getting hot...
1. Make sure they are both bolted down well.
2. Check the bias on each stage.

Regards,

Peter.

5702. Re: The length of coax is very important.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 06:09:58 UTC
From: Brainbuster <brainbuster@lineone.spamtrap.net>
Message-ID: <akkeqa$r80$4@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 134734

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Upga9.142858$Yd.6480837@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> OK Jerry, read it again, then prove your point. Coax that runs straight
> from the radio to the antenna is seldom used. Usually gets in the way
> of passengers in a vehicle.

Priorities, Randy... priorities.
If passengers get in the way of your CB setup... kick them out the car.

:~)

Regards,

Peter.

5703. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 06:15:05 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208282215.69d30a92@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134728

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208281445.6c492d0d@posting.google.com>...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208281050.3e324680@posting.google.com>...
> > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<akige5$1j5roe$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> > > "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:c9ceae5b.0208271905.28f1d484@posting.google.com...
> > >
> > > > Ask some of the other former "Aaron stalkers", they can point you to
> > > > the relevant archives for the info you seek.
> > > >
> > > > -Aaron-
> > >
> > > I'd rather get the info straight from the source, so there's no confusion.
> > > I did search the archives, but didn't find any posts where you state why
> > > you're on your crusade to rid the world of illegal CBer's.
> > >
> > > I find your refusal to answer my questions interesting. It shouldn't be
> > > difficult to simply state why you are against illegal CB operations.
> > > Perhaps maybe the others here will understand you a little better.
> > >
> > > And what kind of radio setup do you have? I'm curious to know because I'm a
> > > radio junkie.
> > >
> > > Tom
> >
> > Your tenacious and persistent desire to delve into the details of my
> > personal life is most gratifying, especially since it is motivated
> > solely by your desire to "help others understand me a little better".
> > I must say that is extremely unselfish and generous. But be patient,
> > I'll be posting a very long file that'll answer all your questions
> > about illegal CB and a very personal Voobner family url for you soon.
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> SURE YOU WILL!!! Har de har har har har!! You're are still doing the
> famous, patented "chicken side-step". Just answer the questions,
> a-hole. Or just go away! As long as you're here posting nonsense, I'll
> be here to correct your nonsense! And neither Jerry nor Georgie-girl
> will chase me off. If the readers of this newsgroup "chose" you to
> parrot the bullcrap, YOU chose me to correct your inaccuracies.

No corrections, hence no inaccuracies.

-A

5704. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 06:16:20 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208282216.1a916671@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134729

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208281431.73c77d9@posting.google.com>...
> I am not a so-called federal criminal; I am a licensed amateur.

Callsign?

-A

5705. Re: RIP Dick. Your humor will be missed here!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 06:16:58 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <akke8p$368$9@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 134730

Dave or Debby <truth@hatespamplanetc.com> wrote:
> You don't even know what a Pharasee was! YOU better FEAR GOD, you
> reprobate yeahWRONGman! What makes you curse?
> Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

pharisaical: censorious of others' morals or practices, : sanctimonious,
self-righteous, hypocritical, : making an outward show of piety and
morality but lacking the inward spirit

I have no idea if he know who the Pharisees were, but the description
seems to fit your posting style.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

5706. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 06:23:15 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208282223.43f2128f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134731

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208261505.7f799a39@posting.google.com...
>I *am* a ham.

Callsign?

-Aaron-

5707. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 06:27:57 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208282227.43c373a5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134735

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<akjbsi$1jgmdh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> I'm just curious as to your
> motivation. And what kind of radios & antennas you use.

Everything you seek is here:

http://www.geocities.com/aaronvoobner/voobner.htm

-Aaron-

5708. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 06:29:06 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <akkevi$368$10@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 134736

Scott wrote:
>
> But why does it need to be a privilege, and what do they have to do to earn
> it, just buy a license, how does this "earn" you anything?

It is true, a few hams have violated the law and sold licenses or passed
people who shouldn't have passed. But they are rare and are usually quickly
found out. They usually lose their licenses, and the persons who were
tested by those examiners are all called in for retesting. If they refuse
or fail the test, then they also lose their licenses.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

5709. Hey Mad Dork

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 06:46:23 UTC
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d1e9cf6a.0208282246.5b669076@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134737

"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:<aLbb9.44288$gw.101@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>...
> Well said Dave

How would you or any keyclown know what is well said? Where the sun
dont shine, homie...

5710. It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 07:12:56 UTC
From: Kadaitcha Man <hahahahaha@fooq.com>
Message-ID: <akkhnrmqh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 134738
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

"Oris" <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message
news:f7a9c6ac.0208281720.44817f2f@posting.google.com...

> I have a box on my network that runs Linux. It
> is about the most user unfriendly Operating system. I use it in a Dell
> dimension 333. It serves as a router and a firewall for my network.

It's amazing what you can do with a box of junk and a few blank CD's.

--
Kadaitcha Man
Moderator: alt.os.windows-xp
Moderator: alt.support.shyness

5711. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 07:33:54 UTC
From: Andy <RootedYaDaughter@MyPlaceLast.Night>
Message-ID: <akkip4$m8v$1@pinah.connect.com.au>
Source record: 134739
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

> It's amazing what you can do with a box of junk and a few blank CD's

Yeah, I made a boat anchor!

G'arn Get yaself fucked!

"Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> wrote in message
news:akkhnrmqh0@pita.alt.net...
> "Oris" <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message
> news:f7a9c6ac.0208281720.44817f2f@posting.google.com...
>
> > I have a box on my network that runs Linux. It
> > is about the most user unfriendly Operating system. I use it in a Dell
> > dimension 333. It serves as a router and a firewall for my network.
>
> It's amazing what you can do with a box of junk and a few blank CD's.
>
> --
> Kadaitcha Man
> Moderator: alt.os.windows-xp
> Moderator: alt.support.shyness
>
>
>
>

5712. Re: Galaxy DX949?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 09:50:49 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <akkqpp$q90$4@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 134740

Anonymous Eeyore <rachael@mfn.org> wrote:
> Really Alan???....so you claim that 16 watts will give you
> 1 s unit gain
> over 4 watts???/Try again pal......that 1st S unit will
> cost you
> about 100 watts and then start doubling up to about 400 and

Not bloody likely. If the S meters were calibrated to the original 6 dB/unit, then Alan's claim would be correct. But most meters seem to be much more generous - closer to 3 dB/unit. If that is the case, then that increase would produce 2 S units.

I prefer to ignore S unit measurements, as they are imprecise at best.

Going from 4 watts to 16 watts is a 6 dB increase. Going from 4 watts to 40 watts is a 10 dB increase. Going from 4 watts to 1024 watts is a 24 dB increase.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

5713. Philips FM828

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 10:00:47 UTC
From: Roderick <mail@newcastlecb.cjb.net>
Message-ID: <4amb9.18000$g9.56154@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 134741
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

Hello All,

My CB repeater is running on a SINGLE Philips FM828 which has been modified to FULL DUPLEX using the existing boards, just wired up diferently.

I have just hooked a 30-second sound recorder kit from Jaycar as the voice ident BUT it has lowered the audio, the repeat audio was once better than all the repeaters in Newcastle, now it's the quietest.

Can anyone tell me how I can turn up the audio??

PLEASE HELP!!!

Roderick

5714. Linux is like a breath of fresh air to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 10:47:25 UTC
From: linux_meatpacker@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <993dffcf.0208290247.2f214ad0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134742
Cross-posted to: comp.os.linux.advocacy,alt.os.windows-xp,alt.os.linux.redhat,alt.locksmithing,rec.radio.cb

Linux is like that elusive piece of fat free beef unlike Microsoft
Windows XP which is nothing but poor quality chuck.
I have left the Microsoft BBQ and have moved over to Linux because
Linux is now my life.
I feel welcome in the Linux klan and hope to spend much of my time
compiling colonels and making sauce better.

I spent the last 4 days downloading and emerging Gentoo and it is just
so fine that words can't describe it.

It's beautiful to me like a piece of prime rib hanging there on a
meathook.

My ex-wife used to like to call me a loser but now that I have Linux,
I don't need women anymore. Just me, my meat and Linux.

So who's the loser now?

I'm working at getting the entire meat packing plant switched over to
Linux because the Windows system keeps freezing up on us.

I would like to start a Linux club for the many meat packers who might
be interested in trying out Linux.

But for now, my entire life revolves around Linux and the time I spend
tinkering with it.

5715. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 10:48:15 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020829064815.18006.00003401@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 134743

Jerry,tell me that you didn't turn that trucking company in?Too much idle time.

5716. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 11:02:17 UTC
From: d2002xx <d2002xx@myrealbox.com>
Message-ID: <1y8ilyh2.fsf@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 134744
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

"Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:

> "Oris" <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message
> news:f7a9c6ac.0208281720.44817f2f@posting.google.com...
>
> > I have a box on my network that runs Linux. It
> > is about the most user unfriendly Operating system. I use it in a Dell
> > dimension 333. It serves as a router and a firewall for my network.
>
> It's amazing what you can do with a box of junk and a few blank CD's.

Can't you create a funnier joke?

5717. Ham Misbehavior - An example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 11:14:58 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6E0239.8F47E739@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 134745

JJ trolled:
>
> CBers like to call them numbers for some strange reason. Just like
> SWRs instead of SWR.

You are a non-CB'er. You are nothing here. Your words are empty
and mean nothing.

When you get a CB, and use it, then you can contribute here.

5718. Dougie's vacation journal-week 1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 11:26:16 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6E04E0.4E7DEE54@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 134746

You posted it. Don't deny it.

Keyclown Busters wrote:
>
> The Anti-boys aint the only group that know you're gay, I see.

5719. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 11:29:22 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6E059B.A985ADB2@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 134797

If you don't like CB, look away!

BTW, Twistedhed answered you, not Tim.

Bonehead.

JJ wrote:
>
> Twistedhed wrote:
> >
> > >Oh really, look again, the hams are gaining frequencies. <<
> >
> > While losing others.
>
> Keep trying timmyboy, but ham radio has played an important role
> for decades in emergency communications as well as other areas in
> communications. The U.S. Government recognizes the importance that
> ham radio has played and ham radio has gained many new frequencies
> over the years because of it. How many times have you seen news
> reports of ham radio coming to the aid with communication during a
> disaster? Many times. How many times have you seen a news report
> of cbers in the same situation? A few times...maybe. CB has done
> nothing and the FCC has been sorry ever since in came up with the
> idea of the 11 meter cb band. They, along with many others would
> be happy if it just disappeared. No other communications service
> has the sorry reputation as cb does with all the illegal operation
> with modded radios, splatter amps, and other nonsense that goes on
> on the cb band. If cb went away tomorrow no one but the idiots who
> somehow enjoy being illegal would miss it.
> Even with the few rotten eggs we do have in ham radio, it still
> beats cb all over the place.

5720. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 11:30:44 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6E05ED.FCD599E3@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 134798

> Sorry, but jj has a very sorry reputation. Keep telling
> yourself he doesn't and maybe you can convince yourself.

5721. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 11:48:50 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6E0A22.5BBD@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 134819

A.Melon wrote:
>
> what's this crap about earning a privilidge????If it
> were'nt for the pressure of the ARRL wanting more money in
> their pocket most of you no code or minimal code graduated
> CB'ers wouldnt even have a damn license or would forever
> be stranded 10 meters and above.Which is where you
> belong....in glorified CB land and stranded on repeaters,
> which is nice so I dont have to listen to your idiocy down
> on 75 and 160.Then again I wouldnt be listening to you
> down there anyway because i operate 75% CW and your 5WPM
> would put me to sleep.You are the type of idiot I hear on
> HF asking what the impedence of a dipole is or the formula
> to cut one.Or the idiot that comes on running SSB in the
> middle of a QSO when we are running AM on 10 meters or
> someplace else and tells me I have a lot of carrier on my
> signal...Yeh you newbies are really superior and have paid
> your dues allright
> I bet 10 years from now you still will not be able to do
> 13 WPM....Don't even talk about earning your stripes pal.
> You bitch about all the trash on CB.....look at the
> amount of trash you have to deal with since they dropped
> the code requirements on the ham bands.......
> I have looked up most of you clowns that are in here
> raising hell and #1 you have not been licensed that
> long...#2 you are either no code geeks or got your license
> since they lowered the code requirements...Like I
> said...glorified CB'ers.....LOW CLASS TECHIES....
> You have earned abso-f'n-lutely nothing
> I have a general class ticket and have had it since 69
> and I didnt get it at 5 WPM and I did not "buy" it from a
> VE buddy...I still operate on 11 meters where I started
> and the crap you are complainig about takes place on the
> ham bands also and I will bet that if the true numbers
> were known there are more illegal operators percentage
> wise on HF that are licensed than there are illegal
> operators on cb....I am talking about US operations only...
> All you have to do is listen when contesting is going on
> and compare signals out of any given area....These guys
> are running legal ....yeh right....
> Like I said take your short time,no code or minimal code
> asses away and dont even think you are superior to
> everybody on cb...there are a lot of guys on cb that
> would trash your unknowing narrow minds in a technical

> knowledge test......It is blatently obvious that your real
> purpose in this group is harrasment pure and simple.
> I happened to just drop by this group about a week or so
> ago and cant beleive what a bunch of no life losers there
> are here claiming to be hams or are hams...I have brought
> this group to the attention of quite a few of "old timers
> "I know and it is being read for entertainment value.....
> Yes yet again I post anon because i dont want your
> useless dribble in any of my personal mail accounts.The
> validity of my statements speak for themselves -.-
> Mike O Farad

What's the matter? Wife leave you for a rock star?

You do seem to be full of "issues", hiding just below the surface.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5722. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 11:52:47 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208290352.49edbd1e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134799

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208282215.69d30a92@posting.google.com>...
> > > Your tenacious and persistent desire to delve into the details of my
> > > personal life is most gratifying, especially since it is motivated
> > > solely by your desire to "help others understand me a little better".
> > > I must say that is extremely unselfish and generous. But be patient,
> > > I'll be posting a very long file that'll answer all your questions
> > > about illegal CB and a very personal Voobner family url for you soon.
> > >
> > > -Aaron-
> >
> > SURE YOU WILL!!! Har de har har har har!! You're are still doing the
> > famous, patented "chicken side-step". Just answer the questions,
> > a-hole. Or just go away! As long as you're here posting nonsense, I'll
> > be here to correct your nonsense! And neither Jerry nor Georgie-girl
> > will chase me off. If the readers of this newsgroup "chose" you to
> > parrot the bullcrap, YOU chose me to correct your inaccuracies.
>
> No corrections, hence no inaccuracies.
>
> -A

I've already corrected you several times (as have others), i.e.:

1. All "10-meter radios are illegal". No they're not. It is illegal to
"import and market" new ones.

2. "Hams cannot use `illegal' 10-meter radios". Yes they can since
there is no type-acceptance requirement for amateur use.

3. "There is no interference or jamming on 14.313". Wanna bet? Do you
even have a receiver?

4. "No corrections, hence no inaccuracies". Nuff sed. HAR de HAR HAR!

The list could go on, but I don't wanna waste too much bandwidth. Keep
changing your tune, Aanal Aaron. You really think nobody notices?
Wrong again!

5723. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 11:56:31 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208290356.50f0f8ec@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134800

"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:<2Tdb9.148572$Aw4.6215117@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0208281445.6c492d0d@posting.google.com...
> > SURE YOU WILL!!! Har de har har har har!! You're are still doing the
> > famous, patented "chicken side-step". Just answer the questions,
> > a-hole. Or just go away! As long as you're here posting nonsense, I'll
> > be here to correct your nonsense! And neither Jerry nor Georgie-girl
> > will chase me off. If the readers of this newsgroup "chose" you to
> > parrot the bullcrap, YOU chose me to correct your inaccuracies. TROLL!
>
> DickHead Jerry nor I is trying to chase you off of anything. Just answer the
> same question you posed to Aaron, Otherwise your a troll from the word Go!
> It is really quite simple your a asshole and are trolling this NG. Accept
> your responsibility why lie about your intentions? Be a man about it admit
> your not a ham, and if you are by some stroke of luck post your call. These
> are questions you have asked and it is only fair that we get an answer from
> you what do you sauy Dick Head?

I'll answer the question when Aanal Aaron answers mine, not before.
And I don't usually bother with anonymous dorks like you,
Georgie-girl. We KNOW you're nuttin' but a TROLL. And even a worse one
than Aanal Aaron.

Why don't you go use some of those precious amateur bands for a
change? Too busy slumming with the "criminal" CB riff-raff? Lamer!

5724. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 11:59:05 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208290359.2be85da1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134801

"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:<RGcb9.252953$m91.10365038@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...

> "Sometimes being right means pissing people off."
> --Colin Powell

Poor quote. What would you know about being "right"? You haven't ever
said anything to be right or wrong about. You just follow Aanal Aaron
aaround and inject your juvenile snipes. Maybe that's why you never
get on the ham bands? Nice try, Georgie-girl.

5725. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 12:00:40 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6E0CE8.29A2@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 134820

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> "Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
> news:3D6CBDE2.442D@worldlynx.net...
>
> > Working stations over 150 miles is illegal period. Anyone working DX, is
> > operating illegally, whether they are running power or not.
>
> There is (or was) a proposed change in rulemaking before the FCC to do away
> with this stupid rule. Anyone know what the status of the proposed change
> is?
>
> This has to be one of the stupidest rules the FCC ever came up with. How
> the heck do you know if someone is within the 150 mile limit or not without
> talking to them? For example, Many years ago when I was into CB, I got off
> work one night and driving home, I heard a couple of stations on Ch. 37 LSB,
> our local group's hangout channel. I thought the two guys were local
> because their signals were so good. I wasn't familiar with the two voices
> so I started talking to them and asked them where they were. They were in
> Louisiana, far over the 150 mile limit. OOPS! Broke that rule. How the
> heck is one supposed to know what distance another station is located? The
> conditions must have been good that night, but those were the only two
> stations I heard on the channel.
>
> Anyway, this stupid rule needs to be abolished.
>

That "stupid" rule was put there because CB was never intended to be
anything but a short distance communication service. The prohibitation
on "DX" talking was also due to an ITU requirement that long distance HF
communicators be versed in Morse Code. By declaring the class D citizens
band as a short range service, this code requirement could be waived.

In upcoming years the requirement for Morse Code knowlege could be
dropped completely from ITU regs. If that happens, then the door is open
to remove the 150 mile limit for CB range. But don't hold your breath
yet.......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5726. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 12:03:22 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6E0D8A.7A39@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 134821

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> "Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
> news:9xcb9.274601$2p2.11035555@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> >
> > When they are saying they are from such and such a state usually you can
> > firgure it out, boy your a regular rocket scientist
>
> There's no reason to act like an asshole. Welcome to my killfile.
>
> > >How the heck is one supposed to know what distance another station is
> > located?
> >
> > He did tell you he was from Louisiana didn't he? well you answered your
> own
> > question.
>
> Not until after I talked to him, you twit, in which the rule against
> communicating with stations >150 mi. was already broken.
>

The proper thing to do would be to promptly end communication once you
determined that the other station was beyond the range limit. The rule
would not be viewed as broken had you done that. The FCC recognizes that
it is difficult to determine whether another station is local or DX, so
they don't expect you to be a mind reader.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5727. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 12:23:35 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akl3j9$1ii3tb$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134802

"BecauseUAsked4It" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:Eqhb9.261258$m91.10646941@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
> Wow your mighty sensitive you get called a rocket scientist then fly off
the
> handle who is the asshole here?

You are.

Tom

5728. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 12:25:04 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akl3m3$1k2l5s$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134803

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:0Shb9.358$pl7.32854449@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...

> Welcome to George Busch WA3MOJ, one who
> has trolled this group. along with Aaron for years.
> As for the kill file, good luck he has some 200 +
> \screen nics, he changes them more than you do
> underwear.
> Watch how he responds with some sort of
> sexual filled innuendo.
>
> Landshark

That's OK, it's not hard to keep adding his new incarnations to the
killfile.

Tom

5729. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 12:25:05 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6E12A1.94C@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 134822

www.TimNebo.com wrote:
>
> thats a very broad pile of bullshit your laying out there dave. be carefull you
> dont step in the middle of it yourself. perhaps you need to talk to some fellow
> hams who are into the qrp side of things. 4watts am 12 watts ssb is plenty of
> power to give a full scale reading anywhere in this nation. i've had many
> personal experiences of this myself. have you had this same attitude towards
> cb'ers during your last 32 years as one?

I suggest you read up on propagation, signal path loss, S meter calibration, receiver sensitivity, and other related topics. You are obviously missing something for you to subscribe to the theory that QRP power can give a "full scale" (Whatever that really means) signal anywhere in the world.

Think about this for a moment. If a QRP signal (Generally less than 10 watts) was sufficient to propagate a "full scale" signal anywhere the signal went, then why do shortwave broadcast stations run 50 KW? Why do hams bother to run 1.5 KW?

I've done plenty of QRP operating on 11 meters for many years. During cycle 22, while the great majority of people where climbing on top of each other on the regular 40, running gobs of power and doing nothing more than raising the noise floor, I was on some obscure frequency, working Europe and Africa with 12 watts on SSB. But they were nowhere near "full scale". Most were in the S4 to S7 region. Had they been on the legal 40, they would've been covered up by the hetrodyning. Even "stateside" stations running similar "Stock" power were only in the S9 range at their peak. That's a far cry from the guy 2 miles away that gives me +20 over.

I suggest that your "S" meter is very loose and in need of calibration. The usual standard is 50 uV for an "S9" reading.

As for my "attitude", I've always had a problem with people who try to promote false theories, "CB science", and other smoke and mirrors tricks to unsuspecting (Gullible) people for economic or other gains. In my 32 years as a CB operator, and repair tech, I've heard just about every "trick" and myth that has been proliferated. I make every effort to debunk these claims, as I don't like to see newcomers and other people who don't understand radio, being duped by charlatans, posing as "experts", some of whom are commercial companies (Remember when the A99 was actually advertized as having "9.9 db gain"?).

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5730. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 12:27:30 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akl3qk$1jt44h$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134804

How many CB'ers who operate legally does it take to screw in a lightbulb?

Both of them. :-)

Tom

5731. Re: Linux is like a breath of fresh air to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 12:28:23 UTC
From: Sure Rite <surerite@yeah.tv>
Message-ID: <Hrob9.30835$082.1807436@news1.east.cox.net>
Source record: 134806
Cross-posted to: comp.os.linux.advocacy,alt.os.windows-xp,alt.os.linux.redhat,alt.locksmithing,rec.radio.cb

That is just SO SAD...

"Todd" <linux_meatpacker@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:993dffcf.0208290247.2f214ad0@posting.google.com...
> Linux is like that elusive piece of fat free beef unlike Microsoft
> Windows XP which is nothing but poor quality chuck.
> I have left the Microsoft BBQ and have moved over to Linux because
> Linux is now my life.
> I feel welcome in the Linux klan and hope to spend much of my time
> compiling colonels and making sauce better.
>
> I spent the last 4 days downloading and emerging Gentoo and it is just
> so fine that words can't describe it.
>
> It's beautiful to me like a piece of prime rib hanging there on a
> meathook.
>
> My ex-wife used to like to call me a loser but now that I have Linux,
> I don't need women anymore. Just me, my meat and Linux.
>
> So who's the loser now?
>
> I'm working at getting the entire meat packing plant switched over to
> Linux because the Windows system keeps freezing up on us.
>
> I would like to start a Linux club for the many meat packers who might
> be interested in trying out Linux.
>
> But for now, my entire life revolves around Linux and the time I spend
> tinkering with it.

5732. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 12:29:05 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akl3tj$1je9rn$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134805

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208282223.43f2128f@posting.google.com...

> Callsign?
>

The combination of letters or numbers a radio station uses to identifiy
itself with.

Any more questions? :-)

Tom

5733. Re: Cb ...general question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 12:30:56 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akl4pu$1iv869$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134809

"Martin J. Croke" <huntemup@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:oveb9.8809$XV.224963@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> I am thinking about putting a CB in my personal truck to use when
traveling.
> Before I do...I was wondering...are they really still being used? (
> Especially in the North East?) Have they gotten away from "kids playing"
> all the time? And what about the 10 meter radios...are most truckers
using
> them instead?

Stay away from the 10 meter radios unless you're really familiar with CB.
10 meters is an amateur radio band, and unless you're licensed to operate
there you shouldn't be there.

I'd stick with a stock 40 channel radio purchased from a major retailer.

Tom

5734. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 12:31:22 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208290431.4af8f298@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134807

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d6d8784.1106354@news.cet.com>...
> In <c5ecbb69.0208280412.7a09a924@posting.google.com>,
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >> The fact is, they do work hard to keep their bands clean. Some choose
> >> to go right to the root of the problem, the most heavily and blatantly
> >> abused part of the spectrum -- the Citizen's Band.
> >
> >An assumption. And presented to keep folks from looking harder at the
> >hams.
>
> It's not an assumption, it's a documented fact. Hams are constantly
> weeding their garden. Just take a look at rainreport, or FCC's NAL
> list.

Oh sure; I also listen to 14.313 and 3950 at times. Have you bothered?
I've been monitoring the low end of 10 meters lately and haven't heard
anything that sounds like an out-of-band CBer or trucker yet. In fact,
I've heard no hams there, either; they must think the band is closed,
which is strange because I'm hearing activity on CB.

And your original comment IS an assumption, "the most heavily and
blatantly abused part of the spectrum -- the Citizen's Band". Prove
it.

How about the most USED part? The fact is that there are 30 to 40
million CBers crammed into less than ONE megahertz, compared to less
than ONE million hams spread out all over the spectrum. I'm willing to
wager that the proportion of "illegal" operations is roughly the same
in both groups; the NUMBERS will of course be higher on CB, simply
because there are so many more people trying to use the band, but
proportionatly it'd be about the same. The hams have nothing to smile
about, do they?

> >> > And we wouldn't have to put up with their stupidity on this
> >> >newsgroup, at least. (I wouldn't miss them a bit, personally.)
> >>
> >> The feeling is mutual.
> >
> >So why are you here?
>
> Because I'm a CBer, I'm a legal CBer, and I'm a CBer that's concerned
> about the future of CB radio. Why are YOU here?

I'm here to correct the misinformation Aanal Aaron puts out every day.

> >> > But
> >> >Jerry doesn't dare post a callsign,
> >>
> >> Jerry who? Oxendine? Haven't you heard of qrz.com? Or google.com? And
> >> just so your complaint is properly filed, your callsign is...?
>
> Well?
>
> >> > George can only post bullcrap
> >> >snipes,
> >>
> >> Maybe we should dig a few of YOUR posts out of the archives.
> >>
> >> > and Aanal Aaron can't possibly be studying for his "extra"
> >> >since he spends so much time trolling here and cruising eBay for
> >> >so-called "illegal" auctions.
> >>
> >> I suppose you know just how everyone should spend their time, right?
> >> And why should that be any concern of yours?
> >
> >It's perfectly obvious how they spend their time. Why does that
> >concern me? Because they're QRMing the newsgroup with crap. Same logic
> >as Aanal Aaron whining about CBers QRMing ten meters, which he cannot
> >even use.
>
> 1. The "QRM" can be cleaned up by using a killfile, exercising some
> self-control, or just 'changing the channel' or 'turning the damn
> thing off'.

Why don't the alleged amateurs do that instead of clogging a CB
newsgroup with mis-information (Aaron), innuendos (Georgie-girl), and
just plain bovine excrement (Doggie)? They really should take your
advice, shouldn't they?

> 2. This newsgroup has been filled with "crap" since it first began.
> Unfortunately, that's the nature of Usenet. As long as there are
> people that can't control their urge to verbally defacate in public
> places, you will just have to dodge the "crap" whenever you want to
> take a walk.

Nonsense. There were, and occasionally continue to be, some good
discussions here, same as in any other newsgroup. It's those alleged
amateurs that make rec.radio.CB a cesspool. Nice description of Doggie
and Georgie-girl there, however!

> 3. Your example of "logic" is seriously flawed, since you don't know
> who Aaron is, and you don't know if he can use HF or not. In fact, you
> don't even know if he is a ham.

Word from people better in the know than myself is that he's a
no-code. That means, at best, he cannot use HF at all. Ergo, he has no

reason to whine about "intruders" on ten meters, does he? If he holds NO license, he has even less reason to whine. And there's no evidence, as Tom Sevart stated, that he has ever operated a radio at all. Again, there's this thing YOU brought up about "integrity".

> 4. ANYONE has just as much right to voice his opinions in this forum
> as you or I do (provided it isn't illegal, such as libel, threats,
> advertising CB amps for sale, etc...).

That's true; but who appointed you (or anyone else) NetCop? People are free to post For Sale ads for anything they want to post; something the AKC might wanna keep in mind. Why do you limit it to legal stuff? Why should anyone? If you don't like posts of "illegal" amps, filter them out; it's no skin off your nose.

> 5. If any of those facts are not to your liking to the point of
> causing you grief, then you really need to get a life.

When are you going to?

> >> But you still haven't addressed my point, and I'll simplify it for
> >> your benefit: Tim was whining about hams but I'm not a ham, I'm a
> >> CBer. I don't usually post on ham newsgroups because I'm not a ham. I
> >> post on the CB newsgroup because I'm a CBer. Was that simple enough
> >> for you to understand?
> > >
> > >BFD.
> >
> > I think it -is- a "BFD" since that was my point in the first place.

Then why did you choose to respond to Tim at all? You don't seem to agree that hams have their own newsgroups and could just as easily post there, instead of whining all over this one, trying to play "big shot". You called it "integrity". Apparently they don't have any of that. Nor do you.

> > So why are all those other alleged hams posting here? Why aren't
> > >they in rec.radio.amateur.misc or some other ham newsgroup?
> >
> > Because they are able to post, because there is nothing preventing
> > them from posting, and because they have chosen to do so. For any
> > other reasons you will have to ask them.

Well now, how about that? I can post here, too. You don't like it? Turn it off or tune to a different channel. Take your own advice, dimbulb!

> > They are
> > >accomplishing nothing by trolling here. And they will accomplish
> > >nothing as long as they choose to waste their time.
> >
> > Evidently they are accomplishing -something-, as you seem to be
> > offended by their posting. But you (and Timmy) seem to be similarly

> offended by MY posting. And you have never voiced your disagreement
> with the "QRM" from proponents of illegal operation. So clearly your
> problem isn't with hams, but with something else. I recommend a
> therapist to get to the root of your problem. Twisty claims to have a
> good one, but he can't seem to recall a name. Maybe he will provide it
> to you through email.

You don't read much, do you? No, my problem isn't with "hams": It's with BOZOs who whine constantly about things that have always been and that they cannot do anything about; it's with BOZOs who paint ALL CBers with the same "criminal" brush without knowing anything about them; it's with anonymous BOZOs who can't contribute anything to a conversation but third-grade playground snipes; it's with alleged hams who never seem to operate on the ham bands because they're always slumming in a CB newsgroup. Get the picture?

> >I don't believe Tim was "whining". I believe he was making a point,
> >which you obviously cannot grasp.
>
> What -YOU- couldn't grasp was the point that -I- made in response to
> Timmy's whining. And yes, Timmy was whining -- he whines constantly.
> Maybe someday he will make a positive and constructive contribution to
> this newsgroup, but I'm not holding my breath.

No, Tim was not whining. He made a valid point which you chose to ignore so YOU could whine. As long as Aanal Aaron is posting mis-information and Georgie-girl is posting nothing but sexual innuendo, where's their "positive and constructive contribution", their "integrity"? You have a remarkable double-standard going here, but it don't wash.

5735. Re: Linux is like a breath of fresh air to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 12:36:10 UTC
From: Peter Köhlmann <Peter.Koehlmann@t-online.de>
Message-ID: <akl4df$9r7$05$1@news.t-online.com>
Source record: 134808
Cross-posted to: comp.os.linux.advocacy,alt.os.windows-xp,alt.os.linux.redhat,alt.locksmithing,rec.radio.cb

Sure Rite wrote:

> That is just SO SAD...
>

Especially since his wife is now screwing around with those real linux
geeks who have plenty of time left because their OS does not crash
Another thing windows is bad for: Your sex life

Peter
--
FLASH! Intelligence of mankind decreasing. Details at ... uh, when
the little hand is on the ....

5736. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 12:43:48 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6E1704.A7E@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 134823

Dirty Sneakers wrote:
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:23439-3D6D32FF-7@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> -
> >Twitsy, do you think that a 4 watt signal that travels 1000+ miles
> >picks up strength because it is DX?
>
> Man, whoever taught you that a DX signal picks up strength was wrong.
> **********
> Sorry Twitsy I nor anyone who elmered me has ever thought that except you
> Remember just because you say it doesn't make it so.
>
> >The path loss at ~1000 miles is going to be greater, DX conditions or
> not,<<
>
> That is one of the most ridiculous statements you have posted to date.
>
> >Twisty, he is corrrect and you don't have a clue about path loss thats
> why you think he is wrong.<<
>
> Let's see if I'm wrong.....if it's 1000 miles, it's DX.
> He said "DX conditions or not."
>
> Ok here again Twisty trys to change the subject .....

The typical modus operandi for our resident spinmeister. Which is why I no longer respond to his rants. It is clear to me that he wouldn't understand a clue if it fell off his porch roof and hit him square in the head.

Further evidence of his lack of understanding of RF and propagation is his dogmatic assumption that a DX signal can best a local one of the same power. I guess in his mind, path loss does not exist, and signals should never fade, and everyone should be giving you a full scale signal.

Adding a beam antenna to the mix still does not change things. The very best beam antennas available for 11 meters exhibit, at the most, about a 7 or 8 db increase over a 5/8th wave groundplane, which would still not make up for the path loss of the signal having to travel ~1000 miles or so.

I am not going to waste my time trying to educate someone that refuses to learn.

But let logic and common sense answer the question. Do you think it more likely that a signal will stay the same after traveling 1000 miles, or will it fade?
If it does fade, does it make sense that the rate of fade is proportional to distance traveled?

You decide.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5737. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 12:47:11 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akl4vk$1jhi4g$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134810

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208282227.43c373a5@posting.google.com...
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<akjbsi$1jgmdh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> > I'm just curious as to your
> > motivation. And what kind of radios & antennas you use.
>
> Everything you seek is here:
>
> http://www.geocities.com/aaronvoobner/voobner.htm
>
> -Aaron-

Hmm... interesting. Ever thought about going ahead and getting your ham license and leaving the CB world behind? That way you wouldn't have to worry about all those "criminals" and their illegal equipment. I don't worry about them, unless they stumble into the ham bands.

The pic of your radios is kind of dark, so it's hard to see what kind of radio equipment you have.

If you're interested, my website is http://www.geocities.com/n2uhc

Tom

5738. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 12:49:04 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6E1840.28DC@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 134824

www.TimNebo.com wrote:
>
> well sorry dave, i have to say either your meter is way out of calibration,
> your antenna is a piece of shit that is not resonant, or your just plain lying.
> which one is it? or perhaps you just live in a canyon that's shitty for dx. i'm
> trying to give you the benefit of doubt here but your making it hard. is this
> the same radio you've been using for over 20 years that also gave you your own
> independant data about the past solor cycles based soley on its s meter?

Or perhaps your meter has been "doctored" by a local screwdriver tech?
Maybe you don't truly understand the nature of electromagnetic
propagation? Maybe you're sharing twisty's bowl of halucinigenic
compounds?

Whichever reason, your position defies logic and RF theory. You are, in
essence, denying that path loss exists. I want to see you explain how
this is possible in detail.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5739. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 12:49:45 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <JLob9.40670$Ke2.2877463@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134811

Just because a product doesn't have any certifications doesn't mean it's bad. Do you have any idea how much it costs to get certifications? A lot! That's why some products can't justify getting such certifications such as UL (Underwriters Laboratories), CSA, Belcore, and numerous others. It may cost upwards of $50K for all testing involved to get certain certifications depending on your product...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> The question posed to Griffey is surely legit, he is advertising a 10 meter
> amp as a ham amp where is his certification for this amp where is the type
> acceptance? btw, I use 10 meters when ever I feel like it wanna know why
> because I have a license something you only dream about.

5740. Re: Old coax length answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 12:50:40 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <AMob9.40673$Ke2.2876623@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134812

He's been gone a long time...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Ryan" <rparz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:6a4c1ec2.0208281742.4a209ef9@posting.google.com...
> Where is Sean when we need him?

5741. Re: Farewell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 12:51:02 UTC
From: dr_demon5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <60376528.0208290451.7a94643f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134813

"Dr. John \(of Life\)" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:<rVhb9.534$7i3.8014@eagle.america.net>...
> I shall be leaving now and moving on to the scanner ng's. Maybe I'll see
> some of the nice people in this ng there as well.
>
> After much research, I just don't think CB is right for me. I wish there
> were a perfect radio transceiver where you could have emergency
> communication with the authorities (along the lines of Ch. 9), and at the
> same time have a channel free of illegal radio traffic without having to pay
> too much to do so.
>
> OK, top-posting complainers, you can now cheer very loudly.
>
> Se la vi.
>
> John

Bye Bye

5742. Re: 8-29-02 AntiKeyclowns STILL own rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 12:54:08 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <QPob9.40684$Ke2.2876836@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134814

Nothing we can do about what?

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslamma@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:d1e9cf6a.0208282107.2cc5cd06@posting.google.com...
> Nothin you can do, keyqueens...nothin' at all.

5743. Re: Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 12:55:18 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <WQob9.40690$Ke2.2876465@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134815

lol...
--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

>More money for the radio shop selling the amps behind the navy
> blue curtain. :)

5744. Re: 8-29-02 AntiKeyclowns STILL own rec.radio.cb

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 12:56:04 UTC
From: dr_demon5000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <60376528.0208290456.664aefec@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134816

keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message news:<d1e9cf6a.0208282107.2cc5cd06@posting.google.com>...
> Nothin you can do, keyqueens...nothin' at all.

If you own this newsgroup dogie,you must owe a boatload of back property taxes on it.Your illegal tax-cheat clown.

5745. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 12:59:39 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6E1ABB.2058@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 134825

www.TimNebo.com wrote:
>
> dave, how about asking them when you hear them? the guys barefoot are just as
> proud as getting out as the ones running 5kw's

Most of the people who run QRP, are obviously not obsessed with power.
So it's a "no-big-deal" to them. So, unless asked, would not usually
offer it up as a normal topic of "conversation"(If the few moments of
contact with a DX station, could be considered a conversation). The only
people I hear regularly talking about power are the amp jockeys, who
seem to define their whole existance by the amount of power they run.
It's as if they are trying to make up for a lifetime of inadequacy, and
low self esteem issues by live vicariously through their amplifiers, and
of whom, paradoxically and somewhat ironically, the "quality" of their
conversations are inversely proportional to the amount of power they
run.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5746. Re: Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 13:03:29 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <BYob9.40718$Ke2.2878283@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134817

That is purely a design problem.
A properly designed unit will not exhibit this "oscillation" problem that is most annoying...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> Another problem with COR circuits is that they sometimes latch up if the
> SWR gets a bit high. So the transmitter keys, but will not unkey. This is
> a potential problem on AM and FM , but not SSB.
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

5747. Re: Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 13:06:10 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <6%ob9.40730$Ke2.2879125@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134818

Yes, but that requires another wire be run from the radio to the amp.
With my design, this is not needed because the "keying signal" can be
multiplexed right onto the coax...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> Sure, it needs a simple DC operated keying circuit - as does the
transmitter.
> No need for COR or multiplexing.
>
> Such modifications are trivial.
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

5748. Re: 10 meter Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 13:33:36 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@charter.net>
Message-ID: <ums8oidkf39p89@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134827

The ways things are now, you'd need the General license, since the Novice and Tech + no longer exist! That is now 5 wpm code. Good luck.

"Garfield" <dont@spam.me> wrote in message news:akk4ih$bg3$1@news.chatlink.com...
> If I wanted to legally operate one of those 10 meter radios you can by at
> the TA or a Pilot , lets say a Galaxy, what FCC license would I need?
>
> Do you have to know code?
>
> I know this isn't really a CB question but I do know there are quite a few
> hams on here.
>
> Thanks
>
>

5749. Re: Hey Mad Dork

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 13:35:15 UTC
From: Ken <Dallastopcat@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d6e2462.64629392@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 134826

On 28 Aug 2002 23:46:23 -0700, keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote:

>"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message news:<aLbb9.44288$gw.101@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>...
>> Well said Dave
>
>How would you or any keyclown know what is well said? Where the sun
>dont shine, homie...

Come on, how ignorant can you be? A 2 year old would show more respect than you do. Grow up you romper room dropout.

5750. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 13:41:11 UTC
From: Kadaitcha Man <hahahahaha@fooq.com>
Message-ID: <akl8hu$du5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 134828
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

"Andy" <RootedYaDaughter@MyPlaceLast.Night> wrote in message
news:akkip4$m8v$1@pinah.connect.com.au...
> > It's amazing what you can do with a box of junk and a few blank CD's
>
> Yeah, I made a boat anchor!
>
> G'arn Get yaself fucked!

G'arn get fucked yaself!

Last day in Adelaide tomorrow. HOOORAY! Fucking hick town and a half.

--
Kadaitcha Man
Moderator: alt.os.windows-xp
Moderator: alt.support.shyness

5751. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 13:43:32 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <X_pb9.46575$Hd4.7851755@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
news:akl8rqeqi0@pita.alt.net...
> Try this on for size.
> The FCC says they have no record of this letter.
>
> Let me spell it out for you.
> Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Louie, King of 27885

Dream on, stupid! Mr. Hollingsworth only sent it on the 28th. I got it via
direct email from
him yesterday! It will appear on Rain Report next period and on the ARRL
website shortly.
And I have somewhat personal knowledge of this particular case, so go talk
about something
you know.

Jerry

>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:Kqgb9.88984$%v4.4851933@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > news:70c5cd56572b10a016bdbc779bb86ee3@xganon.com...
> > > Just wondering........ How many of you ham cry babies speed while
> driving
> > to or from work? Isn't that illegal also? But you do it anyways, right?
> And
> > you don't see me or other folks out there calling Johnny Law when you
> speed
> > on by and or cut someone off DO YA? I don't think so!!!!!! But that is
> just
> > fine with me cause there is going to be a day when all these frequencies
> are
> > going to be open to the public anyways. Just look at the cb, the FCC
> > couldn't stop people from using the AM legally with a license so they
drop
> > the license. So what are they (Ham Operators) going to cry about when
> > everyone will be allowed to use these so called licenses only
frequencies
> to

> > cause hate and discontent like is done on channel 19? Just think about
> that
> > one for awhile.. It is only a matter of time!!!!!!!! As it is now you
> folks
> > are loosing frequencies cause no one is using them.
> > >
> > > Tim Nebo
> > >
> > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> >
> >
> > Try this one on for size, troll!!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > August 28, 2002
> >
> > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> >
> > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > 378 Williamson Road
> > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> >
> >
> >
> > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> >
> >
> > Dear Sir:
> >
> > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a driver of
> the
> > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed radio
> > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
Carolina,
> > on June 5, 2002.
> >
> > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment
without
> a
> > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934,
> as
> > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the

> individual
> > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> transmitting
> > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
> > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> >
> > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.
> >
> >
> >
> > Sincerely,
> >
> >
> > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > Special Counsel
> > Enforcement Bureau
> >
> >
> > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ---
> > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > ---
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

5752. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 13:44:56 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <e0qb9.46590$Hd4.7853292@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
news:akl8ndebi0@pita.alt.net...
> Try this!
>
> The FCC says they have no record of any such letter!
> Let me spell it our for you. Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Louie, King of 27885

When it appears on the ARRL website in a few days, open your big mouth and
insert foot!!

LMAO!!

J
>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:dsgb9.88992$%v4.4853163@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > news:93499408014cd17528fe4f816c51a457@xganon.com...
> > > you hams just jealous because i can talk worldwide and use more watts
> than
> > you can and I dont have to be wearing an FCC choke collar like you are.
> stop
> > by rrcb and see how cbers run a newsgroup. top posting and OT posting
will
> > get you hassled, but breaking federal laws is DEFINITELY OK by us.
> > >
> > > 73 and good DX
> > >
> > > Tim
> > >
> > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> >
> >
> > This guy thought the same thing, TROLL!!!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> >
> > August 28, 2002
> >
> > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> >
> > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > 378 Williamson Road
> > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> >
> >
> >
> > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> >
> >
> > Dear Sir:
> >
> > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a driver of
> the
> > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed radio
> > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
Carolina,
> > on June 5, 2002.
> >
> > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment
without
> a
> > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934,
> as
> > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> individual
> > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> transmitting
> > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
> > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> >
> > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.
> >
> >
> >
> > Sincerely,
> >
> >
> > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > Special Counsel
> > Enforcement Bureau
> >
> >

> > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ---
> > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > ---
> > >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

5753. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 13:48:42 UTC
From: Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net>
Message-ID: <akl8ndebi0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 134829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Try this!

The FCC says they have no record of any such letter!
Let me spell it our for you. Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!!

Louie, King of 27885

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:dsgb9.88992$%v4.4853163@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:93499408014cd17528fe4f816c51a457@xganon.com...
> > you hams just jealous because i can talk worldwide and use more watts
than
> you can and I dont have to be wearing an FCC choke collar like you are.
stop
> by rrcb and see how cbers run a newsgroup. top posting and OT posting will
> get you hassled, but breaking federal laws is DEFINITELY OK by us.
> >
> > 73 and good DX
> >
> > Tim
> >
> > http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>
> This guy thought the same thing, TROLL!!!
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> August 28, 2002
>
> CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
>
> Cheetah Transportation Company
> 378 Williamson Road
> Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
>

>
>
> SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
>
>
> Dear Sir:
>
> Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a driver of
the
> above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed radio
> transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South Carolina,
> on June 5, 2002.
>
> Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment without
a
> license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934,
as
> amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
individual
> to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
transmitting
> equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
> jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
>
> You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.
>
>
>
> Sincerely,
>
>
> W. Riley Hollingsworth
> Special Counsel
> Enforcement Bureau
>
>
> CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

> >
> >
> > ---
> > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > ---
> >
>
>
>

5754. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 13:51:03 UTC
From: Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net>
Message-ID: <akl8rqeqi0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 134830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Try this on for size.
The FCC says they have no record of this letter.

Let me spell it out for you.
Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!!

Louie, King of 27885

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:Kqgb9.88984$%v4.4851933@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:70c5cd56572b10a016bdbc779bb86ee3@xganon.com...
> > Just wondering..... How many of you ham cry babies speed while
driving
> to or from work? Isn't that illegal also? But you do it anyways, right?
And
> you don't see me or other folks out there calling Johnny Law when you
speed
> on by and or cut someone off DO YA? I don't think so!!!!!! But that is
just
> fine with me cause there is going to be a day when all these frequencies
are
> going to be open to the public anyways. Just look at the cb, the FCC
> couldn't stop people from using the AM legally with a license so they drop
> the license. So what are they (Ham Operators) going to cry about when
> everyone will be allowed to use these so called licenses only frequencies
to
> cause hate and discontent like is done on channel 19? Just think about
that
> one for awhile.. It is only a matter of time!!!!!!!! As it is now you
folks
> are loosing frequencies cause no one is using them.
> >
> > Tim Nebo
> >
> > http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>
> Try this one on for size, troll!!
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
> August 28, 2002
>
> CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
>
> Cheetah Transportation Company
> 378 Williamson Road
> Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
>
>
>
> SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
>
>
> Dear Sir:
>
> Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a driver of
the
> above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed radio
> transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South Carolina,
> on June 5, 2002.
>
> Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment without
a
> license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934,
as
> amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
individual
> to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
transmitting
> equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
> jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
>
> You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.
>
>
>
> Sincerely,
>
>
> W. Riley Hollingsworth
> Special Counsel
> Enforcement Bureau
>
>

> CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
>
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > ---
> >
>
>
>

5755. Re: 10 meter Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 13:51:19 UTC
From: Simon Bussey <s.j.bussey@GOAWAYSPAMhw.ac.uk>
Message-ID: <rFpb9.1899$4_2.12271813@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 134831

By the way having the amateur license will let you use one of those radios on 10m, but you're still not allowed to use them on CB frequncies. You can't for examplw use your 10m radio to talk on 27.555usb etc no matter what license you hold its still illegal.

"Garfield" <dont@spam.me> wrote in message news:akk4ih$bg3$1@news.chatlink.com...
> If I wanted to legally operate one of those 10 meter radios you can by at
> the TA or a Pilot , lets say a Galaxy, what FCC license would I need?
>
> Do you have to know code?
>
> I know this isn't really a CB question but I do know there are quite a few
> hams on here.
>
> Thanks
>
>

5756. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 13:52:35 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <z7qb9.46660$Hd4.7855569@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134842

ALLSTEEL77 <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020829064815.18006.00003401@mb-fx.aol.com...
> Jerry,tell me that you didn't turn that trucking company in?Too much idle
time.

Let's just say that I "knew" that this one was
coming.....

And IF I am traveling and I "happen" to hear a trucker beeping and squeaking
away on
10 or 12 meters, and IF I can accurately ID him, he WILL get turned in. No
ifs ands
or buts! I don't care what they do down on the 40 channels, but when they
come trespassing,.
I am not the one that gets them in trouble; they did it to themselves!

Oh, BTW, about the idle time. I am one of those lucky souls that got early
retirement, so I can
pretty much do as I please.

Jerry

5757. Re: n8wwm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 13:57:56 UTC
From: "Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE" <wb5rue@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3d6e2678$0$304$39cecf19@nnrp1.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 134832

You went completely over my head with that one Twisty. I don't think I'm defending anyone, just making an observation about what's typical about the anonymous posters to this group. I don't remember posting anything about my wife and daughter to this group.

Kevin, WB5RUE

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:28700-3D6D2056-2@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
Typical, from an anonymous WebTV subscriber who can't handle real internet access and can't stand face to face with someone he is insulting.
IDX
Idiot, depraved, xenophobe
I Did Xavier
I Don't eXhale
Kevin, WB5RUE
-
Would that be as typical as say, oh, what someone may think of you defending Dogie, after taking your comments concerning morality and your wife and daughter into consideration?

5758. Re: Cb ...general question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 14:04:59 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <f6asmuok4sogqg40u8kkld8on2e7htmc0e@4ax.com>
Source record: 134833

On Wed, 28 Aug 2002 21:09:47 -0400, "Martin J. Croke"
<huntemup@optonline.net> wrote:

>I am thinking about putting a CB in my personal truck to use when traveling.
>Before I do...I was wondering...are they really still being used? (
>Especially in the North East?) Have they gotten away from "kids playing"
>all the time? And what about the 10 meter radios...are most truckers using
>them instead?
>
>Thank You for all replys!
>
>Marty
>
CB is not as big as it once was, but is still quite useful when
traveling. Road reports, directions, and speed traps reports
are still available on all busy highways. So for a small investment
go ahead and get a CB. It's worth it.

5759. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 14:07:36 UTC
From: Oscar <LasVegasKid@thehappygamblersanon.com>
Message-ID: <akl9qr$h8v$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 134834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Case in point. The Shelby NC Hamfest is this weekend. This year,
as every year for the last ten, Shelby is home of the largest boneyard
(used equipment) in the Southeast. What is it full of? 10% old junk
ham gear. 90% CB amplifiers, modified CB radios, illegal imported CB
radios, modified marine & business equipment for truckers, unlicensed
low power FM broadcast transmitters, business band handi-talkies,
modified military equipment, etc. The FCC has never made ONE bust
at Shelby! Not One in ten years! Even a dumb ass can figure out the
FCC is not interested in this market place of illegal equipment.
Get a Life!

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:88bd397c310290828b5d393e24858afd@xganon.com...
> i think your a hater JJ, your posts are always anti cb. you are just
pissed off that riley said he doesnt care if we freeband. Of course he is
not going to say this in public but the fact that twist talked with the man
direct on the phone. he doesnt care if we have cb amps or galaxy dx99s
ether. riley is a politican if you understood the way politicans are you
would know that they say things between the lines and not outright and if
you have to know what to listen for. Bottom line ham is dieing and he knows
that. Cb will be big business for manufacters once the airwaves become free.
bitch and be kilocycle cop all you want and jerry can bust his one truckstop
lol but in ten years time i will be all over 10 meters the defacto freeband,
as the man said just wait the best is yet to come.
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

5760. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 14:11:50 UTC
From: d2002xx <d2002xx@myrealbox.com>
Message-ID: <k7m9lpp5.fsf@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 134835
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

"Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:

> "Andy" <RootedYaDaughter@MyPlaceLast.Night> wrote in message
> news:akkip4$m8v$1@pinah.connect.com.au...
> > > It's amazing what you can do with a box of junk and a few blank CD's
> > >
> > Yeah, I made a boat anchor!
> > >
> > G'arn Get yaself fucked!
> >
> G'arn get fucked yaself!

Ok, I know you have been fucked too many times. Pitiful kid..

>
> Last day in Adelaide tomorrow. HOOORAY! Fucking hick town and a half.

Type "M-x doctor". It can help you.

5761. Re: 10 meter Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 14:14:22 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020829101422.01690.00003663@mb-de.news.cs.com>
Source record: 134836

>The ways things are now, you'd need the General license, since the Novice
>and Tech + no longer exist!

Actually the Tech "+" does still exist. The FCC no longer makes any distinction in their database between Technicians who have passed the 5-wpm code test (Element 1) and those wha have not. The privileges, however, remain the same. That is, if you are a Technician and pass the code test, you immediately have the following privies on 10-meters.

CW - 28.1000 through 28.2999 MHz
Phone - 28.3000 through 28.4999 MHz
Power Limit - 200 watts

Here's the catch. While those privies are permanent, your Element 1 Certificate of Successful Completion of Examination or CSCE is only good for one year for the purpose of upgrading to General or Extra. Kinda kooky, but hey...look who we're dealing with here. So you keep the limited HF privies, (I believe you get some 40-meter CW RF real estate as well.) but if you wait longer than a year to upgrade, you must retake the code test to become a General or an Extra. That's why most folks just upgrade quickly, less hassle.

It's also a pain, because there are OO's (Official Observers) who just live to nit-pik and will jump at the chance to point out that you're listed as a Technician in the database when they hear you on 10-meter voice. So the onus is on you to retain your Element 1 CSCE as the sole proof of your 10-meter privies. If you ever get one of these jerks OTA, just ask him/her to send a SASE to the address listed in the database and you'll send him/her a copy of your CSCE. I've seen this one in action a few times and it usually shuts 'em right up. Keep in mind that not all OO's are jerks and the ARS prides itself on being a "self-policing" service, HOWEVER... Hope that helps.

>> If I wanted to legally operate one of those 10 meter radios you can by at
>> the TA or a Pilot , lets say a Galaxy, what FCC license would I need?

A Technician "plus" Element 1 credit or higher class ticket will do the trick. Yes, there are plenty of good 10-meter rigs out there that are just fine wrt quality and signal "purity." (Despite some of the grumbling you'll hear on the newsgroup.) These are generally the single sideband rigs. I use a President Lincoln (An updated version of the venerable Uniden HR-2510.) on 10-meters with no problems. I've also used a Clear Channel AR-3500 and found it to be a great rig too. Stay away from the AM/FM only rigs with features like echo, reverb, talkback, etc., they're really not suitable for amateur ops on 10-meters. Folks on 10-meters generally use CW, USB, and a little FM higher up on the band. Good luck, you'll do just fine.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

5762. Re: Cb ...general question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 14:18:15 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <H2qb9.5942$%D6.531089@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 134837

Yes jerry, one out of many thousands who do and do not get letters.

5763. Re: 10 meter Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 14:18:56 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020829101856.01690.00003664@mb-de.news.cs.com>
Source record: 134838

>By the way having the amateur license will let you use one of those radios
>on 10m, but you're still not allowed to use them on CB frequncies. You can't
>for examplw use your 10m radio to talk on 27.555usb etc no matter what
>license you hold its still illegal.

Good point. I forgot to mention that in my reply to radiofun. It's not illegal to modify the rig as long as you only transmit on those frequencies for which you're licensed. Remeber, the rig doesn't push the P.T.T., the op does. Once again, good luck.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

5764. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 14:21:32 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9186-3D6E2DEC-113@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134848

From: dirtysneaks@keds.com (Dirty Sneakers)
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:23439-3D6D32FF-7@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
-
Twitsy, do you think that a 4 watt signal that travels 1000+ miles
picks up strength because it is DX? <

Man, whoever taught you that a DX signal picks up strength was wrong.
****
>Sorry Twitsy I nor anyone who elmered me has ever thought that except
you <

I never thought that. You enetered it into the equation as a redirect,
but failed. Your apology is accepted.

>Remember just because you say it doesn't make it so. <

"Fraid it does when I say it. Unlike you, I put forth no BS.

>The path loss at ~1000 miles is going to be greater, DX conditions or
not,<

That is one of the most ridiculous statements you have posted to date.

>Twisty, he is corrrect and you don't have a clue about path loss <<

Comprehension problems are mounting with your anger. Read it closer.
What you are taking issue with is your selective and biased
interpretation of what was actually said. You made the same err by
mentioning
DX strength increasing.
Let's see if I'm wrong.....if it's 1000 miles, it's DX. He said "DX
conditions or not."

>Ok here again Twisty trys to change the subject<<

The "subject" is there for everyone who gives a care to go back and
read, not what you claim it is.

>and say how far DX is who cares his point was 1000 miles or better
there is Path loss regardless if you want to call it DX or not 1000
miles might not be DX to those on HF, but to a Cber such as yourself it
is. That has nothing to do with the fact that a signal at 1000 miles
away in no way shape or form can overpower the same wattage signal 2
miles away all radio equipment(antenna, coax loss/length) being equal <<

Another unqualified entry.
No one said "all things being equal" until just now, and it was you. No

matter.
My mentioning of a beam predates your squawk about "all things being equal."
If you really want to learn, start asking pertinent questions instead of personal ones that you can't get over..
****
>Ill ask again how do you know the FCC's procedure any better than anyone else on this NG. <

I doubt it's "better" than anyone else in this ng. , just those that prove it, like yourself Hall, and a few other malcontent hammies that run around with an erection over cbers.
Also, putting words in peoples mouths and entertaining yourself isn;t really productive.

>Besides your opinion of course.

It's all usenet. Take it all for what you pay for it. Some of us have learned who the real deals are. You didn;'t make the cut, in case no one. bothered to inform you.

>If you are clueless yourself.? <<

Your world has always been based on "what if's".
****
>And your is based on lies and distortions of the truth,
and of course just your opinion and never any technical evidance to back up your wild claims.<

Back to failed attempted character assassination? Frustration and desperation are emotions you never have masked well. You have always been a "wear-my-hard-on-my-sleeve" type ah guy.

>determines that a particular station is running power, when they're receiving it from a distance? There are formulas for calculating path loss.<<

And they are null today.
They can pinpoint you with amazing accuracy from Maryland, determine the power you're running, all from a keystroke.
The formula days are way gone.

>Twitsy listen to yourself stammering. So the formulas are no longer good since they use computers now to do the calculation? <<

Didn't say they were no good.
YOU did and entered it in the equation. The effectiveness of said formulas and calculatons are nort being questioned by anyone excepet yourself.
****
>Ok what does the word :Null and gone" mean in your vocabulary? <<

I used the word "null". Go back and read it in it's proper context. It stands.

>and null not me again you Twistyed the post not me I know the formulas
<<

The maybe the feds can call you when their direction finding equipment
breaks down. Maybe Lelnad can fix the stuff by programming the proper
equations and you can fed him the formulas.

>still work how do you think a computer figures it out ? Uhhh duhh it
has the formula plugged in already ...<<

Which wasn't an issue until you drew yourself a semantic but failed
argument.
Glad you're having fun and enjoying myself.. Self-entertainment can be a
difficult achievement for the challenged.

****
>Oh I am sure you have first hand knowledge of being challenged you are
living proof. <

Insert insult here to make up for insecurities. Oh, excuse me, you
already did.

>In fact I have a slide rule made by Motorola that does all the
calculation for you and determines the "microvolt signal that should be
read at the reciever.
Just because you don't understand it twisty doesn't make it not so.<<

1000 miles equals DX no matter how you slice it on cb. A 4 watt legal
radio in skipland can squash the hell out of another 4 watt radio across
town from you, and a beam is only one of many factors that may take
advantage of mother nature to accomplish what you have shared with the
rest of the world you lack: Knowledge concerning cb propagation. No need
to get hostile and hurl insults over it. You're getting as bad as Hall.
****
>Ok Twisty lets get a few things straight, where did I hurl insults at
you in the previous post? <

Just to begin with, you apparently feel addressing me by anything other
than my given email is insultive....a clear illustration of street level
psychology at it's lowest and most incorrect and harmless form, along
with character assassination attempts instead of issues at hand.
Nonetheless, ask and ye shall receive. You made another two sentences
above.
Now that we resolved your insecurity issue, can we get back to the DX
debate without you coming unglued?

>Please clarify so I don't label you a liar again. <<

You may label anything you wish. It's not like you're believed by anyone
or have any credibility left or have the ability to make one believe
you. Carry on with your psychotic self.

>youOk please tell us
with all your technical jargon<<

There you go again. Your implication that I speak in "technical jargon:" indicates an admitted ignorance on your behalf. I have no inclination to teach you anything othert than what I already have over the years. You are capable, though, of learning when you keep your mouh closed and listen for once.

>how a 4 watt skip signal 1000 miles away can produce a greater field strength than a 4 watt local station and squash it as you say LOL Cb propogation is no different than any amatuer band.<<

It isn't?
You sure about that?
LOL!

>Now If I run a 4 watt radio into a dummy load <<

"If" scenarios don't cut it. Never have.Come back when you have something of substance.

>I am sure a 4 watt skip
station will squash me, But AS USUAL TWISTY WANTS TO TWIST THE POST TO NOW SAY BEAM ANTENNA ETC. TWISTY ANY CB ROKIE SUCH AS YOURSELF SHOULD KNOW THAT A BEAM WILL PRODUCE A HIGHER FIELD STRENGTH THAN A DIPOLE OR EQUIVALENT ANTENNA NOW YOU WANT TO TRY AND QUANTIFY YOUR POST AND SAY A BEAM ANTENNA NO TWISTYS WE ARE TALKING SAME EQUIPMENT FOR BOTH STATIONS. <<

No, HE wasn't.
YOU entered it in after I said beam.
Go back and see the posts, in order, unedited. Nothing was said about it being equal. Mistake-laden hammie, as usual, set forth a buncha BS about how "it COULDN'T happen". I mentioned a beam was only ONE way it could.
Now go stand next to him so we can teach both of you together.

>So I will ask you this Twisty do you know how to calculate what the path loss is for a station 1000 miles away running a 4 watt station on 27 megacycles, you pick the antenna they are using. because the 4 watt local station that you said your dx station will squash he is also running a 8 element beam so now how will your dx station with the beam squash anything?
Can't. You don't understand it well enough. <

It depends on propagation. Do you have any other learning sources besides Hall? Hint: Get many. Halll is too biased and far removed from reality to depend on for accurate and reliable information concernig anything remotely related to cb.
****
>Twisty I forgot more than you know about Cb and radios in general<

Then you wouldn't be so hostile and would share your knowledge, but you're one of those angry types. Somehow, your last statement is seeming less credible each time you make it. Under all your posted names.

5765. Re: Hey Voob,JJ & the rest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 14:23:58 UTC
From: lilyv7@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020829102358.27295.00004170@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 134839

Damn.....now thats a great post! Id like to see more like that. gunslinger

5766. Re: n8wwm?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 14:43:56 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9186-3D6E332C-115@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134858

From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE)
You went completely over my head with that one Twisty. I don't think I'm defending anyone, just making an observation about what's typical about the anonymous posters to this group. I don't remember posting anything about my wife and daughter to this group.
Kevin, WB5RUE
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:28700-3D6D2056-2@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net... From: wb5rue@yahoo.com (Kevin Muenzler, WB5RUE) Typical, from an anonymous WebTV subscriber who can't handle real internet access and can't stand face to face with someone he is insulting.
IDX
Idiot, depraved, xenophobe
I Did Xavier
I Don't eXhale
Kevin, WB5RUE
-
Would that be as typical as say, oh, what someone may think of you defending Dogie, after taking your comments concerning morality and your wife and daughter into consideration?
-
Painting with a wide brush again and stereotyping is something you claimed you were against, no? But you turn around and do it in the post above.
Let me use another comparison: As bad as cb is and y'all claim you wouldn't let your children or wives listen to it......what about usenet?See, the difference is, you few come out here and rant against lousy cbers you hear on the air to cbers in here. You go on about how bad the service is and you base your opinions of our behavior on others and inferences and claim how bad it is simply because of our choice of subject matter. Yet these same hammies appear to be cliqued with Dogie. The psycho-perv has written worse stuff in here than I have EVER heard on cb. So, when someone sees you guys attacking something intangible and something in general, as opposed to the heart of the problems (hammies like N8), all the while claiming the cb band isn't appropriate for children, ignoring that this family oriented atmosphere has tried in vain to be ruined by a few nocode HAMMIES, well......some may feel it typical of all hammies. It's not,,,,,neither is your statement. Si?

5767. Re: Hey Mad Dork

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 14:45:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9188-3D6E339E-15@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134864

From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters)
"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:<aLbb9.44288$gw.101@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>...
Well said Dave

>How would you or any keyclown know what is well said?<

Because I let him hold your leash for awhile.

5768. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 14:48:26 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020829104826.01685.00003191@mb-de.news.cs.com>
Source record: 134843

>Oh, BTW, about the idle time. I am one of those lucky souls that got early
>retirement, so I can
>pretty much do as I please.
>
>Jerry

God bless you, Jerry. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

5769. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 14:49:10 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9186-3D6E3466-117@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134861

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Scott wrote:
But why does it need to be a privilege, and what do they have to do to earn it, just buy a license, how does this "earn" you anything?
-
>You seem to imply that all ham just buy a ham license. Well, it doesn't work that way, sure there have been some cheating in the testing process,<<

First off, your interpretation of the first line affects only yourself. Secondly, we don't give a damn how it's done. This is a cb room.
  If a cber cared about getting licensed, you can rest assured he would ask. Perhaps you were never asked, and it is for this matter you are angry.

5770. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 14:51:28 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9187-3D6E34F0-36@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134862

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Scott wrote:
But surely if your reasoning behind why hams is good is because it helps communicate during an emergency, then surely the illegal operators (with larger outputs and further distances), or having in free to anyone to use, therefore giving a bigger net of people to help, wouldnt that make more sense?
-
You are believing in the old cb myth that more power and louder is better. <<

Myths propagated by yourself.
Why, I, in all my privileged upbringing which ultimately resulted in my selection of webtv over a real pc, have never heard any of the supposed "cb myths" until I read about them here...from those not unlike yourself.... disgrunted hammies driven underground. Kinda makes it all worth while.
The bigger the antenna, the better.
And for all practical purposes concerning cb, the higher the better. And a 4 watt radio in skip land can still overcome the 4 watt across town, given proper propagation.

5771. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 14:59:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9186-3D6E36E5-119@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134859

From: mr_scott_mckay@hotmail.com (Scott)
well you see, in australia the whole emergency services have moved to digital on different channels to us, and I know quite a few police and none of them have ever had a transmission blocked because of someone stuffing around, I also was on the CFS (our country fire department), and we didnt even use digital, and we never had a problem, maybe the US should get ahead a bit, and move their "important" services to a better system.
-
I can dig it. Thing is, the US did get ahead a bit. A select few on here would have you believe otherwise, but the technology isn't as prehistoric as they would like you to believe.

5772. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 15:02:55 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9188-3D6E379F-16@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134863

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
barely able to control his rage, slobbering forth with what he considers
derogatory comments seethed with:
>Twistieboy wrote:<

What ignorance. American hammies are known world-wide for the western
disrespect generally shown toward foreigners by Americans. You typify
the scenario wiht your intolerance toward views that differ from your
own.

>What ignorance. Do you think the rest of the world does not hear the
American cbers with all their swang,<<

(Snip)
Again, failed redirects doesn't make it any less true about hammies, no
matter who or what you compare them to.
Weep on.

5773. Re: Hey Voob,JJ & the rest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 15:08:00 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <kNqb9.18860$On.922370@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134844

Packrat is no ones Ham Buddy get your story straight

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

"Anonymous Eeyore" <rachael@mfn.org> wrote in message
news:7a86c0e95a3845eed99d9392a7fbbe37@mfn.org...
> Now be good little kilocycle cops and go rat out your ham
> buddy for selling illegal shit on the net...Bet you
> haven,t got the balls to go make a post against the ad
>
> FA: 1KW Mobile Amplifier
> Date:
> Wed, 28 Aug 2002 22:21:14 -0700
> From:
> "Packrat" <spam@n7uic.net>
> Organization:
> Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
> Newsgroups:
> rec.radio.swap
>
>
>
>
> 1KW Mobile Amplifier
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1376444
> 128
>

5774. Re: Cb ...general question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 15:11:39 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <LQqb9.18964$On.924176@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134845

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:E3ib9.369$as7.33272132@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> Jerry your being repetitive, is that your goal?
>
> Landshark

CryBaby LOL, your being a ASSHOLE is that your goal.

--
Things are changing, and clowns are going to be painting on sad faces in
the coming months.

"Jerry Oxendine"

5775. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 15:12:08 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9187-3D6E39C8-37@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134860

>Pure BS. Who does the weather service rely on for storm spotter reports in severe weather? <<

Storm chasers. Paid professionals employed for such engagements. Hammies are a last resort.

>They don't call the untrained cbers. They call the RACES folks who undergo yearly storm spotter training provided by the National Weather Service. I don't know <

.is an understatement.

>of any ham club that has someone who calls the media. Keep guessing, maybe you will hit one right someday. <

You don't? Sure you do.....point to any of the national organization concerning hammies and you shall find a designated media spokesperson. Remeber, seek and ye shall find.

>How many times have you seen a news report of cbers in the same situation? A few times...maybe. <

Just can't help compulsory gratification gained by satisfaction with assistance from your palm by comparing hammie and cb whenever you may.

Turn on 19. Happens daily. All over the country.

>Yea, lots of flat tire reports, fender benders, <

True.

>road rage...<

Happens with or without cb.
Can we stay pertinent, please?

>real emergencies. <

Exactly. Accidents, police needed, etc. Yes, real emergencies. No need for you to undermine the facts merely because cb happens to play a pivotal and positive role on the roads except for your own benefit and self-mental masturbation.

>When reliable communications on many different frequencies are need in a real emergency where normal communications are down, it is the hams who step up and can be counted on. <

Who disputed that hams couldn't be counted on in times of a disaster? Seems to me like you hold the largest doubts of all concerning hammie

abilities and attempt to make up for it here with your banter.

>The officials don't want a bunch of idiot cb wanna play radio types around in the way. They want someone who knows how to communicate. CB has done nothing <

Except save countless lives. Ask Keith. He knows.

>and the FCC has been sorry ever since in came up with the idea of the 11 meter cb band. They, along with many others would be happy if it just disappeared. <<

Which may explain their lack of enforcement and lipservice the like of yours.

>It is because the cbers in their disregard for any rules made a sewer pit of communications out of the cb band and the FCC simply gave up.<<

Actually, it's because the feds made a horrible decision when allocating cb for it's intended purpose. See? You're still learning from a dumb cber. Blaming another is exactly what to expect from you.

>No other communications service has the sorry reputation as cb does <<

What ignorance. American hammies are known world-wide for the western disrespect generally shown toward foreigners by Americans. You typify the scenario wiht your intolerance toward views that differ from your own.

>Sorry, but the cb band has a very sorry reputation. <<

No need to apologize. I merely pointed out the untruths being told. Your last statement makes my last statement concerning American hammie reputations no less untrue, which explains your failed redirect.

>Keep telling yourself it doesn't and maybe you can convince yourself. <

It is you whom is desperately trying to convince someone of something, evidenced merely by your presence alone. As another alluded, no point to your posts, just anger for cbers.

>with all the illegal operation with modded radios, splatter amps, and other nonsense that goes on on the cb band. If cb went away tomorrow no one but the idiots who somehow enjoy being illegal would miss it. Even with the few rotten eggs we do have in ham radio, it still beats cb all over the place. <

Then why ain't you there instead of playing underground?

>I am there and that is why I have never operated on the children's band. <

Which illustrates your presence magnificently.

5776. Re: packrat your too funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 15:13:02 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <2Sqb9.289663$2p2.11719250@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134846

"A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com> wrote in message
news:52c93e43c2ada3423dc83166161dffb8@melontraffickers.com...
> Just goes to show the typical type of scumbag ham that
> hangs in this group causing shit and then going out and
> doing what he criticizes...."RAT" you would have been
> better off just sitting back and shutting the hell up
> rather than making a LAME back peddle like that...What a
> LAME F'N reply....you are even more stupid than most would
> have suspected.More over dumbass you just exposed your
> real(or one of) email addresses.You can expect your mail
> account to be nuked momentarily..... Mike O farad

Typical Keyclown, nuke my email address you stupid jackass LOL

5777. Re: Philips FM828

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 15:14:34 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208290714.2b7507fa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134847
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

"Roderick" <mail@newcastlecb.cjb.net> wrote in message
news:<4amb9.18000$g9.56154@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>...
> Hello All,
>
> My CB repeater is running on a SINGLE Philips FM828 which has been modified
> to FULL DUPLEX using the existing boards, just wired up diferently.
>
> I have just hooked a 30-second sound recorder kit from Jaycar as the voice
> ident BUT it has lowered the audio, the repeat audio was once better than
> all the repeaters in Newcastle, now it's the quietest.
>
> Can anyone tell me how I can turn up the audio??
>
> PLEASE HELP!!!
>
> Roderick

Ummmm; remove the Jaycar auto-IDer?

5778. Re: Galaxy DX949?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 15:18:34 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <eXqb9.273991$m91.11013739@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134849

"Anonymous Eeyore" <rachael@mfn.org> wrote in message
news:daebe80654e5c5b36d78fe2e0e562c5f@mfn.org...
> Really Alan???....so you claim that 16 watts will give you
> 1 s unit gain
> over 4 watts???/Try again pal......that 1st S unit will
> cost you
> about 100 watts and then start doubling up to about 400 and
> then it gets even harder...

Another not know it all going from 4 watts to 16 is 4x the power which is an increase of 6db which in turn correlates to approximatly 1 s unit gain, 16 x 4 = 64 watts will get him roughly another s unit. So he is now up to 64 watts and has a 2 s-unit gain. Going up to 256 watts will yeild another s unit gain so far that is 3 s-units.
Give it up mike your a maroon

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

5779. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 15:20:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9186-3D6E3BB3-121@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134857

Shark wrote:
Welcome to the ever changing screen nic of George Busch WA3MOJ. He change's nic's more than you do underwear. That is why he changed his screen nic, because you said you were going to add him to your kill file.
In the future, just ad him, then you will notice that a week or two after that, when you stopped responding, he will change his nic again.
Now watch the rude, harassing, sexual filled response he will leave.
--
I hear ya'! someone asked what a "keyclown" was, or is. I have no clue, but I do know that it's definitely whatever fantasy Dogie and Lelnad have been porn-posting about. What's really odd is the first time this happened, Dogie got his ISP yanked and went away for awhile (Breaking federal rules, ya' know).
Lelnad threatened if I didn't go away, Dogie would come back and porn-spam the group some more. He made well on his threat.
This recent flood of obscenity-filled posts came flat on the heels of Keith defending Dogie out of the blue.....like you said...rude, harassing, sexual-filled posts.

5780. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 15:27:53 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9187-3D6E3D79-38@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134865

>The proper thing to do would be to promptly end communication once you determined that the other station was beyond the range limit. The rule would not be viewed as broken had you done that.<

Says who??
Retroactive rules for special circumstance......don't think so. Go sling it in a hammie forum where it has a better chance of being believed.

> The FCC recognizes that it is difficult to determine whether another station is local or DX, so they don't expect you to be a mind reader.
Dave
"Sandbagger"<

Which is why they don't enforce DX laws for cb.
Thank you for clarifying my point perfectly.
Just recently they were petitioned to lift the DX law pertaining to cb and denied it. BUT, they have never enforced it and have no plans to do so.
An exemplary example of the feds giving the "wink wink" nod without actually going on record and saying it.
Nice work, Hall.

5781. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 15:28:12 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <g4rb9.162191$Aw4.6776391@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134850

"A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com> wrote in message
news:4fe01c100906f07aa741759cec5ea5c4@melontraffickers.com...
> what's this crap about earning a privilidge????If it
> were'nt for the pressure of the ARRL wanting more money in
> their pocket most of you no code or minimal code graduated
> CB'ers wouldnt even have a damn license or would forever
> be stranded 10 meters and above.Which is where you
> belong....in glorified CB land and stranded on repeaters,
> which is nice so I dont have to listen to your idiocy down
> on 75 and 160.Then again I wouldnt be listening to you
> down there anyway because i operate 75% CW and your 5WPM
> would put me to sleep.You are the type of idiot I hear on
> HF asking what the impedence of a dipole is or the formula
> to cut one.Or the idiot that comes on running SSB in the
> middle of a QSO when we are running AM on 10 meters or
> someplace else and tells me I have a lot of carrier on my
> signal...Yeh you newbies are really superior and have paid
> your dues allright
> I bet 10 years from now you still will not be able to do
> 13 WPM....Don't even talk about earning your stripes pal.
> You bitch about all the trash on CB.....look at the
> amount of trash you have to deal with since they dropped
> the code requirements on the ham bands.......

> I have looked up most of you clowns that are in here
> raising hell and #1 you have not been licensed that
> long...#2 you are either no code geeks or got your license
> since they lowered the code requirements...Like I
> said...glorified CB'ers.....LOW CLASS TECHIES....
> You have earned abso-f'n-lutely nothing
> I have a general class ticket and have had it since 69
> and I didnt get it at 5 WPM and I did not "buy" it from a
> VE buddy...

Whats the Call sign OM? or are you another DickHead(Richard Cranium type)
who blows hot air and goats.
I guess you never looked up my call crackhead.

>I still operate on 11 meters where I started

yes thats the only place you can talk since your unlicensed.

> Like I said take your short time,no code or minimal code
> asses away and dont even think you are superior to
> everybody on cb...there are a lot of guys on cb that
> would trash your unknowing narrow minds in a technical

> knowledge test.....

Your not one of them you post have shown that.LOL Putz!

>It is blatently obvious that your real
> purpose in this group is harrasment pure and simple.

The purpose for your posting to this NG seems pretty much the same after reviewing your poststhey are harrasing plain and simple so who do you think your better than?

> I happened to just drop by this group about a week or so
> ago and cant beleive what a bunch of no life losers there
> are here claiming to be hams or are hams...I have brought
> this group to the attention of quite a few of "old timers
> "I know and it is being read for entertainment value.....
> Yes yet again I post anon because i dont want your
> useless dribble in any of my personal mail accounts.The
> validity of my statements speak for themselves -.-
> Mike O Farad

Well don't give us your real e-mail address just your so called call sign. you post anon because your a troll and afraid of exposing who you are typical CB Troll at work.

5782. Re: Hey..doggie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 15:29:35 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <z5rb9.274218$m91.11022499@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134851

"A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com> wrote in message
news:27cb70b0e200a27f14d30e460216c6eb@melontraffickers.com...
> You know this asshole is known very well on the cb band in
> the Toledo area...Thats right shithead....Got the goods on
> you from a CB shop at xit 5 off I-800...Your voice is just
> as recognizable on cb as that faggot ridden repeater you
> make an ass out of yourself on.....very soon I will have a
> list of all the the illegal equipt you own or have owned
> You better clean house soon pal...the report is on you
> now.....You must be stupid not to think local hams dont
> listen to cb

Where is the list your bragging about or are you another CB blowhard?

5783. Re: 10 meter Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 15:32:29 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@charter.net>
Message-ID: <umsfnekd99fv5a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134853

Yeah..you are right Bert. I'm a Tech+..but you know what all they have done to the structure. Good point though!

--
Web Site: www.polkcounty.org/radiofun
ICQ: 11908449
Yahoo Mess: Hitradio93Q

"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message news:20020829101422.01690.00003663@mb-de.news.cs.com...
> >The ways things are now, you'd need the General license, since the Novice
> >and Tech + no longer exist!
>
> Actually the Tech "+" does still exist. The FCC no longer makes any distinction
> in their database between Technicians who have passed the 5-wpm code test
> (Element 1) and those wha have not. The privileges, however, remain the same.
> That is, if you are a Technician and pass the code test, you immediately have
> the following privies on 10-meters.
>
> CW - 28.1000 through 28.2999 MHz
> Phone - 28.3000 through 28.4999 MHz
> Power Limit - 200 watts
>
> Here's the catch. While those privies are permanent, your Element 1 Certificate
> of Successful Completion of Examination or CSCE is only good for one year for
> the purpose of upgrading to General or Extra. Kinda kooky, but hey...look who
> we're dealing with here. So you keep the limited HF privies, (I believe you get
> some 40-meter CW RF real estate as well.) but if you wait longer than a year to
> upgrade, you must retake the code test to become a General or an Extra. That's
> why most folks just upgrade quickly, less hassle.
>
> It's also a pain, because there are OO's (Official Observers) who just live to
> nit-pik and will jump at the chance to point out that you're listed as a
> Technician in the database when they hear you on 10-meter voice. So the onus is

> on you to retain your Element 1 CSCE as the sole proof of your 10-meter
> privies. If you ever get one of these jerks OTA, just ask him/her to send
a
> SASE to the address listed in the database and you'll send him/her a copy
of
> your CSCE. I've seen this one in action a few times and it usually shuts
'em
> right up. Keep in mind that not all OO's are jerks and the ARS prides
itself on
> being a "self-policing" service, HOWEVER... Hope that helps.
>
> >> If I wanted to legally operate one of those 10 meter radios you can by
at
> >> the TA or a Pilot , lets say a Galaxy, what FCC license would I need?
>
> A Technician "plus" Element 1 credit or higher class ticket will do the
trick.
> Yes, there are plenty of good 10-meter rigs out there that are just fine
wrt
> quality and signal "purity." (Despite some of the grumbling you'll hear on
the
> newsgroup.) These are generally the single sideband rigs. I use a
President
> Lincoln (An updated version of the venerable Uniden HR-2510.) on 10-meters
with
> no problems. I've also used a Clear Channel AR-3500 and found it to be a
great
> rig too. Stay away from the AM/FM only rigs with features like echo,
reverb,
> talkback, etc., they're really not suitable for amateur ops on 10-meters.
Folks
> on 10-meters generally use CW, USB, and a little FM higher up on the band.
Good
> luck, you'll do just fine.
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

5784. Re: Hey Brian Griffey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 15:33:31 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <f9rb9.274295$m91.11025640@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134852

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:JLob9.40670$Ke2.2877463@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Just because a product doesn't have any certifications doesn't mean it's
> bad. Do you have any idea how much it costs to get certifications? A lot!
> That's why some products can't justify getting such certifications such as
> UL (Underwriters Laboratories), CSA, Belcore, and numerous others. It may
> cost upwards of $50K for all testing involved to get certain
certifications
> depending on your product...

Well in your case it is not bad, it is just Illegal and your sales of just
1 amp makes you a criminal. Who cares how much it cost is that your
justification. You never ever even thought of asking to get it type accepted
since it wouldnt cost you anywhere near what you claim. Just a pathetic
attempt to sidestep your illegal ways.

So again I ask you ewhy do you claim it is a 10 meter amp. You cannot sell
you amp to hams and they cannot legaly buy it from you what is the real
truth Griffey? You call it a 10 meter amp in hopes of what?

5785. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 15:39:33 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <Verb9.19649$On.940438@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134854

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:W1ib9.365$cF7.34066927@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> Welcome to the ever changing screen nic of George
> Busch WA3MOJ. He change's nic's more than you do
> underwear. That is why he changed his screen nic, because
> you said you were going to add him to your kill file.
> In the future, just ad him, then you will notice that
> a week or two after that, when you stopped responding,
> he will change his nic again.
> Now watch the rude, harassing, sexual filled response
> he will leave.
>
> Landshark.
>
LOL I am sure you know when he changed his underwear last LOL you panty
sniffer. Yes
Landshark had an imaginary Kill file going for a few months. Just ask him
how it works.

5786. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 15:40:48 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9187-3D6E4080-39@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134872

Hall scribed:
>I've done plenty of QRP operating on 11 meters for many years. During cycle 22, while the great majority of people where climbing on top of each other on the regular 40, running gobs of power and doing nothing more than raising the noise floor, I was on some obscure frequency, working Europe and Africa with 12 watts on SSB. <

Let's go back before that, when there WAS no 40 channels. Some were doing it back then.

>But they were nowhere near "full scale". Most were in the S4 to<

The-times-they-are-a-changing.
Technology passed you along. Appears Tim is way up to date on some of the newer stuff. Some of the younger generation was raised on nothing BUT electronics, it's only natural some have a predisposition to such things.
Keep saying it can't happen.
You can't improve a manufacturers device armed with a screwdriver manual and flatead.
Much of the video generation will not only be surpassing you technology-wise (if they haven't already done so) in the next few years, they will be designing it and teaching it to you....if you care to learn.......for some old stubborns are content without proceeding.

5787. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 15:41:30 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <Kgrb9.162334$Aw4.6785139@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134855

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message news:0Shb9.358>
> Welcome to George Busch WA3MOJ, one who
> has trolled this group. along with Aaron for years.
> As for the kill file, good luck he has some 200 +
> \screen nics, he changes them more than you do
> underwear.
> Watch how he responds with some sort of
> sexual filled innuendo.
>
> Landshark

How do you know how often he changes his underwear and why would you care
how often a man changes his underwear unless you are some sort of mens
underwear collector, or some sick transexual. You had better keep your mind
of of mens innuendos too. scumbag
```

5788. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 15:42:59 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <7irb9.274463$m91.11032513@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134856

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message > "BecauseUAsked4It"
<rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
> news:Eqhb9.261258$m91.10646941@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> >
> >
> > Wow your mighty sensitive you get called a rocket scientist then fly off
> the
> > handle who is the asshole here?
>
> You are.
>
> Tom

No Tom, you are the one who started with the name calling so we see your
exact purpose to this NG a hypocrite and a liar to boot.

5789. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 15:53:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9186-3D6E436D-125@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134874

Dave Hall, showing his inability to hold adult conversation without insult
, continued to entertain with failed character assassination attempts with:

>The typical modus operandi for our resident spinmeister. Which is why I no longer respond to his rants. <<

Your posts are preserved for posterity and all who wishes to peruse them....they are full of insults for no reason other than to signify the type person you are.

>It is clear to me that he wouldn't understand a clue if it fell off his porch roof and hit him square in the head. <

A post from hammie Dave Hall wouldn't be complete without personal attacks.
It;'s not his fault. Like so many others today, I blame part of the upbringing. He was taught no better so he knows no better. It's not completely his fault.

>Further evidence of his lack of understanding of RF and propagation is his dogmatic assumption that a DX signal can best a local one of the same power. <<

Can squash it...but let's clarify.....a 4 watt radio in skipland can squash a 4 watt radio across town......in many examples.

>I guess <

Your umpteeenth mistake.....

>in his mind, path loss does not exist, and signals should never fade, and everyone should be giving you a full scale signal. <

Stop guessing and start learning.

>Adding a beam antenna to the mix still does not change things. <

Man Oh man! That oughtta be your sig!!!
How about water, Hall? When I'm on my boat in the middle of the freakin' Gulf, does that matter?

>The very best beam antennas available for 11 meters exhibit, at the most, about a 7 or 8 db increase over a 5/8th wave groundplane, which would still not make up for the path loss of the signal having to travel ~1000 miles or so. <

And when mother nature has her skirt blowing.....all your
assumptions based on
BS gets blown out the doow...with my DX signal that can cover a 4 watt
radio across your town.

>I am not going to waste my time trying to educate someone that refuses
to learn. <

I said that to you when I came across you. It's in the archives.

>But let logic and common sense answer the question. Do you think it
more likely that a signal will stay the same after traveling 1000 miles,
or will it fade?<

We are talking about DX conditions here, during a high solar count
cycle, which kills the conversation here and now because you claimed to
know better than the world's official sunspot record keeper.

>You decide.
Dave
"Sandbagger"<

5790. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 15:53:39 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <7srb9.274633$m91.11040285@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134866

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> How about the most USED part? The fact is that there are 30 to 40
> million CBers crammed into less than ONE megahertz, compared to less
> than ONE million hams spread out all over the spectrum.
****
*
Where do you get your numbers that there are 30 -40 million Cbers. HUH
please provide at least some proof of your delusions. LOL that is over 10 %
of the population of this country that are Cbers in your words. LOL Now that
is some funny shit

>
> Why don't the alleged amateurs do that instead of clogging a CB
> newsgroup with mis-information (Aaron), innuendos (Georgie-girl), and
> just plain bovine excrement (Doggie)? They really should take your
> advice, shouldn't they?
****
Again the alleged amateur is you you should take your own advise. What is
the alleged callsign, it has to be a 2 since rochester is in 2 land.

> Nonsense. There were, and occasionally continue to be, some good
> discussions here, same as in any other newsgroup. It's those alleged
> amateurs that make rec.radio.CB a cesspool. Nice description of Doggie
> and Georgie-girl there, however!
****
****
Your an alleged amateur and you are making this NG a cespool with your
trollish style posts who you kiddin

>
> That's true; but who appointed you (or anyone else) NetCop? People are
> free to post For Sale ads for anything they want to post; something
> the AKC might wanna keep in mind. Why do you limit it to legal stuff?
> Why should anyone? If you don't like posts of "illegal" amps, filter
> them out; it's no skin off your nose.
****
****
who appointed you anything to question what someone does? And the AKC is
free to turn in Illegal items why is that a problem we are all free to post
right? If you don't like reading the AKC post filter them no skin off your
crooked nose right?

> No, Tim was not whining. He made a valid point which you chose to
> ignore so YOU could whine. As long as Aanal Aaron is posting
> mis-information and Georgie-girl is posting nothing but sexual

> innuendo, where's their "positive and constructive contribution",
> their "integrity"? You have a remarkable double-standard going here,
> but it don't wash.
****
****
Your right your doing the whining Boy you sure sound like crying Landshark,
sexual innuendos? No we just want to know your alleged callsign. remember
you asked another ham first and still can't live up to it. I havent posted
anything sexual towards you your not my type but I have asked repeatedly for
your so called alleged Callsign.

--

"Sometimes being right means pissing people off."
--Colin Powell

5791. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 15:54:31 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <Xsrb9.274651$m91.11040924@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134867

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:akl3tj$1je9rn$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0208282223.43f2128f@posting.google.com...
>

> Any more questions? :-)
>
> Tom

Are you really that big of a dick in person?

--

Oh its true!

5792. Re: Ham Misbehavior - An example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 15:55:18 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <Gtrb9.290001$2p2.11749073@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134868

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D6E0239.8F47E739@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> JJ trolled:
> >
> > CBers like to call them numbers for some strange reason. Just like
> > SWRs instead of SWR.
>
> You are a non-CB'er. You are nothing here. Your words are empty
> and mean nothing.
>
> When you get a CB, and use it, then you can contribute here.

Scotty who made up that rule you LOL you are one funny guy.

5793. Crazy Dave in the Heat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 16:01:15 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9186-3D6E454B-128@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134873

Oh yea, before I forget, I heard old Crazy Dave yesterday, and that 'ol station in the Mojave was loud here in my part of the swamp..yea..I knew who you were, but only after I realized you knew who I was......let's do it again......

5794. Re: Ham Misbehavior - An example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 16:02:49 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <JArb9.20015$On.954032@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134869

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> > >
> > CBers like to call them numbers for some strange reason. Just like
> > SWRs instead of SWR.
>
> You are a non-CB'er. You are nothing here. Your words are empty
> and mean nothing.

Scottie what are you here do you have some say in what goes on here?

> When you get a CB, and use it, then you can contribute here.

Says who ?

5795. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 16:03:36 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <sBrb9.290047$2p2.11754319@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

they won't jerry they will return with another account and another name.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:e0qb9.46590$Hd4.7853292@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> news:akl8ndebi0@pita.alt.net...
> > Try this!
> >
> > The FCC says they have no record of any such letter!
> > Let me spell it our for you. Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > Louie, King of 27885
>
> When it appears on the ARRL website in a few days, open your big mouth and
> insert foot!!
>
> LMAO!!
>
> J
> >
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:dsgb9.88992$%v4.4853163@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > >
> > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > news:93499408014cd17528fe4f816c51a457@xganon.com...
> > > > you hams just jealous because i can talk worldwide and use more
watts
> > than
> > > > you can and I dont have to be wearing an FCC choke collar like you
are.
> > stop
> > > > by rrcb and see how cbers run a newsgroup. top posting and OT posting
> will
> > > > get you hassled, but breaking federal laws is DEFINITELY OK by us.
> > > >
> > > > 73 and good DX
> > > >
> > > > Tim
> > > >

> > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > >
> > >
> > > This guy thought the same thing, TROLL!!!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > August 28, 2002
> > >
> > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > >
> > > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > > 378 Williamson Road
> > > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> > >
> > >
> > > Dear Sir:
> > >
> > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a driver
of
> > the
> > > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed radio
> > > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
> Carolina,
> > > on June 5, 2002.
> > >
> > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment
> without
> > a
> > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of
1934,
> > as
> > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> > individual
> > > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> > transmitting
> > > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
> > > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > >

> > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
matter.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Sincerely,
> > >
> > >
> > > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > > Special Counsel
> > > Enforcement Bureau
> > >
> > >
> > > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > ---
> > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > ---
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

5796. Re: Cb ...general question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 16:13:29 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <JKrb9.274962$m91.11052974@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134871

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020829113331.485$4n@newsreader.com...

> Hey Jerry,how does it feel to have this mental midget quoting you,in his
> sig file?

LOL what do you care Lawnboy. better than you blowing midgets huh

5797. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 16:17:14 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <eOrb9.275016$m91.11055598@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134875

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:
> And for all practical purposes concerning cb, the higher the better. And
> a 4 watt radio in skip land can still overcome the 4 watt across town,
> given proper propagation.

Twitsy just because you say it doesn't make it so, your technical proof of this is??? Oh thats right technical and you don't go together thats why your still using your Tv to get on the internet. LOL

5798. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 16:37:01 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <_Hsb9.93628$%v4.5143594@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Louie <Louie@KingOF27885.net> wrote in message
news:aklkrrbpc0@pita.alt.net...
> It's still a FAKE!
> Now go get some donuts, a greasy hot dog and drip food and
> grease all over your filthy T-shirt while you sit behind your
> ham radio and bitch about CBers allday.
> Get A LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Louie, King of 27885

Denial, denial. Tsk,tsk! Like I said, it'll show up on the Rain Report next
period. Besides, Riley
emailed it to me yesterday. Believe what you like. I don't bitch about
CBers; only those who steal
frequencies they have no business using.

J
>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:X_pb9.46575$Hd4.7851755@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> > news:akl8rqeqi0@pita.alt.net...
> > > Try this on for size.
> > > The FCC says they have no record of this letter.
> > >
> > > Let me spell it out for you.
> > > Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > Louie, King of 27885
> >
> > Dream on, stupid! Mr. Hollingsworth only sent it on the 28th. I got it
> via
> > direct email from
> > him yesterday! It will appear on Rain Report next period and on the
ARRL
> > website shortly.
> > And I have somewhat personal knowledge of this particular case, so go
talk
> > about something
> > you know.
> >
> > Jerry

> >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:Kqgb9.88984$%v4.4851933@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:70c5cd56572b10a016bdbc779bb86ee3@xganon.com...
> > > > > > Just wondering........ How many of you ham cry babies speed while
> > > driving
> > > > > > to or from work? Isn't that illegal also? But you do it anyways,
> right?
> > > And
> > > > > > you don't see me or other folks out there calling Johnny Law when
you
> > > speed
> > > > > > on by and or cut someone off DO YA? I don't think so!!!!!! But that
is
> > > just
> > > > > > fine with me cause there is going to be a day when all these
> frequencies
> > > are
> > > > > > going to be open to the public anyways. Just look at the cb, the FCC
> > > > > > couldn't stop people from using the AM legally with a license so
they
> > drop
> > > > > > the license. So what are they (Ham Operators) going to cry about
when
> > > > > > everyone will be allowed to use these so called licenses only
> > frequencies
> > > to
> > > > > > cause hate and discontent like is done on channel 19? Just think
about
> > > that
> > > > > > one for awhile.. It is only a matter of time!!!!!!!! As it is now
you
> > > folks
> > > > > > are loosing frequencies cause no one is using them.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Tim Nebo
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Try this one on for size, troll!!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >

> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > August 28, 2002
> > > >
> > > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > > >
> > > > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > > > 378 Williamson Road
> > > > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > > > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Dear Sir:
> > > >
> > > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a
driver
> of
> > > the
> > > > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed
radio
> > > > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
> > Carolina,
> > > > on June 5, 2002.
> > > >
> > > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment
> > without
> > > a
> > > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of
> 1934,
> > > as
> > > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> > > individual
> > > > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> > > transmitting
> > > > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
> > > > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > > >
> > > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
> matter.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Sincerely,
> > > >

> > > >
> > > > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > > > Special Counsel
> > > > Enforcement Bureau
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > ---
> > > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > > ---
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

5799. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 16:39:55 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <LKsb9.93648$%v4.5145082@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Louie <Louie@KingOF27885.net> wrote in message
news:aklknvben0@pita.alt.net...
> I just told you, it's a FAKE!!!!
> Now go get a greasy hot dog, some donuts, and sit behind
> your ham radio and bitch about CBers all day.
> Get a LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Louie, King of 27885

Dream on.....

J
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:e0qb9.46590$Hd4.7853292@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> > news:akl8ndebi0@pita.alt.net...
> > > Try this!
> > >
> > > The FCC says they have no record of any such letter!
> > > Let me spell it our for you. Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > Louie, King of 27885
> >
> > When it appears on the ARRL website in a few days, open your big mouth
and
> > insert foot!!
> >
> > LMAO!!
> >
> > J
> > >
> > >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:dsgb9.88992$%v4.4853163@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > > >
> > > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:93499408014cd17528fe4f816c51a457@xganon.com...
> > > > > you hams just jealous because i can talk worldwide and use more
> watts
> > > than
> > > > you can and I dont have to be wearing an FCC choke collar like you
> are.

> > > stop
> > > > by rrcb and see how cbers run a newsgroup. top posting and OT
posting
> > will
> > > > get you hassled, but breaking federal laws is DEFINITELY OK by us.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 73 and good DX
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Tim
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > This guy thought the same thing, TROLL!!!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > August 28, 2002
> > > > >
> > > > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > > > >
> > > > > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > > > > 378 Williamson Road
> > > > > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > > > > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Dear Sir:
> > > > >
> > > > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a
driver
> of
> > > the
> > > > > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed
radio
> > > > > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
> > Carolina,
> > > > > on June 5, 2002.
> > > > >
> > > > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment

> > without
> > > a
> > > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of
> 1934,
> > > as
> > > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> > > individual
> > > > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> > > transmitting
> > > > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
> > > > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > > >
> > > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
> matter.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Sincerely,
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > > > Special Counsel
> > > > Enforcement Bureau
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > ---
> > > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > > ---
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >

> >
> >
>
>

5800. 11 meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 16:50:30 UTC
From: theworldaround27mcs@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16200-3D6E50D6-122@storefull-2258.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134887

```
General coverage all mode xceiver: $300 Pair of 3-500z amp:
$350 Dipole antenna: $1.29
Worldwide communications on 26-28 mhz:Priceless.....IDX
```

5801. Re: packrat your too funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 16:58:00 UTC
From: Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <umskksb2casa6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134876

Fuck! Is someone not allowed to move? Bet you can't ping that address dumbass!!! You are such a genius. I have had the same ID for the past 4 years. I have had my information blasted in here many times Glad you can do a whois on a domain name and get outdated DNS servers from the bottom of the list.
But thanks for pointing that out. Need to remove the IP for that server since its no longer there.

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message news:6276-3D6CE224-4@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Yea, the Rat is another with several ID's that cause trouble.
> SamSpade.org and Geektools.....12.229.160.36
>

5802. Re: Linux is like a breath of fresh air to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 17:01:45 UTC
From: Sinister Midget <xunil@k.c-r.r.com>
Message-ID: <Zrsb9.75452$27.1362367@twister.rdc-kc.rr.com>
Source record: 134877
Cross-posted to: comp.os.linux.advocacy,alt.os.windows-xp,alt.os.linux.redhat,alt.locksmithing,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 29 Aug 2002 03:47:25 +0000, Todd claimed:

> My ex-wife used to like to call me a loser but now that I have Linux, I
> don't need women anymore. Just me, my meat and Linux.
>
> So who's the loser now?

If this isn't Egg Troll, it's certainly a very reasonable facsimile!!!

--
Have you patched your XP today?

5803. Re: Cb ...general question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 17:01:52 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <4ssb9.163044$Aw4.6837429@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134878

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020829121709.792$rx@newsreader.com...
> >>LOL what do you care Lawnboy.

> I don't,I'm sure Jerry is thrilled though.

Then why would you ask if your not concerned? What do you care what Jerry is
he is a grown man and can say if he is thrilled or not, or you been reading
that troll page again.

> >>better than *you* blowing midgets huh

> Dunno,tell me about your experiences.

I have no experience with watching *you* blow midgets couldn't tell ya
perhaps you can relate your experience for some reason I am picturing you
with that guy Beetlejuice from the Howard Stern Show. .

5804. Re: packrat your too funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 17:02:33 UTC
From: Packrat<redacted> <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <umsktd8le1laf4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134879

I'm waiting!!
When is this going to happen?!

"BecauseUAsked4It" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:2Sqb9.289663$2p2.11719250@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
>
> "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com> wrote in message
> news:52c93e43c2ada3423dc83166161dffb8@melontraffickers.com...
> > Just goes to show the typical type of scumbag ham that
> > hangs in this group causing shit and then going out and
> > doing what he criticizes...."RAT" you would have been
> > better off just sitting back and shutting the hell up
> > rather than making a LAME back peddle like that...What a
> > LAME F'N reply....you are even more stupid than most would
> > have suspected.More over dumbass you just exposed your
> > real(or one of) email addresses.You can expect your mail
> > account to be nuked momentarily..... Mike O farad
>
>
> Typical Keyclown, nuke my email address you stupid jackass LOL
>
>

5805. Re: Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 17:07:50 UTC
From: Packrat&#65533; <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <umsl7alri4gv42@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134880

Oh thank you. I was wondering why you were so grumpy.

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:WQob9.40690$Ke2.2876465@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> lol...
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> >More money for the radio shop selling the amps behind the navy
> > blue curtain. :)
>
>
>

5806. Re: 10 meter Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 17:11:08 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020829131108.01685.00003221@mb-de.news.cs.com>
Source record: 134881

>Yeah..you are right Bert. I'm a Tech+..but you know what all they have done
>to the structure. Good point though!

No biggie, nothing wrong with that. BTW, if you got your Tech+ BEFORE 4/15/2000, your CSCE remains valid for upgrading your ticket indefinitely...how's that for "kooky" structure? I have a friend at work who's a Tech+ and is quite happy to remain such, despite my prodding. ;-)

Another coworker, Mark, who shows up on r.r.cb every now and then is an Advanced. He too has no desire to upgrade. My point is...don't upgrade to please anybody other than yourself. See you on 10-meters, I'm monitoring 28.470 right now. Take it easy now. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

5807. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 17:13:45 UTC
From: Louie <Louie@KingOF27885.net>
Message-ID: <aklknvben0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 134882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I just told you, it's a FAKE!!!!
Now go get a greasy hot dog, some donuts, and sit behind
your ham radio and bitch about CBers all day.
Get a LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Louie, King of 27885

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:e0qb9.46590$Hd4.7853292@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> news:akl8ndebi0@pita.alt.net...
> > Try this!
> >
> > The FCC says they have no record of any such letter!
> > Let me spell it our for you. Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > Louie, King of 27885
>
> When it appears on the ARRL website in a few days, open your big mouth and
> insert foot!!
>
> LMAO!!
>
> J
> >
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:dsgb9.88992$%v4.4853163@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > >
> > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > news:93499408014cd17528fe4f816c51a457@xganon.com...
> > > > you hams just jealous because i can talk worldwide and use more
watts
> > than
> > > > you can and I dont have to be wearing an FCC choke collar like you
are.
> > stop
> > > > by rrcb and see how cbers run a newsgroup. top posting and OT posting
> will
> > > > get you hassled, but breaking federal laws is DEFINITELY OK by us.
> > > >
> > > > 73 and good DX
> > > >

> > > > Tim
> > > >
> > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > > >
> > > >
> > > This guy thought the same thing, TROLL!!!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > August 28, 2002
> > > >
> > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > > >
> > > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > > 378 Williamson Road
> > > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> > > >
> > > >
> > > Dear Sir:
> > > >
> > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a driver
of
> > the
> > > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed radio
> > > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
> Carolina,
> > > on June 5, 2002.
> > > >
> > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment
> without
> > a
> > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of
1934,
> > as
> > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> > individual
> > > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> > transmitting
> > > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your

> > > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > >
> > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
matter.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Sincerely,
> > >
> > >
> > > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > > Special Counsel
> > > Enforcement Bureau
> > >
> > >
> > > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > ---
> > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > ---
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

5808. Re: Farewell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 17:14:12 UTC
From: rugerp85 <rugerp85>
Message-ID: <bilsmu8aqkv0rkb55m8lsf6pi7nakeod69@4ax.com>
Source record: 134883

don't let the door hit you in the ass on the way out.....

On Wed, 28 Aug 2002 22:01:35 -0700, "Dr. John \(of Life\)"
<frellyou@privacy.net> wrote:

>I shall be leaving now and moving on to the scanner ng's. Maybe I'll see
>some of the nice people in this ng there as well.
>
>After much research, I just don't think CB is right for me. I wish there
>were a perfect radio transceiver where you could have emergency
>communication with the authorities (along the lines of Ch. 9), and at the
>same time have a channel free of illegal radio traffic without having to pay
>too much to do so.
>
>OK, top-posting complainers, you can now cheer very loudly.
>
>Se la vi.
>
>John
>
>

5809. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 17:15:30 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <SEsb9.290669$2p2.11799900@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134885

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:9186-3D6E436D-125@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Man Oh man! That oughtta be your sig!!!
> How about water, Hall? When I'm on my boat in the middle of the freakin'
> Gulf, does that matter?

Twisty that is the best one yet because your out in the water somehow your signal i going to pick up strength LOL
As you see twit hed not one person has come to your defense not one of your Big Cbers will even touch the bullshit your slinging They know better, perhaps you should take note of that.

> >The very best beam antennas available for 11 meters exhibit, at the
> most, about a 7 or 8 db increase over a 5/8th wave groundplane, which
> would still not make up for the path loss of the signal having to travel
> ~1000 miles or so. <

> And when mother nature has her skirt blowing.....all your
> assumptions based on
> BS gets blown out the doow...with my DX signal that can cover a 4 watt
> radio across your town.

Twisty the only thing blowing are your Cb myths and your hot air, look at what your basing your arguement on mother nature lifting her skirt Twistsy that is a hoot. The only thing mother nature does is allows your signal to be reflected back to a certain location it does create more energy than what was first there.But wait I see your game now, you are now saying your DX signal and not saying your 4 watt dx signal compared to a local 4 watt signal 2 miles away.

> We are talking about DX conditions here, during a high solar count
> cycle, which kills the conversation here and now because you claimed to
> know better than the world's official sunspot record keeper.

Twisty that is the whole jist of the matter you don't have the foggiest idea of what "conditions" are, pick up this months QST there is a whole section on sunspots. LOL sunspots are not amplifiers they allow the ionosphere to reflect the MUF at that particular time. If you can talk the world on 4 watts and pin anyones S meter why are amplifiers used. No one is running to your defense twisty think about that.

5810. Re: Cb ...general question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 17:15:47 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D6E56A6.E8E98236@icok.net>
Source record: 134886

Marty,
Sure, it's still used. People are about the same,
some act like kids, some don't. Before 'splurging'
on a so called "10 meter" radio, I think I'd see is I
was going to be able to live with how the people act.
I think the legallity of such radios has been covered
well enough that I don't need to get into that.
'Doc

5811. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 17:15:50 UTC
From: Louie <Louie@KingOF27885.net>
Message-ID: <aklkrrbpc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 134884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
It's still a FAKE!
Now go get some donuts, a greasy hot dog and drip food and
grease all over your filthy T-shirt while you sit behind your
ham radio and bitch about CBers allday.
Get A LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Louie, King of 27885

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:X_pb9.46575$Hd4.7851755@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
>
> Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> news:akl8rq$eqi$0@pita.alt.net...
> > Try this on for size.
> > The FCC says they have no record of this letter.
> >
> > Let me spell it out for you.
> > Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > Louie, King of 27885
>
> Dream on, stupid! Mr. Hollingsworth only sent it on the 28th. I got it
via
> direct email from
> him yesterday! It will appear on Rain Report next period and on the ARRL
> website shortly.
> And I have somewhat personal knowledge of this particular case, so go talk
> about something
> you know.
>
> Jerry
>
> >
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:Kqgb9.88984$%v4.4851933@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > >
> > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > news:70c5cd56572b10a016bdbc779bb86ee3@xganon.com...
> > > > Just wondering........ How many of you ham cry babies speed while
> > driving
> > > > to or from work? Isn't that illegal also? But you do it anyways,
right?
> > And
```

> > > you don't see me or other folks out there calling Johnny Law when you
> > speed
> > > on by and or cut someone off DO YA? I don't think so!!!!!! But that is
> > just
> > > fine with me cause there is going to be a day when all these
frequencies
> > are
> > > going to be open to the public anyways. Just look at the cb, the FCC
> > > couldn't stop people from using the AM legally with a license so they
> drop
> > > the license. So what are they (Ham Operators) going to cry about when
> > > everyone will be allowed to use these so called licenses only
> frequencies
> > to
> > > cause hate and discontent like is done on channel 19? Just think about
> > that
> > > one for awhile.. It is only a matter of time!!!!!!!! As it is now you
> > folks
> > > are loosing frequencies cause no one is using them.
> > > >
> > > > Tim Nebo
> > > >
> > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > >
> > >
> > > Try this one on for size, troll!!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > August 28, 2002
> > >
> > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > >
> > > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > > 378 Williamson Road
> > > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> > >
> > >
> > > Dear Sir:

> > >
> > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a driver
of
> > the
> > > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed radio
> > > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
> Carolina,
> > > on June 5, 2002.
> > >
> > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment
> without
> > a
> > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of
1934,
> > as
> > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> > individual
> > > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> > transmitting
> > > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
> > > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > >
> > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
matter.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > Sincerely,
> > >
> > >
> > > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > > Special Counsel
> > > Enforcement Bureau
> > >
> > >
> > > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >

> > > > ---
> > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > ---
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

5812. Re: Hey Voob,JJ & the rest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 17:15:55 UTC
From: Packrat&#65533; <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <umslmfhqnd33d0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134888

Yeah don't group me with those nocode techs. I have better things to do.

"BecauseUAsked4It" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:kNqb9.18860$On.922370@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> Packrat is no ones Ham Buddy get your story straight
>
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
>
> "Anonymous Eeyore" <rachael@mfn.org> wrote in message
> news:7a86c0e95a3845eed99d9392a7fbbe37@mfn.org...
> > Now be good little kilocycle cops and go rat out your ham
> > buddy for selling illegal shit on the net...Bet you
> > haven,t got the balls to go make a post against the ad
> >
> > FA: 1KW Mobile Amplifier
> > Date:
> > Wed, 28 Aug 2002 22:21:14 -0700
> > From:
> > "Packrat&#65533;" <spam@n7uic.net>
> > Organization:
> > Posted via Supernews, http://www.supernews.com
> > Newsgroups:
> > rec.radio.swap
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > 1KW Mobile Amplifier
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1376444
> > 128
> >
>
>

5813. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 17:19:31 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <DIsb9.290731$2p2.11801190@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134889

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:9187-3D6E4080-39@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
>
> Hall scribed:
> >I've done plenty of QRP operating on 11 meters for many years. During
> cycle 22, while the great majority of people where climbing on top of
> each other on the regular 40, running gobs of power and doing nothing
> more than raising the noise floor, I was on some obscure frequency,
> working Europe and Africa with 12 watts on SSB. <
>
>
> Let's go back before that, when there WAS no 40 channels. Some were
> doing it back then.

> >But they were nowhere near "full scale". Most were in the S4 to<

> The-times-they-are-a-changing.
> Technology passed you along. Appears Tim is way up to date on some of
> the newer stuff. Some of the younger generation was raised on nothing
> BUT electronics, it's only natural some have a predisposition to such
> things.
> Keep saying it can't happen.

Twisty you keep getting yourself deeper and deeper. How has technology changed a 4 watt signal say from now from 25 years ago. please explain What technology has made 4 watts now better than 4 watts 25 years ago, and enough to where it will pin someones S meter anywhere in the world only on 11 meters. Boy I can't wait to hear this one.

5814. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 17:22:38 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020829132238.17971.00003650@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 134891

wtf does this have to do with me?

>
>Keep trying timmyboy, but ham radio has played an important role
>for decades in emergency communications as well as other areas in
>communications. The

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5815. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 17:24:51 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020829132451.17971.00003652@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 134892

i had a entire website of mine dedicated to it, how much more admittance can i have? i forgot, you keep wanting me to admitt to shit i've never done.

>I peeked into this thread thinking it might be Tim confessing to years
>of radio bootlegging. Imagine my disappointment!
>
>-Aaron-

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5816. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 17:26:32 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020829132632.17971.00003653@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 134894

he's never said a word about it to me and ive been doing it about 4 years straight lol

>Tim are you willing to say anything to Mopedholic when he complains abou top
>posters or will you just brush it off like he never said anything.
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5817. Magna Force 600/Texas Star 500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 17:29:54 UTC
From: jenova@wowmail.com
Message-ID: <3d6e5996.9718234@news.verizon.net>
Source record: 134895

I was thinking of getting Texas Star 500 or Magna Force 600. Anybody have any pros and cons on these 2 radios? Thanx.

5818. FS: Siemens F2a power pentode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 17:30:59 UTC
From: arnoldg@c2i.net
Message-ID: <3d6e5aa9.11669833@news1.c2i.net>
Source record: 134896

I have four pcs of the very nice Siemens F2a power pentode for sale.
They are NOS and in original boxes. You can find picture and data at
my web site - www.demostenes.no

Regards,

Arnold Goks&#65533;yr

DEMOSTENES - N6899 Balestrand, Norway
Tlf. +47 5769 1340 Fax +47 5769 1267
http://www.demostenes.no

Thousands of different valves in stock as well as
sockets,transformers,books,caps,resistors and
other components. Web site is updated every week.
You can order on-line.

5819. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 17:38:29 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6E3CCF.1BC6D900@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134747

Twisteboy wrote:

> >Pure BS. Who does the weather service rely on for storm spotter reports
> in severe weather? <<
>
> Storm chasers. Paid professionals employed for such engagements. Hammies
> are a last resort.

Again you show your ignorance. I used to live in Toronto country
and whenever the threat of severe weather arose the RACES folks
were out. The hams were the first resort. RACES maintains a
station at the National Weather Service center. The NWS alerts the
RACES folks when ever they suspect severe weather is immolate.
Keep trying but ham radio plays a major roll in emergencies that
cb never will.

>
> >They don't call the untrained cbers. They call the RACES folks who
> undergo yearly storm spotter training provided by the National Weather
> Service. I don't know <
>
> .is an understatement.

>
> >of any ham club that has someone who calls the media. Keep guessing,
> maybe you will hit one right someday. <
>
> You don't? Sure you do.....point to any of the national organization
> concerning hammies and you shall find a designated media spokesperson.
> Remeber, seek and ye shall find.

Sure, the ARRL has a media spokesperson, but when local
emergencies occur that involve hams, the local clubs don't have to
run to the media, the media knows of the service of hams. They
don't bother with cb.

>
> >How many times have you seen a news report of cbers in the same
> situation? A few times...maybe. <
>
> Just can't help compulsory gratification gained by satisfaction with
> assistance from your palm by comparing hammie and cb whenever you may.

You're right, there is no comparison, hams know how to handle
emergency communications, cber don't, and officials know the
difference.

>
>
> Turn on 19. Happens daily. All over the country.
>
> >Yea, lots of flat tire reports, fender benders, <
>
> True.
>
> >road rage...<
>
> Happens with or without cb.
> Can we stay pertinent, please?
>
> >real emergencies. <
>
>
> Exactly. Accidents, police needed, etc. Yes, real emergencies. No need
> for you to undermine the facts merely because cb happens to play a
> pivotal and positive role on the roads except for your own benefit and
> self-mental masturbation.

Same stuff is reported over ham VHF/UHF everyday, so cb has
nothing over ham radio there.

>
> >When reliable communications on many different frequencies are need in
> a real emergency where normal communications are down, it is the hams
> who step up and can be counted on. <
>
> Who disputed that hams couldn't be counted on in times of a disaster?
> Seems to me like you hold the largest doubts of all concerning hammie
> abilities and attempt to make up for it here with your banter.

I have no doubts over the hams capabilities during emergencies,
they have it all over cb.

>
> >The officials don't want a bunch of idiot cb wanna play radio types
> around in the way. They want someone who knows how to communicate. CB
> has done nothing <
>
>
> Except save countless lives. Ask Keith. He knows.

Sorry again, but when a real disaster occurs that requires
handling communications, the last thing officials want are a bunch
of untrained cbers, they always rely on the hams. That is the way
it is no matter how you try to spin it.

>
> >and the FCC has been sorry ever since in came up with the idea of the
> 11 meter cb band. They, along with many others would be happy if it just
> disappeared. <<

>
> Which may explain their lack of enforcement and lipservice the like of
> yours.
>
> >It is because the cbers in their disregard for any rules made a sewer
> pit of communications out of the cb band and the FCC simply gave up.<<
>
> Actually, it's because the feds made a horrible decision when allocating
> cb for it's intended purpose. See? You're still learning from a dumb
> cber. Blaming another is exactly what to expect from you.

Agree, the FCC made a big mistake, but it is the cbers who turned
11 meters into a sewer pit of communications, not the FCC the hams
or anyone else, just the cbers with overpowered, modded radios and
splatter box linears and disregard for the rules. If the cbers
operated within the rules, 11 meters might be useful for its
intended purpose.

>
> >No other communications service has the sorry reputation as cb does <<
>
> What ignorance. American hammies are known world-wide for the western
> disrespect generally shown toward foreigners by Americans. You typify
> the scenario wiht your intolerance toward views that differ from your
> own.
>
> >Sorry, but the cb band has a very sorry reputation. <<
>
> No need to apologize. I merely pointed out the untruths being told. Your
> last statement makes my last statement concerning American hammie
> reputations no less untrue, which explains your failed redirect.

I guess you think the American cbers appear like angles to the
rest of the world? I can only imagine what the rest of the world
thinks when they listen in to the American cbers.

>
> >Keep telling yourself it doesn't and maybe you can convince yourself. <
>
>
> It is you whom is desperately trying to convince someone of something,
> evidenced merely by your presence alone. As another alluded, no point to
> your posts, just anger for cbers.

Just anger for cbers like you who seem angry about hams who attain
what you seem to be incapable of, and thus you what to tear down
ham radio. You seem to be a bit jealous that ham radio hasn't
degraded to the level of what cb has become.

>
> >with all the illegal operation with modded radios, splatter amps, and
> other nonsense that goes on on the cb band. If cb went away tomorrow no

> one but the idiots who somehow enjoy being illegal would miss it. Even
> with the few rotten eggs we do have in ham radio, it still beats cb all
> over the place. <
>
> Then why ain't you there instead of playing underground?
>
> >I am there and that is why I have never operated on the children's
> band. <
>
>
> Which illustrates your presence magnificently.

Which illustrates that I don't want to operate in the sewer.

5820. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 17:39:21 UTC
From: Louie <Louie@KingOF27885.net>
Message-ID: <aklm80$ep1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 134748
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Great outdoor market! Great place to stock up on modified marine
radios for your 18-wheeler. Also you can buy linear amps at very
reduced prices, both new and used. One guy last year was selling imported
CB radios with all the "extra" channels all the way up to 29 mhz, for only
about $150. See ya there!

Louie, King of 27885, lower side

"Oscar" <LasVegasKid@thehappygamblersanon.com> wrote in message
news:akl9qr$h8v$0@pita.alt.net...
> Case in point. The Shelby NC Hamfest is this weekend. This year,
> as every year for the last ten, Shelby is home of the largest boneyard
> (used equipment) in the Southeast. What is it full of? 10% old junk
> ham gear. 90% CB amplifiers, modified CB radios, illegal imported CB
> radios, modified marine & business equipment for truckers, unlicensed
> low power FM broadcast transmitters, business band handi-talkies,
> modified military equipment, etc. The FCC has never made ONE bust
> at Shelby! Not One in ten years! Even a dumb ass can figure out the
> FCC is not interested in this market place of illegal equipment.
> Get a Life!
>
>
> "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:88bd397c310290828b5d393e24858afd@xganon.com...
> > i think your a hater JJ, your posts are always anti cb. you are just
> pissed off that riley said he doesnt care if we freeband. Of course he is
> not going to say this in public but the fact that twist talked with the
man
> direct on the phone. he doesnt care if we have cb amps or galaxy dx99s
> ether. riley is a politican if you understood the way politicans are you
> would know that they say things between the lines and not outright and if
> you have to know what to listen for. Bottom line ham is dieing and he
knows
> that. Cb will be big business for manufacters once the airwaves become
free.
> bitch and be kilocycle cop all you want and jerry can bust his one
truckstop
> lol but in ten years time i will be all over 10 meters the defacto
freeband,
> as the man said just wait the best is yet to come.
> >
> > Tim Nebo
> >
> > http://www.TimNebo.com

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > ---
> >
>
>

5821. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 17:40:19 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020829134019.17971.00003655@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 134749

doug, last time your forging of emails got you cut off to this group for a really long time. do you think the remailers make it any safer?!?!?!? your still just as uncreditable now as you were then. ps since youve been posting these wild ass shit under my name hits to my site are way up. thanks

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5822. Re: Bye BYe Moonraker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 17:41:25 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020829134125.17971.00003656@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 134750

you should be selling them then

>Dude, why waste my money? I can get a brand new Moonraker 4 (Retail
>mind you, i can get it less wholesale :P) for $169 so why would i pay
>$240 for the same thing?
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

5823. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 17:42:09 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6E3DAC.F786FAF7@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134751

"A.Melon" wrote:
>
> what's this crap about earning a privilidge????If it
> were'nt for the pressure of the ARRL wanting more money in
> their pocket most of you no code or minimal code graduated
> CB'ers wouldnt even have a damn license or would forever
> be stranded 10 meters and above.Which is where you
> belong....in glorified CB land and stranded on repeaters,
> which is nice so I dont have to listen to your idiocy down
> on 75 and 160.Then again I wouldnt be listening to you
> down there anyway because i operate 75% CW and your 5WPM
> would put me to sleep.You are the type of idiot I hear on
> HF asking what the impedence of a dipole is or the formula
> to cut one.Or the idiot that comes on running SSB in the
> middle of a QSO when we are running AM on 10 meters or
> someplace else and tells me I have a lot of carrier on my
> signal...Yeh you newbies are really superior and have paid
> your dues allright
> I bet 10 years from now you still will not be able to do
> 13 WPM....Don't even talk about earning your stripes pal.
> You bitch about all the trash on CB.....look at the
> amount of trash you have to deal with since they dropped
> the code requirements on the ham bands.......
> I have looked up most of you clowns that are in here
> raising hell and #1 you have not been licensed that
> long...#2 you are either no code geeks or got your license
> since they lowered the code requirements...Like I
> said...glorified CB'ers.....LOW CLASS TECHIES....
> You have earned abso-f'n-lutely nothing
> I have a general class ticket and have had it since 69
> and I didnt get it at 5 WPM and I did not "buy" it from a
> VE buddy...I still operate on 11 meters where I started
> and the crap you are complainig about takes place on the
> ham bands also and I will bet that if the true numbers
> were known there are more illegal operators percentage
> wise on HF that are licensed than there are illegal
> operators on cb....I am talking about US operations only...
> All you have to do is listen when contesting is going on
> and compare signals out of any given area....These guys
> are running legal ....yeh right....
> Like I said take your short time,no code or minimal code
> asses away and dont even think you are superior to
> everybody on cb...there are a lot of guys on cb that
> would trash your unknowing narrow minds in a technical

> knowledge test......It is blatently obvious that your real
> purpose in this group is harrasment pure and simple.
> I happened to just drop by this group about a week or so
> ago and cant beleive what a bunch of no life losers there
> are here claiming to be hams or are hams...I have brought
> this group to the attention of quite a few of "old timers
> "I know and it is being read for entertainment value.....
> Yes yet again I post anon because i dont want your
> useless dribble in any of my personal mail accounts.The
> validity of my statements speak for themselves -.-
> Mike O Farad

Who you talking to Mike O Fraid?

5824. Re: rude hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 18:05:11 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3D6E6257.F851AEB3@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 134752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Oscar wrote:

> Case in point. The Shelby NC Hamfest is this weekend. This year,
> as every year for the last ten, Shelby is home of the largest boneyard
> (used equipment) in the Southeast. What is it full of? 10% old junk
> ham gear. 90% CB amplifiers, modified CB radios, illegal imported CB
> radios, modified marine & business equipment for truckers, unlicensed
> low power FM broadcast transmitters, business band handi-talkies,
> modified military equipment, etc. The FCC has never made ONE bust
> at Shelby! Not One in ten years! Even a dumb ass can figure out the
> FCC is not interested in this market place of illegal equipment.
> Get a Life!

We should make a Q signal for "Get a Life", say "QGL". Anyway, it's perfectly legal for hams to buy used radio equipment and modify it for ham radio use. We did that for years with business and marine radios, surplus military stuff, even CB radios modified for 10 meters. We could even get one of those CB amps and clean it up and tune it up for 10 meters.

5825. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 18:07:54 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <_ptb9.291093$2p2.11828863@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134753

Oh so that makes him a Troll selective enforcement what does he think he is
a NetCop. He must not have better things to do.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020829132632.17971.00003653@mb-fx.aol.com...
> he's never said a word about it to me and ive been doing it about 4 years
> straight lol
>
>
>
>
>
> >Tim are you willing to say anything to Mopedholic when he complains abou
top
> >posters or will you just brush it off like he never said anything.
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

5826. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 18:13:41 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208291013.4bb71a42@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134754

"Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:<kO8b9.2520$On.156334@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous

maybe in your fantasy world!

> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > And again, you're painting all CBers as outlaws when only a small
> > handful think they need to run extra power or bother to peak & tweak.
> > That's a hellova generalization. And you're wrong.
>
> Bullshit, there are far more illegal Cbers than not, you can talk all the
> shit you want all you need to do is turn on your radio and listen, read the
> NG's, read the cb radio forum. go to a truck stop up and down any
> interstate. It is easier to ask who doesn't have an amp than who does.

Bovine excrement yourself. You are (again) talking about a tiny
minority of all CBer's. I do listen to CB. I've also been listening to
28.085 for a while now, and I haven't heard anything that sounds
remotely like a CBer or even a trucker. I haven't heard anything at
all, in fact, because the so-called "knowledgable" amateurs KNOW 10
meters is dead, while the so-called "criminal" CBers continue to use
11 meters without much problem.

Funny how that works, isn't it? There's about 800 kHz for 30 to 40
million CBer's to use, while amateurs have lots of unused spectrum
from Top Band to beyond 1.2 GHz, and all Georgie-girl can do is whine
and make up stories about how ALL CBers are "illegal". You must be a
mental basket case. Correction: You ARE a mental basket case. Please
seek help. Quickly!

Making a broad generalization from a few specific anecdotal instances
does not logic make. But since when do we expect anything logical from
Georgie-girl anyhow?

BTW, why aren't you actually USING one of those amateur bands?

5827. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 18:23:39 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208291023.52d7169c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134755

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208282227.43c373a5@posting.google.com>...
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<akjbsi$1jgmdh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> > I'm just curious as to your
> > motivation. And what kind of radios & antennas you use.
>
> Everything you seek is here:
>
> http://www.geocities.com/aaronvoobner/voobner.htm
>
> -Aaron-

HAHAHA!! Give us a break, willya? Funny how your face changes in each picture and you can't make out any of the alleged radios! That page hasn't changed since it was first put up and does NOT answer any of the "hard" questions. Where'd ya steal the photos from?

You haven't even fixed the counter! What's it been, two or three years now?

And there is still not a single "Voobner" in the entire USA. Try again.

5828. Re: Cb ...general question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 18:27:44 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208291027.492d49f1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134756

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D6D7853.5334EBBD@mailcity.net>...
> Then you need to get a receiver that works, try 28.085, that is a
> regular trucker's frequency. I can listen on 10 any day and hear
> truckers and other illegal stateside operators on 10 meters.
> As far as the rest of your juvenile rant...PLONK!

I've got a receiver that works and I've been monitoring that frequency
for a while now. I've never heard anything that sounds like a CBer or
a trucker. Can you provide some proof of your statement?

And IF you're licensed, why aren't you on ten meters making use of
that frequency instead of whining about things here?

5829. Re: Dave or Debby SPANKED by ISP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 18:32:54 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208291032.51468ca9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134757

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D6CB43F.5A41@worldlynx.net>...
> > >> When did 23 channel rigs become illegal to use?
> > >>
> > >>
> > >>
> > >
> > >Ya, I was under the impression that they were legal. As a matter of fact,
> > >I still reguarly use an old Royce. I love the thing. Interesting.
> > >
> > >Alan S.
> >
> > They are not illegal to use.
>
>
> That is correct. When 40 channel radios became legal in 1977, a new set
> of tighter regulations for type acceptance also took affect. What it
> boiled down to is that 23 channels radios could no longer be sold AS NEW
> after a certain date (To give the manufacturers time to clear out any
> old stock).
> Existing 23 channels radios could continue to be operated, and you could
> sell them as used radios to other people.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

And the same thing applies to ten-meter radios (NOT "export" CBs); a
dealer can still sell any Uniden HR2510 or 2600 he has IN STOCK to
licensed hams. He just cannot "import and market" them anymore.

Funny how that works, isn't it? Wonder why Aanal Aaron can't figure it
out?

5830. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 19:07:15 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208291107.9971e11@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134758

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208282215.69d30a92@posting.google.com>...
>> > > Your tenacious and persistent desire to delve into the details
of
>my
>> > > personal life is most gratifying, especially since it is
motivated
>> > > solely by your desire to "help others understand me a little
better".
>> > > I must say that is extremely unselfish and generous. But be
patient,
>> > > I'll be posting a very long file that'll answer all your
questions
>> > > about illegal CB and a very personal Voobner family url for you
soon.
>> > >
>> > > -Aaron-
>> >
>> > SURE YOU WILL!!! Har de har har har har!! You're are still doing
the
>> > famous, patented "chicken side-step". Just answer the questions,
>> > a-hole. Or just go away! As long as you're here posting nonsense,
I'll
>> > be here to correct your nonsense! And neither Jerry nor
Georgie-girl
>> > will chase me off. If the readers of this newsgroup "chose" you
to
>> > parrot the bullcrap, YOU chose me to correct your inaccuracies.
>>
>> No corrections, hence no inaccuracies.
>>
>> -A
>
>I've already corrected you several times (as have others), i.e.:
>
>1. All "10-meter radios are illegal". No they're not. It is illegal
to
>"import and market" new ones.
>
>2. "Hams cannot use `illegal' 10-meter radios". Yes they can since
>there is no type-acceptance requirement for amateur use.
>
>3. "There is no interference or jamming on 14.313". Wanna bet? Do you

>even have a receiver?
>
>4. "No corrections, hence no inaccuracies". Nuff sed. HAR de HAR HAR!

Dream up inaccuracies and then "correct" them all you want. There are 1000's of posts in the archive to keep you busy. I'm sure your acheivements will become as well-respected as, say...."Dave or Debby"'s :)

-A

5831. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 19:18:56 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <zsub9.164385$Aw4.6911503@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134759

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208291013.4bb71a42@posting.google.com...
> Bovine excrement yourself. You are (again) talking about a tiny
> minority of all CBer's. I do listen to CB. I've also been listening to
> 28.085 for a while now, and I haven't heard anything that sounds
> remotely like a CBer or even a trucker. I haven't heard anything at
> all, in fact, because the so-called "knowledgable" amateurs KNOW 10
> meters is dead, while the so-called "criminal" CBers continue to use
> 11 meters without much problem.
>
> Funny how that works, isn't it? There's about 800 kHz for 30 to 40
> million CBer's to use, while amateurs have lots of unused spectrum
> from Top Band to beyond 1.2 GHz, and all Georgie-girl can do is whine
> and make up stories about how ALL CBers are "illegal". You must be a
> mental basket case. Correction: You ARE a mental basket case. Please
> seek help. Quickly!

The stories of more than 10% of this countrys population are Cbers is truly
hilarious. You are the one crying listen to yourself.
Who cares what is used or not do you use every square inch of your property?
if not someone else should be able to use it.
I can make a blanket statement just like you can but I will guarantee you
that there are more amp users on CB than there is not everyone has an amp,
and there are many many illegal radios in use. Since Cb has no formal
organization funny with over 30-40 million users LOL Hams have what 600,000
licensed ops and have theArrl you would think with a roster full of 30 - 40
million users they would have some formal grass roots club. Oh well BTW what
was that call sign again are you just talkin shit again?

> Making a broad generalization from a few specific anecdotal instances
> does not logic make. But since when do we expect anything logical from
> Georgie-girl anyhow?
>
> BTW, why aren't you actually USING one of those amateur bands?

5832. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 19:28:39 UTC
From: ld751@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d12c96e.0208291128.599131a3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134760

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<Aahb9.89329$%v4.4874436@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>...

> Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a driver of the
> above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed radio
> transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South Carolina,
> on June 5, 2002.

What a dumbass! He should have stayed in the freeband! There's plenty
of room to talk there, either above or below the normal 40!

5833. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 19:31:30 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208291131.5a0f6bcc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134761

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<akl4vk$1jhi4g$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0208282227.43c373a5@posting.google.com...
> > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:<akjbsi$1jgmdh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> > > I'm just curious as to your
> > > motivation. And what kind of radios & antennas you use.
> >
> > Everything you seek is here:
> >
> > http://www.geocities.com/aaronvoobner/voobner.htm
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> Hmm... interesting. Ever thought about going ahead and getting
> your ham
> license and leaving the CB world behind? That way you wouldn't have to
> worry about all those "criminals" and their illegal equipment.

You're right, most good people abandon a place that's been overrun
with "the bad element". But that's why CB has gotten the way it is.
Because the good ones left and let the outlaws take over. Same with
this NG. Typically they are anonymous bozo's with names like "Richard
Cranium" who can't contribute anything to a conversation but
third-grade playground snipes.

>I don't
> worry about them, unless they stumble into the ham bands.

It's your choice to worry or not to worry. As long as you don't insist
I must do as you do.

-Aaron-

5834. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 19:32:50 UTC
From: ld751@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d12c96e.0208291132.6b69a97a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134762

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<z7qb9.46660$Hd4.7855569@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> And IF I am traveling and I "happen" to hear a trucker beeping and squeaking
> away on
> 10 or 12 meters, and IF I can accurately ID him, he WILL get turned in. No
> ifs ands

True, they should stay down in the freeband, but if you report the
driver, it's your word against theirs. Nothing will happen to them
unless the FCC catches him. It's a little thing called proof, innocent
until proven guilty, etc... you might recognize this from the
constitution.

5835. Re: 10 meter Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 19:36:03 UTC
From: ld751@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d12c96e.0208291136.6f27b15e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134763

"Garfield" <dont@spam.me> wrote in message news:<akk4ih$bg3$1@news.chatlink.com>...
> If I wanted to legally operate one of those 10 meter radios you can by at
> the TA or a Pilot , lets say a Galaxy, what FCC license would I need?
>
> Do you have to know code?
>
> I know this isn't really a CB question but I do know there are quite a few
> hams on here.
>
> Thanks

There's even more on rec.radio.amateur.

5836. Re: 11 meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 19:38:44 UTC
From: radiohead11m@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020829153844.29178.00004280@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 134764

>General coverage all mode xceiver: $300 Pair of 3-500z amp:
>$350 Dipole antenna: $1.29
>Worldwide communications on 26-28 mhz:Priceless.....IDX

You left one out. FCC Notice of Apparent Liability: $11,000

"Ouch!" (Especially if you have $4,500 in your savings account.)

Mark

5837. Re: Cb ...general question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 19:39:37 UTC
From: ld751@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d12c96e.0208291139.2892b9c6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134765

"Martin J. Croke" <huntemup@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:<oveb9.8809$XV.224963@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>...
> I am thinking about putting a CB in my personal truck to use when traveling.
> Before I do...I was wondering...are they really still being used? (
> Especially in the North East?) Have they gotten away from "kids playing"
> all the time? And what about the 10 meter radios...are most truckers using
> them instead?
>
> Thank You for all replys!
>
> Marty

A simple 40 channel AM radio will serve you just fine. Keep it on
channel 19, turn the squelch up just a tad over the noise level and
you will find plenty of travelers to let you know about road
conditions ahead. As the other replies have stated, transmiting on the
10 meter band by an unlicensed operator is illegal and it's easier to
get caught talking there than on the "freeband".

5838. Re: Dave or Debby SPANKED by ISP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 19:43:42 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208291143.14c0a155@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134766

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
>And the same thing applies to ten-meter radios (NOT "export" CBs); a
>dealer can still sell any Uniden HR2510 or 2600 he has IN STOCK to
>licensed hams. He just cannot "import and market" them anymore.

1. Where does a dealer get his "stock" if the radios could not be
legally imported in the first place?

2. How is it you are so familiar with the business practices and
loopholes of selling 10 meter radios to CB'ers?

-Aaron-

5839. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 20:01:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23436-3D6E7D8F-132@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134773

Working himself into rage, nocode "JJ" exasperated himself with:
From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)

>Twisteboy wrote:
Pure BS. Who does the weather service rely on for storm spotter reports
in severe weather? <<

Storm chasers. Paid professionals employed for such engagements. Hammies
are a last resort.

>Again you show your ignorance. I used to live in Toronto country and
whenever the threat of severe weather arose the RACES folks were out. <<

BFD. You asked who they
rely on. T rely on paid trained professionals, not volunteers like
yourself who put yourselves on par with John Glenn.

> I don't know <

is an understatement.

>of any ham club that has someone who calls the media. Keep guessing,
maybe you will hit one right someday. <

You don't? Sure you do.....point to any of the national organization
concerning hammies and you shall find a designated media spokesperson.
Remeber, seek and ye shall find.

>Sure, the ARRL has a media spokesperson, <

But above you didn't know of any. Seems like I'm teaching you that of
what you claim you "forgot". The opinion I held is you simply never
knew, as you admitted above. Thanks for clarifying.

>but when local emergencies occur that involve hams, the local clubs
don't have to run to the media, the media knows of the service of hams.
<

But they DO run to the media, each and every chance they get. It goes
hand in hand with the ego tripping thing certain hammies like yourself
have been ruined for life over.

>They don't bother with cb.
How many times have you seen a news report of cbers in the same
situation? A few times...maybe. <

Just can't help compulsory gratification gained by satisfaction with
assistance from your palm by comparing hammie and cb whenever you may.

>You're right, there is no comparison, <<

But you keep doing it...deriving some type weird and deviant pleasure from it, as you always have since I put you underground and into my world.

Turn on 19. Happens daily. All over the country.
>Yea, lots of flat tire reports, fender benders, <

True.

>road rage...<

Happens with or without cb.
Can we stay pertinent, please?

>real emergencies. <

Exactly. Accidents, police needed, etc. Yes, real emergencies. No need for you to undermine the facts merely because cb happens to play a pivotal and positive role on the roads except for your own benefit and self-mental masturbation.

>Same stuff is reported over ham VHF/UHF everyday, so cb has nothing over ham radio there.<

Again, you are comparing the services for WHAT purpose??

>When reliable communications on many different frequencies are need in a real emergency where normal communications are down, it is the hams who step up and can be counted on. <

Who disputed that hams couldn't be counted on in times of a disaster? Seems to me like you hold the largest doubts of all concerning hammie abilities and attempt to make up for it here with your banter.

>I have no doubts over the hams capabilities during emergencies, they have it all over cb.<

If you really believed that, you wouldn't have to spout it redundantly. As others agree, it appears you have to convince yourself.

>The officials don't want a bunch of idiot cb wanna play radio types around in the way. They want someone who knows how to communicate. CB has done nothing <

Except save countless lives. Ask Keith. He knows.

>Sorry again, but when a real disaster occurs that requires handling communications, the last thing officials want are a bunch of untrained cbers, they always rely on the hams. <

Is that your way of avoiding asking Keith how much good REACT has done? By undermining the lives they have saved?

>That is the way it is no matter how you try to spin it. <

No one has disputed your soapbox "hammies can do some good" piece.
You're arguing with yourself, or is it just playing?

>and the FCC has been sorry ever since in came up with the idea of the 11 meter cb band. They, along with many others would be happy if it just disappeared. <<

Which may explain their lack of enforcement and lipservice the like of yours.

>It is because the cbers in their disregard for any rules made a sewer pit of communications out of the cb band and the FCC simply gave up.<<

Actually, it's because the feds made a horrible decision when allocating cb for it's intended purpose. See? You're still learning from a dumb cber. Blaming another is exactly what to expect from you.

>Agree, the FCC made a big mistake, <

End of story. You put a defective product on the market and you want to blame the consumers.

>but it is the cbers who turned 11 meters into a sewer pit of communications, not the FCC the hams or anyone else, just the cbers with overpowered, modded radios and splatter box linears and disregard for the rules. If the cbers operated within the rules, 11 meters might be useful for its intended purpose.<

Since youbrought up the comparisons, who is it that turned the hammie bands into those sewr pits? Former cbers? LMAO!

>No other communications service has the sorry reputation as cb does <<

What ignorance. American hammies are known world-wide for the western disrespect generally shown toward foreigners by Americans. You typify the scenario wiht your intolerance toward views that differ from your own.

>Sorry, but the cb band has a very sorry reputation. <<

No need to apologize. I merely pointed out the untruths being told. Your last statement makes my last statement concerning American hammie reputations no less untrue, which explains your failed redirect.

>I guess you think <

Another mistake. Stop guessing, and for God's sake man, quit worrying about what others think so much.

>the American cbers appear like angles to the rest of the world? I can only imagine what the rest of the world thinks when they listen in to the American cbers.
Keep telling yourself it doesn't and maybe you can convince yourself. <

It is you whom is desperately trying to convince someone of something, evidenced merely by your presence alone. As another alluded, no point to

your posts, just anger for cbers.

>Just anger for cbers like you <

Me? What did I ever do to you in your current incarnation? You have issues, junior, deep ones.

>who seem angry about hams who attain what you seem to be incapable of, and thus you what to tear down ham radio. <

I've never said a bad word about hammie radio, which is why Lelnad had to forge me.
Redirection from your self-hatred is non-productive. You are incapable of separating your emotions from conversation. As was alluded...it must be in your upbringing.

>You seem to be a bit jealous that ham radio hasn't degraded to the level of what cb has become.<

Hammie radio holds no interest of mine. By the way, it has degraded, and it is ops like you that are flat out responsible for it.

>with all the illegal operation with modded radios, splatter amps, and other nonsense that goes on on the cb band. If cb went away tomorrow no one but the idiots who somehow enjoy being illegal would miss it. Even with the few rotten eggs we do have in ham radio, it still beats cb all over the place. <

Then why ain't you there instead of playing underground?

>I am there and that is why I have never operated on the children's band. <

Which illustrates your presence magnificently.

>Which illustrates that I don't want to operate in the sewer.<

Just play with what you find there...heheheh!

5840. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 20:03:39 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208291203.33a0570c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134767

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208291013.4bb71a42@posting.google.com...
> I've also been listening to 28.085 for a while now, and I haven't
> heard anything that sounds remotely like a CBer or even a
> trucker. I haven't heard anything at all

Yet we have.....

> Monitoring information before the Commission indicates
>that a driver of the above vehicle licensed to your company
>was operating unlicensed radio transmitting equipment
>on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South Carolina, on June
>5, 2002.

Gosh, who should I believe?.....a guy named "Richard Cranium" that
says there are no CBers on 10 meters......or an FCC report?
Hmmmm......

-A

5841. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 20:06:38 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23436-3D6E7ECE-134@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134771

>you are now saying your DX signal and not saying your 4 watt dx signal compared to a local 4 watt signal 2 miles away. <

We have ALWAYS been talking about DX conditions in this thread until your failed attempted redirect.

We are talking about DX conditions here, during a high solar count cycle, which kills the conversation here and now because you claimed to know better than the world's official sunspot record keeper.

>Twisty that is the whole jist of the matter you don't have the foggiest idea of what "conditions" are, pick up this months QST there is a whole section on sunspots. LOL sunspots are not amplifiers they allow the ionosphere to reflect the MUF at that particular time. If you can talk the world on 4 watts and pin anyones S meter why are amplifiers used. <

You are asking the wrong person about amps...but that has always been your escape route with me.

>No one is running to your defense twisty think about that.<

As it is quite obvious to the educated and those that can comprehend a post for what is written, clearly I need none.

5842. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 20:09:49 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23437-3D6E7F8D-62@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134772

>Twisty you keep getting yourself deeper and deeper. How has technology changed a 4 watt signal <

No one ever said technology changed a 4 watt signal.
what has changed is no more pencil pushing theorists sitting around doing archaic formulas saying "Eureka! We found him."
It's all keystrokes now.
Once again, don't read what's not written...do it again, you may find yourself not only playing, but talking with yourself.

5843. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 20:09:54 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6E6033.E6E290D8@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134769

Richard Cranium wrote:

>
> Bovine excrement yourself. You are (again) talking about a tiny
> minority of all CBer's. I do listen to CB. I've also been listening to
> 28.085 for a while now, and I haven't heard anything that sounds
> remotely like a CBer or even a trucker.

You need to get a radio that has a receiver that works. I am
listening to truckers on 28.085 right now.

5844. Re: packrat your too funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 20:13:34 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <23437-3D6E806E-63@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 134783

From: spam@n7uic.net (Packrat®)
>Fuck! Is someone not allowed to move? <

Hit a nerve?

>Bet you can't ping that address dumbass!!! <

I didn't ping anything. One of your hammie fans did.

>You are such a genius. I have had the same ID for the past 4 years.
I have had my information blasted in here many times Glad you can do a
whois on a domain name and get outdated DNS servers from the bottom of
the list. <

Once again, I didn't do much but post one time, an innocuous and
outdated, as you say, number. So why so hostile?

> But thanks for pointing that out. <

That's what I do.

>Need to remove the IP for that server since its no longer there. <

I'll bet.
Now go have a nice glass of iced tea and chill some of that hostility.

5845. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 20:15:07 UTC
From: DarkPoet <darkpoetfan@hotmale.com>
Message-ID: <fhvb9.68427$N9.11258633@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 134768
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

Sorry i had to chime in again and say

Yar, Maties.....

Yo ho ho

darkpoet

5846. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 20:15:34 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6E61A2.C5CE4816@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134770

Richard Craniumless wrote:

>
> 3. "There is no interference or jamming on 14.313". Wanna bet? Do you
> even have a receiver?

And you say there are no truckers using 10 meters. Wanna bet? Do
you even have a receiver that works?

5847. Re: Farewell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 20:22:55 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6E635B.457D3688@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134774

"rugerp85
>
> don't let the door hit you in the ass on the way out.............

And the cbers claim to be such a friendly bunch....yea right!

5848. Re: Magna Force 600/Texas Star 500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 20:31:56 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20020829163156.11549.00003771@mb-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 134775

I'd go with the TS 500 only because of one thing:
The Magna Force is an X-Force unit (nothing wrong with that) that has passed through the hands of what is or was some incarnation of EEI and I have no use for a company that has done the things I have seen from them. They (EEI) rank below ever Copper and H&Y on the total shitbag scale.
Train

>Subject: Magna Force 600/Texas Star 500
>From: jenova@wowmail.com (Ozzmatron)
>Date: 8/29/02 1:29 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3d6e5996.9718234@news.verizon.net>
>
>I was thinking of getting Texas Star 500 or Magna Force 600. Anybody
>have any pros and cons on these 2 radios? Thanx.
>
>

5849. Re: Ham Misbehavior - An example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 20:35:52 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6E6665.A89C1959@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134776

"Scott (Unit 69)" wrote:
>
> JJ trolled:
> >
> > CBers like to call them numbers for some strange reason. Just like
> > SWRs instead of SWR.
>
> You are a non-CB'er. You are nothing here. Your words are empty
> and mean nothing.
>
> When you get a CB, and use it, then you can contribute here.

Oh really, show me some Usenet rule that says I can't post here if
I am not a cber. Do you own the newsgroup? If my words mean
nothing the why do you respond? And for the record, I will never
have a cb.

5850. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 20:37:48 UTC
From: Horace T Einsworth <hte@radiow345xFM.org>
Message-ID: <akm0mkafk0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 134777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Does your rusted out Ford pick-me-up truck have a
sign on it saying "Radio Police"?????? and I'm sure
you have your ham call letters plastered all over it, like some
badge of accomplishment.
hahahahahahahahhahahah You REALLY need to
find a life!!!!!!

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:LKsb9.93648$%v4.5145082@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> Louie <Louie@KingOF27885.net> wrote in message
> news:aklknvben0@pita.alt.net...
> > I just told you, it's a FAKE!!!!
> > Now go get a greasy hot dog, some donuts, and sit behind
> > your ham radio and bitch about CBers all day.
> > Get a LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > Louie, King of 27885
>
> Dream on.....
>
> J
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:e0qb9.46590$Hd4.7853292@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > >
> > > Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> > > news:akl8ndebi0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > Try this!
> > > >
> > > > The FCC says they have no record of any such letter!
> > > > Let me spell it our for you. Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!
> > > >
> > > > Louie, King of 27885
> > >
> > > When it appears on the ARRL website in a few days, open your big mouth
> and
> > > insert foot!!
> > >
> > > LMAO!!
> > >
> > > J
> > > >

> > > >
> > > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:dsgb9.88992$%v4.4853163@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:93499408014cd17528fe4f816c51a457@xganon.com...
> > > > > > you hams just jealous because i can talk worldwide and use more
> > watts
> > > > than
> > > > > you can and I dont have to be wearing an FCC choke collar like you
> > are.
> > > > stop
> > > > > by rrcb and see how cbers run a newsgroup. top posting and OT
> posting
> > > will
> > > > > get you hassled, but breaking federal laws is DEFINITELY OK by us.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 73 and good DX
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Tim
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > This guy thought the same thing, TROLL!!!
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > August 28, 2002
> > > > >
> > > > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > > > >
> > > > > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > > > > 378 Williamson Road
> > > > > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > > > > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Dear Sir:
> > > > >

> > > > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a
> driver
> > of
> > > > the
> > > > > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed
> radio
> > > > > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
> > > Carolina,
> > > > > on June 5, 2002.
> > > > >
> > > > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment
> > > without
> > > > a
> > > > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of
> > 1934,
> > > > as
> > > > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> > > > individual
> > > > > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> > > > transmitting
> > > > > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
> > > > > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > > > >
> > > > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
> > matter.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Sincerely,
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > > > > Special Counsel
> > > > > Enforcement Bureau
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >

> > > > > >
> > > > > > ---
> > > > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > > > ---
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

5851. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 20:39:35 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6E6741.8E615EE2@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134778

Richard Craniumless wrote:

> Oh sure; I also listen to 14.313 and 3950 at times. Have you bothered?
> I've been monitoring the low end of 10 meters lately and haven't heard
> anything that sounds like an out-of-band CBer or trucker yet.

I keep telling you, get a radio with a receiver that actually
works on 10 meters. OH I know, hook up the antenna, bet that will
work.

5852. Re: Cb ...general question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 20:43:37 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6E6835.415368E9@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134780

Richard Craniumless wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D6D7853.5334EBBD@mailcity.net>...
> > Then you need to get a receiver that works, try 28.085, that is a
> > regular trucker's frequency. I can listen on 10 any day and hear
> > truckers and other illegal stateside operators on 10 meters.
> > As far as the rest of your juvenile rant...PLONK!
>
> I've got a receiver that works and I've been monitoring that frequency
> for a while now. I've never heard anything that sounds like a CBer or
> a trucker. Can you provide some proof of your statement?

As I said in another reply to your ranting, hook up the antenna,
that might help you hear something. 28.085 is busy every day with
trucker activity, just because you and your equipment are
incapable of hearing them does not mean they are not there.

5853. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 20:45:22 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akm103$1jao1p$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134779

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208291131.5a0f6bcc@posting.google.com...

>
> >I don't
> > worry about them, unless they stumble into the ham bands.
>
> It's your choice to worry or not to worry. As long as you don't insist
> I must do as you do.

I'm not insisting. Everyone's free to make their own choices. But I think
you'd probably feel happier not having to worry about all the illegal
operators out there.

Let's face it, CB is a write off, legally speaking. Trying to get rid of
all the illegal operators is like trying to scoop up the ocean with a spoon.
That's why you don't see the FCC wasting their time with it, unless they
receive a few specific complaints. I certainly don't worry about illegal
CB'ers. Why should I? As long as their not on the ham bands, it doesn't
matter to me. If they're transmitting on illegal frequencies that aren't in
a ham band, why should I worry about it? If they're causing interference to
legitimate users, I'm sure those users have recourse to get the problem
resolved. It's not up to me. I'm not an FCC field agent.

As far as leaving it all behind, I've known many CB'ers who've gotten their
ham licenses to get away from the "noise" on the CB band. They've never
looked back. And many of them were freebanders.

Tom

5854. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 20:45:30 UTC
From: Horace T Einsworth <hte@radiow345xFM.org>
Message-ID: <akm151$bh2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 134781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I saw you at the last Hamfest I went to. Your belly hung down over
your belt buckle, completely covering it. you hadn't shaved in days.
your glasses were like the bottom of Coke bottles and you stunk from
not having bathed in days. Most prominet though, you had your ham
call letters on your hat, shirt, name tag, six places on your vehicle, and
you were handing out qsl cards even to people who didn't want them.
Then you waddled over to the snack bar for the fifth time in the last
three hours, where you stuffed greasy nachos down your mouth, while
cheese dribbled all over your filthy shirt.
Yup, definitely saw you at that Hamfest, a "typical" attendee at any
hamfest you go to these days!!!!

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:_Hsb9.93628$%v4.5143594@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
>
> Louie <Louie@KingOF27885.net> wrote in message
> news:aklkrrbpc0@pita.alt.net...
> > It's still a FAKE!
> > Now go get some donuts, a greasy hot dog and drip food and
> > grease all over your filthy T-shirt while you sit behind your
> > ham radio and bitch about CBers allday.
> > Get A LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> >
> > Louie, King of 27885
>
> Denial, denial. Tsk,tsk! Like I said, it'll show up on the Rain Report
next
> period. Besides, Riley
> emailed it to me yesterday. Believe what you like. I don't bitch about
> CBers; only those who steal
> frequencies they have no business using.
>
> J
> >
> >
> > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > news:X_pb9.46575$Hd4.7851755@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > >
> > > Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> > > news:akl8rqeqi0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > Try this on for size.
> > > > The FCC says they have no record of this letter.
> > > >

> > > > Let me spell it out for you.
> > > > Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > > >
> > > > Louie, King of 27885
> > >
> > > Dream on, stupid! Mr. Hollingsworth only sent it on the 28th. I got
it
> > via
> > > direct email from
> > > him yesterday! It will appear on Rain Report next period and on the
> ARRL
> > > website shortly.
> > > And I have somewhat personal knowledge of this particular case, so go
> talk
> > > about something
> > > you know.
> > >
> > > Jerry
> > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:Kqgb9.88984$%v4.4851933@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:70c5cd56572b10a016bdbc779bb86ee3@xganon.com...
> > > > > > Just wondering........ How many of you ham cry babies speed
while
> > > driving
> > > > > to or from work? Isn't that illegal also? But you do it anyways,
> > right?
> > > > And
> > > > > you don't see me or other folks out there calling Johnny Law when
> you
> > > speed
> > > > > on by and or cut someone off DO YA? I don't think so!!!!!! But
that
> is
> > > just
> > > > > fine with me cause there is going to be a day when all these
> > frequencies
> > > are
> > > > > going to be open to the public anyways. Just look at the cb, the
FCC
> > > > > couldn't stop people from using the AM legally with a license so
> they
> > > drop
> > > > > the license. So what are they (Ham Operators) going to cry about
> when
> > > > > everyone will be allowed to use these so called licenses only

> > > frequencies
> > > > to
> > > > > cause hate and discontent like is done on channel 19? Just think
> about
> > > > that
> > > > > one for awhile.. It is only a matter of time!!!!!!!! As it is now
> you
> > > > folks
> > > > > are loosing frequencies cause no one is using them.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Tim Nebo
> > > > > >
> > > > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Try this one on for size, troll!!
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > August 28, 2002
> > > > > >
> > > > > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > > > > > 378 Williamson Road
> > > > > > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > > > > > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dear Sir:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a
> driver
> > > of
> > > > > the
> > > > > > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed
> radio
> > > > > > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
> > > > Carolina,
> > > > > > on June 5, 2002.

> > > > >
> > > > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment
> > > without
> > > > a
> > > > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of
> > 1934,
> > > > as
> > > > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> > > > individual
> > > > > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> > > > transmitting
> > > > > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
> > > > > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > > > >
> > > > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
> > matter.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Sincerely,
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > > > > Special Counsel
> > > > > Enforcement Bureau
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > ---
> > > > > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > > > > ---
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > >
> > >

> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

5855. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 20:46:30 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <GKvb9.165329$Aw4.6960037@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

You really need to get a life to 2 post on the same thread your wearin it
out Louie

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Horace T Einsworth" <hte@radiow345xFM.org> wrote in message
news:akm0mkafk0@pita.alt.net...
> Does your rusted out Ford pick-me-up truck have a
> sign on it saying "Radio Police"?????? and I'm sure
> you have your ham call letters plastered all over it, like some
> badge of accomplishment.
> hahahahahahahahhahahah You REALLY need to
> find a life!!!!!!
>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:LKsb9.93648$%v4.5145082@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Louie <Louie@KingOF27885.net> wrote in message
> > news:aklknvben0@pita.alt.net...
> > > I just told you, it's a FAKE!!!!
> > > Now go get a greasy hot dog, some donuts, and sit behind
> > > your ham radio and bitch about CBers all day.
> > > Get a LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > Louie, King of 27885
> >
> > Dream on.....................................
> >
> > J
> > >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:e0qb9.46590$Hd4.7853292@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > >
> > > > Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:akl8ndebi0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > Try this!
> > > > >
> > > > > The FCC says they have no record of any such letter!
> > > > > Let me spell it our for you. Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!
> > > > >
> > > > > Louie, King of 27885
> > > >

> > > > When it appears on the ARRL website in a few days, open your big
mouth
> > and
> > > > insert foot!!
> > > >
> > > > LMAO!!
> > > >
> > > > J
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:dsgb9.88992$%v4.4853163@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:93499408014cd17528fe4f816c51a457@xganon.com...
> > > > > > > you hams just jealous because i can talk worldwide and use
more
> > > watts
> > > > > than
> > > > > > you can and I dont have to be wearing an FCC choke collar like
you
> > > are.
> > > > > stop
> > > > > > by rrcb and see how cbers run a newsgroup. top posting and OT
> > posting
> > > > will
> > > > > > get you hassled, but breaking federal laws is DEFINITELY OK by
us.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 73 and good DX
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Tim
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > This guy thought the same thing, TROLL!!!
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > August 28, 2002
> > > > > >
> > > > > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > > > > >

> > > > > > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > > > > > 378 Williamson Road
> > > > > > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > > > > > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dear Sir:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a
> > driver
> > > of
> > > > > > the
> > > > > > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed
> > radio
> > > > > > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
> > > > Carolina,
> > > > > > on June 5, 2002.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting
equipment
> > > > without
> > > > > > a
> > > > > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act
of
> > > 1934,
> > > > > > as
> > > > > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> > > > > > individual
> > > > > > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> > > > > > transmitting
> > > > > > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for
your
> > > > > > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
> > > matter.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sincerely,
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > > > > > Special Counsel
> > > > > > Enforcement Bureau
> > > > > >

> > > > > >
> > > > > > > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > ---
> > > > > > > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > > > > > > ---
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >

5856. Re: Cb ...general question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 20:47:56 UTC
From: BecauseUAsked4It <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <0Mvb9.292170$2p2.11937784@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134784

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020829153028.185$QU@newsreader.com...
> "BecauseUAsked4It" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote:
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20020829121709.792$rx@newsreader.com...
> > > >>LOL what do you care Lawnboy.
> >
> > > I don't,I'm sure Jerry is thrilled though.
> >
> > Then why would you ask if your not concerned? What do you care what
Jerry
> > is he is a grown man and can say if he is thrilled or not, or you been
> > reading that troll page again.
> >
> > > >>better than *you* blowing midgets huh
> >
> > > Dunno,tell me about your experiences.
> >
> >>I have no experience with watching *you* blow midgets couldn't tell ya
> > perhaps you can relate your experience for some reason I am picturing
you
> > with that guy Beetlejuice from the Howard Stern Show. .
> >
> More sexual flames.
>
> Are you George Busch-WA3MOJ ?

Are you Steve Parks Medina Ohio?
```

5857. Re: Best CB Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 21:05:24 UTC
From: strest5546@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020829170524.05166.00000003@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 134786

Hard to beat a good old Cobra 29
If he would like more, an Galaxy 33 hml.

5858. Re: Cb ...general question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 21:06:35 UTC
From: strest5546@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020829170635.05166.00000004@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 134787

COBRA AND GALAXY.

5859. Re: Hey Mad Dork

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 21:08:40 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <6Yvb9.82119$gw.75113@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134785

Congratulations, your mail now goes to the circular file.....goodbye
"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:9188-3D6E339E-15@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters)
"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:<aLbb9.44288$gw.101@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>...
Well said Dave

>How would you or any keyclown know what is well said?<

Because I let him hold your leash for awhile.

5860. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 21:12:03 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <D6wb9.22233$On.1124897@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134789
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Louie thought you said you were a ham snapperhead guess thats what you look like. Funny part is what does a typical Cber look like?

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

"Horace T Einsworth" <hte@radiow345xFM.org> wrote in message news:akm151$bh2$0@pita.alt.net...
> I saw you at the last Hamfest I went to. Your belly hung down over
> your belt buckle, completely covering it. you hadn't shaved in days.
> your glasses were like the bottom of Coke bottles and you stunk from
> not having bathed in days. Most prominet though, you had your ham
> call letters on your hat, shirt, name tag, six places on your vehicle, and
> you were handing out qsl cards even to people who didn't want them.
> Then you waddled over to the snack bar for the fifth time in the last
> three hours, where you stuffed greasy nachos down your mouth, while
> cheese dribbled all over your filthy shirt.
> Yup, definitely saw you at that Hamfest, a "typical" attendee at any
> hamfest you go to these days!!!!
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:_Hsb9.93628$%v4.5143594@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Louie <Louie@KingOF27885.net> wrote in message
> > news:aklkrrbpc0@pita.alt.net...
> > > It's still a FAKE!
> > > Now go get some donuts, a greasy hot dog and drip food and
> > > grease all over your filthy T-shirt while you sit behind your
> > > ham radio and bitch about CBers allday.
> > > Get A LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > Louie, King of 27885
> >
> > Denial, denial. Tsk,tsk! Like I said, it'll show up on the Rain Report
> next
> > period. Besides, Riley
> > emailed it to me yesterday. Believe what you like. I don't bitch about
> > CBers; only those who steal
> > frequencies they have no business using.
> >
> > J
> > >
> > >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message

> > > news:X_pb9.46575$Hd4.7851755@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > >
> > > > Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:akl8rqeqi0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > Try this on for size.
> > > > > The FCC says they have no record of this letter.
> > > > >
> > > > > Let me spell it out for you.
> > > > > Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!!
> > > > >
> > > > > Louie, King of 27885
> > > >
> > > > Dream on, stupid! Mr. Hollingsworth only sent it on the 28th. I
got
> it
> > > via
> > > > direct email from
> > > > him yesterday! It will appear on Rain Report next period and on the
> > ARRL
> > > > website shortly.
> > > > And I have somewhat personal knowledge of this particular case, so
go
> > talk
> > > > about something
> > > > you know.
> > > >
> > > > Jerry
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:Kqgb9.88984$%v4.4851933@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:70c5cd56572b10a016bdbc779bb86ee3@xganon.com...
> > > > > > > Just wondering........ How many of you ham cry babies speed
> while
> > > > driving
> > > > > > to or from work? Isn't that illegal also? But you do it anyways,
> > > right?
> > > > > And
> > > > > > you don't see me or other folks out there calling Johnny Law
when
> > you
> > > > > speed
> > > > > > on by and or cut someone off DO YA? I don't think so!!!!!! But
> that
> > > is
> > > > > just
> > > > > > fine with me cause there is going to be a day when all these

> > > frequencies
> > > > > are
> > > > > > going to be open to the public anyways. Just look at the cb, the
> FCC
> > > > > > couldn't stop people from using the AM legally with a license so
> > they
> > > > > drop
> > > > > > the license. So what are they (Ham Operators) going to cry about
> > when
> > > > > > everyone will be allowed to use these so called licenses only
> > > > > frequencies
> > > > > > to
> > > > > > cause hate and discontent like is done on channel 19? Just think
> > about
> > > > > > that
> > > > > > one for awhile.. It is only a matter of time!!!!!!!! As it is
now
> > you
> > > > > > folks
> > > > > > > are loosing frequencies cause no one is using them.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Tim Nebo
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Try this one on for size, troll!!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > August 28, 2002
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Cheetah Transportation Company
> > > > > > > 378 Williamson Road
> > > > > > > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > > > > > > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >

> > > > > > Dear Sir:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a
> > driver
> > > of
> > > > > the
> > > > > > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed
> > radio
> > > > > > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
> > > > Carolina,
> > > > > > on June 5, 2002.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting
equipment
> > > without
> > > > > a
> > > > > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act
of
> > 1934,
> > > > > as
> > > > > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> > > > individual
> > > > > > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> > > > transmitting
> > > > > > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for
your
> > > > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
> > > matter.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sincerely,
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > > > > > Special Counsel
> > > > > > Enforcement Bureau
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >

> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > ---
> > > > > > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > > > > > ---
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
>

5861. Re: Hey Mad Dork

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 21:12:05 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <h%vb9.82204$gw.55226@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134788

Well said Ken.
"Ken" <Dallastopcat@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3d6e2462.64629392@news.easynews.com...
> On 28 Aug 2002 23:46:23 -0700, keyclownslamma@yahoo.com (Keyclown
> Busters) wrote:
>
> >"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:<aLbb9.44288$gw.101@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>...
> >> Well said Dave
> >
> >How would you or any keyclown know what is well said? Where the sun
> >dont shine, homie...
>
> Come on, how ignorant can you be? A 2 year old would show more
> respect than you do. Grow up you romper room dropout.

5862. Re: Philips FM828

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 21:12:26 UTC
From: spamyourself@nospam.com
Message-ID: <3d6e8e0f.50977631@news.internode.on.net>
Source record: 134790
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

Sounds like you need to add some sort of audio pre-amp into the
transmitting radio, to boost the audio loss from the IDer.

Davo

On Thu, 29 Aug 2002 20:00:47 +1000, "Roderick"
<mail@newcastlecb.cjb.net> wrote:

>Hello All,
>
>My CB repeater is running on a SINGLE Philips FM828 which has been modified
>to FULL DUPLEX using the existing boards, just wired up diferently.
>
>I have just hooked a 30-second sound recorder kit from Jaycar as the voice
>ident BUT it has lowered the audio, the repeat audio was once better than
>all the repeaters in Newcastle, now it's the quietest.
>
>Can anyone tell me how I can turn up the audio??
>
>PLEASE HELP!!!
>
>Roderick
>
>
>

5863. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 21:12:37 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <97wb9.292394$2p2.11955416@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020829165030.185$Sc@newsreader.com...
> " WA3MOJ" wrote:
> >>You really need to get a life to 2 post on the same thread your wearin
it
> > out Louie
> >
> Is English your second language,George?

Again what do you care? wanna date me or something?
```

5864. Re: Cb ...general question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 21:16:23 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <Hawb9.279515$m91.11255201@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134793

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020829165150.737$9H@newsreader.com...

> > > More sexual flames.

Yes it's the only time you get any so your welcome.

5865. Dumb antikeyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 21:20:24 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <57wb9.82440$gw.35315@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134792

You are pathetic poor little losers and you have no life other than
spreading
your filthy language and useless nonsense on this newsgroup.
You continually show us how ignorant and stupid that you really are with
every stroke on your keyboard (or whatever you're stroking).
You need to look in the mirror son cause you aint nuthin but the pot calling
the kettle black.
BTW, get a life.....
--
Mad Dog

5866. Re: Dumb antikeyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 21:21:08 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <8fwb9.22357$On.1130437@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134794

brilliant post our day will be much more pleasant since you said that.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:57wb9.82440$gw.35315@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> You are pathetic poor little losers and you have no life other than
> spreading
> your filthy language and useless nonsense on this newsgroup.
> You continually show us how ignorant and stupid that you really are with
> every stroke on your keyboard (or whatever you're stroking).
> You need to look in the mirror son cause you aint nuthin but the pot
calling
> the kettle black.
> BTW, get a life.........
> --
> Mad Dog
>
>
>

5867. FS: EL 153 Telefunken NOS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 21:22:01 UTC
From: arnoldg@c2i.net
Message-ID: <3d6e9095.25475946@news1.c2i.net>
Source record: 134795

I have several pcs of the power output pentode EL 153 by Telefunken. The valves are all NOS and unused. Power dissipation is 40W and the price is only NOK 400.- each - about $ 52.- Picture and technical data on my web site - www.demostenes.no Just search for EL 153 in the left hand corner.

Regards,

Arnold Goks�yr
DEMOSTENES - N6899 Balestrand, Norway
Tlf. +47 5769 1340 Fax +47 5769 1267
http://www.demostenes.no

Thousands of different valves in stock as well as sockets,transformers,books,caps,resistors and other components. Web site is updated every week. You can order on-line.

5868. Re: Galaxy DX949?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 21:22:30 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9279925E05B9Esubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 134796

Anonymous Eeyore <rachael@mfn.org> wrote in
news:daebe80654e5c5b36d78fe2e0e562c5f@mfn.org:

> Really Alan???....so you claim that 16 watts will give you
> 1 s unit gain
> over 4 watts???

Yes, really. Read carefully. I am assuming that the S-meter is calibrated such that 6db = 1 S-unit. Increasing carrier power from 4 to 16 watts represents a gain of 6db. I didn't make that up. It was determined by the simple (and well known) formula:

db = 10 * log(P1 / P2)

Where:
db = decibels (gain or loss)
P1 = Output power
P2 = Input power

In my example, if I substitute 16 and 4 for P2 and P1 respectively, I get:

db = 10 * log(16 / 4)
db = 10 * log(4)
db = 10 * 0.602
db = 6.02

This is 1 S-unit as shown on a meter calibrated such that 6db = 1 S-unit. Obviously, if your meter doesn't follow this convention then it will indicate otherwise.

>/Try again pal......that 1st S unit will
> cost you about 100 watts and then start doubling up to about 400 and
> then it gets even harder...1 KW will net you about 4 S
> units or 24db up to S9.

I would kindly ask that you explain to me how you arrived at this conclusion. I'm not insulting you either, I would actually like to know. Going from 4 to 100 watts represents a gain of almost 14db. If an S/RF meter is calibrated such that 14db = 1 S-unit, it needs to be fixed.

I also want to know (seriously) how a 3 watt carrier can swing to 16 without being over modulated. Somebody needs to answer this for me: If a 4 watt carrier is modulated 100%, what does that make the peak envelope power?

Alan S.

5869. Neat installation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 21:32:35 UTC
From: "Martin J. Croke" <huntemup@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Hpwb9.9597$Tb3.108253@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 134897

I am looking to install both a Radio Shack 2066 scanner and a Uniden CB radio in my 1997 Dodge 1500 series pickup (4x4). Can anyone suggest practical layouts for my equipment? Also can I buy a "visor" type mount to place my equipment in and ease my installation?

All suggestions appreciated! Thank You!

Marty

5870. Re: 10 meter Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 21:34:55 UTC
From: radiofun <radiofun@charter.net>
Message-ID: <umt4v3qu0659d9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134899

Yeah.....I got mine in the mid 80s, before the no-code ever came along.
Started as a Novice, and stayed one for many years.

--

"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020829131108.01685.00003221@mb-de.news.cs.com...
> >Yeah..you are right Bert. I'm a Tech+..but you know what all they have
done
> >to the structure. Good point though!
>
> No biggie, nothing wrong with that. BTW, if you got your Tech+ BEFORE
> 4/15/2000, your CSCE remains valid for upgrading your ticket
> indefinitely...how's that for "kooky" structure? I have a friend at work
who's
> a Tech+ and is quite happy to remain such, despite my prodding. ;-)
>
> Another coworker, Mark, who shows up on r.r.cb every now and then is an
> Advanced. He too has no desire to upgrade. My point is...don't upgrade to
> please anybody other than yourself. See you on 10-meters, I'm monitoring
28.470
> right now. Take it easy now. :-)
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

5871. Cobra 1000 GTL question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 21:35:51 UTC
From: rredw03@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020829173551.10704.00000005@mb-ca.aol.com>
Source record: 134898

i have a question for all you cber does the cobra 1000 gtl have upper and lower sideband or is it just an am only radio

5872. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 21:40:03 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208291340.66a31cc5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134900

Tom Sevart" n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com wrote
>"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:c9ceae5b.0208291131.5a0f6bcc@posting.google.com...
>
>>
>> >I don't
>> > worry about them, unless they stumble into the ham bands.
>>
>> It's your choice to worry or not to worry. As long as you don't
insist
>> I must do as you do.
>
>I'm not insisting. Everyone's free to make their own choices. But I
think
>you'd probably feel happier not having to worry about all the illegal
>operators out there.
>
>Let's face it, CB is a write off, legally speaking. Trying to get
rid of
>all the illegal operators is like trying to scoop up the ocean with a
spoon.

I wouldn't presume to try and "get rid of all the illegal operators". But there's nothing to prevent me from expressing my opinions in a CB newsgroup wrt illegal CB. As Dave Hall said, there are many people out there that are "on the fence" with regard to whether or not to follow the law or not. Those are the people that will hopefully read what is discussed here and decide that it might not be a good idea to break the law. THOSE are the people that we're trying to reach. Those that are hopelessly incorrigable will continue their anti-social ways until the law finally catches up with them. Of course you can't blame those of us that understand the purpose of law, from assisting the people entrusted with enforcing the law, by gathering evidence for them. It's called looking after our best interests.

>That's why you don't see the FCC wasting their time with it, unless
they
>receive a few specific complaints. I certainly don't worry about
illegal
>CB'ers. Why should I? As long as their not on the ham bands, it
doesn't
>matter to me.

Of course you're free to do as you please, but here's an example of why I would "care". Outlaw CB has been left unchecked to the point

where there are large numbers of outlaws running illegal RF amplifiers that cause RFI problems to communities. Proof that this is a very real problem lies in HR2346 which was drafted due to very real complaints by citizens and recently signed into law. The general public, press (and local government) typically do not understand the distinction between "CB" or "Ham -- they just see a "radio operator". Thanks to illegal CB ops, your legal and legitimate amateur radio hobby gets a black eye.

Secondly, illegal CB abusers generate restrictions to legitimate hams. You can no longer legally purchase an amplifier which is out-of-the-box capable of 10 meter operation. Why was this restriction placed on legitimate hams? Yep. you guessed it, illegal CB'ers. They certainly don't care if hams have to suffer for their behavior.

Those are just two examples of how the 11 meter outaw activity reaches out beyond the confines of 11 meters and into YOUR world. There are more. But even if it were just those two examples, wouldn't that be reason enough for hams to "care"?

> If they're transmitting on illegal frequencies that aren't
>in
>a ham band, why should I worry about it? If they're causing
interference
>to
>legitimate users, I'm sure those users have recourse to get the
problem
>resolved. It's not up to me. I'm not an FCC field agent.

Well it's your right to be apathetic, but I think you're being rather short-sighted, like a man who sees his neighbors property being looted and tells the looters "it's OK as long as you promise to stay off my property". That's not being a good neighbor, is it? And trusting the word of the "looters" is not being very realistic either. When they find an opportunity, they will be back to loot you "property" too.

>As far as leaving it all behind, I've known many CB'ers who've gotten
their
>ham licenses to get away from the "noise" on the CB band. They've
>never looked back. And many of them were freebanders.

I have no problem with former freebanders who've given up breaking the law. I do have a problem former freebanders encouraging radio hobbyists to freeband as a substitute for getting an entry level ham ticket. What kind of hams will they make if part of the curriculum that their mentors teach them is that "it's OK to flaunt FCC rules and freeband because you won't get caught"?

-Aaron-

5873. Re: Riley cant get me cuz im not a ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 21:45:33 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <_6xb9.49817$Hd4.7968575@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134913
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Horace T Einsworth <hte@radiow345xFM.org> wrote in message
news:akm0mkafk0@pita.alt.net...
> Does your rusted out Ford pick-me-up truck have a
> sign on it saying "Radio Police"?????? and I'm sure
> you have your ham call letters plastered all over it, like some
> badge of accomplishment.
> hahahahahahahahhahahah You REALLY need to
> find a life!!!!!!

I don't even own a Ford. BWAAAAAAA!

J

>
>
> "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> news:LKsb9.93648$%v4.5145082@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> >
> > Louie <Louie@KingOF27885.net> wrote in message
> > news:aklknvben0@pita.alt.net...
> > > I just told you, it's a FAKE!!!!
> > > Now go get a greasy hot dog, some donuts, and sit behind
> > > your ham radio and bitch about CBers all day.
> > > Get a LIFE!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > >
> > > Louie, King of 27885
> >
> > Dream on.............................
> >
> > J
> > >
> > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > news:e0qb9.46590$Hd4.7853292@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > > >
> > > > Louie <Louie@KingOFtheCBers.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:akl8ndebi0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > > Try this!
> > > > >
> > > > > The FCC says they have no record of any such letter!
> > > > > Let me spell it our for you. Your letter is a FAKE!!!!!!!!!!
> > > > >
> > > > > Louie, King of 27885
> > > >
> > > > When it appears on the ARRL website in a few days, open your big

mouth
> > and
> > > > insert foot!!
> > > >
> > > > LMAO!!
> > > >
> > > > J
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > "Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:dsgb9.88992$%v4.4853163@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:93499408014cd17528fe4f816c51a457@xganon.com...
> > > > > > > you hams just jealous because i can talk worldwide and use
more
> > > watts
> > > > > than
> > > > > > you can and I dont have to be wearing an FCC choke collar like
you
> > > are.
> > > > > stop
> > > > > > by rrcb and see how cbers run a newsgroup. top posting and OT
> > posting
> > > > will
> > > > > > get you hassled, but breaking federal laws is DEFINITELY OK by
us.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 73 and good DX
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Tim
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > http://www.TimNebo.com
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > This guy thought the same thing, TROLL!!!
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > August 28, 2002
> > > > > >
> > > > > > CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Cheetah Transportation Company

> > > > > > 378 Williamson Road
> > > > > > Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> > > > > > Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dear Sir:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a
> > driver
> > > of
> > > > > > the
> > > > > > above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed
> > radio
> > > > > > transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South
> > > > Carolina,
> > > > > > on June 5, 2002.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting
equipment
> > > > without
> > > > > > a
> > > > > > license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act
of
> > > 1934,
> > > > > > as
> > > > > > amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the
> > > > > > individual
> > > > > > to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio
> > > > > > transmitting
> > > > > > equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for
your
> > > > > > jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this
> > > matter.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Sincerely,
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > W. Riley Hollingsworth
> > > > > > Special Counsel
> > > > > > Enforcement Bureau
> > > > > >
> > > > > >

> > > > > > CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > ---
> > > > > > > > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > > > > > > > ---
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

5874. Re: Dumb antikeyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 21:54:11 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.net>
Message-ID: <QCwb9.83398$gw.79696@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134901

Oh goody, i flushed another loser out of the woodwork.......goodbye
"IllegalCbersSuck" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:8fwb9.22357$On.1130437@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> brilliant post our day will be much more pleasant since you said that.
>
> --
> Try me I'll Make you Famous
> "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
> news:57wb9.82440$gw.35315@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> > You are pathetic poor little losers and you have no life other than
> > spreading
> > your filthy language and useless nonsense on this newsgroup.
> > You continually show us how ignorant and stupid that you really are with
> > every stroke on your keyboard (or whatever you're stroking).
> > You need to look in the mirror son cause you aint nuthin but the pot
> calling
> > the kettle black.
> > BTW, get a life.........
> > --
> > Mad Dog
> >
> >
> >
>
>

5875. Re: Dumb antikeyclowns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 21:57:49 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <xNwb9.280128$m91.11291690@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134902

yes goodbye

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
news:QCwb9.83398$gw.79696@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> Oh goody, i flushed another loser out of the woodwork.......goodbye
> "IllegalCbersSuck" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
> news:8fwb9.22357$On.1130437@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> > brilliant post our day will be much more pleasant since you said that.
> >
> > --
> > Try me I'll Make you Famous
> > "Mad Dog" <lgsast@strato.net> wrote in message
> > news:57wb9.82440$gw.35315@atlpnn01.usenetserver.com...
> > > You are pathetic poor little losers and you have no life other than
> > > spreading
> > > your filthy language and useless nonsense on this newsgroup.
> > > You continually show us how ignorant and stupid that you really are
with
> > > every stroke on your keyboard (or whatever you're stroking).
> > > You need to look in the mirror son cause you aint nuthin but the pot
> > calling
> > > the kettle black.
> > > BTW, get a life.........
> > > --
> > > Mad Dog
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

5876. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 22:05:02 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <iUwb9.825$jG2.47301@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134903
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

Harrrr, where be all the winches?

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"DarkPoet" <darkpoetfan@hotmale.com> wrote in message
news:fhvb9.68427$N9.11258633@twister.neo.rr.com...
> Sorry i had to chime in again and say
>
> Yar, Maties.....
>
>
> Yo ho ho
>
> darkpoet
>
>

5877. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 22:07:04 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <cWwb9.830$jG2.47098@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134904

Trouble is... this sounds like a number of CBers I have seen as well.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Horace T Einsworth" <hte@radiow345xFM.org> wrote in message
news:akm151$bh2$0@pita.alt.net...
> I saw you at the last Hamfest I went to. Your belly hung down over
> your belt buckle, completely covering it. you hadn't shaved in days.
> your glasses were like the bottom of Coke bottles and you stunk from
> not having bathed in days. Most prominet though, you had your ham
> call letters on your hat, shirt, name tag, six places on your vehicle, and
> you were handing out qsl cards even to people who didn't want them.
> Then you waddled over to the snack bar for the fifth time in the last
> three hours, where you stuffed greasy nachos down your mouth, while
> cheese dribbled all over your filthy shirt.
> Yup, definitely saw you at that Hamfest, a "typical" attendee at any
> hamfest you go to these days!!!!

5878. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 22:17:12 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208291417.7fde3f84@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134905

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<akl3qk$1jt44h$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> How many CB'ers who operate legally does it take to screw in a lightbulb?
>
> Both of them. :-)
>
> Tom

ROTFLMAO!!!

5879. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 22:17:59 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208291417.16f7728c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134906

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208282216.1a916671@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208281431.73c77d9@posting.google.com>...
> > I am not a so-called federal criminal; I am a licensed amateur.
>
> Callsign?
>
> -A

Yours? LOL!!

5880. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 22:20:17 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208291420.9811587@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134907

"Scott" <mr_scott_mckay@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:<1030488787.429082@teuthos>...
> I am an Australian. I have no illegal equipment (or any equipment at all),
> but I am just wondering why you people hate people that use illegal
> equipment so much?
>
> Does it affect you?
>
> Just wonderin.
>
> .Scott.

Some people wouldn't have a life if they couldn't make @$$e$ of
themselves in a newsgroup, Scott. Don't let it bother you.

5881. Re: 11 meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 22:20:42 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020829182042.06046.00000017@mb-fg.news.cs.com>
Source record: 134908

>>General coverage all mode xceiver: $300 Pair of 3-500z amp:
>>$350 Dipole antenna: $1.29
>>Worldwide communications on 26-28 mhz:Priceless.....IDX
>
>You left one out. FCC Notice of Apparent Liability: $11,000
>
>"Ouch!" (Especially if you have $4,500 in your savings account.)
>
>Mark

Hey Mark,

How'd you slip in there. I was just talking about you in another thread. Yep, those $11K NALs sure can put a dent in the old Christmas club account. :-D

73 de Bert
WA2SI

5882. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 22:24:09 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92799CD25A372subnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 134910

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in
news:c5ecbb69.0208291417.7fde3f84@posting.google.com:

> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:<akl3qk$1jt44h$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
>> How many CB'ers who operate legally does it take to screw in a
>> lightbulb?
>>
>> Both of them. :-)
>>
>> Tom
>
> ROTFLMAO!!!
>

LOL, ya - being in the minority sucks sometimes. :)

A lonely mudduck,
Alan S.

5883. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 22:24:25 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208291424.2c7070fd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134911

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<akl4vk$1jhi4g$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0208282227.43c373a5@posting.google.com...
> > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:<akjbsi$1jgmdh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> > > I'm just curious as to your
> > > motivation. And what kind of radios & antennas you use.
> >
> > Everything you seek is here:
> >
> > http://www.geocities.com/aaronvoobner/voobner.htm
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> Hmm... interesting. Ever thought about going ahead and getting your ham
> license and leaving the CB world behind? That way you wouldn't have to
> worry about all those "criminals" and their illegal equipment. I don't
> worry about them, unless they stumble into the ham bands.
>
> The pic of your radios is kind of dark, so it's hard to see what kind of
> radio equipment you have.
>
> If you're interested, my website is http://www.geocities.com/n2uhc
>
> Tom

Nice shack, Tom, and we can see what equipment YOU have (unlike Aanal
Aaron's pix). Is that GeoClock on the monitor?

5884. Re: Farewell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 22:27:50 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208291427.4ea521b3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134912

"Dr. John \(of Life\)" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:<rVhb9.534$7i3.8014@eagle.america.net>...
> I shall be leaving now and moving on to the scanner ng's. Maybe I'll see
> some of the nice people in this ng there as well.

Nahhh. You'll just run into John Mackey on rec.radio.scanner - and
he's a worse TROLL than Aanal Aaron and Georgie-girl put together!

> After much research, I just don't think CB is right for me. I wish there
> were a perfect radio transceiver where you could have emergency
> communication with the authorities (along the lines of Ch. 9), and at the
> same time have a channel free of illegal radio traffic without having to pay
> too much to do so.
>
> OK, top-posting complainers, you can now cheer very loudly.
>
> Se la vi.
>
> John

G'luck!

5885. Re: Linux is like a breath of fresh air to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 22:29:32 UTC
From: Ryan Mallon <loosecaboose@linuxmail.org>
Message-ID: <3D6EA04C.7010203@linuxmail.org>
Source record: 134909
Cross-posted to: comp.os.linux.advocacy,alt.os.windows-xp,alt.os.linux.redhat,alt.locksmithing,rec.radio.cb

Sinister Midget wrote:

> On Thu, 29 Aug 2002 03:47:25 +0000, Todd claimed:
>
>
>>My ex-wife used to like to call me a loser but now that I have Linux, I
>>don't need women anymore. Just me, my meat and Linux.
>>
>>So who's the loser now?
>>
>
> If this isn't Egg Troll, it's certainly a very reasonable facsimile!!!
>

Im miss the Egg Trolls posts, especially the replies from the enraged
masses who thinks hes serious. Its hilarious reading his threads.

--
"Problem solving under linux has never been the circus that it is under
AIX."
(By Pete Ehlke in comp.unix.aix)
Registered Linux user #282325

5886. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 22:39:26 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020829183926.06046.00000024@mb-fg.news.cs.com>
Source record: 134914

>Nothing will happen to them
>unless the FCC catches him. It's a little thing called proof, innocent
>until proven guilty, etc... you might recognize this from the
>constitution.

The FCC doesn't operate via the "normal" protocol of due process. The very term ' Notice of Apparent Liability' coupled to a multi-thousand dollar forfeiture, WITHOUT your day in court BEFORE conviction/sentencing, sure doesn't sound like "innocent until proven guilty" to me.

There are some that argue that the communications act of 1934 constitutes laws, despite not going through the "normal" legislative process and that because the FCC answers to congress, they somehow represent "elected" representation...AND furthermore, it's okey-dokey that they act in legislative, judicial, and executive capacities, all in one fell swoop...which BTW is 180 degrees opposite of our principle of 'checks and balances." <Please forgive this massive run-on sentence. Rant mode = off.> To this I say "BULLFEATHERS!"

73 de Bert
WA2SI

5887. Re: The passing of Richard McCollum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 22:43:29 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umt8tj3cfkm246@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134915

Dave, your brother Richard was one heck of a person. He was a gentleman with a wit ("vast efforts to achieve half-vast results" - I love that quote) second to none. He certainly will be missed and I am going to write the ARRL and see if we could get some kind of scholarship fund started in his name. I certainly don't have a lot of bucks, but perhaps a number of this group would be willing .... ???

To the gang - regardless of which side of the fence we sit on, Richard was one heck of a guy. I'd hope a few would be willing to contribute a few dollars to such a fund. Here goes, I'll try the ARRL. One thing for certain - not all hams are bad guys and neither are the CB gang. We are talking about a true gentleman - one who had wit and wisdom and I don't think he ever flamed anyone.

A personal note to Dave - your brother was one heck of a guy and will be sorely missed in the groups.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA (sheeeet .. one of them ... c'mon guys, get with it!)

Dave McCollum <dmccoll@radiks.net> wrote in message
news:3D6C55A4.AEFA5860@radiks.net...
> To all who read this:
>
> I am Richard's brother, David. I was entrusted with examining Dick's
> computer, looking for pertinent information to aid us with the
> disposition of him and his property. We found what we needed, along
> with a significantly large e-mail address book. As a family we decided
> to notify everyone in the address list of his demise. It made more
> sense to do that than to have his e-mail address suddenly fall silent,
> no one knowing why.
>
> I now know that what I discovered on Dick's computer was more than
> addresses. It was a window onto a group of real people who have shown
> themselves to be the very best friends one could dream to have. I have
> received incredibly caring and thoughtful condolences from many of you.
> I have observed other outpouring of shock, dismay, concern, and caring
> from many others on this newsgroup. I have been told being a part of
> amateur radio entails upholding a pledge to aide those in need or in
> distress. Without any doubt, you on this board have done that above and
> beyond all expectations (save one).
>
> Let it be known that the next issue of the American Radio Relay League
> publication QST will list Dick, N0BK, as a Silent Key.
> Let it also be known that the sincere words, opinions, and anecdotes

> appearing in this newsgroup, in my mind, constitute quite possibly the
> finest memorial service Dick could have received.
>
> Thank you all.
>
>
>
> Now I must get real.............
>
> To Dave or Debby....(is that a transvestite thing???) I do not
> believe I have met a professed Christian ever in my life who is so far
> away from the ideals of Christianity. HOW DARE YOU USE MY BROTHER'S
> DEATH AS A MEANS TO GET ON YOUR EVANGELICAL HIGH-HORSE!!! Your prayers
> now need to be centered on avoiding actually meeting me in person, as I
> will certainly send you to meet your maker!
>
>

5888. Re: Philips FM828

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 23:24:12 UTC
From: Roderick <mail@newcastlecb.cjb.net>
Message-ID: <rXxb9.18372$g9.57252@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 134916
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

Yeah but there is an adjustment in the radio somewhere!

"Go&spamyourself" <spamyourself@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:3d6e8e0f.50977631@news.internode.on.net...
> Sounds like you need to add some sort of audio pre-amp into the
> transmitting radio, to boost the audio loss from the IDer.
>
> Davo
>
>
> On Thu, 29 Aug 2002 20:00:47 +1000, "Roderick"
> <mail@newcastlecb.cjb.net> wrote:
>
> >Hello All,
> >
> >My CB repeater is running on a SINGLE Philips FM828 which has been
modified
> >to FULL DUPLEX using the existing boards, just wired up diferently.
> >
> >I have just hooked a 30-second sound recorder kit from Jaycar as the
voice
> >ident BUT it has lowered the audio, the repeat audio was once better than
> >all the repeaters in Newcastle, now it's the quietest.
> >
> >Can anyone tell me how I can turn up the audio??
> >
> >PLEASE HELP!!!
> >
> >Roderick
> >
> >
> >
>

5889. Re: Dave or Debby SPANKED by ISP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 23:41:41 UTC
From: ld751@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d12c96e.0208291541.4a77bca6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134917

"John Smith" <kissmyass@127.1.1.1> wrote in message
news:<akbv2t02k8@enews1.newsguy.com>...
> They most certainly can.
> Let's say you posted something illegal such as child pornography.
> Google would use that IP to find out who your ISP is, as I did.
> A court order requesting your ISP to divulge who the user was, at that
> particular time, sending that particular message, would lead them to you.
> Actually, the owner of the account would be held responsible.
>

All you have to do is create an account with someplace like Yahoo or
Hotmail, provide fake information and use deja to post and there's no
way they can find you.

5890. Re: This here's gone far 'nuff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 23:42:32 UTC
From: witchdoc@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3aed93cc.0208291542.bdc1df6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134918

LOL---just as I suspected, a couple of freelance morality cops! You probably hate atheists and anarchists, too. Yeah, I know the type.

If I wasn't such a nice guy, I'd start profiling gumshoes like you.

Guy Richards
xxxxxxxx

5891. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 23:51:58 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <ysyb9.294419$2p2.12091409@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134919

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:cWwb9.830$jG2.47098@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Trouble is... this sounds like a number of CBers I have seen as well.

Of course it does, after all radio is a hobby and it attracts many of the same people it makes no difference if your a ham or a Cber. I still want Richard Cranium to prove to me that there are 30-40 million Cbers in this country. that would be about 15% of the population.

5892. Re: Farewell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 23:53:10 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <Ftyb9.24205$On.1249380@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134920

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208291427.4ea521b3@posting.google.com...
> "Dr. John \(of Life\)" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
news:<rVhb9.534$7i3.8014@eagle.america.net>...
> > I shall be leaving now and moving on to the scanner ng's. Maybe I'll
see
> > some of the nice people in this ng there as well.
>
> Nahhh. You'll just run into John Mackey on rec.radio.scanner - and
> he's a worse TROLL than Aanal Aaron and Georgie-girl put together!

DickHead so your saying your not a TROLL? What do you call your
contribution to rec.radio.cb?

5893. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 23:55:09 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <xvyb9.294492$2p2.12093925@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134922

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208291420.9811587@posting.google.com...

>
> Some people wouldn't have a life if they couldn't make @$$e$ of
> themselves in a newsgroup, Scott. Don't let it bother you.

I see you talk from first hand experiance Dick head, you sure make a horses
ass out of yourself when you make foolish statements then can't back them
up. So who's the troll ?

5894. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 23:56:50 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <6xyb9.294539$2p2.12094969@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134923

```
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > I am not a so-called federal criminal; I am a licensed amateur.
> >
> > Callsign?
> >
> > -A
>
> Yours? LOL!!
```

I thought you had nothing to hide Dick Head? You know my call sign so whats it gonna take to have you post your call sign. Oh that right youll have to wait to take the test.

5895. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-29 23:59:15 UTC
From: DarkPoet <darkpoetfan@hotmale.com>
Message-ID: <nzyb9.69058$N9.11378206@twister.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 134921
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb

ROTFLMAO

darkpoet

5896. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 00:00:11 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <fAyb9.24294$On.1255716@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134924

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> Nice shack, Tom, and we can see what equipment YOU have (unlike Aanal
> Aaron's pix). Is that GeoClock on the monitor?

Where is your shack Dick Head I posted my station a while back why not come
clean you said you have nothing to hide.

5897. Cobra 38 WXST question ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 00:26:32 UTC
From: LinuxOP <linuxop@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <3D6EBBB8.386E319A@cogeco.ca>
Source record: 134925

Hi everyone, I just got 2 used Cobra 38WXST handhelds and was wondering if it is possible to listen to other frequencies with them? Reason I ask is I was looking into buying a frequency scanner to listen to rail signals (I'm a rail nut) and stupid me, I picked up these 2 thinking they were frequency scanners but I just noticed that they are actually handheld cb radios.

Thanks for the help,

LinuxOP

5898. Check out this Eham Survey pretty funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 00:26:57 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020829202657.15417.00000054@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 134926

http://www.eham.net/survey/505

5899. Re: 11 meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 00:31:53 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020829203153.15417.00000055@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 134927

One word. rainreport.Oh those bad hams!

5900. Aaron can't do squat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 00:41:39 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <7bzb9.165554$Yd.7289021@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134928

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208291143.14c0a155@posting.google.com...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote
> >And the same thing applies to ten-meter radios (NOT "export" CBs); a
> >dealer can still sell any Uniden HR2510 or 2600 he has IN STOCK to
> >licensed hams. He just cannot "import and market" them anymore.
>
> 1. Where does a dealer get his "stock" if the radios could not be
> legally imported in the first place?
>

Another loophole loopy Aaron can't close.

5901. Georgie girl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 00:47:11 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <jgzb9.165559$Yd.7288984@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134929

Wow Georgie! I think you've set yourself a new record today!
Congratulations! 3 new nics in 24 hours. How DO you find the time?

Seen the sky lately? LOL!!!!!!!!!!!!

5902. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 00:49:00 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <0izb9.165560$Yd.7290106@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134930

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208291131.5a0f6bcc@posting.google.com...
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<akl4vk$1jhi4g$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:c9ceae5b.0208282227.43c373a5@posting.google.com...
> > > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:<akjbsi$1jgmdh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> > > > I'm just curious as to your
> > > > motivation. And what kind of radios & antennas you use.
> > >
> > > Everything you seek is here:
> > >
> > > http://www.geocities.com/aaronvoobner/voobner.htm
> > >
> > > -Aaron-
> >
> > Hmm... interesting. Ever thought about going ahead and getting
> > your ham
> > license and leaving the CB world behind? That way you wouldn't have to
> > worry about all those "criminals" and their illegal equipment.
>
> You're right, most good people abandon a place that's been overrun
> with "the bad element". But that's why CB has gotten the way it is.
> Because the good ones left and let the outlaws take over. Same with
> this NG. Typically they are anonymous bozo's with names like "Richard
> Cranium" who can't contribute anything to a conversation but
> third-grade playground snipes.

Pot=Kettle=Aaron's still a side-stepping moron.

5903. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 01:00:58 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6EC3D3.505870F@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 134931

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
>
> http://www.altairiv.demon.co.uk/troll/trollfaq.html

"The well-constructed troll is a post that induces lots of newbies and flamers to
make themselves look even more clueless than they already do, while subtly conveying to the more
savvy and
experienced that it is in fact a deliberate troll. If you don't fall for the joke, you get to be
in on it."

I like the last sentence.

5904. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 01:05:25 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6EC4DD.4B8C5145@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 134932

"Alan S." wrote:
>
>
> "Long live the penguin!"

Got any good penguin recipes?

I prefer the FreeBSD flavor of Unix. Makes a very stable
and easy to set up NAT server for cable or DSL. It's all
built in and free.

http://www.freebsd.org/

5905. Re: Check out this Eham Survey pretty funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 01:09:11 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <XAzb9.168773$Aw4.7166633@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134933

I would like to state as say you and the rest of the keyclowns are so fond
of saying to many other you need to get a life your, obsessed with hams.
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020829202657.15417.00000054@mb-mn.aol.com...
> http://www.eham.net/survey/505

5906. Re: 11 meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 01:09:57 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <FBzb9.295737$2p2.12159993@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134934

Ill say it again you are obsessed with Hams You need to get a Life!

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

"ALLSTEEL77" <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020829203153.15417.00000055@mb-mn.aol.com...
> One word. rainreport.Oh those bad hams!

5907. Re: 11 meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 01:13:36 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <4Fzb9.1045$jG2.64403@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134935

I especially like the ones with the pineapple slices that get cooked on
top...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"IllegalCbersSuck" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:FBzb9.295737$2p2.12159993@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
> Ill say it again you are obsessed with Hams You need to get a Life!

5908. Re: George buttfucks Randygirl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 01:17:46 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <_Izb9.295831$2p2.12167008@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134936

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:jgzb9.165559$Yd.7288984@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Wow Georgie! I think you've set yourself a new record today!
> Congratulations! 3 new nics in 24 hours. How DO you find the time?

Since your math stinks like your breath you had better go back to 3rd grade.
I find the 10 seconds it takes to change the name while tying my shoelaces.

These are the 2 new nics somehow 2 doesn't equal 3
IllegalCbersuck
Becauseyouasked4it

5909. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 01:19:52 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <YKzb9.282519$m91.11454934@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134937

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:0izb9.165560$Yd.7290106@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c9ceae5b.0208291131.5a0f6bcc@posting.google.com...
> > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:<akl4vk$1jhi4g$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> > > "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:c9ceae5b.0208282227.43c373a5@posting.google.com...
> > > > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:<akjbsi$1jgmdh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> > > > > I'm just curious as to your
> > > > > motivation. And what kind of radios & antennas you use.
> > > >
> > > > Everything you seek is here:
> > > >
> > > > http://www.geocities.com/aaronvoobner/voobner.htm
> > > >
> > > > -Aaron-
> > >
> > > Hmm... interesting. Ever thought about going ahead and getting
> > > your ham
> > > license and leaving the CB world behind? That way you wouldn't have
to
> > > worry about all those "criminals" and their illegal equipment.
> >
> > You're right, most good people abandon a place that's been overrun
> > with "the bad element". But that's why CB has gotten the way it is.
> > Because the good ones left and let the outlaws take over. Same with
> > this NG. Typically they are anonymous bozo's with names like "Richard
> > Cranium" who can't contribute anything to a conversation but
> > third-grade playground snipes.
>
> Pot=Kettle=Aaron's still a side-stepping moron.

Drugs=Jail=Randy still a coke sniffin moron.

5910. Re: Best CB Radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 01:22:27 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6EC8DB.8D927690@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 134938

AJ Sanderson wrote:
>
> Uniden probably isn't going to be making any more of these fine
> radios and I just want to have some extras.

That day has come and gone...

5911. Re: Check out this Eham Survey pretty funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 01:30:26 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020829213026.15371.00000044@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 134939

Your pretty funny ! Who is the one that camps out on rec.radio.cb.24-7.George your sick.Keep one screen name loon.Must be something in the water in Allentown?

5912. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 01:34:03 UTC
From: "Alan S." <subnet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9279BD040FD3Esubnethotmailcom@216.168.3.40>
Source record: 134940

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in
news:3D6EC4DD.4B8C5145@yaSPAMhoo.com:

>
>
> "Alan S." wrote:
>>
>>
>> "Long live the penguin!"
>
> Got any good penguin recipes?
>
> I prefer the FreeBSD flavor of Unix. Makes a very stable
> and easy to set up NAT server for cable or DSL. It's all
> built in and free.
>
> http://www.freebsd.org/
>

You know, I haven't ever given BSD a look. I've been so happy with Linux,
I've never really needed to look into alternatives. I find it easy enough
(and stable). Still, I'm curious enough to give FreeBSD a looksie. Why do
you like it over Linux?

Alan S.

5913. Re: Cobra 1000 GTL question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 01:40:33 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6ECD1A.AD51945E@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 134941

RRedw03 wrote:
>
> i have a question for all you cber does the cobra 1000 gtl have upper and lower
> sideband or is it just an am only radio

The 1000 is an AM radio in a really big box. The only AM radio
in a bigger box is a DAK 9.

5914. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 01:51:11 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <jcAb9.165581$Yd.7306200@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134942

"IllegalCbersSuck" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:YKzb9.282519$m91.11454934@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>

> Drugs=Jail=Randy still a coke sniffin moron.
>
>

George, either post your proof, or STFU. Your accusations are getting
tiresome. Oh wait, you don't have any.

BTW, why are coming to Aaron's defense again?

5915. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 01:59:33 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <9kAb9.25998$On.1350471@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134944

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:jcAb9.165581$Yd.7306200@twister.austin.rr.com...

>> George, either post your proof, or STFU. Your accusations are getting
> tiresome. Oh wait, you don't have any.

I don't have any proof of what?

> BTW, why are coming to Aaron's defense again?
Same reason you stick your nose where it don't belong.

5916. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 02:02:16 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akmji6$1k7qmj$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134943

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c9ceae5b.0208291340.66a31cc5@posting.google.com...

> Proof that this is a very real
> problem lies in HR2346 which was drafted due to very real complaints
> by citizens and recently signed into law. The general public, press
> (and local government) typically do not understand the distinction
> between "CB" or "Ham -- they just see a "radio operator". Thanks to
> illegal CB ops, your legal and legitimate amateur radio hobby gets a
> black eye.

And I think that resolution is stupid. Basically, it leaves it up to the local police to resolve issues regarding illegal CB'ers. Cops are not experts in radio communications any further than they need to do their jobs. Luckily, amateurs are exempt from that resolution, but most cops wouldn't know the difference between a CB, a ham radio, or a business band radio. I'd prefer to leave those kinds of issues up to the "experts," the FCC field agents. The local police has better things to do than enforce FCC rules anyway, like eat doughnuts.

> Secondly, illegal CB abusers generate restrictions to legitimate hams.
> You can no longer legally purchase an amplifier which is
> out-of-the-box capable of 10 meter operation. Why was this restriction
> placed on legitimate hams? Yep. you guessed it, illegal CB'ers. They
> certainly don't care if hams have to suffer for their behavior.

Luckily, the only restrictions are on HF linear amplifiers. And the only restriction is that a linear amplifier, if commercially manufactured, has to be type-accepted and cannot operate from 24-30 MHZ (roughly?). However, I don't find it necessary to use an amp. I've talked to hams all over the world on 100 Watts. In fact, I'm starting to get into QRP.

> Those are just two examples of how the 11 meter outaw activity reaches
> out beyond the confines of 11 meters and into YOUR world. There are
> more. But even if it were just those two examples, wouldn't that be
> reason enough for hams to "care"?

Like I said, I'm not an FCC field agent. There are illegal operators all over the HF spectrum, not just around 27 MHZ. It's not my job and not worth my time to worry about them all.

>
> Well it's your right to be apathetic, but I think you're being rather
> short-sighted, like a man who sees his neighbors property being looted
> and tells the looters "it's OK as long as you promise to stay off my
> property". That's not being a good neighbor, is it? And trusting the

> word of the "looters" is not being very realistic either. When they
> find an opportunity, they will be back to loot you "property" too.

This is a bad analogy, because there's a big difference between burglary and transmitting on unauthorized frequencies. A better analogy would be someone parking in a no-parking zone. Sure it's illegal, but it's not really hurting anyone. And if they get caught, then it's their own stupid fault, but I'm not going to seek out every illegally parked vehicle and call the police to have them write a ticket. Also, I won't feel sorry for anyone getting busted for transmitting on unauthorized freqs since they should have known (and probably did) what they were doing.

> I have no problem with former freebanders who've given up breaking the
> law. I do have a problem former freebanders encouraging radio
> hobbyists to freeband as a substitute for getting an entry level ham
> ticket. What kind of hams will they make if part of the curriculum
> that their mentors teach them is that "it's OK to flaunt FCC rules and
> freeband because you won't get caught"?

All the former-freebanders-turned-hams I know don't operate on 27 MHZ any more, nor do they care to. They are very good amateur operators and follow the rules.

Tom

5917. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 02:02:44 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akmjj2$1k26bb$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134945

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208291424.2c7070fd@posting.google.com...
>
> Nice shack, Tom, and we can see what equipment YOU have (unlike Aanal
> Aaron's pix). Is that GeoClock on the monitor?

Yes, it is.

Tom

5918. Re: 10 meter r.r.c.b. frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 02:02:49 UTC
From: Tad Danley <tdanley@nospamatearthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D6ED257.727F5465@earthlink.net>
Source record: 134946

Bert Craig wrote:

> See you on 10-meters, I'm monitoring 28.470
> right now. Take it easy now. :-)

Should we make 28.470 the "official" r.r.c.b. frequency ... for licensed amateurs,
of course? See you on ten!

73,

--
Tad Danley, K3TD
mailto:tdanley@earthlink.net

Caution!
Anti-SPAM e-mail return address in use.

5919. *NEW* YUPITERU MVT-7100 SCANNER/RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 02:07:35 UTC
From: "Oleg M." <omhome@rogers.com>
Message-ID: <HrAb9.134695$8aG1.109430@news01.bloor.is.net.cable.rogers.com>
Source record: 134997
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.ham-radio,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

eBAY

Full, continuous coverage from 530 kHz ~ 1650MHz.

(No gaps, locked out or restricted frequencies)

Comes with antenna, car connector, NI-CD batteries (4), hand strap, belt clip, earphone and English manual.

No reserve!

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1376722337

5920. Re: Philips FM828

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 02:15:22 UTC
From: spamyourself@nospam.com
Message-ID: <3d6ed51a.69164122@news.internode.on.net>
Source record: 134998
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

Might well be, but you might over deviate the carrier as well, better
feeding audio into it witha pre-amp first in my opinion, then start
playing with the radios settings.

Dave

On Fri, 30 Aug 2002 09:24:12 +1000, "Roderick"
<mail@newcastlecb.cjb.net> wrote:

>Yeah but there is an adjustment in the radio somewhere!
>
>"Go&spamyourself" <spamyourself@nospam.com> wrote in message
>news:3d6e8e0f.50977631@news.internode.on.net...
>> Sounds like you need to add some sort of audio pre-amp into the
>> transmitting radio, to boost the audio loss from the IDer.
>>
>> Davo
>>
>>
>> On Thu, 29 Aug 2002 20:00:47 +1000, "Roderick"
>> <mail@newcastlecb.cjb.net> wrote:
>>
>> >Hello All,
>> >
>> >My CB repeater is running on a SINGLE Philips FM828 which has been
>modified
>> >to FULL DUPLEX using the existing boards, just wired up diferently.
>> >
>> >I have just hooked a 30-second sound recorder kit from Jaycar as the
>voice
>> >ident BUT it has lowered the audio, the repeat audio was once better than
>> >all the repeaters in Newcastle, now it's the quietest.
>> >
>> >Can anyone tell me how I can turn up the audio??
>> >
>> >PLEASE HELP!!!
>> >
>> >Roderick
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>

5921. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 02:23:23 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <vGAb9.139465$eK6.4170706@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134999

"IllegalCbersSuck" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:9kAb9.25998$On.1350471@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com...
>
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:jcAb9.165581$Yd.7306200@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> >> George, either post your proof, or STFU. Your accusations are getting
> > tiresome. Oh wait, you don't have any.
>
> I don't have any proof of what?
>
>
>
> > BTW, why are coming to Aaron's defense again?
> Same reason you stick your nose where it don't belong.
>
>

Georgie-girl, don't play stupid. Oh wait, you're not, are you?

5922. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 03:03:27 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <3gBb9.43$lw2.3157141@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 135004

"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020829183926.06046.00000024@mb-fg.news.cs.com...
> >Nothing will happen to them
> >unless the FCC catches him. It's a little thing called proof, innocent
> >until proven guilty, etc... you might recognize this from the
> >constitution.
>
> The FCC doesn't operate via the "normal" protocol of due process. The very
term
> ' Notice of Apparent Liability' coupled to a multi-thousand dollar
forfeiture,
> WITHOUT your day in court BEFORE conviction/sentencing, sure doesn't sound
like
> "innocent until proven guilty" to me.
>
> There are some that argue that the communications act of 1934 constitutes
laws,
> despite not going through the "normal" legislative process and that
because the
> FCC answers to congress, they somehow represent "elected"
representation...AND
> furthermore, it's okey-dokey that they act in legislative, judicial, and
> executive capacities, all in one fell swoop...which BTW is 180 degrees
opposite
> of our principle of 'checks and balances." <Please forgive this massive
run-on
> sentence. Rant mode = off.> To this I say "BULLFEATHERS!"
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

I said the same thing, good luck.
Also it's the same set up as the IRS, they can do
almost anything, and there's not much that you can
do about it.

Landshark

--

"Any day riding, is better than
being a newsgroupie

98 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
02 Honda VTX 1800
99 Ford F-150 Supercab 4X4 (antenna platform)

5923. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 03:03:28 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <4gBb9.44$lw2.3157141@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 135000

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208291424.2c7070fd@posting.google.com...
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<akl4vk$1jhi4g$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> > "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:c9ceae5b.0208282227.43c373a5@posting.google.com...
> > > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:<akjbsi$1jgmdh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> > > > I'm just curious as to your
> > > > motivation. And what kind of radios & antennas you use.
> > >
> > > Everything you seek is here:
> > >
> > > http://www.geocities.com/aaronvoobner/voobner.htm
> > >
> > > -Aaron-
> >
> > Hmm... interesting. Ever thought about going ahead and getting your ham
> > license and leaving the CB world behind? That way you wouldn't have to
> > worry about all those "criminals" and their illegal equipment. I don't
> > worry about them, unless they stumble into the ham bands.
> >
> > The pic of your radios is kind of dark, so it's hard to see what kind of
> > radio equipment you have.
> >
> > If you're interested, my website is http://www.geocities.com/n2uhc
> >
> > Tom
>
> Nice shack, Tom, and we can see what equipment YOU have (unlike Aanal
> Aaron's pix). Is that GeoClock on the monitor?

Yes Tom, nice set up. I guess you don't
have to many kids running around the yard
with that trap set up :^)
I really liked the telegraph key, that's pretty cool.
Landshark

--
We are men at work, we've got a job to do.
Neil Young

5924. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 03:03:28 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <4gBb9.47$lw2.3157141@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 135001

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D6EC3D3.505870F@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> >
> > http://www.altairiv.demon.co.uk/troll/trollfaq.html
>
> "The well-constructed troll is a post that induces lots of newbies and
flamers to
> make themselves look even more clueless than they already do, while subtly
conveying to the more
> savvy and
> experienced that it is in fact a deliberate troll. If you don't fall for
the joke, you get to be
> in on it."
>
> I like the last sentence.

LMAO!!! So True!

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

5925. Re: Georgie girl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 03:03:28 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <4gBb9.45$lw2.3157141@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 135002

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:jgzb9.165559$Yd.7288984@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Wow Georgie! I think you've set yourself a new record today!
> Congratulations! 3 new nics in 24 hours. How DO you find the time?
>
> Seen the sky lately? LOL!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
>

As I said, He starves for attention, that's
why he does that. Whenever someone threatens
to kill file him or doesn't respond to his attacks,
he does that purposely to by pass filters.
People that do that are trolls, otherwise why
else would they do that.

Landshark

--
"SOME GET STRONG, SOME GET STRANGE,
SOONER OR LATER IT ALL GETS REAL,
WALK ON, WALK ON.
Neil Young

5926. Re: Cb ...general question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 03:03:28 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <4gBb9.46$lw2.3157141@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 135005

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020829113331.485$4n@newsreader.com...
> "BecauseUAsked4It" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote:
> > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> > news:E3ib9.369$as7.33272132@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
> > > Jerry your being repetitive, is that your goal?
> > >
> > > Landshark
> >
> >>CryBaby LOL, your being a ASSHOLE is that your goal.
>
> Things are changing, and clowns are going to be painting on sad faces in
> the coming months.
>
> "Jerry Oxendine"
> >
> Hey Jerry,how does it feel to have this mental midget quoting you,in his
> sig file?
>
> --
> Go#40
> http://www.imnsho.com/p_killfile.htm
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service

LOL!, I'm sure Jerry can answer for
himself Mopar. George is like an A@#hole,
everyone has one, he just doesn't know how
to use TP yet, that's why he is always posting filth.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

5927. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 03:03:29 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <5gBb9.48$lw2.3157141@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 135003

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020829132632.17971.00003653@mb-fx.aol.com...
> he's never said a word about it to me and ive been doing it about 4 years
> straight lol
>
>
>
>
>
> >Tim are you willing to say anything to Mopedholic when he complains abou
top
> >posters or will you just brush it off like he never said anything.
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

He has to me, if you notice I
post at the bottom most of the times now.

Landshark

--
"I believe it was Fredrick the Great:
he who defends everything defends
nothing."

5928. Re: RIP Dick McCollum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 03:07:14 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <g8otmukl82uq30kpiv0nargpl28kpvl7q2@4ax.com>
Source record: 135006

There ain't no "next life." The Bible says that once you die, then
The Judgment. Heaven (with God) or The Lake of Fire (Hell). Only
Jesus's blood can keep us out of Hell!
Dave!

On Tue, 27 Aug 2002 03:11:04 GMT, kwthom@munged.com wrote:

>On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 08:16:01 -0400, Dave Hall
><nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
>
>>Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
>>>
>>> This is a shock. Despite some minor disagreements, I respected Dick
>>> for having the courage to speak his mind. His informational posts
>>> debunking "swing" and providing CW trainer links and encouragement to
>>> those seeking ham tickets added a lot to the NG. He contributed
>>> something positive, and he did it with humor and good will. I will
>>> miss him terribly.
>
>
>>One more reminder of our own mortality. Any one of us could be next....
>
>>I will miss Dick's input as well. He was one of the good guys.
>
>>Dave
>>"Sandbagger"
>
>Wow...away from the group for a few days, and come back to read
>this...
>
>Hoping that the propagation in the next life is vastly improved for
>Dick now, and that he's able to put in a good word for the rest of us
>dirt-bags (...myself included...) so that we'll be able to QSO with
>him in the next life.
>
>Thoughts & prayers to his family & friends as well...
>
>RIP, Dick!
>
>--kw
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5929. Re: Philips FM828

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 03:15:09 UTC
From: budgie@nowhere.cantech.net.au
Message-ID: <3d6ee2c1.1012005@news.cantech.net.au>
Source record: 135010
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 29 Aug 2002 20:00:47 +1000, "Roderick"
<mail@newcastlecb.cjb.net> wrote:

>Hello All,
>
>My CB repeater is running on a SINGLE Philips FM828 which has been modified
>to FULL DUPLEX using the existing boards, just wired up diferently.
>
>I have just hooked a 30-second sound recorder kit from Jaycar as the voice
>ident BUT it has lowered the audio, the repeat audio was once better than
>all the repeaters in Newcastle, now it's the quietest.
>
>Can anyone tell me how I can turn up the audio??

The point where you inserted the ident audio is obviously loaded by
this addition. On the 828 IIRC there are at least two points in the
exciter to feed in audio. Get a handbook or cct diagram and work it
out. If you can't, you shouldn't be inside the repeater doing mods.

5930. Re: Philips FM828 <-- where's the mod?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 03:17:38 UTC
From: Steven Haigh <netwiz@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3d6ee3dd$0$29910$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 135007
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.cb,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,uk.rec.radio.cb

Anyone know where the mod is for these radios? I have 2 remote head units I wouln't mind playing with....

--
Signed,
Steven Haigh
President - Melbourne Wireless Inc
http://melbourne.wireless.org.au
(Visit http://melbourne.wireless.org.au/security to install our Root Certificate.)

"Go&spamyourself" <spamyourself@nospam.com> wrote in message news:3d6ed51a.69164122@news.internode.on.net...
> Might well be, but you might over deviate the carrier as well, better
> feeding audio into it witha pre-amp first in my opinion, then start
> playing with the radios settings.
>
> Dave
>
>
> On Fri, 30 Aug 2002 09:24:12 +1000, "Roderick"
> <mail@newcastlecb.cjb.net> wrote:
>
> >Yeah but there is an adjustment in the radio somewhere!
> >
> >"Go&spamyourself" <spamyourself@nospam.com> wrote in message
> >news:3d6e8e0f.50977631@news.internode.on.net...
> >> Sounds like you need to add some sort of audio pre-amp into the
> >> transmitting radio, to boost the audio loss from the IDer.
> >>
> >> Davo
> >>
> >>
> >> On Thu, 29 Aug 2002 20:00:47 +1000, "Roderick"
> >> <mail@newcastlecb.cjb.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> >Hello All,
> >> >
> >> >My CB repeater is running on a SINGLE Philips FM828 which has been
> >modified
> >> >to FULL DUPLEX using the existing boards, just wired up diferently.
> >> >
> >> >I have just hooked a 30-second sound recorder kit from Jaycar as the
> >voice

> >> >ident BUT it has lowered the audio, the repeat audio was once better
than
> >> >all the repeaters in Newcastle, now it's the quietest.
> >> >
> >> >Can anyone tell me how I can turn up the audio??
> >> >
> >> >PLEASE HELP!!!
> >> >
> >> >Roderick
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >
> >
>

5931. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 03:22:14 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6EC572.A4D0E8AA@mailcity.net>
Source record: 135008

Tom Sevart wrote:

>
> All the former-freebanders-turned-hams I know don't operate on 27 MHZ any
> more, nor do they care to. They are very good amateur operators and follow
> the rules.
>
> Tom

I think a lot of the freebanders operate there because they want
to get away from the garbage on the cb channels, and those who do
make the jump to ham radio, as a whole, turn out to be good hams.
After putting forth the effort to earn the privilege to operate on
the ham bands, they like 99.99 of hams, don't want to see it turn
into what the cb band has become.

5932. Hey sidebanders....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 03:23:06 UTC
From: "A.E. 352" <americaneagle352@*iwon.com>
Message-ID: <uyBb9.157690$vg.26484684@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 135009

Take a peek,
http://american-eagle.tripod.com

http://members3.boardhost.com/americaneagle

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/americaneaglessbcbersclub

American Eagle 352
27.395 LSB

5933. Re: Dave or Debby SPANKED by ISP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 03:29:38 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6EC729.ADE48E3B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 135011

2LD751 wrote:

>
> All you have to do is create an account with someplace like Yahoo or
> Hotmail, provide fake information and use deja to post and there's no
> way they can find you.

Wrong, you can still be traced right back to your ISP who can then
identify you.

5934. Re: Farewell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 03:41:36 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umtqd2l203to1f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 135013

Heck, it exists. It is called amateur radio. The codeless tech ticket allows you a bunch of frequencies, and there are a lot of repeaters with autopatch. Myself, although I have passed three 20 words per minute tests (amateur extra twice - after a long lapse of time - and commercial telegraph), I am active on 440 MHz. I have no problem using repeaters up to 40 miles away and have used one over 100 miles away on the handheld. A few button presses and I can use the autopatch. There is no interference.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

Dr. John (of Life) <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message news:rVhb9.534$7i3.8014@eagle.america.net...
> I shall be leaving now and moving on to the scanner ng's. Maybe I'll see
> some of the nice people in this ng there as well.
>
> After much research, I just don't think CB is right for me. I wish there
> were a perfect radio transceiver where you could have emergency
> communication with the authorities (along the lines of Ch. 9), and at the
> same time have a channel free of illegal radio traffic without having to pay
> too much to do so.
>
> OK, top-posting complainers, you can now cheer very loudly.
>
> Se la vi.
>
> John
>
>
>

5935. randy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 03:42:26 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <3940af03454e2adbb3d1ab31d29da8da@xganon.com>
Source record: 135012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: Randy (randy28@swbell.net)
Subject: Separating Vicodin
Newsgroups: alt.drugs.hard
View this article only
Date: 2001-07-25 16:49:02 PST

How do you go about separating the Tylenol from the hydrocodone in Vicodin?

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

5936. Re: Ham Misbehavior - An example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 03:58:25 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6EED6A.AB6C7614@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 135014

JJ wrote:
>
> "Scott (Unit 69)" wrote:
> >
> > JJ trolled:
> > >
> > > CBers like to call them numbers for some strange reason. Just like
> > > SWRs instead of SWR.
> >
> > You are a non-CB'er. You are nothing here. Your words are empty
> > and mean nothing.
> >
> > When you get a CB, and use it, then you can contribute here.
>
> Oh really, show me some Usenet rule that says I can't post here if
> I am not a cber. Do you own the newsgroup? If my words mean
> nothing the why do you respond? And for the record, I will never
> have a cb.

So you'll just use your modified ham rig to badger CB'ers?

5937. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 03:59:53 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6EEDC2.30283C60@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 135015

"Aaron Hallucination Voobner" wrote:
>
> Callsign?

W K R P

5938. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 04:09:23 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d6eeff0.14637622@news.cet.com>
Source record: 135017

In <c5ecbb69.0208290431.4af8f298@posting.google.com>,
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

>yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d6d8784.1106354@news.cet.com>...
>> In <c5ecbb69.0208280412.7a09a924@posting.google.com>,
>> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>>
>> <snip>
>> >> The fact is, they do work hard to keep their bands clean. Some choose
>> >> to go right to the root of the problem, the most heavily and blatantly
>> >> abused part of the spectrum -- the Citizen's Band.
>> >
>> >An assumption. And presented to keep folks from looking harder at the
>> >hams.
>>
>> It's not an assumption, it's a documented fact. Hams are constantly
>> weeding their garden. Just take a look at rainreport, or FCC's NAL
>> list.
>
>Oh sure; I also listen to 14.313 and 3950 at times. Have you bothered?
>I've been monitoring the low end of 10 meters lately and haven't heard
>anything that sounds like an out-of-band CBer or trucker yet. In fact,
>I've heard no hams there, either; they must think the band is closed,
>which is strange because I'm hearing activity on CB.

I'm getting all kinds of data signals on 10M, but not much talk. The
band seems pretty dead at times, probably because of the waning solar
cycle. But locals can be heard very clearly. If you can't even hear
local chatter, maybe you should think about a different antenna. Or,
if you are using a transmatch with a random-length wire longer than
1/4 wave at 10M, the transmatch may not be able to tune it up. Try
cutting (or lengthening) the wire by a couple feet so your transmatch
can tune it to 10M.

>And your original comment IS an assumption, "the most heavily and
>blatantly abused part of the spectrum -- the Citizen's Band". Prove
>it.

Okay:

If Usenet is any reflection of the abuse of the CB, then that should
be more proof than you will ever need. Regardless;

Nearly all the other bands require some form of licensing, which is a
form of individual accountability. The CB, of course, has no licensing

requirement, nor does it have the 'self-policing' policy of ham radio. Human nature being what it is, people will do whatever they can get away with. The CB is no exception. In fact, there is so much abuse on the CB that the FCC has basically 'given up' on enforcing the CB regs.

The number of websites condoning the abuse of CB radio is ...well, uncountable. Comparatively, there are much fewer sites that promote FM piracy; and only a handful of websites that encourage bootlegging on all of the other bands combined, including the ham bands.

The sales market of equipment used specifically for illegal CB is large enough to support several manufacturers of amplifiers and 'import' radios (both foreign and domestic), and the demand for illegal modifications keeps many golden-screwdriver techs busy on a full-time basis (heaven forbid they should find an honorable and legal occupation). Not only that, but you even have people on the internet making money by selling nothing more than the instructions needed to make these modifications. This is a huge demand, and it is not generated by people wishing to operate legally.

Also, just listen to the CB. There is constant bleeding, intentional keying on signals, people bragging about non-linear linears or export rigs, etc. And just about every night there is some moron that just wants to muck things up; someone may think it's fun to say as many cuss words as he can on the air, or to pick a fight with someone else and hide just to see if the other person shows up. Not to mention the soliciting near the truck stops, and drug dealer comm in the larger towns.

If that isn't enough proof, then you are stupid.

>How about the most USED part? The fact is that there are 30 to 40
>million CBers crammed into less than ONE megahertz, compared to less
>than ONE million hams spread out all over the spectrum. I'm willing to
>wager that the proportion of "illegal" operations is roughly the same
>in both groups; the NUMBERS will of course be higher on CB, simply
>because there are so many more people trying to use the band, but
>proportionatly it'd be about the same. The hams have nothing to smile
>about, do they?

The proportion is going to be MUCH higher with CB because CB doesn't require licensing and doesn't have a self-policing policy. Also, how many websites have you seen where hams promote the abuse of their own spectrum? Not many. On the contrary, there are several sites where hams encourage people to abuse the CB and "freeband". So if you want to make such a comparison, how about comparing the number of CBers abusing ham bands to the number of hams abusing the CB?

BTW, you still haven't provided your callsign...

>> >> > And we wouldn't have to put up with their stupidity on this
>> >> >newsgroup, at least. (I wouldn't miss them a bit, personally.)
>> >>

>> >> The feeling is mutual.
>> >
>> >So why are you here?
>>
>> Because I'm a CBer, I'm a legal CBer, and I'm a CBer that's concerned
>> about the future of CB radio. Why are YOU here?
>
>I'm here to correct the misinformation Aanal Aaron puts out every day.

Such as...? Are you here saying that CB amplifiers and freebanding are
legal?

>> >> > But
>> >> >Jerry doesn't dare post a callsign,
>> >>
>> >> Jerry who? Oxendine? Haven't you heard of qrz.com? Or google.com? And
>> >> just so your complaint is properly filed, your callsign is...?
>>
>> Well?

Well?

>> >> > George can only post bullcrap
>> >> >snipes,
>> >>
>> >> Maybe we should dig a few of YOUR posts out of the archives.
>> >>
>> >> > and Aanal Aaron can't possibly be studying for his "extra"
>> >> >since he spends so much time trolling here and cruising eBay for
>> >> >so-called "illegal" auctions.
>> >>
>> >> I suppose you know just how everyone should spend their time, right?
>> >> And why should that be any concern of yours?
>> >
>> >It's perfectly obvious how they spend their time. Why does that
>> >concern me? Because they're QRMing the newsgroup with crap. Same logic
>> >as Aanal Aaron whining about CBers QRMing ten meters, which he cannot
>> >even use.
>>
>> 1. The "QRM" can be cleaned up by using a killfile, exercising some
>> self-control, or just 'changing the channel' or 'turning the damn
>> thing off'.
>
>Why don't the alleged amateurs do that instead of clogging a CB
>newsgroup with mis-information (Aaron), innuendos (Georgie-girl), and
>just plain bovine excrement (Doggie)? They really should take your
>advice, shouldn't they?

I'm guessing that they post because they choose to do so. Like I said
before, if that bothers you then that's your problem. Personally, I
don't care for the extremist nonsense on both sides, but I have enough
self-control to not read it. Don't you?

>> 2. This newsgroup has been filled with "crap" since it first began.
>> Unfortunately, that's the nature of Usenet. As long as there are
>> people that can't control their urge to verbally defacate in public
>> places, you will just have to dodge the "crap" whenever you want to
>> take a walk.
>
>Nonsense. There were, and occasionally continue to be, some good
>discussions here, same as in any other newsgroup. It's those alleged
>amateurs that make rec.radio.CB a cesspool. Nice description of Doggie
>and Georgie-girl there, however!

Ok, now they are "alleged amateurs"? And what about the garbage from
the pro-illegal side? Are those posts acceptable to you? How do you
know those posts (usually anonymous) weren't made by an "alleged
amateur"? You don't, not unless you are making them yourself. Oh,
that's right, YOU are a ham, too! So what's your callsign?

>> 3. Your example of "logic" is seriously flawed, since you don't know
>> who Aaron is, and you don't know if he can use HF or not. In fact, you
>> don't even know if he is a ham.
>
>Word from people better in the know than myself is that he's a
>no-code.

Those people "better in the know" wouldn't be Phil Covington, would
they? Let me tell you something about Phil: He's a criminally obsessed
little twit, and he would probably believe Aaron was Riley himself if
his demented mind was capable of conjuring up the thought.

> That means,

That means nothing. It's simple: You don't know who Voobner is, you
don't know if he's a ham or not; and because you don't know that you
can't know if he's a no-code. You pressed me for proof after accusing
me of making assumptions, now it's your turn.

> at best, he cannot use HF at all. Ergo, he has no
>reason to whine about "intruders" on ten meters, does he? If he holds
>NO license, he has even less reason to whine. And there's no evidence,
>as Tom Sevart stated, that he has ever operated a radio at all. Again,
>there's this thing YOU brought up about "integrity".

There's no evidence that YOU have ever operated a radio yourself! So
if all you are here to do (in a CB newsgroup) is to disclaim Voobner's
statements, then "by your own logic", what reason do YOU have to even
be in this newsgroup? I'll even answer that one for you: Because you
have the right to express your opinions, just like Voobner does, and
just like I do. You have the right to complain about Voobner all you
want, and he has the right to give you things to complain about. And I
have the right to show you where you are wrong. Such as your "logic".

And yes, I brought up the issue of integrity. What's your callsign?

>> 4. ANYONE has just as much right to voice his opinions in this forum
>> as you or I do (provided it isn't illegal, such as libel, threats,
>> advertising CB amps for sale, etc...).
>
>That's true;

And that's all there is to it.

> but who appointed you (or anyone else) NetCop? People are
>free to post For Sale ads for anything they want to post;

Not if it's an amplifier capable of operating on the CB. Or haven't
you been reading Voobner's posts? I'm sure he will provide you with
the proper information showing you where you are wrong.

> something
>the AKC might wanna keep in mind. Why do you limit it to legal stuff?
>Why should anyone? If you don't like posts of "illegal" amps, filter
>them out; it's no skin off your nose.

You are totally ignoring the purpose of discouraging illegal behavior.
By your "logic", if you don't like thieves stealing your tools from
your garage then you should just ignore them. That's a pretty good
plan as far as the thieves are concerned, huh? You wouldn't happen to
be one of those 'thieves', now would you?

>> 5. If any of those facts are not to your liking to the point of
>> causing you grief, then you really need to get a life.
>
>When are you going to?

Contrary to popular opinion, I have a life. It may not be the way I
planned; in fact, the plan is constantly changing. But that's the
wonderful nature of it all. But I certainly don't have such a narrow
focus in my life akin to your anti-Voobner campaign. But hey, if
that's what you want to do with your life, that's your business.

>> >> But you still haven't addressed my point, and I'll simplify it for
>> >> your benefit: Tim was whining about hams but I'm not a ham, I'm a
>> >> CBer. I don't usually post on ham newsgroups because I'm not a ham. I
>> >> post on the CB newsgroup because I'm a CBer. Was that simple enough
>> >> for you to understand?
>> >
>> >BFD.
>>
>> I think it -is- a "BFD" since that was my point in the first place.
>
>Then why did you choose to respond to Tim at all? You don't seem to
>agree that hams have their own newsgroups and could just as easily
>post there, instead of whining all over this one, trying to play "big
>shot". You called it "integrity". Apparently they don't have any of
>that. Nor do you.

Actually, I have mentioned several times that hams should take there ham discussions elsewhere. In fact, since illegal operation is closer in comparison to ham radio than it is to CB radio, the illegals should go there as well.

But I responded to Timmy's post because he grouped me in with other "alleged amatuers", of which I am not. Or were you unable to understand my response to his post? Let's read it again, shall we?

>I'm in here because I'm a CBer. I am not a ham (yet), and that's
>probably why I don't post much on ham newsgroups. That's not
>hypocrisy, that's integrity. Learn the difference.

Looks like I wasn't agreeing OR disagreeing with his statement, except for the fact that I am not a ham. I was speaking for myself, not the other "alleged amateurs" that Timmy was whining about. So why do you think that I was speaking on their behalf? Did I write something that I didn't understand? No, I don't think so. The problem is that YOU didn't understand what I said, you just jumped to the conclusion that I was speaking on behalf of those "alleged amateurs".

>> > So why are all those other alleged hams posting here? Why aren't
>> >they in rec.radio.amateur.misc or some other ham newsgroup?
>>
>> Because they are able to post, because there is nothing preventing
>> them from posting, and because they have chosen to do so. For any
>> other reasons you will have to ask them.
>
>Well now, how about that? I can post here, too. You don't like it?
>Turn it off or tune to a different channel. Take your own advice,
>dimbulb!

I do take my own advice quite often. But right now I choose to exercise my freedom of expression, just like you. You can't prevent me from posting and I can't prevent you from posting. The only thing either one of us can do is to prevent ourselves from posting. So this will probably continue until you run out of excuses, or get tired of trying to defend your BS, and choose instead to stop posting. Or, you can follow Twisty's example and just start posting nonsense. But then, just like Twisty, you will end up in just about everyone's killfile, including mine, and lose what little integrity you have left. Speaking of integrity, what's your callsign?

>> > They are
>> >accomplishing nothing by trolling here. And they will accomplish
>> >nothing as long as they choose to waste their time.
>>
>> Evidently they are accomplishing -something-, as you seem to be
>> offended by their posting. But you (and Timmy) seem to be similarly
>> offended by MY posting. And you have never voiced your disagreement
>> with the "QRM" from proponents of illegal operation. So clearly your
>> problem isn't with hams, but with something else. I recommend a
>> therapist to get to the root of your problem. Twisty claims to have a

>> good one, but he can't seem to recall a name. Maybe he will provide it
>> to you through email.
>
>You don't read much, do you? No, my problem isn't with "hams": It's
>with BOZOs

...ok, now they are "BOZOs", not "alleged amateurs"?

> who whine constantly about things that have always been and
>that they cannot do anything about;

That's the kind of apathy that is shared by people that feel they have no control over their lives and surroundings. Fortunately there are many people in this world that have the fortitude to swim against the current, because if those people didn't exist we would still be living in the dark ages, or maybe under an oppressive dictatorship. That might be your idea of an ideal government but not mine.

> it's with BOZOs who paint ALL
>CBers with the same "criminal" brush without knowing anything about
>them;

Now THOSE people I can live without. Care to point them out?

> it's with anonymous BOZOs who can't contribute anything to a
>conversation but third-grade playground snipes;

I agree with you there, because although you are anonymous, your snipes have been on par with fifth-, or perhaps even sixth-graders.

> it's with alleged hams
>who never seem to operate on the ham bands because they're always
>slumming in a CB newsgroup. Get the picture?

I get the picture very clearly.

You know what I have been doing lately? Besides working my regular jobs, I have been rebuilding my garage, taking dancing lessons with my girlfriend (I should post one of the videos for a really good laugh, but you know I won't -- suffice it to say I look ridiculous), helping out my mother with stuff she can't do anymore, and designing and building a tube-type lowfer receiver. I don't mix at the club anymore (the keyboard player is too much of an asshole), but I am helping the new sound jockey with his homebrew 300B stereo amp (and it's a real monster!)

I am also working on getting my ham license. There sure are a lot of things about propogation that I have forgotten since college, I'll tell you that much. Not only that, but I picked up my first two ham radios in the past month: One is a Heathkit HW-19 (ok, it a CAP radio, but with a VFO it should work just fine for ham); and I found a Swan 500 in really great shape (and for an even better price).

But YOU spend your time talking on the CB, chasing Voobner on the newsgroups, and scanning 10M looking for illegal operators just to dispute him. That's truly pathetic, dude.

Seriously, what is it that they say that bothers you so much? Are you an illegal operator that can't stand people telling you that what you are doing is illegal? Or if someone says something bad about CB radio, do you actually take it personally? I get the picture VERY clearly. Get a life. And this time when I say "get a life" I really mean it.

>> >I don't believe Tim was "whining". I believe he was making a point,
>> >which you obviously cannot grasp.
>>
>> What -YOU- couldn't grasp was the point that -I- made in response to
>> Timmy's whining. And yes, Timmy was whining -- he whines constantly.
>> Maybe someday he will make a positive and constructive contribution to
>> this newsgroup, but I'm not holding my breath.
>
>No, Tim was not whining. He made a valid point which you chose to
>ignore so YOU could whine. As long as Aanal Aaron is posting
>mis-information and Georgie-girl is posting nothing but sexual
>innuendo, where's their "positive and constructive contribution",
>their "integrity"? You have a remarkable double-standard going here,
>but it don't wash.

Tell you what: You go ahead and believe what you want. Post whatever misinformed, deconstructive, and obviously subversive anti-ham propaganda you want. But next time you want to reply to one of my posts that has nothing to do with you, try to understand it first. Then try not to jump to the conclusion that I am speaking on behalf of any of those "alleged amateurs" or "BOZOs", or whatever you choose to call them depending on your mood.

Now if any part of this post was beyond your ability to understand it, I'm sure you will reply. But don't get discouraged, you aren't doing too bad for a fifth- or sixth-grader.

5939. Re: randy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 04:12:25 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <JgCb9.166391$Yd.7346292@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 135016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:3940af03454e2adbb3d1ab31d29da8da@xganon.com...
> From: Randy (randy28@swbell.net)
> Subject: Separating Vicodin
> Newsgroups: alt.drugs.hard
> View this article only
> Date: 2001-07-25 16:49:02 PST
>
> How do you go about separating the Tylenol from the hydrocodone in
Vicodin?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

Now then Georgie-girl, what is your point?

5940. Re: Farewell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 04:33:25 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6EF59C.FFAD7AF3@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 135018

Jim Hampton wrote:
> A few
> button presses and I can use the autopatch. There is no interference.
>

I've got nationwide service on my cellphone. Anywhere in the US is
dialed with 10 digits anywhere I am. It's just like being local.

"The well-constructed troll is a post that induces lots of newbies and flamers to
make themselves look even more clueless than they already do, while subtly
conveying to the more savvy and experienced that it is in fact a deliberate troll.

If you don't fall for the joke, you get to be in on it."

from: http://www.altairiv.demon.co.uk/troll/trollfaq.html

5941. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 04:48:45 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <akmtfd$pf4$4@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 135019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In rec.radio.cb IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com> wrote:
> Louie thought you said you were a ham snapperhead guess thats what you look
> like. Funny part is what does a typical Cber look like?

They look just like the typical ham.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

5942. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 04:49:58 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <akmthm$pf4$5@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 135020

IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com> wrote:
> "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:cWwb9.830$jG2.47098@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> Trouble is... this sounds like a number of CBers I have seen as well.

> Of course it does, after all radio is a hobby and it attracts many of the
> same people it makes no difference if your a ham or a Cber. I still want
> Richard Cranium to prove to me that there are 30-40 million Cbers in this
> country. that would be about 15% of the population.

There aren't that many. More likely would be less than 1 million - judging
from the lack of antennas on automobiles and houses.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

5943. Re: packrat your too funny

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 04:57:53 UTC
From: Packrat&#65533; <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <umtutpe219s576@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 135022

I feel much better now. I just don't like getting accused of Name hopping.

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:23437-3D6E806E-63@storefull-2318.public.lawson.webtv.net...
From: spam@n7uic.net (Packrat&#65533;)
>Fuck! Is someone not allowed to move? <

Hit a nerve?

>Bet you can't ping that address dumbass!!! <

I didn't ping anything. One of your hammie fans did.

>You are such a genius. I have had the same ID for the past 4 years.
I have had my information blasted in here many times Glad you can do a
whois on a domain name and get outdated DNS servers from the bottom of
the list. <

Once again, I didn't do much but post one time, an innocuous and
outdated, as you say, number. So why so hostile?

> But thanks for pointing that out. <

That's what I do.

>Need to remove the IP for that server since its no longer there. <

I'll bet.
Now go have a nice glass of iced tea and chill some of that hostility.

5944. Re: Ham Misbehavior - An example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 05:02:31 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6EDCE1.8D9EA663@mailcity.net>
Source record: 135021

"Scott (Unit 69)" wrote:

>
> So you'll just use your modified ham rig to badger CB'ers?

My ham rig is not modified and I don't use it on cb.

5945. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 05:10:39 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6EDEC4.FB63795F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 135023

Richard Craniumless wrote:

>
> it's with alleged hams
> who never seem to operate on the ham bands because they're always
> slumming in a CB newsgroup. Get the picture?

So you admit that cb is a slum?

5946. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 05:15:50 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6EDFF9.8CC46A2C@mailcity.net>
Source record: 135024

Bill Nelson wrote:

>
> > Of course it does, after all radio is a hobby and it attracts many of the
> > same people it makes no difference if your a ham or a Cber. I still want
> > Richard Cranium to prove to me that there are 30-40 million Cbers in this
> > country. that would be about 15% of the population.
>
> There aren't that many. More likely would be less than 1 million - judging
> from the lack of antennas on automobiles and houses.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

We've told Richard a million times....don't exaggerate.

5947. Re: Crazy Dave in the Heat

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 05:18:34 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020830011834.13367.00000062@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 135025

Look forward to hearing ya Twistedhed....
333 in the mobile Springfield MO

"Got RF?"

5948. SKIP WAS HOPPING WEDNESDAY--suck on that !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 05:25:25 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020830012525.13367.00000063@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 135026

Oh yeah....big time DX Wednesday! West coast all the way.
Is that more than 150 miles away???? Nah !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
Keep it up guys......skip is coming back up.......
333 Springfield Missouri..........nah...not 150...probably 149!!!!!!

"Got RF?"

5949. Re: randy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 05:29:39 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <6pDb9.1442$eY2.46183558@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 135027
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:JgCb9.166391$Yd.7346292@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:3940af03454e2adbb3d1ab31d29da8da@xganon.com...
> > From: Randy (randy28@swbell.net)
> > Subject: Separating Vicodin
> > Newsgroups: alt.drugs.hard
> > View this article only
> > Date: 2001-07-25 16:49:02 PST
> >
> > How do you go about separating the Tylenol from the hydrocodone in
> Vicodin?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> > ---
> >
>
> Now then Georgie-girl, what is your point?
>
>

That busting "Illegal" cb'ers is
more important.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

5950. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 05:29:41 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <8pDb9.1443$eY2.46183558@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 135028

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d6eeff0.14637622@news.cet.com...
> If Usenet is any reflection of the abuse of the CB, then that should
> be more proof than you will ever need. Regardless;
>

It's not Frank, comparing apples to oranges

> Nearly all the other bands require some form of licensing, which is a
> form of individual accountability. The CB, of course, has no licensing
> requirement,

Just use is considered licesneing.

> nor does it have the 'self-policing' policy of ham radio.

True

> Human nature being what it is, people will do whatever they can get
> away with. The CB is no exception. In fact, there is so much abuse on
> the CB that the FCC has basically 'given up' on enforcing the CB regs.
>

I think your partially right. Yes the abuse is, constant
arguing, swearing, musicals, threats and such. That
does happen because as you said there is no "real"
licensing of the individual using the band.
The FCC won't act on any of the above, but
run big watts, interfere with you neighbors electronic
and they will come out and visit.

> The number of websites condoning the abuse of CB radio is ...well,
> uncountable. Comparatively, there are much fewer sites that promote FM
piracy;

Really, check just the first of some 41,000 plus sites
I found:

http://www.blackcatsystems.com/pirate/stations/

>and only a handful of websites that encourage bootlegging on
> all of the other bands combined, including the ham bands.

>
> The sales market of equipment used specifically for illegal CB is
> large enough to support several manufacturers of amplifiers and
> 'import' radios (both foreign and domestic), and the demand for
> illegal modifications keeps many golden-screwdriver techs busy on a
> full-time basis (heaven forbid they should find an honorable and legal

> occupation).

And most pirate fm stations are using ham
equipment, with usually the help of a ham.

> Not only that, but you even have people on the internet
> making money by selling nothing more than the instructions needed to
> make these modifications. This is a huge demand, and it is not
> generated by people wishing to operate legally.
>

Also the same could be said for pirate fm stations.

> Also, just listen to the CB. There is constant bleeding, intentional
> keying on signals, people bragging about non-linear linears or export
> rigs, etc.

Agreed, but I don't hear the comments about using linears
and export radios that you talk about that much.

>And just about every night there is some moron that just
> wants to muck things up; someone may think it's fun to say as many
> cuss words as he can on the air, or to pick a fight with someone else
> and hide just to see if the other person shows up.

Just like here right? Just like the amateur newsgroup right?

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

5951. Randy Chapman, Crackhead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 06:07:50 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <e1fffbb2ffc26e7cd61274b29ee2fae0@xganon.com>
Source record: 135029
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From: RandyC2800 (randyc2800@aol.com)
Subject: Re: To Whom It May Concern;
Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2001-01-09 19:03:37 PST

>Subject: To Whom It May Concern;
>From: exportsrus@aol.com (EXPORTSRUS)
>Date: 1/9/01 5:22 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20010109182206.13516.00001436@ng-md1.aol.com>
>
(snip)

Hope things get better for you, and I sincerely hope that you get your child
the help that she needs.

Randy Chapman

From: RandyC2800 (randyc2800@aol.com)
Subject: Re: is small doses of cocaine any good?
Newsgroups: alt.drugs.hard
Date: 1998/03/24

>I couldn't agree more and remember this is from people who have been there
>
>

Cocaine is nothing but BAD. The people who say they can control IT are lying to
themselves, or don't have the money to spend on it. Been there, done that, got
the t-shirt and the record to prove it. It started as a small amount, maybe
twice a month,circa1985. Then ecalated rapidly to an eighth of an ounce or more
a week. I realize this doesn't appear to be alot to people without jobs, but to
a person with a 8 to 5, safety sensitive job, it is alot of missed sleep. At
first, the sex was great, did it more ways than the law allows. But this
rapidly faded into nothing. The major concern just becomes getting more of the
shit. When the supply of powder became harder to locate in this area, crack was
always available. So, this became the easiest way for me. Did that for about 5
years before being caught in a suviellance camera and getting arrested. Got 4
years defferred ajudication, meaning that if I don't get in trouble for the
time on probation, I will have no conviction on my record. Just recently
finished that sucessfully. Actually, in Texas, you can get off probation by
doing all your community service(120 hours for me), and doing 1/3 of the time,
which I did. Cost of my fun, about $7500 for the lawyer and the probation fees.

You know, the bad part is that I still crave the shit. But, now I know the white monster is always lurking in the back of my mind, and I cannot surrender to the call.

Incidentally, I did lose one friend I grew up with, to suicide, directly related to cocaine. Also, a co-worker aqquired AIDS through the sharing of needles while injecting the shit. That was in the early days of AIDS, when everyone thought they would never get it. He is DEAD now.

In closing, the only real advice I have for anyone thinking of trying the shit is.....PLEASE DON'T DO IT! DON'T DO IT FOR ME, THOSE THAT LOVE YOU, YOUR WIFE, HUSBAND, GIRLFRIEND OR BOYFRIEND. IN SHORT, DON'T EVER TRY COKE. IT IS NOTHING BUT A FELONIOUS WASTE OF MONEY.

I can't make it any more simple than that.

See Ya, Randy

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

5952. New to CB'ing and need some help please ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 06:20:54 UTC
From: LinuxOP <linuxop@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <3D6F0EC6.E1837F43@cogeco.ca>
Source record: 135030

Dear CB Radio fans, I'm new to the field of CB radios just having today purchased two used Cobra 38 wxst. They both run very well and I've already some interesting conversations going on the airwaves. Now, my question is: When I press the talk button, I can hear myself but I don't get any confirmaiton from others, it's almost like they don't hear me. Am I doing somehting wrong? I can hear them fine, once I apply the proper DSS mode.

Thanks for the help,

LinuxOP

5953. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 06:40:47 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d6f0beb.21800940@news.cet.com>
Source record: 135032

In <8pDb9.1443$eY2.46183558@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

<snip>
>> Human nature being what it is, people will do whatever they can get
>> away with. The CB is no exception. In fact, there is so much abuse on
>> the CB that the FCC has basically 'given up' on enforcing the CB regs.
>>
>
>I think your partially right. Yes the abuse is, constant
>arguing, swearing, musicals, threats and such. That
>does happen because as you said there is no "real"
>licensing of the individual using the band.
> The FCC won't act on any of the above, but
>run big watts, interfere with you neighbors electronic
>and they will come out and visit.

Very rarely. A while back I quoted a newspaper article for Twisty
about an old lady that had to sue her neighbor for causing a nuisance
with his radio. The FCC didn't do squat. They usually don't unless you
have persuasive evidence that the person is operating illegally, or if
there are many complaints from different people about the same
operator. Otherwise, expect your complaint to be ignored.

>> The number of websites condoning the abuse of CB radio is ...well,
>> uncountable. Comparatively, there are much fewer sites that promote FM
>piracy;
>
>Really, check just the first of some 41,000 plus sites
>I found:
>
>http://www.blackcatsystems.com/pirate/stations/

A couple hundred stations compared with ... how many CBers running
modified rigs, amps, or freebanding? Not even close.

>>and only a handful of websites that encourage bootlegging on
>> all of the other bands combined, including the ham bands.
>
>
>>
>> The sales market of equipment used specifically for illegal CB is
>> large enough to support several manufacturers of amplifiers and
>> 'import' radios (both foreign and domestic), and the demand for
>> illegal modifications keeps many golden-screwdriver techs busy on a
>> full-time basis (heaven forbid they should find an honorable and legal

>> occupation).
>
>And most pirate fm stations are using ham
>equipment, with usually the help of a ham.

Really? I thought they were using kits, like those Berkeley transmitters, or some other home-brew transmitter + amp (some places sell pre-built 'exciters', but are quickly shut down by the FCC). I don't recall seeing any sites that mention the use of ham equipment. It takes some mighty extensive modifications to turn a 6M or 2M ham rig into a continuous-transmit FM stereo station at 100 MHz. It's much simpler and cheaper to build the stuff from kits. Of course, some people don't like things that are simple and cheap, even if they happen to work better. But if you say that most pirate FM stations are using ham equipment then it must be true.

>> Not only that, but you even have people on the internet
>> making money by selling nothing more than the instructions needed to
>> make these modifications. This is a huge demand, and it is not
>> generated by people wishing to operate legally.
>>
>
>Also the same could be said for pirate fm stations.

Not quite. Bandwidth on the FM broadcast band is at a premium, so you can't fit too many stations in there. Also, a whole lot more people listen to FM radio than CB radio, and most won't tolerate someone jamming their favorite station. FM piracy isn't quite as bad as you seem to be saying.

>> Also, just listen to the CB. There is constant bleeding, intentional
>> keying on signals, people bragging about non-linear linears or export
>> rigs, etc.
>
>Agreed, but I don't hear the comments about using linears
>and export radios that you talk about that much.

Then you don't listen to the "superbowl" much. I can't blame you there...

>>And just about every night there is some moron that just
>> wants to muck things up; someone may think it's fun to say as many
>> cuss words as he can on the air, or to pick a fight with someone else
>> and hide just to see if the other person shows up.
>
>Just like here right? Just like the amateur newsgroup right?

Just like any other newsgroup that tends to draw uncivilized cretins. There a few differences, tho: There are tens of thousands of newsgroups while there are only 40 CB channels; You can't step on someone's signal in a newsgroup; and on the radio, that behavior is illegal. YOU are comparing apples and oranges.

5954. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 06:42:33 UTC
From: Andy <RootedYaDaughter@MyPlaceLast.Night>
Message-ID: <akn457$m8g$1@pinah.connect.com.au>
Source record: 135031
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

>HOOORAY! Fucking hick town and a half.

No argument from me.

Can i have a bit of Pizza?

"Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> wrote in message
news:akl8hu$du5$0@pita.alt.net...
> "Andy" <RootedYaDaughter@MyPlaceLast.Night> wrote in message
> news:akkip4$m8v$1@pinah.connect.com.au...
> > > It's amazing what you can do with a box of junk and a few blank CD's
> > >
> > > Yeah, I made a boat anchor!
> > >
> > > G'arn Get yaself fucked!
> >
> > G'arn get fucked yaself!
> >
> > Last day in Adelaide tomorrow. HOOORAY! Fucking hick town and a half.
> >
> > --
> > Kadaitcha Man
> > Moderator: alt.os.windows-xp
> > Moderator: alt.support.shyness
> >
> >
> >
> >

5955. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 06:46:10 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d6f138c.23753634@news.cet.com>
Source record: 135033

In <3D6EDEC4.FB63795F@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
wrote:

>
>
>Richard Craniumless wrote:
>
>>
>> it's with alleged hams
>> who never seem to operate on the ham bands because they're always
>> slumming in a CB newsgroup. Get the picture?
>
>So you admit that cb is a slum?

Well, since he previously admitted to being a ham, I would hazard a
guess that here he's admitting that he "never seems to operate on the
ham bands because" he's "always slumming in a CB newsgroup". That's
almost a cry for help.

5956. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 06:49:31 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d6f154b.24200943@news.cet.com>
Source record: 135035

In <3d6f0beb.21800940@news.cet.com>, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
(yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:

<snip>
>Really? I thought they were using kits, like those Berkeley
>transmitters,...

Or are those the Ramsey kits? I forget...

5957. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 06:50:53 UTC
From: Kadaitcha Man <hahahahaha@fooq.com>
Message-ID: <akn4qh$m1d$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 135034
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

"Andy" <RootedYaDaughter@MyPlaceLast.Night> wrote in message
news:akn457$m8g$1@pinah.connect.com.au...
> >HOOORAY! Fucking hick town and a half.
>
> No argument from me.
>
> Can i have a bit of Pizza?

Yuck! You sick cunt. No, you can't. I'm at the airport and in 30 minutes
I'll be gone from this hole.

--
Kadaitcha Man
Moderator: alt.os.windows-xp
Moderator: alt.support.shyness

5958. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 06:56:07 UTC
From: Andy <RootedYaDaughter@MyPlaceLast.Night>
Message-ID: <akn53bmhf1@pinah.connect.com.au>
Source record: 135036
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

> Ok, I know you have been fucked too many times. Pitiful kid..

Yeah i know, In the last 3 weeks ive fucked 2 American women over from Cali
on a softball trip, One i fucked and then pissed inside and all over her
before i punched her in the face, just 'cos she's and American.
The other one, I just fucked her, shot in her face, wiped my cock on the
curtains and left

"d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
news:k7m9lpp5.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
>
> > "Andy" <RootedYaDaughter@MyPlaceLast.Night> wrote in message
> > news:akkip4$m8v$1@pinah.connect.com.au...
> > > > It's amazing what you can do with a box of junk and a few blank CD's
> > >
> > > Yeah, I made a boat anchor!
> > >
> > > G'arn Get yaself fucked!
> >
> > G'arn get fucked yaself!
>
> Ok, I know you have been fucked too many times. Pitiful kid..
>
> >
> > Last day in Adelaide tomorrow. HOOORAY! Fucking hick town and a half.
>
> Type "M-x doctor". It can help you.

5959. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 07:10:15 UTC
From: Andy <RootedYaDaughter@MyPlaceLast.Night>
Message-ID: <akn5ommsb1@pinah.connect.com.au>
Source record: 135037
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

>No, you can't.

******COUGH*****COUGH*****SPLUTTER****COUGH***
Awwww, C'mon...what kind of pizza is that?

> I'll be gone from this hole.

Your seperating yourself from your arse?

You'll be back, They always come back.

"Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> wrote in message
news:akn4qh$m1d$0@pita.alt.net...
> "Andy" <RootedYaDaughter@MyPlaceLast.Night> wrote in message
> news:akn457$m8g$1@pinah.connect.com.au...
> > >HOOORAY! Fucking hick town and a half.
> >
> > No argument from me.
> >
> > Can i have a bit of Pizza?
>
> Yuck! You sick cunt. No, you can't. I'm at the airport and in 30 minutes
> I'll be gone from this hole.
>
> --
> Kadaitcha Man
> Moderator: alt.os.windows-xp
> Moderator: alt.support.shyness
>
>
>
>

5960. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 07:13:50 UTC
From: Kadaitcha Man <hahahahaha@fooq.com>
Message-ID: <akn66fqfm0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 135038
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy,alt.nuke.the.usa

"Andy" <RootedYaDaughter@MyPlaceLast.Night> wrote in message
news:akn53bmhf1@pinah.connect.com.au...
> > Ok, I know you have been fucked too many times. Pitiful kid..
>
> Yeah i know, In the last 3 weeks ive fucked 2 American women over from
Cali
> on a softball trip, One i fucked and then pissed inside and all over her
> before i punched her in the face, just 'cos she's and American.
> The other one, I just fucked her, shot in her face, wiped my cock on the
> curtains and left

And I had Jamal for the night.

--
Kadaitcha Man
Moderator: alt.os.windows-xp
Moderator: alt.support.shyness

5961. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 08:50:45 UTC
From: Yeahrightman <yougottabekidding@nothardly.com>
Message-ID: <rdcumu075a2qci33ktuq3qa2b8e56ii5bg@4ax.com>
Source record: 135039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Fri, 30 Aug 2002 04:48:45 +0000 (UTC), Bill Nelson
<billn@spock.peak.org> was blabbling:

...In rec.radio.cb IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com> wrote:
...> Louie thought you said you were a ham snapperhead guess thats
what you look
...> like. Funny part is what does a typical Cber look like?
...
...They look just like the typical ham.

Wait a minute now, I'll have you know, I'm pretty damn cute, if I do
say so myself. <G>

5962. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 09:23:13 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akndd0$1kbc4c$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 135040

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:4gBb9.44$Iw2.3157141@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...

> Yes Tom, nice set up. I guess you don't
> have to many kids running around the yard
> with that trap set up :^)
> I really liked the telegraph key, that's pretty cool.
> Landshark

Nope, no kids yet, just a couple of dogs. I had to attach the guy ropes of that antenna to that tree and to the privacy fence we put up to keep the dogs from eating them. One of our dogs ate an antenna lead-in wire that runs along the bottom of the house.

The telegraph key was given to me by another ham. When I got it, the brass was all black. It took a lot of polishing to get it that shiny.

Tom

5963. Re: 10 meter r.r.c.b. frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 10:49:29 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020830064929.05898.00000137@mb-fg.news.cs.com>
Source record: 135041

>Bert Craig wrote:
>
>> See you on 10-meters, I'm monitoring 28.470
>> right now. Take it easy now. :-)
>
>Should we make 28.470 the "official" r.r.c.b. frequency ... for licensed
>amateurs,
>of course? See you on ten!
>
>73,
>
>--
>Tad Danley, K3TD
>mailto:tdanley@earthlink.net

Now there's a thought, Ted. Beats the hell outta 27.470. LOL! Take it easy. :-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

5964. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 11:47:01 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6F5B35.7A29@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 135100

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> >Nothing will happen to them
> >unless the FCC catches him. It's a little thing called proof, innocent
> >until proven guilty, etc... you might recognize this from the
> >constitution.
>
> The FCC doesn't operate via the "normal" protocol of due process. The very term
> ' Notice of Apparent Liability' coupled to a multi-thousand dollar forfeiture,
> WITHOUT your day in court BEFORE conviction/sentencing, sure doesn't sound like
> "innocent until proven guilty" to me.
>
> There are some that argue that the communications act of 1934 constitutes laws,
> despite not going through the "normal" legislative process and that because the
> FCC answers to congress, they somehow represent "elected" representation...AND
> furthermore, it's okey-dokey that they act in legislative, judicial, and
> executive capacities, all in one fell swoop...which BTW is 180 degrees opposite
> of our principle of 'checks and balances." <Please forgive this massive run-on
> sentence. Rant mode = off.> To this I say "BULLFEATHERS!"
>

I know it wasn't your intention, but your statements about how the FCC
regulates the airwaves sounds like sour grapes complaining, when you
can't get away with breaking the law.
I can't recall one case where a person was operating 100% legally, and
was handed an NAL. However, if the person is guilty of breaking the law,
why should they be allowed to hide behind legal red tape? The system
works as intended. If you have nothing to hide, you have nothing to
fear.

If you are going to break the law, you have no right to complain when
you get caught. Just be glad you were able to get away with it for as
long as you have.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5965. Re: 10 meter Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 11:59:16 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6F5E14.397F@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 135101

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> >Yeah..you are right Bert. I'm a Tech+..but you know what all they have done
> >to the structure. Good point though!
>
> No biggie, nothing wrong with that. BTW, if you got your Tech+ BEFORE
> 4/15/2000, your CSCE remains valid for upgrading your ticket
> indefinitely...how's that for "kooky" structure? I have a friend at work who's
> a Tech+ and is quite happy to remain such, despite my prodding. ;-)

Tech pluses are in a strange predicament. The license class no longer
officially exists, but they can hold on to it indefinately. But he FCC's
database will eventually drop the "+" designation, and the plusses will
be lumped in with the no-codes, and it will be harder to prove you
actually have code credit. The general test is so simple, I can't
understand why these guys don't upgrade when they get the chance.

>
> Another coworker, Mark, who shows up on r.r.cb every now and then is an
> Advanced. He too has no desire to upgrade.

With the advanced class, there is a bit of elitist snobbery involved in
some cases. Since there is no distinction between an "old (20 WPM)
extra, and a "new" (5 WPM) extra, the license to have now is advanced,
since you had to pass 13 WPM to get that ticket.

Many die hard CW types, who hold advanced class licenses, refuse to
upgrade further as they wish to continue to show the world that they
"earned" their license by passing CW the "old way", and not have it
"handed" to them by only needing to know 5 WPM.
It's all about status, whether real or perceived.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5966. Re: 10 meter r.r.c.b. frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 12:00:19 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6F5E53.30AA@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 135102

Bert Craig wrote:
>
> >Bert Craig wrote:
> >
> >> See you on 10-meters, I'm monitoring 28.470
> >> right now. Take it easy now. :-)
> >
> >Should we make 28.470 the "official" r.r.c.b. frequency ... for licensed
> >amateurs,
> >of course? See you on ten!
> >
> >73,
> >
> >--
> >Tad Danley, K3TD
> >mailto:tdanley@earthlink.net
>
> Now there's a thought, Ted. Beats the hell outta 27.470. LOL! Take it easy. :-)

And it would be legal!

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5967. Re: Dave or Debby SPANKED by ISP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 12:06:59 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6F5FE3.7D95@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 135103

Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D6CB43F.5A41@worldlynx.net>...
> > > >> When did 23 channel rigs become illegal to use?
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >
> > > >Ya, I was under the impression that they were legal. As a matter of fact,
> > > >I still reguarly use an old Royce. I love the thing. Interesting.
> > > >
> > > >Alan S.
> > >
> > > They are not illegal to use.
> >
> >
> > That is correct. When 40 channel radios became legal in 1977, a new set
> > of tighter regulations for type acceptance also took affect. What it
> > boiled down to is that 23 channels radios could no longer be sold AS NEW
> > after a certain date (To give the manufacturers time to clear out any
> > old stock).
> > Existing 23 channels radios could continue to be operated, and you could
> > sell them as used radios to other people.
> >
> > Dave
> > "Sandbagger"
>
> And the same thing applies to ten-meter radios (NOT "export" CBs); a
> dealer can still sell any Uniden HR2510 or 2600 he has IN STOCK to
> licensed hams. He just cannot "import and market" them anymore.
>
> Funny how that works, isn't it? Wonder why Aanal Aaron can't figure it
> out?

I'm not going to weigh in on either side of this one, as I really don't
know where the FCC is in this case. I have read the language, and I can
understand Aaron's strict interpretation of it, but I can also see a bit
of "wiggle" room for existing radios. In past cases, the FCC has always
grandfathered existing radios, and allowed them to continue to be used
and resold among the people that are authorized to use them. I don't
know why that would change now.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5968. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 12:12:58 UTC
From: Kadaitcha Man <hahahahaha@fooq.com>
Message-ID: <aknng9$h2f$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 135043
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

"Andy" <RootedYaDaughter@MyPlaceLast.Night> wrote in message
news:akn5ommsb1@pinah.connect.com.au...
> >No, you can't.
>
> ******COUGH*****COUGH*****SPLUTTER****COUGH***
> Awwww, C'mon...what kind of pizza is that?

Fuck off or I'll call the cops, ya fat slut.

<hey, mate... how many folks in here do you reckon would know that was a
real conversation? My guess? Two. You and me. Three, if the fat slut is
lurking.>

--
Kadaitcha Man
Moderator: alt.os.windows-xp
Moderator: alt.support.shyness

5969. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 12:13:30 UTC
From: Kadaitcha Man <hahahahaha@fooq.com>
Message-ID: <aknnh9$h71$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 135044
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

"d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
news:1y8ilyh2.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
>
> > "Oris" <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message
> > news:f7a9c6ac.0208281720.44817f2f@posting.google.com...
> >
> > > I have a box on my network that runs Linux. It
> > > is about the most user unfriendly Operating system. I use it in a Dell
> > > dimension 333. It serves as a router and a firewall for my network.
> >
> > It's amazing what you can do with a box of junk and a few blank CD's.
>
> Can't you create a funnier joke?

You want me to create a new linux?

--
Kadaitcha Man
Moderator: alt.os.windows-xp
Moderator: alt.support.shyness

5970. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 12:16:43 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6F622B.49BE@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 135104

Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
>
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0208291013.4bb71a42@posting.google.com...
> > I've also been listening to 28.085 for a while now, and I haven't
> > heard anything that sounds remotely like a CBer or even a
> > trucker. I haven't heard anything at all
>
> Yet we have.....
>
> > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates
> >that a driver of the above vehicle licensed to your company
> >was operating unlicensed radio transmitting equipment
> >on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South Carolina, on June
> >5, 2002.
>
> Gosh, who should I believe?.....a guy named "Richard Cranium" that
> says there are no CBers on 10 meters......or an FCC report?
> Hmmmm......
>

That's ok. I see the pattern of denial. There are no bootleggers on 10 meters, a 4 watt signal can peg every meter in the country, there are more "bad" hams then "bad" CBers, amplifiers do nothing to add to the hetrodyne noise when the skip rolls in, most CBers are running legally, and of course, that coax length actually matters.

The myths and "science" of the CB band. You might think this is an alternate reality. I guess for some it really is......

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5971. SBE Console V AM/SSB CB base FS/Swap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 12:20:26 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <eqJb9.158346$vg.26820953@twister.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 135042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

Acquired in an estate, this is a nice example of what the big "showboat" SSB bases were back in the day. Nice wooden cabinet, dual multifunction meters with such neat-o features as indicating the squelch threshold level, scan function, etc., etc., really is a very attractive rig.

Cosmetics:
Minor scuffs along bottom edges of wood case sides.
Front panel very clean, little to no lettering wear, some minor scuffs.
On/off switch outer sleeve missing, almost unnoticable. I am trying the junkbox to see if I have one for it, but no promises.
Inside quite dustfree, no corrosion. No signs of ever being touched!
No extra holes, etc., overall 7.5-8.5 condition.
Enough bright colored lights, switches, etc. to keep you occupied for a while!

Electrical condition:
All lights, LED segments, meters, etc. function, looks sharp.
San function works great.
Most pots, switches, controls are very "scratchy" from long storage and need a good cleaning.
RX audio present (need to fiddle w/dirty switches to bring it up) at a low level, w/o an antenna.
TX relays engage properly.
No antenna/dummy load to check for TX/RX operation on air, but my suspicion is that it needs little more than a good cleaning of the controls and a quick tune up to get it into operation.
NO mods done ever, or so it appears, although easily done for those so inclined, the wax is still intact in the coils and no apparent clip and snip work. I think the older gentleman who owned this was a straight arrow and took nice care of his toys. OH, and the rig doesn't smell like an ashtray, either!!!! Non smoking owner.

Includes stock hand mike. Overall a big impressive high end rig.

One of these with an intermittant PLL just went on fleabay for nearly $100 plus the freight, looking for $75 plus shipping, or will swap for excellent 25LTD Classic/antenna, good clean used SSB mobile, export mobile, etc., will trade up/down/sideways (plus/minus cash). Need a good mobile rig for the RV. Serious email replies only, please, I don't make deals on the newsgroup. Tell me what you've got to swap.

5972. Re: RIP Dick McCollum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 12:22:36 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208300422.10b10e60@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135045

Dave or Debby <truth@NOspamplanetc.com> wrote in message
news:<g8otmukl82uq30kpiv0nargpl28kpvl7q2@4ax.com>...
> There ain't no "next life." The Bible says that once you die, then
> The Judgment. Heaven (with God) or The Lake of Fire (Hell). Only
> Jesus's blood can keep us out of Hell!
> Dave!
>
> On Tue, 27 Aug 2002 03:11:04 GMT, kwthom@munged.com wrote:
>
> >On Mon, 26 Aug 2002 08:16:01 -0400, Dave Hall
> ><nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote:
> > >
> > >>Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
> > >>>
> > >>> This is a shock. Despite some minor disagreements, I respected Dick
> > >>> for having the courage to speak his mind. His informational posts
> > >>> debunking "swing" and providing CW trainer links and encouragement to
> > >>> those seeking ham tickets added a lot to the NG. He contributed
> > >>> something positive, and he did it with humor and good will. I will
> > >>> miss him terribly.
> > >
> > >
> > >>One more reminder of our own mortality. Any one of us could be next....
> >
> > >>I will miss Dick's input as well. He was one of the good guys.
> >
> > >>Dave
> > >>"Sandbagger"
> > >
> > >Wow...away from the group for a few days, and come back to read
> > >this...
> > >
> > >Hoping that the propagation in the next life is vastly improved for
> > >Dick now, and that he's able to put in a good word for the rest of us
> > >dirt-bags (...myself included...) so that we'll be able to QSO with
> > >him in the next life.
> > >
> > >Thoughts & prayers to his family & friends as well...
> > >
> > >RIP, Dick!
> > >
> > >--kw
> > >
> > >

> >
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

well actually dave there is a next life......we are spirtitual beings living in earth suits. once we die, our spirits go somewhere else to live in eternity........now that place where you go is up to you..........we are the only beings alive in this universe that have a free will......that is why angels view us with awe..........but time is ticking..........the question therefore that must be asked...........what will you do with this man called 'Jesus'......????? yep, that is the question that has been around for over 2000 years........and long before actually ....

5973. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 12:26:51 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6F648B.2DF8@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 135105

Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> "Dirty Sneakers" <dirtysneaks@keds.com> wrote in message
news:<kO8b9.2520$On.156334@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > --
> > Try me I'll Make you Famous
>
> maybe in your fantasy world!
>
> > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > And again, you're painting all CBers as outlaws when only a small
> > > handful think they need to run extra power or bother to peak & tweak.
> > > That's a hellova generalization. And you're wrong.
> >
> > Bullshit, there are far more illegal Cbers than not, you can talk all the
> > shit you want all you need to do is turn on your radio and listen, read the
> > NG's, read the cb radio forum. go to a truck stop up and down any
> > interstate. It is easier to ask who doesn't have an amp than who does.
>
> Bovine excrement yourself. You are (again) talking about a tiny
> minority of all CBer's.

Evidence of illegal operation, plus the volume of business selling
illegal radios, amps, and modifications, would tend to contradict your
assessment.

> I do listen to CB. I've also been listening to
> 28.085 for a while now, and I haven't heard anything that sounds
> remotely like a CBer or even a trucker.

Now that the skip is on the downswing, you will likely only hear the
guys that are local to you. Where are you? Certainly not in one of the
busier metro areas, or you would most certainly hear some traffic.
Especially in the NYC area.

> I haven't heard anything at
> all, in fact, because the so-called "knowledgable" amateurs KNOW 10
> meters is dead, while the so-called "criminal" CBers continue to use
> 11 meters without much problem.

What does this mean?

>
> Funny how that works, isn't it? There's about 800 kHz for 30 to 40
> million CBer's to use, while amateurs have lots of unused spectrum
> from Top Band to beyond 1.2 GHz,

So, you are attempting to justify illegal encroachment into ham frequencies because hams have unused space and the "poor" CBers only have 400 Khz worth of channels?

Hey, nobody's using your car right now, I guess you won't mind if I "borrow" it?

> Making a broad generalization from a few specific anecdotal instances
> does not logic make.

Oh-so-true. Remember that when you try to make claims about "Bad" hams and how they outnumber "bad" CBers, or that there really isn't an illegal operator problem on 11 meters.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5974. Re: Ham Misbehavior - An example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 12:41:45 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208300441.31872a77@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135046

"BecauseUAsked4It" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:<Gtrb9.290001$2p2.11749073@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3D6E0239.8F47E739@yaSPAMhoo.com...
> >
> >
> > JJ trolled:
> > >
> > > CBers like to call them numbers for some strange reason. Just like
> > > SWRs instead of SWR.
> >
> > You are a non-CB'er. You are nothing here. Your words are empty
> > and mean nothing.
> >
> > When you get a CB, and use it, then you can contribute here.
>
> Scotty who made up that rule you LOL you are one funny guy.

Pretty lame, Georgie-girl!

5975. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 12:46:18 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208300446.72f577f1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135097

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D6E61A2.C5CE4816@mailcity.net>...
> Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> >
> > 3. "There is no interference or jamming on 14.313". Wanna bet? Do you
> > even have a receiver?
>
> And you say there are no truckers using 10 meters. Wanna bet? Do
> you even have a receiver that works?

That isn't what I said. I said I've been listening and haven't yet
heard any. Don't you have any reading comprehension? Oh, that's right;
you like to twist things to suit yourself. You poor little man.

And once again, somebody tries to divert attention so Aanal Aaron
won't be on the spot. The question was asked of him: "Do you even have
a receiver?" I'm forced to believe the answer is no.

5976. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 12:49:37 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <BRJb9.1874$jG2.119274@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 135098

That's about right...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D6EEDC2.30283C60@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> "Aaron Hallucination Voobner" wrote:
> >
> > Callsign?
>
> W K R P

5977. Re: George buttfucks Randygirl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 12:51:43 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208300451.5d6f4ab3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135099

"IllegalCbersSuck" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:<_Izb9.295831$2p2.12167008@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:jgzb9.165559$Yd.7288984@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > Wow Georgie! I think you've set yourself a new record today!
> > Congratulations! 3 new nics in 24 hours. How DO you find the time?
>
> Since your math stinks like your breath you had better go back to 3rd grade.
> I find the 10 seconds it takes to change the name while tying my shoelaces.
>
> These are the 2 new nics somehow 2 doesn't equal 3
> IllegalCbersuck
> Becauseyouasked4it

At least the Subject line confirms what we all already know: You're
gay as a $3 bill, Georgie-girl!!

5978. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 12:59:38 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6F6C39.42E0@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 135106

BecauseUAsked4It wrote:
>
> "Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:9187-3D6E4080-39@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> >
> > Hall scribed:
> > >I've done plenty of QRP operating on 11 meters for many years. During
> > cycle 22, while the great majority of people where climbing on top of
> > each other on the regular 40, running gobs of power and doing nothing
> > more than raising the noise floor, I was on some obscure frequency,
> > working Europe and Africa with 12 watts on SSB. <
> >
> >
> > Let's go back before that, when there WAS no 40 channels. Some were
> > doing it back then.
>
> > >But they were nowhere near "full scale". Most were in the S4 to<
>
> > The-times-they-are-a-changing.
> > Technology passed you along. Appears Tim is way up to date on some of
> > the newer stuff. Some of the younger generation was raised on nothing
> > BUT electronics, it's only natural some have a predisposition to such
> > things.
> > Keep saying it can't happen.
>
> Twisty you keep getting yourself deeper and deeper. How has technology
> changed a 4 watt signal say from now from 25 years ago. please explain

Twisty is not about to "explain" anything, because he knows little if
anything about radio, other than which end to plug the mike into and
which end goes to the antenna. This latest product of hopelessly
festering brain cells is illustrative of just how off-the-rails he is.

> What technology has made 4 watts now better than 4 watts 25 years ago, and enough
> to where it will pin someones S meter anywhere in the world only on 11
> meters. Boy I can't wait to hear this one.

I'm laughing myself silly on that one too. A 25 year old 4 watt signal
is not as "enhanced" as a 2002 4 watt signal?

Or perhaps he was attempting to allude to improved receiver design.
Well, even if that were true, they could not overcome the laws of
physics, and a (Albeit stronger) DX 4 watt signal, would still have more
path loss than a 2 mile local one, and would still get crushed by it
every time. If improved receiver design allowed me to hear the same DX

stations that ran between S4 and S7 20 years ago, to jump to S9 signals, I guess that still means that the local signal at +20 db over S9 would jump to +30 over. The ratio would still be the same.

The real kick-in-the-pants laugh is that CB radio "technology" is frozen at the 20 year old level. For the most part, a Cobra 148, for example, is still the same design as it was when it first came out in the 1979-1980 time frame. Most CB radios still use descrete transistors in most circuits, have not taken advantage of surface mount techniques, or VLSI integration.

For the "screwdriver tech" and truck stop mod jockeys, this is a good thing. The cost of repairing and modifying a VLSI radio with surface mount parts would be beyond most of these guys' capability, and would require specialized solder stations that cost thousands of dollars, or risk "buggering" the radio beyond hope.

If CB radio were to truly "evolve" into modern technology, they could make an AM/SSB radio the size of a pack of cigarettes, where the antenna and mike plug would be the largest pieces.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5979. Re: Ham Misbehavior - An example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 13:11:24 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6F6EFC.2C54@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 135107

JJ wrote:
>
> "Scott (Unit 69)" wrote:
> >
> > JJ trolled:
> > >
> > > CBers like to call them numbers for some strange reason. Just like
> > > SWRs instead of SWR.
> >
> > You are a non-CB'er. You are nothing here. Your words are empty
> > and mean nothing.
> >
> > When you get a CB, and use it, then you can contribute here.
>
> Oh really, show me some Usenet rule that says I can't post here if
> I am not a cber. Do you own the newsgroup? If my words mean
> nothing the why do you respond? And for the record, I will never
> have a cb.

In order to have some credibility when dealing with a subject (like CB radio) it helps to have some personal experience with it. It's tough to accept the validity of your point, when you have no point of reference.

People will be less likely to take you seriously when you offer up all sort of opinions regarding CB and CBers when you've had no direct contact or experience with them. Your opinions will appear anecdotal in nature.

One would wonder, if you do not like, nor intend to own a CB, why you would expend effort interacting with CBers on a newsgroup? I don't own, nor ever intend to own a Persian cat, but I'm not on the cat newsgroup talking down cats either.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5980. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 13:35:09 UTC
From: Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net>
Message-ID: <3D6F748D.70A2@worldlynx.net>
Source record: 135108

Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com> wrote:
> > "Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:cWwb9.830$jG2.47098@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >> Trouble is... this sounds like a number of CBers I have seen as well.
>
> > Of course it does, after all radio is a hobby and it attracts many of the
> > same people it makes no difference if your a ham or a Cber. I still want
> > Richard Cranium to prove to me that there are 30-40 million Cbers in this
> > country. that would be about 15% of the population.
>
> There aren't that many. More likely would be less than 1 million - judging
> from the lack of antennas on automobiles and houses.
>

I would tend to agree. CB usage peaked in the late 70' during the "fad"
days, when almost every other car had an antenna, and most neighborhoods
had at least a half dozen each.

Today, other than truckers, there are very few vehicles with CB
antennas. Cell phones have pretty much replaced CB as the "fad" of the
21st century.

The people still into CB are into it as a hobby, and tend to run "high
perfomance" (Unfortunately mostly illegal) equipment, and usually gaudy
and outlandish mobile antennas.

But the sheer number of CBers has definitely dropped over the last 20
years.

Dave
"Sandbagger"

5981. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 14:00:07 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208300600.71d0e2bc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135109

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d6eeff0.14637622@news.cet.com>...
> In <c5ecbb69.0208290431.4af8f298@posting.google.com>,
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>
> >yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d6d8784.1106354@news.cet.com>...
> >> In <c5ecbb69.0208280412.7a09a924@posting.google.com>,
> >> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> >> >> The fact is, they do work hard to keep their bands clean. Some choose
> >> >> to go right to the root of the problem, the most heavily and blatantly
> >> >> abused part of the spectrum -- the Citizen's Band.
> >> >
> >> >An assumption. And presented to keep folks from looking harder at the
> >> >hams.
> >>
> >> It's not an assumption, it's a documented fact. Hams are constantly
> >> weeding their garden. Just take a look at rainreport, or FCC's NAL
> >> list.
> >
> >Oh sure; I also listen to 14.313 and 3950 at times. Have you bothered?
> >I've been monitoring the low end of 10 meters lately and haven't heard
> >anything that sounds like an out-of-band CBer or trucker yet. In fact,
> >I've heard no hams there, either; they must think the band is closed,
> >which is strange because I'm hearing activity on CB.
>
> I'm getting all kinds of data signals on 10M, but not much talk. The
> band seems pretty dead at times, probably because of the waning solar
> cycle. But locals can be heard very clearly. If you can't even hear
> local chatter, maybe you should think about a different antenna. Or,
> if you are using a transmatch with a random-length wire longer than
> 1/4 wave at 10M, the transmatch may not be able to tune it up. Try
> cutting (or lengthening) the wire by a couple feet so your transmatch
> can tune it to 10M.

You've just proven my point for me: Ten meters is dead "because of the
waning solar cycle". Yet 11 meters is still hopping. And it's that way
most of the time. The difference in frequency (about 1 MHz) does not
explain why I can hear one but not the other. Let's face it, it's the
hammies creating a huge mountain out of a small molehill. They just
gotta have something to whine about or they're not happy.

> >And your original comment IS an assumption, "the most heavily and
> >blatantly abused part of the spectrum -- the Citizen's Band". Prove
> >it.

>
> Okay:
>
> If Usenet is any reflection of the abuse of the CB, then that should
> be more proof than you will ever need. Regardless;

It isn't and it doesn't. Since most of the QRM in the newsgroup comes
from disgruntled (and obsessed) amateurs and there is zero correlation
between what's going on here and what's happening on the air.

> Nearly all the other bands require some form of licensing, which is a
> form of individual accountability. The CB, of course, has no licensing
> requirement, nor does it have the 'self-policing' policy of ham radio.
> Human nature being what it is, people will do whatever they can get
> away with. The CB is no exception. In fact, there is so much abuse on
> the CB that the FCC has basically 'given up' on enforcing the CB regs.

Let's rephrase that just a little: "Human nature being what it is,
people will do whatever they can get away with." Ham radio "is no
exception."

> The number of websites condoning the abuse of CB radio is ...well,
> uncountable. Comparatively, there are much fewer sites that promote FM
> piracy; and only a handful of websites that encourage bootlegging on
> all of the other bands combined, including the ham bands.

You're not very well informed, are you? There are plenty of FM
"pirates". I believe the FCC shuts more of them down than they do
"illegal CBers".

> The sales market of equipment used specifically for illegal CB is
> large enough to support several manufacturers of amplifiers and
> 'import' radios (both foreign and domestic), and the demand for
> illegal modifications keeps many golden-screwdriver techs busy on a
> full-time basis (heaven forbid they should find an honorable and legal
> occupation). Not only that, but you even have people on the internet
> making money by selling nothing more than the instructions needed to
> make these modifications. This is a huge demand, and it is not
> generated by people wishing to operate legally.

Manufacturers do what they have to do to stay in business. Since they
can't sell enough equipment to amateurs, of course some small amount
of it finds its' way to "other applications". It is not the intention
of the manufacturers to encourage illegal uses, but they've gotta make
a buck. And there are plenty of "golden screwdriver techs" hacking
amateur radios, too.

I only know one amateur who has not wide-banded his equipment. And he
does have the information, should he need to do so. Information is not
illegal. Wide-banded radios are not illegal. Only certain USE is
illegal.

> Also, just listen to the CB. There is constant bleeding, intentional
> keying on signals, people bragging about non-linear linears or export
> rigs, etc. And just about every night there is some moron that just
> wants to muck things up; someone may think it's fun to say as many
> cuss words as he can on the air, or to pick a fight with someone else
> and hide just to see if the other person shows up. Not to mention the
> soliciting near the truck stops, and drug dealer comm in the larger
> towns.

Also, just listen to 75 meters any night of the week and you'll hear
exactly the same thing. Your point?

> If that isn't enough proof, then you are stupid.

Proof? You still seem to think that ONLY CBers do these things. I
haven't seen any proof. Not from you, not from Jerry, not from Aaron,
not from Doggie, and certainly not from Georgie-girl.

> >How about the most USED part? The fact is that there are 30 to 40
> >million CBers crammed into less than ONE megahertz, compared to less
> >than ONE million hams spread out all over the spectrum. I'm willing to
> >wager that the proportion of "illegal" operations is roughly the same
> >in both groups; the NUMBERS will of course be higher on CB, simply
> >because there are so many more people trying to use the band, but
> >proportionatly it'd be about the same. The hams have nothing to smile
> >about, do they?
>
> The proportion is going to be MUCH higher with CB because CB doesn't
> require licensing and doesn't have a self-policing policy.

No. NUMERICALLY it may be higher (simply because there are many, many
more CBers than there are licensed hams); proportionately I'm willing
to bet it is not.

Also, how
> many websites have you seen where hams promote the abuse of their own
> spectrum? Not many. On the contrary, there are several sites where
> hams encourage people to abuse the CB and "freeband".

Well, SHAME on those hams for creating such a problem on CB!!

So if you want
> to make such a comparison, how about comparing the number of CBers
> abusing ham bands to the number of hams abusing the CB?

Given the miniscule number of hams (comparatively speaking - what,
about 600,000, maybe?) and the vast spectrum available to them, you
could be correct about that. But you think they don't?

> BTW, you still haven't provided your callsign...

I won't, either. Not until Aanal Aaron posts his (and he'll never do
that, will he?).

> >> Because I'm a CBer, I'm a legal CBer, and I'm a CBer that's concerned
> >> about the future of CB radio. Why are YOU here?

Then the best thing (and, in reality, the ONLY thing) you can do is
operate properly and stay legal, isn't it? You really think that more
than a handful of CBers read the UseNet? What do you (or Aaron, or
Jerry) think you are accomplishing here? I'll tell you what: Aaron
starts flamewars based on his wildly inaccurate mis-interpretation of
FCC Notices and letters, and when he gets backed into a corner by
people who are in the know, he drops that thread and starts another
one. Jerry and Georgie-girl are plain impotent. And you aren't doing
anything worth-while, either; you're wasting your time.

> >I'm here to correct the misinformation Aanal Aaron puts out every day.
>
> Such as...? Are you here saying that CB amplifiers and freebanding are
> legal?

I'm saying that he spews misinformation, and that has been detailed in
the thread about "Legal" eButt..." Look it up.

> >> >> > But
> >> >> >Jerry doesn't dare post a callsign,
> >> >>
> >> >> Jerry who? Oxendine? Haven't you heard of qrz.com? Or google.com? And
> >> >> just so your complaint is properly filed, your callsign is...?
> >>
> >> Well?
>
> Well?

Well, well, well. You sound like a stuck record. See above.

> >> 1. The "QRM" can be cleaned up by using a killfile, exercising some
> >> self-control, or just 'changing the channel' or 'turning the damn
> >> thing off'.
> >
> >Why don't the alleged amateurs do that instead of clogging a CB
> >newsgroup with mis-information (Aaron), innuendos (Georgie-girl), and
> >just plain bovine excrement (Doggie)? They really should take your
> >advice, shouldn't they?
>
> I'm guessing that they post because they choose to do so. Like I said
> before, if that bothers you then that's your problem. Personally, I
> don't care for the extremist nonsense on both sides, but I have enough
> self-control to not read it. Don't you?

Apparently that statement simply isn't true. You read Tim's post, you
deliberately mis-interpreted Tim's post, and you responded to Tim's
post. Where is this so-called "self-control"?

> >> 2. This newsgroup has been filled with "crap" since it first began.
> >> Unfortunately, that's the nature of Usenet. As long as there are
> >> people that can't control their urge to verbally defacate in public

> >> places, you will just have to dodge the "crap" whenever you want to
> >> take a walk.
> >
> >Nonsense. There were, and occasionally continue to be, some good
> >discussions here, same as in any other newsgroup. It's those alleged
> >amateurs that make rec.radio.CB a cesspool. Nice description of Doggie
> >and Georgie-girl there, however!
>
> Ok, now they are "alleged amateurs"? And what about the garbage from
> the pro-illegal side? Are those posts acceptable to you? How do you
> know those posts (usually anonymous) weren't made by an "alleged
> amateur"? You don't, not unless you are making them yourself. Oh,
> that's right, YOU are a ham, too! So what's your callsign?
>
> >> 3. Your example of "logic" is seriously flawed, since you don't know
> >> who Aaron is, and you don't know if he can use HF or not. In fact, you
> >> don't even know if he is a ham.
> >
> >Word from people better in the know than myself is that he's a
> >no-code.
>
> Those people "better in the know" wouldn't be Phil Covington, would
> they? Let me tell you something about Phil: He's a criminally obsessed
> little twit, and he would probably believe Aaron was Riley himself if
> his demented mind was capable of conjuring up the thought.

Who's Phil Covington? Never saw him post here.

> > That means, at best, he cannot use HF at all. Ergo, he has no
> >reason to whine about "intruders" on ten meters, does he? If he holds
> >NO license, he has even less reason to whine. And there's no evidence,
> >as Tom Sevart stated, that he has ever operated a radio at all. Again,
> >there's this thing YOU brought up about "integrity".
>
> There's no evidence that YOU have ever operated a radio yourself! So
> if all you are here to do (in a CB newsgroup) is to disclaim Voobner's
> statements, then "by your own logic", what reason do YOU have to even
> be in this newsgroup? I'll even answer that one for you: Because you
> have the right to express your opinions, just like Voobner does, and
> just like I do. You have the right to complain about Voobner all you
> want, and he has the right to give you things to complain about. And I
> have the right to show you where you are wrong. Such as your "logic".
>
> And yes, I brought up the issue of integrity. What's your callsign?
>
> >> 4. ANYONE has just as much right to voice his opinions in this forum
> >> as you or I do (provided it isn't illegal, such as libel, threats,
> >> advertising CB amps for sale, etc...).
> >
> >That's true;
>

> And that's all there is to it.

Obviously, that isn't all there is to it.

> > but who appointed you (or anyone else) NetCop? People are
> >free to post For Sale ads for anything they want to post;
>
> Not if it's an amplifier capable of operating on the CB. Or haven't
> you been reading Voobner's posts? I'm sure he will provide you with
> the proper information showing you where you are wrong.

Of course they can. It's as easy as typing an ad for legal stuff. If
you don't like to read them, use your filters and don't look at them.
Funny how everyone else can filter and use kill-files but you don't.

And I highly doubt Aanal Aaron can provide anyone with "proper
information". He never has and he most likely never will. He might
provide HIS take on something, but it won't be the truth.

> You are totally ignoring the purpose of discouraging illegal behavior.
> By your "logic", if you don't like thieves stealing your tools from
> your garage then you should just ignore them. That's a pretty good
> plan as far as the thieves are concerned, huh? You wouldn't happen to
> be one of those 'thieves', now would you?

No, if I don't like thieves stealing tools from my garage, I bring my
tools inside where I can protect them; I don't leave them where
someone might take them. I don't go out with a scattergun and shoot
everybody for blocks. And I certainly don't go into their houses,
which is an approximation of what the "alleged amateurs" are doing in
this newsgroup.

And "discouraging illegal behavior" makes you a dork in the eyes of
anyone who does such things and has no effect on their behavior
whatsoever, does it. And it makes you a "goodie two-shoes" to most
anyone else. It's not your problem (you said you run legal on CB),
right? Why does it matter to you what other people do? If you're not a
ham, why should it bother you that the occasional trucker (or
whatever) mistakenly uses a quiet frequency within the edges of an
amateur band?

> >> 5. If any of those facts are not to your liking to the point of
> >> causing you grief, then you really need to get a life.
> >
> >When are you going to?
>
> Contrary to popular opinion, I have a life. It may not be the way I
> planned; in fact, the plan is constantly changing. But that's the
> wonderful nature of it all. But I certainly don't have such a narrow
> focus in my life akin to your anti-Voobner campaign. But hey, if
> that's what you want to do with your life, that's your business.
>
> >> >> But you still haven't addressed my point, and I'll simplify it for

> >> >> your benefit: Tim was whining about hams but I'm not a ham, I'm a
> >> >> CBer. I don't usually post on ham newsgroups because I'm not a ham. I
> >> >> post on the CB newsgroup because I'm a CBer. Was that simple enough
> >> >> for you to understand?
> >> >
> >> >BFD.
> >>
> >> I think it -is- a "BFD" since that was my point in the first place.
> >
> >Then why did you choose to respond to Tim at all? You don't seem to
> >agree that hams have their own newsgroups and could just as easily
> >post there, instead of whining all over this one, trying to play "big
> >shot". You called it "integrity". Apparently they don't have any of
> >that. Nor do you.
>
> Actually, I have mentioned several times that hams should take there
> ham discussions elsewhere. In fact, since illegal operation is closer
> in comparison to ham radio than it is to CB radio, the illegals should
> go there as well.

Oh boy, the "illegals" are much closer to CBers than amateurs. CBers
don't have licenses; illegals certainly do not have licenses. Amateurs
are supposed to have licenses. Where's the commonality?

> But I responded to Timmy's post because he grouped me in with other
> "alleged amatuers", of which I am not. Or were you unable to
> understand my response to his post? Let's read it again, shall we?

I don't recall that Tim lumped you with anyone. If you took it that
way, it's your problem. And apparently you took it that way.

> >I'm in here because I'm a CBer. I am not a ham (yet), and that's
> >probably why I don't post much on ham newsgroups. That's not
> >hypocrisy, that's integrity. Learn the difference.
>
> Looks like I wasn't agreeing OR disagreeing with his statement, except
> for the fact that I am not a ham. I was speaking for myself, not the
> other "alleged amateurs" that Timmy was whining about. So why do you
> think that I was speaking on their behalf? Did I write something that
> I didn't understand? No, I don't think so. The problem is that YOU
> didn't understand what I said, you just jumped to the conclusion that
> I was speaking on behalf of those "alleged amateurs".

To me, it looks like you made a lame attempt to flame him.

> >> > So why are all those other alleged hams posting here? Why aren't
> >> >they in rec.radio.amateur.misc or some other ham newsgroup?
> >>
> >> Because they are able to post, because there is nothing preventing
> >> them from posting, and because they have chosen to do so. For any
> >> other reasons you will have to ask them.
> >

> >Well now, how about that? I can post here, too. You don't like it?
> >Turn it off or tune to a different channel. Take your own advice,
> >dimbulb!
>
> I do take my own advice quite often. But right now I choose to
> exercise my freedom of expression, just like you. You can't prevent me
> from posting and I can't prevent you from posting. The only thing
> either one of us can do is to prevent ourselves from posting. So this
> will probably continue until you run out of excuses, or get tired of
> trying to defend your BS, and choose instead to stop posting. Or, you
> can follow Twisty's example and just start posting nonsense. But then,
> just like Twisty, you will end up in just about everyone's killfile,
> including mine, and lose what little integrity you have left. Speaking
> of integrity, what's your callsign?

Or maybe Doggie's example. Or Georgie-girl's example. Or even Aaron's
example. You're in really good company there. Congradulations on your
choice of friends.

> >> > They are
> >> >accomplishing nothing by trolling here. And they will accomplish
> >> >nothing as long as they choose to waste their time.
> >>
> >> Evidently they are accomplishing -something-, as you seem to be
> >> offended by their posting. But you (and Timmy) seem to be similarly
> >> offended by MY posting. And you have never voiced your disagreement
> >> with the "QRM" from proponents of illegal operation. So clearly your
> >> problem isn't with hams, but with something else. I recommend a
> >> therapist to get to the root of your problem. Twisty claims to have a
> >> good one, but he can't seem to recall a name. Maybe he will provide it
> >> to you through email.

I am offended by Aanal Aaron's deliberate mis-information, and I am
somewhat offended by Georgie-girl's lame attempt to provoke people
with sexual innuendo (and that's all he ever posts, you notice). But
precisely WHAT is all this accomplishing? It only makes them look like
idiots.

> >You don't read much, do you? No, my problem isn't with "hams": It's
> >with BOZOs
>
> ...ok, now they are "BOZOs", not "alleged amateurs"?
>
> > who whine constantly about things that have always been and
> >that they cannot do anything about;
>
> That's the kind of apathy that is shared by people that feel they have
> no control over their lives and surroundings. Fortunately there are
> many people in this world that have the fortitude to swim against the
> current, because if those people didn't exist we would still be living
> in the dark ages, or maybe under an oppressive dictatorship. That

> might be your idea of an ideal government but not mine.
>
> > it's with BOZOs who paint ALL
> >CBers with the same "criminal" brush without knowing anything about
> >them;
>
> Now THOSE people I can live without. Care to point them out?

Same names: Jerry Ox, JJ, Georgie-girl, etc., etc., etc., ad
infinitum.

> > it's with anonymous BOZOs who can't contribute anything to a
> >conversation but third-grade playground snipes;
>
> I agree with you there, because although you are anonymous, your
> snipes have been on par with fifth-, or perhaps even sixth-graders.
>
> > it's with alleged hams
> >who never seem to operate on the ham bands because they're always
> >slumming in a CB newsgroup. Get the picture?
>
> I get the picture very clearly.
>
> You know what I have been doing lately? Besides working my regular
> jobs, I have been rebuilding my garage, taking dancing lessons with my
> girlfriend (I should post one of the videos for a really good laugh,
> but you know I won't -- suffice it to say I look ridiculous), helping
> out my mother with stuff she can't do anymore, and designing and
> building a tube-type lowfer receiver. I don't mix at the club anymore
> (the keyboard player is too much of an asshole), but I am helping the
> new sound jockey with his homebrew 300B stereo amp (and it's a real
> monster!)

I'd say you're pretty busy. But then, you've also said you're not
(yet) a ham, so my statement was not directed to you.

> I am also working on getting my ham license. There sure are a lot of
> things about propogation that I have forgotten since college, I'll
> tell you that much. Not only that, but I picked up my first two ham
> radios in the past month: One is a Heathkit HW-19 (ok, it a CAP radio,
> but with a VFO it should work just fine for ham); and I found a Swan
> 500 in really great shape (and for an even better price).

A couple of real boatanchors there! Good luck with the Swan. They're
one strange radio, in general.

> But YOU spend your time talking on the CB, chasing Voobner on the
> newsgroups, and scanning 10M looking for illegal operators just to
> dispute him. That's truly pathetic, dude.

Wrong again. I don't talk on CB anymore (although I used to). I don't
bother "chasing Voobner" - he posts enough right here to keep my

fingers sore. And I am taking JJ's advice looking for those "intruders" he claims are in the bottom end of 10 meters (and I have not heard yet). Actually, I'm trying to confirm his statements; I just haven't been able to. That's not pathetic, that's "active".

> Seriously, what is it that they say that bothers you so much? Are you
> an illegal operator that can't stand people telling you that what you
> are doing is illegal? Or if someone says something bad about CB radio,
> do you actually take it personally? I get the picture VERY clearly.
> Get a life. And this time when I say "get a life" I really mean it.

IF I was an "illegal" operator, I wouldn't care what they said. The fact is that it offends me to see those low-lifes rain on everybody's parade, just because they're anally-retentive. Hell, if they said it once and moved on it wouldn't bother me at all. But Aanal Aaron has been corrected so many times that it's absurd, and he STILL doesn't acknowledge that he doesn't have a clue what is reality.

> >> >I don't believe Tim was "whining". I believe he was making a point,
> >> >which you obviously cannot grasp.
> >>
> >> What -YOU- couldn't grasp was the point that -I- made in response to
> >> Timmy's whining. And yes, Timmy was whining -- he whines constantly.
> >> Maybe someday he will make a positive and constructive contribution to
> >> this newsgroup, but I'm not holding my breath.
> >
> >No, Tim was not whining. He made a valid point which you chose to
> >ignore so YOU could whine. As long as Aanal Aaron is posting
> >mis-information and Georgie-girl is posting nothing but sexual
> >innuendo, where's their "positive and constructive contribution",
> >their "integrity"? You have a remarkable double-standard going here,
> >but it don't wash.
>
> Tell you what: You go ahead and believe what you want. Post whatever
> misinformed, deconstructive, and obviously subversive anti-ham
> propaganda you want. But next time you want to reply to one of my
> posts that has nothing to do with you, try to understand it first.
> Then try not to jump to the conclusion that I am speaking on behalf of
> any of those "alleged amateurs" or "BOZOs", or whatever you choose to
> call them depending on your mood.

If you're not speaking on their behalf, then why did you post at all? You certainly were being defensive. Oh, I forgot: Like Aanal Aaron, you just have to prove that you're right. Maybe you ARE Aanal Aaron??

And just where (and how) did you come up with this "obviously subversive anti-ham propoganda"?? What a diaper-load!

> Now if any part of this post was beyond your ability to understand it,
> I'm sure you will reply. But don't get discouraged, you aren't doing
> too bad for a fifth- or sixth-grader.

Ohhhhhh, a PUT DOWN. I'm crushed! Now take your own advice and be sure you understand my posting before you bother to reply.

5982. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 14:04:40 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208300604.315bbc97@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135110

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D6EDEC4.FB63795F@mailcity.net>...
> Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> >
> > it's with alleged hams
> > who never seem to operate on the ham bands because they're always
> > slumming in a CB newsgroup. Get the picture?
>
> So you admit that cb is a slum?

Not even close. Try the 75 meter phone band. THAT's a real slum!

I stated that YOU are "slumming in a CB newsgroup". Nice try, but you
need a lot of work on your so-called "style".

And why aren't you on one of those precious amateur bands, running
illegal truckers out? Afraid you'll actually run into one?

5983. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 14:08:04 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208300608.2054f729@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135111

"BecauseUAsked4It" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:<7srb9.274633$m91.11040285@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > How about the most USED part? The fact is that there are 30 to 40
> > million CBers crammed into less than ONE megahertz, compared to less
> > than ONE million hams spread out all over the spectrum.
> **********
> *
> Where do you get your numbers that there are 30 -40 million Cbers. HUH
> please provide at least some proof of your delusions. LOL that is over 10 %
> of the population of this country that are Cbers in your words. LOL Now that
> is some funny shit

Can you disprove it Georgie-girl? It is an approximation, of course.
Given the number CB antennas I see on houses and other buildings, I'd
say it's a pretty fair estimate.

I'm still laughing about the "anti-key clowns"; sound like you're all
charter members of No Code International!! HAR de HAR!

5984. Re: 10 meter r.r.c.b. frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 14:11:38 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aknu9n$1k3otr$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 135112

"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020830064929.05898.00000137@mb-fg.news.cs.com...

> >Should we make 28.470 the "official" r.r.c.b. frequency ... for licensed
> >amateurs,
> >of course? See you on ten!

>
> Now there's a thought, Ted. Beats the hell outta 27.470. LOL! Take it
easy. :-)

Could we make 28.085 the official r.r.cb CW frequency?

Tom

5985. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 14:11:57 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208300611.1ad4678d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135113

"BecauseUAsked4It" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:<7srb9.274633$m91.11040285@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > Why don't the alleged amateurs do that instead of clogging a CB
> > newsgroup with mis-information (Aaron), innuendos (Georgie-girl), and
> > just plain bovine excrement (Doggie)? They really should take your
> > advice, shouldn't they?
> **********
> Again the alleged amateur is you you should take your own advise. What is
> the alleged callsign, it has to be a 2 since rochester is in 2 land.

Where's Rochester, Georgie-girl? You don't know nearly as much as your
ego leads you to believe you do.

As Aanal Aaron always says, you'll just have to dig a little deeper!
;)

5986. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 14:17:30 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aknukm$1kli64$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 135114

"Dave Hall" <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:3D6F748D.70A2@worldlynx.net...

> Today, other than truckers, there are very few vehicles with CB
> antennas. Cell phones have pretty much replaced CB as the "fad" of the
> 21st century.

It's strange, because where I live you will see a lot (though still in the minority) of vehicles with CB antennas, yet you hardly ever hear anyone on the air besides truckers. Leads me to think that these people either don't ever turn their radios on, or else the CB antennas are just for show.

Tom

5987. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 14:22:34 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16055-3D6F7FAA-10@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 135121

Frank wrote:
If Usenet is any reflection of the abuse of the CB, then that should be more proof than you will ever need. Regardless;
-
-Regardlesss is right.
For a more accurate application as usenet as a reflection of abuse, take a peek at rec.radio.amateur.misc
"If" is a favorite word among the angry non-initiated and unenlightened.

5988. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 14:23:12 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020830102312.17255.00000141@mb-bh.news.cs.com>
Source record: 135115

>I know it wasn't your intention, but your statements about how the FCC
>regulates the airwaves sounds like sour grapes complaining, when you
>can't get away with breaking the law.

Quite correct, Dave. Although I'm often happy with the "ends," I deplore the "means." That certainly doesn't mean that I don't want regulation of the airwaves, I'd just like the "laws" legislated like any other laws. I'd like to see those accused to have their day in court (NOT administrative, one with a jury.) before a judge...again, NOT an administrative judge who works for the FCC. BTW, did you know that if the FCC hands you a NAL, it's on you to drag the FCC into court to prove your innocence? Like I said, I applaud the FCC for what they do with such limited resources...but this IS the U.S.A. and I would expect criminal actions re. the airwave to be handled like any other criminal actions. No sour grapes here, I run a clean ship and have long simce outgrown my "wild oats" period.

>I can't recall one case where a person was operating 100% legally, and
>was handed an NAL.

Me neither, but that really doesn't make it right.

>However, if the person is guilty of breaking the law,
>why should they be allowed to hide behind legal red tape?

Because that's our system...and it happesn to be about the best on the planet, by far! If they're guilty, let Riley earn his keep and try them to a successful conviction.

>The system
>works as intended. If you have nothing to hide, you have nothing to
>fear.

Theoretically true, but innocent folks have fried (literally) on death row because of this attitude. BTW, I AM for the death penalty...but that's another story for another day.

>If you are going to break the law, you have no right to complain when
>you get caught. Just be glad you were able to get away with it for as
>long as you have.

Agreed! I think you understand where I'm coming from.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

5989. Re: 10 meter r.r.c.b. frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 14:24:41 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020830102441.17255.00000143@mb-bh.news.cs.com>
Source record: 135116

>> >> See you on 10-meters, I'm monitoring 28.470
>> >> right now. Take it easy now. :-)
>> >
>> >Should we make 28.470 the "official" r.r.c.b. frequency ... for licensed
>> >amateurs,
>> >of course? See you on ten!
>> >
>> >73,
>> >
>> >--
>> >Tad Danley, K3TD
>> >mailto:tdanley@earthlink.net
>>
>> Now there's a thought, Ted. Beats the hell outta 27.470. LOL! Take it easy.
>:-)
>
>
>And it would be legal!

My point, exactly.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

5990. Re: Ham Misbehavior - An example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 14:26:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16054-3D6F8091-28@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 135122

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
"Scott (Unit 69)" wrote:
So you'll just use your modified ham rig to badger CB'ers?

>My ham rig is not modified and I don't use it on cb.<

Correct me if I'm wrong, but yuo have said you don't even own a cb. Then how do you know what channel 19 is like...as you DID comment on the lingo and language. Memory? (Chuckle)

5991. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 14:29:05 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16054-3D6F8131-29@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 135124

Frank, off and running at something he knows absolutely nothing of,
tried and lied with:
The number of websites condoning the abuse of CB radio is ...well,
uncountable. Comparatively, there are much fewer sites that promote FM
piracy;
-
Bullshit.

5992. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 14:33:41 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <9nLb9.1514$3r.67674421@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 135118

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d6f154b.24200943@news.cet.com...
> In <3d6f0beb.21800940@news.cet.com>, yeti@REMOVEcet.com
> (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >Really? I thought they were using kits, like those Berkeley
> >transmitters,...
>
> Or are those the Ramsey kits? I forget...
>

I personally don't know much about it, but
both those names were mentioned in a couple of sites.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

5993. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 14:33:42 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <anLb9.1515$3r.67674421@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 135117

<yeti@REMOVEcet.com> wrote in message news:3d6f0beb.21800940@news.cet.com...
> In <8pDb9.1443$eY2.46183558@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
> <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >> Human nature being what it is, people will do whatever they can get
> >> away with. The CB is no exception. In fact, there is so much abuse on
> >> the CB that the FCC has basically 'given up' on enforcing the CB regs.
> >>
> >
> >I think your partially right. Yes the abuse is, constant
> >arguing, swearing, musicals, threats and such. That
> >does happen because as you said there is no "real"
> >licensing of the individual using the band.
> > The FCC won't act on any of the above, but
> >run big watts, interfere with you neighbors electronic
> >and they will come out and visit.
>
> Very rarely. A while back I quoted a newspaper article for Twisty
> about an old lady that had to sue her neighbor for causing a nuisance
> with his radio. The FCC didn't do squat. They usually don't unless you
> have persuasive evidence that the person is operating illegally, or if
> there are many complaints from different people about the same
> operator. Otherwise, expect your complaint to be ignored.
>
> >> The number of websites condoning the abuse of CB radio is ...well,
> >> uncountable. Comparatively, there are much fewer sites that promote FM
> >piracy;
> >
> >Really, check just the first of some 41,000 plus sites
> >I found:
> >
> >http://www.blackcatsystems.com/pirate/stations/
>
> A couple hundred stations compared with ... how many CBers running
> modified rigs, amps, or freebanding? Not even close.

Frank, that was only "One" website of thousands, so
a lot more than just a "couple hundred stations "
Hell in High school I knew five guys that competed
against each other with 'Pirate" fm stations. that was a
small town of only 5 thousand people.

>
> >>and only a handful of websites that encourage bootlegging on

> >> all of the other bands combined, including the ham bands.
> >
> >
> >>
> >> The sales market of equipment used specifically for illegal CB is
> >> large enough to support several manufacturers of amplifiers and
> >> 'import' radios (both foreign and domestic), and the demand for
> >> illegal modifications keeps many golden-screwdriver techs busy on a
> >> full-time basis (heaven forbid they should find an honorable and legal
> >> occupation).
> >
> >And most pirate fm stations are using ham
> >equipment, with usually the help of a ham.
>
> Really? I thought they were using kits, like those Berkeley
> transmitters, or some other home-brew transmitter + amp (some places
> sell pre-built 'exciters', but are quickly shut down by the FCC). I
> don't recall seeing any sites that mention the use of ham equipment.
> It takes some mighty extensive modifications to turn a 6M or 2M ham
> rig into a continuous-transmit FM stereo station at 100 MHz. It's much
> simpler and cheaper to build the stuff from kits. Of course, some
> people don't like things that are simple and cheap, even if they
> happen to work better. But if you say that most pirate FM stations are
> using ham equipment then it must be true.
>

Well one site did, but it again was just an example, I didn't
really bother with searching some 41,000 plus hits for that.
I looked briefly this morning at another, it said he was a
ham running modified ham gear, so they are no saints either.
Now I'm not claiming that all hams do this, but neither do
the vast majority of cb'rs do illegal things too.

> >> Not only that, but you even have people on the internet
> >> making money by selling nothing more than the instructions needed to
> >> make these modifications. This is a huge demand, and it is not
> >> generated by people wishing to operate legally.
> >>
> >
> >Also the same could be said for pirate fm stations.
>
> Not quite. Bandwidth on the FM broadcast band is at a premium, so you
> can't fit too many stations in there. Also, a whole lot more people
> listen to FM radio than CB radio, and most won't tolerate someone
> jamming their favorite station. FM piracy isn't quite as bad as you
> seem to be saying.
>
> >> Also, just listen to the CB. There is constant bleeding, intentional
> >> keying on signals, people bragging about non-linear linears or export
> >> rigs, etc.
> >

> >Agreed, but I don't hear the comments about using linears
> >and export radios that you talk about that much.
>
> Then you don't listen to the "superbowl" much. I can't blame you
> there...
>

No not much. Occasionally I've gotten on there when the
big watts died down, but again not much.

> >>And just about every night there is some moron that just
> >> wants to muck things up; someone may think it's fun to say as many
> >> cuss words as he can on the air, or to pick a fight with someone else
> >> and hide just to see if the other person shows up.
> >
> >Just like here right? Just like the amateur newsgroup right?
>
> Just like any other newsgroup that tends to draw uncivilized cretins.
> There a few differences, tho: There are tens of thousands of
> newsgroups while there are only 40 CB channels; You can't step on
> someone's signal in a newsgroup; and on the radio, that behavior is
> illegal. YOU are comparing apples and oranges.
>

True, but so were you. You agree that it's ok to
swear, accuse, threaten, post porn, filth, flood the
newsgroup just because it's a cb newsgroup? So
when someone says they are kill filling people, others
change their nics to by pass it, just like following someone
to another channel and harassing them there, right?
My point Frank, is human behavior, to which you hit
on several times. The problem isn't illegal amps, radio's,
it's human behavior. Look at a few of the people that
troll this group, do you think they talk like that on
the ham bands? Of course not, same could be said for
some of the people that are just cb'rs.
Hell look at the thread that was cross posted from
XP/linux newsgroups, just like this one, but not
wanted.

You see Frank we're not to far apart on opinions.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

5994. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 14:34:53 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16054-3D6F828D-32@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 135120

Frank wrote:
A while back I quoted a newspaper article for Twisty about an old lady that had to sue her neighbor for causing a nuisance with his radio. The FCC didn't do squat. They usually don't unless you have persuasive evidence that the person is operating illegally, or if there are many complaints from different people about the same operator. Otherwise, expect your complaint to be ignored.
-
My Gosh, I had to actually go back and read who wrote the above.....you are right, complaints are usually ignored with the obvious exceptions..
Concerning your talk about what pirate FMers use, ones who have been at it a while stay away from the kits..especially Ramsey. Hell Frank, you know abit about FM broadcast and LPFM is certainly legal, why not spread some of your knowledge, or do wish to keep it from the FM pirates that aren't really LP at all (read:Legal) ?

5995. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 14:37:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16054-3D6F831D-34@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 135123

From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
In <3D6EDEC4.FB63795F@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
Richard Craniumless wrote:
it's with alleged hams
who never seem to operate on the ham bands because they're always
slumming in a CB newsgroup. Get the picture?

>So you admit that cb is a slum?<
-
"Well, since he previously admitted to being a ham, I would hazard a
guess that here he's admitting that he "never seems to operate on the
ham bands because" he's "always slumming in a CB newsgroup". That's
almost a cry for help."

--
Actually, what came to mind instantly is he is getting away from the BS
on the hammie bands, as any entry level hammie will instantly be exposed
to when they power on.

5996. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 14:45:04 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <QxLb9.43109$Ke2.3008753@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 135119

I got news for you... when the FCC sends you "the letter"... they already
have all the evidence they need for a conviction.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

> > >Nothing will happen to them
> > >unless the FCC catches him. It's a little thing called proof, innocent
> > >until proven guilty, etc... you might recognize this from the
> > >constitution.

5997. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 14:49:40 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16054-3D6F8604-36@storefull-2314.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 135130

> How has technology changed a 4 watt signal say from now from 25 years ago. please explain<
-
Begin N3CVJ' daily and direct insult ranting against those who hold a different view:
>Twisty is not about to "explain" anything, because he knows little if anything about radio, other than which end to plug the mike into and which end goes to the antenna.<

And this upsets you why?

> This latest product of hopelessly festering brain cells is illustrative of just how off-the-rails he is. <<

And your overt concern for my world affects you even more than my technological
savy that makes you act like a tantrum-throwing spoiled child does.

>What technology has made 4 watts now better than 4 watts 25 years ago, and enough to where it will pin someones S meter anywhere in the world only on 11 meters. Boy I can't wait to hear this one. <

Dunno..you said it......

>I'm laughing myself silly on that one too. A 25 year old 4 watt signal is not as "enhanced" as a 2002 4 watt signal? <

Glad he is entertaining you.

>Or perhaps he was attempting to allude to improved receiver design. <<

Poor Hall. Afflicted with the same malady of seeing what's not there. It's called "hallucination". You have asked about narcotics several times...I suggest you stop. Their use is causing you to ramble and imagine things.

>Well, even if that were true, they could not overcome the laws of physics, and a (Albeit stronger) DX 4 watt signal, would still have more path loss than a 2 mile local one, and would still get crushed by it every time. <

Now it's the enitre world that you hear laughing this time..at your statement.
It is apparent you don't understand what you profess, as the scenario I am describing is a perfect example of physics,,,,albeit a part you are not the slightest bit familiar with.

>If improved receiver design allowed me to hear the same DX stations that ran between S4 and S7 20 years ago, to jump to S9 signals, I guess that still means that the local signal at +20 db over S9 would jump to +30 over. The ratio would still be the same.
<

Stop guessing.
It screws you all the time.

>The real kick-in-the-pants laugh is that CB radio "technology" is frozen at the 20 year old level.<<

Have fun, Hall! This is where you share some of that hatred bottled up inside of you....

> For the most part, a Cobra 148, for example, is still the same design as it was when it first came out in the 1979-1980 time frame. Most CB radios still use descrete transistors in most circuits, have not taken advantage of surface mount techniques, or VLSI integration.
For the "screwdriver tech" and truck stop mod jockeys, this is a good thing. The cost of repairing and modifying a VLSI radio with surface mount parts would be beyond most of these guys' capability, and would require specialized solder stations that cost thousands of dollars, or risk "buggering" the radio beyond hope.
If CB radio were to truly "evolve" into modern technology, they could make an AM/SSB radio the size of a pack of cigarettes, where the antenna and mike plug would be the largest pieces.
Dave
"Sandbagger"<<

Now he's worried about "evolving" and he was the one screaming about the "way it was" and "the golden days" and how it "used to be" with the war stories.
You can't handle evolvement. You come unglued.

5998. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 14:55:12 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020830105512.17404.00000209@mb-bh.news.cs.com>
Source record: 135125

>I got news for you... when the FCC sends you "the letter"... they already
>have all the evidence they need for a conviction.

I'll certainly go along with that. The first letter's usually a warning to "cease and desist." So many dolts simply ignore this 'get out of jail free card.' I still don't like the idea of one "commission" acting in all three capacities outline in the principle of 'checks and balances.' IMHO, the FCC really should only act in the executive capacity, a.k.a. enforcement.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

5999. Re: 10 meter r.r.c.b. frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 14:58:45 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020830105845.17404.00000210@mb-bh.news.cs.com>
Source record: 135126

>Could we make 28.085 the official r.r.cb CW frequency?
>
>Tom

You're cuttin' out the Novices and Tech+'s, but hey...works for me. I heard some AM chatter on that freq as well as 28.305 MHz yesterday. Bad news. :-(

73 de Bert
WA2SI

6000. Re: Ham Misbehavior - An example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 14:59:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10831-3D6F8845-17@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 135129

N3CVJ huffed:
>In order to have some credibility when dealing with a subject (like CB radio) it helps to have some personal experience with it. It's tough to accept the validity of your point, when you have no point of reference.
<

And your point of reference is...your "word"?

>People will be less likely to take you seriously when you offer up all sort of opinions regarding CB and CBers when you've had no direct contact or experience with them. Your opinions will appear anecdotal in nature.
One would wonder, if you do not like, nor intend to own a CB, why you would expend effort interacting with CBers on a newsgroup? <<

No one wonders about why you do it, at least here anyway. We all know why. You derive a weird, twisted gratification.
Concerning taking you serious, well, ythere was never any danger of that...,and it has nothing to do with your experience, as a newbie can sometimes offer more credibility. They don't slam cbers like you do and don't walk around with an erection over them, either. You slam cbers, the service, the users, hang here and harass with OT insults and then attempt to profess etiquette and wonder why you get no respect and why Aaron counts you as one of his closest allies (Yea, I still have the post).

>I don't own, nor ever intend to own a Persian cat, but I'm not on the cat newsgroup talking down cats either.<

Nobody cares about your adolescent analogies.

>Dave
"Sandbagger"
N3CVJ<

Be proud.

6001. Re: 10 meter Question?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 15:02:13 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020830110213.17404.00000211@mb-bh.news.cs.com>
Source record: 135127

>> Another coworker, Mark, who shows up on r.r.cb every now and then is an
>> Advanced. He too has no desire to upgrade.
>
>With the advanced class, there is a bit of elitist snobbery involved in
>some cases. Since there is no distinction between an "old (20 WPM)
>extra, and a "new" (5 WPM) extra, the license to have now is advanced,
>since you had to pass 13 WPM to get that ticket.

I've seen that but Mark's anything but elitist or snobbish...although he has
"Brit" blood in him. (JK) <ggg>

73 de Bert
WA2SI

PS Come to think of it, he IS pretty pro-CW, hmmm... :-)

6002. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 15:03:17 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10831-3D6F8935-18@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 135128

From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig)
Nothing will happen to them
unless the FCC catches him. It's a little thing called proof, innocent
until proven guilty, etc... you might recognize this from the
constitution.
-
The FCC doesn't operate via the "normal" protocol of due process. The
very term ' Notice of Apparent Liability' coupled to a multi-thousand
dollar forfeiture, WITHOUT your day in court BEFORE
conviction/sentencing, sure doesn't sound like "innocent until proven
guilty" to me.<
-
Certain requirements must be absolutely met long before an NAL may be
issued. A hammie's recording of what he claims is a cber means nothing
in the eye of the law.
And yes, even the FCC has to obey certain protocol. For instance, if
they show up to inspect your station..simply alllow them in after
confirming their identity. Say nothing. You don't even have to answer
their questions if you don't want to. Merely show them your legal
station and kindly show them the door. They may NOT open doors, closets,
drawers, etc. They may merely "observe" your station and nothing else.
An NAL isn't issued without proof. Base this on how many were challenged
and dismissed.

6003. Re: 10 meter r.r.c.b. frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 15:22:23 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10831-3D6F8DAF-25@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 135133

From: tdanley@nospamatearthlink.net (Tad Danley)
Bert Craig wrote:
  See you on 10-meters, I'm monitoring 28.470 right now. Take it
easy now. :-)
-
Should we make 28.470 the "official" r.r.c.b. frequency ... for licensed
amateurs,
of course? See you on ten!
73,
--
Tad Danley, K3TD
mailto:tdanley@earthlink.net
-
If the call was forged on the air,, or bootlegged, how would you know if
it were a legit hammie or not? I've been witnesss to it.....hell,
hammies using other hammie call signs has been going on since it
began...surely if you are on the air you know this.

6004. Re: 10 meter r.r.c.b. frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 15:24:26 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10834-3D6F8E2A-3@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 135135

From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam (Bert Craig)
Bert Craig wrote:
  See you on 10-meters, I'm monitoring 28.470 right now. Take it
easy now. :-)
=
Should we make 28.470 the "official" r.r.c.b. frequency ... for licensed
amateurs,
of course? See you on ten!
73,
--
Tad Danley, K3TD
mailto:tdanley@earthlink.net

>Now there's a thought, Ted. Beats the hell outta 27.470. LOL! Take it
easy. :-)
73 de Bert
WA2SI <

Depends what it is you speak of..what it "beats" it for. For DX, you're
incorrect.
For legalities, you are correct.

6005. Re: 10 meter r.r.c.b. frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 15:26:39 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10832-3D6F8EAF-5@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 135136

From: n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com (Tom Sevart)
"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020830064929.05898.00000137@mb-fg.news.cs.com...
Should we make 28.470 the "official" r.r.c.b. frequency ... for licensed
amateurs,
of course? See you on ten!

>Now there's a thought, Ted. Beats the hell outta 27.470. LOL! Take it
easy. :-) <

"Could we make 28.085 the official r.r.cb CW frequency?
Tom"
-
LMAO! THAT was funny, 'cause to listen to some, one would think it
already IS the official channel and they're fighting to take back what
they lost.
Of course, looks can be deceiving, eh? <g>

6006. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 15:35:19 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10831-3D6F90B7-28@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 135134

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Tom Sevart wrote:
All the former-freebanders-turned-hams I know don't operate on 27 MHZ
any more, nor do they care to. They are very good amateur operators and
follow the rules.
Tom

>I think a lot of the freebanders operate there because they want to get
away from the garbage on the cb channels, and those who do make the jump
to ham radio, as a whole, turn out to be good hams. After putting forth
the effort to earn the privilege to operate on the ham bands, they like
99.99 of hams, don't want to see it turn into what the cb band has
become. <

Ya' know, this is pretty damn accurate, "JJ".
But finish the rest of the story......
.

......
After putting forth the effort to earn the privilege to operate on the
ham bands, they like 99.99 of hams, don't want to see it turn into what
the cb band has become. Upon becoming familiar with amateur radio as a
whole, one finds it has frequncies not only as bad as cb, but some that
are actually worse.
The non-trouble causing freebanders you speak of promptly return to
their roots...many let their license expire, never to look back again.

6007. Re: SKIP WAS HOPPING WEDNESDAY--suck on that !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 15:40:54 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20020830114054.15125.00000167@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 135131

>Subject: Re: SKIP WAS HOPPING WEDNESDAY--suck on that !
>From: Earl earlebyrd@sun.up
>Date: 8/30/02 9:38 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <t6tumucf9t86epfkmkk3itup9miot9emt4@4ax.com>
>
>On 30 Aug 2002 05:25:25 GMT, ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote:
>
>>Oh yeah....big time DX Wednesday! West coast all the way.
>>Is that more than 150 miles away???? Nah !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>>Keep it up guys......skip is coming back up.......
>>333 Springfield Missouri...........nah...not 150...probably 149!!!!!!
>
>Not to worry. It's 155 *point* 3 miles. You've got another 5.3 til
>you'll need that long tape measure.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Are those "bird" miles and specify peak or average miles please....
Train

6008. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 15:42:01 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10832-3D6F9249-6@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 135137

N3CVJ flubbed with:
>That's ok. I see the pattern of denial. There are no bootleggers on 10 meters, a 4 watt signal can peg every meter in the country, there are more "bad" hams then "bad" CBers, amplifiers do nothing to add to the hetrodyne noise when the skip rolls in, most CBers are running legally, and of course, that coax length actually matters.
The myths and "science" of the CB band. You might think this is an alternate reality. I guess for some it really is..... <

That frustration you are experiencing is leading the way to desperation.
Really, Hall, engaging Aaron in your mythical conversations?
You're right where you belong.

>Dave
"Sandbagger"
N3CVJ<

Be proud

6009. Re: Farewell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 15:43:23 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20020830114323.15125.00000168@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 135132

>Subject: Re: Farewell
>From: "IllegalCbersSuck" rcranium@lies.com
>Date: 8/29/02 7:53 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <Ftyb9.24205$On.1249380@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
>
>
>"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:c5ecbb69.0208291427.4ea521b3@posting.google.com...
>> "Dr. John \(of Life\)" <frellyou@privacy.net> wrote in message
>news:<rVhb9.534$7i3.8014@eagle.america.net>...
>> > I shall be leaving now and moving on to the scanner ng's. Maybe I'll
>see
>> > some of the nice people in this ng there as well.
>>
>> Nahhh. You'll just run into John Mackey on rec.radio.scanner - and
>> he's a worse TROLL than Aanal Aaron and Georgie-girl put together!
>
>DickHead so your saying your not a TROLL? What do you call your
>contribution to rec.radio.cb?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

The VERY SAME QUESTION could be asked of you! What have you contributed other
than to be a condesending whiney douche?
Train

6010. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 16:21:11 UTC
From: d2002xx <d2002xx@myrealbox.com>
Message-ID: <bs7ks4g8.fsf@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 135138
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

"Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:

> "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
> news:1y8ilyh2.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> > "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
> >
> > > "Oris" <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message
> > > news:f7a9c6ac.0208281720.44817f2f@posting.google.com...
> > >
> > > > I have a box on my network that runs Linux. It
> > > > is about the most user unfriendly Operating system. I use it in a Dell
> > > > dimension 333. It serves as a router and a firewall for my network.
> > >
> > > It's amazing what you can do with a box of junk and a few blank CD's.
> >
> > Can't you create a funnier joke?
>
> You want me to create a new linux?

I'm wondering if you're a programmer.

6011. Re: 10 meter r.r.c.b. frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 16:30:03 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020830123003.28512.00000087@mb-cm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 135139

>Should we make 28.470 the "official" r.r.c.b. frequency ... for licensed
>amateurs,
>of course? See you on ten!
>73,
>--
>Tad Danley, K3TD
>mailto:tdanley@earthlink.net
>
>>Now there's a thought, Ted. Beats the hell outta 27.470. LOL! Take it
>easy. :-)
>73 de Bert
>WA2SI <
>
>Depends what it is you speak of..what it "beats" it for. For DX, you're
>incorrect.
>For legalities, you are correct.

Yes, Twist...that's exactly how I meant it. You are correct though.
"Technically," 11-meters IS a slightly better DX band than 10. However, the
difference is almost imperceptible and thus negligable. Take it easy. BTW, good
points re. my FCC rant.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

6012. Take that illegal cbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 16:33:53 UTC
From: skuld-chan <cherry-chan@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <R7Nb9.239446$983.522150@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 135140

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/08/29/100/?nc=1

I keep hearing there's more to come too :)

sc

6013. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 16:34:28 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3D6F9E94.DE81CCF4@psu.edu>
Source record: 135141
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Bill Nelson wrote:

> In rec.radio.cb IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com> wrote:
> > Louie thought you said you were a ham snapperhead guess thats what you look
> > like. Funny part is what does a typical Cber look like?
>
> They look just like the typical ham.

And what exactly does a typical ham look like?

- mike KB3EIA -

6014. Re: SKIP WAS HOPPING WEDNESDAY--suck on that !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 16:38:11 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020830123811.28512.00000088@mb-cm.news.cs.com>
Source record: 135142

>>>Oh yeah....big time DX Wednesday! West coast all the way.

It certainly was. I heard the West coast well pasy sunset, here in NY.

>>>Is that more than 150 miles away?

You mean San Diego, NJ? Or Oakland, CT?

>Nah !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

Nah! :-)

>>>Keep it up guys......skip is coming back up.......

Probably not. More likely sporadic-E.

>>>333 Springfield Missouri...........nah...not 150...probably 149!!!!!!
>>
>>Not to worry. It's 155 *point* 3 miles. You've got another 5.3 til
>>you'll need that long tape measure.
>
>Are those "bird" miles and specify peak or average miles please....

LOL! Take care guys.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

6015. New Design in Progress...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 16:39:09 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <NcNb9.43202$Ke2.3019512@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 135143

Some have been asking for a final version of my "1pill" amplifier so I have decided to go ahead with the PCB layout and have a few boards made for prototypes. This 1x2879 amp will be extremely clean (very low harmonics!) and will have fully regulated class AB biasing. I will be posting details and specs regarding this new design at my web site via a link soon.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

6016. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 16:40:44 UTC
From: GeneralPF <GeneralPF@nitrogen.ertw.com>
Message-ID: <slrnamv8f5.1uo.GeneralPF@nitrogen.ertw.com>
Source record: 135144
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

```
On 31 Aug 2002 00:21:11 +0800, d2002xx assert()ed:
> "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
>
>> "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
>> news:1y8ilyh2.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
>> > "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
>> >
>> > > "Oris" <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message
>> > > news:f7a9c6ac.0208281720.44817f2f@posting.google.com...
>> > >
>> > > > I have a box on my network that runs Linux. It
>> > > > is about the most user unfriendly Operating system. I use it in a Dell
>> > > > dimension 333. It serves as a router and a firewall for my network.
>> > >
>> > > It's amazing what you can do with a box of junk and a few blank CD's.
>> >
>> > Can't you create a funnier joke?
>>
>> You want me to create a new linux?
>
> I'm wondering if you're a programmer.

I'm wondering if you're a buggy Eliza script.

--
General Protection Fault
generalpf@yahoo.nospam.com
```

6017. Re: Ham Misbehavior - An example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 17:09:08 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6F876E.FF0D403E@mailcity.net>
Source record: 135145

Twisteieboy wrote:
>
> From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
> "Scott (Unit 69)" wrote:
> So you'll just use your modified ham rig to badger CB'ers?
>
> >My ham rig is not modified and I don't use it on cb.<
>
> Correct me if I'm wrong, but yuo have said you don't even own a cb. Then
> how do you know what channel 19 is like...as you DID comment on the
> lingo and language. Memory? (Chuckle)

OK twistieboy you are not wrong, I don't own a cb, but my Collins
75a3 receiver tunes 11 meters because when it was manufactured 11
meters was a ham band, so I can tune the cb band. Also have you
ever heard of a general coverage receiver? Memory? (chuckle)

6018. Re: Ham Misbehavior - An example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 17:13:39 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6F887D.F7BA39A3@mailcity.net>
Source record: 135146

Dave Hall wrote:

> In order to have some credibility when dealing with a subject (like CB
> radio) it helps to have some personal experience with it. It's tough to
> accept the validity of your point, when you have no point of reference.

>
> People will be less likely to take you seriously when you offer up all
> sort of opinions regarding CB and CBers when you've had no direct
> contact or experience with them. Your opinions will appear anecdotal in
> nature.
>
> One would wonder, if you do not like, nor intend to own a CB, why you
> would expend effort interacting with CBers on a newsgroup? I don't own,
> nor ever intend to own a Persian cat, but I'm not on the cat newsgroup
> talking down cats either.
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

I have had personal experience with cbers, they come up to 10
metes and try to answer cq's and talk to hams or operated and
interfere with ham radio. I have also listened enough to the cb
channels to know it is a garbage band. I have no quarrels with
those that stay out of 10 meters, but when these idiots trespass
in the ham band with their modded overdirven splatter boxes then I
get concerned with the cb crowd.

6019. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 17:19:40 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6F89E6.BD4CA22@mailcity.net>
Source record: 135047

Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D6EDEC4.FB63795F@mailcity.net>...
> > Richard Cranium wrote:
> >
> > > >
> > > it's with alleged hams
> > > who never seem to operate on the ham bands because they're always
> > > slumming in a CB newsgroup. Get the picture?
> >
> > So you admit that cb is a slum?
>
> Not even close. Try the 75 meter phone band. THAT's a real slum!
>
> I stated that YOU are "slumming in a CB newsgroup". Nice try, but you
> need a lot of work on your so-called "style".
>
> And why aren't you on one of those precious amateur bands, running
> illegal truckers out? Afraid you'll actually run into one?

LOL, so you pick one or two frequencies where there are some hams
that act like cbers and try to say that is what ham radio is like.
What a laugh! Tune across the ham bands and 99.9% of the operation
is just hams having a nice conversation, but tune any cb channel
and all you hear are modded overdriven splatter boxes operated by
a bunch of morons.

6020. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 17:22:03 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6F8A75.78AA86C1@mailcity.net>
Source record: 135048

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
> Tom Sevart wrote:
> All the former-freebanders-turned-hams I know don't operate on 27 MHZ
> any more, nor do they care to. They are very good amateur operators and
> follow the rules.
> Tom
>
> >I think a lot of the freebanders operate there because they want to get
> away from the garbage on the cb channels, and those who do make the jump
> to ham radio, as a whole, turn out to be good hams. After putting forth
> the effort to earn the privilege to operate on the ham bands, they like
> 99.99 of hams, don't want to see it turn into what the cb band has
> become. <
>
> Ya' know, this is pretty damn accurate, "JJ".
> But finish the rest of the story......
> .
>
> ......
> After putting forth the effort to earn the privilege to operate on the
> ham bands, they like 99.99 of hams, don't want to see it turn into what
> the cb band has become. Upon becoming familiar with amateur radio as a
> whole, one finds it has frequncies not only as bad as cb, but some that
> are actually worse.
> The non-trouble causing freebanders you speak of promptly return to
> their roots...many let their license expire, never to look back again.

But many more stay happy to no longer be a part of the slum cb
crowd.

6021. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 17:23:25 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6F8AC8.204F6457@mailcity.net>
Source record: 135049

Richard Cranium wrote:

>
> That isn't what I said. I said I've been listening and haven't yet
> heard any. Don't you have any reading comprehension? Oh, that's right;
> you like to twist things to suit yourself. You poor little man.

Then as I have said before, you should get a receiver that works
on 10 metets.

6022. DX Report

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 17:54:14 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3802-3D6FB146-11@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 135053

```
**************************************
     INTERNATIONAL RADIO DX GROUP
*
      *          (Spirit of Australia)
            *
      *
                               *
      *               DX BULLETIN #14 / 30
August 2002             *
      *           Edited by Lars 13IR102 & Rob
19IR04             *
      **************************************
        /-----------------------------------
        !             Information, reports
and suggestions         !   !
    must be sent to:                    !
        !
        !
bulletin@irdx.org                    !
        !
    !
    \-----------------------------------
        This bulletin contains only objective DX
information's coming   from different resources. The redactor can not
be held   responsible for any mistake or false information's.
        It is absolute allowed to copy and distribute
the DX News   Bulletin but please include the information's about the
editors   and source where it comes from for the courtesy who created
  this DX tool.
        TO YOUR ATTENTION PLEASE: Dxpeditions or
activations with   unknown end will be deleted 3 month after
publication. From now   on we add this kind with the month when we get
the information.   If you want to see your Dxpedition or activation
for a longer   time on the list please contact us with the full
information's.
        You have Info for this List ? please send to:
13IR102@irdx.de
*********************************************
            IRDX Group News
*********************************************
                W W W . I R D X . O R G

            We are proud to let you know the
brand NEW IRDX-Homepage     Is ONLINE now :) take a look and enjoy
```

the great work from   The whole Team ! lot's of new features you
can find there.   Cluster - Chat - IRDX-News and much more !
www.irdx.org
           W W W . D X N E W S . DE

            the Number 1 DX-Info-Site is back
Online after long Time.   up to date now and every Day Updated !!
www.dxnews.de
****
                On The Air Now
****
102IR/DX Kuwait 18/08/02
Paco, P.O.Box 212, 41710 Utrera, Spain

103FAT/DX Haiti 01/03/02 500 Prog.
Eric, P.O.Box 271, 67606 Selestat CDX, France

10RC/DX Mexico 01/02/02 500 Prog.
Stephane, P.O.Box 3, 18500 Foecy, France

112IR/DX Lebanon 11/08/02
Biel, P.O.Box 444, 70300 Inca-Ballearic, Espana

126IR/0 Nicaragua 06/06/02 500 Prog.
Paco, P.O.Box 212, 41710 Utrera, Spain

146PAS/DX Algeria 01/07/02 500 Prog.
Pablo, P.O.Box 422, 33080 Oviedo, Spain

160WRC/0 Sudan 29/08/02 31/12/02
Jacek, P.O.Box 26, 03996 Warsaw, Poland

162RS/DX Dem. Rep. of Congo 25/08/02 23/09/02 Mauro, P.O. Box 7045,
16148 Genova, Italy

165IR/DX Sardinia Island 26/08/02 05/09/02 Paolo, P.O.Box 9, 56023
Navacchio, Italy

170RC/0 Burkina Faso 23/08/02 31/08/02
Vincent, P.O.Box 3, 18500 Foecy, France

173HF/0 Reunion Island 17/07/02 31/12/02 Tim, P.O.Box 5, 83570 Cotignac,
France

173OR/DX Reunion Island 01/03/02 30/09/02 OR-Group, P.O.Box 26, 03700
Bellevive/A, France

196RC/DX Guardaloupe 02/03/02 500 Prog.
Stephane, P.O.Box 3, 18500 Foecy, France

201SD/DX Frensh Polynesia 08/07/02 1000 Prog. Chris, P.O.Box 42, 62510
Arques, France

215SD/DX Gabun Republic 04/08/02 1000 Prog. Maud, P.O.Box 1, 24240
Sigoules, France

24PAS/0 Panama 01/08/02 1000 Prog.
Pablo, P.O.Box 422, 33080 Oviedo, Spain

28OT/DX Honduras 01/02/02 500 Prog.
Mario, P.O.Box 100, 15562 Ruedersdorf, Germany

301AS/DX Armenia 25/02/02 500 Prog.
Panos, P.O.Box 3795, 13201 Petroupoli, Greece

312DQ/0 Moldavia 22/07/02 500 Prog.
Chris, P.O.Box 184, NN3-9JH Northampton, U.K.

314SD/DX Turkoman 19/01/02 1000 Prog.
Yannick, P.O.Box 1, 64300 Malacq, France

325AT/0 Rotuma Island 30/08/02 06/09/02
Andy, P.O.Box 62, 29266 Brest-cdx, France

328RKL/DX Croatia 28/08/02 15/09/02
Oli, P.O.Box 21, 46010 Liberec 10, Czech Republic

33SD/DX Alaska 01/02/02 31/12/02
Dino, P.O.Box 1, 23879 Verderio- Lecco, Italy

33TRC/0 Alaska 01/03/02 31/09/02
Nasko, P.O.Box 49, 6100 Kazanlak, Bulgaria

41AS/DX New Zealand 01/02/02 200 Prog.
Jim, P.O.Box 63501, 15202 Filothei, Greece

44DT/DX South Africa 01/08/02 500 Prog.
Jose Manuel, P.O.Box 90, 38180 Taco, Tenerife, Spain

44TRC/0 South Africa 24/03/02 1000 Prog. Nasko, P.O.Box 49, 6100
Kazanlak, Bulgaria

54LD/0 Luxembourg 01/08/02 31/09/02
Paolo, P.O.Box 2, 25017 Lonato, Italy

54OD/0 Luxembourg 03/08/02 31/12/02
Olek, P.O.Box 32, 44102 Gliwice 2, Poland

57LD/DX India 01/05/02 1000 Prog.
Paolo, P.O.Box 2, 25017 Lonato, Italy

5HF/0 Venezuela 01/07/02 1000 Prog.
Eliane, P.O.Box 10, 83910 Pourrieres, France

61LD/DX Ecuador 20/01/02 500 Prog.
Paolo, P.O.Box 2, 25017 Lonato, Italy

64FAT/0 Senegal 17/08/02 500 Prog.
Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854 Caudan CDX, France

67IR/DX Paraguay 15/01/02 1000 Prog.
Paco, P.O.Box 212, 41710 Utrera, Spain

6AS/DX Columbia 01/04/02 600 Prog.
Jim, P.O.Box 63501, 15202 Filothei, Greece

6RMA/DX Colombia 01/01/02 1000 Prog.
Paco, P.O.Box 4109, 03080 Alicante, Spain

75RMA/DX Azores Islands 01/01/02 1000 Prog. Paco, P.O.Box 4109, 03080 Alicante, Spain

76RK/DX Marocco 01/01/02 30/10/02
Willem, P.O.Box 3048, 4800DA Breda, Holland

80WD/DX Bolivia 01/01/02 1000 Prog.
Riccardo, P.O.Box 9, 50065 Pontassieve, Italy

84VC/DX Ivory Coast 01/07/02 500 Prog.
Frank, P.O.Box 124, 78702 Conflans-cdx, France

97AS/DX Israel 01/01/02 500 Prog.
Panos, P.O.Box 3795, 13201 Petroupoli, Greece
****
Coming Soon
****
134FAT/0 Rep. of Belau soon
Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854 Caudan-cdx, France

140FAT/0 Antarctica 2003 2003
Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854 Caudan-cdx, France

140IR/AA-URA Antarctica soon
Day, P.O.Box B19, 01001 Kyiv, Ukraine

167IR/0 Jersey Island 02/09/02 10/09/02
Eric, P.O.Box 39, 3200 Aarschot, Belgium

180LD/0 Oman soon
Dario, P.O.Box 28, 25082 Botticino, Italy

191AC/0 Swaziland 19/09/02 21/09/02
Andrew, P.O.Box 274, 6331 Albany, Australia

203AT/DX China soon
Daniele I., via Appia Nuova 77, 03043 Cassino, Italy

203DQ/DX China 01/09/02 31/10/02
Chris, P.O.Box 184, NN3-9JH Northampton, U.K.

207FAT/0 Saint Martin Isl. 10/10/02 17/10/02 Laurent, Po.Box 63, 56854 Caudan-cdx, France

210LD/0 Wallis & Futuna 2003
Dario, P.O.Box 28, 25082 Botticino, Italy

236LD/0 Bangladesh soon
Paolo, P.O.Box 2, 25017 Lonato, Italy

238SD/0 Cambodia 10/09/02 25/09/02
Xavier, P.O.Box 36, 3460 Bekkevoort, Belgium

248AT/DX Turks & Caicos 27/12/02 03/01/03 Tom, P.O.Box 321, 44615
Carollton-OH, U.S.A.
249SD/0 North Cook Isl. 29/10/02 05/11/02 Tim, P.O.Box 17, Kenilworth
Warwickshire, CV8-1SF, UK

301KPI/0 Armenia 1000 Prog.
Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain

311DQ/0 Lithuania postponed
Slawek, P.O.Box 56, 87800 Wloclawek-2, Poland

312RC/0 Moldavia soon
Stephane, P.O.Box 3, 18500 Foecy, France

318AT/0 S.M.O.M. (Rom) soon
Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo D'Orlando, Italy

337LD/0 Austral Island 28/10/02 29/11/02 Stefania, P.O.Box 28, 25082
Botticino, Italy

35OE/V Austria/Vienna 01/10/02 31/10/02
Jens, P.O.Box 100, 6764 Lech, Austria

60DQ/0 Hong Kong soon
Simon, P.O.Box 242, 16041 Praha-6, Czech Rep.

99AT/DX Fiji Islands 07/09/02 11/09/02
Andy, P.O.Box 62, 29266 Brest-cdx, France
****
I.O.T.A. - Islands On The Air
****
11AT/NA099 Puerto Rico 15/06/02 ??/08/02
1AT058, Alex xxxxxxxx

123AT/NA005 Bermuda Isl. 10/08/02 15/09/02 Garry, P.O.Box HM1952, HM-HX
Hamilton,
Bernuda Islands

143FAT/NA108 San Lucia Isl. 01/02/02 1500 Prog. Laurent, P.O.Box 63,
56854 Caudan CDX, France

166RC/NA145 Saba Island 01/02/02 500 Prog. Stephane, P.O.Box 3, 18500
Foecy, France

18AT/EU067 Naxsos Isl. 23/08/02 13/09/02 Oliver,

20LD/EU003 Hinnoya Island 04/01/02 500 Prog. Luis, P.O.Box 11092, 46006
Valencia, Spain

230SD/OC011 Micronesia Isl. 13/03/02 1000 Prog. Steve, P.O.Box 15, 79110
Chef-Boutonne, France

24SD/NA203 Iguana Island 01/02/02
Pepe, P.O.Box 105, 11500 Puerto Real, Spain

299AT/NA095 Desecheo Island 15/08/02 15/09/02 1AT058 Alex,
xxxxxxxxxxxxx

33LD/NA019 Kodiak Island 04/01/02 500 Prog. Luis, P.O.Box 11092, 46006
Valencia, Spain

47AT/FY014(EU172) Aero Island 29/07/02
Parcifal, P.O.Box 8899, 1006 JB Amsterdam, Holland

91AT/OC151 Sumba Island 15/06/02 15/09/02 Antonio, P.O.Box 360, 87100
Cosenza-CS, Italy

91SD/OC237 Krakatoa Island 16/08/02
Steve, P.O.Box 15, 79110 Chef-Boutonne, France
****
I.O.T.A. - Islands Soon On The Air
****
110SD/AS004 Cyprus 10/09/02 16/09/02
Sergey, P.O.Box 22, 394077 Voronezh, Russia

166FAT/NA105 Saint Maarten Isl. 10/10/02 17/10/02 Laurent, Po.Box 63,
56854 Caudan-cdx, France

168RS/0 Mauritius Island 12/09/02 19/09/02 Mauro, P.O. Box 7045, 16148
Genova-GE, Italy

168SD/AF049 Mauritius Island 11/09/02 17/09/02 Pepe, P.O.Box 105, 11510
Porto Real, Spain

173RS/AF016 Reunion Island 13/09/02 19/09/02 Cedric, P.O.Box 2, 12120
Cassagnnes Bghes, France

238SD/AS133 Koh Poah Island 10/09/02 25/09/02 Xavier, P.O.Box 36, 3460
Bekkevoort, Belgium

25SD/AS008 Oshima Island soon
Toshi, P.O.Box 51, 654-8791 Suma Kobe, Japan

25SD/AS017 Okinawa Island soon
Toshi, P.O.Box 51, 654-8791 Suma Kobe, Japan
****
Holiday - Stations
****
117/161IR999 Egypt 01/04/02 31/12/02
Marek, P.O.Box 6, 22-104 Chelm-5, Poland

173/14SD576 Reunion Island 07/09/02 25/09/02 Pepe, P.O.Box 105, 11510
Porto Real, Spain
****

6023. Re: Ham Misbehavior - An example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 17:58:50 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3801-3D6FB25A-57@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 135052

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Twisteieboy wrote:
From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
"Scott (Unit 69)" wrote:
So you'll just use your modified ham rig to badger CB'ers?
My ham rig is not modified and I don't use it on cb.<

Correct me if I'm wrong, but yuo have said you don't even own a cb. Then how do you know what channel 19 is like...as you DID comment on the lingo and language. Memory? (Chuckle)

>OK twistieboy you are not wrong, I don't own a cb, but my Collins 75a3 receiver tunes 11 meters because when it was manufactured 11 meters was a ham band, so I can tune the cb band. Also have you ever heard of a general coverage receiver? Memory? (chuckle) <

Tucked away for future perjury proof <g>! Of course I have heard of a general coverage receiver. You oughtta upgrade with the technology that's out there..there is interesting stuff going on far above and below what your Collins can give you.

6024. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 18:02:31 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3802-3D6FB337-12@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 135051

"JJ" wrote:
But many more stay happy to no longer be a part of the slum cb crowd.
-
Granted. And even others stay at entry level and become part of the problematic hammies. These have come to be known far and wide across the internet what a dearly departed email buddy used to refer to as "Lifers."

6025. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 18:03:43 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3801-3D6FB37F-58@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 135050

From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
Richard Cranium wrote:
That isn't what I said. I said I've been listening and haven't yet heard
any. Don't you have any reading comprehension? Oh, that's right; you
like to twist things to suit yourself. You poor little man.
-
>Then as I have said before, you should get a receiver that works on 10
metets. <

Then you should update that Collins.

6026. Re: 10 meter r.r.c.b. frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 18:11:09 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9966-3D6FB53D-36@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 135059

>Yes, Twist...that's exactly how I meant it. You are correct though.
"Technically," 11-meters IS a slightly better DX band than 10. However,
the difference is almost imperceptible and thus negligable. Take it
easy. BTW, good points re. my FCC rant.
73 de Bert
WA2SI<

Real close on DX capabilities........if only more hammies took advantage
of what the band has to offer....

6027. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 18:12:25 UTC
From: twistedhed@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9966-3D6FB589-37@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net>
Source record: 135058

From: briangriffey@worldnet.att.net (Brian Griffey)
I got news for you... when the FCC sends you "the letter"... they
already
have all the evidence they need for a conviction.
-
That's pretty much it......

6028. Re: Linux is like a breath of fresh air to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 18:24:17 UTC
From: Nucleon <nucleon99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.08.29.19.02.19.853370.3471@yahoo.com>
Source record: 135054
Cross-posted to: comp.os.linux.advocacy,alt.os.windows-xp,alt.os.linux.redhat,alt.locksmithing,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 29 Aug 2002 06:39:41 -0500, Adolf Torvalds wrote:

> On Thu, 29 Aug 2002 07:30:51 -0400, in comp.os.linux.advocacy,
> (flatfish+++@mariana.trench) wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 29 Aug 2002 11:24:02 GMT, Linonut <linonut@bone.com> wrote:
>>
>>>A very nicely done and subtle piece of anti-Linux posting you've got
>>>here, "Todd".
>>>
>>>By the way, what do alt.locksmithing and rec.radio.cb have to do with
>>>this topic?
>>
>>Good question
>
> It's because Windows 'locks' you in.

Not to mention locks up. And don't forget it's broadcasting your
personal data, preferences, etc. Cute.

--
Nucleon, RLU #278930, <http://counter.li.org/>

Must...control...fist...of...death!
-- Alice, Scott Adams

6029. Re: Ham Misbehavior - An example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 18:37:11 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6F9C11.C222224A@mailcity.net>
Source record: 135055

Twistieboy wrote:
>
> From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
> Twisteieboy wrote:
> From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
> "Scott (Unit 69)" wrote:
> So you'll just use your modified ham rig to badger CB'ers?
> My ham rig is not modified and I don't use it on cb.<
>
> Correct me if I'm wrong, but yuo have said you don't even own a cb. Then
> how do you know what channel 19 is like...as you DID comment on the
> lingo and language. Memory? (Chuckle)
>
> >OK twistieboy you are not wrong, I don't own a cb, but my Collins 75a3
> receiver tunes 11 meters because when it was manufactured 11 meters was
> a ham band, so I can tune the cb band. Also have you ever heard of a
> general coverage receiver? Memory? (chuckle) <
>
> Tucked away for future perjury proof <g>! Of course I have heard of a
> general coverage receiver. You oughtta upgrade with the technology
> that's out there..there is interesting stuff going on far above and
> below what your Collins can give you.

Tuck all you want. Perjury proof of what? You don't believe I have
a 75A-3?
So why do I need to upgrade to a lot of push buttons and fancy
features? The Collins equipment give me everything I need. What
more do you need to talk with someone on the ham bands. Does a
$3000 Kenwood sound any better than the Collins? Can it make any
better contacts that the Collins? Is it any more reliable than the
Collins?

6030. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 18:39:12 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6F9C8B.4DAABA31@mailcity.net>
Source record: 135056

Twistedhed wrote:
>
> From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
> Richard Cranium wrote:
> That isn't what I said. I said I've been listening and haven't yet heard
> any. Don't you have any reading comprehension? Oh, that's right; you
> like to twist things to suit yourself. You poor little man.
> -
> >Then as I have said before, you should get a receiver that works on 10
> metets. <
>
> Then you should update that Collins.

Why? It hears perfectly well on 10 meters.

6031. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 18:44:52 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208301044.39ddec69@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135057

"IllegalCbersSuck" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:<xvyb9.294492$2p2.12093925@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0208291420.9811587@posting.google.com...
>
> >
> > Some people wouldn't have a life if they couldn't make @$$e$ of
> > themselves in a newsgroup, Scott. Don't let it bother you.
>
> I see you talk from first hand experiance Dick head, you sure make a horses
> ass out of yourself when you make foolish statements then can't back them
> up. So who's the troll ?

You are, Georgie-girl! You and Aanal Aaron.

6032. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 18:48:17 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208301048.4c911376@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135060

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208291203.33a0570c@posting.google.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0208291013.4bb71a42@posting.google.com...
> > I've also been listening to 28.085 for a while now, and I haven't
> > heard anything that sounds remotely like a CBer or even a
> > trucker. I haven't heard anything at all
>
> Yet we have.....

Who's "we", Aanal Aaron? Playing pocket pool again? What do you use
for a receiver and antenna? You've never bothered to answer that.

> > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates
> >that a driver of the above vehicle licensed to your company
> >was operating unlicensed radio transmitting equipment
> >on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South Carolina, on June
> >5, 2002.

One specific, isolated case. Whoopie!

> Gosh, who should I believe?.....a guy named "Richard Cranium" that
> says there are no CBers on 10 meters......or an FCC report?
> Hmmmm......
>
> -A

I certainly wouldn't believe YOU. You encouraged a guy to get his new
amplifier modified for CB. You claimed ALL 10-meter radios were
somehow "illegal". You didn't know that amateurs are exempt from
equipment type-acceptance requirements. You don't seem to know much of
anything, in fact.

All I said was that *I* hadn't heard anything there yet.

6033. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 18:52:28 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208301052.7207892@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135061

Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D6F622B.49BE@worldlynx.net>...
> Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
> >
> > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:c5ecbb69.0208291013.4bb71a42@posting.google.com...
> > > I've also been listening to 28.085 for a while now, and I haven't
> > > heard anything that sounds remotely like a CBer or even a
> > > trucker. I haven't heard anything at all
> >
> > Yet we have.....
> >
> > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates
> > >that a driver of the above vehicle licensed to your company
> > >was operating unlicensed radio transmitting equipment
> > >on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South Carolina, on June
> > >5, 2002.
> >
> > Gosh, who should I believe?.....a guy named "Richard Cranium" that
> > says there are no CBers on 10 meters......or an FCC report?
> > Hmmmm......
> >
>
> That's ok. I see the pattern of denial. There are no bootleggers on 10
> meters, a 4 watt signal can peg every meter in the country, there are
> more "bad" hams then "bad" CBers, amplifiers do nothing to add to the
> hetrodyne noise when the skip rolls in, most CBers are running legally,
> and of course, that coax length actually matters.
>
> The myths and "science" of the CB band. You might think this is an
> alternate reality. I guess for some it really is......
>
> Dave
> "Sandbagger"

And you don't see Aanal Aaron's "pattern of denial"?

Nobody said there "are no bootleggers on 10 meters", except YOU; I
said I haven't heard any yet. Nobody said "a 4 watt signal...", but
it's quite possible when propogation is rolling. And nobody said there
were MORE "bad" hams; in fact, I claim it is more-or-less
proportional.

I guess when you have no case you just have to make things up. Yup, I
see the pattern of denial, all right! Talk about shooting yourself in

the foot!!

It's obviously "reality" for Aanal Aaron, JJ, and Georgie-girl. And apparently for you as well.

6034. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 18:56:27 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208301056.1adb2fb9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135062

"BecauseUAsked4It" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:<g4rb9.162191$Aw4.6776391@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "A.Melon" <juicy@melontraffickers.com> wrote in message
> news:4fe01c100906f07aa741759cec5ea5c4@melontraffickers.com...
> > I happened to just drop by this group about a week or so
> > ago and cant beleive what a bunch of no life losers there
> > are here claiming to be hams or are hams...I have brought
> > this group to the attention of quite a few of "old timers
> > "I know and it is being read for entertainment value.....
> > Yes yet again I post anon because i dont want your
> > useless dribble in any of my personal mail accounts.The
> > validity of my statements speak for themselves -.-
> > Mike O Farad
>
> Well don't give us your real e-mail address just your so called call sign.
> you post anon because your a troll and afraid of exposing who you are
> typical CB Troll at work.

Yeh; just like YOU, hey Georgie-girl? Pot=kettle! HAR de HAR!!

6035. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 19:05:04 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208301105.3ad078a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135063

"BecauseUAsked4It" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:<zsub9.164385$Aw4.6911503@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0208291013.4bb71a42@posting.google.com...
> > Bovine excrement yourself. You are (again) talking about a tiny
> > minority of all CBer's. I do listen to CB. I've also been listening to
> > 28.085 for a while now, and I haven't heard anything that sounds
> > remotely like a CBer or even a trucker. I haven't heard anything at
> > all, in fact, because the so-called "knowledgable" amateurs KNOW 10
> > meters is dead, while the so-called "criminal" CBers continue to use
> > 11 meters without much problem.
> >
> > Funny how that works, isn't it? There's about 800 kHz for 30 to 40
> > million CBer's to use, while amateurs have lots of unused spectrum
> > from Top Band to beyond 1.2 GHz, and all Georgie-girl can do is whine
> > and make up stories about how ALL CBers are "illegal". You must be a
> > mental basket case. Correction: You ARE a mental basket case. Please
> > seek help. Quickly!
>
>
> The stories of more than 10% of this countrys population are Cbers is truly
> hilarious. You are the one crying listen to yourself.

Crying? Not at all. I'm stating. YOU are the crybaby whiner here,
Georgie-girl.

> Who cares what is used or not do you use every square inch of your property?
> if not someone else should be able to use it.
> I can make a blanket statement just like you can but I will guarantee you
> that there are more amp users on CB than there is not everyone has an amp,
> and there are many many illegal radios in use.

So, with that admission, how can anyone believe your crap that ALL
CBers are "criminals"? You're talking out both ends now.

Since Cb has no formal
> organization funny with over 30-40 million users LOL Hams have what 600,000
> licensed ops and have theArrl you would think with a roster full of 30 - 40
> million users they would have some formal grass roots club. Oh well BTW what
> was that call sign again are you just talkin shit again?

And the ARRL represents what, about 25% of all licensed amateurs in
the USA. They speak for themselves, not you or any other hams.

> > Making a broad generalization from a few specific anecdotal instances
> > does not logic make. But since when do we expect anything logical from

> > Georgie-girl anyhow?

What? No witty and totally stupid retort, Georgie-girl?

> > BTW, why aren't you actually USING one of those amateur bands?

I ask again- Why aren't you actually USING one of the precious ham bands instead of making absurd posts in a CB newsgroup? Or have you been kicked out of the "fraternity"? If you used 10 meters, you might run one of those truckers out, but you'd rather whine and whine and whine. Poor little boy; my heart is breaking for you!

6036. Re: Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 19:05:24 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@icok.net>
Message-ID: <3D6FC1E6.226F11C1@icok.net>
Source record: 135064

Brian,
That's interesting. Seems to me that the required circuitry
for producing the 'multiplexed signal' would have to be in the
radio. So using your 'COR' system, which is incorrectly named
any way, means another set of hands messing around in your radio
to install it.
Then, you would need additional circuitry in the amplifier to
decode this 'multiplexed signal'. I don't know about anyone else,
but that seems a bit too complicated and expensive to replace a
simple piece of wire between radio and amplifier. And what's the
big deal about one more wire between pieces of equipment? It's
done
all the time, no 'biggy', and has the benefit of being cheap and
simple.
'Doc

6037. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 19:08:27 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208301108.1a78283f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135065

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D6E6033.E6E290D8@mailcity.net>...
> Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> >
> > Bovine excrement yourself. You are (again) talking about a tiny
> > minority of all CBer's. I do listen to CB. I've also been listening to
> > 28.085 for a while now, and I haven't heard anything that sounds
> > remotely like a CBer or even a trucker.
>
> You need to get a radio that has a receiver that works. I am
> listening to truckers on 28.085 right now.

Goodie for you. I've been listening and still haven't heard a thing.
Not even a ham. Yet I can hear folks on CB. Wonder why that is..?

6038. Buyer beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 19:10:58 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1030735004.352150@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 135067

From: "Buyer Beware" <BuyerBeware@hotmail.com>
Subject: Murray pay Taxes?
Date: Friday, August 30, 2002 10:13 AM

Does Murray Neece (K5MDM) pay federal taxes on his business?

Jackson M. Neece, K5MDM
2543 E 11th St
Odessa, TX 79761

6039. buyer beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 19:12:01 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1030735067.580588@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 135068

From: "Buyer Beware" <BuyerBeware@hotmail.com>
Subject: Murray pay Taxes?
Date: Friday, August 30, 2002 10:13 AM

Does Murray Neece (K5MDM) pay federal taxes on his business?

Jackson M. Neece, K5MDM
2543 E 11th St
Odessa, TX 79761

6040. buyer beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 19:13:00 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1030735126.439277@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 135069

From: "Buyer Beware" <BuyerBeware@hotmail.com>
Subject: Keith A. Vanderlinden, K0KAV no license
Date: Thursday, August 29, 2002 1:43 PM

Here is another dealer with no retail license. You can check the Lincoln, NE city records...

Keith A. Vanderlinden, K0KAV
3915 S 33rd St
Lincoln, NE 68506-3808
USA

6041. Re: 11 meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 19:13:17 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umvh00ampapi78@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 135066

What is funny, Mark, is that for the price of that all mode xceiver and amp, you could have a reasonable transceiver with access from 160 to at least 10 and likely above. Oh, and you won't need that kind of power for worldwide communications either. Funny, as the sunspots sink, one is left with sporadic e propogation once you're above 21 MHz (and 15 gets real spotty too when you start getting near the bottom). A lot of time, effort, and money spent just to avoid a test that 8 year olds have passed. Hmmmm ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

Mark Pearsal <radiohead11m@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020829153844.29178.00004280@mb-fk.aol.com...
> >General coverage all mode xceiver: $300 Pair of 3-500z amp:
> >$350 Dipole antenna: $1.29
> >Worldwide communications on 26-28 mhz:Priceless.....IDX
>
> You left one out. FCC Notice of Apparent Liability: $11,000
>
> "Ouch!" (Especially if you have $4,500 in your savings account.)
>
> Mark

6042. Re: Foot warmer question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 19:19:16 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <UyPb9.43344$Ke2.3033783@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 135070

You're are correct that any way you slice it... a mod has to be done to the
radio.
However, with my multiplex scheme, the mod is very simple and it does
eliminate the need to run an additional wire to from the radio to the amp.

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"'Doc" <w5lz@icok.net> wrote in message news:3D6FC1E6.226F11C1@icok.net...
>
>
> Brian,
> That's interesting. Seems to me that the required circuitry
> for producing the 'multiplexed signal' would have to be in the
> radio. So using your 'COR' system, which is incorrectly named
> any way, means another set of hands messing around in your radio
> to install it.
> Then, you would need additional circuitry in the amplifier to
> decode this 'multiplexed signal'. I don't know about anyone else,
> but that seems a bit too complicated and expensive to replace a
> simple piece of wire between radio and amplifier. And what's the
> big deal about one more wire between pieces of equipment? It's
> done
> all the time, no 'biggy', and has the benefit of being cheap and
> simple.
> 'Doc

6043. Re: Neat installation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 19:21:09 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <umvhgsds1rnma6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 135072

You got that one right.... It's a poorly written and poorly interpreted law that turns any scanner listener into a criminal... And believe me, you get treated as one well. No collecting $200, STRAIGHT to jail, no questions asked in most parts of the state...

PH

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20020829180050.127$VT@newsreader.com...
> Forgot to add..Some states might have laws against a scanner in your truck.
> I'm pretty sure Michigan does.
>
> --

6044. Re: Farewell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 19:22:09 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <umvhgkb4vhiq9d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 135071

No doubt - amateur radio and cb radio have lost a bit of usefulness with the cellphone now. I finally started to realize how inexpensive the cellphone has become when a friend told me (about 2 years ago) he was paying $59.95 per month for UNLIMITED airtime on his cellphone. Almost makes ya want to disconnect the hardwired telephone service (even without my DSL, I'd be paying around $35.00 a month for local service).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

Scott (Unit 69) <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message news:3D6EF59C.FFAD7AF3@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> > A few
> > button presses and I can use the autopatch. There is no interference.
> >
>
>
> I've got nationwide service on my cellphone. Anywhere in the US is
> dialed with 10 digits anywhere I am. It's just like being local.
>
>
> ----------
>
> "The well-constructed troll is a post that induces lots of newbies and flamers to
> make themselves look even more clueless than they already do, while subtly
> conveying to the more savvy and experienced that it is in fact a deliberate troll.
>
> If you don't fall for the joke, you get to be in on it."
>
> from: http://www.altairiv.demon.co.uk/troll/trollfaq.html

6045. Re: New to CB'ing and need some help please ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 19:25:41 UTC
From: ld751@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d12c96e.0208301125.4c6b1d41@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135073

LinuxOP <linuxop@cogeco.ca> wrote in message news:<3D6F0EC6.E1837F43@cogeco.ca>...
> Dear CB Radio fans, I'm new to the field of CB radios just having today
> purchased two used Cobra 38 wxst. They both run very well and I've
> already some interesting conversations going on the airwaves. Now, my
> question is: When I press the talk button, I can hear myself but I don't
> get any confirmaiton from others, it's almost like they don't hear me.
> Am I doing somehting wrong? I can hear them fine, once I apply the
> proper DSS mode.
>
> Thanks for the help,
>
> LinuxOP

Simple - ask for a radio check until someone gets back to you. This is
best done on channel 19 during the day, unless you've got a good group
of locals around at night on another channel.

6046. Re: buyer beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 19:28:23 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020830152823.22837.00000003@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 135074

>From: "crossfire" noone@home.com
>Here is another dealer with no retail >license. You can check the Lincoln, NE
>city records...
Kinda walking a fine line on Slander there aren't you Crossfire. What if he is using a fictitious name? Did you search by address? And why the hell bother us? Is this the TAX CHEATS NG or NO LICENSE NG? Take your mudslinging else, DORK!

"Got RF?"

6047. Re: SKIP WAS HOPPING WEDNESDAY--suck on that !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 19:29:51 UTC
From: ld751@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d12c96e.0208301129.7023cb89@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135075

ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote in message
news:<20020830012525.13367.00000063@mb-cn.aol.com>...
> Oh yeah....big time DX Wednesday! West coast all the way.
> Is that more than 150 miles away???? Nah !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> Keep it up guys......skip is coming back up.......
> 333 Springfield Missouri...........nah...not 150...probably 149!!!!!!
>
>
> "Got RF?"

You consider getting the west coast from Missouri "DX"? The station I heard in West Malaysia today from the mobile is DX. Stations from anywhere in the US, Canada, Mexico or Carribean are not considered DX to me. When the cycle is in effect, contacts to Europe are commonplace from here on the east coast. From Missouri you should be able to get some south pacific when conditions are right. South America is pretty common from the east coast as well. Try listening in the freeband.. you'll hear a lot of DXing..

6048. Re: Take that illegal cbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 19:30:26 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <umvi29sdclckce@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 135076

Doesn't sound like a CBer to me... Sounds like a mental case with something against his local HAM operators... The fool shouldn't have been jamming the HAM bands to start with....

"True" CBers do not degrade themselves to such juvenile things. If a person does, then he is not really a CBer, but is in fact just another co-clue idiot who went out and bought a radio

PH

"skuld-chan" <cherry-chan@attbi.com> wrote in message news:R7Nb9.239446$983.522150@rwcrnsc53...
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/08/29/100/?nc=1
>
> I keep hearing there's more to come too :)
>
> sc
>
>

6049. Re: SKIP WAS HOPPING WEDNESDAY--suck on that !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 19:35:22 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020830153522.22837.00000004@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 135077

>From: Earl earlebyrd@sun.up
>Not to worry. It's 155 *point* 3 miles. >You've got another 5.3 til
>you'll need that long tape measure.
Now that I look you're right. And according to my tape measure it is
155.29999999
miles........Wishhhhewwww That's a load off my mind. Didn't want any
nastygrams from the likes of Voobie,Georgiepooh or MinyMe! DX rocks!

"Got RF?"

6050. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 19:49:04 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208301149.2dc79dcc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135078

```
Richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D6F622B.49BE@worldlynx.net>...
>> Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
>> >
>> > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> > news:c5ecbb69.0208291013.4bb71a42@posting.google.com...
>> > > I've also been listening to 28.085 for a while now, and I
haven't
>> > > heard anything that sounds remotely like a CBer or even a
>> > > trucker. I haven't heard anything at all
>> >
>> > Yet we have.....
>> >
>> > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates
>> > >that a driver of the above vehicle licensed to your company
>> > >was operating unlicensed radio transmitting equipment
>> > >on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South Carolina, on June
>> > >5, 2002.
>> >
>> > Gosh, who should I believe?.....a guy named "Richard Cranium"
that
>> > says there are no CBers on 10 meters......or an FCC report?
>> > Hmmmm......
>> >
>>
>> That's ok. I see the pattern of denial. There are no bootleggers on
10
>> meters, a 4 watt signal can peg every meter in the country, there
are
>> more "bad" hams then "bad" CBers, amplifiers do nothing to add to
the
>> hetrodyne noise when the skip rolls in, most CBers are running
legally,
>> and of course, that coax length actually matters.
>>
>> The myths and "science" of the CB band. You might think this is an
>> alternate reality. I guess for some it really is......
>>
>> Dave
>> "Sandbagger"
>
>And you don't see Aanal Aaron's "pattern of denial"?
>
```

>Nobody said there "are no bootleggers on 10 meters", except YOU; I
>said I haven't heard any yet.

So you accept that there ARE bootleggers on 10 meters. And lots of people ARE hearing bootleggers on 10 meters. Except you. Great! What a graceful concession from you, I must say. I wish all our conversation was this civil.

> Nobody said "a 4 watt signal...", but
>it's quite possible when propogation is rolling. And nobody said
there
>were MORE "bad" hams; in fact, I claim it is more-or-less
>proportional.

You sure got your hands full lately, Richard. You've got Twistedhed's gaffes to explain, plus your own.

>I guess when you have no case you just have to make things up.

Like making up "inaccuracies" and then "correcting" them? LOL.

> Yup, I
>see the pattern of denial, all right! Talk about shooting yourself in
>the foot!!
>
>It's obviously "reality" for Aanal Aaron, JJ, and Georgie-girl. And
>apparently for you as well.

This is reality:

>> > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates
>> > >that a driver of the above vehicle licensed to your company
>> > >was operating unlicensed radio transmitting equipment
>> > >on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South Carolina, on June
>> > >5, 2002.

This ain't:

>I've been listening to the low end of 10 meters the last few days
>and I haven't heard anything remotely sounding like an out-of-band
>CBer.

A bit of honest advice. Your laughable attempts to discredit people and third-grade schoolyard taunts make you sound really desperate and panicked. You might want to try transitioning to a more factual style if you want your defense of "illegal CB" to be taken seriously. That is, unless you're just trolling for trolling's sake.....

-Aaron-

6051. Re: randy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 19:57:48 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <07Qb9.43002$On.2032381@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:JgCb9.166391$Yd.7346292@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:3940af03454e2adbb3d1ab31d29da8da@xganon.com...
> > From: Randy (randy28@swbell.net)
> > Subject: Separating Vicodin
> > Newsgroups: alt.drugs.hard
> > View this article only
> > Date: 2001-07-25 16:49:02 PST
> >
> > How do you go about separating the Tylenol from the hydrocodone in
> Vicodin?

>
> Now then Georgie-girl, what is your point?

Sorry Randy, thats not my handi work but you did ask about this in another post and I guess they took you up on your offer. If I have something to say to you you can rest assured you will know I said it see I always post from comcast.

6052. Re: Farewell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 20:01:54 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <SaQb9.43076$On.2036152@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135080

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
news:20020830114323.15125.00000168@mb-fy.aol.com...

> The VERY SAME QUESTION could be asked of you! What have you contributed
other
> than to be a condesending whiney douche?
> Train

I never said I was or wasn't a troll but will you or DickHead admit it?
Better than being a smacked ass like you Train even the Keyclowns don't like
you.

6053. Re: buyer beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 20:14:11 UTC
From: tnom@mucks.net
Message-ID: <h5kvmu8v4hi0inu767cvuhmd699g5c9c88@4ax.com>
Source record: 135081

On Fri, 30 Aug 2002 14:12:01 -0500, "crossfire" <noone@home.com>
wrote:

>
>From: "Buyer Beware" <BuyerBeware@hotmail.com>
>Subject: Murray pay Taxes?
>Date: Friday, August 30, 2002 10:13 AM
>
>Does Murray Neece (K5MDM) pay federal taxes on his business?
>
>Jackson M. Neece, K5MDM
>2543 E 11th St
>Odessa, TX 79761
>
>
Nothing to beware of from Murray Neece. He has an A+ rating as far
as representing accurately his items for sale, packaging those items,
and quickly following through with delivery.

6054. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 20:23:30 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208301223.947e687@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135082

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208300446.72f577f1@posting.google.com>...
> And once again, somebody tries to divert attention so Aanal Aaron
> won't be on the spot. The question was asked of him: "Do you even have
> a receiver?" I'm forced to believe the answer is no.

The receiver isn't the issue, this is a schoolyard game: you want to
ask me questions while refusing to answer questions asked of you. Two
kids on a playground shouting "I asked you FIRST!" and "NO! I asked
YOU first" - ad nauseum. After a few rounds, they start calling each
other names. Then they start shoving, a teacher breaks it up, parents
are called, etc.

OK, let's play!....

What's your callsign? I asked YOU first :)

-Aaron-

6055. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 20:27:52 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <czQb9.43612$On.2055965@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135083

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208301105.3ad078a@posting.google.com...
> > The stories of more than 10% of this countrys population are Cbers is
truly
> > hilarious. You are the one crying listen to yourself.
>
> Crying? Not at all. I'm stating. YOU are the crybaby whiner here,
> Georgie-girl.

Your not stating anything but BS where are the 30-40 million Cbers? Where
are they?

>
> > Who cares what is used or not do you use every square inch of your
property?
> > if not someone else should be able to use it.
> > I can make a blanket statement just like you can but I will guarantee
you
> > that there are more amp users on CB than there is not everyone has an
amp,
> > and there are many many illegal radios in use.
>
> So, with that admission, how can anyone believe your crap that ALL
> CBers are "criminals"? You're talking out both ends now.

Not at all i can state an opinion just like you can Dick Head and be just as
right as you think you are, Where did I ever say all Cbers are criminals?
Can you find that and post it otherwise again you will show your true troll
colors. Nothing you say is to be believed

> Since Cb has no formal
> > organization funny with over 30-40 million users LOL Hams have what
600,000
> > licensed ops and have theArrl you would think with a roster full of 30 -
40
> > million users they would have some formal grass roots club. Oh well BTW
what
> > was that call sign again are you just talkin shit again?
>
> And the ARRL represents what, about 25% of all licensed amateurs in
> the USA. They speak for themselves, not you or any other hams.

If a ham wishes to join the ARRL's cause they can and do, so we have
someone fighting for amateurs rights, and what do Cbers have Davemade and
Texas Star LOL.

> I ask again- Why aren't you actually USING one of the precious ham
> bands instead of making absurd posts in a CB newsgroup?

Who said I am not using the ham bands? If assholes like you would stop making absurd posts perhaps my post count would also go down. When do you find time yo use the radio? If you would read the previous posts I use the ham bands daily I talk and listen while I sit here and type I know you can't do 2 things at once with your handicapp but most of us can and do Yeah I am a real ham with a real shack with real Ham radios, a computer, a Big screen tv, scanner, dvd entertainment center all with in a remotes reach.

> Or have you been kicked out of the "fraternity"? If you used 10 meters,
you might
> run one of those truckers out, but you'd rather whine and whine and
> whine. Poor little boy; my heart is breaking for you!

I use 10 meters almost daily how about you? Oh that's right your not a ham. You also must have me mistaken for someone else I don't cry and have never cried about truckers on 10 meters you had better drop back and punt because you are now sounding like twisty and making shit up as you go. So far in this post you have lied about 4 times and made countless false accusations. I still am waiting for your callsgin , 30-40 million Cbers proof , where I cried about truckers, and lets see I know there is more ... Oh well I don't ever expect to get any real answers from you anyhow you'll just duck em like usual.

6056. Re: George buttfucks Randygirl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 20:37:08 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <UHQb9.186543$Aw4.7877688@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135084

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20020830085800.789$yf@newsreader.com...

> Georgie girl also has fetish for blowing midgets. YIKES!!!

Really why do you say that?

6057. Re: buyer beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 20:37:28 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1030740195.229049@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 135086

This is a post made by someone called buyer beware , I've known Murry many years and have never had a problem with Him
<tnom@mucks.net> wrote in message news:h5kvmu8v4hi0inu767cvuhmd699g5c9c88@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 30 Aug 2002 14:12:01 -0500, "crossfire" <noone@home.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >From: "Buyer Beware" <BuyerBeware@hotmail.com>
> >Subject: Murray pay Taxes?
> >Date: Friday, August 30, 2002 10:13 AM
> >
> >Does Murray Neece (K5MDM) pay federal taxes on his business?
> >
> >Jackson M. Neece, K5MDM
> >2543 E 11th St
> >Odessa, TX 79761
> >
> >
> Nothing to beware of from Murray Neece. He has an A+ rating as far
> as representing accurately his items for sale, packaging those items,
> and quickly following through with delivery.
>

6058. BS BS BS BS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 20:40:06 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1030740354.25659@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 135087

From: "crossfire" <noone@home.com>
Subject: Re: Murray pay Taxes?
Date: Friday, August 30, 2002 2:09 PM

Not only can't anyone answer that for You , But it's none of God Damn Business .
Let's get clear on the fact that every time I see Your faggot ass up here trying to post crap in an effort to start some shit with People that You don't like because Your jealous of them making a few square deals and You seem to act like Their depriving You of making some sort of deal , I am going to slam You like You need to be slammed .
So carry Your nosey ass back to the cb news group where You came from and break bread with someone that will listen to Your bull shit .
I'll also tell You this , I don't mind You posting shit about Me because I am going to attack You personally without pulling any stops . now get Your test tube ass to stepping You incest bread Son of a Bitch
"Paul A. Thomas" <taxman@negia.net> wrote in message news:1030726086.32548@alpha.negia.net...
>
> "Buyer Beware" <BuyerBeware@hotmail.com> wrote
> > Does Murray Neece (K5MDM) pay federal taxes on his business?
> >
> > Jackson M. Neece, K5MDM
> > 2543 E 11th St
> > Odessa, TX 79761
>
>
>
> No one here can tell you that.
>
>
> --
> Paul A. Thomas, CPA
> Athens, Georgia
> taxman@negia.net
>
>
>
>

6059. bull s#@$

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 20:42:12 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1030740480.162418@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 135089

This Guy needs His ass kicked
From: "Buyer Beware" <BuyerBeware@hotmail.com>
Subject: K5MDM : BUYER BEWARE
Date: Thursday, August 29, 2002 12:41 PM

Look at how this guy rants and raves at things he does not like,. Do you want to buy from someone like this? If you think he is giving such a great deal I suggest you look at some honorable stare registered retail dealers. Their used prices as good or better than Murray (a peddler, not a dealer) and they will give you guarantees. See if they swear and bash others..if they do, don't deal with them either. Buying from people who swear and bash other only enables their outrageous behavior.

If you fell I am bashing Murray, you are correct. But read the tread from the beginning and you see Murray started the bashing. My original post did not attack nor bash anyone until these people (AC5TX and K5MDM) attacked me and are not intelligent enough to discuss a subject with out insulting the poster. So Murray and John...keep you blow job and other insulting remarks coming. You only point out the good hams should boycott your behavior. It is unbecoming to the hobby.

If you think I am a hypocrite...I am not selling you anything, they are. Do you really trust them?

6060. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 20:42:48 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <bNQb9.43966$On.2066099@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135085

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > Where do you get your numbers that there are 30 -40 million Cbers. HUH
> > please provide at least some proof of your delusions. LOL that is over
10 %
> > of the population of this country that are Cbers in your words. LOL Now
that
> > is some funny shit
>
> Can you disprove it Georgie-girl? It is an approximation, of course.
> Given the number CB antennas I see on houses and other buildings, I'd
> say it's a pretty fair estimate.

Yes I can disprove it just as you approved it there are less than 2 million cbers in the USA. And my opinion juts as yours is based on reality 30-40 million people is over 15% of the population, No where on gods green earth are there that many people using CB's It is archaeic it went out in the 70's, when people hear someone say Hey I talk on the Cb, they look at them like they have a 3rd eye. So your saying in your part of NY there are 15 out of 100 who talk on the cb.

> I'm still laughing about the "anti-key clowns"; sound like you're all
> charter members of No Code International!! HAR de HAR!

Hey trust me were laughing at some of the assinine things you say btw I have been an Extra Class Ham for many years.
When there was a 20 wpm requirement Capeesh Dick Head.

6061. BULL S$#@

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 20:43:54 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1030740581.890449@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 135091

From: "crossfire" <noone@home.com>
Subject: Re: Keith A. Vanderlinden, K0KAV no license
Date: Thursday, August 29, 2002 5:51 PM

Boy I'll tell You this shit is really getting boring .
Here We have an asshole that appears from nowhere and decides that selling radio's makes You a crook and in the process trying to slam everyone with a reply post . Then We have several People that feel as I do that are trying to make there position clear as far as dealing with these Guy's .
Mr. buyer beware I would like to know Who the fuck called you on board to make the accusations that You are making ? and what piece of gear did You buy that wasn't right ?.
Of all the postings I have seen so far regarding both of these Gentlemen , not one refers to getting ripped off with the exception of Your posting's .
I ask again Did You get ripped off by either one of these Guy's . Would You like to share Your story with Us ?
maybe You should stick to the fact that You are truly a medical marvel as it seems that Your Daddy had to have fucked Your Mother in the ass to make a worthless piece of shit like Yourself.
I'll tell You what , Why don't You go to rec.radio.cb as You would fit right in , But here You may slip and let Us know Who You are and then You just might get the fucking shit beat out of . You dickless Pussy
"Buyer Beware" <BuyerBeware@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:pXtb9.32171$082.1891294@news1.east.cox.net...
> Here is another dealer with no retail license. You can check the Lincoln, NE
> city records...
>
> Keith A. Vanderlinden, K0KAV
> 3915 S 33rd St
> Lincoln, NE 68506-3808
> USA
>
>
>

6062. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 20:46:54 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6FBA79.86D95FE6@mailcity.net>
Source record: 135088

Richard Craniumless wrote:

>
> Goodie for you. I've been listening and still haven't heard a thing.
> Not even a ham. Yet I can hear folks on CB. Wonder why that is..?

You tell me.

A. You don't know where the 10 meter band is.
B. Your receiver doesn't work on 10 meters.
B. You forgot to switch from you cb rig to the 10 meter rig.
C. You don't know how to connect an antenna.
D. You can't recognize truckers talking when you hear them.
E. All of the above.

6063. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 20:48:15 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <jSQb9.186681$Aw4.7885773@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135090

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > Again the alleged amateur is you you should take your own advise. What
is
> > the alleged callsign, it has to be a 2 since rochester is in 2 land.

> Where's Rochester, Georgie-girl? You don't know nearly as much as your
> ego leads you to believe you do.

****
You know exactly where Rochester is: Note your Ip address
traceroute 66.153.12.31
3 130.152.80.30 4.913 ms isi-1-lngw2-pos.ln.net [AS226] Los Nettos
origin AS
4 198.172.117.161 9.346 ms ge-2-3-0.a02.lsanca02.us.ra.verio.net
[AS2914] Verio
5 129.250.29.126 9.971 ms ge-6-2-0.r00.lsanca01.us.bb.verio.net
[AS2914] Verio
6 129.250.5.97 13.907 ms p16-5-0-0.r01.mlpsca01.us.bb.verio.net
[AS2914] Verio
7 129.250.4.93 14.180 ms p16-7-0-0.r00.mlpsca01.us.bb.verio.net
[AS2914] Verio
8 129.250.5.113 85.597 ms p16-5-0-0.r00.nwrknj01.us.bb.verio.net
[AS2914] Verio
9 129.250.2.148 93.092 ms p1-1-0-0.r01.rochny01.us.bb.verio.net
[AS2914] Verio
10 129.250.29.213 93.816 ms fa-1-0.a02.rochny01.us.ra.verio.net
[AS2914] Verio
11 157.238.104.66 100.674 ms d3-6-0.a02.rochny01.us.ce.verio.net
[AS2914] Verio
12 64.80.254.66 102.886 ms
bb-pnrocag-fastethernet2-0.rocny01.paetec.net [AS15270] PaeTec
Communications, Inc.
13 64.80.172.142 115.571 ms DNS error [AS15270] PaeTec Communications,
Inc.
14 64.80.9.80 102.415 ms tc-1.roc-pt.ny.localnet.com [AS15270]
PaeTec Communications, Inc.
15 *

6064. Re: Ham Misbehavior - An example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 21:05:15 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <f6Rb9.297641$m91.12125216@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135092

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> Pretty lame, Georgie-girl!

Yes you are Dick Head
--

"Sometimes being right means pissing people off."
--Colin Powell

6065. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 21:51:54 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akop8m$1kafpr$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 135093

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:anLb9.1515$3r.67674421@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...

> Frank, that was only "One" website of thousands, so
> a lot more than just a "couple hundred stations "

Most of the stations listed on that website are no longer active. They
are/were all shortwave pirates.

Tom

6066. Re: 10 meter r.r.c.b. frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 21:56:45 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akophn$1kn8md$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 135094

"Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20020830105845.17404.00000210@mb-bh.news.cs.com...
> >Could we make 28.085 the official r.r.cb CW frequency?
> >
> >Tom
>
> You're cuttin' out the Novices and Tech+'s, but hey...works for me. I
heard
> some AM chatter on that freq as well as 28.305 MHz yesterday. Bad news.
:-(
>
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI

Got some there today, but too weak to make out well. I could tell that one
guy has an echo mike.

Tom

6067. Re: 10 meter r.r.c.b. frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 21:59:07 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akopm5$1knh04$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 135095

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:10831-3D6F8DAF-25@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net...

-
If the call was forged on the air,, or bootlegged, how would you know if
it were a legit hammie or not? I've been witnesss to it.....hell,
hammies using other hammie call signs has been going on since it
began...surely if you are on the air you know this.

That's what qrz.com is for. Of course, if someone is pretending to be
someone else, then it would be hard to tell unless you knew them personally.

Tom

6068. Re: 10 meter r.r.c.b. frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 22:02:33 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akopsk$1khugd$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 135096

"Twistedhed" <twistedhed@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:9966-3D6FB53D-36@storefull-2317.public.lawson.webtv.net...

>
> Real close on DX capabilities........if only more hammies took advantage
> of what the band has to offer....
>

I love it when 10 is open... you can get 59 reports using just a few watts
of power. Lots of South American DX.

Tom

6069. Re: Take that illegal cbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 22:12:39 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020830181239.15711.00000112@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 134947

Old news come up with some new stuff.

6070. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 22:30:10 UTC
From: Kadaitcha Man <hahahahaha@fooq.com>
Message-ID: <akorlkoba0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 134948
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

```
"d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
news:bs7ks4g8.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
>
> > "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
> > news:1y8ilyh2.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> > > "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
> > >
> > > > "Oris" <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:f7a9c6ac.0208281720.44817f2f@posting.google.com...
> > > >
> > > > > I have a box on my network that runs Linux. It
> > > > > is about the most user unfriendly Operating system. I use it in a
Dell
> > > > > dimension 333. It serves as a router and a firewall for my
network.
> > > >
> > > > It's amazing what you can do with a box of junk and a few blank
CD's.
> > >
> > > Can't you create a funnier joke?
> >
> > You want me to create a new linux?
>
> I'm wondering if you're a programmer.

mov [bx+9],byte ptr 8
mov [bx+si],word ptr 67h
call near [bx]
jmp short 97h (8-bit relative)
mov ax,bx
mov al,97h
push byte ptr [5]
push bx
retf 6 (a FAR return, pop 6 bytes)

--
Kadaitcha Man
Moderator: alt.os.windows-xp
Moderator: alt.support.shyness
```

6071. Re: Randy Chapman, Crackhead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 22:32:00 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <AnSb9.142105$eK6.4286168@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134949
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:e1fffbb2ffc26e7cd61274b29ee2fae0@xganon.com...
> From: RandyC2800 (randyc2800@aol.com)
> Subject: Re: To Whom It May Concern;
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> Date: 2001-01-09 19:03:37 PST
>
> >Subject: To Whom It May Concern;
> >From: exportsrus@aol.com (EXPORTSRUS)
> >Date: 1/9/01 5:22 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20010109182206.13516.00001436@ng-md1.aol.com>
> >
> (snip)
>
> Hope things get better for you, and I sincerely hope that you get your
child
> the help that she needs.
>
> Randy Chapman
>
> ----------
>
> From: RandyC2800 (randyc2800@aol.com)
> Subject: Re: is small doses of cocaine any good?
> Newsgroups: alt.drugs.hard
> Date: 1998/03/24
>
>
> >I couldn't agree more and remember this is from people who have been
there
> >
> >
>
> Cocaine is nothing but BAD. The people who say they can control IT are
lying to
> themselves, or don't have the money to spend on it. Been there, done that,
got
> the t-shirt and the record to prove it. It started as a small amount,
maybe
> twice a month,circa1985. Then ecalated rapidly to an eighth of an ounce or
more
> a week. I realize this doesn't appear to be alot to people without jobs,
but to

> a person with a 8 to 5, safety sensitive job, it is alot of missed sleep.
At
> first, the sex was great, did it more ways than the law allows. But this
> rapidly faded into nothing. The major concern just becomes getting more of
the
> shit. When the supply of powder became harder to locate in this area,
crack was
> always available. So, this became the easiest way for me. Did that for
about 5
> years before being caught in a suviellance camera and getting arrested.
Got 4
> years defferred ajudication, meaning that if I don't get in trouble for
the
> time on probation, I will have no conviction on my record. Just recently
> finished that sucessfully. Actually, in Texas, you can get off probation
by
> doing all your community service(120 hours for me), and doing 1/3 of the
time,
> which I did. Cost of my fun, about $7500 for the lawyer and the probation
fees.
>
>
> You know, the bad part is that I still crave the shit. But, now I know the
> white monster is always lurking in the back of my mind, and I cannot
surrender
> to the call.
>
> Incidentally, I did lose one friend I grew up with, to suicide, directly
> related to cocaine. Also, a co-worker aqquired AIDS through the sharing
of
> needles while injecting the shit. That was in the early days of AIDS, when
> everyone thought they would never get it. He is DEAD now.
>
> In closing, the only real advice I have for anyone thinking of trying the
shit
> is.....PLEASE DON'T DO IT! DON'T DO IT FOR ME, THOSE THAT LOVE YOU, YOUR
WIFE,
> HUSBAND, GIRLFRIEND OR BOYFRIEND. IN SHORT, DON'T EVER TRY COKE. IT IS
NOTHING
> BUT A FELONIOUS WASTE OF MONEY.
>
> I can't make it any more simple than that.
>
>
> See Ya, Randy
>

And still, the point?

6072. Re: Ham Misbehavior - An example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 22:41:44 UTC
From: ld751@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d12c96e.0208301441.3e65376c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134951

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D6F876E.FF0D403E@mailcity.net>...
> OK twistieboy you are not wrong, I don't own a cb, but my Collins
> 75a3 receiver tunes 11 meters because when it was manufactured 11
> meters was a ham band, so I can tune the cb band. Also have you
> ever heard of a general coverage receiver? Memory? (chuckle)

Oh, please. I doubt that any ham that has HF privilages posts or even cares about this newsgroup. The hams on here either talk on the legal 40 or they freeband because they haven't upgraded, otherwise I doubt they'd have any interest in the CB newsgroup since ham radio offers so much more once you've gotten your general ticket. The only use a ham has for CB is channel 19 when they're out on the highway and they need to get traffic reports. This leaves you as either a ham that enjoys the legal 40 (but that's not likely because you are denying using a CB) or more likely, you freeband like many hams without HF privilages.

6073. Re: Buyer beware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 22:41:47 UTC
From: "tw��.�" <.tw>, <>, ATTBI�.org.com
Message-ID: <LwSb9.133555$aA.30782@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 134952

Welcome to PLUNKVILLE. Wha? You don't want to visit Plunkville? Well, it's not up to you. You posted
your "Buyer Beware" and "BS" crap too many times.

"crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
news:1030735004.352150@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net...
|
| From: "Buyer Beware" <BuyerBeware@hotmail.com>
| Subject: Murray pay Taxes?
| Date: Friday, August 30, 2002 10:13 AM
|
| Does Murray Neece (K5MDM) pay federal taxes on his business?
|
| Jackson M. Neece, K5MDM
| 2543 E 11th St
| Odessa, TX 79761
|
|
|
|

6074. Re: Take that illegal cbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 22:42:27 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <akos7e$1kii1d$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 134950

"skuld-chan" <cherry-chan@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:R7Nb9.239446$983.522150@rwcrnsc53...
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/08/29/100/?nc=1
>
> I keep hearing there's more to come too :)
>

Looks like he got what he deserved. You do the crime, you do the time.

Makes me wonder about cases like that... must have some kind of mental condition that makes them act like morons on the radio. I remember a case in England back around 1990 of a ham who constantly jammed up repeaters. After being repeatedly convicted & fined huge amounts, he still managed to find his way back to the airwaves to do the same stupid shit.

Tom

6075. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 22:44:02 UTC
From: Peter Köhlmann <Peter.Koehlmann@t-online.de>
Message-ID: <akosd6$8q8$04$1@news.t-online.com>
Source record: 134953
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

Kadaitcha Man wrote:

> "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
> news:bs7ks4g8.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
>> "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
>>
>> > "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
>> > news:1y8ilyh2.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
>> > > "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
>> > >
>> > > > "Oris" <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message
>> > > > news:f7a9c6ac.0208281720.44817f2f@posting.google.com...
>> > > >
>> > > > > I have a box on my network that runs Linux. It
>> > > > > is about the most user unfriendly Operating system. I use it in
>> > > > > a
> Dell
>> > > > > dimension 333. It serves as a router and a firewall for my
> network.
>> > > >
>> > > > It's amazing what you can do with a box of junk and a few blank
> CD's.
>> > >
>> > > Can't you create a funnier joke?
>> >
>> > You want me to create a new linux?
>>
>> I'm wondering if you're a programmer.
>
> mov [bx+9],byte ptr 8
> mov [bx+si],word ptr 67h
> call near [bx]
> jmp short 97h (8-bit relative)
> mov ax,bx
> mov al,97h
> push byte ptr [5]
> push bx
> retf 6 (a FAR return, pop 6 bytes)
>

Quite meaningless stuff. Why would you push 2 values just to discard them
immedeately after. Not to mention that you load AX in a rather convoluted
way just before. Even a compiler should generate better code, except one
written by you

This code you show is just garbage. Let us know when you have some real,
handmade code to show off

Peter
--
Like being presumed a thief and a liar before using a product?
If so, use M$ XP

6076. Re: BULL S$#@

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 22:47:54 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6FF623.CB84EEEF@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 134954

crossfire wrote:

>
> Boy I'll tell You this shit is really getting boring .

I couldn't have said it better myself.

"The well-constructed troll is a post that induces lots of newbies and flamers to
make themselves look even more clueless than they already do, while subtly
conveying to the more savvy and experienced that it is in fact a deliberate troll.

If you don't fall for the joke, you get to be in on it."

from: http://www.altairiv.demon.co.uk/troll/trollfaq.html

6077. Lifer Techs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 22:51:28 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D6FF6F9.6A39FCDD@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 134955

Twistedhed wrote:
> These have come to be known far and wide across the
> internet what a dearly departed email buddy used to refer to as
> "Lifers."

Ahhhh yes, the lifer tech syndrome. It seems to have
chronic symptoms of assholiness and other foulness.

"The well-constructed troll is a post that induces lots of newbies and flamers to make themselves look even more clueless than they already do, while subtly conveying to the more savvy and experienced that it is in fact a deliberate troll.

If you don't fall for the joke, you get to be in on it."

from: http://www.altairiv.demon.co.uk/troll/trollfaq.html

6078. Re: Linux is like a breath of fresh air to me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 22:52:43 UTC
From: kerke@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9b34d9c5.0208301452.74ae3a22@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134956
Cross-posted to: comp.os.linux.advocacy,alt.os.windows-xp,alt.os.linux.redhat,alt.locksmithing,rec.radio.cb

> Linux is like that elusive piece of fat free beef unlike Microsoft
> Windows XP which is nothing but poor quality chuck.
> I have left the Microsoft BBQ and have moved over to Linux because
> Linux is now my life.
> I feel welcome in the Linux klan and hope to spend much of my time
> compiling colonels and making sauce better.
>
> I spent the last 4 days downloading and emerging Gentoo and it is just
> so fine that words can't describe it.
>
> It's beautiful to me like a piece of prime rib hanging there on a
> meathook.
>
> My ex-wife used to like to call me a loser but now that I have Linux,
> I don't need women anymore. Just me, my meat and Linux.
>
> So who's the loser now?
>
> I'm working at getting the entire meat packing plant switched over to
> Linux because the Windows system keeps freezing up on us.
>
> I would like to start a Linux club for the many meat packers who might
> be interested in trying out Linux.
>
> But for now, my entire life revolves around Linux and the time I spend
> tinkering with it.

You are either drunk or you're a total idiot -- BTW, your wife was
right about the lose thing ;-)

6079. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 22:54:35 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208301454.cdf80e0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134957

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<Aahb9.89329$%v4.4874436@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>...
> The following may be of interest!!
>
> Jerry
>
>
>
>
>
> August 28, 2002
>
> CERTIFIED MAIL-RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED
>
> Cheetah Transportation Company
> 378 Williamson Road
> Mooresville, NC 28117-5935
>
>
>
> SUBJECT: WARNING NOTICE-UNLICENSED RADIO OPERATION
> Vehicle License Number LK-6870 (North Carolina)
>
>
> Dear Sir:
>
> Monitoring information before the Commission indicates that a driver of the
> above vehicle licensed to your company was operating unlicensed radio
> transmitting equipment on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South Carolina,
> on June 5, 2002.
>
> Please be advised that operation of radio transmitting equipment without a
> license is a violation of Section 301 of the Communications Act of 1934, as
> amended, 47 U.S.C. Section 301. Such operation will subject the individual
> to fine or imprisonment, as well as an in rem seizure of radio transmitting
> equipment, in cooperation with the United States Attorney for your
> jurisdiction. Fines normally range from $7,500 to $10,000.
>
> You are requested to contact me at 717-338-2502 to discuss this matter.
>
>
>
> Sincerely,
>

>
> W. Riley Hollingsworth
> Special Counsel
> Enforcement Bureau
>
>
> CC: FCC Southeastern Regional Director

jerry.......give it a rest will ya...i am not a trucker and i don't play one on tv...........roger? oh and another thing.....i bet you ,there aren't many truckers hanging around this newsgroup either, so why is it such things would be deemed by you , to be of interest to the group?

6080. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 23:05:23 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208301505.43e1a3f2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134958

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208031135.727b93f2@posting.google.com>...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<BPG29.35372$6J1.103337211@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>...
> > ROTFLMFAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > Oh man Richard that's to funny.....................
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Congratulations, Dick. You've won the retard vote.

You mean I got it away from YOU, Aanal Aaron? I doubt it highly.

>
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> >I suggest you call your buddies at the FCC and ask for clarification
> >of the Notice. BTW, did you ever call their Interference Complaint
> >Line and get their message?
>
> I suggest you actually read the information before you begin making up
> lame excuses:

I didn't think so. Don't bother me or any other CBer until you do so. Lamebrain!

6081. Re: Ham Misbehavior - An example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-30 23:22:11 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D6FDEDD.B9A83D18@mailcity.net>
Source record: 134959

2LD751 wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D6F876E.FF0D403E@mailcity.net>...
> > OK twistieboy you are not wrong, I don't own a cb, but my Collins
> > 75a3 receiver tunes 11 meters because when it was manufactured 11
> > meters was a ham band, so I can tune the cb band. Also have you
> > ever heard of a general coverage receiver? Memory? (chuckle)
>
> Oh, please. I doubt that any ham that has HF privilages posts or even
> cares about this newsgroup. The hams on here either talk on the legal
> 40 or they freeband because they haven't upgraded, otherwise I doubt
> they'd have any interest in the CB newsgroup since ham radio offers so
> much more once you've gotten your general ticket. The only use a ham
> has for CB is channel 19 when they're out on the highway and they need
> to get traffic reports. This leaves you as either a ham that enjoys
> the legal 40 (but that's not likely because you are denying using a
> CB) or more likely, you freeband like many hams without HF privilages.

Oh please what? Yes I am a ham, Advanced class so I have plenty of
HF privileges, and no I don't, never have operated on cb or the so
called freeband. And your are correct, amateur radio does offer
much more that cb unless you're into operating at illegal power
levels using illegally modded radios driving splatter boxes as a
lot of cber's are. Many cber's don't want to upgrade to ham radio
for the very fact they would be expected to obey the rules.

6082. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 00:04:34 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <mKTb9.313626$2p2.13061402@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134960

"chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
news:fef386d5.0208301454.cdf80e0@posting.google.com...
>
> jerry.......give it a rest will ya...i am not a trucker and i don't
> play one on tv..........roger? oh and another thing.....i bet you
> ,there aren't many truckers hanging around this newsgroup either, so
> why is it such things would be deemed by you , to be of interest to
> the group?

Sd jones I beg to differ with you there are many truckers in this NG

6083. Re: Randy Chapman, Crackhead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 00:30:13 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <0a2afb02aeb7942a35d7a1a22fbbdead@xganon.com>
Source record: 134961

From: Randy (rchapman8@houston.rr.com)
Subject: Re: Legal?

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

6084. Re: New to CB'ing and need some help please ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 00:37:23 UTC
From: LinuxOP <linuxop@cogeco.ca>
Message-ID: <3D700FC3.BF9A34FC@cogeco.ca>
Source record: 134962

Awesome, thank you very much.

LinuxOP

6085. Galaxy 99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 00:39:56 UTC
From: Scott <scott@ovis.net>
Message-ID: <wfUb9.236774$me6.32344@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 134963

Does any one know of any problems associated with the Galaxy 99? I am thinking about buying one and having it converted over to 11 meters. I am going to buy the radio more or less because of the toys which come with it! I don't even plan on using the 10 meter side of the radio at all. However I do want to cause hate and discontent at the truck stop while I am getting my 8 hours of rest. You know just to pass time! And yes I will be running a 250watt kicker behind this just for fun.
Save your flames and keep the smart ass remarks to yourself cause I could care less what you think about me wanting to cause hate and discontent at the truckstop!!

Scott

6086. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 01:19:00 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <8QUb9.172418$Yd.7566303@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 134964

"IllegalCbersSuck" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message news:bNQb9.43966$>
>
> > I'm still laughing about the "anti-key clowns"; sound like you're all
> > charter members of No Code International!! HAR de HAR!
>
> Hey trust me were laughing at some of the assinine things you say btw I
> have been an Extra Class Ham for many years.
> When there was a 20 wpm requirement Capeesh Dick Head.
>
>

In that case George, how much did you pay for the license?

6087. Re: Galaxy 99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 01:24:31 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <iVUb9.313915$2p2.13120517@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134965

Where is Dickhead(Richard Cranium) and he says that Cbers aren't asshole Illegals.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Scott" <scott@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:wfUb9.236774$me6.32344@sccrnsc01...
> Does any one know of any problems associated with the Galaxy 99? I am
> thinking about buying one and having it converted over to 11 meters. I am
> going to buy the radio more or less because of the toys which come with
it!
> I don't even plan on using the 10 meter side of the radio at all. However
I
> do want to cause hate and discontent at the truck stop while I am getting
my
> 8 hours of rest. You know just to pass time! And yes I will be running a
> 250watt kicker behind this just for fun.
> Save your flames and keep the smart ass remarks to yourself cause I could
> care less what you think about me wanting to cause hate and discontent at
> the truckstop!!
>
>
> Scott
>
>

6088. Re: A Pirate Wants to Come Clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 01:36:50 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020830213650.12815.00000324@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 134966

well he's a good guy but probably realizes that i dont listen too well lol

>
> He has to me, if you notice I
>post at the bottom most of the times now.
>
> Landshark

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

6089. Re: Take that illegal cbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 01:43:04 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <tUVb9.265$FJ1.13584@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 134994

ALLSTEEL77 <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020830181239.15711.00000112@mb-cv.aol.com...
> Old news come up with some new stuff.

Heehee! Don't worry. There will be! I just got an email from a friend of
mine in, shall we say,
a position of "knowledge" concerning one of those truck stop CB shops
alongside an interstate.
We keep telling you that the party is OVER for the bandits and thieves that
steal frequencies for
their own use despite their being allocated to others, and all you can say
is "old news". A sentence
pronounced yesterday is pretty darned current in my book! I mean, the man
is going to jail, faces
$25,000 in fines (maybe more after the judge studies his finances), and
still you refuse to believe that it is going to become increasingly risky to
operate a radio illegally. Most hams, including me,
don't care if illegal CBers pop each others' eyeballs out and fry them til
they sizzle with them thar
"leenyars" as long as they don't come a-callin' on the ham bands without
license.

Jerry

6090. Re: 10 meter r.r.c.b. frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 01:46:02 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020830214602.22132.00000307@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 134967

most of these dick head hams in here are no codes, you guys would be better off picking a 6 meter freq and hoping for whats left of the cycle to do some magic if you want to work most of these idiots

>
>Could we make 28.085 the official r.r.cb CW frequency?
>
>Tom
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

6091. Re: 10 meter r.r.c.b. frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 01:47:39 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020830214739.22132.00000308@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 134968

listen to 10 meters and 11 meters both at 8pm your local time and tell me which one is WAY better. there will only be one band still filled with strong dx signals from the south west and heres a hint, its not 10.

>
>Yes, Twist...that's exactly how I meant it. You are correct though.
>"Technically," 11-meters IS a slightly better DX band than 10. However, the
>difference is almost imperceptible and thus negligable. Take it easy. BTW,
>good
>points re. my FCC rant.
>
>73 de Bert
>WA2SI
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

6092. Re: 10 meter r.r.c.b. frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 01:48:39 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020830214839.22132.00000309@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 134969

you guys run away when its anything less than 5/9. we freebanders are hammering out to the pacific long into the night while ten meters is silent

>
>I love it when 10 is open... you can get 59 reports using just a few watts
>of power. Lots of South American DX.
>
>Tom
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

6093. Re: Galaxy 99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 01:50:44 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020830215044.22116.00000224@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 134970

Sounds like a AKC troll post.Smells like bad ham!

6094. Re: randy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 01:52:32 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020830215232.22132.00000311@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 134971

except when your on your AOL name

>If I have something to say
>to you you can rest assured you will know I said it see I always post from
>comcast.
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

6095. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 01:57:17 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <%5Wb9.373$FJ1.19483@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 135148

ALLSTEEL77 <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20020830220032.22116.00000225@mb-dd.aol.com...
> Leave poor Jerry alone.He doesn't have a life like AAron and I won't
forget
> georgie-boy in PA. so he drives around turning in truckers and trucks
stops.Hey
> we all have hobbys.LOL.

Not if he isn't trespassing in my "pasture". And, no, I don't go around
just for the purpose of
turning in truckers and truck stops. BUT, if I am traveling anyway, I can
listen to the ham bands
and, if there is somebody there that isn't supposed to be,
then..... Or, I often need to stop
for a break, so I go to travel centers and truck stops where the food is
usually good and there
are lots of things to browse. IF they happen to be selling radios that are
helping truckers to get
onto 10 Meters, yes, I will drop a dime. It seems fair to me.

J

6096. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:00:32 UTC
From: allsteel77@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020830220032.22116.00000225@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 134972

Leave poor Jerry alone.He doesn't have a life like AAron and I won't forget georgie-boy in PA. so he drives around turning in truckers and trucks stops.Hey we all have hobbys.LOL.

6097. Re: randy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:01:12 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <HrVb9.190226$Aw4.8103418@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 134973

Sorry Tim, another CB myth there is no aol account in my name.

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020830215232.22132.00000311@mb-dd.aol.com...
> except when your on your AOL name
>
> >If I have something to say
> >to you you can rest assured you will know I said it see I always post
from
> >comcast.
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

6098. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:07:22 UTC
From: d2002xx <d2002xx@myrealbox.com>
Message-ID: <d6rz93xh.fsf@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 134974
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

"Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:

> "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
> news:bs7ks4g8.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> > "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
> >
> > > "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1y8ilyh2.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> > > > "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
> > > >
> > > > > "Oris" <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:f7a9c6ac.0208281720.44817f2f@posting.google.com...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I have a box on my network that runs Linux. It
> > > > > > is about the most user unfriendly Operating system. I use it in a
> Dell
> > > > > > dimension 333. It serves as a router and a firewall for my
> network.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's amazing what you can do with a box of junk and a few blank
> CD's.
> > > > >
> > > > > Can't you create a funnier joke?
> > > >
> > > > You want me to create a new linux?
> > >
> > > I'm wondering if you're a programmer.
> >
> > mov [bx+9],byte ptr 8
> > mov [bx+si],word ptr 67h
> > call near [bx]
> > jmp short 97h (8-bit relative)
> > mov ax,bx
> > mov al,97h
> > push byte ptr [5]
> > push bx
> > retf 6 (a FAR return, pop 6 bytes)
> -------------------------
> (setq a-5 1)
> (let ((a-4 20)) (let ((a-5 10)(b-10 20)) (set a-3 '(+ a-4 b-10))(setq
> a-5 (+ a-4 (* b-10 (- a-5 a-4))))))
> (message (number-to-string (% a-5 10)))

Tell me what's this?? :-)

And this:

```
let rec sort lst =
match lst with
[] -> []
| head :: tail -> insert head (sort tail)
and insert elt lst =
match lst with
[] -> [elt]
| head :: tail -> if elt <= head then elt :: lst else head :: insert elt tail
;;
```

6099. Re: Take that illegal cbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:07:48 UTC
From: Scott <scott@ovis.net>
Message-ID: <UxVb9.134770$aA.31074@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 134975

His first mistake was doing something this stupid from a stationary position! He should have stayed one step ahead if he was going to play games with the locals!

Scott

"skuld-chan" <cherry-chan@attbi.com> wrote in message news:R7Nb9.239446$983.522150@rwcrnsc53...
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/08/29/100/?nc=1
>
> I keep hearing there's more to come too :)
>
> sc
>
>

6100. Re: Galaxy 99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:08:08 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020830220808.15828.00000231@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 134977

sure does

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

6101. Re: Galaxy 99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:08:15 UTC
From: Scott <scott@ovis.net>
Message-ID: <jyVb9.297334$UU1.53831@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 134976

"Cbers aren't asshole Illegals." Hey Dickhead(Richard Cranium) do you speed in your car? My bet is yes you do! So that makes you an Illegal asshole non-law abiding American too!

"Sounds like a AKC troll post. Smells like bad ham" Whatever you think Richard. Oh yea I will add the space that you didn't. Look at your post and you will see that you didn't space after the period. I guess your mouth was running faster than your fingers could talk, huh?

I am just asking a question and I am looking for some good replys about this radio. Not asshole remarks!!!!!!!!!

Scott

"Scott" <scott@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:wfUb9.236774$me6.32344@sccrnsc01...
> Does any one know of any problems associated with the Galaxy 99? I am
> thinking about buying one and having it converted over to 11 meters. I am
> going to buy the radio more or less because of the toys which come with
it!
> I don't even plan on using the 10 meter side of the radio at all. However
I
> do want to cause hate and discontent at the truck stop while I am getting
my
> 8 hours of rest. You know just to pass time! And yes I will be running a
> 250watt kicker behind this just for fun.
> Save your flames and keep the smart ass remarks to yourself cause I could
> care less what you think about me wanting to cause hate and discontent at
> the truckstop!!
>
>
> Scott
>
>

6102. Re: Galaxy 99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:09:20 UTC
From: Stan <svs6920@home.com>
Message-ID: <kzVb9.134774$aA.31099@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 134978

A reliable and great sounding radio.
Stan
KYA 4011
Mojave Desert, So CA
"Scott" <scott@ovis.net> wrote in message
news:wfUb9.236774$me6.32344@sccrnsc01...
> Does any one know of any problems associated with the Galaxy 99? I am
> thinking about buying one and having it converted over to 11 meters. I am
> going to buy the radio more or less because of the toys which come with
it!
> I don't even plan on using the 10 meter side of the radio at all. However
I
> do want to cause hate and discontent at the truck stop while I am getting
my
> 8 hours of rest. You know just to pass time! And yes I will be running a
> 250watt kicker behind this just for fun.
> Save your flames and keep the smart ass remarks to yourself cause I could
> care less what you think about me wanting to cause hate and discontent at
> the truckstop!!
>
>
> Scott
>
>

6103. Re: Galaxy 99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:11:42 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020830221142.15828.00000232@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 134979

yeah its a pretty good radio if all you run is AM. its not the best as far as rejecting bleedover but itll do. the texas ranger 696f mobile is a good radio too.

> Does any one know of any problems associated with the Galaxy 99? I am
>> thinking about buying one and having it converted over to 11 meters. I am
>> going to buy the radio more or less because of the toys which come with
>it!
>> I don't even plan on using the 10 meter side of the radio at all. However
>I
>> do want to cause hate and discontent at the truck stop while I am getting
>my
>> 8 hours of rest. You know just to pass time! And yes I will be running a
>> 250watt kicker behind this just for fun.
>> Save your flames and keep the smart ass remarks to yourself cause I could
>> care less what you think about me wanting to cause hate and discontent at
>> the truckstop!!
>>
>>
>> Scott
>>
>>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

6104. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:15:19 UTC
From: Andy <RootedYaDaughter@MyPlaceLast.Night>
Message-ID: <akp98d$hv6$1@pinah.connect.com.au>
Source record: 134981
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

>Three, if the fat slut is
> lurking.>

4 unless the bloke in the corner is still laughing for no apparent reason

"Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> wrote in message
news:aknng9$h2f$0@pita.alt.net...
> "Andy" <RootedYaDaughter@MyPlaceLast.Night> wrote in message
> news:akn5ommsb1@pinah.connect.com.au...
> > >No, you can't.
> >
> > ******COUGH*****COUGH*****SPLUTTER****COUGH***
> > Awwww, C'mon...what kind of pizza is that?
>
> Fuck off or I'll call the cops, ya fat slut.
>
> <hey, mate... how many folks in here do you reckon would know that was a
> real conversation? My guess? Two. You and me. Three, if the fat slut is
> lurking.>
>
> --
> Kadaitcha Man
> Moderator: alt.os.windows-xp
> Moderator: alt.support.shyness
>
>
>
>

6105. Re: RIP Dick McCollum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:16:04 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020830221604.15828.00000233@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 134980

where you been mudduck? i got a groundplane up and running at about 25% percent waiting on your ass to come down here and give it all you got on channel 21 one of these nights. give ole #82 call when you feel ready.

>
>
>well actually dave there is a next life......we are spirtitual beings
>living in earth suits. once we die, our spirits go somewhere else to
>live in eternity.....now that place where you go is up to
>you.....we are the only beings alive in this universe that have a
>free will.....that is why angels view us with awe.....but time
>is ticking.....the question therefore that must be
>asked.....what will you do with this man called
>'Jesus'.....????? yep, that is the question that has been around for
>over 2000 years.....and long before actually .....
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

6106. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:22:08 UTC
From: Andy <RootedYaDaughter@MyPlaceLast.Night>
Message-ID: <akp98e$hv6$2@pinah.connect.com.au>
Source record: 134982
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy,alt.nuke.the.usa

> And I had Jamal for the night.

BWAHAHAHAHA!! You fucking wish!

She'd be off her lemon spread if she did have a go on you. Unless you got
her with that "Black Charm" of yours

Mate, I would have loved to of chucked my jatz cracker into her!!

Hmmmm...i might make a stop into town tonight.

"Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> wrote in message
news:akn66fqfm0@pita.alt.net...
> "Andy" <RootedYaDaughter@MyPlaceLast.Night> wrote in message
> news:akn53bmhf1@pinah.connect.com.au...
> > > Ok, I know you have been fucked too many times. Pitiful kid..
> >
> > Yeah i know, In the last 3 weeks ive fucked 2 American women over from
> Cali
> > on a softball trip, One i fucked and then pissed inside and all over her
> > before i punched her in the face, just 'cos she's and American.
> > The other one, I just fucked her, shot in her face, wiped my cock on the
> > curtains and left
>
> And I had Jamal for the night.
>
> --
> Kadaitcha Man
> Moderator: alt.os.windows-xp
> Moderator: alt.support.shyness
>
>
>
>

6107. Re: New to CB'ing and need some help please ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:23:46 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020830222346.15828.00000240@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 134983

hi my friend and welcome to the wonderful world of cb radio. it seems that your radios are working just fine. the only problem is the two units you have are designed for very short range communications only due to the little antenna used on the handhelds. if your listening during the day on these then the conversations are likely to be other base station radios skipping in from all over the country. it will be next to impossible for any of these guys to ever hear you due to the crowded nature of the 40 cb channels. i think your best bet with these will be to try and ask for a radio check like advised but to do so at night and you'll have a lot better chance because by then all the atmospheric and man made noise that plagues the band during the day will be gone and your signal will have a much better chance of being heard far away.

>
>
>Dear CB Radio fans, I'm new to the field of CB radios just having today
>purchased two used Cobra 38 wxst. They both run very well and I've
>already some interesting conversations going on the airwaves. Now, my
>question is: When I press the talk button, I can hear myself but I don't
>get any confirmaiton from others, it's almost like they don't hear me.
>Am I doing somehting wrong? I can hear them fine, once I apply the
>proper DSS mode.
>
>Thanks for the help,
>
>LinuxOP
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

6108. Happy Labor Day!!...ANTIBOYS STILL OWN THE NG!!! 8-30-02

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:25:43 UTC
From: keyclownslammas@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <50f7cd4f.0208301825.67abab5c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134984

Now go on an slap yer pathetic little monkees on whatever it is light loafered sissies slap it against. The anti-boys rule the NG!

AND YOU CANNOT STOP IT FOR 1 MINUTE.

BWHAHAHAHAHAH

6109. Re: Randy Chapman, Crackhead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:27:42 UTC
From: keyclownslammas@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <50f7cd4f.0208301827.7652615c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

As I see it, chapwoman, the point is that you are a criminal in the eyes of Texas, and in teh eyes of the federal government as well. Yup, a living and breathing profile of a keyclown right here. A superb example.

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message news:<AnSb9.142105$eK6.4286168@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:e1fffbb2ffc26e7cd61274b29ee2fae0@xganon.com...
> > From: RandyC2800 (randyc2800@aol.com)
> > Subject: Re: To Whom It May Concern;
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> > Date: 2001-01-09 19:03:37 PST
> >
> > >Subject: To Whom It May Concern;
> > >From: exportsrus@aol.com (EXPORTSRUS)
> > >Date: 1/9/01 5:22 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <20010109182206.13516.00001436@ng-md1.aol.com>
> > >
> > (snip)
> >
> > Hope things get better for you, and I sincerely hope that you get your
> child
> > the help that she needs.
> >
> > Randy Chapman
> >
> > --
> >
> > From: RandyC2800 (randyc2800@aol.com)
> > Subject: Re: is small doses of cocaine any good?
> > Newsgroups: alt.drugs.hard
> > Date: 1998/03/24
> >
> >
> > >I couldn't agree more and remember this is from people who have been
> there
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Cocaine is nothing but BAD. The people who say they can control IT are
> lying to
> > themselves, or don't have the money to spend on it. Been there, done that,

> got
> > the t-shirt and the record to prove it. It started as a small amount,
> maybe
> > twice a month,circa1985. Then ecalated rapidly to an eighth of an ounce or
> more
> > a week. I realize this doesn't appear to be alot to people without jobs,
> but to
> > a person with a 8 to 5, safety sensitive job, it is alot of missed sleep.
> At
> > first, the sex was great, did it more ways than the law allows. But this
> > rapidly faded into nothing. The major concern just becomes getting more of
> the
> > shit. When the supply of powder became harder to locate in this area,
> crack was
> > always available. So, this became the easiest way for me. Did that for
> about 5
> > years before being caught in a suviellance camera and getting arrested.
> Got 4
> > years defferred ajudication, meaning that if I don't get in trouble for
> the
> > time on probation, I will have no conviction on my record. Just recently
> > finished that sucessfully. Actually, in Texas, you can get off probation
> by
> > doing all your community service(120 hours for me), and doing 1/3 of the
> time,
> > which I did. Cost of my fun, about $7500 for the lawyer and the probation
> fees.
> >
> >
> > You know, the bad part is that I still crave the shit. But, now I know the
> > white monster is always lurking in the back of my mind, and I cannot
> surrender
> > to the call.
> >
> > Incidentally, I did lose one friend I grew up with, to suicide, directly
> > related to cocaine. Also, a co-worker aqquired AIDS through the sharing
> of
> > needles while injecting the shit. That was in the early days of AIDS, when
> > everyone thought they would never get it. He is DEAD now.
> >
> > In closing, the only real advice I have for anyone thinking of trying the
> shit
> > is.....PLEASE DON'T DO IT! DON'T DO IT FOR ME, THOSE THAT LOVE YOU, YOUR
> WIFE,
> > HUSBAND, GIRLFRIEND OR BOYFRIEND. IN SHORT, DON'T EVER TRY COKE. IT IS
> NOTHING
> > BUT A FELONIOUS WASTE OF MONEY.
> >
> > I can't make it any more simple than that.
> >

> >
> > See Ya, Randy
> >
>
> And still, the point?

6110. Re: Lifer Techs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:31:14 UTC
From: keyclownslammas@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <50f7cd4f.0208301831.2a3322d0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134986

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> whined ignorantly in
message news:<3D6FF6F9.6A39FCDD@yaSPAMhoo.com>...
> Twistedhed pathetically whined:
> > These have come to be known far and wide across the
> > internet what a dearly departed email buddy used to refer to as
> > "Lifers."
>
>
> Ahhhh yes, the lifer tech syndrome. It seems to have
> chronic symptoms of assholiness and other foulness.
>
>
Wrong keyclowns...There is more to do on any 1 band than anyone could
do in a lifetime.

You sissie boys just use that 'lifer tech' crap to obfuscate your
criminality. All the insults on earth cannot change the fact that you
are all a bunch of federal criminals. Insult some more, your pink
muffin attempts at defocusing are humorous and ineffective, except to
your fellow morons.

6111. Re: BULL S$#@

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:33:19 UTC
From: keyclownslammas@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <50f7cd4f.0208301833.6e4e16b7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134987

Nah, Scottiekins...you are too dumb to say it..tard boy.

"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:<3D6FF623.CB84EEEF@yaSPAMhoo.com>...
> crossfire wrote:
>
> >
> > Boy I'll tell You this shit is really getting boring .
>
>
> I couldn't have said it better myself.
>
>
>

>
> "The well-constructed troll is a post that induces lots of newbies and flamers to
> make themselves look even more clueless than they already do, while subtly
> conveying to the more savvy and experienced that it is in fact a deliberate troll.
>
> If you don't fall for the joke, you get to be in on it."
>
> from: http://www.altairiv.demon.co.uk/troll/trollfaq.html

6112. Re: Take that illegal cbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:35:28 UTC
From: John Medica - Listening-Post <medica@cyberspace.org>
Message-ID: <3D702B70.6868A124@cyberspace.org>
Source record: 135153

skuld-chan wrote:

> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/08/29/100/?nc=1
>
> I keep hearing there's more to come too :)
>
> sc

OLD NEWS!!! Got any more old news like to share with us

6113. Re: SKIP WAS HOPPING WEDNESDAY--suck on that !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:35:56 UTC
From: keyclownslammas@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <50f7cd4f.0208301835.2bc6edc8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134988

Hey limpwristed ozark...

How was the session with twithed? His vacation journal says yer really dumb. Did you really pay some FUGGLY kid $100.00 for you know what? Twithed says ya did.

ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote in message news:<20020830153522.22837.00000004@mb-fb.aol.com>...
> >From: Earl earlebyrd@sun.up
> >Not to worry. It's 155 *point* 3 miles. >You've got another 5.3 til
> >you'll need that long tape measure.
> Now that I look you're right. And according to my tape measure it is
> 155.29999999
> miles........Wishhhhewwww That's a load off my mind. Didn't want any
> nastygrams from the likes of Voobie,Georgiepooh or MinyMe! DX rocks!
>
>
>
> "Got RF?"

6114. Re: randy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:40:23 UTC
From: keyclownslammas@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <50f7cd4f.0208301840.5a78343e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134989

HAHHAHAAHAH...CHAPWOMAN IS A CRIMINAL IN TEXAS AS WELL AS IN FEDERAL EYES!!!

TYPICAL KEYCLOWN!!!!!!

xganon <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:<3940af03454e2adbb3d1ab31d29da8da@xganon.com>...
> From: Randy (randy28@swbell.net)
> Subject: Separating Vicodin
> Newsgroups: alt.drugs.hard
> View this article only
> Date: 2001-07-25 16:49:02 PST
>
> How do you go about separating the Tylenol from the hydrocodone in Vicodin?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---

6115. interference issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:41:14 UTC
From: george craig <geocraig@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <e1Wb9.43774$Ke2.3074869@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 134990

please review the site www.aerorfi.org
personally I do not care what you folks do [ham or cb] but interference to
aircraft is serious
business... base or mobile I would recomend low pass filters.... George

6116. Re: 10 meter r.r.c.b. frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:43:31 UTC
From: keyclownslammas@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <50f7cd4f.0208301843.77fec6e8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134991

twithed@webtv.net (Twithed) drooled forth the following stupid post in message news:<10834-3D6F8E2A-3@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>...

> Depends what it is you speak of..what it "beats" it for. For DX, you're
> incorrect.
> For legalities, you are correct.

Typical federal criminal. No respect for the law. How was the vacation, twithed? Your journal sure was interesting.

6117. Re: 11 meters!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:44:45 UTC
From: keyclownslammas@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <50f7cd4f.0208301844.640e29d8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134992

theworldaround27mcs@webtv.net (I DX) wrote in message
news:<16200-3D6E50D6-122@storefull-2258.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> General coverage all mode xceiver: $300 Pair of 3-500z amp:
> $350 Dipole antenna: $1.29
> Worldwide communications on 26-28 mhz:Priceless.....IDX

You forgot one thing: Keyclown to operate radio: effeminate, drug
addled, useless, criminal.

6118. Re: SKIP WAS HOPPING WEDNESDAY--suck on that !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:44:54 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020830224454.00753.00000015@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 134993

>From: keyclownslammas@yahoo.com
>How was the session with twithed?
What the hell are you talking about asswipe?
>His vacation journal says yer really dumb.
You have quite an imagination. You must THINK you are someone important...actually you are impotent!
>Did you really pay some FUGGLY kid >$100.00 for you know what?
You think you are funny, you are not. You think your stupid game of elicit a reaction is some obscure form of entertainment? The only entertainment you get is beating off to the Farrah Faucett poster, still hanging on your bedroom wall, in your parents house, where you still live! You DORK!

"Got RF?"

6119. Twithed proves his criminal mentality again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:47:27 UTC
From: keyclownslammas@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <50f7cd4f.0208301847.dc741f4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 134995

I bet you and chapwoman smoke crack together...you act like it...criminal...

ANTIBOYS OWN THIS NG AND THERE IS NOTHING WHATSOEVER YOU CAN DO TO STOP US!

twistedhed@webtv.net (Twistedhed) wrote in message
news:<3802-3D6FB146-11@storefull-2313.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> **
> INTERNATIONAL RADIO DX GROUP
> *
> * (Spirit of Australia)
> *
> *
> *
> * DX BULLETIN #14 / 30
> August 2002 *
> * Edited by Lars 13IR102 & Rob
> 19IR04 *
> **
> /-------------------------------------
> ! Information, reports
> and suggestions ! !
> must be sent to: !
> !
>
>
> !
> bulletin@irdx.org !
> !
>
>
> !
>
>
> \-------------------------------------
> This bulletin contains only objective DX
> information's coming from different resources. The redactor can not
> be held responsible for any mistake or false information's.
> It is absolute allowed to copy and distribute
> the DX News Bulletin but please include the information's about the
> editors and source where it comes from for the courtesy who created
> this DX tool.
> TO YOUR ATTENTION PLEASE: Dxpeditions or
> activations with unknown end will be deleted 3 month after
> publication. From now on we add this kind with the month when we get
> the information. If you want to see your Dxpedition or activation

> for a longer time on the list please contact us with the full
> information's.
> You have Info for this List ? please send to:
> 13IR102@irdx.de
> **
> IRDX Group News
> **
> W W W . I R D X . O R G
>
>
> We are proud to let you know the
> brand NEW IRDX-Homepage Is ONLINE now :) take a look and enjoy
> the great work from The whole Team ! lot's of new features you
> can find there. Cluster - Chat - IRDX-News and much more !
> www.irdx.org
> W W W . D X N E W S . DE
>
>
> the Number 1 DX-Info-Site is back
> Online after long Time. up to date now and every Day Updated !!
> www.dxnews.de
> **
> On The Air Now
> **
> 102IR/DX Kuwait 18/08/02
> Paco, P.O.Box 212, 41710 Utrera, Spain
>
>
> 103FAT/DX Haiti 01/03/02 500 Prog.
> Eric, P.O.Box 271, 67606 Selestat CDX, France
>
>
> 10RC/DX Mexico 01/02/02 500 Prog.
> Stephane, P.O.Box 3, 18500 Foecy, France
>
>
> 112IR/DX Lebanon 11/08/02
> Biel, P.O.Box 444, 70300 Inca-Ballearic, Espana
>
>
> 126IR/0 Nicaragua 06/06/02 500 Prog.
> Paco, P.O.Box 212, 41710 Utrera, Spain
>
>
> 146PAS/DX Algeria 01/07/02 500 Prog.
> Pablo, P.O.Box 422, 33080 Oviedo, Spain
>
>
> 160WRC/0 Sudan 29/08/02 31/12/02
> Jacek, P.O.Box 26, 03996 Warsaw, Poland

>
>
> 162RS/DX Dem. Rep. of Congo 25/08/02 23/09/02 Mauro, P.O. Box 7045,
> 16148 Genova, Italy
>
>
> 165IR/DX Sardinia Island 26/08/02 05/09/02 Paolo, P.O.Box 9, 56023
> Navacchio, Italy
>
>
> 170RC/0 Burkina Faso 23/08/02 31/08/02
> Vincent, P.O.Box 3, 18500 Foecy, France
>
>
> 173HF/0 Reunion Island 17/07/02 31/12/02 Tim, P.O.Box 5, 83570 Cotignac,
> France
>
>
> 173OR/DX Reunion Island 01/03/02 30/09/02 OR-Group, P.O.Box 26, 03700
> Bellevive/A, France
>
>
> 196RC/DX Guardaloupe 02/03/02 500 Prog.
> Stephane, P.O.Box 3, 18500 Foecy, France
>
>
> 201SD/DX Frensh Polynesia 08/07/02 1000 Prog. Chris, P.O.Box 42, 62510
> Arques, France
>
> 215SD/DX Gabun Republic 04/08/02 1000 Prog. Maud, P.O.Box 1, 24240
> Sigoules, France
>
>
> 24PAS/0 Panama 01/08/02 1000 Prog.
> Pablo, P.O.Box 422, 33080 Oviedo, Spain
>
>
> 28OT/DX Honduras 01/02/02 500 Prog.
> Mario, P.O.Box 100, 15562 Ruedersdorf, Germany
>
>
> 301AS/DX Armenia 25/02/02 500 Prog.
> Panos, P.O.Box 3795, 13201 Petroupoli, Greece
>
>
> 312DQ/0 Moldavia 22/07/02 500 Prog.
> Chris, P.O.Box 184, NN3-9JH Northampton, U.K.
>
>
> 314SD/DX Turkoman 19/01/02 1000 Prog.

> Yannick, P.O.Box 1, 64300 Malacq, France
>
>
> 325AT/0 Rotuma Island 30/08/02 06/09/02
> Andy, P.O.Box 62, 29266 Brest-cdx, France
>
>
> 328RKL/DX Croatia 28/08/02 15/09/02
> Oli, P.O.Box 21, 46010 Liberec 10, Czech Republic
>
>
> 33SD/DX Alaska 01/02/02 31/12/02
> Dino, P.O.Box 1, 23879 Verderio- Lecco, Italy
>
>
> 33TRC/0 Alaska 01/03/02 31/09/02
> Nasko, P.O.Box 49, 6100 Kazanlak, Bulgaria
>
>
> 41AS/DX New Zealand 01/02/02 200 Prog.
> Jim, P.O.Box 63501, 15202 Filothei, Greece
>
>
> 44DT/DX South Africa 01/08/02 500 Prog.
> Jose Manuel, P.O.Box 90, 38180 Taco, Tenerife, Spain
>
>
> 44TRC/0 South Africa 24/03/02 1000 Prog. Nasko, P.O.Box 49, 6100
> Kazanlak, Bulgaria
>
>
> 54LD/0 Luxembourg 01/08/02 31/09/02
> Paolo, P.O.Box 2, 25017 Lonato, Italy
>
>
> 54OD/0 Luxembourg 03/08/02 31/12/02
> Olek, P.O.Box 32, 44102 Gliwice 2, Poland
>
>
> 57LD/DX India 01/05/02 1000 Prog.
> Paolo, P.O.Box 2, 25017 Lonato, Italy
>
>
> 5HF/0 Venezuela 01/07/02 1000 Prog.
> Eliane, P.O.Box 10, 83910 Pourrieres, France
>
>
> 61LD/DX Ecuador 20/01/02 500 Prog.
> Paolo, P.O.Box 2, 25017 Lonato, Italy
>

>
> 64FAT/0 Senegal 17/08/02 500 Prog.
> Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854 Caudan CDX, France
>
>
> 67IR/DX Paraguay 15/01/02 1000 Prog.
> Paco, P.O.Box 212, 41710 Utrera, Spain
>
>
> 6AS/DX Columbia 01/04/02 600 Prog.
> Jim, P.O.Box 63501, 15202 Filothei, Greece
>
>
> 6RMA/DX Colombia 01/01/02 1000 Prog.
> Paco, P.O.Box 4109, 03080 Alicante, Spain
>
>
> 75RMA/DX Azores Islands 01/01/02 1000 Prog. Paco, P.O.Box 4109, 03080
> Alicante, Spain
>
>
> 76RK/DX Marocco 01/01/02 30/10/02
> Willem, P.O.Box 3048, 4800DA Breda, Holland
>
>
> 80WD/DX Bolivia 01/01/02 1000 Prog.
> Riccardo, P.O.Box 9, 50065 Pontassieve, Italy
>
>
> 84VC/DX Ivory Coast 01/07/02 500 Prog.
> Frank, P.O.Box 124, 78702 Conflans-cdx, France
>
>
> 97AS/DX Israel 01/01/02 500 Prog.
> Panos, P.O.Box 3795, 13201 Petroupoli, Greece
> **********
> Coming Soon
> **********
> 134FAT/0 Rep. of Belau soon
> Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854 Caudan-cdx, France
>
>
> 140FAT/0 Antarctica 2003 2003
> Laurent, P.O.Box 63, 56854 Caudan-cdx, France
>
>
> 140IR/AA-URA Antarctica soon
> Day, P.O.Box B19, 01001 Kyiv, Ukraine
>
>

> 167IR/0 Jersey Island 02/09/02 10/09/02
> Eric, P.O.Box 39, 3200 Aarschot, Belgium
>
>
> 180LD/0 Oman soon
> Dario, P.O.Box 28, 25082 Botticino, Italy
>
>
> 191AC/0 Swaziland 19/09/02 21/09/02
> Andrew, P.O.Box 274, 6331 Albany, Australia
>
>
> 203AT/DX China soon
> Daniele I., via Appia Nuova 77, 03043 Cassino, Italy
>
>
> 203DQ/DX China 01/09/02 31/10/02
> Chris, P.O.Box 184, NN3-9JH Northampton, U.K.
>
>
> 207FAT/0 Saint Martin Isl. 10/10/02 17/10/02 Laurent, Po.Box 63, 56854
> Caudan-cdx, France
>
>
> 210LD/0 Wallis & Futuna 2003
> Dario, P.O.Box 28, 25082 Botticino, Italy
>
>
> 236LD/0 Bangladesh soon
> Paolo, P.O.Box 2, 25017 Lonato, Italy
>
>
> 238SD/0 Cambodia 10/09/02 25/09/02
> Xavier, P.O.Box 36, 3460 Bekkevoort, Belgium
>
>
> 248AT/DX Turks & Caicos 27/12/02 03/01/03 Tom, P.O.Box 321, 44615
> Carollton-OH, U.S.A.
> 249SD/0 North Cook Isl. 29/10/02 05/11/02 Tim, P.O.Box 17, Kenilworth
> Warwickshire, CV8-1SF, UK
>
>
> 301KPI/0 Armenia 1000 Prog.
> Tomas, P.O.Box 6121, 50080 Zaragoza, Spain
>
>
> 311DQ/0 Lithuania postponed
> Slawek, P.O.Box 56, 87800 Wloclawek-2, Poland
>
>

> 312RC/0 Moldavia soon
> Stephane, P.O.Box 3, 18500 Foecy, France
>
>
> 318AT/0 S.M.O.M. (Rom) soon
> Dario, P.O.Box 27, 98071 Capo D'Orlando, Italy
>
> 337LD/0 Austral Island 28/10/02 29/11/02 Stefania, P.O.Box 28, 25082
> Botticino, Italy
>
>
> 35OE/V Austria/Vienna 01/10/02 31/10/02
> Jens, P.O.Box 100, 6764 Lech, Austria
>
>
> 60DQ/0 Hong Kong soon
> Simon, P.O.Box 242, 16041 Praha-6, Czech Rep.
>
>
> 99AT/DX Fiji Islands 07/09/02 11/09/02
> Andy, P.O.Box 62, 29266 Brest-cdx, France
> **********
> I.O.T.A. - Islands On The Air
> **********
> 11AT/NA099 Puerto Rico 15/06/02 ??/08/02
> 1AT058, Alex xxxxxxxx
>
>
> 123AT/NA005 Bermuda Isl. 10/08/02 15/09/02 Garry, P.O.Box HM1952, HM-HX
> Hamilton,
> Bernuda Islands
>
>
> 143FAT/NA108 San Lucia Isl. 01/02/02 1500 Prog. Laurent, P.O.Box 63,
> 56854 Caudan CDX, France
>
>
> 166RC/NA145 Saba Island 01/02/02 500 Prog. Stephane, P.O.Box 3, 18500
> Foecy, France
>
>
> 18AT/EU067 Naxsos Isl. 23/08/02 13/09/02 Oliver,
>
>
> 20LD/EU003 Hinnoya Island 04/01/02 500 Prog. Luis, P.O.Box 11092, 46006
> Valencia, Spain
>
>
> 230SD/OC011 Micronesia Isl. 13/03/02 1000 Prog. Steve, P.O.Box 15, 79110
> Chef-Boutonne, France

>
>
> 24SD/NA203 Iguana Island 01/02/02
> Pepe, P.O.Box 105, 11500 Puerto Real, Spain
>
>
> 299AT/NA095 Desecheo Island 15/08/02 15/09/02 1AT058 Alex,
> xxxxxxxxxxxxx
>
>
> 33LD/NA019 Kodiak Island 04/01/02 500 Prog. Luis, P.O.Box 11092, 46006
> Valencia, Spain
>
>
> 47AT/FY014(EU172) Aero Island 29/07/02
> Parcifal, P.0.Box 8899, 1006 JB Amsterdam, Holland
>
>
> 91AT/OC151 Sumba Island 15/06/02 15/09/02 Antonio, P.O.Box 360, 87100
> Cosenza-CS, Italy
>
>
> 91SD/OC237 Krakatoa Island 16/08/02
> Steve, P.O.Box 15, 79110 Chef-Boutonne, France
> **********
> I.O.T.A. - Islands Soon On The Air
> **********
> 110SD/AS004 Cyprus 10/09/02 16/09/02
> Sergey, P.O.Box 22, 394077 Voronezh, Russia
>
>
> 166FAT/NA105 Saint Maarten Isl. 10/10/02 17/10/02 Laurent, Po.Box 63,
> 56854 Caudan-cdx, France
>
>
> 168RS/0 Mauritius Island 12/09/02 19/09/02 Mauro, P.O. Box 7045, 16148
> Genova-GE, Italy
>
> 168SD/AF049 Mauritius Island 11/09/02 17/09/02 Pepe, P.O.Box 105, 11510
> Porto Real, Spain
>
>
> 173RS/AF016 Reunion Island 13/09/02 19/09/02 Cedric, P.O.Box 2, 12120
> Cassagnnes Bghes, France
>
>
> 238SD/AS133 Koh Poah Island 10/09/02 25/09/02 Xavier, P.O.Box 36, 3460
> Bekkevoort, Belgium
>
>

> 25SD/AS008 Oshima Island soon
> Toshi, P.O.Box 51, 654-8791 Suma Kobe, Japan
>
>
> 25SD/AS017 Okinawa Island soon
> Toshi, P.O.Box 51, 654-8791 Suma Kobe, Japan
> **********
> Holiday - Stations
> **********
> 117/161IR999 Egypt 01/04/02 31/12/02
> Marek, P.O.Box 6, 22-104 Chelm-5, Poland
>
>
> 173/14SD576 Reunion Island 07/09/02 25/09/02 Pepe, P.O.Box 105, 11510
> Porto Real, Spain
> **********

6120. Re: 10 meter r.r.c.b. frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:52:18 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <un0bsl7ipns387@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 134996

Most likely that most of the guys interested in dx later in the day drop down to 20. In fact, 10 is quite underutilized - 15 gets some good use, but 20 seems to be 'kilowatt alley'.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

www.TimNebo.com <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message news:20020830214739.22132.00000308@mb-dd.aol.com...
> listen to 10 meters and 11 meters both at 8pm your local time and tell me which
> one is WAY better. there will only be one band still filled with strong dx
> signals from the south west and heres a hint, its not 10.
>
>
>
> >
> >Yes, Twist...that's exactly how I meant it. You are correct though.
> >"Technically," 11-meters IS a slightly better DX band than 10. However, the
> >difference is almost imperceptible and thus negligable. Take it easy. BTW,
> >good
> >points re. my FCC rant.
> >
> >73 de Bert
> >WA2SI
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

6121. galaxy 99

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 02:56:26 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <bb67ecaa95be2957315a3f7e477146b0@xganon.com>
Source record: 135147

scott@ovis.net wrote in message news:wfUb9.236774$me6.32344@sccrnsc01... Does any one know of any problems associated with the Galaxy 99? I am thinking about buying one and having it converted over to 11 meters. I am going to buy the radio more or less because of the toys which come with it! I don't even plan on using the 10 meter side of the radio at all. However I do want to cause hate and discontent at the truck stop while I am getting my 8 hours of rest. You know just to pass time! And yes I will be running a 250watt kicker behind this just for fun. Save your flames and keep the smart ass remarks to yourself cause I could care less what you think about me wanting to cause hate and discontent at the truckstop!! Scott**********
PERFECT CHOICE RADIO JUST THE RIGHT AMOUNT OF BELLS AND WHISTLES

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

6122. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 03:01:00 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <MjWb9.15$nr3.1566977@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 135149

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:akop8m$1kafpr$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:anLb9.1515$3r.67674421@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com...
>
> > Frank, that was only "One" website of thousands, so
> > a lot more than just a "couple hundred stations "
>
> Most of the stations listed on that website are no longer active. They
> are/were all shortwave pirates.
>
> Tom
>
>

Agreed, that's the point I was making. But
to compare illegal cb use with a newsgroup or
the web is not the final word. He also agreed
that too.

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

6123. Re: 10 meter r.r.c.b. frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 03:01:00 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <MjWb9.14$nr3.1566977@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 135150

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslammas@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:50f7cd4f.0208301843.77fec6e8@posting.google.com...
> twithed@webtv.net (Twithed) drooled forth the following stupid post in
> message news:<10834-3D6F8E2A-3@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
>
> > Depends what it is you speak of..what it "beats" it for. For DX, you're
> > incorrect.
> > For legalities, you are correct.
>
> Typical federal criminal. No respect for the law. How was the
> vacation, twithed? Your journal sure was interesting.

I see Doug's using a new account, wonder why <G>

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

6124. Re: BS BS BS BS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 03:01:00 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <MjWb9.16$nr3.1566977@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 135151

"crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
news:1030740354.25659@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net...
>
` Useless crap deleted

Who cares, you posted it once that's enough.
This is very close to spamming if not flooding the
group.

Landshark

--
There are no stupid questions, just stupid
people.

Mr. Garrison

6125. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 03:04:21 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <un0cj78cvdiued@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 135152

Well, you can take both the FCC and IRS to court. Good luck ... ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

Landshark <mailto:S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
news:3gBb9.43$Iw2.3157141@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20020829183926.06046.00000024@mb-fg.news.cs.com...
> > >Nothing will happen to them
> > >unless the FCC catches him. It's a little thing called proof, innocent
> > >until proven guilty, etc... you might recognize this from the
> > >constitution.
> >
> > The FCC doesn't operate via the "normal" protocol of due process. The
very
> term
> > ' Notice of Apparent Liability' coupled to a multi-thousand dollar
> forfeiture,
> > WITHOUT your day in court BEFORE conviction/sentencing, sure doesn't
sound
> like
> > "innocent until proven guilty" to me.
> >
> > There are some that argue that the communications act of 1934
constitutes
> laws,
> > despite not going through the "normal" legislative process and that
> because the
> > FCC answers to congress, they somehow represent "elected"
> representation...AND
> > furthermore, it's okey-dokey that they act in legislative, judicial, and
> > executive capacities, all in one fell swoop...which BTW is 180 degrees
> opposite
> > of our principle of 'checks and balances." <Please forgive this massive
> run-on
> > sentence. Rant mode = off.> To this I say "BULLFEATHERS!"
> >
> > 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI
>
> I said the same thing, good luck.
> Also it's the same set up as the IRS, they can do
> almost anything, and there's not much that you can

> do about it.
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
>
> "Any day riding, is better than
> being a newsgroupie
>
> 98 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
> 02 Honda VTX 1800
> 99 Ford F-150 Supercab 4X4 (antenna platform)
>
>

6126. Re: Randy Chapman, Crackhead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 03:28:48 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208301928.20cd50fb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<AnSb9.142105$eK6.4286168@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
> news:e1fffbb2ffc26e7cd61274b29ee2fae0@xganon.com...
> > From: RandyC2800 (randyc2800@aol.com)
> > Subject: Re: To Whom It May Concern;
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.cb
> > Date: 2001-01-09 19:03:37 PST
> >
> > >Subject: To Whom It May Concern;
> > >From: exportsrus@aol.com (EXPORTSRUS)
> > >Date: 1/9/01 5:22 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <20010109182206.13516.00001436@ng-md1.aol.com>
> > >
> > (snip)
> >
> > Hope things get better for you, and I sincerely hope that you get your
> child
> > the help that she needs.
> >
> > Randy Chapman
> >
> > --
> >
> > From: RandyC2800 (randyc2800@aol.com)
> > Subject: Re: is small doses of cocaine any good?
> > Newsgroups: alt.drugs.hard
> > Date: 1998/03/24
> >
> >
> > >I couldn't agree more and remember this is from people who have been
> there
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Cocaine is nothing but BAD. The people who say they can control IT are
> lying to
> > themselves, or don't have the money to spend on it. Been there, done that,
> got
> > the t-shirt and the record to prove it. It started as a small amount,
> maybe
> > twice a month,circa1985. Then ecalated rapidly to an eighth of an ounce or
> more

> > a week. I realize this doesn't appear to be alot to people without jobs,
> but to
> > a person with a 8 to 5, safety sensitive job, it is alot of missed sleep.
> At
> > first, the sex was great, did it more ways than the law allows. But this
> > rapidly faded into nothing. The major concern just becomes getting more of
> the
> > shit. When the supply of powder became harder to locate in this area,
> crack was
> > always available. So, this became the easiest way for me. Did that for
> about 5
> > years before being caught in a suviellance camera and getting arrested.
> Got 4
> > years defferred ajudication, meaning that if I don't get in trouble for
> the
> > time on probation, I will have no conviction on my record. Just recently
> > finished that sucessfully. Actually, in Texas, you can get off probation
> by
> > doing all your community service(120 hours for me), and doing 1/3 of the
> time,
> > which I did. Cost of my fun, about $7500 for the lawyer and the probation
> fees.
> >
> >
> > You know, the bad part is that I still crave the shit. But, now I know the
> > white monster is always lurking in the back of my mind, and I cannot
> surrender
> > to the call.
> >
> > Incidentally, I did lose one friend I grew up with, to suicide, directly
> > related to cocaine. Also, a co-worker aqquired AIDS through the sharing
> of
> > needles while injecting the shit. That was in the early days of AIDS, when
> > everyone thought they would never get it. He is DEAD now.
> >
> > In closing, the only real advice I have for anyone thinking of trying the
> shit
> > is.....PLEASE DON'T DO IT! DON'T DO IT FOR ME, THOSE THAT LOVE YOU, YOUR
> WIFE,
> > HUSBAND, GIRLFRIEND OR BOYFRIEND. IN SHORT, DON'T EVER TRY COKE. IT IS
> NOTHING
> > BUT A FELONIOUS WASTE OF MONEY.
> >
> > I can't make it any more simple than that.
> >
> >
> > See Ya, Randy
> >
>
> And still, the point?

the point...the point randy is that you make too much sense and you are a ham and you ask questions that the mind numbed robot, aka, comcast creep, doesn't want to be put into the position to answer.....another words his little crusade is full of holes and he knows it.....

6127. Re: Randy Chapman, Crackhead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 03:34:32 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cPWb9.142503$eK6.4326913@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 135155

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslammas@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:50f7cd4f.0208301827.7652615c@posting.google.com...
> As I see it, chapwoman, the point is that you are a criminal in the
> eyes of Texas, and in teh eyes of the federal government as well.

TraceRoute to 65.238.113.20 [1cust20.tnt3.sylvania.oh.da.uu.net]

Ya think so, Dogie?

6128. Poor Doug

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 03:38:01 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <tSWb9.142506$eK6.4330204@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 135156

"Keyclown Undercover Lover" <keyclownslammas@yahoo.com> wrote in message

You have too much time on your hands.

6129. Re: Lifer Techs...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 03:42:56 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4XWb9.142508$eK6.4331139@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 135157

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslammas@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:50f7cd4f.0208301831.2a3322d0@posting.google.com...
> "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> whined ignorantly in
> message news:<3D6FF6F9.6A39FCDD@yaSPAMhoo.com>...
> > Twistedhed pathetically whined:
> > > These have come to be known far and wide across the
> > > internet what a dearly departed email buddy used to refer to as
> > > "Lifers."
> >
> >
> > Ahhhh yes, the lifer tech syndrome. It seems to have
> > chronic symptoms of assholiness and other foulness.
> >
> >
> Wrong keyclowns...There is more to do on any 1 band than anyone could
> do in a lifetime.
>
> You sissie boys just use that 'lifer tech' crap to obfuscate your
> criminality.

How is 6 meters Dougie? I hear you can't get on 11 or 2, due to you being
laughed off the air.

6130. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 03:50:44 UTC
From: eccraigjr@cs.comnospam
Message-ID: <20020830235044.25579.00000387@mb-bj.news.cs.com>
Source record: 135159

>Well, you can take both the FCC and IRS to court. Good luck ... ;)

More like "you can try." ;-)

73 de Bert
WA2SI

6131. Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 03:58:27 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <D9Xb9.376771$q53.12500123@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 135160

Forwarding path: KA8AHF WB8BII WB8LYF W8HHF

From: N8WWM@W8HHF.#TOL.OH.USA.NA
To : MLITIA@ALLUS

KJ6Q Sent this message out, which compares the attacks on militias with other
historical events. I want to rebut this message with the following points.

KJ6Q's text:
In 1917, our government decided Germany was EVIL, and with the help
of the media, persuaded the citizens of the U.S. to hate Germany, and
send our young men in the armed forces to kill them.

MY ANSWER: Totally false. We fought in WW1 Because the British, French, and Italian armies were totally exhausted. The American public did not need the government to make them hate Germany. The use of submarines against unarmed ships for the 3 years prior to our involvement, with great loss of American lives, the execution of captured British Red Cross nurses, and the murder and
rape of thousands upon thousands of civilians in countries conquered by the Germans were all the justification a nation with a conscience needs to take up arms against another.

--
KJ6Q's text:
In 1941, our government again decided Germany, and also Japan, were
evil, and with the help of the media, persuaded the citizens to hate
them, and we sent our young men in the armed forces to kill them.

MY ANSWER: Again, totally false. The Germans under Hitler perpetrated the most
disgusting and evil campaign of conquest, slaughter, and social barbarism the
world has ever known. 6 million Jews, 20 million Russians, and millions of soldiers from over a hundred countries testify to the paganism of the Nazi's with their grave markers; or in many cases their scattered bones or piles of ashes. Regarding the Japanese: they were involved in a bloody war of conquest
against China for 10 years before Pearl Harbor. In one city, Nanking, the Japanese murdered 200,000 residents with bayonets alone. There exists a famous
photo of a fetus impaled with it's mother on the end of a Japanese bayonet. Couple this with the Pearl Harbor attack, the Bataan Death March, and the supreme price paid by anyone, even in the Japanese government, who stood in the way of the Japanese military, and you have more than enough reason to

act
in the name of humanity to stop a nation of murdering, plundering slime that made Atilla the Hun look like a Cub Scout.

-
KJ6Q's text:
In 1950, our government declared North Korea was evil, and with the help of the media persuaded the citizens to hate them, and sent our young men in the armed forces to kill them.

MY ANSWER:(this is getting BORING!) Again, totally false: At the February, 1945 conference at Yalta the Russians (Stalin) told Roosevelt and Churchill That they wanted the northern half of Korea at the end of the war. At the time i
it was agreed between the 3 powers that the Russian and American areas of control would be split at the 38th parallel. This was all because Japan had previously conquered Korea and was using it as a giant prison and slave labor
camp. Hence, at the end of WW2 we had North Korea and South Korea. 5 years later, in June of 1950, South Korea was attacked by the Russian and Chinese supplied "North Korean People's Army". The reasoning is simple: Russia wanted
control of South Korea, which had began to become a prosperous and decent land to live in. This was much in contrast to the north, which was a sterile dictatorship under the puppet rule of Kim Il-Sung, who admired the tactics of
the Nazi's in matters of territorial consolidation. Instead of rebuilding the
north, the communists sought to conquer a nation that was rebuilt with help from America, and steal the wealth for themselves. I think a moral country should fight against such a thing.

--
KJ6Q's text:
In the mid 50's, our government decided the Viet Cong were evil, and with the aid of the media, convinced many of our citizens to hate them, and we sent many of our young men to kill them. But many citizens began to distrust our government, and many young men refused to go, or kill.

MY ANSWER: I am sorry to say that KJ6Q is 100% right on this one. However, if
one looks at the aftermath of the fall of Saigon, with the genocidal campaigns
against former South Vietnamese soldiers and government officials, one can can see why we opposed the North Vietnamese.

-

KJ6Q's text:
It is now 1995. Our President and government has declared that the militias, and those who distrust government are evil, and with the help of the media are trying to persuade our citizens to hate them, and send our armed forces to....

I wonder how this one will turn out?

MY ANSWER: The campaigns by the Administration and various liberal groups in the wake of the OKC bombing are stupid, reactionary, and counterproductive. It is well to speak against them. However, it is grist for the mill of the freedom restricters to post such a misinformed and cockeyed set of views such as this. The liberal weenies will take one look at KJ6Q's post and KNOW that he doesn't know a damn thing about history and the government, or for that matter know how to construct a support for his views based on documented
facts. I can just see some democrat dunce from the northeast counter-posting on the nonsense of KJ6Q's train of reasoning.

We have to present an image and show a desire for clear, reasoned discussion.
We KNOW the liberal leaning weenies don't have a leg to stand on, let's just not give them ammunition to cut us down by presenting them with arguements that don't hold water.

Freedom also means freedom to be a jackass...Look at the liberals!

N8WWM in Toledo
.....

The last line says it all.

6132. Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 04:01:58 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <WcXb9.376810$q53.12500838@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 135161

07/01 EN81er N8WWM Doug AB OH, n8wwm[at]arrl.net,
fiftymegs[at]juno.com

6133. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 04:04:31 UTC
From: Linonut <linonut@bone.com>
Message-ID: <jfXb9.191824$Aw4.8200438@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135162
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

After takin' a swig o' grog, d2002xx belched out this bit o' wisdom:

> -------------------------
> (setq a-5 1)
> (let ((a-4 20)) (let ((a-5 10)(b-10 20)) (set a-3 '(+ a-4 b-10))(setq
> a-5 (+ a-4 (* b-10 (- a-5 a-4))))))
> (message (number-to-string (% a-5 10)))
>
> Tell me what's this?? :-)

It is a Cakewalk macro!!! Moooo-ah-ha-ha-ha-ha.

6134. Randy Chapman, Gay Male Crack Whore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 04:31:27 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <161988fe3859171d10f9960ffb885ffc@xganon.com>
Source record: 135163

> > You know, the bad part is that I still crave the shit.

This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

6135. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 04:39:28 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <4MXb9.98$wK2.29853007@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 135164

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:un0cj78cvdiued@corp.supernews.com...
> Well, you can take both the FCC and IRS to court. Good luck ... ;)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> Landshark <mailto:S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net> wrote in message
> news:3gBb9.43$Iw2.3157141@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Bert Craig" <eccraigjr@cs.comnospam> wrote in message
> > news:20020829183926.06046.00000024@mb-fg.news.cs.com...
> > > >Nothing will happen to them
> > > >unless the FCC catches him. It's a little thing called proof,
innocent
> > > >until proven guilty, etc... you might recognize this from the
> > > >constitution.
> > >
> > > The FCC doesn't operate via the "normal" protocol of due process. The
> very
> > term
> > > ' Notice of Apparent Liability' coupled to a multi-thousand dollar
> > forfeiture,
> > > WITHOUT your day in court BEFORE conviction/sentencing, sure doesn't
> sound
> > like
> > > "innocent until proven guilty" to me.
> > >
> > > There are some that argue that the communications act of 1934
> constitutes
> > laws,
> > > despite not going through the "normal" legislative process and that
> > because the
> > > FCC answers to congress, they somehow represent "elected"
> > representation...AND
> > > furthermore, it's okey-dokey that they act in legislative, judicial,
and
> > > executive capacities, all in one fell swoop...which BTW is 180 degrees
> > opposite
> > > of our principle of 'checks and balances." <Please forgive this
massive
> > run-on
> > > sentence. Rant mode = off.> To this I say "BULLFEATHERS!"

> > >
> > > 73 de Bert
> > > WA2SI
> >
> > I said the same thing, good luck.
> > Also it's the same set up as the IRS, they can do
> > almost anything, and there's not much that you can
> > do about it.
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> >
> > --
> >
> > "Any day riding, is better than
> > being a newsgroupie
> >
> > 98 Buell S3 Thunderbolt
> > 02 Honda VTX 1800
> > 99 Ford F-150 Supercab 4X4 (antenna platform)
> >
> >
>
>

That's my point Jim, they are virtually
untouchable. They are not accountable to no
one but themselves, as Bert put it "no checks
& balances"

Landshark

--
Freedom of speech, of the press, of association, of assembly and petition -
this set of guarantees, protected by the First Amendment, comprises what we
refer to as freedom of expression. The Supreme Court has written that this
freedom is "the matrix, the indispensable condition of nearly every
other form of freedom." Without it, other fundamental rights,
like the right to vote, would wither and die

6136. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 04:49:24 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d7017e2.3552219@news.cet.com>
Source record: 135165

In <c5ecbb69.0208300600.71d0e2bc@posting.google.com>,
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

<snip>
>You've just proven my point for me: Ten meters is dead "because of the
>waning solar cycle". Yet 11 meters is still hopping. And it's that way
>most of the time. The difference in frequency (about 1 MHz) does not
>explain why I can hear one but not the other.

Then you don't listen much. I have seen it lots of times where just 20
kHz will make a difference. Other times skip will be rolling through
almost the whole of HF. What do hams call that -- the highest
effective frequency for a certain type of skip, or something like
that? Well, as the sun spots fade, that freq is going to drop. At
sometime or another it's going to be between bands. Care to take a
guess where it is now?

> Let's face it, it's the
>hammies creating a huge mountain out of a small molehill. They just
>gotta have something to whine about or they're not happy.

No, YOU face THIS: The problem is NOT between CBers and hams, it's
between legal and illegal operators. You can try and divert attention
away from the real reasons for this conflict, but it won't work,
because people are not as gullible as you think. Well, ok, some of
them are. But the majority isn't buying it.

>> >And your original comment IS an assumption, "the most heavily and
>> >blatantly abused part of the spectrum -- the Citizen's Band". Prove
>> >it.
>>
>> Okay:
>>
>> If Usenet is any reflection of the abuse of the CB, then that should
>> be more proof than you will ever need. Regardless;
>
>It isn't and it doesn't. Since most of the QRM in the newsgroup comes
>from disgruntled (and obsessed) amateurs and there is zero correlation
>between what's going on here and what's happening on the air.

Well, I see a lot of "QRM" from anonymous crybabies that can't prevent
themselves from getting worked up over generalized statements made in
a newsgroup.

>> Nearly all the other bands require some form of licensing, which is a
>> form of individual accountability. The CB, of course, has no licensing

>> requirement, nor does it have the 'self-policing' policy of ham radio.
>> Human nature being what it is, people will do whatever they can get
>> away with. The CB is no exception. In fact, there is so much abuse on
>> the CB that the FCC has basically 'given up' on enforcing the CB regs.
>
>Let's rephrase that just a little: "Human nature being what it is,
>people will do whatever they can get away with." Ham radio "is no
>exception."

That's true, and hammies don't get away with very much when they try.
That's because hammies police their own. Hey, you're a hammie, you
should know that already, right?

>> The number of websites condoning the abuse of CB radio is ...well,
>> uncountable. Comparatively, there are much fewer sites that promote FM
>> piracy; and only a handful of websites that encourage bootlegging on
>> all of the other bands combined, including the ham bands.
>
>You're not very well informed, are you? There are plenty of FM
>"pirates". I believe the FCC shuts more of them down than they do
>"illegal CBers".

That's true, there are quite a few FM pirates. But when compared to
the list of illegal CBers (amps and freebanders), the list is quite
small. And yes, the FCC chases FM pirates vigorously, mainly because
the legal FM stations pay hundreds of thousands of dollars to get on
the air and they aren't going to tolerate some twit interfering with
their investment. The ARRL seems to have some clout with the FCC as
well, which is why they hired Riley to slap a few fines around and
pacify the hammies. The legal CBers seem to have no influence with the
FCC at all, mainly because there is no organized participation (i.e,
professional lobbying, i.e, money) from the Citizen's Band.

>> The sales market of equipment used specifically for illegal CB is
>> large enough to support several manufacturers of amplifiers and
>> 'import' radios (both foreign and domestic), and the demand for
>> illegal modifications keeps many golden-screwdriver techs busy on a
>> full-time basis (heaven forbid they should find an honorable and legal
>> occupation). Not only that, but you even have people on the internet
>> making money by selling nothing more than the instructions needed to
>> make these modifications. This is a huge demand, and it is not
>> generated by people wishing to operate legally.
>
>Manufacturers do what they have to do to stay in business. Since they
>can't sell enough equipment to amateurs, of course some small amount
>of it finds its' way to "other applications". It is not the intention
>of the manufacturers to encourage illegal uses,

HAHAHA!!! Yeah, right! More later...

> but they've gotta make
>a buck.

Exactly! They don't care what charges or fines the consumer might face if using their equipment, they don't have to pay it. The bottom line is profits. They WILL and DO encourage illegal behavior as long as it will increase the profits. That's the American way! (I meant that sarcastically, but ironically it's true for many large, "respectable" U.S. corporations.)

> And there are plenty of "golden screwdriver techs" hacking
>amateur radios, too.

Hacking them for what? Legal operation? That doesn't make sense.

>I only know one amateur who has not wide-banded his equipment. And he
>does have the information, should he need to do so. Information is not
>illegal. Wide-banded radios are not illegal. Only certain USE is
>illegal.

It is illegal to modify a CB radio if it's going to be used on the CB, and you can't use any other type of radio on the CB. Ham radio is a different story, but you already know about that, don't you?

>> Also, just listen to the CB. There is constant bleeding, intentional
>> keying on signals, people bragging about non-linear linears or export
>> rigs, etc. And just about every night there is some moron that just
>> wants to muck things up; someone may think it's fun to say as many
>> cuss words as he can on the air, or to pick a fight with someone else
>> and hide just to see if the other person shows up. Not to mention the
>> soliciting near the truck stops, and drug dealer comm in the larger
>> towns.
>
>Also, just listen to 75 meters any night of the week and you'll hear
>exactly the same thing. Your point?

I will admit that 75 meters leaves a bit to be desired as a ham band, but the abuse is not nearly so bad. And consider that there are very few, if any, 75 meter operators compared to CB operators in any given square mile. Also, 75 meters covers a much larger area compared to typical range of a CB radio. So really were talking magnitudes of difference here.

>> If that isn't enough proof, then you are stupid.
>
>Proof? You still seem to think that ONLY CBers do these things.

No, I don't, and I never did. There will always be a bad element in any crowd, but CB radio seems to be the target for most of the people that choose to operate illegally. And again you are diverting attention away from the real issue of legal vs. illegal: I LIKE the Citizen's Band. What I DON'T like is the abuse -- the amps, the interference, the reference point for "freebanding", and the bad rep CB has gotten over the years because of these idiots. So don't tell ME that I'm anti-CB, because I'm not!

> I
>haven't seen any proof. Not from you, not from Jerry, not from Aaron,
>not from Doggie, and certainly not from Georgie-girl.

You have seen it, you just can't accept it.

>> >How about the most USED part? The fact is that there are 30 to 40
>> >million CBers crammed into less than ONE megahertz, compared to less
>> >than ONE million hams spread out all over the spectrum. I'm willing to
>> >wager that the proportion of "illegal" operations is roughly the same
>> >in both groups; the NUMBERS will of course be higher on CB, simply
>> >because there are so many more people trying to use the band, but
>> >proportionatly it'd be about the same. The hams have nothing to smile
>> >about, do they?
>>
>> The proportion is going to be MUCH higher with CB because CB doesn't
>> require licensing and doesn't have a self-policing policy.
>
>No. NUMERICALLY it may be higher (simply because there are many, many
>more CBers than there are licensed hams); proportionately I'm willing
>to bet it is not.

Well, it's your turn to prove your point. Otherwise I am going to
stick with my original statement that more people will drive more
recklessly when there is no speed limit, no license plates, no driver
licensing, and no cops.

> Also, how
>> many websites have you seen where hams promote the abuse of their own
>> spectrum? Not many. On the contrary, there are several sites where
>> hams encourage people to abuse the CB and "freeband".
>
>Well, SHAME on those hams for creating such a problem on CB!!

What happened to your argument? What about my statement that there are
very few hams that promote the abuse of their own bands? Or are you
just ignoring that point?

> So if you want
>> to make such a comparison, how about comparing the number of CBers
>> abusing ham bands to the number of hams abusing the CB?
>
>Given the miniscule number of hams (comparatively speaking - what,
>about 600,000, maybe?) and the vast spectrum available to them, you
>could be correct about that. But you think they don't?

I didn't say that. I know for a fact that there are many hams that
abuse the CB, AND there are many illegal CBers that abuse the ham
bands. Are you saying, because you don't hear anything on 10 meters,
that illegal CBers don't abuse the ham bands?

>> BTW, you still haven't provided your callsign...
>
>I won't, either. Not until Aanal Aaron posts his (and he'll never do

>that, will he?).

I don't know, why are you asking me? It would make better sense to ask
him, wouldn't it? And if he refuses to disclose whether or not he is a
ham, or if he says he is a ham but won't give out his callsign, why
don't you ask him why? I can tell you one thing about Voobner: if you
speak to him in a civilized manner, he will do the same. Kinda like
the golden rule, or did you forget that one?

>> >> Because I'm a CBer, I'm a legal CBer, and I'm a CBer that's concerned
>> >> about the future of CB radio. Why are YOU here?
>
>Then the best thing (and, in reality, the ONLY thing) you can do is
>operate properly and stay legal, isn't it?

No, that's not the ONLY thing I can do....

> You really think that more
>than a handful of CBers read the UseNet? What do you (or Aaron, or
>Jerry) think you are accomplishing here?

Speaking for myself, I like to expose voodoo CB techs, like Eitner,
Sean, etc.; to explain the truth about these 'linear' amplifiers and
radio modifications; to help with radio fundamentals; and to confront
the promoters of illegal operation on the CB. I think I am doing a
pretty good job so far, despite a rocky start.

> I'll tell you what: Aaron
>starts flamewars based on his wildly inaccurate mis-interpretation of
>FCC Notices and letters,

I'll tell YOU what: it takes two to tango. You can't blame just one
person for a flame war.

> and when he gets backed into a corner by
>people who are in the know, he drops that thread and starts another
>one. Jerry and Georgie-girl are plain impotent.

Well then, out of the mud you have scratched out a worthwhile hobby.

> And you aren't doing
>anything worth-while, either; you're wasting your time.

I don't think so. I have made more worthwhile contributions to this
newsgroup than you ever have, or probably ever will. True, I like a
good technical argument from time to time: sometimes I'm wrong and
sometimes I'm right. Right or wrong, the arguing is fun, and it tends
to dig up all kinds of interesting topics. But don't confuse a good
argument with a flame war, because the two don't even compare: an
argument uses logic, but a flame war is only to push people's buttons.
Pushing the buttons of keyclowns is fun too, but not my primary goal
on this group.

Now if you think I'm wasting my time, then you must be wasting your
time by reading my posts. My suggestion to you is to quit reading
them. Believe me, you won't hurt my feelings if you ignore me.

>> >I'm here to correct the misinformation Aanal Aaron puts out every day.
>>
>> Such as...? Are you here saying that CB amplifiers and freebanding are
>> legal?
>
>I'm saying that he spews misinformation, and that has been detailed in
>the thread about "Legal" eButt..." Look it up.

Do you mean statements such as this:

> The real issue is the
>> proliferation of fake 10 meter radios, export radios with no export
>> destination, and the hijacking of the name "amateur radio" used for
>> the purpose of selling illegal CB gear.
>>
>> -Aaron-
>
>There is NO SUCH thing as a "fake 10 meter radio", Aanal Aaron. A 10
>meter radio is made for use on 10 meters and is completely legal for
>use there by a licensed amateur. And you still haven't supported your
>claim that export radios have NO destination. We all know that they
>aren't legal for use here.

Well, sorry to burst your bubble, but those 'fake' 10 meter radios are
just that: They are made with the sole intention of easy (idiot-proof)
modification for operation on the CB, and marketed based on that
single feature. These radios are designed, manufactured, marketed, and
sold with the intention of being used illegally in the U.S. And if
they happen to get sold to some of the legal hams in the process, all
the better for the profit margin, with maybe a little boost in
reputation from the amateurs if the FCC should ever force the company
into a legitimate, but less profitable, market. If this 'fake' 10M
radio racket was any more obvious it would have to be included in
grade-school textbooks. If you can't see what's going on, then maybe
they -should- include it in grade-school textbooks.

<snip>
>> >> 1. The "QRM" can be cleaned up by using a killfile, exercising some
>> >> self-control, or just 'changing the channel' or 'turning the damn
>> >> thing off'.
>> >
>> >Why don't the alleged amateurs do that instead of clogging a CB
>> >newsgroup with mis-information (Aaron), innuendos (Georgie-girl), and
>> >just plain bovine excrement (Doggie)? They really should take your
>> >advice, shouldn't they?
>>
>> I'm guessing that they post because they choose to do so. Like I said
>> before, if that bothers you then that's your problem. Personally, I
>> don't care for the extremist nonsense on both sides, but I have enough

>> self-control to not read it. Don't you?
>
>Apparently that statement simply isn't true. You read Tim's post, you
>deliberately mis-interpreted Tim's post,

I did nothing of the sort. Since you can't yet understand what I
wrote, let me take this step by step so it will be REALLY SIMPLE for
you to understand:

1. I have told Timmy on many prior occasions that I am not a ham.
2. In his post, Timmy said I was a ham.
3. In his post, Timmy said I was a hypocrite for not "tirading" in ham
newsgroups.
4. I am not a ham.
5. I have no reason or right to be "tirading" in ham newsgroups.
6. I do not fit his criteria of being a hypocrite.
7. I -am- a CBer.
8. I -do- have a reason and a right to be "tirading" in CB newsgroups.
9. I -do- fit the -opposite- of his criteria for being a hypocrite.
10. Since he provided no word for the opposite of 'hypocrisy', I
picked the best word available: 'integrity'.
11. I responded.

Now I tried to make it so simple that even Twisty couln't spin the
meaning, but I'm sure both you and he will try. Regardless, is there
anything, ...ANYTHING you don't, won't or can't understand about my
response to Nebout?

> and you responded to Tim's
>post. Where is this so-called "self-control"?

The 'self-control' was in limiting myself to correcting his deliberate
misinformation. You don't like the spread of misinformation, do you?
After all, that's what you don't like about Voobner, right? So why are
you trying to chastise ME for correcting misinformation, when you
should be sniping Timmy for spreading it? Gee, that kinda fits in with
the definition of 'hypocrisy', doesn't it?

<snip>
>Who's Phil Covington? Never saw him post here.

Just wait a while, he'll be back.

<snip>
>> > but who appointed you (or anyone else) NetCop? People are
>> >free to post For Sale ads for anything they want to post;
>>
>> Not if it's an amplifier capable of operating on the CB. Or haven't
>> you been reading Voobner's posts? I'm sure he will provide you with
>> the proper information showing you where you are wrong.
>
>Of course they can. It's as easy as typing an ad for legal stuff. If
>you don't like to read them, use your filters and don't look at them.

>Funny how everyone else can filter and use kill-files but you don't.

There is a distinction between legal and illegal behavior, or can't
you make that distinction? Just -advertising- an RF amplifier capable
of operating on the CB is a federal offense.

***Hey Voob, someone needs a refresher on the subject!

>And I highly doubt Aanal Aaron can provide anyone with "proper
>information". He never has and he most likely never will. He might
>provide HIS take on something, but it won't be the truth.

The Code of Federal Regulations isn't the truth? Well, maybe you can
point out a few of it's lies.

>> You are totally ignoring the purpose of discouraging illegal behavior.
>> By your "logic", if you don't like thieves stealing your tools from
>> your garage then you should just ignore them. That's a pretty good
>> plan as far as the thieves are concerned, huh? You wouldn't happen to
>> be one of those 'thieves', now would you?
>
>No, if I don't like thieves stealing tools from my garage, I bring my
>tools inside where I can protect them; I don't leave them where
>someone might take them. I don't go out with a scattergun and shoot
>everybody for blocks.

Twisty does.

> And I certainly don't go into their houses,
>which is an approximation of what the "alleged amateurs" are doing in
>this newsgroup.

That's total bullshit, this isn't YOUR HOUSE! This is a newsgroup. YOU
make the decision to bring ANYTHING from the internet into your house.
Like I have said many times before, nobody is forcing you to read the
newsgroup. Don't blame anyone but yourself for getting worked up over
whatever YOU choose to read!

>And "discouraging illegal behavior" makes you a dork in the eyes of
>anyone who does such things and has no effect on their behavior
>whatsoever, does it. And it makes you a "goodie two-shoes" to most
>anyone else. It's not your problem (you said you run legal on CB),
>right? Why does it matter to you what other people do? If you're not a
>ham, why should it bother you that the occasional trucker (or
>whatever) mistakenly uses a quiet frequency within the edges of an
>amateur band?

I don't really care about 10 meter incursions, my focus on this
newsgroup is, and has always been, primarily with the Citizen's Band.
And guess what? I really don't care if illegal operators consider me a
"dork" or a "goodie two-shoes". It's better than being a lemming,
bending to peer pressure and following the herd like a cow.

And you know what else? Personally I really don't care if anyone wants
to break the law. That's up to them. But in the process they screw
things up for other people, which is why we have laws in the first
place. For some reason (actually, many reasons that I have already
explained), the CB seems to be a haven for deliberate lawbreaking,
with the result of the trashing of the band. I don't care about the
lawbreaking, but I do care about the band. So unless you come up with
a solution for how these troglodytes can get their kicks breaking the
law while not screwing things up for everyone else, I'm all ears.
Otherwise, I guess I'll just have to live with the tag of "goodie
two-shoes". And I will wear it with pride AND integrity, which is
something that you can't do (or will probably ever understand).

<snip>
>> Actually, I have mentioned several times that hams should take there
>> ham discussions elsewhere. In fact, since illegal operation is closer
>> in comparison to ham radio than it is to CB radio, the illegals should
>> go there as well.
>
>Oh boy, the "illegals" are much closer to CBers than amateurs. CBers
>don't have licenses; illegals certainly do not have licenses. Amateurs
>are supposed to have licenses. Where's the commonality?

Legal CBers and legal hams have the commonality of legal operation.
But that's where it ends: Illegal operators use high power, as do
hams; illegal operators use modes other than AM and SSB, as do hams;
illegal operators use frequencies beyond channel assignments, as do
hams; illegal operators use modified gear, as do hams; illegal
operators intentionally work DX, as do hams; etc. In fact, the only
differences between illegal operators and hams is the license and a
couple of the limitations. Oh, and illegal operators do not have the
policies of "good engineering practice" or "self-policing". So maybe
you are right, maybe they are not THAT much like hams, but they are
certainly more like hams than CBers.

>> But I responded to Timmy's post because he grouped me in with other
>> "alleged amatuers", of which I am not. Or were you unable to
>> understand my response to his post? Let's read it again, shall we?
>
>I don't recall that Tim lumped you with anyone. If you took it that
>way, it's your problem. And apparently you took it that way.

...uh, are you lysdexic or something? Since you snipped it, let me
post it again:

>...i dont see jerry, aaron, frank or
>anybody else tirading about the amatuer bands and on there newsgroups
>complaining and these problems are even more directly related to the service
>there fighting for.

Well, if I'm not a ham, and my posts haven't been defending the ham
bands, why does he think that I'm "fighting for" the ham bands? I
think you have a problem with reading comprehension. Either that or

you are just too stupid to understand what was written. Maybe you
should also refer back to several posts where Timmy refers to me as
N7VCF, a ham on the other side of the state with the same name as
mine. Twisty made the suggestion, and Timmy was gullible enough to
believe it. So now you have TWO more people you can chastise for
giving out misinformation. Get busy...

>> >I'm in here because I'm a CBer. I am not a ham (yet), and that's
>> >probably why I don't post much on ham newsgroups. That's not
>> >hypocrisy, that's integrity. Learn the difference.
>>
>> Looks like I wasn't agreeing OR disagreeing with his statement, except
>> for the fact that I am not a ham. I was speaking for myself, not the
>> other "alleged amateurs" that Timmy was whining about. So why do you
>> think that I was speaking on their behalf? Did I write something that
>> I didn't understand? No, I don't think so. The problem is that YOU
>> didn't understand what I said, you just jumped to the conclusion that
>> I was speaking on behalf of those "alleged amateurs".
>
>To me, it looks like you made a lame attempt to flame him.

Perhaps from your perspective I did. I'm not suprised.

<snip>
>> I do take my own advice quite often. But right now I choose to
>> exercise my freedom of expression, just like you. You can't prevent me
>> from posting and I can't prevent you from posting. The only thing
>> either one of us can do is to prevent ourselves from posting. So this
>> will probably continue until you run out of excuses, or get tired of
>> trying to defend your BS, and choose instead to stop posting. Or, you
>> can follow Twisty's example and just start posting nonsense. But then,
>> just like Twisty, you will end up in just about everyone's killfile,
>> including mine, and lose what little integrity you have left. Speaking
>> of integrity, what's your callsign?
>
>Or maybe Doggie's example. Or Georgie-girl's example. Or even Aaron's
>example. You're in really good company there. Congradulations on your
>choice of friends.

Nice spin. Twisty would be proud.

>> >> > They are
>> >> >accomplishing nothing by trolling here. And they will accomplish
>> >> >nothing as long as they choose to waste their time.
>> >>
>> >> Evidently they are accomplishing -something-, as you seem to be
>> >> offended by their posting. But you (and Timmy) seem to be similarly
>> >> offended by MY posting. And you have never voiced your disagreement
>> >> with the "QRM" from proponents of illegal operation. So clearly your
>> >> problem isn't with hams, but with something else. I recommend a
>> >> therapist to get to the root of your problem. Twisty claims to have a
>> >> good one, but he can't seem to recall a name. Maybe he will provide it

>> >> to you through email.
>
>I am offended by Aanal Aaron's deliberate mis-information, and I am
>somewhat offended by Georgie-girl's lame attempt to provoke people
>with sexual innuendo (and that's all he ever posts, you notice).

Not quite. He seems to know quite a bit about microwaves, a subject
that I avoid more than lima beans.

> But
>precisely WHAT is all this accomplishing? It only makes them look like
>idiots.

Now isn't that their choice if they want to look like idiots? And if
that's what they choose to do, and that's what they are accomplishing,
then why does it bother you? I mean, why would you complain when
someone that you don't like succeeds in making an idiot out of
himself? Or is it that you are trying to convince yourself that they
look like idiots so you don't feel like one for complaining about
them? Hmmm, I think that's closer to the truth, don't you?

<snip>
>> Now THOSE people I can live without. Care to point them out?
>
>Same names: Jerry Ox, JJ, Georgie-girl, etc., etc., etc., ad
>infinitum.

I haven't seen a post by Jerry, JJ, or George that is anti-CB, except
for the reason that the CB seems to be IO-central. I have never seen
any of them have a problem with legal CBers. But there are a few
extremists that don't like -anything- legal, such as Timmy, Train, or
that dictatorial, genocidal, racist, Bible-thumping hypocrite of a
hermaphrodite called either Dave or Debby.

<snip>
>> > it's with alleged hams
>> >who never seem to operate on the ham bands because they're always
>> >slumming in a CB newsgroup. Get the picture?
>>
>> I get the picture very clearly.
<snip>
>I'd say you're pretty busy. But then, you've also said you're not
>(yet) a ham, so my statement was not directed to you.

I would say that YOU are starting to get the picture. Maybe you can
pass it along to Timmy, who still thinks I'm N7VCF.

>> I am also working on getting my ham license. There sure are a lot of
>> things about propogation that I have forgotten since college, I'll
>> tell you that much. Not only that, but I picked up my first two ham
>> radios in the past month: One is a Heathkit HW-19 (ok, it a CAP radio,
>> but with a VFO it should work just fine for ham); and I found a Swan
>> 500 in really great shape (and for an even better price).

>
>A couple of real boatanchors there! Good luck with the Swan. They're
>one strange radio, in general.

I used to think so, but learned different after I picked this up. This is really one fine rig, and made before Swan's fatal affiliation with Sillytronix. It does have a problem, though. For some reason they put the ANL circuit between the product detector and the first audio amp, i.e, right between the two triodes of an unshielded 12AX7. Not a good idea. The result is that, after time wears down the components a bit, the audio oscillates through the AGC, which then needs to be disabled, and which also disables the S-meter. Great for CW, but bad for the ears. I noticed that on the later version of the 500C they moved the whole ANL circuit to a point after the AGC amp, the grid resistor of which was changed to a lower value. I did the same modification and that solved the problem.

But while this radio can do 200 watts CW and 500+ PEP on SSB, it can also do QRP CW (with break-in keying) simply by keying through the mic jack and turning the carrier balance off-center. And since it will load into 15 to 500 ohms, you can use almost anything for an antenna. With a sensitivity of 0.5 uV on the receiver and 500+ PEP, you don't need much of an antenna anyway.

And no PC boards -- it's all point-to-point wiring!

So I don't know why anyone would call it a "strange" radio, it seems like a fine radio to me.

>> But YOU spend your time talking on the CB, chasing Voobner on the
>> newsgroups, and scanning 10M looking for illegal operators just to
>> dispute him. That's truly pathetic, dude.
>
>Wrong again. I don't talk on CB anymore (although I used to). I don't
>bother "chasing Voobner" - he posts enough right here to keep my
>fingers sore.

Well, everyone needs a hobby. Have you tried bowling? It might be more fun. Or maybe amateur radio? Hey, now THERE'S a novel idea, huh?

> And I am taking JJ's advice looking for those
>"intruders" he claims are in the bottom end of 10 meters (and I have
>not heard yet). Actually, I'm trying to confirm his statements; I just
>haven't been able to. That's not pathetic, that's "active".

That's not active, that's sedentary. Go get some fresh air. Fly a kite or something. You know, it's been years since I have seen a kid, or anyone for that fact, fly a kite in this town. Has the activity of kite-flying been flushed by the Nintendos and X-box's? Maybe those games will let you 'virtually' fly a kite, although I tend to prefer the real thing. Ya know what? I got tomorrow off, I'm going to build a kite and fly it (if the wind cooperates)! I challenge you to do the same.

>> Seriously, what is it that they say that bothers you so much? Are you
>> an illegal operator that can't stand people telling you that what you
>> are doing is illegal? Or if someone says something bad about CB radio,
>> do you actually take it personally? I get the picture VERY clearly.
>> Get a life. And this time when I say "get a life" I really mean it.
>
>IF I was an "illegal" operator, I wouldn't care what they said. The
>fact is that it offends me to see those low-lifes rain on everybody's
>parade, just because they're anally-retentive. Hell, if they said it
>once and moved on it wouldn't bother me at all. But Aanal Aaron has
>been corrected so many times that it's absurd, and he STILL doesn't
>acknowledge that he doesn't have a clue what is reality.

Well, reality is relative. My most sincere suggestion is to step away
from the newsgroup for a while. Things won't fall apart while you are
gone.

<snip>
>> Tell you what: You go ahead and believe what you want. Post whatever
>> misinformed, deconstructive, and obviously subversive anti-ham
>> propaganda you want. But next time you want to reply to one of my
>> posts that has nothing to do with you, try to understand it first.
>> Then try not to jump to the conclusion that I am speaking on behalf of
>> any of those "alleged amateurs" or "BOZOs", or whatever you choose to
>> call them depending on your mood.
>
>If you're not speaking on their behalf, then why did you post at all?

I thought I made that clear last time, but if you need more
clarification than what I have outlined above, then you need to seek
professional help.

>You certainly were being defensive. Oh, I forgot: Like Aanal Aaron,
>you just have to prove that you're right. Maybe you ARE Aanal Aaron??

...oh brother, here we go again!

>And just where (and how) did you come up with this "obviously
>subversive anti-ham propoganda"?? What a diaper-load!

It's all you complain about. "Hammies do this" and "hammies do that"
and none of them live up to your standards. It's never about the real
issue, which is illegal operation. Your obsession is with hams.

>> Now if any part of this post was beyond your ability to understand it,
>> I'm sure you will reply. But don't get discouraged, you aren't doing
>> too bad for a fifth- or sixth-grader.
>
>Ohhhhhh, a PUT DOWN. I'm crushed! Now take your own advice and be sure
>you understand my posting before you bother to reply.

I did understand it. Now maybe you can try and understand my original
response to Timmy for the meaning that was intended (and carefully
outlined above), not for some distorted meaning that fits with your

distorted perceptions.

6137. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 04:53:43 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d704ae3.16608166@news.cet.com>
Source record: 135166

In <anLb9.1515$3r.67674421@newssvr13.news.prodigy.com>, "Landshark"
<S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote:

<snip>
>> A couple hundred stations compared with ... how many CBers running
>> modified rigs, amps, or freebanding? Not even close.
>
>
>Frank, that was only "One" website of thousands, so
>a lot more than just a "couple hundred stations "

I can't even get close to that many hits. What search engine did you
use, and what was your search criteria? And disregarding that some of
those listed were in other countries, do you really think there are
more than a couple hundred pirate FM stations in the US? If you do, is
that based on the number of hits from your search?

Even better, try and make a list of FM pirate amp and transmitter
manufacturers, then compare it to a list of CB amp and "import"
manufacturers. Which list do you think is going to be bigger?

> Hell in High school I knew five guys that competed
>against each other with 'Pirate" fm stations. that was a
>small town of only 5 thousand people.

We don't even have one pirate station here, I don't think we have ever
had one. And this is a metro area of about 300,000 people. Where did
you go to High School?

<snip>
>> Really? I thought they were using kits, like those Berkeley
>> transmitters, or some other home-brew transmitter + amp (some places
>> sell pre-built 'exciters', but are quickly shut down by the FCC). I
>> don't recall seeing any sites that mention the use of ham equipment.
>> It takes some mighty extensive modifications to turn a 6M or 2M ham
>> rig into a continuous-transmit FM stereo station at 100 MHz. It's much
>> simpler and cheaper to build the stuff from kits. Of course, some
>> people don't like things that are simple and cheap, even if they
>> happen to work better. But if you say that most pirate FM stations are
>> using ham equipment then it must be true.
>>
>
>Well one site did, but it again was just an example, I didn't
>really bother with searching some 41,000 plus hits for that.
>I looked briefly this morning at another, it said he was a
>ham running modified ham gear, so they are no saints either.

Just for technical reasons, I would like to see what he did to get his narrowband FM ham gear to go wideband more than 30 MHz from any ham band.

>Now I'm not claiming that all hams do this, but neither do
>the vast majority of cb'rs do illegal things too.

I think that very few hams do this. And I think most of the RF piracy is caused by people that are either too lazy or too paranoid to do it the legal way, whether they are licensed for another band or not.

<snip>
>> Then you don't listen to the "superbowl" much. I can't blame you
>> there...
>>
>
>No not much. Occasionally I've gotten on there when the
>big watts died down, but again not much.

Well, there's almost no skip on 10M anymore, and the local "superbowl" channel (around here it's channel 1) is picking up the slack. So is channel 2, 3, 4, 5, ... etc. A few of the local boxes will splatter all the way to 40. Happens every day around here. Doesn't happen on the FM band.

>> >>And just about every night there is some moron that just
>> >> wants to muck things up; someone may think it's fun to say as many
>> >> cuss words as he can on the air, or to pick a fight with someone else
>> >> and hide just to see if the other person shows up.
>> >
>> >Just like here right? Just like the amateur newsgroup right?
>>
>> Just like any other newsgroup that tends to draw uncivilized cretins.
>> There a few differences, tho: There are tens of thousands of
>> newsgroups while there are only 40 CB channels; You can't step on
>> someone's signal in a newsgroup; and on the radio, that behavior is
>> illegal. YOU are comparing apples and oranges.
>>
>
> True, but so were you. You agree that it's ok to
>swear, accuse, threaten, post porn, filth, flood the
>newsgroup just because it's a cb newsgroup?

No, I don't. Personally I find most of it offensive. That's why I don't read it. Although Voobner's brain-damaged ass spoof was hilarious!

> So
>when someone says they are kill filling people, others
>change their nics to by pass it, just like following someone
>to another channel and harassing them there, right?

I have done it a couple times. So have you. What's your point? Nobody is being harassed unless you keep it up. Regardless, you don't -need-

to advertise that you have someone in your killfile, especially when everyone knows how to get past them. Wanna know a little secret? If you look at my headers you will see that I use Free Agent -- that software has no killfile. My killfile is in my head. It's real simple to use: whenever I see a post written by twistedhed, I just delete it. It's really simple. But maybe you feel like you are getting snookered whenever you read a post by a name you have never seen and find out it's just George. If that gets your panty-hose in a bunch then try reading the title of the post, too, since it might give you a clue as to it's content. And if there is a thread that is nothing but arguing, hit 'ignore thread' so you won't get anymore posts there.

This stuff is too simple, shark. I don't see any reason why anyone has any right to complain because the space here (unlike the RF spectrum) is practically unlimited; that is, unless someone is forced to read each and every post at gunpoint or something like that. Maybe it's those darn Artesians that take control of your mind and make you read things that irritate you. I don't know. But it's your problem, so quit trying to blame it on someone else.

> My point Frank, is human behavior, to which you hit
>on several times. The problem isn't illegal amps, radio's,
>it's human behavior. Look at a few of the people that
>troll this group, do you think they talk like that on
>the ham bands? Of course not, same could be said for
>some of the people that are just cb'rs.

Listen to the CB band. Do you think they talk like that on the ham bands? Well, maybe a few of them do, but for the most part the ham bands are reasonably civilized. Which is several steps up from the current state of CB radio.

Now my question is, why would anyone complain about me trying to make the Citizen's Band a better place? And if that's what I want to do, why shouldn't I include rec.radio.cb in my effort?

> Hell look at the thread that was cross posted from
>XP/linux newsgroups, just like this one, but not
>wanted.
>
> You see Frank we're not to far apart on opinions.

I never said we were. You did.

6138. Re: Hey A.E. members!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 05:02:42 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d704cfb.17145058@news.cet.com>
Source record: 135167

In <ak73t2$9su$1@news.netmar.com>, americaneagle352@*iwon.com wrote:

>
>YES....we FINALLY made it into Popular Communications magazine! In the
>October 2002 edition, there is a brief mention of the club (and website) on
>page 27. And to make things even 'sweeter', the Oct. 2002 edition is their
>20th Anniversary edition. I reckon' we did good.
>
>
> American Eagle 352
> Internet Diplomat
> 27.395 LSB
>
> PS: You shoulda' joined Sparky! ;)

Naw, I don't think so. I would rather keep my integrity and continue
to operate legally. And I'll do the same when I get my ham license.
Come to think of it, you're a ham, aren't you?

6139. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 05:04:44 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D704E6C.7CFB0A1C@citlink.net>
Source record: 135168

If the truth be known the reason for the OO progam if you want to call it a program is that the Fcc is so understaffed because of cutbacks in recent years.They do not have time ofr manpower to be chasing illegal cb operator and dont bother to do so except in cases that get multiple complaints due to harassment,vulgarity or interference with other services and I repeat "multiple complaints" and if they happen to be in the area doing commercial freq./power checks etc then they "might look into it.
Also this is the reason for the Fcc granting certain enforcement powers to local law enforcement agencies.Most of the time the locals will not bother as far as power issues etc because there have been too many court cases tried and won on the basis that they do not know the rules and do not have the proper equitment nor the training to operate it if they had it..Rarely will they try to enforce anything because sitting outside a house with a cb in a cop car and having the meter pegged while some jerk is spewing obcenities is not certified equiptment and a lot of cases have been lost because of this.Recent cases concerning certain CB operators in Phoenix AZ bears this out.5 operators...29 violations 1 lawyer,1 day ...all dismissed.
I take no side here just stateing the facts maam,just the facts

ALLSTEEL77 wrote:

> Jerry,tell me that you didn't turn that trucking company in?Too much idle time.

6140. Re: Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 05:13:55 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3D705093.D2CEE3BB@citlink.net>
Source record: 135169

Very nice job Randy.....

Randy wrote:

> Forwarding path: KA8AHF WB8BII WB8LYF W8HHF
>
> From: N8WWM@W8HHF.#TOL.OH.USA.NA
> To : MLITIA@ALLUS
>
> KJ6Q Sent this message out, which compares the attacks on militias with
> other
> historical events. I want to rebut this message with the following points.
>
> KJ6Q's text:
> In 1917, our government decided Germany was EVIL, and with the help
> of the media, persuaded the citizens of the U.S. to hate Germany, and
> send our young men in the armed forces to kill them.
>
> MY ANSWER: Totally false. We fought in WW1 Because the British, French, and
> Italian armies were totally exhausted. The American public did not need the
> government to make them hate Germany. The use of submarines against unarmed
> ships for the 3 years prior to our involvement, with great loss of American
> lives, the execution of captured British Red Cross nurses, and the murder
> and
> rape of thousands upon thousands of civilians in countries conquered by the
> Germans were all the justification a nation with a conscience needs to take
> up arms against another.
> ---
> --
> KJ6Q's text:
> In 1941, our government again decided Germany, and also Japan, were
> evil, and with the help of the media, persuaded the citizens to hate
> them, and we sent our young men in the armed forces to kill them.
>
> MY ANSWER: Again, totally false. The Germans under Hitler perpetrated the
> most
> disgusting and evil campaign of conquest, slaughter, and social barbarism
> the
> world has ever known. 6 million Jews, 20 million Russians, and millions of
> soldiers from over a hundred countries testify to the paganism of the Nazi's
> with their grave markers; or in many cases their scattered bones or piles of
> ashes. Regarding the Japanese: they were involved in a bloody war of
> conquest
> against China for 10 years before Pearl Harbor. In one city, Nanking, the
> Japanese murdered 200,000 residents with bayonets alone. There exists a

> famous
> photo of a fetus impaled with it's mother on the end of a Japanese bayonet.
> Couple this with the Pearl Harbor attack, the Bataan Death March, and the
> supreme price paid by anyone, even in the Japanese government, who stood in
> the way of the Japanese military, and you have more than enough reason to
> act
> in the name of humanity to stop a nation of murdering, plundering slime that
> made Atilla the Hun look like a Cub Scout.
> --
> _
> KJ6Q's text:
> In 1950, our government declared North Korea was evil, and with the
> help of the media persuaded the citizens to hate them, and sent our
> young men in the armed forces to kill them.
>
> MY ANSWER:(this is getting BORING!) Again, totally false: At the February,
> 1945 conference at Yalta the Russians (Stalin) told Roosevelt and Churchill
> That they wanted the northern half of Korea at the end of the war. At the
> time i
> it was agreed between the 3 powers that the Russian and American areas of
> control would be split at the 38th parallel. This was all because Japan had
> previously conquered Korea and was using it as a giant prison and slave
> labor
> camp. Hence, at the end of WW2 we had North Korea and South Korea. 5 years
> later, in June of 1950, South Korea was attacked by the Russian and Chinese
> supplied "North Korean People's Army". The reasoning is simple: Russia
> wanted
> control of South Korea, which had began to become a prosperous and decent
> land to live in. This was much in contrast to the north, which was a sterile
> dictatorship under the puppet rule of Kim Il-Sung, who admired the tactics
> of
> the Nazi's in matters of territorial consolidation. Instead of rebuilding
> the
> north, the communists sought to conquer a nation that was rebuilt with help
> from America, and steal the wealth for themselves. I think a moral country
> should fight against such a thing.
> --
> __
>
> KJ6Q's text:
> In the mid 50's, our government decided the Viet Cong were evil, and
> with the aid of the media, convinced many of our citizens to hate
> them, and we sent many of our young men to kill them. But many citizens
> began to distrust our government, and many young men refused to go, or
> kill.
>
> MY ANSWER: I am sorry to say that KJ6Q is 100% right on this one. However,
> if
> one looks at the aftermath of the fall of Saigon, with the genocidal
> campaigns

> against former South Vietnamese soldiers and government officials, one can
> can see why we opposed the North Vietnamese.
> --
> _
>
> KJ6Q's text:
> It is now 1995. Our President and government has declared that the militias,
> and those who distrust government are evil, and with the help of the media
> are trying to persuade our citizens to hate them, and send our armed forces
> to....
>
> I wonder how this one will turn out?
>
> MY ANSWER: The campaigns by the Administration and various liberal groups in
> the wake of the OKC bombing are stupid, reactionary, and counterproductive.
> It is well to speak against them. However, it is grist for the mill of the
> freedom restricters to post such a misinformed and cockeyed set of views
> such as this. The liberal weenies will take one look at KJ6Q's post and KNOW
> that he doesn't know a damn thing about history and the government, or for
> that matter know how to construct a support for his views based on
> documented
> facts. I can just see some democrat dunce from the northeast counter-posting
> on the nonsense of KJ6Q's train of reasoning.
>
> We have to present an image and show a desire for clear, reasoned
> discussion.
> We KNOW the liberal leaning weenies don't have a leg to stand on, let's just
> not give them ammunition to cut us down by presenting them with arguements
> that don't hold water.
>
> Freedom also means freedom to be a jackass...Look at the liberals!
>
> N8WWM in Toledo
> .....
>
> The last line says it all.

6141. KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 05:53:08 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <8RYb9.316722$2p2.13312422@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135170

KD4CXJ
--
Try me I'll Make you Famous

6142. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 05:57:29 UTC
From: Kadaitcha Man <hahahahaha@fooq.com>
Message-ID: <akplsbnod0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 135171
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy,alt.nuke.the.usa

"Andy" <RootedYaDaughter@MyPlaceLast.Night> wrote in message
news:akp98e$hv6$2@pinah.connect.com.au...
> > And I had Jamal for the night.
>
> BWAHAHAHAHA!! You fucking wish!
>
> She'd be off her lemon spread if she did have a go on you.

Maybe I paid her more than you offered.

> Unless you got
> her with that "Black Charm" of yours
>
> Mate, I would have loved to of chucked my jatz cracker into her!!
>
> Hmmmm...i might make a stop into town tonight.

No point. After I've been in there you won't be able to touch the sides.

--
Kadaitcha Man
Moderator: alt.os.windows-xp
Moderator: alt.support.shyness

6143. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 05:58:24 UTC
From: Kadaitcha Man <hahahahaha@fooq.com>
Message-ID: <akplu3nrc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 135172
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

"Andy" <RootedYaDaughter@MyPlaceLast.Night> wrote in message
news:akp98d$hv6$1@pinah.connect.com.au...
> >Three, if the fat slut is
> > lurking.>
>
> 4 unless the bloke in the corner is still laughing for no apparent reason

Nah. He didn't seem to have faculties that could be spoken of. Zombie.

--
Kadaitcha Man
Moderator: alt.os.windows-xp
Moderator: alt.support.shyness

6144. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 06:10:57 UTC
From: Kadaitcha Man <hahahahaha@fooq.com>
Message-ID: <akpmlj$p60$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 135173
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

```
"d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
news:d6rz93xh.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
>
> > "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
> > news:bs7ks4g8.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> > > "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
> > >
> > > > "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:1y8ilyh2.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> > > > > "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
> > > > >
> > > > > > "Oris" <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:f7a9c6ac.0208281720.44817f2f@posting.google.com...
> > > > > > > I have a box on my network that runs Linux. It
> > > > > > > is about the most user unfriendly Operating system. I use it
in a
> > Dell
> > > > > > > dimension 333. It serves as a router and a firewall for my
> > network.
> > > > > > > It's amazing what you can do with a box of junk and a few blank
> > CD's.
> > > > > > Can't you create a funnier joke?
> > > > > You want me to create a new linux?
> > > > I'm wondering if you're a programmer.
> > >
> > mov [bx+9],byte ptr 8
> > mov [bx+si],word ptr 67h
> > call near [bx]
> > jmp short 97h (8-bit relative)
> > mov ax,bx
> > mov al,97h
> > push byte ptr [5]
> > push bx
> > retf 6 (a FAR return, pop 6 bytes)
> -------------------------
> (setq a-5 1)
> (let ((a-4 20)) (let ((a-5 10)(b-10 20)) (set a-3 '(+ a-4 b-10))(setq
```

```
> a-5 (+ a-4 (* b-10 (- a-5 a-4))))))
> (message (number-to-string (% a-5 10)))
>
> Tell me what's this?? :-)
```

That's a smiley face.

```
> -------------------------
> And this:
>
> let rec sort lst =
> match lst with
> [] -> []
> | head :: tail -> insert head (sort tail)
> and insert elt lst =
> match lst with
> [] -> [elt]
> | head :: tail -> if elt <= head then elt :: lst else head :: insert
elt tail
> ;;
```

OH! WOW! An insertion sort! The camel won't be able to sleep tonight.

You lisping Dumbfuck.

```
--
Kadaitcha Man
Moderator: alt.os.windows-xp
Moderator: alt.support.shyness
```

6145. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 06:14:37 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208302214.3c85ea5d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135174

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208301505.43e1a3f2@posting.google.com>...
> ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208031135.727b93f2@posting.google.com>...
> > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<BPG29.35372$6J1.103337211@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > ROTFLMFAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > > Oh man Richard that's to funny.....................
> > >
> > > Landshark
> >
> > Congratulations, Dick. You've won the retard vote.
>
> You mean I got it away from YOU, Aanal Aaron? I doubt it highly.
>
> >
> > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> > >I suggest you call your buddies at the FCC and ask for clarification
> > >of the Notice. BTW, did you ever call their Interference Complaint
> > >Line and get their message?
> >
> > I suggest you actually read the information before you begin making up
> > lame excuses:
>
> I didn't think so. Don't bother me or any other CBer until you do so. Lamebrain!

Too bad a whole bunch of truck stops that sell "phoney 10 meter
radios" are on Riley's hit list. I don't know if any are in VA Beach
area but you may want to drive out to them and personally explain to
Riley why they must remain on sale since they are legal for a ham like
you to use :)

-Aaron-

6146. Richard Cranium revealed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 06:22:22 UTC
From: keyclownslammas@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <50f7cd4f.0208302222.67cef8a8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135175

KD4CXJ
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464

6147. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 06:24:10 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208302224.5e53b34d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135176

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208300611.1ad4678d@posting.google.com>...
> "BecauseUAsked4It" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:<7srb9.274633$m91.11040285@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > Why don't the alleged amateurs do that instead of clogging a CB
> > > newsgroup with mis-information (Aaron), innuendos (Georgie-girl), and
> > > just plain bovine excrement (Doggie)? They really should take your
> > > advice, shouldn't they?
> > **********
> > Again the alleged amateur is you you should take your own advise. What is
> > the alleged callsign, it has to be a 2 since rochester is in 2 land.
>
> Where's Rochester, Georgie-girl? You don't know nearly as much as your
> ego leads you to believe you do.
>
> As Aanal Aaron always says, you'll just have to dig a little deeper!
> ;)

Thanks for the advice. I had a hunch that anyone with such an abrasive
personality would have made LOTS of enemies on Usenet. So I invested
30 minutes of search time. Bingo. I was right. A little poking around
in the pirate radio newsgroups and I'd located the embers of a flame
war that "Richard" ignited (one of many). This particular conflict had
resulted in one of his "pirate radio friendships" with another troll
going sour. The troll conveniently ID'd him.

From: jamey clark (jameyboy@juno.com)
Subject: The Big V followed me! Some of you may be infected by this
"troll"
Newsgroups: alt.religion.w-w-church-god
Date: 2002-03-07 10:24:48 PST

Hi Jamey, My name is Woody Parr and I'm up the road in Cornelia. I'm
afraid that I'm indirectly responsible for this troll who is
badmouthing you at alt.religion.urantiabook. You see he was only there
in the first place to attack me.

This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
alt.radio.pirate He and I (were) both formerly loosely associated
with each other in the shortwave pirate radio listening hobby. I USED
to be a shortwave pirate but my station has been permanently retired
for more than 2 years now. Stevie is still a pirate and is the

operator of the station Radio Free Speech. He is also the moderator of a message board at the Free Radio Network < www.frn.net > and goes by the handle of Pat Murphy there. I had a falling out with the people at the FRN a couple of years ago as they became corrupt and were doing so inappropriate things. I dared to open my mouth and openly complain about there stupid activities which since they havn't yet ceased to follow me around the internet anywhere they can and attack me.

Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to debate anyone using his real name.

QRZ LISTING:

KD4CXJ ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ï¿½(TECHNICIAN CLASS)
STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
USA

Active pirate operator...TSK TSK!

;)

-Aaron-

6148. A new South Park clip!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 06:27:40 UTC
From: keyclownslammas@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <50f7cd4f.0208302227.9b34b49@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135177

Kyle: Mr. Garrison, what's a crackhead?

Garrison: Kyle, just look right here! <shows a picture of Chapwoman>

Cartman: I know that guy! He's been lurking near the schoolyard!

Garrison: Don't worry about that, you are perfectly safe!

Kyle: How do we know that? He might be gay or something!

Garrison: He is, but he's just waiting for me to be done for the day.

Cartman: See guys, I told you Mr. Garrison was gay.

6149. Re: BS BS BS BS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 06:31:14 UTC
From: keyclownslammas@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <50f7cd4f.0208302231.75643df7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135178

Thats nothing compared to the garbage you post, Beckie.

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<MjWb9.16$nr3.1566977@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>...
> "crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
> news:1030740354.25659@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net...
> >
> ` Useless crap deleted
>
> Who cares, you posted it once that's enough.
> This is very close to spamming if not flooding the
> group.
>
> Landshark

6150. Re: interference issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 06:37:10 UTC
From: keyclownslammas@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <50f7cd4f.0208302237.4227ad4b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135179

"george craig" <geocraig@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<e1Wb9.43774$Ke2.3074869@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> please review the site www.aerorfi.org
> personally I do not care what you folks do [ham or cb] but interference to
> aircraft is serious
> business... base or mobile I would recomend low pass filters.... George

You are talking to people who do NOT care, George. These keyclowns
have no regard for anything. They are federal criminals.

6151. Chapwoman's BIIIIIIG play!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 07:22:05 UTC
From: keyclownslammas@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <50f7cd4f.0208302322.15ce51b9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135180

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslammas@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:50f7cd4f.0208301827.7652615c@posting.google.com...
> As I see it, chapwoman, the point is that you are a criminal in the
> eyes of Texas, and in teh eyes of the federal government as well.

Chapwwoman femninely and crackheadedly mewled in reply:
TraceRoute to 65.238.113.20 [1cust20.tnt3.sylvania.oh.da.uu.net]

Ya think so, Dogie?

AntiBoys reply to crackheaded ham traitor keyclown:

Chapwoman, we antikeyclowns KNOW so.

Awww widdle cwackhead can dooo an EYE PEE trace! Musta been the PEE
part that attracted you. Been done a million times, so take off points
for unoriginality. My 11 yr old neice does 'em too, so you aint
impressin squat, dopehead. I guess it must be rough for a sissy
cwackhedd to do much better.

6152. Re: George buttfucks Randygirl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 07:36:23 UTC
From: keyclownslammas@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <50f7cd4f.0208302336.3b15c391@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135181

> > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> whined in message
> > news:jgzb9.165559$Yd.7288984@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > Wow Georgie! I think you've set yourself a new record today!
> > > Congratulations! 3 new nics in 24 hours. How DO you find the time?

Same way you find time to post on here through the clouds from your crack pipe.

6153. Re: Ham Misbehavior - An example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 07:39:11 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <akprqukve14@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 135182

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:

> So why do I need to upgrade to a lot of push buttons and fancy
> features? The Collins equipment give me everything I need. What
> more do you need to talk with someone on the ham bands. Does a
> $3000 Kenwood sound any better than the Collins? Can it make any
> better contacts that the Collins? Is it any more reliable than the
> Collins?

The same can be said for CB radios. Yet someone, probably a Ham, was bitching about CB radio being "stuck in the 60's" (or approximately the same thing).

So, why is such a situation good for Ham radio, but not for CB?

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

6154. Re: 10 meter r.r.c.b. frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 07:40:53 UTC
From: keyclownslammas@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <50f7cd4f.0208302340.6bbdde1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135183

Plink em all ya want, Beckie. As Jay Leno said in the Fritos
commercial..."crunch all ya want, we'll make more"

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<MjWb9.14$nr3.1566977@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslammas@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:50f7cd4f.0208301843.77fec6e8@posting.google.com...
> > twithed@webtv.net (Twithed) drooled forth the following stupid post in
> > message news:<10834-3D6F8E2A-3@storefull-2315.public.lawson.webtv.net>...
> >
> > > Depends what it is you speak of..what it "beats" it for. For DX, you're
> > > incorrect.
> > > For legalities, you are correct.
> >
> > Typical federal criminal. No respect for the law. How was the
> > vacation, twithed? Your journal sure was interesting.
>
> I see Doug's using a new account, wonder why <G>
>
> Landshark

6155. Ozark is HOPPING Every DAY--sucks on anything!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 07:52:22 UTC
From: keyclownslammas@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <50f7cd4f.0208302352.489997f7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135184

ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) whined liek a sissy in message
news:<20020830224454.00753.00000015@mb-fh.aol.com>...
> I have quite an imagination. I THINK I am heterosexual sometimes.
> actually I am impotent!
> I think I am heterosexual, I am not. I think my stupid game of solicit a
> weenie is some obscure form of entertainment. The only entertainment I get
> is beating off to the Michael Bolton poster, still hanging on my bedroom
> wall, in the drug rehab house, where I still live! I want DORK!
>
>
>
> "Got erection?"

6156. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 08:12:45 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <akptpskve15@quark.scn.rain.com>
Source record: 135185

yeti@REMOVEcet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
> almost the whole of HF. What do hams call that -- the highest
> effective frequency for a certain type of skip, or something like
> that? Well, as the sun spots fade, that freq is going to drop. At

MUF

> sometime or another it's going to be between bands. Care to take a
> guess where it is now?

>> Let's face it, it's the
>>hammies creating a huge mountain out of a small molehill. They just
>>gotta have something to whine about or they're not happy.

> No, YOU face THIS: The problem is NOT between CBers and hams, it's
> between legal and illegal operators. You can try and divert attention
> away from the real reasons for this conflict, but it won't work,
> because people are not as gullible as you think. Well, ok, some of
> them are. But the majority isn't buying it.

Actually, it is both. There are Hams that intentionally try to cause
havoc - both here and on 11 Meters (and in the freeband). There are
also CBers that intentionally cause trouble by operating on 10 Meters.
There are Ham that intentionally cause as much havoc as possible on
the Ham bands and there are CBers that cause as much havoc on the CB
band as possible.

All this shows is that there are troublemakers in both groups.

>>It isn't and it doesn't. Since most of the QRM in the newsgroup comes
>>from disgruntled (and obsessed) amateurs and there is zero correlation
>>between what's going on here and what's happening on the air.

> Well, I see a lot of "QRM" from anonymous crybabies that can't prevent
> themselves from getting worked up over generalized statements made in
> a newsgroup.

You mean, like your above?

And what does all this stirring of the mud puddle, by either group,
accomplish? Certainly nothing useful. No one is going to convince
the other side that they are wrong.

And all the flames do is make the other person even more certain that
everyone with a different view is just a troublemaker.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

6157. Re: stuck up hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 08:52:48 UTC
From: Arnie Macy <kt4st@csam.net>
Message-ID: <ih%b9.6887$FJ1.506452@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 135186
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Horace T Einsworth" wrote ...

"I saw you at the last Hamfest I went to. Your belly hung down over your belt buckle, completely covering it. you hadn't shaved in days. your glasses were like the bottom of Coke bottles and you stunk from not having bathed in days. Most prominet though, you had your ham call letters on your hat, shirt, name tag, six places on your vehicle, and you were handing out qsl cards even to people who didn't want them. Then you waddled over to the snack bar for the fifth time in the last three hours, where you stuffed greasy nachos down your mouth, while cheese dribbled all over your filthy shirt. Yup, definitely saw you at that Hamfest, a "typical" attendee at any hamfest you go to these days!!!!"

I was also at that Hamfest. You might have seen me there. I'm a 45 year old Extra and I don't have a fat belly (as a matter of fact, I have a very flat one) I guess that's because I play tennis and golf (to an 8 handicap). I try to stay away from fast food as much as possible, but I do enjoy the occasional chili-dog or hamburger and fries. I usually where a hat, but it doesn't have my callsign on it , it says Titleist. Clothing?, usually slacks and a nice Greg Norman golf shirt with Bass or Rockports. (which probably cost more than your entire wardrobe) But don't despair, maybe one day, you too can be a typical Ham. You see, good Ham equipment (like a good set of clubs) isn't cheap. (like you) I would say that the average Ham has thousands of dollars worth of equipment in their shack (even if they built most of it) To say nothing of the time and money spent learning their skills. Most of the Hams that I know take great pride in their personal appearance. This includes their home, vehicle, and station. Unfortunately, I can't say the same for most of the CBrs I've seen. (like you) I know, the truth hurts. But in the end, the truth always seems to come out. BTW, didn't I see you behind him in that snack line?

Arnie -
KT4ST

6158. FS: Galaxy Saturn, Palomar Elite 400, Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 09:11:49 UTC
From: Jeff Landers <jlanders@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <akq14solf1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 135187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb

Clearing out equipment:

Galaxy Saturn with Silver Eagle D-104 power mike with Bird Wattmeter. Radio has lites out the S-meters and has some of the white lettering smeared from trying to clean with wrong product, operationally perfect. Mike is in new condition. $150.00

Palomar 400 Elite Hi-Drive mobile amplifier, perfect condition: $125.00

Have 3 Cherokee CM-5 mobile radios with Workman Powermikes. Brand new condition. $50 each.

Pro 2006 Scanner with cellular mods, new condition $195.00

1 K-40 Magnetic mount antenna. Make offer
1 Wilson 1000 Magnetic mount antenna. Make offer
1 D-104M Road Devil Microphone

Pictures available via email.

Jlanders@mindspring.com

6159. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 09:26:53 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d7088c8.32453150@news.cet.com>
Source record: 135188

In <akptpskve15@quark.scn.rain.com>, Bill Nelson
<billn@spock.peak.org> wrote:

>yeti@REMOVEcet.com <yeti@removecet.com> wrote:
>> almost the whole of HF. What do hams call that -- the highest
>> effective frequency for a certain type of skip, or something like
>> that? Well, as the sun spots fade, that freq is going to drop. At
>
>MUF

Thank you.

>> sometime or another it's going to be between bands. Care to take a
>> guess where it is now?
>
>>> Let's face it, it's the
>>>hammies creating a huge mountain out of a small molehill. They just
>>>gotta have something to whine about or they're not happy.
>
>> No, YOU face THIS: The problem is NOT between CBers and hams, it's
>> between legal and illegal operators. You can try and divert attention
>> away from the real reasons for this conflict, but it won't work,
>> because people are not as gullible as you think. Well, ok, some of
>> them are. But the majority isn't buying it.
>
>Actually, it is both. There are Hams that intentionally try to cause
>havoc - both here and on 11 Meters (and in the freeband). There are
>also CBers that intentionally cause trouble by operating on 10 Meters.
>There are Ham that intentionally cause as much havoc as possible on
>the Ham bands and there are CBers that cause as much havoc on the CB
>band as possible.

For what reason? Sure, there is always a few morons that try to muck
things up just for kicks, and CB is full of them even without the
hams. But why do you think hams and CBers are deliberately messing
with each other? Is this widespread? And are you suggesting that there
is no problem between legal and illegal operators, or that it's
insignificant?

>All this shows is that there are troublemakers in both groups.

I can't dispute that.

>>>It isn't and it doesn't. Since most of the QRM in the newsgroup comes
>>>from disgruntled (and obsessed) amateurs and there is zero correlation
>>>between what's going on here and what's happening on the air.

>
>> Well, I see a lot of "QRM" from anonymous crybabies that can't prevent
>> themselves from getting worked up over generalized statements made in
>> a newsgroup.
>
>You mean, like your above?

Not quite. I don't type away until my fingers hurt.

>And what does all this stirring of the mud puddle, by either group,
>accomplish? Certainly nothing useful. No one is going to convince
>the other side that they are wrong.

Oh well, then we might as well just hang it up then. And we should
just forget about any other disagreements in the future, because no
matter what happens we will always disagree. Right? Wrong. When people
have disagreements over money, real estate, or other property, they
usually take their arguments before a judge who will make a decision.
Ok? Now anyone reading the argument is the judge. The only difference
is that there is no final verdict. While neither will ever convince
the other side that they are wrong, one side will certainly convince
the jury of readers.

>And all the flames do is make the other person even more certain that
>everyone with a different view is just a troublemaker.

Good point. I agree completely.

6160. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 09:39:48 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d708bef.33260767@news.cet.com>
Source record: 135189

In <c9ceae5b.0208302224.5e53b34d@posting.google.com>,
ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote:

>richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208300611.1ad4678d@posting.google.com>...
<snip>
>> As Aanal Aaron always says, you'll just have to dig a little deeper!
>> ;)
>
>
>Thanks for the advice. I had a hunch...
<snip>
> This idiot is Steven J. Rogovich, 1612 Lake Christopher dr. Virginia
>Beach, Va. 23464 He followed me to the UB usenet page from
<snip>
>Steve is also on the usenet trolling people under the name of Richard
>Cranium (richardcranus@yahoo.com) He's far too much of a coward to
>debate anyone using his real name.

Looks like he's been nailed before. I looked up another one of his
identities -- Sabrina? hehe... Seems he has changed names more often
than George! At least George has never posed as a woman (at least I
don't think he has...)

6161. FS: 3A/110B directly heated triode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 09:47:03 UTC
From: arnoldg@c2i.net
Message-ID: <3d70910b.14432008@news1.c2i.net>
Source record: 135190

I have several pcs of this small directly heated triode in stock. The valves may be used, but I have tested them myself and they are all excellent. You can find a picture and technical data on my web site - www.demostenes.no
Just search for 3A/110B in the left hand corner.

Regards,

Arnold Goks�yr
DEMOSTENES - N6899 Balestrand, Norway
Tlf. +47 5769 1340 Fax +47 5769 1267
http://www.demostenes.no

Thousands of different valves in stock as well as sockets,transformers,books,caps,resistors and other components. Web site is updated every week. You can order on-line.

6162. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 10:55:29 UTC
From: d2002xx <d2002xx@myrealbox.com>
Message-ID: <sn0v47ry.fsf@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 135191
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

```
"Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:

> "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
> news:d6rz93xh.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> > "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
> >
> > > "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
> > > news:bs7ks4g8.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> > > > "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
> > > >
> > > > > "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:1y8ilyh2.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> > > > > > "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > "Oris" <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:f7a9c6ac.0208281720.44817f2f@posting.google.com...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I have a box on my network that runs Linux. It
> > > > > > > > is about the most user unfriendly Operating system. I use it
> in a
> > > > > Dell
> > > > > > > > dimension 333. It serves as a router and a firewall for my
> > > > > network.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > It's amazing what you can do with a box of junk and a few blank
> > > > > CD's.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Can't you create a funnier joke?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You want me to create a new linux?
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm wondering if you're a programmer.
> > > >
> > > > mov [bx+9],byte ptr 8
> > > > mov [bx+si],word ptr 67h
> > > > call near [bx]
> > > > jmp short 97h (8-bit relative)
> > > > mov ax,bx
> > > > mov al,97h
> > > > push byte ptr [5]
> > > > push bx
> > > > retf 6 (a FAR return, pop 6 bytes)
> > > ------------------------
```

```
> > (setq a-5 1)
> > (let ((a-4 20)) (let ((a-5 10)(b-10 20)) (set a-3 '(+ a-4 b-10))(setq
> > a-5 (+ a-4 (* b-10 (- a-5 a-4))))))
> > (message (number-to-string (% a-5 10)))
> >
> > Tell me what's this?? :-)
>
> That's a smiley face.
>
> > -------------------------
> > And this:
> >
> > let rec sort lst =
> > match lst with
> > [] -> []
> > | head :: tail -> insert head (sort tail)
> > and insert elt lst =
> > match lst with
> > [] -> [elt]
> > | head :: tail -> if elt <= head then elt :: lst else head :: insert
> elt tail
> > ;;
>
> OH! WOW! An insertion sort! The camel won't be able to sleep
> tonight.
```

No, it's dead. I have been given up it for a long time.

```
>
> You lisping Dumbfuck.
```

Hmmm... You're not as idiotic as what I used to think. Now you can jump from my brainless-guys-list to faggots-list. :)

6163. 603 FS beam and tower

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 11:25:26 UTC
From: Me <j.dauphinais@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <GI1c9.44279$Ke2.3114684@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 135192

I have for sale 1 full size "HY-Gain" beam 10 meters.This beam can be used on the 11 meter cb band and be mounted vertical. fully assembled for your inspection. 13' Boom and longest element is 22' $100.00 I also have a steel tower totaling 25'. this is in 3 sections 1 10 foot st8 and 1 5foot st8 and 1 10 foot top section. I don't not have a rotator. $50.00. pickup only from Nashua,NewHampshire. I am selling these because they are to big for my new QTH. This beam has spent a large portion of its life in storage. 73's Jeff AA1GR

6164. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 11:32:38 UTC
From: Kadaitcha Man <hahahahaha@fooq.com>
Message-ID: <akq9gq$cp6$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 135193
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

```
"d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
news:sn0v47ry.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
>
> > "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
> > news:d6rz93xh.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> > > "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
> > >
> > > > "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:bs7ks4g8.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> > > > > "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
> > > > >
> > > > > > "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:1y8ilyh2.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> > > > > > > "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "Oris" <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:f7a9c6ac.0208281720.44817f2f@posting.google.com...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > I have a box on my network that runs Linux. It
> > > > > > > > > is about the most user unfriendly Operating system. I use
it
> > > in a
> > > > > > Dell
> > > > > > > > > dimension 333. It serves as a router and a firewall for my
> > > > network.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > It's amazing what you can do with a box of junk and a few
blank
> > > > CD's.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Can't you create a funnier joke?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You want me to create a new linux?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'm wondering if you're a programmer.
> > > >
> > > > mov [bx+9],byte ptr 8
> > > > mov [bx+si],word ptr 67h
> > > > call near [bx]
> > > > jmp short 97h (8-bit relative)
> > > > mov ax,bx
> > > > mov al,97h
```

```
> > > > push byte ptr [5]
> > > > push bx
> > > > retf 6 (a FAR return, pop 6 bytes)
> > > -------------------------
> > > (setq a-5 1)
> > > (let ((a-4 20)) (let ((a-5 10)(b-10 20)) (set a-3 '(+ a-4 b-10))(setq
> > > a-5 (+ a-4 (* b-10 (- a-5 a-4))))))
> > > (message (number-to-string (% a-5 10)))
> > >
> > > Tell me what's this?? :-)
> >
> > That's a smiley face.
> >
> > > -------------------------
> > > And this:
> > >
> > > let rec sort lst =
> > > match lst with
> > > [] -> []
> > > | head :: tail -> insert head (sort tail)
> > > and insert elt lst =
> > > match lst with
> > > [] -> [elt]
> > > | head :: tail -> if elt <= head then elt :: lst else head ::
insert
> > elt tail
> > > ;;
> >
> > OH! WOW! An insertion sort! The camel won't be able to sleep
> > tonight.
>
> No, it's dead. I have been given up it for a long time.
>
> >
> > You lisping Dumbfuck.
>
> Hmmm... You're not as idiotic as what I used to think. Now you can
> jump from my brainless-guys-list to faggots-list. :)

You mean, you like me?

--
Kadaitcha Man
Moderator: alt.os.windows-xp
Moderator: alt.support.shyness
```

6165. Re: Richard Cranium revealed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 12:13:25 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208310413.e448f40@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135194

keyclownslammas@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
news:<50f7cd4f.0208302222.67cef8a8@posting.google.com>...
> KD4CXJ
> STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
> 1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
> VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464

HAR de HAR HAR HAR. You clowns don't know anywhere near as much as you
think you do! Dream on.

My name is Richard, not Steve!

6166. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 12:19:33 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208310419.454da6af@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135195

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208301223.947e687@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208300446.72f577f1@posting.google.com>...
> > And once again, somebody tries to divert attention so Aanal Aaron
> > won't be on the spot. The question was asked of him: "Do you even have
> > a receiver?" I'm forced to believe the answer is no.
>
> The receiver isn't the issue,

In a way, it is. You haven't posted one shred of truth, nor answered
any questions since you started posting here. And many people have
asked, not just me. You have established zero credibility. The only
people asking me anything are you and your puppets, who also have zero
credibility.

this is a schoolyard game: you want to
> ask me questions while refusing to answer questions asked of you. Two
> kids on a playground shouting "I asked you FIRST!" and "NO! I asked
> YOU first" - ad nauseum. After a few rounds, they start calling each
> other names. Then they start shoving, a teacher breaks it up, parents
> are called, etc.

You want it to end? Answer the questions.

> OK, let's play!....
>
> What's your callsign? I asked YOU first :)
>
> -Aaron-

Once again the thread proves you wrong. To quote you, "you'll just
have to look a little harder". You really are a mental midget, aren't
you?

6167. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 12:21:01 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208310421.52f34fc1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135196

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D6F9C8B.4DAABA31@mailcity.net>...
> Twistedhed wrote:
> >
> > From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
> > Richard Cranium wrote:
> > That isn't what I said. I said I've been listening and haven't yet heard
> > any. Don't you have any reading comprehension? Oh, that's right; you
> > like to twist things to suit yourself. You poor little man.
> > -
> > >Then as I have said before, you should get a receiver that works on 10
> > metets. <
> >
> > Then you should update that Collins.
>
> Why? It hears perfectly well on 10 meters.

Probably all intermod and images! I still haven't heard anything
remotely resembling a CBer on 28.085. Seems to be all you can hear
with that thing.
Wishful listening?

6168. Re: KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 12:22:14 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208310422.523045a6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135247

"IllegalCbersSuck" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:<8RYb9.316722$2p2.13312422@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> KD4CXJ

What about it, Georgie-girl?

6169. Re: Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 12:24:50 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208310424.22b8baf2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135248

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<WcXb9.376810$q53.12500838@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> 07/01 EN81er N8WWM Doug AB OH, n8wwm[at]arrl.net,
> fiftymegs[at]juno.com

So, does that mean he's a no-code?

6170. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 12:29:55 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208310429.1b444edb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135249

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D6F89E6.BD4CA22@mailcity.net>...
> Richard Cranium wrote:
> >
> > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D6EDEC4.FB63795F@mailcity.net>...
> > > Richard Cranium wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > it's with alleged hams
> > > > who never seem to operate on the ham bands because they're always
> > > > slumming in a CB newsgroup. Get the picture?
> > >
> > > So you admit that cb is a slum?
> >
> > Not even close. Try the 75 meter phone band. THAT's a real slum!
> >
> > I stated that YOU are "slumming in a CB newsgroup". Nice try, but you
> > need a lot of work on your so-called "style".
> >
> > And why aren't you on one of those precious amateur bands, running
> > illegal truckers out? Afraid you'll actually run into one?
>
> LOL, so you pick one or two frequencies where there are some hams
> that act like cbers and try to say that is what ham radio is like.

It is; have you listened? You paint a picture of pure and pristine amateur operators, but all CBers are "criminals". Horse hockey, to quote Col. Potter of M*A*S*H fame. You got your one-way glasses on again. One or two frequencies? I said the 75 meter phone band. Can't you read, either? It's obvious you can't think.

> What a laugh! Tune across the ham bands and 99.9% of the operation
> is just hams having a nice conversation, but tune any cb channel
> and all you hear are modded overdriven splatter boxes operated by
> a bunch of morons.

If you bother to listen to CB you'll hear 99.9% of the people having nice conversations. And maybe a disgruntled hambo in there trying to cause trouble.

Why aren't you on one of the precious amateur bands "protecting" it from those nasty alleged intruders?

6171. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 12:34:12 UTC
From: d2002xx <d2002xx@myrealbox.com>
Message-ID: <n0r36wcb.fsf@localhost.localdomain>
Source record: 135250
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

"Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:

> "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
> news:sn0v47ry.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> > "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
> >
> > > "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
> > > news:d6rz93xh.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> > > > "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
> > > >
> > > > > "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:bs7ks4g8.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> > > > > > "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:1y8ilyh2.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> > > > > > > > "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "Oris" <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > news:f7a9c6ac.0208281720.44817f2f@posting.google.com...
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > I have a box on my network that runs Linux. It
> > > > > > > > > > is about the most user unfriendly Operating system. I use
> > > > > > > it
> > > > > in a
> > > > > > Dell
> > > > > > > > > > dimension 333. It serves as a router and a firewall for my
> > > > > network.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > It's amazing what you can do with a box of junk and a few
> > > > > blank
> > > > > > CD's.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Can't you create a funnier joke?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You want me to create a new linux?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I'm wondering if you're a programmer.
> > > > > >
> > > > > mov [bx+9],byte ptr 8
> > > > > mov [bx+si],word ptr 67h
> > > > > call near [bx]
> > > > > jmp short 97h (8-bit relative)

```
> > > > > mov ax,bx
> > > > > mov al,97h
> > > > > push byte ptr [5]
> > > > > push bx
> > > > > retf 6 (a FAR return, pop 6 bytes)
> > > > ------------------------
> > > > (setq a-5 1)
> > > > (let ((a-4 20)) (let ((a-5 10)(b-10 20)) (set a-3 '(+ a-4 b-10))(setq
> > > > a-5 (+ a-4 (* b-10 (- a-5 a-4))))))
> > > > (message (number-to-string (% a-5 10)))
> > > >
> > > > Tell me what's this?? :-)
> > >
> > > That's a smiley face.
> > >
> > > > ------------------------
> > > > And this:
> > > >
> > > > let rec sort lst =
> > > > match lst with
> > > > [] -> []
> > > > | head :: tail -> insert head (sort tail)
> > > > and insert elt lst =
> > > > match lst with
> > > > [] -> [elt]
> > > > | head :: tail -> if elt <= head then elt :: lst else head ::
> insert
> > > elt tail
> > > > ;;
> > >
> > > OH! WOW! An insertion sort! The camel won't be able to sleep
> > > tonight.
> >
> > No, it's dead. I have been given up it for a long time.
> >
> > >
> > > You lisping Dumbfuck.
> >
> > Hmmm... You're not as idiotic as what I used to think. Now you can
> > jump from my brainless-guys-list to faggots-list. :)
>
> You mean, you like me?

No. I'm not a faggot. Why did you say I like you?
```

6172. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 13:19:48 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208310519.16ed2fad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135251

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d7017e2.3552219@news.cet.com>...
> In <c5ecbb69.0208300600.71d0e2bc@posting.google.com>,
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>
> <snip>
> >You've just proven my point for me: Ten meters is dead "because of the
> >waning solar cycle". Yet 11 meters is still hopping. And it's that way
> >most of the time. The difference in frequency (about 1 MHz) does not
> >explain why I can hear one but not the other.
>
> Then you don't listen much. I have seen it lots of times where just 20
> kHz will make a difference. Other times skip will be rolling through
> almost the whole of HF. What do hams call that -- the highest
> effective frequency for a certain type of skip, or something like
> that? Well, as the sun spots fade, that freq is going to drop. At
> sometime or another it's going to be between bands. Care to take a
> guess where it is now?
>
> > Let's face it, it's the
> >hammies creating a huge mountain out of a small molehill. They just
> >gotta have something to whine about or they're not happy.
>
> No, YOU face THIS: The problem is NOT between CBers and hams, it's
> between legal and illegal operators. You can try and divert attention
> away from the real reasons for this conflict, but it won't work,
> because people are not as gullible as you think. Well, ok, some of
> them are. But the majority isn't buying it.

Are you trying to tell me that the MUF is BETWEEN 10 and 11 meters?
I'm not buying that. CBers are still using 11 meters because it's all
they have; hams are not using 10 meters because they think it's dead.

> >> >And your original comment IS an assumption, "the most heavily and
> >> >blatantly abused part of the spectrum -- the Citizen's Band". Prove
> >> >it.
> >>
> >> Okay:
> >>
> >> If Usenet is any reflection of the abuse of the CB, then that should
> >> be more proof than you will ever need. Regardless;
> >
> >It isn't and it doesn't. Since most of the QRM in the newsgroup comes
> >from disgruntled (and obsessed) amateurs and there is zero correlation
> >between what's going on here and what's happening on the air.

>
> Well, I see a lot of "QRM" from anonymous crybabies that can't prevent
> themselves from getting worked up over generalized statements made in
> a newsgroup.

Including yourself, of course? And "generalizations" like "ALL Cbers
are criminals" doesn't deserve a retort? I think it does. You may
think whatever you like.

> >> Nearly all the other bands require some form of licensing, which is a
> >> form of individual accountability. The CB, of course, has no licensing
> >> requirement, nor does it have the 'self-policing' policy of ham radio.
> >> Human nature being what it is, people will do whatever they can get
> >> away with. The CB is no exception. In fact, there is so much abuse on
> >> the CB that the FCC has basically 'given up' on enforcing the CB regs.
> >
> >Let's rephrase that just a little: "Human nature being what it is,
> >people will do whatever they can get away with." Ham radio "is no
> >exception."
>
> That's true, and hammies don't get away with very much when they try.
> That's because hammies police their own. Hey, you're a hammie, you
> should know that already, right?

Sometimes they do. Sometimes the CBers "police" their own, too.

> >> The number of websites condoning the abuse of CB radio is ...well,
> >> uncountable. Comparatively, there are much fewer sites that promote FM
> >> piracy; and only a handful of websites that encourage bootlegging on
> >> all of the other bands combined, including the ham bands.
> >
> >You're not very well informed, are you? There are plenty of FM
> >"pirates". I believe the FCC shuts more of them down than they do
> >"illegal CBers".
>
> That's true, there are quite a few FM pirates. But when compared to
> the list of illegal CBers (amps and freebanders), the list is quite
> small. And yes, the FCC chases FM pirates vigorously, mainly because
> the legal FM stations pay hundreds of thousands of dollars to get on
> the air and they aren't going to tolerate some twit interfering with
> their investment. The ARRL seems to have some clout with the FCC as
> well, which is why they hired Riley to slap a few fines around and
> pacify the hammies. The legal CBers seem to have no influence with the
> FCC at all, mainly because there is no organized participation (i.e,
> professional lobbying, i.e, money) from the Citizen's Band.

And the ARRL can claim less than 25% of licensed US hams as members.
They are nothing but a Corporate lobbying group; they do not represent
what is best for amateur radio, they do not represent you or me. They
may have clout but only because they have $$.

> >> The sales market of equipment used specifically for illegal CB is
> >> large enough to support several manufacturers of amplifiers and
> >> 'import' radios (both foreign and domestic), and the demand for
> >> illegal modifications keeps many golden-screwdriver techs busy on a
> >> full-time basis (heaven forbid they should find an honorable and legal
> >> occupation). Not only that, but you even have people on the internet
> >> making money by selling nothing more than the instructions needed to
> >> make these modifications. This is a huge demand, and it is not
> >> generated by people wishing to operate legally.
> >
> >Manufacturers do what they have to do to stay in business. Since they
> >can't sell enough equipment to amateurs, of course some small amount
> >of it finds its' way to "other applications". It is not the intention
> >of the manufacturers to encourage illegal uses,
>
> HAHAHA!!! Yeah, right! More later...
>
> > but they've gotta make
> >a buck.
>
> Exactly! They don't care what charges or fines the consumer might face
> if using their equipment, they don't have to pay it. The bottom line
> is profits. They WILL and DO encourage illegal behavior as long as it
> will increase the profits. That's the American way! (I meant that
> sarcastically, but ironically it's true for many large, "respectable"
> U.S. corporations.)
>
> > And there are plenty of "golden screwdriver techs" hacking
> >amateur radios, too.
>
> Hacking them for what? Legal operation? That doesn't make sense.
>
> >I only know one amateur who has not wide-banded his equipment. And he
> >does have the information, should he need to do so. Information is not
> >illegal. Wide-banded radios are not illegal. Only certain USE is
> >illegal.
>
> It is illegal to modify a CB radio if it's going to be used on the CB,
> and you can't use any other type of radio on the CB. Ham radio is a
> different story, but you already know about that, don't you?
>
> >> Also, just listen to the CB. There is constant bleeding, intentional
> >> keying on signals, people bragging about non-linear linears or export
> >> rigs, etc. And just about every night there is some moron that just
> >> wants to muck things up; someone may think it's fun to say as many
> >> cuss words as he can on the air, or to pick a fight with someone else
> >> and hide just to see if the other person shows up. Not to mention the
> >> soliciting near the truck stops, and drug dealer comm in the larger
> >> towns.
> >
> >Also, just listen to 75 meters any night of the week and you'll hear

> >exactly the same thing. Your point?
>
> I will admit that 75 meters leaves a bit to be desired as a ham band,
> but the abuse is not nearly so bad. And consider that there are very
> few, if any, 75 meter operators compared to CB operators in any given
> square mile. Also, 75 meters covers a much larger area compared to
> typical range of a CB radio. So really were talking magnitudes of
> difference here.
>
> >> If that isn't enough proof, then you are stupid.
> >
> >Proof? You still seem to think that ONLY CBers do these things.
>
> No, I don't, and I never did. There will always be a bad element in
> any crowd, but CB radio seems to be the target for most of the people
> that choose to operate illegally. And again you are diverting
> attention away from the real issue of legal vs. illegal: I LIKE the
> Citizen's Band. What I DON'T like is the abuse -- the amps, the
> interference, the reference point for "freebanding", and the bad rep
> CB has gotten over the years because of these idiots. So don't tell ME
> that I'm anti-CB, because I'm not!
>
> > I
> >haven't seen any proof. Not from you, not from Jerry, not from Aaron,
> >not from Doggie, and certainly not from Georgie-girl.
>
> You have seen it, you just can't accept it.
>
> >> >How about the most USED part? The fact is that there are 30 to 40
> >> >million CBers crammed into less than ONE megahertz, compared to less
> >> >than ONE million hams spread out all over the spectrum. I'm willing to
> >> >wager that the proportion of "illegal" operations is roughly the same
> >> >in both groups; the NUMBERS will of course be higher on CB, simply
> >> >because there are so many more people trying to use the band, but
> >> >proportionatly it'd be about the same. The hams have nothing to smile
> >> >about, do they?
> >>
> >> The proportion is going to be MUCH higher with CB because CB doesn't
> >> require licensing and doesn't have a self-policing policy.
> >
> >No. NUMERICALLY it may be higher (simply because there are many, many
> >more CBers than there are licensed hams); proportionately I'm willing
> >to bet it is not.
>
> Well, it's your turn to prove your point. Otherwise I am going to
> stick with my original statement that more people will drive more
> recklessly when there is no speed limit, no license plates, no driver
> licensing, and no cops.

Possibly, knowing how human nature works. But there were few accidents
in Montana when the speed limit was "Reasonable and Proper"; the
drivers knew that they were responsible for themselves and they drove
in a such way that they got where they were going. If they had an
accident, it was their own fault; they weren't driving at a
"reasonable" speed or they didn't drive "proper". Thus, they killed
themselves or, if they survived, they were cited. A very good way to
do things, IMHO.

> > Also, how
> >> many websites have you seen where hams promote the abuse of their own
> >> spectrum? Not many. On the contrary, there are several sites where
> >> hams encourage people to abuse the CB and "freeband".
> >
> >Well, SHAME on those hams for creating such a problem on CB!!
>
> What happened to your argument? What about my statement that there are
> very few hams that promote the abuse of their own bands? Or are you
> just ignoring that point?

You miss my point, too: Why are those licensed hams advocating illegal
activity on CB? Would you encourage people to mess up your own band?

> > So if you want
> >> to make such a comparison, how about comparing the number of CBers
> >> abusing ham bands to the number of hams abusing the CB?
> >
> >Given the miniscule number of hams (comparatively speaking - what,
> >about 600,000, maybe?) and the vast spectrum available to them, you
> >could be correct about that. But you think they don't?
>
> I didn't say that. I know for a fact that there are many hams that
> abuse the CB, AND there are many illegal CBers that abuse the ham
> bands. Are you saying, because you don't hear anything on 10 meters,
> that illegal CBers don't abuse the ham bands?

All I've said is that I haven't heard any - yet. I daresay that any
you hear up there are truckers with "export" radios that don't have
frequency readout, and they have no idea what frequency they might be
on at the time. I think the hams should be spending more time
"policing" the precious band(s) and less time posting absolute bovine
excrement in a CB newsgroup.

> >> BTW, you still haven't provided your callsign...
> >
> >I won't, either. Not until Aanal Aaron posts his (and he'll never do
> >that, will he?).
>
> I don't know, why are you asking me? It would make better sense to ask
> him, wouldn't it? And if he refuses to disclose whether or not he is a
> ham, or if he says he is a ham but won't give out his callsign, why
> don't you ask him why? I can tell you one thing about Voobner: if you
> speak to him in a civilized manner, he will do the same. Kinda like

> the golden rule, or did you forget that one?

Bull. Many people have asked him and he refuses to answer any
question. From what I read, Tom Severt tried asking him in a "civil
manner", and got the usual side-stepping obstrufication and the same
lame URL to a phoney website. We all know he'll never post his
callsign.

> >> >> Because I'm a CBer, I'm a legal CBer, and I'm a CBer that's concerned
> >> >> about the future of CB radio. Why are YOU here?
> >
> >Then the best thing (and, in reality, the ONLY thing) you can do is
> >operate properly and stay legal, isn't it?
>
> No, that's not the ONLY thing I can do....

Unless you carry a FCC badge, it IS all you can do.

> > You really think that more
> >than a handful of CBers read the UseNet? What do you (or Aaron, or
> >Jerry) think you are accomplishing here?
>
> Speaking for myself, I like to expose voodoo CB techs, like Eitner,
> Sean, etc.; to explain the truth about these 'linear' amplifiers and
> radio modifications; to help with radio fundamentals; and to confront
> the promoters of illegal operation on the CB. I think I am doing a
> pretty good job so far, despite a rocky start.
>
> > I'll tell you what: Aaron
> >starts flamewars based on his wildly inaccurate mis-interpretation of
> >FCC Notices and letters,
>
> I'll tell YOU what: it takes two to tango. You can't blame just one
> person for a flame war.
>
> > and when he gets backed into a corner by
> >people who are in the know, he drops that thread and starts another
> >one. Jerry and Georgie-girl are plain impotent.
>
> Well then, out of the mud you have scratched out a worthwhile hobby.
>
> > And you aren't doing
> >anything worth-while, either; you're wasting your time.
>
> I don't think so. I have made more worthwhile contributions to this
> newsgroup than you ever have, or probably ever will. True, I like a
> good technical argument from time to time: sometimes I'm wrong and
> sometimes I'm right. Right or wrong, the arguing is fun, and it tends
> to dig up all kinds of interesting topics. But don't confuse a good
> argument with a flame war, because the two don't even compare: an
> argument uses logic, but a flame war is only to push people's buttons.

> Pushing the buttons of keyclowns is fun too, but not my primary goal
> on this group.
>
> Now if you think I'm wasting my time, then you must be wasting your
> time by reading my posts. My suggestion to you is to quit reading
> them. Believe me, you won't hurt my feelings if you ignore me.
>
> >> >I'm here to correct the misinformation Aanal Aaron puts out every day.
> >>
> >> Such as...? Are you here saying that CB amplifiers and freebanding are
> >> legal?
> >
> >I'm saying that he spews misinformation, and that has been detailed in
> >the thread about "Legal" eButt..." Look it up.
>
> Do you mean statements such as this:
>
> > The real issue is the
> >> proliferation of fake 10 meter radios, export radios with no export
> >> destination, and the hijacking of the name "amateur radio" used for
> >> the purpose of selling illegal CB gear.
> >>
> >> -Aaron-
> >
> >There is NO SUCH thing as a "fake 10 meter radio", Aanal Aaron. A 10
> >meter radio is made for use on 10 meters and is completely legal for
> >use there by a licensed amateur. And you still haven't supported your
> >claim that export radios have NO destination. We all know that they
> >aren't legal for use here.

What I mean has been detailed in that other thread: ALL 10 meter
radios are (somehow) "illegal" even for licensed amateurs to use,
etc., etc. He simply hasn't a clue.

> Well, sorry to burst your bubble, but those 'fake' 10 meter radios are
> just that: They are made with the sole intention of easy (idiot-proof)
> modification for operation on the CB, and marketed based on that
> single feature. These radios are designed, manufactured, marketed, and
> sold with the intention of being used illegally in the U.S. And if
> they happen to get sold to some of the legal hams in the process, all
> the better for the profit margin, with maybe a little boost in
> reputation from the amateurs if the FCC should ever force the company
> into a legitimate, but less profitable, market. If this 'fake' 10M
> radio racket was any more obvious it would have to be included in
> grade-school textbooks. If you can't see what's going on, then maybe
> they -should- include it in grade-school textbooks.

You are again confusing "export CBs" with legitimate 10-meter radios.
Export CBs have never been legal in the US; 10-meter radios are legal
for licensed amateurs to use. The fact that a few chop-shops have
figured out how to modify a radio for illegal use is unfortunate, but

it's always been that way and you (even Aanal Aaron and JJ and
Georgie-girl) aren't likely to have any impact on it. The FCC "busts"
a couple of those shops every once in a while, and more pop up to take
their place. The best way for the hams to defend their turf is by
USING it and, maybe, running the "intruders" out.

> <snip>
> >> >> 1. The "QRM" can be cleaned up by using a killfile, exercising some
> >> >> self-control, or just 'changing the channel' or 'turning the damn
> >> >> thing off'.
> >> >
> >> >Why don't the alleged amateurs do that instead of clogging a CB
> >> >newsgroup with mis-information (Aaron), innuendos (Georgie-girl), and
> >> >just plain bovine excrement (Doggie)? They really should take your
> >> >advice, shouldn't they?
> >>
> >> I'm guessing that they post because they choose to do so. Like I said
> >> before, if that bothers you then that's your problem. Personally, I
> >> don't care for the extremist nonsense on both sides, but I have enough
> >> self-control to not read it. Don't you?
> >
> >Apparently that statement simply isn't true. You read Tim's post, you
> >deliberately mis-interpreted Tim's post,
>
> I did nothing of the sort. Since you can't yet understand what I
> wrote, let me take this step by step so it will be REALLY SIMPLE for
> you to understand:
>
> 1. I have told Timmy on many prior occasions that I am not a ham.
> 2. In his post, Timmy said I was a ham.
> 3. In his post, Timmy said I was a hypocrite for not "tirading" in ham
> newsgroups.
> 4. I am not a ham.
> 5. I have no reason or right to be "tirading" in ham newsgroups.
> 6. I do not fit his criteria of being a hypocrite.
> 7. I -am- a CBer.
> 8. I -do- have a reason and a right to be "tirading" in CB newsgroups.
> 9. I -do- fit the -opposite- of his criteria for being a hypocrite.
> 10. Since he provided no word for the opposite of 'hypocrisy', I
> picked the best word available: 'integrity'.
> 11. I responded.
>
> Now I tried to make it so simple that even Twisty couln't spin the
> meaning, but I'm sure both you and he will try. Regardless, is there
> anything, ...ANYTHING you don't, won't or can't understand about my
> response to Nebout?
>
> > and you responded to Tim's
> >post. Where is this so-called "self-control"?
>

> The 'self-control' was in limiting myself to correcting his deliberate
> misinformation. You don't like the spread of misinformation, do you?
> After all, that's what you don't like about Voobner, right? So why are
> you trying to chastise ME for correcting misinformation, when you
> should be sniping Timmy for spreading it? Gee, that kinda fits in with
> the definition of 'hypocrisy', doesn't it?

I haven't seen any mis-information from Tim so far.

> <snip>
> >Who's Phil Covington? Never saw him post here.
>
> Just wait a while, he'll be back.

So you mentioned his name for no reason. Are you trying to draw him
out?

> <snip>
> >> > but who appointed you (or anyone else) NetCop? People are
> >> >free to post For Sale ads for anything they want to post;
> >>
> >> Not if it's an amplifier capable of operating on the CB. Or haven't
> >> you been reading Voobner's posts? I'm sure he will provide you with
> >> the proper information showing you where you are wrong.

Obviously I've been reading his posts; how do you think I can correct
him all the time?

> >Of course they can. It's as easy as typing an ad for legal stuff. If
> >you don't like to read them, use your filters and don't look at them.
> >Funny how everyone else can filter and use kill-files but you don't.
>
> There is a distinction between legal and illegal behavior, or can't
> you make that distinction? Just -advertising- an RF amplifier capable
> of operating on the CB is a federal offense.

Nonsense; amps are permitted for ten and twelve meters. You really
mean: "Just -advertising- a RF amplifier in rec.radio.cb..." maybe?
Geez, I think it makes perfect sense considering how many allaged hams
lurk here. Maybe they would like to buy them?

> >And I highly doubt Aanal Aaron can provide anyone with "proper
> >information". He never has and he most likely never will. He might
> >provide HIS take on something, but it won't be the truth.
>
> The Code of Federal Regulations isn't the truth? Well, maybe you can
> point out a few of it's lies.

I have pointed out many of his mis-interpretations of the R&R. As I
said, he presents his distorted version of the Rules, not the truth.
Try reading his crap sometime.

> >> You are totally ignoring the purpose of discouraging illegal behavior.
> >> By your "logic", if you don't like thieves stealing your tools from
> >> your garage then you should just ignore them. That's a pretty good
> >> plan as far as the thieves are concerned, huh? You wouldn't happen to
> >> be one of those 'thieves', now would you?
> >
> >No, if I don't like thieves stealing tools from my garage, I bring my
> >tools inside where I can protect them; I don't leave them where
> >someone might take them. I don't go out with a scattergun and shoot
> >everybody for blocks.
>
> Twisty does.
>
> > And I certainly don't go into their houses,
> >which is an approximation of what the "alleged amateurs" are doing in
> >this newsgroup.
>
> That's total bullshit, this isn't YOUR HOUSE! This is a newsgroup. YOU
> make the decision to bring ANYTHING from the internet into your house.
> Like I have said many times before, nobody is forcing you to read the
> newsgroup. Don't blame anyone but yourself for getting worked up over
> whatever YOU choose to read!
>
> >And "discouraging illegal behavior" makes you a dork in the eyes of
> >anyone who does such things and has no effect on their behavior
> >whatsoever, does it. And it makes you a "goodie two-shoes" to most
> >anyone else. It's not your problem (you said you run legal on CB),
> >right? Why does it matter to you what other people do? If you're not a
> >ham, why should it bother you that the occasional trucker (or
> >whatever) mistakenly uses a quiet frequency within the edges of an
> >amateur band?
>
> I don't really care about 10 meter incursions, my focus on this
> newsgroup is, and has always been, primarily with the Citizen's Band.
> And guess what? I really don't care if illegal operators consider me a
> "dork" or a "goodie two-shoes". It's better than being a lemming,
> bending to peer pressure and following the herd like a cow.
>
> And you know what else? Personally I really don't care if anyone wants
> to break the law. That's up to them. But in the process they screw
> things up for other people, which is why we have laws in the first
> place. For some reason (actually, many reasons that I have already
> explained), the CB seems to be a haven for deliberate lawbreaking,
> with the result of the trashing of the band. I don't care about the
> lawbreaking, but I do care about the band. So unless you come up with
> a solution for how these troglodytes can get their kicks breaking the
> law while not screwing things up for everyone else, I'm all ears.
> Otherwise, I guess I'll just have to live with the tag of "goodie
> two-shoes". And I will wear it with pride AND integrity, which is
> something that you can't do (or will probably ever understand).
>

> <snip>
> >> Actually, I have mentioned several times that hams should take there
> >> ham discussions elsewhere. In fact, since illegal operation is closer
> >> in comparison to ham radio than it is to CB radio, the illegals should
> >> go there as well.
> >
> >Oh boy, the "illegals" are much closer to CBers than amateurs. CBers
> >don't have licenses; illegals certainly do not have licenses. Amateurs
> >are supposed to have licenses. Where's the commonality?
>
> Legal CBers and legal hams have the commonality of legal operation.
> But that's where it ends: Illegal operators use high power, as do
> hams; illegal operators use modes other than AM and SSB, as do hams;
> illegal operators use frequencies beyond channel assignments, as do
> hams; illegal operators use modified gear, as do hams; illegal
> operators intentionally work DX, as do hams; etc. In fact, the only
> differences between illegal operators and hams is the license and a
> couple of the limitations. Oh, and illegal operators do not have the
> policies of "good engineering practice" or "self-policing". So maybe
> you are right, maybe they are not THAT much like hams, but they are
> certainly more like hams than CBers.
>
> >> But I responded to Timmy's post because he grouped me in with other
> >> "alleged amatuers", of which I am not. Or were you unable to
> >> understand my response to his post? Let's read it again, shall we?
> >
> >I don't recall that Tim lumped you with anyone. If you took it that
> >way, it's your problem. And apparently you took it that way.
>
> ...uh, are you lysdexic or something? Since you snipped it, let me
> post it again:
>
> >...i dont see jerry, aaron, frank or
> >anybody else tirading about the amatuer bands and on there newsgroups
> >complaining and these problems are even more directly related to the service
> >there fighting for.

Ummm, is that a quote? I don't see that it was addressed to you.

> Well, if I'm not a ham, and my posts haven't been defending the ham
> bands, why does he think that I'm "fighting for" the ham bands? I
> think you have a problem with reading comprehension. Either that or
> you are just too stupid to understand what was written. Maybe you
> should also refer back to several posts where Timmy refers to me as
> N7VCF, a ham on the other side of the state with the same name as
> mine. Twisty made the suggestion, and Timmy was gullible enough to
> believe it. So now you have TWO more people you can chastise for
> giving out misinformation. Get busy...

It certainly sounds like you're "fighting for" the ham bands, don't
you think?

> >> >I'm in here because I'm a CBer. I am not a ham (yet), and that's
> >> >probably why I don't post much on ham newsgroups. That's not
> >> >hypocrisy, that's integrity. Learn the difference.
> >>
> >> Looks like I wasn't agreeing OR disagreeing with his statement, except
> >> for the fact that I am not a ham. I was speaking for myself, not the
> >> other "alleged amateurs" that Timmy was whining about. So why do you
> >> think that I was speaking on their behalf? Did I write something that
> >> I didn't understand? No, I don't think so. The problem is that YOU
> >> didn't understand what I said, you just jumped to the conclusion that
> >> I was speaking on behalf of those "alleged amateurs".
> >
> >To me, it looks like you made a lame attempt to flame him.
>
> Perhaps from your perspective I did. I'm not suprised.
>
> <snip>
> >> I do take my own advice quite often. But right now I choose to
> >> exercise my freedom of expression, just like you. You can't prevent me
> >> from posting and I can't prevent you from posting. The only thing
> >> either one of us can do is to prevent ourselves from posting. So this
> >> will probably continue until you run out of excuses, or get tired of
> >> trying to defend your BS, and choose instead to stop posting. Or, you
> >> can follow Twisty's example and just start posting nonsense. But then,
> >> just like Twisty, you will end up in just about everyone's killfile,
> >> including mine, and lose what little integrity you have left. Speaking
> >> of integrity, what's your callsign?
> >
> >Or maybe Doggie's example. Or Georgie-girl's example. Or even Aaron's
> >example. You're in really good company there. Congradulations on your
> >choice of friends.
>
> Nice spin. Twisty would be proud.
>
> >> >> > They are
> >> >> >accomplishing nothing by trolling here. And they will accomplish
> >> >> >nothing as long as they choose to waste their time.
> >> >>
> >> >> Evidently they are accomplishing -something-, as you seem to be
> >> >> offended by their posting. But you (and Timmy) seem to be similarly
> >> >> offended by MY posting. And you have never voiced your disagreement
> >> >> with the "QRM" from proponents of illegal operation. So clearly your
> >> >> problem isn't with hams, but with something else. I recommend a
> >> >> therapist to get to the root of your problem. Twisty claims to have a
> >> >> good one, but he can't seem to recall a name. Maybe he will provide it
> >> >> to you through email.
> >
> >I am offended by Aanal Aaron's deliberate mis-information, and I am
> >somewhat offended by Georgie-girl's lame attempt to provoke people
> >with sexual innuendo (and that's all he ever posts, you notice).
>

> Not quite. He seems to know quite a bit about microwaves, a subject
> that I avoid more than lima beans.
>
> > But
> >precisely WHAT is all this accomplishing? It only makes them look like
> >idiots.
>
> Now isn't that their choice if they want to look like idiots? And if
> that's what they choose to do, and that's what they are accomplishing,
> then why does it bother you? I mean, why would you complain when
> someone that you don't like succeeds in making an idiot out of
> himself? Or is it that you are trying to convince yourself that they
> look like idiots so you don't feel like one for complaining about
> them? Hmmm, I think that's closer to the truth, don't you?
>
> <snip>
> >> Now THOSE people I can live without. Care to point them out?
> >
> >Same names: Jerry Ox, JJ, Georgie-girl, etc., etc., etc., ad
> >infinitum.
>
> I haven't seen a post by Jerry, JJ, or George that is anti-CB, except
> for the reason that the CB seems to be IO-central. I have never seen
> any of them have a problem with legal CBers. But there are a few
> extremists that don't like -anything- legal, such as Timmy, Train, or
> that dictatorial, genocidal, racist, Bible-thumping hypocrite of a
> hermaphrodite called either Dave or Debby.

Great description! I have no problem with legal operation, but I see
no valid problem with illegal operation, either. Neither is cause to
paint all CBers as "criminals", as one of the aforenamed persons did.

> <snip>
> >> > it's with alleged hams
> >> >who never seem to operate on the ham bands because they're always
> >> >slumming in a CB newsgroup. Get the picture?
> >>
> >> I get the picture very clearly.
> <snip>
> >I'd say you're pretty busy. But then, you've also said you're not
> >(yet) a ham, so my statement was not directed to you.
>
> I would say that YOU are starting to get the picture. Maybe you can
> pass it along to Timmy, who still thinks I'm N7VCF.
>
> >> I am also working on getting my ham license. There sure are a lot of
> >> things about propogation that I have forgotten since college, I'll
> >> tell you that much. Not only that, but I picked up my first two ham
> >> radios in the past month: One is a Heathkit HW-19 (ok, it a CAP radio,
> >> but with a VFO it should work just fine for ham); and I found a Swan
> >> 500 in really great shape (and for an even better price).

> >
> >A couple of real boatanchors there! Good luck with the Swan. They're
> >one strange radio, in general.
>
> I used to think so, but learned different after I picked this up. This
> is really one fine rig, and made before Swan's fatal affiliation with
> Sillytronix. It does have a problem, though. For some reason they put
> the ANL circuit between the product detector and the first audio amp,
> i.e, right between the two triodes of an unshielded 12AX7. Not a good
> idea. The result is that, after time wears down the components a bit,
> the audio oscillates through the AGC, which then needs to be disabled,
> and which also disables the S-meter. Great for CW, but bad for the
> ears. I noticed that on the later version of the 500C they moved the
> whole ANL circuit to a point after the AGC amp, the grid resistor of
> which was changed to a lower value. I did the same modification and
> that solved the problem.
>
> But while this radio can do 200 watts CW and 500+ PEP on SSB, it can
> also do QRP CW (with break-in keying) simply by keying through the mic
> jack and turning the carrier balance off-center. And since it will
> load into 15 to 500 ohms, you can use almost anything for an antenna.
> With a sensitivity of 0.5 uV on the receiver and 500+ PEP, you don't
> need much of an antenna anyway.
>
> And no PC boards -- it's all point-to-point wiring!

Doggone - something my tired eyes could actually work on!

> So I don't know why anyone would call it a "strange" radio, it seems
> like a fine radio to me.

I seem to recall that Swan used some trick circuits or gimicks to
compact those things into the (relatively) small cases (for the day).
I haven't seen one for a good many years, so I could be wrong. Maybe
that was later, under SilTronics?

> >> But YOU spend your time talking on the CB, chasing Voobner on the
> >> newsgroups, and scanning 10M looking for illegal operators just to
> >> dispute him. That's truly pathetic, dude.
> >
> >Wrong again. I don't talk on CB anymore (although I used to). I don't
> >bother "chasing Voobner" - he posts enough right here to keep my
> >fingers sore.
>
> Well, everyone needs a hobby. Have you tried bowling? It might be more
> fun. Or maybe amateur radio? Hey, now THERE'S a novel idea, huh?

Used to bowl, even played table-tennis for a while and got decent at
it. To be honest, I don't talk much on the ham radio, either; I've
always been more of a listener than a rag-chewer.

> > And I am taking JJ's advice looking for those
> >"intruders" he claims are in the bottom end of 10 meters (and I have
> >not heard yet). Actually, I'm trying to confirm his statements; I just
> >haven't been able to. That's not pathetic, that's "active".
>
> That's not active, that's sedentary. Go get some fresh air. Fly a kite
> or something. You know, it's been years since I have seen a kid, or
> anyone for that fact, fly a kite in this town. Has the activity of
> kite-flying been flushed by the Nintendos and X-box's? Maybe those
> games will let you 'virtually' fly a kite, although I tend to prefer
> the real thing. Ya know what? I got tomorrow off, I'm going to build a
> kite and fly it (if the wind cooperates)! I challenge you to do the
> same.

I see (rather, don't see) the same thing; and I think it does have
something to do with the Xbox or Nintendo. I see a great many yards
decorated with pools and trampolenes and swings, and never see anyone
outside using the things. This place seems evacuated weekends and
during the summer. But I do get plenty of fresh air; I walk around a
lot and have two large yards to keep up (or down, whichever). Sorry,
not a breath of breeze today, so no kite.

> >> Seriously, what is it that they say that bothers you so much? Are you
> >> an illegal operator that can't stand people telling you that what you
> >> are doing is illegal? Or if someone says something bad about CB radio,
> >> do you actually take it personally? I get the picture VERY clearly.
> >> Get a life. And this time when I say "get a life" I really mean it.
> >
> >IF I was an "illegal" operator, I wouldn't care what they said. The
> >fact is that it offends me to see those low-lifes rain on everybody's
> >parade, just because they're anally-retentive. Hell, if they said it
> >once and moved on it wouldn't bother me at all. But Aanal Aaron has
> >been corrected so many times that it's absurd, and he STILL doesn't
> >acknowledge that he doesn't have a clue what is reality.
>
> Well, reality is relative. My most sincere suggestion is to step away
> from the newsgroup for a while. Things won't fall apart while you are
> gone.
>
> <snip>
> >> Tell you what: You go ahead and believe what you want. Post whatever
> >> misinformed, deconstructive, and obviously subversive anti-ham
> >> propaganda you want. But next time you want to reply to one of my
> >> posts that has nothing to do with you, try to understand it first.
> >> Then try not to jump to the conclusion that I am speaking on behalf of
> >> any of those "alleged amateurs" or "BOZOs", or whatever you choose to
> >> call them depending on your mood.
> >
> >If you're not speaking on their behalf, then why did you post at all?
>
> I thought I made that clear last time, but if you need more
> clarification than what I have outlined above, then you need to seek

> professional help.
>
> >You certainly were being defensive. Oh, I forgot: Like Aanal Aaron,
> >you just have to prove that you're right. Maybe you ARE Aanal Aaron??
>
> ...oh brother, here we go again!
>
> >And just where (and how) did you come up with this "obviously
> >subversive anti-ham propoganda"?? What a diaper-load!
>
> It's all you complain about. "Hammies do this" and "hammies do that"
> and none of them live up to your standards. It's never about the real
> issue, which is illegal operation. Your obsession is with hams.
>
> >> Now if any part of this post was beyond your ability to understand it,
> >> I'm sure you will reply. But don't get discouraged, you aren't doing
> >> too bad for a fifth- or sixth-grader.
> >
> >Ohhhhhh, a PUT DOWN. I'm crushed! Now take your own advice and be sure
> >you understand my posting before you bother to reply.
>
> I did understand it. Now maybe you can try and understand my original
> response to Timmy for the meaning that was intended (and carefully
> outlined above), not for some distorted meaning that fits with your
> distorted perceptions.

I will have to go back to the beginning, I'm afraid; I'm not certain
Tim's post was directed to you in the first place. If it was, then
perhaps I'm outta line.

Good luck with the licensing and enjoy the Swan!

6173. Set of Realistic TRC-218 Handheld CBs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 13:31:48 UTC
From: Power House <Power_House@PrivateBox.com>
Message-ID: <un1hdnm458bpaa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 135252
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

I have a set of Realistic TRC-218 Handheld CB radios for sale. Both units are in near mint condition, and hardly show any signs of use. One unit is by itself, however the second unit comes complete with it's original box, owners manual, FCC Rules sheet, as well as two dummy batteries.

These are 3-channel crystal controlled units, and each radio comes with a set of crystals for channel 14. These units have the metal collapsible antennas (not cheapy rubber stubbies), that when fully extended are 3'1" (37") long. The antennas on each are in perfect shape, not even the slightest bend in either of them

These would be perfect units for the collector of older CB gear, as they are in immaculate condition, as well as the one unit being complete. How often do you see a handheld unit that comes with it's original dummy batteries?

The radios are fully tested and function perfectly. I'm asking $20 for the set.

A picture of the units can be seen here:
http://www.geocities.com/femaster1/radios.JPG

PH

6174. Re: Randy Chapman, Gay Male Crack Whore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 13:40:45 UTC
From: crossfire <noone@home.com>
Message-ID: <1030801506.418370@nnrp2.phx1.gblx.net>
Source record: 135253

Which one , The crack whore or the Gay male ?
"xganon" <remailer@xganon.com> wrote in message
news:161988fe3859171d10f9960ffb885ffc@xganon.com...
> > > You know, the bad part is that I still crave the shit.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com
> ---
>

6175. Re: George buttfucks Randygirl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 13:48:55 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <bP3c9.144039$eK6.4396741@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 135254

"Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslammas@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:50f7cd4f.0208302336.3b15c391@posting.google.com...
> > > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> whined in message
> > > news:jgzb9.165559$Yd.7288984@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > > Wow Georgie! I think you've set yourself a new record today!
> > > > Congratulations! 3 new nics in 24 hours. How DO you find the time?
>
> Same way you find time to post on here through the clouds from your crack
pipe.

Nah, gave that up years ago. Life is much better now.

You on the other hand, seem to still have a "problem".

6176. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 13:58:33 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208310558.6160a627@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135255

yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d7017e2.3552219@news.cet.com
> >Apparently that statement simply isn't true. You read Tim's post, you
> >deliberately mis-interpreted Tim's post,

You did!

> I did nothing of the sort. Since you can't yet understand what I
> wrote, let me take this step by step so it will be REALLY SIMPLE for
> you to understand:
>
> 1. I have told Timmy on many prior occasions that I am not a ham.
> 2. In his post, Timmy said I was a ham.
> 3. In his post, Timmy said I was a hypocrite for not "tirading" in ham
> newsgroups.
> 4. I am not a ham.
> 5. I have no reason or right to be "tirading" in ham newsgroups.
> 6. I do not fit his criteria of being a hypocrite.
> 7. I -am- a CBer.
> 8. I -do- have a reason and a right to be "tirading" in CB newsgroups.
> 9. I -do- fit the -opposite- of his criteria for being a hypocrite.
> 10. Since he provided no word for the opposite of 'hypocrisy', I
> picked the best word available: 'integrity'.
> 11. I responded.
>
> Now I tried to make it so simple that even Twisty couln't spin the
> meaning, but I'm sure both you and he will try. Regardless, is there
> anything, ...ANYTHING you don't, won't or can't understand about my
> response to Nebo?

I went back and looked, and I was correct: You unnecessarily responded
to a post that was not addressed or directed to you

Tim Nebo never said YOU were a ham, nor that YOU were a hypocrite. His
post was a response to the late Richard McCollum, N0BK, and you jumped
in for no reason.

"Integrity"? I think not. The word bullcrap seems to fit better here.

6177. Re: interference issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 14:00:34 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208310600.2b07a4e7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135256

keyclownslammas@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
news:<50f7cd4f.0208302237.4227ad4b@posting.google.com>...
> "george craig" <geocraig@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<e1Wb9.43774$Ke2.3074869@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> > please review the site www.aerorfi.org
> > personally I do not care what you folks do [ham or cb] but interference to
> > aircraft is serious
> > business... base or mobile I would recomend low pass filters.... George
>
> You are talking to people who do NOT care, George. These keyclowns
> have no regard for anything. They are federal criminals.

How true, Doggie - you have no regard for anything. You should still be locked up!

6178. My Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 14:06:56 UTC
From: keyclownbusters@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020831100656.25620.00000613@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 135257

Callsign: N8WWM Class: Technician Codes: HAI USA
Name: DOUGLAS W ADAIR
Addr1: 504 EUCLID AVE
Addr2: TOLEDO, OH 43605
Country: USA
Effective: 19 May 2001 Expires: 16 Mar 2003
FRN: 0003966454
Lookups: 1746

Coordinates: 416ï¿½ 38' 31'' N, 835ï¿½ 30' 29'' W
County: Lucas County
Grid: EN81
Birthday: 10 Jul 1958
Email: n8wwm@arrl.net

Please put me in your address book and sign me up for anything you think I might like:

Gay stuff
Gay porn
Gay radio
Gay cooking
Gay discussion
Gay newsgoups (I have them all, but there are new ones I sometimes miss)

You get the picture.

TTFN,

Doug

6179. Re: KD4CXJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 14:30:00 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <lp4c9.201773$Aw4.8574542@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135258

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208310422.523045a6@posting.google.com...
> "IllegalCbersSuck" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:<8RYb9.316722$2p2.13312422@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > KD4CXJ
>
> What about it, Georgie-girl?

What about what ?

6180. Re: BS BS BS BS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 14:32:05 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <Fr4c9.312654$m91.12760293@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135259

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:MjWb9.16$nr3.1566977@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "crossfire" <noone@home.com> wrote in message
> news:1030740354.25659@nnrp1.phx1.gblx.net...
> >
> ` Useless crap deleted
>
> Who cares, you posted it once that's enough.
> This is very close to spamming if not flooding the
> group.
>
> Landshark

Funny thing is Landwhale who are you to declare what is spam or flooding.

6181. damn i'm tired.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 14:37:46 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.nettrash>
Message-ID: <Xl4c9.10342$o23.5647@fe02>
Source record: 135260

yawn.....
--
goodnight

6182. FLORIDA CBer CRIES like a baby when SPANKED by Judge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 14:38:39 UTC
From: cbretard@hushmail.com
Message-ID: <b7ada39b.0208310638.74cd6860@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135261

Florida Citizens Band enthusiast William "Rabbit Ears" Flippo will spend a total of 15 months in federal prison--including two months already served--and pay a $25,000 fine for jamming Amateur Radio communications and transmitting without a license. He'll also spend a year on supervised probation following his release, during which he cannot own radio gear or firearms. Flippo was convicted in federal court earlier this year on eight misdemeanor counts. The sentence is believed to be a record for convictions of this type.

"It's sent a shock wave across the Amateur Radio and CB communities in South Florida," said Ed Petzolt, K1LNC, who was among the amateurs targeted by Flippo and who testified at his trial. "The CB guys are running for cover. You can buy an illegal CB amplifier pretty cheap right now," he quipped. Hams were surprised by the severity of the sentence.

Federal District Court Judge Daniel T.K. Hurley imposed the sentence August 29 on Flippo, of Jupiter. He had been found guilty June 19 of four counts of operating without a license and four counts of deliberate and malicious interference. Flippo has remained in custody since the guilty verdict and underwent a psychiatric evaluation prior to sentencing. The judge also said he wants a full financial disclosure from Flippo, who may face other legal actions unrelated to his radio operation convictions.

At the sentencing, Flippo reportedly wept, said he'd turned over a new leaf and claimed he was sole support for his wife and two daughters. None of his family members was in the courtroom for the sentencing, however.

The judge was not persuaded, telling Flippo, 60, that he was old enough to have considered the consequences of his actions.

6183. Re: FLORIDA CBer CRIES like a baby when SPANKED by Judge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 14:48:16 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20020831104816.21833.00000223@mb-de.aol.com>
Source record: 135262

AND.....How many warnings did this asshole ignore?
Train

6184. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 14:56:56 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <YO4c9.312948$m91.12774580@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135263

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208310558.6160a627@posting.google.com...
> "Integrity"? I think not. The word bullcrap seems to fit better here.

Yes your posts are usually full of em!
--
Things
are changing, and clowns are going to be painting on sad faces in the coming
months.

6185. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 14:58:43 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020831105843.06837.00000279@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 135264

where could i read about this? i'm very interested in hearing more!

>Recent cases concerning certain CB operators
>in Phoenix AZ bears this out.5 operators...29 violations 1 lawyer,1 day
>...all
>dismissed.
> I take no side here just stateing the facts maam,just the facts

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

6186. Re: Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 15:02:00 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020831110200.06837.00000280@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 135265

a lifer tech at its best

>So, does that mean he's a no-code?

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

6187. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 15:05:50 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <iX4c9.53966$On.2740121@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135266

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> lied in message ...
>
> If you bother to listen to CB you'll hear 99.9% of the people having
> nice conversations. And maybe a disgruntled hambo in there trying to
> cause trouble.

How would you know if a ham was causing trouble do they give call signs on CB? LOL putz

> Why aren't you on one of the precious amateur bands "protecting" it
> from those nasty alleged intruders?

As Usual stupid statements fly from your keyboard. How do you know he isnt on there right now talking?

6188. Re: George buttfucks Randygirl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 15:07:01 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <pY4c9.1280$S74.38996156@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 135269

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:bP3c9.144039$eK6.4396741@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslammas@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:50f7cd4f.0208302336.3b15c391@posting.google.com...
> > > > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> whined in message
> > > > news:jgzb9.165559$Yd.7288984@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > > > Wow Georgie! I think you've set yourself a new record today!
> > > > > Congratulations! 3 new nics in 24 hours. How DO you find the time?
> > >
> > Same way you find time to post on here through the clouds from your
> crack
> pipe.
>
> Nah, gave that up years ago. Life is much better now.
>
> You on the other hand, seem to still have a "problem".
>
>

You may have gave it up Randy, but Doug
needs better living through chemicals to reduce the
lunacy. Just keep sending the complaints to:

help@uu.net

abuse-mail@wcom.com

netadmin@ao.wcom.net

groups-abuse@google.com

abuse@yahoo.com

Landshark

--
"BAD CITIZENS HAVE MORE FUN BECAUSE THEY ARE MORE FREE"

6189. Re: Richard Cranium revealed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 15:07:02 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <qY4c9.1281$S74.38996156@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 135268

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208310413.e448f40@posting.google.com...
> keyclownslammas@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
news:<50f7cd4f.0208302222.67cef8a8@posting.google.com>...
> > KD4CXJ
> > STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
> > 1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
> > VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
>
> HAR de HAR HAR HAR. You clowns don't know anywhere near as much as you
> think you do! Dream on.
>
> My name is Richard, not Steve!

I thought George had you pinged to
Rochester NY.?

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

6190. Dumb CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 15:08:55 UTC
From: xganon <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <91c4407b4a24f41628bde21db24a39ba@xganon.com>
Source record: 135267

Finally Cbers are waking up to the fact that they can no longer use import radios and amplifiers with impunity (without getting spanked). The Federal government is gearing up to start coming down on hard on these dumb ass scofflaws who think they they can spread their idiocy beyond the bars of the monkey cage (26.965~27.405 with a 150 mile limit). We tried to tell them time and time again that the Feds were gonna get 'em. Old

---
This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com

6191. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 15:09:23 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <D_4c9.313158$m91.12783606@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135270

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> In a way, it is. You haven't posted one shred of truth, nor answered
> any questions since you started posting here. And many people have
> asked, not just me. You have established zero credibility. The only
> people asking me anything are you and your puppets, who also have zero
> credibility.

Neither have you Dick Head, you havnet answered any of my questions, you have 0% credibility since most of your post make up your opinion and never any facts (30-40 million Cbers?) Opinions are like assholes and you must look like a pin cushion

6192. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 15:10:27 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <D%4c9.202460$Aw4.8603850@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135271

"Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> Too bad a whole bunch of truck stops that sell "phoney 10 meter
> radios" are on Riley's hit list. I don't know if any are in VA Beach
> area but you may want to drive out to them and personally explain to
> Riley why they must remain on sale since they are legal for a ham like
> you to use :)
>
> -Aaron-

Aaron he can't use em he is a no-code techican

6193. Re: FLORIDA CBer CRIES like a baby when SPANKED by Judge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 15:22:07 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <za5c9.313325$m91.12791614@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135272

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
news:20020831104816.21833.00000223@mb-de.aol.com...
> AND.........How many warnings did this asshole ignore?
> Train

Who cares how many he was and is a stupid Cber. How many warning will it take you to stop selling illegal radios and amps under the guise of amateur radio. You guys hate hams yet use the word amateur like some sorta catch phrase.

6194. Re: Richard Cranium revealed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 15:23:47 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <7c5c9.321356$2p2.13601473@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135273

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:qY4c9.1281$S74.38996156@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> I thought George had you pinged to
> Rochester NY.?
>
> Landshark

Well you ping him landwhale, and give us your results, I said he was in Rochester area and he said where is Rochester? So I guess he is not in Rochester but then again he lies alot.

6195. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 15:24:19 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20020831112419.24488.00000018@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 135275

>Subject: Re: Ham Misbehavior
>From: "IllegalCbersSuck" rcranium@lies.com
>Date: 8/31/02 10:56 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <YO4c9.312948$m91.12774580@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
>
>
>
>"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:c5ecbb69.0208310558.6160a627@posting.google.com...
>> "Integrity"? I think not. The word bullcrap seems to fit better here.
>
>Yes your posts are usually full of em!
>--
> Things
>are changing, and clowns are going to be painting on sad faces in the coming
>months.
>
>
This shit is getting really old.....Come with some better fear tactics if
you think you are going to get anywhere. Whiney little pukes like you who have
to hide are taken as seriously as a threat made in the dark. Get some balls and
do it in daylight if you have what it takes to back up the crap you talk.
Train

6196. Re: Dogie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 15:24:23 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <Hc5c9.313354$m91.12793678@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135274

kd4cxj is also a Lifer no code.

"www.TimNebo.com" <timnebo@aol.com187> wrote in message
news:20020831110200.06837.00000280@mb-cq.aol.com...
> a lifer tech at its best
>
> >So, does that mean he's a no-code?
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com
>
>

6197. Re: George buttfucks Randygirl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 15:26:43 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <Te5c9.313384$m91.12795600@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135276

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:pY4c9.1280$S74.38996156@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> You may have gave it up Randy, but Doug
> needs better living through chemicals to reduce the
> lunacy. Just keep sending the complaints to:
>
> help@uu.net
>
> abuse-mail@wcom.com
>
> netadmin@ao.wcom.net
>
> groups-abuse@google.com
>
> abuse@yahoo.com
>
> Landshark
>
>

Here is a Hanky for you Landwhale.. keep crying

6198. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 15:27:07 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <ff5c9.202574$Aw4.8618251@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135277

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message

> Are you trying to tell me that the MUF is BETWEEN 10 and 11 meters?
> I'm not buying that. CBers are still using 11 meters because it's all
> they have; hams are not using 10 meters because they think it's dead.

They do? who are you speaking for Dick Head all Hams? Amazing what a
dickhead.

> Sometimes they do. Sometimes the CBers "police" their own, too.

They do? How is that putting a pin in someones coax? Calling Riley? Or
keying up on the individual causing problems out of the 3 choices. I say #3
is most likely.

> > >> BTW, you still haven't provided your callsign...
> > >
> > >I won't, either. Not until Aanal Aaron posts his (and he'll never do
> > >that, will he?).

Of course you won't ever heard of the word coward

>
> Bull. Many people have asked him and he refuses to answer any
> question. From what I read, Tom Severt tried asking him in a "civil
> manner", and got the usual side-stepping obstrufication and the same
> lame URL to a phoney website. We all know he'll never post his
> callsign.

Same with you yet you claimed to have nothing to hide or fear.

> > > and you responded to Tim's

> > <snip>
> > >> > but who appointed you (or anyone else) NetCop? People are
> > >> >free to post For Sale ads for anything they want to post;
> > >>
> > >> Not if it's an amplifier capable of operating on the CB. Or haven't
> > >> you been reading Voobner's posts? I'm sure he will provide you with
> > >> the proper information showing you where you are wrong.
>
> Obviously I've been reading his posts; how do you think I can correct
> him all the time?
>
> > >Of course they can. It's as easy as typing an ad for legal stuff. If
> > >you don't like to read them, use your filters and don't look at them.
> > >Funny how everyone else can filter and use kill-files but you don't.

> >
> > There is a distinction between legal and illegal behavior, or can't
> > you make that distinction? Just -advertising- an RF amplifier capable
> > of operating on the CB is a federal offense.
>
> Nonsense; amps are permitted for ten and twelve meters. You really
> mean: "Just -advertising- a RF amplifier in rec.radio.cb..." maybe?
> Geez, I think it makes perfect sense considering how many allaged hams
> lurk here. Maybe they would like to buy them?
>
> > >And I highly doubt Aanal Aaron can provide anyone with "proper
> > >information". He never has and he most likely never will. He might
> > >provide HIS take on something, but it won't be the truth.
> >
> > The Code of Federal Regulations isn't the truth? Well, maybe you can
> > point out a few of it's lies.
>
> I have pointed out many of his mis-interpretations of the R&R. As I
> said, he presents his distorted version of the Rules, not the truth.
> Try reading his crap sometime.
>
> > >> You are totally ignoring the purpose of discouraging illegal
behavior.
> > >> By your "logic", if you don't like thieves stealing your tools from
> > >> your garage then you should just ignore them. That's a pretty good
> > >> plan as far as the thieves are concerned, huh? You wouldn't happen to
> > >> be one of those 'thieves', now would you?
> > >
> > >No, if I don't like thieves stealing tools from my garage, I bring my
> > >tools inside where I can protect them; I don't leave them where
> > >someone might take them. I don't go out with a scattergun and shoot
> > >everybody for blocks.
> >
> > Twisty does.
> >
> > > And I certainly don't go into their houses,
> > >which is an approximation of what the "alleged amateurs" are doing in
> > >this newsgroup.
> >
> > That's total bullshit, this isn't YOUR HOUSE! This is a newsgroup. YOU
> > make the decision to bring ANYTHING from the internet into your house.
> > Like I have said many times before, nobody is forcing you to read the
> > newsgroup. Don't blame anyone but yourself for getting worked up over
> > whatever YOU choose to read!
> >
> > >And "discouraging illegal behavior" makes you a dork in the eyes of
> > >anyone who does such things and has no effect on their behavior
> > >whatsoever, does it. And it makes you a "goodie two-shoes" to most
> > >anyone else. It's not your problem (you said you run legal on CB),
> > >right? Why does it matter to you what other people do? If you're not a

> > >ham, why should it bother you that the occasional trucker (or
> > >whatever) mistakenly uses a quiet frequency within the edges of an
> > >amateur band?
> >
> > I don't really care about 10 meter incursions, my focus on this
> > newsgroup is, and has always been, primarily with the Citizen's Band.
> > And guess what? I really don't care if illegal operators consider me a
> > "dork" or a "goodie two-shoes". It's better than being a lemming,
> > bending to peer pressure and following the herd like a cow.
> >
> > And you know what else? Personally I really don't care if anyone wants
> > to break the law. That's up to them. But in the process they screw
> > things up for other people, which is why we have laws in the first
> > place. For some reason (actually, many reasons that I have already
> > explained), the CB seems to be a haven for deliberate lawbreaking,
> > with the result of the trashing of the band. I don't care about the
> > lawbreaking, but I do care about the band. So unless you come up with
> > a solution for how these troglodytes can get their kicks breaking the
> > law while not screwing things up for everyone else, I'm all ears.
> > Otherwise, I guess I'll just have to live with the tag of "goodie
> > two-shoes". And I will wear it with pride AND integrity, which is
> > something that you can't do (or will probably ever understand).
> >
> > <snip>
> > >> Actually, I have mentioned several times that hams should take there
> > >> ham discussions elsewhere. In fact, since illegal operation is closer
> > >> in comparison to ham radio than it is to CB radio, the illegals
should
> > >> go there as well.
> > >
> > >Oh boy, the "illegals" are much closer to CBers than amateurs. CBers
> > >don't have licenses; illegals certainly do not have licenses. Amateurs
> > >are supposed to have licenses. Where's the commonality?
> >
> > Legal CBers and legal hams have the commonality of legal operation.
> > But that's where it ends: Illegal operators use high power, as do
> > hams; illegal operators use modes other than AM and SSB, as do hams;
> > illegal operators use frequencies beyond channel assignments, as do
> > hams; illegal operators use modified gear, as do hams; illegal
> > operators intentionally work DX, as do hams; etc. In fact, the only
> > differences between illegal operators and hams is the license and a
> > couple of the limitations. Oh, and illegal operators do not have the
> > policies of "good engineering practice" or "self-policing". So maybe
> > you are right, maybe they are not THAT much like hams, but they are
> > certainly more like hams than CBers.
> >
> > >> But I responded to Timmy's post because he grouped me in with other
> > >> "alleged amatuers", of which I am not. Or were you unable to
> > >> understand my response to his post? Let's read it again, shall we?
> > >

> > >I don't recall that Tim lumped you with anyone. If you took it that
> > >way, it's your problem. And apparently you took it that way.
> >
> > ...uh, are you lysdexic or something? Since you snipped it, let me
> > post it again:
> >
> > >...i dont see jerry, aaron, frank or
> > >anybody else tirading about the amatuer bands and on there newsgroups
> > >complaining and these problems are even more directly related to the
service
> > >there fighting for.
>
> Ummm, is that a quote? I don't see that it was addressed to you.
>
> > Well, if I'm not a ham, and my posts haven't been defending the ham
> > bands, why does he think that I'm "fighting for" the ham bands? I
> > think you have a problem with reading comprehension. Either that or
> > you are just too stupid to understand what was written. Maybe you
> > should also refer back to several posts where Timmy refers to me as
> > N7VCF, a ham on the other side of the state with the same name as
> > mine. Twisty made the suggestion, and Timmy was gullible enough to
> > believe it. So now you have TWO more people you can chastise for
> > giving out misinformation. Get busy...
>
> It certainly sounds like you're "fighting for" the ham bands, don't
> you think?
>
> > >> >I'm in here because I'm a CBer. I am not a ham (yet), and that's
> > >> >probably why I don't post much on ham newsgroups. That's not
> > >> >hypocrisy, that's integrity. Learn the difference.
> > >>
> > >> Looks like I wasn't agreeing OR disagreeing with his statement,
except
> > >> for the fact that I am not a ham. I was speaking for myself, not the
> > >> other "alleged amateurs" that Timmy was whining about. So why do you
> > >> think that I was speaking on their behalf? Did I write something that
> > >> I didn't understand? No, I don't think so. The problem is that YOU
> > >> didn't understand what I said, you just jumped to the conclusion that
> > >> I was speaking on behalf of those "alleged amateurs".
> > >
> > >To me, it looks like you made a lame attempt to flame him.
> >
> > Perhaps from your perspective I did. I'm not suprised.
> >
> > <snip>
> > >> I do take my own advice quite often. But right now I choose to
> > >> exercise my freedom of expression, just like you. You can't prevent
me
> > >> from posting and I can't prevent you from posting. The only thing
> > >> either one of us can do is to prevent ourselves from posting. So this

> > >> will probably continue until you run out of excuses, or get tired of
> > >> trying to defend your BS, and choose instead to stop posting. Or, you
> > >> can follow Twisty's example and just start posting nonsense. But
then,
> > >> just like Twisty, you will end up in just about everyone's killfile,
> > >> including mine, and lose what little integrity you have left.
Speaking
> > >> of integrity, what's your callsign?
> > >
> > >Or maybe Doggie's example. Or Georgie-girl's example. Or even Aaron's
> > >example. You're in really good company there. Congradulations on your
> > >choice of friends.
> >
> > Nice spin. Twisty would be proud.
> >
> > >> >> > They are
> > >> >> >accomplishing nothing by trolling here. And they will accomplish
> > >> >> >nothing as long as they choose to waste their time.
> > >> >>
> > >> >> Evidently they are accomplishing -something-, as you seem to be
> > >> >> offended by their posting. But you (and Timmy) seem to be
similarly
> > >> >> offended by MY posting. And you have never voiced your
disagreement
> > >> >> with the "QRM" from proponents of illegal operation. So clearly
your
> > >> >> problem isn't with hams, but with something else. I recommend a
> > >> >> therapist to get to the root of your problem. Twisty claims to
have a
> > >> >> good one, but he can't seem to recall a name. Maybe he will
provide it
> > >> >> to you through email.
> > >
> > >I am offended by Aanal Aaron's deliberate mis-information, and I am
> > >somewhat offended by Georgie-girl's lame attempt to provoke people
> > >with sexual innuendo (and that's all he ever posts, you notice).
> >
> > Not quite. He seems to know quite a bit about microwaves, a subject
> > that I avoid more than lima beans.
> >
> > > But
> > >precisely WHAT is all this accomplishing? It only makes them look like
> > >idiots.
> >
> > Now isn't that their choice if they want to look like idiots? And if
> > that's what they choose to do, and that's what they are accomplishing,
> > then why does it bother you? I mean, why would you complain when
> > someone that you don't like succeeds in making an idiot out of
> > himself? Or is it that you are trying to convince yourself that they
> > look like idiots so you don't feel like one for complaining about

> > them? Hmmm, I think that's closer to the truth, don't you?
> >
> > <snip>
> > >> Now THOSE people I can live without. Care to point them out?
> > > >
> > > >Same names: Jerry Ox, JJ, Georgie-girl, etc., etc., etc., ad
> > > >infinitum.
> > >
> > > I haven't seen a post by Jerry, JJ, or George that is anti-CB, except
> > > for the reason that the CB seems to be IO-central. I have never seen
> > > any of them have a problem with legal CBers. But there are a few
> > > extremists that don't like -anything- legal, such as Timmy, Train, or
> > > that dictatorial, genocidal, racist, Bible-thumping hypocrite of a
> > > hermaphrodite called either Dave or Debby.
> >
> Great description! I have no problem with legal operation, but I see
> no valid problem with illegal operation, either. Neither is cause to
> paint all CBers as "criminals", as one of the aforenamed persons did.
>
> > <snip>
> > >> > it's with alleged hams
> > >> >who never seem to operate on the ham bands because they're always
> > >> >slumming in a CB newsgroup. Get the picture?
> > >> >
> > >> I get the picture very clearly.
> > <snip>
> > >I'd say you're pretty busy. But then, you've also said you're not
> > >(yet) a ham, so my statement was not directed to you.
> > >
> > > I would say that YOU are starting to get the picture. Maybe you can
> > > pass it along to Timmy, who still thinks I'm N7VCF.
> > >
> > >> I am also working on getting my ham license. There sure are a lot of
> > >> things about propogation that I have forgotten since college, I'll
> > >> tell you that much. Not only that, but I picked up my first two ham
> > >> radios in the past month: One is a Heathkit HW-19 (ok, it a CAP
radio,
> > >> but with a VFO it should work just fine for ham); and I found a Swan
> > >> 500 in really great shape (and for an even better price).
> > > >
> > >A couple of real boatanchors there! Good luck with the Swan. They're
> > >one strange radio, in general.
> > >
> > > I used to think so, but learned different after I picked this up. This
> > > is really one fine rig, and made before Swan's fatal affiliation with
> > > Sillytronix. It does have a problem, though. For some reason they put
> > > the ANL circuit between the product detector and the first audio amp,
> > > i.e, right between the two triodes of an unshielded 12AX7. Not a good
> > > idea. The result is that, after time wears down the components a bit,
> > > the audio oscillates through the AGC, which then needs to be disabled,

> > and which also disables the S-meter. Great for CW, but bad for the
> > ears. I noticed that on the later version of the 500C they moved the
> > whole ANL circuit to a point after the AGC amp, the grid resistor of
> > which was changed to a lower value. I did the same modification and
> > that solved the problem.
> >
> > But while this radio can do 200 watts CW and 500+ PEP on SSB, it can
> > also do QRP CW (with break-in keying) simply by keying through the mic
> > jack and turning the carrier balance off-center. And since it will
> > load into 15 to 500 ohms, you can use almost anything for an antenna.
> > With a sensitivity of 0.5 uV on the receiver and 500+ PEP, you don't
> > need much of an antenna anyway.
> >
> > And no PC boards -- it's all point-to-point wiring!
>
> Doggone - something my tired eyes could actually work on!
>
> > So I don't know why anyone would call it a "strange" radio, it seems
> > like a fine radio to me.
>
> I seem to recall that Swan used some trick circuits or gimicks to
> compact those things into the (relatively) small cases (for the day).
> I haven't seen one for a good many years, so I could be wrong. Maybe
> that was later, under SilTronics?
>
> > >> But YOU spend your time talking on the CB, chasing Voobner on the
> > >> newsgroups, and scanning 10M looking for illegal operators just to
> > >> dispute him. That's truly pathetic, dude.
> > >
> > >Wrong again. I don't talk on CB anymore (although I used to). I don't
> > >bother "chasing Voobner" - he posts enough right here to keep my
> > >fingers sore.
> >
> > Well, everyone needs a hobby. Have you tried bowling? It might be more
> > fun. Or maybe amateur radio? Hey, now THERE'S a novel idea, huh?
>
> Used to bowl, even played table-tennis for a while and got decent at
> it. To be honest, I don't talk much on the ham radio, either; I've
> always been more of a listener than a rag-chewer.
>
> > > And I am taking JJ's advice looking for those
> > >"intruders" he claims are in the bottom end of 10 meters (and I have
> > >not heard yet). Actually, I'm trying to confirm his statements; I just
> > >haven't been able to. That's not pathetic, that's "active".
> >
> > That's not active, that's sedentary. Go get some fresh air. Fly a kite
> > or something. You know, it's been years since I have seen a kid, or
> > anyone for that fact, fly a kite in this town. Has the activity of
> > kite-flying been flushed by the Nintendos and X-box's? Maybe those
> > games will let you 'virtually' fly a kite, although I tend to prefer

> > the real thing. Ya know what? I got tomorrow off, I'm going to build a
> > kite and fly it (if the wind cooperates)! I challenge you to do the
> > same.
>
> I see (rather, don't see) the same thing; and I think it does have
> something to do with the Xbox or Nintendo. I see a great many yards
> decorated with pools and trampolenes and swings, and never see anyone
> outside using the things. This place seems evacuated weekends and
> during the summer. But I do get plenty of fresh air; I walk around a
> lot and have two large yards to keep up (or down, whichever). Sorry,
> not a breath of breeze today, so no kite.
>
> > >> Seriously, what is it that they say that bothers you so much? Are you
> > >> an illegal operator that can't stand people telling you that what you
> > >> are doing is illegal? Or if someone says something bad about CB
radio,
> > >> do you actually take it personally? I get the picture VERY clearly.
> > >> Get a life. And this time when I say "get a life" I really mean it.
> > >
> > >IF I was an "illegal" operator, I wouldn't care what they said. The
> > >fact is that it offends me to see those low-lifes rain on everybody's
> > >parade, just because they're anally-retentive. Hell, if they said it
> > >once and moved on it wouldn't bother me at all. But Aanal Aaron has
> > >been corrected so many times that it's absurd, and he STILL doesn't
> > >acknowledge that he doesn't have a clue what is reality.
> >
> > Well, reality is relative. My most sincere suggestion is to step away
> > from the newsgroup for a while. Things won't fall apart while you are
> > gone.
> >
> > <snip>
> > >> Tell you what: You go ahead and believe what you want. Post whatever
> > >> misinformed, deconstructive, and obviously subversive anti-ham
> > >> propaganda you want. But next time you want to reply to one of my
> > >> posts that has nothing to do with you, try to understand it first.
> > >> Then try not to jump to the conclusion that I am speaking on behalf
of
> > >> any of those "alleged amateurs" or "BOZOs", or whatever you choose to
> > >> call them depending on your mood.
> > >
> > >If you're not speaking on their behalf, then why did you post at all?
> >
> > I thought I made that clear last time, but if you need more
> > clarification than what I have outlined above, then you need to seek
> > professional help.
> >
> > >You certainly were being defensive. Oh, I forgot: Like Aanal Aaron,
> > >you just have to prove that you're right. Maybe you ARE Aanal Aaron??
> >
> > ...oh brother, here we go again!

> >
> > >And just where (and how) did you come up with this "obviously
> > >subversive anti-ham propoganda"?? What a diaper-load!
> >
> > It's all you complain about. "Hammies do this" and "hammies do that"
> > and none of them live up to your standards. It's never about the real
> > issue, which is illegal operation. Your obsession is with hams.
> >
> > >> Now if any part of this post was beyond your ability to understand
it,
> > >> I'm sure you will reply. But don't get discouraged, you aren't doing
> > >> too bad for a fifth- or sixth-grader.
> > >
> > >Ohhhhhh, a PUT DOWN. I'm crushed! Now take your own advice and be sure
> > >you understand my posting before you bother to reply.
> >
> > I did understand it. Now maybe you can try and understand my original
> > response to Timmy for the meaning that was intended (and carefully
> > outlined above), not for some distorted meaning that fits with your
> > distorted perceptions.
>
> I will have to go back to the beginning, I'm afraid; I'm not certain
> Tim's post was directed to you in the first place. If it was, then
> perhaps I'm outta line.
>
> Good luck with the licensing and enjoy the Swan!
>

6199. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 15:34:07 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208310734.503a8406@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135278

"IllegalCbersSuck" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:<mKTb9.313626$2p2.13061402@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> news:fef386d5.0208301454.cdf80e0@posting.google.com...
> >
> > jerry.......give it a rest will ya...i am not a trucker and i don't
> > play one on tv...........roger? oh and another thing.....i bet you
> > ,there aren't many truckers hanging around this newsgroup either, so
> > why is it such things would be deemed by you , to be of interest to
> > the group?
>
> Sd jones I beg to differ with you there are many truckers in this NG

You can prove that statement? I bet there are more hams here than anything else.

6200. Re: Take that illegal cbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 15:41:36 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208310741.7a9ba242@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135279

"skuld-chan" <cherry-chan@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<R7Nb9.239446$983.522150@rwcrnsc53>...
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/08/29/100/?nc=1
>
> I keep hearing there's more to come too :)
>
> sc

One major error in reporting:

Since he didn't hold any FCC license, he was not required to allow the FCC to inspect his equipment. Only licensed operators are required to do that. And don't feed me any crap about a so-called "blanket license"; there is no such thing.

He got exactly what he deserved, although I hardly think it was necessary to humiliate him with shackles. It's not like he was a violent thug or a rapist.

6201. Re: Take that illegal cbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 16:01:23 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <nL5c9.313909$m91.12818761@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135280

--
Try me I'll Make you Famous
"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208310741.7a9ba242@posting.google.com...
> "skuld-chan" <cherry-chan@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<R7Nb9.239446$983.522150@rwcrnsc53>...
> > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/08/29/100/?nc=1
> >
> > I keep hearing there's more to come too :)
> >
> > sc
>
> One major error in reporting:
>
> Since he didn't hold any FCC license, he was not required to allow the
> FCC to inspect his equipment. Only licensed operators are required to
> do that. And don't feed me any crap about a so-called "blanket
> license"; there is no such thing.

DickHead you are so very wrong :

[Code of Federal Regulations]
[Title 47, Volume 5, Parts 80 to End]
[Revised as of October 1, 2000]
From the U.S. Government Printing Office via GPO Access
[CITE: 47CFR95.426]

[Page 540]

TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION

COMMISSION (CONTINUED)

PART 95--PERSONAL RADIO SERVICES--Table of Contents

Subpart D--Citizens Band (CB) Radio Service

Sec. 95.426 (CB Rule 26) Do I have to make my CB station available for
inspection?

(a) If an authorized FCC representative requests to inspect your CB
station, you must make your CB station and records available for
inspection.
(b) A CB station includes all of the radio equipment you use.

> He got exactly what he deserved, although I hardly think it was
> necessary to humiliate him with shackles. It's not like he was a

> violent thug or a rapist.

Neither is someone who gets arrested for drunk driving but they usually handcuff them too, this guy is a FEDERAL Criminal like so many that grace this NG

6202. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 16:04:47 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <zO5c9.54530$On.2777070@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135281

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208310734.503a8406@posting.google.com...
> "IllegalCbersSuck" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:<mKTb9.313626$2p2.13061402@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> > news:fef386d5.0208301454.cdf80e0@posting.google.com...
> > >
> > > jerry.......give it a rest will ya...i am not a trucker and i don't
> > > play one on tv...........roger? oh and another thing.....i bet you
> > > ,there aren't many truckers hanging around this newsgroup either, so
> > > why is it such things would be deemed by you , to be of interest to
> > > the group?
> > >
> > Sd jones I beg to differ with you there are many truckers in this NG
> >
> You can prove that statement? I bet there are more hams here than anything
else.

Can I prove that there are "many" truckers in here sure a simple poll or a
look at the archives from this NG go to google.Can you prove there are 30-40
millions cbers in this country. In fact I can use your analogy, prove that
there arent. Can you prove there are more hams than truckers in here? Prove
that statement.

6203. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 16:07:57 UTC
From: IllegalCbersSuck <rcranium@lies.com>
Message-ID: <xR5c9.321750$2p2.13632527@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 135282

"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
news:20020831112419.24488.00000018@mb-mc.aol.com...
> This shit is getting really old........Come with some better fear tactics
if
> you think you are going to get anywhere. Whiney little pukes like you who
have
> to hide are taken as seriously as a threat made in the dark. Get some
balls and
> do it in daylight if you have what it takes to back up the crap you talk.
> Train

Oh go lay down you sidewalk sissy and quit your crying. The only balls you have are the 2 on your chin that belong to someone else. I didnt threaten anyone you jackass except perhaps your lively hood of selling illegal equipment.

6204. keyclownslammas is HOPPING Every DAY--sucks on anything!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 16:21:49 UTC
From: ozark333@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20020831122149.00277.00000333@mb-ce.aol.com>
Source record: 135283

Right back at you Pusswad.....Bring your ass to Springfield Missouri.
We'll see how much bullshit you dish face to face. Pussy!
Put up and show up or shut up.....Mr goingdownonkeyclowns!

"Got RF?"

6205. Re: Dumb CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 16:45:23 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D70F2AA.85EADB18@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 135284

xganon wrote:
>
> Finally Cbers are waking up to the fact that they can no longer use import radios and amplifiers with impunity (without getting spanked). The Federal government is gearing up to start coming down on hard on these dumb ass scofflaws who think they they can spread their idiocy beyond the bars of the monkey cage (26.965~27.405 with a 150 mile limit). We tried to tell them time and time again that the Feds were gonna get 'em. Old

Hey George, Sparky, Aaron, Leland, Mark, Dave, Jerry, or whoever you are today:
I was on 36, 37, 38, and 39 this morning, talking DX all the way to San Francisco.
This was with a PC-122 (Unmodified, recently aligned by an FCC licensed tech),
a stock mike and a Texas Star DX-250 into a Firestik KW-7 on the back bumper.

When will you stop me? Come, take my 'Star. I'm still waiting.

> ---
> This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com...
> ---

...because we all know what an asshole you are. It's a beautiful day out
here in Maryland, low humidity and 75 degrees. I'm going back out after
I finish my lunch, and will most like try again on the band later.

Operator Scott, Unit Six Nine, Chesapeake Bay mobile.

"The well-constructed troll is a post that induces lots of newbies and flamers to
make themselves look even more clueless than they already do, while subtly
conveying to the more savvy and experienced that it is in fact a deliberate troll.

If you don't fall for the joke, you get to be in on it."

from: http://www.altairiv.demon.co.uk/troll/trollfaq.html

6206. Re: RIP Dick McCollum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 16:49:00 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D70F385.2720DF6F@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 135285

chessecutter wrote:
>
> well actually dave there is a next life......we are spirtitual beings
> living in earth suits. once we die, our spirits go somewhere else to
> live in eternity........now that place where you go is up to
> you.........we are the only beings alive in this universe that have a
> free will......that is why angels view us with awe.........but time
> is ticking.........the question therefore that must be
> asked...........what will you do with this man called
> 'Jesus'......????? yep, that is the question that has been around for
> over 2000 years........and long before actually ....

As the Big Kahuna used to say:
BORINGGGGGGGG!

The well-constructed troll is a post that induces lots of newbies and flamers to
make themselves look even more clueless than they already do, while subtly
conveying to the more savvy and experienced that it is in fact a deliberate troll.

If you don't fall for the joke, you get to be in on it."

from: http://www.altairiv.demon.co.uk/troll/trollfaq.html

6207. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 17:48:37 UTC
From: timnebo@aol.com187
Message-ID: <20020831134837.15281.00000340@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 135286

because he's still a no code

>
>As Usual stupid statements fly from your keyboard. How do you know he isnt
>on there right now talking?

Tim Nebo

http://www.TimNebo.com

6208. lincoln fs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 17:55:39 UTC
From: CB MAN <mystuff@gofree.indigo.ie>
Message-ID: <Jq7c9.12731$zX3.24532@news.indigo.ie>
Source record: 135287

http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1377446090&rd=1

6209. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 17:56:19 UTC
From: r8500@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020831135619.00926.00000606@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 135288

What's even more effective than a letter from Hollingsworth to get freebanders out of the 10 meter ham band is a real strong RTTY signal on top of voice transmissions. Last fall I heard someone (maybe a ham, maybe not) sending RTTY over the top of two truckers talking on 28.085. The RTTY signal was between 10 and 20 db over S9 at my location, and boy, were those truckers howling about the interference! A similar situation occurred a few days ago when I heard an over the horizon radar system (?) wiping out a group of freebanders on 27.445 LSB. Very effective, and funny as hell to listen to also.

73,
David

6210. Re: lincoln fs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 17:59:08 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Mt7c9.174413$Yd.7755156@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 135289

"CB MAN" <mystuff@gofree.indigo.ie> wrote in message
news:Jq7c9.12731$zX3.24532@news.indigo.ie...
> http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1377446090&rd=1
>
>

Let's see how much reach the Anti-punks have. Bet they can't shut this one
down!

6211. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 18:53:28 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208311053.172bdc7c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135290

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208301149.2dc79dcc@posting.google.com>...
> Richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
> >Dave Hall <nojunk_n3cvj@worldlynx.net> wrote in message
news:<3D6F622B.49BE@worldlynx.net>...
> >> Aaron H. Voobner wrote:
> >> >
> >> > "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:c5ecbb69.0208291013.4bb71a42@posting.google.com...
> >> > > I've also been listening to 28.085 for a while now, and I
> haven't
> >> > > heard anything that sounds remotely like a CBer or even a
> >> > > trucker. I haven't heard anything at all
> >> >
> >> > Yet we have.....
> >> >
> >> > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates
> >> > >that a driver of the above vehicle licensed to your company
> >> > >was operating unlicensed radio transmitting equipment
> >> > >on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South Carolina, on June
> >> > >5, 2002.
> >> >
> >> > Gosh, who should I believe?.....a guy named "Richard Cranium"
> that
> >> > says there are no CBers on 10 meters......or an FCC report?
> >> > Hmmmm......
> >> >
> >>
> >> That's ok. I see the pattern of denial. There are no bootleggers on
> 10
> >> meters, a 4 watt signal can peg every meter in the country, there
> are
> >> more "bad" hams then "bad" CBers, amplifiers do nothing to add to
> the
> >> hetrodyne noise when the skip rolls in, most CBers are running
> legally,
> >> and of course, that coax length actually matters.
> >>
> >> The myths and "science" of the CB band. You might think this is an
> >> alternate reality. I guess for some it really is......
> >>
> >> Dave
> >> "Sandbagger"
> >

> >And you don't see Aanal Aaron's "pattern of denial"?
> >
> >Nobody said there "are no bootleggers on 10 meters", except YOU; I
> >said I haven't heard any yet.
>
> So you accept that there ARE bootleggers on 10 meters. And lots of
> people ARE hearing bootleggers on 10 meters. Except you. Great! What a
> graceful concession from you, I must say. I wish all our conversation
> was this civil.

There may be. I haven't heard any yet. And we've never had a
conversation, Aanal Aaron, since you never answer any questions.

> > Nobody said "a 4 watt signal...", but
> >it's quite possible when propogation is rolling.

When the skip (DX) is rolling, a lot of distant signals are louder
than local signals. Your point?

And nobody said
> there
> >were MORE "bad" hams; in fact, I claim it is more-or-less
> >proportional.
>
> You sure got your hands full lately, Richard. You've got Twistedhed's
> gaffes to explain, plus your own.

I think Twistedhed can answer for himself. And I'd like it if YOU
would answer for yourself. Just once.

> >I guess when you have no case you just have to make things up.
>
> Like making up "inaccuracies" and then "correcting" them? LOL.

I didn't have to make up anything, Aanal Aaron. It's all there in the
archives.

> > Yup, I
> >see the pattern of denial, all right! Talk about shooting yourself in
> >the foot!!
> >
> >It's obviously "reality" for Aanal Aaron, JJ, and Georgie-girl. And
> >apparently for you as well.
>
> This is reality:
>
> >> > > Monitoring information before the Commission indicates
> >> > >that a driver of the above vehicle licensed to your company
> >> > >was operating unlicensed radio transmitting equipment
> >> > >on 28.086 MHz, on Interstate 77 in South Carolina, on June
> >> > >5, 2002.
>
> This ain't:

>
> >I've been listening to the low end of 10 meters the last few days
> >and I haven't heard anything remotely sounding like an out-of-band
> >CBer.

Got news for ya. I still haven't heard anything at the low end of 10 meters. Not even a ham. Doesn't make it unreal to me. And you listen on..?

> A bit of honest advice. Your laughable attempts to discredit people
> and third-grade schoolyard taunts make you sound really desperate and
> panicked. You might want to try transitioning to a more factual style
> if you want your defense of "illegal CB" to be taken seriously. That
> is, unless you're just trolling for trolling's sake.....
>
> -Aaron-

HAR de HAR. YOU are the one who is desperate and panicked. You have no clue. You keep posting mis-interpreted letters and injecting your own biased spin. And when you are corrected you run away and start a new thread, and never acknowledge your mistake(s).

I don't have to try to discredit you; you do a great job of it yourself!

6212. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 18:56:21 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208311056.33f57d5f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135291

JJ <radionut0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D6FBA79.86D95FE6@mailcity.net>...
> Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> >
> > Goodie for you. I've been listening and still haven't heard a thing.
> > Not even a ham. Yet I can hear folks on CB. Wonder why that is..?
>
> You tell me.
>
> A. You don't know where the 10 meter band is.
> B. Your receiver doesn't work on 10 meters.
> B. You forgot to switch from you cb rig to the 10 meter rig.
> C. You don't know how to connect an antenna.
> D. You can't recognize truckers talking when you hear them.
> E. All of the above.

Try: F. There's nobody there.

Heard someone on 27.375 this afternoon talking from Arizona to
Ontario. STILL not a peep on 28.085. Nuttin. Zip. So where are all
these "intruders" you keep whining about?

6213. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 19:04:57 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208311104.1e83e9c9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135292

"IllegalCbersSuck" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:<czQb9.43612$On.2055965@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0208301105.3ad078a@posting.google.com...
> > > The stories of more than 10% of this countrys population are Cbers is
> truly
> > > hilarious. You are the one crying listen to yourself.
> >
> > Crying? Not at all. I'm stating. YOU are the crybaby whiner here,
> > Georgie-girl.
>
> Your not stating anything but BS where are the 30-40 million Cbers? Where
> are they?

What, you can't find 11 meters on your radio Georgie-girl?

> >
> > > Who cares what is used or not do you use every square inch of your
> property?
> > > if not someone else should be able to use it.
> > > I can make a blanket statement just like you can but I will guarantee
> you
> > > that there are more amp users on CB than there is not everyone has an
> amp,
> > > and there are many many illegal radios in use.
> >
> > So, with that admission, how can anyone believe your crap that ALL
> > CBers are "criminals"? You're talking out both ends now.
>
> Not at all i can state an opinion just like you can Dick Head and be just as
> right as you think you are, Where did I ever say all Cbers are criminals?
> Can you find that and post it otherwise again you will show your true troll
> colors. Nothing you say is to be believed

Dave Hall made that statement.

>
>
> > Since Cb has no formal
> > > organization funny with over 30-40 million users LOL Hams have what
> 600,000
> > > licensed ops and have theArrl you would think with a roster full of 30 -
> 40
> > > million users they would have some formal grass roots club. Oh well BTW
> what

> > > was that call sign again are you just talkin shit again?
> >
> > And the ARRL represents what, about 25% of all licensed amateurs in
> > the USA. They speak for themselves, not you or any other hams.
>
> If a ham wishes to join the ARRL's cause they can and do, so we have
> someone fighting for amateurs rights, and what do Cbers have Davemade and
> Texas Star LOL.
>
>
>
> > I ask again- Why aren't you actually USING one of the precious ham
> > bands instead of making absurd posts in a CB newsgroup?
>
> Who said I am not using the ham bands? If assholes like you would stop
> making absurd posts perhaps my post count would also go down. When do you
> find time yo use the radio? If you would read the previous posts I use the
> ham bands daily I talk and listen while I sit here and type I know you
> can't do 2 things at once with your handicapp but most of us can and do Yeah
> I am a real ham with a real shack with real Ham radios, a computer, a Big
> screen tv, scanner, dvd entertainment center all with in a remotes reach.

So, who says you have to respond to every post you don't like? If
@$$#ole$ like you would stop making dumb posts, the entire message
count on the group would go down. Nobody cares what you think, so you
spend even more time posting, and most of your posts contain nothing
but insults and sexual innuendo.

>
> > Or have you been kicked out of the "fraternity"? If you used 10 meters,
> you might
> > run one of those truckers out, but you'd rather whine and whine and
> > whine. Poor little boy; my heart is breaking for you!
>
>
> I use 10 meters almost daily how about you? Oh that's right your not a ham.

You can try to prove that, if you'd like.

> You also must have me mistaken for someone else I don't cry and have never
> cried about truckers on 10 meters you had better drop back and punt because
> you are now sounding like twisty and making shit up as you go. So far in
> this post you have lied about 4 times and made countless false accusations.
> I still am waiting for your callsgin

What, you can't use a search engine? You'll just have to try harder.
It works for Aanal Aaron, it'll work for me. You're just lame,
Georgie-girl.

, 30-40 million Cbers proof

You haven't disproven it.

, where I
> cried about truckers, and lets see I know there is more ... Oh well I don't
> ever expect to get any real answers from you anyhow you'll just duck em like
> usual.

Really? Daily? How come you haven't run all those no-good truckers out
yet?

You'll have to wait until Aanal Aaron posts his callsign before I'll
consider posting mine. And he'll never post one.

6214. Re: George buttfucks Randygirl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 19:12:05 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208311112.411d02cd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135295

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<bP3c9.144039$eK6.4396741@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslammas@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:50f7cd4f.0208302336.3b15c391@posting.google.com...
> > > > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> whined in message
> > > > news:jgzb9.165559$Yd.7288984@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > > > Wow Georgie! I think you've set yourself a new record today!
> > > > > Congratulations! 3 new nics in 24 hours. How DO you find the time?
> > >
> > Same way you find time to post on here through the clouds from your crack
> pipe.
>
> Nah, gave that up years ago. Life is much better now.
>
> You on the other hand, seem to still have a "problem".

Must still be the same problem, too. And he claims to be active on the
ham bands! What a joke.

6215. Re: Dumb CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 19:13:04 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.nettrash>
Message-ID: <%n8c9.10602$o23.444@fe02>
Source record: 135293

Hey Scott, i got ya down here in the Sandpile with a
9 + 20db. Keep up the good work.......peace out
"Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote in message
news:3D70F2AA.85EADB18@yaSPAMhoo.com...
>
>
> xganon wrote:
> >
> > Finally Cbers are waking up to the fact that they can no longer use
import radios and amplifiers with impunity (without getting spanked). The
Federal government is gearing up to start coming down on hard on these dumb
ass scofflaws who think they they can spread their idiocy beyond the bars of
the monkey cage (26.965~27.405 with a 150 mile limit). We tried to tell them
time and time again that the Feds were gonna get 'em. Old
>
>
> Hey George, Sparky, Aaron, Leland, Mark, Dave, Jerry, or whoever you are
today:
> I was on 36, 37, 38, and 39 this morning, talking DX all the way to San
Francisco.
> This was with a PC-122 (Unmodified, recently aligned by an FCC licensed
tech),
> a stock mike and a Texas Star DX-250 into a Firestik KW-7 on the back
bumper.
>
> When will you stop me? Come, take my 'Star. I'm still waiting.
>
>
> > ---
> > This post was anonymized at http://www.xganon.com...
> > ---
>
>
> ...because we all know what an asshole you are. It's a beautiful day out
> here in Maryland, low humidity and 75 degrees. I'm going back out after
> I finish my lunch, and will most like try again on the band later.
>
> Operator Scott, Unit Six Nine, Chesapeake Bay mobile.
>
>
> --

>
> "The well-constructed troll is a post that induces lots of newbies and

flamers to
> make themselves look even more clueless than they already do, while subtly
> conveying to the more savvy and experienced that it is in fact a
deliberate troll.
>
> If you don't fall for the joke, you get to be in on it."
>
> from: http://www.altairiv.demon.co.uk/troll/trollfaq.html

6216. Re: Richard Cranium revealed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 19:14:20 UTC
From: Mad Dog <lgsast@strato.nettrash>
Message-ID: <bp8c9.10605$o23.10027@fe02>
Source record: 135294

Road trip.....thanx shark.
"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:qY4c9.1281$S74.38996156@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0208310413.e448f40@posting.google.com...
> > keyclownslammas@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
> news:<50f7cd4f.0208302222.67cef8a8@posting.google.com>...
> > > KD4CXJ
> > > STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
> > > 1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
> > > VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
> >
> > HAR de HAR HAR HAR. You clowns don't know anywhere near as much as you
> > think you do! Dream on.
> >
> > My name is Richard, not Steve!
>
> I thought George had you pinged to
> Rochester NY.?
>
> Landshark
>
>
> --
> If you are implying that people, who
> hold ham licenses, should be somehow
> held to a higher moral standard than
> the "common man", then that would
> be faulty logic. A ham license is only
> a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
> "badge of morality".
>
>

6217. Re: Ham Misbehavior - An example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 19:29:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D70F9DE.1B05FC28@mailcity.net>
Source record: 135296

Bill Nelson wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
>
> > So why do I need to upgrade to a lot of push buttons and fancy
> > features? The Collins equipment give me everything I need. What
> > more do you need to talk with someone on the ham bands. Does a
> > $3000 Kenwood sound any better than the Collins? Can it make any
> > better contacts that the Collins? Is it any more reliable than the
> > Collins?
>
> The same can be said for CB radios. Yet someone, probably a Ham, was
> bitching about CB radio being "stuck in the 60's" (or approximately
> the same thing).
>
> So, why is such a situation good for Ham radio, but not for CB?
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

Good question Bill, a lot of the newer equipment doesn't operate
any better than the old stuff, it just has more bells and whistles
that do not add anything to being able to communicate. Mostly just
stuff to brag about.

6218. CB Radio Tune Up Manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 19:35:57 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20020831153557.00949.00000697@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 135297

Hello and thanks for reading.
I have #1- 6 of the CB Tune-up master editions for auction.
Please e-mail for the link if you are interested.
Thank you...
tramd300@aol.com

6219. 28.015 Freeband Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 19:47:10 UTC
From: Corn Dog <Freeband@FreebandISfun.com>
Message-ID: <akr6ff$f4a$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 135298
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hey! Heard at Shelby Hamfest!!!!
Everyone who bought CB radios and amplifiers at the
Shelby Hamfest will be getting together on 28015 khz
Sideband, lower side for a big roundtable. See Ya There!!
Looks like Freeband is alive and well!!!!! Saaaaaaalute!

6220. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 19:48:35 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D70FE4B.26411311@mailcity.net>
Source record: 135299

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:

> <snip>
> >You've just proven my point for me: Ten meters is dead "because of the
> >waning solar cycle". Yet 11 meters is still hopping. And it's that way
> >most of the time. The difference in frequency (about 1 MHz) does not
> >explain why I can hear one but not the other.
>
> Then you don't listen much. I have seen it lots of times where just 20
> kHz will make a difference. Other times skip will be rolling through
> almost the whole of HF. What do hams call that -- the highest
> effective frequency for a certain type of skip, or something like
> that? Well, as the sun spots fade, that freq is going to drop. At
> sometime or another it's going to be between bands. Care to take a
> guess where it is now?

Dickey Craniumless continues to show his complete lack of
knowledge of anything technical. 1 Mhz can make the difference in
the MUF (max usable frequency) I have seen many times when the
upper portion of 10 meter would be dead, yet still plenty of
activity on the lower portion. He keeps bragging about 11 being
open all the time and the poor hams can't operate 10 because it is
dead, just wait, as the solar cycle winds down 11 will go also and
the hams just move down to 15 or 20 and keep working the DX while
the cbers are shouting across town with their "lenars".

6221. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 19:54:03 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20020831155403.29878.00000310@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 135300

>Subject: Re: Ham Misbehavior
>From: "IllegalCbersSuck" rcranium@lies.com
>Date: 8/31/02 12:07 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <xR5c9.321750$2p2.13632527@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
>
>
>
>"TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
>news:20020831112419.24488.00000018@mb-mc.aol.com...
>> This shit is getting really old........Come with some better fear tactics
>if
>> you think you are going to get anywhere. Whiney little pukes like you who
>have
>> to hide are taken as seriously as a threat made in the dark. Get some
>balls and
>> do it in daylight if you have what it takes to back up the crap you talk.
>> Train
>
>Oh go lay down you sidewalk sissy and quit your crying. The only balls you
>have are the 2 on your chin that belong to someone else. I didnt threaten
>anyone you jackass except perhaps your lively hood of selling illegal
>equipment.
>
>
>
Awwwww........That hurts sooooooooo much!
Try again lapsucker!
Train

6222. Re: Richard Cranium revealed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 20:00:02 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208311200.3a95a887@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135301

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208310413.e448f40@posting.google.com>...
> keyclownslammas@yahoo.com (Keyclown Busters) wrote in message
news:<50f7cd4f.0208302222.67cef8a8@posting.google.com>...
> > KD4CXJ
> > STEVEN J. ROGOVICH
> > 1612 LAKE CHRISTOPHER DR
> > VIRGINIA BEACH VA 23464
>
> HAR de HAR HAR HAR. You clowns don't know anywhere
>near as much as you
> think you do! Dream on.

What's this, a little side-step, Steve?

;)

-Aaron-

6223. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 20:00:16 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D710107.F960A9F7@mailcity.net>
Source record: 135302

Richard Cranium wrote:

>
> Are you trying to tell me that the MUF is BETWEEN 10 and 11 meters?
> I'm not buying that. CBers are still using 11 meters because it's all
> they have; hams are not using 10 meters because they think it's dead.
>

The MUF can be anywhere. Like I stated in another post I have seen
the bottom of 10 meters open and the top end dead.

> Possibly, knowing how human nature works. But there were few accidents
> in Montana when the speed limit was "Reasonable and Proper"; the
> drivers knew that they were responsible for themselves and they drove
> in a such way that they got where they were going. If they had an
> accident, it was their own fault; they weren't driving at a
> "reasonable" speed or they didn't drive "proper". Thus, they killed
> themselves or, if they survived, they were cited. A very good way to
> do things, IMHO.

Ah, so now we see that Dickieboy is one of these who believe there
should be no laws or rules and just depend on everyone to do what
is right. So we element the speed limits and Dickieboy is driving
along at a reasonable speed, then here comes some nut driving too
fast because there are no speed limits. This nut rounds a corner,
slides sideways because he is going to fast and slams into
Dickieboy and Dickie winds up in the hospital with a broken neck,
the nut walks away with hardly a scratch. Now listen to Dickieboy
scream about how there should be a law against driving to fast.
You see Dickie, when someone has an accident that was "their own
fault" because they "didn't drive proper", they may not only kill
themselves but also someone else who was "driving proper".

6224. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 20:17:57 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D71052A.32D2FAD6@mailcity.net>
Source record: 135303

Richard Craniumless wrote:

>
> It is; have you listened? You paint a picture of pure and pristine
> amateur operators, but all CBers are "criminals". Horse hockey, to
> quote Col. Potter of M*A*S*H fame. You got your one-way glasses on
> again. One or two frequencies? I said the 75 meter phone band. Can't
> you read, either? It's obvious you can't think.

Your obviously do not know how to read your receive frequency, (or
maybe your receiver is stuck on one frequency and you don't
realize it. THAT'S IT!! no wonder you don't hear any truckers on
10 meters!!) The entire 75 meter band is not taken up with bad
operators. There are a few frequencies where there are a bunch of
idiots, but most of the band like the other bands, is filled with
hams just having reasonable communications.
And again you show you total lack of understanding. I have said
many times I have no complaint with those who operate legally,
just the ones who do operate illegally and only with those who
encroach into the ham bands.
Now, do I need to repeat that, or can you read it several times
until it soaks in?

> > What a laugh! Tune across the ham bands and 99.9% of the operation
> > is just hams having a nice conversation, but tune any cb channel
> > and all you hear are modded overdriven splatter boxes operated by
> > a bunch of morons.
>
> If you bother to listen to CB you'll hear 99.9% of the people having
> nice conversations. And maybe a disgruntled hambo in there trying to
> cause trouble.

And just how do you know the person in there "trying to cause
trouble" is a ham? Does he give his ham call? Or do you just
assume it is a ham and "paint all hams as criminals"?
>
> Why aren't you on one of the precious amateur bands "protecting" it
> from those nasty alleged intruders?\

Believe me, when I hear these intruders, and they are not alleged
by the way, they are there even though you and your equipment are
not capable of hearing them, I do make every effort to discourage
their illegal operation on the ham bands.

6225. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 20:21:40 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D71060C.5496B3ED@mailcity.net>
Source record: 135304

R8500 wrote:
>
> What's even more effective than a letter from Hollingsworth to get
> freebanders out of the 10 meter ham band is a real strong RTTY signal on top of
> voice transmissions. Last fall I heard someone (maybe a ham, maybe not) sending
> RTTY over the top of two truckers talking on 28.085. The RTTY signal was
> between 10 and 20 db over S9 at my location, and boy, were those truckers
> howling about the interference! A similar situation occurred a few days ago
> when I heard an over the horizon radar system (?) wiping out a group of
> freebanders on 27.445 LSB. Very effective, and funny as hell to listen to
> also.
>
> 73,
> David

You must be mistaken David, Dickieboy Craniumless says you can't
hear any truckers on 10 meters. Guess they are using stealth
radios.

6226. Re: Hey A.E. members!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 20:22:50 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D710652.B4D421A2@mailcity.net>
Source record: 135305

"yeti@REMOVEcet.com" wrote:

>
> Naw, I don't think so. I would rather keep my integrity and continue
> to operate legally. And I'll do the same when I get my ham license.
> Come to think of it, you're a ham, aren't you?

You will be a credit to ham radio.

6227. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 20:25:23 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D7106EB.2D613CAC@mailcity.net>
Source record: 135306

Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D6F9C8B.4DAABA31@mailcity.net>...
> > Twistedhed wrote:
> > >
> > > From: radiobuff0@mailcity.net (JJ)
> > > Richard Cranium wrote:
> > > That isn't what I said. I said I've been listening and haven't yet heard
> > > any. Don't you have any reading comprehension? Oh, that's right; you
> > > like to twist things to suit yourself. You poor little man.
> > > -
> > > >Then as I have said before, you should get a receiver that works on 10
> > > metets. <
> > >
> > > Then you should update that Collins.
> >
> > Why? It hears perfectly well on 10 meters.
>
> Probably all intermod and images! I still haven't heard anything
> remotely resembling a CBer on 28.085. Seems to be all you can hear
> with that thing.
> Wishful listening?

Well Dickieboy, the Collins probably has better intermod and image
specs than anything you have every owned. As I said, try getting a
receiver that works on 10 meters.

6228. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 20:30:55 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208311230.5163d828@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135307

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208310421.52f34fc1@posting.google.com>...
> I still haven't heard anything
> remotely resembling a CBer on 28.085.

Steve, I just thought of something: if you are using the same antenna
you use with your pirate SW transmitting station, the problem might be
that it's resonant for 6.955 mHz and not 28 mHz.

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208301223.947e687@posting.google.com>...
>> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208300446.72f577f1@posting.google.com>...
>> > And once again, somebody tries to divert attention so Aanal Aaron
>> > won't be on the spot. The question was asked of him: "Do you even
have
>> > a receiver?" I'm forced to believe the answer is no.
>>
>> The receiver isn't the issue,
>
>In a way, it is. You haven't posted one shred of truth,

That's quite a sweeping generalization, Steve. I have posted items
from Part 95 here quite a lot, and I posted 'em not to mislead, but to
provide facts. Are you saying Part 95 is not true? Not one shred of
it? Wow, the FCC is going to be upset. Maybe you should do a rant on
"Radio Free Speech" about it.....

>nor answered
>any questions since you started posting here.

I'll answer questions posed to me within a non-troll context. So far I
have seen none originating from you, Steven. Anyone can tell the
difference between a question asked to clarify a point in a civil
discussion, and one intended to "flame" or "troll". Your questions
fall into the latter category, hence they go unanswered and ignored,
sorta like DaveorDebby.

> And many people have
>asked, not just me. You have established zero credibility. The only
>people asking me anything are you and your puppets, who
>also have zero
>credibility.

Glad you see "credibility" as a big issue in this newsgroup. As a pirate radio operator and active Usenet troll -- how much credibility would you estimate you possess? Wait, don't answer that, I'm still laughing too hard. So when is the next "Radio Free Speech" broadcast?

-Aaron-

6229. Re: Old coax length answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 20:33:47 UTC
From: rparz@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6a4c1ec2.0208311233.cf0adef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135308

That is a shame...Remeber those days? "Professor" :) Going back and forth with Sean, and talking shop with Dennis, arguing over amp circuits....Ahhh, the good ole days..

> He's been gone a long time...
>
> "Ryan" <rparz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:6a4c1ec2.0208281742.4a209ef9@posting.google.com...
> > Where is Sean when we need him?

6230. Re: Old coax length answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 20:38:37 UTC
From: rparz@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <6a4c1ec2.0208311238.2d2dbf7f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135309

"Brian Griffey" <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<AMob9.40673$Ke2.2876623@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> He's been gone a long time...
>
> --
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
> Brian Griffey
> http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
> ||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
>
>
> "Ryan" <rparz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:6a4c1ec2.0208281742.4a209ef9@posting.google.com...
> > Where is Sean when we need him?

Remember this? LOL

|||||
(o o)
oOOo-(_)-oOOo
___|___|___|___|___| ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½) ï¿½ Pï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½Kì££ï¿½R ï¿½
Bump IT LIST:
Icecoldnyc
Dennis#12
Sean
Toll Free
Bills2way (bill)
Bill Eitner
Brass
Mobil51
Palerider
Mc Turner
PRICK LIST:
Pornfester Molester
TRICK LIST:
10-7
DONT FORGET TO BLOW ME LIST:
WatchTower

6231. 28.015 Freeband Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 20:58:59 UTC
From: brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <27e38977.0208311258.2835be97@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135310
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

From: "Corn Dog" <Freeband@FreebandISfun.com>
Subject: 28.015 Freeband Net
Date: Saturday, August 31, 2002 1:47 PM

Hey! Heard at Shelby Hamfest!!!!
Everyone who bought CB radios and amplifiers at the
Shelby Hamfest will be getting together on 28015 khz
Sideband, lower side for a big roundtable. See Ya There!!
Looks like Freeband is alive and well!!!!! Saaaaaaalute!
>>>>>>>>>>

Dont forget those 2 and 440 rigs that can be opened for MURS and FRS too!

LOL!

6232. FA: SBE Sidebander Mobile SSB CB 23 Channel last day!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 21:01:14 UTC
From: doidy1@juno.com
Message-ID: <3a26ea1.0208311301.5792adb2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135311
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.swap

For auction: SBE Sidebander Mobile 23 channel SSB CB radio.

To view auction go here:

http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1375697920

thanks,
LP

6233. Re: FLORIDA CBer CRIES like a baby when SPANKED by Judge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 21:01:58 UTC
From: ld751@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d12c96e.0208311301.776417ce@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135312

tra1n@aol.commmmmm (TRA1N) wrote in message
news:<20020831104816.21833.00000223@mb-de.aol.com>...
> AND.........How many warnings did this asshole ignore?
> Train

I'm more interested in knowing what frequencies that he was operating
on without a license? What do you think are the chances they weren't
the freeband, but more likely 10m or even 2m...

6234. Re: Ham Misbehavior - An example

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 21:04:41 UTC
From: ld751@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d12c96e.0208311304.3349437a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135313

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D6FDEDD.B9A83D18@mailcity.net>...
> Oh please what? Yes I am a ham, Advanced class so I have plenty of
> HF privileges, and no I don't, never have operated on cb or the so
> called freeband. And your are correct, amateur radio does offer
> much more that cb unless you're into operating at illegal power
> levels using illegally modded radios driving splatter boxes as a
> lot of cber's are. Many cber's don't want to upgrade to ham radio
> for the very fact they would be expected to obey the rules.

So what possible interest can you have in the CB newsgroup?

6235. Re: New to CB'ing and need some help please ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 21:08:53 UTC
From: ld751@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3d12c96e.0208311308.afcf296@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135314

LinuxOP <linuxop@cogeco.ca> wrote in message news:<3D700FC3.BF9A34FC@cogeco.ca>...
> Awesome, thank you very much.
>
> LinuxOP

Didn't realize you're using a handheld. You might want to get a
telescoping antenna and try transmitting outside. The rubber duck that
comes with handhelds is usually not good for more than a mile or so,
if that far.

6236. Re: Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 21:12:03 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D7111D7.53ADC01C@mailcity.net>
Source record: 135315

Dickieboy Craniumless wrote:

>
> Got news for ya. I still haven't heard anything at the low end of 10
> meters. Not even a ham. Doesn't make it unreal to me. And you listen
> on..?
>

I keep tellin ya, get a receiver that works on 10 and then learn
how to use it. I am hearing some cw on the lower end of 10 right
now. Not strong, but it's there and very weak heterodynes on
28.085 which is the trucker channel which means they are they just
too weak to hear any audio.

6237. Re: Old coax length answer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 21:18:46 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Woac9.44993$Ke2.3169143@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 135316

Yes, those were "heated" discussions that were good. It's too bad those you
mentioned were disenchanted by the majority of troublemakers here. We also
just lost another good one... Richard.
I'd even take "painkiller" over most of these guys...

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

"Ryan" <rparz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:6a4c1ec2.0208311233.cf0adef@posting.google.com...
> That is a shame...Remeber those days? "Professor" :) Going back and
> forth with Sean, and talking shop with Dennis, arguing over amp
> circuits....Ahhh, the good ole days..
>
>
> > He's been gone a long time...
> >
> > "Ryan" <rparz@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:6a4c1ec2.0208281742.4a209ef9@posting.google.com...
> > > Where is Sean when we need him?

6238. Re: It's amazing!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 21:31:23 UTC
From: Kadaitcha Man <hahahahaha@fooq.com>
Message-ID: <akrcjfrub0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 135317
Cross-posted to: alt.os.windows-xp,rec.radio.cb,comp.os.linux.advocacy

```
"d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
news:n0r36wcb.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
>
> > "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
> > news:sn0v47ry.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> > > "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
> > >
> > > > "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:d6rz93xh.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> > > > > "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
> > > > >
> > > > > > "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:bs7ks4g8.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> > > > > > > "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "d2002xx" <d2002xx@myrealbox.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > news:1y8ilyh2.fsf@localhost.localdomain...
> > > > > > > > > "Kadaitcha Man" <hahahahaha@fooq.com> writes:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > "Oris" <oris@dirtball.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > > > > news:f7a9c6ac.0208281720.44817f2f@posting.google.com...
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > I have a box on my network that runs Linux. It
> > > > > > > > > > > is about the most user unfriendly Operating system. I
use
> > > > > > > > > > it
> > > > > > > > > > in a
> > > > > > > > > > > Dell
> > > > > > > > > > > > dimension 333. It serves as a router and a firewall
for my
> > > > > > > > > > network.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > It's amazing what you can do with a box of junk and a
few
> > > > > > > > > > blank
> > > > > > > > > > > CD's.
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > Can't you create a funnier joke?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > You want me to create a new linux?
> > > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > > > I'm wondering if you're a programmer.
```

```
> > > > > >
> > > > > > mov [bx+9],byte ptr 8
> > > > > > mov [bx+si],word ptr 67h
> > > > > > call near [bx]
> > > > > > jmp short 97h (8-bit relative)
> > > > > > mov ax,bx
> > > > > > mov al,97h
> > > > > > push byte ptr [5]
> > > > > > push bx
> > > > > > retf 6 (a FAR return, pop 6 bytes)
> > > > > ------------------------
> > > > > (setq a-5 1)
> > > > > (let ((a-4 20)) (let ((a-5 10)(b-10 20)) (set a-3 '(+ a-4
b-10))(setq
> > > > > a-5 (+ a-4 (* b-10 (- a-5 a-4))))))
> > > > > (message (number-to-string (% a-5 10)))
> > > > >
> > > > > Tell me what's this?? :-)
> > > >
> > > That's a smiley face.
> > > >
> > > > ------------------------
> > > > And this:
> > > >
> > > > let rec sort lst =
> > > > match lst with
> > > > [] -> []
> > > > | head :: tail -> insert head (sort tail)
> > > > and insert elt lst =
> > > > match lst with
> > > > [] -> [elt]
> > > > | head :: tail -> if elt <= head then elt :: lst else head ::
> > insert
> > > > elt tail
> > > > ;;
> > > >
> > > OH! WOW! An insertion sort! The camel won't be able to sleep
> > > tonight.
> > >
> > No, it's dead. I have been given up it for a long time.
> > >
> > >
> > > You lisping Dumbfuck.
> > >
> > Hmmm... You're not as idiotic as what I used to think. Now you can
> > jump from my brainless-guys-list to faggots-list. :)
> >
> You mean, you like me?
>
> No. I'm not a faggot. Why did you say I like you?
```

I didn't say that. Read it again, dumbfuck. It's a question. You do know what one of those is, don't you?

--
Kadaitcha Man
Moderator: alt.os.windows-xp
Moderator: alt.support.shyness

6239. Re: 28.015 Freeband Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 21:42:27 UTC
From: Jerry McIntons <hotham@mcintonsmailsite.net>
Message-ID: <akrd7p$t4s$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 135318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Brother Lloyd" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:27e38977.0208311258.2835be97@posting.google.com...
> From: "Corn Dog" <Freeband@FreebandISfun.com>
> Subject: 28.015 Freeband Net
> Date: Saturday, August 31, 2002 1:47 PM
>
> Hey! Heard at Shelby Hamfest!!!!
> Everyone who bought CB radios and amplifiers at the
> Shelby Hamfest will be getting together on 28015 khz
> Sideband, lower side for a big roundtable. See Ya There!!
> Looks like Freeband is alive and well!!!!! Saaaaaaalute!
> >>>>>>>>>>
>
> Dont forget those 2 and 440 rigs that can be opened for MURS and FRS too!
>
> LOL!

Don't laugh!. Many of the commercial dealers had printed info
sheets on how to "open up" commonly sold Kenwood, ICOM,
Yaesu, etc. equipment. Of course, it was not sitting on the table in
the open, but all you had to do was ask. I asked one dealer for
an info sheet, telling him I was buying the ham gear to convert to
business band use. Sure enough, he handed me a bundle of info.
Then, I asked if this was legal, and the guy says oh yeah, the FCC
deregulated everything years ago, you don't even need a license
anymore! And this came from a commercial dealer. Anythng to
make money I guess. Also saw a lot of truck drivers buying
marine radio equipment. Geeeeee, what a radio bazaar, wonder
where all the hams were?

Jerry Mc

6240. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 21:49:39 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208311349.604208b0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135319

"IllegalCbersSuck" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:<mKTb9.313626$2p2.13061402@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> news:fef386d5.0208301454.cdf80e0@posting.google.com...
> >
> > jerry.......give it a rest will ya...i am not a trucker and i don't
> > play one on tv...........roger? oh and another thing.....i bet you
> > ,there aren't many truckers hanging around this newsgroup either, so
> > why is it such things would be deemed by you , to be of interest to
> > the group?
>
> Sd jones I beg to differ with you there are many truckers in this NG

who the hell is sd jones for crying out loud????

6241. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 21:50:45 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208311350.19c641ef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135320

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<%5Wb9.373$FJ1.19483@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> ALLSTEEL77 <allsteel77@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20020830220032.22116.00000225@mb-dd.aol.com...
> > Leave poor Jerry alone.He doesn't have a life like AAron and I won't
> forget
> > georgie-boy in PA. so he drives around turning in truckers and trucks
> stops.Hey
> > we all have hobbys.LOL.
>
> Not if he isn't trespassing in my "pasture". And, no, I don't go around
> just for the purpose of
> turning in truckers and truck stops. BUT, if I am traveling anyway, I can
> listen to the ham bands
> and, if there is somebody there that isn't supposed to be,
> then..... Or, I often need to stop
> for a break, so I go to travel centers and truck stops where the food is
> usually good and there
> are lots of things to browse. IF they happen to be selling radios that are
> helping truckers to get
> onto 10 Meters, yes, I will drop a dime. It seems fair to me.
>
>
> J

well jerry your pasture doesn't include 28.185 either. so what are you
talking about?

6242. Re: keyclownslammas is HOPPING Every DAY--sucks on anything!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 21:53:09 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208311353.1400f1e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135321

ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote in message
news:<20020831122149.00277.00000333@mb-ce.aol.com>...
> Right back at you Pusswad.....Bring your ass to Springfield Missouri.
> We'll see how much bullshit you dish face to face. Pussy!
> Put up and show up or shut up.....Mr goingdownonkeyclowns!
>
>
> "Got RF?"

he ain't goin to show up...he is a johnny no show. he has bucked
teeth, a wart on the end of his nose and he takes a bath every once in
a while when needed...so that punk aint about nothing.....rogo
d?

6243. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 21:54:09 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208311354.2dcce6f5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135322

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D6F89E6.BD4CA22@mailcity.net>...
> Richard Cranium wrote:
> >
> > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3D6EDEC4.FB63795F@mailcity.net>...
> > > Richard Cranium wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > it's with alleged hams
> > > > who never seem to operate on the ham bands because they're always
> > > > slumming in a CB newsgroup. Get the picture?
> > >
> > > So you admit that cb is a slum?
> >
> > Not even close. Try the 75 meter phone band. THAT's a real slum!
> >
> > I stated that YOU are "slumming in a CB newsgroup". Nice try, but you
> > need a lot of work on your so-called "style".
> >
> > And why aren't you on one of those precious amateur bands, running
> > illegal truckers out? Afraid you'll actually run into one?
>
> LOL, so you pick one or two frequencies where there are some hams
> that act like cbers and try to say that is what ham radio is like.

Isn't that what you are doing with CBers?

> What a laugh! Tune across the ham bands and 99.9% of the operation
> is just hams having a nice conversation, but tune any cb channel
> and all you hear are modded overdriven splatter boxes operated by
> a bunch of morons.

Oh, so everybody but YOU is a "bunch of morons"? I notice how much
information you post here. If you're so damned smart, why aren't you
giving GOOD info? Instead of bullshit snipes?

6244. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 21:55:06 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208311355.33631006@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135323

"IllegalCbersSuck" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:<iX4c9.53966$On.2740121@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> lied in message ...
> >
> > If you bother to listen to CB you'll hear 99.9% of the people having
> > nice conversations. And maybe a disgruntled hambo in there trying to
> > cause trouble.
>
> How would you know if a ham was causing trouble do they give call signs on
> CB? LOL putz
>
>
>
> > Why aren't you on one of the precious amateur bands "protecting" it
> > from those nasty alleged intruders?
>
> As Usual stupid statements fly from your keyboard. How do you know he isnt
> on there right now talking?

Because he's too damned busy posting in a CB newsgroup. Same as you, georgie-girl.

6245. Re: RIP Dick McCollum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 21:55:28 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208311355.4e424f0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135324

timnebo@aol.com187 (www.TimNebo.com) wrote in message
news:<20020830221604.15828.00000233@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> where you been mudduck? i got a groundplane up and running at about 25% percent
> waiting on your ass to come down here and give it all you got on channel 21 one
> of these nights. give ole #82 call when you feel ready.
>
> >
> >
> >well actually dave there is a next life......we are spirtitual beings
> >living in earth suits. once we die, our spirits go somewhere else to
> >live in eternity.....now that place where you go is up to
> >you.....we are the only beings alive in this universe that have a
> >free will.....that is why angels view us with awe.....but time
> >is ticking.....the question therefore that must be
> >asked.....what will you do with this man called
> >'Jesus'.....????? yep, that is the question that has been around for
> >over 2000 years.....and long before actually .....
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> Tim Nebo
>
> http://www.TimNebo.com

who you callin a mudduck? do i know you????

6246. 1 Pill in Progress...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 21:57:13 UTC
From: Brian Griffey <briangriffey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ZYac9.45028$Ke2.3172855@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 135325

I've been working on the PCB layout for the 1 pill amplifier... have much
work to do.
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/1PILL.pdf

--
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
Brian Griffey
http://home.att.net/~briangriffey/SkyWave2879AB.pdf
||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||

6247. Re: George buttfucks Randygirl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 21:59:48 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0208311359.5e962159@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135326

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<bP3c9.144039$eK6.4396741@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "Keyclown Busters" <keyclownslammas@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:50f7cd4f.0208302336.3b15c391@posting.google.com...
> > > > "Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> whined in message
> > > > news:jgzb9.165559$Yd.7288984@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > > > Wow Georgie! I think you've set yourself a new record today!
> > > > > Congratulations! 3 new nics in 24 hours. How DO you find the time?
> >
> > Same way you find time to post on here through the clouds from your crack
> pipe.
>
> Nah, gave that up years ago. Life is much better now.
>
> You on the other hand, seem to still have a "problem".

randy........good to see that you have a bonafide member of the randy
fan club who uses p.o.s. comcast as his launch platform for spewing
his anti keyclown as he calls them, diatribes........you must be doing
something right...keep his attention, and keep that p.o.c.
typing............rogo d?

6248. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 22:01:51 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208311401.40c7847d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135327

"IllegalCbersSuck" <GeoBusch@lies.com> wrote in message
news:<xR5c9.321750$2p2.13632527@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "TRA1N" <tra1n@aol.commmmmm> wrote in message
> news:20020831112419.24488.00000018@mb-mc.aol.com...
> > This shit is getting really old........Come with some better fear tactics
> if
> > you think you are going to get anywhere. Whiney little pukes like you who
> have
> > to hide are taken as seriously as a threat made in the dark. Get some
> balls and
> > do it in daylight if you have what it takes to back up the crap you talk.
> > Train
>
> Oh go lay down you sidewalk sissy and quit your crying. The only balls you
> have are the 2 on your chin that belong to someone else. I didnt threaten
> anyone you jackass except perhaps your lively hood of selling illegal
> equipment.

As usual, George Busch can only make sexual innuendos. Never a pertinent point.

6249. Re: Dumb CBers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 22:04:06 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D713D5D.61F41014@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 135328

Mad Dog wrote:
>
> Hey Scott, i got ya down here in the Sandpile with a
> 9 + 20db. Keep up the good work.......peace out

Is that better then a 5 by 9?

I had way too much noise from the south to hear anything good
this afternoon. I talked to Henry in Gary, Indiana.

"The well-constructed troll is a post that induces lots of newbies and flamers to
make themselves look even more clueless than they already do, while subtly
conveying to the more savvy and experienced that it is in fact a deliberate troll.

If you don't fall for the joke, you get to be in on it."

from: http://www.altairiv.demon.co.uk/troll/trollfaq.html

6250. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 22:06:38 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208311406.64119dbd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135329

"IllegalCbersSuck" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:<ff5c9.202574$Aw4.8618251@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>
> > Are you trying to tell me that the MUF is BETWEEN 10 and 11 meters?
> > I'm not buying that. CBers are still using 11 meters because it's all
> > they have; hams are not using 10 meters because they think it's dead.
>
>
> They do? who are you speaking for Dick Head all Hams? Amazing what a
> dickhead.

Again, Georgie-girl answers for someone who has been cornered.

>
> > Sometimes they do. Sometimes the CBers "police" their own, too.
>
> They do? How is that putting a pin in someones coax? Calling Riley? Or
> keying up on the individual causing problems out of the 3 choices. I say #3
> is most likely.

Which shows how much you really know, Georgie-girl.

>
>
> > > >> BTW, you still haven't provided your callsign...
> > > >
> > > >I won't, either. Not until Aanal Aaron posts his (and he'll never do
> > > >that, will he?).
>
> Of course he won't, ever heard of the word coward

Didn't think so.

>
> >
> > Bull. Many people have asked him and he refuses to answer any
> > question. From what I read, Tom Severt tried asking him in a "civil
> > manner", and got the usual side-stepping obstrufication and the same
> > lame URL to a phoney website. We all know he'll never post his
> > callsign.
>
> Same with you yet you claimed to have nothing to hide or fear.

I don't have anything to fear. And I'll post my callsign as soon as
the "chicken side-stepping Aanal Aaron" does. Not before. He was asked

first, you may recall, Georgie-girl.

Nice try, but irrelevant, TROLL.

6251. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 22:14:34 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208311414.3bd0afa3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135330

"IllegalCbersSuck" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:<zO5c9.54530$On.2777070@bin3.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0208310734.503a8406@posting.google.com...
> > "IllegalCbersSuck" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
> news:<mKTb9.313626$2p2.13061402@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > > "chessecutter" <cheesecutter@webhideout.com> wrote in message
> > > news:fef386d5.0208301454.cdf80e0@posting.google.com...
> > > >
> > > > jerry.......give it a rest will ya...i am not a trucker and i don't
> > > > play one on tv...........roger? oh and another thing.....i bet you
> > > > ,there aren't many truckers hanging around this newsgroup either, so
> > > > why is it such things would be deemed by you , to be of interest to
> > > > the group?
> > > >
> > > Sd jones I beg to differ with you there are many truckers in this NG
> > >
> > You can prove that statement? I bet there are more hams here than anything
> else.
>
> Can I prove that there are "many" truckers in here sure a simple poll or a
> look at the archives from this NG go to google.

So do it.

Can you prove there are 30-40
> millions cbers in this country.

A simple look at rooftops will support this claim. And you can
disprove it how?

In fact I can use your analogy, prove that
> there arent. Can you prove there are more hams than truckers in here? Prove
> that statement.

So run your poll, Georgie-girl. So far, I have no need to prove there
are more truckers than hams here. Let's see: N3CVJ (Dave Hall), N8WWM
(Doggie Adiar), KC8LDO (Leland C. Scott), WA3MOJ (Georgie-girl Busch),
AB8MQ (Roger L. Wiseman), K8CPA (Charles Adkins), did I miss anyone?
And you can identify all the truckers?

I'm waiting to see the results of your Poll, Georgie-girl. Please
hurry it; I haven't got a lot of time.

6252. Re: Riley's Latest Warning to a Trucking Company!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 22:16:02 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208311416.97f747d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135331

r8500@aol.com (R8500) wrote in message
news:<20020831135619.00926.00000606@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> What's even more effective than a letter from Hollingsworth to get
> freebanders out of the 10 meter ham band is a real strong RTTY signal on top of
> voice transmissions. Last fall I heard someone (maybe a ham, maybe not) sending
> RTTY over the top of two truckers talking on 28.085. The RTTY signal was
> between 10 and 20 db over S9 at my location, and boy, were those truckers
> howling about the interference! A similar situation occurred a few days ago
> when I heard an over the horizon radar system (?) wiping out a group of
> freebanders on 27.445 LSB. Very effective, and funny as hell to listen to
> also.
>
> 73,
> David

See? It works. Whining in a UseNet newsgroup does NOT.

6253. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 22:17:02 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208311417.5d228352@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135332

"Me" <whocare@you.com> wrote in message
news:<blX49.74283$6Z1.2887629@bin6.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:c5ecbb69.0208090433.61e8125d@posting.google.com...
> > They are perfectly legal for a licensed amateur operator to use on ten
> > meters, however, no matter what Aanal Aaron, Jerry Ox, or You say
> > about it.
>
> Dick Head find me 1 post just one where I state anything remotly close to
> what you are accusing me osf saying.
> Otherwise your just another troll without a name and callsign.

Just like you are.

6254. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 22:21:05 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208311421.52e3c37a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135333

ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208302214.3c85ea5d@posting.google.com>...
> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208301505.43e1a3f2@posting.google.com>...
> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208031135.727b93f2@posting.google.com>...
> > > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<BPG29.35372$6J1.103337211@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > > ROTFLMFAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
> > > > Oh man Richard that's to funny.....................
> > > >
> > > > Landshark
> > >
> > > Congratulations, Dick. You've won the retard vote.
> >
> > You mean I got it away from YOU, Aanal Aaron? I doubt it highly.
> >
> > >
> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
> > > >I suggest you call your buddies at the FCC and ask for clarification
> > > >of the Notice. BTW, did you ever call their Interference Complaint
> > > >Line and get their message?
> > >
> > > I suggest you actually read the information before you begin making up
> > > lame excuses:
> >
> > I didn't think so. Don't bother me or any other CBer until you do so. Lamebrain!
>
> Too bad a whole bunch of truck stops that sell "phoney 10 meter
> radios" are on Riley's hit list. I don't know if any are in VA Beach
> area but you may want to drive out to them and personally explain to
> Riley why they must remain on sale since they are legal for a ham like
> you to use :)
>
> -Aaron-

Why would I drive all the way to VA Beach to do that? I'm nowhere near
Virginia Beach. You can believe whatever you like, Aanal Aaron. BTW,
what's the callsign? What equipment do you use? Like you're ever going
to answer simple questions like that! HAR de HAR!!!

Riley doesn't have the funding to visit too many truckstops. It's
quite normal for a few to get "hit" every year. So what?

6255. Re: George buttfucks Randygirl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 22:23:42 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208311423.37683538@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135334

"IllegalCbersSuck" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:<Te5c9.313384$m91.12795600@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:pY4c9.1280$S74.38996156@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > You may have gave it up Randy, but Doug
> > needs better living through chemicals to reduce the
> > lunacy. Just keep sending the complaints to:
> >
> > help@uu.net
> >
> > abuse-mail@wcom.com
> >
> > netadmin@ao.wcom.net
> >
> > groups-abuse@google.com
> >
> > abuse@yahoo.com
> >
> > Landshark
> >
> >
>
> Here is a Hanky for you Landwhale.. keep crying

What, Georgie-girl, you weeping again?? And you brag about anal
intercourse with Randy? You probably have AIDs, too, faggot!

6256. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 22:37:07 UTC
From: ahvoobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c9ceae5b.0208311437.672061bf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135335

richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium)
>Date: Sat, Aug 31, 2002 3:21 PM
>Message-id: <c5ecbb69.0208311421.52e3c37a@posting.google.com>
>
>ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208302214.3c85ea5d@posting.google.com>...
>> richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote in message
news:<c5ecbb69.0208301505.43e1a3f2@posting.google.com>...
>> > ahvoobner@yahoo.com (Aaron H. Voobner) wrote in message
news:<c9ceae5b.0208031135.727b93f2@posting.google.com>...
>> > > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:<BPG29.35372$6J1.103337211@newssvr14.news.prodigy.com>...
>> > > > ROTFLMFAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>> > > > Oh man Richard that's to funny.....................
>> > > >
>> > > > Landshark
>> > >
>> > > Congratulations, Dick. You've won the retard vote.
>> >
>> > You mean I got it away from YOU, Aanal Aaron? I doubt it highly.
>> >
>> > >
>> > > richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:
>> > > >I suggest you call your buddies at the FCC and ask for
clarification
>> > > >of the Notice. BTW, did you ever call their Interference
Complaint
>> > > >Line and get their message?
>> > >
>> > > I suggest you actually read the information before you begin
making
>up
>> > > lame excuses:
>> >
>> > I didn't think so. Don't bother me or any other CBer until you do
so.
>Lamebrain!
>>
>> Too bad a whole bunch of truck stops that sell "phoney 10 meter
>> radios" are on Riley's hit list. I don't know if any are in VA
Beach
>> area but you may want to drive out to them and personally explain
to
>> Riley why they must remain on sale since they are legal for a ham

like
>> you to use :)
>>
>> -Aaron-
>
>Why would I drive all the way to VA Beach to do that? I'm nowhere
near
>Virginia Beach.

That may actually be true, Steve. I have no way of knowing. The address on your current ham license record need not be updated until the next renewal. That means you could have moved anywhere, yet would retain the VA Beach address in the QRZ records for KD4CXJ for quite some time.

>You can believe whatever you like, Aanal Aaron.
(trolling snipped)

So is your pirate SW station going to be on the air this weekend? Maybe you could do a "rant" about why truck stops must continue selling phoney 10 meter ham gear.... ;)

-Aaron-

6257. Re: FLORIDA CBer CRIES like a baby when SPANKED by Judge

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 22:37:48 UTC
From: tra1n@aol.commmmmm
Message-ID: <20020831183748.00108.00000458@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 135336

>Subject: Re: FLORIDA CBer CRIES like a baby when SPANKED by Judge
>From: ld751@yahoo.com (2LD751)
>Date: 8/31/02 5:01 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3d12c96e.0208311301.776417ce@posting.google.com>
>
>tra1n@aol.commmmmm (TRA1N) wrote in message
>news:<20020831104816.21833.00000223@mb-de.aol.com>...
>> AND.....How many warnings did this asshole ignore?
>> Train
>
>I'm more interested in knowing what frequencies that he was operating
>on without a license? What do you think are the chances they weren't
>the freeband, but more likely 10m or even 2m...
>
>
>
>
>
>

the article claimed 10 and 2 meter.
Train

6258. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 23:13:38 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d714d86.6960810@news.cet.com>
Source record: 135337

In <c5ecbb69.0208310519.16ed2fad@posting.google.com>,
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

<heavy snippage throughout>
>Are you trying to tell me that the MUF is BETWEEN 10 and 11 meters?
>I'm not buying that. CBers are still using 11 meters because it's all
>they have; hams are not using 10 meters because they think it's dead.

The MUF changes, but you are always going to hear a lot of CB traffic
that's local. And for some strange reason, last night the middle of
10M was wide open but the top and bottom were dead. Go figure...

<snip>
>> Well, I see a lot of "QRM" from anonymous crybabies that can't prevent
>> themselves from getting worked up over generalized statements made in
>> a newsgroup.
>
>Including yourself, of course? And "generalizations" like "ALL Cbers
>are criminals" doesn't deserve a retort? I think it does. You may
>think whatever you like.

No, I don't think it deserves any kind of response because it's
obviously false.

<snip>
>> That's true, and hammies don't get away with very much when they try.
>> That's because hammies police their own. Hey, you're a hammie, you
>> should know that already, right?
>
>Sometimes they do. Sometimes the CBers "police" their own, too.

True, occasionally I'll DF a really nasty amp-jockey, and as soon as
he realizes that he's being DF'ed he'll shut up. But that's about the
extent of it.

<snip>
>And the ARRL can claim less than 25% of licensed US hams as members.
>They are nothing but a Corporate lobbying group; they do not represent
>what is best for amateur radio, they do not represent you or me. They
>may have clout but only because they have $$.

Now I may not be a ham, but that's pure bunk. The ARRL provides a
foundation for amateur radio simply by representing so many hams. It
also has done quite a bit to help out beginning amateurs simply by
publishing all kinds of excellent material. It does a lot more, and
you should log onto their website once in a while.

<snip>
>> Well, it's your turn to prove your point. Otherwise I am going to
>> stick with my original statement that more people will drive more
>> recklessly when there is no speed limit, no license plates, no driver
>> licensing, and no cops.
>
>Possibly, knowing how human nature works. But there were few accidents
>in Montana when the speed limit was "Reasonable and Proper"; the
>drivers knew that they were responsible for themselves and they drove
>in a such way that they got where they were going. If they had an
>accident, it was their own fault; they weren't driving at a
>"reasonable" speed or they didn't drive "proper". Thus, they killed
>themselves or, if they survived, they were cited. A very good way to
>do things, IMHO.

The NTSB has determined that the frequency of traffic accidents are
directly related to population density (among other things). Montana
has very few accidents because it has a low population density -- very
few people per square mile, and therefore very little traffic.

I have a T-shirt that has a big black square on it with the caption:
"Libby Nightlife".

>> > Also, how
>> >> many websites have you seen where hams promote the abuse of their own
>> >> spectrum? Not many. On the contrary, there are several sites where
>> >> hams encourage people to abuse the CB and "freeband".
>> >
>> >Well, SHAME on those hams for creating such a problem on CB!!
>>
>> What happened to your argument? What about my statement that there are
>> very few hams that promote the abuse of their own bands? Or are you
>> just ignoring that point?
>
>You miss my point, too: Why are those licensed hams advocating illegal
>activity on CB? Would you encourage people to mess up your own band?

I will hazard a guess here: Most of the hams I see that encourage
illegal use of the CB, freeband, and other portions of HF, are
no-codes. My guess is that they are too lazy to learn Morse at the
snails-pace of 5wpm, and they would rather operate illegally than
exercise a few brain cells. Personally, I think it's easier to learn
CW starting at a pace faster than 5wpm, maybe closer to 12 or 15wpm.
I'm doing a solid 18wpm now, but my problem is in the band allocations
and skip propogation. So I have a bit of studying to do before I go
for the test.

<snip>
>All I've said is that I haven't heard any - yet. I daresay that any
>you hear up there are truckers with "export" radios that don't have
>frequency readout, and they have no idea what frequency they might be
>on at the time. I think the hams should be spending more time
>"policing" the precious band(s) and less time posting absolute bovine

>excrement in a CB newsgroup.

Did you ever stop to think that a CB forum would be a good place for
informing these "truckers" that their modified CB's will encroach on
amateur bands? Probably not.

>> >> BTW, you still haven't provided your callsign...
>> >
>> >I won't, either. Not until Aanal Aaron posts his (and he'll never do
>> >that, will he?).
>>
>> I don't know, why are you asking me? It would make better sense to ask
>> him, wouldn't it? And if he refuses to disclose whether or not he is a
>> ham, or if he says he is a ham but won't give out his callsign, why
>> don't you ask him why? I can tell you one thing about Voobner: if you
>> speak to him in a civilized manner, he will do the same. Kinda like
>> the golden rule, or did you forget that one?
>
>Bull. Many people have asked him and he refuses to answer any
>question. From what I read, Tom Severt tried asking him in a "civil
>manner", and got the usual side-stepping obstrufication and the same
>lame URL to a phoney website. We all know he'll never post his
>callsign.

I said to ask him -why- he won't give out his personal information.
Have you done that? Just email him.

>> >> >> Because I'm a CBer, I'm a legal CBer, and I'm a CBer that's concerned
>> >> >> about the future of CB radio. Why are YOU here?
>> >
>> >Then the best thing (and, in reality, the ONLY thing) you can do is
>> >operate properly and stay legal, isn't it?
>>
>> No, that's not the ONLY thing I can do....
>
>Unless you carry a FCC badge, it IS all you can do.

I think you wrote that before you read my next response:

>> > You really think that more
>> >than a handful of CBers read the UseNet? What do you (or Aaron, or
>> >Jerry) think you are accomplishing here?
>>
>> Speaking for myself, I like to expose voodoo CB techs, like Eitner,
>> Sean, etc.; to explain the truth about these 'linear' amplifiers and
>> radio modifications; to help with radio fundamentals; and to confront
>> the promoters of illegal operation on the CB. I think I am doing a
>> pretty good job so far, despite a rocky start.

Like I said, just operating legally is not the ONLY thing I can do.

<snip>
>What I mean has been detailed in that other thread: ALL 10 meter

>radios are (somehow) "illegal" even for licensed amateurs to use,
>etc., etc. He simply hasn't a clue.

I have never read where he said such a thing. Post a quote.

>> Well, sorry to burst your bubble, but those 'fake' 10 meter radios are
>> just that: They are made with the sole intention of easy (idiot-proof)
>> modification for operation on the CB, and marketed based on that
>> single feature. These radios are designed, manufactured, marketed, and
>> sold with the intention of being used illegally in the U.S. And if
>> they happen to get sold to some of the legal hams in the process, all
>> the better for the profit margin, with maybe a little boost in
>> reputation from the amateurs if the FCC should ever force the company
>> into a legitimate, but less profitable, market. If this 'fake' 10M
>> radio racket was any more obvious it would have to be included in
>> grade-school textbooks. If you can't see what's going on, then maybe
>> they -should- include it in grade-school textbooks.
>
>You are again confusing "export CBs" with legitimate 10-meter radios.
>Export CBs have never been legal in the US; 10-meter radios are legal
>for licensed amateurs to use. The fact that a few chop-shops have
>figured out how to modify a radio for illegal use is unfortunate, but
>it's always been that way and you (even Aanal Aaron and JJ and
>Georgie-girl) aren't likely to have any impact on it. The FCC "busts"
>a couple of those shops every once in a while, and more pop up to take
>their place. The best way for the hams to defend their turf is by
>USING it and, maybe, running the "intruders" out.

I am confusing nothing. Yes, there are legitimate 10M radios on the
market, and there are radios that are not legal for operation in the
US (but somehow end up here anyway). But 10M radios that are designed
to operate on the CB my removing just one jumper or snipping just one
wire is simply a lame attempt to sell illegal radios legally through a
technicality. Fortunately, the FCC has enough brains to see through
this weak smoke-and-mirrors act. Do you?

<snip>
>I haven't seen any mis-information from Tim so far.

>> <snip>
>> >Who's Phil Covington? Never saw him post here.
>>
>> Just wait a while, he'll be back.
>
>So you mentioned his name for no reason. Are you trying to draw him
>out?

Phil has been responsible for spreading many rumors about people in
this newsgroup, especially about Voobner and myself. You claimed to
have a 'source' of information on Voobner, and my first suspicion is
Phil. So I did not mention his name for no reason.

>> <snip>
>> >> > but who appointed you (or anyone else) NetCop? People are
>> >> >free to post For Sale ads for anything they want to post;
>> >>
>> >> Not if it's an amplifier capable of operating on the CB. Or haven't
>> >> you been reading Voobner's posts? I'm sure he will provide you with
>> >> the proper information showing you where you are wrong.
>
>Obviously I've been reading his posts; how do you think I can correct
>him all the time?

Well then, I'll provide you with the same info that he has posted in
the past:

"
Sec. 2.815 External radio frequency power amplifiers.
....
(b) After April 27, 1978, no person shall manufacture, sell or
lease, offer for sale or lease (including **ADVERTISING** for sale or
lease), or import, ship, or distribute for the purpose of selling or
leasing or offering for sale or lease, any external radio frequency
power amplifier or amplifier kit capable of operation on any frequency

or frequencies between 24 and 35 MHz.
....
" [emphasis added]

And here's the link in case you want to read the whole thing:

http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/get-cfr.cgi?TITLE=47&PART=2&SECTION=815&YEAR=2000&
TYPE=TEXT

Now correct me if I'm wrong, but ADVERTISING a CB amp is illegal. Now
remember, Voobner has posted this many times before. So if you are
saying that Voober was lying about that, then you are saying the Code
of Federal Regulations is a lie. Care to point out where the CFR is
lying?

>> >Of course they can. It's as easy as typing an ad for legal stuff. If
>> >you don't like to read them, use your filters and don't look at them.
>> >Funny how everyone else can filter and use kill-files but you don't.
>>
>> There is a distinction between legal and illegal behavior, or can't
>> you make that distinction? Just -advertising- an RF amplifier capable
>> of operating on the CB is a federal offense.
>
>Nonsense; amps are permitted for ten and twelve meters. You really
>mean: "Just -advertising- a RF amplifier in rec.radio.cb..." maybe?
>Geez, I think it makes perfect sense considering how many allaged hams
>lurk here. Maybe they would like to buy them?

Amps under 2.815 are legal to own or build from scratch, but they
can't be manufactured or sold any more. The exception to that is if
the amp is sold:

"by a licensed amateur radio operator to another licensed amateur
radio operator of an external radio frequency power amplifier
fabricated in not more than one unit of the same model in a calendar
year by that operator provided the amplifier is for the amateur
operator's personal use at his licensed amateur radio station and the
requirements of Secs. 97.315 and 97.317 of this chapter are met."

If you think you can find an advertisement on this newsgroup which
fits that criteria, then by all means post it.

>> >And I highly doubt Aanal Aaron can provide anyone with "proper
>> >information". He never has and he most likely never will. He might
>> >provide HIS take on something, but it won't be the truth.
>>
>> The Code of Federal Regulations isn't the truth? Well, maybe you can
>> point out a few of it's lies.
>
>I have pointed out many of his mis-interpretations of the R&R. As I
>said, he presents his distorted version of the Rules, not the truth.
>Try reading his crap sometime.

I have and I do. Those are not his interpretations -- he is just
repeating the interpretations of the CFR by the FCC. It's all on the
FCC website. Try visiting that one once in a while, too.

<snip>
>> >...i dont see jerry, aaron, frank or
>> >anybody else tirading about the amatuer bands and on there newsgroups
>> >complaining and these problems are even more directly related to the service
>> >there fighting for.
>
>Ummm, is that a quote? I don't see that it was addressed to you.

Are there any other regular posters here named Frank?

>> Well, if I'm not a ham, and my posts haven't been defending the ham
>> bands, why does he think that I'm "fighting for" the ham bands? I
>> think you have a problem with reading comprehension. Either that or
>> you are just too stupid to understand what was written. Maybe you
>> should also refer back to several posts where Timmy refers to me as
>> N7VCF, a ham on the other side of the state with the same name as
>> mine. Twisty made the suggestion, and Timmy was gullible enough to
>> believe it. So now you have TWO more people you can chastise for
>> giving out misinformation. Get busy...
>
>It certainly sounds like you're "fighting for" the ham bands, don't
>you think?

No. Try reading my posts sometime. On the contrary, I have been
somewhat critical of ham radio in the past.

Now what about your campain against misinformation?

<snip>
>Great description! I have no problem with legal operation, but I see
>no valid problem with illegal operation, either. Neither is cause to
>paint all CBers as "criminals", as one of the aforenamed persons did.

Who and where? Quote it, because I must have missed that one.

<snip>
>I seem to recall that Swan used some trick circuits or gimicks to
>compact those things into the (relatively) small cases (for the day).
>I haven't seen one for a good many years, so I could be wrong. Maybe
>that was later, under SilTronics?

Well, this radio uses the same VCO for both transmit and recieve, but
I would hardly call that a "gimmick". In fact I would call that an
advantage, since you are assured that TX and RX are going to be on the
same freq. And for a tube radio in 1967, it's not exactly "compact".
It's quite heavy, and so is the power supply. And the only thing I
know about Sillytronix is that Dick said they suck. That's enough for
me.

<snip>
>> That's not active, that's sedentary. Go get some fresh air. Fly a kite
>> or something. You know, it's been years since I have seen a kid, or
>> anyone for that fact, fly a kite in this town. Has the activity of
>> kite-flying been flushed by the Nintendos and X-box's? Maybe those
>> games will let you 'virtually' fly a kite, although I tend to prefer
>> the real thing. Ya know what? I got tomorrow off, I'm going to build a
>> kite and fly it (if the wind cooperates)! I challenge you to do the
>> same.
>
>I see (rather, don't see) the same thing; and I think it does have
>something to do with the Xbox or Nintendo. I see a great many yards
>decorated with pools and trampolenes and swings, and never see anyone
>outside using the things. This place seems evacuated weekends and
>during the summer. But I do get plenty of fresh air; I walk around a
>lot and have two large yards to keep up (or down, whichever). Sorry,
>not a breath of breeze today, so no kite.

I built the kite, but not enough wind to get it aloft. I gave it one
hell of a try, though. But you should have seen some of the funny
looks I got -- a middle-aged fat man (well, not that fat) running
around the park trying to fly a kite! They must have thought I was
crazy. I think I'll try again when there's more wind (but I'm bringing
the oxygen tank with me next time!)

<snip>
>> I did understand it. Now maybe you can try and understand my original
>> response to Timmy for the meaning that was intended (and carefully
>> outlined above), not for some distorted meaning that fits with your
>> distorted perceptions.
>
>I will have to go back to the beginning, I'm afraid; I'm not certain

>Tim's post was directed to you in the first place. If it was, then
>perhaps I'm outta line.

...next post...

6259. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 23:13:45 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d714da8.6994753@news.cet.com>
Source record: 135339

In <c5ecbb69.0208310558.6160a627@posting.google.com>,
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

>yeti@REMOVEcet.com (yeti@REMOVEcet.com) wrote in message
news:<3d7017e2.3552219@news.cet.com
>> >Apparently that statement simply isn't true. You read Tim's post, you
>> >deliberately mis-interpreted Tim's post,
>
>You did!
>
>> I did nothing of the sort. Since you can't yet understand what I
>> wrote, let me take this step by step so it will be REALLY SIMPLE for
>> you to understand:
>>
>> 1. I have told Timmy on many prior occasions that I am not a ham.
>> 2. In his post, Timmy said I was a ham.
>> 3. In his post, Timmy said I was a hypocrite for not "tirading" in ham
>> newsgroups.
>> 4. I am not a ham.
>> 5. I have no reason or right to be "tirading" in ham newsgroups.
>> 6. I do not fit his criteria of being a hypocrite.
>> 7. I -am- a CBer.
>> 8. I -do- have a reason and a right to be "tirading" in CB newsgroups.
>> 9. I -do- fit the -opposite- of his criteria for being a hypocrite.
>> 10. Since he provided no word for the opposite of 'hypocrisy', I
>> picked the best word available: 'integrity'.
>> 11. I responded.
>>
>> Now I tried to make it so simple that even Twisty couln't spin the
>> meaning, but I'm sure both you and he will try. Regardless, is there
>> anything, ...ANYTHING you don't, won't or can't understand about my
>> response to Nebo?
>
>I went back and looked, and I was correct: You unnecessarily responded
>to a post that was not addressed or directed to you
>
>Tim Nebo never said YOU were a ham, nor that YOU were a hypocrite. His
>post was a response to the late Richard McCollum, N0BK, and you jumped
>in for no reason.
>
>"Integrity"? I think not. The word bullcrap seems to fit better here.

Like I said before, how many regulars here are named Frank? And he has
repeatedly referred to me as N7VCF. Sometimes directly, but more
recently by taking cheap shots at N7's hobby of astronomy. I don't

think you have been in this newsgroup long enough to know the history,
so maybe you should gracefully bow out of my discussions with Nebout.

6260. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 23:13:52 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d714daf.7001399@news.cet.com>
Source record: 135340

In <3D70FE4B.26411311@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
wrote:

<snip>
>Dickey Craniumless continues to show his complete lack of
>knowledge of anything technical. 1 Mhz can make the difference in
>the MUF (max usable frequency) I have seen many times when the
>upper portion of 10 meter would be dead, yet still plenty of
>activity on the lower portion. He keeps bragging about 11 being
>open all the time and the poor hams can't operate 10 because it is
>dead, just wait, as the solar cycle winds down 11 will go also and
>the hams just move down to 15 or 20 and keep working the DX while
>the cbers are shouting across town with their "lenars".

You seem to be more versed on this than I am. Last night it seemed
like the middle of 10M was wide open but the top and bottom were dead.
What was up with that?

6261. Re: ARNewsline 1307 - Aug 30 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 23:15:05 UTC
From: "Scott (Unit 69)" <scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Message-ID: <3D714E00.AD0E7EA4@yaSPAMhoo.com>
Source record: 135338

Radionews wrote:
>
> Amateur Radio Newslineâ□¢ Report 1307 - August 30th, 2002

How come it didn't mention Richard McCollum as a silent key?

6262. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 23:15:34 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d714dcd.7031608@news.cet.com>
Source record: 135342

In <c5ecbb69.0208311401.40c7847d@posting.google.com>,
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

<snip>
>As usual, George Busch can only make sexual innuendos. Never a pertinent point.

You mean like you constantly calling him "Georgie-girl"? Yeah, that's
not grade school sniping at all, is it?

6263. Re: Take that illegal cbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 23:16:07 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3D712EEF.74CDA0D3@mailcity.net>
Source record: 135341

Richard Cranium wrote:
>
> "skuld-chan" <cherry-chan@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<R7Nb9.239446$983.522150@rwcrnsc53>...
> > http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/2002/08/29/100/?nc=1
> >
> > I keep hearing there's more to come too :)
> >
> > sc
>
> One major error in reporting:
>
> Since he didn't hold any FCC license, he was not required to allow the
> FCC to inspect his equipment. Only licensed operators are required to
> do that. And don't feed me any crap about a so-called "blanket
> license"; there is no such thing.

Your are just as subject to a search of you cb station as any ham.
Suggest you become familiar with part 95 of the FCC regs. Maybe
you can't find the regs just like you can't seem to find 10
meters.

6264. Rabbit Ears Gets 15 Months In Jail For Annoying Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 23:17:46 UTC
From: netmanager2@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <78f1f2d5.0208311517.1269c812@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135343

NEWINGTON, CT, Aug 29, 2002--Florida Citizens Band enthusiast William "Rabbit Ears" Flippo will spend the next 15 months in federal prison and have to pay a $25,000 fine for jamming Amateur Radio communications and transmitting without a license. Flippo was convicted in federal court earlier this year on eight misdemeanor counts. The sentence is believed to be a record for convictions of this type.

Federal District Court Judge Daniel T.K. Hurley imposed the sentence August 29 on Flippo, of Jupiter. He had been found guilty June 19 of four counts of operating without a license and four counts of deliberate and malicious interference. Flippo has remained in custody since the guilty verdict and underwent a psychiatric evaluation prior to sentencing. It's not clear if he will get credit for time already served. The judge also said he wants a full financial disclosure from Flippo, who may face other legal actions unrelated to his radio operation convictions.

At the sentencing, Flippo reportedly wept, said he'd turned over a new leaf and claimed he was sole support for his wife and two daughters. None of his family members was in the courtroom for the sentencing, however.

The judge was not persuaded, telling Flippo, 60, that he was old enough to have considered the consequences of his actions. Hurley also alleged numerous incidents of perjury during the course of Flippo's testimony during his June trial, which ran six-and-one-half days. The jury took about a half hour to determine that he was guilty on all counts.

According to trial testimony, Flippo primarily had targeted members of the Jupiter-Tequesta Repeater Group for jamming and regularly interfered with amateur operations, especially on 10 and 2 meters, over an approximately three-year period. Following up on the amateurs' complaints, personnel from the FCC's Tampa District Office visited the Jupiter area at least twice in 1999 and reported tracking the offending signals to Flippo's residence.

Flippo had faced a maximum of eight years in prison--one year on each count--and up to $80,000 in fines. Available opinions were mixed on whether the sentence Hurley imposed was appropriate. Ed Petzolt, K1LNC, who assisted the FCC in gathering evidence and, at one point, had his car rammed by Flippo's vehicle, said he felt Flippo should have received at least three years.

"I thought it was a slap on the wrist," said Petzolt, the 1999 ARRL International Humanitarian Award winner who also testified at the trial. John Criteser Jr, KC4JLY, agreed. "I think he should have gotten more--at least five years," Criteser said outside the courthouse. Other amateurs who attended the sentencing session, including Jupiter-Tequesta club member Bert Moreschi, AG4BV, were satisfied with the penalty, however.

Flippo requested that he be incarcerated at Florida's Eglund Air Force Base, but the decision on where he'll spend his sentence is up to the Federal Bureau of Prisons.

Flippo's federal trial was twice postponed last year after he argued successfully that serious health problems would prevent him from participating. He was unsuccessful, however, when he tried the same tactic during a hearing earlier this year to determine if he was capable of standing trial.

Last year, Flippo was convicted in state court of criminal mischief--also a misdemeanor--after ramming Petzolt's vehicle. He was sentenced to a year's probation and ordered him to dispose of any radio equipment in his possession. A ban on possessing radio gear also had a condition of his federal bond, which Hurley subsequently revoked during the trial.

Federal authorities arrested Flippo in July 2000. The criminal charges of which he now stands convicted covered violations allegedly committed between June 1999 and April of 2000. The defendant already faces a $20,000 fine levied in 1999 for unlicensed operation, willful and malicious interference to Amateur Radio communications, and failure to let the FCC inspect his radio equipment.

Hurley ordered Flippo immediately back into custody to start serving his sentence. Flippo reportedly was led into and out of the courtroom in shackles and leg irons.

6265. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 23:24:49 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d714fb2.7517035@news.cet.com>
Source record: 135345

In <c5ecbb69.0208310519.16ed2fad@posting.google.com>,
richcranus@yahoo.com (Richard Cranium) wrote:

<snip>
>... CBers are still using 11 meters because it's all
>they have; hams are not using 10 meters because they think it's dead.

Really? Then maybe you can explain this post:

>Subject: 28.015 Freeband Net
>Date: Sat, 31 Aug 2002 15:47:10 -0400
>Organization: FREEBAND
>Lines: 10
>Message-ID: <akr6ff$f4a$0@pita.alt.net>
>X-Priority: 3
>X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
>X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2600.0000
>X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2600.0000
>Xref: sn-us rec.radio.amateur.policy:234504 rec.radio.cb:314734
>
> Hey! Heard at Shelby Hamfest!!!!
> Everyone who bought CB radios and amplifiers at the
>Shelby Hamfest will be getting together on 28015 khz
>Sideband, lower side for a big roundtable. See Ya There!!
>Looks like Freeband is alive and well!!!!! Saaaaaaalute!

And it looks like YOU are the one spreading the BS around here, not
Voobner.

6266. Re: George buttfucks Randygirl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 23:26:42 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Sgcc9.383767$q53.12660802@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 135344

"Richard Cranium" <richcranus@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:c5ecbb69.0208311423.37683538@posting.google.com...
> "IllegalCbersSuck" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:<Te5c9.313384$m91.12795600@bin5.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> > "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> > news:pY4c9.1280$S74.38996156@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > You may have gave it up Randy, but Doug
> > > needs better living through chemicals to reduce the
> > > lunacy. Just keep sending the complaints to:
> > >
> > > help@uu.net
> > >
> > > abuse-mail@wcom.com
> > >
> > > netadmin@ao.wcom.net
> > >
> > > groups-abuse@google.com
> > >
> > > abuse@yahoo.com
> > >
> > > Landshark
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > Here is a Hanky for you Landwhale.. keep crying
>
> What, Georgie-girl, you weeping again?? And you brag about anal
> intercourse with Randy? You probably have AIDs, too, faggot!

Whoa Richard! Hold up there!

6267. Re: Take that illegal cbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 23:26:45 UTC
From: yeti@REMOVEcet.com
Message-ID: <3d71507f.7721686@news.cet.com>
Source record: 135346

In <3D712EEF.74CDA0D3@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
wrote:

<snip>
>> Since he didn't hold any FCC license, he was not required to allow the
>> FCC to inspect his equipment. Only licensed operators are required to
>> do that. And don't feed me any crap about a so-called "blanket
>> license"; there is no such thing.
>
>Your are just as subject to a search of you cb station as any ham.
>Suggest you become familiar with part 95 of the FCC regs. Maybe
>you can't find the regs just like you can't seem to find 10
>meters.

And he blames Voobner for spreading misinformation...LOL!

6268. Re: Ham Misbehavior

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 23:32:16 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4mcc9.383768$q53.12661644@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 135197

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3D71052A.32D2FAD6@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Richard Craniumless wrote:
>
> >
> > It is; have you listened? You paint a picture of pure and pristine
> > amateur operators, but all CBers are "criminals". Horse hockey, to
> > quote Col. Potter of M*A*S*H fame. You got your one-way glasses on
> > again. One or two frequencies? I said the 75 meter phone band. Can't
> > you read, either? It's obvious you can't think.
>
> Your obviously do not know how to read your receive frequency, (or
> maybe your receiver is stuck on one frequency and you don't
> realize it. THAT'S IT!! no wonder you don't hear any truckers on
> 10 meters!!) The entire 75 meter band is not taken up with bad
> operators. There are a few frequencies where there are a bunch of
> idiots, but most of the band like the other bands, is filled with
> hams just having reasonable communications.
> And again you show you total lack of understanding. I have said
> many times I have no complaint with those who operate legally,
> just the ones who do operate illegally and only with those who
> encroach into the ham bands.
> Now, do I need to repeat that, or can you read it several times
> until it soaks in?
>
> > > What a laugh! Tune across the ham bands and 99.9% of the operation
> > > is just hams having a nice conversation, but tune any cb channel
> > > and all you hear are modded overdriven splatter boxes operated by
> > > a bunch of morons.
> >
> > If you bother to listen to CB you'll hear 99.9% of the people having
> > nice conversations. And maybe a disgruntled hambo in there trying to
> > cause trouble.
>
> And just how do you know the person in there "trying to cause
> trouble" is a ham? Does he give his ham call? Or do you just
> assume it is a ham and "paint all hams as criminals"?
> >
> > Why aren't you on one of the precious amateur bands "protecting" it
> > from those nasty alleged intruders?\
>
> Believe me, when I hear these intruders, and they are not alleged

> by the way, they are there even though you and your equipment are
> not capable of hearing them, I do make every effort to discourage
> their illegal operation on the ham bands.

Really, Frank? How does a non-ham do that?

6269. Re: Brian Griffey the whining sissie's web page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 23:42:27 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <82l2nugtd0c5g7gr0hvt996d03o28nr9sf@4ax.com>
Source record: 135198

YOU tell THE FAG that Mr. Griffey!
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

On Wed, 28 Aug 2002 12:42:05 GMT, "Brian Griffey"
<briangriffey@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>I'll certainly agree with that... you are the perfect example... badgering
>and harassing many on this NG... and then not wanting to address that issue.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

6270. Re: Richard Cranium revealed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 23:44:28 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208311544.72a8856f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135201

"IllegalCbersSuck" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:<7c5c9.321356$2p2.13601473@bin4.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
> news:qY4c9.1281$S74.38996156@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
> > I thought George had you pinged to
> > Rochester NY.?
> >
> > Landshark
>
> Well you ping him landwhale, and give us your results, I said he was in
> Rochester area and he said where is Rochester? So I guess he is not in
> Rochester but then again he lies alot.

Pings don't mean a thing. And I don't lie nearly as much as you think
I do, Georgie-girl!

6271. Re: Dave or Debby SPANKED by ISP!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 23:44:41 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <v5l2nu8tl2oeprc6nuc15kiub5sjvbn70g@4ax.com>
Source record: 135199

I don't spit--I spew. I ain't no goofy spittin' pentacostal !
Dave!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
On Wed, 28 Aug 2002 05:19:49 GMT, Earl <earlebyrd@sun.up> wrote:

>On Tue, 27 Aug 2002 20:35:28 -0700, "Packratï¿½" <spam@n7uic.net> wrote:
>>I wonder if he spits when he talks?
>
>Ya mean like 'pa....tooey'?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

6272. Re: ARNewsline 1307 - Aug 30 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 23:46:01 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@NOspamplanetc.com>
Message-ID: <s8l2nussctg3e97kok55e78ocni46arep5@4ax.com>
Source record: 135200

Do they mention other Hams that die? Maybe it's too soon.
Dave

On Sat, 31 Aug 2002 23:15:05 GMT, "Scott (Unit 69)"
<scott_unit_69@yaSPAMhoo.com> wrote:

>
>
>Radionews wrote:
>>
>> Amateur Radio Newslineâ□¢ Report 1307 - August 30th, 2002
>
>
>How come it didn't mention Richard McCollum as a silent key?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

6273. Re: keyclownslammas is HOPPING Every DAY--sucks on anything!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 23:46:46 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208311546.71e3223c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135202

ozark333@aol.comnojunk (OZARK333) wrote in message
news:<20020831122149.00277.00000333@mb-ce.aol.com>...
> Right back at you Pusswad.....Bring your ass to Springfield Missouri.
> We'll see how much bullshit you dish face to face. Pussy!
> Put up and show up or shut up.....Mr goingdownonkeyclowns!
>
>
> "Got RF?"

That's Doug Adair - N8WWM, in Ohio. Gotta be a Charter Member of No
Code International. Sure ain't got no class, does he?

6274. Re: Legal? "CB" listing issues with Ebutt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.cb
Date: 2002-08-31 23:52:00 UTC
From: richcranus@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <c5ecbb69.0208311552.2cbb4290@posting.google.com>
Source record: 135203

"IllegalCbersSuck" <rcranium@lies.com> wrote in message
news:<D%4c9.202460$Aw4.8603850@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>...
> "Aaron H. Voobner" <ahvoobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > Too bad a whole bunch of truck stops that sell "phoney 10 meter
> > radios" are on Riley's hit list. I don't know if any are in VA Beach
> > area but you may want to drive out to them and personally explain to
> > Riley why they must remain on sale since they are legal for a ham like
> > you to use :)
> >
> > -Aaron-
>
> Aaron? He can't use em, he is a no-code techican

That's what I heard about Aanal Aaron, too! Thanks for confirming
that.

What's a "techican", Georgie-girl? And I'm not in VA Beach, dolt. I
thought you Pinged me to Rochester?